1		1	believed there was a call on that date?
2	(Friday, 26 April 2024)	2	A. Yes, during the meeting with Mr
3	(10.00)	3	McGrail. It's in the transcript.
4	MICHAEL LLAMAS	4	Q. What was discussed on that call?
5	Questioned by MR SANTOS (Continued)	5	A. I'm not even sure whether I took it myself
6	MR SANTOS: Good morning, Mr Llamas.	6	or whether he called my PA but the message
7	A. Good morning.	7	was that he had written. I think it was
	Q. We are going to turn to your	8	seeking a follow-up to his letter of the
8 9	communications with Hassans and Mr	9	_
-			previous night.
10	Baglietto, KC. Can I please ask you what	10	Q. Sorry, and you say that the message was
11	your relationship with Mr Baglietto KC is?	11	that he had written. Is that a reference to the
12	A. Mr Santos, just one clarification I'd like	12	further letter?
13	to make in relation to something that I said	13	A. I think it's because he was asking - in the
14	yesterday by me, please?	14	transcript he was asking that we should read
15	Q. Yes.	15	a letter he had just sent - might have been the
16	A. It is that I have been reminded after the	16	letter he sent to Paul Richardson.
17	hearing yesterday that the 5 June letter was	17	Q. Yes, I think that's the one that arrives
18	written by my lawyers for me on the basis of	18	during the meeting. Did you discuss with
19	a factual timeline that I have provided to	19	him the meeting that you had had with the
20	them. I just wanted to make that clear,	20	police, the DPP and Mr DeVincenzi later that
21	contrary to what I said yesterday that I had	21	day or at the time??
22	drafted it myself.	22	A. Yes, I think - I've been trying to retrace
23	Q. Yes. Who has reminded you of that?	23	this through to try to get as accurate a
24	A. My lawyer.	24	memory of this. I think I must have called
25	Q. Your lawyer. When you say your	25	him after the meeting of 13 May and possibly
	Page 1		Page 3
1	lawyers drafted it who -	1	because I had two missed calls from him, so I
1 2	lawyers drafted it, who -	1 2	because I had two missed calls from him, so I
2	A. My lawyer, sorry.	2	think it's highly likely that I called him after
2 3	<ul><li>A. My lawyer, sorry.</li><li>Q. Who were your lawyers at the time, from</li></ul>	2 3	think it's highly likely that I called him after the meeting - after those missed calls, I
2 3 4	A. My lawyer, sorry.  Q. Who were your lawyers at the time, from within your office or your -	2 3 4	think it's highly likely that I called him after the meeting - after those missed calls, I suppose.
2 3 4 5	<ul><li>A. My lawyer, sorry.</li><li>Q. Who were your lawyers at the time, from within your office or your -</li><li>A. No, not from within my office.</li></ul>	2 3 4 5	think it's highly likely that I called him after the meeting - after those missed calls, I suppose.  Q. Sorry, did you disclose to him anything
2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>A. My lawyer, sorry.</li> <li>Q. Who were your lawyers at the time, from within your office or your -</li> <li>A. No, not from within my office.</li> <li>Q. Turning back to your communications</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6	think it's highly likely that I called him after the meeting - after those missed calls, I suppose.  Q. Sorry, did you disclose to him anything that had been discussed in that meeting with
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>A. My lawyer, sorry.</li> <li>Q. Who were your lawyers at the time, from within your office or your -</li> <li>A. No, not from within my office.</li> <li>Q. Turning back to your communications with Mr Baglietto, what is your relationship</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	think it's highly likely that I called him after the meeting - after those missed calls, I suppose.  Q. Sorry, did you disclose to him anything that had been discussed in that meeting with the police, the DPP and Mr DeVincenzi?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>A. My lawyer, sorry.</li> <li>Q. Who were your lawyers at the time, from within your office or your -</li> <li>A. No, not from within my office.</li> <li>Q. Turning back to your communications with Mr Baglietto, what is your relationship with Mr Baglietto?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	think it's highly likely that I called him after the meeting - after those missed calls, I suppose.  Q. Sorry, did you disclose to him anything that had been discussed in that meeting with the police, the DPP and Mr DeVincenzi?  A. Not particularly other than we were going
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A. My lawyer, sorry.</li> <li>Q. Who were your lawyers at the time, from within your office or your -</li> <li>A. No, not from within my office.</li> <li>Q. Turning back to your communications with Mr Baglietto, what is your relationship with Mr Baglietto?</li> <li>A. Generally, he, Lewis Baglietto, has been</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	think it's highly likely that I called him after the meeting - after those missed calls, I suppose.  Q. Sorry, did you disclose to him anything that had been discussed in that meeting with the police, the DPP and Mr DeVincenzi?  A. Not particularly other than we were going to - we were not impressed about the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A. My lawyer, sorry.</li> <li>Q. Who were your lawyers at the time, from within your office or your -</li> <li>A. No, not from within my office.</li> <li>Q. Turning back to your communications with Mr Baglietto, what is your relationship with Mr Baglietto?</li> <li>A. Generally, he, Lewis Baglietto, has been a very good friend of mine for a very long</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	think it's highly likely that I called him after the meeting - after those missed calls, I suppose.  Q. Sorry, did you disclose to him anything that had been discussed in that meeting with the police, the DPP and Mr DeVincenzi?  A. Not particularly other than we were going to - we were not impressed about the allegations of misfeasance and abuse of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>A. My lawyer, sorry.</li> <li>Q. Who were your lawyers at the time, from within your office or your -</li> <li>A. No, not from within my office.</li> <li>Q. Turning back to your communications with Mr Baglietto, what is your relationship with Mr Baglietto?</li> <li>A. Generally, he, Lewis Baglietto, has been a very good friend of mine for a very long time.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	think it's highly likely that I called him after the meeting - after those missed calls, I suppose.  Q. Sorry, did you disclose to him anything that had been discussed in that meeting with the police, the DPP and Mr DeVincenzi?  A. Not particularly other than we were going to - we were not impressed about the allegations of misfeasance and abuse of power, but that is all. What I think he told
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>A. My lawyer, sorry.</li> <li>Q. Who were your lawyers at the time, from within your office or your -</li> <li>A. No, not from within my office.</li> <li>Q. Turning back to your communications with Mr Baglietto, what is your relationship with Mr Baglietto?</li> <li>A. Generally, he, Lewis Baglietto, has been a very good friend of mine for a very long time.</li> <li>Q. How often do you message him?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	think it's highly likely that I called him after the meeting - after those missed calls, I suppose.  Q. Sorry, did you disclose to him anything that had been discussed in that meeting with the police, the DPP and Mr DeVincenzi?  A. Not particularly other than we were going to - we were not impressed about the allegations of misfeasance and abuse of power, but that is all. What I think he told me then that Mr Levy would almost certainly
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. My lawyer, sorry.  Q. Who were your lawyers at the time, from within your office or your -  A. No, not from within my office.  Q. Turning back to your communications with Mr Baglietto, what is your relationship with Mr Baglietto?  A. Generally, he, Lewis Baglietto, has been a very good friend of mine for a very long time.  Q. How often do you message him?  A. It depends. Not a terrible amount. We	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	think it's highly likely that I called him after the meeting - after those missed calls, I suppose.  Q. Sorry, did you disclose to him anything that had been discussed in that meeting with the police, the DPP and Mr DeVincenzi?  A. Not particularly other than we were going to - we were not impressed about the allegations of misfeasance and abuse of power, but that is all. What I think he told me then that Mr Levy would almost certainly give a no comment interview. I think that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. My lawyer, sorry.  Q. Who were your lawyers at the time, from within your office or your -  A. No, not from within my office.  Q. Turning back to your communications with Mr Baglietto, what is your relationship with Mr Baglietto?  A. Generally, he, Lewis Baglietto, has been a very good friend of mine for a very long time.  Q. How often do you message him?  A. It depends. Not a terrible amount. We message but it depends on - it varies.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	think it's highly likely that I called him after the meeting - after those missed calls, I suppose.  Q. Sorry, did you disclose to him anything that had been discussed in that meeting with the police, the DPP and Mr DeVincenzi?  A. Not particularly other than we were going to - we were not impressed about the allegations of misfeasance and abuse of power, but that is all. What I think he told me then that Mr Levy would almost certainly give a no comment interview. I think that message was delivered by him to me on that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. My lawyer, sorry.  Q. Who were your lawyers at the time, from within your office or your -  A. No, not from within my office.  Q. Turning back to your communications with Mr Baglietto, what is your relationship with Mr Baglietto?  A. Generally, he, Lewis Baglietto, has been a very good friend of mine for a very long time.  Q. How often do you message him?  A. It depends. Not a terrible amount. We message but it depends on - it varies.  Q. Would you message over personal	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	think it's highly likely that I called him after the meeting - after those missed calls, I suppose.  Q. Sorry, did you disclose to him anything that had been discussed in that meeting with the police, the DPP and Mr DeVincenzi?  A. Not particularly other than we were going to - we were not impressed about the allegations of misfeasance and abuse of power, but that is all. What I think he told me then that Mr Levy would almost certainly give a no comment interview. I think that message was delivered by him to me on that call, but that is all I remember of that call. It
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. My lawyer, sorry.  Q. Who were your lawyers at the time, from within your office or your -  A. No, not from within my office.  Q. Turning back to your communications with Mr Baglietto, what is your relationship with Mr Baglietto?  A. Generally, he, Lewis Baglietto, has been a very good friend of mine for a very long time.  Q. How often do you message him?  A. It depends. Not a terrible amount. We message but it depends on - it varies.  Q. Would you message over personal matters as well or just -	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	think it's highly likely that I called him after the meeting - after those missed calls, I suppose.  Q. Sorry, did you disclose to him anything that had been discussed in that meeting with the police, the DPP and Mr DeVincenzi?  A. Not particularly other than we were going to - we were not impressed about the allegations of misfeasance and abuse of power, but that is all. What I think he told me then that Mr Levy would almost certainly give a no comment interview. I think that message was delivered by him to me on that call, but that is all I remember of that call. It was very general, but those messages were
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. My lawyer, sorry.  Q. Who were your lawyers at the time, from within your office or your -  A. No, not from within my office.  Q. Turning back to your communications with Mr Baglietto, what is your relationship with Mr Baglietto?  A. Generally, he, Lewis Baglietto, has been a very good friend of mine for a very long time.  Q. How often do you message him?  A. It depends. Not a terrible amount. We message but it depends on - it varies.  Q. Would you message over personal matters as well or just -  A. Yes, especially, I would say.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	think it's highly likely that I called him after the meeting - after those missed calls, I suppose.  Q. Sorry, did you disclose to him anything that had been discussed in that meeting with the police, the DPP and Mr DeVincenzi?  A. Not particularly other than we were going to - we were not impressed about the allegations of misfeasance and abuse of power, but that is all. What I think he told me then that Mr Levy would almost certainly give a no comment interview. I think that message was delivered by him to me on that call, but that is all I remember of that call. It was very general, but those messages were passed.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. My lawyer, sorry.  Q. Who were your lawyers at the time, from within your office or your -  A. No, not from within my office.  Q. Turning back to your communications with Mr Baglietto, what is your relationship with Mr Baglietto?  A. Generally, he, Lewis Baglietto, has been a very good friend of mine for a very long time.  Q. How often do you message him?  A. It depends. Not a terrible amount. We message but it depends on - it varies.  Q. Would you message over personal matters as well or just -  A. Yes, especially, I would say.  Q. If we can turn, please, to C6883, these are	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	think it's highly likely that I called him after the meeting - after those missed calls, I suppose.  Q. Sorry, did you disclose to him anything that had been discussed in that meeting with the police, the DPP and Mr DeVincenzi?  A. Not particularly other than we were going to - we were not impressed about the allegations of misfeasance and abuse of power, but that is all. What I think he told me then that Mr Levy would almost certainly give a no comment interview. I think that message was delivered by him to me on that call, but that is all I remember of that call. It was very general, but those messages were passed.  Q. If we go to C3522, please, this is the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. My lawyer, sorry.  Q. Who were your lawyers at the time, from within your office or your -  A. No, not from within my office.  Q. Turning back to your communications with Mr Baglietto, what is your relationship with Mr Baglietto?  A. Generally, he, Lewis Baglietto, has been a very good friend of mine for a very long time.  Q. How often do you message him?  A. It depends. Not a terrible amount. We message but it depends on - it varies.  Q. Would you message over personal matters as well or just -  A. Yes, especially, I would say.  Q. If we can turn, please, to C6883, these are the message exchanges on WhatsApp	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	think it's highly likely that I called him after the meeting - after those missed calls, I suppose.  Q. Sorry, did you disclose to him anything that had been discussed in that meeting with the police, the DPP and Mr DeVincenzi?  A. Not particularly other than we were going to - we were not impressed about the allegations of misfeasance and abuse of power, but that is all. What I think he told me then that Mr Levy would almost certainly give a no comment interview. I think that message was delivered by him to me on that call, but that is all I remember of that call. It was very general, but those messages were passed.  Q. If we go to C3522, please, this is the document we looked at yesterday, the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. My lawyer, sorry.  Q. Who were your lawyers at the time, from within your office or your -  A. No, not from within my office.  Q. Turning back to your communications with Mr Baglietto, what is your relationship with Mr Baglietto?  A. Generally, he, Lewis Baglietto, has been a very good friend of mine for a very long time.  Q. How often do you message him?  A. It depends. Not a terrible amount. We message but it depends on - it varies.  Q. Would you message over personal matters as well or just -  A. Yes, especially, I would say.  Q. If we can turn, please, to C6883, these are the message exchanges on WhatsApp between you and Mr Baglietto on 13 May	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	think it's highly likely that I called him after the meeting - after those missed calls, I suppose.  Q. Sorry, did you disclose to him anything that had been discussed in that meeting with the police, the DPP and Mr DeVincenzi?  A. Not particularly other than we were going to - we were not impressed about the allegations of misfeasance and abuse of power, but that is all. What I think he told me then that Mr Levy would almost certainly give a no comment interview. I think that message was delivered by him to me on that call, but that is all I remember of that call. It was very general, but those messages were passed.  Q. If we go to C3522, please, this is the document we looked at yesterday, the voluntary attendance for police interview
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. My lawyer, sorry.  Q. Who were your lawyers at the time, from within your office or your -  A. No, not from within my office.  Q. Turning back to your communications with Mr Baglietto, what is your relationship with Mr Baglietto?  A. Generally, he, Lewis Baglietto, has been a very good friend of mine for a very long time.  Q. How often do you message him?  A. It depends. Not a terrible amount. We message but it depends on - it varies.  Q. Would you message over personal matters as well or just -  A. Yes, especially, I would say.  Q. If we can turn, please, to C6883, these are the message exchanges on WhatsApp between you and Mr Baglietto on 13 May and we can see that at 3.32 in the afternoon	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	think it's highly likely that I called him after the meeting - after those missed calls, I suppose.  Q. Sorry, did you disclose to him anything that had been discussed in that meeting with the police, the DPP and Mr DeVincenzi?  A. Not particularly other than we were going to - we were not impressed about the allegations of misfeasance and abuse of power, but that is all. What I think he told me then that Mr Levy would almost certainly give a no comment interview. I think that message was delivered by him to me on that call, but that is all I remember of that call. It was very general, but those messages were passed.  Q. If we go to C3522, please, this is the document we looked at yesterday, the voluntary attendance for police interview under caution and we looked at point 9.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. My lawyer, sorry.  Q. Who were your lawyers at the time, from within your office or your -  A. No, not from within my office.  Q. Turning back to your communications with Mr Baglietto, what is your relationship with Mr Baglietto?  A. Generally, he, Lewis Baglietto, has been a very good friend of mine for a very long time.  Q. How often do you message him?  A. It depends. Not a terrible amount. We message but it depends on - it varies.  Q. Would you message over personal matters as well or just -  A. Yes, especially, I would say.  Q. If we can turn, please, to C6883, these are the message exchanges on WhatsApp between you and Mr Baglietto on 13 May and we can see that at 3.32 in the afternoon there is a message from Mr Baglietto that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	think it's highly likely that I called him after the meeting - after those missed calls, I suppose.  Q. Sorry, did you disclose to him anything that had been discussed in that meeting with the police, the DPP and Mr DeVincenzi?  A. Not particularly other than we were going to - we were not impressed about the allegations of misfeasance and abuse of power, but that is all. What I think he told me then that Mr Levy would almost certainly give a no comment interview. I think that message was delivered by him to me on that call, but that is all I remember of that call. It was very general, but those messages were passed.  Q. If we go to C3522, please, this is the document we looked at yesterday, the voluntary attendance for police interview under caution and we looked at point 9. Your evidence yesterday was that Mr
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. My lawyer, sorry.  Q. Who were your lawyers at the time, from within your office or your -  A. No, not from within my office.  Q. Turning back to your communications with Mr Baglietto, what is your relationship with Mr Baglietto?  A. Generally, he, Lewis Baglietto, has been a very good friend of mine for a very long time.  Q. How often do you message him?  A. It depends. Not a terrible amount. We message but it depends on - it varies.  Q. Would you message over personal matters as well or just -  A. Yes, especially, I would say.  Q. If we can turn, please, to C6883, these are the message exchanges on WhatsApp between you and Mr Baglietto on 13 May and we can see that at 3.32 in the afternoon there is a message from Mr Baglietto that says, "M, can I call you?" and then at 6.39	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	think it's highly likely that I called him after the meeting - after those missed calls, I suppose.  Q. Sorry, did you disclose to him anything that had been discussed in that meeting with the police, the DPP and Mr DeVincenzi?  A. Not particularly other than we were going to - we were not impressed about the allegations of misfeasance and abuse of power, but that is all. What I think he told me then that Mr Levy would almost certainly give a no comment interview. I think that message was delivered by him to me on that call, but that is all I remember of that call. It was very general, but those messages were passed.  Q. If we go to C3522, please, this is the document we looked at yesterday, the voluntary attendance for police interview under caution and we looked at point 9. Your evidence yesterday was that Mr Baglietto sent that to you in the email of 12
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. My lawyer, sorry.  Q. Who were your lawyers at the time, from within your office or your -  A. No, not from within my office.  Q. Turning back to your communications with Mr Baglietto, what is your relationship with Mr Baglietto?  A. Generally, he, Lewis Baglietto, has been a very good friend of mine for a very long time.  Q. How often do you message him?  A. It depends. Not a terrible amount. We message but it depends on - it varies.  Q. Would you message over personal matters as well or just -  A. Yes, especially, I would say.  Q. If we can turn, please, to C6883, these are the message exchanges on WhatsApp between you and Mr Baglietto on 13 May and we can see that at 3.32 in the afternoon there is a message from Mr Baglietto that says, "M, can I call you?" and then at 6.39 and 6.48 there are two missed calls. I think	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	think it's highly likely that I called him after the meeting - after those missed calls, I suppose.  Q. Sorry, did you disclose to him anything that had been discussed in that meeting with the police, the DPP and Mr DeVincenzi?  A. Not particularly other than we were going to - we were not impressed about the allegations of misfeasance and abuse of power, but that is all. What I think he told me then that Mr Levy would almost certainly give a no comment interview. I think that message was delivered by him to me on that call, but that is all I remember of that call. It was very general, but those messages were passed.  Q. If we go to C3522, please, this is the document we looked at yesterday, the voluntary attendance for police interview under caution and we looked at point 9. Your evidence yesterday was that Mr Baglietto sent that to you in the email of 12 May. We have seen that and I think your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. My lawyer, sorry.  Q. Who were your lawyers at the time, from within your office or your -  A. No, not from within my office.  Q. Turning back to your communications with Mr Baglietto, what is your relationship with Mr Baglietto?  A. Generally, he, Lewis Baglietto, has been a very good friend of mine for a very long time.  Q. How often do you message him?  A. It depends. Not a terrible amount. We message but it depends on - it varies.  Q. Would you message over personal matters as well or just -  A. Yes, especially, I would say.  Q. If we can turn, please, to C6883, these are the message exchanges on WhatsApp between you and Mr Baglietto on 13 May and we can see that at 3.32 in the afternoon there is a message from Mr Baglietto that says, "M, can I call you?" and then at 6.39	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	think it's highly likely that I called him after the meeting - after those missed calls, I suppose.  Q. Sorry, did you disclose to him anything that had been discussed in that meeting with the police, the DPP and Mr DeVincenzi?  A. Not particularly other than we were going to - we were not impressed about the allegations of misfeasance and abuse of power, but that is all. What I think he told me then that Mr Levy would almost certainly give a no comment interview. I think that message was delivered by him to me on that call, but that is all I remember of that call. It was very general, but those messages were passed.  Q. If we go to C3522, please, this is the document we looked at yesterday, the voluntary attendance for police interview under caution and we looked at point 9. Your evidence yesterday was that Mr Baglietto sent that to you in the email of 12
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. My lawyer, sorry.  Q. Who were your lawyers at the time, from within your office or your -  A. No, not from within my office.  Q. Turning back to your communications with Mr Baglietto, what is your relationship with Mr Baglietto?  A. Generally, he, Lewis Baglietto, has been a very good friend of mine for a very long time.  Q. How often do you message him?  A. It depends. Not a terrible amount. We message but it depends on - it varies.  Q. Would you message over personal matters as well or just -  A. Yes, especially, I would say.  Q. If we can turn, please, to C6883, these are the message exchanges on WhatsApp between you and Mr Baglietto on 13 May and we can see that at 3.32 in the afternoon there is a message from Mr Baglietto that says, "M, can I call you?" and then at 6.39 and 6.48 there are two missed calls. I think	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	think it's highly likely that I called him after the meeting - after those missed calls, I suppose.  Q. Sorry, did you disclose to him anything that had been discussed in that meeting with the police, the DPP and Mr DeVincenzi?  A. Not particularly other than we were going to - we were not impressed about the allegations of misfeasance and abuse of power, but that is all. What I think he told me then that Mr Levy would almost certainly give a no comment interview. I think that message was delivered by him to me on that call, but that is all I remember of that call. It was very general, but those messages were passed.  Q. If we go to C3522, please, this is the document we looked at yesterday, the voluntary attendance for police interview under caution and we looked at point 9. Your evidence yesterday was that Mr Baglietto sent that to you in the email of 12 May. We have seen that and I think your

1 discussed that document with Mr Baglietto? 1 completely different matters, yes. 2 2 Q. Yes. Did you meet with Mr Baglietto on 3 Q. Did you discuss that document with the 3 another day in relation to this? 4 4 Chief Minister? A. Yes, on the evening of the 15th. 5 A. No. 5 Q. The evening of the 15th. I think we will 6 Q. Can we now turn to C806, please? These 6 turn to that shortly. C6883 next, please. 7 7 are the message exchanges between you and These are back to the messages with Mr 8 Mr DeVincenzi that we were looking at 8 Baglietto and at the evening of 14 May, 9 9 yesterday and I just want to focus on the final which appears from your evidence to be a 10 two on 14 May at 12.30 and at 12.45. Those 10 further message after they had turned up at 11 are messages from Lloyd DeVincenzi saying, 11 your office but you had not met. He says, 12 12 "Lewis and another gent here to see you", "M, we are delayed with letter to you until 13 and then Moshe Levy is the other gentleman. 13 morning." "No problem, L" is your response, 14 Did a meeting take place between you and 14 "M." Had you discussed that letter during 15 Mr Baglietto and Moshe Levy? 15 the course of the day? 16 A. I am virtually certain that it didn't. 16 A. No, I've got no recollection at all of that. 17 Q. That it didn't. Why do you say that? 17 Q. If we go to B5419, this is the letter that is 18 A. Firstly because I don't recollect that 18 sent on 15 May and in the introduction 19 19 meeting at all. Secondly, I don't recall section, second paragraph says, "We set out 20 20 meeting with Moshe Levy at all and thirdly, I in outline below the reasons why there are 21 provided to my legal team a - I mean, when I 21 very good grounds for believing that the 22 saw this and I provided the WhatsApp 22 warrants were improperly procured and 23 23 wrongfully granted." Did you discuss this exchange to the inquiry, I was very puzzled 24 because I couldn't remember such a meeting. 24 letter at all before it was sent with Mr 25 25 So, I've been trying to think what was Baglietto? Page 5 Page 7 1 happening and my PA reminded me that my 1 A. Not at all. 2 son, my son Pierre, was with me in the office 2 Q. Further down the page, the final sentence 3 3 in Gibraltar so I looked at my WhatsApp chat says, "There can be little surprise that as we 4 4 with him and there is a WhatsApp message believe is the case, the DPP advised the 5 5 that I sent to him at 1253 saying that I'm Commissioner against the making of these 6 6 applications." Had you told Mr Baglietto going to go and pick him up. So, if you look 7 at the second message from Mr DeVincenzi, 7 that the DPP had advised the Commissioner 8 that's at 1245. At 1253 I'm sending a 8 against the making of these applications? 9 9 message to my son telling him that I'm going A. Not at all. 10 to go and pick him up, so even on the 10 Q. Do you think it is proper for a suspect to be informed as to the DPP's advice on 11 11 assumption that I may have turned up at 12 1245, which is not clear from this, there will 12 executive action taken against them? 13 13 have been a gap of eight minutes before I A. I guess not. 14 14 was messaging my son telling him that I was Q. Do you know who informed Hassans of 15 15 going to go and pick him up. that? 16 16 Q. Would you be prepared to disclose that A. No. 17 message suitably redacted with no personal 17 Q. During the course of the meeting that you 18 information? 18 had on 15 May there is an exchange where 19 19 A. It's with my lawyers. you surmise that it may have emerged from 20 20 Q. Thank you. You said you do not recall the conversation between the Chief Minister 21 meeting Moshe Levy. Are you saying you do 21 and Mr McGrail. Did you believe at the time 22 that the Chief Minister had passed that not recall ever meeting Moshe Levy or you 22 23 do not recall meeting him in relation to this 23 information? 24 24 A. As you say, I was surmising. 25 25 A. In relation to this matter. I've met him on Q. If we can now look at some iMessages Page 6 Page 8

2 (Pages 5 to 8)

1 that were disclosed by Mr Baglietto to the 1 O. Well, on that day you would not have 2 2 inquiry recently, I do not have the bundle been able to tell them about the meeting on 3 reference, but they will appear on screen. I 3 that evening because you have --4 just want to focus on the one on 15 May at 4 A. Yes. So, the next meeting was on the 5 7.29, "M, I was asked to come over to your 5 20th, I think. I can't remember whether I told 6 office." Who asked Mr Baglietto to attend 6 them that I had met him, but I certainly told 7 7 your office? them that I had spoken to Mr Baglietto, and 8 8 A. I do not know, and I'm just wondering if I did meet him, I would add, it would have 9 9 whether my PA may have called his PA. I been a very short meeting to tell him what 10 10 don't know. the RGP were prepared to accept and not 11 O. Would the Chief Minister ask him to do 11 much more. 12 that? 12 Q. What did you consider your role to be in terms of your discussions with Hassans and 13 A. I don't know. 13 14 14 with the RGP? Were you lawyer to the Q. Did you meet that evening? 15 A. I think that's the evening we met, yes. 15 government, lawyer to the Chief Minister, 16 Q. This was after this letter had been sent on 16 were you a negotiator, mediator? 17 15 May. What was discussed at that 17 A. I think I was trying to manage a situation, 18 18 which had the - Mr McGrail, I think rightly meeting? 19 19 A. The meeting of 15 May, if I remember asked to see me on the 13th because of the 20 20 correctly, is the meeting which starts when I nature of the allegations made in that first 21 say fairly early on in the meeting that we are 21 letter from Hassans on the 12th. We then 22 led to believe that Mr Levy is going to make 22 received this other letter, which also 23 23 a "no comment" interview on the 18th. We contained very serious allegations, and we 24 then discussed the letter that we had 24 were working together to deal with the letters 25 25 received, and that was the start of a process and to deal and discuss, and agree the best Page 9 Page 11 1 where we knew that that was coming, and 1 way forwards so I was just part of that. A lot 2 that we were going to start looking for an 2 of these letters were being addressed to me, 3 3 as well. I mean, that's the role I was playing alternative way to get evidence from Mr 4 4 is - look, we were agreeing a strategy in the 5 5 Q. Why did you meet in person if you were meetings, and I was simply transmitting that 6 6 already in written communications with each to Mr Baglietto so that they would then act 7 7 accordingly. 8 A. Yes, I was wondering about that, and I 8 Q. Did you consider that you were 9 9 think that was a Friday, wasn't it, 15 May? sufficiently at arm's length from Mr Baglietto 10 Q. I believe it was, yes. 10 given your personal relationship? 11 11 A. I think - if I remember correctly, Mr A. Completely. 12 Baglietto was going to Mr Levy's house 12 Q. The Chief Minister gives evidence of a 13 13 because it was Sabbath - just before Sabbath meeting that he had with Mr Baglietto and 14 14 - and my office is just next door, so he might Mr Levy at the Chief Minister's home on 17 15 15 have popped in for that. May, that was on the Sunday. Did the Chief 16 16 Q. But he was asked to attend your office, it Minister tell you about that meeting? 17 17 A. No. I became aware of that in the seems from the message? 18 18 A. Yes, but as I say, I don't know what is the evidence. 19 19 source of that - asking to come over to see Q. Through the inquiry? 20 20 A. In the inquiry, yes. 21 Q. Did you tell the RGP that you had met 21 Q. Are you concerned about the 22 22 Mr Baglietto? appropriateness of the Chief Minister 23 23 A. Yes. We had spoken openly about arranging to meet with a suspect in a criminal 24 contact with Mr Baglietto during that day's 24 investigation, a live criminal investigation? 25 25 A. I think that's a matter for him. meeting. Page 10 Page 12

3 (Pages 9 to 12)

O. At C6, 883 there are further missed calls 1 permission, "Is it a good idea?". I mean, it's 2 2 from Mr Baglietto on 20 May. Did you in the transcript. "Do you think I should call 3 3 speak to him on that day? Lewis or should somebody else be calling 4 A. Yes, I think I did because that call, the 4 Lewis?" I mean, I think it was - well, there 5 missed call, is at 13.43. I think the meeting 5 was certainly total transparency. 6 6 Q. If we can turn to B3, 346. This is, I of the 20th was at one. 7 7 think, perhaps the email that you were Q. I think that is right. 8 8 referring to that says, "Further to A. And I almost certainly called him after 9 9 the meeting, and I called him to tell him, confirmation that the Commissioner would 10 10 effectively, that we were not impressed by be content with a written statement". 11 what they had done in their last letter - I 11 A. Sorry, it's not that one. There was one 12 don't know which one of the letters it was -12 letter where they say, "Witness statement", 13 where they came back recording - I mean, I 13 which was the subject matter of the meeting 14 14 with Mr McGrail on the 20th. That's the one I had told them on the 15th that the RGP was 15 15 was referring to before. prepared to accept a written statement, and 16 then the letter that came, the next letter that 16 Q. We will try and find that in the meantime. 17 comes back from Hassans, refers to a witness 17 Can we now go to B1, 418, please. Sorry 18 18 about this, but we have got B5, 439. statement. So, I remember that on the 20th 19 19 we were all very angry with that because that A. Yes, that's the one. 20 20 is not what I had told them, and then I would Q. That is the one, yes, and you say that at 21 have called him to tell him as much. 21 that point, that did not reflect anything that 22 Q. And the letter that comes on 20 May is at 22 had been said or sent to Hassans? 23 23 B5, 443. A. Absolutely not. I told them a written 24 A. I think it is in the first paragraph, isn't it? 24 statement, and the written statement had 25 25 Q. Sorry, I did not catch that? become a witness statement. Page 13 Page 15 1 A. No, sorry, I was just trying to find where 1 Q. We were going to go to B1, 418. These 2 it is that they say, "Witness statement". 2 are WhatsApp exchanges between you and 3 3 Q. I see. Did you discuss the contents of this the Chief Minister on 17 May, towards the 4 4 letter with Mr Baglietto before it was sent? top of the page. This is the day on which Mr 5 5 A. No, no, I didn't discuss any content of Levy and Mr Baglietto attend the Chief 6 6 any letter with Mr Baglietto. Minister's home to meet him, and that 7 Q. Given that you were advising the RGP on 7 evening the Chief Minister sends some media 8 8 how to respond to these letters, do you to you. We know that that is the HMIC 9 9 consider that it was, nevertheless, appropriate report, and he says, "That is page 13 of the 10 for you to be in separate communication with 10 HMIC report published last week. Look at 11 11 Mr Baglietto? the bit I have highlighted in red. Boom", and 12 A. Mr Santos, my contacts with Mr 12 you say, "Hardly encouraging. I understand 13 13 Baglietto were agreed in the meetings with that technical experts can say when a phone 14 14 Mr McGrail and Mr Richardson. It was very has been accessed or otherwise tampered 15 15 transparent. I mean, I was just - it was part with." Just to show you the page - of course, 16 16 of my role to manage that, and the you disclosed this page to the inquiry, but 17 communications that I had was to manage the 17 just to remind you of this, it is at C6, 761, 18 18 situation. That's all I was doing. There was and a highlighted part in terms of - it is a 19 19 no particular discussion. It's, "Look, the section, "Investigative support" and the 20 20 RGP has decided that that they'll accept a underlined words are, "We found them using

4 (Pages 13 to 16)

written statement", and little else.

Q. My next question was going to be, were

you fully transparent with the RGP as to

what you were discussing with Hassans?

A. Absolutely. I mean, I was asking for

Page 14

21

22

23

24

25

21

22

23

24

25

their personal devices to examine offenders'

phones. This isn't good practice and doesn't

meet best evidence standards." What was

your understanding as to why the Chief

Page 16

Minister was sending you this?

1 1 A. Well, I mean this was a completely A. None in particular other than - that's 2 when, the 18th? 2 unsolicited message that I got from the Chief 3 Q. That is the 17th, that you meet. 3 Minister. I assumed that what he was, 4 A. The 17th. I mean, I knew in what frame 4 perhaps, suggesting is whether this power 5 5 of mind the Chief Minister was in relation to was available to me, but as you can see, the 6 6 Mr McGrail after the lying of 12 May, so I exchange is very short and as you know it is 7 7 just - I mean, I didn't pay any particular something that I never did at all, and I just 8 8 attention to it other than he was sending it to didn't take account of anything about that, 9 9 because I didn't think it was necessary for me me. As you can see, I don't particularly 10 10 engage in that conversation. to do so, and for those who allege that I'm the 11 Q. But did you understand that to be a 11 Chief Minister's wing man, this is one 12 12 reference to the search warrant of Mr Levy? example that I would give to the contrary 13 13 effect. A. No, not particularly. 14 14 Q. So, did you understand this to be the Q. Notwithstanding what you say about, "I 15 15 Chief Minister proposing that you take over understand that technical experts can say 16 when a phone can be accessed or otherwise 16 the proceedings in accordance with 59(2)(b)? 17 17 tampered with"? A. I don't know because I didn't discuss it 18 18 with me(sic). I think it's an inference to A. True, I may have read it that way, 19 19 absolutely. It's a long time ago, Mr Santos, make - I mean - from the exchange. 20 20 so - but yes, I accept that my reply seems to Q. Why did you refer to (2)(b) when you 21 indicate that. That was the great concern at 21 saw that? 22 22 A. Because when I saw it, I imagine that that the time. 23 23 Q. At the time. If we then go to 18 May is what he - that was the reason why he was 24 2020, actually, these are messages that are 24 sending it to me, considering the stage at 25 25 sent after midnight, 35 minutes past which the investigation was at that moment Page 17 Page 19 1 midnight, between 35 minutes past midnight 1 in time. 2 and eight minutes to one in the morning, so it 2 Q. What would be the advantage of taking 3 3 is later that same evening, and the Chief over criminal proceedings? 4 4 Minister sends you a message to discuss, and A. I don't know, Mr Santos. I didn't give it 5 5 then there is some media omitted, but we much thought, because it's something that I 6 6 have that too. That is at C6, 763, and what wasn't contemplating of doing at all. 7 he has sent you is section 59 of the 7 Q. Did you consider that the Chief Minister 8 8 Constitution, and just picking up 59(2)(b), it was seeking to influence your exercise or 9 9 says, "Attorney-General shall have power in non-exercise of that power? 10 any case in which he considers it desirable so 10 A. I don't know. You should ask the 11 11 to do - to take over and continue any such question to him. I certainly wasn't influenced 12 criminal proceedings that may have been 12 13 13 instituted by any other person or authority". Q. You have touched upon this, but I just 14 14 If we go back to B1 418, the answer that want to make things clear. His first message 15 15 says, "to discuss". Did you have any comes from you is "(2)(b)?". So, you seem 16 16 discussions about this? to be referring to that provision and the 17 17 answer that comes back from the Chief A. None, at all. 18 18 Q. When you say, "We need to check what Minister is, "Exactly, in re a search warrant 19 19 as a proceeding", and you say, "We need to 'such' means in that context", you do not 20 20 check what 'such' means in that context." appear to be disagreeing with the Chief 21 21 "Such" - I think the reference to "such" is in Minister's proposal. Is that correct? 22 22 59(2)(b): "to take over and continue any such A. It's just a reply. It's 10 to one in the 23 23 criminal proceedings". What were you morning, you know. I wasn't going to 24 discussing with the Chief Minister at that 24 engage in any particular discussion. 25 25 point? Q. Were you discussing also exercising the Page 18 Page 20

1	power in 59 (2)(c)?	1	example it included that the Chief Minister's
2	A. Not at all.	2	evidence was very important, and there was a
3	Q. What would be the purpose of taking	3	clear indication from the defence that they
4	over the proceedings if not to exercise the	4	would be asking the Chief Minister to give
5	power at 59(2)(c)?	5	live evidence at trial. Further down at
6	A. Absolutely.	6	number 4, there is reference to the Financial
7	Q. If we can go to A3, 07, please, this is	7	Secretary having provided statements in the
8	paragraph 38 of your second affidavit, and	8	case, disclosure regarding communications,
9	the paragraph there you say, referring to Mr	9	and it says, "Unfortunately the defence will
10	McGrail's evidence, "What he refers to at	10	of course raise the beneficial ownership
11	para 58.29 is recorded at pages 53 to 54 of	11	issue", and then the final paragraph on that
12	the translated transcript. However,	12	page says, "I hope the above gives you a
13	consistently with what I have said in my first	13	flavour of the issue and matters that are
14	affidavit, it is clear that the issue of stopping	14	coming to the fore, and most of which have
15	the prosecution and/or the issuing of a nolle,	15	been raised by counsel for Messrs Perez and
16	was raised by IM himself much earlier in the	16	Cornelio in their letter, which has been
17	meeting (and dismissed by me and DPP) and	17	copied to you asking for you to consider
18	this is set out at page 12 of the translated	18	whether this matter should proceed. You've
19	transcript. I reproduce below the relevant	19	also been provided with a copy of my
20	parts of the transcript." There is that	20	response" and he does say, for completeness,
21	exchange where Mr McGrail says, "Well	21	over the page, "Finally I would say that there
22	then, who stops it?", and he says earlier than	22	is nothing in the communications that I have
23	that, "I cannot pull it, you can, you can,	23	seen in relation to the CM or FS that concern
24	Michael". So, in your evidence you rely on	24	me." I understand that you are not prepared
25	the fact that Mr McGrail raised the option of	25	to reveal the reasons for the nolle, and I'm
	Page 21		Page 23
1	a malla veritle veces. To it mat fain to cover that the	1	mot calcing years to do an last one year willing
1	a nolle with you. Is it not fair to say that the	1	not asking you to do so, but are you willing
2	Chief Minister had, effectively, raised the	2	to confirm on oath that the matters mentioned
2 3	Chief Minister had, effectively, raised the question of a nolle with you by a message?	2 3	to confirm on oath that the matters mentioned in that email were not the reason for and did
2 3 4	Chief Minister had, effectively, raised the question of a nolle with you by a message?  A. I (inaudible) - certainly no connection. I	2 3 4	to confirm on oath that the matters mentioned in that email were not the reason for and did not lead to your decision to discontinue?
2 3 4 5	Chief Minister had, effectively, raised the question of a nolle with you by a message?  A. I (inaudible) - certainly no connection. I mean, I completely forgot that exchange	2 3 4 5	to confirm on oath that the matters mentioned in that email were not the reason for and did not lead to your decision to discontinue?  A. I confirm on oath that those reasons have
2 3 4 5 6	Chief Minister had, effectively, raised the question of a nolle with you by a message?  A. I (inaudible) - certainly no connection. I mean, I completely forgot that exchange because it was not something I considered	2 3 4 5 6	to confirm on oath that the matters mentioned in that email were not the reason for and did not lead to your decision to discontinue?  A. I confirm on oath that those reasons have absolutely nothing to do - for the reasons for
2 3 4 5 6 7	Chief Minister had, effectively, raised the question of a nolle with you by a message?  A. I (inaudible) - certainly no connection. I mean, I completely forgot that exchange because it was not something I considered relevant. So, I've never made any connection	2 3 4 5 6 7	to confirm on oath that the matters mentioned in that email were not the reason for and did not lead to your decision to discontinue?  A. I confirm on oath that those reasons have absolutely nothing to do - for the reasons for my nolle. Also bear in mind, that is an email
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Chief Minister had, effectively, raised the question of a nolle with you by a message?  A. I (inaudible) - certainly no connection. I mean, I completely forgot that exchange because it was not something I considered relevant. So, I've never made any connection between Mr McGrail's offer in the meeting of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	to confirm on oath that the matters mentioned in that email were not the reason for and did not lead to your decision to discontinue?  A. I confirm on oath that those reasons have absolutely nothing to do - for the reasons for my nolle. Also bear in mind, that is an email of 8 March 2021, and I didn't enter the nolle
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Chief Minister had, effectively, raised the question of a nolle with you by a message?  A. I (inaudible) - certainly no connection. I mean, I completely forgot that exchange because it was not something I considered relevant. So, I've never made any connection between Mr McGrail's offer in the meeting of the 13th and the message from the Chief	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	to confirm on oath that the matters mentioned in that email were not the reason for and did not lead to your decision to discontinue?  A. I confirm on oath that those reasons have absolutely nothing to do - for the reasons for my nolle. Also bear in mind, that is an email of 8 March 2021, and I didn't enter the nolle until January 2022.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Chief Minister had, effectively, raised the question of a nolle with you by a message?  A. I (inaudible) - certainly no connection. I mean, I completely forgot that exchange because it was not something I considered relevant. So, I've never made any connection between Mr McGrail's offer in the meeting of the 13th and the message from the Chief Minister.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	to confirm on oath that the matters mentioned in that email were not the reason for and did not lead to your decision to discontinue?  A. I confirm on oath that those reasons have absolutely nothing to do - for the reasons for my nolle. Also bear in mind, that is an email of 8 March 2021, and I didn't enter the nolle until January 2022.  Q. And in your second affidavit, your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Chief Minister had, effectively, raised the question of a nolle with you by a message?  A. I (inaudible) - certainly no connection. I mean, I completely forgot that exchange because it was not something I considered relevant. So, I've never made any connection between Mr McGrail's offer in the meeting of the 13th and the message from the Chief Minister.  Q. Sorry, just to clarify one point about this,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	to confirm on oath that the matters mentioned in that email were not the reason for and did not lead to your decision to discontinue?  A. I confirm on oath that those reasons have absolutely nothing to do - for the reasons for my nolle. Also bear in mind, that is an email of 8 March 2021, and I didn't enter the nolle until January 2022.  Q. And in your second affidavit, your position is that the decision to enter the nolle
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Chief Minister had, effectively, raised the question of a nolle with you by a message?  A. I (inaudible) - certainly no connection. I mean, I completely forgot that exchange because it was not something I considered relevant. So, I've never made any connection between Mr McGrail's offer in the meeting of the 13th and the message from the Chief Minister.  Q. Sorry, just to clarify one point about this, just back on that, C6, 763, when the Chief	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	to confirm on oath that the matters mentioned in that email were not the reason for and did not lead to your decision to discontinue?  A. I confirm on oath that those reasons have absolutely nothing to do - for the reasons for my nolle. Also bear in mind, that is an email of 8 March 2021, and I didn't enter the nolle until January 2022.  Q. And in your second affidavit, your position is that the decision to enter the nolle was based on matters brought to your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Chief Minister had, effectively, raised the question of a nolle with you by a message?  A. I (inaudible) - certainly no connection. I mean, I completely forgot that exchange because it was not something I considered relevant. So, I've never made any connection between Mr McGrail's offer in the meeting of the 13th and the message from the Chief Minister.  Q. Sorry, just to clarify one point about this, just back on that, C6, 763, when the Chief Minister sent you this, why did you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	to confirm on oath that the matters mentioned in that email were not the reason for and did not lead to your decision to discontinue?  A. I confirm on oath that those reasons have absolutely nothing to do - for the reasons for my nolle. Also bear in mind, that is an email of 8 March 2021, and I didn't enter the nolle until January 2022.  Q. And in your second affidavit, your position is that the decision to enter the nolle was based on matters brought to your attention a year after Mr McGrail's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Chief Minister had, effectively, raised the question of a nolle with you by a message?  A. I (inaudible) - certainly no connection. I mean, I completely forgot that exchange because it was not something I considered relevant. So, I've never made any connection between Mr McGrail's offer in the meeting of the 13th and the message from the Chief Minister.  Q. Sorry, just to clarify one point about this, just back on that, C6, 763, when the Chief Minister sent you this, why did you immediately think he was referring to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	to confirm on oath that the matters mentioned in that email were not the reason for and did not lead to your decision to discontinue?  A. I confirm on oath that those reasons have absolutely nothing to do - for the reasons for my nolle. Also bear in mind, that is an email of 8 March 2021, and I didn't enter the nolle until January 2022.  Q. And in your second affidavit, your position is that the decision to enter the nolle was based on matters brought to your attention a year after Mr McGrail's retirement.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Chief Minister had, effectively, raised the question of a nolle with you by a message?  A. I (inaudible) - certainly no connection. I mean, I completely forgot that exchange because it was not something I considered relevant. So, I've never made any connection between Mr McGrail's offer in the meeting of the 13th and the message from the Chief Minister.  Q. Sorry, just to clarify one point about this, just back on that, C6, 763, when the Chief Minister sent you this, why did you immediately think he was referring to 59(2)(b) and not 59(2)(c), for example?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	to confirm on oath that the matters mentioned in that email were not the reason for and did not lead to your decision to discontinue?  A. I confirm on oath that those reasons have absolutely nothing to do - for the reasons for my nolle. Also bear in mind, that is an email of 8 March 2021, and I didn't enter the nolle until January 2022.  Q. And in your second affidavit, your position is that the decision to enter the nolle was based on matters brought to your attention a year after Mr McGrail's retirement.  A. An email I received from the DPP on 7
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Chief Minister had, effectively, raised the question of a nolle with you by a message?  A. I (inaudible) - certainly no connection. I mean, I completely forgot that exchange because it was not something I considered relevant. So, I've never made any connection between Mr McGrail's offer in the meeting of the 13th and the message from the Chief Minister.  Q. Sorry, just to clarify one point about this, just back on that, C6, 763, when the Chief Minister sent you this, why did you immediately think he was referring to 59(2)(b) and not 59(2)(c), for example?  A. I don't know. Well, as I said just now,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	to confirm on oath that the matters mentioned in that email were not the reason for and did not lead to your decision to discontinue?  A. I confirm on oath that those reasons have absolutely nothing to do - for the reasons for my nolle. Also bear in mind, that is an email of 8 March 2021, and I didn't enter the nolle until January 2022.  Q. And in your second affidavit, your position is that the decision to enter the nolle was based on matters brought to your attention a year after Mr McGrail's retirement.  A. An email I received from the DPP on 7 May 2021, which attached are reports from
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Chief Minister had, effectively, raised the question of a nolle with you by a message?  A. I (inaudible) - certainly no connection. I mean, I completely forgot that exchange because it was not something I considered relevant. So, I've never made any connection between Mr McGrail's offer in the meeting of the 13th and the message from the Chief Minister.  Q. Sorry, just to clarify one point about this, just back on that, C6, 763, when the Chief Minister sent you this, why did you immediately think he was referring to 59(2)(b) and not 59(2)(c), for example?  A. I don't know. Well, as I said just now, I'm just assuming it was because I thought	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	to confirm on oath that the matters mentioned in that email were not the reason for and did not lead to your decision to discontinue?  A. I confirm on oath that those reasons have absolutely nothing to do - for the reasons for my nolle. Also bear in mind, that is an email of 8 March 2021, and I didn't enter the nolle until January 2022.  Q. And in your second affidavit, your position is that the decision to enter the nolle was based on matters brought to your attention a year after Mr McGrail's retirement.  A. An email I received from the DPP on 7 May 2021, which attached are reports from one of his Crown Counsel.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Chief Minister had, effectively, raised the question of a nolle with you by a message?  A. I (inaudible) - certainly no connection. I mean, I completely forgot that exchange because it was not something I considered relevant. So, I've never made any connection between Mr McGrail's offer in the meeting of the 13th and the message from the Chief Minister.  Q. Sorry, just to clarify one point about this, just back on that, C6, 763, when the Chief Minister sent you this, why did you immediately think he was referring to 59(2)(b) and not 59(2)(c), for example?  A. I don't know. Well, as I said just now, I'm just assuming it was because I thought that that power was potentially the most	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	to confirm on oath that the matters mentioned in that email were not the reason for and did not lead to your decision to discontinue?  A. I confirm on oath that those reasons have absolutely nothing to do - for the reasons for my nolle. Also bear in mind, that is an email of 8 March 2021, and I didn't enter the nolle until January 2022.  Q. And in your second affidavit, your position is that the decision to enter the nolle was based on matters brought to your attention a year after Mr McGrail's retirement.  A. An email I received from the DPP on 7 May 2021, which attached are reports from one of his Crown Counsel.  Q. In evidence yesterday, Mr DeVincenzi
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Chief Minister had, effectively, raised the question of a nolle with you by a message?  A. I (inaudible) - certainly no connection. I mean, I completely forgot that exchange because it was not something I considered relevant. So, I've never made any connection between Mr McGrail's offer in the meeting of the 13th and the message from the Chief Minister.  Q. Sorry, just to clarify one point about this, just back on that, C6, 763, when the Chief Minister sent you this, why did you immediately think he was referring to 59(2)(b) and not 59(2)(c), for example?  A. I don't know. Well, as I said just now, I'm just assuming it was because I thought that that power was potentially the most relevant one to the investigation at that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	to confirm on oath that the matters mentioned in that email were not the reason for and did not lead to your decision to discontinue?  A. I confirm on oath that those reasons have absolutely nothing to do - for the reasons for my nolle. Also bear in mind, that is an email of 8 March 2021, and I didn't enter the nolle until January 2022.  Q. And in your second affidavit, your position is that the decision to enter the nolle was based on matters brought to your attention a year after Mr McGrail's retirement.  A. An email I received from the DPP on 7 May 2021, which attached are reports from one of his Crown Counsel.  Q. In evidence yesterday, Mr DeVincenzi said that he recalled you at one point, not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Chief Minister had, effectively, raised the question of a nolle with you by a message?  A. I (inaudible) - certainly no connection. I mean, I completely forgot that exchange because it was not something I considered relevant. So, I've never made any connection between Mr McGrail's offer in the meeting of the 13th and the message from the Chief Minister.  Q. Sorry, just to clarify one point about this, just back on that, C6, 763, when the Chief Minister sent you this, why did you immediately think he was referring to 59(2)(b) and not 59(2)(c), for example?  A. I don't know. Well, as I said just now, I'm just assuming it was because I thought that that power was potentially the most relevant one to the investigation at that moment in time. It's just an assumption that I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	to confirm on oath that the matters mentioned in that email were not the reason for and did not lead to your decision to discontinue?  A. I confirm on oath that those reasons have absolutely nothing to do - for the reasons for my nolle. Also bear in mind, that is an email of 8 March 2021, and I didn't enter the nolle until January 2022.  Q. And in your second affidavit, your position is that the decision to enter the nolle was based on matters brought to your attention a year after Mr McGrail's retirement.  A. An email I received from the DPP on 7 May 2021, which attached are reports from one of his Crown Counsel.  Q. In evidence yesterday, Mr DeVincenzi said that he recalled you at one point, not long after 7 April meeting, referring to the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Chief Minister had, effectively, raised the question of a nolle with you by a message?  A. I (inaudible) - certainly no connection. I mean, I completely forgot that exchange because it was not something I considered relevant. So, I've never made any connection between Mr McGrail's offer in the meeting of the 13th and the message from the Chief Minister.  Q. Sorry, just to clarify one point about this, just back on that, C6, 763, when the Chief Minister sent you this, why did you immediately think he was referring to 59(2)(b) and not 59(2)(c), for example?  A. I don't know. Well, as I said just now, I'm just assuming it was because I thought that that power was potentially the most relevant one to the investigation at that moment in time. It's just an assumption that I made.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	to confirm on oath that the matters mentioned in that email were not the reason for and did not lead to your decision to discontinue?  A. I confirm on oath that those reasons have absolutely nothing to do - for the reasons for my nolle. Also bear in mind, that is an email of 8 March 2021, and I didn't enter the nolle until January 2022.  Q. And in your second affidavit, your position is that the decision to enter the nolle was based on matters brought to your attention a year after Mr McGrail's retirement.  A. An email I received from the DPP on 7 May 2021, which attached are reports from one of his Crown Counsel.  Q. In evidence yesterday, Mr DeVincenzi said that he recalled you at one point, not long after 7 April meeting, referring to the RGP as "payasos", or clowns in the context
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Chief Minister had, effectively, raised the question of a nolle with you by a message?  A. I (inaudible) - certainly no connection. I mean, I completely forgot that exchange because it was not something I considered relevant. So, I've never made any connection between Mr McGrail's offer in the meeting of the 13th and the message from the Chief Minister.  Q. Sorry, just to clarify one point about this, just back on that, C6, 763, when the Chief Minister sent you this, why did you immediately think he was referring to 59(2)(b) and not 59(2)(c), for example?  A. I don't know. Well, as I said just now, I'm just assuming it was because I thought that that power was potentially the most relevant one to the investigation at that moment in time. It's just an assumption that I made.  Q. If we can go to C5, 871, please, this is an	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	to confirm on oath that the matters mentioned in that email were not the reason for and did not lead to your decision to discontinue?  A. I confirm on oath that those reasons have absolutely nothing to do - for the reasons for my nolle. Also bear in mind, that is an email of 8 March 2021, and I didn't enter the nolle until January 2022.  Q. And in your second affidavit, your position is that the decision to enter the nolle was based on matters brought to your attention a year after Mr McGrail's retirement.  A. An email I received from the DPP on 7 May 2021, which attached are reports from one of his Crown Counsel.  Q. In evidence yesterday, Mr DeVincenzi said that he recalled you at one point, not long after 7 April meeting, referring to the RGP as "payasos", or clowns in the context of their handling of the investigation. Do
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Chief Minister had, effectively, raised the question of a nolle with you by a message?  A. I (inaudible) - certainly no connection. I mean, I completely forgot that exchange because it was not something I considered relevant. So, I've never made any connection between Mr McGrail's offer in the meeting of the 13th and the message from the Chief Minister.  Q. Sorry, just to clarify one point about this, just back on that, C6, 763, when the Chief Minister sent you this, why did you immediately think he was referring to 59(2)(b) and not 59(2)(c), for example?  A. I don't know. Well, as I said just now, I'm just assuming it was because I thought that that power was potentially the most relevant one to the investigation at that moment in time. It's just an assumption that I made.  Q. If we can go to C5, 871, please, this is an email sent by the DPP to you in March 2021,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	to confirm on oath that the matters mentioned in that email were not the reason for and did not lead to your decision to discontinue?  A. I confirm on oath that those reasons have absolutely nothing to do - for the reasons for my nolle. Also bear in mind, that is an email of 8 March 2021, and I didn't enter the nolle until January 2022.  Q. And in your second affidavit, your position is that the decision to enter the nolle was based on matters brought to your attention a year after Mr McGrail's retirement.  A. An email I received from the DPP on 7 May 2021, which attached are reports from one of his Crown Counsel.  Q. In evidence yesterday, Mr DeVincenzi said that he recalled you at one point, not long after 7 April meeting, referring to the RGP as "payasos", or clowns in the context of their handling of the investigation. Do you accept that you used that description?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Chief Minister had, effectively, raised the question of a nolle with you by a message?  A. I (inaudible) - certainly no connection. I mean, I completely forgot that exchange because it was not something I considered relevant. So, I've never made any connection between Mr McGrail's offer in the meeting of the 13th and the message from the Chief Minister.  Q. Sorry, just to clarify one point about this, just back on that, C6, 763, when the Chief Minister sent you this, why did you immediately think he was referring to 59(2)(b) and not 59(2)(c), for example?  A. I don't know. Well, as I said just now, I'm just assuming it was because I thought that that power was potentially the most relevant one to the investigation at that moment in time. It's just an assumption that I made.  Q. If we can go to C5, 871, please, this is an email sent by the DPP to you in March 2021, and where the DPP sets out matters that had	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	to confirm on oath that the matters mentioned in that email were not the reason for and did not lead to your decision to discontinue?  A. I confirm on oath that those reasons have absolutely nothing to do - for the reasons for my nolle. Also bear in mind, that is an email of 8 March 2021, and I didn't enter the nolle until January 2022.  Q. And in your second affidavit, your position is that the decision to enter the nolle was based on matters brought to your attention a year after Mr McGrail's retirement.  A. An email I received from the DPP on 7 May 2021, which attached are reports from one of his Crown Counsel.  Q. In evidence yesterday, Mr DeVincenzi said that he recalled you at one point, not long after 7 April meeting, referring to the RGP as "payasos", or clowns in the context of their handling of the investigation. Do you accept that you used that description? (9.35)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Chief Minister had, effectively, raised the question of a nolle with you by a message?  A. I (inaudible) - certainly no connection. I mean, I completely forgot that exchange because it was not something I considered relevant. So, I've never made any connection between Mr McGrail's offer in the meeting of the 13th and the message from the Chief Minister.  Q. Sorry, just to clarify one point about this, just back on that, C6, 763, when the Chief Minister sent you this, why did you immediately think he was referring to 59(2)(b) and not 59(2)(c), for example?  A. I don't know. Well, as I said just now, I'm just assuming it was because I thought that that power was potentially the most relevant one to the investigation at that moment in time. It's just an assumption that I made.  Q. If we can go to C5, 871, please, this is an email sent by the DPP to you in March 2021,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	to confirm on oath that the matters mentioned in that email were not the reason for and did not lead to your decision to discontinue?  A. I confirm on oath that those reasons have absolutely nothing to do - for the reasons for my nolle. Also bear in mind, that is an email of 8 March 2021, and I didn't enter the nolle until January 2022.  Q. And in your second affidavit, your position is that the decision to enter the nolle was based on matters brought to your attention a year after Mr McGrail's retirement.  A. An email I received from the DPP on 7 May 2021, which attached are reports from one of his Crown Counsel.  Q. In evidence yesterday, Mr DeVincenzi said that he recalled you at one point, not long after 7 April meeting, referring to the RGP as "payasos", or clowns in the context of their handling of the investigation. Do you accept that you used that description?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Chief Minister had, effectively, raised the question of a nolle with you by a message?  A. I (inaudible) - certainly no connection. I mean, I completely forgot that exchange because it was not something I considered relevant. So, I've never made any connection between Mr McGrail's offer in the meeting of the 13th and the message from the Chief Minister.  Q. Sorry, just to clarify one point about this, just back on that, C6, 763, when the Chief Minister sent you this, why did you immediately think he was referring to 59(2)(b) and not 59(2)(c), for example?  A. I don't know. Well, as I said just now, I'm just assuming it was because I thought that that power was potentially the most relevant one to the investigation at that moment in time. It's just an assumption that I made.  Q. If we can go to C5, 871, please, this is an email sent by the DPP to you in March 2021, and where the DPP sets out matters that had	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	to confirm on oath that the matters mentioned in that email were not the reason for and did not lead to your decision to discontinue?  A. I confirm on oath that those reasons have absolutely nothing to do - for the reasons for my nolle. Also bear in mind, that is an email of 8 March 2021, and I didn't enter the nolle until January 2022.  Q. And in your second affidavit, your position is that the decision to enter the nolle was based on matters brought to your attention a year after Mr McGrail's retirement.  A. An email I received from the DPP on 7 May 2021, which attached are reports from one of his Crown Counsel.  Q. In evidence yesterday, Mr DeVincenzi said that he recalled you at one point, not long after 7 April meeting, referring to the RGP as "payasos", or clowns in the context of their handling of the investigation. Do you accept that you used that description? (9.35)

1 1 Q. Which we will come to. Given your own mentioned it. I assume it's true, and I would 2 publicly like to apologise to those who I 2 falling out with the Commissioner of Police, 3 3 addressed that comment to at the time. I did you feel that you should take a step back 4 4 must say that it's a bit unfair of Mr from that process? 5 5 A. Yes, possibly, and also because there is DeVincenzi to have said that the way he said 6 6 yesterday, because when we talk amongst us, no role for me in that process. 7 7 Q. Is it fair to say that Mr Pyle's intention often we use descriptions such as that, which 8 8 was to either secure Mr McGrail's retirement don't particularly mean what we are saying. 9 9 It could be exasperation or whatever. I through section 34 or invoke section 13 10 10 thought it was a bit unfair for him to have himself? 11 highlighted that yesterday. 11 A. I can't remember what Mr Pyle's mind 12 12 was at what particular date. I mean Q. Turning to what we call issues 8 to 10, 13 13 your evidence in your first witness statement obviously he was embarked on that at that 14 14 at 6(a), which is at A270, is that you have no moment in time. I mean, that's all I 15 15 statutory or constitutional role in relation to remember. 16 the retirement resignation or removal of the 16 Q. Was the Chief Minister's mind made up 17 17 Commissioner of Police. by 12 May? 18 18 A. Maybe, I don't know. Ask him. I don't A. Thank you. 19 19 know. I can't remember. Q. Paragraph 6(a), but I am paraphrasing 20 20 Q. But from your involvement, was the that you say that you have no statutory role in 21 process a fait accompli? 21 that process and that you did not take part in 22 22 any of the decisions and that your A. Well, what I knew was what had 23 23 happened on 12 May, so I would be hardly participation was limited to just three 24 24 interactions that you set out in your surprised if whatever it was that he was 25 discussing with Mr Pyle would also -- this 25 statement. Would it be fair to say that you Page 25 Page 27 1 were nevertheless in contact with the Chief 1 incident would be mentioned and the lie in 2 Minister and the Governor throughout that 2 particular. 3 process? 3 Q. But did you see that there was room for 4 4 A. Very, very little. There was some contact Mr McGrail to explain himself, make 5 5 but it was very, very little. That was their representations and preserve his --6 issue. I wasn't involved in that. I am sure 6 A. I don't know, Mr Santos. As I said, I 7 you will come to it. I think I was called on 4 7 wasn't involved in that part. 8 8 June because of the procedural errors Q. On 14 May, the Chief Minister forwarded 9 9 committee. you a message that he had sent to the Deputy 10 Q. We will come to that. We will come to 10 Governor, which set out a list of reasons for 11 that. 11 starting to lose confidence in the senior 12 A. Yes. 12 management of the RGP, that is at B1417. 13 13 Q. Would it be fair to say that you acted as a This is 14 May at 9.49. He starts by sending 14 14 soundboard for the Chief Minister throughout you a link to an article in El Faro de Ceuta 15 15 the process? and he says, "This has appeared today." And 16 16 you say, "Couldn't make it up." Then he says A. Not even. Not even. He was dealing 17 with Mr Pyle on those matters. I'm not 17 -- just actually jump over that. Over the page 18 18 saying that he didn't speak to me. I don't he says, "Just sent to Deputy Governor." So 19 19 exclude that possibility, but I wasn't part of he is forwarding you this message that he has 20 20 that. just sent to the Deputy Governor and he says 21 21 Q. What about Mr Pyle, did you act as a the following on the previous page, "Hi, this 22 22 soundboard for Mr Pyle? article has just appeared. A civil claim has 23 A. Not at all, until --23 been filed in Spain in Ceuta by the families 24 Q. Apart from the 4th? 24 of the deceased in the incident with the RGP 25 25 A. Yes. off the Eastside. This is obviously going to Page 26 Page 28

1 cause us huge issues. Damages claims, 1 O. If we look at C3953 please, this is an 2 2 political problems, etc. I am totally there to email exchange between the Chief Minister 3 3 support the officers on the frontline. I am and Mr Pyle. This is an email exchange 4 starting to have huge concerns about the 4 between the Chief Minister and Mr Pyle on 5 senior management of the RGP. I will alert a 5 17 May 2020 where they are exchanging 6 particular matter when we meet, but in terms 6 their thoughts ahead of a meeting on the 7 of the past few months alone ..." Then he 7 following day with Dr Britto, the Chair of the 8 8 sets out five points. Then he says, "I think I GPA and their reasons for their loss of 9 9 will be asking Ian McGrail to provide more confidence. Were you aware of the Chief 10 10 detail and in writing as to what happened Minister and the Governor's intention to 11 here and what they are going to do to engage 11 speak to Dr Britto at that point? 12 12 with these claims before there is any A. No. Certainly my recollection is not at 13 requirement that they do so." That I think 13 all. 14 culminates in a section 15 request. Then he 14 Q. Did you see these email sat the time? 15 says, "I am starting to lose confidence here. 15 A. No, I think I have seen them for the first 16 Best wishes, Fabian." 16 time now, well, when I was preparing for the 17 17 Why do you think that the Chief Minister Inquiry. 18 18 forwarded this message to you? Q. When did you learn of the meeting 19 19 between the Chief Minister, Mr Pyle and Dr A. I don't know. It may be because we'd 20 20 Britto on 18 May? been together on the 12th and he thought that 21 this was ... bear in mind what his frame of 21 A. I can't remember. 22 mind was during these days, and my 22 Q. Did you learn of it shortly thereafter? 23 23 comment on "You couldn't make it up", is A. I wouldn't be able to say. 24 just because of the timing of the publication 24 Q. We know that the Chief Minister's 25 25 because this is just two days after 12 May. principal reason for losing confidence in Mr Page 29 Page 31 1 As you can see, I don't engage in that 1 McGrail was Operation Delhi. Given his 2 2 own personal investment in 36 North and his exchange at all. 3 3 Q. Was this the first time that the Chief communications with the suspect, did you 4 4 Minister shared his views with you about Mr consider whether he was in a sufficiently 5 5 McGrail's role as Commissioner of Police or arm's length position to be engaged in this 6 6 did this message follow on from an earlier process? 7 7 A. I leave those matters for him. I mean, conversation? 8 8 he's an intelligent man and it's a matter for A. I don't think a conversation, but I think 9 9 the inference of the meeting of 12 May was 10 pretty obvious. 10 Q. If we can go to B1419 please. This is 11 11 Q. And what was your -- you say that you further on in your exchanges with the Chief 12 didn't engage, but what was your own ... did 12 Minister. On 22 May there are four 13 it you react at any point to that message or 13 messages where you say, "He hasn't turn up." 14 14 respond to it? And the Chief Minister responds, "See your 15 15 A. No, I don't recall at all reacting to that. email. The casual nature of his reply is just 16 16 These are matters, Mr Santos, that I don't remarkable." Then about 20 minutes later 17 17 you say, "Spoken to CoP. Call me when you even have particular knowledge about, like 18 18 can." Then 12.30, "Call me when you can." the Federation bullying allegations, for 19 19 example. So, I didn't consider it was for me When you say, "He hasn't turned up", who 20 20 to comment on that, on any of that. are you referring to at that point? 21 21 Q. Did it strike you as odd that the Chief A. I don't know and it may be that I had a 22 Minster was saying there that he was starting 22 meeting with Mr McGrail for that day. 23 to lose confidence given what you had 23 When I was looking at this exchange the 24 witnessed on 12 May? 24 other day, I seem to have seen something on 25 25 A. No. that. But I'm not sure. Page 30 Page 32

1 Q. Can you work out why you would have 1 A. I thought it was the biggest flight of 2 2 been meeting Mr McGrail on that day? fantasy I have ever read in my professional 3 3 A. No, I have been thinking about it but I career. 4 can't remember why. 4 Q. Can you just explain briefly why you 5 Q. The Chief Minister says, "See your 5 think that? 6 email" and says, "The casual nature of his 6 A. Well, I mean the allegations that are 7 reply is just remarkable." Do you know what 7 being made there are just completely absurd. 8 email is being referred to there? 8 Q. If we go to B1419 please, back to your 9 9 A. No, but I think it had to do with the exchanges with the Chief Minister, we are incident at sea and the communications. 10 10 jumping ahead to 4 June and there is an 11 Q. The one that we were looking at 11 exchange that says, "Let's meet 5.30 here 12 yesterday, the legal claims. 12 with Nick Pyle." You say, "Sure, he's here 13 A. Yes, possibly. 13 with me. We can go earlier if you wish. 14 Q. In fact, we did consider that. The only 14 Doing a GBC interview first on tax treaty." 15 thing is it is a couple days later. 15 You say, "Okay." Do you recall what was 16 A. Yes, yes, I was just thinking the same 16 discussed at that meeting? 17 thing. He may not have sent Mr McGrail's 17 A. No, but in view of the dates, I think we 18 reply to me immediately, but I thought the 18 were aware or I was aware of the procedural 19 same thing. 19 errors in the GPA decision making process. 20 Q. You then say that you'd spoken to the 20 Q. I was about to ask you about that. How 21 Commissioner of Police. You don't know 21 did you become aware of --22 what was said in that discussion I think is 22 A. I don't know. I can't remember. I've been 23 your evidence. You can't recall what was 23 thinking about that. 24 said between you and the Commissioner of 24 Q. Would you have discussed it with Dr 25 Police? 25 Britto or Mr Neish? Page 33 Page 35 1 A. During that call on the 22nd? Well, they 1 A. Maybe. Maybe. Not with Mr Neish 2 2 produced a one-sided transcript of that because I don't think Mr Neish was 3 3 conversation. instructed at the time. 4 4 Q. The letter from TSN comes on 5 June, so Q. This is the one that is recorded by Mr 5 5 Richardson? that's --6 6 A. Exactly, so, on the basis of the evidence A. Well maybe he was. I mean, I don't 7 that I now see, it is clear that I must have 7 remember. But I can't answer -- I've been 8 8 known that I'd received the letter from the asking myself the same question and I think 9 9 GPA and I felt sad about it. I mean, it must have been Mr Britto who brought it to 10 notwithstanding everything that had 10 my attention. 11 11 happened between us. You can see from the Q. If we can turn to C4727, this is an email 12 transcript that it was quite an emotive 12 to you of 5 June from Nick Pyle, which you 13 13 respond to and Mr Pyle says, "I have exchange between us, so that was the 14 14 purpose of the call. reflected on the discussion we had yesterday 15 15 afternoon on the issue of the Commissioner Q. And you say "Call me when you can", 16 16 did you discuss Mr McGrail's -of Police. My preferred course of action, 17 A. No, I don't remember discussing that. 17 recognising the need to resolve this issue 18 18 quickly, not least in the light of media Q. A letter was sent to you by Dr Britto on 19 19 scrutiny, is that I take no action until I have 29 May, C4619. If we just go over the page, 20 this is the letter from Gomez & Co of 29 20 seen the letters that the GPA Chair, the Chief 21 May 2020. Did you discuss that letter with 21 Minister and yourself are writing to the GPA 22 22 Mr Pyle or with the Chief Minister? in response to the Gomez letter." So it does 23 23 A. I'm pretty sure I did, yes. look there as if you'd had a discussion as to 24 Q. What do you recall your thoughts about 24 first of all the GPA process and what the next 25 that letter being? 25 steps should be on the previous day, on 4

Page 34

Page 36

June. Is that correct? 1 to take a considered and reflected decision. I 2 2 A. Yes. think this is important, not least to 3 3 Q. And I think I am right in saying that this demonstrate to the Commissioner that I have 4 4 meeting is not mentioned in your witness taken all representations into account one 5 statements. I think if we go to A270 --5 final time. The AG agrees with this 6 6 A. Yes, we don't need to go there. My first approach." 7 7 Does that accurately record your advice to recollection, and certainly when I wrote my 8 8 Mr Pyle on 4 June? witness statement, that my first intervention 9 9 in this process was on 5 June. So there was A. I think so, yes. 10 10 obviously a discussion on the 4th which I had Q. And it does seem from this that before the 11 forgotten about. 11 letter comes in from the GPA, you were 12 12 Q. The 4th. If we can go to C4725 please, already aware that there were procedural 13 13 this is a message from Mr Pyle to the FCO flaws and the GPA --14 14 and he says, "As discussed yesterday, things A. Yes. 15 15 have taken a turn for the worse." Q. -- was going to take the view that it 16 A. Sorry, I don't have it in front of me. 16 wouldn't ... couldn't --17 17 A. Yes. Q. Sorry, apologies. It is a message from 18 18 Q. -- couldn't proceed. So, in terms of 5 Nick Pyle to the FCO, we just have it now on 19 June meeting, which is the following day, 19 screen. 20 20 you say that you attended as the Governor A. Yes. 21 and the Chief Minister's legal adviser to 21 Q. At line 54 on 5 June: 22 22 "As discussed yesterday, things have taken a discuss an issue that had arisen in relation to 23 23 procedural errors. That is your evidence. It turn for the worse. The AG told me 24 24 yesterday the Gibraltar Police Authority does appear as though actually that 25 discussion may have taken place on 4 June. 25 meeting that was held last week to consider Page 37 Page 39 1 the position of the Commissioner of Police 1 A. An initial discussion. I can't remember 2 2 was not quorate. Its deliberations are the chronology. Now, whether we spoke 3 3 therefore null and void and the Chair of the about the same issue, namely the procedural 4 4 errors on the 4th or the 5th or indeed both. GPA should therefore not have called for the 5 5 Commissioner of Police to resign. I should Q. Yes. And there are no notes of either 6 6 get a letter this morning from the Chair meeting, the 4th or 5 June? 7 7 informing me of this. The AG advised there 8 8 is no point in looking to appoint new GPA Q. If we go to B1420 please. Just at the top 9 9 members as they are chosen by the Public of that page, this is 5 June at 1.40, the Chief 10 Services Commissioner from a list proposed 10 Minister says, "Any news from GPA?" You 11 11 say, "No." He says, "FFS." Then you say, by the CM and I. They are then appointed by 12 the Governor. Any new GPA members 12 "They started a meeting at midday." "Ah, 13 13 okay." So were you asked by the Chief would therefore likely be perceived as 14 14 biased, not least by a court. This leaves Minster to keep tabs on the GPA meeting? 15 15 A. No. I think we'd been discussing it and section 13(1)(f) of the Police Act as the only 16 16 way forward to secure the resignation of the we wanted to see what the outcome of that 17 Commissioner should it be determined that 17 meeting was. 18 this is the best way forward. The relevant 18 Q. Then on 5 June, the GPA informed Mr 19 19 sections of the Police Act are attached." Pyle of the flawed process. That is at C4743. 20 20 He then attaches letters, and taking the The final paragraph says: 21 21 second line of the next paragraph: "We have taken independent legal advice and 22 22 "My intent at the moment is to ask to see have been advised that the process which we 23 23 those letters before summoning the have adopted under section 34 of the Police 24 Commissioner. I would therefore have all 24 Act is fundamentally flawed and should be 25 25 the relevant information to hand to enable me withdrawn. However, we are also advised Page 38 Page 40

1 that the complaints by you and the Chief 1 exercising one of the other powers under 2 2 Minister remain live and have to be section 13? 3 3 determined. We have also been advised that A. I may have. I can't recollect. 4 the Authority as presently constituted is 4 Q. Did you consider suggesting that external 5 vulnerable to challenge on the grounds of 5 advice be obtained given, for example, your 6 bias. The Authority considers that as present 6 own loss of confidence, loss of trust in the 7 7 constituted, it is unable to process the Commissioner? 8 8 complaints without being vulnerable to legal A. Firstly, I knew he was getting legal 9 9 challenge and is therefore not prepared to do advice from the Foreign Office as well, so I 10 10 so." knew that channel was being used. And 11 I should just correct myself, this letter does 11 secondly, on the question of perception of 12 come from the Gibraltar Police Authority as 12 bias, it seemed to be quite obvious, the point 13 you said, and not from TSN. If we then turn 13 that the GPA could not appoint new members 14 to 4796, please, I just want to show you this 14 because of the fact that the Chief Minister 15 before I ask you my question, this is your 15 and the Governor are those who are involved 16 email to Mr Pyle on 5 June 2020 at 4.46. 16 in that process. 17 You advise him on the response to that letter. 17 Q. On the same day, you and the Chief 18 Your final two paragraphs say: 18 Minister both sent letters to the Gibraltar 19 "Accordingly, the position is one where 19 Police Authority. At C4757, we have the 20 neither the current GPA nor any newly 20 final page of your letter, and I just want to go 21 constituted GPA can discharge or perform its 21 to the bottom of that letter, the penultimate 22 responsibility under section 34 of the Polie 22 paragraph, picking it up from three lines 23 Act. I therefore consider that the current 23 from the top: 24 situation is one which falls within section 13 24 "Nothing that I have ever said to the CoP or 25 of the Police Act. Section 13 provides that a 25 any other member of the RGP has been even Page 41 Page 43 1 series of powers are exercisable by the 1 remotely open to the possible 2 Governor where the GPA has failed to 2 misinterpretation that I have advised or 3 3 discharge or perform a responsibility encouraged, still less that I have interfered to 4 4 imposed on the GPA under the Police Act. bring about or tried to bring about the 5 5 One such power is the power to suspend curtailment of the investigation, how it is 6 6 from duty or call for the resignation of the carried out by the RGP or to prevent any 7 Commissioner. My advice is that in the 7 person from being charged. It is 8 8 circumstances of the case, that power is outrageously wrong and unfair for the CoP to 9 9 available to you if you were to decide to avail allege that I have let alone that I have done 10 yourself of it." 10 so repeatedly over an extended period of 11 11 So, your position there was that section 13 of time, and I am shocked and deeply resent that 12 the Police Act was activated. Does that 12 he has done so. This necessarily deepens my 13 13 remain your position today? loss of confidence in him going forward." 14 14 A. Yes, I mean I didn't have the relevant Can you just explain, unless you feel that that 15 15 paragraph on screen. is encapsulated entirely, why the 29 May 16 16 letter had deepened your loss of confidence? Q. I am sorry. 17 A. But I remember that letter quite -- that 17 A. Because of all the accusations that are 18 18 email well. made in that letter. 19 19 O. It is now there. Q. Given that you recognised, I think at the 20 20 A. Yes. And yes, that's my position. beginning of this letter, in fact you recognise 21 21 Q. Were you aware at the time that the that you did not have in fact -- in fact, the 22 22 Governor was minded to exercise that power second paragraph of the letter on 4754, you 23 under section 13(1)(f)? 23 say that you have no formal role under the 24 A. I was just giving him advice. 24 Police Act in relation to the matters currently 25 25 Q. Did you discuss with the Governor before the Authority for consideration. You Page 42 Page 44

1 say, "Therefore, whilst my views about the 1 A. Please. 2 CoP are relevant only insofar as the 2 Q. It is on the preceding page, sorry, 4818. 3 3 Authority wishes to have regard to them", Mr Pyle says: 4 4 you cannot allow the record to stand "During our discussion, you handed to me a 5 unrebutted. You provide a rebuttal of that. 5 copy of an email dated 5 June from Charles 6 Why did you feel it necessary to notify the 6 Gomez to James Neish under the subject 7 7 'Invitation to retire'. I have now read that GPA of your loss of confidence in the same 8 8 letter? email and note the final 14 words which state 9 9 A. Well, because I think if that is what the 'Our client feels he must apply for early 10 10 Commissioner of Police thought of me on 29 retirement from the Royal Gibraltar Police'. 11 May, as I say in that paragraph, I was not 11 The matter is, of course, directly relevant to 12 12 me as it is the Governor who, under section going to let that sit and not provide my 13 13 position on the contents of that letter, and 32 ..." 14 And he explains the Governor's relevance. 14 there was a complete -- I mean, it was clear 15 15 He said: that after 29 May and what is said in that 16 letter, there was just an impossible situation. 16 "Before I review all the papers I have on this 17 17 matter in advance of our meeting on O. In terms of the Chief Minister's response, 18 18 Monday, can you please confirm to me by no did you review the Chief Minister's 19 later than midday tomorrow whether that is 19 response? 20 20 indeed your position and, if so, whether you A. I don't think so. 21 will be tendering your letter of resignation on 21 Q. What about Mr Pyle's letter of 3 June? 22 22 A. No, no. I haven't got any recollection of Monday with immediate effect." 23 23 Did you see this letter before it went out? that at all. 24 24 Q. Is it fair to say that this was a coordinated A. Not at all. 25 Q. Do you know why Mr Pyle referred to 25 response? Page 45 Page 47 1 A. Yes, I think that's correct. 1 "resignation" rather than "retirement"? 2 2 Q. Were you aware that the Commissioner A. Not at all. By this stage I was quite 3 3 of Police attended Mr Pyle's office to meet removed from all this process and I know 4 4 later that day on the Friday? and I knew that Mr Pyle was discussing with 5 5 A. I can't recollect. I knew that they were legal advisers at the Foreign Office. 6 6 meeting up, but I don't recollect now the Q. If we jump ahead to C4823, five pages 7 7 down, there is an email from Mr Gomez to detail of that. 8 8 Q. And that they were planning to meeting Mr Pyle that then gets forwarded to you. 9 9 on the Monday, on the following Monday? That is on the Sunday and there there is at the 10 A. Maybe. Maybe I knew. 10 end of that, there is the offer to retire on 11 11 Q. If we go to C4819, here is Mr Pyle terms to be agreed. If we then go to B1420, 12 forwarding to you and to the Chief Minister a 12 there is an exchange between you and Mr 13 13 Pyle on 7 June that says at 12.30, "Nick, will letter that he has sent -- sorry, an exchange 14 14 with Mr McGrail where he sent a letter on 6 call you to arrange a time to meet." That is 15 15 just over half an hour after the message that June. That letter of 6 June was highlighting 16 16 comes in from Gomez & Co. Did you meet the fact that in an email that Mr McGrail had 17 handed to him on 5 June from Charles 17 that evening to go through options with Mr 18 18 Gomez, there was a reference to him having Pyle? 19 19 an intention to retire and Mr Pyle responded A. I think we did. Was that a Sunday? 20 20 saying, "Can you confirm that that is your Q. Correct, yes. 21 21 position", in a letter of 6 June which he then A. Yes, I think we did. 22 forwards that exchange to you. Do you know 22 Q. What was discussed and what was --23 23 the letter that I am referring to --A. I can't remember. I can't remember 24 24 because, as I said, I was very distant from all A. No. 25 25 Q. -- or shall I take you to it? this process and I just saw my role there Page 46 Page 48

12 (Pages 45 to 48)

1 really in relation to the procedural errors. I 1 what was happening at the time. 2 was not particularly engaged in what was 2 MR SANTOS: Thank you. Can I ask you to 3 3 happening at the time. I didn't consider that just stay there, because I think Mr Wagner 4 4 it was a matter for me. will now have some questions for you. 5 5 THE WITNESS: Sure. Q. If we can just go back to C4826, there 6 there is -- sorry, no, B1420, 8 June there is a 6 MR SANTOS: I don't know whether now 7 7 message from you saying, "Nick - I have just might be a good time to have our break 8 8 read the exchange of emails between Darren before Mr Wagner starts? 9 9 Grech and ourselves. I don't think what I am THE CHAIRMAN: Perhaps it is. 10 10 being offered is what I deserve. There isn't MR WAGNER: I would prefer that. 11 even a mention of my untaken leave and days 11 THE CHAIRMAN: Sure, fair enough. 12 12 owed being paid to me. Could you revert to (11.08)13 Darren and enquire whether at least one 13 (Adjourned for a short time) 14 year's salary is honoured." 14 (11.18)15 15 That appears to be a message, you Questioned MR WAGNER 16 forwarding a message to the Chief Minister 16 Q. Good morning, Mr Llamas. 17 17 A. Good morning. from Mr McGrail to Mr Pyle. Do you 18 18 Q. Mr Llamas, you said this morning that remember why this was being forwarded to 19 you were told by your lawyer that you did 19 the Chief Minister? 20 20 not write the letter of 5 June 2020 yourself. Is A. Well, because if they are talking about --21 that right? 21 if Mr McGrail is talking about his financial 22 22 matters, this would ultimately go to the A. That's right. 23 23 Q. Did you discuss your evidence with your Minister for Finance, who is the Chief 24 legal team after you began to give that 24 Minister. 25 evidence? 25 Q. And then the Chief Minister sends you a Page 49 Page 51 1 picture on the following day and you say, 1 A. I am sorry, I didn't hear the question. 2 2 "So predictable". Do you know what that is Q. Did you discuss your evidence with your 3 3 a reference to? legal team after you began to give that 4 4 A. I don't remember what the picture was. evidence? 5 5 Have you got it? A. No, I was reminded by my lawyers that 6 6 Q. I don't believe we do. Then, just my final the way that letter was created was on that 7 7 question, given the various conversations that basis which yesterday I could not remember. 8 8 we have just been through between you and Q. How were you reminded by your lawyers 9 9 the Chief Minister and Mr Pyle, do you about that unless you were discussing your 10 maintain what you say -- sorry, I am told we 10 evidence with your lawyers? 11 do have that, C6762 please. So it is a Tweet 11 A. Well, because the lawyer must have 12 from the leader of the opposition, Mr 12 heard what I said and he reminded me that 13 13 Azopardi, I think that is being referred to. that was not the way - that I had not written 14 14 And he is replying to a Tweet by Mr the letter myself. 15 15 McGrail. So, we don't have to go into detail, Q. Did he remind you during a meeting with 16 16 your lawyers about your evidence? but presumably the statement "so 17 predictable" is in relation to that? 17 A. Sorry, which meeting? 18 18 Q. Were you meeting yesterday with your A. Politics, yes. 19 19 lawyers about your evidence? Q. Given the various correspondence and the 20 20 A. No, no. I was simply informed by a call. exchanges that we can see, do you still 21 21 Q. So, you were called by your lawyers maintain the position that you weren't 22 involved in the process? 22 about your evidence? 23 23 A. Absolutely. My greatest involvement in A. Yes. 24 the process was in relation to the procedural 24 Q. How long did that call take? 25 25 A. It was just five - five, 10 minutes, just in errors. I was at the complete periphery of Page 50 Page 52

1	relation to that.	1	Q. How was your working relationship with
2	Q. Five, 10 minutes -	2	Mr McGrail prior to the events of May 2020?
3	A. I don't - I -	3	A. At the personal level, us working together
4	Q you were discussing your evidence with	4	as I explained precisely in that letter, I
5	your lawyers?	5	consider that I enjoyed - we enjoyed - an
6	A. No, we were just discuss - he was just	6	excellent working relationship.
7	explaining to me the genesis of that letter	7	Q. Did you have any cause for concern
8	because he heard that I had got - I had	8	about Mr McGrail prior to May 2020?
9	explained it wrongly to the inquiry.	9	A. Well, I had concerns in relation to his
10	Q. You are a lawyer of longstanding, are you	10	policing style but that was not a matter that
11	not, Mr Llamas?	11	manifested itself in my own relationship with
12	A. Yes.	12	him.
13	Q. Do you know it is not permitted for	13	Q. Yes. Did you ever raise those concerns
14	witnesses to discuss their evidence with their	14	with him?
15	lawyers whilst they are giving that evidence?	15	A. No.
16	A. I was - I had given mistaken information	16	Q. Have you provided any evidence to this
17	to the inquiry and he told me so and I thought	17	inquiry in the form of text messages or
18	I should put that to the inquiry just for the	18	emails or reports about your so-called
19	record to have the correct position set out.	19	concerns about his policing style?
20	Q. The 5 June letter you say was drafted by	20	A. No, no, because this is a matter that you
21	your lawyers. Was that P. Caruana & Co?	21	see or observe and you take in and it's - look,
22	A. Yes.	22	I'm not going to interfere in his policing
23	Q. So, on 5 June you were being represented	23	style, that's a matter for him. I just take notes
24	by the same lawyer who was at that time	24	of what I see.
25	acting for Blands in relation to Op Delhi?	25	Q. Yes. I want to ask you about role as
23	deting for Blands in relation to op Benni.	==	Q. Tool I want to use you accounted as
	Page 53		Page 55
1	A. I. daniele lancourant albane ha anno a setting from	,	A
1	A. I don't know whether he was acting for	1 2	Attorney General and if I could, please, have
2	Blands at that particular time but he had been	2	section 59 of the Constitution on the screen -
2 3	Blands at that particular time but he had been acting for, before for Blands, yes.	2 3	section 59 of the Constitution on the screen - I do not have the reference but Counsel to the
2 3 4	Blands at that particular time but he had been acting for, before for Blands, yes. Q. As Attorney General you had	2 3 4	section 59 of the Constitution on the screen - I do not have the reference but Counsel to the Inquiry very kindly provided it before.
2 3 4 5	Blands at that particular time but he had been acting for, before for Blands, yes.  Q. As Attorney General you had responsibility for criminal prosecutions in	2 3 4 5	section 59 of the Constitution on the screen - I do not have the reference but Counsel to the Inquiry very kindly provided it before.  Thank you. This is section 59 of the
2 3 4 5 6	Blands at that particular time but he had been acting for, before for Blands, yes.  Q. As Attorney General you had responsibility for criminal prosecutions in Gibraltar. Were you not concerned about the	2 3 4 5 6	section 59 of the Constitution on the screen - I do not have the reference but Counsel to the Inquiry very kindly provided it before.  Thank you. This is section 59 of the Constitution. It says, "There shall be an
2 3 4 5 6 7	Blands at that particular time but he had been acting for, before for Blands, yes.  Q. As Attorney General you had responsibility for criminal prosecutions in Gibraltar. Were you not concerned about the potential conflict of interest?	2 3 4 5 6 7	section 59 of the Constitution on the screen - I do not have the reference but Counsel to the Inquiry very kindly provided it before.  Thank you. This is section 59 of the Constitution. It says, "There shall be an Attorney-General for Gibraltar whose office
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Blands at that particular time but he had been acting for, before for Blands, yes.  Q. As Attorney General you had responsibility for criminal prosecutions in Gibraltar. Were you not concerned about the potential conflict of interest?  A. Between him advising me on the letter	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	section 59 of the Constitution on the screen - I do not have the reference but Counsel to the Inquiry very kindly provided it before.  Thank you. This is section 59 of the Constitution. It says, "There shall be an Attorney-General for Gibraltar whose office shall be a public office" and then subsection
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Blands at that particular time but he had been acting for, before for Blands, yes.  Q. As Attorney General you had responsibility for criminal prosecutions in Gibraltar. Were you not concerned about the potential conflict of interest?  A. Between him advising me on the letter and he being the lawyer for Blands?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	section 59 of the Constitution on the screen - I do not have the reference but Counsel to the Inquiry very kindly provided it before. Thank you. This is section 59 of the Constitution. It says, "There shall be an Attorney-General for Gibraltar whose office shall be a public office" and then subsection (2), "The Attorney-General shall have power
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Blands at that particular time but he had been acting for, before for Blands, yes.  Q. As Attorney General you had responsibility for criminal prosecutions in Gibraltar. Were you not concerned about the potential conflict of interest?  A. Between him advising me on the letter and he being the lawyer for Blands?  Q. As well as complainants in Op Delhi?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	section 59 of the Constitution on the screen - I do not have the reference but Counsel to the Inquiry very kindly provided it before.  Thank you. This is section 59 of the Constitution. It says, "There shall be an Attorney-General for Gibraltar whose office shall be a public office" and then subsection (2), "The Attorney-General shall have power in any case which he considers desirable to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Blands at that particular time but he had been acting for, before for Blands, yes.  Q. As Attorney General you had responsibility for criminal prosecutions in Gibraltar. Were you not concerned about the potential conflict of interest?  A. Between him advising me on the letter and he being the lawyer for Blands?  Q. As well as complainants in Op Delhi?  A. No, I didn't see that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	section 59 of the Constitution on the screen - I do not have the reference but Counsel to the Inquiry very kindly provided it before.  Thank you. This is section 59 of the Constitution. It says, "There shall be an Attorney-General for Gibraltar whose office shall be a public office" and then subsection (2), "The Attorney-General shall have power in any case which he considers desirable to do so to institute and undertake criminal
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Blands at that particular time but he had been acting for, before for Blands, yes.  Q. As Attorney General you had responsibility for criminal prosecutions in Gibraltar. Were you not concerned about the potential conflict of interest?  A. Between him advising me on the letter and he being the lawyer for Blands?  Q. As well as complainants in Op Delhi?  A. No, I didn't see that.  Q. Did you think about it?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	section 59 of the Constitution on the screen - I do not have the reference but Counsel to the Inquiry very kindly provided it before.  Thank you. This is section 59 of the Constitution. It says, "There shall be an Attorney-General for Gibraltar whose office shall be a public office" and then subsection (2), "The Attorney-General shall have power in any case which he considers desirable to do so to institute and undertake criminal proceedings before any court of law take
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Blands at that particular time but he had been acting for, before for Blands, yes.  Q. As Attorney General you had responsibility for criminal prosecutions in Gibraltar. Were you not concerned about the potential conflict of interest?  A. Between him advising me on the letter and he being the lawyer for Blands?  Q. As well as complainants in Op Delhi?  A. No, I didn't see that.  Q. Did you think about it?  A. Not particularly, no.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	section 59 of the Constitution on the screen - I do not have the reference but Counsel to the Inquiry very kindly provided it before.  Thank you. This is section 59 of the Constitution. It says, "There shall be an Attorney-General for Gibraltar whose office shall be a public office" and then subsection (2), "The Attorney-General shall have power in any case which he considers desirable to do so to institute and undertake criminal proceedings before any court of law take over and continue any such proceedings
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Blands at that particular time but he had been acting for, before for Blands, yes.  Q. As Attorney General you had responsibility for criminal prosecutions in Gibraltar. Were you not concerned about the potential conflict of interest?  A. Between him advising me on the letter and he being the lawyer for Blands?  Q. As well as complainants in Op Delhi?  A. No, I didn't see that.  Q. Did you think about it?  A. Not particularly, no.  Q. No. Do you take conflicts of interest	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	section 59 of the Constitution on the screen - I do not have the reference but Counsel to the Inquiry very kindly provided it before. Thank you. This is section 59 of the Constitution. It says, "There shall be an Attorney-General for Gibraltar whose office shall be a public office" and then subsection (2), "The Attorney-General shall have power in any case which he considers desirable to do so to institute and undertake criminal proceedings before any court of law take over and continue any such proceedings" and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Blands at that particular time but he had been acting for, before for Blands, yes.  Q. As Attorney General you had responsibility for criminal prosecutions in Gibraltar. Were you not concerned about the potential conflict of interest?  A. Between him advising me on the letter and he being the lawyer for Blands?  Q. As well as complainants in Op Delhi?  A. No, I didn't see that.  Q. Did you think about it?  A. Not particularly, no.  Q. No. Do you take conflicts of interest seriously, Mr Llamas?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	section 59 of the Constitution on the screen - I do not have the reference but Counsel to the Inquiry very kindly provided it before.  Thank you. This is section 59 of the Constitution. It says, "There shall be an Attorney-General for Gibraltar whose office shall be a public office" and then subsection (2), "The Attorney-General shall have power in any case which he considers desirable to do so to institute and undertake criminal proceedings before any court of law take over and continue any such proceedings discontinue any criminal proceedings" and then if we just go a bit further down,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Blands at that particular time but he had been acting for, before for Blands, yes.  Q. As Attorney General you had responsibility for criminal prosecutions in Gibraltar. Were you not concerned about the potential conflict of interest?  A. Between him advising me on the letter and he being the lawyer for Blands?  Q. As well as complainants in Op Delhi?  A. No, I didn't see that.  Q. Did you think about it?  A. Not particularly, no.  Q. No. Do you take conflicts of interest seriously, Mr Llamas?  A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	section 59 of the Constitution on the screen - I do not have the reference but Counsel to the Inquiry very kindly provided it before.  Thank you. This is section 59 of the Constitution. It says, "There shall be an Attorney-General for Gibraltar whose office shall be a public office" and then subsection (2), "The Attorney-General shall have power in any case which he considers desirable to do so to institute and undertake criminal proceedings before any court of law take over and continue any such proceedings discontinue any criminal proceedings" and then if we just go a bit further down, subsection (3) you can delegate your powers,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Blands at that particular time but he had been acting for, before for Blands, yes.  Q. As Attorney General you had responsibility for criminal prosecutions in Gibraltar. Were you not concerned about the potential conflict of interest?  A. Between him advising me on the letter and he being the lawyer for Blands?  Q. As well as complainants in Op Delhi?  A. No, I didn't see that.  Q. Did you think about it?  A. Not particularly, no.  Q. No. Do you take conflicts of interest seriously, Mr Llamas?  A. Yes.  Q. Have you disclosed the factual timetable	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	section 59 of the Constitution on the screen - I do not have the reference but Counsel to the Inquiry very kindly provided it before. Thank you. This is section 59 of the Constitution. It says, "There shall be an Attorney-General for Gibraltar whose office shall be a public office" and then subsection (2), "The Attorney-General shall have power in any case which he considers desirable to do so to institute and undertake criminal proceedings before any court of law take over and continue any such proceedings discontinue any criminal proceedings" and then if we just go a bit further down, subsection (3) you can delegate your powers, subsection (4) your powers are at the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Blands at that particular time but he had been acting for, before for Blands, yes.  Q. As Attorney General you had responsibility for criminal prosecutions in Gibraltar. Were you not concerned about the potential conflict of interest?  A. Between him advising me on the letter and he being the lawyer for Blands?  Q. As well as complainants in Op Delhi?  A. No, I didn't see that.  Q. Did you think about it?  A. Not particularly, no.  Q. No. Do you take conflicts of interest seriously, Mr Llamas?  A. Yes.  Q. Have you disclosed the factual timetable which you gave your lawyers in order to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	section 59 of the Constitution on the screen - I do not have the reference but Counsel to the Inquiry very kindly provided it before. Thank you. This is section 59 of the Constitution. It says, "There shall be an Attorney-General for Gibraltar whose office shall be a public office" and then subsection (2), "The Attorney-General shall have power in any case which he considers desirable to do so to institute and undertake criminal proceedings before any court of law take over and continue any such proceedings discontinue any criminal proceedings" and then if we just go a bit further down, subsection (3) you can delegate your powers, subsection (4) your powers are at the exclusion of other persons or authorities and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Blands at that particular time but he had been acting for, before for Blands, yes.  Q. As Attorney General you had responsibility for criminal prosecutions in Gibraltar. Were you not concerned about the potential conflict of interest?  A. Between him advising me on the letter and he being the lawyer for Blands?  Q. As well as complainants in Op Delhi?  A. No, I didn't see that.  Q. Did you think about it?  A. Not particularly, no.  Q. No. Do you take conflicts of interest seriously, Mr Llamas?  A. Yes.  Q. Have you disclosed the factual timetable which you gave your lawyers in order to draft the 5 June letter to this inquiry?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	section 59 of the Constitution on the screen - I do not have the reference but Counsel to the Inquiry very kindly provided it before. Thank you. This is section 59 of the Constitution. It says, "There shall be an Attorney-General for Gibraltar whose office shall be a public office" and then subsection (2), "The Attorney-General shall have power in any case which he considers desirable to do so to institute and undertake criminal proceedings before any court of law take over and continue any such proceedings discontinue any criminal proceedings" and then if we just go a bit further down, subsection (3) you can delegate your powers, subsection (4) your powers are at the exclusion of other persons or authorities and then there are a few other subsections but no
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Blands at that particular time but he had been acting for, before for Blands, yes.  Q. As Attorney General you had responsibility for criminal prosecutions in Gibraltar. Were you not concerned about the potential conflict of interest?  A. Between him advising me on the letter and he being the lawyer for Blands?  Q. As well as complainants in Op Delhi?  A. No, I didn't see that.  Q. Did you think about it?  A. Not particularly, no.  Q. No. Do you take conflicts of interest seriously, Mr Llamas?  A. Yes.  Q. Have you disclosed the factual timetable which you gave your lawyers in order to draft the 5 June letter to this inquiry?  A. Because we thought it was legally	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	section 59 of the Constitution on the screen - I do not have the reference but Counsel to the Inquiry very kindly provided it before. Thank you. This is section 59 of the Constitution. It says, "There shall be an Attorney-General for Gibraltar whose office shall be a public office" and then subsection (2), "The Attorney-General shall have power in any case which he considers desirable to do so to institute and undertake criminal proceedings before any court of law take over and continue any such proceedings discontinue any criminal proceedings" and then if we just go a bit further down, subsection (3) you can delegate your powers, subsection (4) your powers are at the exclusion of other persons or authorities and then there are a few other subsections but no more powers. And there is one other
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Blands at that particular time but he had been acting for, before for Blands, yes.  Q. As Attorney General you had responsibility for criminal prosecutions in Gibraltar. Were you not concerned about the potential conflict of interest?  A. Between him advising me on the letter and he being the lawyer for Blands?  Q. As well as complainants in Op Delhi?  A. No, I didn't see that.  Q. Did you think about it?  A. Not particularly, no.  Q. No. Do you take conflicts of interest seriously, Mr Llamas?  A. Yes.  Q. Have you disclosed the factual timetable which you gave your lawyers in order to draft the 5 June letter to this inquiry?  A. Because we thought it was legally privileged material -	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	section 59 of the Constitution on the screen - I do not have the reference but Counsel to the Inquiry very kindly provided it before. Thank you. This is section 59 of the Constitution. It says, "There shall be an Attorney-General for Gibraltar whose office shall be a public office" and then subsection (2), "The Attorney-General shall have power in any case which he considers desirable to do so to institute and undertake criminal proceedings before any court of law take over and continue any such proceedings discontinue any criminal proceedings" and then if we just go a bit further down, subsection (3) you can delegate your powers, subsection (4) your powers are at the exclusion of other persons or authorities and then there are a few other subsections but no more powers. And there is one other reference in the Constitution. I think it is to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Blands at that particular time but he had been acting for, before for Blands, yes.  Q. As Attorney General you had responsibility for criminal prosecutions in Gibraltar. Were you not concerned about the potential conflict of interest?  A. Between him advising me on the letter and he being the lawyer for Blands?  Q. As well as complainants in Op Delhi?  A. No, I didn't see that.  Q. Did you think about it?  A. Not particularly, no.  Q. No. Do you take conflicts of interest seriously, Mr Llamas?  A. Yes.  Q. Have you disclosed the factual timetable which you gave your lawyers in order to draft the 5 June letter to this inquiry?  A. Because we thought it was legally privileged material -  Q. I am asking have you disclosed it?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	section 59 of the Constitution on the screen - I do not have the reference but Counsel to the Inquiry very kindly provided it before. Thank you. This is section 59 of the Constitution. It says, "There shall be an Attorney-General for Gibraltar whose office shall be a public office" and then subsection (2), "The Attorney-General shall have power in any case which he considers desirable to do so to institute and undertake criminal proceedings before any court of law take over and continue any such proceedings discontinue any criminal proceedings" and then if we just go a bit further down, subsection (3) you can delegate your powers, subsection (4) your powers are at the exclusion of other persons or authorities and then there are a few other subsections but no more powers. And there is one other reference in the Constitution. I think it is to do with disputes over elections to Gibraltar
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Blands at that particular time but he had been acting for, before for Blands, yes.  Q. As Attorney General you had responsibility for criminal prosecutions in Gibraltar. Were you not concerned about the potential conflict of interest?  A. Between him advising me on the letter and he being the lawyer for Blands?  Q. As well as complainants in Op Delhi?  A. No, I didn't see that.  Q. Did you think about it?  A. Not particularly, no.  Q. No. Do you take conflicts of interest seriously, Mr Llamas?  A. Yes.  Q. Have you disclosed the factual timetable which you gave your lawyers in order to draft the 5 June letter to this inquiry?  A. Because we thought it was legally privileged material -  Q. I am asking have you disclosed it?  A. I don't think so, no.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	section 59 of the Constitution on the screen - I do not have the reference but Counsel to the Inquiry very kindly provided it before. Thank you. This is section 59 of the Constitution. It says, "There shall be an Attorney-General for Gibraltar whose office shall be a public office" and then subsection (2), "The Attorney-General shall have power in any case which he considers desirable to do so to institute and undertake criminal proceedings before any court of law take over and continue any such proceedings discontinue any criminal proceedings" and then if we just go a bit further down, subsection (3) you can delegate your powers, subsection (4) your powers are at the exclusion of other persons or authorities and then there are a few other subsections but no more powers. And there is one other reference in the Constitution. I think it is to do with disputes over elections to Gibraltar Parliament that the Attorney General has a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Blands at that particular time but he had been acting for, before for Blands, yes.  Q. As Attorney General you had responsibility for criminal prosecutions in Gibraltar. Were you not concerned about the potential conflict of interest?  A. Between him advising me on the letter and he being the lawyer for Blands?  Q. As well as complainants in Op Delhi?  A. No, I didn't see that.  Q. Did you think about it?  A. Not particularly, no.  Q. No. Do you take conflicts of interest seriously, Mr Llamas?  A. Yes.  Q. Have you disclosed the factual timetable which you gave your lawyers in order to draft the 5 June letter to this inquiry?  A. Because we thought it was legally privileged material -  Q. I am asking have you disclosed it?  A. I don't think so, no.  Q. No. Would you be willing to disclose it?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	section 59 of the Constitution on the screen - I do not have the reference but Counsel to the Inquiry very kindly provided it before. Thank you. This is section 59 of the Constitution. It says, "There shall be an Attorney-General for Gibraltar whose office shall be a public office" and then subsection (2), "The Attorney-General shall have power in any case which he considers desirable to do so to institute and undertake criminal proceedings before any court of law take over and continue any such proceedings discontinue any criminal proceedings" and then if we just go a bit further down, subsection (3) you can delegate your powers, subsection (4) your powers are at the exclusion of other persons or authorities and then there are a few other subsections but no more powers. And there is one other reference in the Constitution. I think it is to do with disputes over elections to Gibraltar Parliament that the Attorney General has a remit over. Do you accept that the powers of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Blands at that particular time but he had been acting for, before for Blands, yes.  Q. As Attorney General you had responsibility for criminal prosecutions in Gibraltar. Were you not concerned about the potential conflict of interest?  A. Between him advising me on the letter and he being the lawyer for Blands?  Q. As well as complainants in Op Delhi?  A. No, I didn't see that.  Q. Did you think about it?  A. Not particularly, no.  Q. No. Do you take conflicts of interest seriously, Mr Llamas?  A. Yes.  Q. Have you disclosed the factual timetable which you gave your lawyers in order to draft the 5 June letter to this inquiry?  A. Because we thought it was legally privileged material -  Q. I am asking have you disclosed it?  A. I don't think so, no.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	section 59 of the Constitution on the screen - I do not have the reference but Counsel to the Inquiry very kindly provided it before. Thank you. This is section 59 of the Constitution. It says, "There shall be an Attorney-General for Gibraltar whose office shall be a public office" and then subsection (2), "The Attorney-General shall have power in any case which he considers desirable to do so to institute and undertake criminal proceedings before any court of law take over and continue any such proceedings discontinue any criminal proceedings" and then if we just go a bit further down, subsection (3) you can delegate your powers, subsection (4) your powers are at the exclusion of other persons or authorities and then there are a few other subsections but no more powers. And there is one other reference in the Constitution. I think it is to do with disputes over elections to Gibraltar Parliament that the Attorney General has a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Blands at that particular time but he had been acting for, before for Blands, yes.  Q. As Attorney General you had responsibility for criminal prosecutions in Gibraltar. Were you not concerned about the potential conflict of interest?  A. Between him advising me on the letter and he being the lawyer for Blands?  Q. As well as complainants in Op Delhi?  A. No, I didn't see that.  Q. Did you think about it?  A. Not particularly, no.  Q. No. Do you take conflicts of interest seriously, Mr Llamas?  A. Yes.  Q. Have you disclosed the factual timetable which you gave your lawyers in order to draft the 5 June letter to this inquiry?  A. Because we thought it was legally privileged material -  Q. I am asking have you disclosed it?  A. I don't think so, no.  Q. No. Would you be willing to disclose it?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	section 59 of the Constitution on the screen - I do not have the reference but Counsel to the Inquiry very kindly provided it before. Thank you. This is section 59 of the Constitution. It says, "There shall be an Attorney-General for Gibraltar whose office shall be a public office" and then subsection (2), "The Attorney-General shall have power in any case which he considers desirable to do so to institute and undertake criminal proceedings before any court of law take over and continue any such proceedings discontinue any criminal proceedings" and then if we just go a bit further down, subsection (3) you can delegate your powers, subsection (4) your powers are at the exclusion of other persons or authorities and then there are a few other subsections but no more powers. And there is one other reference in the Constitution. I think it is to do with disputes over elections to Gibraltar Parliament that the Attorney General has a remit over. Do you accept that the powers of

Constitution are focused on criminal 1 law and litigation? 2 2 proceedings? A. Because of the reason I just gave you. 3 A. Yes. 3 The role of the Attorney General is one of 4 Q. And in fact section 59 only grants powers 4 being the principal legal adviser to the 5 over criminal proceedings. Is that fair? 5 Government and he or she brings to the post 6 6 whatever relevant experience they have. 7 7 Q. Would you agree that criminal law is Q. Was the DPP role created to fill the gap 8 therefore the central focus of the Attorney 8 in your experience and knowledge of 9 9 General's constitutional role? criminal law? 10 10 A. As set out in the Constitution, yes. A. Certainly a major reason not just - When 11 Q. So, is it right that you were appointed as 11 I became Attorney General, it was on the 12 Attorney General, a role whose primary 12 proposal that I made to the Government to 13 constitutional power relates to criminal law 13 restructure the Government law offices. 14 without having any criminal law experience? 14 There were Government lawyers working in 15 A. Yes, although I take issue, even though 15 different departments and what I said is when 16 that is what the Constitution states - the role 16 - if I am appointed, I am going to create a 17 17 of an Attorney General in any common law new office called the Government Law 18 18 Offices and there would be three different jurisdiction is to be the principal legal adviser 19 19 to the Government and I think that goes with offices within that and one of them would be 20 20 the post. the OPCL, which is, I guess, the equivalent 21 Q. Yes, but prior to your appointment, your 21 of the CPS in England, the advisory office, 22 predecessors have had quite a bit of criminal 22 and then the Parliamentary Counsel office 23 23 experience that does the drafting. So, it was in the 24 A. Certainly my immediate predecessor, yes, 24 process of a restructure and also to create and 25 25 and it wasn't entirely crime but it was to have in post a top criminal lawyer in the Page 57 Page 59 1 certainly the lion's share of his practice, and I 1 team. 2 2 don't really know what the situation - Mr Q. Yes. Do you accept that your lack of 3 3 Rhoda was in office for 18 years. I don't criminal law experience means that you need 4 4 what his predecessors, how they balanced the to tread very carefully when you do decide to 5 5 civil and the criminal become involved in criminal investigations? 6 6 Q. Mr Rhoda was a criminal silk before he A. Absolutely. 7 7 was appointed, I think. Is that right? Q. And does that include taking advice from 8 8 A. Correct. a DPP? 9 9 Q. Yes, so the first Director of Public A. Always. 10 Prosecutions was appointed in 2018 by you. 10 Q. Always? So, on all matters of importance 11 11 in relation to criminal law, you would take Is that right? 12 A. Was it 2018 or 2019? 12 advice from the DPP before taking a step -13 Q. Well, the DPP Act was 2018. It may be 13 A. I think so, yes. 14 that he was appointed in 2019. I think he 14 Q. You think so. Are you personally close 15 15 served four-and-a-half years, did he not? to Mr Picardo? 16 16 A. Yes. A. I've known him for a long time. I 17 Q. And the Director of Public Prosecutions 17 consider him to be a friend and since 2016 18 Act requires in section 4 that the DPP must 18 we have been working very closely and very 19 19 have adequate relevant experience and intensively on the Brexit Referendum sequel. 20 20 expertise in criminal law and litigation. Is Q. Yes. Are you personally close to Mr 21 that true? 21 Levy? 22 A. Yes. 22 A. No, I wouldn't say I am particularly close 23 23 Q. Do you know why it is not a requirement to Mr Levy. Mr Levy is somebody I got to 24 for the Attorney General to have adequate 24 know only from about 2012. I didn't have a 25 relevant experience and expertise in criminal 25 lot of contact with him prior to that but with Page 58 Page 60

1 time, yes, I mean I've become - I wouldn't 1 A. Exactly. 2 say close but we have a good contact. We've 2 Q. - in giving a witness statement to a public 3 had a good contact for a while. 3 inquiry -4 4 Q. Are you friends? A. Yes. 5 5 Q. You would go through all your texts and A. I suppose you could say that. 6 Q. Do you see each other socially? 6 emails before giving your evidence. Is that 7 7 A. Not socially. I don't see any of my 8 8 A. Yes. friends socially these days. 9 9 Q. Just going back to section 59, and if we Q. I want to ask you about the May 2019 10 10 could go a little bit further down - it is on the meeting relating to the Op Delhi. If we just 11 screen - subsection (5) "In the exercise of 11 go to A274, please, paragraph 17 -12 12 A. Is this my first witness statement? the powers conferred upon him by this 13 13 section, the Attorney-General shall not be Q. Yes, it is. Now, you have already been 14 14 subject to the direction or control of any through this, the fact that you mentioned the 15 15 other person or authority" and that language, meeting and then if we just go a little bit 16 as I am sure you know, is reflected in section 16 further down, there is a paragraph there, "Mr 17 McGrail explained" and then you set it out. 17 31(7) relating to the power to intervene and 18 18 You have already read it. In this statement determine questions of membership of the 19 19 you made out - or it appeared from this Gibraltar Parliament. Do you accept that that 20 20 statement you were at the 2009 meeting provision creates a statutory requirement of 21 sorry, 2019 meeting, but now you say you 21 independence for the Attorney General? 22 22 A. Of course. probably were not there. Is that right? 23 23 A. Yes. Q. And do you accept that you have to be 24 Q. How did you draft this part of the 24 very careful to ensure that you are not under 25 statement? 25 the direction or control of any other person or Page 61 Page 63 1 authority? 1 A. From memory. 2 2 Q. How could you have a memory of a A. I accept that. 3 3 Q. And do you accept that that includes the meeting that you were not at? 4 4 Chief Minister? A. Because the DPP must have informed me 5 5 A. Absolutely. about the meeting after it took place. 6 Q. Is there any note that the DPP sent to 6 Q. Mr Llamas, have you been honest and 7 7 transparent with this inquiry? you? 8 8 A. Absolutely. A. I don't recall that. 9 9 Q. Does your written evidence to the inquiry Q. So, all of this detail that came out of this 10 provide a full and accurate account of your 10 paragraph is from your memory of a briefing 11 11 you received from the DPP? involvement in events? 12 A. It does. 12 A. Yes, it must be that. 13 Q. It must be that. Whether or not you were 13 Q. Did you check your emails and messages 14 14 before drafting your witness statements? at the meeting, is it fair to say that from 15 15 A. I must have done some research at the around May 2019 you were aware of the 16 16 following factors, and just let me know if you time, yes. 17 Q. I am sorry, you did not answer the 17 were or were not aware: you knew that the 18 18 question. Did you check your emails and Op Delhi investigation involved a company 19 19 messages before drafting your witness called 36 North. Is that right? 20 20 statements? A. Yes. 21 21 A. I think I must have, yes. Q. You knew that 36 North was suspected to 22 Q. Do you remember doing it? 22 have been set up to take the service of the 23 23 A. I can't imagine not doing it. NSCIS platform from Bland? 24 Q. Yes, well, as the Attorney General you 24 A. I did not necessarily know that. 25 25 would -Q. Well, I only say that because in paragraph Page 62 Page 64

16 (Pages 61 to 64)

1 18, which is on the screen, you say, "He also 1 believe that that was necessary. 2 explained that the investigation covered a 2 Q. You did not think, when you either sat in 3 3 company, "36 North" ... for the suspected that meeting or received the briefing from the 4 purpose of taking over from Bland ... the 4 DPP, "This investigation involves Hassans 5 provision of the NSCIS platform service to 5 and Mr Levy. I need to put in place some 6 6 the Government." sort of information rules as the person in 7 7 charge ultimately in the prosecution"? A. Yes, I apologise. That is what I say there. 8 8 A. I thought that the Chief Minister is a man I just don't remember - I just remember 9 9 knowing about the existence of the company who is extremely busy. I know that Hassans 10 10 but when it was - whether it was set up for have numerous business enterprises and it 11 this purpose is something which I recollect 11 was absolutely clear to me that the Chief 12 knowing subsequently. 12 Minister probably didn't even know what 13 Q. Yes. 13 those business enterprises were. I just 14 14 A. Yes, that's what I said. happened to be a shareholder as a partner of 15 Q. This statement was, I think, two years 15 these firms but without necessarily knowing 16 ago and it was two years after the event. So 16 what was being done, so I didn't see an 17 17 would you agree that is probably a better - it involvement concerning the Chief Minister. 18 sets out better your recollection than your 18 Q. Yes. You knew that Hassans had lots of 19 recollection now? 19 business enterprises. Did you know of any 20 20 A. Yes. others that were being investigated by the 21 Q. You knew that that service, the NSCIS -21 police? 22 that Bland were providing - was provided to 22 A. No. 23 23 and paid for by the Government? Q. You said you did not think that the Chief 24 A. Yes. 24 Minister knew about being a shareholder of 25 25 Q. You knew that Hassans held shares in 36 36 North. Did you ask him? Page 65 Page 67 1 North? 1 A. No, all I'm saying is that my 2 2 understanding of Hassans is that they've got A. Yes. 3 3 O. You knew Mr Picardo had worked at holding companies where all partners are 4 4 Hassans for around 20 years before shareholders, and I think that company or 5 5 becoming Chief Minister? companies, I don't know, then make - may 6 6 A. Yes. make - business investments and that many 7 7 Q. You knew that Mr Picardo was still a of the partners - I think that's probably run 8 8 partner at Hassans on sabbatical? by two or three of the partners and the rest 9 9 don't necessarily know what's happening. A. Yes. 10 Q. You knew that Mr Picardo had a very 10 Q. Did you ask Mr Picardo whether he had 11 11 close personal and professional relationship any involvement in 36 North? 12 with Mr Levy? 12 A. At what moment in time? 13 13 Q. Ever. A. Yes. 14 14 Q. And you knew that Mr Levy was A. Yes, absolutely. 15 15 potentially a person of interest. Q. When did you ask him? 16 16 A. I don't know. We must have spoken A. Yes. 17 Q. So, around that stage, around May 2019, 17 about it at the time but I didn't see - and I 18 18 as the guardian of the public interest and with certainly was unaware of any involvement of 19 19 ultimate responsibility for criminal his in relation to the NSCIS platform. 20 20 prosecutions, did you put in place any Q. I will come to that in a minute, but did 21 21 measures to ensure that Mr Picardo could not you know at any point that M Picardo had 22 influence the criminal investigation which 22 been exchanging good luck text messages 23 23 involved his company, his partners and his with the founds of 36 North before he left 24 close friend? 24 Bland? 25 25 A. No, there was no - nothing led me to A. No. Page 66 Page 68

Q. No. Did you know that Mr Picardo was 1 he would know that as well." Is that the 2 2 being kept updated in relation to the NSCIS approach you took to criminal investigations 3 3 contract that you were involved in? 4 A. No. 4 A. I was involved in very few criminal 5 Q. I have not finished the question - by Mr 5 investigations. However, I can tell you that 6 6 Levy? in relation to this one, I did not speak to the 7 7 A. No, because I wasn't aware of any of that. Chief Minister about Op Delhi until 12 May. 8 8 Q. You were not aware of any of it? When Q. I am sorry, I am going to ask the question 9 9 did you first become aware of all that? again. Do you agree with the DPP's 10 10 A. In some substance in the conversation approach that if the Chief Minister asked to 11 with Mr - with the DPP on 6 April 2020. 11 speak to him about a criminal investigation, 12 12 Maybe he may have mentioned it in what the he would refuse? A. Yes. 13 DPP described, the last - the light touch 13 14 update he would give me. I don't remember 14 O. You agree? 15 but certainly I would have known by 6 April 15 A. Yes. 16 2020. 16 Q. If we just go a little bit down, Mr Santos 17 17 Q. So you would have known by 6 April asked: "Do you mean in relation to any 18 18 2020 that the Chief Minister had a stake in investigation or this investigation in Op Delhi - sorry, a stake in 36 North? 19 19 particular? This investigation definitely not 20 20 A. (no reply) because of the ultimate beneficial ownership 21 Q. Or a stake in Astelon? 21 of North 36." Do you agree with the Director 22 A. Yes. 22 of Public Prosecutions that if the Chief 23 23 Q. - which then meant he had a beneficial Minister came to speak to you about Op 24 interest in 36 North, and you knew in some 24 Delhi in particular, you definitely would not 25 25 speak to him because of his ultimate detail that he had been involved in Page 69 Page 71 1 corresponding with Mr Levy? 1 beneficial ownership of 36 North? 2 A. No, not even that. 2 Q. Did you ever say to the Chief Minister at 3 3 Q. Not even that? And you never asked the 4 4 any time words to the effect of, "As the Chief Minister about this? 5 5 Government's legal adviser, and the guardian A. I may or I may not have. I can't 6 of the public interest, I am advising you that 6 remember. 7 Q. I am going to ask for a transcript now to 7 you must not attempt to influence the Op 8 Delhi investigation because you have a direct 8 Day 10, Mr Triay if possible, and page 82, 9 line 6. This is the DPP's evidence. He said, 9 interest in its outcome?" 10 ", I want to clarify what I just said". So, he 10 A. Did I send such a message to the Chief 11 11 had been asked about whether he had reason Minister? 12 to speak to the Chief Minister about Op 12 Q. Did you say it to him; did you send a 13 13 Delhi. message? 14 14 A. I am sorry, I can't see it. A. No. 15 15 Q. Sorry, so it is line 6. It is on the left-hand Q. Did you send an email? 16 16 side. A. No, no. 17 17 Q. Did you ever say, "Mr Picardo, you're a A. Okav. 18 18 partner at Hassans, a close friend of Mr Q. He is asked about his contacts with the 19 Chief Minister about Op Delhi. He said he 19 Levy, a beneficial of 36 North" - I am sorry, 20 20 " beneficial owner of 36 North. You must had none. "In fact, I want to clarify what I 21 21 therefore not contact the RGP at all about Op just said, Mr Santos, is that if I were 22 22 contacted by the Chief Minister and asked Delhi"? 23 23 any information about an ongoing inquiry, I A. I don't know, Mr Wagner. It was a long -24 would likely, depending on what it was, 24 just let's retrace this. So, I get notified by Mr 25 refuse to speak to him about it, and I think 25 McGrail's message of May '19. The DPP Page 70 Page 72

1 subsequently must have briefed me as I set 1 Q. Yes. We will come to after April in a 2 out in paragraph 18 and then I am not - you 2 moment. You said in your evidence 3 3 know, I am not - I don't know. I mean, I yesterday many times how concerned you 4 don't know what's happening in criminal 4 were for the reputation of Gibraltar. Was it a 5 investigations. 5 threat to Gibraltar's reputation that a criminal 6 6 Q. No. investigation against high-profile people 7 7 A. If you're asking me whether, from the would run its course? 8 moment that I realised that 36 North was in 8 A. For the same reasons as Mr McGrail and 9 the picture here -9 Mr Richardson thought so. 10 10 Q. Yes. Q. Well, Mr McGrail and Mr Richardson 11 A. - and that at some point I must have 11 were the police investigating the crime, the 12 12 made the link with Hassans that I went to the alleged crimes. You were the Attorney 13 Chief Minister and told him, "Look", the sort 13 General. From your perspective as Attorney 14 of message that you're telling me, whatever 14 General, was it a threat to Gibraltar's 15 shape or form, maybe I did, maybe I didn't. I 15 reputation that the criminal investigation into 16 really don't know. I can't - I can't answer 16 the high-profile people would run its course? 17 17 that question. A. High-profile people and a very sensitive -18 Q. Did you ever say to the Chief Minister, 18 and a very sensitive matter. 19 "You must not discuss Op Delhi with the 19 Q. So, it was a threat to Gibraltar's 20 20 Commissioner of Police"? reputation that the investigation ran its 21 A. With the Commissioner of Police? 21 course? 22 Q. Yes. 22 A. No, that it should run its course carefully. 23 23 A. No. Q. Was not the real threat to Gibraltar's 24 Q. Did you ever say to the Chief Minister, 24 reputation that the criminal investigation into "You must not discuss Op Delhi with Mr 25 25 the high-profile people was prevented from Page 73 Page 75 1 Levy, who's a person of interest in the 1 proceeding? 2 2 A. Not at all. It was not prevented. investigation"? 3 3 A. No, no. I mean I can't - no. I don't Q. Did the criminal investigation conclude? 4 4 A. I think it concluded - what do you mean recollect any of that. 5 5 Q. Did you ever say to the Chief Minister, 6 6 "I, the Attorney General, cannot discuss this Q. Sorry, were the individuals convicted of 7 7 their crimes? investigation with you"? 8 8 A. We never did. A. No, no, because I entered the nolle in 9 9 Q. You never discussed the investigation? January, two years after these events. 10 A. No. 10 Q. Yes. Was it a threat to Gibraltar's 11 11 Q. I realise you do not come from a criminal reputation that high-profile people could be 12 law background, Mr Llamas, but were you 12 treated more leniently than others? 13 13 not anxious at any point that Mr Picardo not A. No, I think the threat here was the 14 14 do anything which might be construed as exposure and the subject matter of the 15 15 influencing or interfering with the investigation at a very critical moment in our 16 16 investigation that he had a direct interest in? history and as I explained yesterday, that did 17 17 not mean that the investigation should be A. No, I trusted his judgment. 18 18 Q. You trusted his judgment? stopped but that it should proceed on a secure 19 19 A. Also, Mr Wagner, that in combination and safe basis, which is the message I was 20 20 with my little interest in the investigation trying to deliver to Mr McGrail on 7 April. 21 21 from the moment I was informed of it to Q. When you attended the meeting on 12 22 22 April 2020. I mean, I wasn't following the May, you have demurred from using the 23 23 investigation at all. word "berated" but would you agree that Mr 24 Q. It was passing you by in that period? 24 Picardo angrily criticised Mr McGrail's 25 25 A. No, it was the DPP's job. handling of the investigation? Page 76 Page 74

19 (Pages 73 to 76)

1 A. Yes. I think that's true. 1 A. I don't know. 2 Q. And that he angrily criticised the decision 2 Q. You do not know? You do not know 3 to undertake a warrant against Mr Levy? 3 whether it was appropriate for the Chief 4 A. He didn't agree that that was the 4 Minister -5 5 A. Hold on. appropriate procedure to have used. 6 6 Q. Well, he did not just not agree; he angrily Q. Yes. 7 7 criticised the Commissioner of Police, did he A. I am trying to think. 8 not? 8 Q. I'm sorry for interrupting. 9 9 A. Well, he was - the exchange was angry. A. He receives the message. He is very 10 10 Q. Yes. angry about it and he calls Mr McGrail not 11 A. Whether he manifested that in particular 11 knowing when he called him that Mr 12 12 to one issue or the other, I can't remember McGrail happened to be in the building, so I 13 now. 13 don't know whether he was just going to 14 14 Q. Yes. speak to him, and then the meeting, which 15 15 A. The general tone was one which was was very impromptu took place. So, it was 16 16 very coincidental, let's say. I am just angry, yes. 17 17 Q. That meeting took place whilst the RGP retracing. Now ask me the question again. 18 were at Mr Levy's offices, did it not? 18 Q. Well, it was not coincidental. Mr Picardo 19 19 A. It took place immediately after Mr called in Mr McGrail, did he not? 20 20 McGrail sent his WhatsApp messages or A. Only because he was in the building. 21 shortly thereafter. 21 Q. He chose to call him in. 22 Q. Which was why you met - you, the Chief 22 A. Well, if he was in the building, it was 23 23 Minister and Mr McGrail met - whilst the obvious that he was going to come up. Had 24 RGP were still at the Hassans' offices, did 24 Mr McGrail been in New Mole House at that 25 25 you not? moment, I think they would have spoken Page 77 Page 79 1 A. Yes, I think that was the case. 1 over the phone, I don't know. 2 Q. So, during the attempt to exercise the 2 Q. And you chose to go with him. 3 3 search warrant, you and the Chief Minister A. I was with him. 4 4 had a meeting with Mr McGrail and the Q. You were with him anyway? 5 5 Chief Minister angrily criticised the decision 6 6 to do the warrant. Is that right? Q. So, you were already discussing the 7 7 A. yes, I think that's correct. 8 8 Q. You were asked yesterday by Mr Santos, A. Whether we were just exchanging, 9 9 "Did you consider whether it was appropriate whatever, we realised we had received 10 for him to be discussing the matter with Mr 10 exactly the same WhatsApp. 11 11 McGrail given Mr Levy's relationship with Q. Were you already discussing the 12 the 36 North angle?" You said, "It all 12 warrants? 13 13 happened very quickly. He was the recipient A. No. I wouldn't get into discussions about 14 14 of this WhatsApp. He reacted to the warrants. 15 15 message." I am going to ask you that Q. So, he realised he received the same 16 16 question again. Did you consider whether it WhatsApp. You then did not say anything? 17 was appropriate for Mr Picardo to be 17 Nothing - no exchange? 18 18 discussing the matter with Mr McGrail given A. No, no. 19 19 his relationship with Mr Levy and the 36 Q. And no (inaudible) happened? 20 20 North angle? A. No, I don't remember that very precisely, 21 21 A. Well, my answer is exactly the same as Mr Wagner, but I don't think - I think his 22 22 vesterday. Look, he received this, he was instinct was to call in straightaway so we just 23 23 angry and he wanted to speak to Mr McGrail. exchanged or compared WhatsApps and he 24 Q. Did you think it was appropriate for him 24 wanted to speak to Mr McGrail. It all 25 25 to do that? happened very quickly, the whole thing. Page 78 Page 80

Q. It did. He called Mr McGrail in. Did you 1 A. Yes. 2 not say at that point, as the Attorney General 2 Q. And you did not advise him, did you? 3 and the guardian of the public interest, did 3 A. I don't think I did, not on the terms that 4 you not say to Mr Picardo, "You cannot 4 you've described. 5 involve yourself in this investigation in this 5 THE CHAIRMAN: When you say that in 6 6 hindsight this was an exchange which should way"? 7 7 not have happened, when did you come by A. No, I did not say that. 8 8 Q. You did not think to say that? that knowledge? 9 9 A. Mr Wagner, it all happened very quickly. A. The knowledge of the hindsight? 10 Q. It happened very quickly. While you 10 THE CHAIRMAN: Well, I am just quoting 11 were in the room, did you think to yourself, 11 to you your words that maybe in hindsight 12 12 "I, as the person in charge of criminal this was an exchange which should not have 13 prosecutions and the guardian of the public 13 happened. When did you come by that 14 interest - I cannot be in this room"? 14 knowledge? 15 A. No, I did not ask myself that question. 15 A. Maybe during this inquiry, perhaps. 16 Q. Should you have asked yourself that 16 THE CHAIRMAN: On the evening of 12 17 question? 17 May, did you not reflect, "Well, hang on. 18 18 This just isn't right, the Chief Minister having A. Maybe in hindsight I should have, yes. 19 19 Q. Yes. Why? an angry exchange with the Chief Office of 20 20 A. Because maybe that was an exchange that Police"? 21 should not have happened thinking about it in 21 A. Yes, I did - I did feel very uncomfortable 22 hindsight, but at the moment, at that moment 22 about that night. I do remember that. 23 23 THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. Now, what did in time, it just felt he received the message, 24 he's angry with what happened. He had his 24 you do about it? 25 25 reasons and he therefore called Mr McGrail A. I can't remember, sir. I can't remember Page 81 Page 83 1 who happened to be in the building and 1 whether I spoke to the Chief Minister or 2 therefore he came up. I mean, I don't know 2 whether I didn't. I honestly do not remember 3 3 how long it took from receipt of the email to that. 4 4 Mr McGrail coming up to the Cabinet room. THE CHAIRMAN: Or say the following 5 5 day when you had had a day to think about it. Q. Yes. In hindsight you say it should not 6 have happened. The Chief Minister has no 6 A. Yes, yes. I can't remember. 7 function in relation to police investigations 7 THE CHAIRMAN: Well, are you 8 8 does he? suggesting you did say something? 9 9 A. Directly in relation to police? I don't A. I can't remember. 10 10 THE CHAIRMAN: Well, if you had said 11 Q. Well, I mean you know the Constitution. 11 something, surely you would have 12 12 remembered? 13 13 Q. He has no function at all relating to police A. Yes, and probably I think it's more likely 14 14 investigations does he? than not that I did not say anything. I 15 15 A. Yes. honestly haven't got a recollection of that. 16 Q. He has no power to get involved in police 16 THE CHAIRMAN: But if you did not say 17 investigations does he? 17 anything, the next question, of course, is why 18 18 A. Yes, and being the recipient of that 19 19 message I thought - I mean, it's not for me to A. Why not? Because if that was the case, I 20 20 speculate on what he thought, but he thought thought that what happened happened and that was the end of the matter, and that he -21 it appropriate to have that discussion with Mr 21 22 22 McGrail. which is effectively what happened. It was a 23 23 Q. Yes. It is not your job to speculate on spur of the moment reaction and that it would 24 what he thought, but it is your job to advise 24 end there, which effectively it did. 25 MR WAGNER: That was not the end of the 25 him, is it not? Page 82 Page 84

1 matter, though, was it because the contents of 1 that my reaction on the technical experts can 2 that meeting were used as a central plank of 2 say when a phone has been accessed, it must 3 3 the reasons for the Chief Minister losing have been in - I must have been reacting in 4 confidence in Mr McGrail? 4 relation to what was Mr Levy's overriding 5 A. When I said "the end of the matter", I 5 concern at that moment in time, which was 6 6 meant in relation to investigation, not the what was happening to his devices. 7 7 retirement procedure. Q. Yes, and Mr Santos took you to the part 8 8 Q. No, well that is what came from that of the exchange where you say, "(2)(b)" and 9 9 meeting, is that the Chief Minister says he Mr Picardo says, "Exactly, in re a search 10 10 lost confidence in Mr McGrail because he warrant as a proceeding." You said, "We 11 felt lied to. At what point in the next three 11 need to check what 'such' means." You, 12 12 weeks or so, four weeks before the 28 days obviously, knew then on the 18th that he was 13 before he was retired, did you set down 13 corresponding with you about the search 14 14 somewhere in writing, or point out to warrant, did you not? 15 anybody, that you felt that meeting should 15 A. Generally, in the context of the message 16 not have happened? 16 that he sent me --17 17 A. I did not. Q. Yes. Well, not generally, specifically 18 18 about Mr Levy's search warrant, correct? Q. You did the opposite, did you not? You 19 19 supported and backed the Chief Minister in A. Yes, yes, I see what you mean. Yes, 20 20 every action he took in the next four weeks that's the implication of that exchange, yes. 21 that led to the retirement of Mr McGrail? 21 Q. Yes. If you realised on the evening of 12 22 (11.56)22 May or thereabouts that Mr Picardo should 23 23 A. Well, as I've just explained to Mr Santos, not have met with Mr McGrail about this 24 I didn't have a lot of involvement in that 24 investigation, why were you still 25 25 procedure. corresponding with him about the same Page 85 Page 87 1 Q. We will come to that. You said the 1 investigation six days later? 2 warrant came as a shock and things happened 2 A. Corresponding is perhaps putting it too 3 3 very quickly on 12 May. If we put up B1, high, Mr Wagner. He was sending me 4 4 418, you saw these this morning. These are material; I do a quick reply and the 5 5 the messages you were exchanging with Mr conversation ends on both the HMIC reports 6 6 Picardo on 17 May, so, over five days later. and the section - sub section (2)(b) matter. 7 This is where Mr Picardo is assembling the 7 Q. Why did you not say to him then, "Mr 8 8 various messages, which in fact, he's also Picardo, we cannot discuss this. This is a 9 9 sending to Mr Baglietto. Did you know he live investigation in which you have a direct 10 was sending them to Mr Baglietto, as well? 10 personal interest, that is it. Please do not 11 11 A. No. correspond with me again about this"? Q. At page 13 of the HMIC report, you say, 12 12 A. No, I didn't - I mean, I didn't. 13 13 "Boom. Hardly encouraging", then he refers Q. Should you have? 14 14 to section 59(2)(b) of the Constitution A. It's a difficult question in the sense of, 15 15 relating to the search warrant, that is the next should I? Yes, perhaps I should have, but I 16 16 day. Do you accept that this exchange relates thought I was dealing with it by not engaging 17 to the search warrant? 17 18 18 A. No, because when I saw - to the extent Q. Yes. Well, you do engage because you 19 19 that I engaged in this exchange, which was actually propose (2)(b), do you not? 20 20 not a lot - when I saw the HMIC reports what A. Me? No, I'm trying to understand why 21 21 I seem to remember was the discussions and he's sending - I think he's sent me just the 22 22 the reactions when we received the HMIC picture. 23 23 reports, which I think was several months Q. And you say, "We need to check what 24 earlier. That was my main thought. I mean, 24 'such' means in that context", do you not? 25 in examination Mr Santos has made me think 25 A. Yes. Page 86 Page 88

22 (Pages 85 to 88)

1 Q. So, you are engaging. You are not just 1 A. No, no, I don't know whether they go 2 shutting down the conversation; you are 2 back that far. 3 3 Q. And you met with Mr Baglietto at least engaging. 4 4 A. Yes, it is shutting up the conversation, once and possibly twice. Is that right? 5 5 A. I met him on the 15th. I'm pretty sure I because nothing happens after that. 6 6 didn't meet him on the 14th. So, certainly, Q. I want to ask you about your contact with 7 7 Mr Baglietto and Mr Levy, C6, 884, please. 8 8 A little bit further down the page. Yes, so, I Q. Yes, and possibly twice, and you were 9 9 just want to make sure we have got the right texting him as well during this period? 10 10 picture here, that you spoke to Mr Baglietto A. Well, what I've disclosed to the inquiry, 11 on the 13th? 11 12 12 Q. Yes. Can we go to A305, please, A. I think that's when I must have called him 13 after the meeting of the 13th, yes. 13 paragraph 27. So, this is your responsive 14 14 statement, the second statement you gave. 15 15 A. Yes, or returned his missed calls of that A. Is this the second one? 16 16 Q. Yes, and you are responding to paragraph 17 17 55 of Mr McGrail's first statement, "I should Q. And then Mr Baglietto and Mr Moshe 18 add that although I cannot be certain" - this 18 Levy were at your office on the 14th. You do 19 19 not know if you met with them, or not? is Mr McGrail's words - "and this will no 20 20 doubt emerge from evidence at the hearing, A. That is correct. 21 that I got the impression that, aside from the 21 Q. Mr Baglietto then met you at your office 22 22 on the 15th as evidenced by his text message correspondence, the AG was talking to Mr 23 23 Baglietto. I was dismayed that proper to you. That is on the Friday. Is that right? 24 24 A. I think that's right - oh, because this is not boundaries were not being maintained." You 25 respond as follows, "I would like to clarify 25 in the WhatsApp exchange, yes, but that's Page 89 Page 91 1 right. 1 that, while it is possible that I may have 2 Q. Sorry, yes, it is in his text messaging 2 spoken to Lewis Baglietto on 12 May, it 3 3 exchange with you. would have been to take a call from him 4 4 A. Yes. That's when I recall meeting him saying how aggrieved James Levy was and 5 5 for the first time. that the letter or email (subsequently that 6 6 Q. And then on 20 May you spoke, and I evening) was coming. I was certainly not 7 think that is on C6, 884. There you can see, 7 'talking to Mr Baglietto' other than to that 8 8 "Can I call" - sorry, it was on the right place, extent." Do you accept that this paragraph in 9 9 yes, there. 20 May, one o'clock, "Can you your witness statement is inaccurate? 10 call me?" missed voice call, "Sorry I was on 10 A. Well, it's accurate as far as 12 May is 11 telephone. Just tried to call you". Missed 11 concerned. 12 voice call. So, you think you spoke to him 12 Q. Right, but does it not give the impression 13 13 again on the 20th? that you were not talking to Mr Baglietto 14 14 A. Yes, I must have. other to that extent? 15 15 Q. So, in the eight days from 12 May you A. On 12 May it was to that extent. 16 spoke to Mr Baglietto at least twice. Is that 16 Q. You did not think to add into your 17 17 statement, "I actually had a few more 18 A. I spoke to Mr Baglietto, yes, twice. 18 contacts with Mr Baglietto. So, Mr 19 Q. At least twice? Can you be sure you did 19 McGrail's impression may have been 20 20 not speak to him otherwise? correct"? 21 21 A. I'm pretty sure. I mean, I can't be 100 per A. No, and I think I deal with that in one of 22 cent sure, but I'm pretty sure it was on those 22 my paragraphs. 23 two moments. 23 Q. Well, we can find that, maybe over the 24 Q. No. We have not got your call records, 24 lunch adjournment. Did you take advice 25 25 from the DPP about the propriety of speaking have we? Page 90 Page 92

directly to a criminal suspect during the 1 already explained and I don't want to -- if 2 2 execution of a warrant? you want I can repeat --3 3 A. No. Q. No, no. 4 Q. No. Did you take advice from the DPP 4 A. -- my reasons for it. But those were my 5 about talking to the criminal suspect at all 5 reasons and I achieved what I wanted to 6 6 during an investigation? achieve. 7 7 A. In the qualification that what you refer to Q. Which was that you said you lied to Mr 8 as talk was a very short telephone call where 8 Levy? 9 9 I largely listened to Mr Levy express his A. Well, I did not want to -- my concern was 10 10 concerns, and I ended up telling him that the "Don't worry", end of conversation. 11 matter was going to - I think I told him, "You 11 Q. Yes, but you said you lied to Mr Levy? 12 should speak to the DPP because this is not a 12 A. Well, I'm only saying that I lied in the 13 matter for me. So, don't exaggerate the 13 sense that considering what's being attributed 14 14 to me by "Don't worry". word, "talk". It was one conversation that I 15 15 Q. Yes. had with Mr Levy on the day, on 12 May. 16 16 (12.06)A. In the case of what you are saying. Your 17 17 Q. Yes. argument is you gave a reassurance to the 18 18 A. And it was largely to hear him out as to suspect. I only -- what I was saying by "I 19 19 how he felt. lied" to Mr Levy is to show to you that the 20 20 Q. I'm sorry. You must have a different last thing I was doing is to reassure him, 21 understanding of the word "talk" than I do. 21 because what had been agreed that day was 22 You did talk to him, didn't you? 22 not what Hassans were seeking on his behalf. 23 23 A. Yes. A very -- a five -- probably a five That is all. I mean, I wasn't doing -- the 24 minutes talk, yes. 24 purport of "Don't worry" was exactly the 25 25 Q. Did you take advice from the DPP about opposite of the significance and the meaning Page 93 Page 95 1 the propriety of texting the criminal suspect, 1 that you're giving to it. 2 "Don't worry"? 2 Q. Did you appreciate that by sending the 3 3 A. No. No, because as I -- I've explained my text and by speaking to Mr Levy you could 4 4 reasons for "Don't worry" yesterday. have been undermining the investigation 5 5 Q. Yes. I think you said you were very tired entirely? 6 6 and had been working into the night. Is that A. No, for the reasons I have just explained 7 7 right? 8 8 A. Yes. I said that. Q. Can you just go to C5871 please. This is 9 9 Q. Do you regret sending that text? the email that Mr Rocca sent to you on 8 10 A. Considering how it's been distorted, yes 10 March 2021. If we just go to point two, it 11 11 of course I do. says there: 12 Q. You're not a private citizen, are you, you 12 "The defence have been laying the 13 13 are the Attorney General. groundwork for an abuse or fair trial 14 14 A. Yes. arguments set around the treatment of JL and 15 Q. And the Attorney General texting a 15 how both he and his communications devices 16 suspect "Don't worry" in the middle of an 16 were handled during the investigation. You 17 investigation, I mean, as a lawyer and 17 will recall that they were returned unopened 18 Attorney General, do you not see that that is 18 and of course they will argue that this 19 19 actually quite a clear message? impacts on their ability to have a fair trial and 20 20 A. It's not clear at all, Mr Wagner, and I that the differential treatment amongst other 21 made my position very clear yesterday of 21 things is an abuse of process, etc. You will 22 what I meant by that. Whether, had it been at 22 have noted that they even go as far as 23 3 o'clock in the afternoon and I was sitting in 23 suggesting that with an even-handed 24 my office and this comes. With time I would 24 approach, JL would have appeared charged 25 25 have replied differently perhaps, but I've on the indictment." Page 94 Page 96

Do you remember receiving this email? 1 A. Well, maybe crisis is the wrong word of 2 2 A. Yes. I mean, this was much later on. Is describing it. I mean, there was certainly a 3 3 this 8 March 2021? situation that Mr McGrail thought he should 4 Q. 2021, yes. 4 discuss with me and the DPP and I agreed 5 A. I remember seeing it, yes. 5 and the letter, I think 12 May letter is address 6 6 Q. Did you appreciate that if the defence did to me, so there were issues. I mean, the 7 7 make an abuse argument about the treatment allegations were very serious. So, maybe 8 8 of Mr Levy, that your correspondence with crisis is not the right word, but it was 9 9 and conversation with Mr Levy may have to certainly an abnormal situation in which the 10 10 be put into evidence? RGP was facing serious allegations set out in 11 A. Yes, but their thinking and their decision 11 that letter. 12 on that was one they had taken independently 12 Q. Wasn't the real crisis that the RGP had 13 themselves. I am just re-reading the 13 attempted to execute a search warrant against 14 14 paragraph again. (Pause) Yes. James Levy? 15 15 A. Well, that is what provoked it all. Q. In relation to meeting Mr Baglietto, you 16 said yesterday that you didn't pause for 16 Q. And that was your concern? 17 17 thought as to whether you should be meeting A. My concern at that stage was that 18 18 Mr Baglietto because it was crisis something had to be dealt with at that time, 19 19 management at that stage. What was the notably the Hassans's letter of the previous 20 20 crisis that you were crisis managing? night. 21 A. Well, it was the reason why in the 21 Q. Did you take advice from the DPP about 22 morning of 13 May, Mr McGrail asked that 22 the appropriateness of meeting Mr Baglietto? 23 23 he wanted to see me and therefore that is A. No, no. 24 what I was doing. The first letter from 24 Q. Should you have? 25 Hassans had arrived. We discussed it. If I 25 A. I don't think so because I only met him Page 97 Page 99 1 remember correctly Mr McGrail was 1 anyway after the meeting of 13 May. 2 preparing a response to the letter and in that 2 Already in the meeting of 13 May there is a 3 3 same email, if I remember, he suggests that discussion about talking to Mr Baglietto. 4 4 instead of him and me meeting with Lewis Q. But you spoke to him before that, didn't 5 5 Baglietto, that we two, or us two or the RGP you? Did you take advice from the DPP 6 6 and me, should meet instead. So, what I was about the appropriateness of speaking to 7 7 doing there was just assisting Mr McGrail in 8 8 the reply to that letter, which was actually A. I don't think I spoke to him -- I don't 9 9 addressed to me, if I remember correctly. think I spoke to Mr ... I've been trying to 10 Q. What was the crisis that you were 10 retrace those days and my recollection is that 11 11 managing? I spoke to him for the first time on 13 May 12 A. The reasons why he wanted to see me, so 12 after the meeting, because the meeting that 13 13 I guess he wanted to see me because in that was supposed to take place between him, Mr 14 14 letter very serious allegations were being McGrail and me, I cancelled by email. It is 15 15 made against the RGP and in particular in the evidence. So, I wouldn't have called 16 16 against Mr Richardson and he wanted legal him to cancel the meeting and then I had the 17 17 meeting with Mr McGrail. So I must have 18 18 Q. So the crisis was the Hassans's letter? called him after 13 May is what I have 19 19 A. Well, crisis, I mean, there was a situation worked out. 20 20 Q. Should you have taken the DPP along that needed to be managed. 21 21 with you to those meetings -- to the calls and Q. Because you used the expression "crisis 22 22 management" quite a lot yesterday, a number meetings with Mr Baglietto? 23 23 of times. I am just trying to bottom out what A. The calls? 24 exactly was the crisis as Attorney General 24 Q. The calls. 25 25 you were managing? A. No. Page 98 Page 100

Q. No? Should you have taken notes at 1 McGrail because you were shocked about the 2 those meetings? 2 warrant and it happened very quickly. Is that 3 3 A. Mr Wagner, the calls were very short right? 4 calls. First of all, already from the meeting 4 A. Yes. 5 5 of 13 May we are talking about Q. You also say in your witness statement, I 6 6 communicating with Lewis Baglietto, as can take you to it in a bit, that with the 7 7 "fighting to the death" comment that you from the 15th it is absolutely clear. But 8 8 used over expressive and emotional language already after -- during the meeting of the 9 9 13th, and these were very short calls where which you now regret at 13 May meeting, is 10 10 the most that I think was discussed, for that right? 11 example, in this call of 13 May, from 11 A. That's right. I was not the only one, but 12 12 recollection and from reading the transcript that's right. 13 in particular, was that Mr Baglietto in 13 Q. And you didn't pause for thought, this is 14 14 what your evidence is, you didn't pause for addition to explaining the reasons I suppose 15 why he had sent his letter of 12 May, must 15 thought about whether it was appropriate to 16 have informed me that Mr Levy would give a 16 meet Mr Baglietto, because you were in 17 17 no comment interview on Monday, 18th. crisis management mode at that stage. Is that 18 Because we talk about that on the meeting of 18 right? 19 19 the 15th. A. Well, as I've just told you, maybe crisis 20 20 Q. Sorry, I am going to ask the question management is not the appropriate 21 again. Should you have taken notes of those 21 description of it. 22 calls and meetings? 22 Q. Yes. 23 23 A. I don't -- I mean, apart from the big treaty A. But there was certainly a situation which 24 negotiations that we're doing, I don't take 24 was not a normal situation. 25 25 notes of my other meetings. Q. Mr Llamas, you are the Attorney General. Page 101 Page 103 1 Q. Are you aware that nobody took any 1 At some point, did the shock, the emotion 2 2 notes, at Hassans, the Chief Minister, or you and the tiredness wear off and give you the 3 3 of any of the interactions that took place opportunity to give these issues some thought 4 4 between Mr Levy, Mr Picardo, you and Mr from a legal perspective? 5 5 McGrail -- sorry, and Mr Baglietto? A. Which of the issues? 6 6 A. I mean, my interactions were very short Q. Any of them relating to Op Delhi. 7 7 A. Well, I think during those days, my focus interactions which were managing the 8 8 situation with Mr Baglietto. My interaction was to help the RGP in the process that was 9 9 with Mr Levy, you've got it in evidence. I agreed on during those meetings in helping 10 mean, that's all the interaction that I had. 10 them refute and reply to the letters from 11 11 Q. But no notes? Hassans and in agreeing with them and 12 A. No, no notes. 12 discussing with them a way forward, 13 13 Q. So just to recap on this, I just want to get considering the situation in which we found 14 14 -- talk a bit about your frame of mind, you've ourselves and indeed, I thought that 15 15 said you texted "Don't worry" to Mr Levy in everybody was happy with it because, as I 16 16 part because you were working late and were showed you yesterday, one hour into a one 17 tired that evening. Is that right? 17 and-a-half our meeting with Mr McGrail on 18 18 THE CHAIRMAN: And his mind was on 15 May, the second meeting, he thanks me --19 19 other matters. and the DPP -- he thanks me for what was it, 20 20 MR WAGNER: And your mind was on the consultation. 21 other matters. Is that correct? 21 Q. Yes. We will come to that. I want to ask 22 A. Yes. 22 you about 6 April meeting with the DPP. 23 23 Q. You've said you didn't consider the A275 please, paragraph 21. Well, at 24 implications of 12 May meeting at the time 24 paragraph 20 you said: 25 between you and Mr Picardo and Mr 25 "I myself had no further involvement with Page 102 Page 104

1 the Criminal Investigation until about elven 1 was to discuss the people who might be 2 months later ..." and you underline eleven 2 dragged in by the investigation. Would you 3 months later. Then in the next paragraph: 3 agree? 4 "It was not until early-April 2020 4 A. On the face of that email of course, but 5 [underlined] that the Criminal Investigation 5 not what we discussed, or the main thing we 6 was brought to my attention again. On this 6 discussed the following day, or that same 7 7 occasion it was as a result of a call I received 8 from the Direction of Public Prosecution ... 8 Q. And Mr Rocca said in his evidence on 9 who wished to discuss with me certain 9 day 10, and I am going to ask for it to be put 10 aspects of the RGP's investigation. ... the 10 up but just for the note it is page 203, line 7, 11 DPP rarely seeks to discuss criminal cases 11 he was asked who were the high-profile 12 with me and typically acts completely 12 people that he wants to raise. He said, "the 13 independently from me." 13 Chief Officer of the Borders and Coastguard 14 You said in your evidence yesterday, you 14 Agency, it was the Chief Minister, it was 15 say, "The two principal issues which the DPP 15 Haim Levy, it was Mr Gaggero from Blands, 16 had brought to my mind were rationalisation 16 also it involved Mr Perez who was the 17 of charges and ownership and my focus in 17 former Commanding Officer of the -" I 18 that meeting was exclusively the matters the 18 guess the Royal Gibraltar Regiment. Now, 19 DPP had brought to my attention the day 19 can we just go to C4 732? This is the letter 20 before." Do you remember giving that 20 that your lawyers drafted on your behalf. 21 evidence? 21 Did you check it before it went out on 5 June 22 A. Sorry? 22 2020? 23 Q. You gave that evidence yesterday. Is that 23 A. I must have. 24 24 Q. Well, you will have, because you are the 25 A. Yes. 25 Attorney General. Page 105 Page 107 1 Q. If we just go to C3 313, please, you have 1 A. Yes. 2 2 already been shown this, that it was you who Q. So, if we just pick it up, it is the second 3 3 emailed Mr Rocca saying, "I'm not cited on paragraph from the bottom that begins, "Far 4 4 this, M." So would you agree it was you who from seeking". It says, "Far from seeking to 5 5 asked to be cited on the issue, not the DPP interfere with that reason, I had no further 6 6 involvement with the criminal investigation who contacted you? 7 7 A. No, you misrepresent the situation, Mr until 11 months later when in early April 8 8 Wagner. If you go the previous email - so 2020 the DPP called me to discuss the 9 9 that's an email from Mr Fischel to the RGP in Government's position in relation to the 10 which I am in copy as indeed is the DPP, so I 10 ongoing issues about the ownership of a 11 11 computer platform and its software that the don't know why I'm receiving this email. 12 Q. But you said to the DPP, "I'm not cited in 12 HO, the Government uses, which the 13 this"? 13 criminal investigation related". You were 14 14 A. Yes, of course I said it. writing that letter to the Gibraltar Police 15 15 authority. Why did you not refer to the Q. Yes. If you go above the email to the 16 DPP's email, he said, "Hi Michael, this is 16 actual reason the DPP contacted you, which 17 something we're going to have to discuss 17 was about the high-profile people? 18 soon because it does have very serious 18 A. Because what I recall there is what we 19 19 implications in terms of the people that might spoke - I don't even remember speaking 20 be dragged in." When the DPP emailed you, 20 about the people who were potentially going 21 he didn't mention the rationalisation of the 21 to be dragged in. It must have been 22 22 charges or the ownership issue, did he? mentioned, because that is what he says in 23 23 A. In that email, obviously not. his email, but for me as I've said, that 24 Q. In that email, no, and in fact the purpose 24 conversation was predominantly on 25 of the meeting from the DPP's perspective 25 rationalisation and ownership and that is my Page 106 Page 108

1	hast manifestion of that appropriate Man	1	not discussed in that meeting. Mr McGrail
1 2	best recollection of that conversation, Mr	1 2	has said it; Mr Richardson has said it; Mr
	Wagner.	1	
3	Q. Well, that paragraph is misleading, is it	3	DeVincenzi has said it, and I have said it. So,
4	not?	4	I am sure that that part, namely what was
5	A. Sorry?	5	discussed in the meeting of 7 April, is not
6	Q. That paragraph is misleading, is it not,	6	correct, and that made me think back to what
7	Mr Llamas?	7	the discussion with the DPP had been the
8	A. I would not agree with that.	8	previous day because obviously both things
9	Q. You then go on to say, and I will not read	9	are linked, and I see that in his evidence, the
10	it to you because you were taken to it	10	DPP has made it very clear that we did not
11	yesterday, that you discussed the warrant	11	discuss the search warrants on 6 April. So, I
12	against Mr Levy with the DPP and expressed	12	have given it a lot of thought, as you can
13	your concern about it. You were surprised to	13	imagine, last night, and the only conclusion I
14	hear that yesterday and then in the final	14	can reach is that contrary to the suggestion
15	paragraph you say - sorry just a little bit	15	that Mr Santos made to me yesterday that
16	further on. Just go up again. You say in the	16	because this letter is more contemporaneous
17	penultimate paragraph, "During the	17	to the events than my witness statement and
18	discussion the DPP explained to me the RGP	18	therefore more likely to reflect the true
19	had drawn up all these charges. You were	19	position, I have come to the conclusion that
20	alarmed, and also you were alarmed by the	20	the opposite is almost certainly the case and
21	proposal to obtain and issue a search warrant	21	I'll tell you why because this comes at the
22	against a senior lawyer. You then say below,	22	back of the four meetings that had just taken
23	"I asked to meet the Commissioner of Police	23	place: the meeting of 7 April and the three
24	in relation to these two matters and we did so	24	meetings of May 2020 and I honestly believe
25	on 7 April." So, by "those two matters" in	25	I got confused and that confusion is reflected
	•		
	Page 109		Page 111
1	the letter at least you meant the ownership - I	1	in that letter in those two paragraphs.
2	am sorry, the charges and the warrants. Is	2	Q. Yes. You then say in the letter, or your
3	that right?	3	lawyer says, "The outcome of that discussion
4	A. Yes. I have given a lot of thought to this	4	was that we reached a clear understanding
5	letter, Mr Wagner, since yesterday because	5	that the RGP would not take any further
6	that's - I was taken very much by surprise	6	action until they had considered my advice
7	when I saw this in this letter, and I will tell	7	about the rationalisation of the charges. It
8	you why, because it is not my - the	8	was clear beyond peradventure that nothing
9	discussion of the search warrant is not	9	would happen until we met again." Do you
10	something that I had remembered and indeed	10	accept that the long description in the
11	I have not stated that in my witness	11	previous paragraphs about the conversation
12	statements. So, I have been going over the	12	about the warrants on 7 April meeting made
13	two issues, because there are two issues in	13	it sound like and bolstered your argument in
14	these paragraphs. The first one was what	14	the next paragraph that you had clearly
15	was discussed between the DPP and me, and	15	agreed with Mr McGrail not to take any steps
16	the second one what was discussed in the	16	including the execution of a warrant?
17	meeting of 7 April with Mr McGrail, Mr	17	A. Yes, absolutely, but I'm explaining to you
18	Richardson and Mr DeVincenzi. So, if you	18	now that that was a mistake. It must be a
19	go to the end, the top of page 4 of that letter,	19	mistake. I must have confused the meeting.
20	please, so there in the last sentence I say,	20	Q. It was a very important mistake, was it
21	referring to the meeting of 7 April, "We also	21	not, Mr Llamas?
22	discussed the proposal to obtain and execute	22	A. In what sense?
23	a search warrant against Mr Levy". We've	23	Q. Because this was a letter - it was not any
24	got evidence from the four participants of	24	old letter - it was a letter you sent to the
25	that meeting that the search warrants were	25	Gibraltar Police Authority to justify what you
	-		
	Page 110		Page 112

1 said was your loss of confidence in the 1 facts that you now accept, that could have 2 Commissioner of Police. 2 borne on his decision whether to exercise his 3 3 (12.31)powers? 4 A. No, I think it's not germane to that 4 A. I don't think that that was influenced by 5 5 question at all. This letter comes after the anything in this letter. It was subsidiary to 6 6 letter of 29 May and it comes after the lie and what was happening under his own loss of 7 7 therefore those were the reasons why that confidence in Mr McGrail. 8 8 well, more than the lie, the breach of trust Q. So you are the Attorney General and you 9 9 that I consider had occurred between Mr are saying that the Governor would have just 10 10 McGrail and myself, and that is why I ignored your letter? 11 submitted that letter. My lasting confidence 11 A. Yes, but as I told you, that process had 12 in Mr McGrail is irrelevant to the retirement 12 nothing to do with me and I don't think that 13 process. 13 this letter contributed in any way. It showed Q. Well, is it? 14 14 that the Attorney General had also lost 15 15 A. Yes. confidence in Mr McGrail but after the letter 16 Q. You said that you, the Governor and the 16 of --- Mr McGrail's letter of 29 May, that was 17 17 Chief Minister were coordinating these hardly surprising. 18 18 letters; is that correct? Q. So A276 please, paragraph 22, I think we 19 19 A. No, we were --- the three of us had our are already there, you say, "During our 20 20 discussion [this is in 2022 when you write positions on this and they were submitting ---21 my loss of confidence is as irrelevant to what 21 this statement] the DPP confirmed to me (a) 22 happened to Mr McGrail as what your loss of 22 on the basis of the information available to 23 23 the DPP it was clear that there would be confidence may have been. The loss of 24 confidence of the Attorney General is 24 failures in the National Security system of 25 25 completely irrelevant to that process. Gibraltar ... and if you go down, "(b) Page 113 Page 115 1 Q. You said --- you were asked, "Did you 1 Hassans held shares in the rival company 36 2 2 see each other's letters," the Governor, the North. Mr Levy was potentially a person of 3 3 Chief Minister and you and you said, interest. Their civil servant, Mr Sanchez, 4 4 "Maybe. Is that correct? was one of the suspects. The chief executive 5 5 A. I don't know for sure, Mr Wagner but ---officer of the border and coastguard agency 6 6 Q. What is your best recollection? may have been implicated and the ownership 7 A. My best recollection is that I saw them 7 of the NSCIS platform was contested, there 8 8 quite soon after they were sent. was no formal written contract." Then you 9 9 Q. And the others will have seen yours. Is say, "The DPP also informed me ..." and you 10 that correct? 10 mention the ownership issue and the charges. 11 11 A. I can't remember. Do you accept that you have given three 12 Q. So would you accept that it was the 12 materially different accounts of this meeting 13 13 Governor at this very moment, 5 June, who is in 5 June letter, then in your witness 14 14 deciding whether to exercise his section 13 statement and then in your oral evidence? 15 15 powers in relation to Mr McGrail to force A. What's the difference between my him to retire. Is that right --- to resign, sorry. 16 16 witness statement and my oral evidence? 17 A. I can't remember the chronology of that. 17 Q. I suppose now you have gone back on the 18 18 Q. You can take it from me that on 5 June search warrant being mentioned but certainly 19 19 that was exactly the moment when the in the 5 June letter and the witness statement 20 20 Governor was deciding whether to exercise 21 his section 13 powers. Do you agree? 21 A. Yes, and I have explained to you that that 22 22 A. If you say that was the case, I mean, I was a confusion in the 5 June --- which is 23 23 can't confirm it myself. supported by the evidence from the other 24 Q. Do you agree that if the Governor saw 24 persons and officials who took part in those 25 this letter with the misrepresentation of the 25 meetings. Page 114 Page 116

O. Is another possibility that you tailored 1 was just giving him the benefit of what I 2 your accounts to fit the needs of the 2 have said. I know more than he does, what's 3 3 particular situation? happening of direct importance to Gibraltar 4 A. Not at all. It was a mistake, an innocent 4 at the moment. We all saw the risks of this 5 5 case and I felt I was doing him a favour mistake, a confusion arising from the 6 consecutive nature of the meetings 6 almost in the sense of be aware, be careful. I 7 7 Q. It is not the only innocent mistake that mean, that's what I was telling him, so, yes, I 8 8 you have admitted, is it? think am perfectly entitled to do that. 9 9 A. Like what? Q. Just to be absolutely clear, you were 10 10 Q. You accepted yesterday that your giving him private advice, not legal advice? 11 message to the Chief Minister on 13 May, 11 A. It wasn't legal advice. 12 12 that the DPP had strongly advised against the Q. You were giving him private advice to be 13 warrant, was wrong? 13 careful? 14 14 A. It was a mischaracterisation of what I was A. Yes. 15 15 Q. If that was not legal advice, was it told, yes, of no consequence to the lie 16 because that mischaracterisation had nothing 16 political advice? 17 to do with the lie, but, yes. 17 A. No, it's not political in the sense that my 18 18 Q. I am going to come back to that in a only concern was that because of the 19 19 moment but before we leave the 7 April ingredients and this investigation, that it was 20 20 meeting, you said yesterday that at that handled properly. I mean, that was my only 21 meeting on 7 April "I don't think I was even 21 concern. 22 speaking to him," that is Mr McGrail, "as 22 Q. But not legally properly? You were not 23 23 Attorney General to the Commissioner of giving him legal advice? 24 Police. It was more a conversation by two of 24 A. No. 25 25 the most senior persons in the law Q. And when you said "handled properly" Page 117 Page 119 1 enforcement team in Gibraltar." You also 1 did you mean politically properly? 2 said, "The major purpose of the meeting was 2 A. Politically? Probably, no, not politically 3 3 to tell him to be careful." Is it right you felt properly, just properly, administratively 4 4 you were not actually talking as the Attorney properly. 5 5 General? Q. Looking back, do you have any concern 6 6 A. I wasn't giving him legal advice. That's now that you were giving advice that was not 7 my view and Mr Richardson's view with 7 legal advice, was not as the Attorney General 8 8 which I agree. I was just telling him and, as you were telling the Commissioner of 9 9 generally. I enjoy a more panoramic view of Police in a high profile investigation to be 10 what's happening in Gibraltar and, therefore, 10 careful and how that might be interpreted? 11 11 I saw, as they had seen, the potential for A. I have absolutely no problems with that, 12 reputational risks but this investigation ---12 that is exactly what I thought it was 13 13 and indeed I didn't know at the time the appropriate for me to tell him as friendly 14 14 extent to which they actually had seen that advice to him. 15 15 and, therefore, all I was doing was telling Q. I know you say it is friendly and you 16 16 him, "Look, we're at a particularly critical were trying to help him but is not private 17 moment in our history, just be careful how 17 advice, telling him to be careful and to take 18 18 certain steps that are not legal, is that not the you move forward." 19 19 definition of interference? Q. Do you have any function as Attorney 20 20 General to meet with the Commissioner of A. Not at all. 21 21 Police and do anything but give him legal Q. What else is it? 22 22 advice? A. We have already gone over the issues, 23 23 A. I thought this was, as I said yesterday, right. We have gone over the complexity of 24 friendly advice between Mr McGrail and I. 24 the investigation itself, we have already gone 25 25 We got on well at that moment in time and I over the reputational risks that the RGP itself Page 118 Page 120

30 (Pages 117 to 120)

1 had already identified, we have already gone 1 A. Yes. 2 over the particularly critical moment that we 2 Q. Thank you. Where in your witness 3 were traversing then on treaty negotiations or 3 statement do you say any of that? 4 Gibraltar's future relationship with the EU, 4 A. Mr Wagner, I haven't written everything 5 5 in the witness statement. I think it's implicit all this is happening, and, look, another issue 6 6 from my paragraph 23 where I am telling is that I'm not passing here any valued 7 7 judgment on Mr McGrail's policing style but him to be careful and to be prudent, that that is the reasons --- if you are expecting me to 8 8 I think it's no secret that Mr McGrail was not 9 9 known for his tact. He was more a man of 10 10 action than thoughts, more somewhat of a Q. I am sorry, where does it say "careful" 11 bull in a China shop and others will describe 11 and "prudent" in paragraph 23? 12 his policing style in their own way. My 12 A. I will tell you where it is. I am sorry, it is 13 experience of that, and I like to base it on my 13 paragraph 29, where I say, "I, therefore, 14 14 advised Mr McGrail that I considered it vital own experience, was that when I was 15 15 required to pick up the pieces after the airport that the investigation should proceed and be 16 incident, where I spent most of 2017 and 16 conducted prudently and with tremendous 17 17 2018 drafting an Armed Forces Gibraltar Act care." It is there. That is, as I said yesterday, 18 18 and the protocol on the exercise of criminal the reason for my meeting with Mr McGrail. 19 19 jurisdiction in Gibraltar, I spent a lot of time I have now, and I thank you for having given 20 20 with officials from the Ministry of Defence at me the opportunity to explain all the reasons 21 that time working in particular on the Act 21 why prudently and tremendous care --- if you 22 and I saw firsthand --- I mean, Mr McGrail 22 are now telling me why did you not in your 23 23 and all of you are making a lot about the statement explain why I felt that I had to give 24 public apologies and statements that were 24 him that advice, look, I didn't say it but these 25 25 made at the time by members of the UK are the reasons. They were obvious, it was Page 121 Page 123 1 armed forces and the Ministry of Defence 1 obvious to everyone. 2 and even UK government ministers. I'm sure 2 Q. There is no documentary record in the 3 3 those people had their reasons for doing that thousands of documents before this Inquiry 4 4 but what I discovered in that year 2017 and that you held any of those concerns about Mr 5 5 2018 where I worked with officials to the McGrail at the time, is there? 6 6 very top, including the current chief of A. No. 7 7 defence staff, is that the wound that had been Q. Just answer the question, is there 8 8 created with the MOD --- the MOD being the anything in a text message ---9 9 embodiment of British sovereignty in A. No. 10 Gibraltar, the wound that had been created by 10 Q. --- in an email, did you put it in the letter 11 11 the way those three senior officials had been to the GPA about your concerns around Mr 12 arrested, ran very deep. They run very deep 12 McGrail? 13 13 and the true position, the way they really felt A. No. 14 14 as I saw at the time, is as expressed by CDF, Q. And in your two witness statements you 15 15 Mike Walliker, in his witness statement to do not mention any of those points at all? 16 16 this Inquiry, so, quite frankly, I had a concern A. No. 17 that because of his policing method and style 17 Q. It has taken you four years to come on the 18 18 and what I had seen the consequences of that, stand when you are having a difficult time to 19 19 as I had seen it then, I was concerned and make those points? 20 20 you put all those things together, complexity A. A difficult time about what, Mr Wagner? 21 21 of the case, reputational risk, a critical It's obvious. 22 moment in our history, and his policing style. 22 Q. You said yesterday that what you said to 23 "Ian, take care, Ian, be prudent," that is the 23 Mr McGrail on 7 April was just pure advice, 24 summary of that meeting. 24 "he chose to ignore it, which is fine." Are 25 25 Q. Have you finished? you saying not legal advice?

Page 122

Page 124

A. Yes, exactly. That is exactly what I say. 1 that where I could be of assistance to him 2 2 Q. And you said, "I thought in the context of which is what I was trying to be. 3 3 the meeting he should have understood what Q. Do you agree ---4 I meant"? 4 A. Or as I said yesterday, we did not shake 5 A. Yes. 5 hands. The meeting did not end with Ian, 6 6 Q. But it was an implication. Is that right? Michael would reach this agreement, did not 7 7 send him an email telling him, "Ian, we've A. Yes, I have come to accept that, yes. 8 8 agreed this and that today." I accept all of Q. You have come to accept that, so C4732, 9 9 just scroll through that, keep going further that but for me, operating at the level that we 10 10 down, you say in the second paragraph, "The both were, I was just very disappointed. 11 outcome of that discussion was that we 11 Q. But your level in criminal law was 12 12 reached a clear understanding that the RGP nowhere? 13 would not take any further action until they 13 A. Mr Wagner, I have just told you it wasn't 14 considered my advice about the 14 legal advice. 15 rationalisation of the charges and then the 15 Q. Do you regret using those words "Clear 16 CoP would come back to see me again. It 16 beyond peradventure" -----17 17 was clear beyond peradventure." Do you A. No, because ----18 18 accept that that was misleading? Q. --- in a letter justifying Mr McGrail being --- well, effectively being pushed out of his 19 19 A. In my mind, it was clear on 7 April. For 20 20 me, if I have taken the unprecedented step to 21 discuss this with the Commissioner of Police, 21 A. You are not serious about that comment, 22 and I am telling him to be prudent and to take 22 are you? 23 23 tremendous care, I meant what I meant and, Q. I am asking a question. 24 look, at a minimum it should have been clear 24 A. Well, I think it's an absurd question to ask 25 25 to him that no major steps would have been and I don't think that this had anything to do Page 125 Page 127 1 taken until rationalisation and ownership had 1 with that. 2 been established in the knowledge that 2 Q. Do you regret using that expression, 3 3 rationalisation was something which the DPP "clear beyond peradventure"? 4 4 had told me could be done very quickly and A. For me, it was. 5 5 on ownership, what I did as I said yesterday, Q. I want to ask you about your involvement 6 6 immediately after that meeting I started in the GPA process at B1365 please. This is 7 putting pressure on government officials to 7 the 22 May letter from the GPA, the yellow 8 provide the Government statement on 8 sections are the parts which the Chief 9 9 ownership because they had been dragging Minister added in after Mr Britto's first draft 10 their feet for a very long time and the RGP 10 and he says further down to probity and 11 had been asking for it for a very long time 11 integrity, "It was communicated to me that 12 and the government was not producing it and 12 both the Governor and the Chief Minister felt 13 13 it worked because by 4 May, as I say in my that their dealings with you had left them 14 14 witness statement, the RGP received the with a sense that you were lacking in both 15 15 Gibraltar government's position on probity and integrity ... the maritime incident 16 16 one case in point ..." and this is the words of ownership which, for the last four or five 17 months, they had been asking for and not 17 the Chief Minister, "this is very serious 18 18 getting it, so that is it. indeed. I think you need to reflect carefully 19 19 Q. Do you agree that there is a very big on this. The situation we are in is one where 20 20 difference between an implication and an both the Governor and the Chief Minister 21 agreement which was clear beyond 21 respectively have expressed this view. It's 22 peradventure? 22 not one of them who has expressed this view, 23 A. I think that officials at a senior level, it 23 which would be bad enough, but both of 24 should have been clear to Mr McGrail that I 24 them," and then further down, "additionally, 25 25 sought to start a connection between us on the authority is informed that the Attorney

Page 126

Page 128

1 General has also expressed the same 1 other than that 12 May meeting and I 2 2 feelings." Do you remember seeing this attended one meeting with the Governor and 3 3 letter before it went out? the Chief Minister on 5 June in my capacity 4 4 as their legal adviser ... and I sent a letter on A. Yes. 5 Q. Just to make that good, B1419, at 1951, 5 5 June replying to various serious and untrue 6 Mr Picardo sends you something and says, 6 allegations." Why did you leave out all of 7 7 "Can you review, it contains references to those different inputs you had in the GPA 8 8 you, I want to make sure you are process in this witness statement? 9 9 comfortable." You say, "Sure," straight away A. Because my involvement was --- I read 10 10 and then you put your thumb up, "Yeah, call that letter, I don't think I even received it by 11 you now." I will not take you to it but the 11 email. It was just a cursory look at it and I 12 timing works exactly with where Dr Britto 12 had no participation in the process, Mr 13 sends the Chief Minister his letter, his draft at 13 Wagner. The only thing I have omitted from 14 1842, that is B1436. Do you remember 14 my witness statement is a meeting of 7 June 15 receiving that draft letter before it went out? 15 which I had forgotten about but my --- and that my 5 June involvement started actually 16 A. Can I see --- sorry, can we go back to the 16 17 17 previous page? on 4 June on exactly the same point, on the 18 Q. Did you want to see the letter? 18 procedural error. 19 19 A. No, I wanted to see the WhatsApp Q. Your name and your post as Attorney 20 20 General were used with your consent in the exchange which is on the screen right now. 21 Q. Yes. 21 22 May letter, were they not? 22 A. Can I see what is the media ----22 A. Yes. 23 23 MR SANTOS: I think it is 6793. Q. How can you then say you had no 24 THE WITNESS: Okay, that's what I 24 participation in the GPA process? 25 25 thought. A. Because I didn't have an active role. I Page 129 Page 131 1 MR WAGNER: (To the witness): It is the 1 just said, "Look, I am happy with my name 2 2 to be put to this letter." That's all there was incident at sea ----3 3 A. So you've got it completely wrong, Mr to it. I was stating the obvious by then. 4 4 Q. I want to ask you about the "strongly Wagner. 5 5 Q. Did you see the letter before it went out? advised" WhatsApp. You were not sure 6 6 A. I just told you before that I thought --- I when you say you corrected your mistake. 7 7 A. Yes, I said that. think I did. 8 8 Q. So you allowed your name to be included Q. Are you still not sure? 9 9 in the letter? A. Absolutely not. My position on that 10 A. Yes. 10 correction is the same as I expressed 11 11 Q. And you allowed your name to be yesterday. 12 included in the context of having deep 12 Q. That text had a number of effects and I 13 13 concerns about the probity and integrity of just want to take you through some of them. 14 14 Mr McGrail? First of all, B1417, these are messages 15 15 A. Because of the breach of trust that I between you and Mr Picardo, and this is 16 16 thought had occurred. when the message actually happened, "spoke 17 Q. Mr Santos took you this morning to 17 to the DPP, categorical, whilst he told the 18 18 RGP that an interview with J L would likely references to multiple messages and meetings 19 19 you had with the Chief Minister and be necessary he strongly advised against the 20 20 search warrant ... he lied to us both." Is that particularly Mr Pyle about the process that 21 the GP was exercising. Is that right? 21 what that means? 22 22 A. Yes. A. Yes. 23 23 Q. So when we look at A270, paragraph 6(b) Q. "Exactly, he certainly gave us the 24 you say, "I had no participation in the 24 impression that the search warrant position 25 25 procedure leading to Mr McGrail's cessation was sanctioned by the DPP," and you say, Page 130 Page 132

33 (Pages 129 to 132)

"(Inaudible) caught DPP trying to cover his 1 significantly than if you had texted the 2 back, I've told DPP not to say or do anything 2 accurate impression? 3 without speaking to me first." Mr Picardo, 3 A. No, I was just sending the text to the 4 4 "Incredible, there's some game afoot here." Chief Minister and this simply confirmed 5 Would you agree that the first effect of your 5 what we thought --- what he had told us in 6 6 mistake was that it appears to have made the the meeting. 7 7 Q. If we go to A256 --- I know it is one Chief Minister even more angry than he 8 8 o'clock, I would be very --- this section is not already was? 9 9 A. No, I think he was already very angry. going to take very long and I have not got 10 10 Q. I am asking if he reacted in a way which much more but can I ----11 makes you think that he was not made more 11 THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, just finish this 12 12 section while you are on it. 13 A. No, he was already convinced that he had 13 MR WAGNER: Yes, if that is okay. (To the 14 14 witness): A256, paragraph 26.5 to 26.6, this lied to him and this just, as you can see from 15 15 is the Governor ---his reaction, it's not that he was angrier more 16 than he was before. 16 A. Sorry, this is the second affidavit? 17 17 Q. No, this is Mr Pyle's first affidavit. He Q. Where is the evidence that he was already 18 says, "I clearly remember saying something 18 convinced that Mr McGrail had lied to him? 19 19 along the lines of ..." sorry, I should explain. A. Because from the exchange they had on 20 This is in the meeting he has with the Chief 20 12th --- in the meeting earlier on. 21 Minister on 15 May, so three days later. 21 Q. He said, "You lied to me, Mr McGrail"? 22 22 A. He said, "I cannot believe that that is the "The Chief Minister then outlined his belief 23 23 that Mr McGrail had made a serious error of case, I cannot believe that the DPP has 24 24 advised you to proceed by search warrant." judgment with regard to the execution of a 25 search warrant against Jaime Levy, gone 25 Q. At that point he did not know whether he Page 133 Page 135 1 had been lied to? 1 against the advice of the DPP and AG." Do 2 2 you accept that three days later it appears that A. No, but he formed a very strong view of 3 3 Mr Picardo told Mr Pyle that Mr McGrail 4 4 Q. You were being sent to check what the had gone against the advice of the DPP 5 5 DPP had said? A. Yes, obviously --- well, what this shows 6 6 A. That's correct. is what Mr Pyle is recording. Is this the 7 Q. And you came back with a misleading ---7 meeting of 18 May? 8 8 you gave a misleading impression that the Q. It is 15 May, I think. 9 9 DPP had strongly advised against it, did you A. Was there a meeting on 15 May? 10 10 Q. Have I got that wrong? I think they met 11 11 A. I gave a misleading impression of on the 15th, the Chief Minister and Mr Pyle 12 something that was no consequence either to 12 met on 15 May. 13 13 his anger or to what was the lie because what A. Anyway, when I was trying to retrace 14 14 I reported back is irrelevant to the lie. The when I would have told the correct position 15 15 lie is that Mr McGrail told the Chief Minister to the Chief Minister when it was explained 16 16 that the RGP had received advice from the by the DPP in the meeting of the 13th, the 17 DPP to proceed by search warrant. That 17 first --- it is clear by the letter of 5 June, the 18 18 Chief Minister's letter to the GPA of 5 June mischaracterisation that I make in that 19 19 WhatsApp is relevant to the lie. -- if you look at that letter he sets out the lie 20 20 Q. Mr McGrail says that he did not say that, exactly as it was. The previous document ---21 21 he said words to the effect of the team had the only previous document they had been 22 22 been getting advice from the DPP. Just for able to find is the Chief Minister's records of 23 23 the sake of argument assume that that is the his meeting with Mr Pyle on 18 May where 24 correct recollection, do you agree that this 24 he uses an expression on the lines of, "He has 25 25 misled us." text would have changed the position very

Page 134

Page 136

1 Q. I just want to be clear about this point 1 telling you is that after discovering the real 2 here. Do you agree that on the 15th the 2 position on the 13th I must have told the 3 Governor is saying that the Chief Minister 3 Chief Minister and what I am telling you is 4 has told him that Mr McGrail went against 4 that the only evidence that I have found 5 the advice of the DPP? 5 trying to trace when is --- the earliest I have 6 6 A. Yes, that is what it says. found is 18 May. That doesn't mean that I 7 7 Q. That must have come from your text did not tell him before. In fact I would be 8 8 message; do you agree? very surprised if I didn't tell him before like 9 A. Yes, I agree with that, yes. 9 on the 13th itself or the 14th but I haven't 10 Q. Then at B5419, this is the Hassans letter 10 found any evidence of that. 11 of that day ----11 Q. If you told him on the 14th you would not 12 A. Yes, this is where it appears as well. 12 have told the Governor on the 15th that Mr 13 Q. Yes, so at the bottom, the DPP advised 13 McGrail went against the advice of the DPP? 14 the Commissioner against the making of 14 A. You can certainly infer that, yes. 15 those applications, so do you agree that that 15 Q. You said that Mr Pyle was still making 16 must have come from your mistake? 16 the same mistake in the diplomatic telegram 17 A. Yes. 17 that he sent? 18 18 Q. Then at B1777, this is an email that Mr A. Yes. 19 Pyle sent to the FDCO on 21 May. It says --19 Q. Just before Mr McGrail retired? 20 20 A. After, yes. 21 A. Yes, I am very aware of these documents, 21 Q. So why did you not tell the governor 22 Mr Wagner, because I have been --- I have 22 about your mistake? 23 23 retraced it and it appears largely that Mr Pyle A. Was I aware of that? 24 --- apart from the Hassans letter, it is Mr Pyle 24 O. Aware of what? 25 25 and indeed until the end of the process A. Of his mistakes? Page 137 Page 139 1 because in his final diplomatic telegram back 1 Q. I am just asking if you --- this was ----2 2 to the FCDO on 9 June he also says it there. A. Is this email ----3 3 Q. Yes, so it says --- this is Mr Pyle Q. You knew that the Governor was about to 4 4 reporting on his meeting with the CM on the consider exercising his section 13 powers to 5 5 15th, "The CM was visibly angry during our force the Commissioner to retire, why did 6 6 meeting on Friday ...." and then he goes on you not tell the Governor that you had made 7 about various things but he says --- he sets 7 this important mistake about the DPP 8 8 out the issues of concern, "The mishandling strongly advising against the search warrant? 9 9 of a high profile investigation in which the A. Mr Wagner, if you want me to answer 10 Commissioner apparently went against the 10 that question, I need to see the documents 11 advice of the AG and the DPP." Do you 11 that you are referring to because it may be 12 agree again on the 21st there is a reference to 12 that I was not aware that he was reporting 13 13 your mistake? that back to the FCDO. 14 14 Q. No, but just ----A. Yes, yes. 15 15 Q. So by 21 May, nine days later, you have A. That is what I am getting at. You are 16 16 not corrected your mistake? accusing me of not correcting Mr Pyle when 17 A. No, why do you make that assumption? 17 I may not have been aware that Mr Pyle was 18 18 Q. I am sorry, I was just asking you if you sending these messages, so if you want to 19 19 had corrected the mistake, but you did not make that point, show me where he is saving 20 20 correct the mistake? it so that I can be sure whether or not I knew 21 21 A. Of course I did. I told you that I can't --he was passing on those messages because I 22 by 18 May the Chief Minister is no longer 22 could well have been completely oblivious to 23 23 using that expression. If Mr Pyle is using it, the fact that he was sending these messages 24 I don't know because I was not 24 and that gives the answer to your question. 25 25 communicating with Mr Pyle but what I am Q. Leaving aside whether you knew he was Page 138 Page 140

1 sending messages to his superiors, Mr Pyle 1 MR WAGNER: I have not got much more to 2 2 was making decisions about Mr McGrail, do afterwards, probably about 20 minutes or 3 3 was he not, by the beginning of June? half an hour and I think that fits with the --- I 4 4 A. Of course he was. will discuss it with colleagues as well over 5 5 Q. And you knew by then that you had lunch and make sure that I do not take up 6 passed on the wrong information to the Chief 6 their time, thank you. 7 7 Minister on 12 May about the DPP; is that THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, thank you. 8 8 right? (13.10)9 9 A. Yes. (The short adjournment) 10 10 Q. And you knew that information had got (14.00)11 out to other places because you knew it was 11 MICHAEL LLAMAS (Continued) 12 12 Questioned by MR WAGNER in Hassans' letter, did you not? 13 13 A. Yes, that's correct. Q. Good afternoon, I want to ask you about 14 14 Q. And if it was in the Hassans' letter you the meeting of 13 May and if we can just turn 15 15 knew --- you did not tell Hassans, did you? to B228 please, this is something you say in 16 A. No. 16 the meeting of 13 May, this is the English 17 17 translated version and about halfway --- just Q. There was only one other person who 18 knew about that wrong advice, was there 18 before halfway down the page you say, "For 19 19 me it was clear what we agreed in this not? You did not tell anyone else that the 20 DPP strongly advised against the search 20 meeting yesterday, you don't know what 21 21 went through my body when I saw your 22 22 A. That is an email --- it's a WhatsApp WhatsApp yesterday, forget Attorney 23 23 exchange between the Chief Minister and General, Commissioner of Police, at a 24 24 personal level Ian McGrail with Michael 25 25 Q. Yes, and it was wrong so nobody else Llamas," so this is on the 13th, when you Page 141 Page 143 1 knew it. Is that right? So if you two were 1 were saying, "You don't know what went 2 the only people who knew about it and you 2 through my body when I saw your WhatsApp 3 3 yesterday," did you mean the WhatsApp did not tell Hassans, it must have been Mr 4 4 Picardo who told Hassans? informing you that Mr Levy was the subject 5 5 of a search warrant? A. You need to ask Mr Picardo the question. 6 Q. Yes, but in any event, you knew that that 6 A. The WhatsApp informing me that a major 7 information was out in the wild ----7 step had been taken in the investigation 8 8 A. Not in the wild. contrary --- irrespective of Mr Levy. 9 9 Q. Why did you not in your information Q. The WhatsApp that said that Mr Levy 10 10 sharing responsibilities which you spoke was going to be the search warrant? 11 about quite a lot yesterday in relation to Mr 11 A. Yes, what happened on the 12th. 12 McGrail, why did you not tell the Governor? 12 Q. I want to ask you about the Spanish at 13 13 B164 and it begins [In Spanish]. Can you A. Because I had corrected the position with 14 14 the person to whom I made the just translate what that means because I am 15 15 not sure whether the translation has fully misrepresentation. I had not even known that 16 16 that had been communicated to Mr Pyle. I picked it up. 17 have asked you to take me to the 17 A. "You can't imagine." 18 18 Q. "You can't imagine" what? correspondence and then if I appear in that 19 19 A. "How I felt when I saw your WhatsApp correspondence, then I will accept that I new 20 20 about it and didn't do anything about it. vesterday." 21 That's all I am asking you for and I can give 21 Q. Is it something like a feeling of dread or a 22 22 you a very concrete reply to your question. shock? 23 23 MR WAGNER: Is now a convenient time to A. No, a feeling of betrayal. 24 24 Q. I am not asking you what your feeling 25 25 THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. was but is that what the phrase means? Page 142 Page 144

36 (Pages 141 to 144)

A. That's what it meant, the astonishment 1 search warrant? 2 that after what I thought we had agreed in the 2 A. No. Where ----3 meeting of 7 April this had happened, that is 3 Q. That is what you say, "When I heard the -4 what I am saying. 4 -- when I saw your text I felt that the bottom 5 Q. You have already mentioned in your 5 had fallen out of my world," or whatever the 6 6 evidence the part of your witness statement at expression is. 7 7 A288, 64.8 ----A. It's got nothing to do with Mr Levy, Mr 8 8 A. Sorry, what page number Wagner. That expression relates to the 9 9 Q. A288, paragraph 64.8. understanding I thought I had reached with 10 10 A. Yes. Mr McGrail, somebody with whom at that 11 Q. You say, "In expressing myself in 11 stage I had enjoyed a very good working 12 relation to this part of the discussion I recall 12 relationship. As I have said many times 13 using over-expressive and emotional 13 already, I thought it was clear what we had 14 language which, although it was motivated 14 agreed on the 7th and, I and behold, this 15 by my concern to protect Gibraltar from 15 happens which was completely contrary to 16 unnecessary harm, with hindsight I now 16 what I thought we had agreed. The 17 17 regret." Would you agree that these are two disappointment was a personal one. If I can 18 instances where you did use very emotional 18 have it back on the screen, I don't think it can 19 19 language? be more obvious, I am telling him that at a 20 20 A. I was alone with Mr McGrail at that personal level, Ian McGrail, to Michael 21 21 Llamas, because I thought that is what had stage, wasn't I? 22 22 Q. I think so, yes. been broken. 23 23 A. I would place this --- because I was alone Q. On a personal level? 24 with him, firstly, and, secondly, in any event, 24 A. Yes. 25 at the lower end of the scale, perhaps about 25 Q. On the meeting on 15 May you said in Page 145 Page 147 1 what I had in mind was more the comments 1 your evidence that there was certainly total 2 2 transparency between you and the RGP but about their (inaudible) for tears. That is 3 3 really what I was --- what I had in mind. would you agree that you did not tell the 4 4 Q. When you find out about the serious RGP that you had spoken to Mr Levy, the 5 5 failings in the NSCIS system, and the risk to suspect? 6 6 Gibraltar's national security, did you have a A. I think that is correct. 7 very emotional reaction then? 7 Q. Would you agree that you had not texted 8 8 THE CHAIRMAN: Just be clear what you the suspect, "Don't worry ..." sorry, you had 9 9 not told the RGP that you had texted the 10 MR WAGNER: (To the witness): I am not 10 suspect, "Don't worry"? 11 11 asking about the actual specifics of those A. I think I did not mention that because that 12 points. 12 conversation which we probably had on the 13 13 12th was where he was expressing his views. A. Of course. 14 14 Q. When you realised that the Chief Minister I barely said anything and I referred him, if 15 15 should not have angrily criticised the he wanted to, to the DPP, so it was barely a 16 16 Commissioner of Police on 12 May, did you conversation. We spoke, he spoke and I said 17 become emotional then? 17 nothing. 18 18 A. So can you repeat the question? Q. But you did not tell the RGP that? 19 19 Q. When you realised that the Chief Minister A. No, I didn't think it was --- it certainly 20 20 should not have angrily criticised the doesn't appear on the transcript, so the 21 Commissioner of Police on 12 May did you 21 answer is no, but I didn't think there was any 22 22 become emotional then? need to say that. It wasn't a conversation of 23 23 A. I don't know. any substantive nature other than him 24 Q. But you did become emotional when you 24 expressing the views we already knew he 25 heard that James Levy was the subject of a 25 had. Page 146 Page 148

37 (Pages 145 to 148)

Q. If you did meet Mr Baglietto and Mosche 1 conclude without anticipating the outcome is 2 Levy on the 14th you didn't tell the RGP 2 going to be that." Then you say at 817, 3 3 about that meeting, did you? "Correct, Christian and I are with you 4 A. Yes, which is another reason why I'm 4 entirely on that. What we think would be 5 pretty sure that I didn't meet Mr Levy and ---5 helpful for the management of the whole 6 Mr Baglietto and Mosche Levy on the 14th. 6 thing is if that interview would still go ahead 7 7 Q. You had already spoken to Mr Baglietto but not have it under caution." Do you agree 8 8 by the 15th, did you tell the RGP that you had --- you have just seen the beginning of the 9 9 spoken to Mr Baglietto? meeting and all of the sections from the 10 10 A. Already in the meeting of the 13th we beginning of the meeting, do you agree that it 11 envisaged having communications with Mr 11 is you who suggests that the interview would 12 Baglietto and it is clear from the meeting of 12 still go ahead but not have it under caution? 13 the 15th that I have said it. 13 A. It was a proposal which I must have 14 Q. Would you agree that one of the absolute 14 discussed with the DPP before the meeting. 15 central things that happened in the meetings 15 This is the meeting of the 15th, correct? 16 between 13 and 20 May was that it was 16 Q. Correct. 17 17 decided that Mr Levy would not be asked to A. So we must have discussed it and we 18 18 give an interview under caution? knew by then that Mr Levy was going to give 19 19 A. Yes, that is one of the results of those a "no comment" interview on Monday 18 20 20 meetings, a result reached by consensus and May. 21 discussion between all those who were 21 Q. You had been told that he was ----22 present in the meeting. In fact I think the 22 A. Yes, and that is the conversation ----23 23 idea originates from Mr Richardson. Q. You had been told that he was going to 24 Q. Let us just explore that. If we go to 24 give a "no comment" ----25 B270, this is the meeting on 15 May --- in 25 A. Yes. Page 149 Page 151 1 fact if we go to B269 above, you can see 1 Q. I will ask you the question again, when 2 where the transcript begins. There are a few 2 you say, "What we think would be helpful 3 3 introductory comments, your first statement for the management of the whole thing is if 4 4 is at 535, "Okay, chaps, Christian and I have that interview would still go ahead but not 5 5 been spending quite a bit of time together have it under caution," do you agree you 6 6 today heading towards a major collision here make the suggestion first? 7 ..." and you say, "I want to have a completely 7 A. From what I have seen of the transcript, 8 8 relaxed discussion between the five of us ..." ves, it seems to be the case. 9 9 and there is some discussion about when the O. So it is not Mr Richardson? 10 previous meeting happened, Mr McGrail 10 A. No. 11 11 says, "It hasn't changed, we continue the Q. You introduced the idea which is the idea 12 unexplained issues which have an impact on 12 you agree was in the end central to the 13 the remaining three of the four suspects and 13 change in approach to Mr Levy? 14 14 if it's a line of enquiry that we can clarify, our A. Yes, because of the --- of what was clear 15 15 main (inaudible) situation for those four was going to happen and then we spent the 16 16 individuals were going to be left with that in meeting discussing it and everybody agrees 17 the air, we don't look at this part of the 17 that it's the best way forward. I mean, 18 18 investigation." You say, "My nobody was being forced to do anything in 19 19 understanding, Ian, and correct me if I am that meeting. 20 20 Q. Then B277 at 2613, you say, "What's wrong, is that when --- what you just said is 21 that you have to carry on with the interview; 21 creating the bad atmosphere? Well, bad is an 22 in other words, that's what you are referring 22 understatement. What's creating the tension 23 to." Mr McGrail says, "It's a line of 23 here is the concept of Jamie being suspect 24 enquiry." You say, "which is essential to the 24 and, therefore, being interviewed under 25 investigation." Mr McGrail says, "To 25 caution and we think that if for the sake of

38 (Pages 149 to 152)

Page 150

the management of this case you can get the 1 Commissioner of Police, had been angrily 2 interview not treating him that way, there's a 2 criticised by the Chief Minister about the 3 3 possibility of achieving that --- you can warrant with you in the room. Did you 4 achieve your aim of getting the interview 4 consider whether, knowing as you did at the 5 with him but that stigma is very oppressive." 5 time or thinking as you did at the time that 6 Do you agree that you were advocating as 6 there was a problem with that, the 7 7 well as suggesting the idea, you were Commissioner of Police might feel pressured 8 8 advocating for Mr Levy to be interviewed not to do what you were telling him he should 9 9 under caution? 10 10 A. Yes, in the circumstances --- I mean, I A. Not at all. I could not have given any 11 had a chat with the DPP half an hour before 11 indication of pressure to Mr McGrail. 12 the meeting. We knew what was coming. 12 Q. I did not ask whether you had given any 13 We must have discussed options to go 13 indication of pressure, I was saying that you 14 forward rather than waiting for the interview, 14 were in the meeting on the 12th where he was 15 for the "no comment" interview and Christian 15 angrily criticised by the Chief Minister, you 16 must have explained to me possibilities to 16 had not stood up to stop the Chief Minister, 17 17 allow the investigation to continue instead of had you? 18 getting the "no comment" interview. 18 A. As I said this morning, it was a very --- a 19 19 Q. You say that the outcome was reached relatively short exchange, it was very fast, 20 20 collegiately and by consensus, in the room they were both talking, there was hardly any 21 were the Attorney General, the Director of 21 time for me to intervene. 22 Public Prosecutions, the Commissioner of 22 Q. But you did not do anything afterwards to 23 23 Police, the senior investigating officer, and correct your concern about that meeting? 24 the junior investigating officer, what was the 24 A. As I think I answered that question this 25 25 power dynamic in that room? morning, I don't recollect. Page 153 Page 155 1 A. I am very balanced. All of these officials 1 THE CHAIRMAN: He has answered that 2 have strong views. They express them freely 2 3 3 during the meeting. There was no attempt to MR WAGNER: (To the witness): You did 4 4 pressure them or to influence them. We just not go to Mr McGrail and say, "Look, Ian, 5 5 had the situation, we put it to them and they what happened on the 12th wasn't right," and 6 6 were free to agree or not to agree. I don't you were just backing him? 7 7 think there's any reason why they should A. Mr Wagner, what do you think is the 8 8 have felt that we were taking them or putting purpose of me asking Mr McGrail to stay 9 9 them under pressure. There was a situation alone with me? 10 and we were exploring ways to maximise the 10 THE CHAIRMAN: (To the witness): Can 11 11 evidence that the RGP could get. The you answer the question rather than asking 12 meetings were very collegiate. 12 13 13 Q. But would you agree that from the police A. Sorry, what was the question? 14 14 perspective, if the Attorney General and the MR WAGNER: (To the witness): Did you 15 15 Director of Public Prosecutions in a meeting not at any point say, knowing what you knew 16 16 where you say you are advising them, and or thinking what you thought about the 17 say, "This is the way we think you should 17 meeting on the 12th, did you not pull Mr 18 go," it is not easy for the police to say, "Well, 18 McGrail aside and say, "Look, Ian, what 19 19 actually we want to go a different way." happened on the 12th was wrong and I want 20 20 A. I don't agree with that, Mr Wagner. They you to know that I'm backing you"? 21 21 were perfectly free to do whatever they A. What I told him was what I told him at 22 wanted to do. I think they reached their own 22 the end of the meeting on 13 May when I 23 conclusion that this was the best way forward 23 stayed alone with him. 24 for the investigation. 24 Q. So the answer is "no"? 25 25 A. The answer is that is what I did. I was Q. Three days earlier, Mr McGrail, the Page 154 Page 156

39 (Pages 153 to 156)

1	seeking to help him and to defuse the	1	in receipt of correspondence relating to a
2	situation.	2	claim for damages following a collision at
3	Q. Can you put up B3731, please it might	3	sea," and he asks whether external counsel
4	be C, sorry. This is the letter to the GPA that	4	can be appointed. Then further on you
5	your lawyers wrote but you checked, "Part of	5	forward that email an hour and a half later to
6	the narrative of the CoP's allegations against	6	the Chief Minister with no comment. You
7	me is that I apparently gave him the strong	7	said in evidence that you would have
8	impression that I was primarily concerned	8	expected the Commissioner of Police to be
9	with protecting the Chief Minister in	9	reporting directly because these are very
10	Gibraltar PLC," the AG's words. "I'm not	10	serious office holders with a duty to report
11	aware of the Chief Minister in need of	11	between themselves. When you received that
12	protection. Insofar as concerns the fact I was	12	email, why did you not forward it saying to
13	primarily concerned with protecting Gibraltar	13	the Chief Minister, "This is wrong, I really
14	PLC, which is vernacular for the public	14	feel like the Commissioner of Police should
15	interest of Gibraltar" just pausing there, is	15	have informed you directly"?
16	Gibraltar like a public limited company?	16	A. Because, as I said yesterday, I was
17	A. No, it's an expression that many people	17	seeking to assist in the communication
18	use in Gibraltar, whether accurately or not, to	18	without prejudice to the proper lines of
19	express the public interest of Gibraltar, the	19	communication that must exist.
20	collective interest of Gibraltar.	20	Q. You did not say anything about that, did
21	Q. But why would the collective interests of	21	you?
22	Gibraltar be like a company?	22	A. This is not the Commissioner, this is Mr
23	A. I don't know. It's just used here, Mr	23	Yates but certainly in relation to the
24	Wagner, in this jurisdiction, rightly or	24	Commissioner I thought that that line of
25	wrongly.	25	communication ought to be obvious to him.
	Page 157		Page 159
1	Q. Is Hassans part of Gibraltar PLC?	1	Q. If you look above that, the Chief Minister
2	A. Everybody in Gibraltar is part of	2	says, "I think it's entirely inappropriate for
3	Gibraltar PLC.	3	this matter not to have been raised with me in
4	Q. Do you recall that on 13 May Mr	4	the first instance by the Commissioner. This
5	McGrail informed you that he was going to	5	matter raises issues of fundamental human
6	approach the Governor about his concerns	6	rights, the right to life, potential payment of
7	relating to Operation Delhi?	7	huge amounts of damages," et cetera, et
8	A. I don't recall that. This is when he's	8	cetera, do you agree that that was a total
9	pointing out of the window, yes?	9	overreaction by the Chief Minister?
10	Q. No, no, a separate not in that meeting,	10	A. You need to ask him. I don't know what
11	just separately.	11	was in his mind.
12	A. I don't recall that.	12	Q. No, but you know that on 20 May he was
13	Q. Do you recall telling Mr McGrail not to	13	deep into the process involving the GPA and
14	do anything?	14	possibly looking for more reasons to include
15	A. I don't recall that. I remember telling him	15	in his justifications. Is that right
16	not to do anything in relation to the more	16	chronologically?
17	serious allegations in the Hassans letters	17	A. As I said this morning, I was not fully
18	which is what we were going to deal with	18	aware of what I knew things were
19	between the DPP and myself.	19	happening but I was not in that detail and
20	Q. Did you tell him not to approach the	20	then chronologically it must fit in with that.
21	Governor about those allegations?	21	That is certainly the case.
22	A. I don't recall that.	22	Q. If we can go to A1301, please, at
23	Q. At C4106, please, this is the 20 May, the	23	paragraph 16 sorry, 19, this is Mr
24	letter that Cathal Yates sent to you, the email,	24	Devincenzi's evidence about the meeting of
25	sorry, about "The Commissioner of Police is	25	13 May, he says, "Shortly after that meeting I
	Page 158		Page 160
			40 (D 157 t- 160)

40 (Pages 157 to 160)

1 recall the Attorney General raising briefly 1 it is which also includes the nolle in the sort 2 with me the applicable legal test or threshold 2 of general --- in that section. Did you 3 3 for a nolle prosequi. The conversation was consider using the nolle then? 4 of an academic nature and to the best of my 4 A. Not at all, no. 5 recollection it was against the background of 5 Q. Is it not right that in each of the moments 6 protecting the jurisdiction and office of the 6 around that time you toyed with the idea of 7 7 Chief Minister." I think you said that you the nolle ----8 8 remembered that conversation? A. Not at all. 9 9 A. I'm not sure that I said that because I Q. --- but backed off? 10 10 genuinely do not --- I may have a very vague A. Not at all. 11 recollection but this is after the meeting of 13 11 Q. Is it not right that instead of using the 12 12 nolle, you were making attempts to limit the May, is it not? 13 Q. Yes. Do you agree that on 13 May you 13 impact of the prosecution and the 14 were at least thinking about the possibility of 14 investigation in other ways? 15 entering a nolle? 15 A. Not at all. I was helping the investigation 16 A. No, not at all. On 13 May Mr McGrail 16 to proceed in light of the circumstances that 17 17 had offered the nolle three times. existed and in a collegiate manner with Mr 18 Q. I do not think it is in Mr McGrail's gift to 18 McGrail and Mr Richardson. 19 19 offer a nolle, is it? O. I have just two more short matters and 20 20 then I am done. The incident at sea, I want to A. Well, that's what he was doing in the 21 meeting, the magic wands. 21 ask you about information sharing and what 22 Q. Hold on; he was saying that you had the 22 you said yesterday. You were asked why 23 23 you did not raise any of the concerns you say magic wand not that he had the magic wand? 24 A. But he was inviting me to use it. 24 you had about Mr McGrail's information 25 25 Q. Let me put this to you and see if you sharing at the time and you said that you did Page 161 Page 163 1 agree, he was not inviting --- he was not 1 not want to interfere with the way Mr offering a nolle, he was saying, "You can 2 2 McGrail reported to the Governor. Is the 3 3 either --- I can't enter the nolle," as in, "I can't reality that you did not have any of those 4 4 stop this, only can." concerns at the time? 5 5 A. No, he was saying that he, the Royal A. No. 6 Q. And in reality if you had had those 6 Gibraltar Police, would not stop this 7 7 concerns you would have told someone about investigation. 8 8 Q. Yes, that is correct. them? 9 9 A. Right, but that the DPP could or I could A. No, I thought it was a matter for the 10 and "if you can, why don't you, Michael? I 10 Commissioner of Police to decide how we 11 11 wouldn't have any objections if you did so." should report to the Governor. 12 Q. Did you wonder why he might be 12 Q. You are the legal advisor to the 13 13 suggesting that? Governor, is it not for you to advise the A. No, both the DPP and I were startled by 14 14 Governor on these things? 15 15 the comments. A. He is the Commissioner of Police with a 16 16 Q. Might it have been because he had just statutory and constitutional relationship and 17 been given what he described as the dressing 17 obligations towards his Excellency the 18 18 down of his entire career the day before? Governor. 19 19 A. That didn't justify the comment to the Q. But there is no evidence that you have 20 20 DPP and myself. produced of any of those concerns being put 21 21 THE CHAIRMAN: It is almost half past. in writing? 22 22 MR WAGNER: Yes, I am very nearly done. A. Sorry? 23 23 (To the witness): On 17 May you have been Q. You have produced no evidence to this 24 shown that the Chief Minister texted you 24 Inquiry of any of those concerns being put in 25 about the powers under section 59(2) I think 25 writing? Page 162 Page 164

41 (Pages 161 to 164)

	A. No, no.	1	Q. Did you send an email back saying,
1 2	Q. Finally, I want to ask you about the	2	"Actually I have separate concerns of a
3	airport incident. You talked at length this	3	different nature?
4	morning about the concerns you had about	4	A. I did not reply by email but those are the
5	Mr McGrail's handling of the airport	5	Chief Minister's views, they are not
6	incident, and you agreed that there is no	6	necessarily my views. I may have spoken to
7	written record of those concerns, is there?	7	him about the incident but I think what the
8	A. Yes.	8	Chief Minister is referring to is the
9	Q. And you have not expressed those	9	jurisdictional dispute that we had with the
10	concerns in your written evidence to this	10	MOD rather than the so-called Operation
11	Inquiry?	11	Apache.
12	A. No.	12	Q. You did not reply to everybody saying, "I
13	Q. There is in fact a written record of	13	don't agree, I think the real menace here is
14	concerns expressed in relation to the airport	14	Ian McGrail"?
15	incident and in fact I think it is the only	15	A. Maybe I mentioned it to him. I am not
16	written record before this Inquiry apart from	16	comfortable with that language and I wasn't
17	Mr Pyle and Mr Picardo's statements from	17	then.
18	the time of concerns expressed by you or the	18	Q. You say you are not comfortable with
19	Chief Minister or Mr Pyle and it is at C207.	19	that language but did you no also refer to the
20	This is an email from Mr Picardo dated 3	20	RGP as "clowns"?
21	March 2017, Mr Picardo says, "My	21	A. Listen, Mr Wagner, I apologise for that
22	impression and clear understanding is that	22	statement. As I said this morning, I think Mr
23	the MOD have now fully understood the	23	Devincenzi has really taken it out of context.
24	position and the nonsense and bravado being	24	We here in Gibraltar often use expressions
25	displayed by fools such as those you refer to	25	like that. I can use it in relation to a lot of
	Page 165		Page 167
1			
1	below in fact illustrates why and how we find	1	people and it's just a manner of speaking
1 2	below in fact illustrates why and how we find ourselves in this unnecessary and unpleasant	1 2	people and it's just a manner of speaking here. Again, seen in the cold light of this
2 3	ourselves in this unnecessary and unpleasant	1 2 3	here. Again, seen in the cold light of this
2		2	
2 3	ourselves in this unnecessary and unpleasant situation. If it requires another turn of the	2 3	here. Again, seen in the cold light of this Inquiry, like the "don't worry," it looks worse
2 3 4	ourselves in this unnecessary and unpleasant situation. If it requires another turn of the screw, I will not hesitate to support you in. It will once again have been brought about by those who fail to recognise the need to show	2 3 4	here. Again, seen in the cold light of this Inquiry, like the "don't worry," it looks worse than what it is. I am really surprised, to be
2 3 4 5	ourselves in this unnecessary and unpleasant situation. If it requires another turn of the screw, I will not hesitate to support you in. It will once again have been brought about by	2 3 4 5	here. Again, seen in the cold light of this Inquiry, like the "don't worry," it looks worse than what it is. I am really surprised, to be honest, that Mr Devincenzi has given it that
2 3 4 5 6	ourselves in this unnecessary and unpleasant situation. If it requires another turn of the screw, I will not hesitate to support you in. It will once again have been brought about by those who fail to recognise the need to show	2 3 4 5 6	here. Again, seen in the cold light of this Inquiry, like the "don't worry," it looks worse than what it is. I am really surprised, to be honest, that Mr Devincenzi has given it that prominence in his evidence because it's
2 3 4 5 6 7	ourselves in this unnecessary and unpleasant situation. If it requires another turn of the screw, I will not hesitate to support you in. It will once again have been brought about by those who fail to recognise the need to show proper and genuine contrition and respect for	2 3 4 5 6 7	here. Again, seen in the cold light of this Inquiry, like the "don't worry," it looks worse than what it is. I am really surprised, to be honest, that Mr Devincenzi has given it that prominence in his evidence because it's completely out of context.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	ourselves in this unnecessary and unpleasant situation. If it requires another turn of the screw, I will not hesitate to support you in. It will once again have been brought about by those who fail to recognise the need to show proper and genuine contrition and respect for the RGP and for our constitution." Then	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	here. Again, seen in the cold light of this Inquiry, like the "don't worry," it looks worse than what it is. I am really surprised, to be honest, that Mr Devincenzi has given it that prominence in his evidence because it's completely out of context. MR WAGNER: I am just going to turn my
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	ourselves in this unnecessary and unpleasant situation. If it requires another turn of the screw, I will not hesitate to support you in. It will once again have been brought about by those who fail to recognise the need to show proper and genuine contrition and respect for the RGP and for our constitution." Then further down, "Failing to respect our constitution is not to fail to respect just Gibraltar, it's to fail to respect the UK	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	here. Again, seen in the cold light of this Inquiry, like the "don't worry," it looks worse than what it is. I am really surprised, to be honest, that Mr Devincenzi has given it that prominence in his evidence because it's completely out of context.  MR WAGNER: I am just going to turn my back for a moment if I may. Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: MR GIBBS: Sir, Mr Wagner, has covered
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	ourselves in this unnecessary and unpleasant situation. If it requires another turn of the screw, I will not hesitate to support you in. It will once again have been brought about by those who fail to recognise the need to show proper and genuine contrition and respect for the RGP and for our constitution." Then further down, "Failing to respect our constitution is not to fail to respect just Gibraltar, it's to fail to respect the UK Parliament and the same Monarch that these	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	here. Again, seen in the cold light of this Inquiry, like the "don't worry," it looks worse than what it is. I am really surprised, to be honest, that Mr Devincenzi has given it that prominence in his evidence because it's completely out of context.  MR WAGNER: I am just going to turn my back for a moment if I may. Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: MR GIBBS: Sir, Mr Wagner, has covered almost all the questions that I would want to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	ourselves in this unnecessary and unpleasant situation. If it requires another turn of the screw, I will not hesitate to support you in. It will once again have been brought about by those who fail to recognise the need to show proper and genuine contrition and respect for the RGP and for our constitution." Then further down, "Failing to respect our constitution is not to fail to respect just Gibraltar, it's to fail to respect the UK Parliament and the same Monarch that these clowns in uniform have sworn an oath to."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	here. Again, seen in the cold light of this Inquiry, like the "don't worry," it looks worse than what it is. I am really surprised, to be honest, that Mr Devincenzi has given it that prominence in his evidence because it's completely out of context.  MR WAGNER: I am just going to turn my back for a moment if I may. Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: MR GIBBS: Sir, Mr Wagner, has covered almost all the questions that I would want to ask of Mr Llamas but if I could just ask for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	ourselves in this unnecessary and unpleasant situation. If it requires another turn of the screw, I will not hesitate to support you in. It will once again have been brought about by those who fail to recognise the need to show proper and genuine contrition and respect for the RGP and for our constitution." Then further down, "Failing to respect our constitution is not to fail to respect just Gibraltar, it's to fail to respect the UK Parliament and the same Monarch that these clowns in uniform have sworn an oath to." Then the final paragraph is, "I can also tell	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	here. Again, seen in the cold light of this Inquiry, like the "don't worry," it looks worse than what it is. I am really surprised, to be honest, that Mr Devincenzi has given it that prominence in his evidence because it's completely out of context.  MR WAGNER: I am just going to turn my back for a moment if I may. Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: MR GIBBS: Sir, Mr Wagner, has covered almost all the questions that I would want to ask of Mr Llamas but if I could just ask for his help on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	ourselves in this unnecessary and unpleasant situation. If it requires another turn of the screw, I will not hesitate to support you in. It will once again have been brought about by those who fail to recognise the need to show proper and genuine contrition and respect for the RGP and for our constitution." Then further down, "Failing to respect our constitution is not to fail to respect just Gibraltar, it's to fail to respect the UK Parliament and the same Monarch that these clowns in uniform have sworn an oath to." Then the final paragraph is, "I can also tell you that Gibraltar will not be home to the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	here. Again, seen in the cold light of this Inquiry, like the "don't worry," it looks worse than what it is. I am really surprised, to be honest, that Mr Devincenzi has given it that prominence in his evidence because it's completely out of context.  MR WAGNER: I am just going to turn my back for a moment if I may. Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: MR GIBBS: Sir, Mr Wagner, has covered almost all the questions that I would want to ask of Mr Llamas but if I could just ask for his help on THE CHAIRMAN: Details?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	ourselves in this unnecessary and unpleasant situation. If it requires another turn of the screw, I will not hesitate to support you in. It will once again have been brought about by those who fail to recognise the need to show proper and genuine contrition and respect for the RGP and for our constitution." Then further down, "Failing to respect our constitution is not to fail to respect just Gibraltar, it's to fail to respect the UK Parliament and the same Monarch that these clowns in uniform have sworn an oath to." Then the final paragraph is, "I can also tell you that Gibraltar will not be home to the people in question for long, we won't be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	here. Again, seen in the cold light of this Inquiry, like the "don't worry," it looks worse than what it is. I am really surprised, to be honest, that Mr Devincenzi has given it that prominence in his evidence because it's completely out of context.  MR WAGNER: I am just going to turn my back for a moment if I may. Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: MR GIBBS: Sir, Mr Wagner, has covered almost all the questions that I would want to ask of Mr Llamas but if I could just ask for his help on THE CHAIRMAN: Details? MR GIBBS: Quite, of a couple of meetings,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	ourselves in this unnecessary and unpleasant situation. If it requires another turn of the screw, I will not hesitate to support you in. It will once again have been brought about by those who fail to recognise the need to show proper and genuine contrition and respect for the RGP and for our constitution." Then further down, "Failing to respect our constitution is not to fail to respect just Gibraltar, it's to fail to respect the UK Parliament and the same Monarch that these clowns in uniform have sworn an oath to." Then the final paragraph is, "I can also tell you that Gibraltar will not be home to the people in question for long, we won't be blocking the tarmac for a moment to delay	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	here. Again, seen in the cold light of this Inquiry, like the "don't worry," it looks worse than what it is. I am really surprised, to be honest, that Mr Devincenzi has given it that prominence in his evidence because it's completely out of context.  MR WAGNER: I am just going to turn my back for a moment if I may. Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: MR GIBBS: Sir, Mr Wagner, has covered almost all the questions that I would want to ask of Mr Llamas but if I could just ask for his help on THE CHAIRMAN: Details? MR GIBBS: Quite, of a couple of meetings, just two of the meetings.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	ourselves in this unnecessary and unpleasant situation. If it requires another turn of the screw, I will not hesitate to support you in. It will once again have been brought about by those who fail to recognise the need to show proper and genuine contrition and respect for the RGP and for our constitution." Then further down, "Failing to respect our constitution is not to fail to respect just Gibraltar, it's to fail to respect the UK Parliament and the same Monarch that these clowns in uniform have sworn an oath to." Then the final paragraph is, "I can also tell you that Gibraltar will not be home to the people in question for long, we won't be blocking the tarmac for a moment to delay the plane when the time soon comes for them	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	here. Again, seen in the cold light of this Inquiry, like the "don't worry," it looks worse than what it is. I am really surprised, to be honest, that Mr Devincenzi has given it that prominence in his evidence because it's completely out of context.  MR WAGNER: I am just going to turn my back for a moment if I may. Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: MR GIBBS: Sir, Mr Wagner, has covered almost all the questions that I would want to ask of Mr Llamas but if I could just ask for his help on THE CHAIRMAN: Details? MR GIBBS: Quite, of a couple of meetings, just two of the meetings. Questioned by MR GIBBS:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	ourselves in this unnecessary and unpleasant situation. If it requires another turn of the screw, I will not hesitate to support you in. It will once again have been brought about by those who fail to recognise the need to show proper and genuine contrition and respect for the RGP and for our constitution." Then further down, "Failing to respect our constitution is not to fail to respect just Gibraltar, it's to fail to respect the UK Parliament and the same Monarch that these clowns in uniform have sworn an oath to." Then the final paragraph is, "I can also tell you that Gibraltar will not be home to the people in question for long, we won't be blocking the tarmac for a moment to delay the plane when the time soon comes for them to wave goodbye to the best place these	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	here. Again, seen in the cold light of this Inquiry, like the "don't worry," it looks worse than what it is. I am really surprised, to be honest, that Mr Devincenzi has given it that prominence in his evidence because it's completely out of context.  MR WAGNER: I am just going to turn my back for a moment if I may. Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: MR GIBBS: Sir, Mr Wagner, has covered almost all the questions that I would want to ask of Mr Llamas but if I could just ask for his help on THE CHAIRMAN: Details? MR GIBBS: Quite, of a couple of meetings, just two of the meetings. Questioned by MR GIBBS: Q. The two meetings I am interested in are 7
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	ourselves in this unnecessary and unpleasant situation. If it requires another turn of the screw, I will not hesitate to support you in. It will once again have been brought about by those who fail to recognise the need to show proper and genuine contrition and respect for the RGP and for our constitution." Then further down, "Failing to respect our constitution is not to fail to respect just Gibraltar, it's to fail to respect the UK Parliament and the same Monarch that these clowns in uniform have sworn an oath to." Then the final paragraph is, "I can also tell you that Gibraltar will not be home to the people in question for long, we won't be blocking the tarmac for a moment to delay the plane when the time soon comes for them to wave goodbye to the best place these idiots have ever had the good fortune to live	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	here. Again, seen in the cold light of this Inquiry, like the "don't worry," it looks worse than what it is. I am really surprised, to be honest, that Mr Devincenzi has given it that prominence in his evidence because it's completely out of context.  MR WAGNER: I am just going to turn my back for a moment if I may. Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: MR GIBBS: Sir, Mr Wagner, has covered almost all the questions that I would want to ask of Mr Llamas but if I could just ask for his help on THE CHAIRMAN: Details? MR GIBBS: Quite, of a couple of meetings, just two of the meetings. Questioned by MR GIBBS: Q. The two meetings I am interested in are 7 April 2020 and 13 May 2020. The 7 April
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	ourselves in this unnecessary and unpleasant situation. If it requires another turn of the screw, I will not hesitate to support you in. It will once again have been brought about by those who fail to recognise the need to show proper and genuine contrition and respect for the RGP and for our constitution." Then further down, "Failing to respect our constitution is not to fail to respect just Gibraltar, it's to fail to respect the UK Parliament and the same Monarch that these clowns in uniform have sworn an oath to." Then the final paragraph is, "I can also tell you that Gibraltar will not be home to the people in question for long, we won't be blocking the tarmac for a moment to delay the plane when the time soon comes for them to wave goodbye to the best place these idiots have ever had the good fortune to live in their petty lives. Good riddance and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	here. Again, seen in the cold light of this Inquiry, like the "don't worry," it looks worse than what it is. I am really surprised, to be honest, that Mr Devincenzi has given it that prominence in his evidence because it's completely out of context.  MR WAGNER: I am just going to turn my back for a moment if I may. Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: MR GIBBS: Sir, Mr Wagner, has covered almost all the questions that I would want to ask of Mr Llamas but if I could just ask for his help on THE CHAIRMAN: Details? MR GIBBS: Quite, of a couple of meetings, just two of the meetings. Questioned by MR GIBBS: Q. The two meetings I am interested in are 7 April 2020 and 13 May 2020. The 7 April 2020 meeting is the meeting in which you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	ourselves in this unnecessary and unpleasant situation. If it requires another turn of the screw, I will not hesitate to support you in. It will once again have been brought about by those who fail to recognise the need to show proper and genuine contrition and respect for the RGP and for our constitution." Then further down, "Failing to respect our constitution is not to fail to respect just Gibraltar, it's to fail to respect the UK Parliament and the same Monarch that these clowns in uniform have sworn an oath to." Then the final paragraph is, "I can also tell you that Gibraltar will not be home to the people in question for long, we won't be blocking the tarmac for a moment to delay the plane when the time soon comes for them to wave goodbye to the best place these idiots have ever had the good fortune to live in their petty lives. Good riddance and goodbye won't come soon enough." Can we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	here. Again, seen in the cold light of this Inquiry, like the "don't worry," it looks worse than what it is. I am really surprised, to be honest, that Mr Devincenzi has given it that prominence in his evidence because it's completely out of context.  MR WAGNER: I am just going to turn my back for a moment if I may. Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: MR GIBBS: Sir, Mr Wagner, has covered almost all the questions that I would want to ask of Mr Llamas but if I could just ask for his help on THE CHAIRMAN: Details? MR GIBBS: Quite, of a couple of meetings, just two of the meetings. Questioned by MR GIBBS: Q. The two meetings I am interested in are 7 April 2020 and 13 May 2020. The 7 April 2020 meeting is the meeting in which you say that you gave clear advice that nothing
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	ourselves in this unnecessary and unpleasant situation. If it requires another turn of the screw, I will not hesitate to support you in. It will once again have been brought about by those who fail to recognise the need to show proper and genuine contrition and respect for the RGP and for our constitution." Then further down, "Failing to respect our constitution is not to fail to respect just Gibraltar, it's to fail to respect the UK Parliament and the same Monarch that these clowns in uniform have sworn an oath to." Then the final paragraph is, "I can also tell you that Gibraltar will not be home to the people in question for long, we won't be blocking the tarmac for a moment to delay the plane when the time soon comes for them to wave goodbye to the best place these idiots have ever had the good fortune to live in their petty lives. Good riddance and goodbye won't come soon enough." Can we go to the top, you were cc'd into this email,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	here. Again, seen in the cold light of this Inquiry, like the "don't worry," it looks worse than what it is. I am really surprised, to be honest, that Mr Devincenzi has given it that prominence in his evidence because it's completely out of context.  MR WAGNER: I am just going to turn my back for a moment if I may. Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: MR GIBBS: Sir, Mr Wagner, has covered almost all the questions that I would want to ask of Mr Llamas but if I could just ask for his help on THE CHAIRMAN: Details? MR GIBBS: Quite, of a couple of meetings, just two of the meetings. Questioned by MR GIBBS: Q. The two meetings I am interested in are 7 April 2020 and 13 May 2020. The 7 April 2020 meeting is the meeting in which you say that you gave clear advice that nothing more was to happen without your say so and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	ourselves in this unnecessary and unpleasant situation. If it requires another turn of the screw, I will not hesitate to support you in. It will once again have been brought about by those who fail to recognise the need to show proper and genuine contrition and respect for the RGP and for our constitution." Then further down, "Failing to respect our constitution is not to fail to respect just Gibraltar, it's to fail to respect the UK Parliament and the same Monarch that these clowns in uniform have sworn an oath to." Then the final paragraph is, "I can also tell you that Gibraltar will not be home to the people in question for long, we won't be blocking the tarmac for a moment to delay the plane when the time soon comes for them to wave goodbye to the best place these idiots have ever had the good fortune to live in their petty lives. Good riddance and goodbye won't come soon enough." Can we go to the top, you were cc'd into this email, were you not?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	here. Again, seen in the cold light of this Inquiry, like the "don't worry," it looks worse than what it is. I am really surprised, to be honest, that Mr Devincenzi has given it that prominence in his evidence because it's completely out of context.  MR WAGNER: I am just going to turn my back for a moment if I may. Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: MR GIBBS: Sir, Mr Wagner, has covered almost all the questions that I would want to ask of Mr Llamas but if I could just ask for his help on THE CHAIRMAN: Details? MR GIBBS: Quite, of a couple of meetings, just two of the meetings. Questioned by MR GIBBS: Q. The two meetings I am interested in are 7 April 2020 and 13 May 2020. The 7 April 2020 meeting is the meeting in which you say that you gave clear advice that nothing more was to happen without your say so and that it was clear beyond peradventure. Those
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	ourselves in this unnecessary and unpleasant situation. If it requires another turn of the screw, I will not hesitate to support you in. It will once again have been brought about by those who fail to recognise the need to show proper and genuine contrition and respect for the RGP and for our constitution." Then further down, "Failing to respect our constitution is not to fail to respect just Gibraltar, it's to fail to respect the UK Parliament and the same Monarch that these clowns in uniform have sworn an oath to." Then the final paragraph is, "I can also tell you that Gibraltar will not be home to the people in question for long, we won't be blocking the tarmac for a moment to delay the plane when the time soon comes for them to wave goodbye to the best place these idiots have ever had the good fortune to live in their petty lives. Good riddance and goodbye won't come soon enough." Can we go to the top, you were cc'd into this email,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	here. Again, seen in the cold light of this Inquiry, like the "don't worry," it looks worse than what it is. I am really surprised, to be honest, that Mr Devincenzi has given it that prominence in his evidence because it's completely out of context.  MR WAGNER: I am just going to turn my back for a moment if I may. Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: MR GIBBS: Sir, Mr Wagner, has covered almost all the questions that I would want to ask of Mr Llamas but if I could just ask for his help on THE CHAIRMAN: Details? MR GIBBS: Quite, of a couple of meetings, just two of the meetings. Questioned by MR GIBBS: Q. The two meetings I am interested in are 7 April 2020 and 13 May 2020. The 7 April 2020 meeting is the meeting in which you say that you gave clear advice that nothing more was to happen without your say so and

42 (Pages 165 to 168)

nobody else heard that advice? 1 THE CHAIRMAN: It was made six weeks 2 2 A. Well, it is certainly a question I have 3 asked myself ----3 MR GIBBS: Correct, thank you. 4 May 4 Q. No, but it is the question I am asking you 4 2020. It refers, we think, to the meeting of 7 5 5 April 2020, and if we can have it all on 6 Q. Because maybe it was too soft. I just 6 screen so that you can --7 7 thought it would be understood at least THE CHAIRMAN: Indeed, the fact that it 8 between Mr McGrail and myself. 8 was made six weeks later probably explains 9 9 Q. Because you have heard the evidence, it the wrong date on it. 10 certainly was not clear to Mr Richardson and 10 MR GIBBS: Yes, quite, with respect. Do 11 it does not seem to have been clear to Mr 11 you remember Mr Richardson, in response to 12 12 Devincenzi. Are you surprised by that? the suggestion that Mr Sanchez be dealt with 13 13 by way of disciplinary process, saying A. It made me think. 14 14 forcefully, "But how can we do that, the man Q. Are you surprised by that? 15 15 is corrupt?" A. A bit. 16 Q. You have told us that you were not giving 16 A. I don't remember that. 17 the advice as Attorney General. Is that right? 17 Q. And then apologising for having spoken 18 A. I was not giving legal advice as Mr 18 so frankly? 19 19 A. I don't remember that. I remember the Richardson has recognised himself. 20 20 Q. In what capacity had you called the discussion on Mr Sanchez, that I do, but not 21 meeting? 21 Mr Richardson's reaction. 22 A. In the capacity of a person who has the 22 Q. And then you saying, "Don't apologise, 23 23 frankness is welcome"? role that I have and has a panoramic view of 24 the public interest of Gibraltar which a 24 A. Where is that sent? 25 25 Commissioner of Police, Mr McGrail, or any Q. I am asking whether you remember that? Page 169 Page 171 1 other would not have. 1 THE CHAIRMAN: It is the last line of the 2 2 Q. Can I make it easier? Had you called the 3 3 meeting in the capacity of Attorney General? A. Yes. No, I mean, I don't remember the 4 4 A. Yes, even though you could take it that detail of the conversation, so I won't 5 5 way even if not to give legal advice. remember that part either. I just remember 6 6 Q. What some others do remember, as you that I raised the question of Caine Sanchez, 7 will know from the evidence, is mention 7 and as I have already explained, I just wanted 8 8 made of whether Mr Sanchez could be dealt to know how the parallel procedures of the 9 9 with by disciplinary process? disciplinary procedures and the criminal 10 A. Yes. 10 investigation, were they going to work 11 11 Q. Do you remember that? together or not. 12 A. I know they have said that. 12 MR GIBBS: Did you make a note, though, 13 of a couple of things during that meeting? 13 Q. And who brought up that idea? A. I did. 14 14 Did you write things down during that 15 15 meeting on the back of a typed document? Q. You do not have a note of that meeting, 16 16 do you? A. I don't recall doing that. 17 17 Q. One of them being the large number of A. No. 18 18 draft charges from which a selection needed (14.46)19 19 Q. We do have a note, it is at D3938, please. to be made? 20 20 It bears the wrong date. I am looking at the A. I don't remember writing it down, but I 21 21 would have - that if I did write anything entry --22 22 THE CHAIRMAN: This is not a down that would have been one of the things, 23 23 contemporaneous note? because we were discussing that in that 24 MR GIBBS: No. It was not a 24 meeting. 25 25 Q. And the other being Mr Levy's precise contemporaneous note. Page 170 Page 172

43 (Pages 169 to 172)

1 shareholding in 36 North? 1 A. No. 2 A. I don't remember that. 2 Q. You would not have had much to say 3 Q. Why would that have been significant to 3 about it? 4 4 A. On what date? Before --5 A. I don't remember having written that. 5 Q. On any day before 12 May. Q. "10.56 per cent, ultimate beneficial 6 6 A. No, no, because --7 7 ownership in 36 North." Q. You would have said, "Go right ahead. 8 A. If I did write it, it's because it struck me 8 Interview Mr Levy under caution, of course 9 as something that is relevant. 9 if that is what you need to do. Search - apply 10 Q. Struck you as what? 10 for a warrant, search his telephones, of 11 A. As being a relevant matter. 11 course." 12 12 Q. Relevant in what respect? A. No, no, because that would have been 13 A. I was just - Mr Gibbs, in that meeting I 13 inconsistent with the agreement, I thought I 14 had had the full - first full briefing the 14 had reached with Mr McGrail on 7 April. 15 previous day by Mr Rocca, and this was my 15 Q. On 12 May when you received, did you, a 16 first full meeting with the RGP, and I was 16 text saying that such a warrant - that the RGP 17 taking notes of what they were telling me. I 17 had attended upon Mr Levy with such 18 18 warrants, the Chief Minister got a similar was trying - I was learning what the case 19 19 about, and I don't know what I drafted, and message at the same time? 20 20 what I put down in a note, but that if I did do A. More or less. 21 so, it's because it struck me as a feature of the 21 Q. Were you together? 22 case. Mr Rocca had already informed me the 22 A. I can't remember. 23 23 previous day of the 36 North connection and Q. Well, have a go. 24 Mr Levy. 24 A. I have, even before coming here, and I 25 25 Q. Two things, is this right, that definitely can't remember. I've got my office very Page 173 Page 175 1 were not mentioned at that meeting were, the 1 nearby his, not in the same building, but next 2 RGP's plan to interview Mr Levy under 2 3 3 caution, and the RGP's plan to apply for Q. And do you remember Mr Picardo's 4 4 warrants to search his telephones? immediate reaction being, "Given my close 5 5 relationship with JL, I won't comment A. I think that is correct, yes. 6 6 Q. Not least because it was not until the next further"? 7 7 A. That's his text message? day that the DPP as we now know gave 8 approval for the treatment of Mr Levy as a 8 Q. Yes. Do you remember that? 9 9 suspect, and in any event, have you heard the A. I don't remember him writing it. I mean, 10 evidence to the effect that Mr Rocca was 10 I remember the message, yes, if that's the 11 11 asked not to share that information more question. 12 widely? 12 Q. And did he tell you that he had sent that 13 13 message? A. No. I wasn't aware of it. 14 14 Q. Well, did he tell you at any time before A. I don't remember. I don't think so. 15 15 12 May that it was the RGP's intention to Q. In your view, was that the only right way 16 16 interview Mr Levy under caution? for him to react? 17 A. I don't recall that, Mr Gibbs. 17 A. Perhaps. He certainly didn't feel it that 18 18 Q. And that it was the RGP's intention to 19 19 apply for warrants to search his telephones? Q. I mean, without rehearing what everyone 20 20 A. I don't recall that, either. has already asked you about, the share 21 21 ownership, the friendship, the Hassans co-Q. And if he had, can you say whether you 22 22 would have had something to say about that? partnership --23 23 A. Yes. A. Not particularly, no. 24 Q. You would not have had much to say 24 Q. -- all of the reasons why --25 25 about it? A. Yes.

44 (Pages 173 to 176)

Page 176

Q. -- he could not comment further. Do you 1 him. I just don't remember. 2 now agree that he simply could not? 2 Q. Well, you could not, could you, given his 3 3 A. Yes, I suppose so. different hats that he found himself wearing 4 Q. In the words of another, do you agree he, 4 here, you could not as Attorney General 5 because of all of his connections and his 5 advise both him and the government, could 6 positions had to stay 100 miles away from 6 you? 7 7 A. What, him personally? 8 8 A. That's a matter for him. Q. Yes. 9 9 Q. Yes, but do you agree that that - it being a A. No. 10 10 matter for him, that was what he had to do? Q. Did you say, "Look Fabian, you are going 11 A. Perhaps. I'm not in his mind. 11 to need some independent advice here. I 12 THE CHAIRMAN: You have said on a 12 can't advise you on this, and the government. 13 number of occasions that the boundaries or 13 It is one or the other", because you are 14 the lines, or even the red lines are for the 14 personally involved? 15 Chief Minister to draw. Did it not strike you 15 A. I did not make that suggestion to him. 16 as part of your duty as Attorney General to 16 Q. And even if it were not for the substance 17 17 assist him to draw those lines? of the thing, if one just stepped back and 18 A. My involvement with him was largely on 18 looked back at the appearance of it, I mean, 19 19 that day, in this investigation, on the 12th. for appearances that was what you had to 20 20 That I did nothing - I didn't think I could act say, was it not? 21 on the spot on the 12th, because it was all 21 A. As I said, I don't exclude I may have said 22 happening very quickly. Whether I failed 22 it. I just do not remember. 23 23 thereafter to do the things, and say the things Q. I am now going to take you, again, to the 24 that you're suggesting, yes, it's something 24 messages we looked at about section 59(2)(b) 25 25 which I accept. Does that answer the and your responses. The reference is B1418, Page 177 Page 179 1 question? 1 but do you accept that in the cold light of 2 THE CHAIRMAN: Well, I have asked the 2 day, now, that conversation is wholly 3 3 question, you have answered it. improper? 4 4 MR GIBBS: Quite apart from the fact that A. Which conversation on the --5 5 you both held high offices of state, I mean, Q. The conversation between you and Mr 6 even friend to friend or Queen's Counsel to 6 Picardo, section 59(2)(b) where you were 7 Queen's Counsel, should you not have told 7 exploring, having a conversation, in effect, 8 8 him that? about your power to discontinue? 9 9 A. Yes, I should have, and maybe even I did A. I don't consider that to have been a 10 it, and I just don't remember, but I accept it's 10 conversation. 11 11 Q. Well, that will fall to another to construe. not recorded in any formal way. There are 12 phases - there have been phases in the last 12 Can I then turn to 13 May, so, the first of the 13 13 recorded meetings. Was something odd year - when we've spent a lot of time 14 14 happening at that meeting? together, we've been travelling to London, 15 15 A. What was odd is that it came after 12 and to Madrid, and to Brussels together. We 16 16 could be having chats. Maybe I've 17 mentioned something. I don't remember 17 Q. No, I mean in the meeting. Was 18 18 having done it. That is the best answer that I something odd happening? 19 19 can give you, Mr Gibbs, and I really - I can't A. I do not have any recollection of anything 20 20 point down a discussion somewhere talking odd happening. 21 about it. 21 Q. It is a meeting between, on the one hand, 22 22 Q. So, you should have known it, and he the law officers and on the other the senior 23 23 should have known it, and if he did not know police officers involved in a criminal 24 it, you should have told him it. 24 investigation. Was anything odd happening? 25 A. And I don't exclude that I may have told 25 A. The mere fact that a meeting between Page 178 Page 180

45 (Pages 177 to 180)

1 those persons was taking place is not normal, 1 Q. -- did you think, "I wonder why he is 2 2 sending me that?" 3 3 Q. Mr Richardson described sensing that A. Well, because I know Mr DeVincenzi 4 4 something was seriously wrong with what very well and he had - he was a close 5 was happening. 5 working colleague and a friend, and I 6 A. No. Well, that's Mr Richardson's 6 suppose he said, "Look, this is a volatile 7 7 impression, it wasn't mine. The way I saw situation, and I'm going to help Michael, 8 8 that meeting and how it was convened is that giving him indications, like for the Trudeau 9 there'd been the moment of 12 May, the day 9 Report in case - I mean, it's completely 10 before, and the very strong letter which had 10 unsolicited, his email to me - in case it may 11 been sent by Hassans the previous day. So, 11 help him." I mean, that was his view. 12 12 there was a tension around - created by that. Q. And when he brought up the Shawcross 13 I think the tension subsided during the 13 principle --14 14 meeting, but it certainly started a bit tense. A. The doctrine, yes. 15 Q. Mr Wyan has described feeling, 15 Q. -- about the need for the Attorney to be 16 "influence at work in the room". He said 16 independent of politician influence when 17 that they arrived knowing what they needed 17 making prosecutorial decisions, did you 18 to do, but they left with a highly unusual 18 think, "Why is he sending me that?" 19 19 course of action. A. No, I mean, I was already very familiar 20 A. As far as I'm concerned there was - is this 20 with the Shawcross doctrine, and I didn't 21 the meeting of the 13th? 21 consider - I mean Lloyd is somebody who 22 22 Q. 13th. worries very much, I think, as a person and 23 23 A. As far as I'm concerned that meeting was he was sending these things to me, but I did 24 largely about the reaction to the Hassans' 24 not think I was doing anything that called 25 25 letter, and I don't even know whether - I can't into question any of those issues. Page 181 Page 183 1 remember, and take me to it, please, if it did -1 Q. So, you were familiar with the principle. 2 whether we were talking of alternative ways 2 Why did you think that he felt the need to 3 3 of dealing of Mr Levy already at that stage. remind you of it? 4 4 A. Because that's the way he is, and he - I Q. And Mr DeVincenzi describes a sense of 5 5 disquiet about the whole file from the mean, he explained his position yesterday. I 6 beginning. He said, "I wasn't sure that the 6 can't really add to what he said yesterday. It 7 Attorney General was drawing a line around 7 was his view, which I may have shared or not 8 8 his own role." Did you pick up on that shared, and that's why he sent it. I mean, I 9 9 disquiet? was conscious of what I was doing. 10 A. No, no, I don't - I mean, that is Mr 10 Q. Did it occur to you, Mr Llamas, that he 11 11 DeVincenzi's views, not necessarily mine. might be trying to show you the straight 12 Q. Well, there we have got Mr Richardson 12 13 13 and Mr Wyan, and Mr DeVincenzi. A. I thought - I never thought I was not on 14 14 A. I don't think Mr DeVincenzi - is he the straight path. 15 15 referring to this specific meeting? Q. In those meetings with the police, did it 16 16 Q. His disquiet began before that, did it not? occur to you at any time to say to the police 17 A. Well, that is what I thought listening to 17 as the Attorney General, "Stand by your plan. 18 18 You've seen the evidence, I haven't. You him yesterday. 19 Q. And after that meeting on the 13th, when 19 must exercise independent judgment. We 20 20 will support you, and together we'll find a Mr DeVincenzi sent you the Trudeau Report 21 21 way to follow the evidence wherever it 22 22 A. Yes. leads"? 23 23 Q. -- which is about a Chief Minister trying A. I think it was obvious to the police that 24 to pressure an Attorney General --24 that was a path they could follow whenever 25 25 A. Yes. they wanted. Mr McGrail is coming to Page 182 Page 184

46 (Pages 181 to 184)

1 receive legal advice, rightly, because of the 1 thought that the evidential part of the test was 2 2 nature of the letters of Hassans. We are met. 3 3 certainly giving them all the advice they O. I mean was it --4 needed to reply to the serious allegations in 4 A. It's not for me, Mr Gibbs, to question Mr 5 5 Rocca's assessment of the evidence. the letters, and then we were helping them 6 6 Q. Was it quicker and quieter and neater if proceed with investigation keeping all 7 7 the RGP would simply back down -options open, keeping Mr Levy's status as a 8 8 suspect open, but taking account of the A. Not at all. 9 9 reality that they would not get anything in an Q. -- in the face of the lawyerly assault from 10 interview on Monday 18th other than a, "no 10 Hassans? 11 comment" interview, and that is the 11 A. Not at all and what you're suggesting is 12 12 collegiate way, that is the situation which we just not borne out by the transcripts of the 13 all together worked on, leading to Mr 13 meetings. 14 Richardson proposal of the voluntary 14 Q. And persuasion or suggestion by you that 15 15 they abandon their original proposals? statement. 16 Q. Leave on one side what Mr Baglietto had 16 A. Not at all. 17 17 told you Mr Levy would do if he was Q. With you saying throughout that you 18 18 interviewed. The police had his telephone, would protect them from the Hassans 19 19 did they not? 20 20 A. Yes. A. That is what both the DPP and I were 21 Q. Did you say to the police or consider 21 doing. I mean, there were various limbs to 22 saying to the police, "Well, that could be - I 22 these meetings, and that was one of them. 23 23 accept from your investigation" of however Q. I know this has been asked before -24 many months and years it is, "that could be a 24 forgive me repeating the question: why did 25 25 really important source of evidence. We you not tell the police that you were in Page 185 Page 187 1 must find a way if we can, legally and 1 contact with Hassans? 2 properly, to examine that telephone." 2 A. When? 3 3 A. Those telephones stayed with the police Q. On 13 May. 4 all the time. 4 A. Because I was in contact with Hassans 5 5 Q. Correct. Did it occur to you to say to the after the meetings. If you looked at - I 6 6 police, "We must together find a way, if we received two missed calls from Mr Baglietto 7 can, examine those telephones."? 7 early evening, so I must have spoken to him 8 8 A. No, no, it didn't. after the meeting. 9 9 Q. It never occurred to you? Q. And had you spoken to Mr Levy? 10 A. No, it didn't occur to me. 10 A. On the 12th, in this one-way, short 11 11 Q. You had a way, you had a power to stop conversation in which I just listened to how 12 the investigation or to stop a prosecution, did 12 aggrieved he was. He was courteous, is what 13 13 you not? I remember, he was polite, but he said that he 14 A. Yes. 14 couldn't understand what had happened. I 15 15 Q. By discontinuing? barely said anything other than - I think I 16 16 A. Yes. suggested to him that if he wanted to speak 17 Q. And the Director had a way to stop the 17 that he should speak to the DPP, and I think 18 18 prosecution - well, stop the investigation he told me that he would do so, which is why 19 19 turning into a prosecution if it failed the in my witness statement I said, and I believe 20 20 public interest limb of the prosecution test. they did, which the DPP has now confirmed 21 21 A. Absolutely, the evidence, yes. did not happen. 22 22 Q. Did you prefer though for it to happen a Q. Did you mention to the police that you 23 23 different way? had spoken to Mr Levy? 24 A. Not at all, I didn't have any view on that. 24 A. No, because it was such a short 25 25 The DPP was always very clear to me that he conversation. Well, it was barely a Page 186 Page 188

1 1 O. But if you can remember it now, does the conversation. 2 Q. The turning up at your office of Mr 2 message say, "I'll pick you up later", or does 3 3 Baglietto and Mr Levy's son - unannounced? it say, "I'm on my way. My last meeting 4 A. No, that must - when we spoke on the 4 didn't turn up", or "I sent them away"? 5 5 A. The message from memory says, "Are 13th we must have agreed. I must have 6 6 agreed with Mr Baglietto to come around. I you ready? On my way. Two messages, in 7 7 don't think he would just turn up. other words. 8 (15.00)8 O. We do not need now to look at the 9 9 Q. So, by appointment? number of times that you refer to Mr Levy's 10 10 A. If you want to call it that, yes. telephones in the meetings because they are 11 Q. No, you must decide what to call it. 11 on the face of the transcripts, but the 12 A. I don't remember the conversation, Mr 12 telephone was a concern to you. 13 13 A. It was a concern to everyone. That was Gibbs, but I am assuming that I must have 14 14 said, "Pop by tomorrow". the big issue at the time, the phones. 15 15 Q. And Mr DeVincenzi, by sending you the Q. What messages did you think there might 16 WhatsApps about that, has ensured that there 16 be on the telephones between Mr Levy and 17 17 the Chief Minister? is a record of their attendance? 18 18 A. As I have disclosed to the inquiry. What A. I didn't think about that. I thought about 19 19 those messages do not prove is that the the messages that somebody who was the 20 20 meeting took place, a meeting which I do not head of the biggest law firm in Gibraltar, a 21 recollect happening. I find it very odd that 21 very important businessman in Gibraltar and 22 Mr DeVincenzi sends me a WhatsApp to say 22 the head of the Jewish community in 23 23 that Mr Baglietto, Mr Levy were there. The Gibraltar, I thought that there could be a lot 24 place where I think he says he sent those 24 of messages there which were of a very 25 messages to my office is barely 10 metres. It 25 private or confidential nature and I could Page 189 Page 191 1 would have been easier for him, quicker for 1 understand his nervousness about not having 2 him, to come and knock on my door than to 2 his phones. I did not think about what 3 3 write a WhatsApp message. It leads me to messages there may have been between him 4 4 and the Chief Minister. It wasn't a matter believe that I probably was not in the 5 5 building. that crossed my mind. It was those three 6 6 Q. Forgotten that they were coming? matters that did. 7 7 Q. Could we have B180, please, the second A. I don't know, Mr Gibbs, is the honest 8 answer but I would not have forgotten. I may 8 half of the page. Let me ask one question, if 9 9 have been held back in another meeting or I I may. Do you see it? 10 may have told my PA to call his PA to cancel 10 A. (no reply) 11 11 the meeting. I am not going to tell you it was Q. One of the areas you wanted to interview 12 one thing or the other because I genuinely do 12 Haime on is communications with the Chief 13 13 not remember. What I certainly don't Minister. Who brought that up? 14 14 remember is meeting them and the evidence A. If it's not preceded by any reference to 15 15 of my WhatsApps exchanges with my son, that, then if this is where it appears for the 16 16 Pierre, will show is that I was on the way to first time, it's there. 17 see him eight minutes after the second 17 Q. The amendment that you asked to be 18 18 message from Mr DeVincenzi. So, that is at made to the notification of voluntary 19 19 best an eight-minute meeting and highly attendance to interview? 20 20 unlikely to be even that, because obviously I A. Yes. Q. In what respect was that amendment 21 21 had still not turned up at 12.45. 22 22 requested by you? Q. Well, obviously the Chairman will see 23 23 what your message is when you show it to A. In time. 24 24 Q. In what respect? What was it about, the 25 25 A. Absolutely. amendment? Page 190 Page 192

48 (Pages 189 to 192)

1 A. I just wanted the reference to the title, 1 faced at the time and because there was 2 Chief Minister, to disappear and to be 2 consensus between all of us that that was the 3 3 best way forward at that moment in time and replaced by a different word that would not 4 exclude in any way whatsoever interviewing 4 with[?] affecting how the investigation could 5 5 proceed after getting the written statement Mr Levy in relation to his communications 6 6 with the CM. It merely required a change from Mr Levy and with never changing his 7 7 from communications with the CM to status as a suspect in the meantime. 8 8 Q. Did you know what the evidence was communications with any person. I mean, 9 9 against Mr Levy? Mr McGrail changed it the following day 10 10 because it just didn't affect the investigation A. No, no. 11 in any way whatsoever, nor indeed their 11 Q. Did you care? 12 12 capacity to be able to interview Mr Levy in A. At that time, my focus was on managing 13 13 the situation that we had. That was relation to those communications. 14 14 Q. Forgive me sounding rude but is the short everyone's focus. 15 15 answer it was about communications Q. It was irrelevant? 16 between Mr Levy and the Chief Minister? 16 A. No, I thought that would come if the 17 17 A. At all - the amendment did not achieve police continued with the investigation and 18 18 that. felt it right and necessary to obtain that 19 19 Q. Did you think there might be messages evidence. At that moment we were just 20 20 on Mr Levy's telephone about 36 North? trying to cater for the issue we had at hand. 21 A. Generally? Yes, probably. 21 Q. And finally this. You have said more 22 Q. Did you think that there might be 22 than once that you were trying to protect the 23 23 office of the Chief Minister. Is that right? messages on that telephone to the Chief 24 Minister about 36 North? 24 A. Yes. 25 25 A. Mr Gibbs, I've answered that question. Q. Rather than Mr Picardo himself? Page 193 Page 195 1 What was on my mind were the messages 1 A. Yes. 2 that he may have in relation to the three hats 2 Q. And if the evidence had led to Mr 3 3 that he wears that I've just described - that is Picardo, how would the office of Chief 4 4 what was on my mind at the time and why I Minister best have been protected? 5 5 thought the issue of the devices was such a A. Well, the investigation would have 6 6 live issue at the time. continued its course. 7 Q. You were asked yesterday whether you 7 Q. But would the office of Chief Minister of 8 8 gave Mr Levy special treatment and your Gibraltar in those circumstances best have 9 9 answer was that everyone seems to have been protected by protecting the individual 10 given him special treatment. So, may I just 10 from the evidence or by protecting the office 11 11 from the officeholder? return to the question: did you give Mr Levy 12 special treatment? 12 A. As I say in the transcripts - I think it's 13 13 with Mr McGrail that I tell him, "If you've A. My answer is like yesterday - yes. 14 14 Q. No, your answer was that everyone did. got a smoking gun against the Chief 15 15 Minister" - not that I think there was - "I A. Yes. 16 16 can't do anything." It would bring me to Q. Let us talk about, please, if you would not 17 mind, what special treatment you gave Mr 17 tears because the investigation would 18 18 continue ahead. So I think that answers your Levy. 19 19 A. I, together with Mr McGrail, Mr questions quite clearly, I think. 20 20 Richardson and Mr Rocca, devised a strategy MR GIBBS: Thank you. 21 21 Questioned by MR CRUZ to deal with his interview as reflected in 22 22 those three meetings. That is what I think is Q. Good afternoon. 23 23 the special treatment that I participated in. A. Good afternoon. 24 Q. Why did you do that? 24 THE CHAIRMAN: Briefly. 25 A. Because of the circumstances that we 25 MR CRUZ: Sorry? Page 194 Page 196

1 THE CHAIRMAN: Briefly. 1 A. Sorry, as far as? 2 2 MR CRUZ: Yes, sir. (To the witness) Just Q. Prior to becoming Attorney General, 3 3 one point of clarification on another matter what was your role insofar as Gibraltar is 4 and we will go back to this that you have just 4 concerned? 5 been looking at, and it is just because I think 5 A. I was the Chief Legal Adviser in the 6 it might be helpful to remind you - can we 6 government department which carried the 7 7 go to A 808? This is the second witness title of EU and International Law Department 8 8 statement of Mr Field. Can I go to paragraph and I was the Chief Legal Adviser there. 9 9 6? This is in relation to the Kram issue. Just Q. Yes. Would it be fair to say that for 10 10 the last paragraph. So, you said yesterday decades you have been protecting Gibraltar's 11 that you did not think you had had a chart or 11 reputation? 12 a map or plotted anything, at least not on 8 12 A. Absolutely. 13 March. You see here Mr Field says, and we 13 Q. And many people in Gibraltar are grateful 14 will go to these paragraphs in a moment, " ... 14 for that. 15 paragraphs 36 and 38 I refer to a report from 15 A. Thank you. 16 Inspector Paul Chipolina (which, for the sake 16 Q. Now, when you become Attorney 17 of clarity was a verbal report)", all right? So, 17 General, do you recognise that there is a 18 that is from the Spanish Guardia Civil, just to 18 change of role, a change of responsibility 19 remind you, "containing the coordinates 19 from what you have just described - what I 20 which were then plotted on a map. I tender a 20 have just described and you have agreed? 21 copy of the map which I believe is a copy of 21 A. It depends what you mean by that 22 the map I showed the Commissioner, 22 question. It's a very wide question. 23 Detective Superintendent Paul Richardson 23 Q. Okay, I will be more specific. Section 59 24 and the Attorney General, Mr Llamas." So, 24 of the Constitution. The Attorney General's 25 he is making a reference there to 8 March 25 role. Would you agree that it is different to Page 197 Page 199 1 because Mr Pyle was not in the meeting at 1 the previous incarnation, the assistance you 2 that stage. It was 9 March, you remember, 2 were giving government? 3 3 that Mr Pyle was there, so would you accept A. From the moment I delegated the 4 4 that you were shown a map by Mr Field on 8 prosecutorial functions to the DPP, I am left 5 5 March? largely with what I was doing prior to 6 6 A. Well, yesterday I said that I could becoming Attorney General, so yes, the 7 remember distinctly the six nautical miles but 7 function changes. The superintendent's role 8 8 not the coordinates or even less the map, but is there, but the day-to-day work, especially 9 9 now that you've brought this to my attention, with what happened the year after, taking the 10 I am more than happy to accept that I saw 10 office, the work has largely remained the 11 11 that. same. 12 Q. That is fine. I think it is helpful to the 12 Q. Which is about protecting Gibraltar's 13 13 reputation and Gibraltar's interests? Chairman to know that you saw that. And in 14 14 relation to that, do you think it would be, to A. Well, I would describe my work there in 15 15 use your language, implausible that that was the intersection between diplomatic affairs 16 16 not on the table, so to speak, an hour later and relations and European law and the 17 when Mr Pyle turned up? 17 challenges and opportunity offered by 18 18 A. I was not in that meeting. European law to this jurisdiction. As you 19 19 Q. No, you were not. Okay, so leave Kram know, Mr Cruz, European law has been -20 20 for a moment. Let me ask you a general THE CHAIRMAN: I think we have heard 21 21 enough about European law. question. I am going to try to go through this 22 22 quite quickly given the heads up I have been MR CRUZ: Mr Llamas, you are very 23 23 given by the Chairman. Prior to becoming an familiar with the Constitution, I think. 24 Attorney General, what was your role insofar 24 Would it be fair to say you are familiar with 25 25 sections 47 and 48, the establishment of the as Gibraltar is concerned? Page 198 Page 200

50 (Pages 197 to 200)

1 Police Authority? 1 Q. The two I have just referred to. 2 A. (no reply) 2 A. (no reply) 3 Q. Yes? Is that a "yes"? 3 Q. Well, can we have it on the screen? B3, 4 A. Yes. 4 5 Q. And the importances given to the 5 A. No, but the answer is no, I would not 6 independence of its function. 6 have been aware of that. 7 7 A. Yes. Q. All right, so this is an email sent by Mr 8 Q. Okay, and without going to the sections, 8 Richardson to Mr Rocca in advance of a 9 would you accept that the police authority 9 meeting that they then had virtually on the 8th 10 has responsibility for the Police Force unless 10 and in advance of your discussion with Mr 11 there is a default in which case it goes to the 11 Rocca on the 6th and it is dated 1 April. It is 12 governor under section 13? 12 B3, 610. And in this document, there is a 13 A. Yes. 13 reference at paragraph 3 to the NDM 14 Q. And the operational role is the 14 assessment and then subsequently to the 15 Commissioner of Police? 15 advice. Now, it would be fair to say, would 16 A. That's correct. 16 you agree, that Mr Rocca had the benefit of 17 Q. And only the Commissioner of Police? 17 these documents when he was advising the 18 A. Correct. 18 police on 8 April? 19 Q. So, in terms of the investigations into 19 A. Yes. 20 Operation Delhi, they fall to the 20 Q. And I think you made reference to it 21 Commissioner of Police and nobody else and 21 yesterday, but can you just take it as given, 22 the people under him? 22 unless you disagree with what I am about to 23 A. Yes. 23 say, that in these documents it is plainly 24 Q. Would you accept that the people who 24 evident that the plan is to carry out a search 25 were responsible for this investigation were 25 warrant and interview Mr Levy under Page 201 Page 203 1 the senior investigating officer, Mr 1 caution? Would you accept that, having seen 2 2 Richardson, and Mr Wyan? (inaudible? 3 3 A. Correct. A. Yes, yes. 4 4 Q. Okay. So, in terms of the day-to-day Q. No doubt about that? interaction, insofar as that investigation was 5 5 A. I think so, yes. That's my recollection. 6 6 concerned, and the extent to which you had Q. Yes, and additionally, in the NDM, there 7 7 any knowledge, it would be fair to say you is also a reference to the attack that is likely 8 8 had none? to be received from Hassans Law? 9 9 A. Correct. A. Of? 10 Q. No knowledge of any details of those 10 Q. Correct. So, that is the plan, that is the 11 11 expectation. Would you agree with that? investigations? 12 A. Correct. 12 A. That is what the NDM says, yes. 13 Q. Yes. So, to your knowledge, Mr Rocca 13 Q. Correct. Now, you may recall that you 14 14 referred to the NDM model and the charging nor you at any stage turned round to these 15 15 police officers and said, "Don't do that"? advice that you read about a year after - a 16 16 year ago, I think. A. I had not seen that document. 17 A. The charging advice. 17 Q. Well, to your knowledge, did Mr Rocca 18 18 Q. Yes, and the NDM model. You were ever do that? 19 19 A. No, the NDM? A. I think Mr Rocca addressed that issue in 20 20 Q. You took us to it yesterday. his evidence. 21 A. The NDM I read for the purposes of the 21 Q. Yes. 22 22 A. I mean, I can't remember what he said. I inquiry. 23 23 Q. Yes. Now, on 1 April, are you aware that think he said he read - he gave more 24 these documents were sent to the DPP? 24 importance -25 A. Which documents? 25 Q. He did. Page 202 Page 204

1 A. - to the charging advice than the NDM 1 letter follows shortly thereafter; there are 2 report because he saw that as an operational 2 applications being made to the magistrates' 3 3 matter. court. 4 4 Q. Correct, correct. So, there was no such Q. Can I stop you there? Not because - it's 5 5 just because of the time issue. The Hassans warning. So, the people who know about the 6 details are the police, Mr Richardson, Mr 6 issue - if I can call this broadly the challenge 7 7 Wyan, Mr Zamitt, who we heard, Crown 8 Counsel involved in the case, and Mr Rocca, 8 A. Yes. 9 DPP. And they knew all about this plan, 9 Q. Could I summarise it fairly in saying, 10 exactly what was going to happen next. 10 "the challenge"? 11 A. (no reply) 11 A. Yes, it's quite - yes, let's call it, for 12 12 Q. Would you accept that? shorthand that. 13 A. That seems to be the case, yes. 13 Q. Yes. The expected challenge? 14 14 A. At that stage the expected challenge. Q. Yes. Now, the investigation has been 15 described as thoroughly professional, astute. 15 Q. Right, so there was nothing to resolve or 16 Forensically, Mr Rocca described it as 16 crisis. All there was was the expected 17 thorough and good. Would you have any 17 challenge. 18 reason to disbelieve that? 18 A. Yes, and the serious allegations being 19 19 A. If that is Mr Rocca's view, I believe that. made. 20 Q. Did you have any basis upon which to 20 Q. Yes. Now, can I ask you just to remind 21 disbelieve it when you engaged in the 21 yourself of - I think it is in the transcript. I 22 process on 7 April? 22 think it is B 277 and I think it is at the 23 23 A. No, my only concern that got anywhere bottom. Yes, there is a comment here you 24 near that sort of issue was what Mr Rocca 24 might want to read it. 25 25 had told me was the very - the excessive A. Mine or Mr McGrail's? Page 205 Page 207 1 number of charges. 1 Q. No, no, Mr McGrail's. Sorry, the very 2 Q. Right. Now, we will go to that in a 2 bottom, or shall I read it out loud: "I accept 3 3 second. Insofar as that is concerned - before this because in a couple of months' time we 4 4 we go to that, Mr Rocca also described the could potentially be discussing the same 5 5 officers as good officers, or I put the question matter and if we are not, questions will be 6 6 to him and he agreed: Mr Richardson, Mr asked, 'Why not?' when we talk about 7 Wyan being good officers? 7 another barrister who's in the radar and if 8 A. I think he said that, yes. 8 we're handling this barrister in that way, then 9 9 Q. Right, so if I can just unpack that: a why isn't another barrister handled in the 10 thorough investigation, a good investigation, 10 other way?" Now, you are familiar that there 11 good officers, people in the know, a plan. 11 was an arrest, a warrant issued, an arrest, a 12 Was not the logical next step the execution of 12 search in relation to another barrister 13 13 that plan? involved in another matter in Gibraltar? 14 14 A. That's a matter for the RGP. A. Yes. 15 15 Q. It is. It was a matter for the RGP. And Q. And that was challengeable in judicial 16 you know that that is what they did on 12 16 review? 17 May? 17 A. Yes. 18 18 Q. And in fact there has been a recent A. Correct. 19 19 O. Correct. So, in the context of that, my judgment on it. 20 learned friend touched on this - what was the 20 A. Indeed. 21 crisis of the issue that needed resolving? 21 Q. Needless to say that six out of seven 22 A. The letters arriving from Hassans on the 22 grounds were rejected, one was found, but it 23 12th; the serious allegations being made; the 23 was a process, was it not? It was a legal 24 fact that Mr McGrail asked to see me for 24 process? 25 25 legal advice; we meet the first time; a second A. Yes. Page 206 Page 208

52 (Pages 205 to 208)

1 Q. So, in that other case, which is perhaps 1 A. This is the same meeting where I read out 2 2 what I suggest the Commissioner of Police yesterday Mr McGrail's comment one hour 3 3 may have been alluding to, there was just a into the meeting where he is giving us the 4 plan, an execution of a plan and what is a 4 thanks for consulting. 5 normal legal challenge, nothing, not a crisis, 5 Q. So, Mr Wyan has said, "Highly unusual. 6 6 We left and ultimately proceeded with a just a process? 7 7 course of action that was highly unusual". A. (no reply) 8 8 Q. Would you accept that? Would you accept that? 9 9 A. Yes, absolutely. A. No, I wouldn't accept that. 10 10 Q. So, really when you look at the Operation Q. The last couple of questions. Now, Mr 11 Delhi, there was no crisis nor something to 11 Wyan was asked whether or not he got the 12 resolve or to de-escalate; it was just a process 12 appropriate legal support and he said, "The 13 which I would ask you should you really 13 level of support was such that we felt 14 have got involved in at all? 14 adequately protected", was the question, "that 15 15 he was not sure" - sorry, "that he was not A. I got involved because Mr McGrail asked 16 to see me on 13 March and letters were 16 sure that the level of support that we felt adequately protected us." Would you with 17 17 addressed to me. Look, I don't know the 18 18 hindsight agree with that? specific facts of that other case that you are 19 19 talking about. Maybe it was a clear-cut case A. No. 20 20 for a search warrant, I just don't know. I Q. Mr Llamas, in your witness statement, the 21 mean, I can't compare one case to the other. 21 first one, at paragraph 2 you describe your 22 Q. I understand that. You did not have facts 22 roles and you included advising the RGP. 23 23 on this case either, the evidence in this case, A. (no reply) 24 did you? 24 Q. In your witness statement. 25 A. What's -25 A. Yes, I've got it in front of me. Page 209 Page 211 1 Q. The (inaudible) case. 1 Q. Yes. I put it to you that not only wasn't it 2 A. But what I did have is the brief that Mr 2 an adequate protection, given the plan, and 3 3 Rocca had given me on 6 April. given how other people are treated, but it was 4 4 Q. Right. So, my learned friend Mr Gibbs fundamentally an abdication of your 5 5 referred to Mr Wyan's description of matters. responsibilities as Attorney General to 6 6 It actually was on the 15th, not the 13th. It is a intervene in the manner that you did here. 7 matter which is in day five transcript at pages 7 A. Well, I obviously don't accept that. 8 8 196/197. We do not have to go there, but MR CRUZ: Thank you, Mr Llamas. 9 9 just to correct the record, that was 15 May THE CHAIRMAN: We had better take a 10 and Mr Wyan described it as strange, not 10 break. 11 11 what was expected, a conversation about a (15.28)12 problem. "How do we solve a problem?", the 12 (Adjourned for a short time) 13 13 problem being Mr Levy and the intention to (15.38)14 14 interview him under caution. He described THE CHAIRMAN: Sir Peter, I don't want 15 15 the meeting as an almost facilitation, perhaps there to be any misunderstanding about this, negotiation. Given your descriptions - crisis, 16 16 your preference is to proceed now until we 17 sorting out an issue - would that be fair 17 finish? 18 description by Mr Wyan of what happened? 18 SIR PETER CARUANA: Sir, I am going to 19 19 A. It can be one way of putting it. I wouldn't try and hope to succeed in my attempt to 20 20 put it that way. I'd put it in the way that we finish by 5 o'clock, if you would stretch that 21 21 were consult - I mean, I've repeated this 22 many times already - it was a collegiate 22 THE CHAIRMAN: If you need to go on 23 exercise to deal with that situation in which 23 longer, within reason, that is fair enough. 24 the four of us agreed the way forward. 24 SIR PETER CARUANA: We are grateful. 25 25 Q. Right. We have had that indication from CTI for Page 210 Page 212

53 (Pages 209 to 212)

1 which we are grateful, but my preference 1 General as a cabinet minister to consult with 2 would be to finish. 2 other ministers or not, and to keep 3 3 THE CHAIRMAN: And you are happy to independent from them, absolutely not. 4 carry on, are you? 4 Q. But even if the Shawcross Principle did 5 THE WITNESS: Absolutely. 5 not exist, you would still have a statutory 6 THE CHAIRMAN: Okay, but if either of 6 duty of independence, so the Shawcross 7 you wants to stop, I will leave it to you. 7 Principle adds nothing, I am inviting you to 8 8 Questioned by SIR PETER CARUANA suggest --9 9 SIR PETER CARUANA: Mr Llamas, just to A. I think that's a legitimate --10 10 start with some of the points that have been Q. -- to what our constitution already 11 made at the end so that we can dispose of 11 requires of you? 12 them. The point nine of the request for 12 A. Yes. 13 attendance at voluntary interview where you 13 Q. Now, my learned friend Mr Gibbs -- I 14 asked for the reference to Chief Minister to 14 have been warned about my arguments with 15 be removed from point nine, do you 15 the microphone I will try to prevent them --16 remember that? 16 put to you that Mr DiVincenzi, sending you 17 A. Yes. 17 the Trudeau Report and reminding you of the 18 Q. My learned friend Mr Gibbs asked you. 18 Shawcross Principles, may have been 19 Just to be clear, if there were terribly, terribly 19 showing you the straight path. Do you 20 damaging and terribly, terribly suspicious 20 remember him saying that? 21 and terribly, terribly improper 21 A. I do. 22 communications between Mr Levy and the 22 Q. And I think you answered him that you 23 Chief Minister on the device about 36 North 23 thought you were on the straight path, or 24 and many other things, would what you ask 24 words to that effect. Did you hear Mr 25 the police to do have prevented the police 25 DiVincenzi's evidence? Page 213 Page 215 1 from seeing those emails? 1 A. Yes. 2 A. Nothing. 2 Q. Did you hear him describe you as an 3 3 Q. Those messages. So is there any link honest and decent lawyer? 4 4 between the cloak and dagger motives of A. Yes. 5 5 why you might have been wanting to prevent Q. Did you hear him say on oath that he did 6 6 not think that you were interfering? it and what you asked the police to do? 7 7 A. Absolutely. 8 Q. The Shawcross Principles. The 8 Q. And that he did not think that you had 9 9 Shawcross Principles apply in the UK, am I incurred in any improper actions? 10 right. Are you aware of the Shawcross 10 A. Yes. 11 Principles? 11 Q. Or that you were -- he did not get any 12 A. Yes, I think it applies in common law 12 sense that you were motivated by any 13 13 improper motives? jurisdictions where the Attorney General is 14 also a politician. 14 A. Yes, I did. 15 15 Q. Yes. Does it have any application to Q. Well, in those circumstances, do you 16 Gibraltar, given that you have a 16 think there is any need to suspect that he 17 constitutional, which is our primary statute, 17 might have been showing you the straight 18 18 obligation for independence in the discharge path? 19 19 of your functions? A. Absolutely not. 20 20 Q. When you refer to "protecting the office A. Absolutely, and indeed that the 21 appointment is not a political appointment. 21 of the Chief Minister", do you mean 22 Q. So is there any need in Gibraltar to rely 22 regardless of whether -- do you mean 23 23 on the Shawcross Principle as there is in the regardless of the conduct of the Chief 24 24 Minister, regardless of the propriety of the 25 25 conduct of the Chief Minister? A. Insofar as it requires the Attorney Page 214 Page 216

54 (Pages 213 to 216)

1 A. No, not regardless of the conduct. I made 1 A. No, that was not what was agreed. 2 that clear. 2 Q. Okay. Can we go back to the bottom of 3 3 Q. So, if a Chief Minister were to incur in the previous page. I don't know how quick 4 4 improper conduct, would your concern to your mathematics are, but he sent his 5 protect the jurisdiction's reputation lead you 5 WhatsApp at 12.26.52. What was the time of 6 to protect a chief minister in those 6 your immediate reaction, your first reaction? 7 7 circumstances? A. 12.28.15. 8 8 A. No, as I think I replied to Mr Gibbs and O. Yes. I am told that that is 1 minute and 9 9 as I say in the transcript, the references to the 23 seconds. Were you alone? 10 10 smoking gun and I can't stop, of course not. A. I can't remember. 11 Q. To your knowledge, has the Chief 11 Q. Do you agree that that is your immediate 12 12 reaction? Minister ever been -- the incumbent Chief 13 13 Minister, I am glad to think that you would A. Well yes, considering the time gap, it's 14 14 done the same for me -- but was the Chief my immediate reaction, yes. 15 15 Q. So your most contemporaneous reactions Minister, the current Chief Minister, ever a 16 suspect in this investigation, as far as you are 16 in terms of what you believed you had agreed 17 was this, just one minute? 17 aware? 18 18 A. I agree. A. As far as I am aware, he never was. 19 19 Q. And the paragraph that we saw that I put Q. Can you put on the screen please, B109, 20 20 to you first, which is the opening exchanges at the bottom of the page there, thank you Mr 21 in the meeting of 13 July, the very next day? 21 Triay. You have there on screen at the 22 A. Yes, it is exactly the same thing. 22 bottom in that lengthy dialogue box by you, 23 23 THE CHAIRMAN: I think you had better would you just like to read that to yourself. 24 look at Mr McGrail's immediate reaction to 24 For yourself, I could read it for you. (Pause) 25 that 25 Just the first. Page 217 Page 219 1 A. I've read it. 1 SIR PETER CARUANA: I beg your 2 Q. Yes. You say, "For me it was absolutely 2 pardon? 3 3 clear", and you go on to describe the THE CHAIRMAN: You'd better look at Mr 4 4 rationalisation of grounds, is that still your McGrail's immediate reaction to that. 5 5 view today? SIR PETER CARUANA: I have read out Mr 6 6 A. Absolutely. McGrail's immediate reaction, sir, when I 7 Q. Can we turn up C6925 and if we could go 7 was reading out Mr Llamas's. But if you 8 8 down to the entry at 12.26. So at 12.26 Mr think it is necessary for me to read it out a 9 9 McGrail sends you the same WhatsApp as he second time I will. 10 sent the Chief Minister, "Before you hear it 10 THE CHAIRMAN: It is rather misleading to 11 from anyone else, I want to inform you that 11 stress one without the other. 12 detectives are executing a search warrant 12 SIR PETER CARUANA: I have not stressed 13 ...", etc. So that is the information meeting, 13 one and not the other. I have read them both 14 courtesy apparently. What was your 14 out, sir, before your intervention. Can we 15 15 response? have on screen the car transcript. I don't 16 16 A. Well, as I say there, I mean my response know what number you've given it. I would 17 17 like to go halfway down the second page 18 18 where he says he understands. Do you see? Q. Well, just read it out. 19 19 A. I said, "Ian, we had agreed that you I beg your pardon, it is the third page. IM, 20 20 would come to me with a rationalisation of this was what Mr McGrail said to Mr 21 21 the charges before doing anything." Richardson in the back of the car 22 Q. And he says, "We agreed we'd do that 22 immediately after your private chat at the end 23 when all the loose ends were tied up and this 23 of 13 May meeting: 24 included the inquiries with JL", and you 24 "He understands", speaking about you, "that 25 25 this is not what, er, what was said in the way answered? Page 218 Page 220

55 (Pages 217 to 220)

1 in which it was interpreted." 1 that you were not agreeing with Mr McGrail 2 2 Did you, in your meeting with Mr McGrail, as he had indicated to Mr ... that there had 3 convey to him that you accepted that there 3 been an acceptance by you of a 4 had been a misunderstanding? 4 misunderstanding between you? 5 A. A misunderstanding in relation to what? 5 A. No, I think it is clear from that transcript 6 what was agreed in my mind on 7 April was 6 O. As to whether there had been an 7 7 clear, but in due course I would say look, agreement between him --8 8 there was just a misunderstanding between us A. On 7 April? 9 9 if that would help to defuse the situation Q. Yes. 10 10 A. I mean, it's in the transcript. I said that going forward. 11 eventually I think the words were 11 Q. I see, okay. So, the meetings of May 12 "Eventually". were briefly in your opinion, for what 12 13 Q. Yes, okay. Yes. I am about to take you 13 purpose? 14 there if you'd like to see that. 228, please. 14 A. For a number of purposes. They were to 15 A. Yes, "I will eventually accept ..." 15 defend the RGP from the letters and the 16 Q. The box immediately -- that's what you 16 allegations made in the Hassans's letters. 17 17 said to him, but I'd like to take you to the box They were to make sure that investigation 18 18 before that. I mean, we have already seen against the three then defendants went ahead. 19 19 They were to deal with the best way of what you said at the beginning of 13 May 20 20 getting evidence from Mr Levy, to never meeting, now this is what you say to him in 21 your private meeting after the others had left. 21 change his status as a suspect in relation to 22 You say, "Okay, but I cannot resist it and 22 the investigation. We were dealing with a 23 23 that's why I could see you ... I could see you specific issue of misfeasance that Mr 24 for now. We, we, we've had to and look, I'm 24 Richardson was very troubled with. 25 25 glad that we've met but for me, it was clear Q. And was it an objective amongst all the Page 221 Page 223 1 what we agreed in this meeting yesterday." 1 ones that you've listed, to achieve those 2 Yesterday, and indeed, sir, there is a 2 things in a way which did not curtail the 3 3 transcription error there, because the word RGP's possibility to proceed in the future 4 4 yesterday is in the -- and that has been thereafter as they pleased? 5 5 corrected and the CTI has picked it up. This A. Well, that's what I meant by not changing 6 6 was on the 12th, "but for me it was clear Mr Levy's status as a suspect. Absolutely. 7 what we agreed in this meeting yesterday", is 7 Q. Did you have any sense during those 8 8 not correct. What had happened yesterday meetings that the police felt that they were 9 9 was the berating, correct? being cajoled or pressured? 10 A. Yes. 10 A. No. 11 11 Q. The agreement had actually taken place, Q. My learned friend, Mr Gibbs -- in fact my 12 the full stop -- "yesterday" is just on the 12 learned friend Mr Wagner, I do beg Mr 13 13 Gibbs's pardon, put to you that you needed to wrong side of the full stop. "Yesterday", 14 14 tread carefully in criminal law, always taking now you are describing your reaction at the 15 15 the advice from the DPP, because of your berating meeting the day before, "you don't 16 16 admitted lack of familiarity with criminal law know what went through my body when I 17 saw your WhatsApp yesterday. Forget 17 matters. Do you recall that? 18 Attorney General, Commissioner of Police, 18 A. Yes. 19 19 at a personal level, Ian McGrail with Michael (15.56)20 20 Q. In these meetings did you allow yourself Llamas. He says, "Mm", and then you said, 21 "That is what I want to tell you, more than 21 to be guided by the DPP? 22 22 anything, it has never happened before. I A. Absolutely. 23 23 will eventually accept that there was a Q. Were any of the ideas that emerged in the 24 misunderstanding between us, because that is 24 discussions throughout the meetings --- did 25 the type of person that I am." Do you agree 25 any originate with you? Page 222 Page 224

1 A. I don't think so. Even if I was proposing 1 Rocca at the bottom? 2 any particular idea, especially on the meeting 2 A. Yes. 3 on the 15th, I would have discussed that 3 Q. I think that there is a simple way to 4 4 previously with Mr Rocca. I just didn't have defuse that paragraph, that is concerning 5 the knowledge of criminal law to be able to 5 paragraph you have certainly picked up, "I 6 propose that unless he had told me. 6 would reply saying --- given the allegations 7 7 you are making will sit there seven days, it's Q. So in your view you felt that you were 8 8 doing exactly what Mr Wagner said that you in a sealed bag, it will not be touched. If you 9 9 needed to do which was to proceed by the think you have grounds for making legal 10 10 hand of the DPP? applications to any court of law for review, 11 A. Absolutely. 11 for an appeal ...." now we can look at the 12 12 timings again by going back to B224, but that Q. In respect of the meeting on 13 May in 13 13 his oral opening Mr Wagner said that it was was long before you made the reference that 14 14 an inevitable conclusion from the transcripts I first pointed out to, so whose idea --- whose 15 15 that you appeared to be advocating the suggestion was it in the 13 May meeting ---16 position of Mr Levy and substantially trying 16 my understanding may be wrong but the 17 17 principal thing that emerged from the 13 May to minimise the RGP's actions that they were 18 18 meeting was the seven day moratorium for about to take. Do you agree with that? 19 19 them to put up or shut up ----A. Not at all. 20 20 A. Yes. Q. Can we look at B224 --- I beg your 21 Q. --- with their legal challenge ----21 pardon, I have got B224 at the top of the 22 22 page. Is that the transcript? Do you recall A. Exactly. 23 23 O. --- is that correct? my learned friend, Mr Wagner, putting this to 24 24 you as evidence that you were batting for Mr A. Exactly. 25 Q. I mean that is really what emerged from 25 Levy for short and, if so, I believe ----Page 225 Page 227 1 A. I am sorry, I can't see that. 1 the 13 May meeting? 2 Q. Yes, the first dialogue box, "Exactly, I 2 A. In addition to dealing with the 12 May 3 3 believe this is the big issue so I believe you letter, ves. 4 4 have to provide them that guarantee that no Q. Who put that proposal first to the meeting 5 5 one is touching it." Do you recall Mr 6 6 Wagner putting that to you? A. It was Mr Rocca. 7 MR WAGNER: I am sorry, I did not put 7 Q. Do you think that the Director of Public 8 anything to Mr Llamas and I did not put 8 Prosecutions was batting for Mr Levy? 9 9 anything to him as evidence of batting for Mr A. Not at all. 10 Levy. 10 Q. As you stand charged of doing? 11 11 SIR PETER CARUANA: No, no, to Mr A. Not at all. 12 Llamas, sorry. 12 Q. In the 15 May meeting the CTI took you 13 MR WAGNER: I did not --- I don't 13 to --- that is my learned friend, Mr Santos, 14 14 remember asking those questions. took you to a number of references at page 15 15 SIR PETER CARUANA: I beg your pardon, 270 and 271. They can go on the screen if 16 16 I am being corrected, it was in your oral you want, although it is not necessary, but 17 opening that you made this remark. (To the 17 270 is, "Okay, chat, we are spending quite a 18 lot of time with Christian," we have seen that witness): Can you go to B197, at the bottom 18 19 19 of 197 and 198 --- sorry, sir, I am trying to several times now, and over the page, you 20 20 work from the version that you are working said, "Okay, but my understanding ..." and 21 21 from. you said, "Correct, Christian and I are ready 22 THE CHAIRMAN: No, understand. 22 on that," and I think that Mr Santos' point 23 SIR PETER CARUANA: And so I am not 23 was limited to getting you to agree, which 24 familiar with these page numbers. (To the 24 you did, that you were supportive of that? 25 25 witness): Do you see the dialogue box by Mr A. Yes. Page 226 Page 228

57 (Pages 225 to 228)

1 Q. "What we think would be helpful for the 1 would make a "no comment" interview on 18 2 management of the whole thing is if that 2 3 3 interview would still go ahead but not have it Q. Did you --- and was that proposed and/or 4 under caution." Do you recall whether that 4 agreed instead of an interview under caution 5 idea was yours or Mr Rocca's? 5 if that is what emerged? What was the link 6 A. It can only be Mr Rocca's. 6 between that and a possible different 7 7 THE CHAIRMAN: He has already said that management later? 8 8 to Mr Santos. A. Nothing. This was just a first step to try 9 9 SIR PETER CARUANA: I beg your to elicit evidence from Mr Levy without 10 10 pardon? prejudice to any lines of enquiries that the 11 THE CHAIRMAN: He said that to Mr 11 RGP may wish to seek thereafter. I think 12 Santos. 12 that is quite clear from the transcript. 13 SIR PETER CARUANA: Yes; well, we 13 Q. With the possibility that if Mr Levy could 14 have heard a lot of things today that have 14 persuade the RGP to lose interest in him, 15 been said already before but I think it is 15 they would and could if they chose to? 16 important to give the witness the opportunity 16 A. It's up to them. 17 to say it. (To the witness): When my learned 17 Q. And if they chose not to? 18 friend, Mr Gibbs, says that it is quicker and 18 A. It's up to them. 19 easier to achieve your --- the objectives ---19 Q. They could have --- they were free to 20 the line of questions that they put to you 20 proceed ----21 clearly or seek to impute to you --- in other 21 A. It's up to them, yes. 22 words, the same thing as would be achieved 22 Q. Did you or Mr Rocca make even the 23 effectively by a nolle or by a non-23 slightest suggestion that would have led the 24 proceedings, did you yourself propose 24 RGP to take the view that their future powers 25 anything of your --- that emanated from you 25 were being curtailed? Page 229 Page 231 1 that would have had the effect of ending the 1 A. Not at all. 2 2 RGP's interest in Mr Levy? Q. Mr Llamas, after the meeting of 20 May, 3 3 A. Absolutely not. which was the third of the three meetings, so 4 4 Q. Did you advocate at any of these the meeting of the 13th came up with the 5 5 meetings or even support that which may seven day moratorium on the inspection of 6 have been advocated by somebody else 6 devices, the one on the 15th came up with the 7 7 anything which had the effect that a nolle or agreement on the witness statement first, and 8 8 a discontinuance would have had in the case then the meeting of the 20th --- do you agree 9 9 of Mr Levy? that the meeting of the 20th dealt mainly with 10 A. Absolutely not. 10 pushing back on the abusive reaction by 11 11 Hassans to what had emerged from the Q. Did you try to stop the investigation of 12 Mr Levy at any of these meetings? 12 meeting of the 15th? 13 13 A. Absolutely not. A. Exactly. 14 14 Q. Am I right in saying that they tried to Q. Do you recall what actually emerged 15 from the 15 May meeting? We have seen 15 interpret that as Mr Levy is no longer a 16 that 13 May was the seven day moratorium 16 suspect? 17 on inspections, a put up or shut up period, but 17 A. Yes, I mean, the RGP had offered to 18 18 do you recall what was the principal thing to accept a written statement and what came 19 19 emerge from the 15 May meeting? back was a letter from Hassans interpreting 20 20 A. My recollection is that that is the meeting the written statement as a witness statement 21 21 and that is what we all were complaining where we all agreed that we would try a 22 22 written statement, to get a written statement about and had to --- and we discussed how to 23 23 from Mr Levy without affecting his status as deal with that as soon as possible. I think 24 a suspect and that came on the back of our 24 that I called Mr Baglietto shortly after that 25 25 belief that he would not give any --- that he meeting as agreed in the meeting to tell him Page 230 Page 232

1 that this is completely wrong, this is not what 1 A. Not at all. 2 the RGP has offered. 2 Q. So in your view, Mr Llamas, do you think 3 3 Q. And so --- I will take you to the you incurred in any behaviour in April or 4 4 transcripts in a moment but I want to do it May that is capable of being interpreted as 5 5 simultaneously with the next point so as not interfering in the RGP's investigation? 6 to have to keep on going back. After the 6 A. No. 7 7 meeting of 20 May did you have any further Q. Were you seeking to derail the 8 8 involvement with the RGP? investigation? 9 9 A. I don't think so. A. No. 10 10 Q. Did have any interaction with the RGP Q. What did you do or propose or even agree 11 about them not executing the warrant --- or, 11 to that might have been open to that 12 interpretation? 12 before going there, are you aware how the 13 warrant was executed or whether I was 13 A. Nothing. 14 14 Q. In your view? executed on 12 May? 15 15 A. In my view, nothing. A. What I became aware was of the DPP's 16 concern that he described last week as the 16 Q. The Chairman of course will come to his 17 own view. 17 unorthodox approach that had been adopted 18 18 A. Of course. which I now discover was already envisaged 19 Q. If you were batting for Mr Levy, could it 19 in the Options report of the RGP. 20 20 be said that the Director of Public Q. So as far as you were aware, on the 12th 21 Prosecutions was batting for him any less? 21 the police had executed the warrant as they 22 22 had planned to? Or not executed ----A. I think it's very difficult to divorce his 23 23 position from mine. A. Or not, yes. 24 Q. And did you take any action that 24 Q. --- the warrant as they had planned to? 25 amounted to protection of Mr Levy beyond 25 They did what they had planned? Page 233 Page 235 1 A. Exactly that. I mean, it is set out in the 1 what was agreed, the seven day moratorium 2 2 and the witness statement first and the RGP **Options** report. 3 3 Q. After 20 May did you even express a to re-assess and decide what to do thereafter? 4 4 view to anybody about the RGP not A. No, not at all. 5 5 executing the warrant or obtaining a new THE CHAIRMAN: Not a witness statement 6 6 warrant after the 20th? but a voluntary statement. 7 7 SIR PETER CARUANA: A written --- did I A. No. 8 Q. Did you express a view or have any 8 say "witness"? 9 9 interaction with the RGP after the 20th about THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. 10 not arresting Mr Levy or not interviewing 10 SIR PETER CARUANA: I mean to contrast 11 11 him under caution as they had been free to do it with a witness statement. I mean a written 12 as at the 20th? 12 statement, thank you, sir. (To the witness): 13 13 A. None whatsoever. Were you batting for Mr Picardo? 14 14 Q. Did you have any role or express any A. Not at all. 15 15 view to the RGP or anybody else that the Q. Did you do anything that prevented or 16 16 RGP should not inspect the devices after the curtailed the police's ability to access any 17 20th? 17 information or pursue any line of 18 18 investigation that might have embarrassed or A. None whatsoever. 19 19 Q. Did you have any role or express any compromised Mr Picardo? 20 20 view to anybody about the RGP's decision in A. Not at all. 21 October 2020 that Mr Levy should no longer 21 Q. Do you consider, Mr Llamas, that as 22 be treated as a suspect and that devices 22 Attorney General it is obligatory of you to be 23 23 should be returned to him uninspected? transparent with the police about who you 24 A. None whatsoever. 24 speak to on the telephone or who you meet 25 25 Q. Did the DPP confer with you about that? with? Page 234 Page 236

59 (Pages 233 to 236)

## 1 A. I don't think so. 1 Originally it was going to be a meeting with 2 2 Q. Much has been made of whether you --- I him and he says it's vital for us to read it, so 3 3 think it has been conceded now that you were I'm asking ...." and then Mr McGrail 4 4 fully transparent with your contacts at least intervenes saying that he needs to go for a 5 from the 15th onwards ----5 comfort break. Is that a reference by you to 6 6 communications between you and Mr A. Yes. 7 7 Q. I am not sure you heard that concession Baglietto? 8 8 made but --- so there has been some A. Yes, as I explained this morning I don't 9 9 questioning ---know if it was --- I was obviously in the 10 THE CHAIRMAN: I do not think I have 10 meeting and whether he called me or he 11 heard that concession either. 11 called my PA but he wanted us to read a 12 SIR PETER CARUANA: You do not think 12 letter which we think was the letter that he 13 13 so? sent to Mr Richardson on the 13th. 14 14 THE CHAIRMAN: No. Q. On page B148, the third bottom dialogue 15 15 box by the DPP, "No, I know because I SIR PETER CARUANA: No, not by you? 16 All right, we will revisit the transcripts. In 16 looked at it before I came over. I think that 17 17 one of those occasions where you stand up, I the unspoken question is that I'll speaking to 18 recall you said precisely that but, never mind, 18 you, do we need to be communicating with 19 19 we will go through the material then. If there Lewis quietly, Haime, although he is ticking 20 is transparency, there is transparency in both 20 the boxes, isn't and that ... but you don't 21 21 directions, so we will go through the know that yet." "No, so ...." I am not quite 22 material. It gives us a good opportunity to do 22 sure what to make of the three continuous 23 23 so. (To the witness): Do you feel that as the DPP dialogue boxes, so do you agree that 24 24 Attorney General you have any obligation to that is further discussion in the meeting of 13 25 report to the RGP when you have spoken to 25 May? Page 237 Page 239 1 people who have engaged with you? 1 A. I agree. 2 2 Q. Then at B156 finally, about six dialogue A. No. 3 3 Q. Can we go to the transcript at B124, this boxes down he seems to be asking you, 4 4 is 13 May, so dealing first with the telephone "Give me the opportunity," and you say, 5 5 issue, do you see just above two thirds of the "Yeah, it is, is it --- is it okay for me to meet 6 6 him, he's asking for a meeting the DPP way down the page, this is Mr Rocca, "Yeah, 7 there is one there and perhaps you need to 7 review and the RGP." Mr McGrail, "No." 8 consider whether you need to be replying to 8 Mr Llamas, "But the RGP does not want to 9 9 Lewis saying there is an allegation of meet. Maybe you can say --- because it seems like a way of saying it's yeah." Senior 10 misfeasance, do you want to make a formal 10 11 11 counsel, "It's you." Do you think it is fair to complaint," so I think that is the first 12 reference to --- do you see that? 12 say, Mr Llamas, in your assessment --- the 13 13 Chairman will be reading these, he may A. Yes. 14 14 Q. Do you consider that to be a reference to already have done so, but the Chairman will 15 15 the need to communicate with Mr Baglietto? be taking --- reading all these transcripts 16 16 himself and form his own views but in your A. Yes, as a possibility. 17 Q. So is that the Lewis that is being referred 17 view do you think that even in the 13 May 18 18 to there? meeting the possibility and the concept of 19 19 A. Yes. communications with Mr Baglietto was 20 20 Q. Then if you can turn to page 131, so already being mooted? 21 B131, you say in the middle of the page, 21 A. Absolutely, yes. 22 "This has just arrived, Lewis Baglietto has 22 Q. If we can just turn briefly --- I want to minimise my references to the outcome of 23 called because he has been sent a ..." I'm not 23 24 too sure what to make of the "I'm a ..." "and 24 these transcripts, because, as I say, the 25 25 he was made aware of this meeting. Chairman has or will read them, but at B153, Page 238 Page 240

60 (Pages 237 to 240)

1	this is now outcome of meeting rather than	1	the context of Mr Wagner's last intervention
2	communications with Mr Baglietto, do you	2	to correct me, that that is you announcing
3	see where you say, "Shall we wrap up"?	3	you are perhaps checking whether you would
4	"Sir, as far as I understand what we've	4	call Mr Baglietto?
5	discussed is that Paul is going to reply to the	5	A. Absolutely.
6	" "No, I will, I will," Mr McGrail says,	6	Q. "Let's not make notes until we have
7	and Mr Llamas, "So you, Ian, is going to	7	agreed this, it might be the sensible thing,
8	reply to this letter?" "Yes." Mr McGrail	8	somebody is going to call Mr Baglietto"
9	asking, "to whom," and Superintendent	9	you say, "Someone is going to call Mr Lewis
10	Richardson interjects and says, "Lewis."	10	Baglietto, is going to tell him, 'Look, we
11	"No," suggesting it should be to Mr Baglietto	11	reserve or the RGP a position a litany of
12	and you say, "In relation to the first	12	(inaudible)" Mr Richardson, "But doing
13	paragraph after the seventh point, saying that	13	what Chris said that we believe our actions
14	no material has been improperly downloaded	14	were lawful and if you don't agree with us,
15	until after the interview on Monday," and Mr	15	whatever." You say, "Whatever, we reserve
16	Richardson says, "Can you please go a bit	16	the RGP's position and therefore I don't
17	slower because I want to make sure that I	17	know, are they going to be formally asked to
18	have an accurate record of what we've	18	do a witness statement or if the" and Mr
19	agreed. No material has been downloaded,"	19	Rocca interjects, "Volunteer." Then over the
20	and then it goes on in that vein. Do you	20	page, "I don't think you should ask, not in the
21	agree, Mr Llamas, that this wrap up is a fair	21	letter anyway, it has to be asked but not
22	representation of the atmosphere in the	22	asked." "Can I pick up" and then you say,
23	meeting which was one of a discussion	23	"Can I pick up the phone and tell Lewis
24	followed by an agreement?	24	Baglietto, 'Look, Lewis, I have met with the
25	A. Absolutely, yes.	25	cm and the team, you can send a witness
23	A. Absolutely, yes.	23	em and the team, you can send a witness
	Page 241		Page 243
1	O Was it an agreement that you thought was	1	statement to the DCD a statement " and the
1 2	Q. Was it an agreement that you thought was	1	statement to the RGP, a statement," and the
2	being to any degree explicitly or implicitly	2	Commissioner of Police interjects, "That
2 3	being to any degree explicitly or implicitly being imposed on the RPG against their real	2 3	Commissioner of Police interjects, "That provides them with sufficient data to" you
2 3 4	being to any degree explicitly or implicitly being imposed on the RPG against their real will?	2 3 4	Commissioner of Police interjects, "That provides them with sufficient data to" you interject, "Seriously, Superintendent,
2 3 4 5	being to any degree explicitly or implicitly being imposed on the RPG against their real will?  A. Well, I think that shows clearly that it	2 3 4 5	Commissioner of Police interjects, "That provides them with sufficient data to" you interject, "Seriously, Superintendent, seriously a detailed account." Mr Rocca, "To
2 3 4 5 6	being to any degree explicitly or implicitly being imposed on the RPG against their real will?  A. Well, I think that shows clearly that it was not the case. As I said before, and this is	2 3 4 5 6	Commissioner of Police interjects, "That provides them with sufficient data to" you interject, "Seriously, Superintendent, seriously a detailed account." Mr Rocca, "To assess your involvement, they will then
2 3 4 5 6 7	being to any degree explicitly or implicitly being imposed on the RPG against their real will?  A. Well, I think that shows clearly that it was not the case. As I said before, and this is the theme in the three meetings, they were	2 3 4 5 6 7	Commissioner of Police interjects, "That provides them with sufficient data to" you interject, "Seriously, Superintendent, seriously a detailed account." Mr Rocca, "To assess your involvement, they will then postpone the meeting." You then say, "They
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	being to any degree explicitly or implicitly being imposed on the RPG against their real will?  A. Well, I think that shows clearly that it was not the case. As I said before, and this is the theme in the three meetings, they were collegiate in nature.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Commissioner of Police interjects, "That provides them with sufficient data to" you interject, "Seriously, Superintendent, seriously a detailed account." Mr Rocca, "To assess your involvement, they will then postpone the meeting." You then say, "They will then postpone the meeting, the interview
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	being to any degree explicitly or implicitly being imposed on the RPG against their real will?  A. Well, I think that shows clearly that it was not the case. As I said before, and this is the theme in the three meetings, they were collegiate in nature.  Q. Was this a widows and orphans job?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Commissioner of Police interjects, "That provides them with sufficient data to" you interject, "Seriously, Superintendent, seriously a detailed account." Mr Rocca, "To assess your involvement, they will then postpone the meeting." You then say, "They will then postpone the meeting, the interview on Monday, they will review it they may
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	being to any degree explicitly or implicitly being imposed on the RPG against their real will?  A. Well, I think that shows clearly that it was not the case. As I said before, and this is the theme in the three meetings, they were collegiate in nature.  Q. Was this a widows and orphans job?  Were these senior police officers effectively	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Commissioner of Police interjects, "That provides them with sufficient data to" you interject, "Seriously, Superintendent, seriously a detailed account." Mr Rocca, "To assess your involvement, they will then postpone the meeting." You then say, "They will then postpone the meeting, the interview on Monday, they will review it they may come back to you with a" and it carries on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	being to any degree explicitly or implicitly being imposed on the RPG against their real will?  A. Well, I think that shows clearly that it was not the case. As I said before, and this is the theme in the three meetings, they were collegiate in nature.  Q. Was this a widows and orphans job? Were these senior police officers effectively intimidated into agreeing?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Commissioner of Police interjects, "That provides them with sufficient data to" you interject, "Seriously, Superintendent, seriously a detailed account." Mr Rocca, "To assess your involvement, they will then postpone the meeting." You then say, "They will then postpone the meeting, the interview on Monday, they will review it they may come back to you with a" and it carries on in that vein. Do you agree that you were
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	being to any degree explicitly or implicitly being imposed on the RPG against their real will?  A. Well, I think that shows clearly that it was not the case. As I said before, and this is the theme in the three meetings, they were collegiate in nature.  Q. Was this a widows and orphans job? Were these senior police officers effectively intimidated into agreeing?  A. I don't think that they were people to be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Commissioner of Police interjects, "That provides them with sufficient data to" you interject, "Seriously, Superintendent, seriously a detailed account." Mr Rocca, "To assess your involvement, they will then postpone the meeting." You then say, "They will then postpone the meeting, the interview on Monday, they will review it they may come back to you with a" and it carries on in that vein. Do you agree that you were flagging up explicitly that you were going to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	being to any degree explicitly or implicitly being imposed on the RPG against their real will?  A. Well, I think that shows clearly that it was not the case. As I said before, and this is the theme in the three meetings, they were collegiate in nature.  Q. Was this a widows and orphans job? Were these senior police officers effectively intimidated into agreeing?  A. I don't think that they were people to be intimidated.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Commissioner of Police interjects, "That provides them with sufficient data to" you interject, "Seriously, Superintendent, seriously a detailed account." Mr Rocca, "To assess your involvement, they will then postpone the meeting." You then say, "They will then postpone the meeting, the interview on Monday, they will review it they may come back to you with a" and it carries on in that vein. Do you agree that you were flagging up explicitly that you were going to communicate to Mr Baglietto the outcome of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	being to any degree explicitly or implicitly being imposed on the RPG against their real will?  A. Well, I think that shows clearly that it was not the case. As I said before, and this is the theme in the three meetings, they were collegiate in nature.  Q. Was this a widows and orphans job? Were these senior police officers effectively intimidated into agreeing?  A. I don't think that they were people to be intimidated.  Q. Can we move to the meeting of 15 May	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Commissioner of Police interjects, "That provides them with sufficient data to" you interject, "Seriously, Superintendent, seriously a detailed account." Mr Rocca, "To assess your involvement, they will then postpone the meeting." You then say, "They will then postpone the meeting, the interview on Monday, they will review it they may come back to you with a" and it carries on in that vein. Do you agree that you were flagging up explicitly that you were going to communicate to Mr Baglietto the outcome of that meeting and you rehearsed the message
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	being to any degree explicitly or implicitly being imposed on the RPG against their real will?  A. Well, I think that shows clearly that it was not the case. As I said before, and this is the theme in the three meetings, they were collegiate in nature.  Q. Was this a widows and orphans job? Were these senior police officers effectively intimidated into agreeing?  A. I don't think that they were people to be intimidated.  Q. Can we move to the meeting of 15 May briskly, on the transparency of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Commissioner of Police interjects, "That provides them with sufficient data to" you interject, "Seriously, Superintendent, seriously a detailed account." Mr Rocca, "To assess your involvement, they will then postpone the meeting." You then say, "They will then postpone the meeting, the interview on Monday, they will review it they may come back to you with a" and it carries on in that vein. Do you agree that you were flagging up explicitly that you were going to communicate to Mr Baglietto the outcome of that meeting and you rehearsed the message that you were going to put to him?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	being to any degree explicitly or implicitly being imposed on the RPG against their real will?  A. Well, I think that shows clearly that it was not the case. As I said before, and this is the theme in the three meetings, they were collegiate in nature.  Q. Was this a widows and orphans job? Were these senior police officers effectively intimidated into agreeing?  A. I don't think that they were people to be intimidated.  Q. Can we move to the meeting of 15 May briskly, on the transparency of communications point, can we put up B264,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Commissioner of Police interjects, "That provides them with sufficient data to" you interject, "Seriously, Superintendent, seriously a detailed account." Mr Rocca, "To assess your involvement, they will then postpone the meeting." You then say, "They will then postpone the meeting, the interview on Monday, they will review it they may come back to you with a" and it carries on in that vein. Do you agree that you were flagging up explicitly that you were going to communicate to Mr Baglietto the outcome of that meeting and you rehearsed the message that you were going to put to him?  A. Absolutely.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	being to any degree explicitly or implicitly being imposed on the RPG against their real will?  A. Well, I think that shows clearly that it was not the case. As I said before, and this is the theme in the three meetings, they were collegiate in nature.  Q. Was this a widows and orphans job? Were these senior police officers effectively intimidated into agreeing?  A. I don't think that they were people to be intimidated.  Q. Can we move to the meeting of 15 May briskly, on the transparency of communications point, can we put up B264, please, about two thirds of the way down the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Commissioner of Police interjects, "That provides them with sufficient data to" you interject, "Seriously, Superintendent, seriously a detailed account." Mr Rocca, "To assess your involvement, they will then postpone the meeting." You then say, "They will then postpone the meeting, the interview on Monday, they will review it they may come back to you with a" and it carries on in that vein. Do you agree that you were flagging up explicitly that you were going to communicate to Mr Baglietto the outcome of that meeting and you rehearsed the message that you were going to put to him?  A. Absolutely.  Q. So we have been to the relaxed
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	being to any degree explicitly or implicitly being imposed on the RPG against their real will?  A. Well, I think that shows clearly that it was not the case. As I said before, and this is the theme in the three meetings, they were collegiate in nature.  Q. Was this a widows and orphans job? Were these senior police officers effectively intimidated into agreeing?  A. I don't think that they were people to be intimidated.  Q. Can we move to the meeting of 15 May briskly, on the transparency of communications point, can we put up B264, please, about two thirds of the way down the page, the Commissioner of Police asks, "Can	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Commissioner of Police interjects, "That provides them with sufficient data to" you interject, "Seriously, Superintendent, seriously a detailed account." Mr Rocca, "To assess your involvement, they will then postpone the meeting." You then say, "They will then postpone the meeting, the interview on Monday, they will review it they may come back to you with a" and it carries on in that vein. Do you agree that you were flagging up explicitly that you were going to communicate to Mr Baglietto the outcome of that meeting and you rehearsed the message that you were going to put to him?  A. Absolutely.  Q. So we have been to the relaxed conversation already, I will not take you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	being to any degree explicitly or implicitly being imposed on the RPG against their real will?  A. Well, I think that shows clearly that it was not the case. As I said before, and this is the theme in the three meetings, they were collegiate in nature.  Q. Was this a widows and orphans job? Were these senior police officers effectively intimidated into agreeing?  A. I don't think that they were people to be intimidated.  Q. Can we move to the meeting of 15 May briskly, on the transparency of communications point, can we put up B264, please, about two thirds of the way down the page, the Commissioner of Police asks, "Can we recap," and you say, "Yes, this is how I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Commissioner of Police interjects, "That provides them with sufficient data to" you interject, "Seriously, Superintendent, seriously a detailed account." Mr Rocca, "To assess your involvement, they will then postpone the meeting." You then say, "They will then postpone the meeting, the interview on Monday, they will review it they may come back to you with a" and it carries on in that vein. Do you agree that you were flagging up explicitly that you were going to communicate to Mr Baglietto the outcome of that meeting and you rehearsed the message that you were going to put to him?  A. Absolutely.  Q. So we have been to the relaxed conversation already, I will not take you there again, I think we have been there today
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	being to any degree explicitly or implicitly being imposed on the RPG against their real will?  A. Well, I think that shows clearly that it was not the case. As I said before, and this is the theme in the three meetings, they were collegiate in nature.  Q. Was this a widows and orphans job? Were these senior police officers effectively intimidated into agreeing?  A. I don't think that they were people to be intimidated.  Q. Can we move to the meeting of 15 May briskly, on the transparency of communications point, can we put up B264, please, about two thirds of the way down the page, the Commissioner of Police asks, "Can we recap," and you say, "Yes, this is how I understand the position. Mark, are you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Commissioner of Police interjects, "That provides them with sufficient data to" you interject, "Seriously, Superintendent, seriously a detailed account." Mr Rocca, "To assess your involvement, they will then postpone the meeting." You then say, "They will then postpone the meeting, the interview on Monday, they will review it they may come back to you with a" and it carries on in that vein. Do you agree that you were flagging up explicitly that you were going to communicate to Mr Baglietto the outcome of that meeting and you rehearsed the message that you were going to put to him?  A. Absolutely.  Q. So we have been to the relaxed conversation already, I will not take you there again, I think we have been there today already on page B236. We have also been to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	being to any degree explicitly or implicitly being imposed on the RPG against their real will?  A. Well, I think that shows clearly that it was not the case. As I said before, and this is the theme in the three meetings, they were collegiate in nature.  Q. Was this a widows and orphans job? Were these senior police officers effectively intimidated into agreeing?  A. I don't think that they were people to be intimidated.  Q. Can we move to the meeting of 15 May briskly, on the transparency of communications point, can we put up B264, please, about two thirds of the way down the page, the Commissioner of Police asks, "Can we recap," and you say, "Yes, this is how I understand the position. Mark, are you making notes?" That is the Commissioner of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Commissioner of Police interjects, "That provides them with sufficient data to" you interject, "Seriously, Superintendent, seriously a detailed account." Mr Rocca, "To assess your involvement, they will then postpone the meeting." You then say, "They will then postpone the meeting, the interview on Monday, they will review it they may come back to you with a" and it carries on in that vein. Do you agree that you were flagging up explicitly that you were going to communicate to Mr Baglietto the outcome of that meeting and you rehearsed the message that you were going to put to him?  A. Absolutely.  Q. So we have been to the relaxed conversation already, I will not take you there again, I think we have been there today already on page B236. We have also been to the "we believe on B237, so I will not go
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	being to any degree explicitly or implicitly being imposed on the RPG against their real will?  A. Well, I think that shows clearly that it was not the case. As I said before, and this is the theme in the three meetings, they were collegiate in nature.  Q. Was this a widows and orphans job? Were these senior police officers effectively intimidated into agreeing?  A. I don't think that they were people to be intimidated.  Q. Can we move to the meeting of 15 May briskly, on the transparency of communications point, can we put up B264, please, about two thirds of the way down the page, the Commissioner of Police asks, "Can we recap," and you say, "Yes, this is how I understand the position. Mark, are you making notes?" That is the Commissioner of Police asking Mr Wyan whether he is making	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Commissioner of Police interjects, "That provides them with sufficient data to" you interject, "Seriously, Superintendent, seriously a detailed account." Mr Rocca, "To assess your involvement, they will then postpone the meeting." You then say, "They will then postpone the meeting, the interview on Monday, they will review it they may come back to you with a" and it carries on in that vein. Do you agree that you were flagging up explicitly that you were going to communicate to Mr Baglietto the outcome of that meeting and you rehearsed the message that you were going to put to him?  A. Absolutely.  Q. So we have been to the relaxed conversation already, I will not take you there again, I think we have been there today already on page B236. We have also been to the "we believe on B237, so I will not go there again. Can we put up B238? In the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	being to any degree explicitly or implicitly being imposed on the RPG against their real will?  A. Well, I think that shows clearly that it was not the case. As I said before, and this is the theme in the three meetings, they were collegiate in nature.  Q. Was this a widows and orphans job? Were these senior police officers effectively intimidated into agreeing?  A. I don't think that they were people to be intimidated.  Q. Can we move to the meeting of 15 May briskly, on the transparency of communications point, can we put up B264, please, about two thirds of the way down the page, the Commissioner of Police asks, "Can we recap," and you say, "Yes, this is how I understand the position. Mark, are you making notes?" That is the Commissioner of Police asking Mr Wyan whether he is making notes, "yes, sir." You, "I will call Lewis	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Commissioner of Police interjects, "That provides them with sufficient data to" you interject, "Seriously, Superintendent, seriously a detailed account." Mr Rocca, "To assess your involvement, they will then postpone the meeting." You then say, "They will then postpone the meeting, the interview on Monday, they will review it they may come back to you with a" and it carries on in that vein. Do you agree that you were flagging up explicitly that you were going to communicate to Mr Baglietto the outcome of that meeting and you rehearsed the message that you were going to put to him?  A. Absolutely.  Q. So we have been to the relaxed conversation already, I will not take you there again, I think we have been there today already on page B236. We have also been to the "we believe on B237, so I will not go there again. Can we put up B238? In the middle your dialogue box, you say, "We
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	being to any degree explicitly or implicitly being imposed on the RPG against their real will?  A. Well, I think that shows clearly that it was not the case. As I said before, and this is the theme in the three meetings, they were collegiate in nature.  Q. Was this a widows and orphans job? Were these senior police officers effectively intimidated into agreeing?  A. I don't think that they were people to be intimidated.  Q. Can we move to the meeting of 15 May briskly, on the transparency of communications point, can we put up B264, please, about two thirds of the way down the page, the Commissioner of Police asks, "Can we recap," and you say, "Yes, this is how I understand the position. Mark, are you making notes?" That is the Commissioner of Police asking Mr Wyan whether he is making notes, "yes, sir." You, "I will call Lewis Baglietto, okay? Stop me if I am saying	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Commissioner of Police interjects, "That provides them with sufficient data to" you interject, "Seriously, Superintendent, seriously a detailed account." Mr Rocca, "To assess your involvement, they will then postpone the meeting." You then say, "They will then postpone the meeting, the interview on Monday, they will review it they may come back to you with a" and it carries on in that vein. Do you agree that you were flagging up explicitly that you were going to communicate to Mr Baglietto the outcome of that meeting and you rehearsed the message that you were going to put to him?  A. Absolutely.  Q. So we have been to the relaxed conversation already, I will not take you there again, I think we have been there today already on page B236. We have also been to the "we believe on B237, so I will not go there again. Can we put up B238? In the middle your dialogue box, you say, "We think this gives us obviously we're going
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	being to any degree explicitly or implicitly being imposed on the RPG against their real will?  A. Well, I think that shows clearly that it was not the case. As I said before, and this is the theme in the three meetings, they were collegiate in nature.  Q. Was this a widows and orphans job? Were these senior police officers effectively intimidated into agreeing?  A. I don't think that they were people to be intimidated.  Q. Can we move to the meeting of 15 May briskly, on the transparency of communications point, can we put up B264, please, about two thirds of the way down the page, the Commissioner of Police asks, "Can we recap," and you say, "Yes, this is how I understand the position. Mark, are you making notes?" That is the Commissioner of Police asking Mr Wyan whether he is making notes, "yes, sir." You, "I will call Lewis	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Commissioner of Police interjects, "That provides them with sufficient data to" you interject, "Seriously, Superintendent, seriously a detailed account." Mr Rocca, "To assess your involvement, they will then postpone the meeting." You then say, "They will then postpone the meeting, the interview on Monday, they will review it they may come back to you with a" and it carries on in that vein. Do you agree that you were flagging up explicitly that you were going to communicate to Mr Baglietto the outcome of that meeting and you rehearsed the message that you were going to put to him?  A. Absolutely.  Q. So we have been to the relaxed conversation already, I will not take you there again, I think we have been there today already on page B236. We have also been to the "we believe on B237, so I will not go there again. Can we put up B238? In the middle your dialogue box, you say, "We
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	being to any degree explicitly or implicitly being imposed on the RPG against their real will?  A. Well, I think that shows clearly that it was not the case. As I said before, and this is the theme in the three meetings, they were collegiate in nature.  Q. Was this a widows and orphans job? Were these senior police officers effectively intimidated into agreeing?  A. I don't think that they were people to be intimidated.  Q. Can we move to the meeting of 15 May briskly, on the transparency of communications point, can we put up B264, please, about two thirds of the way down the page, the Commissioner of Police asks, "Can we recap," and you say, "Yes, this is how I understand the position. Mark, are you making notes?" That is the Commissioner of Police asking Mr Wyan whether he is making notes, "yes, sir." You, "I will call Lewis Baglietto, okay? Stop me if I am saying	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Commissioner of Police interjects, "That provides them with sufficient data to" you interject, "Seriously, Superintendent, seriously a detailed account." Mr Rocca, "To assess your involvement, they will then postpone the meeting." You then say, "They will then postpone the meeting, the interview on Monday, they will review it they may come back to you with a" and it carries on in that vein. Do you agree that you were flagging up explicitly that you were going to communicate to Mr Baglietto the outcome of that meeting and you rehearsed the message that you were going to put to him?  A. Absolutely.  Q. So we have been to the relaxed conversation already, I will not take you there again, I think we have been there today already on page B236. We have also been to the "we believe on B237, so I will not go there again. Can we put up B238? In the middle your dialogue box, you say, "We think this gives us obviously we're going

1 that this gives us not a way out but a way in 1 take one or two views, you take the view that 2 2 which you can obtain the information that either that okay, he's answered all the 3 3 you need to obtain to do your investigation questions we've got for him or no, it doesn't, 4 4 and we are totally supportive of you on the we still need to interview him and that 5 need for that interview, but it will allow you 5 interview were to happen after would be 6 to get that information in a way that you 6 under caution." Mr Richardson interjects, 7 7 "Would be under caution." You say, "Would might not otherwise get, certainly not on 8 8 Monday." Then it goes on a bit and in the be under caution." Do you believe at that 9 9 point that you were resisting the possibility bottom line, "If there's an alternative way to 10 do it, where you get ...." and the 10 of an interview under caution should the 11 Commissioner of Police intercedes, "I think 11 written statement not satisfy the RGP? 12 12 it's not --- I think it's also not in his interests A. Not at all. 13 13 Q. Did you feel that the RGP felt obliged to for this to explode, it's not only us," and you 14 14 accept this interim measure, this thing that say, "I agree, it's not in anybody's interests. 15 The Commissioner of Police says, "I don't 15 Superintendent Richardson himself described 16 want to feel cornered because this is not a 16 as an interim measure? 17 17 question," and Superintendent Richardson A. Not at all. 18 says, "I agree, agreed." Mr Rocca, the DPP 18 Q. Then at B255 at 5647 the DPP requires 19 says, "I agree," and you say, "Absolutely." 19 an explanation from Haime, it requires an 20 Did you get any sense in that moment in time 20 explanation from Haime, Mr Levy, and Mr 21 21 that there was anything other than collegiate Llamas, "We all agree on that, we all agree, 22 22 it's just this damned suspect caution thing, consensus unobtained by pressure or 23 23 cajoling? now I understand the reason why you've 24 24 A. That is exactly what I thought it was. done it, that with Christian and we would 25 Q. If you go over the page, a few pages, at 25 have done it --- we wouldn't have done it that Page 245 Page 247 1 241, again at 1933, Mr Rocca says, "If you 1 way, and then, Ian, I was starting to like your 2 give a witness statement or a statement, it's 2 idea of the written --- you provide further 3 3 information to him on why you want it." The cooperative rather than under caution, all that 4 4 automatically becomes disclosure so that Commissioner of Police "(Inaudible) 5 5 there's a legal discussion." Mr Wyan somehow or another to engage, get him intercedes, Mr Rocca, "Exactly but it would 6 engaged," and you say, "Okay, so ..." and the 6 7 be part of the disclosure bundle," and the 7 Commissioner of Police interjects, "It's in our 8 8 Commissioner of Police says, "That's one interest. If it wasn't in our interest, then, 9 9 option," and then you intercede, "Wait, so look, it's either that or we go [Spanish]," the 10 one option is a written statement 10 loose translation means we throw the book at 11 'volunteered' by Mr Levy before Monday, by 11 him or whatever, and you say, "Exactly." Is 12 JL before Monday or by Monday, then what 12 that a fair characterisation of the nature of the 13 do you do?" and Mr Richardson answers 13 conversation and of the collected search for 14 14 your questions about what you do. Do you an outcome that ticked the four boxes that 15 15 think that --- do you recognise that as being you described at the top? 16 16 you catching up with an option that had been A. It is. 17 rehearsed in your presence by others? 17 (16.30)18 18 A. I agree. Q. And I am going to now just be a little bit 19 19 more selective. There are lots of these, but Q. And B242 you say in the middle of the 20 20 page, "Well, a written statement which he the chairman can see them for himself. So, I 21 gives to you on Monday doesn't go to the 21 am just going to go forward to page 260, just 22 22 interview, this is instead of the interview," so that we can see that. The second box at 23 and Mr Richardson says, "It like an interim 23 the top of the page, you say: 24 measure, it's not the end," and you say, 24 "Hold on. Hold on guys, let's carry on. He 25 25 sends you a statement. You then reply to "Okay then, you consider it and then you Page 246 Page 248

62 (Pages 245 to 248)

1 him, 'Saying in view of the statement we 1 that have been put to you this morning, 2 2 shall delay the interview." Mr Wagner asked you whether or not at 3 3 Commissioner: "Postpone." meetings why were there no safeguards to 4 4 DPP: "To consider the matter in your ensure that the Chief Minister did not 5 statement." 5 influence the investigation. Do you recall 6 Mr Llamas, you: "Then?" 6 him asking you that? Why he had not --7 Mr Rocca: "He may be able to obtain 7 8 8 Q. Were you aware, do you have a view, documents." 9 "That would be very helpful", interjects the 9 about whether the Chief Minister was 10 10 Commissioner. interfering with or influencing the 11 Mr Wyan: "That would be essential, I would 11 investigation? 12 12 say so." A. Not at all. 13 13 Q. Did you have a view that it was? Did you The Commissioner: "Yes, supporting 14 14 documents." have a view that he was not? 15 Superintendent Richardson: "The file for 36 15 A. Not at all. 16 North, the money that they lent them, the 16 Q. Was there anything for you to safeguard 17 17 investment." against in your judgment at that time? 18 You say: "Hold on. Then you consider the 18 A. Not at all. 19 statement and then what happens next?" 19 Q. And again he put to you a few moments 20 The Commissioner: "We evaluate whether it 20 later, as the guardian of the public interest, 21 is, like Paul said, robust enough where we 21 why did you not try to stop him from 22 think, 'Okay we are happy with that, he has 22 interfering ... from influencing the 23 given us that, he is a witness." 23 investigation? Same answer? 24 24 Inspector Wyan: "His value as a witness." A. Absolutely. 25 Superintendent Richardson: "That I would be 25 Q. So my learned friend Mr Wagner also put Page 249 Page 251 1 happy with." 1 to you when he was asking you about 2 2 You, Mr Llamas: "Exactly." reputational matters whether ... I think this is 3 3 And then there is all that exchange about this still Mr Wagner, yes. He put to you that 4 4 thing that has to go away, meaning, do you effectively this case, this prosecution, was 5 5 prevented from going its course. Did you do think that is a reference to the threats against 6 anything to stop the investigation? 6 Mr Richardson, the lines that follow after 7 7 that about all that other S-H-I-T has to go A. Nothing at all. 8 8 away? I cannot quite bring myself to Q. So, when the Chief Minister in your 9 9 pronounce it. Okay. Is that a fair presence said what he said to Mr McGrail on 10 representation of the agreement that had been 10 12 May, which is described by Mr McGrail 11 11 and others as the berating meeting, or the come to? 12 A. Yes, it is. 12 meeting at which he was berated, what was 13 13 your view of what the Chef Minister was Q. Freely in your view. 14 14 A. Indeed. 15 Q. And at page 261, does the Commissioner 15 A. He was just expressing his views on what 16 of Police there describe it: "This is a tactical 16 had happened in a heated moment of, as it 17 decision that we take. We consult you. Say 17 was, as I have described it already. 18 18 Q. He disagreed strongly with the actions of yes as a team, as a team, Chris." Do you see 19 19 that? the RGP? 20 20 A. Yes. A. Yes, absolutely. 21 Q. Does that sound to you like a cajoled, 21 Q. He was critical of them. 22 pressurised Commissioner of Police? 22 A. Yes, and he gave a list of reasons why. 23 23 A. It does not. Q. He was criticising the RGP. 24 Q. Okay. All right, thank you, I will move 24 A. Yes. 25 on from that. So going to some of the issues 25 Q. And in your view is that tantamount to Page 250 Page 252

63 (Pages 249 to 252)

1 interference in the investigation? 1 A. I don't think it's improper. 2 A. Not in my view, no. 2 Q. And does your view alter because the 3 Q. Did Mr McGrail express the view at that 3 lawyer for the suspect may have had 4 meeting that it was? 4 an interest in ... is that a matter for you? 5 A. No, not that I remember. 5 A. Not at all. 6 Q. Did he explain how this might adversely 6 Q. Do you know whether Mr Baglietto was 7 7 affect the investigation? a suspect? 8 A. Not that I recall. 8 A. No, I didn't think so, no. 9 9 Q. Did you think that whatever it is that the Q. So, regardless of whether you have 10 Chief Minister said, appropriate or otherwise, 10 an obligation to report to them or be 11 and we hear a lot about inappropriate 11 transparent and regardless of the extent to 12 language, inappropriate this, inappropriate 12 which the chairman may take the view that 13 that, we are supposed to be investigating 13 you were transparent at these meetings about 14 Mr McGrail's retirement and the reasons that 14 contacts or not with Mr Baglietto, in any 15 led to it, whatever it is that he said, whatever 15 event your view is that the communications view may be taken about whether a Chief 16 16 were entirely proper, both as facts and in 17 Minister should or should not speak to 17 nature? 18 a Commissioner of Police like that, did in 18 A. Yes. 19 your view it involve him involving himself in 19 Q. Were any of those communications, 20 the investigation? 20 whether they were proper or improper, 21 A. Not at all. 21 interference in the investigation of the 22 Q. Okay. And what reason would you have 22 Operation Delhi investigation? 23 had then, as you were asked by my learned 23 A. No, they were all moments when what 24 friends this morning, to tell him not to 24 was agreed in the meetings was transmitted 25 interfere in an investigation? 25 to them to help the investigation move Page 253 Page 255 1 A. None. 1 forward on the terms that had been agreed. 2 Q. Because you did not believe that he was. 2 Or in the case of the meeting of the 20th, to 3 3 A. Exactly. complain about how Hassans had distorted 4 4 Q. Is it your job, do you think, to stop the statements. 5 5 Q. Yes. In the context of your "don't worry" a Chief Minister in your presence from being 6 critical of police action in an investigation? 6 comment to Mr Levy, apart from what 7 7 emerges in these meetings that we have 8 8 Q. In your opinion and to this day are you of discussed already, did you do, suggest or say 9 9 the view that it is appropriate or anything to anyone to protect Mr Levy from 10 inappropriate for you to have communicated 10 the police investigation between April 2020 11 in whatever way you did with Mr Baglietto? 11 and October 2020? 12 A. I don't think it was inappropriate and 12 A. Nothing at all. 13 certainly not the type of communication that 13 Q. You were asked whether you never 14 14 I was having. considered the legal perspectives of 15 Q. Mr Baglietto was the lawyer for 15 Operation Delhi. What legal perspectives 16 16 a suspect. were there that you might have needed to 17 17 consider? A. Correct. 18 18 Q. And do you have a view that lawyers' A. I don't know. 19 19 suspects should not be allowed to Q. Was anybody that you could see 20 20 communicate with the Attorney General to interfering in the investigation, other than 21 make complaints about police behaviour in 21 you? You had said you were not yourself. 22 their investigation of them? 22 You said the Chief Minister was not. You 23 23 were not. A. It happens. 24 Q. Do you have a view about whether that is 24 A. No. 25 25 Q. Could that be the legal perspective that proper or improper? Page 254 Page 256

64 (Pages 253 to 256)

1 1 A. The governor? was being suggested to you? 2 2 Q. The government, sorry, in relation to --A. I don't know. 3 3 Q. So all these things that you omitted to do, A. No. 4 in questioning by my learned friends earlier 4 Q. -- any of these matters. 5 today, are premised on their case theory 5 A. No. 6 Q. So, in your mind how does the question 6 supposition that there was an obligation for 7 7 you to discharge that you had failed to. That of your ability or inability to advise both 8 8 conceivably arise? was implicit in their questioning. 9 9 A. I don't, no. A. It was. 10 10 Q. Now, reverting briefly to the meeting of 7 Q. Do you share the view implicit in their 11 questions? 11 April, you will recall that you were asked or 12 A. Not at all. 12 it was suggested to you that this was private 13 Q. So, just to give you an opportunity to 13 advice and that private advice was within the 14 make sure that you understood the questions, 14 definition of interference. Do you remember 15 you were asked by my learned friend 15 that being put to you? 16 Mr Gibbs whether it was possible for you to 16 A. Yes. 17 17 advise both the Chief Minister and the Q. Okay. So, given that private advice, 18 government. Do you remember him asking 18 according to the questioner, equals you that? interference, did you give private advice at 19 19 20 20 the meeting of 7 April? You were asked A. The Chief Minister and the government, 21 21 whether it was legal advice and you said that yes. 22 Q. Yes. What situation was there that 22 it was not. But were you giving advice in 23 23 required you to advise both? a personal capacity or as Attorney General? 24 A. I don't know. 24 A. I think it was in my ... from the position 25 25 Q. Sorry? of being Attorney General, as I said, I think I Page 257 Page 259 1 A. I don't know. 1 have a more panoramic view of what is 2 Q. Was there a dispute between the Chief 2 happening in the jurisdiction and I was just 3 3 Minister and the government? giving friendly advice on the basis of that. 4 4 Q. Are you aware of Mr Richardson's A. No. 5 5 Q. Was there a conflict between their evidence? Are you familiar with 6 6 Mr Richardson's evidence? interests? 7 7 A. No, not that I was aware of. A. Um, I have heard parts of it and I have 8 8 Q. Perhaps we can explore, the suggestion in read parts of it, not --9 9 argument is that the Chief Minister was Q. Are you aware that he has given evidence 10 heavily conflicted --10 orally in respect of the meeting of 7 April 11 11 A. Yes. that there was no, nothing on your part, that 12 Q. -- so that his personal interests in 36 12 amounted to interference by you in the 13 13 North through this Astelon company. investigation at that meeting? 14 14 A. Yes. A. Now that you have mentioned it again, 15 15 Q. Did the Chief Minister seek your advice yes. 16 16 in relation to anything connected with his Q. Yes. So whether it is private advice or 17 interest in 36 North? 17 not, and regardless of any of my learned 18 friends' views about whether private advice 18 A. No. 19 Q. Did you consider yourself as an adviser 19 equals interference, Mr Richardson appears 20 20 not to have thought that it was interference. of the Chief Minister in relation to do 21 anything with his private affairs? 21 Do you agree? 22 22 A. Yes. A. No. 23 Q. Did the Chief Minister seek your advice? 23 Q. And could Mr Richardson have 24 A. No. 24 expressed, given the evidence on oath that in 25 25 his view there had been no interference at the Q. Did the government seek your advice? Page 258 Page 260

65 (Pages 257 to 260)

1 meeting on 7 April, if you had tried to 1 will correct me, did you receive 2 prevent them at that meeting from 2 representations by the defence counsel for 3 3 proceeding with a plan to execute a search the ex-Delhi defendants inviting you to 4 4 discontinue the prosecution against them? 5 5 A. Yes. A. Not ... it wouldn't be possible. 6 6 Q. And did you accept or reject that request? Q. So if at the meeting of 7 April you had 7 7 sought to dissuade the police from A. I rejected all of them. 8 8 proceeding with plans to execute a search Q. Why? This was your opportunity to 9 9 warrant, in that view, in your view, that protect Mr Sanchez and protect the Chief 10 10 would have been interference. Minister and protect Mr Levy. Why did you 11 A. Yes. 11 not accept that? 12 12 THE CHAIRMAN: It was not for protecting Q. Mr Richardson did not think that you had 13 13 interfered. After the meeting on 6 April or Mr Levy at that stage because the 14 14 during ... I beg your pardon, I am not sure I proceedings had been discontinued. 15 15 have been saying 6 April before, 7 April. SIR PETER CARUANA: Already 16 Did, during or after the meeting of 7 April, 16 discontinued, thank you, sir. That is 17 chronologically correct. Scratch Mr Levy 17 Mr McGrail ever complain to you about your 18 18 from that. behaviour in it? Did he ever characterise 19 19 A. None. your conduct in it as interference or potential 20 20 Q. And in the meeting of, in the main interference? Did he have any complaint at 21 meetings with Mr McGrail when he raised on 21 all to put to you about whatever transpired at 22 22 the meeting of 7 April? several occasions with you the issue of nolle 23 23 and you have the power, you have the magic A. Not at all. On the contrary, the following 24 24 day he sent an email to the financial secretary wand, you have already alluded to it in your 25 evidence, did you take up Mr McGrail's 25 asking him the question on ownership, which Page 261 Page 263 1 he described as being a key issue in the 1 almost taunting invitations for you to enter 2 2 a nolle at that stage? investigation and an integral part of what 3 3 they were doing. A. No. 4 4 Q. So what do you think, what explanation Q. To protect them all with one swipe of the 5 5 pen. You could have protected the Chief might there be for the orchestration, the 6 Minister. You could have protected 6 mantra that arises now, about the meeting of 7 7 Mr Levy. You could have protected my 7 April having been somehow 8 8 an inappropriate meeting? learned friend Mr Cooper's clients. You 9 9 A. I find it bizarre. could have --10 Q. Okay. I would just like to spend a few 10 A. Exactly. Never. 11 11 moments with you on nolle related issues, Q. Why did you not? 12 Mr Llamas. So, before the meeting of 13 12 A. Because it was ... it had not crossed my 13 13 mind that the nolle was relevant here. There May, before the meeting of 13 May, had 14 14 there been any discussion between you and was nothing to suggest that the nolle was 15 15 Mr McGrail about nolle in the same sentence relevant here and it had just, it started, I 16 16 as Operation Delhi? mean, as I say in my second witness 17 17 statement, his comments on the nolle took A. Never. 18 18 Q. And during the meeting of 13 May, who the DPP and me by surprise. If I may add, in 19 19 raised the question of nolle and his cross-examination of Mr Rocca the other 20 20 discontinuance? day, Mr Wagner claimed that each time that 21 21 Mr McGrail offered the nolle it was in A. Mr McGrail. 22 22 Q. Did you receive representations made by relation to, as a reaction I think he said, to 23 23 defence counsel, you will forgive me if I cite my comments of, "I shall die" and death. But 24 it, it may have been Mr Cooper or his 24 if you look, if we can bring up B, I think it is 25 25 B118. instructing solicitors, I do not remember, he Page 262 Page 264

66 (Pages 261 to 264)

1 THE CHAIRMAN: I think it is better if you 1 Q. Confidentially. 2 leave the presentation of the argument to --2 A. Confidentially. 3 3 A. Okay, sorry, sir. Q. And on the political front --4 4 THE CHAIRMAN: -- Sir Peter really. 5 5 Q. -- did you share it with anybody, your A. Okay, so the answer ... yes. 6 SIR PETER CARUANA: Yes, arguments 6 reasons for entering the nolle at that time? 7 7 A. Yes, I shared it with the leader of the can come in closing. 8 8 A. Yes. opposition and with Mr Marlene 9 9 Q. I am just putting to you questions. I give Hassan-Nahon, who was the leader of 10 10 you an opportunity to speak. Together Gibraltar at the time. 11 A. I had --11 Q. Who was then the leader of her own party 12 12 Q. It was on factual terms, not in argument. with a seat in the Parliament. 13 A. Not only was he offering me the 13 A. In Parliament, yes. opportunity, but he said, "I don't care." 14 14 Q. Separate from the official opposition. 15 15 Q. When eventually you entered a nolle, sir, A. Yes. 16 I am going to be very careful not to stray 16 Q. And by it I mean the reasons why you 17 17 had entered the nolle. beyond the question that I put already to 18 Mr Rocca there. 18 A. The reasons, yes. Not the actual report. 19 19 Q. Yes, okay. Okay, thank you. And I will THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. This is quite 20 dangerous territory. 20 finish before 5, sir. I may have finished 21 SIR PETER CARUANA: Yes. When you 21 already. (Pause). Yes, just one more short 22 22 point in relation to your role in the removal eventually entered a nolle prosequi in 2022, 23 23 was that for reasons that had been brought to from office and the circumstances leading to 24 24 Mr McGrail's retirement. Mr McGrail has your attention by somebody else? 25 25 said in his written evidence, paragraph 62 of A. Yes, as I said, the --Page 265 Page 267 1 Q. You do not have to tell us what the 1 his first, that you discussed his removal with 2 2 the Chief Minister and Mr Pyle in the period reasons were. 3 3 A. Yes. 15 to 18 May 2020. Did you? 4 4 A. That's not true. Q. Yes? 5 5 Q. Did you play any part in the decision A. Yes. Q. And was that in Mr Rocca's office? 6 6 making that led to Mr McGrail's premature 7 A. Yes. 7 retirement from his post other than in your 8 8 Q. And can you just say whether those capacity as a legal adviser to the governor? 9 9 reasons affected any of the dramatis A. Only in that capacity. 10 personae, any of the people involved, in this 10 Q. And I suppose I should add, and adding 11 11 inquiry, that had been mentioned in this your own expressions. 12 inquiry, any of the issues that we are 12 A. And my own letter of 5 June, absolutely. 13 13 investigating, any of the alleged Q. Yes. Did you participate also in the 14 14 inappropriate behaviour? Does it affect ... subsequent discussion of retirement terms? 15 15 were they related to any of that? A. I think I was involved, I was called into 16 16 A. None whatsoever. one of the meetings. 17 Q. Well, without saying what the reasons 17 Q. Do you recall what was being discussed? 18 18 are, did you share your reasons with a group Was it terms generally or pension terms or 19 19 of selected people confidentially? 20 20 A. Very small group. Including the DPP. A. It was financial terms. Whether it was 21 21 Q. Was one of them actually the pension or other things, I can't remember. 22 22 complainant in the Operation Delhi, Q. And who was that meeting with? Do you

67 (Pages 265 to 268)

A. It was in the Chief Secretary's office

Page 268

because that would have been a matter for

Mr Gaggero?

meeting with him.

A. I had shared my reasons in a short

Page 266

23

24

25

remember?

23

24

25

1 the Chief Secretary. And I think Mr Pyle 1 Q. Well, look, Mr Llamas, you say: "I am 2 was there and the Chief Minister. It is in the 2 sure and if it is the case I would ask you to 3 3 evidence. I just do not remember where it is. get it out of this as soon as possible." Is not 4 Q. And was that in an advisory capacity or 4 what is happening there that Mr McGrail is 5 a participant capacity? 5 not referring to a nolle at all because there is 6 6 no prosecution, he is referring to the DPP's A. No, I was just called in. 7 7 discretion to not prosecute? O. Okay. 8 SIR PETER CARUANA: Thank you, sir. I 8 A. But this --9 9 think I can leave it there. Q. And in which case you are saying: "I 10 10 THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. would ask you to get it out as soon as 11 MR WAGNER: Sir, I have one final 11 possible." 12 question, and it really will take two minutes, 12 A. No, that's not my recollection. And if 13 13 a proper two minutes, if I may. you want to go, since you have raised this 14 14 SIR PETER CARUANA: I am not sure you issue again --15 15 are entitled to (inaudible). Q. You raised it, Mr Llamas. 16 THE CHAIRMAN: Carry on. 16 A. -- if you go to B118 you can see it there 17 17 MR WAGNER: Can I just take you, please, as well, which comes well before this. SIR PETER CARUANA: In fact there is 18 18 Mr Llamas, to page B188. This is the 13 19 19 May meeting. Just a little bit further down. (inaudible). 20 20 THE CHAIRMAN: I think he mentions it Do you see where you say: 21 21 "In my view, just a view, completely unjust, three times. 22 22 unjustifiable to me, that this man should ever A. Yes. 23 23 THE CHAIRMAN: But I have the point. be appearing on a formal document. I will 24 24 know, if it is knowledge, it is illegitimate." We can all look at the transcript. 25 25 We have seen all that: "I shall fight until I A. Exactly. Page 269 Page 271 1 die." Then the Commissioner of Police says: 1 MR WAGNER: All right, thank you. 2 "The thing is, you have the magic wand here. 2 MR SANTOS: Very briefly, I am just 3 3 You have it." Now, is that the bit where you conscious that the message between the 4 4 think that he is referring to the nolle? Attorney General and his son has not yet 5 5 A. That is one of the bits. been disclosed and I just want to ... sorry, it 6 has not been disclosed to CPs because it has 6 Q. Now, is it right to say that you can only 7 discontinue a prosecution once it has started? 7 not been redacted yet. But I just want to 8 8 A. Yes. clarify ... it has not been disclosed. There is 9 9 Q. You do not have any power during a 14 May ... there are two messages on 14 10 an investigation, apart from you can take 10 May. The first one is at 12.53 that says: 11 11 "Ready." That is eight minutes after the over the investigation, but you do not have 12 a power to discontinue an investigation. Is 12 message from Mr DeVincenzi referring to 13 13 Moshe Levy being there. that right? 14 A. Correct. 14 A. As a matter of common sense, that seems 15 15 to be the case, yes. Q. And then there is a subsequent message 16 16 Q. However, the DPP does have potentially at 13.08, so that is 23 minutes after the 17 a role to say, "This is not in the public 17 message from Mr DeVincenzi, saying: "On 18 interest. I am not going to prosecute this." 18 my way." 19 19 A. Yes, absolutely at that stage. A. I mean, I take your word for it. But those 20 Q. Mr McGrail says there: "The thing is, you 20 are the messages in question, yes. 21 have the magic wand here. You have it." 21 Q. And you say that it is on the basis of that 22 22 Did you think he was talking to you or to the that you could not have met Mr Baglietto --23 23 DPP? A. Well, I am using, in trying to recollect, I 24 A. I thought he was ... yes, he is replying to 24 am trying to find evidence for a meeting of 25 25 which I have no recollection. What I have Page 270 Page 272

68 (Pages 269 to 272)

1	worked out is that the last there is	1	Ullger
2	a 15-minute gap between the two messages		
3	from Mr DeVincenzi. There is this very		THE CHAID AND A 1 17 1 1
4	peculiar situation where he is sending me	2	THE CHAIRMAN: Looks like a short day
5	a WhatsApp when he is 10 metres away from		
6	my office, when it would be quicker to knock	3	to me, but
7	on my door. I assume that I was not in the		,
8	office from that. And then there is a message		
9	that I sent to my son. What was	4	MR SANTOS: and Field. I would hope
10	Q. Eight minutes later.		
11	A. Eight minutes later.	5	so.
12	Q. You say: "Ready."		
13	A. I think a meeting would have finished by		
14	then and then I would have set off from	6	THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, okay.
15	wherever I was to go into the car to send him		
16	the second message when I was already	7	MR SANTOS: Thank you.
17	sitting in the car.	'	
18	Q. That is 15 minutes later, yes.		
19	A. Correct.	8	(17.02)
20	Q. I mean, it is conceivable that you could		
21	have sent the message asking ready in the	9	(Adjourned until Tuesday, 30 April 2024 at
22	course of a meeting, but		(ridjourned until ruesday, 50 ripin 2021 at
23	A. It's unlikely.		
24	Q it is eight minutes after the message	10	10 am)
25	from Mr DeVincenzi.		
23	nom wil be vincenzi.		
	Page 273		Page 275
1	A. It's very unlikely, but it's possible, yes.		
2	Q. I just want, in fairness to everybody		
3	else		
4	A. Sure, sure.		
5	Q to put that across. Thank you,		
6	Mr Llamas.		
7	A. I was just trying to look for the best		
8	evidence I could find to confirm something		
9	that I had no recollection of that it did not		
10			
11	happen. Q. It is just because nobody else has seen		
12	this messages. I need to make these points.		
13	A. I appreciate that, of course.		
13	Q. Thank you.		
15	A. Of course, of course.		
16	MR SANTOS: Thank you. And thank you		
17	for		
18	THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much		
19	indeed.		
20	A. Not at all, a pleasure.		
21	(The witness withdrew)		
22	THE CHAIRMAN: Tuesday morning.		
23	MR SANTOS: Tuesday, yes.		
24	THE CHAIRMAN: 10 o'clock.		
25	MR SANTOS: Yes, we have Commissioner		
	Page 274		
I	1 age 217		

				1 age 270
<b>A</b>	86:16 92:8	112:6 121:10	100:5 112:6	253:7 266:14
A1301 160:22	112:10 114:12	125:13 181:19	118:6,22,24	affidavit 21:8,14
<b>A256</b> 135:7,14	115:1 116:11	211:7 235:24	119:10,10,11,12	24:10 135:16,17
<b>A270</b> 25:14 37:5	125:7,8,18 127:8	254:6	119:15,16,23	afoot 133:4
130:23	136:2 142:19	actions 216:9	120:6,7,14,17	afternoon 2:21
<b>A274</b> 63:11	177:25 178:10	225:17 243:13	123:24 124:23,25	36:15 94:23
<b>A275</b> 104:23	180:1 185:23	252:18	125:14 127:14	143:13 196:22,23
<b>A276</b> 115:18	198:3,10 201:9	activated 42:12	134:16,22 136:1	<b>AG</b> 37:23 38:7
<b>A288</b> 145:7,9	201:24 204:1	active 131:25	136:4 137:5	39:5 91:22 136:1
<b>A3</b> 21:7	205:12 208:2	acts 105:12	138:11 139:13	138:11
<b>A305</b> 91:12	209:8 211:8,9	actual 108:16	141:18 168:22	<b>AG's</b> 157:10
<b>abandon</b> 187:15	212:7 221:15	146:11 267:18	169:1,17,18	agency 107:14
abdication 212:4	222:23 232:18	add 11:8 91:18	170:5 179:11	116:5
ability 96:19	247:14 263:6,11	92:16 184:6	185:1,3 202:15	aggrieved 92:4
236:16 259:7	acceptance 223:3	264:18 268:10	202:17 203:15	188:12
able 11:2 31:23	accepted 117:10	added 128:9	205:1 206:25	ago 17:19 65:16
136:22 193:12	221:3	<b>adding</b> 268:10	224:15 258:15,23	202:16
225:5 249:7	access 236:16	addition 101:14	258:25 259:13,13	agree 11:25 57:7
abnormal 99:9	accessed 16:14	228:2	259:17,19,21,22	65:17 71:9,14,21
absolute 149:14	17:16 87:2	additionally	260:3,16,18	76:23 77:4,6
absolutely 14:25	accompli 27:21	128:24 204:6	advise 41:17 82:24	106:4 107:3
15:23 17:19 21:6	account 19:8 39:4	address 99:5	83:2 164:13	109:8 114:21,24
24:6 50:23 54:25	62:10 185:8	addressed 12:2	179:5,12 257:17	118:8 126:19
60:6 62:5,8	244:5	25:3 98:9 204:19	257:23 259:7	127:3 133:5
67:11 68:14	accounts 116:12	209:17	advised 8:4,7 38:7	134:24 137:2,8,9
101:7 112:17	117:2	adds 215:7	40:22,25 41:3	137:15 138:12
119:9 120:11	accurate 3:23	adequate 58:19,24	44:2 117:12	145:17 148:3,7
132:9 186:21	62:10 92:10	212:2	123:14 132:5,19	149:14 151:7,10
190:25 199:12	135:2 241:18	adequately 211:14	133:24 134:9	152:5,12 153:6
209:9 213:5	accurately 39:7	211:17	137:13 141:20	154:6,6,13,20
214:7,20 215:3	157:18	<b>Adjourned</b> 51:13	adviser 39:21	160:8 161:13
216:19 218:2,6	accusations 44:17	212:12 275:9	57:18 59:4 72:5	162:1 167:13
224:6,22 225:11	accusing 140:16	adjournment	131:4 199:5,8	177:2,4,9 199:25
230:3,10,13	achieve 95:6 153:4	92:24 143:9	258:19 268:8	203:16 204:11
240:21 241:25	193:17 224:1	administratively	advisers 48:5	211:18 219:11,18
243:5 244:16	229:19	120:3	advising 14:7 54:8	222:25 225:18
245:19 251:24	achieved 95:5	<b>admitted</b> 117:8 224:16	72:6 140:8	228:23 232:8
252:20 268:12	229:22	-	154:16 203:17	235:10 239:23 240:1 241:21
270:19	achieving 153:3 act 12:6 26:21	adopted 40:23 233:17	211:22 advisor 164:12	240:1 241:21 242:25 243:14
absurd 35:7	38:15,19 40:24	233:17 advance 47:17		242:25 243:14 244:11 245:14,18
127:24	41:23,25 42:4,12	203:8,10	advisory 59:21 269:4	244:11 243:14,18
abuse 4:10 96:13	41:23,23 42:4,12	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	advocate 230:4	247:21,21 260:21
96:21 97:7	121:17,21 177:20	advantage 20:2 adversely 253:6	advocated 230:4	agreed 14:13
abusive 232:10	acted 26:13	advice 8:11 39:7	advocating 153:6	48:11 95:21 99:4
academic 161:4	acting 53:25 54:1	40:21 42:7,24	153:8 225:15	104:9 112:15
accept 11:10 13:15	54:3	43:5,9 60:7,12	affairs 200:15	127:8 143:19
14:20 17:20	action 8:12 36:16	92:24 93:4,25	258:21	145:2 147:14,16
24:23 56:24 60:2	36:19 85:20	98:17 99:21	affect 193:10	165:6 189:5,6
61:19,23 62:2,3	30.17 03.20	70.11 77.21	uiice 1/3.10	100.0 107.0,0
			<u> </u>	<u> </u>

				Page 277
100.20 206.6	192.2 245.0	101.22 127.24	annuonviata 14.0	auuivaa 2.17
199:20 206:6 210:24 218:19,22	182:2 245:9 amendment	101:23 137:24 165:16 178:4	<b>appropriate</b> 14:9 77:5 78:9,17,24	arrives 3:17
		256:6 270:10	79:3 82:21	arriving 206:22 article 28:14,22
219:1,16 222:1,7 223:6 230:21	192:17,21,25 193:17		103:15,20 120:13	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		apologies 37:17	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	aside 91:21 140:25
231:4 232:25	amount 2:13	121:24	211:12 253:10	156:18
236:1 241:19	amounted 235:25	apologise 25:2	254:9	asked 9:5,6 10:16
243:7 245:18	260:12	65:7 167:21	appropriateness	11:19 40:13 70:3
255:24 256:1	amounts 160:7	171:22	12:22 99:22	70:11,18,22
agreeing 12:4	and-a-half 104:17	apologising 171:17	100:6	71:10,17 78:8
104:11 223:1	and/or 21:15	apparently 138:10	approval 174:8	81:16 97:22
242:11	231:3	157:7 218:14	<b>April</b> 1:2 24:20	106:5 107:11
agreement 126:21	anger 134:13	appeal 227:11	69:11,15,17	109:23 114:1
127:6 175:13	angle 78:12,20	appear 9:3 20:20	74:22 75:1 76:20	142:17 149:17
221:7 222:11	angrier 133:15	39:24 142:18	104:22 108:7	163:22 169:3
232:7 241:24	angrily 76:24 77:2	148:20	109:25 110:17,21	174:11 176:20
242:1 250:10	77:6 78:5 146:15	appearance	111:5,11,23	178:2 187:23
agrees 39:5 152:16	146:20 155:1,15	179:18	112:12 117:19,21	192:17 194:7
<b>Ah</b> 40:12	angry 13:19 77:9	appearances	124:23 125:19	206:24 208:6
ahead 31:6 35:10	77:16 78:23	179:19	145:3 168:20,20	209:15 211:11
48:6 151:6,12	79:10 81:24	appeared 28:15,22	171:5 175:14	213:14,18 214:6
152:4 175:7	83:19 133:7,9,12	63:19 96:24	202:23 203:11,18	243:17,21,22
196:18 223:18	138:5	225:15	205:22 210:3	251:2 253:23
229:3	announcing 243:2	appearing 269:23	221:8 223:6	256:13 257:15
aim 153:4	answer 18:14,17	appears 7:9 49:15	235:3 256:10	259:11,20
air 150:17	36:7 62:17 73:16	133:6 136:2	259:11,20 260:10	asking 3:13,14
airport 121:15	78:21 124:7	137:12,23 192:15	261:1,6,13,15,15	10:19 14:25 23:4
165:3,5,14	140:9,24 148:21	260:19	261:16,22 262:7	23:17 24:1 29:9
alarmed 109:20,20	156:11,24,25	applicable 161:2	275:9	36:8 54:22 73:7
alert 29:5	177:25 178:18	application 214:15	areas 192:11	126:11,17 127:23
allegation 238:9	190:8 193:15	applications 8:6,8	argue 96:18	133:10 138:18
allegations 4:10	194:9,13,14	137:15 207:2	argument 95:17	140:1 142:21
11:20,23 30:18	203:5 251:23	227:10	97:7 112:13	144:24 146:11
35:6 98:14 99:7	265:5	applies 214:12	134:23 258:9	156:8,11 169:4
99:10 131:6	answered 155:24	apply 47:9 174:3	265:2,12	171:25 226:14
157:6 158:17,21	156:1 178:3	174:19 175:9	arguments 96:14	239:3 240:3,6
185:4 206:23	193:25 215:22	214:9	215:14 265:6	241:9 242:22
207:18 223:16	218:25 247:2	appoint 38:8 43:13	arisen 39:22	251:6 252:1
227:6	answers 196:18	appointed 38:11	arises 262:6	257:18 261:25
allege 19:10 44:9	246:13	57:11 58:7,10,14	arising 117:5	273:21
alleged 75:12	anticipating 151:1	59:16 159:4	arm's 12:9 32:5	asks 159:3 242:18
266:13	anxious 74:13	appointment	armed 121:17	aspects 105:10
allow 45:4 153:17	anybody 85:15	57:21 189:9	122:1	assault 187:9,19
224:20 245:5	234:4,15,20	214:21,21	arrange 48:14	assembling 86:7
allowed 130:8,11	256:19 267:5	appreciate 96:2	arranging 12:23	assess 244:6
254:19	anybody's 245:14	97:6 274:13	arrest 208:11,11	assessment 187:5
alluded 263:24	anyway 80:4 100:1	approach 39:6	arrested 122:12	203:14 240:12
alluding 209:3	136:13 243:21	71:2,10 96:24	arresting 234:10	assist 159:17
alter 255:2	<b>Apache</b> 167:11	152:13 158:6,20	arrived 97:25	177:17
alternative 10:3	apart 26:24	233:17	181:17 238:22	assistance 127:1

				1 480 270
200:1	161:1 169:17	271:16	219:2 220:21	226:9 228:8
assisting 98:7	170:3 177:16	<b>B124</b> 238:3	227:12 230:24	235:19,21 236:13
assume 25:1	179:4 182:7,24	<b>B131</b> 238:21	232:10,19 233:6	bear 24:7 29:21
134:23 273:7	183:15 184:17	<b>B1365</b> 128:6	244:10	bears 170:20
assumed 19:3	197:24 198:24	<b>B1417</b> 28:12	backed 85:19	becoming 66:5
assuming 22:17	199:2,16,24	132:14	163:9	198:23 199:2
189:13	200:6 212:5	<b>B1418</b> 179:25	background 74:12	200:6
assumption 6:11	214:13,25 222:18	<b>B1419</b> 32:10 35:8	161:5	beg 220:1,19
22:20 138:17	236:22 237:24	129:5	backing 156:6,20	224:12 225:20
<b>Astelon</b> 69:21	254:20 259:23,25	<b>B1420</b> 40:8 48:11	bad 128:23 152:21	226:15 229:9
258:13	272:4	49:6	152:21	261:14
astonishment	Attorney-General	<b>B1436</b> 129:14	bag 227:8	began 51:24 52:3
145:1	18:9 56:7,9	<b>B148</b> 239:14	Baglietto 1:10,11	182:16
astute 205:15	61:13	<b>B153</b> 240:25	2:7,8,9,20,22	beginning 44:20
atmosphere	attributed 95:13	<b>B156</b> 240:2	4:23 5:1,15 7:2,8	141:3 151:8,10
152:21 241:22	authorities 56:18	<b>B164</b> 144:13	7:25 8:6 9:1,6	182:6 221:19
attached 24:16	authority 18:13	<b>B1777</b> 137:18	10:12,22,24 11:7	begins 108:3
38:19	37:24 41:4,6,12	<b>B180</b> 192:7	12:6,9,13 13:2	144:13 150:2
attaches 38:20	43:19 44:25 45:3	<b>B188</b> 269:18	14:4,6,11,13 16:5	behalf 95:22
attack 204:7	61:15 62:1	<b>B197</b> 226:18	86:9,10 89:7,10	107:20
attempt 72:7 78:2	108:15 112:25	<b>B224</b> 225:20,21	89:17,21 90:16	behaviour 235:3
154:3 212:19	128:25 201:1,9	227:12	90:18 91:3,23	254:21 261:18
attempted 99:13	automatically	<b>B228</b> 143:15	92:2,13,18 97:15	266:14
attempts 163:12	246:4	<b>B236</b> 244:20	97:18 98:5 99:22	behold 147:14
attend 9:6 10:16	avail 42:9	<b>B237</b> 244:21	100:3,22 101:6	belief 135:22
16:5	available 19:5	<b>B238</b> 244:22	101:13 102:5,8	230:25
attendance 4:20	42:9 115:22	<b>B242</b> 246:19	103:16 149:1,6,7	believe 8:4,21 9:22
189:17 192:19	aware 12:17 31:9	<b>B255</b> 247:18	149:9,12 185:16	10:10 50:6 67:1
213:13	35:18,18,21	<b>B264</b> 242:16	188:6 189:3,6,23	111:24 133:22,23
attended 39:20	39:12 42:21 46:2	<b>B269</b> 150:1	232:24 238:15,22	188:19 190:4
46:3 76:21 131:2	64:15,17 69:7,8,9	<b>B270</b> 149:25	239:7 240:19	197:21 205:19
175:17	102:1 119:6	<b>B277</b> 152:20	241:2,11 242:24	225:25 226:3,3
attention 17:8	137:21 139:23,24	<b>B3</b> 15:6 203:3,12	243:4,8,10,24	243:13 244:21
24:13 36:10	140:12,17 157:11	<b>B3731</b> 157:3	244:13 254:11,15	247:8 254:2
105:6,19 198:9	160:18 174:13	<b>B5</b> 13:23 15:18	255:6,14 272:22	believed 3:1
265:24	202:23 203:6	<b>B5419</b> 7:17 137:10	Baglietto' 92:7	219:16
Attorney 54:4	214:10 217:17,18	back 2:6 7:7 13:13	balanced 58:4	believing 7:21
56:1,23,25 57:8	233:12,15,20	13:17 18:14,17	154:1	beneficial 23:10
57:12,17 58:24	238:25 251:8	22:12 27:3 35:8	<b>barely</b> 148:14,15	69:23 71:20 72:1
59:3,11 61:21	258:7 260:4,9	49:5 61:9 91:2	188:15,25 189:25	72:19,20 173:6
62:24 74:6 75:12	Azopardi 50:13	111:6,22 116:17	<b>barrister</b> 208:7,8,9	benefit 119:1
75:13 81:2 94:13	B	117:18 120:5	208:12	203:16
94:15,18 98:24		125:16 129:16	base 121:13	berated 76:23
103:25 107:25	<b>b</b> 18:15 19:20 87:8	133:2 134:7,14	based 24:12	252:12
113:24 115:8,14	88:6,19 115:25 207:22 264:24	138:1 140:13	basis 1:18 34:6	berating 222:9,15
117:23 118:4,19	<b>B1</b> 15:17 16:1	147:18 167:1	52:7 76:19	252:11
120:7 128:25	18:14 86:3	168:9 172:15	115:22 205:20	best 11:25 16:23
131:19 143:22	<b>B109</b> 217:19	179:17,18 187:7	260:3 272:21	29:16 38:18
153:21 154:14	B118 264:25	190:9 197:4	batting 225:24	109:1 114:6,7
	D110 20T.23		l	

				Page 279
152:17 154:23	207:23 208:2	<b>bundle</b> 9:2 246:7	9:9 13:8,9,21	269:8,14 271:18
161:4 166:19	217:20,22 219:2	business 67:10,13	26:7 38:4 52:21	case 8:4 18:10 23:8
178:18 190:19	226:18 227:1	67:19 68:6	59:17 64:19	42:8 56:10 78:1
195:3 196:4,8	239:14 245:9	businessman	79:11,19 81:1,25	84:19 95:16
223:19 274:7	<b>boundaries</b> 91:24	191:21	89:12 100:15,18	111:20 114:22
betrayal 144:23	177:13	busy 67:9	108:8 169:20	119:5 122:21
better 65:17,18	<b>box</b> 217:22 221:16	Dusy 07.5	170:2 183:24	128:16 133:23
212:9 219:23	221:17 226:2,25	$\mathbf{C}$	232:24 238:23	152:8 153:1
220:3 265:1	239:15 244:23	c 21:1 157:4	239:10,11 268:15	160:21 173:18,22
beyond 112:8	248:22	<b>C207</b> 165:19	269:6	183:9,10 201:11
125:17 126:21	boxes 239:20,23	<b>C3</b> 106:1	calling 15:3	205:8,13 209:1
127:16 128:3	240:3 248:14	C3522 4:18	calls 2:24 4:1,3	209:18,19,21,23
168:24 235:25	bravado 165:24	<b>C3953</b> 31:1	13:1 79:10 89:15	209:23 210:1
265:17	breach 113:8	<b>C4</b> 107:19	100:21,23,24	230:8 242:6
bias 41:6 43:12	130:15	C4106 158:23	101:3,4,9,22	252:4 256:2
biased 38:14	break 51:7 212:10	<b>C4619</b> 34:19	188:6	257:5 270:15
big 101:23 126:19	239:5	C4725 37:12	cancel 100:16	271:2,9
191:14 226:3	<b>Brexit</b> 60:19	C4727 36:11	190:10	cases 105:11
biggest 35:1	brief 210:2	C4732 125:8	cancelled 100:14	casual 32:15 33:6
191:20	briefed 73:1	C4743 40:19	capable 235:4	catch 13:25
<b>bit</b> 16:11 25:4,10	briefing 64:10	C4757 43:19	capacity 131:3	catching 246:16
56:15 57:22	67:3 173:14	C4819 46:11	169:20,22 170:3	categorical 132:17
61:10 63:15	briefly 35:4 161:1	C4823 48:6	193:12 259:23	cater 195:20
71:16 89:8	196:24 197:1	C4826 49:5	268:8,9 269:4,5	Cathal 158:24
102:14 103:6	223:12 240:22	C5 22:22	car 220:15,21	caught 133:1
109:15 150:5	259:10 272:2	C5871 96:8	273:15,17	cause 29:1 55:7
169:15 181:14	bring 44:4,4	<b>C6</b> 13:1 16:17 18:6	care 122:23	caution 4:21
241:16 245:8	196:16 250:8	22:12 89:7 90:7	123:17,21 125:23	149:18 151:7,12
248:18 269:19	264:24	C6762 50:11	195:11 265:14	152:5,25 153:9
270:3	<b>brings</b> 59:5	<b>C6883</b> 2:18 7:6	career 35:3 162:18	174:3,16 175:8
bits 270:5	briskly 242:15	<b>C6925</b> 218:7	careful 61:24	204:1 210:14
bizarre 262:9	<b>British</b> 122:9	C806 5:6	118:3,17 119:6	229:4 231:4
Bland 64:23 65:4	<b>Britto</b> 31:7,11,20	<b>cabinet</b> 82:4 215:1	119:13 120:10,17	234:11 246:3
65:22 68:24	34:18 35:25 36:9	<b>Caine</b> 172:6	123:7,10 265:16	247:6,7,8,10,22
Blands 53:25 54:2	129:12	cajoled 224:9	carefully 60:4	cc'd 166:23
54:3,9 107:15	<b>Britto's</b> 128:9	250:21	75:22 128:18	CDF 122:14
blocking 166:17	broadly 207:6	cajoling 245:23	224:14	cent 90:22 173:6
<b>body</b> 143:21 144:2	broken 147:22	call 2:23 3:1,4 4:15	<b>carried</b> 44:6 199:6	central 57:8 85:2
222:16	brought 24:12	4:15 13:4,5 15:2	carries 244:10	149:15 152:12
bolstered 112:13	36:9 105:6,16,19	25:12 32:17,18	carry 150:21	certain 5:16 91:18
book 248:10	166:5 170:13	34:1,14,15 42:6	203:24 213:4	105:9 120:18
<b>Boom</b> 16:11 86:13	183:12 192:13	48:14 52:20,24	248:24 269:16	certainly 4:12 11:6
border 116:5	198:9 265:23	79:21 80:22 90:8	Caruana 53:21	13:8 15:5 20:11
<b>Borders</b> 107:13	Brussels 178:15	90:10,10,11,12	212:18,24 213:8	22:4 31:12 37:7
borne 115:2	<b>building</b> 79:12,20	90:24 92:3 93:8	213:9 220:1,5,12	57:24 58:1 59:10
187:12	79:22 82:1 176:1	101:11 105:7	226:11,15,23	68:18 69:15 91:6
<b>bottom</b> 43:21	190:5	129:10 189:10,11	229:9,13 236:7	92:6 99:2,9
98:23 108:3	<b>bull</b> 121:11	190:10 207:6,11	236:10 237:12,15	103:23 111:20
137:13 147:4	bullying 30:18	242:23 243:4,8,9	263:15 265:6,21	116:18 132:23
		<b>called</b> 3:6,24 4:2	ĺ	
	I	1	I	I

				1 age 200
139:14 148:1,19	224:5	67:11,17,23	chronologically	cloak 214:4
159:23 160:21	channel 43:10	69:18 70:4,12,19	160:16,20 263:17	close 60:14,20,22
169:2,10 176:17	chaps 150:4	70:22 71:7,10,22	chronology 40:2	61:2 66:11,24
181:14 185:3	characterisation	72:3,10 73:13,18	114:17	72:18 176:4
190:13 227:5	248:12	73:24 74:5 77:22	circumstances	183:4
245:7 254:13	characterise	78:3,5 79:3 82:6	42:8 153:10	closely 60:18
cessation 130:25	261:18	83:18,19 84:1	163:16 194:25	closing 265:7
cetera 160:7,8	<b>charge</b> 67:7 81:12	85:3,9,19 102:2	196:8 216:15	clowns 24:21
Ceuta 28:14,23	charged 44:7	107:13,14 113:17	217:7 267:23	166:13 167:20
Chair 31:7 36:20	96:24 228:10	114:3 116:4	cite 262:23	cm 23:23 38:11
38:3,6	charges 105:17	117:11 122:6	cited 106:3,5,12	138:4,5 193:6,7
<b>chairman</b> 51:9,11	106:22 109:19	128:8,12,17,20	<b>citizen</b> 94:12	243:25
83:5,10,16,23	110:2 112:7	129:13 130:19	civil 28:22 58:5	<b>co-</b> 176:21
84:4,7,10,16	116:10 125:15	131:3 133:7	116:3 197:18	coastguard 107:13
102:18 135:11	172:18 206:1	134:15 135:4,20	claim 28:22 159:2	116:5
142:25 143:7	218:21	135:22 136:11,15	claimed 264:20	coincidental 79:16
146:8 156:1,10	charging 202:14	136:18,22 137:3	claims 29:1,12	79:18
162:21 168:10,15	202:17 205:1	138:22 139:3	33:12	cold 168:2 180:1
170:22 171:1,7	Charles 46:17	141:6,23 146:14	clarification 1:12	colleague 183:5
172:1 177:12	47:5	146:19 155:2,15	197:3	colleagues 143:4
178:2 190:22	<b>chart</b> 197:11	155:16 157:9,11	clarify 22:11 70:10	collected 248:13
196:24 197:1	chat 6:3 153:11	159:6,13 160:1,9	70:20 91:25	collective 157:20
198:13,23 200:20	220:22 228:17	161:7 162:24	150:14 272:8	157:21
212:9,14,22	chats 178:16	165:19 167:5,8	clarity 197:17	collegiate 154:12
213:3,6 219:23	check 18:20 20:18	175:18 177:15	clear 1:20 6:12	163:17 185:12
220:3,10 226:22	62:13,18 87:11	182:23 191:17	20:14 21:14 23:3	210:22 242:8
229:7,11 235:16	88:23 107:21	192:4,12 193:2	34:7 45:14 67:11	245:21
236:5,9 237:10	134:4	193:16,23 195:23	94:19,20,21	collegiately 153:20
237:14 240:13,14	checked 157:5	196:3,7,14 199:5	101:7 111:10	collision 150:6
240:25 248:20	checking 243:3	199:8 213:14,23	112:4,8 115:23	159:2
255:12 263:12	Chef 252:13	216:21,23,25	119:9 125:12,17	combination 74:19
265:1,4,19	<b>chief</b> 5:4 8:20,22	217:3,6,11,12,14	125:19,24 126:21	come 9:5 10:19
269:10,16 271:20	9:11 11:15 12:12	217:15 218:10	126:24 127:15	26:7,10,10 27:1
271:23 274:18,22	12:14,15,22 16:3	251:4,9 252:8	128:3 136:17	41:12 68:20
274:24 275:2,6	16:5,7,24 17:5	253:10,16 254:5	137:1 143:19	74:11 75:1 79:23
challenge 41:5,9	18:3,17,24 19:2	256:22 257:17,20	146:8 147:13	83:7,13 86:1
207:6,10,13,14	19:11,15 20:7,20	258:2,9,15,20,23	149:12 152:14	104:21 111:19
207:17 209:5	22:2,9,12 23:1,4	263:9 264:5	165:22 168:22,24	117:18 124:17
227:21	26:1,14 27:16	268:2,24 269:1,2	169:10,11 186:25	125:7,8,16 137:7
challengeable	28:8 29:17 30:3	<b>China</b> 121:11	213:19 217:2	137:16 166:22
208:15	30:21 31:2,4,9,19	Chipolina 197:16	218:3 221:25	189:6 190:2
challenges 200:17	31:24 32:11,14	<b>chose</b> 79:21 80:2	222:6 223:5,7	195:16 218:20
<b>change</b> 152:13	33:5 34:22 35:9	124:24 231:15,17	231:12	235:16 244:10
193:6 199:18,18	36:20 39:21 40:9	chosen 38:9	clear-cut 209:19	250:11 265:7
223:21	40:13 41:1 43:14	<b>Chris</b> 243:13	clearly 112:14	comes 13:17,22
changed 134:25	43:17 45:17,18	250:18	135:18 196:19	18:15,17 36:4
150:11 193:9	46:12 49:16,19	Christian 150:4	229:21 242:5	39:11 48:16
changes 200:7	49:23,25 50:9	151:3 153:15	client 47:9	94:24 111:21
changing 195:6	62:4 66:5 67:8	228:18,21 247:24	clients 264:8	113:5,6 166:18
	=	=	=	-

271:17   comfort 239:5   common 57:17   140:22 147:15   225:14   220:14   150:7 168:7   150:7 168:7   225:14   220:14   238:15 244:13   238:					Page 281
comfort 239:5   common 57:17   214:12 270:14   150:7 168:7   168:16123.25   150:16   150:16   188:25   254:20   communicated 128:11 142:16   238:15 244:13   communicating 107:17   135:11   159:25 254:13   communication 137:17:21   151:19.24 153:15   159:25 254:13   communications 153:18 159:6   177:1 185:11   159:25 254:13   communications 150:15   231:1 256:6   comments 146:1   193:57,8,13,15   150:3 162:15   242:16 255:15,19   community 191:22   companies 68:3,5   38:21   240:19 242:16 255:15,19   community 191:22   companies 68:3,5   38:21   273:20   273:21   777   277:23 115:23   242:16 255:15,19   companies 68:3,5   38:24 17:47:7   277:23 115:23   242:16 255:15,19   companies 68:3,5   38:24 17:47:7   277:23 115:22   230:13 22   230:15   242:16 255:15,19   companies 68:3,5   38:24 16:15   38:14 18:20   companies 68:3,5   38:24 16:15   38:14 18:20   complainats 15:51:1,7 158:25   159:1,7 158:25   159:1,7 158:25   159:1,7 158:25   159:1,7 158:25   159:1,7 158:25   159:1,7 158:25   159:1,7 158:25   159:2,7 17:1,7 18:1,7 18:10   242:18:20   262:22   273:12   273:20   2	271.17		112.25 120.2	111.10 154.22	22.17.20.10.22.4
comfortable 129.9   167:16,18   communicate coming 101: 23:14   82:4 92:6 153:12   238:15 244:13   254:20   compunicate 175:24 184:25   175:24 184:25   190:6   128:11 142:16   254:10   107:17   comment 4:13   9:23 25:3 29:23   30:20 101:17   commounication 13:19:19.24 153:15   151:19.24 153:15   151:19.24 153:15   151:19.24 153:15   151:19.25   153:18 159:6   162:19 176:5   177:1 185:11   231:1 236:6   149:11 192:12   207:23 211:2   231:1 236:6   240:19 241:2   240:19 241:2   232:2   233:3 3:10 96:15   233:24 36:15 38:1   38:3,10,17,24   39:3 32:2 40:19 33:2   240:19 241:2   273:20   273:					
167:16.18   coming 10:1 23:14   238:15 244:13   238:15 244:13   238:15 244:13   238:15 244:13   238:15 244:13   238:15 244:13   238:15 244:13   238:15 244:13   238:15 244:13   238:20   communicated 128:11 142:16   23:20   completives 23:20   co				-	
coming   10: 1   23: 14   238: 15   244: 13   254: 20   253: 20					
82:4 92:6 153:12   254:10   23:20   commentated   190:6   Commanding   107:17   communicating   101:6 138:25   239:18   communication   101:17   103:7 127:21   151:19.24 153:15   159:25 254:13   communications   159:18:19   140:159:17,19   153:18 159:6   162:19 176:5   177:1 185:11   207:23 211:2   231:1 256:6   149:11 192:12   231:1 256:6   240:19 241:2   231:1 256:6   240:19 241:2   231:1 256:6   240:19 241:2   231:1 256:6   240:19 241:2   231:1 256:6   240:19 241:2   240:19 241:2   230:5 33:21   233:24 36:15 38:1   33:24 36:15 38:1	*				
175:24 184:25   190:6   128:11 142:16   23:20   complexity 120:23   122:20   complement 4:13   10:16 138:25   239:18   239:18   communicating 10:11:17   103:7 127:21   151:19.24 153:15   151:19.25 177:2   120:23 21:12   231:1 256:6   120:11 256:6   120:11 250:11   150:3 162:15   242:16 225:15,19   232:2 239:6   240:18   233:2 17:2   231:2 73:2 17:7   27:2 30:5 33:21 33:2 33:2 33:1				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
190:6					
Commanding   107:17   communicating   101:6 138:25   236:19   computer 108:11   238:12   236:19   239:18   comceivable   273:20   1151:19;24 153:15   159:25 254:13   communication   151:19;24 153:15   159:25 254:13   communication   159:25 254:13   cometwided 237:3   conceivable   273:20   conceivable   273:20   conceivable   273:20   confidential   113:21,23,24   44:25   considered 22:6   confidential   113:21,23,24   44:25   considered 22:6   confidential   113:21,23,24   44:25   considered 22:6   considered 22:6   confidential   113:21,23,24   confidential   113:21,23,24   confidential   125:14					
107:17   20mment 4:13   101:6 138:25   239:18   236:19   236:19   236:19   236:19   236:19   273:20					
comment 4:13					
9:23 25:3 29:23   30:20 101:17   communication   103:7 127:21   151:19,24 153:15   153:18 159:6   162:19 176:5   177:1 185:11   207:23 211:2   23:23 33:10 96:15   231:1 256:6   149:11 192:12   23:23 35:7 87:5   256:17   240:18   240:18   23:3 33:10 96:15   264:17,23   242:16 255:15,19   264:17,23   242:16 255:15,19   256:23   240:18   256:14   223:23 239:6   240:18   256:14   223:23 239:6   240:18   256:14   256			_		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
30:20 101:17   103:7 127:21   14:10 159:17,19   151:19,24 153:15   159:25 254:13   159:25 25					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
103:7 127:21   151:19,24 153:15   159:25 254:13   159:25 254:13   159:26 253:13   159:6   162:19 176:5   177:1 185:11   207:23 211:2   23:23 33:10 96:15   231:1256:6   149:11 192:12   23:23 255:7 87:5   20mments 146:1   150:3 162:15   213:22 239:6   240:19 240:19 241:2   240:19 241:2   240:19 241:2   240:19 241:2   240:19 241:2   273:20   240:19 241:2   240:19 241:19   240:19 241:1					
151:19,24 153:15				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
153:18 159:6   162:19 176:5   177:1 183:15   123:14 125:14   242:18   207:23 211:2   23:23 33:10 96:15   149:11 192:12   23:23 55:7 87:5   264:17,23   240:18   240:18   250:14   250				, , ,	
162:19 176:5   1:9 2:6 10:6   14:17 23:8,22   207:23 211:2   32:3 33:10 96:15   231:1 256:6   149:11 192:12   23:23 255:7 87:5   95:9 99:16,17   150:3 162:15   240:19 241:2   206:19 267:1,2   209:13 119:18,21   109:13 119:18,21   119:18,21   120:5 122:18   200:53 217:4   33:4 188:20   200:33 16   200:23 113:2   200:34 13:2	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			•	
177:1 185:11					
207:23 211:2   232:3 33:10 96:15   149:11 192:12   23:23 55:7 87:5   266:19 267:1,2   266:19 267:1,2   294:10 95:13   104:13 219:13   104:13			_		
231:1 256:6   comments 146:1   193:5,7,8,13,15   295:9 99:16,17   109:13 119:18,21   126:17,23   240:19 241:2   240:19 241:2   120:5 122:16   240:19 241:2   240:19 241:2   155:23 191:12,13   256:15   233:24 36:15 38:1   38:5,10,17,24   39:3 42:7 43:7   45:10 46:2 73:20   177:7   109:23 113:2   compare 80:23   17:23 118:20   17:23 11	177:1 185:11		240:18	· ·	256:14
comments 146:1         193:5,7,8,13,15         95:9 99:16,17         46:20 47:18         104:13 219:13         considers 18:10           264:17,23         240:19 241:2         120:5 122:16         138:8 145:15         confirmed 115:9         considers 18:10           8:7 15:9 25:17         222:16 255:15,19         community 191:22         comfirmed 115:21         consistently 21:13           38:5,10,17,24         65:3,9 66:23         company 64:18         233:16         conflicted 258:10         conflicted 258:10           39:3 42:7 43:7         68:4 116:1         57:16,22 258:13         57:16,22 258:13         112:19 157:8,13         57:16 82:11         122:19 157:8,13         57:16 82:11         122:19 157:8,13         112:19         conflicted 258:10         co	207:23 211:2	32:3 33:10 96:15	concern 17:21	266:19 267:1,2	considering 19:24
150:3 162:15   240:19 241:2   240:24   240:23   240:19 241:2   240:24   240:23   240:19 241:2   240:24   240:23   240:19 241:2   240:24   240:23   240:23   240:19 241:2   240:24   240:23   240:23   240:19 241:2   240:24   240:23   240:	231:1 256:6	149:11 192:12	23:23 55:7 87:5	<b>confirm</b> 24:2,5	94:10 95:13
264:17,23	comments 146:1	193:5,7,8,13,15	95:9 99:16,17	46:20 47:18	104:13 219:13
Commissioner 8:5         242:16 255:15,19         138:8 145:15         confirmed 115:21         consistently 21:13           8:7 15:9 25:17         27:2 30:5 33:21         33:24 36:15 38:1         33:34 36:15 38:1         233:16         company 64:18         233:16         conflict 54:7 258:5         41:21         constituted 41:4,7         41:4,7         41:21         constituted 41:4,7         41:21         conflict 54:7 258:5         41:21         65:26,621 57:1,10         68:4 16:31         21:21         21:21         21:21         21:21         21:21         21:21         20:21         20:21	150:3 162:15	213:22 239:6	109:13 119:18,21	114:23 274:8	considers 18:10
8:7 15:9 25:17 27:2 30:5 33:21 33:24 36:15 38:1 38:5,10,17,24 45:10 46:2 73:20 73:21 77:7         company 64:18 65:3,9 66:23 68:4 116:1 57:16,22 258:13 157:16,22 258:13 157:16,22 258:13 109:23 113:2         company 64:18 65:3,9 66:23 68:4 116:1 157:16,22 258:13 157:16,22 258:13 157:16,22 258:13 109:23 113:2         companed 80:23 compared 80:23 199:4 202:6 199:4 202:6 199:4 202:6 199:4 202:6 116:22 117:5 117:23 118:20 116:22 117:5 116:22 117:5 116:23 164:16 214:17 117:15 134:12 118:20 116:23 164:4,7 118:20 116:23 164:4,7 118:20 116:23 164:4,7 118:20 116:23 164:4,7 118:20 118:20 116:22 117:5 118:20 116:22 117:5 118:20 128:10 128:10 128:11 128:11 129:21 128:11 128:11 129:21 128:11 128:11 129:21 128:11 129:21 129:21 129:21 120:	264:17,23	240:19 241:2	120:5 122:16	confirmation 15:9	41:6 56:10
8:7 15:9 25:17 27:2 30:5 33:21 33:24 36:15 38:1 38:5,10,17,24 39:3 42:7 43:7 45:10 46:2 73:20 73:21 77:7         68:4 116.1 65:3,9 66:23 68:4 116.2 157:16,22 258:13 compared 80:23 73:21 77:7         68:4 116.2 157:16,22 258:13 209:23 217:4 68:4 116.2 157:16,22 258:13 209:23 211 157:16,22 258:13 209:23 217:4 209:23 211 157:16,22 258:13 209:23 211 109:23 113:2 209:23 213 117:23 118:20 110:28 125:21 120:8 125:21 137:14 138:10 140:5 143:23 146:16,21 153:22 146:16,21 153:22 146:16,21 153:22 146:16,21 153:22 159:8,14,22,24 160:4 164:10,15 169:25 197:22 201:15,17,21 209:2 222:18 242:18,21 244:2 242:18,21 244:2 245:11,15 246:8 242:18,21 244:2 245:11,15 246:8 248:47, 7249:3,10 249:13,20 250:15 250:22 253:18         community 191:22 companies 68:3,5 205:23 217:4 233:16 233:16 240:13 205:23 217:4 205:23 217:4 205:23 217:4 233:16 233:16 240:13 205:23 217:4 205:23 217:4 205:23 217:4 205:23 217:4 205:23 217:4 205:23 217:4 205:23 217:4 205:23 217:4 205:23 217:4 205:23 217:10 205:23 217:10 205:23 217:4 205:23 217:4 205:23 217:4 205:23 217:4 205:23 217:4 205:23 217:4 206:23 198:25 206:3 206:2 207:3 207:1 209:2 209	Commissioner 8:5	242:16 255:15,19	138:8 145:15	confirmed 115:21	consistently 21:13
27:2 30:5 33:21   companies 68:3,5   company 64:18   33:24 36:15 38:1   38:5,10,17,24   39:3 42:7 43:7   68:4 116:1   54:10 46:2 73:20   17:23 118:20   complain and 12:21   complain and 12:21   complain and 12:21   17:23 118:20   17:23 118:10   261:17   complain and 12:21   27:4   27:4   27:4   27:4   27:4   27:4   27:4   27:4   27:3   27:4   27:3   27:4	8:7 15:9 25:17	community 191:22	155:23 191:12,13	135:4 188:20	
33:24 36:15 38:1   company 64:18   38:5,10,17,24   39:3 42:7 43:7   68:4 116:1   54:6 75:3 92:11   12:19 157:8,13   112:19   57:16 82:11   57:16 82:11   12:19 157:8,13   112:19   86:14 166:8,10   116:22 117:5   117:15 13:12   110:12 111:12   106:6 108:10   116:22 117:5   11					•
38:5,10,17,24   39:3 42:7 43:7   68:4 116:1   54:6 75:3 92:11   157:16,22 258:13   122:19 157:8,13   112:19   86:14 166:8,10   73:21 77:7   compare 209:21   complain 256:3   206:3   206:3   206:25 143:23   122:19 157:8,13   122:19 157:8,13   112:19   86:14 166:8,10   112:21   112:19   215:10   215:10   215:10   215:10   227:4   227:4   227:4   227:4   227:4   227:4   227:4   227:4   227:4   227:4   227:3   22					constitution 18:8
39:3 42:7 43:7					
45:10 46:2 73:20         157:16,22 258:13         122:19 157:8,13         112:19         86:14 166:8,10           73:21 77:7         compare 209:21         181:20,23 198:25         confusion 111:25         199:24 200:23           109:23 113:2         compared 80:23         199:4 202:6         116:22 117:5         215:10           120:8 125:21         261:17         concerning 67:17         connected 258:16         constitutional           137:14 138:10         266:22         concerns 29:4 55:9         connection 22:4,7         126:25 173:23         164:16 214:17           140:5 143:23         266:22         complainant         227:4         conscious 184:9         construe 180:11           155:1,7 158:25         54:10         124:4,11 130:13         272:3         consult 210:21           159:8,14,22,24         complaining         157:12 158:6         consecutive 117:6         consult 210:21           160:4 164:10,15         232:21         163:23 164:4,7         consensus 149:20         consultation           169:25 197:22         complaint 238:11         164:20,24 165:4         153:20 195:2         consult 210:24           242:18,21 244:2         254:21         concession 237:7         consequence         26:4 60:25 61:2           249:13,20 250:15         completely 7:1         15:11<		*			1
73:21 77:7         compare 209:21         181:20,23 198:25         confusion 111:25         199:24 200:23           109:23 113:2         compared 80:23         199:4 202:6         116:22 117:5         215:10           117:23 118:20         complain 256:3         206:3         connected 258:16         constitutional           120:8 125:21         261:17         comcerning 67:17         126:25 173:23         164:16 214:17           140:5 143:23         266:22         complainants         55:13,19 93:10         conscious 184:9         construe 180:11           155:1,7 158:25         54:10         124:4,11 130:13         272:3         conscutive 117:6         consult 210:21           160:4 164:10,15         232:21         163:23 164:4,7         consensus 149:20         consensus 149:20           169:25 197:22         complaints 41:1,8         167:2         consent 131:20         consultation           242:18,21 244:2         254:21         complete 45:14         237:11         17:15 134:12         26:4 60:25 61:2           248:4,7 249:3,10         50:25         completely 7:1         15:11         consequences         188:1,4           249:13,20 250:15         12:11 19:1 22:5         concluded 76:4         consider 11:12         contacted 70:22					
109:23 113:2		-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
117:23 118:20		-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
120:8 125:21					
137:14 138:10         complainant         227:4         126:25 173:23         164:16 214:17           140:5 143:23         266:22         concerns 29:4 55:9         connections 177:5         construe 180:11           155:1,7 158:25         54:10         124:4,11 130:13         272:3         consult 210:21           159:8,14,22,24         complaining         157:12 158:6         consecutive 117:6         consult 210:21           169:25 197:22         complaint 238:11         164:20,24 165:4         153:20 195:2         consultation           201:15,17,21         261:20         165:7,10,14,18         245:22         consent 131:20         consulting 211:4           242:18,21 244:2         254:21         concession 237:7         consequence         26:4 60:25 61:2           248:4,7 249:3,10         50:25         completely 7:1         151:1         consider 11:12         contacted 70:22           250:22 253:18         12:11 19:1 22:5         concluded 76:4         consider 11:12         106:6 108:16		_			
140:5 143:23         266:22         concerns 29:4 55:9         connections 177:5         construe 180:11           146:16,21 153:22         54:10         124:4,11 130:13         272:3         consult 210:21           159:8,14,22,24         complaining         157:12 158:6         consecutive 117:6         215:1 250:17           160:4 164:10,15         232:21         163:23 164:4,7         consensus 149:20         consultation           169:25 197:22         201:15,17,21         261:20         165:7,10,14,18         245:22         consulting 211:4           209:2 222:18         254:21         complaints 41:1,8         167:2         consequence         26:4 60:25 61:2           245:11,15 246:8         254:21         complete 45:14         237:11         17:15 134:12         61:3 72:21 89:6           249:13,20 250:15         50:25         conclude 76:3         151:1         122:18         contacted 70:22           250:22 253:18         12:11 19:1 22:5         concluded 76:4         consider 11:12         106:6 108:16			· ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
146:16,21 153:22         complainants         55:13,19 93:10         conscious 184:9         consult 210:21           155:1,7 158:25         54:10         124:4,11 130:13         272:3         consult 210:21           159:8,14,22,24         complaining         157:12 158:6         consecutive 117:6         215:1 250:17           160:4 164:10,15         232:21         163:23 164:4,7         consensus 149:20         consultation           169:25 197:22         201:15,17,21         261:20         165:7,10,14,18         245:22         consulting 211:4           209:2 222:18         complaints 41:1,8         167:2         consequence         26:4 60:25 61:2           242:18,21 244:2         254:21         concession 237:7         consequence         26:4 60:25 61:2           248:4,7 249:3,10         50:25         conclude 76:3         151:1         122:18         contacted 70:22           250:22 253:18         12:11 19:1 22:5         concluded 76:4         consider 11:12         106:6 108:16		_			
155:1,7 158:25         54:10         124:4,11 130:13         272:3         consult 210:21           159:8,14,22,24         complaining         157:12 158:6         consecutive 117:6         215:1 250:17           160:4 164:10,15         232:21         163:23 164:4,7         consensus 149:20         consult 210:21           169:25 197:22         complaint 238:11         164:20,24 165:4         153:20 195:2         104:20           201:15,17,21         261:20         165:7,10,14,18         245:22         consent 131:20         consulting 211:4           209:2 222:18         complaints 41:1,8         167:2         consequence         26:4 60:25 61:2           245:11,15 246:8         254:21         concession 237:7         237:11         117:15 134:12         61:3 72:21 89:6           248:4,7 249:3,10         50:25         conclude 76:3         151:1         122:18         contacted 70:22           250:22 253:18         12:11 19:1 22:5         concluded 76:4         consider 11:12         106:6 108:16					
159:8,14,22,24         complaining         157:12 158:6         consecutive 117:6         215:1 250:17           160:4 164:10,15         232:21         163:23 164:4,7         consensus 149:20         consultation           169:25 197:22         complaint 238:11         164:20,24 165:4         153:20 195:2         104:20           201:15,17,21         261:20         165:7,10,14,18         245:22         consulting 211:4           209:2 222:18         complaints 41:1,8         167:2         consent 131:20         contact 10:24 26:1           242:18,21 244:2         254:21         concession 237:7         consequence         26:4 60:25 61:2           245:11,15 246:8         complete 45:14         237:11         117:15 134:12         61:3 72:21 89:6           249:13,20 250:15         completely 7:1         151:1         122:18         contacted 70:22           250:22 253:18         12:11 19:1 22:5         concluded 76:4         consider 11:12         106:6 108:16	•	_			
160:4 164:10,15         232:21         163:23 164:4,7         consensus 149:20         consultation           169:25 197:22         201:15,17,21         261:20         165:7,10,14,18         245:22         consulting 211:4           209:2 222:18         complaints 41:1,8         167:2         consensus 149:20         consulting 211:4           242:18,21 244:2         254:21         concession 237:7         consequence         26:4 60:25 61:2           245:11,15 246:8         complete 45:14         237:11         117:15 134:12         61:3 72:21 89:6           249:13,20 250:15         completely 7:1         151:1         122:18         contacted 70:22           250:22 253:18         12:11 19:1 22:5         concluded 76:4         consider 11:12         106:6 108:16	· ·				
169:25 197:22         complaint 238:11         164:20,24 165:4         153:20 195:2         104:20           201:15,17,21         261:20         165:7,10,14,18         245:22         consent 131:20         consent 10:24 26:1           242:18,21 244:2         254:21         concession 237:7         consequence         26:4 60:25 61:2           245:11,15 246:8         complete 45:14         237:11         117:15 134:12         61:3 72:21 89:6           249:13,20 250:15         completely 7:1         151:1         122:18         contacted 70:22           250:22 253:18         12:11 19:1 22:5         concluded 76:4         consider 11:12         106:6 108:16					
201:15,17,21       261:20       165:7,10,14,18       245:22       consulting 211:4         209:2 222:18       complaints 41:1,8       167:2       consent 131:20       contact 10:24 26:1         242:18,21 244:2       254:21       concession 237:7       consequence       26:4 60:25 61:2         245:11,15 246:8       complete 45:14       237:11       117:15 134:12       61:3 72:21 89:6         248:4,7 249:3,10       50:25       conclude 76:3       consequences       188:1,4         249:13,20 250:15       completely 7:1       151:1       122:18       contacted 70:22         250:22 253:18       12:11 19:1 22:5       concluded 76:4       consider 11:12       106:6 108:16			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
209:2 222:18       complaints 41:1,8       167:2       consent 131:20       contact 10:24 26:1         242:18,21 244:2       254:21       concession 237:7       consequence       26:4 60:25 61:2         245:11,15 246:8       complete 45:14       237:11       117:15 134:12       61:3 72:21 89:6         248:4,7 249:3,10       50:25       conclude 76:3       consequences       188:1,4         249:13,20 250:15       completely 7:1       151:1       122:18       contacted 70:22         250:22 253:18       12:11 19:1 22:5       concluded 76:4       consider 11:12       106:6 108:16		_	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
242:18,21 244:2       254:21       concession 237:7       consequence       26:4 60:25 61:2         245:11,15 246:8       complete 45:14       237:11       117:15 134:12       61:3 72:21 89:6         248:4,7 249:3,10       50:25       conclude 76:3       consequences       188:1,4         249:13,20 250:15       completely 7:1       151:1       122:18       contacted 70:22         250:22 253:18       12:11 19:1 22:5       concluded 76:4       consider 11:12       106:6 108:16					
245:11,15 246:8       complete 45:14       237:11       117:15 134:12       61:3 72:21 89:6         248:4,7 249:3,10       50:25       conclude 76:3       consequences       188:1,4         249:13,20 250:15       completely 7:1       151:1       122:18       contacted 70:22         250:22 253:18       12:11 19:1 22:5       concluded 76:4       consider 11:12       106:6 108:16		_			
248:4,7 249:3,10       50:25       conclude 76:3       consequences       188:1,4         249:13,20 250:15       completely 7:1       151:1       122:18       contacted 70:22         250:22 253:18       12:11 19:1 22:5       concluded 76:4       consider 11:12       106:6 108:16	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			_	
249:13,20 250:15   completely 7:1   151:1   122:18   contacted 70:22   250:22 253:18   12:11 19:1 22:5   concluded 76:4   consider 11:12   106:6 108:16	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
250:22 253:18					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	· ·				
2/0:1 2/4:25   35:/ 105:12   conclusion 111:13   12:8 14:9 20:/   contacts 14:12					
	2/0:1 2/4:25	35:/ 105:12	conclusion 111:13	12:8 14:9 20:/	contacts 14:12
					l

				1 age 202
70:18 92:18	151:22 161:3,8	222:5 226:16	crime 57:25 75:11	curtailed 231:25
237:4 255:14	172:4 180:2,4,5,7	correcting 140:16	crimes 75:12 76:7	236:16
contained 11:23	180:10 188:11,25	correction 132:10	criminal 12:23,24	curtailment 44:5
containing 197:19	189:1,12 210:11	correctly 9:20	18:12,23 20:3	
contains 129:7	244:18 248:13	10:11 98:1,9	54:5 56:11,14	D
contemplating	conversations 50:7	correspond 88:11	57:1,5,7,13,14,22	<b>D3938</b> 170:19
20:6	convey 221:3	correspondence	58:5,6,20,25 59:9	dagger 214:4
contemporaneous	convicted 76:6	50:19 91:22 97:8	59:25 60:3,5,11	damages 29:1
111:16 170:23,25	convinced 133:13	142:18,19 159:1	66:19,22 71:2,4	159:2 160:7
219:15	133:18	corresponding	71:11 73:4 74:11	damaging 213:20
content 14:5 15:10	Cooper 262:24	70:1 87:13,25	75:5,15,24 76:3	damned 247:22
contents 14:3	Cooper's 264:8	88:2	81:12 93:1,5	dangerous 265:20
45:13 85:1	cooperative 246:3	<b>corrupt</b> 171:15	94:1 105:1,5,11	<b>Darren</b> 49:8,13
contested 116:7	coordinated 45:24	counsel 22:25	108:6,13 121:18	data 244:3
context 18:20	coordinates	23:15 24:17 56:3	127:11 172:9	date 3:1 27:12
20:19 24:21	197:19 198:8	59:22 159:3	180:23 224:14,16	170:20 171:9
87:15 88:24	coordinating	178:6,7 205:8	225:5	175:4
125:2 130:12	113:17	240:11 262:23	crisis 97:18,20,20	dated 47:5 165:20
167:23 168:7	CoP 32:17 43:24	263:2	98:10,18,19,21	203:11
206:19 243:1	44:8 45:2 125:16	<b>couple</b> 33:15	98:24 99:1,8,12	dates 35:17
256:5	CoP's 157:6	168:16 172:13	103:17,19 206:21	day 3:21 7:3,15
continue 18:11,22	copied 23:17	208:3 211:10	207:16 209:5,11	11:1 13:3 16:4
56:13 150:11	copy 23:19 47:5	course 7:15 8:17	210:16	31:7 32:22,24
153:17 196:18	106:10 197:21,21	16:15 23:10	critical 76:15	33:2 36:25 39:19
continued 1:5	Cornelio 23:16	36:16 47:11	118:16 121:2	43:17 46:4 50:1
143:11 195:17	cornered 245:16	61:22 75:7,16,21	122:21 252:21	70:8 84:5,5
196:6	correct 20:21 37:1	75:22 84:17	254:6	86:16 89:16
continuous 239:22	41:11 46:1 48:20	94:11 96:18	criticised 76:24	93:15 95:21
contract 69:3	53:19 58:8 78:7	106:14 107:4	77:2,7 78:5	105:19 107:6,7,9
116:8	87:18 89:20	138:21 141:4	146:15,20 155:2	111:8 137:11
contrary 1:21	92:20 102:21	146:13 175:8,11	155:15	162:18 173:15,23
19:12 111:14	111:6 113:18	181:19 196:6	criticising 252:23	174:7 175:5
144:8 147:15	114:4,10 134:6	211:7 217:10	cross-examination	177:19 180:2
261:23	134:24 136:14	223:7 235:16,18	264:19	181:9,11 193:9
contrast 236:10	138:20 141:13	252:5 273:22	crossed 192:5	210:7 219:21
contributed	148:6 150:19	274:13,15,15	264:12	222:15 227:18
115:13	151:3,15,16	court 38:14 56:12	Crown 24:17	230:16 232:5
contrition 166:7	155:23 162:8	207:3 227:10	205:7	236:1 254:8
control 61:14,25	171:3 174:5	courteous 188:12	Cruz 196:21,25	261:24 264:20
convened 181:8	186:5 201:16,18	courtesy 218:14	197:2 200:19,22	275:2
convenient 142:23	202:3,12,13	cover 133:1	212:8	day's 10:24
conversation 8:20	204:9,10 205:4,4	covered 65:2	CTI 212:25 222:5	day-to-day 200:8
17:10 30:7,8	206:18,19 210:9	168:11	228:12	202:4
34:3 69:10 88:5	222:8,9 227:23	<b>CPs</b> 59:21 272:6	culminates 29:14	days 29:22,25
89:2,4 93:14	228:21 243:2	create 59:16,24	current 41:20,23	33:15 49:11 61:8
95:10 97:9	254:17 263:1,17	<b>created</b> 52:6 59:7	122:6 217:15	85:12 86:6 88:1
108:24 109:1	272:14 273:19	122:8,10 181:12	currently 44:24	90:15 100:10
112:11 117:24	corrected 132:6	creates 61:20	cursory 131:11	104:7 135:21
148:12,16,22	138:16,19 142:13	creating 152:21,22	curtail 224:2	136:2 138:15
				154:25 227:7
L	1	1	1	1

				1 age 203
<b>de</b> 28:14	<b>defuse</b> 157:1 223:9	210:16	124:18,20 235:22	discretion 271:7
de-escalate 209:12	227:4	deserve 49:10	diplomatic 138:1	discuss 3:18 5:3
deal 11:24,25	degree 242:2	desirable 18:10	139:16 200:15	7:23 11:25 14:3
92:21 158:18	delay 166:17 249:2	56:10	direct 72:8 74:16	14:5 18:4 19:17
194:21 210:23	delayed 7:12	detail 29:10 46:7	88:9 119:3	20:15 34:16,21
223:19 232:23	delegate 56:16	50:15 64:9 69:25	direction 61:14,25	39:22 42:25
dealing 26:16	delegated 200:3	160:19 172:4	105:8	51:23 52:2 53:6
88:16 182:3	<b>Delhi</b> 32:1 53:25	detailed 244:5	directions 237:21	53:14 73:19,25
223:22 228:2	54:10 63:10	details 168:15	directly 47:11 82:9	74:6 88:8 99:4
238:4	64:18 69:19	202:10 205:6	93:1 159:9,15	105:9,11 106:17
dealings 128:13	70:13,19 71:7,24	<b>Detective</b> 197:23	<b>Director</b> 58:9,17	107:1 108:8
dealt 99:18 170:8	72:8,22 73:19,25	detectives 218:12	71:21 153:21	111:11 125:21
171:12 232:9	104:6 158:7	determine 61:18	154:15 186:17	143:4
death 103:7	201:20 209:11	determined 38:17	228:7 235:20	discussed 3:4 4:6
264:23	255:22 256:15	41:3	disagree 203:22	5:1 7:14 9:17,24
decades 199:10	262:16 266:22	device 213:23	disagreed 252:18	35:16,24 37:14
deceased 28:24	deliberations 38:2	devices 16:21 87:6	disagreeing 20:20	37:22 48:22 74:9
decent 216:3	deliver 76:20	96:15 194:5	disappear 193:2	97:25 101:10
decide 42:9 60:4	delivered 4:14	232:6 234:16,22	disappointed	107:5,6 109:11
164:10 189:11	demonstrate 39:3	DeVincenzi 3:20	127:10	110:15,16,22
236:3	demurred 76:22	4:7 5:8,11 6:7	disappointment	111:1,5 151:14
decided 14:20	department 199:6	24:18 25:5	147:17	151:17 153:13
149:17	199:7	110:18 111:3	disbelieve 205:18	225:3 232:22
deciding 114:14	departments	167:23 168:5	205:21	241:5 256:8
114:20	59:15	169:12 182:4,13	discharge 41:21	268:1,17
decision 24:4,11	depending 70:24	182:14,20 183:3	42:3 214:18	discussing 14:24
35:19 39:1 77:2	depends 2:13,14	189:15,22 190:18	257:7	18:24 20:25
78:5 97:11 115:2	199:21	272:12,17 273:3	disciplinary 170:9	27:25 34:17
234:20 250:17	<b>Deputy</b> 28:9,18,20	273:25	171:13 172:9	40:15 48:4 52:9
268:5	derail 235:7	Devincenzi's	<b>disclose</b> 4:5 6:16	53:4 78:10,18
decisions 25:22	describe 121:11	160:24 182:11	54:24	80:6,11 104:12
141:2 183:17	200:14 211:21	devised 194:20	disclosed 9:1	152:16 172:23
deep 122:12,12	216:2 218:3	dialogue 217:22	16:16 54:17,22	208:4
130:12 160:13	250:16	226:2,25 239:14	91:10 189:18	discussion 14:19
deepened 44:16	described 69:13	239:23 240:2	272:5,6,8	20:24 33:22
deepens 44:12	83:4 162:17	244:23	disclosure 23:8	36:14,23 37:10
deeply 44:11	181:3,15 194:3	die 264:23 270:1	246:4,7	39:25 40:1 47:4
default 201:11	199:19,20 205:15	difference 116:15	discontinuance	82:21 100:3
<b>defence</b> 22:25 23:3	205:16 206:4	126:20	230:8 262:20	109:18 110:9
23:9 96:12 97:6	210:10,14 233:16	<b>different</b> 7:1 59:15	discontinue 24:4	111:7 112:3
121:20 122:1,7	247:15 248:15	59:18 93:20	56:14 180:8	115:20 125:11
262:23 263:2	252:10,17 262:1	116:12 131:7	263:4 270:7,12	145:12 149:21
defend 223:15	describes 182:4	154:19 167:3	discontinued	150:8,9 171:20
defendants 223:18	describing 99:2	179:3 186:23	263:14,16	178:20 203:10
263:3	222:14	193:3 199:25	discontinuing	239:24 241:23
definitely 71:19,24	description 24:23	231:6	186:15	246:5 262:14
173:25	103:21 112:10	differential 96:20	discover 233:18	268:14
definition 120:19	210:5,18	differently 94:25	discovered 122:4	discussions 11:13
259:14	descriptions 25:7	difficult 88:14	discovering 139:1	20:16 80:13
	1	1	1	1

				1 486 201
86:21 224:24	24:15 58:13,18	107:2 108:21	eleven 105:2	ended 93:10
dismayed 91:23	59:7 60:8,12	dragging 126:9	elicit 231:9	ends 88:5 218:23
dismissed 21:17	64:4,6,11 67:4	dramatis 266:9	elven 105:1	enforcement 118:1
displayed 165:25	69:11,13 72:25	draw 177:15,17	email 4:23 15:7	engage 17:10
dispose 213:11	92:25 93:4,12,25	drawing 182:7	22:23 24:3,7,15	20:24 29:11 30:1
dispute 167:9	99:4,21 100:5,20	drawing 102:7	31:2,3,14 32:15	30:12 88:18
258:2	104:19,22 105:11	dread 144:21	33:6,8 36:11	248:5
disputes 56:22	105:15,19 106:5	dressing 162:17	41:16 42:18	engaged 32:5 49:2
disquiet 182:5,9	106:10,12,20	due 223:7	46:16 47:5,8	86:19 205:21
182:16	108:8,16 109:12	duty 42:6 159:10	48:7 72:15 82:3	238:1 248:6
dissuade 261:7	109:18 110:15	177:16 215:6	92:5 96:9 97:1	engaging 88:16
distant 48:24	111:7,10 115:21	dynamic 153:25	98:3 100:14	89:1,3
distinctly 198:7	115:23 116:9		106:8,9,11,15,16	<b>England</b> 59:21
distorted 94:10	117:12 126:3	E	106:23,24 107:4	<b>English</b> 143:16
256:3	132:17,25 133:1	earlier 21:16,22	108:23 124:10	enjoy 118:9
DiVincenzi 215:16	133:2,23 134:5,9	30:6 35:13 86:24	127:7 131:11	enjoyed 55:5,5
DiVincenzi's	134:17,22 136:1	133:20 154:25	137:18 140:2	147:11
215:25	136:4,16 137:5	257:4	141:22 158:24	enquire 49:13
divorce 235:22	137:13 138:11	earliest 139:5	159:5,12 165:20	enquiries 231:10
<b>doctrine</b> 183:14,20	139:13 140:7	early 9:21 47:9	166:23 167:1,4	enquiry 150:14,24
<b>document</b> 4:19 5:1	141:7,20 148:15	108:7 188:7	183:10 203:7	ensure 61:24
5:3 136:20,21	151:14 153:11	early-April 105:4	261:24	66:21 251:4
172:15 203:12	158:19 162:9,14	easier 170:2 190:1	<b>emailed</b> 106:3,20	ensured 189:16
204:16 269:23	162:20 174:7	229:19	emails 49:8 55:18	enter 24:8,11
documentary	186:25 187:20	Eastside 28:25	62:13,18 63:6	162:3 264:1
124:2	188:17,20 200:4	easy 154:18	214:1	entered 76:8
documents 124:3	202:24 205:9	effect 19:13 47:22	emanated 229:25	265:15,22 267:17
137:21 140:10	224:15,21 225:10	72:4 133:5	embarked 27:13	entering 161:15
202:24,25 203:17	234:25 239:15,23	134:21 174:10	embarrassed	267:6
203:23 249:8,14	240:6 245:18	180:7 215:24	236:18	enterprises 67:10
doing 14:18 20:6	247:18 249:4	230:1,7	embodiment 122:9	67:13,19
35:14 62:22,23	264:18 266:20	effectively 13:10	emerge 91:20	<b>entire</b> 162:18
95:20,23 97:24	270:16,23	22:2 84:22,24	230:19	entirely 44:15
98:7 101:24	<b>DPP's</b> 8:11 70:9	127:19 229:23	emerged 8:19	57:25 96:5 151:4
118:15 119:5	71:9 74:25	242:10 252:4	224:23 227:17,25	160:2 255:16
122:3 161:20	106:16,25 233:15	effects 132:12	230:14 231:5	entitled 119:8
172:16 183:24	271:6	eight 6:13 18:2	232:11	269:15
184:9 187:21	<b>Dr</b> 31:7,11,19	90:15 190:17	emerges 256:7	entry 170:21 218:8
200:5 218:21	34:18 35:24	272:11 273:10,11	emotion 104:1	envisaged 149:11
225:8 228:10	129:12	273:24	emotional 103:8	233:18
243:12 252:14	<b>draft</b> 54:19 63:24	eight-minute	145:13,18 146:7	<b>equals</b> 259:18
262:3	128:9 129:13,15	190:19	146:17,22,24	260:19
door 10:14 176:2	172:18	either 27:8 40:5	emotive 34:12	equivalent 59:20
190:2 273:7	drafted 1:22 2:1	67:2 134:12	enable 38:25	er 220:25
doubt 91:20 204:4	53:20 107:20	162:3 172:5	encapsulated	error 131:18
downloaded	173:19	174:20 209:23	44:15	135:23 222:3
241:14,19	drafting 59:23	213:6 237:11	encouraged 44:3	errors 26:8 35:19
<b>DPP</b> 3:20 4:7 8:4,7	62:14,19 121:17	247:2 248:9	encouraging 16:12	39:23 40:4 49:1
21:17 22:23,24	dragged 106:20	El 28:14	86:13	50:25
		elections 56:22		
L				

				1 age 203
especially 2:17	154:11 159:7	34:13 35:11	expecting 123:8	expressive 103:8
200:8 225:2	160:24 164:19,23	46:13,22 48:12	experience 57:14	extended 44:10
essential 150:24	165:10 168:6	49:8 77:9 80:17	57:23 58:19,25	extent 86:18 92:8
249:11	169:9 170:7	81:20 83:6,12,19	59:6,8 60:3	92:14,15 118:14
established 126:2	174:10 184:18,21	86:16,19 87:8,20	121:13,14	202:6 255:11
establishment	185:25 186:21	89:25 90:3	expertise 58:20,25	external 43:4
200:25	187:5 190:14	129:20 133:19	experts 16:13	159:3
et 160:7,7	195:8,19 196:2	141:23 155:19	17:15 87:1	extremely 67:9
EU 121:4 199:7	196:10 204:20	250:3	explain 28:4 35:4	
European 200:16	209:23 215:25	exchanged 80:23	44:14 123:20,23	F
200:18,19,21	223:20 225:24	exchanges 2:19	135:19 168:25	<b>Fabian</b> 29:16
evaluate 249:20	226:9 231:9	5:7 16:2 32:11	253:6	179:10
even-handed	260:5,6,9,24	35:9 50:20	explained 53:9	face 107:4 187:9
96:23	263:25 267:25	190:15 219:20	55:4 63:17 65:2	191:11
<b>evening</b> 7:4,5,8	269:3 272:24	exchanging 31:5	76:16 85:23 94:3	faced 195:1
9:14,15 11:3	274:8	68:22 80:8 86:5	95:1 96:6 109:18	facilitation 210:15
16:7 18:3 48:17	evidenced 89:22	exclude 26:19	116:21 136:15	<b>facing</b> 99:10
83:16 87:21 92:6	evident 203:24	178:25 179:21	153:16 172:7	fact 21:25 33:14
102:17 188:7	evidential 187:1	193:4	184:5 239:8	43:14 44:20,21
event 65:16 142:6	ex-Delhi 263:3	exclusion 56:18	explaining 53:7	44:21 46:16 57:4
145:24 174:9	exactly 18:18 34:6	exclusively 105:18	101:14 112:17	63:14 70:20 86:8
255:15	63:1 78:21 80:10	execute 99:13	explains 47:14	106:24 139:7
events 55:2 62:11	87:9 95:24 98:24	110:22 261:3,8	171:8	140:23 149:22
76:9 111:17	114:19 120:12	executed 233:13	explanation	150:1 157:12
eventually 221:11	125:1,1 129:12	233:14,21,22	247:19,20 262:4	165:13,15 166:1
221:12,15 222:23	131:17 132:23	executing 218:12	explicitly 242:2	171:7 178:4
265:15,22	136:20 205:10	233:11 234:5	244:12	180:25 206:24
everybody 104:15	219:22 225:8	execution 93:2	<b>explode</b> 245:13	208:18 224:11
152:16 158:2	226:2 227:22,24	112:16 135:24	explore 149:24	271:18
167:12 274:2	232:13 234:1	206:12 209:4	258:8	factors 64:16
everyone's 195:14	245:24 246:6	executive 8:12	exploring 154:10	facts 115:1 209:18
<b>evidence</b> 2:25 4:22	248:11 250:2	116:4	180:7	209:22 255:16
4:25 7:9 10:3	254:3 264:10	exercisable 42:1	exposure 76:14	factual 1:19 54:17
12:12,18 16:23	271:25	exercise 20:8 21:4	express 93:9 154:2	265:12
21:10,24 23:2,5	exaggerate 93:13	42:22 61:11 78:2	157:19 234:3,8	fail 166:6,10,11
24:18 25:13	examination 86:25	114:14,20 115:2	234:14,19 253:3	failed 42:2 177:22
33:23 34:6 39:23	<b>examine</b> 16:21	121:18 184:19	expressed 109:12	186:19 257:7
51:23,25 52:2,4	186:2,7	210:23	122:14 128:21,22	Failing 166:9
52:10,16,19,22	example 19:12	exercising 20:25	129:1 132:10	failings 146:5
53:4,14,15 55:16	22:15 23:1 30:19	43:1 130:21	165:9,14,18	failures 115:24 fair 22:1 25:25
62:9 63:6 70:9	43:5 101:11	140:4	260:24	
75:2 91:20 97:10	exasperation 25:9	exist 159:19 215:5	expressing 145:11	26:13 27:7 45:24 51:11 57:5 64:14
100:15 102:9	Excellency 164:17	existed 163:17	148:13,24 252:15	96:13,19 199:9
103:14 105:14,21	excellent 55:6	existence 65:9	expression 98:21	200:24 202:7
105:23 107:8	excessive 205:25	expectation	128:2 136:24	200:24 202:7
110:24 111:9	exchange 5:23	204:11	138:23 147:6,8	212:23 240:11
116:14,16,23	8:18 19:6,19	expected 159:8	157:17	241:21 248:12
133:17 139:4,10	21:21 22:5 30:2	207:13,14,16	expressions	250:9
145:6 148:1	31:2,3 32:23	210:11	167:24 268:11	fairly 9:21 207:9
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	

				Page 280
fairness 274:2	fight 269:25	236:2 238:4,11	forgot 22:5	66:24 72:18
fait 27:21	fighting 103:7	241:12 268:1	forgotten 37:11	178:6,6 183:5
fall 180:11 201:20	file 182:5 249:15	272:10	131:15 190:6,8	206:20 210:4
<b>fallen</b> 147:5	filed 28:23	firsthand 121:22	form 55:17 73:15	213:18 215:13
falling 27:2	fill 59:7	firstly 5:18 43:8	240:16	224:11,12 225:23
falls 41:24	final 5:9 8:2 23:11	145:24	formal 44:23	228:13 229:18
familiar 183:19	39:5 40:20 41:18	Fischel 106:9	116:8 178:11	251:25 257:15
				264:8
184:1 200:23,24 208:10 226:24	43:20 47:8 50:6	fit 117:2 160:20	238:10 269:23	
	109:14 138:1	fits 143:3	formally 243:17	friendly 118:24
260:5	166:14 269:11	five 29:8 48:6	formed 134:2	120:13,15 260:3
familiarity 224:16	finally 23:21 165:2	52:25,25 53:2	former 107:17	friends 61:4,8
families 28:23	195:21 240:2	86:6 93:23,23	fortune 166:20	253:24 257:4
fantasy 35:2	Finance 49:23	126:16 150:8	forward 38:16,18	friends' 260:18
far 91:2 92:10	financial 23:6	210:7	44:13 104:12	friendship 176:21
96:22 108:3,4	49:21 261:24	flagging 244:12	118:18 152:17	front 37:16 211:25
181:20,23 199:1	268:20	flavour 23:13	153:14 154:23	267:3
212:21 217:16,18	<b>find</b> 14:1 15:16	flawed 40:19,24	159:5,12 195:3	frontline 29:3
233:20 241:4	92:23 136:22	flaws 39:13	210:24 223:10	FS 23:23
Faro 28:14	146:4 166:1	<b>flight</b> 35:1	248:21 256:1	<b>full</b> 62:10 173:14
fast 155:19	184:20 186:1,6	focus 5:9 9:4 57:8	forwarded 28:8	173:14,16 222:12
favour 119:5	189:21 262:9	104:7 105:17	29:18 48:8 49:18	222:13
FCDO 138:2	272:24 274:8	195:12,14	forwarding 28:19	<b>fully</b> 14:23 144:15
140:13	fine 124:24 198:12	focused 57:1	46:12 49:16	160:17 165:23
<b>FCO</b> 37:13,18	<b>finish</b> 135:11	<b>follow</b> 30:6 184:21	forwards 12:1	237:4
<b>FDCO</b> 137:19	212:17,20 213:2	184:24 250:6	46:22	<b>function</b> 82:7,13
feature 173:21	267:20	follow-up 3:8	<b>found</b> 16:20	118:19 200:7
Federation 30:18	finished 69:5	followed 241:24	104:13 139:4,6	201:6
feel 27:3 44:14	122:25 267:20	following 28:21	139:10 179:3	functions 200:4
45:6 83:21 155:7	273:13	31:7 39:19 46:9	208:22	214:19
159:14 176:17	firm 191:20	50:1 64:16 74:22	<b>founds</b> 68:23	fundamental
237:23 245:16	firms 67:15	84:4 107:6 159:2	four 32:12 85:12	160:5
247:13	first 11:20 13:24	193:9 261:23	85:20 110:24	fundamentally
feeling 144:21,23	20:14 21:13	follows 91:25	111:22 124:17	40:24 212:4
144:24 181:15	25:13 30:3 31:15	207:1	126:16 150:13,15	<b>further</b> 3:12 7:10
feelings 129:2	35:14 36:24 37:6	fools 165:25	210:24 248:14	8:2 13:1 15:8
feels 47:9	37:8 58:9 63:12	force 114:15 140:5	four-and-a-half	23:5 32:11 56:15
feet 126:10	69:9 90:5 91:17	201:10	58:15	61:10 63:16 89:8
felt 34:9 81:23	97:24 100:11	forced 152:18	frame 17:4 29:21	104:25 108:5
85:11,15 93:19	101:4 110:14	forcefully 171:14	102:14	109:16 112:5
118:3 119:5	128:9 132:14	forces 121:17	frankly 122:16	125:9,13 128:10
122:13 123:23	133:3,5 135:17	122:1	171:18	128:24 159:4
128:12 144:19	136:17 150:3	fore 23:14	frankness 171:23	166:9 176:6
147:4 154:8	152:6 160:4	Foreign 43:9 48:5	free 154:6,21	177:1 233:7
184:2 195:18	173:14,16 180:12	Forensically	231:19 234:11	239:24 248:2
211:13,16 224:8	192:16 206:25	205:16	freely 154:2	269:19
225:7 247:13	211:21 217:25	forget 143:22	250:13	future 121:4 224:3
FFS 40:11	219:6,20 226:2	222:17	Friday 1:2 10:9	231:24
Field 197:8,13	227:14 228:4	forgive 187:24	46:4 89:23 138:6	<i>231.2</i> ⊤
198:4 275:4	231:8 232:7	193:14 262:23	friend 2:10 60:17	G
170.7 4/3.7	431.0 434.1	173.17 404.43	11 ICHU 2.10 00.1/	Gaggero 107:15
			l	

				Page 28/
266.22	171 2 10 172 12	12 5 14 10 50 7	100 21 207 2 4	142 12 147 11
266:23	171:3,10 172:12	43:5 44:19 50:7	198:21 206:2,4	143:13 147:11
game 133:4	173:13 174:17	50:19 53:16	210:8 212:22	166:20,21 196:22
gap 6:13 59:7	178:4,19 187:4	78:11,18 110:4	218:3,7 219:2 220:17 226:18	196:23 205:17
219:13 273:2	189:13 190:7	111:12 116:11		206:5,7,10,11
GBC 35:14	193:25 196:20	123:19 155:10,12	228:15 229:3	237:22
general 4:16 54:4	210:4 213:18	162:17 168:5	237:19,21 238:3	goodbye 166:19,22
56:1,23,25 57:12	215:13 217:8	176:4 179:2	239:4 241:16	government 11:15
57:17 58:24 59:3	224:11 229:18 257:16	194:10 198:22,23	244:21 245:25	57:19 59:5,12,13
59:11 61:21	Gibbs's 224:13	201:5 203:21	246:21 248:9,21	59:14,17 65:6,23
62:24 74:6 75:13 75:14 77:15 81:2		210:3,16 212:2,3 214:16 220:16	250:4,7 271:13 271:16 273:15	108:12 122:2
	<b>Gibraltar</b> 6:3 37:24 41:12	227:6 249:23		126:7,8,12 179:5 179:12 199:6
94:13,15,18 98:24 103:25	43:18 47:10 54:6	259:17 260:9,24	<b>goes</b> 57:19 138:6 201:11 241:20	200:2 257:18,20
107:25 113:24	56:7,22 61:19	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	245:8	258:3,25 259:2
115:8,14 117:23	75:4 107:18	<b>gives</b> 12:12 23:12 140:24 237:22	<b>going</b> 1:8 4:8 6:6,9	government's 72:5
113.8,14 117.23	108:14 112:25	244:24 245:1	6:15 9:22 10:2	108:9 126:15
129:1 131:20	115:25 118:1,10	246:21	10:12 14:22 16:1	governor 26:2
143:23 153:21	119:3 121:17,19	giving 42:24 53:15	20:23 28:25	28:10,18,20
154:14 161:1	122:10 126:15	63:2,6 96:1	29:11 39:15	38:12 39:20 42:2
163:2 169:17	145:15 157:10,13	105:20 118:6	44:13 45:12	42:22,25 43:15
170:3 177:16	157:15,16,18,19	119:1,10,12,23	55:22 59:16 61:9	47:12 113:16
179:4 182:7,24	157:20,22 158:1	120:6 169:16,18	70:7 71:8 78:15	114:2,13,20,24
184:17 197:24	158:2,3 162:6	183:8 185:3	79:13,23 93:11	115:9 128:12,20
198:20,24 199:2	166:11,15 167:24	200:2 211:3	101:20 106:17	131:2 135:15
199:17 200:6	169:24 191:20,21	259:22 260:3	107:9 108:20	137:3 139:12,21
212:5 214:13	191:23 196:8	glad 217:13	110:12 117:18	140:3,6 142:12
215:1 222:18	198:25 199:3,13	221:25	125:9 135:9	158:6,21 164:2
236:22 237:24	208:13 214:16,22	<b>go</b> 4:18 6:6,10,15	144:10 150:16	164:11,13,14,18
254:20 259:23,25	267:10	7:17 15:17 16:1	151:2,18,23	201:12 259:1
272:4	Gibraltar's 75:5	17:23 18:14 21:7	152:15 158:5,18	268:8
General's 57:9	75:14,19,23	22:22 32:10	168:8 172:10	Governor's 31:10
199:24	76:10 121:4	34:19 35:8,13	179:10,23 183:7	47:14
generally 2:9	146:6 199:10	37:5,6,12 40:8	190:11 198:21	<b>GP</b> 130:21
87:15,17 118:9	200:12,13	43:20 46:11	201:8 205:10	GPA 31:8 34:9
193:21 268:18	gift 161:18	48:11,17 49:5,22	212:18 223:10	35:19 36:20,21
genesis 53:7	give 4:13 19:12	50:15 56:15	227:12 233:6,12	36:24 38:4,8,12
gent 5:12	20:4 23:4 51:24	61:10 63:5,11,15	239:1 241:5,7	39:11,13 40:10
gentleman 5:13	52:3 69:14 92:12	71:16 80:2 91:1	243:8,9,10,17	40:14,18 41:20
genuine 166:7	101:16 104:2,3	91:12 96:8,10,22	244:12,15,24	41:21 42:2,4
genuinely 161:10	118:21 123:23	106:1,8,15	248:18,21 250:25	43:13 45:7
190:12	142:21 149:18	107:19 109:9,16	252:5 265:16	124:11 128:6,7
germane 113:4	151:18,24 170:5	110:19 115:25	270:18	131:7,24 136:18
getting 43:8	178:19 194:11	129:16 135:7	<b>Gomez</b> 34:20	157:4 160:13
126:18 134:22	229:16 230:25	149:24 150:1	36:22 46:18 47:6	granted 7:23
140:15 153:4,18	240:4 246:2	151:6,12 152:4	48:7,16	grants 57:4
195:5 223:20	257:13 259:19	153:13 154:18,19	<b>good</b> 1:6,7 2:10	grateful 199:13
228:23	265:9	156:4 160:22	7:21 15:1 16:22	212:24 213:1
Gibbs 168:11,16	given 12:10 14:7	166:23 175:7,23	51:7,16,17 61:2,3	<b>great</b> 17:21
168:18 170:24	27:1 30:23 32:1	197:4,7,8,14	68:22 129:5	greatest 50:23
	•	•	•	•

Γ				1 450 200
<b>Grech</b> 49:9	144:11 145:3	109:14 215:24	<b>holders</b> 159:10	221:16
grounds 7:21 41:5	149:15 150:10	216:2,5 218:10	holding 68:3	impact 150:12
208:22 218:4	156:5,19 188:14	253:11	home 12:14 16:6	163:13
227:9	200:9 210:18	heard 52:12 53:8	166:15	impacts 96:19
groundwork 96:13	222:8,22 252:16	146:25 147:3	honest 62:6 168:5	implausible
group 266:18,20	happening 6:1	169:1,9 174:9	190:7 216:3	198:15
guarantee 226:4	49:3 51:1 68:9	200:20 205:7	honestly 84:2,15	implicated 116:6
Guardia 197:18	73:4 87:6 115:6	229:14 237:7,11	111:24	implication 87:20
guardian 66:18	118:10 119:3	260:7	honoured 49:14	125:6 126:20
72:5 81:3,13	121:5 160:19	hearing 1:17 91:20	hope 23:12 212:19	implications
251:20	177:22 180:14,18	heated 252:16	275:4	102:24 106:19
guess 8:13 59:20	180:20,24 181:5	heavily 258:10	hour 48:15 104:16	implicit 123:5
98:13 107:18	189:21 260:2	held 37:25 65:25	143:3 153:11	257:8,10
<b>guided</b> 224:21	271:4	116:1 124:4	159:5 198:16	implicitly 242:2
gun 196:14 217:10	happens 89:5	178:5 190:9	211:2	importance 60:10
guys 248:24	147:15 249:19	help 104:8 120:16	house 10:12 79:24	119:3 204:24
	254:23	157:1 168:14	huge 29:1,4 160:7	importances 201:5
H	happy 104:15	183:7,11 223:9	human 160:5	important 23:2
<b>Haim</b> 107:15	132:1 198:10	255:25		39:2 112:20
Haime 192:12	213:3 249:22	helpful 151:5	I	140:7 185:25
239:19 247:19,20	250:1	152:2 197:6	Ian 29:9 122:23,23	191:21 229:16
half 48:15 143:3	harm 145:16	198:12 229:1	127:5,7 143:24	imposed 42:4
153:11 159:5	Hassan-Nahon	249:9	147:20 150:19	242:3
162:21 192:8	267:9	helping 104:9	156:4,18 167:14	impossible 45:16
<b>halfway</b> 143:17,18	Hassans 1:9 8:14	163:15 185:5	218:19 222:19	impressed 4:9
220:17	11:13,21 13:17	hesitate 166:4	241:7 248:1	13:10
hand 38:25 180:21	14:24 15:22	Hi 28:21 106:16	idea 15:1 149:23	impression 91:21
195:20 225:10	65:25 66:4,8	high 88:3 120:9	152:11,11 153:7	92:12,19 132:24
<b>handed</b> 46:17 47:4	67:4,9,18 68:2	138:9 178:5	163:6 170:13	134:8,11 135:2
handled 96:16	72:18 73:12	high-profile 75:6	225:2 227:14	157:8 165:22
119:20,25 208:9	95:22 97:25	75:16,17,25	229:5 248:2	181:7
handling 24:22	102:2 104:11	76:11 107:11	ideas 224:23	impromptu 79:15
76:25 165:5	116:1 137:10,24	108:17	identified 121:1	improper 180:3
208:8	141:15 142:3,4	highlighted 16:11	idiots 166:20	213:21 216:9,13
hands 127:5	158:1,17 176:21	16:18 22:25	ignore 124:24	217:4 254:25
hang 83:17	181:11 185:2	25:11	ignored 115:10	255:1,20
happen 112:9	187:10,18 188:1	highlighting 46:15	illegitimate 269:24	improperly 7:22
152:15 168:23	188:4 204:8	highly 4:2 181:18	illustrates 166:1	241:14
186:22 188:21	206:22 207:5	190:19 211:5,7	IM 21:16 220:19	impute 229:21
205:10 247:5	232:11,19 256:3	hindsight 81:18,22	imagine 19:22	inability 259:7
274:10	Hassans' 77:24	82:5 83:6,9,11	62:23 111:13	inaccurate 92:9
happened 27:23	141:12,14 181:24	145:16 211:18	144:17,18	inappropriate
29:10 34:11	Hassans's 98:18	history 76:16	iMessages 8:25	160:2 253:11,12
67:14 78:13	99:19 223:16	118:17 122:22	immediate 47:22	253:12 254:10,12
79:12 80:19,25	hats 179:3 194:2	HMIC 16:8,10	57:24 176:4	262:8 266:14
81:9,10,21,24	head 191:20,22	86:12,20,22 88:5	219:6,11,14,24	inaudible 22:4
82:1,6 83:7,13	heading 150:6	<b>HO</b> 108:12	220:4,6	80:19 133:1
84:20,20,22	heads 198:22	Hold 79:5 161:22	immediately 22:14	146:2 150:15
85:16 86:2 103:2 113:22 132:16	hear 52:1 93:18	248:24,24 249:18	33:18 77:19 126:6 220:22	204:2 210:1
113.22 132:10			120.0 220:22	

				Page 269
243:12 248:4	influenced 20:11	institute 56:11	247:14,16	introductory
269:15 271:19	115:4	instituted 18:13	interject 244:4	150:3
incarnation 200:1	influencing 74:15	instructed 36:3	interjects 241:10	investigated 67:20
incident 28:1,24	251:10,22	instructing 262:25	243:19 244:2	investigating
33:10 121:16	inform 218:11	integral 262:2	247:6 248:7	75:11 153:23,24
128:15 130:2	information 6:18	integral 202.2	249:9	202:1 253:13
163:20 165:3,6	8:23 38:25 53:16	128:15 130:13	International	266:13
165:15 167:7	67:6 70:23	intelligent 32:8	199:7	investigation
include 60:7	115:22 141:6,10	intensively 60:19	interpret 232:15	12:24,24 19:25
160:14	142:7,9 163:21	intensively 66.19	interpretation	22:19 24:22 44:5
included 23:1	163:24 174:11	intention 27:7	235:12	64:18 65:2 66:22
130:8,12 211:22	218:13 236:17	31:10 46:19	interpreted 120:10	67:4 71:11,18,18
218:24	245:2,6 248:3	174:15,18 210:13	221:1 235:4	71:19 72:8 74:2
includes 62:3	informed 8:11,14	interaction 102:8	interpreting	74:7,9,16,20,23
163:1	40:18 52:20 64:4	102:10 202:5	232:19	75:6,15,20,24
including 112:16	74:21 101:16	233:10 234:9	interrupting 79:8	76:3,15,17,25
122:6 266:20	116:9 128:25	interactions 25:24	interrupting 77.8	81:5 85:6 87:24
inconsistent	158:5 159:15	102:3,6,7	200:15	88:1,9 93:6
175:13	173:22	intercede 246:9	intervene 61:17	94:17 96:4,16
Incredible 133:4	informing 38:7	intercedes 245:11	155:21 212:6	105:1,5,10 107:2
incumbent 217:12	144:4,6	246:6	intervenes 239:4	108:6,13 118:12
incur 217:3	ingredients 119:19	interest 54:7,14	intervention 37:8	119:19 120:9,24
incurred 216:9	initial 40:1	66:15,18 69:24	220:14 243:1	123:15 138:9
235:3	innocent 117:4,7	72:6,9 74:1,16,20	interview 4:13,20	144:7 150:18,25
independence	inputs 131:7	81:3,14 88:10	9:23 35:14	153:17 154:24
61:21 201:6	inquiries 218:24	116:3 157:15,19	101:17 132:18	162:7 163:14,15
214:18 215:6	inquiry 5:23 9:2	157:20 169:24	149:18 150:21	172:10 177:19
independent 40:21	12:19,20 16:16	186:20 230:2	151:6,11,19	180:24 185:6,23
179:11 183:16	31:17 53:9,17,18	231:14 248:8,8	152:4 153:2,4,14	186:12,18 193:10
184:19 215:3	54:19 55:17 56:4	251:20 255:4	153:15,18 174:2	195:4,17 196:5
independently	62:7,9 63:3	258:17 270:18	174:16 175:8	196:17 201:25
97:12 105:13	70:23 83:15	interested 168:19	185:10,11 192:11	202:5 205:14
indicate 17:21	91:10 122:16	interests 157:21	192:19 193:12	206:10,10 217:16
indicated 223:2	124:3 164:24	200:13 245:12,14	194:21 203:25	223:17,22 230:11
indication 23:3	165:11,16 168:3	258:6,12	210:14 213:13	235:5,8 236:18
155:11,13 212:25	189:18 202:22	interfere 55:22	229:3 231:1,4	245:3 251:5,11
indications 183:8	266:11,12	108:5 164:1	241:15 244:8	251:23 252:6
indictment 96:25	insofar 45:2	253:25	245:5 246:22,22	253:1,7,20,25
individual 196:9	157:12 198:24	interfered 44:3	247:4,5,10	254:6,22 255:21
individuals 76:6	199:3 202:5	261:13	interview.' 249:2	255:22,25 256:10
150:16	206:3 214:25	interference	interviewed	256:20 260:13
inevitable 225:14	<b>inspect</b> 234:16	120:19 253:1	152:24 153:8	262:2 270:10,11
infer 139:14	inspection 232:5	255:21 259:14,19	185:18	270:12
inference 19:18	inspections 230:17	260:12,19,20,25	interviewing 193:4	investigations 60:5
30:9	<b>Inspector</b> 197:16	261:10,19,20	234:10	71:2,5 73:5 82:7
influence 20:8	249:24	interfering 74:15	intimidated	82:14,17 201:19
66:22 72:7 154:4	instance 160:4	216:6 235:5	242:11,13	202:11
181:16 183:16	instances 145:18	251:10,22 256:20	introduced 152:11	Investigative
251:5	instinct 80:22	<b>interim</b> 246:23	introduction 7:18	16:19
	<u> </u>	1	ı	I

				1 age 270
investment 32:2	issues 25:12 29:1	217:5	82:2,11 86:9	lasting 113:11
249:17	99:6 104:3,5	jurisdictional	89:19 91:1	late 102:16
investments 68:6	105:15 108:10	167:9	106:11 114:5	law 56:12 57:7,13
Invitation 47:7	110:13,13 120:22	jurisdictions	118:13 119:2	57:14,17 58:20
invitations 264:1	138:8 150:12	214:13	120:15 133:25	59:1,9,13,17 60:3
inviting 161:24	160:5 183:25	justifications	135:7 138:24	60:11 74:12
162:1 215:7	250:25 262:11	160:15	143:20 144:1	117:25 127:11
263:3	266:12	justify 112:25	146:23 156:20	180:22 191:20
invoke 27:9	issuing 21:15	162:19	157:23 160:10,12	199:7 200:16,18
involve 81:5	135umg 21.13	justifying 127:18	170:7,12 172:8	200:19,21 204:8
253:19	J	justinying 127.10	173:19 174:7	214:12 224:14,16
involved 26:6 28:7	$\overline{J}$ 132:18	K	178:23 181:25	225:5 227:10
43:15 50:22 60:5	Jaime 135:25	KC 1:10,11	183:3 187:23	lawful 243:14
64:18 66:23	James 47:6 92:4	keep 40:14 125:9	190:7 195:8	lawyer 1:24,25 2:2
69:25 71:3,4	99:14 146:25	215:2 233:6	198:13 200:19	11:14,15 51:19
82:16 107:16	Jamie 152:23	keeping 185:6,7	205:5 206:11,16	52:11 53:10,24
179:14 180:23	<b>January</b> 24:9 76:9	kept 69:2	209:17,20 219:3	54:9 59:25 94:17
205:8 208:13	Jewish 191:22	key 262:1	220:16 222:16	109:22 112:3
209:14,15 266:10	JL 96:14,24 176:5	kindly 56:4	239:9,15,21	216:3 254:15
268:15	218:24 246:12	knew 10:1 17:4	243:17 255:6	255:3
involvement 27:20	job 74:25 82:23,24	27:22 43:8,10		
50:23 62:11	242:9 254:4	46:5,10 48:4	256:18 257:2,24	lawyerly 187:9
	judgment 74:17	64:17,21 65:21	258:1 269:24	lawyers 1:18 2:1,3
67:17 68:11,18	74:18 121:7	65:25 66:3,7,10	knowing 65:9,12	6:19 52:5,8,10,16
85:24 104:25	135:24 184:19	66:14 67:18,24	67:15 79:11	52:19,21 53:5,15
108:6 128:5	208:19 251:17	69:24 87:12	155:4 156:15	53:21 54:18
131:9,16 177:18	judicial 208:15	140:3,20,25	181:17	59:14 107:20
233:8 244:6	July 219:21	140.5,20,25	knowledge 30:17	157:5
involves 67:4	jump 28:17 48:6	141:18 142:1,2,6	59:8 83:8,9,14	lawyers' 254:18
involving 160:13	jump 28.17 48.0 jumping 35:10	148:24 151:18	126:2 202:7,10	laying 96:12
253:19	June 1:17 26:8	153:12 156:15	204:13,17 217:11	lead 24:4 217:5
irrelevant 113:12	35:10 36:4,12	160:18 205:9	225:5 269:24	leader 50:12 267:7
113:21,25 134:14	37:1,9,21 39:8,19	knock 190:2 273:6	known 34:8 60:16	267:9,11
195:15	39:25 40:6,9,18	know 8:14 9:8,10	69:15,17 121:9	leading 130:25
irrespective 144:8	41:16 45:21	9:13 10:18 13:12	142:15 178:22,23	185:13 267:23
issue 21:14 23:11	46:15,15,17,21	16:8 19:6,17	<b>Kram</b> 197:9	leads 184:22 190:3
23:13 26:6 36:15	47:5 48:13 49:6	-	198:19	learn 31:18,22
36:17 39:22 40:3	51:20 53:20,23	20:4,10,23 22:16 27:18,19 28:6		learned 206:20
57:15 77:12	51:20 53:20,25	29:19 31:24	17:13 132:18	210:4 213:18
106:5,22 109:21	114:13,18 116:13		147:14	215:13 224:11,12
116:10 121:5	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	32:21 33:7,21		225:23 228:13
191:14 194:5,6	116:19,22 131:3	35:22 46:22	lack 60:2 224:16	229:17 251:25
195:20 197:9	131:5,14,16,17	47:25 48:3 50:2	lacking 128:14	253:23 257:4,15
204:19 205:24	136:17,18 138:2	51:6 53:13 54:1	language 61:15	260:17 264:8
206:21 207:5,6	141:3 268:12	58:2,23 60:24	103:8 145:14,19	learning 173:18
210:17 223:23	junior 153:24	61:16 64:16,24	167:16,19 198:15	leave 32:7 49:11
226:3 238:5	jurisdiction 57:18	67:9,12,19 68:5,9	253:12	117:19 131:6
262:1 263:22	121:19 157:24	68:16,21 69:1	large 172:17	185:16 198:19
271:14	161:6 200:18	71:1 72:23 73:3	largely 93:9,18	213:7 265:2
issued 208:11	260:2	73:3,4,16 79:1,2	137:23 177:18	269:9
	jurisdiction's	79:2,13 80:1	181:24 200:5,10	
	•	•	•	•

				1 age 271
leaves 38:14	44:16,18,20,22	93:15 95:8,11,19	163:16 168:2	200:22 211:20
Leaving 140:25	45:8,13,16,21	96:3 97:8,9	180:1	212:8 213:9
led 9:22 66:25	46:13,14,15,21	99:14 101:16	limb 186:20	222:20 226:8,12
85:21 196:2	46:23 47:21,23	102:4,9,15	limbs 187:21	232:2 235:2
231:23 253:15	51:20 52:6,14	107:15 109:12	limit 163:12	236:21 240:8,12
268:6	53:7,20 54:8,19	110:23 116:2	limited 25:23	241:7,21 247:21
left 68:23 128:13	55:4 92:5 97:24	135:25 144:4,8,9	157:16 228:23	249:6 250:2
150:16 181:18	98:2,8,14,18 99:5	146:25 147:7	line 37:21 38:21	262:12 269:18
200:4 211:6	99:5,11,19	148:4 149:2,5,6	70:9,15 107:10	271:1,15 274:6
221:21	101:15 107:19	149:17 151:18	150:14,23 159:24	Llamas's 220:7
left-hand 70:15	108:14 110:1,5,7	152:13 153:8	172:1 182:7	Lloyd 5:11 183:21
legal 5:21 33:12	110:19 111:16	173:24 174:2,8	229:20 236:17	logical 206:12
39:21 40:21 41:8	112:1,2,23,24,24	174:16 175:8,17	245:9	London 178:14
43:8 48:5 51:24	113:5,6,11	182:3 185:17	lines 43:22 135:19	long 2:10 17:19
52:3 57:18 59:4	114:25 115:5,10	188:9,23 189:23	136:24 159:18	24:20 52:24
72:5 98:16 104:4	115:13,15,16	191:16 193:5,12	177:14,14,17	60:16 72:23 82:3
118:6,21 119:10	116:13,19 124:10	193:16 194:8,11	231:10 250:6	112:10 126:10,11
119:11,15,23	127:18 128:7	194:18 195:6,9	link 28:14 73:12	135:9 166:16
120:7,18 124:25	129:3,13,15,18	203:25 210:13	214:3 231:5	227:13
120:7,18 124:23	130:5,9 131:4,10	213:22 223:20	linked 111:9	longer 138:22
161:2 164:12	131:21 132:2	225:16,25 226:10	lion's 58:1	212:23 232:15
169:18 170:5	136:17,18,19	228:8 230:2,9,12	list 28:10 38:10	234:21
185:1 199:5,8	137:10,24 141:12	230:23 231:9,13	252:22	longstanding
206:25 208:23	141:14 157:4	230:25 231:5,15	listed 224:1	53:10
209:5 211:12	158:24 181:10,25	235:19,25 246:11	listen 167:21	look 6:6 8:25 12:4
227:9,21 246:5	207:1 228:3	247:20 256:6,9	244:25	14:19 16:10 31:1
256:14,15,25	232:19 239:12,12	263:10,13,17	listened 93:9	36:23 55:21
259:21 268:8	241:8 243:21	264:7 272:13	188:11	73:13 78:22
legally 54:20	268:12	Levy's 10:12 77:18	listening 182:17	118:16 121:5
119:22 186:1	letters 11:24 12:2	78:11 87:4,18	litany 243:11	123:24 125:24
legitimate 215:9	13:12 14:8 36:20	172:25 185:7	litigation 58:20	130:23 131:11
length 12:9 32:5	38:20,23 43:18	189:3 191:9	59:1	130:23 131:11
165:3	104:10 113:18	193:20 224:6	little 8:3 14:21	150:17 156:4,18
lengthy 217:22	114:2 158:17	Lewis 2:9 5:12	26:4,5 61:10	160:1 179:10
leniently 76:12	185:2,5 206:22	15:3,4 92:2 98:4	63:15 71:16	183:6 191:8
lent 249:16	209:16 223:15,16	101:6 238:9,17	74:20 89:8	209:10,17 219:24
let's 35:11 72:24	level 55:3 126:23	238:22 239:19	109:15 248:18	220:3 221:24
79:16 207:11	127:9,11 143:24	241:10 242:23	269:19	223:7 225:20
243:6 248:24	147:20,23 211:13	243:9,23,24	live 12:24 23:5	227:11 243:10,24
letter 1:17 3:8,12	211:16 222:19	lie 28:1 113:6,8	41:2 88:9 166:20	248:9 264:24
3:15,16 7:12,14	Levy 4:12 5:13,15	117:15,17 134:13	194:6	271:1,24 274:7
7:17,24 9:16,24	5:20 6:21,22	134:14,15,19	lives 166:21	looked 4:19,21 6:3
11:21,22 13:11	9:22 10:4 12:14	136:19	Llamas 1:4,6	179:18,24 188:5
13:16,16,22 14:4	16:5 17:12 60:21	lied 85:11 95:7,11	51:16,18 53:11	239:16
14:6 15:12 23:16	60:23,23 66:12	95:12,19 132:20	54:15 62:6 74:12	looking 5:8 10:2
34:8,18,20,21,25	66:14 67:5 69:6	133:14,18,21	103:25 109:7	32:23 33:11 38:8
36:4,22 38:6	70:1 72:19 74:1	134:1	112:21 143:11,25	120:5 160:14
39:11 41:11,17	77:3 78:19 89:7	life 160:6	147:21 168:13	170:20 197:5
42:17 43:20,21	89:18 92:4 93:9	light 36:18 69:13	184:10 197:24	looks 168:3 275:2
		9		
	l	l	l	l

				1 age 272
loose 218:23	manage 11:17	22:24 23:13 24:2	175:14 184:25	184:8 187:3,21
248:10	14:16,17	24:12 26:17	193:9 194:19	193:8 199:21
lose 28:11 29:15	managed 98:20	30:16 32:7 44:24	196:13 206:24	204:22 209:21
30:23 231:14	management	49:22 60:10	209:15 218:9	210:21 216:21,22
losing 31:25 85:3	28:12 29:5 97:19	102:19,21 105:18	220:20 221:2	218:16 221:10,18
loss 31:8 43:6,6	98:22 103:17,20	109:24,25 163:19	222:19 223:1	227:25 232:17
44:13,16 45:7	151:5 152:3	192:6 210:5	239:3 240:7	234:1 236:10,11
113:1,21,22,23	153:1 229:2	224:17 252:2	241:6,8 252:9,10	264:16 267:16
115:1,21,22,25	231:7	259:4	253:3 261:17	272:19 273:20
lost 85:10 115:14	managing 97:20	maximise 154:10	262:15,21 263:21	meaning 95:25
lot 12:1 60:25	98:11,25 102:7	McGrail 3:3 8:21	264:21 267:24	250:4
85:24 86:20	195:12	11:18 14:14	270:20 271:4	means 18:20 20:19
98:22 110:4	manifested 55:11	15:14 17:6 21:21	McGrail's 21:10	60:3 87:11 88:24
111:12 121:19,23	77:11	21:25 28:4 29:9	22:8 24:13 27:8	132:21 144:14,25
142:11 167:25	manner 163:17	32:1,22 33:2	30:5 33:17 34:16	248:10
178:13 191:23	168:1 212:6	46:14,16 49:17	72:25 76:24	meant 69:23 85:6
228:18 229:14	mantra 262:6	49:21 50:15 55:2	91:17,19 92:19	94:22 110:1
253:11	map 197:12,20,21	55:8 63:17 75:8	115:16 121:7	125:4,23,23
lots 67:18 248:19	197:22 198:4,8	75:10 76:20	130:25 161:18	145:1 224:5
loud 208:2	March 22:23 24:8	77:20,23 78:4,11	163:24 165:5	measure 246:24
lower 145:25	96:10 97:3	78:18,23 79:10	207:25 208:1	247:14,16
luck 68:22	165:21 197:13,25	79:12,19,24	211:2 219:24	measures 66:21
lunch 92:24 143:5	198:2,5 209:16	80:24 81:1,25	220:4,6 253:14	media 16:7 18:5
lying 17:6	maritime 128:15	82:4,22 85:4,10	263:25 267:24	36:18 129:22
	Mark 242:20	85:21 87:23	268:6	mediator 11:16
M	Marlene 267:8	97:22 98:1,7	me(sic) 19:18	meet 7:2 9:14 10:5
<b>M</b> 2:23 7:12,14 9:5	material 54:21	99:3 100:14,17	mean 5:21 12:3	11:8 12:23 16:6
68:21 106:4	88:4 237:19,22	102:5 103:1	13:13 14:15,25	16:23 17:3 29:6
<b>Madrid</b> 178:15	241:14,19	104:17 110:17	15:1,4 17:4,7	35:11 46:3 48:14
magic 161:21,23	materially 116:12	111:1 112:15	19:1,19 22:5	48:16 91:6 98:6
161:23 263:23	mathematics	113:10,12,22	25:8 27:12,14	103:16 109:23
270:2,21	219:4	114:15 115:7,15	32:7 34:9 35:6	118:20 149:1,5
magistrates' 207:2	matter 6:24,25	117:22 118:24	36:6 42:14 45:14	206:25 236:24
main 86:24 107:5	12:25 15:13	121:8,22 123:14	61:1 71:17 73:3	240:5,9
150:15 263:20	23:18 29:6 32:8	123:18 124:5,12	74:3,22 76:4,17	meeting 3:2,18,19
maintain 50:10,21	47:11,17 49:4	124:23 126:24	82:2,11,19 86:24	3:25 4:3,6 5:14
maintained 91:24	55:10,20,23	127:18 130:14	87:19 88:12	5:19,20,24 6:21
major 59:10 118:2	75:18 76:14	133:18,21 134:15	90:21 94:17	6:22,23 8:17
125:25 144:6	78:10,18 84:21	134:20 135:23	95:23 97:2 98:19	9:18,19,20,21
150:6	85:1,5 88:6	136:3 137:4	99:2,6 101:23	10:25 11:2,4,9
making 8:5,8	93:11,13 160:3,5	139:13,19 141:2	102:6,10 114:22	12:13,16 13:5,9
35:19 121:23	164:9 173:11	142:12 143:24	119:7,20 120:1	15:13 21:17 22:8
137:14 139:15	177:8,10 192:4	145:20 147:10,20	121:22 139:6	24:20 30:9 31:6
141:2 163:12	197:3 205:3	150:10,23,25	144:3 152:17	31:18 32:22 33:2
183:17 197:25	206:14,15 208:5	154:25 155:11	153:10 172:3	35:16 37:4,25
227:7,9 242:21	208:13 210:7	156:4,8,18 158:5	176:9,19 178:5	39:19 40:6,12,14
242:22 268:6	249:4 255:4	158:13 161:16	179:18 180:17	40:17 46:6,8
man 19:11 32:8	268:25 270:14	163:18 164:2	182:10 183:9,11	47:17 52:15,17
67:8 121:9 171:14 269:22	matters 2:16 7:1	167:14 169:8,25	183:19,21 184:5	52:18 63:10,15
1 1/1:14 / <b>n9:</b> //	I	l		
1,1:11,200:22	l			

				1 age 273
63:20,21 64:3,5	230:15,19,20	mentions 271:20	method 122:17	49:23,24,25 50:9
64:14 67:3 76:21	232:2,4,8,9,12,25	mere 180:25	metres 189:25	62:4 66:5 67:8
77:17 78:4 79:14	232:25 233:7	merely 193:6	273:5	67:12,17,24
85:2,9,15 89:13	238:25 239:1,10	merciy 193.0 message 2:12,14	Michael 1:4 21:24	69:18 70:4,12,19
90:4 97:15,17	239:24 240:6,18	2:15,19,22 3:6,10	106:16 127:6	70:22 71:7,10,23
98:4 99:22 100:1	241:1,23 242:14	4:14 5:7 6:4,7,9	143:11,24 147:20	72:3,11 73:13,18
100:2,12,12,16	244:7,8,14	6:17 7:10 10:17	162:10 183:7	73:24 74:5 77:23
100:2,12,12,10	252:11,12 253:4	18:4 19:2 20:14	222:19	78:3,5 79:4 82:6
101:18 102:24	256:2 259:10,20	22:3,9 28:9,19	microphone	83:18 84:1 85:3
103:9 104:17,18	260:10,13 261:1	29:18 30:6,13	215:15	85:9,19 102:2
104:22 105:18	261:2,6,13,16,22	37:13,17 48:15	midday 40:12	107:14 113:17
106:25 110:17,21	262:6,8,12,13,18	49:7,15,16 72:10	47:19	114:3 117:11
110:25 111:1,5	263:20 266:25	72:13,25 73:14	middle 94:16	128:9,12,17,20
111:23 112:12,19	268:22 269:19	76:19 78:15 79:9	238:21 244:23	129:13 130:19
116:12 117:20,21	272:24 273:13,22	81:23 82:19	246:19	131:3 133:7
118:2 122:24	meetings 12:5	87:15 89:22	midnight 17:25	134:15 135:4,21
123:18 125:3	14:13 100:21,22	94:19 117:11	18:1,1	135:22 136:11,15
126:6 127:5	101:2,22,25	124:8 132:16	Mike 122:15	137:3 138:22
131:1,2,14	104:9 111:22,24	137:8 175:19	miles 177:6 198:7	139:3 141:7,23
133:20 135:6,20	116:25 117:6	176:7,10,13	mind 17:5 24:7	146:14,19 155:2
136:7,9,16,23	130:18 149:15,20	190:3,18,23	27:11,16 29:21	155:15,16 157:9
138:4,6 143:14	154:12 168:16,17	191:2,5 244:14	29:22 102:14,18	157:11 159:6,13
143:16,20 145:3	168:19 180:13	272:3,12,15,17	102:20 105:16	160:1,9 161:7
147:25 149:3,10	184:15 187:13,22	273:8,16,21,24	125:19 146:1,3	162:24 165:19
149:12,22,25	188:5 191:10	messages 4:16	160:11 177:11	167:8 175:18
150:10 151:9,10	194:22 223:11	5:11 7:7 17:24	192:5 194:1,4,17	177:15 182:23
151:14,15 152:16	224:8,20,24	32:13 55:17	223:6 237:18	191:17 192:4,13
152:19 153:12	230:5,12 232:3	62:13,19 68:22	259:6 264:13	193:2,16,24
154:3,15 155:14	242:7 251:3	77:20 86:5,8	minded 42:22	195:23 196:4,7
155:23 156:17,22	255:13,24 256:7	130:18 132:14	mine 2:10 181:7	196:15 213:14,23
158:10 160:24,25	263:21 268:16	140:18,21,23	182:11 207:25	215:1 216:21,24
161:11,21 168:21	member 43:25	141:1 179:24	235:23	216:25 217:3,6
168:21 169:21	members 38:9,12	189:19,25 191:6	minimise 225:17	217:12,13,15,15
170:3,15 171:4	43:13 121:25	191:15,19,24	240:23	218:10 251:4,9
172:13,15,24	membership 61:18	192:3 193:19,23	<b>minimum</b> 125:24	252:8,13 253:10
173:13,16 174:1	memory 3:24 64:1	194:1 214:3	minister 5:4 8:20	253:17 254:5
180:14,17,21,25	64:2,10 191:5	272:9,20 273:2	8:22 9:11 11:15	256:22 257:17,20
181:8,14,21,23	menace 167:13	274:12	12:12,16,22 16:3	258:3,9,15,20,23
182:15,19 188:8	mention 49:11	messaging 6:14	16:7,25 17:5	263:10 264:6
189:20,20 190:9	106:21 116:10	90:2	18:4,18,24 19:3	268:2 269:2
190:11,14,19	124:15 148:11	Messrs 23:15	19:15 20:7 22:2	Minister's 12:14
191:3 198:1,18	170:7 188:22	met 6:25 7:11 9:15	22:10,13 23:4	16:6 19:11 20:21
203:9 210:15	mentioned 24:2	10:21 11:6 77:22	26:2,14 28:8	23:1 27:16 31:24
211:1,3 218:13	25:1 28:1 37:4	77:23 87:23	29:17 30:4 31:2	39:21 45:17,18
219:21 220:23	63:14 69:12	89:19,21 91:3,5	31:4,10,19 32:12	136:18,22 167:5
221:2,20,21	108:22 116:18	99:25 112:9	32:14 33:5 34:22	ministers 122:2
222:1,7,15 225:2	145:5 167:15	136:10,12 187:2	35:9 36:21 40:10	215:2
225:12 227:15,18	174:1 178:17	221:25 243:24	41:2 43:14,18	Ministry 121:20
228:1,4,12	260:14 266:11	272:22	46:12 49:16,19	122:1

				1 agc 274
Minster 30:22	38:22 68:12 73:8	242:14 250:24	Needless 208:21	64:21 65:3 66:1
40:14	74:21 75:2 76:15	255:25	needs 117:2 239:4	67:25 68:11,23
minute 68:20	79:25 81:22,22	multiple 130:18	negotiation 210:16	69:19,24 71:21
219:8,17	84:23 87:5		negotiations	72:1,19,20 73:8
minutes 6:13	114:13,19 117:19	N	101:24 121:3	78:12,20 116:2
17:25 18:1,2	118:17,25 119:4	name 130:8,11	negotiator 11:16	173:1,7,23
32:16 52:25 53:2	121:2 122:22	131:19 132:1	Neish 35:25 36:1,2	193:20,24 213:23
93:24 143:2	166:17 168:9	narrative 157:6	47:6	249:16 258:13,17
190:17 269:12,13	181:9 195:3,19	national 115:24	neither 41:20	not?' 208:6
272:11,16 273:10	197:14 198:20	146:6	nervousness 192:1	notably 99:19
273:11,18,24	200:3 233:4	nature 11:20	never 19:7 22:7	note 47:8 64:6
mischaracterisa	245:20 252:16	32:15 33:6 117:6	70:3 74:8,9	107:10 170:15,19
117:14,16 134:18	moments 90:23	148:23 161:4	184:13 186:9	170:23,25 172:2
misfeasance 4:10	163:5 251:19	167:3 185:2	195:6 217:18	172:12 173:20
223:23 238:10	255:23 262:11	191:25 242:8	222:22 223:20	noted 96:22
mishandling 138:8	Monarch 166:12	248:12 255:17	237:18 256:13	notes 40:5 55:23
misinterpretation	Monday 46:9,9	nautical 198:7	262:17 264:10	101:1,21,25
44:2	47:18,22 101:17	<b>NDM</b> 202:14,18	nevertheless 14:9	102:2,11,12
misleading 109:3	151:19 185:10	202:19,21 203:13	26:1	173:17 242:21,23
109:6 125:18	241:15 244:9	204:6,12 205:1	new 38:8,12 43:13	243:6
134:7,8,11	245:8 246:11,12	near 205:24	59:17 79:24	notification
220:10	246:12,21	nearby 176:1	142:19 234:5	192:18
misled 136:25	money 249:16	nearly 162:22	newly 41:20	notified 72:24
misrepresent	months 29:7 86:23	neater 187:6	news 40:10	notify 45:6
106:7	105:2,3 108:7	necessarily 44:12	Nick 35:12 36:12	notwithstanding
misrepresentation	126:17 185:24	64:24 67:15 68:9	37:18 48:13 49:7	17:14 34:10
114:25 142:15	months' 208:3	167:6 182:11	night 3:9 83:22	NSCIS 64:23 65:5
missed 2:24 4:1,3	mooted 240:20	necessary 19:9	94:6 99:20	65:21 68:19 69:2
13:1,5 89:15	moratorium	45:6 67:1 132:19	111:13	116:7 146:5
90:10,11 188:6	227:18 230:16	195:18 220:8	nine 138:15	null 38:3
mistake 112:18,19	232:5 236:1	228:16	213:12,15	number 23:6
112:20 117:4,5,7	morning 1:6,7	need 18:19 20:18	nolle 21:15 22:1,3	98:22 132:12
132:6 133:6	7:13 18:2 20:23	36:17 37:6 60:3	23:25 24:7,8,11	145:8 172:17
137:16 138:13,16	38:6 51:16,17,18	67:5 87:11 88:23	76:8 161:3,15,17	177:13 191:9
138:19,20 139:16	86:4 97:22	128:18 140:10	161:19 162:2,3	206:1 220:16
139:22 140:7	130:17 155:18,25	142:5 148:22	163:1,3,7,12	223:14 228:14
mistaken 53:16	160:17 165:4	157:11 160:10	229:23 230:7	numbers 226:24
mistakes 139:25	167:22 239:8	166:6 175:9	262:11,15,19	numerous 67:10
misunderstanding	251:1 253:24	179:11 183:15	263:22 264:2,13	
212:15 221:4,5	274:22	184:2 191:8	264:14,17,21	0
222:24 223:4,8	<b>Mosche</b> 149:1,6	212:22 214:22	265:15,22 267:6	o'clock 90:9 94:23
Mm 222:20	Moshe 5:13,15,20	216:16 238:7,8	267:17 270:4	135:8 212:20
<b>MOD</b> 122:8,8	6:21,22 89:17	238:15 239:18	271:5	274:24
165:23 167:10	272:13	245:3,5 247:4	non- 229:23	oath 24:2,5 166:13
mode 103:17	motivated 145:14	274:12	non-exercise 20:9	216:5 260:24
model 202:14,18	216:12	needed 98:20	nonsense 165:24	objections 162:11
<b>Mole</b> 79:24	motives 214:4	172:18 181:17	normal 103:24	objective 223:25
moment 19:25	216:13	185:4 206:21	181:1 209:5	objectives 229:19
22:20 27:14	move 118:18	224:13 225:9	North 32:2 64:19	obligation 214:18
		256:16		237:24 255:10
	l	l	ı	ı

				1 486 273
257:6	94:24 159:10	<b>Op</b> 53:25 54:10	outcome 40:16	169:23 260:1
obligations 164:17	161:6 175:25	63:10 64:18	72:9 112:3	papers 47:16
obligatory 236:22	189:2,25 195:23	69:19 70:12,19	125:11 151:1	para 21:11
obliged 247:13	196:3,7,10	71:7,23 72:7,21	153:19 240:23	paragraph 7:19
oblivious 140:22	200:10 216:20	73:19,25 104:6	241:1 244:13	13:24 21:8,9
observe 55:21	266:6 267:23	OPCL 59:20	248:14	23:11 25:19
obtain 109:21	268:24 273:6,8	open 44:1 185:7,8	outline 7:20	38:21 40:20
110:22 195:18	officeholder	235:11	outlined 135:22	42:15 43:22
245:2,3 249:7	196:11	opening 219:20	outrageously 44:8	44:22 45:11
obtained 43:5	officer 107:13,17	225:13 226:17	over-expressive	63:11,16 64:10
obtaining 234:5	116:5 153:23,24	openly 10:23	145:13	64:25 73:2 91:13
obvious 30:10	202:1	operating 127:9	overreaction	91:16 92:8 97:14
43:12 79:23	officers 29:3	Operation 32:1	160:9	104:23,24 105:3
123:25 124:1,21	180:22,23 204:15	158:7 167:10	overriding 87:4	108:3 109:3,6,15
132:3 147:19	206:5,5,7,11	201:20 209:10	owed 49:12	109:17 112:14
159:25 184:23	242:10	255:22 256:15	owner 72:20	115:18 123:6,11
obviously 27:13	offices 59:13,18,19	262:16 266:22	ownership 23:10	123:13 125:10
28:25 37:10	77:18,24 178:5	operational	71:20 72:1	130:23 135:14
87:12 106:23	official 267:14	201:14 205:2	105:17 106:22	145:9 160:23
111:8 136:5	officials 116:24	<b>opinion</b> 223:12	108:10,25 110:1	166:14 197:8,10
190:20,22 212:7	121:20 122:5,11	254:8	116:6,10 126:1,5	203:13 211:21
239:9 244:24	126:7,23 154:1	opportunity 104:3	126:9,16 173:7	219:19 227:4,5
occasion 105:7	oh 89:24	123:20 200:17	176:21 261:25	241:13 267:25
occasions 177:13	okay 35:15 40:13	229:16 237:22		paragraphs 41:18
237:17 263:22	70:17 129:24	240:4 257:13	P	92:22 110:14
occur 184:10,16	135:13 150:4	263:8 265:10,14	P 53:21	112:1,11 197:14
186:5,10	198:19 199:23	opposite 85:18	<b>PA</b> 3:6 6:1 9:9,9	197:15
occurred 113:9	201:8 202:4	95:25 111:20	190:10,10 239:11	parallel 172:8
130:16 186:9	213:6 219:2	opposition 50:12	page 8:2 16:4,9,15	paraphrasing
<b>October</b> 234:21	221:13,22 223:11	267:8,14	16:16 21:18	25:19
256:11	228:17,20 240:5	oppressive 153:5	23:12,21 28:17	pardon 220:2,19
odd 30:21 180:13	242:24 246:25	<b>option</b> 21:25 246:9	28:21 34:19 40:9	224:13 225:21
180:15,18,20,24	247:2 248:6	246:10,16	43:20 47:2 70:8	226:15 229:10
189:21	249:22 250:9,24	options 48:17	86:12 89:8	261:14
offenders' 16:21	253:22 259:17	153:13 185:7	107:10 110:19	Parliament 56:23
offer 22:8 48:10	262:10 265:3,5	233:19 234:2	129:17 143:18	61:19 166:12
161:19	267:19,19 269:7	oral 116:14,16	145:8 192:8	267:12,13
offered 49:10	275:6	225:13 226:16	217:20 219:3	Parliamentary
161:17 200:17	<b>old</b> 112:24	orally 260:10	220:17,19 225:22	59:22
232:17 233:2	omitted 18:5	orchestration	226:24 228:14,19	part 12:1 14:15
264:21	131:13 257:3	262:5	238:6,20,21	16:18 25:21
offering 162:2	once 91:4,7 166:5	order 54:18	239:14 242:18	26:19 28:7 63:24
265:13	195:22 270:7	original 187:15	243:20 244:20	87:7 102:16
office 2:4,5 6:2	one-sided 34:2	Originally 239:1	245:25 246:20	111:4 116:24
7:11 9:6,7 10:14	one-way 188:10	originate 224:25	248:21,23 250:15	145:6,12 150:17
10:16 43:9 46:3	ones 224:1	originates 149:23	269:18	157:5 158:1,2
48:5 56:7,8 58:3	ongoing 70:23	orphans 242:9	pages 21:11 48:6	172:5 177:16
59:17,21,22	108:10	other's 114:2	210:7 245:25	187:1 246:7
83:19 89:18,21	onwards 237:5	ought 159:25	paid 49:12 65:23	260:11 262:2
			panoramic 118:9	

				Page 290
268:5	noguliar 272.4	nomeone 56.10	00.22 00.10	pleased 224.4
	peculiar 273:4	persons 56:18	88:22 89:10	pleased 224:4
participant 269:5	pen 264:5	116:24 117:25	pieces 121:15 Pierre 6:2 190:16	pleasure 274:20
participants	pension 268:18,21	181:1		plotted 197:12,20
110:24	penultimate 43:21	perspective 75:13	place 5:14 39:25	point 4:21 15:21
participate 268:13	109:17	104:4 106:25	64:5 66:20 67:5	18:25 22:11
participated	people 75:6,16,17	154:14 256:25	77:17,19 79:15	24:19 30:13
194:23	75:25 76:11	perspectives	90:8 100:13	31:11 32:20 38:8
participation	106:19 107:1,12	256:14,15	102:3 111:23	43:12 68:21
25:23 130:24	108:17,20 122:3	persuade 231:14	145:23 166:19	73:11 74:13 81:2
131:12,24	142:2 157:17	persuasion 187:14	181:1 189:20,24	85:11,14 96:10
particular 14:19	166:16 168:1	Peter 212:14,18,24	222:11	104:1 128:16
17:1,7 20:24	199:13 201:22,24	213:8,9 220:1,5	<b>places</b> 141:11	131:17 133:25
27:12 28:2 29:6	205:5 206:11	220:12 226:11,15	<b>plainly</b> 203:23	137:1 140:19
30:17 54:2 71:19	212:3 238:1	226:23 229:9,13	<b>plan</b> 174:2,3	156:15 178:20
71:24 77:11	242:12 266:10,19	236:7,10 237:12	184:17 203:24	197:3 213:12,15
98:15 101:13	peradventure	237:15 263:15	204:10 205:9	228:22 233:5
117:3 121:21	112:8 125:17	265:4,6,21 269:8	206:11,13 209:4	241:13 242:16
225:2	126:22 127:16	269:14 271:18	209:4 212:2	247:9 267:22
particularly 4:8	128:3 168:24	petty 166:21	261:3	271:23
17:9,13 25:8	perceived 38:13	phases 178:12,12	<b>plane</b> 166:18	pointed 227:14
49:2 54:13 60:22	perception 43:11	<b>phone</b> 16:13 17:16	plank 85:2	pointing 158:9
118:16 121:2	Perez 23:15	80:1 87:2 243:23	planned 233:22,24	points 29:8 124:15
130:20 174:23	107:16	phones 16:22	233:25	124:19 146:12
242:25	perfectly 119:8	191:14 192:2	planning 46:8	213:10 274:12
partner 66:8 67:14	154:21	phrase 144:25	plans 261:8	police 3:20 4:7,20
72:18	perform 41:21	Picardo 60:15	platform 64:23	25:17 27:2 30:5
partners 66:23	42:3	66:3,7,10,21	65:5 68:19	33:21,25 36:16
68:3,7,8	period 44:10 74:24	68:10,21 69:1	108:11 116:7	37:24 38:1,5,15
partnership	91:9 230:17	72:17 74:13	play 268:5	38:19 40:23
176:22	268:2	76:24 78:17	playing 12:3	41:12,25 42:4,12
parts 21:20 128:8	periphery 50:25	79:18 81:4 86:6	PLC 157:10,14	43:19 44:24
260:7,8	permission 15:1	86:7 87:9,22	158:1,3	45:10 46:3 67:21
party 267:11	permitted 53:13	88:8 102:4,25	please 1:10,14	73:20,21 75:11
passed 4:17 8:22	person 10:5 18:13	129:6 132:15	2:18 4:18 5:6 7:6	77:7 82:7,9,13,16
141:6	44:7 61:15,25	133:3 136:3	15:17 21:7 22:22	83:20 108:14
passing 74:24	66:15 67:6 74:1	142:4,5 165:20	31:1 32:10 35:8	109:23 112:25
121:6 140:21	81:12 116:2	165:21 180:6	37:12 40:8 41:14	113:2 117:24
path 184:12,14,24	141:17 142:14	195:25 196:3	47:1,18 50:11	118:21 120:9
215:19,23 216:18	169:22 183:22	236:13,19	56:1 63:11 88:10	125:21 143:23
Paul 3:16 197:16	193:8 222:25	Picardo's 165:17	89:7 91:12 96:8	146:16,21 153:23
197:23 241:5	personae 266:10	176:3	104:23 106:1	154:13,18 155:1
249:21	personal 2:15 6:17	pick 6:6,10,15	110:20 115:18	155:7 158:25
pause 97:14,16	12:10 16:21 32:2	108:2 121:15	128:6 143:15	159:8,14 162:6
103:13,14 217:24	55:3 66:11 88:10	182:8 191:2	157:3 158:23	164:10,15 169:25
267:21	143:24 147:17,20	243:22,23	160:22 170:19	180:23 184:15,16
pausing 157:15	147:23 222:19	picked 144:16	182:1 192:7	184:23 185:18,21
pausing 137.13	258:12 259:23	222:5 227:5	194:16 217:19	185:22 186:3,6
payasos 24:21	personally 60:14	picking 18:8 43:22	221:14 241:16	187:25 188:22
payment 160:6	60:20 179:7,14	picture 50:1,4 73:9	242:17 269:17	195:17 201:1,9
payment 100.0	00.40 1/3./,14	picture 30.1,4 /3:9	∠ <del>1</del> ∠.1 / ∠09.1 /	193.1/201.1,9
				l

				1 480 277
201:10,15,17,21	161:14 224:3	108:24	primary 57:12	proceeded 211:6
203:18 204:15	231:13 238:16	prefer 51:10	214:17	proceeding 18:19
205:6 209:2	240:18 247:9	186:22	principal 31:25	76:1 87:10 261:3
213:25,25 214:6	possible 44:1 70:8	preference 212:16	57:18 59:4	261:8
222:18 224:8	92:1 231:6	213:1	105:15 227:17	proceedings 18:12
233:21 236:23	232:23 257:16	preferred 36:16	230:18	18:23 19:16 20:3
242:10,18,22	261:5 271:3,11	prejudice 159:18	principle 183:13	21:4 56:12,13,14
244:2 245:11,15	274:1	231:10	184:1 214:23	57:2,5 229:24
246:8 248:4,7	possibly 3:25 27:5	premature 268:6	215:4,7	263:14
250:16,22 253:18	33:13 91:4,8	premised 257:5	Principles 214:8,9	process 9:25 25:21
254:6,21 256:10	160:14	prepared 6:16	214:11 215:18	26:3,15 27:4,6,21
261:7 270:1	post 57:20 59:5,25	11:10 13:15	prior 55:2,8 57:21	32:6 35:19 36:24
<b>Police'</b> 47:10	127:20 131:19	23:24 41:9	60:25 198:23	37:9 40:19,22
police's 236:16	268:7	preparing 31:16	199:2 200:5	41:7 43:16 48:3
<b>policing</b> 55:10,19	<b>postpone</b> 244:7,8	98:2	private 94:12	48:25 50:22,24
55:22 121:7,12	249:3	presence 246:17	119:10,12 120:16	59:24 96:21
122:17,22	potential 54:7	252:9 254:5	191:25 220:22	104:8 113:13,25
<b>Polie</b> 41:22	118:11 160:6	present 41:6	221:21 258:21	115:11 128:6
<b>polite</b> 188:13	261:19	149:22	259:12,13,17,19	130:20 131:8,12
political 29:2	potentially 22:18	presentation 265:2	260:16,18	131:24 137:25
119:16,17 214:21	66:15 108:20	presently 41:4	privileged 54:21	160:13 170:9
267:3	116:2 208:4	preserve 28:5	probably 63:22	171:13 205:22
politically 120:1,2	270:16	pressure 126:7	65:17 67:12 68:7	208:23,24 209:6
120:2	power 4:11 18:9	154:4,9 155:11	84:13 93:23	209:12
politician 183:16	19:4 20:9 21:1,5	155:13 182:24	120:2 143:2	procured 7:22
214:14	22:18 42:5,5,8,22	245:22	148:12 171:8	produced 34:2
Politics 50:18	56:9 57:13 61:17	pressured 155:7	190:4 193:21	164:20,23
<b>Pop</b> 189:14	82:16 153:25	224:9	<b>probity</b> 128:10,15	producing 126:12
popped 10:15	180:8 186:11	pressurised	130:13	professional 35:2
position 24:11	263:23 270:9,12	250:22	problem 7:13	66:11 205:15
32:5 38:1 41:19	powers 42:1 43:1	presumably 50:16	155:6 210:12,12	profile 120:9
42:11,13,20	56:16,17,20,24	<b>pretty</b> 30:10 34:23	210:13	138:9
45:13 46:21	57:4 61:12	90:21,22 91:5	problems 29:2	prominence 168:6
47:20 50:21	114:15,21 115:3	149:5	120:11	pronounce 250:9
53:19 94:21	140:4 162:25	<b>prevent</b> 44:6 214:5	procedural 26:8	<b>proper</b> 8:10 91:23
108:9 111:19	231:24	215:15 261:2	35:18 39:12,23	159:18 166:7
122:13 126:15	practice 16:22	prevented 75:25	40:3 49:1 50:24	254:25 255:16,20
132:9,24 134:25	58:1	76:2 213:25	131:18	269:13
136:14 139:2	preceded 192:14	236:15 252:5	procedure 77:5	properly 119:20
142:13 165:24	preceding 47:2	previous 3:9 28:21	85:7,25 130:25	119:22,25 120:1
184:5 225:16	precise 172:25	36:25 99:19	procedures 172:8	120:3,3,4 186:2
235:23 242:20	precisely 55:4	106:8 111:8	172:9	proposal 20:21
243:11,16 259:24	80:20 237:18	112:11 129:17	proceed 23:18	59:12 109:21
positions 113:20	predecessor 57:24	136:20,21 150:10	39:18 76:18	110:22 151:13
177:6	predecessors	173:15,23 181:11	123:15 133:24	185:14 228:4
possibilities	57:22 58:4	200:1 219:3	134:17 163:16	proposals 187:15
153:16	predictable 50:2	previously 225:4	185:6 195:5	propose 88:19
possibility 26:19 117:1 153:3	50:17	primarily 157:8	212:16 224:3	225:6 229:24
11/:1 133:3	predominantly	157:13	225:9 231:20	235:10
	l	l	l	l

				Page 298
proposed 38:10	provision 18:16	274:5	261:25 262:19	raises 160:5
231:3	61:20 65:5	putting 88:2 126:7	265:17 269:12	raising 161:1
proposing 19:15	provoked 99:15	154:8 210:19	272:20	ran 75:20 122:12
225:1	prudent 122:23	225:23 226:6	Questioned 1:5	rarely 105:11
propriety 92:25	123:7,11 125:22	265:9	51:15 143:12	rationalisation
94:1 216:24	prudently 123:16	puzzled 5:23	168:18 196:21	105:16 106:21
prosecute 270:18	123:21	Pyle 26:17,21,22	213:8	108:25 112:7
271:7	public 38:9 56:8	27:25 31:3,4,19	questioner 259:18	125:15 126:1,3
prosecution 21:15	58:9,17 63:2	34:22 35:12	questioning 237:9	218:4,20
67:7 105:8	66:18 71:22 72:6	36:12,13 37:13	257:4,8	re-assess 236:3
163:13 186:12,18	81:3,13 105:8	37:18 39:8 40:19	questions 51:4	re-reading 97:13
186:19,20 252:4	121:24 153:22	41:16 46:11,19	61:18 168:12	reach 111:14
263:4 270:7	154:15 157:14,16	47:3,25 48:4,8,13	196:19 208:5	127:6
271:6	157:19 169:24	48:18 49:17 50:9	211:10 226:14	reached 112:4
prosecutions 54:5	186:20 228:7	130:20 136:3,6	229:20 246:14	125:12 147:9
58:10,17 66:20	235:20 251:20	136:11,23 137:19	247:3 257:11,14	149:20 153:19
71:22 81:13	270:17	137:23,24 138:3	265:9	154:22 175:14
153:22 154:15	publication 29:24	138:23,25 139:15	quick 88:4 219:3	react 30:13 176:16
228:8 235:21	publicly 25:2	140:16,17 141:1	quicker 187:6	reacted 78:14
prosecutorial	published 16:10	142:16 165:17,19	190:1 229:18	133:10
183:17 200:4	pull 21:23 156:17	198:1,3,17 268:2	273:6	reacting 30:15
prosequi 161:3	pure 124:23	269:1	quickly 36:18	87:3
265:22	purport 95:24	Pyle's 27:7,11	78:13 80:25 81:9	reaction 84:23
protect 145:15	purpose 21:3	45:21 46:3	81:10 86:3 103:2	87:1 133:15
187:18 195:22	34:14 65:4,11	135:17	126:4 177:22	146:7 171:21
217:5,6 256:9	106:24 118:2		198:22	176:4 181:24
263:9,9,10 264:4	156:8 223:13	Q	quieter 187:6	219:6,6,12,14,24
protected 196:4,9	purposes 202:21	qualification 93:7	quietly 239:19	220:4,6 222:14
211:14,17 264:5	223:14	Queen's 178:6,7	quite 34:12 42:17	232:10 264:22
264:6,7	pursue 236:17	question 14:22	43:12 48:2 57:22	reactions 86:22
protecting 157:9	pushed 127:19	20:11 22:3 36:8	94:19 98:22	219:15
157:13 161:6	pushing 232:10	41:15 43:11 50:7	114:8 122:16	read 3:14 17:18
196:9,10 199:10	put 53:18 66:20	52:1 62:18 69:5	142:11 150:5	35:2 47:7 49:8
200:12 216:20	67:5 86:3 97:10	71:8 73:17 78:16	168:16 171:10	63:18 109:9
263:12	107:9 122:20	79:17 81:15,17	178:4 196:19	131:9 202:15,21
protection 157:12	124:10 129:10	84:17 88:14	198:22 207:11	204:23 207:24
212:2 235:25	132:2 154:5	101:20 113:5	228:17 231:12	208:2 211:1
protocol 121:18	157:3 161:25	124:7 127:23,24	239:21 250:8	217:23,24 218:1
<b>prove</b> 189:19	164:20,24 173:20	140:10,24 142:5	265:19	218:18 220:5,8
<b>provide</b> 29:9 45:5	206:5 210:20,20	142:22 146:18	quorate 38:2	220:13 239:2,11
45:12 62:10	212:1 215:16	152:1 155:24	quoting 83:10	240:25 260:8
126:8 226:4	217:19 219:19	156:11,13 166:16		reading 101:12
248:2	224:13 226:7,8	169:2,4 172:6	<u>R</u>	220:7 240:13,15
provided 1:19	227:19 228:4	176:11 178:1,3	radar 208:7	ready 191:6
5:21,22 23:7,19	229:20 230:17	183:25 187:4,24	raise 23:10 55:13	228:21 272:11
55:16 56:4 65:22	242:16 244:15,22	192:8 193:25	107:12 163:23	273:12,21
provides 41:25	251:1,19,25	194:11 198:21	raised 21:16,25	real 75:23 99:12
244:3	252:3 259:15	199:22,22 206:5	22:2 23:15 160:3	139:1 167:13
providing 65:22	261:21 265:17	211:14 239:17	172:6 262:19	242:3
		245:17 259:6	263:21 271:13,15	

				Page 299
realise 74:11	268:17	136:6	magandlass 216.22	244:17
realised 73:8 80:9	recalled 24:19	records 90:24	regardless 216:22 216:23,24 217:1	relevance 47:14
80:15 87:21	recap 102:13	136:22	255:9,11 260:17	relevant 21:19
146:14,19	242:19	red 16:11 177:14	Regiment 107:18	22:7,19 38:18,25
reality 164:3,6	receipt 82:3 159:1	redacted 6:17	regret 94:9 103:9	42:14 45:2 47:11
185:9	receive 185:1	272:7	127:15 128:2	58:19,25 59:6
really 49:1 58:2	262:22 263:1	refer 19:20 93:7	145:17	134:19 173:9,11
73:16 122:13	received 9:25	108:15 165:25	rehearsed 244:14	173:12 264:13,15
146:3 159:13	11:22 24:15 34:8	167:19 191:9	246:17	rely 21:24 214:22
167:23 168:4	64:11 67:3 78:22	197:15 216:20	rehearsing 176:19	remain 41:2 42:13
178:19 184:6	80:9,15 81:23	reference 3:11 9:3	reject 263:6	remained 200:10
185:25 209:10,13	86:22 105:7	17:12 18:21 23:6	rejected 208:22	remaining 150:13
227:25 265:4	126:14 131:10	46:18 50:3 56:3	263:7	remark 226:17
269:12	134:16 159:11	56:21 138:12	related 108:13	remarkable 32:16
reason 19:23 24:3	175:15 188:6	179:25 192:14	262:11 266:15	33:7
31:25 59:2,10	204:8	193:1 197:25	relates 57:13	remember 4:15
70:11 97:21	receives 79:9	203:13,20 204:7	86:16 147:8	5:24 9:19 10:11
108:5,16 123:18	receiving 97:1	213:14 227:13	relating 61:17	11:5 13:18 24:25
149:4 154:7	106:11 129:15	238:12,14 239:5	63:10 82:13	27:11,15,19
205:18 212:23	recipient 78:13	250:5	86:15 104:6	31:21 33:4 34:17
247:23 253:22	82:18	references 129:7	158:7 159:1	35:22 36:7 40:1
reasons 7:20 23:25	recognise 44:20	130:18 217:9	relation 1:13 6:23	42:17 48:23,23
24:5,6 28:10	166:6 199:17	228:14 240:23	6:25 7:3 17:5	49:18 50:4 52:7
31:8 75:8 81:25	246:15	<b>Referendum</b> 60:19	23:23 25:15	62:22 65:8,8
85:3 94:4 95:4,5	recognised 44:19	referred 33:8	39:22 44:24 49:1	69:14 70:6 77:12
96:6 98:12	169:19	47:25 50:13	50:17,24 53:1,25	80:20 83:22,25
101:14 113:7	recognising 36:17	148:14 202:14	55:9 60:11 68:19	83:25 84:2,6,9
122:3 123:8,20	recollect 5:18 43:3	203:1 210:5	69:2 71:6,17	86:21 97:1,5
123:25 160:14	46:5,6 65:11	238:17	82:7,9 85:6 87:4	98:1,3,9 105:20
176:24 252:22	74:4 155:25	referring 15:8,15	97:15 108:9	108:19 114:11,17
253:14 265:23	189:21 272:23	18:16 21:9 22:14	109:24 114:15	129:2,14 135:18
266:2,9,17,18,24	recollection 7:16	24:20 32:20	142:11 145:12	158:15 170:6,11
267:6,16,18	31:12 37:7 45:22	46:23 110:21	158:16 159:23	171:11,16,19,19
reassurance 95:17	65:18,19 84:15	140:11 150:22	165:14 167:25	171:25 172:3,5,5
reassure 95:20	100:10 101:12	167:8 182:15	193:5,13 194:2	172:20 173:2,5
rebuttal 45:5	109:1 114:6,7	270:4 271:5,6	197:9 198:14	175:22,25 176:3
recall 5:19 6:20,22	134:24 161:5,11	272:12	208:12 221:5	176:8,9,10,14
6:23 30:15 33:23	180:19 204:5	refers 13:17 21:10	223:21 241:12	178:10,17 179:1
34:24 35:15 64:8	230:20 271:12	86:13 171:4	258:16,20 259:2	179:22 182:1
90:4 96:17	272:25 274:9	reflect 15:21 83:17	264:22 267:22	188:13 189:12
108:18 145:12	record 39:7 45:4	111:18 128:18	relations 200:16	190:13,14 191:1
158:4,8,12,13,15	53:19 124:2	reflected 36:14	relationship 1:11	198:2,7 204:22
158:22 161:1	165:7,13,16	39:1 61:16	2:7 12:10 55:1,6	213:16 215:20
172:16 174:17,20	189:17 210:9	111:25 194:21	55:11 66:11	219:10 226:14
202:13 224:17	241:18	refuse 70:25 71:12	78:11,19 121:4	253:5 257:18
225:22 226:5	recorded 21:11	refute 104:10	147:12 164:16	259:14 262:25
229:4 230:14,18	34:4 178:11	regard 45:3	176:5	268:21,23 269:3
237:18 251:5	180:13	135:24	relatively 155:19	remembered
253:8 259:11	recording 13:13	regarding 23:8	relaxed 150:8	84:12 110:10
	l	I		I

				1 age 300
161:8	241:22 250:10	41:17 45:17,19	99:10,12 104:8	58:7,11 63:7,22
remind 16:17	representations	45:25 98:2	106:9 109:18	64:19 78:6 83:18
52:15 184:3	28:5 39:4 262:22	171:11 218:15,16	112:5 120:25	89:9,23,24 90:1,8
197:6,19 207:20	263:2	responses 179:25	125:12 126:10,14	90:17 91:4 92:12
reminded 1:16,23	represented 53:23	responsibilities	132:18 134:16	94:7 99:8 102:17
6:1 52:5,8,12	reproduce 21:19	142:10 212:5	148:2,4,9,18	103:3,10,11,12
reminding 215:17	reputation 75:4,5	responsibility	149:2,8 154:11	103:3,10,11,12
remit 56:24	75:15,20,24	41:22 42:3 54:5	166:8 167:20	110:3 114:16
remotely 44:1	76:11 199:11	66:19 199:18	173:16 175:16	118:3 120:23
removal 25:16	200:13 217:5	201:10	187:7 206:14,15	125:6 129:20
267:22 268:1	reputational	responsible 201:25	211:22 223:15	130:21 141:8
removed 48:3	118:12 120:25	responsive 91:13	231:11,14,24	142:1 156:5
213:15	122:21 252:2	rest 68:8	232:17 233:2,8	160:6,15 162:9
repeat 95:2 146:18	request 29:14	restructure 59:13	233:10,19 234:4	163:5,11 169:17
repeated 210:21	213:12 263:6	59:24	234:9,15,16	173:25 175:7
repeatedly 44:10	requested 192:22	result 105:7	236:2 237:25	176:15 195:18,23
repeating 187:24	required 121:15	149:20	240:7,8 243:11	197:17 203:7
replaced 193:3	193:6 257:23	results 149:19	244:1 247:11,13	206:2,9 207:15
replied 94:25	requirement 29:13	retire 46:19 48:10	252:19,23	210:4,25 214:10
217:8	58:23 61:20	114:16 140:5	<b>RGP's</b> 105:10	232:14 237:16
reply 17:20 20:22	requires 58:18	retire' 47:7	174:2,3,15,18	250:24 270:6,13
32:15 33:7,18	166:3 214:25	retired 85:13	224:3 225:17	272:1
69:20 88:4 98:8	215:11 247:18,19	139:19	230:2 234:20	rightly 11:18
104:10 142:22	research 62:15	retirement 24:14	235:5 243:16	157:24 185:1
167:4,12 185:4	resent 44:11	25:16 27:8 47:10	Rhoda 58:3,6	<b>rights</b> 160:6
192:10 201:2	reserve 243:11,15	48:1 85:7,21	Richardson 3:16	risk 122:21 146:5
203:2 205:11	resign 38:5 114:16	113:12 253:14	14:14 34:5 75:9	risks 118:12 119:4
209:7 211:23	resignation 25:16	267:24 268:7,14	75:10 98:16	120:25
227:6 241:5,8	38:16 42:6 47:21	retrace 3:22 72:24	110:18 111:2	rival 116:1
248:25	48:1	100:10 136:13	149:23 152:9	robust 249:21
replying 50:14	resist 221:22	retraced 137:23	163:18 169:10,19	<b>Rocca</b> 96:9 106:3
131:5 238:8	resisting 247:9	retracing 79:17	171:11 181:3	107:8 173:15,22
270:24	resolve 36:17	return 194:11	182:12 185:14	174:10 194:20
report 16:9,10	207:15 209:12	returned 89:15	194:20 197:23	203:8,11,16
86:12 159:10	resolving 206:21	96:17 234:23	202:2 203:8	204:13,17,19
164:11 182:20	respect 166:7,9,10	reveal 23:25	205:6 206:6	205:8,16,24
183:9 197:15,17	166:11 171:10	revert 49:12	220:21 223:24	206:4 210:3
205:2 215:17	173:12 192:21,24	reverting 259:10	239:13 241:10,16	225:4 227:1
233:19 234:2	225:12 260:10	review 45:18	243:12 245:17	228:6 231:22
237:25 255:10	respectively	47:16 129:7	246:13,23 247:6	238:6 243:19
267:18	128:21	208:16 227:10	247:15 249:15,25	244:5 245:18
reported 134:14	respond 14:8	240:7 244:9	250:6 260:19,23	246:1,6 249:7
164:2	30:14 36:13	revisit 237:16	261:12	264:19 265:18
reporting 138:4	91:25	<b>RGP</b> 10:21 11:10	Richardson's	Rocca's 187:5
140:12 159:9	responded 46:19	11:14 13:14 14:7	118:7 171:21	205:19 229:5,6
reports 24:16	responding 91:16	14:20,23 24:21	181:6 260:4,6	266:6
55:18 86:20,23	responds 32:14	28:12,24 29:5	riddance 166:21	role 11:12 12:3
88:5	response 7:13	43:25 44:6 72:21	right 13:7 37:3	14:16 25:15,20
representation	23:20 36:22	77:17,24 98:5,15	51:21,22 57:11	27:6 30:5 44:23

				Page 301
49.25 55.25 57.0		222.20.220.19	22.7	271.16
48:25 55:25 57:9	saw 5:22 19:21,22	222:20 229:18	secretary 23:7	271:16
57:12,16 59:3,7 131:25 169:23	48:25 86:4,18,20	239:2 241:6,10	261:24 269:1	seeing 97:5 129:2
	110:7 114:7,24	241:16 245:15,18	Secretary's 268:24 section 7:19 16:19	214:1 seek 229:21
182:8 198:24	118:11 119:4	245:19 246:1,8		
199:3,18,25	121:22 122:14	246:23 270:1,20	18:7 27:9,9	231:11 258:15,23
200:7 201:14	143:21 144:2,19	272:10	29:14 38:15	258:25
234:14,19 267:22	147:4 181:7	scale 145:25	40:23 41:22,24	seeking 3:8 20:8
270:17	198:10,13 205:2	Scratch 263:17	41:25 42:11,23	95:22 108:4,4
roles 211:22	219:19 222:17	screen 9:3 37:19	43:2 47:12 56:2	157:1 159:17
room 28:3 81:11	saying 5:11 6:5,21	42:15 56:2 61:11	56:5,25 57:4	235:7
81:14 82:4	25:8 26:18 30:22	65:1 129:20	58:18 61:9,13,16	seeks 105:11
153:20,25 155:3	37:3 46:20 49:7	147:18 171:6	86:14 88:6,6	seen 4:24 23:23
181:16	68:1 92:4 95:12	203:3 217:19,21	114:14,21 135:8	31:15 32:24
round 204:14	95:16,18 106:3	220:15 228:15	135:12 140:4	36:20 114:9
<b>Royal</b> 47:10	115:9 124:25	screw 166:4	162:25 163:2	118:11,14 122:18
107:18 162:5	135:18 137:3	scroll 125:9	179:24 180:6	122:19 151:8
<b>RPG</b> 242:3	140:19 144:1	scrutiny 36:19	199:23 201:12	152:7 168:2
rude 193:14	145:4 155:13	sea 33:10 130:2	sections 38:19	184:18 204:1,16
<b>rules</b> 67:6	159:12 161:22	159:3 163:20	128:8 151:9	221:18 228:18
run 68:7 75:7,16	162:2,5 167:1,12	sealed 227:8	200:25 201:8	230:15 269:25
75:22 122:12	171:13,22 175:16	search 17:12 18:18	secure 27:8 38:16	274:11
	185:22 187:17	78:3 86:15,17	76:18	selected 266:19
<u>S</u>	207:9 215:20	87:9,13,18 99:13	security 115:24	selection 172:18
<b>S-H-I-T</b> 250:7	227:6 232:14	109:21 110:9,23	146:6	selective 248:19
<b>Sabbath</b> 10:13,13	238:9 239:4	110:25 111:11	see 2:21 5:12 10:19	send 72:10,12,15
sabbatical 66:8	240:10 241:13	116:18 132:20,24	11:19 14:3 17:9	127:7 167:1
sad 34:9	242:24 249:1	133:24 134:17	19:5 28:3 30:1	243:25 273:15
safe 76:19	261:15 266:17	135:25 140:8	31:14 32:14 33:5	sending 6:8 16:25
safeguard 251:16	271:9 272:17	141:20 144:5,10	34:7,11 38:22	17:8 19:24 28:13
safeguards 251:3	says 2:23 7:11,19	147:1 174:4,19	40:16 47:23	86:9,10 88:3,21
sake 134:23	8:3 15:8 16:9	175:9,10 203:24	50:20 54:11	94:9 96:2 135:3
152:25 197:16	18:9 20:15 21:21	208:12 209:20	55:21,24 61:6,7	140:18,23 141:1
<b>salary</b> 49:14	21:22 23:9,12	218:12 248:13	67:16 68:17	183:2,18,23
Sanchez 116:3	28:15,16,18,20	261:3,8	70:14 87:19 90:7	189:15 215:16
170:8 171:12,20	29:8,15 33:5,6	seat 267:12	94:18 97:23	273:4
172:6 263:9	35:11 36:13	second 6:7 7:19	98:12,13 111:9	sends 16:7 18:4
sanctioned 132:25	37:14 40:10,11	21:8 24:10 38:21	114:2 125:16	49:25 129:6,13
Santos 1:5,6,12	40:20 47:3 48:13	44:22 91:14,15	129:16,18,19,22	189:22 218:9
14:12 17:19 20:4	56:6 85:9 87:9	104:18 108:2	130:5 133:14	248:25
28:6 30:16 51:2	96:11 108:4,22	110:16 125:10	140:10 150:1	senior 28:11 29:5
51:6 70:21 71:16	112:3 128:10	135:16 190:17	161:25 190:17,22	109:22 117:25
78:8 85:23 86:25	129:6 134:20	192:7 197:7	192:9 197:13	122:11 126:23
87:7 111:15	135:18 137:6,19	206:3,25 220:9	206:24 209:16	153:23 180:22
129:23 130:17	138:2,3,7 150:11	220:17 248:22	220:18 221:14,23	202:1 240:10
228:13 229:8,12	150:23,25 160:2	264:16 273:16	221:23 223:11	242:10
272:2 274:16,23	160:25 165:21	secondly 5:19	226:1,25 238:5	sense 88:14 95:13
274:25 275:4,7	189:24 191:5	43:11 145:24	238:12 241:3	112:22 119:6,17
Santos' 228:22	197:13 204:12	seconds 219:9	248:20,22 250:18	128:14 182:4
sat 31:14 67:2	218:22 220:18	secret 121:8	256:19 269:20	216:12 224:7
satisfy 247:11	210.22 220.10	55616612110	200.17 207.20	210.12 22 1.7
•	l		l	

				1 450 302
245:20 270:14	25:24 28:10	207:1 232:24	106:7 117:3	138:18 145:8
sensible 243:7	53:19 57:10	show 16:15 41:14	128:19 150:15	148:8 156:13
sensing 181:3	63:17 64:22	95:19 140:19	154:5,9 157:2	157:4 158:25
sensitive 75:17,18	65:10 73:1 85:13	166:6 184:11	166:3 183:7	160:23 164:22
sent 3:15,16 4:23	96:14 99:10	190:16,23	185:12 195:13	196:25 199:1
6:5 7:18,24 9:16	234:1 273:14	showed 104:16	210:23 223:9	208:1 211:15
14:4 15:22 17:25	sets 22:24 29:8	115:13 197:22	257:22 273:4	226:1,7,12,19
18:7 22:13,23	65:18 136:19	showing 215:19	six 88:1 171:1,8	257:25 259:2
28:9,18,20 33:17	138:7	216:17	198:7 208:21	265:3 272:5
34:18 43:18	seven 208:21	shown 106:2	240:2	sort 67:6 73:13
46:13,14 64:6	227:7,18 230:16	162:24 198:4	slightest 231:23	163:1 205:24
77:20 87:16	232:5 236:1	shows 136:5 242:5	slower 241:17	sorting 210:17
88:21 96:9	seventh 241:13	shows 130.3 242.3 shut 227:19	small 266:20	sought 126:25
101:15 112:24	shake 127:4	230:17	smail 200.20 smoking 196:14	261:7
114:8 131:4	shape 73:15	shutting 89:2,4	217:10	sound 112:13
134:4 137:19	shape 73.13 share 58:1 174:11	side 70:16 185:16	so-called 55:18	250:21
139:17 158:24	176:20 257:10	222:13	167:10	soundboard 26:14
171:24 176:12	266:18 267:5	significance 95:25	socially 61:6,7,8	26:22
181:11 182:20	shared 30:4 184:7	significant 173:3	soft 169:6	sounding 193:14
184:8 189:24	184:8 266:24	significantly 135:1	software 108:11	source 10:19
191:4 202:24	267:7	silk 58:6	solicitors 262:25	185:25
203:7 218:10	shareholder 67:14	similar 175:18	solve 210:12	
219:4 238:23	67:24	simple 227:3	somebody 15:3	sovereignty 122:9 Spain 28:23
239:13 261:24	shareholders 68:4	simple 227.3 simply 12:5 52:20	60:23 147:10	Spanish 144:12,13
273:9,21	shareholding	135:4 177:2	183:21 191:19	197:18 248:9
sentence 8:2	173:1	187:7	230:6 243:8	speak 13:3 26:18
110:20 262:15	shares 65:25 116:1	simultaneously	265:24	31:11 70:12,25
separate 14:10	sharing 142:10	233:5	somewhat 121:10	71:6,11,23,25
158:10 167:2	163:21,25	sir 83:25 168:11	son 6:2,2,9,14	78:23 79:14
267:14	Shawcross 183:12	197:2 212:14,18	189:3 190:15	80:24 90:20
separately 158:11	183:20 214:8,9	212:18,24 213:8	272:4 273:9	93:12 188:16,17
sequel 60:19	214:10,23 215:4	213:9 220:1,5,6	soon 106:18 114:8	198:16 236:24
series 42:1	214.10,23 213.4	220:12,14 222:2	166:18,22 232:23	253:17 265:10
serious 11:23	shock 86:2 104:1	226:11,15,19,23	271:3,10	speaking 92:25
98:14 99:7,10	144:22	229:9,13 236:7	sorry 2:2 3:10 4:5	96:3 100:6
106:18 127:21	shocked 44:11	236:10,12 237:12	13:25 14:1 15:11	108:19 117:22
128:17 131:5	103:1	237:15 241:4	15:17 22:11	133:3 168:1
135:23 146:4	shop 121:11	242:23 263:15,16	37:16,17 42:16	220:24 239:17
158:17 159:10	short 11:9 19:6	265:3,4,6,15,21	46:13 47:2 49:6	special 194:8,10
185:4 206:23	51:13 93:8 101:3	267:20 269:8,8	50:10 52:1,17	194:12,17,23
207:18	101:9 102:6	269:11,14 271:18	62:17 63:21	specific 182:15
seriously 54:15	143:9 155:19	sit 45:12 227:7	69:19 70:14,15	199:23 209:18
181:4 244:4,5	163:19 188:10,24	sitting 94:23	71:8 72:19 76:6	223:23
servant 116:3	193:14 212:12	273:17	79:8 90:2,8,10	specifically 87:17
served 58:15	225:25 266:24	situation 11:17	93:20 101:20	specifics 146:11
service 64:22 65:5	267:21 275:2	14:18 41:24	102:5 105:22	speculate 82:20,23
65:21	shorthand 207:12	45:16 58:2 98:19	109:5,15 110:2	spend 262:10
Services 38:10	shortly 7:6 31:22	99:3,9 102:8	114:16 123:10,12	spending 150:5
set 7:19 21:18	77:21 160:25	103:23,24 104:13	129:16 135:16,19	228:17
	====================================			,
				ī .

				1 age 303
spent 121:16,19	37:8 50:16 63:2	186:12,17,18	substance 69:10	245:4
152:15 178:13	63:12,18,20,25	207:4 213:7	179:16	suppose 4:4 61:5
spoke 40:2 84:1	65:15 91:14,14	217:10 222:12,13	substantially	101:14 116:17
89:10 90:6,12,16	91:17 92:9,17	230:11 242:24	225:16	177:3 183:6
90:18 100:4,8,9	103:5 111:17	251:21 252:6	substantive 148:23	268:10
100:11 108:19	115:21 116:14,16	254:4	succeed 212:19	supposed 100:13
132:16 142:10	116:19 122:15	stopped 76:18	such' 18:20 20:19	253:13
148:16,16 189:4	123:3,5,23 126:8	stopped 70.16	87:11 88:24	supposition 257:6
spoken 10:23 11:7	126:14 131:8,14	stopping 21:14 stops 21:22	sufficient 244:3	sure 3:5 26:6
32:17 33:20	145:6 150:3	straight 129:9	sufficiently 12:9	32:25 34:23
68:16 79:25 92:2	167:22 185:15	184:11,14 215:19	32:4	35:12 51:5,11
148:4 149:7,9	188:19 195:5	215:23 216:17	suggest 209:2	61:16 89:9 90:19
167:6 171:17	197:8 211:20,24	straightaway	215:8 256:8	90:21,22,22 91:5
188:7,9,23	230:22,22 232:7	80:22	264:14	111:4 114:5
237:25	232:18,20,20	strange 210:10	suggested 188:16	122:2 129:8,9
spot 177:21	236:2,5,6,11,12	strategy 12:4	257:1 259:12	132:5,8 140:20
spur 84:23	243:18 244:1,1	194:20	suggesting 19:4	143:5 144:15
staff 122:7	246:2,2,10,20	stray 265:16	43:4 84:8 96:23	149:5 161:9
stage 19:24 48:2	247:11 248:25	stray 203:10 stress 220:11	153:7 162:13	182:6 211:15,16
66:17 97:19	249:1,5,19	stressed 220:12	177:24 187:11	223:17 237:7
99:17 103:17	264:17	stretch 212:20	241:11	238:24 239:22
145:21 147:11	statements 23:7	strike 30:21	suggestion 111:14	241:17 257:14
182:3 198:2	37:5 62:14,20	177:15	152:6 171:12	261:14 269:14
204:14 207:14	110:12 121:24	strong 134:2 154:2	179:15 187:14	271:2 274:4,4
263:13 264:2	124:14 165:17	157:7 181:10	227:15 231:23	surely 84:11
270:19	256:4	strongly 117:12	258:8	surmise 8:19
stake 69:18,19,21	states 57:16	132:4,19 134:9	suggests 98:3	surmising 8:24
stand 45:4 124:18	stating 132:3	140:8 141:20	151:11	surprise 8:3 110:6
184:17 228:10	status 185:7 195:7	252:18	suitably 6:17	264:18
237:17	223:21 224:6	struck 173:8,10,21	summarise 207:9	surprised 27:24
standards 16:23	230:23	style 55:10,19,23	summary 122:24	109:13 139:8
start 9:25 10:2	<b>statute</b> 214:17	121:7,12 122:17	summoning 38:23	168:4 169:12,14
126:25 213:10	statutory 25:15,20	122:22	<b>Sunday</b> 12:15 48:9	surprising 115:17
started 40:12	61:20 164:16	sub 88:6	48:19	suspect 8:10 12:23
126:6 131:16	215:5	<b>subject</b> 15:13 47:6	Superintendent	32:3 93:1,5 94:1
181:14 264:15	stay 51:3 156:8	61:14 76:14	197:23 241:9	94:16 95:18
270:7	177:6	144:4 146:25	244:4 245:17	148:5,8,10
starting 28:11	stayed 156:23	submitted 113:11	247:15 249:15,25	152:23 174:9
29:4,15 30:22	186:3	submitting 113:20	superintendent's	185:8 195:7
248:1	step 27:3 60:12	subsection 56:8,16	200:7	216:16 217:16
startled 162:14	125:20 144:7	56:17 61:11	superiors 141:1	223:21 224:6
starts 9:20 28:13	206:12 231:8	subsections 56:19	support 16:19	230:24 232:16
51:8	stepped 179:17	subsequent 268:14	29:3 166:4	234:22 247:22
state 47:8 178:5	steps 36:25 112:15	272:15	184:20 211:12,13	254:16 255:3,7
<b>stated</b> 110:11	120:18 125:25	subsequently	211:16 230:5	suspected 64:21
statement 13:15	stigma 153:5	65:12 73:1 92:5	supported 85:19	65:3
13:18 14:2,21	stood 155:16	203:14	116:23	suspects 116:4
15:10,12,24,24	<b>stop</b> 142:24 155:16	subsided 181:13	supporting 249:13	150:13 254:19
15:25 25:13,25	162:4,6 186:11	subsidiary 115:5	supportive 228:24	suspend 42:5
	•	•	•	•

				1 agc 304
suspicious 213:20	talked 165:3	118:8,15 119:7	211:4	88:21 89:12,24
swipe 264:4	talking 49:20,21	120:8,17 123:6	theme 242:7	90:7,12 92:16,21
sworn 166:13	91:22 92:7,13	123:22 125:22	theory 257:5	93:11 94:5 99:5
system 115:24	93:5 100:3 101:5	127:7 139:1,3	thereabouts 87:22	99:25 100:8,9
146:5	118:4 155:20	147:19 155:8	thing 33:15,17,19	101:10 104:7
	178:20 182:2	158:13,15 173:17	80:25 95:20	111:6 113:4
T	209:19 270:22	tender 197:20	107:5 131:13	115:4,12,18
table 198:16	tampered 16:14	tendering 47:21	151:6 152:3	117:21 119:8
tabs 40:14	17:17	tense 181:14	179:17 190:12	121:8 123:5
tact 121:9	tantamount	tension 152:22	219:22 227:17	126:23 127:24,25
tactical 250:16	252:25	181:12,13	229:2,22 230:18	128:18 129:23
tailored 117:1	tarmac 166:17	terms 11:13 16:18	243:7 247:14,22	130:7 131:10
take 5:14 18:11,22	taunting 264:1	29:6 39:18 45:17	250:4 270:2,20	133:9,11 136:8
19:8,15 25:21	tax 35:14	48:11 83:3	things 20:14 37:14	136:10 143:3
27:3 36:19 39:1	team 5:21 51:24	106:19 201:19	37:22 86:2 96:21	145:22 147:18
39:15 46:25	52:3 60:1 118:1	202:4 219:16	111:8 122:20	148:6,11,19,21
52:24 54:14	134:21 243:25	256:1 265:12	138:7 149:15	149:22 151:4
55:21,23 56:12	250:18,18	268:14,18,18,20	160:18 164:14	152:2,25 154:7
57:15 60:11	tears 146:2 196:17	terrible 2:13	172:13,14,22	154:17,22 155:24
64:22 92:3,24	technical 16:13	terribly 213:19,19	173:25 177:23,23	156:7 160:2
93:4,25 99:21	17:15 87:1	213:20,20,21,21	183:23 213:24	161:7,18 162:25
100:5,13 101:24	telegram 138:1	territory 265:20	224:2 229:14	165:15 167:7,13
103:6 112:5,15	139:16	test 161:2 186:20	257:3 268:21	167:22 169:13
114:18 120:17	telephone 90:11	187:1	think 2:24 3:7,13	171:4 174:5
122:23 125:13,22	93:8 185:18	text 55:17 68:22	3:17,22,24 4:2,11	176:14 177:20
129:11 132:13	186:2 191:12	89:22 90:2 94:9	4:13,24 5:25 7:5	181:13 182:14
135:9 142:17	193:20,23 236:24	96:3 124:8	8:10 9:15 10:9	183:1,18,22,24
143:5 170:4	238:4	132:12 134:25	10:11 11:5,17,18	184:2,23 188:15
179:23 182:1	telephones 174:4	135:3 137:7	12:25 13:4,5,7,24	188:17 189:7,24
203:21 212:9	174:19 175:10	147:4 175:16	15:2,4,7 18:21	191:15,18 192:2
221:13,17 225:18	186:3,7 191:10	176:7	19:9,18 22:14	193:19,22 194:22
231:24 233:3	191:16	texted 102:15	26:7 29:8,13,17	196:12,15,18,19
235:24 244:18	tell 10:21 11:2,9	135:1 148:7,9	30:8,8 31:15	197:5,11 198:12
247:1,1 250:17	12:16 13:9,21	162:24	33:9,22 35:5,17	198:14 200:20,23
255:12 263:25	71:5 110:7	texting 91:9 94:1	36:2,8 37:3,5	202:16 203:20
269:12,17 270:10	111:21 118:3	94:15	39:2,9 40:15	204:5,19,23
272:19	120:13 123:12	texts 63:5	44:19 45:9,20	206:8 207:21,22
taken 8:12 37:15	139:7,8,21 140:6	thank 6:20 25:18	46:1 48:19,21	207:22 214:12
37:22 39:4,25	141:15,19 142:3	51:2 56:5 123:2	49:9 50:13 51:3	215:9,22 216:6,8
40:21 97:12	142:12 148:3,18	123:19 143:6,7	54:12,23 56:21	216:16 217:8,13
100:20 101:1,21	149:2,8 158:20	168:9 171:3	57:19 58:7,14	219:23 220:8
109:10 110:6	166:14 174:14	196:20 199:15	60:13,14 62:21	221:11 223:5
111:22 124:17	176:12 187:25	212:8 217:20	65:15 67:2,23	225:1 227:3,9
125:20 126:1	190:11 196:13	236:12 250:24	68:4,7 70:25	228:7,22 229:1
144:7 167:23	222:21 232:25	263:16 267:19	76:4,13 77:1	229:15 231:11
222:11 253:16	243:10,23 253:24	269:8,10 272:1	78:1,7,24 79:7,25	232:23 233:9
talk 25:6 93:8,14	266:1	274:5,14,16,16	80:21,21 81:8,11	235:2,22 237:1,3
93:21,22,24	telling 6:9,14	274:18 275:7	82:10 83:3 84:5	237:10,12 238:11
101:18 102:14	73:14 93:10	thanks 104:18,19	84:13 86:23,25	239:12,16 240:11
194:16 208:6	, 5.11 , 55.10		015 00.25,25	
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	

				Page 305
240:17 242:5,12	thousands 124:3	228:18 245:20	160:8	194:23
243:20 244:19,24	threat 75:5,14,19	251:17 264:20	totally 29:2 245:4	treaty 35:14
244:25 245:11,12	75:23 76:10,13	267:6,10	touch 69:13	101:23 121:3
244:23 243:11,12	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	timeline 1:19		
	threats 250:5		touched 20:13	tremendous
250:5 252:2	three 25:23 43:22	times 75:3 98:23	206:20 227:8	123:16,21 125:23
253:9 254:4,12	59:18 68:8 85:11	147:12 161:17	touching 226:5	trial 23:5 96:13,19
255:1,8 259:24	111:23 113:19	191:9 210:22	toyed 163:6	Triay 70:8 217:21
259:25 261:12	116:11 122:11	228:19 271:21	trace 139:5	tried 44:4 90:11
262:4 264:22,24	135:21 136:2	timetable 54:17	transcript 3:3,14	232:14 261:1
265:1 268:15	150:13 154:25	timing 29:24	15:2 21:12,19,20	troubled 223:24
269:1,9 270:4,22	161:17 192:5	129:12	34:2,12 70:7	<b>Trudeau</b> 182:20
271:20 273:13	194:2,22 223:18	timings 227:12	101:12 148:20	183:8 215:17
<b>thinking</b> 33:3,16	232:3 239:22	tired 94:5 102:17	150:2 152:7	true 17:18 25:1
35:23 81:21	242:7 271:21	tiredness 104:2	207:21 210:7	58:21 77:1
97:11 155:5	threshold 161:2	title 193:1 199:7	217:9 220:15	111:18 122:13
156:16 161:14	throw 248:10	today 28:15 42:13	221:10 223:5	268:4
third 220:19 232:3	thumb 129:10	127:8 150:6	225:22 231:12	trust 43:6 113:8
239:14	ticked 248:14	218:5 229:14	238:3 271:24	130:15
thirdly 5:20	ticking 239:19	244:19 257:5	transcription	trusted 74:17,18
thirds 238:5	tied 218:23	told 4:11 8:6 11:5	222:3	try 3:23 15:16
242:17	time 2:3,11 3:21	11:6 13:14,20	transcripts 187:12	198:21 212:19
thorough 205:17	8:21 17:19,22,23	15:23 37:23	191:11 196:12	215:15 230:11,21
206:10	20:1 22:20 25:3	50:10 51:19	225:14 233:4	231:8 251:21
thoroughly 205:15	27:14 30:3 31:14	53:17 73:13	237:16 240:15,24	trying 3:22 5:25
thought 20:5	31:16 36:3 39:5	93:11 103:19	translate 144:14	11:17 14:1 76:20
22:17 25:10	42:21 44:11	115:11 117:15	translated 21:12	79:7 88:20 98:23
29:20 33:18 35:1	48:14 49:3 51:1	126:4 127:13	21:18 143:17	100:9 120:16
45:10 53:17	51:7,13 53:24	130:6 132:17	translation 144:15	127:2 133:1
54:20 67:8 75:9	54:2 60:16 61:1	133:2 134:15	248:10	136:13 139:5
82:19,20,20,24	62:16 68:12,17	135:5 136:3,14	transmitted	173:18 182:23
84:20 86:24	72:4 81:23 87:5	137:4 138:21	255:24	184:11 195:20,22
88:16 97:17 99:3	90:5 94:24 99:18	139:2,11,12	transmitting 12:5	225:16 226:19
103:13,15 104:3	100:11 102:24	142:4 148:9	transparency 15:5	272:23,24 274:7
104:14 110:4	118:13,25 121:19	151:21,23 156:21	148:2 237:20,20	TSN 36:4 41:13
111:12 118:23	121:21,25 122:14	156:21 164:7	242:15	Tuesday 274:22
120:12 125:2	124:5,18,20	169:16 178:7,24	transparent 14:15	274:23 275:9
129:25 130:6,16	126:10,11 142:23	178:25 185:17	14:23 62:7	turn 1:8 2:18 5:6
135:5 145:2	143:6 150:5	188:18 190:10	236:23 237:4	7:6 15:6 32:13
147:9,13,16,21	155:5,5,21 163:6	205:25 219:8	255:11,13	36:11 37:15,23
156:16 159:24	163:25 164:4	225:6	transpired 261:21	41:13 143:14
164:9 169:7	165:18 166:18	tomorrow 47:19	travelling 178:14	166:3 168:8
175:13 182:17	174:14 175:19	189:14	traversing 121:3	180:12 189:7
184:13,13 187:1	178:13 184:16	tone 77:15	tread 60:4 224:14	191:4 218:7
191:18,23 194:5	186:4 191:14	top 16:4 40:8	treated 76:12	238:20 240:22
195:16 215:23	192:16,23 194:4	43:23 59:25	212:3 234:22	turned 6:11 7:10
242:1 245:24	194:6 195:1,3,12	110:19 122:6	treating 153:2	32:19 190:21
260:20 270:24	206:25 207:5	166:23 225:21	treatment 96:14	198:17 204:14
thoughts 31:6	208:3 212:12	248:15,23	96:20 97:7 174:8	turning 2:6 25:12
34:24 121:10	219:5,13 220:9	total 15:5 148:1	194:8,10,12,17	186:19 189:2
	I	1	1	I

				1 486 300
Tweet 50:11,14	16:24 68:2 93:21	uses 108:12 136:24	Volunteer 243:19	222:21 228:16
twice 90:16,18,19	112:4 125:12		volunteered'	233:4 238:10
91:4,8	147:9 150:19	V	246:11	240:8,22 241:17
two 2:24 4:1 5:10	165:22 227:16	vague 161:10	vulnerable 41:5,8	245:16 248:3
29:25 41:18	228:20	value 249:24	valuerable 11.3,0	271:13 272:5,7
65:15,16 68:8	understands	valued 121:6	$\mathbf{W}$	274:2
76:9 90:23 96:10	220:18,24	varies 2:14	Wagner 51:3,8,10	wanted 1:20 40:16
98:5,5 105:15	understatement	various 50:7,19	51:15 72:23	78:23 80:24 95:5
109:24,25 110:13	152:22	86:8 131:5 138:7	74:19 80:21 81:9	97:23 98:12,13
110:13 112:1	understood 125:3	187:21	84:25 88:3 94:20	98:16 129:19
		vein 241:20 244:11	101:3 102:20	
117:24 124:14	165:23 169:7 257:14	verbal 197:17	106:8 109:2	148:15 154:22
142:1 145:17		vernacular 157:14	110:5 114:5	172:7 184:25
163:19 168:17,19	undertake 56:11	version 143:17	123:4 124:20	188:16 192:11
173:25 188:6	77:3	226:20	127:13 130:1,4	193:1 239:11
191:6 203:1	unexplained	view 35:17 39:15	131:13 135:13	wanting 214:5
238:5 242:17	150:12			wants 107:12
247:1 269:12,13	unfair 25:4,10	118:7,7,9 128:21	137:22 140:9	213:7
272:9 273:2	44:8	128:22 134:2	142:23 143:1,12	warned 215:14
type 222:25	Unfortunately	169:23 176:15	146:10 147:8	warning 205:5
254:13	23:9	183:11 184:7	154:20 156:3,7	warrant 17:12
typed 172:15	<b>uniform</b> 166:13	186:24 205:19	156:14 157:24	18:18 77:3 78:3
typically 105:12	uninspected	218:5 225:7	162:22 167:21	78:6 80:7 86:2
	234:23	231:24 234:4,8	168:8,11 224:12	86:15,17 87:10
<u>U</u>	unjust 269:21	234:15,20 235:2	225:8,13,23	87:14,18 93:2
UK 121:25 122:2	unjustifiable	235:14,15,17	226:6,7,13 251:2	99:13 103:2
166:11 214:9,24	269:22	240:17 247:1	251:25 252:3	109:11,21 110:9
Ullger 275:1	unnecessary	249:1 250:13	264:20 269:11,17	110:23 112:16
ultimate 66:19	145:16 166:2	251:8,13,14	272:1	116:18 117:13
71:20,25 173:6	unobtained 245:22	252:13,25 253:2	Wagner's 243:1	132:20,24 133:24
ultimately 49:22	unopened 96:17	253:3,16,19	Wait 246:9	134:17 135:25
67:7 211:6	unorthodox	254:9,18,24	waiting 153:14	140:8 141:21
Um 260:7	233:17	255:2,12,15	Walliker 122:15	144:5,10 147:1
unable 41:7	<b>unpack</b> 206:9	257:10 260:1,25	wand 161:23,23	155:3 175:10,16
unannounced	unpleasant 166:2	261:9,9 269:21	263:24 270:2,21	203:25 208:11
189:3	unprecedented	269:21	wands 161:21	209:20 218:12
unaware 68:18	125:20	views 30:4 45:1	want 5:9 9:4 20:14	233:11,13,21,24
uncomfortable	unrebutted 45:5	148:13,24 154:2	41:14 43:20	234:5,6 261:4,9
83:21	unsolicited 19:2	167:5,6 182:11	55:25 63:9 70:10	warrants 7:22
underline 105:2	183:10	240:16 247:1	70:20 89:6,9	80:12,14 110:2
underlined 16:20	unspoken 239:17	252:15 260:18	95:1,2,9 102:13	110:25 111:11
105:5	untaken 49:11	virtually 5:16	104:21 128:5	112:12 174:4,19
undermining 96:4	untrue 131:5	203:9	129:8,18 132:4	175:18
understand 16:12	<b>unusual</b> 181:18	visibly 138:5	132:13 137:1	wasn't 10:9 20:6
17:11,15 19:14	211:5,7	vital 123:14 239:2	140:9,18 143:13	20:11,23 26:6,19
23:24 88:20	<b>update</b> 69:14	voice 90:10,12	144:12 150:7	28:7 57:25 69:7
188:14 192:1	updated 69:2	void 38:3	154:19 156:19	74:22 95:23
209:22 226:22	use 25:7 145:18	volatile 183:6	163:20 164:1	99:12 118:6
241:4 242:20	157:18 161:24	voluntary 4:20	165:2 168:12	119:11 127:13
247:23	167:24,25 198:15	185:14 192:18	189:10 207:24	145:21 148:22
understanding		213:13 236:6	212:14 218:11	

				Page 30/
156.5 167.16	120.10 120.12	107.2 7 211.20	wouldn't 31:23	159:23
156:5 167:16 174:13 181:7	138:10 139:13	197:2,7 211:20 211:24 213:5	39:16 60:22 61:1	
	143:21 144:1			yeah 129:10 238:6
182:6 192:4	222:16 223:18	226:18,25 229:16	80:13 100:15	240:5,10
212:1 248:8	weren't 50:21	229:17 232:7,20	162:11 210:19	year 24:13 122:4
wave 166:19	WhatsApp 2:19	236:2,5,8,11,12	211:9 247:25	178:13 200:9
way 10:3 12:1	5:22 6:3,4 16:2	237:23 243:18,25	261:5	202:15,16
17:18 25:5 38:16	77:20 78:14	246:2 249:24	wound 122:7,10	year's 49:14
38:18 52:6,13	80:10,16 89:25	264:16 274:21	wrap 241:3,21	years 58:3,15
81:6 104:12	129:19 132:5	witness.' 249:23	write 51:20 115:20	65:15,16 66:4
115:13 121:12	134:19 141:22	witnessed 30:24	172:14,21 173:8	76:9 124:17
122:11,13 133:10	143:22 144:2,3,6	witnesses 53:14	190:3	185:24
152:17 153:2	144:9,19 189:22	wonder 162:12	writing 29:10	yellow 128:7
154:17,19,23	190:3 218:9	183:1	36:21 85:14	yesterday 1:14,17
164:1 170:5	219:5 222:17	wondering 9:8	108:14 164:21,25	1:21 2:25 4:19
171:13 176:15,18	273:5	10:8	172:20 176:9	4:22,25 5:9
178:11 181:7	WhatsApps 80:23	word 76:23 93:14	written 1:18 3:7	24:18 25:6,11
184:4,21 185:12	189:16 190:15	93:21 99:1,8	3:11 10:6 13:15	33:12 36:14
186:1,6,11,17,23	whatsoever 193:4	193:3 222:3	14:21 15:10,23	37:14,22,24 52:7
190:16 191:3,6	193:11 234:13,18	272:19	15:24 52:13 62:9	52:18 75:3 76:16
193:4,11 195:3	234:24 266:16	words 16:20 47:8	116:8 123:4	78:8,22 94:4,21
208:8,10 210:19	whilst 45:1 53:15	72:4 83:11 91:19	165:7,10,13,16	97:16 98:22
210:20,20,24	77:17,23 132:17	127:15 128:16	173:5 195:5	104:16 105:14,23
220:25 223:19	wholly 180:2	134:21 150:22	230:22,22 232:18	109:11,14 110:5
224:2 227:3	wide 199:22	157:10 168:25	232:20 236:7,11	111:15 117:10,20
238:6 240:10	widely 174:12	177:4 191:7	246:10,20 247:11	118:23 123:17
242:17 245:1,1,6	widows 242:9	215:24 221:11	248:2 267:25	124:22 126:5
245:9 248:1	wild 142:7,8	229:22	wrong 44:8 99:1	127:4 132:11
254:11 272:18	willing 24:1 54:24	work 33:1 172:10	117:13 130:3	142:11 143:20,22
ways 154:10	window 158:9	181:16 200:8,10	136:10 141:6,18	144:3,20 159:16
163:14 182:2	wing 19:11	200:14 226:20	141:25 150:20	163:22 182:18
we'll 184:20	wish 35:13 231:11	worked 66:3	156:19 159:13	184:5,6 194:7,13
we're 101:24	wished 105:9	100:19 122:5	170:20 171:9	197:10 198:6
106:17 118:16	wishes 29:16 45:3	126:13 185:13	181:4 222:13	202:20 203:21
208:8 244:24	withdrawn 40:25	273:1	227:16 233:1	211:2 222:1,2,4,7
we've 61:2 110:23	withdrew 274:21	working 11:24	wrongfully 7:23	222:8,12,13,17
127:7 178:13,14	witness 13:17 14:2	55:1,3,6 59:14	wrongly 53:9	$\overline{\mathbf{z}}$
221:24,25 241:4	15:12,25 25:13	60:18 94:6	157:25	
241:18 247:3	37:4,8 51:5	102:16 121:21	wrote 37:7 157:5	<b>Zamitt</b> 205:7
wear 104:2	62:14,19 63:2,12	147:11 183:5	Wyan 181:15	0
wearing 179:3	92:9 103:5	226:20	182:13 202:2	<b>07</b> 21:7
wears 194:3	110:11 111:17	works 129:12	205:7 206:7	0/21./
week 16:10 37:25	116:13,16,19	world 147:5	210:10,18 211:5	1
233:16	122:15 123:2,5	worries 183:22	211:11 242:22	1 202:23 203:11
weeks 85:12,12,20	124:14 126:14	worry 94:2,4,16	246:5 249:11,24	219:8
171:1,8	129:24 130:1	95:10,14,24	<b>Wyan's</b> 210:5	<b>1.40</b> 40:9
welcome 171:23	131:8,14 135:14	102:15 148:8,10	X	<b>10</b> 20:22 25:12
went 47:23 73:12	145:6 146:10	168:3 256:5	A	52:25 53:2 70:8
107:21 129:3,15	156:3,10,14	worse 37:15,23	Y	107:9 189:25
130:5 137:4	162:23 188:19	168:3	Yates 158:24	273:5 274:24
			1 ates 130.24	2/3.3 2/1.21

Epiq Europe Ltd www.epiqglobal.com Lower Ground Floor 46 Chancery Lane Main: +44 (0) 207 404 1400 casemanagers@epiqglobal.com London WC2A 1JE

				1 age 300
275:10	161:16 168:20	237:5	111:24 168:20,20	<b>346</b> 15:6
<b>10.00</b> 1:3	180:12 188:3	<b>16</b> 160:23	168:21 171:4,5	<b>35</b> 17:25 18:1
<b>10.56</b> 173:6	201:12 209:16	<b>16.30</b> 248:17	234:21 256:10,11	<b>36</b> 32:2 64:19,21
<b>10.30</b> 173.0 <b>100</b> 90:21 177:6	219:21 220:23	<b>17</b> 12:14 16:3 31:5	268:3	65:3,25 67:25
<b>11</b> 108:7	221:19 225:12	63:11 86:6	<b>2021</b> 22:23 24:8,16	68:11,23 69:19
<b>11.08</b> 51:12	227:15,17 228:1	162:23	96:10 97:3,4	69:24 71:21 72:1
<b>11.18</b> 51:14	230:16 238:4	<b>17.02</b> 275:8	<b>2022</b> 24:9 115:20	72:19,20 73:8
<b>11.56</b> 85:22	239:24 240:17	17th 17:3,4	265:22	78:12,19 116:1
<b>12</b> 4:23 17:6 21:18	262:12,13,18	<b>18</b> 17:23 31:20	<b>2024</b> 1:2 275:9	173:1,7,23
27:17,23 29:25	269:18	58:3 65:1 73:2	<b>203</b> 107:10	193:20,24 197:15
30:9,24 71:7	13(1)(f) 38:15	136:7,23 138:22	<b>20th</b> 11:5 13:6,18	213:23 249:15
76:21 83:16 86:3	42:23	139:6 151:19	15:14 90:13	258:12,17
87:21 90:15 92:2	<b>13.08</b> 272:16	231:1 268:3	232:8,9 234:6,9	<b>38</b> 21:8 197:15
92:10,15 93:15	<b>13.10</b> 143:8	<b>1842</b> 129:14	234:12,17 256:2	30 21.0 177.13
99:5 101:15	<b>13.43</b> 13:5	18th 9:23 17:2	<b>21</b> 104:23 137:19	4
102:24 131:1	<b>131</b> 238:20	87:12 101:17	138:15	4 23:6 26:7 35:10
141:7 146:16,21	13th 11:19 22:9	185:10	21st 138:12	36:25 39:8,25
174:15 175:5,15	89:11,13 101:9	<b>19</b> 72:25 160:23	<b>22</b> 32:12 115:18	56:17 58:18
180:15 181:9	136:16 139:2,9	<b>1933</b> 246:1	128:7 131:21	110:19 126:13
206:16 228:2	143:25 149:10	<b>1951</b> 129:5	<b>228</b> 221:14	131:17 171:3
233:14 252:10	181:21,22 182:19	<b>196/197</b> 210:8	22nd 34:1	<b>4.46</b> 41:16
<b>12.06</b> 93:16	189:5 210:6	<b>197</b> 226:19	<b>23</b> 123:6,11 219:9	<b>418</b> 15:17 16:1
<b>12.26</b> 218:8,8	232:4 239:13	<b>198</b> 226:19	272:16	18:14 86:4
<b>12.26.52</b> 219:5	<b>14</b> 5:10 7:8 28:8,13		<b>241</b> 246:1	<b>439</b> 15:18
<b>12.28.15</b> 219:7	47:8 272:9,9	2	<b>26</b> 1:2	<b>443</b> 13:23
<b>12.30</b> 5:10 32:18	<b>14.00</b> 143:10	<b>2</b> 18:15 19:20 21:1	<b>26.5</b> 135:14	<b>47</b> 200:25
48:13	<b>14.46</b> 170:18	56:9 87:8 88:6	<b>26.6</b> 135:14	<b>4754</b> 44:22
<b>12.31</b> 113:3	<b>14th</b> 89:18 91:6	88:19 211:21	<b>260</b> 248:21	<b>4796</b> 41:14
<b>12.45</b> 5:10 190:21	139:9,11 149:2,6	<b>20</b> 13:2,22 32:16	<b>261</b> 250:15	<b>48</b> 200:25
<b>12.53</b> 272:10	<b>15</b> 7:18 8:18 9:4,17	66:4 90:6,9	<b>2613</b> 152:20	<b>4818</b> 47:2
<b>1245</b> 6:8,12	9:19 10:9 29:14	104:24 143:2	<b>27</b> 91:13	<b>4th</b> 26:24 37:10,12
<b>1253</b> 6:5,8	104:18 135:21	149:16 158:23	<b>270</b> 228:15,17	40:4,6
12th 11:21 29:20	136:8,9,12	160:12 232:2	<b>271</b> 228:15	
133:20 144:11	147:25 149:25	233:7 234:3	<b>277</b> 207:22	5
148:13 155:14	210:9 228:12	<b>2009</b> 63:20	<b>28</b> 85:12	<b>5</b> 1:17 36:4,12 37:9
156:5,17,19	230:15,19 242:14	<b>2012</b> 60:24	<b>29</b> 34:19,20 44:15	37:21 39:18 40:6
177:19,21 188:10	268:3 273:18	<b>2016</b> 60:17	45:10,15 113:6	40:9,18 41:16
206:23 222:6	<b>15-minute</b> 273:2	<b>2017</b> 121:16 122:4	115:16 123:13	46:17 47:5 51:20
233:20	<b>15.00</b> 189:8	165:21		53:20,23 54:19
<b>13</b> 2:20 3:25 16:9	<b>15.28</b> 212:11	<b>2018</b> 58:10,12,13	3	61:11 107:21
27:9 41:24,25	<b>15.38</b> 212:13	121:17 122:5	<b>3</b> 45:21 56:16	114:13,18 116:13
42:11 43:2 86:12	<b>15.56</b> 224:19	<b>2019</b> 58:12,14 63:9	94:23 165:20	116:19,22 131:3
97:22 100:1,2,11	<b>15th</b> 7:4,5 13:14	63:21 64:15	203:13	131:5,16 136:17
100:18 101:5,11	89:22 91:5 101:7	66:17	<b>3.32</b> 2:21	136:18 212:20
103:9 114:14,21	101:19 136:11	<b>2020</b> 17:24 31:5	<b>30</b> 275:9	267:20 268:12
117:11 140:4	137:2 138:5	34:21 41:16	<b>31(7)</b> 61:17	<b>5.30</b> 35:11
143:14,16 149:16	139:12 149:8,13	51:20 55:2,8	<b>313</b> 106:1	<b>53</b> 21:11
156:22 158:4	151:15 210:6	69:11,16,18	<b>32</b> 47:13	<b>535</b> 150:4
160:25 161:11,13	225:3 232:6,12	74:22 105:4	<b>34</b> 27:9 40:23	<b>54</b> 21:11 37:21
		107:22 108:8	41:22	<b>55</b> 91:17
	I	I	1	1

·				Page 309
	l		I	
<b>5647</b> 247:18	<b>8</b> 24:8 25:12 49:6			
<b>58.29</b> 21:11	96:9 97:3 197:12			
<b>59</b> 18:7 21:1 56:2,5	197:25 198:4			
56:25 57:4 61:9	203:18			
199:23	<b>808</b> 197:7			
<b>59(2)</b> 162:25	<b>817</b> 151:2			
<b>59(2)(b)</b> 18:8,22	<b>82</b> 70:8			
19:16 22:15	<b>871</b> 22:22			
86:14 179:24	883 13:1			
180:6	<b>884</b> 89:7 90:7			
<b>59(2)(c)</b> 21:5 22:15	8th 203:9			
<b>5th</b> 40:4				
	9			
6	<b>9</b> 4:21 138:2 198:2			
<b>6</b> 46:14,15,21	<b>9.35</b> 24:24			
69:11,15,17 70:9	<b>9.49</b> 28:13			
70:15 104:22				
111:11 197:9				
210:3 261:13,15				
<b>6(a)</b> 25:14,19				
<b>6(b)</b> 130:23				
<b>6.39</b> 2:23				
<b>6.48</b> 2:24				
<b>610</b> 203:4,12				
<b>62</b> 267:25				
<b>64.8</b> 145:7,9				
<b>6793</b> 129:23				
<b>6th</b> 203:11				
7				
7 24:15,20 48:13				
76:20 107:10				
109:25 110:17,21				
111:5,23 112:12				
117:19,21 124:23				
125:19 131:14				
145:3 168:19,20				
171:4 175:14				
205:22 221:8				
223:6 259:10,20				
260:10 261:1,6				
261:15,16,22				
262:7				
<b>7.29</b> 9:5				
<b>732</b> 107:19				
<b>761</b> 16:17				
<b>763</b> 18:6 22:12				
<b>7th</b> 147:14				
8				
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u>l</u>	<u>                                     </u>