1	(Friday, 3 May 2024)	1 6	essentially, that my name had been put across
2	(10.00)		to Sir Edward Davis, and would I be
3	MR SANTOS: Good morning, Sir. Our first		interested in being the Chair. At that time, I
4	witness is Dr Joey Britto, Chairman of the		told him, "Look, I'll have to think about it
5	GPA.		and find out more about what the role
6	JOSEPH BRITTO sworn,		entails", and eventually I said "yes". It's a
7	Questioned by MR SANTOS		three-year appointment, so I'll do it."
8	Q. Good morning, Dr Britto.		Q. What are the GPA's roles and
9	A. Good morning, sir.		responsibilities?
10	Q. There should be a bundle in front of you		A. Well, essentially to have oversight of the
11	marked "Witness statements". Can we go		police as best as we can. The two main areas
12	through each one of the statements. It should		of work are producing the annual policing
13	be your first statement, first of all. Can you		plan, which takes up quite a bit of time
14	please confirm that that is your first	-	because it involves consultation with the
15	statement, and your signature is on the final		Commissioner, consultation with the Chief
16	page, please?		Minister, and consultation with the Governor,
17	A. Yes, it is.		and then the annual report, which thanks to
18	Q. Thank you, and can you look behind the		the RGP's data keeping, they help us quite a
19	second tab, your second statement and your		bit in producing statistics and all that kind of
20	signature, please?		thing, but those are the two main highlights
21	A. Yes, it is.		of the year. There's quite a bit to do since Mr
22	Q. Now, the same for your third, please.		Ullger took over. There's much more to do
23	A. Yes, it is.		because of the number of policies being
24	Q. Thank you, and your fourth.		generated as a result of the HMIC, that last
25	A. Yes, it is.		HMIC - well, getting through the HMIC
	Page 1		Page 3
1	O. Thank you and your fifth places	1 ,	recommendations and one of the
1	Q. Thank you, and your fifth, please.		recommendations, and one of the
2	A. Yes.	2 1	requirements of the Act is that we approve
2 3	A. Yes.Q. Thank you, and are the contents of those	2 1 3 a	requirements of the Act is that we approve any policy that the police may care to
2 3 4	A. Yes.Q. Thank you, and are the contents of those statements true to the best of your	2 1 3 a 4 i	requirements of the Act is that we approve any policy that the police may care to implement. So, that also takes up quite a bit
2 3 4 5	A. Yes. Q. Thank you, and are the contents of those statements true to the best of your knowledge, information and belief?	2 3 4 i 5 0	requirements of the Act is that we approve any policy that the police may care to implement. So, that also takes up quite a bit of time. The other branch is overseeing the
2 3 4 5 6	A. Yes.Q. Thank you, and are the contents of those statements true to the best of your knowledge, information and belief?A. They are.	2 3 4 i 5 6 6	requirements of the Act is that we approve any policy that the police may care to implement. So, that also takes up quite a bit of time. The other branch is overseeing the complaints, or the Police Complaints Board,
2 3 4 5 6 7	 A. Yes. Q. Thank you, and are the contents of those statements true to the best of your knowledge, information and belief? A. They are. Q. Thank you. Dr Britto, can you please tell 	2 3 4 i 5 6 6 7 v	requirements of the Act is that we approve any policy that the police may care to implement. So, that also takes up quite a bit of time. The other branch is overseeing the complaints, or the Police Complaints Board, which really is at arm's length, because they
2 3 4 5 6	 A. Yes. Q. Thank you, and are the contents of those statements true to the best of your knowledge, information and belief? A. They are. Q. Thank you. Dr Britto, can you please tell us about your professional background prior 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	requirements of the Act is that we approve any policy that the police may care to implement. So, that also takes up quite a bit of time. The other branch is overseeing the complaints, or the Police Complaints Board, which really is at arm's length, because they are a completely different committee, but the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. Yes. Q. Thank you, and are the contents of those statements true to the best of your knowledge, information and belief? A. They are. Q. Thank you. Dr Britto, can you please tell us about your professional background prior to joining the GPA? 	2 1 3 4 i 4 i 5 6 6 7 8 8 4 9 1	requirements of the Act is that we approve any policy that the police may care to implement. So, that also takes up quite a bit of time. The other branch is overseeing the complaints, or the Police Complaints Board, which really is at arm's length, because they are a completely different committee, but the link - the Chair of the PCP, of the Police
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. Yes. Q. Thank you, and are the contents of those statements true to the best of your knowledge, information and belief? A. They are. Q. Thank you. Dr Britto, can you please tell us about your professional background prior to joining the GPA? A. Yes. I am a teacher. I started in the boys' 	2 3 4 i 5 6 6 7 8 4 9 1 10 6	requirements of the Act is that we approve any policy that the police may care to implement. So, that also takes up quite a bit of time. The other branch is overseeing the complaints, or the Police Complaints Board, which really is at arm's length, because they are a completely different committee, but the link - the Chair of the PCP, of the Police Complaints Board - always has to be a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. Yes. Q. Thank you, and are the contents of those statements true to the best of your knowledge, information and belief? A. They are. Q. Thank you. Dr Britto, can you please tell us about your professional background prior to joining the GPA? A. Yes. I am a teacher. I started in the boys' school in 1983, and from then I moved	2 3 4 5 6 6 7 8 8 4 9 10 11 11 11	requirements of the Act is that we approve any policy that the police may care to implement. So, that also takes up quite a bit of time. The other branch is overseeing the complaints, or the Police Complaints Board, which really is at arm's length, because they are a completely different committee, but the link - the Chair of the PCP, of the Police Complaints Board - always has to be a member of the GPA. In this case, it's Mr
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Yes. Q. Thank you, and are the contents of those statements true to the best of your knowledge, information and belief? A. They are. Q. Thank you. Dr Britto, can you please tell us about your professional background prior to joining the GPA? A. Yes. I am a teacher. I started in the boys' school in 1983, and from then I moved schools in the 1990s - I can't remember the	2 3 4 5 6 6 7 8 8 8 9 10 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	requirements of the Act is that we approve any policy that the police may care to implement. So, that also takes up quite a bit of time. The other branch is overseeing the complaints, or the Police Complaints Board, which really is at arm's length, because they are a completely different committee, but the link - the Chair of the PCP, of the Police Complaints Board - always has to be a member of the GPA. In this case, it's Mr Frank Carreras.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. Yes. Q. Thank you, and are the contents of those statements true to the best of your knowledge, information and belief? A. They are. Q. Thank you. Dr Britto, can you please tell us about your professional background prior to joining the GPA? A. Yes. I am a teacher. I started in the boys' school in 1983, and from then I moved	2 1 3 4 5 6 6 7 8 8 8 9 1 10 11 11 12 11 13 00	requirements of the Act is that we approve any policy that the police may care to implement. So, that also takes up quite a bit of time. The other branch is overseeing the complaints, or the Police Complaints Board, which really is at arm's length, because they are a completely different committee, but the link - the Chair of the PCP, of the Police Complaints Board - always has to be a member of the GPA. In this case, it's Mr
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Yes. Q. Thank you, and are the contents of those statements true to the best of your knowledge, information and belief? A. They are. Q. Thank you. Dr Britto, can you please tell us about your professional background prior to joining the GPA? A. Yes. I am a teacher. I started in the boys' school in 1983, and from then I moved schools in the 1990s - I can't remember the exact year - and then over to the Department of Education as education advisor. Then I	2 1 3 4 i 4 i 5 6 6 7 8 8 9 1 10 11 11 12 11 13 14 14 15 14	requirements of the Act is that we approve any policy that the police may care to implement. So, that also takes up quite a bit of time. The other branch is overseeing the complaints, or the Police Complaints Board, which really is at arm's length, because they are a completely different committee, but the link - the Chair of the PCP, of the Police Complaints Board - always has to be a member of the GPA. In this case, it's Mr Frank Carreras. Q. Thank you, and as Chairman of the GPA
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. Yes. Q. Thank you, and are the contents of those statements true to the best of your knowledge, information and belief? A. They are. Q. Thank you. Dr Britto, can you please tell us about your professional background prior to joining the GPA? A. Yes. I am a teacher. I started in the boys' school in 1983, and from then I moved schools in the 1990s - I can't remember the exact year - and then over to the Department	2 3 4 5 6 6 7 8 8 4 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 4	requirements of the Act is that we approve any policy that the police may care to implement. So, that also takes up quite a bit of time. The other branch is overseeing the complaints, or the Police Complaints Board, which really is at arm's length, because they are a completely different committee, but the link - the Chair of the PCP, of the Police Complaints Board - always has to be a member of the GPA. In this case, it's Mr Frank Carreras. Q. Thank you, and as Chairman of the GPA what is your specific role?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Yes. Q. Thank you, and are the contents of those statements true to the best of your knowledge, information and belief? A. They are. Q. Thank you. Dr Britto, can you please tell us about your professional background prior to joining the GPA? A. Yes. I am a teacher. I started in the boys' school in 1983, and from then I moved schools in the 1990s - I can't remember the exact year - and then over to the Department of Education as education advisor. Then I became senior education advisor, and	2 3 4 5 6 6 7 8 8 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 16 16 17 16 17 17 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18	requirements of the Act is that we approve any policy that the police may care to implement. So, that also takes up quite a bit of time. The other branch is overseeing the complaints, or the Police Complaints Board, which really is at arm's length, because they are a completely different committee, but the link - the Chair of the PCP, of the Police Complaints Board - always has to be a member of the GPA. In this case, it's Mr Frank Carreras. Q. Thank you, and as Chairman of the GPA what is your specific role? A. To convene the meetings, to suggest
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Yes. Q. Thank you, and are the contents of those statements true to the best of your knowledge, information and belief? A. They are. Q. Thank you. Dr Britto, can you please tell us about your professional background prior to joining the GPA? A. Yes. I am a teacher. I started in the boys' school in 1983, and from then I moved schools in the 1990s - I can't remember the exact year - and then over to the Department of Education as education advisor. Then I became senior education advisor, and eventually Director of Education, and I	2 3 4 5 6 6 7 8 8 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 17 1	requirements of the Act is that we approve any policy that the police may care to implement. So, that also takes up quite a bit of time. The other branch is overseeing the complaints, or the Police Complaints Board, which really is at arm's length, because they are a completely different committee, but the link - the Chair of the PCP, of the Police Complaints Board - always has to be a member of the GPA. In this case, it's Mr Frank Carreras. Q. Thank you, and as Chairman of the GPA what is your specific role? A. To convene the meetings, to suggest ways forward when we are presented with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Yes. Q. Thank you, and are the contents of those statements true to the best of your knowledge, information and belief? A. They are. Q. Thank you. Dr Britto, can you please tell us about your professional background prior to joining the GPA? A. Yes. I am a teacher. I started in the boys' school in 1983, and from then I moved schools in the 1990s - I can't remember the exact year - and then over to the Department of Education as education advisor. Then I became senior education advisor, and eventually Director of Education, and I retired in 2016 from that education, but	2 1 1 3 4 5 6 6 7 8 8 8 8 9 1 10 11 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 18 18	requirements of the Act is that we approve any policy that the police may care to implement. So, that also takes up quite a bit of time. The other branch is overseeing the complaints, or the Police Complaints Board, which really is at arm's length, because they are a completely different committee, but the link - the Chair of the PCP, of the Police Complaints Board - always has to be a member of the GPA. In this case, it's Mr Frank Carreras. Q. Thank you, and as Chairman of the GPA what is your specific role? A. To convene the meetings, to suggest ways forward when we are presented with problems. Sometimes to look at appeals
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Yes. Q. Thank you, and are the contents of those statements true to the best of your knowledge, information and belief? A. They are. Q. Thank you. Dr Britto, can you please tell us about your professional background prior to joining the GPA? A. Yes. I am a teacher. I started in the boys' school in 1983, and from then I moved schools in the 1990s - I can't remember the exact year - and then over to the Department of Education as education advisor. Then I became senior education advisor, and eventually Director of Education, and I retired in 2016 from that education, but because there was - well, the Chief Secretary	2	requirements of the Act is that we approve any policy that the police may care to implement. So, that also takes up quite a bit of time. The other branch is overseeing the complaints, or the Police Complaints Board, which really is at arm's length, because they are a completely different committee, but the link - the Chair of the PCP, of the Police Complaints Board - always has to be a member of the GPA. In this case, it's Mr Frank Carreras. Q. Thank you, and as Chairman of the GPA what is your specific role? A. To convene the meetings, to suggest ways forward when we are presented with problems. Sometimes to look at appeals from - which are rare to tell you the truth - at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Yes. Q. Thank you, and are the contents of those statements true to the best of your knowledge, information and belief? A. They are. Q. Thank you. Dr Britto, can you please tell us about your professional background prior to joining the GPA? A. Yes. I am a teacher. I started in the boys' school in 1983, and from then I moved schools in the 1990s - I can't remember the exact year - and then over to the Department of Education as education advisor. Then I became senior education advisor, and eventually Director of Education, and I retired in 2016 from that education, but because there was - well, the Chief Secretary was also a teacher and asked me to go over	2 3 4 5 6 6 7 8 8 8 8 9 10 11 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 6	requirements of the Act is that we approve any policy that the police may care to implement. So, that also takes up quite a bit of time. The other branch is overseeing the complaints, or the Police Complaints Board, which really is at arm's length, because they are a completely different committee, but the link - the Chair of the PCP, of the Police Complaints Board - always has to be a member of the GPA. In this case, it's Mr Frank Carreras. Q. Thank you, and as Chairman of the GPA what is your specific role? A. To convene the meetings, to suggest ways forward when we are presented with problems. Sometimes to look at appeals from - which are rare to tell you the truth - at appeals when they come from the Police
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Yes. Q. Thank you, and are the contents of those statements true to the best of your knowledge, information and belief? A. They are. Q. Thank you. Dr Britto, can you please tell us about your professional background prior to joining the GPA? A. Yes. I am a teacher. I started in the boys' school in 1983, and from then I moved schools in the 1990s - I can't remember the exact year - and then over to the Department of Education as education advisor. Then I became senior education advisor, and eventually Director of Education, and I retired in 2016 from that education, but because there was - well, the Chief Secretary was also a teacher and asked me to go over with him to assist him, particularly in things	2	requirements of the Act is that we approve any policy that the police may care to implement. So, that also takes up quite a bit of time. The other branch is overseeing the complaints, or the Police Complaints Board, which really is at arm's length, because they are a completely different committee, but the link - the Chair of the PCP, of the Police Complaints Board - always has to be a member of the GPA. In this case, it's Mr Frank Carreras. Q. Thank you, and as Chairman of the GPA what is your specific role? A. To convene the meetings, to suggest ways forward when we are presented with problems. Sometimes to look at appeals from - which are rare to tell you the truth - at appeals when they come from the Police Complaints Board. I usually do that in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Yes. Q. Thank you, and are the contents of those statements true to the best of your knowledge, information and belief? A. They are. Q. Thank you. Dr Britto, can you please tell us about your professional background prior to joining the GPA? A. Yes. I am a teacher. I started in the boys' school in 1983, and from then I moved schools in the 1990s - I can't remember the exact year - and then over to the Department of Education as education advisor. Then I became senior education advisor, and eventually Director of Education, and I retired in 2016 from that education, but because there was - well, the Chief Secretary was also a teacher and asked me to go over with him to assist him, particularly in things to do with education. That was Mr Gomez,	2	requirements of the Act is that we approve any policy that the police may care to implement. So, that also takes up quite a bit of time. The other branch is overseeing the complaints, or the Police Complaints Board, which really is at arm's length, because they are a completely different committee, but the link - the Chair of the PCP, of the Police Complaints Board - always has to be a member of the GPA. In this case, it's Mr Frank Carreras. Q. Thank you, and as Chairman of the GPA what is your specific role? A. To convene the meetings, to suggest ways forward when we are presented with problems. Sometimes to look at appeals from - which are rare to tell you the truth - at appeals when they come from the Police Complaints Board. I usually do that in conjunction - I mean, if it's relatively
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. Yes. Q. Thank you, and are the contents of those statements true to the best of your knowledge, information and belief? A. They are. Q. Thank you. Dr Britto, can you please tell us about your professional background prior to joining the GPA? A. Yes. I am a teacher. I started in the boys' school in 1983, and from then I moved schools in the 1990s - I can't remember the exact year - and then over to the Department of Education as education advisor. Then I became senior education advisor, and eventually Director of Education, and I retired in 2016 from that education, but because there was - well, the Chief Secretary was also a teacher and asked me to go over with him to assist him, particularly in things to do with education. That was Mr Gomez, at the time.	2	requirements of the Act is that we approve any policy that the police may care to implement. So, that also takes up quite a bit of time. The other branch is overseeing the complaints, or the Police Complaints Board, which really is at arm's length, because they are a completely different committee, but the link - the Chair of the PCP, of the Police Complaints Board - always has to be a member of the GPA. In this case, it's Mr Frank Carreras. Q. Thank you, and as Chairman of the GPA what is your specific role? A. To convene the meetings, to suggest ways forward when we are presented with problems. Sometimes to look at appeals from - which are rare to tell you the truth - at appeals when they come from the Police Complaints Board. I usually do that in conjunction - I mean, if it's relatively straightforward I do it myself; if not I ask a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Yes. Q. Thank you, and are the contents of those statements true to the best of your knowledge, information and belief? A. They are. Q. Thank you. Dr Britto, can you please tell us about your professional background prior to joining the GPA? A. Yes. I am a teacher. I started in the boys' school in 1983, and from then I moved schools in the 1990s - I can't remember the exact year - and then over to the Department of Education as education advisor. Then I became senior education advisor, and eventually Director of Education, and I retired in 2016 from that education, but because there was - well, the Chief Secretary was also a teacher and asked me to go over with him to assist him, particularly in things to do with education. That was Mr Gomez, at the time. Q. Then you joined the GPA as Chairman?	2	requirements of the Act is that we approve any policy that the police may care to implement. So, that also takes up quite a bit of time. The other branch is overseeing the complaints, or the Police Complaints Board, which really is at arm's length, because they are a completely different committee, but the link - the Chair of the PCP, of the Police Complaints Board - always has to be a member of the GPA. In this case, it's Mr Frank Carreras. Q. Thank you, and as Chairman of the GPA what is your specific role? A. To convene the meetings, to suggest ways forward when we are presented with problems. Sometimes to look at appeals from - which are rare to tell you the truth - at appeals when they come from the Police Complaints Board. I usually do that in conjunction - I mean, if it's relatively straightforward I do it myself; if not I ask a sub-group to look into it. Also to manage the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. Yes. Q. Thank you, and are the contents of those statements true to the best of your knowledge, information and belief? A. They are. Q. Thank you. Dr Britto, can you please tell us about your professional background prior to joining the GPA? A. Yes. I am a teacher. I started in the boys' school in 1983, and from then I moved schools in the 1990s - I can't remember the exact year - and then over to the Department of Education as education advisor. Then I became senior education advisor, and eventually Director of Education, and I retired in 2016 from that education, but because there was - well, the Chief Secretary was also a teacher and asked me to go over with him to assist him, particularly in things to do with education. That was Mr Gomez, at the time. Q. Then you joined the GPA as Chairman? A. I was called by the then Deputy	2	requirements of the Act is that we approve any policy that the police may care to implement. So, that also takes up quite a bit of time. The other branch is overseeing the complaints, or the Police Complaints Board, which really is at arm's length, because they are a completely different committee, but the link - the Chair of the PCP, of the Police Complaints Board - always has to be a member of the GPA. In this case, it's Mr Frank Carreras. Q. Thank you, and as Chairman of the GPA what is your specific role? A. To convene the meetings, to suggest ways forward when we are presented with problems. Sometimes to look at appeals from - which are rare to tell you the truth - at appeals when they come from the Police Complaints Board. I usually do that in conjunction - I mean, if it's relatively straightforward I do it myself; if not I ask a sub-group to look into it. Also to manage the two-part time - I don't know what to call

1 1 number of - I wouldn't say statutory duties. word processors that we have, in the room 2 2 that we have, because essentially what we We are a Public Service Commission. 3 3 have is a room and a meeting room in Obviously, I recuse myself if it's got anything 4 4 Casemates. to do with the police, but the answer is, no. 5 Q. Roughly how many hours a week on 5 No, I also do work for the Health Care 6 6 average are you required to work to fulfil Services Commission, which is similar to the 7 7 your duties? PSC, but for the hospital, and nobody gets 8 8 A. From my perspective it's become a 24/7 renumerated, and I think the kind of people 9 9 job, even if I am holiday, essentially, because that are normally chosen are people who 10 10 there's no provision for me to nominate want to give something back to the 11 11 another Chair to take over whilst I'm not community, to tell you the truth. I think it 12 there. So, I've had, you know, emails, phone 12 would be a mistake to start paying people, 13 calls, everywhere, and I don't like saying, 13 but that's just my opinion. 14 14 "No", so I normally answer, even if it takes a Q. In your view, do the members of the GPA 15 15 few minutes or a few days, but it's become have the sufficient skills, experience, 16 16 full time - full time, certainly a full-time collectively to manage the GPA's 17 17 occupation because of the responsibility of responsibilities? 18 everything that the police does, along with 18 A. In certain aspects, when we're working on 19 19 the Commissioner, clearly. the policing plan, yes, because there's 20 20 Q. What is the process - sorry, you indicated representation from most of the community. 21 the process by which you became a member. 21 In fact the members represent different 22 22 Is there a specific process for becoming demographics and different professions. So, 23 23 Chair, or is the same as -in terms of providing input, the main input is 24 24 A. No, it's different. Apparently - I didn't given to us by the RGP to be fair, because we 25 25 know this - there's a list of people approved agree with them that the five main areas that Page 5 Page 7 1 by both the Governor and the Chief Minister. 1 have to be looked at, you know, are things 2 That list goes to the specified appointments 2 like drugs, traffic, those kinds of things. So, 3 3 committee for the Chair, and then they on that scale, yes, but certain - for example, 4 4 recommend to the Governor whether or not one of the policies that we had to provide 5 5 they feel that person can do the job. With advice on was the firearms policy, which we 6 members it's different. The advice comes 6 have to rely on the Commissioner's and the 7 7 from the Public Service Commission. Assistant Commissioner's advice, and 8 8 Q. Are any qualifications required to be whoever wrote up the policy - because, we 9 9 Chairman of GPA? felt - well, we have to rely on trust, and what 10 10 A. No. happened with policies is, because they are 11 11 Q. Is there any training provided to become given definite review dates, we're given 12 either Chairman or a member of the GPA? 12 comfort by that, because if anything doesn't 13 13 A. No, not really. It depends on the work, it's relatively easy to recommend 14 individual experiences that members have. 14 changes. 15 15 Q. Do you believe the GPA has sufficient Q. Do you think that people would not 16 16 benefit from training before becoming either resources? 17 Chairman or a member? 17 A. I mean, hindsight is 20:20. No, but to be 18 18 A. Absolutely. fair I've never complained about it, or I've 19 19 Q. Do you receive any renumeration for never made representations, but the answer is 20 20 your role as Chairman? 21 21 A. None at all. Nobody in the GPA, except, Q. How often does the GPA meet? 22 22 clearly, the two young ladies that help us out. A. We're supposed to meet, I think, a 23 23 Q. Do you think that the role of Chairman minimum of once a quarter, but since I took 24 24 should be renumerated? over from Mr Gonçalves I found we were 25 25 A. I speak for myself, no. I perform quite a meeting once a month because of everything

Page 8

Page 6

that we have to do. Although most of the 1 advice it is less than once a year that you 2 2 meetings are routine because they are divided require legal advice? 3 3 A. I'm just thinking, we are taking legal - you can see from the minutes that they are 4 4 divided into certain areas, things like advice, but that's been ongoing - well, legal 5 community engagement, legislation, those 5 6 6 kinds of things. Q. Of course, on the inquiry, but --7 Q. You mentioned the minutes. Who is 7 A. No, no. 8 responsible for taking the minutes at the 8 Q. Oh, sorry. 9 9 meetings? A. No, we are trying to modernise because 10 10 A. Whichever one of the two young ladies that is one of the things that Sir Edward 11 11 are available. Davis mentioned when he appointed me, 12 Q. Is a minute taken of every GPA meeting? 12 trying to modernise the Act, that's all he said, 13 A. If it's a formal GPA meeting, yes. If it's a 13 and so one of the first things we did was - I 14 14 sub-group just talking about different issues, think it's ISOLAS who we instructed. We 15 15 we leave it up to the individual members, but didn't want to do Hassans, because there was 16 (inaudible), it's formal GPA meetings, so the 16 a member of Hassans there. In other words, 17 17 monthly meetings would tend to be minuted, other people were conflicted and they offered 18 but informal meetings are not normally 18 to do it as well, but that's still ongoing. 19 19 minuted. There's another piece of legal work being 20 20 Q. Is that the distinction between a formal carried out for the Police Commission by 21 meeting and a non-formal meeting, that 21 them, but we are taking - I think it's jointly. 22 22 everybody is present, and it is one of the It was commissioned by the Ministry for 23 23 monthly meetings is a formal meeting. A Justice, on their disciplinary regulations, 24 non-monthly or a sub-group meeting is an 24 which are from the 1990s. I forget the date, I 25 25 informal meeting? think it's 1992, or something like that, Page 9 Page 11 1 A. It's an informal meeting and it's normally 1 extremely antiquated, and, you know, 2 2 personally, I don't like the way police because people give up their time personally, 3 3 but that's me. I really don't like insisting you officers are treated in those regulations. I 4 4 have to attend an informal meeting. It's think it should be modernised, and I think the 5 5 normally open to anybody who wants to senior management in the police agree with 6 attend. There's a group of us who are retired, 6 7 7 but there's a group, a substantial number, Q. When you need to seek legal advice, do 8 who are working full time jobs as well. 8 you seek funding from the Government for 9 9 Q. How often do you have to seek that? 10 10 independent legal advice in your role? A. I have to. We, I think, have a nominal 11 A. Apart from inquiry related business, the 11 sum. I think in our budget it says, £1000 12 last time was for a data request from a 12 included. That's never enough. 13 13 complainant. A retired police officer was THE CHAIRMAN: You do not get much for 14 complaining against a grievance procedure. 14 that. 15 It was an appeal from a grievance procedure. 15 A. No, no, sir. So, the process is normally 16 16 We didn't deal with it, but what he wanted because the Chief - we have to remember 17 was every single item of correspondence that 17 that two of the appointees in the GPA are 18 we held, and the reality was that we didn't 18 directly appointed, one by the Chief Minister, 19 19 and one by the Governor. So, there's some hold - because we don't normally keep those 20 20 kinds of things, but I needed legal advice to sort of input in what we do from both sides 21 21 be able to frame some sort of response. of Government. So, normally if it's 22 22 Q. And when was that, roughly? something small I ask the Chief Secretary, 23 23 A. Actually, 2020. I can't remember the "Could you see if we can get funding for 24 24 month, but shortly after. this?" If it is something big, like, changing 25 Q. So, are you saying that in terms of legal 25 the Act, I remember having to call the Chief

Page 10

Page 12

1 Minister and say, "Look, we want to do this. 1 Governor and the Chief Secretary have 2 2 The Governor's asked me to do this", and he always been members. 3 said, "Yes, go ahead." What they don't tell 3 Q. Prior to the events of May, June 2020, did 4 4 us is who to get. Mr Pyle ever express any opinion to you 5 Q. Yes. When you seek funding have you 5 about Mr McGrail? 6 ever experienced reluctance in seeking 6 A. Privately, but not specifically that I recall 7 7 about Mr McGrail. He said that the police funding, or is it normally granted? 8 A. They don't exactly say, "Yay, go for it." 8 force needed modernisation, that it was 9 Well, the last time I asked for - when we 9 clunky, that some of the practices were -10 10 asked Mr Neish if he wanted to continue with well, he didn't say, "old fashioned" he said, 11 us for the inquiry, I asked one of the senior 11 "Needed modernisation". 12 officers of the Ministry for Justice and he 12 Q. Did he ever express any opinion to you 13 said, "It's going to cost a lot", but I don't 13 about the selection process by which Mr 14 14 think he knew that this was a whole inquiry. McGrail was chosen? 15 15 I think he just thought that it was somebody A. On several occasions he actually said, not 16 16 necessarily that we should have somebody that wanted to sue me, or something. 17 17 Q. But putting the inquiry to one side, ad from outside, but that the field should be 18 hoc, whenever you have a meeting and 18 open. I mean, he's always stood that the field 19 19 decide, "Well, we need to instruct one", like should be open, not necessarily to members 20 20 the examples you have given, and if you go of police forces in the United Kingdom, he 21 to Government, is the funding forthcoming, 21 includes the OTs, the overseas territories as 22 22 or is it a battle? well. He specifically mentioned that. I can't 23 A. I haven't had to fight a battle, but clearly, 23 give you dates, but I know that was his 24 24 they always ask for - when it comes down to feeling. 25 25 - which Mr Lavarello does that for us - our Q. Beyond that did he ever make any Page 13 Page 15 1 own internal budget, he never knows what to 1 comment on the selection process itself? 2 2 THE CHAIRMAN: You mean by which Mr put down for legal assistance because it's 3 3 always - they always say, "No, put one McGrail's. 4 thousand." 4 MR SANTOS: By which Mr McGrail's. 5 5 Q. Mm. A. About Mr McGrail, no, not to me, or not 6 A. But they have never said, "No". 6 that I recall. 7 7 Q. What was your relationship with Mr Q. If we can go to B1961, please, this is an 8 McGrail like during his time as 8 email of 19 February 2020 and it is an email 9 9 Commissioner? to you, copied to the Chief Secretary, and it 10 A. Professional, and cordial, and friendly. 10 is at the time that the Governor, the previous 11 11 Q. By the time that you joined the GPA, Mr Governor, departed and Nick Pyle stepped 12 McGrail had been Commissioner for about 12 into his shoes as interim Governor, and he 13 13 three months. What was his reputation at says, "Joey, I was thinking about the best 14 GPA level at that point? 14 way forward in terms of maintaining, or not, 15 15 A. Nobody said anything untoward about Mr my role interest in the GPA as Governor, and 16 16 McGrail. In fact, not even the recruitment as have a suggestion for you. Why don't you 17 has been discussed here was discussed. 17 send an email to all GPA members, save me, 18 Q. Do you recall the dates that Mr Pyle was 18 stating that for as long as I am Governor you 19 19 a member of the GPA? intend to omit me from general GPA 20 20 A. I think he was a member a bit before correspondence etc, but that I have offered to 21 21 because since he was Deputy Governor. continue to attend GPA meetings, recusing 22 22 There's no legal requirement for the Deputy myself as necessary, or at anyone's request. I 23 Governor and the Chief Secretary to be 23 put it as a suggestion and ask for their views. 24 24 members, but what I've been told is that since One objection to my attendance should be 25 25 enough to preclude it. This gives the GPA a 2006 when the GPA started, the Deputy Page 14 Page 16

say in how it operates." What was done on 1 complaint against the Commissioner to be 2 2 the back of that email? made. Mr Morello states at paragraph 148 of 3 3 A. I don't recall, but he stopped attending his witness statement that if such procedure 4 4 and I don't think anybody said, "No, he had been in place he would have filed a 5 should be here." I think people agreed with 5 complaint of bullying against Mr McGrail. 6 6 the general view that as Governor he He said this in the context of Written 7 shouldn't really be there, but I must admit, it 7 Warning and Regulation 9 notices issued by 8 8 came to me as a bit of a surprise. I didn't see Command, which flowed from the ongoing 9 9 the - it's the same person. dispute as to superintendence and guidance." 10 Q. Yes. What contact did you have with Mr 10 We are going to go to that exchange that Mr 11 11 Pyle after that date in relation to the GPA Morello gives evidence about, but just to 12 other than the section 34 process? 12 focus first of all on the words, "Formal 13 A. That I recall, when he called me saying, 13 complaint", what would you classify as a 14 14 "Could you come over for a meeting?" formal complaint as opposed to an informal 15 15 Q. On 17 May - well, in May? complaint? A. In May, yes, that's right. 16 16 A. A written complaint and something that 17 17 Q. Did Phil Culligan ever attend GPA we can do something about. I mean, that's 18 meetings on Mr Pyle's behalf? 18 us, that's what the GPA, and certainly the 19 A. No. 19 PCB, the Police Complaints Board felt. 20 20 Q. How would you describe the relationship Q. And in terms of a complaint - well, if you 21 between Mr McGrail and the leadership of 21 do not describe it as a formal complaint, a 22 the GPF? 22 complaint, what would that encompass? 23 A. Fractious, very difficult, and no 23 A. Some sort of grievance, but not 24 24 disrespect meant, but it actually took me necessarily something we could do --25 25 back to my schooldays - well, my school Q. Yes. Page 17 Page 19 1 teacher days when people just didn't get on, 1 A. -- anything about. I mean, I remember that particular instance about the written 2 children just simply didn't get on, and I really 2 3 3 didn't know what to do except try and listen warning regulation because Mr Morello 4 to both sides. So, Mr Morello would tell me 4 wanted to institute disciplinary procedures 5 5 against - I can't remember whether it was Mr things about - not necessarily about Mr 6 McGrail, just about how senior management 6 Morello himself or both the Secretaries --7 7 didn't respect them, but then Mr McGrail Q. Mr Simpson? 8 8 used to say, "He doesn't respect me." You A. Mr Simpson, and I clearly - I wasn't 9 9 know, so it was constant. comfortable with that. It would look very, 10 10 Q. Can we go to your second statement, very bad. 11 paragraph 3, A329. You have got it in front 11 Q. We are going to go to those minutes 12 of you if you prefer to read it on paper, but 12 where you refer to it. I am just going to take 13 we will have it on the screen. It is right at the 13 you now to Mr Morello's evidence, A1225, 14 bottom of 329, and you say, "The issues and 14 where he says, "At some point after being 15 15 disputes between Mr McGrail / Command on served with the Written Warning and 16 16 the one hand, and Mr Morello / GPF on the Regulation 9 notices, I spoke to Dr Joey 17 17 other were occasionally raised with me by Britto on the phone, and asked if I could 18 18 both sides. However, no formal complaint, address the GPA Board, not only in relation 19 19 oral or written, was ever made. I regarded to the ongoing internal investigation but the 20 things said to me as matters in dispute rather 20 general relationship with the GPF/Command 21 21 than complaints. Indeed, it seems to be and the authoritarian style of leadership. 22 acknowledged by Mr Morello at paragraph 22 This meeting was held at the GPA offices at 23 23 147 of his witness statement that no formal Casemates Square [sometime in February 24 complaint was made, as there was no 24 2020] where I can recall that most of the 25 25 procedure in place which enabled a GPA Board were in attendance. The meeting Page 18 Page 20

1 commenced and the first thing I said was 1 can bring it up. This is an email that says - if 2 'how do I file a complaint of bullying against 2 we can just go up, briefly, it is an email from 3 the Commissioner of Police?' The room was 3 you dated 23 January 2020 where you say, 4 4 "Dear colleagues, just so you know I am silent and I can recall Mr Britto saying words 5 5 meeting with the Federation at GPA offices to the effect, 'no please, no, that's all we need 6 6 now'. Again, I repeated the same 'how do I on Friday 31 January at 10 a.m. Should any 7 7 file a complaint of bullying against the of you be able to, you are most welcome to 8 8 Commissioner of Police?'. I paused for a few attend. Last time we met it was most fruitful, 9 9 seconds and said, 'you can't, there is no if you recall, and we were able to get a better 10 recourse'. I informed persons present that the 10 feel by meeting with them like this. You'll 11 11 point I was trying to make was that there also be happy to know that the police" - we 12 were no processes to make complaints 12 do not have to deal with that. It does look as 13 against the Commissioner or Assistant 13 though a meeting was scheduled for 31 14 14 January at 10 a.m. with the Federation. That Commissioner such as were in place in the 15 15 UK. Both these individuals expressly fall actually tallies with Mr Simpson's evidence, 16 16 outside the remit of the Police (Discipline) which I was going to show you, but perhaps 17 17 Regulations 1991. Consequently, conduct you just agree that Mr Simpson gives 18 which falls short of criminal offences but 18 evidence, very similar to Mr Morello and 19 which would constitute disciplinary offences 19 refers to a meeting on 31 January 2020. 20 20 for any other member of the force would not Now, your evidence is that you do not have -21 be so for the Commissioner and the Assistant 21 sorry, I just want to give you the opportunity 22 Commissioner." Just before we talk about 22 to comment on that email. 23 whether a meeting took place, or when the 23 (10.30)24 24 meeting took place, do you remember an A. Absolutely, absolutely. We did ask all 25 25 exchange of that nature with Mr Morello? the members if they remembered meeting Page 21 Page 23 1 A. Vaguely, but I was convinced it was one 1 them in February, and then we did ask them 2 of the many coffee mornings that I used to 2 if they remembered meeting in January. 3 3 share with him, just to listen to him, and all Everybody said, "No", and I think it's 4 4 because it must have been a very, very our efforts at the GPA were concentrated on 5 5 looking at what happened in February, or reduced number. I can't[?] actually 6 possibly a bit later, and as you know we've 6 remember being there, but it can only be the 7 7 actually - when Mr Lavarello looked through meeting that Mr Simpson is referring to, but 8 8 his diary entries he had an admin section, and even then, there's no minutes or anything 9 9 then we found that possibly there had been a because it was just almost like having a 10 10 meeting in January, which there is no coffee with them, but instead of our normal 11 11 minuted meeting in January, and clearly, I haunt we took it there, but it wasn't a GPA 12 think what happened there was that it was a 12 meeting, and certainly the fact that hardly 13 13 very small group. Even though they say that anybody can remember, and Mr Lavarello 14 14 the whole GPA was there, it certainly was can't remember if he attended, even though 15 15 not a GPA meeting, but we don't recall that he had it down. I have no distinct 16 16 meeting. recollection but possibly, it did happen. 17 Q. Can I just stop you just so that we can -17 Q. Can we just look at A333, which is your 18 18 can I show you the emails -third witness statement, at paragraph 7. This 19 19 A. Yes, of course. is where you respond to Mr Leif Simpson's 20 20 Q. -- so, that we can see what we are talking witness statement, which referred to 31 21 21 about. This is an email that was provided by January. You say at paragraph 7, "The 22 the GPA yesterday evening following - I 22 second arises from paragraphs 156 and 157 23 23 understand but correct me if I am wrong - a of Mr Leif Simpson's witness statement. I 24 24 search by Mr Lavarello of his own have checked the records of the GPA and can 25 25 documents, and he's found - hopefully we find no record of a GPA meeting having been Page 22 Page 24

1 held in January 2020. I have consulted the 1 Q. Well, I am just asking you for your own 2 2 other members of the GPA at the time and recollection. A. No. No, I really don't recall. I really 3 neither they nor I have any recollection of 3 4 4 such meeting. This is not to say that Mr Leif don't recall that. I - staff surveys, we were 5 Simpson and Mr Maurice Morello did not 5 always discussing because we were 6 meet with us on other occasions, it is simply 6 concerned about the staff surveys. 7 that I have no recollection of any specific 7 O. If we can look at the minutes for 6 8 meeting." Then you say that you have been 8 February, B5878, these are minutes of the 9 reminded the Mr Francis Carreras - that you 9 Authority on 6 February 2020 at 9:30, and 10 have been reminded by him that you met Mr 10 there is a meeting there attended by a number 11 Simpson and Mr Morello informally twice, 11 of members, not by Mr Pyle. I just want to 12 but you cannot remember those meetings 12 focus on the final entry in the minutes at page 4. They are headed, "Meeting with GPF and 13 yourself. So, I think it is fair to say that you 13 14 14 can qualify that evidence - or you are not COP", and then it says, "The chairman gave 15 saying the meeting did not happen in that 15 an overview of the situation between the GPF 16 16 evidence, but it seems from that email that and the RGP. He mentioned that he asked 17 we have received from Mr Lavarello, that 17 the GPF for a policy based on the dignity at 18 there was in fact a meeting around that time. 18 work, however, the GPA would have to be 19 A. I hasten to add, because -- my opinion is 19 the appellate body. The chairman suggested 20 20 still that it was not a GPA meeting, I know it that the authority should advise COP to seek 21 21 guidance on how federations in the UK is --22 Q. Yes. 22 function. He also suggested advising the 23 A. - possibly semantics, but it wasn't a GPA 23 COP to take a step back on the proposed 24 24 meeting, and because we checked if there disciplinary action against the GPF. 25 25 had been minutes in January, we hardly ever However, the authority will listen to his Page 25 Page 27 1 meet in January because we meet early 1 views on the matter at the meeting at 2 December sometimes. That is the only 2 10.30am". At the very bottom of that page, 3 3 there is also a reference to the COP joining reason we couldn't find it. Because we 4 4 the meeting and explaining the situation weren't looking for - we were looking in the 5 5 between the GPF and the RGP. The meeting wrong month, to tell you the truth. 6 Q. If we can look at Mr Simpson's evidence, 6 ended with the COP agreeing to go down the 7 7 very briefly, at 156, 157. Sorry, I will get the route of mediation and review. Now, your 8 8 other page. Can you just give me one evidence about these minutes was that the 9 9 second. A1493. He gives very similar heading of that section, item number 5; 10 10 evidence to Mr Morello, but then in meeting with the GPF and the COP, was paragraph 158 he says, "Maurice followed by 11 misleading because there had not been a 11 12 saying that if there had been a process for 12 meeting. Do you want to revisit that, given 13 13 that you have seen what appears to have doing so, this would have already been done. 14 We gave the Board a summary of events that 14 occurred on 31 January? 15 15 had transpired since being elected as A. I am not 100 per cent sure that my 16 16 Convenors and the issues raised in our Staff original take on that isn't the correct one, but 17 17 surveys, at which point a Board...", I think I am willing to accept that it could well be, 18 18 he meant to say a board member, "...Frank but in reality where the information came 19 19 Carreras said: 'that is clearly bullying, and from, whether it was at the offices or in other 20 20 clearly the problem here is Mr McGrail'." meetings, I mean, that is - that reflects what 21 21 Do you have any recollection of Mr Carreras happened but I'm not quite sure if --22 22 saying that? O. Well --23 A. Not that - I don't recall Mr Carreras 23 A. - that GPF - it could be. 24 24 saying that, but you can ask Mr Carreras Q. There is no suggestion, I don't think, that 25 25 the GPF were present at this meeting, but it again. Page 26 Page 28

does look from those minutes that there had 1 Section 34 process? 2 2 been a conversation between you and Mr A. With hindsight, yes, but I must be honest 3 Morello about bullying, because it looks as 3 at the time I knew nothing about section --4 4 though you suggested seeking a draft policy Q. It was not on your mind. 5 on the - based on dignity at work, and that 5 A. Not at all. Not at all. 6 you then had a conversation with the 6 Q. Can we now just look at Mr Pyle's 7 Commissioner of Police and asked him to 7 evidence. A248. In paragraph 23.2 at the 8 effectively back down on the disciplinary 8 very bottom of that page. Well first of all, 9 9 process. So it looks as though you were, as 23.1, he says something similar to what you 10 10 you alluded to earlier, playing a sort of said earlier. Well, actually, he refers to the 11 11 fractured hostile relationship which he puts mediation role. 12 A. No. Doing my best to provide a 12 down to Mr McGrail's management style, but 13 mediatory role. I can't exactly remember the 13 it looks as though he is in agreement with 14 14 details, but I must admit that much of the you anyway that there is a fractured almost 15 15 time when they spoke about bullying, it was hostile relationship and then he says, "The 16 16 in relation to: that is what staff are saying in resulting tensions between the RGP 17 17 the surveys. Plus, it wasn't exactly - I mean, leadership and the Police Federation 18 they never actually told me: Mr Morello is 18 culminated in formal complaints from the 19 19 bullying me. I mean, the issue was, I think, it Federation to the GPA about Mr McGrail." 20 20 As far as that sentence is concerned, your is a question of hierarchy and I think it was 21 explained by another witness who knows 21 position is there were no formal complaints? 22 22 much more about how the RGP functions A. No. No formal complaints. 23 23 from the inside, Mr Field. I think it is - the Q. Would you accept that there were 24 24 friction is to do with that more than anything complaints? 25 25 else. You know, if you are in charge of the A. "Grievances" I would call them. Page 29 Page 31 1 police, the last thing you want is somebody 1 Q. Then he says, "The GP A regularly spoke 2 challenging you, possibly in ways which you 2 at its meetings about the allegations of 3 3 don't like. bullying and intimidation by Mr McGrail." 4 Q. Just one last question about this. You say 4 Do you agree with that? 5 5 that - and I do not think there is any doubt A. No. No. 6 that the disciplinary regulations apply to the 6 Q. How would you - Do you say that the 7 7 Commissioner, but could it conceivably be GPA regularly spoke at its meetings about 8 the case that if someone came to you with a 8 allegations of bullying not specific to Mr 9 serious enough allegation it could feed into a 9 McGrail? 10 Section 34 process, as far as the GPA was 10 A. Yes. In the sense that there were frequent 11 concerned. 11 articles in a newspaper that has just gone 12 A. One of the things that I was told, and I 12 defunct a couple of weeks ago, or last week. 13 think it could have been by Mr McGrail or it 13 For some reason, it was in that newspaper 14 could have been by Mr Gonçalves when he 14 talking about how bad the police were and in 15 was handing over, is that normally if there 15 fact how bad the GPA were, and that there 16 are complaints against very, very senior 16 was a lot of bullying, because they had 17 managers within the police, that police forces 17 insider knowledge. Clearly we were 18 from outside would necessarily have to come 18 concerned about that, and then the Federation 19 in. But I wouldn't know how to do that, quite 19 surveys constantly pointed to there being 20 frankly. 20 bullying, but it's not something that 21 Q. Well, my question to you is more that if, 21 whenever we visited New Mole House we 22 for example, a complaint was raised by 22 actually saw, or - plus we have never ever 23 anybody to you about the Commissioner that 23 had a letter or even an email or anything 24 gave rise to concerns about effectiveness, 24 from a police officer saying: I'm being 25 probity, could it conceivably feed into a 25 bullied. Page 30 Page 32

O. Can we now move to the incidents at sea 1 Q. You say that -- you refer to the Coroner, 2 2 please. If we go to C6552 we can find some that it is under investigation, and it is for the 3 3 WhatsApp exchanges between you and the Coroner to determine. Was there, in your 4 4 Commissioner of Police, Mr McGrail. I just view, any role for the GPA to investigate the 5 want to look at the bottom of that page on 8 5 6 March 2020, the morning of the incident. Mr 6 A. I didn't even think about the GPA 7 McGrail messages you. He says, "Joey, we 7 investigating an issue, unless there happened 8 are dealing with a critical incident. One of 8 to be a disciplinary consequence, which 9 our boats has been involved in a collision 9 would necessitate either - not necessarily a 10 10 with a smuggling RIB with four onboard. chairing, but presence by the GPA. Now, 11 11 Two on the smuggling RIB are fatalities. disciplinaries are normally instigated and 12 Our crew are uninjured but clearly shaken 12 organised by - internally by the RGP, but 13 and shocked." Why do you think that Mr 13 they normally ask us, especially if the 14 14 McGrail messaged you about this? Commissioner feels that they are conflicted, 15 15 A. I - I really don't know. Out of courtesy, I asks a member of the GPA to attend the 16 16 disciplinaries to ensure that, you know, suppose. My - whenever anything major 17 17 happens, my initial reaction is: have you told everything is fair. I really wasn't thinking -18 the Governor, have you told the Chief 18 I'm not sure if, at that stage, I knew it was in 19 19 Minister quite frankly. Especially if it is Spain. I really am - aren't sure if by then I 20 20 international - the only thing at that stage, I knew it was in Spain. I suspect so because of 21 didn't know where it had happened, but I do 21 all the people being advised, but clearly, I 22 22 remember asking Mr McGrail: have you was concerned, the moment I heard that there 23 23 advised the Governor. I don't remember had been death involved. It is something that 24 24 where because I don't have these. is quite emotive, because on the one hand 25 Q. If we look over the page, the message 25 you have to think of the officers - the local Page 33 Page 35 1 continues, "I am invoking post incident 1 officers involved in the situation, but you 2 procedures and planning for consequence 2 also have to think of, you know, the people 3 3 management. I have advised His Excellency that were killed. I'm not sure, I think it was 4 Chief Minister and the Minister of Justice 4 almost thinking: this is not for the GPA just 5 5 already." So, he informs you that he has yet, but I was sure there would be some 6 advised them. You say, "Thanks. I saw it on 6 involvement on our part. 7 7 the news feed." There is a deleted message Q. When did you first learn that the RGP 8 8 and then you say, "Not asking any questions vessel was in Spanish waters at the time of 9 9 of you in view that it is under investigation the collision? 10 10 and for coroner to determine. Just like to say A. I think Mr McGrail must have told me, 11 that it is unfortunate to say the least." I 11 but I really can't recall when. Sorry, I should 12 mean, when you say, "not asking any 12 add - or Mr McGrail or Mr Ullger. I think it 13 questions of you", given that it was under 13 was probably Mr McGrail, but I really can't 14 investigation, did you have any questions for 14 remember. 15 15 Mr McGrail that you chose not to ask? Q. Do you remember when you learned that 16 16 A. I didn't have enough information at the the vessel's AIS system was switched off? 17 17 time, because I think by "news feed", I meant A. I am just trying to think here. I don't 18 18 the RGP Twitter, I think, because I can't recall where, but I did think it strange when I 19 19 recall this clearly, but I'm sure Mr McGrail find out because as a boat owner, it is quite 20 20 would have given me information had I - had difficult to -- to switch off, unless the system 21 21 I asked him. Or Mr Ullger who was is actually prepared to allow you to switch it 22 22 **Assistant Commissioner.** off. I mean, I am saying as a boat owner. 23 23 Q. Do you recall what that deleted message But I don't have anything helpful to say about 24 24 might have been? that except that I was extremely surprised. 25 25 A. No. Q. Were you concerned to learn that? Page 34 Page 36

1	A T	1	A 33/1 0 D H 1 141 4
1	A. I was very concerned about that.	1	A. Why? Because I'm convinced that we
2	Q. Did you raise those concerns with Mr	2	wouldn't know how to investigate something
3	McGrail?	3	as important as this, and I was very relieved
4	A. I think I asked but I am pretty certain I	4	when Mr McGrail said: we are going to bring
5	asked, but I don't think Mr McGrail had an	5	somebody from outside. But we haven't had
6	answer at that time.	6	any investigatory input into this, if I'm
7	Q. Had you heard of AIS being switched off	7	honest.
8	previously?	8	Q. Could the GPA not have conducted an
9	A. Personally, as a GPA member, no, but	9	investigation into, for example, the policies
10	there is always stories going around of, you	10	about entering Spanish waters without
11	know from retired police officers, that say:	11	straying into areas of technical expertise?
12	well, that's normal, and the Guardia Civil	12	A. We were told that that was a policy.
13	also do it. You know, those kind of	13	Q. That
14	comments, but it's hearsay. It's conjecture.	14	A. That there was a policy, that they weren't
15	Q. Can I show you B100, please? This is an	15	allowed to stray - verbally by Mr McGrail
16	exchange on the Maritime Incident Facebook	16	and Mr Ullger and everybody else. That is
17	- sorry, Facebook group - WhatsApp group,	17	the policy. It's not supposed to happen.
18	between the Chief Minister, you, Mr	18	Q. Could you have investigated whether, in
19	Carreras, the Chief Secretary and the	19	fact, that policy was being followed?
20	Commissioner of Police. There is an update	20	A. With hindsight, possible, but quite
21	on 12 March 2020, sent round by Mr	21	frankly, we didn't.
22	McGrail, and the final entry there says, "In	22	Q. Once the Metropolitan Police team
23	terms of the investigation proper, the	23	arrived in Gibraltar, did you have any contact
24	evidence points at the pursuit and collision	24	with them yourself?
25	occurring outside BGTW, not the best news	25	A. No. None at all.
	Page 37		Page 39
	0		<u> </u>
1	we wanted to hear." You said earlier that	1	Q. Then, if we go over the page, 6554, there
2	you could not remember when you first	2	is another exchange at 14:43, about a third of
3	learned about the incident happening in	3	the way down where Mr McGrail says, "I
4	Spain. Do you know whether this was the	4	will work to get an independent team out
5	first time you learned of it or did you know	5	ASAP, and we can discuss tomorrow. Time
6	about it previously?	6	is of essence. Will explain in person.
7	A. I don't have that, but it must have been.	7	Politics." You say, "We can have a
8	It must have been. I'm - and even now, I'm	8	discussion about this tomorrow. I'm sure we
9	quite upset by reading that. It must have	9	will take your advice anyway." If we go
10	been when I first learnt	10	down a little bit further it looks like -
11	Q. That message.	11	actually, if you look at the messages on 10
12	A that everything had happened in Spain,	12	March at 13:09, it looks like the meeting is
13	because I think the working theory had been,	13	fixed for 9 am on 11 March. You say - Mr
14	from what the police were telling me, was	14	McGrail says, "Can do any time. Shall we
15	that a chase started locally.	15	fix for 9 am. See you then." I just want to
16	Q. The next day, if we go back to C6553.	16	ask you, did Mr McGrail explain to you what
17	On the next day, 9 March, Mr McGrail	17	he meant by "politics"?
18	messages you about organising an	18	A. No. Not that - that I can recall.
19	independent investigation, and you say, "If	19	Q. He says, "Time is of the essence. Will
20	I'm honest, the PCB will not have the	20	explain in person. Politics."
20			
21	necessary expertise". That is the message	21	A. No, I
	necessary expertise". That is the message at the bottom. Then the next line, " neither	21 22	A. No, 1 Q. Do you remember what that was a
21	at the bottom. Then the next line, " neither would anybody in the GPA if we're honest."	1	
21 22	at the bottom. Then the next line, " neither	22	Q. Do you remember what that was a
21 22 23	at the bottom. Then the next line, " neither would anybody in the GPA if we're honest."	22 23	Q. Do you remember what that was a reference to?
21 22 23 24	at the bottom. Then the next line, " neither would anybody in the GPA if we're honest." Why did you think that the GPA did not have	22 23 24	Q. Do you remember what that was a reference to?A. I can only - but I am surmising here. I

1 that it was an international incident. That's 1 McGrail is keeping everybody, the powers 2 2 the only thing I can surmise. I really can't that be so to speak, you know, involved and 3 3 updated and he said he was. Especially at the recall that exchange. 4 4 Q. When you met, did Mr McGrail speak to back of my mind was the fact that very very 5 you about his interactions with the Chief 5 often we complain when there are incursions 6 Minister, The Attorney General and Mr Pyle 6 into our waters and here was, you know, us 7 in relation to the incident? 7 doing the same. I really can't remember - it 8 8 A. All I was interested in was that Foreign was prompted by another Panorama article or 9 9 what it was, but it - I think it was a random Office knew about the fact that it was an 10 10 thought. Nobody asked me to. That came international incident, whether or not there 11 11 were going to be claims against - made from me. 12 against the RGP, and trying to find out, you 12 Q. That was going to be my next question. 13 know, how it happened. I seem to remember 13 A. Yes. 14 14 asking - I'm not sure about this, but I think -Q. It was not prompted by anybody. 15 15 I seem to remember asking about something A. No. 16 to do with a saw, a metal projection that was 16 Q. Did you have any reason to believe that 17 17 on the boat, but I'm not even sure if that was Mr McGrail was not keeping Mr Pyle or the 18 the --18 Chief Minister abreast of developments? 19 19 Q. The meeting. A. Not at that time, and nobody had said 20 20 A. - the meeting. I really can't recall. anything. I was just out of my own volition, 21 Q. Do you remember having any detailed 21 making sure that everybody knew just how 22 22 discussion about the extent to which Mr serious this was. 23 McGrail was keeping the Chief Minister, the 23 Q. Moving onto the HMIC report, can we 24 24 Attorney General, the Governor, informed please go to A58. This is Mr McGrail's first 25 25 about location? statement. Paragraph 24, towards the Page 41 Page 43 1 A. No. No, I have always been working 1 bottom. We know that in August or under the assumption that the 2 2 September 2018, Mr McGrail asked the GPA 3 3 communications were fluid. to request HMICFRS to conduct an 4 4 inspection of the force and he says, at 24, Q. If we go to 6556 now, please, we move 5 5 forward to 20 March, and the second entry "The reasons for my calling of the inspection 6 there is a message from you at 10:28. You 6 by HMICFRS have already been briefly 7 7 say, "Good morning, Iain. Just a thought. touched upon in the preceding paragraphs. A 8 8 Are you keeping Governor abreast of series of meetings and discussions took place 9 9 developments? Also, maybe send an update in the build up to the inspection. I recall a 10 10 to the CM via the WhatsApp. Note that this communication with the GPA Chair Dr. 11 is just my thinking, and nobody's actually 11 Britto, where he expressed the view that 12 asked me, but I think it would be prudent. 12 submitting the workforce to two inspections, 13 13 See what you think. Developments in i.e. private consultancy and HMICFRS, 14 14 respect of maritime accident, I meant." Mr could be perceived as overbearing on the 15 15 McGrail says, "Yes, the Convent is up to workforce. I explain my rationale for the 16 16 speed and AG too. Micheal fed up to CM. request for the HMICFRS inspection in the 17 17 By next week the UK team will have more following paragraphs." Mr McGrail is 18 18 clarity on what route they will be suggesting recalling that you were concerned about 19 19 this to take locally. That is a good point to another inspection. Is that correct? 20 20 provide a more formal update." You say, A. That is - that is true. That is very true. I 21 21 "Okay. Understood. Thank you, Iain. As was concerned about the ordinary constable, 22 22 long as both are kept updated, that was my and even senior management, being 23 23 concern." What prompted this message? subjected to another inspection when they 24 A. Basically, waking up remembering about 24 had just finished -- it was a private 25 the incident and just wondering, I hope Mr 25 consultancy that Mr McGrail contracted to

Page 42

Page 44

1 look at the results of the survey and how the 1 every three or four years, and Mr Gonçalves 2 2 questions were actually formulated and all when he was leaving told me: now you're 3 3 that - but it actually meant interviewing going to have to arrange the next inspection. 4 4 officers, and I felt it was going to be another So, I was expecting an inspection, or having 5 intrusion, but Mr McGrail convinced me that 5 to organise it. But in all honesty, when I 6 6 it was good to have another inspection. asked, the police told me we contact them 7 7 Q. What did you understand Mr McGrail's and all we have to do is make sure that we 8 8 motivation for calling the inspection to be? have the funding for it. 9 9 A. He did say that he wanted Mr Pyle to A. If we can go to C6552, back to your 10 10 look - well, not Mr Pyle - Paul Holewell exchanges with Mr McGrail. There, we can 11 who was the lead inspector - I hadn't met 11 see at the top of the page, you are arranging 12 them yet - to look at whether or not they 12 to meet Mr McGrail at New Mole House 13 could find any elements of bullying in-house, 13 "...tomorrow at 11 am." "Yes. I'll see you", 14 14 in the police force. But they actually told and you say, "Any surprises, issues of great 15 15 concern?", and he says, "Regrettably, yes to me: we don't do that, but if we find anything 16 16 we will report on it. my liking.", and you say, "Okay, will discuss 17 17 Q. Sorry, just - can you just repeat that? these tomorrow then." He says "okay", and 18 A. If you go to paragraph 25, surprisingly, 18 then it looks as though a meeting takes place 19 19 one of the first things I was asked to do by on 3 March and it seems as though you 20 20 Sir Edward Davis, the Governor, is: look, discussed the draft version of the report then. 21 when you get a chance, visit... The only 21 Is that correct? 22 22 A. We - I didn't know that would happen, police authority left in the United Kingdom 23 was essentially the Met. It was not called the 23 but Mr Morello told me, normally when there 24 24 police authority, it is actually run by the is an inspection they send you a draft report 25 25 Deputy Mayor's office, so the line manager for factual accuracy, and I noticed that it Page 45 Page 47 1 of the Met Commissioner is actually a 1 seemed to suggest that the GPA had no 2 Deputy Mayor, a politician. I went - I think 2 appetite for modernisation, plus I wasn't 3 3 I went with Mr Darren Grech if I recall, as completely happy with the type of language 4 4 Chief Secretary, and we visited HMIC that they were using when it came to the 5 5 headquarters, but before that we had also police not being alive to -- I remember that 6 6 visited the equivalent of the police authority one in particular - not being alive to 7 7 just to find out how they worked and clearly corruption. They weren't calling the police 8 8 corrupt, but what they were intimating was we were, like, taken aback when we saw the 9 9 resources, the teams of people that they had. that there were no policies in place, and if I'm 10 10 Clearly, it's a huge force. But, I mean, at that not mistaken, I sent Mr Holewell an email. I 11 11 moment in time our main was to have a chat never got a reply. If I am honest, it was 12 - our main concern was to have a chat with 12 never replied to, but they removed the 13 13 HMIC and ask them for an inspection, and if comment on --14 I'm not mistaken, one of the things they said 14 O. The GPA. 15 is: well, we don't really look at bullying, but 15 A. - the GPA not having an appetite for 16 16 if there is, it will come up. modernisation when, you know, felt quite 17 17 Q. Did you raise with Mr McGrail that if his hurt by that. 18 motivation was to look into bullying, actually 18 Q. Just focusing on that meeting, we can see 19 19 the HMIC report was not going to bottom that Mr McGrail is saying that there were 20 20 either surprises or issues of great concern. 21 21 A. I did, but I didn't see it as necessarily a He says, "Regrettably yes, to my liking." 22 22 bad thing because Mr Gonçalves had told What was he surprised or concerned about at 23 23 me, almost jokingly: oh, you're going to that meeting, from your recollection? 24 24 have to - apparently there is an investigation A. I don't know what the "to my liking", I 25 25 think it means: in my opinion --- there was a HMIC review of the police Page 46 Page 48

1 Q. Mmh. 1 and mitigate the threat. Our recommendation 2 2 A. If it means that, it's because I knew that is that the Senior Leadership Team determine 3 he'd had verbal oral feedback before they left 3 the best model for providing 4 4 and I don't think HMIC officers or inspectors countercorruption capabilities to identify and 5 gave Mr McGrail an indication of just how 5 pursue corrupt employees or employees who 6 6 bad things seemed to be, and they didn't tell are susceptible to corruption". You have 7 us. I mean, we only found out when we got 7 already addressed this in terms of the 8 8 the draft, quite honestly. wording. Did you agree that the RGP was 9 9 Q. Once you had the opportunity to read the not fully aware of the risk? 10 report, the draft report, did you think that it 10 A. I had no choice but to agree that they 11 11 was a fair and accurate report? knew much more about policing. Certainly 12 A. Even before that, I thought I can't let this 12 HMIC are police officers or have worked 13 out into the public because it is going to 13 with the police, so they would know much 14 14 destroy the reputation of - that was me more about policing than I would have ever 15 15 worrying. It is going to destroy the hoped to. 16 16 reputation - or it is going to damage the Q. And then above there is an entry, a bullet 17 17 reputation of the RGP, and I was very very point that is headed, "Role Models". "We 18 concerned about that, and I think there is 18 were told of a firm leadership style in the 19 evidence that I have always been concerned 19 force and some of the workforce perceive 20 20 about the reputation of the RGP. I didn't that some managers seek too readily to blame 21 know enough about how these inspections 21 and reprimand. We didn't encounter any 22 22 worked but I was concerned. I was evidence of bullying although looking for it 23 concerned, and so was Mr McGrail quite 23 didn't fall within our terms of reference. 24 24 frankly, and the Assistant Commissioner as Leadership development is an area of 25 25 well. I think al senior management were improvement for the force and it might Page 49 Page 51 1 concerned. 1 benefit from exchanges with officers in 2 Q. Did you feel that Mr McGrail was 2 England and Wales about these subjects. 3 3 responsible for, or should take responsibility Our additional recommendation is that the 4 4 Senior Leadership Team produce an of the criticism in the report? 5 5 A. Well, the criticism that the previous antibullying statement and improved 6 report had not been acted on. When I asked 6 processes to prevent bullying." Was that 7 7 him, he said yes, but that wasn't me, that was consistent with any information you were 8 8 Mr Yome. But, I mean, I didn't dwell on it, receiving as to the leadership of the RGP? 9 9 but it's - I didn't quite feel it was the right A. Firm, yes - firm leadership style and I 10 10 response, but I didn't say anything. Only think that was corroborated by previous 11 11 witnesses. This wasn't called "bullying" but because it seems as if, you know, it's not me, 12 it's him, but he may be right. I really didn't 12 to tell you the truth, I didn't quite know what 13 13 to make of "firm leadership style" because on delve too deeply into it. 14 (11.05)14 the one hand I'm always told, "Well, this is a 15 15 Q. If we can now go to B1552, please, which uniformed, disciplined body and people have 16 16 is the report itself, this is the introductory to do what we tell them", but on the other 17 17 summary of the report and I just want to hand, one of the questions I always ask when 18 focus on two bullet points. The first is 18 interviewing police officers is, "What would 19 19 towards the bottom, "Tackling Misconduct you do if you disagree with an order?", and 20 and Corruption. This is an area for 20 normally I like the answers they give me, 21 21 improvement. The force doesn't fully "None[?]", but I think it could be a reference 22 22 understand its exposure to the risk of to people simply barking out orders and 23 23 corruption. Its Professional Standards Unit instructions where possibly in more modern 24 24 lacks the resource and expertise to develop a leadership styles within the police, that 25 fuller understanding of the risk or to monitor 25 doesn't tend to happen but, as I said, that's Page 50 Page 52

1	how I interpreted that.	1	"Thanks." You referred to the report as a
2	Q. From your perspective, did you see Mr	2	developmental road map. Was your view
3	McGrail as either willing to take the criticism	3	that the report was an opportunity for Mr
4	and addresses or reluctant to accept the	4	McGrail to move forward and improve things
5	criticism?	5	for the RGP?
6	A. I think he could have been reluctant to	6	A. Yes.
7	accept the criticism because I don't think he	7	Q. And there is a reference also to the
8	saw himself as necessarily being responsible	8	unprecedented levels of community support
9	for all that, but certainly he did say, "Well,	9	the RGP is currently enjoying. What was
10	I'm going to work on this."	10	that a reference to?
11	Q. And did you see him as the person to	11	A. That is because Mr McGrail suggested to
12	address those recommendations?	12	me that even though the report was
13	A. From what I found out, the person	13	published, people were more concerned with
14	working on that was his Assistant	14	the way the police were helping them out
15	Commissioner, Mr Ullger.	15	with Covid restrictions plus the interviews
16	Q. Well -	16	that Mr McGrail was running in conjunction
17	A. But yes, possibly because the	17	with the Ministry for Justice or Health,
18	Commissioner had told him to start working	18	Public Health, I think, talking about Covid on
19	on that.	19	an almost nightly basis. So, I think he said,
20	Q. Sorry, did you - well, let me put my	20	"Yes, it's a bad report, but if we publish,
21	question - let me ask you a better question.	21	people won't pay attention to that. They're
22	Were you happy for him to be Commissioner	22	more concerned about Covid."
23	of Police while the RGP addressed those	23	Q. Just looking at what is said there about
24	concerns?	24	publication, are we to take from Mr
25	A. Yes, I didn't think there was anything,	25	McGrail's message that he was supportive of
	,		
	Page 53		Page 55
1	you know that means closing down the police	1	nublishing the report from the outset?
1 2	you know that means closing down the police	1 2	publishing the report from the outset? A Not more than I was
2	station, quite frankly.	2	A. Not more than I was.
2 3	station, quite frankly.Q. In terms of the final version of the report,	2 3	A. Not more than I was.Q. If we then go to C 6561, please, there is a
2 3 4	station, quite frankly. Q. In terms of the final version of the report, can we just go to C6 558, please? We can	2 3 4	A. Not more than I was. Q. If we then go to C 6561, please, there is a reference at the bottom of page 20 to 2 April.
2 3 4 5	station, quite frankly. Q. In terms of the final version of the report, can we just go to C6 558, please? We can see on 9 April at 11.30, you say, "Ian, check	2 3 4 5	A. Not more than I was. Q. If we then go to C 6561, please, there is a reference at the bottom of page 20 to 2 April. You say, "Ian, fyi, we'll be sending you and
2 3 4 5 6	station, quite frankly. Q. In terms of the final version of the report, can we just go to C6 558, please? We can see on 9 April at 11.30, you say, "Ian, check your email. HMIC report just submitted with	2 3 4 5 6	A. Not more than I was. Q. If we then go to C 6561, please, there is a reference at the bottom of page 20 to 2 April. You say, "Ian, fyi, we'll be sending you and Richard an email asking for your plan of
2 3 4 5 6 7	station, quite frankly. Q. In terms of the final version of the report, can we just go to C6 558, please? We can see on 9 April at 11.30, you say, "Ian, check your email. HMIC report just submitted with Matt Parr. I haven't read it yet but will do so	2 3 4 5 6 7	A. Not more than I was. Q. If we then go to C 6561, please, there is a reference at the bottom of page 20 to 2 April. You say, "Ian, fyi, we'll be sending you and Richard an email asking for your plan of action in response to the report and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	station, quite frankly. Q. In terms of the final version of the report, can we just go to C6 558, please? We can see on 9 April at 11.30, you say, "Ian, check your email. HMIC report just submitted with Matt Parr. I haven't read it yet but will do so shortly. Let's discuss after we've both read	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. Not more than I was. Q. If we then go to C 6561, please, there is a reference at the bottom of page 20 to 2 April. You say, "Ian, fyi, we'll be sending you and Richard an email asking for your plan of action in response to the report and recommendations, working groups to be set
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	station, quite frankly. Q. In terms of the final version of the report, can we just go to C6 558, please? We can see on 9 April at 11.30, you say, "Ian, check your email. HMIC report just submitted with Matt Parr. I haven't read it yet but will do so shortly. Let's discuss after we've both read it." And then if you go over two pages to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Not more than I was. Q. If we then go to C 6561, please, there is a reference at the bottom of page 20 to 2 April. You say, "Ian, fyi, we'll be sending you and Richard an email asking for your plan of action in response to the report and recommendations, working groups to be set up, how many have already been met, etc.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	station, quite frankly. Q. In terms of the final version of the report, can we just go to C6 558, please? We can see on 9 April at 11.30, you say, "Ian, check your email. HMIC report just submitted with Matt Parr. I haven't read it yet but will do so shortly. Let's discuss after we've both read it." And then if you go over two pages to 6560, 20 April, you say, "Ian, fyi, I haven't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Not more than I was. Q. If we then go to C 6561, please, there is a reference at the bottom of page 20 to 2 April. You say, "Ian, fyi, we'll be sending you and Richard an email asking for your plan of action in response to the report and recommendations, working groups to be set up, how many have already been met, etc. This is for the benefit of GPA colleagues. I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	station, quite frankly. Q. In terms of the final version of the report, can we just go to C6 558, please? We can see on 9 April at 11.30, you say, "Ian, check your email. HMIC report just submitted with Matt Parr. I haven't read it yet but will do so shortly. Let's discuss after we've both read it." And then if you go over two pages to 6560, 20 April, you say, "Ian, fyi, I haven't shared the report with anyone yet but will do	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. Not more than I was. Q. If we then go to C 6561, please, there is a reference at the bottom of page 20 to 2 April. You say, "Ian, fyi, we'll be sending you and Richard an email asking for your plan of action in response to the report and recommendations, working groups to be set up, how many have already been met, etc. This is for the benefit of GPA colleagues. I am sending them the report. I shall also send
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	station, quite frankly. Q. In terms of the final version of the report, can we just go to C6 558, please? We can see on 9 April at 11.30, you say, "Ian, check your email. HMIC report just submitted with Matt Parr. I haven't read it yet but will do so shortly. Let's discuss after we've both read it." And then if you go over two pages to 6560, 20 April, you say, "Ian, fyi, I haven't shared the report with anyone yet but will do so with GPA colleagues at the end of play	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. Not more than I was. Q. If we then go to C 6561, please, there is a reference at the bottom of page 20 to 2 April. You say, "Ian, fyi, we'll be sending you and Richard an email asking for your plan of action in response to the report and recommendations, working groups to be set up, how many have already been met, etc. This is for the benefit of GPA colleagues. I am sending them the report. I shall also send them the contents of the PR as well but we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	station, quite frankly. Q. In terms of the final version of the report, can we just go to C6 558, please? We can see on 9 April at 11.30, you say, "Ian, check your email. HMIC report just submitted with Matt Parr. I haven't read it yet but will do so shortly. Let's discuss after we've both read it." And then if you go over two pages to 6560, 20 April, you say, "Ian, fyi, I haven't shared the report with anyone yet but will do so with GPA colleagues at the end of play today. Am awaiting a response from	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Not more than I was. Q. If we then go to C 6561, please, there is a reference at the bottom of page 20 to 2 April. You say, "Ian, fyi, we'll be sending you and Richard an email asking for your plan of action in response to the report and recommendations, working groups to be set up, how many have already been met, etc. This is for the benefit of GPA colleagues. I am sending them the report. I shall also send them the contents of the PR as well but we are going to need evidence of how you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	station, quite frankly. Q. In terms of the final version of the report, can we just go to C6 558, please? We can see on 9 April at 11.30, you say, "Ian, check your email. HMIC report just submitted with Matt Parr. I haven't read it yet but will do so shortly. Let's discuss after we've both read it." And then if you go over two pages to 6560, 20 April, you say, "Ian, fyi, I haven't shared the report with anyone yet but will do so with GPA colleagues at the end of play today. Am awaiting a response from government and will wait until end of play	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. Not more than I was. Q. If we then go to C 6561, please, there is a reference at the bottom of page 20 to 2 April. You say, "Ian, fyi, we'll be sending you and Richard an email asking for your plan of action in response to the report and recommendations, working groups to be set up, how many have already been met, etc. This is for the benefit of GPA colleagues. I am sending them the report. I shall also send them the contents of the PR as well but we are going to need evidence of how you propose to tackle the addressing of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	station, quite frankly. Q. In terms of the final version of the report, can we just go to C6 558, please? We can see on 9 April at 11.30, you say, "Ian, check your email. HMIC report just submitted with Matt Parr. I haven't read it yet but will do so shortly. Let's discuss after we've both read it." And then if you go over two pages to 6560, 20 April, you say, "Ian, fyi, I haven't shared the report with anyone yet but will do so with GPA colleagues at the end of play today. Am awaiting a response from government and will wait until end of play today as well at which time I will share	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Not more than I was. Q. If we then go to C 6561, please, there is a reference at the bottom of page 20 to 2 April. You say, "Ian, fyi, we'll be sending you and Richard an email asking for your plan of action in response to the report and recommendations, working groups to be set up, how many have already been met, etc. This is for the benefit of GPA colleagues. I am sending them the report. I shall also send them the contents of the PR as well but we are going to need evidence of how you propose to tackle the addressing of recommendations, etc. The more we have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	station, quite frankly. Q. In terms of the final version of the report, can we just go to C6 558, please? We can see on 9 April at 11.30, you say, "Ian, check your email. HMIC report just submitted with Matt Parr. I haven't read it yet but will do so shortly. Let's discuss after we've both read it." And then if you go over two pages to 6560, 20 April, you say, "Ian, fyi, I haven't shared the report with anyone yet but will do so with GPA colleagues at the end of play today. Am awaiting a response from government and will wait until end of play today as well at which time I will share report with CM, MFJ, and HE first thing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Not more than I was. Q. If we then go to C 6561, please, there is a reference at the bottom of page 20 to 2 April. You say, "Ian, fyi, we'll be sending you and Richard an email asking for your plan of action in response to the report and recommendations, working groups to be set up, how many have already been met, etc. This is for the benefit of GPA colleagues. I am sending them the report. I shall also send them the contents of the PR as well but we are going to need evidence of how you propose to tackle the addressing of recommendations, etc. The more we have prepared the better. Anyway, I'll email you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	station, quite frankly. Q. In terms of the final version of the report, can we just go to C6 558, please? We can see on 9 April at 11.30, you say, "Ian, check your email. HMIC report just submitted with Matt Parr. I haven't read it yet but will do so shortly. Let's discuss after we've both read it." And then if you go over two pages to 6560, 20 April, you say, "Ian, fyi, I haven't shared the report with anyone yet but will do so with GPA colleagues at the end of play today. Am awaiting a response from government and will wait until end of play today as well at which time I will share report with CM, MFJ, and HE first thing tomorrow along with my recommendations	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Not more than I was. Q. If we then go to C 6561, please, there is a reference at the bottom of page 20 to 2 April. You say, "Ian, fyi, we'll be sending you and Richard an email asking for your plan of action in response to the report and recommendations, working groups to be set up, how many have already been met, etc. This is for the benefit of GPA colleagues. I am sending them the report. I shall also send them the contents of the PR as well but we are going to need evidence of how you propose to tackle the addressing of recommendations, etc. The more we have prepared the better. Anyway, I'll email you but just so you know that it will be for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	station, quite frankly. Q. In terms of the final version of the report, can we just go to C6 558, please? We can see on 9 April at 11.30, you say, "Ian, check your email. HMIC report just submitted with Matt Parr. I haven't read it yet but will do so shortly. Let's discuss after we've both read it." And then if you go over two pages to 6560, 20 April, you say, "Ian, fyi, I haven't shared the report with anyone yet but will do so with GPA colleagues at the end of play today. Am awaiting a response from government and will wait until end of play today as well at which time I will share report with CM, MFJ, and HE first thing tomorrow along with my recommendations unless I am advised otherwise. It goes	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Not more than I was. Q. If we then go to C 6561, please, there is a reference at the bottom of page 20 to 2 April. You say, "Ian, fyi, we'll be sending you and Richard an email asking for your plan of action in response to the report and recommendations, working groups to be set up, how many have already been met, etc. This is for the benefit of GPA colleagues. I am sending them the report. I shall also send them the contents of the PR as well but we are going to need evidence of how you propose to tackle the addressing of recommendations, etc. The more we have prepared the better. Anyway, I'll email you but just so you know that it will be for colleagues at GPA I'll send to tomorrow.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	station, quite frankly. Q. In terms of the final version of the report, can we just go to C6 558, please? We can see on 9 April at 11.30, you say, "Ian, check your email. HMIC report just submitted with Matt Parr. I haven't read it yet but will do so shortly. Let's discuss after we've both read it." And then if you go over two pages to 6560, 20 April, you say, "Ian, fyi, I haven't shared the report with anyone yet but will do so with GPA colleagues at the end of play today. Am awaiting a response from government and will wait until end of play today as well at which time I will share report with CM, MFJ, and HE first thing tomorrow along with my recommendations unless I am advised otherwise. It goes without saying that I'll keep you posted." Mr	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Not more than I was. Q. If we then go to C 6561, please, there is a reference at the bottom of page 20 to 2 April. You say, "Ian, fyi, we'll be sending you and Richard an email asking for your plan of action in response to the report and recommendations, working groups to be set up, how many have already been met, etc. This is for the benefit of GPA colleagues. I am sending them the report. I shall also send them the contents of the PR as well but we are going to need evidence of how you propose to tackle the addressing of recommendations, etc. The more we have prepared the better. Anyway, I'll email you but just so you know that it will be for colleagues at GPA I'll send to tomorrow. Thank you, by the way. I'm asking because
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	station, quite frankly. Q. In terms of the final version of the report, can we just go to C6 558, please? We can see on 9 April at 11.30, you say, "Ian, check your email. HMIC report just submitted with Matt Parr. I haven't read it yet but will do so shortly. Let's discuss after we've both read it." And then if you go over two pages to 6560, 20 April, you say, "Ian, fyi, I haven't shared the report with anyone yet but will do so with GPA colleagues at the end of play today. Am awaiting a response from government and will wait until end of play today as well at which time I will share report with CM, MFJ, and HE first thing tomorrow along with my recommendations unless I am advised otherwise. It goes without saying that I'll keep you posted." Mr McGrail says, "The sooner we go public with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Not more than I was. Q. If we then go to C 6561, please, there is a reference at the bottom of page 20 to 2 April. You say, "Ian, fyi, we'll be sending you and Richard an email asking for your plan of action in response to the report and recommendations, working groups to be set up, how many have already been met, etc. This is for the benefit of GPA colleagues. I am sending them the report. I shall also send them the contents of the PR as well but we are going to need evidence of how you propose to tackle the addressing of recommendations, etc. The more we have prepared the better. Anyway, I'll email you but just so you know that it will be for colleagues at GPA I'll send to tomorrow. Thank you, by the way. I'm asking because I've said that you are already working on the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	station, quite frankly. Q. In terms of the final version of the report, can we just go to C6 558, please? We can see on 9 April at 11.30, you say, "Ian, check your email. HMIC report just submitted with Matt Parr. I haven't read it yet but will do so shortly. Let's discuss after we've both read it." And then if you go over two pages to 6560, 20 April, you say, "Ian, fyi, I haven't shared the report with anyone yet but will do so with GPA colleagues at the end of play today. Am awaiting a response from government and will wait until end of play today as well at which time I will share report with CM, MFJ, and HE first thing tomorrow along with my recommendations unless I am advised otherwise. It goes without saying that I'll keep you posted." Mr McGrail says, "The sooner we go public with it the better. We are on the wave of good	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Not more than I was. Q. If we then go to C 6561, please, there is a reference at the bottom of page 20 to 2 April. You say, "Ian, fyi, we'll be sending you and Richard an email asking for your plan of action in response to the report and recommendations, working groups to be set up, how many have already been met, etc. This is for the benefit of GPA colleagues. I am sending them the report. I shall also send them the contents of the PR as well but we are going to need evidence of how you propose to tackle the addressing of recommendations, etc. The more we have prepared the better. Anyway, I'll email you but just so you know that it will be for colleagues at GPA I'll send to tomorrow. Thank you, by the way. I'm asking because I've said that you are already working on the recommendations, etc., so I'll need your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	station, quite frankly. Q. In terms of the final version of the report, can we just go to C6 558, please? We can see on 9 April at 11.30, you say, "Ian, check your email. HMIC report just submitted with Matt Parr. I haven't read it yet but will do so shortly. Let's discuss after we've both read it." And then if you go over two pages to 6560, 20 April, you say, "Ian, fyi, I haven't shared the report with anyone yet but will do so with GPA colleagues at the end of play today. Am awaiting a response from government and will wait until end of play today as well at which time I will share report with CM, MFJ, and HE first thing tomorrow along with my recommendations unless I am advised otherwise. It goes without saying that I'll keep you posted." Mr McGrail says, "The sooner we go public with it the better. We are on the wave of good public support and in my view it is the best	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Not more than I was. Q. If we then go to C 6561, please, there is a reference at the bottom of page 20 to 2 April. You say, "Ian, fyi, we'll be sending you and Richard an email asking for your plan of action in response to the report and recommendations, working groups to be set up, how many have already been met, etc. This is for the benefit of GPA colleagues. I am sending them the report. I shall also send them the contents of the PR as well but we are going to need evidence of how you propose to tackle the addressing of recommendations, etc. The more we have prepared the better. Anyway, I'll email you but just so you know that it will be for colleagues at GPA I'll send to tomorrow. Thank you, by the way. I'm asking because I've said that you are already working on the recommendations, etc., so I'll need your plans, etc." It looks from that that you were
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	station, quite frankly. Q. In terms of the final version of the report, can we just go to C6 558, please? We can see on 9 April at 11.30, you say, "Ian, check your email. HMIC report just submitted with Matt Parr. I haven't read it yet but will do so shortly. Let's discuss after we've both read it." And then if you go over two pages to 6560, 20 April, you say, "Ian, fyi, I haven't shared the report with anyone yet but will do so with GPA colleagues at the end of play today. Am awaiting a response from government and will wait until end of play today as well at which time I will share report with CM, MFJ, and HE first thing tomorrow along with my recommendations unless I am advised otherwise. It goes without saying that I'll keep you posted." Mr McGrail says, "The sooner we go public with it the better. We are on the wave of good public support and in my view it is the best time to do it." And you say, "That'll be my	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Not more than I was. Q. If we then go to C 6561, please, there is a reference at the bottom of page 20 to 2 April. You say, "Ian, fyi, we'll be sending you and Richard an email asking for your plan of action in response to the report and recommendations, working groups to be set up, how many have already been met, etc. This is for the benefit of GPA colleagues. I am sending them the report. I shall also send them the contents of the PR as well but we are going to need evidence of how you propose to tackle the addressing of recommendations, etc. The more we have prepared the better. Anyway, I'll email you but just so you know that it will be for colleagues at GPA I'll send to tomorrow. Thank you, by the way. I'm asking because I've said that you are already working on the recommendations, etc., so I'll need your plans, etc." It looks from that that you were willing to work with Mr McGrail and Mr
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	station, quite frankly. Q. In terms of the final version of the report, can we just go to C6 558, please? We can see on 9 April at 11.30, you say, "Ian, check your email. HMIC report just submitted with Matt Parr. I haven't read it yet but will do so shortly. Let's discuss after we've both read it." And then if you go over two pages to 6560, 20 April, you say, "Ian, fyi, I haven't shared the report with anyone yet but will do so with GPA colleagues at the end of play today. Am awaiting a response from government and will wait until end of play today as well at which time I will share report with CM, MFJ, and HE first thing tomorrow along with my recommendations unless I am advised otherwise. It goes without saying that I'll keep you posted." Mr McGrail says, "The sooner we go public with it the better. We are on the wave of good public support and in my view it is the best time to do it." And you say, "That'll be my recommendation. I'll copy you in when I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. Not more than I was. Q. If we then go to C 6561, please, there is a reference at the bottom of page 20 to 2 April. You say, "Ian, fyi, we'll be sending you and Richard an email asking for your plan of action in response to the report and recommendations, working groups to be set up, how many have already been met, etc. This is for the benefit of GPA colleagues. I am sending them the report. I shall also send them the contents of the PR as well but we are going to need evidence of how you propose to tackle the addressing of recommendations, etc. The more we have prepared the better. Anyway, I'll email you but just so you know that it will be for colleagues at GPA I'll send to tomorrow. Thank you, by the way. I'm asking because I've said that you are already working on the recommendations, etc., so I'll need your plans, etc." It looks from that that you were willing to work with Mr McGrail and Mr Ullger on the way forward.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	station, quite frankly. Q. In terms of the final version of the report, can we just go to C6 558, please? We can see on 9 April at 11.30, you say, "Ian, check your email. HMIC report just submitted with Matt Parr. I haven't read it yet but will do so shortly. Let's discuss after we've both read it." And then if you go over two pages to 6560, 20 April, you say, "Ian, fyi, I haven't shared the report with anyone yet but will do so with GPA colleagues at the end of play today. Am awaiting a response from government and will wait until end of play today as well at which time I will share report with CM, MFJ, and HE first thing tomorrow along with my recommendations unless I am advised otherwise. It goes without saying that I'll keep you posted." Mr McGrail says, "The sooner we go public with it the better. We are on the wave of good public support and in my view it is the best time to do it." And you say, "That'll be my	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Not more than I was. Q. If we then go to C 6561, please, there is a reference at the bottom of page 20 to 2 April. You say, "Ian, fyi, we'll be sending you and Richard an email asking for your plan of action in response to the report and recommendations, working groups to be set up, how many have already been met, etc. This is for the benefit of GPA colleagues. I am sending them the report. I shall also send them the contents of the PR as well but we are going to need evidence of how you propose to tackle the addressing of recommendations, etc. The more we have prepared the better. Anyway, I'll email you but just so you know that it will be for colleagues at GPA I'll send to tomorrow. Thank you, by the way. I'm asking because I've said that you are already working on the recommendations, etc., so I'll need your plans, etc." It looks from that that you were willing to work with Mr McGrail and Mr
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	station, quite frankly. Q. In terms of the final version of the report, can we just go to C6 558, please? We can see on 9 April at 11.30, you say, "Ian, check your email. HMIC report just submitted with Matt Parr. I haven't read it yet but will do so shortly. Let's discuss after we've both read it." And then if you go over two pages to 6560, 20 April, you say, "Ian, fyi, I haven't shared the report with anyone yet but will do so with GPA colleagues at the end of play today. Am awaiting a response from government and will wait until end of play today as well at which time I will share report with CM, MFJ, and HE first thing tomorrow along with my recommendations unless I am advised otherwise. It goes without saying that I'll keep you posted." Mr McGrail says, "The sooner we go public with it the better. We are on the wave of good public support and in my view it is the best time to do it." And you say, "That'll be my recommendation. I'll copy you in when I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. Not more than I was. Q. If we then go to C 6561, please, there is a reference at the bottom of page 20 to 2 April. You say, "Ian, fyi, we'll be sending you and Richard an email asking for your plan of action in response to the report and recommendations, working groups to be set up, how many have already been met, etc. This is for the benefit of GPA colleagues. I am sending them the report. I shall also send them the contents of the PR as well but we are going to need evidence of how you propose to tackle the addressing of recommendations, etc. The more we have prepared the better. Anyway, I'll email you but just so you know that it will be for colleagues at GPA I'll send to tomorrow. Thank you, by the way. I'm asking because I've said that you are already working on the recommendations, etc., so I'll need your plans, etc." It looks from that that you were willing to work with Mr McGrail and Mr Ullger on the way forward.

O. You say that you understood that there 1 publish the report in full? 2 2 would be a delay in providing the plan of A. First and foremost, if Mr McGrail was 3 action. In your view, was the matter urgent, 3 happy with having it published and he did 4 4 the plan of action, or were you happy for say, "I will make sure we send it to the press 5 there to be some delay? 5 but I will also answer questions to the press" 6 6 A. I always felt that if you have a negative and I think I tried to - I sent an email to 7 report that it would in itself provide you with 7 possibly the Governor, Chief Minister 8 8 a plan of action and a developmental saying, "Look, this is going to affect the 9 9 community" and maybe I was just strategy, even in the report because it 10 10 actually tells you a point to aim is for exaggerating, I am really not sure, "this is 11 11 development, but I felt that even at that stage going to affect the community but Mr 12 that things have to happen rather quickly, but 12 McGrail says that he will be able to answer 13 only because of public opinion, plus I think I 13 questions." 14 14 also worried about, you know, the impact on Q. So, was it a one-person decision or was it staff within the RGP - not that I voiced that, 15 15 a joint decision? 16 but I must have mentioned it at some point, 16 A. I think it was a joint decision. 17 17 you know, it's more work for them. Q. And the report was published on 7 May. 18 Q. Over the page on C563 there is reference 18 The Chronicle's headline was, "Hard hitting 19 19 to a meeting between you and Mr McGrail external audit of the RGP identifies serious 20 20 deficiencies, makes wide-ranging and the Minister of Justice on 30 April to 21 discuss the report. I don't have to actually 21 recommendations." If we go to C 6569, back 22 22 take you to the messages. From your to the WhatsApp between you and Mr 23 23 recollection, was the Minister of Justice McGrail, the third entry on that page, you 24 24 supportive of the RGP and Mr McGrail say, "Ian, Chronicle headline web version", 25 25 despite the criticism? and you refer to that headline, "a bit Page 57 Page 59 1 A. I remember the meeting vaguely but there 1 sensationalist." What did you consider to be 2 was no negativity in the meeting. I don't 2 sensationalist about that? 3 3 think she immediately said, "Yeah, let's go A. I was trying to make Mr McGrail feel 4 ahead and publish it", but I think we agreed 4 better if he was feeling - not feeling better, 5 5 that the actual report was a road map for but he didn't tell me he was feeling bad, but I 6 development but that's all I can remember 6 certainly was. 7 7 about that meeting. Q. If we go towards the bottom of the page 8 8 Q. Can I take you to C 3343 please. This is after the relevant images are sent, then at 9 9 an email of 29 April from Mr Pyle to you and 1534 you say, "We just have to carry on and 10 10 he says: "Joey, I've read the report twice. I ensure that as many of the recs. are addressed 11 don't think it should be published in full. 11 as possible in the timeframe." And then Mr 12 Let's chat tomorrow." Did you speak the 12 McGrail - well, yes, it looks there as though 13 following day or shortly after that email? 13 still you are taking the view that it is right to 14 A. I can't remember but my concern was that 14 work with Mr McGrail and address this 15 15 HMIC would possibly post it on the website report. 16 16 anyway regardless of whether we wanted it A. I am not sure who Mr McGrail - is that 17 published. I'm not quite sure but I'm pretty 17 Mr McGrail with me? 18 certain I must have told him. 18 Q. Yes, that's you and Mr McGrail and you 19 19 Q. But I am more focused on did you discuss say, "We just have to carry on and ensure 20 20 that as many of the recs", presumably the report with Mr Pyle? 21 21 A. Well, he said it was awful and it was recommendations --22 22 damning and then I started to be a bit A. Recommendations. 23 concerned about well, perhaps I hadn't given 23 Q. " -- are addressed as possible in the 24 24 it enough importance. timeframe." 25 Q. Who made the ultimate decision to 25 A. " - in the timeframe." Page 58 Page 60

Q. And I am only asking you to point out 1 convenient moment, sir, to take our mid-2 2 that 8 May, it does still look as though you morning break? 3 are willing to work with Mr McGrail to 3 THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. 4 4 address the recommendations. MR SANTOS: And to make a check on 5 5 progress? A. Yes, yes. 6 6 Q. Did you have any doubts at that point as THE CHAIRMAN: I agree. 7 to his suitability as Commissioner of Police? 7 MR SANTOS: Thank you. 8 8 A. I had my doubts as to whether all this (11.21)9 could be done in as quickly as possible but at 9 (Adjourned for a short time) 10 that time I had no idea that there may be 10 (11.27)11 11 people who thought that he wasn't fit. MR SANTOS: Dr Britto, can I please take 12 Q. And from your perspective did you have 12 you to your witness statement at A321, 13 any doubts? 13 paragraph 10, the bottom of that page. You 14 14 A. Not at that stage. say, "On 12 May 2020 Mr McGrail asked to 15 Q. Then further down, two messages later, 15 see me. I agreed to his request and met him at Mr McGrail says: "He told me off the record 16 16 his office at New Mole House Police Station 17 that he smelt of rat." I think he probably 17 on 15 May 2020. I did not take a note of the 18 means, "smelt a rat" - about the tone of the 18 meeting but to the best of my recollection, 19 report inferring there was some underlying 19 Mr McGrail informed me of an investigation 20 20 motive for such negative reporting. I have called Operation Delhi. Mr McGrail said 21 my theories which I will share with you in 21 that Mr James Levy QC was a suspect in 22 22 due course." Did you learn from Mr McGrail connection with this investigation and that he 23 what his theories about the report were? 23 had Mr Levy's mobile phone in a safe. This 24 A. Well, I don't know who the "he" is that he 24 was the first time I'd heard of Operation 25 25 is referring to. Delhi or of Mr Levy's suspected involvement Page 63 Page 61 1 O. Yes. 1 in it. Mr Levy further stated that the Chief 2 2 Minister was not happy with him and that A. Now, I don't. 3 3 Q. I think it is a reference to conversations this had been expressed to Mr McGrail by 4 with Mr Reyes of the Chronicle, presumably, 4 the Chief Minister directly. Mr McGrail also 5 5 given that it is a response to the Chronicle told me that he was due to have a further 6 headline. This is only 20 minutes after you 6 meeting with the Attorney General whom he 7 7 sent him the Chronicle headline. If we look had already met in relation to this 8 above at 1522, "Yes, fine. We'll send you the 8 investigation. I also recall that Mr McGrail 9 9 screenshots" and he sends you screenshots of asked Mr Richard Ullger, the Assistant 10 the whole article. You say, "Got them, okay, 10 Commissioner of Police at the time, to be 11 read. I suppose it could have been worse. 11 present during this meeting. Mr McGrail had 12 We just have to carry on the message, I say" 12 not spoken to me before about this operation. 13 and then he says, "It was worse. He has 13 Whilst it struck me as unusual that he should 14 14 picked up some bits of what I sent him in do so then, I thought nothing further about it 15 responses to his questions which has 15 at the time. I did not comment other than to 16 balanced it out a tiny bit. You have read in 16 state something to the effect that, "I hope the 17 between the lines to get the balancing. He 17 issue would resolve itself in due course." 18 told me off the record that he smelt a rat 18 Can we look at B76, please? This is an 19 19 about the tone of the report inferring that email that Mr McGrail sent himself on the 20 20 there was some underlying motive for such evening of 12 May at 10.05 and he says, 21 negative reporting. I have my theories which 21 towards the bottom of that, about a third of 22 22 I will share with you in due course." Did Mr the way up from the bottom of that page - it 23 McGrail share such theories with you? 23 should come on to the screen shortly - there. 24 24 There is a single sentence which says, "I 25 MR SANTOS: I wonder whether now is a 25 have also briefed the Chair of the GPA in Page 62 Page 64

person in my office." This is an email that he 1 O. Did he give you an account of what had 2 sent on 12 May to himself. Do you recall 2 happened at the Chief Minister's office that 3 3 being briefed by Mr McGrail on the day of 4 4 12 May? A. He said that the Chief Minister was very 5 A. Not on the 12th. I had a meeting on the 5 upset with him. 6 6 15th scheduled, so I'm pretty certain that it Q. Did he report to you about a 7 was on - almost sure that it was on the 15th. 7 disagreement between him and the Chief 8 8 Minister over the DPP's advice? Q. It is just that on the 12th, the evening of 9 the 12th, he sends himself a message saying 9 A. I can't recall the details. I don't think we 10 10 that he has, so I just wanted to give you the were given, or I was given, details about 11 chance to respond to that. 11 exactly what it was about and to tell you the 12 A. There's a remote chance that it was on the 12 truth, whilst this was going on, I was 13 12th, but my records show that it was on the 13 thinking, "This is strange because no police 14 14 15th, but if he is convinced that it's on the 12th officer ever talks to me or to anybody from 15 15 the GPA about operational matters and 16 16 Q. If we go to C6 571, again the WhatsApps investigations. That was what was going 17 between you on 12 May there is a message at 17 through my mind. 18 1420 where he says, "Joey, sorry for the 18 Q. Did he tell you that he had been berated 19 bother but I urgently need to speak to you 19 by the Chief Minister and the Attorney 20 face to face", and then there is a further 20 General? 21 message the next day: "Joey, met with AG 21 A. No. If I'm not mistaken, what he said 22 22 and others to discuss the matter. I can was, "He's very upset with me", not precisely 23 23 provide you with a quick update over the with those words. He didn't use the word, 24 phone if you want or would you rather I 24 "berate", I don't think. 25 25 leave it for Friday when we meet?" And then Q. Did he raise any concerns with you about Page 65 Page 67 1 you respond, "I'm downstairs". That's on the 1 the Chief Minister interfering in the police 2 15th. So, it looks from that as well like there 2 investigation? 3 3 A. I'm trying to think. I think he was more may have been two conversations: one on the 4 12th and one on 15 May, but if you cannot 4 concerned with telling me that the Chief 5 5 recall, you cannot recall. Minister was very upset with him. I can't 6 A. I'm convinced it was on the 15th. 6 recall him going into details. 7 7 THE CHAIRMAN: Did he say why he was Q. Then you certainly - regardless of the 8 8 timing of the meeting you said in your telling you this? 9 9 evidence what was said about Operation A. I think it was connected with the fact that 10 10 Delhi and you state in your witness - because one of the first things that Mr statement, as we have just seen, that Mr 11 11 McGrail told me that he had Mr Levy's 12 McGrail said that Mr Levy was a suspect. 12 phone in his safe. That's one of the first 13 13 things that he told me and I said, "That's Did Mr McGrail explain to you that the 14 investigation related to a company called 36 14 strange", so I presumed that that's why they 15 15 North? were annoved with him. 16 16 A. Not that I can recall. MR SANTOS: But he did not go into 17 17 Q. Did he explain that the company involved details? 18 was partly owned by Hassans? 18 A. Not - that's what I'm at pains to explain. 19 19 A. He must have when he mentioned Mr Q. Did he raise any concerns about the 20 20 Attorney General interfering in the police Levy, but to tell you the truth I didn't think 21 21 twice about it. investigation? 22 22 A. My understanding was that he met with Q. Did he mention that the Chief Minister 23 23 held a beneficial interest in the company? both of them and, as I say, somewhere, to my 24 24 great relief, he said, "Well, I'm going to meet A. No, not that I can recall, certainly not 25 25 with the Attorney General anyway", so I using those words. Page 66 Page 68

3 May 2024

1 thought maybe this would blow over or 1 May? 2 2 whatever. I mean, I don't like conflict and I A. On 18 May, what, at the Convent? 3 3 don't like people being annoyed with each Q. Yes. 4 4 A. I remember Mr Pyle sitting me down, other, quite frankly. 5 Q. How would you describe his, Mr 5 asking me to take a seat and he still didn't tell 6 McGrail's tone and demeanour at that 6 me what it was about and I think he was 7 7 waiting for the Chief Minister to come 8 8 A. What I remember is that he was standing across, which made me even more 9 9 and I was sitting, and he can be quite apprehensive, quite frankly. I really didn't 10 10 imposing. He was upset, he was visibly know what it was about. The tone? They 11 11 upset, not necessarily angry. I think he was were both very forceful and to tell you the 12 upset, but I'm not (inaudible) his mind - but 12 truth, as I expect a Governor and a Chief 13 more than angry, I think he was upset. 13 Minister to be, quite frankly. But I mean, 14 14 Q. Can we now move on to the section 34 they weren't angry or anything. As to the 15 15 process, please? If we look at C3 937, we tone of the meeting, they were very serious. 16 16 can see here an email exchange between you That's the best way I can explain. They were 17 17 and Mr Pyle on 16 May. Mr Pyle says, "Can very serious faces which made me even more 18 you make a meeting at the Convent with the 18 uncomfortable, quite frankly. I said, "What 19 CM and I on Monday at 9.30?" and you 19 have I done?" 20 20 confirm. The meeting took place. Were you Q. Did one of them do most of the talking? 21 surprised to receive an email seeking a 21 Did they both do the same amount of 22 22 meeting between you, the Governor at the talking? 23 23 time and the Chief Minister? A. Mr Pyle did most of the talking, if I'm not 24 24 A. Very, extremely surprised mistaken, yes, and I'm pretty certain Mr Pyle, apprehensive, quite frankly. I had no idea 25 25 as Governor, was the one who took the lead. Page 69 Page 71 1 what this could be about. 1 Q. In the Chief Minister's evidence, he says 2 2 that during this meeting you were very Q. Well, I was going to ask you, did you 3 3 have any sense of what it might be about? concerned but not surprised. Were you 4 A. No. 4 surprised? 5 5 Q. The meeting took place on 18 May 2020. A. I was shocked, surprised, more or less I 6 Prior to that meeting, had the Chief Minister 6 didn't know what had hit me. 7 7 Q. At the end of the meeting did you feel ever raised concerns with you about Mr 8 8 McGrail? that the GPA had any option other than to 9 9 A. Not to me. invite Mr McGrail to retire? 10 10 Q. What about Mr Pyle? A. I'm trying to do my best to try and recall 11 11 A. Mr Pyle, in general about modernising how I felt then because having had the 12 the Police Force and how old-fashioned they 12 benefit of listening to all the evidence and all 13 13 were and those kind of things. the inquiry, sometimes I find it difficult to 14 14 Q. You had had a meeting with him in late distinguish between what I knew then and 15 15 April about the HMIC report and we have what I know now, or what has been heard 16 16 seen the email between you. Had you raised now. I certainly left feeling that they wanted 17 any concerns about Mr McGrail's suitability 17 Mr McGrail out and I really didn't know 18 18 as Commissioner of Police in that meeting? what to do or how to do it, even though they 19 19 A. I can't recall him saying this raises issues explained there's a way of doing it, but I was 20 20 about his suitability as Commissioner. I left in very little doubt. I was placed in - I 21 21 think what the gist of that meeting was, "this mean, the way I remember feeling was, 22 22 raises issues to do with the way the police is "They're giving me a choice but they're not 23 led and managed", which may or may not be 23 really." Also, I must admit I was very 24 24 the same thing. concerned about the difference between 25 25 Q. What was the tone of the meeting on 18 somebody saying, "I think I'm going to", or Page 70 Page 72

"I'm going to retire" for whatever reason, as 1 Minister also shared another event occurring 2 2 opposed to having to resign. And I last week, which had left him also in a 3 3 situation where the Commissioner had remember that going through my mind. I 4 4 expressly misled him and which left him wouldn't want that - wish that - on anyone, 5 but that's what I can recall about the tone, the 5 unable to believe the Commissioner." Is that 6 6 a reference to the search warrant at Hassans? style of what went on. 7 7 A. I can't recall any mention of search Q. Was your understanding at that moment 8 8 warrant or Hassans. In fact that was when, I that if you did not invite Mr McGrail to 9 9 retire, he would be forced to resign? think, the Chief Minister was talking to Mr 10 10 A. It was intimated but I can't remember if it Pyle and Mr Pyle said something along the 11 11 was Mr Pyle or - no, it must have come from lines of, "It's simply that I don't like the way 12 Mr Pyle and they did mention, "I wouldn't 12 he does things" and that conversation refers 13 want to declare you in default but I will if I 13 to both of them saying, "We don't like the 14 14 have to", but then again it's so long ago I way he does things", but I can't remember 15 15 can't - and clearly I started taking notes and I any mention of warrants. 16 16 gave up halfway through because I just Q. What is said there is that the Chief 17 17 couldn't concentrate on - plus there was also Minister had shared an event where the 18 a lot of talk between them which there was, 18 Commissioner had expressly misled him. 19 19 like, all the machinery in my head there Did the Chief Minister share that event with 20 20 going round and I wasn't paying too much you at the time? 21 attention. All that I knew was that they were 21 A. If he did, I can't recall. I think he was 22 22 talking to - he's referring to sharing it with asking me to start, or consider starting 23 23 section 34, which up to then I hadn't even the then Governor but because - I surmised it 24 24 looked at. must be because of that because Mr McGrail 25 Q. Can we look at C3 993 please? This is an 25 had mentioned that he was annoyed with Page 73 Page 75 1 informal record of meeting. It is a document 1 him, but it wasn't a major part of the 2 headed, "Informal record of meeting with 2 conversation. Most of the - can I? 3 3 HE, The Governor, and the Chief Minister, O. Yes. 4 Monday 18 May 2020."Your evidence is that 4 A. Most of the conversation was about the 5 5 that note, which was prepared and circulated fact of the Governor being very, very upset 6 by the Chief Minister two days later, is an 6 and annoyed about Mr McGrail not being 7 7 accurate minute of what was discussed. Is able to confirm until very, very late that the 8 8 that still your position? maritime incident happened in Spain and the 9 9 A. There may be things missing but it report, but mostly it was about the maritime 10 certainly covers the main areas of what they 10 incident. 11 11 told me rather than discussed, because quite Q. But just to be as clear as we can, do you 12 frankly, I didn't say much. 12 have a recollection about the Chief Minister 13 Q. You say that you started taking notes of 13 referring during that meeting to the 14 14 the meeting and then stopped. Commissioner having expressly misled him? 15 15 A. "It's not the first time I think he A. Yes. 16 16 Q. Did you retain them? mentioned it. It's not the first time he says 17 17 A. I think I left them behind, but quite one thing and does another", or something 18 18 honestly, I think I went to a meeting and that along those lines but quite honestly I am 19 19 surmising that it's referring to what Mr was it. I really can't recall what happened. I 20 20 really can't recall what happened. I don't McGrail told me about. 21 21 think I had anything that was usable, which THE CHAIRMAN: Mr Santos has read that 22 22 paragraph (c) "The Chief Minister also was possibly why I think he offered to, 23 23 "Well, I'll send you what happened." shared another event occurring last week". 24 24 Q. At 3996, just in C, just a little bit higher That is very vague. It gives no details. 25 25 than that there, C, the note says, "The Chief During the meeting did the Chief Minister Page 74 Page 76

London, WC2A 1JE

1	actually give any more details?	1	a meeting with police. I'm not sure, actually.
2	A. If he did it wasn't to me. I think they	2	I'm actually not sure.
3	were talking amongst each other, amongst	3	(11.52)
4	themselves, but quite possibly. Quite	4	MR SANTOS: Or his interest in 36 North?
5	possibly, and certainly there was no mention	5	A. No, I really didn't know about that -
6	of warrant or everything that we've heard	6	certainly not then.
7	about production orders and all that.	7	Q. Can we please go now to A240? This is
8	THE CHAIRMAN: Or Mr Levy?	8	Mr Pyle's evidence. At 14.1 Mr Pyle gives an
9	A. Possibly mentioned Mr Levy's phone, but	9	account of the meeting and he says, "At my
10	I'm not sure. I'm not sure, but I think what	10	request, the Chief Minister set out the issues of
11	that refers to is the fact that they were both	11	concern to both of us, namely the damning
12	saying, "He says one thing and then does	12	HMIC report, the incident at sea involving a
13	another."	13	fatal collision, the Police Federation
14	MR SANTOS: Just after that paragraph it	14	allegations and the mishandling of a high-
15	says, "The Chief Minister stated that for him	15	profile ongoing investigation." Do you
16	this is possibly the issue of deepest concern	16	remember a reference to that?
17	as it goes to the integrity and probity of a key	17	A. I think that's the reference that I was
18	individual in the maintenance of the respect	18	talking about, that's the main thing that I
19	for the rule of law." Given that the note	19	meant, "Mishandling of high-profile ongoing
20	reflects that, did you understand that this was	20	investigation." But I wasn't given any details,
21	the most important issue for the Chief	21	or they certainly didn't discuss any details in
22	Minister?	22	front of me. But remember that Mr McGrail
23	A. Not at that time. Not at that time.	23	had already told me that the main issue was
24	Q. So, when you say that this was an	24	that he had somebody's phone in a safe.
25	accurate note, do you want to qualify your	25	Q. And then at 14.2 he says, "I endorsed the
	Page 77		Page 79
1	evidence as far as that is concerned?	1	Chief Minister's position and said that there
2	A. Yes, it's an accurate note because those	2	had to be accountability for the failings. I said
3	things were covered. What I don't remember	3	the common thread through all the issues
4	are the actual details, so I'm sorry if I misled	4	appeared to be a lack of adequate management
5	accidentally.	5	and leadership and that, therefore, in my
6	Q. And as far as you say that Mr Levy - can	6	opinion, it is the Commissioner who is to be
7	you just explain briefly from your	7	held accountable." Do you recall him saying
8	recollection what the reference to Mr Levy	8	that?
9	was?	9	A. Not exactly but it's the kind of thing that -
10	A. I think the reference was that he said he	10	that is the general tone of the meeting.
11	was going to do things one way and he did it	11	Q. Do you agree that a Commissioner should
12	another. That was the main thing. That's	12	be held accountable for the failings of the
13	what I can recall.	13	RGP?
14	Q. Was there any other reference - was there	14	A. Yes.
15	any reference to 36 North?	15	Q. If we go to 14.3, he says, "I said that,
16	A. No, not that I recall at all.	16	should the GPA determine that a call to retire
17	Q. And was there any reference to the Chief	17	was not appropriate, I would consider using
18	Minister's own investment in 36 North?	18	the powers available to me under s.13 which
19	A. Definitely not.	19	allowed me to call for the resignation of the
20	Q. When was the first time that you learned	20	Commissioner and that, as things stood, I
21	of that?	21	would need strong and persuasive arguments
22	A. Possibly here.	22	not to do so. I said, whilst I was not looking to
23	THE CHAIRMAN: You mean during the	23	prejudge the deliberations of the GPA, I was
24	inquiry process?	24	mindful that the Commissioner is allowed to
25	A. During the inquiry process or possibly at	25	make representations, as s.34 allows, the GPA
	Page 78		Page 80
	1 age 10		
			20 (Pages 77 to 80)

1 1 should be in no doubt as to the strength of my A. I don't want to say Yes outright because 2 feelings. I concluded by saying that the 2 different people perceive pressure differently. 3 powers I have under s.13(1)(f) only come into 3 I mean, I did, but I'm not sure if somebody else 4 play in default by the Authority." Specifically, 4 in my position would. But I felt pressured -5 do you remember him saying he would 5 not necessarily because of being declared in 6 consider using the power and would need 6 default, but because of this thing about me not 7 strong persuasive arguments not to do so as 7 doing my duty properly. Yes, I can't deny that 8 things stood? 8 I felt pressured. 9 9 A. Maybe not quite - I don't recall those Q. If you look at 14.4, Mr Pyle says, "Mr 10 10 words but he definitely said, "If you don't do Britto said he took note, could see where we 11 this, I'll probably do it" or, "Probably" - "I'll do 11 were coming from and would convene a 12 it" but I remember he said section 13 and 12 meeting of the GPA as soon as practicable, 13 actually mentioned that, but I was left in no 13 commenting that the Commissioner would 14 14 most likely fight this." Do you recall saying doubt that one way or another it could happen. 15 Q. Do you recall him saying that you should 15 16 not be in doubt as to the strength of his 16 A. Yes, especially, "I can see where you're 17 17 feelings? coming from", i.e. not necessarily agreeing 18 A. Most definitely. 18 that the Commissioner had to go but agreeing 19 Q. Do you recall him saying that his s.13 19 that, if I didn't do it, he would, frankly. 20 powers only came into play in default by the 20 Q. At this stage, and given your unfamiliarity, 21 Authority? 21 or your lack of familiarity with the s.34, did 22 A. I think he said, "I wouldn't like to declare 22 you consider seeking legal advice? 23 23 the Authority in default." A. I can't recall, but I don't think so. 24 24 Q. What did you understand him to be saying Q. Then you called a meeting and, if we go to 25 25 from that? B2446 we can find your communication. You Page 81 Page 83 1 A. I wasn't quite sure because I hadn't yet read 1 say, "Dear all, we are going to need to meet 2 the relevant part, but I think he meant that, "If 2 urgently and face to face, please. Please let me 3 3 know if you are able to make a meeting at 9.30 you don't do your duty, then I will do mine." 4 4 this Thursday. I shall be needing all of you to That's my interpretation. 5 5 Q. Did you go away and read s.34 after this? discuss a very sensitive matter and arrive at a 6 A. Possibly. I can't remember. I was quite 6 consensus on next steps." That is on the 18th 7 7 nervous and upset and I really didn't know of May at 10.55. Why in your view was it 8 8 what to do, but I remember going home and necessary to meet urgently? 9 pacing up and down my corridor. I really 9 A. Because for me it became a very urgent 10 10 didn't know. matter. I mean, I've been asked, why did you 11 THE CHAIRMAN: This is not a criticism, 11 call it an emergency meeting? I have no idea, 12 Doctor, but s.34 was something about which 12 I can't explain. I called it an emergency 13 you had no knowledge at all by the sound of 13 meeting. Also, because, fortunately or 14 14 it? unfortunately, I don't always get email 15 A. No, I must have that very night, I must 15 responses as quickly as I would like them to 16 16 get because people have their own jobs and have looked at it. 17 Q. But you did not know about it before? 17 their own - so, I wanted to make it as 18 18 A. No. important sounding as possible. 19 Q. No. As I said, that is not a criticism. 19 Q. There were four GPA members who were 20 A. No, no, no, absolutely. Thank you. 20 not in attendance, Claire Pizzarello, Edgar 21 Thank you, sir. But I didn't. 21 Lavarello Julio Alcantara and Rebecca 22 22 MR SANTOS: Did you feel pressured to Figueras. Had they all told you in advance 23 invite Mr McGrail to retire because, if he did 23 that they would not be able to attend? 24 24 not, you would be deemed to be in default by A. No, but I wasn't surprised because of social 25 Mr Pyle? 25 distancing and Covid and all that and it was Page 82 Page 84

1 1 quite normal for people to turn up, you know, the matter involving Mr Levy would be an 2 five minutes late or six minutes late, but not 2 important part of the deliberations? 3 necessarily. I think one of them, it might have 3 A. No, but it was certainly mentioned at the - I 4 4 been Claire, said, "I can't make it." I think she did mention - I can't remember if I mentioned 5 was worried about her elderly parents and 5 him by name, I think I did, or simply said a 6 Covid. And the same goes for Mr Alcantara, I 6 senior partner at Hassans phone is - you know, 7 think - not for his parents but he was worried 7 he has got himself into trouble. I think I did 8 8 about infection. mention him by name. 9 9 Q. Did you realise that the meeting would be Q. If we can go to A323, which is your 10 10 inquorate? statement, paragraph 16, you refer to the 11 A. It was the last thing on my mind, I must 11 emergency meeting on the 21st of May, and 12 admit. It really was the last thing on my mind. 12 just picking it up from the third line, "As s.6(1) 13 Q. Did you consider postponing the meeting 13 of the Act requires a quorum of six members 14 14 or finding another date when more members and only five were in attendance, the non-15 15 could be present? attending members were consulted by 16 A. No. 16 telephone on the same day, shortly after the 17 Q. Did you consider whether the GPA should 17 emergency meeting ended. They all agreed 18 conduct its own investigation into the matters 18 with the decision taken and the reasons 19 19 that had been raised with you before therefore. A minute of the meeting, as 20 20 commencing the process? required by s.6(4) of the Act was not made 21 A. No. 21 contemporaneously as members of the GPA 22 22 Q. Why did you not think that the GPA felt that their secretary might be compromised 23 23 should look into the matters before exercising as she was a personal friend of Mr McGrail's 24 24 its own decision? secretary. A minute was made retrospectively 25 25 A. Possibly because I really hadn't - I couldn't on the advice of TSM. This minute was Page 85 Page 87 1 unpick my thought processes, but possibly 1 circulated to all members of GPA and 2 because I don't think we had the wherewithal 2 approved by them." Why was it not possible 3 3 to actually examine this, and the only way of for someone else to take a minute of that 4 4 doing it was to have representations from one meeting? 5 5 side and representations from another, and A. I wasn't thinking clearly because in other 6 6 meetings we - people volunteer to take notes. even then I was thinking to myself: how do we 7 7 know who is saying the truth and who isn't? I It just didn't happen and I really wasn't 8 8 must be honest, that's what I thought. And we thinking the way I should have been thinking 9 don't have investigators, we don't have people 9 in terms of notetaking. 10 10 qualified for this. I mean, a lot of things were Q. When you called up the non-attending 11 going through my mind. Even though I said 11 members to consult them, were you getting 12 we would discuss next steps, quite honestly I 12 them, were you asking for their votes or were 13 have no idea what next steps at that stage 13 you asking them just, or were you informing 14 14 could possibly be. them of what had happened? 15 15 Q. If we go back two pages to 2444, there is A. I was informing them of what had 16 16 an exchange between you and Ms Pizzarello at happened, the fact that I had been called by the 17 12.46 and you say there, "It may be best if you 17 Governor and the Chief Minister and I said, 18 don't participate. I need to explain." Why did 18 "Look, we've had a meeting, I've just realised 19 you think it was best that Ms Pizzarello should 19 we're not quorate. What do you think about 20 20 this?" But I did go over the main points, but I not participate? 21 A. I know she didn't come to the meeting. 21 didn't take - which is why, even if I thought we 22. 22 Possibly because she was employed by were quorate by doing that, it's clear that we weren't because I've got no proof of what I 23 23 Hassans and I didn't want her involved in a 24 possible conflict. 24 actually told them. I'm pretty certain of what I 25 Q. Does that mean that you considered that 25 told them, I'm pretty satisfied, but nobody Page 86 Page 88

actually said, "No, we can't do that." They 1 gave me the most information. 2 2 were just as surprised and shocked as I was. Q. Because there you do make a reference to 3 Q. So, are you saying that you realised at that 3 the Commissioner saying, "They were trying 4 4 point that you were not quorate, at the time? to interfere in an investigation." 5 A. At the end of the meeting I told everyone, 5 A. I put "they" in inverted commas because 6 "I think I'm going to have to call the absent 6 that's what Mr McGrail intimated. 7 members." The only one I couldn't reach on 7 Q. Or is "they" a reference to ... 8 the phone was Mr Lavarello, who I happened 8 A. He said "they" - I'm assuming he's talking 9 to meet outside because we used to live in the 9 to the Chief Minister, but later on I found out 10 10 that there had been allegedly more people same area. 11 Q. So, by the time that you sent the invitation 11 involved. I didn't for one minute think that he 12 on the 22nd of May, were you pretty much 12 was talking about the Governor, to tell you the 13 aware at that point that you had not had a 13 14 14 quorate meeting? Q. Was that the extent of the information that 15 15 you provided to the GPA as to Mr McGrail's A. No, not at that stage. I thought that getting 16 16 allegations, or did you provide more the okay over the phone was fine. Clearly, it 17 17 wasn't, but I really did think that it was fine. information? 18 Q. If we can go to C4141 now, this is the 18 A. We were honestly waiting for Mr McGrail 19 19 minute that was put together subsequently. to provide his representation where we were 20 20 Just looking at paragraph 3, you say, "The sure he would give us because, I mean, if you 21 Chair explained to the members what had 21 look at the transcript of the recording that he 22 22 transpired at the meeting with the Governor made, I'm at pains to say, "Please come to the 23 23 and relayed the information as expressed to GPA and tell us everything. Don't just tell me, 24 him by both the Governor and Chief Minister. 24 tell everyone." And that was still - it still 25 25 He explained that both the Governor and Chief hadn't dawned on me that the process was Page 89 Page 91 1 Minister in no uncertain terms had expressed 1 flawed, quite, or would be flawed, quite 2 that they had lost all confidence in the 2 honestly. I felt that I had the backing and: 3 3 Commissioner. The reasons for this are given look, this is what's going to happen, I'm going 4 in the file note and this was read to all 4 to have to tell him what both the Chief 5 5 present." When you refer to the file note, is Minister and the Governor think about him, 6 that the informal note prepared by the Chief 6 which I really didn't know how I was going to 7 7 Minister that you referred to? tell him. 8 8 A. That's right, plus I explained to them how Q. How much did you tell them about the 9 it all went and the way I was called and that I 9 Chief Minister's allegation that the 10 10 Commissioner had expressly misled him? didn't know what it was about and all that. But 11 I think that pretty much reflects what 11 A. I think I said Mr McGrail is convinced that 12 happened. I mean, for us the main thing was 12 - the Chief Minister is convinced that 13 that they had both lost confidence in the 13 somehow Mr McGrail has lied to him. But I 14 14 can't remember if I said the Chief Minister or Commissioner. 15 15 Q. If we then look at paragraph 4, "After the the AG, quite frankly, because Mr McGrail 16 16 Chair read out the file note and fully explained also mentioned - and it's just coming back to 17 what had been discussed, including the fact 17 me now - mentioned the AG, the Attorney 18 that the Commissioner had claimed at a 18 General. 19 previous meeting that they were trying to 19 Q. Did you say what the lie was in relation to? 20 interfere in an investigation in which allegedly 20 A. I wasn't too sure myself what the lie was 21 a senior partner in a law firm was allegedly 21 2.2. 22 involved ..." Is this a reference to your Q. Did you link it to the same investigation 23 meeting with Mr McGrail on the 12th or 15th 23 that Mr McGrail was complaining about? 24 24 of May? A. Possibly, yes. 25 A. It has to be because it's the person who 25 Q. And do you think you linked it to Mr Page 90 Page 92

1 Levy? 1 the Commissioner has to work with both. 2 THE CHAIRMAN: You mentioned a lie, but 2 Q. Is there not a danger that, if by purely 3 he has not mentioned a lie, has he? 3 saying that they had lost confidence in Mr 4 MR SANTOS: I mentioned "misled" and Dr 4 McGrail, the Chief Minister and the Governor, 5 5 if by them saying that you simply took it as a Britto ... 6 A. No, misled. Sorry. I did say "misled". 6 given that you needed to invite Mr McGrail to 7 THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, "Misled" is the word 7 retire, is there not a danger that you were 8 8 effectively handing the decision over to them? in the Chief Minister's note. 9 A. Well, then I said "lie" without meaning to. 9 A. Yes, I accept that now. It wasn't apparent 10 10 MR SANTOS: Do you think you said "lie" at to me then. the meeting rather than "misled"? 11 11 Q. Particularly if the GPA was not going to 12 A. Quite possibly. Quite possibly. I really 12 investigate the reasons that they gave for that 13 can't remember. 13 loss of confidence? 14 14 Q. And would that be because of something A. Sorry, could you repeat that? 15 that the Chief Minister said at the time or 15 Q. You have said earlier that you did not 16 16 because of the way that you interpreted the consider that you were able to investigate the 17 17 words, "Expressly misled"? matters that were being raised by the Chief 18 A. No, the thing is that at the meeting both the 18 Minister and the Governor in support of that 19 19 Governor and the Chief Minister were saying, loss of confidence. So, if you were not going 20 20 "He has lied to us" but, I mean, I don't like to investigate their reasons and if you were 21 using the word "lie" myself, but I really can't 21 going to conclude on the basis of their stated 22 22 recall if I said "lie" or "misled", but it was loss of confidence that Mr McGrail could not 23 23 clear they were both convinced that they had continue, then effectively you were handing 24 24 been misled, and you mislead people possibly over the decision to them? 25 25 by lying. I mean, I'm not sure myself. A. More than to them - to the Governor, I Page 93 Page 95 1 Q. Do you think that at the meeting it was 1 think, because he had the, he told me, he had 2 sufficiently clear that Mr McGrail's 2 the power to actually remove the 3 3 allegations, the allegation of misleading or Commissioner, which was quite alarming. But 4 lying and the involvement of James Levy all 4 I can say that now. It certainly wasn't what I 5 5 revolved around the same thing, they were all was thinking back then. 6 6 Q. You just said that he said he had the power 7 7 A. Not at that time. I didn't join the dots. I'm to remove the Commissioner, which was quite 8 not saying whether I should have or whether I 8 alarming. Did you see it as if you were, in 9 shouldn't. I really didn't. 9 effect, helping Mr McGrail by giving him this 10 Q. The note then states, "It was felt that the 10 opportunity to retire rather than be forced to 11 Commissioner would find it very difficult to 11 12 continue to work with Government and the 12 A. I felt that Mr McGrail deserved the dignity 13 Governor given their lack of confidence in him 13 of - if he did decide to leave, of doing so on his 14 14 and for the reasons expressed to the Chair." own terms. And I think that's reflected in the 15 Did you discuss in this meeting the fine 15 recording, throughout the recording, plus at 16 16 criteria in s.34 of the Police Act some point - I should remember where - but at 17 effectiveness, efficiency, probity, integrity, 17 some point, when deciding should we call Mr 18 independence, were those raised? 18 McGrail here? I said, "No, look, I'd better talk 19 A. Not that I can recall. All we were 19 to him on my own" because I didn't feel it was 20 20 concerned about or I was concerned about was right, you know, for him to meet the whole 21 21 board and say, "Look, we are considering the fact that, if both main arms of the 22. 22 asking you to leave." I'd rather him think of Government, the top people in the 23 23 representations to make, which we did get, and Government, have lost faith in the way the

24

25

Commissioner functions, how could he

Page 94

possibly function? Any of the two - I mean,

24

25

come to us and meet us, hopefully in person.

Naively or otherwise, I certainly thought that

Page 96

1 1 at the end of the week, at some point, he would Commissioner to retire but always affording 2 2 come with a lawyer or with somebody saying, him the opportunity to make representations to 3 3 "Look, this can't happen because of this" or to GPA so we could arrive at a firm and final 4 4 give explanations and possibly even explain decision and able to make recommendations to 5 why these people would think that, the two 5 the Governor. The GPA felt that this recourse 6 would think that he had lied to them. That's as 6 was better and gentler than the Governor 7 7 potentially activating s.13 which allows him to much as I can say really. 8 Q. Then the note continues, "The GPA felt 8 seek his resignation." At that stage did you 9 9 consider that there was any prospect of the that the maritime incident seemed to be far 10 10 more serious in its repercussions overall than GPA changing its views once it received Mr 11 we had previously been alive to and felt that 11 McGrail's representations? 12 this was the major factor in our decision." 12 A. Yes, I did, and Mr McGrail asked me, 13 What aspect of this incident was more serious 13 "What would you do if you believe me and not 14 14 them?" and I think at some point I said, "If I than you had previously been alive to? 15 A. The fact that it happened outside Gibraltar 15 can get anything done I'll stand down", that's 16 16 waters and the Governor was adamant and the only thing I could do, and possibly other 17 17 repeated it over and over again, the fact that members would stand down and then create 18 Mr McGrail wasn't transparent with him as to 18 some sort of hullabaloo. But I wasn't sure if - I 19 19 when or where it happened exactly. That for mean, it says the Governor potentially 20 20 us and, yes, we believed it ... activating s.13, which allows him to seek his 21 Q. Was - sorry, I cut across you. 21 resignation - I think it's more like force his 22 22 A. Sorry. No, no, that's the main thing, that's resignation, which I, for better or worse, I 23 23 what we meant. We felt that the report was really didn't - I wanted to avoid that by all 24 24 ultimately fixable by putting in a lot of hard means. 25 25 work, but this had no possible solution, plus Q. How long do you recall the meeting Page 97 Page 99 1 we were worried as well about Gibraltar's 1 lasting? 2 reputation, the incursions and I think at that 2 A. Definitely more than an hour and a half but 3 3 time they were talking about starting, if it I didn't - I should have put the time. I didn't 4 hadn't started already, Treaty negotiations, and 4 put how long it took. About an hour and a 5 5 for us that was it. Plus we felt maybe we half, something along those lines. 6 should have been, we should have done 6 Q. If you go to A324 now, please, which is 7 7 something more about that. your witness statement, at paragraph 25, you Q. Had you previously discussed with Mr 8 8 say, "I would state that the decision by the 9 McGrail the concerns about the deaths, AIS, 9 GPA to exercise its powers under s.34 of the 10 10 Act was not based on or influenced by Mr the fact that the collision took place in Spanish 11 waters? Had you had the opportunity to 11 McGrail's conduct of the criminal inquiry in 12 discuss that with him? 12 Operation Delhi as alleged by Charles Gomez 13 A. No, because we were waiting for the 13 & Co. in the 29th of May 2020 letter. The 14 investigation. What I didn't know was: would 14 reasons for the GPA's decision were those set 15 15 the Governor have inside information or out at paragraph 18 in the minutes of the 16 16 would Mr McGrail have more information that emergency meeting and in the second letter." 17 he was willing to give? But we certainly didn't 17 Can you explain as clearly as possible the 18 have all the information about what exactly 18 GPA's reasons for initiating the s.34 process? 19 19 A. The primary reason was because we were had happened. 20 Q. And then at 5 the note says, "After 20 being told that both the Governor and the 21 discussion and based on what had been 21 Chief Minister had lost confidence in Mr 22. 22 expressed by the Governor and Chief Minister McGrail, and the reason that moved us more 23 and the contents of the file notes, it was felt 23 was the fact that the Governor was insisting 24 24 that the best course of action would be to that he hadn't been told the truth about the 25 activate s.34 of the Act and invite the 25 maritime incident, which was for us, if I'm Page 98 Page 100

honest, more important than the report, even 1 A. No, I didn't. I took his word for it, sir. 2 2 though the report was quite bad. But I Q. Did you know, for example, that the 3 3 Governor might have been told that very day, maintain that - I mean, the reason we decided, 4 4 the 8th of March, that the incident happened in it had nothing to do with - we certainly didn't 5 have enough information about Operation 5 Spain? 6 6 A. No, I didn't know, and nor did he say, "Oh, Delhi. I didn't even know it was called 7 7 by the way, now I know everything." He said, Operation Delhi until Mr McGrail had told 8 8 "I haven't been told the truth" and, sir, I did me. But that's as far as I can say on that. 9 9 Q. But the notes, the informal notes that you take his word for it. 10 10 had prepared had referred to the Chief Q. Yes, precisely, you took his word for it. 11 11 Minister being expressly misled and had A. I did. 12 referred to that being probably the most 12 MR SANTOS: Do you agree that under s.48 13 important basis for the Chief Minister losing 13 of the Constitution the GPA has an obligation 14 14 confidence. So, if you were going to the to carry out its functions independently? 15 15 meeting and putting the Chief Minister's A. Yes. 16 16 confidence on the table, it was on the strength Q. Do you think it can be said that the GPA 17 17 of what the Chief Minister had reported to was acting independently on this occasion? 18 you, is that not correct? 18 A. 50/50. We weren't, now I realise and as 19 19 A. No, no, not quite. One of the things that soon as I discuss this with Mr Neish, but then 20 20 we quickly regained our independence by we were thinking was, had this only been a 21 politician telling us, or a Chief Minister telling 21 refusing to continue with the process because, 22 22 us, perhaps things would have been different, apart from the fact that it was flawed, we felt 23 23 but this was the case of both, and, quite that we couldn't continue because of the flaw, 24 24 frankly, I think we listened more to the which possibly included that lack of 25 25 Governor's point of view than to the Chief independence that at the time I didn't even Page 101 Page 103 1 Minister's point of view. I mean, that is 1 think about. I'm being quite honest, I really 2 certainly what most of us agreed with, which 2 didn't think about that part. 3 3 is why the maritime incident for us was the Q. If we go to B1436, there is an exchange 4 4 between you and the Chief Minister, asking to most important. 5 5 Q. I accept what you say about that, but in see him at 4 p.m. This is towards the bottom 6 terms of the Chief Minister - you refer to the 6 of the page, 21st of May 2020, 11.58: "Could I 7 7 fact that both of them had lost confidence and possibly see you this afternoon ... see you at 4, 8 the Chief Minister was saying that the main 8 or around that time?" "Yes, sure, I'll see you 9 reason for his loss of confidence was being 9 then." "Thanks, see you at 4" and then there is 10 misled or lied to in relation to that. So, would 10 a message that attaches media which we do 11 you not accept that it did play some role? 11 not have, and the Chief Minister replies, "Hi. 12 A. I don't think so. I think the main area was 12 Yes, that is what you will in effect be doing. 13 of the Chief Minister, I think the main area of 13 You've come back to us to seek our consent 14 our considerations was the Governor, who 14 after the representations have been received. I 15 after all had the power. 15 will write the sequencing down for you as I 16 THE CHAIRMAN: You have said several 16 see it if you wish. I'm just going to finish a 17 times that you understood that the Governor 17 note first." 18 was saying that he had not been told the truth 18 A. I asked for advice on the wording of the 19 about the maritime incident, but in what 19 letter. I mean, I couldn't recall - I thought it 20 respect? 20 could even have been my imagination because 2.1 A. In confirming that everything had 21 I don't have these, but I must have asked him 22 happened, that's my recollection, in Spain 22 for advice on the wording because, as I said, I 23 rather than here. 23 had never done anything like this before, to tell 24 Q. But did you ask what he had been told and 24 you the truth; to send somebody a letter 25 when he had been told it? 25 saying, "Look, we want you to consider

Page 102

Page 104

1 retiring." 2 Q. Did you discuss the sequencing of the process? 3 process? 4 A. No. No, because that was pretty clear, that we-we didn't need, the GPA didn't need to go back to the Governor to seek permission because we'd already been told, "Look, we want him to go." So, more or less they said that was, the Governor said that permission, you can take if for granted, from what I recall. 2 Q. If you look at C4315, we have the letter, the first letter of the 22nd of May. Did the members of the GPA see this letter before you gave it for Q. The Chief Minister did see the letter, though, before you sent it? 3 A. No. No. I think I showed it to them once I had sent it. 4 Q. If we look at the second paragraph, it says, wronghy, I get it now, but 2 Q. If we look at the second paragraph, it says, and it is the opportunity to make representations. Do 2 To the opportunity to make representations. Do 2 Page 105 1 you accept, in retrospect, that Mr McGrail should have been asked to provide representations before the GPA invited him to retire? 3 A. Clearly, now I do, even though when I gave him the letter and I had the long conversation with him, I was convinced that that is what I was doing. It is only until I had legal counset that we were told it should have been of the other way round, and I really don't understand how I didn't see that. 2 Q. If we can go to 18353 now, please, this is a transcript of the meeting on the 22nd of May with Mr McGrail, and the first question is: did you know that Mr McGrail was recorded? A. No, no, not at all. Q. What do you think about the fact that the meeting? A. No, n				
4 A. No. No, because that was pretty clear, that 5 we—we didn't need, the CPA didn't need to go be back to the Governor to seek permission because we'd already been told, "Look, we want him to go." So, more or less they said that was, the Governor said that permission, you can take if for granted, from what I recall. 10 Q. If you look at C4315, we have the letter, the first letter of the 22nd of May, Did 11 Q. The Chief Minister did see the letter, 12 Q. The Chief Minister did see the letter, 13 though, before you sent it? 14 A. No, No, I think I showed it to them once I 15 A. No, No, I think I showed it to them once I 16 had sent it. 17 Q. The Chief Minister did see the letter, 18 though, before you sent it? 18 A. Yes, he was the only lawyer I could ask- wrongly, I get it now, but 19 A. Yes, he was the only lawyer I could ask- wrongly, I get it now, but 21 You then in the following paragraph refer to 22 the opportunity to make representations. Do 23 refire in the interests of policing in Gibraltar." 24 You chen in the following paragraph refer to 25 the opportunity to make representations. Do 26 Page 105 1 you accept, in retrospect, that Mr McGrail 27 should have been asked to provide 28 that is what I was doing. It is only until I had 29 legal counset that we were told it should have 10 been the other way round, and I really don't 11 understand how I didn't see that. 12 Q. If we can go to B353 now, please, this is a 13 transcript of the meeting on the 22nd of May with Mr McGrail, and the first question is: did you know that Mr McGrail was recording the meeting? 17 A. No, no, not at all. 18 Q. What do you think about the fact that the meeting was recorded? 18 with Mr McGrail, and the first question is: did you know that Mr McGrail was recording the meeting? 19 A. Clearly, now I do, even though when I agare him the letter and I had the long conversation with him, I was convinced that that is what I was doing. It is only until I had legal counset that we were told it should have been the other way roun	1	_	1	
A. No. No, because that was pretty clear, that we - we didn't need, the GPA idn't need to go back to the Governor to seek permission because we'd already been told, "Look, we want him to go." So, more or less they said that was, the Governor said that permission, you can take it for granted, from what I recall. Q. If you look at CASIS, we have the letter, the first letter of the 22nd of May. Did the members of the GPA see this letter before you gave it to Mr McGrail? A. No. No, I think I showed it to them once I had sent it.	2	Q. Did you discuss the sequencing of the	2	I said this is best face to face, one person
because we'd already been told, "Look, we want him to go." So, more or less they said that was, the Governor said that permission, you can take it for granted, from what I recall, Q. If you look at C4315, we have the letter, the GFA see this letter before you gave it to Mr McGrail? A. No, No, I think I showed it to them once I had sent it. A. No, No, I think I showed it to them once I had sent it. A. No, No, I think I showed it to them once I had sent it. A. Yes, he was the only lawyer I could askwrongly, I get it now, but Q. If we look at the second paragraph, it says, wrongly, I get it now, but You then in the following paragraph it says, You accept, in retrospect, that Mr McGrail should have been asked to provide representations before the GPA invited him to retire? A. Clearly, now I do, even though when I gave him the letter and I had the long conversation with him, I was convinced that that is what I was oding. It is only until I had legal counsel that we were told it should have been the other way round, and I really don't understand how I dind't see that. Q. When you were discussing deliberating as an Authority, did you take into account the fact that ty was had not concerns? A. No, no, not at all. Q. What do you think about the fact that the meeting? A. No, no, not at all. Q. What do you think about the fact that the meeting was recorded? A. Mixed feelings. I think my first reaction was one of disappointment, but I wouldn't like to judge, if I'm honest, but I remember feeling disappointed. Q. You start the meeting, at 353, saying, Sasically, I'm supposed to call you to the	3	process?	3	alone, because the truth is I have never done
6 back to the Governor to seek permission 7 because we'd already been told, "Look, we 8 want him to go." So, more or less they said 9 that was, the Governor said that permission, 10 you can take it for granted, from what I recall, 11 Q. If you look at C4315, we have the letter, 12 the first letter of the 22nd of May. Did the 13 members of the CPA see this letter before you 14 gave it to Mr McGrail? 15 A. No. No, 1 think I showed it to them once I 16 had sent it. 17 Q. The Chief Minister did see the letter, 18 though, before you sent if? 19 A. Yes, he was the only lawyer I could ask- 20 wrongly, I get it now, but 21 Q. If we look at the second paragraph, it says, 22 "Given the above, the Authority invites you to 23 retrie in the interests of policing in Gibraltar." 24 You then in the following paragraph refer to 25 the opportunity to make representations. Do 26 The opportunity to make representations before the GPA invited him to 27 retrie? 28 A. Clearly, now I do, even though when I 29 gave him the letter and I had the long 29 conversation with him, I was convinced that 29 that was the were told it should have 29 been the other way round, and I really don't 29 understand how I didn't see that. 20 If we can go to B353 now, please, this is a 21 transcript of the meeting on the 22nd of May 21 with Mr McGrail and the first question is: did 22 you know that Mr McGrail was recording the 23 members of the QPA invited him to 24 retrie in the interests of policing in Gibraltar." 25 A. Clearly, now I do, even though when I 26 gave him the letter and I had the long 27 conversation with him, I was convinced that 28 that is what I was adoing. It is only until I had 39 been the other way round, and I really don't 30 understand how I didn't see that. 31 transcript of the meeting on the 22nd of May 32 with Mr McGrail, and the first question is: did 33 you know that Mr McGrail was recording the 34 members of the QPA invited him to 35 members of the QPA invited him to 36 members of the QPA invited him to 37 members of Polici	4	A. No. No, because that was pretty clear, that	4	anything like this in my life." What did you
because we'd already been told, "Look, we want him to go." So, more or less they said that was, the Governor said that permission, you can take it for granted, from what I recall. Q. If you look at CASI or worned by the opportunity to make representations. Do be the other was one of disappointed. A. No. No, I think I showed it to them once I had sent it. A. No. No, I think I showed it to them once I had sent it. A. No. No, I think I showed it to them once I had sent it. A. No. No, I think I showed it to them once I had sent it. A. Yes, he was the only lawyer I could ask-wornedy, I get it now, but Q. If we look at the second paragraph, it says, the opportunity to make representations. Do Page 105 A. Clearly, now I do, even though when I gave him the letter and I had the long conversation with him, I was convinced that that is what I was doing. It is only until I had legal counsel that we were told it should have been asked to provide representations before the GPA invited him to retire? A. Clearly, now I do, even though when I gave him the letter and I had the long conversation with him, I was convinced that that is what I was doing. It is only until I had legal counsel that we were told it should have been asked to provide a retire? A. Clearly, now I do, even though when I gave him the letter and I had the long conversation with him, I was convinced that that it what I was doing. It is only until I had legal counsel that we were told it should have been asked to provide a retire? A. No, no, no not at all. Q. If we can go to B353 now, please, this is a 13 transcript of the meeting on the 22nd of May with Mr McGrail, and the first question is: did you know that Mr McGrail was recording the meeting? A. No, no, no at all. Q. What do you think about the fact that the meeting was recorded? A. Mixed feelings. I think my first reaction a was one of disappointment, but I wouldn't like to judge, if I'm honest, but I remember feeling disappointed. Q. You start the meeting, at 353, saying, 3 disappointe	5	we - we didn't need, the GPA didn't need to go	5	mean by, "I have never done anything like this
because we'd already been told, "Look, we want him to go." So, more or less they said that was, the Governor said that permission, you can take it for granted, from what I recall. Q. If you look at CASI or worned by the opportunity to make representations. Do be the other was one of disappointed. A. No. No, I think I showed it to them once I had sent it. A. No. No, I think I showed it to them once I had sent it. A. No. No, I think I showed it to them once I had sent it. A. No. No, I think I showed it to them once I had sent it. A. Yes, he was the only lawyer I could ask-wornedy, I get it now, but Q. If we look at the second paragraph, it says, the opportunity to make representations. Do Page 105 A. Clearly, now I do, even though when I gave him the letter and I had the long conversation with him, I was convinced that that is what I was doing. It is only until I had legal counsel that we were told it should have been asked to provide representations before the GPA invited him to retire? A. Clearly, now I do, even though when I gave him the letter and I had the long conversation with him, I was convinced that that is what I was doing. It is only until I had legal counsel that we were told it should have been asked to provide a retire? A. Clearly, now I do, even though when I gave him the letter and I had the long conversation with him, I was convinced that that it what I was doing. It is only until I had legal counsel that we were told it should have been asked to provide a retire? A. No, no, no not at all. Q. If we can go to B353 now, please, this is a 13 transcript of the meeting on the 22nd of May with Mr McGrail, and the first question is: did you know that Mr McGrail was recording the meeting? A. No, no, no at all. Q. What do you think about the fact that the meeting was recorded? A. Mixed feelings. I think my first reaction a was one of disappointment, but I wouldn't like to judge, if I'm honest, but I remember feeling disappointed. Q. You start the meeting, at 353, saying, 3 disappointe	6	back to the Governor to seek permission	6	in my life"?
want him to go." So, more or less they said that was, the Governor said that permission, 10 you can take it for granted, from what I recall. 20. If you look at C4315, we have the letter, 21 the first letter of the 22nd of May, 10 the 13 members of the GPA see this letter before you 22 gave it to Mr McGrail? 14 A. No. No, 11 thisk I showed it to them once 1 had sent it. 15 A. No. No, 11 thisk I showed it to them once 1 had sent it. 16 A. Yes, he was the only lawyer I could ask-20 wrongly, 1 get it now, but 20 wrongly, 1 get it now, but 21 Q. If we look at the second paragraph, it says, 22 "Given the above, the Authority invites you to retire? You then in the following paragraph refer to the opportunity to make representations. Do 1 you accept, in retrospect, that Mr McGrail 2 should have been asked to provide 3 representations before the GPA invited him to retire? 2 A. Clearly, now I do, even though when I 2 gave him the letter and I had the long 2 conversation with him, I was convinced that that is what I was doing. It is only until I had be legal counsed that we were told it should have been the other way round, and I really don't 1 understand how I didn't see that. 2 Q. If we can go to B353 now, please, this is a 1 transcript of the meeting on the 22nd of May with Mr McGrail was nor of disappointment, but I wouldn't like to judge, if I'm honest, but I remember feeling disappointment, but I remember feeling disappointment, but I remember feeling disappointed. 2 Q. You start the meeting, at 353, saying, 23 "Basically, I'm supposed to call you to the	7		7	A. Give somebody the news that, "Look,
that was, the Governor said that permission, you can take it for granted, from what I recall. Q. If you look at C4315, we have the letter, the first letter of the 22nd of May. Did the members of the GPA see this letter before you gaze it to Mr McGrail? A. No. No. I think I showed it to them once I had sent it. Q. The Chief Minister did see the letter, though, before you sent it? A. No. No, I think I showed it to them once I had sent it. Q. The Chief Minister did see the letter, though, before you sent it? A. Yes, he was the only lawyer I could ask-wrongly, I get it now, but Q. If we look at the second paragraph, it says, reit in the interests of policing in Gibraltar." You then in the following paragraph refer to retire: in the interests of policing in Gibraltar." You do accept, in retrospect, that Mr McGrail should have been asked to provide representations before the GPA invited him to reitire? A. Clearly, now I do, even though when I gave him the letter and I had the long conversation with him, I was convinced that that is what I was doing. It is only until I had legal counsel that we were told it should have been the other way round, and I really don't understand how I didn't see that. Q. If we cang to Ba33 now, please, this is a transcript of the meeting? A. Clearly, now I do, even though when I gave him the letter and I had the long to been the other way round, and I really don't understand how I didn't see that. Q. If we can go to Ba33 now, please, this is a transcript of the meeting? A. Coa addible response) Q. 355, if you look at page 3 of that transcript, about a third of the way down, the Commissioner of Police says, "But what is the position of the Authority? Is the Authority? Is the Authority? Is the Authority? Is the Authority in the way down, the Commissioner of Police says, "But what is the position of the Authority? Is the Authority on the above the object says, "But what is the position of the Authority? Is the Authority on the above the opportunity to make representations.	8		8	
10 you can take it for granted, from what I recall, 11 Q. If you look at C4315, we have the letter, 12 the first letter of the 22nd of May. Did the 13 members of the GPA see this letter before you 14 gave it to Mr McGrail? 15 A. No. No, I think I showed it to them once I 16 had sent it. 17 Q. The Chief Minister did see the letter, 18 though, before you sent it? 18 though, before you sent it? 19 A. Yes, he was the only lawyer I could ask- 20 wrongly, I get it now, but 21 Q. If we look at the second paragraph, it says, 22 "Given the above, the Authority invites you to 23 retire in the interests of policing in Gibraltar." 24 You then in the following paragraph refer to 25 the opportunity to make representations. Do 26 This is what I was doing. It is only until I had 27 legal counsel that we were told it should have 28 been the other way round, and I really don't 29 understand how I didn't see that. 20 Q. If we can go to B353 now, please, this is 21 transcript of the meeting on the 22nd of May 29 with Mr McGrail, and the first question is: did 20 you know that Mr McGrail was recording the 21 meeting? 22 A. Nic No, no, not at all. 23 Q. What do you think about the fact that the 24 meeting was recorded? 25 "Basically, I'm supposed to call you to the 16 May with Mr McGrail was recording the 27 Mr McGrail, and the first question is: did 28 you know that Mr McGrail was recording the 29 meeting was recorded? 20 A. Mixed feelings. I think my first reaction 20 A. Nixed feelings. I think my first reaction 21 was one of disappointment, but I wouldn't like 22 to judge, if I'm honest, but I remember feeling 23 disappointed. 24 Q. You start the meeting, at 353, saying, 25 "Basically, I'm supposed to call you to the			9	
11 Q. If you look at C4315, we have the letter, 12 the first letter of the 22nd of May. Did the 13 members of the GPA in with the showed it to them once I 14 and sent it. 15 A. No. No, I think I showed it to them once I 16 had sent it. 17 Q. The Chief Minister did see the letter, 18 though, before you sent it? 18 A. Yes, he was the only lawyer I could ask- 20 wrongly, I get it now, but 21 Q. If we look at the second paragraph, it says, 22 "Given the above, the Authority invites you to 23 retire in the interests of policing in Gibraltar." 24 You then in the following paragraph refer to 25 the opportunity to make representations. Do 26 Page 105 1 you accept, in retrospect, that Mr McGrail 27 should have been asked to provide 28 representations before the GPA invited him to 29 retire in the interests of policing in Gibraltar." 29 you accept, in retrospect, that Mr McGrail 20 should have been asked to provide 31 representations before the GPA invited him to 42 retire. 43 representations before the GPA invited him to 44 retire. 44 Q. If we are go to B353 now, please, this is a 45 that is what I was doing. It is only until I had 46 legal counsel that we were told it should have 47 been the other way round, and I really don't 48 understand how I didn't see that. 49 Q. If we can go to B353 now, please, this is a 40 transcript of the meeting on the 22nd of May 40 with Mr McGrail and the first question is: did 41 you know that Mr McGrail was recording the 42 meeting? 43 A. No, no, not at all. 44 Q. What do you think about the fact that the 45 meeting? 46 A. No, no, not at all. 47 A. No, no, not at all. 48 Q. What do you think about the fact that the 49 meeting? 40 A. Mixed feelings. I think my first reaction 40 was one of disappointment, but I wouldn't like 40 to judge, if I'm honest, but I remember feeling 41 disappointed. 42 Q. You start the meeting, at 353, saying, 43 disappointed. 44 Q. You start the meeting, at 353, saying, 45 disappointed. 46 Q. You start the meeting, at 353, saying, 47 A. No, no on of a disap	10		10	
the first letter of the 22nd of May. Did the members of the GPA see this letter before you gave it to Mr McGrail? A. No. No, I think I showed it to them once I had sent it. Q. The Chief Minister did see the letter, though, before you sent it? A. Yes, he was the only lawyer I could askwornly, I get it now, but Q. If we look at the second paragraph, it says, "Given the above, the Authority invites you to rettre in the interests of policing in Gibraltar." You then in the following paragraph refer to the opportunity to make representations. Do Page 105 1 you accept, in retrospect, that Mr McGrail should have been asked to provide retire? A. Clearly, now I do, even though when I gave him the letter and I had the long conversation with him, I was convinced that that is what I was doing. It is only until I had legal counsel that we were told it should have been the other way round, and I really don't understand how I didn't see that. Q. If we can go to B353 now, please, this is a transcript of the meeting on the 22nd of May with Mr McGrail, and the first question is: did tyou know that Mr McGrail was recording the meeting? A. No, no, not at all. Q. What do you think about the fact that the meeting was recorded? A. Mixed feelings. I think my first reaction was one of disappointment, but I wouldn't like to judge, if I'm honest, but I remember feeling disappointed. A. No, no, not at all, Q. You start the meeting, at 353, saying, 25 "Basically, I'm supposed to call you to the		•		• •
members of the GPA see this letter before you gave it to Mr McGrail? A. No. No. If this I showed it to them once I had sent it. Q. The Chief Minister did see the letter, though, before you sent it? A. Yes, he was the only lawyer I could askworolly, I get it now, but Q. If we look at the second paragraph, it says, 22 "Given the above, the Authority invites you to retire in the interests of policing in Gibraltar." 24 You then in the following paragraph refer to the opportunity to make representations. Do Page 105 The chief Minister did see the letter, 16 A. Yes, he was the only lawyer I could askworolly, 19 accepting that? Have you had any concerns about me?" and you say, "No, no, wait, we would have told you." Does the an that at the time you as an Authority had no concerns about Mr McGrail prior to the Chief Minister approaching you? A. I was at pains to explain that it wasn't us, Page 107 That it was the two main arms of, the principal arms of Government expressing their concerns and losing confidence. Q. When you were discussing deliberating as an Authority, did you take into account the fact that tis what I was doing. It is only until I had legal counsel that we were told it should have been the other way round, and I really don't understand how I didn't see that. Q. If we can go to B353 now, please, this is a transcript of the meeting on the 22nd of May with Mr McGrail, and the first question is: did you know that Mr McGrail was recording the meeting? A. No, no, not at all. Q. What do you think about the fact that the meeting was recorded? A. Mixed feelings. I think my first reaction was one of disappointment, but I wouldn't like to judge, if I'm honest, but I remember feeling disappointed. Q. You start the meeting, at 353, saying. Basically, I'm supposed to call you to the				
14 A. (No audible response) 15 A. No. No. Think I showed it to them once I 16 had sent it. 17 Q. The Chief Minister did see the letter, though, before you sent it? 18 though, before you sent it? 19 A. Yes, he was the only lawyer I could askwongly, I get it now, but 20 wrongly, I get it now, but 21 Q. If we look at the second paragraph, it says, emeriting in the following paragraph refer to the opportunity to make representations. Do 23 retter in the interests of policing in Gibraltar." 24 You then in the following paragraph refer to the opportunity to make representations. Do 25 the opportunity to make representations. Do 1 you accept, in retrospect, that Mr McGrail should have been asked to provide 2 a retire? 3 retire? 4 A. (No audible response) 4 Commissioner of Police says, "But what is the position of the Authority? Is the Authority accepting that? Have you had any concerns about me?" and you say, "No, no, wait, we would have told you." Does this mean that at the time you as an Authority had no concerns about Mr McGrail prior to the Chief Minister approaching you? 5 A. Clearly, now I do, even though when I gave him the letter and I had the long 6 conversation with him, I was convinced that that is what I was doing. It is only until I had legal counsel that we were told it should have been the other way round, and I really don't understand how I didn't see that. 10 Life that we were surprised, frankly, but we felt, and everybody I asked felt that it was impossible for somebody to work in a was impossible for somebody to wor		•		
A. No. No, I think I showed it to them once I had sent it. 7		•		
16 had sent it. 17 Q. The Chief Minister did see the letter, 18 though, before you sent it? 18 though, before you sent it? 18 20 Wrongly, I get it now, but 20 Q. If we look at the second paragraph, it says, 21 would have been do would have been do would have been asked to provide 25 the opportunity to make representations. Do 25 the opportunity to make representations before the GPA invited him to retire? 4 A. Clearly, now I do, even though when I gave him the letter and I had the long 27 conversation with him, I was convinced that that is what I was doing. It is only until I had legal counsel that we were told it should have been the other way round, and I really don't understand how I didn't see that. Q. If we can go to B353 now, please, this is a transcript of the meeting on the 22nd of May with Mr McGrail, and the first question is: did you know that Mr McGrail was recording the meeting? A. No, no, not at all. Q. What do you think about the fact that the meeting was recorded? A. Mixed feelings. I think my first reaction was one of disappointmed. A. No, 1 A. No, 2 You start the meeting, at 353, saying, 25 mBasically, I'm supposed to call you to the 25 The McGrail of the ween to call you to the 25 The McGrail of the ween to call you to the 25 The McGrail of the ween to call you to the 25 The McGrail of the ween to call you to the 25 The McGrail of the time of the time you say. "No, no, not at all. 26 The McGrail prior to the Chief Minister approaching you? A. No no, not at all. 27 The McGrail prior to the Chief Minister approaching you? A. We all felt that wasn't us, 26 The time time was flow in the time you say an Authority, lad you take into account the fact that the meeting on the 22nd of May with Mr McGrail, and the first question is: did you know that Mr McGrail was recording the meeting was recorded? A. Mixed feelings. I think my first reaction was one of disappointment, but I wouldn't like to judge		-		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
2. The Chief Minister did see the letter, though, before you sent it? 3. A. Yes, he was the only lawyer I could askworngly, I get it now, but 4. Q. If we look at the second paragraph, it says, 22 "Given the above, the Authority invites you to 23 retire in the interests of policing in Gibraltar." 4. You then in the following paragraph refer to 24 the opportunity to make representations. Do 4. Page 105 1. you accept, in retrospect, that Mr McGrail 25 should have been asked to provide 3 representations before the GPA invited him to 4 retire? 4. A. Clearly, now I do, even though when I 6 gave him the letter and I had the long 27 conversation with him, I was convinced that 29 that is what I was doing. It is only until I had 19 legal counsel that we were told it should have been the other way round, and I really don't 11 understand how I didn't see that. 12 Q. If we can go to B353 now, please, this is a 13 transcript of the meeting on the 22nd of May with Mr McGrail, and the first question is: did you know that Mr McGrail was recording the meeting? 4. No, no, no, not at all. 2. What do you think about the fact that the meeting? 5. A. Mixed feelings. I think my first reaction was one of disappointment, but I wouldn't like to judge, if I'm honest, but I remember feeling 23 disappointed. 29 (D. You start the meeting, at 353, saying, 25 (D. You start the meeting, at 353, saying, 25 (D. You start the meeting, at 353, saying, 25 (D. You start the meeting, at 353, saying, 25 (D. You start the meeting, at 353, saying, 25 (D. You start the meeting, at 353, saying, 25 (D. You start the meeting out on the point of the position of the Authority? Is the Authority and accepting that the the time you as an Authority had no concerms about me?" and you say, "No, no, wait, we would have told you." Does this mean that at the time you as Authority in do not oncerms about me?" and you say, "No, no, wait, we would have told you." Does this mean that at the time you as Authority in dould never approaching you? 4. It at it was			1	
though, before you sent it? A. Yes, he was the only lawyer I could ask-wrongly, I get it now, but Of the look at the second paragraph, it says, retire in the interests of policing in Gibraltar." You then in the following paragraph refer to the opportunity to make representations. Do Page 105 Page 105 A. I was at pains to explain that it wasn't us, page 107 that it was the two main arms of, the principal arms of Government expressing their concerns and losing confidence. A. Clearly, now I do, even though when I gave him the letter and I had the long conversation with him. I was convinced that that is what I was doing. It is only until I had legal counsel that we were told it should have been the other way round, and I really don't understand how I didn't see that. Q. If we can go to B 353 now, please, this is a transcript of the meeting on the 22nd of May with Mr McGrail, and the first question is: did you know that Mr McGrail was recorded? A. No, no, no, not at all. Q. What do you think about the fact that the meeting was recorded? A. Mixed feelings. I think my first reaction was one of disappointmed. 18 Q. You start the meeting, at 353, saying, 25 mBasically, I'm supposed to call you to the			1	•
A. Yes, he was the only lawyer I could ask- wrongly, I get it now, but Q. If we look at the Second paragraph it says, "Given the above, the Authority invites you to retire in the interests of policing in Gibraltar." You then in the following paragraph refer to the opportunity to make representations. Do Page 105 Page 105 A. I was at pains to explain that it wasn't us, Page 107 A. I was at pains to explain that it wasn't us, Page 107 A. I was at pains to explain that it wasn't us, Page 107 A. Clearly, now I do, even though when I gave him the letter and I had the long conversation with him, I was convinced that that is what I was doing. It is only until I had legal counsel that we were told it should have been the other way round, and I really don't understand how I didn't see that. Q. If we can go to B353 now, please, this is a transcript of the meeting on the 22nd of May with Mr McGrail, and the first question is: did you know that Mr McGrail was recorded? A. No, no, not at all. Q. What do you think about the fact that the meeting? A. No, no, not at all. Q. What do you think about the fact that the meeting was recorded? A. Mixed feelings. I think my first reaction was one of disappointed. A. No, "Basically, I'm supposed to call you to the			1	-
20 wrongly, I get it now, but 21 Q. If we look at the second paragraph, it says, 22 "Given the above, the Authority invites you to 23 retire in the interests of policing in Gibraltar." 24 You then in the following paragraph refer to 25 the opportunity to make representations. Do 26 Page 105 27 Page 105 28 Page 105 29 Page 107 1 you accept, in retrospect, that Mr McGrail should have been asked to provide retrie? 29 A. Clearly, now I do, even though when I gave him the letter and I had the long conversation with him, I was convinced that that is what I was doing. It is only until I had legal counsel that we were told it should have been the other way round, and I really don't understand how I didn't see that. 20 Q. If we can go to B353 now, please, this is a transcript of the meeting? 21 A. No, no, not at all. 22 Q. What do you think about the fact that the meeting was recorded? 23 A. Mixed feelings. I think my first reaction was one of disappointment, but I wouldn't like to judge, if I'm honest, but I remember feeling disappointed. 24 Q. You start the meeting, at 353, saying, "G, no, wait, we would have told you." Does this mean that at the time you as an Authority had no concerns about Mr McGrail nath to the time you as an Authority thad no eching Mr McGrail and the long approaching you? A. I was at pains to explain that it wasn't us, 24 That it was the two main arms of, the principal arms of Government expressing their concerns and losing confidence. 4 Q. When you were discussing deliberating as an Authority, did you take into account the fact that you had no concerns? A. We all felt that we were surprised, frankly, but we felt, and everybody I asked felt that it was impossible for somebody to work in a high profile job with this loss of confidence on both sides, in particular with the Governor. Q. Going over to 356, just under 12.14 MR WAGNER: I will say this, and it is a very small thing, but Mr Santos asked Mr Britto whether he had had experience of this kind of thing, and I think he nodded		•	1	
21 Q. If we look at the second paragraph, it says, 22 "Given the above, the Authority invites you to 23 retire in the interests of policing in Gibraltar." 24 You then in the following paragraph refer to 25 the opportunity to make representations. Do 26 Page 105 1 you accept, in retrospect, that Mr McGrail 27 should have been asked to provide 28 should have been asked to provide 29 should have been asked to provide 30 representations before the GPA invited him to 40 retire? 4 A. Clearly, now I do, even though when I 6 gave him the letter and I had the long 6 conversation with him, I was convinced that 8 that is what I was doing. It is only until I had 9 legal counsel that we were told it should have 10 been the other way round, and I really don't 11 understand how I didn't see that. 12 Q. If we can go to B353 now, please, this is a 13 transcript of the meeting on the 22nd of May 14 with Mr McGrail, and the first question is: did 15 you know that Mr McGrail was recording the 16 meeting? 17 A. No, no, not at all. 18 Q. What do you think about the fact that the 19 meeting was recorded? 20 A. Mixed feelings. I think my first reaction 21 was one of disappointment, but I wouldn't like 22 to judge, if I'm honest, but I remember feeling 23 disappointed. 24 didn't have experience of this,", I believe. 356, 25 "Basically, I'm supposed to call you to the			1	
retire in the interests of policing in Gibraltar." You then in the following paragraph refer to the opportunity to make representations. Do Page 105 Page 105 Page 107 I you accept, in retrospect, that Mr McGrail should have been asked to provide retire? A. Clearly, now I do, even though when I gave him the letter and I had the long conversation with him, I was convinced that that is what I was doing. It is only until I had legal counsel that we were told it should have been the other way round, and I really don't understand how I didn't see that. Q. If we can go to B353 now, please, this is a transcript of the meeting? A. No, no, not at all. Q. What do you think about the fact that the meeting? A. Mixed feelings. I think my first reaction was one of disappointment, but I wouldn't like to judge, if I'm honest, but I remember feeling disappointed. 22 to judge, if I'm honest, but I remember feeling disappointed. 23 about Mr McGrail prior to the Chief Minister about Mr McGrail prior to the Chief Minister approaching you? A. I was at pains to explain that it wasn't us, Page 107 A. I was the two main arms of, the principal arms of Government expressing their concerns and losing confidence. Q. When you were discussing deliberating as an Authority, did you take into account the fact that you had no concerns? A. We all felt that we were surprised, frankly, but we felt, and everybody I asked felt that it was impossible for somebody to work in a high profile job with this loss of confidence on both sides, in particular with the Governor. Q. Going over to 356, just under 12.14 MR WAGNER: I will say this, and it is a very small thing, but Mr Santos asked Mr Britto whether you might MR SANTOS: I think the answer was No, he had not. A. No. MR SANTOS: He said, he spoke, "No, I didn't have experience of this", I believe. 356, between 12.14 and 12.56, you say, "Yes, yes.			1	
23 retire in the interests of policing in Gibraltar." 24 You then in the following paragraph refer to 25 the opportunity to make representations. Do 26 Page 105 27 Page 105 28 Page 107 1 you accept, in retrospect, that Mr McGrail 29 should have been asked to provide 30 representations before the GPA invited him to 40 retire? 40 Page 107 1 that it was the two main arms of, the principal arms of Government expressing their concerns and losing confidence. 40 Page 107 1 that it was the two main arms of, the principal arms of Government expressing their concerns and losing confidence. 40 Page 107 1 that it was the two main arms of, the principal arms of Government expressing their concerns and losing confidence. 40 Page 107 41 that it was the two main arms of, the principal arms of Government expressing their concerns and losing confidence. 41 Q. When you were discussing deliberating as an Authority, did you take into account the fact that you had no concerns? 41 A. We all felt that we were surprised, frankly, but we felt, and everybody I asked felt that it was impossible for somebody to work in a high profile job with this loss of confidence on both sides, in particular with the Governor. 41 Q. Going over to 356, just under 12.14 42 Day that do you think about the fact that the meeting? 4 A. No, no, not at all. 4 Q. What do you think about the fact that the meeting was recorded? 4 A. Mixed feelings. I think my first reaction was one of disappointment, but I wouldn't like to judge, if I'm honest, but I remember feeling disappointed. 4 Day ou start the meeting, at 353, saying, "Basically, I'm supposed to call you to the some page 10 page			1	•
24 You then in the following paragraph refer to the opportunity to make representations. Do Page 105 Page 107 1 you accept, in retrospect, that Mr McGrail should have been asked to provide 2 representations before the GPA invited him to retire? 3 representations before the GPA invited him to retire? 4 A. Clearly, now I do, even though when I gave him the letter and I had the long conversation with him, I was convinced that that is what I was doing. It is only until I had legal counsel that we were told it should have been the other way round, and I really don't understand how I didn't see that. 12 Q. If we can go to B353 now, please, this is a transcript of the meeting on the 22nd of May with Mr McGrail, and the first question is: did you know that Mr McGrail was recording the meeting? 4 A. No, no, not at all. 5 Q. What do you think about the fact that the meeting was recorded? 6 A. Mixed feelings. I think my first reaction was one of disappointed. 7 A. No. Mixed feelings. I think my first reaction was one of disappointement, but I wouldn't like to judge, if I'm honest, but I remember feeling disappointed. 2 Going over to 356, just under 12.14 2 MR SANTOS: I think the answer was No, he had not. 3 A. No. Mixed feelings, at 353, saying, "Basically, I'm supposed to call you to the didn't see explain that it wasn't us, 4 A. I was at pains to explain that it wasn't us, 4 A. I was at pains to explain that it wasn't us, 1 that it was the two main arms of, the principal arms of Government expressing their concerns and losing confidence. 2 When you were discussing deliberating as an Authority, did you take into account the fact that you had no concerns? 4 A. We all felt that we were surprised, frankly, but we felt, and everybody I asked felt that it was impossible for somebody to work in a high profile job with this loss of confidence on both sides, in particular with the Governor. Q. Going over to 356, just under 12.14 MR WAGNER: I will say this, and it is a very small thing, but Mr Santos asked Mr Brit				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
25 the opportunity to make representations. Do Page 105 26 A. I was at pains to explain that it wasn't us, Page 107 27		* *		-
page 105 Page 107 that it was the two main arms of, the principal arms of Government expressing their concerns and losing confidence. that it was the two main arms of, the principal arms of Government expressing their concerns and losing confidence. C. When you were discussing deliberating as an Authority, did you take into account the fact that you had no concerns? A. Clearly, now I do, even though when I gave him the letter and I had the long conversation with him, I was convinced that that is what I was doing. It is only until I had legal counsel that we were told it should have been the other way round, and I really don't understand how I didn't see that. Q. If we can go to B353 now, please, this is a transcript of the meeting on the 22nd of May with Mr McGrail, and the first question is: did you know that Mr McGrail was recording the meeting? A. No, no, not at all. Q. What do you think about the fact that the meeting was recorded? A. Mixed feelings. I think my first reaction was one of disappointment, but I wouldn't like to judge, if I'm honest, but I remember feeling disappointed. Q. You start the meeting, at 353, saying, "Basically, I'm supposed to call you to the				**
1 you accept, in retrospect, that Mr McGrail 2 should have been asked to provide 3 representations before the GPA invited him to 4 retire? 4 A. Clearly, now I do, even though when I 5 gave him the letter and I had the long 7 conversation with him, I was convinced that 8 that is what I was doing. It is only until I had 9 legal counsel that we were told it should have 10 been the other way round, and I really don't 11 understand how I didn't see that. 12 Q. If we can go to B353 now, please, this is a 13 transcript of the meeting on the 22nd of May 14 with Mr McGrail, and the first question is: did 15 you know that Mr McGrail was recording the 16 meeting? 17 A. No, no, not at all. 18 Q. What do you think about the fact that the 19 meeting was recorded? 20 A. Mixed feelings. I think my first reaction 21 was one of disappointment, but I wouldn't like 22 to judge, if I'm honest, but I remember feeling 23 disappointed. 24 that it was the two main arms of, the principal 25 arms of Government expressing their concerns and losing confidence. Q. When you were discussing deliberating as an Authority, did you take into account the fact that you had no concerns? A. We all felt that we were surprised, frankly, but we felt, and everybody I asked felt that it was impossible for somebody to work in a high profile job with this loss of confidence on both sides, in particular with the Governor. Q. Going over to 356, just under 12.14 MR WAGNER: I will say this, and it is a very small thing, but Mr Santos asked Mr Britto whether he had had experience of this kind of thing, and I think he nodded but he did not say anything, unless I missed it, and I just wonder whether you might MR SANTOS: I think the answer was No, he had not. A. No. 24 didn't have experience of this", I believe. 356, between 12.14 and 12.56, you say, "Yes, yes.	23	the opportunity to make representations. Do	23	A. I was at pains to explain that it wasn't us,
should have been asked to provide representations before the GPA invited him to retire? A. Clearly, now I do, even though when I gave him the letter and I had the long conversation with him, I was convinced that that is what I was doing. It is only until I had legal counsel that we were told it should have been the other way round, and I really don't understand how I didn't see that. Q. If we can go to B353 now, please, this is a transcript of the meeting on the 22nd of May with Mr McGrail, and the first question is: did you know that Mr McGrail was recording the meeting? A. No, no, not at all. Q. What do you think about the fact that the meeting was recorded? A. Mixed feelings. I think my first reaction was one of disappointment, but I wouldn't like to judge, if I'm honest, but I remember feeling disappointed. Q. You start the meeting, at 353, saying, "Basically, I'm supposed to call you to the arms of Government expressing their concerns and losing confidence. Q. When you were discussing deliberating as an Authority, did you take into account the fact that you had no concerns? A. We all felt that we were surprised, frankly, but we felt, and everybody I asked felt that it was impossible for somebody to work in a high profile job with this loss of confidence on both sides, in particular with the Governor. Q. Going over to 356, just under 12.14 MR WAGNER: I will say this, and it is a very small thing, but Mr Santos asked Mr Britto whether he had had experience of this kind of thing, and I think he nodded but he did not say anything, unless I missed it, and I just wonder whether you might MR SANTOS: I think the answer was No, he had not. A. No. MR WAGNER: It is just for the transcript. MR WAGNER: It i		Page 105		Page 107
should have been asked to provide representations before the GPA invited him to retire? A. Clearly, now I do, even though when I gave him the letter and I had the long conversation with him, I was convinced that that is what I was doing. It is only until I had legal counsel that we were told it should have been the other way round, and I really don't understand how I didn't see that. Q. If we can go to B353 now, please, this is a transcript of the meeting on the 22nd of May with Mr McGrail, and the first question is: did you know that Mr McGrail was recording the meeting? A. No, no, not at all. Q. What do you think about the fact that the meeting was recorded? A. Mixed feelings. I think my first reaction was one of disappointment, but I wouldn't like to judge, if I'm honest, but I remember feeling disappointed. Q. You start the meeting, at 353, saying, "Basically, I'm supposed to call you to the arms of Government expressing their concerns and losing confidence. Q. When you were discussing deliberating as an Authority, did you take into account the fact that you had no concerns? A. We all felt that we were surprised, frankly, but we felt, and everybody I asked felt that it was impossible for somebody to work in a high profile job with this loss of confidence on both sides, in particular with the Governor. Q. Going over to 356, just under 12.14 MR WAGNER: I will say this, and it is a very small thing, but Mr Santos asked Mr Britto whether he had had experience of this kind of thing, and I think he nodded but he did not say anything, unless I missed it, and I just wonder whether you might MR SANTOS: I think the answer was No, he had not. A. No. MR WAGNER: It is just for the transcript. MR WAGNER: It i				
representations before the GPA invited him to retire? A. Clearly, now I do, even though when I gave him the letter and I had the long conversation with him, I was convinced that that is what I was doing. It is only until I had legal counsel that we were told it should have been the other way round, and I really don't understand how I didn't see that. Q. If we can go to B353 now, please, this is a transcript of the meeting on the 22nd of May with Mr McGrail, and the first question is: did you know that Mr McGrail was recording the meeting? A. No, no, not at all. Q. What do you think about the fact that the meeting was recorded? A. Mixed feelings. I think my first reaction was one of disappointment, but I wouldn't like to judge, if I'm honest, but I remember feeling disappointed. Q. You start the meeting, at 353, saying, "Basically, I'm supposed to call you to the substitution in the same and losing confidence. Q. When you were discussing deliberating as an Authority, did you take into account the fact that you had no concerns? A. We all felt that we were surprised, frankly, but we felt, and everybody I asked felt that it was impossible for somebody to work in a high profile job with this loss of confidence on both sides, in particular with the Governor. Q. Going over to 356, just under 12.14 MR WAGNER: I will say this, and it is a very small thing, but Mr Santos asked Mr Britto whether he had had experience of this kind of thing, and I think he nodded but he did not say anything, unless I missed it, and I just wonder whether you might MR SANTOS: I think the answer was No, he had not. A. No. MR WAGNER: It is just for the transcript. MR SANTOS: He said, he spoke, "No, I didn't have experience of this", I believe. 356, between 12.14 and 12.56, you say, "Yes, yes.	1	you accept in retrospect that Mr McGrail	1	that it was the two main arms of the principal
4 retire? 5 A. Clearly, now I do, even though when I gave him the letter and I had the long 7 conversation with him, I was convinced that that is what I was doing. It is only until I had legal counsel that we were told it should have been the other way round, and I really don't understand how I didn't see that. 12 Q. If we can go to B353 now, please, this is a transcript of the meeting on the 22nd of May with Mr McGrail, and the first question is: did you know that Mr McGrail was recording the meeting? 17 A. No, no, not at all. 18 Q. What do you think about the fact that the meeting was recorded? 20 A. Mixed feelings. I think my first reaction was one of disappointment, but I wouldn't like to judge, if I'm honest, but I remember feeling disappointed. 21 Q. You start the meeting, at 353, saying, 25 "Basically, I'm supposed to call you to the meeting an Authority, did you take into account the fact that to that you had no concerns? 2 A. We all felt that we were surprised, frankly, but we felt, and everybody I asked felt that it was impossible for somebody to work in a high profile job with this loss of confidence on both sides, in particular with the Governor. 11 Understand how I didn't see that. 12 Q. Going over to 356, just under 12.14 13 MR WAGNER: I will say this, and it is a very small thing, but Mr Santos asked Mr Britto whether he had had experience of this kind of thing, and I think he nodded but he did not say anything, unless I missed it, and I just wonder whether you might 14 MR SANTOS: I think the answer was No, he had not. 15 A. No. 16 MR WAGNER: It is just for the transcript. 18 MR WAGNER: It is just for the transcript. 29 MR WAGNER: It is just for the transcript. 20 MR WAGNER: It is just for the transcript. 21 MR WAGNER: It is just for the transcript. 22 MR WAGNER: It is just for the transcript. 23 didn't have experience of this, I believe. 356, between 12.14 and 12.56, you say, "Yes, yes.				
A. Clearly, now I do, even though when I gave him the letter and I had the long conversation with him, I was convinced that that is what I was doing. It is only until I had legal counsel that we were told it should have been the other way round, and I really don't understand how I didn't see that. Q. If we can go to B353 now, please, this is a transcript of the meeting on the 22nd of May with Mr McGrail, and the first question is: did you know that Mr McGrail was recording the meeting? A. No, no, not at all. Q. What do you think about the fact that the meeting was recorded? A. Mixed feelings. I think my first reaction was one of disappointment, but I wouldn't like to judge, if I'm honest, but I remember feeling disappointed. Q. You start the meeting, at 353, saying, "Basically, I'm supposed to call you to the an Authority, did you take into account the fact that that that you had no concerns? A. We all felt that we were surprised, frankly, but we felt, and everybody I asked felt that it was impossible for somebody to work in a high profile job with this loss of confidence on both sides, in particular with the Governor. Q. Going over to 356, just under 12.14 MR WAGNER: I will say this, and it is a very small thing, but Mr Santos asked Mr Britto whether he had had experience of this kind of thing, and I think he nodded but he did not say anything, unless I missed it, and I just wonder whether you might MR SANTOS: I think the answer was No, he had not. A. No. MR WAGNER: It is just for the transcript. MR SANTOS: He said, he spoke, "No, I didn't have experience of this", I believe. 356, between 12.14 and 12.56, you say, "Yes, yes.	2	should have been asked to provide	2	arms of Government expressing their concerns
6 gave him the letter and I had the long 7 conversation with him, I was convinced that 8 that is what I was doing. It is only until I had 9 legal counsel that we were told it should have 10 been the other way round, and I really don't 11 understand how I didn't see that. 12 Q. If we can go to B353 now, please, this is a 13 transcript of the meeting on the 22nd of May 14 with Mr McGrail, and the first question is: did 15 you know that Mr McGrail was recording the 16 meeting? 17 A. No, no, not at all. 18 Q. What do you think about the fact that the 19 meeting was recorded? 10 A. Mixed feelings. I think my first reaction 11 was one of disappointment, but I wouldn't like 12 to judge, if I'm honest, but I remember feeling 13 disappointed. 14 that you had no concerns? 15 A. We all felt that we were surprised, frankly, 16 but we felt, and everybody I asked felt that it 18 was impossible for somebody to work in a 19 high profile job with this loss of confidence on 10 both sides, in particular with the Governor. 11 Q. Going over to 356, just under 12.14 12 Q. Going over to 356, just under 12.14 13 MR WAGNER: I will say this, and it is a very small thing, but Mr Santos asked Mr Britto 15 whether he had had experience of this kind of thing, and I think he nodded but he did not say anything, unless I missed it, and I just wonder whether you might 18 MR SANTOS: I think the answer was No, he had not. 20 A. No. 21 Was one of disappointment, but I wouldn't like 22 to judge, if I'm honest, but I remember feeling 23 disappointed. 24 Q. You start the meeting, at 353, saying, 25 "Basically, I'm supposed to call you to the 26 to judge, if I'm supposed to call you to the 27 MR SANTOS: He said, he spoke, "No, I didn't have experience of this", I believe. 356, between 12.14 and 12.56, you say, "Yes, yes.	2 3	should have been asked to provide representations before the GPA invited him to	2 3	arms of Government expressing their concerns and losing confidence.
7 conversation with him, I was convinced that 8 that is what I was doing. It is only until I had 9 legal counsel that we were told it should have 10 been the other way round, and I really don't 11 understand how I didn't see that. 12 Q. If we can go to B353 now, please, this is a 13 transcript of the meeting on the 22nd of May 14 with Mr McGrail, and the first question is: did 15 you know that Mr McGrail was recording the 16 meeting? 17 A. No, no, not at all. 18 Q. What do you think about the fact that the 19 meeting was recorded? 20 A. Mixed feelings. I think my first reaction 21 was one of disappointment, but I wouldn't like 22 to judge, if I'm honest, but I remember feeling 23 disappointed. 24 Q. You start the meeting, at 353, saying, 25 "Basically, I'm supposed to call you to the A. We all felt that we were surprised, frankly, but we felt, and everybody I asked felt that it was impossible for somebody to work in a high profile job with this loss of confidence on both sides, in particular with the Governor. 10 A. We all felt that we were surprised, frankly, but we felt, and everybody I asked felt that it was impossible for somebody to work in a high profile job with this loss of confidence on both sides, in particular with the Governor. 19 Q. Going over to 356, just under 12.14 MR WAGNER: I will say this, and it is a very small thing, but Mr Santos asked Mr Britto whether he had had experience of this kind of thing, and I think he nodded but he did not say anything, unless I missed it, and I just wonder whether you might MR SANTOS: I think the answer was No, he had not. A. No. MR WAGNER: It is just for the transcript. MR WAGNER: It is just for the transcript. MR WAGNER: It is just for the transcript. MR SANTOS: He said, he spoke, "No, I didn't have experience of this", I believe. 356, between 12.14 and 12.56, you say, "Yes, yes.	2 3 4	should have been asked to provide representations before the GPA invited him to retire?	2 3 4	arms of Government expressing their concerns and losing confidence.Q. When you were discussing deliberating as
that is what I was doing. It is only until I had legal counsel that we were told it should have legal counsel that we simpossible for somebody to work in a high profile job with this loss of confidence on logal to high profile job with this loss of confidence on logal to high profile job with this loss of confidence on logal to high profile job with this loss of confidence on logal to high profile job with this loss of confidence on logal to high profile job with this loss of confidence on logal to high profile job with this loss of confidence on logal to high profile job with this loss of confidence on logal to high profile job with this loss of confidence on logal to high profile job with this loss of confidence on logal to high profile job with this loss of confidence on logal to high profile job with the Governor. logal developed with the Gover	2 3 4 5	should have been asked to provide representations before the GPA invited him to retire? A. Clearly, now I do, even though when I	2 3 4 5	arms of Government expressing their concerns and losing confidence.Q. When you were discussing deliberating as an Authority, did you take into account the fact
legal counsel that we were told it should have been the other way round, and I really don't 10 high profile job with this loss of confidence on 11 understand how I didn't see that. 11 both sides, in particular with the Governor. 12 Q. If we can go to B353 now, please, this is a 12 Q. Going over to 356, just under 12.14 13 transcript of the meeting on the 22nd of May 13 MR WAGNER: I will say this, and it is a very with Mr McGrail, and the first question is: did 14 small thing, but Mr Santos asked Mr Britto 15 whether he had had experience of this kind of 16 meeting? 16 thing, and I think he nodded but he did not say 17 A. No, no, not at all. 17 anything, unless I missed it, and I just wonder 18 whether you might 19 MR SANTOS: I think the answer was No, he had not. 20 MR WAGNER: It is just for the transcript. 21 A. No. 22 to judge, if I'm honest, but I remember feeling 22 MR WAGNER: It is just for the transcript. 23 disappointed. 24 Q. You start the meeting, at 353, saying, 24 didn't have experience of this", I believe. 356, 25 "Basically, I'm supposed to call you to the 25 between 12.14 and 12.56, you say, "Yes, yes. 26 was impossible for somebody to work in a high profile job with this loss of confidence on high profile job with this loss of confidence on high profile job with this loss of confidence on high profile job with this loss of confidence on high profile job with this loss of confidence on high profile job with this loss of confidence on high profile job with this loss of confidence on high profile job with this loss of confidence on high profile job with this loss of confidence on high profile job with this loss of confidence on 12.14 12.1	2 3 4 5 6	should have been asked to provide representations before the GPA invited him to retire? A. Clearly, now I do, even though when I gave him the letter and I had the long	2 3 4 5 6	arms of Government expressing their concerns and losing confidence.Q. When you were discussing deliberating as an Authority, did you take into account the fact that you had no concerns?
been the other way round, and I really don't understand how I didn't see that. Q. If we can go to B353 now, please, this is a transcript of the meeting on the 22nd of May with Mr McGrail, and the first question is: did you know that Mr McGrail was recording the meeting? A. No, no, not at all. Q. What do you think about the fact that the meeting was recorded? A. Mixed feelings. I think my first reaction was one of disappointment, but I wouldn't like to judge, if I'm honest, but I remember feeling disappointed. Q. You start the meeting, at 353, saying, "Basically, I'm supposed to call you to the 10 high profile job with this loss of confidence on both sides, in particular with the Governor. 11 both sides, in particular with the Governor. 12 Q. Going over to 356, just under 12.14 13 MR WAGNER: I will say this, and it is a very small thing, but Mr Santos asked Mr Britto whether he had had experience of this kind of thing, and I think he nodded but he did not say anything, unless I missed it, and I just wonder whether you might 13 MR SANTOS: I think the answer was No, he had not. 24 A. No. 25 MR WAGNER: It is just for the transcript. 26 MR WAGNER: It is just for the transcript. 27 MR WAGNER: It is just for the transcript. 28 MR WAGNER: It is just for the transcript. 29 MR WAGNER: It is just for the transcript. 20 MR SANTOS: He said, he spoke, "No, I didn't have experience of this", I believe. 356, between 12.14 and 12.56, you say, "Yes, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7	should have been asked to provide representations before the GPA invited him to retire? A. Clearly, now I do, even though when I gave him the letter and I had the long conversation with him, I was convinced that	2 3 4 5 6 7	arms of Government expressing their concerns and losing confidence.Q. When you were discussing deliberating as an Authority, did you take into account the fact that you had no concerns?A. We all felt that we were surprised, frankly,
11 understand how I didn't see that. 12 Q. If we can go to B353 now, please, this is a 13 transcript of the meeting on the 22nd of May 14 with Mr McGrail, and the first question is: did 15 you know that Mr McGrail was recording the 16 meeting? 17 A. No, no, not at all. 18 Q. What do you think about the fact that the 19 meeting was recorded? 20 A. Mixed feelings. I think my first reaction 21 was one of disappointment, but I wouldn't like 22 to judge, if I'm honest, but I remember feeling 23 disappointed. 24 Q. You start the meeting, at 353, saying, 25 "Basically, I'm supposed to call you to the 10 MR WAGNER: I will say this, and it is a very small thing, but Mr Santos asked Mr Britto 16 whether he had had experience of this kind of thing, and I think he nodded but he did not say anything, unless I missed it, and I just wonder whether you might 19 MR SANTOS: I think the answer was No, he had not. 20 A. No. 21 Was one of disappointment, but I wouldn't like disappointed. 22 MR WAGNER: It is just for the transcript. 23 MR SANTOS: He said, he spoke, "No, I didn't have experience of this", I believe. 356, between 12.14 and 12.56, you say, "Yes, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	should have been asked to provide representations before the GPA invited him to retire? A. Clearly, now I do, even though when I gave him the letter and I had the long conversation with him, I was convinced that that is what I was doing. It is only until I had	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	arms of Government expressing their concerns and losing confidence. Q. When you were discussing deliberating as an Authority, did you take into account the fact that you had no concerns? A. We all felt that we were surprised, frankly, but we felt, and everybody I asked felt that it
12 Q. If we can go to B353 now, please, this is a 13 transcript of the meeting on the 22nd of May 14 with Mr McGrail, and the first question is: did 15 you know that Mr McGrail was recording the 16 meeting? 17 A. No, no, not at all. 18 Q. What do you think about the fact that the 19 meeting was recorded? 20 A. Mixed feelings. I think my first reaction 21 was one of disappointment, but I wouldn't like 22 to judge, if I'm honest, but I remember feeling 23 disappointed. 24 Q. Going over to 356, just under 12.14 18 MR WAGNER: I will say this, and it is a very small thing, but Mr Santos asked Mr Britto 16 whether he had had experience of this kind of thing, and I think he nodded but he did not say anything, unless I missed it, and I just wonder whether you might 19 MR SANTOS: I think the answer was No, he had not. 20 had not. 21 A. No. 22 MR WAGNER: It is just for the transcript. 23 MR WAGNER: It is just for the transcript. 24 Q. You start the meeting, at 353, saying, 25 "Basically, I'm supposed to call you to the between 12.14 and 12.56, you say, "Yes, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	should have been asked to provide representations before the GPA invited him to retire? A. Clearly, now I do, even though when I gave him the letter and I had the long conversation with him, I was convinced that that is what I was doing. It is only until I had legal counsel that we were told it should have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	arms of Government expressing their concerns and losing confidence. Q. When you were discussing deliberating as an Authority, did you take into account the fact that you had no concerns? A. We all felt that we were surprised, frankly, but we felt, and everybody I asked felt that it was impossible for somebody to work in a
transcript of the meeting on the 22nd of May with Mr McGrail, and the first question is: did you know that Mr McGrail was recording the meeting? A. No, no, not at all. Q. What do you think about the fact that the meeting was recorded? A. Mixed feelings. I think my first reaction was one of disappointment, but I wouldn't like to judge, if I'm honest, but I remember feeling disappointed. Q. You start the meeting, at 353, saying, "Basically, I'm supposed to call you to the MR WAGNER: I will say this, and it is a very small thing, but Mr Santos asked Mr Britto whether he had had experience of this kind of thing, and I think he nodded but he did not say anything, unless I missed it, and I just wonder whether you might MR SANTOS: I think the answer was No, he had not. A. No. MR WAGNER: I will say this, and it is a very small thing, but Mr Santos asked Mr Britto whether he had had experience of this kind of thing, and I think he nodded but he did not say anything, unless I missed it, and I just wonder whether you might MR SANTOS: I think the answer was No, he had not. A. No. MR WAGNER: I will say this, and it is a very small thing, but Mr Santos asked Mr Britto whether he had had experience of this kind of thing, and I think he nodded but he did not say anything, unless I missed it, and I just wonder whether you might MR SANTOS: I think the answer was No, he had not. A. No. MR WAGNER: It is just for the transcript. MR SANTOS: He said, he spoke, "No, I didn't have experience of this", I believe. 356, between 12.14 and 12.56, you say, "Yes, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	should have been asked to provide representations before the GPA invited him to retire? A. Clearly, now I do, even though when I gave him the letter and I had the long conversation with him, I was convinced that that is what I was doing. It is only until I had legal counsel that we were told it should have been the other way round, and I really don't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	arms of Government expressing their concerns and losing confidence. Q. When you were discussing deliberating as an Authority, did you take into account the fact that you had no concerns? A. We all felt that we were surprised, frankly, but we felt, and everybody I asked felt that it was impossible for somebody to work in a high profile job with this loss of confidence on
with Mr McGrail, and the first question is: did you know that Mr McGrail was recording the meeting? A. No, no, not at all. Q. What do you think about the fact that the meeting was recorded? A. Mixed feelings. I think my first reaction was one of disappointment, but I wouldn't like to judge, if I'm honest, but I remember feeling disappointed. Q. You start the meeting, at 353, saying, "Basically, I'm supposed to call you to the small thing, but Mr Santos asked Mr Britto whether he had had experience of this kind of thing, and I think he nodded but he did not say anything, unless I missed it, and I just wonder whether you might MR SANTOS: I think the answer was No, he had not. A. No. MR WAGNER: It is just for the transcript. MR SANTOS: He said, he spoke, "No, I didn't have experience of this", I believe. 356, between 12.14 and 12.56, you say, "Yes, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	should have been asked to provide representations before the GPA invited him to retire? A. Clearly, now I do, even though when I gave him the letter and I had the long conversation with him, I was convinced that that is what I was doing. It is only until I had legal counsel that we were told it should have been the other way round, and I really don't understand how I didn't see that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	arms of Government expressing their concerns and losing confidence. Q. When you were discussing deliberating as an Authority, did you take into account the fact that you had no concerns? A. We all felt that we were surprised, frankly, but we felt, and everybody I asked felt that it was impossible for somebody to work in a high profile job with this loss of confidence on both sides, in particular with the Governor.
you know that Mr McGrail was recording the meeting? A. No, no, not at all. Q. What do you think about the fact that the meeting was recorded? A. Mixed feelings. I think my first reaction was one of disappointment, but I wouldn't like to judge, if I'm honest, but I remember feeling disappointed. Q. You start the meeting, at 353, saying, "Basically, I'm supposed to call you to the whether he had had experience of this kind of thing, and I think he nodded but he did not say anything, unless I missed it, and I just wonder whether you might MR SANTOS: I think the answer was No, he had not. A. No. MR WAGNER: It is just for the transcript. MR SANTOS: He said, he spoke, "No, I didn't have experience of this", I believe. 356, between 12.14 and 12.56, you say, "Yes, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	should have been asked to provide representations before the GPA invited him to retire? A. Clearly, now I do, even though when I gave him the letter and I had the long conversation with him, I was convinced that that is what I was doing. It is only until I had legal counsel that we were told it should have been the other way round, and I really don't understand how I didn't see that. Q. If we can go to B353 now, please, this is a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	arms of Government expressing their concerns and losing confidence. Q. When you were discussing deliberating as an Authority, did you take into account the fact that you had no concerns? A. We all felt that we were surprised, frankly, but we felt, and everybody I asked felt that it was impossible for somebody to work in a high profile job with this loss of confidence on both sides, in particular with the Governor. Q. Going over to 356, just under 12.14
meeting? A. No, no, not at all. Q. What do you think about the fact that the meeting was recorded? A. Mixed feelings. I think my first reaction was one of disappointment, but I wouldn't like to judge, if I'm honest, but I remember feeling disappointed. Q. You start the meeting, at 353, saying, "Basically, I'm supposed to call you to the did not say anything, unless I missed it, and I just wonder whether you might MR SANTOS: I think the answer was No, he had not. A. No. MR WAGNER: It is just for the transcript. MR SANTOS: He said, he spoke, "No, I didn't have experience of this", I believe. 356, between 12.14 and 12.56, you say, "Yes, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	should have been asked to provide representations before the GPA invited him to retire? A. Clearly, now I do, even though when I gave him the letter and I had the long conversation with him, I was convinced that that is what I was doing. It is only until I had legal counsel that we were told it should have been the other way round, and I really don't understand how I didn't see that. Q. If we can go to B353 now, please, this is a transcript of the meeting on the 22nd of May	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	arms of Government expressing their concerns and losing confidence. Q. When you were discussing deliberating as an Authority, did you take into account the fact that you had no concerns? A. We all felt that we were surprised, frankly, but we felt, and everybody I asked felt that it was impossible for somebody to work in a high profile job with this loss of confidence on both sides, in particular with the Governor. Q. Going over to 356, just under 12.14 MR WAGNER: I will say this, and it is a very
A. No, no, not at all. Q. What do you think about the fact that the meeting was recorded? A. Mixed feelings. I think my first reaction was one of disappointment, but I wouldn't like to judge, if I'm honest, but I remember feeling disappointed. Q. You start the meeting, at 353, saying, "Basically, I'm supposed to call you to the anything, unless I missed it, and I just wonder whether you might MR SANTOS: I think the answer was No, he had not. A. No. MR WAGNER: It is just for the transcript. MR SANTOS: He said, he spoke, "No, I didn't have experience of this", I believe. 356, between 12.14 and 12.56, you say, "Yes, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	should have been asked to provide representations before the GPA invited him to retire? A. Clearly, now I do, even though when I gave him the letter and I had the long conversation with him, I was convinced that that is what I was doing. It is only until I had legal counsel that we were told it should have been the other way round, and I really don't understand how I didn't see that. Q. If we can go to B353 now, please, this is a transcript of the meeting on the 22nd of May with Mr McGrail, and the first question is: did	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	arms of Government expressing their concerns and losing confidence. Q. When you were discussing deliberating as an Authority, did you take into account the fact that you had no concerns? A. We all felt that we were surprised, frankly, but we felt, and everybody I asked felt that it was impossible for somebody to work in a high profile job with this loss of confidence on both sides, in particular with the Governor. Q. Going over to 356, just under 12.14 MR WAGNER: I will say this, and it is a very small thing, but Mr Santos asked Mr Britto
Q. What do you think about the fact that the meeting was recorded? A. Mixed feelings. I think my first reaction was one of disappointment, but I wouldn't like to judge, if I'm honest, but I remember feeling disappointed. Q. You start the meeting, at 353, saying, "Basically, I'm supposed to call you to the "Basically of the transcript of the transcrip	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	should have been asked to provide representations before the GPA invited him to retire? A. Clearly, now I do, even though when I gave him the letter and I had the long conversation with him, I was convinced that that is what I was doing. It is only until I had legal counsel that we were told it should have been the other way round, and I really don't understand how I didn't see that. Q. If we can go to B353 now, please, this is a transcript of the meeting on the 22nd of May with Mr McGrail, and the first question is: did you know that Mr McGrail was recording the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	arms of Government expressing their concerns and losing confidence. Q. When you were discussing deliberating as an Authority, did you take into account the fact that you had no concerns? A. We all felt that we were surprised, frankly, but we felt, and everybody I asked felt that it was impossible for somebody to work in a high profile job with this loss of confidence on both sides, in particular with the Governor. Q. Going over to 356, just under 12.14 MR WAGNER: I will say this, and it is a very small thing, but Mr Santos asked Mr Britto whether he had had experience of this kind of
meeting was recorded? A. Mixed feelings. I think my first reaction was one of disappointment, but I wouldn't like to judge, if I'm honest, but I remember feeling disappointed. Q. You start the meeting, at 353, saying, "Basically, I'm supposed to call you to the MR SANTOS: I think the answer was No, he had not. A. No. MR WAGNER: It is just for the transcript. MR SANTOS: He said, he spoke, "No, I didn't have experience of this", I believe. 356, between 12.14 and 12.56, you say, "Yes, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	should have been asked to provide representations before the GPA invited him to retire? A. Clearly, now I do, even though when I gave him the letter and I had the long conversation with him, I was convinced that that is what I was doing. It is only until I had legal counsel that we were told it should have been the other way round, and I really don't understand how I didn't see that. Q. If we can go to B353 now, please, this is a transcript of the meeting on the 22nd of May with Mr McGrail, and the first question is: did you know that Mr McGrail was recording the meeting?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	arms of Government expressing their concerns and losing confidence. Q. When you were discussing deliberating as an Authority, did you take into account the fact that you had no concerns? A. We all felt that we were surprised, frankly, but we felt, and everybody I asked felt that it was impossible for somebody to work in a high profile job with this loss of confidence on both sides, in particular with the Governor. Q. Going over to 356, just under 12.14 MR WAGNER: I will say this, and it is a very small thing, but Mr Santos asked Mr Britto whether he had had experience of this kind of thing, and I think he nodded but he did not say
A. Mixed feelings. I think my first reaction was one of disappointment, but I wouldn't like to judge, if I'm honest, but I remember feeling disappointed. Q. You start the meeting, at 353, saying, "Basically, I'm supposed to call you to the 20 had not. 21 A. No. 22 MR WAGNER: It is just for the transcript. 23 MR SANTOS: He said, he spoke, "No, I 24 didn't have experience of this", I believe. 356, 25 between 12.14 and 12.56, you say, "Yes, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	should have been asked to provide representations before the GPA invited him to retire? A. Clearly, now I do, even though when I gave him the letter and I had the long conversation with him, I was convinced that that is what I was doing. It is only until I had legal counsel that we were told it should have been the other way round, and I really don't understand how I didn't see that. Q. If we can go to B353 now, please, this is a transcript of the meeting on the 22nd of May with Mr McGrail, and the first question is: did you know that Mr McGrail was recording the meeting? A. No, no, not at all.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	arms of Government expressing their concerns and losing confidence. Q. When you were discussing deliberating as an Authority, did you take into account the fact that you had no concerns? A. We all felt that we were surprised, frankly, but we felt, and everybody I asked felt that it was impossible for somebody to work in a high profile job with this loss of confidence on both sides, in particular with the Governor. Q. Going over to 356, just under 12.14 MR WAGNER: I will say this, and it is a very small thing, but Mr Santos asked Mr Britto whether he had had experience of this kind of thing, and I think he nodded but he did not say anything, unless I missed it, and I just wonder
was one of disappointment, but I wouldn't like to judge, if I'm honest, but I remember feeling disappointed. Q. You start the meeting, at 353, saying, "Basically, I'm supposed to call you to the 21 A. No. 22 MR WAGNER: It is just for the transcript. MR SANTOS: He said, he spoke, "No, I didn't have experience of this", I believe. 356, between 12.14 and 12.56, you say, "Yes, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	should have been asked to provide representations before the GPA invited him to retire? A. Clearly, now I do, even though when I gave him the letter and I had the long conversation with him, I was convinced that that is what I was doing. It is only until I had legal counsel that we were told it should have been the other way round, and I really don't understand how I didn't see that. Q. If we can go to B353 now, please, this is a transcript of the meeting on the 22nd of May with Mr McGrail, and the first question is: did you know that Mr McGrail was recording the meeting? A. No, no, not at all. Q. What do you think about the fact that the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	arms of Government expressing their concerns and losing confidence. Q. When you were discussing deliberating as an Authority, did you take into account the fact that you had no concerns? A. We all felt that we were surprised, frankly, but we felt, and everybody I asked felt that it was impossible for somebody to work in a high profile job with this loss of confidence on both sides, in particular with the Governor. Q. Going over to 356, just under 12.14 MR WAGNER: I will say this, and it is a very small thing, but Mr Santos asked Mr Britto whether he had had experience of this kind of thing, and I think he nodded but he did not say anything, unless I missed it, and I just wonder whether you might
to judge, if I'm honest, but I remember feeling disappointed. 23 MR WAGNER: It is just for the transcript. 24 Q. You start the meeting, at 353, saying, 25 "Basically, I'm supposed to call you to the "Basically, I'm supposed to call you to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	should have been asked to provide representations before the GPA invited him to retire? A. Clearly, now I do, even though when I gave him the letter and I had the long conversation with him, I was convinced that that is what I was doing. It is only until I had legal counsel that we were told it should have been the other way round, and I really don't understand how I didn't see that. Q. If we can go to B353 now, please, this is a transcript of the meeting on the 22nd of May with Mr McGrail, and the first question is: did you know that Mr McGrail was recording the meeting? A. No, no, not at all. Q. What do you think about the fact that the meeting was recorded?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	arms of Government expressing their concerns and losing confidence. Q. When you were discussing deliberating as an Authority, did you take into account the fact that you had no concerns? A. We all felt that we were surprised, frankly, but we felt, and everybody I asked felt that it was impossible for somebody to work in a high profile job with this loss of confidence on both sides, in particular with the Governor. Q. Going over to 356, just under 12.14 MR WAGNER: I will say this, and it is a very small thing, but Mr Santos asked Mr Britto whether he had had experience of this kind of thing, and I think he nodded but he did not say anything, unless I missed it, and I just wonder whether you might MR SANTOS: I think the answer was No, he
disappointed. 23 MR SANTOS: He said, he spoke, "No, I 24 Q. You start the meeting, at 353, saying, 25 "Basically, I'm supposed to call you to the 23 MR SANTOS: He said, he spoke, "No, I 24 didn't have experience of this", I believe. 356, 25 between 12.14 and 12.56, you say, "Yes, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	should have been asked to provide representations before the GPA invited him to retire? A. Clearly, now I do, even though when I gave him the letter and I had the long conversation with him, I was convinced that that is what I was doing. It is only until I had legal counsel that we were told it should have been the other way round, and I really don't understand how I didn't see that. Q. If we can go to B353 now, please, this is a transcript of the meeting on the 22nd of May with Mr McGrail, and the first question is: did you know that Mr McGrail was recording the meeting? A. No, no, not at all. Q. What do you think about the fact that the meeting was recorded? A. Mixed feelings. I think my first reaction	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	arms of Government expressing their concerns and losing confidence. Q. When you were discussing deliberating as an Authority, did you take into account the fact that you had no concerns? A. We all felt that we were surprised, frankly, but we felt, and everybody I asked felt that it was impossible for somebody to work in a high profile job with this loss of confidence on both sides, in particular with the Governor. Q. Going over to 356, just under 12.14 MR WAGNER: I will say this, and it is a very small thing, but Mr Santos asked Mr Britto whether he had had experience of this kind of thing, and I think he nodded but he did not say anything, unless I missed it, and I just wonder whether you might MR SANTOS: I think the answer was No, he had not.
Q. You start the meeting, at 353, saying, 24 didn't have experience of this", I believe. 356, 25 "Basically, I'm supposed to call you to the 25 between 12.14 and 12.56, you say, "Yes, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	should have been asked to provide representations before the GPA invited him to retire? A. Clearly, now I do, even though when I gave him the letter and I had the long conversation with him, I was convinced that that is what I was doing. It is only until I had legal counsel that we were told it should have been the other way round, and I really don't understand how I didn't see that. Q. If we can go to B353 now, please, this is a transcript of the meeting on the 22nd of May with Mr McGrail, and the first question is: did you know that Mr McGrail was recording the meeting? A. No, no, not at all. Q. What do you think about the fact that the meeting was recorded? A. Mixed feelings. I think my first reaction was one of disappointment, but I wouldn't like	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	arms of Government expressing their concerns and losing confidence. Q. When you were discussing deliberating as an Authority, did you take into account the fact that you had no concerns? A. We all felt that we were surprised, frankly, but we felt, and everybody I asked felt that it was impossible for somebody to work in a high profile job with this loss of confidence on both sides, in particular with the Governor. Q. Going over to 356, just under 12.14 MR WAGNER: I will say this, and it is a very small thing, but Mr Santos asked Mr Britto whether he had had experience of this kind of thing, and I think he nodded but he did not say anything, unless I missed it, and I just wonder whether you might MR SANTOS: I think the answer was No, he had not. A. No.
25 "Basically, I'm supposed to call you to the 25 between 12.14 and 12.56, you say, "Yes, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	should have been asked to provide representations before the GPA invited him to retire? A. Clearly, now I do, even though when I gave him the letter and I had the long conversation with him, I was convinced that that is what I was doing. It is only until I had legal counsel that we were told it should have been the other way round, and I really don't understand how I didn't see that. Q. If we can go to B353 now, please, this is a transcript of the meeting on the 22nd of May with Mr McGrail, and the first question is: did you know that Mr McGrail was recording the meeting? A. No, no, not at all. Q. What do you think about the fact that the meeting was recorded? A. Mixed feelings. I think my first reaction was one of disappointment, but I wouldn't like to judge, if I'm honest, but I remember feeling	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	arms of Government expressing their concerns and losing confidence. Q. When you were discussing deliberating as an Authority, did you take into account the fact that you had no concerns? A. We all felt that we were surprised, frankly, but we felt, and everybody I asked felt that it was impossible for somebody to work in a high profile job with this loss of confidence on both sides, in particular with the Governor. Q. Going over to 356, just under 12.14 MR WAGNER: I will say this, and it is a very small thing, but Mr Santos asked Mr Britto whether he had had experience of this kind of thing, and I think he nodded but he did not say anything, unless I missed it, and I just wonder whether you might MR SANTOS: I think the answer was No, he had not. A. No. MR WAGNER: It is just for the transcript.
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	should have been asked to provide representations before the GPA invited him to retire? A. Clearly, now I do, even though when I gave him the letter and I had the long conversation with him, I was convinced that that is what I was doing. It is only until I had legal counsel that we were told it should have been the other way round, and I really don't understand how I didn't see that. Q. If we can go to B353 now, please, this is a transcript of the meeting on the 22nd of May with Mr McGrail, and the first question is: did you know that Mr McGrail was recording the meeting? A. No, no, not at all. Q. What do you think about the fact that the meeting was recorded? A. Mixed feelings. I think my first reaction was one of disappointment, but I wouldn't like to judge, if I'm honest, but I remember feeling disappointed.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	arms of Government expressing their concerns and losing confidence. Q. When you were discussing deliberating as an Authority, did you take into account the fact that you had no concerns? A. We all felt that we were surprised, frankly, but we felt, and everybody I asked felt that it was impossible for somebody to work in a high profile job with this loss of confidence on both sides, in particular with the Governor. Q. Going over to 356, just under 12.14 MR WAGNER: I will say this, and it is a very small thing, but Mr Santos asked Mr Britto whether he had had experience of this kind of thing, and I think he nodded but he did not say anything, unless I missed it, and I just wonder whether you might MR SANTOS: I think the answer was No, he had not. A. No. MR WAGNER: It is just for the transcript. MR SANTOS: He said, he spoke, "No, I
Page 106 Page 108	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	should have been asked to provide representations before the GPA invited him to retire? A. Clearly, now I do, even though when I gave him the letter and I had the long conversation with him, I was convinced that that is what I was doing. It is only until I had legal counsel that we were told it should have been the other way round, and I really don't understand how I didn't see that. Q. If we can go to B353 now, please, this is a transcript of the meeting on the 22nd of May with Mr McGrail, and the first question is: did you know that Mr McGrail was recording the meeting? A. No, no, not at all. Q. What do you think about the fact that the meeting was recorded? A. Mixed feelings. I think my first reaction was one of disappointment, but I wouldn't like to judge, if I'm honest, but I remember feeling disappointed. Q. You start the meeting, at 353, saying,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	arms of Government expressing their concerns and losing confidence. Q. When you were discussing deliberating as an Authority, did you take into account the fact that you had no concerns? A. We all felt that we were surprised, frankly, but we felt, and everybody I asked felt that it was impossible for somebody to work in a high profile job with this loss of confidence on both sides, in particular with the Governor. Q. Going over to 356, just under 12.14 MR WAGNER: I will say this, and it is a very small thing, but Mr Santos asked Mr Britto whether he had had experience of this kind of thing, and I think he nodded but he did not say anything, unless I missed it, and I just wonder whether you might MR SANTOS: I think the answer was No, he had not. A. No. MR WAGNER: It is just for the transcript. MR SANTOS: He said, he spoke, "No, I didn't have experience of this", I believe. 356,
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	should have been asked to provide representations before the GPA invited him to retire? A. Clearly, now I do, even though when I gave him the letter and I had the long conversation with him, I was convinced that that is what I was doing. It is only until I had legal counsel that we were told it should have been the other way round, and I really don't understand how I didn't see that. Q. If we can go to B353 now, please, this is a transcript of the meeting on the 22nd of May with Mr McGrail, and the first question is: did you know that Mr McGrail was recording the meeting? A. No, no, not at all. Q. What do you think about the fact that the meeting was recorded? A. Mixed feelings. I think my first reaction was one of disappointment, but I wouldn't like to judge, if I'm honest, but I remember feeling disappointed. Q. You start the meeting, at 353, saying,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	arms of Government expressing their concerns and losing confidence. Q. When you were discussing deliberating as an Authority, did you take into account the fact that you had no concerns? A. We all felt that we were surprised, frankly, but we felt, and everybody I asked felt that it was impossible for somebody to work in a high profile job with this loss of confidence on both sides, in particular with the Governor. Q. Going over to 356, just under 12.14 MR WAGNER: I will say this, and it is a very small thing, but Mr Santos asked Mr Britto whether he had had experience of this kind of thing, and I think he nodded but he did not say anything, unless I missed it, and I just wonder whether you might MR SANTOS: I think the answer was No, he had not. A. No. MR WAGNER: It is just for the transcript. MR SANTOS: He said, he spoke, "No, I didn't have experience of this", I believe. 356,

1 No, no, no, no, that, you have to tell me about not mistaken, I was also trying to see if by the 2 2 the incident at sea, that this explanation, the time Mr McGrail came to see us we could also 3 3 reason why the preference is to alert you to a have a lawyer representing us, but it didn't 4 4 happen because he didn't come. s.34 is because there is provision in the second 5 part, 1/2. That's it, it's very simple. 1/2, and 2 5 Q. If we go to B360, about a third from the 6 6 bottom, you say, "Yes, yes, there's two main says the Commissioner may make 7 7 representation to the Authority and, because reasons but I took my personal notes, the thing 8 8 is I haven't brought them with me, but I took the Authority has to seek consent from - it's 9 9 been done the wrong way round. In other my own personal notes at the meeting where 10 10 words, they told us, 'We are going to trigger they gave me the reasons. You can take it 11 this.' There's two ways of doing it. In other 11 from me, but you don't need to ..." and then 12 12 words, from the Authority's perspective, we you say, "I will send them to you now." What 13 haven't taken a firm view, but this ..." And he 13 notes are you referring to there? Are you 14 14 says, "Have I lost my job?" You say, "Right referring to your handwritten notes or are you now, no. Right now, no." He says, "So, 15 15 referring to the informal note give to you by 16 what's the situation, then?" You say, "The 16 the Chief Minister? 17 17 letter you've invited, we have to invite, we A. I must admit that I didn't have notes but I 18 have to invited you to resign, but if you don't 18 told him - and my first thought is, I'd better ask 19 feel that you can ..." And he says, "But, what, 19 for notes from somebody who is either the 20 20 you're giving me seven days to make the Governor or the Chief Minister, who I am sure 21 decision?" You say, "To make, no, to make 21 is better at these things. I started taking notes 22 22 representations. Look ..." He says, "Yes, but but, I mean, I think it was a couple of lines and 23 23 what effect does that letter have? Do I have to I gave up because I felt a bit overwhelmed -24 24 hand in everything here and I've got to go, or, I well, rather overwhelmed. 25 25 don't know ..." And you say, "No, no, no, Q. Over the page, 361, towards the bottom, Page 109 Page 111 1 you're not suspended or anything. You now 1 Mr McGrail says, "This has all been triggered 2 have to, it's an invitation, it's an invitation. I 2 off from the impromptu meeting that I was 3 3 think the other way would be, you would lose called to in the Chief Minister's chamber with 4 your job if the Governor ..." At that stage was 4 the AG. That is where it all stems from. 5 5 your understanding that this was an invitation There's no doubt at all, at all, at all that this is 6 which Mr McGrail could decline? 6 where this is stemming from. The fact is that 7 7 A. Absolutely. I know it's not a legal term, he has not been in agreement with the way we 8 but that was my interpretation, that, "We're 8 have been handling the case involving Haim 9 inviting you to retire", not resign - please, 9 Levy. I was threatened there and then and I 10 that's very important, that I make that point. 10 was told that he was going to call up; in other 11 Q. Yes, apologies, "Retire". 11 words, there was like total interference with 12 A. No, no, but I also make that mistake. 12 the operational running. That is against the 13 "We're inviting you" and a invitation can be 13 law itself. Look, now this is how the issues ..." 14 turned down, but I'm not a legal expert, 14 and then you respond to him. Were you 15 15 surprised to hear that explanation from Mr 16 Q. It was later said in Gomez & Co.'s letter to 16 McGrail? 17 you that this was not an invitation but an 17 A. He gave me much more detail there about 18 instruction to retire. Do you accept that? In 18 what he felt the issues were, which is why I 19 effect? 19 said, at some point I said, "Look, tell us, tell all 20 A. What Mr Gomez - we took that advice and 20 of us, not just me." I felt overwhelmed by 2.1 we took that to be Mr McGrail's 21 that. But, yes, I was surprised. Not - shocked, 22 representations, and there were a lot of 22 23 representations there which I felt I needed 23 Q. If we go to 363, second from the bottom, 24 legal advice on how to deal with that because I 24 Mr McGrail says, "No, no, but I say, I'm 25 was still expecting him to come. And if I'm 25 telling you because I'm letting off a bit of Page 110 Page 112

1 steam. I'm informed that he was aware of all 1 No, I didn't. 2 2 that is alleged. It is alleged that the ..." He is Q. If we go to B1437, these are further 3 referring here to the Chief Minister. Was this 3 exchanges between you and the Chief Minister 4 4 the first time that you heard about the Chief and it looks like immediately after the meeting 5 Minister allegedly being involved in the events 5 you messaged the Chief Minister about the 6 that were under investigation? 6 letter which Mr McGrail had requested, setting 7 A. I suspect it was and it was also the first 7 out the reasons, and you agreed to meet at 2 8 8 p.m. Did you manage to meet at 2 p.m. with time I'd heard about those two surnames there, 9 9 the Chief Minister on that day? Cornelio and Perez, if I'm honest. 10 10 Q. Within the realms of this exchange? A. I think I did, but the main thing I asked 11 11 him was, "I think I'm going to need a lawyer, A. Yes. 12 Q. Sorry, the reason why I clarify that is that 12 especially if Mr McGrail is going to come with 13 by that point they had been arrested. Then if 13 legal representation." I think I did go but I 14 14 we go to 367, which is the very end of the really don't have any record of that meeting. I 15 meeting, and just picking it up from about 15 think I just needed to talk to someone, quite 16 16 seven or eight boxes up, you say, "No, no, frankly. 17 17 what was mentioned is the way you handled Q. So, you believe that in that meeting you 18 the case, that you had lied, you had lied 18 asked for legal representation? 19 basically to the Chief Minister." "On what?" 19 A. I think I said, "I'm going to need/the GPA 20 "Or omitted the truth and that's ..." "On what? 20 is going to need ..." - I didn't quite say what 21 Wait, wait, wait. On what?" And you say, 21 somebody was doing, but legal representation. 22 22 "I'll find out. Or that you kept the truth from Legal representation. 23 23 them or that you sort of - why would they lose Q. And what was the reaction to that? 24 24 faith? Or "faith" is not the word - confidence A. He didn't say No. But I can't actually 25 25 in you." "I lied in what? In what? The remember if he said, "I'll get you someone" or, Page 113 Page 115 1 collision or in that particular case?" "In 1 "You go and get someone." 2 everything, in everything." Why did you not 2 Q. When did you get someone? 3 3 explain the details of the alleged lie to Mr A. I think the call to Mr Neish came from Mr 4 McGrail at that point? 4 Yamas, from Michael Yamas, asking Mr 5 5 A. Because I don't think I was sure myself Neish if he wanted to - I'm not sure if this is 6 and, quite frankly, I wanted to leave. It was 6 privileged information. I wouldn't know. But 7 7 more than uncomfortable and, honestly, I felt, I think ... 8 I felt very bad for Mr McGrail and I really 8 Q. I do not think the mere fact of the call will 9 wanted to just - I'm telling the truth - leave. 9 be ... 10 10 Q. Did you manage to find out? You said, A. I can say that? So, he must have told him, 11 "I'll find out." Did you manage to find out 11 "Can you look for somebody for the GPA?" 12 what the lie was? 12 Q. And when did that take place? 13 A. I certainly found out when Mr Gomez 13 A. I'm pretty certain at some point during the 14 14 wrote to us. meeting or, if not, I called him. I really can't 15 Q. But did you seek the lie from the Chief 15 remember. I just remember being extremely 16 16 relieved when we got Mr Neish. Minister? 17 A. No, but I think from Mr Pyle, which is 17 Q. Mr McGrail had told you his belief that 18 what, quite frankly, I was interested in because 18 Operation Delhi was the real reason for the 19 that is what really affected us. 19 Chief Minister's actions. Did you ask the 20 Q. Sorry to interrupt, but you say he had lied 20 Chief Minister any follow up questions about 21 basically to the Chief Minister, and then you 21 22. 22 say, when he asked you on what, you say, "I'll A. No, but I said what Mr McGrail had said, 23 find out." 23 "I think he's saying it's to do with Operation 24 24 A. No, I didn't find out what about, but I ..." - I didn't call it Operation Delhi, but, " ... 25 expected Mr McGrail to tell us at some point. 25 with the telephone in the safe." But I can't Page 114 Page 116

1 remember what he said. I don't think he said, don't know many. But with hindsight clearly 2 2 "Yes, that's why." In fact, I am pretty certain I should have done that. 3 because, if I'm honest, it would have been the 3 Q. If we go to the letter, it is at C4282, we 4 4 first thing I would have told Mr Neish. can see here that there are highlighted parts 5 5 which are the parts which the Chief Minister (12.42)6 6 added to the letter. Is that correct? Q. Why did you involve the Chief Minister 7 in drafting this second letter to Mr McGrail? 7 A. I don't have the original, so I only really 8 A. Because I wanted to make sure that I was 8 found out which bits were mine and which 9 9 bits were his here. Because I think I must conveying what both the Governor and the 10 10 Chief Minister were telling me because I was have overwritten my version. But I've got no 11 11 reason to doubt that that's right, that's correct. at pains to tell Mr McGrail that this is not the 12 GPA, this is them losing confidence and 12 Q. I just want to look at the second 13 these are the reasons. And to tell you the 13 highlighted paragraph, which says: 14 14 "Additionally the Authority itself has agreed truth, it was quite traumatic and I really 15 15 with the matters raised by the Governor and didn't, I didn't know where to turn to. 16 16 Q. Do you think it was appropriate and the Chief Minister and have taken the view 17 17 consistent with the GPA maintaining that your position is untenable as 18 independence for the Chief Minister to be 18 Commissioner, given that you have lost the 19 19 confidence of both the Governor and the involved in the drafting? 20 20 Chief Minister." A. I do now. 2.1 O. You do? 21 When it says that the Authority itself has 22 22 A. Now. I didn't think even think about -agreed with the matters raised by the 23 23 Q. You think it was consistent with Governor and the Chief Minister, is that 24 24 actually correct given that you say that you independence or it was not consistent with --25 25 A. No, no, that it wasn't. But I feel I should had not had the chance to investigate the Page 119 Page 117 1 point out that not at the moment, at the time. 1 matters that had been raised by them? 2 2 A. My interpretation of that is that we had I do now. 3 3 Q. Yes. Did you have any concerns about agreed that the position was untenable, not 4 letting the Chief Minister be involved given 4 necessarily that we knew the insides and 5 5 his links to Mr Levy? outsides of all the reasons, but I think it's the 6 A. I wasn't thinking along those lines at all, 6 primary motivator was that the position is 7 7 at all. untenable because they had both lost 8 8 Q. Did you think about the GPA reflecting confidence. 9 9 its own reasons in the letter given that it had Q. You see, the wording is actually, it says: 10 10 already met by this point? "Additionally the Authority itself has agreed 11 11 with the matters raised by the Governor and A. It didn't cross my mind. Perhaps with 12 hindsight that would have been the proper 12 the Chief Minister." 13 13 That suggests rather that you agree with the 14 Q. Did you consider seeking help from 14 substance of what is being said by them. 15 15 a lawyer to draft the letter? Did you consider A. I didn't see that then. Well, I saw it, but I 16 16 waiting until you had help from a lawyer to didn't understand what that --17 17 draft the letter? Q. Let me ask you a different question. Was 18 A. I'm just trying to remember if I thought 18 it the Authority's position at the time that it 19 19 that, if that went through my head. I don't agreed with the matters raised by the 20 20 Governor and the Chief Minister, as far as think it did. I don't think it did. 21 21 Q. To be fair to you, you had proposed you were concerned? 22 22 a lawyer at this stage, but it seems that it did A. As far as I was concerned, yes, but it's 23 not materialise until some time after. 23 difficult now for it to make sense, if you see 24 24 A. That's my recollection, but I don't think I what I mean, now that we have reached this 25 thought, "I'm going to phone up a lawyer." I 25 stage of the proceedings. It could even be Page 118 Page 120

that I just sent the letter without ... trusting 1 This is in reference to the previous page, 2 2 basically, without actually going into it. But probity and integrity, and that the Governor 3 3 what I interpreted was that what that meant and the Chief Minister felt that their dealings 4 4 was that Authority had agreed that both had with you had left them with a sense that you 5 lost complete confidence in Mr McGrail. 5 were lacking in both probity and integrity. 6 6 Were you informed that the Attorney General Q. Would you agree that some of these 7 7 had the same feelings? Were you aware of amendments that are highlighted in yellow 8 8 were quite substantive changes to the letter, the Attorney --9 9 introducing new material, introducing detail A. It could well be that at the meeting I was 10 10 that went beyond what was discussed within told it's not just me, it is the AG as well, I'm 11 11 the Authority's own section 34 process? not sure. But remember that Mr McGrail 12 12 (Pause). also mentioned that. 13 A. Yes. 13 Q. Yes. 14 14 Q. Did you think about whether to accept A. I took it at face value. 15 15 these changes? Q. There is no explicit reference in the letter 16 16 A. I obviously accepted them because I sent to Operation Delhi, to Mr Levy or to the 17 17 the letter. criminal investigation at all, other than that 18 Q. Did you have any concerns about 18 bit that I have just read out to you. Given 19 19 accepting them? that the Chief Minister had mentioned that 20 20 A. Now I do. investigation and given that the informal note 21 O. No, no, at the time. 21 said that it was the main reason for his loss of 22 22 confidence, why did this letter not refer to A. Not, not, not at the time because I don't 23 23 think I was thinking very clearly. that explicitly? 24 24 A. I really can't answer that. I think it's to do Q. Did you discuss these amendments with 25 25 anyone else at the GPA? with the fact that when I read this, this for me Page 121 Page 123 1 A. The amendments? No. I don't think we 1 was a mention of that, or at least an allusion 2 had time and I didn't want to circulate this 2 to that. And, as I said, I was still hoping that 3 3 via email for it to wait a long time. Mr McGrail would provide us with more 4 Q. If we look at 4284 ... well, just to pick 4 information. Um, it never crossed my mind 5 5 you up, why did you think that you did not that, any of our minds, that we could actually 6 have time? 6 summon Mr Pyle or summon the Chief 7 7 A. I think at some point I was told that this Minister to ask, so we thought, well, maybe 8 8 had to happen, I'm not sure, but this had to Mr McGrail can ask. But that part I think is 9 9 happen before the new Governor, um, came as much as I could say because it's 10 10 in. But I wasn't given the reasons and, to be an allegation. 11 Q. Did you not think it would have been 11 honest, I didn't ask. I always assumed that it 12 was because they simply needed to do it. But 12 useful to Mr McGrail to have a bit more 13 13 detail as to the alleged misleading or lie that I did feel pressured to do it. 14 Q. Who told you that it should be done 14 was such an important factor in the Chief 15 15 before the new Governor arrived? Minister's loss of confidence? 16 16 A. I think Mr Pyle was keen on this A. I was convinced that Mr McGrail knew. 17 17 happening before Sir David took over. But it doesn't mean that he did know. I was 18 Q. Did you know whether Sir David was 18 convinced that Mr McGrail knew because it 19 19 being kept informed? was, from what he said, it was down to 20 A. No. 20 a conversation that he had had with the Chief 2.1 21 Q. Just going back to this page 4284, the Minister. 22 22 Q. In your view, did the letter provide third page of the letter, you say: 23 23 "Additionally the Authority is informed that sufficient information for him to understand 24 the Attorney General has also expressed the 24 the criticism being made against him and to 25 same feelings." 25 be able to respond to it? Page 122 Page 124

A. I wasn't sure, but when we got the 1 yes. I've got to be honest. I don't know how, 2 2 representations everything was covered. So 3 he must have had enough. But I don't know. 3 Q. Did the letter negatively impact your 4 4 But clearly Mr McGrail had enough view of Mr McGrail in any way? 5 information, also Mr Llamas did, because of 5 A. My personal view? No. 6 6 Q. You will have seen the responses of the the letter that he sent us, which covered 7 7 Chief Minister, the Attorney General and everything. 8 Q. You refer to that letter. The letter argued 8 Mr Pyle, all of which said that the contents of 9 9 that the incident at sea and the HMIC report the letter had served to cement or deepen 10 10 were fig leaves for the real reason that their loss of confidence. Did it cement or 11 11 deepen your loss of confidence or your Mr McGrail was being invited to retire 12 Operation Delhi. Did you agree with that 12 decision to invite him to retire? 13 argument? 13 A. Again, it's difficult to recall my feelings 14 14 A. It made me think. It made me think, but I and my thoughts four years ago. But I 15 15 didn't necessarily believe it. But it still made remember the first time I read the letter was, 16 16 me think. Um, but again, it's difficult, for me you know, I felt that these things just don't 17 17 personally it's almost impossible to happen in Gibraltar. I found it hard to 18 remember what I used to think back then and 18 believe. I'm being very honest here. I really 19 19 what I think now. Um, but I ... I'm not sure did find it hard to believe that so much could 20 20 ... I can't remember how I reacted to that. All be happening at the same time. And I was 21 I know is that when we got Mr Gomez's 21 particularly alarmed, and I really can't recall 22 22 letter I was pretty certain that Mr Gomez, the section, at a section which said that, Joey 23 23 well, Mr Gomez, Mr McGrail, certainly or Mr Britto, you should get somebody 24 24 knew what it was that I was talking about. outside of Gibraltar to support you because 25 25 Q. And having received that letter were you most people here would be conflicted or Page 125 Page 127 1 still of the view that Mr McGrail should be 1 involved or ... I might have made that ... 2 invited to retire? 2 misinterpreted that. Um, but I'm sure in 3 3 A. Put it this way, I paid a lot of attention to Mr Gomez's letter at some point it says that 4 Mr Gomez's letter. I read it many times and 4 you should get somebody from outside of 5 5 passed it over to Mr Neish for his views. Gibraltar to look at this. I can't remember 6 And I think that what happened afterwards is 6 where, but I'm pretty certain that I read that 7 7 nobody in the GPA said, "No, no, we somewhere. 8 8 shouldn't." Q. Can we go to C4654, please? 9 Q Q. At C4504 is the end of the letter and, THE CHAIRMAN: Carry on. Sorry, I 10 10 having set out the arguments, the letter states thought you were going to suggest breaking. 11 11 MR SANTOS: Well, at some stage I was at paragraph 77: 12 "For the reasons stated above there is no 12 going to suggest that I probably have about 13 possible valid reason for the GPA to exercise 13 15 minutes left and I would ask to intrude on, 14 its section 34 power to remove Mr McGrail 14 perhaps intrude on the lunch break to that from office. The only rational decision for 15 15 extent, either now or after --16 16 the GPA to reach is to dismiss the request of THE CHAIRMAN: Carry on. 17 17 the Governor and the Chief Minister. In any MR SANTOS: Thank you. Yes, it is C4654, 18 18 event the defects in this process are already thank you. This is the meeting, the minutes 19 19 so flagrant that it would not be possible to of the meeting, of Monday, 1 June at 9.30, 20 20 where you need the next working day to reach a just result unless it is to allow 21 21 Mr McGrail to remain in post." discuss the letter received from Gomez and 22 22 Did you think that there was any prospect of Co. The minutes records that, at the bottom, 23 23 Mr McGrail remaining in post at this stage? sorry, item 4: 24 24 A. Yes. If I'm honest, at that stage, well, at "On the question of potential conflicts, other 25 that stage, after talking to the GPA lawyers, 25 potential conflicts of interest among Page 126 Page 128

1 1			
1	Authority members were discussed and	1	the opportunity to comment on that. Do you
2	a unanimous view expressed that no such	2	accept now that you did refer to the lie but
3	conflicts exist."	3	potentially you did not refer to the
4	Who was discussed as having potential	4	investigation itself?
5	conflicts from your recollection?	5	A. I must have. I really at that stage I
6	A. I think Mr Gomez mentioned the Chief	6	couldn't remember, but yes, I possibly did.
7	Secretary, at that moment in time,	7	Q. But I think to be fair to you as well, there
8	Mr Gomez, sorry, I keep talking about	8	is a reference to refuting that the chair
9	Mr Gomez, Mr McGrail, I think in	9	introduced the issue of the investigation.
10	Mr McGrail's letter sent to us by Mr Gomez,	10	Was your position perhaps that it was in fact
11	I think most people, anybody working for	11	Mr McGrail who had introduced the issue of
12	Hassans. Clearly he didn't know that	12	the investigation rather than you?
13	Mr Pyle wasn't participating, so he	13	A. I think that's what I was getting at, that it
14	mentioned Mr Pyle. Um, and possibly even	14	was always Mr McGrail trying to lead the
15	the Chief Secretary. I can't remember. We	15	conversation back to, "This is because of the
16	felt if we keep removing people I'm going to	16	investigation, this is because of the
17	be on my own. Um, but that's what that	17	investigation." Whereas I was more
18	refers to. I think it is a reference to what,	18	concerned about, rather than the
19	from Mr McGrail's or Mr Gomez's letter.	19	investigation, about the potential allegations
20	Q. If we read paragraph 5, you say:	20	made against him about not saying the truth,
21	"It was also agreed that not only does the	21	I suppose, or allegedly. Allegedly, always
22	Authority categorically via GPA's legal	22	allegedly.
23	representatives refute that the chair	23	Q. Can we look at B1445, please? This is
24	introduced the issue of the investigation into	24	B1445, yes, there is an entry for 2 June. The
25	his conversation with Mr McGrail, but also	25	Deputy Governor sends round some media
	Dags 120		Docc 121
	Page 129		Page 131
1	that he should have subsequently opted to	1	and then the Chief Minister responds,
2	admit it in his letter for the surreptitious	2	midday:
3	reason alleged by Mr McGrail's lawyer. This	3	"AG and Joey, works for me. I will check
4	is considered by the Authority to be a very	4	with the others. Thanks."
4 5	is considered by the Authority to be a very serious allegation by the lawyer and	5	with the others. Thanks." It looks from those messages as if there may
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
5	serious allegation by the lawyer and	5	It looks from those messages as if there may
5 6	serious allegation by the lawyer and a potential misrepresentation of what actually	5 6	It looks from those messages as if there may have been a meeting on 2 June between you,
5 6 7	serious allegation by the lawyer and a potential misrepresentation of what actually transpires."	5 6 7	It looks from those messages as if there may have been a meeting on 2 June between you, the Attorney General, the Chief Minister and
5 6 7 8	serious allegation by the lawyer and a potential misrepresentation of what actually transpires." Why did you consider that to be	5 6 7 8	It looks from those messages as if there may have been a meeting on 2 June between you, the Attorney General, the Chief Minister and Mr Pyle. Did that meeting take place?
5 6 7 8 9	serious allegation by the lawyer and a potential misrepresentation of what actually transpires." Why did you consider that to be a misrepresentation?	5 6 7 8 9	It looks from those messages as if there may have been a meeting on 2 June between you, the Attorney General, the Chief Minister and Mr Pyle. Did that meeting take place? A. I really can't recall if that's the meeting, if
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	serious allegation by the lawyer and a potential misrepresentation of what actually transpires." Why did you consider that to be a misrepresentation? A. Because for us simply that they had lost	5 6 7 8 9 10	It looks from those messages as if there may have been a meeting on 2 June between you, the Attorney General, the Chief Minister and Mr Pyle. Did that meeting take place? A. I really can't recall if that's the meeting, if it did take place, where I said that I need
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	serious allegation by the lawyer and a potential misrepresentation of what actually transpires." Why did you consider that to be a misrepresentation? A. Because for us simply that they had lost confidence in Mr McGrail and it had nothing	5 6 7 8 9 10 11	It looks from those messages as if there may have been a meeting on 2 June between you, the Attorney General, the Chief Minister and Mr Pyle. Did that meeting take place? A. I really can't recall if that's the meeting, if it did take place, where I said that I need legal representation, or it was the previous
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	serious allegation by the lawyer and a potential misrepresentation of what actually transpires." Why did you consider that to be a misrepresentation? A. Because for us simply that they had lost confidence in Mr McGrail and it had nothing to do with at that stage we didn't even	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	It looks from those messages as if there may have been a meeting on 2 June between you, the Attorney General, the Chief Minister and Mr Pyle. Did that meeting take place? A. I really can't recall if that's the meeting, if it did take place, where I said that I need legal representation, or it was the previous meeting. I really am completely unclear on
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	serious allegation by the lawyer and a potential misrepresentation of what actually transpires." Why did you consider that to be a misrepresentation? A. Because for us simply that they had lost confidence in Mr McGrail and it had nothing to do with at that stage we didn't even think about that. It wasn't to do with the investigation. Q. So as far as you are concerned, you say	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	It looks from those messages as if there may have been a meeting on 2 June between you, the Attorney General, the Chief Minister and Mr Pyle. Did that meeting take place? A. I really can't recall if that's the meeting, if it did take place, where I said that I need legal representation, or it was the previous meeting. I really am completely unclear on this. I can't remember meeting the AG
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	serious allegation by the lawyer and a potential misrepresentation of what actually transpires." Why did you consider that to be a misrepresentation? A. Because for us simply that they had lost confidence in Mr McGrail and it had nothing to do with at that stage we didn't even think about that. It wasn't to do with the investigation. Q. So as far as you are concerned, you say that you did not introduce the issue of the	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	It looks from those messages as if there may have been a meeting on 2 June between you, the Attorney General, the Chief Minister and Mr Pyle. Did that meeting take place? A. I really can't recall if that's the meeting, if it did take place, where I said that I need legal representation, or it was the previous meeting. I really am completely unclear on this. I can't remember meeting the AG personally. Q. But you remember a meeting with the Deputy Governor and the Chief Minister
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	serious allegation by the lawyer and a potential misrepresentation of what actually transpires." Why did you consider that to be a misrepresentation? A. Because for us simply that they had lost confidence in Mr McGrail and it had nothing to do with at that stage we didn't even think about that. It wasn't to do with the investigation. Q. So as far as you are concerned, you say	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	It looks from those messages as if there may have been a meeting on 2 June between you, the Attorney General, the Chief Minister and Mr Pyle. Did that meeting take place? A. I really can't recall if that's the meeting, if it did take place, where I said that I need legal representation, or it was the previous meeting. I really am completely unclear on this. I can't remember meeting the AG personally. Q. But you remember a meeting with the Deputy Governor and the Chief Minister where you sought legal advice? Or was it
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	serious allegation by the lawyer and a potential misrepresentation of what actually transpires." Why did you consider that to be a misrepresentation? A. Because for us simply that they had lost confidence in Mr McGrail and it had nothing to do with at that stage we didn't even think about that. It wasn't to do with the investigation. Q. So as far as you are concerned, you say that you did not introduce the issue of the	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	It looks from those messages as if there may have been a meeting on 2 June between you, the Attorney General, the Chief Minister and Mr Pyle. Did that meeting take place? A. I really can't recall if that's the meeting, if it did take place, where I said that I need legal representation, or it was the previous meeting. I really am completely unclear on this. I can't remember meeting the AG personally. Q. But you remember a meeting with the Deputy Governor and the Chief Minister
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	serious allegation by the lawyer and a potential misrepresentation of what actually transpires." Why did you consider that to be a misrepresentation? A. Because for us simply that they had lost confidence in Mr McGrail and it had nothing to do with at that stage we didn't even think about that. It wasn't to do with the investigation. Q. So as far as you are concerned, you say that you did not introduce the issue of the investigation into your conversation with Mr McGrail. A. Not at that time. I mean, maybe going	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	It looks from those messages as if there may have been a meeting on 2 June between you, the Attorney General, the Chief Minister and Mr Pyle. Did that meeting take place? A. I really can't recall if that's the meeting, if it did take place, where I said that I need legal representation, or it was the previous meeting. I really am completely unclear on this. I can't remember meeting the AG personally. Q. But you remember a meeting with the Deputy Governor and the Chief Minister where you sought legal advice? Or was it just to the Chief Minister? A. I don't remember if the Deputy Governor
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	serious allegation by the lawyer and a potential misrepresentation of what actually transpires." Why did you consider that to be a misrepresentation? A. Because for us simply that they had lost confidence in Mr McGrail and it had nothing to do with at that stage we didn't even think about that. It wasn't to do with the investigation. Q. So as far as you are concerned, you say that you did not introduce the issue of the investigation into your conversation with Mr McGrail. A. Not at that time. I mean, maybe going through a transcript, I'm not sure if I did or	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	It looks from those messages as if there may have been a meeting on 2 June between you, the Attorney General, the Chief Minister and Mr Pyle. Did that meeting take place? A. I really can't recall if that's the meeting, if it did take place, where I said that I need legal representation, or it was the previous meeting. I really am completely unclear on this. I can't remember meeting the AG personally. Q. But you remember a meeting with the Deputy Governor and the Chief Minister where you sought legal advice? Or was it just to the Chief Minister? A. I don't remember if the Deputy Governor was there. I remember at some point it could
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	serious allegation by the lawyer and a potential misrepresentation of what actually transpires." Why did you consider that to be a misrepresentation? A. Because for us simply that they had lost confidence in Mr McGrail and it had nothing to do with at that stage we didn't even think about that. It wasn't to do with the investigation. Q. So as far as you are concerned, you say that you did not introduce the issue of the investigation into your conversation with Mr McGrail. A. Not at that time. I mean, maybe going through a transcript, I'm not sure if I did or Mr McGrail did.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	It looks from those messages as if there may have been a meeting on 2 June between you, the Attorney General, the Chief Minister and Mr Pyle. Did that meeting take place? A. I really can't recall if that's the meeting, if it did take place, where I said that I need legal representation, or it was the previous meeting. I really am completely unclear on this. I can't remember meeting the AG personally. Q. But you remember a meeting with the Deputy Governor and the Chief Minister where you sought legal advice? Or was it just to the Chief Minister? A. I don't remember if the Deputy Governor was there. I remember at some point it could have been, I don't think it was as late as 2
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	serious allegation by the lawyer and a potential misrepresentation of what actually transpires." Why did you consider that to be a misrepresentation? A. Because for us simply that they had lost confidence in Mr McGrail and it had nothing to do with at that stage we didn't even think about that. It wasn't to do with the investigation. Q. So as far as you are concerned, you say that you did not introduce the issue of the investigation into your conversation with Mr McGrail. A. Not at that time. I mean, maybe going through a transcript, I'm not sure if I did or Mr McGrail did. Q. I was going to refer to the part at the end	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	It looks from those messages as if there may have been a meeting on 2 June between you, the Attorney General, the Chief Minister and Mr Pyle. Did that meeting take place? A. I really can't recall if that's the meeting, if it did take place, where I said that I need legal representation, or it was the previous meeting. I really am completely unclear on this. I can't remember meeting the AG personally. Q. But you remember a meeting with the Deputy Governor and the Chief Minister where you sought legal advice? Or was it just to the Chief Minister? A. I don't remember if the Deputy Governor was there. I remember at some point it could have been, I don't think it was as late as 2 June, but it may well have been, that I said,
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	serious allegation by the lawyer and a potential misrepresentation of what actually transpires." Why did you consider that to be a misrepresentation? A. Because for us simply that they had lost confidence in Mr McGrail and it had nothing to do with at that stage we didn't even think about that. It wasn't to do with the investigation. Q. So as far as you are concerned, you say that you did not introduce the issue of the investigation into your conversation with Mr McGrail. A. Not at that time. I mean, maybe going through a transcript, I'm not sure if I did or Mr McGrail did. Q. I was going to refer to the part at the end when you talk about lying to the Chief	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	It looks from those messages as if there may have been a meeting on 2 June between you, the Attorney General, the Chief Minister and Mr Pyle. Did that meeting take place? A. I really can't recall if that's the meeting, if it did take place, where I said that I need legal representation, or it was the previous meeting. I really am completely unclear on this. I can't remember meeting the AG personally. Q. But you remember a meeting with the Deputy Governor and the Chief Minister where you sought legal advice? Or was it just to the Chief Minister? A. I don't remember if the Deputy Governor was there. I remember at some point it could have been, I don't think it was as late as 2 June, but it may well have been, that I said, "Look, who is going to represent us?"
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	serious allegation by the lawyer and a potential misrepresentation of what actually transpires." Why did you consider that to be a misrepresentation? A. Because for us simply that they had lost confidence in Mr McGrail and it had nothing to do with at that stage we didn't even think about that. It wasn't to do with the investigation. Q. So as far as you are concerned, you say that you did not introduce the issue of the investigation into your conversation with Mr McGrail. A. Not at that time. I mean, maybe going through a transcript, I'm not sure if I did or Mr McGrail did. Q. I was going to refer to the part at the end when you talk about lying to the Chief Minister. Admittedly, you do not refer to the	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	It looks from those messages as if there may have been a meeting on 2 June between you, the Attorney General, the Chief Minister and Mr Pyle. Did that meeting take place? A. I really can't recall if that's the meeting, if it did take place, where I said that I need legal representation, or it was the previous meeting. I really am completely unclear on this. I can't remember meeting the AG personally. Q. But you remember a meeting with the Deputy Governor and the Chief Minister where you sought legal advice? Or was it just to the Chief Minister? A. I don't remember if the Deputy Governor was there. I remember at some point it could have been, I don't think it was as late as 2 June, but it may well have been, that I said, "Look, who is going to represent us?" Q. If we go to C4725, this is an email from
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	serious allegation by the lawyer and a potential misrepresentation of what actually transpires." Why did you consider that to be a misrepresentation? A. Because for us simply that they had lost confidence in Mr McGrail and it had nothing to do with at that stage we didn't even think about that. It wasn't to do with the investigation. Q. So as far as you are concerned, you say that you did not introduce the issue of the investigation into your conversation with Mr McGrail. A. Not at that time. I mean, maybe going through a transcript, I'm not sure if I did or Mr McGrail did. Q. I was going to refer to the part at the end when you talk about lying to the Chief	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	It looks from those messages as if there may have been a meeting on 2 June between you, the Attorney General, the Chief Minister and Mr Pyle. Did that meeting take place? A. I really can't recall if that's the meeting, if it did take place, where I said that I need legal representation, or it was the previous meeting. I really am completely unclear on this. I can't remember meeting the AG personally. Q. But you remember a meeting with the Deputy Governor and the Chief Minister where you sought legal advice? Or was it just to the Chief Minister? A. I don't remember if the Deputy Governor was there. I remember at some point it could have been, I don't think it was as late as 2 June, but it may well have been, that I said, "Look, who is going to represent us?"
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	serious allegation by the lawyer and a potential misrepresentation of what actually transpires." Why did you consider that to be a misrepresentation? A. Because for us simply that they had lost confidence in Mr McGrail and it had nothing to do with at that stage we didn't even think about that. It wasn't to do with the investigation. Q. So as far as you are concerned, you say that you did not introduce the issue of the investigation into your conversation with Mr McGrail. A. Not at that time. I mean, maybe going through a transcript, I'm not sure if I did or Mr McGrail did. Q. I was going to refer to the part at the end when you talk about lying to the Chief Minister. Admittedly, you do not refer to the investigation, but I just wanted to give you	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	It looks from those messages as if there may have been a meeting on 2 June between you, the Attorney General, the Chief Minister and Mr Pyle. Did that meeting take place? A. I really can't recall if that's the meeting, if it did take place, where I said that I need legal representation, or it was the previous meeting. I really am completely unclear on this. I can't remember meeting the AG personally. Q. But you remember a meeting with the Deputy Governor and the Chief Minister where you sought legal advice? Or was it just to the Chief Minister? A. I don't remember if the Deputy Governor was there. I remember at some point it could have been, I don't think it was as late as 2 June, but it may well have been, that I said, "Look, who is going to represent us?" Q. If we go to C4725, this is an email from Mr Pyle on 5 June, on the morning of 5 June,
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	serious allegation by the lawyer and a potential misrepresentation of what actually transpires." Why did you consider that to be a misrepresentation? A. Because for us simply that they had lost confidence in Mr McGrail and it had nothing to do with at that stage we didn't even think about that. It wasn't to do with the investigation. Q. So as far as you are concerned, you say that you did not introduce the issue of the investigation into your conversation with Mr McGrail. A. Not at that time. I mean, maybe going through a transcript, I'm not sure if I did or Mr McGrail did. Q. I was going to refer to the part at the end when you talk about lying to the Chief Minister. Admittedly, you do not refer to the	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	It looks from those messages as if there may have been a meeting on 2 June between you, the Attorney General, the Chief Minister and Mr Pyle. Did that meeting take place? A. I really can't recall if that's the meeting, if it did take place, where I said that I need legal representation, or it was the previous meeting. I really am completely unclear on this. I can't remember meeting the AG personally. Q. But you remember a meeting with the Deputy Governor and the Chief Minister where you sought legal advice? Or was it just to the Chief Minister? A. I don't remember if the Deputy Governor was there. I remember at some point it could have been, I don't think it was as late as 2 June, but it may well have been, that I said, "Look, who is going to represent us?" Q. If we go to C4725, this is an email from

1 where he says: 1 your letter of 5 June. But we will move on to 2 2 "As discussed yesterday, things have taken 1420. There is an exchange on 5 June at 3 a turn for the worst. The AG told me 3 4 4 "Any news from GPA? No. FFS. They yesterday that the Gibraltar Police Authority 5 meeting that was held last week was not 5 started meeting at midday. Okay." 6 quorate so deliberations are therefore null 6 So the Attorney General seems to be aware 7 7 and void and the chair of the GPA should that there was a meeting starting at midday. 8 8 Do you know how the Attorney General -therefore not have cause for the 9 9 Commissioner of Police to resign. I should A. No. 10 10 get a letter this morning from the chair Q. -- was aware of this? 11 informing me of this. The AG advised there 11 A. No. At all. 12 is no point in looking to appoint new GPA 12 Q. Were you in communication with the 13 members as they are chosen by the Public 13 Attorney General at this point? 14 14 Service Commission from a list proposed by A. No. I think the only communication was 15 15 the CM and I. They are then appointed by when he asked me for copies of what I had 16 16 the Governor. Any new GPA members sent at some point, but no. 17 17 would therefore be likely perceived as Q. But at some point there was a decision, 18 biased. This leaves section 13 as the only 18 you say that the Attorney General may have 19 19 way forward." been involved in instructing Mr Neish. 20 20 So the AG on 4 June, because this is a 5 THE CHAIRMAN: Recommending 21 June, morning of 5 June, email reporting on 21 Mr Neish. 22 22 a conversation with the AG the previous day, A. Recommending. 23 23 the AG appears to have reported to the MR SANTOS: Recommending Mr Neish. 24 24 A. Yes. Um, I really can't recall when Deputy Governor the legal advice that the 25 25 GPA had received as to the meeting being exactly. I can't recall the sequence of events. Page 133 Page 135 1 quorate ... not being quorate. Do you know 1 But definitely, I mean, I really can't 2 how the Attorney General knew that by 4 2 remember talking to Mr Llamas about any of 3 3 June? 4 A. I'm not sure. By 4 June? 4 Q. Did you talk to him about instructing 5 5 Q. I ask you this because the letter in which Mr Neish or did the Chief Minister talk to --6 you indicate that you are withdrawing the 6 A. I think the Chief Minister. I'm pretty 7 7 section 34 process -positive about that. 8 A. Yes. 8 Q. If we go to 4770, this is the letter from 9 9 Q. -- is dated 5 June. But this is an email of the Police Authority dated 5 June, which sets 10 10 5 June referring to the Attorney General out the process and just focusing on the 11 saying the previous day that the meeting was 11 paragraph starting on 29 May 2020, it says: 12 not quorate. 12 "Charles Gomez & Company wrote to the 13 13 Authority attacking the process on the A. I really don't know. That the meeting 14 was not ... no, I don't know. I thought the 14 grounds of fundamental unfairness, abuse of 15 15 first that the AG found out was when we process and procedural flaws. Bias was not 16 16 wrote saying -expressly alleged but it was impliedly so, 17 Q. Yes. 17 given that the Authority was accused of 18 18 A. -- we cannot proceed with this. effectively doing what you and the Governor 19 19 Q. It is just I will ask you to note that this is wanted and reaching a decision without 20 an email of 9.54 on 5 June and Mr Pyle is 20 considering representations by the 21 21 reporting on a conversation with the Attorney Commissioner." 22 22 Is it fair, did you accept by this stage that General on the previous day, 4 June. He 23 23 says: "The AG told me yesterday." If we criticism? 24 could go to B14 ... we will move on and 24 A. I think I began to accept it when I first 25 perhaps we can revisit this when we look at 25 read Mr Gomez's letter, but I had Page 134 Page 136

1	assumption absolutely	1	I know is that we all agreed to withdraw and
2	corroboration, absolutely. Q. Did the Chief Minister or Mr Pyle	2	if they wanted to ask us to step down we
3	influence your decision, do you believe?	3	would all, well, I certainly would, step down
4	A. Influence in withdrawing?	4	and they could reconstitute the GPA with
5	Q. No, in inviting.	5	other people. Um, I didn't the only reason
6	A. In inviting the Commissioner	6	that we kept the process of the complaints is
7	Q. The retirement, yes.	7	because there were complaints about him.
8	A. I think so.	8	But we felt that we couldn't look into them,
9	Q. Then if we go to the next paragraph:	9	not because of the fundamental flaws, and
10	"We have taken independent legal advice and	10	the first one being that the way I achieved
11	have been advised that the process which we	11	quality wasn't the right way.
12	have adopted under section 34 of the Police	12	Q. Can we go to C4742, please? This is the
13	Act is fundamentally flawed and should be	13	TSN's letter to Gomez & Co notifying
14	withdrawn. However, we are also advised	14	Gomez & Co of the same position,
15	that the complaints by you and the Governor	15	acknowledging certain procedural errors.
16	remain live and have to be determined. We	16	When it refers to procedural errors, were you
17	have also been advised that the Authority as	17	referring to the lack of a quorum?
18	presently constituted and vulnerable to	18	A. Everything, I think.
19	challenge on the grounds of bias. The	19	Q. Just to check, would that also include the
20	Authority considers that as presently	20	sequencing of the events?
21	constituted it is unable to process the	21	A. Yes.
22	complaints without being vulnerable to legal	22	Q. Would it include a failure to take notes of
23	challenge and is not therefore prepared to do	23	the meeting?
24	So."	24 25	A. I think everything, but which with hindsight I would have done completely
25	We have seen that in Gomez & Co's letter	23	minusignt I would have done completely
	Page 137		Page 139
1	was suggesting that it could be remedied by	1	different.
1 2	was suggesting that it could be remedied by taking a fully independent decision and in	1 2	different. Q. Of course.
	taking a fully independent decision and in fact concluding that Mr McGrail should not	l	Q. Of course.A. Completely differently.
2	taking a fully independent decision and in fact concluding that Mr McGrail should not be removed from office, a decision by the	2 3 4	Q. Of course.A. Completely differently.Q. And the Chief Minister and Mr Pyle's
2 3 4 5	taking a fully independent decision and in fact concluding that Mr McGrail should not be removed from office, a decision by the full GPA as an authority. Was it not open to	2 3 4 5	Q. Of course.A. Completely differently.Q. And the Chief Minister and Mr Pyle's role in the process, would that come within
2 3 4 5 6	taking a fully independent decision and in fact concluding that Mr McGrail should not be removed from office, a decision by the full GPA as an authority. Was it not open to you to go down this route and take a fully	2 3 4 5 6	Q. Of course.A. Completely differently.Q. And the Chief Minister and Mr Pyle's role in the process, would that come within the procedural errors?
2 3 4 5 6 7	taking a fully independent decision and in fact concluding that Mr McGrail should not be removed from office, a decision by the full GPA as an authority. Was it not open to you to go down this route and take a fully independent decision?	2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q. Of course. A. Completely differently. Q. And the Chief Minister and Mr Pyle's role in the process, would that come within the procedural errors? A. This is my view. I think so. I would say
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	taking a fully independent decision and in fact concluding that Mr McGrail should not be removed from office, a decision by the full GPA as an authority. Was it not open to you to go down this route and take a fully independent decision? A. We felt that we were in no position to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. Of course. A. Completely differently. Q. And the Chief Minister and Mr Pyle's role in the process, would that come within the procedural errors? A. This is my view. I think so. I would say so.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	taking a fully independent decision and in fact concluding that Mr McGrail should not be removed from office, a decision by the full GPA as an authority. Was it not open to you to go down this route and take a fully independent decision? A. We felt that we were in no position to take any more decisions because of the flaws	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. Of course. A. Completely differently. Q. And the Chief Minister and Mr Pyle's role in the process, would that come within the procedural errors? A. This is my view. I think so. I would say so. Q. And then the letter refers to:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	taking a fully independent decision and in fact concluding that Mr McGrail should not be removed from office, a decision by the full GPA as an authority. Was it not open to you to go down this route and take a fully independent decision? A. We felt that we were in no position to take any more decisions because of the flaws that Mr Neish pointed out as well. And	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. Of course. A. Completely differently. Q. And the Chief Minister and Mr Pyle's role in the process, would that come within the procedural errors? A. This is my view. I think so. I would say so. Q. And then the letter refers to: "The complaints made against your client by
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	taking a fully independent decision and in fact concluding that Mr McGrail should not be removed from office, a decision by the full GPA as an authority. Was it not open to you to go down this route and take a fully independent decision? A. We felt that we were in no position to take any more decisions because of the flaws that Mr Neish pointed out as well. And based on what we had read.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q. Of course. A. Completely differently. Q. And the Chief Minister and Mr Pyle's role in the process, would that come within the procedural errors? A. This is my view. I think so. I would say so. Q. And then the letter refers to: "The complaints made against your client by the Governor and the Chief Minister
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	taking a fully independent decision and in fact concluding that Mr McGrail should not be removed from office, a decision by the full GPA as an authority. Was it not open to you to go down this route and take a fully independent decision? A. We felt that we were in no position to take any more decisions because of the flaws that Mr Neish pointed out as well. And based on what we had read. Q. You have already said that you were	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q. Of course. A. Completely differently. Q. And the Chief Minister and Mr Pyle's role in the process, would that come within the procedural errors? A. This is my view. I think so. I would say so. Q. And then the letter refers to: "The complaints made against your client by the Governor and the Chief Minister remaining live and our clients will consider
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	taking a fully independent decision and in fact concluding that Mr McGrail should not be removed from office, a decision by the full GPA as an authority. Was it not open to you to go down this route and take a fully independent decision? A. We felt that we were in no position to take any more decisions because of the flaws that Mr Neish pointed out as well. And based on what we had read. Q. You have already said that you were aware of the Governor's intention or possible	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. Of course. A. Completely differently. Q. And the Chief Minister and Mr Pyle's role in the process, would that come within the procedural errors? A. This is my view. I think so. I would say so. Q. And then the letter refers to: "The complaints made against your client by the Governor and the Chief Minister remaining live and our clients will consider how it will proceed in respect of them."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	taking a fully independent decision and in fact concluding that Mr McGrail should not be removed from office, a decision by the full GPA as an authority. Was it not open to you to go down this route and take a fully independent decision? A. We felt that we were in no position to take any more decisions because of the flaws that Mr Neish pointed out as well. And based on what we had read. Q. You have already said that you were aware of the Governor's intention or possible intention to use section 13 if you did not go	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. Of course. A. Completely differently. Q. And the Chief Minister and Mr Pyle's role in the process, would that come within the procedural errors? A. This is my view. I think so. I would say so. Q. And then the letter refers to: "The complaints made against your client by the Governor and the Chief Minister remaining live and our clients will consider how it will proceed in respect of them." Did the GPA consider or get to consider how
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	taking a fully independent decision and in fact concluding that Mr McGrail should not be removed from office, a decision by the full GPA as an authority. Was it not open to you to go down this route and take a fully independent decision? A. We felt that we were in no position to take any more decisions because of the flaws that Mr Neish pointed out as well. And based on what we had read. Q. You have already said that you were aware of the Governor's intention or possible intention to use section 13 if you did not go through with the section 34 process. Was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. Of course. A. Completely differently. Q. And the Chief Minister and Mr Pyle's role in the process, would that come within the procedural errors? A. This is my view. I think so. I would say so. Q. And then the letter refers to: "The complaints made against your client by the Governor and the Chief Minister remaining live and our clients will consider how it will proceed in respect of them." Did the GPA consider or get to consider how to proceed in respect of those live
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	taking a fully independent decision and in fact concluding that Mr McGrail should not be removed from office, a decision by the full GPA as an authority. Was it not open to you to go down this route and take a fully independent decision? A. We felt that we were in no position to take any more decisions because of the flaws that Mr Neish pointed out as well. And based on what we had read. Q. You have already said that you were aware of the Governor's intention or possible intention to use section 13 if you did not go through with the section 34 process. Was your understanding upon sending that letter	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. Of course. A. Completely differently. Q. And the Chief Minister and Mr Pyle's role in the process, would that come within the procedural errors? A. This is my view. I think so. I would say so. Q. And then the letter refers to: "The complaints made against your client by the Governor and the Chief Minister remaining live and our clients will consider how it will proceed in respect of them." Did the GPA consider or get to consider how to proceed in respect of those live complaints?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	taking a fully independent decision and in fact concluding that Mr McGrail should not be removed from office, a decision by the full GPA as an authority. Was it not open to you to go down this route and take a fully independent decision? A. We felt that we were in no position to take any more decisions because of the flaws that Mr Neish pointed out as well. And based on what we had read. Q. You have already said that you were aware of the Governor's intention or possible intention to use section 13 if you did not go through with the section 34 process. Was your understanding upon sending that letter that you were effectively activating section	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. Of course. A. Completely differently. Q. And the Chief Minister and Mr Pyle's role in the process, would that come within the procedural errors? A. This is my view. I think so. I would say so. Q. And then the letter refers to: "The complaints made against your client by the Governor and the Chief Minister remaining live and our clients will consider how it will proceed in respect of them." Did the GPA consider or get to consider how to proceed in respect of those live complaints? A. We didn't have time because after this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	taking a fully independent decision and in fact concluding that Mr McGrail should not be removed from office, a decision by the full GPA as an authority. Was it not open to you to go down this route and take a fully independent decision? A. We felt that we were in no position to take any more decisions because of the flaws that Mr Neish pointed out as well. And based on what we had read. Q. You have already said that you were aware of the Governor's intention or possible intention to use section 13 if you did not go through with the section 34 process. Was your understanding upon sending that letter	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. Of course. A. Completely differently. Q. And the Chief Minister and Mr Pyle's role in the process, would that come within the procedural errors? A. This is my view. I think so. I would say so. Q. And then the letter refers to: "The complaints made against your client by the Governor and the Chief Minister remaining live and our clients will consider how it will proceed in respect of them." Did the GPA consider or get to consider how to proceed in respect of those live complaints?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	taking a fully independent decision and in fact concluding that Mr McGrail should not be removed from office, a decision by the full GPA as an authority. Was it not open to you to go down this route and take a fully independent decision? A. We felt that we were in no position to take any more decisions because of the flaws that Mr Neish pointed out as well. And based on what we had read. Q. You have already said that you were aware of the Governor's intention or possible intention to use section 13 if you did not go through with the section 34 process. Was your understanding upon sending that letter that you were effectively activating section 13 or giving him the option to exercise the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. Of course. A. Completely differently. Q. And the Chief Minister and Mr Pyle's role in the process, would that come within the procedural errors? A. This is my view. I think so. I would say so. Q. And then the letter refers to: "The complaints made against your client by the Governor and the Chief Minister remaining live and our clients will consider how it will proceed in respect of them." Did the GPA consider or get to consider how to proceed in respect of those live complaints? A. We didn't have time because after this letter was sent, the next we heard was that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	taking a fully independent decision and in fact concluding that Mr McGrail should not be removed from office, a decision by the full GPA as an authority. Was it not open to you to go down this route and take a fully independent decision? A. We felt that we were in no position to take any more decisions because of the flaws that Mr Neish pointed out as well. And based on what we had read. Q. You have already said that you were aware of the Governor's intention or possible intention to use section 13 if you did not go through with the section 34 process. Was your understanding upon sending that letter that you were effectively activating section 13 or giving him the option to exercise the power in section 13?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. Of course. A. Completely differently. Q. And the Chief Minister and Mr Pyle's role in the process, would that come within the procedural errors? A. This is my view. I think so. I would say so. Q. And then the letter refers to: "The complaints made against your client by the Governor and the Chief Minister remaining live and our clients will consider how it will proceed in respect of them." Did the GPA consider or get to consider how to proceed in respect of those live complaints? A. We didn't have time because after this letter was sent, the next we heard was that Mr McGrail had had a meeting with Mr Pyle
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	taking a fully independent decision and in fact concluding that Mr McGrail should not be removed from office, a decision by the full GPA as an authority. Was it not open to you to go down this route and take a fully independent decision? A. We felt that we were in no position to take any more decisions because of the flaws that Mr Neish pointed out as well. And based on what we had read. Q. You have already said that you were aware of the Governor's intention or possible intention to use section 13 if you did not go through with the section 34 process. Was your understanding upon sending that letter that you were effectively activating section 13 or giving him the option to exercise the power in section 13? A. Not really. Um, not really. I wasn't really thinking along those grounds. I was thinking maybe they will abandon the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. Of course. A. Completely differently. Q. And the Chief Minister and Mr Pyle's role in the process, would that come within the procedural errors? A. This is my view. I think so. I would say so. Q. And then the letter refers to: "The complaints made against your client by the Governor and the Chief Minister remaining live and our clients will consider how it will proceed in respect of them." Did the GPA consider or get to consider how to proceed in respect of those live complaints? A. We didn't have time because after this letter was sent, the next we heard was that Mr McGrail had had a meeting with Mr Pyle and was considering retiring. Q. Did you have any idea as to how you would deal with the complaints?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	taking a fully independent decision and in fact concluding that Mr McGrail should not be removed from office, a decision by the full GPA as an authority. Was it not open to you to go down this route and take a fully independent decision? A. We felt that we were in no position to take any more decisions because of the flaws that Mr Neish pointed out as well. And based on what we had read. Q. You have already said that you were aware of the Governor's intention or possible intention to use section 13 if you did not go through with the section 34 process. Was your understanding upon sending that letter that you were effectively activating section 13 or giving him the option to exercise the power in section 13? A. Not really. Um, not really. I wasn't really thinking along those grounds. I was thinking maybe they will abandon the process and rebuild the GPA and restart	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. Of course. A. Completely differently. Q. And the Chief Minister and Mr Pyle's role in the process, would that come within the procedural errors? A. This is my view. I think so. I would say so. Q. And then the letter refers to: "The complaints made against your client by the Governor and the Chief Minister remaining live and our clients will consider how it will proceed in respect of them." Did the GPA consider or get to consider how to proceed in respect of those live complaints? A. We didn't have time because after this letter was sent, the next we heard was that Mr McGrail had had a meeting with Mr Pyle and was considering retiring. Q. Did you have any idea as to how you would deal with the complaints? A. No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	taking a fully independent decision and in fact concluding that Mr McGrail should not be removed from office, a decision by the full GPA as an authority. Was it not open to you to go down this route and take a fully independent decision? A. We felt that we were in no position to take any more decisions because of the flaws that Mr Neish pointed out as well. And based on what we had read. Q. You have already said that you were aware of the Governor's intention or possible intention to use section 13 if you did not go through with the section 34 process. Was your understanding upon sending that letter that you were effectively activating section 13 or giving him the option to exercise the power in section 13? A. Not really. Um, not really. I wasn't really thinking along those grounds. I was thinking maybe they will abandon the process and rebuild the GPA and restart again, I really didn't know what they	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. Of course. A. Completely differently. Q. And the Chief Minister and Mr Pyle's role in the process, would that come within the procedural errors? A. This is my view. I think so. I would say so. Q. And then the letter refers to: "The complaints made against your client by the Governor and the Chief Minister remaining live and our clients will consider how it will proceed in respect of them." Did the GPA consider or get to consider how to proceed in respect of those live complaints? A. We didn't have time because after this letter was sent, the next we heard was that Mr McGrail had had a meeting with Mr Pyle and was considering retiring. Q. Did you have any idea as to how you would deal with the complaints? A. No. Q. If we go then to C4801, this is an email
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	taking a fully independent decision and in fact concluding that Mr McGrail should not be removed from office, a decision by the full GPA as an authority. Was it not open to you to go down this route and take a fully independent decision? A. We felt that we were in no position to take any more decisions because of the flaws that Mr Neish pointed out as well. And based on what we had read. Q. You have already said that you were aware of the Governor's intention or possible intention to use section 13 if you did not go through with the section 34 process. Was your understanding upon sending that letter that you were effectively activating section 13 or giving him the option to exercise the power in section 13? A. Not really. Um, not really. I wasn't really thinking along those grounds. I was thinking maybe they will abandon the process and rebuild the GPA and restart	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. Of course. A. Completely differently. Q. And the Chief Minister and Mr Pyle's role in the process, would that come within the procedural errors? A. This is my view. I think so. I would say so. Q. And then the letter refers to: "The complaints made against your client by the Governor and the Chief Minister remaining live and our clients will consider how it will proceed in respect of them." Did the GPA consider or get to consider how to proceed in respect of those live complaints? A. We didn't have time because after this letter was sent, the next we heard was that Mr McGrail had had a meeting with Mr Pyle and was considering retiring. Q. Did you have any idea as to how you would deal with the complaints? A. No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	taking a fully independent decision and in fact concluding that Mr McGrail should not be removed from office, a decision by the full GPA as an authority. Was it not open to you to go down this route and take a fully independent decision? A. We felt that we were in no position to take any more decisions because of the flaws that Mr Neish pointed out as well. And based on what we had read. Q. You have already said that you were aware of the Governor's intention or possible intention to use section 13 if you did not go through with the section 34 process. Was your understanding upon sending that letter that you were effectively activating section 13 or giving him the option to exercise the power in section 13? A. Not really. Um, not really. I wasn't really thinking along those grounds. I was thinking maybe they will abandon the process and rebuild the GPA and restart again, I really didn't know what they	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. Of course. A. Completely differently. Q. And the Chief Minister and Mr Pyle's role in the process, would that come within the procedural errors? A. This is my view. I think so. I would say so. Q. And then the letter refers to: "The complaints made against your client by the Governor and the Chief Minister remaining live and our clients will consider how it will proceed in respect of them." Did the GPA consider or get to consider how to proceed in respect of those live complaints? A. We didn't have time because after this letter was sent, the next we heard was that Mr McGrail had had a meeting with Mr Pyle and was considering retiring. Q. Did you have any idea as to how you would deal with the complaints? A. No. Q. If we go then to C4801, this is an email

1 June, referring to the letter received at 4.28, 1 start seeing if I can help you clarify for the 2 2 just under an hour previously, and the final inquiry this business about the meeting with 3 3 Mr Morello on 31 January. The emails, I do paragraph said: 4 4 "Our client is gravely concerned by how he not have the number, the ones that were 5 has been treated during this process and I 5 disclosed last night - can they be on the 6 would welcome your frank 6 screen? Can I just show you on the screen the one from 24 January. Is that the one? 7 acknowledgement that there have been 7 8 procedural flaws. He is an officer of the 8 MR SANTOS: It is at the bottom of the 9 highest standing with an impeccable record 9 10 over the past 36 years. In these 10 11 circumstances, and given how unfairly he has 11 SIR PETER CARUANA: Yes, can we go to 12 been treated and improper pressure put upon 12 the top of that? Okay, I did not have - yes, 13 him to alter the course of a live criminal 13 there it was, sorry, I do beg your pardon, yes. 14 14 Can you see there in the middle of the page, investigation, our client feels he must apply 15 15 for early retirement from the Royal Gibraltar just below the middle of the screen - I 16 16 wanted you to have a look at that to see if Police." 17 17 Did you forward this letter, this email, to the that helped you recall why, perhaps, you 18 Attorney General or the Chief Minister or 18 have not found minutes and things. So, this 19 19 Mr Pyle? was on Friday 24 January, and you are telling 20 20 A. I think ... no, I didn't. Not me. In fact I your colleagues on the board, it seems to me 21 think I found out about this letter once 21 you are, or Elka - Elka is your secretaries, or 22 22 one of your secretaries? Mr Neish shared it with me. 23 Q. Did you discuss this email with the 23 A. Yes. 24 24 Attorney General, the Chief Minister --Q. And she appears to be saying to the 25 25 A. No. people on the board that "The GPF meeting Page 141 Page 143 1 Q. -- or Mr Pyle? 1 on Friday 31st has been rescheduled to 11.15 2 A. This particular email? No, no. 2 as Police Complaints Board meeting". Do 3 3 Q. Did you have any further contact with you see that? 4 Mr McGrail about his retirement after that 4 A. Yes. 5 5 point? THE CHAIRMAN: No, as the Police 6 6 A. No. Complaints Board meeting, because a Police 7 7 Q. In retrospect, do you think that the GPA Complaints Board meeting has already been 8 8 could have benefited from legal advice at scheduled for ten o'clock. 9 9 an earlier stage in the section 34 process? SIR PETER CARUANA: Yes. Yes, people 10 10 A. At a much earlier stage. In fact, as soon had already been rescheduled, so the time 11 as I got the call and found out what it was 11 was changed. Do you now accept that there 12 about, absolutely. Absolutely. 12 very likely was a meeting on that day, 13 13 MR SANTOS: Thank you, Dr Britto. I think whether it was formal or informal? Do not 14 14 we are going to pause for lunch and then get a hang up about the formality, or minutes, 15 15 after lunch other representatives may have or no minutes. Did I understand your 16 16 questions for you. Thank you very much. evidence to be this morning that eventually 17 17 THE CHAIRMAN: I think we will stand by you accepted - well, eventually; scratch 18 "eventually" - but you now accept that there 18 2 o'clock. 19 19 very likely was a meeting with Morello on MR SANTOS: Yes, thank you. 20 20 the 31st, and Mr Simpson? (13.16)21 21 (The short adjournment) A. Yes, now we do, and it's probably that 22 22 one that's being referred to in his statement. (14.00)23 23 Questioned by SIR PETER CARUANA We were looking for February and onwards, Q. Good afternoon, Mr Britto. I have one or 24 24 not January. 25 two issues to put to you. I wonder if I could 25 Q. Exactly, so, okay. Thank you. Then I Page 142 Page 144

1 need not press that further. You said this 1 sir, it is C, not B, C3997, at the bottom, right 2 morning in answer to Mr Santos that you had 2 at the bottom. C3997, and this is the note 3 3 felt, on one occasion, pressurised or that you received from the Chief Minister 4 pressured, and then on a second occasion you 4 about your note. I do not know if you prefer 5 said, "Influenced by the Chief Minister and 5 to read it yourself. "If the GPA decide to go 6 Mr Pyle to issue the invitation to retire." Do 6 down this road the first step is to" effectively 7 7 it is what you have got in your witness you recall expressing that sentiment --8 8 statement - "the first step is to allow the A. Yes. 9 Q. -- even if, not in those precise words. 9 Commissioner to make representation if he 10 Can we just explore that briefly? Can we put 10 wishes to do so under section 34(2). It will, 11 up A322 on the screen and go to paragraph 11 of course, be necessary for the GPA to 13 of your witness statement. You say there, 12 12 consider any representations the 13 "The Interim Governor and the Chief 13 Commissioner might make. Thereafter, if 14 14 Minister stated that it was a matter for the the GPA are of the view that the 15 15 GPA, having been appraised of these Commissioner should be invited to retire 16 16 concerns, whether in its discretion, it wanted then that is when they would need to consult 17 17 to invoke the powers vested in it pursuant to both the Governor and the Chief Minister, 18 Section 34 of the Police Act 2006 ... which 18 and then seek the agreement of one of them. 19 19 provides", and then etc. Does that remain The Governor and the Chief Minister would 20 20 then also have the benefit of any your evidence? 21 A. Yes. 21 representation that the Commissioner might 22 22 Q. And at paragraph 14, at the second last have made under section 34(2) in expressing 23 23 line, you say, "The Interim Governor and a final and firm view", much in the same vein 24 24 Chief Minister stated that should the GPA be as what you have in your witness statement. 25 25 minded to call upon Mr McGrail to retire, I am just coming to your view about Page 145 Page 147 1 both should be deemed to have been 1 pressure, being subjective and that idea. I do 2 consulted and agreed." 2 not ride roughshod over that, but do you 3 3 agree that, or do you continue to be of a view A. Yes. 4 Q. And then, and finally, at paragraph 15, 4 that that also is a fair representation of how 5 5 "The Interim Governor and the Chief the meeting went on the 18th? 6 Minister pointed out that if the GPA were to 6 A. Yes, for the simple reason I could have 7 7 consider inviting Mr McGrail to retire, the said, "No, I'm walking out. I'm not doing --8 first step would be to allow Mr McGrail to 8 Q. I understand that, and now I am going to 9 9 make representations, if he wished to do so." come to what you might have meant by 10 Is that a fair reflection of what the Governor, 10 influenced. So, when you say that you felt 11 the then Governor, interim Governor and the 11 pressured or influenced, was it by anything 12 Chief Minister put to you? That was your 12 that the Chief Minister or Mr Pyle said to 13 evidence at the time that you made this 13 you? We know that Mr Pyle said to you, "I 14 14 statement. want to leave you in no doubt of my personal 15 A. As far as my recollection goes, yes, but I 15 views". That to one side, which the 16 16 did qualify what I said earlier that different Chairman already knows about, were you 17 17 people would feel different - would react pressured by anything different to these 18 different to what they're being asked to do. 18 things that we have looked at that they said, 19 19 Q. Yes. I am just going to give you the or was it just a sense of occasion, or simply 20 20 opportunity to say that in just a moment, but the sense of perhaps it was just the fact that it 21 21 was the Chief Minister and the Governor can I just put to you, very quickly, 3997, 22 which is the 13 May note, which you have 22 both speaking to you, and in a sense, that is a 23 23 also testified is accurate. So, the three last sense of aura around that occasion? You were very candid in admitting that different 24 paragraphs, last page, 3997 should be the last 24 25 page of the note. Sorry, I beg your pardon, 25 people feel pressure in a different way. Page 146 Page 148

Would you explain how you felt pressure? 1 Q. You can recast my question if you think I 2 2 A. I think that after nearly 40 years working have not described it - you said words to that 3 within a hierarchy, if you like, and going up 3 effect, that it had not made you think less of 4 4 through the ranks, for me the position of the Mr McGrail, or words to that effect? 5 Chief Minister, and indeed, the Governor are 5 A. Not him, personally. held in very, very high respect by me, 6 6 Q. Not yet. Now, can we just put up A324, 7 certainly, and anything they say, as I've said 7 paragraph 27 of your witness statement? So, 8 before, I felt I had to believe. It was a 8 this is you explaining why you forwarded the 9 9 Gomez letter to the Chief Minister, the position of - I think possibly subjective now 10 - but awe, if you like. 10 interim Governor, and you do not recall 11 11 Q. Okay. So, in a sense it was the sense of whether the Attorney General got it, but it 12 occasion, the sense of deference or, perhaps, 12 any case, he got it. Whether it was directly 13 even excessive deference to the office 13 from you, it does not matter. The first line 14 14 holders that you were being spoken to by there is, "Given the nature of the allegations 15 15 rather than what they were saying to you? made, I copied the Letter to them." Do you 16 16 A. Yes, I think that's evident from some of see that? 17 17 the WhatsApps that were exchanged where I A. Yes. 18 was apologising for disturbing the Chief 18 Q. So, were you of the view that these letters 19 Minister. 19 contained serious - well, the nature of the 20 20 Q. Yes, okay, thank you for that. Can I just allegations; what was the nature of the 21 ask whether, whatever might have been your 21 allegations: complimentary, serious? 22 22 subjective sense of being influenced - can A. No, they were not complimentary, they 23 you say about the board members when they 23 were extremely serious allegations. 24 convened on the 21st in the emergency 24 Q. And am I right in saying - I think your 25 25 meeting, they had not been present at the evidence says so forgive me for asking Page 151 Page 149 1 meeting, so they had not been subjected to 1 questions to which the answer is obvious -2 the same situation as you had in the meeting. 2 you had already formed the view that his 3 3 Is that correct? position was untenable simply on the basis 4 4 that he had lost the confidence of the A. That is, absolutely, correct. 5 5 Governor and the Chief Minister. Is that Q. So, I mean, you did not put pressure on 6 the board members, did you? 6 correct? 7 7 A. You can see me here, now. I don't think A. Yes. 8 I'm the kind of person that puts pressure on 8 O. Before this letter? 9 9 A. Yes. 10 10 Q. I am keen to give you the opportunity to Q. So, after this letter that made what you 11 say that, but I think it is pretty obvious to 11 have described as extremely serious 12 most of us. So, whatever subjective pressure 12 allegations, was it your view that his 13 13 position, the tenability of his position, had you may have felt, this was not transmitted to 14 14 the board members when they came to make worsened? 15 their decision? 15 A. Ah, now I see what you mean. Possibly, A. Not at all. 16 16 quite possibly. 17 Q. If I could just ask you briefly about the 17 Q. I mean, if he could not work with the 18 Charles Gomez and Co letter of 29 May 18 Chief Minister before because he had lost 19 19 2020, correct me if I am wrong, I thought I their confidence, how could you do so, in 20 20 understood you to say this morning that that your mind, after he had said all these terribly 21 21 letter had had no impact on you as far as serious things about them? 22 concerned your view of Mr McGrail? Do 22 A. Yes, quite possibly. 23 you remember saying that, or have I got you 23 Q. If you would confirm that you have done 24 24 wrong? this already, I will not ask you any more 25 A. I think that's what I said. 25 questions. Is it your evidence now - I do not Page 150 Page 152

mean "now" in the critical sense of the word 1 properly. 2 2 - is it your evidence that you probably did Q. Yes. Am I right in saying that on receipt 3 mention Delhi, or rather that the Delhi/Chief 3 of the first letter of 22 May, Mr McGrail had, 4 4 Minister's reasons/lie was mentioned at the understandably in my view, complained that 5 18 May meeting, and that you transmitted 5 he was very scant on detail and that he had 6 that to the board at the emergency meeting? 6 insisted on asking you for the reasons for the 7 A. There's a probability that I did. I can't 7 alleged loss of confidences? 8 8 A. Yes, and if I recall, I said, "I'll ask", and recall, but I certainly mentioned to the board, 9 "Look, Mr McGrail is going to say", because 9 that's what I did. 10 I did mention that he'd called me to his 10 Q. Right. So, your evidence has been that 11 11 the actual reason for the GPA's decision was office, before I told them, to explain all about 12 the Chief Minister being upset with him, and 12 really the loss of confidence. Is that your 13 all that. 13 evidence? 14 THE CHAIRMAN: Well, I do not think, 14 A. The loss of confidence on both sides. 15 15 with respect, you precisely answered the O. Yes. 16 16 A. And the reasons given to us, primarily if 17 17 SIR PETER CARUANA: No. My question not in the majority, by the Governor. 18 was focused more on whether you are any 18 Q. So, leaving to one side what you, the 19 19 clearer in your mind. What is your evidence Chairman, may take in due course about the 20 20 now as to whether in the 18th May meeting reasons themselves, insofar as concerns the 21 between you, the Governor, and the Chief 21 GPA, the sufficiency of the reasons was not 22 Minister, one or both of them mentioned to 22 uppermost in your mind. It really did not 23 you that they had lost confidence in Mr 23 matter what the reasons were for loss of 24 McGrail because "lie" or "misled" - I am not 24 confidence. The fact is that they asserted to 25 25 holding you to the word "lie" or "misled" - in you, and you took them at their word that Page 153 Page 155 1 other words, that point was fielded in some 1 they had lost confidence in him? 2 language or other in the 18th May meeting. 2 A. Yes. 3 3 Yes, no, probably, maybe? THE CHAIRMAN: Both of them? 4 A. Yes, they did say that, that he had misled 4 A. Both of them. 5 5 SIR PETER CARUANA: Yes. And when 6 Q. Okay. Now, the drafting of the letters of 6 you said in your witness statement at 7 7 22 May, am I right that this was after the paragraph 34 - I am not going to take you it 8 8 decision had been taken by the board? in the interests of time - you said that this 9 9 A. Yes. left the matter, "abandoned, live and 10 10 Q. Yes. So, the Chief Minister's help to you unresolved" - do you remember saying that? 11 in drafting those letters had no influence on 11 A. Yes. 12 the decision that had been communicated in 12 Q. I thought I understood you to mean this 13 that letter? 13 morning that you felt that you were spent, 14 14 A. It didn't. that the GPA as it was constituted was spent 15 Q. Sorry? 15 and could not deal with the matter any 16 A. It didn't. 16 further. So, is it correct to say that you had 17 Q. It did not, and do you recall why the 17 decided that he should be invited to retire 18 Chief Minister - well, first of all I think you 18 because he had lost the confidence, and you 19 19 said this morning that you had asked him, think his position was thereby untenable, but 20 and we have seen the WhatsApp where you 20 could not do anything about it because of the 21 asked him to help you with this. Do you 21 flaws, and you were disqualified, so to speak, 22 22 recall why? What was it that you wanted the from following through on your view. Is that 23 Chief Minister's input about? 23 fair, or would you like me to put that in 24 A. Well, essentially, because I couldn't recall 24 different terms? 25 everything that had gone on in the meeting, 25 A. I think it's fair from what I can recall. Page 154 Page 156

1	We withdraw the letter but the always	1	for
1 2	We withdrew the letter, but I've always assumed that we withdrew the letter and	1 2	far A. We never get that far
3	everything else, except the two complaints or	2 3	A. We never got that far. THE CHAIRMAN: because it was
4	grievances, or what have you, or allegations	4	pointed out to you that, in fact, you had made
5	that we had against Mr McGrail, but we	5	the decision before he got the representation.
6	couldn't do anything about it.	6	A. Yes, Sir, that is correct.
7	Q. What I was asking you to agree or	7	THE CHAIRMAN: Yes.
8	disagree with me was fair is this, that you	8	SIR PETER CARUANA: And actually, it
9	had already decided that he should retire, or	9	was all overtaken by the letter of 29 May,
10	be asked, or be invited, more accurately in	10	which were the representations. You were
11	your words this morning, to retire, because	11	expecting oral representations.
12	he had lost confidence. He had lost the	12	A. Yes.
13	confidence of both the Chief Minister and the	13	Q. And what, in fact, you got was written
14	Governor, and that remained unaltered by the	14	representations. Is that correct?
15	fact that you were procedurally disqualified.	15	A. That's right.
16	A. Well, we withdrew the letter, so we were	16	Q. Which you have just finished telling the
17	assuming that we're no longer expecting him	17	inquiry, made his untenability even worse,
18	to retire.	18	because of the seriousness of the allegations
19	Q. Yes.	19	made, not just against the Governor and the
20	A. That was my understanding.	20	Chief Minister now, but against the Attorney
21	Q. Yes, for procedural reasons, but you had	21	General as well. Is that correct?
22	not recanted, and we have seen no evidence -	22	MR WAGNER: You are putting words in
23	this is your opportunity to say it if you wish -	23	the witness's mouth.
24	we have seen no evidence that you had	24	SIR PETER CARUANA: I am repeating the
25	recanted or the GPA had recanted from its	25	words that the witness has just uttered in
	Page 157		Page 159
1	view that he should be invited to retire	1	clarifying the chair's intervention. Okay, no
2	because he had lost the confidence of the	2	need to repeat all of that. On bullying, I am
3	Governor and the Chief Minister?	3	very happy to leave it at this if you can agree.
4	A. Forgive me for being obtuse. Yes, we still	4	If you cannot, it does not matter. Do not feel
5	felt that the loss of confidence was an	5	any need to agree with me. I am not
6	important factor	6	interested in whether they were justified or
7	Q. Yes.	7	unjustified - I think you referred to the
8	A but felt that we couldn't do anything	8	Panaroma articles and the surveys and all of
9	about it - not prepared.	9	that this morning. W
10	THE CHAIRMAN: I rather got the	10	Were you and the GPA generally aware that
11	impression this morning you were suggesting	11	there was a live issue out there - forget the
12	at the meeting of the 21st when you	12	merits, or whether justified or not - that there
13	acknowledged the loss of confidence, that	13	was a live issue out there about bullying in
14	you were still expecting representations from	14	the RGP, or allegations of bullying, good or
15 16	Mr McGrail, and I sort of got the impression you were rather hoping and expecting that he	15 16	bad, but there as a live issue? Was that
17	would come along with a lawyer and reassure	17	something that the GPA board was broadly aware of?
18	you and everything would be all right?	18	A. Broadly aware, yes.
19	A. I was hoping that everything would be all	19	Q. So, you had seen the articles in
20	right, but we hadn't gone as far as working	20	Panorama. The Police Federation had done
21	out what to do or how to do it, once he came	21	its own survey. You knew that the RGP -
22	with representations, simply because we	22	this AAP survey - you knew they were doing
23	hadn't had recourse to solid, good, legal	23	that?
24	advice.	24	A. Yes.
25	THE CHAIRMAN: And you never got that	25	Q. Indeed, you knew that Mr McGrail had
	Decc 150		Daga 160
	Page 158		Page 160
			40 (Pages 157 to 160)

1 asked for the inspection by Her Majesty's 1 said, or given what we have been discussing 2 2 Inspectorate of Constabulary, in order to between us in the last two or three minutes -3 3 bottom out the issue about, correct you can see it if you want to, paragraph 23.2 4 4 of his witness statement. Could it be - yes, A. Yes. 5 Q. -- of bullying? 5 thank you, 23.2. Is that MP1 or MP2? I 6 6 have 23.2 in my note here. It might be the A. Yes. 7 7 first witness statement. It must be MP1. Q. So, these were three or four initiatives, 8 8 Yes, there we go. "The GPA regularly spoke leaving Panorama to one side, that suggested 9 9 at its meetings about the allegations of that this was not a non-issue: it was an issue 10 10 of some sort, the merits of which were not bullying and intimidation by Mr McGrail." 11 11 Leaving to one side the "Mr McGrail", bit clear? Correct? 12 12 which you can answer as well if you want, A. I would put it that way, yes. 13 Q. Okay, and did the GPA ever discuss this? 13 without the "by Mr McGrail" bit initially, 14 14 A. We discussed the fact, not necessarily you take great issue with the fact that the 15 15 GPA spoke at its meetings about bullying in that the Federation had told us, but every 16 16 time it came out in the Panorama, we really the RGP given all the newspapers and 17 17 surveys and things of that sort? didn't know what to do, because it seemed 18 like an ongoing complaint. 18 (14.26)19 19 Q. Yes. A. I can't recall. If it was it certainly hasn't 20 20 been formally minuted that we were talking A. But every time we asked the RGP they 21 said, "Look, there's no bullying. How many 21 about bullying, I am sure. We did a search, 22 22 but if anybody brought it up, it must have officers have written to you complaining?" 23 23 So, we were really in somewhat of a been Mr Pyle, but not necessarily about Mr 24 24 quandary. McGrail. I think he was concerned about the 25 25 Q. Yes. So, on the one hand there were no general chatter, as you say, about Page 161 Page 163 1 formal complaints from any police officer, 1 background, the newspapers and all that. 2 but on the other hand there was all this 2 The surveys we knew were actually being 3 3 background noise about it? taken care of by the RGP itself. They would 4 A. Yes. 4 be analysing them even before we did. 5 5 Q. Is that fair? Q. For my part, I do not want to get too hung 6 A. Fair. 6 up about the difference between formal and 7 7 Q. And the board discussed it in that informal and minuted or unminuted. I am 8 8 really just trying to get a sense of whether, context? 9 9 A. Briefly, because we felt we couldn't do given all this background noise that you have 10 10 much about it, except me as a person trying described about the live issue, the GPA 11 11 to mediate, and get them to work together. would discuss it and if your recollection is 12 THE CHAIRMAN: Hence the note in the 12 that you did not - we have already seen a 13 13 note to the effect that it did, the Chairman meeting of 6 February? 14 14 A. That's right, I think. has just reminded us of it - would it not be 15 15 surprising that given this noise - I am just THE CHAIRMAN: Right, yes. 16 16 SIR PETER CARUANA: So, leaving to one really asking you to agree or disagree. 17 side the question of whether they were 17 A. No, I wouldn't say regularly but it must 18 18 allegations, or the noise related to bullying have been discussed because we discussed 19 19 by Mr McGrail or not or just general everything that was out there in the 20 20 bullying, leaving aside Mr McGrail, would community. 21 21 you disagree with a statement by Mr Pyle Q. Okay, thank you. Can I just take you, 22 22 that the GPA regularly spoke about this at its and this is my last point to you - I think there 23 23 meetings? I mean, "regularly" is a very long was a reference this morning to I think they 24 24 or short word. I realise it doesn't have a are called bow defenders, on the bow of Mr 25 25 precise meaning, but given what you have John Chapple. Can I just take you to the Page 162 Page 164

1 draft Solis report at C 5631? There, that 1 to why they were used. For me, it was 2 2 paragraph. Thank you. Have you seen this basically as a layperson, like having a chain 3 before where the Solis report said, under the 3 saw - maybe I'm exaggerating - on the bow 4 4 of a boat. I found it quite alarming. heading, "Log Defenders" which is this sort 5 of teethed, tooth-like metal structure affixed 5 Q. Thank you. 6 to the bow of the boat, "SAFE Boat", which 6 Questioned by MR CRUZ 7 7 Q. Good afternoon, Mr Britto. must be the manufacturers - this is quite 8 8 difficult to read because for some reason, A. Good afternoon. 9 9 whoever has printed this, it seems to be Q. If I can just take you back a little bit to 10 dropping all the "Ls". I think this is an RGP 10 some of the questions that I have about the 11 printer problem but anyway, all the "Ls" and 11 process of joining the GPA, now I think 12 "Is" are missing. So, I will minimise the 12 earlier on today you acknowledged, I think, 13 reading because actually the first paragraph 13 not unlike Mr Goncalves and Mr Lavarello 14 14 is quite difficult to do, but do you see, "The that there was no training. Is that right? 15 log defenders", in the second - about eight 15 A. That's absolutely correct. lines down - second citation - "The log 16 16 Q. Right, and I think you told counsel to the 17 17 defenders are installed on vessels to aid in inquiry that you would have benefited by 18 pushing logs and debris. The teeth on the log 18 such training, people would have benefited if 19 19 defender bite into the log and prevent it from they had had some training. Is that fair? 20 20 sliding under the hull and into the vessel's A. Certainly the teachers amongst us would 21 propellors. Log defenders are very common 21 have. If you come from a corporate 22 in the logging industry where logging vessels 22 background, possibly you would have a 23 manoeuvre logs into position for recovery 23 different experience, but I certainly would 24 24 and processing by capturing them with the have benefited. 25 25 log defender. SAFE Boats", which I am Q. Okay and as Chairman, you will Page 165 Page 167 1 assuming is the manufacture of the Sir John 1 appreciate that Mr Ullger, on the stand the 2 Chapple, "has installed log defenders on law 2 other day, said he had a good working 3 3 enforcement vessels other than the Gibraltar relationship with you and it is probably 4 boats specifically in the US Pacific North 4 unnecessary for me to say but the 5 5 West region where floating logs and debris is Commissioner of Police and senior 6 common", and if you can just go down a little 6 management hold you in regard. But what I 7 bit to the last one at 3.4.43, "The rationale for 7 would say is that you would appreciate that a 8 8 installing log defenders on to the stem of the chairman is the leader of an authority 9 9 Sir John Chapple is not fully understood. ultimately. Would you accept that? 10 10 The implications of installing log defenders A. Yes. 11 on to the stem of Sir John Chapple were not 11 Q. Yes, and insofar as the role, I think we 12 properly considered by the RGP and 12 heard from Mr Goncalves that he used to 13 therefore the opportunity to identify this 13 spend about 30 hours maybe a week, I think 14 safety risk was missed." Were you aware of 14 he said -15 this issue? 15 THE CHAIRMAN: He did say a week, on 16 A. I was aware of this issue, not because the 16 average. 17 police told me but because, if I'm not 17 MR CRUZ: Yes, on average, and I think you 18 mistaken, it was mentioned in one of the 18 have described it even more, as 24/7. 19 19 newspapers or possibly as a reference for A. Weekends included. 20 20 this. I remember asking - I can't remember Q. Weekends included, so as a person in 21 who I asked; it could have been Mr Ullger or 21 such a position leading other people, the 22 22 issue of training might have been even more could have been Mr McGrail - and they said, 23 "This can't be removed easily." 23 important in the position of chair. Would 24 24 Q. All right. you accept that? 25 A. Yes. A. But I was never given an explanation as 25 Page 166 Page 168

O. Thank you. Now, Mr Lavarello 1 MR CRUZ: I understand, sir. I understand. 2 mentioned the issue of resources and I think 2 I am going to try to move on as quickly as I 3 he said words to the effect that they were 3 can. In relation to the Constitution and the 4 4 sufficient if nothing happened, which is a Police Act, I know you were not trained in 5 little bit like saying, "My umbrella works 5 other matters, but was there any time in 6 well until it rains." It is when something 6 which somebody gave you an explanation of 7 happens that you need resources, is it not? 7 how the Constitution and the Police Act 8 A. Yes. Would you like me to elaborate a 8 should work, the interaction of those? 9 9 bit? 10 Q. Yes, please. 10 Q. No, so before I go into a couple of 11 11 sections would you accept that one of the A. Well, what that, I think, meant was that 12 part of our routine work, like checking up on 12 important roles of the Royal Gibraltar Police 13 policies, holding meetings, checking up on 13 and the Police Authority is to be a guardian 14 14 police complaints, the routine parts of our of the rule of law? responsibilities, I think we are okay with that 15 15 A. I don't know what rule of law you could 16 16 but the moment that there is the need for 17 17 anything major, we certainly have to ask for Q. Well, let me put it in different terms. A 18 outside help. 18 rule of law is in essence that everybody 19 should be treated equally under the law Q. And in relation to that, and I am not 19 20 20 trying to sell my own profession, it would be regardless of who they are without fear or 21 quite normal that you would reach out for 21 favour. 22 legal advice when issues happen? That 22 A. Yes. 23 would be what normally might occur. Would 23 Q. Yes, so the Police Authority and the RGP 24 you accept that? 24 are guardians of the basic concept. Would 25 25 A. Definitely now, knowing what I know, you accept that? Page 169 Page 171 1 1 A. Yes, and the Governor as well. 2 Q. Yes, so for you to have had a sort of not 2 O. And the Governor? 3 3 quite on call but easy to access legal support A. Yes. 4 in your role would have been of assistance 4 Q. And indeed maybe other officials 5 5 including the Chief Minister? not just in the focus of this matter, which we will go on to in a moment, but generally? 6 6 A. Yes. 7 7 A. Generally speaking, yes. Q. Now, in our Constitution at section 48 -8 8 Q. Without having to rely on a very kind are you familiar with that? I know that you 9 9 board member that spends his time giving would have heard me referring to it. 10 advice, which was the evidence yesterday. 10 A. Possibly, but -11 So, in relation to that and before I get on to Q. Well, it is going to go on screen, I think, 11 12 the issue of constitution and the Police Act, 12 hopefully. It might need to go a little bit 13 would you say that having a financially 13 bigger, I think, for all of us, except the younger people here. So, if I can just draw 14 14 resourced authority might be helpful, a more 15 substantially financial resource, might be of 15 your attention to 48(1) - you can read it if 16 help to you and still have helped you? you want, but I will read it out for you: 16 17 17 A. Not to me personally. "There shall be a Police Authority for 18 Q. Well, to the authority. 18 Gibraltar, composed in such manner and 19 19 A. But to the authority itself, quite possibly. having such functions as may be prescribed 20 Quite possibly. I've never made 20 by a law enacted by the Legislature 21 21 representations. consistent with this Constitution; and subject 22 Q. No, I understand but this part of the 22 to the provisions of any such law such 23 process as I understand it is to see where we 23 Authority shall be independent in the 24 can all perhaps learn to do better. 24 exercise of its functions." Do you see that? 25 THE CHAIRMAN: I have the point. 25 A. Yes. Page 170 Page 172

1	Q. And that, would you accept, is a	1	Governor and the Chief Minister for quite a
2	fundamental pillar of any police authority,	2	few things.
3	the independence aspect?	3	Q. Yes, indeed, but outside what is
4	A. I can't really say "no".	4	prescribed by the Act, in other words that is
5	Q. No, no, you can say -	5	why I said improper interference - there
6	A. I just wasn't - I mean, I was vaguely	6	might be proper interference for which you
7	aware of that but I guess -	7	might not even use the word "interference"
8	Q. No, I understand, "vaguely" - that goes	8	but what I mean is any improper influence or
9	back to the training point, but Mr Goncalves,	9	pressure. Of course, if there is a provision of
10	when he explained his role, the first thing he	10	the Act, that is a different matter, but would
11	said was, "The overarching mission	11	you accept that?
12	statement, as it were, was a safeguarding of	12	A. I really don't know what to answer.
13	the independence of the RGP", which I	13	Q. That's fine. That's fine. You are
14	would suggest to you was consistent with	14	perfectly entitled not to.
15	section 48, the independence point. Would	15	THE CHAIRMAN: That is a perfectly
16	you accept that?	16	proper answer. If you do not follow the
17	A. Yes, yes.	17	question, it is much better to say so rather
18	Q. Okay. Now, would you understand that	18	than agreeing with it.
19	independence would include independence	19	A. Thank you, sir.
20	from any form of interference or pressure?	20	MR CRUZ: Let me try addressing this in a
21	A. It depends what you mean by interference	21	slightly different way and see if you agree
22	or -	22	with this comment. Mr Lavarello yesterday
23	Q. Well, let me put it differently: any	23	said - in this transcript at page 234 there is a
24	improper interference or pressure?	24	reference to be taken, "The Authority is there
25	A. I've never experienced it myself in that	25	to, I suppose, act as an independent party so
	Page 173		Page 175
1		1	dead ChicMinister and de Commun
1	sense with the police but yes. Q. Yes, okay, so -	1 2	that the Chief Minister and the Governor cannot exercise undue influence over the
2	THE CHAIRMAN: Do not agree with	2 3	Commissioner". That is what Mr Lavarello
3 4	something just because it is put to you. If		
5	you feel unfamiliar with it -	5	said yesterday. Would you agree with that comment by Mr Lavarello?
6	A. I don't understand it.	6	A. I don't recall him saying that, but if he
7	MR CRUZ: Okay, let me -	7	said it, he has been there far longer than I
8	A. I don't understand what he is saying, I am	8	have been.
9	sorry.	9	Q. Would you agree with the comment by
10	Q. All right, well I apologise. It might be	10	Mr Lavarello? I am not asking you whether
11	down to my questioning.	11	- I am sure those around us will pick us up if
12	THE CHAIRMAN: No, I do not think it is	12	I have said it wrong. I am just quoting from
13	down to your questioning. I do not think	13	the transcript.
14	anyone has ever explained 48 to him.	14	A. Okay.
15	*		
15 16	MR CRUZ: Oh, I see. (To the witness)	15	Q. Would you agree with that comment?
16	MR CRUZ: Oh, I see. (To the witness) Well, the Constitution says that the	15 16	Q. Would you agree with that comment?A. Yes.
	MR CRUZ: Oh, I see. (To the witness) Well, the Constitution says that the independence of policing is important and	15 16 17	Q. Would you agree with that comment?A. Yes.Q. Okay, so it is fair to say that the police
16 17	MR CRUZ: Oh, I see. (To the witness) Well, the Constitution says that the independence of policing is important and independence, I put it to you, means the	15 16 17 18	Q. Would you agree with that comment?A. Yes.Q. Okay, so it is fair to say that the police authority - I am asking you - is to some
16 17 18	MR CRUZ: Oh, I see. (To the witness) Well, the Constitution says that the independence of policing is important and	15 16 17	 Q. Would you agree with that comment? A. Yes. Q. Okay, so it is fair to say that the police authority - I am asking you - is to some extent a filter to secure the independence that
16 17 18 19	MR CRUZ: Oh, I see. (To the witness) Well, the Constitution says that the independence of policing is important and independence, I put it to you, means the ability to make your own decisions without interference from any outside force. Let us	15 16 17 18 19	 Q. Would you agree with that comment? A. Yes. Q. Okay, so it is fair to say that the police authority - I am asking you - is to some extent a filter to secure the independence that the Constitution envisaged, to make sure that
16 17 18 19 20	MR CRUZ: Oh, I see. (To the witness) Well, the Constitution says that the independence of policing is important and independence, I put it to you, means the ability to make your own decisions without	15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. Would you agree with that comment? A. Yes. Q. Okay, so it is fair to say that the police authority - I am asking you - is to some extent a filter to secure the independence that
16 17 18 19 20 21	MR CRUZ: Oh, I see. (To the witness) Well, the Constitution says that the independence of policing is important and independence, I put it to you, means the ability to make your own decisions without interference from any outside force. Let us put it in those terms. Would you agree with	15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. Would you agree with that comment? A. Yes. Q. Okay, so it is fair to say that the police authority - I am asking you - is to some extent a filter to secure the independence that the Constitution envisaged, to make sure that there is not interference, and in the mind of
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR CRUZ: Oh, I see. (To the witness) Well, the Constitution says that the independence of policing is important and independence, I put it to you, means the ability to make your own decisions without interference from any outside force. Let us put it in those terms. Would you agree with that basic concept?	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. Would you agree with that comment? A. Yes. Q. Okay, so it is fair to say that the police authority - I am asking you - is to some extent a filter to secure the independence that the Constitution envisaged, to make sure that there is not interference, and in the mind of Mr Lavarello, also interference from the
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR CRUZ: Oh, I see. (To the witness) Well, the Constitution says that the independence of policing is important and independence, I put it to you, means the ability to make your own decisions without interference from any outside force. Let us put it in those terms. Would you agree with that basic concept? A. Well, it depends what you mean by	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. Would you agree with that comment? A. Yes. Q. Okay, so it is fair to say that the police authority - I am asking you - is to some extent a filter to secure the independence that the Constitution envisaged, to make sure that there is not interference, and in the mind of Mr Lavarello, also interference from the Government or the Governor or the Chief
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR CRUZ: Oh, I see. (To the witness) Well, the Constitution says that the independence of policing is important and independence, I put it to you, means the ability to make your own decisions without interference from any outside force. Let us put it in those terms. Would you agree with that basic concept? A. Well, it depends what you mean by interference: the actual Act presupposes that the police authority has to consult the	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. Would you agree with that comment? A. Yes. Q. Okay, so it is fair to say that the police authority - I am asking you - is to some extent a filter to secure the independence that the Constitution envisaged, to make sure that there is not interference, and in the mind of Mr Lavarello, also interference from the Government or the Governor or the Chief Minister and the Governor - that is what he used - from undue influence. That is what
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR CRUZ: Oh, I see. (To the witness) Well, the Constitution says that the independence of policing is important and independence, I put it to you, means the ability to make your own decisions without interference from any outside force. Let us put it in those terms. Would you agree with that basic concept? A. Well, it depends what you mean by interference: the actual Act presupposes that	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. Would you agree with that comment? A. Yes. Q. Okay, so it is fair to say that the police authority - I am asking you - is to some extent a filter to secure the independence that the Constitution envisaged, to make sure that there is not interference, and in the mind of Mr Lavarello, also interference from the Government or the Governor or the Chief Minister and the Governor - that is what he

you have just agreed to, so you understand 1 A. No, I was familiar with that section. 2 2 that. Yes? Q. Okay, so we see just briefly - I am not 3 3 A. I understand it but remember that there is going to go to all of the sections, but the 4 4 opening words under the heading, a direct appointee from the Government there 5 and there's a direct appointee from the 5 "Responsibilities and powers of the 6 6 authority", "to secure the maintenance of an Governor there. 7 Q. Understood. 7 efficient and effective police force for 8 8 Gibraltar within the financial resources A. I mean, that's a fact. 9 Q. Okay. You might not, but would you 9 available to it and on a value for money 10 accept that if you had a police force that was 10 basis", and at (b), "to ensure high standards 11 not controlled by a police authority, an 11 of integrity, probity and independence of 12 independent police authority, but rather by 12 policing in Gibraltar". So, again, the word 13 politicians as an example -13 "independence". So, you are familiar with 14 14 A. For example. this section. That is one of the 15 15 Q. I do not specifically know their structure responsibilities of the authority, to secure that 16 16 independence of policing. You would accept but it might be a good example, or by a 17 governor in a colonial sense, that there is 17 that, and you understood that? 18 always the possibility of abuse, political 18 A. Not to secure it, to ensure. 19 abuse or some other type of abuse? 19 Q. To ensure, yes. So, in those sections you 20 20 A. Yes, and conversely there is always a have what are the responsibilities of the 21 possibility of abuse by the police by having 21 authority. So, would you accept that absent a 22 22 too much power. default, and we will go to section 13, if we 23 23 Q. Indeed, and the police authority is the can just have section 13 up, absent a default 24 24 pivot or the anchor or the filter to ensure that by the authority, the responsibility falls on 25 25 neither the police have used power but that the authority. In other words, it is not the Page 177 Page 179 1 no one else can exert pressure on the police 1 Governor who is responsible; he is ultimately 2 either. Would you accept that? 2 responsible but in essence there is a 3 3 A. I am not certain why the police authority delegation to an authority and absent a 4 was constituted, but that is probably - in all 4 default, it is them who have the responsibility 5 5 probability one reason. to carry out to ensure that the police provide 6 6 Q. Yes. Just to return to section 48, if you what I've just described in terms of an 7 7 read that section again, and at the bottom efficient and independent policing. Would 8 8 part, "and subject to the provisions of any you accept that? 9 9 such law such Authority shall be independent A. That's not my understanding, not - there 10 10 in the exercise of its functions." So, the is a section there that actually says quite 11 Constitution envisaged a police authority -11 clearly that the Governor is -12 that is the answer to your question of why it 12 Q. (inaudible) - section 11 and 12 you are 13 13 is there and that it be independent and then looking at. 14 14 we have the Police Act that created powers. A. 11 and 12. 15 So, under the Police Act, if I can just take 15 Q. Yes. 16 16 you forward to that and ask that it be put on A. But I am not going to start a legal 17 argument the screen, Section 5, now you may recall 17 18 that you said earlier on today that you were 18 Q. No, I understand. 19 19 THE CHAIRMAN: We have been debating not familiar with section 34 until very late in 20 20 law and I do not think it is very helpful. the day. 2.1 21 MR CRUZ: No, I understand. I think I was A. Yes. 22 22 just trying to establish whether section 11 -Q. Is that the same case in the case of 23 23 section 5 which outlines the responsibilities yes, I understand. I was just trying to 24 and powers of the authority, or were you 24 understand - I will not take you to too much 25 familiar with this? 25 law -Page 178 Page 180

1	A. Which one?	1	A. Yes.
2	Q. Section 13. I will take you to two	2	Q. Yes, that is really it, so if I can now take
3	sections: section 13. I hear what you say on	3	you to the meeting that you had with the
4	section 11.	4	Governor and the Chief Minister, and you
5	A. And 12.	5	will remember, if I recall correctly, that
6	Q. And 12, correct, but in terms of section	6	happens as a result of an email that you are
7	13, the Governor's powers in default by	7	sent on 16 May, if I recall - is that right?
8	authority, did you understand at the relevant	8	A. I don't remember the date, but yes, by the
9	time that the powers, including the power to	9	Governor.
10	remove the Commissioner of Police, which is	10	Q. Yes. Now, at that point am I right in
11	(f) "to suspend from duty, or call for the	11	saying that you had already had this
12	resignation of the Commissioner", would	12	conversation with Mr McGrail? He had
13	have involved a failure to discharge by the	13	already seen you about the Operation Delhi
14	authority? In other words, the authority must	14	issue before you walked into that meeting?
15	fail in something in order for that power to be	15	A. He briefed me and explained that the
16	triggered?	16	Chief Minister was annoyed with him and a
17	A. Not at the time but soon after.	17	host of other things that I cannot recall. I
18	Q. Okay, so at the time you were not sure?	18	mean, I really can't recall the details of that
19	A. Yes.	19	meeting. It may be Mr McGrail has deposed
20	Q. Okay. And if we go just to the section	20	otherwise. There might be a recording, in
21	that we referred to, 34, and then we can leave	21	which case I would -
22	the law behind, and this is purely because we	22	Q. That is fine. It is just the chronology. I
23	happen to have it open on the screen and not	23	just wanted to check that the chronology is
24	to go back to it again, would you accept - it	24	such that he had already briefed you and that
25	is not the best drafting of a section but would	25	that happened before your meeting with Mr
	-		D 102
	Page 181		Page 183
1	you accept that section (1) should be read	1	Picardo and Mr Pyle. Is that right?
1 2	with section (2), by which I mean that before	1 2	A. Yes, emphasis on the word "brief".
2 3	with section (2), by which I mean that before seeking the approval of the Governor and the	2 3	A. Yes, emphasis on the word "brief".Q. Yes, I understand, that you were briefed.
2 3 4	with section (2), by which I mean that before seeking the approval of the Governor and the Chief Minister to call upon the	2 3 4	A. Yes, emphasis on the word "brief".Q. Yes, I understand, that you were briefed.When they raised the issues that they raised,
2 3 4 5	with section (2), by which I mean that before seeking the approval of the Governor and the Chief Minister to call upon the Commissioner to retire, there needs to be that	2 3 4 5	A. Yes, emphasis on the word "brief".Q. Yes, I understand, that you were briefed.When they raised the issues that they raised, just to be clear, because I think your evidence
2 3 4 5 6	with section (2), by which I mean that before seeking the approval of the Governor and the Chief Minister to call upon the Commissioner to retire, there needs to be that opportunity for the Commissioner to explain	2 3 4 5 6	A. Yes, emphasis on the word "brief". Q. Yes, I understand, that you were briefed. When they raised the issues that they raised, just to be clear, because I think your evidence this morning at two points somewhat
2 3 4 5 6 7	with section (2), by which I mean that before seeking the approval of the Governor and the Chief Minister to call upon the Commissioner to retire, there needs to be that opportunity for the Commissioner to explain himself?	2 3 4 5 6 7	A. Yes, emphasis on the word "brief". Q. Yes, I understand, that you were briefed. When they raised the issues that they raised, just to be clear, because I think your evidence this morning at two points somewhat contradicted itself, are you saying you made
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	with section (2), by which I mean that before seeking the approval of the Governor and the Chief Minister to call upon the Commissioner to retire, there needs to be that opportunity for the Commissioner to explain himself? A. I think we've gone over this already.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. Yes, emphasis on the word "brief". Q. Yes, I understand, that you were briefed. When they raised the issues that they raised, just to be clear, because I think your evidence this morning at two points somewhat contradicted itself, are you saying you made no connection at all between the brief, the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	with section (2), by which I mean that before seeking the approval of the Governor and the Chief Minister to call upon the Commissioner to retire, there needs to be that opportunity for the Commissioner to explain himself? A. I think we've gone over this already. Q. And you accept that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Yes, emphasis on the word "brief". Q. Yes, I understand, that you were briefed. When they raised the issues that they raised, just to be clear, because I think your evidence this morning at two points somewhat contradicted itself, are you saying you made no connection at all between the brief, the brief brief that Mr McGrail gave you on that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	with section (2), by which I mean that before seeking the approval of the Governor and the Chief Minister to call upon the Commissioner to retire, there needs to be that opportunity for the Commissioner to explain himself? A. I think we've gone over this already. Q. And you accept that? A. And I accept that is why we withdrew-	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. Yes, emphasis on the word "brief". Q. Yes, I understand, that you were briefed. When they raised the issues that they raised, just to be clear, because I think your evidence this morning at two points somewhat contradicted itself, are you saying you made no connection at all between the brief, the brief brief that Mr McGrail gave you on that Operation Delhi meeting he had had where
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	with section (2), by which I mean that before seeking the approval of the Governor and the Chief Minister to call upon the Commissioner to retire, there needs to be that opportunity for the Commissioner to explain himself? A. I think we've gone over this already. Q. And you accept that? A. And I accept that is why we withdrewone of the reasons, anyway.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. Yes, emphasis on the word "brief". Q. Yes, I understand, that you were briefed. When they raised the issues that they raised, just to be clear, because I think your evidence this morning at two points somewhat contradicted itself, are you saying you made no connection at all between the brief, the brief brief that Mr McGrail gave you on that Operation Delhi meeting he had had where the Chief Minister was angry with him and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	with section (2), by which I mean that before seeking the approval of the Governor and the Chief Minister to call upon the Commissioner to retire, there needs to be that opportunity for the Commissioner to explain himself? A. I think we've gone over this already. Q. And you accept that? A. And I accept that is why we withdrewone of the reasons, anyway. Q. Understood, understood. So, if I can say	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. Yes, emphasis on the word "brief". Q. Yes, I understand, that you were briefed. When they raised the issues that they raised, just to be clear, because I think your evidence this morning at two points somewhat contradicted itself, are you saying you made no connection at all between the brief, the brief brief that Mr McGrail gave you on that Operation Delhi meeting he had had where the Chief Minister was angry with him and the events that were taking place on the 18th?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	with section (2), by which I mean that before seeking the approval of the Governor and the Chief Minister to call upon the Commissioner to retire, there needs to be that opportunity for the Commissioner to explain himself? A. I think we've gone over this already. Q. And you accept that? A. And I accept that is why we withdrewone of the reasons, anyway. Q. Understood, understood. So, if I can say the following, would you accept that the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Yes, emphasis on the word "brief". Q. Yes, I understand, that you were briefed. When they raised the issues that they raised, just to be clear, because I think your evidence this morning at two points somewhat contradicted itself, are you saying you made no connection at all between the brief, the brief brief that Mr McGrail gave you on that Operation Delhi meeting he had had where the Chief Minister was angry with him and the events that were taking place on the 18th? Did you ever put those two together?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	with section (2), by which I mean that before seeking the approval of the Governor and the Chief Minister to call upon the Commissioner to retire, there needs to be that opportunity for the Commissioner to explain himself? A. I think we've gone over this already. Q. And you accept that? A. And I accept that is why we withdrewone of the reasons, anyway. Q. Understood, understood. So, if I can say the following, would you accept that the powers in the authority, absent a default,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. Yes, emphasis on the word "brief". Q. Yes, I understand, that you were briefed. When they raised the issues that they raised, just to be clear, because I think your evidence this morning at two points somewhat contradicted itself, are you saying you made no connection at all between the brief, the brief brief that Mr McGrail gave you on that Operation Delhi meeting he had had where the Chief Minister was angry with him and the events that were taking place on the 18th? Did you ever put those two together? A. Not that I can recall because I didn't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	with section (2), by which I mean that before seeking the approval of the Governor and the Chief Minister to call upon the Commissioner to retire, there needs to be that opportunity for the Commissioner to explain himself? A. I think we've gone over this already. Q. And you accept that? A. And I accept that is why we withdrewone of the reasons, anyway. Q. Understood, understood. So, if I can say the following, would you accept that the powers in the authority, absent a default, should be exercised by the authority? Would	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Yes, emphasis on the word "brief". Q. Yes, I understand, that you were briefed. When they raised the issues that they raised, just to be clear, because I think your evidence this morning at two points somewhat contradicted itself, are you saying you made no connection at all between the brief, the brief brief that Mr McGrail gave you on that Operation Delhi meeting he had had where the Chief Minister was angry with him and the events that were taking place on the 18th? Did you ever put those two together? A. Not that I can recall because I didn't necessarily understand or believe everything
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	with section (2), by which I mean that before seeking the approval of the Governor and the Chief Minister to call upon the Commissioner to retire, there needs to be that opportunity for the Commissioner to explain himself? A. I think we've gone over this already. Q. And you accept that? A. And I accept that is why we withdrewone of the reasons, anyway. Q. Understood, understood. So, if I can say the following, would you accept that the powers in the authority, absent a default, should be exercised by the authority? Would you just accept that basic concept?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Yes, emphasis on the word "brief". Q. Yes, I understand, that you were briefed. When they raised the issues that they raised, just to be clear, because I think your evidence this morning at two points somewhat contradicted itself, are you saying you made no connection at all between the brief, the brief brief that Mr McGrail gave you on that Operation Delhi meeting he had had where the Chief Minister was angry with him and the events that were taking place on the 18th? Did you ever put those two together? A. Not that I can recall because I didn't necessarily understand or believe everything Mr McGrail was telling me but I didn't take
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	with section (2), by which I mean that before seeking the approval of the Governor and the Chief Minister to call upon the Commissioner to retire, there needs to be that opportunity for the Commissioner to explain himself? A. I think we've gone over this already. Q. And you accept that? A. And I accept that is why we withdrewone of the reasons, anyway. Q. Understood, understood. So, if I can say the following, would you accept that the powers in the authority, absent a default, should be exercised by the authority? Would you just accept that basic concept? A. Say it again, please.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Yes, emphasis on the word "brief". Q. Yes, I understand, that you were briefed. When they raised the issues that they raised, just to be clear, because I think your evidence this morning at two points somewhat contradicted itself, are you saying you made no connection at all between the brief, the brief brief that Mr McGrail gave you on that Operation Delhi meeting he had had where the Chief Minister was angry with him and the events that were taking place on the 18th? Did you ever put those two together? A. Not that I can recall because I didn't necessarily understand or believe everything Mr McGrail was telling me but I didn't take notes of what he was saying, but as I said
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	with section (2), by which I mean that before seeking the approval of the Governor and the Chief Minister to call upon the Commissioner to retire, there needs to be that opportunity for the Commissioner to explain himself? A. I think we've gone over this already. Q. And you accept that? A. And I accept that is why we withdrewone of the reasons, anyway. Q. Understood, understood. So, if I can say the following, would you accept that the powers in the authority, absent a default, should be exercised by the authority? Would you just accept that basic concept? A. Say it again, please. Q. The powers in the Act vested in the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Yes, emphasis on the word "brief". Q. Yes, I understand, that you were briefed. When they raised the issues that they raised, just to be clear, because I think your evidence this morning at two points somewhat contradicted itself, are you saying you made no connection at all between the brief, the brief brief that Mr McGrail gave you on that Operation Delhi meeting he had had where the Chief Minister was angry with him and the events that were taking place on the 18th? Did you ever put those two together? A. Not that I can recall because I didn't necessarily understand or believe everything Mr McGrail was telling me but I didn't take notes of what he was saying, but as I said before, I don't think I joined the dots.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	with section (2), by which I mean that before seeking the approval of the Governor and the Chief Minister to call upon the Commissioner to retire, there needs to be that opportunity for the Commissioner to explain himself? A. I think we've gone over this already. Q. And you accept that? A. And I accept that is why we withdrewone of the reasons, anyway. Q. Understood, understood. So, if I can say the following, would you accept that the powers in the authority, absent a default, should be exercised by the authority? Would you just accept that basic concept? A. Say it again, please. Q. The powers in the Act vested in the authority should be exercised by the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Yes, emphasis on the word "brief". Q. Yes, I understand, that you were briefed. When they raised the issues that they raised, just to be clear, because I think your evidence this morning at two points somewhat contradicted itself, are you saying you made no connection at all between the brief, the brief brief that Mr McGrail gave you on that Operation Delhi meeting he had had where the Chief Minister was angry with him and the events that were taking place on the 18th? Did you ever put those two together? A. Not that I can recall because I didn't necessarily understand or believe everything Mr McGrail was telling me but I didn't take notes of what he was saying, but as I said before, I don't think I joined the dots. Q. Okay, that's fine. That's fine, okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	with section (2), by which I mean that before seeking the approval of the Governor and the Chief Minister to call upon the Commissioner to retire, there needs to be that opportunity for the Commissioner to explain himself? A. I think we've gone over this already. Q. And you accept that? A. And I accept that is why we withdrewone of the reasons, anyway. Q. Understood, understood. So, if I can say the following, would you accept that the powers in the authority, absent a default, should be exercised by the authority? Would you just accept that basic concept? A. Say it again, please. Q. The powers in the Act vested in the authority should be exercised by the authority absent a default by the Governor?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Yes, emphasis on the word "brief". Q. Yes, I understand, that you were briefed. When they raised the issues that they raised, just to be clear, because I think your evidence this morning at two points somewhat contradicted itself, are you saying you made no connection at all between the brief, the brief brief that Mr McGrail gave you on that Operation Delhi meeting he had had where the Chief Minister was angry with him and the events that were taking place on the 18th? Did you ever put those two together? A. Not that I can recall because I didn't necessarily understand or believe everything Mr McGrail was telling me but I didn't take notes of what he was saying, but as I said before, I don't think I joined the dots. Q. Okay, that's fine. That's fine, okay. Parking that for the moment, during those
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	with section (2), by which I mean that before seeking the approval of the Governor and the Chief Minister to call upon the Commissioner to retire, there needs to be that opportunity for the Commissioner to explain himself? A. I think we've gone over this already. Q. And you accept that? A. And I accept that is why we withdrewone of the reasons, anyway. Q. Understood, understood. So, if I can say the following, would you accept that the powers in the authority, absent a default, should be exercised by the authority? Would you just accept that basic concept? A. Say it again, please. Q. The powers in the Act vested in the authority should be exercised by the authority absent a default by the Governor? A. I don't understand the question.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Yes, emphasis on the word "brief". Q. Yes, I understand, that you were briefed. When they raised the issues that they raised, just to be clear, because I think your evidence this morning at two points somewhat contradicted itself, are you saying you made no connection at all between the brief, the brief brief that Mr McGrail gave you on that Operation Delhi meeting he had had where the Chief Minister was angry with him and the events that were taking place on the 18th? Did you ever put those two together? A. Not that I can recall because I didn't necessarily understand or believe everything Mr McGrail was telling me but I didn't take notes of what he was saying, but as I said before, I don't think I joined the dots. Q. Okay, that's fine. That's fine, okay. Parking that for the moment, during those meetings, do you think, in order to secure the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	with section (2), by which I mean that before seeking the approval of the Governor and the Chief Minister to call upon the Commissioner to retire, there needs to be that opportunity for the Commissioner to explain himself? A. I think we've gone over this already. Q. And you accept that? A. And I accept that is why we withdrewone of the reasons, anyway. Q. Understood, understood. So, if I can say the following, would you accept that the powers in the authority, absent a default, should be exercised by the authority? Would you just accept that basic concept? A. Say it again, please. Q. The powers in the Act vested in the authority should be exercised by the authority absent a default by the Governor? A. I don't understand the question. Q. Well, absent a default by the authority,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Yes, emphasis on the word "brief". Q. Yes, I understand, that you were briefed. When they raised the issues that they raised, just to be clear, because I think your evidence this morning at two points somewhat contradicted itself, are you saying you made no connection at all between the brief, the brief brief that Mr McGrail gave you on that Operation Delhi meeting he had had where the Chief Minister was angry with him and the events that were taking place on the 18th? Did you ever put those two together? A. Not that I can recall because I didn't necessarily understand or believe everything Mr McGrail was telling me but I didn't take notes of what he was saying, but as I said before, I don't think I joined the dots. Q. Okay, that's fine. That's fine, okay. Parking that for the moment, during those meetings, do you think, in order to secure the independence that we talked about earlier of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	with section (2), by which I mean that before seeking the approval of the Governor and the Chief Minister to call upon the Commissioner to retire, there needs to be that opportunity for the Commissioner to explain himself? A. I think we've gone over this already. Q. And you accept that? A. And I accept that is why we withdrewone of the reasons, anyway. Q. Understood, understood. So, if I can say the following, would you accept that the powers in the authority, absent a default, should be exercised by the authority? Would you just accept that basic concept? A. Say it again, please. Q. The powers in the Act vested in the authority should be exercised by the authority absent a default by the Governor? A. I don't understand the question. Q. Well, absent a default by the authority, the powers that are vested in the authority,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Yes, emphasis on the word "brief". Q. Yes, I understand, that you were briefed. When they raised the issues that they raised, just to be clear, because I think your evidence this morning at two points somewhat contradicted itself, are you saying you made no connection at all between the brief, the brief brief that Mr McGrail gave you on that Operation Delhi meeting he had had where the Chief Minister was angry with him and the events that were taking place on the 18th? Did you ever put those two together? A. Not that I can recall because I didn't necessarily understand or believe everything Mr McGrail was telling me but I didn't take notes of what he was saying, but as I said before, I don't think I joined the dots. Q. Okay, that's fine. That's fine, okay. Parking that for the moment, during those meetings, do you think, in order to secure the independence that we talked about earlier of the authority, it might have been at any stage
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	with section (2), by which I mean that before seeking the approval of the Governor and the Chief Minister to call upon the Commissioner to retire, there needs to be that opportunity for the Commissioner to explain himself? A. I think we've gone over this already. Q. And you accept that? A. And I accept that is why we withdrewone of the reasons, anyway. Q. Understood, understood. So, if I can say the following, would you accept that the powers in the authority, absent a default, should be exercised by the authority? Would you just accept that basic concept? A. Say it again, please. Q. The powers in the Act vested in the authority should be exercised by the authority absent a default by the Governor? A. I don't understand the question. Q. Well, absent a default by the authority, the powers that are vested in the authority, which you were the chairman of, should have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. Yes, emphasis on the word "brief". Q. Yes, I understand, that you were briefed. When they raised the issues that they raised, just to be clear, because I think your evidence this morning at two points somewhat contradicted itself, are you saying you made no connection at all between the brief, the brief brief that Mr McGrail gave you on that Operation Delhi meeting he had had where the Chief Minister was angry with him and the events that were taking place on the 18th? Did you ever put those two together? A. Not that I can recall because I didn't necessarily understand or believe everything Mr McGrail was telling me but I didn't take notes of what he was saying, but as I said before, I don't think I joined the dots. Q. Okay, that's fine. That's fine, okay. Parking that for the moment, during those meetings, do you think, in order to secure the independence that we talked about earlier of the authority, it might have been at any stage sensible to say to both Mr Pyle or Mr
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	with section (2), by which I mean that before seeking the approval of the Governor and the Chief Minister to call upon the Commissioner to retire, there needs to be that opportunity for the Commissioner to explain himself? A. I think we've gone over this already. Q. And you accept that? A. And I accept that is why we withdrewone of the reasons, anyway. Q. Understood, understood. So, if I can say the following, would you accept that the powers in the authority, absent a default, should be exercised by the authority? Would you just accept that basic concept? A. Say it again, please. Q. The powers in the Act vested in the authority should be exercised by the authority absent a default by the Governor? A. I don't understand the question. Q. Well, absent a default by the authority, the powers that are vested in the authority,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Yes, emphasis on the word "brief". Q. Yes, I understand, that you were briefed. When they raised the issues that they raised, just to be clear, because I think your evidence this morning at two points somewhat contradicted itself, are you saying you made no connection at all between the brief, the brief brief that Mr McGrail gave you on that Operation Delhi meeting he had had where the Chief Minister was angry with him and the events that were taking place on the 18th? Did you ever put those two together? A. Not that I can recall because I didn't necessarily understand or believe everything Mr McGrail was telling me but I didn't take notes of what he was saying, but as I said before, I don't think I joined the dots. Q. Okay, that's fine. That's fine, okay. Parking that for the moment, during those meetings, do you think, in order to secure the independence that we talked about earlier of the authority, it might have been at any stage
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	with section (2), by which I mean that before seeking the approval of the Governor and the Chief Minister to call upon the Commissioner to retire, there needs to be that opportunity for the Commissioner to explain himself? A. I think we've gone over this already. Q. And you accept that? A. And I accept that is why we withdrewone of the reasons, anyway. Q. Understood, understood. So, if I can say the following, would you accept that the powers in the authority, absent a default, should be exercised by the authority? Would you just accept that basic concept? A. Say it again, please. Q. The powers in the Act vested in the authority should be exercised by the authority absent a default by the Governor? A. I don't understand the question. Q. Well, absent a default by the authority, the powers that are vested in the authority, which you were the chairman of, should have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. Yes, emphasis on the word "brief". Q. Yes, I understand, that you were briefed. When they raised the issues that they raised, just to be clear, because I think your evidence this morning at two points somewhat contradicted itself, are you saying you made no connection at all between the brief, the brief brief that Mr McGrail gave you on that Operation Delhi meeting he had had where the Chief Minister was angry with him and the events that were taking place on the 18th? Did you ever put those two together? A. Not that I can recall because I didn't necessarily understand or believe everything Mr McGrail was telling me but I didn't take notes of what he was saying, but as I said before, I don't think I joined the dots. Q. Okay, that's fine. That's fine, okay. Parking that for the moment, during those meetings, do you think, in order to secure the independence that we talked about earlier of the authority, it might have been at any stage sensible to say to both Mr Pyle or Mr

complaints. You are two very senior 1 their heads. 2 2 individuals. I respect you greatly but I need THE CHAIRMAN: Well, you do not like 3 to take your complaint in writing and take it 3 conflict. 4 to my committee, give it some thought, 4 A. I have spent my life as a teacher, I think, 5 analyse it, invite Mr McGrail, go through that 5 in an all-boys school, avoiding those kind of 6 process." Do you not think that would have 6 7 been a sensible thing to have done? 7 MR CRUZ: Okay. You did say that you did 8 8 A. Now, after taking legal advice, most not like conflict. I understand that. So, at 9 9 probably but then I wasn't thinking along one point, again your counsel in his opening 10 10 those lines, certainly. Certainly not. submissions said that the GPA cannot ask the 11 11 Governor to retire or the Chief Minister to Q. Well, can I ask you this. Your counsel 12 said that you felt very uncomfortable and 12 retire. He can ask the Commissioner to 13 after that meeting, and this is Mr Neish on 13 retire. Is it the case that really you felt you 14 14 day two of the transcript at page 88. He said had no choice? 15 15 that you felt extremely uncomfortable at A. I felt I had a choice. I felt I was given a 16 what you were doing. "Dr Britto felt 16 choice but whether I gave myself a choice is 17 17 extremely uncomfortable and found what he a complete - it's another matter. The thing is 18 was doing was ... unpalatable." What 18 I did what I did. 19 19 particularly was unpalatable about it? MR NEISH: Mr Chairman, I am sorry to 20 20 A. Having to tell somebody, give to interrupt. Is that not rather a vague question? 21 somebody essentially bad news that people 21 A choice about what? Really, confronted 22 22 with the facts and the meeting with the Chief have lost confidence in you and you're 23 23 required to make representations and you Minister, did he have a choice? Well, the 24 24 answer is probably "no", but the question may be required to not resign, hopefully, but 25 25 retire. The whole situation was unpalatable. could be more specific. Page 185 Page 187 1 Q. Uncomfortable? Unpalatable. Did you 1 THE CHAIRMAN: In fact, he said this 2 feel a sense of injustice towards Mr McGrail 2 morning - I will get his precise words: 3 3 as a result of that meeting? "They were giving me a choice, but not 4 A. I didn't have time to think. 4 really." That is what he said. 5 5 Q. Okay, and after the meeting, during that MR CRUZ: In essence, it is the same 6 period did you feel that something unjust was 6 question. It is the same question that I was 7 7 happening? eliciting, just to be clear, but, Mr Chairman, A. I'm not sure. 8 8 you have picked it up. I am grateful to you. 9 9 O. You are not sure? Mr Britto, just a couple more questions. If 10 A. I can't answer. 10 Mr Lavarello is correct in what he said 11 11 yesterday, which is had he had the full set of Q. Okay. 12 A. Something in that surrounding - I really 12 facts, there might have been a different 13 13 outcome - would you agree with that don't know what I thought at the time. 14 14 Q. Okay. Can I suggest to you that comment? 15 15 A. If you ask me a question, I might be able A. Had we had the full set of facts -16 16 to answer rather than suggest things, please. O. From Mr McGrail. 17 Q. Of course. Can I put it to you, or can I 17 A. Had we known -18 18 ask you, do you think it was unjust? Q. Had you known -19 19 A. That's for the inquiry to find, I think. I A. -- that even before the meeting with the 20 20 am not in a position to judge absolutely Chief Minister and the Governor - we didn't 21 21 know anything. anyone except myself. 22 22 Q. Okay. Q. I understand, but Mr Lavarello said 23 23 THE CHAIRMAN: You do not like making specifically -24 judgments between people really, do you? 24 A. A very hypothetical question and I am 25 25 A. I avoid it, sir, because I am not inside not sure I can answer that. Page 186 Page 188

Q. Well, Mr Lavarello was able to answer -1 1 THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, okay. 2 2 THE CHAIRMAN: That does not mean that (1500)3 this witness (inaudible) is prepared to answer 3 (Adjourned for a short time) 4 4 (15.09)5 MR CRUZ: No, well I know. I appreciate 5 MR WAGNER: Thank you. 6 6 THE CHAIRMAN: I know that you will have that sir, but I am just trying to put it to him 7 and if he is unable to, well I understand but I 7 taken this on board, Mr Wagner, but you need 8 8 not cover the ground that has been covered do not think it is fair not to put it to him. He 9 was asked a question - he was asked two 9 already by others. 10 questions, one by Mr Wagner and then by my 10 MR WAGNER: Yes, and I have also taken on 11 learned friend Sir Peter, right? In the case of 11 board it is the last thing on a Friday, and I do 12 Sir Peter's one, "Moving on, you said that if 12 not want to be responsible for us finishing ... 13 you had known the facts that you know now, 13 THE CHAIRMAN: I would propose sitting 14 14 the board may have come to a different until 6 o'clock if necessary, but I would not 15 15 conclusion. Do you remember saying that?" encourage it. 16 "Yes, may have." Now, would you agree 16 MR WAGNER: I am not going to. 17 that had you had the facts you may have 17 Questioned by MR WAGNER 18 come to a different decision? 18 Q. Good afternoon, Dr Britto. 19 19 A. May, may. A. Good afternoon, Mr Wagner. 20 20 Q. Indeed, may. And therefore can I ask you Q. First, policies. You referred to Mr Ullger whether if the GPA had adhered to its role as 21 21 submitting policies for the GPA's approval and 22 identified in the Constitution to be 22 you spent some time on that. A. Yes. 23 independent, would it be fair to say that the 23 24 24 Q. Do you recall which Commissioner it was outcome might have been completely 25 25 different? that instigated the system of the GPA Page 191 Page 189 A. I am not a fortune teller. I think - what 1 reviewing police policies? 2 2 A. No, it's actually in the Act. would have happened, I had no way of 3 3 Q. It is in the Acts, and do you recall that Mr knowing that. 4 MR NEISH: Sorry, what might have 4 McGrail was a keen proponent of that? 5 5 happened? A. Possibly. 6 THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, do not worry, Mr 6 Q. Gibraltar Police Federation. You were 7 7 asked about the relationship between the GPF Neish. 8 8 MR CRUZ: All right. Well, let me put a and the RGP Senior Leadership and you 9 9 different question to you. Do you think that accepted it was difficult. 10 10 A. Verv. the GPA failed the RGP, and I say it in a general sense given that the Commissioner is 11 Q. Did things improve after Mr McGrail left? 11 12 one of its senior personnel, on this occasion 12 A. At first. 13 failed the RGP in its approach? 13 Q. And then what happened? 14 14 A. No. A. Things then went back to normal; 15 15 Q. You do not? fractious. 16 Q. Fractious. Yes. And is it not right that -16 A. (no reply) 17 17 MR CRUZ: Okay. Thank you. we have seen the email and I will not take you MR WAGNER: Sir, I do not know whether 18 to it - that Mr Ullger last year said he would no 18 19 19 it makes sense to break now or I can stop longer work with the GPF under its leadership 20 20 after 15 minutes, whatever? at the time? 21 21 THE CHAIRMAN: I had completely lost A. He did say that, but he still tried. 22 Q. And Mr Pyle did not mention the 22 track of time. 23 23 MR WAGNER: It is three o'clock. relationship with the GPF during your meeting 24 24 of the 18th of May, is that right? THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, it probably is. 25 25 MR WAGNER: Okay. A. I think so. I'm not sure. Yes. No, sorry,

Page 190

not Mr Pyle - at the meeting with the Governor 1 O. So, you knew that the matter was being 2 and the Chief Minister? 2 independently investigated? 3 3 Q. Yes. A. I suppose, yes. 4 4 A. No. He said he was concerned about it but Q. Just to pause on independent 5 he didn't make it a huge issue. 5 investigations, is it fair to say that Mr McGrail 6 Q. It was not a big thing. The incident at sea. 6 regularly requested independent reports? 7 You mentioned that you had heard comments 7 A. I'm not sure. I know he requested an 8 from retired officers about the AIS being 8 independent report from, and I might get this 9 switched off, both in Gibraltar and amongst 9 wrong, the APP, or the ... 10 10 the Spanish police, is that right? Q. AAP. So, he requested the independent 11 A. I had heard, yes, but it's purely anecdotal. 11 report into bullying, did he not? 12 Q. Had you heard those rumours before the 12 A. I remember because he actually mentioned 13 14th of May, before mid May 2020? 13 what it was going to cost the police force, 14 14 which was £20,000. A. It's a good question. I'm not sure. Q. And he requested the HMICFRS report, 15 15 O. You are not sure. 16 A. I'm not sure. 16 did he not? 17 17 Q. So, it could have been before, it could have A. Through me. 18 been after? 18 Q. But he convinced you to request it? 19 A. It could have been before. 19 A. Yes. 20 20 Q. And it could have been after. Q. It was not your idea. And he requested the Metropolitan Police report into the incident at 21 A. Yes. 21 22 22 Q. Did you, when you heard about the AIS sea, did he not? 23 23 being switched off in the incident at sea, did A. Yes. 24 you raise the rumours, if you had heard them 24 Q. You have been sat in these hearings 25 25 by that stage, with anyone on the GPA? throughout, I think? Page 193 Page 195 1 A. No, no. 1 A. Yes. 2 Q. Did you raise them with Mr McGrail? 2 Q. You may or may not have seen, but in one 3 3 A. No. of the transcripts of the meetings which took 4 Q. You gave evidence that you thought that 4 place that you were not in, that took place 5 5 from the 13th of May onwards, Mr McGrail you, and I think the GPA generally, when you 6 heard about the significance of the incident at 6 said at one point, "Look, I'm happy to send 7 7 sea to the loss of confidence, you assumed out/I'm willing to send out the Op Delhi 8 8 there must be some, it must have been more investigation to an independent police force to 9 9 serious than you had previously thought, and review" - I do not know if you saw that or not? 10 10 you were asked why you had not, as the GPA, A. No. 11 11 Q. No. Do you recall that Mr McGrail done anything about it until then and you said 12 because the reports had not been produced yet. 12 referred, while he was Commissioner, a case 13 Which report were you talking about? 13 of bullying to the GPA to quality assure how 14 A. I'm not sure. Mr McGrail commissioned 14 the RGP was handling the matter? 15 15 A. A case of bullying? an external police force to investigate 16 16 everything, but I'm not sure when the actual Q. There was a case, there was a complaint of 17 17 results were out. bullying, I guess it was probably a grievance. 18 18 Q. But as at May 2020, you did not, you were A. It was a grievance. 19 19 not aware that the report had been produced? Q. And he referred it to the GPA? 20 20 You had not been given any kind of draft or A. Because at the time there was no bullying 21 21 any report? policy, anti-bullying policy, so it had to go 22 22 A. No. through grievance. Now I'm glad to say there 23 23 Q. But you knew it ... is an anti-bullying policy. A. We were just aware that it had happened 24 24 Q. Yes, but do you recall him referring that to 25 outside our waters. 25 the GPA? Page 194 Page 196

1	A. Vaguely.	1	the internal briefing note, I wasn't included
2	Q. For the assistance of the GPA. And indeed	2	because we didn't have a role, I don't think.
3	you may or may not know this, but Mr	3	Q. Sure, so they might have known but you
4	McGrail originally called for this inquiry - I do	4	did not ask?
5	not know if you did or did not know that?	5	A. I didn't ask.
6	A. No.	6	Q. You trusted them?
7	Q. Taking all that together, the fact that he	7	A. Yes.
8	regularly called for independent processes to	8	Q. Turning to the second point, the Governor
9	analyze quite difficult circumstances, does that	9	told you he had been misled about the incident
10	suggest to you that he was open or closed to	10	at sea. He was accusing the Commissioner of
11	criticism?	11	Police of dishonesty, was he not?
12	A. I'm not sure. I don't think I worked long	12	A. He was.
13	enough with him to answer that, but having	13	Q. And that is a very serious allegation, is it
14	said that, it's normal for the police force to call	14	not?
15	outside help, especially when there's a death in	15	A. I can't say No.
16	custody and anything that they feel they can't	16	Q. No. And serious enough for him to have
17	investigate themselves. Not because of	17	lost confidence. You said that the Governor
18	conflict of interest but simply because they	18	did not share any evidence of his concerns.
19 20	haven't got the expertise. I think. I mean, I'm	19 20	Did you ever see any emails or text messages or other documents that were his evidence for
21	not an expert.Q. But somebody who calls for regular	21	the dishonesty?
22	independent reviews, would you agree that	22	A. No, I - he didn't show it to me but I know
23	that does not indicate they are closed to	23	he had a dossier of the incident and he seemed
24	criticism?	24	to be very confident that he could prove that
25	A. It's - I don't know what that implies.	25	Mr McGrail had been keeping certain things
23	_		
	Page 197		Page 199
1	Q. Returning to the incident at sea, when you	1	away from him, and I think it was the exact
1 2	heard about how central the incident at sea was	1 2	location or something along those lines.
	heard about how central the incident at sea was to the loss of confidence, did you ask about the	2 3	location or something along those lines. Q. So, when did he tell you he had this
2 3 4	heard about how central the incident at sea was to the loss of confidence, did you ask about the independent report and where it was?	2 3 4	location or something along those lines. Q. So, when did he tell you he had this dossier?
2 3 4 5	heard about how central the incident at sea was to the loss of confidence, did you ask about the independent report and where it was? A. No.	2 3 4 5	location or something along those lines. Q. So, when did he tell you he had this dossier? A. I can't recall.
2 3 4 5 6	heard about how central the incident at sea was to the loss of confidence, did you ask about the independent report and where it was? A. No. Q. You did not?	2 3 4 5 6	location or something along those lines. Q. So, when did he tell you he had this dossier? A. I can't recall. Q. You only spoke to him once about this, did
2 3 4 5 6 7	heard about how central the incident at sea was to the loss of confidence, did you ask about the independent report and where it was? A. No. Q. You did not? A. No.	2 3 4 5 6 7	location or something along those lines. Q. So, when did he tell you he had this dossier? A. I can't recall. Q. You only spoke to him once about this, did you not? So, it must have been on the 18th?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	heard about how central the incident at sea was to the loss of confidence, did you ask about the independent report and where it was? A. No. Q. You did not? A. No. Q. Did you wonder at all why the Governor	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	location or something along those lines. Q. So, when did he tell you he had this dossier? A. I can't recall. Q. You only spoke to him once about this, did you not? So, it must have been on the 18th? A. No, I don't think it was on the 18th. I think
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	heard about how central the incident at sea was to the loss of confidence, did you ask about the independent report and where it was? A. No. Q. You did not? A. No. Q. Did you wonder at all why the Governor and Chief Minister appeared to have reached	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	location or something along those lines. Q. So, when did he tell you he had this dossier? A. I can't recall. Q. You only spoke to him once about this, did you not? So, it must have been on the 18th? A. No, I don't think it was on the 18th. I think it was after that, but I really can't recall.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	heard about how central the incident at sea was to the loss of confidence, did you ask about the independent report and where it was? A. No. Q. You did not? A. No. Q. Did you wonder at all why the Governor and Chief Minister appeared to have reached hard conclusions about responsibility for the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	location or something along those lines. Q. So, when did he tell you he had this dossier? A. I can't recall. Q. You only spoke to him once about this, did you not? So, it must have been on the 18th? A. No, I don't think it was on the 18th. I think it was after that, but I really can't recall. Q. Did you ever ask to see the dossier?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	heard about how central the incident at sea was to the loss of confidence, did you ask about the independent report and where it was? A. No. Q. You did not? A. No. Q. Did you wonder at all why the Governor and Chief Minister appeared to have reached hard conclusions about responsibility for the incident at sea without the independent report	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	location or something along those lines. Q. So, when did he tell you he had this dossier? A. I can't recall. Q. You only spoke to him once about this, did you not? So, it must have been on the 18th? A. No, I don't think it was on the 18th. I think it was after that, but I really can't recall. Q. Did you ever ask to see the dossier? A. No, that question I have answered already,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	heard about how central the incident at sea was to the loss of confidence, did you ask about the independent report and where it was? A. No. Q. You did not? A. No. Q. Did you wonder at all why the Governor and Chief Minister appeared to have reached hard conclusions about responsibility for the incident at sea without the independent report being available?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	location or something along those lines. Q. So, when did he tell you he had this dossier? A. I can't recall. Q. You only spoke to him once about this, did you not? So, it must have been on the 18th? A. No, I don't think it was on the 18th. I think it was after that, but I really can't recall. Q. Did you ever ask to see the dossier? A. No, that question I have answered already, we didn't try to investigate.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	heard about how central the incident at sea was to the loss of confidence, did you ask about the independent report and where it was? A. No. Q. You did not? A. No. Q. Did you wonder at all why the Governor and Chief Minister appeared to have reached hard conclusions about responsibility for the incident at sea without the independent report being available? A. It didn't cross my mind, but it wasn't - what	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	location or something along those lines. Q. So, when did he tell you he had this dossier? A. I can't recall. Q. You only spoke to him once about this, did you not? So, it must have been on the 18th? A. No, I don't think it was on the 18th. I think it was after that, but I really can't recall. Q. Did you ever ask to see the dossier? A. No, that question I have answered already, we didn't try to investigate. Q. Did the Governor tell you whether, sorry,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	heard about how central the incident at sea was to the loss of confidence, did you ask about the independent report and where it was? A. No. Q. You did not? A. No. Q. Did you wonder at all why the Governor and Chief Minister appeared to have reached hard conclusions about responsibility for the incident at sea without the independent report being available? A. It didn't cross my mind, but it wasn't - what convinced us was the gravity of the incident at	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	location or something along those lines. Q. So, when did he tell you he had this dossier? A. I can't recall. Q. You only spoke to him once about this, did you not? So, it must have been on the 18th? A. No, I don't think it was on the 18th. I think it was after that, but I really can't recall. Q. Did you ever ask to see the dossier? A. No, that question I have answered already, we didn't try to investigate. Q. Did the Governor tell you whether, sorry, the Interim Governor tell you whether he had
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	heard about how central the incident at sea was to the loss of confidence, did you ask about the independent report and where it was? A. No. Q. You did not? A. No. Q. Did you wonder at all why the Governor and Chief Minister appeared to have reached hard conclusions about responsibility for the incident at sea without the independent report being available? A. It didn't cross my mind, but it wasn't - what convinced us was the gravity of the incident at sea, but also the allegation that Mr McGrail	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	location or something along those lines. Q. So, when did he tell you he had this dossier? A. I can't recall. Q. You only spoke to him once about this, did you not? So, it must have been on the 18th? A. No, I don't think it was on the 18th. I think it was after that, but I really can't recall. Q. Did you ever ask to see the dossier? A. No, that question I have answered already, we didn't try to investigate. Q. Did the Governor tell you whether, sorry, the Interim Governor tell you whether he had run his suspicion by Mr McGrail before
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	heard about how central the incident at sea was to the loss of confidence, did you ask about the independent report and where it was? A. No. Q. You did not? A. No. Q. Did you wonder at all why the Governor and Chief Minister appeared to have reached hard conclusions about responsibility for the incident at sea without the independent report being available? A. It didn't cross my mind, but it wasn't - what convinced us was the gravity of the incident at sea, but also the allegation that Mr McGrail had been keeping certain information away	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	location or something along those lines. Q. So, when did he tell you he had this dossier? A. I can't recall. Q. You only spoke to him once about this, did you not? So, it must have been on the 18th? A. No, I don't think it was on the 18th. I think it was after that, but I really can't recall. Q. Did you ever ask to see the dossier? A. No, that question I have answered already, we didn't try to investigate. Q. Did the Governor tell you whether, sorry, the Interim Governor tell you whether he had run his suspicion by Mr McGrail before making the serious allegation of dishonesty?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	heard about how central the incident at sea was to the loss of confidence, did you ask about the independent report and where it was? A. No. Q. You did not? A. No. Q. Did you wonder at all why the Governor and Chief Minister appeared to have reached hard conclusions about responsibility for the incident at sea without the independent report being available? A. It didn't cross my mind, but it wasn't - what convinced us was the gravity of the incident at sea, but also the allegation that Mr McGrail had been keeping certain information away from the Governor.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	location or something along those lines. Q. So, when did he tell you he had this dossier? A. I can't recall. Q. You only spoke to him once about this, did you not? So, it must have been on the 18th? A. No, I don't think it was on the 18th. I think it was after that, but I really can't recall. Q. Did you ever ask to see the dossier? A. No, that question I have answered already, we didn't try to investigate. Q. Did the Governor tell you whether, sorry, the Interim Governor tell you whether he had run his suspicion by Mr McGrail before making the serious allegation of dishonesty? A. He didn't say one way or another but I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	heard about how central the incident at sea was to the loss of confidence, did you ask about the independent report and where it was? A. No. Q. You did not? A. No. Q. Did you wonder at all why the Governor and Chief Minister appeared to have reached hard conclusions about responsibility for the incident at sea without the independent report being available? A. It didn't cross my mind, but it wasn't - what convinced us was the gravity of the incident at sea, but also the allegation that Mr McGrail had been keeping certain information away from the Governor. Q. We will come to that in a minute, but the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	location or something along those lines. Q. So, when did he tell you he had this dossier? A. I can't recall. Q. You only spoke to him once about this, did you not? So, it must have been on the 18th? A. No, I don't think it was on the 18th. I think it was after that, but I really can't recall. Q. Did you ever ask to see the dossier? A. No, that question I have answered already, we didn't try to investigate. Q. Did the Governor tell you whether, sorry, the Interim Governor tell you whether he had run his suspicion by Mr McGrail before making the serious allegation of dishonesty? A. He didn't say one way or another but I don't think he did.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	heard about how central the incident at sea was to the loss of confidence, did you ask about the independent report and where it was? A. No. Q. You did not? A. No. Q. Did you wonder at all why the Governor and Chief Minister appeared to have reached hard conclusions about responsibility for the incident at sea without the independent report being available? A. It didn't cross my mind, but it wasn't - what convinced us was the gravity of the incident at sea, but also the allegation that Mr McGrail had been keeping certain information away from the Governor. Q. We will come to that in a minute, but the gravity of the incident at sea, I am just asking	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	location or something along those lines. Q. So, when did he tell you he had this dossier? A. I can't recall. Q. You only spoke to him once about this, did you not? So, it must have been on the 18th? A. No, I don't think it was on the 18th. I think it was after that, but I really can't recall. Q. Did you ever ask to see the dossier? A. No, that question I have answered already, we didn't try to investigate. Q. Did the Governor tell you whether, sorry, the Interim Governor tell you whether he had run his suspicion by Mr McGrail before making the serious allegation of dishonesty? A. He didn't say one way or another but I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	heard about how central the incident at sea was to the loss of confidence, did you ask about the independent report and where it was? A. No. Q. You did not? A. No. Q. Did you wonder at all why the Governor and Chief Minister appeared to have reached hard conclusions about responsibility for the incident at sea without the independent report being available? A. It didn't cross my mind, but it wasn't - what convinced us was the gravity of the incident at sea, but also the allegation that Mr McGrail had been keeping certain information away from the Governor. Q. We will come to that in a minute, but the gravity of the incident at sea, I am just asking whether you thought - you did not think to ask	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	location or something along those lines. Q. So, when did he tell you he had this dossier? A. I can't recall. Q. You only spoke to him once about this, did you not? So, it must have been on the 18th? A. No, I don't think it was on the 18th. I think it was after that, but I really can't recall. Q. Did you ever ask to see the dossier? A. No, that question I have answered already, we didn't try to investigate. Q. Did the Governor tell you whether, sorry, the Interim Governor tell you whether he had run his suspicion by Mr McGrail before making the serious allegation of dishonesty? A. He didn't say one way or another but I don't think he did. Q. No, and you did not ask? A. No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	heard about how central the incident at sea was to the loss of confidence, did you ask about the independent report and where it was? A. No. Q. You did not? A. No. Q. Did you wonder at all why the Governor and Chief Minister appeared to have reached hard conclusions about responsibility for the incident at sea without the independent report being available? A. It didn't cross my mind, but it wasn't - what convinced us was the gravity of the incident at sea, but also the allegation that Mr McGrail had been keeping certain information away from the Governor. Q. We will come to that in a minute, but the gravity of the incident at sea, I am just asking	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	location or something along those lines. Q. So, when did he tell you he had this dossier? A. I can't recall. Q. You only spoke to him once about this, did you not? So, it must have been on the 18th? A. No, I don't think it was on the 18th. I think it was after that, but I really can't recall. Q. Did you ever ask to see the dossier? A. No, that question I have answered already, we didn't try to investigate. Q. Did the Governor tell you whether, sorry, the Interim Governor tell you whether he had run his suspicion by Mr McGrail before making the serious allegation of dishonesty? A. He didn't say one way or another but I don't think he did. Q. No, and you did not ask?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	heard about how central the incident at sea was to the loss of confidence, did you ask about the independent report and where it was? A. No. Q. You did not? A. No. Q. Did you wonder at all why the Governor and Chief Minister appeared to have reached hard conclusions about responsibility for the incident at sea without the independent report being available? A. It didn't cross my mind, but it wasn't - what convinced us was the gravity of the incident at sea, but also the allegation that Mr McGrail had been keeping certain information away from the Governor. Q. We will come to that in a minute, but the gravity of the incident at sea, I am just asking whether you thought - you did not think to ask and did not think it was curious that they	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	location or something along those lines. Q. So, when did he tell you he had this dossier? A. I can't recall. Q. You only spoke to him once about this, did you not? So, it must have been on the 18th? A. No, I don't think it was on the 18th. I think it was after that, but I really can't recall. Q. Did you ever ask to see the dossier? A. No, that question I have answered already, we didn't try to investigate. Q. Did the Governor tell you whether, sorry, the Interim Governor tell you whether he had run his suspicion by Mr McGrail before making the serious allegation of dishonesty? A. He didn't say one way or another but I don't think he did. Q. No, and you did not ask? A. No. Q. You came to learn a few days after the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	heard about how central the incident at sea was to the loss of confidence, did you ask about the independent report and where it was? A. No. Q. You did not? A. No. Q. Did you wonder at all why the Governor and Chief Minister appeared to have reached hard conclusions about responsibility for the incident at sea without the independent report being available? A. It didn't cross my mind, but it wasn't - what convinced us was the gravity of the incident at sea, but also the allegation that Mr McGrail had been keeping certain information away from the Governor. Q. We will come to that in a minute, but the gravity of the incident at sea, I am just asking whether you thought - you did not think to ask and did not think it was curious that they would reach that conclusion without the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	location or something along those lines. Q. So, when did he tell you he had this dossier? A. I can't recall. Q. You only spoke to him once about this, did you not? So, it must have been on the 18th? A. No, I don't think it was on the 18th. I think it was after that, but I really can't recall. Q. Did you ever ask to see the dossier? A. No, that question I have answered already, we didn't try to investigate. Q. Did the Governor tell you whether, sorry, the Interim Governor tell you whether he had run his suspicion by Mr McGrail before making the serious allegation of dishonesty? A. He didn't say one way or another but I don't think he did. Q. No, and you did not ask? A. No. Q. You came to learn a few days after the incident at sea, I think on the 13th of March,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	heard about how central the incident at sea was to the loss of confidence, did you ask about the independent report and where it was? A. No. Q. You did not? A. No. Q. Did you wonder at all why the Governor and Chief Minister appeared to have reached hard conclusions about responsibility for the incident at sea without the independent report being available? A. It didn't cross my mind, but it wasn't - what convinced us was the gravity of the incident at sea, but also the allegation that Mr McGrail had been keeping certain information away from the Governor. Q. We will come to that in a minute, but the gravity of the incident at sea, I am just asking whether you thought - you did not think to ask and did not think it was curious that they would reach that conclusion without the independent report?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	location or something along those lines. Q. So, when did he tell you he had this dossier? A. I can't recall. Q. You only spoke to him once about this, did you not? So, it must have been on the 18th? A. No, I don't think it was on the 18th. I think it was after that, but I really can't recall. Q. Did you ever ask to see the dossier? A. No, that question I have answered already, we didn't try to investigate. Q. Did the Governor tell you whether, sorry, the Interim Governor tell you whether he had run his suspicion by Mr McGrail before making the serious allegation of dishonesty? A. He didn't say one way or another but I don't think he did. Q. No, and you did not ask? A. No. Q. You came to learn a few days after the incident at sea, I think on the 13th of March, that the whole incident, so the chase and the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	heard about how central the incident at sea was to the loss of confidence, did you ask about the independent report and where it was? A. No. Q. You did not? A. No. Q. Did you wonder at all why the Governor and Chief Minister appeared to have reached hard conclusions about responsibility for the incident at sea without the independent report being available? A. It didn't cross my mind, but it wasn't - what convinced us was the gravity of the incident at sea, but also the allegation that Mr McGrail had been keeping certain information away from the Governor. Q. We will come to that in a minute, but the gravity of the incident at sea, I am just asking whether you thought - you did not think to ask and did not think it was curious that they would reach that conclusion without the independent report? A. They might have known something that I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	location or something along those lines. Q. So, when did he tell you he had this dossier? A. I can't recall. Q. You only spoke to him once about this, did you not? So, it must have been on the 18th? A. No, I don't think it was on the 18th. I think it was after that, but I really can't recall. Q. Did you ever ask to see the dossier? A. No, that question I have answered already, we didn't try to investigate. Q. Did the Governor tell you whether, sorry, the Interim Governor tell you whether he had run his suspicion by Mr McGrail before making the serious allegation of dishonesty? A. He didn't say one way or another but I don't think he did. Q. No, and you did not ask? A. No. Q. You came to learn a few days after the incident at sea, I think on the 13th of March, that the whole incident, so the chase and the collision, took place in Spanish waters. You

A. Yes, I can't recall exactly when I found out 1 A. Yes. 2 2 but if that's what the evidence shows, then that Q. The HMIC report. When it was used by 3 3 the Governor and the Chief Minister, the is the case. 4 4 Q. It was a text message that Mr McGrail sent Interim Governor and the Chief Minister as a 5 to the Maritime Incident Group. 5 justification for the loss of confidence, did you 6 6 consider getting in touch with the Inspectors to A. Yes. 7 Q. Were you aware why the Governor was 7 ask them whether in their opinion the report 8 not included in the Maritime Incident Group? 8 justified removing the Commissioner? 9 A. No, I wasn't even aware why I was 9 A. Not at all. 10 10 included in it. Q. No. 11 Q. Was it your understanding, and if you do 11 A. And I don't think they would have 12 not remember say, that the Governor's concern 12 commented on that, or expected that a report 13 was that he had not been told early enough that 13 would lead to this, but I'm not sure because I 14 14 the whole incident took place in Spanish didn't get in touch with them. 15 15 waters? Q. You do not think they would have 16 16 A. I think that's possibly what he said. expected the report? 17 17 Q. And just go to B1748, just because it was A. No, I said I don't think. I'm not sure. 18 referred to before but I do not think it was 18 Q. You now say and you said in evidence 19 shown. Maybe it is C1748. This is an email 19 today that you were concerned it would 20 20 that Mr Pyle sent the day after the incident damage the reputation of the RGP and that you 21 took place, early in the morning, or earlyish, 21 were concerned for that reason about 22 22 7.57: "There may be complications around publishing the report. Is that what you said? 23 yesterday's incident, it may have happened as 23 A. That's correct. 24 24 Q. And you also said that you responded to much as 6 miles inside Spanish waters." 25 25 Would it be fair to say that you did not see this Mr McGrail's text message about the reporting Page 201 Page 203 1 email or anything like it until the inquiry? 1 from Panorama being sensationalist, that you sort of agreed, just to make him feel better? Is 2 A. Until now. 2 3 3 Q. Looking back now, would you have that what you said? 4 expected to have been presented with evidence 4 A. Chronicle, yes. To make him feel better. 5 5 about the allegation of dishonesty? That's me trying to avoid people getting hurt, 6 A. If an allegation had been made and I had 6 quite frankly. 7 7 known that there was evidence, and I'm not Q. And you also said you felt that Mr McGrail 8 8 sure, and I'm not saying that this is evidence, I initially said it was not - it was the previous 9 don't want to get involved in that, possibly, 9 Commissioner who had not implemented 10 10 quite possibly. Whether I would have recommendations. That is what you said? 11 understood what it means, you know, "6 miles 11 A. That's what I was told. 12 inside Spanish waters" - as we know, that's 12 Q. You submitted, I think, is it four statements 13 been a bit of a controversy, trying to explain 13 to this inquiry, three or four? 14 exactly what that meant. 14 A. I think so. 15 15 Q. It would have taken quite a detailed Q. It is five. Did you mention any of those 16 exercise to get to the bottom of whether he had 16 points in your statements? 17 been dishonest, is that fair? 17 A. Which points? 18 A. Possibly. 18 Q. Well, about your concern about the report 19 Q. And is it fair to say, dealing with the 19 damaging the reputation of the RGP? About 20 Interim Governor, you trusted him to have 20 Mr McGrail not taking responsibility? And all 2.1 done that exercise before making the 21 of that? 22 allegation? 22 A. No, I haven't mentioned Mr McGrail not 23 A. To be honest, I trusted both. 23 taking responsibility, I don't think. What 24 Q. I am asking about the Interim Governor. I 24 concerned me most about the report is what I 25 will come to the Chief Minister. 25 put there, that I didn't think it should have been Page 202 Page 204

1 1 published, and also that we weren't really, I taking responsibility for the report, he would 2 2 not have said, "Let's publish it and I'm going to wasn't convinced that we were all really alive 3 3 take questions from the public"? to how serious the report was because, as I 4 4 A. Yes, in spite of him initially telling me, said, as lay-people, we read the report and we 5 felt - well, I mean, it's fixable, but that's 5 "It's not my fault, this is the previous 6 6 Commissioner." I can't say No. separate to actually publishing. 7 7 Q. He took public responsibility and he Q. Sorry, so you do not know whether you 8 were alive to the seriousness. Is that what you 8 answered questions to the public, is that fair? 9 9 came to think after the Governor and the Chief A. Yes, ves. 10 10 Minister said, "Actually, this is really, really Q. I just want to ask you about what you were 11 11 told by Mr McGrail when you met - I am not serious and we're going to ..." 12 12 going to ask you about when exactly you met A. Yes. 13 Q. So, it was their opinion that swayed you in 13 between the 12th and the 15th, because I do 14 14 not think you recall, but if we go to - so, your that sense? 15 A. They didn't tell me, "You guys aren't alive 15 evidence this morning was that Mr McGrail 16 to how serious this is." They told me, "This is 16 spoke to you and it was primarily about James 17 17 very serious." Levy's phone? 18 Q. Yes, your initial thought was, "It's fixable, 18 A. And in fact I think I remember asking, 19 19 "Where is it?" and he said, "In my safe." That it's damaging but fixable"? 20 20 A. My initial feeling was that it was, and I is what stuck in my head. 21 think it's written somewhere, that it made 21 Q. That is what stuck in your head, but is it 22 22 extremely uncomfortable reading, 23 23 uncomfortable for the police and for us. A. I really didn't understand why there would 24 24 Q. But you did not - yes, I think you have be a phone in his safe. 25 25 made the point. So, you did not want the Q. Well, it would have been a very odd thing Page 205 Page 207 1 report to be published, and the Interim 1 for him to just and say, "Dr Britto, I've come to 2 Governor did not want the report to be 2 tell you, James Levy's phone's in the safe. I'm 3 3 published either, did he? going now." 4 4 A. It wasn't just that. He said, "The Chief A. I wasn't sure about that. I didn't ask. 5 5 Q. I think you were shown an email where he Minister is very upset with me" and then he 6 6 said, "Because I've got the phone in the safe." said that. 7 7 A. Yes. Q. And did he not also say that he was 8 8 Q. Did Mr McGrail want the report to be concerned, and the reason he was seeing you 9 9 was because he was concerned about 10 10 A. At first he was also very uncomfortable but interference with an operational matter by the 11 then I think after meeting with the Minister for 11 Chief Minister? 12 Justice, we felt, well, it's Covid, people are 12 A. He possibly mentioned that. I'm not saying 13 going to be preoccupied more with the Covid. 13 that I - at that moment in time I believed that 14 14 And, to be honest, it was in the public ... this could possibly be happening. 15 Q. That is what he says in the text message, is 15 Q. I will come back to that. I just want to go 16 16 it not? To paraphrase, he says, "We're in a to A517 and paragraph 14. This is Mr 17 good place with the public now, and now is a 17 Carreras' statement and he is referring to the 18 good time to publish it." And was it Mr 18 meeting on the 22nd: "Dr Britto made an 19 McGrail who said that he would publish, once 19 incidental reference to a conversation which he 20 the report as published he would immediately 20 had with Mr McGrail prior to the 18th of May 21 answer questions at the regular Covid press 21 meeting during which Mr McGrail alleged that 22. 22 conference about the report? they were trying to interfere in an investigation 23 A. Yes, that's why I said, "Okay, let's go 23 being carried out by the RGP which involved a 24 24 ahead." senior partner in a law firm." Do you agree 25 Q. Is it not fair to say that, if he had not been 25 that, if Mr Carreras remembers you saying that Page 206 Page 208

1 1 at a meeting, that it is highly likely that you not had it. 2 were actually told that by Mr McGrail? 2 A. Would you mind repeating the question, 3 3 A. Yes, we haven't discussed as a GPA, so it 4 4 Q. I asked whether the skepticism that you is his recollection. 5 Q. Yes, but there is no other way he would 5 had towards Mr McGrail - and I am not 6 have known that, is there? I suppose you 6 casting any aspersions because it is just how 7 7 you felt - do you think that skepticism cannot answer that. 8 8 impacted on the way you dealt with the whole A. So, would you agree, and I will not take 9 9 you back to the minute, but would you agree 10 10 that it is highly likely you were told by Mr A. Skepticism, possibly, about how could that 11 McGrail that Mr Picardo was trying to 11 be happening in Gibraltar, rather than what Mr 12 12 interfere with an investigation? McGrail was telling me, if you see the 13 A. Maybe not quite in those words, but he led 13 distinction? 14 14 me to believe that that was his perception, yes. Q. I do not because ... 15 Q. You say you believed it could not possibly 15 A. I'm not trying to say that I distrusted him. 16 16 be happening, or you could not possibly It's just that maybe he feels that they're trying 17 17 believe it would be happening. Why were you to interfere with him. 18 so skeptical in relation to what Mr McGrail 18 Q. Does it go back to the fact that you trusted 19 19 was telling you? the Chief Minister? 20 20 A. Yes. A. Because it seemed like something out of 21 fiction, to tell you the truth, and I'm not used to 21 O. And ... 22 22 A. I trusted the office of the Chief Minister that. 23 23 Q. Yes. and the office of the Governor. 24 24 A. I'm not saying it wasn't true, what I'm Q. And you did not believe that what Mr 25 25 saying is that at that moment in time I just McGrail was telling you, that he was Page 209 Page 211 1 couldn't quite fathom it and I just ... 1 interfering with an investigation, could be 2 Q. Because you have mentioned a few times 2 3 3 that when - I think you said early in your A. I think that's what was going through my 4 evidence, you said you just could not believe 4 mind at that time. 5 5 Q. The 18th of May meeting with Mr Picardo this could be happening in Gibraltar. 6 A. Yes. 6 and Mr Pyle. You have already explored with 7 7 Q. That was one thing you said. And then Mr Santos what Mr Picardo did and did not 8 8 you said a few times that you did not quite tell you, but is it fair to say he did not tell you 9 9 believe what Mr McGrail was telling you. anything about the Op Delhi investigation? 10 10 Would it be fair that that is quite a big contrast A. He didn't mention Op Delhi and said that 11 with the fact that you fully believed what you 11 he didn't like the way we do things and I 12 were being told by the Chief Minister and the 12 believe, I understand he mentioned something 13 Governor? 13 to do with the AG and misleading the AG - I 14 A. It is a contrast, yes. 14 don't want to use the word "lying", but I'm 15 15 Q. And do you think your skepticism about pretty certain that that's what I told Mr 16 16 what Mr McGrail was telling you may have in McGrail. 17 17 some way impacted the way you dealt with the Q. But he did not mention any detail about the 18 whole situation? 18 investigation? 19 19 A. Excuse me, my nose is dripping. A. Not that I remember. I would have 20 20 Q. No, take a minute. Shall we take a break? remembered that. 2.1 21 A. I think it's pollen or whatever. Q. You would have remembered that. And is 22 22 it fair that you are likely to have remembered Q. I am just aware that we have not had a - no, 23 23 we had a break, did we not, before? that because it would have chimed with what 24 24 THE CHAIRMAN: We had a break. Mr McGrail had been telling you about James 25 MR WAGNER: Sorry, it feels like we have 25 Levy's phone and the warrant?

Page 210

1	A. Possibly, and that's probably why he told	1	of hindsight now, that whilst often loss of
2	me.	2	confidence is going to make it very difficult
3	Q. Yes, because you did not make the	3	for a Commissioner to continue, there will be
4	connection, did you? And you have given	4	some situations where it is necessary to dig
5	that evidence.	5	into the background to the loss of confidence
6	A. Not at that time.	6	to see if it is reasonable?
7	Q. No.	7	A. Yes, and we decided that we weren't the
8	A. Certainly not at that time.	8	ones to do that because of that flawed process,
9	Q. Do you agree that, in order for you and the	9	but with hindsight
10	GPA to make a fair decision, make the fair	10	Q. But you agree that in the end the
11	decision that you were being asked to make,	11	responsibility to decide whether to do that and
12	that you needed to know the background to the	12	to do that is the GPA's?
13	investigation, at least in broad strokes?	13	A. Had we started the process properly, which
14	A. With hindsight, yes.	14	we didn't.
15	Q. It was vital context, was it not, to what Mr	15	Q. Yes, but you agree that is your
16	McGrail was being accused of?	16	responsibility to look at, to understand the
17	A. Yes, but could I add something to that?	17	background to the loss of confidence, not just
18	Q. Yes, of course.	18	accept it?
19	A. But I still think that the facts, that both had	19	A. If I am understanding what you are saying,
20	lost confidence in Mr McGrail made it very	20	then Yes.
21	difficult for him to carry on, but we were	21	Q. You were in very regular communications
22	willing to listen to him in case we had to go	22	with Mr Picardo during that period, were you
23	back and say, "Look" either, "We can't do	23	not?
24	this" or, "You shouldn't be doing this", which I	24	A. I think I was, especially in asking for help
25	didn't even envisage.	25	for writing the letters and then asking for what
	Page 213		Page 215
	<u> </u>		8
1	Q. But the Chief Minister and the Governor	1	I think we're going to need as a GPA and
1 2	Q. But the Chief Minister and the Governor coming along and saying, "We've lost	1 2	I think we're going to need as a GPA and personally me, legal representation, but I can't
2	coming along and saying, "We've lost confidence" is not - it cannot be just the sort of magical code word that requires you to trigger	2	personally me, legal representation, but I can't remember the sequence. Q. So, he had a whole range of opportunities -
2 3	coming along and saying, "We've lost confidence" is not - it cannot be just the sort of magical code word that requires you to trigger s.34, can it?	2 3 4 5	personally me, legal representation, but I can't remember the sequence. Q. So, he had a whole range of opportunities - the meeting, calls, text messages - to give you
2 3 4	coming along and saying, "We've lost confidence" is not - it cannot be just the sort of magical code word that requires you to trigger s.34, can it? A. It was for me at that time.	2 3 4 5 6	personally me, legal representation, but I can't remember the sequence. Q. So, he had a whole range of opportunities - the meeting, calls, text messages - to give you the vital context behind the investigation, is
2 3 4 5	coming along and saying, "We've lost confidence" is not - it cannot be just the sort of magical code word that requires you to trigger s.34, can it? A. It was for me at that time. Q. But looking back now, it cannot be, can it?	2 3 4 5 6 7	personally me, legal representation, but I can't remember the sequence. Q. So, he had a whole range of opportunities - the meeting, calls, text messages - to give you the vital context behind the investigation, is that fair?
2 3 4 5 6	coming along and saying, "We've lost confidence" is not - it cannot be just the sort of magical code word that requires you to trigger s.34, can it? A. It was for me at that time. Q. But looking back now, it cannot be, can it? A. Looking back now, with hindsight,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	personally me, legal representation, but I can't remember the sequence. Q. So, he had a whole range of opportunities - the meeting, calls, text messages - to give you the vital context behind the investigation, is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	coming along and saying, "We've lost confidence" is not - it cannot be just the sort of magical code word that requires you to trigger s.34, can it? A. It was for me at that time. Q. But looking back now, it cannot be, can it? A. Looking back now, with hindsight, possibly the first thing I would have done is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	personally me, legal representation, but I can't remember the sequence. Q. So, he had a whole range of opportunities - the meeting, calls, text messages - to give you the vital context behind the investigation, is that fair? A. To be fair, yes, but I didn't ask. It didn't even dawn on me.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	coming along and saying, "We've lost confidence" is not - it cannot be just the sort of magical code word that requires you to trigger s.34, can it? A. It was for me at that time. Q. But looking back now, it cannot be, can it? A. Looking back now, with hindsight, possibly the first thing I would have done is seek legal advice, as I have said.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	personally me, legal representation, but I can't remember the sequence. Q. So, he had a whole range of opportunities - the meeting, calls, text messages - to give you the vital context behind the investigation, is that fair? A. To be fair, yes, but I didn't ask. It didn't even dawn on me. Q. That is because you trusted him, is it not,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	coming along and saying, "We've lost confidence" is not - it cannot be just the sort of magical code word that requires you to trigger s.34, can it? A. It was for me at that time. Q. But looking back now, it cannot be, can it? A. Looking back now, with hindsight, possibly the first thing I would have done is seek legal advice, as I have said. Q. Because for it to be the magical code word,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	personally me, legal representation, but I can't remember the sequence. Q. So, he had a whole range of opportunities - the meeting, calls, text messages - to give you the vital context behind the investigation, is that fair? A. To be fair, yes, but I didn't ask. It didn't even dawn on me. Q. That is because you trusted him, is it not, that you did not ask?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	coming along and saying, "We've lost confidence" is not - it cannot be just the sort of magical code word that requires you to trigger s.34, can it? A. It was for me at that time. Q. But looking back now, it cannot be, can it? A. Looking back now, with hindsight, possibly the first thing I would have done is seek legal advice, as I have said. Q. Because for it to be the magical code word, it would need to be in the legislation, would it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	personally me, legal representation, but I can't remember the sequence. Q. So, he had a whole range of opportunities - the meeting, calls, text messages - to give you the vital context behind the investigation, is that fair? A. To be fair, yes, but I didn't ask. It didn't even dawn on me. Q. That is because you trusted him, is it not, that you did not ask? A. I trusted the office of the Chief Minister.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	coming along and saying, "We've lost confidence" is not - it cannot be just the sort of magical code word that requires you to trigger s.34, can it? A. It was for me at that time. Q. But looking back now, it cannot be, can it? A. Looking back now, with hindsight, possibly the first thing I would have done is seek legal advice, as I have said. Q. Because for it to be the magical code word, it would need to be in the legislation, would it not, in the Police Act, it would need to say, "If	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	personally me, legal representation, but I can't remember the sequence. Q. So, he had a whole range of opportunities - the meeting, calls, text messages - to give you the vital context behind the investigation, is that fair? A. To be fair, yes, but I didn't ask. It didn't even dawn on me. Q. That is because you trusted him, is it not, that you did not ask? A. I trusted the office of the Chief Minister. Q. You trusted the office and you trusted him?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	coming along and saying, "We've lost confidence" is not - it cannot be just the sort of magical code word that requires you to trigger s.34, can it? A. It was for me at that time. Q. But looking back now, it cannot be, can it? A. Looking back now, with hindsight, possibly the first thing I would have done is seek legal advice, as I have said. Q. Because for it to be the magical code word, it would need to be in the legislation, would it not, in the Police Act, it would need to say, "If the Chief Minister and the Governor (or one of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	personally me, legal representation, but I can't remember the sequence. Q. So, he had a whole range of opportunities - the meeting, calls, text messages - to give you the vital context behind the investigation, is that fair? A. To be fair, yes, but I didn't ask. It didn't even dawn on me. Q. That is because you trusted him, is it not, that you did not ask? A. I trusted the office of the Chief Minister. Q. You trusted the office and you trusted him? A. I go back to, if I may, to what I said
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	coming along and saying, "We've lost confidence" is not - it cannot be just the sort of magical code word that requires you to trigger s.34, can it? A. It was for me at that time. Q. But looking back now, it cannot be, can it? A. Looking back now, with hindsight, possibly the first thing I would have done is seek legal advice, as I have said. Q. Because for it to be the magical code word, it would need to be in the legislation, would it not, in the Police Act, it would need to say, "If the Chief Minister and the Governor (or one of them) loses confidence then the Commissioner	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	personally me, legal representation, but I can't remember the sequence. Q. So, he had a whole range of opportunities - the meeting, calls, text messages - to give you the vital context behind the investigation, is that fair? A. To be fair, yes, but I didn't ask. It didn't even dawn on me. Q. That is because you trusted him, is it not, that you did not ask? A. I trusted the office of the Chief Minister. Q. You trusted the office and you trusted him? A. I go back to, if I may, to what I said originally. I mean, I started in 1983 working
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	coming along and saying, "We've lost confidence" is not - it cannot be just the sort of magical code word that requires you to trigger s.34, can it? A. It was for me at that time. Q. But looking back now, it cannot be, can it? A. Looking back now, with hindsight, possibly the first thing I would have done is seek legal advice, as I have said. Q. Because for it to be the magical code word, it would need to be in the legislation, would it not, in the Police Act, it would need to say, "If the Chief Minister and the Governor (or one of them) loses confidence then the Commissioner has to retire"? Is that fair?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	personally me, legal representation, but I can't remember the sequence. Q. So, he had a whole range of opportunities - the meeting, calls, text messages - to give you the vital context behind the investigation, is that fair? A. To be fair, yes, but I didn't ask. It didn't even dawn on me. Q. That is because you trusted him, is it not, that you did not ask? A. I trusted the office of the Chief Minister. Q. You trusted the office and you trusted him? A. I go back to, if I may, to what I said originally. I mean, I started in 1983 working for Government and for me whatever the Chief
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	coming along and saying, "We've lost confidence" is not - it cannot be just the sort of magical code word that requires you to trigger s.34, can it? A. It was for me at that time. Q. But looking back now, it cannot be, can it? A. Looking back now, with hindsight, possibly the first thing I would have done is seek legal advice, as I have said. Q. Because for it to be the magical code word, it would need to be in the legislation, would it not, in the Police Act, it would need to say, "If the Chief Minister and the Governor (or one of them) loses confidence then the Commissioner has to retire"? Is that fair? A. I can't say it's fair or unfair, what I can say	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	personally me, legal representation, but I can't remember the sequence. Q. So, he had a whole range of opportunities - the meeting, calls, text messages - to give you the vital context behind the investigation, is that fair? A. To be fair, yes, but I didn't ask. It didn't even dawn on me. Q. That is because you trusted him, is it not, that you did not ask? A. I trusted the office of the Chief Minister. Q. You trusted the office and you trusted him? A. I go back to, if I may, to what I said originally. I mean, I started in 1983 working for Government and for me whatever the Chief Minister says, how can I not trust? Or the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	coming along and saying, "We've lost confidence" is not - it cannot be just the sort of magical code word that requires you to trigger s.34, can it? A. It was for me at that time. Q. But looking back now, it cannot be, can it? A. Looking back now, with hindsight, possibly the first thing I would have done is seek legal advice, as I have said. Q. Because for it to be the magical code word, it would need to be in the legislation, would it not, in the Police Act, it would need to say, "If the Chief Minister and the Governor (or one of them) loses confidence then the Commissioner has to retire"? Is that fair? A. I can't say it's fair or unfair, what I can say is, my limited knowledge of the law is that, if	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	personally me, legal representation, but I can't remember the sequence. Q. So, he had a whole range of opportunities - the meeting, calls, text messages - to give you the vital context behind the investigation, is that fair? A. To be fair, yes, but I didn't ask. It didn't even dawn on me. Q. That is because you trusted him, is it not, that you did not ask? A. I trusted the office of the Chief Minister. Q. You trusted the office and you trusted him? A. I go back to, if I may, to what I said originally. I mean, I started in 1983 working for Government and for me whatever the Chief Minister says, how can I not trust? Or the Governor, both of them, how can I not trust
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	coming along and saying, "We've lost confidence" is not - it cannot be just the sort of magical code word that requires you to trigger s.34, can it? A. It was for me at that time. Q. But looking back now, it cannot be, can it? A. Looking back now, with hindsight, possibly the first thing I would have done is seek legal advice, as I have said. Q. Because for it to be the magical code word, it would need to be in the legislation, would it not, in the Police Act, it would need to say, "If the Chief Minister and the Governor (or one of them) loses confidence then the Commissioner has to retire"? Is that fair? A. I can't say it's fair or unfair, what I can say is, my limited knowledge of the law is that, if confidence is lost, then there's quite a few	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	personally me, legal representation, but I can't remember the sequence. Q. So, he had a whole range of opportunities - the meeting, calls, text messages - to give you the vital context behind the investigation, is that fair? A. To be fair, yes, but I didn't ask. It didn't even dawn on me. Q. That is because you trusted him, is it not, that you did not ask? A. I trusted the office of the Chief Minister. Q. You trusted the office and you trusted him? A. I go back to, if I may, to what I said originally. I mean, I started in 1983 working for Government and for me whatever the Chief Minister says, how can I not trust? Or the Governor, both of them, how can I not trust them? But that's me. I'm not saying that that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	coming along and saying, "We've lost confidence" is not - it cannot be just the sort of magical code word that requires you to trigger s.34, can it? A. It was for me at that time. Q. But looking back now, it cannot be, can it? A. Looking back now, with hindsight, possibly the first thing I would have done is seek legal advice, as I have said. Q. Because for it to be the magical code word, it would need to be in the legislation, would it not, in the Police Act, it would need to say, "If the Chief Minister and the Governor (or one of them) loses confidence then the Commissioner has to retire"? Is that fair? A. I can't say it's fair or unfair, what I can say is, my limited knowledge of the law is that, if confidence is lost, then there's quite a few areas of policing that simply can't be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	personally me, legal representation, but I can't remember the sequence. Q. So, he had a whole range of opportunities - the meeting, calls, text messages - to give you the vital context behind the investigation, is that fair? A. To be fair, yes, but I didn't ask. It didn't even dawn on me. Q. That is because you trusted him, is it not, that you did not ask? A. I trusted the office of the Chief Minister. Q. You trusted the office and you trusted him? A. I go back to, if I may, to what I said originally. I mean, I started in 1983 working for Government and for me whatever the Chief Minister says, how can I not trust? Or the Governor, both of them, how can I not trust them? But that's me. I'm not saying that that should be the norm, quite frankly.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	coming along and saying, "We've lost confidence" is not - it cannot be just the sort of magical code word that requires you to trigger s.34, can it? A. It was for me at that time. Q. But looking back now, it cannot be, can it? A. Looking back now, with hindsight, possibly the first thing I would have done is seek legal advice, as I have said. Q. Because for it to be the magical code word, it would need to be in the legislation, would it not, in the Police Act, it would need to say, "If the Chief Minister and the Governor (or one of them) loses confidence then the Commissioner has to retire"? Is that fair? A. I can't say it's fair or unfair, what I can say is, my limited knowledge of the law is that, if confidence is lost, then there's quite a few areas of policing that simply can't be undertaken, not locally, anyway.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	personally me, legal representation, but I can't remember the sequence. Q. So, he had a whole range of opportunities - the meeting, calls, text messages - to give you the vital context behind the investigation, is that fair? A. To be fair, yes, but I didn't ask. It didn't even dawn on me. Q. That is because you trusted him, is it not, that you did not ask? A. I trusted the office of the Chief Minister. Q. You trusted the office and you trusted him? A. I go back to, if I may, to what I said originally. I mean, I started in 1983 working for Government and for me whatever the Chief Minister says, how can I not trust? Or the Governor, both of them, how can I not trust them? But that's me. I'm not saying that that should be the norm, quite frankly. Q. What about, leaving aside Dr Britto the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	coming along and saying, "We've lost confidence" is not - it cannot be just the sort of magical code word that requires you to trigger s.34, can it? A. It was for me at that time. Q. But looking back now, it cannot be, can it? A. Looking back now, with hindsight, possibly the first thing I would have done is seek legal advice, as I have said. Q. Because for it to be the magical code word, it would need to be in the legislation, would it not, in the Police Act, it would need to say, "If the Chief Minister and the Governor (or one of them) loses confidence then the Commissioner has to retire"? Is that fair? A. I can't say it's fair or unfair, what I can say is, my limited knowledge of the law is that, if confidence is lost, then there's quite a few areas of policing that simply can't be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	personally me, legal representation, but I can't remember the sequence. Q. So, he had a whole range of opportunities - the meeting, calls, text messages - to give you the vital context behind the investigation, is that fair? A. To be fair, yes, but I didn't ask. It didn't even dawn on me. Q. That is because you trusted him, is it not, that you did not ask? A. I trusted the office of the Chief Minister. Q. You trusted the office and you trusted him? A. I go back to, if I may, to what I said originally. I mean, I started in 1983 working for Government and for me whatever the Chief Minister says, how can I not trust? Or the Governor, both of them, how can I not trust them? But that's me. I'm not saying that that should be the norm, quite frankly. Q. What about, leaving aside Dr Britto the person, what about Dr Britto the Chair of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	coming along and saying, "We've lost confidence" is not - it cannot be just the sort of magical code word that requires you to trigger s.34, can it? A. It was for me at that time. Q. But looking back now, it cannot be, can it? A. Looking back now, with hindsight, possibly the first thing I would have done is seek legal advice, as I have said. Q. Because for it to be the magical code word, it would need to be in the legislation, would it not, in the Police Act, it would need to say, "If the Chief Minister and the Governor (or one of them) loses confidence then the Commissioner has to retire"? Is that fair? A. I can't say it's fair or unfair, what I can say is, my limited knowledge of the law is that, if confidence is lost, then there's quite a few areas of policing that simply can't be undertaken, not locally, anyway. Q. But would you agree, and with the benefit	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	personally me, legal representation, but I can't remember the sequence. Q. So, he had a whole range of opportunities - the meeting, calls, text messages - to give you the vital context behind the investigation, is that fair? A. To be fair, yes, but I didn't ask. It didn't even dawn on me. Q. That is because you trusted him, is it not, that you did not ask? A. I trusted the office of the Chief Minister. Q. You trusted the office and you trusted him? A. I go back to, if I may, to what I said originally. I mean, I started in 1983 working for Government and for me whatever the Chief Minister says, how can I not trust? Or the Governor, both of them, how can I not trust them? But that's me. I'm not saying that that should be the norm, quite frankly. Q. What about, leaving aside Dr Britto the person, what about Dr Britto the Chair of the GPA? Is it your responsibility to have a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	coming along and saying, "We've lost confidence" is not - it cannot be just the sort of magical code word that requires you to trigger s.34, can it? A. It was for me at that time. Q. But looking back now, it cannot be, can it? A. Looking back now, with hindsight, possibly the first thing I would have done is seek legal advice, as I have said. Q. Because for it to be the magical code word, it would need to be in the legislation, would it not, in the Police Act, it would need to say, "If the Chief Minister and the Governor (or one of them) loses confidence then the Commissioner has to retire"? Is that fair? A. I can't say it's fair or unfair, what I can say is, my limited knowledge of the law is that, if confidence is lost, then there's quite a few areas of policing that simply can't be undertaken, not locally, anyway. Q. But would you agree, and with the benefit A. It was mentioned.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	personally me, legal representation, but I can't remember the sequence. Q. So, he had a whole range of opportunities - the meeting, calls, text messages - to give you the vital context behind the investigation, is that fair? A. To be fair, yes, but I didn't ask. It didn't even dawn on me. Q. That is because you trusted him, is it not, that you did not ask? A. I trusted the office of the Chief Minister. Q. You trusted the office and you trusted him? A. I go back to, if I may, to what I said originally. I mean, I started in 1983 working for Government and for me whatever the Chief Minister says, how can I not trust? Or the Governor, both of them, how can I not trust them? But that's me. I'm not saying that that should be the norm, quite frankly. Q. What about, leaving aside Dr Britto the person, what about Dr Britto the Chair of the GPA? Is it your responsibility to have a healthy skepticism about anything you are told
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	coming along and saying, "We've lost confidence" is not - it cannot be just the sort of magical code word that requires you to trigger s.34, can it? A. It was for me at that time. Q. But looking back now, it cannot be, can it? A. Looking back now, with hindsight, possibly the first thing I would have done is seek legal advice, as I have said. Q. Because for it to be the magical code word, it would need to be in the legislation, would it not, in the Police Act, it would need to say, "If the Chief Minister and the Governor (or one of them) loses confidence then the Commissioner has to retire"? Is that fair? A. I can't say it's fair or unfair, what I can say is, my limited knowledge of the law is that, if confidence is lost, then there's quite a few areas of policing that simply can't be undertaken, not locally, anyway. Q. But would you agree, and with the benefit	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	personally me, legal representation, but I can't remember the sequence. Q. So, he had a whole range of opportunities - the meeting, calls, text messages - to give you the vital context behind the investigation, is that fair? A. To be fair, yes, but I didn't ask. It didn't even dawn on me. Q. That is because you trusted him, is it not, that you did not ask? A. I trusted the office of the Chief Minister. Q. You trusted the office and you trusted him? A. I go back to, if I may, to what I said originally. I mean, I started in 1983 working for Government and for me whatever the Chief Minister says, how can I not trust? Or the Governor, both of them, how can I not trust them? But that's me. I'm not saying that that should be the norm, quite frankly. Q. What about, leaving aside Dr Britto the person, what about Dr Britto the Chair of the GPA? Is it your responsibility to have a healthy skepticism about anything you are told in these kind of situations by anyone?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	coming along and saying, "We've lost confidence" is not - it cannot be just the sort of magical code word that requires you to trigger s.34, can it? A. It was for me at that time. Q. But looking back now, it cannot be, can it? A. Looking back now, with hindsight, possibly the first thing I would have done is seek legal advice, as I have said. Q. Because for it to be the magical code word, it would need to be in the legislation, would it not, in the Police Act, it would need to say, "If the Chief Minister and the Governor (or one of them) loses confidence then the Commissioner has to retire"? Is that fair? A. I can't say it's fair or unfair, what I can say is, my limited knowledge of the law is that, if confidence is lost, then there's quite a few areas of policing that simply can't be undertaken, not locally, anyway. Q. But would you agree, and with the benefit A. It was mentioned.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	personally me, legal representation, but I can't remember the sequence. Q. So, he had a whole range of opportunities - the meeting, calls, text messages - to give you the vital context behind the investigation, is that fair? A. To be fair, yes, but I didn't ask. It didn't even dawn on me. Q. That is because you trusted him, is it not, that you did not ask? A. I trusted the office of the Chief Minister. Q. You trusted the office and you trusted him? A. I go back to, if I may, to what I said originally. I mean, I started in 1983 working for Government and for me whatever the Chief Minister says, how can I not trust? Or the Governor, both of them, how can I not trust them? But that's me. I'm not saying that that should be the norm, quite frankly. Q. What about, leaving aside Dr Britto the person, what about Dr Britto the Chair of the GPA? Is it your responsibility to have a healthy skepticism about anything you are told

1 1 A. The next Chairman of the GPA, when I hoping that I could get some help, with legal 2 2 advice. hand over, I will make sure that I will tell them 3 because I find it very difficult to separate the 3 Q. Do you remember if during the 18th of 4 4 person who I am from whatever role I May meeting you were told by the, either by 5 undertake. 5 the Chief Minister or the Governor that the 6 Q. When you read the 29th of May Gomez 6 DPP had advised against the search warrant, 7 7 letter and it contained all of this, well, quite a with James Levy's search warrant? 8 bit of the context, did that concern you given 8 A. No, I can't remember the DPP being 9 9 what you had not been told by the Chief mentioned at all, but having said that, 10 10 Minister? remember the situation where I'd never been 11 A. It concerned me so much that - and I 11 with them and they were talking to each other, 12 remember that I was still expecting Mr 12 but I think, with all due respect, it's best to ask 13 McGrail to come to give us his 13 them, but I certainly can't recall the DPP being 14 14 representations, and we took that document as mentioned at all. They were talking about 15 15 international stuff and boats and Spain and, his representations and there were things there 16 16 "What are we going to do?" but that's ... that clearly I didn't know anything about. So, I 17 17 sent it to, I think it was the Chief Minister and O. I understand. 18 the Governor for them to see it and, "Look, 18 A. ... the main thing I remember. I was quite 19 19 distraught, you have to remember that, it has this is what he is saying." 20 20 Q. I am going to take you to that. never happened. 21 A. But I was alarmed by what I read. 21 Q. And you have made that, you have raised 22 22 that a number of times. I do understand that. I Q. And you then later saw the 5th of June 23 23 just want to take you to B1777, please. This is letter from the Chief Minister where he said, 24 24 "Yes, there was this business with the warrant an email and you may, you have probably not 25 25 and I was misled because the ..." seen this email, unless you have seen it in the Page 217 Page 219 1 A. Sorry, do you mean the response? 1 inquiry, this is an email from Nick Pyle to his 2 Q. The response, exactly. He gave some of 2 superiors on the 21st of May, and he says, in 3 3 the detail, did he not, in that letter? When you the second paragraph, three lines down, he is 4 read that, on top of the 29th of May letter, did 4 describing the meeting between you and the 5 5 you feel let down that you had not been told Chief Minister and the Governor and he says, 6 that information before the GPA made the 6 "At my request the Chief Minister set out the 7 7 decision? issues of concern, namely the damning HMIC 8 A. I don't know what I felt. I remember 8 report ..." etc. Just pausing there you said in 9 9 immediately passing these letters on to - I your evidence earlier that you remembered the 10 10 Governor taking the lead. needed somebody to talk to, and it was Mr 11 Neish. There was a whole range of - I 11 A. That's my recollection. 12 wouldn't say let down. Frightened. I don't 12 (15.44)13 13 Q. Is it possible you are wrong about that know what word to put on my emotions. I 14 14 and that the Governor, writing this three days wasn't happy. 15 15 later, saying the Chief Minister set out the Q. Were you frightened because the thing that 16 issues of concern, is that possibly right or do 16 you thought could not happen in Gibraltar 17 might just be happening in Gibraltar? 17 you just not remember? 18 A. I still tended to believe whatever as being 18 A. That was only listening to the Governor, 19 19 portrayed by the Chief Minister and the with all due respect to everybody. 20 Q. Yes. 20 Governor, especially the Governor. 21 A. Maybe, but my recollection is that the 21 Q. Even then you were still hoping that they 22 22. speaker was the Governor and the Chief were telling the truth? 23 Minister was interjecting. 23 A. Yes, to tell you the truth, I really did think 24 24 Q. Now, just carrying on, it says: this is not, this is a huge misunderstanding, but 25 "Police Federation allegations of bullying, 25 then, as soon as I got the letter, and I was Page 218 Page 220

the mishandling of a high profile, ongoing 1 you were all together? 2 2 investigation in which the Commissioner A. Well, commenting with each other as if I 3 3 apparently went against the advice of the weren't there, quite frankly. But, um, maybe 4 4 Attorney General and the Director of Public it's my perception. 5 Prosecutions." 5 Q. Yes. 6 6 Does that jog your memory at all about A. This is four years ago and there are things there that clearly upset them because thev 7 7 whether it was mentioned? 8 8 have been recorded, but I can't remember A. I remember vaguely the Attorney General 9 9 being mentioned and something about not saving them. 10 10 being told whatever he had to be told, but not Q. Fair enough. So you either felt they were 11 11 the Director of Public Prosecutions. having a conversation separately from you or 12 Q. And then you can see the fourth 12 they were having a conversation separately 13 paragraph down, just to back up what you 13 from you. 14 14 have been saying, it refers to a clearly A. I felt. I mean, I think you had better ask 15 15 shocked chair, he said he took notes. I was them, because I might be completely 16 16 just showing that so you know that that mistaken. It's my perception of something 17 17 accords with what you have been saying. that happened a long time ago. 18 If we can just, please, go to C4302, this is the 18 Q. I want to explore with you now a few 19 19 note of the meeting, the transcript of the aspects of the interactions you were having 20 20 meeting, 22 May. And three boxes from the with the Chief Minister and starting at 21 bottom, I will just read from what is being 21 B1435. So this is the text messages you were 22 22 said to you by the Commissioner of Police: sending to the Chief Minister. If we can just 23 23 "I want to know why the question is not go a bit further down, please. There, at 24 being asked. Why are they not concerned 24 11.37. No, maybe a little, yes, just up. You 25 25 about the way we have handled that text the Chief Minister at 11.37 on the 18th: Page 221 Page 223 1 particular case and provide details because 1 "FYI I am meeting them this Thursday 2 that has serious repercussions?" 2 morning." I assume them is the GPA 3 3 You said: members. 4 "The Governor seems to be in the know 4 A. Yes, I think so. 5 5 Q. "Some of them work so I have had to because at the meeting they were talking 6 about the way that you handled because they 6 allow notice." So you were, I think it is right 7 7 mentioned the AG had lost faith in you, so that the meeting between you and the Chief 8 8 they had that conversation. And they were Minister and the Governor took place on 9 9 not talking to me. I did not take a note of Monday the 18th and then you arranged the 10 10 what they said." GPA meeting for the Thursday. So --11 11 A. I'm sorry, I didn't take a note because A. As soon as possible. 12 they were talking amongst themselves and I 12 Q. Yes. There was an urgency, was there 13 13 had the impression that, you see, it goes 14 together with one of your previous questions, 14 A. There was a certain urgency. 15 15 Q. And you were being slightly apologetic I had the impression that the Governor knew 16 16 what the Chief Minister, knew about there: "Some of them work so I have had to 17 17 whatever the Chief Minister was telling him. allow notice." 18 I am assuming it is about the AG. 18 A. Yes. 19 19 Q. You are kind of saying, I am sorry it is as Q. So you have mentioned --20 20 A. I thought about -late as Thursday. 21 21 Q. Sorry. You have mentioned a few times A. Yes, but that's my usual tone with people. 22 22 that they had a sort of side conversation or Q. Okay. 23 a conversation that was not with you. How 23 A. With my betters. Well, not my betters, 24 did that happen? How were they having 24 but with governors and chief ministers. 25 a conversation that was not with you while 25 Q. I understand. And you referred to the Page 222 Page 224

1 meeting in the email as an emergency 1 A. Yes, the bit, but not section 34, but 2 2 meeting I think because you said it would section, the bit where the Governor 3 probably get more people to respond more 3 requires --4 4 quickly. Why the hurry? Q. Okay, maybe I have misunderstood. If 5 A. (Sighs). I mean, you can ask, I'm sure 5 we can go to C4291, the fourth box from the 6 you will, ask them, but I'm pretty certain that 6 bottom. (Pause). There it is. Sorry, yes, you 7 I was told this has to happen before the new 7 are saying: 8 Governor comes in. But what I don't know is 8 "One is through retirement and the other 9 9 option is through resignation and that is the if the new Governor had been briefed or 10 10 knew about what was going on. nuclear option." 11 11 Q. So, did you know that the new Governor Okay, but you saw the nuclear option as 12 was arriving in, I think it was, 10 June? 12 coming down the road if you did not get on 13 A. I wasn't told when he was coming. 13 with the section 34 process. Is that fair? 14 14 Q. But somebody said to you that this has to A. I think I was convinced that it would not 15 15 happen before the new Governor comes in do it, it was going to be done. But I think 16 16 and he is coming imminently, or something you would have to ask them. But that's the 17 17 to that effect. impression I had. It wasn't to say that ... I 18 A. I don't think I was going to (inaudible), 18 think that in one of the emails that Mr Pyle 19 19 this was do it as quickly as possible because sent he is more or less telling whoever he is 20 20 of the new Governor. communicating with, the Foreign Office, but 21 O. You must have known that he was 21 I got that impression. 22 22 coming soon. Q. Yes. And looking back now, I think your 23 23 A. Soon, soon. evidence is, rather than speeding towards 24 24 that, that you should have slowed things Q. Because otherwise there would be no 25 25 reason for urgency. down. Is that fair? Page 225 Page 227 1 1 A. Especially if I had had recourse to or had 2 Q. Did you ever question why that was 2 asked for legal advice, it would certainly 3 3 being asked of you or why that was a factor? have slowed down or not happened, I'm 4 4 A. I'm just trying to recall if they actually assuming. 5 5 explained anything. I don't think I even Q. And if that meant the new Governor 6 6 coming in, so be it. Is that fair? asked. 7 7 Q. Did it ever occur to you, did you link the A. I think so. I hadn't thought about it, to 8 8 fact that the interim Governor was saying he tell you the truth. 9 9 had lost confidence in Mr McGrail but he Q. No. 10 10 A. But now that you mention it. would be gone soon and replaced ... well, not 11 11 gone completely, but he would be replaced Q. So that is the first point about the 12 by the permanent Governor in a matter of 12 expedited, the timetable and how quickly 13 13 things were moving. The second point is the 14 14 A. No, because Mr Pyle would still be there relying on Mr Picardo's notes. We can see 15 15 advising, whatever the Deputy Governor that at B1435, at 11.52. There it is 16 16 does. I presume it is to advise the governor. Mr Picardo who offers the note and he says: 17 17 Q. It is very different, the Deputy Governor "I am happy to prepare a note for you if you 18 18 losing confidence in the Chief of Police think that would be helpful." You say: 19 19 versus the actual Governor, is it not? "Would you? If it is no trouble." And then 20 20 A. You tell me. he does not provide it for a couple of days 21 21 Q. Yes. I will not take you to the reference, and you remind him on the morning of the 22 22 I can if you want to, but you referred in your 20th. You can see there: 23 23 meeting with Mr McGrail to the triggering of "Fabian, do you remember the notes you 24 section 34 as the nuclear option. Do you 24 were going to send me either via WhatsApp 25 25 recall that? or email. I do not want to get this wrong, Page 226 Page 228

1 please. Thanks, meeting my colleagues 1 A. I think so. 2 2 tomorrow." Q. Yes. 3 3 And then a little bit further down. (Pause). A. But at my request. I had to ... because I 4 4 He then sends the notes at 16.40. It did not really hadn't --5 end up being a completely contemporaneous 5 Q. It was his offer to give you the note, was 6 note, did it? It was a couple of days later that 6 it not? 7 7 A. Yes. 8 8 A. It seems like that, yes. Q. You did not request that. Did you take 9 9 some comfort from the fact that you knew Q. Did that cause you any concern at the 10 time, why there had been a delay? 10 the Chief Minister was a lawyer? Yes. 11 A. I didn't think about it at the time, but ... I 11 A. Primarily, yes. 12 didn't think about it at the time. But now 12 Q. Primarily, yes. And he did help you with 13 things might be slightly different. I can only 13 some of the steps. So that is the urgency, the 14 14 assume he was discussing it with the note and the steps. The fourth point I want to 15 15 Governor or something. I really don't know. take you to is about the first letter, which is at 16 16 Q. And while we are on that, just at B1442, 1436. I think we are already on ... so it is the 17 17 he also at the same time sends that note to the bottom of the page. So you have been asked 18 Attorney General: 18 about the second letter which he fed into and 19 "This is the note I sent to Joey. If it needs 19 I will come to that, but this is about the first 20 20 amending happy to send him a version with letter that was sent, eventually sent, on the 21 charges. [So he is texting the Attorney 21 22nd. You say at the bottom: 22 22 General here.] Just need to ensure you send "In any case I am sending you text of draft 23 it to him before tomorrow. He was having 23 letter I plan on giving him after my meeting. 24 kittens about it so I sent it to him as a prop, at 24 Grateful for your once over." 25 25 least for now." So you ask him there. And if you go a bit Page 229 Page 231 Does that surprise you to see that the Chief 1 further down: 2 Minister said you were having kittens over 2 "Perhaps in my letter you should say: you 3 3 shall be invited to retire unless you wish to it? 4 A. No. 4 make representations as to why you should 5 5 not within XX days. Please be patient with Q. No, because you were having kittens over 6 6 me. Laugh out loud. Give me a few 7 7 minutes, I am finishing something." A. I were, I mean, since day one. 8 8 Q. So, that is two points of contact with the And then he sends you something back, also 9 9 Chief Minister, the timetable and the Chief sent by email: "See references to loss of 10 10 Minister's notes. Third, I just want to ask confidence by me and the Governor." Is this 11 you about the message at 1436. On the 21st, 11 him sending you back the first letter with 12 just a little bit further down, at 18.30. He 12 edits? 13 says: "Hi." You send him something that has 13 A. I think so. 14 been lost to posterity, I think the media in 14 O. Yes. 15 15 these text messages. A. I'm pretty certain. I don't have the media, 16 16 "That is what you will in effect be doing. but yes, I think so. 17 17 You come back to us to seek our consent Q. And then a little bit further down there is 18 18 after representations have been received. I a discussion and he says at 21.03 exactly: 19 19 will write the sequencing down for you as I "I would suggest that you provide seven days 20 20 at the end. If he considers more time is see it if you wish. I am just going to finish 21 21 required he should write to you asking for the note off first." 22 more time. This is too serious to let it ride." 22 So, he says there: "I am going to set out the 23 23 sequencing for you." Is it fair to say he was So is it your recollection that it is Mr Picardo 24 24 to an extent guiding you through this that proposes there be a seven-day deadline 25 25 process? for representations? Page 230 Page 232

1 1 added parts, and I thought your evidence may A. I honestly didn't recall until I read the 2 2 position. have been you are not even sure you read 3 3 Q. Yes. And he says: "It is too serious to let them. Am I wrong about that? 4 it ride." So would you agree that he there is 4 A. I read them. I'm not even sure what I 5 saying we have got to crack on with this 5 said. Well, maybe I said that, but what I 6 effectively because it is very serious? 6 meant was that I'm not sure I understood 7 A. Yes, I actually thought it was me who 7 everything. 8 8 decided on those seven days. Q. Right. 9 9 O. No. A. I'm being honest here. That's possibly 10 10 A. I was under that impression. what I meant. 11 11 Q. We can just back that up with C4290. Q. That is quite a tough position for you to 12 (Pause). And the fifth box from the bottom, 12 be in, is it not? Because, and correct me if I 13 first line, you say to Mr McGrail: 13 am wrong here, Dr Britto, the Chief Minister, 14 14 "Well, the Act does not say anything but I who you trust and who is an experienced 15 lawyer, is adding things to your letter which 15 have been advised that seven days. But why 16 you do not understand. Is that a fair 16 wait? If you need more time you tell me." 17 17 Q. So you were advised, you were taking description of the --18 advice from the Chief Minister. 18 THE CHAIRMAN: I am not sure I 19 19 A. It's clear from there. understood, he said. 20 20 MR WAGNER: You are not sure you O. Yes. 21 A. I really couldn't recall that. 21 understood. 22 22 Q. Did you stop to consider whether the A. I'm not sure I understood, yes. I may 23 23 Commissioner of Police might not have time well have ... I didn't question it if that's what 24 24 to respond to the issues in seven days? you mean. 25 25 Q. No. You did not suggest any edits to the (Pause). Page 233 Page 235 1 A. I'm not sure that I did. 1 Chief Minister's edits. 2 Q. Yes. 2 A. No. 3 3 O. No. You would not have, is that --A. Possibly. But I can't give a clear answer. 4 I don't think so. 4 A. I don't think I would have. 5 5 Q. No. You would not think it was your Q. Did you think that these are complex 6 issues that are being alleged, he is going to 6 place to do that. 7 7 need to look at documentary evidence, he is A. Possibly. 8 8 going to have to really spend some time on Q. Yes. And would it be fair to say ... I am 9 9 this to save his career? guessing you have read these yellow bits 10 10 A. I think at the time what I was thinking now. 11 11 was seven days should be enough. He gets A. Now I have. 12 together with the lawyer, says, "Look what 12 Q. Would it be fair to say that this is not 13 they are saying about me", and he comes 13 really your style, the language? 14 over to talk to us and, quite frankly, I hadn't 14 A. Yes. 15 thought beyond that. 15 Q. I mean, for example, if we just go a little 16 Q. No. So that is the urgency, the Chief 16 bit further down: 17 17 Minister's note, the Chief Minister's "The Governor and Chief Minister are very 18 18 sequencing, Chief Minister's seven-day concerned about the findings in the HMIC 19 19 deadline. I am going to ask you now about report. It is clear [I presume that is meant to 20 the second letter. This again is you send it to 20 be clearly] clearly damning in respect of 21 21 him to consider. You have already been efficiency in the RGP." 22 22 Would you have said, if it was your text, taken to the yellow bits and I am only going 23 23 to take you to one other bit. It is B1364, would you have said the report was clearly 24 please. (Pause). You were asked, I think, 24 damning? 25 whether you considered the yellow, the 25 A. I don't think I would have used that word. Page 234 Page 236

O. Which one, clearly or damning? 1 O. -- the nuances of whether you are 2 2 A. No, no, damning. agreeing to the loss of confidence itself or the 3 Q. Yes. 3 underlying issues. But the question I want to 4 4 A. I would have said it's a bad report, much ask you is --5 simpler language, I think. 5 A. Was it not my language. 6 6 Q. Would it be fair to say it is not your Q. Yes. 7 7 A. Or an uncomfortable report. voice? (Pause). 8 Q. And a little bit further down, on the next 8 A. In as much as I wouldn't have written it 9 9 page, please. (Pause). There is a point being like that, possibly. 10 10 made there at the top: "The position is clear Q. Whose voice is it? 11 as to responsibility. Under section 33 of the 11 A. Whoever helped me write that. 12 Act this is ..." sorry, I think this is the 12 Q. Which is who? 13 incident at sea or is it the HMIC report? Just 13 A. I think we all know, the Chief Minister 14 14 go further up. (Pause). Yes, this is the aided me. 15 15 report. And it says there, it makes a legal Q. Yes. 16 point: 16 A. Because I asked him to. 17 "Under section 33 of the Act the 17 Q. So, the final point about the ... one 18 Commissioner is responsible for efficient 18 second. (Pause). I will leave that point. 19 19 administration of the force. That means that So, just to recap, the Chief Minister's 20 20 responsibility in respect of this failing rests involvement, he is really pushing you on 21 legally directly with you." 21 urgency. Is that fair to say? 22 22 I am guessing you would not make that point A. And the Governor. 23 23 in that way. Q. And the Governor. He produces the note 24 24 A. From what I have said before, I think it's on his suggestion. Is that fair? 25 25 clear I wouldn't have known that unless I had A. Yes, because I told him that I didn't have Page 237 Page 239 1 read the Act from page to page. 1 anything meaty to ... but yes, he offered. 2 Q. And then just further down, sorry, further 2 Q. He sets out the sequencing again on his 3 3 down than that. This bit about probity and offer. 4 integrity: 4 A. I can't remember if he actually set out the 5 5 "This is very serious indeed. I think you sequencing. He said he would, but I can't 6 need to reflect carefully on this. The 6 remember if he set out the sequencing. 7 7 situation we are in is where both the Unless the letter is the sequencing. 8 8 Governor and the Chief Minister respectively Q. He proposes the seven-day deadline, does 9 9 have expressed this view. It is not one of he not? 10 10 them that expressed the view, which would A. Yes. 11 be bad enough, but both of them." 11 Q. And it is quite substantially his voice in 12 Would it be fair to say, Dr Britto, that that is 12 that 22 May letter, is it not? 13 not language, that is not the kind of language 13 A. As I have said, if writing it is his voice, 14 that you would use? 14 then ves. 15 15 A. No, it's too forceful for me. But it's not Q. And to state the obvious, the Chief 16 16 that I disagree with what was being said and I Minister was not your lawyer, was he? 17 17 think I said it this morning that had one of A. No, no, he wasn't. But I wasn't thinking 18 18 them come to me and expressed, "Look, we that he wasn't. I knew he is a lawyer and he 19 19 need to get rid of the Commissioner", or should know. But having said that, I have 20 20 whatever, the only recourse I would have had never said that I didn't agree with the 21 21 is I get legal advice or go to the other party. sentiment. What I've said is that, yes, it's his 22 22 O. Yes. voice. 23 A. But not both of them. 23 Q. Yes. 24 24 A. I wouldn't have said it possibly like that. Q. We have been through --25 25 Q. No. You did not say it like that, did you? A. Yes. Page 238 Page 240

Because you wrote the letter and did not have 1 A. Not before, no. 2 2 any of those bits in it. Q. No. And you did not communicate them 3 to Mr McGrail. You did not communicate A. Plus the transcript of the recording shows 3 4 4 that I wasn't talking like that. any concerns to Mr McGrail. 5 Q. Yes. And the Chief Minister is not 5 A. Not me personally. 6 a member of the GPA, is it, ex officio or 6 Q. No. Or did any of the GPA members? 7 7 A. I doubt very much that they had. 8 8 A. I don't think ... not the GPA. Well, he's Q. Well, they have all given evidence and 9 got an appointee there. 9 apart from, well, nobody says that they did. 10 Q. But he is not a member. 10 A. I don't think anyone had an issue. 11 A. No, no. 11 Q. The 29 May letter, if you just go to 12 Q. And in fact he has no statutory role in any 12 B1367. (Pause). You read it, did you not? 13 of this part of the GPA's activities, does he? 13 A. I read it. I can't say that I understood 14 A. I don't think so. 14 everything. 15 15 O. No. Q. Fair enough. 16 A. He's got statutory roles in terms of 16 A. But ... which is why I invoked, um, 17 17 policing and the Police Act. please help me. 18 Q. Yes, but the financing. 18 Q. You can see there at the top, what is 19 A. And I should add more than financing, 19 written there at the top: "Strictly private and 20 it's to do with the annual policing plan. 20 confidential." Do you see that? 21 Q. And the plan, okay. 21 A. I hadn't noticed, I must admit. 22 22 A. So we are consulted. O. And who is the letter addressed to? 23 A. Members. 23 Q. But not this aspect. 24 24 A. Not this part. Q. Of what? 25 Q. No. And yet he was heavily involved in 25 A. Of the Police Authority. Page 241 Page 243 1 the process, was he not? 1 Q. Yes. Is it addressed to anybody else? 2 A. It seems like that. 2 A. Well, I'm assuming it's addressed to me 3 3 Q. And you now accept, I think, he should as well. 4 not have been. 4 Q. But there is no CC list there, is there? 5 5 A. I'm not sure. I'm hoping for the Inquiry A. No, no. Although the Secretary of the 6 to enlighten everyone. 6 GPA, who is not a member, would have got 7 7 Q. You are the chair of the GPA though, so 8 you must have reflected on this. 8 Q. Now, if we can just go further down, this 9 9 A. As chair I really didn't know what else to letter has been spoken about a lot in this 10 do but to ask him. 10 Inquiry, and I just want to show you what is 11 in it. If we go further down. Q. As chair now, do you --11 12 A. As chair now, I wouldn't approach him. 12 THE CHAIRMAN: It is a very long one 13 Q. Do you agree that he should not have 13 14 14 been involved in this way? MR WAGNER: I am not going to go into 15 A. I suppose. I'm not sure, because I'm not 15 what is in the whole letter, just a couple of, I 16 sure if it's happened before or it's going to 16 think it is two paragraphs. (Pause). Stop 17 happen again in the future, but --17 there, sorry. (Pause). 18 Q. If it happened again in the future you 18 A. Sorry, because that's the bit I was 19 19 would not allow that to happen, would you? mentioning, with respect: "Because it just 20 A. No. 20 may be prudent for you to take advice from 21 Q. Right. Not much more. Just to be clear 21 lawyers in the United Kingdom." 22 22 on what happened before the middle of Q. Yes, exactly. There is a suggestion that 23 May 2020, you, the GPA, did not have any 23 you might want to get independent advice 24 24 outside of Gibraltar. concerns, any particular concerns, about 25 Mr McGrail, did you? 25 A. Yes. Page 242 Page 244

1 Q. Now, just in paragraph 2, if we go, it is 1 RGP in this case. They immediately 2 2 on page 1370. messaged him. He immediately called into 3 A. This is the same letter, yes? 3 a meeting with the Chief Minister and the 4 4 Q. Yes. It says there: AG. He was very severely criticised for the 5 "Nick Pyle is named as a member of the 5 action that had been taken. The Chief 6 GPA appointed by the Governor. He has 6 Minister told him that in his view 7 been the interim Governor since 7 Mr McGrail had handled the investigation 8 February 2020. He should play no part in the 8 very, very wrongly. He was told by the AG 9 9 decision making of the Authority. We ask that he had at this point approved his work 10 10 for an assurance from the GPA's chair. He but from that day he could not entertain him 11 11 will ensure Mr Pyle is not invited into the again." 12 GPA's decision making under section 34." 12 And then just one more paragraph below: 13 So do you agree that there is a concern 13 "This was followed by two subsequent 14 14 meeting with the AG and the DPP, 13 and 15 raised. 15 May [this is now the 20th], where pressure 15 A. Yes. Q. The lawyers obviously do not know that 16 16 was put on Mr McGrail by the AG to change 17 17 the RGP's investigative approach to the Mr Pyle has recused himself already. 18 A. No, they didn't know. 18 operation." 19 19 Q. There is a concern raised about Mr Pyle Now, do you remember reading those 20 20 because he is one of the complainants. And allegations? 21 then if we can go, please, to B1380, which is 21 A. No. 22 22 Q. You do not, but -a bit further down, paragraph 35. So 23 23 paragraph 34 talks about the two matters A. But I am refreshing my memory now. 24 24 which are mentioned in the letter, which are I'm presuming that Mr Gomez wrote that on 25 25 the incident at sea and the HMIC report. the advice of Mr McGrail. Page 245 Page 247 1 And the important point made there is: 1 O. Yes. 2 "In respect of both issues at all stages 2 A. Because there's no way Mr Gomez would 3 3 Mr McGrail received no criticism at all about know that. 4 his approach." 4 Q. And there at paragraph 39 is the fig leaf 5 5 And then further down. point: 6 "By contrast in recent months, and 6 "It is relevant to your decision that we submit 7 7 particularly in the past fortnight, Mr McGrail to you the timing and the use of the section 8 8 has received very significant and at times 34 process which was triggered by the Chief 9 9 intemperate private criticism from the Chief Minister and Governor, we understand, came 10 10 Minister and Attorney General about as a complete surprise to the GPA. The chair 11 a separate matter, namely how the criminal 11 strongly suggests the incident at sea and 12 investigation referred to above has been 12 inspection report are fig leaves. For 13 13 handled." (inaudible) reasoning Mr McGrail is being 14 14 And it says: pressured to retire, i.e. his proper and 15 15 reasonable handling of a live criminal "The AG has been trying unsuccessfully to 16 16 persuade Mr McGrail to change his and the investigation." 17 17 RGP's approach. Mr McGrail has rebuffed Now, you were asked, and a number of 18 18 those attempts and operational decisions witnesses have been asked, would that letter 19 19 should be taken by the individual officers make you think the Commissioner's position 20 below Mr McGrail." 20 is more untenable? And I just want to ask 21 21 And then if we go further down: you this question. What if those allegations "Then on 12 May out of courtesy 22 were true? Would you consider the 22 23 23 Mr McGrail notified the Chief Minister, the Commissioner's position was more untenable 24 AG and the Minister of Justice of a certain 24 because he sent it? 25 25 operational action which was taken by the A. Possibly. I don't think so because what Page 246 Page 248

1 you're asking me is if everything in the letter 1 from Bicholas Gomez", 2 2 is proven to be true. Then he's got a shield which you correct later to Nicholas, 3 3 there with which to protect himself. But I "who is representing him. Naturally I shall 4 4 share with GOA", it must be "GPA, 5 Q. And can you just unpack that? What do 5 colleagues for their views, but I am going to 6 you mean by he has a shield, will not protect 6 forward to you, Nick, and Michael as well. 7 7 Is that okay?" Fabian Picardo at the same 8 8 moment, "Yes please." You then correct A. Because what he is saying is, I mean, this 9 9 is pure conjecture on my part, I mean, I Nicholas. That letter was headlined "Private 10 10 wasn't aware of all this, but if everything and confidential", wasn't it? 11 11 there is true, then Mr McGrail would be in A. Yes. 12 the right. That's what I mean. 12 Q. And it was only addressed to the GPA, is 13 Q. Yes. 13 that fair? 14 14 A. That's the only thing I can say. If A. It's a fair comment, yes. 15 15 everything, assuming everything in the letter Q. Did you ask Mr Gomez for permission? 16 is correct, 100 per cent, then he would be in 16 A. No, I didn't. 17 17 O. To send it to the Commissioner? the right. 18 Q. And if he was in the right, could the GPA 18 A. I didn't. I did the Chief Minister and the 19 19 then trigger the section 34 process and force Governor, and the Attorney General, I didn't, 20 20 but if you're interested, I can tell you why I him to retire? 21 A. Well, it's a moot point, and I've used legal 21 did. It's because -- shall I? 22 22 language. I'm sorry. It is a moot point I Q. You've actually already covered it. You 23 23 think because we, as soon as we saw the said you sent it to them to find out what their 24 24 views were. But looking back now, can you letter, we were advised the process ... it is 25 25 regardless of what it says in the letter, we had see there might -- again I am asking you, just Page 249 Page 251 1 to withdraw. 1 assuming the allegations were true, can you 2 2 see a problem with sending it straightaway, Q. Yes. 3 3 unedited, unredacted, to the Chief Minister, A. So what could have happened is pure 4 hypothesis. Which I'm sure the Inquiry will 4 the Governor and the Attorney General? 5 5 make up its mind if it deems it fit. But I A. I felt no matter what it says there, that 6 6 they deserved to know what Mr McGrail was don't think I'm the right person to ask that. 7 7 (16.19)saying about them in much the same way as 8 8 Q. You forwarded the letter to the Attorney he found out what they were saying about 9 9 General, the Governor and the Chief 10 10 Minister. And if we just go to B1438. Q. Well, we will leave that. The point is, 11 (Pause). That is at the bottom half the page, 11 Mr McGrail was alleging the Chief Minister 12 29 May at 17.52. You say, now you can take 12 and the Attorney General were interfering, 13 it from me and if the inquiry does not have 13 not only interfering with the criminal 14 the email we can send it, but the email is sent 14 investigation, but doing so for, potentially in 15 15 at 17.21. So the email from Mr Gomez is the Chief Minister's case, ulterior private 16 16 sent at 17.21 to you. So exactly half an hour motives. Is that correct? 17 later and one minute: 17 A. According to what it says here, yes. 18 18 "I have just received ..." now you can take it Q. If that was true, can you not see it 19 19 from me, and if the Inquiry hasn't got the shouldn't have been forwarded without 20 20 email we can send it, the email sent at 17.21. permission to the very person who was being 2.1 21 So the email from Mr Gomez is sent at 17.21 accused essentially of being corrupt? 22 22 to you. So exactly half an hour later and one A. I didn't think about that. 23 minute: 23 Q. No. 24 "I have just received a letter from the 24 A. I really didn't. 25 Commissioner of Police as well as a letter 25 Q. And you actually then say, "I will email it

Page 250

to these three." "Thanks. Got it", says 1 O. Yes. 2 2 Fabian Picardo. Then say, "Can I call you?" A. I wrote it and Mr Picardo added. 3 "Hang on, cos in Platinum now." "Oh, thank 3 Q. You wrote it together, didn't you? 4 4 you." Why did you also want to call Mr A. Well, yes. 5 Picardo? 5 Q. So, would it be fair to say that that 6 6 allegation was not, although Mr McGrail's A. I really don't recall. 7 Q. Do you recall speaking to him? 7 lawyers wouldn't have known it, they did say 8 A. No, not on that day, no, no. In fact, I 8 those writing the letters excluded the reason 9 9 don't think I did. No. deliberately. Do you accept that that may 10 Q. Do you think on reflection that would 10 actually be accurate. 11 11 have been a moment to reflect and potentially A. May. May, but I don't think I can give a 12 put some distance between you and the Chief 12 clear yes or no. 13 Minister? 13 Q. Yes. Just about the withdrawal of the 14 14 A. With hindsight, I mean, I can't say no. process, withdrawal, C4743. The bottom paragraph, middle bit, "However, we are also 15 15 But everything is with hindsight at this stage. 16 16 Q. No. A couple more small points. The 1 advised that the complaint by you and the 17 17 June GPA meeting, the minutes are at C4654. Chief Minister remain live and have to be 18 So if you go further down, "It was also 18 determined." You have been asked if that 19 19 agreed that not only did the Authority language, which was also sent to the 20 20 categorically refuse that the Chair introduced Governor, possibly opened the door to the 21 the issue of the investigation into the 21 section 13 process. I want to ask you, was 22 conversation with IM, but also that he should 22 there any consultation between the GPA, the 23 have subsequently opted to omit in his letter 23 GPA's lawyer, Government, Government 24 24 lawyers, about that language? for the surreptitious reason alleged by IM's 25 25 lawyer." That was clearly something that A. No. Page 253 Page 255 1 offended you. Is that reasonable, or offended 1 Q. You don't think so? 2 someone? 2 A. No, not as far as I am concerned. What 3 3 A. No, I don't think it's offence. It's just that do you mean, collusion between lawyers? 4 it was becoming a series of allegations and 4 Q. Well, that's putting a bit of a taint on it, 5 5 counter-allegations and more allegations and but just was there any conversations about 6 counter-allegations and quite frankly we 6 what would go in that letter? 7 7 were getting a bit tired of it. A. Not to my knowledge and I very much 8 8 Q. I am just going to show you -- well, it doubt it. 9 9 was very tiring for Mr McGrail as well. Can Q. Okay. B1426. 10 10 I show --THE CHAIRMAN: You were going to be an 11 11 A. No, I understand. I understand. hour and-a-half, Mr Wagner. We have Mr 12 Q. -- 4634. This is the Gomez letter. If you 12 Neish to go. I think you were allowed an 13 13 go to the very bottom of paragraph 40, you 14 14 can see what the allegation was, just to get it MR WAGNER: I think I started at 3.15 after 15 15 exactly right, this is six lines from the the break. 16 16 bottom, "It is wholly unclear why this matter THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. 17 is then omitted", so the matter being Op 17 MR WAGNER: I am a little over and I am 18 18 Delhi, "from the letters sent to Mr McGrail very nearly done. B1426, you mention that 19 19 on 22 May, although a simple explanation is there is an ex officio member of the 20 that it was obvious to those writing the letters 20 government on the GPA. 2.1 21 that, if it were included it would invalidate A. Not ex officio. Not ex officio. I 22 the entire process." Who wrote those letters? 22 recommend that there would be if ever there 23 A. Which ones? 23 is a change, but they are not ex officio. It 24 24 Q. Well, who wrote the second letter? just says there are two members, one 25 25 A. The ones I sent? appointed by the Chief Minister and one Page 254 Page 256

64 (Pages 253 to 256)

appointed by the Governor and, in effect, 1 A. Yes. 2 effectively they had been the Chief Secretary 2 Q. -- by the Police Complaints Board, and 3 3 and the -- the role has been the Chief then they were appeal --4 4 Secretary and the Deputy Governor. A. To me. 5 Q. At the time it was Darren Grech who was 5 Q. -- to you directly. So, was it you who 6 6 the Chief Secretary? made the decisions? 7 A. That's right. 7 A. Yes, because we -- well, I reviewed 8 8 everything that the PCB had and the first Q. Go further down, please. I do not know 9 9 if you have seen these messages before, but question -- you can only lodge an appeal if 10 10 this is 4.45 pm, 20 May. This is Fabian you consider that the decision of the PCB is 11 Picardo, "Darren, when Joey speaks 11 perverse somehow. 12 tomorrow at the GPA, he is going to explain 12 Q. Yes. 13 my views on a sensitive subject. Your 13 A. Or if there's new information and we 14 14 support for me on this is key. I have grave, realised that there was no new information 15 grave concerns." Darren Grech, "I know and 15 and there was no perverse reason from a 16 16 they are my concerns too for a whole range group of volunteers who give up their time to 17 17 of reasons. You have this support." Fabian look at the complaint. 18 Picardo thumbs up, and they both give 18 Q. So you dismissed those appeals? 19 19 thumbs up. Then he says afterwards, "Made A. Yes, yes, yes, I did. 20 20 my position very clear this morning. His Q. Was it you and someone else? 21 position untenable with a massive loss of 21 A. No, no, no. I told the rest of the GPA, 22 22 confidence all over. Here if you need me." because normally Mr Carreras reports at --23 23 Did Mr Grech communicate any of that to normally at every meeting he reports on 24 24 the GPA? complaints and we did say there's no grounds 25 25 A. No. for an appeal here. We all agreed. Page 257 Page 259 1 Q. Did he express an express an interest that 1 Q. So it was just you who made the he had been in touch with the Chief Minister 2 2 decision? 3 3 about this? A. Yes, yes. 4 A. No, no. 4 Q. Was Mr Pyle on the board at the time, 2 5 5 Q. Would you have expected him to declare September 2020? 6 an interest at that point that he had been in 6 A. I'd have to check. I am not sure. I don't 7 7 touch? think he -- no. I am not sure. I'm not sure. 8 A. We haven't asked him, but yes. Well, I 8 But certainly I checked with everybody else 9 9 am not sure. I don't know what to do. I am and everybody agreed, look, he might not 10 10 even have seen this. But we were adamant surprised. 11 Q. He'd already agreed to -- first of all, he 11 that it was to be dismissed. 12 had been in touch with one of the 12 Q. Yes. So to be clear, complaints were 13 complainants and second of all, he had 13 made about Mr McGrail's conduct in the 14 14 already agreed to support the complainant. airport incident in the arrests. They were 15 Would you agree that is an interest he should 15 dismissed by the Police Complaints Board. 16 16 have declared? They then went to appeal and they were 17 A. I suppose, yes. Yes, but I wasn't aware of 17 dismissed, the appeals were dismissed by the 18 18 GPA and it was you who made the decision? 19 19 Q. I don't know if you know this or not, A. Yes. The other reason was because this 20 C5143. There was a complaint -- in fact, 20 has happened quite a bit of time ago, and 21 21 there were two complaints to the Police people were having issues finding the right 22 22 documentation and all that. But what I got Complaints Board about Mr McGrail and Mr 23 Tunbridge about their conduct during the 23 was the same that the PCB had considered. 24 arrests at the airport incident. Those 24 O. Yes. 25 complaints were dismissed --25 A. There was nothing new. Page 260 Page 258

Q. You don't have your WhatsApp messages 1 comfort, when he said, "I still have to meet 2 between you and the Chief Minister 2 the AG to sort things out." 3 3 Q. Yes, but different words have been used. anymore? 4 4 For example, Mr Wagner mentioned a search A. No. No, I don't have -- I really don't have 5 5 warrant. Was a search warrant mentioned to 6 6 Q. Is there a reason for that? 7 A. I don't back up my WhatsApps. I don't. 7 A. Not -- the only way I'd recall that is if 8 Q. They don't come back onto your phone 8 he's recorded me and I can have a transcript. 9 9 Q. Then at one point you used the word 10 10 "brief". What did you mean by that? A. No, because I don't back them up. I don't back them up when I change my phone, 11 11 A. That it was brief, a brief that was brief. I 12 nothing comes up. 12 didn't exactly get details and I wouldn't want 13 Q. Right. 13 details quite frankly. 14 14 Q. So basically what were you told? A. And I do clear my --15 Q. Have you discussed the Inquiry with the 15 A. He intimated that --16 16 Chief Minister since it was --Q. The Chief Minister was annoyed with 17 17 A. Never. him? 18 Q. -- announced in July 2020? 18 A. Was very, very annoyed with him. 19 Q. And he had James Levy's telephone in his 19 A. Never. Never. 20 20 Q. No messages or anything? safe? 21 A. No. Never, never, ever. It would be like 21 A. That's right, because of an investigation 22 22 again colluding with a co witness. No. No, he was undertaking. 23 23 Q. And is that what you construed as 24 24 MR WAGNER: Thank you, and sorry for interference? 25 25 going over my time. A. I think so. Page 261 Page 263 1 Questioned by MR NEISH 1 O. Now, shall we move on. When you were 2 MR NEISH: I will be as brief as possible, 2 called to a meeting on the 18th, you have 3 Mr Chairman. But first of all, may I say that 3 said in evidence that you did not know what 4 I found Mr Wagner's suggestion of collusion 4 the purpose of the meeting was. Now, can 5 5 we refer to B1441 please and the entry at between lawyers to produce the result to be 6 quite unfounded and really out of order. Mr 6 13.58. This is the Deputy Governor to the 7 7 Wagner as a public lawyer should be aware Chief Minister, "Thinking about it quite a lot. 8 8 that there is ample authority for the Need to discreetly bring Joey Britto into our 9 9 proposition that if a decision of a Board is so thinking. I am happy to do that on Monday." 10 10 manifestly wrong, that that Board should be Then the response from the Chief Minister is, 11 precluded from considering the matter afresh "Yes, agreed. Shall we do so Monday. 11 12 in those circumstances, and that is precisely 12 Morning coffee, the three of us in my or 13 what happened in this case. The fact that it 13 yours?" The Deputy Governor, "Let's do 14 mine." The Chief Minister, "Agreed. Shall I 14 opened the door to section 13, which in fact 15 was not exercised, is neither here nor there 15 ask him or will you?" Then the Governor 16 nor the concern of the GPA. 16 says, "I've just asked him. I'll also try to 17 17 (To the witness) Mr Britto, I would just like speak to our OT Police Adviser based in 18 to clear a few points as briefly as possible, 18 Miami. I'll update you on my thinking 19 19 we are late in the day. When you saw Mr before we meet." Now, what is the significance of the OT Police Adviser based 20 20 McGrail on 15 May, what exactly did he tell 21 you about Operation Delhi? 21 in Miami? 22 22 A. I think he said ... at some point he A. I have no idea. 23 mentioned having a phone in a safe. He 23 Q. Is he the man who advises on overseas 24 24 mentioned Mr Levy's name and I think he recruitments? 25 mentioned as well, which gave me a bit of 25 A. He's -- I forget his name now but I can Page 262 Page 264

get it for you. He's based in Miami and he 1 the Force to discuss matters under his 2 2 does the advising to the Overseas Territory responsibility or in respect of which he has 3 Police Forces. 3 powers under this Act." So it is perfectly 4 4 proper for the Governor, would you agree, to Q. On recruitment? 5 A. On recruitment and on policing matters in 5 call you on any matter relating to the police 6 general. A Mr Munday, I forget his first 6 force? 7 name. Mr Munday. 7 A. I mean, for sure. 8 Q. Okay. So, were you aware of this 8 Q. And if that related to the ability of the 9 exchange of WhatsApps? 9 Commissioner of Police to carry on his 10 A. No, no. No. 10 functions because of loss of confidence in 11 him, that would fall fairly and squarely Q. Have you seen that exchange? Does 11 12 anything strike you about it? 12 within that provision. 13 A. I know that Mr Pyle spoke to Mr Munday 13 A. Well, it does say "The Governor shall 14 when deciding whether or not Mr Ullger 14 have ultimate responsibility for integrity, 15 should stay on or not and how to do it, but I 15 probity, independence of policing ... and 16 mean, vaguely, because I wasn't involved in 16 policing aspects of national security ..." 17 17 that, but --Q. And section 15 says --18 Q. But Mr McGrail had not yet been 18 A. 15, professional standards I think. 19 dismissed. 19 Q. Section 15(1)(d) provides, "The Chief 20 A. No. No, no. I honestly don't --20 Minister may exercise any of the following 21 Q. Or had resigned. 21 powers ... require factual assessment reports 22 22 A. You've got a point there, yes. from the Force or the Authority ..." so the 23 Q. So were they already thinking about his 23 Chief Minister can hold you to account. And 24 24 he can call for and hold meetings with the removal? 25 A. No. No. I haven't seen that. 25 Chairman, Commissioner, et cetera. So, it is Page 265 Page 267 O. Another question just on that. What do 1 nothing untoward for the Chief Minister to 2 you make of the word "need to discreetly 2 call you to a meeting? 3 3 bring Joey Britto into our thinking"? THE CHAIRMAN: Well, it depends why he 4 A. That I didn't tell anyone about it, that I 4 was doing it, doesn't it? 5 5 MR NEISH: Just finally, Dr Britto, my kept a secret, I'm not sure. 6 Q. Well, let's move on. My learned friend 6 learned friend Mr Wagner has referred you to 7 7 Mr Cruz questioned you at some length or the Gomez letter of 29 May and taken issue 8 8 with some vigour about improper with you on your copying those letters to the 9 9 interference by the Chief Minister and the Attorney General, the Chief Minister and the 10 10 Deputy Governor. Did you consider that a Deputy Governor. Now, Mr Wagner himself 11 call to meet the Deputy Governor and the put to you that there were allegations in those 11 12 Chief Minister was in any way improper? 12 letters about these persons to which you 13 13 replied that you did not know -- you had not A. Not at all, because they have both got 14 14 powers under the Act to summon the known about this before. 15 15 authority. A. Yes. 16 Q. In fact, section 11 says, "The Governor 16 Q. So, would it have been possible for you 17 shall have overall responsibility and ultimate 17 to consider the merit of those allegations responsibility for the integrity, probity, and 18 18 much less to reply to them without copying 19 19 independence of policing in Gibraltar and the that letter to those individuals? policing aspects of national security, 20 20 A. Not with the resources that the police 21 including internal security." Then section 21 authority has -- I don't think it would have 22 12C specifically provides, "The Governor 22 been possible. 23 23 shall have power to call for and hold Q. But how would you have acquired the 24 meetings with the Chairman, the 24 knowledge other than by putting the 25 Commissioner and other senior officers or 25 allegations to those individuals? Page 268 Page 266

1	A. That's what I thought. That's how I felt.
2	MR NEISH: Thank you. Thank you, Mr
3	Chairman.
4	THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much
5 6	indeed. It is a long day. Thank you for
7	coming. THE WITNESS: Thank you. Thank you
8	very much, sir.
9	MR SANTOS: Thank you. Monday at 10
10	am?
11	THE CHAIRMAN: Monday. We have two
12	days to deal with the Chief Minister's
13	evidence. I am certain that we must keep to
14	the timetable or else we are going to run out
15	of time.
16	MR SANTOS: Yes, I think we have already
17	been in contact about that, if not, then we
18	will be very sure
19	THE CHAIRMAN: We have to finish in
20	time and I am going to keep people to time
21	limit, Mr Wagner. (Laughter)
22	MR SANTOS: Thank you.
23	(Adjourned until 10 am, Monday, 6 May
24 25	2024) (16.43)
23	(10.43)
	Page 269

	_	_	_	
A	182:10,13,16	57:3,4,8 98:24	advice 6:6 8:5,7	103:12 120:13
a.m 23:6,14	215:18 242:3	246:25 247:5	10:10,20 11:1,2,4	121:6 125:12
A1225 20:13	255:9	actions 116:19	11:5 12:7 40:9	148:3 157:7
A1493 26:9	accepted 121:16	activate 98:25	67:8 83:22 87:25	160:3,5 164:16
A240 79:7	144:17 192:9	activating 99:7,20	104:18,22 110:20	174:3,21 175:21
A248 31:7	accepting 107:19	138:17	110:24 132:17	176:4,9,15
	121:19	activities 241:13	133:24 137:10	188:13 189:16
A321 63:12 A322 145:11	access 170:3	Acts 192:3	142:8 158:24	197:22 208:24
A323 87:9	accident 42:14	actual 58:5 78:4	169:22 170:10	209:8,9 213:9
A324 100:6 151:6	accidentally 78:5	155:11 174:24	185:8 214:10	214:22,25 215:10
A329 18:11	accords 221:17	194:16 226:19	219:2 221:3	215:15 233:4
A333 24:17	account 67:1 79:9	ad 13:17	228:2 233:18	240:20 242:13
A517 208:16	108:5 267:23	adamant 97:16	238:21 244:20,23	245:13 258:15
	accountability	260:10	247:25	267:4
A58 43:24	80:2	add 25:19 36:12	advise 27:20	agreed 17:5 58:4
AAP 160:22 195:10	accountable 80:7	213:17 241:19	226:16	63:15 87:17
	80:12	added 119:6 235:1	advised 33:23 34:3	102:2 115:7
aback 46:8 abandon 138:22	accuracy 47:25	255:2	34:6 35:21 54:18	119:14,22 120:3
abandon 138:22 abandoned 156:9	accurate 49:11	adding 235:15	133:11 137:11,14	120:10,19 121:4
	74:7 77:25 78:2	additional 52:3	137:17 219:6	129:21 139:1
ability 174:19 267:8	146:23 255:10	Additionally	233:15,17 249:24	146:2 177:1
	accurately 157:10	119:14 120:10	255:16	204:2 253:19
able 10:21 23:7,9	accused 136:17	122:23	Adviser 264:17,20	258:11,14 259:25
59:12 76:7 84:3	213:16 252:21	address 20:18	advises 264:23	260:9 264:11,14
84:23 95:16 99:4	accusing 199:10	53:12 60:14 61:4	advising 27:22	agreeing 28:6
124:25 186:15 189:1	achieved 139:10	addressed 51:7	226:15 265:2	83:17,18 175:18
	acknowledged	53:23 60:10,23	advisor 2:14,15	239:2
abreast 42:8 43:18 absent 89:6 179:21	18:22 158:13	243:22 244:1,2	affect 59:8,11	agreement 31:13
179:23 180:3	167:12	251:12	affixed 165:5	112:7 147:18
182:14,20,22	acknowledgement	addresses 53:4	affording 99:1	Ah 152:15
	141:7	addressing 56:14	afresh 262:11	ahead 13:3 58:4
absolutely 6:18	acknowledging	175:20	afternoon 104:7	206:24
23:24,24 82:20 110:7 137:1	139:15	adequate 80:4	142:24 167:7,8	aid 165:17
142:12,12 150:4	acquired 268:23	adhered 189:21	191:18,19	aided 239:14
167:15 186:20	act 4:2 11:12 12:25	Adjourned 63:9	AG 42:16 65:21	aim 57:10
abuse 136:14	87:13,20 94:16	191:3 269:23	92:15,17 112:4	airport 258:24
177:18,19,19,21	98:25 100:10	adjournment	123:10 132:3,13	260:14
accept 28:17 31:23	137:13 145:18	142:21	133:3,11,20,22	AIS 36:16 37:7
53:4,7 95:9	170:12 171:4,7	admin 22:8	133:23 134:15,23	98:9 193:8,22
102:5,11 106:1	174:24 175:4,10	administration	212:13,13 222:7	al 49:25
110:18 121:14	175:25 178:14,15	237:19	222:18 246:15,24	alarmed 127:21
131:2 136:22,24	182:18 192:2	admit 17:7 29:14	247:4,8,14,16	217:21
144:11,18 168:9	214:13 233:14	72:23 85:12	263:2	alarming 96:3,8
168:24 169:24	237:12,17 238:1	111:17 130:2	ago 32:12 73:14	167:4
171:11,25 173:1	241:17 266:14	243:21	127:14 223:6,17	Alcantara 84:21
173:16 175:11	267:3	Admittedly 130:24	260:20	85:6
177:10 178:2	acted 50:6	admitting 148:24	agree 7:25 12:5	alert 109:3
179:16,21 180:8	acting 103:17	adopted 137:12	23:17 32:4 51:8	alive 48:5,6 97:11
181:24 182:1,9	action 27:24 56:7	advance 84:22	51:10 63:6 80:11	97:14 205:2,8,15
101.2.102.1,9				
	1	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

				Page 2/1
all have 197.5	71.14 104.11	ammaala 4.17 10		202.24.207.19
all-boys 187:5	71:14 184:11	appeals 4:17,19 259:18 260:17	arm's 4:7	202:24 207:18
allegation 30:9	announced 261:18		arms 94:21 108:1	215:24,25 232:21
92:9 94:3 124:10	annoyed 68:15	appeared 80:4	108:2	249:1 251:25
130:5 198:15	69:3 75:25 76:6	198:9	arrange 47:3	asks 35:15
199:13 200:16	183:16 263:16,18	appears 28:13	arranged 224:9	aspect 97:13 173:3
202:5,6,22	annual 3:12,17	133:23 143:24	arranging 47:11	241:23
254:14 255:6	241:20	appellate 27:19	arrested 113:13	aspects 7:18
allegations 32:2,8	answer 5:14 7:4	appetite 48:2,15	arrests 258:24	223:19 266:20
79:14 91:16 94:3	8:19 37:6 59:5	apply 30:6 141:14	260:14	267:16
131:19 151:14,20	59:12 108:19	appoint 133:12	arrive 84:5 99:3	aspersions 211:6
151:21,23 152:12	123:24 145:2	appointed 11:11	arrived 39:23	asserted 155:24
157:4 159:18	152:1 163:12	12:18 133:15	122:15	assessment 267:21
160:14 162:18	175:12,16 178:12	245:6 256:25	arriving 225:12	assist 2:20
163:9 220:25	186:10,16 187:24	257:1	article 43:8 62:10	assistance 14:2
247:20 248:21	188:25 189:1,3	appointee 177:4,5	articles 32:11	170:4 197:2
252:1 254:4,5	197:13 206:21	241:9	160:8,19	Assistant 8:7
268:11,17,25	209:7 234:3	appointees 12:17	ASAP 40:5	21:13,21 34:22
alleged 100:12	answered 153:15	appointment 3:7	aside 162:20	49:24 53:14 64:9
113:2,2 114:3	200:11 207:8	appointments 6:2	216:21	assume 224:2
124:13 130:3	answers 52:20	appraised 145:15	asked 2:19 13:2,9	229:14
136:16 155:7	anti-bullying	appreciate 168:1,7	13:10,11 20:17	assumed 122:11
208:21 234:6	196:21,23	189:5	27:16 29:7 34:21	157:2 194:7
253:24	antibullying 52:5	apprehensive	37:4,5 42:12	assuming 91:8
allegedly 90:20,21	antiquated 12:1	69:25 71:9	43:10 44:2 45:19	157:17 166:1
91:10 113:5	anybody 10:5 17:4	approach 190:13	47:6 50:6 63:14	222:18 228:4
131:21,21,22	24:13 30:23	242:12 246:4,17	64:9 84:10 99:12	244:2 249:15
alleging 252:11	38:23 43:14	247:17	104:18,21 106:2	252:1
allow 36:21 126:20	67:14 129:11	approaching	108:8,14 114:22	assumption 42:2
146:8 147:8	163:22 244:1	107:24	115:10,18 135:15	assurance 245:10
224:6,17 242:19	anymore 261:3	appropriate 80:17	146:18 154:19,21	assure 196:13
allowed 39:15	anyone's 16:22	117:16	157:10 161:1,20	attaches 104:10
80:19,24 256:12	anyway 31:14 40:9	approval 182:3	166:21 189:9,9	attacking 136:13
allows 80:25 99:7	56:16 58:16	191:21	192:7 194:10	attempts 246:18
99:20	68:25 107:10	approve 4:2	211:4 213:11	attend 10:4,6
alluded 29:10	165:11 182:11	approved 5:25	221:24 226:3,6	16:21 17:17 23:8
allusion 124:1	214:21	88:2 247:9	228:2 231:17	35:15 84:23
alter 141:13	apart 10:11	April 54:5,10 56:4	234:24 239:16	attendance 16:24
amending 229:20	103:22 243:9	57:20 58:9 70:15	248:17,18 255:18	20:25 84:20
amendments	apologetic 224:15	area 50:20 51:24	258:8 264:16	87:14
121:7,24 122:1	apologies 110:11	89:10 102:12,13	asking 27:1 33:22	attended 24:14
amount 71:21	apologise 174:10	areas 3:11 7:25 9:4	34:8,12 41:14,15	27:10
ample 262:8	apologising 149:18	39:11 74:10	56:6,19 61:1	attending 17:3
analyse 185:5	APP 195:9	214:20	71:5 73:22 88:12	87:15
analysing 164:4	apparent 95:9	argued 125:8	88:13 96:22	attention 55:21
analyze 197:9	apparently 5:24	argument 125:13	104:4 107:8	73:21 126:3
anchor 177:24	46:24 221:3	180:17	116:4 151:25	172:15
and-a-half 256:11	appeal 10:15	arguments 80:21	155:6 157:7	Attorney 41:6,24
anecdotal 193:11	259:3,9,25	81:7 126:10	164:16 166:20	64:6 67:19 68:20
angry 69:11,13	260:16	arises 24:22	176:10,18 198:19	68:25 92:17
	200.10	WI 1909 & 1.22	1,0.10,10 1,0.1	00.23 72.11
	l			

				Page 2/2
122:24 123:6,8	168:16,17	192:14 202:3	212:12 218:18	237:8 238:3
127:7 132:7	avoid 99:23	208:15 209:9	believed 97:20	244:18 245:22
134:2,10,21	186:25 204:5	211:18 213:23	208:13 209:15	254:7 255:15
135:6,8,13,18	avoiding 187:5	214:7,8 216:14	210:11	256:4 260:20
141:18,24 151:11	awaiting 54:13	221:13 227:22	beneficial 66:23	262:25
159:20 221:4,8	aware 51:9 89:13	230:17 232:8,11	benefit 6:16 52:1	bite 165:19
229:18,21 246:10	113:1 123:7	233:11 251:24	56:10 72:12	bits 62:14 119:8,9
250:8 251:19	135:6,10 138:13	261:7,8,10,11	147:20 214:22,25	234:22 236:9
252:4,12 268:9	160:10,17,18	background 2:8	benefited 142:8	241:2
audible 107:14	166:14,16 173:7	162:3 164:1,9	167:17,18,24	blame 51:20
audit 59:19	194:19,24 201:7	167:22 213:12	berate 67:24	blow 69:1
August 44:1	201:9 210:22	215:5,17	berated 67:18	board 4:6,10,20
aura 148:23	249:10 258:17	backing 92:2	best 2:4 3:11 16:13	19:19 20:18,25
authoritarian	262:7 265:8	bad 20:10 32:14	29:12 37:25 51:3	26:14,17,18
20:21	awe 149:10	32:15 46:22 49:6	54:22 63:18	96:21 143:20,25
authority 27:9,20	awful 58:21	55:20 60:5 101:2	71:16 72:10	144:2,6,7 149:23
27:25 45:22,24		114:8 160:15	86:17,19 98:24	150:6,14 153:6,8
46:6 81:4,21,23	B	185:21 237:4	107:2 181:25	154:8 160:16
105:22 107:1,18	b 147:1 179:10	238:11	219:12	162:7 170:9
107:18,22 108:5	B100 37:15	balanced 62:16	better 23:9 53:21	189:14 191:7,11
109:7,8 119:14	B1364 234:23	balancing 62:17	54:21 56:16 60:4	258:22 259:2
119:21 120:10	B1367 243:12	barking 52:22	60:4 96:18 99:6	260:4,15 262:9
121:4 122:23	B1380 245:21	based 27:17 29:5	99:22 111:18,21	262:10
129:1,22 130:4	B14 134:24	98:21 100:10	170:24 175:17	boat 36:19,22
133:4 136:9,13	B1426 256:9,18	138:11 264:17,20	204:2,4 223:14	41:17 165:6,6
136:17 137:17,20	B1435 223:21	265:1	betters 224:23,23	167:4
138:5 168:8	228:15	basic 171:24	beyond 15:25	boats 33:9 165:25
170:14,18,19	B1436 104:3	174:22 182:16	121:10 234:15	166:4 219:15
171:13,23 172:17	B1437 115:2	basically 42:24	BGTW 37:25	body 27:19 52:15
172:23 173:2	B1438 250:10	106:25 113:19	bias 136:15 137:19	bother 65:19
174:25 175:24	B1441 264:5	114:21 121:2	biased 133:18	bottom 18:14 28:2
176:18 177:11,12	B1442 229:16	167:2 263:14	Bicholas 251:1	31:8 33:5 38:22
177:23 178:3,9	B1445 131:23,24	basis 55:19 95:21	big 12:24 193:6	44:1 46:19 50:19
178:11,24 179:6	B1552 50:15	101:13 152:3	210:10	56:4 60:7 63:13
179:15,21,24,25	B1748 201:17	179:10	bigger 172:13	64:21,22 104:5
180:3 181:8,14	B1777 219:23	battle 13:22,23	bit 3:13,19,21 4:4	111:6,25 112:23
181:14 182:14,15	B1961 16:7	becoming 5:22	14:20 17:8 22:6	128:22 143:9
182:19,20,22,23	B2446 83:25	6:16 254:4	40:10 58:22	147:1,2 161:3
184:23 243:25	B353 106:12	beg 143:13 146:25	59:25 62:16	178:7 202:16
245:9 253:19	B360 111:5	began 136:24	74:24 111:23	221:21 227:6
262:8 266:15	B5878 27:8	behalf 17:18	112:25 123:18	231:17,21 233:12
267:22 268:21	B76 64:18	belief 2:5 116:17	124:12 163:11,13	250:11 254:13,16
Authority's	back 7:10 17:2,25	believe 8:15 43:16	166:7 167:9	255:14
109:12 120:18	27:23 29:8 38:16	75:5 99:13	169:5,9 172:12	bow 164:24,24
121:11	43:4 47:9 59:21	108:24 115:17	202:13 217:8	165:6 167:3
available 9:11	86:15 92:16 96:5	125:15 127:18,19	223:23 227:1,2	box 227:5 233:12
80:18 179:9	104:13 105:6	137:3 149:8	229:3 230:12	boxes 113:16
198:12	122:21 125:18 131:15 167:9	184:15 209:14,17	231:25 232:17	221:20
average 5:6	173:9 181:24	210:4,9 211:24	234:23 236:16	boys' 2:10
	1/3.7 101.24			

				1 age 273
branch 4:5	bundle 1:10	48:7	116:13 117:2	164:13 167:25
break 63:2 128:14	business 10:11	calls 5:13 197:21	125:22 128:6	168:8,15 170:25
190:19 210:20,23	143:2 217:24	216:5	139:15 178:3	174:3,12 175:15
210:24 256:15		candid 148:24	198:16 199:25	180:19 182:24
breaking 128:10	C	capabilities 51:4	212:15 224:14	186:23 187:2,19
brief 184:2,8,9,9	c 56:3 58:8 59:21	capturing 165:24	225:6 232:15	188:1,7 189:2
262:2 263:10,11	74:24,25 76:22	care 4:3 7:5 164:3	246:24 269:13	190:6,21,24
263:11,11	147:1 165:1	career 234:9	certainly 5:16	191:1,6,13
briefed 64:25 65:3	C1748 201:19	carefully 238:6	19:18 22:14	210:24 217:1
183:15,24 184:3	C3 69:15 73:25	Carreras 4:12	24:12 51:11 53:9	235:18 244:12
225:9	C3997 147:1,2	25:9 26:19,21,23	60:6 66:7,24	256:10,16 262:3
briefing 198:25	C4141 89:18	26:24 37:19	72:16 74:10 77:5	266:24 267:25
199:1	C4282 119:3	208:25 259:22	79:6,21 87:3	268:3 269:3,4,11
briefly 23:2 26:7	C4290 233:11	Carreras' 208:17	96:4,25 98:17	269:19
44:6 78:7 145:10	C4291 227:5	carried 11:20	101:4 102:2	challenge 137:19
150:17 162:9	C4302 221:18	208:23	114:13 125:23	137:23
179:2 262:18	C4315 105:11	carry 60:9,19	139:3 149:7	challenging 30:2
bring 23:1 39:4	C4504 126:9	62:12 103:14	153:8 163:19	chamber 112:3
264:8 266:3	C4654 128:8,17	128:9,16 180:5	167:20,23 169:17	chance 45:21
Britto 1:4,6,8 2:7	253:17	213:21 267:9	185:10,10 213:8	65:11,12 119:25
20:17 21:4 44:11	C4725 132:24	carrying 220:24	219:13 228:2	change 246:16
63:11 83:10 93:5	C4742 139:12	CARUANA	260:8	247:16 256:23
108:14 127:23	C4743 255:14	142:23 143:11	cetera 267:25	261:11
142:13,24 167:7	C4801 140:24	144:9 153:17	chain 167:2	changed 144:11
185:16 188:9	C5143 258:20	156:5 159:8,24	chair 3:3 4:9 5:11	changes 8:14
191:18 208:1,18	C563 57:18	162:16	5:23 6:3 44:10	121:8,15
216:21,22 235:13	C6 54:4 65:16	case 4:11 30:8	64:25 89:21	changing 12:24
238:12 262:17	C6552 33:2 47:9	101:23 112:8	90:16 94:14	99:10
264:8 266:3	C6553 38:16	113:18 114:1	129:23 131:8	Chapple 164:25
268:5	call 4:24 12:25	151:12 178:22,22	133:7,10 168:23	166:2,9,11
broad 213:13	31:25 80:16,19	183:21 187:13	216:22 221:15	charge 29:25
broadly 160:16,18	84:11 89:6 96:17	189:11 196:12,15	242:7,9,11,12	charges 229:21
brought 111:8	106:25 112:10	196:16 201:3	245:10 248:10	Charles 100:12
163:22	116:3,8,24	213:22 222:1	253:20	136:12 150:18
budget 12:11 14:1	142:11 145:25	231:22 247:1	chair's 160:1	chase 38:15
build 44:9	170:3 181:11	252:15 262:13	chairing 35:10	200:23
bullet 50:18 51:16	182:4 197:14	Casemates 5:4	chairman 1:4 2:23	chat 46:11,12
bullied 32:25	253:2,4 266:11	20:23	4:13 6:9,12,17,20	58:12
bullying 19:5 21:2	266:23 267:5,24	casting 211:6	6:23 12:13 16:2	chatter 163:25
21:7 26:19 29:3	268:2	categorically	27:14,19 63:3,6	check 54:5 63:4
29:15,19 32:3,8	called 2:24 17:13	129:22 253:20	68:7 76:21 77:8	132:3 139:19
32:16,20 45:13	45:23 52:11	cause 133:8 229:9	78:23 82:11 93:2	183:23 260:6
46:15,18 51:22	63:20 66:14	CC 244:4	93:7 102:16	checked 24:24
52:6,11 160:2,13	83:24 84:12	cement 127:9,10	128:9,16 135:20	25:24 260:8
160:14 161:5,21	88:10,16 90:9	cent 28:15 249:16	142:17 144:5	checking 169:12
162:18,20 163:10	101:6 112:3	central 198:2	148:16 153:14	169:13
163:15,21 195:11	116:14 153:10	certain 7:18 8:3	155:19 156:3	chief 2:18 3:15 6:1
196:13,15,17,20	164:24 197:4,8	9:4 37:4 58:18	158:10,25 159:3	12:16,18,22,25
220:25	247:2 264:2	65:6 71:24 88:24	159:7 162:12,15	14:23 15:1 16:9
	calling 44:5 45:8			
L	1	1	1	1

				Page 2/4
22.10 24.4 27.10	202.25.202.2.4	Claima 94.20 95.4	a alla atirvales 7.16	222.2
33:18 34:4 37:18	202:25 203:3,4	Claire 84:20 85:4	collectively 7:16 collision 33:9 36:9	223:2
37:19 41:5,23 43:18 46:4 59:7	205:9 208:4,11 210:12 211:19,22	clarify 113:12 143:1	37:24 79:13	comments 37:14 193:7
64:1,4 66:22	210.12 211.19,22	clarifying 160:1	98:10 114:1	Commission 6:7
67:2,4,7,19 68:1	214.1,14 210.12	clarity 42:18	200:24	7:2,6 11:20
68:4 69:23 70:6	217:23 218:19	classify 19:13	colluding 261:22	133:14
71:7,12 72:1	217.23 218.19	clear 76:11 88:22	collusion 256:3	commissioned
74:3,6,25 75:9,16	220:22 222:16,17	93:23 94:2 105:4	262:4	11:22 194:14
75:19 76:12,22	223:20,22,25	161:11 184:5	colonial 177:17	Commissioner
76:25 77:15,21	224:7,24 226:18	188:7 233:19	come 4:19 17:14	3:15 5:19 14:9
78:17 79:10 80:1	230:1,9,9 231:10	234:3 236:19	30:18 46:16	14:12 19:1 21:3
88:17 89:24,25	233:18 234:16,17	237:10,25 242:21	64:23 71:7 73:11	21:8,13,14,21,22
90:6 91:9 92:4,9	234:18 235:13	255:12 257:20	81:3 86:21 91:22	29:7 30:7,23
92:12,14 93:8,15	236:1,17 238:8	260:12 261:14	96:24 97:2	33:4 34:22 35:14
93:19 95:4,17	239:13,19 240:15	262:18	104:13 110:25	37:20 46:1 49:24
98:22 100:21	241:5 246:9,23	clearer 153:19	111:4 115:12	53:15,18,22 61:7
101:10,13,15,17	247:3,5 248:8	clearly 5:19 6:22	140:5 148:9	64:10 70:18,20
101:21,25 102:6	250:9 251:18	13:23 20:8 22:11	158:17 167:21	75:3,5,18 76:14
102:8,13 104:4	252:3,11,15	26:19,20 32:17	189:14,18 198:18	80:6,11,20,24
104:11 105:17	253:12 255:17	33:12 34:19	202:25 208:1,15	83:13,18 90:3,14
107:23 111:16,20	256:25 257:2,3,6	35:21 46:7,10	217:13 230:17	90:18 91:3 92:10
112:3 113:3,4,19	258:2 261:2,16	73:15 88:5 89:16	231:19 238:18	94:11,24 95:1
114:15,21 115:3	263:16 264:7,10	100:17 106:5	261:8	96:3,7 99:1
115:5,9 116:19	264:14 266:9,12	110:15 119:1	comes 6:6 13:24	107:17 109:6
116:20 117:6,10	267:19,23 268:1	121:23 125:4	225:8,15 234:13	119:18 133:9
117:18 118:4	268:9 269:12	129:12 180:11	261:12	136:21 137:6
119:5,16,20,23	children 18:2	217:16 221:14	comfort 8:12	147:9,13,15,21
120:12,20 123:3	chimed 212:23	223:7 236:20,20	231:9 263:1	168:5 176:3
123:19 124:6,14	choice 51:10 72:22	236:23 237:1	comfortable 20:9	181:10,12 182:5
124:20 126:17	187:14,15,16,16	253:25	coming 83:11,17	182:6 187:12
127:7 129:6,15	187:21,23 188:3	clerks/they 4:25	92:16 147:25	190:11 191:24
130:23 132:1,7	chose 34:15	client 140:10	214:2 225:13,16	196:12 199:10
132:16,18 136:5	chosen 7:9 15:14	141:4,14	225:22 227:12	203:8 204:9
136:6 137:2	133:13	clients 140:12	228:6 269:6	207:6 214:15
140:4,11 141:18	Chronicle 59:24	closed 197:10,23	Command 18:15	215:3 221:2,22
141:24 145:5,13	62:4,5,7 204:4	closing 54:1	19:8	233:23 237:18
145:24 146:5,12	Chronicle's 59:18	clunky 15:9	commas 91:5	238:19 250:25
147:3,17,19	chronology 183:22	CM 42:10,16	commenced 21:1	251:17 266:25
148:12,21 149:5	183:23	54:16 69:19	commencing	267:9,25
149:18 151:9	circulate 122:2	133:15	85:20	Commissioner's
152:5,18 153:12	circulated 74:5	Co's 137:25	comment 16:1	8:6,7 248:19,23
153:21 154:10,18	.88:1	Co.'s 110:16	23:22 48:13	committee 4:8 6:3
154:23 157:13	circumstances	code 214:4,11	64:15 131:1	185:4
158:3 159:20	141:11 197:9	coffee 22:2 24:10	175:22 176:5,9	common 80:3
172:5 175:1	262:12	264:12	176:15 188:14	165:21 166:6
176:1,23 182:4 183:4,16 184:11	citation 165:16 Civil 37:12	colleagues 23:4 54:12 56:10,18	251:14	communicate
187:11,22 188:20	claimed 90:18	143:20 229:1	commented 203:12	243:2,3 257:23 communicated
193:2 198:9	claims 41:11	251:5	commenting 83:13	154:12
173.4 170.7	Ciaiiiis 41.11	431.3	commenting 03.13	137.14
			1	l

				1 age 273
communicating	complex 234:5	concluded 81:2	249:9	174:16 176:20
227:20	complex 254.5	concluding 138:3	conjunction 4:21	178:11 189:22
communication	201:22	conclusion 189:15	55:16	construed 263:23
44:10 83:25	complimentary	198:22	connected 68:9	consult 88:11
135:12,14	151:21,22	conclusions	connection 63:22	147:16 174:25
communications	composed 172:18	198:10	184:8 213:4	consultancy 44:13
42:3 215:21	compromised	conduct 21:17	consensus 84:6	44:25
community 7:11	87:22	44:3 85:18	consent 104:13	consultation 3:14
7:20 9:5 55:8	conceivably 30:7	100:11 258:23	109:8 230:17	3:15,16 255:22
59:9,11 164:20	30:25	260:13	consequence 34:2	consulted 25:1
company 66:14,17	concentrate 73:17	conducted 39:8	35:8	87:15 146:2
66:23 136:12	concentrated 22:4	conference 206:22	Consequently	241:22
complain 43:5	concept 171:24	confidence 90:2,13	21:17	contact 17:10
complainant 10:13	174:22 182:16	94:13 95:3,13,19	consider 60:1	39:23 47:6 142:3
258:14	concern 42:23	95:22 100:21	73:22 80:17 81:6	230:8 269:17
complainants	46:12 47:15	101:14,16 102:7	83:22 85:13,17	contained 151:19
245:20 258:13	48:20 58:14	102:9 108:3,10	95:16 99:9	217:7
complained 8:18	77:16 79:11	113:24 117:12	104:25 118:14,15	contemporaneous
155:4	201:12 204:18	119:19 120:8	130:8 140:12,14	229:5
complaining 10:14	217:8 220:7,16	121:5 123:22	140:14 146:7	contemporaneo
92:23 161:22	229:9 245:13,19	124:15 127:10,11	147:12 203:6	87:21
complaint 18:18	262:16	130:11 152:4,19	233:22 234:21	contents 2:3 56:12
18:24 19:1,5,13	concerned 27:6	153:23 155:12,14	248:22 259:10	98:23 127:8
19:14,15,16,20	30:11 31:20	155:24 156:1,18	266:10 268:17	context 19:6 162:8
19:21,22 21:2,7	32:18 35:22	157:12,13 158:2	considerations	213:15 216:6
30:22 161:18	36:25 37:1 44:18	158:5,13 185:22	102:14	217:8
185:3 196:16	44:21 48:22	194:7 198:3	considered 86:25	continue 13:10
255:16 258:20	49:18,19,22,23	199:17 203:5	130:4 166:12	16:21 94:12
259:17	50:1 55:13,22	213:20 214:3,15	234:25 260:23	95:23 103:21,23
complaints 4:6,6	58:23 68:4 72:3	214:19 215:2,5	considering 96:21	148:3 215:3
4:10,20 18:21	72:24 78:1 94:20	215:17 226:9,18	107:8 136:20	continues 34:1
19:19 21:12	94:20 120:21,22	232:10 239:2	140:20 262:11	97:8
30:16 31:18,21	130:15 131:18	257:22 267:10	considers 137:20	contracted 44:25
31:22,24 137:15	141:4 150:22	confidences 155:7	232:20	contradicted
137:22 139:6,7	163:24 193:4	confident 199:24	consistent 52:7	184:7
140:10,16,22	203:19,21 204:24	confidential	117:17,23,24	contrast 210:10,14
144:2,6,7 157:3	208:8,9 217:11	243:20 251:10	172:21 173:14	246:6
162:1 169:14	221:24 236:18	confirm 1:14	constable 44:21	controlled 177:11
185:1 258:21,22	256:2	69:20 76:7	Constabulary	controversy
258:25 259:2,24	concerns 30:24	152:23	161:2	202:13
260:12,15	37:2 53:24 67:25	confirming 102:21	constant 18:9	convene 4:15
complete 121:5	68:19 70:7,17	conflict 69:2 86:24	constantly 32:19	83:11
187:17 248:10	98:9 107:19,22	187:3,8 197:18	constitute 21:19	convened 149:24
completely 4:8	108:2,6 118:3	conflicted 11:17	constituted 137:18	convenient 63:1
48:3 132:12	121:18 145:16	35:14 127:25	137:21 156:14	Convenors 26:16
139:25 140:3	155:20 199:18	conflicts 128:24,25	178:4	Convent 42:15
189:24 190:21	242:24,24 243:4	129:3,5	constitution	69:18 71:2
223:15 226:11 229:5	257:15,16 conclude 95:21	confronted 187:21	103:13 170:12	conversation 29:2 29:6 75:12 76:2
229.3	conclude 93:21	conjecture 37:14	171:3,7 172:7,21	29.0 /3:12 /0:2
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

				Page 2/6
76.4.106.7	252.21	246.20	262.10.260.5	J. J 72.12
76:4 106:7	252:21	246:3,9	262:19 269:5	declare 73:13
124:20 129:25	corruption 48:7	cross 118:11	days 5:15 18:1	81:22 258:5
130:17 131:15	50:20,23 51:6	198:13	74:6 109:20	declared 83:5
133:22 134:21	cos 253:3	crossed 124:4	200:21 220:14	258:16
183:12 208:19	cost 13:13 195:13	Cruz 167:6 168:17	228:20 229:6	decline 110:6
222:8,22,23,25	counsel 106:9	171:1 174:7,15	232:5,19 233:8	deemed 82:24
223:11,12 253:22	167:16 185:11	175:20 180:21	233:15,24 234:11	146:1
conversations 62:3	187:9	187:7 188:5	269:12	deems 250:5
66:3 256:5	counter-allegati	189:5 190:8,17	deadline 232:24	deepen 127:9,11
conversely 177:20	254:5,6	266:7	234:19 240:8	deepest 77:16
conveying 117:9	countercorrupti	Culligan 17:17	deal 10:16 23:12	deeply 50:13
convinced 22:1	51:4	culminated 31:18	110:24 140:22	default 73:13 81:4
39:1 45:5 65:14	couple 32:12	curious 198:21	156:15 269:12	81:20,23 82:24
66:6 92:11,12	111:22 171:10	currently 55:9	dealing 33:8	83:6 179:22,23
93:23 106:7	188:9 228:20	custody 197:16	202:19	180:4 181:7
124:16,18 195:18	229:6 244:15	cut 97:21	dealings 123:3	182:14,20,22
198:14 205:2	253:16		dealt 210:17 211:8	defects 126:18
227:14	course 11:6 22:19	<u>D</u>	Dear 23:4 84:1	defender 165:19
COP 27:14,20,23	61:22 62:22	damage 49:16	death 35:23	165:25
28:3,6,10	64:17 98:24	203:20	197:15	defenders 164:24
copied 16:9 151:15	140:2 141:13	damaging 204:19	deaths 98:9	165:4,15,17,21
copies 135:15	147:11 155:19	205:19	debating 180:19	166:2,8,10
copy 54:24	175:9 186:17	damning 58:22	debris 165:18	deference 149:12
copying 268:8,18	213:18	79:11 220:7	166:5	149:13
cordial 14:10	courtesy 33:15	236:20,24 237:1	December 26:2	deficiencies 59:20
Cornelio 113:9	246:22	237:2	decide 13:19 96:13	definite 8:11
coroner 34:10	cover 191:8	danger 95:2,7	147:5 215:11	definitely 78:19
35:1,3	covered 78:3	Darren 46:3 257:5	decided 101:3	81:10,18 100:2
corporate 167:21	125:2,6 191:8	257:11,15	156:17 157:9	136:1 169:25
correct 22:23	251:22	data 3:18 10:12	215:7 233:8	defunct 32:12
28:16 44:19	covers 74:10	date 11:24 17:11	deciding 96:17	delay 57:2,5
47:21 101:18	Covid 55:15,18,22	85:14 183:8	265:14	229:10
119:6,11,24	84:25 85:6	dated 23:3 134:9	decision 58:25	delegation 180:3
150:3,4,19 152:6	206:12,13,21	136:9	59:14,15,16	deleted 34:7,23
156:16 159:6,14	crack 233:5	dates 8:11 14:18	85:24 87:18 95:8	Delhi 63:20,25
159:21 161:3,11	create 99:17	15:23	95:24 97:12 99:4	66:10 100:12
167:15 181:6	created 178:14	David 122:17,18	100:8,14 109:21	101:6,7 116:18
188:10 203:23	crew 33:12	Davis 3:2 11:11	126:15 127:12	116:24 123:16
235:12 249:16	criminal 21:18	45:20	135:17 136:19	125:12 153:3
251:2,8 252:16	100:11 123:17	dawn 216:9	137:3 138:2,4,7	183:13 184:10
correctly 183:5	141:13 246:11	dawned 91:25	150:15 154:8,12	196:7 212:9,10
correspondence	248:15 252:13	day 38:16,17 58:13	155:11 159:5	254:18 262:21
10:17 16:20	criteria 94:16	65:3,21 67:3	189:18 213:10,11	Delhi/Chief 153:3
corridor 82:9	critical 33:8 153:1	87:16 103:3	218:7 245:9,12	deliberately 255:9
corroborated	criticised 247:4	115:9 128:20	248:6 259:10	deliberating 108:4
52:10	criticism 50:4,5	133:22 134:11,22	260:2,18 262:9	deliberations
corroboration	53:3,5,7 57:25	144:12 168:2	decisions 138:9	80:23 87:2 133:6
137:1	82:11,19 124:24	178:20 185:14	174:19 246:18	delve 50:13
corrupt 48:8 51:5	136:23 197:11,24	201:20 230:7	259:6	demeanour 69:6
Corrupt 40.0 31.3	130.23 17/.11,24	247:10 253:8	439.0	ucincanoui 09.0
	<u> </u>			<u> </u>

				1 age 277
demographics	42:13 43:18	disciplined 52:15	disturbing 149:18	drugs 8:2
7:22	diary 22:8	disclosed 143:5	divided 9:2,4	due 61:22 62:22
deny 83:7	difference 72:24	discreetly 264:8	Doctor 82:12	64:5,17 155:19
departed 16:11	164:6	266:2	document 74:1	219:12 220:19
Department 2:13	different 4:8 5:24	discretion 145:16	217:14	duties 5:7 7:1
depends 6:13	6:6 7:21,22 9:14	discuss 40:5 47:16	documentary	duty 82:3 83:7
173:21 174:23	83:2 101:22	54:8 57:21 58:19	234:7	181:11
268:3	120:17 140:1	65:22 79:21 84:5	documentation	dwell 50:8
deposed 183:19	146:16,17,18	86:12 94:15	260:22	
Deputy 2:24 14:21	148:17,24,25	98:12 103:19	documents 22:25	E
14:22,25 45:25	156:24 167:23	105:2 121:24	199:20	earlier 29:10
46:2 131:25	171:17 175:10,21	128:21 138:25	doing 26:13 29:12	31:10 38:1 95:15
132:16,19 133:24	188:12 189:14,18	141:23 161:13	43:7 72:19 83:7	142:9,10 146:16
226:15,17 257:4	189:25 190:9	164:11 267:1	86:4 88:22 96:13	167:12 178:18
264:6,13 266:10	226:17 229:13	discussed 14:17,17	104:12 106:8	184:22 200:25
266:11 268:10	263:3	47:20 74:7,11	109:11 115:21	220:9
describe 17:20	differently 83:2	90:17 98:8	136:18 148:7	early 26:1 141:15
19:21 69:5	140:3 173:23	121:10 129:1,4	160:22 185:16,18	201:13,21 210:3
described 151:2	difficult 17:23	133:2 161:14	213:24 230:16	earlyish 201:21
152:11 164:10	36:20 72:13	162:7 164:18,18	252:14 268:4	easily 166:23
168:18 180:6	94:11 120:23	209:3 261:15	door 255:20	easy 8:13 170:3
describing 220:4	125:16 127:13	discussing 27:5	262:14	Edgar 84:20
description 235:17	165:8,14 192:9	108:4 163:1	dossier 199:23	edits 232:12
deserved 96:12	197:9 213:21	229:14	200:4,10	235:25 236:1
252:6	215:2 217:3	discussion 40:8	dots 94:7 184:18	education 2:14,14
despite 57:25	dig 215:4	41:22 98:21	doubt 30:5 72:20	2:15,16,17,21
destroy 49:14,15	dignity 27:17 29:5	232:18	81:1,14,16 112:5	Edward 3:2 11:10
detail 112:17	96:12	discussions 44:8	119:11 148:14	45:20
121:9 124:13	direct 177:4,5	dishonest 202:17	243:7 256:8	effect 21:5 64:16
155:5 212:17	directly 12:18 64:4	dishonesty 199:11	doubts 61:6,8,13	96:9 104:12
218:3	151:12 237:21	199:21 200:16	downstairs 66:1	109:23 110:19
detailed 41:21	259:5	202:5	DPP 219:6,8,13	151:3,4 164:13
202:15	Director 2:16	dismiss 126:16	247:14	169:3 225:17
details 29:14 67:9	221:4,11	dismissed 258:25	DPP's 67:8	230:16 257:1
67:10 68:6,17	disagree 52:19	259:18 260:11,15	Dr 1:4,8 2:7 20:16	effective 179:7
76:24 77:1 78:4	157:8 162:21	260:17,17 265:19	44:10 63:11 93:4	effectively 29:8
79:20,21 114:3	164:16 238:16	dispute 18:20 19:9	142:13 185:16	95:8,23 136:18
183:18 222:1	disagreement 67:7	disputes 18:15	191:18 208:1,18	138:17 147:6
263:12,13	disappointed	disqualified	216:21,22 235:13	233:6 257:2
determine 34:10	106:23	156:21 157:15	238:12 268:5	effectiveness 30:24
35:3 51:2 80:16	disappointment	disrespect 17:24	draft 29:4 47:20	94:17
determined	106:21	distance 253:12	47:24 49:8,10	efficiency 94:17
137:16 255:18	discharge 181:13	distancing 84:25	118:15,17 165:1	236:21
develop 50:24	disciplinaries	distinct 24:15	194:20 231:22	efficient 179:7
development	35:11,16	distinction 9:20	drafting 117:7,19	180:7 237:18
51:24 57:11 58:6	disciplinary 11:23	211:13	154:6,11 181:25	efforts 22:4
developmental	20:4 21:19 27:24	distinguish 72:14	draw 172:14	eight 113:16
55:2 57:8	29:8 30:6 35:8	distraught 219:19	dripping 210:19	165:15
developments 42:9	Discipline 21:16	distrusted 211:15	dropping 165:10	either 6:12,16 35:9
				48:20 53:3
	•	•	•	•

				1 age 270
111:19 128:15	England 52:2	24:3 39:16 43:1	21:25 37:16 40:2	174:14 183:15
178:2 206:3	enjoying 55:9	43:21 108:8	41:3 69:16 86:16	226:5
213:23 219:4	enlighten 242:6	171:18 220:19	104:3 113:10	explaining 28:4
223:10 228:24	ensure 35:16	260:8,9	135:2 265:9,11	151:8
elaborate 169:8	60:10,19 177:24	evidence 19:11	exchanged 149:17	explanation 109:2
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	20:13 23:15,18	exchanged 149.17 exchanges 33:3	112:15 166:25
elderly 85:5 elected 26:15	179:10,18,19 180:5 229:22	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	47:10 52:1 115:3	
elements 45:13		23:20 25:14,16		171:6 254:19
	245:11	26:6,10 28:8	excluded 255:8	explanations 97:4
eliciting 188:7	entails 3:6	31:7 37:24 49:19	Excuse 210:19	explicit 123:15
Elka 143:21,21	entering 39:10	51:22 56:13 66:9	exercise 100:9	explicitly 123:23
email 16:8,8,17	entertain 247:10	72:1,12 74:4	126:13 138:18	explore 145:10
17:2 22:21 23:1	entire 254:22	78:1 79:8 144:16	172:24 176:2	223:18
23:2,22 25:16	entitled 175:14	145:20 146:13	178:10 202:16,21	explored 212:6
32:23 48:10 54:6	entries 22:8	151:25 152:25	267:20	exposure 50:22
56:6,16 58:9,13	entry 27:12 37:22	153:2,19 155:10	exercised 182:15	express 15:4,12
59:6 64:19 65:1	42:5 51:16 59:23	155:13 157:22,24	182:19,25 262:15	258:1,1
69:16,21 70:16	131:24 264:5	170:10 184:5	exercising 85:23	expressed 44:11
84:14 122:3	envisage 213:25	194:4 199:18,20	exert 178:1	64:3 89:23 90:1
132:24 133:21	envisaged 176:20	201:2 202:4,7,8	exist 129:3	94:14 98:22
134:9,20 140:24	178:11	203:18 207:15	expect 71:12	122:24 129:2
141:17,23 142:2	equally 171:19	210:4 213:5	expected 114:25	238:9,10,18
183:6 192:17	equivalent 46:6	220:9 227:23	202:4 203:12,16	expressing 108:2
201:19 202:1	errors 139:15,16	234:7 235:1	258:5	145:7 147:22
206:5 219:24,25	140:6	243:8 264:3	expecting 47:4	expressly 21:15
220:1 225:1	especially 33:19	269:13	110:25 157:17	75:4,18 76:14
228:25 232:9	35:13 43:3 83:16	evident 149:16	158:14,16 159:11	92:10 93:17
250:14,14,15,20	115:12 197:15	ex 241:6 256:19,21	217:12	101:11 136:16
250:20,21 252:25	215:24 218:20	256:21,23	expedited 228:12	extent 41:22 91:14
emails 5:12 22:18	228:1	exact 2:13 200:1	experience 7:15	128:15 176:19
143:3 199:19	essence 40:6,19	exactly 13:8 29:13	108:15,24 167:23	230:24
227:18	171:18 180:2	29:17 67:11 80:9	experienced 13:6	external 59:19
emergency 84:11	188:5	97:19 98:18	173:25 235:14	194:15
84:12 87:11,17	essentially 3:1,10	135:25 144:25	experiences 6:14	extremely 12:1
100:16 149:24	5:2,9 45:23	201:1 202:14	expert 110:14	36:24 69:24
153:6 225:1	154:24 185:21	207:12 218:2	197:20	116:15 151:23
emotions 218:13	252:21	232:18 244:22	expertise 38:21,25	152:11 185:15,17
emotive 35:24	establish 180:22	250:16,22 254:15	39:11 50:24	205:22
emphasis 184:2	et 267:25	262:20 263:12	197:19	
employed 86:22	evening 22:22	exaggerating	explain 40:6,16,20	<u>F</u>
employees 51:5,5	64:20 65:8	59:10 167:3	44:15 66:13,17	f 181:11
enabled 18:25	event 75:1,17,19	examine 86:3	68:18 71:16 78:7	Fabian 228:23
enacted 172:20	76:23 126:18	example 8:3 30:22	84:12 86:18 97:4	251:7 253:2
encompass 19:22	events 15:3 26:14	39:9 103:2	100:17 107:25	257:10,17
encounter 51:21	113:5 135:25	177:13,14,16	114:3 149:1	face 65:20,20 84:2
encourage 191:15	139:20 184:12	236:15 263:4	153:11 182:6	84:2 107:2,2
ended 28:6 87:17	eventually 2:16	examples 13:20	202:13 257:12	123:14
endorsed 79:25	3:6 144:16,17,18	Excellency 34:3	explained 29:21	Facebook 37:16
enforcement 166:3	231:20	excessive 149:13	72:19 89:21,25	37:17
engagement 9:5	everybody 9:22	exchange 19:10	90:8,16 173:10	faces 71:17
				fact 7:21 14:16
	<u> </u>	l	l	I

				Page 2/9
24.12.25.10	212.10.214.16.17	100.10 117.25	6 14 176-10	107.15 104.15
24:12 25:18	213:10 214:16,17	109:19 117:25	filter 176:19	127:15 134:15
32:15 39:19	216:7,8 223:10	122:13 146:17	177:24	136:24 139:10
40:25 41:9 43:4	227:13,25 228:6	148:25 160:4	final 1:15 27:12	146:8 147:6,8
68:9 75:8 76:5	230:23 235:16	174:5 186:2,6	37:22 54:3 99:3	151:13 154:18
77:11 88:16	236:8,12 238:12	197:16 204:2,4	141:2 147:23	155:3 163:7
90:17 94:21	239:6,21,24	218:5	239:17	165:13 173:10
97:15,17 98:10	243:15 251:13,14	feeling 15:24 60:4	finally 146:4 268:5	191:20 192:12
100:23 102:7	255:5	60:4,5 72:16,21	financial 170:15	206:10 214:9
103:22 106:18	fairly 267:11	106:22 205:20	179:8	228:11 230:21
108:5 112:6	faith 94:23 113:24	feelings 81:2,17	financially 170:13	231:15,19 232:11
116:8 117:2	113:24 222:7	106:20 122:25	financing 241:18	233:13 258:11
123:25 131:10	fall 21:15 51:23	123:7 127:13	241:19	259:8 262:3
138:3 141:20	267:11	feels 35:14 141:14	find 3:5 24:25 26:3	265:6
142:10 148:20	falls 21:18 179:24	210:25 211:16	33:2 36:19 41:12	fit 61:11 250:5
155:24 157:15	familiar 172:8	felt 8:9 19:19 45:4	45:13,15 46:7	five 7:25 85:2
159:4,13 161:14	178:19,25 179:1	48:16 57:6,11	72:13 83:25	87:14 204:15
163:14 177:8	179:13	72:11 83:4,8	94:11 113:22	fix 40:15
188:1 197:7	familiarity 83:21	87:22 92:2 94:10	114:10,11,11,23	fixable 97:24
207:18 210:11	far 30:10 31:20	96:12 97:8,11,23	114:24 127:19	205:5,18,19
211:18 226:8	78:1,6 97:9	98:5,23 99:5	186:19 217:3	fixed 40:13
231:9 241:12	101:8 120:20,22	103:22 108:7,8,8	251:23	flagrant 126:19
253:8 258:20	130:15 146:15	110:23 111:23	finding 85:14	flaw 103:23
262:13,14 266:16	150:21 158:20	112:18,20 114:7	260:21	flawed 92:1,1
factor 97:12	159:1,2 176:7	114:8 123:3	findings 236:18	103:22 137:13
124:14 158:6	256:2	127:16 129:16	fine 62:8 89:16,17	215:8
226:3	fashioned 15:10	138:8 139:8	94:15 175:13,13	flaws 136:15 138:9
facts 187:22	fatal 79:13	145:3 148:10	183:22 184:19,19	139:9 141:8
188:12,15 189:13	fatalities 33:11	149:1,8 150:13	finish 104:16	156:21
189:17 213:19	fathom 210:1	156:13 158:5,8	230:20 269:19	floating 166:5
factual 47:25	fault 207:5	162:9 185:12,15	finished 44:24	flowed 19:8
267:21	favour 171:21	185:16 187:13,15	159:16	fluid 42:3
fail 181:15	fear 171:20	187:15 204:7	finishing 191:12	focus 19:12 27:12
failed 190:10,13	February 16:8	205:5 206:12	232:7	50:18 170:5
failing 237:20	20:23 22:5 24:1	211:7 218:8	firearms 8:5	focused 58:19
failings 80:2,12	27:8,9 144:23	223:10,14 252:5	firm 51:18 52:9,9	153:18
failure 139:22	162:13 245:8	269:1	52:13 90:21 99:3	focusing 48:18
181:13	fed 42:16 231:18	FFS 135:4	109:13 147:23	136:10
fair 7:24 8:18	Federation 23:5	fiction 209:21	208:24	follow 116:20
25:13 35:17	23:14 31:17,19	field 15:17,18	first 1:3,13,13,14	175:16
49:11 118:21	32:18 79:13	29:23	11:13 19:12 21:1	followed 26:11
131:7 136:22	160:20 161:15	fielded 154:1	31:8 36:7 38:2,5	39:19 247:13
146:10 148:4	192:6 220:25	fifth 2:1 233:12	38:10 43:24	following 22:22
156:23,25 157:8	federations 27:21	fig 125:10 248:4	45:19 50:18	44:17 58:13
162:5,6 167:19	feed 30:9,25 34:7	248:12	54:16 59:2 63:24	105:24 156:22
176:17 189:8,23	34:17	fight 13:23 83:14	68:10,12 76:15	182:13 267:20
195:5 201:25	feedback 49:3	Figueras 84:22	76:16 78:20	force 15:8 21:20
202:17,19 206:25	feel 6:5 23:10 50:2	file 21:2,7 90:4,5	104:17 105:12	44:4 45:14 46:10
207:8 210:10	50:9 60:3 72:7	90:16 98:23	106:14,20 111:18	50:21 51:19,25
212:8,22 213:10	82:22 96:19	filed 19:4	113:4,7 117:4	70:12 99:21
L				

				Page 280
174:20 177:10	four 33:10 47:1	functions 29:22	89:15 131:13	170:9 188:3
179:7 194:15	84:19 127:14	94:24 103:14	203:6 204:5	231:23
195:13 196:8	161:7 204:12,13	172:19,24 178:10	254:7	glad 196:22
197:14 237:19	223:6	267:10	Gibraltar 39:23	go 1:11 2:19 13:3,8
249:19 267:1,6	fourth 1:24 221:12	fundamental	97:15 105:23	13:20 16:7 18:10
267:22	227:5 231:14	136:14 139:9	127:17,24 128:5	19:10 20:11 23:2
forced 73:9 96:10	fractious 17:23	173:2	133:4 141:15	28:6 33:2 38:16
forceful 71:11	192:15,16	fundamentally	166:3 171:12	40:1,9 42:4
238:15	fractured 31:11,14	137:13	172:18 179:8,12	43:24 45:18 47:9
forces 15:20 30:17	frame 10:21	funding 12:8,23	192:6 193:9	50:15 54:4,9,20
265:3	Francis 25:9	13:5,7,21 47:8	210:5 211:11	56:3 58:3 59:21
Foreign 41:8	frank 4:12 26:18	further 40:10	218:16,17 244:24	60:7 65:16 68:16
227:20	141:6	61:15 64:1,5,14	266:19	79:7 80:15 82:5
foremost 59:2	frankly 30:20	65:20 115:2	Gibraltar's 98:1	83:18,24 86:15
forget 11:24	33:19 39:21	142:3 145:1	gist 70:21	87:9 88:20 89:18
160:11 264:25	49:24 54:2 69:4	156:16 223:23	give 7:10 10:2	100:6 104:3
265:6	69:25 71:9,13,18	229:3 230:12	15:23 23:21 26:8	105:5,8 106:12
forgive 151:25	74:12 83:19	232:1,17 236:16	52:20 65:10 67:1	109:24 111:5
158:4	92:15 101:24	237:8,14 238:2,2	77:1 91:20 97:4	112:23 113:14
form 173:20	108:7 114:6,18	244:8,11 245:22	98:17 107:7	115:2,13 116:1
formal 9:13,16,20	115:16 204:6	246:5,21 253:18	111:15 130:25	119:3 128:8
9:23 18:18,23	216:20 223:3	257:8	146:19 150:10	132:24 134:24
19:12,14,21	234:14 254:6	future 242:17,18	185:4,20 216:5	136:8 137:9
31:18,21,22	263:13	fyi 54:10 56:5	217:13 231:5	138:6,14 139:12
42:20 144:13	frequent 32:10	224:1	232:6 234:3	140:24 143:11
162:1 164:6	friction 29:24		255:11 257:18	145:11 147:5
formality 144:14	Friday 1:1 23:6	G	259:16	163:8 166:6
formally 163:20	65:25 143:19	general 16:19 17:6	given 7:24 8:11,11	170:6 171:10
formed 152:2	144:1 191:11	20:20 41:6,24	13:20 28:12	172:11,12 179:3
formulated 45:2	friend 87:23	64:6 67:20 68:20	34:13,20 58:23	179:22 181:20,24
forthcoming 13:21	189:11 266:6	68:25 70:11	62:5 67:10,10	185:5 196:21
fortnight 246:7	268:6	80:10 92:18	77:19 79:20	201:17 206:23
fortunately 84:13	friendly 14:10	122:24 123:6	83:20 90:3 94:13	207:14 208:15
fortune 190:1	frightened 218:12	127:7 132:7	95:6 105:22	211:18 213:22
forward 4:16	218:15	134:2,10,22	118:4,9 119:18	216:14 221:18
16:14 42:5 55:4	front 1:10 18:11	135:6,8,13,18	119:24 122:10	223:23 227:5
56:24 133:19	79:22	141:18,24 151:11	123:18,20 136:17	231:25 236:15
141:17 178:16	fruitful 23:8	159:21 162:19	141:11 151:14	237:14 238:21
251:6	fulfil 5:6	163:25 190:11	155:16 162:25	243:11 244:8,11
forwarded 151:8	full 5:16,16 10:8	221:4,8 229:18	163:1,16 164:9	244:14 245:1,21
250:8 252:19	58:11 59:1 138:5	229:22 246:10	164:15 166:25	246:21 250:10
found 8:24 22:9,25	188:11,15	250:9 251:19	187:15 190:11	253:18 254:13
49:7 53:13 91:9	full-time 5:16	252:4,12 265:6	194:20 213:4	256:6,12 257:8
114:13 119:8	fuller 50:25	268:9	217:8 243:8	GOA 251:4
127:17 134:15	fully 50:21 51:9	generally 160:10	gives 16:25 19:11	goes 6:2 54:18
141:21 142:11	90:16 138:2,6	170:6,7 194:5	23:17 26:9 76:24	77:17 85:6
143:18 167:4	166:9 210:11	generated 3:24	79:8	146:15 173:8
185:17 201:1	function 27:22	gentlemen 184:25	giving 72:22 96:9	222:13
252:8 262:4	94:25	gentler 99:6	109:20 138:18	going 13:13 19:10
		getting 3:25 88:11		

				Page 281
20.11 12 22.16	Gomez's 125:21	149:5 151:10	24.24.25.25.2.20	215:12 241:13
20:11,12 23:16 37:10 39:4 41:11	126:4 128:3	152:5 153:21	24:24,25 25:2,20 25:23 27:18	245:10,12 255:23
43:12 45:4 46:19	120.4 128.3	152.5 155.21	30:10 31:19 32:7	GPF 17:22 18:16
46:23 47:3 49:13	Goncalves 167:13	158:3 159:19	32:15 35:4,6,10	27:13,15,17,24
49:15,16 53:10	168:12 173:9	172:1,2 175:1	35:15 36:4 37:9	28:5,10,23,25
56:13 59:8,11	Gonçalves 8:24	176:1,23,24	38:23,24 39:8	143:25 192:7,19
67:12,16 68:6,24	30:14 46:22 47:1	170.1,23,24	44:2,10 48:1,14	192:23
70:2 72:25 73:1	good 1:3,8,9 42:7	180:11 182:3,20	48:15 54:12	GPF/Command
73:3,20 78:11	42:19 45:6 54:21	183:4,9 187:11	56:10,18 64:25	20:20
82:8 84:1 86:11	142:24 158:23	188:20 193:1	67:15 72:8 80:16	granted 13:7
89:6 92:3,3,6	160:14 167:7,8	198:8,17 199:8	80:23,25 83:12	105:10
95:11,19,21	168:2 177:16	198.8,17 199.8	84:19 85:17,22	grateful 188:8
101:14 104:16	191:18,19 193:14	201:7 202:20,24	87:21 88:1 91:15	231:24
108:12 109:10	206:17,18	201:7 202:20,24 203:3,4 205:9	91:23 95:11 97:8	grave 257:14,15
112:10 115:11,12	government 12:8	206:2 210:13	99:3,5,10 100:9	gravely 141:4
	12:21 13:21		· ·	
115:19,20 118:25 121:2 122:21	54:14 94:12,22	211:23 214:1,14 216:18 217:18	103:13,16 105:5 105:13 106:3	gravity 198:14,19 great 47:14 48:20
121:2 122:21 128:10,12 129:16	94:23 108:2	218:20,20 219:5	115:19 116:11	68:24 163:14
130:19,22 132:23	176:23 177:4	220:5,10,14,18	117:12,17 118:8	greatly 185:2
142:14 146:19	216:16 255:23,23	220:3,10,14,18	121:25 126:7,13	Grech 46:3 257:5
148:8 149:3	256:20	224:8 225:8,9,11	121.25 120.7,13	257:15,23
153:9 156:7	governor 2:25	224.8 223.8,9,11 225:15,20 226:8	133:12,16,25	grievance 10:14
171:2 172:11	3:16 6:1,4 12:19	226:12,15,16,17	135:12,10,23	10:15 19:23
179:3 180:16	14:21,23 15:1	226:19 227:2	139:4 140:14	196:17,18,22
191:16 195:13	16:10,11,12,15	228:5 229:15	142:7 145:15,24	grievances 31:25
205:11 206:13	16:18 17:6 33:18	232:10 236:17	146:6 147:5,11	157:4
207:2,12 208:3	33:23 41:24 42:8	238:8 239:22,23	147:14 155:21	ground 191:8
212:3 215:2	45:20 59:7 69:22	245:6,7 248:9	156:14 157:25	grounds 136:14
212.3 213.2 216:1 217:20	71:12,25 74:3	250:9 251:19	160:10,16 161:13	137:19 138:21
219:16 225:10,18	75:23 76:5 88:17	250.9 251.19	162:22 163:8,15	259:24
227:15 228:24	89:22,24,25	257:1,4 264:6,13	164:10 167:11	group 10:6,7 22:13
230:20,22 234:6	91:12 92:5 93:19	264:15 266:10,11	187:10 189:21	37:17,17 201:5,8
234:8,19,22	94:13 95:4,18,25	266:16,22 267:4	190:10 191:25	259:16
242:16 244:14	97:16 98:15,22	267:13 268:10	193:25 194:5,10	groups 56:8
251:5 254:8	99:5,6,19 100:20	Governor's 13:2	196:13,19,25	Guardia 37:12
256:10 257:12	100:23 102:14,17	101:25 138:13	197:2 209:3	guardian 171:13
261:25 269:14,20	103:3 105:6,9	181:7 201:12	213:10 216:1,23	guardians 171:24
Gomez 2:21	108:11 110:4	governors 224:24	217:1 218:6	guess 173:7 196:17
100:12 110:16,20	111:20 117:9	GP 32:1	224:2,10 241:6,8	guessing 236:9
114:13 125:22,23	119:15,19,23	GPA 1:5 2:9,23	242:7,23 243:6	237:22
128:21 129:6,8,9	120:11,20 122:9	4:11,13 6:9,12,21	244:6 245:6	guidance 19:9
129:10 136:12	122:15 123:2	7:14 8:15,21	248:10 249:18	27:21
137:25 139:13,14	126:17 131:25	9:12,13,16 12:17	251:4,12 253:17	guiding 230:24
140:25 150:18	132:16,19 133:16	14:11,14,19,25	255:22 256:20	guys 205:15
151:9 217:6	133:24 136:18	16:15,17,19,21	257:12,24 259:21	
247:24 248:2	137:15 140:11	16:25 17:11,17	260:18 262:16	Н
250:15,21 251:1	145:13,23 146:5	19:18 20:18,22	GPA's 3:8 7:16	Haim 112:8
251:15 254:12	146:10,11,11	20:25 22:4,14,15	100:14,18 129:22	half 100:2,5
268:7	147:17,19 148:21	22:22 23:5 24:11	155:11 191:21	250:11,16,22
				halfway 73:16
L	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	l	I -

				1 age 202
hand 18:16 35:24	64:2 160:3 196:6	helpful 36:23	117:3 122:11	identify 51:4
52:14,17 109:24	218:14 228:17	170:14 180:20	126:24 127:1,18	166:13
161:25 162:2	229:20 264:9	228:18	202:23 206:14	IM 253:22
217:2	hard 59:18 97:24	helping 55:14 96:9	235:9	IM's 253:24
handing 30:15	127:17,19 198:10	Hi 104:11 230:13	honestly 49:8	images 60:8
95:8,23	Hassans 11:15,16	hierarchy 29:20	74:18 76:18	images 00.0
handled 113:17	66:18 75:6,8	149:3	86:12 91:18 92:2	104:20
221:25 222:6	86:23 87:6	high 108:10 149:6	114:7 233:1	imagine 40:25
246:13 247:7	129:12	179:10 221:1	265:20	immediately 58:3
handling 112:8	hasten 25:19	high- 79:14	honesty 47:5	115:4 206:20
196:14 248:15	haunt 24:11	high-profile 79:19	hope 42:25 64:16	218:9 247:1,2
handwritten	head 73:19 118:19	higher 74:24	hoped 51:15	imminently
111:14	207:20,21	highest 141:9	hopefully 22:25	225:16
hang 144:14 253:3	headed 27:13	highlighted 119:4	96:24 172:12	impact 57:14
happen 24:16	51:17 74:2	119:13 121:7	185:24	127:3 150:21
25:15 39:17	heading 28:9	highlights 3:20	hoping 124:2	impacted 210:17
47:22 52:25	165:4 179:4	highly 209:1,10	158:16,19 218:21	211:8
57:12 81:14 88:7	headline 59:18,24	hindsight 8:17	219:1 242:5	impeccable 141:9
92:3 97:3 111:4	59:25 62:6,7	31:2 39:20	hospital 7:7	implement 4:4
122:8,9 127:17	headlined 251:9	118:12 119:1	host 183:17	implemented
169:22 181:23	headquarters 46:5	139:25 213:14	hostile 31:11,15	204:9
218:16 222:24	heads 187:1	214:8 215:1,9	hour 100:2,4 141:2	implications
225:7,15 242:17	Health 7:5 55:17	253:14,15	250:16,22 256:11	166:10
242:19	55:18	hit 72:6	256:13	impliedly 136:16
happened 8:10	healthy 216:24	hitting 59:18	hours 5:5 168:13	implies 197:25
22:5,12 28:21	hear 38:1 112:15	HMIC 3:24,25,25	House 32:21 47:12	importance 58:24
33:21 35:7 38:12	181:3 184:25	43:23 46:4,13,19	63:16	important 39:3
41:13 67:2 74:19	heard 35:22 37:7	46:25 49:4 51:12	huge 46:10 193:5	77:21 84:18 87:2
74:20,23 76:8	63:24 72:15 77:6	54:6 58:15 70:15	218:24	101:1,13 102:4
88:14,16 89:8	113:4,8 140:18	79:12 125:9	hull 165:20	110:10 124:14
90:12 97:15,19	168:12 172:9	203:2 220:7	hullabaloo 99:18	158:6 168:23
98:19 102:22	193:7,11,12,22	236:18 237:13	hung 164:5	171:12 174:17
103:4 126:6	193:24 194:6	245:25	hurry 225:4	246:1
169:4 183:25	198:2	HMICFRS 44:3,6	hurt 48:17 204:5	imposing 69:10
190:2,5 192:13	hearings 195:24	44:13,16 195:15	hypothesis 250:4	impossible 108:9
194:24 201:23	hearsay 37:14	hoc 13:18	hypothetical	125:17
219:20 223:17	heavily 241:25	hold 10:19 168:6	188:24	impression 158:11
228:3 242:16,18	held 10:18 20:22	266:23 267:23,24		158:15 222:13,15
242:22 250:3	25:1 66:23 80:7	holders 149:14	I	227:17,21 233:10
260:20 262:13	80:12 133:5	holding 153:25	i.e 44:13 83:17	impromptu 112:2
happening 38:3	149:6	169:13	248:14	impromptu 112.2
122:17 127:20	help 3:18 6:22	Holewell 45:10	Iain 42:7,21	173:24 175:5,8
186:7 208:14	118:14,16 143:1	48:10	Ian 54:5,10 56:5	266:8,12
209:16,17 210:5	154:10,21 169:18	holiday 5:9	59:24	improve 55:4
211:11 218:17	170:16 197:15	home 82:8	idea 61:10 69:25	192:11
happens 33:17	215:24 219:1	honest 31:2 38:20	84:11 86:13	improved 52:5
169:7 183:6	231:12 243:17	38:23 39:7 48:11	140:21 148:1	improvement
happy 23:11 48:3	helped 143:17	86:8 101:1 104:1	195:20 264:22	50:21 51:25
53:22 57:4 59:3	170:16 239:11	106:22 113:9	identified 189:22	in-house 45:13
			identifies 59:19	
	l	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

<u> </u>				Page 283
inaudible 9:16	196:8 197:8,22	204:8 207:4	136:4	219:15
69:12 180:12	198:4,11,23	initiating 100:18	instruction 110:18	interpretation
189:3 225:18	244:23	initiating 100.18	instructions 52:23	82:4 110:8 120:2
248:13	independently	injustice 186:2	integrity 77:17	interpreted 53:1
incident 33:6,8	103:14,17 195:2	input 7:23,23	94:17 123:2,5	93:16 121:3
34:1 37:16 38:3	indicate 134:6	12:20 39:6	179:11 238:4	interrupt 114:20
41:1,7,10 42:25	197:23	154:23	266:18 267:14	187:20
76:8,10 79:12	indicated 5:20	inquiry 10:11 11:6	intemperate 246:9	intervention 160:1
97:9,13 100:25	indication 49:5	13:11,14,17	intemperate 240.9	interviewing 45:3
102:3,19 103:4	individual 6:14	72:13 78:24,25	intention 138:13	52:18
109:2 125:9	9:15 77:18	100:11 143:2	138:14	interviews 55:15
193:6,23 194:6	246:19	159:17 167:17	interaction 171:8	intimated 73:10
195:21 198:1,2	individuals 21:15	186:19 197:4	interactions 41:5	91:6 263:15
198:11,14,19	185:2 268:19,25	202:1 204:13	223:19	intimating 48:8
199:9,23 200:22	industry 165:22	220:1 242:5	interest 16:15	intimidation 32:3
200:23 201:5,8	infection 85:8	244:10 250:4,13	66:23 79:4	163:10
201:14,20,23	inferring 61:19	250:19 261:15	128:25 197:18	introduce 130:16
237:13 245:25	62:19	inquorate 85:10	258:1,6,15	introduced 129:24
248:11 258:24	influence 137:3,4	inside 29:23 98:15	interested 3:3 41:8	131:9,11 253:20
260:14	154:11 175:8	186:25 201:24	114:18 160:6	introducing 121:9
incidental 208:19	176:2,25	202:12	251:20	121:9
incidents 33:1	influenced 100:10	insider 32:17	interests 105:23	introductory
include 139:19,22	145:5 148:10,11	insides 120:4	156:8	50:16
173:19	149:22	insisted 155:6	interfere 90:20	intrude 128:13,14
included 12:12	informal 9:18,25	insisting 10:3	91:4 208:22	intrusion 45:5
103:24 168:19,20	10:1,4 19:14	100:23	209:12 211:17	invalidate 254:21
199:1 201:8,10	74:1,2 90:6	insofar 155:20	interference	inverted 91:5
254:21	101:9 111:15	168:11	112:11 173:20,21	investigate 35:4
includes 15:21	123:20 144:13	inspection 44:4,5,9	173:24 174:20,24	39:2 95:12,16,20
including 90:17	164:7	44:16,19,23 45:6	175:5,6,7 176:21	119:25 194:15
172:5 181:9	informally 25:11	45:8 46:13 47:3	176:22 208:10	197:17 200:12
266:21	information 2:5	47:4,24 161:1	263:24 266:9	investigated 39:18
incursions 43:5	28:18 34:16,20	248:12	interfering 68:1	195:2
98:2	52:7 89:23 91:1	inspections 44:12	68:20 212:1	investigating 35:7
independence	91:14,17 98:15	49:21	252:12,13	investigation
94:18 103:20,25	98:16,18 101:5	inspector 45:11	interim 16:12	20:19 34:9,14
117:18,24 173:3	116:6 124:4,23	Inspectorate 161:2	145:13,23 146:5	35:2 37:23 38:19
173:13,15,19,19	125:5 198:16	inspectors 49:4	146:11 151:10	39:9 46:24 63:19
174:17,18 176:19	218:6 259:13,14	203:6	200:14 202:20,24	63:22 64:8 66:14
179:11,13,16	informed 21:10	installed 165:17	203:4 206:1	68:2,21 79:15,20
184:22 266:19	41:24 63:19	166:2	226:8 245:7	85:18 90:20 91:4
267:15	113:1 122:19,23	installing 166:8,10	interjecting	92:22 98:14
independent 10:10	123:6	instance 20:2	220:23	113:6 123:17,20
38:19 40:4	informing 88:13	instigated 35:11	internal 14:1	129:24 130:14,17
137:10 138:2,7	88:15 133:11	191:25	20:19 199:1	130:25 131:4,9
172:23 175:25	informs 34:5	institute 20:4	266:21	131:12,16,17,19
177:12 178:9,13	initial 33:17	instruct 13:19	internally 35:12	141:14 196:8
180:7 189:23	205:18,20	instructed 11:14	international	208:22 209:12
195:4,6,8,10	initially 163:13	instructing 135:19	33:20 41:1,10	212:1,9,18
	<u> </u>	[<u> </u>	<u> </u>

				Page 264
213:13 216:6	issue 29:19 35:5,7	184:18	10:20	188:21 189:5,13
221:2 246:12	64:17 77:16,21	joining 2:9 28:3	Kingdom 15:20	190:18 191:6
247:7 248:16	79:23 129:24	167:11	45:22 244:21	195:7 196:9
252:14 253:21	130:16 131:9,11	joint 59:15,16	kittens 229:24	197:3,5,5,25
263:21	145:6 160:11,13	jointly 11:21	230:2,5	199:22 202:11,12
investigations	160:15 161:3,9	jokingly 46:23	knew 13:14 31:3	205:7 213:12
67:16 195:5	163:14 164:10	JOSEPH 1:6	35:18,20 41:9	214:25 217:16
investigative	166:15,16 168:22	judge 106:22	43:21 49:2 51:11	218:8,13 221:16
247:17	169:2 170:12	186:20	72:14 73:21	221:23 222:4
investigators 86:9	183:14 193:5	judgments 186:24	120:4 124:16,18	225:8,11 229:15
investigatory 39:6	211:9 243:10	Julio 84:21	125:24 134:2	239:13 240:19
investment 78:18	253:21 268:7	July 261:18	160:21,22,25	242:9 245:16,18
invitation 89:11	issued 19:7	June 15:3 128:19	164:2 194:23	248:3 252:6
110:2,2,5,13,17	issues 9:14 18:14	131:24 132:6,22	195:1 222:15,16	257:8,15 258:9
145:6	26:16 47:14	132:25,25 133:20	225:10 231:9	258:19,19 264:3
invite 72:9 73:8	48:20 70:19,22	132:23,23 133:20	240:18	265:13 268:13
82:23 95:6 98:25	79:10 80:3	134:4,9,10,20,22	know 4:24 5:12,25	knowing 169:25
109:17 127:12	112:13,18 142:25	135:1,2 136:9	8:1 12:1 15:23	190:3
185:5	169:22 184:4	141:1 217:22	18:3,9 22:6 23:4	knowledge 2:5
invited 106:3	220:7,16 233:24	225:12 253:17	23:11 25:20	32:17 82:13
109:17,18 125:11	234:6 239:3	Justice 11:23	29:25 30:19	214:18 256:7
126:2 147:15	246:2 260:21	13:12 34:4 55:17	33:15,21 35:16	268:24
156:17 157:10	item 10:17 28:9	57:20,23 206:12	36:2 37:11,13	known 188:17,18
158:1 232:3	128:23	246:24	38:4,5 39:2	189:13 198:24
245:11		justification 203:5	41:13 43:2,6	199:3 202:7
invites 105:22	J	justified 160:6,12	44:1 47:22 48:16	209:6 225:21
				1 /09 0 //) / 1
	James 63:21 94:4			
inviting 110:9,13	James 63:21 94:4 207:16 208:2	203:8	48:24 49:21	237:25 255:7
inviting 110:9,13 137:5,6 146:7			48:24 49:21 50:11 51:13	237:25 255:7 268:14
inviting 110:9,13 137:5,6 146:7 invoke 145:17	207:16 208:2	203:8	48:24 49:21 50:11 51:13 52:12 54:1 56:17	237:25 255:7 268:14 knows 14:1 29:21
inviting 110:9,13 137:5,6 146:7 invoke 145:17 invoked 243:16	207:16 208:2 212:24 219:7	203:8 <u>K</u>	48:24 49:21 50:11 51:13 52:12 54:1 56:17 57:14,17 61:24	237:25 255:7 268:14
inviting 110:9,13 137:5,6 146:7 invoke 145:17 invoked 243:16 invoking 34:1	207:16 208:2 212:24 219:7 263:19	203:8 K keen 122:16	48:24 49:21 50:11 51:13 52:12 54:1 56:17 57:14,17 61:24 71:10 72:6,15,17	237:25 255:7 268:14 knows 14:1 29:21
inviting 110:9,13 137:5,6 146:7 invoke 145:17 invoked 243:16 invoking 34:1 involve 117:6	207:16 208:2 212:24 219:7 263:19 January 22:10,11	203:8 K keen 122:16 150:10 192:4	48:24 49:21 50:11 51:13 52:12 54:1 56:17 57:14,17 61:24 71:10 72:6,15,17 79:5 82:7,10,17	237:25 255:7 268:14 knows 14:1 29:21 148:16
inviting 110:9,13 137:5,6 146:7 invoke 145:17 invoked 243:16 invoking 34:1 involve 117:6 involved 33:9	207:16 208:2 212:24 219:7 263:19 January 22:10,11 23:3,6,14,19 24:2	203:8 K keen 122:16 150:10 192:4 keep 10:19 54:19	48:24 49:21 50:11 51:13 52:12 54:1 56:17 57:14,17 61:24 71:10 72:6,15,17 79:5 82:7,10,17 84:3 85:1 86:7	237:25 255:7 268:14 knows 14:1 29:21 148:16 <u>L</u>
inviting 110:9,13 137:5,6 146:7 invoke 145:17 invoked 243:16 invoking 34:1 involve 117:6 involved 33:9 35:23 36:1 43:2	207:16 208:2 212:24 219:7 263:19 January 22:10,11 23:3,6,14,19 24:2 24:21 25:1,25	203:8 K keen 122:16 150:10 192:4 keep 10:19 54:19 129:8,16 269:13	48:24 49:21 50:11 51:13 52:12 54:1 56:17 57:14,17 61:24 71:10 72:6,15,17 79:5 82:7,10,17 84:3 85:1 86:7 86:21 87:6 90:10	237:25 255:7 268:14 knows 14:1 29:21 148:16 L lack 80:4 83:21
inviting 110:9,13 137:5,6 146:7 invoke 145:17 invoked 243:16 invoking 34:1 involve 117:6 involved 33:9	207:16 208:2 212:24 219:7 263:19 January 22:10,11 23:3,6,14,19 24:2 24:21 25:1,25 26:1 28:14 143:3	203:8 K keen 122:16 150:10 192:4 keep 10:19 54:19 129:8,16 269:13 269:20	48:24 49:21 50:11 51:13 52:12 54:1 56:17 57:14,17 61:24 71:10 72:6,15,17 79:5 82:7,10,17 84:3 85:1 86:7 86:21 87:6 90:10 92:6 96:20 98:14	237:25 255:7 268:14 knows 14:1 29:21 148:16 L lack 80:4 83:21 94:13 103:24
inviting 110:9,13 137:5,6 146:7 invoke 145:17 invoked 243:16 invoking 34:1 involve 117:6 involved 33:9 35:23 36:1 43:2 66:17 86:23 90:22 91:11	207:16 208:2 212:24 219:7 263:19 January 22:10,11 23:3,6,14,19 24:2 24:21 25:1,25 26:1 28:14 143:3 143:7,19 144:24	203:8 K keen 122:16 150:10 192:4 keep 10:19 54:19 129:8,16 269:13 269:20 keeping 3:18	48:24 49:21 50:11 51:13 52:12 54:1 56:17 57:14,17 61:24 71:10 72:6,15,17 79:5 82:7,10,17 84:3 85:1 86:7 86:21 87:6 90:10	237:25 255:7 268:14 knows 14:1 29:21 148:16 L lack 80:4 83:21 94:13 103:24 139:17
inviting 110:9,13 137:5,6 146:7 invoke 145:17 invoked 243:16 invoking 34:1 involve 117:6 involved 33:9 35:23 36:1 43:2 66:17 86:23	207:16 208:2 212:24 219:7 263:19 January 22:10,11 23:3,6,14,19 24:2 24:21 25:1,25 26:1 28:14 143:3 143:7,19 144:24 job 5:9 6:5 107:9	203:8 K keen 122:16 150:10 192:4 keep 10:19 54:19 129:8,16 269:13 269:20 keeping 3:18 41:23 42:8 43:1 43:17 198:16 199:25	48:24 49:21 50:11 51:13 52:12 54:1 56:17 57:14,17 61:24 71:10 72:6,15,17 79:5 82:7,10,17 84:3 85:1 86:7 86:21 87:6 90:10 92:6 96:20 98:14 101:6 103:2,6,7	237:25 255:7 268:14 knows 14:1 29:21 148:16 L lack 80:4 83:21 94:13 103:24 139:17 lacking 123:5
inviting 110:9,13 137:5,6 146:7 invoke 145:17 invoked 243:16 invoking 34:1 involved 117:6 involved 33:9 35:23 36:1 43:2 66:17 86:23 90:22 91:11 113:5 117:19	207:16 208:2 212:24 219:7 263:19 January 22:10,11 23:3,6,14,19 24:2 24:21 25:1,25 26:1 28:14 143:3 143:7,19 144:24 job 5:9 6:5 107:9 108:10 109:14	203:8 K keen 122:16 150:10 192:4 keep 10:19 54:19 129:8,16 269:13 269:20 keeping 3:18 41:23 42:8 43:1 43:17 198:16	48:24 49:21 50:11 51:13 52:12 54:1 56:17 57:14,17 61:24 71:10 72:6,15,17 79:5 82:7,10,17 84:3 85:1 86:7 86:21 87:6 90:10 92:6 96:20 98:14 101:6 103:2,6,7 106:15 109:25	237:25 255:7 268:14 knows 14:1 29:21 148:16 L lack 80:4 83:21 94:13 103:24 139:17 lacking 123:5 lacks 50:24
inviting 110:9,13 137:5,6 146:7 invoke 145:17 invoked 243:16 invoking 34:1 involved 117:6 involved 33:9 35:23 36:1 43:2 66:17 86:23 90:22 91:11 113:5 117:19 118:4 128:1	207:16 208:2 212:24 219:7 263:19 January 22:10,11 23:3,6,14,19 24:2 24:21 25:1,25 26:1 28:14 143:3 143:7,19 144:24 job 5:9 6:5 107:9 108:10 109:14 110:4 jobs 10:8 84:16 Joey 1:4 16:13	203:8 K keen 122:16 150:10 192:4 keep 10:19 54:19 129:8,16 269:13 269:20 keeping 3:18 41:23 42:8 43:1 43:17 198:16 199:25	48:24 49:21 50:11 51:13 52:12 54:1 56:17 57:14,17 61:24 71:10 72:6,15,17 79:5 82:7,10,17 84:3 85:1 86:7 86:21 87:6 90:10 92:6 96:20 98:14 101:6 103:2,6,7 106:15 109:25 110:7 116:6	237:25 255:7 268:14 knows 14:1 29:21 148:16 L lack 80:4 83:21 94:13 103:24 139:17 lacking 123:5 lacks 50:24 ladies 6:22 9:10
inviting 110:9,13 137:5,6 146:7 invoke 145:17 invoked 243:16 invoking 34:1 involve 117:6 involved 33:9 35:23 36:1 43:2 66:17 86:23 90:22 91:11 113:5 117:19 118:4 128:1 135:19 181:13	207:16 208:2 212:24 219:7 263:19 January 22:10,11 23:3,6,14,19 24:2 24:21 25:1,25 26:1 28:14 143:3 143:7,19 144:24 job 5:9 6:5 107:9 108:10 109:14 110:4 jobs 10:8 84:16 Joey 1:4 16:13 20:16 33:7 58:10	203:8 K keen 122:16 150:10 192:4 keep 10:19 54:19 129:8,16 269:13 269:20 keeping 3:18 41:23 42:8 43:1 43:17 198:16 199:25 kept 42:22 113:22	48:24 49:21 50:11 51:13 52:12 54:1 56:17 57:14,17 61:24 71:10 72:6,15,17 79:5 82:7,10,17 84:3 85:1 86:7 86:21 87:6 90:10 92:6 96:20 98:14 101:6 103:2,6,7 106:15 109:25 110:7 116:6 117:15 119:1	237:25 255:7 268:14 knows 14:1 29:21 148:16 L lack 80:4 83:21 94:13 103:24 139:17 lacking 123:5 lacks 50:24 ladies 6:22 9:10 language 48:3
inviting 110:9,13 137:5,6 146:7 invoke 145:17 invoked 243:16 invoking 34:1 involved 117:6 involved 33:9 35:23 36:1 43:2 66:17 86:23 90:22 91:11 113:5 117:19 118:4 128:1 135:19 181:13 202:9 208:23	207:16 208:2 212:24 219:7 263:19 January 22:10,11 23:3,6,14,19 24:2 24:21 25:1,25 26:1 28:14 143:3 143:7,19 144:24 job 5:9 6:5 107:9 108:10 109:14 110:4 jobs 10:8 84:16 Joey 1:4 16:13	K keen 122:16 150:10 192:4 keep 10:19 54:19 129:8,16 269:13 269:20 keeping 3:18 41:23 42:8 43:1 43:17 198:16 199:25 kept 42:22 113:22 122:19 139:6 266:5 key 77:17 257:14	48:24 49:21 50:11 51:13 52:12 54:1 56:17 57:14,17 61:24 71:10 72:6,15,17 79:5 82:7,10,17 84:3 85:1 86:7 86:21 87:6 90:10 92:6 96:20 98:14 101:6 103:2,6,7 106:15 109:25 110:7 116:6 117:15 119:1 122:18 124:17	237:25 255:7 268:14 knows 14:1 29:21 148:16 L lack 80:4 83:21 94:13 103:24 139:17 lacking 123:5 lacks 50:24 ladies 6:22 9:10 language 48:3 154:2 236:13
inviting 110:9,13 137:5,6 146:7 invoke 145:17 invoked 243:16 invoking 34:1 involved 117:6 involved 33:9 35:23 36:1 43:2 66:17 86:23 90:22 91:11 113:5 117:19 118:4 128:1 135:19 181:13 202:9 208:23 241:25 242:14	207:16 208:2 212:24 219:7 263:19 January 22:10,11 23:3,6,14,19 24:2 24:21 25:1,25 26:1 28:14 143:3 143:7,19 144:24 job 5:9 6:5 107:9 108:10 109:14 110:4 jobs 10:8 84:16 Joey 1:4 16:13 20:16 33:7 58:10 65:18,21 127:22 132:3 229:19	K keen 122:16 150:10 192:4 keep 10:19 54:19 129:8,16 269:13 269:20 keeping 3:18 41:23 42:8 43:1 43:17 198:16 199:25 kept 42:22 113:22 122:19 139:6 266:5 key 77:17 257:14 killed 36:3	48:24 49:21 50:11 51:13 52:12 54:1 56:17 57:14,17 61:24 71:10 72:6,15,17 79:5 82:7,10,17 84:3 85:1 86:7 86:21 87:6 90:10 92:6 96:20 98:14 101:6 103:2,6,7 106:15 109:25 110:7 116:6 117:15 119:1 122:18 124:17 125:3,21 127:1	237:25 255:7 268:14 knows 14:1 29:21 148:16 L lack 80:4 83:21 94:13 103:24 139:17 lacking 123:5 lacks 50:24 ladies 6:22 9:10 language 48:3 154:2 236:13 237:5 238:13,13 239:5 249:22 255:19,24
inviting 110:9,13 137:5,6 146:7 invoke 145:17 invoked 243:16 invoking 34:1 involved 117:6 involved 33:9 35:23 36:1 43:2 66:17 86:23 90:22 91:11 113:5 117:19 118:4 128:1 135:19 181:13 202:9 208:23 241:25 242:14 265:16	207:16 208:2 212:24 219:7 263:19 January 22:10,11 23:3,6,14,19 24:2 24:21 25:1,25 26:1 28:14 143:3 143:7,19 144:24 job 5:9 6:5 107:9 108:10 109:14 110:4 jobs 10:8 84:16 Joey 1:4 16:13 20:16 33:7 58:10 65:18,21 127:22	K keen 122:16 150:10 192:4 keep 10:19 54:19 129:8,16 269:13 269:20 keeping 3:18 41:23 42:8 43:1 43:17 198:16 199:25 kept 42:22 113:22 122:19 139:6 266:5 key 77:17 257:14 killed 36:3 kind 3:19 7:8	48:24 49:21 50:11 51:13 52:12 54:1 56:17 57:14,17 61:24 71:10 72:6,15,17 79:5 82:7,10,17 84:3 85:1 86:7 86:21 87:6 90:10 92:6 96:20 98:14 101:6 103:2,6,7 106:15 109:25 110:7 116:6 117:15 119:1 122:18 124:17 125:3,21 127:1 127:16 129:12	237:25 255:7 268:14 knows 14:1 29:21 148:16 L lack 80:4 83:21 94:13 103:24 139:17 lacking 123:5 lacks 50:24 ladies 6:22 9:10 language 48:3 154:2 236:13 237:5 238:13,13 239:5 249:22 255:19,24 lasting 100:1
inviting 110:9,13 137:5,6 146:7 invoke 145:17 invoked 243:16 invoking 34:1 involved 117:6 involved 33:9 35:23 36:1 43:2 66:17 86:23 90:22 91:11 113:5 117:19 118:4 128:1 135:19 181:13 202:9 208:23 241:25 242:14 265:16 involvement 36:6	207:16 208:2 212:24 219:7 263:19 January 22:10,11 23:3,6,14,19 24:2 24:21 25:1,25 26:1 28:14 143:3 143:7,19 144:24 job 5:9 6:5 107:9 108:10 109:14 110:4 jobs 10:8 84:16 Joey 1:4 16:13 20:16 33:7 58:10 65:18,21 127:22 132:3 229:19	K keen 122:16 150:10 192:4 keep 10:19 54:19 129:8,16 269:13 269:20 keeping 3:18 41:23 42:8 43:1 43:17 198:16 199:25 kept 42:22 113:22 122:19 139:6 266:5 key 77:17 257:14 killed 36:3	48:24 49:21 50:11 51:13 52:12 54:1 56:17 57:14,17 61:24 71:10 72:6,15,17 79:5 82:7,10,17 84:3 85:1 86:7 86:21 87:6 90:10 92:6 96:20 98:14 101:6 103:2,6,7 106:15 109:25 110:7 116:6 117:15 119:1 122:18 124:17 125:3,21 127:1 127:16 129:12 134:1,13,14	237:25 255:7 268:14 knows 14:1 29:21 148:16 L lack 80:4 83:21 94:13 103:24 139:17 lacking 123:5 lacks 50:24 ladies 6:22 9:10 language 48:3 154:2 236:13 237:5 238:13,13 239:5 249:22 255:19,24
inviting 110:9,13 137:5,6 146:7 invoke 145:17 invoked 243:16 invoking 34:1 involved 117:6 involved 33:9 35:23 36:1 43:2 66:17 86:23 90:22 91:11 113:5 117:19 118:4 128:1 135:19 181:13 202:9 208:23 241:25 242:14 265:16 involvement 36:6 63:25 94:4	207:16 208:2 212:24 219:7 263:19 January 22:10,11 23:3,6,14,19 24:2 24:21 25:1,25 26:1 28:14 143:3 143:7,19 144:24 job 5:9 6:5 107:9 108:10 109:14 110:4 jobs 10:8 84:16 Joey 1:4 16:13 20:16 33:7 58:10 65:18,21 127:22 132:3 229:19 257:11 264:8 266:3 jog 221:6	K keen 122:16 150:10 192:4 keep 10:19 54:19 129:8,16 269:13 269:20 keeping 3:18 41:23 42:8 43:1 43:17 198:16 199:25 kept 42:22 113:22 122:19 139:6 266:5 key 77:17 257:14 killed 36:3 kind 3:19 7:8 37:13 70:13 80:9 108:15 150:8	48:24 49:21 50:11 51:13 52:12 54:1 56:17 57:14,17 61:24 71:10 72:6,15,17 79:5 82:7,10,17 84:3 85:1 86:7 86:21 87:6 90:10 92:6 96:20 98:14 101:6 103:2,6,7 106:15 109:25 110:7 116:6 117:15 119:1 122:18 124:17 125:3,21 127:1 127:16 129:12 134:1,13,14 135:8 138:24	237:25 255:7 268:14 knows 14:1 29:21 148:16 L lack 80:4 83:21 94:13 103:24 139:17 lacking 123:5 lacks 50:24 ladies 6:22 9:10 language 48:3 154:2 236:13 237:5 238:13,13 239:5 249:22 255:19,24 lasting 100:1 late 70:14 76:7 85:2,2 132:21
inviting 110:9,13 137:5,6 146:7 invoke 145:17 invoked 243:16 invoking 34:1 involved 117:6 involved 33:9 35:23 36:1 43:2 66:17 86:23 90:22 91:11 113:5 117:19 118:4 128:1 135:19 181:13 202:9 208:23 241:25 242:14 265:16 involvement 36:6 63:25 94:4 239:20	207:16 208:2 212:24 219:7 263:19 January 22:10,11 23:3,6,14,19 24:2 24:21 25:1,25 26:1 28:14 143:3 143:7,19 144:24 job 5:9 6:5 107:9 108:10 109:14 110:4 jobs 10:8 84:16 Joey 1:4 16:13 20:16 33:7 58:10 65:18,21 127:22 132:3 229:19 257:11 264:8 266:3 jog 221:6 John 164:25 166:1	K keen 122:16 150:10 192:4 keep 10:19 54:19 129:8,16 269:13 269:20 keeping 3:18 41:23 42:8 43:1 43:17 198:16 199:25 kept 42:22 113:22 122:19 139:6 266:5 key 77:17 257:14 killed 36:3 kind 3:19 7:8 37:13 70:13 80:9 108:15 150:8 170:8 187:5	48:24 49:21 50:11 51:13 52:12 54:1 56:17 57:14,17 61:24 71:10 72:6,15,17 79:5 82:7,10,17 84:3 85:1 86:7 86:21 87:6 90:10 92:6 96:20 98:14 101:6 103:2,6,7 106:15 109:25 110:7 116:6 117:15 119:1 122:18 124:17 125:3,21 127:1 127:16 129:12 134:1,13,14 135:8 138:24 139:1 147:4	237:25 255:7 268:14 knows 14:1 29:21 148:16 L lack 80:4 83:21 94:13 103:24 139:17 lacking 123:5 lacks 50:24 ladies 6:22 9:10 language 48:3 154:2 236:13 237:5 238:13,13 239:5 249:22 255:19,24 lasting 100:1 late 70:14 76:7 85:2,2 132:21 178:19 224:20
inviting 110:9,13 137:5,6 146:7 invoke 145:17 invoked 243:16 invoking 34:1 involve 117:6 involved 33:9 35:23 36:1 43:2 66:17 86:23 90:22 91:11 113:5 117:19 118:4 128:1 135:19 181:13 202:9 208:23 241:25 242:14 265:16 involvement 36:6 63:25 94:4 239:20 involves 3:14	207:16 208:2 212:24 219:7 263:19 January 22:10,11 23:3,6,14,19 24:2 24:21 25:1,25 26:1 28:14 143:3 143:7,19 144:24 job 5:9 6:5 107:9 108:10 109:14 110:4 jobs 10:8 84:16 Joey 1:4 16:13 20:16 33:7 58:10 65:18,21 127:22 132:3 229:19 257:11 264:8 266:3 jog 221:6 John 164:25 166:1 166:9,11	K keen 122:16 150:10 192:4 keep 10:19 54:19 129:8,16 269:13 269:20 keeping 3:18 41:23 42:8 43:1 43:17 198:16 199:25 kept 42:22 113:22 122:19 139:6 266:5 key 77:17 257:14 killed 36:3 kind 3:19 7:8 37:13 70:13 80:9 108:15 150:8 170:8 187:5 194:20 216:25	48:24 49:21 50:11 51:13 52:12 54:1 56:17 57:14,17 61:24 71:10 72:6,15,17 79:5 82:7,10,17 84:3 85:1 86:7 86:21 87:6 90:10 92:6 96:20 98:14 101:6 103:2,6,7 106:15 109:25 110:7 116:6 117:15 119:1 122:18 124:17 125:3,21 127:1 127:16 129:12 134:1,13,14 135:8 138:24 139:1 147:4 148:13 161:17	237:25 255:7 268:14 knows 14:1 29:21 148:16 L lack 80:4 83:21 94:13 103:24 139:17 lacking 123:5 lacks 50:24 ladies 6:22 9:10 language 48:3 154:2 236:13 237:5 238:13,13 239:5 249:22 255:19,24 lasting 100:1 late 70:14 76:7 85:2,2 132:21 178:19 224:20 262:19
inviting 110:9,13 137:5,6 146:7 invoke 145:17 invoked 243:16 invoking 34:1 involved 117:6 involved 33:9 35:23 36:1 43:2 66:17 86:23 90:22 91:11 113:5 117:19 118:4 128:1 135:19 181:13 202:9 208:23 241:25 242:14 265:16 involvement 36:6 63:25 94:4 239:20 involves 3:14 involving 79:12	207:16 208:2 212:24 219:7 263:19 January 22:10,11 23:3,6,14,19 24:2 24:21 25:1,25 26:1 28:14 143:3 143:7,19 144:24 job 5:9 6:5 107:9 108:10 109:14 110:4 jobs 10:8 84:16 Joey 1:4 16:13 20:16 33:7 58:10 65:18,21 127:22 132:3 229:19 257:11 264:8 266:3 jog 221:6 John 164:25 166:1 166:9,11 join 94:7	K keen 122:16 150:10 192:4 keep 10:19 54:19 129:8,16 269:13 269:20 keeping 3:18 41:23 42:8 43:1 43:17 198:16 199:25 kept 42:22 113:22 122:19 139:6 266:5 key 77:17 257:14 killed 36:3 kind 3:19 7:8 37:13 70:13 80:9 108:15 150:8 170:8 187:5 194:20 216:25 224:19 238:13	48:24 49:21 50:11 51:13 52:12 54:1 56:17 57:14,17 61:24 71:10 72:6,15,17 79:5 82:7,10,17 84:3 85:1 86:7 86:21 87:6 90:10 92:6 96:20 98:14 101:6 103:2,6,7 106:15 109:25 110:7 116:6 117:15 119:1 122:18 124:17 125:3,21 127:1 127:16 129:12 134:1,13,14 135:8 138:24 139:1 147:4 148:13 161:17 169:25 171:4,15	237:25 255:7 268:14 knows 14:1 29:21 148:16 L lack 80:4 83:21 94:13 103:24 139:17 lacking 123:5 lacks 50:24 ladies 6:22 9:10 language 48:3 154:2 236:13 237:5 238:13,13 239:5 249:22 255:19,24 lasting 100:1 late 70:14 76:7 85:2,2 132:21 178:19 224:20 262:19 Laugh 232:6
inviting 110:9,13 137:5,6 146:7 invoke 145:17 invoked 243:16 invoking 34:1 involved 117:6 involved 33:9 35:23 36:1 43:2 66:17 86:23 90:22 91:11 113:5 117:19 118:4 128:1 135:19 181:13 202:9 208:23 241:25 242:14 265:16 involvement 36:6 63:25 94:4 239:20 involves 3:14 involving 79:12 87:1 112:8	207:16 208:2 212:24 219:7 263:19 January 22:10,11 23:3,6,14,19 24:2 24:21 25:1,25 26:1 28:14 143:3 143:7,19 144:24 job 5:9 6:5 107:9 108:10 109:14 110:4 jobs 10:8 84:16 Joey 1:4 16:13 20:16 33:7 58:10 65:18,21 127:22 132:3 229:19 257:11 264:8 266:3 jog 221:6 John 164:25 166:1 166:9,11	K keen 122:16 150:10 192:4 keep 10:19 54:19 129:8,16 269:13 269:20 keeping 3:18 41:23 42:8 43:1 43:17 198:16 199:25 kept 42:22 113:22 122:19 139:6 266:5 key 77:17 257:14 killed 36:3 kind 3:19 7:8 37:13 70:13 80:9 108:15 150:8 170:8 187:5 194:20 216:25	48:24 49:21 50:11 51:13 52:12 54:1 56:17 57:14,17 61:24 71:10 72:6,15,17 79:5 82:7,10,17 84:3 85:1 86:7 86:21 87:6 90:10 92:6 96:20 98:14 101:6 103:2,6,7 106:15 109:25 110:7 116:6 117:15 119:1 122:18 124:17 125:3,21 127:1 127:16 129:12 134:1,13,14 135:8 138:24 139:1 147:4 148:13 161:17 169:25 171:4,15 172:8 175:12	237:25 255:7 268:14 knows 14:1 29:21 148:16 L lack 80:4 83:21 94:13 103:24 139:17 lacking 123:5 lacks 50:24 ladies 6:22 9:10 language 48:3 154:2 236:13 237:5 238:13,13 239:5 249:22 255:19,24 lasting 100:1 late 70:14 76:7 85:2,2 132:21 178:19 224:20 262:19

				1 age 203
Lavarello 13:25	114:6,9 148:14	126:9,10 127:3,9	lied 92:13 93:20	log 165:4,15,16,18
22:7,24 24:13	160:3 181:21	127:15 128:3,21	97:6 102:10	165:19,21,25
25:17 84:21 89:8	239:18 252:10	129:10,19 130:2	113:18,18,25	166:2,8,10
167:13 169:1	leaves 125:10	133:10 134:5	114:20	logging 165:22,22
175:22 176:3,5	133:18 248:12	135:10 134:3	life 107:4,6 187:4	logs 165:18,23
176:10,22 188:10	leaving 47:2	137:25 138:16	liking 47:16 48:21	166:5
188:22 189:1	155:18 161:8	139:13 140:9,18	48:24	long 16:18 42:22
law 77:19 90:21	162:16,20 163:11	141:1,17,21	limit 269:21	73:14 99:25
112:13 166:2	216:21	150:18,21 151:9	limited 214:18	100:4 106:6
171:14,15,18,19	led 70:23 209:13	151:15 152:8,10	line 38:22 45:25	122:3 162:23
172:20,22 178:9	left 45:22 49:3	154:13 155:3	87:12 145:23	197:12 223:17
180:20,25 181:22	72:16,20 74:17	157:1,2,16 159:9	151:13 233:13	244:12 269:5
208:24 214:18	75:2,4 81:13	217:7,23 218:3,4	lines 62:17 75:11	longer 157:17
lawyer 97:2	123:4 128:13	218:25 231:15,18	76:18 100:5	176:7 192:19
105:19 111:3	156:9 192:11	231:20,23 232:2	111:22 118:6	look 1:18 3:4 4:17
115:11 118:15,16	legal 10:10,20,25	231.20,23 232.2	165:16 185:10	4:23 13:1 20:9
118:22,25 130:3	11:2,3,4,19 12:7	235:15 240:7,12	200:2 220:3	23:12 24:17 26:6
130:5 158:17	14:2,22 83:22	241:1 243:11,22	254:15	27:7 29:1 31:6
231:10 234:12	106:9 110:7,14	244:9,15 245:3	link 4:9 92:22	33:5,25 40:11
235:15 240:16,18	110:24 115:13,18	245:24 248:18	226:7	45:1,10,12,20
253:15 240:10,18	115:21,22 129:22	249:1,15,24,25	linked 92:25 94:6	46:15,18 59:8
262:7	132:11,17 133:24	250:8,24,25	links 118:5	61:2 62:7 64:18
lawyers 126:25	137:10,22 142:8	251:9 253:23	list 5:25 6:2 133:14	69:15 73:25 83:9
244:21 245:16	158:23 169:22	254:12,24 256:6	244:4	85:23 88:18
255:7,24 256:3	170:3 180:16	268:7,19	listen 18:3 22:3	90:15 91:21 92:3
262:5	185:8 214:10	letters 151:18	27:25 213:22	96:18,21 97:3
lay-people 205:4	216:2 219:1	154:6,11 215:25	listened 101:24	104:25 105:7,11
layperson 167:2	228:2 237:15	218:9 254:18,20	listening 72:12	105:21 107:7,15
lead 45:11 71:25	238:21 249:21	254:22 255:8	220:18	109:22 112:13,19
131:14 203:13	legally 237:21	268:8,12	little 40:10 72:20	116:11 119:12
220:10	legislation 9:5	letting 112:25	74:24 166:6	122:4 128:5
leader 168:8	214:12	118:4	167:9 169:5	131:23 132:23
leadership 17:21	Legislature 172:20	level 14:14	172:12 223:24	134:25 139:8
20:21 31:17 51:2	Leif 24:19,23 25:4	levels 55:8	229:3 230:12	143:16 153:9
51:18,24 52:4,8,9	length 4:7 266:7	Levy 63:21 64:1	232:17 236:15	161:21 184:25
52:13,24 80:5	let's 54:8 58:3,12	66:12,20 77:8	237:8 256:17	196:6 213:23
192:8,19	206:23 207:2	78:6,8 87:1 93:1	live 89:9 137:16	215:16 217:18
leading 168:21	264:13 266:6	94:4 112:9 118:5	140:12,15 141:13	234:7,12 238:18
leaf 248:4	letter 32:23 100:13	123:16	156:9 160:11,13	259:17 260:9
learn 36:7,25	100:16 104:19,24	Levy's 63:23,25	160:15 164:10	looked 8:1 22:7
61:22 170:24	105:11,12,13,17	68:11 77:9	248:15 255:17	73:24 82:16
200:21	106:6 109:17,23	207:17 208:2	Llamas 125:5	148:18
learned 36:15 38:3	110:16 115:6	212:25 219:7	136:2	looking 22:5 26:4
38:5 78:20	117:7 118:9,15	262:24 263:19	local 35:25	26:4 51:22 55:23
189:11 266:6	118:17 119:3,6	lie 92:19,20 93:2,3	locally 38:15 42:19	80:22 89:20
268:6	121:1,8,17	93:9,10,21,22	214:21	133:12 144:23
learnt 38:10	122:22 123:15,22	114:3,12,15	location 41:25	180:13 202:3
leave 9:15 65:25	124:22 125:6,8,8	124:13 131:2	200:2	214:7,8 227:22
96:13,22 107:8	125:22,25 126:4	153:24,25	lodge 259:9	251:24
	I	I	I	I

				1 age 200
looks 29:3,9 31:13	90:12 94:21	matter 28:1 57:3	91:18 92:11,13	254:18 258:22
40:10,12 47:18	97:22 102:8,12	65:22 84:5,10	92:15,23 95:4,6	262:20 265:18
56:22 60:12 66:2	102:13 108:1	87:1 145:14	95:22 96:9,12,18	McGrail' 26:20
115:4 132:5	111:6 115:10	151:13 155:23	97:18 98:9,16	McGrail's 16:3,4
lose 110:3 113:23	123:21 219:18	156:9,15 160:4	99:12 100:22	31:12 43:24 45:7
loses 214:15	maintain 101:3	170:5 175:10	101:7 105:14	55:25 69:6 70:17
losing 101:13	maintain 101.5	187:17 195:1	106:1,14,15	87:23 91:15 94:2
108:3 117:12	117:17	196:14 208:10	107:23 110:6	99:11 100:11
226:18	maintenance	226:12 246:11	111:2 112:1,16	110:21 129:10,19
loss 95:13,19,22	77:18 179:6	252:5 254:16,17	112:24 114:4,8	130:3 203:25
102:9 108:10	Majesty's 161:1	262:11 267:5	114:25 115:6,12	255:6 260:13
123:21 124:15	major 33:16 76:1	matters 18:20	114.23 113.0,12	mean 4:21 8:17
123.21 124.13	97:12 169:17	67:15 85:18,23	117:11 121:5	15:18 16:2 19:17
155:12,14,23	majority 155:17	95:17 119:15,22	123:11 124:3,8	20:1 28:20 29:17
158:5,13 194:7	making 43:21	120:1,11,19	123.11 124.3,8	29:19 34:12
198:3 203:5	186:23 200:16	171:5 245:23	124.12,10,18	36:22 46:10 49:7
215:1,5,17 232:9	202:21 245:9,12	265:5 267:1	125:4,11,23	50:8 69:2 71:13
215:1,5,1 / 232:9	man 264:23	265:5 267:1 Maurice 25:5	126:1,14,21,23	72:21 78:23 83:3
239:2 257:21 267:10	man 264:23 manage 4:23 7:16	Maurice 25:5 26:11	127:4 129:9,25	72:21 78:23 83:3 84:10 86:10,25
267:10 lost 90:2,13 94:23	manage 4:23 7:16 114:10,11 115:8	26:11 Mayor 46:2	130:11,18,21 131:11,14 138:3	84:10 86:10,25 90:12 91:20
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
95:3 100:21	managed 70:23	Mayor's 45:25	140:19 142:4	93:20,25 94:25
102:7 109:14	management 12:5	McGrail 14:8,12	145:25 146:7,8	99:19 101:3
119:18 120:7	18:6 31:12 34:3	14:16 15:5,7,14	150:22 151:4	102:1 104:19
121:5 130:10	44:22 49:25 80:4	16:5 17:21 18:6	153:9,24 155:3	107:5,21 111:22
152:4,18 153:23	168:6	18:7,15 19:5	157:5 158:15	120:24 124:17
156:1,18 157:12	manager 45:25	30:13 31:19 32:3	160:25 162:19,20	130:19 136:1
157:12 158:2	managers 30:17	32:9 33:4,7,14,22	163:10,11,13,24	150:5 152:15,17
185:22 190:21	51:20	34:15,19 36:10	166:22 183:12,19	153:1 156:12
199:17 213:20	manifestly 262:10	36:12,13 37:3,5	184:9,16 185:5	162:23 171:16
214:2,19 222:7	manner 172:18	37:22 38:17 39:4	186:2 188:16	173:6,21 174:23
226:9 230:14	manoeuvre 165:23	39:15 40:3,14,16	192:4,11 194:2	175:8 177:8
lot 13:13 32:16	manufacture	41:4,23 42:15	194:14 195:5	182:2 183:18
73:18 86:10	166:1	43:1,17 44:2,17	196:5,11 197:4	189:2 197:19
97:24 110:22	manufacturers	44:25 45:5 46:17	198:15 199:25	205:5 216:15
126:3 244:9	165:7	47:10,12 48:19	200:15 201:4	218:1 223:14
264:7	map 55:2 58:5	49:5,23 50:2	204:7,20,22	225:5 230:7
loud 232:6	March 33:6 37:21	53:3 54:20,25	206:8,19 207:11	235:24 236:15
Ls 165:10,11	38:17 40:12,13	55:4,11,16 56:23	207:15 208:20,21	249:4,6,8,9,12
lunch 128:14	42:5 47:19 103:4	57:19,24 59:2,12	209:2,11,18	253:14 256:3
142:14,15	200:22	59:23 60:3,12,14	210:9,16 211:5	263:10 265:16
lying 93:25 94:4	maritime 37:16	60:16,17,18 61:3	211:12,25 212:16	267:7
130:23 212:14	42:14 76:8,9	61:16,22 62:23	212:24 213:16,20	meaning 93:9
	97:9 100:25	63:14,19,20 64:3	217:13 226:9,23	162:25
<u>M</u>	102:3,19 201:5,8	64:4,8,11,19 65:3	233:13 242:25	means 48:25 49:2
machinery 73:19	marked 1:11	66:12,13 68:11	243:3,4 246:3,7	54:1 61:18 99:24
magical 214:4,11	massive 257:21	70:8 72:9,17	246:16,17,20,23	174:18 202:11
main 3:11,20 7:23	material 121:9	73:8 75:24 76:6	247:7,16,25	237:19
7:25 46:11,12	materialise 118:23	76:20 79:22	248:13 249:11	meant 17:24 26:18
74:10 78:12	Matt 54:7	82:23 90:23 91:6	252:6,11 254:9	34:17 40:17
79:18,23 88:20				
				<u> </u>

				Page 28/
42:14 45:3 79:19	99:25 100:16	7:14,21 9:15	230:11	34:4,4 37:18
82:2 97:23 121:3	101:15 106:13,16	14:24 15:2,19	messaged 33:14	41:6,23 43:18
148:9 169:11	106:19,24 111:9	16:17 23:25 25:2	115:5 247:2	57:20,23 59:7
202:14 228:5	112:2 113:15	27:11 84:19	messages 33:7	64:2,4 66:22
235:6,10 236:19	115:4,14,17	85:14 87:13,15	38:18 40:11	67:4,8,19 68:1,5
meaty 240:1	116:14 123:9	87:21 88:1,11	57:22 61:15	69:23 70:6 71:7
media 104:10	128:18,19 132:6	89:7,21 99:17	132:5 199:19	71:13 74:3,6
131:25 230:14	132:8,9,12,13,15	105:13 129:1	216:5 223:21	75:1,9,17,19
232:15	133:5,25 134:11	133:13,16 149:23	230:15 257:9	76:12,22,25
mediate 162:11	134:13 135:5,7	150:6,14 224:3	261:1,20	77:15,22 79:10
mediation 28:7	139:23 140:19	243:6,23 256:24	met 23:8 25:10	88:17 89:24 90:1
29:11	143:2,25 144:2,6	memory 221:6	41:4 45:11,23	90:7 91:9 92:5
mediatory 29:13	144:7,12,19	247:23	46:1 56:9 63:15	92:12,14 93:15
meet 8:21,22 25:6	148:5 149:25	mention 66:22	64:7 65:21 68:22	93:19 95:4,18
26:1,1 47:12	150:1,2 153:5,6	73:12 75:7,15	118:10 207:11,12	98:22 100:21
65:25 68:24 84:1	153:20 154:2,25	77:5 87:4,8	metal 41:16 165:5	101:11,13,17,21
84:8 89:9 96:20	158:12 162:13	124:1 153:3,10	Metropolitan	102:6,8,13 104:4
96:24 115:7,8	183:3,14,19,25	192:22 204:15	39:22 195:21	104:11 105:17
263:1 264:19	184:10 185:13	212:10,17 228:10	MFJ 54:16	107:23 111:16,20
266:11	186:3,5 187:22	256:18	Miami 264:18,21	113:3,5,19
meeting 5:3 8:25	188:19 192:23	mentioned 9:7	265:1	114:16,21 115:3
9:12,13,21,21,23	193:1 206:11	11:11 15:22	Michael 116:4	115:5,9 116:20
9:24,25 10:1,4	208:18,21 209:1	27:16 57:16	251:6	117:6,10,18
13:18 17:14	212:5 216:5	66:19 75:25	Micheal 42:16	118:4 119:5,16
20:22,25 21:23	219:4 220:4	76:16 77:9 81:13	mid 193:13	119:20,23 120:12
21:24 22:10,11	221:19,20 222:5	87:3,4 92:16,17	mid-63:1	120:20 123:3,19
22:15,16 23:5,10	224:1,7,10 225:1	93:2,3,4 113:17	midday 132:2	124:7,21 126:17
23:13,19,25 24:2	225:2 226:23	123:12,19 129:6	135:5,7	127:7 130:24
24:7,12,25 25:4,8	229:1 231:23	129:14 153:4,8	middle 143:14,15	132:1,7,16,18
25:15,18,20,24	247:3,14 253:17	153:22 166:18	242:22 255:15	136:5,6 137:2
27:10,13 28:1,4,5	259:23 264:2,4	169:2 193:7	miles 201:24	140:4,11 141:18
28:10,12,25	268:2	195:12 204:22	202:11	141:24 145:5,14
40:12 41:19,20	meetings 4:15 9:2	208:12 210:2	mind 31:4 43:4	145:24 146:6,12
47:18 48:18,23	9:9,16,17,18,23	212:12 214:24	67:17 69:12 73:3	147:3,17,19
57:19 58:1,2,7	16:21 17:18	219:9,14 221:7,9	85:11,12 86:11	148:12,21 149:5
63:18 64:6,11	25:12 28:20 32:2	222:7,19,21	118:11 124:4	149:19 151:9
65:5 66:8 69:7	32:7 44:8 88:6	245:24 262:23,24	152:20 153:19	152:5,18 153:12
69:18,20,22 70:5	162:23 163:9,15	262:25 263:4,5	155:22 176:21	153:22 154:18
70:6,14,18,21,25	169:13 184:21	mentioning 244:19	198:13 211:2	157:13 158:3
71:15 72:2,7	196:3 266:24	mere 116:8	212:4 250:5	159:20 172:5
74:1,2,14,18	267:24	merit 268:17	minded 145:25	175:1 176:1,24
76:13,25 79:1,9	member 4:11 5:21	merits 160:12	mindful 80:24	182:4 183:4,16
80:10 83:12,24	6:12,17 11:16	161:10	minds 124:5	184:11 187:11,23
84:3,11,13 85:9	14:19,20 21:20	message 33:25	mine 82:3 119:8	188:20 193:2
85:13 86:21	26:18 35:15 37:9	34:7,23 38:11,21	264:14	198:9 202:25
87:11,17,19 88:4	170:9 241:6,10	42:6,23 55:25	minimise 165:12	203:3,4 205:10
88:18 89:5,14,22	244:6 245:5	62:12 65:9,17,21	minimum 8:23	206:11 208:5,11
90:19,23 93:11	256:19	104:10 201:4	Minister 3:16 6:1	210:12 211:19,22
93:18 94:1,15	members 6:6,14	203:25 206:15	12:18 13:1 33:19	214:1,14 216:12
	•	•	•	

				Page 200
216:17 217:10,17	232:7 253:17	moment 35:22	134:24 135:1	257:22 264:8
217:23 218:19	Misconduct 50:19	46:11 63:1 73:7	171:2 264:1	266:2
219:5 220:5,6,15	mishandling 79:14	118:1 129:7	266:6	need/the 115:19
220:23 222:16,17	79:19 221:1	146:20 169:16	moved 2:11	needed 10:20 15:8
223:20,22,25	misinterpreted	170:6 184:20	100:22	15:11 95:6
224:8 230:2,9	128:2	208:13 209:25	moving 43:23	110:23 115:15
231:10 233:18	mislead 93:24	251:8 253:11	189:12 228:13	122:12 213:12
235:13 236:17	misleading 28:11	Monday 69:19	MP1 163:5,7	218:10
238:8 239:13	94:3 124:13	74:4 128:19	MP2 163:5	needing 84:4
240:16 241:5	212:13	224:9 264:9,11	Munday 265:6,7	needs 182:5
246:10,23,24	misled 75:4,18	269:9,11,23	265:13	229:19
247:3,6 248:9	76:14 78:4 92:10	money 179:9	203.13	negative 57:6
250:10 251:18	93:4,6,6,7,11,17	monitor 50:25	N	61:20 62:21
252:3,11 253:13	93:22,24 101:11	month 8:25 10:24	Naively 96:25	negatively 127:3
255:17 256:25	102:10 153:24,25	26:5	name 3:1 87:5,8	negativity 58:2
258:2 261:2,16	154:4 199:9	monthly 9:17,23	262:24 264:25	negativity 38.2 negotiations 98:4
263:16 264:7,10	217:25	monthly 9:17,23 months 14:13	265:7	Neish 13:10
264:14 266:9,12	misrepresentation	246:6	named 245:5	103:19 116:3,5
267:20,23 268:1	130:6,9	moot 249:21,22	national 266:20	116:16 117:4
268:9	missed 108:17	Morello 18:4,16	267:16	126:5 135:19,21
Minister's 67:2	166:14	18:22 19:2,11	Naturally 251:3	135:23 136:5
72:1 78:18 80:1	missing 74:9	20:3,6 21:25	nature 21:25	138:10 140:25
92:9 93:8 101:15	165:12	23:18 25:5,11	151:14,19,20	141:22 185:13
102:1 112:3	mission 173:11	26:10 29:3,18	nearly 149:2	187:19 190:4,7
116:19 124:15	mistake 7:12	47:23 143:3	256:18	218:11 256:12
153:4 154:10,23	110:12	144:19	necessarily 15:16	262:1,2 268:5
230:10 234:17,17	mistaken 46:14	Morello's 20:13	15:19 18:5 19:24	269:2
234:18 236:1	48:10 67:21	morning 1:3,8,9	30:18 35:9 46:21	neither 25:3 38:22
239:19 252:15	71:24 111:1	33:6 42:7 63:2	53:8 69:11 83:5	177:25 262:15
269:12	166:18 223:16	132:25 133:10,21	83:17 85:3 120:4	nervous 82:7
ministers 224:24	misunderstanding	144:16 145:2	125:15 161:14	never 8:18,19
Ministry 11:22	218:24	150:20 154:19	163:23 184:15	12:12 14:1,6
13:12 55:17	misunderstood	156:13 157:11	necessary 16:22	29:18 32:22
minute 9:12 74:7	227:4	158:11 160:9	38:21,25 84:8	48:11,12 104:23
87:19,24,25 88:3	mitigate 51:1	164:23 184:6	147:11 191:14	107:3,5,9 124:4
89:19 91:11	Mixed 106:20	188:2 201:21	215:4	158:25 159:2
198:18 209:9	Mm 14:5	207:15 224:2	necessitate 35:9	166:25 170:20
210:20 250:17,23	Mmh 49:1	228:21 238:17	need 12:7 13:19	173:25 219:10,20
minuted 9:17,19	mobile 63:23	257:20 264:12	21:5 56:13,21	240:20 261:17,19
22:11 163:20	model 51:3	mornings 22:2	65:19 80:21 81:6	261:19,21,21
164:7	Models 51:17	motivation 45:8	84:1 86:18 105:5	new 32:21 47:12
minutes 5:15 9:3,7	modern 52:23	46:18	105:5 111:11	63:16 121:9
9:8 20:11 24:8	modernisation	motivator 120:6	115:11,20 128:20	122:9,15 133:12
25:25 27:7,8,12	15:8,11 48:2,16	motive 61:20	132:10 145:1	133:16 225:7,9
28:8 29:1 62:6	modernise 11:9,12	62:20	147:16 160:2,5	225:11,15,20
85:2,2 100:15	modernised 12:4	motives 252:16	169:7,16 172:12	228:5 259:13,14
128:13,18,22	modernising 70:11	mouth 159:23	185:2 191:7	260:25
143:18 144:14,15	Mole 32:21 47:12	move 33:1 42:4	214:12,13 216:1	news 34:7,17
163:2 190:20	63:16	55:4 69:14	229:22 233:16	37:25 107:7
			234:7 238:6,19	
L				

				Page 289
125.4.195.21	229.17.220.6.17	226:7	187:7 190:17,25	203:7 205:13
135:4 185:21	228:17 229:6,17 229:19 230:21	occurred 28:14	191:1 206:23	
newspaper 32:11 32:13				opportunities 216:4
	231:5,14 234:17 239:23	occurring 37:25 75:1 76:23	224:22 227:4,11 241:21 251:7	
newspapers	notes 73:15 74:13	odd 207:25		opportunity 23:21 49:9 55:3 96:10
163:16 164:1 166:19	88:6 98:23 101:9	offence 254:3	256:9,16 265:8 old 15:10	
				98:11 99:2
Nicholas 251:2,9	101:9 111:7,9,13	offences 21:18,19	old-fashioned 70:12	105:25 131:1
Nick 16:11 220:1	111:14,17,19,21	offended 254:1,1		146:20 150:10
245:5 251:6	139:22 184:17	offer 231:5 240:3	omit 16:19 253:23	157:23 166:13
night 82:15 143:5	198:25 221:15	offered 11:17	omitted 113:20	182:6
nightly 55:19	228:14,23 229:4	16:20 74:22	254:17	opposed 19:14
nobody's 42:11	230:10	240:1	onboard 33:10	73:2
nodded 108:16	notetaking 88:9	offers 228:16	once 8:23,25 11:1	opted 130:1
noise 162:3,18	notice 224:6,17	office 41:9 45:25	39:22 49:9 99:10	253:23
164:9,15	noticed 47:25 243:21	63:16 65:1 67:2	105:15 141:21	option 72:8 138:18
nominal 12:10		126:15 138:4	158:21 200:6	226:24 227:9,10
nominate 5:10 non- 87:14	notices 19:7 20:16	149:13 153:11 211:22,23 216:12	206:19 231:24	227:11
	notified 246:23	211:22,23 216:12 216:13 227:20	one-person 59:14 ones 143:4 215:8	oral 18:19 49:3 159:11
non-attending 88:10	notifying 139:13 now' 21:6		254:23,25	
		officer 10:13 32:24	· /	order 52:19 161:2
non-formal 9:21	nuances 239:1	67:14 141:8	ongoing 11:4,18	181:15 184:21
non-issue 161:9	nuclear 226:24	162:1	19:8 20:19 79:15	213:9 262:6
non-monthly 9:24	227:10,11	officers 12:3 13:12	79:19 161:18 221:1	orders 52:22 77:7
norm 216:20	null 133:6	35:25 36:1 37:11		ordinary 44:21
normal 24:10 37:12 85:1	number 3:23 7:1 10:7 24:5 27:10	45:4 49:4 51:12	onwards 144:23 196:5	organise 47:5
169:21 192:14	28:9 143:4	52:1,18 161:22 193:8 246:19		organised 35:12
197:14	219:22 248:17	266:25	Op 196:7 212:9,10 254:17	organising 38:18 original 28:16
normally 5:14 7:9	219.22 240.17	offices 20:22 23:5	open 10:5 15:18,19	119:7
9:18 10:1,5,19	0	28:19	138:5 181:23	
12:15,21 13:7	o'clock 142:18	officials 172:4	197:10	originally 197:4 216:15
30:15 35:11,13	144:8 190:23	officio 241:6	opened 255:20	OT 264:17,20
47:23 52:20	191:14	256:19,21,21,23	262:14	OTs 15:21
169:23 259:22,23	objection 16:24	oh 11:8 46:23	opening 179:4	out/I'm 196:7
North 66:15 78:15	obligation 103:13	103:6 174:15	187:9	outcome 188:13
78:18 79:4 166:4	obtuse 158:4	253:3	operates 17:1	189:24
nose 210:19	obvious 150:11	okay 42:21 47:16	operation 63:20	outlines 178:23
note 42:10 63:17	152:1 240:15	47:17 62:10	63:24 64:12 66:9	outright 83:1
74:5,25 77:19,25	254:20	89:16 135:5	100:12 101:5,7	outset 56:1
78:2 83:10 90:4	obviously 7:3	143:12 144:25	116:18,23,24	outside 15:17
90:5,6,16 93:8	121:16 245:16	149:11,20 154:6	123:16 125:12	21:16 30:18
94:10 97:8 98:20	occasion 103:17	160:1 161:13	183:13 184:10	37:25 39:5 89:9
104:17 111:15	145:3,4 148:19	164:21 167:25	247:18 262:21	97:15 127:24
123:20 134:19	148:23 149:12	169:15 173:18	operational 67:15	128:4 169:18
146:22,25 147:2	190:12	174:2,7 176:14	112:12 208:10	174:20 175:3
147:4 162:12	occasionally 18:17	176:17 177:9	246:18,25	194:25 197:15
163:6 164:13	occasions 15:15	179:2 181:18,20	opinion 7:13 15:4	244:24
199:1 221:19	25:6	184:19,19 186:5	15:12 25:19	outsides 120:5
222:9,11 228:16	occupation 5:17	186:11,14,22	48:25 57:13 80:6	overall 97:10
222.7,11 220.10	occur 169:23	100.11,17,22	T0.23 37.13 00.0	0 (C) all 7/.10
	<u> </u>	l	<u> </u>	l

				1 agc 270
266:17	100:7,15 105:21	patient 232:5	252:20	pick 122:4 176:11
overarching	105:24 119:13	Paul 45:10	person 6:5 17:9	picked 62:14
173:11	126:11 129:20	pause 121:12	40:6,20 53:11,13	188:8
overbearing 44:14	136:11 137:9	142:14 195:4	65:1 90:25 96:24	picking 87:12
overseas 15:21	141:3 145:11,22	227:6 229:3	107:2 150:8	113:15
264:23 265:2	146:4 151:7	233:12,25 234:24	162:10 168:20	piece 11:19
overseeing 4:5	156:7 163:3	237:9,14 239:7	216:22 217:4	pillar 173:2
oversight 3:10	165:2,13 208:16	239:18 243:12	250:6 252:20	pivot 177:24
overtaken 159:9	220:3 221:13	244:16,17 250:11	personal 87:23	Pizzarello 84:20
overview 27:15	245:1,22,23	paused 21:8	111:7,9 127:5	86:16,19
overwhelmed	247:12 248:4	pausing 220:8	148:14	place 18:25 19:4
111:23,24 112:20	254:13 255:15	pay 55:21	personally 10:2	21:14,23,24 44:8
overwritten	paragraphs 24:22	paying 7:12 73:20	12:2 37:9 107:9	47:18 48:9 69:20
119:10	44:7,17 146:24	PCB 19:19 38:20	125:17 132:14	70:5 98:10
owned 66:18	244:16	259:8,10 260:23	151:5 170:17	116:12 132:8,10
owner 36:19,22	paraphrase	PCP 4:9	216:2 243:5	184:12 196:4,4
	206:16	people 5:25 6:15	personnel 190:12	200:24 201:14,21
P	pardon 143:13	7:8,9,12 10:2	persons 21:10	206:17 224:8
p.m 104:5 115:8,8	146:25	11:17 17:5 18:1	268:12	236:6
Pacific 166:4	parents 85:5,7	35:21 36:2 46:9	perspective 5:8	placed 72:20
pacing 82:9	Parking 184:20	52:15,22 55:13	53:2 61:12	plan 3:13 7:19
page 1:16 26:8	Parr 54:7	55:21 61:11 69:3	109:12	56:6 57:2,4,8
27:12 28:2 31:8	part 36:6 76:1	83:2 84:16 85:1	persuade 246:16	231:23 241:20,21
33:5,25 40:1	82:2 87:2 104:2	86:9 88:6 91:10	persuasive 80:21	planning 34:2
47:11 56:4 57:18	109:5 124:8	93:24 94:22 97:5	81:7	plans 56:22
59:23 60:7 63:13	130:22 164:5	127:25 129:11,16	perverse 259:11	Platinum 253:3
64:22 104:6	169:12 170:22	139:5 143:25	259:15	play 54:12,14 81:4
107:15 111:25	178:8 241:13,24	144:9 146:17	Peter 142:23	81:20 102:11
122:21,22 123:1	245:8 249:9	148:25 167:18	143:11 144:9	245:8
143:10,14 146:24	participate 86:18	168:21 172:14	153:17 156:5	playing 29:10
146:25 175:23	86:20	185:21 186:24	159:8,24 162:16	please 1:14,16,20
185:14 231:17	participating	204:5 206:12	189:11	1:22 2:1,7 16:7
237:9 238:1,1	129:13	224:21 225:3	Peter's 189:12	21:5 33:2 37:15
245:2 250:11	particular 20:2	260:21 269:20	Phil 17:17	42:4 43:24 50:15
pages 54:9 86:15	48:6 108:11	perceive 51:19	phone 5:12 20:17	54:4 56:3 58:8
paid 126:3	114:1 142:2	83:2	63:23 65:24	63:11 64:18
pains 68:18 91:22	222:1 242:24	perceived 44:14	68:12 77:9 79:24	69:15 73:25 79:7
107:25 117:11	particularly 2:20	133:17	87:6 89:8,16	84:2,2 91:22
Panaroma 160:8	95:11 127:21	perception 209:14	118:25 207:17,24	100:6 106:12
Panorama 43:8	185:19 246:7	223:4,16	208:6 212:25	110:9 128:8
160:20 161:8,16	partly 66:18	Perez 113:9	261:8,11 262:23	131:23 139:12
204:1	partner 87:6 90:21	perfectly 175:14	phone's 208:2	169:10 182:17
paper 18:12	208:24	175:15 267:3	Picardo 184:1,25	186:16 211:3
paragraph 18:11	parts 119:4,5	perform 6:25	209:11 212:5,7	219:23 221:18
18:22 19:2 24:18	169:14 235:1	period 186:6	215:22 228:16	223:23 229:1
24:21 26:11 31:7	party 175:25	215:22	232:23 251:7	232:5 234:24
43:25 45:18	238:21	permanent 226:12	253:2,5 255:2	237:9 243:17
63:13 76:22	passed 126:5	permission 105:6	257:11,18	245:21 251:8
77:14 87:10	passing 218:9	105:9 251:15	Picardo's 228:14	257:8 264:5
89:20 90:15	r	100.0 201.10		
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

				1 age 271
plus 29:17 32:22	51:12,13 52:18	politician 46:2	235:9 236:7	28:25 64:11
48:2 55:15 57:13	52:24 53:23 54:1	101:21	239:9 240:24	85:15 90:5
73:17 90:8 96:15	55:14 61:7 63:16	politicians 177:13	248:25 255:20	149:25
97:25 98:5 241:3	64:10 67:13 68:1	politics 40:7,17,20	post 34:1 58:15	presented 4:16
pm 257:10	68:20 70:12,18	pollen 210:21	126:21,23	202:4
point 14:14 20:14	70:22 79:1,13	portrayed 218:19	posted 54:19	presently 137:18
21:11 26:17	94:16 107:17	position 31:21	posterity 230:14	137:20
42:19 51:17	133:4,9 136:9	74:8 80:1 83:4	postponing 85:13	press 59:4,5 145:1
57:10,16 61:1,6	137:12 141:16	107:18 119:17	potential 128:24	206:21
89:4,13 96:16,17	144:2,5,6 145:18	120:3,6,18	128:25 129:4	pressure 83:2
97:1 99:14	160:20 162:1	131:10 138:8	130:6 131:19	141:12 148:1,25
101:25 102:1	166:17 168:5	139:14 149:4,9	potentially 99:7,19	149:1 150:5,8,12
110:10 112:19	169:14 170:12	152:3,13,13	131:3 252:14	173:20,24 175:9
113:13 114:4,25	171:4,7,12,13,23	156:19 165:23	253:11	178:1 247:15
116:13 118:1,10	172:17 173:2	168:21,23 186:20	power 81:6 96:2,6	pressured 82:22
122:7 128:3	174:1,25 176:17	233:2 235:11	102:15 126:14	83:4,8 122:13
132:20 133:12	177:10,11,12,21	237:10 248:19,23	138:19 177:22,25	145:4 148:11,17
135:13,16,17	177:23,25 178:1	257:20,21	181:9,15 266:23	248:14
142:5 154:1	178:3,11,14,15	positive 136:7	powers 43:1 80:18	pressurised 145:3
164:22 170:25	179:7 180:5	possibility 177:18	81:3,20 100:9	presumably 60:20
173:9,15 183:10	181:10 192:1,6	177:21	145:17 178:14,24	62:4
187:9 196:6	193:10 194:15	possible 39:20	179:5 181:7,9	presume 226:16
199:8 205:25	195:13,21 196:8	60:11,23 61:9	182:14,18,23	236:19
228:11,13 231:14	197:14 199:11	84:18 86:24 88:2	266:14 267:3,21	presumed 68:14
237:9,16,22	205:23 214:13	97:25 100:17	PR 56:12	presuming 247:24
239:17,18 246:1	220:25 221:22	126:13,19 138:13	practicable 83:12	presupposes
247:9 248:5	226:18 233:23	220:13 224:11	practices 15:9	174:24
249:21,22 252:10	241:17 243:25	225:19 262:2,18	preceding 44:7	pretty 37:4 58:17
258:6 262:22	250:25 258:21	268:16,22	precise 145:9	65:6 71:24 88:24
263:9 265:22	259:2 260:15	possibly 22:6,9	162:25 188:2	88:25 89:12
pointed 32:19	264:17,20 265:3	24:16 25:23 30:2	precisely 67:22	90:11 105:4
138:10 146:6	267:5,9 268:20	52:23 53:17	103:10 153:15	116:13 117:2
159:4	Police?' 21:3,8	58:15 59:7 74:22	262:12	125:22 128:6
points 37:24 50:18	policies 3:23 8:4	77:4,5,9,16 78:22	preclude 16:25	136:6 150:11
88:20 184:6	8:10 39:9 48:9	78:25 82:6 85:25	precluded 262:11	212:15 225:6
204:16,17 230:8	169:13 191:20,21	86:1,14,22 92:24	prefer 18:12 147:4	232:15
253:16 262:18	192:1	93:12,12,24	preference 109:3	prevent 52:6
police 3:11 4:3,6,9	policing 3:12 7:19	94:25 97:4 99:16	prejudge 80:23	165:19
4:19 5:18 7:4	51:11,14 105:23	103:24 104:7	preoccupied	previous 16:10
10:13 11:20 12:2	174:17 179:12,16	129:14 131:6	206:13	50:5 52:10 90:19
12:5 15:7,20	180:7 214:20	149:9 152:15,16	prepare 228:17	107:11 123:1
19:19 21:16	241:17,20 265:5	152:22 166:19	prepared 36:21	132:11 133:22
23:11 29:7 30:1	266:19,20 267:15	167:22 170:19,20	56:16 74:5 90:6	134:11,22 204:8
30:17,17 31:17	267:16	172:10 192:5	101:10 137:23	207:5 222:14
32:14,24 33:4	policy 4:3 8:5,8	201:16 202:9,10	158:9 189:3	previously 37:8
37:11,20 38:14	27:17 29:4 39:12	202:18 208:12,14	prescribed 172:19	38:6 97:11,14
39:22 45:14,22	39:14,17,19	209:15,16 211:10	175:4	98:8 141:2 194:9
45:24 46:6,25	196:21,21,23	213:1 214:9	presence 35:10	primarily 155:16
47:6 48:5,7	political 177:18	220:16 234:3	present 9:22 21:10	207:16 231:11,12

				1 480 272
primary 100:19	91:25 100:18	proposed 27:23	purely 95:2 181:22	260:4 265:13
120:6	103:21 105:3	118:21 133:14	193:11	Pyle's 17:18 31:6
principal 108:1	121:11 126:18	proposes 232:24	purpose 264:4	79:8 140:4
printed 165:9	134:7 136:10,13	240:8	pursuant 145:17	
printer 165:11	136:15 137:11,21	proposition 262:9	pursue 51:5	Q
prior 2:8 15:3 70:6	138:15,23 139:6	Prosecutions	pursuit 37:24	QC 63:21
107:23 208:20	140:5 141:5	221:5,11	pushing 165:18	qualifications 6:8
private 44:13,24	142:9 167:11	prospect 99:9	239:20	qualified 86:10
243:19 246:9	170:23 185:6	126:22	put 3:1 14:2,3	qualify 25:14
251:9 252:15	215:8,13 227:13	protect 249:3,6	16:23 53:20	77:25 146:16
Privately 15:6	230:25 242:1	prove 199:24	89:19 91:5 100:3	quality 139:11
privileged 116:6	248:8 249:19,24	proven 249:2	100:4 126:3	196:13
probability 153:7	254:22 255:14,21	provide 8:4 29:12	141:12 142:25	quandary 161:24
178:5	processes 21:12	42:20 57:7 65:23	145:10 146:12,21	quarter 8:23
probably 36:13	52:6 86:1 197:8	91:16,19 106:2	150:5 151:6	question 29:20
61:17 81:11,11	processing 165:24	124:3,22 180:5	156:23 161:12	30:4,21 43:12
101:12 128:12	processors 5:1	222:1 228:20	171:17 173:23	53:21,21 106:14
144:21 153:2	produce 52:4	232:19	174:4,18,21	120:17 128:24
154:3 168:3	262:5	provided 6:11	178:16 184:13	151:1 153:16,17
178:4 185:9	produced 194:12	22:21 91:15	186:17 189:6,8	162:17 175:17
187:24 190:24	194:19	provides 145:19	190:8 204:25	178:12 182:21
196:17 213:1	produces 239:23	266:22 267:19	218:13 247:16	186:15 187:20,24
219:24 225:3	producing 3:12,19	providing 7:23	253:12 268:11	188:6,6,24 189:9
probity 30:25	production 77:7	51:3 57:2	puts 31:11 150:8	190:9 193:14
77:17 94:17	profession 169:20	provision 5:10	putting 13:17	200:11 211:2
123:2,5 179:11	professional 2:8	109:4 175:9	97:24 101:15	221:23 226:2
238:3 266:18	14:10 50:23	267:12	159:22 256:4	235:23 239:3
267:15	267:18	provisions 172:22	268:24	248:21 259:9
problem 26:20	professionally	178:8	Pyle 2:25 14:18	266:1
165:11 252:2	107:12	prudent 42:12	15:4 16:11 17:11	questioned 1:7
problems 4:17	professions 7:22	244:20	27:11 41:6 43:17	142:23 167:6
procedural 136:15	profile 79:15	PSC 7:7	45:9,10 58:9,20	191:17 262:1
139:15,16 140:6	108:10 221:1	public 6:7 7:2	69:17,17 70:10	266:7
141:8 157:21	progress 63:5	49:13 54:20,22	70:11 71:4,23,24	questioning
procedurally	projection 41:16	55:18 57:13	73:11,12 75:10	174:11,13
157:15	prompted 42:23	133:13 206:14,17	75:10 79:8 82:25	questions 34:8,13
procedure 10:14	43:8,14	207:3,7,8 221:4	83:9 114:17	34:14 45:2 52:17
10:15 18:25 19:3	proof 88:23	221:11 262:7	122:16 124:6	59:5,13 62:15
procedures 20:4	prop 229:24	publication 55:24	127:8 129:13,14	116:20 142:16
34:2	propellors 165:21	publish 55:20 58:4	132:8,25 134:20	152:1,25 167:10
proceed 134:18	proper 37:23	59:1 206:18,19	137:2 140:19	188:9 189:10
140:13,15	118:12 175:6,16	207:2	141:19 142:1	206:21 207:3,8
proceedings	248:14 267:4	published 55:13	145:6 148:12,13	222:14
120:25	properly 83:7	58:11,17 59:3,17	162:21 163:23	quick 65:23
process 5:20,21,22	155:1 166:12	205:1 206:1,3,9	184:1,24 192:22	quickly 57:12 61:9
12:15 15:13 16:1	215:13	206:20	193:1 201:20	84:15 103:20
17:12 26:12 29:9	proponent 192:4	publishing 56:1	212:6 220:1	146:21 171:2
30:10 31:1 69:15	propose 56:14	203:22 205:6	226:14 227:18	225:4,19 228:12
78:24,25 85:20	191:13	pure 249:9 250:3	245:5,11,17,19	quite 3:13,18,21
				4:4 6:25 28:21
	-	-	-	-

				Page 293
20.10.22.10	219.11 257.16	72.22 74.10 20	117.12 110.0	
30:19 33:19	218:11 257:16	72:23 74:19,20	117:13 118:9	received 25:17
35:24 36:19 38:9 39:20 48:16 49:8	ranks 149:4	79:5 82:7,9	120:5 122:10	99:10 104:14
	rare 4:18	85:12,25 88:7	126:12 155:6,16	125:25 128:21 133:25 141:1
49:23 50:9 52:12	rat 61:17,18 62:18	89:17 92:6 93:12 93:21 94:9 97:7	155:20,21,23	
54:2 58:17 69:4	rational 126:15		157:21 182:11 257:17	147:3 230:18
69:9,25 71:9,13 71:18 74:11,17	rationale 44:15 166:7	99:23 104:1 106:10 114:8,19		246:3,8 250:18 250:24
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	reach 89:7 126:16	115:14 116:14	reasons/lie 153:4	
76:18 77:4,4 81:9 82:1,6 85:1	126:20 169:21	117:14 119:7	reassure 158:17 Rebecca 84:21	receiving 52:8 recollection 24:16
86:12 92:1,1,15	198:22	123:24 127:18,21	rebuffed 246:17	25:3,7 26:21
93:12,12 96:3,7	reached 120:24	131:5 132:9,12	rebuild 138:23	27:2 48:23 57:23
101:2,19,23	198:9	131.3 132.9,12	recall 14:18 15:6	63:18 76:12 78:8
101.2,19,23	reaching 136:19	134.13 133.24	16:6 17:3,13	102:22 118:24
115:15,20 117:14	react 146:17	138:21,24 155:12	20:24 21:4 22:15	129:5 146:15
121:8 152:16,22	reacted 125:20	155:22 161:16,23	23:9 26:23 27:3	164:11 209:4
165:7,14 167:4	reaction 33:17	164:8,16 173:4	27:4 34:19,23	220:11,21 232:23
169:21 170:3,19	106:20 115:23	175:12 183:2,18	36:11,18 40:18	recommend 6:4
170:20 175:1	read 18:12 49:9	186:12,24 187:13	41:3,20 44:9	8:13 256:22
180:10 197:9	54:7,8 58:10	187:21 188:4	46:3 64:8 65:2	recommendation
202:10,15 204:6	62:11,16 76:21	200:9 205:1,2,10	66:5,5,16,24 67:9	51:1 52:3 54:24
209:13 210:1,8	82:1,5 90:4,16	205:10 207:23	68:6 70:19 72:10	recommendations
210:10 214:19	123:18,25 126:4	218:23 229:15	73:5 74:19,20	4:1 53:12 54:17
216:20 217:7	127:15 128:6	231:4 233:21	75:7,21 78:13,16	56:8,15,21 59:21
219:18 223:3	129:20 136:25	234:8 236:13	80:7 81:9,15,19	60:21,22 61:4
234:14 235:11	138:11 147:5	239:20 242:9	83:14,23 93:22	99:4 204:10
240:11 254:6	165:8 172:15,16	252:24 253:6	94:19 99:25	Recommending
260:20 262:6	178:7 182:1	261:4 262:6	104:19 105:10	135:20,22,23
263:13 264:7	205:4 217:6,21	realms 113:10	127:13,21 132:9	reconstitute 139:4
quorate 88:19,22	218:4 221:21	reason 26:3 32:13	135:24,25 143:17	record 24:25
89:4,14 133:6	233:1 235:2,4	43:16 73:1	145:7 151:10	61:16 62:18 74:1
134:1,1,12	236:9 238:1	100:19,22 101:3	153:8 154:17,22	74:2 115:14
quorum 87:13	243:12,13	102:9 109:3	154:24 155:8	141:9
139:17	readily 51:20	113:12 116:18	156:25 163:19	recorded 106:19
quoting 176:12	reading 38:9	119:11 123:21	176:6 178:17	223:8 263:8
	165:13 205:22	125:10 126:13	183:5,7,17,18	recording 91:21
R	247:19	130:3 139:5	184:14 191:24	96:15,15 106:15
rains 169:6	real 116:18 125:10	148:6 155:11	192:3 196:11,24	183:20 241:3
raise 37:2 46:17	realise 85:9 103:18	165:8 178:5	200:5,9 201:1	records 24:24
67:25 68:19	162:24	203:21 208:8	207:14 219:13	65:13 128:22
193:24 194:2	realised 88:18	225:25 253:24	226:4,25 233:1	recourse 99:5
raised 18:17 26:16	89:3 259:14	255:8 259:15	233:21 253:6,7	158:23 228:1
30:22 70:7,16	reality 10:18 28:18	260:19 261:6	263:7	238:20
85:19 94:18	really 4:7 6:13	reasonable 215:6	recalling 44:18	recourse' 21:10
95:17 119:15,22	10:3 17:7 18:2	248:15 254:1	recanted 157:22	recovery 165:23
120:1,11,19	27:3,3 33:15	reasoning 248:13	157:25,25	recruitment 14:16
184:4,4 219:21	35:17,19 36:11	reasons 44:5 87:18	recap 239:19	265:4,5
245:14,19	36:13 41:2,20	90:3 94:14 95:12	recast 151:1	recruitments
raises 70:19,22	43:7 46:15 50:12	95:20 100:14,18	receipt 155:2	264:24
random 43:9	59:10 71:9 72:17	111:7,10 115:7	receive 6:19 69:21	recs 60:10,20
range 216:4				
	ı	ı	ı	ı

				1 age 274
recuse 7:3	reflects 28:20	reluctant 53:4,6	remind 228:21	203:2,7,12,16,22
recused 245:17	77:20 90:11	rely 8:6,9 170:8	reminded 25:9,10	204:18,24 205:3
recusing 16:21	refreshing 247:23	relying 228:14	164:14	205:4 206:1,2,8
reduced 24:5	refuse 253:20	remain 126:21	remit 21:16	206:20,22 207:1
refer 20:12 35:1	refusing 103:21	137:16 145:19	remote 65:12	220:8 236:19,23
59:25 87:10 90:5	refute 129:23	255:17	remote 03.12	237:4,7,13,15
		remained 157:14	removal 203.24 remove 96:2,7	245:25 248:12
102:6 105:24	refuting 131:8 regained 103:20		126:14 181:10	
123:22 125:8	0	remaining 126:23		reported 101:17 133:23
130:22,24 131:2	regard 168:6	140:12	removed 48:12	
131:3 264:5	regarded 18:19	remedied 138:1	138:4 166:23	reporting 61:20
reference 28:3	regardless 58:16	remember 2:12	removing 129:16	62:21 133:21
40:23 51:23	66:7 171:20	10:23 12:16,25	203:8	134:21 203:25
52:21 55:7,10	249:25	20:1,5 21:24	renumerated 6:24	reports 194:12
56:4 57:18 62:3	region 166:5	24:6,13,14 25:12	7:8	195:6 259:22,23
75:6 78:8,10,14	Regrettably 47:15	29:13 33:22,23	renumeration	267:21
78:15,17 79:16	48:21	36:14,15 38:2	6:19	represent 7:21
79:17 90:22 91:2	regular 197:21	40:22 41:13,15	repeat 45:17 95:14	132:23
91:7 123:1,15	206:21 215:21	41:21 43:7 48:5	160:2	representation
129:18 131:8	regularly 32:1,7	58:1,6,14 69:8	repeated 21:6	7:20 91:19 109:7
164:23 166:19	162:22,23 163:8	71:4 72:21 73:3	97:17	115:13,18,21,22
175:24 208:19	164:17 195:6	73:10 75:14 78:3	repeating 159:24	132:11 147:9,21
226:21	197:8	79:16,22 81:5,12	211:2	148:4 159:5
references 232:9	regulation 19:7	82:6,8 87:4	repercussions	216:2
referred 24:20	20:3,16	92:14 93:13	97:10 222:2	representations
55:1 90:7 101:10	regulations 11:23	96:16 106:22	replaced 226:10	8:19 80:25 86:4
101:12 144:22	12:3 21:17 30:6	115:25 116:15,15	226:11	86:5 96:23 99:2
160:7 181:21	related 10:11	117:1 118:18	replied 48:12	99:11 104:14
191:20 196:12,19	66:14 162:18	123:11 125:18,20	268:13	105:25 106:3
201:18 224:25	267:8	127:15 128:5	replies 104:11	109:22 110:22,23
226:22 246:12	relating 267:5	129:15 131:6	reply 48:11 190:16	125:2 136:20
268:6	relation 17:11	132:13,15,19,20	268:18	146:9 147:12
referring 24:7	20:18 29:16 41:7	136:2 150:23	report 3:17 43:23	158:14,22 159:10
61:25 75:22	64:7 92:19	156:10 166:20,20	45:16 46:19	159:11,14 170:21
76:13,19 111:13	102:10 169:19	177:3 183:5,8	47:20,24 49:10	185:23 217:14,15
111:14,15 113:3	170:11 171:3	189:15 195:12	49:10,11 50:4,6	230:18 232:4,25
134:10 139:17	209:18	201:12 207:18	50:16,17 54:3,6	representatives
141:1 172:9	relationship 14:7	212:19 216:3	54:11,16 55:1,3	129:23 142:15
196:24 208:17	17:20 20:20	217:12 218:8	55:12,20 56:1,7	representing
refers 23:19 31:10	31:11,15 168:3	219:3,8,10,18,19	56:11 57:7,9,21	111:3 251:3
75:12 77:11	192:7,23	220:17 221:8	58:5,10,20 59:1	reprimand 51:21
129:18 139:16	relatively 4:21	223:8 228:23	59:17 60:15	reputation 14:13
140:9 221:14	8:13	240:4,6 247:19	61:19,23 62:19	49:14,16,17,20
reflect 238:6	relayed 89:23	remembered	67:6 70:15 76:9	98:2 203:20
253:11	relevant 60:8 82:2	23:25 24:2	79:12 97:23	204:19
reflected 96:14	181:8 248:6	212:20,21,22	101:1,2 125:9	request 10:12
242:8	relief 68:24	220:9	165:1,3 194:13	16:22 44:3,16
reflecting 118:8	relieved 39:3	remembering	194:19,21 195:8	63:15 79:10
reflection 146:10	116:16	42:24	195:11,15,21	126:16 195:18
253:10	reluctance 13:6	remembers 208:25	198:4,11,23	220:6 231:3,8
				ĺ
	I	I	l	l

				1 age 273
requested 115:6	responsibilities	retrospect 106:1	192:16,24 193:10	98:25 100:9,18
195:6,7,10,15,20	3:9 7:17 169:15	142:7	220:16 224:6	109:4 214:5
require 11:2	178:23 179:5,15	retrospectively	235:8 242:21	s.48 103:12
267:21	179:20	87:24	249:12,17,18	s.6(1) 87:12
required 5:6 6:8	responsibility 5:17	return 178:6	250:6 254:15	s.6(4) 87:20
87:20 185:23,24	50:3 179:24	Returning 198:1	257:7 260:21	safe 63:23 68:12
232:21	180:4 198:10	review 8:11 28:7	261:13 263:21	79:24 116:25
requirement 14:22	204:20,23 207:1	46:25 196:9	rise 30:24	165:6,25 207:19
requirements 4:2	207:7 215:11,16	reviewed 259:7	risk 50:22,25 51:9	207:24 208:2,6
requires 87:13	216:23 237:11,20	reviewing 192:1	166:14	262:23 263:20
214:4 227:3	266:17,18 267:2	reviews 197:22	road 55:2 58:5	safeguarding
rescheduled 144:1	267:14	revisit 28:12	147:6 227:12	173:12
144:10	responsible 9:8	134:25	role 3:5 4:14 6:20	safety 166:14
resign 73:2,9	50:3 53:8 180:1	revolved 94:5	6:23 10:10 16:15	Santos 1:3,7 16:4
96:11 109:18	180:2 191:12	Reyes 62:4	29:11,13 35:4	62:25 63:4,7,11
110:9 133:9	237:18	RGP 7:24 27:16	51:17 102:11	68:16 76:21
185:24	rest 259:21	28:5 29:22 31:16	140:5 168:11	77:14 79:4 82:22
resignation 80:19	restart 138:23	34:18 35:12 36:7	170:4 173:10	93:4,10 103:12
99:8,21,22	restrictions 55:15	41:12 49:17,20	189:21 199:2	108:14,19,23
181:12 227:9	rests 237:20	51:8 52:8 53:23	217:4 241:12	128:11,17 135:23
resigned 265:21	result 3:24 126:20	55:5,9 57:15,24	257:3	142:13,19 143:9
resolve 64:17	183:6 186:3	59:19 80:13	roles 3:8 107:11	145:2 212:7
resource 50:24	262:5	160:14,21 161:20	171:12 241:16	269:9,16,22
170:15	resulting 31:16	163:16 164:3	room 5:1,3,3 21:3	sat 195:24
resourced 170:14	results 45:1	165:10 166:12	roughly 5:5 10:22	satisfied 88:25
resources 8:16	194:17	171:23 173:13	roughshod 148:2	save 16:17 234:9
46:9 169:2,7	retain 74:16	190:10,13 192:8	round 37:21 73:20	saw 32:22 34:6
179:8 268:20	retire 72:9 73:1,9	196:14 203:20	106:10 109:9	41:16 46:8 53:8
respect 18:7,8	80:16 82:23 95:7	204:19 208:23	131:25	120:15 167:3
42:14 77:18	96:10 99:1	236:21 247:1	route 28:7 42:18	196:9 198:25
102:20 140:13,15	105:23 106:4	RGP's 3:18 246:17	138:6	217:22 227:11
149:6 153:15	110:9,11,18	247:17	routine 9:2 169:12	249:23 262:19
185:2 219:12	125:11 126:2	RIB 33:10,11	169:14	saying 5:13 10:25
220:19 236:20	127:12 145:6,25	Richard 56:6 64:9	Royal 141:15	17:13 21:4 25:15
237:20 244:19	146:7 147:15	rid 238:19	171:12	26:12,22,24
246:2 267:2	156:17 157:9,11	ride 148:2 232:22	rule 77:19 171:14	29:16 32:24
respectively 238:8	157:18 158:1	233:4	171:15,18	36:22 48:19
respond 24:19	182:5 185:25	right 17:16 18:13	rumours 193:12	54:19 59:8 65:9
65:11 66:1	187:11,12,13	50:9,12 60:13	193:24	70:19 72:25
112:14 124:25	214:16 232:3	90:8 96:20	run 45:24 200:15	75:13 77:12 80:7
225:3 233:24	248:14 249:20	109:14,15 119:11	269:14	81:2,5,15,19,24
responded 203:24	retired 2:17 10:6	139:11 147:1	running 55:16	83:14 86:7 89:3
responds 132:1	10:13 37:11	151:24 154:7	112:12	91:3 93:19 94:8
response 10:21	193:8	155:2,10 158:18		95:3,5 97:2
50:10 54:13 56:7	retirement 137:7	158:20 159:15	S	102:8,18 104:25
62:5 107:14	141:15 142:4	162:14,15 166:24	s.13 80:18 81:19	106:24 116:23
218:1,2 264:10	227:8	167:14,16 174:10	99:7,20	131:20 134:11,16
responses 62:15	retiring 105:1	183:7,10 184:1	s.13(1)(f) 81:3	143:24 149:15
84:15 127:6	140:20	189:11 190:8	s.34 80:25 82:5,12	150:23 151:24
			83:21 94:16	
	I	I	I	I

				Page 290
155:2 156:10	school 2:11 17:25	137:12 138:14,15	13:5 27:20 51:20	153:1 164:8
169:5 174:8	187:5	138:17,19 142:9	99:8,20 104:13	174:1 177:17
176:6 183:11	schooldays 17:25	145:18 147:10,22	105:6 109:8	186:2 190:11,19
184:7,17 189:15	schools 2:12	172:7 173:15	114:15 147:18	205:14
202:8 208:12,25	scratch 144:17	178:6,7,17,19,23	214:10 230:17	sensible 184:24
209:24,25 214:2	screen 18:13 64:23	179:1,14,22,23	seeking 13:6 29:4	185:7
215:19 216:19	143:6,6,15	180:10,12,22	69:21 83:22	sensitive 84:5
217:19 220:15	145:11 172:11	181:2,3,4,6,20,25	118:14 182:3	257:13
221:14,17 223:9	178:17 181:23	182:1,2 226:24	seen 28:13 66:11	sent 37:21 48:10
224:19 226:8	screenshots 62:9,9	227:1,2,13	70:16 127:6	59:6 60:8 62:7
227:7 233:5	sea 33:1 79:12	237:11,17 245:12	137:25 154:20	62:14 64:19 65:2
234:13 249:8	109:2 125:9	248:7 249:19	157:22,24 160:19	89:11 105:16,18
252:7,8	193:6,23 194:7	255:21 262:14	164:12 165:2	121:1,16 125:6
says 12:11 16:13	195:22 198:1,2	266:16,21 267:17	183:13 192:17	129:10 135:16
20:14 23:1 26:11	198:11,15,19	267:19	196:2 219:25,25	140:18 183:7
27:14 31:9,15	199:10 200:22	sections 171:11	257:9 260:10	201:4,20 217:17
32:1 33:7 37:22	237:13 245:25	179:3,19 181:3	265:11,25	227:19 229:7,19
40:3,14,19 42:15	248:11	secure 176:19	selection 15:13	229:24 231:20,20
44:4 47:15,17	search 22:24 75:6	179:6,15,18	16:1	232:9 248:24
48:21 54:20,25	75:7 163:21	184:21	sell 169:20	250:14,16,20,21
58:10 59:12	219:6,7 263:4,5	security 266:20,21	semantics 25:23	251:23 254:18,25
61:16 62:13	seat 71:5	267:16	send 16:17 42:9	255:19
64:20,24 65:18	second 1:19,19	see 2:25 9:3 12:23	47:24 56:11,18	sentence 31:20
69:17 72:1 74:25	18:10 24:22 26:9	17:8 22:20 40:15	59:4 62:8 74:23	64:24
76:16 77:12,15	42:5 100:16	42:13 46:21	104:24 111:12	sentiment 145:7
79:9,25 80:15	105:21 109:4	47:11,13 48:18	196:6,7 228:24	240:21
83:9 98:20 99:19	112:23 117:7	53:2,11 54:5	229:20,22 230:13	separate 205:6
105:21 107:17	119:12 145:4,22	63:15 69:16	234:20 250:14,20	217:3 246:11
109:6,14,15,19	165:15,16 199:8	83:10,16 96:8	251:17	separately 223:11
109:22 112:1,24	220:3 228:13	104:5,7,7,8,9,16	sending 56:5,11	223:12
119:13,21 120:9	231:18 234:20	105:13,17 106:11	138:16 223:22	September 44:2
128:3 133:1	239:18 254:24	111:1,2 119:4	231:22 232:11	260:5
134:23 136:11	258:13	120:9,15,23	252:2	sequence 135:25
151:25 174:16	seconds 21:9	143:14,16 144:3	sends 62:9 65:9	216:3
180:10 206:15,16	secret 266:5	150:7 151:16	131:25 229:4,17	sequencing 104:15
216:17 220:2,5	secretaries 20:6	152:15 163:3	232:8	105:2 139:20
220:24 228:16	143:21,22	165:14 170:23	senior 2:15 12:5	230:19,23 234:18
230:13,22 232:18	secretary 2:18	172:24 174:15	13:11 18:6 30:16	240:2,5,6,7
233:3 234:12	12:22 14:23 15:1	175:21 179:2	44:22 49:25 51:2	series 44:8 254:4
237:15 243:9	16:9 37:19 46:4	199:19 200:10	52:4 87:6 90:21	serious 30:9 43:22
245:4 246:14	87:22,24 129:7	201:25 211:12	168:5 185:1	59:19 71:15,17
249:25 252:5,17	129:15 244:5	215:6 217:18	190:12 192:8	97:10,13 130:5
253:1 256:24	257:2,4,6	221:12 222:13	208:24 266:25	151:19,21,23
257:19 264:16	section 17:12 22:8	228:14,22 230:1	sensationalist 60:1	152:11,21 194:9
266:16 267:17	28:9 30:10 31:1	230:20 232:9	60:2 204:1	199:13,16 200:16
scale 8:3	31:3 69:14 73:23	243:18,20 251:25	sense 32:10 70:3	205:3,11,16,17
scant 155:5	81:12 121:11	252:2,18 254:14	120:23 123:4	222:2 232:22
scheduled 23:13	126:14 127:22,22	seeing 143:1 208:8	148:19,20,22,23	233:3,6 238:5
65:6 144:8	133:18 134:7	seek 10:9 12:7,8	149:11,11,12,22	seriousness 159:18
	•	-	-	•

205:8	
	101.22
l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l	0:16 29:15
	108:23
	2 163:8,15
	207:16
100:14 126:10 signature 1:15,20 216:24 222:11,21 224:19 265:13	
188:11,15 220:6 significance 194:6 skills 7:15 227:6 237:12 spoken 6	
	1 244:9
240:4,6 significant 246:8 slightly 175:21 249:22 261:24 Square 2	
sets 136:9 240:2 silent 21:4 significant 240.8 signify 175.21 249.22 201.24 squarely	
	16 27:4,6
setting 113.0 similar 7.0 23.18 slowed 227.24 19.23 29.10 stan 20. 20.19 20.20 26:9 31:9 228:3 99:18 113:23 29:16	
	:20 35:18
233:8,15,24 254:19 108:14 253:16 163:17 165:4 57:11	
233.8,13,24 234.19 108.14 233.10 103.17 103.4 37.11	
	99:8 110:4
	2 120:25
	3,24,25
	130:12
	136:22
	10 184:23
	5 253:15
199:18 251:4 23:17 24:7 25:5 somebody 13:15 102:22 103:5 stages 24	
shared 54:11 75:1 25:11 144:20 15:16 30:1 39:5 219:15 stand 99	
	7.13,17 7.168:1
141:22 24:19,23 26:6 104:24 107:7 39:10 98:10 standard	
	us 30.23) 267:18
shield 249:2,6 sir 1:3,9 3:2 11:10 115:21 116:11 201:14,24 202:12 standing	
shocked 33:13 12:15 45:20 63:1 127:23 128:4 speak 6:25 41:4 141:9	209.0
72:5 89:2 112:21 82:21 103:1,8 171:6 185:20,21 43:2 58:12 65:19 start 7:1	2 53.18
	106:24
	180:16
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2:10 14:25
142:21 162:24 156:5 159:6,8,24 somewhat 161:23 170:7 253:7 38:15	
	74:13 98:4
' 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	135:5
1 · · ·	3 216:15
87:16 189:6,11,12 218:25 224:11 specifically 15:6 256:14	
show 22:18 23:16	
37:15 65:13 269:8 226:1,10 249:23 177:15 188:23 98:3 1	
	223:20
	:16 66:10
'	240:15
	4:1 77:15
	126:12
206:5 185:25 210:18 93:6 95:14 97:21 234:8 145:14	
	nt 1:13,15
	8:10,23
Side 13.17 00.5 Situations 213.7 117.20 120.7,23 Spent 130.13,17 1.19 1	0.10,23

				Page 298
19:3 24:18,20,23	stmong 90.21 91.7	suggesting 42.19	193:14,15,16	37:7 193:9,23
43:25 52:5 63:12	strong 80:21 81:7 strongly 248:11	suggesting 42:18 138:1 158:11	194:14,16 195:7	sworn 1:6
66:11 87:10	struck 64:13	suggestion 16:16	197:12 199:3	system 36:16,20
100:7 144:22	structure 165:5	16:23 28:24	202:8 203:13,17	191:25
145:12 146:14	177:15	239:24 244:22	202.8 203.13,17	191.23
147:8,24 151:7	stuck 207:20,21	262:4	225:5 234:1	
156:6 162:21	stuff 219:15	suggests 120:13	235:2,4,6,18,20	tab 1:19
163:4,7 173:12	style 20:21 31:12	248:11	235:22 242:5,15	table 101:16
208:17	51:18 52:9,13	suitability 61:7	242:16 250:4	tackle 56:14
statements 1:11,12	73:6 236:13	70:17,20	258:9 260:6,7,7	Tackling 50:19
2:4 204:12,16	styles 52:24	sum 12:11	266:5 267:7	taint 256:4
states 19:2 94:10	•		269:18	take 5:11 20:12
126:10	sub-group 4:23 9:14,24	summary 26:14 50:17	surmise 41:2	27:23 28:16 40:9
	· ·		surmised 75:23	42:19 50:3 53:3
stating 16:18 station 54:2 63:16	subject 172:21 178:8 257:13	summon 124:6,6 266:14		55:24 57:22 58:8
			surmising 40:24 76:19	63:1,11,17 71:5
statistics 3:19	subjected 44:23 150:1	superintendence 19:9	surnames 113:8	88:3,6,21 103:9
statutory 7:1 241:12,16		superiors 220:2	surnames 113:8 surprise 17:8	105:10 108:5
stay 265:15	subjective 148:1 149:9,22 150:12	superiors 220:2 support 54:22	230:1 248:10	111:10 116:12
stay 203:13 steam 113:1	T	55:8 95:18		132:8,10 138:6,9
	subjects 52:2 submissions		surprised 36:24	139:22 155:19
stem 166:8,11		127:24 170:3	48:22 69:21,24	156:7 163:14
stemming 112:6 stems 112:4	187:10	257:14,17 258:14	72:3,4,5 84:24	164:21,25 167:9
	submit 248:6	supportive 55:25 57:24	89:2 108:7	178:15 180:24
step 27:23 139:2,3	submitted 54:6 204:12		112:15,21 258:10	181:2 183:2
146:8 147:6,8		suppose 33:16 62:11 131:21	surprises 47:14 48:20	184:16 185:3,3
stepped 16:11	submitting 44:12 191:21			192:17 207:3
steps 84:6 86:12 86:13 231:13,14		175:25 195:3 209:6 242:15	surprising 164:15	209:8 210:20,20
stood 15:18 80:20	subsequent 247:13	258:17	surprisingly 45:18 surreptitious	217:20 219:23
81:8	subsequently 89:19 130:1		130:2 253:24	222:9,11 226:21
stop 22:17 190:19	253:23	supposed 8:22 39:17 106:25	surrounding	231:8,15 234:23
233:22 244:16	substance 120:14	sure 28:15,21	186:12	244:20 250:12,18
stopped 17:3	substantial 10:7	34:19 35:18,19	survey 45:1	taken 9:12 46:8
74:14	substantially	36:3,5 40:8	160:21,22	87:18 109:13
stories 37:10	170:15 240:11	41:14,17 43:21	surveys 26:17 27:4	119:16 133:2
stories 57.10 straightaway	substantive 121:8	47:7 58:17 59:4	27:6 29:17 32:19	137:10 153:2
252:2	sue 13:16	59:10 60:16 65:7	160:8 163:17	164:3 175:24
straightforward	sufficiency 155:21	77:10,10 79:1,2	164:2	191:7,10 200:25
4:22	sufficient 7:15	82:1 83:3 91:20	susceptible 51:6	202:15 234:22
strange 36:18	8:15 124:23	92:20 93:25	suspect 35:20	246:19,25 247:5
67:13 68:14	169:4	99:18 104:8	63:21 66:12	268:7
strategy 57:9	sufficiently 94:2	111:20 114:5	113:7	takes 3:13 4:4 5:14
strategy 57.5 stray 39:15	suggest 4:15 48:1	116:5 117:8	suspected 63:25	47:18
straying 39:11	128:10,12 173:14	122:8 123:11	suspend 181:11	talk 21:22 73:18
straying 37.11 strength 81:1,16	186:14,16 197:10	125:1,19 128:2	suspended 110:1	96:18 115:15
101:16	232:19 235:25	130:20 134:4	suspicion 200:15	130:23 136:4,5
Strictly 243:19	suggested 27:19	163:21 176:11,20	swayed 205:13	218:10 234:14
strike 265:12	27:22 29:4 55:11	181:18 186:8,9	switch 36:20,21	talked 184:22
strokes 213:13	161:8	188:25 192:25	switched 36:16	talking 9:14 22:20
501 GRES 213.13	101.0	100.23 172.23	5111cmcu 50.10	32:14 55:18
			I	l

				1 age 277
71:20,22,23 75:9	tells 57:10	79:18 80:9 83:6	57:13 58:3,4,11	168:17 169:2,11
75:22 77:3 79:18	ten 144:8	85:11,12 90:12	59:6,16 61:17	169:15 172:11,13
91:8,12 98:3	tenability 152:13	93:18 94:5 97:22	62:3 66:20 67:9	174:12,13 180:20
125:24 126:25	tend 9:17 52:25	99:16 108:14,16	67:24 68:3,3,9	180:21 182:8
129:8 136:2	tended 218:18	111:7 115:10	69:11,13 70:21	184:5,18,21
163:20 194:13	tensions 31:16	117:4 173:10	71:6 72:25 74:17	185:6 186:4,18
219:11,14 222:5	term 110:7	185:7 187:17	74:18,21,22 75:9	186:19 187:4
222:9,12 241:4	terms 7:23 10:25	191:11 193:6	75:21 76:15 77:2	189:8 190:1,9
talks 67:14 245:23	16:14 19:20	207:25 210:7	77:10 78:10	192:25 194:5
tallies 23:15	37:23 51:7,23	214:9 218:15	79:17 81:22 82:2	195:25 197:12,19
teacher 2:10,19	54:3 88:9 90:1	219:18 249:14	83:23 85:3,4,7,22	198:20,21 199:2
18:1 187:4	96:14 102:6	things 2:20 8:1,2	86:2,19 87:5,7	200:1,8,8,18,22
teachers 167:20	156:24 171:17	9:4,6 10:20	88:19 89:6,17	201:16,18 203:11
team 39:22 40:4	174:21 180:6	11:10,13 18:5,20	90:11 91:11 92:5	203:15,17 204:12
42:17 51:2 52:4	181:6 241:16	30:12 45:19	92:11,25 93:10	204:14,23,25
teams 46:9	terribly 152:20	46:14 49:6 55:4	94:1 96:1,14,22	205:9,21,24
technical 39:11	territories 15:21	57:12 68:10,13	97:5,6 98:2	206:5,11 207:14
teeth 165:18	Territory 265:2	70:13 74:9 75:12	99:14,21 101:24	207:18 210:3,15
teethed 165:5	testified 146:23	75:14 78:3,11	102:12,12,13	210:21 211:7
telephone 87:16	text 199:19 201:4	80:20 81:8 86:10	103:16 104:1,2	212:3 213:19
116:25 263:19	203:25 206:15	101:19,22 111:21	105:15 106:18,20	215:24 216:1
tell 2:7,25 4:18	216:5 223:21,25	127:16 133:2	108:16,19 110:3	217:17 218:23
7:11 13:3 18:4	230:15 231:22	143:18 148:18	111:22 112:22	219:12 223:14
26:5 49:6 52:12	236:22	152:21 163:17	114:5,17 115:10	224:4,6 225:2,12
52:16 60:5 66:20	texting 229:21	175:2 183:17	115:11,13,15,19	225:18 226:5
67:11,18 71:5,11	thank 1:18,24 2:1	186:16 187:6	116:3,7,8,23	227:14,15,18,22
91:12,23,23,24	2:3,7 4:13 42:21	192:11,14 199:25	117:1,16,22,22	228:7,18 229:11
92:4,7,8 104:23	56:19 63:7 82:20	212:11 217:15	117:23 118:8,20	229:12 230:14
107:1 109:1	82:21 128:17,18	223:6 227:24	118:20,24 119:9	231:1,16 232:13
112:19,19 114:25	142:13,16,19	228:13 229:13	120:5 121:14,23	232:16 234:4,5
117:11,13 185:20	144:25 149:20	235:15 263:2	122:1,5,7,16	234:10,24 236:4
200:3,13,14	163:5 164:21	think 3:4 6:15,23	123:24 124:8,11	236:5,25 237:5
205:15 208:2	165:2 167:5	7:8,11 8:22	125:14,14,16,18	237:12,24 238:5
209:21 212:8,8	169:1 175:19	11:14,21,25 12:4	125:19 126:6,22	238:17 239:13
217:2 218:23	190:17 191:5	12:4,10,11 13:14	129:6,9,11,18	241:8,14 242:3
226:20 228:8	253:3 261:24	13:15 14:20 17:4	130:13 131:7,13	243:10 244:16
233:16 251:20	269:2,2,4,5,7,7,9	17:5 22:12 24:3	132:21 135:14	248:19,25 249:23
262:20 266:4	269:22	25:13 26:17	136:6,24 137:8	250:6 252:22
teller 190:1	thanks 3:17 34:6	28:24 29:19,20	139:18,24 140:7	253:9,10 254:3
telling 38:14 68:4	55:1 104:9 132:4	29:23 30:5,13	141:20,21 142:7	255:11 256:1,12
68:8 101:21,21	229:1 253:1	33:13 34:17,18	142:13,17 149:2	256:14 260:7
112:25 114:9	theories 61:21,23	35:6,25 36:2,3,10	149:9,16 150:7	262:22,24 263:25
117:10 143:19	62:21,23	36:12,17,18 37:4	150:11,25 151:1	267:18 268:21
159:16 184:16	theory 38:13	37:5 38:13,24	151:3,24 153:14	269:16
207:4 209:19	thing 3:20 21:1	41:14 42:12,13	154:18 156:19,25	thinking 11:3
210:9,16 211:12	30:1 33:20 41:2	43:9 46:2 48:25	160:7 162:14	16:13 35:17 36:4
211:25 212:24	46:22 54:16	49:4,10,18,25	163:24 164:22,23	42:11 67:13 86:6
218:22 222:17	70:24 76:17	52:10,21 53:6,7	165:10 167:11,12	88:5,8,8 96:5
227:19	77:12 78:12	53:25 55:18,19	167:16 168:11,13	101:20 118:6

				1 age 300
121:23 138:21,22	46:11 54:15,23	51:18 52:14	167:14,18,19	171:2 175:20
185:9 234:10	61:10 63:9,24	53:18 58:18	168:22 173:9	200:12 264:16
240:17 264:7,9	64:10,15 69:23	61:16 62:18 64:5	transcript 91:21	trying 11:9,12
264:18 265:23	75:20 76:15,16	68:11,13 74:11	106:13 107:15	21:11 36:17
266:3	77:23,23 78:20	76:20 79:23	108:22 130:20	41:12 60:3 68:3
third 1:22 24:18	89:4,11 93:15	84:22 88:24,25	175:23 176:13	72:10 90:19 91:3
40:2 59:23 64:21	94:7 98:3 100:3	89:5 96:1 100:20	185:14 221:19	111:1 118:18
87:12 107:16	103:25 104:8	100:24 101:7	241:3 263:8	131:14 162:10
111:5 122:22	107:22 111:2	100:24 101:7	transcripts 196:3	164:8 169:20
230:10	113:4,8 118:1,23	102:18,24,23	transmitted	180:22,23 189:6
this.' 109:11	120:18 121:21,22	106:9 107:21	150:13 153:5	202:13 204:5
thought 13:15	120:16 121:21,22	109:10 111:18	transparent 97:18	208:22 209:11
42:7 43:10 49:12	127:20 129:7	112:10 116:10,17	transpired 26:15	211:15,16 226:4
61:11 64:14 69:1	130:19 140:17	117:4 122:7,14	89:22	246:15
86:1,8 88:21	144:10 146:13	123:10 133:3	transpires 130:7	TSM 87:25
89:15 96:25	156:8 161:16,20	134:23 153:11	traumatic 117:14	TSN's 139:13
104:19 111:18	170:9 171:5	161:15 166:17	treated 12:3 141:5	Tunbridge 258:23
118:18,25 124:7	181:9,17,18	167:16 199:9	141:12 171:19	turn 85:1 117:15
128:10 134:14	186:4,13 190:22	201:13 204:11	Treaty 98:4	133:3
150:19 156:12	191:3,22 192:20	205:16 207:11	tried 59:6 192:21	turned 110:14
185:4 186:13	196:20 206:18	209:2,10 210:12	trigger 109:10	Turning 199:8
194:4,9 198:20	208:13 209:25	212:15 213:1	214:4 249:19	twice 25:11 58:10
205:18 218:16	212:4 213:6,8	216:24 217:9	triggered 112:1	66:21
222:20 228:7	214:6 223:17	218:5 219:4	181:16 248:8	Twitter 34:18
233:7 234:15	229:10,11,12,17	221:10,10 225:7	triggering 226:23	two 3:11,20 6:22
235:1 269:1	232:20,22 233:16	225:13 239:25	trouble 87:7	9:10 12:17 33:11
thoughts 127:14	233:23 234:8,10	247:6,8 259:21	228:19	44:12 50:18 54:9
thousand 14:4	257:5 259:16	263:14	true 2:4 44:20,20	61:15 66:3 74:6
thread 80:3	260:4,20 261:25	tomorrow 40:5,8	209:24 212:2	86:15 94:25 97:5
threat 51:1	269:15,20,20	47:13,17 54:17	248:22 249:2,11	108:1 109:11
threatened 112:9	timeframe 60:11	56:18 58:12	252:1,18	111:6 113:8
three 14:13 47:1	60:24,25	229:2,23 257:12	trust 8:9 216:17,18	142:25 157:3
146:23 161:7	times 102:17 126:4	tone 61:18 62:19	235:14	163:2 181:2
163:2 190:23	210:2,8 219:22	69:6 70:25 71:10	trusted 199:6	184:6,13 185:1
204:13 220:3,14	222:21 246:8	71:15 73:5 80:10	202:20,23 211:18	185:14 189:9
221:20 253:1	timetable 228:12	224:21	211:22 216:10,12	230:8 244:16
264:12	230:9 269:14	tooth-like 165:5	216:13,13	245:23 247:13
three-year 3:7	timing 66:8 248:7	top 47:11 94:22	trusting 121:1	256:24 258:21
thumbs 257:18,19	tiny 62:16	143:12 218:4	truth 4:18 7:11	269:11
Thursday 84:4	tired 254:7	237:10 243:18,19	26:5 52:12 66:20	two-part 4:24
224:1,10,20	tiring 254:9	total 112:11	67:12 71:12 86:7	type 48:3 177:19
time 2:22 3:3,13	today 54:13,15	touch 203:6,14	91:13 100:24	typists 4:25
4:5,24 5:16,16	167:12 178:18	258:2,7,12	102:18 103:8	
10:2,8,12 13:9	203:19	touched 44:7	104:24 107:3	U
14:8,11 16:10	told 3:4 14:24	tough 235:11	113:20,22 114:9	UK 21:15 27:21
23:8 25:2,18	29:18 30:12	track 190:22	117:14 131:20	42:17
29:15 31:3 34:17	33:17,18 36:10	traffic 8:2	209:21 218:22,23	Ullger 3:22 34:21
36:8 37:6 38:5	39:12 45:14	trained 171:4	228:8	36:12 39:16
40:5,14,19 43:19	46:22 47:2,6,23	training 6:11,16	try 18:3 72:10	53:15 56:24 64:9
			_	166:21 168:1
L	I	I	ı	ı

				1 486 301
191:20 192:18	201:11 215:19	156:19 248:20,23	166:3	Wagner's 262:4
265:14	understood 42:21	257:21	vested 145:17	wait 54:14 107:20
ulterior 252:15	57:1 102:17	untoward 14:15	182:18,23	113:21,21,21
ultimate 58:25	150:20 156:12	268:1	view 7:14 17:6	122:3 233:16
266:17 267:14	166:9 177:7	unusual 64:13	34:9 35:4 44:11	waiting 71:7 91:18
ultimately 97:24	179:17 182:12,12	update 37:20 42:9	54:22 55:2 57:3	98:13 118:16
168:9 180:1	202:11 235:6,19	42:20 65:23	60:13 84:7	waking 42:24
um 122:9 124:4	235:21,22 243:13	264:18	101:25 102:1	Wales 52:2
125:16,19 128:2	undertake 217:5	updated 42:22	109:13 119:16	walked 183:14
129:14,17 135:24	undertaken	43:3	124:22 126:1	walking 148:7
138:20,25 139:5	214:21	uppermost 155:22	127:4,5 129:2	want 7:10 11:15
223:3 243:16	undertaking	upset 38:9 67:5,22	140:7 147:14,23	13:1 23:21 27:11
umbrella 169:5	263:22	68:5 69:10,11,12	147:25 148:3	28:12 30:1 33:5
unable 75:5	undue 176:2,25	69:13 76:5 82:7	150:22 151:18	40:15 50:17
137:21 189:7	unedited 252:3	153:12 208:5	152:2,12 155:4	65:24 73:4,13
unaltered 157:14	unfair 214:17	223:7	156:22 158:1	77:25 83:1 86:23
unanimous 129:2	unfairly 141:11	urgency 224:12,14	238:9,10 247:6	104:25 105:8
uncertain 90:1	unfairness 136:14	225:25 231:13	views 16:23 28:1	119:12 122:2
unclear 132:12	unfamiliar 174:5	234:16 239:21	99:10 126:5	148:14 163:3,12
254:16	unfamiliarity	urgent 57:3 84:9	148:15 251:5,24	164:5 172:16
uncomfortable	83:20	urgently 65:19	257:13	191:12 202:9
71:18 114:7	unfortunate 34:11	84:2,8	vigour 266:8	205:25 206:2,8
185:12,15,17	unfortunately	usable 74:21	visibly 69:10	207:10 208:15
186:1 205:22,23	84:14	use 67:23 138:14	visit 45:21	212:14 219:23
206:10 237:7	unfounded 262:6	175:7 212:14	visited 32:21 46:4	221:23 223:18
underlying 61:19	uniformed 52:15	238:14 248:7	46:6	226:22 228:25
62:20 239:3	uninjured 33:12	useful 124:12	vital 213:15 216:6	230:10 231:14
understand 22:23	Unit 50:23	usual 224:21	voice 239:7,10	239:3 244:10,23
45:7 50:22 77:20	United 15:20	usually 4:20	240:11,13,22	248:20 253:4
81:24 106:11	45:22 244:21	uttered 159:25	voiced 57:15	255:21 263:12
120:16 124:23	unjust 186:6,18	V	void 133:7	wanted 10:16
144:15 148:8	unjustified 160:7		volition 43:20	13:10,16 20:4
170:22,23 171:1	unminuted 164:7	vague 76:24 187:20	volunteer 88:6	38:1 45:9 58:16
171:1 173:8,18	unnecessary 168:4		volunteers 259:16	65:10 72:16
174:6,8 177:1,3	unpack 249:5	vaguely 22:1 58:1 173:6,8 197:1	votes 88:12	84:17 99:23
180:18,21,23,24	unpalatable	221:8 265:16	vulnerable 137:18	114:6,9 116:5
181:8 182:21	185:18,19,25	valid 126:13	137:22	117:8 130:25
184:3,15 187:8	186:1	value 123:14 179:9	\mathbf{W}	136:19 139:2
188:22 189:7	unpick 86:1	value 123.14 179.9 vein 147:23	$\frac{1}{W}$ 160:9	143:16 145:16
207:23 212:12	unprecedented	verbal 49:3	Wagner 108:13,22	154:22 183:23
215:16 219:17,22	55:8	verbally 39:15	159:22 189:10	wants 10:5
224:25 235:16	unredacted 252:3	version 47:20 54:3	190:18,23,25	warning 19:7 20:3
248:9 254:11,11	unresolved 156:10	59:24 119:10	190.18,23,23	20:15
understandably 155:4	unsuccessfully 246:15	229:20	191:19 210:25	warrant 75:6,8 77:6 212:25
		versus 226:19	235:20 244:14	
understanding 50:25 68:22 73:7	untenability 159:17	versus 220.17 vessel 36:8	256:11,14,17	217:24 219:6,7
110:5 138:16	untenable 119:17	vessel's 36:16	261:24 262:7	263:5,5 warrants 75:15
157:20 180:9	120:3,7 152:3	165:20	263:4 268:6,10	warrants /3:13 wasn't 20:8 24:11
137.20 100.9	140.5,/ 134.5	vessels 165:17,22	269:21	wash t 20.0 24.11
		. 555515 155.17,22	=07.21	

				1 age 302
25:23 29:17	266:12	willing 28:17 53:3	145:9 151:2,4	255:8
35:17 48:2 50:7	ways 4:16 30:2	56:23 61:3 98:17	154:1 157:11	written 18:19 19:6
52:11 61:11	109:11	196:7 213:22	159:22,25 169:3	19:16 20:2,15
73:20 76:1 77:2	we'll 56:5 62:8	wish 73:4 104:16	175:4 179:4,25	159:13 161:22
79:20 82:1 84:24	we're 7:18 8:11,22	157:23 230:20	181:14 188:2	205:21 239:8
88:5,7 89:17	38:23 88:19	232:3	209:13 263:3	243:19
92:20 95:9 96:4	107:8 110:8,13	wished 146:9	work 3:12 5:6 7:5	wrong 22:23 26:5
97:18 99:18	157:17 205:11	wishes 147:10	8:13 11:19 27:18	109:9 150:19,24
107:25 117:25	206:16 216:1	withdraw 139:1	29:5 40:4 53:10	176:12 195:9
118:6 122:10	we've 22:6 54:8	250:1	56:23 57:17	220:13 228:25
125:1 129:13	77:6 88:18 182:8	withdrawal	60:14 61:3 94:12	235:3,13 262:10
130:13 138:20	214:2	255:13,14	95:1 97:25 108:9	wrongly 105:20
139:11 173:6	web 59:24	withdrawing	152:17 162:11	247:8
185:9 198:13	web 57.24 website 58:15	134:6 137:4	169:12 171:8	wrote 8:8 114:14
199:1 201:9	week 5:5 32:12	withdrawn 137:14	192:19 224:5,16	134:16 136:12
205:2 206:4	42:17 75:2 76:23	withdrew 157:1,2	247:9	241:1 247:24
208:4 209:24	97:1 133:5	157:16 182:10	worked 46:7 49:22	254:22,24 255:2
218:14 225:13	168:13,15	witness 1:4,11	51:12 197:12	254.22,24 255.2
227:17 240:17,17	Weekends 168:19	18:23 19:3 24:18	workforce 44:12	255.5
240:18 241:4	168:20	24:20,23 29:21	44:15 51:19	X
249:10 251:10	weeks 32:12	63:12 66:10	working 7:18 10:8	XX 232:5
258:17 265:16	226:13	100:7 145:12	38:13 42:1 53:14	
waters 36:8 39:10	welcome 23:7	147:7,24 151:7	53:18 56:8,20	Y
43:6 97:16 98:11	141:6	156:6 159:25	128:20 129:11	Yamas 116:4,4
194:25 200:24	went 46:2,3 73:6	163:4,7 174:15	149:2 158:20	Yay 13:8
201:15,24 202:12	74:18 90:9	189:3 261:22	168:2 216:15	Yeah 58:3
wave 54:21	118:19 121:10	262:17 269:7	works 132:3 169:5	year 2:13 3:21
way 12:2 16:14	148:5 192:14	witness's 159:23	worried 57:14	11:1 192:18
40:3 55:14 56:19	221:3 260:16	witnesses 52:11	85:5,7 98:1	years 47:1 127:14
56:24 64:22	weren't 26:4 39:14	248:18	worry 190:6	141:10 149:2
70:22 71:16	48:7 71:14 88:23	wonder 62:25	worrying 49:15	223:6
72:19,21 75:11	103:18 205:1	108:17 142:25	worse 62:11,13	yellow 121:7
75:14 78:11	215:7 223:3	198:8	99:22 159:17	234:22,25 236:9
81:14 86:3 88:8	West 166:5	wondering 42:25	worsened 152:14	yesterday 22:22
90:9 93:16 94:23	WhatsApp 33:3	word 5:1 67:23	worst 133:3	133:2,4 134:23
103:7 106:10	37:17 42:10	93:7,21 103:1,9	wouldn't 7:1 30:19	170:10 175:22
107:16 109:9	59:22 154:20	103:10 113:24	39:2 73:4,12	176:4 188:11
110:3 112:7	228:24 261:1	153:1,25 155:25	81:22 106:21	yesterday's 201:23
113:17 118:13	WhatsApps 65:16	162:24 175:7	116:6 164:17	Yome 50:8
126:3 127:4	149:17 261:7	179:12 184:2	218:12 237:25	young 6:22 9:10
133:19 139:10,11	265:9	212:14 214:4,11	239:8 240:24	younger 172:14
148:25 161:12	wherewithal 86:2	218:13 236:25	242:12 255:7	7
175:21 190:2	Whichever 9:10	263:9 266:2	263:12	Z
200:17 209:5	whilst 5:11 64:13	wording 51:8	write 104:15	0
210:17,17 211:8	67:12 80:22	104:18,22 120:9	230:19 232:21	
212:11 221:25	215:1	words 11:16 19:12	239:11	1
222:6 237:23	wholly 254:16	21:4 66:25 67:23	writing 185:3	1 128:19 182:1
242:14 248:2	wide-ranging	81:10 93:17	215:25 220:14	253:16
252:7 263:7	59:20	109:10,12 112:11	240:13 254:20	1.41 135:3
				1.71 133.3
	<u> </u>	I	l	I

	_	_		1 age 303
1/2 109:5,5	14.2 79:25	1990s 2:12 11:24	29 58:9 136:11	4.45 257:10
10 23:6,14 40:11	14.26 163:18	1991 21:17	150:18 159:9	40 149:2 254:13
63:13 225:12	14.3 80:15	1992 11:25	243:11 250:12	4284 122:4,21
269:9,23	14.4 83:9		268:7	4634 254:12
10.00 1:2	14:43 40:2	2	29th 100:13 217:6	4770 136:8
10.05 64:20	1420 65:18 135:2	2 56:4 109:5 115:7	218:4	48 172:7 173:15
10.30 23:23	1436 230:11	115:8 131:24		174:14 178:6
10.30am 28:2	231:16	132:6,21 142:18	3	48(1) 172:15
10.55 84:7	147 18:23	182:2 245:1	3 1:1 18:11 47:19	
10:28 42:6	148 19:2	260:4	89:20 107:15	5
100 28:15 249:16	14th 193:13	20 42:5 54:10 56:4	3.15 256:14	5 28:9 98:20
1000 12:11	15 63:17 66:4	62:6 257:10	3.4.43 166:7	129:20 132:25,25
11 40:13 47:13	128:13 146:4	20,000 195:14	30 57:20 168:13	133:20,21 134:9
180:12,14,22	190:20 247:14	20:20 8:17	31 23:6,13,19	134:10,20 135:1
181:4 266:16	262:20 267:17,18	2006 14:25 145:18	24:20 28:14	135:2 136:9
11.05 50:14	15(1)(d) 267:19	2016 2:17	143:3	140:25 178:17,23
11.15 144:1	15.09 191:4	2018 44:2	31st 144:1,20	5.24 140:25
11.21 63:8	15.44 220:12	2020 10:23 15:3	329 18:14	50/50 103:18
11.27 63:10	1500 191:2	16:8 20:24 23:3	33 237:11,17	558 54:4
11.30 54:5	1522 62:8	23:19 25:1 27:9	3343 58:8	5631 165:1
11.37 223:24,25	1534 60:9	33:6 37:21 63:14	34 17:12 30:10	571 65:16
11.52 79:3 228:15	156 24:22 26:7	63:17 70:5 74:4	31:1 69:14 73:23	5th 217:22
11.58 104:6	157 24:22 26:7	100:13 104:6	121:11 126:14	
12 37:21 63:14	158 26:11	136:11 150:19	134:7 137:12	6
64:20 65:2,4,17	15th 65:6,7,14	193:13 194:18	138:15 142:9	6 27:7,9 162:13
180:12,14 181:5	66:2,6 90:23	242:23 245:8	145:18 156:7	191:14 201:24
181:6 246:22	207:13	260:5 261:18	178:19 181:21	202:11 269:23
12.14 108:12,25	16 69:17 87:10	2024 1:1 269:24	226:24 227:1,13	6554 40:1
12.42 117:5	183:7	20th 228:22	245:12,23 248:8	6556 42:4
12.46 86:17	16.19 250:7	247:15	249:19	6560 54:10
12.56 108:25	16.40 229:4	21.03 232:18	34(2) 147:10,22	6561 56:3
12C 266:22	16.43 269:25	21st 87:11 104:6	35 245:22	6569 59:21
12th 65:5,8,9,13	17 17:15	149:24 158:12	353 106:24	7
65:14 66:4 90:23	17.21 250:15,16,20	220:2 230:11	355 107:11,15	
207:13	250:21	22 154:7 155:3	356 108:12,24	7 24:18,21 59:17 7.57 201:22
13 81:12 133:18	17.52 250:12	221:20 240:12	36 66:14 78:15,18	
138:14,18,19	18 70:5,25 71:2	254:19	79:4 141:10	77 126:11
145:12 146:22	74:4 100:15	22nd 89:12 105:12	361 111:25	8
179:22,23 181:2	153:5	106:13 208:18	363 112:23	8 33:5 61:2
181:3,7 247:14	18.30 230:12	231:21	367 113:14	88 185:14
255:21 262:14	18th 84:6 148:5	23 23:3	39 248:4	8th 103:4
13.16 142:20	153:20 154:2	23.1 31:9	3996 74:24	
13.58 264:6	184:12 192:24	23.2 31:7 163:3,5,6	3997 146:21,24	9
13:09 40:12	200:7,8 208:20	234 175:23		9 19:7 20:16 38:17
1370 245:2	212:5 219:3	24 43:25 44:4	4 4 27 12 00 15	40:13,15 54:5
13th 196:5 200:22	223:25 224:9	143:7,19	4 27:13 90:15	9.30 69:19 84:3
14 145:22 208:16	264:2	24/7 5:8 168:18	104:5,7,9 128:23	128:19
14.00 142:22	19 16:8	2444 86:15	133:20 134:2,4	9.54 134:20
14.1 79:8	1983 2:11 216:15	25 45:18 100:7	134:22	9:30 27:9
		27 151:7	4.28 141:1	
	•	•	•	•

