1	(Wednesday, 17 April 2024)	1	are some bullet points below paragraph 9.
2	(10.01)	2	Here we go, and just above there. So, you
3	THE CHAIRMAN: Sir Peter, my	3	were asked about the airport incident but
4	enthusiasm to keep to the timetable yesterday	4	there were not any questions about the
5	of course was to finish your examination in	5	background to the airport incident. And you
6	time to allow Mr Wagner to examine the	6	have in fact set out in your responsive
7	witness for the last hour. I did not then know	7	affidavit at paragraph 9 a summary of the
8	that Mr Wagner was going to ask to adjourn	8	incident. And I am just going to read it out
9	early. I would not want you to think, as I	9	briefly:
10	think you may have done, that I was hurrying	10	"A UK serviceman based in Gibraltar was
11	you along so that we could finish early.	11	suspected of possessing indecent images of
12	SIR PETER CARUANA: No, I did not think	12	children in his computer. The Joint Provost
13	that, sir. It was regrettable in the end but I	13	and Security Unit/UK Services Police,
14	did not attribute to you, sir, knowledge that	14	believing to have jurisdiction in Gibraltar,
15	that was going to happen.	15	unlawfully arrested the serviceman here."
16	THE CHAIRMAN: No.	16	THE CHAIRMAN: Hang on, this does not
17	SIR PETER CARUANA: That is how it	17	sound like a question.
18	happened in the end, but not through any	18	MR WAGNER: Sorry?
19	intention on your part.	19	THE CHAIRMAN: This does not sound like
20	THE CHAIRMAN: Obviously it is	20	a question.
21	important that everyone has their say, but we	21	MR WAGNER: I just want to ask if he
22	do need to keep to the timetable, as I am sure	22	agrees with that summary. He can read it if
23	you understand.	23	he wants without me reading it out.
24	MR WAGNER: Thank you.	24	THE CHAIRMAN: How much are you
25	THE CHAIRMAN: Now, you are okay, are	25	going to read?
-0	1112 0111 1111 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	==	Some to roun.
	Page 1		Page 3
1	you, this morning?	,	
			MD W/ACNED: Just those bullet noints
		1 2	MR WAGNER: Just those bullet points.
2	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.	2	THE CHAIRMAN: Okay.
2 3	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. MR WAGNER: Thank you.	2 3	THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. MR WAGNER: "The UK Services Police
2 3 4	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. MR WAGNER: Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Over to you.	2 3 4	THE CHAIRMAN: Okay.  MR WAGNER: "The UK Services Police refused to collaborate with the RGP. The
2 3 4 5	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. MR WAGNER: Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Over to you. MR WAGNER: And just to clarify on that,	2 3 4 5	THE CHAIRMAN: Okay.  MR WAGNER: "The UK Services Police refused to collaborate with the RGP. The UK Services Police had no legitimate
2 3 4 5 6	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. MR WAGNER: Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Over to you. MR WAGNER: And just to clarify on that, and I think it probably was a bit of	2 3 4 5 6	THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. MR WAGNER: "The UK Services Police refused to collaborate with the RGP. The UK Services Police had no legitimate authority or powers under the Armed Forces
2 3 4 5 6 7	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.  MR WAGNER: Thank you.  THE CHAIRMAN: Over to you.  MR WAGNER: And just to clarify on that, and I think it probably was a bit of miscommunication, but I asked just to finish	2 3 4 5 6 7	THE CHAIRMAN: Okay.  MR WAGNER: "The UK Services Police refused to collaborate with the RGP. The UK Services Police had no legitimate authority or powers under the Armed Forces Act 2006 to operate in Gibraltar.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.  MR WAGNER: Thank you.  THE CHAIRMAN: Over to you.  MR WAGNER: And just to clarify on that, and I think it probably was a bit of miscommunication, but I asked just to finish at 4.30 and then adjourn, rather than stay late.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	THE CHAIRMAN: Okay.  MR WAGNER: "The UK Services Police refused to collaborate with the RGP. The UK Services Police had no legitimate authority or powers under the Armed Forces Act 2006 to operate in Gibraltar.  "Negotiations to resolve and de-escalate the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.  MR WAGNER: Thank you.  THE CHAIRMAN: Over to you.  MR WAGNER: And just to clarify on that, and I think it probably was a bit of miscommunication, but I asked just to finish at 4.30 and then adjourn, rather than stay late. I did not actually request to adjourn straight	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE CHAIRMAN: Okay.  MR WAGNER: "The UK Services Police refused to collaborate with the RGP. The UK Services Police had no legitimate authority or powers under the Armed Forces Act 2006 to operate in Gibraltar.  "Negotiations to resolve and de-escalate the situation proved futile despite persistent
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.  MR WAGNER: Thank you.  THE CHAIRMAN: Over to you.  MR WAGNER: And just to clarify on that, and I think it probably was a bit of miscommunication, but I asked just to finish at 4.30 and then adjourn, rather than stay late. I did not actually request to adjourn straight away, but when that was offered I did	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE CHAIRMAN: Okay.  MR WAGNER: "The UK Services Police refused to collaborate with the RGP. The UK Services Police had no legitimate authority or powers under the Armed Forces Act 2006 to operate in Gibraltar.  "Negotiations to resolve and de-escalate the situation proved futile despite persistent attempts by the RGP.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.  MR WAGNER: Thank you.  THE CHAIRMAN: Over to you.  MR WAGNER: And just to clarify on that, and I think it probably was a bit of miscommunication, but I asked just to finish at 4.30 and then adjourn, rather than stay late. I did not actually request to adjourn straight away, but when that was offered I did consider that as very sensible.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	THE CHAIRMAN: Okay.  MR WAGNER: "The UK Services Police refused to collaborate with the RGP. The UK Services Police had no legitimate authority or powers under the Armed Forces Act 2006 to operate in Gibraltar.  "Negotiations to resolve and de-escalate the situation proved futile despite persistent attempts by the RGP.  "The MOD ignored the RGP's claim of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.  MR WAGNER: Thank you.  THE CHAIRMAN: Over to you.  MR WAGNER: And just to clarify on that, and I think it probably was a bit of miscommunication, but I asked just to finish at 4.30 and then adjourn, rather than stay late. I did not actually request to adjourn straight away, but when that was offered I did consider that as very sensible.  THE CHAIRMAN: Anyway, here we are.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	THE CHAIRMAN: Okay.  MR WAGNER: "The UK Services Police refused to collaborate with the RGP. The UK Services Police had no legitimate authority or powers under the Armed Forces Act 2006 to operate in Gibraltar.  "Negotiations to resolve and de-escalate the situation proved futile despite persistent attempts by the RGP.  "The MOD ignored the RGP's claim of jurisdiction over the case of the serviceman.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.  MR WAGNER: Thank you.  THE CHAIRMAN: Over to you.  MR WAGNER: And just to clarify on that, and I think it probably was a bit of miscommunication, but I asked just to finish at 4.30 and then adjourn, rather than stay late. I did not actually request to adjourn straight away, but when that was offered I did consider that as very sensible.  THE CHAIRMAN: Anyway, here we are.  There is no slippage because we are going to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	THE CHAIRMAN: Okay.  MR WAGNER: "The UK Services Police refused to collaborate with the RGP. The UK Services Police had no legitimate authority or powers under the Armed Forces Act 2006 to operate in Gibraltar.  "Negotiations to resolve and de-escalate the situation proved futile despite persistent attempts by the RGP.  "The MOD ignored the RGP's claim of jurisdiction over the case of the serviceman. They attempted to remove him and the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.  MR WAGNER: Thank you.  THE CHAIRMAN: Over to you.  MR WAGNER: And just to clarify on that, and I think it probably was a bit of miscommunication, but I asked just to finish at 4.30 and then adjourn, rather than stay late. I did not actually request to adjourn straight away, but when that was offered I did consider that as very sensible.  THE CHAIRMAN: Anyway, here we are. There is no slippage because we are going to get back on course.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	THE CHAIRMAN: Okay.  MR WAGNER: "The UK Services Police refused to collaborate with the RGP. The UK Services Police had no legitimate authority or powers under the Armed Forces Act 2006 to operate in Gibraltar.  "Negotiations to resolve and de-escalate the situation proved futile despite persistent attempts by the RGP.  "The MOD ignored the RGP's claim of jurisdiction over the case of the serviceman. They attempted to remove him and the evidence from the jurisdiction. Senior
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.  MR WAGNER: Thank you.  THE CHAIRMAN: Over to you.  MR WAGNER: And just to clarify on that, and I think it probably was a bit of miscommunication, but I asked just to finish at 4.30 and then adjourn, rather than stay late. I did not actually request to adjourn straight away, but when that was offered I did consider that as very sensible.  THE CHAIRMAN: Anyway, here we are.  There is no slippage because we are going to get back on course.  MR WAGNER: No, exactly.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	THE CHAIRMAN: Okay.  MR WAGNER: "The UK Services Police refused to collaborate with the RGP. The UK Services Police had no legitimate authority or powers under the Armed Forces Act 2006 to operate in Gibraltar.  "Negotiations to resolve and de-escalate the situation proved futile despite persistent attempts by the RGP.  "The MOD ignored the RGP's claim of jurisdiction over the case of the serviceman. They attempted to remove him and the evidence from the jurisdiction. Senior military officials appeared to have lied to the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.  MR WAGNER: Thank you.  THE CHAIRMAN: Over to you.  MR WAGNER: And just to clarify on that, and I think it probably was a bit of miscommunication, but I asked just to finish at 4.30 and then adjourn, rather than stay late. I did not actually request to adjourn straight away, but when that was offered I did consider that as very sensible.  THE CHAIRMAN: Anyway, here we are. There is no slippage because we are going to get back on course.  MR WAGNER: No, exactly.  MR IAN McGRAIL, recalled	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE CHAIRMAN: Okay.  MR WAGNER: "The UK Services Police refused to collaborate with the RGP. The UK Services Police had no legitimate authority or powers under the Armed Forces Act 2006 to operate in Gibraltar.  "Negotiations to resolve and de-escalate the situation proved futile despite persistent attempts by the RGP.  "The MOD ignored the RGP's claim of jurisdiction over the case of the serviceman. They attempted to remove him and the evidence from the jurisdiction. Senior military officials appeared to have lied to the then [Commissioner of Police] Yome and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.  MR WAGNER: Thank you.  THE CHAIRMAN: Over to you.  MR WAGNER: And just to clarify on that, and I think it probably was a bit of miscommunication, but I asked just to finish at 4.30 and then adjourn, rather than stay late. I did not actually request to adjourn straight away, but when that was offered I did consider that as very sensible.  THE CHAIRMAN: Anyway, here we are. There is no slippage because we are going to get back on course.  MR WAGNER: No, exactly.  MR IAN McGRAIL, recalled Questioned by MR WAGNER	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	THE CHAIRMAN: Okay.  MR WAGNER: "The UK Services Police refused to collaborate with the RGP. The UK Services Police had no legitimate authority or powers under the Armed Forces Act 2006 to operate in Gibraltar.  "Negotiations to resolve and de-escalate the situation proved futile despite persistent attempts by the RGP.  "The MOD ignored the RGP's claim of jurisdiction over the case of the serviceman. They attempted to remove him and the evidence from the jurisdiction. Senior military officials appeared to have lied to the then [Commissioner of Police] Yome and other RGP senior officers in their attempts to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.  MR WAGNER: Thank you.  THE CHAIRMAN: Over to you.  MR WAGNER: And just to clarify on that, and I think it probably was a bit of miscommunication, but I asked just to finish at 4.30 and then adjourn, rather than stay late. I did not actually request to adjourn straight away, but when that was offered I did consider that as very sensible.  THE CHAIRMAN: Anyway, here we are. There is no slippage because we are going to get back on course.  MR WAGNER: No, exactly.  MR IAN McGRAIL, recalled Questioned by MR WAGNER  MR WAGNER: Good morning,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE CHAIRMAN: Okay.  MR WAGNER: "The UK Services Police refused to collaborate with the RGP. The UK Services Police had no legitimate authority or powers under the Armed Forces Act 2006 to operate in Gibraltar.  "Negotiations to resolve and de-escalate the situation proved futile despite persistent attempts by the RGP.  "The MOD ignored the RGP's claim of jurisdiction over the case of the serviceman. They attempted to remove him and the evidence from the jurisdiction. Senior military officials appeared to have lied to the then [Commissioner of Police] Yome and other RGP senior officers in their attempts to do so."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.  MR WAGNER: Thank you.  THE CHAIRMAN: Over to you.  MR WAGNER: And just to clarify on that, and I think it probably was a bit of miscommunication, but I asked just to finish at 4.30 and then adjourn, rather than stay late. I did not actually request to adjourn straight away, but when that was offered I did consider that as very sensible.  THE CHAIRMAN: Anyway, here we are. There is no slippage because we are going to get back on course.  MR WAGNER: No, exactly.  MR IAN McGRAIL, recalled Questioned by MR WAGNER  MR WAGNER: Good morning,  Mr McGrail.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE CHAIRMAN: Okay.  MR WAGNER: "The UK Services Police refused to collaborate with the RGP. The UK Services Police had no legitimate authority or powers under the Armed Forces Act 2006 to operate in Gibraltar.  "Negotiations to resolve and de-escalate the situation proved futile despite persistent attempts by the RGP.  "The MOD ignored the RGP's claim of jurisdiction over the case of the serviceman. They attempted to remove him and the evidence from the jurisdiction. Senior military officials appeared to have lied to the then [Commissioner of Police] Yome and other RGP senior officers in their attempts to do so."  "The RGP asserted its jurisdiction on the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.  MR WAGNER: Thank you.  THE CHAIRMAN: Over to you.  MR WAGNER: And just to clarify on that, and I think it probably was a bit of miscommunication, but I asked just to finish at 4.30 and then adjourn, rather than stay late. I did not actually request to adjourn straight away, but when that was offered I did consider that as very sensible.  THE CHAIRMAN: Anyway, here we are.  There is no slippage because we are going to get back on course.  MR WAGNER: No, exactly.  MR IAN McGRAIL, recalled Questioned by MR WAGNER  MR WAGNER: Good morning,  Mr McGrail.  A. Good morning.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	THE CHAIRMAN: Okay.  MR WAGNER: "The UK Services Police refused to collaborate with the RGP. The UK Services Police had no legitimate authority or powers under the Armed Forces Act 2006 to operate in Gibraltar.  "Negotiations to resolve and de-escalate the situation proved futile despite persistent attempts by the RGP.  "The MOD ignored the RGP's claim of jurisdiction over the case of the serviceman. They attempted to remove him and the evidence from the jurisdiction. Senior military officials appeared to have lied to the then [Commissioner of Police] Yome and other RGP senior officers in their attempts to do so."  "The RGP asserted its jurisdiction on the matter and were obstructed by senior military
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.  MR WAGNER: Thank you.  THE CHAIRMAN: Over to you.  MR WAGNER: And just to clarify on that, and I think it probably was a bit of miscommunication, but I asked just to finish at 4.30 and then adjourn, rather than stay late. I did not actually request to adjourn straight away, but when that was offered I did consider that as very sensible.  THE CHAIRMAN: Anyway, here we are. There is no slippage because we are going to get back on course.  MR WAGNER: No, exactly.  MR IAN McGRAIL, recalled Questioned by MR WAGNER  MR WAGNER: Good morning,  Mr McGrail.  A. Good morning.  Q. Final stretch for you now. Thank you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE CHAIRMAN: Okay.  MR WAGNER: "The UK Services Police refused to collaborate with the RGP. The UK Services Police had no legitimate authority or powers under the Armed Forces Act 2006 to operate in Gibraltar.  "Negotiations to resolve and de-escalate the situation proved futile despite persistent attempts by the RGP.  "The MOD ignored the RGP's claim of jurisdiction over the case of the serviceman. They attempted to remove him and the evidence from the jurisdiction. Senior military officials appeared to have lied to the then [Commissioner of Police] Yome and other RGP senior officers in their attempts to do so."  "The RGP asserted its jurisdiction on the matter and were obstructed by senior military officials. The Chief Justice of Gibraltar, The
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.  MR WAGNER: Thank you.  THE CHAIRMAN: Over to you.  MR WAGNER: And just to clarify on that, and I think it probably was a bit of miscommunication, but I asked just to finish at 4.30 and then adjourn, rather than stay late. I did not actually request to adjourn straight away, but when that was offered I did consider that as very sensible.  THE CHAIRMAN: Anyway, here we are.  There is no slippage because we are going to get back on course.  MR WAGNER: No, exactly.  MR IAN McGRAIL, recalled Questioned by MR WAGNER  MR WAGNER: Good morning,  Mr McGrail.  A. Good morning.  Q. Final stretch for you now. Thank you very much for waiting overnight. I want to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE CHAIRMAN: Okay.  MR WAGNER: "The UK Services Police refused to collaborate with the RGP. The UK Services Police had no legitimate authority or powers under the Armed Forces Act 2006 to operate in Gibraltar.  "Negotiations to resolve and de-escalate the situation proved futile despite persistent attempts by the RGP.  "The MOD ignored the RGP's claim of jurisdiction over the case of the serviceman. They attempted to remove him and the evidence from the jurisdiction. Senior military officials appeared to have lied to the then [Commissioner of Police] Yome and other RGP senior officers in their attempts to do so."  "The RGP asserted its jurisdiction on the matter and were obstructed by senior military officials. The Chief Justice of Gibraltar, The Honourable Mr Justice Dudley, granted
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.  MR WAGNER: Thank you.  THE CHAIRMAN: Over to you.  MR WAGNER: And just to clarify on that, and I think it probably was a bit of miscommunication, but I asked just to finish at 4.30 and then adjourn, rather than stay late. I did not actually request to adjourn straight away, but when that was offered I did consider that as very sensible.  THE CHAIRMAN: Anyway, here we are. There is no slippage because we are going to get back on course.  MR WAGNER: No, exactly.  MR IAN McGRAIL, recalled Questioned by MR WAGNER  MR WAGNER: Good morning,  Mr McGrail.  A. Good morning.  Q. Final stretch for you now. Thank you very much for waiting overnight. I want to start, please, with the airport incident and I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE CHAIRMAN: Okay.  MR WAGNER: "The UK Services Police refused to collaborate with the RGP. The UK Services Police had no legitimate authority or powers under the Armed Forces Act 2006 to operate in Gibraltar.  "Negotiations to resolve and de-escalate the situation proved futile despite persistent attempts by the RGP.  "The MOD ignored the RGP's claim of jurisdiction over the case of the serviceman. They attempted to remove him and the evidence from the jurisdiction. Senior military officials appeared to have lied to the then [Commissioner of Police] Yome and other RGP senior officers in their attempts to do so."  "The RGP asserted its jurisdiction on the matter and were obstructed by senior military officials. The Chief Justice of Gibraltar, The Honourable Mr Justice Dudley, granted a warrant to the RGP to seize the exhibits
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.  MR WAGNER: Thank you.  THE CHAIRMAN: Over to you.  MR WAGNER: And just to clarify on that, and I think it probably was a bit of miscommunication, but I asked just to finish at 4.30 and then adjourn, rather than stay late. I did not actually request to adjourn straight away, but when that was offered I did consider that as very sensible.  THE CHAIRMAN: Anyway, here we are. There is no slippage because we are going to get back on course.  MR WAGNER: No, exactly.  MR IAN McGRAIL, recalled Questioned by MR WAGNER  MR WAGNER: Good morning,  Mr McGrail.  A. Good morning.  Q. Final stretch for you now. Thank you very much for waiting overnight. I want to start, please, with the airport incident and I have asked for A143. If we could just scroll	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	THE CHAIRMAN: Okay.  MR WAGNER: "The UK Services Police refused to collaborate with the RGP. The UK Services Police had no legitimate authority or powers under the Armed Forces Act 2006 to operate in Gibraltar.  "Negotiations to resolve and de-escalate the situation proved futile despite persistent attempts by the RGP.  "The MOD ignored the RGP's claim of jurisdiction over the case of the serviceman. They attempted to remove him and the evidence from the jurisdiction. Senior military officials appeared to have lied to the then [Commissioner of Police] Yome and other RGP senior officers in their attempts to do so."  "The RGP asserted its jurisdiction on the matter and were obstructed by senior military officials. The Chief Justice of Gibraltar, The Honourable Mr Justice Dudley, granted a warrant to the RGP to seize the exhibits being held by the UK Services Police. The
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.  MR WAGNER: Thank you.  THE CHAIRMAN: Over to you.  MR WAGNER: And just to clarify on that, and I think it probably was a bit of miscommunication, but I asked just to finish at 4.30 and then adjourn, rather than stay late. I did not actually request to adjourn straight away, but when that was offered I did consider that as very sensible.  THE CHAIRMAN: Anyway, here we are. There is no slippage because we are going to get back on course.  MR WAGNER: No, exactly.  MR IAN McGRAIL, recalled Questioned by MR WAGNER  MR WAGNER: Good morning,  Mr McGrail.  A. Good morning.  Q. Final stretch for you now. Thank you very much for waiting overnight. I want to start, please, with the airport incident and I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE CHAIRMAN: Okay.  MR WAGNER: "The UK Services Police refused to collaborate with the RGP. The UK Services Police had no legitimate authority or powers under the Armed Forces Act 2006 to operate in Gibraltar.  "Negotiations to resolve and de-escalate the situation proved futile despite persistent attempts by the RGP.  "The MOD ignored the RGP's claim of jurisdiction over the case of the serviceman. They attempted to remove him and the evidence from the jurisdiction. Senior military officials appeared to have lied to the then [Commissioner of Police] Yome and other RGP senior officers in their attempts to do so."  "The RGP asserted its jurisdiction on the matter and were obstructed by senior military officials. The Chief Justice of Gibraltar, The Honourable Mr Justice Dudley, granted a warrant to the RGP to seize the exhibits
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.  MR WAGNER: Thank you.  THE CHAIRMAN: Over to you.  MR WAGNER: And just to clarify on that, and I think it probably was a bit of miscommunication, but I asked just to finish at 4.30 and then adjourn, rather than stay late. I did not actually request to adjourn straight away, but when that was offered I did consider that as very sensible.  THE CHAIRMAN: Anyway, here we are. There is no slippage because we are going to get back on course.  MR WAGNER: No, exactly.  MR IAN McGRAIL, recalled Questioned by MR WAGNER  MR WAGNER: Good morning,  Mr McGrail.  A. Good morning.  Q. Final stretch for you now. Thank you very much for waiting overnight. I want to start, please, with the airport incident and I have asked for A143. If we could just scroll	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	THE CHAIRMAN: Okay.  MR WAGNER: "The UK Services Police refused to collaborate with the RGP. The UK Services Police had no legitimate authority or powers under the Armed Forces Act 2006 to operate in Gibraltar.  "Negotiations to resolve and de-escalate the situation proved futile despite persistent attempts by the RGP.  "The MOD ignored the RGP's claim of jurisdiction over the case of the serviceman. They attempted to remove him and the evidence from the jurisdiction. Senior military officials appeared to have lied to the then [Commissioner of Police] Yome and other RGP senior officers in their attempts to do so."  "The RGP asserted its jurisdiction on the matter and were obstructed by senior military officials. The Chief Justice of Gibraltar, The Honourable Mr Justice Dudley, granted a warrant to the RGP to seize the exhibits being held by the UK Services Police. The

1	Senior Counsel at the time, Ricky Rhoda	1	something else. But I believe that that was
2	CBE KC (previously the Attorney General	2	what Mr Yome did. He deployed several
3	for Gibraltar) on behalf of the RGP who were	3	officers.
4	present to lay information under oath.	4	Q. At paragraph 29, and this relates to what
5	"After heightened tensions and non-stop	5	you were discussing yesterday, the applying
6	attempts by the RGP to resolve the matter,	6	for the search warrants. He says:
7	the MOD relented and did not fly out the	7	"In the days that followed I received a report
8	serviceman or the exhibits."	8	from Mr McGrail to the effect that as part of
9	And just below:	9	his investigation he required to execute
10	"The senior military officials were	10	search warrants at the offices of Commander
11	subsequently arrested for obstructing police	11	British Forces and his deputy at the Naval
12	and attempting to pervert the course of	12	Base, the Naval Provost offices and the RAF
13 14	justice."  And then there is a description of who were	14	Station Commanders office for the purposes of securing material evidence in furtherance
15	involved, including Lord Pannick who gave	15	of his investigation. I sanctioned that course
16	a legal advice, the Secretary of State, Sir	16	of action."
17	Alan Duncan, and I think later on in your	17	Do you recall him sanctioning that course of
18	statement you also say that the incident was	18	action?
19	only resolved after the intervention of the	19	A. Yes, he had detailed me to investigate the
20	person who is now the current Chief of	20	activities that occurred on the day and as part
21	Defence staff, Admiral Sir Antony David	21	of those inquiries I needed to obtain evidence
22	Radakin. Is that correct?	22	and through the execution of warrants.
23	A. Those bullet points you have read out are	23	Q. Now, quite a bit after the airport incident
24	an accurate picture of what happened on the	24	had concluded, there were some complaints
25	day, as truly regrettable as it was.	25	made about your conduct. Is that right? To
	Page 5		Page 7
1	Q. Yes, and at the heart of this was a person	1	the Gibraltar
2	who was suspected of holding indecent	2	A. A few years later, yes.
3	images of children. Is that correct?	3	Q. A few years later. Is it right to say those
4	A. Indeed, a suspected paedophile.	4	complaints were dismissed?
5	Q. Did he later come to be convicted in the	5	A. I provided explanations in answer to
6	UK?	6	questions by the Police Complaints Board
7	A. I understand so, in a court martial, yes.	7	and I never received any response from them,
8	Q. Yes. You were not the Commissioner of	8	but I understand that the complaints were not
9	Police at the time of the airport incident,	9	upheld.
10	were you, Mr McGrail?	10	Q. Yes. If we go to B2709, you can take it
11	A. I was not.	11	from me in fact this was only resolved on 29
12	Q. The Commissioner of Police was Edward	12	May 2020. This is from the Chairman of the
13	Yome, sometimes referred to as Eddie	13	Police Complaints Board. This related to
14	Yome	14	a complaint against you and Superintendent
15	A. That's right.	15	Wayne Tunbridge:
16	Q in the documents. He has given	16	"The Police Complaints Board has
17	a statement to this inquiry. Please could you	17	considered the findings of the subcommittee
18	turn to A1345 and paragraph 25. He says	18 19	and finds that the RGP did not act
19 20	there:	20	unprofessionally in the execution of their duties and therefore the complaint has not
20	"I wish to emphasise that police officers were deployed to the airport solely on my	20	been sustained. The complaint made against
22	instructions."	22	the Commissioner of Police, Ian McGrail,
23	Was that your memory?	23	and Superintendent Wayne Tunbridge is
24	A. Several I wasn't detailed under those	24	therefore dismissed."
25	instructions. I was detailed to be with	25	So you never received that letter.
	2 2 2		•
	Page 6		Page 8
			2 (Pages 5 to 8)

1	A. No, I didn't.	1	personnel in respect of the Watterson
2	Q. No.	2	incident deserve censure and that you should
3	A. I know there was also an appeal,	3	consider whether a full inquiry ought to be
4	subsequent appeal.	4	undertaken by a body independent of the
5	Q. Yes.	5	RGP and the MOD so that lessons may be
6	A. Which was then referred to the Police	6	learned from this incident."
7	Authority too.	7	There was no further wider inquiry, was
8	Q. Yes.	8	there?
9	A. I didn't know it until this hearing and I	9	
	seem to think, if I am mistaken I apologise,		A. Not to my understanding.
10	, ,	10	Q. No. Was Mr Pyle a member of the
11	but I seem to have read or heard that the	11	Gibraltar Police Authority at that time?
12	Chief Secretary, Mr Darren Grech, and	12	A. At the time of the incident or around
13	Mr Nick Pyle, formed the subcommittee of	13	the
14	the Police Authority and they did not uphold	14	Q. When these complaints were being if
15	that appeal neither.	15	you do not know
16	Q. Mr Pyle was (inaudible).	16	A. Yes, yes. At the time of the complaints I
17	A. That's what I seem to have captured	17	believe he was, yes. Definitely was.
18	somewhere during the proceedings here.	18	Q. And are you aware of Mr Pyle registering
19	Q. Okay.	19	any kind of concern to the Gibraltar Police
20	A. Or read it somewhere.	20	Authority about your actions?
21	Q. We will find that. Is it right to say that	21	A. I'm not aware of any of that.
22	the RGP's actions overall were reviewed by	22	Q. Did he ever, before, well, let us say while
23	the Gibraltar Police Authority?	23	you were Commissioner or a police officer,
24	A. Yes, they called us in, the Authority	24	did he ever refer any concerns about your
25	called us in.	25	conduct to you?
			•
	Page 9		Page 11
1	O Vac	1	A Hole nover raised any concerns about this
1	Q. Yes.	1	A. He's never raised any concerns about this
2	A. And there was a question and answer	2	or any other matter for that matter.
2 3	A. And there was a question and answer session and we had to deliver individual	2 3	or any other matter for that matter.  Q. Was the airport incident, from your
2 3 4	A. And there was a question and answer session and we had to deliver individual inputs to the Authority on our actions and our	2 3 4	or any other matter for that matter.  Q. Was the airport incident, from your memory, and I can take you to it if you need
2 3 4 5	A. And there was a question and answer session and we had to deliver individual inputs to the Authority on our actions and our decision making.	2 3 4 5	or any other matter for that matter.  Q. Was the airport incident, from your memory, and I can take you to it if you need to see it, was the airport incident referred to
2 3 4 5 6	A. And there was a question and answer session and we had to deliver individual inputs to the Authority on our actions and our decision making.  Q. Yes, and if we could just turn to B2157,	2 3 4 5 6	or any other matter for that matter.  Q. Was the airport incident, from your memory, and I can take you to it if you need to see it, was the airport incident referred to the GPA's letter of 22 May setting out what
2 3 4 5 6 7	A. And there was a question and answer session and we had to deliver individual inputs to the Authority on our actions and our decision making.  Q. Yes, and if we could just turn to B2157, and this is an email, I think the best evidence	2 3 4 5 6 7	or any other matter for that matter.  Q. Was the airport incident, from your memory, and I can take you to it if you need to see it, was the airport incident referred to the GPA's letter of 22 May setting out what they said were to be the reasons for the Chief
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. And there was a question and answer session and we had to deliver individual inputs to the Authority on our actions and our decision making.  Q. Yes, and if we could just turn to B2157, and this is an email, I think the best evidence of the outcome of that investigation is in this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	or any other matter for that matter.  Q. Was the airport incident, from your memory, and I can take you to it if you need to see it, was the airport incident referred to the GPA's letter of 22 May setting out what they said were to be the reasons for the Chief Minister's and Mr Pyle's loss of confidence?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. And there was a question and answer session and we had to deliver individual inputs to the Authority on our actions and our decision making.  Q. Yes, and if we could just turn to B2157, and this is an email, I think the best evidence of the outcome of that investigation is in this email. If we can just go a little bit further	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	or any other matter for that matter.  Q. Was the airport incident, from your memory, and I can take you to it if you need to see it, was the airport incident referred to the GPA's letter of 22 May setting out what they said were to be the reasons for the Chief Minister's and Mr Pyle's loss of confidence?  A. No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. And there was a question and answer session and we had to deliver individual inputs to the Authority on our actions and our decision making.  Q. Yes, and if we could just turn to B2157, and this is an email, I think the best evidence of the outcome of that investigation is in this email. If we can just go a little bit further down in the email of Alkar Hamdin and they	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	or any other matter for that matter.  Q. Was the airport incident, from your memory, and I can take you to it if you need to see it, was the airport incident referred to the GPA's letter of 22 May setting out what they said were to be the reasons for the Chief Minister's and Mr Pyle's loss of confidence?  A. No.  Q. Did the airport incident feature in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. And there was a question and answer session and we had to deliver individual inputs to the Authority on our actions and our decision making.  Q. Yes, and if we could just turn to B2157, and this is an email, I think the best evidence of the outcome of that investigation is in this email. If we can just go a little bit further down in the email of Alkar Hamdin and they refer to the Wattersons and was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	or any other matter for that matter.  Q. Was the airport incident, from your memory, and I can take you to it if you need to see it, was the airport incident referred to the GPA's letter of 22 May setting out what they said were to be the reasons for the Chief Minister's and Mr Pyle's loss of confidence?  A. No.  Q. Did the airport incident feature in the letters Mr Pyle and Mr Picardo sent to the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. And there was a question and answer session and we had to deliver individual inputs to the Authority on our actions and our decision making.  Q. Yes, and if we could just turn to B2157, and this is an email, I think the best evidence of the outcome of that investigation is in this email. If we can just go a little bit further down in the email of Alkar Hamdin and they refer to the Wattersons and was Mr Watterson the suspect?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	or any other matter for that matter.  Q. Was the airport incident, from your memory, and I can take you to it if you need to see it, was the airport incident referred to the GPA's letter of 22 May setting out what they said were to be the reasons for the Chief Minister's and Mr Pyle's loss of confidence?  A. No.  Q. Did the airport incident feature in the letters Mr Pyle and Mr Picardo sent to the GPA on 3 and 5 June and which you received
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. And there was a question and answer session and we had to deliver individual inputs to the Authority on our actions and our decision making.  Q. Yes, and if we could just turn to B2157, and this is an email, I think the best evidence of the outcome of that investigation is in this email. If we can just go a little bit further down in the email of Alkar Hamdin and they refer to the Wattersons and was  Mr Watterson the suspect?  A. Yes, he was the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	or any other matter for that matter.  Q. Was the airport incident, from your memory, and I can take you to it if you need to see it, was the airport incident referred to the GPA's letter of 22 May setting out what they said were to be the reasons for the Chief Minister's and Mr Pyle's loss of confidence?  A. No.  Q. Did the airport incident feature in the letters Mr Pyle and Mr Picardo sent to the GPA on 3 and 5 June and which you received very shortly before you notified Mr Pyle you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. And there was a question and answer session and we had to deliver individual inputs to the Authority on our actions and our decision making.  Q. Yes, and if we could just turn to B2157, and this is an email, I think the best evidence of the outcome of that investigation is in this email. If we can just go a little bit further down in the email of Alkar Hamdin and they refer to the Wattersons and was  Mr Watterson the suspect?  A. Yes, he was the  Q. If we just go further down, please:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	or any other matter for that matter.  Q. Was the airport incident, from your memory, and I can take you to it if you need to see it, was the airport incident referred to the GPA's letter of 22 May setting out what they said were to be the reasons for the Chief Minister's and Mr Pyle's loss of confidence?  A. No.  Q. Did the airport incident feature in the letters Mr Pyle and Mr Picardo sent to the GPA on 3 and 5 June and which you received very shortly before you notified Mr Pyle you were retiring?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. And there was a question and answer session and we had to deliver individual inputs to the Authority on our actions and our decision making.  Q. Yes, and if we could just turn to B2157, and this is an email, I think the best evidence of the outcome of that investigation is in this email. If we can just go a little bit further down in the email of Alkar Hamdin and they refer to the Wattersons and was Mr Watterson the suspect?  A. Yes, he was the  Q. If we just go further down, please: "The GPA has come to the firm conclusion	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	or any other matter for that matter.  Q. Was the airport incident, from your memory, and I can take you to it if you need to see it, was the airport incident referred to the GPA's letter of 22 May setting out what they said were to be the reasons for the Chief Minister's and Mr Pyle's loss of confidence?  A. No.  Q. Did the airport incident feature in the letters Mr Pyle and Mr Picardo sent to the GPA on 3 and 5 June and which you received very shortly before you notified Mr Pyle you were retiring?  A. Nothing of that sort was mentioned.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. And there was a question and answer session and we had to deliver individual inputs to the Authority on our actions and our decision making.  Q. Yes, and if we could just turn to B2157, and this is an email, I think the best evidence of the outcome of that investigation is in this email. If we can just go a little bit further down in the email of Alkar Hamdin and they refer to the Wattersons and was Mr Watterson the suspect?  A. Yes, he was the  Q. If we just go further down, please:  "The GPA has come to the firm conclusion that the actions of and the restraint shown by	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	or any other matter for that matter.  Q. Was the airport incident, from your memory, and I can take you to it if you need to see it, was the airport incident referred to the GPA's letter of 22 May setting out what they said were to be the reasons for the Chief Minister's and Mr Pyle's loss of confidence?  A. No.  Q. Did the airport incident feature in the letters Mr Pyle and Mr Picardo sent to the GPA on 3 and 5 June and which you received very shortly before you notified Mr Pyle you were retiring?  A. Nothing of that sort was mentioned.  Q. No. Did the airport incident feature in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. And there was a question and answer session and we had to deliver individual inputs to the Authority on our actions and our decision making.  Q. Yes, and if we could just turn to B2157, and this is an email, I think the best evidence of the outcome of that investigation is in this email. If we can just go a little bit further down in the email of Alkar Hamdin and they refer to the Wattersons and was  Mr Watterson the suspect?  A. Yes, he was the  Q. If we just go further down, please:  "The GPA has come to the firm conclusion that the actions of and the restraint shown by the relevant RGP officers during the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	or any other matter for that matter.  Q. Was the airport incident, from your memory, and I can take you to it if you need to see it, was the airport incident referred to the GPA's letter of 22 May setting out what they said were to be the reasons for the Chief Minister's and Mr Pyle's loss of confidence?  A. No.  Q. Did the airport incident feature in the letters Mr Pyle and Mr Picardo sent to the GPA on 3 and 5 June and which you received very shortly before you notified Mr Pyle you were retiring?  A. Nothing of that sort was mentioned.  Q. No. Did the airport incident feature in the emails or discussions you had with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. And there was a question and answer session and we had to deliver individual inputs to the Authority on our actions and our decision making.  Q. Yes, and if we could just turn to B2157, and this is an email, I think the best evidence of the outcome of that investigation is in this email. If we can just go a little bit further down in the email of Alkar Hamdin and they refer to the Wattersons and was  Mr Watterson the suspect?  A. Yes, he was the  Q. If we just go further down, please:  "The GPA has come to the firm conclusion that the actions of and the restraint shown by the relevant RGP officers during the Watterson incident were considered,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	or any other matter for that matter.  Q. Was the airport incident, from your memory, and I can take you to it if you need to see it, was the airport incident referred to the GPA's letter of 22 May setting out what they said were to be the reasons for the Chief Minister's and Mr Pyle's loss of confidence?  A. No.  Q. Did the airport incident feature in the letters Mr Pyle and Mr Picardo sent to the GPA on 3 and 5 June and which you received very shortly before you notified Mr Pyle you were retiring?  A. Nothing of that sort was mentioned.  Q. No. Did the airport incident feature in the emails or discussions you had with Mr Pyle when he was saying he was going to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. And there was a question and answer session and we had to deliver individual inputs to the Authority on our actions and our decision making.  Q. Yes, and if we could just turn to B2157, and this is an email, I think the best evidence of the outcome of that investigation is in this email. If we can just go a little bit further down in the email of Alkar Hamdin and they refer to the Wattersons and was  Mr Watterson the suspect?  A. Yes, he was the  Q. If we just go further down, please:  "The GPA has come to the firm conclusion that the actions of and the restraint shown by the relevant RGP officers during the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	or any other matter for that matter.  Q. Was the airport incident, from your memory, and I can take you to it if you need to see it, was the airport incident referred to the GPA's letter of 22 May setting out what they said were to be the reasons for the Chief Minister's and Mr Pyle's loss of confidence?  A. No.  Q. Did the airport incident feature in the letters Mr Pyle and Mr Picardo sent to the GPA on 3 and 5 June and which you received very shortly before you notified Mr Pyle you were retiring?  A. Nothing of that sort was mentioned.  Q. No. Did the airport incident feature in the emails or discussions you had with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. And there was a question and answer session and we had to deliver individual inputs to the Authority on our actions and our decision making.  Q. Yes, and if we could just turn to B2157, and this is an email, I think the best evidence of the outcome of that investigation is in this email. If we can just go a little bit further down in the email of Alkar Hamdin and they refer to the Wattersons and was  Mr Watterson the suspect?  A. Yes, he was the  Q. If we just go further down, please:  "The GPA has come to the firm conclusion that the actions of and the restraint shown by the relevant RGP officers during the Watterson incident were considered,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	or any other matter for that matter.  Q. Was the airport incident, from your memory, and I can take you to it if you need to see it, was the airport incident referred to the GPA's letter of 22 May setting out what they said were to be the reasons for the Chief Minister's and Mr Pyle's loss of confidence?  A. No.  Q. Did the airport incident feature in the letters Mr Pyle and Mr Picardo sent to the GPA on 3 and 5 June and which you received very shortly before you notified Mr Pyle you were retiring?  A. Nothing of that sort was mentioned.  Q. No. Did the airport incident feature in the emails or discussions you had with Mr Pyle when he was saying he was going to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. And there was a question and answer session and we had to deliver individual inputs to the Authority on our actions and our decision making.  Q. Yes, and if we could just turn to B2157, and this is an email, I think the best evidence of the outcome of that investigation is in this email. If we can just go a little bit further down in the email of Alkar Hamdin and they refer to the Wattersons and was  Mr Watterson the suspect?  A. Yes, he was the  Q. If we just go further down, please:  "The GPA has come to the firm conclusion that the actions of and the restraint shown by the relevant RGP officers during the  Watterson incident were considered, deliberated, entirely proportionate and highly	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	or any other matter for that matter.  Q. Was the airport incident, from your memory, and I can take you to it if you need to see it, was the airport incident referred to the GPA's letter of 22 May setting out what they said were to be the reasons for the Chief Minister's and Mr Pyle's loss of confidence?  A. No.  Q. Did the airport incident feature in the letters Mr Pyle and Mr Picardo sent to the GPA on 3 and 5 June and which you received very shortly before you notified Mr Pyle you were retiring?  A. Nothing of that sort was mentioned.  Q. No. Did the airport incident feature in the emails or discussions you had with Mr Pyle when he was saying he was going to exercise his section 13 powers to force you to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. And there was a question and answer session and we had to deliver individual inputs to the Authority on our actions and our decision making.  Q. Yes, and if we could just turn to B2157, and this is an email, I think the best evidence of the outcome of that investigation is in this email. If we can just go a little bit further down in the email of Alkar Hamdin and they refer to the Wattersons and was  Mr Watterson the suspect?  A. Yes, he was the  Q. If we just go further down, please:  "The GPA has come to the firm conclusion that the actions of and the restraint shown by the relevant RGP officers during the  Watterson incident were considered, deliberated, entirely proportionate and highly commendable. As such we do not doubt the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	or any other matter for that matter.  Q. Was the airport incident, from your memory, and I can take you to it if you need to see it, was the airport incident referred to the GPA's letter of 22 May setting out what they said were to be the reasons for the Chief Minister's and Mr Pyle's loss of confidence?  A. No.  Q. Did the airport incident feature in the letters Mr Pyle and Mr Picardo sent to the GPA on 3 and 5 June and which you received very shortly before you notified Mr Pyle you were retiring?  A. Nothing of that sort was mentioned.  Q. No. Did the airport incident feature in the emails or discussions you had with Mr Pyle when he was saying he was going to exercise his section 13 powers to force you to resign?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. And there was a question and answer session and we had to deliver individual inputs to the Authority on our actions and our decision making.  Q. Yes, and if we could just turn to B2157, and this is an email, I think the best evidence of the outcome of that investigation is in this email. If we can just go a little bit further down in the email of Alkar Hamdin and they refer to the Wattersons and was  Mr Watterson the suspect?  A. Yes, he was the  Q. If we just go further down, please:  "The GPA has come to the firm conclusion that the actions of and the restraint shown by the relevant RGP officers during the  Watterson incident were considered, deliberated, entirely proportionate and highly commendable. As such we do not doubt the effectiveness and probity of the policing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	or any other matter for that matter.  Q. Was the airport incident, from your memory, and I can take you to it if you need to see it, was the airport incident referred to the GPA's letter of 22 May setting out what they said were to be the reasons for the Chief Minister's and Mr Pyle's loss of confidence?  A. No.  Q. Did the airport incident feature in the letters Mr Pyle and Mr Picardo sent to the GPA on 3 and 5 June and which you received very shortly before you notified Mr Pyle you were retiring?  A. Nothing of that sort was mentioned.  Q. No. Did the airport incident feature in the emails or discussions you had with Mr Pyle when he was saying he was going to exercise his section 13 powers to force you to resign?  A. Not at all.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. And there was a question and answer session and we had to deliver individual inputs to the Authority on our actions and our decision making.  Q. Yes, and if we could just turn to B2157, and this is an email, I think the best evidence of the outcome of that investigation is in this email. If we can just go a little bit further down in the email of Alkar Hamdin and they refer to the Wattersons and was  Mr Watterson the suspect?  A. Yes, he was the  Q. If we just go further down, please:  "The GPA has come to the firm conclusion that the actions of and the restraint shown by the relevant RGP officers during the  Watterson incident were considered, deliberated, entirely proportionate and highly commendable. As such we do not doubt the effectiveness and probity of the policing demonstrated by the RGP in respect of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	or any other matter for that matter.  Q. Was the airport incident, from your memory, and I can take you to it if you need to see it, was the airport incident referred to the GPA's letter of 22 May setting out what they said were to be the reasons for the Chief Minister's and Mr Pyle's loss of confidence?  A. No.  Q. Did the airport incident feature in the letters Mr Pyle and Mr Picardo sent to the GPA on 3 and 5 June and which you received very shortly before you notified Mr Pyle you were retiring?  A. Nothing of that sort was mentioned.  Q. No. Did the airport incident feature in the emails or discussions you had with Mr Pyle when he was saying he was going to exercise his section 13 powers to force you to resign?  A. Not at all.  Q. When do you find out that Mr Pyle had
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. And there was a question and answer session and we had to deliver individual inputs to the Authority on our actions and our decision making.  Q. Yes, and if we could just turn to B2157, and this is an email, I think the best evidence of the outcome of that investigation is in this email. If we can just go a little bit further down in the email of Alkar Hamdin and they refer to the Wattersons and was  Mr Watterson the suspect?  A. Yes, he was the  Q. If we just go further down, please:  "The GPA has come to the firm conclusion that the actions of and the restraint shown by the relevant RGP officers during the  Watterson incident were considered, deliberated, entirely proportionate and highly commendable. As such we do not doubt the effectiveness and probity of the policing demonstrated by the RGP in respect of the  Watterson incident. We would take the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	or any other matter for that matter.  Q. Was the airport incident, from your memory, and I can take you to it if you need to see it, was the airport incident referred to the GPA's letter of 22 May setting out what they said were to be the reasons for the Chief Minister's and Mr Pyle's loss of confidence?  A. No.  Q. Did the airport incident feature in the letters Mr Pyle and Mr Picardo sent to the GPA on 3 and 5 June and which you received very shortly before you notified Mr Pyle you were retiring?  A. Nothing of that sort was mentioned.  Q. No. Did the airport incident feature in the emails or discussions you had with Mr Pyle when he was saying he was going to exercise his section 13 powers to force you to resign?  A. Not at all.  Q. When do you find out that Mr Pyle had these concerns about your conduct in the airport incident?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. And there was a question and answer session and we had to deliver individual inputs to the Authority on our actions and our decision making.  Q. Yes, and if we could just turn to B2157, and this is an email, I think the best evidence of the outcome of that investigation is in this email. If we can just go a little bit further down in the email of Alkar Hamdin and they refer to the Wattersons and was  Mr Watterson the suspect?  A. Yes, he was the  Q. If we just go further down, please:  "The GPA has come to the firm conclusion that the actions of and the restraint shown by the relevant RGP officers during the  Watterson incident were considered, deliberated, entirely proportionate and highly commendable. As such we do not doubt the effectiveness and probity of the policing demonstrated by the RGP in respect of the  Watterson incident. We would take the liberty of adding that it may not be our place	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	or any other matter for that matter.  Q. Was the airport incident, from your memory, and I can take you to it if you need to see it, was the airport incident referred to the GPA's letter of 22 May setting out what they said were to be the reasons for the Chief Minister's and Mr Pyle's loss of confidence?  A. No.  Q. Did the airport incident feature in the letters Mr Pyle and Mr Picardo sent to the GPA on 3 and 5 June and which you received very shortly before you notified Mr Pyle you were retiring?  A. Nothing of that sort was mentioned.  Q. No. Did the airport incident feature in the emails or discussions you had with Mr Pyle when he was saying he was going to exercise his section 13 powers to force you to resign?  A. Not at all.  Q. When do you find out that Mr Pyle had these concerns about your conduct in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. And there was a question and answer session and we had to deliver individual inputs to the Authority on our actions and our decision making.  Q. Yes, and if we could just turn to B2157, and this is an email, I think the best evidence of the outcome of that investigation is in this email. If we can just go a little bit further down in the email of Alkar Hamdin and they refer to the Wattersons and was  Mr Watterson the suspect?  A. Yes, he was the  Q. If we just go further down, please:  "The GPA has come to the firm conclusion that the actions of and the restraint shown by the relevant RGP officers during the  Watterson incident were considered, deliberated, entirely proportionate and highly commendable. As such we do not doubt the effectiveness and probity of the policing demonstrated by the RGP in respect of the  Watterson incident. We would take the liberty of adding that it may not be our place	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	or any other matter for that matter.  Q. Was the airport incident, from your memory, and I can take you to it if you need to see it, was the airport incident referred to the GPA's letter of 22 May setting out what they said were to be the reasons for the Chief Minister's and Mr Pyle's loss of confidence?  A. No.  Q. Did the airport incident feature in the letters Mr Pyle and Mr Picardo sent to the GPA on 3 and 5 June and which you received very shortly before you notified Mr Pyle you were retiring?  A. Nothing of that sort was mentioned.  Q. No. Did the airport incident feature in the emails or discussions you had with Mr Pyle when he was saying he was going to exercise his section 13 powers to force you to resign?  A. Not at all.  Q. When do you find out that Mr Pyle had these concerns about your conduct in the airport incident?

		,	
1	believe. Let me try and think.	1	give you a figure.
2	Q. Was it when you received the first	2	Q. Yes.
3	affidavit from Mr Pyle in 2022?	3	A. But I remember at the time there was
4	A. Once the inquiry was convened, yes.	4	a couple of murder investigations.
5	Q. So would it be fair to say that the airport	5	Q. Yes.
6	incident had no bearing whatsoever on your	6	A. Some corruption investigations.
7	decision to take early retirement in June 2020?	7	Investigations per se or are we talking
8		8	demands?
9	A. When Mr Pyle sat in the Promotion	9	Q. And presumably there was, as well as
10	Board, that I took for the appointment to the	10	those very serious investigations, there were
11	post of Commissioner, he asked me	11 12	probably quite a lot of
12	questions, he was part of the authority in that		A. Demand, yes.
13	regard. The subject matter, neither that or	13	Q less serious investigations.
14	any of the other concerns that he said he had,	14	A. Absolutely, yes.
15	were put to me in any way, shape or form.	15 16	Q. Robberies, burglaries, that sort of thing.
16	Q. No, but it was not in your mind when you	17	A. Burglaries, yes, yes. That's the run of the
17	decided to take early retirement in June 2020.		mill.
18	A. Absolutely. I didn't know, I didn't know it featured.	18 19	Q. Yes. You said a few times and you have been asked a few times about this idea of
19			
20 21	Q. How did you feel to find out that these serious allegations were being used behind	20 21	operational decisions and whether you took operational decisions and investigations.
22		22	Before I ask you that question, can you just
23	the scenes without you knowing to justify your removal from post?	23	define what you mean and what you think is
23 24	A. This was an evident embellishment of	24	meant generally by operational?
25	an already, to my view, of an already flawed	25	A. Operational is, in plain language, on the
23	an aiready, to my view, of an aiready flawed	23	A. Operational is, in plain language, on the
	Page 13		Page 15
1	process and this was just flouring it all up to	1	ground decisions taken by people who are
1 2	process and this was just flouring it all up to make it worse looking in my direction.	1 2	ground decisions taken by people who are involved hands-on and therefore as
1 2 3	make it worse looking in my direction.	2	involved hands-on and therefore as
2	make it worse looking in my direction.  Q. How did it feel that you were not given	2 3	involved hands-on and therefore as Commissioner I would not play a part in any
2 3	make it worse looking in my direction.  Q. How did it feel that you were not given the chance to defend yourself against those	2	involved hands-on and therefore as Commissioner I would not play a part in any of that. However, it is always, it depends on
2 3 4	make it worse looking in my direction.  Q. How did it feel that you were not given	2 3 4	involved hands-on and therefore as Commissioner I would not play a part in any
2 3 4 5	make it worse looking in my direction.  Q. How did it feel that you were not given the chance to defend yourself against those allegations that were being made behind the	2 3 4 5	involved hands-on and therefore as Commissioner I would not play a part in any of that. However, it is always, it depends on the style of the Chief Officer, whether you
2 3 4 5 6	make it worse looking in my direction.  Q. How did it feel that you were not given the chance to defend yourself against those allegations that were being made behind the scenes until yesterday?	2 3 4 5 6	involved hands-on and therefore as Commissioner I would not play a part in any of that. However, it is always, it depends on the style of the Chief Officer, whether you want to have briefings delivered to you
2 3 4 5 6 7	make it worse looking in my direction.  Q. How did it feel that you were not given the chance to defend yourself against those allegations that were being made behind the scenes until yesterday?  A. It's a question of insincerity on the part of	2 3 4 5 6 7	involved hands-on and therefore as Commissioner I would not play a part in any of that. However, it is always, it depends on the style of the Chief Officer, whether you want to have briefings delivered to you periodically or whether you want to go in and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	make it worse looking in my direction.  Q. How did it feel that you were not given the chance to defend yourself against those allegations that were being made behind the scenes until yesterday?  A. It's a question of insincerity on the part of the persons making those claims. Totally	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	involved hands-on and therefore as Commissioner I would not play a part in any of that. However, it is always, it depends on the style of the Chief Officer, whether you want to have briefings delivered to you periodically or whether you want to go in and dip into the detail or some of the detail and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	make it worse looking in my direction.  Q. How did it feel that you were not given the chance to defend yourself against those allegations that were being made behind the scenes until yesterday?  A. It's a question of insincerity on the part of the persons making those claims. Totally unfair. How can I respond to those matters	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	involved hands-on and therefore as Commissioner I would not play a part in any of that. However, it is always, it depends on the style of the Chief Officer, whether you want to have briefings delivered to you periodically or whether you want to go in and dip into the detail or some of the detail and just to get a flavour of how things are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	make it worse looking in my direction.  Q. How did it feel that you were not given the chance to defend yourself against those allegations that were being made behind the scenes until yesterday?  A. It's a question of insincerity on the part of the persons making those claims. Totally unfair. How can I respond to those matters when I wasn't even aware of them?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	involved hands-on and therefore as Commissioner I would not play a part in any of that. However, it is always, it depends on the style of the Chief Officer, whether you want to have briefings delivered to you periodically or whether you want to go in and dip into the detail or some of the detail and just to get a flavour of how things are manoeuvring, as encompassing as part of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	make it worse looking in my direction.  Q. How did it feel that you were not given the chance to defend yourself against those allegations that were being made behind the scenes until yesterday?  A. It's a question of insincerity on the part of the persons making those claims. Totally unfair. How can I respond to those matters when I wasn't even aware of them?  Q. I want to move on to your role as the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	involved hands-on and therefore as Commissioner I would not play a part in any of that. However, it is always, it depends on the style of the Chief Officer, whether you want to have briefings delivered to you periodically or whether you want to go in and dip into the detail or some of the detail and just to get a flavour of how things are manoeuvring, as encompassing as part of the governance and superintendence to satisfy
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	make it worse looking in my direction.  Q. How did it feel that you were not given the chance to defend yourself against those allegations that were being made behind the scenes until yesterday?  A. It's a question of insincerity on the part of the persons making those claims. Totally unfair. How can I respond to those matters when I wasn't even aware of them?  Q. I want to move on to your role as the Commissioner of Police, just to give a bit of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	involved hands-on and therefore as Commissioner I would not play a part in any of that. However, it is always, it depends on the style of the Chief Officer, whether you want to have briefings delivered to you periodically or whether you want to go in and dip into the detail or some of the detail and just to get a flavour of how things are manoeuvring, as encompassing as part of the governance and superintendence to satisfy your own appetite that things are working
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	make it worse looking in my direction.  Q. How did it feel that you were not given the chance to defend yourself against those allegations that were being made behind the scenes until yesterday?  A. It's a question of insincerity on the part of the persons making those claims. Totally unfair. How can I respond to those matters when I wasn't even aware of them?  Q. I want to move on to your role as the Commissioner of Police, just to give a bit of background to your evidence. At the time	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	involved hands-on and therefore as Commissioner I would not play a part in any of that. However, it is always, it depends on the style of the Chief Officer, whether you want to have briefings delivered to you periodically or whether you want to go in and dip into the detail or some of the detail and just to get a flavour of how things are manoeuvring, as encompassing as part of the governance and superintendence to satisfy your own appetite that things are working well. But that is not written in stone, or set in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	make it worse looking in my direction.  Q. How did it feel that you were not given the chance to defend yourself against those allegations that were being made behind the scenes until yesterday?  A. It's a question of insincerity on the part of the persons making those claims. Totally unfair. How can I respond to those matters when I wasn't even aware of them?  Q. I want to move on to your role as the Commissioner of Police, just to give a bit of background to your evidence. At the time you were Commissioner, roughly how many	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	involved hands-on and therefore as Commissioner I would not play a part in any of that. However, it is always, it depends on the style of the Chief Officer, whether you want to have briefings delivered to you periodically or whether you want to go in and dip into the detail or some of the detail and just to get a flavour of how things are manoeuvring, as encompassing as part of the governance and superintendence to satisfy your own appetite that things are working well. But that is not written in stone, or set in stone, rather. That is more the practices of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	make it worse looking in my direction.  Q. How did it feel that you were not given the chance to defend yourself against those allegations that were being made behind the scenes until yesterday?  A. It's a question of insincerity on the part of the persons making those claims. Totally unfair. How can I respond to those matters when I wasn't even aware of them?  Q. I want to move on to your role as the Commissioner of Police, just to give a bit of background to your evidence. At the time you were Commissioner, roughly how many officers were in the RGP?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	involved hands-on and therefore as Commissioner I would not play a part in any of that. However, it is always, it depends on the style of the Chief Officer, whether you want to have briefings delivered to you periodically or whether you want to go in and dip into the detail or some of the detail and just to get a flavour of how things are manoeuvring, as encompassing as part of the governance and superintendence to satisfy your own appetite that things are working well. But that is not written in stone, or set in stone, rather. That is more the practices of individual chief officers.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	make it worse looking in my direction.  Q. How did it feel that you were not given the chance to defend yourself against those allegations that were being made behind the scenes until yesterday?  A. It's a question of insincerity on the part of the persons making those claims. Totally unfair. How can I respond to those matters when I wasn't even aware of them?  Q. I want to move on to your role as the Commissioner of Police, just to give a bit of background to your evidence. At the time you were Commissioner, roughly how many officers were in the RGP?  A. 250 maybe, 250, 260.  Q. So there were about 250 or 260 officers under your command.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	involved hands-on and therefore as Commissioner I would not play a part in any of that. However, it is always, it depends on the style of the Chief Officer, whether you want to have briefings delivered to you periodically or whether you want to go in and dip into the detail or some of the detail and just to get a flavour of how things are manoeuvring, as encompassing as part of the governance and superintendence to satisfy your own appetite that things are working well. But that is not written in stone, or set in stone, rather. That is more the practices of individual chief officers. Q. You mentioned governance and superintendence. Those are words you also used yesterday. Would it be right to say that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	make it worse looking in my direction.  Q. How did it feel that you were not given the chance to defend yourself against those allegations that were being made behind the scenes until yesterday?  A. It's a question of insincerity on the part of the persons making those claims. Totally unfair. How can I respond to those matters when I wasn't even aware of them?  Q. I want to move on to your role as the Commissioner of Police, just to give a bit of background to your evidence. At the time you were Commissioner, roughly how many officers were in the RGP?  A. 250 maybe, 250, 260.  Q. So there were about 250 or 260 officers under your command.  A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	involved hands-on and therefore as Commissioner I would not play a part in any of that. However, it is always, it depends on the style of the Chief Officer, whether you want to have briefings delivered to you periodically or whether you want to go in and dip into the detail or some of the detail and just to get a flavour of how things are manoeuvring, as encompassing as part of the governance and superintendence to satisfy your own appetite that things are working well. But that is not written in stone, or set in stone, rather. That is more the practices of individual chief officers.  Q. You mentioned governance and superintendence. Those are words you also used yesterday. Would it be right to say that that really is about the Commissioner of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	make it worse looking in my direction.  Q. How did it feel that you were not given the chance to defend yourself against those allegations that were being made behind the scenes until yesterday?  A. It's a question of insincerity on the part of the persons making those claims. Totally unfair. How can I respond to those matters when I wasn't even aware of them?  Q. I want to move on to your role as the Commissioner of Police, just to give a bit of background to your evidence. At the time you were Commissioner, roughly how many officers were in the RGP?  A. 250 maybe, 250, 260.  Q. So there were about 250 or 260 officers under your command.  A. Yes.  Q. And can you help us with this? And if	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	involved hands-on and therefore as Commissioner I would not play a part in any of that. However, it is always, it depends on the style of the Chief Officer, whether you want to have briefings delivered to you periodically or whether you want to go in and dip into the detail or some of the detail and just to get a flavour of how things are manoeuvring, as encompassing as part of the governance and superintendence to satisfy your own appetite that things are working well. But that is not written in stone, or set in stone, rather. That is more the practices of individual chief officers. Q. You mentioned governance and superintendence. Those are words you also used yesterday. Would it be right to say that that really is about the Commissioner of Police taking a general overview of things
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	make it worse looking in my direction.  Q. How did it feel that you were not given the chance to defend yourself against those allegations that were being made behind the scenes until yesterday?  A. It's a question of insincerity on the part of the persons making those claims. Totally unfair. How can I respond to those matters when I wasn't even aware of them?  Q. I want to move on to your role as the Commissioner of Police, just to give a bit of background to your evidence. At the time you were Commissioner, roughly how many officers were in the RGP?  A. 250 maybe, 250, 260.  Q. So there were about 250 or 260 officers under your command.  A. Yes.  Q. And can you help us with this? And if you cannot help us that is fine. How many	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	involved hands-on and therefore as Commissioner I would not play a part in any of that. However, it is always, it depends on the style of the Chief Officer, whether you want to have briefings delivered to you periodically or whether you want to go in and dip into the detail or some of the detail and just to get a flavour of how things are manoeuvring, as encompassing as part of the governance and superintendence to satisfy your own appetite that things are working well. But that is not written in stone, or set in stone, rather. That is more the practices of individual chief officers. Q. You mentioned governance and superintendence. Those are words you also used yesterday. Would it be right to say that that really is about the Commissioner of Police taking a general overview of things but not getting involved in the nitty-gritty?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	make it worse looking in my direction.  Q. How did it feel that you were not given the chance to defend yourself against those allegations that were being made behind the scenes until yesterday?  A. It's a question of insincerity on the part of the persons making those claims. Totally unfair. How can I respond to those matters when I wasn't even aware of them?  Q. I want to move on to your role as the Commissioner of Police, just to give a bit of background to your evidence. At the time you were Commissioner, roughly how many officers were in the RGP?  A. 250 maybe, 250, 260.  Q. So there were about 250 or 260 officers under your command.  A. Yes.  Q. And can you help us with this? And if you cannot help us that is fine. How many investigations would be ongoing at any given	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	involved hands-on and therefore as Commissioner I would not play a part in any of that. However, it is always, it depends on the style of the Chief Officer, whether you want to have briefings delivered to you periodically or whether you want to go in and dip into the detail or some of the detail and just to get a flavour of how things are manoeuvring, as encompassing as part of the governance and superintendence to satisfy your own appetite that things are working well. But that is not written in stone, or set in stone, rather. That is more the practices of individual chief officers.  Q. You mentioned governance and superintendence. Those are words you also used yesterday. Would it be right to say that that really is about the Commissioner of Police taking a general overview of things but not getting involved in the nitty-gritty?  A. It does, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	make it worse looking in my direction.  Q. How did it feel that you were not given the chance to defend yourself against those allegations that were being made behind the scenes until yesterday?  A. It's a question of insincerity on the part of the persons making those claims. Totally unfair. How can I respond to those matters when I wasn't even aware of them?  Q. I want to move on to your role as the Commissioner of Police, just to give a bit of background to your evidence. At the time you were Commissioner, roughly how many officers were in the RGP?  A. 250 maybe, 250, 260.  Q. So there were about 250 or 260 officers under your command.  A. Yes.  Q. And can you help us with this? And if you cannot help us that is fine. How many investigations would be ongoing at any given time?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	involved hands-on and therefore as Commissioner I would not play a part in any of that. However, it is always, it depends on the style of the Chief Officer, whether you want to have briefings delivered to you periodically or whether you want to go in and dip into the detail or some of the detail and just to get a flavour of how things are manoeuvring, as encompassing as part of the governance and superintendence to satisfy your own appetite that things are working well. But that is not written in stone, or set in stone, rather. That is more the practices of individual chief officers. Q. You mentioned governance and superintendence. Those are words you also used yesterday. Would it be right to say that that really is about the Commissioner of Police taking a general overview of things but not getting involved in the nitty-gritty?  A. It does, yes. Q. Did you have other duties as
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	make it worse looking in my direction.  Q. How did it feel that you were not given the chance to defend yourself against those allegations that were being made behind the scenes until yesterday?  A. It's a question of insincerity on the part of the persons making those claims. Totally unfair. How can I respond to those matters when I wasn't even aware of them?  Q. I want to move on to your role as the Commissioner of Police, just to give a bit of background to your evidence. At the time you were Commissioner, roughly how many officers were in the RGP?  A. 250 maybe, 250, 260.  Q. So there were about 250 or 260 officers under your command.  A. Yes.  Q. And can you help us with this? And if you cannot help us that is fine. How many investigations would be ongoing at any given time?  A. I wouldn't be able to do so. I wouldn't be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	involved hands-on and therefore as Commissioner I would not play a part in any of that. However, it is always, it depends on the style of the Chief Officer, whether you want to have briefings delivered to you periodically or whether you want to go in and dip into the detail or some of the detail and just to get a flavour of how things are manoeuvring, as encompassing as part of the governance and superintendence to satisfy your own appetite that things are working well. But that is not written in stone, or set in stone, rather. That is more the practices of individual chief officers. Q. You mentioned governance and superintendence. Those are words you also used yesterday. Would it be right to say that that really is about the Commissioner of Police taking a general overview of things but not getting involved in the nitty-gritty?  A. It does, yes. Q. Did you have other duties as Commissioner apart from superintendence
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	make it worse looking in my direction.  Q. How did it feel that you were not given the chance to defend yourself against those allegations that were being made behind the scenes until yesterday?  A. It's a question of insincerity on the part of the persons making those claims. Totally unfair. How can I respond to those matters when I wasn't even aware of them?  Q. I want to move on to your role as the Commissioner of Police, just to give a bit of background to your evidence. At the time you were Commissioner, roughly how many officers were in the RGP?  A. 250 maybe, 250, 260.  Q. So there were about 250 or 260 officers under your command.  A. Yes.  Q. And can you help us with this? And if you cannot help us that is fine. How many investigations would be ongoing at any given time?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	involved hands-on and therefore as Commissioner I would not play a part in any of that. However, it is always, it depends on the style of the Chief Officer, whether you want to have briefings delivered to you periodically or whether you want to go in and dip into the detail or some of the detail and just to get a flavour of how things are manoeuvring, as encompassing as part of the governance and superintendence to satisfy your own appetite that things are working well. But that is not written in stone, or set in stone, rather. That is more the practices of individual chief officers. Q. You mentioned governance and superintendence. Those are words you also used yesterday. Would it be right to say that that really is about the Commissioner of Police taking a general overview of things but not getting involved in the nitty-gritty?  A. It does, yes. Q. Did you have other duties as
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	make it worse looking in my direction.  Q. How did it feel that you were not given the chance to defend yourself against those allegations that were being made behind the scenes until yesterday?  A. It's a question of insincerity on the part of the persons making those claims. Totally unfair. How can I respond to those matters when I wasn't even aware of them?  Q. I want to move on to your role as the Commissioner of Police, just to give a bit of background to your evidence. At the time you were Commissioner, roughly how many officers were in the RGP?  A. 250 maybe, 250, 260.  Q. So there were about 250 or 260 officers under your command.  A. Yes.  Q. And can you help us with this? And if you cannot help us that is fine. How many investigations would be ongoing at any given time?  A. I wouldn't be able to do so. I wouldn't be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	involved hands-on and therefore as Commissioner I would not play a part in any of that. However, it is always, it depends on the style of the Chief Officer, whether you want to have briefings delivered to you periodically or whether you want to go in and dip into the detail or some of the detail and just to get a flavour of how things are manoeuvring, as encompassing as part of the governance and superintendence to satisfy your own appetite that things are working well. But that is not written in stone, or set in stone, rather. That is more the practices of individual chief officers. Q. You mentioned governance and superintendence. Those are words you also used yesterday. Would it be right to say that that really is about the Commissioner of Police taking a general overview of things but not getting involved in the nitty-gritty?  A. It does, yes. Q. Did you have other duties as Commissioner apart from superintendence

1	A. Yes, maintaining, ensuring value of many	1	county force has to contend with. So the
2	practices and efficient	2	and all the political issues around that. We
3	Q. Sorry, I did not catch that.	3	are bang in the middle of one of the busiest
4	A. Value ensuring that there was value for	4	routes for organised crime in terms of drug
5	many practices.	5	smuggling, people smuggling.
6	Q. Yes.	6	Q. Yes.
7	A. In terms of efficiency.	7	A. And in the days of Al-Qaeda, 16
8	Q. Would you be dealing with training of	8	kilometres away from here was an Al-Qaeda
9	officers, overseeing training of officers?	9	training camp. So you have to factor all that
10	A. Well, that would be encompassing in the	10	in. You compare it to Warwickshire Police
11	question of personnel development, and so	11	or Cambridgeshire Police, it's more they
12	forth.	12	are doing a more localised service, providing
13	Q. And	13	a more localised service, and we are
14	A. But not, I would never have a hands-on	14	providing more of a national because of the
15	there at all.	15	geography and the strategic location of
16	Q. And what about your duties as a sort of	16	Gibraltar.
17	public facing Commissioner, duties towards	17	Q. All those county police forces, if they
18	the public? Would you be talking to the	18	need to deal with counterterrorism issues
19	public at any time?	19	they will go to the Met, will they not?
20	A. Certainly. Public engagement does	20	A. Absolutely.
21	feature in part of the Commissioner's sort of	21	Q. SO15, they would not need to deal with it
22	responsibilities, yes.	22	themselves.
23	Q. And also engagement with the	23	A. Yes, absolutely.
24	individuals or the roles we have been	24	Q. But you have the whole package.
25	discussing at the inquiry, the Chief Minister,	25	A. We have the whole package.
	discussing at the inquity, the emerivament,	23	The tre have the trible package.
	Page 17		Page 19
1	the Governor	1	O Ves Turning now to On Delhi against
1	the Governor	1 2	Q. Yes. Turning now to Op Delhi, against
2	A. Officials, certainly. That's part of our	2	that background. You said in your evidence
2 3	<ul><li>A. Officials, certainly. That's part of our</li><li>Q the DPP, the Attorney General.</li></ul>	2 3	that background. You said in your evidence that you had a satellite view of Op Delhi.
2 3 4	<ul> <li>A. Officials, certainly. That's part of our</li> <li>Q the DPP, the Attorney General.</li> <li>A. Yes. In fact, when I, I seem to recall,</li> </ul>	2 3 4	that background. You said in your evidence that you had a satellite view of Op Delhi. What did you mean by that?
2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>A. Officials, certainly. That's part of our</li> <li>Q the DPP, the Attorney General.</li> <li>A. Yes. In fact, when I, I seem to recall, when I got appointed I did the rounds,</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5	that background. You said in your evidence that you had a satellite view of Op Delhi. What did you mean by that?  A. That I didn't know the detail. I knew
2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>A. Officials, certainly. That's part of our</li> <li>Q the DPP, the Attorney General.</li> <li>A. Yes. In fact, when I, I seem to recall, when I got appointed I did the rounds, introducing myself to government ministers</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6	that background. You said in your evidence that you had a satellite view of Op Delhi. What did you mean by that?  A. That I didn't know the detail. I knew some of the detail. I knew the seriousness
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>A. Officials, certainly. That's part of our</li> <li>Q the DPP, the Attorney General.</li> <li>A. Yes. In fact, when I, I seem to recall, when I got appointed I did the rounds, introducing myself to government ministers individually.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	that background. You said in your evidence that you had a satellite view of Op Delhi. What did you mean by that?  A. That I didn't know the detail. I knew some of the detail. I knew the seriousness definitely, which is what attracted me to keep
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>A. Officials, certainly. That's part of our</li> <li>Q the DPP, the Attorney General.</li> <li>A. Yes. In fact, when I, I seem to recall, when I got appointed I did the rounds, introducing myself to government ministers individually.</li> <li>Q. How does policing in Gibraltar compare</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	that background. You said in your evidence that you had a satellite view of Op Delhi. What did you mean by that?  A. That I didn't know the detail. I knew some of the detail. I knew the seriousness definitely, which is what attracted me to keep an interest in it. We are talking about
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A. Officials, certainly. That's part of our</li> <li>Q the DPP, the Attorney General.</li> <li>A. Yes. In fact, when I, I seem to recall, when I got appointed I did the rounds, introducing myself to government ministers individually.</li> <li>Q. How does policing in Gibraltar compare to, say, a county police force in the UK?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	that background. You said in your evidence that you had a satellite view of Op Delhi. What did you mean by that?  A. That I didn't know the detail. I knew some of the detail. I knew the seriousness definitely, which is what attracted me to keep an interest in it. We are talking about a sabotage of a national security platform that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Officials, certainly. That's part of our Q the DPP, the Attorney General. A. Yes. In fact, when I, I seem to recall, when I got appointed I did the rounds, introducing myself to government ministers individually. Q. How does policing in Gibraltar compare to, say, a county police force in the UK? And are there any unusual aspects?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	that background. You said in your evidence that you had a satellite view of Op Delhi. What did you mean by that?  A. That I didn't know the detail. I knew some of the detail. I knew the seriousness definitely, which is what attracted me to keep an interest in it. We are talking about a sabotage of a national security platform that provides security to Gibraltar, which was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>A. Officials, certainly. That's part of our</li> <li>Q the DPP, the Attorney General.</li> <li>A. Yes. In fact, when I, I seem to recall, when I got appointed I did the rounds, introducing myself to government ministers individually.</li> <li>Q. How does policing in Gibraltar compare to, say, a county police force in the UK?</li> <li>And are there any unusual aspects?</li> <li>A. The small territory that Gibraltar is, but it</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	that background. You said in your evidence that you had a satellite view of Op Delhi. What did you mean by that?  A. That I didn't know the detail. I knew some of the detail. I knew the seriousness definitely, which is what attracted me to keep an interest in it. We are talking about a sabotage of a national security platform that provides security to Gibraltar, which was severely assaulted and compromised, putting
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. Officials, certainly. That's part of our Q the DPP, the Attorney General. A. Yes. In fact, when I, I seem to recall, when I got appointed I did the rounds, introducing myself to government ministers individually. Q. How does policing in Gibraltar compare to, say, a county police force in the UK? And are there any unusual aspects? A. The small territory that Gibraltar is, but it is in geopolitical or strategic location, puts	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	that background. You said in your evidence that you had a satellite view of Op Delhi. What did you mean by that?  A. That I didn't know the detail. I knew some of the detail. I knew the seriousness definitely, which is what attracted me to keep an interest in it. We are talking about a sabotage of a national security platform that provides security to Gibraltar, which was severely assaulted and compromised, putting at risk the people of Gibraltar and those
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Officials, certainly. That's part of our — Q. — the DPP, the Attorney General. A. Yes. In fact, when I, I seem to recall, when I got appointed I did the rounds, introducing myself to government ministers individually. Q. How does policing in Gibraltar compare to, say, a county police force in the UK? And are there any unusual aspects? A. The small territory that Gibraltar is, but it is in geopolitical or strategic location, puts a lot of demand on a police service the size	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	that background. You said in your evidence that you had a satellite view of Op Delhi. What did you mean by that?  A. That I didn't know the detail. I knew some of the detail. I knew the seriousness definitely, which is what attracted me to keep an interest in it. We are talking about a sabotage of a national security platform that provides security to Gibraltar, which was severely assaulted and compromised, putting at risk the people of Gibraltar and those visiting Gibraltar. You cannot diminish in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. Officials, certainly. That's part of our — Q. — the DPP, the Attorney General. A. Yes. In fact, when I, I seem to recall, when I got appointed I did the rounds, introducing myself to government ministers individually. Q. How does policing in Gibraltar compare to, say, a county police force in the UK? And are there any unusual aspects? A. The small territory that Gibraltar is, but it is in geopolitical or strategic location, puts a lot of demand on a police service the size of Gibraltar. Although we are probably	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	that background. You said in your evidence that you had a satellite view of Op Delhi. What did you mean by that?  A. That I didn't know the detail. I knew some of the detail. I knew the seriousness definitely, which is what attracted me to keep an interest in it. We are talking about a sabotage of a national security platform that provides security to Gibraltar, which was severely assaulted and compromised, putting at risk the people of Gibraltar and those visiting Gibraltar. You cannot diminish in the slightest of terms the importance of this.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Officials, certainly. That's part of our Q the DPP, the Attorney General. A. Yes. In fact, when I, I seem to recall, when I got appointed I did the rounds, introducing myself to government ministers individually. Q. How does policing in Gibraltar compare to, say, a county police force in the UK? And are there any unusual aspects? A. The small territory that Gibraltar is, but it is in geopolitical or strategic location, puts a lot of demand on a police service the size of Gibraltar. Although we are probably smaller in number in terms of police officers	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	that background. You said in your evidence that you had a satellite view of Op Delhi. What did you mean by that?  A. That I didn't know the detail. I knew some of the detail. I knew the seriousness definitely, which is what attracted me to keep an interest in it. We are talking about a sabotage of a national security platform that provides security to Gibraltar, which was severely assaulted and compromised, putting at risk the people of Gibraltar and those visiting Gibraltar. You cannot diminish in the slightest of terms the importance of this.  Q. I will ask you about the seriousness in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Officials, certainly. That's part of our — Q. — the DPP, the Attorney General. A. Yes. In fact, when I, I seem to recall, when I got appointed I did the rounds, introducing myself to government ministers individually. Q. How does policing in Gibraltar compare to, say, a county police force in the UK? And are there any unusual aspects? A. The small territory that Gibraltar is, but it is in geopolitical or strategic location, puts a lot of demand on a police service the size of Gibraltar. Although we are probably smaller in number in terms of police officers than any home county police force in the UK,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	that background. You said in your evidence that you had a satellite view of Op Delhi. What did you mean by that?  A. That I didn't know the detail. I knew some of the detail. I knew the seriousness definitely, which is what attracted me to keep an interest in it. We are talking about a sabotage of a national security platform that provides security to Gibraltar, which was severely assaulted and compromised, putting at risk the people of Gibraltar and those visiting Gibraltar. You cannot diminish in the slightest of terms the importance of this. Q. I will ask you about the seriousness in a moment. You also said you had strategic
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Officials, certainly. That's part of our — Q. — the DPP, the Attorney General. A. Yes. In fact, when I, I seem to recall, when I got appointed I did the rounds, introducing myself to government ministers individually. Q. How does policing in Gibraltar compare to, say, a county police force in the UK? And are there any unusual aspects? A. The small territory that Gibraltar is, but it is in geopolitical or strategic location, puts a lot of demand on a police service the size of Gibraltar. Although we are probably smaller in number in terms of police officers than any home county police force in the UK, the demands and the responsibilities tasked	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	that background. You said in your evidence that you had a satellite view of Op Delhi. What did you mean by that?  A. That I didn't know the detail. I knew some of the detail. I knew the seriousness definitely, which is what attracted me to keep an interest in it. We are talking about a sabotage of a national security platform that provides security to Gibraltar, which was severely assaulted and compromised, putting at risk the people of Gibraltar and those visiting Gibraltar. You cannot diminish in the slightest of terms the importance of this.  Q. I will ask you about the seriousness in a moment. You also said you had strategic involvement in the investigation. Is that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Officials, certainly. That's part of our — Q. — the DPP, the Attorney General. A. Yes. In fact, when I, I seem to recall, when I got appointed I did the rounds, introducing myself to government ministers individually. Q. How does policing in Gibraltar compare to, say, a county police force in the UK? And are there any unusual aspects? A. The small territory that Gibraltar is, but it is in geopolitical or strategic location, puts a lot of demand on a police service the size of Gibraltar. Although we are probably smaller in number in terms of police officers than any home county police force in the UK, the demands and the responsibilities tasked to the Gibraltar Police far exceed perhaps	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that background. You said in your evidence that you had a satellite view of Op Delhi. What did you mean by that?  A. That I didn't know the detail. I knew some of the detail. I knew the seriousness definitely, which is what attracted me to keep an interest in it. We are talking about a sabotage of a national security platform that provides security to Gibraltar, which was severely assaulted and compromised, putting at risk the people of Gibraltar and those visiting Gibraltar. You cannot diminish in the slightest of terms the importance of this. Q. I will ask you about the seriousness in a moment. You also said you had strategic involvement in the investigation. Is that different to operational involvement?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Officials, certainly. That's part of our Q the DPP, the Attorney General. A. Yes. In fact, when I, I seem to recall, when I got appointed I did the rounds, introducing myself to government ministers individually. Q. How does policing in Gibraltar compare to, say, a county police force in the UK? And are there any unusual aspects? A. The small territory that Gibraltar is, but it is in geopolitical or strategic location, puts a lot of demand on a police service the size of Gibraltar. Although we are probably smaller in number in terms of police officers than any home county police force in the UK, the demands and the responsibilities tasked to the Gibraltar Police far exceed perhaps even the smallest of county forces, the likes	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that background. You said in your evidence that you had a satellite view of Op Delhi. What did you mean by that?  A. That I didn't know the detail. I knew some of the detail. I knew the seriousness definitely, which is what attracted me to keep an interest in it. We are talking about a sabotage of a national security platform that provides security to Gibraltar, which was severely assaulted and compromised, putting at risk the people of Gibraltar and those visiting Gibraltar. You cannot diminish in the slightest of terms the importance of this. Q. I will ask you about the seriousness in a moment. You also said you had strategic involvement in the investigation. Is that different to operational involvement?  A. Yes. I wouldn't describe it as strategic. I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Officials, certainly. That's part of our — Q. — the DPP, the Attorney General. A. Yes. In fact, when I, I seem to recall, when I got appointed I did the rounds, introducing myself to government ministers individually. Q. How does policing in Gibraltar compare to, say, a county police force in the UK? And are there any unusual aspects? A. The small territory that Gibraltar is, but it is in geopolitical or strategic location, puts a lot of demand on a police service the size of Gibraltar. Although we are probably smaller in number in terms of police officers than any home county police force in the UK, the demands and the responsibilities tasked to the Gibraltar Police far exceed perhaps even the smallest of county forces, the likes of perhaps Warwickshire, who has in itself	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	that background. You said in your evidence that you had a satellite view of Op Delhi. What did you mean by that?  A. That I didn't know the detail. I knew some of the detail. I knew the seriousness definitely, which is what attracted me to keep an interest in it. We are talking about a sabotage of a national security platform that provides security to Gibraltar, which was severely assaulted and compromised, putting at risk the people of Gibraltar and those visiting Gibraltar. You cannot diminish in the slightest of terms the importance of this. Q. I will ask you about the seriousness in a moment. You also said you had strategic involvement in the investigation. Is that different to operational involvement?  A. Yes. I wouldn't describe it as strategic. I was from a strategic position, but not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Officials, certainly. That's part of our — Q. — the DPP, the Attorney General. A. Yes. In fact, when I, I seem to recall, when I got appointed I did the rounds, introducing myself to government ministers individually. Q. How does policing in Gibraltar compare to, say, a county police force in the UK? And are there any unusual aspects? A. The small territory that Gibraltar is, but it is in geopolitical or strategic location, puts a lot of demand on a police service the size of Gibraltar. Although we are probably smaller in number in terms of police officers than any home county police force in the UK, the demands and the responsibilities tasked to the Gibraltar Police far exceed perhaps even the smallest of county forces, the likes of perhaps Warwickshire, who has in itself probably ten or 15 times larger the number of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	that background. You said in your evidence that you had a satellite view of Op Delhi. What did you mean by that?  A. That I didn't know the detail. I knew some of the detail. I knew the seriousness definitely, which is what attracted me to keep an interest in it. We are talking about a sabotage of a national security platform that provides security to Gibraltar, which was severely assaulted and compromised, putting at risk the people of Gibraltar and those visiting Gibraltar. You cannot diminish in the slightest of terms the importance of this.  Q. I will ask you about the seriousness in a moment. You also said you had strategic involvement in the investigation. Is that different to operational involvement?  A. Yes. I wouldn't describe it as strategic. I was from a strategic position, but not featuring in the strategic decisions relating to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Officials, certainly. That's part of our — Q. — the DPP, the Attorney General. A. Yes. In fact, when I, I seem to recall, when I got appointed I did the rounds, introducing myself to government ministers individually. Q. How does policing in Gibraltar compare to, say, a county police force in the UK? And are there any unusual aspects? A. The small territory that Gibraltar is, but it is in geopolitical or strategic location, puts a lot of demand on a police service the size of Gibraltar. Although we are probably smaller in number in terms of police officers than any home county police force in the UK, the demands and the responsibilities tasked to the Gibraltar Police far exceed perhaps even the smallest of county forces, the likes of perhaps Warwickshire, who has in itself probably ten or 15 times larger the number of officers. But we carry out a metropolitan	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	that background. You said in your evidence that you had a satellite view of Op Delhi. What did you mean by that?  A. That I didn't know the detail. I knew some of the detail. I knew the seriousness definitely, which is what attracted me to keep an interest in it. We are talking about a sabotage of a national security platform that provides security to Gibraltar, which was severely assaulted and compromised, putting at risk the people of Gibraltar and those visiting Gibraltar. You cannot diminish in the slightest of terms the importance of this.  Q. I will ask you about the seriousness in a moment. You also said you had strategic involvement in the investigation. Is that different to operational involvement?  A. Yes. I wouldn't describe it as strategic. I was from a strategic position, but not featuring in the strategic decisions relating to Delhi. That was dealt with by
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Officials, certainly. That's part of our — Q. — the DPP, the Attorney General. A. Yes. In fact, when I, I seem to recall, when I got appointed I did the rounds, introducing myself to government ministers individually. Q. How does policing in Gibraltar compare to, say, a county police force in the UK? And are there any unusual aspects? A. The small territory that Gibraltar is, but it is in geopolitical or strategic location, puts a lot of demand on a police service the size of Gibraltar. Although we are probably smaller in number in terms of police officers than any home county police force in the UK, the demands and the responsibilities tasked to the Gibraltar Police far exceed perhaps even the smallest of county forces, the likes of perhaps Warwickshire, who has in itself probably ten or 15 times larger the number of officers. But we carry out a metropolitan style of policing because we have an airport,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that background. You said in your evidence that you had a satellite view of Op Delhi. What did you mean by that?  A. That I didn't know the detail. I knew some of the detail. I knew the seriousness definitely, which is what attracted me to keep an interest in it. We are talking about a sabotage of a national security platform that provides security to Gibraltar, which was severely assaulted and compromised, putting at risk the people of Gibraltar and those visiting Gibraltar. You cannot diminish in the slightest of terms the importance of this. Q. I will ask you about the seriousness in a moment. You also said you had strategic involvement in the investigation. Is that different to operational involvement?  A. Yes. I wouldn't describe it as strategic. I was from a strategic position, but not featuring in the strategic decisions relating to Delhi. That was dealt with by
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. Officials, certainly. That's part of our — Q. — the DPP, the Attorney General. A. Yes. In fact, when I, I seem to recall, when I got appointed I did the rounds, introducing myself to government ministers individually. Q. How does policing in Gibraltar compare to, say, a county police force in the UK? And are there any unusual aspects? A. The small territory that Gibraltar is, but it is in geopolitical or strategic location, puts a lot of demand on a police service the size of Gibraltar. Although we are probably smaller in number in terms of police officers than any home county police force in the UK, the demands and the responsibilities tasked to the Gibraltar Police far exceed perhaps even the smallest of county forces, the likes of perhaps Warwickshire, who has in itself probably ten or 15 times larger the number of officers. But we carry out a metropolitan style of policing because we have an airport, a border and a seaport, international waters,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	that background. You said in your evidence that you had a satellite view of Op Delhi. What did you mean by that?  A. That I didn't know the detail. I knew some of the detail. I knew the seriousness definitely, which is what attracted me to keep an interest in it. We are talking about a sabotage of a national security platform that provides security to Gibraltar, which was severely assaulted and compromised, putting at risk the people of Gibraltar and those visiting Gibraltar. You cannot diminish in the slightest of terms the importance of this. Q. I will ask you about the seriousness in a moment. You also said you had strategic involvement in the investigation. Is that different to operational involvement?  A. Yes. I wouldn't describe it as strategic. I was from a strategic position, but not featuring in the strategic decisions relating to Delhi. That was dealt with by  Mr Richardson, but I had strategic oversight of that and how it impacted in daily business
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Officials, certainly. That's part of our — Q. — the DPP, the Attorney General. A. Yes. In fact, when I, I seem to recall, when I got appointed I did the rounds, introducing myself to government ministers individually. Q. How does policing in Gibraltar compare to, say, a county police force in the UK? And are there any unusual aspects? A. The small territory that Gibraltar is, but it is in geopolitical or strategic location, puts a lot of demand on a police service the size of Gibraltar. Although we are probably smaller in number in terms of police officers than any home county police force in the UK, the demands and the responsibilities tasked to the Gibraltar Police far exceed perhaps even the smallest of county forces, the likes of perhaps Warwickshire, who has in itself probably ten or 15 times larger the number of officers. But we carry out a metropolitan style of policing because we have an airport,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that background. You said in your evidence that you had a satellite view of Op Delhi. What did you mean by that?  A. That I didn't know the detail. I knew some of the detail. I knew the seriousness definitely, which is what attracted me to keep an interest in it. We are talking about a sabotage of a national security platform that provides security to Gibraltar, which was severely assaulted and compromised, putting at risk the people of Gibraltar and those visiting Gibraltar. You cannot diminish in the slightest of terms the importance of this. Q. I will ask you about the seriousness in a moment. You also said you had strategic involvement in the investigation. Is that different to operational involvement?  A. Yes. I wouldn't describe it as strategic. I was from a strategic position, but not featuring in the strategic decisions relating to Delhi. That was dealt with by
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. Officials, certainly. That's part of our — Q. — the DPP, the Attorney General. A. Yes. In fact, when I, I seem to recall, when I got appointed I did the rounds, introducing myself to government ministers individually. Q. How does policing in Gibraltar compare to, say, a county police force in the UK? And are there any unusual aspects? A. The small territory that Gibraltar is, but it is in geopolitical or strategic location, puts a lot of demand on a police service the size of Gibraltar. Although we are probably smaller in number in terms of police officers than any home county police force in the UK, the demands and the responsibilities tasked to the Gibraltar Police far exceed perhaps even the smallest of county forces, the likes of perhaps Warwickshire, who has in itself probably ten or 15 times larger the number of officers. But we carry out a metropolitan style of policing because we have an airport, a border and a seaport, international waters,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	that background. You said in your evidence that you had a satellite view of Op Delhi. What did you mean by that?  A. That I didn't know the detail. I knew some of the detail. I knew the seriousness definitely, which is what attracted me to keep an interest in it. We are talking about a sabotage of a national security platform that provides security to Gibraltar, which was severely assaulted and compromised, putting at risk the people of Gibraltar and those visiting Gibraltar. You cannot diminish in the slightest of terms the importance of this. Q. I will ask you about the seriousness in a moment. You also said you had strategic involvement in the investigation. Is that different to operational involvement?  A. Yes. I wouldn't describe it as strategic. I was from a strategic position, but not featuring in the strategic decisions relating to Delhi. That was dealt with by  Mr Richardson, but I had strategic oversight of that and how it impacted in daily business

1	and so forth. I was alive to that.	1	operational decisions in Op Delhi?
2	SIR PETER CARUANA: I am going to rise	2	A. I have plain confidence, yes.
3	to suggest that Mr McGrail's answer to the	3	Q. And was the decision to apply for
4	previous question by Mr Wagner transcends	4	a search warrant against Mr Levy one which
5	the line into	5	was taken by the investigative team?
6	THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, we must be very	6	A. It was.
7	careful that we do not walk into a minefield,	7	Q. Did you have any involvement with the
8	I completely agree.	8	drafting of the national decision model
9	SIR PETER CARUANA: I have not risen	9	documents?
10	before	10	A. I had no involvement whatsoever.
11	THE CHAIRMAN: No.	11	Q. The drafting of the charging advice?
12	SIR PETER CARUANA: but his answer	12	A. None whatsoever.
13	about the effect of the his reference to	13	Q. The drafting of the plan for action to be
14	sabotage I find, reference to seriousness,	14	taken against Mr Levy?
15	which is what Mr Wagner is going to ask him	15	A. No, none whatsoever.
16	about in a moment are fine. But the things	16	Q. The drafting of the application for the
17	that he said about the attacks and the	17	warrants?
18	possibility it is close to the line. I just urge	18	A. No.
19	caution if you	19	Q. Making the application for the warrant to
20	THE CHAIRMAN: Yes.	20	the magistrates?
21	MR SANTOS: I think a decision has to be	21	A. Certainly not.
22	made soon as to whether to stop the live	22	Q. Were you at either of the two meetings
23	stream in respect of that response.	23	where the DPP was consulted by the
24	THE CHAIRMAN: Do you propose to	24	investigative team?
25	pursue the matter further, Mr Wagner?	25	A. No, I wasn't.
	Page 21		Page 23
	1 agc 21		1 agc 23
1	MD WACNED. N. 4 41 41 C'.	1	
1	MR WAGNER: Not on the issues that Sir	1	Q. I am going to ask you about seriousness
2	Peter is concerned by, no.	2	of Op Delhi and I am going to be very
	Peter is concerned by, no. THE CHAIRMAN: Right. His concern, you	2 3	of Op Delhi and I am going to be very careful about not treading into the forbidden
2 3 4	Peter is concerned by, no. THE CHAIRMAN: Right. His concern, you understand his concern I am sure.	2 3 4	of Op Delhi and I am going to be very careful about not treading into the forbidden lands.
2 3 4 5	Peter is concerned by, no. THE CHAIRMAN: Right. His concern, you understand his concern I am sure. MR WAGNER: I do.	2 3 4 5	of Op Delhi and I am going to be very careful about not treading into the forbidden lands.  SIR PETER CARUANA: Just if I could,
2 3 4 5 6	Peter is concerned by, no. THE CHAIRMAN: Right. His concern, you understand his concern I am sure. MR WAGNER: I do. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay.	2 3 4 5 6	of Op Delhi and I am going to be very careful about not treading into the forbidden lands.  SIR PETER CARUANA: Just if I could, sorry to interrupt, Mr Wagner, just to be
2 3 4 5 6 7	Peter is concerned by, no. THE CHAIRMAN: Right. His concern, you understand his concern I am sure. MR WAGNER: I do. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. MR WAGNER: I mean, I did not ask the	2 3 4 5 6 7	of Op Delhi and I am going to be very careful about not treading into the forbidden lands.  SIR PETER CARUANA: Just if I could, sorry to interrupt, Mr Wagner, just to be clear, he is free to do so but not in open
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Peter is concerned by, no. THE CHAIRMAN: Right. His concern, you understand his concern I am sure. MR WAGNER: I do. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. MR WAGNER: I mean, I did not ask the question actually.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	of Op Delhi and I am going to be very careful about not treading into the forbidden lands.  SIR PETER CARUANA: Just if I could, sorry to interrupt, Mr Wagner, just to be clear, he is free to do so but not in open session.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Peter is concerned by, no. THE CHAIRMAN: Right. His concern, you understand his concern I am sure. MR WAGNER: I do. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. MR WAGNER: I mean, I did not ask the question actually. MR SANTOS: It is a matter for the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	of Op Delhi and I am going to be very careful about not treading into the forbidden lands.  SIR PETER CARUANA: Just if I could, sorry to interrupt, Mr Wagner, just to be clear, he is free to do so but not in open session.  THE CHAIRMAN: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Peter is concerned by, no. THE CHAIRMAN: Right. His concern, you understand his concern I am sure. MR WAGNER: I do. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. MR WAGNER: I mean, I did not ask the question actually. MR SANTOS: It is a matter for the government, I think, whether it wishes for us	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	of Op Delhi and I am going to be very careful about not treading into the forbidden lands.  SIR PETER CARUANA: Just if I could, sorry to interrupt, Mr Wagner, just to be clear, he is free to do so but not in open session.  THE CHAIRMAN: Yes.  SIR PETER CARUANA: I just want to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Peter is concerned by, no. THE CHAIRMAN: Right. His concern, you understand his concern I am sure. MR WAGNER: I do. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. MR WAGNER: I mean, I did not ask the question actually. MR SANTOS: It is a matter for the government, I think, whether it wishes for us to adopt that caution.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	of Op Delhi and I am going to be very careful about not treading into the forbidden lands.  SIR PETER CARUANA: Just if I could, sorry to interrupt, Mr Wagner, just to be clear, he is free to do so but not in open session.  THE CHAIRMAN: Yes.  SIR PETER CARUANA: I just want to make it clear that he understands, it is not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Peter is concerned by, no. THE CHAIRMAN: Right. His concern, you understand his concern I am sure. MR WAGNER: I do. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. MR WAGNER: I mean, I did not ask the question actually. MR SANTOS: It is a matter for the government, I think, whether it wishes for us to adopt that caution. SIR PETER CARUANA: No, I am not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	of Op Delhi and I am going to be very careful about not treading into the forbidden lands.  SIR PETER CARUANA: Just if I could, sorry to interrupt, Mr Wagner, just to be clear, he is free to do so but not in open session.  THE CHAIRMAN: Yes.  SIR PETER CARUANA: I just want to make it clear that he understands, it is not that he cannot, but we would have to go later
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Peter is concerned by, no. THE CHAIRMAN: Right. His concern, you understand his concern I am sure. MR WAGNER: I do. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. MR WAGNER: I mean, I did not ask the question actually. MR SANTOS: It is a matter for the government, I think, whether it wishes for us to adopt that caution. SIR PETER CARUANA: No, I am not making that request on this occasion, but in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	of Op Delhi and I am going to be very careful about not treading into the forbidden lands.  SIR PETER CARUANA: Just if I could, sorry to interrupt, Mr Wagner, just to be clear, he is free to do so but not in open session.  THE CHAIRMAN: Yes.  SIR PETER CARUANA: I just want to make it clear that he understands, it is not that he cannot, but we would have to go later into close.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Peter is concerned by, no. THE CHAIRMAN: Right. His concern, you understand his concern I am sure. MR WAGNER: I do. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. MR WAGNER: I mean, I did not ask the question actually. MR SANTOS: It is a matter for the government, I think, whether it wishes for us to adopt that caution. SIR PETER CARUANA: No, I am not making that request on this occasion, but in order to avoid having to make it, I will just	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	of Op Delhi and I am going to be very careful about not treading into the forbidden lands.  SIR PETER CARUANA: Just if I could, sorry to interrupt, Mr Wagner, just to be clear, he is free to do so but not in open session.  THE CHAIRMAN: Yes.  SIR PETER CARUANA: I just want to make it clear that he understands, it is not that he cannot, but we would have to go later into close.  MR WAGNER: I agree with that, with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Peter is concerned by, no. THE CHAIRMAN: Right. His concern, you understand his concern I am sure. MR WAGNER: I do. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. MR WAGNER: I mean, I did not ask the question actually. MR SANTOS: It is a matter for the government, I think, whether it wishes for us to adopt that caution. SIR PETER CARUANA: No, I am not making that request on this occasion, but in order to avoid having to make it, I will just urge	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	of Op Delhi and I am going to be very careful about not treading into the forbidden lands.  SIR PETER CARUANA: Just if I could, sorry to interrupt, Mr Wagner, just to be clear, he is free to do so but not in open session.  THE CHAIRMAN: Yes.  SIR PETER CARUANA: I just want to make it clear that he understands, it is not that he cannot, but we would have to go later into close.  MR WAGNER: I agree with that, with respect. A number of witnesses have already
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Peter is concerned by, no. THE CHAIRMAN: Right. His concern, you understand his concern I am sure. MR WAGNER: I do. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. MR WAGNER: I mean, I did not ask the question actually. MR SANTOS: It is a matter for the government, I think, whether it wishes for us to adopt that caution. SIR PETER CARUANA: No, I am not making that request on this occasion, but in order to avoid having to make it, I will just urge THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, exactly. What you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	of Op Delhi and I am going to be very careful about not treading into the forbidden lands.  SIR PETER CARUANA: Just if I could, sorry to interrupt, Mr Wagner, just to be clear, he is free to do so but not in open session.  THE CHAIRMAN: Yes.  SIR PETER CARUANA: I just want to make it clear that he understands, it is not that he cannot, but we would have to go later into close.  MR WAGNER: I agree with that, with respect. A number of witnesses have already discussed seriousness. What I am not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Peter is concerned by, no.  THE CHAIRMAN: Right. His concern, you understand his concern I am sure.  MR WAGNER: I do.  THE CHAIRMAN: Okay.  MR WAGNER: I mean, I did not ask the question actually.  MR SANTOS: It is a matter for the government, I think, whether it wishes for us to adopt that caution.  SIR PETER CARUANA: No, I am not making that request on this occasion, but in order to avoid having to make it, I will just urge  THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, exactly. What you are doing is to urge caution. I think that is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	of Op Delhi and I am going to be very careful about not treading into the forbidden lands.  SIR PETER CARUANA: Just if I could, sorry to interrupt, Mr Wagner, just to be clear, he is free to do so but not in open session.  THE CHAIRMAN: Yes.  SIR PETER CARUANA: I just want to make it clear that he understands, it is not that he cannot, but we would have to go later into close.  MR WAGNER: I agree with that, with respect. A number of witnesses have already discussed seriousness. What I am not permitted to do is to delve into the specific
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Peter is concerned by, no.  THE CHAIRMAN: Right. His concern, you understand his concern I am sure.  MR WAGNER: I do.  THE CHAIRMAN: Okay.  MR WAGNER: I mean, I did not ask the question actually.  MR SANTOS: It is a matter for the government, I think, whether it wishes for us to adopt that caution.  SIR PETER CARUANA: No, I am not making that request on this occasion, but in order to avoid having to make it, I will just urge  THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, exactly. What you are doing is to urge caution. I think that is a very sensible course, if I may say so. You	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	of Op Delhi and I am going to be very careful about not treading into the forbidden lands.  SIR PETER CARUANA: Just if I could, sorry to interrupt, Mr Wagner, just to be clear, he is free to do so but not in open session.  THE CHAIRMAN: Yes.  SIR PETER CARUANA: I just want to make it clear that he understands, it is not that he cannot, but we would have to go later into close.  MR WAGNER: I agree with that, with respect. A number of witnesses have already discussed seriousness. What I am not permitted to do is to delve into the specific messages that are restricted and to describe
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Peter is concerned by, no. THE CHAIRMAN: Right. His concern, you understand his concern I am sure. MR WAGNER: I do. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. MR WAGNER: I mean, I did not ask the question actually. MR SANTOS: It is a matter for the government, I think, whether it wishes for us to adopt that caution. SIR PETER CARUANA: No, I am not making that request on this occasion, but in order to avoid having to make it, I will just urge THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, exactly. What you are doing is to urge caution. I think that is a very sensible course, if I may say so. You understand as well.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	of Op Delhi and I am going to be very careful about not treading into the forbidden lands.  SIR PETER CARUANA: Just if I could, sorry to interrupt, Mr Wagner, just to be clear, he is free to do so but not in open session.  THE CHAIRMAN: Yes.  SIR PETER CARUANA: I just want to make it clear that he understands, it is not that he cannot, but we would have to go later into close.  MR WAGNER: I agree with that, with respect. A number of witnesses have already discussed seriousness. What I am not permitted to do is to delve into the specific messages that are restricted and to describe the concern over the messages. So I will ask
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Peter is concerned by, no. THE CHAIRMAN: Right. His concern, you understand his concern I am sure. MR WAGNER: I do. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. MR WAGNER: I mean, I did not ask the question actually. MR SANTOS: It is a matter for the government, I think, whether it wishes for us to adopt that caution. SIR PETER CARUANA: No, I am not making that request on this occasion, but in order to avoid having to make it, I will just urge THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, exactly. What you are doing is to urge caution. I think that is a very sensible course, if I may say so. You understand as well.  A. I do.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	of Op Delhi and I am going to be very careful about not treading into the forbidden lands.  SIR PETER CARUANA: Just if I could, sorry to interrupt, Mr Wagner, just to be clear, he is free to do so but not in open session.  THE CHAIRMAN: Yes.  SIR PETER CARUANA: I just want to make it clear that he understands, it is not that he cannot, but we would have to go later into close.  MR WAGNER: I agree with that, with respect. A number of witnesses have already discussed seriousness. What I am not permitted to do is to delve into the specific messages that are restricted and to describe the concern over the messages. So I will ask the questions, if I may, and I will not talk at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Peter is concerned by, no. THE CHAIRMAN: Right. His concern, you understand his concern I am sure. MR WAGNER: I do. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. MR WAGNER: I mean, I did not ask the question actually. MR SANTOS: It is a matter for the government, I think, whether it wishes for us to adopt that caution. SIR PETER CARUANA: No, I am not making that request on this occasion, but in order to avoid having to make it, I will just urge THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, exactly. What you are doing is to urge caution. I think that is a very sensible course, if I may say so. You understand as well.  A. I do. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	of Op Delhi and I am going to be very careful about not treading into the forbidden lands.  SIR PETER CARUANA: Just if I could, sorry to interrupt, Mr Wagner, just to be clear, he is free to do so but not in open session.  THE CHAIRMAN: Yes.  SIR PETER CARUANA: I just want to make it clear that he understands, it is not that he cannot, but we would have to go later into close.  MR WAGNER: I agree with that, with respect. A number of witnesses have already discussed seriousness. What I am not permitted to do is to delve into the specific messages that are restricted and to describe the concern over the messages. So I will ask the questions, if I may, and I will not talk at all about the kinds of issues which the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Peter is concerned by, no. THE CHAIRMAN: Right. His concern, you understand his concern I am sure. MR WAGNER: I do. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. MR WAGNER: I mean, I did not ask the question actually. MR SANTOS: It is a matter for the government, I think, whether it wishes for us to adopt that caution. SIR PETER CARUANA: No, I am not making that request on this occasion, but in order to avoid having to make it, I will just urge THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, exactly. What you are doing is to urge caution. I think that is a very sensible course, if I may say so. You understand as well.  A. I do. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	of Op Delhi and I am going to be very careful about not treading into the forbidden lands.  SIR PETER CARUANA: Just if I could, sorry to interrupt, Mr Wagner, just to be clear, he is free to do so but not in open session.  THE CHAIRMAN: Yes.  SIR PETER CARUANA: I just want to make it clear that he understands, it is not that he cannot, but we would have to go later into close.  MR WAGNER: I agree with that, with respect. A number of witnesses have already discussed seriousness. What I am not permitted to do is to delve into the specific messages that are restricted and to describe the concern over the messages. So I will ask the questions, if I may, and I will not talk at all about the kinds of issues which the government has restricted and if there are any
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Peter is concerned by, no. THE CHAIRMAN: Right. His concern, you understand his concern I am sure. MR WAGNER: I do. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. MR WAGNER: I mean, I did not ask the question actually. MR SANTOS: It is a matter for the government, I think, whether it wishes for us to adopt that caution. SIR PETER CARUANA: No, I am not making that request on this occasion, but in order to avoid having to make it, I will just urge THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, exactly. What you are doing is to urge caution. I think that is a very sensible course, if I may say so. You understand as well.  A. I do. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. A. Yes. MR WAGNER: Mr McGrail, in relation to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	of Op Delhi and I am going to be very careful about not treading into the forbidden lands.  SIR PETER CARUANA: Just if I could, sorry to interrupt, Mr Wagner, just to be clear, he is free to do so but not in open session.  THE CHAIRMAN: Yes.  SIR PETER CARUANA: I just want to make it clear that he understands, it is not that he cannot, but we would have to go later into close.  MR WAGNER: I agree with that, with respect. A number of witnesses have already discussed seriousness. What I am not permitted to do is to delve into the specific messages that are restricted and to describe the concern over the messages. So I will ask the questions, if I may, and I will not talk at all about the kinds of issues which the government has restricted and if there are any concerns I am very happy to stop and go into
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Peter is concerned by, no. THE CHAIRMAN: Right. His concern, you understand his concern I am sure. MR WAGNER: I do. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. MR WAGNER: I mean, I did not ask the question actually. MR SANTOS: It is a matter for the government, I think, whether it wishes for us to adopt that caution. SIR PETER CARUANA: No, I am not making that request on this occasion, but in order to avoid having to make it, I will just urge THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, exactly. What you are doing is to urge caution. I think that is a very sensible course, if I may say so. You understand as well.  A. I do. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. A. Yes. MR WAGNER: Mr McGrail, in relation to Op Delhi, would it be fair to say that you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	of Op Delhi and I am going to be very careful about not treading into the forbidden lands.  SIR PETER CARUANA: Just if I could, sorry to interrupt, Mr Wagner, just to be clear, he is free to do so but not in open session.  THE CHAIRMAN: Yes.  SIR PETER CARUANA: I just want to make it clear that he understands, it is not that he cannot, but we would have to go later into close.  MR WAGNER: I agree with that, with respect. A number of witnesses have already discussed seriousness. What I am not permitted to do is to delve into the specific messages that are restricted and to describe the concern over the messages. So I will ask the questions, if I may, and I will not talk at all about the kinds of issues which the government has restricted and if there are any concerns I am very happy to stop and go into closed.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Peter is concerned by, no. THE CHAIRMAN: Right. His concern, you understand his concern I am sure. MR WAGNER: I do. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. MR WAGNER: I mean, I did not ask the question actually. MR SANTOS: It is a matter for the government, I think, whether it wishes for us to adopt that caution. SIR PETER CARUANA: No, I am not making that request on this occasion, but in order to avoid having to make it, I will just urge THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, exactly. What you are doing is to urge caution. I think that is a very sensible course, if I may say so. You understand as well.  A. I do. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. A. Yes. MR WAGNER: Mr McGrail, in relation to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	of Op Delhi and I am going to be very careful about not treading into the forbidden lands.  SIR PETER CARUANA: Just if I could, sorry to interrupt, Mr Wagner, just to be clear, he is free to do so but not in open session.  THE CHAIRMAN: Yes.  SIR PETER CARUANA: I just want to make it clear that he understands, it is not that he cannot, but we would have to go later into close.  MR WAGNER: I agree with that, with respect. A number of witnesses have already discussed seriousness. What I am not permitted to do is to delve into the specific messages that are restricted and to describe the concern over the messages. So I will ask the questions, if I may, and I will not talk at all about the kinds of issues which the government has restricted and if there are any concerns I am very happy to stop and go into
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Peter is concerned by, no. THE CHAIRMAN: Right. His concern, you understand his concern I am sure. MR WAGNER: I do. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. MR WAGNER: I mean, I did not ask the question actually. MR SANTOS: It is a matter for the government, I think, whether it wishes for us to adopt that caution. SIR PETER CARUANA: No, I am not making that request on this occasion, but in order to avoid having to make it, I will just urge THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, exactly. What you are doing is to urge caution. I think that is a very sensible course, if I may say so. You understand as well.  A. I do. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. A. Yes. MR WAGNER: Mr McGrail, in relation to Op Delhi, would it be fair to say that you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	of Op Delhi and I am going to be very careful about not treading into the forbidden lands.  SIR PETER CARUANA: Just if I could, sorry to interrupt, Mr Wagner, just to be clear, he is free to do so but not in open session.  THE CHAIRMAN: Yes.  SIR PETER CARUANA: I just want to make it clear that he understands, it is not that he cannot, but we would have to go later into close.  MR WAGNER: I agree with that, with respect. A number of witnesses have already discussed seriousness. What I am not permitted to do is to delve into the specific messages that are restricted and to describe the concern over the messages. So I will ask the questions, if I may, and I will not talk at all about the kinds of issues which the government has restricted and if there are any concerns I am very happy to stop and go into closed.

6 (Pages 21 to 24)

1 agree with what he says there. My point was 1 Q. And Mr Levy, as we know, is a senior 2 simply to mention to him that if he did want 2 lawyer, one of Gibraltar's most senior 3 3 to stray into those areas that he says he is not lawyers. Is that right? 4 4 going to stray into, he can do so, albeit not in A. That's correct. 5 open session. 5 Q. Mr Perez had, I think, been a Lieutenant 6 6 Colonel in the army. MR WAGNER: Of course I am aware of 7 7 that. Mr McGrail, so what I am not going to A. He had been the Commanding Officer of 8 ask you about is the extent of any outages or 8 the Royal Gibraltar Regiment yes. 9 whether there was one outage or more than 9 Q. He had been the Commanding Officer. 10 one outage. I am not going to ask you about 10 And then there were the individuals who 11 those questions and I do not want you to give 11 would potentially have benefited financially 12 me any information about that. I want to ask 12 if the contract had been transferred to 36 13 you about the risk level to Gibraltar. How do 13 North as partners of Hassans. That included 14 14 you explain --Albert Mena, who was the Financial 15 Secretary at the time. Is that right? 15 THE CHAIRMAN: I am going to stop this. 16 16 I think this is inappropriate that these A. Indeed. 17 questions are asked in public. 17 Q. And of course Mr Picardo, who was the 18 MR SANTOS: I think if we are going to 18 Chief Minister. 19 19 pursue this line of question --A. That's right. 20 20 THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. Q. Did all of that add an extra layer of 21 MR SANTOS: -- I think we are going to 21 sensitivity which was not generally the case 22 22 in other investigations? have to go into private. 23 THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. 23 A. That is evident. That was, all the roads 24 24 MR SANTOS: I would suggest that we were pointing in that direction and that 25 25 leave this for the end of the -generated that sensitivity evidently. Page 25 Page 27 1 THE CHAIRMAN: Once the mine is 1 Q. To your knowledge, did any member of 2 exposed it is too late. Yes. 2 the government ever exclude themselves 3 3 from taking decisions relating to 36 North or MR WAGNER: May I ask in this way, 4 4 the Op Delhi investigation because they were because --5 5 THE CHAIRMAN: No. If you are going to potential financial beneficiaries of 36 North? 6 pursue this topic, you can do so --6 A. Not to my knowledge. 7 7 MR SANTOS: We must vacate the --Q. And so presumably as far as you know 8 8 THE CHAIRMAN: -- but you must do so in neither Mr Picardo or Mr Mena ever 9 9 private session. excluded themselves in some way. 10 10 MR SANTOS: And any discussion on the A. Not to my knowledge. 11 topic I think should be done in an empty --11 Q. And so when you wrote to the 12 THE CHAIRMAN: By identifying what you 12 government to request clarity on the 13 ownership issue, as far as you were 13 are not going to say in fact that has precisely the same effect. So just leave this alone. concerned, Mr Picardo and Mr Mena were 14 14 15 15 MR WAGNER: All right. I will not ask still involved in those discussions around 16 16 about that topic in open. Is it right to say that who owned the platform. 17 the Op Delhi investigation was not just 17 A. The government were making a claim serious but also highly sensitive because of 18 18 that they were the owners of the intellectual 19 19 the people who were potentially implicated? property rights, but, um, the response I got 20 20 A. Indeed it was, yes. was not ... from Mr Mena was that, if my 21 21 Q. And we have heard that Mr Sanchez, who memory served me right, is that he didn't 22 22 was one of the suspects, was a senior civil think that his communication with the police 23 23 servant. Is that right? in terms of what the police were asking him 24 24 to clarify was proper for him to do. A. I believe he held the post of Principal 25 25 Secretary to the deputy Chief Minister. (10.30)Page 26 Page 28

1	Q. Did the Attorney General, in the many	1	such a conversation?
2	discussions you had with him, ever raise the	2	A. No, I don't have any notes of that
3	Chief Minister's own involvement in setting	3	conversation other than those that my
4	up 36 North, and its general involvement in	4	recollections go to the notes of the 12th,
5	events, with you in your discussions?	5	where I put all those concerns. That's my
6	A. I raised it with him	6	only reference, sir. I don't know whether Mr
7	Q. You raised it.	7	Richardson has notes of that, if he was
8	A as a matter of conc as a matter of	8	present. I'm not - I'm not sure.
9	concern: look, this company structure's	9	THE CHAIRMAN: Well, he has no
10	supporting, or owning, 36 North exposed the	10	recollection of any such conversation.
11	Chief Minister, the Financial Secretary	11	MR WAGNER: Apart from the 7th.
12	Q. Now	12	THE CHAIRMAN: Apart from the 7th, and
13	A the - there was another minister, I	13	Mr Sanchez.
14	believe, and a member of the opposition, too.	14	MR WAGNER: Yes. So, may I continue?
15	As partners of Hassans. But, it was a - a	15	THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, yes.
16	concern that I shared with him, and he	16	Q. So in relation to sensitivity, you took a
17	confirmed that he was aware of that, that it	17	number of steps to try and preserve
18	concerned him, but he had asked me that:	18	confidentiality. I just want to discuss those
19	look, I'm going to be - that he was going to	19	with you briefly. Mr Richardson in his
20	be dealing with it	20	evidence said that when he became senior
21	Q. Okay.	21	investigating officer he say, "I had at the time
22	A himself.	22	superintendence of the investigation. Mr
23	Q. Dealing with it himself, what do you	23	McGrail had wanted the investigation to be
24	mean by that?	24	kept as confidential as possible, because of
25	A. That he would be addressing the matter	25	the implications that concern the national
			•
	Page 29		Page 31
1	himself with the individuals concerned, in -	1	security system." Do you remember saying
		1	became by stem. Do you remember saying
2	in terms of either	2	
	in terms of either		something like that to Mr Richardson?  A. Of course, of course.
2	in terms of either Q. Okay.	2	something like that to Mr Richardson?  A. Of course, of course.
2 3	in terms of either	2 3 4	something like that to Mr Richardson?
2 3 4	in terms of either Q. Okay. A declare, move out - move out of - of	2 3	<ul><li>something like that to Mr Richardson?</li><li>A. Of course, of course.</li><li>Q. And, why was it that you wanted to keep the matter confidential? Well, I suppose</li></ul>
2 3 4 5	in terms of either Q. Okay. A declare, move out - move out of - of the - of these structures, and Q. Yes.	2 3 4 5	something like that to Mr Richardson?  A. Of course, of course.  Q. And, why was it that you wanted to keep the matter confidential? Well, I suppose actually the previous question is: what did
2 3 4 5 6	in terms of either Q. Okay. A declare, move out - move out of - of the - of these structures, and Q. Yes. A and - or don't get involved. That's what	2 3 4 5 6	A. Of course, of course. Q. And, why was it that you wanted to keep the matter confidential? Well, I suppose actually the previous question is: what did you mean by confidential? Who did you
2 3 4 5 6 7	in terms of either Q. Okay. A declare, move out - move out of - of the - of these structures, and Q. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7	A. Of course, of course.  Q. And, why was it that you wanted to keep the matter confidential? Well, I suppose actually the previous question is: what did you mean by confidential? Who did you want to keep it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	in terms of either Q. Okay. A declare, move out - move out of - of the - of these structures, and Q. Yes. A and - or don't get involved. That's what I took from his saying that he was going to deal with it.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	something like that to Mr Richardson?  A. Of course, of course.  Q. And, why was it that you wanted to keep the matter confidential? Well, I suppose actually the previous question is: what did you mean by confidential? Who did you want to keep it  A. No normally
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	in terms of either Q. Okay. A declare, move out - move out of - of the - of these structures, and Q. Yes. A and - or don't get involved. That's what I took from his saying that he was going to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Of course, of course.  Q. And, why was it that you wanted to keep the matter confidential? Well, I suppose actually the previous question is: what did you mean by confidential? Who did you want to keep it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	in terms of either Q. Okay. A declare, move out - move out of - of the - of these structures, and Q. Yes. A and - or don't get involved. That's what I took from his saying that he was going to deal with it. Q. So, that sensitivity	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Of course, of course. Q. And, why was it that you wanted to keep the matter confidential? Well, I suppose actually the previous question is: what did you mean by confidential? Who did you want to keep it A. No normally Q confidential from?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	in terms of either Q. Okay. A declare, move out - move out of - of the - of these structures, and Q. Yes. A and - or don't get involved. That's what I took from his saying that he was going to deal with it. Q. So, that sensitivity THE CHAIRMAN: Can we just clear up:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. Of course, of course.  Q. And, why was it that you wanted to keep the matter confidential? Well, I suppose actually the previous question is: what did you mean by confidential? Who did you want to keep it  A. No normally Q confidential from?  A. There was con there's a reason - there
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	in terms of either Q. Okay. A declare, move out - move out of - of the - of these structures, and Q. Yes. A and - or don't get involved. That's what I took from his saying that he was going to deal with it. Q. So, that sensitivity THE CHAIRMAN: Can we just clear up: when you said you raised these matters with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. Of course, of course.  Q. And, why was it that you wanted to keep the matter confidential? Well, I suppose actually the previous question is: what did you mean by confidential? Who did you want to keep it  A. No normally Q confidential from?  A. There was con there's a reason - there was a reason to keep it confidential, and - at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	in terms of either Q. Okay. A declare, move out - move out of - of the - of these structures, and Q. Yes. A and - or don't get involved. That's what I took from his saying that he was going to deal with it. Q. So, that sensitivity THE CHAIRMAN: Can we just clear up: when you said you raised these matters with the Attorney General, where and when did	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Of course, of course.  Q. And, why was it that you wanted to keep the matter confidential? Well, I suppose actually the previous question is: what did you mean by confidential? Who did you want to keep it  A. No normally Q confidential from?  A. There was con there's a reason - there was a reason to keep it confidential, and - at many levels. Normally when crimes are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	in terms of either Q. Okay. A declare, move out - move out of - of the - of these structures, and Q. Yes. A and - or don't get involved. That's what I took from his saying that he was going to deal with it. Q. So, that sensitivity THE CHAIRMAN: Can we just clear up: when you said you raised these matters with the Attorney General, where and when did you do that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Of course, of course.  Q. And, why was it that you wanted to keep the matter confidential? Well, I suppose actually the previous question is: what did you mean by confidential? Who did you want to keep it  A. No normally Q confidential from?  A. There was con there's a reason - there was a reason to keep it confidential, and - at many levels. Normally when crimes are reported we - it's - the police sometimes
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	in terms of either Q. Okay. A declare, move out - move out of - of the - of these structures, and Q. Yes. A and - or don't get involved. That's what I took from his saying that he was going to deal with it. Q. So, that sensitivity THE CHAIRMAN: Can we just clear up: when you said you raised these matters with the Attorney General, where and when did you do that? A. It was one meeting that I had with him	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Of course, of course.  Q. And, why was it that you wanted to keep the matter confidential? Well, I suppose actually the previous question is: what did you mean by confidential? Who did you want to keep it  A. No normally Q confidential from?  A. There was con there's a reason - there was a reason to keep it confidential, and - at many levels. Normally when crimes are reported we - it's - the police sometimes come out and makes a media release to say
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	in terms of either Q. Okay. A declare, move out - move out of - of the - of these structures, and Q. Yes. A and - or don't get involved. That's what I took from his saying that he was going to deal with it. Q. So, that sensitivity THE CHAIRMAN: Can we just clear up: when you said you raised these matters with the Attorney General, where and when did you do that? A. It was one meeting that I had with him in the run-up to 12 May. I seem	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Of course, of course.  Q. And, why was it that you wanted to keep the matter confidential? Well, I suppose actually the previous question is: what did you mean by confidential? Who did you want to keep it  A. No normally Q confidential from?  A. There was con there's a reason - there was a reason to keep it confidential, and - at many levels. Normally when crimes are reported we - it's - the police sometimes come out and makes a media release to say that they're investigating even the most
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	in terms of either Q. Okay. A declare, move out - move out of - of the - of these structures, and Q. Yes. A and - or don't get involved. That's what I took from his saying that he was going to deal with it. Q. So, that sensitivity THE CHAIRMAN: Can we just clear up: when you said you raised these matters with the Attorney General, where and when did you do that? A. It was one meeting that I had with him in the run-up to 12 May. I seem THE CHAIRMAN: Wait a minute. When?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Of course, of course.  Q. And, why was it that you wanted to keep the matter confidential? Well, I suppose actually the previous question is: what did you mean by confidential? Who did you want to keep it  A. No-normally  Q confidential from?  A. There was con-there's a reason - there was a reason to keep it confidential, and - at many levels. Normally when crimes are reported we - it's - the police sometimes come out and makes a media release to say that they're investigating even the most serious of crimes that they're investigating,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	in terms of either Q. Okay. A declare, move out - move out of - of the - of these structures, and Q. Yes. A and - or don't get involved. That's what I took from his saying that he was going to deal with it. Q. So, that sensitivity THE CHAIRMAN: Can we just clear up: when you said you raised these matters with the Attorney General, where and when did you do that? A. It was one meeting that I had with him in the run-up to 12 May. I seem THE CHAIRMAN: Wait a minute. When? A. Where?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Of course, of course.  Q. And, why was it that you wanted to keep the matter confidential? Well, I suppose actually the previous question is: what did you mean by confidential? Who did you want to keep it  A. No normally  Q confidential from?  A. There was con there's a reason - there was a reason to keep it confidential, and - at many levels. Normally when crimes are reported we - it's - the police sometimes come out and makes a media release to say that they're investigating even the most serious of crimes that they're investigating, be it a murder or whatever. But, in this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	in terms of either Q. Okay. A declare, move out - move out of - of the - of these structures, and Q. Yes. A and - or don't get involved. That's what I took from his saying that he was going to deal with it. Q. So, that sensitivity THE CHAIRMAN: Can we just clear up: when you said you raised these matters with the Attorney General, where and when did you do that? A. It was one meeting that I had with him in the run-up to 12 May. I seem THE CHAIRMAN: Wait a minute. When? A. Where? THE CHAIRMAN: When.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Of course, of course. Q. And, why was it that you wanted to keep the matter confidential? Well, I suppose actually the previous question is: what did you mean by confidential? Who did you want to keep it A. No normally Q confidential from? A. There was con there's a reason - there was a reason to keep it confidential, and - at many levels. Normally when crimes are reported we - it's - the police sometimes come out and makes a media release to say that they're investigating even the most serious of crimes that they're investigating, be it a murder or whatever. But, in this occasion I asked that that was not going to be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	in terms of either Q. Okay. A declare, move out - move out of - of the - of these structures, and Q. Yes. A and - or don't get involved. That's what I took from his saying that he was going to deal with it. Q. So, that sensitivity THE CHAIRMAN: Can we just clear up: when you said you raised these matters with the Attorney General, where and when did you do that? A. It was one meeting that I had with him in the run-up to 12 May. I seem THE CHAIRMAN: Wait a minute. When? A. Where? THE CHAIRMAN: When. A. I've checked my notes, and there is no	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Of course, of course.  Q. And, why was it that you wanted to keep the matter confidential? Well, I suppose actually the previous question is: what did you mean by confidential? Who did you want to keep it  A. No normally Q confidential from?  A. There was con there's a reason - there was a reason to keep it confidential, and - at many levels. Normally when crimes are reported we - it's - the police sometimes come out and makes a media release to say that they're investigating even the most serious of crimes that they're investigating, be it a murder or whatever. But, in this occasion I asked that that was not going to be the case, to go out and say that we were
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	in terms of either Q. Okay. A declare, move out - move out of - of the - of these structures, and Q. Yes. A and - or don't get involved. That's what I took from his saying that he was going to deal with it. Q. So, that sensitivity THE CHAIRMAN: Can we just clear up: when you said you raised these matters with the Attorney General, where and when did you do that? A. It was one meeting that I had with him in the run-up to 12 May. I seem THE CHAIRMAN: Wait a minute. When? A. Where? THE CHAIRMAN: When. A. I've checked my notes, and there is no specific orientation there with regards to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Of course, of course.  Q. And, why was it that you wanted to keep the matter confidential? Well, I suppose actually the previous question is: what did you mean by confidential? Who did you want to keep it  A. No normally Q confidential from?  A. There was con there's a reason - there was a reason to keep it confidential, and - at many levels. Normally when crimes are reported we - it's - the police sometimes come out and makes a media release to say that they're investigating even the most serious of crimes that they're investigating, be it a murder or whatever. But, in this occasion I asked that that was not going to be the case, to go out and say that we were investigating an attack on the - on the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	in terms of either Q. Okay. A declare, move out - move out of - of the - of these structures, and Q. Yes. A and - or don't get involved. That's what I took from his saying that he was going to deal with it. Q. So, that sensitivity THE CHAIRMAN: Can we just clear up: when you said you raised these matters with the Attorney General, where and when did you do that? A. It was one meeting that I had with him in the run-up to 12 May. I seem THE CHAIRMAN: Wait a minute. When? A. Where? THE CHAIRMAN: When. A. I've checked my notes, and there is no specific orientation there with regards to dates, but it could potentially have been on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Of course, of course.  Q. And, why was it that you wanted to keep the matter confidential? Well, I suppose actually the previous question is: what did you mean by confidential? Who did you want to keep it  A. No-normally Q confidential from?  A. There was con-there's a reason - there was a reason to keep it confidential, and - at many levels. Normally when crimes are reported we - it's - the police sometimes come out and makes a media release to say that they're investigating even the most serious of crimes that they're investigating, be it a murder or whatever. But, in this occasion I asked that that was not going to be the case, to go out and say that we were investigating an attack on the - on the national security platform. And then,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	in terms of either Q. Okay. A declare, move out - move out of - of the - of these structures, and Q. Yes. A and - or don't get involved. That's what I took from his saying that he was going to deal with it. Q. So, that sensitivity THE CHAIRMAN: Can we just clear up: when you said you raised these matters with the Attorney General, where and when did you do that? A. It was one meeting that I had with him in the run-up to 12 May. I seem THE CHAIRMAN: Wait a minute. When? A. Where? THE CHAIRMAN: When. A. I've checked my notes, and there is no specific orientation there with regards to dates, but it could potentially have been on 7 April, it could have been one of the other	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Of course, of course.  Q. And, why was it that you wanted to keep the matter confidential? Well, I suppose actually the previous question is: what did you mean by confidential? Who did you want to keep it  A. No normally Q confidential from?  A. There was con there's a reason - there was a reason to keep it confidential, and - at many levels. Normally when crimes are reported we - it's - the police sometimes come out and makes a media release to say that they're investigating even the most serious of crimes that they're investigating, be it a murder or whatever. But, in this occasion I asked that that was not going to be the case, to go out and say that we were investigating an attack on the - on the national security platform. And then, because of the sensitivities around the matter,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	in terms of either Q. Okay. A declare, move out - move out of - of the - of these structures, and Q. Yes. A and - or don't get involved. That's what I took from his saying that he was going to deal with it. Q. So, that sensitivity THE CHAIRMAN: Can we just clear up: when you said you raised these matters with the Attorney General, where and when did you do that? A. It was one meeting that I had with him in the run-up to 12 May. I seem THE CHAIRMAN: Wait a minute. When? A. Where? THE CHAIRMAN: When. A. I've checked my notes, and there is no specific orientation there with regards to dates, but it could potentially have been on 7 April, it could have been one of the other previous meetings that - that I had with him. THE CHAIRMAN: But, you have no note of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. Of course, of course.  Q. And, why was it that you wanted to keep the matter confidential? Well, I suppose actually the previous question is: what did you mean by confidential? Who did you want to keep it  A. No normally Q confidential from?  A. There was con there's a reason - there was a reason to keep it confidential, and - at many levels. Normally when crimes are reported we - it's - the police sometimes come out and makes a media release to say that they're investigating even the most serious of crimes that they're investigating, be it a murder or whatever. But, in this occasion I asked that that was not going to be the case, to go out and say that we were investigating an attack on the - on the national security platform. And then, because of the sensitivities around the matter, I wanted the inner circle of those dealing with it to be as tight as possible, so as not to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	in terms of either Q. Okay. A declare, move out - move out of - of the - of these structures, and Q. Yes. A and - or don't get involved. That's what I took from his saying that he was going to deal with it. Q. So, that sensitivity THE CHAIRMAN: Can we just clear up: when you said you raised these matters with the Attorney General, where and when did you do that? A. It was one meeting that I had with him in the run-up to 12 May. I seem THE CHAIRMAN: Wait a minute. When? A. Where? THE CHAIRMAN: When. A. I've checked my notes, and there is no specific orientation there with regards to dates, but it could potentially have been on 7 April, it could have been one of the other previous meetings that - that I had with him.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. Of course, of course.  Q. And, why was it that you wanted to keep the matter confidential? Well, I suppose actually the previous question is: what did you mean by confidential? Who did you want to keep it  A. No normally Q confidential from?  A. There was con there's a reason - there was a reason to keep it confidential, and - at many levels. Normally when crimes are reported we - it's - the police sometimes come out and makes a media release to say that they're investigating even the most serious of crimes that they're investigating, be it a murder or whatever. But, in this occasion I asked that that was not going to be the case, to go out and say that we were investigating an attack on the - on the national security platform. And then, because of the sensitivities around the matter, I wanted the inner circle of those dealing

1 1 Mr Perez to discuss the plans before they set compromise the gathering of evidence or 2 2 whatever police activity was - was going to up 36 North? A. No, I was not aware at that point, no. 3 3 follow. 4 4 Q. Did you know at that time that the Chief Q. But it came to be, did it not (and, if we 5 could just put up C2221, please), it came to 5 Minister had had conversations with Mr 6 6 be that you decided on around 11 May to Perez and Mr Levy about Hassans investing 7 7 brief certain individuals on the investigation. in 36 North. 8 8 Just before you say anything, this here is the A. No, I wasn't - that was not prominent in 9 9 email inviting Mr Picardo, Mr Costa, Mr my information. 10 Llamas, Mr Mena, Mr Grech and Mr Rocca 10 Q. Did you --11 11 to a meeting. You said, "Yesterday we A. Had - had I known --12 carried out executive action on the three ex 12 Q. I will come to that, just let me finish what 13 Bland employees. They are now on police 13 you did know. Did you know at the time that 14 14 bail. Immediate inquiries post to our the Chief Minister had texted two of the 36 15 15 intervention have revealed issues of serious North founders wishing them luck, the day 16 16 concern, which I require to brief you on. before they resigned from Blands? 17 17 Please advise when we could meet, the A. No, I was not aware of that at the time. 18 sooner the better. I would also ask that the 18 Q. Did you know at the time that the Chief 19 request to meet is not shared with anyone 19 Minister had been regularly updated by Mr 20 20 other than those copied in this email. You Levy after 36 North was set up about its 21 have already, actually, discussed the reasons 21 progress? 22 for the meeting, so I am not going to ask you 22 A. I was not aware of that. I've only got to 23 for that. I just want to know why you asked 23 know --24 24 that the request to meet is not shared with Q. I am just asking you: at the time. Did 25 25 anyone other than those copied. you know at the time that the Chief Minister Page 33 Page 35 1 A. Again, one of the suspects was the 1 had been informed by Mr Levy in August 2 **Principal Secretary to the Deputy Minister** 2 2018 that Mr Cornelio would retain access to 3 3 who dealt with the matters in hand. Mr the NSCIS, despite telling Blands that he 4 Perez, Mr Cornelia and another individual, 4 would not have access? 5 5 Mr Vasquez, had been arrested previously, A. I came to know about that later, but at the 6 and the plan was to arrest Mr Sanchez, and to 6 time of that meeting I wasn't aware. Clearly, 7 7 obviously ex-- carry out searches, searches of not aware. 8 8 his office. I mean, we just felt it prudent that Q. Had you known those facts at the time --9 9 I needed to inform the - the - those officials A. That --10 10 in office that the police were - were going to Q. -- would you have also --11 be attending Government House, Number 6 11 A. I - can you ask - I am just rethinking on 12 Convent Place, and - and it was only prudent 12 the last point. 13 that I did so, and - but at the same time, we 13 Q. Yes. 14 didn't want any of this information to be 14 A. Whether - let me see if I understood your 15 15 leaked to - inadvertently or otherwise, to the question right. Whether the Chief Minister -16 16 person that we were going to be dealing with. whether I knew on 13 May 2019 --17 17 Q. Yes. So, you had decided to share some Q. Yes. 18 18 limited information --A. - whether the Chief Minister had 19 19 instructed that Mr Sanchez did-- was A. Yes. 20 Q. -- about the investigation with those 20 excluded from - from the - the platform. Is 21 individuals. Did you know at the time that 21 that - was that the question? 22 the Chief Minister had involvement in the 22 Q. Mr Cornelio. 23 23 setting up of 36 North? A. Oh, sorry, Mr Cornelio. 24 24 Q. Well, no, it was whether you knew that 25 25 Q. No. Did you know that he had met with Mr Levy had messaged the Chief Minister to

Page 34

Page 36

1	say: well, despite	1	on, I should not be in this meeting, I have
2	A. No, I did not know about that	2	had background in relation to 36 North.
3	Q. No, you did not know that.	3	A. No. What he said was that the Governor
4	A but I cannot be certain about	4	would be making - supporting a complaint on
5	knowing whether Mr	5	the matter. No, he never excluded himself in
6	Q. Yes.	6	that way.
7	A Picardo gave instructions to exclude	7	Q. And did either Mr Picardo, or indeed the
8	Mr Cornelio from access. I cannot be	8	Attorney General who was advising the
9	certain.	9	Government, approach you at any time
10	Q. That is a different point. If you had	10	afterwards and say words to the effect of: on
11	known those facts at the time, would you	11	reflection, because of Mr Picardo's
12	have invited the Chief Minister to this	12	involvement we cannot be involved in any
13	meeting?	13	more briefings of that kind.
14	A. That would have given me cause	14	A. No. It's In the - in the case of the
15	SIR PETER CARUANA: I am afraid my	15	Attorney General, which at the time I did not
16	learned friend is asking questions based on	16	think odd, it was that he would be inquisitive
17	The witness has said that he did not know,	17	in asking me questions about Delhi, and how
18	and now he is going to ask him the	18	things were going, and - and how evidence
19	hypothetical question of: what would have	19	was coming out, and expressing concerns.
	* *	20	
20 21	been his position if he had known. It is not the witness's evidence that he did know, this	20	<ul><li>Q. So, it was the opposite</li><li>A. Yes.</li></ul>
22	is just getting allegations out here. The	22	Q that in fact, he was (?) asking questions
23	witness has given no such evidence, and it is	23	about it. I want to now ask you about Mr
24	completely inappropriate for him to be asked	24	Levy's status as a person of interest. Just
25	what he might have done if he had known; he	25	turning to A274, please.
	Page 37		Page 39
1	did not know.	1	THE CHAIRMAN: Well, first of all: is there
2	THE CHAIRMAN: I do not agree; I think	2	such a status as a "person of interest"? Mr
3	the question is reasonable.	3	Richardson, or perhaps it was Mr Wyan, said
4	Q. So, I will ask the question again. If you	4	that there was not.
5	had known all of that background, would you	5	A. I - I don't tend to agree with him; I
6	have invited Mr Picardo to that meeting to	6	believe that there is. And - but Mr Wyan is -
7	brief him on what was happening in the	7	is app taking the approach of a - a more
8	investigation?	8	rigid approach, which sometimes in police
9	A. I think that would have caused me to	9	investigations works favourably, because you
10	rethink what I - what I would have imparted,	10	- you've got the boundaries really clearly set.
11	if anything, I would have imparted, or I	11	I don't necessarily agree with his not
12	would have gone around it a different way.	12	believing that there is the status of a person
13	Q. Yes.	13	of interest. I - I draw the analogy with:
14	A. The consideration was still there that I	14	there's heaven and there's hell, but in between
15	had - that the officers still had to go to	15	there's purgatory. And, there's some grey
16	Number 6, which is the office of the Chief	16	area around that individual that needs to be
17	Minister, albeit the Deputy Chief Minister, so	17	addressed.
18	I would have probably - it would have had a -	18	THE CHAIRMAN: Is this some
19	it certainly would have had a - a considerable	19	A. Analogy. Analogy between: there -
20	impact on the way I would have addressed	20	there's heaven and hell, and then there's
21	the matter, yes.	21	purgatory in between, and that's where Mr
22	Q. Yes. You may not remember this,	22	Levy potentially featured.
23	because it was five years ago, but do	23	Q. At that time?
23	remember if at any point in the meeting Mr	24	A. Yes.
25	Picardo said anything to the effect of: hold	25	Q. In 2019?
23	ricardo said anything to the cricet or, hold		y. m 2017:
	Page 38		Page 40

_			0. **
1	A. Yes.	1	Q. Yes.
2	Q. So, if we could just look at A274, please.	2	A in terms of
3	Here we go. And, paragraph 17 to 18. Now,	3	Q. Yes, but that had only been a couple of
4	we will obviously have to clarify with Mr	4	days earlier.
5	Llamas whether he was indeed at that	5	A. That's right.
6	meeting, but he gives an account of it. He	6	Q. Yes. So, the arrests and the obtaining of
7	says, "That meeting took place on 13 May	7	their devices had only happened, I think, on
8	2019. During the meeting Mr McGrail stated	8	the 10th.
9	that the investigation concerned the	9	A. Yes.
10	suspected hacking of the National Security	10	Q. And, this was five days later.
11	Central Intelligence System ('NSCIS	11	A. It could well be that the - the team had
12	platform')". You referred to the three	12	more knowledge about Mr Levy because of
13	suspects at the time, that Mr Sanchez was a	13	what had been communicated by the letter of
14	civil servant. If we could just scroll down to	14	complaint. I can't remember, it was a very
15	18, please. "Mr McGrail explained that the	15	long letter
16	case revealed that very serious failures had	16	Q. Yes.
17	occurred with regard to the operation", I will	17	A but I can't - if - if there's any reference
18	not read that out, "He also explained that the	18	to Mr Levy there, that maybe would have
19	investigation covered a company, '36 North	19	informed the investigating team's decisions.
20	Limited', formed by Messrs. Cornelio and	20	Q. But, I think it was also Mr Richardson's
21	Perez for the suspected purpose of taking	21	evidence that it was when they started
22	over from Bland Ltd the provision of the	22	looking at the devices they found more
23	NSCIS platform service to the Government.	23	correspondence
24	Mr McGrail confirmed that the law firm	24	A. I - I
25	'Hassans', the partners of which include the	25	Q between, I think he said something to
	Page 41		Page 43
1	CL: CMC : 4 1 11 1	,	
			the affect of true medical the autom of Ma
1	Chief Minister, held shares in that company.	1 2	the effect of: we realised the extent of Mr
2	He also said that Mr James Levy CBE QC,	2	Levy's involvement, because there were
2 3	He also said that Mr James Levy CBE QC, the senior partner of Hassans, was mentioned	2 3	Levy's involvement, because there were messages back and forth between him and
2 3 4	He also said that Mr James Levy CBE QC, the senior partner of Hassans, was mentioned in communications with the three suspects	2 3 4	Levy's involvement, because there were messages back and forth between him and the current suspects. Does that fit with what
2 3 4 5	He also said that Mr James Levy CBE QC, the senior partner of Hassans, was mentioned in communications with the three suspects and that he was potentially a person of	2 3 4 5	Levy's involvement, because there were messages back and forth between him and the current suspects. Does that fit with what you remember, as well?
2 3 4 5 6	He also said that Mr James Levy CBE QC, the senior partner of Hassans, was mentioned in communications with the three suspects and that he was potentially a person of interest to the investigation." So, first	2 3 4 5 6	Levy's involvement, because there were messages back and forth between him and the current suspects. Does that fit with what you remember, as well?  A. I can't be certain, a hundred percent.
2 3 4 5 6 7	He also said that Mr James Levy CBE QC, the senior partner of Hassans, was mentioned in communications with the three suspects and that he was potentially a person of interest to the investigation." So, first question is: does that accord with your	2 3 4 5 6 7	Levy's involvement, because there were messages back and forth between him and the current suspects. Does that fit with what you remember, as well?  A. I can't be certain, a hundred percent.  Q. No, because you were not directly
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	He also said that Mr James Levy CBE QC, the senior partner of Hassans, was mentioned in communications with the three suspects and that he was potentially a person of interest to the investigation." So, first question is: does that accord with your memory of the meeting?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Levy's involvement, because there were messages back and forth between him and the current suspects. Does that fit with what you remember, as well?  A. I can't be certain, a hundred percent.  Q. No, because you were not directly involved. Now, A233, and this is one of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	He also said that Mr James Levy CBE QC, the senior partner of Hassans, was mentioned in communications with the three suspects and that he was potentially a person of interest to the investigation." So, first question is: does that accord with your memory of the meeting?  A. It does, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Levy's involvement, because there were messages back and forth between him and the current suspects. Does that fit with what you remember, as well?  A. I can't be certain, a hundred percent.  Q. No, because you were not directly involved. Now, A233, and this is one of the Chief Minister's statements, paragraph two to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	He also said that Mr James Levy CBE QC, the senior partner of Hassans, was mentioned in communications with the three suspects and that he was potentially a person of interest to the investigation." So, first question is: does that accord with your memory of the meeting?  A. It does, yes.  Q. And, whether or not 'person of interest' is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Levy's involvement, because there were messages back and forth between him and the current suspects. Does that fit with what you remember, as well?  A. I can't be certain, a hundred percent.  Q. No, because you were not directly involved. Now, A233, and this is one of the Chief Minister's statements, paragraph two to three, please. Oh, sorry, must be the page
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	He also said that Mr James Levy CBE QC, the senior partner of Hassans, was mentioned in communications with the three suspects and that he was potentially a person of interest to the investigation." So, first question is: does that accord with your memory of the meeting?  A. It does, yes.  Q. And, whether or not 'person of interest' is a formal status, it is something that seems to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Levy's involvement, because there were messages back and forth between him and the current suspects. Does that fit with what you remember, as well?  A. I can't be certain, a hundred percent.  Q. No, because you were not directly involved. Now, A233, and this is one of the Chief Minister's statements, paragraph two to three, please. Oh, sorry, must be the page before. And if we go, sorry, further down.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	He also said that Mr James Levy CBE QC, the senior partner of Hassans, was mentioned in communications with the three suspects and that he was potentially a person of interest to the investigation." So, first question is: does that accord with your memory of the meeting?  A. It does, yes.  Q. And, whether or not 'person of interest' is a formal status, it is something that seems to have been mentioned by you at that meeting.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Levy's involvement, because there were messages back and forth between him and the current suspects. Does that fit with what you remember, as well?  A. I can't be certain, a hundred percent.  Q. No, because you were not directly involved. Now, A233, and this is one of the Chief Minister's statements, paragraph two to three, please. Oh, sorry, must be the page before. And if we go, sorry, further down. Yes, so at paragraph five it says, "I recall that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	He also said that Mr James Levy CBE QC, the senior partner of Hassans, was mentioned in communications with the three suspects and that he was potentially a person of interest to the investigation." So, first question is: does that accord with your memory of the meeting?  A. It does, yes.  Q. And, whether or not 'person of interest' is a formal status, it is something that seems to have been mentioned by you at that meeting.  A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Levy's involvement, because there were messages back and forth between him and the current suspects. Does that fit with what you remember, as well?  A. I can't be certain, a hundred percent.  Q. No, because you were not directly involved. Now, A233, and this is one of the Chief Minister's statements, paragraph two to three, please. Oh, sorry, must be the page before. And if we go, sorry, further down. Yes, so at paragraph five it says, "I recall that I discussed with Mr James Levy KC on a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	He also said that Mr James Levy CBE QC, the senior partner of Hassans, was mentioned in communications with the three suspects and that he was potentially a person of interest to the investigation." So, first question is: does that accord with your memory of the meeting?  A. It does, yes.  Q. And, whether or not 'person of interest' is a formal status, it is something that seems to have been mentioned by you at that meeting.  A. Yes.  Q. Now, if you can help us with this then do,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Levy's involvement, because there were messages back and forth between him and the current suspects. Does that fit with what you remember, as well?  A. I can't be certain, a hundred percent.  Q. No, because you were not directly involved. Now, A233, and this is one of the Chief Minister's statements, paragraph two to three, please. Oh, sorry, must be the page before. And if we go, sorry, further down. Yes, so at paragraph five it says, "I recall that I discussed with Mr James Levy KC on a very large number of occasions the fact that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	He also said that Mr James Levy CBE QC, the senior partner of Hassans, was mentioned in communications with the three suspects and that he was potentially a person of interest to the investigation." So, first question is: does that accord with your memory of the meeting?  A. It does, yes.  Q. And, whether or not 'person of interest' is a formal status, it is something that seems to have been mentioned by you at that meeting.  A. Yes.  Q. Now, if you can help us with this then do, but if you cannot then do not. When you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Levy's involvement, because there were messages back and forth between him and the current suspects. Does that fit with what you remember, as well?  A. I can't be certain, a hundred percent.  Q. No, because you were not directly involved. Now, A233, and this is one of the Chief Minister's statements, paragraph two to three, please. Oh, sorry, must be the page before. And if we go, sorry, further down. Yes, so at paragraph five it says, "I recall that I discussed with Mr James Levy KC on a very large number of occasions the fact that the RGP had suggested that he might be a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	He also said that Mr James Levy CBE QC, the senior partner of Hassans, was mentioned in communications with the three suspects and that he was potentially a person of interest to the investigation." So, first question is: does that accord with your memory of the meeting?  A. It does, yes. Q. And, whether or not 'person of interest' is a formal status, it is something that seems to have been mentioned by you at that meeting.  A. Yes. Q. Now, if you can help us with this then do, but if you cannot then do not. When you informed the meeting of Mr Levy's status, on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Levy's involvement, because there were messages back and forth between him and the current suspects. Does that fit with what you remember, as well?  A. I can't be certain, a hundred percent.  Q. No, because you were not directly involved. Now, A233, and this is one of the Chief Minister's statements, paragraph two to three, please. Oh, sorry, must be the page before. And if we go, sorry, further down. Yes, so at paragraph five it says, "I recall that I discussed with Mr James Levy KC on a very large number of occasions the fact that the RGP had suggested that he might be a person of interest in the investigation. He
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	He also said that Mr James Levy CBE QC, the senior partner of Hassans, was mentioned in communications with the three suspects and that he was potentially a person of interest to the investigation." So, first question is: does that accord with your memory of the meeting?  A. It does, yes.  Q. And, whether or not 'person of interest' is a formal status, it is something that seems to have been mentioned by you at that meeting.  A. Yes.  Q. Now, if you can help us with this then do, but if you cannot then do not. When you informed the meeting of Mr Levy's status, on 13 May, do you know how long the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Levy's involvement, because there were messages back and forth between him and the current suspects. Does that fit with what you remember, as well?  A. I can't be certain, a hundred percent.  Q. No, because you were not directly involved. Now, A233, and this is one of the Chief Minister's statements, paragraph two to three, please. Oh, sorry, must be the page before. And if we go, sorry, further down. Yes, so at paragraph five it says, "I recall that I discussed with Mr James Levy KC on a very large number of occasions the fact that the RGP had suggested that he might be a person of interest in the investigation. He raised this with me constantly when I spoke
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	He also said that Mr James Levy CBE QC, the senior partner of Hassans, was mentioned in communications with the three suspects and that he was potentially a person of interest to the investigation." So, first question is: does that accord with your memory of the meeting?  A. It does, yes.  Q. And, whether or not 'person of interest' is a formal status, it is something that seems to have been mentioned by you at that meeting.  A. Yes.  Q. Now, if you can help us with this then do, but if you cannot then do not. When you informed the meeting of Mr Levy's status, on 13 May, do you know how long the investigators had been looking into Mr	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Levy's involvement, because there were messages back and forth between him and the current suspects. Does that fit with what you remember, as well?  A. I can't be certain, a hundred percent.  Q. No, because you were not directly involved. Now, A233, and this is one of the Chief Minister's statements, paragraph two to three, please. Oh, sorry, must be the page before. And if we go, sorry, further down. Yes, so at paragraph five it says, "I recall that I discussed with Mr James Levy KC on a very large number of occasions the fact that the RGP had suggested that he might be a person of interest in the investigation. He raised this with me constantly when I spoke to him on other matters. I consistently
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	He also said that Mr James Levy CBE QC, the senior partner of Hassans, was mentioned in communications with the three suspects and that he was potentially a person of interest to the investigation." So, first question is: does that accord with your memory of the meeting?  A. It does, yes.  Q. And, whether or not 'person of interest' is a formal status, it is something that seems to have been mentioned by you at that meeting.  A. Yes.  Q. Now, if you can help us with this then do, but if you cannot then do not. When you informed the meeting of Mr Levy's status, on 13 May, do you know how long the investigators had been looking into Mr Levy's involvement?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Levy's involvement, because there were messages back and forth between him and the current suspects. Does that fit with what you remember, as well?  A. I can't be certain, a hundred percent.  Q. No, because you were not directly involved. Now, A233, and this is one of the Chief Minister's statements, paragraph two to three, please. Oh, sorry, must be the page before. And if we go, sorry, further down. Yes, so at paragraph five it says, "I recall that I discussed with Mr James Levy KC on a very large number of occasions the fact that the RGP had suggested that he might be a person of interest in the investigation. He raised this with me constantly when I spoke to him on other matters. I consistently replied to him that I was sure that the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	He also said that Mr James Levy CBE QC, the senior partner of Hassans, was mentioned in communications with the three suspects and that he was potentially a person of interest to the investigation." So, first question is: does that accord with your memory of the meeting?  A. It does, yes.  Q. And, whether or not 'person of interest' is a formal status, it is something that seems to have been mentioned by you at that meeting.  A. Yes.  Q. Now, if you can help us with this then do, but if you cannot then do not. When you informed the meeting of Mr Levy's status, on 13 May, do you know how long the investigators had been looking into Mr Levy's involvement?  A. I can't be certain with that, but what	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Levy's involvement, because there were messages back and forth between him and the current suspects. Does that fit with what you remember, as well?  A. I can't be certain, a hundred percent.  Q. No, because you were not directly involved. Now, A233, and this is one of the Chief Minister's statements, paragraph two to three, please. Oh, sorry, must be the page before. And if we go, sorry, further down. Yes, so at paragraph five it says, "I recall that I discussed with Mr James Levy KC on a very large number of occasions the fact that the RGP had suggested that he might be a person of interest in the investigation. He raised this with me constantly when I spoke to him on other matters. I consistently replied to him that I was sure that the investigation would exonerate him given
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	He also said that Mr James Levy CBE QC, the senior partner of Hassans, was mentioned in communications with the three suspects and that he was potentially a person of interest to the investigation." So, first question is: does that accord with your memory of the meeting?  A. It does, yes.  Q. And, whether or not 'person of interest' is a formal status, it is something that seems to have been mentioned by you at that meeting.  A. Yes.  Q. Now, if you can help us with this then do, but if you cannot then do not. When you informed the meeting of Mr Levy's status, on 13 May, do you know how long the investigators had been looking into Mr Levy's involvement?  A. I can't be certain with that, but what triggered that meeting, according to my	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Levy's involvement, because there were messages back and forth between him and the current suspects. Does that fit with what you remember, as well?  A. I can't be certain, a hundred percent.  Q. No, because you were not directly involved. Now, A233, and this is one of the Chief Minister's statements, paragraph two to three, please. Oh, sorry, must be the page before. And if we go, sorry, further down. Yes, so at paragraph five it says, "I recall that I discussed with Mr James Levy KC on a very large number of occasions the fact that the RGP had suggested that he might be a person of interest in the investigation. He raised this with me constantly when I spoke to him on other matters. I consistently replied to him that I was sure that the investigation would exonerate him given that, from what I knew of him, I was sure
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	He also said that Mr James Levy CBE QC, the senior partner of Hassans, was mentioned in communications with the three suspects and that he was potentially a person of interest to the investigation." So, first question is: does that accord with your memory of the meeting?  A. It does, yes.  Q. And, whether or not 'person of interest' is a formal status, it is something that seems to have been mentioned by you at that meeting.  A. Yes.  Q. Now, if you can help us with this then do, but if you cannot then do not. When you informed the meeting of Mr Levy's status, on 13 May, do you know how long the investigators had been looking into Mr Levy's involvement?  A. I can't be certain with that, but what triggered that meeting, according to my recollection, is that the preliminary	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Levy's involvement, because there were messages back and forth between him and the current suspects. Does that fit with what you remember, as well?  A. I can't be certain, a hundred percent.  Q. No, because you were not directly involved. Now, A233, and this is one of the Chief Minister's statements, paragraph two to three, please. Oh, sorry, must be the page before. And if we go, sorry, further down. Yes, so at paragraph five it says, "I recall that I discussed with Mr James Levy KC on a very large number of occasions the fact that the RGP had suggested that he might be a person of interest in the investigation. He raised this with me constantly when I spoke to him on other matters. I consistently replied to him that I was sure that the investigation would exonerate him given that, from what I knew of him, I was sure that he would not have acted in a manner
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	He also said that Mr James Levy CBE QC, the senior partner of Hassans, was mentioned in communications with the three suspects and that he was potentially a person of interest to the investigation." So, first question is: does that accord with your memory of the meeting?  A. It does, yes.  Q. And, whether or not 'person of interest' is a formal status, it is something that seems to have been mentioned by you at that meeting.  A. Yes.  Q. Now, if you can help us with this then do, but if you cannot then do not. When you informed the meeting of Mr Levy's status, on 13 May, do you know how long the investigators had been looking into Mr Levy's involvement?  A. I can't be certain with that, but what triggered that meeting, according to my recollection, is that the preliminary examination of devices seized	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Levy's involvement, because there were messages back and forth between him and the current suspects. Does that fit with what you remember, as well?  A. I can't be certain, a hundred percent.  Q. No, because you were not directly involved. Now, A233, and this is one of the Chief Minister's statements, paragraph two to three, please. Oh, sorry, must be the page before. And if we go, sorry, further down. Yes, so at paragraph five it says, "I recall that I discussed with Mr James Levy KC on a very large number of occasions the fact that the RGP had suggested that he might be a person of interest in the investigation. He raised this with me constantly when I spoke to him on other matters. I consistently replied to him that I was sure that the investigation would exonerate him given that, from what I knew of him, I was sure that he would not have acted in a manner which was contrary to law." Now, just to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	He also said that Mr James Levy CBE QC, the senior partner of Hassans, was mentioned in communications with the three suspects and that he was potentially a person of interest to the investigation." So, first question is: does that accord with your memory of the meeting?  A. It does, yes.  Q. And, whether or not 'person of interest' is a formal status, it is something that seems to have been mentioned by you at that meeting.  A. Yes.  Q. Now, if you can help us with this then do, but if you cannot then do not. When you informed the meeting of Mr Levy's status, on 13 May, do you know how long the investigators had been looking into Mr Levy's involvement?  A. I can't be certain with that, but what triggered that meeting, according to my recollection, is that the preliminary	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Levy's involvement, because there were messages back and forth between him and the current suspects. Does that fit with what you remember, as well?  A. I can't be certain, a hundred percent.  Q. No, because you were not directly involved. Now, A233, and this is one of the Chief Minister's statements, paragraph two to three, please. Oh, sorry, must be the page before. And if we go, sorry, further down. Yes, so at paragraph five it says, "I recall that I discussed with Mr James Levy KC on a very large number of occasions the fact that the RGP had suggested that he might be a person of interest in the investigation. He raised this with me constantly when I spoke to him on other matters. I consistently replied to him that I was sure that the investigation would exonerate him given that, from what I knew of him, I was sure that he would not have acted in a manner
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	He also said that Mr James Levy CBE QC, the senior partner of Hassans, was mentioned in communications with the three suspects and that he was potentially a person of interest to the investigation." So, first question is: does that accord with your memory of the meeting?  A. It does, yes.  Q. And, whether or not 'person of interest' is a formal status, it is something that seems to have been mentioned by you at that meeting.  A. Yes.  Q. Now, if you can help us with this then do, but if you cannot then do not. When you informed the meeting of Mr Levy's status, on 13 May, do you know how long the investigators had been looking into Mr Levy's involvement?  A. I can't be certain with that, but what triggered that meeting, according to my recollection, is that the preliminary examination of devices seized  Q. Yes.  A reaped certain results	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Levy's involvement, because there were messages back and forth between him and the current suspects. Does that fit with what you remember, as well?  A. I can't be certain, a hundred percent.  Q. No, because you were not directly involved. Now, A233, and this is one of the Chief Minister's statements, paragraph two to three, please. Oh, sorry, must be the page before. And if we go, sorry, further down. Yes, so at paragraph five it says, "I recall that I discussed with Mr James Levy KC on a very large number of occasions the fact that the RGP had suggested that he might be a person of interest in the investigation. He raised this with me constantly when I spoke to him on other matters. I consistently replied to him that I was sure that the investigation would exonerate him given that, from what I knew of him, I was sure that he would not have acted in a manner which was contrary to law." Now, just to clarify this, is it your understanding that you informed Mr Picardo that Mr Levy was a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	He also said that Mr James Levy CBE QC, the senior partner of Hassans, was mentioned in communications with the three suspects and that he was potentially a person of interest to the investigation." So, first question is: does that accord with your memory of the meeting?  A. It does, yes.  Q. And, whether or not 'person of interest' is a formal status, it is something that seems to have been mentioned by you at that meeting.  A. Yes.  Q. Now, if you can help us with this then do, but if you cannot then do not. When you informed the meeting of Mr Levy's status, on 13 May, do you know how long the investigators had been looking into Mr Levy's involvement?  A. I can't be certain with that, but what triggered that meeting, according to my recollection, is that the preliminary examination of devices seized  Q. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Levy's involvement, because there were messages back and forth between him and the current suspects. Does that fit with what you remember, as well?  A. I can't be certain, a hundred percent.  Q. No, because you were not directly involved. Now, A233, and this is one of the Chief Minister's statements, paragraph two to three, please. Oh, sorry, must be the page before. And if we go, sorry, further down. Yes, so at paragraph five it says, "I recall that I discussed with Mr James Levy KC on a very large number of occasions the fact that the RGP had suggested that he might be a person of interest in the investigation. He raised this with me constantly when I spoke to him on other matters. I consistently replied to him that I was sure that the investigation would exonerate him given that, from what I knew of him, I was sure that he would not have acted in a manner which was contrary to law." Now, just to clarify this, is it your understanding that you

1	person of interest on 13 May 2019?	1	onwards. You were asked about whether you
2	A. Yes, I can confirm that, yes.	2	had concerns about the six letters from
3	Q. A190, please, and paragraph 39. Mr	3	Hassans, and I just want to drill down into
4	Picardo says there, "In the context of	4	exactly what those concerns were. Just by
5	operation Delhi, I became aware from Mr	5	way of background, if a claim was brought
6	Levy himself that he was one of the persons	6	against the RGP, or threatened, does the RGP
7	who was 'of interest' to investigators." When	7	have an internal legal department who can
8	you informed Mr Picardo that Mr Levy was a	8	assist
9	person of interest, did he say anything about	9	A. No.
10	already knowing that?	10	Q and defend them.
11	A. No.	11	A. No, we haven't. They - no, they have no -
12	Q. No. If we turn, please, now to C4766.	12	it doesn't have that.
13	Penultimate paragraph, please. This is the	13	Q. Does it have a lawyer who can
14	letter of 5 June 2020 that Mr Picardo sent to	14	A. I has a dual (?) the Crown - Crown
15	the GPA. And he says, this is in relation to	15	Counsel for criminal matters, not for any
16	the WhatsApp that he received from you on	16	claim - matter.
17	12 May which said, "in relation to the case	17	Q. Not for judicial review?
18	against Perez, Cornelio & Sanchez" we are	18	A. No, sir.
19	going to do a search warrant against Mr	19	Q. No.
20	Levy. He says then, "When I expressed the	20	A. Certainly not.
21	view that I did nine minutes later, I had no	21	Q. So, would you therefore rely on the law
22	reason to believe the investigation was of Mr	22	officers, particularly the DPP and the AG, to
23	Levy." Now	23	advise and defend you against such
24	A. That is a completely contradictory	24	A. That - that has historically been the case
25	position.	25	and my understanding. Any civil claims filed
23	position.	23	and my understanding. Any civil claims incu
	Page 45		Page 47
4	0 7 % 1 4 1 41 111	١.,	t d DCDL L L H L d
1	Q. Is it your understanding that he did have	1	against the RGP has been handled by the
2	reason to believe	2	office - the AG's chambers before the DPP
2 3	reason to believe A. Of course.	2 3	office - the AG's chambers before the DPP came into play.
2 3 4	reason to believe  A. Of course.  Q and had for a year or so, for exactly a	2 3 4	<ul><li>office - the AG's chambers before the DPP came into play.</li><li>Q. And, in those meetings that took place on</li></ul>
2 3 4 5	reason to believe  A. Of course.  Q and had for a year or so, for exactly a year.	2 3 4 5	office - the AG's chambers before the DPP came into play.  Q. And, in those meetings that took place on 13, 15 and 20 of May with the DPP and the
2 3 4 5 6	reason to believe  A. Of course.  Q and had for a year or so, for exactly a year.  A. He had, yes.	2 3 4 5 6	office - the AG's chambers before the DPP came into play.  Q. And, in those meetings that took place on 13, 15 and 20 of May with the DPP and the AG, did you get the impression that you
2 3 4 5 6 7	reason to believe  A. Of course.  Q and had for a year or so, for exactly a year.  A. He had, yes.  Q. That the investigation was of Mr Levy.	2 3 4 5 6 7	office - the AG's chambers before the DPP came into play.  Q. And, in those meetings that took place on 13, 15 and 20 of May with the DPP and the AG, did you get the impression that you could rely on the DPP and the AG to robustly
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	reason to believe  A. Of course.  Q and had for a year or so, for exactly a year.  A. He had, yes.  Q. That the investigation was of Mr Levy.  Just in relation to what Mr Levy knew, to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	office - the AG's chambers before the DPP came into play.  Q. And, in those meetings that took place on 13, 15 and 20 of May with the DPP and the AG, did you get the impression that you could rely on the DPP and the AG to robustly defend the RGP against those letters from
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	reason to believe  A. Of course.  Q and had for a year or so, for exactly a year.  A. He had, yes.  Q. That the investigation was of Mr Levy.  Just in relation to what Mr Levy knew, to your knowledge did anyone in the RGP	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	office - the AG's chambers before the DPP came into play.  Q. And, in those meetings that took place on 13, 15 and 20 of May with the DPP and the AG, did you get the impression that you could rely on the DPP and the AG to robustly defend the RGP against those letters from Hassans?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	reason to believe  A. Of course.  Q and had for a year or so, for exactly a year.  A. He had, yes.  Q. That the investigation was of Mr Levy.  Just in relation to what Mr Levy knew, to your knowledge did anyone in the RGP inform Mr Levy that he was a person of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	office - the AG's chambers before the DPP came into play.  Q. And, in those meetings that took place on 13, 15 and 20 of May with the DPP and the AG, did you get the impression that you could rely on the DPP and the AG to robustly defend the RGP against those letters from Hassans?  A. I didn't get the strong impression, no.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	reason to believe  A. Of course.  Q and had for a year or so, for exactly a year.  A. He had, yes.  Q. That the investigation was of Mr Levy.  Just in relation to what Mr Levy knew, to your knowledge did anyone in the RGP inform Mr Levy that he was a person of interest prior to the search warrant attempting	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	office - the AG's chambers before the DPP came into play.  Q. And, in those meetings that took place on 13, 15 and 20 of May with the DPP and the AG, did you get the impression that you could rely on the DPP and the AG to robustly defend the RGP against those letters from Hassans?  A. I didn't get the strong impression, no.  There was a debate, and it was raised by Mr
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	reason to believe  A. Of course.  Q and had for a year or so, for exactly a year.  A. He had, yes.  Q. That the investigation was of Mr Levy.  Just in relation to what Mr Levy knew, to your knowledge did anyone in the RGP inform Mr Levy that he was a person of interest prior to the search warrant attempting to be executed on 12 May 2020?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	office - the AG's chambers before the DPP came into play.  Q. And, in those meetings that took place on 13, 15 and 20 of May with the DPP and the AG, did you get the impression that you could rely on the DPP and the AG to robustly defend the RGP against those letters from Hassans?  A. I didn't get the strong impression, no.  There was a debate, and it was raised by Mr Richardson that we were now dealing with a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	reason to believe  A. Of course.  Q and had for a year or so, for exactly a year.  A. He had, yes.  Q. That the investigation was of Mr Levy.  Just in relation to what Mr Levy knew, to your knowledge did anyone in the RGP inform Mr Levy that he was a person of interest prior to the search warrant attempting to be executed on 12 May 2020?  A. I don't think that would have - I don't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	office - the AG's chambers before the DPP came into play.  Q. And, in those meetings that took place on 13, 15 and 20 of May with the DPP and the AG, did you get the impression that you could rely on the DPP and the AG to robustly defend the RGP against those letters from Hassans?  A. I didn't get the strong impression, no.  There was a debate, and it was raised by Mr Richardson that we were now dealing with a very forceful legal team in Hassans, headed
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	reason to believe  A. Of course.  Q and had for a year or so, for exactly a year.  A. He had, yes.  Q. That the investigation was of Mr Levy.  Just in relation to what Mr Levy knew, to your knowledge did anyone in the RGP inform Mr Levy that he was a person of interest prior to the search warrant attempting to be executed on 12 May 2020?  A. I don't think that would have - I don't think that was the case. If - if it had been I'm	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	office - the AG's chambers before the DPP came into play.  Q. And, in those meetings that took place on 13, 15 and 20 of May with the DPP and the AG, did you get the impression that you could rely on the DPP and the AG to robustly defend the RGP against those letters from Hassans?  A. I didn't get the strong impression, no.  There was a debate, and it was raised by Mr Richardson that we were now dealing with a very forceful legal team in Hassans, headed by Mr Baglietto, King's Counsel, and there
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	reason to believe  A. Of course.  Q and had for a year or so, for exactly a year.  A. He had, yes.  Q. That the investigation was of Mr Levy.  Just in relation to what Mr Levy knew, to your knowledge did anyone in the RGP inform Mr Levy that he was a person of interest prior to the search warrant attempting to be executed on 12 May 2020?  A. I don't think that would have - I don't think that was the case. If - if it had been I'm sure that I would have been told, and I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	office - the AG's chambers before the DPP came into play.  Q. And, in those meetings that took place on 13, 15 and 20 of May with the DPP and the AG, did you get the impression that you could rely on the DPP and the AG to robustly defend the RGP against those letters from Hassans?  A. I didn't get the strong impression, no.  There was a debate, and it was raised by Mr Richardson that we were now dealing with a very forceful legal team in Hassans, headed by Mr Baglietto, King's Counsel, and there was a potential mismatch between police
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	reason to believe  A. Of course.  Q and had for a year or so, for exactly a year.  A. He had, yes.  Q. That the investigation was of Mr Levy.  Just in relation to what Mr Levy knew, to your knowledge did anyone in the RGP inform Mr Levy that he was a person of interest prior to the search warrant attempting to be executed on 12 May 2020?  A. I don't think that would have - I don't think that was the case. If - if it had been I'm sure that I would have been told, and I wasn't.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	office - the AG's chambers before the DPP came into play.  Q. And, in those meetings that took place on 13, 15 and 20 of May with the DPP and the AG, did you get the impression that you could rely on the DPP and the AG to robustly defend the RGP against those letters from Hassans?  A. I didn't get the strong impression, no.  There was a debate, and it was raised by Mr Richardson that we were now dealing with a very forceful legal team in Hassans, headed by Mr Baglietto, King's Counsel, and there was a potential mismatch between police having to respond to letters with King's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	reason to believe  A. Of course.  Q and had for a year or so, for exactly a year.  A. He had, yes.  Q. That the investigation was of Mr Levy.  Just in relation to what Mr Levy knew, to your knowledge did anyone in the RGP inform Mr Levy that he was a person of interest prior to the search warrant attempting to be executed on 12 May 2020?  A. I don't think that would have - I don't think that was the case. If - if it had been I'm sure that I would have been told, and I wasn't.  Q. And do you have any knowledge, if it's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	office - the AG's chambers before the DPP came into play.  Q. And, in those meetings that took place on 13, 15 and 20 of May with the DPP and the AG, did you get the impression that you could rely on the DPP and the AG to robustly defend the RGP against those letters from Hassans?  A. I didn't get the strong impression, no. There was a debate, and it was raised by Mr Richardson that we were now dealing with a very forceful legal team in Hassans, headed by Mr Baglietto, King's Counsel, and there was a potential mismatch between police having to respond to letters with King's Counsel.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	reason to believe  A. Of course.  Q and had for a year or so, for exactly a year.  A. He had, yes.  Q. That the investigation was of Mr Levy.  Just in relation to what Mr Levy knew, to your knowledge did anyone in the RGP inform Mr Levy that he was a person of interest prior to the search warrant attempting to be executed on 12 May 2020?  A. I don't think that would have - I don't think that was the case. If - if it had been I'm sure that I would have been told, and I wasn't.  Q. And do you have any knowledge, if it's correct, what the Chief Minister says: that he	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	office - the AG's chambers before the DPP came into play.  Q. And, in those meetings that took place on 13, 15 and 20 of May with the DPP and the AG, did you get the impression that you could rely on the DPP and the AG to robustly defend the RGP against those letters from Hassans?  A. I didn't get the strong impression, no.  There was a debate, and it was raised by Mr Richardson that we were now dealing with a very forceful legal team in Hassans, headed by Mr Baglietto, King's Counsel, and there was a potential mismatch between police having to respond to letters with King's Counsel.  Q. So, would it be fair to say this was not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	reason to believe  A. Of course.  Q and had for a year or so, for exactly a year.  A. He had, yes.  Q. That the investigation was of Mr Levy.  Just in relation to what Mr Levy knew, to your knowledge did anyone in the RGP inform Mr Levy that he was a person of interest prior to the search warrant attempting to be executed on 12 May 2020?  A. I don't think that would have - I don't think that was the case. If - if it had been I'm sure that I would have been told, and I wasn't.  Q. And do you have any knowledge, if it's correct, what the Chief Minister says: that he had been discussing Mr Levy's status as a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	office - the AG's chambers before the DPP came into play.  Q. And, in those meetings that took place on 13, 15 and 20 of May with the DPP and the AG, did you get the impression that you could rely on the DPP and the AG to robustly defend the RGP against those letters from Hassans?  A. I didn't get the strong impression, no.  There was a debate, and it was raised by Mr Richardson that we were now dealing with a very forceful legal team in Hassans, headed by Mr Baglietto, King's Counsel, and there was a potential mismatch between police having to respond to letters with King's Counsel.  Q. So, would it be fair to say this was not just an ordinary situation, where you could
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	reason to believe  A. Of course.  Q and had for a year or so, for exactly a year.  A. He had, yes.  Q. That the investigation was of Mr Levy.  Just in relation to what Mr Levy knew, to your knowledge did anyone in the RGP inform Mr Levy that he was a person of interest prior to the search warrant attempting to be executed on 12 May 2020?  A. I don't think that would have - I don't think that was the case. If - if it had been I'm sure that I would have been told, and I wasn't.  Q. And do you have any knowledge, if it's correct, what the Chief Minister says: that he had been discussing Mr Levy's status as a person of interest many times before 12 May	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	office - the AG's chambers before the DPP came into play.  Q. And, in those meetings that took place on 13, 15 and 20 of May with the DPP and the AG, did you get the impression that you could rely on the DPP and the AG to robustly defend the RGP against those letters from Hassans?  A. I didn't get the strong impression, no.  There was a debate, and it was raised by Mr Richardson that we were now dealing with a very forceful legal team in Hassans, headed by Mr Baglietto, King's Counsel, and there was a potential mismatch between police having to respond to letters with King's Counsel.  Q. So, would it be fair to say this was not just an ordinary situation, where you could rely on strong backup from those above you?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	reason to believe  A. Of course.  Q and had for a year or so, for exactly a year.  A. He had, yes.  Q. That the investigation was of Mr Levy.  Just in relation to what Mr Levy knew, to your knowledge did anyone in the RGP inform Mr Levy that he was a person of interest prior to the search warrant attempting to be executed on 12 May 2020?  A. I don't think that would have - I don't think that was the case. If - if it had been I'm sure that I would have been told, and I wasn't.  Q. And do you have any knowledge, if it's correct, what the Chief Minister says: that he had been discussing Mr Levy's status as a person of interest many times before 12 May 2020. Do you have any knowledge how Mr	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	office - the AG's chambers before the DPP came into play.  Q. And, in those meetings that took place on 13, 15 and 20 of May with the DPP and the AG, did you get the impression that you could rely on the DPP and the AG to robustly defend the RGP against those letters from Hassans?  A. I didn't get the strong impression, no. There was a debate, and it was raised by Mr Richardson that we were now dealing with a very forceful legal team in Hassans, headed by Mr Baglietto, King's Counsel, and there was a potential mismatch between police having to respond to letters with King's Counsel.  Q. So, would it be fair to say this was not just an ordinary situation, where you could rely on strong backup from those above you?  A. Well, because of what had happened on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	reason to believe  A. Of course.  Q and had for a year or so, for exactly a year.  A. He had, yes.  Q. That the investigation was of Mr Levy.  Just in relation to what Mr Levy knew, to your knowledge did anyone in the RGP inform Mr Levy that he was a person of interest prior to the search warrant attempting to be executed on 12 May 2020?  A. I don't think that would have - I don't think that was the case. If - if it had been I'm sure that I would have been told, and I wasn't.  Q. And do you have any knowledge, if it's correct, what the Chief Minister says: that he had been discussing Mr Levy's status as a person of interest many times before 12 May 2020. Do you have any knowledge how Mr Levy came to know that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	office - the AG's chambers before the DPP came into play.  Q. And, in those meetings that took place on 13, 15 and 20 of May with the DPP and the AG, did you get the impression that you could rely on the DPP and the AG to robustly defend the RGP against those letters from Hassans?  A. I didn't get the strong impression, no. There was a debate, and it was raised by Mr Richardson that we were now dealing with a very forceful legal team in Hassans, headed by Mr Baglietto, King's Counsel, and there was a potential mismatch between police having to respond to letters with King's Counsel.  Q. So, would it be fair to say this was not just an ordinary situation, where you could rely on strong backup from those above you?  A. Well, because of what had happened on the 12th there was already a - a negative
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	reason to believe  A. Of course.  Q and had for a year or so, for exactly a year.  A. He had, yes.  Q. That the investigation was of Mr Levy.  Just in relation to what Mr Levy knew, to your knowledge did anyone in the RGP inform Mr Levy that he was a person of interest prior to the search warrant attempting to be executed on 12 May 2020?  A. I don't think that would have - I don't think that was the case. If - if it had been I'm sure that I would have been told, and I wasn't.  Q. And do you have any knowledge, if it's correct, what the Chief Minister says: that he had been discussing Mr Levy's status as a person of interest many times before 12 May 2020. Do you have any knowledge how Mr Levy came to know that?  A. No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	office - the AG's chambers before the DPP came into play.  Q. And, in those meetings that took place on 13, 15 and 20 of May with the DPP and the AG, did you get the impression that you could rely on the DPP and the AG to robustly defend the RGP against those letters from Hassans?  A. I didn't get the strong impression, no.  There was a debate, and it was raised by Mr Richardson that we were now dealing with a very forceful legal team in Hassans, headed by Mr Baglietto, King's Counsel, and there was a potential mismatch between police having to respond to letters with King's Counsel.  Q. So, would it be fair to say this was not just an ordinary situation, where you could rely on strong backup from those above you?  A. Well, because of what had happened on the 12th there was already a - a negative vibe, that according to the AG we had put our
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	reason to believe  A. Of course.  Q and had for a year or so, for exactly a year.  A. He had, yes.  Q. That the investigation was of Mr Levy. Just in relation to what Mr Levy knew, to your knowledge did anyone in the RGP inform Mr Levy that he was a person of interest prior to the search warrant attempting to be executed on 12 May 2020?  A. I don't think that would have - I don't think that was the case. If - if it had been I'm sure that I would have been told, and I wasn't.  Q. And do you have any knowledge, if it's correct, what the Chief Minister says: that he had been discussing Mr Levy's status as a person of interest many times before 12 May 2020. Do you have any knowledge how Mr Levy came to know that?  A. No.  Q. I want to ask you now about the Hassans	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	office - the AG's chambers before the DPP came into play.  Q. And, in those meetings that took place on 13, 15 and 20 of May with the DPP and the AG, did you get the impression that you could rely on the DPP and the AG to robustly defend the RGP against those letters from Hassans?  A. I didn't get the strong impression, no.  There was a debate, and it was raised by Mr Richardson that we were now dealing with a very forceful legal team in Hassans, headed by Mr Baglietto, King's Counsel, and there was a potential mismatch between police having to respond to letters with King's Counsel.  Q. So, would it be fair to say this was not just an ordinary situation, where you could rely on strong backup from those above you?  A. Well, because of what had happened on the 12th there was already a - a negative vibe, that according to the AG we had put our foot in it, and we'd acted wrongly, and were
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	reason to believe  A. Of course.  Q and had for a year or so, for exactly a year.  A. He had, yes.  Q. That the investigation was of Mr Levy.  Just in relation to what Mr Levy knew, to your knowledge did anyone in the RGP inform Mr Levy that he was a person of interest prior to the search warrant attempting to be executed on 12 May 2020?  A. I don't think that would have - I don't think that was the case. If - if it had been I'm sure that I would have been told, and I wasn't.  Q. And do you have any knowledge, if it's correct, what the Chief Minister says: that he had been discussing Mr Levy's status as a person of interest many times before 12 May 2020. Do you have any knowledge how Mr Levy came to know that?  A. No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	office - the AG's chambers before the DPP came into play.  Q. And, in those meetings that took place on 13, 15 and 20 of May with the DPP and the AG, did you get the impression that you could rely on the DPP and the AG to robustly defend the RGP against those letters from Hassans?  A. I didn't get the strong impression, no.  There was a debate, and it was raised by Mr Richardson that we were now dealing with a very forceful legal team in Hassans, headed by Mr Baglietto, King's Counsel, and there was a potential mismatch between police having to respond to letters with King's Counsel.  Q. So, would it be fair to say this was not just an ordinary situation, where you could rely on strong backup from those above you?  A. Well, because of what had happened on the 12th there was already a - a negative vibe, that according to the AG we had put our
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	reason to believe  A. Of course.  Q and had for a year or so, for exactly a year.  A. He had, yes.  Q. That the investigation was of Mr Levy. Just in relation to what Mr Levy knew, to your knowledge did anyone in the RGP inform Mr Levy that he was a person of interest prior to the search warrant attempting to be executed on 12 May 2020?  A. I don't think that would have - I don't think that was the case. If - if it had been I'm sure that I would have been told, and I wasn't.  Q. And do you have any knowledge, if it's correct, what the Chief Minister says: that he had been discussing Mr Levy's status as a person of interest many times before 12 May 2020. Do you have any knowledge how Mr Levy came to know that?  A. No.  Q. I want to ask you now about the Hassans	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	office - the AG's chambers before the DPP came into play.  Q. And, in those meetings that took place on 13, 15 and 20 of May with the DPP and the AG, did you get the impression that you could rely on the DPP and the AG to robustly defend the RGP against those letters from Hassans?  A. I didn't get the strong impression, no.  There was a debate, and it was raised by Mr Richardson that we were now dealing with a very forceful legal team in Hassans, headed by Mr Baglietto, King's Counsel, and there was a potential mismatch between police having to respond to letters with King's Counsel.  Q. So, would it be fair to say this was not just an ordinary situation, where you could rely on strong backup from those above you?  A. Well, because of what had happened on the 12th there was already a - a negative vibe, that according to the AG we had put our foot in it, and we'd acted wrongly, and were

1	would have expected.	1	THE CHAIRMAN: I am not really thinking
2	Q. And when you say "what had happened	2	about email, that seems a very trivial way of
3	on the 12th" of May, do you mean the	3	dealing with it. I mean, I would have
4	meeting between you, the Chief Minister and	4	expected
5	the Attorney General?	5	A. A letter.
6	A. The rebuke, yes.	6	THE CHAIRMAN: counsel to be
7	Q. Yes. Turning to the DPP's advice on Op	7	instructed to provide a detailed written
8	Delhi. Mr Richardson sent the DPP a	8	advice, but that does not seem to have
9	detailed National Decision Model document	9	happened.
10	and asked for advice, is that right?	10	A. I agree. Unfortunately, I cannot offer you
11	A. Yes.	11	any - any explanation, because I did not
12	Q. Yes. And, it appears from the evidence	12	probe the reasoning as to whether there was
13	that the DPP provided his advice entirely	13	written advice or not.
14	orally, not in writing. Was that the usual	14	THE CHAIRMAN: You are saying that that
15	practice?	15	is the usual practice in Gibraltar, if you had a
16	A. I've - I've been used to receiving Crown	16	serious case?
17	Counsel advice on - in writing, but I don't	17	A. Yes, absolutely. Absolutely. It's - it goes
18	know the - the circumstances, I believe it was	18	into the decision making, and it's part of the -
19	held - a videoconference call was held, and	19	the - the support mechanism for any
20	very abnormal cir situation of the pandemic	20	particular investigation, that you have those
21	around. I do not know what Mr Richardson	21	decisions in - in writing, or even if you - at
22	said, whether he made the request saying this	22	the extreme, in terms of police recording
23	in writing, so not being there is - is	23	abilities, you write it all up and you all sign it
24	THE CHAIRMAN: I think you were being	24	together as in agreement, but I would have
25	asked about the usual practice. I have a	25	expect in a level of this investigation to - for
23	asked about the usual practice. I have a	23	expect in a level of this investigation to - for
	Page 49		Page 51
1	criminal background: I have spent my life	1	it to have been in writing yes
1 2	criminal background; I have spent my life	1 2	it to have been in writing, yes.
2	doing criminal cases. When faced with a	2	THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, thank you.
2 3	doing criminal cases. When faced with a high-profile case like this	2 3	THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, thank you.  Q. I want to ask you about the advice itself,
2 3 4	doing criminal cases. When faced with a high-profile case like this  A. You would want it in writing, sir.	2 3 4	THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, thank you. Q. I want to ask you about the advice itself, and what people understood to have been the
2 3 4 5	doing criminal cases. When faced with a high-profile case like this <b>A. You would want it in writing, sir.</b> THE CHAIRMAN: There you go. I mean,	2 3 4 5	THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, thank you.  Q. I want to ask you about the advice itself, and what people understood to have been the advice. And particularly this, if we go to
2 3 4 5 6	doing criminal cases. When faced with a high-profile case like this <b>A. You would want it in writing, sir.</b> THE CHAIRMAN: There you go. I mean, exactly. That does not seem to have	2 3 4 5 6	THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, thank you. Q. I want to ask you about the advice itself, and what people understood to have been the advice. And particularly this, if we go to A1297, paragraph 13. This is actually about
2 3 4 5 6 7	doing criminal cases. When faced with a high-profile case like this  A. You would want it in writing, sir.  THE CHAIRMAN: There you go. I mean, exactly. That does not seem to have happened.	2 3 4 5 6 7	THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, thank you.  Q. I want to ask you about the advice itself, and what people understood to have been the advice. And particularly this, if we go to A1297, paragraph 13. This is actually about when the Attorney General asked the DPP,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	doing criminal cases. When faced with a high-profile case like this  A. You would want it in writing, sir.  THE CHAIRMAN: There you go. I mean, exactly. That does not seem to have happened.  A. No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, thank you. Q. I want to ask you about the advice itself, and what people understood to have been the advice. And particularly this, if we go to A1297, paragraph 13. This is actually about when the Attorney General asked the DPP, after your meeting on the 12th, what
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	doing criminal cases. When faced with a high-profile case like this  A. You would want it in writing, sir.  THE CHAIRMAN: There you go. I mean, exactly. That does not seem to have happened.  A. No.  THE CHAIRMAN: Did that strike you as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, thank you. Q. I want to ask you about the advice itself, and what people understood to have been the advice. And particularly this, if we go to A1297, paragraph 13. This is actually about when the Attorney General asked the DPP, after your meeting on the 12th, what A. Sorry, whose statement is this?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	doing criminal cases. When faced with a high-profile case like this  A. You would want it in writing, sir.  THE CHAIRMAN: There you go. I mean, exactly. That does not seem to have happened.  A. No.  THE CHAIRMAN: Did that strike you as being odd?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, thank you.  Q. I want to ask you about the advice itself, and what people understood to have been the advice. And particularly this, if we go to A1297, paragraph 13. This is actually about when the Attorney General asked the DPP, after your meeting on the 12th, what  A. Sorry, whose statement is this?  Q. Sorry, excuse me. This is the DPP's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	doing criminal cases. When faced with a high-profile case like this  A. You would want it in writing, sir.  THE CHAIRMAN: There you go. I mean, exactly. That does not seem to have happened.  A. No.  THE CHAIRMAN: Did that strike you as being odd?  A. Well, if they didn't know whether he'd	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, thank you.  Q. I want to ask you about the advice itself, and what people understood to have been the advice. And particularly this, if we go to A1297, paragraph 13. This is actually about when the Attorney General asked the DPP, after your meeting on the 12th, what  A. Sorry, whose statement is this?  Q. Sorry, excuse me. This is the DPP's statement.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	doing criminal cases. When faced with a high-profile case like this  A. You would want it in writing, sir.  THE CHAIRMAN: There you go. I mean, exactly. That does not seem to have happened.  A. No.  THE CHAIRMAN: Did that strike you as being odd?  A. Well, if they didn't know whether he'd been ha it had happened or not, I - I didn't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, thank you.  Q. I want to ask you about the advice itself, and what people understood to have been the advice. And particularly this, if we go to A1297, paragraph 13. This is actually about when the Attorney General asked the DPP, after your meeting on the 12th, what  A. Sorry, whose statement is this?  Q. Sorry, excuse me. This is the DPP's statement.  A. Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	doing criminal cases. When faced with a high-profile case like this  A. You would want it in writing, sir.  THE CHAIRMAN: There you go. I mean, exactly. That does not seem to have happened.  A. No.  THE CHAIRMAN: Did that strike you as being odd?  A. Well, if they didn't know whether he'd been ha it had happened or not, I - I didn't probe Mr Richardson to say	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, thank you.  Q. I want to ask you about the advice itself, and what people understood to have been the advice. And particularly this, if we go to A1297, paragraph 13. This is actually about when the Attorney General asked the DPP, after your meeting on the 12th, what  A. Sorry, whose statement is this?  Q. Sorry, excuse me. This is the DPP's statement.  A. Okay.  Q. First statement. He says, "after the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	doing criminal cases. When faced with a high-profile case like this  A. You would want it in writing, sir.  THE CHAIRMAN: There you go. I mean, exactly. That does not seem to have happened.  A. No.  THE CHAIRMAN: Did that strike you as being odd?  A. Well, if they didn't know whether he'd been ha it had happened or not, I - I didn't probe Mr Richardson to say  THE CHAIRMAN: You have experience of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, thank you.  Q. I want to ask you about the advice itself, and what people understood to have been the advice. And particularly this, if we go to A1297, paragraph 13. This is actually about when the Attorney General asked the DPP, after your meeting on the 12th, what  A. Sorry, whose statement is this?  Q. Sorry, excuse me. This is the DPP's statement.  A. Okay.  Q. First statement. He says, "after the execution of the search warrant I was called
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	doing criminal cases. When faced with a high-profile case like this  A. You would want it in writing, sir.  THE CHAIRMAN: There you go. I mean, exactly. That does not seem to have happened.  A. No.  THE CHAIRMAN: Did that strike you as being odd?  A. Well, if they didn't know whether he'd been ha it had happened or not, I - I didn't probe Mr Richardson to say  THE CHAIRMAN: You have experience of criminal cases in Gibraltar; I do not.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, thank you.  Q. I want to ask you about the advice itself, and what people understood to have been the advice. And particularly this, if we go to A1297, paragraph 13. This is actually about when the Attorney General asked the DPP, after your meeting on the 12th, what  A. Sorry, whose statement is this?  Q. Sorry, excuse me. This is the DPP's statement.  A. Okay.  Q. First statement. He says, "after the execution of the search warrant I was called by the Attorney General and was asked by
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	doing criminal cases. When faced with a high-profile case like this  A. You would want it in writing, sir.  THE CHAIRMAN: There you go. I mean, exactly. That does not seem to have happened.  A. No.  THE CHAIRMAN: Did that strike you as being odd?  A. Well, if they didn't know whether he'd been ha it had happened or not, I - I didn't probe Mr Richardson to say  THE CHAIRMAN: You have experience of criminal cases in Gibraltar; I do not.  A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, thank you.  Q. I want to ask you about the advice itself, and what people understood to have been the advice. And particularly this, if we go to A1297, paragraph 13. This is actually about when the Attorney General asked the DPP, after your meeting on the 12th, what  A. Sorry, whose statement is this?  Q. Sorry, excuse me. This is the DPP's statement.  A. Okay.  Q. First statement. He says, "after the execution of the search warrant I was called by the Attorney General and was asked by him whether I had advised the RGP on the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	doing criminal cases. When faced with a high-profile case like this  A. You would want it in writing, sir.  THE CHAIRMAN: There you go. I mean, exactly. That does not seem to have happened.  A. No.  THE CHAIRMAN: Did that strike you as being odd?  A. Well, if they didn't know whether he'd been ha it had happened or not, I - I didn't probe Mr Richardson to say  THE CHAIRMAN: You have experience of criminal cases in Gibraltar; I do not.  A. Yes.  THE CHAIRMAN: Was it the usual practice	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, thank you.  Q. I want to ask you about the advice itself, and what people understood to have been the advice. And particularly this, if we go to A1297, paragraph 13. This is actually about when the Attorney General asked the DPP, after your meeting on the 12th, what  A. Sorry, whose statement is this?  Q. Sorry, excuse me. This is the DPP's statement.  A. Okay.  Q. First statement. He says, "after the execution of the search warrant I was called by the Attorney General and was asked by him whether I had advised the RGP on the use of a search warrant in this case."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	doing criminal cases. When faced with a high-profile case like this  A. You would want it in writing, sir.  THE CHAIRMAN: There you go. I mean, exactly. That does not seem to have happened.  A. No.  THE CHAIRMAN: Did that strike you as being odd?  A. Well, if they didn't know whether he'd been ha it had happened or not, I - I didn't probe Mr Richardson to say  THE CHAIRMAN: You have experience of criminal cases in Gibraltar; I do not.  A. Yes.  THE CHAIRMAN: Was it the usual practice in Gibraltar, when faced with a high-profile	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, thank you. Q. I want to ask you about the advice itself, and what people understood to have been the advice. And particularly this, if we go to A1297, paragraph 13. This is actually about when the Attorney General asked the DPP, after your meeting on the 12th, what A. Sorry, whose statement is this? Q. Sorry, excuse me. This is the DPP's statement. A. Okay. Q. First statement. He says, "after the execution of the search warrant I was called by the Attorney General and was asked by him whether I had advised the RGP on the use of a search warrant in this case." A. I don't know where you are, sorry Mr
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	doing criminal cases. When faced with a high-profile case like this  A. You would want it in writing, sir.  THE CHAIRMAN: There you go. I mean, exactly. That does not seem to have happened.  A. No.  THE CHAIRMAN: Did that strike you as being odd?  A. Well, if they didn't know whether he'd been ha it had happened or not, I - I didn't probe Mr Richardson to say  THE CHAIRMAN: You have experience of criminal cases in Gibraltar; I do not.  A. Yes.  THE CHAIRMAN: Was it the usual practice in Gibraltar, when faced with a high-profile case	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, thank you.  Q. I want to ask you about the advice itself, and what people understood to have been the advice. And particularly this, if we go to A1297, paragraph 13. This is actually about when the Attorney General asked the DPP, after your meeting on the 12th, what  A. Sorry, whose statement is this?  Q. Sorry, excuse me. This is the DPP's statement.  A. Okay.  Q. First statement. He says, "after the execution of the search warrant I was called by the Attorney General and was asked by him whether I had advised the RGP on the use of a search warrant in this case."  A. I don't know where you are, sorry Mr Wagner.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	doing criminal cases. When faced with a high-profile case like this  A. You would want it in writing, sir.  THE CHAIRMAN: There you go. I mean, exactly. That does not seem to have happened.  A. No.  THE CHAIRMAN: Did that strike you as being odd?  A. Well, if they didn't know whether he'd been ha it had happened or not, I - I didn't probe Mr Richardson to say  THE CHAIRMAN: You have experience of criminal cases in Gibraltar; I do not.  A. Yes.  THE CHAIRMAN: Was it the usual practice in Gibraltar, when faced with a high-profile case  A. No, that would not have been	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, thank you.  Q. I want to ask you about the advice itself, and what people understood to have been the advice. And particularly this, if we go to A1297, paragraph 13. This is actually about when the Attorney General asked the DPP, after your meeting on the 12th, what  A. Sorry, whose statement is this?  Q. Sorry, excuse me. This is the DPP's statement.  A. Okay.  Q. First statement. He says, "after the execution of the search warrant I was called by the Attorney General and was asked by him whether I had advised the RGP on the use of a search warrant in this case."  A. I don't know where you are, sorry Mr Wagner.  Q. Ah, I'm sorry. So
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	doing criminal cases. When faced with a high-profile case like this  A. You would want it in writing, sir.  THE CHAIRMAN: There you go. I mean, exactly. That does not seem to have happened.  A. No.  THE CHAIRMAN: Did that strike you as being odd?  A. Well, if they didn't know whether he'd been ha it had happened or not, I - I didn't probe Mr Richardson to say  THE CHAIRMAN: You have experience of criminal cases in Gibraltar; I do not.  A. Yes.  THE CHAIRMAN: Was it the usual practice in Gibraltar, when faced with a high-profile case  A. No, that would not have been  THE CHAIRMAN: to get written advice	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, thank you.  Q. I want to ask you about the advice itself, and what people understood to have been the advice. And particularly this, if we go to A1297, paragraph 13. This is actually about when the Attorney General asked the DPP, after your meeting on the 12th, what  A. Sorry, whose statement is this?  Q. Sorry, excuse me. This is the DPP's statement.  A. Okay.  Q. First statement. He says, "after the execution of the search warrant I was called by the Attorney General and was asked by him whether I had advised the RGP on the use of a search warrant in this case."  A. I don't know where you are, sorry Mr Wagner.  Q. Ah, I'm sorry. So THE CHAIRMAN: Halfway through
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	doing criminal cases. When faced with a high-profile case like this  A. You would want it in writing, sir.  THE CHAIRMAN: There you go. I mean, exactly. That does not seem to have happened.  A. No.  THE CHAIRMAN: Did that strike you as being odd?  A. Well, if they didn't know whether he'd been ha it had happened or not, I - I didn't probe Mr Richardson to say  THE CHAIRMAN: You have experience of criminal cases in Gibraltar; I do not.  A. Yes.  THE CHAIRMAN: Was it the usual practice in Gibraltar, when faced with a high-profile case  A. No, that would not have been  THE CHAIRMAN: to get written advice from Crown Counsel?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, thank you.  Q. I want to ask you about the advice itself, and what people understood to have been the advice. And particularly this, if we go to A1297, paragraph 13. This is actually about when the Attorney General asked the DPP, after your meeting on the 12th, what  A. Sorry, whose statement is this?  Q. Sorry, excuse me. This is the DPP's statement.  A. Okay.  Q. First statement. He says, "after the execution of the search warrant I was called by the Attorney General and was asked by him whether I had advised the RGP on the use of a search warrant in this case."  A. I don't know where you are, sorry Mr Wagner.  Q. Ah, I'm sorry. So THE CHAIRMAN: Halfway through paragraph 13.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	doing criminal cases. When faced with a high-profile case like this  A. You would want it in writing, sir.  THE CHAIRMAN: There you go. I mean, exactly. That does not seem to have happened.  A. No.  THE CHAIRMAN: Did that strike you as being odd?  A. Well, if they didn't know whether he'd been ha— it had happened or not, I - I didn't probe Mr Richardson to say  THE CHAIRMAN: You have experience of criminal cases in Gibraltar; I do not.  A. Yes.  THE CHAIRMAN: Was it the usual practice in Gibraltar, when faced with a high-profile case  A. No, that would not have been  THE CHAIRMAN: to get written advice from Crown Counsel?  A. Yes, it would - it would have been. Even	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, thank you.  Q. I want to ask you about the advice itself, and what people understood to have been the advice. And particularly this, if we go to A1297, paragraph 13. This is actually about when the Attorney General asked the DPP, after your meeting on the 12th, what  A. Sorry, whose statement is this?  Q. Sorry, excuse me. This is the DPP's statement.  A. Okay.  Q. First statement. He says, "after the execution of the search warrant I was called by the Attorney General and was asked by him whether I had advised the RGP on the use of a search warrant in this case."  A. I don't know where you are, sorry Mr Wagner.  Q. Ah, I'm sorry. So THE CHAIRMAN: Halfway through paragraph 13.  Q. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	doing criminal cases. When faced with a high-profile case like this  A. You would want it in writing, sir.  THE CHAIRMAN: There you go. I mean, exactly. That does not seem to have happened.  A. No.  THE CHAIRMAN: Did that strike you as being odd?  A. Well, if they didn't know whether he'd been ha it had happened or not, I - I didn't probe Mr Richardson to say  THE CHAIRMAN: You have experience of criminal cases in Gibraltar; I do not.  A. Yes.  THE CHAIRMAN: Was it the usual practice in Gibraltar, when faced with a high-profile case  A. No, that would not have been  THE CHAIRMAN: to get written advice from Crown Counsel?  A. Yes, it would - it would have been. Even in email: I'd strongly support this, or I agree	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, thank you.  Q. I want to ask you about the advice itself, and what people understood to have been the advice. And particularly this, if we go to A1297, paragraph 13. This is actually about when the Attorney General asked the DPP, after your meeting on the 12th, what  A. Sorry, whose statement is this?  Q. Sorry, excuse me. This is the DPP's statement.  A. Okay.  Q. First statement. He says, "after the execution of the search warrant I was called by the Attorney General and was asked by him whether I had advised the RGP on the use of a search warrant in this case."  A. I don't know where you are, sorry Mr Wagner.  Q. Ah, I'm sorry. So THE CHAIRMAN: Halfway through paragraph 13.  Q. Yes.  A. Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	doing criminal cases. When faced with a high-profile case like this  A. You would want it in writing, sir.  THE CHAIRMAN: There you go. I mean, exactly. That does not seem to have happened.  A. No.  THE CHAIRMAN: Did that strike you as being odd?  A. Well, if they didn't know whether he'd been ha— it had happened or not, I - I didn't probe Mr Richardson to say  THE CHAIRMAN: You have experience of criminal cases in Gibraltar; I do not.  A. Yes.  THE CHAIRMAN: Was it the usual practice in Gibraltar, when faced with a high-profile case  A. No, that would not have been  THE CHAIRMAN: to get written advice from Crown Counsel?  A. Yes, it would - it would have been. Even	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, thank you.  Q. I want to ask you about the advice itself, and what people understood to have been the advice. And particularly this, if we go to A1297, paragraph 13. This is actually about when the Attorney General asked the DPP, after your meeting on the 12th, what  A. Sorry, whose statement is this?  Q. Sorry, excuse me. This is the DPP's statement.  A. Okay.  Q. First statement. He says, "after the execution of the search warrant I was called by the Attorney General and was asked by him whether I had advised the RGP on the use of a search warrant in this case."  A. I don't know where you are, sorry Mr Wagner.  Q. Ah, I'm sorry. So THE CHAIRMAN: Halfway through paragraph 13.  Q. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	doing criminal cases. When faced with a high-profile case like this  A. You would want it in writing, sir.  THE CHAIRMAN: There you go. I mean, exactly. That does not seem to have happened.  A. No.  THE CHAIRMAN: Did that strike you as being odd?  A. Well, if they didn't know whether he'd been ha it had happened or not, I - I didn't probe Mr Richardson to say  THE CHAIRMAN: You have experience of criminal cases in Gibraltar; I do not.  A. Yes.  THE CHAIRMAN: Was it the usual practice in Gibraltar, when faced with a high-profile case  A. No, that would not have been  THE CHAIRMAN: to get written advice from Crown Counsel?  A. Yes, it would - it would have been. Even in email: I'd strongly support this, or I agree	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, thank you.  Q. I want to ask you about the advice itself, and what people understood to have been the advice. And particularly this, if we go to A1297, paragraph 13. This is actually about when the Attorney General asked the DPP, after your meeting on the 12th, what  A. Sorry, whose statement is this?  Q. Sorry, excuse me. This is the DPP's statement.  A. Okay.  Q. First statement. He says, "after the execution of the search warrant I was called by the Attorney General and was asked by him whether I had advised the RGP on the use of a search warrant in this case."  A. I don't know where you are, sorry Mr Wagner.  Q. Ah, I'm sorry. So THE CHAIRMAN: Halfway through paragraph 13.  Q. Yes.  A. Okay.

called by the Attorney General and was asked 1 (11.00)2 by him whether I had advised the RGP on the 2 A. I haven't got the foggiest of that. 3 use of a search warrant in this case. I 3 Q. Because it doesn't fit with what I read to 4 4 informed him that my advice had not been you from the DPP's statement, does it? 5 sought and that it was unlikely that they 5 A. No. 6 would ever do so in such circumstances as 6 Q. And it doesn't fit with what the DPP were 7 these were operational matters for the RGP." 7 saying in that meeting that you attended on 8 And then, if we could just go to B112, please. 8 13 May. 9 This is the 13 May meeting, when this is 9 A. Or indeed with Mr Richardson's 10 being discussed by the AG (sic) and the 10 recollection. 11 Attorney General. Just in the second box, 11 Q. And I won't take you to it, but it doesn't 12 picking it up three lines down. This is the 12 even fit with what the Attorney General says 13 DPP, "Whether I think, and again I've always 13 in his witness statement either. 14 made it clear to Paul and you, I don't get 14 THE CHAIRMAN: I do not think this 15 involved in operational matters. My view 15 witness can really help us with that because 16 that the warrant should come at all post-16 he was not a party to it. 17 interview. Look, there is an operational 17 MR WAGNER: No. If we can just go to --18 matter for you on the ground, and therefore" 18 THE CHAIRMAN: I am not saying the 19 something. 19 point is not worth pursuing, but it is not 20 A. It says "My view was", so that suggests 20 worth pursuing with this witness. 21 that the warrant was discussed. 21 MR WAGNER: Understood. B5419 please. 22 22 Q. Oh yes, I see. But he is saying there, This is the Hassans's letter dated 15 May. 23 23 again: I don't advise on operational matters. This is the letter that was received was it at 24 A. That's right. But it's clear, and - and that's 24 the meeting of 15 May, that it just arrived --25 - that there was discussion on whether (?) a 25 A. Potentially it was, yes. Page 55 Page 53 1 1 Q. -- or just before. It was discussed at that 2 Q. Well, I think that is what Mr Richardson 2 meeting, wasn't it, on 15 May? 3 3 and Mr Wyan both say. Was it ever your A. Yes. 4 understanding that the DPP had strongly 4 Q. And if we can just go down to the bottom 5 advised against applying for a search 5 of that page, it says there at the end of the 6 6 paragraph, "There can be little surprise that, 7 7 as we believe to be the case, the DPP advised A. No. My understanding was that he -8 8 although he did not advise on operational the Commissioner against the making of 9 9 matters -these applications." Do you have any idea, 10 10 and I do not want you to speculate, where Q. Yes. Hassans got the idea that the DPP advised the 11 A. -- but that the route of the warrant, he 11 12 would stand up and defend it. 12 Commissioner against the making of these 13 Q. Okay. Now if we can turn to B147, 13 applications? please. Sorry, B1417, that was my mistake. 14 14 A. I think that attracted an element of 15 Thank you. These are text messages between 15 surprise and shock at the meeting, because 16 Mr Llamas and Mr Picardo on 12 May. 16 everybody ---17 15.41, the second one down, Mr Llamas to 17 Q. I am not going to ask you about -- I am actually going to ask you the next question is 18 Mr Picardo, "Spoken to DPP, he is 18 19 19 categorical that whilst he told the RGP that about what happened at the meeting, but do 20 an interview with JL would likely be 20 you know how they got that information? 21 necessary he strongly advised against a 21 A. No. 22 search warrant." Do you have any idea 22 Q. Now, was it ever put to you at the time 23 23 where this idea that the DPP "strongly that the DPP -- so this is before you retired --24 24 was it ever said to you the DPP strongly advised against a search warrant" came 25 from? 25 advised against the warrant? Page 54 Page 56

1	A. No, I was not told that. What I was told	1	just want to ask you about it. You decided
2	was in the letter, in Mr Picardo's letter to the	2	that, on reflection, having spoken to your
3	GPA, that the DPP had also lost confidence	3	senior management team, that it would not be
4	in me, which I found very surprising and	4	appropriate for the Commissioner of Police
5	shocking.	5	and the Attorney General to meet with
6	Q. And I am sure it is the case that you	6	counsel representing a person under
7	didn't know about that text message?	7	investigation and discuss matters concerning
8	A. Absolutely not.	8	a live investigation which may be subject to
9	Q. No. When did you become aware of that	9	legal challenge at late dates. If we just go a
10	text message?	10	little bit further up, you sent that email to the
11	A. When it was disclosed.	11	Attorney General on the 13th at 11.27. He
12	Q. In this Inquiry?	12	responded, "With all due respect, I can
13	A. Yes.	13	formulate my own view on what is or is not
14	Q. Yes. If you had known that that	14	appropriate. Be that as it may, yes, we
15	information had been provided somehow to	15	should meet. The DPP copied would also be
16	the Chief Minister, this idea the DPP had	16	present." Did he tell you then on the 13th or
17	strongly advised against the search warrant,	17	at any time before you met on the 15th, that
18	would that have helped you navigate what	18	he was going to meet Mr Levy's lawyer?
19	was happening to you at the time?	19	A. Not at that time. I took it that he had
20	A. I don't understand.	20	accepted, albeit that he was asserting that he
21	THE CHAIRMAN: I don't understand the	21	would determine what was appropriate or
22	question either.	22	not, and that sentence showed the atmosphere
23	MR WAGNER: Sorry, because you refer on	23	that was there already.
24	a few occasions that you found it very	24	Q. Yes.
25	difficult to resolve the difficulty you were	25	A. The question of "I will determine what is
	Page 57		Page 59
1	having about the DPP's advice, if you had	1	appropriate or not" is quite asserting over a
1 2	having about the DPP's advice, if you had	1 2	appropriate or not" is quite asserting over a
2	known at the time that the Chief Minister had	2	matter which, even the DPP agreed with me,
	known at the time that the Chief Minister had been told that the DPP strongly advised	2 3	matter which, even the DPP agreed with me, the Attorney was wrong.
2 3	known at the time that the Chief Minister had been told that the DPP strongly advised against the search warrant, would that have	2 3 4	matter which, even the DPP agreed with me, the Attorney was wrong.  Q. Yes, it is quite a sharp response, isn't it?
2 3 4	known at the time that the Chief Minister had been told that the DPP strongly advised against the search warrant, would that have helped you to be able to answer the criticism	2 3	matter which, even the DPP agreed with me, the Attorney was wrong.  Q. Yes, it is quite a sharp response, isn't it?  A. It is, very sharp.
2 3 4 5	known at the time that the Chief Minister had been told that the DPP strongly advised against the search warrant, would that have	2 3 4 5	matter which, even the DPP agreed with me, the Attorney was wrong.  Q. Yes, it is quite a sharp response, isn't it?
2 3 4 5 6	known at the time that the Chief Minister had been told that the DPP strongly advised against the search warrant, would that have helped you to be able to answer the criticism of you?  A. What I was told was that the Chief	2 3 4 5 6	matter which, even the DPP agreed with me, the Attorney was wrong. Q. Yes, it is quite a sharp response, isn't it? A. It is, very sharp. Q. C6806 please. These are text messages
2 3 4 5 6 7	known at the time that the Chief Minister had been told that the DPP strongly advised against the search warrant, would that have helped you to be able to answer the criticism of you?	2 3 4 5 6 7	matter which, even the DPP agreed with me, the Attorney was wrong. Q. Yes, it is quite a sharp response, isn't it? A. It is, very sharp. Q. C6806 please. These are text messages between Mr DiVincenzi and Mr Llamas. If
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	known at the time that the Chief Minister had been told that the DPP strongly advised against the search warrant, would that have helped you to be able to answer the criticism of you?  A. What I was told was that the Chief Minister — by the Attorney General was that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	matter which, even the DPP agreed with me, the Attorney was wrong.  Q. Yes, it is quite a sharp response, isn't it?  A. It is, very sharp.  Q. C6806 please. These are text messages between Mr DiVincenzi and Mr Llamas. If we go to the bottom, this is on 14 May.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	known at the time that the Chief Minister had been told that the DPP strongly advised against the search warrant, would that have helped you to be able to answer the criticism of you?  A. What I was told was that the Chief Minister by the Attorney General was that they said that I had told them that the DPP	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	matter which, even the DPP agreed with me, the Attorney was wrong.  Q. Yes, it is quite a sharp response, isn't it?  A. It is, very sharp.  Q. C6806 please. These are text messages between Mr DiVincenzi and Mr Llamas. If we go to the bottom, this is on 14 May.  Lloyd DiVincenzi, Lewis, and another gent is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	known at the time that the Chief Minister had been told that the DPP strongly advised against the search warrant, would that have helped you to be able to answer the criticism of you?  A. What I was told was that the Chief Minister by the Attorney General was that they said that I had told them that the DPP had advised, and when I offered the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	matter which, even the DPP agreed with me, the Attorney was wrong.  Q. Yes, it is quite a sharp response, isn't it?  A. It is, very sharp.  Q. C6806 please. These are text messages between Mr DiVincenzi and Mr Llamas. If we go to the bottom, this is on 14 May.  Lloyd DiVincenzi, Lewis, and another gent is here to see you. Moshe Levy is the other
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	known at the time that the Chief Minister had been told that the DPP strongly advised against the search warrant, would that have helped you to be able to answer the criticism of you?  A. What I was told was that the Chief Minister — by the Attorney General was that they said that I had told them that the DPP had advised, and when I offered the explanation, he said, "Well, it's best that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	matter which, even the DPP agreed with me, the Attorney was wrong.  Q. Yes, it is quite a sharp response, isn't it?  A. It is, very sharp.  Q. C6806 please. These are text messages between Mr DiVincenzi and Mr Llamas. If we go to the bottom, this is on 14 May.  Lloyd DiVincenzi, Lewis, and another gent is here to see you. Moshe Levy is the other gentleman. Moshe Levy is of course another
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	known at the time that the Chief Minister had been told that the DPP strongly advised against the search warrant, would that have helped you to be able to answer the criticism of you?  A. What I was told was that the Chief Minister — by the Attorney General was that they said that I had told them that the DPP had advised, and when I offered the explanation, he said, "Well, it's best that you've explained it because he was going to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	matter which, even the DPP agreed with me, the Attorney was wrong.  Q. Yes, it is quite a sharp response, isn't it?  A. It is, very sharp.  Q. C6806 please. These are text messages between Mr DiVincenzi and Mr Llamas. If we go to the bottom, this is on 14 May.  Lloyd DiVincenzi, Lewis, and another gent is here to see you. Moshe Levy is the other gentleman. Moshe Levy is of course another Hassans's lawyer. I am not sure he was a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	known at the time that the Chief Minister had been told that the DPP strongly advised against the search warrant, would that have helped you to be able to answer the criticism of you?  A. What I was told was that the Chief Minister by the Attorney General was that they said that I had told them that the DPP had advised, and when I offered the explanation, he said, "Well, it's best that you've explained it because he was going to call him." So, the Attorney General inferred	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	matter which, even the DPP agreed with me, the Attorney was wrong.  Q. Yes, it is quite a sharp response, isn't it?  A. It is, very sharp.  Q. C6806 please. These are text messages between Mr DiVincenzi and Mr Llamas. If we go to the bottom, this is on 14 May.  Lloyd DiVincenzi, Lewis, and another gent is here to see you. Moshe Levy is the other gentleman. Moshe Levy is of course another Hassans's lawyer. I am not sure he was a partner at the time but he is the son of Mr
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	known at the time that the Chief Minister had been told that the DPP strongly advised against the search warrant, would that have helped you to be able to answer the criticism of you?  A. What I was told was that the Chief Minister — by the Attorney General was that they said that I had told them that the DPP had advised, and when I offered the explanation, he said, "Well, it's best that you've explained it because he was going to call him." So, the Attorney General inferred that the Chief Minister would be calling the DPP direct and that is contained in the transcript. So, I felt that I had offered a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	matter which, even the DPP agreed with me, the Attorney was wrong.  Q. Yes, it is quite a sharp response, isn't it?  A. It is, very sharp.  Q. C6806 please. These are text messages between Mr DiVincenzi and Mr Llamas. If we go to the bottom, this is on 14 May.  Lloyd DiVincenzi, Lewis, and another gent is here to see you. Moshe Levy is the other gentleman. Moshe Levy is of course another Hassans's lawyer. I am not sure he was a partner at the time but he is the son of Mr Levy.  A. Mmhmm.  Q. And we will just assume for these
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	known at the time that the Chief Minister had been told that the DPP strongly advised against the search warrant, would that have helped you to be able to answer the criticism of you?  A. What I was told was that the Chief Minister by the Attorney General was that they said that I had told them that the DPP had advised, and when I offered the explanation, he said, "Well, it's best that you've explained it because he was going to call him." So, the Attorney General inferred that the Chief Minister would be calling the DPP direct and that is contained in the transcript. So, I felt that I had offered a sufficient explanation to the Attorney	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	matter which, even the DPP agreed with me, the Attorney was wrong.  Q. Yes, it is quite a sharp response, isn't it?  A. It is, very sharp.  Q. C6806 please. These are text messages between Mr DiVincenzi and Mr Llamas. If we go to the bottom, this is on 14 May.  Lloyd DiVincenzi, Lewis, and another gent is here to see you. Moshe Levy is the other gentleman. Moshe Levy is of course another Hassans's lawyer. I am not sure he was a partner at the time but he is the son of Mr Levy.  A. Mmhmm.  Q. And we will just assume for these questions that Lewis is Lewis Baglietto, Mr
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	known at the time that the Chief Minister had been told that the DPP strongly advised against the search warrant, would that have helped you to be able to answer the criticism of you?  A. What I was told was that the Chief Minister by the Attorney General was that they said that I had told them that the DPP had advised, and when I offered the explanation, he said, "Well, it's best that you've explained it because he was going to call him." So, the Attorney General inferred that the Chief Minister would be calling the DPP direct and that is contained in the transcript. So, I felt that I had offered a sufficient explanation to the Attorney General, which he seemed to have accepted.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	matter which, even the DPP agreed with me, the Attorney was wrong.  Q. Yes, it is quite a sharp response, isn't it?  A. It is, very sharp.  Q. C6806 please. These are text messages between Mr DiVincenzi and Mr Llamas. If we go to the bottom, this is on 14 May.  Lloyd DiVincenzi, Lewis, and another gent is here to see you. Moshe Levy is the other gentleman. Moshe Levy is of course another Hassans's lawyer. I am not sure he was a partner at the time but he is the son of Mr Levy.  A. Mmhmm.  Q. And we will just assume for these questions that Lewis is Lewis Baglietto, Mr Llamas can clarify whether that is the case or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	known at the time that the Chief Minister had been told that the DPP strongly advised against the search warrant, would that have helped you to be able to answer the criticism of you?  A. What I was told was that the Chief Minister by the Attorney General was that they said that I had told them that the DPP had advised, and when I offered the explanation, he said, "Well, it's best that you've explained it because he was going to call him." So, the Attorney General inferred that the Chief Minister would be calling the DPP direct and that is contained in the transcript. So, I felt that I had offered a sufficient explanation to the Attorney General, which he seemed to have accepted. Q. But I think it is right to say that you didn't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	matter which, even the DPP agreed with me, the Attorney was wrong.  Q. Yes, it is quite a sharp response, isn't it?  A. It is, very sharp.  Q. C6806 please. These are text messages between Mr DiVincenzi and Mr Llamas. If we go to the bottom, this is on 14 May.  Lloyd DiVincenzi, Lewis, and another gent is here to see you. Moshe Levy is the other gentleman. Moshe Levy is of course another Hassans's lawyer. I am not sure he was a partner at the time but he is the son of Mr Levy.  A. Mmhmm.  Q. And we will just assume for these questions that Lewis is Lewis Baglietto, Mr Llamas can clarify whether that is the case or not. Were you aware at the time, so on the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	known at the time that the Chief Minister had been told that the DPP strongly advised against the search warrant, would that have helped you to be able to answer the criticism of you?  A. What I was told was that the Chief Minister — by the Attorney General was that they said that I had told them that the DPP had advised, and when I offered the explanation, he said, "Well, it's best that you've explained it because he was going to call him." So, the Attorney General inferred that the Chief Minister would be calling the DPP direct and that is contained in the transcript. So, I felt that I had offered a sufficient explanation to the Attorney General, which he seemed to have accepted. Q. But I think it is right to say that you didn't speak to the Chief Minister again?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	matter which, even the DPP agreed with me, the Attorney was wrong.  Q. Yes, it is quite a sharp response, isn't it?  A. It is, very sharp.  Q. C6806 please. These are text messages between Mr DiVincenzi and Mr Llamas. If we go to the bottom, this is on 14 May.  Lloyd DiVincenzi, Lewis, and another gent is here to see you. Moshe Levy is the other gentleman. Moshe Levy is of course another Hassans's lawyer. I am not sure he was a partner at the time but he is the son of Mr Levy.  A. Mmhmm.  Q. And we will just assume for these questions that Lewis is Lewis Baglietto, Mr Llamas can clarify whether that is the case or not. Were you aware at the time, so on the 14th, that if Mr Llamas met with Mr
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	known at the time that the Chief Minister had been told that the DPP strongly advised against the search warrant, would that have helped you to be able to answer the criticism of you?  A. What I was told was that the Chief Minister by the Attorney General was that they said that I had told them that the DPP had advised, and when I offered the explanation, he said, "Well, it's best that you've explained it because he was going to call him." So, the Attorney General inferred that the Chief Minister would be calling the DPP direct and that is contained in the transcript. So, I felt that I had offered a sufficient explanation to the Attorney General, which he seemed to have accepted. Q. But I think it is right to say that you didn't speak to the Chief Minister again?  A. No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	matter which, even the DPP agreed with me, the Attorney was wrong.  Q. Yes, it is quite a sharp response, isn't it?  A. It is, very sharp.  Q. C6806 please. These are text messages between Mr DiVincenzi and Mr Llamas. If we go to the bottom, this is on 14 May.  Lloyd DiVincenzi, Lewis, and another gent is here to see you. Moshe Levy is the other gentleman. Moshe Levy is of course another Hassans's lawyer. I am not sure he was a partner at the time but he is the son of Mr Levy.  A. Mmhmm.  Q. And we will just assume for these questions that Lewis is Lewis Baglietto, Mr Llamas can clarify whether that is the case or not. Were you aware at the time, so on the 14th, that if Mr Llamas met with Mr Baglietto and Moshe Levy?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	known at the time that the Chief Minister had been told that the DPP strongly advised against the search warrant, would that have helped you to be able to answer the criticism of you?  A. What I was told was that the Chief Minister by the Attorney General was that they said that I had told them that the DPP had advised, and when I offered the explanation, he said, "Well, it's best that you've explained it because he was going to call him." So, the Attorney General inferred that the Chief Minister would be calling the DPP direct and that is contained in the transcript. So, I felt that I had offered a sufficient explanation to the Attorney General, which he seemed to have accepted. Q. But I think it is right to say that you didn't speak to the Chief Minister again?  A. No.  Q. After 12 May?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	matter which, even the DPP agreed with me, the Attorney was wrong.  Q. Yes, it is quite a sharp response, isn't it?  A. It is, very sharp.  Q. C6806 please. These are text messages between Mr DiVincenzi and Mr Llamas. If we go to the bottom, this is on 14 May.  Lloyd DiVincenzi, Lewis, and another gent is here to see you. Moshe Levy is the other gentleman. Moshe Levy is of course another Hassans's lawyer. I am not sure he was a partner at the time but he is the son of Mr Levy.  A. Mmhmm.  Q. And we will just assume for these questions that Lewis is Lewis Baglietto, Mr Llamas can clarify whether that is the case or not. Were you aware at the time, so on the 14th, that if Mr Llamas met with Mr Baglietto and Moshe Levy?  A. I was not aware that they were meeting,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	known at the time that the Chief Minister had been told that the DPP strongly advised against the search warrant, would that have helped you to be able to answer the criticism of you?  A. What I was told was that the Chief Minister by the Attorney General was that they said that I had told them that the DPP had advised, and when I offered the explanation, he said, "Well, it's best that you've explained it because he was going to call him." So, the Attorney General inferred that the Chief Minister would be calling the DPP direct and that is contained in the transcript. So, I felt that I had offered a sufficient explanation to the Attorney General, which he seemed to have accepted. Q. But I think it is right to say that you didn't speak to the Chief Minister again?  A. No.  Q. After 12 May?  A. That was it.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	matter which, even the DPP agreed with me, the Attorney was wrong.  Q. Yes, it is quite a sharp response, isn't it?  A. It is, very sharp.  Q. C6806 please. These are text messages between Mr DiVincenzi and Mr Llamas. If we go to the bottom, this is on 14 May.  Lloyd DiVincenzi, Lewis, and another gent is here to see you. Moshe Levy is the other gentleman. Moshe Levy is of course another Hassans's lawyer. I am not sure he was a partner at the time but he is the son of Mr Levy.  A. Mmhmm.  Q. And we will just assume for these questions that Lewis is Lewis Baglietto, Mr Llamas can clarify whether that is the case or not. Were you aware at the time, so on the 14th, that if Mr Llamas met with Mr Baglietto and Moshe Levy?  A. I was not aware that they were meeting, but I had the suspicion lingering that Mr
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	known at the time that the Chief Minister had been told that the DPP strongly advised against the search warrant, would that have helped you to be able to answer the criticism of you?  A. What I was told was that the Chief Minister by the Attorney General was that they said that I had told them that the DPP had advised, and when I offered the explanation, he said, "Well, it's best that you've explained it because he was going to call him." So, the Attorney General inferred that the Chief Minister would be calling the DPP direct and that is contained in the transcript. So, I felt that I had offered a sufficient explanation to the Attorney General, which he seemed to have accepted. Q. But I think it is right to say that you didn't speak to the Chief Minister again?  A. No.  Q. After 12 May?  A. That was it.  Q. No. B1910 please, just a little bit further	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	matter which, even the DPP agreed with me, the Attorney was wrong.  Q. Yes, it is quite a sharp response, isn't it?  A. It is, very sharp.  Q. C6806 please. These are text messages between Mr DiVincenzi and Mr Llamas. If we go to the bottom, this is on 14 May.  Lloyd DiVincenzi, Lewis, and another gent is here to see you. Moshe Levy is the other gentleman. Moshe Levy is of course another Hassans's lawyer. I am not sure he was a partner at the time but he is the son of Mr Levy.  A. Mmhmm.  Q. And we will just assume for these questions that Lewis is Lewis Baglietto, Mr Llamas can clarify whether that is the case or not. Were you aware at the time, so on the 14th, that if Mr Llamas met with Mr Baglietto and Moshe Levy?  A. I was not aware that they were meeting, but I had the suspicion lingering that Mr Llamas was having some form of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	known at the time that the Chief Minister had been told that the DPP strongly advised against the search warrant, would that have helped you to be able to answer the criticism of you?  A. What I was told was that the Chief Minister by the Attorney General was that they said that I had told them that the DPP had advised, and when I offered the explanation, he said, "Well, it's best that you've explained it because he was going to call him." So, the Attorney General inferred that the Chief Minister would be calling the DPP direct and that is contained in the transcript. So, I felt that I had offered a sufficient explanation to the Attorney General, which he seemed to have accepted. Q. But I think it is right to say that you didn't speak to the Chief Minister again?  A. No.  Q. After 12 May?  A. That was it.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	matter which, even the DPP agreed with me, the Attorney was wrong.  Q. Yes, it is quite a sharp response, isn't it?  A. It is, very sharp.  Q. C6806 please. These are text messages between Mr DiVincenzi and Mr Llamas. If we go to the bottom, this is on 14 May.  Lloyd DiVincenzi, Lewis, and another gent is here to see you. Moshe Levy is the other gentleman. Moshe Levy is of course another Hassans's lawyer. I am not sure he was a partner at the time but he is the son of Mr Levy.  A. Mmhmm.  Q. And we will just assume for these questions that Lewis is Lewis Baglietto, Mr Llamas can clarify whether that is the case or not. Were you aware at the time, so on the 14th, that if Mr Llamas met with Mr Baglietto and Moshe Levy?  A. I was not aware that they were meeting, but I had the suspicion lingering that Mr

1 Q. But he didn't tell you that?	1 told Mr Llamas? I think they've had a lot of
2 A. He didn't, but the tenor of his	2 confusion over that from the beginning."
3 interventions in the	3 Carry on, Mr Richardson, "That clearly
4 Q. Later, yes. So, I think it's right to say that	4 might be the case, but this is a QC accusing
5 yesterday you said there was something of a	5 the Commissioner of Police of acting
6 progression in the meetings and, correct me	6 unlawfully because he has gone against the
7 if I am wrong, that on 13 May there was still	7 advice of the DPP." Mr Rocca, "I picked that
8 a general intention to follow through with the	8 up." Chief of Police, "Can we go back to a
9 interview under caution and to inspect the	9 couple of instances." Mr Llamas, "That's an
phone, is that right?	assumption that they're making." Mr
11 A. That's correct.	11 Richardson, "So how can a QC just throw
12 Q. But that then shift, you said yesterday, on	that out saying that the Commissioner of
13 15 May, when things changed. Is that right?	13 Police had acted unlawfully?" Mr Rocca,
14 A. When the problems when the Attorney	14 "It's almost worse than that. It's kind of
15 General started the emphasis on the problems	possibly suggests that I have spoken to Lewis
16 that Hassans had, which was the interview	16 Baglietto, which I haven't." You say, "That's
17 under caution, the examination of the	17 my question. My question is"
devices, the status of suspect, and that is	18 Superintendent Richardson, "Where has it
19 when I got the impression that where's he	19 come from? It wasn't aired in court." Mr
20 getting all this from?	20 Llamas, "It must come from the conversation
Q. Yes. And you were asked by Mr	21 with Ian and the Chief Minister." Now, at
22 Caruana, by Sir Peter sorry, yesterday and	that time Mr Rocca is saying, "Look, this
you agreed, you were asked was it your	23 suggests, makes it sound like I have spoken
24 understanding that Mr Llamas and Mr Rocca	24 to Mr Baglietto but I haven't", and Mr
25 were giving advice to the RGP at this	25 Llamas is saying, "Well, there's a bit of
Page 61	Page 63
1 "gc V1	1 age 03
1 meetings about how to handle these requests	1 confusion about that. It must have come
2 from Hassans, is that right, just firstly?	2 from the meeting with the Chief Minister."
3 A. We needed the support and advice, of	3 Did he at any point then or in the entire
4 course. It was now, as I say, the police	4 meeting as far as you remember it, or even
5 dealing with King's Counsel.	5 straight after the meeting, did he say,
6 Q. Yes. So when Mr Llamas was giving that	6 "Actually, I've been meeting I've met with
7 advice, did he at any point on the 15th	7 Mr Baglietto"?
8 mention whether he had met with Mr	8 A. No.
9 Baglietto and Mr Levy's son the previous	9 Q. "And discussed these matters with Mr
10 day?	10 Baglietto and Moshe Levy"?
11 <b>A. No.</b>	11 A. He never mentioned that.
12 Q. If we can show B276, fourth box from	12 Q. And yet he continued to offer his advice
the bottom please, final line. Now, this is on	as Attorney General without telling you that.
14 15 May, the meeting, and here there is a	14 Does that cause you concern?
15 discussion of the letter of 15 May that we	15 A. I think my response to that statement by
went to before and about that section of the	16 Mr Llamas says it all.
17 letter where it said, "and it's our belief that	17 Q. What do you mean, sorry?
the Commissioner was advised against the	18 A. The next box in that part of the transcript
19 warrant by the DPP." Superintendent	19 says it all.
20 Richardson, the final line of that big box,	20 THE CHAIRMAN: Sorry, which box?
21 "There's even a suggestion here that you	21 MR WAGNER: Do you mean
22 advised us not to obtain a warrant." Mr	22 A. Shall I carry on.
23 Rocca, "I read that." Mr Richardson, "We	Q. Do you mean, "Then we're talking big
24 didn't say that. We didn't agree to that.	24 stuff here."?
Now, where has that come from? Who has	25 A. Yes, "We're talking big stuff."
Page 62	Page 64
	16 (Pages 61 to 64)

2 Caruana's. What does that mean "entonce" 3 from there? 4 A. That we're talking big stuff here. We are talking when Hassans is supposedly aware of advice, alleged advice that the PP has given, and those personalities in the meeting are saying that none of them have imparted that information, that is what I refer, "We're talking big stuff here", but my reaction, you ask about my reaction, and I just tell them 12 flat out "I've been sold out". 13 Q. Yes. Just staying in this document, B272, fourth box down please. Mr Llamas, just 15 picking it up about six lines from the bottom of that box where it says." "As you know "In learning criminal law says." "As you know "In learning criminal law says." "As you know while to explode." I just want to ask you about that comment, and obviously I ask you about t	1	Q. My Spanish isn't as good as Sir Peter	1	and that file was perused by Mr Llamas, who
4 A. Because there was strong – I think it's important – because there was strong – I think it's important – because there was strong inference that there was going to be potential discontinuation there. The UK counsel retailing big stuff here", but my reaction, you ask about my reaction, and I just ell them flat out "I've been sold out".  10 Q. Yes, Just staying in this document, B272, fourth box down please. Mr Llamas, just picking it up about six lines from the bottom of that box where it says, "as you know I'm learning criminal law procedure on the hoof myself, with a very good teacher if I may say so and I am just 1 do not want this to explode." I just want to ask you about that comment, and obviously I am not asking you about what Mr Llamas's state of knowledge was, but was it usual that Mr Llamas would advise the RGP on 25 criminal matters?  Page 65  A. Certainly not.  Q. Before this investigation?  A. No.  A. The DPP. A. Or his Crown Counsel. Q. Q. So, would you say it was unusual that Mr Llamas was taking an interest and advising in this investigation in this investigation and here in this investigation? A. I know in all fairness to him, I know that he'd also expressed an interest in another serious case.  A. I know in all fairness to him, I know that he'd also expressed an interest and advising in this investigation there. The UK counsel refresh and the DP that he would want to peruse serious cases. This way say as and 1 am just 1 of think it's pertinent, just to show how — and he communicated through the DPP that he would want to peruse serious cases. This vay pertinent, just to show how — and he communicated through the DPP that he would want to perused, he requested the file on an indictment of rape relating to a personal friend of the then Minister of Justice  Defrom the inthic inthic that a perused, he requested the file on an indictment of rape relating to a personal friend of the then Minister of Justice  A. This CHAINAN: We are rather straying inference that there was edificient to tak	2		2	
5 talking when Hassans is supposedly aware 6 of advice, alleged advice that the DPP has 7 given, and those personalities in the meeting 8 are saying that none of them have imparted 9 that information, that is what I refer, "We're 10 talking big stuff here", but my reaction, and I just tell them 11 ask about my reaction, and I just tell them 12 flat out "I've been sold out". 13 Q. Yes. Just staying in this document, B272, 14 fourth box down pleace, Mr Llamas, just 15 picking it up about six lines from the bottom 16 of that box where it says "As you know", he 17 says, "As you know "I hearning criminal law 18 procedure on the hoof myself, with a very 19 good teacher if I may say so and I am just 20 I do not want this to explode." I just want to 21 ask you about that comment, and obviously I 22 am not asking you about what Mr Llamas' 23 state of knowledge was, but was it usual that 24 Mr Llamas would advise the RGP on 25 criminal matters? 26 A. The DPP. 27 Q. Before this investigation? 28 A. No. 29 Q. Who would be the point of contact with 29 G. The DPP. 30 A. No. 40 Q. Who would be the point of contact with 41 the RGP? 42 A. The DPP. 43 A. I know in all fairness to him, I know that 43 he'd also expressed an interest in another 44 serious case. 45 Q. Yes, but that is one other. 46 A. I think it's pertinent because I wouldn't 47 have responded in this way, but I think it's 48 very pertinent, just to show how – and he 49 communicated through the DPP that he 40 would want to peruse serious cases. This 41 vays a before this matter – I wasn't even 42 ways before this matter – I wasn't even 43 superintendent. He perused, he requested the 44 file on an indictment of rape relating to a 45 personal friend of the then Minister of Justice  45 personal friend of the then Minister of Justice  46 Llama was taking an interest in another 47 commissioner then, I think I was a 48 pure taken of the time. The UK counsel 49 case in your knowledge? 40 A. I knowledge was, but was it usual that 40 case in your knowledge? 40 A. The	3	from there?	3	Q. Okay.
6 of advice, alleged advice that the DPP has given, and those personalities in the meeting a re saying that none of them have imparted that information, that is what I refer, "We're talking big stuff here," but my reaction, you ask about my reaction, and I just tell them If at out "I've been sold out".  12 Q. Yes, Just staying in this document, B272, fourth box down please. Mr Llamas, just picking it up about six lines from the bottom of that box where it says," As you know! In learning criminal law procedure on the hoof myself, with a very good teacher if I may say so and I am just and I do not want this to explode." I just want to ask you about that comment, and obviously I am not asking you about what Mr Llamas's state of knowledge was, but was it usual that Mr Llamas would advise the RGP on criminal matters?  1 A. Certainly not.  2 Q. Before this investigation?  3 A. No.  4 Q. Who would be the point of contact with the RGP?  5 A. Or his Crown Counsel.  9 Q. Yes, but that is one other.  4 A. I think it's pertinent because I wouldn't have responded in this way, but I think it's very pertinent, just to show how — and he communicated through the DPP that he would want to peruse serious cases. This very pertinent, just to show how — and he communicated through the DPP that he would want to peruse serious case. This very pertinent, just to show how — and he communicated through the DPP that he would want to peruse serious cases. This very pertinent, just to show how — and he communicated through the DPP that he would want to peruse serious cases. This very pertinent, just to show how — and he communicated through the DPP that he would want to peruse serious cases. This very pertinent, just to show how — and he communicated through the DPP that he would want to peruse serious cases. This very pertinent, just to show how — and he communicated through the DPP that he would want to peruse serious cases. This very pertinent, just to show how — and he communicated through the DPP that he would want to peruse serious	4	A. That we're talking big stuff here. We are	4	A. Because there was strong I think it's
given, and those personalities in the meeting are saying that none of them have imparted that information, that is what I refer, "We're talking big stuff here", but my reaction, you ask about my reaction, and I just tell them flat out "I've been sold out".  13 Q. Yes. Just staying in this document, B272, fourth box down please. Mr Llamas, just picking it up about six lines from the bottom of that box where it says "As you know", he says, "As you know "Implement geriminal law procedure on the hoof myself, with a very good teacher if I may say so and I am just 12 A. This is the second case in five or six years, yes.  13 afterwards. That was the only case - that was the only case afterwards. That was the only case - that was the only case afterwards. That was the only case afterwards. That was the only case - that was the only case afterwards. That was the only case - that was the only case afterwards. That was the only case - that was the only case afterwards. That was the only case - that was the only case afterwards. That was the only case - that was	5	talking when Hassans is supposedly aware	5	important because there was strong
returned that there was strong evidence, well that information, that is what I refer, "We're that there was evidence sufficient to take to trial. THE CHAIRMAN: We are rather straying in the sake but my reaction, and I just tell them flat out "I've been sold out".  Q. Yes. Just staying in this document, B272, fourth box down please. Mr Llamas, just picking it up about six lines from the bottom of that box where it says "As you know" he says, "As you know I'm learning criminal law procedure on the hoof myself, with a very good teacher if I may say so and I am just 10 do not want this to explode." I just want to ask you about that comment, and obviously I ask you about that comment, and obviously I am not asking you about that comment, and obviously I am not asking you about that comment, and obviously I am not asking you about that comment, and obviously I am not asking you about that comment, and obviously I am not asking you about that comment, and obviously I am not asking you about that comment, and obviously I am not asking you about that comment, and obviously I am not asking you about that comment, and obviously I am not asking you about that comment, and obviously I am not asking you about that comment, and obviously I am not asking you about that comment, and obviously I be right. B392, this is the letter that was haded to Mr Levy, "The topic areas we seek to explode in this westigation?  A. No.  A. No.  A. Certainly not.  Q. Before this investigation?  A. No. Q. Before this investigation?  A. No. O. The DPP.  A. The DPP.  A. The point is that that was the only case afterwards. That was the only case in that that was the only case in the reviewed.  This is the second case in five or six years, yes.  Q. Jake I deal the revised here was eving resentent from the caption of	6	of advice, alleged advice that the DPP has	6	inference that there was going to be potential
that information, that is what I refer, "We're talking big stuff here", but my reaction, you ask about my reaction, and I just tell them flat out "I've been sold out".  Q. Yes. Just staying in this document, B272, fourth box down please. Mr Llamas, just picking it up about six lines from the bottom of of that box where it says. "As you know", he says, "As you know I'm learning criminal law procedure on the hoof myself, with a very good teacher if I may say so and I am just  I do not want this to explode." I just want to ask you about that comment, and obviously I am not asking you about what Mr Llamas's state of knowledge was, but was it usual that Mr Llamas would advise the RGP on timinal matters?  A. No.  A. No.  Q. Before this investigation?  A. No. Q. Who would be the point of contact with the RGP? A. The DPP. Q. The DPP. A. Or his Crown Counsel. Q. So, would you say it was unusual that Mr Llamas was taking an interest and advising in this investigation. A. I think it's pertinent because I wouldn't have responded in this way, but I think it is very perfinent, just to show how — and he communicated through the DPP that he would want to peruse serious cases. This was way before this matter — I wasn't even Commissioner then, I think I was a su surjentednent. He perused, he requested the file on an indictment of rape relating to a personal friend of the then Minister of Justice  Page and maybe it's stated. 12 May, well 12 May Sway Defore this matter — I wasn't even Commissioner then, I think I was a su surjentednent. He perused, he requested the file on an indictment of rape relating to a personal friend of the then Minister of Justice  THE CHAIRMAN: We are rather straying from the point is that that was the only case afterwards. That was the only case afterwards. That was the only case afterwards. That was the only case in the was the only case in the was the only case in the was the only case in your knowledge?  A. This is the can in your blot was the the point of the point of topics sent to Mr Levy. B5392	7	given, and those personalities in the meeting	7	discontinuation there. The UK counsel
talking big stuff here", but my reaction, you ask about my reaction, and I just tell them that the sake about my reaction, and I just tell them that the them to make a port of the point I think.  13 Q. Yes. Just staying in this document, B272, fourth box down please. Mr Llamas, just picking it up about six lines from the bottom of that box where it says "As you know", he says, "As you know l'm learning criminal law procedure on the hoof myself, with a very good teacher if I may say so and I am just 12 I do not want this to explode." I just want to 21 ask you about that comment, and obviously I 22 am not asking you about what Mr Llamas's stast you about that comment, and obviously I 23 state of knowledge was, but was it usual that Mr Llamas would advise the RGP on 25 criminal matters?  1 A. Certainly not. 2 Q. Before this investigation? 3 A. No. 4 Q. Who would be the point of contact with the RGP? 4 A. The DPP. 5 Q. The DPP. 5 A. The DPP. 6 A. The DPP. 6 A. The DPP. 6 A. I know in all fairness to him, I know that he'd also expressed an interest and advising in 11 this investigation. 4 I think it's pertinent because I wouldn't have responded in this way, but I think it is very pertinent, just to show how — and he communicated through the DPP that he would want to peruse serious cases. This was way before this matter — I wasn't even Commissioner then, I think I was a superintendent. He perused, he requested the file on an indictment of rape relating to a personal friend of the then Minister of Justice the Climan in the content when it was put on — 25 THE CHAIRMAN: That was the only case — in the content when it was the treved case in your knowledge?  A. The point is that that that that that that that was the only case — in the content was t	8	are saying that none of them have imparted	8	returned that there was strong evidence, well
from the point I think.  If and out "I've been sold out".  Q. Yes, Just staying in this document, B272, fourth box down please. Mr Llamas, just picking it up about six lines from the bottom of of that box where it says. "As you know", he says, "As you know I'm learning criminal law procedure on the hoof myself, with a very good teacher if I may say so and I am just  If I do not want this to explode." I just want to ask you about that comment, and obviously I ask you about that comment and obviously I ask you about the motice of topic sent to Mr Levy. B5392 — no, this can't be right. B5392, this is the letter that was the only case — that was the	9	that information, that is what I refer, "We're	9	there was evidence sufficient to take to trial.
12 A. The point is that that was the only case afterwards. That was the only case that was the office holder.  13 Q. Yes, Just staying in this document, B272, fourth box down please. Mr Llamas, just 15 picking it up about six lines from the bottom of that box where it says "As you know", he says, "As you know I'm learning reriminal law procedure on the hoof myself, with a very good teacher if I may say so and I am just 12 I do not want this to explode." I just want to ask you about that comment, and obviously I am not asking you about what Mr Llamas's state of knowledge was, but was it usual that Mr Llamas would advise the RGP on criminal matters?  1 A. Certainly not. 2 Q. Before this investigation? 3 A. No. 4 Q. Who would be the point of contact with the RGP? 5 A. The DPP. 5 Q. The DPP. 6 A. The DPP. 6 A. The DPP. 7 Q. The DPP. 7 Q. The DPP. 8 A. Or his Crown Counsel. 9 Q. So, would you say it was unusual that Mr Llamas was taking an interest and advising in this investigation. 12 A. I know in all fairness to him, I know that he'd also expressed an interest in another serious case. 15 Q. Yes, but that is one other. A. I think it's pertinent because I wouldn't have responded in this way, but I think it is very pertinent, just to show how — and he communicated through the DPP that he wound want to peruse serious cases. This was way before this matter — I wasn't even 22 Commissioner then, I think I was a superintendent. He perused, he requested the file on an indictment of rape relating to a personal friend of the then Minister of Justice 4 discounts and interest and an indictment of rape relating to a personal friend of the then Minister of Justice 4 A. The notice was issued on 12 May. Now the content when it was put on — THE CHAIRMAN: That was the only case that freviewed. MR WAGNER: It may be that the etiter was a firerwards. That was the only case — that was the only case in five or six years, yes. 2 Q. Just a brief question about	10	talking big stuff here", but my reaction, you	10	THE CHAIRMAN: We are rather straying
13 Q. Yes. Just staying in this document, B272, fourth box down please. Mr Llamas, just 15 picking it up about six lines from the bottom 16 of that box where it says "As you know", he says, "As you know I'm learning criminal law procedure on the hoof myself, with a very good teacher if I may say so and I am just 20 I do not want this to explode." I just want to ask you about that comment, and obviously 1 22 am not asking you about what Mr Llamas's state of knowledge was, but was it usual that Mr Llamas would advise the RGP on 25 criminal matters?  Page 65  A. Certainly not. 2 Q. Before this investigation? 3 A. No. 4 Q. Who would be the point of contact with 5 the RGP? 5 Communication with the Chief Minister in Page 67  A. The DPP. 5 A. Or his Crown Counsel. 9 Q. So, would you say it was unusual that Mr Llamas was taking an interest and advising in this investigation. 12 A. I know in all fairness to him, I know that he'd also expressed an interest and advising in this investigation. 12 A. I know in all fairness to him, I know that he'd also expressed an interest in another serious case. 2 Q. Yes, but that is one other. 4. I think it's pertinent because I wouldn't have responded in this way, but I think it is very pertinent, just to show how — and he communicated through the DPP that he would want to peruse serious cases. This was way before this matter — I wasn't even 22 Commissioner then, I think I was a superintendent. He perused, he requested the file on an indictment of rape relating to a personal friend of the them Minister of Justice 4 The ChalRmAnn. That was the first that was the only serious case that he reviewed. MR WAGNER: It may be that the texe cond case in your knowledge?  A. This is the second case in five or six years, yes. 2 Usus, years, yes. 3 Usus a brief question about the notice of topic sent to MF Levy. B5392 — no, this can't be right. B5392, this is the letter that was becight the second	11	ask about my reaction, and I just tell them	11	from the point I think.
fourth box down please. Mr Llamas, just picking it up about six lines from the bottom of of that box where it says "As you know", he says, "As you know I'm learning criminal law procedure on the hoof myself, with a very good teacher if I may say so and I am just 14 Mr WaGNER: Yes, so this is the second case in your knowledge?  A. This is the second case in five or six years, yes.  Q. Just a brief question about the notice of topic sent to Mr Levy. B5392 no, this can't be right. B5392, this is the letter that was handed to Mr Levy. "The topic areas we seek to explore in formal interview under caution include but are not limited to 9. Communication with the Chief Minister in Page 67  A. Certainly not. Q. Before this investigation? A. No. Q. Who would be the point of contact with the RGP? A. Or his Crown Counsel. A. I how in all fairness to him, I know that he'd also expressed an interest in another serious case. Q. Yes, but that is one other. A. I know in all fairness to him, I know that he'd also expressed an interest in another serious case. Q. Yes, but that is one other. A. I know in all fairness to him, I know that he'd also expressed an interest in another serious case. C. Who would want to peruse serious cases. This was way before this matter – I wasn't even Commissioner then, I think I was a superintendent. He perused, he requested the file on an indictment of rape relating to a personal friend of the then Minister of Justice  14 Was the only serious case that he reviewed. Mr WAGNER: Yes, so this is the second case in five or six years, yes. Q. Just a brief question about the notice of topic sent to Mr Levy. B5392 no, this can't be right. B5392, this is the letter that was he file or say with any obvious case we seek to explore in formal interview under caution include but are not limited to 9. Communication with the Chief Minister's - well, that the words "Chief Mini	12	flat out "I've been sold out".	12	A. The point is that that was the only case
15 picking it up about six lines from the bottom 16 of that box where it says "As you know", he 17 says, "As you know "In learning refininal law 18 procedure on the hoof myself, with a very 19 good teacher if I may say so and I am just 10 I do not want this to explocke". I just want to 21 ask you about that comment, and obviously I 22 am not asking you about what Mr Llamas's 23 state of knowledge was, but was it usual that 24 Mr Llamas would advise the RGP on 25 criminal matters?  Page 65  1 A. Certainly not. 2 Q. Before this investigation? 3 A. No. 4 Q. Who would be the point of contact with 5 the RGP? 6 A. The DPP. 7 Q. The DPP. 8 A. Or his Crown Counsel. 9 Q. So, would you say it was unusual that Mr 1 Llamas was taking an interest and advising in 11 this investigation. 2 A. I know in all fairness to him, I know that 1 he'd also expressed an interest in another 2 serious case. 3 Q. Yes, but that is one other. 4 A. I think it's pertinent because I wouldn't 17 have responded in this way, but I think it is 18 very pertinent, just to show how — and he 20 commissioner then, I think I was a 21 superintendent. He perused, he requested the 22 file on an indictment of rape relating to a 23 personal friend of the then Minister of Justice  15 MR WAGNER: Yes, so this is the second case in five or six years, yes.  20 A. This is the second case in five or six years, yes.  21 D. A. This is the second case in five or six years, yes.  22 D. Just a brief question about the notice of topic sent to Mr Levy. "The topic sent by English. B5392. no, this can't be right. B5392. ho, this can't be right. B6392. hot his can't be rig	13	Q. Yes. Just staying in this document, B272,	13	afterwards. That was the only case that
of that box where it says "As you know", he says, "As you know I'm learning criminal law procedure on the hoof myself, with a very gretinent, just to show how - and he communicated through the I bas was way before this matter - I wasn't even Commissioner then, I think I'ms a superintendent. He perused, he requested the file on an indictment of rape relating to a surperintendent. He perused, he requested the file on an indictment of rape relating to a sex you about that rows the susuel that procedure on the hoof myself, with a very gertinend ent. He perused, he requested the file on an indictment of rape relating to a personal friend of the them Minister of Justice  case in your knowledge?  A. This is the second case in five or six years, yes.  Q. Just a brief question about the notice of topic sent to Mr Levy. B5392 - no, this can't be right. Say 2Q. Just a brief question about the notice of topic sent to Mr Levy. B5392 - no, this can't be right. Say 2Q. Just a brief question about the notice of topic sent to Mr Levy. B5392 - no, this can't be right. Say 392 - no, this can't be right. Say 292, this sith eletter that was handed to Mr Levy. "The topic areas we seek to explore in formal interview under caution include but are not limited to 9.  Communication with the Chief Minister in Page 67  1	14	fourth box down please. Mr Llamas, just	14	was the only serious case that he reviewed.
says, "As you know I'm learning criminal law procedure on the hoof myself, with a very good teacher if I may say so and I am just 19	15	picking it up about six lines from the bottom	15	MR WAGNER: Yes, so this is the second
procedure on the hoof myself, with a very good teacher if I may say so and I am just I do not want this to explode." I just want to 20 topic sent to Mr Levy. B5392 no, this can't be right. B5392, this is the letter that was handed to Mr Levy. B5392 no, this can't be right. B5392, this is the letter that was handed to Mr Levy. The topic areas we seek to explore in formal interview under caution include but are not limited to 9. Communication with the Chief Minister in  Page 65  Page 67  A. Certainly not. Q. Before this investigation? A. No. Q. Who would be the point of contact with the RGP? A. The DPP. Q. The DPP. A. Or his Crown Counsel. Q. So, would you say it was unusual that Mr Llamas was taking an interest and advising in this investigation. Llamas was taking an interest and advising in this investigation. A. I think it's pertinent because I wouldn't have responded in this way, but I think it is very pertinent, just to show how and he communicated through the DPP that he would want to peruse serious cases. This was way before this matter I wasn't even Commissioner then, I think I was a superintendent. He perused, he requested the file on an indictment of rape relating to a personal friend of the ten Minister of Justice  18 years, yes. Q. Just a brief question about the notice of topic sent to Mr Levy. B5392 no, this can't be right. Before to pic include but are not limited to 9. Communication with the Chief Minister in turn to B3302, there you can see number 9, "Communication with the Chief Minister in relation to any of the above." Then if we can turn to B3302, there you can see number 9, "Communication with any other person in relation to the above." Then if we can turn to B3302, there you can see number 9, "Communication with any other person in relation to the above." Then if we can turn to B3302, there you can see number 9, "Communication with any other person in relation to the above." Then if we can turn to B3302, there you can see number 9, "Communication with any other pe	16	of that box where it says "As you know", he	16	case in your knowledge?
good teacher if I may say so and I am just 10 I do not want this to explode." I just want to 21 ask you about that comment, and obviously I 22 am not asking you about what Mr Llamas's 23 state of knowledge was, but was it usual that 24 Mr Llamas would advise the RGP on 25 criminal matters? 26 Page 65  The A. Certainly not. 27 Q. Before this investigation? 28 A. No. 29 Refore this investigation? 30 A. No. 40 Q. Who would be the point of contact with 41 the RGP? 42 A. Or his Crown Counsel. 41 Q. So, would you say it was unusual that Mr 42 Llamas was taking an interest and advising in 43 this investigation. 44 A. I know in all fairness to him, I know that 45 he'd also expressed an interest in another 46 A. I think it's pertinent because I wouldn't 46 have responded in this way, but I think it is 47 very pertinent, just to show how — and he 48 communicated through the DPP that he 49 would want to peruse serious cases. 20 The DPP that he 21 was way before this matter — I wasn't even 22 Commissioner then, I think I was a 23 superintendent. He perused, he requested the 24 file on an indictment of rape relating to a 25 personal friend of the then Minister of Justice  19 personal friend of the then Minister of Justice  10 I do not want this it we that I has a as use in the beright. B5392, this is the letter that was handed to Mr Levy, "The topic acras we seek to explore in formal interview under caution include but are not limited to 9.  20 Communication with the Chief Minister in  21 relation to any of the above." Then if we can turn to B3302, there you can see number 9, "Communication with any other person in relation to the above." Do you recall why it was that the Chief Minister's were taken out of this letter?  21 relation to any of the above." Then if we can turn to B3302, there you can see number 9, "Communication with any other person in relation to the above." Do you recall why it was that the Chief Minister's were taken out of this letter?  22	17	says, "As you know I'm learning criminal law	17	A. This is the second case in five or six
I do not want this to explode." I just want to ask you about that comment, and obviously I am not asking you about what Mr Llamas's state of knowledge was, but was it usual that Mr Llamas would advise the RGP on criminal matters?    Page 65	18		18	years, yes.
an not asking you about what Mr Llamas's state of knowledge was, but was it usual that Mr Llamas would advise the RGP on criminal matters?  22	19	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	19	
am not asking you about what Mr Llamas's state of knowledge was, but was it usual that Mr Llamas would advise the RGP on criminal matters?  24 Mr Llamas would advise the RGP on criminal matters?  25 Communication with the Chief Minister in Page 65  26 Page 65  27 Page 67  28 Page 67  29 Page 67  20 Before this investigation? 20 A. No. 21 Ven would be the point of contact with state RGP? 22 Unho would be the point of contact with state RGP? 23 A. Or his Crown Counsel. 24 Q. So, would you say it was unusual that Mr Llamas was taking an interest and advising in this investigation.  29 A. Or his Crown Counsel. 30 Possible A. I know in all fairness to him, I know that he'd also expressed an interest in another serious case. 31 So, Yes, but that is one other. 42 A. I think it's pertinent because I wouldn't have responded in this way, but I think it is very pertinent, just to show how — and he communicated through the DPP that he would want to peruse serious cases. This was way before this matter — I wasn't even Commissioner then, I think I was a superintendent. He perused, he requested the file on an indictment of rape relating to a personal friend of the then Minister of Justice  22 handed to Mr Levy, "The topic areas we seek to explore in formal interview under caution include but are not limited to 9.  25 Communication with the Chief Minister in  26 Page 67  27 relation to any of the above." Then if we can turn to B3302, there you can see number 9, "Communication with any other person in relation to the above." Do you recall why it was that the Chief Minister' were taken out of this letter?  26 A. The DPP. 27 Letter? 28 A. Yes, there was strong resentment from the Attorney General that his name or that his title had been mentioned there and he felt that that was potentially causing an issue in terms of protect the reputation of the officeholder.  28 Lamas was before the subve." Do you recall why it was that the Chief Minister' were taken out of this letter?  29 Lamas was taking an interest and advising in the	20	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		÷
state of knowledge was, but was it usual that  Mr Llamas would advise the RGP on  criminal matters?  Page 65  Page 67   1 A. Certainly not. 2 Q. Before this investigation? 3 A. No. 4 Q. Who would be the point of contact with 5 the RGP? 6 A. The DPP. 7 Q. The DPP. 8 A. Or his Crown Counsel. 9 Q. So, would you say it was unusual that Mr 10 Llamas was taking an interest and advising in 11 this investigation. 12 A. I know in all fairness to him, I know that 13 he'd also expressed an interest in another 14 serious case. 15 Q. Yes, but that is one other. 16 A. I think it's pertinent because I wouldn't 17 have responded in this way, but I think it is 18 very pertinent, just to show how — and he 19 communicated through the DPP that he 20 would want to peruse serious cases. This 21 was way before this matter — I wasn't even 22 Commissioner then, I think I was a 23 superintendent. He perused, he requested the 24 file on an indictment of rape relating to a 25 personal friend of the then Minister of Justice  15 Description and advise the RGP on 25 communication with the Chief Minister in 26 communication with the Chief Minister in 27 communication with the Chief Minister in 28 relation to any of the above." Then if we can 29 turn to B3302, there you can see number 9, 20 "Communication with any other person in 21 relation to any of the above." Then if we can 22 turn to B3302, there you can see number 9, 23 "Communication with any other person in 24 relation to any of the above." Then if we can 25 turn to B3302, there you can see number 9, 26 "Communication with any other person in 27 relation to any of the above." Then if we can 28 turn to B302, there you can see number 9, 29 "Communication with any other person in 29 relation to the above." Then if we can 29 "Communication with any other person in 29 "Communication with any other person in 29 "Communication with any other person in 29 "Mean the Chief Minister" were taken out of this 20 turn to B302, there you can see number 9, 21 "Communication with any other person in 22		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
24 Mr Llamas would advise the RGP on criminal matters?  25 Page 65  Page 65  Page 67  1 A. Certainly not. 2 Q. Before this investigation? 3 A. No. 4 Q. Who would be the point of contact with the RGP? 6 A. The DPP. 7 Q. The DPP. 8 A. Or his Crown Counsel. 9 Q. So, would you say it was unusual that Mr Llamas was taking an interest and advising in this investigation. 12 A. I know in all fairness to him, I know that he'd also expressed an interest in another serious case. 15 Q. Yes, but that is one other. 16 A. I think it's pertinent because I wouldn't have responded in this way, but I think it is very pertinent, just to show how — and he communicated through the DPP that he would want to peruse serious cases. This was way before this matter — I wasn't even 2 Commissioner then, I think I was a superintendent. He perused, he requested the file on an indictment of rape relating to a personal friend of the then Minister of Justice  24 include but are not limited to 9. Communication with the Chief Minister in Page 67  1 relation to any of the above." Then if we can turn to B3302, there you can see number 9, 3 "Communication with any other person in relation to the above." Do you recall why it was that the Chief Minister's — well, that the words "Chief Minister's — well, that the Chief Minister's — well, tha				* *
25 criminal matters?  Page 65  Page 67  1 A. Certainly not. 2 Q. Before this investigation? 3 A. No. 4 Q. Who would be the point of contact with 5 the RGP? 6 A. The DPP. 7 Q. The DPP. 8 A. Or his Crown Counsel. 9 Q. So, would you say it was unusual that Mr 11 Llamas was taking an interest and advising in 11 this investigation. 12 A. I know in all fairness to him, I know that 13 he'd also expressed an interest in another 14 serious case. 15 Q. Yes, but that is one other. 16 A. I think it's pertinent because I wouldn't 17 have responded in this way, but I think it is 18 very pertinent, just to show how—and he 20 would want to peruse serious cases. This 21 was way before this matter—I wasn't even 22 Commissioner then, I think I was a 23 superintendent. He perused, he requested the 24 file on an indictment of rape relating to a 25 personal friend of the then Minister of Justice  10 A. Certainly not. 11 relation to any of the above." Then if we can 12 turn to B3302, there you can see number 9, 12 (communication with any of the above." Then if we can 14 turn to B3302, there you can see number 9, 16 was that the Chief Minister in 16 wund to pass occurs with any other person in 17 relation to the above." Do you recall why it 18 was that the Chief Minister's—woll, than the 19 woords "Chief Minister's—well, that he 10 woords "Chief Minister's were taken out of this 11 this hat the Attorney General that his name or that his 12 title had been mentioned there and he felt 13 title had been mentioned there and he felt 14 that that was potentially causing an issue in 15 terms of protect the reputation of the 16 officeholder. 17 HE CHAIRMAN: We have probably been 18 A. I would have to refer to the top of the 19 page and maybe it's stated. 12 May, well 12 19 May is that. I don't think that —yes, 12 May. 20 May is that. I don't think that —yes, 12 May. 21 MR WAGNEE: It may be that the letter was 22 edited but the date was not edited. 23 A. The notice was issued on 12 May. Now 24 the content when it was put on — 25 THE CHAIRMAN: T		<del>-</del>		<u>*</u>
Page 65  A. Certainly not.  Q. Before this investigation?  A. No.  Q. Who would be the point of contact with the RGP?  A. The DPP.  Q. The DPP.  A. Or his Crown Counsel.  Q. So, would you say it was unusual that Mr. Llamas was taking an interest and advising in this investigation.  A. I know in all fairness to him, I know that he'd also expressed an interest in another serious case.  Q. Yes, but that is one other.  A. I think it's pertinent because I wouldn't have responded in this way, but I think it is very pertinent, just to show how — and he communicated through the DPP that he communicated t		Mr Llamas would advise the RGP on		
1 A. Certainly not. 2 Q. Before this investigation? 3 A. No. 4 Q. Who would be the point of contact with 5 the RGP? 5 Who would be the point of contact with 6 A. The DPP. 7 Q. The DPP. 8 A. Or his Crown Counsel. 9 Q. So, would you say it was unusual that Mr 10 Llamas was taking an interest and advising in 11 this investigation. 12 A. I know in all fairness to him, I know that 13 he'd also expressed an interest in another 14 serious case. 15 Q. Yes, but that is one other. 16 A. I think it's pertinent because I wouldn't 17 have responded in this way, but I think it is 18 very pertinent, just to show how — and he 19 communicated through the DPP that he 19 would want to peruse serious cases. This 20 was way before this matter — I wasn't even 21 Commissioner then, I think I was a 22 superintendent. He perused, he requested the file on an indictment of rape relating to a 2 turn to B3302, there you can see number 9, 2 turn to B3302, there you can see number 9, 3 "Communication with any other person in 4 relation to any of the above." Then if we can 4 turn to B3302, there you can see number 9, 3 "Communication with any other person in 4 relation to the above." Do you recall why it 4 was that the Chief Minister's — well, that the 4 very efficient of the above." Do you recall why it 4 was that the Chief Minister's — well a the the chief Minister's — well a turn to B3302, there you can see number 9, 6 "Commiscation with any other person in 7 relation to the above." Do you recall why it 8 was that the Chief Minister's — well, that the 8 was that the Chief Minister's — well, that the 8 A. Yes, there was strong resentment from 9 the Attorney General that his name or that his 10 title had been mentioned there and he felt 11 that that was potentially causing an issue in 12 terms of protect the reputation of the 12 terms of protect the reputation of the 13 officeholder. 14 Q. I now want to ask you about the — 15 THE CHAIRMAN: We have probably been 16 told this but I have forgotten, when was that 17 list drawn up, the revised li	25	criminal matters?	25	Communication with the Chief Minister in
2 turn to B3302, there you can see number 9, 3 A. No. 4 Q. Who would be the point of contact with 5 the RGP? 5 A. The DPP. 6 A. The DPP. 7 Q. The DPP. 8 A. Or his Crown Counsel. 9 Q. So, would you say it was unusual that Mr 10 Llamas was taking an interest and advising in 11 this investigation. 12 A. I know in all fairness to him, I know that 13 he'd also expressed an interest in another 14 serious case. 15 Q. Yes, but that is one other. 16 A. I think it's pertinent because I wouldn't 17 have responded in this way, but I think it is 18 very pertinent, just to show how — and he 19 communicated through the DPP that he 19 would want to peruse serious cases. This 20 was way before this matter — I wasn't even 21 Commissioner then, I think I was a 22 superintendent. He perused, he requested the 23 file on an indictment of rape relating to a 25 personal friend of the then Minister of Justice  2 turn to B3302, there you can see number 9, 3 "Communication with any other person in relation to the above." Do you recall why it was that the Chief Minister's — well, that the words "Chief Minister's —		Page 65		Page 67
2 turn to B3302, there you can see number 9, 3 A. No. 4 Q. Who would be the point of contact with 5 the RGP? 5 A. The DPP. 6 A. The DPP. 7 Q. The DPP. 8 A. Or his Crown Counsel. 9 Q. So, would you say it was unusual that Mr 10 Llamas was taking an interest and advising in 11 this investigation. 12 A. I know in all fairness to him, I know that 13 he'd also expressed an interest in another 14 serious case. 15 Q. Yes, but that is one other. 16 A. I think it's pertinent because I wouldn't 17 have responded in this way, but I think it is 18 very pertinent, just to show how — and he 19 communicated through the DPP that he 19 would want to peruse serious cases. This 20 was way before this matter — I wasn't even 21 Commissioner then, I think I was a 22 superintendent. He perused, he requested the 23 file on an indictment of rape relating to a 25 personal friend of the then Minister of Justice  2 turn to B3302, there you can see number 9, 3 "Communication with any other person in relation to the above." Do you recall why it was that the Chief Minister's — well, that the words "Chief Minister's —				
3	1	A Certainly not	1	relation to any of the above " Then if we can
4 Q. Who would be the point of contact with 5 the RGP? 6 A. The DPP. 7 Q. The DPP. 8 A. Or his Crown Counsel. 9 Q. So, would you say it was unusual that Mr 10 Llamas was taking an interest and advising in 11 this investigation. 12 A. I know in all fairness to him, I know that 13 he'd also expressed an interest in another 14 serious case. 15 Q. Yes, but that is one other. 16 A. I think it's pertinent because I wouldn't 17 have responded in this way, but I think it is 18 very pertinent, just to show how — and he 19 communicated through the DPP that he 19 communicated through the DPP that he 20 would want to peruse serious cases. This 21 was way before this matter — I wasn't even 22 Commissioner then, I think I was a 23 superintendent. He perused, he requested the 24 file on an indictment of rape relating to a 25 personal friend of the then Minister of Justice  4 relation to the above." Do you recall why it was that the Chief Minister's — well, that the words "Chief Minister" were taken out of this letter?  8 A. Yes, there was strong resentment from the Attorney General that his name or that his that that was potentially causing an issue in terms of protect the reputation of the 10 this had been mentioned there and he felt 11 that that was potentially causing an issue in 12 terms of protect the reputation of the 13 officeholder. 14 Q. I now want to ask you about the — 15 THE CHAIRMAN: We have probably been 16 told this but I have forgotten, when was that 17 list drawn up, the revised list? 18 A. I would have to refer to the top of the page and maybe it's stated. 12 May, well 12 May is that. I don't think that — yes, 12 May.  MR WAGNER: It may be that the letter was edited but the date was not edited. 23 A. The notice was issued on 12 May. Now the content when it was put on — THE CHAIRMAN: That was the first				
5 the RGP? 6 A. The DPP. 7 Q. The DPP. 8 A. Or his Crown Counsel. 9 Q. So, would you say it was unusual that Mr 10 Llamas was taking an interest and advising in 11 this investigation. 12 A. I know in all fairness to him, I know that 13 he'd also expressed an interest in another 14 serious case. 15 Q. Yes, but that is one other. 16 A. I think it's pertinent because I wouldn't 17 have responded in this way, but I think it is 18 very pertinent, just to show how and he 19 communicated through the DPP that he 19 would want to peruse serious cases. This 20 would want to peruse serious cases. This 21 was way before this matter I wasn't even 22 Commissioner then, I think I was a 23 superintendent. He perused, he requested the 24 file on an indictment of rape relating to a 25 personal friend of the then Minister of Justice  5 was that the Chief Minister's well, that the words "Chief Minister's were taken out of this letter?  8 A. Yes, there was strong resentment from the Attorney General that his name or that his title had been mentioned there and he felt that that was potentially causing an issue in terms of protect the reputation of the 13 officeholder. 14 Q. I now want to ask you about the 15 THE CHAIRMAN: We have probably been 16 told this but I have forgotten, when was that 17 list drawn up, the revised list?  8 A. Yes, there was strong resentment from the Attorney General that his name or that his title had been mentioned there and he felt that that was potentially causing an issue in 12 terms of protect the reputation of the 13 officeholder. 14 Q. I now want to ask you about the 15 THE CHAIRMAN: We have probably been 16 told this but I have forgotten, when was that 17 list drawn up, the revised list? 18 A. I would have to refer to the top of the 19 page and maybe it's stated. 12 May, well 12 20 May is that. I don't think that yes, 12 May. 21 MR WAGNER: It may be that the letter was 22 edited but the date was not edited. 23 A. The notice was issued on 12 May. Now 24 the content when it was put on	2	Q. Before this investigation?	2	turn to B3302, there you can see number 9,
6 A. The DPP. 7 Q. The DPP. 8 A. Or his Crown Counsel. 9 Q. So, would you say it was unusual that Mr 10 Llamas was taking an interest and advising in 11 this investigation. 12 A. I know in all fairness to him, I know that 13 he'd also expressed an interest in another 14 serious case. 15 Q. Yes, but that is one other. 16 A. I think it's pertinent because I wouldn't 17 have responded in this way, but I think it is 18 very pertinent, just to show how — and he 19 communicated through the DPP that he 20 would want to peruse serious cases. This 21 was way before this matter — I wasn't even 22 Commissioner then, I think I was a 23 superintendent. He perused, he requested the 24 file on an indictment of rape relating to a 25 personal friend of the then Minister of Justice  6 words "Chief Minister" were taken out of this 26 letter?  8 A. Yes, there was strong resentment from the Attorney General that his name or that his 10 title had been mentioned there and he felt that that was potentially causing an issue in 12 terms of protect the reputation of the 13 officeholder. 14 Q. I now want to ask you about the — 15 THE CHAIRMAN: We have probably been 16 told this but I have forgotten, when was that 17 list drawn up, the revised list? 28 A. Yes, there was strong resentment from the Attorney General that his name or that his 10 title had been mentioned there and he felt 11 that that was potentially causing an issue in 12 terms of protect the reputation of the 13 officeholder. 14 Q. I now want to ask you about the — 15 THE CHAIRMAN: We have probably been 16 told this but I have forgotten, when was that 17 list drawn up, the revised list? 28 A. I would have to refer to the top of the 29 page and maybe it's stated. 12 May, well 12 20 May is that. I don't think that — yes, 12 May. 21 MR WAGNER: It may be that the letter was edited but the date was not edited. 22 A. The notice was issued on 12 May. Now 23 the CHAIRMAN: That was the first	2 3	<ul><li>Q. Before this investigation?</li><li>A. No.</li></ul>	2 3	turn to B3302, there you can see number 9, "Communication with any other person in
7   Letter? 8   A. Or his Crown Counsel. 9   Q. So, would you say it was unusual that Mr 10   Llamas was taking an interest and advising in this investigation. 11   A. I know in all fairness to him, I know that he'd also expressed an interest in another 13   he'd also expressed an interest in another 14   serious case. 15   Q. Yes, but that is one other. 16   A. I think it's pertinent because I wouldn't have responded in this way, but I think it is very pertinent, just to show how and he communicated through the DPP that he 19   would want to peruse serious cases. This was way before this matter I wasn't even 22   Commissioner then, I think I was a superintendent. He perused, he requested the file on an indictment of rape relating to a personal friend of the then Minister of Justice 10   THE CHAIRMAN: That was the first 11   The CHAIRMAN: That was the first 12   THE CHAIRMAN: That was the first 13   THE CHAIRMAN: That was the first 14   THE CHAIRMAN: That was the first 15   THE CHAIRMAN: That was the first 16   THE CHAIRMAN: That was the first 17   THE CHAIRMAN: That was the first 17   THE CHAIRMAN: That was the first 18   THE CHAIRMAN: That was the first 19   THE CHAIRMAN: The transport of the tom that his title had been mentioned there and he felt that that was potentially causing an issue in tothe that that was potentially causing an issue in the terms of protect the reputation of the that that hat a potentially causing an issue in the totheth that that hat that hat his name or that his title had been mentioned there and he felt that that has potentially	2 3 4	<ul><li>Q. Before this investigation?</li><li>A. No.</li><li>Q. Who would be the point of contact with</li></ul>	2 3 4	turn to B3302, there you can see number 9, "Communication with any other person in relation to the above." Do you recall why it
A. Or his Crown Counsel.  Q. So, would you say it was unusual that Mr Llamas was taking an interest and advising in this investigation.  A. I know in all fairness to him, I know that he'd also expressed an interest in another gerious case.  Q. Yes, but that is one other.  A. I think it's pertinent because I wouldn't have responded in this way, but I think it is very pertinent, just to show how and he communicated through the DPP that he would want to peruse serious cases. This was way before this matter I wasn't even Commissioner then, I think I was a superintendent. He perused, he requested the file on an indictment of rape relating to a personal friend of the then Minister of Justice  A. Yes, there was strong resentment from the Attorney General that his name or that his the Attorney General that his name or that his thet Attorney General that his name or that his title had been mentioned there and he felt that that was potentially causing an issue in terms of protect the reputation of the officeholder.  12 Union want to ask you about the 15 THE CHAIRMAN: We have probably been told this but I have forgotten, when was that list drawn up, the revised list?  A. I would have to refer to the top of the page and maybe it's stated. 12 May, well 12 May is that. I don't think that yes, 12 May.  MR WAGNER: It may be that the letter was edited but the date was not edited.  A. The notice was issued on 12 May. Now the content when it was put on THE CHAIRMAN: That was the first	2 3 4 5	<ul><li>Q. Before this investigation?</li><li>A. No.</li><li>Q. Who would be the point of contact with the RGP?</li></ul>	2 3 4 5	turn to B3302, there you can see number 9, "Communication with any other person in relation to the above." Do you recall why it was that the Chief Minister's well, that the
Q. So, would you say it was unusual that Mr Llamas was taking an interest and advising in this investigation.  A. I know in all fairness to him, I know that he'd also expressed an interest in another serious case. Q. Yes, but that is one other. A. I think it's pertinent because I wouldn't have responded in this way, but I think it is very pertinent, just to show how and he communicated through the DPP that he would want to peruse serious cases. This was way before this matter I wasn't even Commissioner then, I think I was a superintendent. He perused, he requested the file on an indictment of rape relating to a personal friend of the then Minister of Justice  he Attorney General that his name or that his title had been mentioned there and he felt that that was potentially causing an issue in terms of protect the reputation of the terms of protect the reputation of the officeholder.  P. I how want to ask you about the THE CHAIRMAN: We have probably been told this but I have forgotten, when was that list drawn up, the revised list?  A. I would have to refer to the top of the page and maybe it's stated. 12 May, well 12 May is that. I don't think that yes, 12 May.  MR WAGNER: It may be that the letter was edited but the date was not edited.  A. The notice was issued on 12 May. Now the content when it was put on THE CHAIRMAN: That was the first	2 3 4 5 6	<ul><li>Q. Before this investigation?</li><li>A. No.</li><li>Q. Who would be the point of contact with the RGP?</li><li>A. The DPP.</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6	turn to B3302, there you can see number 9, "Communication with any other person in relation to the above." Do you recall why it was that the Chief Minister's well, that the words "Chief Minister" were taken out of this
Llamas was taking an interest and advising in this investigation.  A. I know in all fairness to him, I know that he'd also expressed an interest in another serious case.  Q. Yes, but that is one other.  A. I think it's pertinent because I wouldn't have responded in this way, but I think it is very pertinent, just to show how — and he communicated through the DPP that he would want to peruse serious cases. This was way before this matter — I wasn't even Commissioner then, I think I was a superintendent. He perused, he requested the file on an indictment of rape relating to a personal friend of the then Minister of Justice  10 title had been mentioned there and he felt that that was potentially causing an issue in terms of protect the reputation of the terms of protect the reputation of the officeholder.  Q. I now want to ask you about the — THE CHAIRMAN: We have probably been told this but I have forgotten, when was that list drawn up, the revised list?  A. I would have to refer to the top of the page and maybe it's stated. 12 May, well 12 May is that. I don't think that — yes, 12 May.  MR WAGNER: It may be that the letter was edited but the date was not edited.  A. The notice was issued on 12 May. Now the content when it was put on — THE CHAIRMAN: That was the first	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul><li>Q. Before this investigation?</li><li>A. No.</li><li>Q. Who would be the point of contact with the RGP?</li><li>A. The DPP.</li><li>Q. The DPP.</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	turn to B3302, there you can see number 9, "Communication with any other person in relation to the above." Do you recall why it was that the Chief Minister's well, that the words "Chief Minister" were taken out of this letter?
A. I know in all fairness to him, I know that he'd also expressed an interest in another serious case.  Q. Yes, but that is one other. Late officeholder. Late office	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>Q. Before this investigation?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Who would be the point of contact with the RGP?</li> <li>A. The DPP.</li> <li>Q. The DPP.</li> <li>A. Or his Crown Counsel.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	turn to B3302, there you can see number 9, "Communication with any other person in relation to the above." Do you recall why it was that the Chief Minister's well, that the words "Chief Minister" were taken out of this letter?  A. Yes, there was strong resentment from
he'd also expressed an interest in another serious case.  Q. Yes, but that is one other.  A. I think it's pertinent because I wouldn't have responded in this way, but I think it is very pertinent, just to show how — and he communicated through the DPP that he would want to peruse serious cases. This was way before this matter — I wasn't even Commissioner then, I think I was a superintendent. He perused, he requested the file on an indictment of rape relating to a personal friend of the then Minister of Justice  13 officeholder. Q. I now want to ask you about the — THE CHAIRMAN: We have probably been told this but I have forgotten, when was that list drawn up, the revised list?  A. I would have to refer to the top of the page and maybe it's stated. 12 May, well 12 May is that. I don't think that — yes, 12 May. MR WAGNER: It may be that the letter was edited but the date was not edited. A. The notice was issued on 12 May. Now the content when it was put on — THE CHAIRMAN: That was the first	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>Q. Before this investigation?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Who would be the point of contact with the RGP?</li> <li>A. The DPP.</li> <li>Q. The DPP.</li> <li>A. Or his Crown Counsel.</li> <li>Q. So, would you say it was unusual that Mr</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	turn to B3302, there you can see number 9, "Communication with any other person in relation to the above." Do you recall why it was that the Chief Minister's well, that the words "Chief Minister" were taken out of this letter?  A. Yes, there was strong resentment from the Attorney General that his name or that his
serious case.  Q. Yes, but that is one other.  A. I think it's pertinent because I wouldn't have responded in this way, but I think it is very pertinent, just to show how and he communicated through the DPP that he would want to peruse serious cases. This was way before this matter I wasn't even Commissioner then, I think I was a superintendent. He perused, he requested the file on an indictment of rape relating to a personal friend of the then Minister of Justice  14 Q. I now want to ask you about the  15 THE CHAIRMAN: We have probably been told this but I have forgotten, when was that list drawn up, the revised list?  A. I would have to refer to the top of the page and maybe it's stated. 12 May, well 12  May is that. I don't think that yes, 12 May.  MR WAGNER: It may be that the letter was edited but the date was not edited.  A. The notice was issued on 12 May. Now the content when it was put on  THE CHAIRMAN: That was the first	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>Q. Before this investigation?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Who would be the point of contact with the RGP?</li> <li>A. The DPP.</li> <li>Q. The DPP.</li> <li>A. Or his Crown Counsel.</li> <li>Q. So, would you say it was unusual that Mr Llamas was taking an interest and advising in</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	turn to B3302, there you can see number 9, "Communication with any other person in relation to the above." Do you recall why it was that the Chief Minister's well, that the words "Chief Minister" were taken out of this letter?  A. Yes, there was strong resentment from the Attorney General that his name or that his title had been mentioned there and he felt
Q. Yes, but that is one other.  A. I think it's pertinent because I wouldn't have responded in this way, but I think it is very pertinent, just to show how and he communicated through the DPP that he was way before this matter I wasn't even Commissioner then, I think I was a superintendent. He perused, he requested the file on an indictment of rape relating to a personal friend of the then Minister of Justice  15 THE CHAIRMAN: We have probably been told this but I have forgotten, when was that list drawn up, the revised list?  A. I would have to refer to the top of the page and maybe it's stated. 12 May, well 12  May is that. I don't think that yes, 12 May.  MR WAGNER: It may be that the letter was edited but the date was not edited.  A. The notice was issued on 12 May. Now the content when it was put on  THE CHAIRMAN: That was the first	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>Q. Before this investigation?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Who would be the point of contact with the RGP?</li> <li>A. The DPP.</li> <li>Q. The DPP.</li> <li>A. Or his Crown Counsel.</li> <li>Q. So, would you say it was unusual that Mr Llamas was taking an interest and advising in this investigation.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	turn to B3302, there you can see number 9, "Communication with any other person in relation to the above." Do you recall why it was that the Chief Minister's well, that the words "Chief Minister" were taken out of this letter?  A. Yes, there was strong resentment from the Attorney General that his name or that his title had been mentioned there and he felt that that was potentially causing an issue in
A. I think it's pertinent because I wouldn't have responded in this way, but I think it is very pertinent, just to show how and he communicated through the DPP that he would want to peruse serious cases. This was way before this matter I wasn't even Commissioner then, I think I was a superintendent. He perused, he requested the file on an indictment of rape relating to a personal friend of the then Minister of Justice  16 told this but I have forgotten, when was that 17 list drawn up, the revised list?  A. I would have to refer to the top of the page and maybe it's stated. 12 May, well 12  May is that. I don't think that yes, 12 May.  MR WAGNER: It may be that the letter was edited but the date was not edited.  A. The notice was issued on 12 May. Now the content when it was put on THE CHAIRMAN: That was the first	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>Q. Before this investigation?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Who would be the point of contact with the RGP?</li> <li>A. The DPP.</li> <li>Q. The DPP.</li> <li>A. Or his Crown Counsel.</li> <li>Q. So, would you say it was unusual that Mr Llamas was taking an interest and advising in this investigation.</li> <li>A. I know in all fairness to him, I know that he'd also expressed an interest in another</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	turn to B3302, there you can see number 9, "Communication with any other person in relation to the above." Do you recall why it was that the Chief Minister's well, that the words "Chief Minister" were taken out of this letter?  A. Yes, there was strong resentment from the Attorney General that his name or that his title had been mentioned there and he felt that that was potentially causing an issue in terms of protect the reputation of the
have responded in this way, but I think it is very pertinent, just to show how and he communicated through the DPP that he would want to peruse serious cases. This was way before this matter I wasn't even Commissioner then, I think I was a superintendent. He perused, he requested the file on an indictment of rape relating to a personal friend of the then Minister of Justice    17	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>Q. Before this investigation?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Who would be the point of contact with the RGP?</li> <li>A. The DPP.</li> <li>Q. The DPP.</li> <li>A. Or his Crown Counsel.</li> <li>Q. So, would you say it was unusual that Mr Llamas was taking an interest and advising in this investigation.</li> <li>A. I know in all fairness to him, I know that he'd also expressed an interest in another</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	turn to B3302, there you can see number 9, "Communication with any other person in relation to the above." Do you recall why it was that the Chief Minister's well, that the words "Chief Minister" were taken out of this letter?  A. Yes, there was strong resentment from the Attorney General that his name or that his title had been mentioned there and he felt that that was potentially causing an issue in terms of protect the reputation of the officeholder.
very pertinent, just to show how and he communicated through the DPP that he would want to peruse serious cases. This was way before this matter I wasn't even Commissioner then, I think I was a superintendent. He perused, he requested the file on an indictment of rape relating to a personal friend of the then Minister of Justice  A. I would have to refer to the top of the page and maybe it's stated. 12 May, well 12 May is that. I don't think that yes, 12 May. MR WAGNER: It may be that the letter was edited but the date was not edited.  A. The notice was issued on 12 May. Now the content when it was put on THE CHAIRMAN: That was the first	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>Q. Before this investigation?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Who would be the point of contact with the RGP?</li> <li>A. The DPP.</li> <li>Q. The DPP.</li> <li>A. Or his Crown Counsel.</li> <li>Q. So, would you say it was unusual that Mr Llamas was taking an interest and advising in this investigation.</li> <li>A. I know in all fairness to him, I know that he'd also expressed an interest in another serious case.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	turn to B3302, there you can see number 9, "Communication with any other person in relation to the above." Do you recall why it was that the Chief Minister's well, that the words "Chief Minister" were taken out of this letter?  A. Yes, there was strong resentment from the Attorney General that his name or that his title had been mentioned there and he felt that that was potentially causing an issue in terms of protect the reputation of the officeholder.  Q. I now want to ask you about the
communicated through the DPP that he would want to peruse serious cases. This was way before this matter I wasn't even Commissioner then, I think I was a superintendent. He perused, he requested the file on an indictment of rape relating to a personal friend of the then Minister of Justice  page and maybe it's stated. 12 May, well 12 May is that. I don't think that yes, 12 May. MR WAGNER: It may be that the letter was edited but the date was not edited.  A. The notice was issued on 12 May. Now the content when it was put on THE CHAIRMAN: That was the first	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>Q. Before this investigation?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Who would be the point of contact with the RGP?</li> <li>A. The DPP.</li> <li>Q. The DPP.</li> <li>A. Or his Crown Counsel.</li> <li>Q. So, would you say it was unusual that Mr Llamas was taking an interest and advising in this investigation.</li> <li>A. I know in all fairness to him, I know that he'd also expressed an interest in another serious case.</li> <li>Q. Yes, but that is one other.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	turn to B3302, there you can see number 9, "Communication with any other person in relation to the above." Do you recall why it was that the Chief Minister's well, that the words "Chief Minister" were taken out of this letter?  A. Yes, there was strong resentment from the Attorney General that his name or that his title had been mentioned there and he felt that that was potentially causing an issue in terms of protect the reputation of the officeholder.  Q. I now want to ask you about the THE CHAIRMAN: We have probably been
would want to peruse serious cases. This was way before this matter I wasn't even Commissioner then, I think I was a superintendent. He perused, he requested the file on an indictment of rape relating to a personal friend of the then Minister of Justice  May is that. I don't think that yes, 12 May.  MR WAGNER: It may be that the letter was edited but the date was not edited.  A. The notice was issued on 12 May. Now the content when it was put on THE CHAIRMAN: That was the first	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Q. Before this investigation?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Who would be the point of contact with the RGP?</li> <li>A. The DPP.</li> <li>Q. The DPP.</li> <li>A. Or his Crown Counsel.</li> <li>Q. So, would you say it was unusual that Mr Llamas was taking an interest and advising in this investigation.</li> <li>A. I know in all fairness to him, I know that he'd also expressed an interest in another serious case.</li> <li>Q. Yes, but that is one other.</li> <li>A. I think it's pertinent because I wouldn't</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	turn to B3302, there you can see number 9, "Communication with any other person in relation to the above." Do you recall why it was that the Chief Minister's well, that the words "Chief Minister" were taken out of this letter?  A. Yes, there was strong resentment from the Attorney General that his name or that his title had been mentioned there and he felt that that was potentially causing an issue in terms of protect the reputation of the officeholder.  Q. I now want to ask you about the THE CHAIRMAN: We have probably been told this but I have forgotten, when was that
was way before this matter I wasn't even Commissioner then, I think I was a superintendent. He perused, he requested the file on an indictment of rape relating to a personal friend of the then Minister of Justice  MR WAGNER: It may be that the letter was edited but the date was not edited.  A. The notice was issued on 12 May. Now the content when it was put on THE CHAIRMAN: That was the first	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q. Before this investigation?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Who would be the point of contact with the RGP?</li> <li>A. The DPP.</li> <li>Q. The DPP.</li> <li>A. Or his Crown Counsel.</li> <li>Q. So, would you say it was unusual that Mr Llamas was taking an interest and advising in this investigation.</li> <li>A. I know in all fairness to him, I know that he'd also expressed an interest in another serious case.</li> <li>Q. Yes, but that is one other.</li> <li>A. I think it's pertinent because I wouldn't have responded in this way, but I think it is</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	turn to B3302, there you can see number 9, "Communication with any other person in relation to the above." Do you recall why it was that the Chief Minister's well, that the words "Chief Minister" were taken out of this letter?  A. Yes, there was strong resentment from the Attorney General that his name or that his title had been mentioned there and he felt that that was potentially causing an issue in terms of protect the reputation of the officeholder. Q. I now want to ask you about the THE CHAIRMAN: We have probably been told this but I have forgotten, when was that list drawn up, the revised list?
Commissioner then, I think I was a superintendent. He perused, he requested the file on an indictment of rape relating to a personal friend of the then Minister of Justice  22 edited but the date was not edited. A. The notice was issued on 12 May. Now the content when it was put on — THE CHAIRMAN: That was the first	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q. Before this investigation?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Who would be the point of contact with the RGP?</li> <li>A. The DPP.</li> <li>Q. The DPP.</li> <li>Q. The DPP.</li> <li>A. Or his Crown Counsel.</li> <li>Q. So, would you say it was unusual that Mr Llamas was taking an interest and advising in this investigation.</li> <li>A. I know in all fairness to him, I know that he'd also expressed an interest in another serious case.</li> <li>Q. Yes, but that is one other.</li> <li>A. I think it's pertinent because I wouldn't have responded in this way, but I think it is very pertinent, just to show how and he communicated through the DPP that he</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	turn to B3302, there you can see number 9, "Communication with any other person in relation to the above." Do you recall why it was that the Chief Minister's well, that the words "Chief Minister" were taken out of this letter?  A. Yes, there was strong resentment from the Attorney General that his name or that his title had been mentioned there and he felt that that was potentially causing an issue in terms of protect the reputation of the officeholder. Q. I now want to ask you about the THE CHAIRMAN: We have probably been told this but I have forgotten, when was that list drawn up, the revised list? A. I would have to refer to the top of the
superintendent. He perused, he requested the file on an indictment of rape relating to a personal friend of the then Minister of Justice  23 A. The notice was issued on 12 May. Now the content when it was put on — 25 THE CHAIRMAN: That was the first	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>Q. Before this investigation?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Who would be the point of contact with the RGP?</li> <li>A. The DPP.</li> <li>Q. The DPP.</li> <li>A. Or his Crown Counsel.</li> <li>Q. So, would you say it was unusual that Mr Llamas was taking an interest and advising in this investigation.</li> <li>A. I know in all fairness to him, I know that he'd also expressed an interest in another serious case.</li> <li>Q. Yes, but that is one other.</li> <li>A. I think it's pertinent because I wouldn't have responded in this way, but I think it is very pertinent, just to show how and he communicated through the DPP that he would want to peruse serious cases. This</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	turn to B3302, there you can see number 9, "Communication with any other person in relation to the above." Do you recall why it was that the Chief Minister's well, that the words "Chief Minister" were taken out of this letter?  A. Yes, there was strong resentment from the Attorney General that his name or that his title had been mentioned there and he felt that that was potentially causing an issue in terms of protect the reputation of the officeholder. Q. I now want to ask you about the THE CHAIRMAN: We have probably been told this but I have forgotten, when was that list drawn up, the revised list?  A. I would have to refer to the top of the page and maybe it's stated. 12 May, well 12
file on an indictment of rape relating to a personal friend of the then Minister of Justice 24 the content when it was put on 25 THE CHAIRMAN: That was the first	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Q. Before this investigation?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Who would be the point of contact with the RGP?</li> <li>A. The DPP.</li> <li>Q. The DPP.</li> <li>Q. To his Crown Counsel.</li> <li>Q. So, would you say it was unusual that Mr Llamas was taking an interest and advising in this investigation.</li> <li>A. I know in all fairness to him, I know that he'd also expressed an interest in another serious case.</li> <li>Q. Yes, but that is one other.</li> <li>A. I think it's pertinent because I wouldn't have responded in this way, but I think it is very pertinent, just to show how and he communicated through the DPP that he would want to peruse serious cases. This was way before this matter I wasn't even</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	turn to B3302, there you can see number 9, "Communication with any other person in relation to the above." Do you recall why it was that the Chief Minister's well, that the words "Chief Minister" were taken out of this letter?  A. Yes, there was strong resentment from the Attorney General that his name or that his title had been mentioned there and he felt that that was potentially causing an issue in terms of protect the reputation of the officeholder. Q. I now want to ask you about the THE CHAIRMAN: We have probably been told this but I have forgotten, when was that list drawn up, the revised list?  A. I would have to refer to the top of the page and maybe it's stated. 12 May, well 12 May is that. I don't think that yes, 12 May. MR WAGNER: It may be that the letter was
personal friend of the then Minister of Justice 25 THE CHAIRMAN: That was the first	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Q. Before this investigation?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Who would be the point of contact with the RGP?</li> <li>A. The DPP.</li> <li>Q. The DPP.</li> <li>Q. To his Crown Counsel.</li> <li>Q. So, would you say it was unusual that Mr Llamas was taking an interest and advising in this investigation.</li> <li>A. I know in all fairness to him, I know that he'd also expressed an interest in another serious case.</li> <li>Q. Yes, but that is one other.</li> <li>A. I think it's pertinent because I wouldn't have responded in this way, but I think it is very pertinent, just to show how and he communicated through the DPP that he would want to peruse serious cases. This was way before this matter I wasn't even Commissioner then, I think I was a</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	"Communication with any other person in relation to the above." Do you recall why it was that the Chief Minister's well, that the words "Chief Minister" were taken out of this letter?  A. Yes, there was strong resentment from the Attorney General that his name or that his title had been mentioned there and he felt that that was potentially causing an issue in terms of protect the reputation of the officeholder.  Q. I now want to ask you about the THE CHAIRMAN: We have probably been told this but I have forgotten, when was that list drawn up, the revised list?  A. I would have to refer to the top of the page and maybe it's stated. 12 May, well 12 May is that. I don't think that yes, 12 May. MR WAGNER: It may be that the letter was edited but the date was not edited.
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. Before this investigation? A. No. Q. Who would be the point of contact with the RGP? A. The DPP. Q. The DPP. Q. The DPP. A. Or his Crown Counsel. Q. So, would you say it was unusual that Mr Llamas was taking an interest and advising in this investigation. A. I know in all fairness to him, I know that he'd also expressed an interest in another serious case. Q. Yes, but that is one other. A. I think it's pertinent because I wouldn't have responded in this way, but I think it is very pertinent, just to show how — and he communicated through the DPP that he would want to peruse serious cases. This was way before this matter — I wasn't even Commissioner then, I think I was a superintendent. He perused, he requested the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	"Communication with any other person in relation to the above." Do you recall why it was that the Chief Minister's well, that the words "Chief Minister" were taken out of this letter?  A. Yes, there was strong resentment from the Attorney General that his name or that his title had been mentioned there and he felt that that was potentially causing an issue in terms of protect the reputation of the officeholder.  Q. I now want to ask you about the THE CHAIRMAN: We have probably been told this but I have forgotten, when was that list drawn up, the revised list?  A. I would have to refer to the top of the page and maybe it's stated. 12 May, well 12 May is that. I don't think that yes, 12 May. MR WAGNER: It may be that the letter was edited but the date was not edited.  A. The notice was issued on 12 May. Now
Page 66 Page 68	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>Q. Before this investigation?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Who would be the point of contact with the RGP?</li> <li>A. The DPP.</li> <li>Q. The DPP.</li> <li>Q. To his Crown Counsel.</li> <li>Q. So, would you say it was unusual that Mr Llamas was taking an interest and advising in this investigation.</li> <li>A. I know in all fairness to him, I know that he'd also expressed an interest in another serious case.</li> <li>Q. Yes, but that is one other.</li> <li>A. I think it's pertinent because I wouldn't have responded in this way, but I think it is very pertinent, just to show how and he communicated through the DPP that he would want to peruse serious cases. This was way before this matter I wasn't even Commissioner then, I think I was a superintendent. He perused, he requested the file on an indictment of rape relating to a</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	"Communication with any other person in relation to the above." Do you recall why it was that the Chief Minister's well, that the words "Chief Minister" were taken out of this letter?  A. Yes, there was strong resentment from the Attorney General that his name or that his title had been mentioned there and he felt that that was potentially causing an issue in terms of protect the reputation of the officeholder.  Q. I now want to ask you about the THE CHAIRMAN: We have probably been told this but I have forgotten, when was that list drawn up, the revised list?  A. I would have to refer to the top of the page and maybe it's stated. 12 May, well 12 May is that. I don't think that yes, 12 May. MR WAGNER: It may be that the letter was edited but the date was not edited.  A. The notice was issued on 12 May. Now the content when it was put on
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>Q. Before this investigation?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Who would be the point of contact with the RGP?</li> <li>A. The DPP.</li> <li>Q. The DPP.</li> <li>Q. To his Crown Counsel.</li> <li>Q. So, would you say it was unusual that Mr Llamas was taking an interest and advising in this investigation.</li> <li>A. I know in all fairness to him, I know that he'd also expressed an interest in another serious case.</li> <li>Q. Yes, but that is one other.</li> <li>A. I think it's pertinent because I wouldn't have responded in this way, but I think it is very pertinent, just to show how and he communicated through the DPP that he would want to peruse serious cases. This was way before this matter I wasn't even Commissioner then, I think I was a superintendent. He perused, he requested the file on an indictment of rape relating to a</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	"Communication with any other person in relation to the above." Do you recall why it was that the Chief Minister's well, that the words "Chief Minister" were taken out of this letter?  A. Yes, there was strong resentment from the Attorney General that his name or that his title had been mentioned there and he felt that that was potentially causing an issue in terms of protect the reputation of the officeholder.  Q. I now want to ask you about the THE CHAIRMAN: We have probably been told this but I have forgotten, when was that list drawn up, the revised list?  A. I would have to refer to the top of the page and maybe it's stated. 12 May, well 12 May is that. I don't think that yes, 12 May. MR WAGNER: It may be that the letter was edited but the date was not edited.  A. The notice was issued on 12 May. Now the content when it was put on

1	notice.	1	I just want to keep that language of "the AG
2	A. Yes.	2	advised the investigation should not
3	MR SANTOS: Can we go back one page,	3	progress", and I want to then take you to B74
4	please.	4	please. B74 is your note that you sent to
5	MR WAGNER: So it was the 14th. So you	5	yourself. This is the earliest version of it.
6	sent, "Gents, please see the attached revised	6	Just to go down to the bottom paragraph, you
7	pre-interview disclosure." Thank you very	7	say there, "At a meeting in the office of the
8	much, Mr Santos.	8	AG with the DPP present, the AG was
9	THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.	9	suggesting that I stop the investigation from
10	MR WAGNER: So, do you recall sending	10	progressing on the basis that the ownership
11	that email to Mr Richardson and Mr Wyan?	11	of the platform had not been established. I
12	A. I don't recall the email, obviously I recall	12	told him I could not do so as I have Blands'
13	it now having seen it, but I knew that I'd	13	version of events that suggested they were
14	asked them to alter the pre-interview	14	owners and that in the absence of any
15	disclosure.	15	concrete evidence from Her Majesty's
16	THE CHAIRMAN: So, it must have been	16	Government of Gibraltar, I had to go along
17	sent after the meeting on the 13th?	17	with that. DPP again reiterated that the
18	A. Yes, definitely.	18	whole of the [something]", and it seems you
19	THE CHAIRMAN: OR the meeting on the	19	have missed a word out, "did not hinge on
20	15th?	20	the ownership of the platform. I went as far
21	MR WAGNER: Yes.	21	as informing the AG that it was within his
22	A. Yes, yes. You are right, sir.	22	power to discontinue a prosecution if he felt
23	Q. And it's in the meeting of the 13th I	23	the need to, that I could not simply drop the
24	will not take you to it.	24	matter because of the public interest reasons,
25	SIR PETER CARUANA: I can probably	25	that he would have to make the call. The AG
	Page 69		Page 71
	1 age 07		rage / r
1	clarify this if you want. This point 9, that	1	asked both me and the DPP whether he could
2	refers to "any person", is the formular that	2	enter a nolle prosequi." And just to go a little
3	had been agreed to. It had said	3	bit further down in fact, let's stay there for
4	"communications with the Chief Minister"	4	a second. So, I have shown you two different
5	and the whole point was that Mr Llamas said,	5	things. One is from your evidence that you
6	you will see in his evidence, and this was the	6	submitted in 2022 and this is from 12 May
7	result on the document that was put to Mr	7	2020, in paragraph 16 of your witness
8	Llamas.	8	statement and this paragraph here, do you
9	THE CHAIRMAN: I was just trying to find	9	think you are referring to the same
10	out the date.	10	discussions or different?
11	MR WAGNER: Thank you. You were	11	A. I am.
12	asked yesterday about paragraphs I think it	12	Q. You are. So, would you say that this is a
13	was Friday actually paragraph 16 of your	13	more detailed version of what you say in
14	first statement, A5 please, and this relates to	14	paragraph 16 of your 2022 witness
15	the AG raising the ownership issue. You say	15	statement?
16	in paragraph 16, "The AG also advised that	16	A. I would say they are the same perhaps.
17	the investigation should not progress until	17	Q. If we go a little further down, "At my last
18	such time as the question of ownership of the	18	meeting with the AG on this matter where
19	NSCIS platform was clarified. This was a	19	Superintendent Richardson and Lloyd
20	matter that the investigation team had already	20	DiVincenzi were present, the AG said he was
21	identified and were working to address	21	now taking a step back." So, is that the 7
22	together with the DPP. It was my	22	April meeting?
23	understanding that the question of ownership	23	A. It seems to be that one, yes.
24	of the platform, though important, was not	24	Q. Because that's the one we know that
25	critical to the prosecution of the suspects."	25	Richardson and Mr DiVincenzi were there.
	Page 70		Page 72
ī			··O- · ·

1 So, would that suggest that the meeting you 1 Neglected to take appropriate safety 2 2 are discussing in the paragraph above is an measures to ensure the safety of his crew and 3 earlier meeting? 3 members of the public resulting in a collision 4 4 at sea between his vessel and the RHIB. (4) A. Yes. 5 Q. Just to summarise what is said in that 12 5 His combined actions were such that they are 6 May email so I understand it properly, you 6 reasonably likely to have brought discredit 7 say the AG suggested that you stop the 7 on the reputation of the Royal Gibraltar 8 investigation until the ownership platform 8 Police. That was the recommendation for the 9 had been established, is that right? 9 first officer. If we just go a little bit further 10 A. That's correct. 10 down, this is the second officer, PC 11 11 Q. And the DPP was presented when he [Something] failed to use the automatic 12 suggested that? 12 identification system on his vessel contrary 13 A. And the DPP's input was --13 to orders and instructions. (2) neglected to 14 14 Q. I will ask you that in a sec. You told the take appropriate safety measures to ensure AG, "We can't do that", and you explain 15 15 the safety of the crew and members of the 16 16 why, is that right? public resulting in a collision at sea ... (3) 17 17 A. That's correct. Discreditable conduct. His combined actions 18 Q. And the DPP then said the whole of the --18 were such that they are reasonably likely to 19 I am guessing, you probably meant 19 have brought discredit on the reputation of 20 20 investigation, but let me know if that is the Royal Gibraltar Police. You said you 21 wrong -- did not hinge on the ownership of 21 didn't have any direct involvement in the 22 22 the platform? incident at sea. Did you have any knowledge 23 A. It did not hinge entirely. 23 that these officers would behave in the way 24 24 Q. Is that right? they did prior to the incident. 25 25 A. That's right. A. Not at all, otherwise there would have Page 75 Page 73 Q. And as far as you were concerned that's 1 been interventions on any ... 2 how it was left? 2 Q. Did you have any reason to believe that 3 3 A. Yes. these officers or any officers would disobey 4 4 mandatory standing orders relating to the use Q. I want to move on to Op Kram. I want to 5 5 show you C6238 please. This is an excerpt of the automatic identification system? 6 6 from the independent report by Detective A. I didn't have reason to believe that. 7 7 Superintendent Gary Smith of the Q. Did you have any reason to believe that 8 8 Metropolitan Police into the misconduct by these officers or any officers would disobey 9 9 the officers who were involved in the mandatory standing orders not to leave 10 10 incident at sea. Now, this report well post-British Gibraltar territorial waters, except 11 dated your retirement as Commissioner, with permission from the Spanish? 11 12 didn't it? It wasn't available to you --12 A. I think the instructions were very clear. 13 13 Q. Did you have any knowledge that they A. No. It was not. 14 14 Q. -- before you left. The officer's names would disobey that? 15 are redacted. I don't want you to reveal those 15 A. No, no. 16 names or even discuss anything outside of 16 Q. Just to dig into that a bit, the maritime 17 this report, but here the recommendation 17 section, would it be fair to say that not being 18 against PC Something was that he's charged 18 able to go into Spanish waters without 19 19 with the following misconduct offences (1) permission would have been training 101? It 20 that he did disobedience to orders. On 8 20 would have been one of the most basic things 21 March he disobeyed a standing order not to 21 that they would know when they were being 22 22 leave British Gibraltar territorial waters 23 without authority. (2) Failed to use the 23 A. Not only on training, in terms of 24 24 automatic identification system on his vessel, following instructions. 25 contrary to orders and instructions. (3) 25 Q. These were not, sort of, obscure rules that Page 74 Page 76

1	they might not have known about?	1	the location was important.
2	A. No.	2	MR WAGNER: Yes.
3	Q. Just so this is very clear, I don't want you	3	(11.30)
4	to comment on whether any of the charges	4	A. It was important to establish whether
5	are correct or anything like that, it is way	5	there had been criminality in Gibraltar and in
6	after you left, any of the recommended	6	consequence of that all the management of
7	charges, but if it is correct that officers failed	7	the situation would have an impact. If there
8	to follow mandatory standing orders and	8	was criminality in Gibraltar then a lot of things
9	failed to take reasonable safety measures, is	9	fall into place.
10	that something you would condone?	10	Q. Is it fair to say that if there had not been
11	A. Say again sorry.	11	criminality in Gibraltar you would not have
12	Q. What is your view on that, if they didn't	12	jurisdiction over the events?
13	follow mandatory standing orders and safety	13	A. That is correct. Well, there would be
14	measures?	14	coronial jurisdiction because the bodies were
15	A. They would should be held to account.	15	landed in Gibraltar and therefore the law says
16	Q. Yes. I want to ask you about Op Kram	16	that if the bodies are landed in Gibraltar it
17	and information sharing. I have just noticed	17	becomes a coroner's jurisdiction, so in that
18	the time, I do not have much longer, but I	18	regard
19	will have probably about 15 minutes.	19	Q. Coroner's jurisdiction.
20	THE CHAIRMAN: Carry on for 15 minutes.	20	A. But not criminal.
21	MR WAGNER: Is that okay with you, Mr	21	Q. It would not be criminal jurisdiction.
22	McGrail?	22	Had you detained people?
		23	
23	A. I am okay.	24	A. Two persons had been arrested, I understand.
24 25	Q. So, Op Kram. The incident happened in	25	
23	the early hours of 8 March 2020. In the	23	Q. And were you detaining them?
	Page 77		Page 79
1	hours that followed what were your	1	Δ Sorry?
1 2	hours that followed, what were your	1 2	A. Sorry?  O. Were the Gibraltar police detaining
2	priorities?	2	Q. Were the Gibraltar police detaining
2 3	priorities?  A. There were a host of priorities. I start	2 3	Q. Were the Gibraltar police detaining them?
2 3 4	priorities?  A. There were a host of priorities. I start from the welfare of the personnel involved,	2 3 4	<ul><li>Q. Were the Gibraltar police detaining them?</li><li>A. They detained them, yes, yes. They were</li></ul>
2 3 4 5	priorities?  A. There were a host of priorities. I start from the welfare of the personnel involved, the consequences of what had happened	2 3 4 5	<ul><li>Q. Were the Gibraltar police detaining them?</li><li>A. They detained them, yes, yes. They were bailed pending further enquiries.</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6	priorities?  A. There were a host of priorities. I start from the welfare of the personnel involved, the consequences of what had happened without going into particulars, but the	2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>Q. Were the Gibraltar police detaining them?</li> <li>A. They detained them, yes, yes. They were bailed pending further enquiries.</li> <li>Q. And if there had not been a crime in</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7	priorities?  A. There were a host of priorities. I start from the welfare of the personnel involved, the consequences of what had happened without going into particulars, but the consequences, and the investigation that	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>Q. Were the Gibraltar police detaining them?</li> <li>A. They detained them, yes, yes. They were bailed pending further enquiries.</li> <li>Q. And if there had not been a crime in Gibraltar waters or Gibraltan territory, would</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	priorities?  A. There were a host of priorities. I start from the welfare of the personnel involved, the consequences of what had happened without going into particulars, but the consequences, and the investigation that would naturally follows. I have summarised	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>Q. Were the Gibraltar police detaining them?</li> <li>A. They detained them, yes, yes. They were bailed pending further enquiries.</li> <li>Q. And if there had not been a crime in Gibraltar waters or Gibraltan territory, would you have had authority to detain those</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	priorities?  A. There were a host of priorities. I start from the welfare of the personnel involved, the consequences of what had happened without going into particulars, but the consequences, and the investigation that would naturally follows. I have summarised that, but in-between those, there are a host of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>Q. Were the Gibraltar police detaining them?</li> <li>A. They detained them, yes, yes. They were bailed pending further enquiries.</li> <li>Q. And if there had not been a crime in Gibraltar waters or Gibraltan territory, would you have had authority to detain those individuals?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	priorities?  A. There were a host of priorities. I start from the welfare of the personnel involved, the consequences of what had happened without going into particulars, but the consequences, and the investigation that would naturally follows. I have summarised that, but in-between those, there are a host of strands but to save you time, I have just	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>Q. Were the Gibraltar police detaining them?</li> <li>A. They detained them, yes, yes. They were bailed pending further enquiries.</li> <li>Q. And if there had not been a crime in Gibraltar waters or Gibraltan territory, would you have had authority to detain those individuals?</li> <li>A. No.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	priorities?  A. There were a host of priorities. I start from the welfare of the personnel involved, the consequences of what had happened without going into particulars, but the consequences, and the investigation that would naturally follows. I have summarised that, but in-between those, there are a host of strands but to save you time, I have just condensed that into those principal	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>Q. Were the Gibraltar police detaining them?</li> <li>A. They detained them, yes, yes. They were bailed pending further enquiries.</li> <li>Q. And if there had not been a crime in Gibraltar waters or Gibraltan territory, would you have had authority to detain those individuals?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. So would you agree that what was really</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	priorities?  A. There were a host of priorities. I start from the welfare of the personnel involved, the consequences of what had happened without going into particulars, but the consequences, and the investigation that would naturally follows. I have summarised that, but in-between those, there are a host of strands but to save you time, I have just condensed that into those principal considerations.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>Q. Were the Gibraltar police detaining them?</li> <li>A. They detained them, yes, yes. They were bailed pending further enquiries.</li> <li>Q. And if there had not been a crime in Gibraltar waters or Gibraltan territory, would you have had authority to detain those individuals?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. So would you agree that what was really urgent was understanding whether any part</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	priorities?  A. There were a host of priorities. I start from the welfare of the personnel involved, the consequences of what had happened without going into particulars, but the consequences, and the investigation that would naturally follows. I have summarised that, but in-between those, there are a host of strands but to save you time, I have just condensed that into those principal considerations.  Q. Yes. What about trying to find out where	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>Q. Were the Gibraltar police detaining them?</li> <li>A. They detained them, yes, yes. They were bailed pending further enquiries.</li> <li>Q. And if there had not been a crime in Gibraltar waters or Gibraltan territory, would you have had authority to detain those individuals?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. So would you agree that what was really urgent was understanding whether any part of the incident happened in Gibraltar waters?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	priorities?  A. There were a host of priorities. I start from the welfare of the personnel involved, the consequences of what had happened without going into particulars, but the consequences, and the investigation that would naturally follows. I have summarised that, but in-between those, there are a host of strands but to save you time, I have just condensed that into those principal considerations.  Q. Yes. What about trying to find out where the incident happened. Why was that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>Q. Were the Gibraltar police detaining them?</li> <li>A. They detained them, yes, yes. They were bailed pending further enquiries.</li> <li>Q. And if there had not been a crime in Gibraltar waters or Gibraltan territory, would you have had authority to detain those individuals?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. So would you agree that what was really urgent was understanding whether any part of the incident happened in Gibraltar waters?</li> <li>A. Absolutely.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	priorities?  A. There were a host of priorities. I start from the welfare of the personnel involved, the consequences of what had happened without going into particulars, but the consequences, and the investigation that would naturally follows. I have summarised that, but in-between those, there are a host of strands but to save you time, I have just condensed that into those principal considerations.  Q. Yes. What about trying to find out where the incident happened. Why was that important?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>Q. Were the Gibraltar police detaining them?</li> <li>A. They detained them, yes, yes. They were bailed pending further enquiries.</li> <li>Q. And if there had not been a crime in Gibraltar waters or Gibraltan territory, would you have had authority to detain those individuals?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. So would you agree that what was really urgent was understanding whether any part of the incident happened in Gibraltar waters?</li> <li>A. Absolutely.</li> <li>Q. And other matters were important like</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	priorities?  A. There were a host of priorities. I start from the welfare of the personnel involved, the consequences of what had happened without going into particulars, but the consequences, and the investigation that would naturally follows. I have summarised that, but in-between those, there are a host of strands but to save you time, I have just condensed that into those principal considerations.  Q. Yes. What about trying to find out where the incident happened. Why was that important?  A. It was important because somewhere,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Q. Were the Gibraltar police detaining them?</li> <li>A. They detained them, yes, yes. They were bailed pending further enquiries.</li> <li>Q. And if there had not been a crime in Gibraltar waters or Gibraltan territory, would you have had authority to detain those individuals?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. So would you agree that what was really urgent was understanding whether any part of the incident happened in Gibraltar waters?</li> <li>A. Absolutely.</li> <li>Q. And other matters were important like where exactly it all happened. That was</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. There were a host of priorities. I start from the welfare of the personnel involved, the consequences of what had happened without going into particulars, but the consequences, and the investigation that would naturally follows. I have summarised that, but in-between those, there are a host of strands but to save you time, I have just condensed that into those principal considerations.  Q. Yes. What about trying to find out where the incident happened. Why was that important?  A. It was important because somewhere, initially, it was said that it had happened, I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Q. Were the Gibraltar police detaining them?</li> <li>A. They detained them, yes, yes. They were bailed pending further enquiries.</li> <li>Q. And if there had not been a crime in Gibraltar waters or Gibraltan territory, would you have had authority to detain those individuals?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. So would you agree that what was really urgent was understanding whether any part of the incident happened in Gibraltar waters?</li> <li>A. Absolutely.</li> <li>Q. And other matters were important like where exactly it all happened. That was extremely important, would you agree?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. There were a host of priorities. I start from the welfare of the personnel involved, the consequences of what had happened without going into particulars, but the consequences, and the investigation that would naturally follows. I have summarised that, but in-between those, there are a host of strands but to save you time, I have just condensed that into those principal considerations.  Q. Yes. What about trying to find out where the incident happened. Why was that important?  A. It was important because somewhere, initially, it was said that it had happened, I think it was mooted verbally to me that one	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q. Were the Gibraltar police detaining them?</li> <li>A. They detained them, yes, yes. They were bailed pending further enquiries.</li> <li>Q. And if there had not been a crime in Gibraltar waters or Gibraltan territory, would you have had authority to detain those individuals?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. So would you agree that what was really urgent was understanding whether any part of the incident happened in Gibraltar waters?</li> <li>A. Absolutely.</li> <li>Q. And other matters were important like where exactly it all happened. That was extremely important, would you agree?</li> <li>A. It was, it was. I think it's reflected in the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. There were a host of priorities. I start from the welfare of the personnel involved, the consequences of what had happened without going into particulars, but the consequences, and the investigation that would naturally follows. I have summarised that, but in-between those, there are a host of strands but to save you time, I have just condensed that into those principal considerations.  Q. Yes. What about trying to find out where the incident happened. Why was that important?  A. It was important because somewhere, initially, it was said that it had happened, I think it was mooted verbally to me that one of the surviving members had suggested that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q. Were the Gibraltar police detaining them?</li> <li>A. They detained them, yes, yes. They were bailed pending further enquiries.</li> <li>Q. And if there had not been a crime in Gibraltar waters or Gibraltan territory, would you have had authority to detain those individuals?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. So would you agree that what was really urgent was understanding whether any part of the incident happened in Gibraltar waters?</li> <li>A. Absolutely.</li> <li>Q. And other matters were important like where exactly it all happened. That was extremely important, would you agree?</li> <li>A. It was, it was. I think it's reflected in the notes.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. There were a host of priorities. I start from the welfare of the personnel involved, the consequences of what had happened without going into particulars, but the consequences, and the investigation that would naturally follows. I have summarised that, but in-between those, there are a host of strands but to save you time, I have just condensed that into those principal considerations.  Q. Yes. What about trying to find out where the incident happened. Why was that important?  A. It was important because somewhere, initially, it was said that it had happened, I think it was mooted verbally to me that one of the surviving members had suggested that this had happened outside British waters,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>Q. Were the Gibraltar police detaining them?</li> <li>A. They detained them, yes, yes. They were bailed pending further enquiries.</li> <li>Q. And if there had not been a crime in Gibraltar waters or Gibraltan territory, would you have had authority to detain those individuals?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. So would you agree that what was really urgent was understanding whether any part of the incident happened in Gibraltar waters?</li> <li>A. Absolutely.</li> <li>Q. And other matters were important like where exactly it all happened. That was extremely important, would you agree?</li> <li>A. It was, it was. I think it's reflected in the notes.</li> <li>Q. You have not been shown - I do not think</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. There were a host of priorities. I start from the welfare of the personnel involved, the consequences of what had happened without going into particulars, but the consequences, and the investigation that would naturally follows. I have summarised that, but in-between those, there are a host of strands but to save you time, I have just condensed that into those principal considerations.  Q. Yes. What about trying to find out where the incident happened. Why was that important?  A. It was important because somewhere, initially, it was said that it had happened, I think it was mooted verbally to me that one of the surviving members had suggested that this had happened outside British waters, British Gibraltar territorial waters. Now,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Q. Were the Gibraltar police detaining them?</li> <li>A. They detained them, yes, yes. They were bailed pending further enquiries.</li> <li>Q. And if there had not been a crime in Gibraltar waters or Gibraltan territory, would you have had authority to detain those individuals?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. So would you agree that what was really urgent was understanding whether any part of the incident happened in Gibraltar waters?</li> <li>A. Absolutely.</li> <li>Q. And other matters were important like where exactly it all happened. That was extremely important, would you agree?</li> <li>A. It was, it was. I think it's reflected in the notes.</li> <li>Q. You have not been shown - I do not think anybody has been shown a map - of</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	priorities?  A. There were a host of priorities. I start from the welfare of the personnel involved, the consequences of what had happened without going into particulars, but the consequences, and the investigation that would naturally follows. I have summarised that, but in-between those, there are a host of strands but to save you time, I have just condensed that into those principal considerations.  Q. Yes. What about trying to find out where the incident happened. Why was that important?  A. It was important because somewhere, initially, it was said that it had happened, I think it was mooted verbally to me that one of the surviving members had suggested that this had happened outside British waters, British Gibraltar territorial waters. Now, obviously that account was not going to be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Q. Were the Gibraltar police detaining them?</li> <li>A. They detained them, yes, yes. They were bailed pending further enquiries.</li> <li>Q. And if there had not been a crime in Gibraltar waters or Gibraltan territory, would you have had authority to detain those individuals?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. So would you agree that what was really urgent was understanding whether any part of the incident happened in Gibraltar waters?</li> <li>A. Absolutely.</li> <li>Q. And other matters were important like where exactly it all happened. That was extremely important, would you agree?</li> <li>A. It was, it was. I think it's reflected in the notes.</li> <li>Q. You have not been shown - I do not think anybody has been shown a map - of Gibraltar waters. We have brought one</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	priorities?  A. There were a host of priorities. I start from the welfare of the personnel involved, the consequences of what had happened without going into particulars, but the consequences, and the investigation that would naturally follows. I have summarised that, but in-between those, there are a host of strands but to save you time, I have just condensed that into those principal considerations.  Q. Yes. What about trying to find out where the incident happened. Why was that important?  A. It was important because somewhere, initially, it was said that it had happened, I think it was mooted verbally to me that one of the surviving members had suggested that this had happened outside British waters, British Gibraltar territorial waters. Now, obviously that account was not going to be taken at face value and therefore	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q. Were the Gibraltar police detaining them?</li> <li>A. They detained them, yes, yes. They were bailed pending further enquiries.</li> <li>Q. And if there had not been a crime in Gibraltar waters or Gibraltan territory, would you have had authority to detain those individuals?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. So would you agree that what was really urgent was understanding whether any part of the incident happened in Gibraltar waters?</li> <li>A. Absolutely.</li> <li>Q. And other matters were important like where exactly it all happened. That was extremely important, would you agree?</li> <li>A. It was, it was. I think it's reflected in the notes.</li> <li>Q. You have not been shown - I do not think anybody has been shown a map - of Gibraltar waters. We have brought one along.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. There were a host of priorities. I start from the welfare of the personnel involved, the consequences of what had happened without going into particulars, but the consequences, and the investigation that would naturally follows. I have summarised that, but in-between those, there are a host of strands but to save you time, I have just condensed that into those principal considerations.  Q. Yes. What about trying to find out where the incident happened. Why was that important?  A. It was important because somewhere, initially, it was said that it had happened, I think it was mooted verbally to me that one of the surviving members had suggested that this had happened outside British waters, British Gibraltar territorial waters. Now, obviously that account was not going to be taken at face value and therefore — THE DISTRICT JUDGE: You have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>Q. Were the Gibraltar police detaining them?</li> <li>A. They detained them, yes, yes. They were bailed pending further enquiries.</li> <li>Q. And if there had not been a crime in Gibraltar waters or Gibraltan territory, would you have had authority to detain those individuals?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. So would you agree that what was really urgent was understanding whether any part of the incident happened in Gibraltar waters?</li> <li>A. Absolutely.</li> <li>Q. And other matters were important like where exactly it all happened. That was extremely important, would you agree?</li> <li>A. It was, it was. I think it's reflected in the notes.</li> <li>Q. You have not been shown - I do not think anybody has been shown a map - of Gibraltar waters. We have brought one along.</li> <li>THE CHAIRMAN: I have. You produced it</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	priorities?  A. There were a host of priorities. I start from the welfare of the personnel involved, the consequences of what had happened without going into particulars, but the consequences, and the investigation that would naturally follows. I have summarised that, but in-between those, there are a host of strands but to save you time, I have just condensed that into those principal considerations.  Q. Yes. What about trying to find out where the incident happened. Why was that important?  A. It was important because somewhere, initially, it was said that it had happened, I think it was mooted verbally to me that one of the surviving members had suggested that this had happened outside British waters, British Gibraltar territorial waters. Now, obviously that account was not going to be taken at face value and therefore	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q. Were the Gibraltar police detaining them?</li> <li>A. They detained them, yes, yes. They were bailed pending further enquiries.</li> <li>Q. And if there had not been a crime in Gibraltar waters or Gibraltan territory, would you have had authority to detain those individuals?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. So would you agree that what was really urgent was understanding whether any part of the incident happened in Gibraltar waters?</li> <li>A. Absolutely.</li> <li>Q. And other matters were important like where exactly it all happened. That was extremely important, would you agree?</li> <li>A. It was, it was. I think it's reflected in the notes.</li> <li>Q. You have not been shown - I do not think anybody has been shown a map - of Gibraltar waters. We have brought one along.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. There were a host of priorities. I start from the welfare of the personnel involved, the consequences of what had happened without going into particulars, but the consequences, and the investigation that would naturally follows. I have summarised that, but in-between those, there are a host of strands but to save you time, I have just condensed that into those principal considerations.  Q. Yes. What about trying to find out where the incident happened. Why was that important?  A. It was important because somewhere, initially, it was said that it had happened, I think it was mooted verbally to me that one of the surviving members had suggested that this had happened outside British waters, British Gibraltar territorial waters. Now, obviously that account was not going to be taken at face value and therefore — THE DISTRICT JUDGE: You have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>Q. Were the Gibraltar police detaining them?</li> <li>A. They detained them, yes, yes. They were bailed pending further enquiries.</li> <li>Q. And if there had not been a crime in Gibraltar waters or Gibraltan territory, would you have had authority to detain those individuals?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. So would you agree that what was really urgent was understanding whether any part of the incident happened in Gibraltar waters?</li> <li>A. Absolutely.</li> <li>Q. And other matters were important like where exactly it all happened. That was extremely important, would you agree?</li> <li>A. It was, it was. I think it's reflected in the notes.</li> <li>Q. You have not been shown - I do not think anybody has been shown a map - of Gibraltar waters. We have brought one along.</li> <li>THE CHAIRMAN: I have. You produced it</li> </ul>

1 MR WAGNER: Thanks to Mr Gomez 1 international waters. 2 Senior we have this excellent map which sits 2 A. Yes. 3 in the boardroom of Gomez & Co. (To the 3 Q. Except for the pink, which is Gibraltar --4 4 witness) You see there that Gibraltar is A. Described in the key as "high seas", 5 where Gibraltar is. Round it is a shape a bit 5 which it says there. 6 like a guitar plectrum - I am sure others will 6 Q. So if we just put that down for the 7 have different analogies - but it is pink, is it 7 moment. I want to pick up some of the 8 not? Is that British Gibraltar territorial 8 communications. B 1344. 9 9 THE CHAIRMAN: We have been through waters? 10 A. That is. 10 these many times. You can take this quite 11 11 Q. And they go out - I think you were asked quickly. MR WAGNER: Yes. (To the witness) 12 yesterday - do they go out three miles? 12 13 A. That's right. Yes. 13 07.43, the second one. This is you to the 14 14 THE CHAIRMAN: Three nautical miles. Chief Minister: "Collision occurred approx. MR WAGNER: Three nautical miles, which 15 3.40 hours. Location still to be confirmed." 15 16 THE CHAIRMAN: You need not cover the 16 is a bit more --17 17 THE CHAIRMAN: It is a cannon shot. same ground that Mr Santos has covered. 18 MR WAGNER: Three nautical miles is a 18 MR WAGNER: No, no, and I am not going 19 cannon shot. 19 to but I think there are some nuances in some 20 20 of the messages and I am going to cover THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. 21 MR WAGNER: I think it is right to say that 21 those 22 three nautical miles is a bit more than three 22 THE CHAIRMAN: Okay, fair enough. 23 23 MR WAGNER: (To the witness) I just want 24 A. I'll take your word for it. I'm not sure. 24 to ask you about some terms because there is 25 25 Q. You will take my word for it. A little bit different terms used in these messages and I Page 81 Page 83 1 more. That is the line there. I will come 1 want to be clear what you understand them to mean. First of all, which did you understand 2 back to the map. One other thing. There is 2 3 3 two different colours. There is another line to mean "the incident"? It is not on the 4 that comes out eastwards. The line goes on, 4 screen at the moment. When you are 5 5 discussing "the incident", what are you and above it is green and below it is blue. 6 Do you know what the difference between 6 talking about? 7 7 A. A package. Not the -- I think I've those areas is? 8 8 A. I believe it's international waters and explained it that the incident for me was the 9 9 Spanish waters. collision and the pursuit leading to it. 10 10 Q. Which is which? Q. You mentioned two other terms there: "the collision", which is where the boats 11 A. The blue would be international waters 11 12 and the green would be Spanish waters. 12 crashed into each other and the deaths 13 Q. Is it right to say that that line that goes 13 happened; and "the pursuit" or I think it is 14 eastwards roughly goes from the top of 14 also referred to as "the chase" in other parts. 15 15 Gibraltar territory? 16 Q. And those collectively are "the incident" 16 A. Yes. 17 17 THE CHAIRMAN: Because Gibraltar from your perspective. 18 18 claims 12 miles. A. Yes. 19 19 MR WAGNER: Three miles, I think. Q. What do you mean when you say 20 20 something is confirmed? THE CHAIRMAN: Sorry. Spain claims 12 21 A. That there is evidence which has been 21 miles. 22 verified and we are satisfied that it is correct, 22 MR WAGNER: Sir, yes, exactly, so that 23 23 must be 12 miles, that line, and then it sort of or as close to correct as possible. 24 tapers off into international waters. Above it 24 Q. When someone asks you as a police 25 is the Spanish waters, below is the 25 officer for confirmation of something, how Page 82 Page 84

1	careful are you before giving confirmation?	1	on the day of the incident. "At 11.05hrs, I
2	A. I would qualify it beforehand. I would	2	briefed COP, Detective Superintendent Paul
3	say: "Look, we are not sure, don't rely on	3	Richardson and The Attorney General, Mr
4	this."	4	Llamas who was also there, concerning the
5	Q. If you are not sure.	5	suspected exact co-ordinates. I remember a
6	A. If we are not sure, that's it.	6	discussion taking place and being asked if the
7	Q. But in terms of confirming something	7	chase had been plotted/recorded as the
8	would it be fair to say you will only confirm	8	coordinates were well out of BGTW, I was
9	it when you are sure.	9	unable to answer this. Considering that the
10	A. Absolutely.	10	initial report was that PMB had been
11	Q. There are a number of references in these	11	involved in a chase out at sea" What does
12	messages to the exact position. What would	12	PMB start for?
13	you understand "the exact position" to mean?	13	A. Police motorboats.
14	A. The exact position of the collision and	14	Q. "approximately 3miles off Europa
15	once it has been confirmed.	15	Point, at that moment in time, it was a safe
16	Q. Given everything you have already said	16	assumption that the chase/part of it, had
17	about the importance of establishing the	17	occurred in our jurisdiction." Can you just
18	position of the entire incident and it being	18	show on the map, what is three miles out of
19	within jurisdiction or not, what could be the	19	Europa Point? Which is Europa Point?
20	implications if you confirm something,	20	A. The tip of the Gibraltar Peninsular, three
21	confirmed a location of one part or all of it,	21	miles east.
22	before you were sure that you could confirm	22	Q. South.
23	that?	23	A. South. Would be, I would say, between
24	A. There's many ramifications for that. I	24	five o'clock or six o'clock.
25	think I alluded to other major incidents where	25	Q. Sorry, you are saying that Europa Point is
			(
	Page 85		Page 87
1 1	incorrect or unconfirmed reports can come	1	the very bottom tin
1 2	incorrect or unconfirmed reports can come	1 2	the very bottom tip.  • Ves
2	back to haunt the information provider.	2	A. Yes.
2 3	back to haunt the information provider.  Q. Here you have the question of	2 3	<ul><li>A. Yes.</li><li>Q. So it is completely a different position.</li></ul>
2 3 4	back to haunt the information provider.  Q. Here you have the question of jurisdiction, have you not, and I presume	2 3 4	<ul><li>A. Yes.</li><li>Q. So it is completely a different position.</li><li>A. Absolutely.</li></ul>
2 3 4 5	back to haunt the information provider.  Q. Here you have the question of jurisdiction, have you not, and I presume somewhere in your mind was the fact that	2 3 4 5	<ul><li>A. Yes.</li><li>Q. So it is completely a different position.</li><li>A. Absolutely.</li><li>Q. Miles away.</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6	back to haunt the information provider.  Q. Here you have the question of jurisdiction, have you not, and I presume somewhere in your mind was the fact that this was a potential diplomatic incident.	2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So it is completely a different position.</li> <li>A. Absolutely.</li> <li>Q. Miles away.</li> <li>A. Five o'clock or six o'clock or seven</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7	back to haunt the information provider.  Q. Here you have the question of jurisdiction, have you not, and I presume somewhere in your mind was the fact that this was a potential diplomatic incident.  A. Absolutely. That featured as one of my	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So it is completely a different position.</li> <li>A. Absolutely.</li> <li>Q. Miles away.</li> <li>A. Five o'clock or six o'clock or seven o'clock.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	back to haunt the information provider.  Q. Here you have the question of jurisdiction, have you not, and I presume somewhere in your mind was the fact that this was a potential diplomatic incident.  A. Absolutely. That featured as one of my considerations, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So it is completely a different position.</li> <li>A. Absolutely.</li> <li>Q. Miles away.</li> <li>A. Five o'clock or six o'clock or seven o'clock.</li> <li>Q. Do you remember Mr Field giving that</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	back to haunt the information provider.  Q. Here you have the question of jurisdiction, have you not, and I presume somewhere in your mind was the fact that this was a potential diplomatic incident.  A. Absolutely. That featured as one of my considerations, yes.  Q. And also you said in your evidence, you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So it is completely a different position.</li> <li>A. Absolutely.</li> <li>Q. Miles away.</li> <li>A. Five o'clock or six o'clock or seven o'clock.</li> <li>Q. Do you remember Mr Field giving that briefing?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	back to haunt the information provider.  Q. Here you have the question of jurisdiction, have you not, and I presume somewhere in your mind was the fact that this was a potential diplomatic incident.  A. Absolutely. That featured as one of my considerations, yes.  Q. And also you said in your evidence, you alluded to the fact that you were concerned	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So it is completely a different position.</li> <li>A. Absolutely.</li> <li>Q. Miles away.</li> <li>A. Five o'clock or six o'clock or seven o'clock.</li> <li>Q. Do you remember Mr Field giving that briefing?</li> <li>A. Yes, I remember him briefing. I can't</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	back to haunt the information provider.  Q. Here you have the question of jurisdiction, have you not, and I presume somewhere in your mind was the fact that this was a potential diplomatic incident.  A. Absolutely. That featured as one of my considerations, yes.  Q. And also you said in your evidence, you alluded to the fact that you were concerned about possible civil unrest.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So it is completely a different position.</li> <li>A. Absolutely.</li> <li>Q. Miles away.</li> <li>A. Five o'clock or six o'clock or seven o'clock.</li> <li>Q. Do you remember Mr Field giving that briefing?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	back to haunt the information provider.  Q. Here you have the question of jurisdiction, have you not, and I presume somewhere in your mind was the fact that this was a potential diplomatic incident.  A. Absolutely. That featured as one of my considerations, yes.  Q. And also you said in your evidence, you alluded to the fact that you were concerned about possible civil unrest.  A. There was, because on the previous, only	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So it is completely a different position.</li> <li>A. Absolutely.</li> <li>Q. Miles away.</li> <li>A. Five o'clock or six o'clock or seven o'clock.</li> <li>Q. Do you remember Mr Field giving that briefing?</li> <li>A. Yes, I remember him briefing. I can't remember the question of the coordinates but</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	back to haunt the information provider.  Q. Here you have the question of jurisdiction, have you not, and I presume somewhere in your mind was the fact that this was a potential diplomatic incident.  A. Absolutely. That featured as one of my considerations, yes.  Q. And also you said in your evidence, you alluded to the fact that you were concerned about possible civil unrest.  A. There was, because on the previous, only months earlier, where the Customs vessel had	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So it is completely a different position.</li> <li>A. Absolutely.</li> <li>Q. Miles away.</li> <li>A. Five o'clock or six o'clock or seven o'clock.</li> <li>Q. Do you remember Mr Field giving that briefing?</li> <li>A. Yes, I remember him briefing. I can't remember the question of the coordinates but</li> <li>Q. Do you remember him being - and it</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	back to haunt the information provider.  Q. Here you have the question of jurisdiction, have you not, and I presume somewhere in your mind was the fact that this was a potential diplomatic incident.  A. Absolutely. That featured as one of my considerations, yes.  Q. And also you said in your evidence, you alluded to the fact that you were concerned about possible civil unrest.  A. There was, because on the previous, only months earlier, where the Customs vessel had collided with a smuggling vessel in similar	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So it is completely a different position.</li> <li>A. Absolutely.</li> <li>Q. Miles away.</li> <li>A. Five o'clock or six o'clock or seven o'clock.</li> <li>Q. Do you remember Mr Field giving that briefing?</li> <li>A. Yes, I remember him briefing. I can't remember the question of the coordinates but</li> <li>Q. Do you remember him being - and it sounds like there he was being very cautious</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	back to haunt the information provider.  Q. Here you have the question of jurisdiction, have you not, and I presume somewhere in your mind was the fact that this was a potential diplomatic incident.  A. Absolutely. That featured as one of my considerations, yes.  Q. And also you said in your evidence, you alluded to the fact that you were concerned about possible civil unrest.  A. There was, because on the previous, only months earlier, where the Customs vessel had collided with a smuggling vessel in similar circumstances, albeit in Gibraltar waters,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So it is completely a different position.</li> <li>A. Absolutely.</li> <li>Q. Miles away.</li> <li>A. Five o'clock or six o'clock or seven o'clock.</li> <li>Q. Do you remember Mr Field giving that briefing?</li> <li>A. Yes, I remember him briefing. I can't remember the question of the coordinates but</li> <li>Q. Do you remember him being - and it sounds like there he was being very cautious as well.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	back to haunt the information provider.  Q. Here you have the question of jurisdiction, have you not, and I presume somewhere in your mind was the fact that this was a potential diplomatic incident.  A. Absolutely. That featured as one of my considerations, yes.  Q. And also you said in your evidence, you alluded to the fact that you were concerned about possible civil unrest.  A. There was, because on the previous, only months earlier, where the Customs vessel had collided with a smuggling vessel in similar circumstances, albeit in Gibraltar waters, there had been serious public disorder in the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So it is completely a different position.</li> <li>A. Absolutely.</li> <li>Q. Miles away.</li> <li>A. Five o'clock or six o'clock or seven o'clock.</li> <li>Q. Do you remember Mr Field giving that briefing?</li> <li>A. Yes, I remember him briefing. I can't remember the question of the coordinates but</li> <li>Q. Do you remember him being - and it sounds like there he was being very cautious as well.</li> <li>A. I think he's acted as senior investigating</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	back to haunt the information provider.  Q. Here you have the question of jurisdiction, have you not, and I presume somewhere in your mind was the fact that this was a potential diplomatic incident.  A. Absolutely. That featured as one of my considerations, yes.  Q. And also you said in your evidence, you alluded to the fact that you were concerned about possible civil unrest.  A. There was, because on the previous, only months earlier, where the Customs vessel had collided with a smuggling vessel in similar circumstances, albeit in Gibraltar waters, there had been serious public disorder in the neighbouring town, and my concern was that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So it is completely a different position.</li> <li>A. Absolutely.</li> <li>Q. Miles away.</li> <li>A. Five o'clock or six o'clock or seven o'clock.</li> <li>Q. Do you remember Mr Field giving that briefing?</li> <li>A. Yes, I remember him briefing. I can't remember the question of the coordinates but</li> <li>Q. Do you remember him being - and it sounds like there he was being very cautious as well.</li> <li>A. I think he's acted as senior investigating officer in other cases. He was an</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	back to haunt the information provider.  Q. Here you have the question of jurisdiction, have you not, and I presume somewhere in your mind was the fact that this was a potential diplomatic incident.  A. Absolutely. That featured as one of my considerations, yes.  Q. And also you said in your evidence, you alluded to the fact that you were concerned about possible civil unrest.  A. There was, because on the previous, only months earlier, where the Customs vessel had collided with a smuggling vessel in similar circumstances, albeit in Gibraltar waters, there had been serious public disorder in the neighbouring town, and my concern was that that could spill over into Gibraltar.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Yes. Q. So it is completely a different position. A. Absolutely. Q. Miles away. A. Five o'clock or six o'clock or seven o'clock. Q. Do you remember Mr Field giving that briefing? A. Yes, I remember him briefing. I can't remember the question of the coordinates but Q. Do you remember him being - and it sounds like there he was being very cautious as well. A. I think he's acted as senior investigating officer in other cases. He was an experienced detective so he knows probably
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	back to haunt the information provider.  Q. Here you have the question of jurisdiction, have you not, and I presume somewhere in your mind was the fact that this was a potential diplomatic incident.  A. Absolutely. That featured as one of my considerations, yes.  Q. And also you said in your evidence, you alluded to the fact that you were concerned about possible civil unrest.  A. There was, because on the previous, only months earlier, where the Customs vessel had collided with a smuggling vessel in similar circumstances, albeit in Gibraltar waters, there had been serious public disorder in the neighbouring town, and my concern was that that could spill over into Gibraltar.  Q. Would it be fair to say that at that point	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Yes. Q. So it is completely a different position. A. Absolutely. Q. Miles away. A. Five o'clock or six o'clock or seven o'clock. Q. Do you remember Mr Field giving that briefing? A. Yes, I remember him briefing. I can't remember the question of the coordinates but Q. Do you remember him being - and it sounds like there he was being very cautious as well. A. I think he's acted as senior investigating officer in other cases. He was an experienced detective so he knows probably the same as I do in terms of what
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	back to haunt the information provider.  Q. Here you have the question of jurisdiction, have you not, and I presume somewhere in your mind was the fact that this was a potential diplomatic incident.  A. Absolutely. That featured as one of my considerations, yes.  Q. And also you said in your evidence, you alluded to the fact that you were concerned about possible civil unrest.  A. There was, because on the previous, only months earlier, where the Customs vessel had collided with a smuggling vessel in similar circumstances, albeit in Gibraltar waters, there had been serious public disorder in the neighbouring town, and my concern was that that could spill over into Gibraltar.  Q. Would it be fair to say that at that point on the morning of 8 March you were being	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Yes. Q. So it is completely a different position. A. Absolutely. Q. Miles away. A. Five o'clock or six o'clock or seven o'clock. Q. Do you remember Mr Field giving that briefing? A. Yes, I remember him briefing. I can't remember the question of the coordinates but Q. Do you remember him being - and it sounds like there he was being very cautious as well. A. I think he's acted as senior investigating officer in other cases. He was an experienced detective so he knows probably the same as I do in terms of what information, how it should be The
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	back to haunt the information provider.  Q. Here you have the question of jurisdiction, have you not, and I presume somewhere in your mind was the fact that this was a potential diplomatic incident.  A. Absolutely. That featured as one of my considerations, yes.  Q. And also you said in your evidence, you alluded to the fact that you were concerned about possible civil unrest.  A. There was, because on the previous, only months earlier, where the Customs vessel had collided with a smuggling vessel in similar circumstances, albeit in Gibraltar waters, there had been serious public disorder in the neighbouring town, and my concern was that that could spill over into Gibraltar.  Q. Would it be fair to say that at that point on the morning of 8 March you were being very cautious not to jump to any conclusions	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Yes. Q. So it is completely a different position. A. Absolutely. Q. Miles away. A. Five o'clock or six o'clock or seven o'clock. Q. Do you remember Mr Field giving that briefing? A. Yes, I remember him briefing. I can't remember the question of the coordinates but Q. Do you remember him being - and it sounds like there he was being very cautious as well. A. I think he's acted as senior investigating officer in other cases. He was an experienced detective so he knows probably the same as I do in terms of what information, how it should be The difference between information and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	back to haunt the information provider.  Q. Here you have the question of jurisdiction, have you not, and I presume somewhere in your mind was the fact that this was a potential diplomatic incident.  A. Absolutely. That featured as one of my considerations, yes.  Q. And also you said in your evidence, you alluded to the fact that you were concerned about possible civil unrest.  A. There was, because on the previous, only months earlier, where the Customs vessel had collided with a smuggling vessel in similar circumstances, albeit in Gibraltar waters, there had been serious public disorder in the neighbouring town, and my concern was that that could spill over into Gibraltar.  Q. Would it be fair to say that at that point on the morning of 8 March you were being very cautious not to jump to any conclusions about the location of any of the incident?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Yes. Q. So it is completely a different position. A. Absolutely. Q. Miles away. A. Five o'clock or six o'clock or seven o'clock. Q. Do you remember Mr Field giving that briefing? A. Yes, I remember him briefing. I can't remember the question of the coordinates but Q. Do you remember him being - and it sounds like there he was being very cautious as well. A. I think he's acted as senior investigating officer in other cases. He was an experienced detective so he knows probably the same as I do in terms of what information, how it should be The difference between information and evidence, put it that way.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	back to haunt the information provider.  Q. Here you have the question of jurisdiction, have you not, and I presume somewhere in your mind was the fact that this was a potential diplomatic incident.  A. Absolutely. That featured as one of my considerations, yes.  Q. And also you said in your evidence, you alluded to the fact that you were concerned about possible civil unrest.  A. There was, because on the previous, only months earlier, where the Customs vessel had collided with a smuggling vessel in similar circumstances, albeit in Gibraltar waters, there had been serious public disorder in the neighbouring town, and my concern was that that could spill over into Gibraltar.  Q. Would it be fair to say that at that point on the morning of 8 March you were being very cautious not to jump to any conclusions about the location of any of the incident?  A. That would be a correct assessment, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Yes. Q. So it is completely a different position. A. Absolutely. Q. Miles away. A. Five o'clock or six o'clock or seven o'clock. Q. Do you remember Mr Field giving that briefing? A. Yes, I remember him briefing. I can't remember the question of the coordinates but Q. Do you remember him being - and it sounds like there he was being very cautious as well. A. I think he's acted as senior investigating officer in other cases. He was an experienced detective so he knows probably the same as I do in terms of what information, how it should be The difference between information and evidence, put it that way. Q. The difference between information and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Dack to haunt the information provider.  Q. Here you have the question of jurisdiction, have you not, and I presume somewhere in your mind was the fact that this was a potential diplomatic incident.  A. Absolutely. That featured as one of my considerations, yes.  Q. And also you said in your evidence, you alluded to the fact that you were concerned about possible civil unrest.  A. There was, because on the previous, only months earlier, where the Customs vessel had collided with a smuggling vessel in similar circumstances, albeit in Gibraltar waters, there had been serious public disorder in the neighbouring town, and my concern was that that could spill over into Gibraltar.  Q. Would it be fair to say that at that point on the morning of 8 March you were being very cautious not to jump to any conclusions about the location of any of the incident?  A. That would be a correct assessment, yes.  Q. And I am going to show you something	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. Yes. Q. So it is completely a different position. A. Absolutely. Q. Miles away. A. Five o'clock or six o'clock or seven o'clock. Q. Do you remember Mr Field giving that briefing? A. Yes, I remember him briefing. I can't remember the question of the coordinates but Q. Do you remember him being - and it sounds like there he was being very cautious as well. A. I think he's acted as senior investigating officer in other cases. He was an experienced detective so he knows probably the same as I do in terms of what information, how it should be The difference between information and evidence, put it that way. Q. The difference between information and evidence.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	back to haunt the information provider.  Q. Here you have the question of jurisdiction, have you not, and I presume somewhere in your mind was the fact that this was a potential diplomatic incident.  A. Absolutely. That featured as one of my considerations, yes.  Q. And also you said in your evidence, you alluded to the fact that you were concerned about possible civil unrest.  A. There was, because on the previous, only months earlier, where the Customs vessel had collided with a smuggling vessel in similar circumstances, albeit in Gibraltar waters, there had been serious public disorder in the neighbouring town, and my concern was that that could spill over into Gibraltar.  Q. Would it be fair to say that at that point on the morning of 8 March you were being very cautious not to jump to any conclusions about the location of any of the incident?  A. That would be a correct assessment, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Yes. Q. So it is completely a different position. A. Absolutely. Q. Miles away. A. Five o'clock or six o'clock or seven o'clock. Q. Do you remember Mr Field giving that briefing? A. Yes, I remember him briefing. I can't remember the question of the coordinates but Q. Do you remember him being - and it sounds like there he was being very cautious as well. A. I think he's acted as senior investigating officer in other cases. He was an experienced detective so he knows probably the same as I do in terms of what information, how it should be The difference between information and evidence, put it that way. Q. The difference between information and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Dack to haunt the information provider.  Q. Here you have the question of jurisdiction, have you not, and I presume somewhere in your mind was the fact that this was a potential diplomatic incident.  A. Absolutely. That featured as one of my considerations, yes.  Q. And also you said in your evidence, you alluded to the fact that you were concerned about possible civil unrest.  A. There was, because on the previous, only months earlier, where the Customs vessel had collided with a smuggling vessel in similar circumstances, albeit in Gibraltar waters, there had been serious public disorder in the neighbouring town, and my concern was that that could spill over into Gibraltar.  Q. Would it be fair to say that at that point on the morning of 8 March you were being very cautious not to jump to any conclusions about the location of any of the incident?  A. That would be a correct assessment, yes.  Q. And I am going to show you something	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. Yes. Q. So it is completely a different position. A. Absolutely. Q. Miles away. A. Five o'clock or six o'clock or seven o'clock. Q. Do you remember Mr Field giving that briefing? A. Yes, I remember him briefing. I can't remember the question of the coordinates but Q. Do you remember him being - and it sounds like there he was being very cautious as well. A. I think he's acted as senior investigating officer in other cases. He was an experienced detective so he knows probably the same as I do in terms of what information, how it should be The difference between information and evidence, put it that way. Q. The difference between information and evidence.

1	Q. Is information in your mind below	1	A. He didn't.
2	evidence in terms of	2	Q. As compared to the Governor, who
3	A. Absolutely.	3	attended two briefings.
4	Q getting towards that confirmation point	4	A. Yes.
5	on the spectrum.	5	Q. If we can go a little bit further down,
6	A. Yes.	6	11.40. This is a text message the AG for
7	Q. B 1345, please. 9.49. This is when the	7	some reason sends to you but is intended for
8	Chief Minister has asked you about the	8	the Chief Minister.
9	location. You say: "The information	9	THE CHAIRMAN: It was an error.
10	suggests that the collision took place outside	10	MR WAGNER: Do we know it is an error?
11	BGTW approximately six nautical miles east	11	THE CHAIRMAN: Well, it seems an
12	off the runway or Santa Barbara Beach." Are	12	obvious explanation.
13	the runway and Santa Barbara Beach the	13	MR WAGNER: I do not know.
14	same place?	14	THE CHAIRMAN: Anyway, I do not want
15	A. Well, it's a frontier fence that divides	15	to delay time because you are running out of
16	them.	16	it.
17	Q. So if you go three nautical miles east of	17	MR WAGNER: I understand. (To the
18	the runway, is that the same position as if	18	witness) "PR [Paul Richardson] will not say
19	you go three nautical miles east of Santa	19	where incident occurred but it's virtually
20	Barbara Beach?	20	certain it was outside BGTW eastern side
21	A. Like I explained, it depends at what point	21	opposite runway. It also seems that part of
22	of the beach. If it's the most northern, then it	22	the chase was within BGTW." Is that
23	wouldn't be, but if it's the most southern, it	23	language, "virtually certain", your language?
24	would be closer to our waters, because Santa	24	A. I think it's the Attorney General's.
25	Barbara Beach could be a kilometre long.	25	Q. It is the Attorney General's, so you have
23	Dai bara beach could be a knometre long.	23	Q. It is the Attorney General's, so you have
	Page 89		Page 91
1	Q. You say there at 9.49: "The information	1	not texted the Chief Minister "virtually
2	suggests that the collision took place outside	2	certain".
2 3	suggests that the collision took place outside BGTW." You do not say there which waters,	2 3	certain". A. No.
2 3 4	suggests that the collision took place outside BGTW." You do not say there which waters, do you? You do not say Spanish or	2 3 4	certain".  A. No.  Q. And, in fact, you said "information
2 3 4 5	suggests that the collision took place outside BGTW." You do not say there which waters, do you? You do not say Spanish or international or anything, do you?	2 3 4 5	certain".  A. No.  Q. And, in fact, you said "information suggests". You said something very
2 3 4 5 6	suggests that the collision took place outside BGTW." You do not say there which waters, do you? You do not say Spanish or international or anything, do you?  A. It says "outside BGTW."	2 3 4 5 6	certain".  A. No.  Q. And, in fact, you said "information suggests". You said something very different.
2 3 4 5 6 7	suggests that the collision took place outside BGTW." You do not say there which waters, do you? You do not say Spanish or international or anything, do you?  A. It says "outside BGTW."  Q. Is it fair to say that is what is in your	2 3 4 5 6 7	certain".  A. No.  Q. And, in fact, you said "information suggests". You said something very different.  A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	suggests that the collision took place outside BGTW." You do not say there which waters, do you? You do not say Spanish or international or anything, do you?  A. It says "outside BGTW."  Q. Is it fair to say that is what is in your mind at the time, whether it is in or out	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	certain".  A. No.  Q. And, in fact, you said "information suggests". You said something very different.  A. Yes.  Q. You were very cautious, were you not?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	suggests that the collision took place outside BGTW." You do not say there which waters, do you? You do not say Spanish or international or anything, do you?  A. It says "outside BGTW."  Q. Is it fair to say that is what is in your mind at the time, whether it is in or out BGTW.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	certain".  A. No.  Q. And, in fact, you said "information suggests". You said something very different.  A. Yes.  Q. You were very cautious, were you not?  A. I can't say I was cautious what I was —
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	suggests that the collision took place outside BGTW." You do not say there which waters, do you? You do not say Spanish or international or anything, do you?  A. It says "outside BGTW."  Q. Is it fair to say that is what is in your mind at the time, whether it is in or out BGTW.  A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	certain".  A. No.  Q. And, in fact, you said "information suggests". You said something very different.  A. Yes.  Q. You were very cautious, were you not?  A. I can't say I was cautious what I was  Q. You just said what you had been briefed.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	suggests that the collision took place outside BGTW." You do not say there which waters, do you? You do not say Spanish or international or anything, do you?  A. It says "outside BGTW."  Q. Is it fair to say that is what is in your mind at the time, whether it is in or out BGTW.  A. Yes.  Q. And you say there: The information	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	certain".  A. No.  Q. And, in fact, you said "information suggests". You said something very different.  A. Yes.  Q. You were very cautious, were you not?  A. I can't say I was cautious what I was  Q. You just said what you had been briefed.  A. Exactly, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	suggests that the collision took place outside BGTW." You do not say there which waters, do you? You do not say Spanish or international or anything, do you?  A. It says "outside BGTW."  Q. Is it fair to say that is what is in your mind at the time, whether it is in or out BGTW.  A. Yes.  Q. And you say there: The information suggests". Would it be fair to describe that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	certain".  A. No.  Q. And, in fact, you said "information suggests". You said something very different.  A. Yes.  Q. You were very cautious, were you not?  A. I can't say I was cautious what I was Q. You just said what you had been briefed.  A. Exactly, yes.  Q. And again there is that point: Is it in or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	suggests that the collision took place outside BGTW." You do not say there which waters, do you? You do not say Spanish or international or anything, do you?  A. It says "outside BGTW."  Q. Is it fair to say that is what is in your mind at the time, whether it is in or out BGTW.  A. Yes.  Q. And you say there: The information suggests". Would it be fair to describe that as you being very cautious about what you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	certain".  A. No.  Q. And, in fact, you said "information suggests". You said something very different.  A. Yes.  Q. You were very cautious, were you not?  A. I can't say I was cautious what I was —  Q. You just said what you had been briefed.  A. Exactly, yes.  Q. And again there is that point: Is it in or out of BGTW, is what is being spoken about.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	suggests that the collision took place outside BGTW." You do not say there which waters, do you? You do not say Spanish or international or anything, do you?  A. It says "outside BGTW."  Q. Is it fair to say that is what is in your mind at the time, whether it is in or out BGTW.  A. Yes.  Q. And you say there: The information suggests". Would it be fair to describe that as you being very cautious about what you are saying?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	certain".  A. No.  Q. And, in fact, you said "information suggests". You said something very different.  A. Yes.  Q. You were very cautious, were you not?  A. I can't say I was cautious what I was  Q. You just said what you had been briefed.  A. Exactly, yes.  Q. And again there is that point: Is it in or out of BGTW, is what is being spoken about.  A. The bottom line, Mr Wagner, is that I did
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	suggests that the collision took place outside BGTW." You do not say there which waters, do you? You do not say Spanish or international or anything, do you?  A. It says "outside BGTW."  Q. Is it fair to say that is what is in your mind at the time, whether it is in or out BGTW.  A. Yes.  Q. And you say there: The information suggests". Would it be fair to describe that as you being very cautious about what you are saying?  A. I was relaying what I had at the time.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	certain".  A. No.  Q. And, in fact, you said "information suggests". You said something very different.  A. Yes.  Q. You were very cautious, were you not?  A. I can't say I was cautious what I was Q. You just said what you had been briefed.  A. Exactly, yes.  Q. And again there is that point: Is it in or out of BGTW, is what is being spoken about.  A. The bottom line, Mr Wagner, is that I did not have any reason, motive or anything
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	suggests that the collision took place outside BGTW." You do not say there which waters, do you? You do not say Spanish or international or anything, do you?  A. It says "outside BGTW."  Q. Is it fair to say that is what is in your mind at the time, whether it is in or out BGTW.  A. Yes.  Q. And you say there: The information suggests". Would it be fair to describe that as you being very cautious about what you are saying?  A. I was relaying what I had at the time.  This is - it's not that I was thinking what	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	certain".  A. No.  Q. And, in fact, you said "information suggests". You said something very different.  A. Yes.  Q. You were very cautious, were you not?  A. I can't say I was cautious what I was Q. You just said what you had been briefed.  A. Exactly, yes.  Q. And again there is that point: Is it in or out of BGTW, is what is being spoken about.  A. The bottom line, Mr Wagner, is that I did not have any reason, motive or anything whatsoever to be evasive.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	suggests that the collision took place outside BGTW." You do not say there which waters, do you? You do not say Spanish or international or anything, do you?  A. It says "outside BGTW."  Q. Is it fair to say that is what is in your mind at the time, whether it is in or out BGTW.  A. Yes.  Q. And you say there: The information suggests". Would it be fair to describe that as you being very cautious about what you are saying?  A. I was relaying what I had at the time.  This is - it's not that I was thinking what should I be feeding up. It's not that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	certain".  A. No.  Q. And, in fact, you said "information suggests". You said something very different.  A. Yes.  Q. You were very cautious, were you not?  A. I can't say I was cautious what I was Q. You just said what you had been briefed.  A. Exactly, yes.  Q. And again there is that point: Is it in or out of BGTW, is what is being spoken about.  A. The bottom line, Mr Wagner, is that I did not have any reason, motive or anything whatsoever to be evasive.  Q. No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	suggests that the collision took place outside BGTW." You do not say there which waters, do you? You do not say Spanish or international or anything, do you?  A. It says "outside BGTW."  Q. Is it fair to say that is what is in your mind at the time, whether it is in or out BGTW.  A. Yes.  Q. And you say there: The information suggests". Would it be fair to describe that as you being very cautious about what you are saying?  A. I was relaying what I had at the time.  This is - it's not that I was thinking what should I be feeding up. It's not that.  Q. No, you were just feeding up what you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	certain".  A. No.  Q. And, in fact, you said "information suggests". You said something very different.  A. Yes.  Q. You were very cautious, were you not?  A. I can't say I was cautious what I was  Q. You just said what you had been briefed.  A. Exactly, yes.  Q. And again there is that point: Is it in or out of BGTW, is what is being spoken about.  A. The bottom line, Mr Wagner, is that I did not have any reason, motive or anything whatsoever to be evasive.  Q. No.  A. Or withhold anything from Mr Pyle at all,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	suggests that the collision took place outside BGTW." You do not say there which waters, do you? You do not say Spanish or international or anything, do you?  A. It says "outside BGTW."  Q. Is it fair to say that is what is in your mind at the time, whether it is in or out BGTW.  A. Yes.  Q. And you say there: The information suggests". Would it be fair to describe that as you being very cautious about what you are saying?  A. I was relaying what I had at the time.  This is - it's not that I was thinking what should I be feeding up. It's not that.  Q. No, you were just feeding up what you were being told.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	certain".  A. No.  Q. And, in fact, you said "information suggests". You said something very different.  A. Yes.  Q. You were very cautious, were you not?  A. I can't say I was cautious what I was  Q. You just said what you had been briefed.  A. Exactly, yes.  Q. And again there is that point: Is it in or out of BGTW, is what is being spoken about.  A. The bottom line, Mr Wagner, is that I did not have any reason, motive or anything whatsoever to be evasive.  Q. No.  A. Or withhold anything from Mr Pyle at all, at all, at all.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	suggests that the collision took place outside BGTW." You do not say there which waters, do you? You do not say Spanish or international or anything, do you?  A. It says "outside BGTW."  Q. Is it fair to say that is what is in your mind at the time, whether it is in or out BGTW.  A. Yes.  Q. And you say there: The information suggests". Would it be fair to describe that as you being very cautious about what you are saying?  A. I was relaying what I had at the time.  This is - it's not that I was thinking what should I be feeding up. It's not that.  Q. No, you were just feeding up what you were being told.  A. Exactly. I was not over-thinking what I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	certain".  A. No.  Q. And, in fact, you said "information suggests". You said something very different.  A. Yes.  Q. You were very cautious, were you not?  A. I can't say I was cautious what I was Q. You just said what you had been briefed.  A. Exactly, yes.  Q. And again there is that point: Is it in or out of BGTW, is what is being spoken about.  A. The bottom line, Mr Wagner, is that I did not have any reason, motive or anything whatsoever to be evasive.  Q. No.  A. Or withhold anything from Mr Pyle at all, at all, at all.  Q. I will come to that in a moment. In fact, I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	suggests that the collision took place outside BGTW." You do not say there which waters, do you? You do not say Spanish or international or anything, do you?  A. It says "outside BGTW."  Q. Is it fair to say that is what is in your mind at the time, whether it is in or out BGTW.  A. Yes.  Q. And you say there: The information suggests". Would it be fair to describe that as you being very cautious about what you are saying?  A. I was relaying what I had at the time.  This is - it's not that I was thinking what should I be feeding up. It's not that.  Q. No, you were just feeding up what you were being told.  A. Exactly. I was not over-thinking what I had to write. That came out because that was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	certain".  A. No.  Q. And, in fact, you said "information suggests". You said something very different.  A. Yes.  Q. You were very cautious, were you not?  A. I can't say I was cautious what I was Q. You just said what you had been briefed.  A. Exactly, yes.  Q. And again there is that point: Is it in or out of BGTW, is what is being spoken about.  A. The bottom line, Mr Wagner, is that I did not have any reason, motive or anything whatsoever to be evasive.  Q. No.  A. Or withhold anything from Mr Pyle at all, at all, at all.  Q. I will come to that in a moment. In fact, I will come to it next. B 1346. This is when
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	suggests that the collision took place outside BGTW." You do not say there which waters, do you? You do not say Spanish or international or anything, do you?  A. It says "outside BGTW."  Q. Is it fair to say that is what is in your mind at the time, whether it is in or out BGTW.  A. Yes.  Q. And you say there: The information suggests". Would it be fair to describe that as you being very cautious about what you are saying?  A. I was relaying what I had at the time.  This is - it's not that I was thinking what should I be feeding up. It's not that.  Q. No, you were just feeding up what you were being told.  A. Exactly. I was not over-thinking what I had to write. That came out because that was what I had.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	certain".  A. No.  Q. And, in fact, you said "information suggests". You said something very different.  A. Yes.  Q. You were very cautious, were you not?  A. I can't say I was cautious what I was Q. You just said what you had been briefed.  A. Exactly, yes.  Q. And again there is that point: Is it in or out of BGTW, is what is being spoken about.  A. The bottom line, Mr Wagner, is that I did not have any reason, motive or anything whatsoever to be evasive.  Q. No.  A. Or withhold anything from Mr Pyle at all, at all, at all.  Q. I will come to that in a moment. In fact, I will come to it next. B 1346. This is when the Governor is walking his dog, he comes
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	suggests that the collision took place outside BGTW." You do not say there which waters, do you? You do not say Spanish or international or anything, do you?  A. It says "outside BGTW."  Q. Is it fair to say that is what is in your mind at the time, whether it is in or out BGTW.  A. Yes.  Q. And you say there: The information suggests". Would it be fair to describe that as you being very cautious about what you are saying?  A. I was relaying what I had at the time.  This is - it's not that I was thinking what should I be feeding up. It's not that.  Q. No, you were just feeding up what you were being told.  A. Exactly. I was not over-thinking what I had to write. That came out because that was what I had.  Q. And you were texting the Chief Minister.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	certain".  A. No.  Q. And, in fact, you said "information suggests". You said something very different.  A. Yes.  Q. You were very cautious, were you not?  A. I can't say I was cautious what I was Q. You just said what you had been briefed.  A. Exactly, yes.  Q. And again there is that point: Is it in or out of BGTW, is what is being spoken about.  A. The bottom line, Mr Wagner, is that I did not have any reason, motive or anything whatsoever to be evasive.  Q. No.  A. Or withhold anything from Mr Pyle at all, at all, at all.  Q. I will come to that in a moment. In fact, I will come to it next. B 1346. This is when the Governor is walking his dog, he comes into the briefing. You have been briefing Mr
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	suggests that the collision took place outside BGTW." You do not say there which waters, do you? You do not say Spanish or international or anything, do you?  A. It says "outside BGTW."  Q. Is it fair to say that is what is in your mind at the time, whether it is in or out BGTW.  A. Yes.  Q. And you say there: The information suggests". Would it be fair to describe that as you being very cautious about what you are saying?  A. I was relaying what I had at the time.  This is - it's not that I was thinking what should I be feeding up. It's not that.  Q. No, you were just feeding up what you were being told.  A. Exactly. I was not over-thinking what I had to write. That came out because that was what I had.  Q. And you were texting the Chief Minister. Is it right to say that he did not attend any of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	certain".  A. No.  Q. And, in fact, you said "information suggests". You said something very different.  A. Yes.  Q. You were very cautious, were you not?  A. I can't say I was cautious what I was Q. You just said what you had been briefed.  A. Exactly, yes.  Q. And again there is that point: Is it in or out of BGTW, is what is being spoken about.  A. The bottom line, Mr Wagner, is that I did not have any reason, motive or anything whatsoever to be evasive.  Q. No.  A. Or withhold anything from Mr Pyle at all, at all, at all.  Q. I will come to that in a moment. In fact, I will come to it next. B 1346. This is when the Governor is walking his dog, he comes into the briefing. You have been briefing Mr Llamas in detail, have you not?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	suggests that the collision took place outside BGTW." You do not say there which waters, do you? You do not say Spanish or international or anything, do you?  A. It says "outside BGTW."  Q. Is it fair to say that is what is in your mind at the time, whether it is in or out BGTW.  A. Yes.  Q. And you say there: The information suggests". Would it be fair to describe that as you being very cautious about what you are saying?  A. I was relaying what I had at the time.  This is - it's not that I was thinking what should I be feeding up. It's not that.  Q. No, you were just feeding up what you were being told.  A. Exactly. I was not over-thinking what I had to write. That came out because that was what I had.  Q. And you were texting the Chief Minister.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	certain".  A. No.  Q. And, in fact, you said "information suggests". You said something very different.  A. Yes.  Q. You were very cautious, were you not?  A. I can't say I was cautious what I was Q. You just said what you had been briefed.  A. Exactly, yes.  Q. And again there is that point: Is it in or out of BGTW, is what is being spoken about.  A. The bottom line, Mr Wagner, is that I did not have any reason, motive or anything whatsoever to be evasive.  Q. No.  A. Or withhold anything from Mr Pyle at all, at all, at all.  Q. I will come to that in a moment. In fact, I will come to it next. B 1346. This is when the Governor is walking his dog, he comes into the briefing. You have been briefing Mr
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	suggests that the collision took place outside BGTW." You do not say there which waters, do you? You do not say Spanish or international or anything, do you?  A. It says "outside BGTW."  Q. Is it fair to say that is what is in your mind at the time, whether it is in or out BGTW.  A. Yes.  Q. And you say there: The information suggests". Would it be fair to describe that as you being very cautious about what you are saying?  A. I was relaying what I had at the time.  This is - it's not that I was thinking what should I be feeding up. It's not that.  Q. No, you were just feeding up what you were being told.  A. Exactly. I was not over-thinking what I had to write. That came out because that was what I had.  Q. And you were texting the Chief Minister. Is it right to say that he did not attend any of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	certain".  A. No.  Q. And, in fact, you said "information suggests". You said something very different.  A. Yes.  Q. You were very cautious, were you not?  A. I can't say I was cautious what I was Q. You just said what you had been briefed.  A. Exactly, yes.  Q. And again there is that point: Is it in or out of BGTW, is what is being spoken about.  A. The bottom line, Mr Wagner, is that I did not have any reason, motive or anything whatsoever to be evasive.  Q. No.  A. Or withhold anything from Mr Pyle at all, at all, at all.  Q. I will come to that in a moment. In fact, I will come to it next. B 1346. This is when the Governor is walking his dog, he comes into the briefing. You have been briefing Mr Llamas in detail, have you not?

1 Q. And he suys: "Thanks for the briefing. 2 Fill do a quick note for London when it hist the press. Line will be investigation ongoing, a Spanish nationals from Cuter. One was Portuguese. Not sure in whose waters incident took place." 3 A. In was an interactive — Co., You were not standing at a podium giving a briefing to the Governor. 4 A. No. (Co., Let us break it down. First of all, "not sure". Were you sure at the time where the incident took place? 4 A. No. (Co., And you understood the incident to be the whole chase. 4 A. Yes. Both. Both, the chase and the collision. 4 A. Yes. Both. Both, the chase and the collision. 5 Q. If you have maters the incident took place," would that have been accurate? 5 A. No. (Co., Was there anything inaccurate about "not sure in whose waters incident took place" at that moment? 4 A. No. (Co., You were not standing at a podium giving a briefing to the Governor. 5 A. No, (Co., Was round table, yes. (Co., A. No, no, this was round. — A. No, on, on, this was round. — A. No, on, this was round. — A. No, on, this was round. — A. No, on, this was round. — A. No, on, on, this was round. — A. No, on, this was round. — A. No, on, this was round. — A. No, on, this was round. — A. No, on, on, this was round at table, yes. On the table. A. The was round the time. He although				
the press. Line will be investigation ongoing, Spanish nationals from Cueta. One was Portuguese. Not sure in whose waters incident took place."  A. Incident. Q. Let us break it down. First of all, "not sure". Were you sure at the time where the incident took place? A. No. Q. And you understood the incident to be the whole chase. A. Yes. Both. Both, the chase and the collision. Q. If you had sort of put out a statement or emailed the Governor saying: "We are now sure in whose waters the incident took place", would that have been accurate? A. No. Q. Was there anything inaccurate about "not sure in whose waters the incident took place" would that have been accurate? A. No. Q. Was there anything inaccurate about "not sure in whose waters incident took place" at that moment? A. No. Q. Was there anything inaccurate about "not sure in whose waters incident took place" at that moment? A. No. Q. Was there anything inaccurate about "not sure in whose waters incident took place" at that moment? A. No. Q. Was there anything inaccurate about "not sure in whose waters incident took place" at that moment? A. No. Q. Was there anything inaccurate about "not sure in whose waters incident took place" at that moment? A. No. Q. Was there anything inaccurate about "not sure in whose waters incident took place" at that moment? A. No. Q. Was there anything inaccurate about "not sure in whose waters incident took place" at that moment? A. No. Q. This whose waters incident took place as that moment? A. No. Q. Was there anything have been accurate? A. No. Q. This whose waters incident took place as that moment? A. No. Q. Was there anything have been accurate? A. No. Q. Was there anything have happened as much as six miles inside Spanish waters. Does that accord with your understanding of what he meant by "incident"? A. This what I can't grapple with. Are you suggesting that from being open to the Attorney General mad Mr Richardson giving to the AG? A. No. Q. The swarp of the time the sufficient took place? A. No. Q. Winst the definition	1		1	-
Spanish nationals from Cuctu. One was  Portuguese. Not sure in whose waters  A. Incident.  Q. Let us break it down. First of all, "not sure". Were you sure at the time where the incident took place?  A. No.  Q. And you understood the incident to be the whole chase.  A. Yes. Both. Both, the chase and the collision.  Q. If you had sort of put out a statement or emailed the Governor saying: "We are now sure in whose waters the incident took place" at that moment?  A. No.  Q. Was there anything inaccurate about "not sure in whose waters incident took place" at that moment?  A. No.  A. This is a many the part was in many the sailent information, thin the working theory was that part was in, part was out. Is that right?  A. A. That's right. At least that part of the pursuit was in our waters, yes.  Q. The Governor is being briefed. When the Governor walks into the room is there any reason why you would not give him the salient information which you had been giving to the AG?  A. This is what I can't grapple with. Are you suggesting that from being open to the Addrey Governor walks into the room is there any reason why you would not give him the salient information which you had been giving to the AG?  A. This is what I can't grapple with. Are you suggesting that from being open to the Addrey General and Mr Richardson and having a frank and open briefing, that I became evasive? It just doesn't square t. It doesn't square of. There was no change in my posture, put it that way. I remained as engaged in the briefing as I was, and I'm just thinking, it's not reflected in Mr Richardson or Mr Llamas that I became evasive, flippant, or anything of that sort at all.  Q. Were Mr Llamas and Mr Richardson capable of speaking out in that briefing with the Governor?  A. They were, of course.	2			A. It was an interactive
5 Portuguese. Not sure in whose waters 6 incident took place." 7 A. Incident. 8 Q. Let us break it down. First of all, 'not sure''. Were you sure at the time where the incident took place? 11 A. No. 12 Q. And you understood the incident to be the whole chase. 13 A. Yes. Both. Both, the chase and the collision. 16 Q. If you had sort of put out a statement or emailed the Governor saying: "We are now sure in whose waters the incident took place" at that moment! 2 A. No. 2 Q. Was there anything inaccurate about "not sure in whose waters incident took place" at that moment! 2 A. No. 2 Q. You and the other officers, or what was  Page 93  1 being communicated to you at the time, the working theory was that part was in out. Is that right? A. That's right. At least that part of the pursuit was in our waters, yes. Q. The Governor is being briefed. When the surious was in our waters, yes. Q. The Governor is being briefed. When the surious was in our waters, yes. Q. The Governor walks into the room is there any reason why you would not give him the salient information which you had been giving to the AG? 11 doesn't square off. There was no change in my posture, put if that way. I remained as engaged in the briefing at I was, and I'm just thinking, it's not reflected in Mr Richardson or Mr Llamas that I became evasive? It just doesn't square. It doesn't square off. There was no change in my posture, put if that sort at all. Q. Were Mr Llamas and Mr Richardson or or Mr Llamas that I became evasive, flippant, or anything of that sort at all. Q. Were Mr Llamas and Mr Richardson or or Mr Llamas and Mr Richardson or or Mr Llamas that I became evasive, flippant, or anything of that sort at all. Q. Were Mr Llamas and Mr Richardson or or Mr Llamas that I became evasive, flippant, or anything of the stort at all. Q. Were Mr Llamas and Mr Richardson or the lamas that I became evasive, flippant, or anything of the sort or the incident as a peaged in the briefing as I was, and I'm just thinking, it's not reflected in Mr Richardson or o	3	the press. Line will be investigation ongoing,	3	Q. You were not standing at a podium
6   Q. It was round the table. 7   A. Incident. 8   Q. Let us break it down. First of all, "not sure". Were you sure at the time where the incident took place? 11   A. No.   12   Q. And you understood the incident to be the whole chase.   14   A. Ves. Both. Both, the chase and the collision.   16   Q. If you had sort of put out a statement or emailed the Governor saying: "We are now sure in whose waters the incident took place", would that have been accurate?   10   Q. Was there anything inaccurate about "not sure in whose waters incident took place" at that moment?   24   A. No.   25   Q. You and the other officers, or what was   26   Q. The Governor is being briefed. When the Governor walks into the room is there any giving to the AG?   27   A. This is what I can't grapple with. Are you suggesting that from being open to the Attorney General and Mr Richardson and having a frank and open briefing, that I became evasive, it's not reflected in Mr Richardson on or Mr Llamas and Mr Richardson and having a frank and open briefing, that I became evasive? It just doesn't square. It doesn't square off. There was no change in my posture, put if that way. I remained as engaged in the briefing as I was, and I'm just thinking, it's not reflected in Mr Richardson or Mr Llamas and Mr Richardson	4		4	giving a briefing to the Governor.
A. Incident.  A. Q. Let us break it down. First of all, "not sure". Were you sure at the time where the incident took place?  A. No.  Q. And you understood the incident to be the whole chase.  A. Yes. Both. Both, the chase and the collision.  Q. If you had sort of put out a statement or emailed the Governor saying: "We are now sure in whose waters the incident took place", would that have been accurate?  Q. Was there anything inaccurate about "not sure in whose waters incident took place" at that moment?  A. No.  You and the other officers, or what was  Page 93  A. This was round table, yes, Q. And do you recall Mr Richardson or the Attorney General piping up at any point and saying; "Mr McGrail, you have missed out some salient information, this is it"?  A. No. And you can see that Mr Richardson would have certainly jumped in because he's the type of person that would challenge that.  And equally with Mr Llamas.  Q. 1748. So that was the day of the incident, the 8th. On the evening of the 8th we know that Mr Llamas and Mr Pyle met and he briefish is superiors. "There may be complications around yesterday's incident in that it might have happened as much as six miles inside Spanish waters." I am not asking you to explain what was in Mr Pyle's mind but he refers to "the incident" there "bursuit was in our waters, yes.  Q. The Governor walks into the room is there any reason why you would not give him the salient information which you had been giving to the AG?  A. This is what I can't grapple with. Are that the stream that it might have happened as much as six miles inside Spanish waters." Do you know if anybody was saying on the 8th or the moming of the 8th wetae.  A. This is was round table, you had been going to the AG?  A. No. Although the figure six.  A. This is was round table, you had been going the thing the proper of the the the they are that they are ferring to there is the collision, not necessarily the incident as a package.  Q. The foream of the thing the are the propension of the synthesic departme	5	Portuguese. Not sure in whose waters	5	A. No, no, this was round
8 Q. And do you recall Mr Richardson or the 11 A. No. 12 Q. And you understood the incident to be the 13 whole chase. 14 A. Yes. Both. Both, the chase and the 15 collision. 16 Q. If you had sort of put out a statement or 17 emailed the Governor saying: "We are now 18 sure in whose waters the incident took 19 place", would that have been accurate? 20 A. No. 21 Q. Was there anything inaccurate about "not 22 sure in whose waters incident took place" at 23 that moment? 24 A. No. 25 Q. You and the other officers, or what was 26 out. Is that right? 27 A. That's right. A least that part of the 28 superior walks into the room is there any 29 giving to the AG? 21 A. This is what I can't grapple with. Are 29 you suggesting that from being open to the 29 Attorney General piping up at any point and 29 sanitent information, this is it? 20 A. No. And you can see that Mr Richardson would have certainly jumped in because he's 20 the type of person that would challenge that. 21 And equally with Mir Llamas. 21 And equally with Mir Llamas. 22 Cy 1748. So that was the day of the incident, the 8th. On the evening of the 8th we know that what when we have she waters incident took place" at that moment? 22 sure in whose waters incident took place" at that moment? 23 asking you to explain what was in Mr Pyle's minds but her efers to 'the incident' there 24 a. No. 25 Q. The Governor is being briefed. When the 25 governor walks into the room is there any 26 reason why you would not give him the 27 salient information which you had been 28 giving to the AG? 29 The Governor is being death took 20 The Governor walks into the room is the incident on the place working theory was had part was in Mr Pyle's minds but her efers to 'the incident' there 29 working theory was that part of the pursuit was in our waters, yes. 30 out. Is that right? 40 A. That's right. A least that part of the pursuit was in our waters, yes. 41 been governor is being briefed. When the Governor walks into the room is there any 42 the collision, not necessarily the inci	6	incident took place."	6	Q. It was round the table.
sure". Were you sure at the time where the incident took place?  1 A. No.  2 Q. And you understood the incident to be the whole chase.  3 A. Yes. Both. Both, the chase and the collision.  4 A. Yes. Both. Both, the chase and the collision.  5 Q. If you had sort of put out a statement or emailed the Governor saying: "We are now sure in whose waters the incident took place" at that moment?  4 A. No.  2 Q. Was there anything inaccurate about "not sure in whose waters incident took place" at that moment?  4 A. No.  2 Q. You and the other officers, or what was  Page 93  1 being communicated to you at the time, the working theory was that part was in, part was out. Is that right?  4 A. That's right. At least that part of the pursuit was in our waters, yes.  6 Q. The Governor is being briefed. When the Governor walks into the room is there any reason why you would not give him the salient information, this is it?  A. That's right. At least that part of the pursuit was in our waters, yes.  6 Q. The Governor is being briefed. When the Governor walks into the room is there any season why you would not give him the salient information which you had been giving to the AG?  1 A. This is what I can't grapple with. Are you suggesting that from being open to the Attorney General and Mr Richardson and having a frank and open briefing, that I became evasive? It just doesn't square. It doesn't square off. There was no change in my posture, put it that way. I remained as engaged in the briefing as I was, and I'm just thinking, it's not reflected in Mr Richardson or Mr Llamas that I became evasive, flippant, or anything of that sort at all.  Q. Were Mr Llamas and Mr Richardson and the figure six was in any to waters. Page 93  A. They were, of course.  5 A. They were, of course.  9 A. Thore you are right on the line there, are you suggesting that sort at all.  10 Q. Were Mr Llamas and Mr Richardson and having a frank and open briefing, that I became evasive? It just doesn't square. It doesn't square off. There was no change in my pos	7	A. Incident.	7	A. This was round table, yes.
incident took place?  10 A. No.  11 A. No.  12 Q. And you understood the incident to be the whole chase.  13 A. Yes. Both. Both, the chase and the collision.  14 A. Yes. Both. Both, the chase and the collision.  15 Q. If you had sort of put out a statement or emailed the Governor saying: "We are now sure in whose waters the incident took place", would that have been accurate?  19 A. No.  21 Q. Was there anything inaccurate about "not sure in whose waters incident took place" at that moment?  22 A. No.  23 Q. You and the other officers, or what was  Page 93  1 being communicated to you at the time, the working theory was that part was in, part was out. Is that right?  4 A. That's right. At least that part of the pursuit was in our waters, yes.  Q. Pro Governor is being briefed. When the Governor walks into the room is there any reason why you would not give him the salient information which you hab been giving to the AG?  10 A. This is what I can't grapple with. Are you suggesting that from being open to the Attorney General and Mr Richardson and having a frank and open briefing, that I liberance wasive? It just doesn't square. It doesn't square off. There was no change in my posture, put it hat way. I remained as engaged in the briefing as I was, and I'm just thinking, it's not reflected in Mr Richardson or or Mr Llamas that I became evasive, flippant, or anything of that sort at all.  Q. Were Mr Llamas and Mr Richardson or or Mr Llamas that I became evasive, flippant, or anything of that sort at all.  Q. Were Mr Llamas and Mr Richardson and paged in the briefing as I was, and I'm just thinking, it's not reflected in Mr Richardson or or Mr Llamas that I became evasive, flippant, or anything of that sort at all.  Q. Were Mr Llamas and Mr Richardson and paged be of speaking out in that briefing with the Governor?  25 A. They were, of course.  26 The Governor is mr developed in the briefing with the Governor?  27 Syon on, at the top of Gibraltar.  28 The CHAIRMAN: Time. We must press	8	Q. Let us break it down. First of all, "not	8	Q. And do you recall Mr Richardson or the
incident took place?  10 A. No.  11 A. No.  12 Q. And you understood the incident to be the whole chase.  13 A. Yes. Both. Both, the chase and the collision.  14 A. Yes. Both. Both, the chase and the collision.  15 Q. If you had sort of put out a statement or emailed the Governor saying: "We are now sure in whose waters the incident took place", would that have been accurate?  19 A. No.  21 Q. Was there anything inaccurate about "not sure in whose waters incident took place" at that moment?  22 A. No.  23 Q. You and the other officers, or what was  Page 93  1 being communicated to you at the time, the working theory was that part was in, part was out. Is that right?  4 A. That's right. At least that part of the pursuit was in our waters, yes.  Q. Pro Governor is being briefed. When the Governor walks into the room is there any reason why you would not give him the salient information which you hab been giving to the AG?  10 A. This is what I can't grapple with. Are you suggesting that from being open to the Attorney General and Mr Richardson and having a frank and open briefing, that I liberance wasive? It just doesn't square. It doesn't square off. There was no change in my posture, put it hat way. I remained as engaged in the briefing as I was, and I'm just thinking, it's not reflected in Mr Richardson or or Mr Llamas that I became evasive, flippant, or anything of that sort at all.  Q. Were Mr Llamas and Mr Richardson or or Mr Llamas that I became evasive, flippant, or anything of that sort at all.  Q. Were Mr Llamas and Mr Richardson and paged in the briefing as I was, and I'm just thinking, it's not reflected in Mr Richardson or or Mr Llamas that I became evasive, flippant, or anything of that sort at all.  Q. Were Mr Llamas and Mr Richardson and paged be of speaking out in that briefing with the Governor?  25 A. They were, of course.  26 The Governor is mr developed in the briefing with the Governor?  27 Syon on, at the top of Gibraltar.  28 The CHAIRMAN: Time. We must press	9	sure". Were you sure at the time where the	9	Attorney General piping up at any point and
A. No.  Q. And you understood the incident to be the whole chase.  A. Yes, Both. Both, the chase and the collision.  Q. If you had sort of put out a statement or emailed the Governor saying: "We are now sure in whose waters the incident took place" at that moment?  A. No.  A. No.  A. No.  A. No.  And you can see that Mr Richardson with a wind have certainly jumped in because he's the type of person that would challenge that. And equally with Mr Llamas.  Q. If you had sort of put out a statement or emailed the Governor saying: "We are now sure in whose waters the incident took place" at that moment?  A. No.  Q. Was there anything inaccurate about "not sure in whose waters incident took place" at that moment?  A. No.  Page 93  The being communicated to you at the time, the working theory was that part was in, part was out. Is that right?  A. That's right. At least that part of the pursuit was in our waters, yes.  Q. The Governor is being briefed. When the Governor walks into the room is there any reason why you would not give him the salient information which you had been giving to the AG?  A. That's right. At least that part of the busile was in a part was on why you would not give him the salient information which you had been giving to the AG?  A. That's right at lean't grapple with. Are you suggesting that from being open to the Attorney General and Mr Richardson and having a frank and open briefing, that I became evasive? It just doesn't square off. There was no change in my posture, put it hat way. I remained as engaged in the briefing as I was, and I'm just thinking, it's not reflected in Mr Richardson or Mr Llamas that I became evasive, flippant, or anything of that sort at all.  Q. Were Mr Llamas and Mr Richardson or anything of that sort at all.  Q. Were Mr Llamas and Mr Richardson and provided that the provided that the context of it is wrong because the information I imparted was six nautical miles from the coastine, not necessarily into Spanish waters.  A. No. although the figure six — Q. Six, yes.	10	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	10	
2	11		11	
whole chase.  A. Yes. Both. Both, the chase and the collision.  O. If you had sort of put out a statement or emailed the Governor saying: "We are now sure in whose waters the incident took place", would that have been accurate?  A. No.  O. Was there anything inaccurate about "not sure in whose waters incident took place" at that moment?  A. No.  O. You and the other officers, or what was  Page 93  Deing communicated to you at the time, the working theory was that part was in, part was out. Is that right?  A. That's right. At least that part of the pursuit was in our waters, yes.  O. The Governor is being briefed. When the Governor walks into the room is there any giving to the AG?  A. This is what I can't grapple with. Are you suggesting that from being open to the Attorney General and Mr Richardson and having a frank and open briefing, that I became evasive? It just doesn't square. It doesn't square off. There was no change in my posture, put it that way. I remained as engaged in the briefing as I was, and I'm just thinking, it's not reflected in Mr Richardson or or Mr Llamas and Mr Richardson and apable of speaking out in that briefing with the Governor?  A. They were, of course.	12	O. And you understood the incident to be the	12	•
14 A. Yes. Both. Both, the chase and the collision.  15 coll fyou had sort of put out a statement or emailed the Governor saying: "We are now sure in whose waters the incident took place", would that have been accurate?  20 A. No. 21 Q. Was there anything inaccurate about "not sure in whose waters incident took place" at that moment?  22 sure in whose waters incident took place" at that moment?  23 that moment?  24 A. No. 25 Q. You and the other officers, or what was page 93  1 being communicated to you at the time, the working theory was that part was in our waters, yes.  4 A. That's right. At least that part of the pursuit was in our waters, yes.  5 Q. The Governor is being briefed. When the salient information which you had been giving to the AG?  10 giving to the AG? 11 A. This is what I can't grapple with. Are you suggesting that from being open to the daying a frank and open briefing, that I became evasive? It just doesn't square. It doesn't square, It doesn't square, It thinking, it's not reflected in Mr Richardson 20 or Mr Llamas that I became evasive, flippant, or anything of that sort at all.  20 Were Mr Llamas and Mr Richardson 20 capable of speaking out in that briefing with the Governor?  21 the type of person that would challenge that. And equally with Mr Llamas.  22 (2) (1748. So that was the day of the incident, the 8th. On the evening of the 8th we know that Mr Llamas and Mr Pyle met and he briefs his superiors. "There may be complications around yesterday's incident in that it might have happened as much as six miles inside Spanish waters." Does that accord with your understanding of what he meant by "incident"?  21 inside Spanish waters." Does that accord with your understanding of what he meant by "incident"?  22 inside Spanish waters." Does that accord with your understanding of what he meant by "incident"?  23 inside Spanish waters. Does that accord with your understanding of what he meant by "incident"?  24 A. That's right.  25 In might have happened as much as six miles inside Spanish wa			1	
collision.    15			1	
16 Q. If you had sort of put out a statement or emailed the Governor saying: "We are now sure in whose waters the incident took 18 place", would that have been accurate? 20 A. No. 21 Q. Was there anything inaccurate about "not sure in whose waters incident took place" at that moment? 22 sure in whose waters incident took place at that moment? 23 that moment? 24 A. No. 25 Q. You and the other officers, or what was 26 Page 93  1 being communicated to you at the time, the working theory was that part was in, part was out. Is that right? 4 A. That's right. At least that part of the pursuit was in our waters, yes. 4 Q. The Governor is being briefed. When the goiving to the AG? 11 A. This is what I can't grapple with. Are you suggesting that from being open to the Ad? 12 you suggesting that from being open to the down't square off. There was no change in my posture, put it that way. I remained as engaged in the briefing as I was, and I'm just thinking, it's not reflected in Mr Richardson or Mr Llamas that I became evasive, flippant, or anything of that sort at all. 22 Q. Were Mr Llamas and Mr Richardson capable of speaking out in that briefing with the Governor? 23 A. They were, of course.  16 Q. Which would be three nate and he briefs his superiors. "There may be complications around yesterday's incident in that thire lath wit lath tr Llamas and Mr Pyle may be complications around yesterday's incident in that the ingith have happened as much as six miles inside Spanish waters." I am not asking you to explain what was in Mr Pyle's mind but he refers to "the incident in that the lime, the briefs his superiors. "There may be complications around yesterday's incident in that the it high that we happened as much as six miles inside Spanish waters." Does that accord with your understanding of what he meant by "incident"?  A. It's evident what he's referring to there is the collision, not necessarily the incident as a package.  Q. It might have happened as much as six miles inside Spanish waters?  A. No. although the figur			1	
the 8th. On the evening of the 8th we know that Mr Llamas and Mr Pyle met and he place", would that have been accurate?  A. No.  Q. Was there anything inaccurate about "not sure in whose waters incident took place" at that moment?  A. No.  Page 93  being communicated to you at the time, the working theory was that part was in, part was out. Is that right?  A. That's right. At least that part of the pursuit was in our waters, yes.  Q. The Governor walks into the room is there any reason why you would not give him the salient information which you had been giving to the AG?  A. This is what I can't grapple with. Are you suggesting that from being open to the Attorney General and Mr Richardson or Mr Llamas that I became evasive, flippant, or anything of that sort at all.  Q. Were Mr Llamas and Mr Ryle met and he birefs his superiors. "There may be complications around yesterday's incident in that it might have happened as much as six miles inside Spanish waters." Does that accord with your understanding of what he meant by "incident"?  A. It's evident what he's referring to there is the collision, not necessarily the incident as a package.  Q. It might have happened as much as six miles inside Spanish waters. Do you know if anybody was saying on the 8th or the morning of the 9th that the collision may have lappened six miles inside Spanish waters?  A. No. although the figure six —  Q. Six, yes.  Q. Which would be three nautical miles either into Spanish waters?  A. No. although the figure six —  Q. Which would be three nautical miles either into Spanish waters or the international waters depending exactly, because you are right on the line there, are you not, at the top of Gibraltar.  A. That's right.  A. They were, of course.			1	
sure in whose waters the incident took place", would that have been accurate?  A. No.  Q. Was there anything inaccurate about "not sure in whose waters incident took place" at that moment?  A. No.  Q. Was there anything inaccurate about "not sure in whose waters incident took place" at that moment?  A. No.  Q. You and the other officers, or what was  Page 93    Page 93    Page 95    Desing communicated to you at the time, the working theory was that part was in, part was out. Is that right?  A. That's right. At least that part of the pursuit was in our waters, yes.  Q. The Governor is being briefed. When the Governor walks into the room is there any reason why you would not give him the salient information which you had been giving to the AG?  A. This is what I can't grapple with. Are you suggesting that from being open to the doesn't square off. There was no change in my posture, put it that way. I remained as engaged in the briefing as I was, and I'm just thinking, it's not reflected in Mr Richardson or Mr Llamas that I became evasive, flippant, or anything of that sort at all.  Q. Were Mr Llamas and Mr Richardson and the briefs with waters waters.  It was that Mr Llamas and Mr Pyle met and he briefs his superiors. "There may be complications around yesterday's incident in that it might have happened as much as six miles inside Spanish waters." Does that accord with your understanding of what he meant by "incident"?  A. It's evident what he's referring to there is the collision, not necessarily the incident as a package.  Q. It might have happened as much as six miles inside Spanish waters." Does that accord with your understanding of what he meant by "incident"?  A. It's evident what he's referring to there is the collision, not necessarily the incident as a package.  Q. It might have happened as much as six miles inside Spanish waters. Do you know if anybody was saying on the 8th or the moid miles inside Spanish waters.  A. No. although the figure six —  Q. Six, yes.  Q. Which would be three nautical miles				
place", would that have been accurate?  A. No.  Q. Was there anything inaccurate about "not that moment?  A. No.  Q. You and the other officers, or what was  Page 93  being communicated to you at the time, the working theory was that part was in, part was out. Is that right?  A. That's right. At least that part of the pursuit was in our waters, yes.  Q. The Governor is being briefed. When the Governor walks into the room is there any reason why you would not give him the salient information which you had been giving to the AG?  Attorney General and Mr Richardson and having a frank and open briefing, that I became evasive? It just doesn't square. It became evasive? It just doesn't square off. There was no change in my posture, put it that way. I remained as engaged in the briefing as I was, and I'm just thinking, it's not reflected in Mr Richardson or Mr Llamas that I became evasive, flippant, or anything of that sort at all.  Q. Were Mr Llamas and Mr Richardson capable of speaking out in that it might have happened as much as six miles inside Spanish waters. T am not asking you to explain what was in limit that it might have happened as much as six miles inside Spanish waters. Does that accord with your understanding of what he meant by "incident"?  A. That's right. At least that part of the Governor is being briefed. When the Governor walks into the room is there any incident. The collision, not necessarily the incident as a package.  Q. It might have happened as much as six miles inside Spanish waters. Does that accord with your understanding of what he meant by "incident."?  A. It's evident what he's referring to there is the collision, not necessarily the incident as a package.  Q. It might have happened as much as six miles inside Spanish waters. Does that accord with your understanding of what he meant by "incident."?  A. It's evident what he's referring to there is the collision, not necessarily the incident as a package.  Q. It might have happened as much as six miles inside Spanish waters. Do you know			1	
20 A. No. 21 Q. Was there anything inaccurate about "not sure in whose waters incident took place" at that moment? 22 that moment? 23 that moment? 24 A. No. 25 Q. You and the other officers, or what was  Page 93  26 Page 93  27 Page 95  28 Page 95  29 Page 95  29 Page 95  20 Page 95  21 being communicated to you at the time, the working theory was that part was in, part was out. Is that right? 29 A. That's right. At least that part of the pursuit was in our waters, yes. 29 Q. The Governor is being briefed. When the governor walks into the room is there any reason why you would not give him the salient information which you had been giving to the AG? 20 Attorney General and Mr Richardson and having a frank and open briefing, that I became evasive? It just doesn't square. It doesn't square off. There was no change in my posture, put it that way. I remained as engaged in the briefing as I was, and I'm just thinking, it's not reflected in Mr Richardson or Mr Llamas that I became evasive, flippant, or anything of that sort at all. 20 Q. Were Mr Llamas and Mr Richardson capable of speaking out in that briefing with the Governor? 21 A. They were, of course. 22 complications around yesterday's incident in that it might have happened as much as six miles inside Spanish waters." I am not asking you to explain what was in Mr Pyle's mind but he refers to "the incident" there "might have happened as much as six miles inside Spanish waters." Does that accord with your understanding of what he meant by "incident"?  4 A. It's evident what he's referring to there is the collision, not necessarily the incident as a package.  Q. It might have happened as much as six miles inside Spanish waters." Does that accord with your understanding of what he meant by "incident"?  4 A. It's evident what he's referring to there is the collision, not necessarily the incident as a package.  Q. It might have happened as much as six miles inside Spanish waters. Do you know if anybody was saying on the 8th or the morning of the 9 with that the coll			1	
21 Q. Was there anything inaccurate about "not sure in whose waters incident took place" at that moment? 22 that moment? 23 that moment? 24 A. No. 25 Q. You and the other officers, or what was Page 93  26 Page 93  27 Page 95  1 being communicated to you at the time, the working theory was that part was in, part was out. Is that right?  28 A. That's right. At least that part of the pursuit was in our waters, yes. 29 Q. The Governor is being briefed. When the governor walks into the room is there any reason why you would not give him the salient information which you had been giving to the AG? 10 A. This is what I can't grapple with. Are you suggesting that from being open to the Attorney General and Mr Richardson and having a frank and open briefing, that I doesn't square off. There was no change in my posture, put it that way. I remained as engaged in the briefing as I was, and I'm just thinking, it's not reflected in Mr Richardson or Mr Llamas that I became evasive, flippant, or anything of that sort at all. 20 Q. Were Mr Llamas and Mr Richardson 22 capable of speaking out in that briefing with the Governor? 21 A. They were, of course. 22 that it might have happened as much as six miles inside Spanish waters." Does that accord with your understanding of what he meant by "incident"? 21 tinside Spanish waters." Does that accord with your understanding of what he meant by "incident"? 22 (Q. It might have happened as much as six miles inside Spanish waters." 23 tinside Spanish waters." 24 A. It's evident what he's referring to there is the collision, not necessarily the incident as a package. 25 the collision, not necessarily the incident as a package. 26 Q. It might have happened as much as six miles inside Spanish waters." 26 Q. It might have happened as much as six miles inside Spanish waters. 27 Q. It might have happened as much as six miles inside Spanish waters. 28 miles inside Spanish waters. 29 Q. It might have happened as much as six miles inside Spanish waters. 29 Q. Six yes. 20 Q. Winch would be three n		<del>-</del>	1	
that moment?  A. No.  Q. You and the other officers, or what was  Page 93    Page 95    Page 96    Page 97    Page 98				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
23 asking you to explain what was in Mr Pyle's mind but he refers to "the incident" there 24 A. No. 25 Q. You and the other officers, or what was  Page 93  26 Page 95  27 page 95  28 Page 95  29 page 95  29 page 95  29 page 95  20 page 95  21 inside Spanish waters." Does that accord with your understanding of what he meant by "incident"?  29 pursuit was in our waters, yes. 20 Q. The Governor is being briefed. When the Governor walks into the room is there any reason why you would not give him the salent information which you had been giving to the AG? 20 package. 21 A. This is what I can't grapple with. Are you suggesting that from being open to the having a frank and open briefing, that I became evasive? It just doesn't square. It doesn't square off. There was no change in my posture, put it that way. I remained as engaged in the briefing as I was, and I'm just thinking, it's not reflected in Mr Richardson or Mr Llamas that I became evasive, flippant, or anything of that sort at all. 21 Q. Were Mr Llamas and Mr Richardson capable of speaking out in that briefing with the Governor? 22 A. They were, of course. 23 asking you to explain what was in Mr Pyle's mind but he refers to "the incident" there "might but he refers to "the incident" there "might but he refers to "the incident" there "might have happened as much as six miles inside Spanish waters." Does that accord with your understanding of what he meant by "incident"?  4 A. It's evident what he's referring to there is the collision, not necessarily the incident as a package.  Q. It might have happened as much as six miles inside Spanish waters. Do you know if anybody was saying on the 8th or the morning of the 9th that the collision nay have happened six miles inside Spanish waters?  A. No. although the figure six —  2 Q. Six, yes.  A resonates and is saying that the context of it is wrong because the information I imparted was six nautical miles either into Spanish waters or the international waters depending exactly, because you are right on the line t			1	
A. No.  Q. You and the other officers, or what was  Page 93  Page 95    Design communicated to you at the time, the working theory was that part was in, part was out. Is that right?  A. That's right. At least that part of the pursuit was in our waters, yes.  Q. The Governor is being briefed. When the Governor walks into the room is there any reason why you would not give him the salient information which you had been giving to the AG?  A. This is what I can't grapple with. Are you suggesting that from being open to the Attorney General and Mr Richardson and having a frank and open briefing, that I became evasive? It just doesn't square. It doesn't square off. There was no change in my posture, put it that way. I remained as engaged in the briefing as I was, and I'm just thinking, it's not reflected in Mr Richardson or Mr Llamas that I became evasive, flippant, or anything of that sort at all.  Q. Were Mr Llamas and Mr Richardson capable of speaking out in that briefing with the Governor?  A. They were, of course.		<del>_</del>	1	•
25 Q. You and the other officers, or what was  Page 93  Page 95  1 being communicated to you at the time, the 2 working theory was that part was in, part was 3 out. Is that right?  4 A. That's right. At least that part of the 5 pursuit was in our waters, yes. 6 Q. The Governor is being briefed. When the 7 Governor walks into the room is there any 8 reason why you would not give him the 9 salient information which you had been 10 giving to the AG? 11 A. This is what I can't grapple with. Are 12 you suggesting that from being open to the 13 Attorney General and Mr Richardson and 14 having a frank and open briefing, that I 15 became evasive? It just doesn't square. It 16 doesn't square off. There was no change in 17 my posture, put it that way. I remained as 18 engaged in the briefing as I was, and I'm just 19 thinking, it's not reflected in Mr Richardson 20 or Mr Llamas that I became evasive, flippant, 21 or anything of that sort at all. 22 Q. Were Mr Llamas and Mr Richardson 23 capable of speaking out in that briefing with 24 the Governor? 25 A. They were, of course.  26 might have happened as much as six miles 26 with your understanding of what he meant by 27 "incident"?  4 A. It's evident what he's referring to there is 28 the collision, not necessarily the incident as a 29 package.  7 Q. It might have happened as much as six miles inside Spanish waters. Do you know if 29 anybody was saying on the 8th or the morning 20 of the 9th that the collision may have 21 happened six miles ensured in incident. 22 A. No. although the figure six — 23 Q. Six, yes. 24 A resonates and is saying that the context of its wrong because the information I 29 imparted was six nautical miles from the 20 costline, not necessarily into Spanish waters. 21 of its wrong because the information I 22 of the 9th that the collision may have 23 pour say as anyling on the 8th or the morning 24 in the briefing as I was, and I'm just 25 package. 26 Q. Were Mr Llamas and Mr Richardson 27 costline, not necessarily into Spanish waters or the 28 i			1	
Page 93  Page 95  being communicated to you at the time, the working theory was that part was in, part was out. Is that right?  A. That's right. At least that part of the pursuit was in our waters, yes.  Q. The Governor is being briefed. When the Governor walks into the room is there any reason why you would not give him the giving to the AG?  A. This is what I can't grapple with. Are you suggesting that from being open to the having a frank and open briefing, that I became evasive? It just doesn't square. It doesn't square off. There was no change in my posture, put it that way. I remained as engaged in the briefing as I was, and I'm just thinking, it's not reflected in Mr Richardson capable of speaking out in that briefing with the Governor?  A. They were, of course.  Dayou understanding of what he meant by with your understanding of what he meant by "incident"?  A. It's evident what he's referring to there is the collision, not necessarily the incident as a package.  Q. It might have happened as much as in miles inside Spanish waters. Do you know if anybody was saying on the 8th or the morning of the 9th that the collision may have happened six miles inside Spanish waters. Do you know if anybody was saying on the 8th or the morning of the 9th that the collision may have happened six miles inside Spanish waters.  A. No. although the figure six —  A. No. although the figure six —  Q. Six, yes.  A resonates and is saying that the context of it is wrong because the information I imparted was six nautical miles from the coastline, not necessarily into Spanish waters.  Q. Which would be three nautical miles either into Spanish waters or the international waters depending exactly, because you are right on the line there, are you not, at the top of Gibraltar.  A. They were, of course.  The CHAIRMAN: Time. We must press			1	
being communicated to you at the time, the working theory was that part was in, part was out. Is that right?  4 A. That's right. At least that part of the pursuit was in our waters, yes.  6 Q. The Governor is being briefed. When the Governor walks into the room is there any reason why you would not give him the salient information which you had been giving to the AG?  1 A. This is what I can't grapple with. Are you suggesting that from being open to the Attorney General and Mr Richardson and having a frank and open briefing, that I doesn't square off. There was no change in my posture, put it that way. I remained as engaged in the briefing as I was, and I'm just thinking, it's not reflected in Mr Richardson or Mr Llamas that I became evasive, flippant, or anything of that sort at all. Q. Were Mr Llamas and Mr Richardson capable of speaking out in that briefing with the Governor?  A. They were, of course.  1 inside Spanish waters." Does that accord with your understanding of what he meant by "incident"?  A. It's evident what he's referring to there is the collision, not necessarily the incident as a package.  Q. It might have happened as much as six miles inside Spanish waters. Do you know if anybody was saying on the 8th or the morning of the 9th that the collision may have happened six miles inside Spanish waters.  A. No. although the figure six —  A. No. although the figure six —  A. No. although the figure six —  A resonates and is saying that the context of it is wrong because the information I imparted was six nautical miles from the coastline, not necessarily into Spanish waters.  Q. Which would be three nautical miles either into Spanish waters or the international waters depending exactly, because you are right on the line there, are you not, at the top of Gibraltar.  A. That's right.  THE CHAIRMAN: Time. We must press	25	Q. You and the other officers, or what was	25	"might have happened as much as six miles
working theory was that part was in, part was out. Is that right?  4 A. That's right. At least that part of the 5 pursuit was in our waters, yes. 6 Q. The Governor is being briefed. When the 7 Governor walks into the room is there any 8 reason why you would not give him the 9 salient information which you had been 10 giving to the AG? 11 A. This is what I can't grapple with. Are 12 you suggesting that from being open to the 13 Attorney General and Mr Richardson and 14 having a frank and open briefing, that I 15 became evasive? It just doesn't square. It 16 doesn't square off. There was no change in 17 my posture, put it that way. I remained as 18 engaged in the briefing as I was, and I'm just 19 thinking, it's not reflected in Mr Richardson 20 or Mr Llamas that I became evasive, flippant, 21 or anything of that sort at all. 22 Q. Were Mr Llamas and Mr Richardson 23 capable of speaking out in that briefing with 24 the Governor? 25 A. They were, of course.  2 with your understanding of what he meant by 3 "incident"?  4 A. It's evident what he's referring to there is the collision, not necessarily the incident as a package.  Q. It inght have happened as much as six miles inside Spanish waters. Do you know if anybody was saying on the 8th or the morning of the 9th that the collision may have 11 having a frank and open briefing, that I 12 A. Toesonates and is saying that the context of it is wrong because the information I 13 imparted was six nautical miles from the 14 coastline, not necessarily into Spanish waters. 15 Q. Which would be three nautical miles 16 either into Spanish waters or the 17 international waters depending exactly, 18 because you are right on the line there, are 19 you not, at the top of Gibraltar. 20 EAL They were, of course.  21 THE CHAIRMAN: Time. We must press		Page 93		Page 95
working theory was that part was in, part was out. Is that right?  4 A. That's right. At least that part of the 5 pursuit was in our waters, yes. 6 Q. The Governor is being briefed. When the 7 Governor walks into the room is there any 8 reason why you would not give him the 9 salient information which you had been 10 giving to the AG? 11 A. This is what I can't grapple with. Are 12 you suggesting that from being open to the 13 Attorney General and Mr Richardson and 14 having a frank and open briefing, that I 15 became evasive? It just doesn't square. It 16 doesn't square off. There was no change in 17 my posture, put it that way. I remained as 18 engaged in the briefing as I was, and I'm just 19 thinking, it's not reflected in Mr Richardson 20 or Mr Llamas that I became evasive, flippant, 21 or anything of that sort at all. 22 Q. Were Mr Llamas and Mr Richardson 23 capable of speaking out in that briefing with 24 the Governor? 25 A. They were, of course.  2 with your understanding of what he meant by 3 "incident"?  4 A. It's evident what he's referring to there is the collision, not necessarily the incident as a package.  Q. It inght have happened as much as six miles inside Spanish waters. Do you know if anybody was saying on the 8th or the morning of the 9th that the collision may have 11 having a frank and open briefing, that I 12 A. Toesonates and is saying that the context of it is wrong because the information I 13 imparted was six nautical miles from the 14 coastline, not necessarily into Spanish waters. 15 Q. Which would be three nautical miles 16 either into Spanish waters or the 17 international waters depending exactly, 18 because you are right on the line there, are 19 you not, at the top of Gibraltar. 20 EAL They were, of course.  21 THE CHAIRMAN: Time. We must press				
out. Is that right?  A. That's right. At least that part of the pursuit was in our waters, yes. Q. The Governor is being briefed. When the Governor walks into the room is there any reason why you would not give him the salient information which you had been giving to the AG?  A. This is what I can't grapple with. Are you suggesting that from being open to the Attorney General and Mr Richardson and having a frank and open briefing, that I doesn't square off. There was no change in my posture, put it that way. I remained as engaged in the briefing as I was, and I'm just thinking, it's not reflected in Mr Richardson or Mr Llamas that I became evasive, flippant, or anything of that sort at all. Q. Were Mr Llamas and Mr Richardson capable of speaking out in that briefing with the Governor? A. They were, of course.  3 "incident"?  4 A. It's evident what he's referring to there is the collision, not necessarily the incident as a package. Q. It might have happened as much as six miles inside Spanish waters. Do you know if anybody was saying on the 8th or the morning of the 9th that the collision may have happened six miles inside Spanish waters.  12 A. No. although the figure six Q. Six, yes.  A. No. although the figure six Olimination waters and is saying that the context of it is wrong because the information I imparted was six nautical miles from the coastline, not necessarily into Spanish waters.  Q. Which would be three nautical miles either into Spanish waters or the international waters depending exactly, because you are right on the line there, are you not, at the top of Gibraltar.  4 A. That's right.  THE CHAIRMAN: Time. We must press	1 1		1 1	incide Chanish waters " Does that accord
4 A. That's right. At least that part of the 5 pursuit was in our waters, yes. 6 Q. The Governor is being briefed. When the 7 Governor walks into the room is there any 8 reason why you would not give him the 9 salient information which you had been 10 giving to the AG? 11 A. This is what I can't grapple with. Are 12 you suggesting that from being open to the 13 Attorney General and Mr Richardson and 14 having a frank and open briefing, that I 15 became evasive? It just doesn't square. It 16 doesn't square off. There was no change in 17 my posture, put it that way. I remained as 18 engaged in the briefing as I was, and I'm just 19 thinking, it's not reflected in Mr Richardson 20 or Mr Llamas that I became evasive, flippant, 21 or anything of that sort at all. 22 Q. Were Mr Llamas and Mr Richardson 23 capable of speaking out in that briefing with 24 the Governor? 25 A. They were, of course.  4 A. It's evident what he's referring to there is the collision, not necessarily the incident as a package.  7 Q. It might have happened as much as six miles inside Spanish waters. Do you know if anybody was saying on the 8th or the morning of the 9th that the collision may have happened six miles inside Spanish waters?  A. No. although the figure six - 12 A. No. although the figure six - 13 Q. Six, yes.  A resonates and is saying that the context of it is wrong because the information I imparted was six nautical miles from the coastline, not necessarily into Spanish waters.  9 Q. Which would be three nautical miles either into Spanish waters or the international waters depending exactly, because you are right on the line there, are you not, at the top of Gibraltar.  A. That's right. THE CHAIRMAN: Time. We must press				<del>-</del>
5 pursuit was in our waters, yes. 6 Q. The Governor is being briefed. When the 7 Governor walks into the room is there any 8 reason why you would not give him the 9 salient information which you had been 10 giving to the AG? 11 A. This is what I can't grapple with. Are 12 you suggesting that from being open to the 13 Attorney General and Mr Richardson and 14 having a frank and open briefing, that I 15 became evasive? It just doesn't square. It 16 doesn't square off. There was no change in 17 my posture, put it that way. I remained as 18 engaged in the briefing as I was, and I'm just 19 thinking, it's not reflected in Mr Richardson 20 or Mr Llamas that I became evasive, flippant, 21 or anything of that sort at all. 22 Q. Were Mr Llamas and Mr Richardson 23 capable of speaking out in that briefing with 24 the Governor? 25 A. They were, of course.  5 the collision, not necessarily the incident as a package. 7 Q. It might have happened as much as six miles inside Spanish waters. Do you know if anybody was saying on the 8th or the morning of the 9th that the collision may have happened six miles inside Spanish waters? 10 of the 9th that the collision may have 11 happened six miles inside Spanish waters? 12 A. No. although the figure six 13 Q. Six, yes. 14 A resonates and is saying that the context 15 of it is wrong because the information I 16 imparted was six nautical miles from the 17 coastline, not necessarily into Spanish waters. 19 Q. Which would be three nautical miles either into Spanish waters or the 20 international waters depending exactly, 21 because you are right on the line there, are 22 you not, at the top of Gibraltar. 23 You not, at the top of Gibraltar. 24 A. That's right. 25 THE CHAIRMAN: Time. We must press	2	working theory was that part was in, part was	2	with your understanding of what he meant by
Governor is being briefed. When the Governor walks into the room is there any reason why you would not give him the salient information which you had been giving to the AG?  A. This is what I can't grapple with. Are you suggesting that from being open to the having a frank and open briefing, that I became evasive? It just doesn't square. It doesn't square off. There was no change in my posture, put it that way. I remained as engaged in the briefing as I was, and I'm just thinking, it's not reflected in Mr Richardson or Mr Llamas that I became evasive, flippant, Q. Were Mr Llamas and Mr Richardson capable of speaking out in that briefing with the Governor?  A. They were, of course.  6 package. 7 Q. It might have happened as much as six miles inside Spanish waters. Do you know if anybody was saying on the 8th or the morning of the 9th that the collision may have happened six miles inside Spanish waters? A. No. although the figure six — Q. Six, yes.  A. No. although the figure six — Q. Six, yes.  A. No. although the figure six — Q. Six, yes.  A. No. although the figure six — Q. Six, yes.  A. O. altmight have happened as much as six miles inside Spanish waters. Do you know if anybody was saying on the 8th or the morning of the 9th that the collision may have happened six miles inside Spanish waters?  A. No. although the figure six — Q. Six, yes.  A. A resonates and is saying that the context of it is wrong because the information I imparted was six nautical miles from the coastline, not necessarily into Spanish waters.  Q. Which would be three nautical miles either into Spanish waters or the international waters depending exactly, because you are right on the line there, are you not, at the top of Gibraltar.  A. That's right.  THE CHAIRMAN: Time. We must press	2 3	working theory was that part was in, part was out. Is that right?	2 3	with your understanding of what he meant by "incident"?
Governor walks into the room is there any reason why you would not give him the salient information which you had been giving to the AG?  A. This is what I can't grapple with. Are you suggesting that from being open to the having a frank and open briefing, that I became evasive? It just doesn't square. It doesn't square off. There was no change in my posture, put it that way. I remained as engaged in the briefing as I was, and I'm just thinking, it's not reflected in Mr Richardson capable of speaking out in that briefing with the Governor?  Governor walks into the room is there any you would not give him the salient information I salient information which you had been anybody was saying on the 8th or the morning of the 9th that the collision may have happened six miles inside Spanish waters?  A. No. although the figure six  A. No. although the figure six  A resonates and is saying that the context of it is wrong because the information I imparted was six nautical miles from the coastline, not necessarily into Spanish waters.  Dyou on the 8th or the morning of the 9th that the collision may have happened six miles inside Spanish waters?  A. No. although the figure six  A resonates and is saying that the context of it is wrong because the information I imparted was six nautical miles from the coastline, not necessarily into Spanish waters.  Q. Which would be three nautical miles either into Spanish waters or the international waters depending exactly, because you are right on the line there, are you not, at the top of Gibraltar.  A. They were, of course.  The CHAIRMAN: Time. We must press	2 3 4	working theory was that part was in, part was out. Is that right?  A. That's right. At least that part of the	2 3 4	with your understanding of what he meant by "incident"?  A. It's evident what he's referring to there is
reason why you would not give him the salient information which you had been giving to the AG?  A. This is what I can't grapple with. Are you suggesting that from being open to the having a frank and open briefing, that I doesn't square off. There was no change in my posture, put it that way. I remained as engaged in the briefing as I was, and I'm just thinking, it's not reflected in Mr Richardson or Mr Llamas that I became evasive, flippant, or anything of that sort at all. Q. Were Mr Llamas and Mr Richardson capable of speaking out in that briefing with the Governor? A. This is what I can't grapple with. Are having a frank and open briefing, that I became evasive? It just doesn't square. It doesn't square off. There was no change in my posture, put it that way. I remained as engaged in the briefing as I was, and I'm just thinking, it's not reflected in Mr Richardson or Mr Llamas that I became evasive, flippant, capable of speaking out in that briefing with the Governor?  A. They were, of course.  miles inside Spanish waters. Do you know if anybody was saying on the 8th or the morning of the 9th that the collision may have happened six miles inside Spanish waters?  A. No. although the figure six Q. Six, yes.  A resonates and is saying that the context of it is wrong because the information I imparted was six nautical miles from the coastline, not necessarily into Spanish waters.  Q. Which would be three nautical miles either into Spanish waters or the international waters depending exactly, because you are right on the line there, are you not, at the top of Gibraltar.  A. That's right.  THE CHAIRMAN: Time. We must press	2 3 4 5	working theory was that part was in, part was out. Is that right?  A. That's right. At least that part of the pursuit was in our waters, yes.	2 3 4 5	with your understanding of what he meant by "incident"?  A. It's evident what he's referring to there is the collision, not necessarily the incident as a
9 salient information which you had been 10 giving to the AG? 11 A. This is what I can't grapple with. Are 12 you suggesting that from being open to the 13 Attorney General and Mr Richardson and 14 having a frank and open briefing, that I 15 became evasive? It just doesn't square. It 16 doesn't square off. There was no change in 17 my posture, put it that way. I remained as 18 engaged in the briefing as I was, and I'm just 19 thinking, it's not reflected in Mr Richardson 20 or Mr Llamas that I became evasive, flippant, 21 or anything of that sort at all. 22 Q. Were Mr Llamas and Mr Richardson 23 capable of speaking out in that briefing with 24 the Governor? 25 A. They were, of course.  9 anybody was saying on the 8th or the morning of the 9th that the collision may have 10 happened six miles inside Spanish waters? 12 A. No. although the figure six — 13 Q. Six, yes. 14 A resonates and is saying that the context of it is wrong because the information I imparted was six nautical miles from the coastline, not necessarily into Spanish waters. 19 Q. Which would be three nautical miles either into Spanish waters or the international waters depending exactly, because you are right on the line there, are you not, at the top of Gibraltar. 19 A. That's right. 20 THE CHAIRMAN: Time. We must press	2 3 4 5 6	working theory was that part was in, part was out. Is that right?  A. That's right. At least that part of the pursuit was in our waters, yes.  Q. The Governor is being briefed. When the	2 3 4 5 6	with your understanding of what he meant by "incident"?  A. It's evident what he's referring to there is the collision, not necessarily the incident as a package.
giving to the AG?  10 of the 9th that the collision may have happened six miles inside Spanish waters?  12 you suggesting that from being open to the 13 Attorney General and Mr Richardson and 14 having a frank and open briefing, that I 15 became evasive? It just doesn't square. It 16 doesn't square off. There was no change in 17 my posture, put it that way. I remained as 18 engaged in the briefing as I was, and I'm just 19 thinking, it's not reflected in Mr Richardson 20 or Mr Llamas that I became evasive, flippant, 21 or anything of that sort at all. 22 Q. Were Mr Llamas and Mr Richardson 23 capable of speaking out in that briefing with 24 the Governor? 25 A. They were, of course.  10 of the 9th that the collision may have happened six miles inside Spanish waters?  11 happened six miles inside Spanish waters?  A. No. although the figure six  12 A. No. although the figure six  13 Q. Six, yes.  14 A resonates and is saying that the context of it is wrong because the information I imparted was six nautical miles from the coastline, not necessarily into Spanish  18 waters.  19 Q. Which would be three nautical miles either into Spanish waters or the international waters depending exactly, because you are right on the line there, are you not, at the top of Gibraltar.  24 A. That's right.  25 THE CHAIRMAN: Time. We must press	2 3 4 5 6 7	working theory was that part was in, part was out. Is that right?  A. That's right. At least that part of the pursuit was in our waters, yes.  Q. The Governor is being briefed. When the Governor walks into the room is there any	2 3 4 5 6 7	with your understanding of what he meant by "incident"?  A. It's evident what he's referring to there is the collision, not necessarily the incident as a package.  Q. It might have happened as much as six
A. This is what I can't grapple with. Are you suggesting that from being open to the Attorney General and Mr Richardson and having a frank and open briefing, that I became evasive? It just doesn't square. It doesn't square off. There was no change in my posture, put it that way. I remained as engaged in the briefing as I was, and I'm just thinking, it's not reflected in Mr Richardson or Mr Llamas that I became evasive, flippant, or anything of that sort at all. Q. Were Mr Llamas and Mr Richardson Q. Were Mr Llamas and Mr Richardson Q. Were Mr Llamas and Mr Richardson capable of speaking out in that briefing with the Governor?  A. No. although the figure six — Q. Six, yes.  A resonates and is saying that the context of it is wrong because the information I imparted was six nautical miles from the coastline, not necessarily into Spanish waters. Q. Which would be three nautical miles either into Spanish waters or the international waters depending exactly, because you are right on the line there, are you not, at the top of Gibraltar. A. That's right. THE CHAIRMAN: Time. We must press	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	working theory was that part was in, part was out. Is that right?  A. That's right. At least that part of the pursuit was in our waters, yes.  Q. The Governor is being briefed. When the Governor walks into the room is there any reason why you would not give him the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	with your understanding of what he meant by "incident"?  A. It's evident what he's referring to there is the collision, not necessarily the incident as a package.  Q. It might have happened as much as six miles inside Spanish waters. Do you know if
you suggesting that from being open to the Attorney General and Mr Richardson and having a frank and open briefing, that I became evasive? It just doesn't square. It doesn't square off. There was no change in my posture, put it that way. I remained as engaged in the briefing as I was, and I'm just thinking, it's not reflected in Mr Richardson or Mr Llamas that I became evasive, flippant, or anything of that sort at all. Q. Were Mr Llamas and Mr Richardson capable of speaking out in that briefing with the Governor?  A. No. although the figure six Q. Six, yes.  A resonates and is saying that the context of it is wrong because the information I imparted was six nautical miles from the coastline, not necessarily into Spanish waters.  Q. Which would be three nautical miles either into Spanish waters or the international waters depending exactly, because you are right on the line there, are you not, at the top of Gibraltar.  A. That's right. THE CHAIRMAN: Time. We must press	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	working theory was that part was in, part was out. Is that right?  A. That's right. At least that part of the pursuit was in our waters, yes.  Q. The Governor is being briefed. When the Governor walks into the room is there any reason why you would not give him the salient information which you had been	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	with your understanding of what he meant by "incident"?  A. It's evident what he's referring to there is the collision, not necessarily the incident as a package.  Q. It might have happened as much as six miles inside Spanish waters. Do you know if anybody was saying on the 8th or the morning
Attorney General and Mr Richardson and having a frank and open briefing, that I became evasive? It just doesn't square. It doesn't square off. There was no change in my posture, put it that way. I remained as engaged in the briefing as I was, and I'm just thinking, it's not reflected in Mr Richardson or Mr Llamas that I became evasive, flippant, or anything of that sort at all. Q. Six, yes.  4 resonates and is saying that the context of it is wrong because the information I imparted was six nautical miles from the coastline, not necessarily into Spanish waters.  Q. Which would be three nautical miles either into Spanish waters or the international waters depending exactly, because you are right on the line there, are you not, at the top of Gibraltar.  A. That's right.  THE CHAIRMAN: Time. We must press	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	working theory was that part was in, part was out. Is that right?  A. That's right. At least that part of the pursuit was in our waters, yes.  Q. The Governor is being briefed. When the Governor walks into the room is there any reason why you would not give him the salient information which you had been giving to the AG?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	with your understanding of what he meant by "incident"?  A. It's evident what he's referring to there is the collision, not necessarily the incident as a package.  Q. It might have happened as much as six miles inside Spanish waters. Do you know if anybody was saying on the 8th or the morning of the 9th that the collision may have
having a frank and open briefing, that I became evasive? It just doesn't square. It doesn't square off. There was no change in my posture, put it that way. I remained as engaged in the briefing as I was, and I'm just thinking, it's not reflected in Mr Richardson or Mr Llamas that I became evasive, flippant, or anything of that sort at all. Q. Were Mr Llamas and Mr Richardson capable of speaking out in that briefing with the Governor?  A. They were, of course.  14 A resonates and is saying that the context of it is wrong because the information I imparted was six nautical miles from the coastline, not necessarily into Spanish waters.  Q. Which would be three nautical miles either into Spanish waters or the international waters depending exactly, because you are right on the line there, are you not, at the top of Gibraltar. A. That's right. THE CHAIRMAN: Time. We must press	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	working theory was that part was in, part was out. Is that right?  A. That's right. At least that part of the pursuit was in our waters, yes.  Q. The Governor is being briefed. When the Governor walks into the room is there any reason why you would not give him the salient information which you had been giving to the AG?  A. This is what I can't grapple with. Are	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	with your understanding of what he meant by "incident"?  A. It's evident what he's referring to there is the collision, not necessarily the incident as a package.  Q. It might have happened as much as six miles inside Spanish waters. Do you know if anybody was saying on the 8th or the morning of the 9th that the collision may have happened six miles inside Spanish waters?
became evasive? It just doesn't square. It doesn't square off. There was no change in my posture, put it that way. I remained as engaged in the briefing as I was, and I'm just thinking, it's not reflected in Mr Richardson or Mr Llamas that I became evasive, flippant, or anything of that sort at all. Q. Were Mr Llamas and Mr Richardson capable of speaking out in that briefing with the Governor?  A. They were, of course.  15 of it is wrong because the information I imparted was six nautical miles from the coastline, not necessarily into Spanish waters.  Q. Which would be three nautical miles either into Spanish waters or the international waters depending exactly, because you are right on the line there, are you not, at the top of Gibraltar.  A. That's right. THE CHAIRMAN: Time. We must press	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	working theory was that part was in, part was out. Is that right?  A. That's right. At least that part of the pursuit was in our waters, yes.  Q. The Governor is being briefed. When the Governor walks into the room is there any reason why you would not give him the salient information which you had been giving to the AG?  A. This is what I can't grapple with. Are you suggesting that from being open to the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	with your understanding of what he meant by "incident"?  A. It's evident what he's referring to there is the collision, not necessarily the incident as a package.  Q. It might have happened as much as six miles inside Spanish waters. Do you know if anybody was saying on the 8th or the morning of the 9th that the collision may have happened six miles inside Spanish waters?  A. No. although the figure six
doesn't square off. There was no change in my posture, put it that way. I remained as engaged in the briefing as I was, and I'm just thinking, it's not reflected in Mr Richardson or Mr Llamas that I became evasive, flippant, or anything of that sort at all. Q. Were Mr Llamas and Mr Richardson capable of speaking out in that briefing with the Governor?  A. They were, of course.  16 imparted was six nautical miles from the coastline, not necessarily into Spanish waters.  19 Q. Which would be three nautical miles either into Spanish waters or the international waters depending exactly, because you are right on the line there, are you not, at the top of Gibraltar.  A. That's right. THE CHAIRMAN: Time. We must press	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	working theory was that part was in, part was out. Is that right?  A. That's right. At least that part of the pursuit was in our waters, yes.  Q. The Governor is being briefed. When the Governor walks into the room is there any reason why you would not give him the salient information which you had been giving to the AG?  A. This is what I can't grapple with. Are you suggesting that from being open to the Attorney General and Mr Richardson and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	with your understanding of what he meant by "incident"?  A. It's evident what he's referring to there is the collision, not necessarily the incident as a package.  Q. It might have happened as much as six miles inside Spanish waters. Do you know if anybody was saying on the 8th or the morning of the 9th that the collision may have happened six miles inside Spanish waters?  A. No. although the figure six Q. Six, yes.
my posture, put it that way. I remained as engaged in the briefing as I was, and I'm just thinking, it's not reflected in Mr Richardson or Mr Llamas that I became evasive, flippant, or anything of that sort at all.  Q. Were Mr Llamas and Mr Richardson capable of speaking out in that briefing with the Governor?  A. They were, of course.  17 coastline, not necessarily into Spanish waters.  18 waters.  19 Q. Which would be three nautical miles either into Spanish waters or the international waters depending exactly, because you are right on the line there, are you not, at the top of Gibraltar.  24 A. That's right.  25 THE CHAIRMAN: Time. We must press	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	working theory was that part was in, part was out. Is that right?  A. That's right. At least that part of the pursuit was in our waters, yes.  Q. The Governor is being briefed. When the Governor walks into the room is there any reason why you would not give him the salient information which you had been giving to the AG?  A. This is what I can't grapple with. Are you suggesting that from being open to the Attorney General and Mr Richardson and having a frank and open briefing, that I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	with your understanding of what he meant by "incident"?  A. It's evident what he's referring to there is the collision, not necessarily the incident as a package.  Q. It might have happened as much as six miles inside Spanish waters. Do you know if anybody was saying on the 8th or the morning of the 9th that the collision may have happened six miles inside Spanish waters?  A. No. although the figure six Q. Six, yes.  A resonates and is saying that the context
thinking, it's not reflected in Mr Richardson or Mr Llamas that I became evasive, flippant, or anything of that sort at all. Q. Were Mr Llamas and Mr Richardson capable of speaking out in that briefing with the Governor?  A. They were, of course.  18 waters. 19 Q. Which would be three nautical miles either into Spanish waters or the international waters depending exactly, because you are right on the line there, are you not, at the top of Gibraltar. A. That's right. THE CHAIRMAN: Time. We must press	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	working theory was that part was in, part was out. Is that right?  A. That's right. At least that part of the pursuit was in our waters, yes.  Q. The Governor is being briefed. When the Governor walks into the room is there any reason why you would not give him the salient information which you had been giving to the AG?  A. This is what I can't grapple with. Are you suggesting that from being open to the Attorney General and Mr Richardson and having a frank and open briefing, that I became evasive? It just doesn't square. It	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	with your understanding of what he meant by "incident"?  A. It's evident what he's referring to there is the collision, not necessarily the incident as a package.  Q. It might have happened as much as six miles inside Spanish waters. Do you know if anybody was saying on the 8th or the morning of the 9th that the collision may have happened six miles inside Spanish waters?  A. No. although the figure six Q. Six, yes.  A resonates and is saying that the context of it is wrong because the information I
thinking, it's not reflected in Mr Richardson or Mr Llamas that I became evasive, flippant, or anything of that sort at all. Q. Were Mr Llamas and Mr Richardson capable of speaking out in that briefing with the Governor?  A. They were, of course.  19 Q. Which would be three nautical miles either into Spanish waters or the international waters depending exactly, because you are right on the line there, are you not, at the top of Gibraltar. A. That's right. THE CHAIRMAN: Time. We must press	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	working theory was that part was in, part was out. Is that right?  A. That's right. At least that part of the pursuit was in our waters, yes.  Q. The Governor is being briefed. When the Governor walks into the room is there any reason why you would not give him the salient information which you had been giving to the AG?  A. This is what I can't grapple with. Are you suggesting that from being open to the Attorney General and Mr Richardson and having a frank and open briefing, that I became evasive? It just doesn't square. It doesn't square off. There was no change in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	with your understanding of what he meant by "incident"?  A. It's evident what he's referring to there is the collision, not necessarily the incident as a package.  Q. It might have happened as much as six miles inside Spanish waters. Do you know if anybody was saying on the 8th or the morning of the 9th that the collision may have happened six miles inside Spanish waters?  A. No. although the figure six Q. Six, yes.  A resonates and is saying that the context of it is wrong because the information I imparted was six nautical miles from the
or Mr Llamas that I became evasive, flippant, or anything of that sort at all.  Q. Were Mr Llamas and Mr Richardson capable of speaking out in that briefing with the Governor?  A. They were, of course.  20 either into Spanish waters or the international waters depending exactly, because you are right on the line there, are you not, at the top of Gibraltar.  A. That's right.  THE CHAIRMAN: Time. We must press	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	working theory was that part was in, part was out. Is that right?  A. That's right. At least that part of the pursuit was in our waters, yes.  Q. The Governor is being briefed. When the Governor walks into the room is there any reason why you would not give him the salient information which you had been giving to the AG?  A. This is what I can't grapple with. Are you suggesting that from being open to the Attorney General and Mr Richardson and having a frank and open briefing, that I became evasive? It just doesn't square. It doesn't square off. There was no change in my posture, put it that way. I remained as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	with your understanding of what he meant by "incident"?  A. It's evident what he's referring to there is the collision, not necessarily the incident as a package.  Q. It might have happened as much as six miles inside Spanish waters. Do you know if anybody was saying on the 8th or the morning of the 9th that the collision may have happened six miles inside Spanish waters?  A. No. although the figure six Q. Six, yes.  A resonates and is saying that the context of it is wrong because the information I imparted was six nautical miles from the coastline, not necessarily into Spanish
or anything of that sort at all.  Q. Were Mr Llamas and Mr Richardson capable of speaking out in that briefing with the Governor?  A. They were, of course.  21 international waters depending exactly, because you are right on the line there, are you not, at the top of Gibraltar.  A. That's right.  THE CHAIRMAN: Time. We must press	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	working theory was that part was in, part was out. Is that right?  A. That's right. At least that part of the pursuit was in our waters, yes.  Q. The Governor is being briefed. When the Governor walks into the room is there any reason why you would not give him the salient information which you had been giving to the AG?  A. This is what I can't grapple with. Are you suggesting that from being open to the Attorney General and Mr Richardson and having a frank and open briefing, that I became evasive? It just doesn't square. It doesn't square off. There was no change in my posture, put it that way. I remained as engaged in the briefing as I was, and I'm just	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	with your understanding of what he meant by "incident"?  A. It's evident what he's referring to there is the collision, not necessarily the incident as a package.  Q. It might have happened as much as six miles inside Spanish waters. Do you know if anybody was saying on the 8th or the morning of the 9th that the collision may have happened six miles inside Spanish waters?  A. No. although the figure six Q. Six, yes.  A resonates and is saying that the context of it is wrong because the information I imparted was six nautical miles from the coastline, not necessarily into Spanish waters.
Q. Were Mr Llamas and Mr Richardson capable of speaking out in that briefing with the Governor?  A. They were, of course.  22 because you are right on the line there, are you not, at the top of Gibraltar. A. That's right. 25 THE CHAIRMAN: Time. We must press	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	working theory was that part was in, part was out. Is that right?  A. That's right. At least that part of the pursuit was in our waters, yes.  Q. The Governor is being briefed. When the Governor walks into the room is there any reason why you would not give him the salient information which you had been giving to the AG?  A. This is what I can't grapple with. Are you suggesting that from being open to the Attorney General and Mr Richardson and having a frank and open briefing, that I became evasive? It just doesn't square. It doesn't square off. There was no change in my posture, put it that way. I remained as engaged in the briefing as I was, and I'm just thinking, it's not reflected in Mr Richardson	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	with your understanding of what he meant by "incident"?  A. It's evident what he's referring to there is the collision, not necessarily the incident as a package.  Q. It might have happened as much as six miles inside Spanish waters. Do you know if anybody was saying on the 8th or the morning of the 9th that the collision may have happened six miles inside Spanish waters?  A. No. although the figure six Q. Six, yes.  A resonates and is saying that the context of it is wrong because the information I imparted was six nautical miles from the coastline, not necessarily into Spanish waters.  Q. Which would be three nautical miles
23 capable of speaking out in that briefing with 24 the Governor? 25 A. They were, of course. 28 you not, at the top of Gibraltar. 29 A. That's right. 21 THE CHAIRMAN: Time. We must press	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	working theory was that part was in, part was out. Is that right?  A. That's right. At least that part of the pursuit was in our waters, yes.  Q. The Governor is being briefed. When the Governor walks into the room is there any reason why you would not give him the salient information which you had been giving to the AG?  A. This is what I can't grapple with. Are you suggesting that from being open to the Attorney General and Mr Richardson and having a frank and open briefing, that I became evasive? It just doesn't square. It doesn't square off. There was no change in my posture, put it that way. I remained as engaged in the briefing as I was, and I'm just thinking, it's not reflected in Mr Richardson or Mr Llamas that I became evasive, flippant,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	with your understanding of what he meant by "incident"?  A. It's evident what he's referring to there is the collision, not necessarily the incident as a package.  Q. It might have happened as much as six miles inside Spanish waters. Do you know if anybody was saying on the 8th or the morning of the 9th that the collision may have happened six miles inside Spanish waters?  A. No. although the figure six Q. Six, yes.  A resonates and is saying that the context of it is wrong because the information I imparted was six nautical miles from the coastline, not necessarily into Spanish waters.  Q. Which would be three nautical miles either into Spanish waters or the
24 the Governor? 25 A. They were, of course. 24 A. That's right. 25 THE CHAIRMAN: Time. We must press	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	working theory was that part was in, part was out. Is that right?  A. That's right. At least that part of the pursuit was in our waters, yes.  Q. The Governor is being briefed. When the Governor walks into the room is there any reason why you would not give him the salient information which you had been giving to the AG?  A. This is what I can't grapple with. Are you suggesting that from being open to the Attorney General and Mr Richardson and having a frank and open briefing, that I became evasive? It just doesn't square. It doesn't square off. There was no change in my posture, put it that way. I remained as engaged in the briefing as I was, and I'm just thinking, it's not reflected in Mr Richardson or Mr Llamas that I became evasive, flippant, or anything of that sort at all.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	with your understanding of what he meant by "incident"?  A. It's evident what he's referring to there is the collision, not necessarily the incident as a package.  Q. It might have happened as much as six miles inside Spanish waters. Do you know if anybody was saying on the 8th or the morning of the 9th that the collision may have happened six miles inside Spanish waters?  A. No. although the figure six Q. Six, yes.  A resonates and is saying that the context of it is wrong because the information I imparted was six nautical miles from the coastline, not necessarily into Spanish waters.  Q. Which would be three nautical miles either into Spanish waters or the international waters depending exactly,
25 <b>A. They were, of course.</b> 25 THE CHAIRMAN: Time. We must press	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	working theory was that part was in, part was out. Is that right?  A. That's right. At least that part of the pursuit was in our waters, yes.  Q. The Governor is being briefed. When the Governor walks into the room is there any reason why you would not give him the salient information which you had been giving to the AG?  A. This is what I can't grapple with. Are you suggesting that from being open to the Attorney General and Mr Richardson and having a frank and open briefing, that I became evasive? It just doesn't square. It doesn't square off. There was no change in my posture, put it that way. I remained as engaged in the briefing as I was, and I'm just thinking, it's not reflected in Mr Richardson or Mr Llamas that I became evasive, flippant, or anything of that sort at all.  Q. Were Mr Llamas and Mr Richardson	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	with your understanding of what he meant by "incident"?  A. It's evident what he's referring to there is the collision, not necessarily the incident as a package.  Q. It might have happened as much as six miles inside Spanish waters. Do you know if anybody was saying on the 8th or the morning of the 9th that the collision may have happened six miles inside Spanish waters?  A. No. although the figure six Q. Six, yes.  A resonates and is saying that the context of it is wrong because the information I imparted was six nautical miles from the coastline, not necessarily into Spanish waters.  Q. Which would be three nautical miles either into Spanish waters or the international waters depending exactly, because you are right on the line there, are
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	working theory was that part was in, part was out. Is that right?  A. That's right. At least that part of the pursuit was in our waters, yes.  Q. The Governor is being briefed. When the Governor walks into the room is there any reason why you would not give him the salient information which you had been giving to the AG?  A. This is what I can't grapple with. Are you suggesting that from being open to the Attorney General and Mr Richardson and having a frank and open briefing, that I became evasive? It just doesn't square. It doesn't square off. There was no change in my posture, put it that way. I remained as engaged in the briefing as I was, and I'm just thinking, it's not reflected in Mr Richardson or Mr Llamas that I became evasive, flippant, or anything of that sort at all.  Q. Were Mr Llamas and Mr Richardson capable of speaking out in that briefing with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	with your understanding of what he meant by "incident"?  A. It's evident what he's referring to there is the collision, not necessarily the incident as a package.  Q. It might have happened as much as six miles inside Spanish waters. Do you know if anybody was saying on the 8th or the morning of the 9th that the collision may have happened six miles inside Spanish waters?  A. No. although the figure six Q. Six, yes.  A resonates and is saying that the context of it is wrong because the information I imparted was six nautical miles from the coastline, not necessarily into Spanish waters.  Q. Which would be three nautical miles either into Spanish waters or the international waters depending exactly, because you are right on the line there, are you not, at the top of Gibraltar.
Page 94 Page 96	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	working theory was that part was in, part was out. Is that right?  A. That's right. At least that part of the pursuit was in our waters, yes.  Q. The Governor is being briefed. When the Governor walks into the room is there any reason why you would not give him the salient information which you had been giving to the AG?  A. This is what I can't grapple with. Are you suggesting that from being open to the Attorney General and Mr Richardson and having a frank and open briefing, that I became evasive? It just doesn't square. It doesn't square off. There was no change in my posture, put it that way. I remained as engaged in the briefing as I was, and I'm just thinking, it's not reflected in Mr Richardson or Mr Llamas that I became evasive, flippant, or anything of that sort at all.  Q. Were Mr Llamas and Mr Richardson capable of speaking out in that briefing with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	with your understanding of what he meant by "incident"?  A. It's evident what he's referring to there is the collision, not necessarily the incident as a package.  Q. It might have happened as much as six miles inside Spanish waters. Do you know if anybody was saying on the 8th or the morning of the 9th that the collision may have happened six miles inside Spanish waters?  A. No. although the figure six Q. Six, yes.  A resonates and is saying that the context of it is wrong because the information I imparted was six nautical miles from the coastline, not necessarily into Spanish waters.  Q. Which would be three nautical miles either into Spanish waters or the international waters depending exactly, because you are right on the line there, are you not, at the top of Gibraltar.  A. That's right.
Page 96	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	working theory was that part was in, part was out. Is that right?  A. That's right. At least that part of the pursuit was in our waters, yes.  Q. The Governor is being briefed. When the Governor walks into the room is there any reason why you would not give him the salient information which you had been giving to the AG?  A. This is what I can't grapple with. Are you suggesting that from being open to the Attorney General and Mr Richardson and having a frank and open briefing, that I became evasive? It just doesn't square. It doesn't square off. There was no change in my posture, put it that way. I remained as engaged in the briefing as I was, and I'm just thinking, it's not reflected in Mr Richardson or Mr Llamas that I became evasive, flippant, or anything of that sort at all.  Q. Were Mr Llamas and Mr Richardson capable of speaking out in that briefing with the Governor?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	with your understanding of what he meant by "incident"?  A. It's evident what he's referring to there is the collision, not necessarily the incident as a package.  Q. It might have happened as much as six miles inside Spanish waters. Do you know if anybody was saying on the 8th or the morning of the 9th that the collision may have happened six miles inside Spanish waters?  A. No. although the figure six Q. Six, yes.  A resonates and is saying that the context of it is wrong because the information I imparted was six nautical miles from the coastline, not necessarily into Spanish waters.  Q. Which would be three nautical miles either into Spanish waters or the international waters depending exactly, because you are right on the line there, are you not, at the top of Gibraltar.  A. That's right.
•	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	working theory was that part was in, part was out. Is that right?  A. That's right. At least that part of the pursuit was in our waters, yes.  Q. The Governor is being briefed. When the Governor walks into the room is there any reason why you would not give him the salient information which you had been giving to the AG?  A. This is what I can't grapple with. Are you suggesting that from being open to the Attorney General and Mr Richardson and having a frank and open briefing, that I became evasive? It just doesn't square. It doesn't square off. There was no change in my posture, put it that way. I remained as engaged in the briefing as I was, and I'm just thinking, it's not reflected in Mr Richardson or Mr Llamas that I became evasive, flippant, or anything of that sort at all.  Q. Were Mr Llamas and Mr Richardson capable of speaking out in that briefing with the Governor?  A. They were, of course.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	with your understanding of what he meant by "incident"?  A. It's evident what he's referring to there is the collision, not necessarily the incident as a package.  Q. It might have happened as much as six miles inside Spanish waters. Do you know if anybody was saying on the 8th or the morning of the 9th that the collision may have happened six miles inside Spanish waters?  A. No. although the figure six Q. Six, yes.  A resonates and is saying that the context of it is wrong because the information I imparted was six nautical miles from the coastline, not necessarily into Spanish waters.  Q. Which would be three nautical miles either into Spanish waters or the international waters depending exactly, because you are right on the line there, are you not, at the top of Gibraltar.  A. That's right.  THE CHAIRMAN: Time. We must press

1 on. This is a very long quarter of an hour. 1 waters, I'm not being - providing misleading 2 2 MR WAGNER: I just noticed it is a very information or evasive information. It was 3 long quarter of an hour. 3 just unconfirmed. 4 4 THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, but it always is. Q. It was just you did not now. 5 5 A. Exactly. Working theory. MR WAGNER: I have 6 6 Q. And there is no reference there to "virtual never given a good quarter of an hour in my 7 7 certainty", is there? life. I am sorry. 8 THE CHAIRMAN: We have to keep to this 8 A. No. 9 9 timetable. I pressed Sir Peter yesterday and I Q. And there is no reference there to being 10 10 am pressing you today. sure about anything. 11 MR WAGNER: I know. It is just that this is 11 A. No. 12 central and I do want to finish it off, if I may. 12 Q. In fact, the opposite. Just the final thing I 13 MR SANTOS: I am sorry to interrupt but it 13 am going to show you on this, B 1757, Nick 14 14 Pyle emails on 9 March at 4.42. This is his is ten to 12. I am just conscious that we have 15 15 a typist. I also have some further questions record of the notes that he sends to his 16 16 to ask, so it is probably worth breaking. superior. No mention of you being flippant, I 17 17 THE CHAIRMAN: I am hesitant to have a just put that to you. If we go further down: 18 break now because Mr Wagner will get a 18 "The AG said it was clear with the entry at 19 19 some point of the vessel into BGTW, that the second breath and will start again. We must 20 20 now bring it to a close. I can read all of these law had been broken and therefore the chase 21 21 was legitimate. He said it was important we exchanges. 22 22 were able to present this to the Spanish as MR WAGNER: I appreciate it and I will 23 23 take a breath, but only half a breath, now and cooperation. He stressed the need for details 24 24 of communications between the GC and try and finish quickly. (To the witness) On 25 25 the 9th in the morning, 1292, so this is the RCP." So the AG said it was clear with the Page 97 Page 99 1 second briefing that the Governor attends, A 1 entry at some point of the vessel to BGTW 2 1292, this is Mr Richardson transcribing his 2 iurisdiction. 3 3 notes of the briefing with the Attorney A. And it was not. 4 General. If we go a little bit further down, 4 O. It was not. 5 5 the 9th, by the 9th, by midday, so about 36 A. It was not. 6 hours roughly after the incident occurred: 6 Q. So again you have the AG seeming to be 7 7 "Exact coordination of collision still not giving more assurance than you are getting at 8 8 determined." Is that what you understood to the briefing. Is that fair, Mr McGrail? 9 9 be the position then, that the exact A. The AG was - well, he's taken, Mr Pyle 10 10 coordinates, still not determined? has taken, that the AG was clear, but not 11 11 A. Yes, but obviously it infers that the necessarily is that what the AG said, that we 12 provisional coordinates were spoken about 12 were working on a theory, but Mr Pyle's 13 13 interpretation is that the AG was clear, and because we are talking about exact, on those 14 exact ones, we didn't know. 14 that was not the case. 15 15 Q. Yes, and if we just go - and you can see Q. Is it right that the correct information 16 16 there: "Ten minutes chase in and around there is where he says the Chief of Police -17 Gibraltar waters." So it is still the working 17 you - Commissioner of Police - confirmed 18 18 theory that there was some part of the chase the exact location still to be determined, as 19 19 it turned out later to be wrong. were the details of the chase which lasted ten 20 20 A. That's right. minutes. Is that right? 2.1 21 Q. But part of the chase was in Gibraltar A. Yes. 22 22 Q. Nothing inaccurate in that. 23 A. We found that out about three days later 23 A. At all. 24 24 Q. That exactly reflects the briefings you and what I'm trying to say is that by 25 imparting ten minute chase in and around our 25 were getting. Page 98 Page 100

1	A. Yes.	1	Q. You did not have that evidence. Is there
2	Q. On the 9th in the evening the Chief	2	anything inaccurate in this?
3	Minister created a maritime incident	3	A. We worked tirelessly to achieve the
4	WhatsApp group. B 99 is the transcript and	4	evidence that would confirm where the - and
5	he says: "I've set up this channel to make	5	I think that is evidenced in the efforts of the
6	progress on any live issues on this easier."	6	police officers working in conjunction with
7	Included in the channel is him, you, Mr	7	the ports. It was not until then that I was
8	Grech the chief secretary, Dr Britta the GPA	8	satisfied that it had happened outside and
9	chair and Mr Carreras the PCB chair - the	9	where, the area in question.
10	Police Complaints Board chair. Do you	10	Q. Did Mr Pyle approach you
11	know why Mr Pyle was left out of this	11	THE CHAIRMAN: No, you have had time
12	group?	12	enough. You have had two hours this
13	A. I don't know. It was Mr Picardo who	13	morning. You said you were going to be an
14	opened it.	14	hour. The timetable has to be kept and
15	Q. And then the final message - sorry, this is	15	unless I make a strong line on it we are never
16	the real final message - B 1351. This is on	16	going to finish. You have had two hours; it
17	the 11th so this is a few days after. "Good to	17	is quite long enough.
18	hear about progress." This is from Nick	18	MR WAGNER: I said I would be an hour
19	Pyle. "Any clearer as to where the collision	19	and a half, Chairman, not an hour. Can I ask
20	took place? London are keen to know if it	20	one more question?
21	was inside or outside of BGTW." You	21	THE CHAIRMAN: No, you have had your
22	responded: "Nick, we are getting there on	22	time. Well, you can ask one more question
23	establishing exact coordinates of where the	23	but it has to be a short question, not a speech.
24	collision took place. We're tying up some	24	MR WAGNER: (To the witness) Did Mr
25	loose ends and probing further from WHSS.	25	Pyle notify you of any concerns he had about
	Page 101		Page 103
	1 agc 101		1 age 105
1	Should be able to confirm soon. It is highly	1	your communications around Op Kram at
2	probable it did occur out BGTW." So that is	2	any time before you resigned or you retired?
3	the collision he is asking about. The	3	A. Not only in this particular case, he did not
4	collision.	4	raise any concerns about me at all.
5	A. Yes.	5	THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Well, that
6	Q. Was that information the best information	6	was a short question and an important one, I
7	you had at the time?	7	agree. I think we will have a break, but after
8	A. I had the provisional coordinates which	8	the break we will move effortlessly on to the
9	suggested that it had happened in Spanish	9	next After your examination we will move
10	waters.	10	straight onto the next witness.
11	Q. You did not even say that here.	11	MR SANTOS: I suspect I will not be more
12	A. No, I didn't say that there but I was	12	than three to five minutes.
13	working on the basis that Mr Pyle was aware	13	THE CHAIRMAN: Okay.
14	of that already.	14	(11.59)
15 16	Q. Because you had been discussing it in the briefing.	15 16	(Adjourned for a short time)
17	A. Yes. What I couldn't do is tell him:	17	(12.10)
18	"Look, this has happened three miles or five	18	IAN MCGRAIL, Continued Questioned by MR SANTOS:
19	miles out or seven miles or two." I couldn't	19	MR SANTOS: Mr McGrail, I have just a few
20	because I didn't know.	20	follow up questions. The first question is did you
21	Q. And you could not be sure or confirm.	21	consider asking Superintendent Richardson or
22	A. Absolutely.	22	Inspector Wyan to seek the written advice of the
23	Q. Because you did not have that	23	DPP on the matters relating to Mr Levy?
24	information.	24	A. I did not consider it. That was a matter for
25	A. No.	25	them to deal with. I did not go into that detail.
			to dom man I did not go into that detail.
	Page 102		Page 104

Q. You were asked earlier whether you had any 1 1 some of those may have related to Operation 2 role in drafting the charging advice in relation to 2 Delhi? 3 Mr Levy, the charging advice document, and you 3 A. Yes. 4 said, "No," but I just want to clarify one thing 4 Q. Did you hand copies of those Operation Delhi 5 with you. Can we turn to B3855, please. This is 5 papers that you had kept back to the RGP before 6 a typed copy of a page of Detective Sergeant 6 destroying them? 7 7 Clark's day book for 23 April 2020. If we go back A. I beg your pardon, I destroyed them, I never 8 one page, there is the handwritten version but if 8 handed them back. 9 9 we can go back to the typed version, there are --Q. Did you hand --- did the RGP take copies of 10 10 - it says, "Meeting Commissioner of Police, the papers that you destroyed before ----11 Assistant Commissioner, Superintendent and 11 A. When they came to my house, those papers 12 12 DI." There are numbers there and references to were no longer there. They had been destroyed 13 dishonesty, civil wrongs, page number, and we 13 before that. 14 have actually matched that up with a draft of the 14 Q. Did you say they had been destroyed, as in 15 charging advice report dated 24 March which is 15 you had destroyed them? 16 at B3612. This is a draft charging advice and the 16 A. Yes. 17 references in the paragraphs seem to match up 17 Q. Before the RGP took --- so the documents 18 with that charging advice. Given that the note 18 that you took from Operation Delhi ----19 19 A. They no longer had use for them. refers to a meeting taking place and with you 20 20 being present, I wanted to give you another Q. The hard drive that you retained was 21 opportunity to consider whether in fact there 21 analysed by the RGP. Were there any 22 22 was a meeting at which you considered the amendments or deletions to the documents that 23 23 content of the charging advice document? you retained in relation to Operation Delhi from 24 24 A. The meetings that I attended with the team when you retained the hard drive until you 25 25 handed it back to the RGP? were briefings, whether the briefing originated Page 105 Page 107 1 from those notes --- from that document, I'm 1 A. I don't understand. 2 not under dispute with that but in terms of 2 Q. Between the time that you took the hard 3 3 charging advice that the title says, I was only drive with you on your departure from the RGP 4 briefed in terms of the investigation not ---4 and handing it back to the RGP as you did later 5 5 certainly not specifically on charges. I can't on, were there any amendments or deletions to 6 remember that. 6 the documents in that hard drive relating to 7 7 Q. No, sorry, not on charges but that report was Operation Delhi? 8 prepared to seek the advice of the DPP not in 8 A. Not that I know of no. 9 fact on charging, it was prepared to seek his 9 Q. Did you disclose all or the documents from 10 advice as to whether Mr Levy should be treated 10 the hard drive to the Inquiry or did you rely on 11 as a suspect and it does appear from the note 11 the RGP doing so? 12 that there was a meeting at which you discussed 12 A. I think I relied on some emails but not 13 the content of that document. I am not 13 necessarily Delhi documents. I think we relied 14 suggesting that you drafted it but I just wanted 14 on the RGP --- I can't be 100 per cent sure. 15 15 to clarify whether in fact you have any Q. Then there is one last question, will you just 16 recollection of that? 16 bear with me whilst I ----17 A. I recall a briefing. I cannot say I discussed the 17 A. Sure. 18 contents. I recall being briefed up on that 18 Q. We have heard about the meeting on 8 19 matter. 19 March 2020, the day of the incident at sea, there 20 Q. Thank you. I also have a few follow up 20 was a briefing between you and the Attorney 21 questions from my own questions to you that 21 General and then subsequently at 12.15 or so, 22 had been submitted on the back of answers that 22 Mr Pyle arrived with his --- well, I do not know 23 you gave in terms of the papers and hard drive 23 whether the dog took part in the meeting but 24 24 that you kept on your departure from the RGP. certainly his evidence is that he was walking past 25 You said that you kept some papers and that 25 with his dog. Who as far as you recall was Page 108

Page 106

present at that meeting? Was it --- can I just ask 1 1 O. Can I just ask you to check that that is the 2 you one by one? It is clear that you were there 2 first witness statement that you gave to this 3 3 and Mr Pyle was there. As far as you recall was Inquiry? 4 the Attorney General there? 4 A. Yes, it is. 5 A. Yes. 5 Q. Can I ask you to turn to the last page of that 6 Q. And as far as you recall was Superintendent 6 statement and confirm that it is your signature 7 Richardson there? 7 on the final page, please? 8 8 A. I believe so, yes. A. It is, it is dated 31 October. 9 Q. And as far as you recall, was DCI Field there? 9 Q. Thank you and do you confirm that that 10 10 statement is true to the best of your knowledge, A. Possibly; possibly. I wouldn't be able to be 11 100 per cent certain. 11 information and belief? 12 12 MR SANTOS: I have no further questions, thank A. It is. 13 you very much, Mr McGrail. 13 Q. Thank you. Can I just ask you to turn to the 14 THE CHAIRMAN: Okay, thank you very much for 14 next tab because there is a second witness 15 coming, you are free to go but obviously you can 15 statement from you to the Inquiry. 16 stay if you want to. 16 17 THE WITNESS: Thank you. Can I just thank 17 Q. Can I ask you to check and confirm that that 18 yourself and the Inquiry team for allowing me 18 is your second statement please? 19 the first time opportunity to bring out this to the 19 A. It is. 20 public notice. I would also like to thank Mr 20 Q. And can I ask you again to check that your 21 Wagner, Ms Gallagher KC and everybody at 21 signature is on the final page? 22 22 Gomez & Co for ----A. It is, 27 March 2024. 23 THE CHAIRMAN: I do not want to time you as 23 Q. Thank you, and can you please confirm that 24 24 that is true to the best of your knowledge, 25 THE WITNESS: No, but I am very grateful. 25 information and belief? Page 109 Page 111 THE CHAIRMAN: Okay, thank you. 1 1 A. It is. (The witness withdrew) 2 2 Q. There was also a witness statement given by 3 3 THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. you to the RGP for the purpose of the criminal 4 MR SANTOS: Thank you, sir, can we please call 4 proceedings and that is --- I am not sure whether 5 5 our next witness, Mr James Levy KC. that is in front of you but I think it might be at 6 [After a short pause] 6 the back of the same bundle. 7 THE CHAIRMAN: Is there a problem? 7 A. It is. 8 MR SANTOS: We are waiting for the witness. He 8 Q. I am glad to hear that. Can you just please a was downstairs. 9 confirm that that is a witness statement which 10 THE CHAIRMAN: If he is only downstairs, I mean 10 you gave to the RGP for the purposes of its 11 11 investigation? 12 MR SANTOS: The secretary has just gone down 12 A. It is, dated 9 June 2020. 13 13 O. Thank you, and is that statement true to the 14 14 best of your knowledge, information and belief? THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. 15 MR SANTOS: I think he may be --- yes. 15 JAMES [HAIM] LEVY Sworn: 16 16 Q. Thank you. How long have you been in 17 Questioned by MR SANTOS: 17 practice, Mr Levy. 18 Q. Good afternoon, Mr Levy. 18 A. Since November 1972. 19 A. Good afternoon. 19 Q. And can you please explain briefly what your 20 Q. There should be a bundle in front of you 20 role is at Hassans? 21 marked witness statements. Sorry, it is not 21 A. Presently? 22 22 marked witness statements but there should be Q. Yes. 23 a bundle inside if you look behind the first tab 23 A. Well, it's probably involved in high level 24 24 you should find your first witness statement. advice mainly. 25 A. Yes. 25 Q. Can I please turn you to bundle A190, and Page 110 Page 112

28 (Pages 109 to 112)

1 Q. And how often do you message him? this is the statement given by --- the first 2 2 statement given by the Chief Minister to this A. Not often. 3 3 Inquiry. I just want to focus on paragraph 38 ---Q. Have you worked with Mr Llamas previously? 4 4 A. Do you mean have I been instructed by him? sorry, I should have said that I am very grateful 5 to Mr Triay. I believe that the documents that I 5 Q. Whether you have worked --- either 6 6 instructed by him or whether he has worked am going to refer to are in that file in front of 7 you in case you want to look at them in hard 7 with your firm or have you ever had a 8 8 professional relationship with him? copy rather than on the screen. 9 9 A. Yes, he has worked with my firm. I haven't A. I prefer to look at them. 10 10 Q. In that case we will try and make sure that worked with him professionally for a long time, I 11 you have the hard copy in front of you at all 11 12 12 times. Q. I understand that you use WhatsApp as a 13 A. Thank you. 13 method of communication. Is that correct? 14 14 A. Yes, I use it more now than I did at the time, Q. I am just focusing on paragraph 38 which is 15 15 the big paragraph and this is a paragraph where yes. 16 16 Q. And, well, focusing on the relevant time, did Mr Picardo, the Chief Minister, explains his 17 17 you use WhatsApp to communicate with the relationship with you. You are welcome to read 18 the entire paragraph but I am focusing on a 18 Chief Minister? 19 19 A. I don't particularly recall but I must have, sentence which is just over halfway down which 20 20 says, "I consider Mr Levy to be a mentor in my yeah. 21 previous legal practice, a supporter in my 21 O. And Mr Baglietto, KC? 22 22 A. To communicate with Mr Baglietto? current political career and a close personal 23 23 friend." Do you agree with that characterisation Q. By WhatsApp? 24 24 A. No. I mainly speak to Mr Baglietto. of your relationship with the Chief Minister? 25 25 Q. What about officers at the Gibraltar Police A. I assume the mentor bit is a matter for him Page 113 Page 115 1 but, yes, he is a close friend. 1 Federation? 2 2 Q. How long have you known the Chief A. I do --- mainly I meet with them. 3 3 Q. But some WhatsApp communications? Minister? 4 A. Well, probably something like that 35 years. 4 5 5 Q. How often do you speak to him? Q. Can I ask why you have not disclosed any 6 A. Sometimes seldom, sometimes often. 6 WhatsApp communications with those with 7 7 whom you communicate to the Inquiry? Q. On average, say in a week, how often would 8 8 A. Well, with regard to the Police Federation, I you say that you speak to him? 9 A. Some weeks I don't speak to him at all. Some think those are covered by legal professional 10 10 weeks I could speak to him three or four times a privilege. 11 11 Q. And the Chief Minister? 12 Q. And how often do you message him? 12 A. The chief minister, I don't have very simple ---13 A. Sometimes --- as I said, it depends on what I 13 my phone was taken by the police when they 14 14 have to do but sometimes I could message him came to exercise the warrant. It was copied in 15 15 twice a day, sometimes I won't message him for front of a police technician by one of the head of 16 16 a week or ten days. my IT. They gave me at the time a second hand 17 Q. Can I ask next about the Attorney General, 17 phone and I am not very technical so I don't 18 Mr Llamas KC. 18 know what happened, whether anything 19 19 happened in the transfer, but if I had I would A. Yes. 20 Q. How would you describe your relationship 20 have given the WhatsApp. 21 with Mr Llamas? 21 Q. Do you remember how long you had that 22 22 A. A friendly professional relationship. second hand phone for? 23 Q. How often do you speak to the Attorney 23 A. The second hand phone, probably till about a 24 24 General?

29 (Pages 113 to 116)

A. Not often.

Page 114

25

Q. Have you taken any measures to locate the

Page 116

25

1 1 messages on either the earlier device or on your A. Not in respect of the company itself. 2 second hand phone with the Chief Minister? 2 Q. It is true, is it not, that Hassans made a 3 3 A. Yes, we have two heads of IT and they did all substantial investment in 36 North, specifically a 4 4 they could. I mean, I wouldn't be very useful in £476,000 loan and guarantees of employment to 5 that but they are very --- they are good and they 5 Mr Perez and Mr Cornelio, consultancy, I think, 6 have told me that it is impossible. 6 rather than employment at £300,000 each if the 7 Q. The Attorney General has also disclosed 7 venture did not prosper? 8 WhatsApp messages between you and him on 8 A. That's correct. 9 9 13 May 2020. Q. Did you expect that 36 North would succeed? 10 10 A. Yes. A. Well, 36 North had two aspects of it. The first 11 Q. A repetitive question, but why did you not 11 aspect was their wish to obtain the NSCIS 12 12 disclose those messages to the Inquiry? contract and the second aspect was to 13 A. You mean in my first statement? 13 internationally expand the business to various 14 14 Q. The 13th May --- I am sorry, I mean the parts of Africa like Santo May (?) and places like 15 messages themselves, why were those not 15 that. As far as I was concerned, I was more 16 provided to the Inquiry? 16 interested in the international part than in the 17 A. I haven't got an answer to that. I don't do 17 local part. 18 this work, it's done for me and --- but I haven't 18 Q. Thank you for that answer but can I just ask, 19 19 my question was did you expect it to succeed? got an answer. I am not trying to hide any 20 20 A. In what? messages. 21 Q. To the best of your knowledge are you 21 Q. In its business, in terms of generating 22 22 income, to be a successful business perhaps? familiar with the exchanges that I am referring to 23 23 of 13 May 2020? A. In both aspects? 24 24 Q. I am happy for you to give an answer for each A. I am. 25 Q. To the best of your knowledge was that the 25 or an oversight? Page 117 Page 119 1 only exchange with the Attorney General in 1 A. Well, on the question of the NSCIS contract, 2 relation to the criminal investigation or were 2 there was --- at the beginning it seemed they 3 there more? 3 were going to succeed. Then it was clear quite 4 A. As far as I'm concerned, I don't know but I am 4 soon after that it didn't succeed but then the 5 prepared to look over lunch and come back and 5 issue of the international business was obviously 6 6 stymied by what has gone on since then. let you know. 7 Q. But from recollection can you recall any other 7 Q. Can I please take you to B5318 --- sorry, actually I have skipped over one by mistake. Can 8 message exchanges with the Attorney General? 8 9 A. I really wouldn't be able to answer that 9 we go, first of all, to B5161. I think you may 10 10 without seeing it. have a table in front of you which is called a cash 11 Q. Can we now turn to 36 North? 11 flow forecast? 12 A. Yes. 12 A. Yes. 13 Q. I am going to --- thank you, I do not think 13 Q. The resolution is not great on my screen, it 14 there is any dispute that you and David Webber 14 may not be great for you either, but this is the 15 15 of Hassans assisted in incorporating 36 North cash flow forecast for 36 North's first year. The 16 with Mr Perez and Mr Cornelio.? 16 first row relates to NSCIS and I think I am right in 17 A. That is correct. 17 saying that the most substantial item of income 18 Q. One of the main aims of 36 North was to 18 does relate to NSCIS. From this table or from 19 19 secure a contract for the maintenance of the your recollection, did 36 North need to obtain 20 NSCIS platform. Is that correct? 20 the NSCIS contract to be profitable in its first 21 21 year? 22 Q. Did the Chief Minister play any role in the 22 A. For certain. 23 23 setting up of 36 North? Q. Then I referred to 5318, which is the next 24 24 A. No. document that I would like to take you to, 25 Q. Did he have any role in relation to 36 North? 25 hopefully it is over the page for you, it is an Page 118 Page 120

1 email from you dated 30 August 2018. 1 A. Because if this happened, there would have 2 2 been a big problem with NSCIS and I thought 3 3 O. You have that. This is an email to the Chief that --- from what I heard, from --- mainly from 4 Minister's personal email address. The address 4 Mr Cornelio and Mr Perez, the Chief Minister 5 is redacted for obvious reasons and your email 5 was also trying to avoid a split between them. 6 says, "Tommy wants to send this. I have asked 6 Q. As far as you are aware, did the Chief 7 7 him to wait. What do you think." Below that is Minister agree that Mr Cornlio could retain 8 an email from Mr Cornelio to you with a draft ---8 access to the NSCIS system after 30 August 9 9 with the wording of a draft email within it and it 10 10 is a draft email, it seems to Mr Gaggero, the CEO A. I heard that there was some transition period 11 of Bland, notifying him that he is no longer going 11 but I don't remember the period, but there was 12 12 to be maintaining the platform as from 1 a period where I think Mr Cornelio was 13 September 2018. Can I ask you why you sent 13 transitioning the system to Blands. 14 14 this email to the Chief Minister? Q. Did you have any idea as to how long that 15 15 period would be? A. Yes, the position was that the relationship 16 16 A. No. between Mr Perez and Mr Cornelio with Bland 17 17 Q. You raised the issue again with the Chief fluctuated. At the beginning I only got this 18 information from them not from Bland. It was 18 Minister on 11 September 2018, so if we can go 19 19 that Blands was quite happy to let them carry on to B1204, please, it should hopefully be in a 20 20 with this part of the business on their own. After rough order. This is a text message --- I think it is 21 that, there were negotiations and Mr --- I think 21 a WhatsApp message actually. I think you have 22 22 Blands asked them for 50 per cent of the got it now. I do not know whether you have the 23 23 company and they informed me of that and then previous page but if we can at least put it on 24 24 they asked for 30 per cent. At that stage I said screen ---25 25 that Hassans was quite willing to drop out from A. The previous page? Page 121 Page 123 1 this business entirely because I thought that the 1 Q. Yes, please. No, you do not --- I do not 2 thing, if it went out of hand, it would not be 2 believe you have it but I am just going to bring 3 3 good for anybody. So I was trying to ensure that this one up on screen for one second. This is a 4 nothing terrible happened until they came to 4 cover sheet, an exhibit cover sheet within the 5 5 some agreement but that didn't happen. So this criminal investigation and the description of the 6 was an attempt to delay any letter which could 6 exhibit is extracts of a WhatsApp message 7 7 upset any possibility of a settlement between between Mr Levy and the Chief Minister dated 8 8 12 September 2018 and the identification the parties. 9 9 Q. Just to clarify, is what you are saying that at number in the top right is FP7, so it looks as 10 10 the same time as this conversation --- as this though this was an exhibit to the Chief Minister's 11 11 email was sent, there were ongoing evidence. Just over the page there is a 12 conversations between 36 North and Bland over 12 WhatsApp exchange which says at the top, 13 "James Levy current," and it says as follows, "I 13 potential joint venture or settlement that would 14 14 assume the Gaggero issue is more complicated result in a joint venture? 15 15 since I see you are reluctant to discuss it," and A. That's my recollection, yes. 16 the response comes back, "No, just in the thick 16 Q. Why did you send this email to the Chief 17 17 Minister's personal address? of the biggest issue in Europe right now." Then 18 18 A. Very simple; I was on holiday and not you say, "Good luck, happy to help in anything 19 you need however small," and the response, "PG 19 extremely good at these things so I could have 20 we are getting there, C." Was this an exchange 20 sent them to both for all I know. That is my 21 with the Chief Minister? 21 explanation. I don't use a computer, I only use 22 22 A. It seems --- I mean, I don't recall it but ---23 23 Q. Yes, sorry, I am only asking because of the Q. Why did the Chief Minister need to know 24 sign off as C is slightly confusing to the team. 24 about a letter that Mr Cornelio was planning to 25 Are you familiar with the sign off C? 25 send to Mr Gaggero? Page 122 Page 124

1	A. No.
2	Q. Right, but you b
3	an exchange with th

- believe, nevertheless, that it is
- he Chief Minister?
- 4 A. It sounds like it, yes.
- 5 Q. Why did you say in your first message that
- 6 you saw that the Chief Minister was reluctant to
- 7 discuss the Gaggero issue?
- 8 A. Well, from what I have learned since is that
- 9 he was talking to Mr Gaggero and at one stage, I
- 10 don't know whether before or after this
- 11 message, he agreed that Bland should be the
- 12 person to have the NSCIS contract.
- 13 Q. At that point did he display a reluctance to
- 14 discuss the matter with you?
- 15 A. I assume so, ves.
- 16 Q. Can we now turn to your criminal statement
- 17 at paragraph 39 to 40 and those are at B3258,
- 18 page 10 of your criminal witness statement.
- 19 A. Yes.

1

- 20 Q. This is a section entitled, "Any knowledge
- 21 that he may have with regard to the tampering
- 22 with and/or sabotage of the NSCIS platform,"
- 23 and I am just going to read those paragraphs
- 24 out, "I had no knowledge of or reason to suspect
- 25 any tampering with and/or sabotage of the

## 1 A. I don't recall having seen it.

- 2 Q. Do you believe that Mr Cornelio's conduct
- 3 was to sabotage rather than maintain NSCIS?
- 4 A. Certainly not.
- 5 Q. In terms of your role as far as 36 North was
- 6 concerned, was it your intention to use your very
- 7 close personal and professional connection with
- 8 the Chief Minister to facilitate the migration of
- 9 the contract?
- 10 A. No.
- 11 Q. What did you see your role as being?
- 12 A. As --- well, my firm's role as financing the
- 13 project and being shareholders.
- 14 Q. Is your position that you yourself personally
- 15 had no role in the project?
- 16 A. That's the way we do this type of work in the
- 17 firm. I mean, we've done other projects and I
- 18 certainly don't have any role. We invest in
- 19 people.
- 20 Q. Did you consider whether it was appropriate
- 21 for the Chief Minister to inform himself in the as
- 22 to who would keep the maintenance contract,
- 23 given that he was also a shareholder of Astelon
- 24 which held an interest in 36 North?
- 25 A. Well, that is a matter which I am sure he

Page 127

## Page 125

- NSCIS system as alleged. The first time I recall 1
- 2 becoming aware of the alleged tampering
- 3 sabotage was after JP and TC were arrested
- 4 when I was informed by them of what was being
- 5 alleged and they strongly denied that any
- 6 tampering or sabotage had taken place. I note
- 7 from paragraph 12 of the pre-interview
- disclosure document that it is alleged that I 8
- 9 became aware that digital forensic experts were
- 10 conducting an investigation. As far as I recall I
- 11 only became aware that digital forensic experts
- 12 were conducting an investigation when JP and
- 13 TC told me after they were arrested and
- 14 released from police bail. I was neve aware of
- 15 any alleged plan to sabotage the NSCIS platform
- 16 or any computer misuse offences, nor would I
- 17 countenance such behaviour." Does that remain
- 18 your position as to when you found out about
- 19 allegations of sabotage by Mr Cornelio? 20 A. No, because I think there is a message from
- 21 Mr Cornelio to me that Blands had but I have

Page 126

- 22. seen that subsequently.
- 23 Q. I will show that to you but at the time of
- 24 making that statement, had you seen that
- 25 message?

- cleared politically.
- 2 Q. Did you consider it?
- 3 A. No.
- 4 Q. Did you ever consider whether you might be
- 5 benefiting from access to the Chief Minister
- 6 which other people would not receive because
- 7 of your relationship with him?
- 8 A. In this case somebody else had more access
- 9 than I had, clearly.
- 10 Q. When you say "somebody else" who are you
- 11 referring to?
- 12 A. Well, Mr Gaggero obviously had more access
- 13 than I did.
- 14 Q. Did you ever consider that you might be
- 15 benefiting from access which ordinary people,
- 16 unlike Mr Gaggero, would not receive?
- 17 A. Well, in this situation it was Mr Gaggero and
- 18 us. There were no other people involved. I
- 19 understand --- the knowledge I have is that many 20
- people in Gibraltar have the Chief Minister's 21 WhatsApp number and ring him for different
- 22 things. I have had experience from being my
- 23 uncle's partner and acted for Sir Joe Bossano for
- 24 many years. Chief ministers get a lot of
- 25 approach from ordinary people and they deal

Page 128

32 (Pages 125 to 128)

1		1	againt. These are 70 to 92 and and in the
1	with it.	1 2	assist. These are 79 to 82, set out in the
2	Q. Just for the chairman's benefit, when you	2	information some messages from Mr
3 4	refer to your uncle, you are referring to	3 4	Cornelio. Paragraphs 79 to 82 set out some
	A. Sir Joshua Hassan, I am sorry.	1	messages from Mr Cornelio to you between
5	Q. A previous Chief Minister of Gibraltar.	5	February and May sorry, some in February
6	Did you ever consider whether you might be	6	and March 2019 and one on 3 May 2018. I
7 8	receiving information from the Chief Minister which ordinary people would not	7	just want to highlight that one of them is
		8	redacted and I would ask you in your
9	receive?	9	answers in open session to steer clear of
10	A. Frankly, I have enough experience,	10	anything that you may know about the
11	having been the partner of a Chief Minister	11	redacted material. If you feel at any stage
12	for 16 years, and acted for another Chief	12	that you would like to go into private to
13 14	Minister for eight years, to know what the red lines are.	13 14	provide a fuller answer, please say so. I would ask
		1	
15 16	Q. What do you consider the red lines to be?	15	MALE SPEAKER: Forgive me for rising,
17	A. Well, to be careful, to be careful not to ask for any information which is not publicly	16 17	but 2018 (inaudible) MP SANTOS: Lam yery grateful First of
18	ask for any information which is not publicly available.	18	MR SANTOS: I am very grateful. First of
18	<b>Q.</b> My final question of this nature is what	18	all, I will give you the opportunity to read
			those and then I am going to ask you a
20	about receiving treatment from the Chief	20	question about them. I may as well read
21 22	Minister which other people would not?  A. Well I mean, if it's legal work, personally	21 22	them out. 79 says, "On 18 February 2019, he", as in Cornelio, "wrote to Levy saying
23	I think I've got two pieces of legal work from	23	'Any merit in me writing to the Chief
24	the present Chief Minister since 2011. I do	24	Minister directly to request he meet with me?
25	work for Sir Joe Bossano but that's the	25	I miss my contracts." On 26 March 2019 he
	Page 129		Page 131
1	Government work that I do.	1	wrote, "Perhaps the Chief Minister would be
2	Q. You're talking about you personally	2	willing to put the National Security System
3	rather than Hassans as a firm or?	3	out for tender next month." On 27 March
4	A. Well, Hassans is a big firm. It receives	4	2019 Cornelio again asked, "Do you think
5	work form Sir Joe Bossano's time, from Sir	5	there is any chance of getting National
6	Peter Caruana's time, and from the present	6	Security Contract back at some point?"
7	Chief Minister's time. It never received any	7	Despite his answer on 27 December 2018,
8	work when Sir Joshua was Chief Minister.	8	Levy responded, "Yes." I will take you to
9	Q. Can we please go to B3740. I just want	9	your answer of 27 December 2018, which is
10	to focus on paragraphs 79 to 82 there.	10	above at paragraph 78, that is where Mr
11	A. Yes.	11	Cornelio was concerned that the Chief
12	Q. This is the information which was laid	12	Minister would not be transferring the
13	before the Magistrates' Court in support of	13	contract to 36 North and you wrote in
14	the search warrant	14	response, "I don't think he will transfer the
15	A. Sorry, it's not on screen.	15	contracts back to 36 North. We have to work
16	Q. Sorry about that.	16	on alternatives. I'm happy to help." Then 82
17	A. What paragraph? No, it is my fault.	17	reads, "On 3 May 2018 Cornelio wrote again
18	Q. 79 to 82. I don't know whether you have	18	saying 'Dear James, the National Security
19	the whole document or whether you have	19	System [then there is something redacted]
20	A. 79 to 82.	20	can I email the CM once again to meet me?
21	Q. It should have a "4" at the bottom.	21	He cannot allow this to continue." Do those
22	THE CHAIRMAN: It is paragraphs 79 to	22	messages show, Mr Levy, that Mr Cornelio
23	84.	23	and Mr Perez expected you to use your
24	A. Yes sir, thank you.	24	relationship with the Chief Minister to open
25	MR SANTOS: I think Mr Triay has come to	25	the door to the Chief Minister and to land the
	Page 130		Page 132
	Page 130		Page 132  33 (Pages 129 to 132)

1	contract?	1	there is something that seems to be floating
2	A. No, I think what it's saying is that is there	2	in-between and not particularly defined,
3	any way that this contract could be put to	3	which is a person of interest in the
4	tender, which is what should have been done	4	investigation. In terms of a person of
5	and should still be done, but it's a matter for	5	interest, when did you learn, if at any point,
6	the Government not for me.	6	that you were a person of interest to the
7	Q. Can we now turn to A1515, please. This	7	investigation?
8	is your second witness statement.	8	A. I have seen emails, I think it is a
9	A. Yes.	9	statement from the Chief Minister on
10	Q. Paragraph 9.7. This is in response to an	10	affidavit saying that he told me that. I
11	allegation that was set out in a letter to you	11	certainly don't recall that.
12	by the Inquiry on the basis of something that	12	Q. We will take you to that statement so that
13	was said in Mr McGrail's third witness	13	you have the opportunity to comment on it.
14 15	statement and the allegation was that you	14	Can we go to B151 please. This is a meeting
16	were "tipped off" about the RGP's interest in you by someone in your close circle and that	15 16	that took place between the AG, the DPP, the
17	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Commissioner of Police, Superintendent Richardson and Lloyd DiVincenzi, I believe,
18	the Chief Minister tipped you off on the contested matter of the DPP advising the	17 18	in relation to the letter from Hassans in
19	RGP on the warrants. Your response is set	19	response to the search warrants. Can I just
20	out in paragraph 9.7 where you say, "I object	20	ask you to look at the bottom of the page.
20	to Mr McGrail's use of the term 'tipping off'	20	A. You said 151, A151?
22	if, by using it, Mr McGrail is implying	22	Q. B151 please. It may be the other file to
23	improper behaviour. Given my firm's	23	your right, which has the documents because
24	involvement in 36 North, it could be	24	I think the one that you had open is your
25	reasonably anticipated that the RGP might	25	witness statement. B151. You have it, yes.
23	reasonably anticipated that the ROT Hight	25	withess statement. B131. Tou have it, yes.
	Page 133		Page 135
1	wish to speak to me, but not as a suspect,	1	Just towards the bottom of the page, picking
2	which I only discovered, to my consternation	2	up from about two thirds of the way down,
3	and bewilderment, on the date the RGP	3	Superintendent Richardson says, "Yeah, he
4	sought to execute the warrants." When did	4	asked about how we would deal with the
5	you learn that you were being investigated by	5	legally privileged material." Can you see
6	the RGP?	6	that, two-thirds of the way down?
7	A. I can't recall when, but I certainly didn't	7	A. Who says that, Superintendent
8	expect the subsequent events. I mean, I was	8	Richardson?
9	always available to talk to the RGP but they	9	Q. Superintendent Richardson, "Yeah, he
10	seemed to be on another route.	10	asked"
11	Q. You say, "Given my firm's involvement	11	A. Yes.
12	in 36 North it could be reasonably anticipated	12	Q. " about how we would deal with the
13	that the RGP might wish to speak to me", at	13	legally privileged material." The DPP says,
14	what point do you think that you reasonably	14	"Hmm." And Richardson says, "And asked
4 =			
15	anticipated that?	15	he asked for clarification. Did we think if
16	A. I really cannot recall, I'm sorry.	16	it would be compromised if we just asked for
16 17	<ul><li>A. I really cannot recall, I'm sorry.</li><li>Q. Do you know how you learned that</li></ul>	16 17	it would be compromised if we just asked for the information which we handed over and
16 17 18	<ul><li>A. I really cannot recall, I'm sorry.</li><li>Q. Do you know how you learned that there are different concepts that we are</li></ul>	16 17 18	it would be compromised if we just asked for the information which we handed over and for the the reasons for that are clearly yes,
16 17 18 19	A. I really cannot recall, I'm sorry.  Q. Do you know how you learned that there are different concepts that we are dealing with or struggling with at this	16 17 18 19	it would be compromised if we just asked for the information which we handed over and for the the reasons for that are clearly yes, and and they were borne out yesterday.
16 17 18 19 20	A. I really cannot recall, I'm sorry.  Q. Do you know how you learned that there are different concepts that we are dealing with or struggling with at this Inquiry. First, there is a suspect, and I think	16 17 18 19 20	it would be compromised if we just asked for the information which we handed over and for the the reasons for that are clearly yes, and and they were borne out yesterday. You know, this wasn't 'I'm an officer of the
16 17 18 19 20 21	A. I really cannot recall, I'm sorry.  Q. Do you know how you learned that there are different concepts that we are dealing with or struggling with at this Inquiry. First, there is a suspect, and I think your answer to that is that you weren't aware	16 17 18 19 20 21	it would be compromised if we just asked for the information which we handed over and for the the reasons for that are clearly yes, and and they were borne out yesterday. You know, this wasn't 'I'm an officer of the court here is our understanding and the
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. I really cannot recall, I'm sorry.  Q. Do you know how you learned that there are different concepts that we are dealing with or struggling with at this Inquiry. First, there is a suspect, and I think your answer to that is that you weren't aware that you were a suspect until 12 May 2020.	16 17 18 19 20 21 22	it would be compromised if we just asked for the information which we handed over and for the the reasons for that are clearly yes, and and they were borne out yesterday. You know, this wasn't 'I'm an officer of the court here is our understanding and the argument and therefore that he is'' And
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. I really cannot recall, I'm sorry.  Q. Do you know how you learned that there are different concepts that we are dealing with or struggling with at this Inquiry. First, there is a suspect, and I think your answer to that is that you weren't aware that you were a suspect until 12 May 2020.  A. Correct.	16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	it would be compromised if we just asked for the information which we handed over and for the the reasons for that are clearly yes, and and they were borne out yesterday. You know, this wasn't 'I'm an officer of the court here is our understanding and the argument and therefore that he is'' And the DPP says, "That he has known this for
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. I really cannot recall, I'm sorry.  Q. Do you know how you learned that there are different concepts that we are dealing with or struggling with at this Inquiry. First, there is a suspect, and I think your answer to that is that you weren't aware that you were a suspect until 12 May 2020.  A. Correct.  Q. There is a witness or someone that fell	16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	it would be compromised if we just asked for the information which we handed over and for the the reasons for that are clearly yes, and and they were borne out yesterday. You know, this wasn't 'I'm an officer of the court here is our understanding and the argument and therefore that he is''' And the DPP says, "That he has known this for months." And Superintendent Richardson
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. I really cannot recall, I'm sorry.  Q. Do you know how you learned that there are different concepts that we are dealing with or struggling with at this Inquiry. First, there is a suspect, and I think your answer to that is that you weren't aware that you were a suspect until 12 May 2020.  A. Correct.	16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	it would be compromised if we just asked for the information which we handed over and for the the reasons for that are clearly yes, and and they were borne out yesterday. You know, this wasn't 'I'm an officer of the court here is our understanding and the argument and therefore that he is'' And the DPP says, "That he has known this for
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. I really cannot recall, I'm sorry.  Q. Do you know how you learned that there are different concepts that we are dealing with or struggling with at this Inquiry. First, there is a suspect, and I think your answer to that is that you weren't aware that you were a suspect until 12 May 2020.  A. Correct.  Q. There is a witness or someone that fell	16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	it would be compromised if we just asked for the information which we handed over and for the the reasons for that are clearly yes, and and they were borne out yesterday. You know, this wasn't 'I'm an officer of the court here is our understanding and the argument and therefore that he is''' And the DPP says, "That he has known this for months." And Superintendent Richardson

1 "Entonces", "Then, if I was ... I would say 1 found it. 2 okay. If you were not going to compromise 2 THE WITNESS: I don't think I have it, sir. 3 the information, but if this had been 3 233 is sort of rubbed off. I look at it there. 4 4 knowledge, knowledge from Haim Levy for MR SANTOS: Is it in your other bundle 5 months, I would have gone the ex parte 5 perhaps? 6 requirement is almost ... it goes out the 6 A. I can look at it there. 7 window." Do you agree with the DPP's 7 O. Thank you. I will just start again. "I 8 comment that you had known for months that 8 recall that I discussed with Mr James Levy 9 the RGP was investigating you? 9 KC on a very large number of occasions the 10 A. No. 10 fact that the RGP had suggested that he 11 Q. What was your state of knowledge prior 11 might be a person of interest in the to 12 May 2020? 12 12 investigation. He raised this with me A. I didn't have any ... I didn't think that 13 13 constantly when I spoke to him on other 14 14 they were going to investigate me as a matters. I consistently replied to him that I 15 15 suspect. I mean, I can tell you what was sure that the investigation would 16 16 happened on 12 May. exonerate him, given that, from what I knew of him, I was sure that he would not have 17 Q. We will get to 12 May. But were you 17 18 aware of the arrests of Cornelio and Sanchez 18 acted in a manner which was contrary to the 19 and Perez? 19 law. In fact, this was also the conclusion 20 A. Certainly. 20 reached by the police when they made the 21 Q. Now, if we -- actually I see the time. 21 decisions about who to charge in 22 22 THE CHAIRMAN: It is probably a good consequence of their Op Delhi investigation." 23 23 Do you agree that you discussed this matter time to break. 24 24 with the Chief Minister on a very large MR SANTOS: It is probably a good time. I 25 number of occasions. 25 am about to take you to that passage in the Page 137 Page 139 1 Chief Minister's statement, but we will do 1 A. I don't have recollection of that. 2 that after lunch. 2 Q. When you say you do not have a --3 THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. We will break 3 THE CHAIRMAN: Just so I --4 for lunch. 2 o'clock. 4 A. Sir, I don't have a recollection of 5 5 THE WITNESS: Thank you, Sir. discussing this on numerous occasions. 6 6 MR SANTOS: When you say you do not (13.00)7 7 (The short adjournment) have a recollection, do you mean that to the 8 8 best of your recollection you did not have a (14.00)9 9 MR SANTOS: Good afternoon, Mr Levy. discussion? 10 A. Good afternoon. 10 A. Yes. 11 Q. I was about to take you to A 233 which is 11 THE CHAIRMAN: At all? 12 the Chief Minister's third statement, 12 A. I don't recall. 13 13 paragraph 5. This is a section of a third THE CHAIRMAN: He is saying that he 14 remembers discussing it on a very large 14 statement that the Chief Minister prepared in 15 15 response to some questions from the Inquiry number of occasions. Are you saying that 16 you do not remember it being discussed on a 16 and he says in this section at paragraph 5: "I 17 17 recall that I discussed with Mr James Levy very large number of occasions, or you do 18 18 not remember it being discussed at all? KC on a very large number of occasions the 19 19 fact that the RGP had suggested that he A. Sir, on numerous occasions, as he says. I 20 might be a person of interest in the 20 may recall discussing it once or twice but not 21 investigation. He raised this with me 21 numerous occasions. 22 constantly when I spoke to him on other 22 MR SANTOS: He refers to that phrase 23 23 matters. I consistently replied to him that I "person of interest" and he says that he was sure that the investigation --24 24 discussed with you on a very large number of 25 occasions the fact that the RGP had 25 THE CHAIRMAN: I do not think you have Page 138 Page 140

1 suggested that you might be a person of 1 to the legality of the warrants, I wish to 2 interest. Was that phrase "person of interest" 2 record that both Detective Superintendent 3 something that you used with him or that he 3 Richardson and Detective Inspector Wyan 4 4 used with you? were at all times polite and courteous 5 A. I don't recall that actual phrase. 5 towards me." Do you stand by that evidence 6 Q. Were you concerned about the RGP 6 of paragraph 4? 7 investigation and how it related to you? 7 A. Yes. 8 A. I wasn't concerned, but I was surprised 8 Q. Superintendent Richardson has given 9 9 that I wasn't consulted, I wasn't contacted. evidence to the Inquiry and said that he does 10 10 Q. Do you recall the dates or the rough dates not recall referring to advice at the highest 11 of these conversations with the Chief 11 level to you but he does recall a more general 12 Minister? 12 conversation with Javier Chincotta in the lift, 13 A. Certainly not, sorry. 13 and what he says he said to Mr Chincotta is: 14 14 Q. Do you have notes from any of those "Please don't think that we've just taken 15 conversations? 15 advice from a junior counsel. We have taken 16 A. No. 16 advice at a high level. It wouldn't have been 17 17 specifically: 'We have taken advice regarding Q. Did you consider whether it was 18 appropriate to communicate with the Chief 18 the search warrant' but about the intervention, 19 Minister about being a person of interest, 19 given that we had taken advice about 20 20 given that he too had an interest in 36 North considering JL as a suspect." Does that 21 through Hassans? 21 accord with your recollection of what 22 22 A. I really was not concerned. I was talking happened? 23 23 to him as a friend, which I would talk to A. No. I remember that Superintendent 24 24 Richardson told me that they'd taken advice other people the same way, so he doesn't 25 25 have any function in prosecution. at the highest level. There was one other Page 141 Page 143 1 Q. Can we go to B 5229, please. 1 thing. They contend that Superintendent 2 A. Yes. 2 Richardson didn't know what is the 3 3 Q. This is again your witness statement in (inaudible) consequences. Well, I remember 4 the criminal proceedings which you should 4 I was at home and I spoke to him on the 5 5 have in front of you. At paragraph 4 you phone and he said to me: "If you don't come 6 refer to 12 May 2020 and you say: "On 12 6 now, there will be consequences." So that 7 7 May 2020 Detective Superintendent Paul may be his note but I remember that. 8 8 Richardson and other officers of the Royal Q. Just going back to the advice at the 9 9 Gibraltar Police attended the offices of my highest level, when do you say that he said 10 10 firm in Madison Building Midtown with that to you? At what point in your 11 search warrants. I was told that if I did not 11 interactions with him? 12 cooperate in granting access to the office 12 A. At the very beginning. 13 13 premises and my home, search warrants THE CHAIRMAN: Even on the phone 14 14 would be executed. I was astounded to learn perhaps, do you mean? 15 15 that search warrants had been applied for and A. No, sir. I think when I went to the office. 16 16 issued against me. When I raised this with THE CHAIRMAN: When you went to the 17 17 Detective Superintendent Richardson I was office. You ended up in the boardroom. 18 18 told that advice had been obtained from the A. Yes. 19 19 highest level, which I understood to be the THE CHAIRMAN: What happened in the 20 20 Director of Prosecutions. I cooperated with boardroom was recorded. 21 21 the RGP and granted access as requested to A. No, before the recording. There was a conversation before the recording. both my offices and home, as I would have if 22 22 23 23 search warrants had not been obtained, MR SANTOS: At what point in your 24 24 interaction with Superintendent Richardson subject to my professional duties and 25 25 personal rights. Despite my strong objection and Inspector Wyan did the recording Page 142 Page 144

1	commence?	1	This is a transcript of a meeting on 15 May
2	A. I don't recall. He did at one stage put the	2	between Mr McGrail, Mr Richardson, Mr
3	recording on.	3	Wyan and the Attorney General and the DPP
4	Q. Can we go to A 1511, please. This is your	4	and Mr Devincenzi. Half way down the page
5	second witness statement at paragraph 8. I	5	just below the entry that is marked 2824
6	just want to pick it up from about five lines	6	there is an entry that says: "Superintendent
7	from the bottom. There is the sentence that	7	Richardson just before he is interviewed."
8	starts: "It was equally baseless" Can you	8	Can you see that?
9	see that?	9	A. 2824?
10	A. One?	10	Q. Yes, the second box in that section.
11	Q. 1511. There is a paragraph 8. It should	11	"Superintendent Richardson just before he is
12	be page 3 of your second witness statement.	12	interviewed." Then the CoP, Commissioner
13	A. Yes, I have that.	13	of Police, Mr McGrail says: "He was asked
14	Q. About five lines from the bottom towards	14	to return and between him being asked to
15	the end of the line there is a sentence that	15	return for him to ( reading to the words)
16	starts: "It was equally baseless" Do you	16	his phone, and we have evidence of that."
17	have that?	17	That is a reference not to you; that is a
18	A. Yes.	18	reference to Mr Sanchez. Then
19	Q. You say - this is in response to allegation	19	Superintendent Richardson responds:
20	three which says: "Mr Richardson's	20	"Including the conversations between him
21	allegations that there were good grounds for	21	and Haim, and then Mr Rocca said: "The
22	seeking a search warrant rather than a	22	concern I have in relation to this" - well,
23	production order against me." You say: "It	23	actually we do not have to go beyond that. I
24	was equally baseless to claim that any other	24	just want you to respond to that. The
25	methods of obtaining the material were	25	Commissioner of Police says, referring to
	Page 145		Page 147
1	bound to fail and that there was a 'fear' of	1	Sanahaz, that he had been called to waturm and
1		1 2	Sanchez, that he had been asked to return and
2 3	my defacing or destroying that information if given notice of a Production Order There	$\begin{vmatrix} 2\\3 \end{vmatrix}$	between being asked for him to return and for him to land in Gibraltar he was wiping his
4	was not a shred of evidence to support the		phone, including conversations between him
5	alleged 'fear' referred to in the application for	4 5	and you. Do you consider that that was a fair
6	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	$\begin{vmatrix} 3 \\ 6 \end{vmatrix}$	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	the warrants. To the contrary, the evidence	7	justification for the RGP to consider that
7	shows that I cooperated with the RGP the	8	there was a risk of you too wiping your own devices?
8 9	moment I was contacted, so that the warrants did not have to be physically executed even	1	
	± 7 - 7	9 10	A. No. I'm a professional officer of the
10	though I made clear to the RGP I considered them unlawful." Mr Richardson and	1	Q. Is it correct that you cooperated with the
11		11	RGP from the moment you were contacted?
12	Superintendent Wyan have given evidence to	12	A. I took advice.
13	the Inquiry that they did not want to make the	1	
14	application on notice as they feared you may	14	Q. Why did you cooperate?
15	have destroyed evidence. What is your	15	A. Well, because I thought that it's better
16	response to that?	16	than having a warrant executed, and I didn't
17	A. I think that is entirely baseless.	17	have anything to hide.
18	Q. Can we go to B 278, please.	18	Q. When you say that you took advice,
19	A. 278?	19	obviously I am not going to ask you to stray
20	Q. Sorry, I think this is another transcript,	20	into the advice that you took. Roughly how
21	like the one that I took you to this morning,	21	long did it take to obtain advice?
22	so it might be in the other file in front of you,	22	A. Probably 45 minutes, an hour.
23	to your right.	23	Q. Can we now go to B 112, please. This is
24	A. I think I can see them.	24	probably earlier on in the file that you have
25	Q. If you are happy to look at it, that is fine.	25	because it is another transcript, this time of a
	Page 146		Page 148

meeting on 13 May. I actually took you to a 1 hand over the device? 2 2 different part of this meeting but it is similar A. I really can't remember. 3 characters except that we have Mr 3 Q. Can we now go to B 3467, please. This 4 4 Devincenzi instead of Inspector Wyan. now is another transcript. It should have 5 Towards the bottom of the page, in fact the 5 black banners at the top and bottom. It 6 last box, Superintendent Richardson says: 6 should be in the same file. 7 "He handed it over after exhausting all legal 7 A. Yes, I can see it okay. 8 avenues to challenge it. He wouldn't even 8 Q. Thank you. Let's work from the screen. 9 come to his own office. He told me he 9 Towards the bottom of the page you say 10 wouldn't come in." Do you accept that 10 about five boxes from the bottom: "You are 11 account of your interaction with 11 not giving me any special privileges." 12 Superintendent Richardson? 12 Would you accept that the RGP did treat you 13 A. No. I said I would take legal advice, and 13 differently to Mr Perez, Mr Cornelio, Mr 14 I did. It took him and I took legal advice, 14 Sanchez and Mr Asquez? 15 15 and when Superintendent Richardson came A. I don't know how they treated them. I 16 to my office I pointed to him to my two 16 have said throughout that both 17 17 personal cupboards and offered to open them. **Superintendent Richardson and Inspector** 18 He said no, he didn't need to see them. I then 18 Wyan were always courteous. 19 - he came home, he came to my study, and I 19 Q. Do you accept that the RGP took the 20 20 offered again to open whatever thing was unusual step of allowing you to give a 21 locked, which in my study is probably one 21 voluntary statement even though you were at 22 22 drawer that is locked, and he said he didn't stage a suspect? 23 23 need it. It wasn't a question of exhausting; it A. Yes. 24 was a question of being shocked. For me this 24 Q. And do you also accept that 25 was a very shocking experience and at my 25 Superintendent Richardson searched your Page 151 Page 149 1 age at the time, there was Covid; I must tell 1 home and your office alone rather than 2 you that it is my belief that a person can go 2 accompanied by other officers? 3 3 just to carry on in life, my religious belief A. Yes. 4 that allowed me to carry on. I was absolutely 4 Q. Why did that occur? 5 5 A. I don't know. 6 Q. Can I just ask you to go over the page to 6 Q. Mr Richardson's evidence is that you 7 7 B 113, please. Superintendent Richardson asked for it to be only him. 8 8 says - they continue to discuss. Mr Llamas A. No, I said, with respect, I said that I 9 9 asks him: "And do you think his reaction wanted him in the search. 10 would have been different if he didn't have a 10 Q. So your evidence is that you did not ask 11 search warrant?" He said: "Then how would for him alone, you just wanted him. 11 12 I have forced the issue? It took nine hours of 12 A. That is correct. 13 persuading to hand it over with a warrant." I 13 Q. Can we go to C 3522 please. It should be 14 think that he is referring to your mobile 14 a copy of the document that was handed to 15 device. Do you agree that it took nine hours 15 you on 12 May. 16 of persuading you to hand over your device? 16 A. Yes. 17 A. No, he was there before at (inaudible) for 17 Q. I think you might have it there. 18 A. Yes. quite some time. And then I took time to 18 19 19 come from my house. I really didn't want to. Q. This is, as I say, the document that was 20 20 handed to you on 12 May and it referred to That day was Manna, a religious festival. 21 21 voluntary attendance for police interview Then I was told over the phone, "If you don't 22 22 come there will be consequences." So since I under caution. If we can turn over the page, 23 23 know where the power is in those please, the final sentence on this document, 24 24 the final paragraph says: "The content circumstances, I came. 25 Q. How long do you say it took for you to 25 disclosed in this document has been provided Page 150 Page 152

1 in order to assist you in responding to the 1 going to be exploring that matter, did you 2 2 consider whether it was appropriate to police enquiries and instructing legal 3 3 representation. This is an ongoing police communicate with the Chief Minister in 4 4 investigation and the information provided relation to this issue? 5 herein must not be disclosed to any third 5 A. I didn't communicate the fact that that 6 party without authority of the Royal Gibraltar 6 was in the document but I didn't think that 7 7 Police." Did you disclose any of the there was a restriction of speaking to anyone 8 information in the document to anyone other 8 on this matter. 9 9 than your lawyers? Q. Can we now go to A 11, please. This is 10 10 A. No. Mr McGrail's witness statement at paragraph 11 11 Q. Did you disclose it to the Chief Minister? 12 12 A. Yes, I've got it here. 13 Q. To the Attorney General? 13 Q. This is in relation to a meeting on 12 May 14 14 between the Chief Minister, the Attorney A. No. 15 General and Mr McGrail. Mr McGrail says 15 Q. On the same page there was a list of topic areas to be explored in the interview. Point 16 16 four lines from the bottom: "The CM also 17 17 nine there refers to communication with the made a passing but seemingly unconnected 18 Chief Minister in relation to any of the 18 comment that he had earlier that morning 19 19 above." Given that the topic areas which been speaking to JL about the reopening of 20 20 the places of worship. This related to the they sought to explore in a formal interview 21 under caution included your communications 21 pandemic." Do you recall that conversation 22 22 with the Chief Minister in relation to any of with the Chief Minister? 23 23 A. Yes. the above, did you consider whether it was 24 24 appropriate to communicate with him about Q. Can we please go to A 1515. That is 25 25 the request for an interview? your witness statement, your second witness Page 153 Page 155 1 A. I didn't understand the question. 1 statement, at paragraph 9.6. 2 Q. Sorry. Item nine on the list makes clear 2 A. A 1515? 3 3 that one of the topic areas which --Q. Yes. This one will be in your other file, 4 A. What I have is --4 your second witness statement. 9.6, in 5 5 Q. I am so sorry; I think you have the wrong response to an allegation 4F which says: "Mr 6 6 Levy and the Chief Minister were in actual 7 7 communication with each other at the time A. The redacted. 8 8 Q. No, that is not the document. Sorry. the investigating officers were at Hassans 9 9 A. If you want to -with the warrant, which amounted to 10 10 Q. Yes, why not work from the screen. inappropriate behaviour." That is an 11 There is a list of 11 items there. The ninth allegation made by Mr McGrail in his fifth 11 12 item --12 witness statement at paragraph 118. Your 13 THE CHAIRMAN: This is the document 13 response to that is to say: "I did not that you were handed by the police when 14 14 communicate with the Chief Minister at the 15 they came to the office on 12 May. 15 time that the investigating officers were at 16 MR SANTOS: The one on the screen. You 16 my office, as Mr McGrail conceded at 17 have it there. 17 paragraph 118 of McGrail 5, this is just 18 A. Yes, I had that but you said to move on to 18 suspicion on his part. I can confirm that this 19 19 allegation is incorrect." Did you 20 Q. Let us just stay on that page. Item nine 20 communicate with the Chief Minister at any 2.1 on that list is "Communication with the Chief 21 other time on 12 May 2020? 22 Minister in relation to any of the above." 22 A. Apart from one of the places of worship, 23 That was one of the topic areas which the 23 24 RGP intended to explore in formal interview 24 Q. Well, apart from the places of worship. 25 under caution. Given that the interview was 25 Do you remember what time of day the Page 154 Page 156

1	places of worship conversation took place?	1	acted in executing a search warrant at his
2	A. No.	2	home." Do you recall the Chief Minister
3	Q. And other than the places of worship,	3	saying that to you?
4	what communications did you have with the	4	A. About the warrant not at my home,
5	Chief Minister?	5	because it wasn't at my home. I was on the
6	A. I just either - I rang him to tell him that	6	way to the office. That's my recollection.
7	there was a - I'm on my way to deal with a		
		7	Q. So your recollection. Do you recall him
8	warrant.	8	expressing consternation?
9	THE CHAIRMAN: You rang him, you rang	9	A. Yes. On the warrant.
10	the Chief Minister to tell him.	10	(14.27)
11	A. Yes. I rang a few people on the way. I	11	Q. On the warrant. "I do not recall the
12	rang some of my children and I rang some	12	precise method by which we spoke. I may
13	friends, some legal friends, in the United	13	have been able to reach him on his home
14	Kingdom.	14	number or the office number, as Mr Levy KC
15	MR SANTOS: What exactly did you say to	15	has an office extension at his home. I also
16	the Chief Minister?	16	recall speaking to Mr Levy KC in addition to
17	A. It was a two-minute or less conversation	17	the warrants about his position and concerns
18	saying: "You probably do know or don't	18	as leader of the Jewish community on access
19	know but I'm on my way to the office to deal	19	places of worship, which was then a thorny
20	with a warrant."	20	issue in the context of lockdown. I cannot
21	Q. At this time were the police officers at	21	now recall the order in which these issues
22	your office?	22	were discussed between us on that day or the
23	A. But not with me.	23	times at which we did so." Then 14,
24	THE CHAIRMAN: Why did you ring him?	24	"Gibtelecom have provided me with their log
25	A. I said, sir, I rang a few friends because I	25	record of my outgoing mobile telephone
	Page 157		Page 159
1	was yory shooked at what was hannoning so	1	troffic on that day I can confirm that none
1	was very shocked at what was happening, so	1	traffic on that day. I can confirm that none
2	I just rang random friends and a couple of my	2	were to James Levy, nor were there any calls
2 3	I just rang random friends and a couple of my children. I rang him, I rang two friends of	2 3	were to James Levy, nor were there any calls during the period 12:20-13:00 hrs. In so far
2 3 4	I just rang random friends and a couple of my children. I rang him, I rang two friends of mine, barristers in England.	2 3 4	were to James Levy, nor were there any calls during the period 12:20-13:00 hrs. In so far as concerns incoming calls, I am advised by
2 3 4 5	I just rang random friends and a couple of my children. I rang him, I rang two friends of mine, barristers in England. THE CHAIRMAN: You mean you were	2 3 4 5	were to James Levy, nor were there any calls during the period 12:20-13:00 hrs. In so far as concerns incoming calls, I am advised by my private secretary that Gibtelecom has
2 3 4 5 6	I just rang random friends and a couple of my children. I rang him, I rang two friends of mine, barristers in England.  THE CHAIRMAN: You mean you were ringing him as a friend rather than ringing	2 3 4 5 6	were to James Levy, nor were there any calls during the period 12:20-13:00 hrs. In so far as concerns incoming calls, I am advised by my private secretary that Gibtelecom has informed him that they have no such
2 3 4 5 6 7	I just rang random friends and a couple of my children. I rang him, I rang two friends of mine, barristers in England.  THE CHAIRMAN: You mean you were ringing him as a friend rather than ringing him as Chief Minister. Is that what you	2 3 4 5 6 7	were to James Levy, nor were there any calls during the period 12:20-13:00 hrs. In so far as concerns incoming calls, I am advised by my private secretary that Gibtelecom has informed him that they have no such information available to provide because this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	I just rang random friends and a couple of my children. I rang him, I rang two friends of mine, barristers in England.  THE CHAIRMAN: You mean you were ringing him as a friend rather than ringing him as Chief Minister. Is that what you mean?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	were to James Levy, nor were there any calls during the period 12:20-13:00 hrs. In so far as concerns incoming calls, I am advised by my private secretary that Gibtelecom has informed him that they have no such information available to provide because this has long-since been deleted by them in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	I just rang random friends and a couple of my children. I rang him, I rang two friends of mine, barristers in England.  THE CHAIRMAN: You mean you were ringing him as a friend rather than ringing him as Chief Minister. Is that what you mean?  A. Absolutely.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	were to James Levy, nor were there any calls during the period 12:20-13:00 hrs. In so far as concerns incoming calls, I am advised by my private secretary that Gibtelecom has informed him that they have no such information available to provide because this has long-since been deleted by them in consequence of their data retention policy in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	I just rang random friends and a couple of my children. I rang him, I rang two friends of mine, barristers in England.  THE CHAIRMAN: You mean you were ringing him as a friend rather than ringing him as Chief Minister. Is that what you mean?  A. Absolutely.  THE CHAIRMAN: Is that what you mean?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	were to James Levy, nor were there any calls during the period 12:20-13:00 hrs. In so far as concerns incoming calls, I am advised by my private secretary that Gibtelecom has informed him that they have no such information available to provide because this has long-since been deleted by them in consequence of their data retention policy in accordance with their obligations under the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	I just rang random friends and a couple of my children. I rang him, I rang two friends of mine, barristers in England.  THE CHAIRMAN: You mean you were ringing him as a friend rather than ringing him as Chief Minister. Is that what you mean?  A. Absolutely.  THE CHAIRMAN: Is that what you mean?  A. Yes, sir.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	were to James Levy, nor were there any calls during the period 12:20-13:00 hrs. In so far as concerns incoming calls, I am advised by my private secretary that Gibtelecom has informed him that they have no such information available to provide because this has long-since been deleted by them in consequence of their data retention policy in accordance with their obligations under the Data Protection Act. I am however entirely
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	I just rang random friends and a couple of my children. I rang him, I rang two friends of mine, barristers in England.  THE CHAIRMAN: You mean you were ringing him as a friend rather than ringing him as Chief Minister. Is that what you mean?  A. Absolutely.  THE CHAIRMAN: Is that what you mean?  A. Yes, sir.  MR SANTOS: Can we look at A 234,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	were to James Levy, nor were there any calls during the period 12:20-13:00 hrs. In so far as concerns incoming calls, I am advised by my private secretary that Gibtelecom has informed him that they have no such information available to provide because this has long-since been deleted by them in consequence of their data retention policy in accordance with their obligations under the Data Protection Act. I am however entirely content to confirm, as I have done above, that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	I just rang random friends and a couple of my children. I rang him, I rang two friends of mine, barristers in England.  THE CHAIRMAN: You mean you were ringing him as a friend rather than ringing him as Chief Minister. Is that what you mean?  A. Absolutely.  THE CHAIRMAN: Is that what you mean?  A. Yes, sir.  MR SANTOS: Can we look at A 234, please, which is the Chief Minister's third	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	were to James Levy, nor were there any calls during the period 12:20-13:00 hrs. In so far as concerns incoming calls, I am advised by my private secretary that Gibtelecom has informed him that they have no such information available to provide because this has long-since been deleted by them in consequence of their data retention policy in accordance with their obligations under the Data Protection Act. I am however entirely content to confirm, as I have done above, that such calls occurred." So, my reading of that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	I just rang random friends and a couple of my children. I rang him, I rang two friends of mine, barristers in England.  THE CHAIRMAN: You mean you were ringing him as a friend rather than ringing him as Chief Minister. Is that what you mean?  A. Absolutely.  THE CHAIRMAN: Is that what you mean?  A. Yes, sir.  MR SANTOS: Can we look at A 234, please, which is the Chief Minister's third witness statement, paragraph 12. This is a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	were to James Levy, nor were there any calls during the period 12:20-13:00 hrs. In so far as concerns incoming calls, I am advised by my private secretary that Gibtelecom has informed him that they have no such information available to provide because this has long-since been deleted by them in consequence of their data retention policy in accordance with their obligations under the Data Protection Act. I am however entirely content to confirm, as I have done above, that such calls occurred." So, my reading of that paragraph is that the Chief Minister confirms
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	I just rang random friends and a couple of my children. I rang him, I rang two friends of mine, barristers in England.  THE CHAIRMAN: You mean you were ringing him as a friend rather than ringing him as Chief Minister. Is that what you mean?  A. Absolutely.  THE CHAIRMAN: Is that what you mean?  A. Yes, sir.  MR SANTOS: Can we look at A 234, please, which is the Chief Minister's third	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	were to James Levy, nor were there any calls during the period 12:20-13:00 hrs. In so far as concerns incoming calls, I am advised by my private secretary that Gibtelecom has informed him that they have no such information available to provide because this has long-since been deleted by them in consequence of their data retention policy in accordance with their obligations under the Data Protection Act. I am however entirely content to confirm, as I have done above, that such calls occurred." So, my reading of that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	I just rang random friends and a couple of my children. I rang him, I rang two friends of mine, barristers in England.  THE CHAIRMAN: You mean you were ringing him as a friend rather than ringing him as Chief Minister. Is that what you mean?  A. Absolutely.  THE CHAIRMAN: Is that what you mean?  A. Yes, sir.  MR SANTOS: Can we look at A 234, please, which is the Chief Minister's third witness statement, paragraph 12. This is a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	were to James Levy, nor were there any calls during the period 12:20-13:00 hrs. In so far as concerns incoming calls, I am advised by my private secretary that Gibtelecom has informed him that they have no such information available to provide because this has long-since been deleted by them in consequence of their data retention policy in accordance with their obligations under the Data Protection Act. I am however entirely content to confirm, as I have done above, that such calls occurred." So, my reading of that paragraph is that the Chief Minister confirms that calls took place between 12.20 and 13.00 hours. Do you agree with that evidence by
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	I just rang random friends and a couple of my children. I rang him, I rang two friends of mine, barristers in England.  THE CHAIRMAN: You mean you were ringing him as a friend rather than ringing him as Chief Minister. Is that what you mean?  A. Absolutely.  THE CHAIRMAN: Is that what you mean?  A. Yes, sir.  MR SANTOS: Can we look at A 234, please, which is the Chief Minister's third witness statement, paragraph 12. This is a section which - there is a typo I think. It is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	were to James Levy, nor were there any calls during the period 12:20-13:00 hrs. In so far as concerns incoming calls, I am advised by my private secretary that Gibtelecom has informed him that they have no such information available to provide because this has long-since been deleted by them in consequence of their data retention policy in accordance with their obligations under the Data Protection Act. I am however entirely content to confirm, as I have done above, that such calls occurred." So, my reading of that paragraph is that the Chief Minister confirms that calls took place between 12.20 and 13.00
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	I just rang random friends and a couple of my children. I rang him, I rang two friends of mine, barristers in England.  THE CHAIRMAN: You mean you were ringing him as a friend rather than ringing him as Chief Minister. Is that what you mean?  A. Absolutely.  THE CHAIRMAN: Is that what you mean?  A. Yes, sir.  MR SANTOS: Can we look at A 234, please, which is the Chief Minister's third witness statement, paragraph 12. This is a section which - there is a typo I think. It is headed: "Contact with James Levy on 12	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	were to James Levy, nor were there any calls during the period 12:20-13:00 hrs. In so far as concerns incoming calls, I am advised by my private secretary that Gibtelecom has informed him that they have no such information available to provide because this has long-since been deleted by them in consequence of their data retention policy in accordance with their obligations under the Data Protection Act. I am however entirely content to confirm, as I have done above, that such calls occurred." So, my reading of that paragraph is that the Chief Minister confirms that calls took place between 12.20 and 13.00 hours. Do you agree with that evidence by
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	I just rang random friends and a couple of my children. I rang him, I rang two friends of mine, barristers in England.  THE CHAIRMAN: You mean you were ringing him as a friend rather than ringing him as Chief Minister. Is that what you mean?  A. Absolutely.  THE CHAIRMAN: Is that what you mean?  A. Yes, sir.  MR SANTOS: Can we look at A 234, please, which is the Chief Minister's third witness statement, paragraph 12. This is a section which - there is a typo I think. It is headed: "Contact with James Levy on 12 May 2020 between 12.20 and 13.00 hours."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	were to James Levy, nor were there any calls during the period 12:20-13:00 hrs. In so far as concerns incoming calls, I am advised by my private secretary that Gibtelecom has informed him that they have no such information available to provide because this has long-since been deleted by them in consequence of their data retention policy in accordance with their obligations under the Data Protection Act. I am however entirely content to confirm, as I have done above, that such calls occurred." So, my reading of that paragraph is that the Chief Minister confirms that calls took place between 12.20 and 13.00 hours. Do you agree with that evidence by the Chief Minister.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	I just rang random friends and a couple of my children. I rang him, I rang two friends of mine, barristers in England.  THE CHAIRMAN: You mean you were ringing him as a friend rather than ringing him as Chief Minister. Is that what you mean?  A. Absolutely.  THE CHAIRMAN: Is that what you mean?  A. Yes, sir.  MR SANTOS: Can we look at A 234, please, which is the Chief Minister's third witness statement, paragraph 12. This is a section which - there is a typo I think. It is headed: "Contact with James Levy on 12 May 2020 between 12.20 and 13.00 hours."  The Inquiry asked the Chief Minister to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	were to James Levy, nor were there any calls during the period 12:20-13:00 hrs. In so far as concerns incoming calls, I am advised by my private secretary that Gibtelecom has informed him that they have no such information available to provide because this has long-since been deleted by them in consequence of their data retention policy in accordance with their obligations under the Data Protection Act. I am however entirely content to confirm, as I have done above, that such calls occurred." So, my reading of that paragraph is that the Chief Minister confirms that calls took place between 12.20 and 13.00 hours. Do you agree with that evidence by the Chief Minister.  A. I - I - I don't recall the precise time when
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	I just rang random friends and a couple of my children. I rang him, I rang two friends of mine, barristers in England.  THE CHAIRMAN: You mean you were ringing him as a friend rather than ringing him as Chief Minister. Is that what you mean?  A. Absolutely.  THE CHAIRMAN: Is that what you mean?  A. Yes, sir.  MR SANTOS: Can we look at A 234, please, which is the Chief Minister's third witness statement, paragraph 12. This is a section which - there is a typo I think. It is headed: "Contact with James Levy on 12 May 2020 between 12.20 and 13.00 hours."  The Inquiry asked the Chief Minister to provide evidence as to any contact between those two times. He says: "I recall, because	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	were to James Levy, nor were there any calls during the period 12:20-13:00 hrs. In so far as concerns incoming calls, I am advised by my private secretary that Gibtelecom has informed him that they have no such information available to provide because this has long-since been deleted by them in consequence of their data retention policy in accordance with their obligations under the Data Protection Act. I am however entirely content to confirm, as I have done above, that such calls occurred." So, my reading of that paragraph is that the Chief Minister confirms that calls took place between 12.20 and 13.00 hours. Do you agree with that evidence by the Chief Minister.  A. I - I - I don't recall the precise time when I was called by Superintendent Richardson, but I think it was around that time.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	I just rang random friends and a couple of my children. I rang him, I rang two friends of mine, barristers in England.  THE CHAIRMAN: You mean you were ringing him as a friend rather than ringing him as Chief Minister. Is that what you mean?  A. Absolutely.  THE CHAIRMAN: Is that what you mean?  A. Yes, sir.  MR SANTOS: Can we look at A 234, please, which is the Chief Minister's third witness statement, paragraph 12. This is a section which - there is a typo I think. It is headed: "Contact with James Levy on 12 May 2020 between 12.20 and 13.00 hours."  The Inquiry asked the Chief Minister to provide evidence as to any contact between those two times. He says: "I recall, because the date is noteworthy by virtue of these	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	were to James Levy, nor were there any calls during the period 12:20-13:00 hrs. In so far as concerns incoming calls, I am advised by my private secretary that Gibtelecom has informed him that they have no such information available to provide because this has long-since been deleted by them in consequence of their data retention policy in accordance with their obligations under the Data Protection Act. I am however entirely content to confirm, as I have done above, that such calls occurred." So, my reading of that paragraph is that the Chief Minister confirms that calls took place between 12.20 and 13.00 hours. Do you agree with that evidence by the Chief Minister.  A. I - I - I don't recall the precise time when I was called by Superintendent Richardson, but I think it was around that time.  Q. Right, that's correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	I just rang random friends and a couple of my children. I rang him, I rang two friends of mine, barristers in England.  THE CHAIRMAN: You mean you were ringing him as a friend rather than ringing him as Chief Minister. Is that what you mean?  A. Absolutely.  THE CHAIRMAN: Is that what you mean?  A. Yes, sir.  MR SANTOS: Can we look at A 234, please, which is the Chief Minister's third witness statement, paragraph 12. This is a section which - there is a typo I think. It is headed: "Contact with James Levy on 12 May 2020 between 12.20 and 13.00 hours." The Inquiry asked the Chief Minister to provide evidence as to any contact between those two times. He says: "I recall, because the date is noteworthy by virtue of these events, that I spoke to Mr Levy on the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	were to James Levy, nor were there any calls during the period 12:20-13:00 hrs. In so far as concerns incoming calls, I am advised by my private secretary that Gibtelecom has informed him that they have no such information available to provide because this has long-since been deleted by them in consequence of their data retention policy in accordance with their obligations under the Data Protection Act. I am however entirely content to confirm, as I have done above, that such calls occurred." So, my reading of that paragraph is that the Chief Minister confirms that calls took place between 12.20 and 13.00 hours. Do you agree with that evidence by the Chief Minister.  A. I - I - I don't recall the precise time when I was called by Superintendent Richardson, but I think it was around that time.  Q. Right, that's correct.  A. It's important, also, this question of nine
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	I just rang random friends and a couple of my children. I rang him, I rang two friends of mine, barristers in England.  THE CHAIRMAN: You mean you were ringing him as a friend rather than ringing him as Chief Minister. Is that what you mean?  A. Absolutely.  THE CHAIRMAN: Is that what you mean?  A. Yes, sir.  MR SANTOS: Can we look at A 234, please, which is the Chief Minister's third witness statement, paragraph 12. This is a section which - there is a typo I think. It is headed: "Contact with James Levy on 12 May 2020 between 12.20 and 13.00 hours."  The Inquiry asked the Chief Minister to provide evidence as to any contact between those two times. He says: "I recall, because the date is noteworthy by virtue of these events, that I spoke to Mr Levy on the telephone on 12 May. I do not recall the time	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	were to James Levy, nor were there any calls during the period 12:20-13:00 hrs. In so far as concerns incoming calls, I am advised by my private secretary that Gibtelecom has informed him that they have no such information available to provide because this has long-since been deleted by them in consequence of their data retention policy in accordance with their obligations under the Data Protection Act. I am however entirely content to confirm, as I have done above, that such calls occurred." So, my reading of that paragraph is that the Chief Minister confirms that calls took place between 12.20 and 13.00 hours. Do you agree with that evidence by the Chief Minister.  A. I - I - I don't recall the precise time when I was called by Superintendent Richardson, but I think it was around that time.  Q. Right, that's correct.  A. It's important, also, this question of nine hours. It took quite a bit of time for one of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	I just rang random friends and a couple of my children. I rang him, I rang two friends of mine, barristers in England.  THE CHAIRMAN: You mean you were ringing him as a friend rather than ringing him as Chief Minister. Is that what you mean?  A. Absolutely.  THE CHAIRMAN: Is that what you mean?  A. Yes, sir.  MR SANTOS: Can we look at A 234, please, which is the Chief Minister's third witness statement, paragraph 12. This is a section which - there is a typo I think. It is headed: "Contact with James Levy on 12 May 2020 between 12.20 and 13.00 hours."  The Inquiry asked the Chief Minister to provide evidence as to any contact between those two times. He says: "I recall, because the date is noteworthy by virtue of these events, that I spoke to Mr Levy on the telephone on 12 May. I do not recall the time at which I spoke to him. I expressed to Mr	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	were to James Levy, nor were there any calls during the period 12:20-13:00 hrs. In so far as concerns incoming calls, I am advised by my private secretary that Gibtelecom has informed him that they have no such information available to provide because this has long-since been deleted by them in consequence of their data retention policy in accordance with their obligations under the Data Protection Act. I am however entirely content to confirm, as I have done above, that such calls occurred." So, my reading of that paragraph is that the Chief Minister confirms that calls took place between 12.20 and 13.00 hours. Do you agree with that evidence by the Chief Minister.  A. I - I - I don't recall the precise time when I was called by Superintendent Richardson, but I think it was around that time.  Q. Right, that's correct.  A. It's important, also, this question of nine hours. It took quite a bit of time for one of the heads of my IT dep department, we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	I just rang random friends and a couple of my children. I rang him, I rang two friends of mine, barristers in England.  THE CHAIRMAN: You mean you were ringing him as a friend rather than ringing him as Chief Minister. Is that what you mean?  A. Absolutely.  THE CHAIRMAN: Is that what you mean?  A. Yes, sir.  MR SANTOS: Can we look at A 234, please, which is the Chief Minister's third witness statement, paragraph 12. This is a section which - there is a typo I think. It is headed: "Contact with James Levy on 12 May 2020 between 12.20 and 13.00 hours."  The Inquiry asked the Chief Minister to provide evidence as to any contact between those two times. He says: "I recall, because the date is noteworthy by virtue of these events, that I spoke to Mr Levy on the telephone on 12 May. I do not recall the time	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	were to James Levy, nor were there any calls during the period 12:20-13:00 hrs. In so far as concerns incoming calls, I am advised by my private secretary that Gibtelecom has informed him that they have no such information available to provide because this has long-since been deleted by them in consequence of their data retention policy in accordance with their obligations under the Data Protection Act. I am however entirely content to confirm, as I have done above, that such calls occurred." So, my reading of that paragraph is that the Chief Minister confirms that calls took place between 12.20 and 13.00 hours. Do you agree with that evidence by the Chief Minister.  A. I - I - I don't recall the precise time when I was called by Superintendent Richardson, but I think it was around that time.  Q. Right, that's correct.  A. It's important, also, this question of nine hours. It took quite a bit of time for one of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	I just rang random friends and a couple of my children. I rang him, I rang two friends of mine, barristers in England.  THE CHAIRMAN: You mean you were ringing him as a friend rather than ringing him as Chief Minister. Is that what you mean?  A. Absolutely.  THE CHAIRMAN: Is that what you mean?  A. Yes, sir.  MR SANTOS: Can we look at A 234, please, which is the Chief Minister's third witness statement, paragraph 12. This is a section which - there is a typo I think. It is headed: "Contact with James Levy on 12 May 2020 between 12.20 and 13.00 hours."  The Inquiry asked the Chief Minister to provide evidence as to any contact between those two times. He says: "I recall, because the date is noteworthy by virtue of these events, that I spoke to Mr Levy on the telephone on 12 May. I do not recall the time at which I spoke to him. I expressed to Mr	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	were to James Levy, nor were there any calls during the period 12:20-13:00 hrs. In so far as concerns incoming calls, I am advised by my private secretary that Gibtelecom has informed him that they have no such information available to provide because this has long-since been deleted by them in consequence of their data retention policy in accordance with their obligations under the Data Protection Act. I am however entirely content to confirm, as I have done above, that such calls occurred." So, my reading of that paragraph is that the Chief Minister confirms that calls took place between 12.20 and 13.00 hours. Do you agree with that evidence by the Chief Minister.  A. I - I - I don't recall the precise time when I was called by Superintendent Richardson, but I think it was around that time.  Q. Right, that's correct.  A. It's important, also, this question of nine hours. It took quite a bit of time for one of the heads of my IT dep department, we

1	the RGP to come to my office to mirror the	1	discussions with the Attorney General on a
2	phones. It took time to find the RGP	2	different matter at the time?
3	technician, and then for this process to take	3	A. Probably, but I don't recall.
4	place.	4	Q. Then your next message says, "Certainly
5	Q. Can we look at B3476, please.	5	not by you", and the response from the
6	A. B?	6	Attorney General is, "Don't worry". What
7	Q. 3476. I do not know whether you will	7	did you understand the Attorney General to
8	have this one, because	8	mean by "Don't worry"?
9	A. Perhaps, that would seem. (?)	9	A. Well, I assumed that fairer minds were
10	Q it is just something that is on the back	10	going to be engaged in that particular issue,
11	of something that you have said. This is the	11	that particular issue. My objection was that I
12	same transcript of the conversation that took	12	thought that the right situation was for me to
13	place at your office with the police officers,	13	get a production order. And I still believe
14	and I was just asking you about Mr	14	that, but what happened, happened.
15	Richardson's evidence that you asked him to	15	Q. Can we look now at A1354, please. This
16	go alone to your home and office. Just	16	is your first witness statement Sorry, so if
17	picking up Yes, at 230, at the very bottom,	17	it is your witness statement we are probably
18	there is an entry by you, "Right, so first I can	18	in the other bundle.
19	- can I show you my office, so you can see?",	19	A. Oh, yes. A1314.
20	and Superintendent Richardson says,	20	Q. 1354. Your first statement, paragraph
21	"Okay.", and you say, "Let me show one of	21	eight.
22	you.", and Superintendent Richardson says	22	A. Yeah, I've got it.
23	"Yeah, I'm happy to go.", and you say "Sir,	23	Q. You refer to the fact that you instructed
24	do you mind if we get the consent just signed	24	Lewis Baglietto KC and Charles Bonfante of
25	off before we - are you happy to sign the	25	your firm to represent your interests.
	Page 161		Page 163
1			<u> </u>
1	consent? But let's - let me - let me just see what it is, because I may have to go back to	1	A. Yes.
2	what it is, because I may have to go back to		O Did years a amaid am yydr ath an th ayy maight ha
2		2	Q. Did you consider whether they might be -
3	get legal advice." So, would you agree that it	3	Mr Baglietto might be conflicted, because he
4	get legal advice." So, would you agree that it looks there like you may have asked just one	3 4	Mr Baglietto might be conflicted, because he too owned a stake in Astelon and therefore
4 5	get legal advice." So, would you agree that it looks there like you may have asked just one officer of the RGP to go with you to look at	3 4 5	Mr Baglietto might be conflicted, because he too owned a stake in Astelon and therefore 36 North?
4 5 6	get legal advice." So, would you agree that it looks there like you may have asked just one officer of the RGP to go with you to look at your office?	3 4 5 6	Mr Baglietto might be conflicted, because he too owned a stake in Astelon and therefore 36 North?  A. No, I didn't consider that.
4 5 6 7	get legal advice." So, would you agree that it looks there like you may have asked just one officer of the RGP to go with you to look at your office?  A. That looks - I don't deny that, but I didn't	3 4 5 6 7	Mr Baglietto might be conflicted, because he too owned a stake in Astelon and therefore 36 North?  A. No, I didn't consider that.  Q. Were you involved in drafting or
4 5 6 7 8	get legal advice." So, would you agree that it looks there like you may have asked just one officer of the RGP to go with you to look at your office?  A. That looks - I don't deny that, but I didn't object to anybody coming. I just said I	3 4 5 6 7 8	Mr Baglietto might be conflicted, because he too owned a stake in Astelon and therefore 36 North?  A. No, I didn't consider that.  Q. Were you involved in drafting or approving the letters that Mr Baglietto sent to
4 5 6 7 8 9	get legal advice." So, would you agree that it looks there like you may have asked just one officer of the RGP to go with you to look at your office?  A. That looks - I don't deny that, but I didn't object to anybody coming. I just said I preferred one coming, but not that I objected	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Mr Baglietto might be conflicted, because he too owned a stake in Astelon and therefore 36 North?  A. No, I didn't consider that.  Q. Were you involved in drafting or approving the letters that Mr Baglietto sent to the RGP on your behalf?
4 5 6 7 8 9	get legal advice." So, would you agree that it looks there like you may have asked just one officer of the RGP to go with you to look at your office?  A. That looks - I don't deny that, but I didn't object to anybody coming. I just said I preferred one coming, but not that I objected to two coming.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Mr Baglietto might be conflicted, because he too owned a stake in Astelon and therefore 36 North?  A. No, I didn't consider that.  Q. Were you involved in drafting or approving the letters that Mr Baglietto sent to the RGP on your behalf?  A. No.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10	get legal advice." So, would you agree that it looks there like you may have asked just one officer of the RGP to go with you to look at your office?  A. That looks - I don't deny that, but I didn't object to anybody coming. I just said I preferred one coming, but not that I objected to two coming.  Q. Can we now go to 6901, please.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Mr Baglietto might be conflicted, because he too owned a stake in Astelon and therefore 36 North?  A. No, I didn't consider that.  Q. Were you involved in drafting or approving the letters that Mr Baglietto sent to the RGP on your behalf?  A. No.  Q. To the extent that you are able to go into
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	get legal advice." So, would you agree that it looks there like you may have asked just one officer of the RGP to go with you to look at your office?  A. That looks - I don't deny that, but I didn't object to anybody coming. I just said I preferred one coming, but not that I objected to two coming.  Q. Can we now go to 6901, please.  A. Six?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Mr Baglietto might be conflicted, because he too owned a stake in Astelon and therefore 36 North?  A. No, I didn't consider that.  Q. Were you involved in drafting or approving the letters that Mr Baglietto sent to the RGP on your behalf?  A. No.  Q. To the extent that you are able to go into it without delving into privileged
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	get legal advice." So, would you agree that it looks there like you may have asked just one officer of the RGP to go with you to look at your office?  A. That looks - I don't deny that, but I didn't object to anybody coming. I just said I preferred one coming, but not that I objected to two coming.  Q. Can we now go to 6901, please.  A. Six?  Q. 6901. This is the page that you were just	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Mr Baglietto might be conflicted, because he too owned a stake in Astelon and therefore 36 North?  A. No, I didn't consider that.  Q. Were you involved in drafting or approving the letters that Mr Baglietto sent to the RGP on your behalf?  A. No.  Q. To the extent that you are able to go into it without delving into privileged information, because we are not seeking
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	get legal advice." So, would you agree that it looks there like you may have asked just one officer of the RGP to go with you to look at your office?  A. That looks - I don't deny that, but I didn't object to anybody coming. I just said I preferred one coming, but not that I objected to two coming.  Q. Can we now go to 6901, please.  A. Six?  Q. 6901. This is the page that you were just on, that is very heavily redacted.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Mr Baglietto might be conflicted, because he too owned a stake in Astelon and therefore 36 North?  A. No, I didn't consider that.  Q. Were you involved in drafting or approving the letters that Mr Baglietto sent to the RGP on your behalf?  A. No.  Q. To the extent that you are able to go into it without delving into privileged information, because we are not seeking privileged information from you, were you
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	get legal advice." So, would you agree that it looks there like you may have asked just one officer of the RGP to go with you to look at your office?  A. That looks - I don't deny that, but I didn't object to anybody coming. I just said I preferred one coming, but not that I objected to two coming.  Q. Can we now go to 6901, please.  A. Six?  Q. 6901. This is the page that you were just on, that is very heavily redacted.  A. Oh yes, on - on.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Mr Baglietto might be conflicted, because he too owned a stake in Astelon and therefore 36 North?  A. No, I didn't consider that.  Q. Were you involved in drafting or approving the letters that Mr Baglietto sent to the RGP on your behalf?  A. No.  Q. To the extent that you are able to go into it without delving into privileged information, because we are not seeking privileged information from you, were you kept informed as to interactions between Mr
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	get legal advice." So, would you agree that it looks there like you may have asked just one officer of the RGP to go with you to look at your office?  A. That looks - I don't deny that, but I didn't object to anybody coming. I just said I preferred one coming, but not that I objected to two coming.  Q. Can we now go to 6901, please.  A. Six?  Q. 6901. This is the page that you were just on, that is very heavily redacted.  A. Oh yes, on - on.  Q. Yes.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Mr Baglietto might be conflicted, because he too owned a stake in Astelon and therefore 36 North?  A. No, I didn't consider that.  Q. Were you involved in drafting or approving the letters that Mr Baglietto sent to the RGP on your behalf?  A. No.  Q. To the extent that you are able to go into it without delving into privileged information, because we are not seeking privileged information from you, were you kept informed as to interactions between Mr Baglietto and the Chief Minister?
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	get legal advice." So, would you agree that it looks there like you may have asked just one officer of the RGP to go with you to look at your office?  A. That looks - I don't deny that, but I didn't object to anybody coming. I just said I preferred one coming, but not that I objected to two coming.  Q. Can we now go to 6901, please.  A. Six?  Q. 6901. This is the page that you were just on, that is very heavily redacted.  A. Oh yes, on - on.  Q. Yes.  A. Hopefully you can read it on screen.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Mr Baglietto might be conflicted, because he too owned a stake in Astelon and therefore 36 North?  A. No, I didn't consider that.  Q. Were you involved in drafting or approving the letters that Mr Baglietto sent to the RGP on your behalf?  A. No.  Q. To the extent that you are able to go into it without delving into privileged information, because we are not seeking privileged information from you, were you kept informed as to interactions between Mr Baglietto and the Chief Minister?  A. Not generally, I would say. In fact,
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	get legal advice." So, would you agree that it looks there like you may have asked just one officer of the RGP to go with you to look at your office?  A. That looks - I don't deny that, but I didn't object to anybody coming. I just said I preferred one coming, but not that I objected to two coming.  Q. Can we now go to 6901, please.  A. Six?  Q. 6901. This is the page that you were just on, that is very heavily redacted.  A. Oh yes, on - on.  Q. Yes.  A. Hopefully you can read it on screen.  Q. This is an exchange which we have	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Mr Baglietto might be conflicted, because he too owned a stake in Astelon and therefore 36 North?  A. No, I didn't consider that.  Q. Were you involved in drafting or approving the letters that Mr Baglietto sent to the RGP on your behalf?  A. No.  Q. To the extent that you are able to go into it without delving into privileged information, because we are not seeking privileged information from you, were you kept informed as to interactions between Mr Baglietto and the Chief Minister?  A. Not generally, I would say. In fact, mostly not.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	get legal advice." So, would you agree that it looks there like you may have asked just one officer of the RGP to go with you to look at your office?  A. That looks - I don't deny that, but I didn't object to anybody coming. I just said I preferred one coming, but not that I objected to two coming.  Q. Can we now go to 6901, please.  A. Six?  Q. 6901. This is the page that you were just on, that is very heavily redacted.  A. Oh yes, on - on.  Q. Yes.  A. Hopefully you can read it on screen.  Q. This is an exchange which we have already referred to today, but now I just want	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Mr Baglietto might be conflicted, because he too owned a stake in Astelon and therefore 36 North?  A. No, I didn't consider that.  Q. Were you involved in drafting or approving the letters that Mr Baglietto sent to the RGP on your behalf?  A. No.  Q. To the extent that you are able to go into it without delving into privileged information, because we are not seeking privileged information from you, were you kept informed as to interactions between Mr Baglietto and the Chief Minister?  A. Not generally, I would say. In fact, mostly not.  Q. Do you remember being informed of any,
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	get legal advice." So, would you agree that it looks there like you may have asked just one officer of the RGP to go with you to look at your office?  A. That looks - I don't deny that, but I didn't object to anybody coming. I just said I preferred one coming, but not that I objected to two coming.  Q. Can we now go to 6901, please.  A. Six?  Q. 6901. This is the page that you were just on, that is very heavily redacted.  A. Oh yes, on - on.  Q. Yes.  A. Hopefully you can read it on screen.  Q. This is an exchange which we have already referred to today, but now I just want to address it directly. This is 13 May 2020 at	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Mr Baglietto might be conflicted, because he too owned a stake in Astelon and therefore 36 North?  A. No, I didn't consider that.  Q. Were you involved in drafting or approving the letters that Mr Baglietto sent to the RGP on your behalf?  A. No.  Q. To the extent that you are able to go into it without delving into privileged information, because we are not seeking privileged information from you, were you kept informed as to interactions between Mr Baglietto and the Chief Minister?  A. Not generally, I would say. In fact, mostly not.  Q. Do you remember being informed of any, in particular, interactions
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	get legal advice." So, would you agree that it looks there like you may have asked just one officer of the RGP to go with you to look at your office?  A. That looks - I don't deny that, but I didn't object to anybody coming. I just said I preferred one coming, but not that I objected to two coming.  Q. Can we now go to 6901, please.  A. Six?  Q. 6901. This is the page that you were just on, that is very heavily redacted.  A. Oh yes, on - on.  Q. Yes.  A. Hopefully you can read it on screen.  Q. This is an exchange which we have already referred to today, but now I just want to address it directly. This is 13 May 2020 at three minutes to nine in the evening, a	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Mr Baglietto might be conflicted, because he too owned a stake in Astelon and therefore 36 North?  A. No, I didn't consider that.  Q. Were you involved in drafting or approving the letters that Mr Baglietto sent to the RGP on your behalf?  A. No.  Q. To the extent that you are able to go into it without delving into privileged information, because we are not seeking privileged information from you, were you kept informed as to interactions between Mr Baglietto and the Chief Minister?  A. Not generally, I would say. In fact, mostly not.  Q. Do you remember being informed of any, in particular, interactions  A. I don't believe so (?) but mostly it was
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	get legal advice." So, would you agree that it looks there like you may have asked just one officer of the RGP to go with you to look at your office?  A. That looks - I don't deny that, but I didn't object to anybody coming. I just said I preferred one coming, but not that I objected to two coming.  Q. Can we now go to 6901, please.  A. Six?  Q. 6901. This is the page that you were just on, that is very heavily redacted.  A. Oh yes, on - on.  Q. Yes.  A. Hopefully you can read it on screen.  Q. This is an exchange which we have already referred to today, but now I just want to address it directly. This is 13 May 2020 at three minutes to nine in the evening, a message by you to the Attorney General	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Mr Baglietto might be conflicted, because he too owned a stake in Astelon and therefore 36 North?  A. No, I didn't consider that.  Q. Were you involved in drafting or approving the letters that Mr Baglietto sent to the RGP on your behalf?  A. No.  Q. To the extent that you are able to go into it without delving into privileged information, because we are not seeking privileged information from you, were you kept informed as to interactions between Mr Baglietto and the Chief Minister?  A. Not generally, I would say. In fact, mostly not.  Q. Do you remember being informed of any, in particular, interactions  A. I don't believe so (?) but mostly it was done - for instance I didn't know until I saw it
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	get legal advice." So, would you agree that it looks there like you may have asked just one officer of the RGP to go with you to look at your office?  A. That looks - I don't deny that, but I didn't object to anybody coming. I just said I preferred one coming, but not that I objected to two coming.  Q. Can we now go to 6901, please.  A. Six?  Q. 6901. This is the page that you were just on, that is very heavily redacted.  A. Oh yes, on - on.  Q. Yes.  A. Hopefully you can read it on screen.  Q. This is an exchange which we have already referred to today, but now I just want to address it directly. This is 13 May 2020 at three minutes to nine in the evening, a message by you to the Attorney General saying, "On the other matter, I feel I've been	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Mr Baglietto might be conflicted, because he too owned a stake in Astelon and therefore 36 North?  A. No, I didn't consider that.  Q. Were you involved in drafting or approving the letters that Mr Baglietto sent to the RGP on your behalf?  A. No.  Q. To the extent that you are able to go into it without delving into privileged information, because we are not seeking privileged information from you, were you kept informed as to interactions between Mr Baglietto and the Chief Minister?  A. Not generally, I would say. In fact, mostly not.  Q. Do you remember being informed of any, in particular, interactions  A. I don't believe so (?) but mostly it was done - for instance I didn't know until I saw it in the papers that my son had gone to a
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	get legal advice." So, would you agree that it looks there like you may have asked just one officer of the RGP to go with you to look at your office?  A. That looks - I don't deny that, but I didn't object to anybody coming. I just said I preferred one coming, but not that I objected to two coming.  Q. Can we now go to 6901, please.  A. Six?  Q. 6901. This is the page that you were just on, that is very heavily redacted.  A. Oh yes, on - on.  Q. Yes.  A. Hopefully you can read it on screen.  Q. This is an exchange which we have already referred to today, but now I just want to address it directly. This is 13 May 2020 at three minutes to nine in the evening, a message by you to the Attorney General	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Mr Baglietto might be conflicted, because he too owned a stake in Astelon and therefore 36 North?  A. No, I didn't consider that.  Q. Were you involved in drafting or approving the letters that Mr Baglietto sent to the RGP on your behalf?  A. No.  Q. To the extent that you are able to go into it without delving into privileged information, because we are not seeking privileged information from you, were you kept informed as to interactions between Mr Baglietto and the Chief Minister?  A. Not generally, I would say. In fact, mostly not.  Q. Do you remember being informed of any, in particular, interactions  A. I don't believe so (?) but mostly it was done - for instance I didn't know until I saw it
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	get legal advice." So, would you agree that it looks there like you may have asked just one officer of the RGP to go with you to look at your office?  A. That looks - I don't deny that, but I didn't object to anybody coming. I just said I preferred one coming, but not that I objected to two coming.  Q. Can we now go to 6901, please.  A. Six?  Q. 6901. This is the page that you were just on, that is very heavily redacted.  A. Oh yes, on - on.  Q. Yes.  A. Hopefully you can read it on screen.  Q. This is an exchange which we have already referred to today, but now I just want to address it directly. This is 13 May 2020 at three minutes to nine in the evening, a message by you to the Attorney General saying, "On the other matter, I feel I've been hung out to dry". Just pausing there, are we	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Mr Baglietto might be conflicted, because he too owned a stake in Astelon and therefore 36 North?  A. No, I didn't consider that.  Q. Were you involved in drafting or approving the letters that Mr Baglietto sent to the RGP on your behalf?  A. No.  Q. To the extent that you are able to go into it without delving into privileged information, because we are not seeking privileged information from you, were you kept informed as to interactions between Mr Baglietto and the Chief Minister?  A. Not generally, I would say. In fact, mostly not.  Q. Do you remember being informed of any, in particular, interactions  A. I don't believe so (?) but mostly it was done - for instance I didn't know until I saw it in the papers that my son had gone to a meeting with the Attorn with the Attorney

1	you must remember one thing: that this was	1	number of years, but I don't see a - any
2	very traumatic for me, so I - I wasn't facing a	2	difficulty. The Attorney General can say: I'll
3	lot of the issue the practical issues in - you	3	talk to you, or I won't. But I don't see any
4	know, after what had happened. I mean, I	4	difficulty, and when I read about the
5	think that the RGP's treatment was totally	5	information shared with other people in the
6	unfair, and this is something which is not yet	6	investigation who are not lawyers, then I am
7	finished, but that is - I was in a very bad	7	more reassured in - in what I'm saying.
8	mental state, I admit it.	8	Q. Can we go to your second witness
9	Q. I asked you about interactions with the	9	statement at paragraph 9.4, please.
10	Chief Minister. Just for completeness, what	10	A. Which statement, the first?
11	about - were you kept informed about	11	Q. The second witness statement, please.
12	interactions with the Attorney General or	12	Page six.
13	with the Director of Public Prosecutions?	13	A. Yes, thank you.
14	A. Not in any detail. I wasn't in a frame of	14	Q. This is in response to an allegation that
15	mind to deal with it, actually.	15	refers to a letter of 15 May by Hassans,
16	Q. So, does that mean that you were given	16	which contains information as to alleged
17	general updates as to communications?	17	advice that had been given by the DPP on the
18	A. I don't even recall general updates, to be	18	warrant. And the allegation 4(e) says, "The
19	honest with you.	19	information in Hassans' letter of 15 May
20	Q. Did Mr Baglietto forward to you copies	20	2020 could only have come from the Chief
21	of any of the exchanges he was having with	21	Minister and was 'an improper
22	these officials?	22	communication by the CM' which had left
23	A. I don't recall seeing them, and - but I'm	23	Mr McGrail 'totally sold out" on the matter".
24	prepared to look and - and report.	24	That is what Mr McGrail says in his third
25	Q. Thank you. Did the Chief Minister share	25	witness statement, paragraph 170R. We say,
	Q. 11		window containing paragraph 17 orth 17 o any,
	Page 165		Page 167
1	information about Operation Delhi with you,	1	"The Inquiry understands this to be a
2	which he had received from the RGP or the	2	reference to Hassans' statement in that letter
3	DPP?	3	that: 'we believe is the case, the DPP advised
4	A. Not with me.	4	the Commissioner against the making of
5	Q. When you say not with you, do you know	5	these application". And you say, 9.4, "I see
6	whether he shared that information with	6	that Mr McGrail also repeats this allegation
7	anybody at Hassans?	7	in his Fifth Affidavit. Nearly four years after
8	A. I don't know.	8	the event, I do not recall how my legal
9	Q. What about the Attorney General, did he	9	representative, Mr Baglietto, may have been
10	share information about Operation Delhi with	10	given to understand that the DPP had advised
11	you?	11	against the making of the application for the
12	A. With me, certainly not.	12	warrants. However, even if this information
13	Q. And anybody else at Hassans?	13	came from the Chief Minister, I do not
14	A. It could be, with Mr Baglietto.	14	consider it improper for my legal
15	Q. Do you think that it was proper or	15	representative to have been told this when the
16	improper for the Attorney General to share	16	RGP had, whilst at my office with the
17	such information with Hassans?	17	warrants, told me that they had taken advice
18	A. I think that a lawyer is entitled, in the	18	'from the highest level' or similar words." Do
19	circumstances, to ask the Attorney General	19	you stand by that position even if the Chief
20	and any other person involved in the	20	Minister had obtained that information about
21	prosecution as to the way things are going,	21	the DPP in his official capacity?
22	and making representations. I mean, unless	22	A. I think lawyers can talk to whoever they
23	there is something which I don't - you know,	23	have to, to find out what is going on, and I
24	one doesn't know all the law specially, I	24	reiterate that when I read what other
25	haven't practised criminal law for a numb	25	information was conveyed to non-lawyers in
	Page 166		Page 168
			42 (D 4 (5 + 160)

1	this investigation, you know, it really one	1	going to say a word," was that something that
2	(?) - I wonder why it's - it's so vital.	2	either you said or you instructed Mr Baglietto
3	Q. Can we go to B271, please.	3	to say to the
4	A. B27	4	A. I did not instruct Mr Baglietto to say I
5	Q. 271. This is back to the 15 May meeting	5	would. I would have weight what - I think,
6	and the transcript of the 15 May meeting.	6	by the way, that the - the - the investigation
7	A. I had better see it, I think.	7	was thoroughly unfair generally, but I don't
8	Q. Yes. And at 8.17, on the left-hand	8	shy away from - from giving my side of the
9	margin, Mr Llamas (responding to the	9	story.
10	Commissioner of Police) says, "Correct.	10	Q. Can we go to C6883, please. This will be
11	Christian and I are with you entirely on that.	11	in the right-hand bundle, sorry.
12	What we think would be helpful for the	12	A. C?
13	management of the whole thing is, if that	13	Q. 6883. This is another heavily redacted
14	interview would still go ahead but not have it	14	page
15	under caution." Was that your idea, an	15	A. Oh, yes.
16	interview not under caution?	16	Q that is the one.
17	A. Certainly not.	17	A. Yes, I've got it.
18	Q. Was that Mr Baglietto's idea?	18	Q. These are messages between the Attorney
19	A. I don't know.	19	General and Mr Baglietto in May 2020. We
20	Q. Do you know whether Mr Baglietto had	20	can see that on 13 May (the first entry, the
21	proposed it to the Attorney General?	21	day after the warrant) Mr Baglietto says, "M,
22	A. It could be, I don't know.	22	can I call you?", and then there are two
23	Q. Then, at the bottom of that page the DPP	23	missed calls.
24	says, "and this is the point that the AG is	24	A. Sorry (?), I have it all redacted.
25	going to make now, I think. We believe -	25	Q. All redacted?
	P. 440		7. 474
	Page 169		Page 171
1	we're led to believe that if we go under	1	A. Yes, after "Only my views", (?)
1 2	we're led to believe that if we go under caution he's not going to say a word, to the	1 2	A. Yes, after "Only my views". (?) O. Maybe over the page?
2	caution he's not going to say a word, to the	2	Q. Maybe over the page?
2 3	caution he's not going to say a word, to the extent that he may not even attend. But at	2 3	<ul><li>Q. Maybe over the page?</li><li>A. I don't have that page?</li></ul>
2 3 4	caution he's not going to say a word, to the extent that he may not even attend. But at the very least, if you do arrest him he's not	2 3 4	<ul><li>Q. Maybe over the page?</li><li>A. I don't have that page?</li><li>Q. Previous page? Sorry, we will try</li></ul>
2 3 4 5	caution he's not going to say a word, to the extent that he may not even attend. But at the very least, if you do arrest him he's not going to give you anything. Then, we're	2 3 4 5	<ul><li>Q. Maybe over the page?</li><li>A. I don't have that page?</li><li>Q. Previous page? Sorry, we will try previous page; if not, we will go to the</li></ul>
2 3 4	caution he's not going to say a word, to the extent that he may not even attend. But at the very least, if you do arrest him he's not going to give you anything. Then, we're discussing whether that is good or bad. I	2 3 4 5 6	<ul><li>Q. Maybe over the page?</li><li>A. I don't have that page?</li><li>Q. Previous page? Sorry, we will try previous page; if not, we will go to the</li><li>A. Yes.</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7	caution he's not going to say a word, to the extent that he may not even attend. But at the very least, if you do arrest him he's not going to give you anything. Then, we're discussing whether that is good or bad. I think it leaves us with a problem evidentially,	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>Q. Maybe over the page?</li> <li>A. I don't have that page?</li> <li>Q. Previous page? Sorry, we will try previous page; if not, we will go to the</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. There we go.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	caution he's not going to say a word, to the extent that he may not even attend. But at the very least, if you do arrest him he's not going to give you anything. Then, we're discussing whether that is good or bad. I think it leaves us with a problem evidentially, and I think it leaves us with - in a position	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>Q. Maybe over the page?</li> <li>A. I don't have that page?</li> <li>Q. Previous page? Sorry, we will try previous page; if not, we will go to the</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. There we go.</li> <li>A. That one. (?)</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	caution he's not going to say a word, to the extent that he may not even attend. But at the very least, if you do arrest him he's not going to give you anything. Then, we're discussing whether that is good or bad. I think it leaves us with a problem evidentially, and I think it leaves us with - in a position whereby I've had my reservations, you know,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>Q. Maybe over the page?</li> <li>A. I don't have that page?</li> <li>Q. Previous page? Sorry, we will try previous page; if not, we will go to the</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. There we go.</li> <li>A. That one. (?)</li> <li>Q. 13 May, 3.32, "M, can I call you?" And</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	caution he's not going to say a word, to the extent that he may not even attend. But at the very least, if you do arrest him he's not going to give you anything. Then, we're discussing whether that is good or bad. I think it leaves us with a problem evidentially, and I think it leaves us with - in a position whereby I've had my reservations, you know, about whether we have enough on Haim at	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>Q. Maybe over the page?</li> <li>A. I don't have that page?</li> <li>Q. Previous page? Sorry, we will try previous page; if not, we will go to the</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. There we go.</li> <li>A. That one. (?)</li> <li>Q. 13 May, 3.32, "M, can I call you?" And then 6.39, 6.48, two missed voice calls. Did</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	caution he's not going to say a word, to the extent that he may not even attend. But at the very least, if you do arrest him he's not going to give you anything. Then, we're discussing whether that is good or bad. I think it leaves us with a problem evidentially, and I think it leaves us with - in a position whereby I've had my reservations, you know, about whether we have enough on Haim at the moment. I don't think we were quite	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>Q. Maybe over the page?</li> <li>A. I don't have that page?</li> <li>Q. Previous page? Sorry, we will try previous page; if not, we will go to the</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. There we go.</li> <li>A. That one. (?)</li> <li>Q. 13 May, 3.32, "M, can I call you?" And then 6.39, 6.48, two missed voice calls. Did you ask Mr Baglietto to contact the Attorney</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	caution he's not going to say a word, to the extent that he may not even attend. But at the very least, if you do arrest him he's not going to give you anything. Then, we're discussing whether that is good or bad. I think it leaves us with a problem evidentially, and I think it leaves us with - in a position whereby I've had my reservations, you know, about whether we have enough on Haim at the moment. I don't think we were quite across the line with Haim and we wouldn't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>Q. Maybe over the page?</li> <li>A. I don't have that page?</li> <li>Q. Previous page? Sorry, we will try previous page; if not, we will go to the</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. There we go.</li> <li>A. That one. (?)</li> <li>Q. 13 May, 3.32, "M, can I call you?" And then 6.39, 6.48, two missed voice calls. Did you ask Mr Baglietto to contact the Attorney General on your behalf?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	caution he's not going to say a word, to the extent that he may not even attend. But at the very least, if you do arrest him he's not going to give you anything. Then, we're discussing whether that is good or bad. I think it leaves us with a problem evidentially, and I think it leaves us with - in a position whereby I've had my reservations, you know, about whether we have enough on Haim at the moment. I don't think we were quite across the line with Haim and we wouldn't proceed, so I would rather get information	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>Q. Maybe over the page?</li> <li>A. I don't have that page?</li> <li>Q. Previous page? Sorry, we will try previous page; if not, we will go to the</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. There we go.</li> <li>A. That one. (?)</li> <li>Q. 13 May, 3.32, "M, can I call you?" And then 6.39, 6.48, two missed voice calls. Did you ask Mr Baglietto to contact the Attorney General on your behalf?</li> <li>A. Mr Baglietto was acting on my behalf.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	caution he's not going to say a word, to the extent that he may not even attend. But at the very least, if you do arrest him he's not going to give you anything. Then, we're discussing whether that is good or bad. I think it leaves us with a problem evidentially, and I think it leaves us with - in a position whereby I've had my reservations, you know, about whether we have enough on Haim at the moment. I don't think we were quite across the line with Haim and we wouldn't proceed, so I would rather get information from him that may either incriminate him, if	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>Q. Maybe over the page?</li> <li>A. I don't have that page?</li> <li>Q. Previous page? Sorry, we will try previous page; if not, we will go to the</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. There we go.</li> <li>A. That one. (?)</li> <li>Q. 13 May, 3.32, "M, can I call you?" And then 6.39, 6.48, two missed voice calls. Did you ask Mr Baglietto to contact the Attorney General on your behalf?</li> <li>A. Mr Baglietto was acting on my behalf.</li> <li>Q. And did you ask him to contact Mr</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	caution he's not going to say a word, to the extent that he may not even attend. But at the very least, if you do arrest him he's not going to give you anything. Then, we're discussing whether that is good or bad. I think it leaves us with a problem evidentially, and I think it leaves us with - in a position whereby I've had my reservations, you know, about whether we have enough on Haim at the moment. I don't think we were quite across the line with Haim and we wouldn't proceed, so I would rather get information from him that may either incriminate him, if it does - fantastic". Just focusing on his	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>Q. Maybe over the page?</li> <li>A. I don't have that page?</li> <li>Q. Previous page? Sorry, we will try previous page; if not, we will go to the</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. There we go.</li> <li>A. That one. (?)</li> <li>Q. 13 May, 3.32, "M, can I call you?" And then 6.39, 6.48, two missed voice calls. Did you ask Mr Baglietto to contact the Attorney General on your behalf?</li> <li>A. Mr Baglietto was acting on my behalf.</li> <li>Q. And did you ask him to contact Mr</li> <li>A. I</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	caution he's not going to say a word, to the extent that he may not even attend. But at the very least, if you do arrest him he's not going to give you anything. Then, we're discussing whether that is good or bad. I think it leaves us with a problem evidentially, and I think it leaves us with - in a position whereby I've had my reservations, you know, about whether we have enough on Haim at the moment. I don't think we were quite across the line with Haim and we wouldn't proceed, so I would rather get information from him that may either incriminate him, if it does - fantastic". Just focusing on his words, "we're led to believe that if we go	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. Maybe over the page?  A. I don't have that page?  Q. Previous page? Sorry, we will try previous page; if not, we will go to the  A. Yes.  Q. There we go.  A. That one. (?)  Q. 13 May, 3.32, "M, can I call you?" And then 6.39, 6.48, two missed voice calls. Did you ask Mr Baglietto to contact the Attorney General on your behalf?  A. Mr Baglietto was acting on my behalf.  Q. And did you ask him to contact Mr  A. I —  Q. — Llamas?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	caution he's not going to say a word, to the extent that he may not even attend. But at the very least, if you do arrest him he's not going to give you anything. Then, we're discussing whether that is good or bad. I think it leaves us with a problem evidentially, and I think it leaves us with - in a position whereby I've had my reservations, you know, about whether we have enough on Haim at the moment. I don't think we were quite across the line with Haim and we wouldn't proceed, so I would rather get information from him that may either incriminate him, if it does - fantastic". Just focusing on his words, "we're led to believe that if we go under caution he's not going to say a word, to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Q. Maybe over the page?</li> <li>A. I don't have that page?</li> <li>Q. Previous page? Sorry, we will try previous page; if not, we will go to the</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. There we go.</li> <li>A. That one. (?)</li> <li>Q. 13 May, 3.32, "M, can I call you?" And then 6.39, 6.48, two missed voice calls. Did you ask Mr Baglietto to contact the Attorney General on your behalf?</li> <li>A. Mr Baglietto was acting on my behalf.</li> <li>Q. And did you ask him to contact Mr</li> <li>A. I</li> <li>Q Llamas?</li> <li>A. I mean, no. But he - he acts on my</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	caution he's not going to say a word, to the extent that he may not even attend. But at the very least, if you do arrest him he's not going to give you anything. Then, we're discussing whether that is good or bad. I think it leaves us with a problem evidentially, and I think it leaves us with - in a position whereby I've had my reservations, you know, about whether we have enough on Haim at the moment. I don't think we were quite across the line with Haim and we wouldn't proceed, so I would rather get information from him that may either incriminate him, if it does - fantastic". Just focusing on his words, "we're led to believe that if we go under caution he's not going to say a word, to the extent that he may not even attend", is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q. Maybe over the page?</li> <li>A. I don't have that page?</li> <li>Q. Previous page? Sorry, we will try previous page; if not, we will go to the</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. There we go.</li> <li>A. That one. (?)</li> <li>Q. 13 May, 3.32, "M, can I call you?" And then 6.39, 6.48, two missed voice calls. Did you ask Mr Baglietto to contact the Attorney General on your behalf?</li> <li>A. Mr Baglietto was acting on my behalf.</li> <li>Q. And did you ask him to contact Mr</li> <li>A. I —</li> <li>Q Llamas?</li> <li>A. I mean, no. But he - he acts on my behalf.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	caution he's not going to say a word, to the extent that he may not even attend. But at the very least, if you do arrest him he's not going to give you anything. Then, we're discussing whether that is good or bad. I think it leaves us with a problem evidentially, and I think it leaves us with - in a position whereby I've had my reservations, you know, about whether we have enough on Haim at the moment. I don't think we were quite across the line with Haim and we wouldn't proceed, so I would rather get information from him that may either incriminate him, if it does - fantastic". Just focusing on his words, "we're led to believe that if we go under caution he's not going to say a word, to the extent that he may not even attend", is that something that you or Mr Baglietto told	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q. Maybe over the page?</li> <li>A. I don't have that page?</li> <li>Q. Previous page? Sorry, we will try previous page; if not, we will go to the</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. There we go.</li> <li>A. That one. (?)</li> <li>Q. 13 May, 3.32, "M, can I call you?" And then 6.39, 6.48, two missed voice calls. Did you ask Mr Baglietto to contact the Attorney General on your behalf?</li> <li>A. Mr Baglietto was acting on my behalf.</li> <li>Q. And did you ask him to contact Mr</li> <li>A. I —</li> <li>Q Llamas?</li> <li>A. I mean, no. But he - he acts on my behalf.</li> <li>Q. The Attorney General was meeting with</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	caution he's not going to say a word, to the extent that he may not even attend. But at the very least, if you do arrest him he's not going to give you anything. Then, we're discussing whether that is good or bad. I think it leaves us with a problem evidentially, and I think it leaves us with - in a position whereby I've had my reservations, you know, about whether we have enough on Haim at the moment. I don't think we were quite across the line with Haim and we wouldn't proceed, so I would rather get information from him that may either incriminate him, if it does - fantastic". Just focusing on his words, "we're led to believe that if we go under caution he's not going to say a word, to the extent that he may not even attend", is that something that you or Mr Baglietto told the DPP or the AG?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>Q. Maybe over the page?</li> <li>A. I don't have that page?</li> <li>Q. Previous page? Sorry, we will try previous page; if not, we will go to the</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. There we go.</li> <li>A. That one. (?)</li> <li>Q. 13 May, 3.32, "M, can I call you?" And then 6.39, 6.48, two missed voice calls. Did you ask Mr Baglietto to contact the Attorney General on your behalf?</li> <li>A. Mr Baglietto was acting on my behalf.</li> <li>Q. And did you ask him to contact Mr</li> <li>A. I</li> <li>Q Llamas?</li> <li>A. I mean, no. But he - he acts on my behalf.</li> <li>Q. The Attorney General was meeting with the RGP about how the RGP should respond</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	caution he's not going to say a word, to the extent that he may not even attend. But at the very least, if you do arrest him he's not going to give you anything. Then, we're discussing whether that is good or bad. I think it leaves us with a problem evidentially, and I think it leaves us with - in a position whereby I've had my reservations, you know, about whether we have enough on Haim at the moment. I don't think we were quite across the line with Haim and we wouldn't proceed, so I would rather get information from him that may either incriminate him, if it does - fantastic". Just focusing on his words, "we're led to believe that if we go under caution he's not going to say a word, to the extent that he may not even attend", is that something that you or Mr Baglietto told the DPP or the AG?  A. I don't know what Mr Baglietto the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Q. Maybe over the page?</li> <li>A. I don't have that page?</li> <li>Q. Previous page? Sorry, we will try previous page; if not, we will go to the</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. There we go.</li> <li>A. That one. (?)</li> <li>Q. 13 May, 3.32, "M, can I call you?" And then 6.39, 6.48, two missed voice calls. Did you ask Mr Baglietto to contact the Attorney General on your behalf?</li> <li>A. Mr Baglietto was acting on my behalf.</li> <li>Q. And did you ask him to contact Mr</li> <li>A. I —</li> <li>Q Llamas?</li> <li>A. I mean, no. But he - he acts on my behalf.</li> <li>Q. The Attorney General was meeting with the RGP about how the RGP should respond to the letters from Hassans. Given that that</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	caution he's not going to say a word, to the extent that he may not even attend. But at the very least, if you do arrest him he's not going to give you anything. Then, we're discussing whether that is good or bad. I think it leaves us with a problem evidentially, and I think it leaves us with - in a position whereby I've had my reservations, you know, about whether we have enough on Haim at the moment. I don't think we were quite across the line with Haim and we wouldn't proceed, so I would rather get information from him that may either incriminate him, if it does - fantastic". Just focusing on his words, "we're led to believe that if we go under caution he's not going to say a word, to the extent that he may not even attend", is that something that you or Mr Baglietto told the DPP or the AG?  A. I don't know what Mr Baglietto the  But I certainly would have attended anything	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Q. Maybe over the page?</li> <li>A. I don't have that page?</li> <li>Q. Previous page? Sorry, we will try previous page; if not, we will go to the</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. There we go.</li> <li>A. That one. (?)</li> <li>Q. 13 May, 3.32, "M, can I call you?" And then 6.39, 6.48, two missed voice calls. Did you ask Mr Baglietto to contact the Attorney General on your behalf?</li> <li>A. Mr Baglietto was acting on my behalf.</li> <li>Q. And did you ask him to contact Mr</li> <li>A. I —</li> <li>Q Llamas?</li> <li>A. I mean, no. But he - he acts on my behalf.</li> <li>Q. The Attorney General was meeting with the RGP about how the RGP should respond to the letters from Hassans. Given that that was the case, do you consider that it was</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	caution he's not going to say a word, to the extent that he may not even attend. But at the very least, if you do arrest him he's not going to give you anything. Then, we're discussing whether that is good or bad. I think it leaves us with a problem evidentially, and I think it leaves us with - in a position whereby I've had my reservations, you know, about whether we have enough on Haim at the moment. I don't think we were quite across the line with Haim and we wouldn't proceed, so I would rather get information from him that may either incriminate him, if it does - fantastic". Just focusing on his words, "we're led to believe that if we go under caution he's not going to say a word, to the extent that he may not even attend", is that something that you or Mr Baglietto told the DPP or the AG?  A. I don't know what Mr Baglietto the But I certainly would have attended anything that I legally had to attend.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q. Maybe over the page?</li> <li>A. I don't have that page?</li> <li>Q. Previous page? Sorry, we will try previous page; if not, we will go to the</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. There we go.</li> <li>A. That one. (?)</li> <li>Q. 13 May, 3.32, "M, can I call you?" And then 6.39, 6.48, two missed voice calls. Did you ask Mr Baglietto to contact the Attorney General on your behalf?</li> <li>A. Mr Baglietto was acting on my behalf.</li> <li>Q. And did you ask him to contact Mr</li> <li>A. I —</li> <li>Q Llamas?</li> <li>A. I mean, no. But he - he acts on my behalf.</li> <li>Q. The Attorney General was meeting with the RGP about how the RGP should respond to the letters from Hassans. Given that that was the case, do you consider that it was nevertheless appropriate for the Attorney</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	caution he's not going to say a word, to the extent that he may not even attend. But at the very least, if you do arrest him he's not going to give you anything. Then, we're discussing whether that is good or bad. I think it leaves us with a problem evidentially, and I think it leaves us with - in a position whereby I've had my reservations, you know, about whether we have enough on Haim at the moment. I don't think we were quite across the line with Haim and we wouldn't proceed, so I would rather get information from him that may either incriminate him, if it does - fantastic". Just focusing on his words, "we're led to believe that if we go under caution he's not going to say a word, to the extent that he may not even attend", is that something that you or Mr Baglietto told the DPP or the AG?  A. I don't know what Mr Baglietto the But I certainly would have attended anything that I legally had to attend. Q. What about the first sentence, "we're led	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>Q. Maybe over the page?</li> <li>A. I don't have that page?</li> <li>Q. Previous page? Sorry, we will try previous page; if not, we will go to the</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. There we go.</li> <li>A. That one. (?)</li> <li>Q. 13 May, 3.32, "M, can I call you?" And then 6.39, 6.48, two missed voice calls. Did you ask Mr Baglietto to contact the Attorney General on your behalf?</li> <li>A. Mr Baglietto was acting on my behalf.</li> <li>Q. And did you ask him to contact Mr</li> <li>A. I —</li> <li>Q Llamas?</li> <li>A. I mean, no. But he - he acts on my behalf.</li> <li>Q. The Attorney General was meeting with the RGP about how the RGP should respond to the letters from Hassans. Given that that was the case, do you consider that it was nevertheless appropriate for the Attorney General to also be in communication with Mr</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	caution he's not going to say a word, to the extent that he may not even attend. But at the very least, if you do arrest him he's not going to give you anything. Then, we're discussing whether that is good or bad. I think it leaves us with a problem evidentially, and I think it leaves us with - in a position whereby I've had my reservations, you know, about whether we have enough on Haim at the moment. I don't think we were quite across the line with Haim and we wouldn't proceed, so I would rather get information from him that may either incriminate him, if it does - fantastic". Just focusing on his words, "we're led to believe that if we go under caution he's not going to say a word, to the extent that he may not even attend", is that something that you or Mr Baglietto told the DPP or the AG?  A. I don't know what Mr Baglietto the But I certainly would have attended anything that I legally had to attend.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q. Maybe over the page?</li> <li>A. I don't have that page?</li> <li>Q. Previous page? Sorry, we will try previous page; if not, we will go to the</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. There we go.</li> <li>A. That one. (?)</li> <li>Q. 13 May, 3.32, "M, can I call you?" And then 6.39, 6.48, two missed voice calls. Did you ask Mr Baglietto to contact the Attorney General on your behalf?</li> <li>A. Mr Baglietto was acting on my behalf.</li> <li>Q. And did you ask him to contact Mr</li> <li>A. I —</li> <li>Q Llamas?</li> <li>A. I mean, no. But he - he acts on my behalf.</li> <li>Q. The Attorney General was meeting with the RGP about how the RGP should respond to the letters from Hassans. Given that that was the case, do you consider that it was nevertheless appropriate for the Attorney</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	caution he's not going to say a word, to the extent that he may not even attend. But at the very least, if you do arrest him he's not going to give you anything. Then, we're discussing whether that is good or bad. I think it leaves us with a problem evidentially, and I think it leaves us with - in a position whereby I've had my reservations, you know, about whether we have enough on Haim at the moment. I don't think we were quite across the line with Haim and we wouldn't proceed, so I would rather get information from him that may either incriminate him, if it does - fantastic". Just focusing on his words, "we're led to believe that if we go under caution he's not going to say a word, to the extent that he may not even attend", is that something that you or Mr Baglietto told the DPP or the AG?  A. I don't know what Mr Baglietto the But I certainly would have attended anything that I legally had to attend. Q. What about the first sentence, "we're led	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>Q. Maybe over the page?</li> <li>A. I don't have that page?</li> <li>Q. Previous page? Sorry, we will try previous page; if not, we will go to the</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. There we go.</li> <li>A. That one. (?)</li> <li>Q. 13 May, 3.32, "M, can I call you?" And then 6.39, 6.48, two missed voice calls. Did you ask Mr Baglietto to contact the Attorney General on your behalf?</li> <li>A. Mr Baglietto was acting on my behalf.</li> <li>Q. And did you ask him to contact Mr</li> <li>A. I —</li> <li>Q Llamas?</li> <li>A. I mean, no. But he - he acts on my behalf.</li> <li>Q. The Attorney General was meeting with the RGP about how the RGP should respond to the letters from Hassans. Given that that was the case, do you consider that it was nevertheless appropriate for the Attorney General to also be in communication with Mr</li> </ul>

1	A. I wouldn't say inappropriate; I think it is	1	or under any statutory provision or rule of
2	appropriate for the Attorney General to	2	law, the Magistrate's Court may, on the
3	communicate with a lawyer.	3	application either by a member of the force
4	Q. What role do you see the Attorney	4	or by a claimant of the property, make an
5	General as playing, in that scenario?	5	order for the delivery of the property", etc,
6	A. He is the head of - of - he is the head of -	6	etc. That appears to be a reference to the
7	of - of the legal profession, the - the	7	provision allowing for applications to the
8	Government's lawyer.	8	Magistrates Court to retrieve property that
9	Q. Can we go to B1422, please. These are	9	has been taken by the police in a criminal
10	messages between the Chief Minister and Mr	10	investigation. Were you aware that the Chief
11	Baglietto in May 2020. I am just going to	11	Minister had raised that with Mr Baglietto?
12	pick up on 14 May, the Chief Minister sends	12	A. No.
13	a message at 4.55 in the afternoon, can you	13	Q. And, do you know why the Chief
14	see that about a third of the way down the	14	Minister raised that?
15	page?	15	A. I don't know why, and I wasn't aware it
16	A. Yes.	16	was raised.
17	Q. "The last limb refers", and then the next	17	Q. Can we go to B5419, please.
18	message, "Dismissal with total loss or	18	A. B?
19	reduction of pension benefits, forfeiture of	19	Q. 5419. This is a copy of the letter
20	pension benefits, will be used as a	20	Sorry, I will let you get to it. I think that is
21	disciplinary measure only only in Os (?)	21	the one. This is a copy
22	where the police officer is convicted of	22	A. Yes, I've got it. (?)
23	treason or some other offence which is	23	Q of the letter of 15 May, which we were
24	gravely injurious to the state or is liable to	24	just discussing earlier. And, I am going to
25	lead to serious loss of confidence in the	25	take you to the sentence that we were
			•
	Page 173		Page 175
1	1' C " TT1' 4 1 C		
	nolice force." This appears to be a reference	1	discussing earlier which is the final sentence
1 2	police force." This appears to be a reference to disciplinary regulations relating to the	$\begin{vmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{vmatrix}$	discussing earlier, which is the final sentence on that page. "There can be little surprise
2	to disciplinary regulations relating to the	2	on that page. "There can be little surprise
2 3	to disciplinary regulations relating to the police, although we have established that it	2 3	on that page. "There can be little surprise that, as we believe is the case, the DPP
2 3 4	to disciplinary regulations relating to the police, although we have established that it does not actually apply to the Commissioner	2 3 4	on that page. "There can be little surprise that, as we believe is the case, the DPP advised the Commissioner against the
2 3 4 5	to disciplinary regulations relating to the police, although we have established that it does not actually apply to the Commissioner of Police, but it does look as thought it is a	2 3 4 5	on that page. "There can be little surprise that, as we believe is the case, the DPP advised the Commissioner against the making of these applications." Do you know
2 3 4 5 6	to disciplinary regulations relating to the police, although we have established that it does not actually apply to the Commissioner of Police, but it does look as thought it is a reference to a regulation concerning	2 3 4 5 6	on that page. "There can be little surprise that, as we believe is the case, the DPP advised the Commissioner against the making of these applications." Do you know what the source of this information was?
2 3 4 5 6 7	to disciplinary regulations relating to the police, although we have established that it does not actually apply to the Commissioner of Police, but it does look as thought it is a reference to a regulation concerning disciplinary measures against police officers.	2 3 4 5 6 7	on that page. "There can be little surprise that, as we believe is the case, the DPP advised the Commissioner against the making of these applications." Do you know what the source of this information was?  A. No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	to disciplinary regulations relating to the police, although we have established that it does not actually apply to the Commissioner of Police, but it does look as thought it is a reference to a regulation concerning disciplinary measures against police officers. Were you aware that the Chief Minister	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	on that page. "There can be little surprise that, as we believe is the case, the DPP advised the Commissioner against the making of these applications." Do you know what the source of this information was?  A. No.  Q. If we go back - sorry to jump between
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	to disciplinary regulations relating to the police, although we have established that it does not actually apply to the Commissioner of Police, but it does look as thought it is a reference to a regulation concerning disciplinary measures against police officers. Were you aware that the Chief Minister raised police disciplinary measures with Mr	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	on that page. "There can be little surprise that, as we believe is the case, the DPP advised the Commissioner against the making of these applications." Do you know what the source of this information was?  A. No.  Q. If we go back - sorry to jump between documents - if we go back to the transcript of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	to disciplinary regulations relating to the police, although we have established that it does not actually apply to the Commissioner of Police, but it does look as thought it is a reference to a regulation concerning disciplinary measures against police officers. Were you aware that the Chief Minister raised police disciplinary measures with Mr Baglietto?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	on that page. "There can be little surprise that, as we believe is the case, the DPP advised the Commissioner against the making of these applications." Do you know what the source of this information was?  A. No.  Q. If we go back - sorry to jump between documents - if we go back to the transcript of 15 May, which is at B242.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	to disciplinary regulations relating to the police, although we have established that it does not actually apply to the Commissioner of Police, but it does look as thought it is a reference to a regulation concerning disciplinary measures against police officers. Were you aware that the Chief Minister raised police disciplinary measures with Mr Baglietto?  A. No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	on that page. "There can be little surprise that, as we believe is the case, the DPP advised the Commissioner against the making of these applications." Do you know what the source of this information was?  A. No.  Q. If we go back - sorry to jump between documents - if we go back to the transcript of 15 May, which is at B242.  A. At B?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	to disciplinary regulations relating to the police, although we have established that it does not actually apply to the Commissioner of Police, but it does look as thought it is a reference to a regulation concerning disciplinary measures against police officers. Were you aware that the Chief Minister raised police disciplinary measures with Mr Baglietto?  A. No.  Q. Do you know why the Chief Minister	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	on that page. "There can be little surprise that, as we believe is the case, the DPP advised the Commissioner against the making of these applications." Do you know what the source of this information was?  A. No.  Q. If we go back - sorry to jump between documents - if we go back to the transcript of 15 May, which is at B242.  A. At B?  Q. 242.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	to disciplinary regulations relating to the police, although we have established that it does not actually apply to the Commissioner of Police, but it does look as thought it is a reference to a regulation concerning disciplinary measures against police officers. Were you aware that the Chief Minister raised police disciplinary measures with Mr Baglietto?  A. No.  Q. Do you know why the Chief Minister would be discussing police disciplinary	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	on that page. "There can be little surprise that, as we believe is the case, the DPP advised the Commissioner against the making of these applications." Do you know what the source of this information was?  A. No.  Q. If we go back - sorry to jump between documents - if we go back to the transcript of 15 May, which is at B242.  A. At B?  Q. 242.  A. Yes, I've got it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	to disciplinary regulations relating to the police, although we have established that it does not actually apply to the Commissioner of Police, but it does look as thought it is a reference to a regulation concerning disciplinary measures against police officers. Were you aware that the Chief Minister raised police disciplinary measures with Mr Baglietto?  A. No.  Q. Do you know why the Chief Minister would be discussing police disciplinary measures with Mr Baglietto?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	on that page. "There can be little surprise that, as we believe is the case, the DPP advised the Commissioner against the making of these applications." Do you know what the source of this information was?  A. No.  Q. If we go back - sorry to jump between documents - if we go back to the transcript of 15 May, which is at B242.  A. At B?  Q. 242.  A. Yes, I've got it.  Q. B242. You can look at the screen if you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	to disciplinary regulations relating to the police, although we have established that it does not actually apply to the Commissioner of Police, but it does look as thought it is a reference to a regulation concerning disciplinary measures against police officers. Were you aware that the Chief Minister raised police disciplinary measures with Mr Baglietto?  A. No.  Q. Do you know why the Chief Minister would be discussing police disciplinary measures with Mr Baglietto?  A. No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	on that page. "There can be little surprise that, as we believe is the case, the DPP advised the Commissioner against the making of these applications." Do you know what the source of this information was?  A. No.  Q. If we go back - sorry to jump between documents - if we go back to the transcript of 15 May, which is at B242.  A. At B?  Q. 242.  A. Yes, I've got it.  Q. B242. You can look at the screen if you don't have it, but this is a transcript of the 15
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	to disciplinary regulations relating to the police, although we have established that it does not actually apply to the Commissioner of Police, but it does look as thought it is a reference to a regulation concerning disciplinary measures against police officers. Were you aware that the Chief Minister raised police disciplinary measures with Mr Baglietto?  A. No.  Q. Do you know why the Chief Minister would be discussing police disciplinary measures with Mr Baglietto?  A. No.  Q. Did you ask Mr Baglietto to raise this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	on that page. "There can be little surprise that, as we believe is the case, the DPP advised the Commissioner against the making of these applications." Do you know what the source of this information was?  A. No.  Q. If we go back - sorry to jump between documents - if we go back to the transcript of 15 May, which is at B242.  A. At B?  Q. 242.  A. Yes, I've got it.  Q. B242. You can look at the screen if you don't have it, but this is a transcript of the 15 May 2020 meeting, and towards the bottom,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	to disciplinary regulations relating to the police, although we have established that it does not actually apply to the Commissioner of Police, but it does look as thought it is a reference to a regulation concerning disciplinary measures against police officers. Were you aware that the Chief Minister raised police disciplinary measures with Mr Baglietto?  A. No.  Q. Do you know why the Chief Minister would be discussing police disciplinary measures with Mr Baglietto?  A. No.  Q. Did you ask Mr Baglietto to raise this matter with the Chief Minister?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	on that page. "There can be little surprise that, as we believe is the case, the DPP advised the Commissioner against the making of these applications." Do you know what the source of this information was?  A. No.  Q. If we go back - sorry to jump between documents - if we go back to the transcript of 15 May, which is at B242.  A. At B?  Q. 242.  A. Yes, I've got it.  Q. B242. You can look at the screen if you don't have it, but this is a transcript of the 15 May 2020 meeting, and towards the bottom, 24.02, Superintendent Richardson says,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	to disciplinary regulations relating to the police, although we have established that it does not actually apply to the Commissioner of Police, but it does look as thought it is a reference to a regulation concerning disciplinary measures against police officers. Were you aware that the Chief Minister raised police disciplinary measures with Mr Baglietto?  A. No.  Q. Do you know why the Chief Minister would be discussing police disciplinary measures with Mr Baglietto?  A. No.  Q. Did you ask Mr Baglietto to raise this matter with the Chief Minister?  A. No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	on that page. "There can be little surprise that, as we believe is the case, the DPP advised the Commissioner against the making of these applications." Do you know what the source of this information was?  A. No.  Q. If we go back - sorry to jump between documents - if we go back to the transcript of 15 May, which is at B242.  A. At B?  Q. 242.  A. Yes, I've got it.  Q. B242. You can look at the screen if you don't have it, but this is a transcript of the 15 May 2020 meeting, and towards the bottom, 24.02, Superintendent Richardson says, "That clearly might be the case, but this is a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	to disciplinary regulations relating to the police, although we have established that it does not actually apply to the Commissioner of Police, but it does look as thought it is a reference to a regulation concerning disciplinary measures against police officers. Were you aware that the Chief Minister raised police disciplinary measures with Mr Baglietto?  A. No.  Q. Do you know why the Chief Minister would be discussing police disciplinary measures with Mr Baglietto?  A. No.  Q. Did you ask Mr Baglietto to raise this matter with the Chief Minister?  A. No.  Q. Then further on, in response to Mr	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	on that page. "There can be little surprise that, as we believe is the case, the DPP advised the Commissioner against the making of these applications." Do you know what the source of this information was?  A. No.  Q. If we go back - sorry to jump between documents - if we go back to the transcript of 15 May, which is at B242.  A. At B?  Q. 242.  A. Yes, I've got it.  Q. B242. You can look at the screen if you don't have it, but this is a transcript of the 15 May 2020 meeting, and towards the bottom, 24.02, Superintendent Richardson says, "That clearly might be the case, but this is a QC accusing the Commissioner of Police of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	to disciplinary regulations relating to the police, although we have established that it does not actually apply to the Commissioner of Police, but it does look as thought it is a reference to a regulation concerning disciplinary measures against police officers. Were you aware that the Chief Minister raised police disciplinary measures with Mr Baglietto?  A. No.  Q. Do you know why the Chief Minister would be discussing police disciplinary measures with Mr Baglietto?  A. No.  Q. Did you ask Mr Baglietto to raise this matter with the Chief Minister?  A. No.  Q. Then further on, in response to Mr Baglietto's message, "Many thanks", the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	on that page. "There can be little surprise that, as we believe is the case, the DPP advised the Commissioner against the making of these applications." Do you know what the source of this information was?  A. No.  Q. If we go back - sorry to jump between documents - if we go back to the transcript of 15 May, which is at B242.  A. At B?  Q. 242.  A. Yes, I've got it.  Q. B242. You can look at the screen if you don't have it, but this is a transcript of the 15 May 2020 meeting, and towards the bottom, 24.02, Superintendent Richardson says, "That clearly might be the case, but this is a QC accusing the Commissioner of Police of acting unlawfully because he's gone against
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	to disciplinary regulations relating to the police, although we have established that it does not actually apply to the Commissioner of Police, but it does look as thought it is a reference to a regulation concerning disciplinary measures against police officers. Were you aware that the Chief Minister raised police disciplinary measures with Mr Baglietto?  A. No.  Q. Do you know why the Chief Minister would be discussing police disciplinary measures with Mr Baglietto?  A. No.  Q. Did you ask Mr Baglietto to raise this matter with the Chief Minister?  A. No.  Q. Then further on, in response to Mr Baglietto's message, "Many thanks", the Chief Minister then says, "Section 76, Police	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	on that page. "There can be little surprise that, as we believe is the case, the DPP advised the Commissioner against the making of these applications." Do you know what the source of this information was?  A. No.  Q. If we go back - sorry to jump between documents - if we go back to the transcript of 15 May, which is at B242.  A. At B?  Q. 242.  A. Yes, I've got it.  Q. B242. You can look at the screen if you don't have it, but this is a transcript of the 15 May 2020 meeting, and towards the bottom, 24.02, Superintendent Richardson says, "That clearly might be the case, but this is a QC accusing the Commissioner of Police of acting unlawfully because he's gone against the advice of the DPP." "I picked that up",
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	to disciplinary regulations relating to the police, although we have established that it does not actually apply to the Commissioner of Police, but it does look as thought it is a reference to a regulation concerning disciplinary measures against police officers. Were you aware that the Chief Minister raised police disciplinary measures with Mr Baglietto?  A. No.  Q. Do you know why the Chief Minister would be discussing police disciplinary measures with Mr Baglietto?  A. No.  Q. Did you ask Mr Baglietto?  A. No.  Q. Did you ask Mr Baglietto to raise this matter with the Chief Minister?  A. No.  Q. Then further on, in response to Mr Baglietto's message, "Many thanks", the Chief Minister then says, "Section 76, Police Act. Power to make orders with respect to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	on that page. "There can be little surprise that, as we believe is the case, the DPP advised the Commissioner against the making of these applications." Do you know what the source of this information was?  A. No.  Q. If we go back - sorry to jump between documents - if we go back to the transcript of 15 May, which is at B242.  A. At B?  Q. 242.  A. Yes, I've got it.  Q. B242. You can look at the screen if you don't have it, but this is a transcript of the 15 May 2020 meeting, and towards the bottom, 24.02, Superintendent Richardson says, "That clearly might be the case, but this is a QC accusing the Commissioner of Police of acting unlawfully because he's gone against the advice of the DPP." "I picked that up", says the DPP. "Can we go back to, on a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	to disciplinary regulations relating to the police, although we have established that it does not actually apply to the Commissioner of Police, but it does look as thought it is a reference to a regulation concerning disciplinary measures against police officers. Were you aware that the Chief Minister raised police disciplinary measures with Mr Baglietto?  A. No.  Q. Do you know why the Chief Minister would be discussing police disciplinary measures with Mr Baglietto?  A. No.  Q. Did you ask Mr Baglietto to raise this matter with the Chief Minister?  A. No.  Q. Then further on, in response to Mr Baglietto's message, "Many thanks", the Chief Minister then says, "Section 76, Police Act. Power to make orders with respect to property in possession of police. Where any	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	on that page. "There can be little surprise that, as we believe is the case, the DPP advised the Commissioner against the making of these applications." Do you know what the source of this information was?  A. No.  Q. If we go back - sorry to jump between documents - if we go back to the transcript of 15 May, which is at B242.  A. At B?  Q. 242.  A. Yes, I've got it.  Q. B242. You can look at the screen if you don't have it, but this is a transcript of the 15 May 2020 meeting, and towards the bottom, 24.02, Superintendent Richardson says, "That clearly might be the case, but this is a QC accusing the Commissioner of Police of acting unlawfully because he's gone against the advice of the DPP." "I picked that up", says the DPP. "Can we go back to, on a couple of instances, that is an assumption
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	to disciplinary regulations relating to the police, although we have established that it does not actually apply to the Commissioner of Police, but it does look as thought it is a reference to a regulation concerning disciplinary measures against police officers. Were you aware that the Chief Minister raised police disciplinary measures with Mr Baglietto?  A. No.  Q. Do you know why the Chief Minister would be discussing police disciplinary measures with Mr Baglietto?  A. No.  Q. Did you ask Mr Baglietto to raise this matter with the Chief Minister?  A. No.  Q. Then further on, in response to Mr Baglietto's message, "Many thanks", the Chief Minister then says, "Section 76, Police Act. Power to make orders with respect to property in possession of police. Where any property has come into the possession of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	on that page. "There can be little surprise that, as we believe is the case, the DPP advised the Commissioner against the making of these applications." Do you know what the source of this information was?  A. No.  Q. If we go back - sorry to jump between documents - if we go back to the transcript of 15 May, which is at B242.  A. At B?  Q. 242.  A. Yes, I've got it.  Q. B242. You can look at the screen if you don't have it, but this is a transcript of the 15 May 2020 meeting, and towards the bottom, 24.02, Superintendent Richardson says, "That clearly might be the case, but this is a QC accusing the Commissioner of Police of acting unlawfully because he's gone against the advice of the DPP." "I picked that up", says the DPP. "Can we go back to, on a couple of instances, that is an assumption that they're making", says Mr Llamas.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	to disciplinary regulations relating to the police, although we have established that it does not actually apply to the Commissioner of Police, but it does look as thought it is a reference to a regulation concerning disciplinary measures against police officers. Were you aware that the Chief Minister raised police disciplinary measures with Mr Baglietto?  A. No.  Q. Do you know why the Chief Minister would be discussing police disciplinary measures with Mr Baglietto?  A. No.  Q. Did you ask Mr Baglietto to raise this matter with the Chief Minister?  A. No.  Q. Then further on, in response to Mr Baglietto's message, "Many thanks", the Chief Minister then says, "Section 76, Police Act. Power to make orders with respect to property in possession of police. Where any	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	on that page. "There can be little surprise that, as we believe is the case, the DPP advised the Commissioner against the making of these applications." Do you know what the source of this information was?  A. No.  Q. If we go back - sorry to jump between documents - if we go back to the transcript of 15 May, which is at B242.  A. At B?  Q. 242.  A. Yes, I've got it.  Q. B242. You can look at the screen if you don't have it, but this is a transcript of the 15 May 2020 meeting, and towards the bottom, 24.02, Superintendent Richardson says, "That clearly might be the case, but this is a QC accusing the Commissioner of Police of acting unlawfully because he's gone against the advice of the DPP." "I picked that up", says the DPP. "Can we go back to, on a couple of instances, that is an assumption
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	to disciplinary regulations relating to the police, although we have established that it does not actually apply to the Commissioner of Police, but it does look as thought it is a reference to a regulation concerning disciplinary measures against police officers. Were you aware that the Chief Minister raised police disciplinary measures with Mr Baglietto?  A. No.  Q. Do you know why the Chief Minister would be discussing police disciplinary measures with Mr Baglietto?  A. No.  Q. Did you ask Mr Baglietto to raise this matter with the Chief Minister?  A. No.  Q. Then further on, in response to Mr Baglietto's message, "Many thanks", the Chief Minister then says, "Section 76, Police Act. Power to make orders with respect to property in possession of police. Where any property has come into the possession of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	on that page. "There can be little surprise that, as we believe is the case, the DPP advised the Commissioner against the making of these applications." Do you know what the source of this information was?  A. No.  Q. If we go back - sorry to jump between documents - if we go back to the transcript of 15 May, which is at B242.  A. At B?  Q. 242.  A. Yes, I've got it.  Q. B242. You can look at the screen if you don't have it, but this is a transcript of the 15 May 2020 meeting, and towards the bottom, 24.02, Superintendent Richardson says, "That clearly might be the case, but this is a QC accusing the Commissioner of Police of acting unlawfully because he's gone against the advice of the DPP." "I picked that up", says the DPP. "Can we go back to, on a couple of instances, that is an assumption that they're making", says Mr Llamas.

just throw that out, saying that the 1 Richardson or Wyan's comment to you? 2 Commissioner of Police is acting 2 A. No. 3 3 unlawfully?" Mr Rocca, "It's almost worse Q. Can I now take you to B1422, please. 4 than that, it kind of possibly suggests that 4 These are the exchanges we were seeing 5 I've spoken to Lewis Baglietto, which I 5 previously between Mr Baglietto and the 6 haven't." And the Commissioner of Police 6 Chief Minister. 7 says, "That's my question. My question is". 7 A. B1? 8 Superintendent Richardson, "Where has it 8 Q. 422. 9 come from, if it wasn't Ed in court?" Mr 9 A. Yes, that's at the end, isn't it? 10 10 Llamas, "It must come from the conversation Q. It may be. It may be for you, it is 11 11 definitely not on the end for me. with Ian and the Chief Minister." Do you 12 agree that the Attorney General is suggesting 12 A. Sorry about that. 13 here that Hassans found out the information 13 Q. That is okay, it is a... 14 in that letter, the statement that the DPP had 14 A. Yes. Sorry, no, I don't think it's there. I'd 15 advised against the warrant, from the 15 prefer to see the (inaudible). 16 conversation between Mr McGrail and the 16 Q. Just looking at the entries from 16 May at 17 17 23.20 onwards. Mr Baglietto writes to the Chief Minister? 18 A. That's what they said there, yes. 18 Chief Minister, "Bro, sorry to disturb but can 19 Q. Do you consider it appropriate for the 19 we speak some time tomorrow morning." 20 20 Chief Minister, "Sure, what time is good for Chief Minister or the Attorney General to 21 share legal advice, given by the DPP to the 21 you?" Mr Baglietto, "Thanks, any time, and 22 22 RPG, with a suspect under investigation? what's the best way? WhatsApp call or 23 23 landline?" Chief Minister, "I don't mind, A. Well, that's not for me to - to opine, but as 24 24 I said, there'd be other interesting or difficult ahora te llamaré" (?), I'll call you now. Mr 25 25 things to explain in this investigation, which Baglietto, "Perfect, thanks. Mobile or", and Page 179 Page 177 1 don't seen to have been highlighted. 1 provides a number. That is at 9.44. Then at 2 Q. You referred earlier to the fact that you'd 2 9.51 Mr Baglietto says, "12 noon, he says". 3 3 Then there is a missed call at that point. been told that there was advice at the highest 4 level, and I think your evidence was that the 4 Some more missed calls over the page. Then 5 5 the Chief Minister, "Ok, let me know when statement in that letter was a response to that 6 assertion. Is that your position? 6 you are on your way up." Mr Baglietto, 7 7 "Ok". Mr Picardo, "Como vas?" (?), how are A. In which letter --8 8 you going. Mr Baglietto, "Picking up now", Q. Sorry --9 9 A. Just show me. 2.03. It appears from those messages that 10 10 Q. In that letter - yes, sorry, I should take you and Mr Baglietto and the Chief Minister met on 17 May 2020, do you recall a meeting 11 you back to the letter. 11 12 A. The one of the... 12 between the three of you? 13 13 Q. Of 15 May. A. Yes. 14 14 A. Yes. Q. Do you know whether it was on 17 May, 15 Q. The Hassans letter. Did you see a draft of 15 or any other date? 16 this letter before it went out? 16 A. It was a Sunday, if 17 May is a Sunday then I remember that. 17 A. No. 17 Q. Were you aware at the time of the DPP's Q. We will just check that. I am told it was a 18 18 19 19 advice, or the information as to the DPP's Sunday. Just reading on, there is a message 20 20 at 10.47 from the Chief Minister, "Media advice? 21 A. No. I mean, I was aware of what they 21 omitted. That is page 13 of the HMIC report 22 22 published last week. We will come back to told me - what Superintendent or - or Chief 23 Inspector Wyan told me in my office. 23 that." (?) And then, Mr Baglietto responds 24 24 four minutes later, saying, "Shocking, but Q. But you do not know how it came to be, 25 that that letter contradicted Superintendent 25 sadly doesn't come as a surprise. Thanks for Page 178 Page 180

1 your time today bro, I think it reassured him I had been treated. 2 a lot." When he says "it reassured him a lot", 2 Q. Can I just --- looking at those messages can I 3 is that a reference to you? 3 ask you to look at C6761 please. 4 4 A. Yes. A. Can you put it on the screen? 5 Q. What was discussed in that meeting? 5 Q. Yes, yes, C6761. This is actually --- sorry, I do 6 A. The main point of that meeting was that 6 not think we have --- C6761, that is it. This is the 7 7 over the weekend I thought that it was image that is omitted from the WhatsApp 8 8 exchange between the Chief Minister and Mr because of the position that I'd been placed in 9 9 I should resign as the chairman of Baglietto, it is what the Chief Minister sends to 10 10 **Community Care Limited, which everybody** Mr Baglietto, and it is page --- I think he refers to 11 11 it as page 7 of the HMIC --- sorry, page 13 of the knows is the main charity in Gibraltar, and I 12 12 wanted to see his view, because although it's HMIC report and he says, "Look at the bit I have 13 an independent charity it has some 13 highlighted in red, boom." It is an entry that 14 14 refers to investigative support and the bottom connection with the Government, and I 15 15 paragraph says, "We found officers using their wanted to resign and get it over and done 16 16 personal devices to examine offenders' phones. with, so I - I - I - that was my main purpose 17 17 This isn't good practice and doesn't meet best for going. 18 Q. Why did you feel you must resign --18 evidence standards." Was that discussed during 19 19 A. Well -your meeting? 20 20 Q. -- as a result of the search warrant? A. I don't recall that. It is the first time I have 21 A. Because it's a very public charity, it deals 21 seen this. 22 22 Q. Do you know why the Chief Minister was with hundreds of people, and I - I was 23 23 referring Mr Baglietto to that? concerned that it could have an effect on the 24 24 A. No. charity, so I wanted to resign. 25 25 Q. Did you think that it was appropriate for you Q. The reference to you being "reassured", Page 181 Page 183 1 why do you think that Mr Baglietto said that 1 and Mr Baglietto to go and meet the Chief 2 you were "reassured"? 2 Minister and discuss the search warrant at the 3 A. Because he obviously said to me: you 3 time? 4 shouldn't resign, I have confidence that - that 4 A. We didn't go to discuss the search warrant. 5 5 - that you have behaved properly, and We went to discuss my wish to resign from 6 therefore I'm - I - I d-- I don't want to - you to 6 Gibraltar community care. 7 7 resign. Q. But you did discuss the search warrant? 8 Q. Now, you say that the main reason for the 8 A. We discussed police powers rather than the 9 meeting was this Community Care 9 search warrant, yes. 10 conversation. Was anything else discussed at 10 Q. But you said earlier that you voiced your 11 the meeting? 11 concerns about it? 12 A. Yes, the Chief Minister was very vocal on 12 A. Yes, I will always voice my concern on that. 13 - on the powers of police and the way they 13 Q. And did you think it was appropriate to do 14 were exercised generally, not in relation to 14 so? 15 this particular case, but he was concerned as 15 16 to search powers and the way they are - they 16 Q. If we go now to A1509, please, this is your 17 are - they're taken, or they - they're dealt 17 second witness statement. 18 18 A. Yes. with. 19 Q. Did you voice your views about the way 19 Q. Paragraph 3, just so that you can see the 20 that you had been treated? 20 previous page is the request for evidence from 21 A. Yes. 21 the Inquiry, "Between 12 May and 9 June 2020 22 22 (14.57)did you discuss whether by a meeting, telephone 23 Q. And did the Chief Minister comment on how 23 call or other means Mr McGrail's position as 24 24 you had been treated? commissioner of police and/or the decision to 25 A. Yes, he said that he didn't agree with the way 25 invite him to retire with any of the following Page 184 Page 182

1	persons, including the Chief Minister." Do you	1	A. I think that there were two partners in
2	stand by your evidence in that paragraph that	2	Hassans. I was only called in at a high level and I
3	you did not discuss Mr McGrail's role as	3	feel it was perfectly proper and when I see what
4	commissioner of police with the Chief Minister	4	goes on in representations generally it was very
5	between 12 May and 9 June 2020	5	proper.
6	A. Entirely.	6	Q. Do you know if anybody coordinated the
7	Q. Did you discuss the issue with the Attorney	7	accounts from current or former RGP officers to
8	General?	8	the Inquiry?
9	A. No.	9	A. I am sorry, I didn't hear that.
10	Q. What about with Mr Baglietto?	10	Q. Do you know if anybody coordinated the
11	A. No.	11	accounts being given by current or former RG{
12	Q. Were you aware at any time before 9 June	12	officers to the Inquiry adverse to Mr McGrail?
13	2020 that the Chief Minister had lost confidence	13	A. What do you mean by coordinate?
14	in Mr McGrail and was implementing the section	14	Q. Collected them and
15	34 procedure with the GPA?	15	A. I don't think there was a very organised
16	A. No, I didn't get involved in that.	16	structure but the person who took most of the
17	Q. You had no knowledge of the Chief Minister's	17	statements was Mr Bonfante.
18	concerns with Mr McGrail?	18	Q. Can we go to A130, which is Mr McGrail's
19	A. No.	19	third witness statement, paragraph 155, this is
20	Q. Did you ever discuss moving forwards, did	20	something that you have already addressed in
21	you ever discuss the nolle prosequi in Operation	21	your witness statement but I want to
22	Delhi with the Chief Minister?	22	A. A130?
23	A. No.	23	Q. Yes, A130.
24	Q. What about with the Attorney General?	24	A. I have had a look at it, yes.
25	A. Also not.	25	Q. Paragraph 155, this is Mr McGrail's third
20	1.1 1.1.30 1.0W		(g ,
	Page 185		Page 187
1	Q. And any other member of the government?	1	witness statement, and it says, "On 19 February
2	A. No.	2	2021 I received information from the
3	Q. When did you first learn about Mr McGrail's	3	Commissioner of Police, Richard Ullger,
4	application for early retirement?	4	concerning a suspected conspiracy against me.
5	A. I don't remember when but when it went	5	This related to two police officers who were
6	public.	6	subjected to investigation for corrupt improper
7	Q. From public sources?	7	practices who were off work on sick leave.
8	A. Absolutely.	8	Because of their extended leave of sickness, the
9	Q. Turning to another topic that is addressed in	9	RGP were placing them on half pay in
10	your evidence, it is I do not think it is in	10	accordance with general orders. Mr Ullger
11	dispute to say that Hassans represents or at	11	informed me that these two officers had been
12	least at one point represented the 19 members	12	assured that they would not go into half pay and
13	of the GPF who gave statements to the Inquiry	13	that their futures would be safeguarded by way
14	making allegations against Mr McGrail. Were	14	of being provided alternative jobs in the
15	you aware of that?	15	Environmental Protection Agency. However, in
16	A. Yes.	16	exchange for being helped out, it was expected
17	Q. Who within Hassans represented the GPF?	17	from these officers that they had to come
18	A. Charles Bonfante and I was called in when	18	forward with information, whether true or not,
19	they asked for my advice.	19	about me to maliciously try and tarnish my
20	Q. Was Mr Licudi also involved?	20	reputation before or during the Inquiry. Mr
21	A. Yes.	21	Ullger explained that the person offering the two
22	Q. Given your own personal involvement in the	22	officers these assurances was JL." I think that is
	matters investigated by this Inquiry, do you think	23	a reference to you. "Though it was also strongly
23	matters investigated by this induity no von mink		
23 24			
24	it was appropriate for Hassans or you to act in	24	believed that there was involvement from

been requested not to put these officers on half pay by an official at Number of Convert Place who deals with industrial relations, namely, Mr Michael Chrome." Did you give assurances to two RGP officers that they would be provided jobs with the Environmental Protection Agency. A. Certainly not. Q. Do you know Mr Chrome? A. Certainly not. Q. Do you know Mr Chrome. Q. Do you know Mr Chrome. A. I know Mr Chrome. Q. How do you know him? It A. I know Mr Chrome. Q. Are you aware that Mr Chrome is also though the provided by Hassans in relation to the whistle blowing issue? Q. Are you aware that Mr Chrome in relation to the letters of assurance that the Chief Minister provided to individuals who were willing to make statements against Mr McGrail? Letters if that is what you are asking for. Q. Dat were you aware of the arrangements that were arrived at in relation to letters of susurance?  A. We were acting for the officers but I am interested to see that Mr Ulger can communicate with Mr McGrail's statement.  A. We were acting for the officers but I am interested to see that Mr Ulger can communicate with Mr McGrail's statement.  A. We were acting for the officers but I am interested to see that Mr Ulger can communicate with Mr McGrail's statement.  A. Was turn to Al31, please. I think it is over the page.  A. We were acting for the officers but I am interested to see that Mr Ulger can communicate with Mr McGrail's statement.  A. Was turn to Al31, please. I think it is over the page.  A. Was turn to Al31, please, I think it is over the page.  A. Was turn to Al31, please, I think it is over the page.  A. Was turn to Al31, please, I think it is over the page.  A. Was turn to Al31, please, I think it is over the page.  A. Was turn to Al31, please, I think it is over the page.  A. Was turn to Al31, please, I think it is over the page.  A. Was turn to Al31, please, I think it is over the page.  A. Was turn to Al31, please, I think it is over the page.  A. Was turn to Al31, please, I think it is over the page.  A. Was turn t				
who deals with industrial relations, namely, Mr Michael Chrome." Did you give assurances to two RGP officers that they would be provided jobs with the Environmental Protection Agency." A. Certainly not. Q. Do you know Mr Chrome? Q. Do you know Mr Chrome? Q. How do you know him? A. I know Mir because he is a civil servant as I know many people in Cibrartiar. Q. Are you aware that Mr Chrome is also represented by Hassans in relation to the whistle blowing issue? A. Yes. Q. Did you have any dealings with Mr Chrome in relation to the letters of assurance that the Chief Minister provided to individuals who were willing to make statements against Mr McGrail? A. I didn't see letters but — and I didn't draft letters if that is what you are asking for. Q. Did you nave a signifer. Q. Q. But were you naware of the arrangements that were arrived at in relation to letters of assurance?  Page 189  A. I think that brings in legal professional privilege. Q. Can we now turn to A131, please. I think it is over the page. Q. Can we now turn to A131, please. I think it is over the page. Q. Can we now turn to A131, please. I think it is over the page. Q. Page 191  A. What paragraph? Q. Paragraph 195, sorry. This is a reference to C. Q. Page page 197  A. What paragraph? Q. Paragraph 195, sorry. This is a reference to C. Can I now show you done or by the Inquiry. A. What paragraph? Q. Paragraph 195, sorry. This is a reference to C. Can I now show you done or with the matter and we are not going to take it any impenditum in nature to the one provided by CoP Richard Ullger relating to the two officers who were being asked to conspire against me. The cx inspector of the RGP. This information was not dissimilar in nature to the one provided by CoP Richard Ullger relating to the two officers who were being asked to conspire against me. The cx inspector is in fiet a closs acquaintance of these two other officers previously referred to. They had previously worked in the same small unit in the RGP and now, after leaving the RGP,	1	been requested not to put these officers on half	1	worked together in the Environmental
4 Michael Chrome." Did you give assurances to two RGP officers that they would be provided jobs with the Environmental Protection Agency?  7 A. Certainly not.  8 Q. Do you know Mr Chrome?  9 A. I know Mr Chrome.  10 Q. How do you know him?  11 A. I know him because he is a civil servant as I know many people in Gibraltar.  12 know many people in Gibraltar.  13 Q. Are you aware that Mr Chrome in relation to the letters of assurance that the Chief low misser provided to individuals who were willing to make statements against Mr McGrail?  14 A. I dinn't see letters but — and I dinn't draft letters if that is what you are asking for.  23 Q. But were you aware of the arrangements that were arrived at in relation to letters of assurance?  14 A. I think that brings in legal professional privilege.  25 Q. Can we now turn to A131, please. I think it is over the page.  16 A. Yes.  17 Q. That is right, we sent it to you to give you the opportunity to see it before giving evidence and his subsequent in terminent to rehabilitate for cocains addiction. As mentioned earlier, he was offered a post in the Environmental Protection Agency. The information tages the Environmental Protection Agency. The information togetor had been offered a cash reward by JL in exchange for information togetor that the cayingsector had been offered a cash reward by JL in exchange for information togetors that the Cuise information togetor than the cavingsment of providing information togetor had been assured be reprovided to individuals who were willing to make statements against Mr McGrail?  14 A. I didn't see letters of assurance that the Chief cash reward had not been offered a cash reward ba or been offered a cash reward ba or been offered a cash reward ba not been offered a cash reward ba not been offered a cash reward had not been offered a cash reward ba not been offered a cash reward had not been offered a cash reward ba not been offered a	2	pay by an official at Number 6 Convent Place	2	Protection Agency. The said ex-inspector had to
two RCP officers that they would be provided jobs with the Environmental Protection Agency?  A. Certainly not.  Q. Do you know Mr Chrome?  A. I know Mr Chrome.  Q. How do you know him?  A. I know him because he is a civil servant as I know many people in Gibrattar.  Q. Are you aware that Mr Chrome is also repaired blowing issue?  A. Yes.  Q. Did you have any dealings with Mr Chrome in relation to the letters of assurance that the Chief Mmister provided to individuals who were willing to make statements against Mr McGrail?  A. I didn't see letters but — and I didn't draft that were arrived at in relation to letters of assurance?  A. I think that brings in legal professional privilege.  Q. In that you were acting for — in that the GPF assurance?  A. We were acting for the officers but I am interested to see that Mr Ullger can romunicate with Mr McGrail without — with impunity, that's fine, I see.  Q. Can we now turn to Al31, please. I think it is over the page.  A. What paragraph?  Q. Paragraph 159, sorry. This is a reference to dissimilar in nature to the one provided by CoP Richard Ullger relating to the two officers who are sing for correction for the subsimilar in nature to the one provided by CoP Richard Ullger relating to the two officers who are single or the sex in fact a close acquaintance of these two other officers previously referred to.  They had previously worked in the same small unit in the RGP and now, after leaving the RGP.	3	who deals with industrial relations, namely, Mr	3	leave the RGP on quite bad terms following his
6 jobs with the Environmental Protection Agency? 7 A. Certainly not. 8 Q. Do you know Mr Chrome? 9 A. I know Mr Chrome. 10 Q. How do you know him? 11 A. I know him because he is a civil servant as 1 12 know many people in Gibratlar. 13 Q. Are you aware that Mr Chrome is also represented by Hassans in relation to the whistle blowing issue? 14 A. Yes. 15 Q. Did you have any dealings with Mr Chrome in relation to the letters of assurance that the Chief Minister provided to individuals who were willing to make statements against Mr McGrail? 14 A. I didn't see letters but — and I didn't draft letters if that is what you are asking for. 25 assurance? 26 A. Yes. 27 Page 189 28 Page 191 29 A. I think that brings in legal professional privilege. 29 Q. In that you were acting for the officers but I am interested to see that Mr Ullger can communicate with Mr McGrail's statement. 29 Q. I stay to were acting for the officers but I am interested to see that Mr Ullger can communicate with Mr McGrail's statement. 30 Q. Paragraph 159, sorry. This is a reference to dissimilar in nature to the one provided by CoP (20 Richard Ullger relating to the two officers who dissimilar in nature to the one provided by CoP (20 Richard Ullger relating to the two officers who dissimilar in nature to the one provided by CoP (20 Richard Ullger relating to the two officers who dissimilar in nature to the one provided by CoP (20 Richard Ullger relating to the two officers who dissimilar in nature to the one provided by CoP (20 Richard Ullger relating to the two officers who dissimilar in nature to the one provided by CoP (20 Richard Ullger relating to the two officers who dissimilar in nature to the one provided by CoP (20 Richard Ullger relating to the two officers who dissimilar in nature to the one provided by CoP (20 Richard Ullger relating to the two officers who dissimilar in nature to the one provided by CoP (20 Richard Ullger relating to the two officers who dissimilar in nature to the one provided by CoP (20 Richard Ullger relating to the	4	Michael Chrome." Did you give assurances to	4	arrest for domestic violence and his subsequent
7 A. Certainly not. 8 Q. Do you know Mr Chrome? 9 A. I Know Mr Chrome. 10 Q. How do you know him? 11 A. I Know him because he is a civil servant as I know many people in Gibrattar. 12 know many people in Gibrattar. 13 Q. Are you aware that Mr Chrome is also represented by Hassans in relation to the whistle blowing issue? 14 A. Yes. 15 blowing issue? 16 A. Yes. 17 Q. Did you have any dealings with Mr Chrome in relation to the letters of assurance that the Chief Minister provided to individuals who were willing to make statements against Mr McGrail? 18 letters if that is what you are asking for. 19 Q. But were you aware of the arrangements that were arrived at in relation to letters of assurance? 20 A. I think that brings in legal professional privilege. 3 Q. In that you were acting for the officers but I am interested to see that Mr Ultger can communicate with Mr McGrail without — with impunity, that's fine, I see. 9 Q. Can we now turn to A131, please. I think it is over the page. 11 A. A. What paragraph? 12 Q. 131, it is over the page on Mr McGrail's statement. 13 A. What paragraph? 14 A. Paragraph 159, sorry. This is a reference to dissimilar in nature to the one provided by CoP Richard Ultger relating to the two officers who were being asked to conspire against me. The cx-nispector is in fact a close acquaintance of the RGP in fine the constitution of the world were being asked to conspire against me. The cx-nispector is in fact a close acquaintance of the RGP in fine the constitution of the page. 18 Information that ceould be used against me, Mr McGrail who the creating for the officers of the RGP. 19 Protection Agency in lieu of the cash reward as this was easier to make under the chief promotion to executive officer or higher in the Chief promotion to executive officer within the Enviro	5	two RGP officers that they would be provided	5	internment to rehabilitate for cocaine addiction.
8 A. Iknow Mr Chrome? 9 A. I know Mr Chrome. 10 Q. How do you know him? 11 A. I know him because he is a civil servant as I 12 know many people in Gibraltar. 12 know many people in Gibraltar. 13 Q. Are you aware that Mr Chrome is also 14 represented by Hassans in relation to the whistle 15 blowing issue? 16 A. Yes. 17 Q. Did you have any dealings with Mr Chrome in 18 relation to the letters of assurance that the Chief 19 Minister provided to individuals who were 20 willing to make statements against Mr McGrail? 21 A. I didn't see letters but — and I didn't traff to be the acceptance of the transport of the tran	6	jobs with the Environmental Protection Agency?	6	As mentioned earlier, he was offered a post in
9 been offered a cash reward by JI. in exchange for information that could be used against me, Mr McGrail. When this reward had not been forthcoming and having committed to making a statement of providing information making a statement of providing information against me, he had complained but had been assured promotion to executive officer or higher represented by Hassams in relation to the whistle blowing issue? 16 A. Yes. 17 Q. Did you have any dealings with Mr Chrome in relation to the letters of assurance that the Chief Mmister provided to individuals who were willing to make statements against Mr McGrail? 21 A. I dinn't see letters but — and I didn't draft that were arrived at in relation to letters of assurance? 22 A. Certainly not. 23 Q. But were you aware of the arrangements that were arrived at in relation to letters of assurance? 24 Letters if that is what you are asking for. 25 assurance? 26 Letters but I am interested to see that Mr Uliger can communicate with Mr McGrail without — with impunity, that's fine, I see. 29 Q. Can we now turn to A131, please. I think it is over the page. 21 A. A? 22 A. We were acting for the officers but I am interested to see that Mr Uliger can communicate with Mr McGrail without — with impunity, that's fine, I see. 29 Q. Can we now turn to A131, please. I think it is over the page. 30 Q. Car pargamph 195, sorry. This is a reference to 22 October 2021 when he says, "I received disturbing information concerning an ex-police inspector of the Ref. This information was not dissimilar in nature to the one provided by CoP Richard Uliger relating to the two officers who 21 were being asked to conspire against me. The 22 ex-inspector is in fact a close acquaintance of the ex-inspector is in fact a close acquaintance of the ex-inspector is in fact a close acquaintance of the ex-inspector is in fact a close acquaintance of the ex-inspector is in fact a close acquaintance of the ex-inspector is in fact a close acquaintance of the ex-inspector is in fact a close acquaintance of the	7	A. Certainly not.	7	the Environmental Protection Agency. The
10 Q. How do you know him?   11 A. I know him because he is a civil servant as I know many people in Gibraltar.   12 know many people in Gibraltar.   13 Q. Are you aware that Mr Chrome is also   14 represented by Hassans in relation to the whistle   15 blowing issue?   16 A. Yes.   17 Q. Did you have any dealings with Mr Chrome in relation to the letters of assurance that the Chief   18 relation to the letters of assurance that the Chief   19 Minister provided to individuals who were   19 willing to make statements against Mr McGrail?   17 Protection Agency in lieu of the cash reward as   18 this was easier to mask under the circumstances." Did you offer a cash reward to an officer in exchange for information that can be had complained but had been assured   16 the complained but had been assured   17 promotion to executive officer within the Environmental   18 this was easier to mask under the circumstances." Did you offer a cash reward to an officer in exchange for information that can be taken were arily and the circumstances." Did you offer a cash reward to an officer in exchange for information that can be used against mc, Mr McGrail?   18 the heak assured to making a statement of the Chief   19 kind complained but had been assured   16 the complained but had been assured   16 the complained but had been assured   16 the complained but had been assured   17 this was the oal complained but had been assured   18 the heak exceutive officer or higher executive officer within the Environmental   18 the kind complained but had been assured   18 the heak exceutive officer within the Environmental   19 the heak or willing to make statements against Mr McGrail?   20 Dated 9 February 2023. I think it can be used against Mr McGrail?   20 Q. Int that you were acting for in that the GPF   20 Q. Think that brings in legal professional privilege.   20 Q. Can we now turn to Al31, please. I think it is over the page on Mr McGrail's   20 Q. Dated 9 February 2023. I think it is just being brought up on the screen.   21	8	Q. Do you know Mr Chrome?	8	information suggested that the ex-inspector had
A. I know him because he is a civil servant as I know many people in Gibratlar.  Q. Are you wawer that Mr Chrome is also represented by Hassans in relation to the whistle blowing issue?  A. Ves.  Q. Did you have any dealings with Mr Chrome in relation to the letters of assurance that the Chief Minister provided to individuals who were willing to make statements against Mr McGrail? A. I didn't see letters but — and I didn't draft letters if that is what you are asking for. Q. But were you aware of the arrangements that were arrived at in relation to letters of assurance?  A. I think that brings in legal professional privilege.  Q. In that you were acting for — in that the GPF —— A. We were acting for the officers but I am interested to see that Mr Ulger can communicate with Mr McGrail without — with impunity, that's fine, I see.  Q. Can we now turn to A131, please. I think it is over the page.  A. A.?  Q. Paragraph 159, sorry. This is a reference to dissimilar in nature to the one provided by CoP Richard Ullger relating to the two officers who inspector of the RGP. This information was not dissimilar in nature to the one provided by CoP Richard Ullger relating to the two officers who ure being asked to conspire against me. The ex-inspector is in fact a close acquaintance of the known and provinces in the content of the RGP, This information was not dissimilar in nature to the one provided by CoP Richard Ullger relating to the two officers who ure being asked to conspire against me. The ex-inspector is in fact a close acquaintance of the known and the formation against me, he had complained but had been assured promotion to executive officer within the Environmental Protection Agency in lieu of the cash reward as this was easier to mask under the this was easier to mask under the chard province riching the chard of an officer in exchange for information that can be used against Mr McGrail?  A. Certainly not.  Q. Can I now show you a copy of one of the letters of assurance?  A. Ves.  Page 191  Q. Dated 9 February	9	A. I know Mr Chrome.	9	been offered a cash reward by JL in exchange for
12   know many people in Gibrattar.   12   forthcoming and having committed to making a statement of providing information against me, head complained but had been assured promotion to executive officer or higher executive officer within the Environmental providing information against me, head complained but had been assured promotion to executive officer or higher executive officer within the Environmental providing to make statements against Mr McGrail?   16   Providing to make statements against Mr McGrail?   17   Protection Agency in lieu of the cash reward as this was easier to mask under the circumstances." Did you offer a cash reward to an officer in exchange for information that can be used against Mr McGrail?   18   Page 189   Page 191   19   20   A. I think that brings in legal professional privilege.   2   A. Ves.   Page 191   2   Page	10	Q. How do you know him?	10	information that could be used against me, Mr
13 Q. Are you aware that Mr Chrome is also 14 represented by Hassans in relation to the whistle 15 blowing issue? 16 A. Yes. 17 Q. Did you have any dealings with Mr Chrome in 18 relation to the letters of assurance that the Chief 19 Minister provided to individuals who were 20 willing to make statements against Mr McGrail? 21 A. I didn't see letters but — and I didn't draft 22 letters if that is what you are asking for. 23 Q. But were you aware of the arrangements 24 that were arrived at in relation to letters of 25 assurance? 26 assurance? 27 assurance? 28 Page 189 29 Page 191  1 A. I think that brings in legal professional 2 privilege. 3 Q. In that you were acting for — in that the GPF 4 —— 5 A. We were acting for the officers but I am 6 interested to see that Mr Ullger can 7 communicate with Mr McGrail without — with 8 impunity, that's fine, I see. 9 Q. Can we now turn to A131, please. I think it is 10 over the page. 11 A. A What paragraph? 12 Q. Paragraph 159, sorry. This is a reference to 16 22 October 2021 when he says, "I received 17 disturbing information against me, he had complained but had been assured 18 the brace of higher executive officer within the Environmental 19 rotection Agency in lieu of the cash reward as this was easier to mask under the cash reward as this was easier to mask under the cash reward as this was easier to mask under the cash reward to an officer in exchange for information that can be used against Mr McGrail? 21 be used against Mr McGrail? 22 A. Certainly not. 23 Q. Can I now show you a copy of one of the letters of assurance? 24 A. Yes.  Page 191  2 D. Dated 9 February 2023. I think it is just being brought up on the screen.  3 A. This was the one sent to me by the Inquiry. 4 Q. That is right, we sent it to you to give you the opportunity to see it before giving evidence. 4 Have you seen any letters of this nature? 4 A. No. 5 MR SANTOS: I wonder whether that might be an opportune moment for a break because the only other questions that I have I would like to check whether t	11	A. I know him because he is a civil servant as I	11	McGrail. When this reward had not been
represented by Hassans in relation to the whistle blowing issue?  A. Ves.  Q. Did you have any dealings with Mr Chrome in relation to the letters of assurance that the Chief Minister provided to individuals who were willing to make statements against Mr McGrail? A. I didn't see letters but — and I didn't draft letters if that is what you are asking for.  Q. But were you aware of the arrangements that were arrived at in relation to letters of assurance?  A. I think that brings in legal professional privilege.  Page 189  A. I think that brings in legal professional privilege.  Q. In that you were acting for — in that the GPF A. We were acting for the officers but I am interested to see that Mr Ullger can communicate with Mr McGrail without — with impunity, that's fine, I see. Q. Can we now turn to A131, please. I think it is over the page.  A. A?  Q. Pargaraph? A. What paragraph? Q. Pargaraph 159, sorry. This is a reference to disstintian in nature to the one provided by CoP Richard Ullger relating to the two officers who are being asked to conspire against me. The ex-inspector is in fact a close acquaintance of these two other officers previously referred to.  Mr Gibbs KC does have some questions for you. Mr Gibbs KC does have some questions for you. Mr Gibbs KC does have some questions for you. Mr Gibbs KC does have some questions for you. Mr Gibbs KC does have some questions for you. Mr Gibbs KC does have some questions for you.  Mr Gibbs KC does have some questions for you.  Mr Gibbs KC does have some questions for you.  Mr Gibbs KC does have some questions for you.  Mr Gibbs KC does have some questions for you.  Mr Gibbs KC does have some questions for you.  Mr Gibbs KC does have some questions for you.	12	know many people in Gibraltar.	12	forthcoming and having committed to making a
15 blowing issue?   16	13	Q. Are you aware that Mr Chrome is also	13	statement of providing information against me,
16   A. Yes.   16   20. Did you have any dealings with Mr Chrome in relation to the letters of assurance that the Chief   18   18   19   19   19   19   19   19	14	represented by Hassans in relation to the whistle	14	he had complained but had been assured
Protection Agency in lieu of the cash reward as this was easier to mask under the letters of assurance that the Chief Minister provided to individuals who were willing to make statements against Mr McGrail?  A. I didn't see letters but — and I didn't draft letters if that is what you are asking for.  Q. But were you aware of the arrangements that were arrived at in relation to letters of assurance?  Page 189  A. I think that brings in legal professional privilege.  Q. In that you were acting for — in that the GPF — assurance with Mr McGrail without — with impunity, that's fine, I see.  Q. Can I we now turn to A131, please. I think it is over the page.  A. A	15	blowing issue?	15	promotion to executive officer or higher
this was easier to mask under the circumstances." Did you offer a cash reward to willing to make statements against Mr McGrail?  A. I didn't see letters but — and I didn't draft letters if that is what you are asking for.  Q. But were you aware of the arrangements that were arrived at in relation to letters of assurance?  A. I think that brings in legal professional privilege.  Q. In that you were acting for — in that the GPF — communicate with Mr McGrail without — with impunity, that's fine, I see.  Q. Can we now turn to A131, please. I think it is over the page.  A. A?  Q. Dated 9 February 2023. I think it is proportion of the later of a sasurance?  A. This was the one sent to me by the laquiry.  A. Vex.  Page 191  D. Dated 9 February 2023. I think it is just being brought up on the screen.  A. This was the one sent to me by the laquiry.  A. Vex.  Page 191  D. Dated 9 February 2023. I think it is just being brought up on the screen.  A. This was the one sent to me by the laquiry.  A. We were acting for the officers but I am interested to see that Mr Ullger can communicate with Mr McGrail without — with impunity, that's fine, I see.  Q. Can we now turn to A131, please. I think it is over the page.  A. A?  Q. Dated 9 February 2023. I think it is just being brought up on the screen.  A. This was the one sent to me by the Inquiry.  A. No.  MR SANTOS: I wonder whether that might be an opportune moment for a break because the only other questions that I have I would like to check whether they encroach on privilege or not over the break.  THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, that is very sensible.  MR SANTOS: Mr Levy, we have considered the matter and we are not going to take it and the provided by CoP a	16	A. Yes.	16	executive officer within the Environmental
minister provided to individuals who were willing to make statements against Mr McGrail?  A. I didn't see letters but — and I didn't draft tetters if that is what you are asking for.  Q. But were you aware of the arrangements that were arrived at in relation to letters of assurance?  A. I think that brings in legal professional privilege.  Page 189  A. I think that brings in legal professional privilege.  Q. In that you were acting for — in that the GPF — A. We were acting for the officers but I am interested to see that Mr Ullger can communicate with Mr McGrail without — with impunity, that's fine, I see.  Q. Can we now turn to A131, please. I think it is over the page.  A. A. A?  Q. Dated 9 February 2023. I think it is just being brought up on the screen.  A. This was the one sent to me by the Inquiry.  A. No.  MR SANTOS: I wonder whether that might be an opportune moment for a break because the only other questions that I have I would like to check whether they encroach on privilege or not over the break.  THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, that is very sensible.  MR SANTOS: Thank you.  MR SANTOS: Thank you.  (Short adjournment)  JAMES (HAIM) LEVY (Continued):  MR SANTOS: The continued):  MR SANTOS: The continued):  MR SANTOS: The continued in the same small with the questions do not encroach on privilege or not over the break.  THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, that is very sensible.  MR SANTOS: Thank you.  (Short adjournment)  JAMES (HAIM) LEVY (Continued):  MR SANTOS: The continued in the thing the break.  MR SANTOS: The continued in the same small with the questions do not encroach on privilege or not over the break.  THE CHAIRMAN: Of course it is.  Were being asked to conspire against m. The continued be used against Mr McGrail?  A. Certainly not.  A. Certainly not.  Q. Dated 9 February 2023. I think it is just being brought up on the screen.  A. This was the one sent to me by the Inquiry.  A. No.  This was the one sent to me by the Inquiry.  A. No.  MR SANTOS: I wonder whether that might be an opportune moment for a break because th	17	Q. Did you have any dealings with Mr Chrome in	17	Protection Agency in lieu of the cash reward as
willing to make statements against Mr McGrail?  A. I didn't see letters but — and I didn't draft letters if that is what you are asking for.  Q. But were you aware of the arrangements that were arrived at in relation to letters of assurance?  Page 189  A. I think that brings in legal professional privilege.  Q. In that you were acting for — in that the GPF A. We were acting for the officers but I am interested to see that Mr Ullger can communicate with Mr McGrail without — with impunity, that's fine, I see.  Q. Can we now turn to A131, please. I think it is over the page.  A. What paragraph?  A. What paragraph?  A. What paragraph?  A. What paragraph?  Q. Paragraph 159, sorry. This is a reference to 22 October 2021 when he says, "I received disturbing information concerning an ex-police in spector of the RGP. This information was not dissimilar in nature to the one provided by CoP Richard Ullger relating to the two officers who were being asked to conspire against me. The 2x-inspector is in fact a close acquaintance of 2x-i	18	relation to the letters of assurance that the Chief	18	this was easier to mask under the
willing to make statements against Mr McGrail?  A. I didn't see letters but — and I didn't draft letters if that is what you are asking for.  Q. But were you aware of the arrangements that were arrived at in relation to letters of assurance?  Page 189  A. I think that brings in legal professional privilege.  Q. In that you were acting for — in that the GPF A. We were acting for the officers but I am interested to see that Mr Ullger can communicate with Mr McGrail without — with impunity, that's fine, I see.  Q. Can we now turn to A131, please. I think it is over the page.  A. What paragraph?  A. What paragraph?  A. What paragraph?  A. What paragraph?  Q. Paragraph 159, sorry. This is a reference to 22 October 2021 when he says, "I received disturbing information concerning an ex-police in spector of the RGP. This information was not dissimilar in nature to the one provided by CoP Richard Ullger relating to the two officers who were being asked to conspire against me. The 2x-inspector is in fact a close acquaintance of 2x-i	19	Minister provided to individuals who were	19	circumstances." Did you offer a cash reward to
21 A. I didn't see letters but — and I didn't draft 22 letters if that is what you are asking for. 23 Q. But were you aware of the arrangements 24 that were arrived at in relation to letters of 25 assurance?  Page 189  Page 191  1 A. I think that brings in legal professional 2 privilege. 3 Q. In that you were acting for — in that the GPF 4 —— 5 A. We were acting for the officers but I am 6 interested to see that Mr Ullger can 7 communicate with Mr McGrail without — with 8 impunity, that's fine, I see. 9 Q. Can we now turn to A131, please. I think it is 10 over the page. 11 A. A? 12 Q. Paragraph 159, sorry. This is a reference to 12 Q. Paragraph 159, sorry. This is a reference to 13 disturbing information concerning an ex-police in spector of the RGP. This information was not dissimilar in nature to the one provided by CoP 20 Richard Ullger relating to the two officers who were being asked to conspire against me. The cex-inspector is in fact a close acquaintance of these two other officers previously referred to. 24 They had previously worked in the same small 25 unit in the RGP and now, after leaving the RGP, 21 Date 9 February 2023. I think it is just being brought up on the screen. 22 A. Yes.  Page 191  23 A. This was the one sent to me by the Inquiry. 24 A. Nis. 25 Brought up on the screen. 3 A. This was the one sent to me by the Inquiry. 4 Q. That is right, we sent it to you to give you the opportunity to see it before giving evidence. 4 Have you seen any letters of this nature? 4 A. No. 4 MR SANTOS: I wonder whether that might be an opportune moment for a break because the only other questions that I have I would like to check whether they encroach on privilege or not over the break. 13 THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, that is very sensible. 14 MR SANTOS: Mr Levy, we have considered the matter and we are not going to take it any further because the questions -— we cannot be certain that the questions do not encroach on privilege so I have no further questions for you. 24 They had previously worked in the same small unit	20	_	20	
22 letters if that is what you are asking for. 23 Q. But were you aware of the arrangements 24 that were arrived at in relation to letters of 25 assurance?  Page 189  Page 191  1 A. I think that brings in legal professional privilege. 3 Q. In that you were acting for in that the GPF 5 A. We were acting for the officers but I am interested to see that Mr Ullger can communicate with Mr McGrail without with impunity, that's fine, I see. 9 Q. Can we now turn to A131, please. I think it is over the page. 11 A. What paragraph? 12 Q. 131, it is over the page on Mr McGrail's 13 statement. 14 A. What paragraph? 15 Q. Paragraph 159, sorry. This is a reference to 16 22 October 2021 when he says, "I received 17 disturbing information concerning an ex-police 18 inspector of the RGP. This information was not 19 dissimilar in nature to the one provided by CoP 20 Richard Ullger relating to the two officers who were being asked to conspire against me. The 22 ex-inspector is in fact a close acquaintance of 23 these two other officers previously referred to. 24 They had previously worked in the same small 25 unit in the RGP and now, after leaving the RGP,  22 Date of Pebruary 2023. I think it is just being brought up on the screen.  4 A. This was the one sent to me by the Inquiry.  Q. Dated 9 February 2023. I think it is just being brought up on the screen.  4 A. This was the one sent to me by the Inquiry.  Q. That is right, we sent it to you to give you the opportunity to see it before giving evidence.  Have you seen any letters of this nature?  A. No.  MR SANTOS: I wonder whether that might be an opportune moment for a break because the only other questions that I have I would like to check whether they encroach on privilege or not over the break.  15 THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, that is very sensible.  MR SANTOS: Mr Levy, we have considered the matter and we are not going to take it any further because the questions do not encroach on privilege so I have no further questions for you.  MR GIBBS: If that is all right, sir?  THE	21	A. I didn't see letters but and I didn't draft	21	
23 Q. Can I now show you a copy of one of the letters of assurance? 24 telters of assurance? 25 A. Yes.  Page 189  Page 191  1 A. I think that brings in legal professional privilege. 3 Q. In that you were acting for in that the GPF in the state of assurance interested to see that Mr Ullger can communicate with Mr McGrail without with impunity, that's fine, I see. 9 Q. Can we now turn to A131, please. I think it is oper the page. 11 A. What paragraph? 12 Q. Paragraph 159, sorry. This is a reference to dissumblar in nature to the one provided by CoP Richard Ullger relating to the two officers who were being asked to conspire against me. The ex-inspector is in fact a close acquaintance of the assurance? 24 A. Ves.  Page 191  1 Q. Dated 9 February 2023. I think it is just being brought up on the screen. A. This was the one sent to me by the Inquiry. Q. That is right, we sent it to you to give you the opportunity to see it before giving evidence. Have you seen any letters of this nature? A. No.  MR SANTOS: I wonder whether that might be an opportune moment for a break because the only other questions that I have I would like to check whether they encroach on privilege or not over the break.  11 THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, that is very sensible.  MR SANTOS: Thank you.  (Short adjournment)  JAMES (HAIM) LEVY (Continued):  MR SANTOS: Mr Levy, we have considered the matter and we are not going to take it any further because the questions on one erroach on privilege so I have no further questions for you.  Mr Gibbs: If that is all right, sir?  THE CHAIRMAN: Of course it is.  Questioned by MR GIBBS:	22	letters if that is what you are asking for.	22	
that were arrived at in relation to letters of assurance?  Page 189  Page 191  A. I think that brings in legal professional privilege.  Q. In that you were acting for in that the GPF multiple programment of the provided by CoP of the RGP. This information concerning an ex-police inspector of the RGP. This information was not dissimilar in nature to the one provided by CoP of the RGP. They had previously worked in the same small unit in the RGP and now, after leaving the RGP,  Page 189  Page 191  Q. Dated 9 February 2023. I think it is just being brought up on the screen.  A. Yes.  Page 191  Q. Dated 9 February 2023. I think it is just being brought up on the screen.  A. A. This was the one sent to me by the Inquiry.  Q. That is right, we sent it to you to give you the opportunity to see it before giving evidence.  Have you seen any letters of this nature?  A. No.  8 MR SANTOS: I wonder whether that might be an opportune moment for a break because the only other questions that I have I would like to check whether they encroach on privilege or not over the break.  13 THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, that is very sensible.  MR SANTOS: Thank you.  (Short adjournment)  JAMES (HAIM) LEVY (Continued):  MR SANTOS: Mr Levy, we have considered the matter and we are not going to take it any further because the questions we cannot be certain that the questions do not encroach on privilege so I have no further questions for you.  MR GIBBS: If that is all right, sir?  THE CHAIRMAN: Of course it is.  Questioned by MR GIBBS:	23	•	23	•
Page 189  A. I think that brings in legal professional privilege.  Q. In that you were acting for in that the GPF mitters to see that Mr Ullger can communicate with Mr McGrail without with impunity, that's fine, I see.  Q. Can we now turn to A131, please. I think it is opportunity to see it before giving evidence. Have you seen any letters of this nature?  A. No.  MR SANTOS: I wonder whether that might be an opportune moment for a break because the only other questions that I have I would like to check whether they encroach on privilege or not over the page.  A. What paragraph?  Q. Paragraph 159, sorry. This is a reference to disturbing information concerning an ex-police inspector of the RGP. This information was not dissimilar in nature to the one provided by CoP Richard Ullger relating to the two officers who were being asked to conspire against me. The ex-inspector is in fact a close acquaintance of these two other officers previously referred to.  They had previously worked in the same small unit in the RGP and now, after leaving the RGP,	24	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
A. I think that brings in legal professional privilege. Q. In that you were acting for in that the GPF A. We were acting for the officers but I am interested to see that Mr Ullger can communicate with Mr McGrail without with impunity, that's fine, I see. Q. Can we now turn to A131, please. I think it is over the page.  A. What paragraph?  A. What paragraph?  Q. Paragraph 159, sorry. This is a reference to dissturbing information concerning an ex-police inspector of the RGP. This information was not dissimilar in nature to the one provided by CoP Richard Ullger relating to the two officers who were being asked to conspire against me. The cex-inspector is in fact a close acquaintance of the RGP and now, after leaving the RGP,  D. Dated 9 February 2023. I think it is just being brought up on the screen. A. This was the one sent to me by the Inquiry. Q. That is right, we sent it to you to give you the opportunity to see it before giving evidence. Have you seen any letters of this nature? A. No.  MR SANTOS: I wonder whether that might be an opportune moment for a break because the only other questions that I have I would like to check whether they encroach on privilege or not over the break.  THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, that is very sensible.  MR SANTOS: Thank you. (Short adjournment)  MR SANTOS: Mr Levy, we have considered the matter and we are not going to take it any further because the questions we cannot be certain that the questions do not encroach on privilege so I have no further questions for you.  Mr Gibbs KC does have some questions for you.  Mr Gibbs: If that is all right, sir?  THE CHAIRMAN: Of course it is. Questioned by MR Gibbs:	25	assurance?	25	A. Yes.
A. I think that brings in legal professional privilege. Q. In that you were acting for in that the GPF A. We were acting for the officers but I am interested to see that Mr Ullger can communicate with Mr McGrail without with impunity, that's fine, I see. Q. Can we now turn to A131, please. I think it is over the page.  A. What paragraph?  A. What paragraph?  Q. Paragraph 159, sorry. This is a reference to dissturbing information concerning an ex-police inspector of the RGP. This information was not dissimilar in nature to the one provided by CoP Richard Ullger relating to the two officers who were being asked to conspire against me. The cex-inspector is in fact a close acquaintance of the RGP and now, after leaving the RGP,  D. Dated 9 February 2023. I think it is just being brought up on the screen. A. This was the one sent to me by the Inquiry. Q. That is right, we sent it to you to give you the opportunity to see it before giving evidence. Have you seen any letters of this nature? A. No.  MR SANTOS: I wonder whether that might be an opportune moment for a break because the only other questions that I have I would like to check whether they encroach on privilege or not over the break.  THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, that is very sensible.  MR SANTOS: Thank you. (Short adjournment)  MR SANTOS: Mr Levy, we have considered the matter and we are not going to take it any further because the questions we cannot be certain that the questions do not encroach on privilege so I have no further questions for you.  Mr Gibbs KC does have some questions for you.  Mr Gibbs: If that is all right, sir?  THE CHAIRMAN: Of course it is. Questioned by MR Gibbs:				
privilege.  Q. In that you were acting for in that the GPF  A. We were acting for the officers but I am interested to see that Mr Ullger can communicate with Mr McGrail without with impunity, that's fine, I see.  Q. Can we now turn to A131, please. I think it is over the page.  A. A. What paragraph?  Q. Paragraph 159, sorry. This is a reference to 22 October 2021 when he says, "I received disturbing information concerning an ex-police inspector of the RGP. This information was not dissimilar in nature to the one provided by CoP Richard Ullger relating to the two officers who were being asked to conspire against me. The ex-inspector is in fact a close acquaintance of the RGP and now, after leaving the RGP,  Drought up on the screen.  A. This was the one sent to me by the Inquiry.  Q. That is right, we sent it to you to give you the opportunity to see it before giving evidence.  Have you seen any letters of this nature?  A. No.  MR SANTOS: I wonder whether that might be an opportune moment for a break because the only other questions that I have I would like to check whether they encroach on privilege or not over the break.  THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, that is very sensible.  MR SANTOS: Thank you.  (Short adjournment)  JAMES (HAIM) LEVY (Continued):  MR SANTOS: Mr Levy, we have considered the matter and we are not going to take it any further because the questions for you.  MR GIBBS: If that is all right, sir?  THE CHAIRMAN: Of course it is.  Questioned by MR GIBBS:		Page 189		Page 191
privilege.  Q. In that you were acting for in that the GPF  A. We were acting for the officers but I am interested to see that Mr Ullger can communicate with Mr McGrail without with impunity, that's fine, I see.  Q. Can we now turn to A131, please. I think it is over the page.  A. A. What paragraph?  Q. Paragraph 159, sorry. This is a reference to disturbing information concerning an ex-police inspector of the RGP. This information was not dissimilar in nature to the one provided by COP  Richard Ullger relating to the two officers who were being asked to conspire against me. The ex-inspector is in fact a close acquaintance of the RGP and now, after leaving the RGP,  Draugat up on the screen.  A. This was the one sent to me by the Inquiry.  Q. That is right, we sent it to you to give you the opportunity to see it before giving evidence.  Have you seen any letters of this nature?  A. No.  MR SANTOS: I wonder whether that might be an opportune moment for a break because the only other questions that I have I would like to check whether they encroach on privilege or not over the break.  THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, that is very sensible.  MR SANTOS: Thank you.  (Short adjournment)  JAMES (HAIM) LEVY (Continued):  MR SANTOS: Mr Levy, we have considered the matter and we are not going to take it any further because the questions do not encroach on privilege so I have no further questions for you.  Mr Gibbs KC does have some questions for you.  Mr Gibbs KC does have some questions for you.  Mr Gibbs KC does have some questions for you.  Mr Gibbs KC does have some questions for you.  Mr Gibbs KC does have some questions for you.  Mr Gibbs KC does have some questions for you.  Mr Gibbs KC does have some questions for you.  Mr Gibbs KC does have some questions for you.  Mr Gibbs KC does have some questions for you.  Mr Gibbs KC does have some questions for you.  Mr Gibbs KC does have some questions for you.  Mr Gibbs KC does have some questions for you.  Mr Gibbs KC does have some questions for you.  Mr Gibbs KC does have	1	A I think that brings in logal professional	1	O Dated 9 February 2023 I think it is just being
Q. In that you were acting for in that the GPF  A. We were acting for the officers but I am interested to see that Mr Ullger can communicate with Mr McGrail without with impunity, that's fine, I see. Q. Can we now turn to A131, please. I think it is over the page.  A. A?  Q. 131, it is over the page on Mr McGrail's statement.  A. What paragraph? Q. Paragraph 159, sorry. This is a reference to disturbing information concerning an ex-police inspector of the RGP. This information was not dissimilar in nature to the one provided by CoP Richard Ullger relating to the two officers who were being asked to conspire against me. The ex-inspector is in fact a close acquaintance of these two other officers previously referred to. They had previously worked in the same small unit in the RGP and now, after leaving the RGP,				
4  A. We were acting for the officers but I am interested to see that Mr Ullger can communicate with Mr McGrail without with impunity, that's fine, I see.  Q. Can we now turn to A131, please. I think it is over the page.  1 A. A?  Q. 131, it is over the page on Mr McGrail's statement.  A. What paragraph?  Q. Paragraph 159, sorry. This is a reference to disturbing information concerning an ex-police inspector of the RGP. This information was not dissimilar in nature to the one provided by CoP Richard Ullger relating to the two officers who were being asked to conspire against me. The ex-inspector is in fact a close acquaintance of these two other officers previously referred to.  They had previously worked in the same small unit in the RGP and now, after leaving the RGP,		•		
5 A. We were acting for the officers but I am interested to see that Mr Ullger can communicate with Mr McGrail without with impunity, that's fine, I see.  9 Q. Can we now turn to A131, please. I think it is over the page.  10 A. A?  11 A. A?  12 Q. 131, it is over the page on Mr McGrail's statement.  13 statement.  14 A. What paragraph?  15 Q. Paragraph 159, sorry. This is a reference to disturbing information concerning an ex-police inspector of the RGP. This information was not dissimilar in nature to the one provided by CoP  20 Richard Ullger relating to the two officers who were being asked to conspire against me. The ex-inspector is in fact a close acquaintance of these two other officers previously referred to.  15 They had previously worked in the same small unit in the RGP and now, after leaving the RGP,		Q. In that you were deting for the that the GIT		
6 interested to see that Mr Ullger can 7 communicate with Mr McGrail without with 8 impunity, that's fine, I see. 9 Q. Can we now turn to A131, please. I think it is 10 over the page. 11 A. A? 12 Q. 131, it is over the page on Mr McGrail's 13 statement. 14 A. What paragraph? 15 Q. Paragraph 159, sorry. This is a reference to 16 22 October 2021 when he says, "I received 17 disturbing information concerning an ex-police inspector of the RGP. This information was not 19 dissimilar in nature to the one provided by CoP 20 Richard Ullger relating to the two officers who 21 were being asked to conspire against me. The 22 ex-inspector is in fact a close acquaintance of 23 these two other officers previously referred to. 24 They had previously worked in the same small 25 unit in the RGP and now, after leaving the RGP,  16 Have you seen any letters of this nature?  A. No.  8 MR SANTOS: I wonder whether that might be an opportune moment for a break because the only other questions that I have I would like to check whether they encroach on privilege or not over the break.  11 THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, that is very sensible.  12 MR SANTOS: Thank you.  (Short adjournment)  13 JAMES (HAIM) LEVY (Continued):  14 MR SANTOS: Mr Levy, we have considered the matter and we are not going to take it any further because the questions we cannot be certain that the questions do not encroach on privilege so I have no further questions for you.  15 MR GIBBS: If that is all right, sir?  16 THE CHAIRMAN: Of course it is.  17 THE CHAIRMAN: Of course it is.  28 Questioned by MR GIBBS:		A. We were acting for the officers but I am		
communicate with Mr McGrail without with impunity, that's fine, I see.  Q. Can we now turn to A131, please. I think it is over the page.  10 over the page.  11 A. A?  12 Q. 131, it is over the page on Mr McGrail's statement.  13 statement.  14 A. What paragraph?  15 Q. Paragraph 159, sorry. This is a reference to disturbing information concerning an ex-police inspector of the RGP. This information was not dissimilar in nature to the one provided by CoP  18 Richard Ullger relating to the two officers who were being asked to conspire against me. The ex-inspector is in fact a close acquaintance of these two other officers previously referred to.  17 A. No.  18 MR SANTOS: I wonder whether that might be an opportune moment for a break because the only other questions that I have I would like to check whether they encroach on privilege or not over the beneak.  11 THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, that is very sensible.  12 MR SANTOS: Thank you.  13 (Short adjournment)  14 MR SANTOS: Mr Levy, we have considered the matter and we are not going to take it any further because the questions we cannot be certain that the questions do not encroach on privilege so I have no further questions for you.  18 MR GIBBS: If that is all right, sir?  19 THE CHAIRMAN: Of course it is.  20 Questioned by MR GIBBS:				**
mpunity, that's fine, I see.  Q. Can we now turn to A131, please. I think it is over the page.  10 other questions that I have I would like to check whether they encroach on privilege or not over the break.  13 statement.  14 A. What paragraph?  Q. Paragraph 159, sorry. This is a reference to disturbing information concerning an ex-police inspector of the RGP. This information was not dissimilar in nature to the one provided by CoP Richard Ullger relating to the two officers who ex-inspector is in fact a close acquaintance of these two other officers previously referred to. They had previously worked in the same small unit in the RGP and now, after leaving the RGP,  MR SANTOS: I wonder whether that might be an opportune moment for a break because the only other questions that I have I would like to check whether they encroach on privilege or not over the break.  13 THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, that is very sensible.  MR SANTOS: Thank you.  (Short adjournment)  MR SANTOS: Mr Levy, we have considered the matter and we are not going to take it any further because the questions we cannot be certain that the questions do not encroach on privilege so I have no further questions for you.  MR GIBBS: If that is all right, sir?  THE CHAIRMAN: Of course it is.  Questioned by MR GIBBS:				•
Q. Can we now turn to A131, please. I think it is over the page.  A. A? 10 other questions that I have I would like to check whether they encroach on privilege or not over the break. 11 the break. 12 Q. 131, it is over the page on Mr McGrail's 13 statement. 14 A. What paragraph? 15 Q. Paragraph 159, sorry. This is a reference to 16 22 October 2021 when he says, "I received 17 disturbing information concerning an ex-police 18 inspector of the RGP. This information was not 19 dissimilar in nature to the one provided by CoP 20 Richard Ullger relating to the two officers who 21 were being asked to conspire against me. The 22 ex-inspector is in fact a close acquaintance of 23 these two other officers previously referred to. 24 They had previously worked in the same small 25 unit in the RGP and now, after leaving the RGP,  10 opportune moment for a break because the only other questions that I have I would like to check whether they encroach on privilege or not over the break.  11 THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, that is very sensible. MR SANTOS: Thank you.  (Short adjournment) 15 (Short adjournment) 16 JAMES (HAIM) LEVY (Continued): 17 MR SANTOS: Mr Levy, we have considered the matter and we are not going to take it any 19 further because the questions we cannot be 20 certain that the questions do not encroach on 21 privilege so I have no further questions for you. 22 Mr Gibbs KC does have some questions for you. 23 MR GIBBS: If that is all right, sir? 24 THE CHAIRMAN: Of course it is. 25 Questioned by MR GIBBS:				
over the page.  10 other questions that I have I would like to check  11 A. A?  12 Q. 131, it is over the page on Mr McGrail's  13 statement.  14 A. What paragraph?  15 Q. Paragraph 159, sorry. This is a reference to  16 22 October 2021 when he says, "I received  17 disturbing information concerning an ex-police  18 inspector of the RGP. This information was not  19 dissimilar in nature to the one provided by CoP  20 Richard Ullger relating to the two officers who  21 were being asked to conspire against me. The  22 ex-inspector is in fact a close acquaintance of  23 these two other officers previously referred to.  24 They had previously worked in the same small  25 unit in the RGP and now, after leaving the RGP,  10 other questions that I have I would like to check  11 whether they encroach on privilege or not over  12 the break.  13 THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, that is very sensible.  14 MR SANTOS: Thank you.  15 (Short adjournment)  16 JAMES (HAIM) LEVY (Continued):  17 MR SANTOS: Mr Levy, we have considered the matter and we are not going to take it any further because the questions we cannot be certain that the questions do not encroach on privilege so I have no further questions for you.  26 MR GIBBS: If that is all right, sir?  27 THE CHAIRMAN: Of course it is.  28 Questioned by MR GIBBS:				
11 A. A? 12 Q. 131, it is over the page on Mr McGrail's 13 statement. 14 A. What paragraph? 15 Q. Paragraph 159, sorry. This is a reference to 16 22 October 2021 when he says, "I received 17 disturbing information concerning an ex-police 18 inspector of the RGP. This information was not 19 dissimilar in nature to the one provided by CoP 20 Richard Ullger relating to the two officers who 21 were being asked to conspire against me. The 22 ex-inspector is in fact a close acquaintance of 23 these two other officers previously referred to. 24 They had previously worked in the same small 25 unit in the RGP and now, after leaving the RGP,  11 whether they encroach on privilege or not over 12 the break. 13 THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, that is very sensible. 14 MR SANTOS: Thank you. 15 (Short adjournment) 16 JAMES (HAIM) LEVY (Continued): 17 MR SANTOS: Mr Levy, we have considered the matter and we are not going to take it any further because the questions we cannot be certain that the questions do not encroach on privilege so I have no further questions for you. 26 Mr Gibbs KC does have some questions for you. 27 MR GIBBS: If that is all right, sir? 28 THE CHAIRMAN: Of course it is. 29 Questioned by MR GIBBS:		•		• •
12 Q. 131, it is over the page on Mr McGrail's 13 statement. 14 A. What paragraph? 15 Q. Paragraph 159, sorry. This is a reference to 16 22 October 2021 when he says, "I received 17 disturbing information concerning an ex-police 18 inspector of the RGP. This information was not 19 dissimilar in nature to the one provided by CoP 20 Richard Ullger relating to the two officers who 21 were being asked to conspire against me. The 22 ex-inspector is in fact a close acquaintance of 23 these two other officers previously referred to. 24 They had previously worked in the same small 25 unit in the RGP and now, after leaving the RGP,  12 the break. 13 THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, that is very sensible. 14 MR SANTOS: Thank you. 15 (Short adjournment) 16 JAMES (HAIM) LEVY (Continued): 17 MR SANTOS: Mr Levy, we have considered the matter and we are not going to take it any further because the questions we cannot be certain that the questions do not encroach on privilege so I have no further questions for you. 26 MR GIBBS: If that is all right, sir? 27 THE CHAIRMAN: Of course it is. 28 Questioned by MR GIBBS:		* <del>*</del>		_
statement.  A. What paragraph?  Q. Paragraph 159, sorry. This is a reference to disturbing information concerning an ex-police inspector of the RGP. This information was not dissimilar in nature to the one provided by CoP Richard Ullger relating to the two officers who ex-inspector is in fact a close acquaintance of these two other officers previously referred to. They had previously worked in the same small unit in the RGP and now, after leaving the RGP,  This is a reference to MR SANTOS: Thank you.  Short adjournment)  JAMES (HAIM) LEVY (Continued):  MR SANTOS: Mr Levy, we have considered the matter and we are not going to take it any further because the questions we cannot be certain that the questions do not encroach on privilege so I have no further questions for you.  MR GIBBS: If that is all right, sir? THE CHAIRMAN: Of course it is. Questioned by MR GIBBS:				
A. What paragraph?  Q. Paragraph 159, sorry. This is a reference to 22 October 2021 when he says, "I received disturbing information concerning an ex-police inspector of the RGP. This information was not dissimilar in nature to the one provided by CoP Richard Ullger relating to the two officers who were being asked to conspire against me. The ex-inspector is in fact a close acquaintance of these two other officers previously referred to. They had previously worked in the same small unit in the RGP and now, after leaving the RGP,  MR SANTOS: Thank you.  Short adjournment)  MR SANTOS: Thank you.  MR SANTOS: Mr Levy, we have considered the  matter and we are not going to take it any  further because the questions of take it any  MR GIBS:  MR GIBS:  MR GIBS:  MR GIBS:  MR GIBS:  MR GIBS:  MR GIB				
Q. Paragraph 159, sorry. This is a reference to 22 October 2021 when he says, "I received disturbing information concerning an ex-police inspector of the RGP. This information was not dissimilar in nature to the one provided by CoP Richard Ullger relating to the two officers who were being asked to conspire against me. The ex-inspector is in fact a close acquaintance of these two other officers previously referred to. They had previously worked in the same small unit in the RGP and now, after leaving the RGP,  15 (Short adjournment) 16 JAMES (HAIM) LEVY (Continued): 17 MR SANTOS: Mr Levy, we have considered the 18 matter and we are not going to take it any 19 further because the questions we cannot be 20 certain that the questions do not encroach on 21 privilege so I have no further questions for you. 22 Mr Gibbs KC does have some questions for you. 23 MR GIBBS: If that is all right, sir? 24 THE CHAIRMAN: Of course it is. 25 Questioned by MR GIBBS:				
16 22 October 2021 when he says, "I received 17 disturbing information concerning an ex-police 18 inspector of the RGP. This information was not 19 dissimilar in nature to the one provided by CoP 20 Richard Ullger relating to the two officers who 21 were being asked to conspire against me. The 22 ex-inspector is in fact a close acquaintance of 23 these two other officers previously referred to. 24 They had previously worked in the same small 25 unit in the RGP and now, after leaving the RGP,  16 JAMES (HAIM) LEVY (Continued): 17 MR SANTOS: Mr Levy, we have considered the 18 matter and we are not going to take it any 19 further because the questions we cannot be 20 certain that the questions do not encroach on 21 privilege so I have no further questions for you. 22 Mr Gibbs KC does have some questions for you. 23 THE CHAIRMAN: Of course it is. 24 THE CHAIRMAN: Of course it is. 25 Questioned by MR GIBBS:				·
disturbing information concerning an ex-police inspector of the RGP. This information was not dissimilar in nature to the one provided by CoP Richard Ullger relating to the two officers who were being asked to conspire against me. The ex-inspector is in fact a close acquaintance of these two other officers previously referred to.  They had previously worked in the same small unit in the RGP and now, after leaving the RGP,  MR SANTOS: Mr Levy, we have considered the matter and we are not going to take it any further because the questions we cannot be certain that the questions do not encroach on privilege so I have no further questions for you.  MR GIBBS: If that is all right, sir?  THE CHAIRMAN: Of course it is.  Questioned by MR GIBBS:		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		,
inspector of the RGP. This information was not dissimilar in nature to the one provided by CoP Richard Ullger relating to the two officers who were being asked to conspire against me. The ex-inspector is in fact a close acquaintance of these two other officers previously referred to. They had previously worked in the same small unit in the RGP and now, after leaving the RGP,  matter and we are not going to take it any further because the questions we cannot be certain that the questions do not encroach on privilege so I have no further questions for you.  MR GIBBS: If that is all right, sir?  THE CHAIRMAN: Of course it is. Questioned by MR GIBBS:		•		
dissimilar in nature to the one provided by CoP Richard Ullger relating to the two officers who were being asked to conspire against me. The ex-inspector is in fact a close acquaintance of these two other officers previously referred to. They had previously worked in the same small unit in the RGP and now, after leaving the RGP,  They had previously worked in the same small unit in the RGP and now, after leaving the RGP,  They had previously worked in the same small unit in the RGP and now, after leaving the RGP,  They had previously worked in the same small unit in the RGP and now, after leaving the RGP,	17	disturbing information concerning an ex-police	1 1/	VIK SANTOS: Wir Levv. we have considered the
Richard Ullger relating to the two officers who were being asked to conspire against me. The ex-inspector is in fact a close acquaintance of these two other officers previously referred to. They had previously worked in the same small unit in the RGP and now, after leaving the RGP,  20 certain that the questions do not encroach on privilege so I have no further questions for you.  Mr Gibbs KC does have some questions for you.  MR GIBBS: If that is all right, sir?  THE CHAIRMAN: Of course it is.  Questioned by MR GIBBS:				
were being asked to conspire against me. The ex-inspector is in fact a close acquaintance of these two other officers previously referred to. They had previously worked in the same small unit in the RGP and now, after leaving the RGP,  privilege so I have no further questions for you.  Mr Gibbs KC does have some questions for you.  MR GIBBS: If that is all right, sir?  THE CHAIRMAN: Of course it is. Questioned by MR GIBBS:	18	inspector of the RGP. This information was not	18	matter and we are not going to take it any
22 ex-inspector is in fact a close acquaintance of 23 these two other officers previously referred to. 24 They had previously worked in the same small 25 unit in the RGP and now, after leaving the RGP,  26 Mr Gibbs KC does have some questions for you. 27 MR GIBBS: If that is all right, sir? 28 THE CHAIRMAN: Of course it is. 29 Questioned by MR GIBBS:	18 19	inspector of the RGP. This information was not dissimilar in nature to the one provided by CoP	18 19	matter and we are not going to take it any further because the questions we cannot be
these two other officers previously referred to. They had previously worked in the same small unit in the RGP and now, after leaving the RGP,  MR GIBBS: If that is all right, sir?  THE CHAIRMAN: Of course it is.  Questioned by MR GIBBS:	18 19 20	inspector of the RGP. This information was not dissimilar in nature to the one provided by CoP Richard Ullger relating to the two officers who	18 19 20	matter and we are not going to take it any further because the questions we cannot be certain that the questions do not encroach on
They had previously worked in the same small unit in the RGP and now, after leaving the RGP,  THE CHAIRMAN: Of course it is.  Questioned by MR GIBBS:	18 19 20 21	inspector of the RGP. This information was not dissimilar in nature to the one provided by CoP Richard Ullger relating to the two officers who were being asked to conspire against me. The	18 19 20 21	matter and we are not going to take it any further because the questions we cannot be certain that the questions do not encroach on privilege so I have no further questions for you.
25 unit in the RGP and now, after leaving the RGP, 25 Questioned by MR GIBBS:	18 19 20 21 22	inspector of the RGP. This information was not dissimilar in nature to the one provided by CoP Richard Ullger relating to the two officers who were being asked to conspire against me. The ex-inspector is in fact a close acquaintance of	18 19 20 21 22	matter and we are not going to take it any further because the questions we cannot be certain that the questions do not encroach on privilege so I have no further questions for you.  Mr Gibbs KC does have some questions for you.
	18 19 20 21 22 23	inspector of the RGP. This information was not dissimilar in nature to the one provided by CoP Richard Ullger relating to the two officers who were being asked to conspire against me. The ex-inspector is in fact a close acquaintance of these two other officers previously referred to.	18 19 20 21 22 23	matter and we are not going to take it any further because the questions we cannot be certain that the questions do not encroach on privilege so I have no further questions for you.  Mr Gibbs KC does have some questions for you.  MR GIBBS: If that is all right, sir?
Page 190 Page 192	18 19 20 21 22 23 24	inspector of the RGP. This information was not dissimilar in nature to the one provided by CoP Richard Ullger relating to the two officers who were being asked to conspire against me. The ex-inspector is in fact a close acquaintance of these two other officers previously referred to. They had previously worked in the same small	18 19 20 21 22 23 24	matter and we are not going to take it any further because the questions we cannot be certain that the questions do not encroach on privilege so I have no further questions for you.  Mr Gibbs KC does have some questions for you.  MR GIBBS: If that is all right, sir?  THE CHAIRMAN: Of course it is.
	18 19 20 21 22 23 24	inspector of the RGP. This information was not dissimilar in nature to the one provided by CoP Richard Ullger relating to the two officers who were being asked to conspire against me. The ex-inspector is in fact a close acquaintance of these two other officers previously referred to. They had previously worked in the same small	18 19 20 21 22 23 24	matter and we are not going to take it any further because the questions we cannot be certain that the questions do not encroach on privilege so I have no further questions for you.  Mr Gibbs KC does have some questions for you.  MR GIBBS: If that is all right, sir?  THE CHAIRMAN: Of course it is.

1 Q. Which copy was left with you? 1 Q. I just wondered whether you could give us a 2 little more help about one or two subjects, Mr 2 A. Well, it was taken by the police and my IT 3 3 Levy and in particular can we just begin with the people, yes. Q. And they would have been on the 4 4 question of your WhatsApp messages. The 5 Inquiry has been keen to recover everyone's 5 replacement telephone which you started using 6 messages if they can but I do not think, am I 6 instead from 12 May? 7 right, that you have been able to help with that 7 A. Yes. 8 as yet? 8 Q. Because you were usually using WhatsApp 9 9 A. I have even three days ago or four days ago I for both your work and your home life? 10 10 had a meeting with both my IT specialists and A. I am doing it more now than many years ago, 11 asked them whether there was any way that 11 12 12 they could recover any of my WhatsApp Q. But at that time you were using both 13 messages and they said they couldn't. I said that 13 WhatsApp for both your work and your home 14 14 they could do it in --- I mean, I don't understand 15 that but I said can they do it in any way 15 A. Yes. 16 whatsoever and both of them said, "No." I am 16 Q. And you were able, because of the 17 17 arrangements that were made, to carry on the happy for them to provide a statement on what 18 they have done if they were able to. 18 work that you had been doing before 12 May on 19 19 the replacement telephone that you used after Q. And was three days ago the first time that 20 20 you had thought to ask them about that? 12 May? 21 A. Oh, no, I have spoken to them a number of 21 A. Yes. 22 22 times on this. Q. You could on the replacement telephone see 23 23 the messages that you had sent and received Q. When did you first speak to them about that? 24 24 A. It's difficult to tell but I am just trying to see before 12 May? 25 25 whether I can give you an accurate answer but I A. I mean, I don't think I checked every single Page 195 Page 193 1 have spoken to them on a number of occasions. 1 message sent. 2 Q. Presumably you spoke to them once it was 2 Q. No, but ---3 3 A. But that, with respect, is what I thought your known that there was an Inquiry called for? 4 A. Certainly after the Inquiry was called and 4 question was saying. 5 5 Q. Yes. Of those three places where those prebefore that, too. 6 Q. So even before the Inquiry was called for, you 6 12 May 2020 messages could have been found, 7 7 were talking to your IT specialists in house about of the three places the original telephone which 8 8 the preservation of your messages? was kept safely by the police but not examined, 9 9 A. Not the preservation but the finding of the am I right? 10 10 messages. A. I don't know if they examined it. 11 Q. Because you knew that those might be 11 Q. Was returned to you? 12 significant? 12 A. Yes. Well, not to me, to the people in IT. 13 A. No, because I already was --- before the 13 Q. And that was returned, am I right, in 14 14 November 2020? Inquiry I wanted to see whether they would be 15 15 of any help in the question of the investigation. A. If you say so. I don't remember the date. 16 16 Q. Can you just help me with this, if we think Q. You must not take it from me, can we have 17 about whatever messages you may have 17 B3449 please. We can put it on the screen for 18 received and sent before 12 May, (inaudible) has 18 you and if we can go down the page, there is a 19 19 been on, am I right, your original telephone that message from --- no, I think the wrong page has 20 the police wanted to look at? Am I right? 20 been brought up. It is 3449. This is --- yes, you 21 21 can see the top of the page but if we go to the A. Yes. 2.2. 22 Q. They would have been on the copy of that bottom, 6 November 2020 at 1718, Mr 23 23 original telephone which was made on the date (Inaudible) who was at that stage acting for you? 24 24 of the attendance of a warrant?

Q. He wrote to a number of police officers and

Page 196

A. Yes,

Page 194

25

25

1 1 others and he says in the second to last line on was the copy that had been made on 12 May. 2 that page, "I can confirm that I was handed Mr 2 A. Yes. 3 3 Levy's property by Detective Constable Curragh," Q. Of the telephone and your IT department 4 4 kept a copy of that, did they? and we can see what he is referring to if we 5 continue to the next page because that is an 5 A. No, but they copied the phone. 6 answer to Mr Wyan's message on 28 October in 6 Q. Yes, and did they keep the copy? 7 which he says in the final paragraph, "Finally, in 7 A. No, I kept the copy. 8 the circumstances it is our intention to return 8 Q. Did you keep the copy? 9 9 the devices that were handed over to the police A. That was the phone I used. 10 10 Q. The copy was put onto your replacement voluntarily on 12 May 2020. These items which 11 remain unexamined may be collected from NMH 11 12 12 during normal office hours or, alternatively, I A. I think they copied, so far as I know, it into my 13 could arrange for them to be dropped off to 13 replacement telephone. 14 14 you." So it looks like Mr Bonfanti got them back Q. The replacement telephone which then you 15 to you on 6 November 2020. Do you agree? 15 kept and used seamlessly? 16 16 A. Yes. 17 Q. And that was your original telephone. 17 Q. And still have now? 18 18 A. Correct. A. No. 19 19 Q. Where is that? Q. Where is that? 20 20 A. I don't know. I carried on with the second A. That phone collapsed many months ago, 21 telephone. 21 about a year ago. 22 22 Q. About a year ago it collapsed? Q. What did you do with the original one? 23 23 A. I didn't receive the original one. It must have A. Yes. 24 24 Q. How did it collapse? gone to somebody in my IT department. 25 Q. What instruction did you give about the 25 A. I mean, if you want, I can get an affidavit Page 197 Page 199 1 preservation of that? 1 from my IT people to say exactly what happened 2 A. None. 2 and give it to counsel for the inquiry. 3 3 Q. I will leave that obviously to Mr Santos and Q. Did it not seem to you that it might be 4 significant to keep hold of that? 4 the Chairman to make a decision about but 5 5 A. Well, I don't understand the question, perhaps you can describe for us the 6 frankly. It goes to the IT department. I think 6 circumstances of its collapse? 7 7 they will preserve whatever they have to A. It just didn't work anymore. I tried to use it 8 8 and it didn't work and they gave me a new one. preserve. 9 9 Q. So have they still go it? Q. When you got a new one, so that is the 10 10 A. They may do. I don't know. replacement for the replacement, were you able 11 Q. Have you not asked? 11 to reload your --- were you able to reload your 12 A. I have asked but they say that that phone 12 data from the collapsed telephone? 13 when it came, it wasn't usable any more. 13 A. I didn't do it. 14 Q. So even though it had been taken from you 14 Q. But they did? 15 and at the moment you handed over it was still 15 A. Yes. 16 usable, was it? 16 O. And so all of that data that was on the 17 A. Yes. 17 replacement phone that is now on the 18 Q. The police seized it and they kept it carefully 18 replacement phone for the replacement phone, 19 and then they returned it without examining it, 19 do you have that? 20 when it came back you say that it was no longer 20 A. Yes, I have got it. 2.1 useable? 21 Q. Does that contain your messages from before 22 A. That's what they told me. I didn't see that 22 12 May 2020? 23 phone again. 23 A. Some yes, some no. 24 Q. The second place where we might have been 24 Q. What appears to be the criterion by which 25 able to recover your messages before 12 May 25 the telephone has preserved some messages but

Page 198

١ ،	4 1 4 9	,	A 7 1 1/ 1 / 1
1	not preserved others?	1	A. I don't understand.
2	A. I am told by my IT department that that	2	Q. You carried on using the replacement
3	happens often when you have a transfer, but	3	telephone after 12 May, the one that has since
4	again I can ask them to give their reasons to the	4	"collapsed"?
5	Inquiry.	5	A. Yes.
6	Q. Of the messages that have been preserved,	6	Q. And it would have been the device on which,
7	does that include any of the messages to and	7	am I right, you sent and received messages in
8	from you and any of the people whom the	8	the rest of May and in June 2020?
9	Inquiry have been interested in?	9	A. The replacement?
10	A. Mainly Mr Cornelio and Mr the Chief	10	Q. Yes.
11	Minister and the Attorney General.	11	A. The first replacement?
12	Q. Yes, for instance?	12	Q. Yes.
13	A. Whatever messages I have been asked for, if I	13	A. Not the second but the first?
14	have them I give them.	14	Q. No, the first.
15	Q. You have not been able, I think, to provide	15	A. Yes.
16	messages to and from many of those people that	16	Q. Those messages, were they transferred on to
17	you have just described, have you?	17	your replacement, replacement telephone when
18	A. I can tell you that I have not hidden any	18	the replacement telephone collapsed?
19	messages or deleted any messages.	19	A. According to my IT department, no, a lot of
20	Q. But some of the messages, the replacement	20	them were rubbed off.
21	for the replacement telephone did keep, they	21	Q. So all of those as well?
22	are from other people, are they?	22	A. Not only those but many others were rubbed
23	A. No, not all. For instance, some of my family	23	off.
24	messages didn't keep.	24	Q. Does Hassans have a Cloud facility for
25	Q. To your son?	25	backing up messages that might be significant
	Page 201		Page 203
1	A. No, to my wife or to other members of my	1	for the work done by its partners and
1 2	A. No, to my wife or to other members of my family outside Gibraltar.	1 2	for the work done by its partners and employees?
2	family outside Gibraltar.	2	employees?
2 3	family outside Gibraltar.  Q. I meant the I am not asking how many sons you have but Mr Moshe Levy was one of	2 3	employees?  A. I believe so.  Q. Notwithstanding that the original telephone
2 3 4	family outside Gibraltar.  Q. I meant the I am not asking how many sons you have but Mr Moshe Levy was one of your sons is one of your sons?	2 3 4	employees?  A. I believe so.  Q. Notwithstanding that the original telephone and the replacement telephone and the
2 3 4 5	family outside Gibraltar.  Q. I meant the I am not asking how many sons you have but Mr Moshe Levy was one of	2 3 4 5	employees?  A. I believe so.  Q. Notwithstanding that the original telephone
2 3 4 5 6 7	family outside Gibraltar.  Q. I meant the I am not asking how many sons you have but Mr Moshe Levy was one of your sons is one of your sons?  A. He is one of my sons.  Q. And the only one I think who had a meeting	2 3 4 5 6 7	employees?  A. I believe so.  Q. Notwithstanding that the original telephone and the replacement telephone and the replacement, replacement telephone may not be able to provide the information that the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	family outside Gibraltar.  Q. I meant the I am not asking how many sons you have but Mr Moshe Levy was one of your sons is one of your sons?  A. He is one of my sons.  Q. And the only one I think who had a meeting with anyone in whom there is interest from this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	employees?  A. I believe so.  Q. Notwithstanding that the original telephone and the replacement telephone and the replacement, replacement telephone may not be able to provide the information that the Inquiry is interested in, the Cloud should?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	family outside Gibraltar.  Q. I meant the I am not asking how many sons you have but Mr Moshe Levy was one of your sons is one of your sons?  A. He is one of my sons.  Q. And the only one I think who had a meeting with anyone in whom there is interest from this Inquiry. Did the telephone, the replacement for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	employees?  A. I believe so.  Q. Notwithstanding that the original telephone and the replacement telephone and the replacement, replacement telephone may not be able to provide the information that the Inquiry is interested in, the Cloud should?  A. I asked them and they said that it was not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	family outside Gibraltar.  Q. I meant the I am not asking how many sons you have but Mr Moshe Levy was one of your sons is one of your sons?  A. He is one of my sons.  Q. And the only one I think who had a meeting with anyone in whom there is interest from this Inquiry. Did the telephone, the replacement for the replacement telephone, did it preserve your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	employees?  A. I believe so.  Q. Notwithstanding that the original telephone and the replacement telephone and the replacement, replacement telephone may not be able to provide the information that the Inquiry is interested in, the Cloud should?  A. I asked them and they said that it was not that they did not have it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	family outside Gibraltar.  Q. I meant the I am not asking how many sons you have but Mr Moshe Levy was one of your sons is one of your sons?  A. He is one of my sons.  Q. And the only one I think who had a meeting with anyone in whom there is interest from this Inquiry. Did the telephone, the replacement for the replacement telephone, did it preserve your messages with your son, Moshe?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	employees?  A. I believe so.  Q. Notwithstanding that the original telephone and the replacement telephone and the replacement, replacement telephone may not be able to provide the information that the Inquiry is interested in, the Cloud should?  A. I asked them and they said that it was not that they did not have it.  Q. It was gone from the Cloud as well?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	family outside Gibraltar.  Q. I meant the I am not asking how many sons you have but Mr Moshe Levy was one of your sons is one of your sons?  A. He is one of my sons.  Q. And the only one I think who had a meeting with anyone in whom there is interest from this Inquiry. Did the telephone, the replacement for the replacement telephone, did it preserve your messages with your son, Moshe?  A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	employees?  A. I believe so.  Q. Notwithstanding that the original telephone and the replacement telephone and the replacement, replacement telephone may not be able to provide the information that the Inquiry is interested in, the Cloud should?  A. I asked them and they said that it was not that they did not have it.  Q. It was gone from the Cloud as well?  A. No, I am not saying they are gone from the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	family outside Gibraltar.  Q. I meant the I am not asking how many sons you have but Mr Moshe Levy was one of your sons is one of your sons?  A. He is one of my sons.  Q. And the only one I think who had a meeting with anyone in whom there is interest from this Inquiry. Did the telephone, the replacement for the replacement telephone, did it preserve your messages with your son, Moshe?  A. Yes.  Q. It did?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	employees?  A. I believe so.  Q. Notwithstanding that the original telephone and the replacement telephone and the replacement, replacement telephone may not be able to provide the information that the Inquiry is interested in, the Cloud should?  A. I asked them and they said that it was not that they did not have it.  Q. It was gone from the Cloud as well?  A. No, I am not saying they are gone from the Cloud, they said that they couldn't provide it. I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	family outside Gibraltar.  Q. I meant the I am not asking how many sons you have but Mr Moshe Levy was one of your sons?  A. He is one of my sons.  Q. And the only one I think who had a meeting with anyone in whom there is interest from this Inquiry. Did the telephone, the replacement for the replacement telephone, did it preserve your messages with your son, Moshe?  A. Yes.  Q. It did?  A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	employees?  A. I believe so.  Q. Notwithstanding that the original telephone and the replacement telephone and the replacement, replacement telephone may not be able to provide the information that the Inquiry is interested in, the Cloud should?  A. I asked them and they said that it was not that they did not have it.  Q. It was gone from the Cloud as well?  A. No, I am not saying they are gone from the Cloud, they said that they couldn't provide it. I have offered to get my IT people to make a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	family outside Gibraltar.  Q. I meant the I am not asking how many sons you have but Mr Moshe Levy was one of your sons is one of your sons?  A. He is one of my sons.  Q. And the only one I think who had a meeting with anyone in whom there is interest from this Inquiry. Did the telephone, the replacement for the replacement telephone, did it preserve your messages with your son, Moshe?  A. Yes.  Q. It did?  A. Yes.  Q. So those at least would be available if anyone	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	employees?  A. I believe so.  Q. Notwithstanding that the original telephone and the replacement telephone and the replacement, replacement telephone may not be able to provide the information that the Inquiry is interested in, the Cloud should?  A. I asked them and they said that it was not that they did not have it.  Q. It was gone from the Cloud as well?  A. No, I am not saying they are gone from the Cloud, they said that they couldn't provide it. I have offered to get my IT people to make a proper affidavit and explain the details to the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	family outside Gibraltar.  Q. I meant the I am not asking how many sons you have but Mr Moshe Levy was one of your sons is one of your sons?  A. He is one of my sons.  Q. And the only one I think who had a meeting with anyone in whom there is interest from this Inquiry. Did the telephone, the replacement for the replacement telephone, did it preserve your messages with your son, Moshe?  A. Yes.  Q. It did?  A. Yes.  Q. So those at least would be available if anyone wanted to look at them?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	employees?  A. I believe so.  Q. Notwithstanding that the original telephone and the replacement telephone and the replacement, replacement telephone may not be able to provide the information that the Inquiry is interested in, the Cloud should?  A. I asked them and they said that it was not that they did not have it.  Q. It was gone from the Cloud as well?  A. No, I am not saying they are gone from the Cloud, they said that they couldn't provide it. I have offered to get my IT people to make a proper affidavit and explain the details to the Inquiry.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	family outside Gibraltar.  Q. I meant the I am not asking how many sons you have but Mr Moshe Levy was one of your sons is one of your sons?  A. He is one of my sons.  Q. And the only one I think who had a meeting with anyone in whom there is interest from this Inquiry. Did the telephone, the replacement for the replacement telephone, did it preserve your messages with your son, Moshe?  A. Yes.  Q. It did?  A. Yes.  Q. So those at least would be available if anyone wanted to look at them?  A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	employees?  A. I believe so.  Q. Notwithstanding that the original telephone and the replacement telephone and the replacement, replacement telephone may not be able to provide the information that the Inquiry is interested in, the Cloud should?  A. I asked them and they said that it was not—that they did not have it.  Q. It was gone from the Cloud as well?  A. No, I am not saying they are gone from the Cloud, they said that they couldn't provide it. I have offered to get my IT people to make a proper affidavit and explain the details to the Inquiry.  Q. Thank you, that is all I ask about your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	family outside Gibraltar.  Q. I meant the I am not asking how many sons you have but Mr Moshe Levy was one of your sons?  A. He is one of my sons.  Q. And the only one I think who had a meeting with anyone in whom there is interest from this Inquiry. Did the telephone, the replacement for the replacement telephone, did it preserve your messages with your son, Moshe?  A. Yes.  Q. It did?  A. Yes.  Q. So those at least would be available if anyone wanted to look at them?  A. Yes.  Q. Messages after 12 May, and, as you can tell,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	employees?  A. I believe so.  Q. Notwithstanding that the original telephone and the replacement telephone and the replacement, replacement telephone may not be able to provide the information that the Inquiry is interested in, the Cloud should?  A. I asked them and they said that it was not that they did not have it.  Q. It was gone from the Cloud as well?  A. No, I am not saying they are gone from the Cloud, they said that they couldn't provide it. I have offered to get my IT people to make a proper affidavit and explain the details to the Inquiry.  Q. Thank you, that is all I ask about your telephones, Mr Levy. Going back, if we may, just
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	family outside Gibraltar.  Q. I meant the I am not asking how many sons you have but Mr Moshe Levy was one of your sons?  A. He is one of my sons.  Q. And the only one I think who had a meeting with anyone in whom there is interest from this Inquiry. Did the telephone, the replacement for the replacement telephone, did it preserve your messages with your son, Moshe?  A. Yes.  Q. It did?  A. Yes.  Q. So those at least would be available if anyone wanted to look at them?  A. Yes.  Q. Messages after 12 May, and, as you can tell, there has been interest in this Inquiry in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	employees?  A. I believe so.  Q. Notwithstanding that the original telephone and the replacement telephone and the replacement, replacement telephone may not be able to provide the information that the Inquiry is interested in, the Cloud should?  A. I asked them and they said that it was not that they did not have it.  Q. It was gone from the Cloud as well?  A. No, I am not saying they are gone from the Cloud, they said that they couldn't provide it. I have offered to get my IT people to make a proper affidavit and explain the details to the Inquiry.  Q. Thank you, that is all I ask about your telephones, Mr Levy. Going back, if we may, just in slight clarification of what Mr Santos has
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	family outside Gibraltar.  Q. I meant the I am not asking how many sons you have but Mr Moshe Levy was one of your sons?  A. He is one of my sons.  Q. And the only one I think who had a meeting with anyone in whom there is interest from this Inquiry. Did the telephone, the replacement for the replacement telephone, did it preserve your messages with your son, Moshe?  A. Yes.  Q. It did?  A. Yes.  Q. So those at least would be available if anyone wanted to look at them?  A. Yes.  Q. Messages after 12 May, and, as you can tell, there has been interest in this Inquiry in particular about messages to and from various	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	employees?  A. I believe so.  Q. Notwithstanding that the original telephone and the replacement telephone and the replacement, replacement telephone may not be able to provide the information that the Inquiry is interested in, the Cloud should?  A. I asked them and they said that it was not that they did not have it.  Q. It was gone from the Cloud as well?  A. No, I am not saying they are gone from the Cloud, they said that they couldn't provide it. I have offered to get my IT people to make a proper affidavit and explain the details to the Inquiry.  Q. Thank you, that is all I ask about your telephones, Mr Levy. Going back, if we may, just in slight clarification of what Mr Santos has asked you, to the time when 36 North was first
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	family outside Gibraltar.  Q. I meant the I am not asking how many sons you have but Mr Moshe Levy was one of your sons?  A. He is one of my sons.  Q. And the only one I think who had a meeting with anyone in whom there is interest from this Inquiry. Did the telephone, the replacement for the replacement telephone, did it preserve your messages with your son, Moshe?  A. Yes.  Q. It did?  A. Yes.  Q. So those at least would be available if anyone wanted to look at them?  A. Yes.  Q. Messages after 12 May, and, as you can tell, there has been interest in this Inquiry in particular about messages to and from various people during the period between 12 May and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	employees?  A. I believe so.  Q. Notwithstanding that the original telephone and the replacement telephone and the replacement, replacement telephone may not be able to provide the information that the Inquiry is interested in, the Cloud should?  A. I asked them and they said that it was not—that they did not have it.  Q. It was gone from the Cloud as well?  A. No, I am not saying they are gone from the Cloud, they said that they couldn't provide it. I have offered to get my IT people to make a proper affidavit and explain the details to the Inquiry.  Q. Thank you, that is all I ask about your telephones, Mr Levy. Going back, if we may, just in slight clarification of what Mr Santos has asked you, to the time when 36 North was first brought to you as a proposition, was it to you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	family outside Gibraltar.  Q. I meant the I am not asking how many sons you have but Mr Moshe Levy was one of your sons?  A. He is one of my sons.  Q. And the only one I think who had a meeting with anyone in whom there is interest from this Inquiry. Did the telephone, the replacement for the replacement telephone, did it preserve your messages with your son, Moshe?  A. Yes.  Q. It did?  A. Yes.  Q. So those at least would be available if anyone wanted to look at them?  A. Yes.  Q. Messages after 12 May, and, as you can tell, there has been interest in this Inquiry in particular about messages to and from various people during the period between 12 May and the time when the Chief Minister left office on 9	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	employees?  A. I believe so.  Q. Notwithstanding that the original telephone and the replacement telephone and the replacement, replacement telephone may not be able to provide the information that the Inquiry is interested in, the Cloud should?  A. I asked them and they said that it was not—that they did not have it.  Q. It was gone from the Cloud as well?  A. No, I am not saying they are gone from the Cloud, they said that they couldn't provide it. I have offered to get my IT people to make a proper affidavit and explain the details to the Inquiry.  Q. Thank you, that is all I ask about your telephones, Mr Levy. Going back, if we may, just in slight clarification of what Mr Santos has asked you, to the time when 36 North was first brought to you as a proposition, was it to you that it was brought or to somebody else at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	family outside Gibraltar.  Q. I meant the I am not asking how many sons you have but Mr Moshe Levy was one of your sons?  A. He is one of my sons.  Q. And the only one I think who had a meeting with anyone in whom there is interest from this Inquiry. Did the telephone, the replacement for the replacement telephone, did it preserve your messages with your son, Moshe?  A. Yes.  Q. It did?  A. Yes.  Q. So those at least would be available if anyone wanted to look at them?  A. Yes.  Q. Messages after 12 May, and, as you can tell, there has been interest in this Inquiry in particular about messages to and from various people during the period between 12 May and the time when the Chief Minister left office on 9 June, so if we are thinking about that period	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	employees?  A. I believe so.  Q. Notwithstanding that the original telephone and the replacement telephone and the replacement, replacement telephone may not be able to provide the information that the Inquiry is interested in, the Cloud should?  A. I asked them and they said that it was not that they did not have it.  Q. It was gone from the Cloud as well?  A. No, I am not saying they are gone from the Cloud, they said that they couldn't provide it. I have offered to get my IT people to make a proper affidavit and explain the details to the Inquiry.  Q. Thank you, that is all I ask about your telephones, Mr Levy. Going back, if we may, just in slight clarification of what Mr Santos has asked you, to the time when 36 North was first brought to you as a proposition, was it to you that it was brought or to somebody else at Hassans?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	family outside Gibraltar.  Q. I meant the I am not asking how many sons you have but Mr Moshe Levy was one of your sons?  A. He is one of my sons.  Q. And the only one I think who had a meeting with anyone in whom there is interest from this Inquiry. Did the telephone, the replacement for the replacement telephone, did it preserve your messages with your son, Moshe?  A. Yes.  Q. It did?  A. Yes.  Q. So those at least would be available if anyone wanted to look at them?  A. Yes.  Q. Messages after 12 May, and, as you can tell, there has been interest in this Inquiry in particular about messages to and from various people during the period between 12 May and the time when the Chief Minister left office on 9 June, so if we are thinking about that period after 12 May 2020, where would those messages	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	employees?  A. I believe so.  Q. Notwithstanding that the original telephone and the replacement telephone and the replacement, replacement telephone may not be able to provide the information that the Inquiry is interested in, the Cloud should?  A. I asked them and they said that it was not that they did not have it.  Q. It was gone from the Cloud as well?  A. No, I am not saying they are gone from the Cloud, they said that they couldn't provide it. I have offered to get my IT people to make a proper affidavit and explain the details to the Inquiry.  Q. Thank you, that is all I ask about your telephones, Mr Levy. Going back, if we may, just in slight clarification of what Mr Santos has asked you, to the time when 36 North was first brought to you as a proposition, was it to you that it was brought or to somebody else at Hassans?  A. To me.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	family outside Gibraltar.  Q. I meant the I am not asking how many sons you have but Mr Moshe Levy was one of your sons?  A. He is one of my sons.  Q. And the only one I think who had a meeting with anyone in whom there is interest from this Inquiry. Did the telephone, the replacement for the replacement telephone, did it preserve your messages with your son, Moshe?  A. Yes.  Q. It did?  A. Yes.  Q. So those at least would be available if anyone wanted to look at them?  A. Yes.  Q. Messages after 12 May, and, as you can tell, there has been interest in this Inquiry in particular about messages to and from various people during the period between 12 May and the time when the Chief Minister left office on 9 June, so if we are thinking about that period	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	employees?  A. I believe so.  Q. Notwithstanding that the original telephone and the replacement telephone and the replacement, replacement telephone may not be able to provide the information that the Inquiry is interested in, the Cloud should?  A. I asked them and they said that it was not that they did not have it.  Q. It was gone from the Cloud as well?  A. No, I am not saying they are gone from the Cloud, they said that they couldn't provide it. I have offered to get my IT people to make a proper affidavit and explain the details to the Inquiry.  Q. Thank you, that is all I ask about your telephones, Mr Levy. Going back, if we may, just in slight clarification of what Mr Santos has asked you, to the time when 36 North was first brought to you as a proposition, was it to you that it was brought or to somebody else at Hassans?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	family outside Gibraltar.  Q. I meant the I am not asking how many sons you have but Mr Moshe Levy was one of your sons?  A. He is one of my sons.  Q. And the only one I think who had a meeting with anyone in whom there is interest from this Inquiry. Did the telephone, the replacement for the replacement telephone, did it preserve your messages with your son, Moshe?  A. Yes.  Q. It did?  A. Yes.  Q. So those at least would be available if anyone wanted to look at them?  A. Yes.  Q. Messages after 12 May, and, as you can tell, there has been interest in this Inquiry in particular about messages to and from various people during the period between 12 May and the time when the Chief Minister left office on 9 June, so if we are thinking about that period after 12 May 2020, where would those messages	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	employees?  A. I believe so.  Q. Notwithstanding that the original telephone and the replacement telephone and the replacement, replacement telephone may not be able to provide the information that the Inquiry is interested in, the Cloud should?  A. I asked them and they said that it was not that they did not have it.  Q. It was gone from the Cloud as well?  A. No, I am not saying they are gone from the Cloud, they said that they couldn't provide it. I have offered to get my IT people to make a proper affidavit and explain the details to the Inquiry.  Q. Thank you, that is all I ask about your telephones, Mr Levy. Going back, if we may, just in slight clarification of what Mr Santos has asked you, to the time when 36 North was first brought to you as a proposition, was it to you that it was brought or to somebody else at Hassans?  A. To me.

1	A. John Perez and Tony Cornelio.	1	to Hassans in deciding whether or not to back it
2	Q. And the principal proposition in 36 North, as	2	and take a stake in it?
3	it was explained to you, was that that it is the	3	A. The profitability and the concept, not only
4	provision of NSCIS to the government of	4	the profitability.
5	Gibraltar?	5	Q. Did you take a stake in 36 North?
6	A. No. It was there were two parts to it. One	6	A. Yes.
7	was the possible provision of NSCIS to the	7	(14.38)
8	government of Gibraltar and the other one is the	8	Q. In return for a loan?
9	provision of facilities to various governments in	9	A. Yes.
10	different parts of the world. That was more	10	Q. Of how much?
11	interesting than anything else.	11	A. £450,000 odd.
12	Q. That was more interesting to you than the	12	Q. Was the facility rather more than that,
13	NSCIS contract, was it?	13	£476,000 was what was advanced?
14	A. Yes.	14	A. Correct.
15	Q. The NSCIS contract a government contract?	15	Q. The facility was?
16	A. Yes, that's what I understand, yes.	16	A. Over a million.
17	Q. And so the decision about who should	17	Q. And 36 North needed Hassans money, is
18	provide NSCIS was a decision for the government	18	this right, until it could secure the contract,
19	to make?	19	the NSCIS contract?
20	A. Yes.	20	A. No, it needed Hassans's money until it
21	Q. But you understood that the government	21	was profitable.
22	would be instrumental in choosing the provider	22	Q. And once it had the NSCIS contract it
23	of NSCIS?	23	would be profitable?
24	A. Yes.	24	A. Not necessarily because the salaries of
25	Q. Was the NSCIS contract, as you understood	25	the two directors was quite high, so it's not as
	, ,		<b>1 8</b> ,
	Page 205		Page 207
- 1	'. 1 11 0	١.,	
1	it, a valuable one?	1	simple as that.
2	A. As far as I am concerned there was no NSCIS	2	Q. But once it had the NSCIS contract it
2 3	A. As far as I am concerned there was no NSCIS contract. That's what I was informed by Mr	2 3	Q. But once it had the NSCIS contract it would be viable, wouldn't it?
2 3 4	A. As far as I am concerned there was no NSCIS contract. That's what I was informed by Mr Perez and Mr Cornelio.	2 3 4	<ul><li>Q. But once it had the NSCIS contract it would be viable, wouldn't it?</li><li>A. Not necessarily. It depended, for me, I</li></ul>
2 3 4 5	A. As far as I am concerned there was no NSCIS contract. That's what I was informed by Mr Perez and Mr Cornelio.  Q. But when it was brought to you as a	2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>Q. But once it had the NSCIS contract it would be viable, wouldn't it?</li> <li>A. Not necessarily. It depended, for me, I don't look at the detail, but for me it</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6	A. As far as I am concerned there was no NSCIS contract. That's what I was informed by Mr Perez and Mr Cornelio.  Q. But when it was brought to you as a proposition, it was hoped that 36 North would	2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>Q. But once it had the NSCIS contract it would be viable, wouldn't it?</li> <li>A. Not necessarily. It depended, for me, I don't look at the detail, but for me it depended on its international business which</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7	A. As far as I am concerned there was no NSCIS contract. That's what I was informed by Mr Perez and Mr Cornelio.  Q. But when it was brought to you as a proposition, it was hoped that 36 North would be adopted as the provider of NSCIS?	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>Q. But once it had the NSCIS contract it would be viable, wouldn't it?</li> <li>A. Not necessarily. It depended, for me, I don't look at the detail, but for me it depended on its international business which was the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. As far as I am concerned there was no NSCIS contract. That's what I was informed by Mr Perez and Mr Cornelio.  Q. But when it was brought to you as a proposition, it was hoped that 36 North would be adopted as the provider of NSCIS?  A. Well, all it would be there would be a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. But once it had the NSCIS contract it would be viable, wouldn't it?  A. Not necessarily. It depended, for me, I don't look at the detail, but for me it depended on its international business which was the  THE CHAIRMAN: No-one would take the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. As far as I am concerned there was no NSCIS contract. That's what I was informed by Mr Perez and Mr Cornelio.  Q. But when it was brought to you as a proposition, it was hoped that 36 North would be adopted as the provider of NSCIS?  A. Well, all it would be there would be a tender for the provision, that's the way it should	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. But once it had the NSCIS contract it would be viable, wouldn't it?  A. Not necessarily. It depended, for me, I don't look at the detail, but for me it depended on its international business which was the  THE CHAIRMAN: No-one would take the contract internationally unless it was being
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. As far as I am concerned there was no NSCIS contract. That's what I was informed by Mr Perez and Mr Cornelio.  Q. But when it was brought to you as a proposition, it was hoped that 36 North would be adopted as the provider of NSCIS?  A. Well, all it would be there would be a tender for the provision, that's the way it should have been from the beginning and something	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. But once it had the NSCIS contract it would be viable, wouldn't it?  A. Not necessarily. It depended, for me, I don't look at the detail, but for me it depended on its international business which was the  THE CHAIRMAN: No-one would take the contract internationally unless it was being run profitable in Gibraltar?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. As far as I am concerned there was no NSCIS contract. That's what I was informed by Mr Perez and Mr Cornelio.  Q. But when it was brought to you as a proposition, it was hoped that 36 North would be adopted as the provider of NSCIS?  A. Well, all it would be — there would be a tender for the provision, that's the way it should have been from the beginning and something which they would have tendered for later.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. But once it had the NSCIS contract it would be viable, wouldn't it?  A. Not necessarily. It depended, for me, I don't look at the detail, but for me it depended on its international business which was the  THE CHAIRMAN: No-one would take the contract internationally unless it was being run profitable in Gibraltar?  A. Sir, I think that there were two aspects.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. As far as I am concerned there was no NSCIS contract. That's what I was informed by Mr Perez and Mr Cornelio.  Q. But when it was brought to you as a proposition, it was hoped that 36 North would be adopted as the provider of NSCIS?  A. Well, all it would be there would be a tender for the provision, that's the way it should have been from the beginning and something which they would have tendered for later.  Q. But it was not their intention to enter the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. But once it had the NSCIS contract it would be viable, wouldn't it?  A. Not necessarily. It depended, for me, I don't look at the detail, but for me it depended on its international business which was the  THE CHAIRMAN: No-one would take the contract internationally unless it was being run profitable in Gibraltar?  A. Sir, I think that there were two aspects.  They had conceived a programme for other
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. As far as I am concerned there was no NSCIS contract. That's what I was informed by Mr Perez and Mr Cornelio.  Q. But when it was brought to you as a proposition, it was hoped that 36 North would be adopted as the provider of NSCIS?  A. Well, all it would be there would be a tender for the provision, that's the way it should have been from the beginning and something which they would have tendered for later.  Q. But it was not their intention to enter the tendering process to lose, was it?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. But once it had the NSCIS contract it would be viable, wouldn't it?  A. Not necessarily. It depended, for me, I don't look at the detail, but for me it depended on its international business which was the  THE CHAIRMAN: No-one would take the contract internationally unless it was being run profitable in Gibraltar?  A. Sir, I think that there were two aspects.  They had conceived a programme for other countries which was not yet used in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. As far as I am concerned there was no NSCIS contract. That's what I was informed by Mr Perez and Mr Cornelio.  Q. But when it was brought to you as a proposition, it was hoped that 36 North would be adopted as the provider of NSCIS?  A. Well, all it would be there would be a tender for the provision, that's the way it should have been from the beginning and something which they would have tendered for later.  Q. But it was not their intention to enter the tendering process to lose, was it?  A. Well, you enter a tendering process either to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. But once it had the NSCIS contract it would be viable, wouldn't it?  A. Not necessarily. It depended, for me, I don't look at the detail, but for me it depended on its international business which was the  THE CHAIRMAN: No-one would take the contract internationally unless it was being run profitable in Gibraltar?  A. Sir, I think that there were two aspects. They had conceived a programme for other countries which was not yet used in Gibraltar.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. As far as I am concerned there was no NSCIS contract. That's what I was informed by Mr Perez and Mr Cornelio.  Q. But when it was brought to you as a proposition, it was hoped that 36 North would be adopted as the provider of NSCIS?  A. Well, all it would be — there would be a tender for the provision, that's the way it should have been from the beginning and something which they would have tendered for later.  Q. But it was not their intention to enter the tendering process to lose, was it?  A. Well, you enter a tendering process either to win or to lose.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. But once it had the NSCIS contract it would be viable, wouldn't it?  A. Not necessarily. It depended, for me, I don't look at the detail, but for me it depended on its international business which was the  THE CHAIRMAN: No-one would take the contract internationally unless it was being run profitable in Gibraltar?  A. Sir, I think that there were two aspects. They had conceived a programme for other countries which was not yet used in Gibraltar.  THE CHAIRMAN: But unless you could
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. As far as I am concerned there was no NSCIS contract. That's what I was informed by Mr Perez and Mr Cornelio.  Q. But when it was brought to you as a proposition, it was hoped that 36 North would be adopted as the provider of NSCIS?  A. Well, all it would be there would be a tender for the provision, that's the way it should have been from the beginning and something which they would have tendered for later.  Q. But it was not their intention to enter the tendering process to lose, was it?  A. Well, you enter a tendering process either to win or to lose.  Q. You do not enter a tendering process as a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. But once it had the NSCIS contract it would be viable, wouldn't it?  A. Not necessarily. It depended, for me, I don't look at the detail, but for me it depended on its international business which was the  THE CHAIRMAN: No-one would take the contract internationally unless it was being run profitable in Gibraltar?  A. Sir, I think that there were two aspects. They had conceived a programme for other countries which was not yet used in Gibraltar.  THE CHAIRMAN: But unless you could demonstrate it was working well in Gibraltar,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. As far as I am concerned there was no NSCIS contract. That's what I was informed by Mr Perez and Mr Cornelio.  Q. But when it was brought to you as a proposition, it was hoped that 36 North would be adopted as the provider of NSCIS?  A. Well, all it would be there would be a tender for the provision, that's the way it should have been from the beginning and something which they would have tendered for later.  Q. But it was not their intention to enter the tendering process to lose, was it?  A. Well, you enter a tendering process either to win or to lose.  Q. You do not enter a tendering process as a business in the hope that you might win the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. But once it had the NSCIS contract it would be viable, wouldn't it?  A. Not necessarily. It depended, for me, I don't look at the detail, but for me it depended on its international business which was the  THE CHAIRMAN: No-one would take the contract internationally unless it was being run profitable in Gibraltar?  A. Sir, I think that there were two aspects. They had conceived a programme for other countries which was not yet used in Gibraltar.  THE CHAIRMAN: But unless you could demonstrate it was working well in Gibraltar, it wouldn't be very attractive to anybody else,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. As far as I am concerned there was no NSCIS contract. That's what I was informed by Mr Perez and Mr Cornelio.  Q. But when it was brought to you as a proposition, it was hoped that 36 North would be adopted as the provider of NSCIS?  A. Well, all it would be there would be a tender for the provision, that's the way it should have been from the beginning and something which they would have tendered for later.  Q. But it was not their intention to enter the tendering process to lose, was it?  A. Well, you enter a tendering process either to win or to lose.  Q. You do not enter a tendering process as a business in the hope that you might win the tender?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. But once it had the NSCIS contract it would be viable, wouldn't it?  A. Not necessarily. It depended, for me, I don't look at the detail, but for me it depended on its international business which was the  THE CHAIRMAN: No-one would take the contract internationally unless it was being run profitable in Gibraltar?  A. Sir, I think that there were two aspects. They had conceived a programme for other countries which was not yet used in Gibraltar.  THE CHAIRMAN: But unless you could demonstrate it was working well in Gibraltar, it wouldn't be very attractive to anybody else, would it?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. As far as I am concerned there was no NSCIS contract. That's what I was informed by Mr Perez and Mr Cornelio.  Q. But when it was brought to you as a proposition, it was hoped that 36 North would be adopted as the provider of NSCIS?  A. Well, all it would be — there would be a tender for the provision, that's the way it should have been from the beginning and something which they would have tendered for later.  Q. But it was not their intention to enter the tendering process to lose, was it?  A. Well, you enter a tendering process either to win or to lose.  Q. You do not enter a tendering process as a business in the hope that you might win the tender?  A. Of course.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. But once it had the NSCIS contract it would be viable, wouldn't it?  A. Not necessarily. It depended, for me, I don't look at the detail, but for me it depended on its international business which was the  THE CHAIRMAN: No-one would take the contract internationally unless it was being run profitable in Gibraltar?  A. Sir, I think that there were two aspects. They had conceived a programme for other countries which was not yet used in Gibraltar.  THE CHAIRMAN: But unless you could demonstrate it was working well in Gibraltar, it wouldn't be very attractive to anybody else, would it?  A. It was a different programme, so we don't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. As far as I am concerned there was no NSCIS contract. That's what I was informed by Mr Perez and Mr Cornelio.  Q. But when it was brought to you as a proposition, it was hoped that 36 North would be adopted as the provider of NSCIS?  A. Well, all it would be — there would be a tender for the provision, that's the way it should have been from the beginning and something which they would have tendered for later.  Q. But it was not their intention to enter the tendering process to lose, was it?  A. Well, you enter a tendering process either to win or to lose.  Q. You do not enter a tendering process as a business in the hope that you might win the tender?  A. Of course.  Q. Of course, and were you provided then with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. But once it had the NSCIS contract it would be viable, wouldn't it?  A. Not necessarily. It depended, for me, I don't look at the detail, but for me it depended on its international business which was the  THE CHAIRMAN: No-one would take the contract internationally unless it was being run profitable in Gibraltar?  A. Sir, I think that there were two aspects. They had conceived a programme for other countries which was not yet used in Gibraltar.  THE CHAIRMAN: But unless you could demonstrate it was working well in Gibraltar, it wouldn't be very attractive to anybody else, would it?  A. It was a different programme, so we don't it was never tested.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. As far as I am concerned there was no NSCIS contract. That's what I was informed by Mr Perez and Mr Cornelio.  Q. But when it was brought to you as a proposition, it was hoped that 36 North would be adopted as the provider of NSCIS?  A. Well, all it would be there would be a tender for the provision, that's the way it should have been from the beginning and something which they would have tendered for later.  Q. But it was not their intention to enter the tendering process to lose, was it?  A. Well, you enter a tendering process either to win or to lose.  Q. You do not enter a tendering process as a business in the hope that you might win the tender?  A. Of course.  Q. Of course, and were you provided then with financial projections as to what the value of that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. But once it had the NSCIS contract it would be viable, wouldn't it?  A. Not necessarily. It depended, for me, I don't look at the detail, but for me it depended on its international business which was the  THE CHAIRMAN: No-one would take the contract internationally unless it was being run profitable in Gibraltar?  A. Sir, I think that there were two aspects.  They had conceived a programme for other countries which was not yet used in Gibraltar.  THE CHAIRMAN: But unless you could demonstrate it was working well in Gibraltar, it wouldn't be very attractive to anybody else, would it?  A. It was a different programme, so we don't it was never tested.  MR GIBBS: What would happen to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. As far as I am concerned there was no NSCIS contract. That's what I was informed by Mr Perez and Mr Cornelio.  Q. But when it was brought to you as a proposition, it was hoped that 36 North would be adopted as the provider of NSCIS?  A. Well, all it would be — there would be a tender for the provision, that's the way it should have been from the beginning and something which they would have tendered for later.  Q. But it was not their intention to enter the tendering process to lose, was it?  A. Well, you enter a tendering process either to win or to lose.  Q. You do not enter a tendering process as a business in the hope that you might win the tender?  A. Of course.  Q. Of course, and were you provided then with financial projections as to what the value of that contract might be to 36 North?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. But once it had the NSCIS contract it would be viable, wouldn't it?  A. Not necessarily. It depended, for me, I don't look at the detail, but for me it depended on its international business which was the  THE CHAIRMAN: No-one would take the contract internationally unless it was being run profitable in Gibraltar?  A. Sir, I think that there were two aspects.  They had conceived a programme for other countries which was not yet used in Gibraltar.  THE CHAIRMAN: But unless you could demonstrate it was working well in Gibraltar, it wouldn't be very attractive to anybody else, would it?  A. It was a different programme, so we don't it was never tested.  MR GIBBS: What would happen to Hassans's money, the money that it had
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. As far as I am concerned there was no NSCIS contract. That's what I was informed by Mr Perez and Mr Cornelio.  Q. But when it was brought to you as a proposition, it was hoped that 36 North would be adopted as the provider of NSCIS?  A. Well, all it would be there would be a tender for the provision, that's the way it should have been from the beginning and something which they would have tendered for later.  Q. But it was not their intention to enter the tendering process to lose, was it?  A. Well, you enter a tendering process either to win or to lose.  Q. You do not enter a tendering process as a business in the hope that you might win the tender?  A. Of course.  Q. Of course, and were you provided then with financial projections as to what the value of that contract might be to 36 North?  A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. But once it had the NSCIS contract it would be viable, wouldn't it?  A. Not necessarily. It depended, for me, I don't look at the detail, but for me it depended on its international business which was the  THE CHAIRMAN: No-one would take the contract internationally unless it was being run profitable in Gibraltar?  A. Sir, I think that there were two aspects. They had conceived a programme for other countries which was not yet used in Gibraltar.  THE CHAIRMAN: But unless you could demonstrate it was working well in Gibraltar, it wouldn't be very attractive to anybody else, would it?  A. It was a different programme, so we don't it was never tested.  MR GIBBS: What would happen to Hassans's money, the money that it had advanced to 36 North, if 36 North never
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. As far as I am concerned there was no NSCIS contract. That's what I was informed by Mr Perez and Mr Cornelio.  Q. But when it was brought to you as a proposition, it was hoped that 36 North would be adopted as the provider of NSCIS?  A. Well, all it would be — there would be a tender for the provision, that's the way it should have been from the beginning and something which they would have tendered for later.  Q. But it was not their intention to enter the tendering process to lose, was it?  A. Well, you enter a tendering process either to win or to lose.  Q. You do not enter a tendering process as a business in the hope that you might win the tender?  A. Of course.  Q. Of course, and were you provided then with financial projections as to what the value of that contract might be to 36 North?  A. Yes.  Q. Because the profitability of 36 North was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q. But once it had the NSCIS contract it would be viable, wouldn't it?  A. Not necessarily. It depended, for me, I don't look at the detail, but for me it depended on its international business which was the —  THE CHAIRMAN: No-one would take the contract internationally unless it was being run profitable in Gibraltar?  A. Sir, I think that there were two aspects. They had conceived a programme for other countries which was not yet used in Gibraltar.  THE CHAIRMAN: But unless you could demonstrate it was working well in Gibraltar, it wouldn't be very attractive to anybody else, would it?  A. It was a different programme, so we don't — it was never tested.  MR GIBBS: What would happen to Hassans's money, the money that it had advanced to 36 North, if 36 North never secured the NSCIS contract?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. As far as I am concerned there was no NSCIS contract. That's what I was informed by Mr Perez and Mr Cornelio.  Q. But when it was brought to you as a proposition, it was hoped that 36 North would be adopted as the provider of NSCIS?  A. Well, all it would be there would be a tender for the provision, that's the way it should have been from the beginning and something which they would have tendered for later.  Q. But it was not their intention to enter the tendering process to lose, was it?  A. Well, you enter a tendering process either to win or to lose.  Q. You do not enter a tendering process as a business in the hope that you might win the tender?  A. Of course.  Q. Of course, and were you provided then with financial projections as to what the value of that contract might be to 36 North?  A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. But once it had the NSCIS contract it would be viable, wouldn't it?  A. Not necessarily. It depended, for me, I don't look at the detail, but for me it depended on its international business which was the  THE CHAIRMAN: No-one would take the contract internationally unless it was being run profitable in Gibraltar?  A. Sir, I think that there were two aspects. They had conceived a programme for other countries which was not yet used in Gibraltar.  THE CHAIRMAN: But unless you could demonstrate it was working well in Gibraltar, it wouldn't be very attractive to anybody else, would it?  A. It was a different programme, so we don't it was never tested.  MR GIBBS: What would happen to Hassans's money, the money that it had advanced to 36 North, if 36 North never
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. As far as I am concerned there was no NSCIS contract. That's what I was informed by Mr Perez and Mr Cornelio.  Q. But when it was brought to you as a proposition, it was hoped that 36 North would be adopted as the provider of NSCIS?  A. Well, all it would be — there would be a tender for the provision, that's the way it should have been from the beginning and something which they would have tendered for later.  Q. But it was not their intention to enter the tendering process to lose, was it?  A. Well, you enter a tendering process either to win or to lose.  Q. You do not enter a tendering process as a business in the hope that you might win the tender?  A. Of course.  Q. Of course, and were you provided then with financial projections as to what the value of that contract might be to 36 North?  A. Yes.  Q. Because the profitability of 36 North was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q. But once it had the NSCIS contract it would be viable, wouldn't it?  A. Not necessarily. It depended, for me, I don't look at the detail, but for me it depended on its international business which was the —  THE CHAIRMAN: No-one would take the contract internationally unless it was being run profitable in Gibraltar?  A. Sir, I think that there were two aspects. They had conceived a programme for other countries which was not yet used in Gibraltar.  THE CHAIRMAN: But unless you could demonstrate it was working well in Gibraltar, it wouldn't be very attractive to anybody else, would it?  A. It was a different programme, so we don't — it was never tested.  MR GIBBS: What would happen to Hassans's money, the money that it had advanced to 36 North, if 36 North never secured the NSCIS contract?

1	Q. And was it you who assessed the risk?	1	Q. Did they know that they were
2	A. I assessed it with another two partners,	2	shareholders?
3	yes.	3	A. Some did, some didn't.
4	Q. I am just hesitating about whether it's	4	Q. Did Mr Baglietto know?
5	necessary to ask you who those other two	5	A. I wouldn't know.
6	partners were. Have they I do not	6	Q. Did Mr Picardo know?
7	unnecessarily want to name anyone been	7	A. I'm sure he did, yes.
8	named so far in your evidence today?	8	Q. He did? Did you tell him?
9	A. No.	9	A. Whether I told him or one of the other
10	Q. So they are not Mr Baglietto and they are	10	two partners, I don't recall.
11	not Mr Picardo?	11	Q. But you can be sure he knew?
12	A. No.	12	A. I am sure he knew, yes.
13	Q. And they are not Mr Picardo's wife or	13	Q. Mr Mena, was he also a partner?
14	A. No.	14	A. He was not an active partner at the time.
15	Q. No.	15	Q. No. Tell me whether he was one of the
16	A. Mr Picardo's wife was not an equity	16	partners
17	partner at the time.	17	A. No.
18	Q. Were they two of the partners who did	18	Q. He wasn't. But did he know that he had a
19	later, by virtue of Hassans taking its stake,	19	beneficial ownership in 36 North?
20	secure for themselves a smallholding, a small	20	A. All the partners knew.
21	shareholding in 36 North?	21	Q. Did any of the partners express dissent
22	A. All the partners of Hassans secured	22	from the proposition that you should take this
23	*	23	stake?
	themselves a shareholding. This was sent to		
24	the police as soon as they asked us very early	24	A. I don't recall.
25	on.	25	Q. Was there a meeting, a partner's meeting
	Page 209		Page 211
1	O If 36 North was not profitable and ceased	1	about it?
1 2	Q. If 36 North was not profitable and ceased	1 2	about it?
2	trading, what would be the implications for	2	A. No.
2 3	trading, what would be the implications for Hassans?	2 3	<ul><li>A. No.</li><li>Q. Did they take the proposal on trust from</li></ul>
2 3 4	trading, what would be the implications for Hassans?  A. Well, it would have lost something on a	2 3 4	<ul><li>A. No.</li><li>Q. Did they take the proposal on trust from you and from the other two who were</li></ul>
2 3 4 5	trading, what would be the implications for Hassans?  A. Well, it would have lost something on a business venture.	2 3 4 5	<ul><li>A. No.</li><li>Q. Did they take the proposal on trust from you and from the other two who were involved in agreeing?</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6	trading, what would be the implications for Hassans?  A. Well, it would have lost something on a business venture.  Q. You've lost your money?	2 3 4 5 6	<ul><li>A. No.</li><li>Q. Did they take the proposal on trust from you and from the other two who were involved in agreeing?</li><li>A. Yes. We now have a different system.</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7	trading, what would be the implications for Hassans?  A. Well, it would have lost something on a business venture.  Q. You've lost your money?  A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Did they take the proposal on trust from you and from the other two who were involved in agreeing?</li> <li>A. Yes. We now have a different system.</li> <li>We have board meetings and things have</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	trading, what would be the implications for Hassans?  A. Well, it would have lost something on a business venture.  Q. You've lost your money?  A. Yes.  Q. And were there other obligations that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Did they take the proposal on trust from you and from the other two who were involved in agreeing?</li> <li>A. Yes. We now have a different system.</li> <li>We have board meetings and things have become more official.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	trading, what would be the implications for Hassans?  A. Well, it would have lost something on a business venture.  Q. You've lost your money?  A. Yes.  Q. And were there other obligations that Hassans in those circumstances would have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Did they take the proposal on trust from you and from the other two who were involved in agreeing?</li> <li>A. Yes. We now have a different system.</li> <li>We have board meetings and things have become more official.</li> <li>Q. Because of what's happened here?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	trading, what would be the implications for Hassans?  A. Well, it would have lost something on a business venture.  Q. You've lost your money?  A. Yes.  Q. And were there other obligations that Hassans in those circumstances would have had to meet?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Did they take the proposal on trust from you and from the other two who were involved in agreeing?</li> <li>A. Yes. We now have a different system.</li> <li>We have board meetings and things have become more official.</li> <li>Q. Because of what's happened here?</li> <li>A. Not at all, because we became a limited</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	trading, what would be the implications for Hassans?  A. Well, it would have lost something on a business venture.  Q. You've lost your money?  A. Yes.  Q. And were there other obligations that Hassans in those circumstances would have had to meet?  A. Yes, we agreed to a consultancy contract	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Did they take the proposal on trust from you and from the other two who were involved in agreeing?</li> <li>A. Yes. We now have a different system.</li> <li>We have board meetings and things have become more official.</li> <li>Q. Because of what's happened here?</li> <li>A. Not at all, because we became a limited company.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	trading, what would be the implications for Hassans?  A. Well, it would have lost something on a business venture.  Q. You've lost your money?  A. Yes.  Q. And were there other obligations that Hassans in those circumstances would have had to meet?  A. Yes, we agreed to a consultancy contract with both Mr Perez and Mr Cornelio.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Did they take the proposal on trust from you and from the other two who were involved in agreeing?</li> <li>A. Yes. We now have a different system.</li> <li>We have board meetings and things have become more official.</li> <li>Q. Because of what's happened here?</li> <li>A. Not at all, because we became a limited company.</li> <li>Q. What arrangements were in place to</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	trading, what would be the implications for Hassans?  A. Well, it would have lost something on a business venture.  Q. You've lost your money?  A. Yes.  Q. And were there other obligations that Hassans in those circumstances would have had to meet?  A. Yes, we agreed to a consultancy contract with both Mr Perez and Mr Cornelio.  Q. In what sum?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Did they take the proposal on trust from you and from the other two who were involved in agreeing?</li> <li>A. Yes. We now have a different system.</li> <li>We have board meetings and things have become more official.</li> <li>Q. Because of what's happened here?</li> <li>A. Not at all, because we became a limited company.</li> <li>Q. What arrangements were in place to ensure that there could be no conflict of</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	trading, what would be the implications for Hassans?  A. Well, it would have lost something on a business venture.  Q. You've lost your money?  A. Yes.  Q. And were there other obligations that Hassans in those circumstances would have had to meet?  A. Yes, we agreed to a consultancy contract with both Mr Perez and Mr Cornelio.  Q. In what sum?  A. £300,000 each.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Did they take the proposal on trust from you and from the other two who were involved in agreeing?</li> <li>A. Yes. We now have a different system.</li> <li>We have board meetings and things have become more official.</li> <li>Q. Because of what's happened here?</li> <li>A. Not at all, because we became a limited company.</li> <li>Q. What arrangements were in place to ensure that there could be no conflict of interests for Hassans's partners who were in</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	trading, what would be the implications for Hassans?  A. Well, it would have lost something on a business venture.  Q. You've lost your money?  A. Yes.  Q. And were there other obligations that Hassans in those circumstances would have had to meet?  A. Yes, we agreed to a consultancy contract with both Mr Perez and Mr Cornelio.  Q. In what sum?  A. £300,000 each.  Q. For what period?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Did they take the proposal on trust from you and from the other two who were involved in agreeing?</li> <li>A. Yes. We now have a different system.</li> <li>We have board meetings and things have become more official.</li> <li>Q. Because of what's happened here?</li> <li>A. Not at all, because we became a limited company.</li> <li>Q. What arrangements were in place to ensure that there could be no conflict of interests for Hassans's partners who were in Government?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	trading, what would be the implications for Hassans?  A. Well, it would have lost something on a business venture.  Q. You've lost your money?  A. Yes.  Q. And were there other obligations that Hassans in those circumstances would have had to meet?  A. Yes, we agreed to a consultancy contract with both Mr Perez and Mr Cornelio.  Q. In what sum?  A. £300,000 each.  Q. For what period?  A. For three years. But the consultancy	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Did they take the proposal on trust from you and from the other two who were involved in agreeing?</li> <li>A. Yes. We now have a different system.</li> <li>We have board meetings and things have become more official.</li> <li>Q. Because of what's happened here?</li> <li>A. Not at all, because we became a limited company.</li> <li>Q. What arrangements were in place to ensure that there could be no conflict of interests for Hassans's partners who were in Government?</li> <li>A. I assume they would themselves seek</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	trading, what would be the implications for Hassans?  A. Well, it would have lost something on a business venture.  Q. You've lost your money?  A. Yes.  Q. And were there other obligations that Hassans in those circumstances would have had to meet?  A. Yes, we agreed to a consultancy contract with both Mr Perez and Mr Cornelio.  Q. In what sum?  A. £300,000 each.  Q. For what period?  A. For three years. But the consultancy contract had to be entered into and agreed	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Did they take the proposal on trust from you and from the other two who were involved in agreeing?</li> <li>A. Yes. We now have a different system.</li> <li>We have board meetings and things have become more official.</li> <li>Q. Because of what's happened here?</li> <li>A. Not at all, because we became a limited company.</li> <li>Q. What arrangements were in place to ensure that there could be no conflict of interests for Hassans's partners who were in Government?</li> <li>A. I assume they would themselves seek whatever consents they needed.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	trading, what would be the implications for Hassans?  A. Well, it would have lost something on a business venture.  Q. You've lost your money?  A. Yes.  Q. And were there other obligations that Hassans in those circumstances would have had to meet?  A. Yes, we agreed to a consultancy contract with both Mr Perez and Mr Cornelio.  Q. In what sum?  A. £300,000 each.  Q. For what period?  A. For three years. But the consultancy contract had to be entered into and agreed and they would have had obviously	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Did they take the proposal on trust from you and from the other two who were involved in agreeing?</li> <li>A. Yes. We now have a different system.</li> <li>We have board meetings and things have become more official.</li> <li>Q. Because of what's happened here?</li> <li>A. Not at all, because we became a limited company.</li> <li>Q. What arrangements were in place to ensure that there could be no conflict of interests for Hassans's partners who were in Government?</li> <li>A. I assume they would themselves seek whatever consents they needed.</li> <li>THE CHAIRMAN: Sorry, "by themselves",</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	trading, what would be the implications for Hassans?  A. Well, it would have lost something on a business venture.  Q. You've lost your money?  A. Yes.  Q. And were there other obligations that Hassans in those circumstances would have had to meet?  A. Yes, we agreed to a consultancy contract with both Mr Perez and Mr Cornelio.  Q. In what sum?  A. £300,000 each.  Q. For what period?  A. For three years. But the consultancy contract had to be entered into and agreed and they would have had obviously performance related issues and warranties.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Did they take the proposal on trust from you and from the other two who were involved in agreeing?</li> <li>A. Yes. We now have a different system.</li> <li>We have board meetings and things have become more official.</li> <li>Q. Because of what's happened here?</li> <li>A. Not at all, because we became a limited company.</li> <li>Q. What arrangements were in place to ensure that there could be no conflict of interests for Hassans's partners who were in Government?</li> <li>A. I assume they would themselves seek whatever consents they needed.</li> <li>THE CHAIRMAN: Sorry, "by themselves", do you mean those in Government?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	trading, what would be the implications for Hassans?  A. Well, it would have lost something on a business venture.  Q. You've lost your money?  A. Yes.  Q. And were there other obligations that Hassans in those circumstances would have had to meet?  A. Yes, we agreed to a consultancy contract with both Mr Perez and Mr Cornelio.  Q. In what sum?  A. £300,000 each.  Q. For what period?  A. For three years. But the consultancy contract had to be entered into and agreed and they would have had obviously performance related issues and warranties.  Q. Apart from the two partners whom I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Did they take the proposal on trust from you and from the other two who were involved in agreeing?</li> <li>A. Yes. We now have a different system.</li> <li>We have board meetings and things have become more official.</li> <li>Q. Because of what's happened here?</li> <li>A. Not at all, because we became a limited company.</li> <li>Q. What arrangements were in place to ensure that there could be no conflict of interests for Hassans's partners who were in Government?</li> <li>A. I assume they would themselves seek whatever consents they needed.</li> <li>THE CHAIRMAN: Sorry, "by themselves", do you mean those in Government?</li> <li>A. Those in Government, yes, Sir.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	trading, what would be the implications for Hassans?  A. Well, it would have lost something on a business venture.  Q. You've lost your money?  A. Yes.  Q. And were there other obligations that Hassans in those circumstances would have had to meet?  A. Yes, we agreed to a consultancy contract with both Mr Perez and Mr Cornelio.  Q. In what sum?  A. £300,000 each.  Q. For what period?  A. For three years. But the consultancy contract had to be entered into and agreed and they would have had obviously performance related issues and warranties.  Q. Apart from the two partners whom I haven't asked you to name, and yourself.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Did they take the proposal on trust from you and from the other two who were involved in agreeing?</li> <li>A. Yes. We now have a different system.</li> <li>We have board meetings and things have become more official.</li> <li>Q. Because of what's happened here?</li> <li>A. Not at all, because we became a limited company.</li> <li>Q. What arrangements were in place to ensure that there could be no conflict of interests for Hassans's partners who were in Government?</li> <li>A. I assume they would themselves seek whatever consents they needed.</li> <li>THE CHAIRMAN: Sorry, "by themselves", do you mean those in Government?</li> <li>A. Those in Government, yes, Sir.</li> <li>THE CHAIRMAN: That is what you mean?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	trading, what would be the implications for Hassans?  A. Well, it would have lost something on a business venture.  Q. You've lost your money?  A. Yes.  Q. And were there other obligations that Hassans in those circumstances would have had to meet?  A. Yes, we agreed to a consultancy contract with both Mr Perez and Mr Cornelio.  Q. In what sum?  A. £300,000 each.  Q. For what period?  A. For three years. But the consultancy contract had to be entered into and agreed and they would have had obviously performance related issues and warranties.  Q. Apart from the two partners whom I haven't asked you to name, and yourself.  Were other partners at Hassans consulted on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Did they take the proposal on trust from you and from the other two who were involved in agreeing?</li> <li>A. Yes. We now have a different system.</li> <li>We have board meetings and things have become more official.</li> <li>Q. Because of what's happened here?</li> <li>A. Not at all, because we became a limited company.</li> <li>Q. What arrangements were in place to ensure that there could be no conflict of interests for Hassans's partners who were in Government?</li> <li>A. I assume they would themselves seek whatever consents they needed.</li> <li>THE CHAIRMAN: Sorry, "by themselves", do you mean those in Government?</li> <li>A. Those in Government, yes, Sir.</li> <li>THE CHAIRMAN: That is what you mean?</li> <li>A. Yes, Sir.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	trading, what would be the implications for Hassans?  A. Well, it would have lost something on a business venture.  Q. You've lost your money?  A. Yes.  Q. And were there other obligations that Hassans in those circumstances would have had to meet?  A. Yes, we agreed to a consultancy contract with both Mr Perez and Mr Cornelio.  Q. In what sum?  A. £300,000 each.  Q. For what period?  A. For three years. But the consultancy contract had to be entered into and agreed and they would have had obviously performance related issues and warranties.  Q. Apart from the two partners whom I haven't asked you to name, and yourself.  Were other partners at Hassans consulted on the decision to enter into the business	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Did they take the proposal on trust from you and from the other two who were involved in agreeing?</li> <li>A. Yes. We now have a different system.</li> <li>We have board meetings and things have become more official.</li> <li>Q. Because of what's happened here?</li> <li>A. Not at all, because we became a limited company.</li> <li>Q. What arrangements were in place to ensure that there could be no conflict of interests for Hassans's partners who were in Government?</li> <li>A. I assume they would themselves seek whatever consents they needed.</li> <li>THE CHAIRMAN: Sorry, "by themselves", do you mean those in Government?</li> <li>A. Those in Government, yes, Sir.</li> <li>THE CHAIRMAN: That is what you mean?</li> <li>A. Yes, Sir.</li> <li>MR GIBBS: Did Hassans not because</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	trading, what would be the implications for Hassans?  A. Well, it would have lost something on a business venture.  Q. You've lost your money?  A. Yes.  Q. And were there other obligations that Hassans in those circumstances would have had to meet?  A. Yes, we agreed to a consultancy contract with both Mr Perez and Mr Cornelio.  Q. In what sum?  A. £300,000 each.  Q. For what period?  A. For three years. But the consultancy contract had to be entered into and agreed and they would have had obviously performance related issues and warranties.  Q. Apart from the two partners whom I haven't asked you to name, and yourself.  Were other partners at Hassans consulted on the decision to enter into the business proposition with 36 North?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Did they take the proposal on trust from you and from the other two who were involved in agreeing?</li> <li>A. Yes. We now have a different system.</li> <li>We have board meetings and things have become more official.</li> <li>Q. Because of what's happened here?</li> <li>A. Not at all, because we became a limited company.</li> <li>Q. What arrangements were in place to ensure that there could be no conflict of interests for Hassans's partners who were in Government?</li> <li>A. I assume they would themselves seek whatever consents they needed.</li> <li>THE CHAIRMAN: Sorry, "by themselves", do you mean those in Government?</li> <li>A. Those in Government, yes, Sir.</li> <li>THE CHAIRMAN: That is what you mean?</li> <li>A. Yes, Sir.</li> <li>MR GIBBS: Did Hassans not because quite a few partners of Hassans over the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	trading, what would be the implications for Hassans?  A. Well, it would have lost something on a business venture.  Q. You've lost your money?  A. Yes.  Q. And were there other obligations that Hassans in those circumstances would have had to meet?  A. Yes, we agreed to a consultancy contract with both Mr Perez and Mr Cornelio.  Q. In what sum?  A. £300,000 each.  Q. For what period?  A. For three years. But the consultancy contract had to be entered into and agreed and they would have had obviously performance related issues and warranties.  Q. Apart from the two partners whom I haven't asked you to name, and yourself.  Were other partners at Hassans consulted on the decision to enter into the business	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Did they take the proposal on trust from you and from the other two who were involved in agreeing?</li> <li>A. Yes. We now have a different system.</li> <li>We have board meetings and things have become more official.</li> <li>Q. Because of what's happened here?</li> <li>A. Not at all, because we became a limited company.</li> <li>Q. What arrangements were in place to ensure that there could be no conflict of interests for Hassans's partners who were in Government?</li> <li>A. I assume they would themselves seek whatever consents they needed.</li> <li>THE CHAIRMAN: Sorry, "by themselves", do you mean those in Government?</li> <li>A. Those in Government, yes, Sir.</li> <li>THE CHAIRMAN: That is what you mean?</li> <li>A. Yes, Sir.</li> <li>MR GIBBS: Did Hassans not because</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	trading, what would be the implications for Hassans?  A. Well, it would have lost something on a business venture.  Q. You've lost your money?  A. Yes.  Q. And were there other obligations that Hassans in those circumstances would have had to meet?  A. Yes, we agreed to a consultancy contract with both Mr Perez and Mr Cornelio.  Q. In what sum?  A. £300,000 each.  Q. For what period?  A. For three years. But the consultancy contract had to be entered into and agreed and they would have had obviously performance related issues and warranties.  Q. Apart from the two partners whom I haven't asked you to name, and yourself.  Were other partners at Hassans consulted on the decision to enter into the business proposition with 36 North?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Did they take the proposal on trust from you and from the other two who were involved in agreeing?</li> <li>A. Yes. We now have a different system.</li> <li>We have board meetings and things have become more official.</li> <li>Q. Because of what's happened here?</li> <li>A. Not at all, because we became a limited company.</li> <li>Q. What arrangements were in place to ensure that there could be no conflict of interests for Hassans's partners who were in Government?</li> <li>A. I assume they would themselves seek whatever consents they needed.</li> <li>THE CHAIRMAN: Sorry, "by themselves", do you mean those in Government?</li> <li>A. Those in Government, yes, Sir.</li> <li>THE CHAIRMAN: That is what you mean?</li> <li>A. Yes, Sir.</li> <li>MR GIBBS: Did Hassans not because quite a few partners of Hassans over the</li> </ul>

London, WC2A 1JE

		1	
1	Government, is that right?	1	Q. And the beneficial owner, to a small
2	A. Yes.	2	extent, but a beneficial owner in 36 North?
3	Q. Did Hassans not have a protocol, an	3	A. Yes.
4	understanding about how to deal with any	4	Q. Whose business plan depended upon, I
5	awkwardness?	5	suggest, obtaining this contract from the
6	A. That was left to the person in politics to	6	Government?
_	clear with the Chief Secretary who I assume	7	A. Correct.
7			
8	is the person you have to clear it with.	8	Q. So, the Chief Minister, was he not both
9	Q. The Chief Secretary was himself a	9	beneficiary, potentially, and giver of the
10	partner in Hassans?	10	benefit?
11	A. Not at all.	11	A. Yes, and the result is that they gave it to
12	Q. Before this contract, had Hassans ever	12	somebody else.
13	been in the position where it had a financial	13	Q. And was that always his intention?
14	interest in a contract awarded by the	14	A. It's a matter for him. I don't know.
15	Government and the Government was partly	15	Q. Did you understand it always to be his
16	populated by partners in Hassans. Has that	16	intention?
17	ever happened before?	17	A. No, but fairly early on he decided that it
18	A. No.	18	was not going to go to 36 North.
19	Q. Never happened before?	19	Q. When you had these conversations with
20	A. No.	20	him about it, in which he passed on the fact
21	Q. So this was a new potential problem?	21	that you were, I don't know, a person of
22	A. Yes.	22	interest or whatever the phrase is
23	Q. Yes. Did you see it as a problem?	23	A. Oh no, well before that.
24	A. Well, not if the person who had to declare	24	Q. Before that, yes. And did he at that stage
25	his interest declared it and got whatever	25	say to you, "I'm not going to give this
	Page 213		Page 215
1	consent or whotever process he went through	1	contract to 36 North"?
1	consent or whatever process he went through	1 2	contract to 36 North"?
2	and it was successful.	2	A. No. Or yes, he just didn't say anything.
2 3	and it was successful.  Q. But did you say to for instance, at the	2 3	A. No. Or yes, he just didn't say anything. He decided and he gave it to the other
2 3 4	and it was successful.  Q. But did you say to for instance, at the time of this did you say to the partners who	2 3 4	A. No. Or yes, he just didn't say anything. He decided and he gave it to the other company. It's a matter for him.
2 3 4 5	and it was successful.  Q. But did you say to for instance, at the time of this did you say to the partners who were in Government, "You're going to have	2 3 4 5	A. No. Or yes, he just didn't say anything. He decided and he gave it to the other company. It's a matter for him. Q. Did you detect did you yourself feel
2 3 4 5 6	and it was successful.  Q. But did you say to for instance, at the time of this did you say to the partners who were in Government, "You're going to have to obviously get consents, make declarations	2 3 4 5 6	A. No. Or yes, he just didn't say anything. He decided and he gave it to the other company. It's a matter for him. Q. Did you detect did you yourself feel any awkwardness in this situation?
2 3 4 5 6 7	and it was successful.  Q. But did you say to for instance, at the time of this did you say to the partners who were in Government, "You're going to have to obviously get consents, make declarations to the right people, to show your personal	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>A. No. Or yes, he just didn't say anything.</li> <li>He decided and he gave it to the other company. It's a matter for him.</li> <li>Q. Did you detect did you yourself feel any awkwardness in this situation?</li> <li>A. Well, only if there was something wrong.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	and it was successful.  Q. But did you say to for instance, at the time of this did you say to the partners who were in Government, "You're going to have to obviously get consents, make declarations to the right people, to show your personal interest in this", did you say that to them?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>A. No. Or yes, he just didn't say anything.</li> <li>He decided and he gave it to the other company. It's a matter for him.</li> <li>Q. Did you detect did you yourself feel any awkwardness in this situation?</li> <li>A. Well, only if there was something wrong.</li> <li>I would have expected a tender for the whole</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	and it was successful.  Q. But did you say to for instance, at the time of this did you say to the partners who were in Government, "You're going to have to obviously get consents, make declarations to the right people, to show your personal interest in this", did you say that to them?  A. No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A. No. Or yes, he just didn't say anything.</li> <li>He decided and he gave it to the other company. It's a matter for him.</li> <li>Q. Did you detect did you yourself feel any awkwardness in this situation?</li> <li>A. Well, only if there was something wrong.</li> <li>I would have expected a tender for the whole thing and then there wouldn't be any</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	and it was successful.  Q. But did you say to for instance, at the time of this did you say to the partners who were in Government, "You're going to have to obviously get consents, make declarations to the right people, to show your personal interest in this", did you say that to them?  A. No.  THE CHAIRMAN: You were very aware of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. No. Or yes, he just didn't say anything. He decided and he gave it to the other company. It's a matter for him. Q. Did you detect did you yourself feel any awkwardness in this situation? A. Well, only if there was something wrong. I would have expected a tender for the whole thing and then there wouldn't be any awkwardness because a tender is not decided
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	and it was successful.  Q. But did you say to for instance, at the time of this did you say to the partners who were in Government, "You're going to have to obviously get consents, make declarations to the right people, to show your personal interest in this", did you say that to them?  A. No.  THE CHAIRMAN: You were very aware of red lines, you mentioned that this morning.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. No. Or yes, he just didn't say anything. He decided and he gave it to the other company. It's a matter for him. Q. Did you detect did you yourself feel any awkwardness in this situation? A. Well, only if there was something wrong. I would have expected a tender for the whole thing and then there wouldn't be any awkwardness because a tender is not decided by the Chief Minister; the tender is decided
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	and it was successful.  Q. But did you say to for instance, at the time of this did you say to the partners who were in Government, "You're going to have to obviously get consents, make declarations to the right people, to show your personal interest in this", did you say that to them?  A. No.  THE CHAIRMAN: You were very aware of red lines, you mentioned that this morning.  A. Yes, Sir.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. No. Or yes, he just didn't say anything. He decided and he gave it to the other company. It's a matter for him. Q. Did you detect did you yourself feel any awkwardness in this situation? A. Well, only if there was something wrong. I would have expected a tender for the whole thing and then there wouldn't be any awkwardness because a tender is not decided by the Chief Minister; the tender is decided by a tender board. There are processes in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	and it was successful.  Q. But did you say to for instance, at the time of this did you say to the partners who were in Government, "You're going to have to obviously get consents, make declarations to the right people, to show your personal interest in this", did you say that to them?  A. No.  THE CHAIRMAN: You were very aware of red lines, you mentioned that this morning.  A. Yes, Sir.  THE CHAIRMAN: Did it cross your mind	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. No. Or yes, he just didn't say anything. He decided and he gave it to the other company. It's a matter for him. Q. Did you detect did you yourself feel any awkwardness in this situation? A. Well, only if there was something wrong. I would have expected a tender for the whole thing and then there wouldn't be any awkwardness because a tender is not decided by the Chief Minister; the tender is decided by a tender board. There are processes in Gibraltar which are here and they work and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	and it was successful.  Q. But did you say to for instance, at the time of this did you say to the partners who were in Government, "You're going to have to obviously get consents, make declarations to the right people, to show your personal interest in this", did you say that to them?  A. No.  THE CHAIRMAN: You were very aware of red lines, you mentioned that this morning.  A. Yes, Sir.  THE CHAIRMAN: Did it cross your mind that it would be quite a good idea to define	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. No. Or yes, he just didn't say anything. He decided and he gave it to the other company. It's a matter for him.  Q. Did you detect did you yourself feel any awkwardness in this situation?  A. Well, only if there was something wrong. I would have expected a tender for the whole thing and then there wouldn't be any awkwardness because a tender is not decided by the Chief Minister; the tender is decided by a tender board. There are processes in Gibraltar which are here and they work and they are gone through.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	and it was successful.  Q. But did you say to for instance, at the time of this did you say to the partners who were in Government, "You're going to have to obviously get consents, make declarations to the right people, to show your personal interest in this", did you say that to them?  A. No.  THE CHAIRMAN: You were very aware of red lines, you mentioned that this morning.  A. Yes, Sir.  THE CHAIRMAN: Did it cross your mind that it would be quite a good idea to define them in relation to this contract?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. No. Or yes, he just didn't say anything. He decided and he gave it to the other company. It's a matter for him.  Q. Did you detect did you yourself feel any awkwardness in this situation?  A. Well, only if there was something wrong. I would have expected a tender for the whole thing and then there wouldn't be any awkwardness because a tender is not decided by the Chief Minister; the tender is decided by a tender board. There are processes in Gibraltar which are here and they work and they are gone through.  Q. But in the absence of a tender, how was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	and it was successful.  Q. But did you say to for instance, at the time of this did you say to the partners who were in Government, "You're going to have to obviously get consents, make declarations to the right people, to show your personal interest in this", did you say that to them?  A. No.  THE CHAIRMAN: You were very aware of red lines, you mentioned that this morning.  A. Yes, Sir.  THE CHAIRMAN: Did it cross your mind that it would be quite a good idea to define them in relation to this contract?  A. But I assumed, Sir, if the contract was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. No. Or yes, he just didn't say anything. He decided and he gave it to the other company. It's a matter for him.  Q. Did you detect did you yourself feel any awkwardness in this situation?  A. Well, only if there was something wrong. I would have expected a tender for the whole thing and then there wouldn't be any awkwardness because a tender is not decided by the Chief Minister; the tender is decided by a tender board. There are processes in Gibraltar which are here and they work and they are gone through.  Q. But in the absence of a tender, how was it going to be decided?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	and it was successful.  Q. But did you say to for instance, at the time of this did you say to the partners who were in Government, "You're going to have to obviously get consents, make declarations to the right people, to show your personal interest in this", did you say that to them?  A. No.  THE CHAIRMAN: You were very aware of red lines, you mentioned that this morning.  A. Yes, Sir.  THE CHAIRMAN: Did it cross your mind that it would be quite a good idea to define them in relation to this contract?  A. But I assumed, Sir, if the contract was obtained. But fairly early on we knew that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. No. Or yes, he just didn't say anything. He decided and he gave it to the other company. It's a matter for him.  Q. Did you detect did you yourself feel any awkwardness in this situation?  A. Well, only if there was something wrong. I would have expected a tender for the whole thing and then there wouldn't be any awkwardness because a tender is not decided by the Chief Minister; the tender is decided by a tender board. There are processes in Gibraltar which are here and they work and they are gone through.  Q. But in the absence of a tender, how was it going to be decided?  A. Well, there should have been a tender.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	and it was successful.  Q. But did you say to for instance, at the time of this did you say to the partners who were in Government, "You're going to have to obviously get consents, make declarations to the right people, to show your personal interest in this", did you say that to them?  A. No.  THE CHAIRMAN: You were very aware of red lines, you mentioned that this morning.  A. Yes, Sir.  THE CHAIRMAN: Did it cross your mind that it would be quite a good idea to define them in relation to this contract?  A. But I assumed, Sir, if the contract was obtained. But fairly early on we knew that the contract was not to be given to 36 North.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. No. Or yes, he just didn't say anything. He decided and he gave it to the other company. It's a matter for him.  Q. Did you detect did you yourself feel any awkwardness in this situation?  A. Well, only if there was something wrong. I would have expected a tender for the whole thing and then there wouldn't be any awkwardness because a tender is not decided by the Chief Minister; the tender is decided by a tender board. There are processes in Gibraltar which are here and they work and they are gone through.  Q. But in the absence of a tender, how was it going to be decided?  A. Well, there should have been a tender.  Q. Yes, but in the absence of a tender, how
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	and it was successful.  Q. But did you say to for instance, at the time of this did you say to the partners who were in Government, "You're going to have to obviously get consents, make declarations to the right people, to show your personal interest in this", did you say that to them?  A. No.  THE CHAIRMAN: You were very aware of red lines, you mentioned that this morning.  A. Yes, Sir.  THE CHAIRMAN: Did it cross your mind that it would be quite a good idea to define them in relation to this contract?  A. But I assumed, Sir, if the contract was obtained. But fairly early on we knew that the contract was not to be given to 36 North. Fairly, fairly early on.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. No. Or yes, he just didn't say anything. He decided and he gave it to the other company. It's a matter for him.  Q. Did you detect did you yourself feel any awkwardness in this situation?  A. Well, only if there was something wrong. I would have expected a tender for the whole thing and then there wouldn't be any awkwardness because a tender is not decided by the Chief Minister; the tender is decided by a tender board. There are processes in Gibraltar which are here and they work and they are gone through.  Q. But in the absence of a tender, how was it going to be decided?  A. Well, there should have been a tender.  Q. Yes, but in the absence of a tender, how was it going to be decided?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	and it was successful.  Q. But did you say to for instance, at the time of this did you say to the partners who were in Government, "You're going to have to obviously get consents, make declarations to the right people, to show your personal interest in this", did you say that to them?  A. No.  THE CHAIRMAN: You were very aware of red lines, you mentioned that this morning.  A. Yes, Sir.  THE CHAIRMAN: Did it cross your mind that it would be quite a good idea to define them in relation to this contract?  A. But I assumed, Sir, if the contract was obtained. But fairly early on we knew that the contract was not to be given to 36 North.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. No. Or yes, he just didn't say anything. He decided and he gave it to the other company. It's a matter for him.  Q. Did you detect did you yourself feel any awkwardness in this situation?  A. Well, only if there was something wrong. I would have expected a tender for the whole thing and then there wouldn't be any awkwardness because a tender is not decided by the Chief Minister; the tender is decided by a tender board. There are processes in Gibraltar which are here and they work and they are gone through.  Q. But in the absence of a tender, how was it going to be decided?  A. Well, there should have been a tender.  Q. Yes, but in the absence of a tender, how was it going to be decided?  A. I don't know how it was going to be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	and it was successful.  Q. But did you say to for instance, at the time of this did you say to the partners who were in Government, "You're going to have to obviously get consents, make declarations to the right people, to show your personal interest in this", did you say that to them?  A. No.  THE CHAIRMAN: You were very aware of red lines, you mentioned that this morning.  A. Yes, Sir.  THE CHAIRMAN: Did it cross your mind that it would be quite a good idea to define them in relation to this contract?  A. But I assumed, Sir, if the contract was obtained. But fairly early on we knew that the contract was not to be given to 36 North. Fairly, fairly early on.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. No. Or yes, he just didn't say anything. He decided and he gave it to the other company. It's a matter for him.  Q. Did you detect did you yourself feel any awkwardness in this situation?  A. Well, only if there was something wrong. I would have expected a tender for the whole thing and then there wouldn't be any awkwardness because a tender is not decided by the Chief Minister; the tender is decided by a tender board. There are processes in Gibraltar which are here and they work and they are gone through.  Q. But in the absence of a tender, how was it going to be decided?  A. Well, there should have been a tender.  Q. Yes, but in the absence of a tender, how was it going to be decided?  A. I don't know how it was going to be decided, but it was decided by the Chief
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	and it was successful.  Q. But did you say to for instance, at the time of this did you say to the partners who were in Government, "You're going to have to obviously get consents, make declarations to the right people, to show your personal interest in this", did you say that to them?  A. No.  THE CHAIRMAN: You were very aware of red lines, you mentioned that this morning.  A. Yes, Sir.  THE CHAIRMAN: Did it cross your mind that it would be quite a good idea to define them in relation to this contract?  A. But I assumed, Sir, if the contract was obtained. But fairly early on we knew that the contract was not to be given to 36 North. Fairly, fairly early on.  MR GIBBS: The contract was in the gift of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. No. Or yes, he just didn't say anything. He decided and he gave it to the other company. It's a matter for him.  Q. Did you detect did you yourself feel any awkwardness in this situation?  A. Well, only if there was something wrong. I would have expected a tender for the whole thing and then there wouldn't be any awkwardness because a tender is not decided by the Chief Minister; the tender is decided by a tender board. There are processes in Gibraltar which are here and they work and they are gone through.  Q. But in the absence of a tender, how was it going to be decided?  A. Well, there should have been a tender.  Q. Yes, but in the absence of a tender, how was it going to be decided?  A. I don't know how it was going to be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	and it was successful.  Q. But did you say to for instance, at the time of this did you say to the partners who were in Government, "You're going to have to obviously get consents, make declarations to the right people, to show your personal interest in this", did you say that to them?  A. No.  THE CHAIRMAN: You were very aware of red lines, you mentioned that this morning.  A. Yes, Sir.  THE CHAIRMAN: Did it cross your mind that it would be quite a good idea to define them in relation to this contract?  A. But I assumed, Sir, if the contract was obtained. But fairly early on we knew that the contract was not to be given to 36 North. Fairly, fairly early on.  MR GIBBS: The contract was in the gift of the Government?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. No. Or yes, he just didn't say anything. He decided and he gave it to the other company. It's a matter for him.  Q. Did you detect did you yourself feel any awkwardness in this situation?  A. Well, only if there was something wrong. I would have expected a tender for the whole thing and then there wouldn't be any awkwardness because a tender is not decided by the Chief Minister; the tender is decided by a tender board. There are processes in Gibraltar which are here and they work and they are gone through.  Q. But in the absence of a tender, how was it going to be decided?  A. Well, there should have been a tender.  Q. Yes, but in the absence of a tender, how was it going to be decided?  A. I don't know how it was going to be decided, but it was decided by the Chief
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	and it was successful.  Q. But did you say to for instance, at the time of this did you say to the partners who were in Government, "You're going to have to obviously get consents, make declarations to the right people, to show your personal interest in this", did you say that to them?  A. No.  THE CHAIRMAN: You were very aware of red lines, you mentioned that this morning.  A. Yes, Sir.  THE CHAIRMAN: Did it cross your mind that it would be quite a good idea to define them in relation to this contract?  A. But I assumed, Sir, if the contract was obtained. But fairly early on we knew that the contract was not to be given to 36 North. Fairly, fairly early on.  MR GIBBS: The contract was in the gift of the Government?  A. Correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. No. Or yes, he just didn't say anything. He decided and he gave it to the other company. It's a matter for him.  Q. Did you detect did you yourself feel any awkwardness in this situation?  A. Well, only if there was something wrong. I would have expected a tender for the whole thing and then there wouldn't be any awkwardness because a tender is not decided by the Chief Minister; the tender is decided by a tender board. There are processes in Gibraltar which are here and they work and they are gone through.  Q. But in the absence of a tender, how was it going to be decided?  A. Well, there should have been a tender.  Q. Yes, but in the absence of a tender, how was it going to be decided?  A. I don't know how it was going to be decided, but it was decided by the Chief Minister and it was given to the other party.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	and it was successful.  Q. But did you say to for instance, at the time of this did you say to the partners who were in Government, "You're going to have to obviously get consents, make declarations to the right people, to show your personal interest in this", did you say that to them?  A. No.  THE CHAIRMAN: You were very aware of red lines, you mentioned that this morning.  A. Yes, Sir.  THE CHAIRMAN: Did it cross your mind that it would be quite a good idea to define them in relation to this contract?  A. But I assumed, Sir, if the contract was obtained. But fairly early on we knew that the contract was not to be given to 36 North. Fairly, fairly early on.  MR GIBBS: The contract was in the gift of the Government?  A. Correct.  Q. The Chief Minister was a partner of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. No. Or yes, he just didn't say anything. He decided and he gave it to the other company. It's a matter for him.  Q. Did you detect did you yourself feel any awkwardness in this situation?  A. Well, only if there was something wrong. I would have expected a tender for the whole thing and then there wouldn't be any awkwardness because a tender is not decided by the Chief Minister; the tender is decided by a tender board. There are processes in Gibraltar which are here and they work and they are gone through.  Q. But in the absence of a tender, how was it going to be decided?  A. Well, there should have been a tender.  Q. Yes, but in the absence of a tender, how was it going to be decided?  A. I don't know how it was going to be decided, but it was decided by the Chief Minister and it was given to the other party.  Q. So it was going to be decided by the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	and it was successful.  Q. But did you say to for instance, at the time of this did you say to the partners who were in Government, "You're going to have to obviously get consents, make declarations to the right people, to show your personal interest in this", did you say that to them?  A. No.  THE CHAIRMAN: You were very aware of red lines, you mentioned that this morning.  A. Yes, Sir.  THE CHAIRMAN: Did it cross your mind that it would be quite a good idea to define them in relation to this contract?  A. But I assumed, Sir, if the contract was obtained. But fairly early on we knew that the contract was not to be given to 36 North. Fairly, fairly early on.  MR GIBBS: The contract was in the gift of the Government?  A. Correct.  Q. The Chief Minister was a partner of Hassans?  A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. No. Or yes, he just didn't say anything. He decided and he gave it to the other company. It's a matter for him.  Q. Did you detect did you yourself feel any awkwardness in this situation?  A. Well, only if there was something wrong. I would have expected a tender for the whole thing and then there wouldn't be any awkwardness because a tender is not decided by the Chief Minister; the tender is decided by a tender board. There are processes in Gibraltar which are here and they work and they are gone through.  Q. But in the absence of a tender, how was it going to be decided?  A. Well, there should have been a tender.  Q. Yes, but in the absence of a tender, how was it going to be decided?  A. I don't know how it was going to be decided, but it was decided by the Chief Minister and it was given to the other party.  Q. So it was going to be decided by the Chief Minister?  A. Unless they decided to go for a tender.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	and it was successful.  Q. But did you say to for instance, at the time of this did you say to the partners who were in Government, "You're going to have to obviously get consents, make declarations to the right people, to show your personal interest in this", did you say that to them?  A. No.  THE CHAIRMAN: You were very aware of red lines, you mentioned that this morning.  A. Yes, Sir.  THE CHAIRMAN: Did it cross your mind that it would be quite a good idea to define them in relation to this contract?  A. But I assumed, Sir, if the contract was obtained. But fairly early on we knew that the contract was not to be given to 36 North. Fairly, fairly early on.  MR GIBBS: The contract was in the gift of the Government?  A. Correct.  Q. The Chief Minister was a partner of Hassans?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. No. Or yes, he just didn't say anything. He decided and he gave it to the other company. It's a matter for him.  Q. Did you detect did you yourself feel any awkwardness in this situation?  A. Well, only if there was something wrong. I would have expected a tender for the whole thing and then there wouldn't be any awkwardness because a tender is not decided by the Chief Minister; the tender is decided by a tender board. There are processes in Gibraltar which are here and they work and they are gone through.  Q. But in the absence of a tender, how was it going to be decided?  A. Well, there should have been a tender.  Q. Yes, but in the absence of a tender, how was it going to be decided?  A. I don't know how it was going to be decided, but it was decided by the Chief Minister and it was given to the other party.  Q. So it was going to be decided by the Chief Minister?

1	Q. And he then, is this right, he would have	1	you heard, was to try and see if both of them
2	to be, in making that decision, and in	2	could work together and if they could
3	thinking about that decision, absolutely	3	without us, would be to step aside and I made
4	scrupulous not to allow his own potential	4	that clear to Mr Perez and Mr Cornelio. That
5	advantage and your potential advantage and	5	was my aim once I saw that friction was
6	Hassans's potential advantage and all of this	6	starting to occur.
7	co-partners' potential advantage, to influence	7	Q. As a shareholder of about ten-and-a-half
8	him in any way?	8	per cent in 36 North, did you think that the
9	A. It did, to give it to the other side.	9	problems that Blands were experiencing in
10	Q. And to be transparent about that?	10	providing the service was good or bad for the
11	A. I don't understand the question.	11	shareholders?
12	Q. Would he, did you think, need to be	12	A. Frankly, I think it was bad for Gibraltar.
13	transparent about that?	13	Q. And the answer to my question?
14	A. Well, what happened happened and that	14	A. I thought it could be a possibility for 36
15	is he gave it to the other side.	15	North to get the contract, yes, but it didn't
16	Q. Would he need, in your opinion, to be	16	exercise my mind hugely.
17	transparent about that?	17	Q. Were you indifferent to whether 36 North
18	A. About giving it to the other side?	18	got the contract?
19	Q. Is that what you think I am asking, Mr	19	A. I wasn't indifferent, but when I saw the
20	Levy?	20	friction I felt it was best to step aside and let
21	A. That is what I think you're asking.	21	the two parties work together.
22	Q. Yes.	22	Q. The problems that Blands were
23	A. So perhaps you can put it in a way that I	23	experiencing, did you speak to Mr Picardo
24	can understand it better.	24	about them?
25	Q. Yes. Yes, of course. What I am asking is	25	A. No.
23	Q. 165. 165, of course. What I am asking is		110
	Page 217		Page 219
1	whether he would have to be in your view	1	O Did he seem to be aware of them?
1 2	whether he would have to be, in your view,	1 2	Q. Did he seem to be aware of them?  A. I don't know if Mr Perez or Mr Cornelio
2	transparent about the potential conflict of	2	A. I don't know if Mr Perez or Mr Cornelio
2 3	transparent about the potential conflict of interest.	2 3	A. I don't know if Mr Perez or Mr Cornelio told him.
2	transparent about the potential conflict of interest.  A. I am sure he would be transparent, but it	2 3 4	<ul><li>A. I don't know if Mr Perez or Mr Cornelio told him.</li><li>Q. Did Mr Picardo ever express to you any</li></ul>
2 3 4 5	transparent about the potential conflict of interest.  A. I am sure he would be transparent, but it didn't arise.	2 3 4 5	<ul><li>A. I don't know if Mr Perez or Mr Cornelio told him.</li><li>Q. Did Mr Picardo ever express to you any worry about the difficulties?</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6	transparent about the potential conflict of interest.  A. I am sure he would be transparent, but it didn't arise.  Q. Was the contract unlikely to be removed	2 3 4 5 6	<ul><li>A. I don't know if Mr Perez or Mr Cornelio told him.</li><li>Q. Did Mr Picardo ever express to you any</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7	transparent about the potential conflict of interest.  A. I am sure he would be transparent, but it didn't arise.  Q. Was the contract unlikely to be removed from Blands if Blands were providing a	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>A. I don't know if Mr Perez or Mr Cornelio told him.</li> <li>Q. Did Mr Picardo ever express to you any worry about the difficulties?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Was he in contact with the other</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	transparent about the potential conflict of interest.  A. I am sure he would be transparent, but it didn't arise.  Q. Was the contract unlikely to be removed from Blands if Blands were providing a satisfactory service?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>A. I don't know if Mr Perez or Mr Cornelio told him.</li> <li>Q. Did Mr Picardo ever express to you any worry about the difficulties?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Was he in contact with the other shareholders?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	transparent about the potential conflict of interest.  A. I am sure he would be transparent, but it didn't arise.  Q. Was the contract unlikely to be removed from Blands if Blands were providing a satisfactory service?  A. I wouldn't know that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A. I don't know if Mr Perez or Mr Cornelio told him.</li> <li>Q. Did Mr Picardo ever express to you any worry about the difficulties?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Was he in contact with the other shareholders?</li> <li>A. For a time, yes, but I wouldn't know.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	transparent about the potential conflict of interest.  A. I am sure he would be transparent, but it didn't arise.  Q. Was the contract unlikely to be removed from Blands if Blands were providing a satisfactory service?  A. I wouldn't know that.  Q. Did you think it was more or less likely	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A. I don't know if Mr Perez or Mr Cornelio told him.</li> <li>Q. Did Mr Picardo ever express to you any worry about the difficulties?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Was he in contact with the other shareholders?</li> <li>A. For a time, yes, but I wouldn't know.</li> <li>Q. You don't know?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	transparent about the potential conflict of interest.  A. I am sure he would be transparent, but it didn't arise.  Q. Was the contract unlikely to be removed from Blands if Blands were providing a satisfactory service?  A. I wouldn't know that.  Q. Did you think it was more or less likely that the contract would be removed from	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. I don't know if Mr Perez or Mr Cornelio told him.  Q. Did Mr Picardo ever express to you any worry about the difficulties?  A. No.  Q. Was he in contact with the other shareholders?  A. For a time, yes, but I wouldn't know.  Q. You don't know?  A. I know he was but I don't know how
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	transparent about the potential conflict of interest.  A. I am sure he would be transparent, but it didn't arise.  Q. Was the contract unlikely to be removed from Blands if Blands were providing a satisfactory service?  A. I wouldn't know that.  Q. Did you think it was more or less likely that the contract would be removed from Blands if Blands were providing a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. I don't know if Mr Perez or Mr Cornelio told him.  Q. Did Mr Picardo ever express to you any worry about the difficulties?  A. No.  Q. Was he in contact with the other shareholders?  A. For a time, yes, but I wouldn't know.  Q. You don't know?  A. I know he was but I don't know how often and when.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	transparent about the potential conflict of interest.  A. I am sure he would be transparent, but it didn't arise.  Q. Was the contract unlikely to be removed from Blands if Blands were providing a satisfactory service?  A. I wouldn't know that.  Q. Did you think it was more or less likely that the contract would be removed from Blands if Blands were providing a satisfactory service?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. I don't know if Mr Perez or Mr Cornelio told him.  Q. Did Mr Picardo ever express to you any worry about the difficulties?  A. No.  Q. Was he in contact with the other shareholders?  A. For a time, yes, but I wouldn't know.  Q. You don't know?  A. I know he was but I don't know how often and when.  Q. Did you do anything to facilitate that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	transparent about the potential conflict of interest.  A. I am sure he would be transparent, but it didn't arise.  Q. Was the contract unlikely to be removed from Blands if Blands were providing a satisfactory service?  A. I wouldn't know that.  Q. Did you think it was more or less likely that the contract would be removed from Blands if Blands were providing a satisfactory service?  A. It's not something I thought of.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. I don't know if Mr Perez or Mr Cornelio told him.  Q. Did Mr Picardo ever express to you any worry about the difficulties?  A. No.  Q. Was he in contact with the other shareholders?  A. For a time, yes, but I wouldn't know.  Q. You don't know?  A. I know he was but I don't know how often and when.  Q. Did you do anything to facilitate that contact?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	transparent about the potential conflict of interest.  A. I am sure he would be transparent, but it didn't arise.  Q. Was the contract unlikely to be removed from Blands if Blands were providing a satisfactory service?  A. I wouldn't know that.  Q. Did you think it was more or less likely that the contract would be removed from Blands if Blands were providing a satisfactory service?  A. It's not something I thought of.  Q. It never occurred to you?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. I don't know if Mr Perez or Mr Cornelio told him.  Q. Did Mr Picardo ever express to you any worry about the difficulties?  A. No.  Q. Was he in contact with the other shareholders?  A. For a time, yes, but I wouldn't know.  Q. You don't know?  A. I know he was but I don't know how often and when.  Q. Did you do anything to facilitate that contact?  A. They didn't need me. They had direct
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	transparent about the potential conflict of interest.  A. I am sure he would be transparent, but it didn't arise.  Q. Was the contract unlikely to be removed from Blands if Blands were providing a satisfactory service?  A. I wouldn't know that.  Q. Did you think it was more or less likely that the contract would be removed from Blands if Blands were providing a satisfactory service?  A. It's not something I thought of.  Q. It never occurred to you?  A. No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. I don't know if Mr Perez or Mr Cornelio told him.  Q. Did Mr Picardo ever express to you any worry about the difficulties?  A. No.  Q. Was he in contact with the other shareholders?  A. For a time, yes, but I wouldn't know.  Q. You don't know?  A. I know he was but I don't know how often and when.  Q. Did you do anything to facilitate that contact?  A. They didn't need me. They had direct access.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	transparent about the potential conflict of interest.  A. I am sure he would be transparent, but it didn't arise.  Q. Was the contract unlikely to be removed from Blands if Blands were providing a satisfactory service?  A. I wouldn't know that.  Q. Did you think it was more or less likely that the contract would be removed from Blands if Blands were providing a satisfactory service?  A. It's not something I thought of.  Q. It never occurred to you?  A. No.  Q. Did you hear that Blands were	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. I don't know if Mr Perez or Mr Cornelio told him.  Q. Did Mr Picardo ever express to you any worry about the difficulties?  A. No.  Q. Was he in contact with the other shareholders?  A. For a time, yes, but I wouldn't know.  Q. You don't know?  A. I know he was but I don't know how often and when.  Q. Did you do anything to facilitate that contact?  A. They didn't need me. They had direct access.  Q. But whether they needed you or not, did
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	transparent about the potential conflict of interest.  A. I am sure he would be transparent, but it didn't arise.  Q. Was the contract unlikely to be removed from Blands if Blands were providing a satisfactory service?  A. I wouldn't know that.  Q. Did you think it was more or less likely that the contract would be removed from Blands if Blands were providing a satisfactory service?  A. It's not something I thought of.  Q. It never occurred to you?  A. No.  Q. Did you hear that Blands were experiencing any difficulties in providing the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. I don't know if Mr Perez or Mr Cornelio told him.  Q. Did Mr Picardo ever express to you any worry about the difficulties?  A. No.  Q. Was he in contact with the other shareholders?  A. For a time, yes, but I wouldn't know.  Q. You don't know?  A. I know he was but I don't know how often and when.  Q. Did you do anything to facilitate that contact?  A. They didn't need me. They had direct access.  Q. But whether they needed you or not, did you do anything to facilitate the contact?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	transparent about the potential conflict of interest.  A. I am sure he would be transparent, but it didn't arise.  Q. Was the contract unlikely to be removed from Blands if Blands were providing a satisfactory service?  A. I wouldn't know that.  Q. Did you think it was more or less likely that the contract would be removed from Blands if Blands were providing a satisfactory service?  A. It's not something I thought of.  Q. It never occurred to you?  A. No.  Q. Did you hear that Blands were experiencing any difficulties in providing the service?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. I don't know if Mr Perez or Mr Cornelio told him.  Q. Did Mr Picardo ever express to you any worry about the difficulties?  A. No.  Q. Was he in contact with the other shareholders?  A. For a time, yes, but I wouldn't know.  Q. You don't know?  A. I know he was but I don't know how often and when.  Q. Did you do anything to facilitate that contact?  A. They didn't need me. They had direct access.  Q. But whether they needed you or not, did you do anything to facilitate the contact?  A. I don't recall if I ever put them together or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	transparent about the potential conflict of interest.  A. I am sure he would be transparent, but it didn't arise.  Q. Was the contract unlikely to be removed from Blands if Blands were providing a satisfactory service?  A. I wouldn't know that.  Q. Did you think it was more or less likely that the contract would be removed from Blands if Blands were providing a satisfactory service?  A. It's not something I thought of.  Q. It never occurred to you?  A. No.  Q. Did you hear that Blands were experiencing any difficulties in providing the service?  A. Sir, should I answer that question?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. I don't know if Mr Perez or Mr Cornelio told him.  Q. Did Mr Picardo ever express to you any worry about the difficulties?  A. No.  Q. Was he in contact with the other shareholders?  A. For a time, yes, but I wouldn't know.  Q. You don't know?  A. I know he was but I don't know how often and when.  Q. Did you do anything to facilitate that contact?  A. They didn't need me. They had direct access.  Q. But whether they needed you or not, did you do anything to facilitate the contact?  A. I don't recall if I ever put them together or sent a message. I may have.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	transparent about the potential conflict of interest.  A. I am sure he would be transparent, but it didn't arise.  Q. Was the contract unlikely to be removed from Blands if Blands were providing a satisfactory service?  A. I wouldn't know that.  Q. Did you think it was more or less likely that the contract would be removed from Blands if Blands were providing a satisfactory service?  A. It's not something I thought of.  Q. It never occurred to you?  A. No.  Q. Did you hear that Blands were experiencing any difficulties in providing the service?  A. Sir, should I answer that question?  THE CHAIRMAN: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. I don't know if Mr Perez or Mr Cornelio told him.  Q. Did Mr Picardo ever express to you any worry about the difficulties?  A. No.  Q. Was he in contact with the other shareholders?  A. For a time, yes, but I wouldn't know.  Q. You don't know?  A. I know he was but I don't know how often and when.  Q. Did you do anything to facilitate that contact?  A. They didn't need me. They had direct access.  Q. But whether they needed you or not, did you do anything to facilitate the contact?  A. I don't recall if I ever put them together or sent a message. I may have.  Q. I won't put it up on screen again, but do
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	transparent about the potential conflict of interest.  A. I am sure he would be transparent, but it didn't arise.  Q. Was the contract unlikely to be removed from Blands if Blands were providing a satisfactory service?  A. I wouldn't know that.  Q. Did you think it was more or less likely that the contract would be removed from Blands if Blands were providing a satisfactory service?  A. It's not something I thought of.  Q. It never occurred to you?  A. No.  Q. Did you hear that Blands were experiencing any difficulties in providing the service?  A. Sir, should I answer that question?  THE CHAIRMAN: Yes.  A. Yes, I did.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. I don't know if Mr Perez or Mr Cornelio told him.  Q. Did Mr Picardo ever express to you any worry about the difficulties?  A. No.  Q. Was he in contact with the other shareholders?  A. For a time, yes, but I wouldn't know.  Q. You don't know?  A. I know he was but I don't know how often and when.  Q. Did you do anything to facilitate that contact?  A. They didn't need me. They had direct access.  Q. But whether they needed you or not, did you do anything to facilitate the contact?  A. I don't recall if I ever put them together or sent a message. I may have.  Q. I won't put it up on screen again, but do you remember Mr Santos showed you some
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	transparent about the potential conflict of interest.  A. I am sure he would be transparent, but it didn't arise.  Q. Was the contract unlikely to be removed from Blands if Blands were providing a satisfactory service?  A. I wouldn't know that.  Q. Did you think it was more or less likely that the contract would be removed from Blands if Blands were providing a satisfactory service?  A. It's not something I thought of.  Q. It never occurred to you?  A. No.  Q. Did you hear that Blands were experiencing any difficulties in providing the service?  A. Sir, should I answer that question?  THE CHAIRMAN: Yes.  A. Yes, I did.  MR GIBBS: Was that good or bad for 36	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. I don't know if Mr Perez or Mr Cornelio told him.  Q. Did Mr Picardo ever express to you any worry about the difficulties?  A. No.  Q. Was he in contact with the other shareholders?  A. For a time, yes, but I wouldn't know.  Q. You don't know?  A. I know he was but I don't know how often and when.  Q. Did you do anything to facilitate that contact?  A. They didn't need me. They had direct access.  Q. But whether they needed you or not, did you do anything to facilitate the contact?  A. I don't recall if I ever put them together or sent a message. I may have.  Q. I won't put it up on screen again, but do you remember Mr Santos showed you some messages
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	transparent about the potential conflict of interest.  A. I am sure he would be transparent, but it didn't arise.  Q. Was the contract unlikely to be removed from Blands if Blands were providing a satisfactory service?  A. I wouldn't know that.  Q. Did you think it was more or less likely that the contract would be removed from Blands if Blands were providing a satisfactory service?  A. It's not something I thought of.  Q. It never occurred to you?  A. No.  Q. Did you hear that Blands were experiencing any difficulties in providing the service?  A. Sir, should I answer that question?  THE CHAIRMAN: Yes.  A. Yes, I did.  MR GIBBS: Was that good or bad for 36 North?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. I don't know if Mr Perez or Mr Cornelio told him.  Q. Did Mr Picardo ever express to you any worry about the difficulties?  A. No.  Q. Was he in contact with the other shareholders?  A. For a time, yes, but I wouldn't know.  Q. You don't know?  A. I know he was but I don't know how often and when.  Q. Did you do anything to facilitate that contact?  A. They didn't need me. They had direct access.  Q. But whether they needed you or not, did you do anything to facilitate the contact?  A. I don't recall if I ever put them together or sent a message. I may have.  Q. I won't put it up on screen again, but do you remember Mr Santos showed you some messages  A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	transparent about the potential conflict of interest.  A. I am sure he would be transparent, but it didn't arise.  Q. Was the contract unlikely to be removed from Blands if Blands were providing a satisfactory service?  A. I wouldn't know that.  Q. Did you think it was more or less likely that the contract would be removed from Blands if Blands were providing a satisfactory service?  A. It's not something I thought of.  Q. It never occurred to you?  A. No.  Q. Did you hear that Blands were experiencing any difficulties in providing the service?  A. Sir, should I answer that question?  THE CHAIRMAN: Yes.  A. Yes, I did.  MR GIBBS: Was that good or bad for 36	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. I don't know if Mr Perez or Mr Cornelio told him.  Q. Did Mr Picardo ever express to you any worry about the difficulties?  A. No.  Q. Was he in contact with the other shareholders?  A. For a time, yes, but I wouldn't know.  Q. You don't know?  A. I know he was but I don't know how often and when.  Q. Did you do anything to facilitate that contact?  A. They didn't need me. They had direct access.  Q. But whether they needed you or not, did you do anything to facilitate the contact?  A. I don't recall if I ever put them together or sent a message. I may have.  Q. I won't put it up on screen again, but do you remember Mr Santos showed you some messages
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	transparent about the potential conflict of interest.  A. I am sure he would be transparent, but it didn't arise.  Q. Was the contract unlikely to be removed from Blands if Blands were providing a satisfactory service?  A. I wouldn't know that.  Q. Did you think it was more or less likely that the contract would be removed from Blands if Blands were providing a satisfactory service?  A. It's not something I thought of.  Q. It never occurred to you?  A. No.  Q. Did you hear that Blands were experiencing any difficulties in providing the service?  A. Sir, should I answer that question?  THE CHAIRMAN: Yes.  A. Yes, I did.  MR GIBBS: Was that good or bad for 36 North?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. I don't know if Mr Perez or Mr Cornelio told him.  Q. Did Mr Picardo ever express to you any worry about the difficulties?  A. No.  Q. Was he in contact with the other shareholders?  A. For a time, yes, but I wouldn't know.  Q. You don't know?  A. I know he was but I don't know how often and when.  Q. Did you do anything to facilitate that contact?  A. They didn't need me. They had direct access.  Q. But whether they needed you or not, did you do anything to facilitate the contact?  A. I don't recall if I ever put them together or sent a message. I may have.  Q. I won't put it up on screen again, but do you remember Mr Santos showed you some messages  A. Yes.

55 (Pages 217 to 220)

1	A. But some of the messages sent to me	1	A. The first interview, yes.
2	were not replied to.	2	Q. Was he a shareholder in 36 North?
3	Q. I am not asking you about your state of	3	A. I don't recall.
4	knowledge in what was going on. I want to	4	Q. To the tune of about 1 per cent I mean?
5	ask you no question about whether you were	5	A. I don't recall.
6	involved in a way that might have been	6	Q. In the second instance, so after the first
7	suspicious or criminal in what happened, all	7	attendance, did somebody from a different
8	right, but did you tell Mr Picardo whatever	8	firm represent Mr Perez?
9	you knew about what was causing the	9	A. Yes.
10	problems?	10	Q. And why was that?
11	A. In the system?	11	A. Well, we felt it was good to have another
12	Q. Yes.	12	expert from another firm do it.
13	A. No.	13	Q. Any other reason why you went to
14			
	Q. When Mr Perez was arrested and	14	another why he went to another firm?
15	interviewed, did you attend the police station	15	A. I don't recall.
16	to represent him?	16	Q. Was it a relevant consideration in his
17	A. No.	17	representation that he not be represented by
18	Q. Why not?	18	someone from Hassans who were
19	A. Well, I don't do that type of work.	19	shareholders in the same venture in respect of
20	Q. If you had felt able to do so, would you	20	which he was under investigation?
21	have been happy to attend for him?	21	A. A consideration by whom? By him, by
22	A. No, I would have got a partner who does	22	us or by the new firm?
23	that type of work.	23	Q. May we start with you.
24	Q. Why wouldn't you have attended?	24	A. Yes.
25	A. Because I said it's not the type of work I	25	Q. Just if you could spell it out, why was it
	Page 221		Page 223
1	do.	1	relevant that when Mr Perez, a shareholder in
2	Q. Any other reason?	2	36 North, was being interviewed about what
3	A. I mean, I can't tell you something I didn't	3	had happened with 36 North, that he not be
4	think of.	4	represented by another shareholder in 36
5	Q. No. Well, I just wonder whether Mr	5	North?
6	Perez obviously a shareholder in 36 North,	6	A. But it wouldn't necessarily be another
7			shareholder. There would have been people
	now arrested and police wanting to interview him under caution, and you were also a	7	
8 9	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	8	who were not partners who did that sort of
	shareholder effectively in 36 North, would	9	work.
10	that have been relevant to decide to your	10	Q. And even they, you thought, it would be
11	decision whether to represent him?	11	better
12	A. You mean a conflict of interest?	12	A. They thought it would be better too.
13	Q. Call it what you choose the word.	13	Q. Yes, because of Hassans's beneficial
14	A. Well, really, do I have to answer a	14	interest in the venture under discussion. Is
15	hypothetical question?	15	that right?
16	THE CHAIRMAN: He has given a reason	16	A. They chose that.
17	why it would never have crossed his mind to	17	Q. And so somebody else represented Mr
18	represent him.	18	Perez, didn't they? The warrant on the 12th.
19	MR GIBBS: Yes. So you've never had to	19	Were you expecting a search warrant?
20	ask yourself the question?	20	A. No.
21	A. That's right.	21	Q. Did you expect that the police might want
22	Q. And I don't want his name, unless you	22	to interview you under caution?
23	think it is relevant, I see no need to mention	23	A. Up to that time, no.
24	the name, but did another partner in Hassans	24	Q. And you had anything to hide?
25	attend to represent him?	25	A. No.
	Page 222		Page 224

1	Q. You agreed to come down to the office?	1	Q. And did you think, just to return to my
2	A. Yes. I thought they were wrong in going	2	question, I wasn't quite sure I heard all of
3	for a warrant and they should have gone for a	3	your answer, did you think that he couldn't
4	production order.	4	do anything about it because it would have
5	Q. And on the way to the office, have I	5	been quite wrong for him to do anything
6	understood you right, you rang some people,	6	about it?
7	including the Chief Minister?	7	A. And because he couldn't do anything
8	A. Yes, friends, yes, I did, and family.	8	about it, but this didn't cross my mind. I
9	Q. I don't ask about your friends and family,	9	wasn't telling him to do anything, or ringing
10	but what did you say to the Chief Minister?	10	for him to do anything.
11	A. Nothing, that the police wanted to speak	11	Q. The question of opening places of
12	to me and they were in the office.	12	worship that you mentioned
13	Q. And did you say it as calmly as that?	13	A. Yes.
14	A. I don't recall whether I said it calmly. I	14	Q is that something you discussed on the
15	mean, I was very distraught, but I know how	15	same call?
16	to get hold of myself.	16	A. No, no.
17	Q. What did you want him to do about it?	17	Q. So you rang on the way down to the
18	A. Nothing.	18	office, specifically about the fact that the
19	Q. So why did you ring him?	19	police were there with a search warrant?
20	A. I rang some friends.	20	A. Correct.
21	Q. You rang him as a friend?	21	Q. Did you ring him as a fellow partner in
22	A. Yes.	22	Hassans or as a fellow shareholder in 36
23	Q. Wanting him to do nothing at all about it?	23	North or as the Chief Minister of Gibraltar or
24	A. He couldn't do anything about it. It was	24	as a friend?
25	clear from the unfairness of the way this was	25	A. A friend. I rang a number of friends.
	Page 225		Page 227
4	• 4 4 1 14 • 1 4 64	1 1	0 0 101 111 11 1 1 1 1 1 1
1	investigated and the involvement of the	1	Q. So, if he did do anything about it, it was
2	relevant party on the other side and the	2	not because you wanted that to happen?
2 3	relevant party on the other side and the closeness of that team that nothing could be	2 3	not because you wanted that to happen?  A. I didn't expect anything to happen.
2 3 4	relevant party on the other side and the closeness of that team that nothing could be done.	2 3 4	not because you wanted that to happen?  A. I didn't expect anything to happen.  Q. When you came down to the office, did
2 3 4 5	relevant party on the other side and the closeness of that team that nothing could be done.  Q. You mean that he couldn't do anything	2 3 4 5	not because you wanted that to happen?  A. I didn't expect anything to happen.  Q. When you came down to the office, did you find that the police had attended really as
2 3 4 5 6	relevant party on the other side and the closeness of that team that nothing could be done.  Q. You mean that he couldn't do anything about it because it would have been quite	2 3 4 5 6	not because you wanted that to happen?  A. I didn't expect anything to happen.  Q. When you came down to the office, did you find that the police had attended really as discreetly as any warrant can ever have
2 3 4 5 6 7	relevant party on the other side and the closeness of that team that nothing could be done.  Q. You mean that he couldn't do anything about it because it would have been quite wrong for him to have done anything about	2 3 4 5 6 7	not because you wanted that to happen?  A. I didn't expect anything to happen.  Q. When you came down to the office, did you find that the police had attended really as discreetly as any warrant can ever have involved?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	relevant party on the other side and the closeness of that team that nothing could be done.  Q. You mean that he couldn't do anything about it because it would have been quite wrong for him to have done anything about it?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	not because you wanted that to happen?  A. I didn't expect anything to happen.  Q. When you came down to the office, did you find that the police had attended really as discreetly as any warrant can ever have involved?  A. Yes, I recorded that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	relevant party on the other side and the closeness of that team that nothing could be done.  Q. You mean that he couldn't do anything about it because it would have been quite wrong for him to have done anything about it?  A. Correct no, incorrect, and because I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	not because you wanted that to happen?  A. I didn't expect anything to happen.  Q. When you came down to the office, did you find that the police had attended really as discreetly as any warrant can ever have involved?  A. Yes, I recorded that.  Q. And you have recorded that the personal
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	relevant party on the other side and the closeness of that team that nothing could be done.  Q. You mean that he couldn't do anything about it because it would have been quite wrong for him to have done anything about it?  A. Correct no, incorrect, and because I think there was an unfairness on the other	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	not because you wanted that to happen?  A. I didn't expect anything to happen.  Q. When you came down to the office, did you find that the police had attended really as discreetly as any warrant can ever have involved?  A. Yes, I recorded that.  Q. And you have recorded that the personal courtesy of the officers
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	relevant party on the other side and the closeness of that team that nothing could be done.  Q. You mean that he couldn't do anything about it because it would have been quite wrong for him to have done anything about it?  A. Correct no, incorrect, and because I think there was an unfairness on the other side and in the closeness of people visiting	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	not because you wanted that to happen?  A. I didn't expect anything to happen.  Q. When you came down to the office, did you find that the police had attended really as discreetly as any warrant can ever have involved?  A. Yes, I recorded that.  Q. And you have recorded that the personal courtesy of the officers  A. Yes, not only once.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	relevant party on the other side and the closeness of that team that nothing could be done.  Q. You mean that he couldn't do anything about it because it would have been quite wrong for him to have done anything about it?  A. Correct no, incorrect, and because I think there was an unfairness on the other side and in the closeness of people visiting other people's offices and exchanging	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	not because you wanted that to happen?  A. I didn't expect anything to happen.  Q. When you came down to the office, did you find that the police had attended really as discreetly as any warrant can ever have involved?  A. Yes, I recorded that.  Q. And you have recorded that the personal courtesy of the officers  A. Yes, not only once.  Q. Yes. Did you expect to be treated
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	relevant party on the other side and the closeness of that team that nothing could be done.  Q. You mean that he couldn't do anything about it because it would have been quite wrong for him to have done anything about it?  A. Correct no, incorrect, and because I think there was an unfairness on the other side and in the closeness of people visiting other people's offices and exchanging information and giving advance information	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	not because you wanted that to happen?  A. I didn't expect anything to happen.  Q. When you came down to the office, did you find that the police had attended really as discreetly as any warrant can ever have involved?  A. Yes, I recorded that.  Q. And you have recorded that the personal courtesy of the officers  A. Yes, not only once.  Q. Yes. Did you expect to be treated differently from any other suspect if suspect
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	relevant party on the other side and the closeness of that team that nothing could be done.  Q. You mean that he couldn't do anything about it because it would have been quite wrong for him to have done anything about it?  A. Correct no, incorrect, and because I think there was an unfairness on the other side and in the closeness of people visiting other people's offices and exchanging information and giving advance information of things that were happening was showing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	not because you wanted that to happen?  A. I didn't expect anything to happen.  Q. When you came down to the office, did you find that the police had attended really as discreetly as any warrant can ever have involved?  A. Yes, I recorded that.  Q. And you have recorded that the personal courtesy of the officers  A. Yes, not only once.  Q. Yes. Did you expect to be treated differently from any other suspect if suspect was what they had you down as?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	relevant party on the other side and the closeness of that team that nothing could be done.  Q. You mean that he couldn't do anything about it because it would have been quite wrong for him to have done anything about it?  A. Correct — no, incorrect, and because I think there was an unfairness on the other side and in the closeness of people visiting other people's offices and exchanging information and giving advance information of things that were happening was showing how unfair that was.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	not because you wanted that to happen?  A. I didn't expect anything to happen.  Q. When you came down to the office, did you find that the police had attended really as discreetly as any warrant can ever have involved?  A. Yes, I recorded that.  Q. And you have recorded that the personal courtesy of the officers  A. Yes, not only once.  Q. Yes. Did you expect to be treated differently from any other suspect if suspect was what they had you down as?  A. I did expect to have a production order
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	relevant party on the other side and the closeness of that team that nothing could be done.  Q. You mean that he couldn't do anything about it because it would have been quite wrong for him to have done anything about it?  A. Correct no, incorrect, and because I think there was an unfairness on the other side and in the closeness of people visiting other people's offices and exchanging information and giving advance information of things that were happening was showing how unfair that was.  Q. Can I just return to you ringing the Chief	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	not because you wanted that to happen?  A. I didn't expect anything to happen.  Q. When you came down to the office, did you find that the police had attended really as discreetly as any warrant can ever have involved?  A. Yes, I recorded that.  Q. And you have recorded that the personal courtesy of the officers  A. Yes, not only once.  Q. Yes. Did you expect to be treated differently from any other suspect if suspect was what they had you down as?  A. I did expect to have a production order instead of a warrant, as I have said, because
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	relevant party on the other side and the closeness of that team that nothing could be done.  Q. You mean that he couldn't do anything about it because it would have been quite wrong for him to have done anything about it?  A. Correct no, incorrect, and because I think there was an unfairness on the other side and in the closeness of people visiting other people's offices and exchanging information and giving advance information of things that were happening was showing how unfair that was.  Q. Can I just return to you ringing the Chief Minister. So you rang the Chief Minister and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	not because you wanted that to happen?  A. I didn't expect anything to happen.  Q. When you came down to the office, did you find that the police had attended really as discreetly as any warrant can ever have involved?  A. Yes, I recorded that.  Q. And you have recorded that the personal courtesy of the officers  A. Yes, not only once.  Q. Yes. Did you expect to be treated differently from any other suspect if suspect was what they had you down as?  A. I did expect to have a production order
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	relevant party on the other side and the closeness of that team that nothing could be done.  Q. You mean that he couldn't do anything about it because it would have been quite wrong for him to have done anything about it?  A. Correct no, incorrect, and because I think there was an unfairness on the other side and in the closeness of people visiting other people's offices and exchanging information and giving advance information of things that were happening was showing how unfair that was.  Q. Can I just return to you ringing the Chief	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	not because you wanted that to happen?  A. I didn't expect anything to happen.  Q. When you came down to the office, did you find that the police had attended really as discreetly as any warrant can ever have involved?  A. Yes, I recorded that.  Q. And you have recorded that the personal courtesy of the officers  A. Yes, not only once.  Q. Yes. Did you expect to be treated differently from any other suspect if suspect was what they had you down as?  A. I did expect to have a production order instead of a warrant, as I have said, because that is usual when you're dealing with a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	relevant party on the other side and the closeness of that team that nothing could be done.  Q. You mean that he couldn't do anything about it because it would have been quite wrong for him to have done anything about it?  A. Correct no, incorrect, and because I think there was an unfairness on the other side and in the closeness of people visiting other people's offices and exchanging information and giving advance information of things that were happening was showing how unfair that was.  Q. Can I just return to you ringing the Chief Minister. So you rang the Chief Minister and you said there was nothing he could do about	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	not because you wanted that to happen?  A. I didn't expect anything to happen.  Q. When you came down to the office, did you find that the police had attended really as discreetly as any warrant can ever have involved?  A. Yes, I recorded that.  Q. And you have recorded that the personal courtesy of the officers  A. Yes, not only once.  Q. Yes. Did you expect to be treated differently from any other suspect if suspect was what they had you down as?  A. I did expect to have a production order instead of a warrant, as I have said, because that is usual when you're dealing with a member of the Bar.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	relevant party on the other side and the closeness of that team that nothing could be done.  Q. You mean that he couldn't do anything about it because it would have been quite wrong for him to have done anything about it?  A. Correct — no, incorrect, and because I think there was an unfairness on the other side and in the closeness of people visiting other people's offices and exchanging information and giving advance information of things that were happening was showing how unfair that was.  Q. Can I just return to you ringing the Chief Minister. So you rang the Chief Minister and you said there was nothing he could do about it.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	not because you wanted that to happen?  A. I didn't expect anything to happen.  Q. When you came down to the office, did you find that the police had attended really as discreetly as any warrant can ever have involved?  A. Yes, I recorded that.  Q. And you have recorded that the personal courtesy of the officers  A. Yes, not only once.  Q. Yes. Did you expect to be treated differently from any other suspect if suspect was what they had you down as?  A. I did expect to have a production order instead of a warrant, as I have said, because that is usual when you're dealing with a member of the Bar.  Q. And if the police had served a production
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	relevant party on the other side and the closeness of that team that nothing could be done.  Q. You mean that he couldn't do anything about it because it would have been quite wrong for him to have done anything about it?  A. Correct no, incorrect, and because I think there was an unfairness on the other side and in the closeness of people visiting other people's offices and exchanging information and giving advance information of things that were happening was showing how unfair that was.  Q. Can I just return to you ringing the Chief Minister. So you rang the Chief Minister and you said there was nothing he could do about it.  A. I didn't ask him to do anything about it.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	not because you wanted that to happen?  A. I didn't expect anything to happen.  Q. When you came down to the office, did you find that the police had attended really as discreetly as any warrant can ever have involved?  A. Yes, I recorded that.  Q. And you have recorded that the personal courtesy of the officers  A. Yes, not only once.  Q. Yes. Did you expect to be treated differently from any other suspect if suspect was what they had you down as?  A. I did expect to have a production order instead of a warrant, as I have said, because that is usual when you're dealing with a member of the Bar.  Q. And if the police had served a production order on you, asking for the same things, for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	relevant party on the other side and the closeness of that team that nothing could be done.  Q. You mean that he couldn't do anything about it because it would have been quite wrong for him to have done anything about it?  A. Correct no, incorrect, and because I think there was an unfairness on the other side and in the closeness of people visiting other people's offices and exchanging information and giving advance information of things that were happening was showing how unfair that was.  Q. Can I just return to you ringing the Chief Minister. So you rang the Chief Minister and you said there was nothing he could do about it.  A. I didn't ask him to do anything about it.  Q. What did you ring him about?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	not because you wanted that to happen?  A. I didn't expect anything to happen.  Q. When you came down to the office, did you find that the police had attended really as discreetly as any warrant can ever have involved?  A. Yes, I recorded that.  Q. And you have recorded that the personal courtesy of the officers  A. Yes, not only once.  Q. Yes. Did you expect to be treated differently from any other suspect if suspect was what they had you down as?  A. I did expect to have a production order instead of a warrant, as I have said, because that is usual when you're dealing with a member of the Bar.  Q. And if the police had served a production order on you, asking for the same things, for your devices and so on, would you have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	relevant party on the other side and the closeness of that team that nothing could be done.  Q. You mean that he couldn't do anything about it because it would have been quite wrong for him to have done anything about it?  A. Correct no, incorrect, and because I think there was an unfairness on the other side and in the closeness of people visiting other people's offices and exchanging information and giving advance information of things that were happening was showing how unfair that was.  Q. Can I just return to you ringing the Chief Minister. So you rang the Chief Minister and you said there was nothing he could do about it.  A. I didn't ask him to do anything about it.  Q. What did you ring him about?  A. Just to say what was happening. I didn't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	not because you wanted that to happen?  A. I didn't expect anything to happen.  Q. When you came down to the office, did you find that the police had attended really as discreetly as any warrant can ever have involved?  A. Yes, I recorded that.  Q. And you have recorded that the personal courtesy of the officers  A. Yes, not only once.  Q. Yes. Did you expect to be treated differently from any other suspect if suspect was what they had you down as?  A. I did expect to have a production order instead of a warrant, as I have said, because that is usual when you're dealing with a member of the Bar.  Q. And if the police had served a production order on you, asking for the same things, for your devices and so on, would you have complied with it?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	relevant party on the other side and the closeness of that team that nothing could be done.  Q. You mean that he couldn't do anything about it because it would have been quite wrong for him to have done anything about it?  A. Correct no, incorrect, and because I think there was an unfairness on the other side and in the closeness of people visiting other people's offices and exchanging information and giving advance information of things that were happening was showing how unfair that was.  Q. Can I just return to you ringing the Chief Minister. So you rang the Chief Minister and you said there was nothing he could do about it.  A. I didn't ask him to do anything about it.  Q. What did you ring him about?  A. Just to say what was happening. I didn't ask him to do anything about it at all. I am	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	not because you wanted that to happen?  A. I didn't expect anything to happen.  Q. When you came down to the office, did you find that the police had attended really as discreetly as any warrant can ever have involved?  A. Yes, I recorded that.  Q. And you have recorded that the personal courtesy of the officers  A. Yes, not only once.  Q. Yes. Did you expect to be treated differently from any other suspect if suspect was what they had you down as?  A. I did expect to have a production order instead of a warrant, as I have said, because that is usual when you're dealing with a member of the Bar.  Q. And if the police had served a production order on you, asking for the same things, for your devices and so on, would you have complied with it?  A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	relevant party on the other side and the closeness of that team that nothing could be done.  Q. You mean that he couldn't do anything about it because it would have been quite wrong for him to have done anything about it?  A. Correct — no, incorrect, and because I think there was an unfairness on the other side and in the closeness of people visiting other people's offices and exchanging information and giving advance information of things that were happening was showing how unfair that was.  Q. Can I just return to you ringing the Chief Minister. So you rang the Chief Minister and you said there was nothing he could do about it.  A. I didn't ask him to do anything about it.  Q. What did you ring him about?  A. Just to say what was happening. I didn't ask him to do anything about it at all. I am quite aware that he couldn't have done	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	not because you wanted that to happen?  A. I didn't expect anything to happen.  Q. When you came down to the office, did you find that the police had attended really as discreetly as any warrant can ever have involved?  A. Yes, I recorded that.  Q. And you have recorded that the personal courtesy of the officers  A. Yes, not only once.  Q. Yes. Did you expect to be treated differently from any other suspect if suspect was what they had you down as?  A. I did expect to have a production order instead of a warrant, as I have said, because that is usual when you're dealing with a member of the Bar.  Q. And if the police had served a production order on you, asking for the same things, for your devices and so on, would you have complied with it?  A. Yes.  Q. And handed over your devices for the

1	A. Yes.	1	think we were still arguing the point. But we
2	Q. With permission for them to be	2	didn't withdraw the consent.
3	examined?	3	Q. You're not aware of consent being
4	A. Subject to blue bag provisions, yes.	4	withdrawn?
5	Q. Yes. Because you would have had	5	A. Correct.
6	legally privileged material	6	Q. Why did you think the police weren't
7	A. Absolutely of other people.	7	looking at your telephone?
8	Q on your devices to do with other cases.	8	(15.08)
9	A. Correct.	9	A. I mean, I think it takes time in my
10	Q. And if you would have done it under a	10	experience. It takes time to get an independent
11	production order and handed the material	11	lawyer in England and to get him to come and
12	over with consent, why did you not do so in	12	look at the material.
13	this case?	13	Q. So were your lawyers, Mr Baglietto: was
14	A. Very simple, because I thought that they	14	he in contact with the police on the subject of
15	had come on the wrong basis and I wanted to	15	instructing an independent lawyer?
16	get advice.	16	A. No, but I know that we spent time the
17	Q. And having got advice did you not,	17	following day trying to identify independent
18	because you were perfectly happy for them to	18	lawyers.
19	see whatever it was they needed to see, did	19	Q. At the time that the telephone was handed
20	you not allow them to see it anyway?	20	over, had you deleted any messages between
21	A. There had to be blue bagging provisions.	21	yourself and Mr Perez?
22	Q. Yes, and the blue bagging provisions	22	A. No.
23	depended upon you choosing, because they	23	Q. Whatever they were, they were all still
24	offered you the choice, didn't they, an	24	there.
		25	
25	independent lawyer to examine the totality of	23	A. Yes, but you've got them from Mr Perez
	Page 229		Page 231
1	material to exclude the legally privileged	1	anyhow.
2	material?	2	Q. And you were content for the police to
3	A. Yes.	3	see them.
4	Q. So, did you set about choosing a lawyer	4	A. I had no power to stop it.
5	so that they could instruct the lawyer	5	Q. And your messages to and from Mr
6	A. No, no.	6	Cornelio: none of them have been deleted.
7	A. No, no. O. No?	7	
	<b>C</b>		A. I didn't delete any.
8	A. No. It all happened in the afternoon, in	8	Q. Well, no one else could have, could they?
9	that same afternoon.	9	A. Mr Cornelio, I'm sure he didn't.
10	Q. Yes. And then they took away the	10	Q. But on your device.
11	material and did you at that stage choose an	11	A. I don't understand.
12	independent lawyer so that the privileged	12	Q. Could anyone else have deleted them
13	process could be gone through?	13	from your device?
14	A. We went through a number of choices of	14	A. I doubt it. I didn't have the phone myself
15	lawyers	15	for whatever time it was, an hour, two hours,
16	Q. Yes.	16	which was in the hands of my IT man with a
17	A and we and then events caught up	17	police expert.
18	with what was going on.	18	Q. Before the police arrived, before you
19	Q. But the events that caught up the point	19	knew that
20	of the question, Mr Levy, is this. If you	20	A. I didn't delete any messages.
21	would have complied under a production	21	Q. No, quite. Would you have been content
22	order, why, once you had voluntarily handed	22	for the police to see all of those messages
23	over the devices with permission to examine,	23	between yourself and Mr Cornelio and Mr
24	did you withdraw that consent the next day?	24	Perez and
25	A. I don't think we withdrew the consent. I	25	A. But I assume they have seen them,
ĺ			
	Page 230		Page 232

1 harrier than have the other dis-	1	O Is it small in a like the miles one for
<ul> <li>because they have the other side.</li> <li>Q. You assume that</li> </ul>	$\begin{vmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{vmatrix}$	Q. Is it anything like the relevance for
<ul><li>Q. You assume that</li><li>A. I assume now, not then.</li></ul>	$\begin{vmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \end{vmatrix}$	whether you or another partner of Hassans could act for Mr Perez the year before?
	I	A. I see it totally different.
4 Q. What did you then think?	L wasn't	·
5 A. I didn't. It didn't cross my mind.		Q. Totally different. In what way?
6 dealing with that.	6	A. In that we are partners and we do work
7 Q. It did not cross your mind.	7	for each other.
8 A. No, it's not something that was exc	-	Q. What do you remember, if anything, Mr
9 my mind at the time.	9	Picardo saying to you before 12 May about
10 Q. Did you know that Mr Sanchez had		police interest in you?
deleted some of his messages, perhaps a		A. I said what I remembered, that once the
his messages, before he touched down a Gibraltar when he was called back to		Chairman asked me and I clarified what I
		remembered.
14 A. No, I just read this now.	14	Q. Did you ask him where he had got the
15 Q. You did not know that.	15	information from?
16 A. No.	16	A. No. But Gibraltar is fairly liberal
Q. When they had been arrested, the ot		information. You can get things either from,
Delhi defendants, did you, as it were, m	I	you know, direct from the police to people
mental note to yourself that these peopl		who are not involved in the investigation,
whom you were in business having been		and things like that.
21 arrested, you should take care to preser		Q. Did you think he had it from a private
messages between yourself and them?	22	source or from a public source?
A. There was nothing - not something	- 1	A. I didn't, I didn't think.
24 at all I was considering at the time, or		Q. Were you concerned lest he have it from
25 think I was. But it's very interesting.	You 25	a private source?
Page 233		Page 235
1 see, the narrative in all this is that the	. 1	A. No.
2 investigation was a proper investigation		Q. You were not concerned for him.
3 believe and I continue to believe that		A. Whatever he told me, it must have been
4 investigation was fundamentally flaw		something which he thought was appropriate.
5 Q. Could you have asked a lawyer who		Q. Did it alarm you?
6 not a shareholder in 36 North to represe		A. No. What alarmed me was the way they
7 you?	7	came with a warrant, and from what I have
8 A. Yes.	8	read all because he was going to destroy his.
9 Q. And why did you not?	9	What evidence did they have of that? I'm
10 A. I took advice from a lawyer who w	vas not 10	telling you, in my view, and I repeat it, I'm
a shareholder in 36 North.	11	sorry, the investigation was fundamentally
12 Q. Yes, but who was your lawyer actin	g for 12	flawed.
you with the RGP?	13	Q. May I return to the thing that I was
14 A. Lewis Baglietto. I don't know the	14	asking about, which is
15 relevance of the 36 North situation wh	I	A. Of course.
16 that time, 36 North didn't have any co	·	Q you speaking to Mr Picardo and him
17 It was already decided well before by	I	saying, as you told the Chairman, something
18 Chief Minister to give it to another pa	I	about the police being interested in you on
19 don't know the relevance of asking m	• •	one or two occasions. I think my question
whether a shareholder in 36 North wa		was to this effect: if Mr Picardo had that
21 to represent me.	21	from a private source, would you have
Q. You do not see the relevance of that	I	expected him betray the confidence to you?
23 <b>A. No.</b>	23	A. That's for Mr Picardo to answer, not for
Q. You do not.	24	me.
25 A. No.	25	Q. Well, would you have asked him to do
_		
Page 234		Page 236

1	that?	1	Q. Did you know that Mr Baglietto was a
2	A. I didn't ask him to do anything.	2	friend of his?
3	Q. Please do not think me rude, and I	3	A. Of who?
4	understand it is an uncomfortable chair, but	4	Q. Of the Chief Minister.
5	your answers will not be completely picked	5	A. Of course.
6	up unless	6	Q. Did that affect you one way or another in
7	A. Sorry.	7	asking Mr Baglietto to represent you?
8	Q. Not at all.	8	A. No. It wasn't for that. Mr Baglietto is the
9	9 A. I tried all the time to		head of our litigation department. We have
10	Q. Yes, not at all. By all means move it	9 10	been partners for many years and I trust in
11	closer to you.	11	his abilities and that's why I asked him.
12	A. I don't - it can't be Thank you.	12	Q. Could I ask that we have, please, page B
13	Q. In the period when the police had come	13	1423 on screen again. These are messages,
14	on the 12th and they had asked you to attend	14	as you can see, between Mr Baglietto and Mr
15	for interview under caution on the 18th,	15	Picardo, and you have been asked about the
16	which was the following Monday, in that	16	message at 11.52 about the reassurance.
17	period, while you were waiting for interview	17	Could I take you on to the message at 23.03.
18	and they had given you the notice which	18	Mr Baglietto says: "Yes, excellent. We can
19	showed the topics that they wanted to ask	19	put it to good use for sure." That may refer
20	you about, what considerations did you	20	to the HMIC report in the comment above.
21	expect the Chief Minister or the Attorney	21	Can you tell us who the "we" is?
22	General to apply in deciding whether or not	22	A. I assume it's meaning the people who are
23	to speak to you?	23	dealing with this matter. I don't know.
24	A. I said before. That question was asked by	24	Q. Dealing with what matter?
25	Mr Santos and I gave my answer then.	25	A. With I assume the matter of the warrant.
	Page 237		Page 239
1	Q. What was your answer?	1	Q. Your warrant.
			Q. 10th wallallt.
		1	A. Yes.
2	A. My answer was that I think lawyers	2	A. Yes.
	A. My answer was that I think lawyers representing people who had been arrested or	1	<ul><li>A. Yes.</li><li>Q. And in the message at 23.10 Mr Picardo</li></ul>
2 3	A. My answer was that I think lawyers representing people who had been arrested or approached by the police can, in my view,	2 3 4	A. Yes.
2 3 4	A. My answer was that I think lawyers representing people who had been arrested or approached by the police can, in my view, speak to whoever they feel appropriate.	2 3	A. Yes.  Q. And in the message at 23.10 Mr Picardo says: "I've sent to JL. Let me know if he sees it." What did he send you?
2 3 4 5	A. My answer was that I think lawyers representing people who had been arrested or approached by the police can, in my view, speak to whoever they feel appropriate.  Q. And to meet with you?	2 3 4 5	<ul><li>A. Yes.</li><li>Q. And in the message at 23.10 Mr Picardo says: "I've sent to JL. Let me know if he sees it." What did he send you?</li><li>A. I don't know because I haven't got it.</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6	A. My answer was that I think lawyers representing people who had been arrested or approached by the police can, in my view, speak to whoever they feel appropriate.	2 3 4 5 6	A. Yes.  Q. And in the message at 23.10 Mr Picardo says: "I've sent to JL. Let me know if he sees it." What did he send you?
2 3 4 5 6 7	A. My answer was that I think lawyers representing people who had been arrested or approached by the police can, in my view, speak to whoever they feel appropriate.  Q. And to meet with you?  A. To meet with whom?	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And in the message at 23.10 Mr Picardo says: "I've sent to JL. Let me know if he sees it." What did he send you?</li> <li>A. I don't know because I haven't got it.</li> <li>Q. Do you remember receiving anything</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. My answer was that I think lawyers representing people who had been arrested or approached by the police can, in my view, speak to whoever they feel appropriate.  Q. And to meet with you?  A. To meet with whom?  Q. To meet with you. What consideration	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And in the message at 23.10 Mr Picardo says: "I've sent to JL. Let me know if he sees it." What did he send you?</li> <li>A. I don't know because I haven't got it.</li> <li>Q. Do you remember receiving anything from Mr Picardo in that time?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. My answer was that I think lawyers representing people who had been arrested or approached by the police can, in my view, speak to whoever they feel appropriate.  Q. And to meet with you?  A. To meet with whom?  Q. To meet with you. What consideration would you expect the Chief Minister to take	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And in the message at 23.10 Mr Picardo says: "I've sent to JL. Let me know if he sees it." What did he send you?</li> <li>A. I don't know because I haven't got it.</li> <li>Q. Do you remember receiving anything from Mr Picardo in that time?</li> <li>A. No.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. My answer was that I think lawyers representing people who had been arrested or approached by the police can, in my view, speak to whoever they feel appropriate.  Q. And to meet with you?  A. To meet with whom?  Q. To meet with you. What consideration would you expect the Chief Minister to take into account in deciding whether to meet	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And in the message at 23.10 Mr Picardo says: "I've sent to JL. Let me know if he sees it." What did he send you?</li> <li>A. I don't know because I haven't got it.</li> <li>Q. Do you remember receiving anything from Mr Picardo in that time?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Would you have been surprised to have</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. My answer was that I think lawyers representing people who had been arrested or approached by the police can, in my view, speak to whoever they feel appropriate.  Q. And to meet with you?  A. To meet with whom?  Q. To meet with you. What consideration would you expect the Chief Minister to take into account in deciding whether to meet with you?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. Yes. Q. And in the message at 23.10 Mr Picardo says: "I've sent to JL. Let me know if he sees it." What did he send you? A. I don't know because I haven't got it. Q. Do you remember receiving anything from Mr Picardo in that time? A. No. Q. Would you have been surprised to have received anything from Mr Picardo at that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. My answer was that I think lawyers representing people who had been arrested or approached by the police can, in my view, speak to whoever they feel appropriate.  Q. And to meet with you?  A. To meet with whom?  Q. To meet with you. What consideration would you expect the Chief Minister to take into account in deciding whether to meet with you?  A. I went to see him on a totally different	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And in the message at 23.10 Mr Picardo says: "I've sent to JL. Let me know if he sees it." What did he send you?</li> <li>A. I don't know because I haven't got it.</li> <li>Q. Do you remember receiving anything from Mr Picardo in that time?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Would you have been surprised to have received anything from Mr Picardo at that time?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. My answer was that I think lawyers representing people who had been arrested or approached by the police can, in my view, speak to whoever they feel appropriate.  Q. And to meet with you?  A. To meet with whom?  Q. To meet with you. What consideration would you expect the Chief Minister to take into account in deciding whether to meet with you?  A. I went to see him on a totally different matter.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And in the message at 23.10 Mr Picardo says: "I've sent to JL. Let me know if he sees it." What did he send you?</li> <li>A. I don't know because I haven't got it.</li> <li>Q. Do you remember receiving anything from Mr Picardo in that time?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Would you have been surprised to have received anything from Mr Picardo at that time?</li> <li>A. I don't know who it is.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. My answer was that I think lawyers representing people who had been arrested or approached by the police can, in my view, speak to whoever they feel appropriate.  Q. And to meet with you?  A. To meet with whom?  Q. To meet with you. What consideration would you expect the Chief Minister to take into account in deciding whether to meet with you?  A. I went to see him on a totally different matter.  Q. And were you scrupulous not to mention	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. Yes. Q. And in the message at 23.10 Mr Picardo says: "I've sent to JL. Let me know if he sees it." What did he send you? A. I don't know because I haven't got it. Q. Do you remember receiving anything from Mr Picardo in that time? A. No. Q. Would you have been surprised to have received anything from Mr Picardo at that time? A. I don't know who it is. Q. Did you - and you will decide whether
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. My answer was that I think lawyers representing people who had been arrested or approached by the police can, in my view, speak to whoever they feel appropriate.  Q. And to meet with you?  A. To meet with whom?  Q. To meet with you. What consideration would you expect the Chief Minister to take into account in deciding whether to meet with you?  A. I went to see him on a totally different matter.  Q. And were you scrupulous not to mention the matter for which you were awaiting	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Yes.  Q. And in the message at 23.10 Mr Picardo says: "I've sent to JL. Let me know if he sees it." What did he send you?  A. I don't know because I haven't got it.  Q. Do you remember receiving anything from Mr Picardo in that time?  A. No.  Q. Would you have been surprised to have received anything from Mr Picardo at that time?  A. I don't know who it is.  Q. Did you - and you will decide whether this is in the privileged area or not so do not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. My answer was that I think lawyers representing people who had been arrested or approached by the police can, in my view, speak to whoever they feel appropriate.  Q. And to meet with you?  A. To meet with whom?  Q. To meet with you. What consideration would you expect the Chief Minister to take into account in deciding whether to meet with you?  A. I went to see him on a totally different matter.  Q. And were you scrupulous not to mention the matter for which you were awaiting interview?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Yes. Q. And in the message at 23.10 Mr Picardo says: "I've sent to JL. Let me know if he sees it." What did he send you? A. I don't know because I haven't got it. Q. Do you remember receiving anything from Mr Picardo in that time? A. No. Q. Would you have been surprised to have received anything from Mr Picardo at that time? A. I don't know who it is. Q. Did you - and you will decide whether this is in the privileged area or not so do not answer for a moment - show whatever
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. My answer was that I think lawyers representing people who had been arrested or approached by the police can, in my view, speak to whoever they feel appropriate.  Q. And to meet with you?  A. To meet with whom?  Q. To meet with you. What consideration would you expect the Chief Minister to take into account in deciding whether to meet with you?  A. I went to see him on a totally different matter.  Q. And were you scrupulous not to mention the matter for which you were awaiting interview?  A. He was, as I said before, he was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Yes. Q. And in the message at 23.10 Mr Picardo says: "I've sent to JL. Let me know if he sees it." What did he send you? A. I don't know because I haven't got it. Q. Do you remember receiving anything from Mr Picardo in that time? A. No. Q. Would you have been surprised to have received anything from Mr Picardo at that time? A. I don't know who it is. Q. Did you - and you will decide whether this is in the privileged area or not so do not answer for a moment - show whatever messages had passed between yourself and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. My answer was that I think lawyers representing people who had been arrested or approached by the police can, in my view, speak to whoever they feel appropriate.  Q. And to meet with you?  A. To meet with whom?  Q. To meet with you. What consideration would you expect the Chief Minister to take into account in deciding whether to meet with you?  A. I went to see him on a totally different matter.  Q. And were you scrupulous not to mention the matter for which you were awaiting interview?  A. He was, as I said before, he was concerned about police, the way the police	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Yes. Q. And in the message at 23.10 Mr Picardo says: "I've sent to JL. Let me know if he sees it." What did he send you? A. I don't know because I haven't got it. Q. Do you remember receiving anything from Mr Picardo in that time? A. No. Q. Would you have been surprised to have received anything from Mr Picardo at that time? A. I don't know who it is. Q. Did you - and you will decide whether this is in the privileged area or not so do not answer for a moment - show whatever messages had passed between yourself and the Chief Minister to Mr Baglietto? Pause. A. I don't know whether it's privileged, so I don't know. I don't think I received anything
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. My answer was that I think lawyers representing people who had been arrested or approached by the police can, in my view, speak to whoever they feel appropriate.  Q. And to meet with you?  A. To meet with whom?  Q. To meet with you. What consideration would you expect the Chief Minister to take into account in deciding whether to meet with you?  A. I went to see him on a totally different matter.  Q. And were you scrupulous not to mention the matter for which you were awaiting interview?  A. He was, as I said before, he was concerned about police, the way the police approached this, but he wasn't specifically on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Yes. Q. And in the message at 23.10 Mr Picardo says: "I've sent to JL. Let me know if he sees it." What did he send you? A. I don't know because I haven't got it. Q. Do you remember receiving anything from Mr Picardo in that time? A. No. Q. Would you have been surprised to have received anything from Mr Picardo at that time? A. I don't know who it is. Q. Did you - and you will decide whether this is in the privileged area or not so do not answer for a moment - show whatever messages had passed between yourself and the Chief Minister to Mr Baglietto? Pause. A. I don't know whether it's privileged, so I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. My answer was that I think lawyers representing people who had been arrested or approached by the police can, in my view, speak to whoever they feel appropriate.  Q. And to meet with you?  A. To meet with whom?  Q. To meet with you. What consideration would you expect the Chief Minister to take into account in deciding whether to meet with you?  A. I went to see him on a totally different matter.  Q. And were you scrupulous not to mention the matter for which you were awaiting interview?  A. He was, as I said before, he was concerned about police, the way the police approached this, but he wasn't specifically on that. In his mind he was concerned about	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Yes. Q. And in the message at 23.10 Mr Picardo says: "I've sent to JL. Let me know if he sees it." What did he send you? A. I don't know because I haven't got it. Q. Do you remember receiving anything from Mr Picardo in that time? A. No. Q. Would you have been surprised to have received anything from Mr Picardo at that time? A. I don't know who it is. Q. Did you - and you will decide whether this is in the privileged area or not so do not answer for a moment - show whatever messages had passed between yourself and the Chief Minister to Mr Baglietto? Pause. A. I don't know whether it's privileged, so I don't know. I don't think I received anything
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. My answer was that I think lawyers representing people who had been arrested or approached by the police can, in my view, speak to whoever they feel appropriate.  Q. And to meet with you?  A. To meet with whom?  Q. To meet with you. What consideration would you expect the Chief Minister to take into account in deciding whether to meet with you?  A. I went to see him on a totally different matter.  Q. And were you scrupulous not to mention the matter for which you were awaiting interview?  A. He was, as I said before, he was concerned about police, the way the police approached this, but he wasn't specifically on that. In his mind he was concerned about police powers and how they were used, and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Yes. Q. And in the message at 23.10 Mr Picardo says: "I've sent to JL. Let me know if he sees it." What did he send you? A. I don't know because I haven't got it. Q. Do you remember receiving anything from Mr Picardo in that time? A. No. Q. Would you have been surprised to have received anything from Mr Picardo at that time? A. I don't know who it is. Q. Did you - and you will decide whether this is in the privileged area or not so do not answer for a moment - show whatever messages had passed between yourself and the Chief Minister to Mr Baglietto? Pause. A. I don't know whether it's privileged, so I don't know. I don't think I received anything from the Chief Minister. Whatever I did was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. My answer was that I think lawyers representing people who had been arrested or approached by the police can, in my view, speak to whoever they feel appropriate.  Q. And to meet with you?  A. To meet with whom?  Q. To meet with you. What consideration would you expect the Chief Minister to take into account in deciding whether to meet with you?  A. I went to see him on a totally different matter.  Q. And were you scrupulous not to mention the matter for which you were awaiting interview?  A. He was, as I said before, he was concerned about police, the way the police approached this, but he wasn't specifically on that. In his mind he was concerned about police powers and how they were used, and that was the basis of the conversation.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. Yes.  Q. And in the message at 23.10 Mr Picardo says: "I've sent to JL. Let me know if he sees it." What did he send you?  A. I don't know because I haven't got it.  Q. Do you remember receiving anything from Mr Picardo in that time?  A. No.  Q. Would you have been surprised to have received anything from Mr Picardo at that time?  A. I don't know who it is.  Q. Did you - and you will decide whether this is in the privileged area or not so do not answer for a moment - show whatever messages had passed between yourself and the Chief Minister to Mr Baglietto? Pause.  A. I don't know whether it's privileged, so I don't know. I don't think I received anything from the Chief Minister. Whatever I did was I spoke to him mainly.  Q. Again, pause before you answer. Did you tell Mr Baglietto about your contact with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. My answer was that I think lawyers representing people who had been arrested or approached by the police can, in my view, speak to whoever they feel appropriate.  Q. And to meet with you?  A. To meet with whom?  Q. To meet with you. What consideration would you expect the Chief Minister to take into account in deciding whether to meet with you?  A. I went to see him on a totally different matter.  Q. And were you scrupulous not to mention the matter for which you were awaiting interview?  A. He was, as I said before, he was concerned about police, the way the police approached this, but he wasn't specifically on that. In his mind he was concerned about police powers and how they were used, and that was the basis of the conversation.  Q. Were you surprised that he spoke even	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Yes. Q. And in the message at 23.10 Mr Picardo says: "I've sent to JL. Let me know if he sees it." What did he send you? A. I don't know because I haven't got it. Q. Do you remember receiving anything from Mr Picardo in that time? A. No. Q. Would you have been surprised to have received anything from Mr Picardo at that time? A. I don't know who it is. Q. Did you - and you will decide whether this is in the privileged area or not so do not answer for a moment - show whatever messages had passed between yourself and the Chief Minister to Mr Baglietto? Pause. A. I don't know whether it's privileged, so I don't know. I don't think I received anything from the Chief Minister. Whatever I did was I spoke to him mainly. Q. Again, pause before you answer. Did
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. My answer was that I think lawyers representing people who had been arrested or approached by the police can, in my view, speak to whoever they feel appropriate.  Q. And to meet with you?  A. To meet with whom?  Q. To meet with you. What consideration would you expect the Chief Minister to take into account in deciding whether to meet with you?  A. I went to see him on a totally different matter.  Q. And were you scrupulous not to mention the matter for which you were awaiting interview?  A. He was, as I said before, he was concerned about police, the way the police approached this, but he wasn't specifically on that. In his mind he was concerned about police powers and how they were used, and that was the basis of the conversation.  Q. Were you surprised that he spoke even about that?  A. No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. Yes. Q. And in the message at 23.10 Mr Picardo says: "I've sent to JL. Let me know if he sees it." What did he send you? A. I don't know because I haven't got it. Q. Do you remember receiving anything from Mr Picardo in that time? A. No. Q. Would you have been surprised to have received anything from Mr Picardo at that time? A. I don't know who it is. Q. Did you - and you will decide whether this is in the privileged area or not so do not answer for a moment - show whatever messages had passed between yourself and the Chief Minister to Mr Baglietto? Pause. A. I don't know whether it's privileged, so I don't know. I don't think I received anything from the Chief Minister. Whatever I did was I spoke to him mainly. Q. Again, pause before you answer. Did you tell Mr Baglietto about your contact with the Chief Minister?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. My answer was that I think lawyers representing people who had been arrested or approached by the police can, in my view, speak to whoever they feel appropriate.  Q. And to meet with you?  A. To meet with whom?  Q. To meet with you. What consideration would you expect the Chief Minister to take into account in deciding whether to meet with you?  A. I went to see him on a totally different matter.  Q. And were you scrupulous not to mention the matter for which you were awaiting interview?  A. He was, as I said before, he was concerned about police, the way the police approached this, but he wasn't specifically on that. In his mind he was concerned about police powers and how they were used, and that was the basis of the conversation.  Q. Were you surprised that he spoke even about that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. Yes.  Q. And in the message at 23.10 Mr Picardo says: "I've sent to JL. Let me know if he sees it." What did he send you?  A. I don't know because I haven't got it.  Q. Do you remember receiving anything from Mr Picardo in that time?  A. No.  Q. Would you have been surprised to have received anything from Mr Picardo at that time?  A. I don't know who it is.  Q. Did you - and you will decide whether this is in the privileged area or not so do not answer for a moment - show whatever messages had passed between yourself and the Chief Minister to Mr Baglietto? Pause.  A. I don't know whether it's privileged, so I don't know. I don't think I received anything from the Chief Minister. Whatever I did was I spoke to him mainly.  Q. Again, pause before you answer. Did you tell Mr Baglietto about your contact with

	A 337 / A 400 A		0. 1011
1	A. What, on the way to the office?	1	Q. Did the warrant not expire in this case?
2	Q. Any contact between yourself and the	2	A. I'm not aware. If it had expired it
3	Chief Minister.	3	wouldn't have made any difference.
4	A. There wasn't that much contact between	4	Q. It expired without being executed, did it
5	myself and the Chief Minister.	5	not?
6	Q. Not much, but any.	6	A. Well, I thought that my giving the things
7	A. I don't know in casual conversation I	7	made it expire. I haven't done criminal law
8	mentioned something. I don't remember.	8	for 30 years.
9	This is four years ago nearly.	9	Q. Without your telephone being examined.
10	Q. You were hoping, were you, to avoid	10	Is that right?
11	being charged with a criminal offence.	11	A. I don't understand the question.
12	A. I wasn't hoping; I thought I shouldn't be	12	Q. Did you think your telephone had been
13	charged, so that's something totally different.	13	examined or not?
14	I was convinced that they shouldn't have	14	A. I thought it was examined actually, but
15	charged me. I said, I repeat it again - I don't	15	then I found out quite quickly that it wasn't
16	know how many times you want me to repeat	16	examined. But we gave the facilities by
17	it - but you haven't, of course, reacted to that.	17	having our IT man talk to the IT person from
18	I believe that the investigation was	18	the RGP.
19	fundamentally flawed.	19	Q. Did you know that the police - that the
20	Q. And you were hoping to avoid an	20	Director of Public Prosecutions thought that
21	interview under caution.	21	without that they would not have enough to
22	A. I wasn't. Of course I didn't want to have	22	charge you?
23	an interview because I didn't think I deserved	23	A. I think even with that they wouldn't have
24	it. I think the investigation was	24	anything to charge me with.
25	fundamentally flawed.	25	Q. Did you know the Director's view about
	Page 241		Page 243
1	O And you were honing to avoid arrest	1	that?
1 2	Q. And you were hoping to avoid arrest.  A. What?	1 2	that?  A He can have his view: I have mine
2	A. What?	2	A. He can have his view; I have mine.
2 3	A. What? Q. Arrest.	2 3	<ul><li>A. He can have his view; I have mine.</li><li>Q. Did you know the Director's view about</li></ul>
2 3 4	<ul><li>A. What?</li><li>Q. Arrest.</li><li>A. Of course I'm hoping to avoid arrest. I</li></ul>	2 3 4	<ul><li>A. He can have his view; I have mine.</li><li>Q. Did you know the Director's view about that?</li></ul>
2 3 4 5	<ul><li>A. What?</li><li>Q. Arrest.</li><li>A. Of course I'm hoping to avoid arrest. I don't think there was a reason to arrest me,</li></ul>	2 3 4 5	<ul><li>A. He can have his view; I have mine.</li><li>Q. Did you know the Director's view about that?</li><li>A. Well, the Director first said, if I</li></ul>
2 3 4	<ul><li>A. What?</li><li>Q. Arrest.</li><li>A. Of course I'm hoping to avoid arrest. I don't think there was a reason to arrest me, frankly. I think it was fundamentally flawed.</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>A. He can have his view; I have mine.</li> <li>Q. Did you know the Director's view about that?</li> <li>A. Well, the Director first said, if I remember, if I can see some of the taped</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>A. What?</li> <li>Q. Arrest.</li> <li>A. Of course I'm hoping to avoid arrest. I don't think there was a reason to arrest me, frankly. I think it was fundamentally flawed. It was wrong by the police.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>A. He can have his view; I have mine.</li> <li>Q. Did you know the Director's view about that?</li> <li>A. Well, the Director first said, if I remember, if I can see some of the taped conversations, was that if anything sharp</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>A. What?</li> <li>Q. Arrest.</li> <li>A. Of course I'm hoping to avoid arrest. I don't think there was a reason to arrest me, frankly. I think it was fundamentally flawed. It was wrong by the police.</li> <li>Q. And were you hoping to avoid an</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>A. He can have his view; I have mine.</li> <li>Q. Did you know the Director's view about that?</li> <li>A. Well, the Director first said, if I remember, if I can see some of the taped conversations, was that if anything sharp practice, but I didn't think there was any</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. What? Q. Arrest. A. Of course I'm hoping to avoid arrest. I don't think there was a reason to arrest me, frankly. I think it was fundamentally flawed. It was wrong by the police. Q. And were you hoping to avoid an examination of your telephone?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A. He can have his view; I have mine.</li> <li>Q. Did you know the Director's view about that?</li> <li>A. Well, the Director first said, if I remember, if I can see some of the taped conversations, was that if anything sharp practice, but I didn't think there was any sharp practice but</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. What? Q. Arrest. A. Of course I'm hoping to avoid arrest. I don't think there was a reason to arrest me, frankly. I think it was fundamentally flawed. It was wrong by the police. Q. And were you hoping to avoid an examination of your telephone? A. I was not avoiding an examination of my	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A. He can have his view; I have mine.</li> <li>Q. Did you know the Director's view about that?</li> <li>A. Well, the Director first said, if I remember, if I can see some of the taped conversations, was that if anything sharp practice, but I didn't think there was any sharp practice but</li> <li>Q. The taped conversations, obviously you</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. What? Q. Arrest. A. Of course I'm hoping to avoid arrest. I don't think there was a reason to arrest me, frankly. I think it was fundamentally flawed. It was wrong by the police. Q. And were you hoping to avoid an examination of your telephone? A. I was not avoiding an examination of my telephone, but you don't answer my - you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>A. He can have his view; I have mine.</li> <li>Q. Did you know the Director's view about that?</li> <li>A. Well, the Director first said, if I remember, if I can see some of the taped conversations, was that if anything sharp practice, but I didn't think there was any sharp practice but</li> <li>Q. The taped conversations, obviously you mean the meetings of 13, 15 and 20 May, and</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. What? Q. Arrest. A. Of course I'm hoping to avoid arrest. I don't think there was a reason to arrest me, frankly. I think it was fundamentally flawed. It was wrong by the police. Q. And were you hoping to avoid an examination of your telephone? A. I was not avoiding an examination of my telephone, but you don't answer my - you don't have to answer, I have to answer - but I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>A. He can have his view; I have mine.</li> <li>Q. Did you know the Director's view about that?</li> <li>A. Well, the Director first said, if I remember, if I can see some of the taped conversations, was that if anything sharp practice, but I didn't think there was any sharp practice but</li> <li>Q. The taped conversations, obviously you mean the meetings of 13, 15 and 20 May, and what I am referring to is - the Chairman will</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. What? Q. Arrest. A. Of course I'm hoping to avoid arrest. I don't think there was a reason to arrest me, frankly. I think it was fundamentally flawed. It was wrong by the police. Q. And were you hoping to avoid an examination of your telephone? A. I was not avoiding an examination of my telephone, but you don't answer my - you don't have to answer, I have to answer - but I said that it was fundamentally flawed and I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>A. He can have his view; I have mine.</li> <li>Q. Did you know the Director's view about that?</li> <li>A. Well, the Director first said, if I remember, if I can see some of the taped conversations, was that if anything sharp practice, but I didn't think there was any sharp practice but</li> <li>Q. The taped conversations, obviously you mean the meetings of 13, 15 and 20 May, and what I am referring to is - the Chairman will decide what it means but the apparent</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. What? Q. Arrest. A. Of course I'm hoping to avoid arrest. I don't think there was a reason to arrest me, frankly. I think it was fundamentally flawed. It was wrong by the police. Q. And were you hoping to avoid an examination of your telephone? A. I was not avoiding an examination of my telephone, but you don't answer my - you don't have to answer, I have to answer - but I said that it was fundamentally flawed and I hope to show how fundamentally flawed it is.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>A. He can have his view; I have mine.</li> <li>Q. Did you know the Director's view about that?</li> <li>A. Well, the Director first said, if I remember, if I can see some of the taped conversations, was that if anything sharp practice, but I didn't think there was any sharp practice but</li> <li>Q. The taped conversations, obviously you mean the meetings of 13, 15 and 20 May, and what I am referring to is - the Chairman will decide what it means but the apparent expression of a view by the Director of</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. What?  Q. Arrest.  A. Of course I'm hoping to avoid arrest. I don't think there was a reason to arrest me, frankly. I think it was fundamentally flawed. It was wrong by the police.  Q. And were you hoping to avoid an examination of your telephone?  A. I was not avoiding an examination of my telephone, but you don't answer my - you don't have to answer, I have to answer - but I said that it was fundamentally flawed and I hope to show how fundamentally flawed it is.  Q. And you were hoping - is this right? - to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. He can have his view; I have mine. Q. Did you know the Director's view about that? A. Well, the Director first said, if I remember, if I can see some of the taped conversations, was that if anything sharp practice, but I didn't think there was any sharp practice but Q. The taped conversations, obviously you mean the meetings of 13, 15 and 20 May, and what I am referring to is - the Chairman will decide what it means but the apparent expression of a view by the Director of Public Prosecutions in the meeting of the 15th
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. What? Q. Arrest. A. Of course I'm hoping to avoid arrest. I don't think there was a reason to arrest me, frankly. I think it was fundamentally flawed. It was wrong by the police. Q. And were you hoping to avoid an examination of your telephone? A. I was not avoiding an examination of my telephone, but you don't answer my - you don't have to answer, I have to answer - but I said that it was fundamentally flawed and I hope to show how fundamentally flawed it is. Q. And you were hoping - is this right? - to see as much of the prosecution evidence as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. He can have his view; I have mine. Q. Did you know the Director's view about that? A. Well, the Director first said, if I remember, if I can see some of the taped conversations, was that if anything sharp practice, but I didn't think there was any sharp practice but Q. The taped conversations, obviously you mean the meetings of 13, 15 and 20 May, and what I am referring to is - the Chairman will decide what it means but the apparent expression of a view by the Director of Public Prosecutions in the meeting of the 15th that, without that, there would not be enough
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. What?  Q. Arrest.  A. Of course I'm hoping to avoid arrest. I don't think there was a reason to arrest me, frankly. I think it was fundamentally flawed. It was wrong by the police.  Q. And were you hoping to avoid an examination of your telephone?  A. I was not avoiding an examination of my telephone, but you don't answer my - you don't have to answer, I have to answer - but I said that it was fundamentally flawed and I hope to show how fundamentally flawed it is.  Q. And you were hoping - is this right? - to see as much of the prosecution evidence as you could before making any comment.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. He can have his view; I have mine. Q. Did you know the Director's view about that? A. Well, the Director first said, if I remember, if I can see some of the taped conversations, was that if anything sharp practice, but I didn't think there was any sharp practice but Q. The taped conversations, obviously you mean the meetings of 13, 15 and 20 May, and what I am referring to is - the Chairman will decide what it means but the apparent expression of a view by the Director of Public Prosecutions in the meeting of the 15th that, without that, there would not be enough to charge you. That view. Was that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. What?  Q. Arrest.  A. Of course I'm hoping to avoid arrest. I don't think there was a reason to arrest me, frankly. I think it was fundamentally flawed. It was wrong by the police.  Q. And were you hoping to avoid an examination of your telephone?  A. I was not avoiding an examination of my telephone, but you don't answer my - you don't have to answer, I have to answer - but I said that it was fundamentally flawed and I hope to show how fundamentally flawed it is.  Q. And you were hoping - is this right? - to see as much of the prosecution evidence as you could before making any comment.  A. That's normal, isn't it?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. He can have his view; I have mine. Q. Did you know the Director's view about that? A. Well, the Director first said, if I remember, if I can see some of the taped conversations, was that if anything sharp practice, but I didn't think there was any sharp practice but Q. The taped conversations, obviously you mean the meetings of 13, 15 and 20 May, and what I am referring to is - the Chairman will decide what it means but the apparent expression of a view by the Director of Public Prosecutions in the meeting of the 15th that, without that, there would not be enough to charge you. That view. Was that communicated to you?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. What?  Q. Arrest.  A. Of course I'm hoping to avoid arrest. I don't think there was a reason to arrest me, frankly. I think it was fundamentally flawed. It was wrong by the police.  Q. And were you hoping to avoid an examination of your telephone?  A. I was not avoiding an examination of my telephone, but you don't answer my - you don't have to answer, I have to answer - but I said that it was fundamentally flawed and I hope to show how fundamentally flawed it is.  Q. And you were hoping - is this right? - to see as much of the prosecution evidence as you could before making any comment.  A. That's normal, isn't it?  Q. I have not suggested that it is not.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. He can have his view; I have mine. Q. Did you know the Director's view about that? A. Well, the Director first said, if I remember, if I can see some of the taped conversations, was that if anything sharp practice, but I didn't think there was any sharp practice but Q. The taped conversations, obviously you mean the meetings of 13, 15 and 20 May, and what I am referring to is - the Chairman will decide what it means but the apparent expression of a view by the Director of Public Prosecutions in the meeting of the 15th that, without that, there would not be enough to charge you. That view. Was that communicated to you? A. No, I just saw it when I read the papers
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. What? Q. Arrest. A. Of course I'm hoping to avoid arrest. I don't think there was a reason to arrest me, frankly. I think it was fundamentally flawed. It was wrong by the police. Q. And were you hoping to avoid an examination of your telephone? A. I was not avoiding an examination of my telephone, but you don't answer my - you don't have to answer, I have to answer - but I said that it was fundamentally flawed and I hope to show how fundamentally flawed it is. Q. And you were hoping - is this right? - to see as much of the prosecution evidence as you could before making any comment. A. That's normal, isn't it? Q. I have not suggested that it is not. A. Oh, so were(?) me on something.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. He can have his view; I have mine. Q. Did you know the Director's view about that? A. Well, the Director first said, if I remember, if I can see some of the taped conversations, was that if anything sharp practice, but I didn't think there was any sharp practice but Q. The taped conversations, obviously you mean the meetings of 13, 15 and 20 May, and what I am referring to is - the Chairman will decide what it means but the apparent expression of a view by the Director of Public Prosecutions in the meeting of the 15th that, without that, there would not be enough to charge you. That view. Was that communicated to you? A. No, I just saw it when I read the papers for the Inquiry.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. What?  Q. Arrest.  A. Of course I'm hoping to avoid arrest. I don't think there was a reason to arrest me, frankly. I think it was fundamentally flawed. It was wrong by the police.  Q. And were you hoping to avoid an examination of your telephone?  A. I was not avoiding an examination of my telephone, but you don't answer my - you don't have to answer, I have to answer - but I said that it was fundamentally flawed and I hope to show how fundamentally flawed it is.  Q. And you were hoping - is this right? - to see as much of the prosecution evidence as you could before making any comment.  A. That's normal, isn't it?  Q. I have not suggested that it is not.  A. Oh, so were(?) me on something.  Q. Were you hoping that if it went on long	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. He can have his view; I have mine. Q. Did you know the Director's view about that? A. Well, the Director first said, if I remember, if I can see some of the taped conversations, was that if anything sharp practice, but I didn't think there was any sharp practice but Q. The taped conversations, obviously you mean the meetings of 13, 15 and 20 May, and what I am referring to is - the Chairman will decide what it means but the apparent expression of a view by the Director of Public Prosecutions in the meeting of the 15th that, without that, there would not be enough to charge you. That view. Was that communicated to you?  A. No, I just saw it when I read the papers for the Inquiry. Q. And in all of this, what help, if any, did
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. What?  Q. Arrest.  A. Of course I'm hoping to avoid arrest. I don't think there was a reason to arrest me, frankly. I think it was fundamentally flawed. It was wrong by the police.  Q. And were you hoping to avoid an examination of your telephone?  A. I was not avoiding an examination of my telephone, but you don't answer my - you don't have to answer, I have to answer - but I said that it was fundamentally flawed and I hope to show how fundamentally flawed it is.  Q. And you were hoping - is this right? - to see as much of the prosecution evidence as you could before making any comment.  A. That's normal, isn't it?  Q. I have not suggested that it is not.  A. Oh, so were(?) me on something.  Q. Were you hoping that if it went on long enough the warrant would expire?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. He can have his view; I have mine.  Q. Did you know the Director's view about that?  A. Well, the Director first said, if I remember, if I can see some of the taped conversations, was that if anything sharp practice, but I didn't think there was any sharp practice but  Q. The taped conversations, obviously you mean the meetings of 13, 15 and 20 May, and what I am referring to is - the Chairman will decide what it means but the apparent expression of a view by the Director of Public Prosecutions in the meeting of the 15th that, without that, there would not be enough to charge you. That view. Was that communicated to you?  A. No, I just saw it when I read the papers for the Inquiry.  Q. And in all of this, what help, if any, did you think the Chief Minister might be able to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. What?  Q. Arrest.  A. Of course I'm hoping to avoid arrest. I don't think there was a reason to arrest me, frankly. I think it was fundamentally flawed. It was wrong by the police.  Q. And were you hoping to avoid an examination of your telephone?  A. I was not avoiding an examination of my telephone, but you don't answer my - you don't have to answer, I have to answer - but I said that it was fundamentally flawed and I hope to show how fundamentally flawed it is.  Q. And you were hoping - is this right? - to see as much of the prosecution evidence as you could before making any comment.  A. That's normal, isn't it?  Q. I have not suggested that it is not.  A. Oh, so were(?) me on something.  Q. Were you hoping that if it went on long enough the warrant would expire?  A. Those things don't happen. Not in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. He can have his view; I have mine. Q. Did you know the Director's view about that? A. Well, the Director first said, if I remember, if I can see some of the taped conversations, was that if anything sharp practice, but I didn't think there was any sharp practice but Q. The taped conversations, obviously you mean the meetings of 13, 15 and 20 May, and what I am referring to is - the Chairman will decide what it means but the apparent expression of a view by the Director of Public Prosecutions in the meeting of the 15th that, without that, there would not be enough to charge you. That view. Was that communicated to you?  A. No, I just saw it when I read the papers for the Inquiry. Q. And in all of this, what help, if any, did you think the Chief Minister might be able to give you?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. What?  Q. Arrest.  A. Of course I'm hoping to avoid arrest. I don't think there was a reason to arrest me, frankly. I think it was fundamentally flawed. It was wrong by the police.  Q. And were you hoping to avoid an examination of your telephone?  A. I was not avoiding an examination of my telephone, but you don't answer my - you don't have to answer, I have to answer - but I said that it was fundamentally flawed and I hope to show how fundamentally flawed it is.  Q. And you were hoping - is this right? - to see as much of the prosecution evidence as you could before making any comment.  A. That's normal, isn't it?  Q. I have not suggested that it is not.  A. Oh, so were(?) me on something.  Q. Were you hoping that if it went on long enough the warrant would expire?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. He can have his view; I have mine.  Q. Did you know the Director's view about that?  A. Well, the Director first said, if I remember, if I can see some of the taped conversations, was that if anything sharp practice, but I didn't think there was any sharp practice but  Q. The taped conversations, obviously you mean the meetings of 13, 15 and 20 May, and what I am referring to is - the Chairman will decide what it means but the apparent expression of a view by the Director of Public Prosecutions in the meeting of the 15th that, without that, there would not be enough to charge you. That view. Was that communicated to you?  A. No, I just saw it when I read the papers for the Inquiry.  Q. And in all of this, what help, if any, did you think the Chief Minister might be able to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. What?  Q. Arrest.  A. Of course I'm hoping to avoid arrest. I don't think there was a reason to arrest me, frankly. I think it was fundamentally flawed. It was wrong by the police.  Q. And were you hoping to avoid an examination of your telephone?  A. I was not avoiding an examination of my telephone, but you don't answer my - you don't have to answer, I have to answer - but I said that it was fundamentally flawed and I hope to show how fundamentally flawed it is.  Q. And you were hoping - is this right? - to see as much of the prosecution evidence as you could before making any comment.  A. That's normal, isn't it?  Q. I have not suggested that it is not.  A. Oh, so were(?) me on something.  Q. Were you hoping that if it went on long enough the warrant would expire?  A. Those things don't happen. Not in Gibraltar. Especially when there was such a motive for this, for this investigation.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. He can have his view; I have mine. Q. Did you know the Director's view about that?  A. Well, the Director first said, if I remember, if I can see some of the taped conversations, was that if anything sharp practice, but I didn't think there was any sharp practice but Q. The taped conversations, obviously you mean the meetings of 13, 15 and 20 May, and what I am referring to is - the Chairman will decide what it means but the apparent expression of a view by the Director of Public Prosecutions in the meeting of the 15th that, without that, there would not be enough to charge you. That view. Was that communicated to you?  A. No, I just saw it when I read the papers for the Inquiry. Q. And in all of this, what help, if any, did you think the Chief Minister might be able to give you?  A. I didn't think the Chief Minister could give me any help.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. What?  Q. Arrest.  A. Of course I'm hoping to avoid arrest. I don't think there was a reason to arrest me, frankly. I think it was fundamentally flawed. It was wrong by the police.  Q. And were you hoping to avoid an examination of your telephone?  A. I was not avoiding an examination of my telephone, but you don't answer my - you don't have to answer, I have to answer - but I said that it was fundamentally flawed and I hope to show how fundamentally flawed it is.  Q. And you were hoping - is this right? - to see as much of the prosecution evidence as you could before making any comment.  A. That's normal, isn't it?  Q. I have not suggested that it is not.  A. Oh, so were(?) me on something.  Q. Were you hoping that if it went on long enough the warrant would expire?  A. Those things don't happen. Not in Gibraltar. Especially when there was such a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. He can have his view; I have mine. Q. Did you know the Director's view about that?  A. Well, the Director first said, if I remember, if I can see some of the taped conversations, was that if anything sharp practice, but I didn't think there was any sharp practice but Q. The taped conversations, obviously you mean the meetings of 13, 15 and 20 May, and what I am referring to is - the Chairman will decide what it means but the apparent expression of a view by the Director of Public Prosecutions in the meeting of the 15th that, without that, there would not be enough to charge you. That view. Was that communicated to you?  A. No, I just saw it when I read the papers for the Inquiry. Q. And in all of this, what help, if any, did you think the Chief Minister might be able to give you?  A. I didn't think the Chief Minister could

1	Q. What help did you think, if any, that the	1	Q. No, and did those include the transcripts
2	Attorney General could give you?	2	of the meetings on 13, 15 and 20 May?
3	A. I have said before that I was complaining	3	A. They did.
4	to the Attorney General as a member of the	4	Q. They did.
5	Bar who was given a - there was application	5	A. But this wasn't a priority for me. I will
6	for a warrant in what I considered was a	6	read them, of course. It's very important to
7	fundamentally flawed police investigation.	7	read them but it wasn't something that I
8	Q. I have very little more, Mr Levy. Could I	8	thought was going to be so relevant for me to
9	ask that we bring up C 6901, please. You	9	give evidence, and I had other things to do.
10	were shown this on 13 May. 20.57 you say:	10	Q. Is there anything in the way in which they
11	"On the other matter I feel I've been hung out	11	approached those meetings which surprised
12	to dry, certainly not by you." And Mr	12	you?
13	Llamas, the Attorney General says: "Don't	13	A. Yes.
14	worry." You were asked about "don't	14	Q. The way in which they may be thought to
15	worry". Could I ask you about "I feel I've	15	have advocated for you.
16	been hung out to dry."	16	A. Who?
17	A. That means that the police had acted	17	Q. The Attorney and the Director.
18	wrongly.	18	A. I think it's quite proper. They probably
19	Q. Yes, that is not what it says though, does	19	realised, as I do now, and the more I see the
20	it? It says: "I feel I've been hung out to dry."	20	more I look into it, that the - I've said it
21	A. By the police.	21	before, that it's a fundamentally flawed
22	Q. By the police?	22	investigation for many, many reasons. Some
23	A. Yes.	23	of them have come out, others will come out,
24	Q. Did you feel you had been let down?	24	no doubt.
25	A. By whom?	25	Q. You presumably did not consider
	Page 245		Page 247
1	Q. That was my next question.	1	very self to be above the law in Cibralton
1 2		1 2	yourself to be above the law in Gibraltar.
2	A. No.	2	A. Certainly not, and I don't see how you
2 3	A. No. Q. By no one.	2 3	A. Certainly not, and I don't see how you can actually suggest that, but it's a matter for
2 3 4	<ul><li>A. No.</li><li>Q. By no one.</li><li>A. No, by the police.</li></ul>	2 3 4	A. Certainly not, and I don't see how you can actually suggest that, but it's a matter for you.
2 3 4 5	<ul><li>A. No.</li><li>Q. By no one.</li><li>A. No, by the police.</li><li>Q. Had you any expectation that you might</li></ul>	2 3 4 5	A. Certainly not, and I don't see how you can actually suggest that, but it's a matter for you.  Q. Who do you say is the most powerful
2 3 4 5 6	<ul><li>A. No.</li><li>Q. By no one.</li><li>A. No, by the police.</li><li>Q. Had you any expectation that you might be protected against this sort of investigation</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6	A. Certainly not, and I don't see how you can actually suggest that, but it's a matter for you.  Q. Who do you say is the most powerful lawyer in Gibraltar?
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul><li>A. No.</li><li>Q. By no one.</li><li>A. No, by the police.</li><li>Q. Had you any expectation that you might be protected against this sort of investigation or intrusion?</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	A. Certainly not, and I don't see how you can actually suggest that, but it's a matter for you.  Q. Who do you say is the most powerful lawyer in Gibraltar?  A. Powerful?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. By no one.</li> <li>A. No, by the police.</li> <li>Q. Had you any expectation that you might be protected against this sort of investigation or intrusion?</li> <li>A. Not at all. I had the expectation of being</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. Certainly not, and I don't see how you can actually suggest that, but it's a matter for you.  Q. Who do you say is the most powerful lawyer in Gibraltar?  A. Powerful?  Q. Yes, who is the most powerful
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. By no one.</li> <li>A. No, by the police.</li> <li>Q. Had you any expectation that you might be protected against this sort of investigation or intrusion?</li> <li>A. Not at all. I had the expectation of being dealt with fairly by the police, which I</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Certainly not, and I don't see how you can actually suggest that, but it's a matter for you.  Q. Who do you say is the most powerful lawyer in Gibraltar?  A. Powerful?  Q. Yes, who is the most powerful A. Certainly not I.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. By no one.</li> <li>A. No, by the police.</li> <li>Q. Had you any expectation that you might be protected against this sort of investigation or intrusion?</li> <li>A. Not at all. I had the expectation of being dealt with fairly by the police, which I wasn't.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A. Certainly not, and I don't see how you can actually suggest that, but it's a matter for you.</li> <li>Q. Who do you say is the most powerful lawyer in Gibraltar?</li> <li>A. Powerful?</li> <li>Q. Yes, who is the most powerful</li> <li>A. Certainly not I.</li> <li>Q. Not you. Who is?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. By no one.</li> <li>A. No, by the police.</li> <li>Q. Had you any expectation that you might be protected against this sort of investigation or intrusion?</li> <li>A. Not at all. I had the expectation of being dealt with fairly by the police, which I wasn't.</li> <li>Q. Have you now had a chance to see the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. Certainly not, and I don't see how you can actually suggest that, but it's a matter for you.  Q. Who do you say is the most powerful lawyer in Gibraltar?  A. Powerful?  Q. Yes, who is the most powerful A. Certainly not I.  Q. Not you. Who is?  A. Other people. I don't know. Why should
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. By no one.</li> <li>A. No, by the police.</li> <li>Q. Had you any expectation that you might be protected against this sort of investigation or intrusion?</li> <li>A. Not at all. I had the expectation of being dealt with fairly by the police, which I wasn't.</li> <li>Q. Have you now had a chance to see the transcripts of the tape recorded meetings on</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. Certainly not, and I don't see how you can actually suggest that, but it's a matter for you.  Q. Who do you say is the most powerful lawyer in Gibraltar?  A. Powerful?  Q. Yes, who is the most powerful A. Certainly not I.  Q. Not you. Who is?  A. Other people. I don't know. Why should I give that - that's a view. I think it's totally
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. By no one.</li> <li>A. No, by the police.</li> <li>Q. Had you any expectation that you might be protected against this sort of investigation or intrusion?</li> <li>A. Not at all. I had the expectation of being dealt with fairly by the police, which I wasn't.</li> <li>Q. Have you now had a chance to see the transcripts of the tape recorded meetings on 13, 15 and 20 May?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Certainly not, and I don't see how you can actually suggest that, but it's a matter for you.  Q. Who do you say is the most powerful lawyer in Gibraltar?  A. Powerful?  Q. Yes, who is the most powerful A. Certainly not I.  Q. Not you. Who is?  A. Other people. I don't know. Why should I give that - that's a view. I think it's totally irrelevant. I am the senior partner of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. By no one.</li> <li>A. No, by the police.</li> <li>Q. Had you any expectation that you might be protected against this sort of investigation or intrusion?</li> <li>A. Not at all. I had the expectation of being dealt with fairly by the police, which I wasn't.</li> <li>Q. Have you now had a chance to see the transcripts of the tape recorded meetings on 13, 15 and 20 May?</li> <li>A. Not all of them. I was concentrating on</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. Certainly not, and I don't see how you can actually suggest that, but it's a matter for you.  Q. Who do you say is the most powerful lawyer in Gibraltar?  A. Powerful?  Q. Yes, who is the most powerful A. Certainly not I.  Q. Not you. Who is?  A. Other people. I don't know. Why should I give that - that's a view. I think it's totally irrelevant. I am the senior partner of the largest firm, correct, and I have the most
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. By no one.</li> <li>A. No, by the police.</li> <li>Q. Had you any expectation that you might be protected against this sort of investigation or intrusion?</li> <li>A. Not at all. I had the expectation of being dealt with fairly by the police, which I wasn't.</li> <li>Q. Have you now had a chance to see the transcripts of the tape recorded meetings on 13, 15 and 20 May?</li> <li>A. Not all of them. I was concentrating on what I had to answer in respect of quite a late</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Certainly not, and I don't see how you can actually suggest that, but it's a matter for you.  Q. Who do you say is the most powerful lawyer in Gibraltar?  A. Powerful?  Q. Yes, who is the most powerful A. Certainly not I.  Q. Not you. Who is?  A. Other people. I don't know. Why should I give that - that's a view. I think it's totally irrelevant. I am the senior partner of the largest firm, correct, and I have the most number of lawyers, but that doesn't mean I'm
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. By no one.</li> <li>A. No, by the police.</li> <li>Q. Had you any expectation that you might be protected against this sort of investigation or intrusion?</li> <li>A. Not at all. I had the expectation of being dealt with fairly by the police, which I wasn't.</li> <li>Q. Have you now had a chance to see the transcripts of the tape recorded meetings on 13, 15 and 20 May?</li> <li>A. Not all of them. I was concentrating on what I had to answer in respect of quite a late contact to read up the affidavits of Mr</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Certainly not, and I don't see how you can actually suggest that, but it's a matter for you.  Q. Who do you say is the most powerful lawyer in Gibraltar?  A. Powerful?  Q. Yes, who is the most powerful A. Certainly not I. Q. Not you. Who is?  A. Other people. I don't know. Why should I give that - that's a view. I think it's totally irrelevant. I am the senior partner of the largest firm, correct, and I have the most number of lawyers, but that doesn't mean I'm the most powerful lawyer in Gibraltar. It
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. By no one.</li> <li>A. No, by the police.</li> <li>Q. Had you any expectation that you might be protected against this sort of investigation or intrusion?</li> <li>A. Not at all. I had the expectation of being dealt with fairly by the police, which I wasn't.</li> <li>Q. Have you now had a chance to see the transcripts of the tape recorded meetings on 13, 15 and 20 May?</li> <li>A. Not all of them. I was concentrating on what I had to answer in respect of quite a late contact to read up the affidavits of Mr McGrail, of Mr Richardson, and everything</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Certainly not, and I don't see how you can actually suggest that, but it's a matter for you.  Q. Who do you say is the most powerful lawyer in Gibraltar?  A. Powerful?  Q. Yes, who is the most powerful A. Certainly not I. Q. Not you. Who is?  A. Other people. I don't know. Why should I give that - that's a view. I think it's totally irrelevant. I am the senior partner of the largest firm, correct, and I have the most number of lawyers, but that doesn't mean I'm the most powerful lawyer in Gibraltar. It depends actually in what the power you're
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. No. Q. By no one. A. No, by the police. Q. Had you any expectation that you might be protected against this sort of investigation or intrusion? A. Not at all. I had the expectation of being dealt with fairly by the police, which I wasn't. Q. Have you now had a chance to see the transcripts of the tape recorded meetings on 13, 15 and 20 May? A. Not all of them. I was concentrating on what I had to answer in respect of quite a late contact to read up the affidavits of Mr McGrail, of Mr Richardson, and everything which referred to me.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Certainly not, and I don't see how you can actually suggest that, but it's a matter for you.  Q. Who do you say is the most powerful lawyer in Gibraltar?  A. Powerful?  Q. Yes, who is the most powerful A. Certainly not I. Q. Not you. Who is?  A. Other people. I don't know. Why should I give that - that's a view. I think it's totally irrelevant. I am the senior partner of the largest firm, correct, and I have the most number of lawyers, but that doesn't mean I'm the most powerful lawyer in Gibraltar. It depends actually in what the power you're talking about.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. No. Q. By no one. A. No, by the police. Q. Had you any expectation that you might be protected against this sort of investigation or intrusion? A. Not at all. I had the expectation of being dealt with fairly by the police, which I wasn't. Q. Have you now had a chance to see the transcripts of the tape recorded meetings on 13, 15 and 20 May? A. Not all of them. I was concentrating on what I had to answer in respect of quite a late contact to read up the affidavits of Mr McGrail, of Mr Richardson, and everything which referred to me. Q. You mean, quite properly, that solicitors	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. Certainly not, and I don't see how you can actually suggest that, but it's a matter for you.  Q. Who do you say is the most powerful lawyer in Gibraltar?  A. Powerful?  Q. Yes, who is the most powerful A. Certainly not I. Q. Not you. Who is?  A. Other people. I don't know. Why should I give that - that's a view. I think it's totally irrelevant. I am the senior partner of the largest firm, correct, and I have the most number of lawyers, but that doesn't mean I'm the most powerful lawyer in Gibraltar. It depends actually in what the power you're talking about.  Q. Does any other lawyer have more power
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. No. Q. By no one. A. No, by the police. Q. Had you any expectation that you might be protected against this sort of investigation or intrusion? A. Not at all. I had the expectation of being dealt with fairly by the police, which I wasn't. Q. Have you now had a chance to see the transcripts of the tape recorded meetings on 13, 15 and 20 May? A. Not all of them. I was concentrating on what I had to answer in respect of quite a late contact to read up the affidavits of Mr McGrail, of Mr Richardson, and everything which referred to me. Q. You mean, quite properly, that solicitors to the Inquiry have provided you with some	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Certainly not, and I don't see how you can actually suggest that, but it's a matter for you.  Q. Who do you say is the most powerful lawyer in Gibraltar?  A. Powerful?  Q. Yes, who is the most powerful A. Certainly not I. Q. Not you. Who is?  A. Other people. I don't know. Why should I give that - that's a view. I think it's totally irrelevant. I am the senior partner of the largest firm, correct, and I have the most number of lawyers, but that doesn't mean I'm the most powerful lawyer in Gibraltar. It depends actually in what the power you're talking about.  Q. Does any other lawyer have more power than you, for instance, politically?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. No. Q. By no one. A. No, by the police. Q. Had you any expectation that you might be protected against this sort of investigation or intrusion? A. Not at all. I had the expectation of being dealt with fairly by the police, which I wasn't. Q. Have you now had a chance to see the transcripts of the tape recorded meetings on 13, 15 and 20 May? A. Not all of them. I was concentrating on what I had to answer in respect of quite a late contact to read up the affidavits of Mr McGrail, of Mr Richardson, and everything which referred to me. Q. You mean, quite properly, that solicitors to the Inquiry have provided you with some of the material that they thought might be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Certainly not, and I don't see how you can actually suggest that, but it's a matter for you.  Q. Who do you say is the most powerful lawyer in Gibraltar?  A. Powerful?  Q. Yes, who is the most powerful A. Certainly not I. Q. Not you. Who is?  A. Other people. I don't know. Why should I give that - that's a view. I think it's totally irrelevant. I am the senior partner of the largest firm, correct, and I have the most number of lawyers, but that doesn't mean I'm the most powerful lawyer in Gibraltar. It depends actually in what the power you're talking about.  Q. Does any other lawyer have more power than you, for instance, politically?  A. Certainly. I'm not going to name him.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. No. Q. By no one. A. No, by the police. Q. Had you any expectation that you might be protected against this sort of investigation or intrusion? A. Not at all. I had the expectation of being dealt with fairly by the police, which I wasn't. Q. Have you now had a chance to see the transcripts of the tape recorded meetings on 13, 15 and 20 May? A. Not all of them. I was concentrating on what I had to answer in respect of quite a late contact to read up the affidavits of Mr McGrail, of Mr Richardson, and everything which referred to me. Q. You mean, quite properly, that solicitors to the Inquiry have provided you with some of the material that they thought might be relevant to your giving evidence.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Certainly not, and I don't see how you can actually suggest that, but it's a matter for you.  Q. Who do you say is the most powerful lawyer in Gibraltar?  A. Powerful?  Q. Yes, who is the most powerful A. Certainly not I. Q. Not you. Who is?  A. Other people. I don't know. Why should I give that - that's a view. I think it's totally irrelevant. I am the senior partner of the largest firm, correct, and I have the most number of lawyers, but that doesn't mean I'm the most powerful lawyer in Gibraltar. It depends actually in what the power you're talking about.  Q. Does any other lawyer have more power than you, for instance, politically?  A. Certainly. I'm not going to name him. It's not a fair question, with respect.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. No. Q. By no one. A. No, by the police. Q. Had you any expectation that you might be protected against this sort of investigation or intrusion? A. Not at all. I had the expectation of being dealt with fairly by the police, which I wasn't. Q. Have you now had a chance to see the transcripts of the tape recorded meetings on 13, 15 and 20 May? A. Not all of them. I was concentrating on what I had to answer in respect of quite a late contact to read up the affidavits of Mr McGrail, of Mr Richardson, and everything which referred to me. Q. You mean, quite properly, that solicitors to the Inquiry have provided you with some of the material that they thought might be relevant to your giving evidence. A. Absolutely, and they never denied to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Certainly not, and I don't see how you can actually suggest that, but it's a matter for you.  Q. Who do you say is the most powerful lawyer in Gibraltar?  A. Powerful?  Q. Yes, who is the most powerful A. Certainly not I. Q. Not you. Who is?  A. Other people. I don't know. Why should I give that - that's a view. I think it's totally irrelevant. I am the senior partner of the largest firm, correct, and I have the most number of lawyers, but that doesn't mean I'm the most powerful lawyer in Gibraltar. It depends actually in what the power you're talking about.  Q. Does any other lawyer have more power than you, for instance, politically?  A. Certainly. I'm not going to name him. It's not a fair question, with respect.  MR GIBBS: Those are all my questions.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. No. Q. By no one. A. No, by the police. Q. Had you any expectation that you might be protected against this sort of investigation or intrusion? A. Not at all. I had the expectation of being dealt with fairly by the police, which I wasn't. Q. Have you now had a chance to see the transcripts of the tape recorded meetings on 13, 15 and 20 May? A. Not all of them. I was concentrating on what I had to answer in respect of quite a late contact to read up the affidavits of Mr McGrail, of Mr Richardson, and everything which referred to me. Q. You mean, quite properly, that solicitors to the Inquiry have provided you with some of the material that they thought might be relevant to your giving evidence. A. Absolutely, and they never denied to provide me anything I asked for which they	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. Certainly not, and I don't see how you can actually suggest that, but it's a matter for you.  Q. Who do you say is the most powerful lawyer in Gibraltar?  A. Powerful?  Q. Yes, who is the most powerful A. Certainly not I.  Q. Not you. Who is?  A. Other people. I don't know. Why should I give that - that's a view. I think it's totally irrelevant. I am the senior partner of the largest firm, correct, and I have the most number of lawyers, but that doesn't mean I'm the most powerful lawyer in Gibraltar. It depends actually in what the power you're talking about.  Q. Does any other lawyer have more power than you, for instance, politically?  A. Certainly. I'm not going to name him. It's not a fair question, with respect.  MR GIBBS: Those are all my questions. Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. No. Q. By no one. A. No, by the police. Q. Had you any expectation that you might be protected against this sort of investigation or intrusion? A. Not at all. I had the expectation of being dealt with fairly by the police, which I wasn't. Q. Have you now had a chance to see the transcripts of the tape recorded meetings on 13, 15 and 20 May? A. Not all of them. I was concentrating on what I had to answer in respect of quite a late contact to read up the affidavits of Mr McGrail, of Mr Richardson, and everything which referred to me. Q. You mean, quite properly, that solicitors to the Inquiry have provided you with some of the material that they thought might be relevant to your giving evidence. A. Absolutely, and they never denied to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Certainly not, and I don't see how you can actually suggest that, but it's a matter for you.  Q. Who do you say is the most powerful lawyer in Gibraltar?  A. Powerful?  Q. Yes, who is the most powerful A. Certainly not I. Q. Not you. Who is?  A. Other people. I don't know. Why should I give that - that's a view. I think it's totally irrelevant. I am the senior partner of the largest firm, correct, and I have the most number of lawyers, but that doesn't mean I'm the most powerful lawyer in Gibraltar. It depends actually in what the power you're talking about.  Q. Does any other lawyer have more power than you, for instance, politically?  A. Certainly. I'm not going to name him. It's not a fair question, with respect.  MR GIBBS: Those are all my questions.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. No. Q. By no one. A. No, by the police. Q. Had you any expectation that you might be protected against this sort of investigation or intrusion? A. Not at all. I had the expectation of being dealt with fairly by the police, which I wasn't. Q. Have you now had a chance to see the transcripts of the tape recorded meetings on 13, 15 and 20 May? A. Not all of them. I was concentrating on what I had to answer in respect of quite a late contact to read up the affidavits of Mr McGrail, of Mr Richardson, and everything which referred to me. Q. You mean, quite properly, that solicitors to the Inquiry have provided you with some of the material that they thought might be relevant to your giving evidence. A. Absolutely, and they never denied to provide me anything I asked for which they	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. Certainly not, and I don't see how you can actually suggest that, but it's a matter for you.  Q. Who do you say is the most powerful lawyer in Gibraltar?  A. Powerful?  Q. Yes, who is the most powerful A. Certainly not I.  Q. Not you. Who is?  A. Other people. I don't know. Why should I give that - that's a view. I think it's totally irrelevant. I am the senior partner of the largest firm, correct, and I have the most number of lawyers, but that doesn't mean I'm the most powerful lawyer in Gibraltar. It depends actually in what the power you're talking about.  Q. Does any other lawyer have more power than you, for instance, politically?  A. Certainly. I'm not going to name him. It's not a fair question, with respect.  MR GIBBS: Those are all my questions. Thank you.

62 (Pages 245 to 248)

1				
a answer to questions, Mr Levy, that the 4 purpose of the meeting at the Chief 5 Minister's home on 17 May was in order to offer your resignation as CEO of Community 7 Care - 8 A. Chairman. 9 Q. Chairman, thank you. Of Community 10 Care. Why was it necessary for Mr Baglietto 11 KC to accompany you to that meeting? 12 A. No, that was just to help me as a person. 13 Iwas in a very had state. I have to admit it. 14 Q. You have referred repeatedly to the 15 investigation being flawed. 16 you, I would like to offer you the opportunity 17 to explain why you consider that the 18 investigation was flawed. 19 A. Well, primarily because it was led not by 0 the police, it was led by the competitor to 36 21 North, and I don't want to say any more 22 because matters are being looked at. 23 MR SANTOS: Mr Wagner is indicating to me that he has questions arising from the 25 evidence. I am happy for him to ask for your  Page 249  1 consent, sir. 2 THE CHAIRMAN: Discuss your evidence 3 with Mr Santos. I will rise briefly. (16,33) 5 (Adjourned for a short time) 6 (16,42) 7 THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, Mr Wagner. 8 MR WaGNIRE: Thave sought permission to ask questions on a couple of areas - in fact, 10 two areas - arising from the evidence that 11 has been elicited this afternoon. It shouldn't 12 take more than - no more than ten minutes, 13 but I really am hoping it will be less. 14 THE CHAIRMAN: Two minutes? 15 MR WaGNIRE: The minutes. Between five 16 and len. And I am applying under the 17 protocol 5F where circumstances may arrive 18 that the 14 or seven days notice are not 19 possible. For example, where - 20 THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Five to 21 ten minutes did you say? 22 ten firm firm the 23 that the firm the sease of the minutes, 24 that the 14 or seven days notice are not 25 possible. For example, where - 26 THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Five to 27 THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Five to 28 the role of the minutes of the role of the sease of the role of with this matter. 29 Chairman the complete of the role of th	1	Q. I only have two questions by way of	1	MR WAGNER: Yes. In fact, one area came
a mswort to questions, Mr Levy, that the purpose of the meeting at the Chief  Minister's home on 17 May was in order to offer your resignation as CEO of Community Care  8 A. Chairman. 9 Q. Chairman, thank you. Of Community Care. Why was it necessary for Mr Baglietto Large Care. Why Was Care. Large Care.	2	clarification. One of them is you said in	2	out of Mr Santos's examination and one area
4 purpose of the meeting at the Chief 5 Minister's home on 17 May was in order to 6 offer your resignation as CEO of Community 7 Care 8 A. Chairman.  9 Q. Chairman, thank you. Of Community 10 Care. Why was it necessary for Mr Baglietto 11 KC to accompany you to that meeting? 12 A. No, that was just to help me as a person. 15 I was in a very bad state. I have to admit it. 14 Q. You have referred repeatedly to the 15 investigation being flawed. In fairness to you and Mr Llams. I just want to claim 17 to explain why you consider that the 18 investigation was flawed. 19 A. Well, primarily because it was led not by the police, it was led by the competitor to 36 North, and I don't want to say any more 22 because matters are being looked at. 23 MR SANTOS: Mr Wagner is indicating to me that he has questions arising from the 25 evidence. I am happy for him to ask for your Page 249  1 consent, sir. 2 THE CHAIRMAN: Discuss your evidence with Mr Santos. I will rise briefly. 4 (16.33)  1 consent, sir. 1 Consent, sir. 2 THE CHAIRMAN: Policus your evidence with Mr Santos. I will rise briefly. 4 (16.42)  1 two areas - arising from the evidence that has been elicited this afternoon. 18 the propose that the 19 seven days notice are not 19 possible. For example, where 20 THE CHAIRMAN: Recause they arose out of Mr Gibbs examination, exactly. 19 A. Not only have I looked at it, but my IT people to look at it, and then the proof of the more than an applying under the 17 Protocol 5E where circumstances may arrive that the 14 or seven days notice are not 19 possible. For example, where 20 THE CHAIRMAN: Because they arose out of Mr Gibbs examination, exactly. 24 THE CHAIRMAN: Ox A. Said and please tell me if this is wrong, that you have some of the messages from that you have some of the messages on your phone, but not all of them? 24 A. Not only have looked at it, but my IT people to look at it, and if required to file an affidavit. 29 A. Not only have looked at it also. Q. Ox on whether you and the propose possible. For exam		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	3	from Mr Gibbs.
5 Minister's home on 17 May was in order to offer your resignation as CEO of Community 6 offer your resignation as CEO of Community 7 Care				
6 offer your resignation as CEO of Community 7 Care - 7 Questioned by MR WAGNER and Chairman. 9 Q. Chairman, thank you. Of Community 10 Care. Why was it necessary for Mr Baglietto 11 KC to accompany you to that meeting? 12 A. No, that was just to help me as a person. 13 I was in a very bad state. I have to admit it. 14 Q. You have referred repeatedly to the 15 investigation being flawed. In fairness to 16 you, I would like to offer you the opportunity 17 to explain why you consider that the 18 investigation was flawed. 19 A. Well, primarily because it was led not by 19 the police, it was led by the competitor to 36 10 North, and I don't want to say any more 10 because matters are being looked at. 12 me that he has questions arising from the 12 evidence. I am happy for him to ask for your 19				
7 Questioned by MR WAGNER 8 A. Chairman. 9 Q. Chairman, thank you. Of Community 10 Care. Why was it necessary for Mr Baglietto 11 KC to accompany you to that meeting? 12 A. No, that was just to help me as a person. 13 I was in a very bad state. I have to admit it. 14 Q. You have referred repeatedly to the 15 investigation being flawed. In fairness to 16 you, I would like to offer you the opportunity 17 to explain why you consider that the 18 investigation was flawed. 19 A. Well, primarily because it was led not by 20 the police, it was led by the competitor to 36 21 North, and I don't want to say any more 22 because matters are being looked at. 23 MR SANTOS: Mr Wagner is indicating to 24 me that he has questions arising from the 25 evidence. I am happy for him to ask for your  Page 249  1 consent, sir. 2 THE CHAIRMAN: Discuss your evidence 3 with Mr Santos. I will rise briefly. 4 (16.33) 5 (Adjourned for a short time) 6 (16.42) 7 THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, Mr Wagner. 8 MR WAGNER: I have sought permission to 9 ask questions on a couple of areas — in fact, 10 two areas — arising from the evidence that 11 has been elicited this afternoon. It shouldn't 12 take more than — no more than ten minutes, 13 but I really am hoping it will be less. 14 THE CHAIRMAN: Two minutes? 15 MR WAGNER: Ten minutes. Between five 16 and then. And I am applying under the 17 Protocol SE where circumstances may arrive 18 that the 14 or seven days notice are not 19 possible. For example, where — 20 THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Five to 21 of Mr Gibb's examination; 22 death of the mark of the messages were there? 23 death of the mark of the messages were there? 24 A. Not only have I looked at it, but my IT 25 people have looked? 26 per death of the mark of the messages and looked were there no 27 messages at all between pou and Mr Picardo 28 per death of the and the mass per death of the and the mass per death of the and the mass per death of the and the massages form the 26 per death of the and the massages form the 27 people have looked at it also. 28 per d		•		1.0
8 MR WAGNER: Good afternoon, Mr Levy. 9 Q. Chairman, thank you. Of Community 10 Care. Why was it necessary for Mr Baglietto 11 KC to accompany you to that meeting? 12 A. No, that was just to help me as a person. 13 I was in a very bad state. I have to admit it. 14 Q. You have referred repeatedly to the 15 investigation being flawed. In fairness to 16 you, I would like to offer you the opportunity 17 to explain why you consider that the 18 investigation was flawed. 19 A. Well, primarily because it was led not by 19 the police, it was led by the competitor to 36 10 North, and I don't want to say any more 10 because matters are being looked at. 12 me that he has questions arising from the 12 evidence. I am happy for him to ask for your 19 Page 249 11 consent, sir. 12 THE CHAIRMAN: Discuss your evidence 13 with Mr Santos. I will rise briefly. 14 (16.33) 15 (Adjourned for a short time) 16 (16.42) 17 THE CHAIRMAN: Poseuse your evidence 18 as questions on a couple of areas - in fact, tow areas - arising from the evidence that 11 has been elicited this afternoon. It shouldn't take more than - no more than ten minutes, 12 but I really am hoping it will be less. 14 THE CHAIRMAN: Two minutes? 15 MR WAGNER: Ten minutes. Between five and ten. And I am applying under the 19 possible. For example, where - 11 possible. For example, where - 12 of Mr Gibb's examination? 15 MR WAGNER: Yes. I think both came out of Mr Gibb's examination? 16 A. No. It's the one that's published, the one that has been shown to me - 2 of Mr Gibb's examination? 27 THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Five to 25 ten minutes did you say? 28 THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Five to 25 ten minutes did you say? 29 THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Five to 25 ten minutes did you say? 20 THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Five to 25 ten minutes did you say?				
9 Q. Chairman, thank you. Of Community 10 Care. Why was it necessary for Mr Baglietto 11 KC to accompany you to that meeting? 12 A. No, that was just to help me as a person. 13 I was in a very bad state. I have to admit it. 14 Q. You have referred repeatedly to the 15 investigation being flawed. In fairness to 16 you, I would like to offer you the opportunity 17 to explain why you consider that the 18 investigation was flawed. 19 A. Well, primarily because it was led not by 20 the police, it was led by the competitor to 36 21 North, and I don't want to say any more 22 because matters are being looked at. 23 MR SANTOS: Mr Wagner is indicating to 24 me that he has questions arising from the 25 evidence. I am happy for him to ask for your 26 Page 249  1 consent, sir. 2 THE CHAIRMAN: Discuss your evidence 3 with Mr Santos. I will rise briefly. 4 (16,33) 5 (Adjourned for a short time) 6 (16-42) 7 THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, Mr Wagner. 8 MR WAGKER: I have sought permission to 26 ask questions on a couple of areas—in fact, 11 think it's right that you said, and please tell 26 mis if this is wrong, that you said, and please tell 27 him it is fish that you said, and please tell 28 his toric messages between you and 29 Mr Picardo, but not all of them? 20 I am asking you whether you said that 21 you have some of the historic messages on your 22 phoeause matters are being looked at. 23 MR SANTOS: Mr Wagner is indicating to 24 me that he has questions arising from the 25 evidence. I am happy for him to ask for your 26 vith Mr Santos. I will rise briefly. 27 (Adjourned for a short time) 28 (Adjourned for a short time) 39 (Adjourned for a short time) 40 (A. Correct. 41 May/June 2020 and before? 42 A. Not only have I looked at it, but my IT 43 people have looked at it, but my IT 44 people have looked at it also. 45 (O. So you have nossages And when you looked were there no 46 (16-42) 47 (A. Yes. 48 A. Yes. 49 (Adjourned for a short time) 40 (A. Yes. 40 (Adjourned for a short time) 41 May/June 2020 and before? 42 A. Yes. 43 (A Yes. 44 Y				
Care. Why was it necessary for Mr Bagletto KC to accompany you to that meeting?  A. No, that was just to help me as a person.  I was in a very bad state. I have to admit it.  Q. You have referred repeatedly to the investigation being flawed. In fairness to you, I would like to offer you the opportunity to explain why you consider that the investigation was flawed.  A. Well, primarily because it was led not by the police, it was led by the competitor to 36 North, and I don't want to say any more because matters are being looked at.  MR WAGNER: I have sought permission to ask for your Page 249  1 consent, sir.  THE CHAIRMAN: Discuss your evidence with Mr Santos. I will rise briefly.  (16.42)  THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, Mr Wagner: a flavor that the lat or seven days' notice are not possible. For example, where—  MR WAGNER: Ten minutes, Between five and ten. And I am applying under the Protocol 5F where circumstances may arrive that the 14 or seven days' notice are not possible. For example, where—  MR WAGNER: Ten minutes, Between five and ten. And I am applying under the Protocol 5F where circumstances may arrive that the 14 or seven days' notice are not possible. For example, where—  MR WAGNER: Ten minutes, Between five and ten. And I am applying under the Protocol 5F where circumstances may arrive that the 14 or seven days' notice are not possible. For example, where—  MR WAGNER: Ten minutes, Between five and ten. And I am applying under the Protocol 5F where circumstances may arrive that the 14 or seven days' notice are not possible. For example, where—  MR WAGNER: Yes, I think both came out of Mr Gibb's examination; 225 the minutes did you say?  MR Gibb's examination, exactly.  THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Five to 25 ten minutes did you say?  THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Five to 25 ten minutes did you say?				•
12   A. No, that was just to help me as a person.   12   13   1 was in a very bad state. I have to admit it.   14   Q. You have referred repeatedly to the investigation being flawed. In fairness to   15   10   10   11   11   11   12   12   13   14   15   15   15   15   15   15   15				
12   A. No, that was just to help me as a person. I was in a very bad state. I have to admit it. Q. You have referred repeatedly to the investigation being flawed. In fairness to you, I would like to offer you the opportunity to explain why you consider that the investigation was flawed.   16				
13 I was in a very bad state. I have to admit it. 14 Q. You have referred repeatedly to the 15 investigation being flawed. In fairness to 16 you, I would like to offer you the opportunity 17 to explain why you consider that the 18 investigation was flawed. 19 A. Well, primarily because it was led not by 20 the police, it was led by the competitor to 36 21 North, and I don't want to say any more 22 because matters are being looked at. 23 MR SANTOS: Mr Wagner is indicating to 24 me that he has questions arising from the 25 evidence. I am happy for him to ask for your 26		- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
14   Q. You have referred repeatedly to the investigation being flawed. In fairness to log you, I would like to offer you the opportunity to explain why you consider that the investigation was flawed.   16	12		12	
15 investigation being flawed. In fairness to 16 you, I would like to offer you the opportunity 17 to explain why you consider that the 18 investigation was flawed. 19 A. Well, primarily because it was led not by 20 the police, it was led by the competitor to 36 21 North, and I don't want to say any more 22 because matters are being looked at. 23 MR SANTOS: Mr Wagner is indicating to 24 me that he has questions arising from the 25 evidence. I am happy for him to ask for your  Page 249  1 consent, sir. 2 THE CHAIRMAN: Discuss your evidence 3 with Mr Santos. I will rise briefly. 4 (16,33) 5 (Adjourned for a short time) 6 (16,42) 6 (Adjourned for a short time) 7 THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, Mr Wagner. 8 MR WAGNER: I have sought permission to 9 ask questions on a couple of areas — in fact, 10 two areas — arising from the evidence that 11 has been elicited this afternoon. It shouldn't 12 take more than — no more than ten minutes, 13 but I really am hoping it will be less. 14 THE CHAIRMAN: Two minutes? 15 MR WAGNER: Ten minutes. Between five and ten. And I am applying under the 17 Protocol 5E where circumstances may arrive that the 14 or seven days' notice are not possible. For example, where — 20 THE CHAIRMAN: Because they arose out of Mr Gibb's examination? 21 MR WAGNER: Yes, I think both came out 20 of Mr Gibb's examination? 22 MR WAGNER: Yes, I think both came out 23 of Mr Gibb's examination? 23 definition was flawed. 24 THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Five to 25 ten minutes did you say? 25 Institute the police, it was a light with a saking you whether you said that you have some of the messages between you and finequired to file an affidavit. 26 J. I am asking you whether you said that you have some of the messages on your phone; the messages on your phone, but not all of them? 26 Q. I am asking you whether you said that you have some of the messages on your phone; the sex all all of them? 27 A. I don't required to file an affidavit. 28 A. I don't recula saving you whethery ous and the saving you whethery ous and the pour hand. 29 (1	13	•	13	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
16 you, I would like to offer you the opportunity to explain why you consider that the last investigation was flawed.  18 investigation was flawed.  19 A. Well, primarily because it was led not by the police, it was led by the competitor to 36 21 North, and I don't want to say any more 22 because matters are being looked at. 22 because matters are being looked at. 23 MR SANTOS: Mr Wagner is indicating to 24 me that he has questions arising from the 25 evidence. I am happy for him to ask for your 26 vidence. I am happy for him to ask for your 27 method on your phone to see whether you have messages from that period, 28 whether you have messages from that period, 29 whether you have messages from that period, 29 whether you have messages from that period, 20 Nort only have I looked at it, but my IT 29 people have looked at it also. 20 Nort only have I looked at it also. 20 Nort only have I looked at it also. 30 Nort only have I looked at it also. 30 Nort only have I looked at it also. 30 Nort only have I looked were there no 30 messages at all between you and Mr Picardo from that period? 30 Nort only have I looked at it also. 31 Nort only have I looked at it also. 32 Nort only have I looked at it also. 33 Nort only have I looked at it also. 34 Nort only have I looked at it also. 34 Nort only have I looked at it also. 35 Nort only have I looked at it also. 36 Nort only have I looked at it also. 36 Nort only have I looked at it also. 37 Nort only have I looked at it also. 38 Nort only have I looked at it also. 39 Nort only have I looked at it also. 39 Nort only have I looked at it also. 39 Nort only have I looked at it also. 39 Nort only have I looked at it also. 39 Nort only have I looked at it also. 39 Nort only have I looked at it also. 39 Nort only have I looked at it also. 39 Nort only have I looked at it also. 39 Nort only have I looked at it also. 30 Nort only have I looked at it also. 30 Nort only have I looked at it also. 30 Nort only have I looked at it also. 30 Nort only have I looked at it also. 30 Nort	14	Q. You have referred repeatedly to the	14	me if this is wrong, that you have some of the
to explain why you consider that the investigation was flawed.  A. Well, primarily because it was led not by the police, it was led by the competitor to 36  North, and I don't want to say any more because matters are being looked at.  MR SANTOS: Mr Wagner is indicating to me that he has questions arising from the evidence. I am happy for him to ask for your phone, but not all of them?  A. I said I don't know exactly, but I have undertaken to get my IT people to look at it, and if required to file an affidavit.  Q. I am asking you whether you said that you have some of the messages on your phone, but not all of them?  A. I don't recall saying that.  Q. Have you looked on your phone to see whether you have messages from that period,  Page 249  1 consent, sir.  2 THE CHAIRMAN: Discuss your evidence with Mr Santos. I will rise briefly.  4 (16.33)  5 (Adjourned for a short time)  6 (16.42)  7 THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, Mr Wagner.  8 MR WAGNER: I have sought permission to ask questions on a couple of areas in fact, two areas arising from the evidence that has been elicited this afternoon. It shouldn't that have referen and ten. And I am applying under the Protocol 5E where circumstances may arrive that the 14 or seven days' notice are not possible. For example, where that CHAIRMAN: Because they arose out of Mr Gibb's examination?  2 MR WAGNER: Yes. I think both came out of Mr Gibb's examination, exactly.  2 THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Five to ten minutes did you say?  3 A. I don't recall saying that.  Q. Have you looked on your phone to all of them?  A. Hoad them?  A. Hoad them?  A. Hoad them?  A. Hoad yJune 2020 and before?  A. Not only have I looked at it, but my IT people have looked at it also.  Q. So you have looked?  A. Yes.  Q. And when you looked were there no messages at all between you and Mr Picardo from the period?  A. Correct.  Q. There were no messages. And when you looked, were there no messages between you and the Attorney General, Mr Llamas, from, I suppose, the period before your phone packed it in a year	15	investigation being flawed. In fairness to	15	historic messages between you and
to explain why you consider that the investigation was flawed.  A. Well, primarily because it was led not by the police, it was led by the competitor to 36  North, and I don't want to say any more because matters are being looked at.  MR SANTOS: Mr Wagner is indicating to me that he has questions arising from the evidence. I am happy for him to ask for your phone, but not all of them?  A. I said I don't know exactly, but I have undertaken to get my IT people to look at it, and if required to file an affidavit.  Q. I am asking you whether you said that you have some of the messages on your phone, but not all of them?  A. I don't recall saying that.  Q. Have you looked on your phone to see whether you have messages from that period,  Page 249  1 consent, sir.  2 THE CHAIRMAN: Discuss your evidence with Mr Santos. I will rise briefly.  4 (16.33)  5 (Adjourned for a short time)  6 (16.42)  7 THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, Mr Wagner.  8 MR WAGNER: I have sought permission to ask questions on a couple of areas in fact, to two areas arising from the evidence that has been elicited this afternoon. It shouldn't lat kake more than no more than ten minutes, and ten. And I am applying under the Protocol 5E where circumstances may arrive that the 14 or seven days' notice are not possible. For example, where  20 THE CHAIRMAN: Because they arose out of Mr Gibb's examination?  21 MR WAGNER: Yes. I think both came out of Mr Gibb's examination, exactly.  22 MR WAGNER: Yes. I think both came out of Mr Gibb's examination, exactly.  23 ten minutes did you say?  24 THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Five to ten minutes did you say?  25 A. I said I don't kand the undertaken to get my IT people that has product at it.  26 In and it required to file an affidavit.  27 A. I don't recall saying that.  28 A. I don't recall saying that.  29 A. Not only have I looked at it, but my IT people have looked at it also.  29 A. Ox ox ou have looked are it also.  20 A. Ox on when you looked ore there no messages at all between you and Mr Picardo from the evidence	16	you, I would like to offer you the opportunity	16	Mr Picardo, but not all of them?
18	17		17	A. I said I don't know exactly, but I have
the police, it was led by the competitor to 36 North, and I don't want to say any more because matters are being looked at.  MR SANTOS: Mr Wagner is indicating to me that he has questions arising from the evidence. I am happy for him to ask for your  Page 249    Consent, sir.   1	18		18	• *
the police, it was led by the competitor to 36 North, and I don't want to say any more because matters are being looked at. MR SANTOS: Mr Wagner is indicating to me that he has questions arising from the evidence. I am happy for him to ask for your  Page 249  **Reg 251    Consent, sir.   2		=		~ · · · ·
21 North, and I don't want to say any more 22 because matters are being looked at. 23 MR SANTOS: Mr Wagner is indicating to 24 me that he has questions arising from the 25 evidence. I am happy for him to ask for your  Page 249  1 consent, sir. 2 THE CHAIRMAN: Discuss your evidence 3 with Mr Santos. I will rise briefly. 4 (16.33) 5 (Adjourned for a short time) 6 (16.42) 7 THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, Mr Wagner. 8 MR WAGNER: I have sought permission to 9 ask questions on a couple of areas — in fact, 10 two areas — arising from the evidence that 11 has been elicited this afternoon. It shouldn't 12 take more than — no more than ten minutes, 13 but I really am hoping it will be less. 14 THE CHAIRMAN: Two minutes? 15 MR WAGNER: Ten minutes. Between five 16 and ten. And I am applying under the 17 Protocol 5E where circumstances may arrive 18 that the 14 or seven days' notice are not 19 possible. For example, where — 20 THE CHAIRMAN: Because they arose out 21 of Mr Gibb's examination, exactly. 24 THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Five to 25 you have some of the messages on your 26 phone, but not all of them? 27 A. I don't recall saying that. 28 A. I don't recall saying that. 29 A. Not only have I looked at it, but my IT 20 A. Ves. 20 And when you looked were there no 21 messages at all between you and Mr Picardo from that period? 22 A. Not only have I looked at it, but my IT 23 people have looked at it also. 24 A. Yes. 25 A. Yes. 26 A. Not only have I looked at it, but my IT 27 people have looked? 27 A. Not only have I looked at it, but my IT 28 A. Yes. 29 A. Not only have I looked at it, but my IT 39 people have looked? 30 A. Yes. 31 people have looked at it also. 32 A. Ves. 34 A. Yes. 35 A. Yes. 46 Q. And when you looked were there no 36 messages at all between you and Mr Picardo from that period? 48 A. Correct. 49 A. Correct. 40 C. There were no messages. And when you looked, were there no messages between you and the Attorney General, Mr Llamas, from, 1 suppose, the period before your phone packed it in a year ago? 40 The CHAIRMAN: Discus				
22   because matters are being looked at.   23   MR SANTOS: Mr Wagner is indicating to me that he has questions arising from the evidence. I am happy for him to ask for your   Page 249   Page 251		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
MR SANTOS: Mr Wagner is indicating to me that he has questions arising from the evidence. I am happy for him to ask for your  Page 249  Page 251    Consent, sir.   2 THE CHAIRMAN: Discuss your evidence with Mr Santos. I will rise briefly.   3 people have looked at it, but my IT   3 people have looked at it also.   4 Q. So you have looked?   4 A. Yes.   4 (16.33)   4 Q. So you have looked?   4 A. Yes.   6 (16.42)   6 Q. And when you looked were there no messages at all between you and Mr Picardo from that period?   4 A. Correct.   9 A. Correct.   9 A. Correct.   10 two areas arising from the evidence that   10 take more than no more than ten minutes,   13 but I really am hoping it will be less.   14 THE CHAIRMAN: Two minutes?   15 MR WAGNER: I more than be then and I am applying under the   16 and ten. And I am applying under the   17 Protocol 5E where circumstances may arrive   18 that the 14 or seven days' notice are not   19 possible. For example, where   20 THE CHAIRMAN: Because they arose out   21 of Mr Gibb's examination?   22 MR WAGNER: Yes. I think both came out   23 of Mr Gibb's examination?   24 A. Yes.   25 D. And have you been through individually   26 D. And have you been through individually   27 D. And have you been through individually   28 D. And have you been through individually   28 D. And have you been through individually   29 D. And have you been through individually   20 D. And have you been through individually   27 D. And have you been through individually   28 D. And have you been through individually   29 D. And ha				
24 me that he has questions arising from the 25 evidence. I am happy for him to ask for your  Page 249  1 consent, sir. 2 THE CHAIRMAN: Discuss your evidence 3 with Mr Santos. I will rise briefly. 4 (16.33) 5 (Adjourned for a short time) 6 (16.42) 7 THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, Mr Wagner. 8 MR WAGNER: I have sought permission to 9 ask questions on a couple of areas in fact, 10 two areas arising from the evidence that 11 has been elicited this afternoon. It shouldn't 12 take more than no more than ten minutes, 13 but I really am hoping it will be less. 14 THE CHAIRMAN: Two minutes? 15 MR WAGNER: Ten minutes. Between five and ten. And I am applying under the 17 Protocol 5E where circumstances may arrive 18 that the 14 or seven days' notice are not 19 possible. For example, where 20 THE CHAIRMAN: Because they arose out 21 of Mr Gibb's examination? 22 MR WAGNER: Yes. I think both came out 23 of Mr Gibb's examination, exactly. 24 THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Five to 25 ten minutes did you say?  24 Q. Have you looked on your phone to see whether you have messages from that period,  Page 251  25 May/June 2020 and before?  A. Not only have I looked at it, but my IT  A. Not end on the violece for  A. Not on		S		1
25 evidence. I am happy for him to ask for your  Page 249  1 consent, sir. 2 THE CHAIRMAN: Discuss your evidence 3 with Mr Santos. I will rise briefly. 4 (16.33) 5 (Adjourned for a short time) 6 (16.42) 7 THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, Mr Wagner. 8 MR WAGNER: I have sought permission to 9 ask questions on a couple of areas in fact, 10 two areas arising from the evidence that 11 has been elicited this afternoon. It shouldn't 12 take more than no more than ten minutes, 13 but I really am hoping it will be less. 14 THE CHAIRMAN: Two minutes? 15 MR WAGNER: Ten minutes. Between five 16 and ten. And I am applying under the 17 Protocol 5E where circumstances may arrive 18 that the 14 or seven days' notice are not 19 possible. For example, where 20 THE CHAIRMAN: Because they arose out 21 of Mr Gibb's examination? 22 MR WAGNER: Yes. I think both came out 23 of Mr Gibb's examination, exactly. 24 THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Five to 25 ten minutes did you say?  25 whether you have messages from that period, Page 251  May/June 2020 and before? A. Not only have I looked at it, but my IT people have looked at it so. Q. A Ves.  2 M. Ves. 2 N. Correct. Q. They were no messages hand when you looked were there no messages there no messages had let en on message				• •
Page 249  Page 251    Consent, sir.   1				
1 consent, sir. 2 THE CHAIRMAN: Discuss your evidence 3 with Mr Santos. I will rise briefly. 4 (16.33) 5 (Adjourned for a short time) 6 (16.42) 7 THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, Mr Wagner. 8 MR WAGNER: I have sought permission to 9 ask questions on a couple of areas in fact, 10 two areas arising from the evidence that 11 has been elicited this afternoon. It shouldn't 12 take more than no more than ten minutes, 13 but I really am hoping it will be less. 14 THE CHAIRMAN: Two minutes? 15 MR WAGNER: Ten minutes. Between five 16 and ten. And I am applying under the 17 Protocol 5E where circumstances may arrive 18 that the 14 or seven days' notice are not 19 possible. For example, where 20 THE CHAIRMAN: Because they arose out 21 of Mr Gibb's examination? 22 MR WAGNER: Yes. I think both came out 23 of Mr Gibb's examination, exactly. 24 THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Five to 25 ten minutes did you say?  1 May/June 2020 and before?  A. Not only have I looked at it, but my IT people have looked at it also. Q. So you have looked? A. Yes. Q. And when you looked were there no messages at all between you and Mr Picardo from that period? A. Correct. Q. There were no messages. And when you looked, were there no messages between you and the Attorney General, Mr Llamas, from, I suppose, the period before your phone packed it in a year ago? A. The relevant messages – the messages there to do with this matter. Q. They were? The messages were there? A. No. It's the one that's published, the one that has been shown to me – Q. The "don't worry" messages were there? A. Correct. Q. So you have historic messages from the Attorney General on your phone right now? A. Yes. Q. And have you been through individually	25	evidence. I am happy for him to ask for your	25	whether you have messages from that period,
1 consent, sir. 2 THE CHAIRMAN: Discuss your evidence 3 with Mr Santos. I will rise briefly. 4 (16.33) 5 (Adjourned for a short time) 6 (16.42) 7 THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, Mr Wagner. 8 MR WAGNER: I have sought permission to 9 ask questions on a couple of areas in fact, 10 two areas arising from the evidence that 11 has been elicited this afternoon. It shouldn't 12 take more than no more than ten minutes, 13 but I really am hoping it will be less. 14 THE CHAIRMAN: Two minutes? 15 MR WAGNER: Ten minutes. Between five 16 and ten. And I am applying under the 17 Protocol 5E where circumstances may arrive 18 that the 14 or seven days' notice are not 19 possible. For example, where 20 THE CHAIRMAN: Because they arose out 21 of Mr Gibb's examination? 22 MR WAGNER: Yes. I think both came out 23 of Mr Gibb's examination, exactly. 24 THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Five to 25 ten minutes did you say?  1 May/June 2020 and before?  A. Not only have I looked at it, but my IT people have looked at it also. Q. So you have looked? A. Yes. Q. And when you looked were there no messages at all between you and Mr Picardo from that period? A. Correct. Q. There were no messages. And when you looked, were there no messages between you and the Attorney General, Mr Llamas, from, I suppose, the period before your phone packed it in a year ago? A. The relevant messages – the messages there to do with this matter. Q. They were? The messages were there? A. No. It's the one that's published, the one that has been shown to me – Q. The "don't worry" messages were there? A. Correct. Q. So you have historic messages from the Attorney General on your phone right now? A. Yes. Q. And have you been through individually		Page 240		Page 251
THE CHAIRMAN: Discuss your evidence with Mr Santos. I will rise briefly.  A. Not only have I looked at it, but my IT people have looked at it also.  Q. So you have looked?  A. Yes.  (16.42)  THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, Mr Wagner.  MR WAGNER: I have sought permission to ask questions on a couple of areas in fact, two areas arising from the evidence that has been elicited this afternoon. It shouldn't take more than no more than ten minutes, but I really am hoping it will be less.  THE CHAIRMAN: Two minutes?  MR WAGNER: Ten minutes. Between five and ten. And I am applying under the Protocol 5E where circumstances may arrive that the 14 or seven days' notice are not possible. For example, where  THE CHAIRMAN: Because they arose out of Mr Gibb's examination?  MR WAGNER: Yes. I think both came out of Mr Gibb's examination, exactly.  THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Five to ten minutes did you say?  A. Not only have I looked at it, but my IT people have looked?  A. Yes.  A. Yes.  Q. And when you looked were there no messages at all between you and Mr Picardo from that period?  A. Correct.  Q. There were no messages. And when you looked, were there no messages between you and the Attorney General, Mr Llamas, from, I suppose, the period before your phone packed it in a year ago?  A. The relevant messages the messages there to do with this matter.  Q. They were? The messages were there?  A. No. It's the one that's published, the one that has been shown to me  Q. The "don't worry" messages were there?  A. Correct.  Q. The "don't worry" messages were there?  A. Correct.  Q. So you have historic messages from the Attorney General on your phone right now?  A. Correct.  Q. They were? The messages were there?  A. Correct.  Q. The "don't worry" messages from the that has been shown to me  Q. The "don't worry" messages out the product of		1 age 27)		1 age 231
THE CHAIRMAN: Discuss your evidence with Mr Santos. I will rise briefly.  A. Not only have I looked at it, but my IT people have looked at it also.  Q. So you have looked?  A. Yes.  (16.42)  THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, Mr Wagner.  MR WAGNER: I have sought permission to ask questions on a couple of areas in fact, two areas arising from the evidence that has been elicited this afternoon. It shouldn't take more than no more than ten minutes, but I really am hoping it will be less.  THE CHAIRMAN: Two minutes?  MR WAGNER: Ten minutes. Between five and ten. And I am applying under the Protocol 5E where circumstances may arrive that the 14 or seven days' notice are not possible. For example, where  THE CHAIRMAN: Because they arose out of Mr Gibb's examination?  MR WAGNER: Yes. I think both came out of Mr Gibb's examination, exactly.  THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Five to ten minutes did you say?  A. Not only have I looked at it, but my IT people have looked?  A. Yes.  A. Yes.  Q. And when you looked were there no messages at all between you and Mr Picardo from that period?  A. Correct.  Q. There were no messages. And when you looked, were there no messages between you and the Attorney General, Mr Llamas, from, I suppose, the period before your phone packed it in a year ago?  A. The relevant messages the messages there to do with this matter.  Q. They were? The messages were there?  A. No. It's the one that's published, the one that has been shown to me  Q. The "don't worry" messages were there?  A. Correct.  Q. The "don't worry" messages were there?  A. Correct.  Q. So you have historic messages from the Attorney General on your phone right now?  A. Correct.  Q. They were? The messages were there?  A. Correct.  Q. The "don't worry" messages from the that has been shown to me  Q. The "don't worry" messages out the product of	1	consent sir	1	M /I 2020 11 C 0
with Mr Santos. I will rise briefly.  (16.33)  (Adjourned for a short time)  (16.42)  7 THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, Mr Wagner.  8 MR WAGNER: I have sought permission to 9 ask questions on a couple of areas in fact, 10 two areas arising from the evidence that 11 has been elicited this afternoon. It shouldn't 12 take more than no more than ten minutes, 13 but I really am hoping it will be less.  13 THE CHAIRMAN: Two minutes?  15 MR WAGNER: Ten minutes. Between five 16 and ten. And I am applying under the 17 Protocol 5E where circumstances may arrive 18 that the 14 or seven days' notice are not 19 possible. For example, where 20 THE CHAIRMAN: Because they arose out 21 of Mr Gibb's examination?  22 MR WAGNER: Yes. I think both came out 23 of Mr Gibb's examination, exactly. 24 THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Five to 25 ten minutes did you say?  3 people have looked at it also.  4 Q. So you have looked?  5 A. Yes.  6 Q. And when you looked were there no messages at all between you and Mr Picardo from that period?  9 A. Correct.  10 Us And When you looked were there no messages at all between you and Mr Picardo from that period?  10 Us And when you looked were there no messages at all between you and Mr Picardo from that period?  10 Us And when you looked were there no messages at all between you and the period?  11 Language at all between you and Mr Picardo from that period?  12 A. Correct.  13 I suppose, the period before your phone packed it in a year ago?  14 A. The relevant messages the messages there to do with this matter.  15 A. The relevant messages were there?  16 A. The relevant messages were there?  17 A. The relevant messages were there?  28 A. No. It's the one that's published, the one that has been shown to me  29 The "don't worry" messages were there?  20 So you have historic messages from the Attorney General on your phone right now?  21 A. Correct.  22 Q. So you have historic messages from the Attorney General on your phone right now?  24 A. Yes.  25 Q. And have you been through individually				May/lline /U/U and before/
4 (16.33) 5 (Adjourned for a short time) 6 (16.42) 6 (16.42) 7 THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, Mr Wagner. 8 MR WAGNER: I have sought permission to 9 ask questions on a couple of areas in fact, 10 two areas arising from the evidence that 11 has been elicited this afternoon. It shouldn't 12 take more than no more than ten minutes, 13 but I really am hoping it will be less. 14 THE CHAIRMAN: Two minutes? 15 MR WAGNER: Ten minutes. Between five 16 and ten. And I am applying under the 17 Protocol 5E where circumstances may arrive 18 that the 14 or seven days' notice are not 19 possible. For example, where 20 THE CHAIRMAN: Because they arose out 21 of Mr Gibb's examination? 22 MR WAGNER: Yes. I think both came out 23 of Mr Gibb's examination, exactly. 24 THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Five to 25 ten minutes did you say?  4 Q. So you have looked? 5 A. Yes. 6 Q. And when you looked were there no messages at all between you and Mr Picardo from that period?  A. Correct.  9 A. Correct. 10 Looked, were there no messages. And when you looked were there no messages at all between you and Mr Picardo from that period?  A. Correct.  10 Q. There were no messages. And when you looked were there no messages at all between you and Mr Picardo from that period?  A. Correct.  11 Looked, were there no messages between you and the Attorney General, Mr Llamas, from, 11 Lsuppose, the period before your phone 12 packed it in a year ago? 13 Lsuppose, the period before your phone 14 packed it in a year ago? 15 A. The relevant messages the messages 16 there to do with this matter. 17 Q. They were? The messages were there? 18 A. No. It's the one that's published, the one 19 that has been shown to me 20 Q. The "don't worry" messages were there? 21 A. Correct. 22 Q. So you have historic messages from the 23 Attorney General on your phone right now? 24 A. Yes. 25 Q. And have you been through individually	2			
5 (Adjourned for a short time) 6 (16.42) 7 THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, Mr Wagner. 8 MR WAGNER: I have sought permission to 9 ask questions on a couple of areas in fact, 10 two areas arising from the evidence that 11 has been elicited this afternoon. It shouldn't 12 take more than no more than ten minutes, 13 but I really am hoping it will be less. 14 THE CHAIRMAN: Two minutes? 15 MR WAGNER: Ten minutes. Between five 16 and ten. And I am applying under the 17 Protocol 5E where circumstances may arrive 18 that the 14 or seven days' notice are not 19 possible. For example, where 20 THE CHAIRMAN: Because they arose out 21 of Mr Gibb's examination? 22 MR WAGNER: Yes. I think both came out 23 of Mr Gibb's examination, exactly. 24 THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Five to 25 ten minutes did you say?  5 A. Yes. 6 Q. And when you looked were there no messages at all between you and Mr Picardo from that period?  A. Correct.  10 Q. There were no messages. And when you looked, were there no messages between you and the Attorney General, Mr Llamas, from, 11 suppose, the period before your phone packed it in a year ago?  A. The relevant messages the messages there to do with this matter.  17 Q. They were? The messages were there? 18 A. No. It's the one that's published, the one that has been shown to me 20 The "don't worry" messages were there? 21 A. Correct. 22 Q. So you have historic messages from the 23 Attorney General on your phone right now? 24 A. Yes. 25 Q. And have you been through individually		THE CHAIRMAN: Discuss your evidence	2	A. Not only have I looked at it, but my IT
6 (16.42) 7 THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, Mr Wagner. 8 MR WAGNER: I have sought permission to 9 ask questions on a couple of areas in fact, 10 two areas arising from the evidence that 11 has been elicited this afternoon. It shouldn't 12 take more than no more than ten minutes, 13 but I really am hoping it will be less. 14 THE CHAIRMAN: Two minutes? 15 MR WAGNER: Ten minutes. Between five 16 and ten. And I am applying under the 17 Protocol 5E where circumstances may arrive 18 that the 14 or seven days' notice are not 19 possible. For example, where 20 THE CHAIRMAN: Because they arose out 21 of Mr Gibb's examination? 22 MR WAGNER: Yes. I think both came out 23 of Mr Gibb's examination, exactly. 24 THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Five to 25 ten minutes did you say?  6 Q. And when you looked were there no messages at all between you and Mr Picardo from that period?  8 A. Correct.  10 Q. There were no messages. And when you looked, were there no messages at all between you and Mr Picardo from that period?  9 A. Correct.  10 Q. There were no messages. And when you looked, were there no messages at all between you and Mr Picardo from that period?  9 A. Correct.  10 Q. There were no messages. And when you looked, were there no messages between you and the Attorney General, Mr Llamas, from, 11 I suppose, the period before your phone packed it in a year ago?  A. The relevant messages the messages there to do with this matter.  17 Q. They were? The messages were there?  A. No. It's the one that's published, the one that has been shown to me 20 The "don't worry" messages were there?  A. Correct.  Q. So you have historic messages from the Attorney General on your phone right now?  A. Yes.  25 Q. And have you been through individually	3	THE CHAIRMAN: Discuss your evidence with Mr Santos. I will rise briefly.	2 3	A. Not only have I looked at it, but my IT people have looked at it also.
THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, Mr Wagner.  MR WAGNER: I have sought permission to ask questions on a couple of areas in fact, two areas arising from the evidence that has been elicited this afternoon. It shouldn't take more than no more than ten minutes, but I really am hoping it will be less. THE CHAIRMAN: Two minutes?  MR WAGNER: Ten minutes. Between five and ten. And I am applying under the Protocol 5E where circumstances may arrive that the 14 or seven days' notice are not possible. For example, where THE CHAIRMAN: Because they arose out of Mr Gibb's examination?  MR WAGNER: Yes. I think both came out of Mr Gibb's examination, exactly.  THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Five to ten minutes did you say?  THE CHAIRMAN: Magner.  The chairman in fact, A. Correct.  Residue the period?  A. Correct.  Q. There were no messages. And when you and the Picardo from that period?  A. Correct.  Q. There were no messages. And when you and the Picardo from that period?  A. Correct.  Q. There were no messages. And when you and the Picardo from that period?  A. Correct.  Q. There were no messages. And when you and the Picardo from that period?  A. Correct.  Q. There were no messages. And when you and the Picardo from that period?  A. Correct.  Q. There were no messages. And when you and the Picardo P. A. Correct.  Q. There were no messages. And when you and the Picardo P. A. Correct.  Q. The period before your phone there to do with this matter.  Q. They were? The messages were there?  A. No. It's the one that's published, the one that has been shown to me Q. The "don't worry" messages were there?  A. Correct.  Q. So you have historic messages from the Attorney General on your phone right now?  A. Yes.  Q. And have you been through individually	3 4	THE CHAIRMAN: Discuss your evidence with Mr Santos. I will rise briefly. (16.33)	2 3 4	<ul><li>A. Not only have I looked at it, but my IT people have looked at it also.</li><li>Q. So you have looked?</li></ul>
MR WAGNER: I have sought permission to ask questions on a couple of areas in fact, two areas arising from the evidence that has been elicited this afternoon. It shouldn't take more than no more than ten minutes, but I really am hoping it will be less. THE CHAIRMAN: Two minutes?  MR WAGNER: Ten minutes. Between five and ten. And I am applying under the Protocol 5E where circumstances may arrive that the 14 or seven days' notice are not possible. For example, where THE CHAIRMAN: Because they arose out of Mr Gibb's examination?  MR WAGNER: Yes. I think both came out of Mr Gibb's examination, exactly.  THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Five to ten minutes did you say?  MR WAGNER: I have sought permission to ask questions on a couple of areas in fact, D. There were no messages. And when you looked, were there no messages between you and the Attorney General, Mr Llamas, from, I suppose, the period before your phone packed it in a year ago? A. The relevant messages the messages there to do with this matter. Q. They were? The messages were there? A. No. It's the one that's published, the one that has been shown to me Q. The "don't worry" messages were there? A. Correct. Q. So you have historic messages from the Attorney General on your phone right now? A. Yes. Q. A. Yes. Q. And have you been through individually	3 4 5	THE CHAIRMAN: Discuss your evidence with Mr Santos. I will rise briefly. (16.33) (Adjourned for a short time)	2 3 4 5	<ul><li>A. Not only have I looked at it, but my IT people have looked at it also.</li><li>Q. So you have looked?</li><li>A. Yes.</li></ul>
ask questions on a couple of areas in fact, two areas arising from the evidence that that the 14 or seven days' notice are not possible. For example, where THE CHAIRMAN: Because they arose out of Mr Gibb's examination, exactly. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Five to ten minutes did you say?  A. Correct.  Q. There were no messages. And when you looked, were there no messages between you and the Attorney General, Mr Llamas, from, Is uppose, the period before your phone packed it in a year ago?  A. The relevant messages the messages there to do with this matter.  Q. They were? The messages were there?  A. No. It's the one that's published, the one that has been shown to me Q. So you have historic messages from the A. Yes.  Q. There were no messages. And when you looked, were there no messages. And when you looked, were there no messages.  And when you looked, were there no messages. And when you looked, were there no messages.  And when you looked, were there no messages.  And when you looked, were there no messages.  And when you looked, were there no messages between you and the Attorney General, Mr Llamas, from,  Is uppose, the period before your phone packed it in a year ago?  A. The relevant messages the messages there to do with this matter.  Q. They were? The messages were there?  A. No. It's the one that's published, the one that has been shown to me  Q. The "don't worry" messages were there?  A. Correct.  Q. So you have historic messages from the Attorney General on your phone right now?  A. Yes.  Q. And have you been through individually	3 4 5 6	THE CHAIRMAN: Discuss your evidence with Mr Santos. I will rise briefly. (16.33) (Adjourned for a short time) (16.42)	2 3 4 5 6	<ul><li>A. Not only have I looked at it, but my IT people have looked at it also.</li><li>Q. So you have looked?</li><li>A. Yes.</li><li>Q. And when you looked were there no</li></ul>
two areas arising from the evidence that has been elicited this afternoon. It shouldn't take more than no more than ten minutes, but I really am hoping it will be less. THE CHAIRMAN: Two minutes? MR WAGNER: Ten minutes. Between five and ten. And I am applying under the Protocol 5E where circumstances may arrive that the 14 or seven days' notice are not possible. For example, where THE CHAIRMAN: Because they arose out of Mr Gibb's examination?  MR WAGNER: Yes. I think both came out of Mr Gibb's examination, exactly. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Five to ten minutes did you say?  D. There were no messages. And when you looked, were there no messages between you and the Attorney General, Mr Llamas, from, Is uppose, the period before your phone packed it in a year ago?  A. The relevant messages the messages there to do with this matter.  Q. They were? The messages were there?  A. No. It's the one that's published, the one that has been shown to me Q. The "don't worry" messages were there? A. Correct. Q. So you have historic messages from the Attorney General on your phone right now? A. Yes. Q. And have you been through individually	3 4 5 6 7	THE CHAIRMAN: Discuss your evidence with Mr Santos. I will rise briefly. (16.33) (Adjourned for a short time) (16.42) THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, Mr Wagner.	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>A. Not only have I looked at it, but my IT people have looked at it also.</li> <li>Q. So you have looked?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And when you looked were there no messages at all between you and Mr Picardo</li> </ul>
has been elicited this afternoon. It shouldn't take more than no more than ten minutes, but I really am hoping it will be less.  THE CHAIRMAN: Two minutes?  MR WAGNER: Ten minutes. Between five and ten. And I am applying under the that the 14 or seven days' notice are not possible. For example, where  THE CHAIRMAN: Because they arose out of Mr Gibb's examination?  MR WAGNER: Yes. I think both came out of Mr Gibb's examination, exactly.  THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Five to ten minutes did you say?  Is uppose, the period before your phone packed it in a year ago?  A. The relevant messages the messages there to do with this matter.  Q. They were? The messages were there?  A. No. It's the one that's published, the one that has been shown to me  Q. The "don't worry" messages were there?  A. Correct.  Q. So you have historic messages from the Attorney General on your phone right now?  A. Yes.  Q. And have you been through individually	3 4 5 6 7 8	THE CHAIRMAN: Discuss your evidence with Mr Santos. I will rise briefly. (16.33) (Adjourned for a short time) (16.42) THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, Mr Wagner. MR WAGNER: I have sought permission to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>A. Not only have I looked at it, but my IT people have looked at it also.</li> <li>Q. So you have looked?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And when you looked were there no messages at all between you and Mr Picardo from that period?</li> </ul>
take more than no more than ten minutes, but I really am hoping it will be less. THE CHAIRMAN: Two minutes? IS MR WAGNER: Ten minutes. Between five and ten. And I am applying under the Protocol 5E where circumstances may arrive that the 14 or seven days' notice are not possible. For example, where THE CHAIRMAN: Because they arose out of Mr Gibb's examination? MR WAGNER: Yes. I think both came out of Mr Gibb's examination, exactly. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Five to ten minutes did you say?  Is suppose, the period before your phone packed it in a year ago?  A. The relevant messages the messages there to do with this matter.  Q. They were? The messages were there?  A. No. It's the one that's published, the one that has been shown to me Q. The "don't worry" messages were there?  A. Correct. Q. So you have historic messages from the Attorney General, Mr Llamas, from, I suppose, the period before your phone packed it in a year ago?  A. The relevant messages the messages there to do with this matter.  Q. They were? The messages were there?  A. Correct.  Q. So you have historic messages from the Attorney General on your phone right now?  A. Yes.  Q. And have you been through individually	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE CHAIRMAN: Discuss your evidence with Mr Santos. I will rise briefly. (16.33) (Adjourned for a short time) (16.42) THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, Mr Wagner. MR WAGNER: I have sought permission to ask questions on a couple of areas in fact,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A. Not only have I looked at it, but my IT people have looked at it also.</li> <li>Q. So you have looked?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And when you looked were there no messages at all between you and Mr Picardo from that period?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> </ul>
but I really am hoping it will be less. THE CHAIRMAN: Two minutes?  MR WAGNER: Ten minutes. Between five and ten. And I am applying under the Protocol 5E where circumstances may arrive that the 14 or seven days' notice are not possible. For example, where  THE CHAIRMAN: Because they arose out of Mr Gibb's examination?  MR WAGNER: Yes. I think both came out of Mr Gibb's examination, exactly.  THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Five to ten minutes did you say?  I suppose, the period before your phone packed it in a year ago?  A. The relevant messages the messages there to do with this matter.  Q. They were? The messages were there?  A. No. It's the one that's published, the one that has been shown to me  Q. The "don't worry" messages were there?  A. Correct.  Q. So you have historic messages from the Attorney General on your phone right now?  A. Yes.  Q. And have you been through individually	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE CHAIRMAN: Discuss your evidence with Mr Santos. I will rise briefly. (16.33) (Adjourned for a short time) (16.42) THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, Mr Wagner. MR WAGNER: I have sought permission to ask questions on a couple of areas in fact, two areas arising from the evidence that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A. Not only have I looked at it, but my IT people have looked at it also.</li> <li>Q. So you have looked?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And when you looked were there no messages at all between you and Mr Picardo from that period?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. There were no messages. And when you</li> </ul>
THE CHAIRMAN: Two minutes?  MR WAGNER: Ten minutes. Between five and ten. And I am applying under the Protocol 5E where circumstances may arrive that the 14 or seven days' notice are not possible. For example, where THE CHAIRMAN: Because they arose out of Mr Gibb's examination?  MR WAGNER: Yes. I think both came out of Mr Gibb's examination, exactly.  THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Five to ten minutes did you say?  14 packed it in a year ago?  A. The relevant messages the messages there to do with this matter.  Q. They were? The messages were there?  A. No. It's the one that's published, the one that has been shown to me  Q. The "don't worry" messages were there?  A. Correct.  Q. So you have historic messages from the Attorney General on your phone right now?  A. Yes.  Q. And have you been through individually	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE CHAIRMAN: Discuss your evidence with Mr Santos. I will rise briefly. (16.33) (Adjourned for a short time) (16.42) THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, Mr Wagner. MR WAGNER: I have sought permission to ask questions on a couple of areas in fact, two areas arising from the evidence that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A. Not only have I looked at it, but my IT people have looked at it also.</li> <li>Q. So you have looked?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And when you looked were there no messages at all between you and Mr Picardo from that period?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. There were no messages. And when you</li> </ul>
MR WAGNER: Ten minutes. Between five and ten. And I am applying under the Protocol 5E where circumstances may arrive that the 14 or seven days' notice are not possible. For example, where THE CHAIRMAN: Because they arose out of Mr Gibb's examination?  MR WAGNER: Yes. I think both came out of Mr Gibb's examination, exactly. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Five to ten minutes did you say?  A. The relevant messages the messages there to do with this matter.  Q. They were? The messages were there? A. No. It's the one that's published, the one that has been shown to me Q. The "don't worry" messages were there? A. Correct. Q. So you have historic messages from the Attorney General on your phone right now? A. Yes. Q. And have you been through individually	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	THE CHAIRMAN: Discuss your evidence with Mr Santos. I will rise briefly. (16.33) (Adjourned for a short time) (16.42) THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, Mr Wagner. MR WAGNER: I have sought permission to ask questions on a couple of areas in fact, two areas arising from the evidence that has been elicited this afternoon. It shouldn't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>A. Not only have I looked at it, but my IT people have looked at it also.</li> <li>Q. So you have looked?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And when you looked were there no messages at all between you and Mr Picardo from that period?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. There were no messages. And when you looked, were there no messages between you</li> </ul>
MR WAGNER: Ten minutes. Between five and ten. And I am applying under the Protocol 5E where circumstances may arrive that the 14 or seven days' notice are not possible. For example, where THE CHAIRMAN: Because they arose out of Mr Gibb's examination?  MR WAGNER: Yes. I think both came out of Mr Gibb's examination, exactly. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Five to ten minutes did you say?  A. The relevant messages the messages there to do with this matter.  Q. They were? The messages were there? A. No. It's the one that's published, the one that has been shown to me Q. The "don't worry" messages were there? A. Correct. Q. So you have historic messages from the Attorney General on your phone right now? A. Yes. Q. And have you been through individually	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	THE CHAIRMAN: Discuss your evidence with Mr Santos. I will rise briefly. (16.33) (Adjourned for a short time) (16.42) THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, Mr Wagner. MR WAGNER: I have sought permission to ask questions on a couple of areas in fact, two areas arising from the evidence that has been elicited this afternoon. It shouldn't take more than no more than ten minutes,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>A. Not only have I looked at it, but my IT people have looked at it also.</li> <li>Q. So you have looked?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And when you looked were there no messages at all between you and Mr Picardo from that period?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. There were no messages. And when you looked, were there no messages between you and the Attorney General, Mr Llamas, from,</li> </ul>
and ten. And I am applying under the Protocol 5E where circumstances may arrive that the 14 or seven days' notice are not possible. For example, where THE CHAIRMAN: Because they arose out of Mr Gibb's examination?  MR WAGNER: Yes. I think both came out of Mr Gibb's examination, exactly. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Five to ten minutes did you say?  there to do with this matter.  Q. They were? The messages were there?  A. No. It's the one that's published, the one that has been shown to me Q. The "don't worry" messages were there?  A. Correct. Q. So you have historic messages from the Attorney General on your phone right now?  A. Yes. Q. They were? The messages were there? A. No. It's the one that's published, the one that has been shown to me Q. The "don't worry" messages were there?  A. Correct. Q. So you have historic messages from the Attorney General on your phone right now?  A. Yes. Q. And have you been through individually	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	THE CHAIRMAN: Discuss your evidence with Mr Santos. I will rise briefly. (16.33) (Adjourned for a short time) (16.42) THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, Mr Wagner. MR WAGNER: I have sought permission to ask questions on a couple of areas in fact, two areas arising from the evidence that has been elicited this afternoon. It shouldn't take more than no more than ten minutes, but I really am hoping it will be less.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Not only have I looked at it, but my IT people have looked at it also. Q. So you have looked? A. Yes. Q. And when you looked were there no messages at all between you and Mr Picardo from that period? A. Correct. Q. There were no messages. And when you looked, were there no messages between you and the Attorney General, Mr Llamas, from, I suppose, the period before your phone
Protocol 5E where circumstances may arrive that the 14 or seven days' notice are not possible. For example, where  THE CHAIRMAN: Because they arose out of Mr Gibb's examination?  MR WAGNER: Yes. I think both came out of Mr Gibb's examination, exactly.  THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Five to ten minutes did you say?  Protocol 5E where circumstances may arrive that has been? The messages were there?  A. No. It's the one that's published, the one that has been shown to me  Q. The "don't worry" messages were there?  A. Correct.  Q. So you have historic messages from the Attorney General on your phone right now?  A. Yes.  Q. They were? The messages were there?  A. No. It's the one that's published, the one that has been shown to me  Q. The "don't worry" messages were there?  A. Correct.  Q. So you have historic messages from the Attorney General on your phone right now?  A. Yes.  Q. They were? The messages were there?  A. No. It's the one that's published, the one that's p	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	THE CHAIRMAN: Discuss your evidence with Mr Santos. I will rise briefly. (16.33) (Adjourned for a short time) (16.42) THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, Mr Wagner. MR WAGNER: I have sought permission to ask questions on a couple of areas in fact, two areas arising from the evidence that has been elicited this afternoon. It shouldn't take more than no more than ten minutes, but I really am hoping it will be less. THE CHAIRMAN: Two minutes?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. Not only have I looked at it, but my IT people have looked at it also. Q. So you have looked? A. Yes. Q. And when you looked were there no messages at all between you and Mr Picardo from that period? A. Correct. Q. There were no messages. And when you looked, were there no messages between you and the Attorney General, Mr Llamas, from, I suppose, the period before your phone packed it in a year ago?
that the 14 or seven days' notice are not possible. For example, where THE CHAIRMAN: Because they arose out of Mr Gibb's examination?  MR WAGNER: Yes. I think both came out of Mr Gibb's examination, exactly.  THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Five to ten minutes did you say?  A. No. It's the one that's published, the one that has been shown to me Q. The "don't worry" messages were there? A. Correct. Q. So you have historic messages from the Attorney General on your phone right now? A. Yes. Q. And have you been through individually	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	THE CHAIRMAN: Discuss your evidence with Mr Santos. I will rise briefly. (16.33) (Adjourned for a short time) (16.42) THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, Mr Wagner. MR WAGNER: I have sought permission to ask questions on a couple of areas in fact, two areas arising from the evidence that has been elicited this afternoon. It shouldn't take more than no more than ten minutes, but I really am hoping it will be less. THE CHAIRMAN: Two minutes? MR WAGNER: Ten minutes. Between five	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Not only have I looked at it, but my IT people have looked at it also. Q. So you have looked? A. Yes. Q. And when you looked were there no messages at all between you and Mr Picardo from that period? A. Correct. Q. There were no messages. And when you looked, were there no messages between you and the Attorney General, Mr Llamas, from, I suppose, the period before your phone packed it in a year ago? A. The relevant messages the messages
possible. For example, where THE CHAIRMAN: Because they arose out of Mr Gibb's examination?  MR WAGNER: Yes. I think both came out of Mr Gibb's examination, exactly.  THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Five to ten minutes did you say?  19 that has been shown to me Q. The "don't worry" messages were there? A. Correct. Q. So you have historic messages from the Attorney General on your phone right now? A. Yes. Q. And have you been through individually	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE CHAIRMAN: Discuss your evidence with Mr Santos. I will rise briefly. (16.33) (Adjourned for a short time) (16.42) THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, Mr Wagner. MR WAGNER: I have sought permission to ask questions on a couple of areas in fact, two areas arising from the evidence that has been elicited this afternoon. It shouldn't take more than no more than ten minutes, but I really am hoping it will be less. THE CHAIRMAN: Two minutes? MR WAGNER: Ten minutes. Between five and ten. And I am applying under the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Not only have I looked at it, but my IT people have looked at it also. Q. So you have looked? A. Yes. Q. And when you looked were there no messages at all between you and Mr Picardo from that period? A. Correct. Q. There were no messages. And when you looked, were there no messages between you and the Attorney General, Mr Llamas, from, I suppose, the period before your phone packed it in a year ago? A. The relevant messages the messages there to do with this matter.
THE CHAIRMAN: Because they arose out of Mr Gibb's examination?  MR WAGNER: Yes. I think both came out of Mr Gibb's examination, exactly.  THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Five to ten minutes did you say?  20 Q. The "don't worry" messages were there?  A. Correct.  Q. So you have historic messages from the Attorney General on your phone right now?  A. Yes.  24 A. Yes.  25 Q. And have you been through individually	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	THE CHAIRMAN: Discuss your evidence with Mr Santos. I will rise briefly. (16.33) (Adjourned for a short time) (16.42) THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, Mr Wagner. MR WAGNER: I have sought permission to ask questions on a couple of areas in fact, two areas arising from the evidence that has been elicited this afternoon. It shouldn't take more than no more than ten minutes, but I really am hoping it will be less. THE CHAIRMAN: Two minutes? MR WAGNER: Ten minutes. Between five and ten. And I am applying under the Protocol 5E where circumstances may arrive	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Not only have I looked at it, but my IT people have looked at it also. Q. So you have looked? A. Yes. Q. And when you looked were there no messages at all between you and Mr Picardo from that period? A. Correct. Q. There were no messages. And when you looked, were there no messages between you and the Attorney General, Mr Llamas, from, I suppose, the period before your phone packed it in a year ago? A. The relevant messages the messages there to do with this matter. Q. They were? The messages were there?
of Mr Gibb's examination?  MR WAGNER: Yes. I think both came out of Mr Gibb's examination, exactly.  THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Five to ten minutes did you say?  21 A. Correct. 22 Q. So you have historic messages from the Attorney General on your phone right now? 24 A. Yes. 25 Q. And have you been through individually	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE CHAIRMAN: Discuss your evidence with Mr Santos. I will rise briefly. (16.33) (Adjourned for a short time) (16.42) THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, Mr Wagner. MR WAGNER: I have sought permission to ask questions on a couple of areas in fact, two areas arising from the evidence that has been elicited this afternoon. It shouldn't take more than no more than ten minutes, but I really am hoping it will be less. THE CHAIRMAN: Two minutes? MR WAGNER: Ten minutes. Between five and ten. And I am applying under the Protocol 5E where circumstances may arrive that the 14 or seven days' notice are not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Not only have I looked at it, but my IT people have looked at it also. Q. So you have looked? A. Yes. Q. And when you looked were there no messages at all between you and Mr Picardo from that period? A. Correct. Q. There were no messages. And when you looked, were there no messages between you and the Attorney General, Mr Llamas, from, I suppose, the period before your phone packed it in a year ago? A. The relevant messages the messages there to do with this matter. Q. They were? The messages were there? A. No. It's the one that's published, the one
MR WAGNER: Yes. I think both came out of Mr Gibb's examination, exactly.  THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Five to ten minutes did you say?  Z2 Q. So you have historic messages from the Attorney General on your phone right now?  A. Yes.  Q. And have you been through individually	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE CHAIRMAN: Discuss your evidence with Mr Santos. I will rise briefly. (16.33) (Adjourned for a short time) (16.42) THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, Mr Wagner. MR WAGNER: I have sought permission to ask questions on a couple of areas in fact, two areas arising from the evidence that has been elicited this afternoon. It shouldn't take more than no more than ten minutes, but I really am hoping it will be less. THE CHAIRMAN: Two minutes? MR WAGNER: Ten minutes. Between five and ten. And I am applying under the Protocol 5E where circumstances may arrive that the 14 or seven days' notice are not possible. For example, where	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Not only have I looked at it, but my IT people have looked at it also. Q. So you have looked? A. Yes. Q. And when you looked were there no messages at all between you and Mr Picardo from that period? A. Correct. Q. There were no messages. And when you looked, were there no messages between you and the Attorney General, Mr Llamas, from, I suppose, the period before your phone packed it in a year ago? A. The relevant messages the messages there to do with this matter. Q. They were? The messages were there? A. No. It's the one that's published, the one that has been shown to me
of Mr Gibb's examination, exactly.  THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Five to ten minutes did you say?  23 Attorney General on your phone right now?  A. Yes.  25 Q. And have you been through individually	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	THE CHAIRMAN: Discuss your evidence with Mr Santos. I will rise briefly. (16.33) (Adjourned for a short time) (16.42) THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, Mr Wagner. MR WAGNER: I have sought permission to ask questions on a couple of areas in fact, two areas arising from the evidence that has been elicited this afternoon. It shouldn't take more than no more than ten minutes, but I really am hoping it will be less. THE CHAIRMAN: Two minutes? MR WAGNER: Ten minutes. Between five and ten. And I am applying under the Protocol 5E where circumstances may arrive that the 14 or seven days' notice are not possible. For example, where THE CHAIRMAN: Because they arose out	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Not only have I looked at it, but my IT people have looked at it also. Q. So you have looked? A. Yes. Q. And when you looked were there no messages at all between you and Mr Picardo from that period? A. Correct. Q. There were no messages. And when you looked, were there no messages between you and the Attorney General, Mr Llamas, from, I suppose, the period before your phone packed it in a year ago? A. The relevant messages the messages there to do with this matter. Q. They were? The messages were there? A. No. It's the one that's published, the one that has been shown to me Q. The "don't worry" messages were there?
THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Five to ten minutes did you say?  24 A. Yes. 25 Q. And have you been through individually	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE CHAIRMAN: Discuss your evidence with Mr Santos. I will rise briefly. (16.33) (Adjourned for a short time) (16.42) THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, Mr Wagner. MR WAGNER: I have sought permission to ask questions on a couple of areas in fact, two areas arising from the evidence that has been elicited this afternoon. It shouldn't take more than no more than ten minutes, but I really am hoping it will be less. THE CHAIRMAN: Two minutes? MR WAGNER: Ten minutes. Between five and ten. And I am applying under the Protocol 5E where circumstances may arrive that the 14 or seven days' notice are not possible. For example, where THE CHAIRMAN: Because they arose out of Mr Gibb's examination?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Not only have I looked at it, but my IT people have looked at it also. Q. So you have looked? A. Yes. Q. And when you looked were there no messages at all between you and Mr Picardo from that period? A. Correct. Q. There were no messages. And when you looked, were there no messages between you and the Attorney General, Mr Llamas, from, I suppose, the period before your phone packed it in a year ago? A. The relevant messages — the messages there to do with this matter. Q. They were? The messages were there? A. No. It's the one that's published, the one that has been shown to me — Q. The "don't worry" messages were there? A. Correct.
25 ten minutes did you say? 25 Q. And have you been through individually	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE CHAIRMAN: Discuss your evidence with Mr Santos. I will rise briefly. (16.33) (Adjourned for a short time) (16.42) THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, Mr Wagner. MR WAGNER: I have sought permission to ask questions on a couple of areas in fact, two areas arising from the evidence that has been elicited this afternoon. It shouldn't take more than no more than ten minutes, but I really am hoping it will be less. THE CHAIRMAN: Two minutes? MR WAGNER: Ten minutes. Between five and ten. And I am applying under the Protocol 5E where circumstances may arrive that the 14 or seven days' notice are not possible. For example, where THE CHAIRMAN: Because they arose out of Mr Gibb's examination? MR WAGNER: Yes. I think both came out	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Not only have I looked at it, but my IT people have looked at it also. Q. So you have looked? A. Yes. Q. And when you looked were there no messages at all between you and Mr Picardo from that period? A. Correct. Q. There were no messages. And when you looked, were there no messages between you and the Attorney General, Mr Llamas, from, I suppose, the period before your phone packed it in a year ago? A. The relevant messages the messages there to do with this matter. Q. They were? The messages were there? A. No. It's the one that's published, the one that has been shown to me Q. The "don't worry" messages were there? A. Correct. Q. So you have historic messages from the
	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE CHAIRMAN: Discuss your evidence with Mr Santos. I will rise briefly. (16.33) (Adjourned for a short time) (16.42) THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, Mr Wagner. MR WAGNER: I have sought permission to ask questions on a couple of areas in fact, two areas arising from the evidence that has been elicited this afternoon. It shouldn't take more than no more than ten minutes, but I really am hoping it will be less. THE CHAIRMAN: Two minutes? MR WAGNER: Ten minutes. Between five and ten. And I am applying under the Protocol 5E where circumstances may arrive that the 14 or seven days' notice are not possible. For example, where THE CHAIRMAN: Because they arose out of Mr Gibb's examination? MR WAGNER: Yes. I think both came out of Mr Gibb's examination, exactly.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Not only have I looked at it, but my IT people have looked at it also. Q. So you have looked? A. Yes. Q. And when you looked were there no messages at all between you and Mr Picardo from that period? A. Correct. Q. There were no messages. And when you looked, were there no messages between you and the Attorney General, Mr Llamas, from, I suppose, the period before your phone packed it in a year ago? A. The relevant messages the messages there to do with this matter. Q. They were? The messages were there? A. No. It's the one that's published, the one that has been shown to me Q. The "don't worry" messages were there? A. Correct. Q. So you have historic messages from the Attorney General on your phone right now?
Page 250 Page 252	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	THE CHAIRMAN: Discuss your evidence with Mr Santos. I will rise briefly. (16.33) (Adjourned for a short time) (16.42) THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, Mr Wagner. MR WAGNER: I have sought permission to ask questions on a couple of areas in fact, two areas arising from the evidence that has been elicited this afternoon. It shouldn't take more than no more than ten minutes, but I really am hoping it will be less. THE CHAIRMAN: Two minutes? MR WAGNER: Ten minutes. Between five and ten. And I am applying under the Protocol 5E where circumstances may arrive that the 14 or seven days' notice are not possible. For example, where THE CHAIRMAN: Because they arose out of Mr Gibb's examination? MR WAGNER: Yes. I think both came out of Mr Gibb's examination, exactly. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Five to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. Not only have I looked at it, but my IT people have looked at it also. Q. So you have looked? A. Yes. Q. And when you looked were there no messages at all between you and Mr Picardo from that period? A. Correct. Q. There were no messages. And when you looked, were there no messages between you and the Attorney General, Mr Llamas, from, I suppose, the period before your phone packed it in a year ago? A. The relevant messages the messages there to do with this matter. Q. They were? The messages were there? A. No. It's the one that's published, the one that has been shown to me Q. The "don't worry" messages were there? A. Correct. Q. So you have historic messages from the Attorney General on your phone right now? A. Yes.
Page 252	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	THE CHAIRMAN: Discuss your evidence with Mr Santos. I will rise briefly. (16.33) (Adjourned for a short time) (16.42) THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, Mr Wagner. MR WAGNER: I have sought permission to ask questions on a couple of areas in fact, two areas arising from the evidence that has been elicited this afternoon. It shouldn't take more than no more than ten minutes, but I really am hoping it will be less. THE CHAIRMAN: Two minutes? MR WAGNER: Ten minutes. Between five and ten. And I am applying under the Protocol 5E where circumstances may arrive that the 14 or seven days' notice are not possible. For example, where THE CHAIRMAN: Because they arose out of Mr Gibb's examination? MR WAGNER: Yes. I think both came out of Mr Gibb's examination, exactly. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Five to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. Not only have I looked at it, but my IT people have looked at it also. Q. So you have looked? A. Yes. Q. And when you looked were there no messages at all between you and Mr Picardo from that period? A. Correct. Q. There were no messages. And when you looked, were there no messages between you and the Attorney General, Mr Llamas, from, I suppose, the period before your phone packed it in a year ago? A. The relevant messages the messages there to do with this matter. Q. They were? The messages were there? A. No. It's the one that's published, the one that has been shown to me Q. The "don't worry" messages were there? A. Correct. Q. So you have historic messages from the Attorney General on your phone right now? A. Yes.
	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	THE CHAIRMAN: Discuss your evidence with Mr Santos. I will rise briefly. (16.33) (Adjourned for a short time) (16.42) THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, Mr Wagner. MR WAGNER: I have sought permission to ask questions on a couple of areas in fact, two areas arising from the evidence that has been elicited this afternoon. It shouldn't take more than no more than ten minutes, but I really am hoping it will be less. THE CHAIRMAN: Two minutes? MR WAGNER: Ten minutes. Between five and ten. And I am applying under the Protocol 5E where circumstances may arrive that the 14 or seven days' notice are not possible. For example, where THE CHAIRMAN: Because they arose out of Mr Gibb's examination? MR WAGNER: Yes. I think both came out of Mr Gibb's examination, exactly. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Five to ten minutes did you say?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. Not only have I looked at it, but my IT people have looked at it also. Q. So you have looked? A. Yes. Q. And when you looked were there no messages at all between you and Mr Picardo from that period? A. Correct. Q. There were no messages. And when you looked, were there no messages between you and the Attorney General, Mr Llamas, from, I suppose, the period before your phone packed it in a year ago? A. The relevant messages the messages there to do with this matter. Q. They were? The messages were there? A. No. It's the one that's published, the one that has been shown to me Q. The "don't worry" messages were there? A. Correct. Q. So you have historic messages from the Attorney General on your phone right now? A. Yes. Q. And have you been through individually

1	each of those messages from 2018, 2019 and	1	A. No. But again it was known by
2	onwards to see if there are	2	everybody.
3	A. I don't	3	Q. Therefore, was it not obvious at the time
4	Q.— to see if there are any relevant	4	when the Chief Minister and the
5	messages?	5	Attorney General were meeting with the
6	A. I don't think I have all the messages, but	6	Commissioner of Police and the investigative
7	I will look again.	7	officers about your case that they would be
8	Q. I am sorry, I am just going to ask one	8	discussing information which was not
9	more question. I am quite confused about	9	publicly available?
10	what you are saying, forgive me. You said	10	A. Yes, I assume so.
11	you looked back on your phone and you have	11	Q. Yes. And it would have been obvious to
12	seen the "hung out to dry" and "don't worry"	12	you at the time, is that fair?
13	message on your phone?	13	A. Sorry, it depends on what you call
14	A. Yes.	14	"publicly available".
15	Q. You have. That's from 2020. So you	15	Q. Well, private information in the
16	have at least two, or three, messages going	16	investigation that wasn't known to the
17	back to 2020 with the Attorney General; is	17	public?
18	that right?	18	A. Yes. But the fact that they came to my
19	A. Yes, but I didn't have many messages,	19	house and my office was widely known
20	generally, with the Attorney General.	20	publicly, yes. This is Gibraltar.
21	Q. So you have more than those	21	Q. But the information in the investigation
22	A. I have all the relevant ones	22	wasn't widely known?
23	Q. You have more than those messages?	23	A. No.
24	A. Yes.	24	Q. No. You said to Mr Santos about red
25	Q. Have you checked all of your messages	25	lines. You said: "I know what the red lines
	Page 253		Page 255
	rage 233		rage 255
	Alandarana Irana Irindania allarda ara arabadhan dhasa		
1	that you have historically to see whether they	1	are: to be careful not to ask for any
2	are relevant to this Inquiry?	2	information which is not publicly available."
	are relevant to this Inquiry?  A. Yes.		information which is not publicly available." In your and Mr Baglietto's regular
2	are relevant to this Inquiry?	2	information which is not publicly available." In your and Mr Baglietto's regular communications with the Chief Minister and
2 3	are relevant to this Inquiry?  A. Yes.  Q. You have. And are they relevant to this Inquiry?	2 3	information which is not publicly available." In your and Mr Baglietto's regular communications with the Chief Minister and the Attorney General on 12 May and in the
2 3 4	<ul><li>are relevant to this Inquiry?</li><li>A. Yes.</li><li>Q. You have. And are they relevant to this</li></ul>	2 3 4	information which is not publicly available." In your and Mr Baglietto's regular communications with the Chief Minister and the Attorney General on 12 May and in the days following, how were you careful not to
2 3 4 5	are relevant to this Inquiry?  A. Yes.  Q. You have. And are they relevant to this Inquiry?  A. No.  Q. No. And the other question is about	2 3 4 5	information which is not publicly available." In your and Mr Baglietto's regular communications with the Chief Minister and the Attorney General on 12 May and in the
2 3 4 5 6	are relevant to this Inquiry?  A. Yes.  Q. You have. And are they relevant to this Inquiry?  A. No.	2 3 4 5 6	information which is not publicly available." In your and Mr Baglietto's regular communications with the Chief Minister and the Attorney General on 12 May and in the days following, how were you careful not to
2 3 4 5 6 7	are relevant to this Inquiry?  A. Yes.  Q. You have. And are they relevant to this Inquiry?  A. No.  Q. No. And the other question is about	2 3 4 5 6 7	In your and Mr Baglietto's regular communications with the Chief Minister and the Attorney General on 12 May and in the days following, how were you careful not to ask for any information which was not publicly available?  A. Well, you just know the limits.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	are relevant to this Inquiry?  A. Yes.  Q. You have. And are they relevant to this Inquiry?  A. No.  Q. No. And the other question is about something that arose from Mr Santos's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	information which is not publicly available." In your and Mr Baglietto's regular communications with the Chief Minister and the Attorney General on 12 May and in the days following, how were you careful not to ask for any information which was not publicly available?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	are relevant to this Inquiry?  A. Yes.  Q. You have. And are they relevant to this Inquiry?  A. No.  Q. No. And the other question is about something that arose from Mr Santos's evidence. Was it ever publicly announced in 2020 that you were a suspect in the Op Delhi investigation?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	In your and Mr Baglietto's regular communications with the Chief Minister and the Attorney General on 12 May and in the days following, how were you careful not to ask for any information which was not publicly available?  A. Well, you just know the limits.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	are relevant to this Inquiry?  A. Yes.  Q. You have. And are they relevant to this Inquiry?  A. No.  Q. No. And the other question is about something that arose from Mr Santos's evidence. Was it ever publicly announced in 2020 that you were a suspect in the Op Delhi	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	information which is not publicly available." In your and Mr Baglietto's regular communications with the Chief Minister and the Attorney General on 12 May and in the days following, how were you careful not to ask for any information which was not publicly available?  A. Well, you just know the limits.  Q. Did you set down those limits?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	are relevant to this Inquiry?  A. Yes.  Q. You have. And are they relevant to this Inquiry?  A. No.  Q. No. And the other question is about something that arose from Mr Santos's evidence. Was it ever publicly announced in 2020 that you were a suspect in the Op Delhi investigation?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	In your and Mr Baglietto's regular communications with the Chief Minister and the Attorney General on 12 May and in the days following, how were you careful not to ask for any information which was not publicly available?  A. Well, you just know the limits.  Q. Did you set down those limits?  A. No, I I had, I think, one conversation with the Attorney General. After that I didn't get involved anymore.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	are relevant to this Inquiry?  A. Yes.  Q. You have. And are they relevant to this Inquiry?  A. No.  Q. No. And the other question is about something that arose from Mr Santos's evidence. Was it ever publicly announced in 2020 that you were a suspect in the Op Delhi investigation?  A. Publicly announced?  Q. The RGP didn't announce it on their  A. Not to my knowledge.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	In your and Mr Baglietto's regular communications with the Chief Minister and the Attorney General on 12 May and in the days following, how were you careful not to ask for any information which was not publicly available?  A. Well, you just know the limits.  Q. Did you set down those limits?  A. No, I I had, I think, one conversation with the Attorney General. After that I didn't get involved anymore.  Q. Did you instruct Mr Baglietto, when he
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	are relevant to this Inquiry?  A. Yes.  Q. You have. And are they relevant to this Inquiry?  A. No.  Q. No. And the other question is about something that arose from Mr Santos's evidence. Was it ever publicly announced in 2020 that you were a suspect in the Op Delhi investigation?  A. Publicly announced?  Q. The RGP didn't announce it on their	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	In your and Mr Baglietto's regular communications with the Chief Minister and the Attorney General on 12 May and in the days following, how were you careful not to ask for any information which was not publicly available?  A. Well, you just know the limits.  Q. Did you set down those limits?  A. No, I I had, I think, one conversation with the Attorney General. After that I didn't get involved anymore.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	are relevant to this Inquiry?  A. Yes.  Q. You have. And are they relevant to this Inquiry?  A. No.  Q. No. And the other question is about something that arose from Mr Santos's evidence. Was it ever publicly announced in 2020 that you were a suspect in the Op Delhi investigation?  A. Publicly announced?  Q. The RGP didn't announce it on their  A. Not to my knowledge.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	In your and Mr Baglietto's regular communications with the Chief Minister and the Attorney General on 12 May and in the days following, how were you careful not to ask for any information which was not publicly available?  A. Well, you just know the limits.  Q. Did you set down those limits?  A. No, I I had, I think, one conversation with the Attorney General. After that I didn't get involved anymore.  Q. Did you instruct Mr Baglietto, when he
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	are relevant to this Inquiry?  A. Yes.  Q. You have. And are they relevant to this Inquiry?  A. No.  Q. No. And the other question is about something that arose from Mr Santos's evidence. Was it ever publicly announced in 2020 that you were a suspect in the Op Delhi investigation?  A. Publicly announced?  Q. The RGP didn't announce it on their  A. Not to my knowledge.  Q. And was it ever publicly announced that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	information which is not publicly available." In your and Mr Baglietto's regular communications with the Chief Minister and the Attorney General on 12 May and in the days following, how were you careful not to ask for any information which was not publicly available?  A. Well, you just know the limits. Q. Did you set down those limits? A. No, I I had, I think, one conversation with the Attorney General. After that I didn't get involved anymore. Q. Did you instruct Mr Baglietto, when he was in correspondence with the Chief
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	are relevant to this Inquiry?  A. Yes.  Q. You have. And are they relevant to this Inquiry?  A. No.  Q. No. And the other question is about something that arose from Mr Santos's evidence. Was it ever publicly announced in 2020 that you were a suspect in the Op Delhi investigation?  A. Publicly announced?  Q. The RGP didn't announce it on their  A. Not to my knowledge.  Q. And was it ever publicly announced that you were the subject of a search warrant?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	In your and Mr Baglietto's regular communications with the Chief Minister and the Attorney General on 12 May and in the days following, how were you careful not to ask for any information which was not publicly available?  A. Well, you just know the limits.  Q. Did you set down those limits?  A. No, I I had, I think, one conversation with the Attorney General. After that I didn't get involved anymore.  Q. Did you instruct Mr Baglietto, when he was in correspondence with the Chief Minister and the Attorney General, how to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	are relevant to this Inquiry?  A. Yes.  Q. You have. And are they relevant to this Inquiry?  A. No.  Q. No. And the other question is about something that arose from Mr Santos's evidence. Was it ever publicly announced in 2020 that you were a suspect in the Op Delhi investigation?  A. Publicly announced?  Q. The RGP didn't announce it on their  A. Not to my knowledge.  Q. And was it ever publicly announced that you were the subject of a search warrant?  A. No. But everybody knew about it in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	In your and Mr Baglietto's regular communications with the Chief Minister and the Attorney General on 12 May and in the days following, how were you careful not to ask for any information which was not publicly available?  A. Well, you just know the limits.  Q. Did you set down those limits?  A. No, I I had, I think, one conversation with the Attorney General. After that I didn't get involved anymore.  Q. Did you instruct Mr Baglietto, when he was in correspondence with the Chief Minister and the Attorney General, how to not cross those red lines that you had
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	are relevant to this Inquiry?  A. Yes.  Q. You have. And are they relevant to this Inquiry?  A. No.  Q. No. And the other question is about something that arose from Mr Santos's evidence. Was it ever publicly announced in 2020 that you were a suspect in the Op Delhi investigation?  A. Publicly announced?  Q. The RGP didn't announce it on their  A. Not to my knowledge.  Q. And was it ever publicly announced that you were the subject of a search warrant?  A. No. But everybody knew about it in Gibraltar.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	In your and Mr Baglietto's regular communications with the Chief Minister and the Attorney General on 12 May and in the days following, how were you careful not to ask for any information which was not publicly available?  A. Well, you just know the limits.  Q. Did you set down those limits?  A. No, I I had, I think, one conversation with the Attorney General. After that I didn't get involved anymore.  Q. Did you instruct Mr Baglietto, when he was in correspondence with the Chief Minister and the Attorney General, how to not cross those red lines that you had  A. Mr Baglietto  Q set up for yourself?  A. Mr Baglietto is a very experienced silk.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	are relevant to this Inquiry?  A. Yes.  Q. You have. And are they relevant to this Inquiry?  A. No.  Q. No. And the other question is about something that arose from Mr Santos's evidence. Was it ever publicly announced in 2020 that you were a suspect in the Op Delhi investigation?  A. Publicly announced?  Q. The RGP didn't announce it on their  A. Not to my knowledge.  Q. And was it ever publicly announced that you were the subject of a search warrant?  A. No. But everybody knew about it in Gibraltar.  Q. All right. But it wasn't announced by the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	In your and Mr Baglietto's regular communications with the Chief Minister and the Attorney General on 12 May and in the days following, how were you careful not to ask for any information which was not publicly available?  A. Well, you just know the limits.  Q. Did you set down those limits?  A. No, I I had, I think, one conversation with the Attorney General. After that I didn't get involved anymore.  Q. Did you instruct Mr Baglietto, when he was in correspondence with the Chief Minister and the Attorney General, how to not cross those red lines that you had  A. Mr Baglietto  Q set up for yourself?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	are relevant to this Inquiry?  A. Yes.  Q. You have. And are they relevant to this Inquiry?  A. No.  Q. No. And the other question is about something that arose from Mr Santos's evidence. Was it ever publicly announced in 2020 that you were a suspect in the Op Delhi investigation?  A. Publicly announced?  Q. The RGP didn't announce it on their  A. Not to my knowledge.  Q. And was it ever publicly announced that you were the subject of a search warrant?  A. No. But everybody knew about it in Gibraltar.  Q. All right. But it wasn't announced by the RGP?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	In your and Mr Baglietto's regular communications with the Chief Minister and the Attorney General on 12 May and in the days following, how were you careful not to ask for any information which was not publicly available?  A. Well, you just know the limits.  Q. Did you set down those limits?  A. No, I I had, I think, one conversation with the Attorney General. After that I didn't get involved anymore.  Q. Did you instruct Mr Baglietto, when he was in correspondence with the Chief Minister and the Attorney General, how to not cross those red lines that you had  A. Mr Baglietto  Q set up for yourself?  A. Mr Baglietto is a very experienced silk.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	are relevant to this Inquiry?  A. Yes.  Q. You have. And are they relevant to this Inquiry?  A. No.  Q. No. And the other question is about something that arose from Mr Santos's evidence. Was it ever publicly announced in 2020 that you were a suspect in the Op Delhi investigation?  A. Publicly announced?  Q. The RGP didn't announce it on their  A. Not to my knowledge.  Q. And was it ever publicly announced that you were the subject of a search warrant?  A. No. But everybody knew about it in Gibraltar.  Q. All right. But it wasn't announced by the RGP?  A. No, no.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	In your and Mr Baglietto's regular communications with the Chief Minister and the Attorney General on 12 May and in the days following, how were you careful not to ask for any information which was not publicly available?  A. Well, you just know the limits.  Q. Did you set down those limits?  A. No, I I had, I think, one conversation with the Attorney General. After that I didn't get involved anymore.  Q. Did you instruct Mr Baglietto, when he was in correspondence with the Chief Minister and the Attorney General, how to not cross those red lines that you had  A. Mr Baglietto  Q set up for yourself?  A. Mr Baglietto is a very experienced silk. He knows what he does.  Q. Did you at any point say to the Chief Minister or the Attorney General when you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	are relevant to this Inquiry?  A. Yes.  Q. You have. And are they relevant to this Inquiry?  A. No.  Q. No. And the other question is about something that arose from Mr Santos's evidence. Was it ever publicly announced in 2020 that you were a suspect in the Op Delhi investigation?  A. Publicly announced?  Q. The RGP didn't announce it on their  A. Not to my knowledge.  Q. And was it ever publicly announced that you were the subject of a search warrant?  A. No. But everybody knew about it in Gibraltar.  Q. All right. But it wasn't announced by the RGP?  A. No, no.  Q. Was it ever publicly announced that the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	In your and Mr Baglietto's regular communications with the Chief Minister and the Attorney General on 12 May and in the days following, how were you careful not to ask for any information which was not publicly available?  A. Well, you just know the limits.  Q. Did you set down those limits?  A. No, I I had, I think, one conversation with the Attorney General. After that I didn't get involved anymore.  Q. Did you instruct Mr Baglietto, when he was in correspondence with the Chief Minister and the Attorney General, how to not cross those red lines that you had  A. Mr Baglietto  Q set up for yourself?  A. Mr Baglietto is a very experienced silk. He knows what he does.  Q. Did you at any point say to the Chief
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	are relevant to this Inquiry?  A. Yes.  Q. You have. And are they relevant to this Inquiry?  A. No.  Q. No. And the other question is about something that arose from Mr Santos's evidence. Was it ever publicly announced in 2020 that you were a suspect in the Op Delhi investigation?  A. Publicly announced?  Q. The RGP didn't announce it on their  A. Not to my knowledge.  Q. And was it ever publicly announced that you were the subject of a search warrant?  A. No. But everybody knew about it in Gibraltar.  Q. All right. But it wasn't announced by the RGP?  A. No, no.  Q. Was it ever publicly announced that the police came to the Hassans offices and your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	In your and Mr Baglietto's regular communications with the Chief Minister and the Attorney General on 12 May and in the days following, how were you careful not to ask for any information which was not publicly available?  A. Well, you just know the limits.  Q. Did you set down those limits?  A. No, I I had, I think, one conversation with the Attorney General. After that I didn't get involved anymore.  Q. Did you instruct Mr Baglietto, when he was in correspondence with the Chief Minister and the Attorney General, how to not cross those red lines that you had  A. Mr Baglietto  Q set up for yourself?  A. Mr Baglietto is a very experienced silk. He knows what he does.  Q. Did you at any point say to the Chief Minister or the Attorney General when you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	are relevant to this Inquiry?  A. Yes.  Q. You have. And are they relevant to this Inquiry?  A. No.  Q. No. And the other question is about something that arose from Mr Santos's evidence. Was it ever publicly announced in 2020 that you were a suspect in the Op Delhi investigation?  A. Publicly announced?  Q. The RGP didn't announce it on their  A. Not to my knowledge.  Q. And was it ever publicly announced that you were the subject of a search warrant?  A. No. But everybody knew about it in Gibraltar.  Q. All right. But it wasn't announced by the RGP?  A. No, no.  Q. Was it ever publicly announced that the police came to the Hassans offices and your home to attempt to extract to obtain your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	In your and Mr Baglietto's regular communications with the Chief Minister and the Attorney General on 12 May and in the days following, how were you careful not to ask for any information which was not publicly available?  A. Well, you just know the limits.  Q. Did you set down those limits?  A. No, I I had, I think, one conversation with the Attorney General. After that I didn't get involved anymore.  Q. Did you instruct Mr Baglietto, when he was in correspondence with the Chief Minister and the Attorney General, how to not cross those red lines that you had  A. Mr Baglietto  Q set up for yourself?  A. Mr Baglietto is a very experienced silk. He knows what he does.  Q. Did you at any point say to the Chief Minister or the Attorney General when you spoke to them and met with them, "There are

1	anything that you've obtained privately from	
2	the Royal Gibraltar Police"?	
3	A. No.	
4	MR WAGNER: No further questions.	
5	Thank you.	
6	THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much	
7	indeed. Thank you for coming. You are free	
8	to go.	
9	A. Thank you.	
10	MR SANTOS: Thank you, Mr Levy.	
11	THE CHAIRMAN: Tomorrow?	
12	MR SANTOS: Yes.	
13	A. I don't have to come tomorrow?	
14	THE CHAIRMAN: You don't have to come	
15	tomorrow. No, no, you are finished.	
16	A. Thank you, sir.	
17	MR SANTOS: Tomorrow we will deal with,	
18	I would propose, Detective Sergeant Clarke.	
19	He may not be that rank anymore, he may be	
20	higher, but Clarke first.	
21	THE CHAIRMAN: Yes.	
22	MR SANTOS: And then Mr Baglietto KC	
23	second. That's what I would propose.	
24	THE CHAIRMAN: Clarke is not going to	
25		
23	take very long, I don't think, is he?	
	Page 257	
1	MR SANTOS: No, I don't think so. I don't	
2	think so, no.	
3	THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. 10 o'clock.	
4	Thomk you	
4	Thank you.	
5	(Adjourned until 10.00 am, Thursday, 18	
6	April 2024)	
O	April 2024)	
7	(16.51)	
	Page 258	
	0	

<b>A</b>	143:21	138:7 192:15	affidavits 246:16	6:9,21 7:23 12:3
A1297 52:6	account 41:6	Admiral 5:21	afraid 37:15	12:5,10,16,24
<b>A130</b> 187:18,22,23	77:15 78:22	admit 165:8	<b>Africa</b> 119:14	13:5 18:23
<b>A131</b> 190:9	149:11 238:10	249:13	afternoon 110:18	<b>Al-Qaeda</b> 19:7,8
<b>A1314</b> 163:19	accounts 187:7,11	adopt 22:11	110:19 138:9,10	<b>Alan</b> 5:17
A1345 6:18	accurate 5:24	adopted 206:7	173:13 230:8,9	alarm 236:5
<b>A1354</b> 163:15	93:19 193:25	advance 226:13	250:11 251:8,9	alarmed 236:6
A143 2:24	accusing 63:4	advanced 207:13	<b>AG</b> 47:22 48:6,7	albeit 25:4 38:17
A1509 184:16	176:19	208:23	48:23 53:10	59:20 86:15
A151 135:21	achieve 103:3	advantage 217:5,5	70:15,16 71:1,8,8	<b>Albert</b> 27:14
A1515 133:21 A1515 133:7	acquaintance	217:6,7	71:21,25 72:18	alive 21:1
<b>A190</b> 45:3 112:25	190:22	adverse 187:12	72:20 73:7,15	<b>Alkar</b> 10:10
A233 44:8	act 4:7 8:18 160:11	advice 5:16 23:11	91:6 94:10 99:18	allegation 133:11
<b>A274</b> 39:25 41:2	174:22 186:24	49:7,10,13,17	99:25 100:6,9,10	133:14 145:19
<b>A5</b> 70:14	235:3	50:21 51:8,13	100:11,13 135:15	156:5,11,19
abilities 51:23	acted 44:22 48:24	52:3,5 53:4 58:1	169:24 170:20	167:14,18 168:6
239:11	63:13 88:16	61:25 62:3,7	<b>AG's</b> 48:2	allegations 13:21
able 14:24,25 58:5	128:23 129:12	63:7 64:12 65:6	age 150:1	14:5 37:22
76:18 99:22	139:18 159:1	65:6 104:22	Agency 188:15	126:19 145:21
102:1 109:10	245:17	105:2,3,15,16,18	189:6 191:2,7,17	186:14
118:9 159:13	acting 63:5 172:13	105:23 106:3,8	ago 38:23 80:25	alleged 65:6 126:1
164:11 193:7,18	176:20 177:2	106:10 112:24	116:24 193:9,9	126:2,5,8,15
195:16 198:25	190:3,5 196:23	142:18 143:10,15	193:19 195:10	146:5 167:16
200:10,11 201:15	234:12	143:16,17,19,24	199:20,21,22	allow 1:6 132:21
204:7 221:20	action 7:16,18	144:8 148:13,18	241:9 252:14	217:4 229:20
244:22	23:13 33:12	148:20,21 149:13	agree 21:8 24:14	allowed 150:4
abnormal 49:20	actions 9:22 10:4	149:14 162:3	25:1 38:2 40:5	allowing 109:18
absence 71:14	10:16,25 11:20	167:17 168:17	40:11 50:24	151:20 175:7
216:15,18	75:5,17	176:21 177:21	51:10 62:24	alluded 85:25
absolutely 13:18	active 211:14	178:3,19,20	80:11,17 104:7	86:10
15:14 19:20,23	activities 7:20	186:19 229:16,17	113:23 123:7	<b>alter</b> 69:14
51:17,17 57:8	activity 33:2	234:10	137:7 139:23	alternative 188:14
80:14 85:10 86:7	acts 172:17	advise 33:17 47:23	150:15 160:16	alternatively
88:4 89:3 102:22	actual 141:5 156:6	53:23 54:8 65:24	162:3 177:12	197:12
150:4 158:9	add 27:20	advised 52:16 53:2	182:25 197:15	alternatives
186:8 217:3	addiction 191:5	54:5,21,24 56:7	agreed 60:2 61:23	132:16
229:7 246:23	adding 10:24	56:11,25 57:17	70:3 125:11	amendments
accept 149:10	addition 159:16	58:3,10 62:18,22	210:11,17 225:1	107:22 108:5
151:12,19,24	address 70:21	70:16 71:2 160:4	agreeing 212:5	amounted 156:9
accepted 58:18	121:4,4 122:17	168:3,10 176:4	agreement 51:24	analogies 81:7
59:20	162:20	177:15	122:5	<b>analogy</b> 40:13,19
access 36:2,4 37:8	addressed 38:20	advising 39:8	agrees 3:22	40:19
123:8 128:5,8,12	40:17 186:9	66:10 133:18	<b>Ah</b> 52:20	analysed 107:21
128:15 142:12,21	187:20	advocated 247:15	<b>ahead</b> 169:14	and/or 125:22,25
159:18 220:16	addressing 29:25	affect 239:6	ahora 179:24	184:24
accompanied	<b>adjourn</b> 1:8 2:8,9	affidavit 3:7 13:3	aim 219:5	announce 254:13
152:2	Adjourned 104:15	135:10 168:7	aims 118:18	announced 254:9
accompany 249:11	250:5 258:5	199:25 204:15	aired 63:19	254:12,15,19,22
accord 42:7 96:1	adjournment	251:19	airport 2:23 3:3,5	answer 8:5 10:2
L				

				1 age 200
21:3,12 58:5	237:22	126:13 221:14	assaulted 20:11	170:22 221:24
87:9 117:17,19	applying 7:5 54:5	222:7 233:17,21	asserted 4:19	228:5
118:9 119:18,24	250:16	238:3	asserting 59:20	attending 34:11
131:13 132:7,9	appointed 18:5	arrests 43:6	60:1	attends 98:1
134:21 193:25	appointed 18.5	137:18	assertion 178:6	Attorn 164:24
197:6 218:20	13:10	arrive 250:17	assessed 209:1,2	Attorney 5:2 18:3
219:13 222:14	appreciate 97:22	arrived 55:24	assessment 86:23	29:1 30:13 39:8
227:3 236:23	approach 39:9	108:22 189:24	assist 47:8 131:1	39:15 49:5 52:7
237:25 238:1,2	40:7,8 103:10	232:18	153:1	52:15 53:1,11
240:16,23 242:11	128:25	aside 219:3,20	Assistant 105:11	55:12 58:8,13,17
242:12,12 246:15	approached 238:4	asked 2:7,24 3:3	assisted 118:15	59:5,11 60:3
249:3	238:19 247:11	13:11 15:19	assume 60:16	61:14 64:13 68:9
answers 106:22	appropriate 59:4	25:17 29:18	113:25 124:14	87:3 91:24,25
131:9 237:5	59:14,21 60:1	32:19 33:23	125:15 212:16	94:13 95:9 98:3
anticipated 133:25	75:1,14 127:20	37:24 47:1 49:10	213:7 232:25	108:20 109:4
134:12,15	141:18 153:24	49:25 52:7,15	233:2,3 239:22	114:17,23 117:7
Antony 5:21	155:2 172:23	53:1 61:21,23	239:25 255:10	114.17,23 117.7
anybody 80:21	173:2 177:19	69:14 70:12 72:1	assumed 163:9	153:13 155:14
96:9 122:3 162:8	183:25 184:13	81:11 87:6 89:8	214:16	162:22 163:1,6,7
166:7,13 187:6	186:24 236:4	105:1 121:6,22	assumption 63:10	164:24 165:12
187:10 208:17	238:5	121:24 132:4	87:16 176:23	166:9,16,19
anymore 200:7	approving 164:8	136:4,10,14,15	assurance 100:7	167:2 169:21
256:13 257:19	approx 83:14	136:16 147:13,14	189:18,25 191:24	171:18 172:11,19
anyway 2:12 91:14	approximately	148:1,2 152:7	assurances 188:22	172:23 173:2,4
229:20	87:14 89:11	158:18 161:15	189:4	177:12,20 185:7
apart 16:24 31:11	April 1:1 30:23	162:4 165:9	assured 188:12	185:24 201:11
31:12 156:22,24	72:22 105:7	186:19 190:21	191:14	237:21 245:2,4
210:20	258:6	193:11 198:11,12	<b>Astelon</b> 127:23	245:13 247:17
apologise 9:10	area 40:16 103:9	201:13 204:9,20	164:4	252:12,23 253:17
app 40:7	240:15 251:1,2	209:24 210:21	astounded 142:14	253:20 255:5
apparent 244:13	areas 25:3 67:22	234:5 235:12	atmosphere 59:22	256:5,12,16,23
appeal 9:3,4,15	82:7 153:16,19	236:25 237:14,24		attracted 20:7
appear 106:11	154:3,23 250:9	239:11,15 245:14	attack 32:21	56:14
appeared 4:15	250:10 251:10	246:24	attacks 21:17	attractive 208:17
appears 49:12	arguing 231:1	asking 28:23 35:24	attempt 122:6	attribute 1:14
174:1 175:6	argument 136:22	37:16 39:17,22	218:25 254:24	August 36:1 121:1
180:9 200:24	arising 249:24	65:22 95:23	attempted 4:13	123:8
appetite 16:12	250:10	102:3 104:21	attempting 5:12	authority 4:6 9:7
application 4:25	Armed 4:6	124:23 161:14	46:11	9:14,23,24 10:4
23:16,19 146:5	army 27:6	189:22 202:3	attempts 4:10,17	11:11,20 13:12
146:14 168:11	arose 250:20 254:8	217:19,21,25	5:6	74:23 80:8 153:6
175:3 186:4	arrange 197:13	221:3 228:20	attend 90:24 170:3	automatic 74:24
245:5	arrangements	234:19 236:14	170:18,23 221:15	75:11 76:5
application' 168:5	189:23 195:17	239:7 251:20	221:21 222:25	available 74:12
applications 56:9	212:12	asks 84:24 150:9	237:14	129:18 134:9
56:13 175:7	arrest 34:6 170:4	aspect 119:11,12	attendance 152:21	160:7 202:15
176:5	191:4 242:1,3,4,5	aspects 18:10	194:24 223:7	255:9,14 256:2,8
applied 142:15	arrested 3:15 5:11	119:10,23 208:11	attended 55:7 91:3	avenues 149:8
apply 23:3 174:4	34:5 79:23 126:3	<b>Asquez</b> 151:14	105:24 142:9	average 114:7
		_		_
			I	I

				1 age 201
avoid 22:14 123:5	<b>B3612</b> 105:16	183:23 184:1	109:8 113:5	<b>black</b> 151:5
241:10,20 242:1	<b>B3740</b> 130:9	185:10 209:10	124:2 125:2	Bland 33:13 41:22
242:4,8	<b>B3855</b> 105:5	211:4 231:13	127:2 135:17	121:11,16,18
avoiding 242:10	<b>B5161</b> 120:9	234:14 239:1,7,8	163:13 164:21	122:12 125:11
awaiting 238:15	<b>B5318</b> 120:7	239:14,18 240:18	168:3 169:25	Blands 35:16 36:3
awarded 213:14	<b>B5392</b> 67:20,21	240:24 249:10	170:1,16,25	121:19,22 123:13
aware 11:18,21	<b>B5419</b> 55:21	256:14,18,20	176:3 204:3	126:21 218:7,7
14:10 25:6 29:17	175:17	257:22	234:3,3 241:18	218:12,12,17
35:3,17,22 36:6,7	<b>B74</b> 71:3,4	Baglietto's 169:18	believed 188:24	219:9,22
45:5 57:9 60:19	back 2:14 44:3	174:20 256:3	believing 3:14	Blands' 71:12
60:22 65:5	63:8 69:3 72:21	bail 33:14 126:14	40:12	blowing 189:15
102:13 123:6	82:2 86:2 105:7	<b>bailed</b> 80:5	beneficial 211:19	blue 82:5,11 229:4
126:2,9,11,14	105:9 106:22	bang 19:3	215:1,2 224:13	229:21,22
134:21 137:18	107:5,8,25 108:4	banners 151:5	beneficiaries 28:5	board 8:6,13,16
174:8 175:10,15	112:6 118:5	Bar 228:18 245:5	beneficiary 215:9	13:10 101:10
178:18,21 185:12	124:16 132:6,15	<b>Barbara</b> 89:12,13	benefit 129:2	212:7 216:12
186:15 189:13,23	144:8 161:10	89:20,25	215:10	boardroom 81:3
214:10 220:1	162:2 169:5	barristers 158:4	benefited 27:11	144:17,20
226:24 231:3	176:8,9,22	Base 7:12	benefiting 128:5	boats 84:11
243:2	178:11 180:22	based 3:10 37:16	128:15	<b>bodies</b> 79:14,16
awkwardness	197:14 198:20	baseless 145:8,16	benefits 173:19,20	bodies 73:14,16
213:5 216:6,10	204:18 207:1	145:24 146:17	best 10:7 58:11	Bonfante 163:24
213.3 210.0,10	233:13 253:11,17	basic 76:20	102:6 111:10,24	186:18 187:17
В	background 3:5	basis 71:10 102:13	112:14 117:21,25	<b>Bonfanti</b> 197:14
<b>B</b> 83:8 89:7 92:21	14:13 20:2 38:5	133:12 229:15	140:8 179:22	book 105:7
99:13 101:4,16	39:2 47:5 50:1	238:22	183:17 219:20	boom 183:13
142:1 146:18	backing 203:25	beach 89:12,13,20	betray 236:22	border 18:24
148:23 150:7	backup 48:20	89:22,25	bettay 230.22 better 33:18	borne 136:19
151:3 161:6	<b>bad</b> 165:7 170:6	bear 108:16	148:15 169:7	<b>Bossano</b> 128:23
175:18 176:11	191:3 218:23	bearing 13:6	217:24 224:11,12	129:25
239:12	219:10,12 249:13	becoming 126:2	bewilderment	Bossano's 130:5
<b>B1</b> 179:7	bag 229:4	beg 107:7	134:3	<b>bottom</b> 56:4 60:8
<b>B112</b> 53:8	bagging 229:21,22	beginning 63:2	beyond 147:23	62:13 65:15 71:6
<b>B1204</b> 123:19	Baglietto 48:14	120:2 121:17	<b>BGTW</b> 87:8 89:11	88:1 92:14
<b>B1417</b> 54:14	60:17,21 62:9	144:12 206:10	90:3,6,9 91:20,22	130:21 135:20
<b>B1422</b> 173:9 179:3	63:16,24 64:7,10	behalf 5:3 164:9	92:13 99:19	136:1 145:7,14
<b>B147</b> 54:13	115:21,22,24	172:12,13,18	100:1 101:21	149:5 151:5,9,10
<b>B151</b> 135:14,22,25	163:24 164:3,8	behave 75:23	102:2	155:16 161:17
<b>B1910</b> 58:24	164:16 165:20	behaved 182:5	big 62:20 64:23,25	169:23 176:16
<b>B2157</b> 10:6	166:14 168:9	behaviour 126:17	65:4,10 113:15	183:14 196:22
<b>B242</b> 176:10,14	169:20 170:19,21	133:23 156:10	123:2 130:4	<b>bound</b> 146:1
<b>B27</b> 169:4	171:2,4,19,21	belief 62:17	biggest 124:17	boundaries 40:10
<b>B2709</b> 8:10	172:11,13,25	111:11,25 112:14	bit 2:6,25 7:23	box 53:11 62:12,20
<b>B271</b> 169:3	173:11 174:10,14	150:2,3	10:9 14:12 58:24	64:18,20 65:14
<b>B272</b> 65:13	174:16 175:11	believe 7:1 11:17	59:10 63:25 72:3	65:16 147:10
<b>B276</b> 62:12	177:5 179:5,17	13:1 26:24 29:14	75:9 76:16 81:5	149:6
<b>B3258</b> 125:17	179:21,25 180:2	40:6 45:22 46:2	81:16,22,25 91:5	boxes 151:10
<b>B3302</b> 68:2	180:6,8,10,23	49:18 56:7 76:2	98:4 113:25	break 93:8 97:18
<b>B3449</b> 196:17	182:1 183:9,10	76:6,7 82:8	160:23 183:12	104:7,8 137:23
<b>B3476</b> 161:5	102.1 103.7,10	70.0,702.0	100.23 103.12	10,0137.23
	l	l	l	l

				1 480 202
138:3 192:9,12	<u>C</u>	21:2,9,12 22:12	223:4	130:22 137:22
breaking 97:16	C 124:20,24,25	24:5,10,25 37:15	central 41:11	138:3,25 140:3
breath 97:19,23,23	152:13 154:19	61:22 69:25	97:12	140:11,13 144:13
brief 33:7,16 38:7	171:12 245:9	Caruana's 65:2	<b>CEO</b> 121:10 249:6	144:16,19 154:13
67:19	C2221 33:5	130:6	<b>certain</b> 10:25 33:7	157:9,24 158:5
<b>briefed</b> 87:2 92:10	C4766 45:12	case 4:12 27:21	37:4,9 42:20,25	158:10 181:9
94:6 106:4,18	C6238 74:5	32:20 39:14	44:6 91:20,23	192:13,24 200:4
<b>briefing</b> 88:9,10	C6761 183:3,5,6	41:16 45:17	92:2 109:11	208:8,15 212:18
92:23,23 93:1	<b>C6806</b> 60:6	46:14 47:24 50:3	120:22 192:20	212:21 214:10,13
94:14,18,23 95:4	C6883 171:10	50:19 51:16	certainly 17:20	218:21 222:16
98:1,3 100:8	call 49:19 58:13	52:17 53:3 56:7	18:2 23:21 38:19	235:12 236:17
102:16 105:25	71:25 110:4	57:6 60:18 63:4	47:20 66:1 95:13	244:12 249:8,9
106:17 108:20	171:22 172:9	66:14 67:12,13	106:5 108:24	250:2,7,14,20,24
briefings 16:6	179:22,24 180:3	67:14,16,17	127:4,18 134:7	251:4 257:6,11
39:13 90:25 91:3	184:23 222:13	100:14 104:3	135:11 137:20	257:14,21,24
100:24 105:25	227:15 255:13	113:7,10 128:8	141:13 163:4	258:3
<b>briefly</b> 3:9 31:19	<b>called</b> 9:24,25	168:3 172:22	166:12 169:17	chairman's 129:2
58:25 112:19	52:14 53:1	176:3,18 182:15	170:22 189:7	challenge 59:9
250:3	120:10 160:19	229:13 243:1	191:22 194:4	95:14 149:8
briefs 95:19	186:18 187:2	255:7	245:12 248:2,9	chambers 48:2
bring 97:20	194:3,4,6 233:13	cases 50:2,15	248:21	chance 14:4 132:5
109:19 124:2	calling 58:14	66:20 88:17	certainty 99:7	246:11
245:9	calls 160:2,4,13,15	229:8	<b>chair</b> 101:9,9,10	<b>change</b> 94:16
brings 190:1	171:23 172:10	cash 120:10,15	237:4	changed 61:13
British 7:11 74:22	180:4	191:9,17,19	<b>chairman</b> 1:3,16	channel 101:5,7
76:10 78:20,21 81:8	calmly 225:13,14	casual 241:7 catch 17:3	1:20,25 2:4,12	characterisation 113:23
Britta 101:8	Cambridgeshire	categorical 54:19	3:16,19,24 4:2 8:12 21:6,11,20	characters 149:3
<b>bro</b> 179:18 181:1	19:11	caught 230:17,19	21:24 22:3,6,16	charge 139:21
broken 99:20	camp 19:9	cause 37:14 64:14	22:21 24:9 25:15	174:25 243:22,24
brought 47:5 75:6	cannon 81:17,19	caused 38:9	25:20,23 26:1,5,8	244:17
75:19 80:22	capable 94:23	causing 68:11	26:12 30:11,17	charged 74:18
192:2 196:20	capacity 168:21	221:9	30:19,25 31:9,12	241:11,13,15
204:21,22,25	captured 9:17	caution 21:19	31:15 38:2 40:1	charges 77:4,7
206:5	care 181:10 182:9	22:11,17 61:9,17	40:18 49:24 50:5	106:5,7
<b>Building</b> 142:10	184:6 233:21 249:7,10	67:23 152:22	50:9,14,17,21	charging 23:11
bullet 3:1 4:1 5:23	career 113:22	153:21 154:25	51:1,6,14 52:2,21	105:2,3,15,16,18
bundle 110:20,23	careful 21:7 24:3	169:15,16 170:2	55:14,18 57:21	105:23 106:3,9
112:6,25 139:4	85:1 129:16,16	170:17,25 222:8	64:20 67:10	charity 181:11,13
163:18 171:11	256:1,6	224:22 237:15	68:15,25 69:9,16	181:21,24
burglaries 15:15	carefully 198:18	241:21	69:19 70:9 77:20	Charles 163:24
15:16	Carreras 101:9	cautious 86:21	80:24 81:14,17	186:18
busiest 19:3	carried 33:12	88:14 90:13 92:8	81:20 82:17,20	<b>chase</b> 84:14 87:7
business 20:24	197:20 203:2	92:9	83:9,16,22 91:9	87:11 91:22
119:13,21,22	carry 18:22 34:7	<b>CBE</b> 5:2 42:2	91:11,14 96:25	93:13,14 98:16
120:5 121:20	63:3 64:22 77:20	ceased 210:1	97:4,8,17 103:11	98:18,21,25
122:1 206:17	121:19 150:3,4	censure 11:2	103:19,21 104:5	99:20 100:19
208:6 210:5,23	195:17	cent 108:14 109:11	104:13 109:14,23	chase/part 87:16
215:4 233:20	<b>Caruana</b> 1:12,17	121:22,24 219:8	110:1,3,7,10,14	check 111:1,17,20

				Page 203
100.10 102.10	192.12.22.192.9	1 240.2	a o U a o t a d 197.14	11.22 12.11
180:18 192:10	182:12,23 183:8	249:2	collected 187:14 197:11	11:23 13:11
checked 30:20	183:9,22 184:1	clarified 70:19 235:12		14:12,14 16:3,19 16:24 17:17 56:8
195:25 253:25 <b>chief</b> 4:21 5:20	185:1,4,13,17,22 189:18 201:10	clarify 2:5 28:24	collectively 84:16 collided 86:14	56:12 59:4 62:18
9:12 12:7 16:5	202:22 213:7,9	41:4 44:24 60:18	collision 75:3,16	63:5,12 66:22
16:15 17:25	214:23 215:8	70:1 105:4	83:14 84:9,11	74:11 100:17
26:25 27:18 29:3	214.23 213.8 216:11,21,24	106:15 122:9	85:14 89:10 90:2	105:10,11 135:16
29:11 34:22 35:4	225:7,10 226:16	251:12	93:15 96:5,10	147:12,25 168:4
35:14,18,25	226:17 227:23	clarity 28:12	98:7 101:19,24	169:10 174:4
36:15,18,25	234:18 237:21	Clark's 105:7	102:3,4	176:4,19 177:2,6
37:12 38:16,17	238:9 239:4	Clarke 257:18,20	Colonel 27:6	184:24 185:4
42:1 44:9 46:18	240:18,21,25	257:24	colours 82:3	188:3 255:6
49:4 57:16 58:2	241:3,5 244:22	clear 24:7,11	combined 75:5,17	Commissioner's
58:7,14,20 63:8	244:24 249:4	30:11 53:14,24	come 6:5 10:15	17:21
63:21 64:2 67:25	255:4 256:4,15	76:12 77:3 84:1	32:15 35:12	committed 191:12
	256:22		53:16 62:25	communicate
68:5,6 70:4 83:14 89:8 90:23	children 3:12 6:3	99:18,25 100:10 100:13 109:2	63:19,20 64:1	115:17,22 116:7
91:8 92:1 100:16	157:12 158:3	120:3 131:9	82:1 86:1 92:20	141:18 153:24
101:2,8 113:2,16	Chincotta 143:12	146:10 154:2	92:21 118:5	155:3,5 156:14
113:24 114:2	143:13	213:7,8 219:4	130:25 144:5	156:20 173:3
115:18 116:11,12	choice 229:24	225:25	149:9,10 150:19	190:7
117:2 118:22	choices 230:14	cleared 128:1	150:22 161:1	communicated
121:3,14 122:16	choices 230.14 choose 222:13	clearer 101:19	167:20 174:24	43:13 66:19 94:1
122:23 123:4,6	230:11	clearly 36:6 40:10	177:9,10 180:22	244:18
123:17 124:7,10	choosing 205:22	63:3 128:9	180:25 188:17	communication
124:21 125:3,6	229:23 230:4	136:18 176:18	225:1 229:15	28:22 60:25
127:8,21 128:5	chose 224:16	close 21:18 24:13	231:11 237:13	67:25 68:3
128:20,24 129:5	Christian 169:11	84:23 97:20	247:23,23 257:13	115:13 153:17
129:7,11,12,20	Chrome 189:4,8,9	113:22 114:1	257:14	154:21 156:7
129:24 130:7,8	189:13,17	127:7 133:16	comes 82:4 92:22	167:22 172:24
131:23 132:1,11	cir 49:20	190:22	124:16	communications
132:24,25 133:17	circle 32:24	closed 24:24	<b>coming</b> 39:19	42:4 70:4 83:8
135:9 138:1,12	133:16	closeness 226:3,11	109:15 162:8,9	99:24 104:1
138:14 139:24	circumstances	closer 89:24	162:10 257:7	116:3,6 153:21
141:11,18 153:11	49:18 53:6 86:15	237:11	command 14:18	157:4 165:17
153:18,22 154:21	150:24 166:19	Cloud 203:24	Commander 7:10	256:4
155:3,14,22	191:19 197:8	204:8,11,13	Commanders 7:13	community 159:18
156:6,14,20	200:6 210:9	CM 132:20 155:16	Commanding 27:7	181:10 182:9
157:5,10,16	250:17	<b>CM'</b> 167:22	27:9	184:6 249:6,9
158:7,13,18	civil 26:22 41:14	co-ordinates 87:5	commence 145:1	Como 180:7
159:2 160:14,17	47:25 86:11	co-partners' 217:7	commendable	company 29:9
164:16 165:10,25	105:13 189:11	coastline 96:17	10:20	41:19 42:1 119:1
167:20 168:13,19	<b>claim</b> 4:11 28:17	cocaine 191:5	comment 65:21	121:23 212:11
173:10,12 174:8	47:5,16 145:24	collaborate 4:4	77:4 135:13	216:4
174:12,17,21	claimant 175:4	collapse 199:24	137:8 155:18	compare 18:8
175:10,13 177:11	claims 14:8 47:25	200:6	179:1 182:23	19:10
177:17,20 178:22	82:18,20	collapsed 199:20	239:20 242:17	compared 91:2
179:6,18,20,23	clarification	199:22 200:12	commissioner	competitor 249:20
180:5,10,20	136:15 204:19	203:4,18	4:16 6:8,12 8:22	complained
	•	•	•	•

				Page 204
191:14	190:17	conjunction 103:6	consultancy 119:5	contradictory
complaining 245:3	concerns 11:24	connection 127:7	210:11,16	45:24
complaint 8:14,20	12:1,23 13:14	174:25 181:14	consulted 23:23	contrary 44:23
8:21 39:4 43:14	24:23 31:5 39:19	conscious 97:14	141:9 210:22	74:25 75:12
complaints 7:24	47:2,4 103:25	consent 161:24	contact 66:4	139:18 146:6
8:4,6,8,13,16	104:4 159:17	162:1 214:1	158:16,19 172:11	convened 12:25
11:14,16 101:10	160:4 184:11	229:12 230:24,25	172:14 220:7,14	13:4
completely 21:8	185:18	231:2,3 250:1	220:18 231:14	Convent 34:12
37:24 45:24 88:3	concluded 7:24	consents 212:17	240:24 241:2,4	188:25 189:2
237:5	conclusion 10:15	214:6	246:16	conversation 31:1
completeness	139:19	consequence 79:6	contacted 141:9	31:3,10 63:20
165:10	conclusions 86:21	139:22 160:9	146:8 148:12	122:10 143:12
	concrete 71:15		contain 200:21	144:22 155:21
complicated 124:14	condensed 78:11	<b>consequences</b> 20:25 78:5,7	contain 200.21	157:1,17 161:12
	condensed 78.11 condone 77:10	144:3,6 150:22	contained 38.13	177:10,16 182:10
complications 95:20	conduct 7:25	consider 2:11 11:3	contend 19:1	238:22 241:7
complied 228:22	11:25 12:23	104:21,24 105:21	144:1	256:11
230:21	75:17 127:2	113:20 127:20	content 68:24	conversations 35:5
compromise 33:1	conducting 126:10	128:2,4,14 129:6	105:23 106:13	122:12 141:11,15
137:2	126:12	128.2,4,14 129.0	152:24 160:12	147:20 148:4
compromised	confidence 12:8	148:5,6 153:23	232:2,21	215:19 244:7,10
20:11 136:16	23:2 57:3 173:25	155:2 164:2,6	contents 106:18	conveyed 168:25
computer 3:12	182:4 185:13	168:14 172:22	contested 133:18	conveyed 108.23
122:21 126:16	236:22	177:19 247:25	context 45:4 96:14	173:22
con 32:11	confidential 31:24	249:17	159:20	convinced 241:14
conc 29:8	32:5,7,10,12	considerable	<b>continue</b> 31:14	cooperate 142:12
conceded 156:16	confidentiality	38:19	150:8 197:5	148:14
conceived 208:12	31:18	consideration	234:3	cooperated 142:20
concentrating	confirm 45:2 85:8	38:14 223:16,21	continue.' 132:21	146:7 148:11
246:14	85:20,22 102:1	238:8	continued 64:12	cooperation 99:23
concept 207:3	102:21 103:4	considerations	104:17 192:16	coordinate 187:13
concepts 134:18	111:6,9,17,23	78:12 86:8	contract 27:12	coordinated 187:6
concern 11:19	112:9 156:18	237:20	118:19 119:12	187:10
22:3,4 24:19	160:1,12 197:2	considered 8:17	120:1,20 125:12	coordinates 87:8
29:9,16 31:25	confirmation	10:18 105:22	127:9,22 132:6	88:11 98:10,12
33:16 64:14	84:25 85:1 89:4	146:10 192:17	132:13 133:1,3	101:23 102:8
86:17 147:22	confirmed 29:17	245:6	205:13,15,15,25	coordination 98:7
184:12	41:24 83:15	considering 87:9	206:3,22 207:18	CoP 87:2 147:12
concerned 22:2	84:20 85:15,21	143:20 233:24	207:19,22 208:2	190:19
28:14 29:18 30:1	100:17	consistently 44:18	208:9,24 210:11	copied 33:20,25
41:9 74:1 86:10	confirming 85:7	138:23 139:14	210:17 213:12,14	59:15 116:14
118:4 119:15	confirms 160:14	conspiracy 188:4	214:15,16,18,20	199:5,12
127:6 132:11	conflict 212:13	conspire 190:21	215:5 216:1	copies 107:4,9
141:6,8,22	218:2 222:12	Constable 197:3	218:6,11 219:15	165:20
181:23 182:15	conflicted 164:3	constantly 44:17	219:18 234:16	copy 105:6 113:8
206:2 235:24	confused 253:9	138:22 139:13	contracts 132:15	113:11 152:14
236:2 238:18,20	confusing 124:24	consternation	contracts.' 131:25	175:19,21 191:23
concerning 59:7	confusion 63:2	134:2 158:25	contradicted	194:22 195:1
87:4 174:6 188:4	64:1	159:8	178:25	199:1,4,6,7,8,10
			_	, ,-,-,-
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	I	I

	1	1	1	<u> </u>
Cornelia 34:4	19:1,17	Cueta 93:4	239:23,24	deletions 107:22
Cornelio 36:2,22	<b>couple</b> 15:4 43:3	cupboards 149:17	dealings 189:17	108:5
36:23 37:8 41:20	63:9 158:2	Curragh 197:3	deals 181:21 189:3	<b>Delhi</b> 20:1,3,22
45:18 118:16	176:23 250:9	current 5:20 44:4	dealt 20:22 34:3	22:24 23:1 24:2
119:5 121:8,16	course 1:5 2:14	113:22 124:13	182:17 246:9	26:17 28:4 39:17
122:24 123:4,12	5:12 7:15,17	187:7,11	<b>Dear</b> 132:18	45:5 49:8 107:2
126:19,21 131:3	22:18 25:6 27:17	Customs 86:13	<b>deaths</b> 84:12	107:4,18,23
131:4,22 132:4	32:3,3 46:3		debate 48:11	108:7,13 139:22
132:11,17,22	60:11 62:4 94:25	D	<b>December</b> 132:7,9	166:1,10 185:22
137:18 151:13	192:24 206:19,20	<b>d</b> 182:6	decide 222:10	233:18 254:10
201:10 205:1	217:25 236:15	daily 20:24	240:14 244:13	deliberated 10:19
206:4 210:12	239:5 241:17,22	Darren 9:12	<b>decided</b> 13:17 33:6	deliver 10:3
219:4 220:2	242:4 247:6	<b>data</b> 160:9,11	34:17 59:1	delivered 16:6
232:6,9,23	<b>court</b> 6:7 63:19	200:12,16	215:17 216:3,10	delivery 175:5
Cornelio's 127:2	130:13 136:21	date 68:22 70:10	216:11,16,19,21	delve 24:17
Cornlio 123:7	148:10 175:2,8	134:3 158:21	216:21,23,25	delving 164:12
coroner's 79:17,19	177:9	180:15 194:23	234:17	demand 15:12
coronial 79:14	courteous 143:4	196:15	deciding 207:1	18:13
correct 5:22 6:3	151:18	dated 55:22 74:11	237:22 238:10	demands 15:8
27:4 46:18 61:6	courtesy 228:10	105:15 111:8	<b>decision</b> 10:5 13:7	18:17
61:11 73:10,17	cover 83:16,20	112:12 121:1	21:21 23:3,8	demonstrate
77:5,7 79:13	124:4,4	124:7 192:1	49:9 51:18	208:16
84:22,23 86:23	covered 41:19	dates 30:22 59:9	184:24 200:4	demonstrated
100:15 115:13	83:17 116:9	141:10,10	205:17,18 210:23	10:22
118:17,20 119:8	Covid 150:1	<b>David</b> 5:21 118:14	217:2,3 222:11	denied 126:5
134:23 148:11	crashed 84:12	day 5:25 7:20	<b>decisions</b> 15:20,21	246:23
152:12 160:21	created 101:3	35:15 62:10 87:1	16:1 20:21 23:1	deny 162:7
169:10 197:18	crew 75:2,15	95:16 105:7	28:3 43:19 51:21	dep 160:24
207:14 214:22	<b>crime</b> 19:4 80:6	108:19 114:15	139:21	department 47:7
215:7 226:9	crimes 32:13,17	150:20 156:25	declarations 214:6	160:24 197:24
227:20 229:9	criminal 47:15	159:22 160:1	declare 30:4	198:6 199:3
231:5 248:14	50:1,2,15 65:17	171:21 230:24	213:24	201:2 203:19
252:9,21	65:25 79:20,21	231:17	declared 213:25	239:9
correspondence	112:3 118:2	days 7:7 19:7 43:4	defacing 146:2	departure 106:24
43:23 256:15	124:5 125:16,18	43:10 98:23	Defence 5:21	108:3
corrupt 188:6	142:4 166:25	101:17 114:16	<b>defend</b> 14:4 47:10	<b>depended</b> 208:4,6
corruption 15:6	174:25 175:9	193:9,9,19 256:6	47:23 48:8 54:12	215:4 229:23
Costa 33:9	221:7 241:11	days' 250:18	defendants 233:18	depending 96:21
<b>counsel</b> 5:1 47:15	243:7	<b>DCI</b> 109:9	define 15:23	depends 16:4
48:14,17 49:17	criminality 79:5,8	de-escalate 4:8	214:14	89:21 114:13
50:22 51:6 59:6	79:11	deal 19:18,21 30:9	defined 135:2	248:17 255:13
62:5 66:8 67:2,7	criterion 200:24	104:25 128:25	definitely 11:17	<b>deployed</b> 6:21 7:2
143:15 200:2	critical 70:25	136:4,12 157:7	20:7 69:18	deputy 7:11 26:25
countenance	criticism 58:5	157:19 165:15	179:11	34:2 38:17
126:17	cross 214:13 227:8	213:4 257:17	delay 91:15 122:6	describe 20:19
counterterrorism	233:5,7 256:17	dealing 17:8 29:20 29:23 32:24	delete 232:7,20	24:18 90:12
19:18	crossed 222:17	34:16 48:12 51:3	deleted 160:8	114:20 200:5
countries 208:13	Crown 47:14,14	62:5 134:19	201:19 231:20	described 83:4
county 18:9,16,19	49:16 50:22 66:8	228:17 233:6	232:6,12 233:11	201:17
		220.17 233.0		

description 5:14	<b>DI</b> 105:12	discontinuation	dissimilar 190:19	<b>DPP's</b> 49:7 52:10
124:5	did 36:19	67:7	distraught 225:15	55:4 58:1 73:13
deserve 11:2	difference 82:6	discontinue 71:22	DISTRICT 78:24	137:7 178:18,19
deserved 241:23	88:21,23 243:3	discovered 134:2	<b>disturb</b> 179:18	<b>Dr</b> 101:8
<b>despite</b> 4:9 36:3	different 20:18	discredit 75:6,19	disturbing 190:17	draft 105:14,16
37:1 132:7	37:10 38:12 72:4	Discreditable	divides 89:15	121:8,9,10
142:25	72:10 81:7 82:3	75:17	DiVincenzi 60:7,9	178:15 189:21
destroy 236:8	83:25 88:3 92:6	discreetly 228:6	72:20,25 135:17	drafted 106:14
destroyed 107:7	128:21 134:18	discuss 31:18 35:1	document 49:9	drafting 23:8,11
107:10,12,14,15	149:2 150:10	59:7 74:16	65:13 70:7 105:3	23:13,16 105:2
146:15	163:2 205:10	124:15 125:7,14	105:23 106:1,13	164:7
destroying 107:6	208:19 212:6	150:8 184:2,4,5,7	120:24 126:8	draw 40:13
146:2	223:7 235:4,5	184:22 185:3,7	130:19 152:14,19	drawer 149:22
detail 16:8,8 20:5	238:12 241:13	185:20,21 250:2	152:23,25 153:8	drawn 68:17
20:6 92:24	differently 151:13	discussed 24:16	154:8,13 155:6	drill 47:3
104:25 165:14	206:25 228:13	33:21 44:13	documents 6:16	drive 106:23
208:5	difficult 57:25	53:10,21 56:1	23:9 107:17,22	107:20,24 108:3
<b>detailed</b> 6:24,25	177:24 193:24	58:25 64:9	108:6,9,13 113:5	108:6,10
7:19 49:9 51:7	difficulties 218:18	106:12,17 138:17	135:23 176:9	drop 71:23 121:25
72:13	220:5	139:8,23 140:16	dog 92:22 108:23	dropped 197:13
details 99:23	difficulty 57:25	140:18,24 159:22	108:25	<b>drug</b> 19:4
100:19 204:15	167:2,4	181:5 182:10	doing 19:12 22:17	<b>dry</b> 162:24 245:12
detain 80:8	<b>dig</b> 76:16	183:18 184:8	50:2 108:11	245:16,20 253:12
detained 79:22	digital 126:9,11	227:14	195:10,18	dual 47:14
80:4	diminish 20:13	discussing 7:5	domestic 191:4	Dudley 4:22
detaining 79:25	dip 16:8	17:25 46:19 73:2	door 132:25	due 59:12
80:2	diplomatic 86:6	84:5 102:15	doubt 10:20	Duncan 5:17
detect 216:5	<b>direct</b> 58:15 75:21	140:5,14,20	232:14 247:24	<b>duties</b> 8:20 16:23
<b>detective</b> 74:6 87:2	220:15 235:18	170:6 174:13	downstairs 110:9	17:16,17 142:24
88:18 105:6	direction 14:2	175:24 176:1	110:10	
142:7,17 143:2,3	27:24	255:8	<b>DPP</b> 18:3 23:23	<u>E</u>
197:3 257:18	directly 44:7	discussion 26:10	47:22 48:2,5,7	earlier 43:4 73:3
determine 59:21	131:24 162:20	53:25 62:15 87:6	49:8,13 52:7	86:13 105:1
59:25	Director 142:20	140:9 224:14	53:13 54:4,18,23	117:1 148:24
determined 98:8	165:13 243:20	discussions 12:17	55:6 56:7,11,23	155:18 175:24
98:10 100:18	244:5,14 247:17	28:15 29:2,5	56:24 57:3,16	176:1 178:2
development	Director's 243:25	72:10 163:1	58:3,9,15 59:15	184:10 191:6
17:11	244:3	dishonesty 105:13	60:2 62:19 63:7	earliest 71:5
device 117:1	directors 207:25	Dismissal 173:18	65:6 66:6,7,19	early 1:9,11 13:7
150:15,16 151:1	disciplinary	dismissed 8:4,24	70:22 71:8,17	13:17 77:25 186:4 209:24
203:6 232:10,13	173:21 174:2,7,9	disobedience	72:1 73:11,18	214:17,19 215:17
devices 42:23 43:7	174:13	74:20	104:23 106:8	easier 101:6
43:22 61:18	disclose 108:9	disobey 76:3,8,14	133:18 135:15	191:18
148:8 183:16	117:12 153:7,11	disobeyed 74:21	136:13,23,25	east 87:21 89:11
197:9 228:21,24	disclosed 57:11	disorder 86:16	147:3 166:3	89:17,19
229:8 230:23	116:5 117:7	display 125:13	167:17 168:3,10	eastern 91:20
254:25	152:25 153:5	dispute 106:2	168:21 169:23	eastwards 82:4,14
Devincenzi 147:4	<b>disclosure</b> 69:7,15	118:14 186:11	170:20 176:3,21	Ed 177:9
149:4	126:8	dissent 211:21	176:22 177:14,21	Ed 177.9 Eddie 6:13
				Eduic 0.13

				1 age 207
edited 68:22,22	engaged 94:18	101:2 162:21	200:1 250:23	139:16
Edward 6:12	163:10	event 168:8	251:17	expand 119:13
effect 7:8 21:13	engagement 17:20	events 29:5 71:13	examination 1:5	expect 51:25 119:9
26:14 38:25	17:23	79:12 134:8	42:23 61:17	119:19 134:8
39:10 44:1	England 158:4	158:22 230:17,19	104:9 242:9,10	224:21 228:3,12
181:23 236:20	231:11	everybody 56:16	250:21,23 251:2	228:15 237:21
effectively 222:9	enquiries 80:5	109:21 181:10	examine 1:6	238:9
effectiveness 10:21	153:2	254:17 255:2	183:16 229:25	expectation 246:5
efficiency 17:7	ensure 75:2,14	everyone's 193:5	230:23	246:8
efficient 17:2	122:3 212:13	evidence 4:14 7:14	examined 196:8	expected 49:1 51:4
effortlessly 104:8	ensuring 17:1,4	7:21 10:7 14:13	196:10 229:3	132:23 188:16
efforts 103:5	enter 72:2 206:12	20:2 31:20 33:1	243:9,13,14,16	216:8 236:22
eight 129:13	206:14,16 210:23	37:21,23 39:18	examining 198:19	expecting 224:19
163:21	entered 210:17	43:21 49:12 67:8	example 250:19	experience 50:14
either 23:22 30:2	enthusiasm 1:4	67:9 70:6 71:15	exceed 18:18	128:22 129:10
39:7 55:13 57:22	entire 64:3 85:18	72:5 84:21 86:9	excellent 81:2	149:25 231:10
96:20 115:5	113:18	88:22,24 89:2	239:18	experienced 88:18
117:1 120:14	entirely 10:19	103:1,4 108:24	excerpt 74:5	256:20
157:6 170:14	24:25 49:13	124:11 143:5,9	excerpt /4.3 exchange 118:1	experiencing
171:2 175:3	73:23 122:1	146:4,6,12,15	124:12,20 125:3	218:18 219:9,23
206:14 235:17	146:17 160:11	147:16 152:6,10	162:18 183:8	expert 223:12
electronic 254:25	169:11 185:6	158:19 160:16	188:16 191:9,20	232:17
element 56:14	entitled 125:20	161:15 178:4	exchanges 97:21	experts 126:9,11
elicited 250:11	166:18	183:18 184:20	117:22 118:8	experts 120.9,11 expire 242:22
email 10:7,9,10	entonce 65:2	185:2 186:10	165:21 179:4	243:1,7
33:9,20 50:24	Entonces 137:1	192:5 209:8	exchanging 226:12	expired 243:2,4
51:2 59:10 69:11	entries 179:16	236:9 242:16	exclude 28:2 37:7	explica 243.2,4 explain 25:14
69:12 73:6 121:1	entry 99:18 100:1	246:22 247:9	230:1	73:15 95:23
121:3,4,5,8,9,10	147:5,6 161:18	249:25 250:2,10	excluded 28:9	112:19 177:25
121:14 122:11,16	171:20 183:13	254:9	36:20 39:5	204:15 249:17
132:20	<b>Environmental</b>	evidenced 103:5	excuse 52:10	explained 41:15
emailed 93:17	188:15 189:6	evident 13:24	execute 7:9 134:4	41:18 58:12 84:8
emails 12:17 99:14	191:1,7,16	27:23 96:4	executed 46:12	89:21 188:21
108:12 135:8	equally 95:15	evidentially 170:7	142:14 146:9	205:3
embellishment	145:8,16,24	evidently 27:25	148:16 243:4	explains 113:16
13:24	equity 209:16	ex 33:12 137:5	<b>executing</b> 159:1	explanation 51:11
emphasis 61:15	error 91:9,10	ex 34:7	executing 133.1 execution 7:22	58:11,17 91:12
emphasise 6:20	Especially 242:24	ex-inspector	8:19 52:14	122:21
employees 33:13	establish 79:4	190:22 191:2,8	executive 33:12	explanations 8:5
204:2	established 71:11	ex-police 190:17	191:15,16	explanations 6.5 explode 65:20
employment 119:4	73:9 174:3	exact 85:12,13,14	exercise 12:19	explore 67:23
119:6	establishing 85:17	87:5 98:7,9,13,14	116:14 219:16	153:20 154:24
empty 26:11	101:23	100:18 101:23	exercised 182:14	explored 153:16
encompassing	<b>Europa</b> 87:14,19	exactly 2:15 22:16	exercising 233:8	explored 155:10
16:10 17:10	87:19,25	46:4 47:4 50:6	exhausting 149:7	exposed 26:2
encroach 192:11	Europe 124:17	80:16 82:22	149:23	29:10
192:20	evasive 92:16	90:20 92:11	exhibit 124:4,6,10	express 211:21
ended 144:17	94:15,20 99:2	96:21 99:5	exhibits 4:23 5:8	220:4
ends 101:25	evening 95:17	100:24 157:15	exonerate 44:20	expressed 45:20
		100.2.107.10		
	I	I	<u>I</u>	I

				1 486 200
66:13 158:24	248:22 251:6	festival 150:20	111:2 113:1	forbidden 24:3
expressing 39:19	255:12	Field 86:25 88:8	117:13 119:10	force 12:19 18:9
159:8	fairer 163:9	109:9	120:9,15,16,20	18:16 19:1 174:1
expression 244:14	fairly 214:17,19,19	<b>fifth</b> 156:11 168:7	125:5 126:1	175:3
extended 188:8	215:17 235:16	figure 15:1 96:12	131:17 134:20	forced 150:12
extension 159:15	246:9	file 66:24 67:1	161:18 163:16,20	forceful 48:13
extent 25:8 44:1	fairness 66:12	113:6 135:22	167:10 170:24	forces 4:6 7:11
164:11,25 170:3	249:15	146:22 148:24	171:20 183:20	18:19 19:17
170:18 215:2	fall 79:9	151:6 156:3	186:3 193:19,23	forecast 120:11,15
extra 27:20	familiar 117:22	251:19	203:11,13,14	forensic 126:9,11
extract 254:24	124:25	filed 47:25	204:20 223:1,6	forfeiture 173:19
extracts 124:6	family 201:23	final 2:21 62:13,20	244:5 257:20	forgive 131:15
extracts 12 1.0	202:2 225:8,9	99:12 101:15,16	firstly 62:2	253:10
extremely 80:17	fantastic 170:15	111:7,21 129:19	fit 44:4 55:3,6,12	forgotten 68:16
122:19	far 18:18 28:7,13	152:23,24 176:1	five 38:23 43:10	78:25
	64:4 71:20 74:1	197:7	44:12 67:17	form 13:15 60:24
F	108:25 109:3,6,9	Finally 197:7	87:24 88:6	130:5
face 78:23	118:4 119:15	financial 27:14	102:18 104:12	formal 42:11
faced 50:2,18	123:6 126:10	28:5 29:11	145:6,14 151:10	67:23 153:20
facilitate 127:8	127:5 160:3	206:21 213:13	250:15,24	154:24
220:13,18	199:12 206:2	financially 27:11	flat 65:12	<b>formed</b> 9:13 41:20
facilities 205:9	209:8	financing 127:12	flavour 16:9	former 187:7,11
243:16	fault 130:17	find 9:21 12:22	flawed 13:25	formular 70:2
facility 203:24	favourably 40:9	13:20 21:14 70:9	234:4 236:12	formulate 59:13
207:12,15	fear' 146:1,5	78:13 110:24	241:19,25 242:6	forth 17:12 21:1
facing 17:17 165:2	feared 146:14	161:2 168:23	242:13,14 245:7	44:3
fact 3:6 8:11 18:4	feature 12:10,16	228:5	247:21 249:15,18	forthcoming
26:13 39:22	17:21	<b>finding</b> 194:9	flippant 94:20	191:12
44:14 72:3 86:5	featured 13:19	findings 8:17	99:16	forward 165:20
86:10 92:4,20	40:22 86:7	finds 8:18	floating 135:1	188:18
99:12 105:21	featuring 20:21	fine 14:21 21:16	flouring 14:1	forwards 185:20
106:9,15 138:19	February 131:5,5	146:25 190:8	flow 120:11,15	found 43:22 57:4
139:10,19 140:25	131:21 188:1	finish 1:5,11 2:7	fluctuated 121:17	57:24 98:23
149:5 155:5	192:1	35:12 97:12,24	fly 5:7	126:18 139:1
163:23 164:17	Federation 116:1	103:16	focus 113:3 130:10	177:13 183:15
178:2 190:22	116:8	finished 165:7	<b>focusing</b> 113:14,18	196:6 243:15
215:20 227:18	<b>feeding</b> 90:17,18	257:15	115:16 170:15	founders 35:15
250:9 251:1	feel 13:20 14:3	firm 10:15 41:24	foggiest 55:2	four 114:10
255:18	131:11 162:23	115:7,9 127:17	follow 33:3 61:8	155:16 168:7
factor 19:9	181:18 187:3	130:3,4 142:10	77:8,13 104:20	180:24 193:9
facts 36:8 37:11	216:5 238:5	163:25 223:8,12	106:20	241:9
<b>fail</b> 146:1	245:11,15,20,24	223:14,22 248:14	<b>followed</b> 7:7 78:1	fourth 62:12 65:14
<b>failed</b> 74:23 75:11	fell 134:24	firm's 127:12	following 74:19	<b>FP7</b> 124:9
77:7,9	fellow 227:21,22	133:23 134:11	76:24 184:25	frame 165:14
failures 41:16	<b>felt</b> 34:8 58:16	<b>first</b> 13:2 40:1 42:6	191:3 231:17	frank 94:14
fair 13:5 22:24	68:10 71:22	52:13 68:25	237:16 256:6	frankly 129:10
48:18 76:17	219:20 221:20	70:14 75:9 84:2	follows 78:8	198:6 219:12
79:10 83:22 85:8	223:11 246:25	93:8 104:20	124:13	242:6
86:19 90:7,12	fence 89:15	109:19 110:23,24	foot 48:24	free 24:7 109:15
100:8 148:5				
	1	I	1	1

				l
257:7	30:13 39:8,15	Gibraltar 3:10,14	141:20 143:8,19	198:9 215:18
friction 219:5,20	49:5 52:7,15	4:7,21 5:3 8:1	146:3,12 153:19	216:25 257:8
Friday 70:13	53:1,11 55:12	9:23 11:11,19	154:25 165:16	goes 51:17 82:4,13
friend 37:16 66:25	58:8,13,18 59:5	18:8,11,14,18	167:17 168:10	82:14 137:6
113:23 114:1	59:11 61:8,15	19:16 20:10,12	172:21 177:21	187:4 198:6
141:23 158:6	64:13 68:9 87:3	20:13 25:13 27:8	186:22 187:11	<b>going</b> 1:8,15 2:13
225:21 227:24,25	94:13 95:9 98:4	50:15,18 51:15	188:25 214:18	3:8,25 12:18
239:2	108:21 109:4	71:16 74:22 75:7	216:22 222:16	21:2,15 24:1,2
friendly 114:22	114:17,24 117:7	75:20 76:10	237:18 245:5	25:4,7,10,15,18
friends 157:13,13	118:1,8 143:11	78:21 79:5,8,11	giver 215:9	25:21 26:5,13
157:25 158:2,3	147:3 153:13	79:15,16 80:2,7	<b>gives</b> 41:6	29:19,19 30:8
225:8,9,20	155:15 162:22	80:13,22 81:4,5,8	<b>giving</b> 61:25 62:6	32:19 33:2,22
227:25	163:1,6,7 164:25	82:15,17 83:3	85:1 88:8 94:10	34:10,16 37:18
<b>front</b> 110:20 112:5	165:12,17,18	86:15,18 87:20	95:4 100:7	39:18 45:19
113:6,11 116:15	166:9,16,19	96:23 98:17,21	151:11 171:8	56:17,18 58:12
120:10 142:5	167:2 169:21	115:25 128:20	192:5 217:18	59:18 67:6 78:6
146:22	171:19 172:12,19	129:5 142:9	226:13 243:6	78:22 83:18,20
frontier 89:15	172:24 173:2,5	148:3 153:6	246:22	86:24 99:13
<b>full</b> 11:3	177:12,20 185:8	181:11 184:6	<b>glad</b> 112:8	103:13,16 113:6
fuller 131:13	185:24 188:10	189:12 202:2	<b>go</b> 3:2 8:10 10:9,14	118:13 120:3
function 141:25	201:11 237:22	205:5,8 208:10	16:7 19:19 24:12	121:11 124:2
fundamentally	245:2,4,13	208:14,16 216:13	24:23 25:22 31:4	125:23 131:19
234:4 236:11	252:12,23 253:17	219:12 227:23	32:20 38:15 41:3	137:2,14 144:8
241:19,25 242:6	253:20 255:5	233:13 235:16	44:11 50:5 52:5	148:19 155:1
242:13,14 245:7	256:5,12,16,23	242:24 248:1,6	53:8 55:17 56:4	163:10 166:21
247:21	General's 91:24	248:16 254:18	59:9 60:8 63:8	168:23 169:25
<b>further</b> 2:25 10:9	91:25	255:20 257:2	69:3 71:6,16	170:2,5,17 171:1
10:14 11:7 21:25	generally 15:24	Gibraltar's 27:2	72:2,17 75:9	173:11 175:24
44:11 58:24	27:21 164:17	Gibtelecom	76:18 81:11,12	180:8 181:17
59:10 72:3,17	171:7 182:14	159:24 160:5	89:17,19 91:5	192:18 204:18
75:9 80:5 91:5	187:4 253:20	gift 214:20	98:4,15 99:17	214:5 215:18,25
97:15 98:4 99:17	generated 27:25	give 14:12,25 15:1	104:25 105:7,9	216:16,19,20,23
101:25 109:12	generating 119:21	25:11 94:8	109:15 120:9	221:4 225:2
174:19 192:19,21	gent 60:9	105:20 119:24	123:18 130:9	230:18 234:20
257:4	gentleman 60:11	131:18 151:20	131:12 135:14	236:8 247:8
furtherance 7:14	Gents 69:6	170:5 189:4	142:1 145:4	248:21 251:4
<b>futile</b> 4:9	geography 19:15	192:4 193:1,25	146:18 147:23	253:8,16 257:24
futures 188:13	geopolitical 18:12	197:25 200:2	148:23 150:2,6	Gomez 81:1,3
G	getting 16:21	201:4,14 215:25	151:3 152:13	109:22
	37:22 61:20 89:4	217:9 234:18	155:9,24 161:16	good 2:18,20 65:1
Gaggero 121:10	100:7,25 101:22	244:23,25 245:2	161:23 162:2,5	65:19 97:6
122:25 124:14	124:20 132:5	247:9 248:12	162:11 164:11	101:17 110:18,19
125:7,9 128:12	Gibb's 250:21,23	given 6:16 14:3,22	167:8 169:3,14	117:5 122:3,19
128:16,17	Gibbs 192:22,23	37:14,23 44:20	170:1,16,25	124:18 137:22,24
Gallagher 109:21	192:25 208:21	65:7 85:16 97:6	171:10 172:5,7	138:9,10 145:21
Gary 74:7	212:23 214:20	105:18 112:2	173:9 175:17	170:6 179:20
gathering 33:1 GC 99:24	218:23 222:19	113:1,2 116:20	176:8,9,22 184:1	183:17 214:14
general 5:2 16:20	248:23 251:3	127:23 133:23	184:4,16 187:18	218:23 219:10
18:3 29:1,4	Gibraltan 80:7	134:11 139:16	188:12 196:18,21	223:11 239:19

				1 age 270
251:8,9	<b>Haim</b> 110:16	226:14,22	hear 101:18 112:8	254:1
governance 16:11	137:4 147:21	happens 201:3	187:9 218:17	hits 93:2
16:16,25	170:10,12 192:16	happy 24:23	heard 9:11 26:21	HMIC 180:21
government 18:6	half 97:23 103:19	119:24 121:19	108:18 123:3,10	183:11,12 239:20
22:10 24:22 28:2	147:4 188:9,12	124:18 132:16	219:1 227:2	Hmm 136:14
28:12,17 34:11	189:1	146:25 161:23,25	hearing 9:9	hold 38:25 198:4
39:9 41:23 71:16	halfway 52:21	193:17 221:21	heart 6:1	225:16
130:1 133:6	113:19	229:18 249:25	heaven 40:14,20	holding 6:2
181:14 186:1	<b>Hamdin</b> 10:10	hard 106:23	heavily 162:14	holiday 122:18
205:4,8,15,18,21	hand 34:3 107:4,9	107:20,24 108:2	171:13	home 18:16,25
212:15,19,20	116:16,22,23	108:6,10 113:7	heightened 5:5	142:13,22 144:4
213:1,15,15	117:2 122:2	113:11	held 4:24 26:24	149:19 152:1
214:5,21 215:6	150:13,16 151:1	Hassan 129:4	42:1 49:19,19	159:2,4,5,13,15
Government's	handed 67:22	Hassans 27:13	77:15 127:24	161:16 195:9,13
173:8	107:8,25 136:17	29:15 35:6 42:3	hell 40:14,20	249:5 254:24
governments	149:7 152:14,20	46:24 47:3 48:9	help 14:20,21	honest 165:19
205:9	154:14 197:2,9	48:13 56:11	42:14 55:15	Honourable 4:22
Governor 18:1	198:15 228:24	60:25 61:16 62:2	124:18 132:16	hoof 65:18
39:3 91:2 92:22	229:11 230:22	65:5 112:20	193:2,7 194:15	hope 206:17
93:17 94:6,7,24	231:19	118:15 119:2	194:16 244:21,25	242:14
95:4 98:1	handing 108:4	121:25 130:3,4	245:1 249:12	hoped 206:6
<b>GPA</b> 10:15 12:12	handle 62:1	135:18 141:21	helped 57:18 58:5	hopefully 120:25
45:15 57:3 101:8	handled 48:1	156:8 166:7,13	188:16	123:19 162:17
185:15	hands 232:16	166:17 167:15	<b>helpful</b> 169:12	hoping 241:10,12
<b>GPA's</b> 12:6	hands-on 16:2	172:21 177:13	hesitant 97:17	241:20 242:1,4,8
<b>GPF</b> 186:13,17	17:14	178:15 186:11,17	hesitating 209:4	242:15,21 250:13
190:3	handwritten 105:8	186:24 187:2	hidden 201:18	host 78:3,9
granted 4:22	<b>Hang</b> 3:16	189:14 203:24	hide 117:19	hour 1:7 97:1,3,6
142:21	<b>happen</b> 1:15 20:25	204:23 207:1,17	148:17 224:24	103:14,18,19
granting 142:12	122:5 208:21	209:19,22 210:3	high 83:4 112:23	148:22 232:15
grapple 94:11	228:2,3 242:23	210:9,22 212:23	143:16 187:2	hours 77:25 78:1
grateful 109:25	happened 1:18	212:24 213:3,10	207:25	83:15 98:6
113:4 131:17	5:24 43:7 48:21	213:12,16 214:24	high-profile 50:3	103:12,16 150:12
gravely 173:24	49:2 50:7,12	222:24 223:18	50:18	150:15 158:17
great 120:13,14	51:9 56:19 77:24	227:22 235:2	<b>higher</b> 191:15	160:16,23 197:12
Grech 9:12 33:10	78:5,14,17,20	254:23	257:20	232:15
101:8	80:13,16 84:13	Hassans' 41:25	highest 142:19	house 34:11
green 82:5,12	95:21,25 96:7,11	167:19 168:2	143:10,25 144:9	107:11 150:19
grey 40:15	102:9,18 103:8	Hassans's 55:22	168:18 178:3	194:7 255:19
ground 16:1 53:18	116:18,19 122:4	60:12 207:20	highlight 131:7	hrs 160:3
83:17	123:1 137:16	208:22 212:14	highlighted 178:1	hugely 219:16
grounds 145:21	143:22 144:19	217:6 224:13	183:13	hundred 44:6
group 101:4,12	163:14,14 165:4	haunt 86:2	highly 10:19 26:18	hundreds 181:22
guarantees 119:4	200:1 212:9	head 116:15 173:6	102:1	hung 162:24
guessing 73:19	213:17,19 217:14	173:6 239:9	hinge 71:19 73:21	245:11,16,20
guitar 81:6	217:14 221:7	headed 48:13	73:23	253:12
H	224:3 230:8	158:16	historic 251:15	hurrying 1:10
ha 50:12	<b>happening</b> 38:7	heads 117:3	252:22	hypothetical 37:19
hacking 41:10	57:19 158:1	160:24,25	historically 47:24	222:15
Hacking T1.10				

			ı	<u>.</u>
I	34:15	individual 10:3	informing 71:21	231:15
Ian 2:16 8:22	inappropriate	16:15 34:4 40:16	initial 87:10	instruction 197:25
63:21 104:17	25:16 37:24	individually 18:7	initially 78:17	instructions 6:22
177:11	156:10 173:1	252:25	injurious 173:24	6:25 37:7 74:25
idea 15:19 54:22	inaudible 9:16	individuals 17:24	inner 32:24	75:13 76:12,24
54:23 56:9,11	131:16 144:3	27:10 30:1 33:7	<b>input</b> 73:13	instrumental
57:16 123:14	150:17 179:15	34:21 80:9	inputs 10:4	205:22
169:15,18 214:14	194:18 196:23	189:19	inquiries 7:21	intellectual 28:18
identification	incident 2:23 3:3,5	industrial 189:3	33:14	Intelligence 41:11
74:24 75:12 76:5	3:8 5:18 6:9 7:23	inference 67:6	inquiry 6:17 11:3	intended 91:7
124:8	10:18,23 11:2,6	inferred 58:13	11:7 12:25 13:4	154:24
identified 70:21	11:12 12:3,5,10	infers 98:11	17:25 57:12	intention 1:19
identify 231:17	12:16,24 13:6	influence 217:7	108:10 109:18	61:8 127:6 197:8
identifying 26:12	74:10 75:22,24	inform 34:9 46:10	111:3,15 113:3	206:12 215:13,16
ignored 4:11	77:24 78:14	127:21	116:7 117:12,16	interaction 144:24
image 183:7	80:13 84:3,5,8,16	information 5:4	133:12 134:20	149:11
image 103.7	85:18 86:6,22	25:12 34:14,18	138:15 143:9	interactions
Immediate 33:14	87:1 91:19 93:6	35:9 56:20 57:15	146:13 158:18	144:11 164:15,20
impact 38:20 79:7	93:7,10,12,18,22	65:9 77:17 86:2	168:1 184:21	165:9,12
impacted 20:24	95:16,20,24 96:3	88:20,21,23 89:1	186:13,23 187:8	interactive 95:2
imparted 38:10,11	96:5 98:6 101:3	89:9 90:1,11	187:12 188:20	<b>interest</b> 20:8 39:24
65:8 96:16	108:19	92:4 94:9 95:11	192:3 193:5	40:2,13 42:6
imparting 98:25	incidents 85:25	96:15 99:2,2	194:3,4,6,14	44:16 45:1,9
implementing	include 41:25	100:15 102:6,6	200:2 201:5,9	46:11,20 66:10
185:14	67:24 201:7	102:24 111:11,25	202:9,19 204:8	66:13 71:24
implicated 26:19	247:1	112:14 121:18	204:16 244:20	127:24 133:15
implications 31:25	included 27:13	129:7,17 130:12	246:20 254:2,5	135:3,5,6 138:20
85:20 210:2	101:7 153:21	131:2 136:17	inquisitive 39:16	139:11 140:23
implying 133:22	including 5:15	137:3 146:2	inside 95:22 96:1,8	141:2,2,19,20
importance 20:14	147:20 148:4	153:4,8 160:7	96:11 101:21	202:8,19 213:14
85:17	185:1 225:7	164:13,14 166:1	110:23	213:25 214:8
important 1:21	income 119:22	166:6,10,17	insincerity 14:7	215:22 218:3
67:5 70:24 78:15	120:17	167:5,16,19	inspect 61:9	222:12 224:14
78:16 79:1,4	incoming 160:4	168:12,20,25	inspector 104:22	235:10
80:15,17 99:21	incorporating	170:13 176:6	143:3 144:25	interest' 42:10
104:6 160:22	118:15	177:13 178:19	149:4 151:17	45:7
247:6	incorrect 86:1	188:2,18 190:17	178:23 190:18	interested 119:16
impossible 117:6	156:19 226:9	190:18 191:8,10	instance 164:22	190:6 201:9
impression 48:6	incriminate	191:13,20 204:7	201:12,23 214:3	204:8 236:18
48:10 61:19	170:14	226:13,13 235:15	223:6 248:20	interesting 177:24
improper 133:23	indecent 3:11 6:2	235:17 255:8,15	instances 63:9	205:11,12 233:25
166:16 167:21	independent 11:4	255:21 256:2,7	176:23	interests 163:25
168:14 188:6	74:6 181:13	informed 36:1	instruct 171:4	212:14
impunity 190:8	229:25 230:12	42:16 43:19	230:5 256:14	internal 47:7
in-between 78:9	231:10,15,17	44:25 45:8 53:4	instructed 36:19	international
135:2	indicating 249:23	121:23 126:4	51:7 67:2 115:4	18:24 82:8,11,24
inaccurate 93:21	indictment 66:24	160:6 164:15,19	115:6 163:23	83:1 90:5 96:21
100:22 103:2	indifferent 219:17	165:11 188:11	171:2	119:16 120:5
inadvertently	219:19	206:3	instructing 153:2	208:6

internationally         134:25 135:4,7         155:4 159:20         5:13 66:25         66:12 72:24           internment 191:5         139:15,22 141:7         189:15         justification 148:6         73:20 76:21 82:           interpret 162:25         153:4 167:6         issue 165:3         issued 68:23         K         KC 5:2 44:13         101:11,13,20           100:13         175:10 177:22,25         188:6 194:15         issued 68:23         KC 5:2 44:13         102:20 108:8,22           intervention 5:19         235:19 236:11         235:19 236:11         241:18,24 242:25         165:3 210:19         159:14,16 163:24         122:20,23 123:2           interventions 61:3         245:7 246:6         247:22 249:15,18         154:12,20         154:12,20         152:22         144:2 150:23           interview 53:17         254:11 255:16,21         investigations         142:16         197:10         keen 101:20 193:5         151:15 152:5           keep 1:4,22 20:7         32:4,8,12 71:1         164:22 165:4         164:22 165:4           97:8 127:22         166:58 23 24
119:13 208:9   138:21,24 139:12   163:10,11 185:7   189:15   189:15   153:4 167:6   169:1 171:6   175:10 177:22,25   188:6 194:15   223:20 234:2,2,4   235:19 236:11   241:18,24 242:25   1nterventions 61:3 76:1   247:22 249:15,18 interview 53:17 54:20 61:9,16 67:23 152:21   14:22 15:4,6,7,10   14:20 15:4   16:17 15:4   16:18 15:25   16:18 15:45   16:18 15:25   16
internment         191:5         139:15,22 141:7         189:15         justify         13:22         91:10,13 95:17           interpret 162:25         169:1 171:6         issue-165:3         K         K         KC 5:2 44:13         101:11,13,20           100:13         175:10 177:22,25         142:16         issues 19:2,18 22:1         109:21 110:5         116:18 118:4,6           97:13         223:20 234:2,2,4         24:21 33:15         14:18 115:21         122:20,23 123:2           intervention 5:19         235:19 236:11         101:6 159:21         138:18 139:9         125:10 129:13           33:15 143:18         241:18,24 242:25         165:3 210:19         159:14,16 163:24         130:18 131:10           interventions 61:3         245:7 246:6         154:12,20         159:22 249:11         134:17 136:20           76:1         247:22 249:15,18         154:12,20         16em 101:20 193:5         151:15 152:5           interview 53:17         254:11 255:16,21         197:10         257:22         16eep 1:4,22 20:7         157:18,19 161:7           54:20 61:9,16         14:22 15:4,6,7,10         197:10         32:4,8,12 71:1         164:22 165:4
interpret 162:25         153:4 167:6         issue-165:3         issue-165:3         K         96:8 97:11 98:10         96:8 97:11 98
interpretation         169:1 171:6         issued 68:23         K         KC 5:2 44:13         101:11,13,20           interrupt 24:6         188:6 194:15         188:6 194:15         142:16         109:21 110:5         116:18 118:4,6           97:13         223:20 234:2,2,4         24:21 33:15         114:18 115:21         122:20,23 123:2           intervention 5:19         235:19 236:11         101:6 159:21         138:18 139:9         125:10 129:13           33:15 143:18         241:18,24 242:25         165:3 210:19         159:14,16 163:24         130:18 131:10           interventions 61:3         245:7 246:6         154:12,20         159:22 249:11         134:17 136:20           76:1         247:22 249:15,18         154:12,20         257:22         144:2 150:23           interview 53:17         254:11 255:16,21         1ems 154:11         257:22         151:15 152:5           54:20 61:9,16         14:22 15:4,6,7,10         197:10         164:22 20:7         32:4,8,12 71:1         164:22 165:4
100:13       175:10 177:22,25       142:16       KC 5:2 44:13       102:20 108:8,22         interrupt 24:6       188:6 194:15       23:20 234:2,2,4       24:21 33:15       114:18 115:21       122:20,23 123:2         intervention 5:19       235:19 236:11       101:6 159:21       138:18 139:9       125:10 129:13         33:15 143:18       241:18,24 242:25       165:3 210:19       159:14,16 163:24       130:18 131:10         interventions 61:3       245:7 246:6       item 120:17 154:2       192:22 249:11       134:17 136:20         76:1       247:22 249:15,18       154:12,20       257:22       144:2 150:23         interview 53:17       254:11 255:16,21       items 154:11       257:22       keen 101:20 193:5       151:15 152:5         54:20 61:9,16       investigations       197:10       32:4,8,12 71:1       164:22 165:4
interrupt 24:6         188:6 194:15         issues 19:2,18 22:1         109:21 110:5         116:18 118:4,6           97:13         223:20 234:2,2,4         24:21 33:15         114:18 115:21         122:20,23 123:2           intervention 5:19         235:19 236:11         101:6 159:21         138:18 139:9         125:10 129:13           33:15 143:18         241:18,24 242:25         165:3 210:19         159:14,16 163:24         130:18 131:10           interventions 61:3         245:7 246:6         item 120:17 154:2         192:22 249:11         134:17 136:20           76:1         247:22 249:15,18         154:12,20         257:22         144:2 150:23           interview 53:17         254:11 255:16,21         items 154:11         257:22         keen 101:20 193:5           54:20 61:9,16         investigations         197:10         157:18,19 161:7           67:23 152:21         14:22 15:4,6,7,10         32:4,8,12 71:1         164:22 165:4
97:13       223:20 234:2,2,4       24:21 33:15       114:18 115:21       122:20,23 123:2         intervention 5:19       235:19 236:11       101:6 159:21       138:18 139:9       125:10 129:13         33:15 143:18       241:18,24 242:25       165:3 210:19       159:14,16 163:24       130:18 131:10         interventions 61:3       245:7 246:6       item 120:17 154:2       192:22 249:11       134:17 136:20         76:1       247:22 249:15,18       154:12,20       257:22       144:2 150:23         interview 53:17       254:11 255:16,21       items 154:11       keen 101:20 193:5       151:15 152:5         54:20 61:9,16       investigations       197:10       32:4,8,12 71:1       164:22 165:4         67:23 152:21       14:22 15:4,6,7,10       32:4,8,12 71:1       164:22 165:4
intervention 5:19       235:19 236:11       101:6 159:21       138:18 139:9       125:10 129:13         33:15 143:18       241:18,24 242:25       165:3 210:19       159:14,16 163:24       130:18 131:10         interventions 61:3       245:7 246:6       item 120:17 154:2       192:22 249:11       134:17 136:20         76:1       247:22 249:15,18       154:12,20       257:22       144:2 150:23         interview 53:17       254:11 255:16,21       items 154:11       keen 101:20 193:5       151:15 152:5         54:20 61:9,16       investigations       197:10       32:4,8,12 71:1       164:22 165:4
33:15 143:18       241:18,24 242:25       165:3 210:19       159:14,16 163:24       130:18 131:10         interventions 61:3       245:7 246:6       item 120:17 154:2       192:22 249:11       134:17 136:20         76:1       247:22 249:15,18       154:12,20       257:22       144:2 150:23         interview 53:17       254:11 255:16,21       items 154:11       keen 101:20 193:5       151:15 152:5         54:20 61:9,16       14:22 15:4,6,7,10       197:10       32:4,8,12 71:1       164:22 165:4
interventions 61:3       245:7 246:6       item 120:17 154:2       192:22 249:11       134:17 136:20         76:1       247:22 249:15,18       154:12,20       257:22       144:2 150:23         interview 53:17       254:11 255:16,21       items 154:11       keen 101:20 193:5       151:15 152:5         54:20 61:9,16       investigations       197:10       32:4,8,12 71:1       164:22 165:4
76:1
interview 53:17       254:11 255:16,21 items 154:11       items 154:11       keen 101:20 193:5 keep 1:4,22 20:7 32:4,8,12 71:1       151:15 152:5 157:18,19 161:7 164:22 165:4
54:20 61:9,16 investigations 197:10 keep 1:4,22 20:7 157:18,19 161:7 32:4,8,12 71:1 164:22 165:4
67:23 152:21
T
133.10,20,23   13.13,21.10.23
154:24,25 169:14   27:22 40:9   <b>James</b> 42:2 44:13   198:4 199:6,8   169:1,19,20,22
169:16 222:7   investigative 23:5   110:5,16 124:13   201:21,24 251:5   170:9,21 174:12
223:1 224:22   23:24 183:14   132:18 138:17   <b>kept</b> 31:24 103:14   175:13,15 176:5
237:15,17 238:16   255:6   139:8 158:16   106:24,25 107:5   178:24 180:5,14
241:21,23   investigators   160:2 192:16   164:15 165:11   183:22 187:6,10
interviewed 147:7   42:18 45:7   Javier 143:12   196:8 198:18   189:8,9,10,11,12
147:12 221:15   investing 35:6   Jewish 159:18   199:4,7,15   196:10 197:20
224:2   investment 119:3   JL 54:20 143:20   key 83:4   198:10 199:12
introducing 18:6   invite 184:25   155:19 188:22   kilometre 89:25   211:1,4,5,6,18
intrusion 246:7   invited 37:12 38:6   191:9 240:4   kilometres 19:8   215:14,21 216:2
invest 127:18   inviting 33:9   jobs 188:14 189:6   kind 11:19 39:13   218:9 220:2,9,1
investigate 7:19   involved 5:15 16:2   Joe 128:23 129:25   63:14 177:4   220:11,11 225:1
137:14 16:21 28:15 30:7 130:5 <b>kinds</b> 24:21 231:16 233:10,1
investigated 134:5   39:12 44:8 53:15   John 86:25 205:1   King's 48:14,16   234:14,19 235:1
186:23 226:1 74:9 78:4 87:11 <b>joint</b> 3:12 122:13 62:5 239:1,23 240:4,
investigating   112:23 128:18   122:14   Kingdom 157:14   240:13,19,20
31:21 32:16,17   164:7 166:20   <b>Joshua</b> 129:4   <b>knew</b> 20:5,6 36:16   241:7,16 243:19
32:21 43:19
88:16 137:9 212:5 221:6 JP 126:3,12 69:13 139:16 248:11 251:17
156:8,15   228:7 235:19   <b>JUDGE</b> 78:24   194:11 211:11,12   255:25 256:9,25
investigation 7:9   256:13   judicial 47:17   211:20 214:17   knowing 13:22
7:15 10:8 20:17   involvement 20:17   jump 86:21 176:8   221:9 232:19   37:5 45:10
26:17 28:4 31:22   20:18 23:7,10   jumped 95:13   254:17   knowledge 1:14
31:23 33:7 34:20 29:3,4 34:22 June 12:12 13:8,17 know 1:7 9:3,9 28:1,6,10 43:12
38:8 41:9,19 39:12 42:19 44:2 45:14 112:12 11:15 13:18,18 46:9,17,21 65:2
42:6 44:16,20
45:22 46:7 51:20
51:25 59:7,8   188:24 226:1   <b>junior</b> 143:15   34:25 35:4,13,13   112:14 117:21,2   66:2,11 70:17.20   <b>jurisdiction</b> 3:14   35:18,23,25 36:5   125:20,24 128:1
71.2,5 70.0,20
7017 3010 10011   70110 10011   10011
112.11 110.2
124:5 126:10,12   125:7 150:12   100:2   52:18 56:20 57:7   known 35:11 36:8   justice 4:21,22   65:16,17 66:12
Justice 7.21,22   03.10,17 00.12

		_		1 age 275
37:11,20,25 38:5	leaked 34:15	47:2 48:8,16	likes 18:19	135:17
57:14 58:2 77:1	learn 134:5 135:5	164:8 172:21	limb 173:17	loan 119:4 207:8
114:2 136:23	142:14 186:3	189:18,21,22,24	limit 251:5	local 119:17
137:8 194:3	learned 11:6 37:16	191:24 192:6	limited 34:18	localised 19:12,13
255:1,16,19,22	125:8 134:17	level 25:13 51:25	67:24 181:10	locate 116:25
knows 88:18	learning 65:17	112:23 142:19	212:10	location 18:12
181:11 256:21	leave 25:25 26:14	143:11,16,25	Limited' 41:20	19:15 79:1 83:15
Kram 74:4 77:16	74:22 76:9 188:7	144:9 178:4	limits 256:9,10	85:21 86:22 89:9
77:24 104:1	188:8 191:3	187:2	line 21:5,18 25:19	100:18
	200:3	level' 168:18	52:25 62:13,20	lockdown 159:20
L	leaves 170:7,8	levels 32:13	82:1,3,4,13,23	locked 149:21,22
187:1	leaving 190:25	Levy 23:4,14 27:1	92:14 93:3 96:22	log 159:24
<b>1.05hrs</b> 87:1	led 170:1,16,24	35:6,20 36:1,25	103:15 145:15	London 93:2
laid 130:12	249:19,20	40:22 42:2 43:12	170:12 197:1	101:20
land 132:25 148:3	left 74:2,14 77:6	43:18 44:13,25	lines 53:12 65:15	long 42:17 43:15
landed 79:15,16	101:11 167:22	45:6,8,20,23 46:7	129:14,15 145:6	89:25 97:1,3
landline 179:23	195:1 202:22	46:8,10,22 60:10	145:14 155:16	103:17 112:16
lands 24:4	213:6	60:11,14,21	214:11 255:25,25	114:2 115:10
language 15:25	left-hand 169:8	64:10 67:20,22	256:17,25	116:21 123:14
71:1 91:23,23	legal 5:16 47:7	104:23 105:3	lingering 60:23	148:21 150:25
large 44:14 138:18	48:13 59:9	106:10 110:5,16	list 68:17,17	242:21 257:25
139:9,24 140:14	113:21 116:9	110:18 112:17	153:15 154:2,11	long-since 160:8
140:17,24	129:22,23 149:7	113:20 124:7,13	154:21	longer 77:18
larger 18:21	149:13,14 153:2	131:22 132:8,22	litigation 239:9	107:12,19 121:11
largest 248:14	157:13 162:3	137:4 138:9,17	little 2:25 10:9	198:20
<b>lasted</b> 100:19	168:8,14 173:7	139:8 156:6	56:6 58:24 59:10	look 29:9,19 41:2
late 2:8 26:2 59:9	177:21 190:1	158:16,22,25	72:2,17 75:9	53:17 63:22 85:3
246:15	legality 143:1	159:14,16 160:2	81:25 91:5 98:4	102:18 110:23
law 41:24 44:23	legally 136:5,13	192:16,17 193:3	176:2 193:2	113:7,9 118:5
47:21 65:17	170:23 229:6	202:4 204:18	245:8	135:20 139:3,6
79:15 99:20	230:1	217:20 230:20	live 21:22 59:8	146:25 158:12
139:19 166:24,25	legitimate 4:5	245:8 249:3	101:6	161:5 162:5
175:2 243:7	99:21	251:8 257:10	llamaré 179:24	163:15 165:24
248:1	lessons 11:5	Levy's 39:24 42:16	<b>Llamas</b> 33:10 41:5	174:5 176:14
lawyer 27:2 47:13	lest 235:24	42:19 44:2 46:19	54:16,17 60:7,18	183:3,12 187:24
59:18 60:12	let's 72:3 151:8	59:18 62:9 197:3	60:20,24 61:24	194:20 202:16
166:18 173:3,8	162:1	Lewis 60:9,17,17	62:6 63:1,9,20,25	208:5 231:12
229:25 230:4,5	letter 8:25 12:6	63:15 163:24	64:16 65:14,24	247:20 251:18
230:12 231:11,15	43:13,15 45:14	177:5 234:14	66:10 67:1 70:5	253:7
234:5,10,12	51:5 55:22,23	liable 173:24	70:8 87:4 92:24	looked 249:22
248:6,16,19	57:2,2 62:15,17	liberal 235:16	94:20,22 95:15	251:24 252:2,3,4
lawyers 27:3 153:9	67:21 68:7,21	liberty 10:24	95:18 114:18,21	252:6,11 253:11
167:6 168:22	122:6,24 133:11	<b>Licudi</b> 186:20	115:3 150:8	<b>looking</b> 14:2 42:18
230:15 231:13,18	135:18 167:15,19	lied 4:15	169:9 172:16	43:22 179:16
238:2 248:15	168:2 175:19,23	lieu 191:17	176:24 177:10	183:2 231:7
lay 5:4	177:14 178:5,7	Lieutenant 27:5	245:13 251:12	looks 124:9 162:4
layer 27:20	178:10,11,15,16	life 50:1 97:7	252:12	162:7 197:14
lead 173:25	178:25	150:3 195:9,14	Llamas's 65:22	loose 101:25
leader 159:18	letters 12:11 46:25	<b>lift</b> 143:12	Lloyd 60:9 72:19	Lord 5:15
leading 84:9				

lose 206:13,15	16:10	McGRAIL 2:16	77:9,14 116:25	Mena 27:14 28:8
loss 12:8 173:18,25	map 80:21 81:2	2:19 6:10 7:8	174:7,9,14	28:14,20 33:10
lost 57:3 80:25	82:2 87:18	8:22 22:23 25:7	mechanism 51:19	211:13
185:13 210:4,6	March 74:21	31:23 41:8,15,24	media 32:15	mental 165:8
lot 15:11 18:13	77:25 86:20	77:22 95:10	180:20	233:19
63:1 79:8 128:24	99:14 105:15	100:8 104:17,19	meet 33:17,19,24	mention 25:2 62:8
165:3 181:2,2	108:19 111:22	109:13 133:22	59:5,15,18 116:2	99:16 222:23
203:19	131:6,25 132:3	147:2,13 155:15	131:24 132:20	238:14
luck 35:15 124:18	margin 169:9	155:15 156:11,16	183:17 184:1	mentioned 12:15
lunch 118:5 138:2	maritime 76:16	156:17 167:23,24	210:10 238:6,7,8	16:16 42:3,12
138:4	101:3	168:6 177:16	238:10	64:11 68:10
	marked 110:21,22	185:14,18 186:14	meeting 30:15	84:10 191:6
M	147:5	187:12 189:20	33:11,22 36:6	214:11 227:12
<b>M</b> 171:21 172:9	martial 6:7	190:7 191:11,21	37:13 38:6,24	241:8
Madison 142:10	mask 191:18	246:17	39:1 41:6,7,8	mentor 113:20,25
Magistrate's 175:2	match 105:17	McGrail's 21:3	42:8,12,16,21	merit 131:23
magistrates 23:20	matched 105:14	133:13,21 155:10	49:4 52:8 53:9	mess 48:25
175:8	material 7:14	184:23 185:3	55:7,24 56:2,15	message 57:7,10
Magistrates'	131:11 136:5,13	186:3 187:18,25	56:19 60:22	91:6 101:15,16
130:13	145:25 229:6,11	190:12	62:14 64:2,4,5,6	114:12,14,15
main 118:18 181:6	230:1,2,11	mean 15:23 20:4	65:7 69:17,19,23	115:1 118:8
181:11,16 182:8	231:12 246:21	22:7 29:24 32:7	71:7 72:18,22	123:20,21 124:6
maintain 127:3	matter 4:20 5:6	34:8 49:3 50:5	73:1,3 105:10,19	125:5,11 126:20
maintaining 17:1	12:2,2 13:13	51:3 64:17,21,23	105:22 106:12	126:25 162:22
121:12	21:25 22:9 29:8	65:2 84:2,3,19	108:18,23 109:1	163:4 173:13,18
maintenance	29:8,25 32:5,23	85:13 110:10	135:14 147:1	174:20 180:19
118:19 127:22	38:21 39:5 47:16	115:4 117:4,13	149:1,2 155:13	196:1,19 197:6
Majesty's 71:15	53:18 60:2 66:21	117:14 124:22	164:24 169:5,6	220:20 239:16,17
major 85:25	70:20 71:24	127:17 129:22	172:19 176:16	240:3 253:13
making 10:5 14:8	72:18 104:24	134:8 137:15	180:11 181:5,6	messaged 36:25
22:13 23:19	106:19 113:25	140:7 144:14	182:9,11 183:19	messages 24:18,19
28:17 39:4 51:18	125:14 127:25	158:5,8,10 163:8	184:22 193:10	44:3 54:15 60:6
56:8,12 63:10	133:5,18 139:23	165:4,16 166:22	202:7 211:25,25	83:20,25 85:12
126:24 166:22	155:1,8 162:23	172:17 178:21	244:15 249:4,11	117:1,8,12,15,20
168:4,11 176:5	163:2 174:17	187:13 193:14	255:5	131:2,4 132:22
176:24 186:14	192:18 215:14	195:25 199:25	meetings 23:22	171:18 173:10
191:12 217:2	216:4 238:13,15	212:19,21 222:3	30:24 48:4 61:6	180:9 183:2
242:17	239:23,24,25	222:12 223:4	62:1 105:24	193:4,6,13 194:8
MALE 131:15	245:11 248:3	225:15 226:5	212:7 244:11	194:10,17 195:23
maliciously 188:19	252:16	231:9 244:11	246:12 247:2,11	196:6 198:25
man 232:16	matter' 167:23	246:19 248:15	member 11:10	200:21,25 201:6
243:17	matters 14:9 30:12	meaning 239:22	28:1 29:14 175:3	201:7,13,16,19
management 59:3 79:6 169:13	34:3 44:18 47:15	means 184:23	186:1 228:18	201:19,20,24
	53:7,15,23 54:9	237:10 244:13	245:4	202:11,18,20,24
mandatory 76:4,9 77:8,13	59:7 64:9 65:25	245:17	members 75:3,15	203:7,16,25
Manna 150:20	80:15 104:23	meant 15:24 73:19	78:19 186:12	220:23 221:1
manner 44:22	138:23 139:14	96:2 202:3	202:1	231:20 232:5,20
139:18	186:23 249:22	measure 173:21	memory 6:23 12:4	232:22 233:11,12
manoeuvring	May/June 252:1	measures 75:2,14	28:21 42:8	233:22 239:13
manocuvinig				l
•				

				1 450 273
240:17 251:11,15	37:12 38:17,17	Minister's 12:8	months 86:13	19:18,21 71:23
251:21,25 252:7	42:1 46:18 49:4	29:3 44:9 68:5	136:24 137:5,8	83:16 99:23
252:10,11,15,15	57:16 58:2,8,14	121:4 122:17	199:20	120:19 122:23
252:17,20,22	58:20 63:21 64:2	124:10 128:20	mooted 78:18	124:19 149:18,23
253:1,5,6,16,19	66:25 67:25 68:6	130:7 138:1,12	morning 2:1,18,20	217:12,16 220:15
253:23,25	70:4 83:14 89:8	158:13 185:17	86:20 96:9 97:25	222:23
Messrs 41:20	90:23 91:8 92:1	249:5	103:13 146:21	needed 7:21 34:9
met 19:19 34:25	101:3 113:2,16	ministers 18:6	155:18 179:19	62:3 207:17,20
59:17 60:20 62:8	113:24 114:3	128:24	214:11	212:17 220:17
64:6 95:18	115:18 116:11,12	minute 30:17	Moshe 60:10,11,21	229:19
180:11 256:24	117:2 118:22	98:25	64:10 202:4,11	needs 40:16
method 115:13	121:14 122:23	minutes 45:21	motive 92:15	negative 48:22
159:12	123:4,7,18 124:7	77:19,20 98:16	242:25	neglected 75:1,13
methods 145:25	124:21 125:3,6	100:20 104:12	motorboats 87:13	negotiations 4:8
metropolitan	127:8,21 128:5	148:22 162:21	move 14:11 30:4,4	121:21
18:22 74:8	129:5,8,11,13,21	180:24 250:12,14	74:4 104:8,9	neighbouring
Michael 189:4	129:24 130:8	250:15,25	154:18 237:10	86:17
midday 98:5	131:24 132:1,12	mirror 161:1	moving 185:20	neither 9:15 13:13
middle 19:3	132:24,25 133:17	miscommunicati	murder 15:4 32:18	28:8
<b>Midtown</b> 142:10	135:9 138:14	2:7	11111111111111111111111111111111111111	neve 126:14
migration 127:8	139:24 141:12,19	misconduct 74:8	N	never 8:7,25 12:1
miles 81:12,14,15	153:11,18,22	74:19	name 68:9 209:7	17:14 39:5 64:11
81:18,22,23	154:22 155:3,14	misleading 14:25	210:21 222:22,24	97:6 103:15
82:18,19,21,23	155:22 156:6,14	99:1	248:21	107:7 130:7
87:18,21 88:5	156:20 157:5,10	mismatch 48:15	named 209:8	208:20,23 213:19
89:11,17,19	157:16 158:7,18	missed 71:19	names 74:14,16	218:15 222:17,19
95:22,25 96:8,11	159:2 160:14,17	95:10 171:23	narrative 234:1	246:23
96:16,19 102:18	164:16 165:10,25	172:10 180:3,4	national 19:14	nevertheless 125:2
102:19,19	167:21 168:13,20	mistake 54:14	20:9 23:8 31:25	172:23
military 4:15,20	173:10,12 174:8	120:8	32:22 41:10 49:9	new 200:8,9
5:10	174:12,17,21	mistaken 9:10	132:2,5,18	213:21 223:22
mill 15:17	175:11,14 177:11	misuse 126:16	nationals 93:4	Nick 9:13 99:13
million 207:16	177:17,20 179:6	<b>Mmhmm</b> 60:15	naturally 78:8	101:18,22
mind 13:16 86:5	179:18,20,23	mobile 150:14	<b>nature</b> 129:19	nine 45:21 150:12
89:1 90:8 95:24	180:5,10,20	159:25 179:25	190:19 192:6	150:15 153:17
161:24 165:15	182:12,23 183:8	<b>MOD</b> 4:11 5:7	nautical 81:14,15	154:2,20 160:22
179:23 214:13	183:9,22 184:2	10:25 11:5	81:18,22 89:11	162:21
219:16 222:17	185:1,4,13,22	model 23:8 49:9	89:17,19 96:16	ninth 154:11
227:8 233:5,7,9	189:19 201:11	moment 20:16	96:19	nitty-gritty 16:21
238:20	202:22 214:23	21:16 83:7 84:4	Naval 7:11,12	<b>NMH</b> 197:11
minds 163:9	215:8 216:11,22	87:15 92:20	navigate 57:18	<b>No</b> 32:9
mine 26:1 158:4	216:24 225:7,10	93:23 146:8	nearly 168:7 241:9	<b>No-one</b> 208:8
244:2	226:17,17 227:23	148:12 170:11	necessarily 18:25	nolle 72:2 185:21
minefield 21:7	234:18 237:21	192:9 198:15	40:11 96:5,17	non-lawyers
minister 17:25	238:9 239:4	240:16	100:11 108:13	168:25
26:25 27:18	240:18,21,25	<b>Monday</b> 237:16	207:24 208:4	non-stop 5:5
29:11,13 34:2,22	241:3,5 244:22	money 207:17,20	224:6	noon 180:2
35:5,14,19,25	244:24 255:4	208:22,22 210:6	necessary 54:21	normal 197:12
36:15,18,25	256:4,16,23	month 132:3	209:5 249:10	242:18
			need 1:22 12:4	
		•	•	•

				Page 276
normally 22.0 12	118:20 119:11	145:25 215:5	150.14 15 161.1	22:6 29:21 30:3
normally 32:9,13 North 27:13 28:3,5	120:1,16,18,20	obvious 91:12	159:14,15 161:1 161:13,16,19	52:12,24 54:13
29:4,10 34:23	123:2,8 125:12	121:5 255:3,11	162:6 168:16	67:3 77:21,23
35:2,7,15,20 39:2	125:22 126:1,15	obviously 1:20	178:23 197:12	83:22 104:13
41:19 118:11,15	127:3 205:4,7,13	34:7 41:4 65:21	202:22 225:1,5	109:14 110:1
118:18,23,25	205:15,18,23,25	69:12 78:22	202.22 223.1,3	137:2 138:3
119:3,9,10	206:2,7 207:19	98:11 109:15	228:4 241:1	151:7 161:21
120:19 122:12	207:22 208:2,24	120:5 128:12	255:19	179:13 250:24
120.19 122.12	nuances 83:19	148:19 182:3	officeholder 68:13	251:4 258:3
132:15 133:24	numb 166:25	200:3 210:18	officer 11:23 16:5	omitted 180:21
134:12 141:20	number 18:15,21	214:6 222:6	27:7,9 31:21	183:7
164:5 204:20	24:15 31:17	244:10	75:9,10 84:25	on12 154:15
205:2 206:6,22	34:11 38:16	occasion 22:13	88:17 136:20	once 13:4 26:1
206:24 207:5,17	44:14 68:2 85:11	32:19	148:9 160:25	85:15 132:20
208:23,23 209:21	105:13 124:9	occasions 44:14	162:5 173:22	140:20 194:2
210:1,24 211:19	128:21 138:18	57:24 138:18	191:15,16,20	207:22 208:2
214:18 215:2,18	139:9,25 140:15	139:9,25 140:5	officer's 74:14	219:5 228:11
216:1 218:24	140:17,24 159:14	140:15,17,19,21	officers 4:17 6:20	230:22 235:11
219:8,15,17	159:14 167:1	140:25 194:1	7:3 10:17 14:15	ones 98:14 253:22
222:6,9 223:2	180:1 188:25	236:19	14:17 16:15 17:9	ongoing 14:22
224:2,3,5 227:23	189:2 193:21	occur 102:2 152:4	17:9 18:15,22	93:3 122:11
234:6,11,15,16	194:1 196:25	219:6	22:25 38:15	153:3
234:20 249:21	227:25 230:14	occurred 7:20	47:22 74:9 75:23	onwards 47:1
North's 120:15	248:15	41:17 83:14	76:3,3,8,8 77:7	179:17 253:2
northern 89:22	numbers 105:12	87:17 91:19 98:6	93:25 103:6	<b>Op</b> 20:1,3 22:24
note 30:25 71:4	numerous 140:5	160:13 218:15	115:25 142:8	23:1 24:2 26:17
93:2 105:18	140:19,21	October 111:8	152:2 156:8,15	28:4 49:7 74:4
106:11 126:6		190:16 197:6	157:21 161:13	77:16,24 104:1
144:7 233:19	0	odd 39:16 50:10	174:7 183:15	139:22 254:10
notes 30:20 31:2,4	o'clock 87:24,24	207:11	187:7,12 188:5	open 24:7 25:5
31:7 80:19 98:3	88:6,6,7 138:4	off' 133:21	188:11,17,22	26:16 94:12,14
99:15 106:1	258:3	offence 173:23	189:1,5 190:5,20	131:9 132:24
141:14	oath 5:4	241:11	190:23 196:25	135:24 149:17,20
noteworthy	<b>object</b> 133:20	offences 74:19	228:10 255:7	opened 101:14
158:21	162:8	126:16	offices 7:10,12	opening 227:11
notice 67:19 68:23	objected 162:9	offenders' 183:16	142:9,22 226:12	operate 4:7
69:1 109:20	objection 142:25	offer 51:10 64:12	254:23	operation 41:17
146:3,14 237:18	163:11	191:19 249:6,16	official 168:21	45:5 107:1,4,18
250:18	obligations 160:10	offered 2:10 58:10	189:2 212:8	107:23 108:7
<b>noticed</b> 77:17 97:2	210:8	58:16 149:17,20	officials 4:15,21	166:1,10 185:21
notified 12:13	obscure 76:25	191:6,9 204:14	5:10 18:2 34:9	operational 15:20
notify 103:25	obstructed 4:20	229:24	165:22	15:21,24,25
notifying 121:11	obstructing 5:11	offering 188:21	<b>Oh</b> 36:23 44:10	20:18 23:1 53:7
Notwithstanding	<b>obtain</b> 7:21 62:22	office 7:13 34:8,10	53:22 162:15	53:15,17,23 54:8
204:4	119:11 120:19	38:16 48:2 71:7	163:19 171:15	opine 177:23
November 112:18	148:21 254:24	142:12 144:15,17	193:21 215:23	opinion 217:16
196:14,22 197:15	obtained 142:18	149:9,16 152:1	242:20	opportune 192:9
<b>NSCIS</b> 36:3 41:11	142:23 168:20	154:15 156:16	Ok 180:5,7	opportunity
41:23 70:19	214:17 257:1	157:19,22 159:6	okay 1:25 4:2 9:19	105:21 109:19
	obtaining 43:6			
	ı	1	1	1

				Page 277
121.10 125.12	20.12	156.1.10.17		222.6.222.0
131:18 135:13 192:5 249:16	ownership 28:13	156:1,12,17	parts 84:14 119:14	222:6 223:8
	70:15,18,23	158:14 160:14	205:6,10	224:1,18 231:21 231:25 232:24
opposite 39:20 91:21 99:12	71:10,20 73:8,21 211:19	163:20 167:9,25	party 55:16 153:6	235:3
		183:15 184:19	216:22 226:2 234:18	Perfect 179:25
opposition 29:14	owning 29:10	185:2 187:19,25		
orally 49:14 order 22:14 74:21	P	190:14,15 197:7	passage 137:25	perfectly 187:3 229:18
123:20 145:23	package 19:24,25	paragraphs 70:12 105:17 125:23	passed 215:20 240:17	
146:3 153:1	84:7 96:6	130:10,22 131:3	passing 155:17	performance 210:19
159:21 163:13	packed 252:14	pardon 107:7	Paul 53:14 87:2	period 123:10,11
175:5 225:4	paedophile 6:4	part 1:19 7:8,20	91:18 142:7	123:12,15 160:3
228:15,20 229:11	page 44:10 56:5	13:12 14:7 16:3	pause 110:6	202:21,23 210:15
230:22 249:5	68:19 69:3 105:6	16:10 17:21 18:2	240:18,23	237:13,17 251:25
orders 74:20,25	105:8,13 111:5,7	50:25 51:18	pausing 162:24	252:8,13
75:13 76:4,9	111:21 120:25	64:18 80:12	pay 188:9,12 189:2	periodically 16:7
77:8,13 174:22	123:23,25 124:11	85:21 91:21 94:2	PC 74:18 75:10	permission 76:11
188:10	125:18 135:20	94:2,4 98:18,21	PCB 101:9	76:19 229:2
ordinary 48:19	136:1 145:12	108:23 119:16,17	pending 80:5	230:23 250:8
128:15,25 129:8	147:4 149:5	121:20 149:2	Peninsular 87:20	permitted 24:17
organised 19:4	150:6 151:9	156:18	pension 173:19,20	persistent 4:9
187:15	152:22 153:15	parte 137:5	Penultimate 45:13	person 5:20 6:1
orientation 30:21	154:6,19,20	particular 51:20	people 16:1 19:5	34:16 39:24 40:2
original 194:19,23	162:13 167:12	104:3 163:10,11	20:12 26:19 52:4	40:12 42:5,10
196:7 197:17,22	169:23 171:14	164:20 182:15	79:22 127:19	44:16 45:1,9
197:23 204:4	172:2,3,4,5	193:3 202:20	128:6,15,18,20	46:10,20 59:6
originated 105:25	173:15 176:2	particularly 47:22	128:25 129:8,21	68:3 70:2 95:14
Os 173:21	180:4,21 183:10	52:5 115:19	141:24 157:11	125:12 135:3,4,6
ought 11:3	183:11,11 184:20	135:2	167:5 181:22	138:20 139:11
outage 25:9,10	190:10,12 196:18	particulars 78:6	189:12 195:3	140:23 141:1,2
outages 25:8	196:19,21 197:2	parties 122:8	196:12 200:1	141:19 150:2
outcome 10:8	197:5 239:12	219:21	201:8,16,22	166:20 187:16
outgoing 159:25	pandemic 49:20	partly 213:15	202:21 204:14	188:21 213:6,8
outside 74:16	155:21	partner 42:3 60:13	214:7 224:7	213:24 215:21
78:20 89:10 90:2	Pannick 5:15	128:23 129:11	225:6 226:11	243:17 249:12
90:6 91:20	papers 106:23,25	209:17 211:13,14	229:7 233:19	personal 66:25
101:21 103:8	107:5,10,11	213:10 214:23	235:18 238:3	113:22 121:4
202:2	164:23 244:19	221:22 222:24	239:22 248:11	122:17 127:7
over-thinking	paragraph 3:1,7	227:21 235:2	251:18 252:3	142:25 149:17
90:20	6:18 7:4 41:3	248:13	<b>people's</b> 226:12	183:16 186:22
overall 9:22	44:9,12 45:3,13	partner's 211:25	percent 44:6	214:7 228:9
overnight 2:22	52:6,22,25 56:6	partners 27:13	Perez 27:5 34:4	personalities 65:7
overseeing 17:9	70:13,16 71:6	29:15 41:25	35:1,6 41:21	personally 127:14
oversight 20:23	72:7,8,14 73:2	187:1 204:1	45:18 118:16	129:22 130:2
119:25	113:3,14,15,15	209:2,6,18,22	119:5 121:16	personnel 11:1
overview 16:20	113:18 125:17	210:20,22 211:10	123:4 132:23	17:11 78:4
owned 28:16 164:4	126:7 130:17	211:16,20,21	137:19 151:13	persons 14:8 45:6
owner 215:1,2	132:10 133:10,20	212:14,24 213:16	205:1 206:4	79:23 185:1
owners 28:18	138:13,16 142:5	214:4 224:8	210:12 219:4	perspective 84:17
71:14	143:6 145:5,11 152:24 155:10	235:6 239:10	220:2 221:14	persuading 150:13
	132.24 133:10			

				1 486 270
150:16	161:17 180:8	112:25 120:7	33:2,13 34:10	position 20:20
pertinent 66:16,18	picture 5:24	123:19 124:1	40:8 48:15 51:22	37:20 45:25
peruse 66:20	pieces 129:23	130:9 131:13	59:4 62:4 63:5,8	85:12,13,14,18
perused 66:23	pink 81:7 83:3	133:7 135:14,22	63:13 74:8 75:8	88:3 89:18 98:9
67:1	piping 95:9	142:1 143:14	75:20 80:2 84:24	121:15 126:18
pervert 5:12	place 10:24 34:12	145:4 146:18	87:13 100:16,17	127:14 159:17
Peter 1:3,12,17	41:7 48:4 79:9	148:23 150:7	101:10 103:6	168:19 170:8
21:2,9,12 22:2,12	87:6 89:10,14	151:3 152:13,23	105:10 115:25	178:6 181:8
24:5,10,25 37:15	90:2 93:6,10,19	155:9,24 158:13	116:8,13,15	184:23 213:13
61:22 65:1 69:25	93:22 101:20,24	161:5 162:11	126:14 135:16	possessing 3:11
97:9 130:6	105:19 126:6	163:15 167:9,11	139:20 142:9	possession 174:23
<b>PG</b> 124:19	135:15 157:1	169:3 171:10	147:13,25 152:21	174:24
<b>phone</b> 61:10	160:15 161:4,13	173:9 175:17	153:2,3,7 154:14	possibility 21:18
116:13,17,22,23	188:25 189:2	179:3 183:3	157:21 158:25	122:7 219:14
117:2 122:22	198:24 212:12	184:16 190:9	161:13 169:10	possible 31:24
144:5,13 147:16	placed 181:8	196:17 237:3	173:22 174:1,3,5	32:25 84:23
148:4 150:21	<b>places</b> 119:14	239:12 245:9	174:7,9,13,21,23	86:11 205:7
198:12,23 199:5	155:20 156:22,24	251:13	174:25 175:9	250:19
199:9,20 200:17	157:1,3 159:19	plectrum 81:6	176:19 177:2,6	possibly 63:15
200:18,18 232:14	196:5,7 227:11	plotted/87:7	182:13 184:8,24	109:10,10 177:4
251:22,24 252:13	placing 188:9	<b>PMB</b> 87:10,12	185:4 188:3,5	post 13:11,23
252:23 253:11,13	plain 15:25 23:2	podium 95:3	194:20 195:2	26:24 33:14
phones 161:2	<b>plainly</b> 206:25	point 25:1 35:3	196:8,25 197:9	191:6
183:16	plan 23:13 34:6	36:12 37:10	198:18 209:24	post- 53:16 74:10
<b>phrase</b> 140:22	126:15 215:4	38:24 55:19 62:7	221:15 222:7	posture 94:17
141:2,5 215:22	planning 122:24	64:3 66:4 67:11	224:21 225:11	potential 28:5
physically 146:9	plans 35:1	67:12 70:1,5	227:19 228:5,19	48:15 67:6 86:6
Picardo 12:11	platform 20:9	86:19 87:15,19	231:6,14 232:2	122:13 213:21
27:17 28:8,14	28:16 32:22	87:19,25 89:4,21	232:17,18,22	217:4,5,6,7 218:2
33:9 37:7 38:6	36:20 41:23	92:12 95:9 99:19	235:10,18 236:18	potentially 26:19
38:25 39:7 44:25	70:19,24 71:11	100:1 125:13	237:13 238:4,18	27:11 30:22
45:4,8,14 54:16	71:20 73:8,22	132:6 134:14	238:18,21 242:7	40:22 42:5 55:25
54:18 101:13	118:20 121:12	135:5 144:10,23	243:19 245:7,17	68:11 215:9
113:16 180:7	125:22 126:15	153:16 169:24	245:21,22 246:4	power 71:22
209:11 211:6	platform' 41:12	180:3 181:6	246:9 249:20	150:23 174:22
219:23 220:4	play 16:3 48:3	186:12 230:19	254:23 255:6	232:4 248:17,19
221:8 235:9	118:22	231:1 256:22	257:2	powerful 248:5,7,8
236:16,20,23	playing 173:5	pointed 149:16	policing 10:21	248:16
239:15 240:3,8	please 2:23 6:17	pointing 27:24	18:8,23	powers 4:6 12:19
240:11 251:11,16	10:14 33:5,17	points 3:1 4:1 5:23	policy 160:9	182:13,16 184:8
252:7	39:25 41:2,15	police 3:13 4:3,5	polite 143:4	238:21
Picardo's 39:11	44:10 45:3,12,13	4:16,24 5:11 6:9	political 19:2	PR 91:18
57:2 209:13,16	53:8 54:14 55:21	6:12,20 8:6,13,16	113:22	practical 165:3
pick 83:7 145:6	58:24 60:6 62:13	8:22 9:6,14,23	politically 128:1	practice 49:15,25
173:12	65:14 69:4,6	11:11,19,23	248:20	50:17 51:15
picked 63:7	70:14 71:4 74:5	14:12 16:20 18:9	politics 213:6	112:17 113:21
176:21 237:5	86:25 89:7 105:5	18:13,15,16,18	populated 213:16	183:17 244:8,9
<b>picking</b> 53:12 65:15 136:1	110:4 111:7,18	19:10,11,17	ports 103:7	practices 16:14
05.15 150:1	111:23 112:8,19	28:22,23 32:14	Portuguese 93:5	17:2,5 188:7
	l		1	

				1 480 217
practised 166:25	priorities 78:2,3	professional	243:20 244:15	publicly 129:17
pre- 196:5	priority 247:5	114:22 115:8	prosequi 72:2	254:9,12,15,22
pre-interview 69:7	private 25:22 26:9	116:9 127:7	185:21	255:9,14,20
69:14 126:7	131:12 160:5	142:24 148:9	prosper 119:7	256:2,8
precise 159:12	235:21,25 236:21	190:1	protect 68:12	published 180:22
160:18	255:15	professionally	protected 246:6	252:18
precisely 26:13	privately 257:1	115:10	Protection 160:11	purgatory 40:15
prefer 113:9	privilege 116:10	profitability	188:15 189:6	40:21
179:15	190:2 192:11,21	206:24 207:3,4	191:2,7,17	purpose 41:21
preferred 162:9	privileged 136:5	profitable 120:20	protocol 213:3	112:3 181:16
preliminary 42:22	136:13 164:12,14	207:21,23 208:10	250:17	228:25 249:4
premises 142:13	229:6 230:1,12	210:1	proved 4:9	purposes 7:13
prepared 106:8,9	240:15,19	programme	provide 51:7	112:10
118:5 138:14	privileges 151:11	208:12,19	131:13 158:19	pursue 21:25
165:24	probable 102:2	progress 35:21	160:7 193:17	25:19 26:6
present 5:4 31:8	probably 2:6	70:17 71:3 101:6	201:15 204:7,13	pursuing 55:19,20
59:16 71:8 72:20	15:11 18:14,21	101:18	205:18 246:24	pursuit 84:9,13
99:22 105:20	38:18 68:15	progressing 71:10	provided 8:5	94:5
109:1 129:24	69:25 73:19	progression 61:6	49:13 57:15	purview 134:25
130:6	77:19 88:18	project 127:13,15	117:16 152:25	put 13:15 31:5
presented 73:11	97:16 112:23	projections 206:21	153:4 159:24	33:5 48:23 56:22
Presently 112:21	114:4 116:23	projects 127:17	188:14 189:5,19	68:24 70:7 83:6
preservation	137:22,24 148:22	prominent 35:8	190:19 206:20	88:22 93:16
194:8,9 198:1	148:24 149:21	promotion 13:9	246:20	94:17 99:17
preserve 31:17	157:18 163:3,17	191:15	provider 86:2	123:23 132:2
198:7,8 202:10	247:18	proper 28:24	205:22 206:7	133:3 145:2
233:21	probe 50:13 51:12	166:15 187:3,5	provides 20:10	183:4 189:1
preserved 200:25	probing 101:25	204:15 234:2	180:1	196:17 199:10
201:1,6	probity 10:21	247:18	providing 19:12	217:23 220:19,21
press 93:3 96:25	problem 110:7	properly 73:6	19:14 99:1	239:19
pressed 97:9	123:2 170:7	182:5 246:19	191:13 218:7,12	puts 18:12
pressing 97:10	213:21,23	property 28:19	218:18 219:10	putting 20:11
presumably 15:9	problems 61:14,15	174:23,24 175:4	provision 41:22	<b>Pyle</b> 9:13,16 11:10
28:7 194:2	219:9,22 221:10	175:5,8 197:3	175:1,7 205:4,7,9	11:18 12:11,13
247:25	procedure 65:18	proportionate	206:9	12:18,22 13:3,9
presume 86:4	185:15	10:19	provisional 98:12	92:18 95:18
previous 21:4	proceed 170:13	proposal 212:3	102:8	99:14 100:9
30:24 32:6 62:9	proceedings 9:18	propose 21:24	provisions 229:4	101:11,19 102:13
86:12 113:21	112:4 142:4	257:18,23	229:21,22	103:10,25 108:22
123:23,25 129:5	process 14:1 161:3	proposed 169:21	<b>Provost</b> 3:12 7:12	109:3
172:4,5 184:20	206:13,14,16	proposition	prudent 34:8,12	<b>Pyle's</b> 12:8 95:23
previously 5:2	214:1 230:13	204:21 205:2	<b>public</b> 17:17,18,19	100:12
34:5 115:3 179:5	processes 216:12	206:6 210:24	17:20 25:17	
190:23,24	produced 80:24	211:22	71:24 75:3,16	$\frac{Q}{Q}$
primarily 249:19	production 145:23	prosecution 70:25	86:16 109:20	Q 253:4 256:19
principal 26:24	146:3 163:13	71:22 141:25	165:13 181:21	QC 42:2 63:4,11
34:2 78:11 205:2	225:4 228:15,19	166:21 242:16	186:6,7 235:22	176:19,25
<b>prior</b> 46:11 75:24	229:11 230:21	Prosecutions	243:20 244:15	qualify 85:2
137:11	profession 173:7	142:20 165:13	255:17	quarter 97:1,3,6
				<b>question</b> 3:17,20

				Page 280
10:2 14:7 15:22	226:6,24 227:2,5	247:19	223:3,5,15	142:6 163:23
17:11 21:4 22:8	232:21 243:15	really 16:19 40:10	225:14 251:23	239:19
25:19 32:6 36:15	246:15,19 247:18	51:1 55:15 80:11	recalled 2:16	reference 21:13,14
36:21 37:19 38:3	253:9	118:9 134:16	receive 128:6,16	31:6 43:17 99:6
38:4 42:7 56:18		141:22 150:19	129:9 197:23	99:9 147:17,18
57:22 59:25	R	151:2 169:1	received 7:7 8:7,25	168:2 174:1,6
63:17,17 67:19	Radakin 5:22	222:14 228:5	12:12 13:2 45:16	175:6 181:3,25
70:18,23 78:25	<b>RAF</b> 7:12	250:13	46:25 55:23	188:23 190:15
86:3 88:11 103:9	raise 29:2 104:4	reaped 42:25	130:7 166:2	references 85:11
103:20,22,23	174:16	reason 32:11,12	188:2 190:16	105:12,17
104:6,20 108:15	raised 12:1 29:6,7	45:22 46:2 76:2	194:18 195:23	referred 6:13 9:6
117:11 119:19	30:12 44:17	76:6,7 91:7	203:7 240:11,20	12:5 41:12 84:14
120:1 129:19	48:11 123:17	92:15 94:8	receives 130:4	120:23 146:5
131:20 149:23,24	138:21 139:12	125:24 182:8	receiving 49:16	152:20 162:19
154:1 160:22	142:16 174:9	222:2,16 223:13	129:7,20 240:7	178:2 190:23
177:7,7 193:4	175:11,14,16	242:5	recollection 31:10	246:18 249:14
194:15 196:4	raising 70:15	reasonable 38:3	42:22 55:10	referring 72:9
198:5 217:11	ramifications	77:9	106:16 118:7	96:4 117:22
218:20 219:13	85:24	reasonably 75:6	120:19 122:15	128:11 129:3
221:5 222:15,20	random 158:2	75:18 133:25	140:1,4,7,8	143:10 147:25
227:2,11 230:20	rang 157:6,9,9,11	134:12,14	143:21 159:6,7	150:14 183:23
236:19 237:24	157:12,12,25	reasoning 51:12	recollections 31:4	197:4 244:12
243:11 246:1	158:2,3,3 225:6	reasons 12:7 33:21	recommendation	refers 70:2 95:24
248:22 253:9	225:20,21 226:17	71:24 121:5	74:17 75:8	105:19 140:22
254:7	227:17,25	136:18 201:4	recommended	153:17 167:15
Questioned 2:17	rank 257:19	247:22	77:6	173:17 183:10,14
104:18 110:17	rape 66:24	reassurance	record 99:15	reflected 80:18
192:25 251:7	RCP 99:25	239:16	143:2 159:25	94:19
questions 3:4 8:6	Re-examination	reassured 167:7	recorded 87:7	reflection 39:11
13:12 24:20	248:25	181:1,2,25 182:2	144:20 228:8,9	59:2
25:11,17 37:16	reach 159:13	rebuke 49:6	246:12	reflects 100:24
39:17,22 60:17	reached 139:20	recall 7:17 18:4	recording 51:22	refused 4:4
97:15 104:20	reacted 241:17	44:12 68:4 69:10	144:21,22,25	regard 13:13
106:21,21 109:12	reaction 65:10,11	69:12,12 95:8	145:3	41:17 79:18
138:15 192:10,19	150:9	106:17,18 108:25	recover 193:5,12	116:8 125:21
192:20,21,22	read 3:8,22,25	109:3,6,9 115:19	198:25	regarding 143:17
248:23 249:1,3	5:23 9:11,20	118:7 124:22	red 129:14,15	regards 30:21
249:24 250:9	41:18 55:3 62:23	126:1,10 127:1	183:13 214:11	Regiment 27:8
257:4	97:20 113:17	134:7,16 135:11	255:24,25 256:17	registering 11:18
quick 93:2	125:23 131:18,20	138:17 139:8	256:25	regrettable 1:13
quickly 83:11	162:17 167:4	140:12,20 141:5	redacted 74:15	5:25
97:24 243:15	168:24 233:14	141:10 143:10,11	121:5 131:8,11	regular 256:3
quite 7:23 15:11	236:8 244:19	145:2 155:21	132:19 154:7	regularly 35:19
60:1,4 83:10	246:16 247:6,7	158:20,23 159:2	162:14 171:13,24	regulation 174:6
103:17 120:3	reading 3:23	159:7,11,16,21	171:25	regulations 174:2
121:19,25 150:18	147:15 160:13	160:18 163:3	reduction 173:19	rehabilitate 191:5
160:23 170:11	180:19	165:18,23 168:8	refer 10:11 11:24	reiterate 168:24
191:3 207:25	reads 132:17	180:11 183:20	57:23 65:9 68:18	reiterated 71:17
212:24 214:14	real 101:16	211:10,24 220:19	113:6 129:3	relate 120:18
	realised 44:1			

related 8:13 107:1       32:1 38:22,24       153:3 223:17       responding 153:1       revised 68:17 69:6         141:7 155:20       43:14 44:5 64:4       166:22 187:4       169:9       reward 191:9,11         188:5 210:19       87:5 88:8,10,11       166:22 187:4       responds 147:19       191:17,19         relates 7:4 70:14       120:16       116:21 123:11       168:9,15       response 8:7 21:23       RGP 4:4,10,17,19         relating 20:21       140:16,18 143:23       144:3,7 151:2       186:12,17 189:14       124:16,19 132:14       10:17,22 11:5         186:12,17 189:14       124:16,19 132:14       10:17,22 11:5       10:17,22 11:5
141:7 155:20       43:14 44:5 64:4       representations       169:9       reward 191:9,11         188:5 210:19       87:5 88:8,10,11       166:22 187:4       responds 147:19       191:17,19         relates 7:4 70:14       88:13 106:6       representative       180:23       RG 187:11         120:16       116:21 123:11       168:9,15       response 8:7 21:23       RGP 4:4,10,17,19         relating 20:21       140:16,18 143:23       represented       28:19 60:4 64:15       4:23 5:3,6 8:18         28:3 66:24 76:4       144:3,7 151:2       186:12,17 189:14       124:16,19 132:14       10:17,22 11:5
188:5 210:19       87:5 88:8,10,11       166:22 187:4       responds 147:19       191:17,19         relates 7:4 70:14       120:16       116:21 123:11       168:9,15       responds 147:19       RG 187:11         relating 20:21       140:16,18 143:23       represented       28:19 60:4 64:15       4:23 5:3,6 8:18         28:3 66:24 76:4       144:3,7 151:2       186:12,17 189:14       124:16,19 132:14       10:17,22 11:5
relates 7:4 70:14         88:13 106:6         representative         180:23         RG 187:11           120:16         116:21 123:11         168:9,15         response 8:7 21:23         RGP 4:4,10,17,19           relating 20:21         140:16,18 143:23         represented         28:19 60:4 64:15         4:23 5:3,6 8:18           28:3 66:24 76:4         144:3,7 151:2         186:12,17 189:14         124:16,19 132:14         10:17,22 11:5
120:16       116:21 123:11       168:9,15       response 8:7 21:23       RGP 4:4,10,17,19         relating 20:21       140:16,18 143:23       represented       28:19 60:4 64:15       4:23 5:3,6 8:18         28:3 66:24 76:4       144:3,7 151:2       186:12,17 189:14       124:16,19 132:14       10:17,22 11:5
relating 20:21       140:16,18 143:23       represented       28:19 60:4 64:15       4:23 5:3,6 8:18         28:3 66:24 76:4       144:3,7 151:2       186:12,17 189:14       124:16,19 132:14       10:17,22 11:5
28:3 66:24 76:4
104:23 108:6   156:25 164:19   223:17 224:4,17   133:10,19 135:19   14:15 44:15 46:9
174:2 190:20
relation 22:23 186:5 196:15 238:3 146:16 156:5,13 52:16 53:2,7
31:16 39:2 45:15   220:22 235:8   represents 186:11   163:5 167:14   54:19 61:25
45:17 46:8 68:1 240:7 241:8 <b>reputation</b> 68:12 174:19 178:5 65:24 66:5
68:4 105:2 244:6 75:7,19 188:20 <b>responsibilities</b> 106:24 107:5,9
107:23 118:2,25   remembered   request 2:9 22:13   17:22 18:17   107:17,21,25
135:18 147:22
153:18,22 154:22   remembers 140:14   49:22 131:24   rest 203:8   112:3,10 133:19
155:4,13 182:14   removal 13:23   153:25 184:20   restraint 10:16   133:25 134:3,6,9
186:25 189:14,18   remove 4:13   requested 66:23   restricted 24:18   134:13 137:9
189:24 214:15   removed 218:6,11   142:21 189:1   24:22   138:19 139:10
relations 189:3   reopening 155:19   requests 62:1   restriction 155:7   140:25 141:6
relationship   repeat 236:10   require 33:16   result 70:7 122:14   142:21 146:7,10
113:17,24 114:20
114:22 115:8   repeatedly 249:14   251:19   resulting 75:3,16   151:19 154:24
121:15 128:7   repeats 168:6   requirement 137:6   results 42:25   161:1,2 162:5
132:24   repetitive 117:11   resentment 68:8   retain 36:2 123:7   164:9 166:2
relaying 90:15   replacement 195:5   reservations 170:9   retained 107:20,23   168:16 172:20,20
release 32:15
released 126:14
relented 5:7   200:10,17,18,18   182:4,7 184:5   rethink 38:10   190:25 191:3
relevance 234:15   201:20,21 202:9   resignation 249:6   rethinking 36:11   234:13 243:18
234:19,22 235:1   202:10 203:2,9   resigned 35:16   retire 184:25   254:13,20
relevant 10:17   203:11,17,17,18   104:2   retired 56:23   RGP's 4:11 9:22
115:16 222:10,23   204:5,6,6   <b>resolution</b> 120:13   104:2   133:15 165:5
223:16 224:1   replied 44:19   resolve 4:8 5:6   retirement 13:7,17   RHIB 75:4
226:2 246:22,25   138:23 139:14   57:25   74:11 186:4   <b>Rhoda</b> 5:1
247:8 252:15
253:4,22 254:2,4   replies 136:25   resonates 96:14   retrieve 175:8   190:20
relied 108:12,13   report 7:7 74:6,10   respect 10:22 11:1   return 147:14,15   Richardson 20:23
religious 150:3,20
reload 200:11,11
reluctance 125:13
reluctant 124:15
125:6 reported 32:14 246:15 248:22 196:11,13 198:19 63:18 69:11
rely 47:21 48:7,20   reports 86:1   respond 14:9   reveal 74:15   72:19,25 87:3
85:3 108:10   represent 163:25   48:16 147:24   revealed 33:15   91:18 94:13,19
remain 126:17
197:11 222:25 223:8 responded 59:12 review 47:17 98:2 104:21
remained 94:17 234:6,21 239:7 66:17 101:22 reviewed 9:22 109:7 135:17
remember 15:3         representation         132:8         67:14         136:3,8,9,14,24

				Page 282
142.0 17 142.2 0	rise 21:2 250:3	godby 190.25	saw 125:6 164:22	screen 84:4 113:8
142:8,17 143:3,8 143:24 144:2,24	rise 21:2 230:3 risen 21:9	sadly 180:25 safe 87:15	219:5,19 244:19	120:13 123:24
146:11 147:2,7	rising 131:15		saying 12:18 30:8	120.13 123.24 124:3 130:15
147:11,19 149:6	risk 20:12 25:13	safeguarded 188:13	32:1 49:22 51:14	151:8 154:10,16
,				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
149:12,15 150:7	148:7 208:25	safely 196:8	53:22 55:7,18	162:17 176:14
151:17,25 160:19	209:1	safety 75:1,2,14,15	63:12,22,25 65:8	183:4 192:2
161:20,22 176:17	roads 27:23	77:9,13	87:25 90:14	196:17 220:21
176:25 177:8	Robberies 15:15	salaries 207:24	93:17 95:10 96:9	239:13
179:1 246:17	robustly 48:7	salient 94:9 95:11	96:14 120:17	scroll 2:24 41:14
Richardson's	Rocca 33:10 61:24	Sanchez 26:21	122:9 131:22	scrupulous 217:4
43:20 55:9	62:23 63:7,13,22	31:13 34:6 36:19	132:18 133:2	238:14
145:20 152:6	147:21 177:3	41:13 45:18	135:10 140:13,15	se 15:7
161:15	role 14:11 105:2	137:18 147:18	157:18 159:3	sea 74:10 75:4,16
Ricky 5:1	112:20 118:22,25	148:1 151:14	162:23 167:7	75:22 87:11
right 6:15 7:25 8:3	127:5,11,12,15	233:10	177:1 180:24	108:19
9:21 16:18 22:3	127:18 173:4	sanctioned 7:15	196:4 204:12	seamlessly 199:15
26:15,16,23 27:3	185:3	sanctioning 7:17	235:9 236:17	seaport 18:24
27:15,19 28:21	roles 17:24	Santa 89:12,13,19	251:23 253:10	search 7:6,10 23:4
36:15 43:5 49:10	room 94:7	89:24	says 6:18 7:6 25:1	45:19 46:11
53:24 58:19 61:4	rough 123:20	Santo 119:14	25:3 41:7 44:12	52:14,17 53:3
61:10,13 62:2	141:10	Santos 21:21 22:9	45:4,15,20 46:18	54:5,22,24 57:17
67:21 69:22 73:9	roughly 14:14	25:18,21,24 26:7	52:13 53:20	58:4 130:14
73:16,24,25	82:14 98:6	26:10 69:3,8	55:12 56:5 64:16	135:19 142:11,13
81:13,21 82:13	148:20	83:17 97:13	64:19 65:16,17	142:15,23 143:18
90:24 94:3,4	round 81:5 95:5,6	104:11,18,19	79:15 83:5 90:6	145:22 150:11
96:22,24 98:20	95:7	109:12 110:4,8	93:1 100:16	152:9 159:1
100:15,20 120:16	rounds 18:5	110:12,15,17	101:5 105:10	181:20 182:16
124:9,17 125:2	route 54:11 134:10	130:25 131:17	106:3 113:20	184:2,4,7,9
135:23 146:23	routes 19:4	137:24 138:9	121:6 124:12,13	224:19 227:19
160:21 161:18	row 120:16	139:4 140:6,22	131:21 136:3,7	254:16
163:12 192:4,23	<b>Royal</b> 27:8 75:7,20	144:23 154:16	136:13,14,23,25	searched 151:25
193:7 194:19,20	142:8 153:6	157:15 158:12	138:16 140:19,23	searches 34:7,7
196:9,13 203:7	257:2	192:8,14,17	143:13 145:20	seas 83:4
207:18 213:1	<b>RPG</b> 177:22	200:3 204:19	147:6,13,25	sec 73:14
214:7 217:1	rubbed 139:3	220:22 237:25	149:6 150:8	second 52:25
221:8 222:21	203:20,22	248:25 249:23	152:24 155:15	53:11 54:17
224:15 225:6	rude 237:3	250:3 255:24	156:5 158:20	67:15,17 72:4
242:15 243:10	rule 175:1	257:10,12,17,22	161:20,22 163:4	75:10 83:13
251:13 252:23	rules 76:25	258:1	167:18,24 169:10	97:19 98:1
253:18 254:19	run 15:16 208:10	Santos's 251:2	169:24 171:21	111:14,18 116:16
right-hand 171:11	run-up 30:16	254:8	174:21 176:17,22	116:22,23 117:2
<b>rights</b> 28:19	running 91:15	sat 13:9	176:24 177:7	119:12 124:3
142:25	runway 89:12,13	satellite 20:3	180:2,2 181:2	133:8 145:5,12
rigid 40:8	89:18 91:21	satisfactory 218:8	183:12,15 188:1	147:10 155:25
ring 128:21 157:24		218:13	190:16 197:1,7	156:4 167:8,11
225:19 226:21	<u>S</u>	satisfied 84:22	239:18 240:4	184:17 197:1,20
227:21	sabotage 20:9	103:8	245:13,19,20	198:24 203:13
ringing 158:6,6	21:14 125:22,25	satisfy 16:11	scenario 173:5	223:6 257:23
226:16 227:9	126:3,6,15,19	save 78:10	scenes 13:22 14:6	secretary 5:16
	127:3			
	-	-	•	-

				1 age 203
9:12 26:25 27:15	seeking 145:22	15:10,13 26:18	223:19	silk 256:20
29:11 34:2 101:8	164:13	32:17 33:15	shareholding	similar 86:14
110:12 160:5	seemingly 155:17	41:16 51:16	209:21,23	149:2 168:18
213:7,9	seen 69:13 126:22	66:14,20 67:14	shares 42:1	simple 116:12
section 12:19	126:24 127:1	86:16 173:25	sharing 77:17	122:18 208:1
62:16 76:17	135:8 178:1	seriousness 20:6	sharp 60:4,5 244:7	229:14
125:20 138:13,16	183:21 192:6	20:15 21:14 24:1	244:9	simply 25:2 71:23
147:10 158:15	232:25 253:12	24:16	sheet 124:4,4	single 195:25
174:21 185:14	sees 240:4	servant 26:23	shift 61:12	sir 1:3,12,13,14,17
secure 118:19	seize 4:23	41:14 189:11	shock 56:15	2:2 5:16,21 21:2
207:18 209:20	seized 42:23	served 28:21	shocked 149:24	21:9,12 22:1,12
secured 208:24	198:18	228:19	150:5 158:1	24:5,10,25 31:6
209:22	seldom 114:6	service 18:13	shocking 57:5	37:15 47:18 50:4
securing 7:14	send 121:6 122:16	19:12,13 41:23	149:25 180:24	61:22 65:1 69:22
security 3:13 20:9	122:25 240:5	218:8,13,19	short 103:23 104:6	69:25 82:22 97:9
20:10 32:1,22	sending 69:10	219:10	104:15 110:6	110:4 128:23
41:10 132:2,6,18	sends 91:7 99:15	serviceman 3:10	138:7 192:15	129:4,25 130:5,5
see 12:5 36:14	173:12 183:9	3:15 4:12 5:8	250:5	130:8,24 138:5
53:22 60:10 68:2	senior 4:14,17,20	Services 3:13 4:3,5	shortly 12:13	130.8,24 138.3
69:6 70:6 81:4	5:1,10 22:25	4:24	shot 81:17,19	144:15 157:25
95:12 98:15	26:22 27:1,2	session 10:3 24:8	show 62:12 66:18	158:11 161:23
124:15 127:11	31:20 42:3 59:3	25:5 26:9 131:9	74:5 86:24 87:18	192:23 208:11
136:5 137:21	81:2 88:16	set 3:6 16:13 35:1	99:13 126:23	212:20,22 214:12
145:9 146:24	248:13	35:20 40:10	132:22 161:19,21	214:16 218:20
147:8 149:18	sensible 2:11	101:5 131:1,3	178:9 191:23	250:1 257:16
151:7 161:19	22:18 192:13	133:11,19 230:4	214:7 240:16	sits 81:2
162:1 167:1,3	sensitive 26:18	256:10,19	242:14	<b>situation</b> 4:9 48:19
168:5 169:7	sensitive 20.10	setting 12:6 29:3	showed 59:22	49:20 79:7
171:20 173:4,14	sensitivity 27:21	34:23 118:23	220:22 237:19	128:17 163:12
178:15 179:15	27:25 30:10	settlement 122:7	showing 226:14	216:6 234:15
181:12 184:19	31:16	122:13	showing 220.11 shown 10:16 72:4	six 47:2 65:15
187:3 189:21	sent 12:11 45:14	seven 88:6 102:19	80:20,21 245:10	67:17 87:24 88:6
190:6,8 192:5	49:8 59:10 67:20	250:18	252:19	89:11 95:21,25
193:24 194:14	69:6,17 71:4	severely 20:11	shows 146:7	96:7,11,12,13,16
195:22 196:21	121:13 122:11,20	shape 13:15 81:5	shred 146:4	162:12 167:12
197:4 198:22	164:8 192:3,4	shape 13:13 01:3	shy 171:8	size 18:13
213:23 219:1	194:18 195:23	165:25 166:10,16	sic 53:10	skipped 120:8
222:23 229:19,19	196:1 203:7	177:21	sick 188:7	slight 204:19
229:20 232:3,22	209:23 220:20	shared 29:16	sickness 188:8	slightest 20:14
234:1,22 235:4	221:1 240:4	33:19,24 166:6	side 91:20 171:8	slightly 124:24
238:12 239:14	sentence 59:22	167:5	217:9,15,18	slippage 2:13
242:16 244:6	113:19 145:7,15	shareholder	226:2,11 233:1	small 18:11 124:19
246:11 247:19	152:23 170:24	127:23 219:7	sign 51:23 124:24	190:24 209:20
248:2 251:24	175:25 176:1	222:6,9 223:2	124:25 161:25	215:1
253:2,4 254:1	September 121:13	224:1,4,7 227:22	signature 111:6,21	smaller 18:15
seeing 118:10	123:18 124:8	234:6,11,20	signed 161:24	smallest 18:19
165:23 179:4	Sergeant 105:6	shareholders	significant 194:12	smallholding
seek 67:22 104:22	257:18	127:13 211:2	198:4 203:25	209:20
106:8,9 212:16	serious 13:21	219:11 220:8	206:25	<b>Smith</b> 74:7
<u> </u>				
	I	I	I	I

				1 486 201
smuggling 19:5,5	63:23	63:15,23 92:13	187:19,21 188:1	stuff 64:24,25 65:4
86:14	sounds 88:14	98:12 177:5	190:13 191:13	65:10
<b>SO15</b> 19:21	125:4	193:21 194:1	193:17	style 16:5 18:23
sold 65:12 167:23	<b>source</b> 176:6	square 94:15,16	statements 44:9	stymied 120:6
solely 6:21	235:22,22,25	staff 5:21	110:21,22 186:13	subcommittee
solicitors 246:19	236:21	stage 121:24 125:9	187:17 189:20	8:17 9:13
somebody 128:8	sources 186:7	131:11 145:2	station 7:13	<b>subject</b> 13:13 59:8
128:10 197:24	<b>South</b> 87:22,23	151:22 196:23	221:15	142:24 229:4
204:22 215:12	southern 89:23	215:24 230:11	status 39:24 40:2	231:14 254:16
223:7 224:17	<b>Spain</b> 82:20	stake 164:4 207:2	40:12 42:11,16	subjected 188:6
son 60:13 62:9	Spanish 65:1	207:5 209:19	46:19 61:18	subjects 193:2
164:23 201:25	76:11,18 82:9,12	211:23	statutory 175:1	submitted 72:6
202:11	82:25 90:4 93:4	stand 54:12 143:5	stay 2:8 72:3	106:22
sons 202:4,5,5,6	95:22 96:1,8,11	168:19 185:2	109:16 154:20	subsequent 9:4
soon 21:22 102:1	96:17,20 99:22	standards 183:18	staying 65:13	134:8 191:4
120:4 209:24	102:9	standing 74:21	steer 131:9	subsequently 5:11
sooner 33:18	speak 58:20 114:5	76:4,9 77:8,13	step 72:21 151:20	108:21 126:22
sorry 3:18 17:3	114:8,9,10,23	95:3	219:3,20	substantial 119:3
24:6 36:23 44:10	115:24 134:1,13	start 2:23 78:3	steps 31:17	120:17
44:11 52:9,10,18	179:19 193:23	87:12 97:19	stone 16:13,14	succeed 119:9,19
52:20 54:14	219:23 225:11	139:7 223:23	stop 21:22 24:23	120:3,4
57:23 61:22	237:23 238:5	started 43:21	25:15 71:9 73:7	successful 119:22
64:17,20 77:11	<b>SPEAKER</b> 131:15	61:15 195:5	232:4	214:2
80:1,25 82:20	speaking 94:23	starting 219:6	story 171:9	sufficient 58:17
87:25 97:7,13	155:7,19 159:16	starts 145:8,16	straight 2:9 64:5	67:9
101:15 106:7	236:16	state 5:16 65:23	104:10	suggest 21:3 25:24
110:21 113:4	special 151:11	137:11 165:8	strands 78:10	73:1 215:5 248:3
117:14 120:7	specialists 193:10	173:24 221:3	strategic 18:12	suggested 44:15
124:23 129:4	194:7	249:13	19:15 20:16,19	71:13 73:7,12
130:15,16 131:5	specially 166:24	<b>stated</b> 41:8 68:19	20:20,21,23	78:19 102:9
134:16 141:13	specific 24:17	statement 5:18	stray 25:3,4	138:19 139:10
146:20 154:2,5,8	30:21	6:17 52:9,11,13	148:19	141:1 191:8
163:16 171:11,24	specifically 106:5	55:4,13 64:15	straying 67:10	242:19
172:4 175:20	119:3 143:17	70:14 72:8,15	stream 21:23	suggesting 71:9
176:8 178:8,10	227:18 238:19	93:16 110:24	stressed 99:23	94:12 106:14
179:12,14,18	spectrum 89:5	111:2,6,10,15,18	stretch 2:21	177:12
183:5,11 187:9	speculate 56:10	112:2,9,13 113:1	strike 50:9	suggestion 62:21
190:15 212:18	speech 103:23	113:2 117:13	strong 48:10,20	suggests 53:20
236:11 237:7	spell 223:25	125:16,18 126:24	67:4,5,8 68:8	63:15,23 89:10
253:8 255:13	spent 50:1 231:16	133:8,14 135:9	103:15 142:25	90:2,12 92:5
sort 12:15 15:15	spill 86:18	135:12,25 138:1	strongly 50:24	177:4
17:16,21 76:25	split 123:5	138:12,14 142:3	54:4,21,23 56:24	sum 210:13
82:23 93:16	spoke 44:17	145:5,12 151:21	57:17 58:3 126:5	summarise 73:5
94:21 139:3	138:22 139:13	155:10,25 156:1	188:23	summarised 78:8
224:8 246:6	144:4 158:22,24	156:4,12 158:14	structure 187:16	summary 3:7,22
sought 53:5 134:4	159:12 194:2	163:16,17,20	structure's 29:9	Sunday 180:16,16
153:20 228:25	238:23 240:22	167:9,10,11,25	structures 30:5	180:19
250:8	256:24	168:2 177:14	struggling 134:19	superintendence
sound 3:17,19	<b>spoken</b> 54:18 59:2	178:5 184:17	study 149:19,21	16:11,17,24
		l	<u> </u>	l

				Page 263
31:22	suspect 10:12	143:17,19,24	137:15 150:1	69:9 70:11 104:5
superintendent	61:18 104:11	168:17 175:9	157:6,10 193:24	106:20 109:12,14
8:14,23 62:19	106:11 125:24	182:17 195:2	201:18 202:18	109:17,17,20
63:18 66:23	134:1,20,22	198:14 208:25	206:25 211:8,15	110:1,4 111:9,13
72:19 74:7 87:2	137:15 143:20	takes 231:9,10	221:8 222:3	111:23 112:13,16
104:21 105:11	151:22 177:22	talk 24:20 134:9	239:21 240:24	113:13 118:13
109:6 135:16	228:13,13 254:10	141:23 167:3	251:13	119:18 130:24
136:3,7,9,24	suspected 3:11 6:2	168:22 243:17	telling 36:3 64:13	138:5 139:7
142:7,17 143:2,8	6:4 41:10,21	talking 15:7 17:18	227:9 236:10	151:8 165:25
143:23 144:1,24	87:5 188:4	20:8 64:23,25	ten 18:21 97:14	167:13 192:14
146:12 147:6,11	suspects 26:22	65:4,5,10 84:6	98:16,25 100:19	204:17 237:12
147:19 149:6,12	34:1 41:13 42:4	98:13 125:9	114:16 250:12,15	248:24 249:9
149:15 150:7	44:4 70:25	130:2 141:22	250:16,25	257:5,6,7,9,10,16
151:17,25 160:19	suspicion 60:23	194:7 248:18	ten-and-a-half	258:4
161:20,22 176:17	156:18	tampering 125:21	219:7	thanks 81:1 93:1
176:25 177:8	suspicious 221:7	125:25 126:2,6	tend 40:5	174:20 179:21,25
178:22,25	sustained 8:21	tape 246:12	tender 132:3 133:4	180:25
superior 99:16	Sworn 110:16	taped 244:6,10	206:9,18 216:8	theory 94:2 98:18
superiors 95:19	system 32:1 41:11	tapers 82:24	216:10,11,12,15	99:5 100:12
support 48:25	74:24 75:12 76:5	tarnish 188:19	216:17,18,25	they'd 143:24
50:24 51:19 62:3	123:8,13 126:1	tasked 18:17	tendered 206:11	thick 124:16
130:13 146:4	132:2,19 212:6	TC 126:3,13	tendering 206:13	thing 15:15 82:2
183:14	221:11	te 179:24	206:14,16	99:12 105:4
supporter 113:21		teacher 65:19	tenor 61:2	122:2 144:1
supporting 29:10	T	team 23:5,24	tensions 5:5	149:20 165:1
39:4	tab 110:23 111:14	43:11 48:13 59:3	term 133:21	169:13 216:9
suppose 32:5	table 95:6,7	70:20 105:24	terms 17:7 18:15	236:13
252:13	120:10,18	109:18 124:24	19:4 20:14 28:23	things 16:9,12,20
supposedly 65:5	take 8:10 10:23	226:3	30:2 43:2 51:22	21:16 39:18
sure 1:22 22:4	12:4 13:7,17	team's 43:19	68:12 76:23	61:13 72:5 76:20
31:8 44:19,21	22:25 55:11 67:9	technical 116:17	83:24,25 84:10	79:8 122:19
46:15 57:6 60:12	69:24 71:3 75:1	160:25	85:7 88:19 89:2	128:22 166:21
81:6,24 85:3,5,6	75:14 77:9 81:24	technician 116:15	106:2,4,23	177:25 212:7
85:9,22 93:5,9,9	81:25 83:10	161:3	119:21 127:5	226:14 228:20
93:18,22 99:10	97:23 107:9	telephone 158:23	135:4 191:3	235:17,20 242:23
102:21 108:14,17	120:7,24 132:8	159:25 184:22	terrible 122:4	243:6 247:9
112:4 113:10	135:12 137:25	194:19,23 195:5	territorial 18:25	think 1:9,10,12 2:6
127:25 138:24	138:11 148:21	195:19,22 196:7	74:22 76:10	5:17 9:10 10:7
139:15,17 179:20	149:13 161:3	197:17,21 199:3	78:21 81:8	13:1 15:23 21:21
211:7,11,12	175:25 178:10	199:11,13,14	territory 18:11	22:10,17 25:16
218:4,25 227:2	179:3 192:18	200:12,25 201:21	80:7 82:15	25:18,21 26:11
232:9 239:19	196:16 207:2,5 208:8 211:22	202:9,10 203:3	tested 208:20	27:5 28:22 38:2
surprise 56:6,15	212:3 233:21	203:17,18 204:4	text 54:15 57:7,10	38:9 39:16 43:7
176:2 180:25	238:9 239:17	204:5,6 231:7,19	60:6 91:6 123:20	43:20,25 46:13
surprised 141:8	250:12 257:25	242:9,11 243:9	251:10	46:14 49:24
238:23 240:10	taken 16:1 23:5,14	243:12	texted 35:14 92:1	53:13 54:2 55:14
247:11	68:6 78:23 100:9	telephones 204:18	texting 90:23	56:14 58:19 61:4
surprising 57:4	100:10 116:13,25	tell 59:16 61:1	thank 1:24 2:3,21	63:1 64:15 66:16
surviving 78:19	126:6 143:14,15	65:11 102:17	52:2 54:15 69:7	66:17,22 67:4,11
	120.0 1 13.1 1,13	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

161:12 169:6   168:20 70:12 72:9   241:23,24 242:5   31:21 34:13,21   dite 68:10 106:3   166:12 169:6   176:915					Page 280
76:12 78:18 80:18,20 81:11 83:19 84:7,13 83:19 162:19 181:1 162:19 181:1 162:19 181:1 162:19 181:1 162:19 181:1 162:19 181:1 162:19 181:1 162:19 181:1 162:19 181:1 162:19 181:1 162:19 181:1 162:19 181:1 162:19 181:1 162:19 181:1 162:19 181:1 162:19 181:1 162:19 181:1 182:19 181:1 182:19 181:1 182:19 181:1 182:19 181:1 182:19 181:1 182:19 181:1 182:19 181:1 182:19 181:1 182:19 1	69.20 70.12 72.0	241.22.24.242.5	21.21.24.12.21	timaloggly 102.2	161.12 160.6
8018.20 81:11				· ·	
881:18 82:19		· ·			·
83:19 84:7,13  85:25 88:16  25:113 253:6  95:22 57:19 58:2  104:7 108:12,13  258:12  258:12  258:12  258:12  258:12  258:12  258:12  270:18 77:18  73:14 90:19  78:10 80:25  117:6 126:13  138:12,13 153:5  129:23 130:25  138:12,13 153:5  138:12,13 13:10  138:12,13 153:5  138:12,13 153:5  138:12,13 153:5  138:12,13 153:10  138:12,13 153:10  138:12,13 153:10  138:12,13 153:1  138:12,13 153:10  138:12,10 163:12  138:13 168:10:13  138:12,13 153:1	*		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· ·	_
88:25 88:16         251:13 253:6         256:21 257:25         59:17,19 60:13         57:1,1 58:3,7,9         132:14 201:3         transferred 27:12           104:7 108:12,13         110:15 112:5         thinking 51:1         60:19 63:22         60:19 63:22         73:14 90:19         73:14 90:19         173:14 90:19         173:14 90:19         173:14 90:19         173:14 90:19         173:14 90:19         173:14 90:19         173:14 90:19         173:14 90:19         173:14 90:19         173:14 90:19         173:14 90:19         173:14 90:19         173:14 90:19         173:14 90:19         173:14 183:2         173:14 183:2         173:14 183:2         173:14 183:2         173:14 183:2         173:14 183:2         170:19 178:3,2         173:14 183:2         170:19 178:3,2         173:13 183:2         170:19 178:3,2         173:13 183:2         170:19 178:3,2         2178:23 180:18         123:10         173:14 183:2         170:19 178:3,2         2178:0,3         218:2,4         173:13 183:2         170:19 178:3,2         2178:0,3         2179:19 20:12         173:14 187:19:2         173:14 187:19:2         173:14 187:19:2         173:14 187:19:2         173:14 187:19:2         173:14 187:19:2         173:14 187:19:2         173:14 187:19:2         173:14 187:19:2         173:14 187:19:2         173:14 187:19:2         173:14 187:19:2         173:14 187:2         173:14 187:2         173:14 187:2			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
91:24 103:5 104:7 108:12,13 101:15 112:5 110:15 112:5 110:15 112:5 110:15 112:5 110:15 112:5 115:11 116:9 115:11 116:9 118:13 119:5 120:9.16 121:7 121:121 123:12,20 123:21 126:21 123:21 126:13 123:10 123:10 123:12 123:10 123:12 123:10 123:12 123:10 123:13 127:11,18 123:13 123:12 126:13 123:12 126:13 123:12 126:13 123:10 123:12 126:13 123:10 122:10 123:10 122:10 123:10 122:10 123:10 122:10 123:10 122:10 123:10 122:10 123:10 122:10 123:10 122:10 123:10 122:10 123:10 122:10 123:10 122:10 123:10 122:10 123:10 122:10 123:10 122:10 123:10 122:10 123:10 126:123 123:12 126:123 123:12 126:123 123:12 126:123 123:12 126:123 123:12 126:123 123:12 126:123 123:12 126:123 123:12 1	,				
104:7   108:12,13   258:1,2					
110:15 112:5			,		
115:11 116:9	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
118:13 119:5   202:23 217:3   third 133:13   133:13   133:13   133:12   135:15   135:10   142:11,18   143:24   149:9   150:21   168:15,17   170:19   178:3,22   178:14   187:19,25   104:15   108:2   178:23   180:18   178:24   133:24   143:24   149:9   150:21   168:15,17   170:19   178:3,22   178:24   136:15   135:24   136:15   135:24   136:15   135:24   136:15   135:24   136:15   136:24   136:24		S			S
120:9,16 121:7					_
121:21 123:12,20			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	,	
123:21 126:20	,				O
129:23   130:25   173:14   187:19,25   104:15   108:2   178:23   180:18   180:12   134:14,20   135:28   140:15   136:2   140:15   136:2   140:15   136:2   140:15   136:2   140:15   136:2   140:15   136:2   130:15,6,7   137:13   138:25   139:2   143:14   133:2   143:15   133:2   143:15   133:2   143:15   133:2   143:15   133:23,24   148:25   143:15   146:24   150:9,14   152:17   154:5   156:15   158:15   129:14   224:10,12   160:20   164:25   125:16   158:15   166:20   164:25   165:5   166:15,18   168:22   169:7,12   169:25   170:7,8   246:21   247:8,14   183:20   184:1   179:19,20   179:21   181:1   181:1   182:1   181:1   182:1   181:1   182:1   181:1   182:1   181:1   182:1   183:6,10,25   184:13   186:10,23   187:1,15   188:22   190:19   190:19   190:19   190:15   196:5,7   210:16   233:16   197:21   133:25   111:11,216   141:10   220:33:25   130:25   170:13   130:13   170:13   130:13   170:13   130:13   170:13   130:13   170:13	-			,	
132:4,14 133:2   134:14,20 135:8   135:24 136:15   135:24 136:15   137:23 138:25   139:2 143:14   144:15 146:17,20   146:24 150:9,14   146:24 150:9,14   152:1			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	_
134:14,20 135:8   135:24 136:15   135:24 136:15   136:21 136:15   136:21 136:15   136:21 136:15   136:21 137:23,24 148:25   136:23,24 148:15   137:23,24 148:25   137:23,24 148:25   136:24 150:9,14   181:7 193:20   150:1,18,18   257:11,13,15,17   150:1,		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			, , ,
135:24 136:15   thoroughly 171:7   though 122:1   130:5,6,7 137:21   Tommy 121:6   treading 24:3   treason 173:23   treat 15:12   treated 106:10   150:1,18,18   257:11,13,15,17   treated 106:10   150:1,18   257:1,13,15,17   150:1,18   257:11,18   257:11,18   257:11,18   257:11,18   257:11,18   257:11,18   257:11,18   257:11,18   257:11,18   257:11,18   257:11,18   25	,		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
137:13 138:25	· ·	•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
139:2 143:14				-	S
144:15 146:17,20		0	, ,		
146:24 150:9,14   151:7 193:20   156:7,15,21,25   157:21 158:23   159:63 218:14   219:14 224:10,12   160:20 164:25   225:2 229:14   161:2 163:2   168:22 169:7,12   243:6,14,20   179:21 181:1   170:11 171:5   173:1 175:20   173:1 175:1 175:20   173:1 175:1 175:20   173:1 175			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
152:17 154:5	· ·		· · ·	T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T	
155:6 158:15   619:14 224:10,12   160:18,20,23   161:2 163:2   151:5 196:21   165:5   166:15,18   236:4 241:12   243:6,14,20   179:21 181:1   169:25 170:7,8   246:21 247:8,14   183:20 184:3   153:19 154:3,23   173:11 171:5   173:1 175:20   173:1 175:20   173:1 175:20   173:1 173:1   173:10   173:1 173:10   173:1 173:10   173:1 173:10   173:1 173:10   173:1 173:10   173:1 173:10   173:1 173:10   173:1 173:20   173:1 173:20   173:1 173:20   173:1 173:20   173:1 173:20   173:1 173:20   173:1 173:20   173:1 173:20   173:1 173:12   173:12   173:12   173:12   173:12   173:12   173:12   173:12   173:12   173:13   173:12   173:13   173:12   173:13   173:12   173:13					*
160:20 164:25   225:2 229:14   236:4 241:12   178:18 179:19,20   169:25 170:7,8   246:21 247:8,14   183:20 184:3   153:19 154:3,23   130:25   173:1 175:20   178:4 179:14   183:20 184:3   185:12 193:19   178:4 179:14   183:6,10,25   181:2,14,15,18   181:2,22 82:19   220:9 224:23   184:13 186:10,23   187:1,15 188:22   190:1,9 192:1   193:6 194:16   195:25 196:19   193:6 194:16   195:25 196:19   195:25 196:19   193:6 194:16   195:25 196:19   198:12 202:21   145:20 162:21   145:20 162:21   145:20 162:21   145:20 162:21   180:12 193:9,19   198:6 199:12   180:12 193:9,19   198:12 202:2   248:12   248:12   180:12 193:9,19   198:6 199:12   180:12 193:9,19   198:6 199:12   180:12 193:9,19   198:6 199:12   180:12 193:9,19   198:6 199:12   180:12 193:9,19   198:6 199:12   180:12 193:9,19   198:6 199:12   180:12 193:9,19   198:6 199:12   180:12 193:9,19   198:6 199:12   180:12 193:9,19   198:6 199:12   180:12 193:9,19   198:6 199:12   180:12 193:9,19   198:6 199:12   180:12 193:9,19   180:13 13:12   170:14 180:12 193:9,19   198:6 199:12   180:12 193:9,19   180:13 13:12   170:14 180:13 13:12   170:14 180:13 13:15   170:14 180:13 13:2   170:14 180:13 13:2   170:14 180:13 13:2   170:14 180:13 13:2   170:14 180:13 13:2   170:14 180:13 13:2   170:14 180:13 13:2   170:14 180:13 13:2   170:14 180:14 173:18   170:14 180:14 173:18   170:14 180:14 173:18   170:14 180:14 173:18   170:14 180:14 173:18   170:14 180:15 180:				_	
165:5 166:15,18         236:4 241:12         178:18 179:19,20         topic 26:6,11,16         trial 67:9           168:22 169:7,12         243:6,14,20         179:21 181:1         183:20 184:3         153:19 154:3,23         130:25           170:11 171:5         threatened 47:6         three 33:12 41:12         185:12 193:19         topic 26:6,11,16         67:20,22 153:15         130:25           173:1 175:20         three 33:12 41:12         195:12 202:22         topic 26:6,11,16         67:20,22 153:15         130:25           173:1 175:20         three 33:12 41:12         195:12 202:22         topic 26:6,11,16         67:20,22 153:15         130:25           178:4 179:14         42:4 44:10 53:12         195:12 202:22         topic 26:6,11,16         67:20,22 153:15         130:25           188:11 182:1         81:12,14,15,18         195:12 202:22         204:20 209:17         total 173:18         tried 200:7 237:9         tried 2		· ·	· · ·	*	
168:22 169:7,12         243:6,14,20         179:21 181:1         67:20,22 153:15         Triay 113:5           169:25 170:7,8         246:21 247:8,14         183:20 184:3         185:12 193:19         186:9         tried 200:7 237:9           173:1 175:20         three 33:12 41:12         42:4 44:10 53:12         204:20 209:17         total 173:18         tried 200:7 237:9           181:1 182:1         81:12,14,15,18         204:20 209:17         total 173:18         trivial 51:2         true 11:10,24           183:6,10,25         81:22,22 82:19         220:9 224:23         totally 14:8 165:5         12:13 119:2           184:13 186:10,23         87:18,20 89:17         231:9,10,16,19         167:23 235:4,5         188:18           187:1,15 188:22         89:19 96:19         232:15 233:9,24         238:12 241:13         truly 5:25           190:1,9 192:1         98:23 102:18         234:16 237:9         248:12         trust 212:3 239:10           195:25 196:19         145:20 162:21         180:12 193:9,19         18:21 46:20         trust 212:3 239:10           198:6 199:12         180:12 193:9,19         18:21 46:20         83:10 113:12         trading 210:2         try 13:1 31:17           208:11 27:12,19         253:16         83:10 113:12         training 17:8,9         19:9 76:19,23         98:24					
169:25 170:7,8         246:21 247:8,14         183:20 184:3         153:19 154:3,23         130:25           170:11 171:5         three 33:12 41:12         185:12 193:19         186:9         tried 200:7 237:9           178:4 179:14         42:4 44:10 53:12         204:20 209:17         204:20 209:24:23         204:20 209:24:23         204:20 209:24:23         204:20 209:24:23         204:20 209:24:23         204:20 209:24:23         204:20 209:24:23         204:20 209:24:23         204:20 209			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	_	
170:11 171:5         threatened 47:6         185:12 193:19         186:9         tried 200:7 237:9           173:1 175:20         three 33:12 41:12         195:12 202:22         topics 237:19         triggered 42:21           178:4 179:14         42:4 44:10 53:12         204:20 209:17         total 173:18         trivial 51:2           181:1 182:1         81:12,14,15,18         211:14 214:4         totality 229:25         true 111:10,24           183:6,10,25         81:22,22 82:19         220:9 224:23         totally 14:8 165:5         12:13 119:2           187:1,15 188:22         89:19 96:19         232:15 233:9,24         238:12 241:13         180:12 13 119:2           190:1,9 192:1         98:23 102:18         234:16 237:9         248:12         trust 212:3 239:10           195:25 196:19         145:20 162:21         251:5 255:3,12         touched 233:12         trust 212:3 239:10           198:6 199:12         180:12 193:9,19         180:12 193:9,19         18:21 46:20         83:10 113:12         trusted 20:2         172:4 113:10           201:15 202:7         196:5,7 210:16         83:10 113:12         172:4 188:19         172:4 188:19           219:8,12 222:4         104:12 114:10         143:4         143:4         143:4         143:4           219:8,12 222:4         196:5,7 2				· ·	·
173:1 175:20         three 33:12 41:12         195:12 202:22         topics 237:19         triggered 42:21           178:4 179:14         42:4 44:10 53:12         204:20 209:17         total 173:18         trivial 51:2           181:1 182:1         81:12,14,15,18         211:14 214:4         totality 229:25         true 111:10,24           183:6,10,25         81:22,22 82:19         220:9 224:23         totally 14:8 165:5         112:13 119:2           184:13 186:10,23         87:18,20 89:17         231:9,10,16,19         167:23 235:4,5         188:18           187:1,15 188:22         89:19 96:19         232:15 233:9,24         238:12 241:13         truly 5:25           190:1,9 192:1         98:23 102:18         234:16 237:9         248:12         trust 212:3 239:10           193:6 194:16         104:12 114:10         240:8,12 250:5         touched 233:12         trust 212:3 239:10           198:6 199:12         180:12 193:9,19         18:21 46:20         traffic 160:1         trusted 22:25           201:15 202:7         196:5,7 210:16         83:10 113:12         trained 76:22         29:1           217:21 218:10         throw 63:11 177:1         114:10 143:4         training 17:8,9         19:9 76:19,23         98:24 117:19           222:23 226:10         tight 32:25         tight 32:25	,	· ·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
178:4 179:14       42:4 44:10 53:12       204:20 209:17       total 173:18       trivial 51:2         181:1 182:1       81:12,14,15,18       211:14 214:4       totality 229:25       true 111:10,24         183:6,10,25       81:22,22 82:19       220:9 224:23       totally 14:8 165:5       112:13 119:2         184:13 186:10,23       87:18,20 89:17       231:9,10,16,19       167:23 235:4,5       188:18         187:1,15 188:22       89:19 96:19       232:15 233:9,24       238:12 241:13       truly 5:25         190:1,9 192:1       98:23 102:18       234:16 237:9       248:12       trust 212:3 239:10         193:6 194:16       104:12 114:10       240:8,12 250:5       touched 233:12       trusted 22:25         195:25 196:19       145:20 162:21       251:5 255:3,12       town 86:17       trusted 22:25         198:6 199:12       180:12 193:9,19       18:21 46:20       trading 210:2       p7:24 113:10         201:15 202:7       196:5,7 210:16       83:10 113:12       trained 76:22       172:4 188:19         219:8,12 222:4       Thursday 258:5       158:20 159:23       19:9 76:19,23       p8:24 117:19         222:23 226:10       tight 32:25       193:22 241:16       transcends 21:4       truse 223:4         233:15,6,9 233:4       time 1:6 5:1 6:9					
181:1 182:1         81:12,14,15,18         211:14 214:4         totality 229:25         true 111:10,24           183:6,10,25         81:22,22 82:19         220:9 224:23         14:13 186:10,23         14:13 186:10,23         87:18,20 89:17         231:9,10,16,19         167:23 235:4,5         188:18           187:1,15 188:22         89:19 96:19         232:15 233:9,24         238:12 241:13         248:12         188:18           190:1,9 192:1         98:23 102:18         234:16 237:9         248:12         248:12         141:2:3 239:10           193:6 194:16         104:12 114:10         240:8,12 250:5         251:5 255:3,12         150xm 86:17         150xm 13:10				_	
183:6,10,25       81:22,22 82:19       220:9 224:23       totally 14:8 165:5       112:13 119:2         184:13 186:10,23       87:18,20 89:17       231:9,10,16,19       167:23 235:4,5       188:18         187:1,15 188:22       98:19 96:19       232:15 233:9,24       238:12 241:13       188:18         190:1,9 192:1       98:23 102:18       234:16 237:9       248:12       trust 212:3 239:10         193:6 194:16       104:12 114:10       240:8,12 250:5       touched 233:12       trusted 22:25         198:6 199:12       180:12 193:9,19       times 15:18,19       trading 210:2       try 13:1 31:17         208:11 217:12,19       253:16       83:10 113:12       trained 76:22       172:4 188:19         217:21 218:10       253:16       83:10 113:12       trained 76:22       19:9 76:19,23         222:23 226:10       tight 32:25       193:22 241:16       transcends 21:4       trying 70:9 78:13         227:1,3 230:25       111:11,12,16       p7:9 103:14       transcript 58:16       64:18 101:4       10:23       tune 223:4         233:25 235:21,23       14:13,23 15:3       14:13,23 15:3       14:13,23 15:3       14:6:20 147:1       14:6:20 147:1       14:6:20 147:1					
184:13 186:10,23       87:18,20 89:17       231:9,10,16,19       167:23 235:4,5       188:18         187:1,15 188:22       89:19 96:19       232:15 233:9,24       238:12 241:13       238:12 241:13         190:1,9 192:1       98:23 102:18       234:16 237:9       248:12       trust 212:3 239:10         193:6 194:16       104:12 114:10       240:8,12 250:5       touched 233:12       trusted 22:25         195:25 196:19       145:20 162:21       251:5 255:3,12       town 86:17       trusted 22:25         198:6 199:12       180:12 193:9,19       18:21 46:20       price 160:1       172:4 188:19         201:15 202:7       196:5,7 210:16       83:10 113:12       trained 76:22       172:4 188:19         217:21 218:10       253:16       83:10 113:12       training 17:8,9       19:9 76:19,23       19:9 76:19,23       98:24 117:19         222:23 226:10       227:1,3 230:25       till 116:23       timetable 1:4,22       transcends 21:4       122:3 123:5         231:1,6,9 233:4       time 1:6 5:1 6:9       97:9 103:14       transcribing 98:2       193:24 231:17         236:19 237:3       14:13,23 15:3       tiped 133:15,17       146:20 147:1       tune 223:4				•	,
187:1,15 188:22       89:19 96:19       232:15 233:9,24       238:12 241:13       truly 5:25         190:1,9 192:1       98:23 102:18       234:16 237:9       248:12       trust 212:3 239:10         193:6 194:16       104:12 114:10       240:8,12 250:5       touched 233:12       trusted 22:25         195:25 196:19       145:20 162:21       251:5 255:3,12       town 86:17       try 13:1 31:17         198:6 199:12       180:12 193:9,19       18:21 46:20       trading 210:2       97:24 113:10         201:15 202:7       196:5,7 210:16       253:16       83:10 113:12       trained 76:22       172:4 188:19         217:21 218:10       throw 63:11 177:1       114:10 143:4       training 17:8,9       19:9 76:19,23       19:9 76:19,23       98:24 117:19         222:23 226:10       tight 32:25       193:22 241:16       transcends 21:4       transcribing 98:2       193:24 231:17         231:1,6,9 233:4       time 1:6 5:1 6:9       97:9 103:14       transcript 58:16       Tunbridge 8:15,23         236:19 237:3       14:13,23 15:3       tiped 133:15,17       146:20 147:1       ture 6:18 10:6		-		· ·	
190:1,9 192:1       98:23 102:18       234:16 237:9       248:12       trust 212:3 239:10         193:6 194:16       104:12 114:10       240:8,12 250:5       touched 233:12       trusted 22:25         195:25 196:19       145:20 162:21       251:5 255:3,12       town 86:17       try 13:1 31:17         198:6 199:12       180:12 193:9,19       18:21 46:20       traffic 160:1       172:4 188:19         201:15 202:7       298:11 217:12,19       253:16       83:10 113:12       trained 76:22       172:4 188:19         217:21 218:10       219:8,12 22:4       throw 63:11 177:1       114:10 143:4       training 17:8,9       19:9 76:19,23       19:9 76:19,23       98:24 117:19         222:23 226:10       tight 32:25       193:22 241:16       transcends 21:4       122:3 123:5       193:24 231:17         231:1,6,9 233:4       time 1:6 5:1 6:9       97:9 103:14       transcribing 98:2       193:24 231:17         236:19 237:3       14:13,23 15:3       tipped 133:15,17       146:20 147:1       turn 6:18 10:6		-		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
193:6 194:16       104:12 114:10       240:8,12 250:5       touched 233:12       trusted 22:25         195:25 196:19       145:20 162:21       251:5 255:3,12       town 86:17       try 13:1 31:17         198:6 199:12       180:12 193:9,19       times 15:18,19       trading 210:2       97:24 113:10         201:15 202:7       196:5,7 210:16       83:10 113:12       trained 76:22       172:4 188:19         217:21 218:10       throw 63:11 177:1       114:10 143:4       training 17:8,9       trying 70:9 78:13         219:8,12 222:4       Thursday 258:5       158:20 159:23       19:9 76:19,23       98:24 117:19         222:23 226:10       tight 32:25       193:22 241:16       transcends 21:4       transcends 21:4       transcribing 98:2       193:24 231:17         231:1,6,9 233:4       11:11,12,16       97:9 103:14       tip 87:20 88:1       64:18 101:4       Tunbridge 8:15,23         236:19 237:3       14:13,23 15:3       tipped 133:15,17       146:20 147:1       turn 6:18 10:6	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				_
195:25 196:19       145:20 162:21       251:5 255:3,12       town 86:17       try 13:1 31:17         198:6 199:12       180:12 193:9,19       times 15:18,19       prading 210:2       97:24 113:10         201:15 202:7       196:5,7 210:16       253:16       prading 210:2       prading 210:	,				
198:6 199:12       180:12 193:9,19       times 15:18,19       trading 210:2       97:24 113:10         201:15 202:7       196:5,7 210:16       18:21 46:20       traffic 160:1       172:4 188:19         208:11 217:12,19       253:16       83:10 113:12       trained 76:22       219:1         217:21 218:10       throw 63:11 177:1       114:10 143:4       training 17:8,9       trying 70:9 78:13         219:8,12 222:4       tight 32:25       193:22 241:16       transcends 21:4       122:3 123:5         227:1,3 230:25       till 116:23       timetable 1:4,22       transcribing 98:2       193:24 231:17         231:1,6,9 233:4       11:11,12,16       97:9 103:14       transcript 58:16       Tunbridge 8:15,23         236:19 237:3       14:13,23 15:3       tipped 133:15,17       146:20 147:1       turn 6:18 10:6					
201:15 202:7       196:5,7 210:16       18:21 46:20       traffic 160:1       172:4 188:19         208:11 217:12,19       253:16       83:10 113:12       training 17:8,9       219:1         217:21 218:10       throw 63:11 177:1       114:10 143:4       training 17:8,9       trying 70:9 78:13         219:8,12 222:4       tight 32:25       158:20 159:23       transcends 21:4       122:3 123:5         227:1,3 230:25       till 116:23       timetable 1:4,22       transcribing 98:2       193:24 231:17         231:1,6,9 233:4       time 1:6 5:1 6:9       97:9 103:14       transcript 58:16       Tunbridge 8:15,23         233:25 235:21,23       11:11,12,16       tip 87:20 88:1       64:18 101:4       ture 223:4         236:19 237:3       14:13,23 15:3       tipped 133:15,17       146:20 147:1       turn 6:18 10:6			· /		
208:11 217:12,19       253:16       83:10 113:12       trained 76:22       219:1         217:21 218:10       throw 63:11 177:1       114:10 143:4       training 17:8,9       19:9 76:19,23       98:24 117:19         222:23 226:10       tight 32:25       193:22 241:16       transcends 21:4       122:3 123:5         227:1,3 230:25       till 116:23       timetable 1:4,22       transcribing 98:2       193:24 231:17         231:1,6,9 233:4       time 1:6 5:1 6:9       97:9 103:14       transcript 58:16       Tunbridge 8:15,23         236:19 237:3       14:13,23 15:3       tipped 133:15,17       146:20 147:1       turn 6:18 10:6		· ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0	
217:21 218:10       throw 63:11 177:1       114:10 143:4       training 17:8,9       trying 70:9 78:13         219:8,12 22:4       5       158:20 159:23       19:9 76:19,23       98:24 117:19         222:23 226:10       111:11:16:23       13:22 241:16       13:22 241:16       12:3 123:5         227:1,3 230:25       11:11:15:16       11:11:12:16       11:11:12:16       11:11:12:16         233:25 235:21,23       11:11:12:16       11:11:12:16       14:13:23 15:3<		· ·			
219:8,12 222:4       Thursday 258:5       158:20 159:23       19:9 76:19,23       98:24 117:19         222:23 226:10       tight 32:25       193:22 241:16       transcends 21:4       122:3 123:5         227:1,3 230:25       till 116:23       timetable 1:4,22       transcribing 98:2       193:24 231:17         231:1,6,9 233:4       time 1:6 5:1 6:9       97:9 103:14       transcript 58:16       Tunbridge 8:15,23         233:25 235:21,23       11:11,12,16       tip 87:20 88:1       64:18 101:4       tune 223:4         236:19 237:3       14:13,23 15:3       tipped 133:15,17       146:20 147:1       turn 6:18 10:6					
222:23 226:10       tight 32:25       193:22 241:16       transcends 21:4       122:3 123:5         227:1,3 230:25       till 116:23       timetable 1:4,22       transcribing 98:2       193:24 231:17         231:1,6,9 233:4       time 1:6 5:1 6:9       97:9 103:14       transcript 58:16       Tunbridge 8:15,23         236:19 237:3       14:13,23 15:3       tipped 133:15,17       146:20 147:1       turn 6:18 10:6					
227:1,3 230:25       till 116:23       timetable 1:4,22       transcribing 98:2       193:24 231:17         231:1,6,9 233:4       time 1:6 5:1 6:9       97:9 103:14       transcript 58:16       Tunbridge 8:15,23         233:25 235:21,23       11:11,12,16       tip 87:20 88:1       64:18 101:4       tune 223:4         236:19 237:3       14:13,23 15:3       tipped 133:15,17       146:20 147:1       turn 6:18 10:6		·			
231:1,6,9 233:4 time 1:6 5:1 6:9 97:9 103:14 transcript 58:16 64:18 101:4 tune 223:4 tune 233:25 235:21,23 14:13,23 15:3 tipped 133:15,17 146:20 147:1 Tunbridge 8:15,23 tune 223:4 ture 6:18 10:6		O			
233:25 235:21,23	,				
236:19 237:3				-	
	,		-		
230.2 240.20   17.19 27.13   upping 133:21   148:23 131:4   43:12 34:13 68:2		·			
	230.2 2 <del>4</del> 0.20	1/.17 4/.13	upping 133.21	140.23 131.4	45.12 54.15 08:2

				1 480 201
105:5 111:5,13	uncomfortable	209:7	videoconference	wait 30:17 121:7
112:25 118:11	237:4	unprofessionally	49:19	waiting 2:22 110:8
125:16 133:7	unconfirmed 86:1	8:19	view 13:25 20:3	237:17
152:22 190:9	99:3	unrest 86:11	45:21 53:15,20	walk 21:7
turned 98:19	unconnected	unusual 18:10	59:13 77:12	walking 92:22
turning 20:1 39:25	155:17	66:9 151:20	181:12 218:1	108:24
49:7 186:9	understand 1:23	updated 35:19	236:10 238:4	walks 94:7
twice 114:15	6:7 8:8 22:4,19	updates 165:17,18	243:25 244:2,3	want 1:9 2:22 3:21
140:20	57:20,21 73:6	upheld 8:9	244:14,17 248:12	14:11 16:6,7
two 23:22 35:14	79:24 84:1,2	uphold 9:14	views 172:1	24:10 25:2,11,12
44:9 72:4 79:23	85:13 91:17	upset 122:7	182:19	31:18 32:8 33:23
82:3 84:10 91:3	108:1 115:12	urge 21:18 22:15	violence 191:4	34:14 39:23
102:19 103:12,16	128:19 154:1	22:17	virtual 99:6	46:24 47:3 50:4
117:3 119:10	163:7 168:10	urgent 80:12	virtually 91:19,23	52:3 56:10 59:1
129:23 136:2	193:14 198:5	usable 198:13,16	92:1	65:20,20 66:20
149:16 158:3,20	203:1 205:16	use 52:17 53:3	virtue 158:21	68:14 70:1 71:1
160:25 162:10	215:15 217:11,24	74:23 75:11 76:4	209:19	71:3 74:4,4,15
171:22 172:10	232:11 237:4	107:19 115:12,14	visiting 20:13	77:3,16 83:7,23
187:1 188:5,11	243:11	115:17 122:21,21	226:11	84:1 91:14 97:12
188:21 189:5	understanding	127:6 132:23	vital 169:2	105:4 109:16,23
190:20,23 193:2	11:9 44:24 46:1	133:21 200:7	vocal 182:12	113:3,7 130:9
205:6 207:25	47:25 54:4,7	239:19	voice 172:10	131:7 145:6
208:11 209:2,5	61:24 70:23	useable 198:21	182:19 184:12	146:13 147:24
209:18 210:20	80:12 96:2	useful 117:4	voiced 184:10	150:19 154:9
211:10 212:4	136:21 213:4	usual 49:14,25	voluntarily 197:10	162:19 182:6
219:21 232:15	understands 24:11	50:17 51:15	230:22	187:21 199:25
236:19 249:1	168:1 212:25	65:23 228:17	voluntary 151:21	209:7 221:4
250:10,14 251:10	understood 36:14	usually 195:8	152:21	222:22 224:21
253:16	52:4 55:21 93:12			225:17 241:16,22
two-minute	98:8 142:19	V	W	249:21 251:12
157:17	205:21,25 225:6	vacate 26:7	<b>Wagner</b> 1:6,8,24	256:25
two-thirds 136:6	undertaken 11:4	valuable 206:1	2:3,5,15,17,18	wanted 31:23 32:4
tying 101:24	251:18	value 17:1,4,4	3:18,21 4:1,3	32:24 105:20
type 48:25 95:14	unexamined	78:23 206:21	21:4,15,25 22:1,5	106:14 152:9,11
127:16 221:19,23	197:11	various 119:13	22:7,23 24:6,14	181:12,15,24
221:25	unfair 14:9 165:6	202:20 205:9	25:6 26:3,15	194:14,20 202:16
typed 105:6,9	171:7 226:15	vas 180:7	31:11,14 52:19	225:11 226:25
typist 97:15	unfairness 225:25	Vasquez 34:5	55:17,21 57:23	228:2 229:15
typo 158:15	226:10	venture 119:7	64:21 67:15	237:19
	Unfortunately	122:13,14 210:5	68:21 69:5,10,21	wanting 222:7
<u>U</u>	51:10	223:19 224:14	70:11 77:21 79:2	225:23
UK 3:10 4:3,5,24	unit 190:25	verbally 78:18	81:1,15,18,21	wants 3:23 121:6
6:6 18:9,16 67:2	<b>Unit/UK</b> 3:13	verified 84:22	82:19,22 83:12	warrant 4:23,25
67:7	<b>United</b> 157:13	version 71:5,13	83:18,23 91:10	23:4,19 45:19
<b>Ullger</b> 188:3,10,21	unlawful 146:11	72:13 105:8,9	91:13,17 92:14	46:11 52:14,17
190:6,20	unlawfully 3:15	vessel 74:24 75:4	97:2,5,11,18,22	53:3,16,21 54:1,6
um 28:19	63:6,13 176:20	75:12 86:13,14	103:18,24 109:21	54:11,22,24
unable 87:9	177:3	99:19 100:1	249:23 250:7,8	56:25 57:17 58:4
uncle 129:3	unnecessarily	viable 208:3	250:15,22 251:1	62:19,22 116:14
uncle's 128:23	_	<b>vibe</b> 48:23	251:6,7,8 257:4	
	1	1	1	1

				1 486 200
130:14 145:22	102:10	115:23 116:3,6	156:4,12 158:14	66:16 89:23
148:16 150:11,13	Watterson 10:12	116:20 117:8	163:16,17 167:8	109:10 117:4
156:9 157:8,20	10:18,23 11:1	123:21 124:6,12	167:11,25 184:17	118:9 143:16
159:1,4,9,11	Wattersons 10:11	128:21 179:22	187:19,21 188:1	149:8,10 170:12
167:18 171:21	way 13:15 26:3	183:7 193:4,12	witness's 37:21	173:1 208:3,17
177:15 181:20	28:9 38:12,20	195:8,13	witnesses 24:15	211:5 216:9
184:2,4,7,9	39:6 47:5 51:2	whatsoever 13:6	wonder 169:2	218:9 220:9
194:24 224:18,19	66:17,21 75:23	23:10,12,15	192:8 222:5	221:24 224:6
225:3 227:19	77:5 88:22 94:17	92:16 193:16	wondered 193:1	243:3,23
228:6,16 236:7	127:16 133:3	whilst 54:19	word 71:19 81:24	write 51:23 90:21
239:25 240:1	136:2,6 141:24	108:16 168:16	81:25 170:2,17	writes 179:17
242:22 243:1	147:4 157:7,11	<b>whistle</b> 189:14	171:1 222:13	writing 49:14,17
245:6 254:16	157:19 159:6	whistleblowers	wording 121:9	49:23 50:4 51:21
warrant' 143:18	166:21 171:6	186:25	words 16:17 39:10	52:1 131:23
warranties 210:19	173:14 179:22	WHSS 101:25	68:6 147:15	written 16:13
warrants 7:6,10	180:6 182:13,16	widely 255:19,22	168:18 170:16	50:21 51:7,13
7:22 23:17	182:19,25 188:13	wider 11:7	work 117:18	104:22
133:19 134:4	193:11,15 206:9	wife 202:1 209:13	127:16 129:22,23	wrong 60:3 61:7
135:19 142:11,13	217:8,23 221:6	209:16	129:25 130:1,5,8	73:21 96:15
142:15,23 143:1	225:5,25 227:17	willing 121:25	132:15 151:8	98:19 154:5
146:6,8 159:17	235:5 236:6	132:2 189:20	154:10 188:7	196:19 216:7
168:12,17	238:18 239:6	win 206:15,17	195:9,13,18	225:2 226:7
Warwickshire	241:1 247:10,14	<b>window</b> 137:7	200:7,8 204:1	227:5 229:15
18:20 19:10	249:1	wiping 148:3,7	216:13 219:2,21	242:7 251:14
wasn't 6:24 14:10	<b>Wayne</b> 8:15,23	wish 6:20 119:11	221:19,23,25	wrongly 48:24
23:25 35:8 36:6	we're 64:23,25	134:1,13 143:1	224:9 235:6	245:18
46:16 56:2 63:19	65:4,9 101:24	184:5	worked 103:3	wrongs 105:13
66:21 74:12	170:1,5,16,24	wishes 22:10	115:3,5,6,9,10	wrote 28:11
136:20 141:8,9,9	we've 127:17	wishing 35:15	190:24 191:1	131:22 132:1,13
149:23 159:5	143:14	withdraw 230:24	working 16:12	132:17 196:25
165:2,14 175:15	<b>Webber</b> 118:14	231:2	70:21 94:2 98:17	<b>Wyan</b> 40:3,6 54:3
177:9 198:13	Wednesday 1:1	withdrawn 231:4	99:5 100:12	69:11 104:22
211:18 219:19	week 114:7,11,16	withdrew 110:2	102:13 103:6	143:3 144:25
227:2,9 233:5	180:22	230:25	208:16	146:12 147:3
238:19 239:8	weekend 181:7	withhold 92:18	works 40:9	149:4 151:18
241:4,12,22	weeks 114:9,10	witness 1:7 2:2	world 205:10	178:23
243:15 246:10	weight 171:5	37:17,23 55:13	worry 163:6,8	<b>Wyan's</b> 179:1
247:5,7 254:19	welcome 113:17	55:15,20 72:7,14	220:5 245:14,15	197:6
255:16,22	welfare 78:4	81:4 83:12,23	252:20 253:12	
waters 18:24,25	went 62:16 71:20	91:18 97:24	worse 14:2 63:14	X
74:22 76:10,18	122:2 144:15,16	103:24 104:10	177:3	<u> </u>
78:20,21 80:7,13	178:16 184:5	109:17,25 110:2	worship 155:20	yeah 115:20 136:3
80:22 81:9 82:8	186:5 214:1	110:5,8,21,22,24	156:22,24 157:1	136:9 161:23
82:9,11,12,24,25	223:13,14 230:14	111:2,14 112:2,9	157:3 159:19	163:22
83:1 86:15 89:24	238:12 242:21	125:18 133:8,13	227:12	year 46:4,5 116:24
90:3 93:5,18,22	weren't 134:21	134:24 135:25	worth 55:19,20	120:15,21 199:21
94:5 95:22 96:1	231:6	138:5 139:2	97:16	199:22 235:3
96:8,11,18,20,21	WhatsApp 45:16	142:3 145:5,12	wouldn't 14:24,24	252:14
98:17,22 99:1	101:4 115:12,17	155:10,25,25	20:19 50:25	years 8:2,3 38:23
				,,

				Page 289
(7.10.114.4	124 22 127 12 16	144 (0.20 (0.5	2010 26 16 40 25	2 12 12 74 25
67:18 114:4	134:22 137:12,16	14th 60:20 69:5	<b>2019</b> 36:16 40:25	<b>3</b> 12:12 74:25
128:24 129:12,13	137:17 142:6,6	<b>15</b> 18:21 48:5	41:8 45:1 131:6	75:16 131:6
167:1 168:7	152:15,20 155:13	55:22,24 56:2	131:21,25 132:4	132:17 145:12
195:10 210:16	156:21 158:14,16	61:13 62:14,15	253:1	184:19
212:25 239:10	158:23 180:2	77:19,20 147:1	<b>2020</b> 8:12 13:8,17	<b>3.32</b> 172:9
241:9 243:8	184:21 185:5	167:15,19 169:5	45:14 46:12,21	<b>3.40</b> 83:15
yesterday 1:4 7:5	194:18 195:6,18	169:6 175:23	46:25 72:7 77:25	<b>30</b> 121:1,24 123:8
14:6 16:18 33:11	195:20,24 196:6	176:10,15 178:13	105:7 108:19	243:8
58:25 61:5,12,22	197:10 198:25	244:11 246:13	112:12 117:9,23	<b>300,000</b> 119:6
70:12 81:12 97:9	199:1 200:22	247:2	134:22 137:12	210:14
136:19	202:18,21,24	<b>15.08</b> 231:8	142:6,7 156:21	<b>31</b> 111:8
yesterday's 95:20	203:3 235:9	<b>15.41</b> 54:17	158:17 162:20	<b>34</b> 155:11 185:15
Yome 4:16 6:13,14	256:5	<b>151</b> 135:21	167:20 171:19	<b>3449</b> 196:20
7:2	<b>12.10</b> 104:16	<b>1511</b> 145:4,11	173:11 176:16	<b>3467</b> 151:3
$\overline{\mathbf{z}}$	<b>12.15</b> 108:21	<b>1515</b> 155:24 156:2	180:11 184:21	<b>3476</b> 161:7
	<b>12.20</b> 158:17	<b>155</b> 187:19,25	185:5,13 196:6	<b>35</b> 114:4
0	160:15	<b>159</b> 190:15	196:14,22 197:10	<b>3522</b> 152:13
<b>07.43</b> 83:13	<b>12:20-13:00</b> 160:3	<b>15th</b> 59:17 62:7	197:15 200:22	<b>36</b> 27:12 28:3,5
07.45 03.13	<b>1292</b> 97:25 98:2	69:20 244:15	202:24 203:8	29:4,10 34:23
1	12th 31:4 48:22	<b>16</b> 19:7 70:13,16	252:1 253:15,17	35:2,7,14,20 39:2
<b>1</b> 74:19 121:12	49:3 52:8 224:18	72:7,14 129:12	254:10	41:19 98:5
223:4	237:14	179:16	<b>2021</b> 188:2 190:16	118:11,15,18,23
<b>10</b> 125:18 258:3	<b>13</b> 12:19 36:16	16.33 250:4	<b>2022</b> 13:3 72:6,14	118:25 119:3,9
10.00 258:5	41:7 42:17 45:1	<b>16.42</b> 250:6	<b>2023</b> 192:1	119:10 120:15,19
<b>10.01</b> 1:2	48:5 52:6,22,25	16.51 258:7	<b>2024</b> 1:1 111:22	122:12 127:5,24
<b>10.30</b> 28:25	53:9 55:8 61:7	<b>17</b> 1:1 41:3 180:11	258:6	132:13,15 133:24
<b>10.47</b> 180:20	117:9,23 149:1	180:14,16 249:5	<b>22</b> 12:6 190:16	134:12 141:20
<b>100</b> 108:14 109:11	162:20 171:20	170R 167:25	<b>23</b> 105:7	164:5 204:20
<b>101</b> 76:19	172:9 180:21	<b>1718</b> 196:22	<b>23.03</b> 239:17	205:2 206:6,22
10th 43:8	183:11 244:11	<b>1748</b> 95:16	<b>23.10</b> 240:3	206:24 207:5,17
11 33:6 123:18	245:10 246:13	<b>1757</b> 99:13	<b>23.20</b> 179:17	208:23,23 209:21
154:11 155:9	247:2	<b>18</b> 41:3,15 131:21	<b>230</b> 161:17	210:1,24 211:19
11.00 55:1	<b>13.00</b> 138:6 158:17	258:5	<b>233</b> 138:11 139:3	214:18 215:2,18
<b>11.27</b> 59:11	160:15	<b>18th</b> 237:15	<b>234</b> 158:12	216:1 218:23
<b>11.30</b> 79:3	<b>131</b> 190:12	<b>19</b> 186:12 188:1	<b>24</b> 105:15	219:8,14,17
<b>11.40</b> 91:6	1344 83:8	<b>1972</b> 112:18	<b>24.02</b> 176:17	222:6,9 223:2
<b>11.52</b> 239:16	1345 89:7	2	<b>242</b> 176:12	224:2,3,4 227:22
<b>11.59</b> 104:14	<b>1346</b> 92:21		<b>25</b> 6:18	234:6,11,15,16
<b>112</b> 148:23	<b>1351</b> 101:16	<b>2</b> 74:23 75:13	<b>250</b> 14:16,16,17	234:20 249:20
<b>113</b> 150:7	<b>1354</b> 163:20	138:4	<b>26</b> 131:25	<b>38</b> 113:3,14
<b>118</b> 156:12,17	<b>13th</b> 59:11,16	<b>2.03</b> 180:9	<b>260</b> 14:16,17	<b>39</b> 45:3 125:17
11th 101:17	69:17,23 117:14	<b>20</b> 48:5 244:11	<b>27</b> 111:22 132:3,7	<b>3miles</b> 87:14
<b>12</b> 30:16 45:17	14 60:8 159:23	246:13 247:2	132:9	4
46:12,20,25	173:12 250:18	<b>20.57</b> 245:10	<b>271</b> 169:5	
54:16 58:22	14.00 138:8	2006 4:7	<b>278</b> 146:18,19	475:4 130:21
68:19,19,20,23	<b>14.27</b> 159:10	<b>2011</b> 129:24	<b>28</b> 197:6	142:5 143:6
72:6 73:5 82:18	14.38 207:7	<b>2018</b> 36:2 121:1,13	<b>2824</b> 147:5,9	4(e) 167:18
82:20,23 97:14	<b>14.57</b> 182:22	123:9,18 124:8	<b>29</b> 7:4 8:11	<b>4.30</b> 2:8
124:8 126:7	<b>1423</b> 239:13	131:6,16 132:7,9	3	<b>4.42</b> 99:14
12		132:17 253:1		<b>4.55</b> 173:13

				Page 290
40 10 5 1 5	110 10 10 1		l	
<b>40</b> 125:17	112:12 184:21			
<b>422</b> 179:8	185:5,12 192:1			
<b>45</b> 148:22	202:22			
<b>450,000</b> 207:11	<b>9.4</b> 167:9 168:5			
<b>476,000</b> 119:4	<b>9.44</b> 180:1			
207:13	<b>9.49</b> 89:7 90:1			
<b>4F</b> 156:5	<b>9.51</b> 180:2			
	<b>9.6</b> 156:1,4			
5	<b>9.7</b> 133:10,20			
<b>5</b> 12:12 45:14	<b>99</b> 101:4			
138:13,16 156:17	<b>9th</b> 96:10 97:25			
<b>50</b> 121:22	98:5,5 101:2			
<b>5229</b> 142:1	30.5,5 101.2			
<b>5318</b> 120:23				
<b>5419</b> 175:19				
<b>5E</b> 250:17				
6				
<b>6</b> 34:11 38:16				
188:25 189:2				
196:22 197:15				
<b>6.39</b> 172:10				
<b>6.48</b> 172:10				
<b>6883</b> 171:13				
<b>6901</b> 162:11,13				
245:9				
243.9				
7				
7 30:23 72:21				
183:11				
<b>76</b> 174:21				
<b>78</b> 132:10				
<b>79</b> 130:10,18,20,22				
131:1,3,21				
<b>7th</b> 31:11,12				
8				
874:20 77:25				
86:20 108:18				
145:5,11				
<b>8.17</b> 169:8				
801 86:25				
<b>82</b> 130:10,18,20				
131:1,3 132:16				
<b>84</b> 130:23				
<b>8th</b> 95:17,17 96:9				
9				
<b>9</b> 3:1,7 67:24 68:2				
70:1 99:14				
L	•	•	•	