1 (W	ednesday, 9 April 2025)	1	inquiry hearing, but I will allow some inquiry
	0.00)	2	into matters genuinely arising from any fresh
	E CHAIRMAN: Good morning to you all	3	material disclosed since the main hearing.
	e again. As it has turned out, the final	4	Once again, on behalf of us all can I thank the
	ewell which I gave last June was premature.	5	staff of the Garrison Library for
	ce then the Inquiry has received extensive	6	accommodating us, this time at short notice. I
	ther disclosures from the RGP mainly in the	7	hope that the coverage of the Inquiry has given
	m of Whatsapp messages which Mr	8	favourable publicity to the Library and its
	Grail engaged with Mr Richardson and	9	facilities, and I very much hope that they have
	h Mr Ullger. It has also become clear that	10	not been too much inconvenienced.
	ny other messages passing between them	11	Finally, in order to minimise costs, we have
	now no longer available.	12	but three days to conduct our business. We
	I have convened this further hearing to	12	must therefore keep to a strict timetable. The
	e Mr Yeats, who has investigated these	13	time I have allowed for my short introductory
	tters on behalf of the RGP, Mr McGrail, Mr	14	remarks is now up and I hand over to Counsel
		15	to the Inquiry, Mr Santos, to explain in rather
	chardson and Mr Ullger the opportunity to	10	more detail how these issues have arisen and
	e evidence to explain in public how it has		
	ne about that these exchanges were not	18	how we are to proceed. Yes, Mr Santos, thank
	closed before the main hearing and how	19	you.
	er exchanges have been lost. Mr Santos, as	20	MR SANTOS: Good morning, sir. As you
	insel for the Inquiry and others will have	21	have already referred to, this hearing has
	opportunity to challenge those	22	become necessary as a result of additional
	planations and the witnesses will have the	23	disclosure received from the RGP and Mr
	portunity to answer those challenges. The	24	Richardson in September 2024, November
25 hea	ring is therefore necessarily directed	25	2024 and December 2024 following the main
	Page 1		Page 3
	0		
1 tow	vards the disclosures made or not made by	1	hearing. This disclosure consists mostly of
2 the	RGP. It is intended to balance the	2	Whatsapp messages between Mr McGrail and
3 cha	llenges made at the main hearing,	3	Mr Ullger and between Mr McGrail and Mr
4 par	ticularly against Mr Picardo and Mr	4	Richardson. The RGP disclosed these
5 Mc	Vea. If these issues of disclosure by the	5	messages after the main inquiry hearing when
6 RG	P and its officers had been apparent at the	6	the inquiry team wrote seeking disclosure of
7 tim	e of the main hearing last year they would	7	messages between RGP officers, although it is
8 hav	ve been dealt with then, but they were not,	8	
9 her	ice this hearing is necessary to correct the		worth noting that the Inquiry had already
		9	worth noting that the Inquiry had already requested the disclosure of Whatsapp
10 pos	sition.		
-		9	requested the disclosure of Whatsapp
11 Im	sition.	9 10	requested the disclosure of Whatsapp messages in 2022. Since December 2024 the
11 I m 12 inv	sition. ake very clear that the Inquiry was set up to	9 10 11	requested the disclosure of Whatsapp messages in 2022. Since December 2024 the inquiry team has sent a series of further
11 I m 12 inv 13 Mc	sition. hake very clear that the Inquiry was set up to estigate the circumstances in which Mr	9 10 11 12	requested the disclosure of Whatsapp messages in 2022. Since December 2024 the inquiry team has sent a series of further requests for clarification to the RGP to ensure
11 I m 12 inv 13 Mc 14 wh	sition. hake very clear that the Inquiry was set up to estigate the circumstances in which Mr Grail retired. The disclosure problems to ich I have referred may be relevant to some	9 10 11 12 13	requested the disclosure of Whatsapp messages in 2022. Since December 2024 the inquiry team has sent a series of further requests for clarification to the RGP to ensure that the Inquiry is in the best possible position
11 I m 12 inv 13 Mc 14 wh 15 of t	sition. hake very clear that the Inquiry was set up to estigate the circumstances in which Mr Grail retired. The disclosure problems to	9 10 11 12 13 14	requested the disclosure of Whatsapp messages in 2022. Since December 2024 the inquiry team has sent a series of further requests for clarification to the RGP to ensure that the Inquiry is in the best possible position to understand the disclosure that has been
11 I m 12 inv 13 Mc 14 wh 15 of t 16 reti	sition. hake very clear that the Inquiry was set up to estigate the circumstances in which Mr Grail retired. The disclosure problems to ich I have referred may be relevant to some the issues arising from Mr McGrail's rement but they are only part of the means	9 10 11 12 13 14 15	requested the disclosure of Whatsapp messages in 2022. Since December 2024 the inquiry team has sent a series of further requests for clarification to the RGP to ensure that the Inquiry is in the best possible position to understand the disclosure that has been provided and what still remains outstanding. The purpose of the hearing is therefore
11 I m 12 inv 13 Mc 14 wh 15 of t 16 reti 17 by	sition. hake very clear that the Inquiry was set up to estigate the circumstances in which Mr Grail retired. The disclosure problems to ich I have referred may be relevant to some the issues arising from Mr McGrail's	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	requested the disclosure of Whatsapp messages in 2022. Since December 2024 the inquiry team has sent a series of further requests for clarification to the RGP to ensure that the Inquiry is in the best possible position to understand the disclosure that has been provided and what still remains outstanding.
11 I m 12 inv 13 Mc 14 wh 15 of t 16 reti 17 by 18 these	sition. Take very clear that the Inquiry was set up to estigate the circumstances in which Mr Grail retired. The disclosure problems to ich I have referred may be relevant to some the issues arising from Mr McGrail's rement but they are only part of the means which I am seeking to find the truth about	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	requested the disclosure of Whatsapp messages in 2022. Since December 2024 the inquiry team has sent a series of further requests for clarification to the RGP to ensure that the Inquiry is in the best possible position to understand the disclosure that has been provided and what still remains outstanding. The purpose of the hearing is therefore twofold: first of all to allow the RGP, Mr
11 I m 12 inv 13 Mc 14 wh 15 of t 16 reti 17 by 18 thes 19 the	sition. ake very clear that the Inquiry was set up to estigate the circumstances in which Mr Grail retired. The disclosure problems to ich I have referred may be relevant to some the issues arising from Mr McGrail's rement but they are only part of the means which I am seeking to find the truth about se matters; they are not an end in	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	requested the disclosure of Whatsapp messages in 2022. Since December 2024 the inquiry team has sent a series of further requests for clarification to the RGP to ensure that the Inquiry is in the best possible position to understand the disclosure that has been provided and what still remains outstanding. The purpose of the hearing is therefore twofold: first of all to allow the RGP, Mr McGrail and Mr Richardson to address alleged
11 I m 12 inv 13 Mc 14 wh 15 of t 16 reti 17 by 18 the: 19 the: 20 inc:	sition. The ake very clear that the Inquiry was set up to estigate the circumstances in which Mr Grail retired. The disclosure problems to ich I have referred may be relevant to some the issues arising from Mr McGrail's rement but they are only part of the means which I am seeking to find the truth about se matters; they are not an end in mselves and I do not see them as such. An	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	requested the disclosure of Whatsapp messages in 2022. Since December 2024 the inquiry team has sent a series of further requests for clarification to the RGP to ensure that the Inquiry is in the best possible position to understand the disclosure that has been provided and what still remains outstanding. The purpose of the hearing is therefore twofold: first of all to allow the RGP, Mr McGrail and Mr Richardson to address alleged gaps, delays and failures in the disclosure they
11 I m 12 inv 13 Mc 14 wh 15 of t 16 reti 17 by 18 the: 19 the: 20 inc: 21 con	sition. The ake very clear that the Inquiry was set up to estigate the circumstances in which Mr Grail retired. The disclosure problems to ich I have referred may be relevant to some the issues arising from Mr McGrail's rement but they are only part of the means which I am seeking to find the truth about se matters; they are not an end in mselves and I do not see them as such. An idental advantage of this hearing is to	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	requested the disclosure of Whatsapp messages in 2022. Since December 2024 the inquiry team has sent a series of further requests for clarification to the RGP to ensure that the Inquiry is in the best possible position to understand the disclosure that has been provided and what still remains outstanding. The purpose of the hearing is therefore twofold: first of all to allow the RGP, Mr McGrail and Mr Richardson to address alleged gaps, delays and failures in the disclosure they have provided to the Inquiry prior to the main
11 I m 12 inv 13 Mc 14 wh 15 of t 16 reti 17 by 18 the 19 the 20 inc: 21 con 22 dise	sition. Take very clear that the Inquiry was set up to estigate the circumstances in which Mr Grail retired. The disclosure problems to ich I have referred may be relevant to some the issues arising from Mr McGrail's rement but they are only part of the means which I am seeking to find the truth about se matters; they are not an end in mselves and I do not see them as such. An idental advantage of this hearing is to usider such new material as has been	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	requested the disclosure of Whatsapp messages in 2022. Since December 2024 the inquiry team has sent a series of further requests for clarification to the RGP to ensure that the Inquiry is in the best possible position to understand the disclosure that has been provided and what still remains outstanding. The purpose of the hearing is therefore twofold: first of all to allow the RGP, Mr McGrail and Mr Richardson to address alleged gaps, delays and failures in the disclosure they have provided to the Inquiry prior to the main hearing; and second, to examine the contents
11 I m 12 inv 13 Mc 14 wh 15 of t 16 reti 17 by 18 the 19 the 20 inc. 21 con 22 disc 23 evid	sition. The ake very clear that the Inquiry was set up to estigate the circumstances in which Mr Grail retired. The disclosure problems to ich I have referred may be relevant to some the issues arising from Mr McGrail's rement but they are only part of the means which I am seeking to find the truth about se matters; they are not an end in mselves and I do not see them as such. An idental advantage of this hearing is to nsider such new material as has been closed, which I see as supplemental to the	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	requested the disclosure of Whatsapp messages in 2022. Since December 2024 the inquiry team has sent a series of further requests for clarification to the RGP to ensure that the Inquiry is in the best possible position to understand the disclosure that has been provided and what still remains outstanding. The purpose of the hearing is therefore twofold: first of all to allow the RGP, Mr McGrail and Mr Richardson to address alleged gaps, delays and failures in the disclosure they have provided to the Inquiry prior to the main hearing; and second, to examine the contents of that new evidence where it may shed light
11 I m 12 inv 13 Mc 14 wh 15 of t 16 retii 17 by 18 the 19 the 20 inc. 21 com 22 disc 23 evic 24 per	sition. The ake very clear that the Inquiry was set up to estigate the circumstances in which Mr Grail retired. The disclosure problems to ich I have referred may be relevant to some the issues arising from Mr McGrail's rement but they are only part of the means which I am seeking to find the truth about se matters; they are not an end in mselves and I do not see them as such. An idental advantage of this hearing is to usider such new material as has been closed, which I see as supplemental to the dence given at the main hearing. I will not	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	requested the disclosure of Whatsapp messages in 2022. Since December 2024 the inquiry team has sent a series of further requests for clarification to the RGP to ensure that the Inquiry is in the best possible position to understand the disclosure that has been provided and what still remains outstanding. The purpose of the hearing is therefore twofold: first of all to allow the RGP, Mr McGrail and Mr Richardson to address alleged gaps, delays and failures in the disclosure they have provided to the Inquiry prior to the main hearing; and second, to examine the contents of that new evidence where it may shed light on the reasons and circumstances leading to
11 I m 12 inv 13 Mc 14 wh 15 of t 16 retii 17 by 18 the 19 the 20 inc. 21 com 22 disc 23 evic 24 per	sition. Take very clear that the Inquiry was set up to estigate the circumstances in which Mr Grail retired. The disclosure problems to ich I have referred may be relevant to some the issues arising from Mr McGrail's rement but they are only part of the means which I am seeking to find the truth about se matters; they are not an end in mselves and I do not see them as such. An idental advantage of this hearing is to asider such new material as has been closed, which I see as supplemental to the dence given at the main hearing. I will not mit anyone to reopen matters which have	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	requested the disclosure of Whatsapp messages in 2022. Since December 2024 the inquiry team has sent a series of further requests for clarification to the RGP to ensure that the Inquiry is in the best possible position to understand the disclosure that has been provided and what still remains outstanding. The purpose of the hearing is therefore twofold: first of all to allow the RGP, Mr McGrail and Mr Richardson to address alleged gaps, delays and failures in the disclosure they have provided to the Inquiry prior to the main hearing; and second, to examine the contents of that new evidence where it may shed light on the reasons and circumstances leading to Mr McGrail's early retirement in June 2020.

1 (Pages 1 to 4)

1the newly disclosed messages are relevant to various topics identified by the Inquiry's list of i sissues 5, Operation Delhi; issue 6, the Federation complaints; and issue 8, the 29 Federation complaints; who did not give Federation complaints; who did not give Federation and the AGP's disclosure process in this inquiry which he has overseen; and for which address the RGP's disclosure process in this inquiry which he has overseen; and for questioned on matters emerging from the Federation conglaints; our VIII, which we have no messages dating between 30 April and the acc no messages acc noticil the provide of our MCGPail and Mr Richardson. The acc acc acc acc acc acc acc acc acc ac				
2 various opies identified by the Inguiry's list of 3 3 issues, including: issue 3, the incident at sea, 3 4 issues, Operation Delhi; issue 6, the 3 5 Federation complaints; and issue 8, the 29 5 6 May letter. Four individuals will be called to 6 7 give evidence at this hearing: Assistant 7 7 officient, although it is not clear that messages to disclose. 10 provided six witness statements in total, four 10 of which address the RGP's disclosure or the 11 number of gaps. Joint address the RGP's disclosure or the 12 messages to disclose. 13 industry hearing from the 14 main inquiry hearing from the 15 questioned on matters emerging from the 16 new by disclosed evidence, namely 17 Inquiry has received evidence from both Mr 18 Richardson. 19 Before introducing the issues very briefly I 20 work the two overarching principles 21 reasons and reference require us to inquire into the 22 reg op of that message.	1	the newly disclosed messages are relevant to	1	that has been received from Mr McGrail, Mr
3 issues, including issue 3, the incident at sea; 3 number of gaps. For example, we have no 4 issue 5, Operation Delhi; issue 6, the 6 5 Federation complaints; and issue 8, the 29 6 6 May letter. Four individuals will be called to 6 7 ferred existing issue 5, Work diverses 6 9 evidence at the barring; Assistant 7 10 grive evidence at the barring; Assistant 7 11 of which address the RGP solicosure process 11 11 of which address the RGP solicosure process 11 12 questioned on matters emerging from the 13 13 there winsessee who did give evidence at the 13 14 main inquiry hearing and who will be 14 12 Way 2020, whether on their personal or 14 messigne Shetween from their personal or 14 14 12 Way 2020, whether on their personal or 13 there winsessee suboit to execute 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 <				
4 issue 5, Operation Delhi; issue 6, the 4 messages sent between RGP work hones, we 5 Federation complaints; and issue 8, the 29 5 have no messages sent between RGP work 6 May letter. Four individuals will be called to 6 phones and personal devices belonging to RGP 7 of which address the RCP's disclosure process were sent between those devices so there may 9 evidence at the main inquiry hearing but has 9 have been no messages to disclose. 10 provided six witness statements in total, four 10 With specific regard to messages browen Mr 11 there witnesses who did give vidence at the 13 have no message station, and the 12 mexity disclosed evidence, namely 14 22 May 2020, whether on their personal or 12 mexity disclosed evidence, namely 16 merky disclosed evidence, namely 17 Commissioner Ullger, Mr McGrail and Mr 17 Inquiry has received evidence from both Mr 18 Reciral and Mr Richardson, for example, that 19 McGrail and Mr Richardson, for example, that 19 Principle A is a reminder that your 23 submissions on the lnquiry website now if the public wishes to acceses 23				
5 Federation complaints; and issue 8, the 29 5 have no messages sent between the tween RGP work 6 May letter. Four individuals will be called to 6 phones and personal devices belonging to RGP 7 officers, although it is not clear that messages to disclose. 7 officers, although it is not clear that messages to disclose. 10 provided six winness statements in total, four 10 With specific regard to messages to disclose. 11 of which address the RGPs disclosure process; and 11 have no messages dating between 30 April and 12 questioned on matters emerging from the 15 their work devices. This gap covers a crucial 16 newly disclosed evidence, namely 16 period in the Inquiry's investigation and the 13 have no messages there may message to Mr McGrail 16 McGrail and Mr Richardson, for wessage to Mr McGrail 16 newly disclosed evidence, annely 17 Inquiry has received evidence from both Mr 18 Refore introducing the issues very briefly 1 18 McGrail and Mr Richardson, for assage to Mr McGrail 20 want to repeat the two overarching principles 20 11 Mr Richardson, for assages from the senior 21 the warmat at Hassams, bu		-		
6May letter. Four individuals will be called to give evidence at this hearing: Assistant6phones and personal devices below messages to device so there may have been no messages to disclose.7officers, although it is not clear that messages were sent between those devices so there may have been no messages to disclose.8provided six witness statements in total, four of which address the RGP's disclosure process in this inquiry which he has overseen; and the witnesses who did give vidence at the main inquiry hearing and who will be the questioned on matters emerging from the questioned on matters emerging from the revel disclosed evidence, namely the revel disclosed evidence, namely the revel disclosed evidence, namely the substance in the inquiry has received evidence from both Mr mangement tal massans, but we have not seen a submissions, which should be on the Inquiry substance in the main revel as about to execute them. Principle A is a reminder that your terms of reference require us to inquire into the policies at the RGP, and those matters are only relevant if and only to the extent that they or a for the order that it policy is used proven and the them. Principle A is a reminder that your terms of reference require us to inquire into the terms of reference require us to inquire into the stating inquiry into data management or phone usage policies at the RGP, and those matters are only relevant if and only to the extent that they of a CP to the extent that it san issue. The public can view our written opening stating inquiry into data management or phone usage policies at the RGP, and those matters are ordly trans induce to the exist and the san inguiry hearing. The is is not an in inquiry indexist of the evidence at the main the issue tord original down form the				C
7give evidence at the ishearing. Assistant7officers, although it is not clear than messages8Commissioner Yeats, who did not give8were sent between those devices so there may9evidence at the main inquiry hearing but has10With specific regard to messages to disclose.10of which address the RGP's disclosure process11McGrail and Mr Richardson, while we have11of which address the RGP's disclosure process11McGrail and Mr Richardson, while we have12mussing and who will be12May 2020, whether on their personal or13questioned on matters emerging from the15their work devices. This gap covers a crucial16newly disclosed evidence, namely16period in the Inquiry's investigation and the17McGrail and Mr Richardson, for execute11Mr Richardson, for assage to Mr McGrail18Before introducing the issues very briefly 110n1 K Richardson, for assage to Mr McGrail20want to repeat the two overarching principles11McGrail and Mr Richardson, for assage form the senior21therm, Principle A is a reminder that your2211The public can view our written opening23website now if the public wishes to access12submissions which should be on the Inquiry24them, Principle A is a reminder that your23submissions on the Inquiry website, as I just25terms of reference require us to inquire into the29page 71reasons and circumstances leading to Mr16per				-
8 Commissioner Yeats, who did not give 8 were sent between those devices so there may 9 evidence at the main inquiry hearing but has 9 10 provided six witness statements in total, four 11 of which address the RGP's disclosure process 11 12 in this inquiry which he has versensen; and 12 13 three witnesses who did give evidence at the 13 14 main inquiry hearing and who will be 14 15 questioned on matters emerging from the 14 16 newly disclosed evidence, namely 16 17 Commissioner Ullger, Mr McGrail and Mr Kichardson, for example, that 18 Before introducing the issues very briefly 1 19 19 Before introducing the issues very briefly 1 19 Mc Fichardson, for example, that 21 werbsite now if the public wishes to access 11 Mc Fichardson, for example, that 22 set out in paragraph 4 of our written 22 Finally, we have no messages from the senior 22 maingement team or SMT group chat from 23 Finally, we have no messages from the senior 23 inquiry into data management or phone usage <t< td=""><td>7</td><td></td><td>7</td><td></td></t<>	7		7	
9evidence at the main inquiry hearing but has provided six witness statements in total, four of which address the RGP's disclosure process in this inquiry which he has overseen; and three witnesses who did give evidence at the main inquiry hearing and who will be questioned on matters emerging from the commissioner Ullger, Mr McGrail and Mr Richardson, covers a crucial provided is wet dence, namely commissioner Ullger, Mr McGrail and Mr Richardson.9have no messages dating between Mr messages dating between 30 April and 22 May 2020, whether on their personal or their work devices. This gap covers a crucial provided is wether on their personal or their work devices. This gap covers a crucial may and the provide in the Inquiry's investigation and the Inquiry has received evidence from both Mr McGrail and Mr Richardson, for example, that McGrail and Mr Richardson, accompt 2000 when he was about to execute them. Principle A is a reminder that your 23 terms of reference require us to inquire into the 2019 or 2020.10Page 5Page 711The spals in dividy for the set of the the and only to the extent that they either: (a) have prevented or impinged upon for a CP to the extent that it is an issue. for a trace with and is an issue. given that numerous different work and personal devices. That would include the issi	8		8	
10 provided six witness statements in total, four 10 With specific regard to messages between Mr 11 of which address the RGPs disclosure process 11 McGrail and Mr Richardson, while we have 12 in this inquiry which he has overseen; and 11 messages batween their personal devices we 13 three witnesses who did give evidence at the 13 have no messages faiting between 30 April and 14 main inquiry hearing and who will be 14 22 May 2020, whether on their personal or 16 newly disclosed evidence, namely 16 their work devices. This gap covers a crucial 17 Richardson. 17 Inquiry has received evidence from both Mr 18 Before introducing the issues very briefly 1 19 Mc Final and Mr Richardson, for example, that 19 website now if the public wishes caccess 14 Mr Richardson, sub a we not seen a 20 website now if the public wishes cacces 23 Finally, we have no messages from the senior 21 them. Principle A is a reminder that your 23 Finally, we have no messages from the senior 22 them. Principle A is a reminder that your 24 Finally, we have no messages from the senior 22	9	•	9	have been no messages to disclose.
12 in this inquiry which he has overseen; and 12 messages between their personal devices we 13 three witnesses who did give evidence at the 13 have no messages dating between 30 April and 14 main inquiry hearing, and who will be 14 22 May 2020, whether on their personal or 15 questioned on matters emerging from the 15 their work devices. This gap covers a crucial 16 newly disclosed evidence, namely 16 period in the Inquiry is novesligation and the 17 numissioner Ullegr, Mr McGrail and Mr 18 McGrail and Mr Richardson, for example, that 19 Before introducing the issues very briefly 1 20 on 12 May 2020 when he was about to execute 21 set out in paragraph 4 of our written 21 the warrant at Hassans, but we have not seen a 22 submissions, which should be on the Inquiry 24 the warrant at Hassans, but we have not seen a 23 frianly, we have no messages from the senior 23 Frianly, we have no messages from the senior 24 terms of reference require us to inquire into the 24 copy of that message. 2019 or 2020. 24 reasons and circumstances leading to Mr 1 The public con view our writt	10	provided six witness statements in total, four	10	•
13 three witnesses who did give evidence at the 13 have no messages dating between 30 April and 14 main inquiry hearing and who will be 14 22 May 2020, whether on their personal or 15 questioned on matters emerging from the 15 their work devices. This gap covers a crucial 16 newly disclosed evidence, namely 16 period in the Inquiry's investigation and the 17 Commissioner Ullger, Mr McGrail and Mr 17 Inquiry has received evidence from both Mr 18 McGrail and Mr 18 McGrail and Mr 18 19 want to repeat the two overarching principles 20 on 12 May 2020 whethe was about to execute 21 wet out in paragraph 4 of our written 21 the warant at Hassans, but we have not seen a 22 submissions, which should be on the Inquiry 22 copy of that message. 23 website now if the public wishes to access 24 Finally, we have no messages from the senior 24 management team or SMT group chat from 25 2019 or 2020. 24 relevant if and only to the extent that they 5 said, at at the end of that document there is a 35 niquiry; or (b) shed light as to the cred	11	of which address the RGP's disclosure process	11	McGrail and Mr Richardson, while we have
14 main inquiry hearing and who will be 14 22 May 2020, whether on their personal or 15 questioned on matters emerging from the 15 16 newly disclosed evidence, namely 16 17 Richardson. 17 18 Before introducing the issues very briefly I 10 19 Before introducing the issues very briefly I 10 10 want to repeat the two overarching principles 20 21 set out in paragraph 4 of our written 21 22 submissions, which should be on the Inquiry 22 23 website now if the public wishes to access 23 24 them. Principle A is a reminder that your 24 25 terms of reference require us to inquire into the 25 26 Page 5 Page 7 1 reasons and circumstances leading to Mr 1 The public can view our written opening 23 policies at the RGP, and those matters are only submissions on the Inquiry website, as I just 3 inquiry into data management or phone usage policies at the RGP, and those matters are only 24 policies at the RGP, and those matters are only <td< td=""><td>12</td><td>in this inquiry which he has overseen; and</td><td>12</td><td>messages between their personal devices we</td></td<>	12	in this inquiry which he has overseen; and	12	messages between their personal devices we
15 questioned on matters emerging from the 15 their work devices. This gap covers a crucial 16 newly disclosed evidence, namely 16 17 Commissioner Ulger, Mr McGrail and Mr 18 Richardson. 17 19 Before introducing the issues very briefly I 10 17 10 want to repeat the two overarching principles 20 on 12 May 2020 when he was about to execute 21 set out in paragraph 4 of our written 20 on 12 May 2020 when he was about to execute 23 website now if the public wishes to access 23 Finally, we have no messages from the senior 23 website now if the public wishes to access 23 Finally, we have no messages from the senior 24 them. Principle A is a reminder that your 24 Finally, we have no messages from the senior 25 terms of reference require us to inquire into the 2019 or 2020. 2019 or 2020. 24 reasons and circumstances leading to Mr 2 submissions on the Inquiry website, as I just 3 3 inquiry into data management or phone usage 4 table which sets out what messages have and 4 table which setsout what messages have an	13		13	have no messages dating between 30 April and
16 newly disclosed evidence, namely 16 period in the Inquiry's investigation and the 17 Commissioner Ulger, Mr McGrail and Mr McGrail and Mr McGrail and Mr 18 Richardson. Net McGrail and Mr 19 Before introducing the issues very briefly I 10 McGrail and Mr Richardson, for example, that 20 want to repeat the two overarching principles 20 on 12 May 2020 when he was about to execute 21 set out in paragraph 4 of our written 22 copy of that message. 21 23 website now if the public wishes to access 23 Finally, we have no messages from the senior 24 them. Principle A is a reminder that your 24 The public can view our written opening 25 reasons and circumstances leading to Mr 2 submissions on the Inquiry website, as I just 2 policies at the RGP, and those matters are only 1 The public can view our written opening 3 inquiry, into data management or phone usage 1 The public can view our written opening 4 policies at the RGP, and those matters are only 1 The public can view our written opening 5 relevant if and only to the extent that they	14	main inquiry hearing and who will be	14	22 May 2020, whether on their personal or
17 Commissioner Ullger, Mr McGrail and Mr 17 Inquiry has received evidence from both Mr 18 Richardson. 17 Inquiry has received evidence from both Mr 18 Richardson. 19 20 want to repeat the two overarching principles 19 Mr Richardson, for example, that 21 set out in paragraph 4 of our written 20 on 12 May 2020 when he was about to execute 21 submissions, which should be on the Inquiry 22 copy of that message. 23 website now if the public wishes to access 23 Finally, we have no messages from the senior 24 them. Principle A is a reminder that your 24 copy of that message. 2019 or 2020. 25 terms of reference require us to inquire into the 2019 or 2020. Page 7 1 reasons and circumstances leading to Mr 1 The public can view our written opening 2 submissions on the Inquiry website, as 1 just 3 said, at at the end of that document there is a 3 inquiry into data management or phone usage 1 The public can view our written opening 2 submissions on the Inquiry website, as 1 just said, at at the end of that document	15	questioned on matters emerging from the	15	their work devices. This gap covers a crucial
18 Richardson. 18 McGrail and Mr Richardson, for example, that 19 Before introducing the issues very briefly I 00 n12 May 2020 when he was about to execute 11 19 McGrail and Mr Richardson, for example, that 12 want to repeat the two overarching principles 00 12 May 2020 when he was about to execute 12 submissions, which should be on the Inquiry 23 website now if the public wishes to access 23 website now if the public wishes to access 23 Finally, we have not seen a 24 them. Principle A is a reminder that your 24 them. Principle A is a reminder that your 25 terms of reference require us to inquire into the 25 2019 or 2020. 26 Page 7 1 The public can view our written opening 3 inquiry into data management or phone usage 3 said, at at the end of that document there is a 4 table which ests out what messages have and have not been disclosed to the 7 relevant if and only to the extent that the 5 8 Inquiry; or (b) shed light as to the credibility 9 9 of A CP to the extent that it is an issue. 9	16	newly disclosed evidence, namely	16	period in the Inquiry's investigation and the
19 Before introducing the issues very briefly I 19 Mr Richardson sent a message to Mr McGrail 20 want to repeat the two overarching principles 20 on 12 May 2020 when he was about to execute 21 set out in paragraph 4 of our written 20 on 12 May 2020 when he was about to execute 23 website now if the public wishes to access 21 the warrant at Hassans, but we have not seen a 23 website now if the public wishes to access 23 Finally, we have no messages from the senior 24 them. Principle A is a reminder that your 24 management team or SMT group chat from 25 terms of reference require us to inquire into the 24 The public can view our written opening 2 nectoral inquiry ind data management or phone usage policies at the RGP, and those matters are only 1 The public can view our written opening 2 submissions on the laquiry website, as I just 3 said, at at the end of that document there is a 3 relevant if and only to the extent that they 6 phone numbers. We hope that that document 6 either: (a) have prevented or impinged upon 7 will assite the public to follow the questioning, 1 Thrigapis n disclosered in the </td <td>17</td> <td>Commissioner Ullger, Mr McGrail and Mr</td> <td>17</td> <td>Inquiry has received evidence from both Mr</td>	17	Commissioner Ullger, Mr McGrail and Mr	17	Inquiry has received evidence from both Mr
20 want to repeat the two overarching principles set out in paragraph 4 of our written submissions, which should be on the Inquiry submissions, which should be on the Inquiry website now if the public wishes to access them. Principle A is a reminder that your 25 20 on 12 May 2020 when he was about to execute the warrant at Hassans, but we have not seen a copy of that message. 21 website now if the public wishes to access them. Principle A is a reminder that your 25 20 on 12 May 2020 when he was about to execute the warrant at Hassans, but we have not seen a copy of that message. 22 website now if the public wishes to access them. Principle A is a reminder that your 25 2019 or 2020. 26 Page 7 1 reasons and circumstances leading to Mr 2 1 The public can view our written opening 2 2 submissions on the Inquiry website, as I just 3 submissions on the Inquiry website, as I just 3 said, at at the end of that document there is a 4 3 relevant if and only to the extent that they 6 either: (a) have prevented or impinged upon 7 1 The public can view our written opening 3 10 Principle B is that the matters to be addressed 11 at this hearing must be considered in the 20 1 1 12 or a CP to the extent that it is an issue. 9 personal devices will be covered in that 10 10 12 or a CP to t	18	Richardson.	18	McGrail and Mr Richardson, for example, that
21 set out in paragraph 4 of our written 21 the warrant at Hassans, but we have not seen a 22 submissions, which should be on the Inquiry 23 the warrant at Hassans, but we have not seen a 23 website now if the public wishes to access 23 Finally, we have no messages from the senior 24 them. Principle A is a reminder that your 23 Finally, we have no messages from the senior 25 terms of reference require us to inquire into the 2019 or 2020. 26 Page 7 1 reasons and circumstances leading to Mr 2 2 management earn or SMT group chat from 2019 or 2020. 2 submissions on the Inquiry website, as 1 just said, at the end of that document there is a 3 inquiry into data management or phone usage 4 table which sets out what messages have and 4 policies at the RGP, and those matters are only 5 have not been disclosed between different 6 either: (a) have prevented or impinged upon 6 phone numbers. We hope that that document 7 relevant ti and only to the extent that these 6 gersonal devices will be covered in that 10 Principle B is that the matters to be addressed </td <td>19</td> <td>Before introducing the issues very briefly I</td> <td>19</td> <td>Mr Richardson sent a message to Mr McGrail</td>	19	Before introducing the issues very briefly I	19	Mr Richardson sent a message to Mr McGrail
 submissions, which should be on the Inquiry website now if the public wishes to access them. Principle A is a reminder that your terms of reference require us to inquire into the Page 5 Page 7 reasons and circumstances leading to Mr McGrail's early retirement. This is not an inquiry into data management or phone usage policies at the RGP, and those matters are only relevant if and only to the extent that they either: (a) have prevented or impinged upon relevant to (b) shed light as to the credibility of a CP to the extent that it is an issue. Principle B is that the matters to be addressed at this hearing must be considered in the overall context of the evidence at the main inquiry is sole or even main focus witnesses gave evidence over nineteen days, and inevitably the issue in focus at this hearing will be the RGP's and Mr McGrail's disclosure, but that does not mean that the issue is the Inquiry's sole or even main focus at this stage. As you put it in your ruling, sir, the fact that you have reconvened a hearing to deal with these points does not invest in them and periation, which soles soure disclosure So looking at the disclosure details in more detail, while there has been some disclosure since the main inquiry hearing, the disclosure 	20	want to repeat the two overarching principles	20	on 12 May 2020 when he was about to execute
23 website now if the public wishes to access 23 Finally, we have no messages from the senior 24 them. Principle A is a reminder that your 24 Finally, we have no messages from the senior 25 terms of reference require us to inquire into the 24 25 26 Page 5 Page 7 27 1 reasons and circumstances leading to Mr 2 28 McGrail's early retirement. This is not an 3 inquiry into data management or phone usage 4 policies at the RGP, and those matters are only 5 said, at at the end of that document there is a 29 relevant if and only to the extent that they 6 have not been disclosed between different 7 relevant evidence being disclosed to the 7 will assist the public to follow the questioning, 8 Inquiry; or (b) shed light as to the credibility 9 of a CP to the extent that it is an issue. 9 9 of a CP to the extent that the matters to be addressed 10 The gaps in disclosure are mainly attributable 10 overall context of the evidence at the main 13 need to be explored at the hearing. First, there 14 this baraing in which some seventeen 14 </td <td>21</td> <td>set out in paragraph 4 of our written</td> <td>21</td> <td>the warrant at Hassans, but we have not seen a</td>	21	set out in paragraph 4 of our written	21	the warrant at Hassans, but we have not seen a
24 them. Principle A is a reminder that your 24 management team or SMT group chat from 25 terms of reference require us to inquire into the 24 management team or SMT group chat from 26 Page 5 2019 or 2020. 1 reasons and circumstances leading to Mr 2 2 McGrail's early retirement. This is not an 3 3 inquiry into data management or phone usage 2 4 policies at the RGP, and those matters are only 5 5 relevant (and only to the extent that they 6 6 either: (a) have prevented or impinged upon 6 7 relevant evidence being disclosed to the 7 8 Inquiry; or (b) shed light as to the credibility 9 9 of a CP to the extent that it is an issue. 9 10 Principle B is that the matters to be addressed 10 11 at this hearing must be considered in the 10 12 overall context of the evidence at the main 11 13 inquiry hearing, in which some seventeen 14 is the RGP's policy of wiping mobile phones 14 witnesses gave evidence over minetocus	22	submissions, which should be on the Inquiry	22	copy of that message.
25 terms of reference require us to inquire into the Page 5 Page 7 1 reasons and circumstances leading to Mr 1 The public can view our written opening 2 McGrail's early retirement. This is not an 1 The public can view our written opening 3 inquiry into data management or phone usage 1 The public can view our written opening 4 policies at the RGP, and those matters are only 1 The public can view our written opening 5 relevant if and only to the extent that they 6 ihave not been disclosed between different 6 either: (a) have prevented or impinged upon 7 will assist the public to follow the questioning, 8 Inquiry; or (b) shed light as to the credibility 8 given that numerous different work and 9 of a CP to the extent that it is an issue. 9 personal devices will be covered in that 10 principle B is that the matters to be addressed 10 The gaps in disclosure are mainly attributable 12 overall context of the evidence at the main 11 The gaps in disclosure are mainly attributable 12 overall context of the evidence at the main 13 inquiry sholicy of wiping mobile phones	23	website now if the public wishes to access	23	Finally, we have no messages from the senior
Page 5Page 71reasons and circumstances leading to Mr2McGrail's early retirement. This is not an3inquiry into data management or phone usage4policies at the RGP, and those matters are only5relevant if and only to the extent that they6either: (a) have prevented or impinged upon7relevant evidence being disclosed to the8Inquiry; or (b) shed light as to the credibility9of a CP to the extent that it is an issue.10Principle B is that the matters to be addressed11at this hearing must be considered in the12overall context of the evidence at the main13inquiry hearing, in which some seventeen14witnesses gave evidence over nineteen days,15and inevitably the issue in focus at this hearing16will be the RGP's and Mr McGrail's17disclosure, but that does not mean that the18issue, is the Inquiry's sole or even main focus19at this stage. As you put it in your ruling, ir,21detail, while there has been some disclosure23So looking at the disclosure details in more24detail, while there has been some disclosure25since the main inquiry hearing, the disclosure26since the main inquiry hearing, the disclosure27So looking at the disclosure details in more28so looking at the disclosure details in more29since the main inquiry hearing, the disclosure21since the main inquiry he	24	-	24	
1reasons and circumstances leading to Mr2McGrail's early retirement. This is not an3inquiry into data management or phone usage4policies at the RGP, and those matters are only5relevant if and only to the extent that they6either: (a) have prevented or impinged upon7relevant evidence being disclosed to the8Inquiry; or (b) shed light as to the credibility9of a CP to the extent that it is an issue.10Principle B is that the matters to be addressed11at this hearing must be considered in the12overall context of the evidence at the main13inquiry hearing, in which some seventeen14witnesses gave evidence over nineteen days,15and inevitably the issue in focus at this hearing16will be the RGP's and Mr McGrail's17disclosure, but that does not mean that the18issue, is the Inquiry's sole or even main focus19at this stage. As you put it in your ruling, sir,10deal with these points does not invest in them20be looking at the disclosure details in more23So looking at the disclosure details in more24detail, while there has been some disclosure25since the main inquiry hearing, the disclosure	25	terms of reference require us to inquire into the	25	2019 or 2020.
1reasons and circumstances leading to Mr2McGrail's early retirement. This is not an3inquiry into data management or phone usage4policies at the RGP, and those matters are only5relevant if and only to the extent that they6either: (a) have prevented or impinged upon7relevant evidence being disclosed to the8Inquiry; or (b) shed light as to the credibility9of a CP to the extent that it is an issue.10Principle B is that the matters to be addressed11at this hearing must be considered in the12overall context of the evidence at the main13inquiry hearing, in which some seventeen14witnesses gave evidence over nineteen days,15and inevitably the issue in focus at this hearing16will be the RGP's and Mr McGrail's17disclosure, but that does not mean that the18issue, is the Inquiry's sole or even main focus19at this stage. As you put it in your ruling, sir,10deal with these points does not invest in them20be looking at the disclosure details in more23So looking at the disclosure details in more24detail, while there has been some disclosure25since the main inquiry hearing, the disclosure		Daga 5		Daga 7
2McGrail's early retirement. This is not an inquiry into data management or phone usage policies at the RGP, and those matters are only relevant if and only to the extent that they either: (a) have prevented or impinged upon relevant evidence being disclosed to the Inquiry; or (b) shed light as to the credibility of a CP to the extent that it is an issue.2submissions on the Inquiry website, as I just said, at at the end of that document there is a table which sets out what messages have and have not been disclosed between different phone numbers. We hope that that document will assist the public to follow the questioning, given that numerous different work and personal devices will be covered in that questioning.10Principle B is that the matters to be addressed orverall context of the evidence at the main inquiry hearing, in which some seventeen will be the RGP's and Mr McGrail's1011at this stage. As you put it in your ruling, sir, 2011The gaps in disclosure or messages between senior RGP as one of the reasons for the lack of any disclosure, but that does not invest in them any special importance.1213sone of the reasons for the lack of any disclosure details in more any special importance.12May message between Mr McGrail and Mr Richardson, according to their evidence, as well as the absence of disclosure from the SMT Whatsapp group.24detail, while there has been some disclosure since the main inquiry hearing, the disclosure23Son oking at the disclosure disclosure of senior25since the main inquiry hearing, the disclosure24Second, we have the transition of senior officers work phones from Samsung to iPhone		Tage 5		Tage /
2McGrail's early retirement. This is not an inquiry into data management or phone usage policies at the RGP, and those matters are only relevant if and only to the extent that they either: (a) have prevented or impinged upon relevant evidence being disclosed to the Inquiry; or (b) shed light as to the credibility of a CP to the extent that it is an issue.2submissions on the Inquiry website, as I just said, at at the end of that document there is a table which sets out what messages have and have not been disclosed between different phone numbers. We hope that that document will assist the public to follow the questioning, given that numerous different work and personal devices will be covered in that questioning.10Principle B is that the matters to be addressed orverall context of the evidence at the main inquiry hearing, in which some seventeen will be the RGP's and Mr McGrail's1011at this stage. As you put it in your ruling, sir, 2011The gaps in disclosure or messages between senior RGP as one of the reasons for the lack of any disclosure, but that does not invest in them any special importance.1213sone of the reasons for the lack of any disclosure details in more any special importance.12May message between Mr McGrail and Mr Richardson, according to their evidence, as well as the absence of disclosure from the SMT Whatsapp group.24detail, while there has been some disclosure since the main inquiry hearing, the disclosure23Son oking at the disclosure disclosure of senior25since the main inquiry hearing, the disclosure24Second, we have the transition of senior officers work phones from Samsung to iPhone				
3inquiry into data management or phone usage policies at the RGP, and those matters are only relevant if and only to the extent that they either: (a) have prevented or impinged upon relevant evidence being disclosed to the 83said, at at the end of that document there is a table which sets out what messages have and have not been disclosed between different 66either: (a) have prevented or impinged upon relevant evidence being disclosed to the 81have not been disclosed between different 67relevant evidence being disclosed to the 96phone numbers. We hope that that document will assist the public to follow the questioning, given that numerous different work and personal devices will be covered in that 1010Principle B is that the matters to be addressed 11at this hearing must be considered in the 12912overall context of the evidence at the main inquiry hearing, in which some seventeen 1311The gaps in disclosure are mainly attributable to three overlapping factors which will each need to be explored at the hearing. First, there is the RGP's policy of wiping mobile phones and not preserving their contents when an officer retires. This is put forward by the RGP as one of the reasons for the lack of any18issue is the Inquiry's sole or even main focus at this stage. As you put it in your ruling, sir, 201019at the stage. As you put it in your ruling, sir, 211222well as the absence of disclosure any special importance.2323So looking at the disclosure details in more 242424detail, while there has been some disclosur	1	reasons and circumstances leading to Mr	1	The public can view our written opening
4policies at the RGP, and those matters are only4table which sets out what messages have and5relevant if and only to the extent that they6have not been disclosed between different6either: (a) have prevented or impinged upon7relevant evidence being disclosed to the7relevant evidence being disclosed to the7will assist the public to follow the questioning,8Inquiry; or (b) shed light as to the credibility8given that numerous different work and9of a CP to the extent that it is an issue.9personal devices will be covered in that10Principle B is that the matters to be addressed10questioning.11at this hearing must be considered in the10the eoverlapping factors which will each13inquiry hearing, in which some seventeen13need to be explored at the hearing. First, there14witnesses gave evidence over nineteen days,16officer retires. This is put forward by the RGP17disclosure, but that does not mean that the17as one of the reasons for the lack of any18issue is the Inquiry's sole or even main focus18disclosure or messages between senior RGP19at this stage. As you put it in your ruling, sir,19officers' work devices. That would include the20the fact that you have reconvend a hearing to21May message between Mr McGrail and Mr21deal with these points does not invest in them23SMT Whatsapp group.23So looking at the disclosure details in more<				
5relevant if and only to the extent that they either: (a) have prevented or impinged upon relevant evidence being disclosed to the Inquiry; or (b) shed light as to the credibility of a CP to the extent that it is an issue.5have not been disclosed between different phone numbers. We hope that that document will assist the public to follow the questioning, given that numerous different work and personal devices will be covered in that questioning.10Principle B is that the matters to be addressed at this hearing must be considered in the overall context of the evidence at the main inquiry hearing, in which some seventeen witnesses gave evidence over nineteen days, and inevitably the issue in focus at this hearing to the RGP's and Mr McGrail's missue is the Inquiry's sole or even main focus at this stage. As you put it in your ruling, sir, the fact that you have reconvened a hearing to any special importance.16have not been disclosed between different will assist the public to follow the questioning, given that numerous different work and personal devices will be covered in that questioning.10Principle B is that the matters to be addressed and inevitably the issue in focus at this hearing to sisclosure, but that does not mean that the issue is the Inquiry's sole or even main focus any special importance.1020the fact that you have reconvened a hearing to any special importance.1230looking at the disclosure details in more detail, while there has been some disclosure since the main inquiry hearing, the disclosure124detail, while there has been some disclosure since the main inquiry hearing, the disclosure2335soloking at the disclosure si	2	McGrail's early retirement. This is not an	2	submissions on the Inquiry website, as I just
 either: (a) have prevented or impinged upon relevant evidence being disclosed to the Inquiry; or (b) shed light as to the credibility of a CP to the extent that it is an issue. Principle B is that the matters to be addressed at this hearing must be considered in the overall context of the evidence at the main inquiry hearing, in which some seventeen will extend that issue in focus at this hearing and inevitably the issue in focus at this hearing will be the RGP's and Mr McGrail's at this stage. As you put it in your ruling, sir, the fact that you have reconvened a hearing to the fact that you have reconvened a hearing to any special importance. So looking at the disclosure details in more since the main inquiry hearing, the disclosure the fact that you have reconvened a hearing to the fact that you have reconvened a hearing to the fact that you have reconvened a hearing to the fact that you have reconvened a hearing to the fact that you have reconvened a hearing to the fact that you have reconvened a hearing to the fact that you have reconvened a hearing to the fact that you have reconvened a hearing to the fact that you have reconvened a hearing to the fact that you have reconvened a hearing to the fact that you have reconvened a hearing to the fact that you have reconvened a hearing to the fact that you have reconvened a hearing to the fact that you have reconvened a hearing to the fact that you h	2 3	McGrail's early retirement. This is not an inquiry into data management or phone usage	2 3	submissions on the Inquiry website, as I just said, at at the end of that document there is a
7relevant evidence being disclosed to the7will assist the public to follow the questioning,8Inquiry; or (b) shed light as to the credibility9of a CP to the extent that it is an issue.9personal devices will be covered in that10Principle B is that the matters to be addressed10questioning.11at this hearing must be considered in the10The gaps in disclosure are mainly attributable12overall context of the evidence at the main11The gaps in disclosure are mainly attributable13inquiry hearing, in which some seventeen13need to be explored at the hearing. First, there14witnesses gave evidence over nineteen days,14is the RGP's policy of wiping mobile phones15and inevitably the issue in focus at this hearing16officer retires. This is put forward by the RGP17disclosure, but that does not mean that the17as one of the reasons for the lack of any18issue is the Inquiry's sole or even main focus18disclosure or messages between senior RGP19at this stage. As you put it in your ruling, sir,1012 May message between Mr McGrail and Mr21deal with these points does not invest in them21Richardson, according to their evidence, as23So looking at the disclosure details in more24Second, we have the transition of senior24detail, while there has been some disclosure24Second, we have the transition of senior25since the main inquiry hearing, the disclosure25officers wo	2 3 4	McGrail's early retirement. This is not an inquiry into data management or phone usage policies at the RGP, and those matters are only	2 3 4	submissions on the Inquiry website, as I just said, at at the end of that document there is a table which sets out what messages have and
9of a CP to the extent that it is an issue.9personal devices will be covered in that10Principle B is that the matters to be addressed10questioning.11at this hearing must be considered in the10The gaps in disclosure are mainly attributable12overall context of the evidence at the main11The gaps in disclosure are mainly attributable13inquiry hearing, in which some seventeen13need to be explored at the hearing. First, there14witnesses gave evidence over nineteen days,14is the RGP's policy of wiping mobile phones15and inevitably the issue in focus at this hearing15and not preserving their contents when an16will be the RGP's and Mr McGrail's16officer retires. This is put forward by the RGP17disclosure, but that does not mean that the17as one of the reasons for the lack of any18issue is the Inquiry's sole or even main focus18disclosure or messages between senior RGP19at this stage. As you put it in your ruling, sir,19officers' work devices. That would include the20the fact that you have reconvened a hearing to2012 May message between Mr McGrail and Mr21deal with these points does not invest in them21Richardson, according to their evidence, as22any special importance.22well as the absence of disclosure from the23So looking at the disclosure details in more23SMT Whatsapp group.24detail, while there has been some disclosure25	2 3 4 5	McGrail's early retirement. This is not an inquiry into data management or phone usage policies at the RGP, and those matters are only relevant if and only to the extent that they	2 3 4 5	submissions on the Inquiry website, as I just said, at at the end of that document there is a table which sets out what messages have and have not been disclosed between different
10Principle B is that the matters to be addressed at this hearing must be considered in the overall context of the evidence at the main inquiry hearing, in which some seventeen witnesses gave evidence over nineteen days, and inevitably the issue in focus at this hearing 1610questioning.14witnesses gave evidence over nineteen days, and inevitably the issue in focus at this hearing 1611The gaps in disclosure are mainly attributable to three overlapping factors which will each need to be explored at the hearing. First, there is the RGP's policy of wiping mobile phones and not preserving their contents when an officer retires. This is put forward by the RGP as one of the reasons for the lack of any 1817disclosure, but that does not mean that the issue is the Inquiry's sole or even main focus at this stage. As you put it in your ruling, sir, 201619at this stage. As you put it in your ruling, sir, deal with these points does not invest in them 212012 May message between Mr McGrail and Mr 2122any special importance. 2322well as the absence of disclosure from the 2323So looking at the disclosure details in more 2423SMT Whatsapp group.24detail, while there has been some disclosure 2524Second, we have the transition of senior 2525since the main inquiry hearing, the disclosure25officers work phones from Samsung to iPhone	2 3 4 5 6	McGrail's early retirement. This is not an inquiry into data management or phone usage policies at the RGP, and those matters are only relevant if and only to the extent that they either: (a) have prevented or impinged upon	2 3 4 5 6	submissions on the Inquiry website, as I just said, at at the end of that document there is a table which sets out what messages have and have not been disclosed between different phone numbers. We hope that that document
11at this hearing must be considered in the11The gaps in disclosure are mainly attributable12overall context of the evidence at the main11The gaps in disclosure are mainly attributable13inquiry hearing, in which some seventeen13need to be explored at the hearing. First, there14witnesses gave evidence over nineteen days,13need to be explored at the hearing. First, there14witnesses gave evidence over nineteen days,14is the RGP's policy of wiping mobile phones15and inevitably the issue in focus at this hearing15and not preserving their contents when an16will be the RGP's and Mr McGrail's16officer retires. This is put forward by the RGP17disclosure, but that does not mean that the17as one of the reasons for the lack of any18issue is the Inquiry's sole or even main focus18disclosure or messages between senior RGP19at this stage. As you put it in your ruling, sir,19officers' work devices. That would include the2012 May message between Mr McGrail and Mr21Richardson, according to their evidence, as22any special importance.22well as the absence of disclosure from the23So looking at the disclosure details in more23SMT Whatsapp group.24detail, while there has been some disclosure24Second, we have the transition of senior25since the main inquiry hearing, the disclosure25officers work phones from Samsung to iPhone	2 3 4 5 6 7	McGrail's early retirement. This is not an inquiry into data management or phone usage policies at the RGP, and those matters are only relevant if and only to the extent that they either: (a) have prevented or impinged upon relevant evidence being disclosed to the	2 3 4 5 6 7	submissions on the Inquiry website, as I just said, at at the end of that document there is a table which sets out what messages have and have not been disclosed between different phone numbers. We hope that that document will assist the public to follow the questioning,
12overall context of the evidence at the main12to three overlapping factors which will each13inquiry hearing, in which some seventeen13need to be explored at the hearing. First, there14witnesses gave evidence over nineteen days,14is the RGP's policy of wiping mobile phones15and inevitably the issue in focus at this hearing15and not preserving their contents when an16will be the RGP's and Mr McGrail's16officer retires. This is put forward by the RGP17disclosure, but that does not mean that the17as one of the reasons for the lack of any18issue is the Inquiry's sole or even main focus18disclosure or messages between senior RGP19at this stage. As you put it in your ruling, sir,19officers' work devices. That would include the20the fact that you have reconvened a hearing to2012 May message between Mr McGrail and Mr21deal with these points does not invest in them21Richardson, according to their evidence, as23So looking at the disclosure details in more23SMT Whatsapp group.24detail, while there has been some disclosure24Second, we have the transition of senior25since the main inquiry hearing, the disclosure25officers work phones from Samsung to iPhone	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	McGrail's early retirement. This is not an inquiry into data management or phone usage policies at the RGP, and those matters are only relevant if and only to the extent that they either: (a) have prevented or impinged upon relevant evidence being disclosed to the Inquiry; or (b) shed light as to the credibility	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	submissions on the Inquiry website, as I just said, at at the end of that document there is a table which sets out what messages have and have not been disclosed between different phone numbers. We hope that that document will assist the public to follow the questioning, given that numerous different work and
13inquiry hearing, in which some seventeen13need to be explored at the hearing. First, there14witnesses gave evidence over nineteen days,13need to be explored at the hearing. First, there14witnesses gave evidence over nineteen days,14is the RGP's policy of wiping mobile phones15and inevitably the issue in focus at this hearing15and not preserving their contents when an16will be the RGP's and Mr McGrail's16officer retires. This is put forward by the RGP17disclosure, but that does not mean that the17as one of the reasons for the lack of any18issue is the Inquiry's sole or even main focus18disclosure or messages between senior RGP19at this stage. As you put it in your ruling, sir,19officers' work devices. That would include the20the fact that you have reconvened a hearing to2012 May message between Mr McGrail and Mr21deal with these points does not invest in them21Richardson, according to their evidence, as22any special importance.22well as the absence of disclosure from the23So looking at the disclosure details in more23SMT Whatsapp group.24detail, while there has been some disclosure24Second, we have the transition of senior25since the main inquiry hearing, the disclosure25officers work phones from Samsung to iPhone	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	McGrail's early retirement. This is not an inquiry into data management or phone usage policies at the RGP, and those matters are only relevant if and only to the extent that they either: (a) have prevented or impinged upon relevant evidence being disclosed to the Inquiry; or (b) shed light as to the credibility of a CP to the extent that it is an issue.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	submissions on the Inquiry website, as I just said, at at the end of that document there is a table which sets out what messages have and have not been disclosed between different phone numbers. We hope that that document will assist the public to follow the questioning, given that numerous different work and personal devices will be covered in that
14witnesses gave evidence over nineteen days,14is the RGP's policy of wiping mobile phones15and inevitably the issue in focus at this hearing14is the RGP's policy of wiping mobile phones16will be the RGP's and Mr McGrail's15and not preserving their contents when an17disclosure, but that does not mean that the16officer retires. This is put forward by the RGP18issue is the Inquiry's sole or even main focus18disclosure or messages between senior RGP19at this stage. As you put it in your ruling, sir,19officers' work devices. That would include the20the fact that you have reconvened a hearing to2012 May message between Mr McGrail and Mr21deal with these points does not invest in them21Richardson, according to their evidence, as22any special importance.22well as the absence of disclosure from the23So looking at the disclosure details in more23SMT Whatsapp group.24detail, while there has been some disclosure24Second, we have the transition of senior25since the main inquiry hearing, the disclosure25officers work phones from Samsung to iPhone	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	McGrail's early retirement. This is not an inquiry into data management or phone usage policies at the RGP, and those matters are only relevant if and only to the extent that they either: (a) have prevented or impinged upon relevant evidence being disclosed to the Inquiry; or (b) shed light as to the credibility of a CP to the extent that it is an issue. Principle B is that the matters to be addressed	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	submissions on the Inquiry website, as I just said, at at the end of that document there is a table which sets out what messages have and have not been disclosed between different phone numbers. We hope that that document will assist the public to follow the questioning, given that numerous different work and personal devices will be covered in that questioning.
15and inevitably the issue in focus at this hearing15and not preserving their contents when an16will be the RGP's and Mr McGrail's15and not preserving their contents when an16will be the RGP's and Mr McGrail's16officer retires. This is put forward by the RGP17disclosure, but that does not mean that the17as one of the reasons for the lack of any18issue is the Inquiry's sole or even main focus18disclosure or messages between senior RGP19at this stage. As you put it in your ruling, sir,19officers' work devices. That would include the20the fact that you have reconvened a hearing to2012 May message between Mr McGrail and Mr21deal with these points does not invest in them21Richardson, according to their evidence, as22any special importance.22well as the absence of disclosure from the23So looking at the disclosure details in more23SMT Whatsapp group.24detail, while there has been some disclosure24Second, we have the transition of senior25since the main inquiry hearing, the disclosure25officers work phones from Samsung to iPhone	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	McGrail's early retirement. This is not an inquiry into data management or phone usage policies at the RGP, and those matters are only relevant if and only to the extent that they either: (a) have prevented or impinged upon relevant evidence being disclosed to the Inquiry; or (b) shed light as to the credibility of a CP to the extent that it is an issue. Principle B is that the matters to be addressed at this hearing must be considered in the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	submissions on the Inquiry website, as I just said, at at the end of that document there is a table which sets out what messages have and have not been disclosed between different phone numbers. We hope that that document will assist the public to follow the questioning, given that numerous different work and personal devices will be covered in that questioning. The gaps in disclosure are mainly attributable
16will be the RGP's and Mr McGrail's16officer retires. This is put forward by the RGP17disclosure, but that does not mean that the17as one of the reasons for the lack of any18issue is the Inquiry's sole or even main focus18disclosure or messages between senior RGP19at this stage. As you put it in your ruling, sir,19officers' work devices. That would include the20the fact that you have reconvened a hearing to2012 May message between Mr McGrail and Mr21deal with these points does not invest in them21Richardson, according to their evidence, as22any special importance.22well as the absence of disclosure from the23So looking at the disclosure details in more23SMT Whatsapp group.24detail, while there has been some disclosure24Second, we have the transition of senior25since the main inquiry hearing, the disclosure25officers work phones from Samsung to iPhone	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	McGrail's early retirement. This is not an inquiry into data management or phone usage policies at the RGP, and those matters are only relevant if and only to the extent that they either: (a) have prevented or impinged upon relevant evidence being disclosed to the Inquiry; or (b) shed light as to the credibility of a CP to the extent that it is an issue. Principle B is that the matters to be addressed at this hearing must be considered in the overall context of the evidence at the main	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	submissions on the Inquiry website, as I just said, at at the end of that document there is a table which sets out what messages have and have not been disclosed between different phone numbers. We hope that that document will assist the public to follow the questioning, given that numerous different work and personal devices will be covered in that questioning. The gaps in disclosure are mainly attributable to three overlapping factors which will each need to be explored at the hearing. First, there
17disclosure, but that does not mean that the issue is the Inquiry's sole or even main focus 1817as one of the reasons for the lack of any disclosure or messages between senior RGP 1819at this stage. As you put it in your ruling, sir, 2018disclosure or messages between senior RGP 1920the fact that you have reconvened a hearing to 212012 May message between Mr McGrail and Mr 2122any special importance.2012 May message between Mr McGrail and Mr 2123So looking at the disclosure details in more 2423SMT Whatsapp group.24detail, while there has been some disclosure 2524Second, we have the transition of senior 2525since the main inquiry hearing, the disclosure25officers work phones from Samsung to iPhone	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	McGrail's early retirement. This is not an inquiry into data management or phone usage policies at the RGP, and those matters are only relevant if and only to the extent that they either: (a) have prevented or impinged upon relevant evidence being disclosed to the Inquiry; or (b) shed light as to the credibility of a CP to the extent that it is an issue. Principle B is that the matters to be addressed at this hearing must be considered in the overall context of the evidence at the main inquiry hearing, in which some seventeen	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	submissions on the Inquiry website, as I just said, at at the end of that document there is a table which sets out what messages have and have not been disclosed between different phone numbers. We hope that that document will assist the public to follow the questioning, given that numerous different work and personal devices will be covered in that questioning. The gaps in disclosure are mainly attributable to three overlapping factors which will each need to be explored at the hearing. First, there is the RGP's policy of wiping mobile phones
18issue is the Inquiry's sole or even main focus18disclosure or messages between senior RGP19at this stage. As you put it in your ruling, sir,19officers' work devices. That would include the20the fact that you have reconvened a hearing to2012 May message between Mr McGrail and Mr21deal with these points does not invest in them21Richardson, according to their evidence, as22any special importance.22well as the absence of disclosure from the23So looking at the disclosure details in more23SMT Whatsapp group.24detail, while there has been some disclosure24Second, we have the transition of senior25since the main inquiry hearing, the disclosure25officers work phones from Samsung to iPhone	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	McGrail's early retirement. This is not an inquiry into data management or phone usage policies at the RGP, and those matters are only relevant if and only to the extent that they either: (a) have prevented or impinged upon relevant evidence being disclosed to the Inquiry; or (b) shed light as to the credibility of a CP to the extent that it is an issue. Principle B is that the matters to be addressed at this hearing must be considered in the overall context of the evidence at the main inquiry hearing, in which some seventeen witnesses gave evidence over nineteen days, and inevitably the issue in focus at this hearing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	submissions on the Inquiry website, as I just said, at at the end of that document there is a table which sets out what messages have and have not been disclosed between different phone numbers. We hope that that document will assist the public to follow the questioning, given that numerous different work and personal devices will be covered in that questioning. The gaps in disclosure are mainly attributable to three overlapping factors which will each need to be explored at the hearing. First, there is the RGP's policy of wiping mobile phones and not preserving their contents when an
19at this stage. As you put it in your ruling, sir, 2019officers' work devices. That would include the 2020the fact that you have reconvened a hearing to 212012 May message between Mr McGrail and Mr 2121deal with these points does not invest in them 22any special importance.2123So looking at the disclosure details in more 2423So looking at the disclosure details in more 252324detail, while there has been some disclosure 2524Second, we have the transition of senior 252524since the main inquiry hearing, the disclosure25officers work phones from Samsung to iPhone	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ \end{array} $	McGrail's early retirement. This is not an inquiry into data management or phone usage policies at the RGP, and those matters are only relevant if and only to the extent that they either: (a) have prevented or impinged upon relevant evidence being disclosed to the Inquiry; or (b) shed light as to the credibility of a CP to the extent that it is an issue. Principle B is that the matters to be addressed at this hearing must be considered in the overall context of the evidence at the main inquiry hearing, in which some seventeen witnesses gave evidence over nineteen days, and inevitably the issue in focus at this hearing will be the RGP's and Mr McGrail's	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ \end{array} $	submissions on the Inquiry website, as I just said, at at the end of that document there is a table which sets out what messages have and have not been disclosed between different phone numbers. We hope that that document will assist the public to follow the questioning, given that numerous different work and personal devices will be covered in that questioning. The gaps in disclosure are mainly attributable to three overlapping factors which will each need to be explored at the hearing. First, there is the RGP's policy of wiping mobile phones and not preserving their contents when an officer retires. This is put forward by the RGP
20the fact that you have reconvened a hearing to 212012 May message between Mr McGrail and Mr21deal with these points does not invest in them any special importance.2012 May message between Mr McGrail and Mr23So looking at the disclosure details in more 2422well as the absence of disclosure from the 2324detail, while there has been some disclosure 2524Second, we have the transition of senior 2525since the main inquiry hearing, the disclosure25officers work phones from Samsung to iPhone	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	McGrail's early retirement. This is not an inquiry into data management or phone usage policies at the RGP, and those matters are only relevant if and only to the extent that they either: (a) have prevented or impinged upon relevant evidence being disclosed to the Inquiry; or (b) shed light as to the credibility of a CP to the extent that it is an issue. Principle B is that the matters to be addressed at this hearing must be considered in the overall context of the evidence at the main inquiry hearing, in which some seventeen witnesses gave evidence over nineteen days, and inevitably the issue in focus at this hearing will be the RGP's and Mr McGrail's disclosure, but that does not mean that the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	submissions on the Inquiry website, as I just said, at at the end of that document there is a table which sets out what messages have and have not been disclosed between different phone numbers. We hope that that document will assist the public to follow the questioning, given that numerous different work and personal devices will be covered in that questioning. The gaps in disclosure are mainly attributable to three overlapping factors which will each need to be explored at the hearing. First, there is the RGP's policy of wiping mobile phones and not preserving their contents when an officer retires. This is put forward by the RGP as one of the reasons for the lack of any
21deal with these points does not invest in them21Richardson, according to their evidence, as22any special importance.22well as the absence of disclosure from the23So looking at the disclosure details in more23SMT Whatsapp group.24detail, while there has been some disclosure24Second, we have the transition of senior25since the main inquiry hearing, the disclosure25officers work phones from Samsung to iPhone	$ 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ 12 \\ 13 \\ 14 \\ 15 \\ 16 \\ 17 \\ 18 \\ $	McGrail's early retirement. This is not an inquiry into data management or phone usage policies at the RGP, and those matters are only relevant if and only to the extent that they either: (a) have prevented or impinged upon relevant evidence being disclosed to the Inquiry; or (b) shed light as to the credibility of a CP to the extent that it is an issue. Principle B is that the matters to be addressed at this hearing must be considered in the overall context of the evidence at the main inquiry hearing, in which some seventeen witnesses gave evidence over nineteen days, and inevitably the issue in focus at this hearing will be the RGP's and Mr McGrail's disclosure, but that does not mean that the issue is the Inquiry's sole or even main focus	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ \end{array} $	submissions on the Inquiry website, as I just said, at at the end of that document there is a table which sets out what messages have and have not been disclosed between different phone numbers. We hope that that document will assist the public to follow the questioning, given that numerous different work and personal devices will be covered in that questioning. The gaps in disclosure are mainly attributable to three overlapping factors which will each need to be explored at the hearing. First, there is the RGP's policy of wiping mobile phones and not preserving their contents when an officer retires. This is put forward by the RGP as one of the reasons for the lack of any disclosure or messages between senior RGP
22any special importance.22well as the absence of disclosure from the23So looking at the disclosure details in more23SMT Whatsapp group.24detail, while there has been some disclosure24Second, we have the transition of senior25since the main inquiry hearing, the disclosure25officers work phones from Samsung to iPhone	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ \end{array} $	McGrail's early retirement. This is not an inquiry into data management or phone usage policies at the RGP, and those matters are only relevant if and only to the extent that they either: (a) have prevented or impinged upon relevant evidence being disclosed to the Inquiry; or (b) shed light as to the credibility of a CP to the extent that it is an issue. Principle B is that the matters to be addressed at this hearing must be considered in the overall context of the evidence at the main inquiry hearing, in which some seventeen witnesses gave evidence over nineteen days, and inevitably the issue in focus at this hearing will be the RGP's and Mr McGrail's disclosure, but that does not mean that the issue is the Inquiry's sole or even main focus at this stage. As you put it in your ruling, sir,	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ \end{array} $	submissions on the Inquiry website, as I just said, at at the end of that document there is a table which sets out what messages have and have not been disclosed between different phone numbers. We hope that that document will assist the public to follow the questioning, given that numerous different work and personal devices will be covered in that questioning. The gaps in disclosure are mainly attributable to three overlapping factors which will each need to be explored at the hearing. First, there is the RGP's policy of wiping mobile phones and not preserving their contents when an officer retires. This is put forward by the RGP as one of the reasons for the lack of any disclosure or messages between senior RGP officers' work devices. That would include the
23So looking at the disclosure details in more23SMT Whatsapp group.24detail, while there has been some disclosure24Second, we have the transition of senior25since the main inquiry hearing, the disclosure25officers work phones from Samsung to iPhone	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ \end{array}$	McGrail's early retirement. This is not an inquiry into data management or phone usage policies at the RGP, and those matters are only relevant if and only to the extent that they either: (a) have prevented or impinged upon relevant evidence being disclosed to the Inquiry; or (b) shed light as to the credibility of a CP to the extent that it is an issue. Principle B is that the matters to be addressed at this hearing must be considered in the overall context of the evidence at the main inquiry hearing, in which some seventeen witnesses gave evidence over nineteen days, and inevitably the issue in focus at this hearing will be the RGP's and Mr McGrail's disclosure, but that does not mean that the issue is the Inquiry's sole or even main focus at this stage. As you put it in your ruling, sir, the fact that you have reconvened a hearing to	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ \end{array} $	submissions on the Inquiry website, as I just said, at at the end of that document there is a table which sets out what messages have and have not been disclosed between different phone numbers. We hope that that document will assist the public to follow the questioning, given that numerous different work and personal devices will be covered in that questioning. The gaps in disclosure are mainly attributable to three overlapping factors which will each need to be explored at the hearing. First, there is the RGP's policy of wiping mobile phones and not preserving their contents when an officer retires. This is put forward by the RGP as one of the reasons for the lack of any disclosure or messages between senior RGP officers' work devices. That would include the 12 May message between Mr McGrail and Mr
24detail, while there has been some disclosure24Second, we have the transition of senior25since the main inquiry hearing, the disclosure25officers work phones from Samsung to iPhone	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ \end{array}$	McGrail's early retirement. This is not an inquiry into data management or phone usage policies at the RGP, and those matters are only relevant if and only to the extent that they either: (a) have prevented or impinged upon relevant evidence being disclosed to the Inquiry; or (b) shed light as to the credibility of a CP to the extent that it is an issue. Principle B is that the matters to be addressed at this hearing must be considered in the overall context of the evidence at the main inquiry hearing, in which some seventeen witnesses gave evidence over nineteen days, and inevitably the issue in focus at this hearing will be the RGP's and Mr McGrail's disclosure, but that does not mean that the issue is the Inquiry's sole or even main focus at this stage. As you put it in your ruling, sir, the fact that you have reconvened a hearing to deal with these points does not invest in them	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\end{array} $	submissions on the Inquiry website, as I just said, at at the end of that document there is a table which sets out what messages have and have not been disclosed between different phone numbers. We hope that that document will assist the public to follow the questioning, given that numerous different work and personal devices will be covered in that questioning. The gaps in disclosure are mainly attributable to three overlapping factors which will each need to be explored at the hearing. First, there is the RGP's policy of wiping mobile phones and not preserving their contents when an officer retires. This is put forward by the RGP as one of the reasons for the lack of any disclosure or messages between senior RGP officers' work devices. That would include the 12 May message between Mr McGrail and Mr Richardson, according to their evidence, as
25 since the main inquiry hearing, the disclosure 25 officers work phones from Samsung to iPhone	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ \end{array}$	McGrail's early retirement. This is not an inquiry into data management or phone usage policies at the RGP, and those matters are only relevant if and only to the extent that they either: (a) have prevented or impinged upon relevant evidence being disclosed to the Inquiry; or (b) shed light as to the credibility of a CP to the extent that it is an issue. Principle B is that the matters to be addressed at this hearing must be considered in the overall context of the evidence at the main inquiry hearing, in which some seventeen witnesses gave evidence over nineteen days, and inevitably the issue in focus at this hearing will be the RGP's and Mr McGrail's disclosure, but that does not mean that the issue is the Inquiry's sole or even main focus at this stage. As you put it in your ruling, sir, the fact that you have reconvened a hearing to deal with these points does not invest in them any special importance.	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\end{array} $	submissions on the Inquiry website, as I just said, at at the end of that document there is a table which sets out what messages have and have not been disclosed between different phone numbers. We hope that that document will assist the public to follow the questioning, given that numerous different work and personal devices will be covered in that questioning. The gaps in disclosure are mainly attributable to three overlapping factors which will each need to be explored at the hearing. First, there is the RGP's policy of wiping mobile phones and not preserving their contents when an officer retires. This is put forward by the RGP as one of the reasons for the lack of any disclosure or messages between senior RGP officers' work devices. That would include the 12 May message between Mr McGrail and Mr Richardson, according to their evidence, as well as the absence of disclosure from the
	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ \end{array}$	McGrail's early retirement. This is not an inquiry into data management or phone usage policies at the RGP, and those matters are only relevant if and only to the extent that they either: (a) have prevented or impinged upon relevant evidence being disclosed to the Inquiry; or (b) shed light as to the credibility of a CP to the extent that it is an issue. Principle B is that the matters to be addressed at this hearing must be considered in the overall context of the evidence at the main inquiry hearing, in which some seventeen witnesses gave evidence over nineteen days, and inevitably the issue in focus at this hearing will be the RGP's and Mr McGrail's disclosure, but that does not mean that the issue is the Inquiry's sole or even main focus at this stage. As you put it in your ruling, sir, the fact that you have reconvened a hearing to deal with these points does not invest in them any special importance. So looking at the disclosure details in more	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ \end{array}$	submissions on the Inquiry website, as I just said, at at the end of that document there is a table which sets out what messages have and have not been disclosed between different phone numbers. We hope that that document will assist the public to follow the questioning, given that numerous different work and personal devices will be covered in that questioning. The gaps in disclosure are mainly attributable to three overlapping factors which will each need to be explored at the hearing. First, there is the RGP's policy of wiping mobile phones and not preserving their contents when an officer retires. This is put forward by the RGP as one of the reasons for the lack of any disclosure or messages between senior RGP officers' work devices. That would include the 12 May message between Mr McGrail and Mr Richardson, according to their evidence, as well as the absence of disclosure from the SMT Whatsapp group.
Page 6 Page 8	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\\ \end{array}$	McGrail's early retirement. This is not an inquiry into data management or phone usage policies at the RGP, and those matters are only relevant if and only to the extent that they either: (a) have prevented or impinged upon relevant evidence being disclosed to the Inquiry; or (b) shed light as to the credibility of a CP to the extent that it is an issue. Principle B is that the matters to be addressed at this hearing must be considered in the overall context of the evidence at the main inquiry hearing, in which some seventeen witnesses gave evidence over nineteen days, and inevitably the issue in focus at this hearing will be the RGP's and Mr McGrail's disclosure, but that does not mean that the issue is the Inquiry's sole or even main focus at this stage. As you put it in your ruling, sir, the fact that you have reconvened a hearing to deal with these points does not invest in them any special importance. So looking at the disclosure details in more detail, while there has been some disclosure	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\\ \end{array}$	submissions on the Inquiry website, as I just said, at at the end of that document there is a table which sets out what messages have and have not been disclosed between different phone numbers. We hope that that document will assist the public to follow the questioning, given that numerous different work and personal devices will be covered in that questioning. The gaps in disclosure are mainly attributable to three overlapping factors which will each need to be explored at the hearing. First, there is the RGP's policy of wiping mobile phones and not preserving their contents when an officer retires. This is put forward by the RGP as one of the reasons for the lack of any disclosure or messages between senior RGP officers' work devices. That would include the 12 May message between Mr McGrail and Mr Richardson, according to their evidence, as well as the absence of disclosure from the SMT Whatsapp group. Second, we have the transition of senior
	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\\ \end{array}$	McGrail's early retirement. This is not an inquiry into data management or phone usage policies at the RGP, and those matters are only relevant if and only to the extent that they either: (a) have prevented or impinged upon relevant evidence being disclosed to the Inquiry; or (b) shed light as to the credibility of a CP to the extent that it is an issue. Principle B is that the matters to be addressed at this hearing must be considered in the overall context of the evidence at the main inquiry hearing, in which some seventeen witnesses gave evidence over nineteen days, and inevitably the issue in focus at this hearing will be the RGP's and Mr McGrail's disclosure, but that does not mean that the issue is the Inquiry's sole or even main focus at this stage. As you put it in your ruling, sir, the fact that you have reconvened a hearing to deal with these points does not invest in them any special importance. So looking at the disclosure details in more detail, while there has been some disclosure	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\\ \end{array}$	submissions on the Inquiry website, as I just said, at at the end of that document there is a table which sets out what messages have and have not been disclosed between different phone numbers. We hope that that document will assist the public to follow the questioning, given that numerous different work and personal devices will be covered in that questioning. The gaps in disclosure are mainly attributable to three overlapping factors which will each need to be explored at the hearing. First, there is the RGP's policy of wiping mobile phones and not preserving their contents when an officer retires. This is put forward by the RGP as one of the reasons for the lack of any disclosure or messages between senior RGP officers' work devices. That would include the 12 May message between Mr McGrail and Mr Richardson, according to their evidence, as well as the absence of disclosure from the SMT Whatsapp group. Second, we have the transition of senior

2 (Pages 5 to 8)

1	devices in November 2020, which we are told	1	explanation for this is that they did not feature
2	resulted in all Whatsapp data on Mr Ullger's,	2	in his mind as relevant at the time. Second,
3	Mr Yeats's and Mr Richardson's phones being	3	there is the RGP's failure to disclose any
4	lost. We consider it surprising that no efforts	4	Whatsapp messages on any topic until
5	were made to preserve Whatsapp data prior to	5	September 2024. The RGP maintain that, with
6	that transition, particularly given the	6	a few exceptions, this disclosure is not relevant
7	announced inquiry and the live prosecution of	7	to the Inquiry's list of issues, and this is
8	Cornelio, Perez and Sanchez who were	8	another matter that will be explored in
9	charged only two months previously. This	9	questioning.
10	transition is again put forward by the RGP as	10	The government parties and the former
11	one of the reasons for the absence of messages	11	Operation Delhi defendants invite you to view
12	between senior officers' work phones and from	12	the issues I have outlined in the light of other
13	the SMT Whatsapp group.	13	events in the inquiry, including, for example,
13	Third, Commission Ullger has lost access to	14	the loss of Mr McGrail's day books and
15	relevant Whatsapp messages on his personal	15	desktop computer, Mr McGrail's destruction
16	device and believes this is due to purchasing a	16	of hard copy documents after his retirement
17	new device in June 2020. However, the RGP	17	and the lack of data recovered from Mr
18	has been able to disclose the messages	18	McGrail's laptop. This is undeniably relevant
19	between Mr McGrail and Mr Ullger's personal	19	context but these matters were already
20	phones because they were preserved in an	20	explored at the main inquiry hearing and we
20	image of Mr McGrail's phone taken by Senior	20	therefore do not intend to take up substantial
21	Investigating Officer John McVea as part of	21	time at this hearing asking further detailed
22	his criminal investigation into the Inquiry data	22	questions about them.
23 24	breach.	23	As I have said, the second and incidental
24 25		24	-
23	It does not presently appear that targeted	23	purpose of this hearing is to explore the
	Page 9		Page 11
	0		0
1	deletions of Whatsapp messages are a further	1	substantive matters which emerge from the
2	factor contributing to significant gaps in	2	new disclosure. There are four areas which we
3	disclosure, with clarification having been	3	intend to explore with questioning. The first is
4	received from DC Garcia of the RGP that the	4	Mr McGrail's decision to retire, and at the
5	references in his extraction reports to deleted	5	main inquiry hearing Mr McGrail's evidence
6	chats were to entire chats as opposed to	6	was that his preference as at 29 May was to
7	individual messages and that he has seen no	7	remain in post and that he wanted to see his
8	evidence of deleted messages between Mr	8	career through, but that he had a lot going
9	McGrail and Mr Richardson or between	9	through his head at the time. The government
10	Superintendent Wyan and Mr Richardson	10	parties place particular reliance on newly
11	during the relevant period. There are some	11	disclosed messages on this topic, submitting
12	isolated deletions in messages between Mr	12	that they show that Mr McGrail had, at least
13	McGrail and Mr Ullger, one of which we will	13	by 29 May and probably as early as 20 May -
14	be looking at in questioning, and there is an	14	THE CHAIRMAN: Mr Santos, I hesitate to
15	apparent difference between the Whatsapps	15	interrupt you. I am told I have got ink on my
16	recovered from Mr Richardson's personal	16	face. I think it would be more dignified if I
17	device and those obtained from the image of	17	remove (inaudible).
18	Mr McGrail's personal phone, which we will	18	MR SANTOS: Sir, perhaps we will take a
19	also explore in questioning.	19	short break.
20	In addition to the gaps in disclosure there have	20	THE CHAIRMAN: I think it must have
21	also been delays, two of which will be	21	leaked in the plane on the way over.
22	addressed in questioning. First, there is Mr	22	(Adjourned for a short while)
23	McGrail's failure to disclose his Whatsapp	23	THE CHAIRMAN: Sorry about that. Thank
24	messages with Mr Ullger and Mr Richardson	24	you for your indulgence and assistance. Yes.
25	when asked for disclosure in April 2022. His	25	MR SANTOS: Sir, I think I will start again
	Page 10		Page 12

3 (Pages 9 to 12)

1with the matrise from the new disclosure. As I said, there are four areas inquiry hearing.1decuments we had already scen at the main inquiry hearing.2which we intend to explore with our questioning. The first is Mr McGrail's decision to retire. At the main inquiry hearing. a at 29 May, when his lawyers sent a letter to as at 29 May, when his lawyers sent a letter to the GPA, was to remain in his post and that he wanted to see his career through but that he the lad oging through his head at the time. I had a log oing through his head at the time. I topic, submitting that they show that Mr topic, submitting that they show that Mr is a carly as 20 May, resolved to retire, and thereafter he worked to ensure that besolved to retire, and thereafter he worked to ensure that be soft more and evorked to ensure that be would he allowed to do so on the best financial terms. Here hur after that he was agonising over what decision to take because he saw how difficient his positor as becoming and has the allowed to do so on the best financial terms. Here hur after that he was agonising over what decision to take because he saw how difficient his positor as becoming and has the allow secret show that he had resolved to the ladword to for so on the best financial terms. Here hur after that he was agonising over available to the laquiry at the main inquiry also asserts that the new disclosure does not the also asserts that the new disclosure does not the solut his positor as becoming and had he activity in the reasons the activity in the reasons the activity of the resolution to retire or 51 mesolution to retire or 51 mesolution. The cerve and this head that he assolution to retire or 51 mesolution to take activity at the main inquiry the activity of the theoremaplating the acoveriment parties su				
2 disclosure. As 1 suid, there are four areas 2 inquiry hearing. 3 which we intend to explore with our 3 Finally, we have the incident at sea. There are at andfile of messages which shed further light 5 decision to retire. At the main inquiry hearing 3 Finally, we have the incident at sea. There are at andfile of messages which shed further light 6 Mr McGrail's vidence was that his preference as at 29 May, when his lawyers sent a letter to 7 7 as at 29 May, when his lawyers sent a letter to 7 as ex., which we intend to explore in 10 had a lot going through his head at the time. 10 In conclusion, sin, this hearing is an important 11 togo:, submitting that they show that hey show that hey 10 In conclusion, sin, this hearing is an important 12 trepress of identifying the reasons 11 Inquiry hearing is an important 13 togo:, submitting that they show that the had resolved to 12 individuals is a necessarily secreices as part of 14 hearing that we did not already know, 12 THE CHAIRMAN: I see you have signing over 14 necision to take bus the words 12 THE CHAIRMAN: Are you comfortable 15 indifiou comessages have the words	1	with the matters that arise from the new	1	documents we had already seen at the main
 which we intend to explore with our questioning. The first is Mr McGrail's decision to retire. At the main inquiry hearing decision to retire. At the main inquiry hearing Mr McGrail's evidence was that his preference immediate and later aftermath of the incident at sea, which we intend to explore in questioning of Mr McGrail, Mr Ullger and Mr wanted to see his career through but that he questioning of Mr McGrail, Mr Ullger and Mr Richardson. In conclusion, sir, this hearing is an important step in addressing several matters energing retriance on newly disclosed messages on this thereafter he worked to ensure that he would heardson. messages do not show that he had resolved to messages do not show that he had resolved to retire transt match he was agonising over what decision to take because he saw how difficult his position as becoming and that he decision to take explore in one yaid solution to retire on 5 June 2020. Mr McGrail also asserts that the was agent sing over decision to retire on 5 June 2020. Mr McGrail thave, sir. THE CHAIRMAN. I see you have injured your leg, have you not? ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER YEATS: 1 asm, sir. THE CHAIRMAN. See you have injured decision to retire on 5 June 2020. Mr McGrail thave, sir. THE CHAIRMAN. See you have dipured have, sir. THE CHAIRMAN. See you have injured artification in sit stood at the main inquiry ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER				
4 questioning. The first is Mr McGrail's 4 a handful of messages which shed further light 5 decision to retire. At the main inquiry hearing 6 innermal exchanges at the RGP in the 6 mr McGrail's evidence was that his preference 6 innermal exchanges at the RGP in the 7 as at 29 May, when his lawyers sent a letter to 7 at sea, which we intend to explore in 9 wanted to see his career through but that he 9 questioning of Mr McGrail's, the RGPs and Mr 10 had a lot going through his head at the time. 10 10 naddressing sevent matters emerging 12 reliance on newly disclosed messages on this 12 from Mr McGrail's, the RGPs and Mr 13 topis, submitting that they show that Mr 13 Richardson. 11 14 nadiresing sevent matters emerging 16 individuals is a necessarily excreices and the 14 messages do not show that he would 15 individuals is a necessarily excreices and the 15 messages do not show that he had resolved to 16 the reduction to take early retirement. 16 messages do not show that he acresolved to 17 take easinthat the new disclosure does not 17				
5 decision to retire. At the main inquiry hearing 5 on internal exchanges at the RCP in the 6 Mr McGrail's evidence was that his preference 6 immediate and later aftermath of the incident 7 as at 29 May, when his lawyers sent a letter to 7 as ex, which we intend to explore in 8 the GPA, was to remain in his post and that the 8 questioning of Mr McGrail, Mr Ullger and Mr 10 had a lot going through his head at the time. 10 10 nacterssing several matters emerging 11 The government parties place particular 11 step in addressing several matters emerging 12 reliance on newly disclosed messages on this 13 Richardson's disclosure exercises and the 13 topic, submitting that they show that Mr 13 Richardson's disclosure exercises and the 14 messages do not show that he was esolved to 16 the public process of identifying the reasons 14 messages do not show that he ad resolved to 20 seck to call our first witness, Assistant 21 what decision to take because he saw how 21 Commissioner Cathal Yeats. 1 23 alor asserts that the new disclosure does not 23 your leg, have you		-		•
6 Mr McGrail's evidence was that his preference 6 immediate and later informath of the incident 7 as at 29 May, when his lawyers sent a letter to 7 at sea, which we intend to explore in 9 wanted to see his career through but that he 9 questioning of Mr McGrail, Mr Ullger and Mr 10 had a log zoing through his head at the time. 10 In conclusion, sir, this hearing is an important 11 the government parties place particular 11 reliance on newly disclosed messages on this 12 from Mr McGrail's, the RGP's and Mr 12 sa early as 20 May, resolved to retire, and 16 the public process of identifying the reasons 13 as early as 20 May, resolved to retire, and 16 the public process of identifying the reasons 14 McGrail dispute because he say how 15 and circumstances leading to Mr McGrail's 14 messages do not show that he had resolved to 19 Those are my opening remarks, sir, and I now 20 retire but rather that we sagonising over 20 seek to call our first winces, Assistant 21 difficult his position as becoming and that he 21 THE CHAIRMAN: I see you have injured 22 reteral anything that we did not alrea				•
7 as at 29 May, when his lawyers sent a letter to 7 at sea, which we intend to explore in 8 the GPA, was to remain in his post and that he 8 questioning of Mr McGrail, Mr Ullger and Mr 10 had a lot going through his head at the time. 10 In conclusion, sir, this hearing is an important 11 The government parties place particular 11 is pin addressing several matters emerging 12 reliance on newly disclosed messages on this 13 tip is addressing several matters emerging 13 topic, submitting that they show that Mr 13 Richardson's disclosure exercises and the 14 McGrail had, at least by 29 May and probably 14 Inquiry timess, Assistant 16 thereafter he worked to ensure that he would 16 the public process of identifying the reasons 15 midwiduals is a necessarily exercise as part of 16 the public process of identifying the reasons 16 thereafter he worked to ensure that he would 16 The public process of identifying the reasons 17 what decision to take because he saw how 11 These are my opening remarks, sir, and I now 12 ultimately but progressively reached the 11 THE CHAIRMAN: I see you have inju		1, 1, 6		
8 the CPA, was to remain in his post and that he 8 questioning of Mr McGrail, Mr Ullger and Mr 9 wanted to see his career through but that he 8 questioning of Mr McGrail, Mr Ullger and Mr 9 India to going through his head at the time. 11 11 11 11 conclusion, sit, this hearing is an important step in addressing several matters emerging in addressing several matters emerging in addressing several matters emerging in addressing the KDP's and Mr 11 topic, submitting that they show that Mr 13 Richardson's disclosure exercises and the 14 McGrail tag at the worked to ensure that the would 16 Inquiry believes that questioning these four 16 thereafter he worked to ensure that the would 16 the public process of identifying the reasons and circumstances leading to Mr McGrail's 17 be allowed to do so on the best financial terms. 18 decision to take because he saw how 10 Those are my opening remarks, si, and I now 21 what decision to take because he saw how 21 Commissioner Cathal Yeats. 11 22 what decision to take because he saw how 21 Commissioner Cathal Yeats. 11 23 using has bect even as carly as 13 May 2020. Mr HC HCHAIRMAN: Are you comfortable				
9 wanted to see his career through but that he had a lot going through his head at the time. 9 Richardson. 10 had a lot going through his head at the time. 10 In conclusion, sit, this hearing is an important topic, submitting that they show that Mr 13 topic, submitting that they show that Mr 13 Richardson's disclosure exercise as and the form Mr McGrail's, the RGP and Mr 14 McGrail disputes this, arguing that the messages do not show that he had resolved to retire but rather that he was agonising over what decision to take because he saw how difficult his position to as becoming and that he allow asserts that the new disclosure does not pointing to examples of messages that were available to the Inquiry at the main inquiry fearing which show that he was contemplating sleaving his post even as early as 13 May 2020. 1 THE CHAIRMAN: Are you comfortable there? 1 reveal anything that we did not already know, pointing to examples of messages that were available to the Inquiry at the main inquiry fleaving which show that he was contemplating fleaving his post even as early as 13 May 2020. 1 THE CHAIRMAN: Are you comfortable there? 1 reveal anything that we did not already know, pointing to examples of messages between available to the Inquiry at the main inquiry fleaving which show to netomplating fleaving his post even as early as 13 May 2020. 1 THE CHAIRMAN: Are you comfortable there? 2 THE CHAIRMAN: Can we do anything to fleat caption belis investigation. Mr 3 ASSISTANT COMMI				-
10 had a lot going through his head at the time. 10 In conclusion, sir, this hearing is an important 11 The government parties place particular 11 step in addressing several matters emerging 13 topic, submitting that they show that Mr 11 step in addressing several matters emerging 14 McGrail had, at least by 29 May and probably 16 fich and yas 20 May, resolved to refire, and 16 thereafter he worked to ensure that he would 16 the public process of identifying the reasons 17 be allowed to do so on the best financial terms. 18 decision to take easty retirement. 18 mexissioner Cathal Yeats. 11 Those are my opening remarks, si, and I now 20 retire but rather that he was agonising over 10 The CHAIRMAN: I see you have injured 21 decision to ratice on 5 June 2020. Mr McGrail 23 your leg, have you non? 22 Page 13 THE CHAIRMAN: I see you comfortable 11 23 abs asserts that the new disclosure does not 24 ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER YEATS: I 34 ansign innoury 34 ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER YEATS: I 3 34 hearing hisho show that he was contemplat	-	-		
11 The government parties place particular 11 step in addressing several matters emerging 12 reliance on newly disclosed messages on this 10 13 topic, submitting that they show that Mr 14 McGrail dad, at least by 29 May and probably 11 Richardson's disclosure exercises and the 15 as early as 20 May, resolved to retire, and 16 the reatfact he worked to ensure that he would 16 the reaffer he worked to ensure that he would 17 the individuals is a necessarily exercise as part of 17 the addressing several matting these four 18 decision to take early retirement. 18 McGrail disputes this, arguing that the 18 decision to take because he as whow 20 retire but rather that he was agonising over 20 seek to call our first witness, Assistant 21 what decision to take because he as whow 21 Commissioner Cathal Yeats. 22 22 difficult his position as becoming and that he 22 THE CHAIRMAN: I see you have injured 23 also asserts that the new disclosure does not 23 THE CHAIRMAN: Are you comfortable 23 available to the lnquiry at the main inquiry an, sir. 3 <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td></td>	-	-		
12 reliance on newly disclosed messages on this 13 topic, submitting that they show that Mr 14 McGrail had, at least by 29 May and probably 15 as early as 20 May, resolved to retire, and 16 thereafter he worked to ensure that he would 16 thereafter he worked to ensure that he would 17 and circumstances leading to Mr McGrail's, the RGP's and Mr 18 Mr McGrail disputes this, arguing that the messages do not show that he had resolved to 19 messages do not show that he had resolved to 20 retire but rather that he was agonising over 21 what decision to take because he saw how 22 difficult his position as becoming and that he 23 utimately but progressively reached the 24 decision to retire of June 2020. Mr McGrail 24 assaters that the new disclosure does not 25 also asserts that the new disclosure does not 26 reveal anything that we did not already know, 29 pointing to examples of messages that were 30 urile, have you not? 31 THE CHAIRMAN: Can we do anything to 16 helegy ou? 7 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>				
13 topic, submitting that they show that Mr 13 Richardson's disclosure exercises and the 14 McGrail shauk, at least by 29 May and probably 14 Inquiry believes that questioning these four 15 as early as 20 May, resolved to retire, and 15 individuals is a necessarily exercises as part of 16 thereafter he worked to ensure that he would 16 the public process of identifying the reasons 17 be allowed to do so on the best financial terms. 17 and circumstances leading to Mr McGrail's 18 messages do not show that he had resolved to 18 and circumstances leading to Mr McGrail's 20 retire but rather that he was agonising over 20 Those are my opening remarks, sir, and I now 21 commissioner Cathal Yeats. 22 THE CHAIRMAN: 1 see you have injured 22 difficult his position as becoming and that he 22 THE CHAIRMAN: 1 see you not? 24 dcsios to take con as Larg 20.0. Mr McGrail 24 ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER YEATS: I 25 available to the Inquiry at the main inquiry 3 ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER YEATS: I 26 mereval anything that we did not already know, 20 THE CHAIRMAN: Can we do anything to				
14 McGrail had, at least by 29 May and probably 14 Inquiry believes that questioning these four 15 as early as 20 May, resolved to retire, and 16 the reacons 16 thereafter he worked to ensure that he would be to bas on the best financial terms. 17 individuals is a necessarily exercise as part of 17 be allowed to do so on the best financial terms. 18 mcGrail disputes this, arguing that the public process of identifying the reasons and circumstances leading to Mr McGrail's decision to take because he saw how 20 retire but rather that he was agonising over 20 seek to call our first winness, asistant 21 what decision to take because he saw how 21 Commissioner Cathal Yeats. 22 difficult his position as becoming and that he 23 your leg, have you not? 24 decision to retire on J lune 2020. Mr McGrail 24 ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER YEATS: I 25 also asserts that the new disclosure does not 25 have, sir. 26 reveal anything that we did not already know, pointing to examples of messages that were asvailable to the Inquiry at the main inquiry 1 THE CHAIRMAN: Are you comfortable 2 therein muth grains submit that the newly disclosed Whatsapp messages do not alter the overall 3 ASSIS				
15 as early as 20 May, resolved to retire, and thereafter he worked to ensure that he would be allowed to do so on the best financial terms. 15 individuals is a necessarily exercise as part of the public process of identifying the reasons and circumstances leading to Mr McGrail's decision to take because he saw how 20 19 messages do not show that he had resolved to 20 retire but rather that he was agoinsing over 21 18 21 what decision to take because he saw how 22 16 The cellular that he 23 adoi:numstances leading to Mr McGrail's decision to take because he saw how 20 22 difficult his position as becoming and that he 23 utimately but progressively reached the 24 22 THE CHAIRMAN: 1 see you have injured 24 25 also asserts that the new disclosure does not 25 Page 13 Page 15 1 reveal anything that we did not already know, 2 1 THE CHAIRMAN: Are you comfortable 2 2 there? 3 ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER YEATS: I 3 1 3 hasping which show that he was contemplating 3 5 THE CHAIRMAN: Can we do anything to 6 6 6 help you? 7 ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER YEATS: I 3 1 7 ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER YEATS: I 3 1 am, sir. 7 ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER YEATS				
16 thereafter he worked to ensure that he would 16 the public process of identifying the reasons and circumstances leading to Mr McGrail's decision to take only retirement. 17 messages do not show that he had resolved to retire but rather that he was agonising over 18 decision to take early retirement. 20 retire but rather that he was agonising over 19 Those are my opening remarks, sir, and I now seek to call our first winess, Assistant 21 what decision to take because he saw how 20 seek to call our first winess, Assistant 23 ultimately but progressively reached the 21 Commissioner Cathal Yeats. 24 decision to tretire on 5 June 2020. Mr McGrail 23 THE CHAIRMAN: I see you have injured 24 asserts that the new disclosure does not 23 your leg, have you not? 24 assist stant the new disclosure does not 24 ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER YEATS: I 25 nerveal anything that we did not already know, 2 1 THE CHAIRMAN: Are you comfortable 2 there? 3 ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER YEATS: I 1 3 hearing which show that he was contemplating 5 THE CHAIRMAN: Can we do anything to hearing which show that me wase send was 13 May 2020. </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>				
17 be allowed to do so on the best financial terms. 17 and circumstances leading to Mr McGrail's 18 Mr McGrail disputes this, arguing that the 18 decision to take early referement. 19 messages do not show that he had resolved to 19 Those are my opening remarks, sir, and I now 20 retire but rather that he was agonising over 10 Those are my opening remarks, sir, and I now 21 what decision to take because he saw how 20 seek to call our first witness, Assistant 22 difficult his position as becoming and that he 23 your leg, have you not? 24 decision to retire on 5 June 2020. Mr McGrail 24 ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER YEATS: I 25 also asserts that the new disclosure does not Page 13 Page 15 1 reveal anything that we did not already know, pointing to examples of messages that were 3 ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER YEATS: I 3 available to the Inquiry at the main inquiry 3 ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER YEATS: I 4 4 am, sir. 9 THE CHAIRMAN: Can we do anything to 6 help you? 7 5 THE CHAIRMAN: Can we do anything to mesicosure does not alter the overall 7				• •
18 Mr McGrail disputes this, arguing that the 18 decision to take early retirement. 19 messages do not show that he had resolved to 10 20 retire but rather that he was agonising over 20 21 what decision to take because he saw how 20 22 difficult his position as becoming and that he 21 23 difficult his position as becoming and that he 22 24 decision to retire on 5 June 2020. Mr McGrail 22 25 also asserts that the new disclosure does not 22 26 pointing to examples of messages that were 24 3 available to the Inquiry at the main inquiry 3 4 hearing which show that he was contemplating 5 5 leaving his post even as early as 13 May 2020. 6 6 help you? 7 7 Delhi. The government parties submit that the 28 8 newly disclosed Whatsapp messages between 9 9 McGrail abutins the ore and that the 12 10 contain any references to alleged interference 10 am fine as I am, sir. 11 the Operat				
19 messages do not show that he had resolved to retire but rather that he was agonising over 21 19 Those are my opening remarks, sir, and I now seek to call our first witness, Assistant 20 what decision to take because he saw how 20 20 seek to call our first witness, Assistant 21 what decision to retire on 5 lune 2020. Mr McGrail also asserts that the new disclosure does not Page 13 21 Commissioner Cathal Yeats. 23 ultimately but progressively reached the decision to retire on 5 lune 2020. Mr McGrail also asserts that the new disclosure does not Page 13 24 ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER YEATS: I have, sir. 24 reveal anything that we did not already know, pointing to examples of messages that were available to the lnquiry at the main inquiry hearing which show that be was contemplating leaving his post even as early as 13 May 2020. 1 THE CHAIRMAN: Are you comfortable 2 26 The second topic to be explored is Operation to contain any references to alleged interference in the Operation Delhi investigation. Mr 10 1 THE CHAIRMAN: Chay, but if there is amything do mention it. You did not give evidence before so you had better take the oath. 19 mew disclosure does not alter the overall disclosed Whatsapp messages provide additional insight into Mr McGrail's reaction to the findings of the HMIC report. The newly disclosed Whatsapp messages provide additional insight into Mr McGrail's reaction the government parti				•
20 retire but rather that he was agonising over 20 seek to call our first witness, Assistant 21 what decision to take because he saw how 21 Commissioner Cathal Yeats. 22 difficult his position as becoming and that he 21 THE CHAIRMAN: I see you have injured 23 ultimately but progressively reached the 23 THE CHAIRMAN: I see you not? 24 decision to retire on 5 June 2020. Mr McGrail 24 ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER YEATS: I 25 also asserts that the new disclosure does not Page 13 Page 15 1 reveal anything that we did not already know, 2 there? 3 available to the Inquiry at the main inquiry ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER YEATS: I 4 hearing which show that he was contemplating 5 THE CHAIRMAN: Can we do anything to 6 help you? 7 ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER YEATS: I am, sir. 9 Mr McGrail and his senior colleagues do not 7 ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER YEATS: I am fine as I am, sir. 9 Mr McGrail submit that the 10 am fine as I am, sir. 9 THE CHAIRMAN: Okay, but if there is 10 contani any references to alleged interference				•
21 what decision to take because he saw how 21 Commissioner Cathal Yeats. 22 difficult his position as becoming and that he 23 your leg, have you not? 24 decision to retire on 5 June 2020. Mr McGrail 23 your leg, have you not? 25 also asserts that the new disclosure does not 23 Your leg, have you not? 25 also asserts that the new disclosure does not 24 ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER YEATS: I 26 have, sir. 25 have, sir. 27 reveal anything that we did not already know, 2 there? 3 available to the Inquiry at the main inquiry 4 ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER YEATS: I 4 hearing which show that he was contemplating 5 THE CHAIRMAN: Can we do anything to 6 help you? 7 ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER YEATS: I am, sir. 7 Delhi. The government parties submit that the 8 and fine as I am, sir. 9 THE CHAIRMAN: Okay, but if there is anything do mention it. You did not give 10 contain any references to alleged interference 10 anything do mention it. You did not give 11 new disclosure does not alter		-		
22 difficult his position as becoming and that he 22 THE CHAIRMAN: I see you have injured 23 ultimately but progressively reached the 23 your leg, have you not? 24 decision to retire on 5 June 2020. Mr McGrail 24 ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER YEATS: I 25 also asserts that the new disclosure does not Page 13 Page 15 1 reveal anything that we did not already know, pointing to examples of messages that were available to the Inquiry at the main inquiry 4 hearing which show that he was contemplating 5 THE CHAIRMAN: COMMISSIONER YEATS: I 5 leaving his post even as early as 13 May 2020. 6 THE CHAIRMAN: Can we do anything to 6 help you? 7 ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER YEATS: I am sir. 9 Mr McGrail and his senior colleagues do not 6 help you? 11 the Operation Delhi investigation. Mr 11 ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER YEATS: I 12 mew disclosere does not alter the overall 13 ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER CATHAL 12 McGrail submits on the other hand that the 12 anditional insight into Mr McGrail's reaction 13 new disclosure does not alter the overall <t< td=""><td>20</td><td></td><td></td><td>,</td></t<>	20			,
23 ultimately but progressively reached the 23 your leg, have you not? 24 decision to retire on 5 June 2020. Mr McGrail assist asserts that the new disclosure does not 24 25 also asserts that the new disclosure does not Page 13 Page 15 1 reveal anything that we did not already know, pointing to examples of messages that were available to the Inquiry at the main inquiry ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER YEATS: I 4 hearing which show that he was contemplating fearing which show that he was contemplating THE CHAIRMAN: Can we do anything to 6 hearing which show that he was contemplating am, sir. 7 7 Delhi. The government parties submit that the 7 ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER YEATS: I 8 newly disclosed Whatsap messages between 8 am fine as I am, sir. 9 Mr McGrail and his senior colleagues do not 7 ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER YEATS: I 11 in the Operation Delhi investigation. Mr 7 ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER CATHAL 14 position as it stood at the main inquiry hearing. 13 ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER CATHAL 15 The next topic is the HMIC report. The newly 16 MR SANTOS MR SANTOS	21	what decision to take because he saw how	21	
24 decision to retire on 5 June 2020. Mr McGrail also asserts that the new disclosure does not 24 ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER YEATS: I have, sir. 25 asserts that the new disclosure does not 25 have, sir. 26 reveal anything that we did not already know, 2 pointing to examples of messages that were 3 1 THE CHAIRMAN: Are you comfortable 2 there? 3 ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER YEATS: I 3 hearing which show that he was contemplating 5 IECHAIRMAN: Can we do anything to 6 help you? 5 THE CHAIRMAN: Can we do anything to 7 Delhi. The government parties submit that the 8 newly disclosed Whatsapp messages between 9 THE CHAIRMAN: Okay, but if there is 9 anything do mention it. You did not give 11 in the Operation Delhi investigation. Mr 11 evidence before so you had better take the 12 oath. 13 new disclosure does not alter the overall 14 position as it stood at the main inquiry hearing. 14 YEATS, sworm 14 additional insight into Mr McGrail's 17 AMoring, Mr Santos. 18 Q. Can I first of all take you to your 16 16 the government parties submit that the newly 16 disclosure shows the extent of Mr McGrail's 22	22	difficult his position as becoming and that he	22	THE CHAIRMAN: I see you have injured
25 also asserts that the new disclosure does not 25 have, sir. Page 13 Page 15 1 reveal anything that we did not already know, 2 pointing to examples of messages that were 3 available to the Inquiry at the main inquiry 4 hearing which show that he was contemplating 5 leaving his post even as early as 13 May 2020. 5 THE CHAIRMAN: Can we do anything to 6 the second topic to be explored is Operation 7 ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER YEATS: I 8 newly disclosed Whatsapp messages between 8 am fine as I am, sir. 9 Mr McGrail and his senior colleagues do not 9 THE CHAIRMAN: Okay, but if there is 10 contain any references to alleged interference 1 and fine as I am, sir. 11 McGrail submits on the other hand that the 1 ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER CATHAL 13 new disclosure does not alter the overall 13 ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER CATHAL 14 position as it stood at the main inquiry hearing. 15 Questioned by MR SANTOS 14 the findings of the HMIC report. The newly 16 MR SANTOS: Good morning, Mr Yeats. 17 additional insight	23	ultimately but progressively reached the	23	your leg, have you not?
Page 13Page 151reveal anything that we did not already know, 2 pointing to examples of messages that were available to the Inquiry at the main inquiry 4 hearing which show that he was contemplating 5 leaving his post even as early as 13 May 2020.1THE CHAIRMAN: Are you comfortable 2 there?3ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER YEATS: I a m, sir.5leaving his post even as early as 13 May 2020.5THE CHAIRMAN: Can we do anything to 6 help you?6The second topic to be explored is Operation 7Delhi. The government parties submit that the 8 newly disclosed Whatsapp messages between 95THE CHAIRMAN: Char we do anything to 6 help you?7Delhi. The government parties submit that the 8 newly disclosed Whatsapp messages between 10 contain any references to alleged interference 11 in the Operation Delhi investigation. Mr 12 McGrail submits on the other hand that the 13 new disclosure does not alter the overall 14 position as it stood at the main inquiry hearing. 15 The next topic is the HMIC report. The newly disclosed Whatsapp messages provide 16 additional insight into Mr McGrail's reaction 18 to the findings of the HMIC report and his efforts to address its recommendations. Again, 20 the government parties submit that the new disclosure shows the extent of Mr McGrail's 22 concern with criticism by HMIC, whereas Mr 23 McGrail submits that the newly disclosed exchanges do not alter the position, 23 concern with criticism by HMIC, whereas Mr 23 McGrail submits that the newly disclosed exchanges do not alter the position, 24 concern with criticism by HMIC, whereas Mr 25 matter the order and the contemporaneous </td <td>24</td> <td>decision to retire on 5 June 2020. Mr McGrail</td> <td>24</td> <td>ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER YEATS: I</td>	24	decision to retire on 5 June 2020. Mr McGrail	24	ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER YEATS: I
1reveal anything that we did not already know,1THE CHAIRMAN: Are you comfortable2pointing to examples of messages that were2there?3available to the Inquiry at the main inquiry3ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER YEATS: I4hearing which show that he was contemplating4am, sir.5leaving his post even as early as 13 May 2020.5THE CHAIRMAN: Can we do anything to6The second topic to be explored is Operation6help you?7Delhi. The government parties submit that the7ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER YEATS: I8newly disclosed Whatsapp messages between8am fine as I am, sir.9Mr McGrail and his senior colleagues do not9THE CHAIRMAN: Okay, but if there is10contain any references to alleged interference10anything do mention it. You did not give11in the Operation Delhi investigation. Mr1evidence before so you had better take the12McGrail submits on the other hand that the12oath.13new disclosure does not alter the overall13ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER CATHAL14position as it stood at the main inquiry hearing.14YEATS, sworn15The next topic is the HMIC report. The newly15McGrail submit that the newly16the government parties submit that the newly20Inquiry thus far, and as the Chairman says, you19efforts to address its recommendations. Again,19statements. I am not sure whether they have20con	25	also asserts that the new disclosure does not	25	have, sir.
1reveal anything that we did not already know,1THE CHAIRMAN: Are you comfortable2pointing to examples of messages that were2there?3available to the Inquiry at the main inquiry3ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER YEATS: I4hearing which show that he was contemplating4am, sir.5leaving his post even as early as 13 May 2020.5THE CHAIRMAN: Can we do anything to6The second topic to be explored is Operation6help you?7Delhi. The government parties submit that the7ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER YEATS: I8newly disclosed Whatsapp messages between8am fine as I am, sir.9Mr McGrail and his senior colleagues do not9THE CHAIRMAN: Okay, but if there is10contain any references to alleged interference10anything do mention it. You did not give11in the Operation Delhi investigation. Mr1evidence before so you had better take the12McGrail submits on the other hand that the12oath.13new disclosure does not alter the overall13ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER CATHAL14position as it stood at the main inquiry hearing.14YEATS, sworn15The next topic is the HMIC report. The newly15McGrail submit that the newly16the government parties submit that the newly20Inquiry thus far, and as the Chairman says, you19efforts to address its recommendations. Again,19statements. I am not sure whether they have20con				
 pointing to examples of messages that were available to the Inquiry at the main inquiry hearing which show that he was contemplating leaving his post even as early as 13 May 2020. The second topic to be explored is Operation Delhi. The government parties submit that the newly disclosed Whatsapp messages between McGrail submits on the other hand that the new disclosure does not alter the overall position as it stood at the main inquiry hearing. The next topic is the HMIC report. The newly disclosed Whatsapp messages provide to the findings of the HMIC report and his efforts to address its recommendations. Again, the government parties submit that the newly disclosure shows the extent of Mr McGrail's efforts to address its recommendations. Again, the government parties submit that the newly disclosure shows the extent of Mr McGrail's econcern with criticism by HMIC, whereas Mr McGrail submits that the newly disclosed exchanges do not alter the position, particularly given the contemporaneous to make a contemporaneous the contemporaneous <li< td=""><td></td><td>Page 13</td><td></td><td>Page 15</td></li<>		Page 13		Page 15
 pointing to examples of messages that were available to the Inquiry at the main inquiry hearing which show that he was contemplating leaving his post even as early as 13 May 2020. The second topic to be explored is Operation Delhi. The government parties submit that the newly disclosed Whatsapp messages between McGrail submits on the other hand that the new disclosure does not alter the overall position as it stood at the main inquiry hearing. The next topic is the HMIC report. The newly disclosed Whatsapp messages provide to the findings of the HMIC report and his efforts to address its recommendations. Again, the government parties submit that the newly disclosure shows the extent of Mr McGrail's efforts to address its recommendations. Again, the government parties submit that the newly disclosure shows the extent of Mr McGrail's econcern with criticism by HMIC, whereas Mr McGrail submits that the newly disclosed exchanges do not alter the position, particularly given the contemporaneous to make a contemporaneous the contemporaneous <li< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></li<>				
3available to the Inquiry at the main inquiry3ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER YEATS: I4hearing which show that he was contemplating5Iam, sir.5leaving his post even as early as 13 May 2020.5THE CHAIRMAN: Can we do anything to6The second topic to be explored is Operation6help you?7Delhi. The government parties submit that the7ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER YEATS: I8newly disclosed Whatsapp messages between9THE CHAIRMAN: Okay, but if there is9Mr McGrail and his senior colleagues do not9THE CHAIRMAN: Okay, but if there is10contain any references to alleged interference10anything do mention it. You did not give11in the Operation Delhi investigation. Mr11evidence before so you had better take the12McGrail submits on the other hand that the12oath.13ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER CATHAL14YEATS, sworn14position as it stood at the main inquiry hearing.15Questioned by MR SANTOS16disclosed Whatsapp messages provide16MR SANTOS: Good morning, Mr Yeats.17additional insight into Mr McGrail's reaction17A. Morning, Mr Santos.18to the findings of the HMIC report and his19statements, the ones that you have given to this19efforts to address its recommendations. Again,2011Inquiry thus far, and as the Chairman says, you20the government parties submit that the newly disclosed23statements. I am not sure	1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1	
 hearing which show that he was contemplating leaving his post even as early as 13 May 2020. The second topic to be explored is Operation Delhi. The government parties submit that the newly disclosed Whatsapp messages between Mr McGrail and his senior colleagues do not contain any references to alleged interference in the Operation Delhi investigation. Mr McGrail submits on the other hand that the new disclosure does not alter the overall position as it stood at the main inquiry hearing. The next topic is the HMIC report. The newly disclosed Whatsapp messages provide to the findings of the HMIC report and his efforts to address its recommendations. Again, the government parties submit that the newly disclosure shows the extent of Mr McGrail's concern with criticism by HMIC, whereas Mr McGrail submits that the newly disclosed exchanges do not alter the position, particularly given the contemporaneous disclosure the contemporaneous additional using that the newly disclosed addicing using that the newly disclosed addicing using that the newly disclosed acchanges do not alter the position, particularly given the contemporaneous additional using that the newly disclosed acchanges do not alter the position, particularly given the contemporaneous additional using that the newly disclosed acchanges do not alter the position, particularly given the contemporaneous account the contemporaneous actional disclosed the position, particularly given the contemporaneous actional disclosed the down and the newly disclosed actional disclosed whatsapp messages for the newly disclosed actional disclose disclose at the own and the newly disclosed actional disclose disclosed what t				-
5leaving his post even as early as 13 May 2020.5THE CHAIRMAN: Can we do anything to6The second topic to be explored is Operation6help you?7Delhi. The government parties submit that the7ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER YEATS: I8newly disclosed Whatsapp messages between8am fine as I am, sir.9Mr McGrail and his senior colleagues do not9THE CHAIRMAN: Okay, but if there is10contain any references to alleged interference10anything do mention it. You did not give11in the Operation Delhi investigation. Mr9THE CHAIRMAN: Okay, but if there is12McGrail submits on the other hand that the12oath.13new disclosure does not alter the overall13ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER CATHAL14position as it stood at the main inquiry hearing.14YEATS, sworn15The next topic is the HMIC report. The newly16MR SANTOS16disclosed Whatsapp messages provide17 A. Morning, Mr Santos. 17additional insight into Mr McGrail's reaction18Q. Can I first of all take you to your19efforts to address its recommendations. Again,19statements, the ones that you have given to this20isclosure shows the extent of Mr McGrail's21are yet to give evidence so I think the first step22concern with criticism by HMIC, whereas Mr223statements. I am not sure whether they have24exchanges do not alter the position,23statements. I am tod, yes	2	pointing to examples of messages that were	2	there?
6The second topic to be explored is Operation7Delhi. The government parties submit that the8newly disclosed Whatsapp messages between9Mr McGrail and his senior colleagues do not10contain any references to alleged interference11in the Operation Delhi investigation. Mr12McGrail submits on the other hand that the13new disclosure does not alter the overall14position as it stood at the main inquiry hearing.15The next topic is the HMIC report. The newly16disclosed Whatsapp messages provide17additional insight into Mr McGrail's reaction18to the findings of the HMIC report and his19efforts to address its recommendations. Again,20the government parties submit that the newly21disclosure shows the extent of Mr McGrail's22concern with criticism by HMIC, whereas Mr23McGrail submits that the newly disclosed24exchanges do not alter the position,25particularly given the contemporaneous	2 3	pointing to examples of messages that were available to the Inquiry at the main inquiry	2 3	there? ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER YEATS: I
7Delhi. The government parties submit that the newly disclosed Whatsapp messages between 97ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER YEATS: I am fine as I am, sir.9Mr McGrail and his senior colleagues do not 1007THE CHAIRMAN: Okay, but if there is anything do mention it. You did not give 1110contain any references to alleged interference 11109THE CHAIRMAN: Okay, but if there is anything do mention it. You did not give 1112McGrail submits on the other hand that the 13109THE CHAIRMAN: Okay, but if there is anything do mention it. You did not give 1114position as it stood at the main inquiry hearing. 	2 3 4	pointing to examples of messages that were available to the Inquiry at the main inquiry hearing which show that he was contemplating	2 3 4	there? ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER YEATS: I am, sir.
8newly disclosed Whatsap messages between8am fine as I am, sir.9Mr McGrail and his senior colleagues do not9THE CHAIRMAN: Okay, but if there is10contain any references to alleged interference10anything do mention it. You did not give11in the Operation Delhi investigation. Mr11evidence before so you had better take the12McGrail submits on the other hand that the12oath.13new disclosure does not alter the overall13ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER CATHAL14position as it stood at the main inquiry hearing.14YEATS, sworn15The next topic is the HMIC report. The newly15Questioned by MR SANTOS16disclosed Whatsapp messages provide16MR SANTOS: Good morning, Mr Yeats.17additional insight into Mr McGrail's reaction17A. Morning, Mr Santos.18to the findings of the HMIC report and his18Q. Can I first of all take you to your19efforts to address its recommendations. Again,19statements, the ones that you have given to this20the government parties submit that the new20Inquiry thus far, and as the Chairman says, you21disclosure shows the extent of Mr McGrail's21are yet to give evidence so I think the first step22concern with criticism by HMIC, whereas Mr22is to ask you to confirm the contents of those23McGrail submits that the newly disclosed23statements. I am not sure whether they have24exchanges do not alter t	2 3 4 5	pointing to examples of messages that were available to the Inquiry at the main inquiry hearing which show that he was contemplating leaving his post even as early as 13 May 2020.	2 3 4 5	there? ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER YEATS: I am, sir. THE CHAIRMAN: Can we do anything to
9Mr McGrail and his senior colleagues do not contain any references to alleged interference in the Operation Delhi investigation. Mr9THE CHAIRMAN: Okay, but if there is anything do mention it. You did not give evidence before so you had better take the oath.12McGrail submits on the other hand that the 1210anything do mention it. You did not give evidence before so you had better take the oath.13new disclosure does not alter the overall position as it stood at the main inquiry hearing. 1513ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER CATHAL14position as it stood at the main inquiry hearing. 1514YEATS, sworn15The next topic is the HMIC report. The newly disclosed Whatsapp messages provide additional insight into Mr McGrail's reaction 1916MR SANTOS: Good morning, Mr Yeats.17additional insight into Mr McGrail's reaction 1917A. Morning, Mr Santos.19efforts to address its recommendations. Again, 2019statements, the ones that you have given to this 1120concern with criticism by HMIC, whereas Mr 2221are yet to give evidence so I think the first step 2223McGrail submits that the newly disclosed exchanges do not alter the position, 2423statements. I am not sure whether they have 2424exchanges do not alter the position, 2525on screen I am told, yes. Excellent. For each	2 3 4 5 6	pointing to examples of messages that were available to the Inquiry at the main inquiry hearing which show that he was contemplating leaving his post even as early as 13 May 2020. The second topic to be explored is Operation	2 3 4 5 6	there? ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER YEATS: I am, sir. THE CHAIRMAN: Can we do anything to help you?
10contain any references to alleged interference10anything do mention it. You did not give11in the Operation Delhi investigation. Mr11evidence before so you had better take the12McGrail submits on the other hand that the12oath.13new disclosure does not alter the overall13ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER CATHAL14position as it stood at the main inquiry hearing.14YEATS, sworn15The next topic is the HMIC report. The newly15Questioned by MR SANTOS16disclosed Whatsapp messages provide16MR SANTOS: Good morning, Mr Yeats.17additional insight into Mr McGrail's reaction17A. Morning, Mr Santos.19efforts to address its recommendations. Again,19statements, the ones that you have given to this20the government parties submit that the new20Inquiry thus far, and as the Chairman says, you21disclosure shows the extent of Mr McGrail's21are yet to give evidence so I think the first step22concern with criticism by HMIC, whereas Mr22is to ask you to confirm the contents of those23McGrail submits that the newly disclosed23statements. I am not sure whether they have24exchanges do not alter the position,24been provided in a bundle. They are appearing25particularly given the contemporaneous25on screen I am told, yes. Excellent. For each	2 3 4 5 6 7	pointing to examples of messages that were available to the Inquiry at the main inquiry hearing which show that he was contemplating leaving his post even as early as 13 May 2020. The second topic to be explored is Operation Delhi. The government parties submit that the	2 3 4 5 6 7	there? ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER YEATS: I am, sir. THE CHAIRMAN: Can we do anything to help you? ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER YEATS: I
11in the Operation Delhi investigation. Mr11evidence before so you had better take the12McGrail submits on the other hand that the11evidence before so you had better take the13new disclosure does not alter the overall13ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER CATHAL14position as it stood at the main inquiry hearing.14YEATS, sworn15The next topic is the HMIC report. The newly15Questioned by MR SANTOS16disclosed Whatsapp messages provide16MR SANTOS: Good morning, Mr Yeats.17additional insight into Mr McGrail's reaction18Q. Can I first of all take you to your19efforts to address its recommendations. Again,19statements, the ones that you have given to this20the government parties submit that the new20Inquiry thus far, and as the Chairman says, you21disclosure shows the extent of Mr McGrail's21are yet to give evidence so I think the first step22concern with criticism by HMIC, whereas Mr22is to ask you to confirm the contents of those23McGrail submits that the newly disclosed23statements. I am not sure whether they have24exchanges do not alter the position,24been provided in a bundle. They are appearing25particularly given the contemporaneous25on screen I am told, yes. Excellent. For each	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	pointing to examples of messages that were available to the Inquiry at the main inquiry hearing which show that he was contemplating leaving his post even as early as 13 May 2020. The second topic to be explored is Operation Delhi. The government parties submit that the newly disclosed Whatsapp messages between	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	there? ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER YEATS: I am, sir. THE CHAIRMAN: Can we do anything to help you? ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER YEATS: I am fine as I am, sir.
12McGrail submits on the other hand that the12oath.13new disclosure does not alter the overall13ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER CATHAL14position as it stood at the main inquiry hearing.14YEATS, sworn15The next topic is the HMIC report. The newly16Questioned by MR SANTOS16disclosed Whatsapp messages provide16MR SANTOS: Good morning, Mr Yeats.17additional insight into Mr McGrail's reaction17A. Morning, Mr Santos.18to the findings of the HMIC report and his18Q. Can I first of all take you to your19efforts to address its recommendations. Again,19statements, the ones that you have given to this20the government parties submit that the new20Inquiry thus far, and as the Chairman says, you21disclosure shows the extent of Mr McGrail's21is to ask you to confirm the contents of those23McGrail submits that the newly disclosed23statements. I am not sure whether they have24exchanges do not alter the position,24been provided in a bundle. They are appearing25particularly given the contemporaneous25on screen I am told, yes. Excellent. For each	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	pointing to examples of messages that were available to the Inquiry at the main inquiry hearing which show that he was contemplating leaving his post even as early as 13 May 2020. The second topic to be explored is Operation Delhi. The government parties submit that the newly disclosed Whatsapp messages between Mr McGrail and his senior colleagues do not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	there? ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER YEATS: I am, sir. THE CHAIRMAN: Can we do anything to help you? ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER YEATS: I am fine as I am, sir. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay, but if there is
13new disclosure does not alter the overall13ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER CATHAL14position as it stood at the main inquiry hearing.13ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER CATHAL14yEATS, sworn14YEATS, sworn15The next topic is the HMIC report. The newly15Questioned by MR SANTOS16disclosed Whatsapp messages provide16MR SANTOS: Good morning, Mr Yeats.17additional insight into Mr McGrail's reaction17A. Morning, Mr Santos.18to the findings of the HMIC report and his18Q. Can I first of all take you to your19efforts to address its recommendations. Again,19statements, the ones that you have given to this20the government parties submit that the new20Inquiry thus far, and as the Chairman says, you21disclosure shows the extent of Mr McGrail's21are yet to give evidence so I think the first step22concern with criticism by HMIC, whereas Mr22is to ask you to confirm the contents of those23McGrail submits that the newly disclosed23statements. I am not sure whether they have24exchanges do not alter the position,24been provided in a bundle. They are appearing25particularly given the contemporaneous25on screen I am told, yes. Excellent. For each	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	pointing to examples of messages that were available to the Inquiry at the main inquiry hearing which show that he was contemplating leaving his post even as early as 13 May 2020. The second topic to be explored is Operation Delhi. The government parties submit that the newly disclosed Whatsapp messages between Mr McGrail and his senior colleagues do not contain any references to alleged interference	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	there? ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER YEATS: I am, sir. THE CHAIRMAN: Can we do anything to help you? ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER YEATS: I am fine as I am, sir. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay, but if there is anything do mention it. You did not give
14position as it stood at the main inquiry hearing.14YEATS, sworn15The next topic is the HMIC report. The newly15Questioned by MR SANTOS16disclosed Whatsapp messages provide16MR SANTOS: Good morning, Mr Yeats.17additional insight into Mr McGrail's reaction16MR SANTOS: Good morning, Mr Yeats.18to the findings of the HMIC report and his18Q. Can I first of all take you to your19efforts to address its recommendations. Again,19statements, the ones that you have given to this20the government parties submit that the new20Inquiry thus far, and as the Chairman says, you21disclosure shows the extent of Mr McGrail's21are yet to give evidence so I think the first step22concern with criticism by HMIC, whereas Mr22is to ask you to confirm the contents of those23McGrail submits that the newly disclosed23statements. I am not sure whether they have24exchanges do not alter the position,24been provided in a bundle. They are appearing25particularly given the contemporaneous25on screen I am told, yes. Excellent. For each	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	pointing to examples of messages that were available to the Inquiry at the main inquiry hearing which show that he was contemplating leaving his post even as early as 13 May 2020. The second topic to be explored is Operation Delhi. The government parties submit that the newly disclosed Whatsapp messages between Mr McGrail and his senior colleagues do not contain any references to alleged interference in the Operation Delhi investigation. Mr	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	there? ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER YEATS: I am, sir. THE CHAIRMAN: Can we do anything to help you? ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER YEATS: I am fine as I am, sir. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay, but if there is anything do mention it. You did not give
15The next topic is the HMIC report. The newly disclosed Whatsapp messages provide15Questioned by MR SANTOS16disclosed Whatsapp messages provide16MR SANTOS: Good morning, Mr Yeats.17additional insight into Mr McGrail's reaction16MR SANTOS: Good morning, Mr Yeats.18to the findings of the HMIC report and his18Q. Can I first of all take you to your19efforts to address its recommendations. Again,19statements, the ones that you have given to this20the government parties submit that the new20Inquiry thus far, and as the Chairman says, you21disclosure shows the extent of Mr McGrail's21are yet to give evidence so I think the first step22concern with criticism by HMIC, whereas Mr23is to ask you to confirm the contents of those23McGrail submits that the newly disclosed23statements. I am not sure whether they have24exchanges do not alter the position,24been provided in a bundle. They are appearing25particularly given the contemporaneous25on screen I am told, yes. Excellent. For each	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	pointing to examples of messages that were available to the Inquiry at the main inquiry hearing which show that he was contemplating leaving his post even as early as 13 May 2020. The second topic to be explored is Operation Delhi. The government parties submit that the newly disclosed Whatsapp messages between Mr McGrail and his senior colleagues do not contain any references to alleged interference in the Operation Delhi investigation. Mr McGrail submits on the other hand that the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	there? ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER YEATS: I am, sir. THE CHAIRMAN: Can we do anything to help you? ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER YEATS: I am fine as I am, sir. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay, but if there is anything do mention it. You did not give evidence before so you had better take the oath.
16disclosed Whatsapp messages provide16MR SANTOS: Good morning, Mr Yeats.17additional insight into Mr McGrail's reaction16MR SANTOS: Good morning, Mr Yeats.18to the findings of the HMIC report and his17A. Morning, Mr Santos.19efforts to address its recommendations. Again,18Q. Can I first of all take you to your20the government parties submit that the new20Inquiry thus far, and as the Chairman says, you21disclosure shows the extent of Mr McGrail's21are yet to give evidence so I think the first step22concern with criticism by HMIC, whereas Mr22is to ask you to confirm the contents of those23McGrail submits that the newly disclosed23statements. I am not sure whether they have24exchanges do not alter the position,24been provided in a bundle. They are appearing25particularly given the contemporaneous25on screen I am told, yes. Excellent. For each	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	pointing to examples of messages that were available to the Inquiry at the main inquiry hearing which show that he was contemplating leaving his post even as early as 13 May 2020. The second topic to be explored is Operation Delhi. The government parties submit that the newly disclosed Whatsapp messages between Mr McGrail and his senior colleagues do not contain any references to alleged interference in the Operation Delhi investigation. Mr McGrail submits on the other hand that the new disclosure does not alter the overall	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	there? ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER YEATS: I am, sir. THE CHAIRMAN: Can we do anything to help you? ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER YEATS: I am fine as I am, sir. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay, but if there is anything do mention it. You did not give evidence before so you had better take the oath. ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER CATHAL
17additional insight into Mr McGrail's reaction17A. Morning, Mr Santos.18to the findings of the HMIC report and his18Q. Can I first of all take you to your19efforts to address its recommendations. Again,19statements, the ones that you have given to this20the government parties submit that the new20Inquiry thus far, and as the Chairman says, you21disclosure shows the extent of Mr McGrail's21are yet to give evidence so I think the first step22concern with criticism by HMIC, whereas Mr22is to ask you to confirm the contents of those23McGrail submits that the newly disclosed23statements. I am not sure whether they have24exchanges do not alter the position,24been provided in a bundle. They are appearing25particularly given the contemporaneous25on screen I am told, yes. Excellent. For each	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	pointing to examples of messages that were available to the Inquiry at the main inquiry hearing which show that he was contemplating leaving his post even as early as 13 May 2020. The second topic to be explored is Operation Delhi. The government parties submit that the newly disclosed Whatsapp messages between Mr McGrail and his senior colleagues do not contain any references to alleged interference in the Operation Delhi investigation. Mr McGrail submits on the other hand that the new disclosure does not alter the overall position as it stood at the main inquiry hearing.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	there? ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER YEATS: I am, sir. THE CHAIRMAN: Can we do anything to help you? ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER YEATS: I am fine as I am, sir. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay, but if there is anything do mention it. You did not give evidence before so you had better take the oath. ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER CATHAL YEATS, sworn
18to the findings of the HMIC report and his18Q. Can I first of all take you to your19efforts to address its recommendations. Again,19statements, the ones that you have given to this20the government parties submit that the new20Inquiry thus far, and as the Chairman says, you21disclosure shows the extent of Mr McGrail's21are yet to give evidence so I think the first step22concern with criticism by HMIC, whereas Mr22is to ask you to confirm the contents of those23McGrail submits that the newly disclosed23statements. I am not sure whether they have24exchanges do not alter the position,24been provided in a bundle. They are appearing25particularly given the contemporaneous25on screen I am told, yes. Excellent. For each	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	pointing to examples of messages that were available to the Inquiry at the main inquiry hearing which show that he was contemplating leaving his post even as early as 13 May 2020. The second topic to be explored is Operation Delhi. The government parties submit that the newly disclosed Whatsapp messages between Mr McGrail and his senior colleagues do not contain any references to alleged interference in the Operation Delhi investigation. Mr McGrail submits on the other hand that the new disclosure does not alter the overall position as it stood at the main inquiry hearing. The next topic is the HMIC report. The newly	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	there? ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER YEATS: I am, sir. THE CHAIRMAN: Can we do anything to help you? ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER YEATS: I am fine as I am, sir. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay, but if there is anything do mention it. You did not give evidence before so you had better take the oath. ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER CATHAL YEATS, sworn Questioned by MR SANTOS
19efforts to address its recommendations. Again, the government parties submit that the new disclosure shows the extent of Mr McGrail's concern with criticism by HMIC, whereas Mr McGrail submits that the newly disclosed exchanges do not alter the position, particularly given the contemporaneous19statements, the ones that you have given to this Inquiry thus far, and as the Chairman says, you are yet to give evidence so I think the first step is to ask you to confirm the contents of those statements. I am not sure whether they have been provided in a bundle. They are appearing on screen I am told, yes. Excellent. For each	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	pointing to examples of messages that were available to the Inquiry at the main inquiry hearing which show that he was contemplating leaving his post even as early as 13 May 2020. The second topic to be explored is Operation Delhi. The government parties submit that the newly disclosed Whatsapp messages between Mr McGrail and his senior colleagues do not contain any references to alleged interference in the Operation Delhi investigation. Mr McGrail submits on the other hand that the new disclosure does not alter the overall position as it stood at the main inquiry hearing. The next topic is the HMIC report. The newly	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	there? ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER YEATS: I am, sir. THE CHAIRMAN: Can we do anything to help you? ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER YEATS: I am fine as I am, sir. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay, but if there is anything do mention it. You did not give evidence before so you had better take the oath. ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER CATHAL YEATS, sworn Questioned by MR SANTOS
20the government parties submit that the new disclosure shows the extent of Mr McGrail's concern with criticism by HMIC, whereas Mr McGrail submits that the newly disclosed exchanges do not alter the position, 2520Inquiry thus far, and as the Chairman says, you are yet to give evidence so I think the first step is to ask you to confirm the contents of those statements. I am not sure whether they have been provided in a bundle. They are appearing on screen I am told, yes. Excellent. For each	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	pointing to examples of messages that were available to the Inquiry at the main inquiry hearing which show that he was contemplating leaving his post even as early as 13 May 2020. The second topic to be explored is Operation Delhi. The government parties submit that the newly disclosed Whatsapp messages between Mr McGrail and his senior colleagues do not contain any references to alleged interference in the Operation Delhi investigation. Mr McGrail submits on the other hand that the new disclosure does not alter the overall position as it stood at the main inquiry hearing. The next topic is the HMIC report. The newly disclosed Whatsapp messages provide additional insight into Mr McGrail's reaction	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	there? ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER YEATS: I am, sir. THE CHAIRMAN: Can we do anything to help you? ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER YEATS: I am fine as I am, sir. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay, but if there is anything do mention it. You did not give evidence before so you had better take the oath. ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER CATHAL YEATS, sworn Questioned by MR SANTOS MR SANTOS: Good morning, Mr Yeats. A. Morning, Mr Santos.
21disclosure shows the extent of Mr McGrail's concern with criticism by HMIC, whereas Mr 2321are yet to give evidence so I think the first step is to ask you to confirm the contents of those 2323McGrail submits that the newly disclosed exchanges do not alter the position, particularly given the contemporaneous21are yet to give evidence so I think the first step is to ask you to confirm the contents of those statements. I am not sure whether they have been provided in a bundle. They are appearing on screen I am told, yes. Excellent. For each	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	pointing to examples of messages that were available to the Inquiry at the main inquiry hearing which show that he was contemplating leaving his post even as early as 13 May 2020. The second topic to be explored is Operation Delhi. The government parties submit that the newly disclosed Whatsapp messages between Mr McGrail and his senior colleagues do not contain any references to alleged interference in the Operation Delhi investigation. Mr McGrail submits on the other hand that the new disclosure does not alter the overall position as it stood at the main inquiry hearing. The next topic is the HMIC report. The newly disclosed Whatsapp messages provide additional insight into Mr McGrail's reaction	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	there? ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER YEATS: I am, sir. THE CHAIRMAN: Can we do anything to help you? ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER YEATS: I am fine as I am, sir. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay, but if there is anything do mention it. You did not give evidence before so you had better take the oath. ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER CATHAL YEATS, sworn Questioned by MR SANTOS MR SANTOS: Good morning, Mr Yeats. A. Morning, Mr Santos.
 concern with criticism by HMIC, whereas Mr McGrail submits that the newly disclosed exchanges do not alter the position, particularly given the contemporaneous is to ask you to confirm the contents of those statements. I am not sure whether they have been provided in a bundle. They are appearing on screen I am told, yes. Excellent. For each 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	pointing to examples of messages that were available to the Inquiry at the main inquiry hearing which show that he was contemplating leaving his post even as early as 13 May 2020. The second topic to be explored is Operation Delhi. The government parties submit that the newly disclosed Whatsapp messages between Mr McGrail and his senior colleagues do not contain any references to alleged interference in the Operation Delhi investigation. Mr McGrail submits on the other hand that the new disclosure does not alter the overall position as it stood at the main inquiry hearing. The next topic is the HMIC report. The newly disclosed Whatsapp messages provide additional insight into Mr McGrail's reaction to the findings of the HMIC report and his efforts to address its recommendations. Again,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 there? ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER YEATS: I am, sir. THE CHAIRMAN: Can we do anything to help you? ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER YEATS: I am fine as I am, sir. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay, but if there is anything do mention it. You did not give evidence before so you had better take the oath. ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER CATHAL YEATS, sworn Questioned by MR SANTOS MR SANTOS: Good morning, Mr Yeats. A. Morning, Mr Santos. Q. Can I first of all take you to your statements, the ones that you have given to this
23McGrail submits that the newly disclosed exchanges do not alter the position, particularly given the contemporaneous23statements. I am not sure whether they have been provided in a bundle. They are appearing on screen I am told, yes. Excellent. For each	$ \begin{array}{r} 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ 12 \\ 13 \\ 14 \\ 15 \\ 16 \\ 17 \\ 18 \\ 19 \\ \end{array} $	pointing to examples of messages that were available to the Inquiry at the main inquiry hearing which show that he was contemplating leaving his post even as early as 13 May 2020. The second topic to be explored is Operation Delhi. The government parties submit that the newly disclosed Whatsapp messages between Mr McGrail and his senior colleagues do not contain any references to alleged interference in the Operation Delhi investigation. Mr McGrail submits on the other hand that the new disclosure does not alter the overall position as it stood at the main inquiry hearing. The next topic is the HMIC report. The newly disclosed Whatsapp messages provide additional insight into Mr McGrail's reaction to the findings of the HMIC report and his efforts to address its recommendations. Again,	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ \end{array} $	 there? ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER YEATS: I am, sir. THE CHAIRMAN: Can we do anything to help you? ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER YEATS: I am fine as I am, sir. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay, but if there is anything do mention it. You did not give evidence before so you had better take the oath. ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER CATHAL YEATS, sworn Questioned by MR SANTOS MR SANTOS: Good morning, Mr Yeats. A. Morning, Mr Santos. Q. Can I first of all take you to your statements, the ones that you have given to this
24exchanges do not alter the position, particularly given the contemporaneous24been provided in a bundle. They are appearing on screen I am told, yes. Excellent. For each	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ \end{array} $	pointing to examples of messages that were available to the Inquiry at the main inquiry hearing which show that he was contemplating leaving his post even as early as 13 May 2020. The second topic to be explored is Operation Delhi. The government parties submit that the newly disclosed Whatsapp messages between Mr McGrail and his senior colleagues do not contain any references to alleged interference in the Operation Delhi investigation. Mr McGrail submits on the other hand that the new disclosure does not alter the overall position as it stood at the main inquiry hearing. The next topic is the HMIC report. The newly disclosed Whatsapp messages provide additional insight into Mr McGrail's reaction to the findings of the HMIC report and his efforts to address its recommendations. Again, the government parties submit that the new	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ \end{array} $	 there? ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER YEATS: I am, sir. THE CHAIRMAN: Can we do anything to help you? ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER YEATS: I am fine as I am, sir. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay, but if there is anything do mention it. You did not give evidence before so you had better take the oath. ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER CATHAL YEATS, sworn Questioned by MR SANTOS MR SANTOS: Good morning, Mr Yeats. A. Morning, Mr Santos. Q. Can I first of all take you to your statements, the ones that you have given to this Inquiry thus far, and as the Chairman says, you
25particularly given the contemporaneous25on screen I am told, yes. Excellent. For each	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ \end{array}$	pointing to examples of messages that were available to the Inquiry at the main inquiry hearing which show that he was contemplating leaving his post even as early as 13 May 2020. The second topic to be explored is Operation Delhi. The government parties submit that the newly disclosed Whatsapp messages between Mr McGrail and his senior colleagues do not contain any references to alleged interference in the Operation Delhi investigation. Mr McGrail submits on the other hand that the new disclosure does not alter the overall position as it stood at the main inquiry hearing. The next topic is the HMIC report. The newly disclosed Whatsapp messages provide additional insight into Mr McGrail's reaction to the findings of the HMIC report and his efforts to address its recommendations. Again, the government parties submit that the new disclosure shows the extent of Mr McGrail's	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\end{array} $	 there? ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER YEATS: I am, sir. THE CHAIRMAN: Can we do anything to help you? ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER YEATS: I am fine as I am, sir. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay, but if there is anything do mention it. You did not give evidence before so you had better take the oath. ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER CATHAL YEATS, sworn Questioned by MR SANTOS MR SANTOS: Good morning, Mr Yeats. A. Morning, Mr Santos. Q. Can I first of all take you to your statements, the ones that you have given to this Inquiry thus far, and as the Chairman says, you are yet to give evidence so I think the first step
25particularly given the contemporaneous25on screen I am told, yes. Excellent. For each	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ \end{array}$	pointing to examples of messages that were available to the Inquiry at the main inquiry hearing which show that he was contemplating leaving his post even as early as 13 May 2020. The second topic to be explored is Operation Delhi. The government parties submit that the newly disclosed Whatsapp messages between Mr McGrail and his senior colleagues do not contain any references to alleged interference in the Operation Delhi investigation. Mr McGrail submits on the other hand that the new disclosure does not alter the overall position as it stood at the main inquiry hearing. The next topic is the HMIC report. The newly disclosed Whatsapp messages provide additional insight into Mr McGrail's reaction to the findings of the HMIC report and his efforts to address its recommendations. Again, the government parties submit that the new disclosure shows the extent of Mr McGrail's concern with criticism by HMIC, whereas Mr	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ \end{array}$	 there? ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER YEATS: I am, sir. THE CHAIRMAN: Can we do anything to help you? ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER YEATS: I am fine as I am, sir. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay, but if there is anything do mention it. You did not give evidence before so you had better take the oath. ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER CATHAL YEATS, sworn Questioned by MR SANTOS MR SANTOS: Good morning, Mr Yeats. A. Morning, Mr Santos. Q. Can I first of all take you to your statements, the ones that you have given to this Inquiry thus far, and as the Chairman says, you are yet to give evidence so I think the first step is to ask you to confirm the contents of those
	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ \end{array}$	pointing to examples of messages that were available to the Inquiry at the main inquiry hearing which show that he was contemplating leaving his post even as early as 13 May 2020. The second topic to be explored is Operation Delhi. The government parties submit that the newly disclosed Whatsapp messages between Mr McGrail and his senior colleagues do not contain any references to alleged interference in the Operation Delhi investigation. Mr McGrail submits on the other hand that the new disclosure does not alter the overall position as it stood at the main inquiry hearing. The next topic is the HMIC report. The newly disclosed Whatsapp messages provide additional insight into Mr McGrail's reaction to the findings of the HMIC report and his efforts to address its recommendations. Again, the government parties submit that the new disclosure shows the extent of Mr McGrail's concern with criticism by HMIC, whereas Mr McGrail submits that the newly disclosed	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ \end{array}$	 there? ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER YEATS: I am, sir. THE CHAIRMAN: Can we do anything to help you? ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER YEATS: I am fine as I am, sir. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay, but if there is anything do mention it. You did not give evidence before so you had better take the oath. ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER CATHAL YEATS, sworn Questioned by MR SANTOS MR SANTOS: Good morning, Mr Yeats. A. Morning, Mr Santos. Q. Can I first of all take you to your statements, the ones that you have given to this Inquiry thus far, and as the Chairman says, you are yet to give evidence so I think the first step is to ask you to confirm the contents of those statements. I am not sure whether they have
Page 14 Page 16	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\\ \end{array}$	pointing to examples of messages that were available to the Inquiry at the main inquiry hearing which show that he was contemplating leaving his post even as early as 13 May 2020. The second topic to be explored is Operation Delhi. The government parties submit that the newly disclosed Whatsapp messages between Mr McGrail and his senior colleagues do not contain any references to alleged interference in the Operation Delhi investigation. Mr McGrail submits on the other hand that the new disclosure does not alter the overall position as it stood at the main inquiry hearing. The next topic is the HMIC report. The newly disclosed Whatsapp messages provide additional insight into Mr McGrail's reaction to the findings of the HMIC report and his efforts to address its recommendations. Again, the government parties submit that the new disclosure shows the extent of Mr McGrail's concern with criticism by HMIC, whereas Mr McGrail submits that the newly disclosed exchanges do not alter the position,	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\\ \end{array}$	 there? ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER YEATS: I am, sir. THE CHAIRMAN: Can we do anything to help you? ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER YEATS: I am fine as I am, sir. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay, but if there is anything do mention it. You did not give evidence before so you had better take the oath. ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER CATHAL YEATS, sworn Questioned by MR SANTOS MR SANTOS: Good morning, Mr Yeats. A. Morning, Mr Santos. Q. Can I first of all take you to your statements, the ones that you have given to this Inquiry thus far, and as the Chairman says, you are yet to give evidence so I think the first step is to ask you to confirm the contents of those statements. I am not sure whether they have been provided in a bundle. They are appearing
	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\\ \end{array}$	pointing to examples of messages that were available to the Inquiry at the main inquiry hearing which show that he was contemplating leaving his post even as early as 13 May 2020. The second topic to be explored is Operation Delhi. The government parties submit that the newly disclosed Whatsapp messages between Mr McGrail and his senior colleagues do not contain any references to alleged interference in the Operation Delhi investigation. Mr McGrail submits on the other hand that the new disclosure does not alter the overall position as it stood at the main inquiry hearing. The next topic is the HMIC report. The newly disclosed Whatsapp messages provide additional insight into Mr McGrail's reaction to the findings of the HMIC report and his efforts to address its recommendations. Again, the government parties submit that the new disclosure shows the extent of Mr McGrail's concern with criticism by HMIC, whereas Mr McGrail submits that the newly disclosed exchanges do not alter the position, particularly given the contemporaneous	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\\ \end{array}$	 there? ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER YEATS: I am, sir. THE CHAIRMAN: Can we do anything to help you? ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER YEATS: I am fine as I am, sir. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay, but if there is anything do mention it. You did not give evidence before so you had better take the oath. ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER CATHAL YEATS, sworn Questioned by MR SANTOS MR SANTOS: Good morning, Mr Yeats. A. Morning, Mr Santos. Q. Can I first of all take you to your statements, the ones that you have given to this Inquiry thus far, and as the Chairman says, you are yet to give evidence so I think the first step is to ask you to confirm the contents of those statements. I am not sure whether they have been provided in a bundle. They are appearing on screen I am told, yes. Excellent. For each

4 (Pages 13 to 16)

1 1 of these statements, and we can take them counsel in September 2022 we developed and 2 2 together but you will be shown each one and thought through a disclosure process with the 3 3 the signature page on each one, I would ask former STI. At that point it naturally fell to 4 4 me to lead that process, although on reflection you to please confirm that it is your signature 5 on the final page, that it is your statements to 5 I am not sure, until the Inquiry pointed out that 6 this Inquiry and finally that you confirm that 6 I had taken the lead, that it was ever formally 7 7 described in that way but, as I say, that is what they are true to the best of your knowledge, 8 8 information and belief, please. naturally occurred, and more myself and Mr 9 9 Wyan, but certainly I was the senior officer, A. Sorry, you are going to show me all of 10 10 them together or do you want me to go directed the disclosure and the appointment of 11 11 the disclosure team at that time to provide the through -12 12 Q. I think you will be shown one by one ... Inquiry with, in our view, the full and 13 A. Right, so ... 13 comprehensive disclosure that we have 14 14 provided. Q. You need not say it for every single one. I Q. Based on your fourth and fifth witness 15 15 think once you have been shown every single 16 16 one you can just confirm that, please. statements, the Chairman stated in his ruling to 17 17 reconvene the Inquiry that you supervised the A. Thank you. (Pause) Sorry, that's Mr 18 Ullger's statements on screen. 18 disclosure process. Would you accept that as 19 19 Q. Yes, sorry, we will just get your fifth an accurate description? 20 20 A. Yes, I suppose so, to the extent that statement up. That is your sixth one, and then 21 we will show you your fifth one. (Pause) That 21 decisions or discussions between our internal 22 22 RGP team and with Mr Wyan in particular is your fifth one. (Pause) Can I ask you to 23 23 confirm that those are your signatures and that were referred to me for a decision, and in 24 24 conversation with counsel that is what we then the contents of those statements are true to the 25 25 best of your knowledge, information and followed through - or not, as the case would Page 17 Page 19 1 belief. 1 have been. 2 A. I can confirm that those are my signatures 2 Q. It probably goes without saying but just to 3 3 and I can confirm that the information is the be clear, that does not mean that you carried 4 truth to the best of my knowledge and belief. 4 out the forensic tests that have been carried out 5 5 Q. Thank you. Mr Yeats, as you have not - I yourself. 6 am just experiencing a bit of feedback. I do 6 A. Absolutely not. That should be made very 7 7 not know whether it is your mic or mine but ... clear. 8 Maybe it is mine. I will just move mine away. 8 Q. As part of your role in supervising the 9 I think that is better. Mr Yeats, as you did not 9 process did you instruct or encourage other 10 give oral evidence at the main Inquiry hearing 10 officers to review their Whatsapp messages? 11 can I please ask you to state your current rank 11 A. So again I can't remember that being a 12 at the RGP. 12 consideration in November 2022 to the extent 13 A. So I am the Assistant Commissioner of 13 that I directed - and this would have really 14 Police. 14 been Mr Wyan and Ullger, there was nobody 15 Q. What rank did you hold in May/June 2020, 15 else that I can recall at that stage in 16 please? 16 conversation that would have been captured by 17 A. I was a Superintendent in May and June of 17 that category. As I say, I don't recall doing 18 2020. 18 that specifically, I think what happened was, 19 Q. A key purpose of this hearing, as I just 19 almost as a matter of evolution, we were asked 20 explained, is to examine the disclosure 20 for our evidence in the form of witness 21 provided by the RGP to the Inquiry. What role 21 statements and I think it is worth explaining 22 did you play in that disclosure process? 22 slightly that we sort of saw this in two 23 A. So following the requests for disclosure 23 different ways: one was providing disclosure 24 and evidence from the Inquiry, with the 24 with regard to our own evidence to support our 25 Commissioner Mr Wyan and our appointed 25 own witness statements, which we did

Page 18

5 (Pages 17 to 20)

Page 20

1	obviously; and then the secondary process of	1	involved in supervising an investigation. So as
2	assisting the Inquiry with RGP material and	2	I say, the layout of the building also is
3	documentation that we had and we could	3	conducive to frequent communication.
4	disclose. So I think, thinking back on it now,	4	Without describing people's bathroom habits
5	that it was almost a natural - we prepared our	5	but, you know, the corridor leading from the
6	own statements, we looked at our own	6	Commissioner's office, the Assistant
7	disclosure, so there wasn't a direction: let's	7	Commissioner's office to the bathroom leads
8	check Whatsapps and let's disclose what we	8	past my office, Mr Richardson's office, which
9	have. It was more we each looked at the	9	was the second/third one along - Mr Tunbridge
10	material that we thought was relevant in the	10	was the first one - so it was common and
11	context of the requests made by the Inquiry	11	frequent for Mr McGrail, Mr Richardson, Mr
12	and we prepared our statements in that regard.	12	Ullger to walk past numerous times a day and
13	Q. You do accept though that the requests that	13	pop in and say whatever and walk on. So I
14	were sent to the RGP did specifically refer to	14	think there were ample opportunities for
15	disclosure of relevant Whatsapp messages.	15	communication. Of course then there are the
16	A. That's correct, and relevance I think being	16	more formal methods of communication that
17	the key point.	17	we would use, and primarily that is email,
18	Q. What are the methods that senior RGP	18	whether the email itself contains the
19	officers use to communicate about and discuss	19	communication, in other words the content of
20	cases?	20	what wants to be conveyed, or as attaching
21	A. So I think, with respect, there has been an	21	documents, and that would be what I would
22	accelerated importance given to Whatsapp	22	suggest would most commonly be used in
23	messages in that context. I think the first point	23	terms of communicating formal investigative
24	is that we are all in the same building	24	steps or (inaudible).
25	essentially, so there are ample opportunities	25	Q. If we can go now to E920, please. This is
	Page 21		Page 23
1	throughout the course of any day to	1	a Royal Gibraltar Police Force order dated 18
2	communicate, whether in personally or in a	2	July 2019 - it is a set of orders. If we go over
2 3	communicate, whether in personally or in a more formal briefing or even through	2 3	July 2019 - it is a set of orders. If we go over the page, there is a heading:
2 3 4	communicate, whether in personally or in a more formal briefing or even through landlines, phones that we have at our disposal.	2 3 4	July 2019 - it is a set of orders. If we go over the page, there is a heading: "2. Use of personal devices for work
2 3 4 5	communicate, whether in personally or in a more formal briefing or even through landlines, phones that we have at our disposal. The second point is that it is uncommon in my	2 3 4 5	July 2019 - it is a set of orders. If we go over the page, there is a heading: "2. Use of personal devices for work purposes."
2 3 4 5 6	communicate, whether in personally or in a more formal briefing or even through landlines, phones that we have at our disposal. The second point is that it is uncommon in my experience, and has been for the last four or	2 3 4 5 6	July 2019 - it is a set of orders. If we go over the page, there is a heading: "2. Use of personal devices for work purposes." I just want to pick out a few passages from
2 3 4 5 6 7	communicate, whether in personally or in a more formal briefing or even through landlines, phones that we have at our disposal. The second point is that it is uncommon in my experience, and has been for the last four or five years, for senior officers to be involved in	2 3 4 5 6 7	July 2019 - it is a set of orders. If we go over the page, there is a heading:"2. Use of personal devices for work purposes."I just want to pick out a few passages from this. The first two lines read:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	communicate, whether in personally or in a more formal briefing or even through landlines, phones that we have at our disposal. The second point is that it is uncommon in my experience, and has been for the last four or five years, for senior officers to be involved in investigations in the way that perhaps has been	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 July 2019 - it is a set of orders. If we go over the page, there is a heading: "2. Use of personal devices for work purposes." I just want to pick out a few passages from this. The first two lines read: "The Commissioner and the Command Team
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	communicate, whether in personally or in a more formal briefing or even through landlines, phones that we have at our disposal. The second point is that it is uncommon in my experience, and has been for the last four or five years, for senior officers to be involved in investigations in the way that perhaps has been described in the context of this inquiry. So I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 July 2019 - it is a set of orders. If we go over the page, there is a heading: "2. Use of personal devices for work purposes." I just want to pick out a few passages from this. The first two lines read: "The Commissioner and the Command Team have for some time now been debating the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	communicate, whether in personally or in a more formal briefing or even through landlines, phones that we have at our disposal. The second point is that it is uncommon in my experience, and has been for the last four or five years, for senior officers to be involved in investigations in the way that perhaps has been described in the context of this inquiry. So I certainly have not been involved in any	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 July 2019 - it is a set of orders. If we go over the page, there is a heading: "2. Use of personal devices for work purposes." I just want to pick out a few passages from this. The first two lines read: "The Commissioner and the Command Team have for some time now been debating the appropriateness of continuing to allow the use
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	communicate, whether in personally or in a more formal briefing or even through landlines, phones that we have at our disposal. The second point is that it is uncommon in my experience, and has been for the last four or five years, for senior officers to be involved in investigations in the way that perhaps has been described in the context of this inquiry. So I certainly have not been involved in any investigation at all in my time as Assistant	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 July 2019 - it is a set of orders. If we go over the page, there is a heading: "2. Use of personal devices for work purposes." I just want to pick out a few passages from this. The first two lines read: "The Commissioner and the Command Team have for some time now been debating the appropriateness of continuing to allow the use of personal mobile devices for work
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	communicate, whether in personally or in a more formal briefing or even through landlines, phones that we have at our disposal. The second point is that it is uncommon in my experience, and has been for the last four or five years, for senior officers to be involved in investigations in the way that perhaps has been described in the context of this inquiry. So I certainly have not been involved in any investigation at all in my time as Assistant Commissioner in the sense of directing that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 July 2019 - it is a set of orders. If we go over the page, there is a heading: "2. Use of personal devices for work purposes." I just want to pick out a few passages from this. The first two lines read: "The Commissioner and the Command Team have for some time now been debating the appropriateness of continuing to allow the use of personal mobile devices for work purposes."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	communicate, whether in personally or in a more formal briefing or even through landlines, phones that we have at our disposal. The second point is that it is uncommon in my experience, and has been for the last four or five years, for senior officers to be involved in investigations in the way that perhaps has been described in the context of this inquiry. So I certainly have not been involved in any investigation at all in my time as Assistant Commissioner in the sense of directing that investigation or being an investigating officer.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 July 2019 - it is a set of orders. If we go over the page, there is a heading: "2. Use of personal devices for work purposes." I just want to pick out a few passages from this. The first two lines read: "The Commissioner and the Command Team have for some time now been debating the appropriateness of continuing to allow the use of personal mobile devices for work purposes." Then if we go down another six or seven lines,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	communicate, whether in personally or in a more formal briefing or even through landlines, phones that we have at our disposal. The second point is that it is uncommon in my experience, and has been for the last four or five years, for senior officers to be involved in investigations in the way that perhaps has been described in the context of this inquiry. So I certainly have not been involved in any investigation at all in my time as Assistant Commissioner in the sense of directing that investigation or being an investigating officer. I have of course been briefed on ongoing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	July 2019 - it is a set of orders. If we go over the page, there is a heading: "2. Use of personal devices for work purposes." I just want to pick out a few passages from this. The first two lines read: "The Commissioner and the Command Team have for some time now been debating the appropriateness of continuing to allow the use of personal mobile devices for work purposes." Then if we go down another six or seven lines, just halfway down that paragraph there is a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	communicate, whether in personally or in a more formal briefing or even through landlines, phones that we have at our disposal. The second point is that it is uncommon in my experience, and has been for the last four or five years, for senior officers to be involved in investigations in the way that perhaps has been described in the context of this inquiry. So I certainly have not been involved in any investigation at all in my time as Assistant Commissioner in the sense of directing that investigation or being an investigating officer. I have of course been briefed on ongoing investigations, I have been updated, there has	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	July 2019 - it is a set of orders. If we go over the page, there is a heading: "2. Use of personal devices for work purposes." I just want to pick out a few passages from this. The first two lines read: "The Commissioner and the Command Team have for some time now been debating the appropriateness of continuing to allow the use of personal mobile devices for work purposes." Then if we go down another six or seven lines, just halfway down that paragraph there is a sentence that says:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	communicate, whether in personally or in a more formal briefing or even through landlines, phones that we have at our disposal. The second point is that it is uncommon in my experience, and has been for the last four or five years, for senior officers to be involved in investigations in the way that perhaps has been described in the context of this inquiry. So I certainly have not been involved in any investigation at all in my time as Assistant Commissioner in the sense of directing that investigation or being an investigating officer. I have of course been briefed on ongoing investigations, I have been updated, there has been consequence management: this is going	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	July 2019 - it is a set of orders. If we go over the page, there is a heading: "2. Use of personal devices for work purposes." I just want to pick out a few passages from this. The first two lines read: "The Commissioner and the Command Team have for some time now been debating the appropriateness of continuing to allow the use of personal mobile devices for work purposes." Then if we go down another six or seven lines, just halfway down that paragraph there is a sentence that says: "Unfortunately recent events have led the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	communicate, whether in personally or in a more formal briefing or even through landlines, phones that we have at our disposal. The second point is that it is uncommon in my experience, and has been for the last four or five years, for senior officers to be involved in investigations in the way that perhaps has been described in the context of this inquiry. So I certainly have not been involved in any investigation at all in my time as Assistant Commissioner in the sense of directing that investigation or being an investigating officer. I have of course been briefed on ongoing investigations, I have been updated, there has been consequence management: this is going to happen, you need to know about it as a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	July 2019 - it is a set of orders. If we go over the page, there is a heading: "2. Use of personal devices for work purposes." I just want to pick out a few passages from this. The first two lines read: "The Commissioner and the Command Team have for some time now been debating the appropriateness of continuing to allow the use of personal mobile devices for work purposes." Then if we go down another six or seven lines, just halfway down that paragraph there is a sentence that says: "Unfortunately recent events have led the Commissioner to conclude that the use of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	communicate, whether in personally or in a more formal briefing or even through landlines, phones that we have at our disposal. The second point is that it is uncommon in my experience, and has been for the last four or five years, for senior officers to be involved in investigations in the way that perhaps has been described in the context of this inquiry. So I certainly have not been involved in any investigation at all in my time as Assistant Commissioner in the sense of directing that investigation or being an investigating officer. I have of course been briefed on ongoing investigations, I have been updated, there has been consequence management: this is going to happen, you need to know about it as a senior officer. So there isn't a: "We are going	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	July 2019 - it is a set of orders. If we go over the page, there is a heading: "2. Use of personal devices for work purposes." I just want to pick out a few passages from this. The first two lines read: "The Commissioner and the Command Team have for some time now been debating the appropriateness of continuing to allow the use of personal mobile devices for work purposes." Then if we go down another six or seven lines, just halfway down that paragraph there is a sentence that says: "Unfortunately recent events have led the Commissioner to conclude that the use of personal mobile devices for work related
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	communicate, whether in personally or in a more formal briefing or even through landlines, phones that we have at our disposal. The second point is that it is uncommon in my experience, and has been for the last four or five years, for senior officers to be involved in investigations in the way that perhaps has been described in the context of this inquiry. So I certainly have not been involved in any investigation at all in my time as Assistant Commissioner in the sense of directing that investigation or being an investigating officer. I have of course been briefed on ongoing investigations, I have been updated, there has been consequence management: this is going to happen, you need to know about it as a senior officer. So there isn't a: "We are going to arrest somebody tomorrow" between the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	July 2019 - it is a set of orders. If we go over the page, there is a heading: "2. Use of personal devices for work purposes." I just want to pick out a few passages from this. The first two lines read: "The Commissioner and the Command Team have for some time now been debating the appropriateness of continuing to allow the use of personal mobile devices for work purposes." Then if we go down another six or seven lines, just halfway down that paragraph there is a sentence that says: "Unfortunately recent events have led the Commissioner to conclude that the use of personal mobile devices for work related purposes will have to cease forthwith."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	communicate, whether in personally or in a more formal briefing or even through landlines, phones that we have at our disposal. The second point is that it is uncommon in my experience, and has been for the last four or five years, for senior officers to be involved in investigations in the way that perhaps has been described in the context of this inquiry. So I certainly have not been involved in any investigation at all in my time as Assistant Commissioner in the sense of directing that investigation or being an investigating officer. I have of course been briefed on ongoing investigations, I have been updated, there has been consequence management: this is going to happen, you need to know about it as a senior officer. So there isn't a: "We are going to arrest somebody tomorrow" between the senior officers, this is what we do and we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	July 2019 - it is a set of orders. If we go over the page, there is a heading: "2. Use of personal devices for work purposes." I just want to pick out a few passages from this. The first two lines read: "The Commissioner and the Command Team have for some time now been debating the appropriateness of continuing to allow the use of personal mobile devices for work purposes." Then if we go down another six or seven lines, just halfway down that paragraph there is a sentence that says: "Unfortunately recent events have led the Commissioner to conclude that the use of personal mobile devices for work related purposes will have to cease forthwith." Then the final paragraph on that page starts:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	communicate, whether in personally or in a more formal briefing or even through landlines, phones that we have at our disposal. The second point is that it is uncommon in my experience, and has been for the last four or five years, for senior officers to be involved in investigations in the way that perhaps has been described in the context of this inquiry. So I certainly have not been involved in any investigation at all in my time as Assistant Commissioner in the sense of directing that investigation or being an investigating officer. I have of course been briefed on ongoing investigations, I have been updated, there has been consequence management: this is going to happen, you need to know about it as a senior officer. So there isn't a: "We are going to arrest somebody tomorrow" between the senior officers, this is what we do and we communicate in that way. I think Operation	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	July 2019 - it is a set of orders. If we go over the page, there is a heading: "2. Use of personal devices for work purposes." I just want to pick out a few passages from this. The first two lines read: "The Commissioner and the Command Team have for some time now been debating the appropriateness of continuing to allow the use of personal mobile devices for work purposes." Then if we go down another six or seven lines, just halfway down that paragraph there is a sentence that says: "Unfortunately recent events have led the Commissioner to conclude that the use of personal mobile devices for work related purposes will have to cease forthwith." Then the final paragraph on that page starts: "Unfortunately we are once again having to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	communicate, whether in personally or in a more formal briefing or even through landlines, phones that we have at our disposal. The second point is that it is uncommon in my experience, and has been for the last four or five years, for senior officers to be involved in investigations in the way that perhaps has been described in the context of this inquiry. So I certainly have not been involved in any investigation at all in my time as Assistant Commissioner in the sense of directing that investigation or being an investigating officer. I have of course been briefed on ongoing investigations, I have been updated, there has been consequence management: this is going to happen, you need to know about it as a senior officer. So there isn't a: "We are going to arrest somebody tomorrow" between the senior officers, this is what we do and we communicate in that way. I think Operation Delhi was not the norm, it was unique in the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	July 2019 - it is a set of orders. If we go over the page, there is a heading: "2. Use of personal devices for work purposes." I just want to pick out a few passages from this. The first two lines read: "The Commissioner and the Command Team have for some time now been debating the appropriateness of continuing to allow the use of personal mobile devices for work purposes." Then if we go down another six or seven lines, just halfway down that paragraph there is a sentence that says: "Unfortunately recent events have led the Commissioner to conclude that the use of personal mobile devices for work related purposes will have to cease forthwith." Then the final paragraph on that page starts: "Unfortunately we are once again having to provide explanations to the Data Protection
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	communicate, whether in personally or in a more formal briefing or even through landlines, phones that we have at our disposal. The second point is that it is uncommon in my experience, and has been for the last four or five years, for senior officers to be involved in investigations in the way that perhaps has been described in the context of this inquiry. So I certainly have not been involved in any investigation at all in my time as Assistant Commissioner in the sense of directing that investigation or being an investigating officer. I have of course been briefed on ongoing investigations, I have been updated, there has been consequence management: this is going to happen, you need to know about it as a senior officer. So there isn't a: "We are going to arrest somebody tomorrow" between the senior officers, this is what we do and we communicate in that way. I think Operation Delhi was not the norm, it was unique in the circumstances that Mr Richardson as a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	July 2019 - it is a set of orders. If we go over the page, there is a heading: "2. Use of personal devices for work purposes." I just want to pick out a few passages from this. The first two lines read: "The Commissioner and the Command Team have for some time now been debating the appropriateness of continuing to allow the use of personal mobile devices for work purposes." Then if we go down another six or seven lines, just halfway down that paragraph there is a sentence that says: "Unfortunately recent events have led the Commissioner to conclude that the use of personal mobile devices for work related purposes will have to cease forthwith." Then the final paragraph on that page starts: "Unfortunately we are once again having to provide explanations to the Data Protection Commissioner following what appears to be a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	communicate, whether in personally or in a more formal briefing or even through landlines, phones that we have at our disposal. The second point is that it is uncommon in my experience, and has been for the last four or five years, for senior officers to be involved in investigations in the way that perhaps has been described in the context of this inquiry. So I certainly have not been involved in any investigation at all in my time as Assistant Commissioner in the sense of directing that investigation or being an investigating officer. I have of course been briefed on ongoing investigations, I have been updated, there has been consequence management: this is going to happen, you need to know about it as a senior officer. So there isn't a: "We are going to arrest somebody tomorrow" between the senior officers, this is what we do and we communicate in that way. I think Operation Delhi was not the norm, it was unique in the circumstances that Mr Richardson as a superintendent was leading it; it was not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	July 2019 - it is a set of orders. If we go over the page, there is a heading: "2. Use of personal devices for work purposes." I just want to pick out a few passages from this. The first two lines read: "The Commissioner and the Command Team have for some time now been debating the appropriateness of continuing to allow the use of personal mobile devices for work purposes." Then if we go down another six or seven lines, just halfway down that paragraph there is a sentence that says: "Unfortunately recent events have led the Commissioner to conclude that the use of personal mobile devices for work related purposes will have to cease forthwith." Then the final paragraph on that page starts: "Unfortunately we are once again having to provide explanations to the Data Protection Commissioner following what appears to be a breach of the Data Protection Act by an officer.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	communicate, whether in personally or in a more formal briefing or even through landlines, phones that we have at our disposal. The second point is that it is uncommon in my experience, and has been for the last four or five years, for senior officers to be involved in investigations in the way that perhaps has been described in the context of this inquiry. So I certainly have not been involved in any investigation at all in my time as Assistant Commissioner in the sense of directing that investigation or being an investigating officer. I have of course been briefed on ongoing investigations, I have been updated, there has been consequence management: this is going to happen, you need to know about it as a senior officer. So there isn't a: "We are going to arrest somebody tomorrow" between the senior officers, this is what we do and we communicate in that way. I think Operation Delhi was not the norm, it was unique in the circumstances that Mr Richardson as a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	July 2019 - it is a set of orders. If we go over the page, there is a heading: "2. Use of personal devices for work purposes." I just want to pick out a few passages from this. The first two lines read: "The Commissioner and the Command Team have for some time now been debating the appropriateness of continuing to allow the use of personal mobile devices for work purposes." Then if we go down another six or seven lines, just halfway down that paragraph there is a sentence that says: "Unfortunately recent events have led the Commissioner to conclude that the use of personal mobile devices for work related purposes will have to cease forthwith." Then the final paragraph on that page starts: "Unfortunately we are once again having to provide explanations to the Data Protection Commissioner following what appears to be a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	communicate, whether in personally or in a more formal briefing or even through landlines, phones that we have at our disposal. The second point is that it is uncommon in my experience, and has been for the last four or five years, for senior officers to be involved in investigations in the way that perhaps has been described in the context of this inquiry. So I certainly have not been involved in any investigation at all in my time as Assistant Commissioner in the sense of directing that investigation or being an investigating officer. I have of course been briefed on ongoing investigations, I have been updated, there has been consequence management: this is going to happen, you need to know about it as a senior officer. So there isn't a: "We are going to arrest somebody tomorrow" between the senior officers, this is what we do and we communicate in that way. I think Operation Delhi was not the norm, it was unique in the circumstances that Mr Richardson as a superintendent was leading it; it was not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	July 2019 - it is a set of orders. If we go over the page, there is a heading: "2. Use of personal devices for work purposes." I just want to pick out a few passages from this. The first two lines read: "The Commissioner and the Command Team have for some time now been debating the appropriateness of continuing to allow the use of personal mobile devices for work purposes." Then if we go down another six or seven lines, just halfway down that paragraph there is a sentence that says: "Unfortunately recent events have led the Commissioner to conclude that the use of personal mobile devices for work related purposes will have to cease forthwith." Then the final paragraph on that page starts: "Unfortunately we are once again having to provide explanations to the Data Protection Commissioner following what appears to be a breach of the Data Protection Act by an officer.

1	personal device for work purposes."	1	security. And what drove that forcible entry
2	Then finally over the page at the very end in	2	and would cause a debate was that data breach
3	bold is the following:	3	that is alluded to in the forcible entry, where
4	"As a result of a change in Force policy and in	4	an officer with the best of intentions to secure
5	order to safeguard the organisation and	5	CCTV over a traffic collision took some video
6	officers alike on data protection breaches, the	6	on his own phone of that collision, transmitted
7	use of mobile phones or other personal	7	it to a WhatsApp group within his response
	electronic devices for work purposes will		
8		8	team and then that unfortunately got shared
9	cease forthwith. This includes using said	9	beyond that and went viral. So clearly that
10	devices for taking of photographs, video	10	was not acceptable and that was not behaviour
11	recordings or dissemination of personal data	11	that we could accept or encourage. But it
12	via Whatsapp or other media platforms. This	12	clearly would have been a significant difficulty
13	is not an exhaustive list. The use of the latter	13	to completely prevent, especially senior
14	media platforms will be restricted to	14	officers, from communicating in any way,
15	administrative purposes only, such as	15	shape or form through their personal devices.
16	requesting officers to come into work, offering	16	Q. Was your experience that the majority of
17	overtime, informing of change of hours, etc."	17	work-related communications did change over
18	Then finally if we can go to two pages on we	18	to work devices or did they remain on personal
19	can see that it was signed by then Acting	19	devices?
20	Commissioner Ullger. Were you part of the	20	A. I think I will go back to the point I made
21	senior command team in July 2019?	21	earlier. I am not sure that there was a majority
22	A. I was.	22	that there were many work-related
23	Q. Did you cease using your personal phone	23	communications on these devices anyway.
24	for all work-based communication pursuant to	24	Um, I think you will have seen from my own
25	that order?	25	disclosure that my intents of the messages that
	Page 25		Page 27
1			
1	A. So, no, clearly not. And I think, um, in	1	I exchanged with Mr McGrail occur outside
2	hindsight we should have taken a different	2	working hours. So clearly it points to the fact
2 3	hindsight we should have taken a different approach. I mean, it was impossible really to	2 3	working hours. So clearly it points to the fact that during working hours there were
2 3 4	hindsight we should have taken a different approach. I mean, it was impossible really to do so at that point because we hadn't yet gone	2 3 4	working hours. So clearly it points to the fact that during working hours there were alternative means of communication. And as I
2 3 4 5	hindsight we should have taken a different approach. I mean, it was impossible really to do so at that point because we hadn't yet gone through the process of obtaining alternative	2 3 4 5	working hours. So clearly it points to the fact that during working hours there were alternative means of communication. And as I have explained, most of that was face to face,
2 3 4	hindsight we should have taken a different approach. I mean, it was impossible really to do so at that point because we hadn't yet gone through the process of obtaining alternative RGP	2 3 4	working hours. So clearly it points to the fact that during working hours there were alternative means of communication. And as I have explained, most of that was face to face, in-person meetings, briefings, or whatever.
2 3 4 5	hindsight we should have taken a different approach. I mean, it was impossible really to do so at that point because we hadn't yet gone through the process of obtaining alternative RGP (10.40)	2 3 4 5 6 7	working hours. So clearly it points to the fact that during working hours there were alternative means of communication. And as I have explained, most of that was face to face, in-person meetings, briefings, or whatever. Um, I mean, I am not sure that it would be
2 3 4 5 6	hindsight we should have taken a different approach. I mean, it was impossible really to do so at that point because we hadn't yet gone through the process of obtaining alternative RGP (10.40) or corporate phones to replace them. Um, I	2 3 4 5 6	working hours. So clearly it points to the fact that during working hours there were alternative means of communication. And as I have explained, most of that was face to face, in-person meetings, briefings, or whatever. Um, I mean, I am not sure that it would be right to say that most of our communications
2 3 4 5 6 7	hindsight we should have taken a different approach. I mean, it was impossible really to do so at that point because we hadn't yet gone through the process of obtaining alternative RGP (10.40) or corporate phones to replace them. Um, I think the biggest issue we faced was the fact	2 3 4 5 6 7	working hours. So clearly it points to the fact that during working hours there were alternative means of communication. And as I have explained, most of that was face to face, in-person meetings, briefings, or whatever. Um, I mean, I am not sure that it would be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	hindsight we should have taken a different approach. I mean, it was impossible really to do so at that point because we hadn't yet gone through the process of obtaining alternative RGP (10.40) or corporate phones to replace them. Um, I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	working hours. So clearly it points to the fact that during working hours there were alternative means of communication. And as I have explained, most of that was face to face, in-person meetings, briefings, or whatever. Um, I mean, I am not sure that it would be right to say that most of our communications
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	hindsight we should have taken a different approach. I mean, it was impossible really to do so at that point because we hadn't yet gone through the process of obtaining alternative RGP (10.40) or corporate phones to replace them. Um, I think the biggest issue we faced was the fact	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	working hours. So clearly it points to the fact that during working hours there were alternative means of communication. And as I have explained, most of that was face to face, in-person meetings, briefings, or whatever. Um, I mean, I am not sure that it would be right to say that most of our communications moved from one device to the other once we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	hindsight we should have taken a different approach. I mean, it was impossible really to do so at that point because we hadn't yet gone through the process of obtaining alternative RGP (10.40) or corporate phones to replace them. Um, I think the biggest issue we faced was the fact that most of our contacts, people we knew, had	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	working hours. So clearly it points to the fact that during working hours there were alternative means of communication. And as I have explained, most of that was face to face, in-person meetings, briefings, or whatever. Um, I mean, I am not sure that it would be right to say that most of our communications moved from one device to the other once we obtained them in September. Um, I think it's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	hindsight we should have taken a different approach. I mean, it was impossible really to do so at that point because we hadn't yet gone through the process of obtaining alternative RGP (10.40) or corporate phones to replace them. Um, I think the biggest issue we faced was the fact that most of our contacts, people we knew, had mobile phones, numbers, that, you know, they	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	working hours. So clearly it points to the fact that during working hours there were alternative means of communication. And as I have explained, most of that was face to face, in-person meetings, briefings, or whatever. Um, I mean, I am not sure that it would be right to say that most of our communications moved from one device to the other once we obtained them in September. Um, I think it's clear that, you know, the SMT WhatsApp
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	hindsight we should have taken a different approach. I mean, it was impossible really to do so at that point because we hadn't yet gone through the process of obtaining alternative RGP (10.40) or corporate phones to replace them. Um, I think the biggest issue we faced was the fact that most of our contacts, people we knew, had mobile phones, numbers, that, you know, they were familiar with and had in their contacts	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	working hours. So clearly it points to the fact that during working hours there were alternative means of communication. And as I have explained, most of that was face to face, in-person meetings, briefings, or whatever. Um, I mean, I am not sure that it would be right to say that most of our communications moved from one device to the other once we obtained them in September. Um, I think it's clear that, you know, the SMT WhatsApp group was transferred to the work devices. It
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	hindsight we should have taken a different approach. I mean, it was impossible really to do so at that point because we hadn't yet gone through the process of obtaining alternative RGP (10.40) or corporate phones to replace them. Um, I think the biggest issue we faced was the fact that most of our contacts, people we knew, had mobile phones, numbers, that, you know, they were familiar with and had in their contacts list. In hindsight, it may have been that we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	working hours. So clearly it points to the fact that during working hours there were alternative means of communication. And as I have explained, most of that was face to face, in-person meetings, briefings, or whatever. Um, I mean, I am not sure that it would be right to say that most of our communications moved from one device to the other once we obtained them in September. Um, I think it's clear that, you know, the SMT WhatsApp group was transferred to the work devices. It was used primarily just to communicate, you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	hindsight we should have taken a different approach. I mean, it was impossible really to do so at that point because we hadn't yet gone through the process of obtaining alternative RGP (10.40) or corporate phones to replace them. Um, I think the biggest issue we faced was the fact that most of our contacts, people we knew, had mobile phones, numbers, that, you know, they were familiar with and had in their contacts list. In hindsight, it may have been that we should have just gone further and eventually	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 working hours. So clearly it points to the fact that during working hours there were alternative means of communication. And as I have explained, most of that was face to face, in-person meetings, briefings, or whatever. Um, I mean, I am not sure that it would be right to say that most of our communications moved from one device to the other once we obtained them in September. Um, I think it's clear that, you know, the SMT WhatsApp group was transferred to the work devices. It was used primarily just to communicate, you know, issues around, you know, road traffic
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	hindsight we should have taken a different approach. I mean, it was impossible really to do so at that point because we hadn't yet gone through the process of obtaining alternative RGP (10.40) or corporate phones to replace them. Um, I think the biggest issue we faced was the fact that most of our contacts, people we knew, had mobile phones, numbers, that, you know, they were familiar with and had in their contacts list. In hindsight, it may have been that we should have just gone further and eventually when we had the replacement phones enacted a policy where personal devices had to be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	working hours. So clearly it points to the fact that during working hours there were alternative means of communication. And as I have explained, most of that was face to face, in-person meetings, briefings, or whatever. Um, I mean, I am not sure that it would be right to say that most of our communications moved from one device to the other once we obtained them in September. Um, I think it's clear that, you know, the SMT WhatsApp group was transferred to the work devices. It was used primarily just to communicate, you know, issues around, you know, road traffic collision, can anybody brief me on what's happening? That then led to direct
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	hindsight we should have taken a different approach. I mean, it was impossible really to do so at that point because we hadn't yet gone through the process of obtaining alternative RGP (10.40) or corporate phones to replace them. Um, I think the biggest issue we faced was the fact that most of our contacts, people we knew, had mobile phones, numbers, that, you know, they were familiar with and had in their contacts list. In hindsight, it may have been that we should have just gone further and eventually when we had the replacement phones enacted a policy where personal devices had to be locked away during working hours and work	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	working hours. So clearly it points to the fact that during working hours there were alternative means of communication. And as I have explained, most of that was face to face, in-person meetings, briefings, or whatever. Um, I mean, I am not sure that it would be right to say that most of our communications moved from one device to the other once we obtained them in September. Um, I think it's clear that, you know, the SMT WhatsApp group was transferred to the work devices. It was used primarily just to communicate, you know, issues around, you know, road traffic collision, can anybody brief me on what's happening? That then led to direct communication between whoever was asking
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	hindsight we should have taken a different approach. I mean, it was impossible really to do so at that point because we hadn't yet gone through the process of obtaining alternative RGP (10.40) or corporate phones to replace them. Um, I think the biggest issue we faced was the fact that most of our contacts, people we knew, had mobile phones, numbers, that, you know, they were familiar with and had in their contacts list. In hindsight, it may have been that we should have just gone further and eventually when we had the replacement phones enacted a policy where personal devices had to be locked away during working hours and work phones were only to be used. That presents	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	working hours. So clearly it points to the fact that during working hours there were alternative means of communication. And as I have explained, most of that was face to face, in-person meetings, briefings, or whatever. Um, I mean, I am not sure that it would be right to say that most of our communications moved from one device to the other once we obtained them in September. Um, I think it's clear that, you know, the SMT WhatsApp group was transferred to the work devices. It was used primarily just to communicate, you know, issues around, you know, road traffic collision, can anybody brief me on what's happening? That then led to direct communication between whoever was asking the question and the individual. It wasn't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	hindsight we should have taken a different approach. I mean, it was impossible really to do so at that point because we hadn't yet gone through the process of obtaining alternative RGP (10.40) or corporate phones to replace them. Um, I think the biggest issue we faced was the fact that most of our contacts, people we knew, had mobile phones, numbers, that, you know, they were familiar with and had in their contacts list. In hindsight, it may have been that we should have just gone further and eventually when we had the replacement phones enacted a policy where personal devices had to be locked away during working hours and work phones were only to be used. That presents a whole host of issues across a force of almost	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	working hours. So clearly it points to the fact that during working hours there were alternative means of communication. And as I have explained, most of that was face to face, in-person meetings, briefings, or whatever. Um, I mean, I am not sure that it would be right to say that most of our communications moved from one device to the other once we obtained them in September. Um, I think it's clear that, you know, the SMT WhatsApp group was transferred to the work devices. It was used primarily just to communicate, you know, issues around, you know, road traffic collision, can anybody brief me on what's happening? That then led to direct communication between whoever was asking the question and the individual. It wasn't necessarily, um, explored or developed in that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	hindsight we should have taken a different approach. I mean, it was impossible really to do so at that point because we hadn't yet gone through the process of obtaining alternative RGP (10.40) or corporate phones to replace them. Um, I think the biggest issue we faced was the fact that most of our contacts, people we knew, had mobile phones, numbers, that, you know, they were familiar with and had in their contacts list. In hindsight, it may have been that we should have just gone further and eventually when we had the replacement phones enacted a policy where personal devices had to be locked away during working hours and work phones were only to be used. That presents a whole host of issues across a force of almost 300 individuals, including police officers and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	working hours. So clearly it points to the fact that during working hours there were alternative means of communication. And as I have explained, most of that was face to face, in-person meetings, briefings, or whatever. Um, I mean, I am not sure that it would be right to say that most of our communications moved from one device to the other once we obtained them in September. Um, I think it's clear that, you know, the SMT WhatsApp group was transferred to the work devices. It was used primarily just to communicate, you know, issues around, you know, road traffic collision, can anybody brief me on what's happening? That then led to direct communication between whoever was asking the question and the individual. It wasn't necessarily, um, explored or developed in that group. That's my recollection of what that was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	hindsight we should have taken a different approach. I mean, it was impossible really to do so at that point because we hadn't yet gone through the process of obtaining alternative RGP (10.40) or corporate phones to replace them. Um, I think the biggest issue we faced was the fact that most of our contacts, people we knew, had mobile phones, numbers, that, you know, they were familiar with and had in their contacts list. In hindsight, it may have been that we should have just gone further and eventually when we had the replacement phones enacted a policy where personal devices had to be locked away during working hours and work phones were only to be used. That presents a whole host of issues across a force of almost 300 individuals, including police officers and civilian staff, civil servants. There would have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	working hours. So clearly it points to the fact that during working hours there were alternative means of communication. And as I have explained, most of that was face to face, in-person meetings, briefings, or whatever. Um, I mean, I am not sure that it would be right to say that most of our communications moved from one device to the other once we obtained them in September. Um, I think it's clear that, you know, the SMT WhatsApp group was transferred to the work devices. It was used primarily just to communicate, you know, issues around, you know, road traffic collision, can anybody brief me on what's happening? That then led to direct communication between whoever was asking the question and the individual. It wasn't necessarily, um, explored or developed in that group. That's my recollection of what that was primarily used for. Um
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	hindsight we should have taken a different approach. I mean, it was impossible really to do so at that point because we hadn't yet gone through the process of obtaining alternative RGP (10.40) or corporate phones to replace them. Um, I think the biggest issue we faced was the fact that most of our contacts, people we knew, had mobile phones, numbers, that, you know, they were familiar with and had in their contacts list. In hindsight, it may have been that we should have just gone further and eventually when we had the replacement phones enacted a policy where personal devices had to be locked away during working hours and work phones were only to be used. That presents a whole host of issues across a force of almost 300 individuals, including police officers and civilian staff, civil servants. There would have no doubt been resistance to preventing the use	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 working hours. So clearly it points to the fact that during working hours there were alternative means of communication. And as I have explained, most of that was face to face, in-person meetings, briefings, or whatever. Um, I mean, I am not sure that it would be right to say that most of our communications moved from one device to the other once we obtained them in September. Um, I think it's clear that, you know, the SMT WhatsApp group was transferred to the work devices. It was used primarily just to communicate, you know, issues around, you know, road traffic collision, can anybody brief me on what's happening? That then led to direct communication between whoever was asking the question and the individual. It wasn't necessarily, um, explored or developed in that group. That's my recollection of what that was primarily used for. Um Q. Do you consider that your use of your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	hindsight we should have taken a different approach. I mean, it was impossible really to do so at that point because we hadn't yet gone through the process of obtaining alternative RGP (10.40) or corporate phones to replace them. Um, I think the biggest issue we faced was the fact that most of our contacts, people we knew, had mobile phones, numbers, that, you know, they were familiar with and had in their contacts list. In hindsight, it may have been that we should have just gone further and eventually when we had the replacement phones enacted a policy where personal devices had to be locked away during working hours and work phones were only to be used. That presents a whole host of issues across a force of almost 300 individuals, including police officers and civilian staff, civil servants. There would have no doubt been resistance to preventing the use of phones in that respect.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 working hours. So clearly it points to the fact that during working hours there were alternative means of communication. And as I have explained, most of that was face to face, in-person meetings, briefings, or whatever. Um, I mean, I am not sure that it would be right to say that most of our communications moved from one device to the other once we obtained them in September. Um, I think it's clear that, you know, the SMT WhatsApp group was transferred to the work devices. It was used primarily just to communicate, you know, issues around, you know, road traffic collision, can anybody brief me on what's happening? That then led to direct communication between whoever was asking the question and the individual. It wasn't necessarily, um, explored or developed in that group. That's my recollection of what that was primarily used for. Um Q. Do you consider that your use of your personal phone was consistent with that order?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	hindsight we should have taken a different approach. I mean, it was impossible really to do so at that point because we hadn't yet gone through the process of obtaining alternative RGP (10.40) or corporate phones to replace them. Um, I think the biggest issue we faced was the fact that most of our contacts, people we knew, had mobile phones, numbers, that, you know, they were familiar with and had in their contacts list. In hindsight, it may have been that we should have just gone further and eventually when we had the replacement phones enacted a policy where personal devices had to be locked away during working hours and work phones were only to be used. That presents a whole host of issues across a force of almost 300 individuals, including police officers and civilian staff, civil servants. There would have no doubt been resistance to preventing the use of phones in that respect. I think the other point to make here is that this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 working hours. So clearly it points to the fact that during working hours there were alternative means of communication. And as I have explained, most of that was face to face, in-person meetings, briefings, or whatever. Um, I mean, I am not sure that it would be right to say that most of our communications moved from one device to the other once we obtained them in September. Um, I think it's clear that, you know, the SMT WhatsApp group was transferred to the work devices. It was used primarily just to communicate, you know, issues around, you know, road traffic collision, can anybody brief me on what's happening? That then led to direct communication between whoever was asking the question and the individual. It wasn't necessarily, um, explored or developed in that group. That's my recollection of what that was primarily used for. Um Q. Do you consider that your use of your personal phone was consistent with that order? A. So, we had said in that order that we could
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	hindsight we should have taken a different approach. I mean, it was impossible really to do so at that point because we hadn't yet gone through the process of obtaining alternative RGP (10.40) or corporate phones to replace them. Um, I think the biggest issue we faced was the fact that most of our contacts, people we knew, had mobile phones, numbers, that, you know, they were familiar with and had in their contacts list. In hindsight, it may have been that we should have just gone further and eventually when we had the replacement phones enacted a policy where personal devices had to be locked away during working hours and work phones were only to be used. That presents a whole host of issues across a force of almost 300 individuals, including police officers and civilian staff, civil servants. There would have no doubt been resistance to preventing the use of phones in that respect.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 working hours. So clearly it points to the fact that during working hours there were alternative means of communication. And as I have explained, most of that was face to face, in-person meetings, briefings, or whatever. Um, I mean, I am not sure that it would be right to say that most of our communications moved from one device to the other once we obtained them in September. Um, I think it's clear that, you know, the SMT WhatsApp group was transferred to the work devices. It was used primarily just to communicate, you know, issues around, you know, road traffic collision, can anybody brief me on what's happening? That then led to direct communication between whoever was asking the question and the individual. It wasn't necessarily, um, explored or developed in that group. That's my recollection of what that was primarily used for. Um Q. Do you consider that your use of your personal phone was consistent with that order?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	hindsight we should have taken a different approach. I mean, it was impossible really to do so at that point because we hadn't yet gone through the process of obtaining alternative RGP (10.40) or corporate phones to replace them. Um, I think the biggest issue we faced was the fact that most of our contacts, people we knew, had mobile phones, numbers, that, you know, they were familiar with and had in their contacts list. In hindsight, it may have been that we should have just gone further and eventually when we had the replacement phones enacted a policy where personal devices had to be locked away during working hours and work phones were only to be used. That presents a whole host of issues across a force of almost 300 individuals, including police officers and civilian staff, civil servants. There would have no doubt been resistance to preventing the use of phones in that respect. I think the other point to make here is that this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 working hours. So clearly it points to the fact that during working hours there were alternative means of communication. And as I have explained, most of that was face to face, in-person meetings, briefings, or whatever. Um, I mean, I am not sure that it would be right to say that most of our communications moved from one device to the other once we obtained them in September. Um, I think it's clear that, you know, the SMT WhatsApp group was transferred to the work devices. It was used primarily just to communicate, you know, issues around, you know, road traffic collision, can anybody brief me on what's happening? That then led to direct communication between whoever was asking the question and the individual. It wasn't necessarily, um, explored or developed in that group. That's my recollection of what that was primarily used for. Um Q. Do you consider that your use of your personal phone was consistent with that order? A. So, we had said in that order that we could

7 (Pages 25 to 28)

1	interpreted is perhaps for debate. But I don't	1	phone?
2	see that communicating with a personal device	2	A. I cannot recall. It is possible, but I
3	with another senior officer saying, you know,	3	couldn't say one way or another.
4	"Come and see me now," or "What do you	4	Q. Did you ever exchange messages between
5	know about this", was necessarily in breach of	5	your work phone and Mr McGrail's work
	that. Our concern was mostly around personal		phone?
6		6	*
7	data and that being disclosed or being exposed.	7	A. I mean, it's likely that that would have
8	Q. In September 2019 when just bear with	8	occurred, perhaps, as I have explained earlier,
9	me. (Pause). It is correct to say, is it not, that	9	as a result of an SMT or a query about some
10	when this force order was brought into action,	10	point or other. But I can't recall or give you
11	not all officers had work devices. It was just	11	an example of when that would have occurred,
12	the senior officers at that stage who had been	12	no.
13	issued with work devices.	13	Q. But the Inquiry's understanding is that
14	A. Well, not so when the force order entry	14	these messages, if they existed, are no longer
15	was 19 July, nobody had work devices at that	15	available on your work phone due to the
16	stage. So what the position was at that point	16	transition from Samsung to iPhone in
17	was that some of us had our own personal	17	November 2020. Correct?
18	devices, which the organisation paid for the	18	A. That is correct, yes.
19	contracts. So the work devices, which were	19	Q. If we can go to E877 now, please. In
20	obtained in, they told us, September of 2019,	20	paragraph 12 of your sixth witness statement
21	where for senior officers and I think around 50	21	you explain:
22	officers, if I remember correctly, and those	22	"In November of 2020 a small number of
23	were essentially inspectors and above, so	23	telephones were changed to Apple devices.
24	senior officers from inspecting ranks upwards,	24	This included telephones for Commissioner
25	and key posts which are just required phones, I	25	Ullger, Superintendent Richardson and I. The
	Page 29		Page 31
1	think. There is an appendix to the force order	1	nhonos woro ogoin got un by DCD officers
1	think. There is an appendix to the force order	1	phones were again set up by RGP officers.
2	entry that lists those posts and officers that had	2	The phones are Apple iPhone SEs, running the
2 3	entry that lists those posts and officers that had phones issued to them.	2 3	The phones are Apple iPhone SEs, running the Apple IOS system. The Apple phones were
2 3 4	entry that lists those posts and officers that had phones issued to them.Q. Now turning to some questions about your	2 3 4	The phones are Apple iPhone SEs, running the Apple IOS system. The Apple phones were linked to an Apple iCloud email account
2 3 4 5	entry that lists those posts and officers that had phones issued to them.Q. Now turning to some questions about your work phone, can we go to E1069, please. This	2 3 4 5	The phones are Apple iPhone SEs, running the Apple IOS system. The Apple phones were linked to an Apple iCloud email account created for the purpose of setting up each
2 3 4 5 6	entry that lists those posts and officers that had phones issued to them.Q. Now turning to some questions about your work phone, can we go to E1069, please. This is DC Garcia's statement to the Inquiry, his	2 3 4 5 6	The phones are Apple iPhone SEs, running the Apple IOS system. The Apple phones were linked to an Apple iCloud email account created for the purpose of setting up each device. Both Mr Ullger and I still have use of
2 3 4 5 6 7	entry that lists those posts and officers that had phones issued to them.Q. Now turning to some questions about your work phone, can we go to E1069, please. This is DC Garcia's statement to the Inquiry, his first statement. And at paragraph 12, he says:	2 3 4 5 6 7	The phones are Apple iPhone SEs, running the Apple IOS system. The Apple phones were linked to an Apple iCloud email account created for the purpose of setting up each device. Both Mr Ullger and I still have use of these devices. An email discussing this with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	entry that lists those posts and officers that had phones issued to them.Q. Now turning to some questions about your work phone, can we go to E1069, please. This is DC Garcia's statement to the Inquiry, his first statement. And at paragraph 12, he says:"On 16 December 2024, DC Caruana handed	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	The phones are Apple iPhone SEs, running the Apple IOS system. The Apple phones were linked to an Apple iCloud email account created for the purpose of setting up each device. Both Mr Ullger and I still have use of these devices. An email discussing this with the then Senior Executive Officer is provided.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 entry that lists those posts and officers that had phones issued to them. Q. Now turning to some questions about your work phone, can we go to E1069, please. This is DC Garcia's statement to the Inquiry, his first statement. And at paragraph 12, he says: "On 16 December 2024, DC Caruana handed to me a portable hard drive with several files. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	The phones are Apple iPhone SEs, running the Apple IOS system. The Apple phones were linked to an Apple iCloud email account created for the purpose of setting up each device. Both Mr Ullger and I still have use of these devices. An email discussing this with the then Senior Executive Officer is provided. In December 2020 I wrote to the Higher
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 entry that lists those posts and officers that had phones issued to them. Q. Now turning to some questions about your work phone, can we go to E1069, please. This is DC Garcia's statement to the Inquiry, his first statement. And at paragraph 12, he says: "On 16 December 2024, DC Caruana handed to me a portable hard drive with several files. He explained that one of the files was the PSN 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	The phones are Apple iPhone SEs, running the Apple IOS system. The Apple phones were linked to an Apple iCloud email account created for the purpose of setting up each device. Both Mr Ullger and I still have use of these devices. An email discussing this with the then Senior Executive Officer is provided. In December 2020 I wrote to the Higher Executive Officer informing her that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	entry that lists those posts and officers that had phones issued to them. Q. Now turning to some questions about your work phone, can we go to E1069, please. This is DC Garcia's statement to the Inquiry, his first statement. And at paragraph 12, he says: "On 16 December 2024, DC Caruana handed to me a portable hard drive with several files. He explained that one of the files was the PSN i.e. UFDR of Mr McGrail's personal phone. [I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	The phones are Apple iPhone SEs, running the Apple IOS system. The Apple phones were linked to an Apple iCloud email account created for the purpose of setting up each device. Both Mr Ullger and I still have use of these devices. An email discussing this with the then Senior Executive Officer is provided. In December 2020 I wrote to the Higher Executive Officer informing her that Mr Ullger, Mr Richardson, another officer and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	entry that lists those posts and officers that had phones issued to them. Q. Now turning to some questions about your work phone, can we go to E1069, please. This is DC Garcia's statement to the Inquiry, his first statement. And at paragraph 12, he says: "On 16 December 2024, DC Caruana handed to me a portable hard drive with several files. He explained that one of the files was the PSN i.e. UFDR of Mr McGrail's personal phone. [I think that means the image taken of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	The phones are Apple iPhone SEs, running the Apple IOS system. The Apple phones were linked to an Apple iCloud email account created for the purpose of setting up each device. Both Mr Ullger and I still have use of these devices. An email discussing this with the then Senior Executive Officer is provided. In December 2020 I wrote to the Higher Executive Officer informing her that Mr Ullger, Mr Richardson, another officer and I had returned our Samsung phones and had
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	entry that lists those posts and officers that had phones issued to them. Q. Now turning to some questions about your work phone, can we go to E1069, please. This is DC Garcia's statement to the Inquiry, his first statement. And at paragraph 12, he says: "On 16 December 2024, DC Caruana handed to me a portable hard drive with several files. He explained that one of the files was the PSN i.e. UFDR of Mr McGrail's personal phone. [I think that means the image taken of Mr McGrail's phone.] After opening the UFD	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	The phones are Apple iPhone SEs, running the Apple IOS system. The Apple phones were linked to an Apple iCloud email account created for the purpose of setting up each device. Both Mr Ullger and I still have use of these devices. An email discussing this with the then Senior Executive Officer is provided. In December 2020 I wrote to the Higher Executive Officer informing her that Mr Ullger, Mr Richardson, another officer and I had returned our Samsung phones and had been issued Apple iPhones. The RGP serial
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	entry that lists those posts and officers that had phones issued to them. Q. Now turning to some questions about your work phone, can we go to E1069, please. This is DC Garcia's statement to the Inquiry, his first statement. And at paragraph 12, he says: "On 16 December 2024, DC Caruana handed to me a portable hard drive with several files. He explained that one of the files was the PSN i.e. UFDR of Mr McGrail's personal phone. [I think that means the image taken of Mr McGrail's phone.] After opening the UFD I tagged the following messages between 1	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	The phones are Apple iPhone SEs, running the Apple IOS system. The Apple phones were linked to an Apple iCloud email account created for the purpose of setting up each device. Both Mr Ullger and I still have use of these devices. An email discussing this with the then Senior Executive Officer is provided. In December 2020 I wrote to the Higher Executive Officer informing her that Mr Ullger, Mr Richardson, another officer and I had returned our Samsung phones and had been issued Apple iPhones. The RGP serial numbers of the phones are included. There is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	entry that lists those posts and officers that had phones issued to them. Q. Now turning to some questions about your work phone, can we go to E1069, please. This is DC Garcia's statement to the Inquiry, his first statement. And at paragraph 12, he says: "On 16 December 2024, DC Caruana handed to me a portable hard drive with several files. He explained that one of the files was the PSN i.e. UFDR of Mr McGrail's personal phone. [I think that means the image taken of Mr McGrail's phone.] After opening the UFD I tagged the following messages between 1 January 2020 and 30 June 2020."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	The phones are Apple iPhone SEs, running the Apple IOS system. The Apple phones were linked to an Apple iCloud email account created for the purpose of setting up each device. Both Mr Ullger and I still have use of these devices. An email discussing this with the then Senior Executive Officer is provided. In December 2020 I wrote to the Higher Executive Officer informing her that Mr Ullger, Mr Richardson, another officer and I had returned our Samsung phones and had been issued Apple iPhones. The RGP serial numbers of the phones are included. There is an error."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	entry that lists those posts and officers that had phones issued to them. Q. Now turning to some questions about your work phone, can we go to E1069, please. This is DC Garcia's statement to the Inquiry, his first statement. And at paragraph 12, he says: "On 16 December 2024, DC Caruana handed to me a portable hard drive with several files. He explained that one of the files was the PSN i.e. UFDR of Mr McGrail's personal phone. [I think that means the image taken of Mr McGrail's phone.] After opening the UFD I tagged the following messages between 1 January 2020 and 30 June 2020." The final subparagraph (f) says:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	The phones are Apple iPhone SEs, running the Apple IOS system. The Apple phones were linked to an Apple iCloud email account created for the purpose of setting up each device. Both Mr Ullger and I still have use of these devices. An email discussing this with the then Senior Executive Officer is provided. In December 2020 I wrote to the Higher Executive Officer informing her that Mr Ullger, Mr Richardson, another officer and I had returned our Samsung phones and had been issued Apple iPhones. The RGP serial numbers of the phones are included. There is an error."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	entry that lists those posts and officers that had phones issued to them. Q. Now turning to some questions about your work phone, can we go to E1069, please. This is DC Garcia's statement to the Inquiry, his first statement. And at paragraph 12, he says: "On 16 December 2024, DC Caruana handed to me a portable hard drive with several files. He explained that one of the files was the PSN i.e. UFDR of Mr McGrail's personal phone. [I think that means the image taken of Mr McGrail's phone.] After opening the UFD I tagged the following messages between 1 January 2020 and 30 June 2020." The final subparagraph (f) says: "No messages tagged between Mr McGrail	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	The phones are Apple iPhone SEs, running the Apple IOS system. The Apple phones were linked to an Apple iCloud email account created for the purpose of setting up each device. Both Mr Ullger and I still have use of these devices. An email discussing this with the then Senior Executive Officer is provided. In December 2020 I wrote to the Higher Executive Officer informing her that Mr Ullger, Mr Richardson, another officer and I had returned our Samsung phones and had been issued Apple iPhones. The RGP serial numbers of the phones are included. There is an error." There is no need to go into that. Then you say: "A search for the Samsung devices bearing
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	entry that lists those posts and officers that had phones issued to them. Q. Now turning to some questions about your work phone, can we go to E1069, please. This is DC Garcia's statement to the Inquiry, his first statement. And at paragraph 12, he says: "On 16 December 2024, DC Caruana handed to me a portable hard drive with several files. He explained that one of the files was the PSN i.e. UFDR of Mr McGrail's personal phone. [I think that means the image taken of Mr McGrail's phone.] After opening the UFD I tagged the following messages between 1 January 2020 and 30 June 2020." The final subparagraph (f) says: "No messages tagged between Mr McGrail and Mr Yeats."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	The phones are Apple iPhone SEs, running the Apple IOS system. The Apple phones were linked to an Apple iCloud email account created for the purpose of setting up each device. Both Mr Ullger and I still have use of these devices. An email discussing this with the then Senior Executive Officer is provided. In December 2020 I wrote to the Higher Executive Officer informing her that Mr Ullger, Mr Richardson, another officer and I had returned our Samsung phones and had been issued Apple iPhones. The RGP serial numbers of the phones are included. There is an error." There is no need to go into that. Then you say: "A search for the Samsung devices bearing these serial numbers has located two of them.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	entry that lists those posts and officers that had phones issued to them. Q. Now turning to some questions about your work phone, can we go to E1069, please. This is DC Garcia's statement to the Inquiry, his first statement. And at paragraph 12, he says: "On 16 December 2024, DC Caruana handed to me a portable hard drive with several files. He explained that one of the files was the PSN i.e. UFDR of Mr McGrail's personal phone. [I think that means the image taken of Mr McGrail's phone.] After opening the UFD I tagged the following messages between 1 January 2020 and 30 June 2020." The final subparagraph (f) says: "No messages tagged between Mr McGrail and Mr Yeats." Am I correct that the number that is displayed	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	The phones are Apple iPhone SEs, running the Apple IOS system. The Apple phones were linked to an Apple iCloud email account created for the purpose of setting up each device. Both Mr Ullger and I still have use of these devices. An email discussing this with the then Senior Executive Officer is provided. In December 2020 I wrote to the Higher Executive Officer informing her that Mr Ullger, Mr Richardson, another officer and I had returned our Samsung phones and had been issued Apple iPhones. The RGP serial numbers of the phones are included. There is an error." There is no need to go into that. Then you say: "A search for the Samsung devices bearing these serial numbers has located two of them. Both are in use by officers. One is the Crown
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 entry that lists those posts and officers that had phones issued to them. Q. Now turning to some questions about your work phone, can we go to E1069, please. This is DC Garcia's statement to the Inquiry, his first statement. And at paragraph 12, he says: "On 16 December 2024, DC Caruana handed to me a portable hard drive with several files. He explained that one of the files was the PSN i.e. UFDR of Mr McGrail's personal phone. [I think that means the image taken of Mr McGrail's phone.] After opening the UFD I tagged the following messages between 1 January 2020 and 30 June 2020." The final subparagraph (f) says: "No messages tagged between Mr McGrail and Mr Yeats." Am I correct that the number that is displayed there is your work number at the time? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	The phones are Apple iPhone SEs, running the Apple IOS system. The Apple phones were linked to an Apple iCloud email account created for the purpose of setting up each device. Both Mr Ullger and I still have use of these devices. An email discussing this with the then Senior Executive Officer is provided. In December 2020 I wrote to the Higher Executive Officer informing her that Mr Ullger, Mr Richardson, another officer and I had returned our Samsung phones and had been issued Apple iPhones. The RGP serial numbers of the phones are included. There is an error." There is no need to go into that. Then you say: "A search for the Samsung devices bearing these serial numbers has located two of them. Both are in use by officers. One is the Crown Sergeant's phone and in use in the control
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 entry that lists those posts and officers that had phones issued to them. Q. Now turning to some questions about your work phone, can we go to E1069, please. This is DC Garcia's statement to the Inquiry, his first statement. And at paragraph 12, he says: "On 16 December 2024, DC Caruana handed to me a portable hard drive with several files. He explained that one of the files was the PSN i.e. UFDR of Mr McGrail's personal phone. [I think that means the image taken of Mr McGrail's phone.] After opening the UFD I tagged the following messages between 1 January 2020 and 30 June 2020." The final subparagraph (f) says: "No messages tagged between Mr McGrail and Mr Yeats." Am I correct that the number that is displayed there is your work number at the time? A. The 902, correct, yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	The phones are Apple iPhone SEs, running the Apple IOS system. The Apple phones were linked to an Apple iCloud email account created for the purpose of setting up each device. Both Mr Ullger and I still have use of these devices. An email discussing this with the then Senior Executive Officer is provided. In December 2020 I wrote to the Higher Executive Officer informing her that Mr Ullger, Mr Richardson, another officer and I had returned our Samsung phones and had been issued Apple iPhones. The RGP serial numbers of the phones are included. There is an error." There is no need to go into that. Then you say: "A search for the Samsung devices bearing these serial numbers has located two of them. Both are in use by officers. One is the Crown Sergeant's phone and in use in the control room and the other was in use with the Victim
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 entry that lists those posts and officers that had phones issued to them. Q. Now turning to some questions about your work phone, can we go to E1069, please. This is DC Garcia's statement to the Inquiry, his first statement. And at paragraph 12, he says: "On 16 December 2024, DC Caruana handed to me a portable hard drive with several files. He explained that one of the files was the PSN i.e. UFDR of Mr McGrail's personal phone. [I think that means the image taken of Mr McGrail's phone.] After opening the UFD I tagged the following messages between 1 January 2020 and 30 June 2020." The final subparagraph (f) says: "No messages tagged between Mr McGrail and Mr Yeats." Am I correct that the number that is displayed there is your work number at the time? A. The 902, correct, yes. Q. Yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	The phones are Apple iPhone SEs, running the Apple IOS system. The Apple phones were linked to an Apple iCloud email account created for the purpose of setting up each device. Both Mr Ullger and I still have use of these devices. An email discussing this with the then Senior Executive Officer is provided. In December 2020 I wrote to the Higher Executive Officer informing her that Mr Ullger, Mr Richardson, another officer and I had returned our Samsung phones and had been issued Apple iPhones. The RGP serial numbers of the phones are included. There is an error." There is no need to go into that. Then you say: "A search for the Samsung devices bearing these serial numbers has located two of them. Both are in use by officers. One is the Crown Sergeant's phone and in use in the control room and the other was in use with the Victim Support Unit. Both phones are now with our
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 entry that lists those posts and officers that had phones issued to them. Q. Now turning to some questions about your work phone, can we go to E1069, please. This is DC Garcia's statement to the Inquiry, his first statement. And at paragraph 12, he says: "On 16 December 2024, DC Caruana handed to me a portable hard drive with several files. He explained that one of the files was the PSN i.e. UFDR of Mr McGrail's personal phone. [I think that means the image taken of Mr McGrail's phone.] After opening the UFD I tagged the following messages between 1 January 2020 and 30 June 2020." The final subparagraph (f) says: "No messages tagged between Mr McGrail and Mr Yeats." Am I correct that the number that is displayed there is your work number at the time? A. The 902, correct, yes. Q. Yes. A. It still is. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	The phones are Apple iPhone SEs, running the Apple IOS system. The Apple phones were linked to an Apple iCloud email account created for the purpose of setting up each device. Both Mr Ullger and I still have use of these devices. An email discussing this with the then Senior Executive Officer is provided. In December 2020 I wrote to the Higher Executive Officer informing her that Mr Ullger, Mr Richardson, another officer and I had returned our Samsung phones and had been issued Apple iPhones. The RGP serial numbers of the phones are included. There is an error." There is no need to go into that. Then you say: "A search for the Samsung devices bearing these serial numbers has located two of them. Both are in use by officers. One is the Crown Sergeant's phone and in use in the control room and the other was in use with the Victim Support Unit. Both phones are now with our digital forensics unit for forensic
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 entry that lists those posts and officers that had phones issued to them. Q. Now turning to some questions about your work phone, can we go to E1069, please. This is DC Garcia's statement to the Inquiry, his first statement. And at paragraph 12, he says: "On 16 December 2024, DC Caruana handed to me a portable hard drive with several files. He explained that one of the files was the PSN i.e. UFDR of Mr McGrail's personal phone. [I think that means the image taken of Mr McGrail's phone.] After opening the UFD I tagged the following messages between 1 January 2020 and 30 June 2020." The final subparagraph (f) says: "No messages tagged between Mr McGrail and Mr Yeats." Am I correct that the number that is displayed there is your work number at the time? A. The 902, correct, yes. Q. Yes. A. It still is. Q. Did you ever exchange messages between 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	The phones are Apple iPhone SEs, running the Apple IOS system. The Apple phones were linked to an Apple iCloud email account created for the purpose of setting up each device. Both Mr Ullger and I still have use of these devices. An email discussing this with the then Senior Executive Officer is provided. In December 2020 I wrote to the Higher Executive Officer informing her that Mr Ullger, Mr Richardson, another officer and I had returned our Samsung phones and had been issued Apple iPhones. The RGP serial numbers of the phones are included. There is an error." There is no need to go into that. Then you say: "A search for the Samsung devices bearing these serial numbers has located two of them. Both are in use by officers. One is the Crown Sergeant's phone and in use in the control room and the other was in use with the Victim Support Unit. Both phones are now with our digital forensics unit for forensic examination."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 entry that lists those posts and officers that had phones issued to them. Q. Now turning to some questions about your work phone, can we go to E1069, please. This is DC Garcia's statement to the Inquiry, his first statement. And at paragraph 12, he says: "On 16 December 2024, DC Caruana handed to me a portable hard drive with several files. He explained that one of the files was the PSN i.e. UFDR of Mr McGrail's personal phone. [I think that means the image taken of Mr McGrail's phone.] After opening the UFD I tagged the following messages between 1 January 2020 and 30 June 2020." The final subparagraph (f) says: "No messages tagged between Mr McGrail and Mr Yeats." Am I correct that the number that is displayed there is your work number at the time? A. The 902, correct, yes. Q. Yes. A. It still is. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	The phones are Apple iPhone SEs, running the Apple IOS system. The Apple phones were linked to an Apple iCloud email account created for the purpose of setting up each device. Both Mr Ullger and I still have use of these devices. An email discussing this with the then Senior Executive Officer is provided. In December 2020 I wrote to the Higher Executive Officer informing her that Mr Ullger, Mr Richardson, another officer and I had returned our Samsung phones and had been issued Apple iPhones. The RGP serial numbers of the phones are included. There is an error." There is no need to go into that. Then you say: "A search for the Samsung devices bearing these serial numbers has located two of them. Both are in use by officers. One is the Crown Sergeant's phone and in use in the control room and the other was in use with the Victim Support Unit. Both phones are now with our digital forensics unit for forensic
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 entry that lists those posts and officers that had phones issued to them. Q. Now turning to some questions about your work phone, can we go to E1069, please. This is DC Garcia's statement to the Inquiry, his first statement. And at paragraph 12, he says: "On 16 December 2024, DC Caruana handed to me a portable hard drive with several files. He explained that one of the files was the PSN i.e. UFDR of Mr McGrail's personal phone. [I think that means the image taken of Mr McGrail's phone.] After opening the UFD I tagged the following messages between 1 January 2020 and 30 June 2020." The final subparagraph (f) says: "No messages tagged between Mr McGrail and Mr Yeats." Am I correct that the number that is displayed there is your work number at the time? A. The 902, correct, yes. Q. Yes. A. It still is. Q. Did you ever exchange messages between 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	The phones are Apple iPhone SEs, running the Apple IOS system. The Apple phones were linked to an Apple iCloud email account created for the purpose of setting up each device. Both Mr Ullger and I still have use of these devices. An email discussing this with the then Senior Executive Officer is provided. In December 2020 I wrote to the Higher Executive Officer informing her that Mr Ullger, Mr Richardson, another officer and I had returned our Samsung phones and had been issued Apple iPhones. The RGP serial numbers of the phones are included. There is an error." There is no need to go into that. Then you say: "A search for the Samsung devices bearing these serial numbers has located two of them. Both are in use by officers. One is the Crown Sergeant's phone and in use in the control room and the other was in use with the Victim Support Unit. Both phones are now with our digital forensics unit for forensic examination."

8 (Pages 29 to 32)

1	of pages on, you say:	1	in the previous paragraph. The situation as I
2	"I now realise, having reviewed all disclosure	2	describe it had been confirmed to me by DC
3	matters, that neither the RGP nor Mr Ullger,	3	Garcia."
4	Mr Richardson or I have access to any	4	Did the RGP attempt to restore the messages
5	WhatsApps held on RGP devices since	5	through Gmail?
6	November 2020. This includes the SMT chat.	6	A. Correct.
7	This is because when the change of phones	7	Q. Yes.
8	occurred from the Samsungs to the iPhones	8	A. So, just to give that some context, if I may.
9	different email accounts were used to set up	9	I mean, I think it was obviously obvious to
10	the phones. As I said in paragraph 11, 12 and	10	us that we didn't have the messages or any
11	13, the relevance is that any WhatsApp	11	messages on the phones back in 2022,
12	backups on the Samsung devices would have	12	whenever we carried out the disclosure
13	backed up to the associated Google Gmail	13	exercise and we looked at our own phones. So
14	email accounts. Therefore when the iPhones	14	that was obvious then. Um, I'm not sure at
15	were first set up with the Apple iCloud email	15	what point we realised or I realised that it was
16	account, the WhatsApp application was unable	16	because of the change of phones that those
17	to restore any messages. This is because	17	messages may have gone. Um, the realisation
18	WhatsApp backups are stored in the associated	18	of the importance of the Gmail account,
19	email account linked to the phone."	19	because if I explain, we tried various ways of
20	Can I ask first of all, why did the RGP make	20	identifying the phones that may have been in
21	the transition from Samsung to iPhone among	21	use by the relevant officers at the time. We
22	those four officers, including yourself?	22	used the SIM card and the number of the
23	A. Simply a preference for a different user	23	device, so we tried first identifying those
24	interface. There is no nothing further than	24	numbers that pertained to Mr McGrail at the
25	that.	25	time, myself, my sergeant, we looked at those
	Page 33		Page 35
			0
1	Q. As you say, the Samsungs were set up with	1	phones. The one that we thought was
2	Google email accounts and the iPhones were	2	Mr McGrail's we took for examination, which
2 3	Google email accounts and the iPhones were set up with Apple iCloud accounts. Does that	2 3	Mr McGrail's we took for examination, which is why we disclosed the message which we
2 3 4	Google email accounts and the iPhones were set up with Apple iCloud accounts. Does that mean that, just to be clear, that any WhatsApp	2 3 4	Mr McGrail's we took for examination, which is why we disclosed the message which we then had to correct and say actually it was not
2 3 4 5	Google email accounts and the iPhones were set up with Apple iCloud accounts. Does that mean that, just to be clear, that any WhatsApp message from before November 2020 would	2 3 4 5	Mr McGrail's we took for examination, which is why we disclosed the message which we then had to correct and say actually it was not the right phone.
2 3 4 5 6	Google email accounts and the iPhones were set up with Apple iCloud accounts. Does that mean that, just to be clear, that any WhatsApp message from before November 2020 would have backed up to the Gmail accounts?	2 3 4 5 6	Mr McGrail's we took for examination, which is why we disclosed the message which we then had to correct and say actually it was not the right phone. We then, um, looked at trying to identify the
2 3 4 5 6 7	Google email accounts and the iPhones were set up with Apple iCloud accounts. Does that mean that, just to be clear, that any WhatsApp message from before November 2020 would have backed up to the Gmail accounts? A. That is my understanding. Assuming that	2 3 4 5 6 7	Mr McGrail's we took for examination, which is why we disclosed the message which we then had to correct and say actually it was not the right phone. We then, um, looked at trying to identify the serial numbers that are native to the device
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Google email accounts and the iPhones were set up with Apple iCloud accounts. Does that mean that, just to be clear, that any WhatsApp message from before November 2020 would have backed up to the Gmail accounts? A. That is my understanding. Assuming that they would have been backed up, yes, that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Mr McGrail's we took for examination, which is why we disclosed the message which we then had to correct and say actually it was not the right phone. We then, um, looked at trying to identify the serial numbers that are native to the device itself. That didn't work either. That didn't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Google email accounts and the iPhones were set up with Apple iCloud accounts. Does that mean that, just to be clear, that any WhatsApp message from before November 2020 would have backed up to the Gmail accounts? A. That is my understanding. Assuming that they would have been backed up, yes, that would have been the case. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Mr McGrail's we took for examination, which is why we disclosed the message which we then had to correct and say actually it was not the right phone. We then, um, looked at trying to identify the serial numbers that are native to the device itself. That didn't work either. That didn't help us. And eventually what we relied upon
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Google email accounts and the iPhones were set up with Apple iCloud accounts. Does that mean that, just to be clear, that any WhatsApp message from before November 2020 would have backed up to the Gmail accounts? A. That is my understanding. Assuming that they would have been the case. Q. And what happened to those backups? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Mr McGrail's we took for examination, which is why we disclosed the message which we then had to correct and say actually it was not the right phone. We then, um, looked at trying to identify the serial numbers that are native to the device itself. That didn't work either. That didn't help us. And eventually what we relied upon was an RGP serial number that had been stuck
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Google email accounts and the iPhones were set up with Apple iCloud accounts. Does that mean that, just to be clear, that any WhatsApp message from before November 2020 would have backed up to the Gmail accounts? A. That is my understanding. Assuming that they would have been backed up, yes, that would have been the case. Q. And what happened to those backups? A. Well, I'm not sure that there were backups 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Mr McGrail's we took for examination, which is why we disclosed the message which we then had to correct and say actually it was not the right phone. We then, um, looked at trying to identify the serial numbers that are native to the device itself. That didn't work either. That didn't help us. And eventually what we relied upon was an RGP serial number that had been stuck on the device and a list of 2021 identified, that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Google email accounts and the iPhones were set up with Apple iCloud accounts. Does that mean that, just to be clear, that any WhatsApp message from before November 2020 would have backed up to the Gmail accounts? A. That is my understanding. Assuming that they would have been backed up, yes, that would have been the case. Q. And what happened to those backups? A. Well, I'm not sure that there were backups is the first point I would make to that question. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Mr McGrail's we took for examination, which is why we disclosed the message which we then had to correct and say actually it was not the right phone. We then, um, looked at trying to identify the serial numbers that are native to the device itself. That didn't work either. That didn't help us. And eventually what we relied upon was an RGP serial number that had been stuck on the device and a list of 2021 identified, that was created in 2021, helped to identify the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Google email accounts and the iPhones were set up with Apple iCloud accounts. Does that mean that, just to be clear, that any WhatsApp message from before November 2020 would have backed up to the Gmail accounts? A. That is my understanding. Assuming that they would have been backed up, yes, that would have been the case. Q. And what happened to those backups? A. Well, I'm not sure that there were backups is the first point I would make to that question. And we have attempted to recover them as we 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Mr McGrail's we took for examination, which is why we disclosed the message which we then had to correct and say actually it was not the right phone. We then, um, looked at trying to identify the serial numbers that are native to the device itself. That didn't work either. That didn't help us. And eventually what we relied upon was an RGP serial number that had been stuck on the device and a list of 2021 identified, that was created in 2021, helped to identify the serial numbers that we think were the phones
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Google email accounts and the iPhones were set up with Apple iCloud accounts. Does that mean that, just to be clear, that any WhatsApp message from before November 2020 would have backed up to the Gmail accounts? A. That is my understanding. Assuming that they would have been backed up, yes, that would have been the case. Q. And what happened to those backups? A. Well, I'm not sure that there were backups is the first point I would make to that question. And we have attempted to recover them as we describe, I think I describe in my statement 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Mr McGrail's we took for examination, which is why we disclosed the message which we then had to correct and say actually it was not the right phone. We then, um, looked at trying to identify the serial numbers that are native to the device itself. That didn't work either. That didn't help us. And eventually what we relied upon was an RGP serial number that had been stuck on the device and a list of 2021 identified, that was created in 2021, helped to identify the serial numbers that we think were the phones that were used by us at the time. And that's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Google email accounts and the iPhones were set up with Apple iCloud accounts. Does that mean that, just to be clear, that any WhatsApp message from before November 2020 would have backed up to the Gmail accounts? A. That is my understanding. Assuming that they would have been backed up, yes, that would have been the case. Q. And what happened to those backups? A. Well, I'm not sure that there were backups is the first point I would make to that question. And we have attempted to recover them as we describe, I think I describe in my statement and Mr Garcia does in his own evidence, and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Mr McGrail's we took for examination, which is why we disclosed the message which we then had to correct and say actually it was not the right phone. We then, um, looked at trying to identify the serial numbers that are native to the device itself. That didn't work either. That didn't help us. And eventually what we relied upon was an RGP serial number that had been stuck on the device and a list of 2021 identified, that was created in 2021, helped to identify the serial numbers that we think were the phones that were used by us at the time. And that's what I relate to in my previous paragraph
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Google email accounts and the iPhones were set up with Apple iCloud accounts. Does that mean that, just to be clear, that any WhatsApp message from before November 2020 would have backed up to the Gmail accounts? A. That is my understanding. Assuming that they would have been backed up, yes, that would have been the case. Q. And what happened to those backups? A. Well, I'm not sure that there were backups is the first point I would make to that question. And we have attempted to recover them as we describe, I think I describe in my statement and Mr Garcia does in his own evidence, and there were no backups to be recovered.	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ \end{array} $	Mr McGrail's we took for examination, which is why we disclosed the message which we then had to correct and say actually it was not the right phone. We then, um, looked at trying to identify the serial numbers that are native to the device itself. That didn't work either. That didn't help us. And eventually what we relied upon was an RGP serial number that had been stuck on the device and a list of 2021 identified, that was created in 2021, helped to identify the serial numbers that we think were the phones that were used by us at the time. And that's what I relate to in my previous paragraph where I say the Victim Support Unit and the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Google email accounts and the iPhones were set up with Apple iCloud accounts. Does that mean that, just to be clear, that any WhatsApp message from before November 2020 would have backed up to the Gmail accounts? A. That is my understanding. Assuming that they would have been backed up, yes, that would have been the case. Q. And what happened to those backups? A. Well, I'm not sure that there were backups is the first point I would make to that question. And we have attempted to recover them as we describe, I think I describe in my statement and Mr Garcia does in his own evidence, and there were no backups to be recovered. Q. If we can go to paragraph 27, the next	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Mr McGrail's we took for examination, which is why we disclosed the message which we then had to correct and say actually it was not the right phone. We then, um, looked at trying to identify the serial numbers that are native to the device itself. That didn't work either. That didn't help us. And eventually what we relied upon was an RGP serial number that had been stuck on the device and a list of 2021 identified, that was created in 2021, helped to identify the serial numbers that we think were the phones that were used by us at the time. And that's what I relate to in my previous paragraph where I say the Victim Support Unit and the control room had two of the phones that were
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Google email accounts and the iPhones were set up with Apple iCloud accounts. Does that mean that, just to be clear, that any WhatsApp message from before November 2020 would have backed up to the Gmail accounts? A. That is my understanding. Assuming that they would have been backed up, yes, that would have been the case. Q. And what happened to those backups? A. Well, I'm not sure that there were backups is the first point I would make to that question. And we have attempted to recover them as we describe, I think I describe in my statement and Mr Garcia does in his own evidence, and there were no backups to be recovered. Q. If we can go to paragraph 27, the next paragraph, you say: 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Mr McGrail's we took for examination, which is why we disclosed the message which we then had to correct and say actually it was not the right phone. We then, um, looked at trying to identify the serial numbers that are native to the device itself. That didn't work either. That didn't help us. And eventually what we relied upon was an RGP serial number that had been stuck on the device and a list of 2021 identified, that was created in 2021, helped to identify the serial numbers that we think were the phones that were used by us at the time. And that's what I relate to in my previous paragraph where I say the Victim Support Unit and the control room had two of the phones that were in use by Mr Richardson and myself at the
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ \end{array} $	Google email accounts and the iPhones were set up with Apple iCloud accounts. Does that mean that, just to be clear, that any WhatsApp message from before November 2020 would have backed up to the Gmail accounts? A. That is my understanding. Assuming that they would have been backed up, yes, that would have been the case. Q. And what happened to those backups? A. Well, I'm not sure that there were backups is the first point I would make to that question. And we have attempted to recover them as we describe, I think I describe in my statement and Mr Garcia does in his own evidence, and there were no backups to be recovered. Q. If we can go to paragraph 27, the next paragraph, you say: "I realised that this was the case in February of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Mr McGrail's we took for examination, which is why we disclosed the message which we then had to correct and say actually it was not the right phone. We then, um, looked at trying to identify the serial numbers that are native to the device itself. That didn't work either. That didn't help us. And eventually what we relied upon was an RGP serial number that had been stuck on the device and a list of 2021 identified, that was created in 2021, helped to identify the serial numbers that we think were the phones that were used by us at the time. And that's what I relate to in my previous paragraph where I say the Victim Support Unit and the control room had two of the phones that were in use by Mr Richardson and myself at the time. The phone that Mr Ullger had at the
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ \end{array}$	Google email accounts and the iPhones were set up with Apple iCloud accounts. Does that mean that, just to be clear, that any WhatsApp message from before November 2020 would have backed up to the Gmail accounts? A. That is my understanding. Assuming that they would have been backed up, yes, that would have been the case. Q. And what happened to those backups? A. Well, I'm not sure that there were backups is the first point I would make to that question. And we have attempted to recover them as we describe, I think I describe in my statement and Mr Garcia does in his own evidence, and there were no backups to be recovered. Q. If we can go to paragraph 27, the next paragraph, you say: "I realised that this was the case in February of 2025 on considering how to comply with the	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\end{array} $	Mr McGrail's we took for examination, which is why we disclosed the message which we then had to correct and say actually it was not the right phone. We then, um, looked at trying to identify the serial numbers that are native to the device itself. That didn't work either. That didn't help us. And eventually what we relied upon was an RGP serial number that had been stuck on the device and a list of 2021 identified, that was created in 2021, helped to identify the serial numbers that we think were the phones that were used by us at the time. And that's what I relate to in my previous paragraph where I say the Victim Support Unit and the control room had two of the phones that were in use by Mr Richardson and myself at the time. The phone that Mr Ullger had at the time was in a box with inoperable phones with
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ \end{array}$	Google email accounts and the iPhones were set up with Apple iCloud accounts. Does that mean that, just to be clear, that any WhatsApp message from before November 2020 would have backed up to the Gmail accounts? A. That is my understanding. Assuming that they would have been backed up, yes, that would have been the case. Q. And what happened to those backups? A. Well, I'm not sure that there were backups is the first point I would make to that question. And we have attempted to recover them as we describe, I think I describe in my statement and Mr Garcia does in his own evidence, and there were no backups to be recovered. Q. If we can go to paragraph 27, the next paragraph, you say: "I realised that this was the case in February of 2025 on considering how to comply with the ruling and the Inquiry's disclosure request. It	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\end{array} $	Mr McGrail's we took for examination, which is why we disclosed the message which we then had to correct and say actually it was not the right phone. We then, um, looked at trying to identify the serial numbers that are native to the device itself. That didn't work either. That didn't help us. And eventually what we relied upon was an RGP serial number that had been stuck on the device and a list of 2021 identified, that was created in 2021, helped to identify the serial numbers that we think were the phones that were used by us at the time. And that's what I relate to in my previous paragraph where I say the Victim Support Unit and the control room had two of the phones that were in use by Mr Richardson and myself at the time. The phone that Mr Ullger had at the time was in a box with inoperable phones with the Senior Executive Officer. That was also
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ \end{array}$	Google email accounts and the iPhones were set up with Apple iCloud accounts. Does that mean that, just to be clear, that any WhatsApp message from before November 2020 would have backed up to the Gmail accounts? A. That is my understanding. Assuming that they would have been backed up, yes, that would have been the case. Q. And what happened to those backups? A. Well, I'm not sure that there were backups is the first point I would make to that question. And we have attempted to recover them as we describe, I think I describe in my statement and Mr Garcia does in his own evidence, and there were no backups to be recovered. Q. If we can go to paragraph 27, the next paragraph, you say: "I realised that this was the case in February of 2025 on considering how to comply with the ruling and the Inquiry's disclosure request. It occurred to me we should try and restore	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ \end{array}$	Mr McGrail's we took for examination, which is why we disclosed the message which we then had to correct and say actually it was not the right phone. We then, um, looked at trying to identify the serial numbers that are native to the device itself. That didn't work either. That didn't help us. And eventually what we relied upon was an RGP serial number that had been stuck on the device and a list of 2021 identified, that was created in 2021, helped to identify the serial numbers that we think were the phones that were used by us at the time. And that's what I relate to in my previous paragraph where I say the Victim Support Unit and the control room had two of the phones that were in use by Mr Richardson and myself at the time. The phone that Mr Ullger had at the time was in a box with inoperable phones with the Senior Executive Officer. That was also taken down for forensic analysis and there
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ \end{array}$	Google email accounts and the iPhones were set up with Apple iCloud accounts. Does that mean that, just to be clear, that any WhatsApp message from before November 2020 would have backed up to the Gmail accounts? A. That is my understanding. Assuming that they would have been backed up, yes, that would have been the case. Q. And what happened to those backups? A. Well, I'm not sure that there were backups is the first point I would make to that question. And we have attempted to recover them as we describe, I think I describe in my statement and Mr Garcia does in his own evidence, and there were no backups to be recovered. Q. If we can go to paragraph 27, the next paragraph, you say: "I realised that this was the case in February of 2025 on considering how to comply with the ruling and the Inquiry's disclosure request. It occurred to me we should try and restore WhatsApp backups to obtain the requested	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\\23\end{array} $	Mr McGrail's we took for examination, which is why we disclosed the message which we then had to correct and say actually it was not the right phone. We then, um, looked at trying to identify the serial numbers that are native to the device itself. That didn't work either. That didn't help us. And eventually what we relied upon was an RGP serial number that had been stuck on the device and a list of 2021 identified, that was created in 2021, helped to identify the serial numbers that we think were the phones that were used by us at the time. And that's what I relate to in my previous paragraph where I say the Victim Support Unit and the control room had two of the phones that were in use by Mr Richardson and myself at the time. The phone that Mr Ullger had at the time was in a box with inoperable phones with the Senior Executive Officer. That was also taken down for forensic analysis and there were no phone no messages recovered.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Google email accounts and the iPhones were set up with Apple iCloud accounts. Does that mean that, just to be clear, that any WhatsApp message from before November 2020 would have backed up to the Gmail accounts? A. That is my understanding. Assuming that they would have been backed up, yes, that would have been the case. Q. And what happened to those backups? A. Well, I'm not sure that there were backups is the first point I would make to that question. And we have attempted to recover them as we describe, I think I describe in my statement and Mr Garcia does in his own evidence, and there were no backups to be recovered. Q. If we can go to paragraph 27, the next paragraph, you say: "I realised that this was the case in February of 2025 on considering how to comply with the ruling and the Inquiry's disclosure request. It occurred to me we should try and restore WhatsApp backups to obtain the requested data. It immediately became clear that this	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ \end{array}$	Mr McGrail's we took for examination, which is why we disclosed the message which we then had to correct and say actually it was not the right phone. We then, um, looked at trying to identify the serial numbers that are native to the device itself. That didn't work either. That didn't help us. And eventually what we relied upon was an RGP serial number that had been stuck on the device and a list of 2021 identified, that was created in 2021, helped to identify the serial numbers that we think were the phones that were used by us at the time. And that's what I relate to in my previous paragraph where I say the Victim Support Unit and the control room had two of the phones that were in use by Mr Richardson and myself at the time. The phone that Mr Ullger had at the time was in a box with inoperable phones with the Senior Executive Officer. That was also taken down for forensic analysis and there were no phone no messages recovered. That phone is also inoperable and it seems it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Google email accounts and the iPhones were set up with Apple iCloud accounts. Does that mean that, just to be clear, that any WhatsApp message from before November 2020 would have backed up to the Gmail accounts? A. That is my understanding. Assuming that they would have been backed up, yes, that would have been the case. Q. And what happened to those backups? A. Well, I'm not sure that there were backups is the first point I would make to that question. And we have attempted to recover them as we describe, I think I describe in my statement and Mr Garcia does in his own evidence, and there were no backups to be recovered. Q. If we can go to paragraph 27, the next paragraph, you say: "I realised that this was the case in February of 2025 on considering how to comply with the ruling and the Inquiry's disclosure request. It occurred to me we should try and restore WhatsApp backups to obtain the requested	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\\23\\24\end{array} $	Mr McGrail's we took for examination, which is why we disclosed the message which we then had to correct and say actually it was not the right phone. We then, um, looked at trying to identify the serial numbers that are native to the device itself. That didn't work either. That didn't help us. And eventually what we relied upon was an RGP serial number that had been stuck on the device and a list of 2021 identified, that was created in 2021, helped to identify the serial numbers that we think were the phones that were used by us at the time. And that's what I relate to in my previous paragraph where I say the Victim Support Unit and the control room had two of the phones that were in use by Mr Richardson and myself at the time. The phone that Mr Ullger had at the time was in a box with inoperable phones with the Senior Executive Officer. That was also taken down for forensic analysis and there were no phone no messages recovered.

9 (Pages 33 to 36)

1	time.	1	a change of person in a particular post, then
2	Q. And did you attempt in putting those Gmail	2	that phone should be available to the new
3	accounts into other Samsung phones to see	3	individual coming in, not the data in the
4	whether	4	phone. And perhaps we will come to that later,
5	A. So, my understanding is this was all done	5	but that was what the intention was. So, again,
6	by DC Garcia. He was asked to do all this and	6	we were setting these phones up as laymen.
7	my understanding is that he accessed the	7	We have no IT support to guide us through
8	Google drive itself to try and identify whether	8	such a process. So what those two, G and I
9	the backups existed and, um, I mean, I'm not	9	think I is the other one referred, are indicative
10	sure whether there was any backup in any of	10	of is that the phone was probably used by
11	those accounts, or whether all accounts were	11	a different officer at the time. The phone was
12	accessible, because remember that we stopped	12	repurposed when the individuals in that post
13	using those accounts effectively in 2020. So it	13	changed. It would have been wiped of all its
14	may be that Google has shut down those	14	data and reissued to the new incumbent. And,
15	accounts and made them inaccessible anyway,	15	you know, backups obviously were created but
16	I'm not sure. So yes, there was an attempt to	16	they related to the use of the use by, rather,
17	identify whether the backups were still	17	the second officer.
18	available, um, again, assuming that they had	18	Q. Understood. At the time of making the
19	been backed up in that form. But they were	19	transition from Samsung to iPhone, did you
20	not and nothing has been recovered.	20	realise that this would result in your previous
21	Q. Just in fairness to you, can I take you to	21	messages being lost?
22	E1071, which is where DC Garcia sets out the	22	A. No. As I said, Mr Santos, I have, in terms
23	findings of his attempts to access the backups.	23	of the phones and the effect that this would
24	I was just wondering whether you could help	24	have, the experience that a normal layperson
25	us with interpreting this. He says:	25	would have. I just did not know. I mean, I'm
			-
	Page 37		Page 39
1	"On 12 February 2025 Lying instructed to	1	not sure that anythe dy nealized the
1	"On 13 February 2025 I was instructed to access the Gmail accounts of every officer	$\begin{vmatrix} 1\\2 \end{vmatrix}$	not sure that anybody realised the
2	from CI rank and above to establish whether	$\begin{vmatrix} 2\\ 3 \end{vmatrix}$	consequences. Q. Was there no consultation with the IT, with
3	any WhatsApp backups were available. I	4	someone in IT within the RGP?
4 5	obtained the following information."	5	A. No. So, I mean, I think I explained this in
6	As you say, some of those, they refer to	6	my witness statement, or maybe Mr Ullger
7	account unavailable and others say backup, no		did, I forget now. We have support from the
8	backup available. Is there a difference	8	government's IT of the department. At that
9	between that, that on the one hand when it says	0	
		9	-
		9 10	time in 2019/2020, their view was that that
10	"account unavailable" it could not access the	10	time in 2019/2020, their view was that that was not something that they would support.
10 11	"account unavailable" it could not access the account itself, and that the other one, with that	10 11	time in 2019/2020, their view was that that was not something that they would support. They supported the network, the infrastructure,
10 11 12	"account unavailable" it could not access the account itself, and that the other one, with that backup unavailable, they actually managed to	10 11 12	time in 2019/2020, their view was that that was not something that they would support. They supported the network, the infrastructure, in terms of the physical IT, but not the mobile
10 11 12 13	"account unavailable" it could not access the account itself, and that the other one, with that backup unavailable, they actually managed to access the account but there was no backup?	10 11 12 13	time in 2019/2020, their view was that that was not something that they would support. They supported the network, the infrastructure, in terms of the physical IT, but not the mobile phones. I think we were, and still probably
10 11 12 13 14	"account unavailable" it could not access the account itself, and that the other one, with that backup unavailable, they actually managed to access the account but there was no backup? A. That is my understanding, yes.	10 11 12 13 14	time in 2019/2020, their view was that that was not something that they would support. They supported the network, the infrastructure, in terms of the physical IT, but not the mobile phones. I think we were, and still probably are, unique as a public sector organisation,
10 11 12 13 14 15	"account unavailable" it could not access the account itself, and that the other one, with that backup unavailable, they actually managed to access the account but there was no backup? A. That is my understanding, yes. Q. And then there are two, well, there is one	10 11 12 13 14 15	time in 2019/2020, their view was that that was not something that they would support. They supported the network, the infrastructure, in terms of the physical IT, but not the mobile phones. I think we were, and still probably are, unique as a public sector organisation, Gibraltar, having RGP or corporate phones.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 "account unavailable" it could not access the account itself, and that the other one, with that backup unavailable, they actually managed to access the account but there was no backup? A. That is my understanding, yes. Q. And then there are two, well, there is one example at least so, sorry, there two 	10 11 12 13 14 15 16	time in 2019/2020, their view was that that was not something that they would support. They supported the network, the infrastructure, in terms of the physical IT, but not the mobile phones. I think we were, and still probably are, unique as a public sector organisation, Gibraltar, having RGP or corporate phones. It's not my understanding that any other or
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 "account unavailable" it could not access the account itself, and that the other one, with that backup unavailable, they actually managed to access the account but there was no backup? A. That is my understanding, yes. Q. And then there are two, well, there is one example at least so, sorry, there two examples, G and I, of backups where the 	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	time in 2019/2020, their view was that that was not something that they would support. They supported the network, the infrastructure, in terms of the physical IT, but not the mobile phones. I think we were, and still probably are, unique as a public sector organisation, Gibraltar, having RGP or corporate phones. It's not my understanding that any other or many other, if any, government departments or
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 "account unavailable" it could not access the account itself, and that the other one, with that backup unavailable, they actually managed to access the account but there was no backup? A. That is my understanding, yes. Q. And then there are two, well, there is one example at least so, sorry, there two examples, G and I, of backups where the oldest chat goes up to 2024. Does that mean 	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	time in 2019/2020, their view was that that was not something that they would support. They supported the network, the infrastructure, in terms of the physical IT, but not the mobile phones. I think we were, and still probably are, unique as a public sector organisation, Gibraltar, having RGP or corporate phones. It's not my understanding that any other or many other, if any, government departments or public sector bodies have the same practice.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	"account unavailable" it could not access the account itself, and that the other one, with that backup unavailable, they actually managed to access the account but there was no backup? A. That is my understanding, yes. Q. And then there are two, well, there is one example at least so, sorry, there two examples, G and I, of backups where the oldest chat goes up to 2024. Does that mean that those individuals were using Samsung	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	time in 2019/2020, their view was that that was not something that they would support. They supported the network, the infrastructure, in terms of the physical IT, but not the mobile phones. I think we were, and still probably are, unique as a public sector organisation, Gibraltar, having RGP or corporate phones. It's not my understanding that any other or many other, if any, government departments or public sector bodies have the same practice. Q. It sounds from your answer that you did
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	"account unavailable" it could not access the account itself, and that the other one, with that backup unavailable, they actually managed to access the account but there was no backup? A. That is my understanding, yes. Q. And then there are two, well, there is one example at least so, sorry, there two examples, G and I, of backups where the oldest chat goes up to 2024. Does that mean that those individuals were using Samsung devices until 2024?	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	time in 2019/2020, their view was that that was not something that they would support. They supported the network, the infrastructure, in terms of the physical IT, but not the mobile phones. I think we were, and still probably are, unique as a public sector organisation, Gibraltar, having RGP or corporate phones. It's not my understanding that any other or many other, if any, government departments or public sector bodies have the same practice. Q. It sounds from your answer that you did not make any inquiries about how to backup or
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 "account unavailable" it could not access the account itself, and that the other one, with that backup unavailable, they actually managed to access the account but there was no backup? A. That is my understanding, yes. Q. And then there are two, well, there is one example at least so, sorry, there two examples, G and I, of backups where the oldest chat goes up to 2024. Does that mean that those individuals were using Samsung devices until 2024? A. So, I think that is a demonstration of the 	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	time in 2019/2020, their view was that that was not something that they would support. They supported the network, the infrastructure, in terms of the physical IT, but not the mobile phones. I think we were, and still probably are, unique as a public sector organisation, Gibraltar, having RGP or corporate phones. It's not my understanding that any other or many other, if any, government departments or public sector bodies have the same practice. Q. It sounds from your answer that you did not make any inquiries about how to backup or transfer the messages before that transition. Is
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 "account unavailable" it could not access the account itself, and that the other one, with that backup unavailable, they actually managed to access the account but there was no backup? A. That is my understanding, yes. Q. And then there are two, well, there is one example at least so, sorry, there two examples, G and I, of backups where the oldest chat goes up to 2024. Does that mean that those individuals were using Samsung devices until 2024? A. So, I think that is a demonstration of the policy in operation. Because the policy 	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	time in 2019/2020, their view was that that was not something that they would support. They supported the network, the infrastructure, in terms of the physical IT, but not the mobile phones. I think we were, and still probably are, unique as a public sector organisation, Gibraltar, having RGP or corporate phones. It's not my understanding that any other or many other, if any, government departments or public sector bodies have the same practice. Q. It sounds from your answer that you did not make any inquiries about how to backup or transfer the messages before that transition. Is that correct?
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 "account unavailable" it could not access the account itself, and that the other one, with that backup unavailable, they actually managed to access the account but there was no backup? A. That is my understanding, yes. Q. And then there are two, well, there is one example at least so, sorry, there two examples, G and I, of backups where the oldest chat goes up to 2024. Does that mean that those individuals were using Samsung devices until 2024? A. So, I think that is a demonstration of the policy in operation. Because the policy intended various things. One of them was that 	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	time in 2019/2020, their view was that that was not something that they would support. They supported the network, the infrastructure, in terms of the physical IT, but not the mobile phones. I think we were, and still probably are, unique as a public sector organisation, Gibraltar, having RGP or corporate phones. It's not my understanding that any other or many other, if any, government departments or public sector bodies have the same practice. Q. It sounds from your answer that you did not make any inquiries about how to backup or transfer the messages before that transition. Is that correct? A. Yes.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 "account unavailable" it could not access the account itself, and that the other one, with that backup unavailable, they actually managed to access the account but there was no backup? A. That is my understanding, yes. Q. And then there are two, well, there is one example at least so, sorry, there two examples, G and I, of backups where the oldest chat goes up to 2024. Does that mean that those individuals were using Samsung devices until 2024? A. So, I think that is a demonstration of the policy in operation. Because the policy intended various things. One of them was that the phone should be, um, with the post, not the 	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 time in 2019/2020, their view was that that was not something that they would support. They supported the network, the infrastructure, in terms of the physical IT, but not the mobile phones. I think we were, and still probably are, unique as a public sector organisation, Gibraltar, having RGP or corporate phones. It's not my understanding that any other or many other, if any, government departments or public sector bodies have the same practice. Q. It sounds from your answer that you did not make any inquiries about how to backup or transfer the messages before that transition. Is that correct? A. Yes. Q. Given the fact that by that stage the Inquiry
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 "account unavailable" it could not access the account itself, and that the other one, with that backup unavailable, they actually managed to access the account but there was no backup? A. That is my understanding, yes. Q. And then there are two, well, there is one example at least so, sorry, there two examples, G and I, of backups where the oldest chat goes up to 2024. Does that mean that those individuals were using Samsung devices until 2024? A. So, I think that is a demonstration of the policy in operation. Because the policy intended various things. One of them was that 	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	time in 2019/2020, their view was that that was not something that they would support. They supported the network, the infrastructure, in terms of the physical IT, but not the mobile phones. I think we were, and still probably are, unique as a public sector organisation, Gibraltar, having RGP or corporate phones. It's not my understanding that any other or many other, if any, government departments or public sector bodies have the same practice. Q. It sounds from your answer that you did not make any inquiries about how to backup or transfer the messages before that transition. Is that correct? A. Yes.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 "account unavailable" it could not access the account itself, and that the other one, with that backup unavailable, they actually managed to access the account but there was no backup? A. That is my understanding, yes. Q. And then there are two, well, there is one example at least so, sorry, there two examples, G and I, of backups where the oldest chat goes up to 2024. Does that mean that those individuals were using Samsung devices until 2024? A. So, I think that is a demonstration of the policy in operation. Because the policy intended various things. One of them was that the phone should be, um, with the post, not the 	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 time in 2019/2020, their view was that that was not something that they would support. They supported the network, the infrastructure, in terms of the physical IT, but not the mobile phones. I think we were, and still probably are, unique as a public sector organisation, Gibraltar, having RGP or corporate phones. It's not my understanding that any other or many other, if any, government departments or public sector bodies have the same practice. Q. It sounds from your answer that you did not make any inquiries about how to backup or transfer the messages before that transition. Is that correct? A. Yes. Q. Given the fact that by that stage the Inquiry

10 (Pages 37 to 40)

1	Parliament, why did you not seek to at least	1	by Mr McGrail and Mr Richardson remain in
2	preserve your messages with Mr McGrail from	2	use within the RGP but with different
3	that device?	3	telephone numbers. A forensic examination of
4	A. So, I think the answer is because it was in	4	every phone in the RGP would be required to
5	nobody's mind at all. It just wasn't in our	5	determine whether the RGP has messages in
6	minds. Um	6	its possession or control from Mr McGrail's
7	THE CHAIRMAN: (Inaudible)?	7	and Mr Richardson's work phones in 2020."
8	A. Well, no.	8	Are those two phones that you refer to, first of
9	THE CHAIRMAN: That is right, is it not?	9	all, in 17, are those the same ones that Police
10	A. I think two things, sir. One is we didn't	10	Sergeant Connor had previously examined?
11	think that we lost, until of course they were	11	(Pause). I can take you to 272 where this is
12	lost, but also that I'm not sure that anybody	12	mentioned, perhaps in fairness to you.
13	was particularly thinking that those WhatsApp	13	(Pause). This is a witness statement of Police
14	messages would be particularly important. I	14	Sergeant Martin Connor and in paragraph 4 he
15	am not going to use the word relevant. You	15	says, well, at paragraph 3 he says that:
16	know, I described how we mostly	16	"Superintendent Wyan requested his assistance
17	communicated. Um, we certainly didn't have	17	in carrying out a physical check of a mobile
18	any idea of what would transpire and why we	18	device."
19	would be here today considering these	19	And then in paragraph 4 he says that the
20	WhatsApps in such detail.	20	phone, he was informed that the phone was
21	MR SANTOS: Can I now take you, please, to	21	previously used by ex Commissioner McGrail.
22	E278. This is your witness statement, your	22	Is that what you are referring to in your
23 24	fifth witness statement, in which you answered a number of questions put to you by the	23	statement?
24	Inquiry, and the question there, above	24 25	A. Yes, correct, and at that stage we were still assuming, incorrectly as it turned out, that the
23	inquiry, and the question there, above	23	assuming, incorrectly as it turned out, that the
	Page 41		Page 43
	1.15		
1	paragraph 17, is:	1	SIM cards and the numbers pertaining to those
2	"An express answer to the question in our	2	SIM cards were with the phone that they had
2 3	"An express answer to the question in our email of 25 November, namely, as from 12	2 3	SIM cards were with the phone that they had originally been back in 2020. It turned out not
2 3 4	"An express answer to the question in our email of 25 November, namely, as from 12 May in particular can the RGP please confirm	2 3 4	SIM cards were with the phone that they had originally been back in 2020. It turned out not to be the case, as I mentioned earlier.
2 3 4 5	"An express answer to the question in our email of 25 November, namely, as from 12 May in particular can the RGP please confirm whether it has in its possession or control,	2 3 4 5	SIM cards were with the phone that they had originally been back in 2020. It turned out not to be the case, as I mentioned earlier. Q. Can we now go to E877, please.
2 3 4 5 6	"An express answer to the question in our email of 25 November, namely, as from 12 May in particular can the RGP please confirm whether it has in its possession or control, whether or not the messages are relevant to the	2 3 4 5 6	SIM cards were with the phone that they had originally been back in 2020. It turned out not to be the case, as I mentioned earlier.Q. Can we now go to E877, please.Paragraph 12 at the bottom of this page, we
2 3 4 5 6 7	"An express answer to the question in our email of 25 November, namely, as from 12 May in particular can the RGP please confirm whether it has in its possession or control, whether or not the messages are relevant to the list of issues?"	2 3 4 5 6 7	SIM cards were with the phone that they had originally been back in 2020. It turned out not to be the case, as I mentioned earlier.Q. Can we now go to E877, please.Paragraph 12 at the bottom of this page, we have already looked at it, but the final five
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	"An express answer to the question in our email of 25 November, namely, as from 12 May in particular can the RGP please confirm whether it has in its possession or control, whether or not the messages are relevant to the list of issues?" And then we set out specific, for example, one	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	SIM cards were with the phone that they had originally been back in 2020. It turned out not to be the case, as I mentioned earlier. Q. Can we now go to E877, please. Paragraph 12 at the bottom of this page, we have already looked at it, but the final five lines of that paragraph, over the page, just to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	"An express answer to the question in our email of 25 November, namely, as from 12 May in particular can the RGP please confirm whether it has in its possession or control, whether or not the messages are relevant to the list of issues?" And then we set out specific, for example, one example is below these two paragraphs:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	SIM cards were with the phone that they had originally been back in 2020. It turned out not to be the case, as I mentioned earlier. Q. Can we now go to E877, please. Paragraph 12 at the bottom of this page, we have already looked at it, but the final five lines of that paragraph, over the page, just to recap, you say:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 "An express answer to the question in our email of 25 November, namely, as from 12 May in particular can the RGP please confirm whether it has in its possession or control, whether or not the messages are relevant to the list of issues?" And then we set out specific, for example, one example is below these two paragraphs: "Outgoing messages from IM's work phone." 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	SIM cards were with the phone that they had originally been back in 2020. It turned out not to be the case, as I mentioned earlier. Q. Can we now go to E877, please. Paragraph 12 at the bottom of this page, we have already looked at it, but the final five lines of that paragraph, over the page, just to recap, you say: "A search for the Samsung devices has located
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 "An express answer to the question in our email of 25 November, namely, as from 12 May in particular can the RGP please confirm whether it has in its possession or control, whether or not the messages are relevant to the list of issues?" And then we set out specific, for example, one example is below these two paragraphs: "Outgoing messages from IM's work phone." As an preamble you say the following, in 17 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	SIM cards were with the phone that they had originally been back in 2020. It turned out not to be the case, as I mentioned earlier. Q. Can we now go to E877, please. Paragraph 12 at the bottom of this page, we have already looked at it, but the final five lines of that paragraph, over the page, just to recap, you say: "A search for the Samsung devices has located two of them. Both are in use by officers. One
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	"An express answer to the question in our email of 25 November, namely, as from 12 May in particular can the RGP please confirm whether it has in its possession or control, whether or not the messages are relevant to the list of issues?" And then we set out specific, for example, one example is below these two paragraphs: "Outgoing messages from IM's work phone." As an preamble you say the following, in 17 and 18:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 SIM cards were with the phone that they had originally been back in 2020. It turned out not to be the case, as I mentioned earlier. Q. Can we now go to E877, please. Paragraph 12 at the bottom of this page, we have already looked at it, but the final five lines of that paragraph, over the page, just to recap, you say: "A search for the Samsung devices has located
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 "An express answer to the question in our email of 25 November, namely, as from 12 May in particular can the RGP please confirm whether it has in its possession or control, whether or not the messages are relevant to the list of issues?" And then we set out specific, for example, one example is below these two paragraphs: "Outgoing messages from IM's work phone." As an preamble you say the following, in 17 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	SIM cards were with the phone that they had originally been back in 2020. It turned out not to be the case, as I mentioned earlier. Q. Can we now go to E877, please. Paragraph 12 at the bottom of this page, we have already looked at it, but the final five lines of that paragraph, over the page, just to recap, you say: "A search for the Samsung devices has located two of them. Both are in use by officers. One is the Crown Sergeant's phone in use in the control room and the other was in use with the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 "An express answer to the question in our email of 25 November, namely, as from 12 May in particular can the RGP please confirm whether it has in its possession or control, whether or not the messages are relevant to the list of issues?" And then we set out specific, for example, one example is below these two paragraphs: "Outgoing messages from IM's work phone." As an preamble you say the following, in 17 and 18: "Following the request for additional 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	SIM cards were with the phone that they had originally been back in 2020. It turned out not to be the case, as I mentioned earlier. Q. Can we now go to E877, please. Paragraph 12 at the bottom of this page, we have already looked at it, but the final five lines of that paragraph, over the page, just to recap, you say: "A search for the Samsung devices has located two of them. Both are in use by officers. One is the Crown Sergeant's phone in use in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 "An express answer to the question in our email of 25 November, namely, as from 12 May in particular can the RGP please confirm whether it has in its possession or control, whether or not the messages are relevant to the list of issues?" And then we set out specific, for example, one example is below these two paragraphs: "Outgoing messages from IM's work phone." As an preamble you say the following, in 17 and 18: "Following the request for additional disclosure and a widening of the time 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	SIM cards were with the phone that they had originally been back in 2020. It turned out not to be the case, as I mentioned earlier. Q. Can we now go to E877, please. Paragraph 12 at the bottom of this page, we have already looked at it, but the final five lines of that paragraph, over the page, just to recap, you say: "A search for the Samsung devices has located two of them. Both are in use by officers. One is the Crown Sergeant's phone in use in the control room and the other was in use with the Victim Support Unit. Both phones are now
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 "An express answer to the question in our email of 25 November, namely, as from 12 May in particular can the RGP please confirm whether it has in its possession or control, whether or not the messages are relevant to the list of issues?" And then we set out specific, for example, one example is below these two paragraphs: "Outgoing messages from IM's work phone." As an preamble you say the following, in 17 and 18: "Following the request for additional disclosure and a widening of the time parameters from 12 May 2020 to 9 June 2020, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	SIM cards were with the phone that they had originally been back in 2020. It turned out not to be the case, as I mentioned earlier. Q. Can we now go to E877, please. Paragraph 12 at the bottom of this page, we have already looked at it, but the final five lines of that paragraph, over the page, just to recap, you say: "A search for the Samsung devices has located two of them. Both are in use by officers. One is the Crown Sergeant's phone in use in the control room and the other was in use with the Victim Support Unit. Both phones are now with our digital forensics unit for a forensic
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ \end{array} $	 "An express answer to the question in our email of 25 November, namely, as from 12 May in particular can the RGP please confirm whether it has in its possession or control, whether or not the messages are relevant to the list of issues?" And then we set out specific, for example, one example is below these two paragraphs: "Outgoing messages from IM's work phone." As an preamble you say the following, in 17 and 18: "Following the request for additional disclosure and a widening of the time parameters from 12 May 2020 to 9 June 2020, to 1 January 2020 to 30 June 2020, the phones 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	SIM cards were with the phone that they had originally been back in 2020. It turned out not to be the case, as I mentioned earlier. Q. Can we now go to E877, please. Paragraph 12 at the bottom of this page, we have already looked at it, but the final five lines of that paragraph, over the page, just to recap, you say: "A search for the Samsung devices has located two of them. Both are in use by officers. One is the Crown Sergeant's phone in use in the control room and the other was in use with the Victim Support Unit. Both phones are now with our digital forensics unit for a forensic examination."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 "An express answer to the question in our email of 25 November, namely, as from 12 May in particular can the RGP please confirm whether it has in its possession or control, whether or not the messages are relevant to the list of issues?" And then we set out specific, for example, one example is below these two paragraphs: "Outgoing messages from IM's work phone." As an preamble you say the following, in 17 and 18: "Following the request for additional disclosure and a widening of the time parameters from 12 May 2020 to 9 June 2020, to 1 January 2020 to 30 June 2020, the phones believed to have been in possession of 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	SIM cards were with the phone that they had originally been back in 2020. It turned out not to be the case, as I mentioned earlier. Q. Can we now go to E877, please. Paragraph 12 at the bottom of this page, we have already looked at it, but the final five lines of that paragraph, over the page, just to recap, you say: "A search for the Samsung devices has located two of them. Both are in use by officers. One is the Crown Sergeant's phone in use in the control room and the other was in use with the Victim Support Unit. Both phones are now with our digital forensics unit for a forensic examination." I think you were saying earlier that they have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 "An express answer to the question in our email of 25 November, namely, as from 12 May in particular can the RGP please confirm whether it has in its possession or control, whether or not the messages are relevant to the list of issues?" And then we set out specific, for example, one example is below these two paragraphs: "Outgoing messages from IM's work phone." As an preamble you say the following, in 17 and 18: "Following the request for additional disclosure and a widening of the time parameters from 12 May 2020 to 9 June 2020, to 1 January 2020 to 30 June 2020, the phones believed to have been in possession of Mr McGrail and Mr Richardson were sent to 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	SIM cards were with the phone that they had originally been back in 2020. It turned out not to be the case, as I mentioned earlier. Q. Can we now go to E877, please. Paragraph 12 at the bottom of this page, we have already looked at it, but the final five lines of that paragraph, over the page, just to recap, you say: "A search for the Samsung devices has located two of them. Both are in use by officers. One is the Crown Sergeant's phone in use in the control room and the other was in use with the Victim Support Unit. Both phones are now with our digital forensics unit for a forensic examination." I think you were saying earlier that they have now been examined and that nothing has been
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 "An express answer to the question in our email of 25 November, namely, as from 12 May in particular can the RGP please confirm whether it has in its possession or control, whether or not the messages are relevant to the list of issues?" And then we set out specific, for example, one example is below these two paragraphs: "Outgoing messages from IM's work phone." As an preamble you say the following, in 17 and 18: "Following the request for additional disclosure and a widening of the time parameters from 12 May 2020 to 9 June 2020, to 1 January 2020 to 30 June 2020, the phones believed to have been in possession of Mr McGrail and Mr Richardson were sent to the RGP's digital forensic unit for analysis and 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	SIM cards were with the phone that they had originally been back in 2020. It turned out not to be the case, as I mentioned earlier. Q. Can we now go to E877, please. Paragraph 12 at the bottom of this page, we have already looked at it, but the final five lines of that paragraph, over the page, just to recap, you say: "A search for the Samsung devices has located two of them. Both are in use by officers. One is the Crown Sergeant's phone in use in the control room and the other was in use with the Victim Support Unit. Both phones are now with our digital forensics unit for a forensic examination." I think you were saying earlier that they have now been examined and that nothing has been found on those devices.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 "An express answer to the question in our email of 25 November, namely, as from 12 May in particular can the RGP please confirm whether it has in its possession or control, whether or not the messages are relevant to the list of issues?" And then we set out specific, for example, one example is below these two paragraphs: "Outgoing messages from IM's work phone." As an preamble you say the following, in 17 and 18: "Following the request for additional disclosure and a widening of the time parameters from 12 May 2020 to 9 June 2020, to 1 January 2020 to 30 June 2020, the phones believed to have been in possession of Mr McGrail and Mr Richardson were sent to the RGP's digital forensic unit for analysis and the extraction of messages. An analysis of both phones has revealed that neither of the phones now believed to have been in the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 SIM cards were with the phone that they had originally been back in 2020. It turned out not to be the case, as I mentioned earlier. Q. Can we now go to E877, please. Paragraph 12 at the bottom of this page, we have already looked at it, but the final five lines of that paragraph, over the page, just to recap, you say: "A search for the Samsung devices has located two of them. Both are in use by officers. One is the Crown Sergeant's phone in use in the control room and the other was in use with the Victim Support Unit. Both phones are now with our digital forensics unit for a forensic examination." I think you were saying earlier that they have now been examined and that nothing has been found on those devices. A. Correct, and beyond those two we also
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 "An express answer to the question in our email of 25 November, namely, as from 12 May in particular can the RGP please confirm whether it has in its possession or control, whether or not the messages are relevant to the list of issues?" And then we set out specific, for example, one example is below these two paragraphs: "Outgoing messages from IM's work phone." As an preamble you say the following, in 17 and 18: "Following the request for additional disclosure and a widening of the time parameters from 12 May 2020 to 9 June 2020, to 1 January 2020 to 30 June 2020, the phones believed to have been in possession of Mr McGrail and Mr Richardson were sent to the RGP's digital forensic unit for analysis and the extraction of messages. An analysis of both phones has revealed that neither of the phones now believed to have been in the official of Mr McGrail and in the possession of 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 SIM cards were with the phone that they had originally been back in 2020. It turned out not to be the case, as I mentioned earlier. Q. Can we now go to E877, please. Paragraph 12 at the bottom of this page, we have already looked at it, but the final five lines of that paragraph, over the page, just to recap, you say: "A search for the Samsung devices has located two of them. Both are in use by officers. One is the Crown Sergeant's phone in use in the control room and the other was in use with the Victim Support Unit. Both phones are now with our digital forensics unit for a forensic examination." I think you were saying earlier that they have now been examined and that nothing has been found on those devices. A. Correct, and beyond those two we also identified the one that Mr Ullger had in use at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 "An express answer to the question in our email of 25 November, namely, as from 12 May in particular can the RGP please confirm whether it has in its possession or control, whether or not the messages are relevant to the list of issues?" And then we set out specific, for example, one example is below these two paragraphs: "Outgoing messages from IM's work phone." As an preamble you say the following, in 17 and 18: "Following the request for additional disclosure and a widening of the time parameters from 12 May 2020 to 9 June 2020, to 1 January 2020 to 30 June 2020, the phones believed to have been in possession of Mr McGrail and Mr Richardson were sent to the RGP's digital forensic unit for analysis and the extraction of messages. An analysis of both phones has revealed that neither of the phones now believed to have been in the official of Mr McGrail and in the possession of Mr Richardson were in fact in use by them at 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 SIM cards were with the phone that they had originally been back in 2020. It turned out not to be the case, as I mentioned earlier. Q. Can we now go to E877, please. Paragraph 12 at the bottom of this page, we have already looked at it, but the final five lines of that paragraph, over the page, just to recap, you say: "A search for the Samsung devices has located two of them. Both are in use by officers. One is the Crown Sergeant's phone in use in the control room and the other was in use with the Victim Support Unit. Both phones are now with our digital forensics unit for a forensic examination." I think you were saying earlier that they have now been examined and that nothing has been found on those devices. A. Correct, and beyond those two we also identified the one that Mr Ullger had in use at the time and the same result. Q. Thank you. A. (Overspeaking).
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 "An express answer to the question in our email of 25 November, namely, as from 12 May in particular can the RGP please confirm whether it has in its possession or control, whether or not the messages are relevant to the list of issues?" And then we set out specific, for example, one example is below these two paragraphs: "Outgoing messages from IM's work phone." As an preamble you say the following, in 17 and 18: "Following the request for additional disclosure and a widening of the time parameters from 12 May 2020 to 9 June 2020, to 1 January 2020 to 30 June 2020, the phones believed to have been in possession of Mr McGrail and Mr Richardson were sent to the RGP's digital forensic unit for analysis and the extraction of messages. An analysis of both phones has revealed that neither of the phones now believed to have been in the official of Mr McGrail and in the possession of 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 SIM cards were with the phone that they had originally been back in 2020. It turned out not to be the case, as I mentioned earlier. Q. Can we now go to E877, please. Paragraph 12 at the bottom of this page, we have already looked at it, but the final five lines of that paragraph, over the page, just to recap, you say: "A search for the Samsung devices has located two of them. Both are in use by officers. One is the Crown Sergeant's phone in use in the control room and the other was in use with the Victim Support Unit. Both phones are now with our digital forensics unit for a forensic examination." I think you were saying earlier that they have now been examined and that nothing has been found on those devices. A. Correct, and beyond those two we also identified the one that Mr Ullger had in use at the time and the same result. Q. Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 "An express answer to the question in our email of 25 November, namely, as from 12 May in particular can the RGP please confirm whether it has in its possession or control, whether or not the messages are relevant to the list of issues?" And then we set out specific, for example, one example is below these two paragraphs: "Outgoing messages from IM's work phone." As an preamble you say the following, in 17 and 18: "Following the request for additional disclosure and a widening of the time parameters from 12 May 2020 to 9 June 2020, to 1 January 2020 to 30 June 2020, the phones believed to have been in possession of Mr McGrail and Mr Richardson were sent to the RGP's digital forensic unit for analysis and the extraction of messages. An analysis of both phones has revealed that neither of the phones now believed to have been in the official of Mr McGrail and in the possession of Mr Richardson were in fact in use by them at 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 SIM cards were with the phone that they had originally been back in 2020. It turned out not to be the case, as I mentioned earlier. Q. Can we now go to E877, please. Paragraph 12 at the bottom of this page, we have already looked at it, but the final five lines of that paragraph, over the page, just to recap, you say: "A search for the Samsung devices has located two of them. Both are in use by officers. One is the Crown Sergeant's phone in use in the control room and the other was in use with the Victim Support Unit. Both phones are now with our digital forensics unit for a forensic examination." I think you were saying earlier that they have now been examined and that nothing has been found on those devices. A. Correct, and beyond those two we also identified the one that Mr Ullger had in use at the time and the same result. Q. Thank you. A. (Overspeaking).

Epiq Europe Ltd +44 (0) 207 404 1400 11 (Pages 41 to 44)

		1	
1	A. No, I'm just finishing off the sentence.	1	most of it that I recall and certainly is the case
2	Q. If we can go to E881, please, paragraph 29.	2	now is some a senior officer, often the
3	Can I just read this paragraph:		Commissioner, inquiring about a particular
4	"Notwithstanding this and in an abundance of	4	issue. And it may be at a weekend asking, you
5	caution, an exercise to establish whether any	5	know, "Who is the senior officer on call?"
6	of the over 50 mobile telephones that the RGP	6	Once that response is given the
7	has today could be one of those in the	7	communications usually tended to then move
8	possession of Mr McGrail, Mr Ullger,	8	on to the direct communication between the
9	Mr Richardson or myself in early 2020 has	9	two around whatever issue was going to be
10	been conducted. The intention is to have any	10	explored. That really is my sense and
11	such device identified and then forensically	11	experience of what that chat was used for.
12	examined by the DFU in the extremely	12	Q. When you say little, if you can assist
13	unlikely event that any relevant data can be	13	a little further. For example, is it used daily,
14	recovered. This exercise will be completed	14	weekly, monthly?
15	not later than 31 March and the Inquiry will be	15	A. I mean, it would depend. I mean, on
16	immediately informed if the exercise yields	16	occasion it has even been about, you know,
17	any relevant data, although, as I say, I	17	a retired officer having passed way, for
18	understand this to be extremely unlikely."	18	example, being communicated through that
19	Presumably we have not heard from you	19	means. But it would depend on the
20	because nothing has been found for that	20	circumstance. I wouldn't be able to say.
21	exercise.	21	Certainly not daily. Whether there were
22	A. Correct. And it is also, um, I think	22	occasions when there were daily messages,
23	appropriate to say that we have very few the	23	that's absolutely not my experience at all.
24	Samsung A10 phones that were bought in	24	Q. And would you say that the rate of usage
25	2019 remaining in the force. Many have had	25	was similar in 2020?
23	2017 remaining in the force. Many have had		(ius 5), initial in 2020.
	Page 45		Page 47
1	to be non-local because they have just un	1	A Tale differents to cost your moments heads to
1	to be replaced because they have just um,	1	A. It's difficult to cast your memory back to that time, but I suppose so
2	wear and tear and the like.	2	that time, but I suppose so.
2 3	wear and tear and the like.Q. At this stage, is there any realistic prospect	2 3	that time, but I suppose so.Q. Would that group have included messages
2 3 4	wear and tear and the like. Q. At this stage, is there any realistic prospect that further relevant messages will be	2 3 4	that time, but I suppose so. Q. Would that group have included messages about Mr McGrail and the events that occurred
2 3 4 5	wear and tear and the like. Q. At this stage, is there any realistic prospect that further relevant messages will be recovered through these processes?	2 3 4 5	that time, but I suppose so. Q. Would that group have included messages about Mr McGrail and the events that occurred in May and June 2020?
2 3 4 5 6	wear and tear and the like.Q. At this stage, is there any realistic prospect that further relevant messages will be recovered through these processes?A. I don't think so, no.	2 3 4 5 6	that time, but I suppose so.Q. Would that group have included messages about Mr McGrail and the events that occurred in May and June 2020?A. I cannot discount it, so I cannot say yes or
2 3 4 5 6 7	 wear and tear and the like. Q. At this stage, is there any realistic prospect that further relevant messages will be recovered through these processes? A. I don't think so, no. Q. Can we now turn to the SMT group chat. 	2 3 4 5 6 7	 that time, but I suppose so. Q. Would that group have included messages about Mr McGrail and the events that occurred in May and June 2020? A. I cannot discount it, so I cannot say yes or no. Um, but as I explained earlier, it would
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 wear and tear and the like. Q. At this stage, is there any realistic prospect that further relevant messages will be recovered through these processes? A. I don't think so, no. Q. Can we now turn to the SMT group chat. Am I correct that in the transition from 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 that time, but I suppose so. Q. Would that group have included messages about Mr McGrail and the events that occurred in May and June 2020? A. I cannot discount it, so I cannot say yes or no. Um, but as I explained earlier, it would have been unlikely that we would have been
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 wear and tear and the like. Q. At this stage, is there any realistic prospect that further relevant messages will be recovered through these processes? A. I don't think so, no. Q. Can we now turn to the SMT group chat. Am I correct that in the transition from Samsung to iPhone that the messages that you 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 that time, but I suppose so. Q. Would that group have included messages about Mr McGrail and the events that occurred in May and June 2020? A. I cannot discount it, so I cannot say yes or no. Um, but as I explained earlier, it would have been unlikely that we would have been communicating on issues around that, um, on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 wear and tear and the like. Q. At this stage, is there any realistic prospect that further relevant messages will be recovered through these processes? A. I don't think so, no. Q. Can we now turn to the SMT group chat. Am I correct that in the transition from Samsung to iPhone that the messages that you lost from your work phone would have 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 that time, but I suppose so. Q. Would that group have included messages about Mr McGrail and the events that occurred in May and June 2020? A. I cannot discount it, so I cannot say yes or no. Um, but as I explained earlier, it would have been unlikely that we would have been communicating on issues around that, um, on the SMT chats when (a) we were all in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 wear and tear and the like. Q. At this stage, is there any realistic prospect that further relevant messages will be recovered through these processes? A. I don't think so, no. Q. Can we now turn to the SMT group chat. Am I correct that in the transition from Samsung to iPhone that the messages that you lost from your work phone would have included the SMT group chat? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 that time, but I suppose so. Q. Would that group have included messages about Mr McGrail and the events that occurred in May and June 2020? A. I cannot discount it, so I cannot say yes or no. Um, but as I explained earlier, it would have been unlikely that we would have been communicating on issues around that, um, on the SMT chats when (a) we were all in the same building most of the time for eight hours
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 wear and tear and the like. Q. At this stage, is there any realistic prospect that further relevant messages will be recovered through these processes? A. I don't think so, no. Q. Can we now turn to the SMT group chat. Am I correct that in the transition from Samsung to iPhone that the messages that you lost from your work phone would have included the SMT group chat? A. So, do you mean in November 2020 or 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 that time, but I suppose so. Q. Would that group have included messages about Mr McGrail and the events that occurred in May and June 2020? A. I cannot discount it, so I cannot say yes or no. Um, but as I explained earlier, it would have been unlikely that we would have been communicating on issues around that, um, on the SMT chats when (a) we were all in the same building most of the time for eight hours a day, and (b), as I explained earlier, you will
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 wear and tear and the like. Q. At this stage, is there any realistic prospect that further relevant messages will be recovered through these processes? A. I don't think so, no. Q. Can we now turn to the SMT group chat. Am I correct that in the transition from Samsung to iPhone that the messages that you lost from your work phone would have included the SMT group chat? A. So, do you mean in November 2020 or Q. Yes. In the transition from Samsung to 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 that time, but I suppose so. Q. Would that group have included messages about Mr McGrail and the events that occurred in May and June 2020? A. I cannot discount it, so I cannot say yes or no. Um, but as I explained earlier, it would have been unlikely that we would have been communicating on issues around that, um, on the SMT chats when (a) we were all in the same building most of the time for eight hours a day, and (b), as I explained earlier, you will have seen that nine-tenths of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 wear and tear and the like. Q. At this stage, is there any realistic prospect that further relevant messages will be recovered through these processes? A. I don't think so, no. Q. Can we now turn to the SMT group chat. Am I correct that in the transition from Samsung to iPhone that the messages that you lost from your work phone would have included the SMT group chat? A. So, do you mean in November 2020 orQ. Yes. In the transition from Samsung to iPhone. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 that time, but I suppose so. Q. Would that group have included messages about Mr McGrail and the events that occurred in May and June 2020? A. I cannot discount it, so I cannot say yes or no. Um, but as I explained earlier, it would have been unlikely that we would have been communicating on issues around that, um, on the SMT chats when (a) we were all in the same building most of the time for eight hours a day, and (b), as I explained earlier, you will have seen that nine-tenths of the communication on my personal phone with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 wear and tear and the like. Q. At this stage, is there any realistic prospect that further relevant messages will be recovered through these processes? A. I don't think so, no. Q. Can we now turn to the SMT group chat. Am I correct that in the transition from Samsung to iPhone that the messages that you lost from your work phone would have included the SMT group chat? A. So, do you mean in November 2020 or Q. Yes. In the transition from Samsung to iPhone. A. Yes, of course, yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 that time, but I suppose so. Q. Would that group have included messages about Mr McGrail and the events that occurred in May and June 2020? A. I cannot discount it, so I cannot say yes or no. Um, but as I explained earlier, it would have been unlikely that we would have been communicating on issues around that, um, on the SMT chats when (a) we were all in the same building most of the time for eight hours a day, and (b), as I explained earlier, you will have seen that nine-tenths of the communication on my personal phone with Mr McGrail was outside working hours. So it
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ \end{array} $	 wear and tear and the like. Q. At this stage, is there any realistic prospect that further relevant messages will be recovered through these processes? A. I don't think so, no. Q. Can we now turn to the SMT group chat. Am I correct that in the transition from Samsung to iPhone that the messages that you lost from your work phone would have included the SMT group chat? A. So, do you mean in November 2020 or Q. Yes. In the transition from Samsung to iPhone. A. Yes, of course, yes. Q. I think you have already explained that the 	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ \end{array} $	 that time, but I suppose so. Q. Would that group have included messages about Mr McGrail and the events that occurred in May and June 2020? A. I cannot discount it, so I cannot say yes or no. Um, but as I explained earlier, it would have been unlikely that we would have been communicating on issues around that, um, on the SMT chats when (a) we were all in the same building most of the time for eight hours a day, and (b), as I explained earlier, you will have seen that nine-tenths of the communication on my personal phone with Mr McGrail was outside working hours. So it would be highly unlikely. We were dealing
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\end{array} $	 wear and tear and the like. Q. At this stage, is there any realistic prospect that further relevant messages will be recovered through these processes? A. I don't think so, no. Q. Can we now turn to the SMT group chat. Am I correct that in the transition from Samsung to iPhone that the messages that you lost from your work phone would have included the SMT group chat? A. So, do you mean in November 2020 or Q. Yes. In the transition from Samsung to iPhone. A. Yes, of course, yes. Q. I think you have already explained that the SMT group chat was well, let me just ask it 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 that time, but I suppose so. Q. Would that group have included messages about Mr McGrail and the events that occurred in May and June 2020? A. I cannot discount it, so I cannot say yes or no. Um, but as I explained earlier, it would have been unlikely that we would have been communicating on issues around that, um, on the SMT chats when (a) we were all in the same building most of the time for eight hours a day, and (b), as I explained earlier, you will have seen that nine-tenths of the communication on my personal phone with Mr McGrail was outside working hours. So it would be highly unlikely. We were dealing with a number of issues at that time, not least
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 wear and tear and the like. Q. At this stage, is there any realistic prospect that further relevant messages will be recovered through these processes? A. I don't think so, no. Q. Can we now turn to the SMT group chat. Am I correct that in the transition from Samsung to iPhone that the messages that you lost from your work phone would have included the SMT group chat? A. So, do you mean in November 2020 or Q. Yes. In the transition from Samsung to iPhone. A. Yes, of course, yes. Q. I think you have already explained that the SMT group chat was well, let me just ask it to you in an open way. What was the SMT 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 that time, but I suppose so. Q. Would that group have included messages about Mr McGrail and the events that occurred in May and June 2020? A. I cannot discount it, so I cannot say yes or no. Um, but as I explained earlier, it would have been unlikely that we would have been communicating on issues around that, um, on the SMT chats when (a) we were all in the same building most of the time for eight hours a day, and (b), as I explained earlier, you will have seen that nine-tenths of the communication on my personal phone with Mr McGrail was outside working hours. So it would be highly unlikely. We were dealing with a number of issues at that time, not least Mr McGrail's predicaments, and we would
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\end{array} $	 wear and tear and the like. Q. At this stage, is there any realistic prospect that further relevant messages will be recovered through these processes? A. I don't think so, no. Q. Can we now turn to the SMT group chat. Am I correct that in the transition from Samsung to iPhone that the messages that you lost from your work phone would have included the SMT group chat? A. So, do you mean in November 2020 or Q. Yes. In the transition from Samsung to iPhone. A. Yes, of course, yes. Q. I think you have already explained that the SMT group chat was well, let me just ask it to you in an open way. What was the SMT group chat used for? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 that time, but I suppose so. Q. Would that group have included messages about Mr McGrail and the events that occurred in May and June 2020? A. I cannot discount it, so I cannot say yes or no. Um, but as I explained earlier, it would have been unlikely that we would have been communicating on issues around that, um, on the SMT chats when (a) we were all in the same building most of the time for eight hours a day, and (b), as I explained earlier, you will have seen that nine-tenths of the communication on my personal phone with Mr McGrail was outside working hours. So it would be highly unlikely. We were dealing with a number of issues at that time, not least Mr McGrail's predicaments, and we would have been discussing them in person.
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\\end{array} $	 wear and tear and the like. Q. At this stage, is there any realistic prospect that further relevant messages will be recovered through these processes? A. I don't think so, no. Q. Can we now turn to the SMT group chat. Am I correct that in the transition from Samsung to iPhone that the messages that you lost from your work phone would have included the SMT group chat? A. So, do you mean in November 2020 or Q. Yes. In the transition from Samsung to iPhone. A. Yes, of course, yes. Q. I think you have already explained that the SMT group chat was well, let me just ask it to you in an open way. What was the SMT group chat used for? A. So, it was a chat which included the 	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\\end{array} $	 that time, but I suppose so. Q. Would that group have included messages about Mr McGrail and the events that occurred in May and June 2020? A. I cannot discount it, so I cannot say yes or no. Um, but as I explained earlier, it would have been unlikely that we would have been communicating on issues around that, um, on the SMT chats when (a) we were all in the same building most of the time for eight hours a day, and (b), as I explained earlier, you will have seen that nine-tenths of the communication on my personal phone with Mr McGrail was outside working hours. So it would be highly unlikely. We were dealing with a number of issues at that time, not least Mr McGrail's predicaments, and we would have been discussing them in person. Q. These communication patterns, would they
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\end{array} $	 wear and tear and the like. Q. At this stage, is there any realistic prospect that further relevant messages will be recovered through these processes? A. I don't think so, no. Q. Can we now turn to the SMT group chat. Am I correct that in the transition from Samsung to iPhone that the messages that you lost from your work phone would have included the SMT group chat? A. So, do you mean in November 2020 or Q. Yes. In the transition from Samsung to iPhone. A. Yes, of course, yes. Q. I think you have already explained that the SMT group chat was well, let me just ask it to you in an open way. What was the SMT group chat used for? A. So, it was a chat which included the command team. Um, we currently also have 	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\end{array} $	 that time, but I suppose so. Q. Would that group have included messages about Mr McGrail and the events that occurred in May and June 2020? A. I cannot discount it, so I cannot say yes or no. Um, but as I explained earlier, it would have been unlikely that we would have been communicating on issues around that, um, on the SMT chats when (a) we were all in the same building most of the time for eight hours a day, and (b), as I explained earlier, you will have seen that nine-tenths of the communication on my personal phone with Mr McGrail was outside working hours. So it would be highly unlikely. We were dealing with a number of issues at that time, not least Mr McGrail's predicaments, and we would have been discussing them in person. Q. These communication patterns, would they have been the same during May and
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ \end{array}$	 wear and tear and the like. Q. At this stage, is there any realistic prospect that further relevant messages will be recovered through these processes? A. I don't think so, no. Q. Can we now turn to the SMT group chat. Am I correct that in the transition from Samsung to iPhone that the messages that you lost from your work phone would have included the SMT group chat? A. So, do you mean in November 2020 or Q. Yes. In the transition from Samsung to iPhone. A. Yes, of course, yes. Q. I think you have already explained that the SMT group chat was well, let me just ask it to you in an open way. What was the SMT group chat used for? A. So, it was a chat which included the command team. Um, we currently also have an SMT group chat. I happen to have checked 	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\end{array} $	 that time, but I suppose so. Q. Would that group have included messages about Mr McGrail and the events that occurred in May and June 2020? A. I cannot discount it, so I cannot say yes or no. Um, but as I explained earlier, it would have been unlikely that we would have been communicating on issues around that, um, on the SMT chats when (a) we were all in the same building most of the time for eight hours a day, and (b), as I explained earlier, you will have seen that nine-tenths of the communication on my personal phone with Mr McGrail was outside working hours. So it would be highly unlikely. We were dealing with a number of issues at that time, not least Mr McGrail's predicaments, and we would have been discussing them in person. Q. These communication patterns, would they have been the same during May and June 2020, given the Covid pandemic?
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ \end{array}$	 wear and tear and the like. Q. At this stage, is there any realistic prospect that further relevant messages will be recovered through these processes? A. I don't think so, no. Q. Can we now turn to the SMT group chat. Am I correct that in the transition from Samsung to iPhone that the messages that you lost from your work phone would have included the SMT group chat? A. So, do you mean in November 2020 or Q. Yes. In the transition from Samsung to iPhone. A. Yes, of course, yes. Q. I think you have already explained that the SMT group chat was well, let me just ask it to you in an open way. What was the SMT group chat used for? A. So, it was a chat which included the command team. Um, we currently also have an SMT group chat. I happen to have checked through the chats on my current phone in the 	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\\23\end{array} $	 that time, but I suppose so. Q. Would that group have included messages about Mr McGrail and the events that occurred in May and June 2020? A. I cannot discount it, so I cannot say yes or no. Um, but as I explained earlier, it would have been unlikely that we would have been communicating on issues around that, um, on the SMT chats when (a) we were all in the same building most of the time for eight hours a day, and (b), as I explained earlier, you will have seen that nine-tenths of the communication on my personal phone with Mr McGrail was outside working hours. So it would be highly unlikely. We were dealing with a number of issues at that time, not least Mr McGrail's predicaments, and we would have been discussing them in person. Q. These communication patterns, would they have been the same during May and June 2020, given the Covid pandemic? A. Again, I think we were in a unique
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\\ \end{array}$	 wear and tear and the like. Q. At this stage, is there any realistic prospect that further relevant messages will be recovered through these processes? A. I don't think so, no. Q. Can we now turn to the SMT group chat. Am I correct that in the transition from Samsung to iPhone that the messages that you lost from your work phone would have included the SMT group chat? A. So, do you mean in November 2020 or Q. Yes. In the transition from Samsung to iPhone. A. Yes, of course, yes. Q. I think you have already explained that the SMT group chat was well, let me just ask it to you in an open way. What was the SMT group chat used for? A. So, it was a chat which included the command team. Um, we currently also have an SMT group chat. I happen to have checked through the chats on my current phone in the days preceding today and there is little 	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\\23\\24\end{array} $	 that time, but I suppose so. Q. Would that group have included messages about Mr McGrail and the events that occurred in May and June 2020? A. I cannot discount it, so I cannot say yes or no. Um, but as I explained earlier, it would have been unlikely that we would have been communicating on issues around that, um, on the SMT chats when (a) we were all in the same building most of the time for eight hours a day, and (b), as I explained earlier, you will have seen that nine-tenths of the communication on my personal phone with Mr McGrail was outside working hours. So it would be highly unlikely. We were dealing with a number of issues at that time, not least Mr McGrail's predicaments, and we would have been discussing them in person. Q. These communication patterns, would they have been the same during May and June 2020, given the Covid pandemic? A. Again, I think we were in a unique position. As the RGP, a law enforcement
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ \end{array}$	 wear and tear and the like. Q. At this stage, is there any realistic prospect that further relevant messages will be recovered through these processes? A. I don't think so, no. Q. Can we now turn to the SMT group chat. Am I correct that in the transition from Samsung to iPhone that the messages that you lost from your work phone would have included the SMT group chat? A. So, do you mean in November 2020 or Q. Yes. In the transition from Samsung to iPhone. A. Yes, of course, yes. Q. I think you have already explained that the SMT group chat was well, let me just ask it to you in an open way. What was the SMT group chat used for? A. So, it was a chat which included the command team. Um, we currently also have an SMT group chat. I happen to have checked through the chats on my current phone in the 	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\\23\end{array} $	 that time, but I suppose so. Q. Would that group have included messages about Mr McGrail and the events that occurred in May and June 2020? A. I cannot discount it, so I cannot say yes or no. Um, but as I explained earlier, it would have been unlikely that we would have been communicating on issues around that, um, on the SMT chats when (a) we were all in the same building most of the time for eight hours a day, and (b), as I explained earlier, you will have seen that nine-tenths of the communication on my personal phone with Mr McGrail was outside working hours. So it would be highly unlikely. We were dealing with a number of issues at that time, not least Mr McGrail's predicaments, and we would have been discussing them in person. Q. These communication patterns, would they have been the same during May and June 2020, given the Covid pandemic? A. Again, I think we were in a unique

12 (Pages 45 to 48)

Page 48

1	able to communicate in person because most	1	evidence on the strength of what DC Garcia
2	of us were in the office. It is true that on	2	said is that it does not mean that there are
3	occasion we worked from home, um, but you	3	deletions in
4	have reminded me of a message that may have	4	(11.10)
5	been shared, I think, on that WhatsApp chat of	5	the chat between Mr McGrail and
6	an empty beach as an example of the	6	Mr Richardson. And in fact GC Garcia says
7	regulations being applied. But I can't really	7	that he has seen no evidence of such deletions
	recall much more than that.		
8		8	for the relevant period.
9	Q. If we can now turn to E880, please,	9	A. Correct. What happened was that we
10	paragraph 23. You refer to the fact that there	10	received the request for the widened date
11	were five members of the SMT group chat, the	11	range on 9 December, I remember, and we
12	Commissioner, Assistant Commissioner and	12	were asked to provide the disclosure by the
13	three superintendents. Could you just remind	13	20th. It was obviously a difficult period. We
14	us, please, who were the holders of those	14	complied with the request as is evidenced and
15	offices? We know that obviously there was	15	perhaps with more time we could have realised
16	Mr McGrail, Mr Ullger and you were one of	16	the implication of what we were providing and
17	the three superintendents. Can you remind us	17	provide an explanation to it. In hindsight that
18	who the other two were?	18	should have been the case. It wasn't and we
19	A. Superintendent Richardson and	19	appreciate that it has caused confusion, which
20	Superintendent Tunbridge.	20	I think we applied by. Mr Garcia is clear
21	Q. Thank you. Has it been possible to recover	21	that that is what that means.
22	the SMT group chat from any of those five	22	Q. Now, just looking at the messages found
23	members?	23	on the forensic image of Mr McGrail's phone,
24	A. No, it hasn't.	24	these are at 883 I am sorry, 833, the first of
25	Q. Now, can we now turn to 881 and	25	many misreadings of a reference, no doubt.
20		20	many moreactings of a reference, no acada
	Page 49		Page 51
	-		-
1	paragraph 31 of your statement. You confirm	1	We can see there that the first message
1 2	paragraph 31 of your statement. You confirm there the position in terms of potential	1 2	We can see there that the first message extracted from the image of Mr McGrail's
			÷
2	there the position in terms of potential	2	extracted from the image of Mr McGrail's
2 3	there the position in terms of potential deletions of messages between Mr McGrail	2 3	extracted from the image of Mr McGrail's phone is dated 5 June 2020. If we turn now to
2 3 4	there the position in terms of potential deletions of messages between Mr McGrail and Mr Richardson and you explain that there	2 3 4	extracted from the image of Mr McGrail's phone is dated 5 June 2020. If we turn now to the messages recovered from Mr Richardson's
2 3 4 5	there the position in terms of potential deletions of messages between Mr McGrail and Mr Richardson and you explain that there was initially a potential misunderstanding in	2 3 4 5	extracted from the image of Mr McGrail's phone is dated 5 June 2020. If we turn now to the messages recovered from Mr Richardson's phone this is in the C bundle at 7007 we
2 3 4 5 6 7	there the position in terms of potential deletions of messages between Mr McGrail and Mr Richardson and you explain that there was initially a potential misunderstanding in interpreting the extraction reports prepared by DG Garcia because there were references there	2 3 4 5 6	extracted from the image of Mr McGrail's phone is dated 5 June 2020. If we turn now to the messages recovered from Mr Richardson's phone this is in the C bundle at 7007 we can see that towards the bottom of the screen, the message of 5 June is there but we have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	there the position in terms of potential deletions of messages between Mr McGrail and Mr Richardson and you explain that there was initially a potential misunderstanding in interpreting the extraction reports prepared by DG Garcia because there were references there to deleted chats. And what you have pointed	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	extracted from the image of Mr McGrail's phone is dated 5 June 2020. If we turn now to the messages recovered from Mr Richardson's phone this is in the C bundle at 7007 we can see that towards the bottom of the screen, the message of 5 June is there but we have before that many messages predating 5 June.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	there the position in terms of potential deletions of messages between Mr McGrail and Mr Richardson and you explain that there was initially a potential misunderstanding in interpreting the extraction reports prepared by DG Garcia because there were references there to deleted chats. And what you have pointed out on the strength of what DC Garcia has	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	extracted from the image of Mr McGrail's phone is dated 5 June 2020. If we turn now to the messages recovered from Mr Richardson's phone this is in the C bundle at 7007 we can see that towards the bottom of the screen, the message of 5 June is there but we have before that many messages predating 5 June. There is 27 May, 26 May, 23 May, 22 May,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	there the position in terms of potential deletions of messages between Mr McGrail and Mr Richardson and you explain that there was initially a potential misunderstanding in interpreting the extraction reports prepared by DG Garcia because there were references there to deleted chats. And what you have pointed out on the strength of what DC Garcia has explained to you is that the reference to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	extracted from the image of Mr McGrail's phone is dated 5 June 2020. If we turn now to the messages recovered from Mr Richardson's phone this is in the C bundle at 7007 we can see that towards the bottom of the screen, the message of 5 June is there but we have before that many messages predating 5 June. There is 27 May, 26 May, 23 May, 22 May, and so on. Well, then it jumps back to 30
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	there the position in terms of potential deletions of messages between Mr McGrail and Mr Richardson and you explain that there was initially a potential misunderstanding in interpreting the extraction reports prepared by DG Garcia because there were references there to deleted chats. And what you have pointed out on the strength of what DC Garcia has explained to you is that the reference to deleted chats is to entire chats rather than	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	extracted from the image of Mr McGrail's phone is dated 5 June 2020. If we turn now to the messages recovered from Mr Richardson's phone this is in the C bundle at 7007 we can see that towards the bottom of the screen, the message of 5 June is there but we have before that many messages predating 5 June. There is 27 May, 26 May, 23 May, 22 May, and so on. Well, then it jumps back to 30 April. We asked DC Garcia to address this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	there the position in terms of potential deletions of messages between Mr McGrail and Mr Richardson and you explain that there was initially a potential misunderstanding in interpreting the extraction reports prepared by DG Garcia because there were references there to deleted chats. And what you have pointed out on the strength of what DC Garcia has explained to you is that the reference to deleted chats is to entire chats rather than individual messages within a chat. Is that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	extracted from the image of Mr McGrail's phone is dated 5 June 2020. If we turn now to the messages recovered from Mr Richardson's phone this is in the C bundle at 7007 we can see that towards the bottom of the screen, the message of 5 June is there but we have before that many messages predating 5 June. There is 27 May, 26 May, 23 May, 22 May, and so on. Well, then it jumps back to 30 April. We asked DC Garcia to address this apparent inconsistency in the previous days, as
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	there the position in terms of potential deletions of messages between Mr McGrail and Mr Richardson and you explain that there was initially a potential misunderstanding in interpreting the extraction reports prepared by DG Garcia because there were references there to deleted chats. And what you have pointed out on the strength of what DC Garcia has explained to you is that the reference to deleted chats is to entire chats rather than individual messages within a chat. Is that correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	extracted from the image of Mr McGrail's phone is dated 5 June 2020. If we turn now to the messages recovered from Mr Richardson's phone this is in the C bundle at 7007 we can see that towards the bottom of the screen, the message of 5 June is there but we have before that many messages predating 5 June. There is 27 May, 26 May, 23 May, 22 May, and so on. Well, then it jumps back to 30 April. We asked DC Garcia to address this apparent inconsistency in the previous days, as you know, and he served a further witness
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	there the position in terms of potential deletions of messages between Mr McGrail and Mr Richardson and you explain that there was initially a potential misunderstanding in interpreting the extraction reports prepared by DG Garcia because there were references there to deleted chats. And what you have pointed out on the strength of what DC Garcia has explained to you is that the reference to deleted chats is to entire chats rather than individual messages within a chat. Is that correct? A. That is correct and I think what is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	extracted from the image of Mr McGrail's phone is dated 5 June 2020. If we turn now to the messages recovered from Mr Richardson's phone this is in the C bundle at 7007 we can see that towards the bottom of the screen, the message of 5 June is there but we have before that many messages predating 5 June. There is 27 May, 26 May, 23 May, 22 May, and so on. Well, then it jumps back to 30 April. We asked DC Garcia to address this apparent inconsistency in the previous days, as you know, and he served a further witness statement lats Friday, 4 April, and he gives two
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	there the position in terms of potential deletions of messages between Mr McGrail and Mr Richardson and you explain that there was initially a potential misunderstanding in interpreting the extraction reports prepared by DG Garcia because there were references there to deleted chats. And what you have pointed out on the strength of what DC Garcia has explained to you is that the reference to deleted chats is to entire chats rather than individual messages within a chat. Is that correct? A. That is correct and I think what is perhaps it is worth explaining in the simplest	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	extracted from the image of Mr McGrail's phone is dated 5 June 2020. If we turn now to the messages recovered from Mr Richardson's phone this is in the C bundle at 7007 we can see that towards the bottom of the screen, the message of 5 June is there but we have before that many messages predating 5 June. There is 27 May, 26 May, 23 May, 22 May, and so on. Well, then it jumps back to 30 April. We asked DC Garcia to address this apparent inconsistency in the previous days, as you know, and he served a further witness statement lats Friday, 4 April, and he gives two possible reasons for the failure of those
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	there the position in terms of potential deletions of messages between Mr McGrail and Mr Richardson and you explain that there was initially a potential misunderstanding in interpreting the extraction reports prepared by DG Garcia because there were references there to deleted chats. And what you have pointed out on the strength of what DC Garcia has explained to you is that the reference to deleted chats is to entire chats rather than individual messages within a chat. Is that correct? A. That is correct and I think what is perhaps it is worth explaining in the simplest terms possible what we mean by a chat in this	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ \end{array} $	extracted from the image of Mr McGrail's phone is dated 5 June 2020. If we turn now to the messages recovered from Mr Richardson's phone this is in the C bundle at 7007 we can see that towards the bottom of the screen, the message of 5 June is there but we have before that many messages predating 5 June. There is 27 May, 26 May, 23 May, 22 May, and so on. Well, then it jumps back to 30 April. We asked DC Garcia to address this apparent inconsistency in the previous days, as you know, and he served a further witness statement lats Friday, 4 April, and he gives two possible reasons for the failure of those messages to appear on the image of Mr
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 there the position in terms of potential deletions of messages between Mr McGrail and Mr Richardson and you explain that there was initially a potential misunderstanding in interpreting the extraction reports prepared by DG Garcia because there were references there to deleted chats. And what you have pointed out on the strength of what DC Garcia has explained to you is that the reference to deleted chats is to entire chats rather than individual messages within a chat. Is that correct? A. That is correct and I think what is perhaps it is worth explaining in the simplest terms possible what we mean by a chat in this context. And that is if I, for example, send you 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	extracted from the image of Mr McGrail's phone is dated 5 June 2020. If we turn now to the messages recovered from Mr Richardson's phone this is in the C bundle at 7007 we can see that towards the bottom of the screen, the message of 5 June is there but we have before that many messages predating 5 June. There is 27 May, 26 May, 23 May, 22 May, and so on. Well, then it jumps back to 30 April. We asked DC Garcia to address this apparent inconsistency in the previous days, as you know, and he served a further witness statement lats Friday, 4 April, and he gives two possible reasons for the failure of those messages to appear on the image of Mr McGrail's phone. The first relates to whether
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 there the position in terms of potential deletions of messages between Mr McGrail and Mr Richardson and you explain that there was initially a potential misunderstanding in interpreting the extraction reports prepared by DG Garcia because there were references there to deleted chats. And what you have pointed out on the strength of what DC Garcia has explained to you is that the reference to deleted chats is to entire chats rather than individual messages within a chat. Is that correct? A. That is correct and I think what is perhaps it is worth explaining in the simplest terms possible what we mean by a chat in this context. And that is if I, for example, send you a WhatsApp message, um, and you reply, that 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	extracted from the image of Mr McGrail's phone is dated 5 June 2020. If we turn now to the messages recovered from Mr Richardson's phone this is in the C bundle at 7007 we can see that towards the bottom of the screen, the message of 5 June is there but we have before that many messages predating 5 June. There is 27 May, 26 May, 23 May, 22 May, and so on. Well, then it jumps back to 30 April. We asked DC Garcia to address this apparent inconsistency in the previous days, as you know, and he served a further witness statement lats Friday, 4 April, and he gives two possible reasons for the failure of those messages to appear on the image of Mr McGrail's phone. The first relates to whether Mr McGrail was using a different device at the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	there the position in terms of potential deletions of messages between Mr McGrail and Mr Richardson and you explain that there was initially a potential misunderstanding in interpreting the extraction reports prepared by DG Garcia because there were references there to deleted chats. And what you have pointed out on the strength of what DC Garcia has explained to you is that the reference to deleted chats is to entire chats rather than individual messages within a chat. Is that correct? A. That is correct and I think what is perhaps it is worth explaining in the simplest terms possible what we mean by a chat in this context. And that is if I, for example, send you a WhatsApp message, um, and you reply, that constitutes a chat. So it is, you know, that chat	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	extracted from the image of Mr McGrail's phone is dated 5 June 2020. If we turn now to the messages recovered from Mr Richardson's phone this is in the C bundle at 7007 we can see that towards the bottom of the screen, the message of 5 June is there but we have before that many messages predating 5 June. There is 27 May, 26 May, 23 May, 22 May, and so on. Well, then it jumps back to 30 April. We asked DC Garcia to address this apparent inconsistency in the previous days, as you know, and he served a further witness statement lats Friday, 4 April, and he gives two possible reasons for the failure of those messages to appear on the image of Mr McGrail's phone. The first relates to whether Mr McGrail was using a different device at the time and we will take that up with Mr
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 there the position in terms of potential deletions of messages between Mr McGrail and Mr Richardson and you explain that there was initially a potential misunderstanding in interpreting the extraction reports prepared by DG Garcia because there were references there to deleted chats. And what you have pointed out on the strength of what DC Garcia has explained to you is that the reference to deleted chats is to entire chats rather than individual messages within a chat. Is that correct? A. That is correct and I think what is perhaps it is worth explaining in the simplest terms possible what we mean by a chat in this context. And that is if I, for example, send you a WhatsApp message, um, and you reply, that constitutes a chat. So it is, you know, that chat may take place over a number of days, 	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\\end{array} $	extracted from the image of Mr McGrail's phone is dated 5 June 2020. If we turn now to the messages recovered from Mr Richardson's phone this is in the C bundle at 7007 we can see that towards the bottom of the screen, the message of 5 June is there but we have before that many messages predating 5 June. There is 27 May, 26 May, 23 May, 22 May, and so on. Well, then it jumps back to 30 April. We asked DC Garcia to address this apparent inconsistency in the previous days, as you know, and he served a further witness statement lats Friday, 4 April, and he gives two possible reasons for the failure of those messages to appear on the image of Mr McGrail's phone. The first relates to whether Mr McGrail was using a different device at the time and we will take that up with Mr McGrail, but the second possible explanation
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 there the position in terms of potential deletions of messages between Mr McGrail and Mr Richardson and you explain that there was initially a potential misunderstanding in interpreting the extraction reports prepared by DG Garcia because there were references there to deleted chats. And what you have pointed out on the strength of what DC Garcia has explained to you is that the reference to deleted chats is to entire chats rather than individual messages within a chat. Is that correct? A. That is correct and I think what is perhaps it is worth explaining in the simplest terms possible what we mean by a chat in this context. And that is if I, for example, send you a WhatsApp message, um, and you reply, that constitutes a chat. So it is, you know, that chat may take place over a number of days, a number of weeks, a number of months. It is 	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\end{array} $	extracted from the image of Mr McGrail's phone is dated 5 June 2020. If we turn now to the messages recovered from Mr Richardson's phone this is in the C bundle at 7007 we can see that towards the bottom of the screen, the message of 5 June is there but we have before that many messages predating 5 June. There is 27 May, 26 May, 23 May, 22 May, and so on. Well, then it jumps back to 30 April. We asked DC Garcia to address this apparent inconsistency in the previous days, as you know, and he served a further witness statement lats Friday, 4 April, and he gives two possible reasons for the failure of those messages to appear on the image of Mr McGrail's phone. The first relates to whether Mr McGrail was using a different device at the time and we will take that up with Mr McGrail, but the second possible explanation is the limitations of the forensic process, and I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	there the position in terms of potential deletions of messages between Mr McGrail and Mr Richardson and you explain that there was initially a potential misunderstanding in interpreting the extraction reports prepared by DG Garcia because there were references there to deleted chats. And what you have pointed out on the strength of what DC Garcia has explained to you is that the reference to deleted chats is to entire chats rather than individual messages within a chat. Is that correct? A. That is correct and I think what is perhaps it is worth explaining in the simplest terms possible what we mean by a chat in this context. And that is if I, for example, send you a WhatsApp message, um, and you reply, that constitutes a chat. So it is, you know, that chat may take place over a number of days, a number of weeks, a number of months. It is the deletion of that entire set of messages that	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\end{array} $	extracted from the image of Mr McGrail's phone is dated 5 June 2020. If we turn now to the messages recovered from Mr Richardson's phone this is in the C bundle at 7007 we can see that towards the bottom of the screen, the message of 5 June is there but we have before that many messages predating 5 June. There is 27 May, 26 May, 23 May, 22 May, and so on. Well, then it jumps back to 30 April. We asked DC Garcia to address this apparent inconsistency in the previous days, as you know, and he served a further witness statement lats Friday, 4 April, and he gives two possible reasons for the failure of those messages to appear on the image of Mr McGrail's phone. The first relates to whether Mr McGrail was using a different device at the time and we will take that up with Mr McGrail, but the second possible explanation is the limitations of the forensic process, and I would like to ask you just a few questions
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	there the position in terms of potential deletions of messages between Mr McGrail and Mr Richardson and you explain that there was initially a potential misunderstanding in interpreting the extraction reports prepared by DG Garcia because there were references there to deleted chats. And what you have pointed out on the strength of what DC Garcia has explained to you is that the reference to deleted chats is to entire chats rather than individual messages within a chat. Is that correct? A. That is correct and I think what is perhaps it is worth explaining in the simplest terms possible what we mean by a chat in this context. And that is if I, for example, send you a WhatsApp message, um, and you reply, that constitutes a chat. So it is, you know, that chat may take place over a number of days, a number of weeks, a number of months. It is the deletion of that entire set of messages that is referred to as a deleted chat.	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\\23\end{array} $	extracted from the image of Mr McGrail's phone is dated 5 June 2020. If we turn now to the messages recovered from Mr Richardson's phone this is in the C bundle at 7007 we can see that towards the bottom of the screen, the message of 5 June is there but we have before that many messages predating 5 June. There is 27 May, 26 May, 23 May, 22 May, and so on. Well, then it jumps back to 30 April. We asked DC Garcia to address this apparent inconsistency in the previous days, as you know, and he served a further witness statement lats Friday, 4 April, and he gives two possible reasons for the failure of those messages to appear on the image of Mr McGrail's phone. The first relates to whether Mr McGrail was using a different device at the time and we will take that up with Mr McGrail, but the second possible explanation is the limitations of the forensic process, and I would like to ask you just a few questions about that. Mr McGrail's phone was imaged
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	there the position in terms of potential deletions of messages between Mr McGrail and Mr Richardson and you explain that there was initially a potential misunderstanding in interpreting the extraction reports prepared by DG Garcia because there were references there to deleted chats. And what you have pointed out on the strength of what DC Garcia has explained to you is that the reference to deleted chats is to entire chats rather than individual messages within a chat. Is that correct? A. That is correct and I think what is perhaps it is worth explaining in the simplest terms possible what we mean by a chat in this context. And that is if I, for example, send you a WhatsApp message, um, and you reply, that constitutes a chat. So it is, you know, that chat may take place over a number of days, a number of weeks, a number of months. It is the deletion of that entire set of messages that is referred to as a deleted chat. Q. Yes. And it is also right to say that DC	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\\23\\24\end{array} $	extracted from the image of Mr McGrail's phone is dated 5 June 2020. If we turn now to the messages recovered from Mr Richardson's phone this is in the C bundle at 7007 we can see that towards the bottom of the screen, the message of 5 June is there but we have before that many messages predating 5 June. There is 27 May, 26 May, 23 May, 22 May, and so on. Well, then it jumps back to 30 April. We asked DC Garcia to address this apparent inconsistency in the previous days, as you know, and he served a further witness statement lats Friday, 4 April, and he gives two possible reasons for the failure of those messages to appear on the image of Mr McGrail's phone. The first relates to whether Mr McGrail was using a different device at the time and we will take that up with Mr McGrail, but the second possible explanation is the limitations of the forensic process, and I would like to ask you just a few questions about that. Mr McGrail's phone was imaged by Mr McVea's forensic team at the time of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	there the position in terms of potential deletions of messages between Mr McGrail and Mr Richardson and you explain that there was initially a potential misunderstanding in interpreting the extraction reports prepared by DG Garcia because there were references there to deleted chats. And what you have pointed out on the strength of what DC Garcia has explained to you is that the reference to deleted chats is to entire chats rather than individual messages within a chat. Is that correct? A. That is correct and I think what is perhaps it is worth explaining in the simplest terms possible what we mean by a chat in this context. And that is if I, for example, send you a WhatsApp message, um, and you reply, that constitutes a chat. So it is, you know, that chat may take place over a number of days, a number of weeks, a number of months. It is the deletion of that entire set of messages that is referred to as a deleted chat.	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\\23\end{array} $	extracted from the image of Mr McGrail's phone is dated 5 June 2020. If we turn now to the messages recovered from Mr Richardson's phone this is in the C bundle at 7007 we can see that towards the bottom of the screen, the message of 5 June is there but we have before that many messages predating 5 June. There is 27 May, 26 May, 23 May, 22 May, and so on. Well, then it jumps back to 30 April. We asked DC Garcia to address this apparent inconsistency in the previous days, as you know, and he served a further witness statement lats Friday, 4 April, and he gives two possible reasons for the failure of those messages to appear on the image of Mr McGrail's phone. The first relates to whether Mr McGrail was using a different device at the time and we will take that up with Mr McGrail, but the second possible explanation is the limitations of the forensic process, and I would like to ask you just a few questions about that. Mr McGrail's phone was imaged
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	there the position in terms of potential deletions of messages between Mr McGrail and Mr Richardson and you explain that there was initially a potential misunderstanding in interpreting the extraction reports prepared by DG Garcia because there were references there to deleted chats. And what you have pointed out on the strength of what DC Garcia has explained to you is that the reference to deleted chats is to entire chats rather than individual messages within a chat. Is that correct? A. That is correct and I think what is perhaps it is worth explaining in the simplest terms possible what we mean by a chat in this context. And that is if I, for example, send you a WhatsApp message, um, and you reply, that constitutes a chat. So it is, you know, that chat may take place over a number of days, a number of weeks, a number of months. It is the deletion of that entire set of messages that is referred to as a deleted chat. Q. Yes. And it is also right to say that DC	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\\23\\24\end{array} $	extracted from the image of Mr McGrail's phone is dated 5 June 2020. If we turn now to the messages recovered from Mr Richardson's phone this is in the C bundle at 7007 we can see that towards the bottom of the screen, the message of 5 June is there but we have before that many messages predating 5 June. There is 27 May, 26 May, 23 May, 22 May, and so on. Well, then it jumps back to 30 April. We asked DC Garcia to address this apparent inconsistency in the previous days, as you know, and he served a further witness statement lats Friday, 4 April, and he gives two possible reasons for the failure of those messages to appear on the image of Mr McGrail's phone. The first relates to whether Mr McGrail was using a different device at the time and we will take that up with Mr McGrail, but the second possible explanation is the limitations of the forensic process, and I would like to ask you just a few questions about that. Mr McGrail's phone was imaged by Mr McVea's forensic team at the time of

13 (Pages 49 to 52)

1	is that correct?	1	analysis of the chat. Do you know what his
2	A. So, what I think happened I wasn't	2	basis for that conclusion is?
3	involved in that at all is that the phone was	3	A. I think the answer is that because the
4	taken from Mr McGrail but it was taken to	4	system does identify deleted messages when it
5	Northern Ireland, to the Police Service in	5	picks them up and I suppose depending on
6	Northern Ireland and it was imaged, or the	6	how a deletion would have occurred but they
7	data was extracted by the PSNI forensics unit -	7	would have appeared on Mr Richardson's
8	-	8	potentially in one circumstance they would
9	Q. Yes.	9	have appeared on Mr Richardson's thread as a
10	A not by anybody in Gibraltar, so they	10	deleted message too, which it did not, so that
11	created the image of that phone, which is why	11	sort of takes away that element of that, but no,
12	there is reference to DC Newsom[?] in one of	12	I can't go beyond that. I'd be speculating if I
13	the reports.	13	were to try and explain.
14	THE CHAIRMAN: Mr McVea having	14	Q. Can we now turn to E319, please? This is
15	previously been a senior officer in Northern	15	the mobile device policy that was approved by
16	Ireland and he used, effectively, his own staff	16	then Commissioner McGrail on 22 October
17	back in Northern Ireland?	17	2019. The aim of the policy is stated to be to
18	A. Correct, sir.	18	set out guidelines for the use of mobile devices
19	THE CHAIRMAN: Yes.	19	at work and it is approved in October 2019. I
20	MR SANTOS: Is it correct to say that the	20	presume you were aware of this policy when it
21	phone as a whole was imaged through that	21	was introduced?
22	process?	22	A. Correct. Just to give you some context, Mr
23	A. So, again I'm not the person that did this.	23	Santos, it is the practice for senior officers to
24	Q. But is it your understanding sorry?	24	generally have sight of policies before they are
25	A. But my understanding from DC Garcia is	25	approved so I would have seen it at the time,
			·FF
	Page 53		Page 55
1			
1	that there are different methods of extracting	1	yes.
2	data from a mobile device. There's a full bit	2	Q. If we can go to E321 now and the bottom
23	data from a mobile device. There's a full bit by bit as I describe it image, which takes	2 3	Q. If we can go to E321 now and the bottom of that page, please, paragraph 3.2 says, "A list
2 3 4	data from a mobile device. There's a full bit by bit as I describe it image, which takes everything and then there is a full file	2 3 4	Q. If we can go to E321 now and the bottom of that page, please, paragraph 3.2 says, "A list of officers and police support staff eligible for
2 3 4 5	data from a mobile device. There's a full bit by bit as I describe it image, which takes everything and then there is a full file extraction, which I understand is what	2 3 4 5	Q. If we can go to E321 now and the bottom of that page, please, paragraph 3.2 says, "A list of officers and police support staff eligible for an RGP mobile device and number is
2 3 4 5 6	data from a mobile device. There's a full bit by bit as I describe it image, which takes everything and then there is a full file extraction, which I understand is what occurred in this case. That is a decision for the	2 3 4 5 6	Q. If we can go to E321 now and the bottom of that page, please, paragraph 3.2 says, "A list of officers and police support staff eligible for an RGP mobile device and number is appended at the end of this policy. The mobile
2 3 4 5 6 7	data from a mobile device. There's a full bit by bit as I describe it image, which takes everything and then there is a full file extraction, which I understand is what occurred in this case. That is a decision for the forensic analyst to decide what's best, which	2 3 4 5 6 7	Q. If we can go to E321 now and the bottom of that page, please, paragraph 3.2 says, "A list of officers and police support staff eligible for an RGP mobile device and number is appended at the end of this policy. The mobile devices are issued to the postholders and are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	data from a mobile device. There's a full bit by bit as I describe it image, which takes everything and then there is a full file extraction, which I understand is what occurred in this case. That is a decision for the forensic analyst to decide what's best, which approach is best for what he is asked to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. If we can go to E321 now and the bottom of that page, please, paragraph 3.2 says, "A list of officers and police support staff eligible for an RGP mobile device and number is appended at the end of this policy. The mobile devices are issued to the postholders and are associated with the post as per appendix 1.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	data from a mobile device. There's a full bit by bit as I describe it image, which takes everything and then there is a full file extraction, which I understand is what occurred in this case. That is a decision for the forensic analyst to decide what's best, which approach is best for what he is asked to provide or analyse.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. If we can go to E321 now and the bottom of that page, please, paragraph 3.2 says, "A list of officers and police support staff eligible for an RGP mobile device and number is appended at the end of this policy. The mobile devices are issued to the postholders and are associated with the post as per appendix 1. Thus, when an officer/support staff member
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	data from a mobile device. There's a full bit by bit as I describe it image, which takes everything and then there is a full file extraction, which I understand is what occurred in this case. That is a decision for the forensic analyst to decide what's best, which approach is best for what he is asked to provide or analyse. Q. Are you aware of any difficulties	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. If we can go to E321 now and the bottom of that page, please, paragraph 3.2 says, "A list of officers and police support staff eligible for an RGP mobile device and number is appended at the end of this policy. The mobile devices are issued to the postholders and are associated with the post as per appendix 1. Thus, when an officer/support staff member moves from his relevant post the officer/
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	data from a mobile device. There's a full bit by bit as I describe it image, which takes everything and then there is a full file extraction, which I understand is what occurred in this case. That is a decision for the forensic analyst to decide what's best, which approach is best for what he is asked to provide or analyse. Q. Are you aware of any difficulties encountered at the extraction stage in relation	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. If we can go to E321 now and the bottom of that page, please, paragraph 3.2 says, "A list of officers and police support staff eligible for an RGP mobile device and number is appended at the end of this policy. The mobile devices are issued to the postholders and are associated with the post as per appendix 1. Thus, when an officer/support staff member moves from his relevant post the officer/ support staff member, will, with the assistance
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	data from a mobile device. There's a full bit by bit as I describe it image, which takes everything and then there is a full file extraction, which I understand is what occurred in this case. That is a decision for the forensic analyst to decide what's best, which approach is best for what he is asked to provide or analyse. Q. Are you aware of any difficulties encountered at the extraction stage in relation to that phone?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. If we can go to E321 now and the bottom of that page, please, paragraph 3.2 says, "A list of officers and police support staff eligible for an RGP mobile device and number is appended at the end of this policy. The mobile devices are issued to the postholders and are associated with the post as per appendix 1. Thus, when an officer/support staff member moves from his relevant post the officer/ support staff member, will, with the assistance of the RGP IT technician, ensure that his/her
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 data from a mobile device. There's a full bit by bit as I describe it image, which takes everything and then there is a full file extraction, which I understand is what occurred in this case. That is a decision for the forensic analyst to decide what's best, which approach is best for what he is asked to provide or analyse. Q. Are you aware of any difficulties encountered at the extraction stage in relation to that phone? A. No, I'm not. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. If we can go to E321 now and the bottom of that page, please, paragraph 3.2 says, "A list of officers and police support staff eligible for an RGP mobile device and number is appended at the end of this policy. The mobile devices are issued to the postholders and are associated with the post as per appendix 1. Thus, when an officer/support staff member moves from his relevant post the officer/ support staff member, will, with the assistance of the RGP IT technician, ensure that his/her work-issued mobile device is wiped of all
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 data from a mobile device. There's a full bit by bit as I describe it image, which takes everything and then there is a full file extraction, which I understand is what occurred in this case. That is a decision for the forensic analyst to decide what's best, which approach is best for what he is asked to provide or analyse. Q. Are you aware of any difficulties encountered at the extraction stage in relation to that phone? A. No, I'm not. Q. It is right to point out, is it not, that we 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. If we can go to E321 now and the bottom of that page, please, paragraph 3.2 says, "A list of officers and police support staff eligible for an RGP mobile device and number is appended at the end of this policy. The mobile devices are issued to the postholders and are associated with the post as per appendix 1. Thus, when an officer/support staff member moves from his relevant post the officer/ support staff member, will, with the assistance of the RGP IT technician, ensure that his/her work-issued mobile device is wiped of all personal data and is handed over to the person
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 data from a mobile device. There's a full bit by bit as I describe it image, which takes everything and then there is a full file extraction, which I understand is what occurred in this case. That is a decision for the forensic analyst to decide what's best, which approach is best for what he is asked to provide or analyse. Q. Are you aware of any difficulties encountered at the extraction stage in relation to that phone? A. No, I'm not. Q. It is right to point out, is it not, that we seem to have recovered messages exchanged 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. If we can go to E321 now and the bottom of that page, please, paragraph 3.2 says, "A list of officers and police support staff eligible for an RGP mobile device and number is appended at the end of this policy. The mobile devices are issued to the postholders and are associated with the post as per appendix 1. Thus, when an officer/support staff member moves from his relevant post the officer/ support staff member, will, with the assistance of the RGP IT technician, ensure that his/her work-issued mobile device is wiped of all personal data and is handed over to the person taking over their role." Can you please
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 data from a mobile device. There's a full bit by bit as I describe it image, which takes everything and then there is a full file extraction, which I understand is what occurred in this case. That is a decision for the forensic analyst to decide what's best, which approach is best for what he is asked to provide or analyse. Q. Are you aware of any difficulties encountered at the extraction stage in relation to that phone? A. No, I'm not. Q. It is right to point out, is it not, that we seem to have recovered messages exchanged with the other senior police officers so that, for 	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ \end{array} $	Q. If we can go to E321 now and the bottom of that page, please, paragraph 3.2 says, "A list of officers and police support staff eligible for an RGP mobile device and number is appended at the end of this policy. The mobile devices are issued to the postholders and are associated with the post as per appendix 1. Thus, when an officer/support staff member moves from his relevant post the officer/ support staff member, will, with the assistance of the RGP IT technician, ensure that his/her work-issued mobile device is wiped of all personal data and is handed over to the person taking over their role." Can you please explain why the RGP's policy is to wipe
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 data from a mobile device. There's a full bit by bit as I describe it image, which takes everything and then there is a full file extraction, which I understand is what occurred in this case. That is a decision for the forensic analyst to decide what's best, which approach is best for what he is asked to provide or analyse. Q. Are you aware of any difficulties encountered at the extraction stage in relation to that phone? A. No, I'm not. Q. It is right to point out, is it not, that we seem to have recovered messages exchanged with the other senior police officers so that, for example, the messages in Mr McGrail's phone 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. If we can go to E321 now and the bottom of that page, please, paragraph 3.2 says, "A list of officers and police support staff eligible for an RGP mobile device and number is appended at the end of this policy. The mobile devices are issued to the postholders and are associated with the post as per appendix 1. Thus, when an officer/support staff member moves from his relevant post the officer/ support staff member, will, with the assistance of the RGP IT technician, ensure that his/her work-issued mobile device is wiped of all personal data and is handed over to the person taking over their role." Can you please explain why the RGP's policy is to wipe phones of all personal data before it is handed
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 data from a mobile device. There's a full bit by bit as I describe it image, which takes everything and then there is a full file extraction, which I understand is what occurred in this case. That is a decision for the forensic analyst to decide what's best, which approach is best for what he is asked to provide or analyse. Q. Are you aware of any difficulties encountered at the extraction stage in relation to that phone? A. No, I'm not. Q. It is right to point out, is it not, that we seem to have recovered messages exchanged with the other senior police officers so that, for example, the messages in Mr McGrail's phone match those in exchanges between him and 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. If we can go to E321 now and the bottom of that page, please, paragraph 3.2 says, "A list of officers and police support staff eligible for an RGP mobile device and number is appended at the end of this policy. The mobile devices are issued to the postholders and are associated with the post as per appendix 1. Thus, when an officer/support staff member moves from his relevant post the officer/ support staff member, will, with the assistance of the RGP IT technician, ensure that his/her work-issued mobile device is wiped of all personal data and is handed over to the person taking over their role." Can you please explain why the RGP's policy is to wipe phones of all personal data before it is handed over to the new user?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 data from a mobile device. There's a full bit by bit as I describe it image, which takes everything and then there is a full file extraction, which I understand is what occurred in this case. That is a decision for the forensic analyst to decide what's best, which approach is best for what he is asked to provide or analyse. Q. Are you aware of any difficulties encountered at the extraction stage in relation to that phone? A. No, I'm not. Q. It is right to point out, is it not, that we seem to have recovered messages exchanged with the other senior police officers so that, for example, the messages in Mr McGrail's phone match those in exchanges between him and you and exchanges well, we are not able to 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q. If we can go to E321 now and the bottom of that page, please, paragraph 3.2 says, "A list of officers and police support staff eligible for an RGP mobile device and number is appended at the end of this policy. The mobile devices are issued to the postholders and are associated with the post as per appendix 1. Thus, when an officer/support staff member moves from his relevant post the officer/ support staff member, will, with the assistance of the RGP IT technician, ensure that his/her work-issued mobile device is wiped of all personal data and is handed over to the person taking over their role." Can you please explain why the RGP's policy is to wipe phones of all personal data before it is handed over to the new user? A. Yes, and there are two reasons for that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 data from a mobile device. There's a full bit by bit as I describe it image, which takes everything and then there is a full file extraction, which I understand is what occurred in this case. That is a decision for the forensic analyst to decide what's best, which approach is best for what he is asked to provide or analyse. Q. Are you aware of any difficulties encountered at the extraction stage in relation to that phone? A. No, I'm not. Q. It is right to point out, is it not, that we seem to have recovered messages exchanged with the other senior police officers so that, for example, the messages in Mr McGrail's phone match those in exchanges between him and you and exchanges well, we are not able to check Mr Ullger's phone, but in your example, 	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\\end{array} $	 Q. If we can go to E321 now and the bottom of that page, please, paragraph 3.2 says, "A list of officers and police support staff eligible for an RGP mobile device and number is appended at the end of this policy. The mobile devices are issued to the postholders and are associated with the post as per appendix 1. Thus, when an officer/support staff member moves from his relevant post the officer/ support staff member, will, with the assistance of the RGP IT technician, ensure that his/her work-issued mobile device is wiped of all personal data and is handed over to the person taking over their role." Can you please explain why the RGP's policy is to wipe phones of all personal data before it is handed over to the new user? A. Yes, and there are two reasons for that. One is the straightforward data protection
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 data from a mobile device. There's a full bit by bit as I describe it image, which takes everything and then there is a full file extraction, which I understand is what occurred in this case. That is a decision for the forensic analyst to decide what's best, which approach is best for what he is asked to provide or analyse. Q. Are you aware of any difficulties encountered at the extraction stage in relation to that phone? A. No, I'm not. Q. It is right to point out, is it not, that we seem to have recovered messages exchanged with the other senior police officers so that, for example, the messages in Mr McGrail's phone match those in exchanges between him and you and exchanges well, we are not able to check Mr Ullger's phone, but in your example, for example, the messages seem to match up. 	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\end{array} $	 Q. If we can go to E321 now and the bottom of that page, please, paragraph 3.2 says, "A list of officers and police support staff eligible for an RGP mobile device and number is appended at the end of this policy. The mobile devices are issued to the postholders and are associated with the post as per appendix 1. Thus, when an officer/support staff member moves from his relevant post the officer/ support staff member, will, with the assistance of the RGP IT technician, ensure that his/her work-issued mobile device is wiped of all personal data and is handed over to the person taking over their role." Can you please explain why the RGP's policy is to wipe phones of all personal data before it is handed over to the new user? A. Yes, and there are two reasons for that. One is the straightforward data protection elements of that. Clearly, it's not right for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 data from a mobile device. There's a full bit by bit as I describe it image, which takes everything and then there is a full file extraction, which I understand is what occurred in this case. That is a decision for the forensic analyst to decide what's best, which approach is best for what he is asked to provide or analyse. Q. Are you aware of any difficulties encountered at the extraction stage in relation to that phone? A. No, I'm not. Q. It is right to point out, is it not, that we seem to have recovered messages exchanged with the other senior police officers so that, for example, the messages in Mr McGrail's phone match those in exchanges between him and you and exchanges well, we are not able to check Mr Ullger's phone, but in your example, for example, the messages seem to match up. A. Yes, that's correct, yes. 	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\end{array} $	 Q. If we can go to E321 now and the bottom of that page, please, paragraph 3.2 says, "A list of officers and police support staff eligible for an RGP mobile device and number is appended at the end of this policy. The mobile devices are issued to the postholders and are associated with the post as per appendix 1. Thus, when an officer/support staff member moves from his relevant post the officer/ support staff member, will, with the assistance of the RGP IT technician, ensure that his/her work-issued mobile device is wiped of all personal data and is handed over to the person taking over their role." Can you please explain why the RGP's policy is to wipe phones of all personal data before it is handed over to the new user? A. Yes, and there are two reasons for that. One is the straightforward data protection elements of that. Clearly, it's not right for others to have processed that data once they
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 data from a mobile device. There's a full bit by bit as I describe it image, which takes everything and then there is a full file extraction, which I understand is what occurred in this case. That is a decision for the forensic analyst to decide what's best, which approach is best for what he is asked to provide or analyse. Q. Are you aware of any difficulties encountered at the extraction stage in relation to that phone? A. No, I'm not. Q. It is right to point out, is it not, that we seem to have recovered messages exchanged with the other senior police officers so that, for example, the messages in Mr McGrail's phone match those in exchanges between him and you and exchanges well, we are not able to check Mr Ullger's phone, but in your example, for example, the messages seem to match up. A. Yes, that's correct, yes. Q. In paragraph 15 of his later statement, DC 	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\\23\end{array} $	 Q. If we can go to E321 now and the bottom of that page, please, paragraph 3.2 says, "A list of officers and police support staff eligible for an RGP mobile device and number is appended at the end of this policy. The mobile devices are issued to the postholders and are associated with the post as per appendix 1. Thus, when an officer/support staff member moves from his relevant post the officer/ support staff member, will, with the assistance of the RGP IT technician, ensure that his/her work-issued mobile device is wiped of all personal data and is handed over to the person taking over their role." Can you please explain why the RGP's policy is to wipe phones of all personal data before it is handed over to the new user? A. Yes, and there are two reasons for that. One is the straightforward data protection elements of that. Clearly, it's not right for others to have processed that data once they have taken over the phone, and two because
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 data from a mobile device. There's a full bit by bit as I describe it image, which takes everything and then there is a full file extraction, which I understand is what occurred in this case. That is a decision for the forensic analyst to decide what's best, which approach is best for what he is asked to provide or analyse. Q. Are you aware of any difficulties encountered at the extraction stage in relation to that phone? A. No, I'm not. Q. It is right to point out, is it not, that we seem to have recovered messages exchanged with the other senior police officers so that, for example, the messages in Mr McGrail's phone match those in exchanges between him and you and exchanges well, we are not able to check Mr Ullger's phone, but in your example, for example, the messages seem to match up. A. Yes, that's correct, yes. Q. In paragraph 15 of his later statement, DC Garcia maintains that there were no deletions 	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\\23\\24\end{array} $	 Q. If we can go to E321 now and the bottom of that page, please, paragraph 3.2 says, "A list of officers and police support staff eligible for an RGP mobile device and number is appended at the end of this policy. The mobile devices are issued to the postholders and are associated with the post as per appendix 1. Thus, when an officer/support staff member moves from his relevant post the officer/ support staff member, will, with the assistance of the RGP IT technician, ensure that his/her work-issued mobile device is wiped of all personal data and is handed over to the person taking over their role." Can you please explain why the RGP's policy is to wipe phones of all personal data before it is handed over to the new user? A. Yes, and there are two reasons for that. One is the straightforward data protection elements of that. Clearly, it's not right for others to have processed that data once they have taken over the phone, and two because we were repurposing the phone, so the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 data from a mobile device. There's a full bit by bit as I describe it image, which takes everything and then there is a full file extraction, which I understand is what occurred in this case. That is a decision for the forensic analyst to decide what's best, which approach is best for what he is asked to provide or analyse. Q. Are you aware of any difficulties encountered at the extraction stage in relation to that phone? A. No, I'm not. Q. It is right to point out, is it not, that we seem to have recovered messages exchanged with the other senior police officers so that, for example, the messages in Mr McGrail's phone match those in exchanges between him and you and exchanges well, we are not able to check Mr Ullger's phone, but in your example, for example, the messages seem to match up. A. Yes, that's correct, yes. Q. In paragraph 15 of his later statement, DC 	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\\23\end{array} $	 Q. If we can go to E321 now and the bottom of that page, please, paragraph 3.2 says, "A list of officers and police support staff eligible for an RGP mobile device and number is appended at the end of this policy. The mobile devices are issued to the postholders and are associated with the post as per appendix 1. Thus, when an officer/support staff member moves from his relevant post the officer/ support staff member, will, with the assistance of the RGP IT technician, ensure that his/her work-issued mobile device is wiped of all personal data and is handed over to the person taking over their role." Can you please explain why the RGP's policy is to wipe phones of all personal data before it is handed over to the new user? A. Yes, and there are two reasons for that. One is the straightforward data protection elements of that. Clearly, it's not right for others to have processed that data once they have taken over the phone, and two because
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 data from a mobile device. There's a full bit by bit as I describe it image, which takes everything and then there is a full file extraction, which I understand is what occurred in this case. That is a decision for the forensic analyst to decide what's best, which approach is best for what he is asked to provide or analyse. Q. Are you aware of any difficulties encountered at the extraction stage in relation to that phone? A. No, I'm not. Q. It is right to point out, is it not, that we seem to have recovered messages exchanged with the other senior police officers so that, for example, the messages in Mr McGrail's phone match those in exchanges between him and you and exchanges well, we are not able to check Mr Ullger's phone, but in your example, for example, the messages seem to match up. A. Yes, that's correct, yes. Q. In paragraph 15 of his later statement, DC Garcia maintains that there were no deletions 	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\\23\\24\end{array} $	 Q. If we can go to E321 now and the bottom of that page, please, paragraph 3.2 says, "A list of officers and police support staff eligible for an RGP mobile device and number is appended at the end of this policy. The mobile devices are issued to the postholders and are associated with the post as per appendix 1. Thus, when an officer/support staff member moves from his relevant post the officer/ support staff member, will, with the assistance of the RGP IT technician, ensure that his/her work-issued mobile device is wiped of all personal data and is handed over to the person taking over their role." Can you please explain why the RGP's policy is to wipe phones of all personal data before it is handed over to the new user? A. Yes, and there are two reasons for that. One is the straightforward data protection elements of that. Clearly, it's not right for others to have processed that data once they have taken over the phone, and two because we were repurposing the phone, so the

14 (Pages 53 to 56)

1	clean device. We didn't apply our minds to the	1	device in its entirety. That does not appear to
2	consequences of that at the time in the context	2	be what current policy is for most forces that
3	of what wiping would mean but I think it's	3	we have been able to research in the UK is at
4	clear and remains clear to me that there is little	4	the moment. It presents a different set of
5	affected by it. Clearly, WhatsApps are, and	5	problems from a data protection perspective.
6	that is obviously now an issue, but email,	6	For example, carrying out a search in response
7	which is what we would have been using that	7	to a data access request from an individual
	phone most for would have remained on the	8	would be highly problematic if we backed up
8 9	RGP servers managed by ITLV so there would	9	the phones forensically as we've already done,
10	have been a record of all of that. So, the	10	because how do we then how does the
10		11	
11	reference to personal data there is not delete a name and address. It is actually the data that I	12	person responding to that request identify whether there is data on that device? So, the
12	hold as the phone and it could have been	12	practice and the best practice that we are
13	better worded, I accept that, but, you know,	14	seeing from colleagues in the UK at the
15	there's no way that we could hand over a	15	moment is that, and this aligns with the
16	phone with some deletions to a new officer.	16	College of Policing principles, risk principles,
17	That, in my mind wouldn't be right, and I'm	17	where they talk about professional judgment
18	not sure how that would be achieved anyway,	18	having to be taken about what is recorded and
19	how practically we would selectively delete	19	what isn't, and I think that makes sense
20	some of the content of the phone, for example,	20	because the point there is that if there is a
20	in a WhatsApp chat, but not all of it to hand it	20	communication, a decision, that is relevant or
21	over to somebody else.	21	important in the context of day-to-day
23	Q. If we can go to 879, which is your	23	business, then it should be recorded, and it
24	statement again, at paragraph 19 you address	24	should be recorded on a force system that is
25	this point and it is fair to point out that you	25	not the device and that, again, as I repeat what
20			not the at the and that, again, as I repeat what
	Page 57		Page 59
1	identify a number of manners in which the	1	we have seen is the prestice that most forces
1	identify a number of manners in which the	1	we have seen, is the practice that most forces
2	shortcomings in the phone usage policy have	2	in the UK that we have been able to research
2 3	shortcomings in the phone usage policy have been addressed recently, giving the example of	2 3	in the UK that we have been able to research are doing. So, in our case it would be an email
2 3 4	shortcomings in the phone usage policy have been addressed recently, giving the example of Superintendent Field having his data extracted	2 3 4	in the UK that we have been able to research are doing. So, in our case it would be an email or our Cyclops Record Management System.
2 3 4 5	shortcomings in the phone usage policy have been addressed recently, giving the example of Superintendent Field having his data extracted and retained when he departed in May 2020.	2 3 4 5	in the UK that we have been able to research are doing. So, in our case it would be an email or our Cyclops Record Management System. That's where I think we should be encouraging
2 3 4 5 6	shortcomings in the phone usage policy have been addressed recently, giving the example of Superintendent Field having his data extracted and retained when he departed in May 2020. Do you know why the policy was silent on the	2 3 4 5 6	in the UK that we have been able to research are doing. So, in our case it would be an email or our Cyclops Record Management System. That's where I think we should be encouraging officers to record decisions in the future.
2 3 4 5 6 7	shortcomings in the phone usage policy have been addressed recently, giving the example of Superintendent Field having his data extracted and retained when he departed in May 2020. Do you know why the policy was silent on the issue of backing up data before a phone was	2 3 4 5 6 7	in the UK that we have been able to research are doing. So, in our case it would be an email or our Cyclops Record Management System. That's where I think we should be encouraging officers to record decisions in the future. Whether some form of back-up needs to be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	shortcomings in the phone usage policy have been addressed recently, giving the example of Superintendent Field having his data extracted and retained when he departed in May 2020. Do you know why the policy was silent on the issue of backing up data before a phone was wiped?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	in the UK that we have been able to research are doing. So, in our case it would be an email or our Cyclops Record Management System. That's where I think we should be encouraging officers to record decisions in the future. Whether some form of back-up needs to be taken or not is still under consideration, up for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	shortcomings in the phone usage policy have been addressed recently, giving the example of Superintendent Field having his data extracted and retained when he departed in May 2020. Do you know why the policy was silent on the issue of backing up data before a phone was wiped? A. As I said in my earlier answer, I think, Mr	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	in the UK that we have been able to research are doing. So, in our case it would be an email or our Cyclops Record Management System. That's where I think we should be encouraging officers to record decisions in the future. Whether some form of back-up needs to be taken or not is still under consideration, up for debate, but I am not sure that regular back-ups
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	shortcomings in the phone usage policy have been addressed recently, giving the example of Superintendent Field having his data extracted and retained when he departed in May 2020. Do you know why the policy was silent on the issue of backing up data before a phone was wiped? A. As I said in my earlier answer, I think, Mr Santos, simply because we knew that emails	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	in the UK that we have been able to research are doing. So, in our case it would be an email or our Cyclops Record Management System. That's where I think we should be encouraging officers to record decisions in the future. Whether some form of back-up needs to be taken or not is still under consideration, up for debate, but I am not sure that regular back-ups of devices is best practice or practical, and I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 shortcomings in the phone usage policy have been addressed recently, giving the example of Superintendent Field having his data extracted and retained when he departed in May 2020. Do you know why the policy was silent on the issue of backing up data before a phone was wiped? A. As I said in my earlier answer, I think, Mr Santos, simply because we knew that emails weren't an issue and we didn't consider that 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	in the UK that we have been able to research are doing. So, in our case it would be an email or our Cyclops Record Management System. That's where I think we should be encouraging officers to record decisions in the future. Whether some form of back-up needs to be taken or not is still under consideration, up for debate, but I am not sure that regular back-ups of devices is best practice or practical, and I think in terms of further explanation, the point
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 shortcomings in the phone usage policy have been addressed recently, giving the example of Superintendent Field having his data extracted and retained when he departed in May 2020. Do you know why the policy was silent on the issue of backing up data before a phone was wiped? A. As I said in my earlier answer, I think, Mr Santos, simply because we knew that emails weren't an issue and we didn't consider that any of the other data that was held on that 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	in the UK that we have been able to research are doing. So, in our case it would be an email or our Cyclops Record Management System. That's where I think we should be encouraging officers to record decisions in the future. Whether some form of back-up needs to be taken or not is still under consideration, up for debate, but I am not sure that regular back-ups of devices is best practice or practical, and I think in terms of further explanation, the point about making notes on an appropriate system,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 shortcomings in the phone usage policy have been addressed recently, giving the example of Superintendent Field having his data extracted and retained when he departed in May 2020. Do you know why the policy was silent on the issue of backing up data before a phone was wiped? A. As I said in my earlier answer, I think, Mr Santos, simply because we knew that emails weren't an issue and we didn't consider that any of the other data that was held on that device was going to be a problem in the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	in the UK that we have been able to research are doing. So, in our case it would be an email or our Cyclops Record Management System. That's where I think we should be encouraging officers to record decisions in the future. Whether some form of back-up needs to be taken or not is still under consideration, up for debate, but I am not sure that regular back-ups of devices is best practice or practical, and I think in terms of further explanation, the point about making notes on an appropriate system, Mr Wyan, for example, has evidenced his
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 shortcomings in the phone usage policy have been addressed recently, giving the example of Superintendent Field having his data extracted and retained when he departed in May 2020. Do you know why the policy was silent on the issue of backing up data before a phone was wiped? A. As I said in my earlier answer, I think, Mr Santos, simply because we knew that emails weren't an issue and we didn't consider that any of the other data that was held on that device was going to be a problem in the context that it has now potentially become. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	in the UK that we have been able to research are doing. So, in our case it would be an email or our Cyclops Record Management System. That's where I think we should be encouraging officers to record decisions in the future. Whether some form of back-up needs to be taken or not is still under consideration, up for debate, but I am not sure that regular back-ups of devices is best practice or practical, and I think in terms of further explanation, the point about making notes on an appropriate system, Mr Wyan, for example, has evidenced his notes during Operation Delhi. There are, I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 shortcomings in the phone usage policy have been addressed recently, giving the example of Superintendent Field having his data extracted and retained when he departed in May 2020. Do you know why the policy was silent on the issue of backing up data before a phone was wiped? A. As I said in my earlier answer, I think, Mr Santos, simply because we knew that emails weren't an issue and we didn't consider that any of the other data that was held on that device was going to be a problem in the context that it has now potentially become. So, yes, that is a straight answer to that, and 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	in the UK that we have been able to research are doing. So, in our case it would be an email or our Cyclops Record Management System. That's where I think we should be encouraging officers to record decisions in the future. Whether some form of back-up needs to be taken or not is still under consideration, up for debate, but I am not sure that regular back-ups of devices is best practice or practical, and I think in terms of further explanation, the point about making notes on an appropriate system, Mr Wyan, for example, has evidenced his notes during Operation Delhi. There are, I think, 120 pages of notes which record
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 shortcomings in the phone usage policy have been addressed recently, giving the example of Superintendent Field having his data extracted and retained when he departed in May 2020. Do you know why the policy was silent on the issue of backing up data before a phone was wiped? A. As I said in my earlier answer, I think, Mr Santos, simply because we knew that emails weren't an issue and we didn't consider that any of the other data that was held on that device was going to be a problem in the context that it has now potentially become. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	in the UK that we have been able to research are doing. So, in our case it would be an email or our Cyclops Record Management System. That's where I think we should be encouraging officers to record decisions in the future. Whether some form of back-up needs to be taken or not is still under consideration, up for debate, but I am not sure that regular back-ups of devices is best practice or practical, and I think in terms of further explanation, the point about making notes on an appropriate system, Mr Wyan, for example, has evidenced his notes during Operation Delhi. There are, I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 shortcomings in the phone usage policy have been addressed recently, giving the example of Superintendent Field having his data extracted and retained when he departed in May 2020. Do you know why the policy was silent on the issue of backing up data before a phone was wiped? A. As I said in my earlier answer, I think, Mr Santos, simply because we knew that emails weren't an issue and we didn't consider that any of the other data that was held on that device was going to be a problem in the context that it has now potentially become. So, yes, that is a straight answer to that, and Q. I sorry, go on. A. I was going to say I can discuss the steps 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	in the UK that we have been able to research are doing. So, in our case it would be an email or our Cyclops Record Management System. That's where I think we should be encouraging officers to record decisions in the future. Whether some form of back-up needs to be taken or not is still under consideration, up for debate, but I am not sure that regular back-ups of devices is best practice or practical, and I think in terms of further explanation, the point about making notes on an appropriate system, Mr Wyan, for example, has evidenced his notes during Operation Delhi. There are, I think, 120 pages of notes which record decisions made in an investigation, so I would suggest that if a communication by WhatsApp,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 shortcomings in the phone usage policy have been addressed recently, giving the example of Superintendent Field having his data extracted and retained when he departed in May 2020. Do you know why the policy was silent on the issue of backing up data before a phone was wiped? A. As I said in my earlier answer, I think, Mr Santos, simply because we knew that emails weren't an issue and we didn't consider that any of the other data that was held on that device was going to be a problem in the context that it has now potentially become. So, yes, that is a straight answer to that, and Q. I sorry, go on. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	in the UK that we have been able to research are doing. So, in our case it would be an email or our Cyclops Record Management System. That's where I think we should be encouraging officers to record decisions in the future. Whether some form of back-up needs to be taken or not is still under consideration, up for debate, but I am not sure that regular back-ups of devices is best practice or practical, and I think in terms of further explanation, the point about making notes on an appropriate system, Mr Wyan, for example, has evidenced his notes during Operation Delhi. There are, I think, 120 pages of notes which record decisions made in an investigation, so I would
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 shortcomings in the phone usage policy have been addressed recently, giving the example of Superintendent Field having his data extracted and retained when he departed in May 2020. Do you know why the policy was silent on the issue of backing up data before a phone was wiped? A. As I said in my earlier answer, I think, Mr Santos, simply because we knew that emails weren't an issue and we didn't consider that any of the other data that was held on that device was going to be a problem in the context that it has now potentially become. So, yes, that is a straight answer to that, andQ. I sorry, go on. A. I was going to say I can discuss the steps we have taken, if you wish. In fact I 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	in the UK that we have been able to research are doing. So, in our case it would be an email or our Cyclops Record Management System. That's where I think we should be encouraging officers to record decisions in the future. Whether some form of back-up needs to be taken or not is still under consideration, up for debate, but I am not sure that regular back-ups of devices is best practice or practical, and I think in terms of further explanation, the point about making notes on an appropriate system, Mr Wyan, for example, has evidenced his notes during Operation Delhi. There are, I think, 120 pages of notes which record decisions made in an investigation, so I would suggest that if a communication by WhatsApp, if it occurred, was transmitting a crucial piece
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ \end{array} $	 shortcomings in the phone usage policy have been addressed recently, giving the example of Superintendent Field having his data extracted and retained when he departed in May 2020. Do you know why the policy was silent on the issue of backing up data before a phone was wiped? A. As I said in my earlier answer, I think, Mr Santos, simply because we knew that emails weren't an issue and we didn't consider that any of the other data that was held on that device was going to be a problem in the context that it has now potentially become. So, yes, that is a straight answer to that, andQ. I sorry, go on. A. I was going to say I can discuss the steps we have taken, if you wish. In fact IQ. I am very happy for you to do so, to give 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	in the UK that we have been able to research are doing. So, in our case it would be an email or our Cyclops Record Management System. That's where I think we should be encouraging officers to record decisions in the future. Whether some form of back-up needs to be taken or not is still under consideration, up for debate, but I am not sure that regular back-ups of devices is best practice or practical, and I think in terms of further explanation, the point about making notes on an appropriate system, Mr Wyan, for example, has evidenced his notes during Operation Delhi. There are, I think, 120 pages of notes which record decisions made in an investigation, so I would suggest that if a communication by WhatsApp, if it occurred, was transmitting a crucial piece of information, it's likely that that then would
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ \end{array}$	 shortcomings in the phone usage policy have been addressed recently, giving the example of Superintendent Field having his data extracted and retained when he departed in May 2020. Do you know why the policy was silent on the issue of backing up data before a phone was wiped? A. As I said in my earlier answer, I think, Mr Santos, simply because we knew that emails weren't an issue and we didn't consider that any of the other data that was held on that device was going to be a problem in the context that it has now potentially become. So, yes, that is a straight answer to that, and Q. I sorry, go on. A. I was going to say I can discuss the steps we have taken, if you wish. In fact I Q. I am very happy for you to do so, to give you the opportunity to do so. 	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ \end{array} $	in the UK that we have been able to research are doing. So, in our case it would be an email or our Cyclops Record Management System. That's where I think we should be encouraging officers to record decisions in the future. Whether some form of back-up needs to be taken or not is still under consideration, up for debate, but I am not sure that regular back-ups of devices is best practice or practical, and I think in terms of further explanation, the point about making notes on an appropriate system, Mr Wyan, for example, has evidenced his notes during Operation Delhi. There are, I think, 120 pages of notes which record decisions made in an investigation, so I would suggest that if a communication by WhatsApp, if it occurred, was transmitting a crucial piece of information, it's likely that that then would have been transposed on to those notes. That,
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ \end{array}$	 shortcomings in the phone usage policy have been addressed recently, giving the example of Superintendent Field having his data extracted and retained when he departed in May 2020. Do you know why the policy was silent on the issue of backing up data before a phone was wiped? A. As I said in my earlier answer, I think, Mr Santos, simply because we knew that emails weren't an issue and we didn't consider that any of the other data that was held on that device was going to be a problem in the context that it has now potentially become. So, yes, that is a straight answer to that, and Q. I sorry, go on. A. I was going to say I can discuss the steps we have taken, if you wish. In fact I Q. I am very happy for you to do so, to give you the opportunity to do so. A. So, I think the situation isn't as clear as 	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\end{array} $	in the UK that we have been able to research are doing. So, in our case it would be an email or our Cyclops Record Management System. That's where I think we should be encouraging officers to record decisions in the future. Whether some form of back-up needs to be taken or not is still under consideration, up for debate, but I am not sure that regular back-ups of devices is best practice or practical, and I think in terms of further explanation, the point about making notes on an appropriate system, Mr Wyan, for example, has evidenced his notes during Operation Delhi. There are, I think, 120 pages of notes which record decisions made in an investigation, so I would suggest that if a communication by WhatsApp, if it occurred, was transmitting a crucial piece of information, it's likely that that then would have been transposed on to those notes. That, again, seems to be, I repeat for the third time,
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ \end{array}$	 shortcomings in the phone usage policy have been addressed recently, giving the example of Superintendent Field having his data extracted and retained when he departed in May 2020. Do you know why the policy was silent on the issue of backing up data before a phone was wiped? A. As I said in my earlier answer, I think, Mr Santos, simply because we knew that emails weren't an issue and we didn't consider that any of the other data that was held on that device was going to be a problem in the context that it has now potentially become. So, yes, that is a straight answer to that, and Q. I sorry, go on. A. I was going to say I can discuss the steps we have taken, if you wish. In fact I Q. I am very happy for you to do so, to give you the opportunity to do so. A. So, I think the situation isn't as clear as perhaps I even made out in my statement in 	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\end{array} $	in the UK that we have been able to research are doing. So, in our case it would be an email or our Cyclops Record Management System. That's where I think we should be encouraging officers to record decisions in the future. Whether some form of back-up needs to be taken or not is still under consideration, up for debate, but I am not sure that regular back-ups of devices is best practice or practical, and I think in terms of further explanation, the point about making notes on an appropriate system, Mr Wyan, for example, has evidenced his notes during Operation Delhi. There are, I think, 120 pages of notes which record decisions made in an investigation, so I would suggest that if a communication by WhatsApp, if it occurred, was transmitting a crucial piece of information, it's likely that that then would have been transposed on to those notes. That, again, seems to be, I repeat for the third time, what colleagues in the UK are doing in terms
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ \end{array}$	 shortcomings in the phone usage policy have been addressed recently, giving the example of Superintendent Field having his data extracted and retained when he departed in May 2020. Do you know why the policy was silent on the issue of backing up data before a phone was wiped? A. As I said in my earlier answer, I think, Mr Santos, simply because we knew that emails weren't an issue and we didn't consider that any of the other data that was held on that device was going to be a problem in the context that it has now potentially become. So, yes, that is a straight answer to that, and Q. I sorry, go on. A. I was going to say I can discuss the steps we have taken, if you wish. In fact I Q. I am very happy for you to do so, to give you the opportunity to do so. A. So, I think the situation isn't as clear as perhaps I even made out in my statement in terms of this being the best practice, and I note 	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\\23\end{array} $	in the UK that we have been able to research are doing. So, in our case it would be an email or our Cyclops Record Management System. That's where I think we should be encouraging officers to record decisions in the future. Whether some form of back-up needs to be taken or not is still under consideration, up for debate, but I am not sure that regular back-ups of devices is best practice or practical, and I think in terms of further explanation, the point about making notes on an appropriate system, Mr Wyan, for example, has evidenced his notes during Operation Delhi. There are, I think, 120 pages of notes which record decisions made in an investigation, so I would suggest that if a communication by WhatsApp, if it occurred, was transmitting a crucial piece of information, it's likely that that then would have been transposed on to those notes. That, again, seems to be, I repeat for the third time, what colleagues in the UK are doing in terms of best practice.
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\\ \end{array}$	 shortcomings in the phone usage policy have been addressed recently, giving the example of Superintendent Field having his data extracted and retained when he departed in May 2020. Do you know why the policy was silent on the issue of backing up data before a phone was wiped? A. As I said in my earlier answer, I think, Mr Santos, simply because we knew that emails weren't an issue and we didn't consider that any of the other data that was held on that device was going to be a problem in the context that it has now potentially become. So, yes, that is a straight answer to that, and Q. I sorry, go on. A. I was going to say I can discuss the steps we have taken, if you wish. In fact I Q. I am very happy for you to do so, to give you the opportunity to do so. A. So, I think the situation isn't as clear as perhaps I even made out in my statement in terms of this being the best practice, and I note that the Chairman himself and others have 	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\\23\\24\end{array} $	 in the UK that we have been able to research are doing. So, in our case it would be an email or our Cyclops Record Management System. That's where I think we should be encouraging officers to record decisions in the future. Whether some form of back-up needs to be taken or not is still under consideration, up for debate, but I am not sure that regular back-ups of devices is best practice or practical, and I think in terms of further explanation, the point about making notes on an appropriate system, Mr Wyan, for example, has evidenced his notes during Operation Delhi. There are, I think, 120 pages of notes which record decisions made in an investigation, so I would suggest that if a communication by WhatsApp, if it occurred, was transmitting a crucial piece of information, it's likely that that then would have been transposed on to those notes. That, again, seems to be, I repeat for the third time, what colleagues in the UK are doing in terms of best practice. Q. It is fair to say that although the policy

15 (Pages 57 to 60)

1	you say, on whether there should be a back-up	1	A. No.
2	or not?	2	THE CHAIRMAN: But it was just a general
3	A. Correct.	3	practice, so you are assuming that it was done
4	Q. It does not preclude a back-up?	4	because it was done with all phones?
5	A. It doesn't, and it may be that some officers	5	A. Effectively, sir, yes.
6	had set the phone to back-up and others did	6	THE CHAIRMAN: Yes.
7	not. I don't know.	7	MR SANTOS: And the same would apply to
8	Q. That is exactly what I was going to ask	8	Mr Tunbridge's phone and Mr Richardson's
9	you, but are you aware of any phones being	9	phone, or do you have any personal knowledge
10	backed up at the time?	10	in relation to their fate?
11	A. I am not, and I know that mine backs up	11	A. I do not, no. I mean, I don't even have
12	now, but that is because I've set it that way but	12	personal knowledge with regards to my phone.
13	there has been no direction to officers.	13	I can't recall whether I was the one that wiped
14	Q. Is your understanding	14	it I don't think so, but I don't know or
15	THE CHAIRMAN: Presumably, when	15	whether I just returned it as I said and
16	officers surrender their phones for various	16	explained in the emails that I attached to my
17	reasons, no officer asked for the data to be	17	statement.
18	backed up, probably because they did not	18	Q. When you returned it, who did you return
19	realise that it was going to be lost?	19	yours to?
20	A. That could be one of the reasons, sir, yes.	20	A. I'm not sure that I mentioned it in my
21	THE CHAIRMAN: So, it was a bad question	21	email but I emailed the Higher Executive
22	there were two, really. Nobody did ask for	22	Officer, I think, but I think I mentioned I
23	their	23	returned them to the Senior Executive Officer,
24	A. Not that I am aware of, no.	24	I think. I can't quite remember, and I can't
25	THE CHAIRMAN: And one obvious reason	25	remember whether that's what actually
	Page 61		Page 63
			_
1	is that they did not realise it was going to be	1	occurred. I think the email clarifies that.
2	lost?	2	Q. Can we go to E324, please? This is
2 3	lost? A. Well, I think officers will have understood	2 3	Q. Can we go to E324, please? This is another official policy sorry, it is the same
2 3 4	lost? A. Well, I think officers will have understood that the phone would be wiped. What was	2 3 4	Q. Can we go to E324, please? This is another official policy sorry, it is the same policy about mobile phone usage, and it is
2 3 4 5	lost? A. Well, I think officers will have understood that the phone would be wiped. What was happening with the data, I think, sir, that	2 3 4 5	Q. Can we go to E324, please? This is another official policy sorry, it is the same policy about mobile phone usage, and it is paragraphs 11.1 and 11.2 I want to focus on.
2 3 4 5 6	lost? A. Well, I think officers will have understood that the phone would be wiped. What was happening with the data, I think, sir, that I've mentioned this already on a number of	2 3 4 5 6	Q. Can we go to E324, please? This is another official policy sorry, it is the same policy about mobile phone usage, and it is paragraphs 11.1 and 11.2 I want to focus on. They say, "Use and disclosure of records: the
2 3 4 5 6 7	lost? A. Well, I think officers will have understood that the phone would be wiped. What was happening with the data, I think, sir, that I've mentioned this already on a number of occasions I'm not sure that anybody was	2 3 4 5 6 7	Q. Can we go to E324, please? This is another official policy sorry, it is the same policy about mobile phone usage, and it is paragraphs 11.1 and 11.2 I want to focus on. They say, "Use and disclosure of records: the RGP may use and disclose any records arising
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	lost? A. Well, I think officers will have understood that the phone would be wiped. What was happening with the data, I think, sir, that I've mentioned this already on a number of occasions I'm not sure that anybody was particularly alive to the relevance or relative	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. Can we go to E324, please? This is another official policy sorry, it is the same policy about mobile phone usage, and it is paragraphs 11.1 and 11.2 I want to focus on. They say, "Use and disclosure of records: the RGP may use and disclose any records arising out of or in connection with the use of an RGP
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	lost? A. Well, I think officers will have understood that the phone would be wiped. What was happening with the data, I think, sir, that I've mentioned this already on a number of occasions I'm not sure that anybody was particularly alive to the relevance or relative importance of WhatsApp message, which	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. Can we go to E324, please? This is another official policy sorry, it is the same policy about mobile phone usage, and it is paragraphs 11.1 and 11.2 I want to focus on. They say, "Use and disclosure of records: the RGP may use and disclose any records arising out of or in connection with the use of an RGP mobile device, including where that use or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	lost? A. Well, I think officers will have understood that the phone would be wiped. What was happening with the data, I think, sir, that I've mentioned this already on a number of occasions I'm not sure that anybody was particularly alive to the relevance or relative importance of WhatsApp message, which essentially is what is being lost because the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. Can we go to E324, please? This is another official policy sorry, it is the same policy about mobile phone usage, and it is paragraphs 11.1 and 11.2 I want to focus on. They say, "Use and disclosure of records: the RGP may use and disclose any records arising out of or in connection with the use of an RGP mobile device, including where that use or disclosure is (a) for a purpose related to the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	lost? A. Well, I think officers will have understood that the phone would be wiped. What was happening with the data, I think, sir, that I've mentioned this already on a number of occasions I'm not sure that anybody was particularly alive to the relevance or relative importance of WhatsApp message, which essentially is what is being lost because the emails were being backed up to the RGP email	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. Can we go to E324, please? This is another official policy sorry, it is the same policy about mobile phone usage, and it is paragraphs 11.1 and 11.2 I want to focus on. They say, "Use and disclosure of records: the RGP may use and disclose any records arising out of or in connection with the use of an RGP mobile device, including where that use or disclosure is (a) for a purpose related to the employment of any officer; (b) use or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	lost? A. Well, I think officers will have understood that the phone would be wiped. What was happening with the data, I think, sir, that I've mentioned this already on a number of occasions I'm not sure that anybody was particularly alive to the relevance or relative importance of WhatsApp message, which essentially is what is being lost because the emails were being backed up to the RGP email server, so those were retained and are retained.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. Can we go to E324, please? This is another official policy sorry, it is the same policy about mobile phone usage, and it is paragraphs 11.1 and 11.2 I want to focus on. They say, "Use and disclosure of records: the RGP may use and disclose any records arising out of or in connection with the use of an RGP mobile device, including where that use or disclosure is (a) for a purpose related to the employment of any officer; (b) use or disclosure in connection with an offence, or (c)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	lost? A. Well, I think officers will have understood that the phone would be wiped. What was happening with the data, I think, sir, that I've mentioned this already on a number of occasions I'm not sure that anybody was particularly alive to the relevance or relative importance of WhatsApp message, which essentially is what is being lost because the emails were being backed up to the RGP email server, so those were retained and are retained. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. Can we go to E324, please? This is another official policy sorry, it is the same policy about mobile phone usage, and it is paragraphs 11.1 and 11.2 I want to focus on. They say, "Use and disclosure of records: the RGP may use and disclose any records arising out of or in connection with the use of an RGP mobile device, including where that use or disclosure is (a) for a purpose related to the employment of any officer; (b) use or disclosure in connection with an offence, or (c) use or disclosure in connection with legal
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	lost? A. Well, I think officers will have understood that the phone would be wiped. What was happening with the data, I think, sir, that I've mentioned this already on a number of occasions I'm not sure that anybody was particularly alive to the relevance or relative importance of WhatsApp message, which essentially is what is being lost because the emails were being backed up to the RGP email server, so those were retained and are retained. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. MR SANTOS: Is your understanding that Mr	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. Can we go to E324, please? This is another official policy sorry, it is the same policy about mobile phone usage, and it is paragraphs 11.1 and 11.2 I want to focus on. They say, "Use and disclosure of records: the RGP may use and disclose any records arising out of or in connection with the use of an RGP mobile device, including where that use or disclosure is (a) for a purpose related to the employment of any officer; (b) use or disclosure in connection with an offence, or (c) use or disclosure in connection with legal proceedings". 11.2: "An officer and a police
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	lost? A. Well, I think officers will have understood that the phone would be wiped. What was happening with the data, I think, sir, that I've mentioned this already on a number of occasions I'm not sure that anybody was particularly alive to the relevance or relative importance of WhatsApp message, which essentially is what is being lost because the emails were being backed up to the RGP email server, so those were retained and are retained. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. MR SANTOS: Is your understanding that Mr McGrail's phone was wiped in this way after	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. Can we go to E324, please? This is another official policy sorry, it is the same policy about mobile phone usage, and it is paragraphs 11.1 and 11.2 I want to focus on. They say, "Use and disclosure of records: the RGP may use and disclose any records arising out of or in connection with the use of an RGP mobile device, including where that use or disclosure is (a) for a purpose related to the employment of any officer; (b) use or disclosure in connection with an offence, or (c) use or disclosure in connection with legal proceedings". 11.2: "An officer and a police support staff member is taken to have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	lost? A. Well, I think officers will have understood that the phone would be wiped. What was happening with the data, I think, sir, that I've mentioned this already on a number of occasions I'm not sure that anybody was particularly alive to the relevance or relative importance of WhatsApp message, which essentially is what is being lost because the emails were being backed up to the RGP email server, so those were retained and are retained. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. MR SANTOS: Is your understanding that Mr McGrail's phone was wiped in this way after he retired?	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ \end{array} $	Q. Can we go to E324, please? This is another official policy sorry, it is the same policy about mobile phone usage, and it is paragraphs 11.1 and 11.2 I want to focus on. They say, "Use and disclosure of records: the RGP may use and disclose any records arising out of or in connection with the use of an RGP mobile device, including where that use or disclosure is (a) for a purpose related to the employment of any officer; (b) use or disclosure in connection with an offence, or (c) use or disclosure in connection with legal proceedings". 11.2: "An officer and a police support staff member is taken to have consented to the use and disclosure of any
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 lost? A. Well, I think officers will have understood that the phone would be wiped. What was happening with the data, I think, sir, that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. Can we go to E324, please? This is another official policy sorry, it is the same policy about mobile phone usage, and it is paragraphs 11.1 and 11.2 I want to focus on. They say, "Use and disclosure of records: the RGP may use and disclose any records arising out of or in connection with the use of an RGP mobile device, including where that use or disclosure is (a) for a purpose related to the employment of any officer; (b) use or disclosure in connection with an offence, or (c) use or disclosure in connection with legal proceedings". 11.2: "An officer and a police support staff member is taken to have consented to the use and disclosure of any record arising out of or in connection with the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 lost? A. Well, I think officers will have understood that the phone would be wiped. What was happening with the data, I think, sir, that I've mentioned this already on a number of occasions I'm not sure that anybody was particularly alive to the relevance or relative importance of WhatsApp message, which essentially is what is being lost because the emails were being backed up to the RGP email server, so those were retained and are retained. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. MR SANTOS: Is your understanding that Mr McGrail's phone was wiped in this way after he retired? A. I mean, it's in my understanding but I cannot say definitively; I just don't know. I 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. Can we go to E324, please? This is another official policy sorry, it is the same policy about mobile phone usage, and it is paragraphs 11.1 and 11.2 I want to focus on. They say, "Use and disclosure of records: the RGP may use and disclose any records arising out of or in connection with the use of an RGP mobile device, including where that use or disclosure is (a) for a purpose related to the employment of any officer; (b) use or disclosure in connection with an offence, or (c) use or disclosure in connection with legal proceedings". 11.2: "An officer and a police support staff member is taken to have consented to the use and disclosure of any record arising out of or in connection with the use of an RGP mobile device." How would
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	lost? A. Well, I think officers will have understood that the phone would be wiped. What was happening with the data, I think, sir, that I've mentioned this already on a number of occasions I'm not sure that anybody was particularly alive to the relevance or relative importance of WhatsApp message, which essentially is what is being lost because the emails were being backed up to the RGP email server, so those were retained and are retained. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. MR SANTOS: Is your understanding that Mr McGrail's phone was wiped in this way after he retired? A. I mean, it's in my understanding but I cannot say definitively; I just don't know. I imagine that the phone would have been	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. Can we go to E324, please? This is another official policy sorry, it is the same policy about mobile phone usage, and it is paragraphs 11.1 and 11.2 I want to focus on. They say, "Use and disclosure of records: the RGP may use and disclose any records arising out of or in connection with the use of an RGP mobile device, including where that use or disclosure is (a) for a purpose related to the employment of any officer; (b) use or disclosure in connection with an offence, or (c) use or disclosure in connection with legal proceedings". 11.2: "An officer and a police support staff member is taken to have consented to the use and disclosure of any record arising out of or in connection with the use of an RGP mobile device." How would the RGP user disclose records from RGP work
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 lost? A. Well, I think officers will have understood that the phone would be wiped. What was happening with the data, I think, sir, that I've mentioned this already on a number of occasions I'm not sure that anybody was particularly alive to the relevance or relative importance of WhatsApp message, which essentially is what is being lost because the emails were being backed up to the RGP email server, so those were retained and are retained. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. MR SANTOS: Is your understanding that Mr McGrail's phone was wiped in this way after he retired? A. I mean, it's in my understanding but I cannot say definitively; I just don't know. I imagine that the phone would have been handed in to our senior executive officer or 	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ \end{array} $	Q. Can we go to E324, please? This is another official policy sorry, it is the same policy about mobile phone usage, and it is paragraphs 11.1 and 11.2 I want to focus on. They say, "Use and disclosure of records: the RGP may use and disclose any records arising out of or in connection with the use of an RGP mobile device, including where that use or disclosure is (a) for a purpose related to the employment of any officer; (b) use or disclosure in connection with an offence, or (c) use or disclosure in connection with legal proceedings". 11.2: "An officer and a police support staff member is taken to have consented to the use and disclosure of any record arising out of or in connection with the use of an RGP mobile device." How would the RGP user disclose records from RGP work phones in legal proceedings relating to retired
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 lost? A. Well, I think officers will have understood that the phone would be wiped. What was happening with the data, I think, sir, that I've mentioned this already on a number of occasions I'm not sure that anybody was particularly alive to the relevance or relative importance of WhatsApp message, which essentially is what is being lost because the emails were being backed up to the RGP email server, so those were retained and are retained. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. MR SANTOS: Is your understanding that Mr McGrail's phone was wiped in this way after he retired? A. I mean, it's in my understanding but I cannot say definitively; I just don't know. I imagine that the phone would have been handed in to our senior executive officer or or one of his team and that is what would have 	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\end{array} $	Q. Can we go to E324, please? This is another official policy sorry, it is the same policy about mobile phone usage, and it is paragraphs 11.1 and 11.2 I want to focus on. They say, "Use and disclosure of records: the RGP may use and disclose any records arising out of or in connection with the use of an RGP mobile device, including where that use or disclosure is (a) for a purpose related to the employment of any officer; (b) use or disclosure in connection with an offence, or (c) use or disclosure in connection with legal proceedings". 11.2: "An officer and a police support staff member is taken to have consented to the use and disclosure of any record arising out of or in connection with the use of an RGP mobile device." How would the RGP user disclose records from RGP work phones in legal proceedings relating to retired officers?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 lost? A. Well, I think officers will have understood that the phone would be wiped. What was happening with the data, I think, sir, that I've mentioned this already on a number of occasions I'm not sure that anybody was particularly alive to the relevance or relative importance of WhatsApp message, which essentially is what is being lost because the emails were being backed up to the RGP email server, so those were retained and are retained. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. MR SANTOS: Is your understanding that Mr McGrail's phone was wiped in this way after he retired? A. I mean, it's in my understanding but I cannot say definitively; I just don't know. I imagine that the phone would have been handed in to our senior executive officer or one of his team and that is what would have occurred and it did, months later, when I 	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\end{array} $	 Q. Can we go to E324, please? This is another official policy sorry, it is the same policy about mobile phone usage, and it is paragraphs 11.1 and 11.2 I want to focus on. They say, "Use and disclosure of records: the RGP may use and disclose any records arising out of or in connection with the use of an RGP mobile device, including where that use or disclosure is (a) for a purpose related to the employment of any officer; (b) use or disclosure in connection with an offence, or (c) use or disclosure in connection with legal proceedings". 11.2: "An officer and a police support staff member is taken to have consented to the use and disclosure of any record arising out of or in connection with the use of an RGP mobile device." How would the RGP user disclose records from RGP work phones in legal proceedings relating to retired officers? A. I think the answer to that is what I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 lost? A. Well, I think officers will have understood that the phone would be wiped. What was happening with the data, I think, sir, that I've mentioned this already on a number of occasions I'm not sure that anybody was particularly alive to the relevance or relative importance of WhatsApp message, which essentially is what is being lost because the emails were being backed up to the RGP email server, so those were retained and are retained. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. MR SANTOS: Is your understanding that Mr McGrail's phone was wiped in this way after he retired? A. I mean, it's in my understanding but I cannot say definitively; I just don't know. I imagine that the phone would have been handed in to our senior executive officer or one of his team and that is what would have occurred and it did, months later, when I returned my phone. 	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\\23\end{array} $	 Q. Can we go to E324, please? This is another official policy sorry, it is the same policy about mobile phone usage, and it is paragraphs 11.1 and 11.2 I want to focus on. They say, "Use and disclosure of records: the RGP may use and disclose any records arising out of or in connection with the use of an RGP mobile device, including where that use or disclosure is (a) for a purpose related to the employment of any officer; (b) use or disclosure in connection with an offence, or (c) use or disclosure in connection with legal proceedings". 11.2: "An officer and a police support staff member is taken to have consented to the use and disclosure of any record arising out of or in connection with the use of an RGP mobile device." How would the RGP user disclose records from RGP work phones in legal proceedings relating to retired officers? A. I think the answer to that is what I explained earlier, that the expectation would
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 lost? A. Well, I think officers will have understood that the phone would be wiped. What was happening with the data, I think, sir, that I've mentioned this already on a number of occasions I'm not sure that anybody was particularly alive to the relevance or relative importance of WhatsApp message, which essentially is what is being lost because the emails were being backed up to the RGP email server, so those were retained and are retained. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. MR SANTOS: Is your understanding that Mr McGrail's phone was wiped in this way after he retired? A. I mean, it's in my understanding but I cannot say definitively; I just don't know. I imagine that the phone would have been handed in to our senior executive officer or one of his team and that is what would have occurred and it did, months later, when I returned my phone. Q. So, are you not aware of who wiped it and 	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\\23\\24\end{array} $	 Q. Can we go to E324, please? This is another official policy sorry, it is the same policy about mobile phone usage, and it is paragraphs 11.1 and 11.2 I want to focus on. They say, "Use and disclosure of records: the RGP may use and disclose any records arising out of or in connection with the use of an RGP mobile device, including where that use or disclosure is (a) for a purpose related to the employment of any officer; (b) use or disclosure in connection with an offence, or (c) use or disclosure in connection with legal proceedings". 11.2: "An officer and a police support staff member is taken to have consented to the use and disclosure of any record arising out of or in connection with the use of an RGP mobile device." How would the RGP user disclose records from RGP work phones in legal proceedings relating to retired officers? A. I think the answer to that is what I explained earlier, that the expectation would have been that an officer, if he formed the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 lost? A. Well, I think officers will have understood that the phone would be wiped. What was happening with the data, I think, sir, that I've mentioned this already on a number of occasions I'm not sure that anybody was particularly alive to the relevance or relative importance of WhatsApp message, which essentially is what is being lost because the emails were being backed up to the RGP email server, so those were retained and are retained. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. MR SANTOS: Is your understanding that Mr McGrail's phone was wiped in this way after he retired? A. I mean, it's in my understanding but I cannot say definitively; I just don't know. I imagine that the phone would have been handed in to our senior executive officer or one of his team and that is what would have occurred and it did, months later, when I returned my phone. 	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\\23\end{array} $	 Q. Can we go to E324, please? This is another official policy sorry, it is the same policy about mobile phone usage, and it is paragraphs 11.1 and 11.2 I want to focus on. They say, "Use and disclosure of records: the RGP may use and disclose any records arising out of or in connection with the use of an RGP mobile device, including where that use or disclosure is (a) for a purpose related to the employment of any officer; (b) use or disclosure in connection with an offence, or (c) use or disclosure in connection with legal proceedings". 11.2: "An officer and a police support staff member is taken to have consented to the use and disclosure of any record arising out of or in connection with the use of an RGP mobile device." How would the RGP user disclose records from RGP work phones in legal proceedings relating to retired officers? A. I think the answer to that is what I explained earlier, that the expectation would

16 (Pages 61 to 64)

Page 64

		_
1	phone should be recorded, that they should	1
2	have transferred it on to a force system.	2
3	Clearly, we cannot go back and undo what has	3
4	been done and if the issue arises post that	4
5	person's retirement, or post that person's	5
6	phone, then you are right; it would be	6
7	impossible to do but that, I think, is what we	7
8	are intending with that paragraph.	8
9	Q. You agree, of course, that the RGP is under	9
10	a duty to disclose relevant documents in	10
11	relation to prosecutions?	11
12	A. Of course.	12
13	Q. Did the RGP take any steps to preserve	13
14	materials on these phones given that the	14
15	retiring officers were involved in ongoing	15
16	investigations?	16
17	A. Well, again I suppose a straight answer to	17
18	that is "no", but that would just be restricted to	18
19	WhatsApp. There wouldn't be anything else	19
20	beyond that that I can think of now that would	20
21	have been relevant. Emails would have been	21
22	preserved, as I've said, and again it's on the	22
23	presumption that there would have been	23
24	WhatsApp exchanges that hadn't been	24
25	recorded elsewhere on a particular	25
	Page 65	
1	investigation. And I think, Mr Santos, that	1
2	I've not been involved in many investigations	2
3	myself in the latter part of my career where I	3
4	have been an investigating officer, but I'm not	4
5	sure I'm aware of disclosure Whats Anns	5

- 5 sure I'm aware of disclosure -- WhatsApps
- 6 being the subject of a disclosure exercise in
 7 criminal cases either. I'm just -- I'm not.
- 7 criminal cases either. I'm just -- I'm not.
 8 Q. You mean WhatsApps between police --
- 9 RGP officers?
- 10 A. Correct.
- 11 Q. In particular, did the RGP take any steps to
- 12 preserve WhatsApps on Mr McGrail and Mr
- 13 Richardson's phones relating to the Operation14 Delhi investigation?
- 15 A. No, for the reasons that I've explained.
- 16 Q. Could the wiped phones have contained
- 17 disclosable materials in any of the RGP's
- 18 investigations?
- A. That would be speculating if I answer thequestion you raise.
- 21 Q. Were any steps taken to confirm whether
- they contained any relevant material before the
- 23 phones were wiped?
- A. No, and again I mention -- certainly, I am
- 25 not sure if it was in anybody's mind that that

1	might be necessary or that it might be the case
2	that there was.
3	Q. What about materials that were relevant to
4	this inquiry which Mr Picardo first announced
5	in July 2020? Were there any checks on the
6	phones just to ascertain whether there were
7	any materials relevant to this inquiry at the
8	time?
9	A. No, there were not and I'm not sure that
0	we were as alive to the fact that the inquiry
1	was going to occur at that point anyway. It
2	was in January and February 2022 when the
3	instrument was issued that it became obvious
4	that the inquiry was occurring. At that point
5	this may be Parliamentary announcements
6	but that is what that was.
7	Q. Can we now turn to E99, please? This is a
8	letter from Mr McGrail to Mr Ullger on 15
9	November 2022 and I just want to read a
0	couple of passages from here starting with the
1	fourth paragraph, which reads as follows:
2	"Arising from concerns I had relating to the
3	manner in which Mr Picardo KC and Mr
4	Llamas, KC, were reacting to the investigation

and the need to protect myself and the RGP, I

Page 67

1	asked Cathal whether he could back up my
2	emails and reports on an external hard drive. I
2	*
	could not afford any data going astray because
4	of any technical glitches with the servers
5	holding the RGP data, something which at the
6	time was not abnormal, or indeed, because of
7	any outside interference with the RGP's IT
8	system. Cathal kindly did this for me over two
9	days", and then skipping about four lines: "In
10	preparing for my departure, I began to pack all
11	my belongings into several boxes. This
12	included an external hard drive and some data
13	relating to Operation Delhi, namely
14	correspondence I had been exchanging with
15	Hassans. The last thing I had in my mind was
16	the data which Cathal had backed up for me.
17	These boxes were delivered to my residence
18	the following day, 10 June 2020, by Duncan
19	who assisted me to place them into storage."
20	That is Mr McGrail's account to Mr Ullger.
21	Did Mr McGrail ask you to back up his emails
22	and reports on an external hard drive?
23	A. So, Mr Santos, my understanding is that
24	this remains a live issue, so I will take
24	direction from the Chairman, but I'm not sure
25	un ccuon nom me Chan man, out i in not sure
	Page 68

17 (Pages 65 to 68)

1	that	1	A. There are. Certainly in my case they occur
2	Q. Well, if it does remain a live issue, then I	2	outside working hours, which again is
3	think we should perhaps take stock about that	3	indicative of what I've just said. I'm not sure
4	because I had not considered that it was still	4	whether Mr Ullger has conducted that analysis
5	live. Perhaps I will just skip over this for the	5	himself but maybe that it is similar, maybe not,
6	time being and then we will consider that in	6	but
7	due course. Can I just ask you this: without	7	Q. In terms of other material the RGP has
8	going into what is referred to in that letter or	8	been unable to disclose, there is Mr McGrail's
9	the hard drive, at that time were you asked by	9	daybooks, his desktop, the work phones, the
10	Mr McGrail to back up his WhatsApp	10	WhatsApps predating the transition from
11	messages?	11	Samsung to iPhone. Do you accept that those
12	A. I have no recollection of that, no.	12	sources will potentially have contained
13	Q. And did the RGP at that time consider	13	relevant information?
14	backing up his WhatsApp messages?	14	A. I think potentially, but again the
15	A. No.	15	importance of the laptop I think is minimised
16	Q. Would you accept that the RGP has been	16	by the fact that access is to the servers, the
17	unable to disclose a large amount of material	17	ITLV server containing the email and the
18	that was potentially relevant to the inquiry	18	network shares that would have contained the
19	because it has either been deleted or lost?	19	data, and I'm not sure it may be the case, but
20	A. No, I don't, because we have disclosed	20	I am not sure that there would be any data that
21	what we have when we have been able to	21	would be native to the laptop and not be
22	obtain it and when we have been requested for	22	backed up on the server or email server. It's
23	it, so we just cannot disclose what we do not	23	possible, perhaps, but that's my experience of
24	have. To say that we have lost material is	24	how certainly I work and most of us work.
25	speculating that the material exists in the first	25	Most of our work is on the servers.
	D (0)		D 74
	Page 69		Page 71
1		1	~
1	place so no, I am not sure I can accept that. I	1	Q. In fairness, Mr McGrail did refer at the
2	place so no, I am not sure I can accept that. I understand why you say it, but I don't accept	2	Q. In fairness, Mr McGrail did refer at the main inquiry hearing to a file that he saved
2 3	place so no, I am not sure I can accept that. I understand why you say it, but I don't accept it.	2 3	Q. In fairness, Mr McGrail did refer at the main inquiry hearing to a file that he saved locally, which we have been unable to locate.
2 3 4	place so no, I am not sure I can accept that. I understand why you say it, but I don't accept it. Q. Well, for example there is the message	2 3 4	 Q. In fairness, Mr McGrail did refer at the main inquiry hearing to a file that he saved locally, which we have been unable to locate. A. Yes, correct.
2 3 4 5	place so no, I am not sure I can accept that. I understand why you say it, but I don't accept it. Q. Well, for example there is the message between Mr Richardson and Mr McGrail on	2 3 4 5	 Q. In fairness, Mr McGrail did refer at the main inquiry hearing to a file that he saved locally, which we have been unable to locate. A. Yes, correct. Q. Although again in fairness, he has
2 3 4 5 6	 place so no, I am not sure I can accept that. I understand why you say it, but I don't accept it. Q. Well, for example there is the message between Mr Richardson and Mr McGrail on 12 May, which has never been located despite 	2 3 4 5 6	 Q. In fairness, Mr McGrail did refer at the main inquiry hearing to a file that he saved locally, which we have been unable to locate. A. Yes, correct. Q. Although again in fairness, he has subsequently suggested that he may have
2 3 4 5 6 7	 place so no, I am not sure I can accept that. I understand why you say it, but I don't accept it. Q. Well, for example there is the message between Mr Richardson and Mr McGrail on 12 May, which has never been located despite being referred to by both individuals in their 	2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q. In fairness, Mr McGrail did refer at the main inquiry hearing to a file that he saved locally, which we have been unable to locate. A. Yes, correct. Q. Although again in fairness, he has subsequently suggested that he may have saved it within the drafts mailbox of his email
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 place so no, I am not sure I can accept that. I understand why you say it, but I don't accept it. Q. Well, for example there is the message between Mr Richardson and Mr McGrail on 12 May, which has never been located despite being referred to by both individuals in their evidence. Would you accept that that has been 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. In fairness, Mr McGrail did refer at the main inquiry hearing to a file that he saved locally, which we have been unable to locate. A. Yes, correct. Q. Although again in fairness, he has subsequently suggested that he may have saved it within the drafts mailbox of his email account.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	place so no, I am not sure I can accept that. I understand why you say it, but I don't accept it. Q. Well, for example there is the message between Mr Richardson and Mr McGrail on 12 May, which has never been located despite being referred to by both individuals in their evidence. Would you accept that that has been lost?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. In fairness, Mr McGrail did refer at the main inquiry hearing to a file that he saved locally, which we have been unable to locate. A. Yes, correct. Q. Although again in fairness, he has subsequently suggested that he may have saved it within the drafts mailbox of his email account. A. Yes, I think I address this point in my
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 place so no, I am not sure I can accept that. I understand why you say it, but I don't accept it. Q. Well, for example there is the message between Mr Richardson and Mr McGrail on 12 May, which has never been located despite being referred to by both individuals in their evidence. Would you accept that that has been lost? A. Assuming that that evidence is correct and 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q. In fairness, Mr McGrail did refer at the main inquiry hearing to a file that he saved locally, which we have been unable to locate. A. Yes, correct. Q. Although again in fairness, he has subsequently suggested that he may have saved it within the drafts mailbox of his email account. A. Yes, I think I address this point in my fourth witness statement. I'm not sure I can
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 place so no, I am not sure I can accept that. I understand why you say it, but I don't accept it. Q. Well, for example there is the message between Mr Richardson and Mr McGrail on 12 May, which has never been located despite being referred to by both individuals in their evidence. Would you accept that that has been lost? A. Assuming that that evidence is correct and it was indeed sent as they did, yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. In fairness, Mr McGrail did refer at the main inquiry hearing to a file that he saved locally, which we have been unable to locate. A. Yes, correct. Q. Although again in fairness, he has subsequently suggested that he may have saved it within the drafts mailbox of his email account. A. Yes, I think I address this point in my fourth witness statement. I'm not sure I can add much more to it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 place so no, I am not sure I can accept that. I understand why you say it, but I don't accept it. Q. Well, for example there is the message between Mr Richardson and Mr McGrail on 12 May, which has never been located despite being referred to by both individuals in their evidence. Would you accept that that has been lost? A. Assuming that that evidence is correct and it was indeed sent as they did, yes. Q. And then there is the SMT WhatsApp 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q. In fairness, Mr McGrail did refer at the main inquiry hearing to a file that he saved locally, which we have been unable to locate. A. Yes, correct. Q. Although again in fairness, he has subsequently suggested that he may have saved it within the drafts mailbox of his email account. A. Yes, I think I address this point in my fourth witness statement. I'm not sure I can add much more to it. Q. No, no, I just want to point that out, in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 place so no, I am not sure I can accept that. I understand why you say it, but I don't accept it. Q. Well, for example there is the message between Mr Richardson and Mr McGrail on 12 May, which has never been located despite being referred to by both individuals in their evidence. Would you accept that that has been lost? A. Assuming that that evidence is correct and it was indeed sent as they did, yes. Q. And then there is the SMT WhatsApp group messages. I think your position is you 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. In fairness, Mr McGrail did refer at the main inquiry hearing to a file that he saved locally, which we have been unable to locate. A. Yes, correct. Q. Although again in fairness, he has subsequently suggested that he may have saved it within the drafts mailbox of his email account. A. Yes, I think I address this point in my fourth witness statement. I'm not sure I can add much more to it. Q. No, no, I just want to point that out, in fairness. So, do you maintain the position in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 place so no, I am not sure I can accept that. I understand why you say it, but I don't accept it. Q. Well, for example there is the message between Mr Richardson and Mr McGrail on 12 May, which has never been located despite being referred to by both individuals in their evidence. Would you accept that that has been lost? A. Assuming that that evidence is correct and it was indeed sent as they did, yes. Q. And then there is the SMT WhatsApp group messages. I think your position is you query whether there was any relevant 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. In fairness, Mr McGrail did refer at the main inquiry hearing to a file that he saved locally, which we have been unable to locate. A. Yes, correct. Q. Although again in fairness, he has subsequently suggested that he may have saved it within the drafts mailbox of his email account. A. Yes, I think I address this point in my fourth witness statement. I'm not sure I can add much more to it. Q. No, no, I just want to point that out, in fairness. So, do you maintain the position in your witness statement that the RGP has given
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 place so no, I am not sure I can accept that. I understand why you say it, but I don't accept it. Q. Well, for example there is the message between Mr Richardson and Mr McGrail on 12 May, which has never been located despite being referred to by both individuals in their evidence. Would you accept that that has been lost? A. Assuming that that evidence is correct and it was indeed sent as they did, yes. Q. And then there is the SMT WhatsApp group messages. I think your position is you query whether there was any relevant information in that group? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. In fairness, Mr McGrail did refer at the main inquiry hearing to a file that he saved locally, which we have been unable to locate. A. Yes, correct. Q. Although again in fairness, he has subsequently suggested that he may have saved it within the drafts mailbox of his email account. A. Yes, I think I address this point in my fourth witness statement. I'm not sure I can add much more to it. Q. No, no, I just want to point that out, in fairness. So, do you maintain the position in your witness statement that the RGP has given comprehensive disclosure at all times?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 place so no, I am not sure I can accept that. I understand why you say it, but I don't accept it. Q. Well, for example there is the message between Mr Richardson and Mr McGrail on 12 May, which has never been located despite being referred to by both individuals in their evidence. Would you accept that that has been lost? A. Assuming that that evidence is correct and it was indeed sent as they did, yes. Q. And then there is the SMT WhatsApp group messages. I think your position is you query whether there was any relevant information in that group? A. Yes, because I cannot think why, when we 	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ \end{array} $	 Q. In fairness, Mr McGrail did refer at the main inquiry hearing to a file that he saved locally, which we have been unable to locate. A. Yes, correct. Q. Although again in fairness, he has subsequently suggested that he may have saved it within the drafts mailbox of his email account. A. Yes, I think I address this point in my fourth witness statement. I'm not sure I can add much more to it. Q. No, no, I just want to point that out, in fairness. So, do you maintain the position in your witness statement that the RGP has given comprehensive disclosure at all times? A. I think, Mr Santos, if you take it as a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 place so no, I am not sure I can accept that. I understand why you say it, but I don't accept it. Q. Well, for example there is the message between Mr Richardson and Mr McGrail on 12 May, which has never been located despite being referred to by both individuals in their evidence. Would you accept that that has been lost? A. Assuming that that evidence is correct and it was indeed sent as they did, yes. Q. And then there is the SMT WhatsApp group messages. I think your position is you query whether there was any relevant information in that group? A. Yes, because I cannot think why, when we were meeting almost daily for Covid matters 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. In fairness, Mr McGrail did refer at the main inquiry hearing to a file that he saved locally, which we have been unable to locate. A. Yes, correct. Q. Although again in fairness, he has subsequently suggested that he may have saved it within the drafts mailbox of his email account. A. Yes, I think I address this point in my fourth witness statement. I'm not sure I can add much more to it. Q. No, no, I just want to point that out, in fairness. So, do you maintain the position in your witness statement that the RGP has given comprehensive disclosure at all times? A. I think, Mr Santos, if you take it as a whole, I think we have. You will know that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 place so no, I am not sure I can accept that. I understand why you say it, but I don't accept it. Q. Well, for example there is the message between Mr Richardson and Mr McGrail on 12 May, which has never been located despite being referred to by both individuals in their evidence. Would you accept that that has been lost? A. Assuming that that evidence is correct and it was indeed sent as they did, yes. Q. And then there is the SMT WhatsApp group messages. I think your position is you query whether there was any relevant information in that group? A. Yes, because I cannot think why, when we were meeting almost daily for Covid matters and other matters, that we would have been 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. In fairness, Mr McGrail did refer at the main inquiry hearing to a file that he saved locally, which we have been unable to locate. A. Yes, correct. Q. Although again in fairness, he has subsequently suggested that he may have saved it within the drafts mailbox of his email account. A. Yes, I think I address this point in my fourth witness statement. I'm not sure I can add much more to it. Q. No, no, I just want to point that out, in fairness. So, do you maintain the position in your witness statement that the RGP has given comprehensive disclosure at all times? A. I think, Mr Santos, if you take it as a whole, I think we have. You will know that when the call for evidence came in July 2022,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 place so no, I am not sure I can accept that. I understand why you say it, but I don't accept it. Q. Well, for example there is the message between Mr Richardson and Mr McGrail on 12 May, which has never been located despite being referred to by both individuals in their evidence. Would you accept that that has been lost? A. Assuming that that evidence is correct and it was indeed sent as they did, yes. Q. And then there is the SMT WhatsApp group messages. I think your position is you query whether there was any relevant information in that group? A. Yes, because I cannot think why, when we were meeting almost daily for Covid matters and other matters, that we would have been exchanging WhatsApps on the issues at hand, 	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ \end{array} $	 Q. In fairness, Mr McGrail did refer at the main inquiry hearing to a file that he saved locally, which we have been unable to locate. A. Yes, correct. Q. Although again in fairness, he has subsequently suggested that he may have saved it within the drafts mailbox of his email account. A. Yes, I think I address this point in my fourth witness statement. I'm not sure I can add much more to it. Q. No, no, I just want to point that out, in fairness. So, do you maintain the position in your witness statement that the RGP has given comprehensive disclosure at all times? A. I think, Mr Santos, if you take it as a whole, I think we have. You will know that when the call for evidence came in July 2022, we engaged with the previous solicitors for the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 place so no, I am not sure I can accept that. I understand why you say it, but I don't accept it. Q. Well, for example there is the message between Mr Richardson and Mr McGrail on 12 May, which has never been located despite being referred to by both individuals in their evidence. Would you accept that that has been lost? A. Assuming that that evidence is correct and it was indeed sent as they did, yes. Q. And then there is the SMT WhatsApp group messages. I think your position is you query whether there was any relevant information in that group? A. Yes, because I cannot think why, when we were meeting almost daily for Covid matters and other matters, that we would have been exchanging WhatsApps on the issues at hand, whether that was a collision at sea, the 	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ \end{array} $	 Q. In fairness, Mr McGrail did refer at the main inquiry hearing to a file that he saved locally, which we have been unable to locate. A. Yes, correct. Q. Although again in fairness, he has subsequently suggested that he may have saved it within the drafts mailbox of his email account. A. Yes, I think I address this point in my fourth witness statement. I'm not sure I can add much more to it. Q. No, no, I just want to point that out, in fairness. So, do you maintain the position in your witness statement that the RGP has given comprehensive disclosure at all times? A. I think, Mr Santos, if you take it as a whole, I think we have. You will know that when the call for evidence came in July 2022, we engaged with the previous solicitors for the inquiry. There were discussions around how
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 place so no, I am not sure I can accept that. I understand why you say it, but I don't accept it. Q. Well, for example there is the message between Mr Richardson and Mr McGrail on 12 May, which has never been located despite being referred to by both individuals in their evidence. Would you accept that that has been lost? A. Assuming that that evidence is correct and it was indeed sent as they did, yes. Q. And then there is the SMT WhatsApp group messages. I think your position is you query whether there was any relevant information in that group? A. Yes, because I cannot think why, when we were meeting almost daily for Covid matters and other matters, that we would have been exchanging WhatsApps on the issues at hand, whether that was a collision at sea, the Operation Delhi search warrant and what fell 	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ \end{array} $	 Q. In fairness, Mr McGrail did refer at the main inquiry hearing to a file that he saved locally, which we have been unable to locate. A. Yes, correct. Q. Although again in fairness, he has subsequently suggested that he may have saved it within the drafts mailbox of his email account. A. Yes, I think I address this point in my fourth witness statement. I'm not sure I can add much more to it. Q. No, no, I just want to point that out, in fairness. So, do you maintain the position in your witness statement that the RGP has given comprehensive disclosure at all times? A. I think, Mr Santos, if you take it as a whole, I think we have. You will know that when the call for evidence came in July 2022, we engaged with the previous solicitors for the inquiry. There were discussions around how to approach the volumes of RGP material that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 place so no, I am not sure I can accept that. I understand why you say it, but I don't accept it. Q. Well, for example there is the message between Mr Richardson and Mr McGrail on 12 May, which has never been located despite being referred to by both individuals in their evidence. Would you accept that that has been lost? A. Assuming that that evidence is correct and it was indeed sent as they did, yes. Q. And then there is the SMT WhatsApp group messages. I think your position is you query whether there was any relevant information in that group? A. Yes, because I cannot think why, when we were meeting almost daily for Covid matters and other matters, that we would have been exchanging WhatsApps on the issues at hand, whether that was a collision at sea, the Operation Delhi search warrant and what fell out of that, the ongoing Covid matters. 	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\end{array} $	 Q. In fairness, Mr McGrail did refer at the main inquiry hearing to a file that he saved locally, which we have been unable to locate. A. Yes, correct. Q. Although again in fairness, he has subsequently suggested that he may have saved it within the drafts mailbox of his email account. A. Yes, I think I address this point in my fourth witness statement. I'm not sure I can add much more to it. Q. No, no, I just want to point that out, in fairness. So, do you maintain the position in your witness statement that the RGP has given comprehensive disclosure at all times? A. I think, Mr Santos, if you take it as a whole, I think we have. You will know that when the call for evidence came in July 2022, we engaged with the previous solicitors for the inquiry. There were discussions around how to approach the volumes of RGP material that we have, how to apply the relevance test to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 place so no, I am not sure I can accept that. I understand why you say it, but I don't accept it. Q. Well, for example there is the message between Mr Richardson and Mr McGrail on 12 May, which has never been located despite being referred to by both individuals in their evidence. Would you accept that that has been lost? A. Assuming that that evidence is correct and it was indeed sent as they did, yes. Q. And then there is the SMT WhatsApp group messages. I think your position is you query whether there was any relevant information in that group? A. Yes, because I cannot think why, when we were meeting almost daily for Covid matters and other matters, that we would have been exchanging WhatsApps on the issues at hand, whether that was a collision at sea, the Operation Delhi search warrant and what fell out of that, the ongoing Covid matters. Q. It is fair to point out that there are 	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\\23\end{array} $	 Q. In fairness, Mr McGrail did refer at the main inquiry hearing to a file that he saved locally, which we have been unable to locate. A. Yes, correct. Q. Although again in fairness, he has subsequently suggested that he may have saved it within the drafts mailbox of his email account. A. Yes, I think I address this point in my fourth witness statement. I'm not sure I can add much more to it. Q. No, no, I just want to point that out, in fairness. So, do you maintain the position in your witness statement that the RGP has given comprehensive disclosure at all times? A. I think, Mr Santos, if you take it as a whole, I think we have. You will know that when the call for evidence came in July 2022, we engaged with the previous solicitors for the inquiry. There were discussions around how to approach the volumes of RGP material that we have, how to apply the relevance test to that. We were uncomfortable with that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 place so no, I am not sure I can accept that. I understand why you say it, but I don't accept it. Q. Well, for example there is the message between Mr Richardson and Mr McGrail on 12 May, which has never been located despite being referred to by both individuals in their evidence. Would you accept that that has been lost? A. Assuming that that evidence is correct and it was indeed sent as they did, yes. Q. And then there is the SMT WhatsApp group messages. I think your position is you query whether there was any relevant information in that group? A. Yes, because I cannot think why, when we were meeting almost daily for Covid matters and other matters, that we would have been exchanging WhatsApps on the issues at hand, whether that was a collision at sea, the Operation Delhi search warrant and what fell out of that, the ongoing Covid matters. 	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\end{array} $	 Q. In fairness, Mr McGrail did refer at the main inquiry hearing to a file that he saved locally, which we have been unable to locate. A. Yes, correct. Q. Although again in fairness, he has subsequently suggested that he may have saved it within the drafts mailbox of his email account. A. Yes, I think I address this point in my fourth witness statement. I'm not sure I can add much more to it. Q. No, no, I just want to point that out, in fairness. So, do you maintain the position in your witness statement that the RGP has given comprehensive disclosure at all times? A. I think, Mr Santos, if you take it as a whole, I think we have. You will know that when the call for evidence came in July 2022, we engaged with the previous solicitors for the inquiry. There were discussions around how to approach the volumes of RGP material that we have, how to apply the relevance test to

18 (Pages 69 to 72)

Page 72

1			
	became CPs around that date in October 2022,	1	inspector was also tasked to check whether the
2	so we were querying what other people might	2	other IT devices were in use by Mr McGrail
3	think was relevant to the inquiry. I actually	3	prior to his retirement. None of them were. I
4	did some correspondence, and you no doubt	4	attach email correspondence in relation to
5	will be aware of it. We set up a team to	5	this." Then DC Alfred Garcia examined the
6	conduct a review of all RGP material,	6	laptop located by Inspector Rodriguez to
7	categorising the material, though I think at one	7	determine whether it was in use by Mr
8	point we reported in the preliminary hearing	8	McGrail at the time of his retirement and
9	we had reviewed over 900,000 documents and	9	whether the draft of the email of 12 May 2020
10	there were 600,000 still to review. Almost	10	was contained within. DC Garcia has
11	30,000 hard copy documents were reviewed,	11	confirmed that the laptop was in use by Mr
12	and that's not including emails so, you know,	12	McGrail in May 2020, but had been unable to
13	we have conducted, in my view, a very	13	find the email of 12 May 2020." If we can
14	thorough, very comprehensive search of	14	now skip to page 48, please, this is the report
15	disclosure. When the issues with the	15	that is prepared by DC Garcia in June 2024.
16	whistleblowing statements and the allegations	16	This was on the back of questions that had
17	around the disclosure process then arose, you	17	been asked in the main inquiry hearing and I
18	had already taken a decision to take over the	18	just want to take you to a couple of passage
19	disclosure process prior to that which I	19	from this. The first is the first paragraph:
20	remember from the Dr Giraldi inquiries what	20	"This is my report containing my findings in
21	actually occurred then. We were given by the	21	relation to an email sent to me by Assistant
22	STI I had the misfortune or fortune of being	22	Commissioner Yeats with questions which he
23	asked to get into both inquiries. We were	23	wanted me to answer for him regarding the
24	asked by the inquiry to carry out searches	24	examination of devices with regard to the
25	based on search terms identified by	25	Public Inquiry of Ian McGrail", and then if we
-			
	Page 73		Page 75
1	yourselves. We did that. We provided you	1	can jump to page 52, please, the second
2			
2	with all the material. We then had discussions	1 2	paragraph under section 2. "Although the Ian
3	with all the material. We then had discussions around and I think it was virtually	$\begin{vmatrix} 2\\ 3 \end{vmatrix}$	paragraph under section 2: "Although the Ian McGrail profile has not been registered as
3 4	around and I think it was virtually	3	McGrail profile has not been registered as
4	around and I think it was virtually unnecessary around whether any public	3 4	McGrail profile has not been registered as having been used in a long time, there clearly
4 5	around and I think it was virtually unnecessary around whether any public interest considerations were to be taken around	3 4 5	McGrail profile has not been registered as having been used in a long time, there clearly is plenty of user activity after 20 August 2018.
4 5 6	around – and I think it was virtually unnecessary around whether any public interest considerations were to be taken around those subsequent documents and eventually I	3 4 5 6	McGrail profile has not been registered as having been used in a long time, there clearly is plenty of user activity after 20 August 2018. Therefore, I cannot explain why the last log-in
4 5 6 7	around and I think it was virtually unnecessary around whether any public interest considerations were to be taken around those subsequent documents and eventually I think you disclosed around 2300 documents to	3 4 5 6 7	McGrail profile has not been registered as having been used in a long time, there clearly is plenty of user activity after 20 August 2018. Therefore, I cannot explain why the last log-in for this profile is registered as that date when
4 5 6 7 8	around and I think it was virtually unnecessary around whether any public interest considerations were to be taken around those subsequent documents and eventually I think you disclosed around 2300 documents to all core participants. So, taken as a whole, I	3 4 5 6 7 8	McGrail profile has not been registered as having been used in a long time, there clearly is plenty of user activity after 20 August 2018. Therefore, I cannot explain why the last log-in for this profile is registered as that date when clearly there is evidence showing that the
4 5 7 8 9	around and I think it was virtually unnecessary around whether any public interest considerations were to be taken around those subsequent documents and eventually I think you disclosed around 2300 documents to all core participants. So, taken as a whole, I think the WhatsApps become a very minor	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	McGrail profile has not been registered as having been used in a long time, there clearly is plenty of user activity after 20 August 2018. Therefore, I cannot explain why the last log-in for this profile is registered as that date when clearly there is evidence showing that the profile was being used well after that date. For
4 5 7 8 9 10	around and I think it was virtually unnecessary around whether any public interest considerations were to be taken around those subsequent documents and eventually I think you disclosed around 2300 documents to all core participants. So, taken as a whole, I think the WhatsApps become a very minor part of the disclosure process that the RGP has	3 4 5 6 7 8	McGrail profile has not been registered as having been used in a long time, there clearly is plenty of user activity after 20 August 2018. Therefore, I cannot explain why the last log-in for this profile is registered as that date when clearly there is evidence showing that the profile was being used well after that date. For example, there is evidence of user activity on
4 5 7 8 9	around and I think it was virtually unnecessary around whether any public interest considerations were to be taken around those subsequent documents and eventually I think you disclosed around 2300 documents to all core participants. So, taken as a whole, I think the WhatsApps become a very minor part of the disclosure process that the RGP has gone through hand in hand, in my view, with	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	McGrail profile has not been registered as having been used in a long time, there clearly is plenty of user activity after 20 August 2018. Therefore, I cannot explain why the last log-in for this profile is registered as that date when clearly there is evidence showing that the profile was being used well after that date. For
4 5 7 8 9 10 11	around and I think it was virtually unnecessary around whether any public interest considerations were to be taken around those subsequent documents and eventually I think you disclosed around 2300 documents to all core participants. So, taken as a whole, I think the WhatsApps become a very minor part of the disclosure process that the RGP has gone through hand in hand, in my view, with the former STI, the current STI and yourself.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	McGrail profile has not been registered as having been used in a long time, there clearly is plenty of user activity after 20 August 2018. Therefore, I cannot explain why the last log-in for this profile is registered as that date when clearly there is evidence showing that the profile was being used well after that date. For example, there is evidence of user activity on 23 June 2020 at 1547 hrs with the deletion of an audio file with the following file name:
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	around and I think it was virtually unnecessary around whether any public interest considerations were to be taken around those subsequent documents and eventually I think you disclosed around 2300 documents to all core participants. So, taken as a whole, I think the WhatsApps become a very minor part of the disclosure process that the RGP has gone through hand in hand, in my view, with the former STI, the current STI and yourself. Q. Turning to my penultimate topic, Mr	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	McGrail profile has not been registered as having been used in a long time, there clearly is plenty of user activity after 20 August 2018. Therefore, I cannot explain why the last log-in for this profile is registered as that date when clearly there is evidence showing that the profile was being used well after that date. For example, there is evidence of user activity on 23 June 2020 at 1547 hrs with the deletion of
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	around and I think it was virtually unnecessary around whether any public interest considerations were to be taken around those subsequent documents and eventually I think you disclosed around 2300 documents to all core participants. So, taken as a whole, I think the WhatsApps become a very minor part of the disclosure process that the RGP has gone through hand in hand, in my view, with the former STI, the current STI and yourself.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	McGrail profile has not been registered as having been used in a long time, there clearly is plenty of user activity after 20 August 2018. Therefore, I cannot explain why the last log-in for this profile is registered as that date when clearly there is evidence showing that the profile was being used well after that date. For example, there is evidence of user activity on 23 June 2020 at 1547 hrs with the deletion of an audio file with the following file name: 'Meeting with AG and DPP 20 May M4A'.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	around and I think it was virtually unnecessary around whether any public interest considerations were to be taken around those subsequent documents and eventually I think you disclosed around 2300 documents to all core participants. So, taken as a whole, I think the WhatsApps become a very minor part of the disclosure process that the RGP has gone through hand in hand, in my view, with the former STI, the current STI and yourself. Q. Turning to my penultimate topic, Mr McGrail's laptop, which was located after the main inquiry hearing, if we can go to E4,	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	McGrail profile has not been registered as having been used in a long time, there clearly is plenty of user activity after 20 August 2018. Therefore, I cannot explain why the last log-in for this profile is registered as that date when clearly there is evidence showing that the profile was being used well after that date. For example, there is evidence of user activity on 23 June 2020 at 1547 hrs with the deletion of an audio file with the following file name: 'Meeting with AG and DPP 20 May M4A'. This audio file is auto deleted a further two
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	around and I think it was virtually unnecessary around whether any public interest considerations were to be taken around those subsequent documents and eventually I think you disclosed around 2300 documents to all core participants. So, taken as a whole, I think the WhatsApps become a very minor part of the disclosure process that the RGP has gone through hand in hand, in my view, with the former STI, the current STI and yourself. Q. Turning to my penultimate topic, Mr McGrail's laptop, which was located after the main inquiry hearing, if we can go to E4, please, this is your fourth witness statement	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	McGrail profile has not been registered as having been used in a long time, there clearly is plenty of user activity after 20 August 2018. Therefore, I cannot explain why the last log-in for this profile is registered as that date when clearly there is evidence showing that the profile was being used well after that date. For example, there is evidence of user activity on 23 June 2020 at 1547 hrs with the deletion of an audio file with the following file name: 'Meeting with AG and DPP 20 May M4A'. This audio file is auto deleted a further two times, once also on 23 June 2020 at 1546
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	around and I think it was virtually unnecessary around whether any public interest considerations were to be taken around those subsequent documents and eventually I think you disclosed around 2300 documents to all core participants. So, taken as a whole, I think the WhatsApps become a very minor part of the disclosure process that the RGP has gone through hand in hand, in my view, with the former STI, the current STI and yourself. Q. Turning to my penultimate topic, Mr McGrail's laptop, which was located after the main inquiry hearing, if we can go to E4,	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	McGrail profile has not been registered as having been used in a long time, there clearly is plenty of user activity after 20 August 2018. Therefore, I cannot explain why the last log-in for this profile is registered as that date when clearly there is evidence showing that the profile was being used well after that date. For example, there is evidence of user activity on 23 June 2020 at 1547 hrs with the deletion of an audio file with the following file name: 'Meeting with AG and DPP 20 May M4A'. This audio file is auto deleted a further two times, once also on 23 June 2020 at 1546 hours and another one at an earlier date of 4
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	around and I think it was virtually unnecessary around whether any public interest considerations were to be taken around those subsequent documents and eventually I think you disclosed around 2300 documents to all core participants. So, taken as a whole, I think the WhatsApps become a very minor part of the disclosure process that the RGP has gone through hand in hand, in my view, with the former STI, the current STI and yourself. Q. Turning to my penultimate topic, Mr McGrail's laptop, which was located after the main inquiry hearing, if we can go to E4, please, this is your fourth witness statement and I just want to refer to paragraphs 16 and	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	McGrail profile has not been registered as having been used in a long time, there clearly is plenty of user activity after 20 August 2018. Therefore, I cannot explain why the last log-in for this profile is registered as that date when clearly there is evidence showing that the profile was being used well after that date. For example, there is evidence of user activity on 23 June 2020 at 1547 hrs with the deletion of an audio file with the following file name: 'Meeting with AG and DPP 20 May M4A'. This audio file is auto deleted a further two times, once also on 23 June 2020 at 1546 hours and another one at an earlier date of 4 June 2020 at 0701 hours. There is also a
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	around and I think it was virtually unnecessary around whether any public interest considerations were to be taken around those subsequent documents and eventually I think you disclosed around 2300 documents to all core participants. So, taken as a whole, I think the WhatsApps become a very minor part of the disclosure process that the RGP has gone through hand in hand, in my view, with the former STI, the current STI and yourself. Q. Turning to my penultimate topic, Mr McGrail's laptop, which was located after the main inquiry hearing, if we can go to E4, please, this is your fourth witness statement and I just want to refer to paragraphs 16 and 17. You say: "On 8 May 2024 Inspector	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	McGrail profile has not been registered as having been used in a long time, there clearly is plenty of user activity after 20 August 2018. Therefore, I cannot explain why the last log-in for this profile is registered as that date when clearly there is evidence showing that the profile was being used well after that date. For example, there is evidence of user activity on 23 June 2020 at 1547 hrs with the deletion of an audio file with the following file name: 'Meeting with AG and DPP 20 May M4A'. This audio file is auto deleted a further two times, once also on 23 June 2020 at 1546 hours and another one at an earlier date of 4 June 2020 at 0701 hours. There is also a further deleted work document in the recycle
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	around and I think it was virtually unnecessary around whether any public interest considerations were to be taken around those subsequent documents and eventually I think you disclosed around 2300 documents to all core participants. So, taken as a whole, I think the WhatsApps become a very minor part of the disclosure process that the RGP has gone through hand in hand, in my view, with the former STI, the current STI and yourself. Q. Turning to my penultimate topic, Mr McGrail's laptop, which was located after the main inquiry hearing, if we can go to E4, please, this is your fourth witness statement and I just want to refer to paragraphs 16 and 17. You say: "On 8 May 2024 Inspector James Rodriguez reported that he had located	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	McGrail profile has not been registered as having been used in a long time, there clearly is plenty of user activity after 20 August 2018. Therefore, I cannot explain why the last log-in for this profile is registered as that date when clearly there is evidence showing that the profile was being used well after that date. For example, there is evidence of user activity on 23 June 2020 at 1547 hrs with the deletion of an audio file with the following file name: 'Meeting with AG and DPP 20 May M4A'. This audio file is auto deleted a further two times, once also on 23 June 2020 at 1546 hours and another one at an earlier date of 4 June 2020 at 0701 hours. There is also a further deleted work document in the recycle bin", not that that is really relevant to our
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	around and I think it was virtually unnecessary around whether any public interest considerations were to be taken around those subsequent documents and eventually I think you disclosed around 2300 documents to all core participants. So, taken as a whole, I think the WhatsApps become a very minor part of the disclosure process that the RGP has gone through hand in hand, in my view, with the former STI, the current STI and yourself. Q. Turning to my penultimate topic, Mr McGrail's laptop, which was located after the main inquiry hearing, if we can go to E4, please, this is your fourth witness statement and I just want to refer to paragraphs 16 and 17. You say: "On 8 May 2024 Inspector James Rodriguez reported that he had located a laptop which he believed to have belonged to	$ \begin{array}{c} 3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\\end{array} $	McGrail profile has not been registered as having been used in a long time, there clearly is plenty of user activity after 20 August 2018. Therefore, I cannot explain why the last log-in for this profile is registered as that date when clearly there is evidence showing that the profile was being used well after that date. For example, there is evidence of user activity on 23 June 2020 at 1547 hrs with the deletion of an audio file with the following file name: 'Meeting with AG and DPP 20 May M4A'. This audio file is auto deleted a further two times, once also on 23 June 2020 at 1546 hours and another one at an earlier date of 4 June 2020 at 0701 hours. There is also a further deleted work document in the recycle bin", not that that is really relevant to our purposes. And then the following paragraph
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	around and I think it was virtually unnecessary around whether any public interest considerations were to be taken around those subsequent documents and eventually I think you disclosed around 2300 documents to all core participants. So, taken as a whole, I think the WhatsApps become a very minor part of the disclosure process that the RGP has gone through hand in hand, in my view, with the former STI, the current STI and yourself. Q. Turning to my penultimate topic, Mr McGrail's laptop, which was located after the main inquiry hearing, if we can go to E4, please, this is your fourth witness statement and I just want to refer to paragraphs 16 and 17. You say: "On 8 May 2024 Inspector James Rodriguez reported that he had located a laptop which he believed to have belonged to Mr McGrail. The laptop was amongst other IT	$ \begin{array}{c} 3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\end{array} $	McGrail profile has not been registered as having been used in a long time, there clearly is plenty of user activity after 20 August 2018. Therefore, I cannot explain why the last log-in for this profile is registered as that date when clearly there is evidence showing that the profile was being used well after that date. For example, there is evidence of user activity on 23 June 2020 at 1547 hrs with the deletion of an audio file with the following file name: 'Meeting with AG and DPP 20 May M4A'. This audio file is auto deleted a further two times, once also on 23 June 2020 at 1546 hours and another one at an earlier date of 4 June 2020 at 0701 hours. There is also a further deleted work document in the recycle bin", not that that is really relevant to our purposes. And then the following paragraph says: "All artefacts which I've analysed to
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	around and I think it was virtually unnecessary around whether any public interest considerations were to be taken around those subsequent documents and eventually I think you disclosed around 2300 documents to all core participants. So, taken as a whole, I think the WhatsApps become a very minor part of the disclosure process that the RGP has gone through hand in hand, in my view, with the former STI, the current STI and yourself. Q. Turning to my penultimate topic, Mr McGrail's laptop, which was located after the main inquiry hearing, if we can go to E4, please, this is your fourth witness statement and I just want to refer to paragraphs 16 and 17. You say: "On 8 May 2024 Inspector James Rodriguez reported that he had located a laptop which he believed to have belonged to Mr McGrail. The laptop was amongst other IT devices that were being cleared out of an	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	McGrail profile has not been registered as having been used in a long time, there clearly is plenty of user activity after 20 August 2018. Therefore, I cannot explain why the last log-in for this profile is registered as that date when clearly there is evidence showing that the profile was being used well after that date. For example, there is evidence of user activity on 23 June 2020 at 1547 hrs with the deletion of an audio file with the following file name: 'Meeting with AG and DPP 20 May M4A'. This audio file is auto deleted a further two times, once also on 23 June 2020 at 1546 hours and another one at an earlier date of 4 June 2020 at 0701 hours. There is also a further deleted work document in the recycle bin", not that that is really relevant to our purposes. And then the following paragraph says: "All artefacts which I've analysed to answer these questions have been created by
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	around and I think it was virtually unnecessary around whether any public interest considerations were to be taken around those subsequent documents and eventually I think you disclosed around 2300 documents to all core participants. So, taken as a whole, I think the WhatsApps become a very minor part of the disclosure process that the RGP has gone through hand in hand, in my view, with the former STI, the current STI and yourself. Q. Turning to my penultimate topic, Mr McGrail's laptop, which was located after the main inquiry hearing, if we can go to E4, please, this is your fourth witness statement and I just want to refer to paragraphs 16 and 17. You say: "On 8 May 2024 Inspector James Rodriguez reported that he had located a laptop which he believed to have belonged to Mr McGrail. The laptop was amongst other IT devices that were being cleared out of an office used by the now retired Inspector Paul	$\begin{array}{c} 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ \end{array}$	McGrail profile has not been registered as having been used in a long time, there clearly is plenty of user activity after 20 August 2018. Therefore, I cannot explain why the last log-in for this profile is registered as that date when clearly there is evidence showing that the profile was being used well after that date. For example, there is evidence of user activity on 23 June 2020 at 1547 hrs with the deletion of an audio file with the following file name: 'Meeting with AG and DPP 20 May M4A'. This audio file is auto deleted a further two times, once also on 23 June 2020 at 1546 hours and another one at an earlier date of 4 June 2020 at 0701 hours. There is also a further deleted work document in the recycle bin", not that that is really relevant to our purposes. And then the following paragraph says: "All artefacts which I've analysed to answer these questions have been created by the profile Ian McGrail." Is your
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	around and I think it was virtually unnecessary around whether any public interest considerations were to be taken around those subsequent documents and eventually I think you disclosed around 2300 documents to all core participants. So, taken as a whole, I think the WhatsApps become a very minor part of the disclosure process that the RGP has gone through hand in hand, in my view, with the former STI, the current STI and yourself. Q. Turning to my penultimate topic, Mr McGrail's laptop, which was located after the main inquiry hearing, if we can go to E4, please, this is your fourth witness statement and I just want to refer to paragraphs 16 and 17. You say: "On 8 May 2024 Inspector James Rodriguez reported that he had located a laptop which he believed to have belonged to Mr McGrail. The laptop was amongst other IT devices that were being cleared out of an office used by the now retired Inspector Paul Barker. The laptop was secured for	$ \begin{array}{c} 3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\\23\\24\end{array} $	McGrail profile has not been registered as having been used in a long time, there clearly is plenty of user activity after 20 August 2018. Therefore, I cannot explain why the last log-in for this profile is registered as that date when clearly there is evidence showing that the profile was being used well after that date. For example, there is evidence of user activity on 23 June 2020 at 1547 hrs with the deletion of an audio file with the following file name: 'Meeting with AG and DPP 20 May M4A'. This audio file is auto deleted a further two times, once also on 23 June 2020 at 1546 hours and another one at an earlier date of 4 June 2020 at 0701 hours. There is also a further deleted work document in the recycle bin", not that that is really relevant to our purposes. And then the following paragraph says: "All artefacts which I've analysed to answer these questions have been created by the profile Ian McGrail." Is your understanding from reading this report that

19 (Pages 73 to 76)

1	after the day of his retirement?	1	You have spoken about the process of the
2	A. That's what he appears to be saying, yes	2	RGP's disclosure, and how you seemed to ease
3	Q. Have you discussed with DC Garcia	3	into the leadership of that and - I am not quite
4	whether he has suggested any possible	4	sure how - but is it true to say that the inquiry
5	explanation for this deletion?	5	has not made, in 2022 - had not made, any
6	A. No.	6	request to the RGP as an entity for disclosure;
7	Q. Has any further investigation taken place	7	that the disclosure letters had been addressed
8	in relation to these deletions?	8	individually to individual officers?
9		9	-
	A. No, there hasn't.		A. It is my understanding and recollection,
10	Q. And then finally, I just want to take you to	10	yes.
11	one final point, which is Operation Delhi.	11	Q. Yes. So, what you are doing, would you
12	Your evidence is that you were not involved in	12	agree, is assisting these individual officers in
13	Operation Delhi; is that correct?	13	complying with their obligations under the
14	A. Correct.	14	letters?
15	Q. Did Mr McGrail keep you appraised of his	15	A. Well, I think it became pretty clear from
16	meetings with the Attorney General and the	16	the beginning that the RGP was going to hold
17	DPP about Operation Delhi on the 13th, 15th	17	a lot of information that was relevant to the
18	and 20 May?	18	inquiry. So, as I explained earlier this took on
19	A. There would have been discussion of those	19	two dimensions. One was the disclosure as
20	meetings. I think we may not have been	20	witnesses to assist the inquiry. The other one
21	meeting daily at that time due to Covid issues,	21	was the preparation of all the other potential
22	but perhaps we were and there would have	22	(inaudible) category of useful relevance for the
23	been discussions of those meetings.	23	inquiry.
24	Q. By what method of communication would	24	Q. Okay. All right. Let me just clarify at the
25	those discussions have taken place: in person,	25	outset, Mr McGrail - I beg your pardon, Mr
20	anobe discussions have taken place. In person,	20	
	Page 77		Page 79
1	phone calls or messages?	1	Yeats - that our interest in putting questions to
1 2	phone calls or messages? A. In person in his office.	1 2	Yeats - that our interest in putting questions to you is because you have spoken for the RGP.
2	A. In person in his office.	2	you is because you have spoken for the RGP.
2 3	A. In person in his office. MR SANTOS: That is the conclusion of my	2 3	you is because you have spoken for the RGP. We have no issues with your own personal
2 3 4	A. In person in his office. MR SANTOS: That is the conclusion of my questions for you, Mr Yeats. There is that one	2 3 4	you is because you have spoken for the RGP. We have no issues with your own personal disclosure of your own personal WhatsApp
2 3 4 5	A. In person in his office. MR SANTOS: That is the conclusion of my questions for you, Mr Yeats. There is that one small point that we passed over. Perhaps if I	2 3 4 5	you is because you have spoken for the RGP. We have no issues with your own personal disclosure of your own personal WhatsApp messages, just to make that clear from the
2 3 4 5 6 7	A. In person in his office. MR SANTOS: That is the conclusion of my questions for you, Mr Yeats. There is that one small point that we passed over. Perhaps if I can ask the Chairman for a short break, of say five minutes	2 3 4 5 6 7	you is because you have spoken for the RGP. We have no issues with your own personal disclosure of your own personal WhatsApp messages, just to make that clear from the outset. So, I think your evidence has been clear, have I correctly understood it that you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. In person in his office. MR SANTOS: That is the conclusion of my questions for you, Mr Yeats. There is that one small point that we passed over. Perhaps if I can ask the Chairman for a short break, of say five minutes MR CHAIRMAN: Yes we will have a short	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	you is because you have spoken for the RGP. We have no issues with your own personal disclosure of your own personal WhatsApp messages, just to make that clear from the outset. So, I think your evidence has been clear, have I correctly understood it that you continue to believe that the RGP has made full
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. In person in his office. MR SANTOS: That is the conclusion of my questions for you, Mr Yeats. There is that one small point that we passed over. Perhaps if I can ask the Chairman for a short break, of say five minutes MR CHAIRMAN: Yes we will have a short morning break now and we will resume 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	you is because you have spoken for the RGP. We have no issues with your own personal disclosure of your own personal WhatsApp messages, just to make that clear from the outset. So, I think your evidence has been clear, have I correctly understood it that you continue to believe that the RGP has made full and comprehensive disclosure on a timely
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. In person in his office. MR SANTOS: That is the conclusion of my questions for you, Mr Yeats. There is that one small point that we passed over. Perhaps if I can ask the Chairman for a short break, of say five minutes MR CHAIRMAN: Yes we will have a short morning break now and we will resume afterwards, I think to consider this position.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	you is because you have spoken for the RGP. We have no issues with your own personal disclosure of your own personal WhatsApp messages, just to make that clear from the outset. So, I think your evidence has been clear, have I correctly understood it that you continue to believe that the RGP has made full and comprehensive disclosure on a timely basis. I think that is what you said this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 A. In person in his office. MR SANTOS: That is the conclusion of my questions for you, Mr Yeats. There is that one small point that we passed over. Perhaps if I can ask the Chairman for a short break, of say five minutes MR CHAIRMAN: Yes we will have a short morning break now and we will resume afterwards, I think to consider this position. MR SANTOS: And we will discuss as a team 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	you is because you have spoken for the RGP. We have no issues with your own personal disclosure of your own personal WhatsApp messages, just to make that clear from the outset. So, I think your evidence has been clear, have I correctly understood it that you continue to believe that the RGP has made full and comprehensive disclosure on a timely basis. I think that is what you said this morning.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 A. In person in his office. MR SANTOS: That is the conclusion of my questions for you, Mr Yeats. There is that one small point that we passed over. Perhaps if I can ask the Chairman for a short break, of say five minutes MR CHAIRMAN: Yes we will have a short morning break now and we will resume afterwards, I think to consider this position. MR SANTOS: And we will discuss as a team and perhaps approach Mr Cruz over that issue 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 you is because you have spoken for the RGP. We have no issues with your own personal disclosure of your own personal WhatsApp messages, just to make that clear from the outset. So, I think your evidence has been clear, have I correctly understood it that you continue to believe that the RGP has made full and comprehensive disclosure on a timely basis. I think that is what you said this morning. A. That is what I said and we have complied
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. In person in his office. MR SANTOS: That is the conclusion of my questions for you, Mr Yeats. There is that one small point that we passed over. Perhaps if I can ask the Chairman for a short break, of say five minutes MR CHAIRMAN: Yes we will have a short morning break now and we will resume afterwards, I think to consider this position. MR SANTOS: And we will discuss as a team and perhaps approach Mr Cruz over that issue and it may or may not be that I will have 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 you is because you have spoken for the RGP. We have no issues with your own personal disclosure of your own personal WhatsApp messages, just to make that clear from the outset. So, I think your evidence has been clear, have I correctly understood it that you continue to believe that the RGP has made full and comprehensive disclosure on a timely basis. I think that is what you said this morning. A. That is what I said and we have complied with the requests as and when it has been
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. In person in his office. MR SANTOS: That is the conclusion of my questions for you, Mr Yeats. There is that one small point that we passed over. Perhaps if I can ask the Chairman for a short break, of say five minutes MR CHAIRMAN: Yes we will have a short morning break now and we will resume afterwards, I think to consider this position. MR SANTOS: And we will discuss as a team and perhaps approach Mr Cruz over that issue and it may or may not be that I will have further questions for you, Mr Yeats. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 you is because you have spoken for the RGP. We have no issues with your own personal disclosure of your own personal WhatsApp messages, just to make that clear from the outset. So, I think your evidence has been clear, have I correctly understood it that you continue to believe that the RGP has made full and comprehensive disclosure on a timely basis. I think that is what you said this morning. A. That is what I said and we have complied with the requests as and when it has been made and we (inaudible).
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. In person in his office. MR SANTOS: That is the conclusion of my questions for you, Mr Yeats. There is that one small point that we passed over. Perhaps if I can ask the Chairman for a short break, of say five minutes MR CHAIRMAN: Yes we will have a short morning break now and we will resume afterwards, I think to consider this position. MR SANTOS: And we will discuss as a team and perhaps approach Mr Cruz over that issue and it may or may not be that I will have further questions for you, Mr Yeats. A. Thank you. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 you is because you have spoken for the RGP. We have no issues with your own personal disclosure of your own personal WhatsApp messages, just to make that clear from the outset. So, I think your evidence has been clear, have I correctly understood it that you continue to believe that the RGP has made full and comprehensive disclosure on a timely basis. I think that is what you said this morning. A. That is what I said and we have complied with the requests as and when it has been made and we (inaudible). Q. But is that correct? Do you accept that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. In person in his office. MR SANTOS: That is the conclusion of my questions for you, Mr Yeats. There is that one small point that we passed over. Perhaps if I can ask the Chairman for a short break, of say five minutes MR CHAIRMAN: Yes we will have a short morning break now and we will resume afterwards, I think to consider this position. MR SANTOS: And we will discuss as a team and perhaps approach Mr Cruz over that issue and it may or may not be that I will have further questions for you, Mr Yeats. A. Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. A short break, not 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 you is because you have spoken for the RGP. We have no issues with your own personal disclosure of your own personal WhatsApp messages, just to make that clear from the outset. So, I think your evidence has been clear, have I correctly understood it that you continue to believe that the RGP has made full and comprehensive disclosure on a timely basis. I think that is what you said this morning. A. That is what I said and we have complied with the requests as and when it has been made and we (inaudible). Q. But is that correct? Do you accept that your disclosure obligations arose not in June
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. In person in his office. MR SANTOS: That is the conclusion of my questions for you, Mr Yeats. There is that one small point that we passed over. Perhaps if I can ask the Chairman for a short break, of say five minutes MR CHAIRMAN: Yes we will have a short morning break now and we will resume afterwards, I think to consider this position. MR SANTOS: And we will discuss as a team and perhaps approach Mr Cruz over that issue and it may or may not be that I will have further questions for you, Mr Yeats. A. Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. A short break, not an extended coffee break. 	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\\end{array} $	 you is because you have spoken for the RGP. We have no issues with your own personal disclosure of your own personal WhatsApp messages, just to make that clear from the outset. So, I think your evidence has been clear, have I correctly understood it that you continue to believe that the RGP has made full and comprehensive disclosure on a timely basis. I think that is what you said this morning. A. That is what I said and we have complied with the requests as and when it has been made and we (inaudible). Q. But is that correct? Do you accept that your disclosure obligations arose not in June 2024 but in July 2022?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. In person in his office. MR SANTOS: That is the conclusion of my questions for you, Mr Yeats. There is that one small point that we passed over. Perhaps if I can ask the Chairman for a short break, of say five minutes MR CHAIRMAN: Yes we will have a short morning break now and we will resume afterwards, I think to consider this position. MR SANTOS: And we will discuss as a team and perhaps approach Mr Cruz over that issue and it may or may not be that I will have further questions for you, Mr Yeats. A. Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. A short break, not an extended coffee break. (11.43) 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 you is because you have spoken for the RGP. We have no issues with your own personal disclosure of your own personal WhatsApp messages, just to make that clear from the outset. So, I think your evidence has been clear, have I correctly understood it that you continue to believe that the RGP has made full and comprehensive disclosure on a timely basis. I think that is what you said this morning. A. That is what I said and we have complied with the requests as and when it has been made and we (inaudible). Q. But is that correct? Do you accept that your disclosure obligations arose not in June 2024 but in July 2022? A. You mean in the context of the WhatsApp
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A. In person in his office. MR SANTOS: That is the conclusion of my questions for you, Mr Yeats. There is that one small point that we passed over. Perhaps if I can ask the Chairman for a short break, of say five minutes MR CHAIRMAN: Yes we will have a short morning break now and we will resume afterwards, I think to consider this position. MR SANTOS: And we will discuss as a team and perhaps approach Mr Cruz over that issue and it may or may not be that I will have further questions for you, Mr Yeats. A. Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. A short break, not an extended coffee break. (11.43) (Adjourned for a short time) 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 you is because you have spoken for the RGP. We have no issues with your own personal disclosure of your own personal WhatsApp messages, just to make that clear from the outset. So, I think your evidence has been clear, have I correctly understood it that you continue to believe that the RGP has made full and comprehensive disclosure on a timely basis. I think that is what you said this morning. A. That is what I said and we have complied with the requests as and when it has been made and we (inaudible). Q. But is that correct? Do you accept that your disclosure obligations arose not in June 2024 but in July 2022? A. You mean in the context of the WhatsApp messages and not in everything else?
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ \end{array}$	 A. In person in his office. MR SANTOS: That is the conclusion of my questions for you, Mr Yeats. There is that one small point that we passed over. Perhaps if I can ask the Chairman for a short break, of say five minutes MR CHAIRMAN: Yes we will have a short morning break now and we will resume afterwards, I think to consider this position. MR SANTOS: And we will discuss as a team and perhaps approach Mr Cruz over that issue and it may or may not be that I will have further questions for you, Mr Yeats. A. Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. A short break, not an extended coffee break. (11.43) (Adjourned for a short time) (11.55) 	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\\end{array} $	 you is because you have spoken for the RGP. We have no issues with your own personal disclosure of your own personal WhatsApp messages, just to make that clear from the outset. So, I think your evidence has been clear, have I correctly understood it that you continue to believe that the RGP has made full and comprehensive disclosure on a timely basis. I think that is what you said this morning. A. That is what I said and we have complied with the requests as and when it has been made and we (inaudible). Q. But is that correct? Do you accept that your disclosure obligations arose not in June 2024 but in July 2022? A. You mean in the context of the WhatsApp messages and not in everything else? Q. Yes. Sorry.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. In person in his office. MR SANTOS: That is the conclusion of my questions for you, Mr Yeats. There is that one small point that we passed over. Perhaps if I can ask the Chairman for a short break, of say five minutes MR CHAIRMAN: Yes we will have a short morning break now and we will resume afterwards, I think to consider this position. MR SANTOS: And we will discuss as a team and perhaps approach Mr Cruz over that issue and it may or may not be that I will have further questions for you, Mr Yeats. A. Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. A short break, not an extended coffee break. (11.43) (Adjourned for a short time) (11.55) THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. Sir Peter. 	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\end{array} $	 you is because you have spoken for the RGP. We have no issues with your own personal disclosure of your own personal WhatsApp messages, just to make that clear from the outset. So, I think your evidence has been clear, have I correctly understood it that you continue to believe that the RGP has made full and comprehensive disclosure on a timely basis. I think that is what you said this morning. A. That is what I said and we have complied with the requests as and when it has been made and we (inaudible). Q. But is that correct? Do you accept that your disclosure obligations arose not in June 2024 but in July 2022? A. You mean in the context of the WhatsApp messages and not in everything else? Q. Yes. Sorry. A. So, simply because, in my case, I had
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. In person in his office. MR SANTOS: That is the conclusion of my questions for you, Mr Yeats. There is that one small point that we passed over. Perhaps if I can ask the Chairman for a short break, of say five minutes MR CHAIRMAN: Yes we will have a short morning break now and we will resume afterwards, I think to consider this position. MR SANTOS: And we will discuss as a team and perhaps approach Mr Cruz over that issue and it may or may not be that I will have further questions for you, Mr Yeats. A. Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. A short break, not an extended coffee break. (11.43) (Adjourned for a short time) (11.55) THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. Sir Peter. QUESTIONED BY SIR PETER CARUANA 	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ \end{array}$	 you is because you have spoken for the RGP. We have no issues with your own personal disclosure of your own personal WhatsApp messages, just to make that clear from the outset. So, I think your evidence has been clear, have I correctly understood it that you continue to believe that the RGP has made full and comprehensive disclosure on a timely basis. I think that is what you said this morning. A. That is what I said and we have complied with the requests as and when it has been made and we (inaudible). Q. But is that correct? Do you accept that your disclosure obligations arose not in June 2024 but in July 2022? A. You mean in the context of the WhatsApp messages and not in everything else? Q. Yes. Sorry. A. So, simply because, in my case, I had assessed the relevance and I had concluded
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. In person in his office. MR SANTOS: That is the conclusion of my questions for you, Mr Yeats. There is that one small point that we passed over. Perhaps if I can ask the Chairman for a short break, of say five minutes MR CHAIRMAN: Yes we will have a short morning break now and we will resume afterwards, I think to consider this position. MR SANTOS: And we will discuss as a team and perhaps approach Mr Cruz over that issue and it may or may not be that I will have further questions for you, Mr Yeats. A. Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. A short break, not an extended coffee break. (11.43) (Adjourned for a short time) (11.55) THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. Sir Peter. QUESTIONED BY SIR PETER CARUANA Q. Good morning, Mr Yeats. 	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ \end{array}$	 you is because you have spoken for the RGP. We have no issues with your own personal disclosure of your own personal WhatsApp messages, just to make that clear from the outset. So, I think your evidence has been clear, have I correctly understood it that you continue to believe that the RGP has made full and comprehensive disclosure on a timely basis. I think that is what you said this morning. A. That is what I said and we have complied with the requests as and when it has been made and we (inaudible). Q. But is that correct? Do you accept that your disclosure obligations arose not in June 2024 but in July 2022? A. You mean in the context of the WhatsApp messages and not in everything else? Q. Yes. Sorry. A. So, simply because, in my case, I had assessed the relevance and I had concluded that my messages were not relevant. In terms
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A. In person in his office. MR SANTOS: That is the conclusion of my questions for you, Mr Yeats. There is that one small point that we passed over. Perhaps if I can ask the Chairman for a short break, of say five minutes MR CHAIRMAN: Yes we will have a short morning break now and we will resume afterwards, I think to consider this position. MR SANTOS: And we will discuss as a team and perhaps approach Mr Cruz over that issue and it may or may not be that I will have further questions for you, Mr Yeats. A. Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. A short break, not an extended coffee break. (11.43) (Adjourned for a short time) (11.55) THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. Sir Peter. QUESTIONED BY SIR PETER CARUANA Q. Good morning, Mr Yeats. A. Good morning, Sir Peter. 	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\\23\\24\end{array} $	 you is because you have spoken for the RGP. We have no issues with your own personal disclosure of your own personal WhatsApp messages, just to make that clear from the outset. So, I think your evidence has been clear, have I correctly understood it that you continue to believe that the RGP has made full and comprehensive disclosure on a timely basis. I think that is what you said this morning. A. That is what I said and we have complied with the requests as and when it has been made and we (inaudible). Q. But is that correct? Do you accept that your disclosure obligations arose not in June 2024 but in July 2022? A. You mean in the context of the WhatsApp messages and not in everything else? Q. Yes. Sorry. A. So, simply because, in my case, I had assessed the relevance and I had concluded that my messages were not relevant. In terms of the case of Mr Ullger and Mr Wyan, they
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. In person in his office. MR SANTOS: That is the conclusion of my questions for you, Mr Yeats. There is that one small point that we passed over. Perhaps if I can ask the Chairman for a short break, of say five minutes MR CHAIRMAN: Yes we will have a short morning break now and we will resume afterwards, I think to consider this position. MR SANTOS: And we will discuss as a team and perhaps approach Mr Cruz over that issue and it may or may not be that I will have further questions for you, Mr Yeats. A. Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. A short break, not an extended coffee break. (11.43) (Adjourned for a short time) (11.55) THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. Sir Peter. QUESTIONED BY SIR PETER CARUANA Q. Good morning, Mr Yeats. 	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ \end{array}$	 you is because you have spoken for the RGP. We have no issues with your own personal disclosure of your own personal WhatsApp messages, just to make that clear from the outset. So, I think your evidence has been clear, have I correctly understood it that you continue to believe that the RGP has made full and comprehensive disclosure on a timely basis. I think that is what you said this morning. A. That is what I said and we have complied with the requests as and when it has been made and we (inaudible). Q. But is that correct? Do you accept that your disclosure obligations arose not in June 2024 but in July 2022? A. You mean in the context of the WhatsApp messages and not in everything else? Q. Yes. Sorry. A. So, simply because, in my case, I had assessed the relevance and I had concluded that my messages were not relevant. In terms
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A. In person in his office. MR SANTOS: That is the conclusion of my questions for you, Mr Yeats. There is that one small point that we passed over. Perhaps if I can ask the Chairman for a short break, of say five minutes MR CHAIRMAN: Yes we will have a short morning break now and we will resume afterwards, I think to consider this position. MR SANTOS: And we will discuss as a team and perhaps approach Mr Cruz over that issue and it may or may not be that I will have further questions for you, Mr Yeats. A. Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. A short break, not an extended coffee break. (11.43) (Adjourned for a short time) (11.55) THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. Sir Peter. QUESTIONED BY SIR PETER CARUANA Q. Good morning, Mr Yeats. A. Good morning, Sir Peter. 	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\\23\\24\end{array} $	 you is because you have spoken for the RGP. We have no issues with your own personal disclosure of your own personal WhatsApp messages, just to make that clear from the outset. So, I think your evidence has been clear, have I correctly understood it that you continue to believe that the RGP has made full and comprehensive disclosure on a timely basis. I think that is what you said this morning. A. That is what I said and we have complied with the requests as and when it has been made and we (inaudible). Q. But is that correct? Do you accept that your disclosure obligations arose not in June 2024 but in July 2022? A. You mean in the context of the WhatsApp messages and not in everything else? Q. Yes. Sorry. A. So, simply because, in my case, I had assessed the relevance and I had concluded that my messages were not relevant. In terms of the case of Mr Ullger and Mr Wyan, they

20 (Pages 77 to 80)

1	when they had possession and availability of	1	examined WhatsApps, not a single RGP
2	those messages and what they could do about	2	officer considered they had a single relevant
3	that. And in terms of the RGP devices, it was	3	WhatsApp message on their iPhones - on their
4	evident that we did not have the messages to	4	mobile device?
5	disclose. So, we couldn't disclose what we did	5	A. So, I will draw the distinction that you
6	not have or what we did not think was	6	asked me to do earlier. In my case, that is my
7	relevant.	7	position and it will be a matter for the
8	Q. Okay, but to be clear: the obligation, you	8	Chairman whether he agrees with me or not,
9	understand and do you accept, that the	9	but my view is that in the 300 messages that I
10	disclosure obligations arose in July 2022?	10	have disclosed to the Inquiry between 1
10	A. Yes, they did but	11	January 2020 and 30 June 2020, there are no
12	Q. Okay. That is it. Thank you.	12	messages that I consider relevant. And I have
12	A the obligation was to disclose	12	disclosed every message
13	Q. All right.	13	Q. Yes.
14	A reasonable sorry, relevant	15	A. – that I have in that time period. I have
16	Q. Whatever it is, whatever the obligations	16	not disclosed only those that I consider
17	were, they arose then.	17	relevant because ergo I would have disclosed
18	A. (No audible response).	18	any if I had applied the relevance test in the
18	Q. Okay. Therefore - sorry, sir, I am going to	19	way that I understood it. In terms of Mr
20	tiptoe. I have to exclude things that have been	20	Ullger and Mr Wyan, their evidence in their
20	covered by my learned friend that I have not	20	witness statements - and again, Mr Ullger will
21	had notice of. I will try and do that quickly on	21	address this later, but as far as Mr Wyan is
22	my feet. That obligation arose - well, can you	23	concerned, his phone was not operable at the
23 24	say, is it your evidence that - you need to be	23	time of whenever he made that disclosure,
25	clear when you are speaking in behalf of the	25	analysis, consideration or whenever that was
23	clear when you are speaking in behan of the	25	analysis, consideration – or whenever that was
	Page 81		Page 83
1	RGP and when you are speaking on behalf of	1	November 2020 or around that time, and it
2	yourself and I do not mind you drawing that	2	was only the focused requests that arose
2	distinction. But are you saying that you, and	$\begin{vmatrix} 2\\ 3 \end{vmatrix}$	following your own, sir, questions to the
4	to your knowledge, your fellow RGP officers	4	Inquiry solicitors on 24 June 2020 that focused
5	all addressed the question of their WhatsApps	5	our minds to considering how we could go
6	and whether they had any relevant ones, in	6	around obtaining those messages to assess
7	2022, in response to the July letter.	7	them for relevance. So, that is how that
8	A. That is my understanding, yes.	8	occurred.
9	Q. You did it?	9	Q. Well, yes.
10	A. Correct, yes.	10	A. Sorry
11	Q. It is your understanding that your fellow	11	Q. Your mind had been focused specifically
12	officers also did it?	12	by the inquiry in the July 2022 letter.
13	A. I am referring to Mr Ullger and Mr Wyan	13	A. Mmh.
14	in this context and nobody else.	14	Q. I did not do any focusing of minds in June.
15	Q. Yes. I am only speaking to you about	15	A. Well, by implication you did, because you
16	people that remained in the RGP whilst you	16	asked for a confirmation that we had - whether
17	were doing this process. Correct. You also	17	we had disclosed any messages and that led to
18	accept, do you, that the RGP has not disclosed,	18	the STI writing to us on 27 June.
19	as has been said to you this morning, a single	19	Q. Yes.
20	WhatsApp message. Is that because there was	20	A. And with regards to the work phones, Mr
21	nothing relevant? You have spoken about	21	Caruana, as I have explained, access to those
22	relevance being the key when my learned	22	WhatsApps went in November 2020 and we
23	friend Mr Santos posed a similar question to	23	just don't have the ability to - and we have
24	you and you said: yes, relevance is the key. Is	24	tried, quite comprehensively as I have said
25	it therefore your evidence, that having	25	Q. We will come to that.
	Page 82		Page 84

21 (Pages 81 to 84)

1	A to	1	2025, did you not?
2	Q. We will come to that.	2	A. No, I think I explained earlier, Mr Caruana,
3	A to recover those.	3	that it was obvious to us because we had
4	Q. Yet, WhatsApps have subsequentially been	4	reviewed our phones and devices back in
5	disclosed, despite your collective relevance	5	2022. We didn't have the messages or the
6	assessments.	6	WhatsApps. What we didn't understand fully
7	A. So, which ones do you mean?	7	was the reasons for that and why that had
8	Q. Well, the WhatsApps that we have now.	8	occurred until February 2025. So, I think
9	For example, the ones that you disclosed, the	9	Q. Well, you are going to have to - time
10	ones that everybody else has disclosed after	10	permitting which I hope it will - we will have
11	June, after the hearing.	11	to come back to that, I am afraid. So, turning
12	A. So, in terms of my messages, that was in	12	to the evidence that you have given this
13	consultation with counsel and the view was	13	morning, so I am not going to take you to the
14	that we should be transparent and that we	14	policy again, because it has been put at length,
15	should provide all messages and cooperate	15	but I was interested that when you were giving
16	with the Inquiry in that way.	16	evidence this morning, you said that how you
17	Q. Not because you thought that they were	17	interpret "administrative" - you know, when
18	relevant. Simply, you say: here, have it all.	18	my learned friend established that the senior
19 20	A. Well, I have, as I have said, I have	19	command team that had made this force order
20	disclosed 300 messages between 1 January and	20	prohibiting the use of personal phones for
21	30 June.	21	work, continued to do precisely that
22	Q. Yes.	22	indefinitely thereafter, you then said: how one
23	A. I think in the December disclosure, Mr	23	interprets - "How do you interpret
24 25	Caruana, there are 15 messages that are	24 25	'administrative'?" Do you remember saying
25	identified as relevant.	23	that?
	Page 85		Page 87
1	Q. Yes.	1	A. I do.
2	A. It is 15 or 16, by the	2	Q. Okay. The order itself says: " such as
3	Q. As I said to you at the outset, Mr Yeats, we	3	requesting officers to come to work, offering
4	have no issue with your own personal	4	overtime and changes of hours." Would you
5	disclosure. I think you have in your 6th	5	agree that at least the WhatsApp exchanges
6	witness statement, but do you agree that you	6	between Mr McGrail and Mr Ullger do not fall
7	have accepted that disclosure obligations are	7	into that category?
8	continuing obligations. In other words, it is	8	A. I do, and I also think that that Force order
9	not "once and for all". You have got an	9	entry was trying to capture a different audience
10	obligation to, as the inquiry goes along; is that	10	in that it was applied to the entire force.
11	how you understand the continuing disclosure	11	Whether there is some more latitude applicable
12	obligations?	12	to some officers or not is perhaps a matter for
13	A. Yes.	13	debate.
14	Q. So, even if RGP officers may initially have	14	Q. Mmh. Are you not suggesting that all the
15	thought that WhatsApps between them were	15	other WhatsApps that other officers have now
16	not relevant whether in consultation with	16	disclosed after the end of the hearing, you are
17	counsel or on their own initiative, it matters	17	not suggesting that they have - from mobile
18	not did it not become apparent to the RGP in	18	phones, personal ones - you are not suggesting
19	the months leading to the hearings, and indeed,	19	that they fall into administrative categories?
20	during the hearings themselves, that	20	A. (No audible response).
21	WhatsApps may well be relevant?	21	Q. Okay. In your witness statement you said
22	A. I think that this supposes that we have the	22	that Mr Ullger lost his messages with Mr
23	WhatsApps and they are available for	23	McGrail on his personal phone when he later
24	consideration. We did not.	24	changed to a new personal phone. He has
	Q. But you only discovered that in February	25	given evidence himself, subsequently, but you
25	Q. But you only discovered that in reordary	25	given evidence minsen, subsequentiy, our you
25	Page 86	25	Page 88

Epiq Europe Ltd +44 (0) 207 404 1400 22 (Pages 85 to 88)

1	said it for him originally. Do you remember?	1	Q. The investigation was being conducted by
2	You said that: for reasons which he could not	2	the RGP, albeit by officers brought into the
3	disclose them to the and therefore he could	$\begin{vmatrix} 2\\ 3 \end{vmatrix}$	RGP for it.
_		4	
4	not disclose them to the inquiry for that		A. Correct. So, it is the only way, under the
5	reason. In other words, he had a personal	5	Police Act, that we can establish a
6	phone, he swapped to another one and he lost	6	Q. Of course. Of course.
7	some messages in that way.	7	A transparent investigation that is as
8	A. (No audible response)	8	removed as possible from the Force.
9	Q. Did the RGP, and you say that you were in	9	Q. But this was not an investigation by the
10	the lead, so to speak, in this process - did the	10	Police Service of Northern Ireland. It was an
11	RGP offer Mr Ullger any technical support to	11	investigation by the RGP.
12	retrieve them from his phone or even from the	12	A. Correct.
13	now famous Cloud?	13	Q. Okay. So, did Mr McGrail bring to your
14	A. I don't think so. I think	14	attention the fact that he had lost access to his
15	Q. No, well did he ask?	15	mobile phone WhatsApps when you returned
16	A. You will have to ask him that, sir.	16	the phone to him? Not you personally, sorry,
17	Q. But did he ask you?	17	the RGP.
18	A. Not that I can recall, no.	18	A. Not that I am aware, no.
19	Q. No. Are you aware whether he asked Mr	19	Q. No. So, is it fair to say that the RGP has
20	Garcia, for example?	20	remained silent on this problem of the loss of
21	A. No.	21	Mr McGrail's - the corruption for want of a
22	Q. Look, I have changed phones; I have been	22	term - sorry, I am not very technically minded
23	asked to I have lost them; can you help me	23	- whatever happened to his phone whilst it
24	retrieve them? You have no recollection of	24	was in the hands of the police for seven
25	that?	25	months that resulted in him not being able to
20	that.	20	months that resulted in min not being usie to
	Page 89		Page 91
1	A. None. I think it goes back to the point I	1	access his phone, why has the RGP never
1 2	A. Nope. I think it goes back to the point I made earlier that	1	access his phone, why has the RGP never made a statement about that in all the witness
2	made earlier that	2	made a statement about that in all the witness
2 3	made earlier that Q. Okay. For his part, Mr McGrail says that	2 3	made a statement about that in all the witness statements that you have made?
2 3 4	made earlier thatQ. Okay. For his part, Mr McGrail says that when the RGP, in respect of his personal	2 3 4	made a statement about that in all the witness statements that you have made?A. I'm not sure we were aware of that until it
2 3 4 5	made earlier that Q. Okay. For his part, Mr McGrail says that when the RGP, in respect of his personal phone, that when the RGP seized his phone in	2 3 4 5	made a statement about that in all the witness statements that you have made?A. I'm not sure we were aware of that until it was brought to our attention by Mr McGrail.
2 3 4 5 6	made earlier that Q. Okay. For his part, Mr McGrail says that when the RGP, in respect of his personal phone, that when the RGP seized his phone in connection with a separate inquiry, it had all	2 3 4 5 6	 made a statement about that in all the witness statements that you have made? A. I'm not sure we were aware of that until it was brought to our attention by Mr McGrail. Q. Were you not aware the he did not have
2 3 4 5 6 7	made earlier that Q. Okay. For his part, Mr McGrail says that when the RGP, in respect of his personal phone, that when the RGP seized his phone in connection with a separate inquiry, it had all his WhatsApps on it, and we know that is true	2 3 4 5 6 7	 made a statement about that in all the witness statements that you have made? A. I'm not sure we were aware of that until it was brought to our attention by Mr McGrail. Q. Were you not aware the he did not have access? Were you not aware that he had not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	made earlier that Q. Okay. For his part, Mr McGrail says that when the RGP, in respect of his personal phone, that when the RGP seized his phone in connection with a separate inquiry, it had all his WhatsApps on it, and we know that is true because Mr McVea was able to take his image,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 made a statement about that in all the witness statements that you have made? A. I'm not sure we were aware of that until it was brought to our attention by Mr McGrail. Q. Were you not aware the he did not have access? Were you not aware that he had not disclosed his information.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	made earlier that Q. Okay. For his part, Mr McGrail says that when the RGP, in respect of his personal phone, that when the RGP seized his phone in connection with a separate inquiry, it had all his WhatsApps on it, and we know that is true because Mr McVea was able to take his image, but then when he got it back, it was all - he	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 made a statement about that in all the witness statements that you have made? A. I'm not sure we were aware of that until it was brought to our attention by Mr McGrail. Q. Were you not aware the he did not have access? Were you not aware that he had not disclosed his information. A. That - there are two That is a different
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	made earlier that Q. Okay. For his part, Mr McGrail says that when the RGP, in respect of his personal phone, that when the RGP seized his phone in connection with a separate inquiry, it had all his WhatsApps on it, and we know that is true because Mr McVea was able to take his image, but then when he got it back, it was all - he could not access his WhatsApps. Do you have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 made a statement about that in all the witness statements that you have made? A. I'm not sure we were aware of that until it was brought to our attention by Mr McGrail. Q. Were you not aware the he did not have access? Were you not aware that he had not disclosed his information. A. That - there are two That is a different question. You asked me whether I was aware
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	made earlier that Q. Okay. For his part, Mr McGrail says that when the RGP, in respect of his personal phone, that when the RGP seized his phone in connection with a separate inquiry, it had all his WhatsApps on it, and we know that is true because Mr McVea was able to take his image, but then when he got it back, it was all - he could not access his WhatsApps. Do you have any view about why the seizure of a phone by	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 made a statement about that in all the witness statements that you have made? A. I'm not sure we were aware of that until it was brought to our attention by Mr McGrail. Q. Were you not aware the he did not have access? Were you not aware that he had not disclosed his information. A. That - there are two That is a different question. You asked me whether I was aware that the phone had been returned with no
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	made earlier that Q. Okay. For his part, Mr McGrail says that when the RGP, in respect of his personal phone, that when the RGP seized his phone in connection with a separate inquiry, it had all his WhatsApps on it, and we know that is true because Mr McVea was able to take his image, but then when he got it back, it was all - he could not access his WhatsApps. Do you have any view about why the seizure of a phone by the RGP might result in access to WhatsApps	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 made a statement about that in all the witness statements that you have made? A. I'm not sure we were aware of that until it was brought to our attention by Mr McGrail. Q. Were you not aware the he did not have access? Were you not aware that he had not disclosed his information. A. That - there are two That is a different question. You asked me whether I was aware that the phone had been returned with no WhatsApps. That, certainly - or with no
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	made earlier that Q. Okay. For his part, Mr McGrail says that when the RGP, in respect of his personal phone, that when the RGP seized his phone in connection with a separate inquiry, it had all his WhatsApps on it, and we know that is true because Mr McVea was able to take his image, but then when he got it back, it was all - he could not access his WhatsApps. Do you have any view about why the seizure of a phone by the RGP might result in access to WhatsApps being lost on it?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 made a statement about that in all the witness statements that you have made? A. I'm not sure we were aware of that until it was brought to our attention by Mr McGrail. Q. Were you not aware the he did not have access? Were you not aware that he had not disclosed his information. A. That - there are two That is a different question. You asked me whether I was aware that the phone had been returned with no WhatsApps. That, certainly - or with no access by Mr McGrail that certainly I have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 made earlier that Q. Okay. For his part, Mr McGrail says that when the RGP, in respect of his personal phone, that when the RGP seized his phone in connection with a separate inquiry, it had all his WhatsApps on it, and we know that is true because Mr McVea was able to take his image, but then when he got it back, it was all - he could not access his WhatsApps. Do you have any view about why the seizure of a phone by the RGP might result in access to WhatsApps being lost on it? A. I don't. As I explained earlier to Mr 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 made a statement about that in all the witness statements that you have made? A. I'm not sure we were aware of that until it was brought to our attention by Mr McGrail. Q. Were you not aware the he did not have access? Were you not aware that he had not disclosed his information. A. That - there are two That is a different question. You asked me whether I was aware that the phone had been returned with no WhatsApps. That, certainly - or with no access by Mr McGrail that certainly I have learned of when Mr McGrail gave his witness
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 made earlier that Q. Okay. For his part, Mr McGrail says that when the RGP, in respect of his personal phone, that when the RGP seized his phone in connection with a separate inquiry, it had all his WhatsApps on it, and we know that is true because Mr McVea was able to take his image, but then when he got it back, it was all - he could not access his WhatsApps. Do you have any view about why the seizure of a phone by the RGP might result in access to WhatsApps being lost on it? A. I don't. As I explained earlier to Mr Santos, that phone, as far as I understand it, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 made a statement about that in all the witness statements that you have made? A. I'm not sure we were aware of that until it was brought to our attention by Mr McGrail. Q. Were you not aware the he did not have access? Were you not aware that he had not disclosed his information. A. That - there are two That is a different question. You asked me whether I was aware that the phone had been returned with no WhatsApps. That, certainly - or with no access by Mr McGrail that certainly I have learned of when Mr McGrail gave his witness statement to that effect.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 made earlier that Q. Okay. For his part, Mr McGrail says that when the RGP, in respect of his personal phone, that when the RGP seized his phone in connection with a separate inquiry, it had all his WhatsApps on it, and we know that is true because Mr McVea was able to take his image, but then when he got it back, it was all - he could not access his WhatsApps. Do you have any view about why the seizure of a phone by the RGP might result in access to WhatsApps being lost on it? A. I don't. As I explained earlier to Mr Santos, that phone, as far as I understand it, was seized from Mr McGrail; it was 	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ \end{array} $	 made a statement about that in all the witness statements that you have made? A. I'm not sure we were aware of that until it was brought to our attention by Mr McGrail. Q. Were you not aware the he did not have access? Were you not aware that he had not disclosed his information. A. That - there are two That is a different question. You asked me whether I was aware that the phone had been returned with no WhatsApps. That, certainly - or with no access by Mr McGrail that certainly I have learned of when Mr McGrail gave his witness statement to that effect. Q. DC Garcia(?) of the RGP Forensic Unit
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 made earlier that Q. Okay. For his part, Mr McGrail says that when the RGP, in respect of his personal phone, that when the RGP seized his phone in connection with a separate inquiry, it had all his WhatsApps on it, and we know that is true because Mr McVea was able to take his image, but then when he got it back, it was all - he could not access his WhatsApps. Do you have any view about why the seizure of a phone by the RGP might result in access to WhatsApps being lost on it? A. I don't. As I explained earlier to Mr Santos, that phone, as far as I understand it, was seized from Mr McGrail; it was transported to Northern Ireland; analysed by 	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ \end{array} $	 made a statement about that in all the witness statements that you have made? A. I'm not sure we were aware of that until it was brought to our attention by Mr McGrail. Q. Were you not aware the he did not have access? Were you not aware that he had not disclosed his information. A. That - there are two That is a different question. You asked me whether I was aware that the phone had been returned with no WhatsApps. That, certainly - or with no access by Mr McGrail that certainly I have learned of when Mr McGrail gave his witness statement to that effect. Q. DC Garcia(?) of the RGP Forensic Unit has given two witness statements and a report
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 made earlier that Q. Okay. For his part, Mr McGrail says that when the RGP, in respect of his personal phone, that when the RGP seized his phone in connection with a separate inquiry, it had all his WhatsApps on it, and we know that is true because Mr McVea was able to take his image, but then when he got it back, it was all - he could not access his WhatsApps. Do you have any view about why the seizure of a phone by the RGP might result in access to WhatsApps being lost on it? A. I don't. As I explained earlier to Mr Santos, that phone, as far as I understand it, was seized from Mr McGrail; it was transported to Northern Ireland; analysed by the PSNI, brought back and presumably 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 made a statement about that in all the witness statements that you have made? A. I'm not sure we were aware of that until it was brought to our attention by Mr McGrail. Q. Were you not aware the he did not have access? Were you not aware that he had not disclosed his information. A. That - there are two That is a different question. You asked me whether I was aware that the phone had been returned with no WhatsApps. That, certainly - or with no access by Mr McGrail that certainly I have learned of when Mr McGrail gave his witness statement to that effect. Q. DC Garcia(?) of the RGP Forensic Unit has given two witness statements and a report in relation to Mr McGrail's laptop. Could he
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\end{array} $	 made earlier that Q. Okay. For his part, Mr McGrail says that when the RGP, in respect of his personal phone, that when the RGP seized his phone in connection with a separate inquiry, it had all his WhatsApps on it, and we know that is true because Mr McVea was able to take his image, but then when he got it back, it was all - he could not access his WhatsApps. Do you have any view about why the seizure of a phone by the RGP might result in access to WhatsApps being lost on it? A. I don't. As I explained earlier to Mr Santos, that phone, as far as I understand it, was seized from Mr McGrail; it was transported to Northern Ireland; analysed by the PSNI, brought back and presumably returned at some point to Mr McGrail. 	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\end{array} $	 made a statement about that in all the witness statements that you have made? A. I'm not sure we were aware of that until it was brought to our attention by Mr McGrail. Q. Were you not aware the he did not have access? Were you not aware that he had not disclosed his information. A. That - there are two That is a different question. You asked me whether I was aware that the phone had been returned with no WhatsApps. That, certainly - or with no access by Mr McGrail that certainly I have learned of when Mr McGrail gave his witness statement to that effect. Q. DC Garcia(?) of the RGP Forensic Unit has given two witness statements and a report in relation to Mr McGrail's laptop. Could he not have been asked just to verify this
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ \end{array}$	 made earlier that Q. Okay. For his part, Mr McGrail says that when the RGP, in respect of his personal phone, that when the RGP seized his phone in connection with a separate inquiry, it had all his WhatsApps on it, and we know that is true because Mr McVea was able to take his image, but then when he got it back, it was all - he could not access his WhatsApps. Do you have any view about why the seizure of a phone by the RGP might result in access to WhatsApps being lost on it? A. I don't. As I explained earlier to Mr Santos, that phone, as far as I understand it, was seized from Mr McGrail; it was transported to Northern Ireland; analysed by the PSNI, brought back and presumably returned at some point to Mr McGrail. Q. Yes. The investigation was an RGP 	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\\end{array} $	 made a statement about that in all the witness statements that you have made? A. I'm not sure we were aware of that until it was brought to our attention by Mr McGrail. Q. Were you not aware the he did not have access? Were you not aware that he had not disclosed his information. A. That - there are two That is a different question. You asked me whether I was aware that the phone had been returned with no WhatsApps. That, certainly - or with no access by Mr McGrail that certainly I have learned of when Mr McGrail gave his witness statement to that effect. Q. DC Garcia(?) of the RGP Forensic Unit has given two witness statements and a report in relation to Mr McGrail's laptop. Could he not have been asked just to verify this phenomenon of corruption due to possession
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ \end{array}$	 made earlier that Q. Okay. For his part, Mr McGrail says that when the RGP, in respect of his personal phone, that when the RGP seized his phone in connection with a separate inquiry, it had all his WhatsApps on it, and we know that is true because Mr McVea was able to take his image, but then when he got it back, it was all - he could not access his WhatsApps. Do you have any view about why the seizure of a phone by the RGP might result in access to WhatsApps being lost on it? A. I don't. As I explained earlier to Mr Santos, that phone, as far as I understand it, was seized from Mr McGrail; it was transported to Northern Ireland; analysed by the PSNI, brought back and presumably returned at some point to Mr McGrail. Q. Yes. The investigation was an RGP investigation, notwithstanding that it was 	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\end{array} $	 made a statement about that in all the witness statements that you have made? A. I'm not sure we were aware of that until it was brought to our attention by Mr McGrail. Q. Were you not aware the he did not have access? Were you not aware that he had not disclosed his information. A. That - there are two That is a different question. You asked me whether I was aware that the phone had been returned with no WhatsApps. That, certainly - or with no access by Mr McGrail that certainly I have learned of when Mr McGrail gave his witness statement to that effect. Q. DC Garcia(?) of the RGP Forensic Unit has given two witness statements and a report in relation to Mr McGrail's laptop. Could he not have been asked just to verify this phenomenon of corruption due to possession by the RGP of Mr McGrail's phone?
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ \end{array}$	 made earlier that Q. Okay. For his part, Mr McGrail says that when the RGP, in respect of his personal phone, that when the RGP seized his phone in connection with a separate inquiry, it had all his WhatsApps on it, and we know that is true because Mr McVea was able to take his image, but then when he got it back, it was all - he could not access his WhatsApps. Do you have any view about why the seizure of a phone by the RGP might result in access to WhatsApps being lost on it? A. I don't. As I explained earlier to Mr Santos, that phone, as far as I understand it, was seized from Mr McGrail; it was transported to Northern Ireland; analysed by the PSNI, brought back and presumably returned at some point to Mr McGrail. Q. Yes. The investigation was an RGP investigation, notwithstanding that it was conducted by a sworn officer. Mr McVea and 	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\end{array} $	 made a statement about that in all the witness statements that you have made? A. I'm not sure we were aware of that until it was brought to our attention by Mr McGrail. Q. Were you not aware the he did not have access? Were you not aware that he had not disclosed his information. A. That - there are two That is a different question. You asked me whether I was aware that the phone had been returned with no WhatsApps. That, certainly - or with no access by Mr McGrail that certainly I have learned of when Mr McGrail gave his witness statement to that effect. Q. DC Garcia(?) of the RGP Forensic Unit has given two witness statements and a report in relation to Mr McGrail's laptop. Could he not have been asked just to verify this phenomenon of corruption due to possession
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ \end{array}$	 made earlier that Q. Okay. For his part, Mr McGrail says that when the RGP, in respect of his personal phone, that when the RGP seized his phone in connection with a separate inquiry, it had all his WhatsApps on it, and we know that is true because Mr McVea was able to take his image, but then when he got it back, it was all - he could not access his WhatsApps. Do you have any view about why the seizure of a phone by the RGP might result in access to WhatsApps being lost on it? A. I don't. As I explained earlier to Mr Santos, that phone, as far as I understand it, was seized from Mr McGrail; it was transported to Northern Ireland; analysed by the PSNI, brought back and presumably returned at some point to Mr McGrail. Q. Yes. The investigation was an RGP investigation, notwithstanding that it was conducted by a sworn officer. Mr McVea and his colleagues were sworn constables in the 	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\\23\end{array} $	 made a statement about that in all the witness statements that you have made? A. I'm not sure we were aware of that until it was brought to our attention by Mr McGrail. Q. Were you not aware the he did not have access? Were you not aware that he had not disclosed his information. A. That - there are two That is a different question. You asked me whether I was aware that the phone had been returned with no WhatsApps. That, certainly - or with no access by Mr McGrail that certainly I have learned of when Mr McGrail gave his witness statement to that effect. Q. DC Garcia(?) of the RGP Forensic Unit has given two witness statements and a report in relation to Mr McGrail's laptop. Could he not have been asked just to verify this phenomenon of corruption due to possession by the RGP of Mr McGrail's phone? A. Do you mean since Mr McGrail has Q. Yes.
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\\ \end{array}$	 made earlier that Q. Okay. For his part, Mr McGrail says that when the RGP, in respect of his personal phone, that when the RGP seized his phone in connection with a separate inquiry, it had all his WhatsApps on it, and we know that is true because Mr McVea was able to take his image, but then when he got it back, it was all - he could not access his WhatsApps. Do you have any view about why the seizure of a phone by the RGP might result in access to WhatsApps being lost on it? A. I don't. As I explained earlier to Mr Santos, that phone, as far as I understand it, was seized from Mr McGrail; it was transported to Northern Ireland; analysed by the PSNI, brought back and presumably returned at some point to Mr McGrail. Q. Yes. The investigation was an RGP investigation, notwithstanding that it was conducted by a sworn officer. Mr McVea and his colleagues were sworn constables in the RGP, were they not? 	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\\23\\24\end{array} $	 made a statement about that in all the witness statements that you have made? A. I'm not sure we were aware of that until it was brought to our attention by Mr McGrail. Q. Were you not aware the he did not have access? Were you not aware that he had not disclosed his information. A. That - there are two That is a different question. You asked me whether I was aware that the phone had been returned with no WhatsApps. That, certainly - or with no access by Mr McGrail that certainly I have learned of when Mr McGrail gave his witness statement to that effect. Q. DC Garcia(?) of the RGP Forensic Unit has given two witness statements and a report in relation to Mr McGrail's laptop. Could he not have been asked just to verify this phenomenon of corruption due to possession by the RGP of Mr McGrail's phone? A. Do you mean since Mr McGrail has Q. Yes. A. I presume if somebody had thought that
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ \end{array}$	 made earlier that Q. Okay. For his part, Mr McGrail says that when the RGP, in respect of his personal phone, that when the RGP seized his phone in connection with a separate inquiry, it had all his WhatsApps on it, and we know that is true because Mr McVea was able to take his image, but then when he got it back, it was all - he could not access his WhatsApps. Do you have any view about why the seizure of a phone by the RGP might result in access to WhatsApps being lost on it? A. I don't. As I explained earlier to Mr Santos, that phone, as far as I understand it, was seized from Mr McGrail; it was transported to Northern Ireland; analysed by the PSNI, brought back and presumably returned at some point to Mr McGrail. Q. Yes. The investigation was an RGP investigation, notwithstanding that it was conducted by a sworn officer. Mr McVea and his colleagues were sworn constables in the 	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\\23\end{array} $	 made a statement about that in all the witness statements that you have made? A. I'm not sure we were aware of that until it was brought to our attention by Mr McGrail. Q. Were you not aware the he did not have access? Were you not aware that he had not disclosed his information. A. That - there are two That is a different question. You asked me whether I was aware that the phone had been returned with no WhatsApps. That, certainly - or with no access by Mr McGrail that certainly I have learned of when Mr McGrail gave his witness statement to that effect. Q. DC Garcia(?) of the RGP Forensic Unit has given two witness statements and a report in relation to Mr McGrail's laptop. Could he not have been asked just to verify this phenomenon of corruption due to possession by the RGP of Mr McGrail's phone? A. Do you mean since Mr McGrail has Q. Yes.
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\\ \end{array}$	 made earlier that Q. Okay. For his part, Mr McGrail says that when the RGP, in respect of his personal phone, that when the RGP seized his phone in connection with a separate inquiry, it had all his WhatsApps on it, and we know that is true because Mr McVea was able to take his image, but then when he got it back, it was all - he could not access his WhatsApps. Do you have any view about why the seizure of a phone by the RGP might result in access to WhatsApps being lost on it? A. I don't. As I explained earlier to Mr Santos, that phone, as far as I understand it, was seized from Mr McGrail; it was transported to Northern Ireland; analysed by the PSNI, brought back and presumably returned at some point to Mr McGrail. Q. Yes. The investigation was an RGP investigation, notwithstanding that it was conducted by a sworn officer. Mr McVea and his colleagues were sworn constables in the RGP, were they not? 	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\\23\\24\end{array} $	 made a statement about that in all the witness statements that you have made? A. I'm not sure we were aware of that until it was brought to our attention by Mr McGrail. Q. Were you not aware the he did not have access? Were you not aware that he had not disclosed his information. A. That - there are two That is a different question. You asked me whether I was aware that the phone had been returned with no WhatsApps. That, certainly - or with no access by Mr McGrail that certainly I have learned of when Mr McGrail gave his witness statement to that effect. Q. DC Garcia(?) of the RGP Forensic Unit has given two witness statements and a report in relation to Mr McGrail's laptop. Could he not have been asked just to verify this phenomenon of corruption due to possession by the RGP of Mr McGrail's phone? A. Do you mean since Mr McGrail has Q. Yes. A. I presume if somebody had thought that

23 (Pages 89 to 92)

1	have have done	1	
1	have been done.	1	constable who has" - and you have said
2	Q. Exactly.	2	similar things this morning - " who was
3	A. But, Mr Caruana, that goes for a number of	3	directed to do so. The government's
4	phones that have been subject to questioning	4	Information Technology and Logistics
5	in this Inquiry.	5	Department (ITLD) had been asked to set up
6	Q. I understand. So, the initial Inquiry request	6	the phones for the RGP and had refused to do
7	in 2022 had no date range. When the Inquiry	7	so, arguing that their remit was limited to the
8	reminded you, in June 2024, they placed this	8	RGP's computer networks and services and
9	date range: 1 January to 30 June, but the	9	devices." Why did you say this and why have
10	original had no date range. I am just asking	10	you repeated it this morning? What was your
11	you whether you are aware whether that means	11	purpose in doing so?
12	that there could therefore be undisclosed	12	A. Because that is what occurred.
13	relevant chats prior to 1 January 2020 in	13	Q. But lots of things have occurred. To put it
14	relation to issues of interest to the Inquiry, for	14	in, it must be of some purpose. Is it because
15	example, the airfield incident in 2017, the	15	you are suggesting, therefore, that ultimately it
16	assault investigation in 2017, the conspiracy	16	is the government that is responsible for the
17	investigation which had already been	17	RGP's loss of data because if they had helped
18	underway long before, the attendance of Mr	18	you set them up properly, it might not have
19	McGrail, you know, Issue 4, the HMIC report.	19	happened. Is that the purpose?
20	These all pre-date 1 January 2020.	20	A. No, I am just explaining that the situation
21	A. Yes.	21	we are in is that we have - and I explained that
22	Q. Could there be, in your phones,	22	we were acting as laymen in this process of
23	information about that?	23	setting up phones and subsequentially of
24	A. So, I said that I have disclosed what I	24	repurposing them. We did ask the ITLD to
25	thought was - well not what I thought was	25	support that process. They were unable to or
	Page 93		Page 95
1	relevant. There was no relevance as far as I	1	they did not do so.
			they did not do so. O. Okay, so you were acting as a layman. Mr
1 2 3	am concerned. So, no. I don't think so.	2	Q. Okay, so you were acting as a layman. Mr
2		2 3	Q. Okay, so you were acting as a layman. Mr Yeats, are you not a qualified engineer by
2 3	am concerned. So, no. I don't think so. Q. But your personal disclosure aside, as you	2	Q. Okay, so you were acting as a layman. Mr Yeats, are you not a qualified engineer by profession?
2 3 4	am concerned. So, no. I don't think so. Q. But your personal disclosure aside, as you have spoken for the RGP and you have asserted that the RGP has made full disclosure	2 3 4	Q. Okay, so you were acting as a layman. Mr Yeats, are you not a qualified engineer by profession?A. I'm not sure I am qualified. I read
2 3 4 5	am concerned. So, no. I don't think so. Q. But your personal disclosure aside, as you have spoken for the RGP and you have	2 3 4 5	 Q. Okay, so you were acting as a layman. Mr Yeats, are you not a qualified engineer by profession? A. I'm not sure I am qualified. I read engineering at university.
2 3 4 5 6	am concerned. So, no. I don't think so. Q. But your personal disclosure aside, as you have spoken for the RGP and you have asserted that the RGP has made full disclosure and that you have made a thorough	2 3 4 5 6	Q. Okay, so you were acting as a layman. Mr Yeats, are you not a qualified engineer by profession?A. I'm not sure I am qualified. I read
2 3 4 5 6 7	am concerned. So, no. I don't think so. Q. But your personal disclosure aside, as you have spoken for the RGP and you have asserted that the RGP has made full disclosure and that you have made a thorough investigation, etc, etc. That full and thorough	2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q. Okay, so you were acting as a layman. Mr Yeats, are you not a qualified engineer by profession? A. I'm not sure I am qualified. I read engineering at university. Q. You are a graduate engineer.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	am concerned. So, no. I don't think so. Q. But your personal disclosure aside, as you have spoken for the RGP and you have asserted that the RGP has made full disclosure and that you have made a thorough investigation, etc, etc. That full and thorough investigation surely would have included	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. Okay, so you were acting as a layman. Mr Yeats, are you not a qualified engineer by profession? A. I'm not sure I am qualified. I read engineering at university. Q. You are a graduate engineer. A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	am concerned. So, no. I don't think so. Q. But your personal disclosure aside, as you have spoken for the RGP and you have asserted that the RGP has made full disclosure and that you have made a thorough investigation, etc, etc. That full and thorough investigation surely would have included searching phones prior to 1 January for all	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. Okay, so you were acting as a layman. Mr Yeats, are you not a qualified engineer by profession? A. I'm not sure I am qualified. I read engineering at university. Q. You are a graduate engineer. A. Yes. Q. Yes. Does your RGP profile on the RGP
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	am concerned. So, no. I don't think so. Q. But your personal disclosure aside, as you have spoken for the RGP and you have asserted that the RGP has made full disclosure and that you have made a thorough investigation, etc, etc. That full and thorough investigation surely would have included searching phones prior to 1 January for all these other issues.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q. Okay, so you were acting as a layman. Mr Yeats, are you not a qualified engineer by profession? A. I'm not sure I am qualified. I read engineering at university. Q. You are a graduate engineer. A. Yes. Q. Yes. Does your RGP profile on the RGP website not rightfully boast of the fact that you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 am concerned. So, no. I don't think so. Q. But your personal disclosure aside, as you have spoken for the RGP and you have asserted that the RGP has made full disclosure and that you have made a thorough investigation, etc, etc. That full and thorough investigation surely would have included searching phones prior to 1 January for all these other issues. A. So, the answer to that question is what we 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. Okay, so you were acting as a layman. Mr Yeats, are you not a qualified engineer by profession? A. I'm not sure I am qualified. I read engineering at university. Q. You are a graduate engineer. A. Yes. Q. Yes. Does your RGP profile on the RGP website not rightfully boast of the fact that you are the first RGP officer to have qualified in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 am concerned. So, no. I don't think so. Q. But your personal disclosure aside, as you have spoken for the RGP and you have asserted that the RGP has made full disclosure and that you have made a thorough investigation, etc, etc. That full and thorough investigation surely would have included searching phones prior to 1 January for all these other issues. A. So, the answer to that question is what we have provided is what we have been asked for 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q. Okay, so you were acting as a layman. Mr Yeats, are you not a qualified engineer by profession? A. I'm not sure I am qualified. I read engineering at university. Q. You are a graduate engineer. A. Yes. Q. Yes. Does your RGP profile on the RGP website not rightfully boast of the fact that you are the first RGP officer to have qualified in computer forensics?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 am concerned. So, no. I don't think so. Q. But your personal disclosure aside, as you have spoken for the RGP and you have asserted that the RGP has made full disclosure and that you have made a thorough investigation, etc, etc. That full and thorough investigation surely would have included searching phones prior to 1 January for all these other issues. A. So, the answer to that question is what we have provided is what we have been asked for by the STI in June of - or June was 12 May to 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. Okay, so you were acting as a layman. Mr Yeats, are you not a qualified engineer by profession? A. I'm not sure I am qualified. I read engineering at university. Q. You are a graduate engineer. A. Yes. Q. Yes. Does your RGP profile on the RGP website not rightfully boast of the fact that you are the first RGP officer to have qualified in computer forensics? A. In 2001. Correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 am concerned. So, no. I don't think so. Q. But your personal disclosure aside, as you have spoken for the RGP and you have asserted that the RGP has made full disclosure and that you have made a thorough investigation, etc, etc. That full and thorough investigation surely would have included searching phones prior to 1 January for all these other issues. A. So, the answer to that question is what we have provided is what we have been asked for by the STI in June of - or June was 12 May to 9 June, and then the expanded date range from 1 January to 30 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. Okay, so you were acting as a layman. Mr Yeats, are you not a qualified engineer by profession? A. I'm not sure I am qualified. I read engineering at university. Q. You are a graduate engineer. A. Yes. Q. Yes. Does your RGP profile on the RGP website not rightfully boast of the fact that you are the first RGP officer to have qualified in computer forensics? A. In 2001. Correct. Q. Right. So, do you think that you are a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 am concerned. So, no. I don't think so. Q. But your personal disclosure aside, as you have spoken for the RGP and you have asserted that the RGP has made full disclosure and that you have made a thorough investigation, etc, etc. That full and thorough investigation surely would have included searching phones prior to 1 January for all these other issues. A. So, the answer to that question is what we have provided is what we have been asked for by the STI in June of - or June was 12 May to 9 June, and then the expanded date range from 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. Okay, so you were acting as a layman. Mr Yeats, are you not a qualified engineer by profession? A. I'm not sure I am qualified. I read engineering at university. Q. You are a graduate engineer. A. Yes. Q. Yes. Does your RGP profile on the RGP website not rightfully boast of the fact that you are the first RGP officer to have qualified in computer forensics? A. In 2001. Correct. Q. Right. So, do you think that you are a layman, for these purposes?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 am concerned. So, no. I don't think so. Q. But your personal disclosure aside, as you have spoken for the RGP and you have asserted that the RGP has made full disclosure and that you have made a thorough investigation, etc, etc. That full and thorough investigation surely would have included searching phones prior to 1 January for all these other issues. A. So, the answer to that question is what we have provided is what we have been asked for by the STI in June of - or June was 12 May to 9 June, and then the expanded date range from 1 January to 30 Q. But the July 2022 requirement was not date 	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ \end{array} $	 Q. Okay, so you were acting as a layman. Mr Yeats, are you not a qualified engineer by profession? A. I'm not sure I am qualified. I read engineering at university. Q. You are a graduate engineer. A. Yes. Q. Yes. Does your RGP profile on the RGP website not rightfully boast of the fact that you are the first RGP officer to have qualified in computer forensics? A. In 2001. Correct. Q. Right. So, do you think that you are a layman, for these purposes? A. I am not sure mobile phones existed in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 am concerned. So, no. I don't think so. Q. But your personal disclosure aside, as you have spoken for the RGP and you have asserted that the RGP has made full disclosure and that you have made a thorough investigation, etc, etc. That full and thorough investigation surely would have included searching phones prior to 1 January for all these other issues. A. So, the answer to that question is what we have provided is what we have been asked for by the STI in June of - or June was 12 May to 9 June, and then the expanded date range from 1 January to 30 Q. But the July 2022 requirement was not date range limited. 	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ \end{array} $	 Q. Okay, so you were acting as a layman. Mr Yeats, are you not a qualified engineer by profession? A. I'm not sure I am qualified. I read engineering at university. Q. You are a graduate engineer. A. Yes. Q. Yes. Does your RGP profile on the RGP website not rightfully boast of the fact that you are the first RGP officer to have qualified in computer forensics? A. In 2001. Correct. Q. Right. So, do you think that you are a layman, for these purposes? A. I am not sure mobile phones existed in 2001 in the way that they exist today.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 am concerned. So, no. I don't think so. Q. But your personal disclosure aside, as you have spoken for the RGP and you have asserted that the RGP has made full disclosure and that you have made a thorough investigation, etc, etc. That full and thorough investigation surely would have included searching phones prior to 1 January for all these other issues. A. So, the answer to that question is what we have provided is what we have been asked for by the STI in June of - or June was 12 May to 9 June, and then the expanded date range from 1 January to 30 Q. But the July 2022 requirement was not date range limited. A. But at that point, obviously we did not 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. Okay, so you were acting as a layman. Mr Yeats, are you not a qualified engineer by profession? A. I'm not sure I am qualified. I read engineering at university. Q. You are a graduate engineer. A. Yes. Q. Yes. Does your RGP profile on the RGP website not rightfully boast of the fact that you are the first RGP officer to have qualified in computer forensics? A. In 2001. Correct. Q. Right. So, do you think that you are a layman, for these purposes? A. I am not sure mobile phones existed in 2001 in the way that they exist today. THE CHAIRMAN: He is not an expert.
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ \end{array} $	 am concerned. So, no. I don't think so. Q. But your personal disclosure aside, as you have spoken for the RGP and you have asserted that the RGP has made full disclosure and that you have made a thorough investigation, etc, etc. That full and thorough investigation surely would have included searching phones prior to 1 January for all these other issues. A. So, the answer to that question is what we have provided is what we have been asked for by the STI in June of - or June was 12 May to 9 June, and then the expanded date range from 1 January to 30 Q. But the July 2022 requirement was not date range limited. A. But at that point, obviously we did not have the access to those devices. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q. Okay, so you were acting as a layman. Mr Yeats, are you not a qualified engineer by profession? A. I'm not sure I am qualified. I read engineering at university. Q. You are a graduate engineer. A. Yes. Q. Yes. Does your RGP profile on the RGP website not rightfully boast of the fact that you are the first RGP officer to have qualified in computer forensics? A. In 2001. Correct. Q. Right. So, do you think that you are a layman, for these purposes? A. I am not sure mobile phones existed in 2001 in the way that they exist today. THE CHAIRMAN: He is not an expert. SIR PETER CARUANA: No. Okay, but the
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ \end{array}$	 am concerned. So, no. I don't think so. Q. But your personal disclosure aside, as you have spoken for the RGP and you have asserted that the RGP has made full disclosure and that you have made a thorough investigation, etc, etc. That full and thorough investigation surely would have included searching phones prior to 1 January for all these other issues. A. So, the answer to that question is what we have provided is what we have been asked for by the STI in June of - or June was 12 May to 9 June, and then the expanded date range from 1 January to 30 Q. But the July 2022 requirement was not date range limited. A. But at that point, obviously we did not have the access to those devices. Q. Okay. Well, actually I think we will have 	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ \end{array} $	 Q. Okay, so you were acting as a layman. Mr Yeats, are you not a qualified engineer by profession? A. I'm not sure I am qualified. I read engineering at university. Q. You are a graduate engineer. A. Yes. Q. Yes. Does your RGP profile on the RGP website not rightfully boast of the fact that you are the first RGP officer to have qualified in computer forensics? A. In 2001. Correct. Q. Right. So, do you think that you are a layman, for these purposes? A. I am not sure mobile phones existed in 2001 in the way that they exist today. THE CHAIRMAN: He is not an expert. SIR PETER CARUANA: No. Okay, but the RGP forensic unit that is now held up as an
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ \end{array}$	 am concerned. So, no. I don't think so. Q. But your personal disclosure aside, as you have spoken for the RGP and you have asserted that the RGP has made full disclosure and that you have made a thorough investigation, etc, etc. That full and thorough investigation surely would have included searching phones prior to 1 January for all these other issues. A. So, the answer to that question is what we have provided is what we have been asked for by the STI in June of - or June was 12 May to 9 June, and then the expanded date range from 1 January to 30 Q. But the July 2022 requirement was not date range limited. A. But at that point, obviously we did not have the access to those devices. Q. Okay. Well, actually I think we will have time to come to that. So, these office phones, 	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\end{array} $	 Q. Okay, so you were acting as a layman. Mr Yeats, are you not a qualified engineer by profession? A. I'm not sure I am qualified. I read engineering at university. Q. You are a graduate engineer. A. Yes. Q. Yes. Does your RGP profile on the RGP website not rightfully boast of the fact that you are the first RGP officer to have qualified in computer forensics? A. In 2001. Correct. Q. Right. So, do you think that you are a layman, for these purposes? A. I am not sure mobile phones existed in 2001 in the way that they exist today. THE CHAIRMAN: He is not an expert. SIR PETER CARUANA: No. Okay, but the RGP forensic unit that is now held up as an expert in this Inquiry, does it not have the
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ \end{array}$	 am concerned. So, no. I don't think so. Q. But your personal disclosure aside, as you have spoken for the RGP and you have asserted that the RGP has made full disclosure and that you have made a thorough investigation, etc, etc. That full and thorough investigation surely would have included searching phones prior to 1 January for all these other issues. A. So, the answer to that question is what we have provided is what we have been asked for by the STI in June of - or June was 12 May to 9 June, and then the expanded date range from 1 January to 30 Q. But the July 2022 requirement was not date range limited. A. But at that point, obviously we did not have the access to those devices. Q. Okay. Well, actually I think we will have time to come to that. So, these office phones, therefore, were issued to 51 - your memory is 	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\end{array} $	 Q. Okay, so you were acting as a layman. Mr Yeats, are you not a qualified engineer by profession? A. I'm not sure I am qualified. I read engineering at university. Q. You are a graduate engineer. A. Yes. Q. Yes. Does your RGP profile on the RGP website not rightfully boast of the fact that you are the first RGP officer to have qualified in computer forensics? A. In 2001. Correct. Q. Right. So, do you think that you are a layman, for these purposes? A. I am not sure mobile phones existed in 2001 in the way that they exist today. THE CHAIRMAN: He is not an expert. SIR PETER CARUANA: No. Okay, but the RGP forensic unit that is now held up as an expert in this Inquiry, does it not have the technical - did it not have the technical ability
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ \end{array}$	 am concerned. So, no. I don't think so. Q. But your personal disclosure aside, as you have spoken for the RGP and you have asserted that the RGP has made full disclosure and that you have made a thorough investigation, etc, etc. That full and thorough investigation surely would have included searching phones prior to 1 January for all these other issues. A. So, the answer to that question is what we have provided is what we have been asked for by the STI in June of - or June was 12 May to 9 June, and then the expanded date range from 1 January to 30 Q. But the July 2022 requirement was not date range limited. A. But at that point, obviously we did not have the access to those devices. Q. Okay. Well, actually I think we will have time to come to that. So, these office phones, therefore, were issued to 51 - your memory is very good. You said about 50 to 51 officers. 	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\\23\end{array} $	 Q. Okay, so you were acting as a layman. Mr Yeats, are you not a qualified engineer by profession? A. I'm not sure I am qualified. I read engineering at university. Q. You are a graduate engineer. A. Yes. Q. Yes. Does your RGP profile on the RGP website not rightfully boast of the fact that you are the first RGP officer to have qualified in computer forensics? A. In 2001. Correct. Q. Right. So, do you think that you are a layman, for these purposes? A. I am not sure mobile phones existed in 2001 in the way that they exist today. THE CHAIRMAN: He is not an expert. SIR PETER CARUANA: No. Okay, but the RGP forensic unit that is now held up as an expert in this Inquiry, does it not have the technical - did it not have the technical ability in December 2020 - November December -
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\\ \end{array}$	 am concerned. So, no. I don't think so. Q. But your personal disclosure aside, as you have spoken for the RGP and you have asserted that the RGP has made full disclosure and that you have made a thorough investigation, etc, etc. That full and thorough investigation surely would have included searching phones prior to 1 January for all these other issues. A. So, the answer to that question is what we have provided is what we have been asked for by the STI in June of - or June was 12 May to 9 June, and then the expanded date range from 1 January to 30 Q. But the July 2022 requirement was not date range limited. A. But at that point, obviously we did not have the access to those devices. Q. Okay. Well, actually I think we will have time to come to that. So, these office phones, therefore, were issued to 51 - your memory is very good. You said about 50 to 51 officers. In your 6th witness statement at paragraph 8, 	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\\23\\24\end{array} $	 Q. Okay, so you were acting as a layman. Mr Yeats, are you not a qualified engineer by profession? A. I'm not sure I am qualified. I read engineering at university. Q. You are a graduate engineer. A. Yes. Q. Yes. Does your RGP profile on the RGP website not rightfully boast of the fact that you are the first RGP officer to have qualified in computer forensics? A. In 2001. Correct. Q. Right. So, do you think that you are a layman, for these purposes? A. I am not sure mobile phones existed in 2001 in the way that they exist today. THE CHAIRMAN: He is not an expert. SIR PETER CARUANA: No. Okay, but the RGP forensic unit that is now held up as an expert in this Inquiry, does it not have the technical - did it not have the technical ability in December 2020 - November December - to set up mobile phones without losing data, or

24 (Pages 93 to 96)

	1 < 0		
1	data?		Q. Yes. I am sorry. I do not have time to
2	A. It is possible that they would have done.	2	remind you of everything that you had said.
3	The problem with that approach is that it	3	What data protection reason arises, and what
4	prevents them from carrying out their primary	4	operational difficulties arises with WhatsApps
5	function and that is developing and	5	if after all - that do not also arise with emails,
6	investigating analysis of phones that are	6	that you quite rightly reminded us this
7	required in criminal investigations, and we	7	morning, you did keep on the server. Why is
8	have put if I might say, quite a lot of pressure	8	there something special about WhatsApps
9	and stress on them in the last few weeks and	9	which does not arise with emails, which is
10	months, precisely to assist the Inquiry, which	10	relevant to the question of why it is sensible
11	have diverted their attention away from routine	11	not to keep them?
12	investigations that are clearly	12	A. The easiest answer to that is that one
13	Q. So, the RGP does have, internally, the	13	category, the emails, are much easier to search
14	capacity to swap mobile phones without losing	14	through than WhatsApps, and it would depend
15	all the WhatsApps? Yes? No?	15	on how we carry out that backup, what the
16	A. Potentially it could.	16	backup actually contains. I mean, remember
17	Q. Right.	17	that - and my understanding of this is that the
18	A. At the expense of investigations.	18	email Again, I don't want to suggest, Mr
19 20	Q. I see. Well, can I ask why you all, that is to	19	Caruana, that I am trying to defer blame to the
20	say yourself, Mr Richardson and Mr Ullger	20	government but ITLD manage our email
21	decided to change from Samsung to iPhones?	21	server and metric server.
22	You have said, to remind you, this morning:	22	Q. Okay.
23	user interface.	23	A. They - I am assuming, because I do not
24	A. That's correct. Yes.	24	know this for a fact - carry out incremental
25	Q. You had been using the phone already -	25	back ups of the data, so there is a record of the
	Page 97		Page 99
1	you had been using the phones already for 15	1	change. That would not be possible as far as I
1 2	you had been using the phones already for 15 months. Correct?	1	change. That would not be possible, as far as I understand it with the WhatsAnns
2	months. Correct?	2	understand it, with the WhatsApps.
2 3	months. Correct?A. Yes. That would be right.	2 3	understand it, with the WhatsApps. Q. Okay. So, going back to the swap of
2 3 4	months. Correct?A. Yes. That would be right.Q. Yes, and why did you all decide to change	2 3 4	understand it, with the WhatsApps. Q. Okay. So, going back to the swap of WhatsApps from Samsung to iPhones; is this
2 3 4 5	months. Correct?A. Yes. That would be right.Q. Yes, and why did you all decide to change them at the same time?	2 3 4 5	understand it, with the WhatsApps. Q. Okay. So, going back to the swap of WhatsApps from Samsung to iPhones; is this correct? Is it correct that on your evidence -
2 3 4 5 6	 months. Correct? A. Yes. That would be right. Q. Yes, and why did you all decide to change them at the same time? A. Because that's how it naturally occurred. I 	2 3 4 5 6	understand it, with the WhatsApps. Q. Okay. So, going back to the swap of WhatsApps from Samsung to iPhones; is this correct? Is it correct that on your evidence - the effect of your evidence is this: that on one
2 3 4 5 6 7	 months. Correct? A. Yes. That would be right. Q. Yes, and why did you all decide to change them at the same time? A. Because that's how it naturally occurred. I presume there was nothing more than a 	2 3 4 5 6 7	understand it, with the WhatsApps. Q. Okay. So, going back to the swap of WhatsApps from Samsung to iPhones; is this correct? Is it correct that on your evidence - the effect of your evidence is this: that on one day in November or December 2020 you all
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 months. Correct? A. Yes. That would be right. Q. Yes, and why did you all decide to change them at the same time? A. Because that's how it naturally occurred. I presume there was nothing more than a discussion between perhaps Mr Richardson or 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	understand it, with the WhatsApps. Q. Okay. So, going back to the swap of WhatsApps from Samsung to iPhones; is this correct? Is it correct that on your evidence - the effect of your evidence is this: that on one day in November or December 2020 you all had - each of you had a Samsung mobile
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 months. Correct? A. Yes. That would be right. Q. Yes, and why did you all decide to change them at the same time? A. Because that's how it naturally occurred. I presume there was nothing more than a discussion between perhaps Mr Richardson or I or Mr Ullger and I, and we thought it was a 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	understand it, with the WhatsApps. Q. Okay. So, going back to the swap of WhatsApps from Samsung to iPhones; is this correct? Is it correct that on your evidence - the effect of your evidence is this: that on one day in November or December 2020 you all had - each of you had a Samsung mobile phone full of your historical WhatsApps.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 months. Correct? A. Yes. That would be right. Q. Yes, and why did you all decide to change them at the same time? A. Because that's how it naturally occurred. I presume there was nothing more than a discussion between perhaps Mr Richardson or I or Mr Ullger and I, and we thought it was a Q. So, 15 months after you were happily 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	understand it, with the WhatsApps. Q. Okay. So, going back to the swap of WhatsApps from Samsung to iPhones; is this correct? Is it correct that on your evidence - the effect of your evidence is this: that on one day in November or December 2020 you all had - each of you had a Samsung mobile phone full of your historical WhatsApps. Correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 months. Correct? A. Yes. That would be right. Q. Yes, and why did you all decide to change them at the same time? A. Because that's how it naturally occurred. I presume there was nothing more than a discussion between perhaps Mr Richardson or I or Mr Ullger and I, and we thought it was aQ. So, 15 months after you were happily using these Samsungs, you all decide together, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 understand it, with the WhatsApps. Q. Okay. So, going back to the swap of WhatsApps from Samsung to iPhones; is this correct? Is it correct that on your evidence - the effect of your evidence is this: that on one day in November or December 2020 you all had - each of you had a Samsung mobile phone full of your historical WhatsApps. Correct? A. Correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 months. Correct? A. Yes. That would be right. Q. Yes, and why did you all decide to change them at the same time? A. Because that's how it naturally occurred. I presume there was nothing more than a discussion between perhaps Mr Richardson or I or Mr Ullger and I, and we thought it was aQ. So, 15 months after you were happily using these Samsungs, you all decide together, two months after the key date in - you all 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 understand it, with the WhatsApps. Q. Okay. So, going back to the swap of WhatsApps from Samsung to iPhones; is this correct? Is it correct that on your evidence - the effect of your evidence is this: that on one day in November or December 2020 you all had - each of you had a Samsung mobile phone full of your historical WhatsApps. Correct? A. Correct. Q. And on the same day, when you were given
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 months. Correct? A. Yes. That would be right. Q. Yes, and why did you all decide to change them at the same time? A. Because that's how it naturally occurred. I presume there was nothing more than a discussion between perhaps Mr Richardson or I or Mr Ullger and I, and we thought it was aQ. So, 15 months after you were happily using these Samsungs, you all decide together, two months after the key date in - you all decide to change these phones together, and 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 understand it, with the WhatsApps. Q. Okay. So, going back to the swap of WhatsApps from Samsung to iPhones; is this correct? Is it correct that on your evidence - the effect of your evidence is this: that on one day in November or December 2020 you all had - each of you had a Samsung mobile phone full of your historical WhatsApps. Correct? A. Correct. Q. And on the same day, when you were given the iPhone, none of you had any WhatsApps
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 months. Correct? A. Yes. That would be right. Q. Yes, and why did you all decide to change them at the same time? A. Because that's how it naturally occurred. I presume there was nothing more than a discussion between perhaps Mr Richardson or I or Mr Ullger and I, and we thought it was aQ. So, 15 months after you were happily using these Samsungs, you all decide together, two months after the key date in - you all decide to change these phones together, and you all individually lose the WhatsApps on 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 understand it, with the WhatsApps. Q. Okay. So, going back to the swap of WhatsApps from Samsung to iPhones; is this correct? Is it correct that on your evidence - the effect of your evidence is this: that on one day in November or December 2020 you all had - each of you had a Samsung mobile phone full of your historical WhatsApps. Correct? A. Correct. Q. And on the same day, when you were given
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 months. Correct? A. Yes. That would be right. Q. Yes, and why did you all decide to change them at the same time? A. Because that's how it naturally occurred. I presume there was nothing more than a discussion between perhaps Mr Richardson or I or Mr Ullger and I, and we thought it was aQ. So, 15 months after you were happily using these Samsungs, you all decide together, two months after the key date in - you all decide to change these phones together, and you all individually lose the WhatsApps on transfer. Is that how it happened? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 understand it, with the WhatsApps. Q. Okay. So, going back to the swap of WhatsApps from Samsung to iPhones; is this correct? Is it correct that on your evidence - the effect of your evidence is this: that on one day in November or December 2020 you all had - each of you had a Samsung mobile phone full of your historical WhatsApps. Correct? A. Correct. Q. And on the same day, when you were given the iPhone, none of you had any WhatsApps on your iPhone. A. Correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 months. Correct? A. Yes. That would be right. Q. Yes, and why did you all decide to change them at the same time? A. Because that's how it naturally occurred. I presume there was nothing more than a discussion between perhaps Mr Richardson or I or Mr Ullger and I, and we thought it was aQ. So, 15 months after you were happily using these Samsungs, you all decide together, two months after the key date in - you all decide to change these phones together, and you all individually lose the WhatsApps on transfer. Is that how it happened? A. That was the effect of what occurred, yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 understand it, with the WhatsApps. Q. Okay. So, going back to the swap of WhatsApps from Samsung to iPhones; is this correct? Is it correct that on your evidence - the effect of your evidence is this: that on one day in November or December 2020 you all had - each of you had a Samsung mobile phone full of your historical WhatsApps. Correct? A. Correct. Q. And on the same day, when you were given the iPhone, none of you had any WhatsApps on your iPhone. A. Correct. Q. A fact that you would have noticed
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 months. Correct? A. Yes. That would be right. Q. Yes, and why did you all decide to change them at the same time? A. Because that's how it naturally occurred. I presume there was nothing more than a discussion between perhaps Mr Richardson or I or Mr Ullger and I, and we thought it was aQ. So, 15 months after you were happily using these Samsungs, you all decide together, two months after the key date in - you all decide to change these phones together, and you all individually lose the WhatsApps on transfer. Is that how it happened? A. That was the effect of what occurred, yes. Q. Yes. What my learned friend Mr Santos 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 understand it, with the WhatsApps. Q. Okay. So, going back to the swap of WhatsApps from Samsung to iPhones; is this correct? Is it correct that on your evidence - the effect of your evidence is this: that on one day in November or December 2020 you all had - each of you had a Samsung mobile phone full of your historical WhatsApps. Correct? A. Correct. Q. And on the same day, when you were given the iPhone, none of you had any WhatsApps on your iPhone. A. Correct. Q. A fact that you would have noticed immediately, surely. I mean, if I get a new
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 months. Correct? A. Yes. That would be right. Q. Yes, and why did you all decide to change them at the same time? A. Because that's how it naturally occurred. I presume there was nothing more than a discussion between perhaps Mr Richardson or I or Mr Ullger and I, and we thought it was aQ. So, 15 months after you were happily using these Samsungs, you all decide together, two months after the key date in - you all decide to change these phones together, and you all individually lose the WhatsApps on transfer. Is that how it happened? A. That was the effect of what occurred, yes. Q. Yes. What my learned friend Mr Santos asked you this morning about the practice of 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 understand it, with the WhatsApps. Q. Okay. So, going back to the swap of WhatsApps from Samsung to iPhones; is this correct? Is it correct that on your evidence - the effect of your evidence is this: that on one day in November or December 2020 you all had - each of you had a Samsung mobile phone full of your historical WhatsApps. Correct? A. Correct. Q. And on the same day, when you were given the iPhone, none of you had any WhatsApps on your iPhone. A. Correct. Q. A fact that you would have noticed immediately, surely. I mean, if I get a new telephone and there are no WhatsApps on it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 months. Correct? A. Yes. That would be right. Q. Yes, and why did you all decide to change them at the same time? A. Because that's how it naturally occurred. I presume there was nothing more than a discussion between perhaps Mr Richardson or I or Mr Ullger and I, and we thought it was aQ. So, 15 months after you were happily using these Samsungs, you all decide together, two months after the key date in - you all decide to change these phones together, and you all individually lose the WhatsApps on transfer. Is that how it happened? A. That was the effect of what occurred, yes. Q. Yes. What my learned friend Mr Santos asked you this morning about the practice of not retaining WhatsApps, you said that other 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 understand it, with the WhatsApps. Q. Okay. So, going back to the swap of WhatsApps from Samsung to iPhones; is this correct? Is it correct that on your evidence - the effect of your evidence is this: that on one day in November or December 2020 you all had - each of you had a Samsung mobile phone full of your historical WhatsApps. Correct? A. Correct. Q. And on the same day, when you were given the iPhone, none of you had any WhatsApps on your iPhone. A. Correct. Q. A fact that you would have noticed immediately, surely. I mean, if I get a new telephone and there are no WhatsApps on it despite having hundreds of chat groups, do
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 months. Correct? A. Yes. That would be right. Q. Yes, and why did you all decide to change them at the same time? A. Because that's how it naturally occurred. I presume there was nothing more than a discussion between perhaps Mr Richardson or I or Mr Ullger and I, and we thought it was aQ. So, 15 months after you were happily using these Samsungs, you all decide together, two months after the key date in - you all decide to change these phones together, and you all individually lose the WhatsApps on transfer. Is that how it happened? A. That was the effect of what occurred, yes. Q. Yes. What my learned friend Mr Santos asked you this morning about the practice of not retaining WhatsApps, you said that other UK forces did not have the same practice and 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 understand it, with the WhatsApps. Q. Okay. So, going back to the swap of WhatsApps from Samsung to iPhones; is this correct? Is it correct that on your evidence - the effect of your evidence is this: that on one day in November or December 2020 you all had - each of you had a Samsung mobile phone full of your historical WhatsApps. Correct? A. Correct. Q. And on the same day, when you were given the iPhone, none of you had any WhatsApps on your iPhone. A. Correct. Q. A fact that you would have noticed immediately, surely. I mean, if I get a new telephone and there are no WhatsApps on it despite having hundreds of chat groups, do you not notice that immediately?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 months. Correct? A. Yes. That would be right. Q. Yes, and why did you all decide to change them at the same time? A. Because that's how it naturally occurred. I presume there was nothing more than a discussion between perhaps Mr Richardson or I or Mr Ullger and I, and we thought it was aQ. So, 15 months after you were happily using these Samsungs, you all decide together, two months after the key date in - you all decide to change these phones together, and you all individually lose the WhatsApps on transfer. Is that how it happened? A. That was the effect of what occurred, yes. Q. Yes. What my learned friend Mr Santos asked you this morning about the practice of not retaining WhatsApps, you said that other UK forces did not have the same practice and that it was principally caused by data 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 understand it, with the WhatsApps. Q. Okay. So, going back to the swap of WhatsApps from Samsung to iPhones; is this correct? Is it correct that on your evidence - the effect of your evidence is this: that on one day in November or December 2020 you all had - each of you had a Samsung mobile phone full of your historical WhatsApps. Correct? A. Correct. Q. And on the same day, when you were given the iPhone, none of you had any WhatsApps on your iPhone. A. Correct. Q. A fact that you would have noticed immediately, surely. I mean, if I get a new telephone and there are no WhatsApps on it despite having hundreds of chat groups, do you not notice that immediately? A. It is possible that it was noticed but I'm not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 months. Correct? A. Yes. That would be right. Q. Yes, and why did you all decide to change them at the same time? A. Because that's how it naturally occurred. I presume there was nothing more than a discussion between perhaps Mr Richardson or I or Mr Ullger and I, and we thought it was aQ. So, 15 months after you were happily using these Samsungs, you all decide together, two months after the key date in - you all decide to change these phones together, and you all individually lose the WhatsApps on transfer. Is that how it happened? A. That was the effect of what occurred, yes. Q. Yes. What my learned friend Mr Santos asked you this morning about the practice of not retaining WhatsApps, you said that other UK forces did not have the same practice and 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 understand it, with the WhatsApps. Q. Okay. So, going back to the swap of WhatsApps from Samsung to iPhones; is this correct? Is it correct that on your evidence - the effect of your evidence is this: that on one day in November or December 2020 you all had - each of you had a Samsung mobile phone full of your historical WhatsApps. Correct? A. Correct. Q. And on the same day, when you were given the iPhone, none of you had any WhatsApps on your iPhone. A. Correct. Q. A fact that you would have noticed immediately, surely. I mean, if I get a new telephone and there are no WhatsApps on it despite having hundreds of chat groups, do you not notice that immediately? A. It is possible that it was noticed but I'm not sure that it was in anybody's minds as being
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 months. Correct? A. Yes. That would be right. Q. Yes, and why did you all decide to change them at the same time? A. Because that's how it naturally occurred. I presume there was nothing more than a discussion between perhaps Mr Richardson or I or Mr Ullger and I, and we thought it was aQ. So, 15 months after you were happily using these Samsungs, you all decide together, two months after the key date in - you all decide to change these phones together, and you all individually lose the WhatsApps on transfer. Is that how it happened? A. That was the effect of what occurred, yes. Q. Yes. What my learned friend Mr Santos asked you this morning about the practice of not retaining WhatsApps, you said that other UK forces did not have the same practice and that it was principally caused by data protection to avoid data protection. Do you 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 understand it, with the WhatsApps. Q. Okay. So, going back to the swap of WhatsApps from Samsung to iPhones; is this correct? Is it correct that on your evidence - the effect of your evidence is this: that on one day in November or December 2020 you all had - each of you had a Samsung mobile phone full of your historical WhatsApps. Correct? A. Correct. Q. And on the same day, when you were given the iPhone, none of you had any WhatsApps on your iPhone. A. Correct. Q. A fact that you would have noticed immediately, surely. I mean, if I get a new telephone and there are no WhatsApps on it despite having hundreds of chat groups, do you not notice that immediately? A. It is possible that it was noticed but I'm not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 months. Correct? A. Yes. That would be right. Q. Yes, and why did you all decide to change them at the same time? A. Because that's how it naturally occurred. I presume there was nothing more than a discussion between perhaps Mr Richardson or I or Mr Ullger and I, and we thought it was aQ. So, 15 months after you were happily using these Samsungs, you all decide together, two months after the key date in - you all decide to change these phones together, and you all individually lose the WhatsApps on transfer. Is that how it happened? A. That was the effect of what occurred, yes. Q. Yes. What my learned friend Mr Santos asked you this morning about the practice of not retaining WhatsApps, you said that other UK forces did not have the same practice and that it was principally caused by data protection to avoid data protection. Do you remember that evidence? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 understand it, with the WhatsApps. Q. Okay. So, going back to the swap of WhatsApps from Samsung to iPhones; is this correct? Is it correct that on your evidence - the effect of your evidence is this: that on one day in November or December 2020 you all had - each of you had a Samsung mobile phone full of your historical WhatsApps. Correct? A. Correct. Q. And on the same day, when you were given the iPhone, none of you had any WhatsApps on your iPhone. A. Correct. Q. A fact that you would have noticed immediately, surely. I mean, if I get a new telephone and there are no WhatsApps on it despite having hundreds of chat groups, do you not notice that immediately? A. It is possible that it was noticed but I'm not sure that it was in anybody's minds as being particularly important at the time.
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\\ \end{array}$	 months. Correct? A. Yes. That would be right. Q. Yes, and why did you all decide to change them at the same time? A. Because that's how it naturally occurred. I presume there was nothing more than a discussion between perhaps Mr Richardson or I or Mr Ullger and I, and we thought it was aQ. So, 15 months after you were happily using these Samsungs, you all decide together, two months after the key date in - you all decide to change these phones together, and you all individually lose the WhatsApps on transfer. Is that how it happened? A. That was the effect of what occurred, yes. Q. Yes. What my learned friend Mr Santos asked you this morning about the practice of not retaining WhatsApps, you said that other UK forces did not have the same practice and that it was principally caused by data protection to avoid data protection. Do you remember that evidence? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 understand it, with the WhatsApps. Q. Okay. So, going back to the swap of WhatsApps from Samsung to iPhones; is this correct? Is it correct that on your evidence - the effect of your evidence is this: that on one day in November or December 2020 you all had - each of you had a Samsung mobile phone full of your historical WhatsApps. Correct? A. Correct. Q. And on the same day, when you were given the iPhone, none of you had any WhatsApps on your iPhone. A. Correct. Q. A fact that you would have noticed immediately, surely. I mean, if I get a new telephone and there are no WhatsApps on it despite having hundreds of chat groups, do you not notice that immediately? A. It is possible that it was noticed but I'm not sure that it was in anybody's minds as being particularly important at the time. Q. But did you not attach any importance to

Epiq Europe Ltd +44 (0) 207 404 1400 www.epiqglobal.com Lower Ground Floor, 46 Chancery Lane casemanagers@epiqglobal.com London, WC2A 1JE

25 (Pages 97 to 100)

1 groups? I mean, after all - well yes, that is a 1 O. But the wiping, the wiping by the person 2 2 question. You might want to answer that. for the repurposing, that would not have been -3 A. So, I explained that this morning in answer 3 4 4 to Mr Santos. It is not - and I think the issue A. No, no, no. That would have happened 5 of WhatsApps and their role in communication 5 subsequentially. 6 between the officers --6 Q. So, there was opportunity, was there not, to 7 7 Q. Yes. interrupt the wiping --8 8 THE CHAIRMAN: I have got the point of A. -- has been given a prominence that is not 9 9 your submission. realistic, in my view. 10 10 Q. All right, but that is not my point. I am not SIR PETER CARUANA: You have? Thank 11 anchoring it in this inquiry. My point is - the 11 you. (To the witness) My learned friend Mr 12 point that I am trying to put to you, and put it 12 Duma(?) is telling me I have two minutes --13 to you fairly, so that you give your views on it, 13 THE CHAIRMAN: I get the point as soon as 14 14 is that if I am right in putting to you that it you make it. 15 15 would have been obvious to each of you SIR PETER CARUANA: (To the witness) 16 16 immediately on being handed the new phone Did you look for your iPhones -- for your 17 17 that it was a desert of WhatsApps, you would WhatsApps on your phone? 18 have noticed it and you would, there and then, 18 A. Sorry, in which phone, Mr Caruana? 19 19 have said: hang on don't wipe my Samsung, Q. On, well, in 2022 you have given evidence 20 20 because I have no WhatsApps on my iPhone. this morning that you looked. Can we just 21 Did any of you do that? Or were you all glibly 21 look at paragraphs 26, 27 and 28 of your 22 22 carefree about the fact that none of you had witness statement. E880. Sorry, that is your 23 23 any WhatsApps of any kind with anybody on 6th witness statement. Paragraph 26: "I now 24 your mobile phone? 24 realise, having reviewed all disclosure matters, 25 25 A. So, again, as I explained earlier to Mr that neither the RGP nor Mr Ullger, Mr Page 101 Page 103 1 Santos, I am not sure that anybody thought 1 Richardson or I have had access to any 2 that that was a particularly important issue. I 2 WhatsApps held on RGP devices since 2020." 3 3 "I now realise." "I realised that this was the don't know when I, for one, realised that 4 4 case in February of 2025 when considering WhatsApps were no longer on my phone that 5 5 had been on the previous phone. I can't speak how to comply with the ruling and the 6 for Mr Ullger and Mr Richardson, so you 6 Inquiry's disclosure request." At paragraph 7 7 28: "It is now clear that by the time the letter describe the position and you characterise it in 8 8 a certain way. I am not sure it occurred in the requesting evidence and disclosure from the 9 9 way that you describe it. then STI were received in July 2022, that 10 10 Q. Well, how did it? So, presumably you did neither the RGP nor its senior officers with 11 11 not give up your Samsung until you had the Apple devices had access to the SMT chat or 12 new one. None of you were without phones 12 other WhatsApp messages on RGP mobile 13 13 phones for the relevant period (1 January 2020 for any period of time. 14 A. We may have been on the day, we may not 14 to 20 June 2020)." Does that not necessarily 15 have been. It may be that we were given one 15 mean that you never looked at the time, back 16 16 phone and just handed the other one back in. in 2022? 17 17 Q. Right, and how long would it have taken (12.25)18 you - the swiping and the handing over of 18 A. No, it doesn't. All it means is that -- and 19 19 your phones to a new officer would not have we're approaching this from the point of view 20 20 been five minutes after you had got your new of -- we examine what we have, we look at 21 21 phones and saw that you had no WhatsApps. what we have, we make a reasonable search 22 22 Surely? for material and we assess for relevance, 23 A. It may have been a straight swap. I may 23 obviously relevance, can comment to it as 24 24 have been given the new phone and handed in we've already addressed this morning. So, all 25 the other one --25 I'm saying, all I'm trying to say in those Page 102

Page 104

26 (Pages 101 to 104)

1	paragraphs is: we knew we didn't have	1	prepare for the Inquiry and make disclosures if
2	WhatsApp messages that we could disclose.	2	he wanted to. Do you see that?
3	That was obvious, it had been obvious from	3	A. Correct.
4	some time. What I am trying to describe there	4	Q. Can you cast your eye down to paragraph
5	is that we may not have understood why that	5	D. And one of the things that the Inquiry
6	was the case and it was only once the Inquiry	6	ordered the RGP to provide Mr McGrail
7	made those additional requests for disclosure	7	access to was WhatsApp communications of
8	that we applied our minds to thinking about	8	the SMT chat group between July 2015 to 9
9	the process that had occurred back in 2020	9	June 2020, "if those conversations were
10	Q. If you had looked in 2022 for your	10	conducted on RGP devices". And if you go to
11	messages, you would have discovered then	11	the very last paragraph, paragraph four of the
12	what you say in your sixth weekend statement	12	document, "If the RGP does not have one or
13	you discovered as some sort of eureka moment	13	more of the documents listed above in its
14	in February -	14	possession or control, the RGP will indicate
15	A. Well, that	15	this in writing to Mr McGrail within seven
16	Q 2025, surely. These paragraphs make no	16	days of the date of this order. Is it fair, do you
17	sense, otherwise.	17	think, for me to suggest to you that the fact
18	A. It is - it is possible that that would have	18	that Mr McGrail was demanding from the
19	occurred, but we were conducting what we	19	RGP access to the SMT chat group, paragraph
20	thought the time were reasonable searches for	20	D, suggests that at least he, as the
21	information, and we didn't have it, we didn't	21	Commissioner of Police at the time, fully
22	apply forensic tools that we have since applied	22	expected to find relevant and helpful material
23	to recover messages. Uniquely applied	23	in it?
24	forensic tools, as (?) I say, to recover the	24	A. That is a question I think you need to ask,
25	messages that we have been able to recover	25	Mr Grail, sir.
	D		
	Page 105		Page 107
1	and disclose.	1	Q. I am asking you whether it is fair for me to
2	Q. Okay. I just have one more issue if, sir,	2	suggest it to you in the light of your
3	you could indulge me just for three minutes -	3	minimisation of that likelihood this morning.
4	two minutes, perhaps, even. On the SMT	4	A. He will he will know why he asked that
5	chats, which were also lost, all the SMT chats,	5	question.
6	through the process that everyone is now	6	Q. And did you in fact disclose to Mr McGrail
7	familiar with - I am not going to repeat it. Is it	7	in response, as directed by paragraph four, that
8	fair to say, Mr Yeats, that this morning you	8	in fact you did not have any SMT chats?
9	have made had a fair go at minimising the	9	A. My understanding is that we did. I can't
10	likely reverence of chats in the SMT group?	10	remember it specifically, but there were
11	A. I've described my recollection of what	11	Q. But you were in control of this process. If
12	Q. Yes.	12	it is not your understanding, who do I need to
13	A was the case, I guess.	13	ask?
14	Q. Yes, and my question is: you have had a	14	A. The correspondence was being conducted
15	fair go at minimising the likely relevance and	15	through counsel, but I'm pretty sure that we
16	importance. Yes.	16	did communicate and say that we do not have
17	THE CHAIRMAN: Well, that is a comment,	17	those messages.
18	really, is it not?	18	Q. To Mr McGrail?
18 19	really, is it not? Q. Okay. Can we turn to E1047. Do you see	18 19	Q. To Mr McGrail? A. Yes.
	•		-
19	Q. Okay. Can we turn to E1047. Do you see	19	A. Yes.
19 20	Q. Okay. Can we turn to E1047. Do you see that? Do you know what it is? I can describe	19 20	A. Yes.Q. And you still did not communicate it to the
19 20 21	Q. Okay. Can we turn to E1047. Do you see that? Do you know what it is? I can describe	19 20 21	A. Yes.Q. And you still did not communicate it to the Inquiry, that you had lost all these things?
19 20 21 22	Q. Okay. Can we turn to E1047. Do you see that? Do you know what it is? I can describe it to you very quickly. This is an order that the	19 20 21 22	A. Yes.Q. And you still did not communicate it to the Inquiry, that you had lost all these things?That you once had these things but no longer
19 20 21 22 23	Q. Okay. Can we turn to E1047. Do you see that? Do you know what it is? I can describe it to you very quickly. This is an order that the	19 20 21 22 23	A. Yes.Q. And you still did not communicate it to the Inquiry, that you had lost all these things?That you once had these things but no longer did? Thank you for your indulgence as to the
19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. Okay. Can we turn to E1047. Do you see that? Do you know what it is? I can describe it to you very quickly. This is an order that the A. Yes. Q Chairman made, requiring the RGP to give access to Mr McGrail so that he could 	19 20 21 22 23 24	A. Yes.Q. And you still did not communicate it to the Inquiry, that you had lost all these things?That you once had these things but no longer did? Thank you for your indulgence as to the time.Questioned by MR WAGNER
19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. Okay. Can we turn to E1047. Do you see that? Do you know what it is? I can describe it to you very quickly. This is an order that the A. Yes. Q Chairman made, requiring the RGP to 	19 20 21 22 23 24	A. Yes.Q. And you still did not communicate it to the Inquiry, that you had lost all these things?That you once had these things but no longer did? Thank you for your indulgence as to the time.

27 (Pages 105 to 108)

1	Q. Good afternoon. I am not sure where the	1	change in that approach.
2	most comfortable place for me to stand is, but	2	Q. Because of the intervention on 12 May?
3	excuse me if I am not looking at you	3	A. Correct.
4	throughout the questions. You said that you	4	Q. Do you recall Mr McGrail gathering
5	decided to replace the Samsung phones with	5	together the senior command team after the
6	Apple phones in November 2020 because of	6	exchange he had had with the Chief Minister
7	the user interface. Was it found by you and	7	and the AG on 12 May?
8	others that the Samsung user interface was not	8	THE CHAIRMAN: Do you mean on 22 May,
9	as easy to use as the iPhone interface?	9	or on some other date?
10	A. That was my experience and it was my	10	Q. No, no, on 12 May or close to it.
11	preference. I have been an iPhone user since	11	A. I mean, I recall having a you know,
12	I've had a smartphone, other than a brief	12	being called to Mr McGrail's office at some
13	period when I had a HTC phone which I I	13	point after that meeting, yes.
14	gave up use of within months. So so yes, it	14	Q. Yes, and do you remember what happened,
15	was my experience.	15	in broad terms, at the meeting?
16	Q. I want to ask you about discussions	16	A. I remember Mr McGrail saying that it had
17	between senior officers about the Op Delhi	17	been a very difficult meeting and that there
18	investigation. Did senior RGP officers discuss	18	had been difficult exchanges that well,
19	concerns about the Chief Minister, Attorney	19	you know, the Chief Minister was upset about
20	General, DPP's actions relating to Op Delhi at	20	what what had occurred.
21	any time between 12 May 2020 and 9 June	21	Q. And how did you feel about it, do you
22	2020?	22	recall?
23	A. Yes.	23	A. I think worried is is the sentiment that I
24	Q. In what forum was that? Would it have	24	describe, simply because we didn't understand
25	been in person?	25	what the consequences of of that would be.
	-		_
	Page 109		Page 111
1	A. Yes. I think I've said earlier, we were	1	Q. Of what?
2	meeting regularly at the time for a number of	2	A. Well, of that meeting and what had
3	issues, Covid being one of them. So yes, the	3	transpired.
4	conversations would have been taking	4	Q. Yes. Were you worried about how you
5	would have taken place in person, and the	5	were hearing the Chief Minister had behaved?
6	likelihood is in Mr McGrail's office.	6	A. I was worried about the interest was being
7	Q. And is it likely that those discussions	7	displayed on the issue and what could then
8	would have been predominantly in person?	8	follow, and the consequence that could follow
9	A. Absolutely, yes.	9	in terms of the investigation. At that state it
10	Q. What concerns we discussed?	10	was really about the investigation and where
11	A. So, in very general terms, the effect that 12	11	that was
12	May had had on everything, and and how	12	THE CHAIRMAN: This does not arise out of
13	those subsequent meetings that have been	13	the new material, does it?
14	described at length in in the previous set of -	14	MR WAGNER: Well, it is because the
15	- of hearings and evidence had had taken.	15	government parties' suggestion that if the issue
16	Q. When you say the effect it had had: was	16	was not raised in WhatsApp then it is
17	that a positive effect, a negative effect or	17	implausible that it was a concern amongst the
18	something else?	18	senior officers. So, I am asking in broad
19	A. Well, I think it was a negative effect in the	19	terms: was there concern and when was it
20	sense that there had been an approach to a	20	expressed.
20	particular investigation and that approach at	21	SIR PETER CARUANA: Just for clarification
21	least, it seemed to me, even though I was not	21	(inaudible) my learned friend, that suggestion
22	involved in it, but from what I was hearing	23	has been made by the government parties in
23 24	reported back from, primarily, Mr McGrail and	23	respect of the meetings of 13, 15 and 20; not in
24 25	Mr Richmond, was that there had been a	25	respect of the meeting.
25	was mennonu, was that there had been a		r and mooring.
	Page 110		Page 112

28 (Pages 109 to 112)

1	MR WAGNER: I mean, it is all Actually,	1	lonely, as a senior officer. In the sense that,
2	the suggestion that is made is that if there was	2	you know, the GPA had presented a position,
3	such serious interference then it would have	3	which on the face of it was supported by the
4	been discussed in WhatsApp.	4	Governor and (clearly) Chief Minister. There
5	THE CHAIRMAN: That is the point we	5	was no interaction with the rest of the senior
6	canvassed originally.	6	team around what was occurring. So, we were
7	MR WAGNER: Well, it is not a point that was	7	essentially in the dark, other than what we
8	made originally, because the WhatsApps were	8	were hearing from Mr McGrail and we heard
9	not available. I only have one more question	9	from him, in terms of what he was
10	in relation to it, if I may, which is about the	10	experiencing, what he was being asked to do
11	WhatsApps.	11	and was being told to do. So, you know,
12	THE CHAIRMAN: Yes.	12	following 12 May and what occurred
13	Q. The government party suggest it is	13	subsequently to that, and then the delivery of
14	implausible that, if officers were concerned	14	those letters on the 22nd, I didn't know what
15	about the interference with a live investigation,	15	was happening, really, other than what we
16	they would not have sent any WhatsApp	16	were being told by Mr McGrail. So, it felt
17	messages about that. Do you agree?	17	we felt vulnerable, because we could not turn
18	A. As I've explained earlier, Mr Wagner, most	18	to anybody, the natural institutional pillars,
19	of our communications at the time were taking	19	if you like, that we would have turned to: the
20	place during office hours, in person. So, no, I	20	Governor, Chief Minister or or the GPA,
21	don't.	21	were all aligned, as far as I understood it,
22	Q. When the RGP approached Mr McGrail	22	requiring Mr McGrail to retire.
23	after the June 2024 request for further	23	Q. Aligned with what, sorry?
24	disclosure, through lawyers, asking for	24	A. With requiring Mr McGrail to retire.
25	permission to inspect the image of his mobile	25	Q. You said you felt lonely. Was that your
	Page 113		Page 115
	1480110		
1	phone, which was in the custody of the RGP	1	understanding of how other senior RGP
2	(well, sorry, the image of the mobile phone),	2	officers were feeling, as well? Perhaps. I
3	did he give his consent?	3	mean, that is a sentiment that I've I can
4	A. He did.	4	relate to now.
5	Q. And was Mr McGrail cooperative with the	5	Q. Did Mr McGrail show you his response, in
6	RGP request for his concern over the messages	6	draft, to the GPA's invitation to retire, which
7	that you retained?	7	was ultimately sent by his lawyers on 28 May?
8	A. He was.	8	A. I think he did. I think it's the only
9	Q. I want to ask you about the GPA's	9	correspondence that I remember recall
10	invitation to retire, that they made on 22 May.	10	reading at the time, so I didn't read the rest but
11	In the days that followed the GPA's invitation	11	that, I think I I'm pretty certain that he did
12	to retire, was it your understanding that Mr	12	share that correspondence with me, yes.
13	McGrail wanted to retire?	13	Q. Yes. And, do you remember what your
14	A. I don't remember it that way. From from	14	reaction was to it?
15	what I remember, though, there was a lot of	15	A. I mean, not particularly, other than, you
16	discussion about, you know, what was the	16	know, it seemed a obviously well-written
17	direction of travel. Clearly, he'd been invited	17	letter, that was making arguments and it was
18	to retire, that was quite a	18	I mean, I think I thought that it was it
19	THE CHAIRMAN: I did not just catch what	19	was still a a means for a resolution to the
20	you just said. It was something about the	20	dilemma. That's that's what I interpreted.
21	direction	21	Q. I am just going to turn my back for a
22	A. The direction of travel, sorry.	22	moment. Thank you, sir.
23	THE CHAIRMAN: direction of travel.	23	Questioned by MR CRUZ
24	A. What I mean, my recollection is that we	24	Q. Mr Yeats, just a very few, but I think it is
25	were it it felt quite lonely as a sen	25	perhaps useful particularly given that
	Page 114		Page 116
			0

Epiq Europe Ltd +44 (0) 207 404 1400 www.epiqglobal.com Lower Ground Floor, 46 Chancery Lane casemanagers@epiqglobal.com London, WC2A 1JE

29 (Pages 113 to 116)

1	certainly the public will not have read your	1	to you subsequently I think May 2023 had
2	voluminous six witness statements if you	2	already decided that they were going to
3	can just summarise, as briefly as you can but	3	refocus and re and take over, essentially, the -
4	in a complete way, the disclosure process.	4	- the disclosure process that we had been
	· · · ·	5	conducting. They gave us search terms, which
5	From the beginning, through to where you find		
6	yourself, let us say, the end of June 2024. Just	6	I think we were much more comfortable with,
7	explain the journey.	7	to apply to all our data, and therefore just
8	A. So, as I I think I tried to explain earlier,	8	produce a result for them to assess relevance.
9	we approached this in two ways. One was the	9	Which I I think, as I just said, we all agreed
10	individual disclosure following the receipt of	10	from the RGP perspective was a better
11	letters as as witnesses to the Inquiry, but also	11	approach, because it removed us from that
12	to address the volume of information that the	12	decision-making. That occurred during May
13	RGP held, which clearly would be central to	13	and June of 2023, where we provided in in
14	to the Inquiry. And that took a the form of,	14	two tranches first all the digital files from the
15	in in October and November of 2022 two	15	network shares and subsequently the email
16	engagements with the previous STI, who	16	products in in early July of of that year.
17	around that time were involved in in the data	17	There was some difficulty around the the
18	breach which led to the change of	18	nature or the format in which those were
19	subsequently led to the change of STI. But we	19	were provided. They then engaged with us to
20	were essentially discussing with them the	20	determine whether there were any concerns
21	categorisation of documents for disclosure for	21	around the documents that they intended to
22	assessments of relevance, potential public	22	disclose at the end of the summer of 2023.
23	interest considerations. And and I think	23	That engagement took place and the disclosure
24	primarily, I remember primarily, one of our	24	occurred, which is what is available to all all
25	concerns was what that relevance test was,	25	parties in this Inquiry. That essentially leads
23	concerns was what that relevance test was,	25	parties in this inquiry. That essentially leads
	Page 117		Page 119
1	simply because we were not alive to the case	1	us to June of of last year.
1 2	simply because we were not alive to the case theories advanced by the other parties in the	1 2	us to June of of last year. Q. Well, let me stop you there. So, when you
			-
2	theories advanced by the other parties in the	2	Q. Well, let me stop you there. So, when you
2 3	theories advanced by the other parties in the in the Inquiry. We became core participants	2 3	Q. Well, let me stop you there. So, when you say they took over, what did that mean in
2 3 4	theories advanced by the other parties in the in the Inquiry. We became core participants around that time. That developed into the	2 3 4	Q. Well, let me stop you there. So, when you say they took over, what did that mean in terms of physically what does that mean,
2 3 4 5	theories advanced by the other parties in the in the Inquiry. We became core participants around that time. That developed into the or, around that time we appointed a a	2 3 4 5	Q. Well, let me stop you there. So, when you say they took over, what did that mean in terms of physically what does that mean, when you say they took over?
2 3 4 5 6	theories advanced by the other parties in the in the Inquiry. We became core participants around that time. That developed into the or, around that time we appointed a a disclosure team of four officers, who were	2 3 4 5 6	 Q. Well, let me stop you there. So, when you say they took over, what did that mean in terms of physically what does that mean, when you say they took over? A. So, two things happened. One is that
2 3 4 5 6 7	theories advanced by the other parties in the in the Inquiry. We became core participants around that time. That developed into the or, around that time we appointed a a disclosure team of four officers, who were reviewing all the material that was provided to	2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q. Well, let me stop you there. So, when you say they took over, what did that mean in terms of physically what does that mean, when you say they took over? A. So, two things happened. One is that that S members of the STI team attended the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	theories advanced by the other parties in the in the Inquiry. We became core participants around that time. That developed into the or, around that time we appointed a a disclosure team of four officers, who were reviewing all the material that was provided to them: hard-copy material, electronic material	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. Well, let me stop you there. So, when you say they took over, what did that mean in terms of physically what does that mean, when you say they took over? A. So, two things happened. One is that that S members of the STI team attended the Secretary's Lane offices, and they examined
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	theories advanced by the other parties in the in the Inquiry. We became core participants around that time. That developed into the or, around that time we appointed a a disclosure team of four officers, who were reviewing all the material that was provided to them: hard-copy material, electronic material in the form of the network shares for the senior officers that had been in-post during all of that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. Well, let me stop you there. So, when you say they took over, what did that mean in terms of physically what does that mean, when you say they took over? A. So, two things happened. One is that that S members of the STI team attended the Secretary's Lane offices, and they examined some of the the hard-copy documents themselves. But taking over, I mean, the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	theories advanced by the other parties in the in the Inquiry. We became core participants around that time. That developed into the or, around that time we appointed a a disclosure team of four officers, who were reviewing all the material that was provided to them: hard-copy material, electronic material in the form of the network shares for the senior officers that had been in-post during all of that period, the email shares. I think I mentioned	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q. Well, let me stop you there. So, when you say they took over, what did that mean in terms of physically what does that mean, when you say they took over? A. So, two things happened. One is that that S members of the STI team attended the Secretary's Lane offices, and they examined some of the the hard-copy documents
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	theories advanced by the other parties in the in the Inquiry. We became core participants around that time. That developed into the or, around that time we appointed a a disclosure team of four officers, who were reviewing all the material that was provided to them: hard-copy material, electronic material in the form of the network shares for the senior officers that had been in-post during all of that period, the email shares. I think I mentioned earlier that in one of the preliminary or in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q. Well, let me stop you there. So, when you say they took over, what did that mean in terms of physically what does that mean, when you say they took over? A. So, two things happened. One is that that S members of the STI team attended the Secretary's Lane offices, and they examined some of the the hard-copy documents themselves. But taking over, I mean, the providing of keywords for searching and assessment of relevance.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	theories advanced by the other parties in the in the Inquiry. We became core participants around that time. That developed into the or, around that time we appointed a a disclosure team of four officers, who were reviewing all the material that was provided to them: hard-copy material, electronic material in the form of the network shares for the senior officers that had been in-post during all of that period, the email shares. I think I mentioned earlier that in one of the preliminary or in advance of one of the preliminary hearings Mr	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. Well, let me stop you there. So, when you say they took over, what did that mean in terms of physically what does that mean, when you say they took over? A. So, two things happened. One is that that S members of the STI team attended the Secretary's Lane offices, and they examined some of the the hard-copy documents themselves. But taking over, I mean, the providing of keywords for searching and assessment of relevance. Q. Right. Can I ask you perhaps you could
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	theories advanced by the other parties in the in the Inquiry. We became core participants around that time. That developed into the or, around that time we appointed a a disclosure team of four officers, who were reviewing all the material that was provided to them: hard-copy material, electronic material in the form of the network shares for the senior officers that had been in-post during all of that period, the email shares. I think I mentioned earlier that in one of the preliminary or in advance of one of the preliminary hearings Mr Wyan asked for an update and there were I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. Well, let me stop you there. So, when you say they took over, what did that mean in terms of physically what does that mean, when you say they took over? A. So, two things happened. One is that that S members of the STI team attended the Secretary's Lane offices, and they examined some of the the hard-copy documents themselves. But taking over, I mean, the providing of keywords for searching and assessment of relevance. Q. Right. Can I ask you perhaps you could be assisted to go to E889. This is your sixth
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	theories advanced by the other parties in the in the Inquiry. We became core participants around that time. That developed into the or, around that time we appointed a a disclosure team of four officers, who were reviewing all the material that was provided to them: hard-copy material, electronic material in the form of the network shares for the senior officers that had been in-post during all of that period, the email shares. I think I mentioned earlier that in one of the preliminary or in advance of one of the preliminary hearings Mr Wyan asked for an update and there were I think 900,000 documents had had been	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. Well, let me stop you there. So, when you say they took over, what did that mean in terms of physically what does that mean, when you say they took over? A. So, two things happened. One is that that S members of the STI team attended the Secretary's Lane offices, and they examined some of the the hard-copy documents themselves. But taking over, I mean, the providing of keywords for searching and assessment of relevance. Q. Right. Can I ask you perhaps you could be assisted to go to E889. This is your sixth witness statement. Specifically, paragraphs 62
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	theories advanced by the other parties in the in the Inquiry. We became core participants around that time. That developed into the or, around that time we appointed a a disclosure team of four officers, who were reviewing all the material that was provided to them: hard-copy material, electronic material in the form of the network shares for the senior officers that had been in-post during all of that period, the email shares. I think I mentioned earlier that in one of the preliminary or in advance of one of the preliminary hearings Mr Wyan asked for an update and there were I think 900,000 documents had had been examined and there were another 600 waiting	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. Well, let me stop you there. So, when you say they took over, what did that mean in terms of physically what does that mean, when you say they took over? A. So, two things happened. One is that that S members of the STI team attended the Secretary's Lane offices, and they examined some of the the hard-copy documents themselves. But taking over, I mean, the providing of keywords for searching and assessment of relevance. Q. Right. Can I ask you perhaps you could be assisted to go to E889. This is your sixth witness statement. Specifically, paragraphs 62 and 63. Paragraph 63, there is a reference to a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	theories advanced by the other parties in the in the Inquiry. We became core participants around that time. That developed into the or, around that time we appointed a a disclosure team of four officers, who were reviewing all the material that was provided to them: hard-copy material, electronic material in the form of the network shares for the senior officers that had been in-post during all of that period, the email shares. I think I mentioned earlier that in one of the preliminary or in advance of one of the preliminary hearings Mr Wyan asked for an update and there were I think 900,000 documents had had been examined and there were another 600 waiting for examination. That is not including emails.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. Well, let me stop you there. So, when you say they took over, what did that mean in terms of physically what does that mean, when you say they took over? A. So, two things happened. One is that that S members of the STI team attended the Secretary's Lane offices, and they examined some of the the hard-copy documents themselves. But taking over, I mean, the providing of keywords for searching and assessment of relevance. Q. Right. Can I ask you perhaps you could be assisted to go to E889. This is your sixth witness statement. Specifically, paragraphs 62 and 63. Paragraph 63, there is a reference to a letter, could you just remind yourself of it. Is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	theories advanced by the other parties in the in the Inquiry. We became core participants around that time. That developed into the or, around that time we appointed a a disclosure team of four officers, who were reviewing all the material that was provided to them: hard-copy material, electronic material in the form of the network shares for the senior officers that had been in-post during all of that period, the email shares. I think I mentioned earlier that in one of the preliminary or in advance of one of the preliminary hearings Mr Wyan asked for an update and there were I think 900,000 documents had had been examined and there were another 600 waiting for examination. That is not including emails. Almost 30,000 hard-copy documents were	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. Well, let me stop you there. So, when you say they took over, what did that mean in terms of physically what does that mean, when you say they took over? A. So, two things happened. One is that that S members of the STI team attended the Secretary's Lane offices, and they examined some of the the hard-copy documents themselves. But taking over, I mean, the providing of keywords for searching and assessment of relevance. Q. Right. Can I ask you perhaps you could be assisted to go to E889. This is your sixth witness statement. Specifically, paragraphs 62 and 63. Paragraph 63, there is a reference to a letter, could you just remind yourself of it. Is that at the same time as them taking over?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	theories advanced by the other parties in the in the Inquiry. We became core participants around that time. That developed into the or, around that time we appointed a a disclosure team of four officers, who were reviewing all the material that was provided to them: hard-copy material, electronic material in the form of the network shares for the senior officers that had been in-post during all of that period, the email shares. I think I mentioned earlier that in one of the preliminary or in advance of one of the preliminary hearings Mr Wyan asked for an update and there were I think 900,000 documents had had been examined and there were another 600 waiting for examination. That is not including emails. Almost 30,000 hard-copy documents were available. Then, the team conducted the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q. Well, let me stop you there. So, when you say they took over, what did that mean in terms of physically what does that mean, when you say they took over? A. So, two things happened. One is that that S members of the STI team attended the Secretary's Lane offices, and they examined some of the the hard-copy documents themselves. But taking over, I mean, the providing of keywords for searching and assessment of relevance. Q. Right. Can I ask you perhaps you could be assisted to go to E889. This is your sixth witness statement. Specifically, paragraphs 62 and 63. Paragraph 63, there is a reference to a letter, could you just remind yourself of it. Is that at the same time as them taking over? A. So, I think they'd also They had already
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	theories advanced by the other parties in the in the Inquiry. We became core participants around that time. That developed into the or, around that time we appointed a a disclosure team of four officers, who were reviewing all the material that was provided to them: hard-copy material, electronic material in the form of the network shares for the senior officers that had been in-post during all of that period, the email shares. I think I mentioned earlier that in one of the preliminary or in advance of one of the preliminary hearings Mr Wyan asked for an update and there were I think 900,000 documents had had been examined and there were another 600 waiting for examination. That is not including emails. Almost 30,000 hard-copy documents were available. Then, the team conducted the inquiry sorry, disclosure, made allegations in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. Well, let me stop you there. So, when you say they took over, what did that mean in terms of physically what does that mean, when you say they took over? A. So, two things happened. One is that that S members of the STI team attended the Secretary's Lane offices, and they examined some of the the hard-copy documents themselves. But taking over, I mean, the providing of keywords for searching and assessment of relevance. Q. Right. Can I ask you perhaps you could be assisted to go to E889. This is your sixth witness statement. Specifically, paragraphs 62 and 63. Paragraph 63, there is a reference to a letter, could you just remind yourself of it. Is that at the same time as them taking over? A. So, I think they'd also They had already attended the Secretary's Lane offices before
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	theories advanced by the other parties in the in the Inquiry. We became core participants around that time. That developed into the or, around that time we appointed a a disclosure team of four officers, who were reviewing all the material that was provided to them: hard-copy material, electronic material in the form of the network shares for the senior officers that had been in-post during all of that period, the email shares. I think I mentioned earlier that in one of the preliminary or in advance of one of the preliminary hearings Mr Wyan asked for an update and there were I think 900,000 documents had had been examined and there were another 600 waiting for examination. That is not including emails. Almost 30,000 hard-copy documents were available. Then, the team conducted the inquiry sorry, disclosure, made allegations in the form of whistleblower statements, which I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. Well, let me stop you there. So, when you say they took over, what did that mean in terms of physically what does that mean, when you say they took over? A. So, two things happened. One is that that S members of the STI team attended the Secretary's Lane offices, and they examined some of the the hard-copy documents themselves. But taking over, I mean, the providing of keywords for searching and assessment of relevance. Q. Right. Can I ask you perhaps you could be assisted to go to E889. This is your sixth witness statement. Specifically, paragraphs 62 and 63. Paragraph 63, there is a reference to a letter, could you just remind yourself of it. Is that at the same time as them taking over? A. So, I think they'd also They had already attended the Secretary's Lane offices before that, as I think the previous paragraph
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	theories advanced by the other parties in the in the Inquiry. We became core participants around that time. That developed into the or, around that time we appointed a a disclosure team of four officers, who were reviewing all the material that was provided to them: hard-copy material, electronic material in the form of the network shares for the senior officers that had been in-post during all of that period, the email shares. I think I mentioned earlier that in one of the preliminary or in advance of one of the preliminary hearings Mr Wyan asked for an update and there were I think 900,000 documents had had been examined and there were another 600 waiting for examination. That is not including emails. Almost 30,000 hard-copy documents were available. Then, the team conducted the inquiry sorry, disclosure, made allegations in the form of whistleblower statements, which I considered to be unfounded at the time and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. Well, let me stop you there. So, when you say they took over, what did that mean in terms of physically what does that mean, when you say they took over? A. So, two things happened. One is that that S members of the STI team attended the Secretary's Lane offices, and they examined some of the the hard-copy documents themselves. But taking over, I mean, the providing of keywords for searching and assessment of relevance. Q. Right. Can I ask you perhaps you could be assisted to go to E889. This is your sixth witness statement. Specifically, paragraphs 62 and 63. Paragraph 63, there is a reference to a letter, could you just remind yourself of it. Is that at the same time as them taking over? A. So, I think they'd also They had already attended the Secretary's Lane offices before that, as I think the previous paragraph suggests, where they had been present with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	theories advanced by the other parties in the in the Inquiry. We became core participants around that time. That developed into the or, around that time we appointed a a disclosure team of four officers, who were reviewing all the material that was provided to them: hard-copy material, electronic material in the form of the network shares for the senior officers that had been in-post during all of that period, the email shares. I think I mentioned earlier that in one of the preliminary or in advance of one of the preliminary hearings Mr Wyan asked for an update and there were I think 900,000 documents had had been examined and there were another 600 waiting for examination. That is not including emails. Almost 30,000 hard-copy documents were available. Then, the team conducted the inquiry sorry, disclosure, made allegations in the form of whistleblower statements, which I considered to be unfounded at the time and still do, and were investigated by SI McVea.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. Well, let me stop you there. So, when you say they took over, what did that mean in terms of physically what does that mean, when you say they took over? A. So, two things happened. One is that that S members of the STI team attended the Secretary's Lane offices, and they examined some of the the hard-copy documents themselves. But taking over, I mean, the providing of keywords for searching and assessment of relevance. Q. Right. Can I ask you perhaps you could be assisted to go to E889. This is your sixth witness statement. Specifically, paragraphs 62 and 63. Paragraph 63, there is a reference to a letter, could you just remind yourself of it. Is that at the same time as them taking over? A. So, I think they'd also They had already attended the Secretary's Lane offices before that, as I think the previous paragraph suggests, where they had been present with DC Carra (?), who was the one remaining
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	theories advanced by the other parties in the in the Inquiry. We became core participants around that time. That developed into the or, around that time we appointed a a disclosure team of four officers, who were reviewing all the material that was provided to them: hard-copy material, electronic material in the form of the network shares for the senior officers that had been in-post during all of that period, the email shares. I think I mentioned earlier that in one of the preliminary or in advance of one of the preliminary hearings Mr Wyan asked for an update and there were I think 900,000 documents had had been examined and there were another 600 waiting for examination. That is not including emails. Almost 30,000 hard-copy documents were available. Then, the team conducted the inquiry sorry, disclosure, made allegations in the form of whistleblower statements, which I considered to be unfounded at the time and still do, and were investigated by SI McVea. That led to a pause in the process,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. Well, let me stop you there. So, when you say they took over, what did that mean in terms of physically what does that mean, when you say they took over? A. So, two things happened. One is that that S members of the STI team attended the Secretary's Lane offices, and they examined some of the the hard-copy documents themselves. But taking over, I mean, the providing of keywords for searching and assessment of relevance. Q. Right. Can I ask you perhaps you could be assisted to go to E889. This is your sixth witness statement. Specifically, paragraphs 62 and 63. Paragraph 63, there is a reference to a letter, could you just remind yourself of it. Is that at the same time as them taking over? A. So, I think they'd also They had already attended the Secretary's Lane offices before that, as I think the previous paragraph suggests, where they had been present with DC Carra (?), who was the one remaining officer of the team, to look at the hard copy
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	theories advanced by the other parties in the in the Inquiry. We became core participants around that time. That developed into the or, around that time we appointed a a disclosure team of four officers, who were reviewing all the material that was provided to them: hard-copy material, electronic material in the form of the network shares for the senior officers that had been in-post during all of that period, the email shares. I think I mentioned earlier that in one of the preliminary or in advance of one of the preliminary hearings Mr Wyan asked for an update and there were I think 900,000 documents had had been examined and there were another 600 waiting for examination. That is not including emails. Almost 30,000 hard-copy documents were available. Then, the team conducted the inquiry sorry, disclosure, made allegations in the form of whistleblower statements, which I considered to be unfounded at the time and still do, and were investigated by SI McVea.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. Well, let me stop you there. So, when you say they took over, what did that mean in terms of physically what does that mean, when you say they took over? A. So, two things happened. One is that that S members of the STI team attended the Secretary's Lane offices, and they examined some of the the hard-copy documents themselves. But taking over, I mean, the providing of keywords for searching and assessment of relevance. Q. Right. Can I ask you perhaps you could be assisted to go to E889. This is your sixth witness statement. Specifically, paragraphs 62 and 63. Paragraph 63, there is a reference to a letter, could you just remind yourself of it. Is that at the same time as them taking over? A. So, I think they'd also They had already attended the Secretary's Lane offices before that, as I think the previous paragraph suggests, where they had been present with DC Carra (?), who was the one remaining
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	theories advanced by the other parties in the in the Inquiry. We became core participants around that time. That developed into the or, around that time we appointed a a disclosure team of four officers, who were reviewing all the material that was provided to them: hard-copy material, electronic material in the form of the network shares for the senior officers that had been in-post during all of that period, the email shares. I think I mentioned earlier that in one of the preliminary or in advance of one of the preliminary hearings Mr Wyan asked for an update and there were I think 900,000 documents had had been examined and there were another 600 waiting for examination. That is not including emails. Almost 30,000 hard-copy documents were available. Then, the team conducted the inquiry sorry, disclosure, made allegations in the form of whistleblower statements, which I considered to be unfounded at the time and still do, and were investigated by SI McVea. That led to a pause in the process,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. Well, let me stop you there. So, when you say they took over, what did that mean in terms of physically what does that mean, when you say they took over? A. So, two things happened. One is that that S members of the STI team attended the Secretary's Lane offices, and they examined some of the the hard-copy documents themselves. But taking over, I mean, the providing of keywords for searching and assessment of relevance. Q. Right. Can I ask you perhaps you could be assisted to go to E889. This is your sixth witness statement. Specifically, paragraphs 62 and 63. Paragraph 63, there is a reference to a letter, could you just remind yourself of it. Is that at the same time as them taking over? A. So, I think they'd also They had already attended the Secretary's Lane offices before that, as I think the previous paragraph suggests, where they had been present with DC Carra (?), who was the one remaining officer of the team, to look at the hard copy

Epiq Europe Ltd +44 (0) 207 404 1400

www.epiqglobal.com Lower Ground Floor, 46 Chancery Lane casemanagers@epiqglobal.com London, WC2A 1JE

30 (Pages 117 to 120)

1	aloon in that latter the taking over of the	1	A. Yes.
1 2	clear in that letter, the taking over of the disclosure exercise was not as a result of any	2	Q. And was it timely?
3	failings of the RGP.	$\begin{vmatrix} 2\\ 3 \end{vmatrix}$	A. We responded to every request that we had
4	Q. Right. So, as of July or as of June 2023,	4	in the timescales that we were given. We may
5	the STI are saying there is no RPG failing?	5	have asked for an extension on the odd
6	MR SANTOS: Sorry, can I just intervene.	6	occasion, but we complied with that too.
		7	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
7	What that letter says is, "We also wish to	8	Q. Okay. When were you first asked for the WhatsApp exchanges between Ian McGrail
8	clarify that our decision to take over the RGP	9	
9	disclosure process predated the criminal		and Richard Ullger and Mark Wyan and Mr
10	investigation and is not as a result of any	10	Richardson and yourself. When were you first
11	failings by the RGB in the disclosure process."	11	asked for those specific WhatsApps?
12	That is not a statement by STI, as my learned	12	A. On 27 June 2024.
13	friend is suggesting, that there have been no	13	THE CHAIRMAN: But hang on, Mr Cruz.
14	failings by the RGP.	14	The original letter in July '22 refers to
15	Q. Let us put it in context. There is a	15	WhatsApps.
16	reference to an investigation, a criminal	16	MR CRUZ: Yes. Yes, Mr Chairman, it does.
17	investigation. What is that, Mr Yeats?	17	It refers to disclosure that includes
18	A. That investigation?	18	WhatsApps. But I am specifically asking
19	Q. Yes.	19	about the WhatsApps between these
20	A. Into the allegations made by the	20	individuals: when was the first time there a
21	whistleblowers in respect of the disclosure	21	specific request.
22	exercise.	22	THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. Well, I think that
23	Q. Yes. Just to give it context, given my	23	question is misleading, without referring to the
24	learned friend's intervention. What, broadly,	24	request in July '22.
25	was that investigation?	25	Q. Okay. In July 2022, did the general
	Page 121		Page 123
	0		
1	0	1	<u> </u>
1	A. So so, yeah, this was allegations that the	1	reference for disclosure include hard copy and
2	A. So so, yeah, this was allegations that the whistleblowers three whistleblowers had	2	reference for disclosure include hard copy and electronic, including WhatsApps?
2 3	A. So so, yeah, this was allegations that the whistleblowers three whistleblowers had made, who were involved in the RGP	2 3	reference for disclosure include hard copy and electronic, including WhatsApps? A. It did.
2 3 4	A. So so, yeah, this was allegations that the whistleblowers three whistleblowers had made, who were involved in the RGP disclosure process, about concerns that they	2 3 4	reference for disclosure include hard copy and electronic, including WhatsApps? A. It did. Q. It did. But specifically in relation to the
2 3 4 5	A. So so, yeah, this was allegations that the whistleblowers three whistleblowers had made, who were involved in the RGP disclosure process, about concerns that they had around that discovery process and the way	2 3 4 5	reference for disclosure include hard copy and electronic, including WhatsApps? A. It did. Q. It did. But specifically in relation to the exchanges between Mr Ullger the ones I
2 3 4 5 6	A. So so, yeah, this was allegations that the whistleblowers three whistleblowers had made, who were involved in the RGP disclosure process, about concerns that they had around that discovery process and the way that Mr Wyan and I had managed it.	2 3 4 5 6	reference for disclosure include hard copy and electronic, including WhatsApps? A. It did. Q. It did. But specifically in relation to the exchanges between Mr Ullger the ones I have just mentioned. In other words, one with
2 3 4 5 6 7	A. So so, yeah, this was allegations that the whistleblowers three whistleblowers had made, who were involved in the RGP disclosure process, about concerns that they had around that discovery process and the way that Mr Wyan and I had managed it. And what was the outcome of those concerns?	2 3 4 5 6 7	reference for disclosure include hard copy and electronic, including WhatsApps? A. It did. Q. It did. But specifically in relation to the exchanges between Mr Ullger the ones I have just mentioned. In other words, one with specificity focused on that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. So so, yeah, this was allegations that the whistleblowers three whistleblowers had made, who were involved in the RGP disclosure process, about concerns that they had around that discovery process and the way that Mr Wyan and I had managed it. And what was the outcome of those concerns? A. They were found to be unfounded by SIO	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	reference for disclosure include hard copy and electronic, including WhatsApps? A. It did. Q. It did. But specifically in relation to the exchanges between Mr Ullger the ones I have just mentioned. In other words, one with specificity focused on that. THE CHAIRMAN: Well, if that is your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. So so, yeah, this was allegations that the whistleblowers three whistleblowers had made, who were involved in the RGP disclosure process, about concerns that they had around that discovery process and the way that Mr Wyan and I had managed it. And what was the outcome of those concerns? A. They were found to be unfounded by SIO McVea.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	reference for disclosure include hard copy and electronic, including WhatsApps? A. It did. Q. It did. But specifically in relation to the exchanges between Mr Ullger the ones I have just mentioned. In other words, one with specificity focused on that. THE CHAIRMAN: Well, if that is your excuse Mr Cruz, it is not impressive.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 A. So so, yeah, this was allegations that the whistleblowers three whistleblowers had made, who were involved in the RGP disclosure process, about concerns that they had around that discovery process and the way that Mr Wyan and I had managed it. And what was the outcome of those concerns? A. They were found to be unfounded by SIO McVea. Q. Unfounded. So, is that statement that the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	reference for disclosure include hard copy and electronic, including WhatsApps? A. It did. Q. It did. But specifically in relation to the exchanges between Mr Ullger the ones I have just mentioned. In other words, one with specificity focused on that. THE CHAIRMAN: Well, if that is your excuse Mr Cruz, it is not impressive. MR CRUZ: I understand, Mr Chairman; I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 A. So so, yeah, this was allegations that the whistleblowers three whistleblowers had made, who were involved in the RGP disclosure process, about concerns that they had around that discovery process and the way that Mr Wyan and I had managed it. And what was the outcome of those concerns? A. They were found to be unfounded by SIO McVea. Q. Unfounded. So, is that statement that the intervention is not down to any failings of the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	reference for disclosure include hard copy and electronic, including WhatsApps? A. It did. Q. It did. But specifically in relation to the exchanges between Mr Ullger the ones I have just mentioned. In other words, one with specificity focused on that. THE CHAIRMAN: Well, if that is your excuse Mr Cruz, it is not impressive. MR CRUZ: I understand, Mr Chairman; I take the point. But nevertheless, Mr
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 A. So so, yeah, this was allegations that the whistleblowers three whistleblowers had made, who were involved in the RGP disclosure process, about concerns that they had around that discovery process and the way that Mr Wyan and I had managed it. And what was the outcome of those concerns? A. They were found to be unfounded by SIO McVea. Q. Unfounded. So, is that statement that the intervention is not down to any failings of the RGP in relation to that disclosure process 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	reference for disclosure include hard copy and electronic, including WhatsApps? A. It did. Q. It did. But specifically in relation to the exchanges between Mr Ullger the ones I have just mentioned. In other words, one with specificity focused on that. THE CHAIRMAN: Well, if that is your excuse Mr Cruz, it is not impressive. MR CRUZ: I understand, Mr Chairman; I take the point. But nevertheless, Mr Chairman, if you will allow me to ask the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. So so, yeah, this was allegations that the whistleblowers three whistleblowers had made, who were involved in the RGP disclosure process, about concerns that they had around that discovery process and the way that Mr Wyan and I had managed it. And what was the outcome of those concerns? A. They were found to be unfounded by SIO McVea. Q. Unfounded. So, is that statement that the intervention is not down to any failings of the RGP in relation to that disclosure process was there any other letter or communication , 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	reference for disclosure include hard copy and electronic, including WhatsApps? A. It did. Q. It did. But specifically in relation to the exchanges between Mr Ullger the ones I have just mentioned. In other words, one with specificity focused on that. THE CHAIRMAN: Well, if that is your excuse Mr Cruz, it is not impressive. MR CRUZ: I understand, Mr Chairman; I take the point. But nevertheless, Mr Chairman, if you will allow me to ask the question?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. So so, yeah, this was allegations that the whistleblowers three whistleblowers had made, who were involved in the RGP disclosure process, about concerns that they had around that discovery process and the way that Mr Wyan and I had managed it. And what was the outcome of those concerns? A. They were found to be unfounded by SIO McVea. Q. Unfounded. So, is that statement that the intervention is not down to any failings of the RGP in relation to that disclosure process was there any other letter or communication , to your knowledge, that suggested there were 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	reference for disclosure include hard copy and electronic, including WhatsApps? A. It did. Q. It did. But specifically in relation to the exchanges between Mr Ullger the ones I have just mentioned. In other words, one with specificity focused on that. THE CHAIRMAN: Well, if that is your excuse Mr Cruz, it is not impressive. MR CRUZ: I understand, Mr Chairman; I take the point. But nevertheless, Mr Chairman, if you will allow me to ask the question? THE CHAIRMAN: You can ask the question.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. So so, yeah, this was allegations that the whistleblowers three whistleblowers had made, who were involved in the RGP disclosure process, about concerns that they had around that discovery process and the way that Mr Wyan and I had managed it. And what was the outcome of those concerns? A. They were found to be unfounded by SIO McVea. Q. Unfounded. So, is that statement that the intervention is not down to any failings of the RGP in relation to that disclosure process was there any other letter or communication , to your knowledge, that suggested there were any failings as of June 2023? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	reference for disclosure include hard copy and electronic, including WhatsApps? A. It did. Q. It did. But specifically in relation to the exchanges between Mr Ullger the ones I have just mentioned. In other words, one with specificity focused on that. THE CHAIRMAN: Well, if that is your excuse Mr Cruz, it is not impressive. MR CRUZ: I understand, Mr Chairman; I take the point. But nevertheless, Mr Chairman, if you will allow me to ask the question? THE CHAIRMAN: You can ask the question. MR CRUZ: I understand the point.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. So so, yeah, this was allegations that the whistleblowers three whistleblowers had made, who were involved in the RGP disclosure process, about concerns that they had around that discovery process and the way that Mr Wyan and I had managed it. And what was the outcome of those concerns? A. They were found to be unfounded by SIO McVea. Q. Unfounded. So, is that statement that the intervention is not down to any failings of the RGP in relation to that disclosure process was there any other letter or communication , to your knowledge, that suggested there were any failings as of June 2023? A. Not that I know, no. 	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ \end{array} $	reference for disclosure include hard copy and electronic, including WhatsApps? A. It did. Q. It did. But specifically in relation to the exchanges between Mr Ullger the ones I have just mentioned. In other words, one with specificity focused on that. THE CHAIRMAN: Well, if that is your excuse Mr Cruz, it is not impressive. MR CRUZ: I understand, Mr Chairman; I take the point. But nevertheless, Mr Chairman, if you will allow me to ask the question? THE CHAIRMAN: You can ask the question. MR CRUZ: I understand the point. THE CHAIRMAN: Well, you are trying to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. So so, yeah, this was allegations that the whistleblowers three whistleblowers had made, who were involved in the RGP disclosure process, about concerns that they had around that discovery process and the way that Mr Wyan and I had managed it. And what was the outcome of those concerns? A. They were found to be unfounded by SIO McVea. Q. Unfounded. So, is that statement that the intervention is not down to any failings of the RGP in relation to that disclosure process was there any other letter or communication , to your knowledge, that suggested there were any failings as of June 2023? A. Not that I know, no. Q. Not that you know of. Thank you. Insofar 	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ \end{array} $	reference for disclosure include hard copy and electronic, including WhatsApps? A. It did. Q. It did. But specifically in relation to the exchanges between Mr Ullger the ones I have just mentioned. In other words, one with specificity focused on that. THE CHAIRMAN: Well, if that is your excuse Mr Cruz, it is not impressive. MR CRUZ: I understand, Mr Chairman; I take the point. But nevertheless, Mr Chairman, if you will allow me to ask the question? THE CHAIRMAN: You can ask the question. MR CRUZ: I understand the point. THE CHAIRMAN: Well, you are trying to persuade me, not the witness.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. So so, yeah, this was allegations that the whistleblowers three whistleblowers had made, who were involved in the RGP disclosure process, about concerns that they had around that discovery process and the way that Mr Wyan and I had managed it. And what was the outcome of those concerns? A. They were found to be unfounded by SIO McVea. Q. Unfounded. So, is that statement that the intervention is not down to any failings of the RGP in relation to that disclosure process was there any other letter or communication , to your knowledge, that suggested there were any failings as of June 2023? A. Not that I know, no. Q. Not that you know of. Thank you. Insofar as the position generally we will get to June 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	reference for disclosure include hard copy and electronic, including WhatsApps? A. It did. Q. It did. But specifically in relation to the exchanges between Mr Ullger the ones I have just mentioned. In other words, one with specificity focused on that. THE CHAIRMAN: Well, if that is your excuse Mr Cruz, it is not impressive. MR CRUZ: I understand, Mr Chairman; I take the point. But nevertheless, Mr Chairman, if you will allow me to ask the question? THE CHAIRMAN: You can ask the question. MR CRUZ: I understand the point. THE CHAIRMAN: Well, you are trying to persuade me, not the witness. MR CRUZ: No, I understand, Mr Chairman,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A. So so, yeah, this was allegations that the whistleblowers three whistleblowers had made, who were involved in the RGP disclosure process, about concerns that they had around that discovery process and the way that Mr Wyan and I had managed it. And what was the outcome of those concerns? A. They were found to be unfounded by SIO McVea. Q. Unfounded. So, is that statement that the intervention is not down to any failings of the RGP in relation to that disclosure process was there any other letter or communication , to your knowledge, that suggested there were any failings as of June 2023? A. Not that I know, no. Q. Not that you know of. Thank you. Insofar as the position generally we will get to June 2024 in a moment would you say that you 	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ \end{array} $	reference for disclosure include hard copy and electronic, including WhatsApps? A. It did. Q. It did. But specifically in relation to the exchanges between Mr Ullger the ones I have just mentioned. In other words, one with specificity focused on that. THE CHAIRMAN: Well, if that is your excuse Mr Cruz, it is not impressive. MR CRUZ: I understand, Mr Chairman; I take the point. But nevertheless, Mr Chairman, if you will allow me to ask the question? THE CHAIRMAN: You can ask the question. MR CRUZ: I understand the point. THE CHAIRMAN: Well, you are trying to persuade me, not the witness. MR CRUZ: No, I understand, Mr Chairman, but I am asking when the focus on those
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ \end{array}$	 A. So so, yeah, this was allegations that the whistleblowers three whistleblowers had made, who were involved in the RGP disclosure process, about concerns that they had around that discovery process and the way that Mr Wyan and I had managed it. And what was the outcome of those concerns? A. They were found to be unfounded by SIO McVea. Q. Unfounded. So, is that statement that the intervention is not down to any failings of the RGP in relation to that disclosure process was there any other letter or communication , to your knowledge, that suggested there were any failings as of June 2023? A. Not that I know, no. Q. Not that you know of. Thank you. Insofar as the position generally we will get to June 2024 in a moment would you say that you gave you ("you" being the RGP) gave 	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\\end{array} $	reference for disclosure include hard copy and electronic, including WhatsApps? A. It did. Q. It did. But specifically in relation to the exchanges between Mr Ullger the ones I have just mentioned. In other words, one with specificity focused on that. THE CHAIRMAN: Well, if that is your excuse Mr Cruz, it is not impressive. MR CRUZ: I understand, Mr Chairman; I take the point. But nevertheless, Mr Chairman, if you will allow me to ask the question? THE CHAIRMAN: You can ask the question. MR CRUZ: I understand the point. THE CHAIRMAN: Well, you are trying to persuade me, not the witness. MR CRUZ: No, I understand, Mr Chairman, but I am asking when the focus on those WhatsApps was given. I think your answer
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. So so, yeah, this was allegations that the whistleblowers three whistleblowers had made, who were involved in the RGP disclosure process, about concerns that they had around that discovery process and the way that Mr Wyan and I had managed it. And what was the outcome of those concerns? A. They were found to be unfounded by SIO McVea. Q. Unfounded. So, is that statement that the intervention is not down to any failings of the RGP in relation to that disclosure process was there any other letter or communication , to your knowledge, that suggested there were any failings as of June 2023? A. Not that I know, no. Q. Not that you know of. Thank you. Insofar as the position generally we will get to June 2024 in a moment would you say that you gave you ("you" being the RGP) gave comprehensive disclosure? 	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\end{array} $	reference for disclosure include hard copy and electronic, including WhatsApps? A. It did. Q. It did. But specifically in relation to the exchanges between Mr Ullger the ones I have just mentioned. In other words, one with specificity focused on that. THE CHAIRMAN: Well, if that is your excuse Mr Cruz, it is not impressive. MR CRUZ: I understand, Mr Chairman; I take the point. But nevertheless, Mr Chairman, if you will allow me to ask the question? THE CHAIRMAN: You can ask the question. MR CRUZ: I understand the point. THE CHAIRMAN: Well, you are trying to persuade me, not the witness. MR CRUZ: No, I understand, Mr Chairman, but I am asking when the focus on those WhatsApps was given. I think your answer was June '22?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. So so, yeah, this was allegations that the whistleblowers three whistleblowers had made, who were involved in the RGP disclosure process, about concerns that they had around that discovery process and the way that Mr Wyan and I had managed it. And what was the outcome of those concerns? A. They were found to be unfounded by SIO McVea. Q. Unfounded. So, is that statement that the intervention is not down to any failings of the RGP in relation to that disclosure process was there any other letter or communication , to your knowledge, that suggested there were any failings as of June 2023? A. Not that I know, no. Q. Not that you know of. Thank you. Insofar as the position generally we will get to June 2024 in a moment would you say that you gave you ("you" being the RGP) gave comprehensive disclosure? A. I would. I have repeatedly said so 	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\end{array} $	reference for disclosure include hard copy and electronic, including WhatsApps? A. It did. Q. It did. But specifically in relation to the exchanges between Mr Ullger the ones I have just mentioned. In other words, one with specificity focused on that. THE CHAIRMAN: Well, if that is your excuse Mr Cruz, it is not impressive. MR CRUZ: I understand, Mr Chairman; I take the point. But nevertheless, Mr Chairman, if you will allow me to ask the question? THE CHAIRMAN: You can ask the question. MR CRUZ: I understand the point. THE CHAIRMAN: Well, you are trying to persuade me, not the witness. MR CRUZ: No, I understand, Mr Chairman, but I am asking when the focus on those WhatsApps was given. I think your answer was June '22? A. '24.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. So so, yeah, this was allegations that the whistleblowers three whistleblowers had made, who were involved in the RGP disclosure process, about concerns that they had around that discovery process and the way that Mr Wyan and I had managed it. And what was the outcome of those concerns? A. They were found to be unfounded by SIO McVea. Q. Unfounded. So, is that statement that the intervention is not down to any failings of the RGP in relation to that disclosure process was there any other letter or communication , to your knowledge, that suggested there were any failings as of June 2023? A. Not that I know, no. Q. Not that you know of. Thank you. Insofar as the position generally we will get to June 2024 in a moment would you say that you gave you ("you" being the RGP) gave comprehensive disclosure? A. I would. I have repeatedly said so throughout the morning. 	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\\23\end{array} $	reference for disclosure include hard copy and electronic, including WhatsApps? A. It did. Q. It did. But specifically in relation to the exchanges between Mr Ullger the ones I have just mentioned. In other words, one with specificity focused on that. THE CHAIRMAN: Well, if that is your excuse Mr Cruz, it is not impressive. MR CRUZ: I understand, Mr Chairman; I take the point. But nevertheless, Mr Chairman, if you will allow me to ask the question? THE CHAIRMAN: You can ask the question. MR CRUZ: I understand the point. THE CHAIRMAN: Well, you are trying to persuade me, not the witness. MR CRUZ: No, I understand, Mr Chairman, but I am asking when the focus on those WhatsApps was given. I think your answer was June '22? A. '24. Q. '24, sorry. And when were they provided?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A. So so, yeah, this was allegations that the whistleblowers three whistleblowers had made, who were involved in the RGP disclosure process, about concerns that they had around that discovery process and the way that Mr Wyan and I had managed it. And what was the outcome of those concerns? A. They were found to be unfounded by SIO McVea. Q. Unfounded. So, is that statement that the intervention is not down to any failings of the RGP in relation to that disclosure process was there any other letter or communication , to your knowledge, that suggested there were any failings as of June 2023? A. Not that I know, no. Q. Not that you know of. Thank you. Insofar as the position generally we will get to June 2024 in a moment would you say that you gave you ("you" being the RGP) gave comprehensive disclosure? A. I would. I have repeatedly said so throughout the morning. Q. Did you give everything that you had, 	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\\23\\24\end{array} $	reference for disclosure include hard copy and electronic, including WhatsApps? A. It did. Q. It did. But specifically in relation to the exchanges between Mr Ullger the ones I have just mentioned. In other words, one with specificity focused on that. THE CHAIRMAN: Well, if that is your excuse Mr Cruz, it is not impressive. MR CRUZ: I understand, Mr Chairman; I take the point. But nevertheless, Mr Chairman, if you will allow me to ask the question? THE CHAIRMAN: You can ask the question. MR CRUZ: I understand the point. THE CHAIRMAN: Well, you are trying to persuade me, not the witness. MR CRUZ: No, I understand, Mr Chairman, but I am asking when the focus on those WhatsApps was given. I think your answer was June '22? A. '24. Q. '24, sorry. And when were they provided? A. On 2 September 2024.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. So so, yeah, this was allegations that the whistleblowers three whistleblowers had made, who were involved in the RGP disclosure process, about concerns that they had around that discovery process and the way that Mr Wyan and I had managed it. And what was the outcome of those concerns? A. They were found to be unfounded by SIO McVea. Q. Unfounded. So, is that statement that the intervention is not down to any failings of the RGP in relation to that disclosure process was there any other letter or communication , to your knowledge, that suggested there were any failings as of June 2023? A. Not that I know, no. Q. Not that you know of. Thank you. Insofar as the position generally we will get to June 2024 in a moment would you say that you gave you ("you" being the RGP) gave comprehensive disclosure? A. I would. I have repeatedly said so throughout the morning. 	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\\23\end{array} $	reference for disclosure include hard copy and electronic, including WhatsApps? A. It did. Q. It did. But specifically in relation to the exchanges between Mr Ullger the ones I have just mentioned. In other words, one with specificity focused on that. THE CHAIRMAN: Well, if that is your excuse Mr Cruz, it is not impressive. MR CRUZ: I understand, Mr Chairman; I take the point. But nevertheless, Mr Chairman, if you will allow me to ask the question? THE CHAIRMAN: You can ask the question. MR CRUZ: I understand the point. THE CHAIRMAN: Well, you are trying to persuade me, not the witness. MR CRUZ: No, I understand, Mr Chairman, but I am asking when the focus on those WhatsApps was given. I think your answer was June '22? A. '24. Q. '24, sorry. And when were they provided?

31 (Pages 121 to 124)

1	sought? To out and the data names if I may	1	
1 2	sought? To extend the date range, if I may (inaudible).	2	 THE CHAIRMAN: And probably gives rise
3	A. On 9 December.	$\begin{vmatrix} 2\\ 3 \end{vmatrix}$	to all sorts of other data-protection issues. So,
4	Q. And when were they provided?	4	I just want to know what the best practice is.
5	A. 20 December 2024.	5	A. Okay – absolutely, sir, we can provide
6	Q. Okay. So, in those circumstances, is it	6	you with copies of the
7	your position that comprehensive disclosure	7	THE CHAIRMAN: Yes.
8	was nevertheless timely?	8	A of what is available online.
9	A. It is.	9	THE CHAIRMAN: Because presumably, now
10	Q. It is. Could you have given more	10	that you have realised that it was an error the
11	disclosure quicker, in your opinion?	11	delete all this stuff, the RGP are trying to set
12	A. I I don't think so.	12	up some local best practice?
13	Q. One question: to your knowledge, have	13	A. So so the context of of what I was
14	you ever criticised the government party's	14	trying to describe this morning was that that
15	disclosure?	15	the draft policy that's already been created. In
16	A. No.	16	fact there are two draft policies: one is in
17	Q. And finally, have you ever colluded or	17	relation to the use of personal devices and
18	conspired or engaged with Mr McGrail or Mr	18	trying to manage that and restrict that
19	Richardson in relation to disclosure, before or	19	THE CHAIRMAN: Yes.
20	during the Inquiry or since the last hearing?	20	A. Also the other one is on the
21	A. No, of couses not.	21	THE CHAIRMAN: That is a slightly different
22	MR CRUZ: Thank you. Thank you, sir.	22	point.
23	THE CHAIRMAN: Can I ask something.	23	A. Yes, of course. And the other one is the
24	Very sensibly, you or the RGP have made	24	corporate devices. In relation to those, the
25	inquiries with other forces as to best practice	25	direction of travel that we'd taken was to
	-		D 100
	Page 125		Page 127
1	of retaining and storing. There are 42, I think,	1	conduct regular backups and reg in fact not
2	different forces in the UK, do they all have	2	backups, that has a different meaning in the
3	different practices?	3	content of this, but rather full copies of the
4	A. Variations of, yes.	4	phone. Which is what we have done on with
5	THE CHAIRMAN: Could you just provide	5	Mr Field, what we did with with Mr Romero
6	these practices are in writing, presumably?	6	when he retired recently, and what is already
7	A. I'm sorry?	7	(inaudible) for Mr Ullger when he retires
8	THE CHAIRMAN: These practices are set	8	shortly. But that has consequences, because of
9	out in writing, presumably?	9	how that data is copied and how it is retained,
10	A. So, some of them are available online,	10	for further examination in the context of a
11	others are not.	11	data-protection (inaudible).
12	THE CHAIRMAN: Alright. Well, can you	12	THE CHAIRMAN: Yes.
13	just give me an example of best practice? I	13	A. So so I think what I'm trying to say is
14	mean, I do not mean now; I mean, can you go	14	that I'm in hindsight, although I'd already
15	back and send to the solicitors a copy of	15	taken a a view, I I am not sure that is the
16	somebody's best practice?	16	best approach, that it may be that it really is
17	A. Well, I mean, obviously (?)	17	to down to the professional judgement of
18	THE CHAIRMAN: Because I had previously	18	individual to consider whether a
19 20	thought (wrongly, as it turns out) that the best	19	communication THE CHAIDMAN. Ver, well that is why I
20	practice would be to save everything that was	20	THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, well that is why I
21	on these devices. But that does not sound as if	21	just want a copy of best practice.
22	that is right.	22	A. Sorry. Of course, sorry.
23 24	A. Well, I think because there are practical difficulties with then re-examining that date	23 24	THE CHAIRMAN: Yes.
24 25	difficulties with then re-examining that data and considering for future use, and I think that	24 25	MR SANTOS: Sir, if you have no further questions.
25	and considering for future use, and I think that	25	questions.
	Page 126	1	Page 128

32 (Pages 125 to 128)

1 THE CHAIRMAN: No, no, sure. No.	1 A. So, I mean, I think you are going to the
2 Questioned by MR SANTOS	2 my first witness statement and my second
3 Q. I have two points to follow up on. Can	3 witness statement on the evidence and my
4 please ask that the original disclosure letter	be 4 involvement on Kram, and the WhatsApps
5 placed on the screen by Mr Triay, the one t	
6 was sent to Mr Yeats. This is the original	6 the existence of that reply. So, the reason I did
7 disclosure letter that went to you, Mr Yeats	
8 14 July 2022. If I can ask Mr Triay to just	8 relevant is that they're simply alerting Mr
9 scroll down slowly and continue to the next	9 McGrail
10 page. There is some background set out, ar	
11 then if we can continue, at the bottom of the	
12 page there is a section that is headed "Requ	-
13 for Information and Evidence". And we say	
14 "We therefore request you to cooperate with	
15 the Inquiry by preparing and producing", at	
16 first of all we say, "a statement under oath	16 understand that disclosures request to include,
17 addressing the subject matter of the Inquiry	-
18 and then we specify specific questions,	18 related to operation Kram?
19 subparagraphs, under 1, just on the next page	
20 If we can, first of all, "appointment", "tenur	
20 or Mr McGrail", there is a reference to	21 A I mean, could I explain why that
22 "Delhi", of course we know you were not	22 particular message I did not, and do not,
22 involved in Delhi. And then (d), sub	23 consider relevant I
24 paragraph (d) refers to Operation Kram, the	23 Consider relevant - 1 24 Q. I am happy to give you that opportunity,
25 incident at sea. What was your involvement	
2.5 incluent at sea. what was your involvemen	, 25 out 1 and not going to dispute that with you.
Page 129	Page 131
1 and then over the page, did you attend any	1 But I am happy to give you the opportunity.
2 meetings, "were you privy to any	2 A. I mean, it's simply because I am alerting
3 correspondence with any of the persons list	
4 in paragraph 1(c)(ii) above". Can we just g	e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e
5 back to 1(c)(ii). That is a number of	5 Q. The email?
6 individuals there. If we can continue now,	6 A was there. The email was there, sorry.
7 sorry, where we were, "findings of the	7 So, I didn't think that saying to someone in a
8 reports", "developments" and then in (e) we	8 WhatsApp message, read your email, when the
9 list another few matters. And finally (f),	9 email I have presented is relevant.
10 "Were you involved in any meeting or	10 Q. Just to clarify, I am not going to get into an
11 briefings with McGrail in the month or so	11 argument with you over that. I am not
12 leading up to his resignation", and your	12 suggesting that that email is extremely
13 recollection of the meeting with the comma	
14 team on 22 March. And then (ii) is the requ	-
15 for documents. And we say, "any document	
16 including but not limited to electronic	16 that disclosure request required a search of
17 documents such as emails, Word document	
18 PDFs and SMS, WhatsApp or other instant	18 relevant?
19 messages in your possession of control	19 A. Yes.
20 relevant to the subject matter of the Inquiry	20 Q. And did you conduct a search of your
21 and the matters referred to in paragraph (i)	21 WhatsApps in case there was anything
22 above." So, did you interpret this request for	
disclosure to include any WhatsApps relating	
24 to Operation Kram as described in paragrap	
25 (i) above? To the extent that they existed.	25 you had no WhatsApps going back beyond a
	jou and no man appo going outer objoind a
Page 130	Page 132

33 (Pages 129 to 132)

1	certain date?	1	Q. So, do you or does the RGP still have that
2	A. Yes, that is my recollection, yes.	2	document?
3	Q. And why did you not inform the Inquiry at	3	A. I'm not sure it was ever in my possession.
4	that stage of the fact that you did not have any	4	Q. Okay. Thank you, Mr Yeats, no further
5	WhatsApps going back beyond a certain date?	5	questions.
6	A. I am not sure it ever became an issue in	6	THE CHAIRMAN: Okay, thank you very
7	terms of the requirements. I mean, I think	7	much indeed, that is fine. And so, obviously a
8	· · · · · ·	8	•
	coming from my point of view, and I I don't		sensible time to break, we can deal with Mr
9	mean to be disrespectful disrespectful to	9	Ullger this afternoon.
10	anybody, the the issue of the WhatsApp	10	MR SANTOS: Thank you, sir.
11 12	seems to have evolved into an issue much later	11 12	THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Two o'clock.
12	into the Inquiry, although I accept that you	12	(13.01) (The hundhean adjournment)
13	were requesting them at the time, but the relative importance to the WhatsApps has	13	(The luncheon adjournment) (14.01)
14		14	
15	grown exponentially, in my view, later on in	16	(After the luncheon adjournment) THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, you have been sworn
	the day. I mean, I can't explain it beyond that. I mean, it just wasn't in our minds to say I	17	
17		17	once so you are still under oath.
18	mean, in the same way that we didn't say we		MR SANTOS: Thank you, sir. For the benefit
19 20	didn't have any other particular documents, it	19 20	of everybody watching, our next witness is Commissioner Richard Ullger.
	wouldn't have I mean, the same could have		COMMISSIONER RICHARD ULLGER
21	applied to SMSs, for example: we've not said	21	
22	we didn't have any SMSs, I'm not sure	22	Questioned by MR SANTOS
23	anybody else has. I don't mean to belittle it,	23	MR SANTOS: Mr Ullger, Commissioner
24	but I think that's the most natural explanation	24	Ullger, can I please take you first of all
25	that I can give to that.	25	through the statements that you have provided
	Page 133		Page 135
1	Q. Just moving to a different topic, you say	1	to the Inquiry since you last gave evidence so
2	that Mr McGrail showed you his draft	2	that you can confirm the truth of their contents
3	response to the GPA on 29 May.	3	and that they are your statements. The first
4	A. I saw the letter. When	4	statement appearing is your fifth witness
5	Q. Well, sorry, the draft of the response that	5	statement. I will just ask Mr Triay to take you
6	he would send.	6	to the final page. Is that your signature on the
7	THE CHAIRMAN: The date he was asked	7	final page?
8	A. Correct.	8	A. Yes, it is.
9	THE CHAIRMAN: The date he was asked	9	Q. Thank you. Then your sixth witness
10	was about 28 May.	10	statement. Is that your sixth witness
11	MR SANTOS: Yes.	11	statement?
12	THE CHAIRMAN: But presumably it was a	12	A. It is.
13	draft of the letter of 29 May.	13	Q. Is that your
14	Q. It does not really matter the date on which	14	A. And it is signed by me, yes.
15	he was shown	15	Q. Thank you. There is a seventh. I believe -
16	THE CHAIRMAN: Yes.	16	it is the sixth, sorry, no.
17	Q my question is geared towards whether	17	A. Sixth.
18	that was a hard copy or whether it was in	18	Q. Apologies. Can I ask you to confirm that
19	electronic form.	19	the contents of those two statements are true to
20	A. I'm pretty vertain was a hard copy, but I	20	the best of your knowledge, information and
21	Q. So, was that copy handed to you or did Mr	21	belief.
22	McGrail retain that copy?	22	A. They are.
23	A. I'm not sure, why would he have handed it	23	Q. Thank you. Can I ask you - we have heard
24	to me. No, I can't recall him giving me a copy	24	from Mr Yeats this morning but can I ask you
25	of that letter, no.	25	what are the methods that RGP officers at the
	Page 134		Page 136

Epiq Europe Ltd +44 (0) 207 404 1400

www.epiqglobal.com Lower Ground Floor, 46 Chancery Lane casemanagers@epiqglobal.com London, WC2A 1JE

34 (Pages 133 to 136)

1	senior end use to communicate with each other	1	recordings or dissemination of personal data
2	about investigations?	2	via Whatsapp or other media platforms. This
3	A. So Mr Yeats alluded to the fact that senior	3	is not an exhaustive list. The use of the latter
4	officers rarely are involved in investigations	4	media platforms will be restricted to
5	but our communications between ourselves in	5	administrative purposes only, such as
6	respect of other business matters is more so by	6	requesting officers to come into work, offering
7	face to face, briefings, emails, and very rarely	7	overtime, informing of change of hours, etc."
8	Whatsapps. I must reiterate again what Mr	8	It appears from what I have read out that the
9	Yeats said earlier on that Whatsapps feature	9	primary reason for the transition to work
10	very little in any of our day to day business.	10	phones was data protection concerns. Is that
11	Q. You say that senior officers do not feature	11	your understanding?
12	very often in investigations or participate in	12	A. That is correct. We had suffered a data
13	investigations.	13	breach and as a result of that data breach we
14	A. Correct.	14	felt that it was important that we protected the
15	Q. Operation Delhi is perhaps an exception to	15	organisation, and we did so by implementing
16	that.	16	this Force order. However, we quickly learned
17	A. There have been a number of exceptional	17	that there would be gaps because - and again
18	investigations, and Delhi was one of them that	18	Mr Yeats alluded to it in his evidence earlier
19	required a senior investigating officer and that	19	on - that we now find ourselves in the position
20	was led by Mr Richardson.	20	where we are having to create two policies,
20	Q. In your experience in Delhi and in other	20	one for the use of police mobile phones and
21	investigations, how much of the	21	the use of personal mobile phones. We say
22	communication takes place in person and how	23	that simply because there have been many
23 24	much takes place via Whatsapp?	23	occasions where police officers have been
25	A. I can't honestly give you a number but	25	attending critical incidents and the only way
25	A. I can't nonestry give you a number but	25	attenuing critical incluents and the only way
	Page 137		Page 139
1	suffice to say that anything done officially	1	that they have been able to capture evidence is
1 2	suffice to say that anything done officially would be done through briefings, by reports	1 2	that they have been able to capture evidence is by the use of their own mobile phone. The
2	would be done through briefings, by reports	2	by the use of their own mobile phone. The
2 3	would be done through briefings, by reports and by emails where everything is recorded.	2 3	by the use of their own mobile phone. The risk of losing that evidence is too great for that
2 3 4	would be done through briefings, by reports and by emails where everything is recorded.Q. Can I take you to E920, please. This is the	2 3 4	by the use of their own mobile phone. The risk of losing that evidence is too great for that not to be allowed to happen. So as an
2 3 4 5	would be done through briefings, by reports and by emails where everything is recorded. Q. Can I take you to E920, please. This is the set of RGP Force orders that we were looking at this morning dated 18 July 2019. Over the page, I took Mr Yeats through a number of	2 3 4 5	by the use of their own mobile phone. The risk of losing that evidence is too great for that not to be allowed to happen. So as an organisation we are continuing to review the position that we are in. Q. Can I just take you to two pages on, 924,
2 3 4 5 6	would be done through briefings, by reports and by emails where everything is recorded. Q. Can I take you to E920, please. This is the set of RGP Force orders that we were looking at this morning dated 18 July 2019. Over the	2 3 4 5 6	by the use of their own mobile phone. The risk of losing that evidence is too great for that not to be allowed to happen. So as an organisation we are continuing to review the position that we are in.
2 3 4 5 6 7	would be done through briefings, by reports and by emails where everything is recorded. Q. Can I take you to E920, please. This is the set of RGP Force orders that we were looking at this morning dated 18 July 2019. Over the page, I took Mr Yeats through a number of	2 3 4 5 6 7	by the use of their own mobile phone. The risk of losing that evidence is too great for that not to be allowed to happen. So as an organisation we are continuing to review the position that we are in. Q. Can I just take you to two pages on, 924,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	would be done through briefings, by reports and by emails where everything is recorded. Q. Can I take you to E920, please. This is the set of RGP Force orders that we were looking at this morning dated 18 July 2019. Over the page, I took Mr Yeats through a number of passages in that policy. Just focusing on the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	by the use of their own mobile phone. The risk of losing that evidence is too great for that not to be allowed to happen. So as an organisation we are continuing to review the position that we are in. Q. Can I just take you to two pages on, 924, just to show you. You signed this as Acting
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	would be done through briefings, by reports and by emails where everything is recorded. Q. Can I take you to E920, please. This is the set of RGP Force orders that we were looking at this morning dated 18 July 2019. Over the page, I took Mr Yeats through a number of passages in that policy. Just focusing on the last two passages to remind ourselves, first of all it is at the bottom of 921: "Unfortunately we are once again having to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	by the use of their own mobile phone. The risk of losing that evidence is too great for that not to be allowed to happen. So as an organisation we are continuing to review the position that we are in. Q. Can I just take you to two pages on, 924, just to show you. You signed this as Acting Commissioner of Police. Perhaps an obvious
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	would be done through briefings, by reports and by emails where everything is recorded. Q. Can I take you to E920, please. This is the set of RGP Force orders that we were looking at this morning dated 18 July 2019. Over the page, I took Mr Yeats through a number of passages in that policy. Just focusing on the last two passages to remind ourselves, first of all it is at the bottom of 921:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 by the use of their own mobile phone. The risk of losing that evidence is too great for that not to be allowed to happen. So as an organisation we are continuing to review the position that we are in. Q. Can I just take you to two pages on, 924, just to show you. You signed this as Acting Commissioner of Police. Perhaps an obvious question, but am I to take it from that that you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	would be done through briefings, by reports and by emails where everything is recorded. Q. Can I take you to E920, please. This is the set of RGP Force orders that we were looking at this morning dated 18 July 2019. Over the page, I took Mr Yeats through a number of passages in that policy. Just focusing on the last two passages to remind ourselves, first of all it is at the bottom of 921: "Unfortunately we are once again having to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 by the use of their own mobile phone. The risk of losing that evidence is too great for that not to be allowed to happen. So as an organisation we are continuing to review the position that we are in. Q. Can I just take you to two pages on, 924, just to show you. You signed this as Acting Commissioner of Police. Perhaps an obvious question, but am I to take it from that that you agreed with and endorsed this policy?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	would be done through briefings, by reports and by emails where everything is recorded. Q. Can I take you to E920, please. This is the set of RGP Force orders that we were looking at this morning dated 18 July 2019. Over the page, I took Mr Yeats through a number of passages in that policy. Just focusing on the last two passages to remind ourselves, first of all it is at the bottom of 921: "Unfortunately we are once again having to provide explanations to the Data Protection	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 by the use of their own mobile phone. The risk of losing that evidence is too great for that not to be allowed to happen. So as an organisation we are continuing to review the position that we are in. Q. Can I just take you to two pages on, 924, just to show you. You signed this as Acting Commissioner of Police. Perhaps an obvious question, but am I to take it from that that you agreed with and endorsed this policy? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	would be done through briefings, by reports and by emails where everything is recorded. Q. Can I take you to E920, please. This is the set of RGP Force orders that we were looking at this morning dated 18 July 2019. Over the page, I took Mr Yeats through a number of passages in that policy. Just focusing on the last two passages to remind ourselves, first of all it is at the bottom of 921: "Unfortunately we are once again having to provide explanations to the Data Protection Commission following what appears to be a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 by the use of their own mobile phone. The risk of losing that evidence is too great for that not to be allowed to happen. So as an organisation we are continuing to review the position that we are in. Q. Can I just take you to two pages on, 924, just to show you. You signed this as Acting Commissioner of Police. Perhaps an obvious question, but am I to take it from that that you agreed with and endorsed this policy? A. Yes. Q. After that Force order did you cease using
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	would be done through briefings, by reports and by emails where everything is recorded. Q. Can I take you to E920, please. This is the set of RGP Force orders that we were looking at this morning dated 18 July 2019. Over the page, I took Mr Yeats through a number of passages in that policy. Just focusing on the last two passages to remind ourselves, first of all it is at the bottom of 921: "Unfortunately we are once again having to provide explanations to the Data Protection Commission following what appears to be a breach of the Data Protection Act by an officer.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 by the use of their own mobile phone. The risk of losing that evidence is too great for that not to be allowed to happen. So as an organisation we are continuing to review the position that we are in. Q. Can I just take you to two pages on, 924, just to show you. You signed this as Acting Commissioner of Police. Perhaps an obvious question, but am I to take it from that that you agreed with and endorsed this policy? A. Yes. Q. After that Force order did you cease using your personal phone for all work based
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	would be done through briefings, by reports and by emails where everything is recorded. Q. Can I take you to E920, please. This is the set of RGP Force orders that we were looking at this morning dated 18 July 2019. Over the page, I took Mr Yeats through a number of passages in that policy. Just focusing on the last two passages to remind ourselves, first of all it is at the bottom of 921: "Unfortunately we are once again having to provide explanations to the Data Protection Commission following what appears to be a breach of the Data Protection Act by an officer. In this case the issue lies with the use of a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 by the use of their own mobile phone. The risk of losing that evidence is too great for that not to be allowed to happen. So as an organisation we are continuing to review the position that we are in. Q. Can I just take you to two pages on, 924, just to show you. You signed this as Acting Commissioner of Police. Perhaps an obvious question, but am I to take it from that that you agreed with and endorsed this policy? A. Yes. Q. After that Force order did you cease using your personal phone for all work based communication?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	would be done through briefings, by reports and by emails where everything is recorded. Q. Can I take you to E920, please. This is the set of RGP Force orders that we were looking at this morning dated 18 July 2019. Over the page, I took Mr Yeats through a number of passages in that policy. Just focusing on the last two passages to remind ourselves, first of all it is at the bottom of 921: "Unfortunately we are once again having to provide explanations to the Data Protection Commission following what appears to be a breach of the Data Protection Act by an officer. In this case the issue lies with the use of a personal device for work purposes."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 by the use of their own mobile phone. The risk of losing that evidence is too great for that not to be allowed to happen. So as an organisation we are continuing to review the position that we are in. Q. Can I just take you to two pages on, 924, just to show you. You signed this as Acting Commissioner of Police. Perhaps an obvious question, but am I to take it from that that you agreed with and endorsed this policy? A. Yes. Q. After that Force order did you cease using your personal phone for all work based communication? A. No, I didn't.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 would be done through briefings, by reports and by emails where everything is recorded. Q. Can I take you to E920, please. This is the set of RGP Force orders that we were looking at this morning dated 18 July 2019. Over the page, I took Mr Yeats through a number of passages in that policy. Just focusing on the last two passages to remind ourselves, first of all it is at the bottom of 921: "Unfortunately we are once again having to provide explanations to the Data Protection Commission following what appears to be a breach of the Data Protection Act by an officer. In this case the issue lies with the use of a personal device for work purposes." Then over the page, the final paragraph in bold, that says: "As a result of a change in Force policy and in 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 by the use of their own mobile phone. The risk of losing that evidence is too great for that not to be allowed to happen. So as an organisation we are continuing to review the position that we are in. Q. Can I just take you to two pages on, 924, just to show you. You signed this as Acting Commissioner of Police. Perhaps an obvious question, but am I to take it from that that you agreed with and endorsed this policy? A. Yes. Q. After that Force order did you cease using your personal phone for all work based communication? A. No, I didn't. Q. Why not?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	would be done through briefings, by reports and by emails where everything is recorded. Q. Can I take you to E920, please. This is the set of RGP Force orders that we were looking at this morning dated 18 July 2019. Over the page, I took Mr Yeats through a number of passages in that policy. Just focusing on the last two passages to remind ourselves, first of all it is at the bottom of 921: "Unfortunately we are once again having to provide explanations to the Data Protection Commission following what appears to be a breach of the Data Protection Act by an officer. In this case the issue lies with the use of a personal device for work purposes." Then over the page, the final paragraph in bold, that says:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 by the use of their own mobile phone. The risk of losing that evidence is too great for that not to be allowed to happen. So as an organisation we are continuing to review the position that we are in. Q. Can I just take you to two pages on, 924, just to show you. You signed this as Acting Commissioner of Police. Perhaps an obvious question, but am I to take it from that that you agreed with and endorsed this policy? A. Yes. Q. After that Force order did you cease using your personal phone for all work based communication? A. No, I didn't. Q. Why not? A. Because we were still going through the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 would be done through briefings, by reports and by emails where everything is recorded. Q. Can I take you to E920, please. This is the set of RGP Force orders that we were looking at this morning dated 18 July 2019. Over the page, I took Mr Yeats through a number of passages in that policy. Just focusing on the last two passages to remind ourselves, first of all it is at the bottom of 921: "Unfortunately we are once again having to provide explanations to the Data Protection Commission following what appears to be a breach of the Data Protection Act by an officer. In this case the issue lies with the use of a personal device for work purposes." Then over the page, the final paragraph in bold, that says: "As a result of a change in Force policy and in 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 by the use of their own mobile phone. The risk of losing that evidence is too great for that not to be allowed to happen. So as an organisation we are continuing to review the position that we are in. Q. Can I just take you to two pages on, 924, just to show you. You signed this as Acting Commissioner of Police. Perhaps an obvious question, but am I to take it from that that you agreed with and endorsed this policy? A. Yes. Q. After that Force order did you cease using your personal phone for all work based communication? A. No, I didn't. Q. Why not? A. Because we were still going through the transition period. It was very difficult - so all
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 would be done through briefings, by reports and by emails where everything is recorded. Q. Can I take you to E920, please. This is the set of RGP Force orders that we were looking at this morning dated 18 July 2019. Over the page, I took Mr Yeats through a number of passages in that policy. Just focusing on the last two passages to remind ourselves, first of all it is at the bottom of 921: "Unfortunately we are once again having to provide explanations to the Data Protection Commission following what appears to be a breach of the Data Protection Act by an officer. In this case the issue lies with the use of a personal device for work purposes." Then over the page, the final paragraph in bold, that says: "As a result of a change in Force policy and in order to safeguard the organisation and 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 by the use of their own mobile phone. The risk of losing that evidence is too great for that not to be allowed to happen. So as an organisation we are continuing to review the position that we are in. Q. Can I just take you to two pages on, 924, just to show you. You signed this as Acting Commissioner of Police. Perhaps an obvious question, but am I to take it from that that you agreed with and endorsed this policy? A. Yes. Q. After that Force order did you cease using your personal phone for all work based communication? A. No, I didn't. Q. Why not? A. Because we were still going through the transition period. It was very difficult - so all of my contacts, for example, in the different
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 would be done through briefings, by reports and by emails where everything is recorded. Q. Can I take you to E920, please. This is the set of RGP Force orders that we were looking at this morning dated 18 July 2019. Over the page, I took Mr Yeats through a number of passages in that policy. Just focusing on the last two passages to remind ourselves, first of all it is at the bottom of 921: "Unfortunately we are once again having to provide explanations to the Data Protection Commission following what appears to be a breach of the Data Protection Act by an officer. In this case the issue lies with the use of a personal device for work purposes." "As a result of a change in Force policy and in order to safeguard the organisation and officers alike on data protection breaches, the use of mobile phones or other personal electronic devices for work purposes will 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 by the use of their own mobile phone. The risk of losing that evidence is too great for that not to be allowed to happen. So as an organisation we are continuing to review the position that we are in. Q. Can I just take you to two pages on, 924, just to show you. You signed this as Acting Commissioner of Police. Perhaps an obvious question, but am I to take it from that that you agreed with and endorsed this policy? A. Yes. Q. After that Force order did you cease using your personal phone for all work based communication? A. No, I didn't. Q. Why not? A. Because we were still going through the transition period. It was very difficult - so all of my contacts, for example, in the different business areas would have been using my
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 would be done through briefings, by reports and by emails where everything is recorded. Q. Can I take you to E920, please. This is the set of RGP Force orders that we were looking at this morning dated 18 July 2019. Over the page, I took Mr Yeats through a number of passages in that policy. Just focusing on the last two passages to remind ourselves, first of all it is at the bottom of 921: "Unfortunately we are once again having to provide explanations to the Data Protection Commission following what appears to be a breach of the Data Protection Act by an officer. In this case the issue lies with the use of a personal device for work purposes." Then over the page, the final paragraph in bold, that says: "As a result of a change in Force policy and in order to safeguard the organisation and officers alike on data protection breaches, the use of mobile phones or other personal 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 by the use of their own mobile phone. The risk of losing that evidence is too great for that not to be allowed to happen. So as an organisation we are continuing to review the position that we are in. Q. Can I just take you to two pages on, 924, just to show you. You signed this as Acting Commissioner of Police. Perhaps an obvious question, but am I to take it from that that you agreed with and endorsed this policy? A. Yes. Q. After that Force order did you cease using your personal phone for all work based communication? A. No, I didn't. Q. Why not? A. Because we were still going through the transition period. It was very difficult - so all of my contacts, for example, in the different business areas would have been using my personal phone. I did reach out to people and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 would be done through briefings, by reports and by emails where everything is recorded. Q. Can I take you to E920, please. This is the set of RGP Force orders that we were looking at this morning dated 18 July 2019. Over the page, I took Mr Yeats through a number of passages in that policy. Just focusing on the last two passages to remind ourselves, first of all it is at the bottom of 921: "Unfortunately we are once again having to provide explanations to the Data Protection Commission following what appears to be a breach of the Data Protection Act by an officer. In this case the issue lies with the use of a personal device for work purposes." "As a result of a change in Force policy and in order to safeguard the organisation and officers alike on data protection breaches, the use of mobile phones or other personal electronic devices for work purposes will 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 by the use of their own mobile phone. The risk of losing that evidence is too great for that not to be allowed to happen. So as an organisation we are continuing to review the position that we are in. Q. Can I just take you to two pages on, 924, just to show you. You signed this as Acting Commissioner of Police. Perhaps an obvious question, but am I to take it from that that you agreed with and endorsed this policy? A. Yes. Q. After that Force order did you cease using your personal phone for all work based communication? A. No, I didn't. Q. Why not? A. Because we were still going through the transition period. It was very difficult - so all of my contacts, for example, in the different business areas would have been using my personal phone. I did reach out to people and ask them to start using my work phone, but
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 would be done through briefings, by reports and by emails where everything is recorded. Q. Can I take you to E920, please. This is the set of RGP Force orders that we were looking at this morning dated 18 July 2019. Over the page, I took Mr Yeats through a number of passages in that policy. Just focusing on the last two passages to remind ourselves, first of all it is at the bottom of 921: "Unfortunately we are once again having to provide explanations to the Data Protection Commission following what appears to be a breach of the Data Protection Act by an officer. In this case the issue lies with the use of a personal device for work purposes." Then over the page, the final paragraph in bold, that says: "As a result of a change in Force policy and in order to safeguard the organisation and officers alike on data protection breaches, the use of mobile phones or other personal electronic devices for work purposes will cease forthwith. This includes using said 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 by the use of their own mobile phone. The risk of losing that evidence is too great for that not to be allowed to happen. So as an organisation we are continuing to review the position that we are in. Q. Can I just take you to two pages on, 924, just to show you. You signed this as Acting Commissioner of Police. Perhaps an obvious question, but am I to take it from that that you agreed with and endorsed this policy? A. Yes. Q. After that Force order did you cease using your personal phone for all work based communication? A. No, I didn't. Q. Why not? A. Because we were still going through the transition period. It was very difficult - so all of my contacts, for example, in the different business areas would have been using my personal phone. I did reach out to people and ask them to start using my work phone, but that just didn't happen. People are creatures of

35 (Pages 137 to 140)

1	and we found it - or I certainly found it	1	your involvement in and knowledge of
2	difficult to be able to communicate with	2	Operation Delhi?"
3	people through a work phone and then the	3	Then jumping over to the bottom of page 4:
4	personal phone. Then what we found as a	4	"(e) In respect of Operation Kram, the incident
5	command team as well is that we were just	5	at sea on 8 March 2020, what was your
6	constantly having different messages coming	6	involvement in and knowledge of Operation
7	in from different people on to different	7	Kram?"
8	devices, and it just became complicated and	8	Then over the page:
9	difficult to try and operate.	9	"(f) To what extent were you involved in the
10	Q. Was your experience that Mr McGrail	10	following matters, and if you were involved
11	generally - generally - preferred to use his	11	what were your dealings with Mr McGrail
12	personal number or his work number?	12	and/or other individuals?"
13	A. I used to generally communicate with him	13	One of the sub-paragraphs, the second:
14	on our personal phones.	14	"The findings of the 2020 report by Her
15	Q. Did you object to his use of his personal	15	Majesty's Inspectorate of Constabulary and
16	phone?	16	Fire and Rescue Services."
17	A. I didn't object but what we tried to do is	17	So that is all part of the evidence that we
18	we tried to come away from personal phones	18	sought from you. Then number (2) at the
19	but found it difficult to do so.	19	bottom of page 5 is the documents request, and
20	Q. Can I take you, please, to a letter dated 14	20	it reads as follows:
21	July 2022, which is the Inquiry's disclosure	21	"Any documents including but not limited to
22	request, original disclosure request, to you. I	22	electronic documents such as emails, Word
23	have already conducted this exercise with Mr	23	documents, PDFs and SMS, Whatsapp or other
24	Yeats but I think I should conduct it with you	24	instant messages in your possession or control
25	in fairness as well. Just going to the second	25	relevant to the subject matter of the Inquiry
	Page 141		Page 143
1	many first of all and the bettern of that second	1	and the matters referred to in new group (1)
1	page first of all and the bottom of that second page, that is a section that sets out the request	1 2	and the matters referred to in paragraph (1) above. All electronic documents are to be
2 3	for information and evidence and it says:	3	provided in their native format with the
4	"We therefore request you to cooperate with	4	original metadata preserved. In addition, in
5	the Inquiry by preparing and producing: (1) a	5	your capacity as Commissioner of Police, we
6	statement under oath addressing the subject	6	request that you produce the following
7	matter of the Inquiry, namely your knowledge	7	documentation which we believe to be in your
8	and any information, records or documents of	8	possession or control, or alternatively if any of
9	which you in your position as Commissioner	9	the below documentation is not in your
10	have custody or control as to the reasons and	10	possession or control we ask that you indicate
11	circumstances leading to Mr In McGrail	11	to the best of your knowledge from whom we
12	ceasing to be Commissioner of Police in June	12	may be able to obtain it."
13	2020 by taking early retirement, including	13	One of the items in (d) is the Operation Delhi
14	addressing the following specific questions."	14	case file, including unused material, the whole
15	Just focusing on a few sub-paragraphs, first of	15	file. Then:
16	all:	16	"(e) The Operation Kram case file."
17	"(c) During Mr McGrail's tenure as	17	Then:
18	Commissioner of Police were you aware or put	18	"(f) All of Mr McGrail's relevant electronic
19	on notice of or do you possess or control any	19	and hard copy communications and data
20	information, records or documents relating to	20	during his time at the Royal Gibraltar Police,
21	any allegations or complaints made by	21	including but not limited to emails in his
22	members of the Gibraltar Police Federation in	22	former email account, and sent to and from the
23	respect of bullying and/or intimidation by Mr	23	people listed below."
24	McGrail?	24	So my question, having taken you through
25	"(d) In respect of Operation Delhi, what was	25	that, is: did you understand that letter? Did
	Page 142		Page 144

36 (Pages 141 to 144)

 you understand from that letter that relevant Whatsapp messages needed to be disclosed? A. Yes, I did. 	 was important for me was to ensure that the Royal Gibraltar Police put resources into a displaying any size to support the public
3 A. Yes, I did.	2 disalaguna ayanaisa ta gunmant tha muhlia
	3 disclosure exercise to support the public
4 Q. Did you search for Whatsapp messages	4 inquiry, and we made the best effort to do that
5 relating to those matters?	5 by redeploying four police officers into a
6 A. I did, yes. Personally for myself, yes, for	6 disclosure unit just for the purpose of the
7 when I was preparing my personal statement,	7 public inquiry. So I was not only thinking
8 yes.	8 about my own personal data but the data that
9 Q. At that point did you discover - can I	9 we held which went into over a million
	0 documents, as you are well aware.
	1 Q. Can we now focus on your personal phone.
	2 If we go to 302 we can see an email there
	3 dated 28 June 2024 from you to Mr Cruz. You
	4 say:
	5 "Dear Nick, as you are aware, in anticipation
	of STI's request for my Whatsappcommunications with Ian McGrail, I
1 8 5	,
1 1 7	8 proceeded to check my phone and found that9 my Whatsapp messages with Mr McGrail only
	go as far back as 3 July 2021. Furthermore,
	this was only linked to his present telephone
-	number but there was nothing linked to his
	previous number when he was the
	Commissioner. Naturally I was concerned that
	there was no continuity of messages with Mr
	there was no continuity of messages with Mi
Page 145	Page 147
1 A. That is correct.	1 McGrail dating back to May/June 2020 but it
2 Q. Did you make any efforts at that stage to	2 would appear that I have also lost messages
3 retrieve those messages?	3 with different people I communicate with quite
4 A. So I checked my mobile phone and I saw	4 regularly, for example"
5 that I had no Whatsapp messages, and that was	5 and you list a few examples, going back to
6 the conclusion of my search.	6 differing dates.
7 Q. Why did you not inform the Inquiry at that	7 A. Mm-hm.
8 stage that you did not seem to have messages	8 Q. You say:
9 from the time?	9 "I did purchase a new phone in June 2020 and
10 A. It didn't occur to me.	0 it would appear that with updates I have been
11 Q. Am I also correct that by November 2022 1	1 losing messages but this is not confirmed."
12 you also realised that you did not have any 1	2 Do you confirm that in your evidence, that you
13 messages on your personal phone from the 1	3 did purchase a new phone in June 2020?
14 relevant time?	4 A. Yes, I did.
15 A. That is correct.	5 Q. Do you believe that this is the reason why
16 Q. Did you make any efforts to retrieve those 1	6 messages were lost?
17 beyond searching the phone itself?	7 A. I am no Whatsapp geek. I can only assume
18 A. No. 1	8 that it was because I purchased a new phone
19Q. In terms of why you did not inform the1	9 and I lost some of my data. I just have no idea
20 Inquiry, is the answer the same?	0 whatsoever.
	Q. What about messages between June - so,
0	for example, in respect of Mr McGrail, what
• 0 0	about messages between June 2020 and July
•	2021? What do you believe has occurred
25 I know this is personal to me but equally what 2	there?
Page 146	Page 148

37 (Pages 145 to 148)

1	A. Again, equally the same.	1	Mr McVea to see whether that existed or not.
2	Q. But if you purchased a new phone in June	2	Q. Roughly at what time, when in relation to
3	2020, why do your messages only go back to	3	that email did you reach out to Mr McVea?
4	July 2021?	4	A. Probably on the day that I received the
5	A. Well, that's the same if I go back to the	5	email from Mr Cruz requesting the
6	people that I've listed. I mean, there are	6	information. It was very soon after.
7	variances between different people that I	7	Q. Why did you not inform the Inquiry in
8	communicate with on a daily basis, so I just	8	June or July 2024 that you had lost messages
9	have no idea why I've lost messages with	9	on your own phone but were pursuing this
10	different people in my family, including Mr	10	alternative line of inquiry?
11	McGrail.	11	A. I didn't feel the need to do so. I thought
12	Q. But it is fair to say that messages	12	that I had to follow a process and through
13	postdating 2020 cannot be attributed to a	13	counsel we reached out to Mr McGrail's
14	purchase of a phone in July 2020.	14	counsel to be able to go down the process of
15	A. Correct, yes.	15	seeking the image of his phone. I am sure
16	Q. Sorry, June 2020.	16	whether - our counsel had reached out to
17	A. June 2020.	17	yourselves to inform you, but again it didn't
18	Q. It is also fair to point out that in respect, for	18	occur to me to reach out to yourselves.
19	example, of your sister's messages, they do go	19	Q. I think if you go up one page, there is the
20	back to 2019.	20	message from Mr Cruz to Mr Gomez of
21	A. Correct.	21	Gomez & Co, of course Mr McGrail's lawyer,
22	Q. As you fairly point out in	22	saying:
23	A. Correct. So it just did not make sense that	23	"Please read the email exchanges below with
24	some messages went back to 2019 but, for	24	STI and COP Ullger. Can you please reach
25	example, my son, who I communicate on a	25	out to Mr McGrail and confirm he has no issue
	D 140		D 151
	Page 149		Page 151
1	daily basis, there was - you know, it went back	1	with exchanges between him and Mr Ullger
2	only to 2022. So it just didn't make sense.	2	being released to STI."
3	Q. That email, you say that you found that	3	Is that Mr Cruz requesting disclosure from -
4	your messages with McGrail only go back as	4	well, requesting McGrail's
5	far as 3 July 2021.	5	A. Permission.
6	A. Sorry, the email?	6	Q Mr McGrail's permission, or at least
7	Q. That email that we have just showed you,	7	lack of objection to
8	you say:	8	A. Correct.
9	"I proceeded to check my phone and found	9	Q. So it is actually, as you say, it is the very
10	that my Whatsapp messages with Mr McGrail	10	same day that you point that out to Mr Cruz,
11	only go as far back as 3 July 2021."	11	that the request goes through a few hours later.
12	A. Mm-hm.	12	A. Mr Santos, I could have kept quiet about it
13	Q. But your evidence is that in fact when you	13	and just kept on saying that I didn't have
14	received the original request in 2022 you had	14	control of the messages, but what I wanted to
15		1.5	
15	already established that, is that correct?	15	do is to make sure that we provided the
16	already established that, is that correct? A. Correct.	15	information as soon as we could, and this was
	-	1	_
16	A. Correct.	16	information as soon as we could, and this was
16 17	A. Correct.Q. Was it your idea to reach out to SIO McVea	16 17	information as soon as we could, and this was an avenue to be able to access it and this is
16 17 18	A. Correct.Q. Was it your idea to reach out to SIO McVea to obtain the missing messages between you	16 17 18	information as soon as we could, and this was an avenue to be able to access it and this is why we went down this route. It was the right
16 17 18 19	A. Correct.Q. Was it your idea to reach out to SIO McVea to obtain the missing messages between you and Mr McGrail?	16 17 18 19	information as soon as we could, and this was an avenue to be able to access it and this is why we went down this route. It was the right thing to do.
16 17 18 19 20	 A. Correct. Q. Was it your idea to reach out to SIO McVea to obtain the missing messages between you and Mr McGrail? A. Yes, it was. 	16 17 18 19 20	information as soon as we could, and this was an avenue to be able to access it and this iswhy we went down this route. It was the right thing to do.Q. In your experience, we have seen that
16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. Correct. Q. Was it your idea to reach out to SIO McVea to obtain the missing messages between you and Mr McGrail? A. Yes, it was. Q. When did you do that? 	16 17 18 19 20 21	information as soon as we could, and this was an avenue to be able to access it and this iswhy we went down this route. It was the right thing to do.Q. In your experience, we have seen that email exchange, but generally was Mr
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. Correct. Q. Was it your idea to reach out to SIO McVea to obtain the missing messages between you and Mr McGrail? A. Yes, it was. Q. When did you do that? A. I think it was like a moment where it just 	16 17 18 19 20 21 22	information as soon as we could, and this was an avenue to be able to access it and this iswhy we went down this route. It was the right thing to do.Q. In your experience, we have seen that email exchange, but generally was Mr McGrail generally cooperative with the RGP
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. Correct. Q. Was it your idea to reach out to SIO McVea to obtain the missing messages between you and Mr McGrail? A. Yes, it was. Q. When did you do that? A. I think it was like a moment where it just occurred to me that we had undertaken an 	16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	information as soon as we could, and this was an avenue to be able to access it and this iswhy we went down this route. It was the right thing to do.Q. In your experience, we have seen thatemail exchange, but generally was MrMcGrail generally cooperative with the RGPwhen they requested his consent to access this
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A. Correct. Q. Was it your idea to reach out to SIO McVea to obtain the missing messages between you and Mr McGrail? A. Yes, it was. Q. When did you do that? A. I think it was like a moment where it just occurred to me that we had undertaken an investigation and that there was evidence that 	16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	information as soon as we could, and this was an avenue to be able to access it and this iswhy we went down this route. It was the right thing to do.Q. In your experience, we have seen that email exchange, but generally was Mr McGrail generally cooperative with the RGP when they requested his consent to access this information?

38 (Pages 149 to 152)

1	McGrail myself, it was dealt with between	1	as I am aware, the first that the Inquiry learned
2	counsel	2	of this. Paragraph 5 of his fifth witness
3	Q. Between lawyers, yes.	3	statement, which is on page E276, and it is the
4	A. Yes, but I understand that there was full	4	third line on paragraph 5:
5	cooperation, yes.	5	"For reasons that he does not understand but
6	Q. Now, if we can go to E155, please. These	6	suspects is as a result of him purchasing a new
7	are the messages that the RGP disclosed to the	7	phone in June 2020, Commissioner Ullger has
8	Inquiry in September 2024, and that prompted	8	not been able to retrieve messages from the
	a further request from the Inquiry to the RGP,		relevant period from his personal phone."
9		9 10	
10	and it was in response to that further request		I do not need to read out the rest of it
11	that the RGP confirmed that the messages had	11	A. No, okay.
12	been obtained from the image of Mr McGrail's	12	Q but this statement is dated 20 December
13	phone and not your phone.	13	2024.
14	A. Correct.	14	A. Okay. I took it for granted there may have
15	Q. That confirmation arrived in December	15	been communications between yourself and
16	2024. Why did you not inform the Inquiry in	16	Mr Cruz in respect of: "This is what we are
17	September 2024 when you disclosed these	17	trying to achieve," and I thought that you
18	messages that you had lost access to the	18	probably knew about it before. Sorry, can I
19	messages on your personal phone?	19	just go back to as well, in respect of your
20	A. Again, it didn't occur to me. I am unsure	20	earlier question about having my phone
21	whether our counsel Mr Cruz had reached out	21	forensically examined, I think it's important to
22	to yourselves to tell you that this exercise was	22	note here that our digital forensic unit doesn't
23	happening	23	go into phones to extract information like we
24	Q. Well I will not go into	24	expect them to do for this public inquiry, and
25	A. Into	25	the digital forensic unit has an insurmountable
	D 452		D 455
	Page 153		Page 155
1			
	O a back and forth.	1	amount of work at the moment in respect of
2	Q a back and forth.	1	amount of work at the moment in respect of other live criminal cases. We have got
2	A. Okay.	2	other live criminal cases. We have got
3	A. Okay.Q. But the Inquiry's position is that the first	2 3	other live criminal cases. We have got criminal cases with people on very lengthy
3 4	A. Okay.Q. But the Inquiry's position is that the first that it learned of this was in your evidence in	2 3 4	other live criminal cases. We have got criminal cases with people on very lengthy police bail simply because we just don't have
3 4 5	A. Okay.Q. But the Inquiry's position is that the first that it learned of this was in your evidence in December 2024.	2 3 4 5	other live criminal cases. We have got criminal cases with people on very lengthy police bail simply because we just don't have the capacity or the capability to be able to
3 4 5 6	 A. Okay. Q. But the Inquiry's position is that the first that it learned of this was in your evidence in December 2024. A. Okay, I thought it had been earlier. 	2 3 4 5 6	other live criminal cases. We have got criminal cases with people on very lengthy police bail simply because we just don't have the capacity or the capability to be able to interrogate computers and phones and all the
3 4 5 6 7	 A. Okay. Q. But the Inquiry's position is that the first that it learned of this was in your evidence in December 2024. A. Okay, I thought it had been earlier. Q. I will be corrected 	2 3 4 5 6 7	other live criminal cases. We have got criminal cases with people on very lengthy police bail simply because we just don't have the capacity or the capability to be able to interrogate computers and phones and all the technical gear that comes with modern
3 4 5 6 7 8	 A. Okay. Q. But the Inquiry's position is that the first that it learned of this was in your evidence in December 2024. A. Okay, I thought it had been earlier. Q. I will be corrected A. Sorry, I might be wrong. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	other live criminal cases. We have got criminal cases with people on very lengthy police bail simply because we just don't have the capacity or the capability to be able to interrogate computers and phones and all the technical gear that comes with modern criminality. So again, that would have been
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. Okay. Q. But the Inquiry's position is that the first that it learned of this was in your evidence in December 2024. A. Okay, I thought it had been earlier. Q. I will be corrected A. Sorry, I might be wrong. Q. (Pause) We will get to the bottom of that 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	other live criminal cases. We have got criminal cases with people on very lengthy police bail simply because we just don't have the capacity or the capability to be able to interrogate computers and phones and all the technical gear that comes with modern criminality. So again, that would have been one of the reasons why I didn't want to go
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 A. Okay. Q. But the Inquiry's position is that the first that it learned of this was in your evidence in December 2024. A. Okay, I thought it had been earlier. Q. I will be corrected A. Sorry, I might be wrong. Q. (Pause) We will get to the bottom of that and I will come back to you on that, thank you. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	other live criminal cases. We have got criminal cases with people on very lengthy police bail simply because we just don't have the capacity or the capability to be able to interrogate computers and phones and all the technical gear that comes with modern criminality. So again, that would have been one of the reasons why I didn't want to go down the forensic route, to put more pressure
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 A. Okay. Q. But the Inquiry's position is that the first that it learned of this was in your evidence in December 2024. A. Okay, I thought it had been earlier. Q. I will be corrected A. Sorry, I might be wrong. Q. (Pause) We will get to the bottom of that and I will come back to you on that, thank you. A. Sure. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	other live criminal cases. We have got criminal cases with people on very lengthy police bail simply because we just don't have the capacity or the capability to be able to interrogate computers and phones and all the technical gear that comes with modern criminality. So again, that would have been one of the reasons why I didn't want to go down the forensic route, to put more pressure on our forensic unit to be able to forensically
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 A. Okay. Q. But the Inquiry's position is that the first that it learned of this was in your evidence in December 2024. A. Okay, I thought it had been earlier. Q. I will be corrected A. Sorry, I might be wrong. Q. (Pause) We will get to the bottom of that and I will come back to you on that, thank you. A. Sure. Q. Did you consider submitting your personal 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	other live criminal cases. We have got criminal cases with people on very lengthy police bail simply because we just don't have the capacity or the capability to be able to interrogate computers and phones and all the technical gear that comes with modern criminality. So again, that would have been one of the reasons why I didn't want to go down the forensic route, to put more pressure on our forensic unit to be able to forensically examine my phone when I knew it already
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. Okay. Q. But the Inquiry's position is that the first that it learned of this was in your evidence in December 2024. A. Okay, I thought it had been earlier. Q. I will be corrected A. Sorry, I might be wrong. Q. (Pause) We will get to the bottom of that and I will come back to you on that, thank you. A. Sure. Q. Did you consider submitting your personal phone for forensic examination, as 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	other live criminal cases. We have got criminal cases with people on very lengthy police bail simply because we just don't have the capacity or the capability to be able to interrogate computers and phones and all the technical gear that comes with modern criminality. So again, that would have been one of the reasons why I didn't want to go down the forensic route, to put more pressure on our forensic unit to be able to forensically examine my phone when I knew it already existed by work undertaken by SIO McVea.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. Okay. Q. But the Inquiry's position is that the first that it learned of this was in your evidence in December 2024. A. Okay, I thought it had been earlier. Q. I will be corrected A. Sorry, I might be wrong. Q. (Pause) We will get to the bottom of that and I will come back to you on that, thank you. A. Sure. Q. Did you consider submitting your personal phone for forensic examination, as Superintendent Wyan has done? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	other live criminal cases. We have got criminal cases with people on very lengthy police bail simply because we just don't have the capacity or the capability to be able to interrogate computers and phones and all the technical gear that comes with modern criminality. So again, that would have been one of the reasons why I didn't want to go down the forensic route, to put more pressure on our forensic unit to be able to forensically examine my phone when I knew it already existed by work undertaken by SIO McVea. Q. Can I ask you: how many people work
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. Okay. Q. But the Inquiry's position is that the first that it learned of this was in your evidence in December 2024. A. Okay, I thought it had been earlier. Q. I will be corrected A. Sorry, I might be wrong. Q. (Pause) We will get to the bottom of that and I will come back to you on that, thank you. A. Sure. Q. Did you consider submitting your personal phone for forensic examination, as Superintendent Wyan has done? A. So no, I didn't, because to do so would 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	other live criminal cases. We have got criminal cases with people on very lengthy police bail simply because we just don't have the capacity or the capability to be able to interrogate computers and phones and all the technical gear that comes with modern criminality. So again, that would have been one of the reasons why I didn't want to go down the forensic route, to put more pressure on our forensic unit to be able to forensically examine my phone when I knew it already existed by work undertaken by SIO McVea. Q. Can I ask you: how many people work within the RGP's IT department?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. Okay. Q. But the Inquiry's position is that the first that it learned of this was in your evidence in December 2024. A. Okay, I thought it had been earlier. Q. I will be corrected A. Sorry, I might be wrong. Q. (Pause) We will get to the bottom of that and I will come back to you on that, thank you. A. Sure. Q. Did you consider submitting your personal phone for forensic examination, as Superintendent Wyan has done? A. So no, I didn't, because to do so would mean time, it would mean resourcing and the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	other live criminal cases. We have got criminal cases with people on very lengthy police bail simply because we just don't have the capacity or the capability to be able to interrogate computers and phones and all the technical gear that comes with modern criminality. So again, that would have been one of the reasons why I didn't want to go down the forensic route, to put more pressure on our forensic unit to be able to forensically examine my phone when I knew it already existed by work undertaken by SIO McVea. Q. Can I ask you: how many people work within the RGP's IT department? A. So it's not an RGP IT department, the
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. Okay. Q. But the Inquiry's position is that the first that it learned of this was in your evidence in December 2024. A. Okay, I thought it had been earlier. Q. I will be corrected A. Sorry, I might be wrong. Q. (Pause) We will get to the bottom of that and I will come back to you on that, thank you. A. Sure. Q. Did you consider submitting your personal phone for forensic examination, as Superintendent Wyan has done? A. So no, I didn't, because to do so would mean time, it would mean resourcing and the mere fact that we had an image already would 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	other live criminal cases. We have got criminal cases with people on very lengthy police bail simply because we just don't have the capacity or the capability to be able to interrogate computers and phones and all the technical gear that comes with modern criminality. So again, that would have been one of the reasons why I didn't want to go down the forensic route, to put more pressure on our forensic unit to be able to forensically examine my phone when I knew it already existed by work undertaken by SIO McVea. Q. Can I ask you: how many people work within the RGP's IT department? A. So it's not an RGP IT department, the information technology department is run, as
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. Okay. Q. But the Inquiry's position is that the first that it learned of this was in your evidence in December 2024. A. Okay, I thought it had been earlier. Q. I will be corrected A. Sorry, I might be wrong. Q. (Pause) We will get to the bottom of that and I will come back to you on that, thank you. A. Sure. Q. Did you consider submitting your personal phone for forensic examination, as Superintendent Wyan has done? A. So no, I didn't, because to do so would mean time, it would mean resourcing and the mere fact that we had an image already would have provided me the details to be able to 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	other live criminal cases. We have got criminal cases with people on very lengthy police bail simply because we just don't have the capacity or the capability to be able to interrogate computers and phones and all the technical gear that comes with modern criminality. So again, that would have been one of the reasons why I didn't want to go down the forensic route, to put more pressure on our forensic unit to be able to forensically examine my phone when I knew it already existed by work undertaken by SIO McVea. Q. Can I ask you: how many people work within the RGP's IT department? A. So it's not an RGP IT department, the information technology department is run, as we've heard already, by government ITLD and
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A. Okay. Q. But the Inquiry's position is that the first that it learned of this was in your evidence in December 2024. A. Okay, I thought it had been earlier. Q. I will be corrected A. Sorry, I might be wrong. Q. (Pause) We will get to the bottom of that and I will come back to you on that, thank you. A. Sure. Q. Did you consider submitting your personal phone for forensic examination, as Superintendent Wyan has done? A. So no, I didn't, because to do so would mean time, it would mean resourcing and the mere fact that we had an image already would have provided me the details to be able to submit the messages between me and Mr 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	other live criminal cases. We have got criminal cases with people on very lengthy police bail simply because we just don't have the capacity or the capability to be able to interrogate computers and phones and all the technical gear that comes with modern criminality. So again, that would have been one of the reasons why I didn't want to go down the forensic route, to put more pressure on our forensic unit to be able to forensically examine my phone when I knew it already existed by work undertaken by SIO McVea. Q. Can I ask you: how many people work within the RGP's IT department? A. So it's not an RGP IT department, the information technology department is run, as we've heard already, by government ITLD and there are two IT officers that work for the RGP
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. Okay. Q. But the Inquiry's position is that the first that it learned of this was in your evidence in December 2024. A. Okay, I thought it had been earlier. Q. I will be corrected A. Sorry, I might be wrong. Q. (Pause) We will get to the bottom of that and I will come back to you on that, thank you. A. Sure. Q. Did you consider submitting your personal phone for forensic examination, as Superintendent Wyan has done? A. So no, I didn't, because to do so would mean time, it would mean resourcing and the mere fact that we had an image already would have provided me the details to be able to submit the messages between me and Mr McGrail to yourselves at a far quicker - with a 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	other live criminal cases. We have got criminal cases with people on very lengthy police bail simply because we just don't have the capacity or the capability to be able to interrogate computers and phones and all the technical gear that comes with modern criminality. So again, that would have been one of the reasons why I didn't want to go down the forensic route, to put more pressure on our forensic unit to be able to forensically examine my phone when I knew it already existed by work undertaken by SIO McVea. Q. Can I ask you: how many people work within the RGP's IT department? A. So it's not an RGP IT department, the information technology department is run, as we've heard already, by government ITLD and there are two IT officers that work for the RGP but are employed by the ITLD. But if you are
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. Okay. Q. But the Inquiry's position is that the first that it learned of this was in your evidence in December 2024. A. Okay, I thought it had been earlier. Q. I will be corrected A. Sorry, I might be wrong. Q. (Pause) We will get to the bottom of that and I will come back to you on that, thank you. A. Sure. Q. Did you consider submitting your personal phone for forensic examination, as Superintendent Wyan has done? A. So no, I didn't, because to do so would mean time, it would mean resourcing and the mere fact that we had an image already would have provided me the details to be able to submit the messages between me and Mr McGrail to yourselves at a far quicker - with a far quicker approach than having to go through 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	other live criminal cases. We have got criminal cases with people on very lengthy police bail simply because we just don't have the capacity or the capability to be able to interrogate computers and phones and all the technical gear that comes with modern criminality. So again, that would have been one of the reasons why I didn't want to go down the forensic route, to put more pressure on our forensic unit to be able to forensically examine my phone when I knew it already existed by work undertaken by SIO McVea. Q. Can I ask you: how many people work within the RGP's IT department? A. So it's not an RGP IT department, the information technology department is run, as we've heard already, by government ITLD and there are two IT officers that work for the RGP but are employed by the ITLD. But if you are talking about the digital forensics unit?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. Okay. Q. But the Inquiry's position is that the first that it learned of this was in your evidence in December 2024. A. Okay, I thought it had been earlier. Q. I will be corrected A. Sorry, I might be wrong. Q. (Pause) We will get to the bottom of that and I will come back to you on that, thank you. A. Sure. Q. Did you consider submitting your personal phone for forensic examination, as Superintendent Wyan has done? A. So no, I didn't, because to do so would mean time, it would mean resourcing and the mere fact that we had an image already would have provided me the details to be able to submit the messages between me and Mr McGrail to yourselves at a far quicker - with a far quicker approach than having to go through the whole process again. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	other live criminal cases. We have got criminal cases with people on very lengthy police bail simply because we just don't have the capacity or the capability to be able to interrogate computers and phones and all the technical gear that comes with modern criminality. So again, that would have been one of the reasons why I didn't want to go down the forensic route, to put more pressure on our forensic unit to be able to forensically examine my phone when I knew it already existed by work undertaken by SIO McVea. Q. Can I ask you: how many people work within the RGP's IT department? A. So it's not an RGP IT department, the information technology department is run, as we've heard already, by government ITLD and there are two IT officers that work for the RGP but are employed by the ITLD. But if you are talking about the digital forensics unit? Q. We might as well establish that as well:
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. Okay. Q. But the Inquiry's position is that the first that it learned of this was in your evidence in December 2024. A. Okay, I thought it had been earlier. Q. I will be corrected A. Sorry, I might be wrong. Q. (Pause) We will get to the bottom of that and I will come back to you on that, thank you. A. Sure. Q. Did you consider submitting your personal phone for forensic examination, as Superintendent Wyan has done? A. So no, I didn't, because to do so would mean time, it would mean resourcing and the mere fact that we had an image already would have provided me the details to be able to submit the messages between me and Mr McGrail to yourselves at a far quicker - with a far quicker approach than having to go through the whole process again. Q. Just to come back on that point that we 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	other live criminal cases. We have got criminal cases with people on very lengthy police bail simply because we just don't have the capacity or the capability to be able to interrogate computers and phones and all the technical gear that comes with modern criminality. So again, that would have been one of the reasons why I didn't want to go down the forensic route, to put more pressure on our forensic unit to be able to forensically examine my phone when I knew it already existed by work undertaken by SIO McVea. Q. Can I ask you: how many people work within the RGP's IT department? A. So it's not an RGP IT department, the information technology department is run, as we've heard already, by government ITLD and there are two IT officers that work for the RGP but are employed by the ITLD. But if you are talking about the digital forensics unit? Q. We might as well establish that as well: how many are within the digital forensic unit?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A. Okay. Q. But the Inquiry's position is that the first that it learned of this was in your evidence in December 2024. A. Okay, I thought it had been earlier. Q. I will be corrected A. Sorry, I might be wrong. Q. (Pause) We will get to the bottom of that and I will come back to you on that, thank you. A. Sure. Q. Did you consider submitting your personal phone for forensic examination, as Superintendent Wyan has done? A. So no, I didn't, because to do so would mean time, it would mean resourcing and the mere fact that we had an image already would have provided me the details to be able to submit the messages between me and Mr McGrail to yourselves at a far quicker - with a far quicker approach than having to go through the whole process again. Q. Just to come back on that point that we were discussing, the matter is dealt with in Mr 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	other live criminal cases. We have got criminal cases with people on very lengthy police bail simply because we just don't have the capacity or the capability to be able to interrogate computers and phones and all the technical gear that comes with modern criminality. So again, that would have been one of the reasons why I didn't want to go down the forensic route, to put more pressure on our forensic unit to be able to forensically examine my phone when I knew it already existed by work undertaken by SIO McVea. Q. Can I ask you: how many people work within the RGP's IT department? A. So it's not an RGP IT department, the information technology department is run, as we've heard already, by government ITLD and there are two IT officers that work for the RGP but are employed by the ITLD. But if you are talking about the digital forensics unit? Q. We might as well establish that as well: how many are within the digital forensic unit? A. So again this was all about capacity
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. Okay. Q. But the Inquiry's position is that the first that it learned of this was in your evidence in December 2024. A. Okay, I thought it had been earlier. Q. I will be corrected A. Sorry, I might be wrong. Q. (Pause) We will get to the bottom of that and I will come back to you on that, thank you. A. Sure. Q. Did you consider submitting your personal phone for forensic examination, as Superintendent Wyan has done? A. So no, I didn't, because to do so would mean time, it would mean resourcing and the mere fact that we had an image already would have provided me the details to be able to submit the messages between me and Mr McGrail to yourselves at a far quicker - with a far quicker approach than having to go through the whole process again. Q. Just to come back on that point that we 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	other live criminal cases. We have got criminal cases with people on very lengthy police bail simply because we just don't have the capacity or the capability to be able to interrogate computers and phones and all the technical gear that comes with modern criminality. So again, that would have been one of the reasons why I didn't want to go down the forensic route, to put more pressure on our forensic unit to be able to forensically examine my phone when I knew it already existed by work undertaken by SIO McVea. Q. Can I ask you: how many people work within the RGP's IT department? A. So it's not an RGP IT department, the information technology department is run, as we've heard already, by government ITLD and there are two IT officers that work for the RGP but are employed by the ITLD. But if you are talking about the digital forensics unit? Q. We might as well establish that as well: how many are within the digital forensic unit?

39 (Pages 153 to 156)

Page 154

1period of three years to try and rebuild this1since June 2024?2very specific unit. It means a lot of training, it2A. It does, and it's simply because the Ro3means a lot of investment in financing and we3Gibraltar Police has produced and provid4were going through a journey but we hadn't4this inquiry with a huge amount of disclose5been very successful in that regard and we5material, and I felt that these messages we6only have currently two police officers. When6only maybe support what we know alread7we risk assessed it, we certainly need four to7Q. What about your discussions with Mr8five police officers in that department to be8McGrail about the HMIC report? Is your9able to do the work that is expected of them.9position that those only support what we10So it's very well under-resourced.10already have?11Q. My next question to you - I think you have11A. I believe so. Um, I think we evidend13be adequate complement?13fact that not enough had happened for the14A. It is very difficult to be able to explain to14HMIC inspection and that it was a poor15victims of crimes that an offence has been15inspection, um, so and we acknowledge16committed, that they are the victim of crime16that. And that is reflected in the message17but yet there are delays in getting evidence17Q. And does the same apply to your <th>vided losure would ady. nce</th>	vided losure would ady. nce
2very specific unit. It means a lot of training, it2A. It does, and it's simply because the Ro3means a lot of investment in financing and we3Gibraltar Police has produced and provid4were going through a journey but we hadn't4this inquiry with a huge amount of disclos5been very successful in that regard and we5material, and I felt that these messages we6only have currently two police officers. When6only maybe support what we know alread7we risk assessed it, we certainly need four to7Q. What about your discussions with Mr8five police officers in that department to be8McGrail about the HMIC report? Is your9able to do the work that is expected of them.9position that those only support what we10So it's very well under-resourced.10already have?11Q. My next question to you - I think you have11A. I believe so. Um, I think we evidend12already answered - is do you consider that to12was given in the previous Public Inquiry of13be adequate complement?13fact that not enough had happened for the14A. It is very difficult to be able to explain to15inspection, um, so and we acknowledge16committed, that they are the victim of crime16that. And that is reflected in the message17but yet there are delays in getting evidence17Q. And does the same apply to your18simply because we don't have the capacity or18THE CHAIRMAN	vided losure would ady. nce
3means a lot of investment in financing and we3Gibraltar Police has produced and provid4were going through a journey but we hadn't4this inquiry with a huge amount of disclos5been very successful in that regard and we5material, and I felt that these messages we6only have currently two police officers. When6only maybe support what we know alread7we risk assessed it, we certainly need four to7Q. What about your discussions with Mr8five police officers in that department to be8McGrail about the HMIC report? Is your9able to do the work that is expected of them.9position that those only support what we10So it's very well under-resourced.10already have?11Q. My next question to you - I think you have11A. I believe so. Um, I think we evidend12already answered - is do you consider that to12was given in the previous Public Inquiry of13be adequate complement?13fact that not enough had happened for the14A. It is very difficult to be able to explain to15inspection and that it was a poor15victims of crimes that an offence has been15inspection, um, so and we acknowledge16committed, that they are the victim of crime16that. And that is reflected in the message17but yet there are delays in getting evidence17Q. And does the same apply to your18simply because we don't have the capacity or18THE CHAIRMAN: When you say	vided losure would ady. nce
4were going through a journey but we hadn't4this inquiry with a huge amount of disclos5been very successful in that regard and we5material, and I felt that these messages we6only have currently two police officers. When6only maybe support what we know alread7we risk assessed it, we certainly need four to7Q. What about your discussions with Mr8five police officers in that department to be8McGrail about the HMIC report? Is your9able to do the work that is expected of them.9position that those only support what we10So it's very well under-resourced.10already have?11Q. My next question to you - I think you have11A. I believe so. Um, I think we evidend12already answered - is do you consider that to12was given in the previous Public Inquiry of13be adequate complement?13fact that not enough had happened for the14A. It is very difficult to be able to explain to14HMIC inspection and that it was a poor15victims of crimes that an offence has been15inspection, um, so and we acknowledge16committed, that they are the victim of crime16that. And that is reflected in the message17but yet there are delays in getting evidence17Q. And does the same apply to your18simply because we don't have the capacity or18THE CHAIRMAN: When you say it was a	losure would ady. nce
5been very successful in that regard and we5material, and I felt that these messages we6only have currently two police officers. When6only maybe support what we know alread7we risk assessed it, we certainly need four to7Q. What about your discussions with Mr8five police officers in that department to be8McGrail about the HMIC report? Is your9able to do the work that is expected of them.9position that those only support what we10So it's very well under-resourced.10already have?11Q. My next question to you - I think you have11A. I believe so. Um, I think we evidend12already answered - is do you consider that to12was given in the previous Public Inquiry of13be adequate complement?13fact that not enough had happened for the14A. It is very difficult to be able to explain to14HMIC inspection and that it was a poor15victims of crimes that an offence has been15inspection, um, so and we acknowledge16committed, that they are the victim of crime16that. And that is reflected in the messages17but yet there are delays in getting evidence17Q. And does the same apply to your18simply because we don't have the capacity or18THE CHAIRMAN: When you say it was a	would ady. nce
6only have currently two police officers. When 76only maybe support what we know alread 97we risk assessed it, we certainly need four to 87Q. What about your discussions with Mr8five police officers in that department to be 98McGrail about the HMIC report? Is your 99able to do the work that is expected of them. 109position that those only support what we already have?11Q. My next question to you - I think you have 1211A. I believe so. Um, I think we evidend 1213be adequate complement?13fact that not enough had happened for the 1414A. It is very difficult to be able to explain to 1514HMIC inspection and that it was a poor inspection, um, so and we acknowledge16committed, that they are the victim of crime 1716that. And that is reflected in the message17but yet there are delays in getting evidence 1817Q. And does the same apply to your THE CHAIRMAN: When you say it was a	ady. nce
7we risk assessed it, we certainly need four to 87Q. What about your discussions with Mr8five police officers in that department to be 98McGrail about the HMIC report? Is your position that those only support what we already have?10So it's very well under-resourced.10already have?11Q. My next question to you - I think you have 1211A. I believe so. Um, I think we evidence 1213be adequate complement?13fact that not enough had happened for the HMIC inspection and that it was a poor inspection, um, so and we acknowledge14A. It is very difficult to be able to explain to 1514HMIC inspection and that it was a poor 	nce
8five police officers in that department to be8McGrail about the HMIC report? Is your9able to do the work that is expected of them.9position that those only support what we10So it's very well under-resourced.10already have?11Q. My next question to you - I think you have11A. I believe so. Um, I think we evidence12already answered - is do you consider that to12was given in the previous Public Inquiry of13be adequate complement?13fact that not enough had happened for the14A. It is very difficult to be able to explain to14HMIC inspection and that it was a poor15victims of crimes that an offence has been15inspection, um, so and we acknowledge16committed, that they are the victim of crime16that. And that is reflected in the message17but yet there are delays in getting evidence17Q. And does the same apply to your18simply because we don't have the capacity or18THE CHAIRMAN: When you say it was a	
9able to do the work that is expected of them.9position that those only support what we10So it's very well under-resourced.10already have?11Q. My next question to you - I think you have11A. I believe so. Um, I think we evidence12already answered - is do you consider that to12was given in the previous Public Inquiry of13be adequate complement?13fact that not enough had happened for the14A. It is very difficult to be able to explain to14HMIC inspection and that it was a poor15victims of crimes that an offence has been15inspection, um, so and we acknowledge16committed, that they are the victim of crime16that. And that is reflected in the message17but yet there are delays in getting evidence17Q. And does the same apply to your18simply because we don't have the capacity or18THE CHAIRMAN: When you say it was a	
10So it's very well under-resourced.10already have?11Q. My next question to you - I think you have11A. I believe so. Um, I think we evidence12already answered - is do you consider that to12was given in the previous Public Inquiry of13be adequate complement?13fact that not enough had happened for the14A. It is very difficult to be able to explain to14HMIC inspection and that it was a poor15victims of crimes that an offence has been15inspection, um, so and we acknowledge16committed, that they are the victim of crime16that. And that is reflected in the message17but yet there are delays in getting evidence17Q. And does the same apply to your18simply because we don't have the capacity or18THE CHAIRMAN: When you say it was a	
11Q. My next question to you - I think you have already answered - is do you consider that to be adequate complement?11A. I believe so. Um, I think we evidend vas given in the previous Public Inquiry of fact that not enough had happened for the 1314A. It is very difficult to be able to explain to 1514HMIC inspection and that it was a poor inspection, um, so and we acknowledge 1616committed, that they are the victim of crime 1716that. And that is reflected in the message 1718simply because we don't have the capacity or18THE CHAIRMAN: When you say it was a	
12already answered - is do you consider that to12was given in the previous Public Inquiry of13be adequate complement?13fact that not enough had happened for the14A. It is very difficult to be able to explain to14HMIC inspection and that it was a poor15victims of crimes that an offence has been15inspection, um, so and we acknowledge16committed, that they are the victim of crime16that. And that is reflected in the message17but yet there are delays in getting evidence17Q. And does the same apply to your18simply because we don't have the capacity or18THE CHAIRMAN: When you say it was a	
13be adequate complement?13fact that not enough had happened for the14A. It is very difficult to be able to explain to13fact that not enough had happened for the15victims of crimes that an offence has been14HMIC inspection and that it was a poor16committed, that they are the victim of crime15inspection, um, so and we acknowledge17but yet there are delays in getting evidence17Q. And does the same apply to your18simply because we don't have the capacity or18THE CHAIRMAN: When you say it was a	v UI
14A. It is very difficult to be able to explain to14HMIC inspection and that it was a poor15victims of crimes that an offence has been15inspection, um, so and we acknowledge16committed, that they are the victim of crime16that. And that is reflected in the message17but yet there are delays in getting evidence17Q. And does the same apply to your18simply because we don't have the capacity or18THE CHAIRMAN: When you say it was a	
15victims of crimes that an offence has been15inspection, um, so and we acknowledge16committed, that they are the victim of crime16that. And that is reflected in the message17but yet there are delays in getting evidence17Q. And does the same apply to your18simply because we don't have the capacity or18THE CHAIRMAN: When you say it was a	
16committed, that they are the victim of crime16that. And that is reflected in the message17but yet there are delays in getting evidence17Q. And does the same apply to your18simply because we don't have the capacity or18THE CHAIRMAN: When you say it was a	
17but yet there are delays in getting evidence17Q. And does the same apply to your18simply because we don't have the capacity or18THE CHAIRMAN: When you say it was a	0
18 simply because we don't have the capacity or 18 THE CHAIRMAN: When you say it was a	2S.
	d
19 the capability to investigate the crime, and it 19 poor inspection, do you mean it reflected	
20goes through a risk process.20poorly on the force or it was badly	
21Q. What happens when one or two of those21constructed?	
22two individuals is off ill or off duty or on22A. Yes, it reflected poorly on the force.	
23leave?23THE CHAIRMAN: Yes.	
24A. You just have the one.24A. Yes.	
25 Q. If they are both on leave, things just grind 25 MR SANTOS: And in	
Page 157 Page 159	
	_
1 to a halt. 1 A. Sorry	
2 A. So both wouldn't be on leave because we 2 (14.30)	
3 wouldn't allow it, but you may have one on 3 Q. Go on, of course.	like
3wouldn't allow it, but you may have one on3Q. Go on, of course.4leave and one going off sick. So these are4A. Sorry, if I can as well. I think I would	like
3wouldn't allow it, but you may have one on3Q. Go on, of course.4leave and one going off sick. So these are4A. Sorry, if I can as well. I think I would5huge concerns and feature very highly in the5to add as well that I said in my previous	
3wouldn't allow it, but you may have one on3Q. Go on, of course.4leave and one going off sick. So these are4A. Sorry, if I can as well. I think I would I5huge concerns and feature very highly in the5to add as well that I said in my previous6RGP Force risk register in respect of the risks6evidence that Mr McGrail's approach at the	the
3wouldn't allow it, but you may have one on3Q. Go on, of course.4leave and one going off sick. So these are4A. Sorry, if I can as well. I think I would I5huge concerns and feature very highly in the5to add as well that I said in my previous6RGP Force risk register in respect of the risks6evidence that Mr McGrail's approach at the7that we carry.7time was to fight crime and he did so robust	the oustly.
3wouldn't allow it, but you may have one on3Q. Go on, of course.4leave and one going off sick. So these are4A. Sorry, if I can as well. I think I would I5huge concerns and feature very highly in the5to add as well that I said in my previous6RGP Force risk register in respect of the risks6evidence that Mr McGrail's approach at the7that we carry.7time was to fight crime and he did so robus8Q. Can we now turn to 873, please, E873, at8That doesn't mean that the RGP was not	the oustly.
3wouldn't allow it, but you may have one on3Q. Go on, of course.4leave and one going off sick. So these are4A. Sorry, if I can as well. I think I would I5huge concerns and feature very highly in the5to add as well that I said in my previous6RGP Force risk register in respect of the risks6evidence that Mr McGrail's approach at th7that we carry.7time was to fight crime and he did so robus8Q. Can we now turn to 873, please, E873, at9the very bottom of that page, paragraph 23.9the very bottom of that page, paragraph 23.9performing in other very key areas and	the oustly.
3wouldn't allow it, but you may have one on3Q. Go on, of course.4leave and one going off sick. So these are4A. Sorry, if I can as well. I think I would I5huge concerns and feature very highly in the5to add as well that I said in my previous6RGP Force risk register in respect of the risks6evidence that Mr McGrail's approach at the7that we carry.7time was to fight crime and he did so robus8Q. Can we now turn to 873, please, E873, at8That doesn't mean that the RGP was not9the very bottom of that page, paragraph 23.9performing in other very key areas and10You say:10strategic business areas. So, um, I think it	the oustly. t
3wouldn't allow it, but you may have one on3Q. Go on, of course.4leave and one going off sick. So these are4A. Sorry, if I can as well. I think I would I5huge concerns and feature very highly in the5to add as well that I said in my previous6RGP Force risk register in respect of the risks6evidence that Mr McGrail's approach at the7that we carry.7time was to fight crime and he did so robus8Q. Can we now turn to 873, please, E873, at8That doesn't mean that the RGP was not9the very bottom of that page, paragraph 23.9performing in other very key areas and10You say:10strategic business areas. So, um, I think it11"Finally, although I have only seen this11important to the HMIC was an important	the pustly. t it's tant,
3wouldn't allow it, but you may have one on3Q. Go on, of course.4leave and one going off sick. So these are4A. Sorry, if I can as well. I think I would I5huge concerns and feature very highly in the5to add as well that I said in my previous6RGP Force risk register in respect of the risks6evidence that Mr McGrail's approach at th7that we carry.7time was to fight crime and he did so robus8Q. Can we now turn to 873, please, E873, at9performing in other very key areas and9the very bottom of that page, paragraph 23.9performing in other very key areas and10You say:10strategic business areas. So, um, I think it11"Finally, although I have only seen this11important to the HMIC was an important12disclosure recently, I would add that I do not12um, that strategic direction for the organis	the pustly. t it's tant, hisation
3wouldn't allow it, but you may have one on3Q. Go on, of course.4leave and one going off sick. So these are4A. Sorry, if I can as well. I think I would I5huge concerns and feature very highly in the5to add as well that I said in my previous6RGP Force risk register in respect of the risks6evidence that Mr McGrail's approach at th7that we carry.7time was to fight crime and he did so robus8Q. Can we now turn to 873, please, E873, at9performing in other very key areas and9the very bottom of that page, paragraph 23.9performing in other very key areas and10You say:10strategic business areas. So, um, I think it11"Finally, although I have only seen this11important to the HMIC was an important12disclosure requested and provided13and maybe we should have done more, but	the pustly. : it's tant, nisation ut that
3wouldn't allow it, but you may have one on3Q. Go on, of course.4leave and one going off sick. So these are4A. Sorry, if I can as well. I think I would I5huge concerns and feature very highly in the5to add as well that I said in my previous6RGP Force risk register in respect of the risks6evidence that Mr McGrail's approach at th7that we carry.7time was to fight crime and he did so robus8Q. Can we now turn to 873, please, E873, at8That doesn't mean that the RGP was not9the very bottom of that page, paragraph 23.9performing in other very key areas and10You say:10strategic business areas. So, um, I think it11"Finally, although I have only seen this11important to the HMIC was an important12disclosure requested and provided13and maybe we should have done more, but14since June 2024 would be of any significant14doesn't mean that the RGP wasn't doing	the pustly. it's tant, hisation ut that
3wouldn't allow it, but you may have one on3Q. Go on, of course.4leave and one going off sick. So these are4A. Sorry, if I can as well. I think I would I5huge concerns and feature very highly in the5to add as well that I said in my previous6RGP Force risk register in respect of the risks6evidence that Mr McGrail's approach at the7that we carry.7time was to fight crime and he did so robus8Q. Can we now turn to 873, please, E873, at8That doesn't mean that the RGP was not9the very bottom of that page, paragraph 23.9performing in other very key areas and10You say:10strategic business areas. So, um, I think it11"Finally, although I have only seen this11important to the HMIC was an important13think the disclosure requested and provided13and maybe we should have done more, but14since June 2024 would be of any significant14doesn't mean that the RGP wasn't doing15relevance to the PLOI albeit clearly connected15enough already in other areas of business.	the pustly. it's tant, nisation ut that s.
3wouldn't allow it, but you may have one on3Q. Go on, of course.4leave and one going off sick. So these are4A. Sorry, if I can as well. I think I would I5huge concerns and feature very highly in the5to add as well that I said in my previous6RGP Force risk register in respect of the risks6evidence that Mr McGrail's approach at the7that we carry.7time was to fight crime and he did so robus8Q. Can we now turn to 873, please, E873, at9performing in other very key areas and9the very bottom of that page, paragraph 23.9performing in other very key areas and10You say:10strategic business areas. So, um, I think it11"Finally, although I have only seen this11important to the HMIC was an important12disclosure recently, I would add that I do not12um, that strategic direction for the organis13think the disclosure requested and provided13and maybe we should have done more, but14since June 2024 would be of any significant14doesn't mean that the RGP wasn't doing15relevance to the PLOI albeit clearly connected15enough already in other areas of business.16to it, save perhaps messages exchanged16Q. And just focusing on the relevance point,	the pustly. it's tant, nisation ut that s. nt,
3wouldn't allow it, but you may have one on3Q. Go on, of course.4leave and one going off sick. So these are4A. Sorry, if I can as well. I think I would I5huge concerns and feature very highly in the5to add as well that I said in my previous6RGP Force risk register in respect of the risks6evidence that Mr McGrail's approach at fl7that we carry.7time was to fight crime and he did so robus8Q. Can we now turn to 873, please, E873, at9performing in other very key areas and9the very bottom of that page, paragraph 23.9performing in other very key areas and10You say:10strategic business areas. So, um, I think it11"Finally, although I have only seen this11important to the HMIC was an important12disclosure requested and provided13and maybe we should have done more, but14since June 2024 would be of any significant14doesn't mean that the RGP wasn't doing15relevance to the PLOI albeit clearly connected15enough already in other areas of business.16to it, save perhaps messages exchanged16Q. And just focusing on the relevance point,17between me and the then Minister for Justice,17what we have already discussed, does your	the pustly. it's tant, hisation ut that s.
3wouldn't allow it, but you may have one on3Q. Go on, of course.4leave and one going off sick. So these are4A. Sorry, if I can as well. I think I would I5huge concerns and feature very highly in the5to add as well that I said in my previous6RGP Force risk register in respect of the risks6evidence that Mr McGrail's approach at the7that we carry.7time was to fight crime and he did so robus8Q. Can we now turn to 873, please, E873, at8That doesn't mean that the RGP was not9the very bottom of that page, paragraph 23.9performing in other very key areas and10You say:10strategic business areas. So, um, I think it11"Finally, although I have only seen this11important to the HMIC was an importan12disclosure recently, I would add that I do not12um, that strategic direction for the organis13think the disclosure requested and provided13and maybe we should have done more, but14since June 2024 would be of any significant14doesn't mean that the RGP wasn't doing15relevance to the PLOI albeit clearly connected15enough already in other areas of business.16to it, save perhaps messages exchanged16Q. And just focusing on the relevance point,17between me and the then Minister for Justice,17what we have already discussed, does your18the Honourable Miss Samantha Sacramento18position apply equally to your discussions wingostion a	the pustly. it's tant, nisation ut that s. nt, with
3wouldn't allow it, but you may have one on3Q. Go on, of course.4leave and one going off sick. So these are5huge concerns and feature very highly in the5to add as well that I said in my previous6RGP Force risk register in respect of the risks6evidence that Mr McGrail's approach at the7that we carry.7time was to fight crime and he did so robus8Q. Can we now turn to 873, please, E873, at9performing in other very key areas and9the very bottom of that page, paragraph 23.9performing in other very key areas and10You say:10strategic business areas. So, um, I think if11"Finally, although I have only seen this11important to the HMIC was an important12disclosure recently, I would add that I do not12um, that strategic direction for the organis13think the disclosure requested and provided13and maybe we should have done more, but14since June 2024 would be of any significant14doesn't mean that the RGP wasn't doing15relevance to the PLOI albeit clearly connected15enough already in other areas of business.16to it, save perhaps messages exchanged16Q. And just focusing on the relevance point,17between me and the then Minister for Justice,17what we have already discussed, does your18the Honourable Miss Samantha Sacramento18Mr McGrail about the incident at sea? Your19who had ministerial responsibility for the RGP,	the pustly. it's tant, nisation ut that s. nt, with
3wouldn't allow it, but you may have one on3Q. Go on, of course.4leave and one going off sick. So these are4A. Sorry, if I can as well. I think I would I5huge concerns and feature very highly in the5to add as well that I said in my previous6RGP Force risk register in respect of the risks6evidence that Mr McGrail's approach at fl7that we carry.7time was to fight crime and he did so robus8Q. Can we now turn to 873, please, E873, at9performing in other very key areas and9the very bottom of that page, paragraph 23.9performing in other very key areas and10You say:10strategic business areas. So, um, I think it11"Finally, although I have only seen this11important to the HMIC was an important12disclosure recently, I would add that I do not12um, that strategic direction for the organis13think the disclosure requested and provided13and maybe we should have done more, but14since June 2024 would be of any significant14doesn't mean that the RGP wasn't doing15relevance to the PLOI albeit clearly connected15enough already in other areas of business.16to it, save perhaps messages exchanged16Q. And just focusing on the relevance point,17between me and the then Minister for Justice,17what we have already discussed, does your18the Honourable Miss Samantha Sacramento18position apply equally to your discussions wi	the pustly. it's tant, nisation ut that s. nt, with
3wouldn't allow it, but you may have one on3Q. Go on, of course.4leave and one going off sick. So these are4A. Sorry, if I can as well. I think I would I5huge concerns and feature very highly in the5to add as well that I said in my previous6RGP Force risk register in respect of the risks6evidence that Mr McGrail's approach at th7that we carry.7time was to fight crime and he did so robus8Q. Can we now turn to 873, please, E873, at9performing in other very key areas and9the very bottom of that page, paragraph 23.9performing in other very key areas and10You say:10strategic business areas. So, um, I think if11"Finally, although I have only seen this11important to the HMIC was an important12disclosure recently, I would add that I do not12um, that strategic direction for the organis13think the disclosure requested and provided13and maybe we should have done more, but14since June 2024 would be of any significant14doesn't mean that the RGP wasn't doing15relevance to the PLOI albeit clearly connected15enough already in other areas of business.16to it, save perhaps messages exchanged16Q. And just focusing on the relevance point,17between me and the then Minister for Justice,17what we have already discussed, does your18the Honourable Miss Samantha Sacramento18position apply equally to your discussions wi	the pustly. it's tant, hisation ut that s. ht, with ar
3wouldn't allow it, but you may have one on3Q. Go on, of course.4leave and one going off sick. So these are4A. Sorry, if I can as well. I think I would I5huge concerns and feature very highly in the5to add as well that I said in my previous6RGP Force risk register in respect of the risks6evidence that Mr McGrail's approach at th7that we carry.7time was to fight crime and he did so robus8Q. Can we now turn to 873, please, E873, at8That doesn't mean that the RGP was not9the very bottom of that page, paragraph 23.9performing in other very key areas and10You say:10strategic business areas. So, um, I think it11"Finally, although I have only seen this11important to the HMIC was an important12disclosure recently, I would add that I do not12um, that strategic direction for the organis13think the disclosure requested and provided13and maybe we should have done more, but14since June 2024 would be of any significant14doesn't mean that the RGP wasn't doing15relevance to the PLOI albeit clearly connected15enough already in other areas of business.16to it, save perhaps messages exchanged16Q. And just focusing on the relevance point,17between me and the then Minister for Justice,17what we have already discussed, does your18the Honourable Miss Samantha Sacramento18position apply equally to your discussions wi	the pustly. it's tant, hisation ut that s. ht, with ur
3wouldn't allow it, but you may have one on3Q. Go on, of course.4leave and one going off sick. So these are4A. Sorry, if I can as well. I think I would I5huge concerns and feature very highly in the5to add as well that I said in my previous6RGP Force risk register in respect of the risks6evidence that Mr McGrail's approach at th7that we carry.7time was to fight crime and he did so robus8Q. Can we now turn to 873, please, E873, at8That doesn't mean that the RGP was not9the very bottom of that page, paragraph 23.9performing in other very key areas and10You say:10strategic business areas. So, um, I think it11"Finally, although I have only seen this11important to the HMIC was an important12disclosure recently, I would add that I do not12um, that strategic direction for the organis13think the disclosure requested and provided13and maybe we should have done more, but14since June 2024 would be of any significant14doesn't mean that the RGP wasn't doing15relevance to the PLOI albeit clearly connected16Q. And just focusing on the relevance point,17between me and the then Minister for Justice,17what we have already discussed, does your18the Honourable Miss Samantha Sacramento18Mr McGrail about the incident at sea? Your20who at that material time expressed no20Now, can we go to E629, please. This is <t< td=""><td>the pustly. it's tant, nisation ut that s. nt, with ar</td></t<>	the pustly. it's tant, nisation ut that s. nt, with ar
3wouldn't allow it, but you may have one on3Q. Go on, of course.4leave and one going off sick. So these are4A. Sorry, if I can as well. I think I would I5huge concerns and feature very highly in the5to add as well that I said in my previous6RGP Force risk register in respect of the risks6evidence that Mr McGrail's approach at fl7that we carry.7time was to fight crime and he did so robus8Q. Can we now turn to 873, please, E873, at8That doesn't mean that the RGP was not9the very bottom of that page, paragraph 23.9performing in other very key areas and10You say:10strategic business areas. So, um, I think if11"Finally, although I have only seen this11important to the HMIC was an importan12disclosure recently, I would add that I do not12um, that strategic direction for the organis13think the disclosure requested and provided13and maybe we should have done more, but14since June 2024 would be of any significant14doesn't mean that the RGP wasn't doing15relevance to the PLOI albeit clearly connected15enough already in other areas of business.16to it, save perhaps messages exchanged16Q. And just focusing on the relevance point,17between me and the then Minister for Justice,17what we have already discussed, does your18the Honourable Miss Samantha Sacramento18position apply equally to your discussions wi<	the pustly. it's tant, nisation ut that s. nt, with ur is at,
3wouldn't allow it, but you may have one on3Q. Go on, of course.4leave and one going off sick. So these are4A. Sorry, if I can as well. I think I would I5huge concerns and feature very highly in the5to add as well that I said in my previous6RGP Force risk register in respect of the risks6evidence that Mr McGrail's approach at th7that we carry.7time was to fight crime and he did so robus8Q. Can we now turn to 873, please, E873, at8That doesn't mean that the RGP was not9the very bottom of that page, paragraph 23.9performing in other very key areas and10You say:10strategic business areas. So, um, I think it11"Finally, although I have only seen this11important to the HMIC was an important12disclosure recently, I would add that I do not12um, that strategic direction for the organis13think the disclosure requested and provided13and maybe we should have done more, but14since June 2024 would be of any significant14doesn't mean that the RGP wasn't doing15relevance to the PLOI albeit clearly connected16Q. And just focusing on the relevance point,17between me and the then Minister for Justice,17what we have already discussed, does your18the Honourable Miss Samantha Sacramento18Mr McGrail about the incident at sea? Your20who at that material time expressed no20Now, can we go to E629, please. This is <t< td=""><td>the pustly. it's tant, nisation ut that s. nt, with ur is at,</td></t<>	the pustly. it's tant, nisation ut that s. nt, with ur is at,

Epiq Europe Ltd +44 (0) 207 404 1400 www.epiqglobal.com Lower Ground Floor, 46 Chancery Lane casemanagers@epiqglobal.com London, WC2A 1JE

40 (Pages 157 to 160)

1 there is no actual sudden death. It is 1 who says to you: "(Spanish text read). He is 2 2 a hypothetical sudden death that had come up buddy buddy with the Stipe." And your 3 3 in conversation. And Mr McGrail in the response is: "Indeed, too close to him." 4 4 second message from the top says to you: A. Correct, that is right. I think that's what 5 "(Spanish text read). Paul Richardson should 5 you said earlier. 6 not investigate the sudden death because he 6 Q. Sorry, I thought I had got it the wrong way 7 says it is involuntary manslaughter on my 7 round. 8 8 A. No. part." 9 And you reply: "(Spanish text read). I know, 9 Q. So is your evidence that you do not believe 10 10 my god, and how the guy, we are in a mess." that he was too close to the Stipe. Presumably 11 11 that means the Stipendiary Magistrate. Or words more or less to that effect. I mean, 12 you can correct my translation of Spanish. 12 A. Correct. He was, I think they were good 13 Mr McGrail replies: "(Spanish text read). The 13 friends, but when we talk about professional 14 14 problem is that he is buddy buddy with the lines, um, the command team had very strict 15 Stipe." And then you reply: "Indeed, too close 15 professional lines at all times and I know 16 16 Mr Richardson as an individual would never to him." What did you mean by your 17 17 messages in this exchange? cross those lines. 18 A. So, I think I have got a context to this 18 Q. When he --19 19 because this has nothing to do with this Public A. And again I must stress that this was 20 20 literally banter about the individual that was Inquiry. It has nothing to do with ... and I 21 smile because it was banter. It was banter in 21 harassing Mr McGrail at the time. And we 22 22 respect of something that had happened to were just creating this hypothetical scenario 23 23 Mr McGrail where an individual was that could happen, um, and just making a joke 24 24 constantly complaining to him and, um, out of it. 25 25 through messaging or calling him or stopping Q. Then after that, I only focus on these Page 163 Page 161 1 him. And basically he told this individual, and 1 because they are dated 22 April 2020. There 2 again this is banter, told this individual to get 2 are four messages. The next four messages 3 3 a life and, um, in conversations I think we had between you are deleted. I believe they are all 4 in the corridor or in his office, I can't 4 deleted by you. But just before I ask you the 5 5 remember the detail, but basically he said to question, I want to point out, in all fairness to 6 me that it was going to be my problem now, 6 you, that they are at 1 pm the next day. Was 7 7 um, and the banter was about the person taking that a continuation on the same topic or was it 8 his life because he told him, "Get a life." And 8 an unrelated matter or do you not remember? 9 there's nothing sinister about it whatsoever. 9 A. In all honesty, I don't remember. 10 10 Q. No, I understand that and I am not Q. So, do you have any idea why those 11 suggesting that there is anything sinister in 11 messages were deleted? 12 relation to (inaudible), let me that I can that 12 A. No, Mr Santos, at all. 13 absolutely clear. My question is more about 13 Q. Now, can we turn to some questions about 14 14 your reaction to that suggestion and your your work ... sorry. 15 suggestion subsequently: "(Spanish text read). 15 A. Sorry, sir. It would be wrong of me to turn 16 He is buddy buddy with the Stipe." Why did 16 round and say to you that, no, that is about 17 you say that about Mr Richardson? 17 something else. I just don't know. But yes, 18 A. So, that's all banter between me and 18 it's true, it happened the next day. 19 Mr McGrail. I have the utmost respect for 19 Q. Can we turn now to some questions about 20 20 your work phone. If we can go to E1069. Mr Richardson as an individual and as 21 21 This is a statement submitted by DC Garcia a professional. And that conversation is no 22 22 reflection of what I think of Mr Richardson at and we have already gone to this paragraph but 23 23 I just want to focus on subparagraphs (a) and all. 24 24 Q. Then further down the page, sorry, let me (b), where he says that he has found 2,733 25 just clarify that. Actually it is Mr McGrail 25 messages. In the image taken from Page 162 Page 164

Epiq Europe Ltd +44 (0) 207 404 1400 www.epiqglobal.com Lower Ground Floor, 46 Chancery Lane casemanagers@epiqglobal.com London, WC2A 1JE

41 (Pages 161 to 164)

1	Mr McGrail's phone, he has found 2,733	1	were lost in that process?
2	messages between your personal devices. Is	2	A. Not at all.
3	that correct?	3	Q. Before you made that transition, or at the
4	A. That's correct.	4	time of the transition, did you realise that this
5	Q. And then no messages between	5	would result in your previous messages being
6	Mr McGrail's personal device and your work	6	lost?
7	device. Is that correct?	7	A. Not at all, no.
8	A. That's correct.	8	Q. After the transition, did you realise that
9	Q. Did you ever, as far as you recall,	9	your previous messages had been lost?
10	exchange messages between your work phone	10	A. No.
11	and Mr McGrail's personal phone?	11	Q. Not even when opening the device, there
12	A. I can't honestly remember, but if it would	12	were no WhatsApp messages there, did you
13	have happened, there would have been very,	13	not realise that?
14	very few.	14	A. No.
15	Q. Did you ever exchange messages between	15	Q. Did you make any inquiries around the
16	your work phone and Mr McGrail's work	16	time of the transition, whether before or after,
17	phone?	17	about how to back up or transfer the messages
18	A. Again, similarly, I can't remember.	18	that were on your Samsung device?
19	Q. But	19	A. No, I didn't, no.
20	A. And if there would have been, there would	20	Q. Why not?
21	have been very few, if there would have been	21	A. Quite clearly, Mr Santos, we were dealing
22	any.	22	with, um, a number of different critical matters
23	Q. So your evidence is that your predominant	23	around the RGP, not least the resilience issues
24	means of communication was personal to	24	and, um, developing the RGP in a number of
25	personal.	25	business areas, that I just didn't I mean, I
20	personali	20	Submess areas, mae i just dan tim i mean, i
	Page 165		Page 167
1	A. That's correct, sir.		
-			wouldn't think about it, it wouldn't occur to
2	Q. And as far as messages on your work to	2	me. And I would have hoped that people from
3	Q. And as far as messages on your work to work phones are concerned, the Inquiry's	2 3	me. And I would have hoped that people from ITLD would have been the people appropriate
3 4	Q. And as far as messages on your work to work phones are concerned, the Inquiry's understanding is that if any did exist, those are	2 3 4	me. And I would have hoped that people from ITLD would have been the people appropriate to be doing this for us. But unfortunately, as
3 4 5	Q. And as far as messages on your work to work phones are concerned, the Inquiry's understanding is that if any did exist, those are no longer available due to the transition from	2 3 4 5	me. And I would have hoped that people from ITLD would have been the people appropriate to be doing this for us. But unfortunately, as Mr Yeats explained earlier on this morning,
3 4 5 6	Q. And as far as messages on your work to work phones are concerned, the Inquiry's understanding is that if any did exist, those are no longer available due to the transition from Samsung to iPhone.	2 3 4 5 6	me. And I would have hoped that people from ITLD would have been the people appropriate to be doing this for us. But unfortunately, as Mr Yeats explained earlier on this morning, they were not happy to support us in this
3 4 5 6 7	 Q. And as far as messages on your work to work phones are concerned, the Inquiry's understanding is that if any did exist, those are no longer available due to the transition from Samsung to iPhone. A. That's correct. 	2 3 4 5 6 7	me. And I would have hoped that people from ITLD would have been the people appropriate to be doing this for us. But unfortunately, as Mr Yeats explained earlier on this morning, they were not happy to support us in this journey.
3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. And as far as messages on your work to work phones are concerned, the Inquiry's understanding is that if any did exist, those are no longer available due to the transition from Samsung to iPhone. A. That's correct. Q. Can we now go to E877, please. This is 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 me. And I would have hoped that people from ITLD would have been the people appropriate to be doing this for us. But unfortunately, as Mr Yeats explained earlier on this morning, they were not happy to support us in this journey. Q. Given that by that stage the Inquiry had
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. And as far as messages on your work to work phones are concerned, the Inquiry's understanding is that if any did exist, those are no longer available due to the transition from Samsung to iPhone. A. That's correct. Q. Can we now go to E877, please. This is where Mr Yeats deals with the Samsung issue. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 me. And I would have hoped that people from ITLD would have been the people appropriate to be doing this for us. But unfortunately, as Mr Yeats explained earlier on this morning, they were not happy to support us in this journey. Q. Given that by that stage the Inquiry had been announced by the Chief Minister in
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q. And as far as messages on your work to work phones are concerned, the Inquiry's understanding is that if any did exist, those are no longer available due to the transition from Samsung to iPhone. A. That's correct. Q. Can we now go to E877, please. This is where Mr Yeats deals with the Samsung issue. It is paragraph 12 and then paragraph 26. We 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 me. And I would have hoped that people from ITLD would have been the people appropriate to be doing this for us. But unfortunately, as Mr Yeats explained earlier on this morning, they were not happy to support us in this journey. Q. Given that by that stage the Inquiry had been announced by the Chief Minister in Parliament, why did you not seek to at least
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. And as far as messages on your work to work phones are concerned, the Inquiry's understanding is that if any did exist, those are no longer available due to the transition from Samsung to iPhone. A. That's correct. Q. Can we now go to E877, please. This is where Mr Yeats deals with the Samsung issue. It is paragraph 12 and then paragraph 26. We went through them this morning, but I am 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 me. And I would have hoped that people from ITLD would have been the people appropriate to be doing this for us. But unfortunately, as Mr Yeats explained earlier on this morning, they were not happy to support us in this journey. Q. Given that by that stage the Inquiry had been announced by the Chief Minister in Parliament, why did you not seek to at least preserve your messages with Mr McGrail?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q. And as far as messages on your work to work phones are concerned, the Inquiry's understanding is that if any did exist, those are no longer available due to the transition from Samsung to iPhone. A. That's correct. Q. Can we now go to E877, please. This is where Mr Yeats deals with the Samsung issue. It is paragraph 12 and then paragraph 26. We went through them this morning, but I am happy for you to read them again if you wish 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 me. And I would have hoped that people from ITLD would have been the people appropriate to be doing this for us. But unfortunately, as Mr Yeats explained earlier on this morning, they were not happy to support us in this journey. Q. Given that by that stage the Inquiry had been announced by the Chief Minister in Parliament, why did you not seek to at least preserve your messages with Mr McGrail? A. I didn't even think of it.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. And as far as messages on your work to work phones are concerned, the Inquiry's understanding is that if any did exist, those are no longer available due to the transition from Samsung to iPhone. A. That's correct. Q. Can we now go to E877, please. This is where Mr Yeats deals with the Samsung issue. It is paragraph 12 and then paragraph 26. We went through them this morning, but I am happy for you to read them again if you wish to remind yourself of them. (Pause). 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 me. And I would have hoped that people from ITLD would have been the people appropriate to be doing this for us. But unfortunately, as Mr Yeats explained earlier on this morning, they were not happy to support us in this journey. Q. Given that by that stage the Inquiry had been announced by the Chief Minister in Parliament, why did you not seek to at least preserve your messages with Mr McGrail? A. I didn't even think of it. Q. Now, E319, please.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. And as far as messages on your work to work phones are concerned, the Inquiry's understanding is that if any did exist, those are no longer available due to the transition from Samsung to iPhone. A. That's correct. Q. Can we now go to E877, please. This is where Mr Yeats deals with the Samsung issue. It is paragraph 12 and then paragraph 26. We went through them this morning, but I am happy for you to read them again if you wish to remind yourself of them. (Pause). A. I am happy to proceed. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 me. And I would have hoped that people from ITLD would have been the people appropriate to be doing this for us. But unfortunately, as Mr Yeats explained earlier on this morning, they were not happy to support us in this journey. Q. Given that by that stage the Inquiry had been announced by the Chief Minister in Parliament, why did you not seek to at least preserve your messages with Mr McGrail? A. I didn't even think of it. Q. Now, E319, please. A. I think it's important again that I reiterate
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. And as far as messages on your work to work phones are concerned, the Inquiry's understanding is that if any did exist, those are no longer available due to the transition from Samsung to iPhone. A. That's correct. Q. Can we now go to E877, please. This is where Mr Yeats deals with the Samsung issue. It is paragraph 12 and then paragraph 26. We went through them this morning, but I am happy for you to read them again if you wish to remind yourself of them. (Pause). A. I am happy to proceed. Q. I am just going to ask you some general 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 me. And I would have hoped that people from ITLD would have been the people appropriate to be doing this for us. But unfortunately, as Mr Yeats explained earlier on this morning, they were not happy to support us in this journey. Q. Given that by that stage the Inquiry had been announced by the Chief Minister in Parliament, why did you not seek to at least preserve your messages with Mr McGrail? A. I didn't even think of it. Q. Now, E319, please. A. I think it's important again that I reiterate to you, Mr Santos, that for me the WhatsApp
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. And as far as messages on your work to work phones are concerned, the Inquiry's understanding is that if any did exist, those are no longer available due to the transition from Samsung to iPhone. A. That's correct. Q. Can we now go to E877, please. This is where Mr Yeats deals with the Samsung issue. It is paragraph 12 and then paragraph 26. We went through them this morning, but I am happy for you to read them again if you wish to remind yourself of them. (Pause). A. I am happy to proceed. Q. I am just going to ask you some general questions about it. It is not about the detail. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 me. And I would have hoped that people from ITLD would have been the people appropriate to be doing this for us. But unfortunately, as Mr Yeats explained earlier on this morning, they were not happy to support us in this journey. Q. Given that by that stage the Inquiry had been announced by the Chief Minister in Parliament, why did you not seek to at least preserve your messages with Mr McGrail? A. I didn't even think of it. Q. Now, E319, please. A. I think it's important again that I reiterate to you, Mr Santos, that for me the WhatsApp messages were probably the most irrelevant
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. And as far as messages on your work to work phones are concerned, the Inquiry's understanding is that if any did exist, those are no longer available due to the transition from Samsung to iPhone. A. That's correct. Q. Can we now go to E877, please. This is where Mr Yeats deals with the Samsung issue. It is paragraph 12 and then paragraph 26. We went through them this morning, but I am happy for you to read them again if you wish to remind yourself of them. (Pause). A. I am happy to proceed. Q. I am just going to ask you some general questions about it. It is not about the detail. Why did the RGP make the transition from 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 me. And I would have hoped that people from ITLD would have been the people appropriate to be doing this for us. But unfortunately, as Mr Yeats explained earlier on this morning, they were not happy to support us in this journey. Q. Given that by that stage the Inquiry had been announced by the Chief Minister in Parliament, why did you not seek to at least preserve your messages with Mr McGrail? A. I didn't even think of it. Q. Now, E319, please. A. I think it's important again that I reiterate to you, Mr Santos, that for me the WhatsApp messages were probably the most irrelevant data that the RGP held, considering everything
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. And as far as messages on your work to work phones are concerned, the Inquiry's understanding is that if any did exist, those are no longer available due to the transition from Samsung to iPhone. A. That's correct. Q. Can we now go to E877, please. This is where Mr Yeats deals with the Samsung issue. It is paragraph 12 and then paragraph 26. We went through them this morning, but I am happy for you to read them again if you wish to remind yourself of them. (Pause). A. I am happy to proceed. Q. I am just going to ask you some general questions about it. It is not about the detail. Why did the RGP make the transition from Samsung to iPhone? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 me. And I would have hoped that people from ITLD would have been the people appropriate to be doing this for us. But unfortunately, as Mr Yeats explained earlier on this morning, they were not happy to support us in this journey. Q. Given that by that stage the Inquiry had been announced by the Chief Minister in Parliament, why did you not seek to at least preserve your messages with Mr McGrail? A. I didn't even think of it. Q. Now, E319, please. A. I think it's important again that I reiterate to you, Mr Santos, that for me the WhatsApp messages were probably the most irrelevant data that the RGP held, considering everything that we have produced for this Public Inquiry.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q. And as far as messages on your work to work phones are concerned, the Inquiry's understanding is that if any did exist, those are no longer available due to the transition from Samsung to iPhone. A. That's correct. Q. Can we now go to E877, please. This is where Mr Yeats deals with the Samsung issue. It is paragraph 12 and then paragraph 26. We went through them this morning, but I am happy for you to read them again if you wish to remind yourself of them. (Pause). A. I am happy to proceed. Q. I am just going to ask you some general questions about it. It is not about the detail. Why did the RGP make the transition from Samsung to iPhone? A. I think Mr Yeats already alluded to it. It 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 me. And I would have hoped that people from ITLD would have been the people appropriate to be doing this for us. But unfortunately, as Mr Yeats explained earlier on this morning, they were not happy to support us in this journey. Q. Given that by that stage the Inquiry had been announced by the Chief Minister in Parliament, why did you not seek to at least preserve your messages with Mr McGrail? A. I didn't even think of it. Q. Now, E319, please. A. I think it's important again that I reiterate to you, Mr Santos, that for me the WhatsApp messages were probably the most irrelevant data that the RGP held, considering everything that we have produced for this Public Inquiry. For me it was more important to produce
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. And as far as messages on your work to work phones are concerned, the Inquiry's understanding is that if any did exist, those are no longer available due to the transition from Samsung to iPhone. A. That's correct. Q. Can we now go to E877, please. This is where Mr Yeats deals with the Samsung issue. It is paragraph 12 and then paragraph 26. We went through them this morning, but I am happy for you to read them again if you wish to remind yourself of them. (Pause). A. I am happy to proceed. Q. I am just going to ask you some general questions about it. It is not about the detail. Why did the RGP make the transition from Samsung to iPhone? A. I think Mr Yeats already alluded to it. It was a preference, you know, it was a choice. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 me. And I would have hoped that people from ITLD would have been the people appropriate to be doing this for us. But unfortunately, as Mr Yeats explained earlier on this morning, they were not happy to support us in this journey. Q. Given that by that stage the Inquiry had been announced by the Chief Minister in Parliament, why did you not seek to at least preserve your messages with Mr McGrail? A. I didn't even think of it. Q. Now, E319, please. A. I think it's important again that I reiterate to you, Mr Santos, that for me the WhatsApp messages were probably the most irrelevant data that the RGP held, considering everything that we have produced for this Public Inquiry. For me it was more important to produce daybooks which recorded decision making
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. And as far as messages on your work to work phones are concerned, the Inquiry's understanding is that if any did exist, those are no longer available due to the transition from Samsung to iPhone. A. That's correct. Q. Can we now go to E877, please. This is where Mr Yeats deals with the Samsung issue. It is paragraph 12 and then paragraph 26. We went through them this morning, but I am happy for you to read them again if you wish to remind yourself of them. (Pause). A. I am happy to proceed. Q. I am just going to ask you some general questions about it. It is not about the detail. Why did the RGP make the transition from Samsung to iPhone? A. I think Mr Yeats already alluded to it. It was a preference, you know, it was a choice. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 me. And I would have hoped that people from ITLD would have been the people appropriate to be doing this for us. But unfortunately, as Mr Yeats explained earlier on this morning, they were not happy to support us in this journey. Q. Given that by that stage the Inquiry had been announced by the Chief Minister in Parliament, why did you not seek to at least preserve your messages with Mr McGrail? A. I didn't even think of it. Q. Now, E319, please. A. I think it's important again that I reiterate to you, Mr Santos, that for me the WhatsApp messages were probably the most irrelevant data that the RGP held, considering everything that we have produced for this Public Inquiry. For me it was more important to produce daybooks which recorded decision making processes, our emails. Those for me were
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. And as far as messages on your work to work phones are concerned, the Inquiry's understanding is that if any did exist, those are no longer available due to the transition from Samsung to iPhone. A. That's correct. Q. Can we now go to E877, please. This is where Mr Yeats deals with the Samsung issue. It is paragraph 12 and then paragraph 26. We went through them this morning, but I am happy for you to read them again if you wish to remind yourself of them. (Pause). A. I am happy to proceed. Q. I am just going to ask you some general questions about it. It is not about the detail. Why did the RGP make the transition from Samsung to iPhone? A. I think Mr Yeats already alluded to it. It was a preference, you know, it was a choice. We preferred the iPhones. I think we all operated on iPhones personally, um, and we 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 me. And I would have hoped that people from ITLD would have been the people appropriate to be doing this for us. But unfortunately, as Mr Yeats explained earlier on this morning, they were not happy to support us in this journey. Q. Given that by that stage the Inquiry had been announced by the Chief Minister in Parliament, why did you not seek to at least preserve your messages with Mr McGrail? A. I didn't even think of it. Q. Now, E319, please. A. I think it's important again that I reiterate to you, Mr Santos, that for me the WhatsApp messages were probably the most irrelevant data that the RGP held, considering everything that we have produced for this Public Inquiry. For me it was more important to produce daybooks which recorded decision making processes, our emails. Those for me were probably the most important, um, pieces of
$ \begin{array}{c} 3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\\23\end{array} $	 Q. And as far as messages on your work to work phones are concerned, the Inquiry's understanding is that if any did exist, those are no longer available due to the transition from Samsung to iPhone. A. That's correct. Q. Can we now go to E877, please. This is where Mr Yeats deals with the Samsung issue. It is paragraph 12 and then paragraph 26. We went through them this morning, but I am happy for you to read them again if you wish to remind yourself of them. (Pause). A. I am happy to proceed. Q. I am just going to ask you some general questions about it. It is not about the detail. Why did the RGP make the transition from Samsung to iPhone? A. I think Mr Yeats already alluded to it. It was a preference, you know, it was a choice. We preferred the iPhones. I think we all operated on iPhones personally, um, and we just couldn't get used to the Samsungs. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 me. And I would have hoped that people from ITLD would have been the people appropriate to be doing this for us. But unfortunately, as Mr Yeats explained earlier on this morning, they were not happy to support us in this journey. Q. Given that by that stage the Inquiry had been announced by the Chief Minister in Parliament, why did you not seek to at least preserve your messages with Mr McGrail? A. I didn't even think of it. Q. Now, E319, please. A. I think it's important again that I reiterate to you, Mr Santos, that for me the WhatsApp messages were probably the most irrelevant data that the RGP held, considering everything that we have produced for this Public Inquiry. For me it was more important to produce daybooks which recorded decision making processes, our emails. Those for me were probably the most important, um, pieces of data that we could protect.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. And as far as messages on your work to work phones are concerned, the Inquiry's understanding is that if any did exist, those are no longer available due to the transition from Samsung to iPhone. A. That's correct. Q. Can we now go to E877, please. This is where Mr Yeats deals with the Samsung issue. It is paragraph 12 and then paragraph 26. We went through them this morning, but I am happy for you to read them again if you wish to remind yourself of them. (Pause). A. I am happy to proceed. Q. I am just going to ask you some general questions about it. It is not about the detail. Why did the RGP make the transition from Samsung to iPhone? A. I think Mr Yeats already alluded to it. It was a preference, you know, it was a choice. We preferred the iPhones. I think we all operated on iPhones personally, um, and we just couldn't get used to the Samsungs. Q. Do you have anything to add to Mr Yeats's 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 me. And I would have hoped that people from ITLD would have been the people appropriate to be doing this for us. But unfortunately, as Mr Yeats explained earlier on this morning, they were not happy to support us in this journey. Q. Given that by that stage the Inquiry had been announced by the Chief Minister in Parliament, why did you not seek to at least preserve your messages with Mr McGrail? A. I didn't even think of it. Q. Now, E319, please. A. I think it's important again that I reiterate to you, Mr Santos, that for me the WhatsApp messages were probably the most irrelevant data that the RGP held, considering everything that we have produced for this Public Inquiry. For me it was more important to produce daybooks which recorded decision making processes, our emails. Those for me were probably the most important, um, pieces of data that we could protect. Q. If we can go to 319, there is the mobile
$ \begin{array}{c} 3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\\23\end{array} $	 Q. And as far as messages on your work to work phones are concerned, the Inquiry's understanding is that if any did exist, those are no longer available due to the transition from Samsung to iPhone. A. That's correct. Q. Can we now go to E877, please. This is where Mr Yeats deals with the Samsung issue. It is paragraph 12 and then paragraph 26. We went through them this morning, but I am happy for you to read them again if you wish to remind yourself of them. (Pause). A. I am happy to proceed. Q. I am just going to ask you some general questions about it. It is not about the detail. Why did the RGP make the transition from Samsung to iPhone? A. I think Mr Yeats already alluded to it. It was a preference, you know, it was a choice. We preferred the iPhones. I think we all operated on iPhones personally, um, and we just couldn't get used to the Samsungs. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 me. And I would have hoped that people from ITLD would have been the people appropriate to be doing this for us. But unfortunately, as Mr Yeats explained earlier on this morning, they were not happy to support us in this journey. Q. Given that by that stage the Inquiry had been announced by the Chief Minister in Parliament, why did you not seek to at least preserve your messages with Mr McGrail? A. I didn't even think of it. Q. Now, E319, please. A. I think it's important again that I reiterate to you, Mr Santos, that for me the WhatsApp messages were probably the most irrelevant data that the RGP held, considering everything that we have produced for this Public Inquiry. For me it was more important to produce daybooks which recorded decision making processes, our emails. Those for me were probably the most important, um, pieces of data that we could protect.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. And as far as messages on your work to work phones are concerned, the Inquiry's understanding is that if any did exist, those are no longer available due to the transition from Samsung to iPhone. A. That's correct. Q. Can we now go to E877, please. This is where Mr Yeats deals with the Samsung issue. It is paragraph 12 and then paragraph 26. We went through them this morning, but I am happy for you to read them again if you wish to remind yourself of them. (Pause). A. I am happy to proceed. Q. I am just going to ask you some general questions about it. It is not about the detail. Why did the RGP make the transition from Samsung to iPhone? A. I think Mr Yeats already alluded to it. It was a preference, you know, it was a choice. We preferred the iPhones. I think we all operated on iPhones personally, um, and we just couldn't get used to the Samsungs. Q. Do you have anything to add to Mr Yeats's 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 me. And I would have hoped that people from ITLD would have been the people appropriate to be doing this for us. But unfortunately, as Mr Yeats explained earlier on this morning, they were not happy to support us in this journey. Q. Given that by that stage the Inquiry had been announced by the Chief Minister in Parliament, why did you not seek to at least preserve your messages with Mr McGrail? A. I didn't even think of it. Q. Now, E319, please. A. I think it's important again that I reiterate to you, Mr Santos, that for me the WhatsApp messages were probably the most irrelevant data that the RGP held, considering everything that we have produced for this Public Inquiry. For me it was more important to produce daybooks which recorded decision making processes, our emails. Those for me were probably the most important, um, pieces of data that we could protect. Q. If we can go to 319, there is the mobile

42 (Pages 165 to 168)

	We went through it this morning.	1	the instruction, but it just would have been
1 2	A. Yes.	2	provided to the department and the process
			would have been followed.
3	Q. I am not going to take you through it again,	3	
4	but were you aware of the policy when it was	4	THE CHAIRMAN: Sorry, just let me come
5	introduced?	5	back to a question you asked a few moments
6	A. Yes, I was.	6	ago. Do you think that your policy was in fact
7	Q. And when you became Commissioner of	7	just lifted from the College of Policing from
8	the RGP, did you give any consideration to	8	a pro forma document?
9	whether this policy needed to be improved or	9	A. So, um, one of the recommendations by
10	updated?	10	HIMC was to ensure that any work that we do
11	A. Um, so, our policies are reviewed by our	11	in respect of best practice in the United
12	corporate services department. So I won't	12	Kingdom, we must make sure that as well it is
13	know at what stage whether they may have	13	applicable to the Royal Gibraltar Police in the
14	reviewed it. If you go a bit further down it	14	police environment that we work here in
15	might tell you. No, okay. So it wouldn't have	15	Gibraltar.
16	been reviewed by our corporate services. But	16	THE CHAIRMAN: Yes.
17	we, the Royal Gibraltar Police, had become	17	A. So, what they have said to us is never to
18	a learning organisation and we adopted, um,	18	adopt something which has been adopted for
19	some learning as a result of the Public Inquiry	19	UK policing in its entirety. But I would say,
20	in June of last year. And we have already	20	sir, that probably 95 per cent of this policy
21	started to take measures, as you will have	21	reflected what is best practice in the UK, yes.
22	heard from Mr Yeats this morning, of creating	22	THE CHAIRMAN: Is that in fact what
23	a better policy that addresses some of the	23	happened, do you think?
24	issues, but there is no definitive answer to a lot	24	A. Yes.
25	of the gaps that currently exist and that will	25	THE CHAIRMAN: Do you think it was just
20	or one gaps once carreney ense and once whi		
	Page 169		Page 171
1	probably continue to exist.	1	1:0 - 1 1 - 0
	probably continue to exist.	1	lifted, is that right?
2	Q. And do you have anything to add to what	2	A. Well, so this happened in 201, sir. So what
2 3	· ·		-
	Q. And do you have anything to add to what	2	A. Well, so this happened in 201, sir. So what
3	Q. And do you have anything to add to what Mr Yeats said about the reasoning for the	2 3	A. Well, so this happened in 201, sir. So what I suspect happened is that the officer that was
3 4	Q. And do you have anything to add to what Mr Yeats said about the reasoning for the policy and his findings or the RGP's findings	2 3 4	A. Well, so this happened in 201, sir. So what I suspect happened is that the officer that was tasked to create the policy researched it and
3 4 5	Q. And do you have anything to add to what Mr Yeats said about the reasoning for the policy and his findings or the RGP's findings as to the best practice adopted by forces in the	2 3 4 5	A. Well, so this happened in 201, sir. So what I suspect happened is that the officer that was tasked to create the policy researched it and produced a policy for us reflecting what is best
3 4 5 6	Q. And do you have anything to add to what Mr Yeats said about the reasoning for the policy and his findings or the RGP's findings as to the best practice adopted by forces in the UK?	2 3 4 5 6	A. Well, so this happened in 201, sir. So what I suspect happened is that the officer that was tasked to create the policy researched it and produced a policy for us reflecting what is best practice in the UK. I mean, he may have
3 4 5 6 7	 Q. And do you have anything to add to what Mr Yeats said about the reasoning for the policy and his findings or the RGP's findings as to the best practice adopted by forces in the UK? A. Correct, um, I think, so Mr Yeats has 	2 3 4 5 6 7	A. Well, so this happened in 201, sir. So what I suspect happened is that the officer that was tasked to create the policy researched it and produced a policy for us reflecting what is best practice in the UK. I mean, he may have changed here and there and few minor details,
3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. And do you have anything to add to what Mr Yeats said about the reasoning for the policy and his findings or the RGP's findings as to the best practice adopted by forces in the UK? A. Correct, um, I think, so Mr Yeats has agreed to share with yourselves some of the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. Well, so this happened in 201, sir. So what I suspect happened is that the officer that was tasked to create the policy researched it and produced a policy for us reflecting what is best practice in the UK. I mean, he may have changed here and there and few minor details, but it would have been probably, um, best practice in the UK.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. And do you have anything to add to what Mr Yeats said about the reasoning for the policy and his findings or the RGP's findings as to the best practice adopted by forces in the UK? A. Correct, um, I think, so Mr Yeats has agreed to share with yourselves some of the policies that currently exist in the United Kingdom. I think what is important here that 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. Well, so this happened in 201, sir. So what I suspect happened is that the officer that was tasked to create the policy researched it and produced a policy for us reflecting what is best practice in the UK. I mean, he may have changed here and there and few minor details, but it would have been probably, um, best practice in the UK. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, okay.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q. And do you have anything to add to what Mr Yeats said about the reasoning for the policy and his findings or the RGP's findings as to the best practice adopted by forces in the UK? A. Correct, um, I think, so Mr Yeats has agreed to share with yourselves some of the policies that currently exist in the United Kingdom. I think what is important here that we also acknowledge is that the Royal 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. Well, so this happened in 201, sir. So what I suspect happened is that the officer that was tasked to create the policy researched it and produced a policy for us reflecting what is best practice in the UK. I mean, he may have changed here and there and few minor details, but it would have been probably, um, best practice in the UK. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, okay. MR SANTOS: As far as Mr McGrail's phone
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. And do you have anything to add to what Mr Yeats said about the reasoning for the policy and his findings or the RGP's findings as to the best practice adopted by forces in the UK? A. Correct, um, I think, so Mr Yeats has agreed to share with yourselves some of the policies that currently exist in the United Kingdom. I think what is important here that we also acknowledge is that the Royal Gibraltar Police is a very small force and we 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. Well, so this happened in 201, sir. So what I suspect happened is that the officer that was tasked to create the policy researched it and produced a policy for us reflecting what is best practice in the UK. I mean, he may have changed here and there and few minor details, but it would have been probably, um, best practice in the UK. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, okay. MR SANTOS: As far as Mr McGrail's phone is concerned, do you know when
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q. And do you have anything to add to what Mr Yeats said about the reasoning for the policy and his findings or the RGP's findings as to the best practice adopted by forces in the UK? A. Correct, um, I think, so Mr Yeats has agreed to share with yourselves some of the policies that currently exist in the United Kingdom. I think what is important here that we also acknowledge is that the Royal 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Well, so this happened in 201, sir. So what I suspect happened is that the officer that was tasked to create the policy researched it and produced a policy for us reflecting what is best practice in the UK. I mean, he may have changed here and there and few minor details, but it would have been probably, um, best practice in the UK. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, okay. MR SANTOS: As far as Mr McGrail's phone is concerned, do you know when Mr McGrail's phone was wiped?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. And do you have anything to add to what Mr Yeats said about the reasoning for the policy and his findings or the RGP's findings as to the best practice adopted by forces in the UK? A. Correct, um, I think, so Mr Yeats has agreed to share with yourselves some of the policies that currently exist in the United Kingdom. I think what is important here that we also acknowledge is that the Royal Gibraltar Police is a very small force and we depend on the College of Policing in the UK who have researchers and who do a lot of 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. Well, so this happened in 201, sir. So what I suspect happened is that the officer that was tasked to create the policy researched it and produced a policy for us reflecting what is best practice in the UK. I mean, he may have changed here and there and few minor details, but it would have been probably, um, best practice in the UK. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, okay. MR SANTOS: As far as Mr McGrail's phone is concerned, do you know when Mr McGrail's phone was wiped? A. No, sir. I had no control of that.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. And do you have anything to add to what Mr Yeats said about the reasoning for the policy and his findings or the RGP's findings as to the best practice adopted by forces in the UK? A. Correct, um, I think, so Mr Yeats has agreed to share with yourselves some of the policies that currently exist in the United Kingdom. I think what is important here that we also acknowledge is that the Royal Gibraltar Police is a very small force and we depend on the College of Policing in the UK who have researchers and who do a lot of work in the background to support British 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. Well, so this happened in 201, sir. So what I suspect happened is that the officer that was tasked to create the policy researched it and produced a policy for us reflecting what is best practice in the UK. I mean, he may have changed here and there and few minor details, but it would have been probably, um, best practice in the UK. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, okay. MR SANTOS: As far as Mr McGrail's phone is concerned, do you know when Mr McGrail's phone was wiped? A. No, sir. I had no control of that. Q. Would there be any record of Mr McGrail's
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. And do you have anything to add to what Mr Yeats said about the reasoning for the policy and his findings or the RGP's findings as to the best practice adopted by forces in the UK? A. Correct, um, I think, so Mr Yeats has agreed to share with yourselves some of the policies that currently exist in the United Kingdom. I think what is important here that we also acknowledge is that the Royal Gibraltar Police is a very small force and we depend on the College of Policing in the UK who have researchers and who do a lot of work in the background to support British policieg. A lot of policies that UK police 	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ \end{array} $	 A. Well, so this happened in 201, sir. So what I suspect happened is that the officer that was tasked to create the policy researched it and produced a policy for us reflecting what is best practice in the UK. I mean, he may have changed here and there and few minor details, but it would have been probably, um, best practice in the UK. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, okay. MR SANTOS: As far as Mr McGrail's phone is concerned, do you know when Mr McGrail's phone was wiped? A. No, sir. I had no control of that. Q. Would there be any record of Mr McGrail's phone being wiped?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. And do you have anything to add to what Mr Yeats said about the reasoning for the policy and his findings or the RGP's findings as to the best practice adopted by forces in the UK? A. Correct, um, I think, so Mr Yeats has agreed to share with yourselves some of the policies that currently exist in the United Kingdom. I think what is important here that we also acknowledge is that the Royal Gibraltar Police is a very small force and we depend on the College of Policing in the UK who have researchers and who do a lot of work in the background to support British policing. A lot of policies that UK police forces have come from again research that the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. Well, so this happened in 201, sir. So what I suspect happened is that the officer that was tasked to create the policy researched it and produced a policy for us reflecting what is best practice in the UK. I mean, he may have changed here and there and few minor details, but it would have been probably, um, best practice in the UK. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, okay. MR SANTOS: As far as Mr McGrail's phone is concerned, do you know when Mr McGrail's phone was wiped? A. No, sir. I had no control of that. Q. Would there be any record of Mr McGrail's phone being wiped? A. I'm sure there would be, yes.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. And do you have anything to add to what Mr Yeats said about the reasoning for the policy and his findings or the RGP's findings as to the best practice adopted by forces in the UK? A. Correct, um, I think, so Mr Yeats has agreed to share with yourselves some of the policies that currently exist in the United Kingdom. I think what is important here that we also acknowledge is that the Royal Gibraltar Police is a very small force and we depend on the College of Policing in the UK who have researchers and who do a lot of work in the background to support British policing. A lot of policies that UK police forces have come from again research that the College of Policing has undertaken. So this 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. Well, so this happened in 201, sir. So what I suspect happened is that the officer that was tasked to create the policy researched it and produced a policy for us reflecting what is best practice in the UK. I mean, he may have changed here and there and few minor details, but it would have been probably, um, best practice in the UK. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, okay. MR SANTOS: As far as Mr McGrail's phone is concerned, do you know when Mr McGrail's phone was wiped? A. No, sir. I had no control of that. Q. Would there be any record of Mr McGrail's phone being wiped? A. I'm sure there would be, yes. Q. Is it possible to obtain that record?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q. And do you have anything to add to what Mr Yeats said about the reasoning for the policy and his findings or the RGP's findings as to the best practice adopted by forces in the UK? A. Correct, um, I think, so Mr Yeats has agreed to share with yourselves some of the policies that currently exist in the United Kingdom. I think what is important here that we also acknowledge is that the Royal Gibraltar Police is a very small force and we depend on the College of Policing in the UK who have researchers and who do a lot of work in the background to support British policing. A lot of policies that UK police forces have come from again research that the College of Policing has undertaken. So this policy would have mirrored in many ways UK 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A. Well, so this happened in 201, sir. So what I suspect happened is that the officer that was tasked to create the policy researched it and produced a policy for us reflecting what is best practice in the UK. I mean, he may have changed here and there and few minor details, but it would have been probably, um, best practice in the UK. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, okay. MR SANTOS: As far as Mr McGrail's phone is concerned, do you know when Mr McGrail's phone was wiped? A. No, sir. I had no control of that. Q. Would there be any record of Mr McGrail's phone being wiped? A. I'm sure there would be, yes. Q. Is it possible to obtain that record? A. If it exists, yes.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. And do you have anything to add to what Mr Yeats said about the reasoning for the policy and his findings or the RGP's findings as to the best practice adopted by forces in the UK? A. Correct, um, I think, so Mr Yeats has agreed to share with yourselves some of the policies that currently exist in the United Kingdom. I think what is important here that we also acknowledge is that the Royal Gibraltar Police is a very small force and we depend on the College of Policing in the UK who have researchers and who do a lot of work in the background to support British policing. A lot of policies that UK police forces have come from again research that the College of Policing has undertaken. So this policy would have mirrored in many ways UK police force's best practice and probably 	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ \end{array} $	 A. Well, so this happened in 201, sir. So what I suspect happened is that the officer that was tasked to create the policy researched it and produced a policy for us reflecting what is best practice in the UK. I mean, he may have changed here and there and few minor details, but it would have been probably, um, best practice in the UK. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, okay. MR SANTOS: As far as Mr McGrail's phone is concerned, do you know when Mr McGrail's phone was wiped? A. No, sir. I had no control of that. Q. Would there be any record of Mr McGrail's phone being wiped? A. I'm sure there would be, yes. Q. Is it possible to obtain that record? A. If it exists, yes. THE CHAIRMAN: I think you might be
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. And do you have anything to add to what Mr Yeats said about the reasoning for the policy and his findings or the RGP's findings as to the best practice adopted by forces in the UK? A. Correct, um, I think, so Mr Yeats has agreed to share with yourselves some of the policies that currently exist in the United Kingdom. I think what is important here that we also acknowledge is that the Royal Gibraltar Police is a very small force and we depend on the College of Policing in the UK who have researchers and who do a lot of work in the background to support British policing. A lot of policies that UK police forces have come from again research that the College of Policing has undertaken. So this policy would have mirrored in many ways UK police force's best practice and probably recommendations by the College of Policing. 	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ \end{array} $	 A. Well, so this happened in 201, sir. So what I suspect happened is that the officer that was tasked to create the policy researched it and produced a policy for us reflecting what is best practice in the UK. I mean, he may have changed here and there and few minor details, but it would have been probably, um, best practice in the UK. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, okay. MR SANTOS: As far as Mr McGrail's phone is concerned, do you know when Mr McGrail's phone was wiped? A. No, sir. I had no control of that. Q. Would there be any record of Mr McGrail's phone being wiped? A. I'm sure there would be, yes. Q. Is it possible to obtain that record? A. If it exists, yes. THE CHAIRMAN: I think you might be assuming something that is wrong because I
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. And do you have anything to add to what Mr Yeats said about the reasoning for the policy and his findings or the RGP's findings as to the best practice adopted by forces in the UK? A. Correct, um, I think, so Mr Yeats has agreed to share with yourselves some of the policies that currently exist in the United Kingdom. I think what is important here that we also acknowledge is that the Royal Gibraltar Police is a very small force and we depend on the College of Policing in the UK who have researchers and who do a lot of work in the background to support British policing. A lot of policies that UK police forces have come from again research that the College of Policing has undertaken. So this policy would have mirrored in many ways UK police force's best practice and probably recommendations by the College of Policing. Q. When an officer retired under that policy, 	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\end{array} $	 A. Well, so this happened in 201, sir. So what I suspect happened is that the officer that was tasked to create the policy researched it and produced a policy for us reflecting what is best practice in the UK. I mean, he may have changed here and there and few minor details, but it would have been probably, um, best practice in the UK. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, okay. MR SANTOS: As far as Mr McGrail's phone is concerned, do you know when Mr McGrail's phone was wiped? A. No, sir. I had no control of that. Q. Would there be any record of Mr McGrail's phone being wiped? A. I'm sure there would be, yes. Q. Is it possible to obtain that record? A. If it exists, yes. THE CHAIRMAN: I think you might be assuming something that is wrong because I rather got the impression from Mr Yeats he
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. And do you have anything to add to what Mr Yeats said about the reasoning for the policy and his findings or the RGP's findings as to the best practice adopted by forces in the UK? A. Correct, um, I think, so Mr Yeats has agreed to share with yourselves some of the policies that currently exist in the United Kingdom. I think what is important here that we also acknowledge is that the Royal Gibraltar Police is a very small force and we depend on the College of Policing in the UK who have researchers and who do a lot of work in the background to support British policing. A lot of policies that UK police forces have come from again research that the College of Policing has undertaken. So this policy would have mirrored in many ways UK police force's best practice and probably recommendations by the College of Policing. Q. When an officer retired under that policy, who was it who gave the instruction for their 	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\\23\end{array} $	 A. Well, so this happened in 201, sir. So what I suspect happened is that the officer that was tasked to create the policy researched it and produced a policy for us reflecting what is best practice in the UK. I mean, he may have changed here and there and few minor details, but it would have been probably, um, best practice in the UK. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, okay. MR SANTOS: As far as Mr McGrail's phone is concerned, do you know when Mr McGrail's phone was wiped? A. No, sir. I had no control of that. Q. Would there be any record of Mr McGrail's phone being wiped? A. I'm sure there would be, yes. Q. Is it possible to obtain that record? A. If it exists, yes. THE CHAIRMAN: I think you might be assuming something that is wrong because I rather got the impression from Mr Yeats he was saying that it was the general policy that it
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. And do you have anything to add to what Mr Yeats said about the reasoning for the policy and his findings or the RGP's findings as to the best practice adopted by forces in the UK? A. Correct, um, I think, so Mr Yeats has agreed to share with yourselves some of the policies that currently exist in the United Kingdom. I think what is important here that we also acknowledge is that the Royal Gibraltar Police is a very small force and we depend on the College of Policing in the UK who have researchers and who do a lot of work in the background to support British policing. A lot of policies that UK police forces have come from again research that the College of Policing has undertaken. So this policy would have mirrored in many ways UK police force's best practice and probably recommendations by the College of Policing. Q. When an officer retired under that policy, who was it who gave the instruction for their phone to be wiped? 	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\\23\\24\end{array} $	 A. Well, so this happened in 201, sir. So what I suspect happened is that the officer that was tasked to create the policy researched it and produced a policy for us reflecting what is best practice in the UK. I mean, he may have changed here and there and few minor details, but it would have been probably, um, best practice in the UK. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, okay. MR SANTOS: As far as Mr McGrail's phone is concerned, do you know when Mr McGrail's phone was wiped? A. No, sir. I had no control of that. Q. Would there be any record of Mr McGrail's phone being wiped? A. I'm sure there would be, yes. Q. Is it possible to obtain that record? A. If it exists, yes. THE CHAIRMAN: I think you might be assuming something that is wrong because I rather got the impression from Mr Yeats he was saying that it was the general policy that it was done but there was not any record of any
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. And do you have anything to add to what Mr Yeats said about the reasoning for the policy and his findings or the RGP's findings as to the best practice adopted by forces in the UK? A. Correct, um, I think, so Mr Yeats has agreed to share with yourselves some of the policies that currently exist in the United Kingdom. I think what is important here that we also acknowledge is that the Royal Gibraltar Police is a very small force and we depend on the College of Policing in the UK who have researchers and who do a lot of work in the background to support British policing. A lot of policies that UK police forces have come from again research that the College of Policing has undertaken. So this policy would have mirrored in many ways UK police force's best practice and probably recommendations by the College of Policing. Q. When an officer retired under that policy, who was it who gave the instruction for their 	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\\23\end{array} $	 A. Well, so this happened in 201, sir. So what I suspect happened is that the officer that was tasked to create the policy researched it and produced a policy for us reflecting what is best practice in the UK. I mean, he may have changed here and there and few minor details, but it would have been probably, um, best practice in the UK. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, okay. MR SANTOS: As far as Mr McGrail's phone is concerned, do you know when Mr McGrail's phone was wiped? A. No, sir. I had no control of that. Q. Would there be any record of Mr McGrail's phone being wiped? A. I'm sure there would be, yes. Q. Is it possible to obtain that record? A. If it exists, yes. THE CHAIRMAN: I think you might be assuming something that is wrong because I rather got the impression from Mr Yeats he was saying that it was the general policy that it
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. And do you have anything to add to what Mr Yeats said about the reasoning for the policy and his findings or the RGP's findings as to the best practice adopted by forces in the UK? A. Correct, um, I think, so Mr Yeats has agreed to share with yourselves some of the policies that currently exist in the United Kingdom. I think what is important here that we also acknowledge is that the Royal Gibraltar Police is a very small force and we depend on the College of Policing in the UK who have researchers and who do a lot of work in the background to support British policing. A lot of policies that UK police forces have come from again research that the College of Policing has undertaken. So this policy would have mirrored in many ways UK police force's best practice and probably recommendations by the College of Policing. Q. When an officer retired under that policy, who was it who gave the instruction for their phone to be wiped? 	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\\23\\24\end{array} $	 A. Well, so this happened in 201, sir. So what I suspect happened is that the officer that was tasked to create the policy researched it and produced a policy for us reflecting what is best practice in the UK. I mean, he may have changed here and there and few minor details, but it would have been probably, um, best practice in the UK. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, okay. MR SANTOS: As far as Mr McGrail's phone is concerned, do you know when Mr McGrail's phone was wiped? A. No, sir. I had no control of that. Q. Would there be any record of Mr McGrail's phone being wiped? A. I'm sure there would be, yes. Q. Is it possible to obtain that record? A. If it exists, yes. THE CHAIRMAN: I think you might be assuming something that is wrong because I rather got the impression from Mr Yeats he was saying that it was the general policy that it was done but there was not any record of any

43 (Pages 169 to 172)

1	A. So, I am assuming, if	1	A. I'm sorry, I just don't understand the
2	THE CHAIRMAN: No, I would not do that.	2	question.
3	A. Okay. I am assuming, sir, again, the	3	Q. I am sorry, I could have made it clearer.
4	Commissioner of Police does not deal with	4	A. Sorry.
5	these minor matters	5	Q. You recognise that the RGP has a duty of
6	THE CHAIRMAN: No, no.	6	disclosure in relation to relevant documents.
7	A and I wouldn't have any idea whatsoever	7	A. Yes, absolutely.
8	whether anyone has got any record of it or not.	8	Q. But we have also seen that there are mobile
9	THE CHAIRMAN: I think if you do not have	9	device policies and force orders that require
10	any idea whatsoever, it is better to say so.	10	the wiping of phones. Focusing on the policy,
11	A. Okay.	11	would you say that the duty of disclosure is
12	MR SANTOS: Could I ask then, after today	12	subject to the mobile device policy or would
13	the RGP go and check whether there is any	13	you say that the duty of disclosure exists and
14	record of it and when it took place?	14	cannot be trumped by the policy?
15	A. So now, if we look on the now, for	15	A. The duty of disclosure exists and certainly
16	example, I today have handed in my mobile	16	is very important for us. I think what is very,
17	phone to our digital forensics unit so that they	17	very important that we also say, that I reiterate
18	can create an image of it and now that will be	18	what Mr Yeats gave in his evidence today, that
19	handed in to the IT officers who will then	19	matters around WhatsApps are very
20	undertake the wipe of it so it can be	20	insignificant in policing, very insignificant,
21	repurposed. That is now.	21	because all of our data is mainly collected
22	Q. No, I just mean in relation to Mr McGrail's	22	around emails, meetings where records are
23	phone, could there be a check at least to see	23	made in our daybooks and then our platform,
23	whether there is any record of that and could	24	our Cyclops platform, which captures all the
25	we be let know one way or another?	25	evidence in that regard, so.
25	we be let know one way of another.		evidence in that regard, so.
	Page 173		Page 175
1	A We can check yes	1	O Did the RGP take any steps to preserve the
1	A. We can check, yes.	1	Q. Did the RGP take any steps to preserve the materials on Mr McGrail's phone given the
2	Q. Thank you.	2	materials on Mr McGrail's phone, given the
2 3	Q. Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: I thought Mr Yeats said	2 3	materials on Mr McGrail's phone, given the controversial circumstances of his departure
2 3 4	Q. Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: I thought Mr Yeats said this morning.	2 3 4	materials on Mr McGrail's phone, given the controversial circumstances of his departure and his involvement in Operation Delhi?
2 3 4 5	Q. Thank you.THE CHAIRMAN: I thought Mr Yeats said this morning.MR SANTOS: I thought that he did as well	2 3 4 5	materials on Mr McGrail's phone, given the controversial circumstances of his departure and his involvement in Operation Delhi?A. Not that I know of, no.
2 3 4 5 6	Q. Thank you.THE CHAIRMAN: I thought Mr Yeats said this morning.MR SANTOS: I thought that he did as well perhaps. But we will check.	2 3 4 5 6	 materials on Mr McGrail's phone, given the controversial circumstances of his departure and his involvement in Operation Delhi? A. Not that I know of, no. Q. And when Mr Richardson retired, did the
2 3 4 5 6 7	Q. Thank you.THE CHAIRMAN: I thought Mr Yeats said this morning.MR SANTOS: I thought that he did as well perhaps. But we will check.THE CHAIRMAN: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7	 materials on Mr McGrail's phone, given the controversial circumstances of his departure and his involvement in Operation Delhi? A. Not that I know of, no. Q. And when Mr Richardson retired, did the RGP take steps to preserve materials on his
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: I thought Mr Yeats said this morning. MR SANTOS: I thought that he did as well perhaps. But we will check. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. MR SANTOS: Maybe we will review the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 materials on Mr McGrail's phone, given the controversial circumstances of his departure and his involvement in Operation Delhi? A. Not that I know of, no. Q. And when Mr Richardson retired, did the RGP take steps to preserve materials on his phone that related to Operation Delhi?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: I thought Mr Yeats said this morning. MR SANTOS: I thought that he did as well perhaps. But we will check. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. MR SANTOS: Maybe we will review the evidence at the end and if necessary we will 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 materials on Mr McGrail's phone, given the controversial circumstances of his departure and his involvement in Operation Delhi? A. Not that I know of, no. Q. And when Mr Richardson retired, did the RGP take steps to preserve materials on his phone that related to Operation Delhi? A. Not that I know of, no.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q. Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: I thought Mr Yeats said this morning. MR SANTOS: I thought that he did as well perhaps. But we will check. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. MR SANTOS: Maybe we will review the evidence at the end and if necessary we will take the matter up with you, Mr Ullger. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 materials on Mr McGrail's phone, given the controversial circumstances of his departure and his involvement in Operation Delhi? A. Not that I know of, no. Q. And when Mr Richardson retired, did the RGP take steps to preserve materials on his phone that related to Operation Delhi? A. Not that I know of, no. Q. Now, do you maintain the position in your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: I thought Mr Yeats said this morning. MR SANTOS: I thought that he did as well perhaps. But we will check. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. MR SANTOS: Maybe we will review the evidence at the end and if necessary we will take the matter up with you, Mr Ullger. A. Okay. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 materials on Mr McGrail's phone, given the controversial circumstances of his departure and his involvement in Operation Delhi? A. Not that I know of, no. Q. And when Mr Richardson retired, did the RGP take steps to preserve materials on his phone that related to Operation Delhi? A. Not that I know of, no. Q. Now, do you maintain the position in your witness statement that the RGP has given
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q. Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: I thought Mr Yeats said this morning. MR SANTOS: I thought that he did as well perhaps. But we will check. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. MR SANTOS: Maybe we will review the evidence at the end and if necessary we will take the matter up with you, Mr Ullger. A. Okay. Q. You do recognise obviously that the RGP is 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 materials on Mr McGrail's phone, given the controversial circumstances of his departure and his involvement in Operation Delhi? A. Not that I know of, no. Q. And when Mr Richardson retired, did the RGP take steps to preserve materials on his phone that related to Operation Delhi? A. Not that I know of, no. Q. Now, do you maintain the position in your witness statement that the RGP has given comprehensive disclosure at all times?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: I thought Mr Yeats said this morning. MR SANTOS: I thought that he did as well perhaps. But we will check. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. MR SANTOS: Maybe we will review the evidence at the end and if necessary we will take the matter up with you, Mr Ullger. A. Okay. Q. You do recognise obviously that the RGP is under a duty of disclosure in relation to 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 materials on Mr McGrail's phone, given the controversial circumstances of his departure and his involvement in Operation Delhi? A. Not that I know of, no. Q. And when Mr Richardson retired, did the RGP take steps to preserve materials on his phone that related to Operation Delhi? A. Not that I know of, no. Q. Now, do you maintain the position in your witness statement that the RGP has given comprehensive disclosure at all times? A. I do, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: I thought Mr Yeats said this morning. MR SANTOS: I thought that he did as well perhaps. But we will check. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. MR SANTOS: Maybe we will review the evidence at the end and if necessary we will take the matter up with you, Mr Ullger. A. Okay. Q. You do recognise obviously that the RGP is under a duty of disclosure in relation to prosecutions. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 materials on Mr McGrail's phone, given the controversial circumstances of his departure and his involvement in Operation Delhi? A. Not that I know of, no. Q. And when Mr Richardson retired, did the RGP take steps to preserve materials on his phone that related to Operation Delhi? A. Not that I know of, no. Q. Now, do you maintain the position in your witness statement that the RGP has given comprehensive disclosure at all times? A. I do, yes. Q. Can I now turn to the contents of some of
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ \end{array} $	 Q. Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: I thought Mr Yeats said this morning. MR SANTOS: I thought that he did as well perhaps. But we will check. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. MR SANTOS: Maybe we will review the evidence at the end and if necessary we will take the matter up with you, Mr Ullger. A. Okay. Q. You do recognise obviously that the RGP is under a duty of disclosure in relation to prosecutions. A. Yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 materials on Mr McGrail's phone, given the controversial circumstances of his departure and his involvement in Operation Delhi? A. Not that I know of, no. Q. And when Mr Richardson retired, did the RGP take steps to preserve materials on his phone that related to Operation Delhi? A. Not that I know of, no. Q. Now, do you maintain the position in your witness statement that the RGP has given comprehensive disclosure at all times? A. I do, yes. Q. Can I now turn to the contents of some of the messages in the new disclosure because I
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ \end{array} $	 Q. Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: I thought Mr Yeats said this morning. MR SANTOS: I thought that he did as well perhaps. But we will check. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. MR SANTOS: Maybe we will review the evidence at the end and if necessary we will take the matter up with you, Mr Ullger. A. Okay. Q. You do recognise obviously that the RGP is under a duty of disclosure in relation to prosecutions. A. Yes. Q. And would you accept that in theory that 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 materials on Mr McGrail's phone, given the controversial circumstances of his departure and his involvement in Operation Delhi? A. Not that I know of, no. Q. And when Mr Richardson retired, did the RGP take steps to preserve materials on his phone that related to Operation Delhi? A. Not that I know of, no. Q. Now, do you maintain the position in your witness statement that the RGP has given comprehensive disclosure at all times? A. I do, yes. Q. Can I now turn to the contents of some of the messages in the new disclosure because I have some questions which may shed light on
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\end{array} $	 Q. Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: I thought Mr Yeats said this morning. MR SANTOS: I thought that he did as well perhaps. But we will check. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. MR SANTOS: Maybe we will review the evidence at the end and if necessary we will take the matter up with you, Mr Ullger. A. Okay. Q. You do recognise obviously that the RGP is under a duty of disclosure in relation to prosecutions. A. Yes. Q. And would you accept that in theory that could extend to WhatsApps exchanged 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 materials on Mr McGrail's phone, given the controversial circumstances of his departure and his involvement in Operation Delhi? A. Not that I know of, no. Q. And when Mr Richardson retired, did the RGP take steps to preserve materials on his phone that related to Operation Delhi? A. Not that I know of, no. Q. Now, do you maintain the position in your witness statement that the RGP has given comprehensive disclosure at all times? A. I do, yes. Q. Can I now turn to the contents of some of the messages in the new disclosure because I have some questions which may shed light on the issues that we considered already in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: I thought Mr Yeats said this morning. MR SANTOS: I thought that he did as well perhaps. But we will check. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. MR SANTOS: Maybe we will review the evidence at the end and if necessary we will take the matter up with you, Mr Ullger. A. Okay. Q. You do recognise obviously that the RGP is under a duty of disclosure in relation to prosecutions. A. Yes. Q. And would you accept that in theory that could extend to WhatsApps exchanged between police officers? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 materials on Mr McGrail's phone, given the controversial circumstances of his departure and his involvement in Operation Delhi? A. Not that I know of, no. Q. And when Mr Richardson retired, did the RGP take steps to preserve materials on his phone that related to Operation Delhi? A. Not that I know of, no. Q. Now, do you maintain the position in your witness statement that the RGP has given comprehensive disclosure at all times? A. I do, yes. Q. Can I now turn to the contents of some of the messages in the new disclosure because I have some questions which may shed light on the issues that we considered already in the main inquiry hearing. First of all, I want to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q. Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: I thought Mr Yeats said this morning. MR SANTOS: I thought that he did as well perhaps. But we will check. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. MR SANTOS: Maybe we will review the evidence at the end and if necessary we will take the matter up with you, Mr Ullger. A. Okay. Q. You do recognise obviously that the RGP is under a duty of disclosure in relation to prosecutions. A. Yes. Q. And would you accept that in theory that could extend to WhatsApps exchanged between police officers? A. Yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 materials on Mr McGrail's phone, given the controversial circumstances of his departure and his involvement in Operation Delhi? A. Not that I know of, no. Q. And when Mr Richardson retired, did the RGP take steps to preserve materials on his phone that related to Operation Delhi? A. Not that I know of, no. Q. Now, do you maintain the position in your witness statement that the RGP has given comprehensive disclosure at all times? A. I do, yes. Q. Can I now turn to the contents of some of the messages in the new disclosure because I have some questions which may shed light on the issues that we considered already in the main inquiry hearing. First of all, I want to focus on the incident at sea. It appears from
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\\end{array} $	 Q. Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: I thought Mr Yeats said this morning. MR SANTOS: I thought that he did as well perhaps. But we will check. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. MR SANTOS: Maybe we will review the evidence at the end and if necessary we will take the matter up with you, Mr Ullger. A. Okay. Q. You do recognise obviously that the RGP is under a duty of disclosure in relation to prosecutions. A. Yes. Q. And would you accept that in theory that could extend to WhatsApps exchanged between police officers? A. Yes. Q. So when we have policy documents, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 materials on Mr McGrail's phone, given the controversial circumstances of his departure and his involvement in Operation Delhi? A. Not that I know of, no. Q. And when Mr Richardson retired, did the RGP take steps to preserve materials on his phone that related to Operation Delhi? A. Not that I know of, no. Q. Now, do you maintain the position in your witness statement that the RGP has given comprehensive disclosure at all times? A. I do, yes. Q. Can I now turn to the contents of some of the messages in the new disclosure because I have some questions which may shed light on the issues that we considered already in the main inquiry hearing. First of all, I want to focus on the incident at sea. It appears from the messages exchanged between you and
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\end{array} $	 Q. Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: I thought Mr Yeats said this morning. MR SANTOS: I thought that he did as well perhaps. But we will check. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. MR SANTOS: Maybe we will review the evidence at the end and if necessary we will take the matter up with you, Mr Ullger. A. Okay. Q. You do recognise obviously that the RGP is under a duty of disclosure in relation to prosecutions. A. Yes. Q. And would you accept that in theory that could extend to WhatsApps exchanged between police officers? A. Yes. Q. So when we have policy documents, a force order that requires the wiping of 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 materials on Mr McGrail's phone, given the controversial circumstances of his departure and his involvement in Operation Delhi? A. Not that I know of, no. Q. And when Mr Richardson retired, did the RGP take steps to preserve materials on his phone that related to Operation Delhi? A. Not that I know of, no. Q. Now, do you maintain the position in your witness statement that the RGP has given comprehensive disclosure at all times? A. I do, yes. Q. Can I now turn to the contents of some of the messages in the new disclosure because I have some questions which may shed light on the issues that we considered already in the main inquiry hearing. First of all, I want to focus on the incident at sea. It appears from the messages exchanged between you and Mr McGrail that you were not in Gibraltar at
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ \end{array}$	 Q. Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: I thought Mr Yeats said this morning. MR SANTOS: I thought that he did as well perhaps. But we will check. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. MR SANTOS: Maybe we will review the evidence at the end and if necessary we will take the matter up with you, Mr Ullger. A. Okay. Q. You do recognise obviously that the RGP is under a duty of disclosure in relation to prosecutions. A. Yes. Q. And would you accept that in theory that could extend to WhatsApps exchanged between police officers? A. Yes. Q. So when we have policy documents, a force order that requires the wiping of records, would you say that that is subject to 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 materials on Mr McGrail's phone, given the controversial circumstances of his departure and his involvement in Operation Delhi? A. Not that I know of, no. Q. And when Mr Richardson retired, did the RGP take steps to preserve materials on his phone that related to Operation Delhi? A. Not that I know of, no. Q. Now, do you maintain the position in your witness statement that the RGP has given comprehensive disclosure at all times? A. I do, yes. Q. Can I now turn to the contents of some of the messages in the new disclosure because I have some questions which may shed light on the issues that we considered already in the main inquiry hearing. First of all, I want to focus on the incident at sea. It appears from the messages exchanged between you and Mr McGrail that you were not in Gibraltar at the time of the incident.
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ \end{array}$	 Q. Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: I thought Mr Yeats said this morning. MR SANTOS: I thought that he did as well perhaps. But we will check. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. MR SANTOS: Maybe we will review the evidence at the end and if necessary we will take the matter up with you, Mr Ullger. A. Okay. Q. You do recognise obviously that the RGP is under a duty of disclosure in relation to prosecutions. A. Yes. Q. And would you accept that in theory that could extend to WhatsApps exchanged between police officers? A. Yes. Q. So when we have policy documents, a force order that requires the wiping of records, would you say that that is subject to the duty of disclosure, or would you say that 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 materials on Mr McGrail's phone, given the controversial circumstances of his departure and his involvement in Operation Delhi? A. Not that I know of, no. Q. And when Mr Richardson retired, did the RGP take steps to preserve materials on his phone that related to Operation Delhi? A. Not that I know of, no. Q. Now, do you maintain the position in your witness statement that the RGP has given comprehensive disclosure at all times? A. I do, yes. Q. Can I now turn to the contents of some of the messages in the new disclosure because I have some questions which may shed light on the issues that we considered already in the main inquiry hearing. First of all, I want to focus on the incident at sea. It appears from the messages exchanged between you and Mr McGrail that you were not in Gibraltar at the time of the incident. A. That is correct.
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\\ \end{array}$	 Q. Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: I thought Mr Yeats said this morning. MR SANTOS: I thought that he did as well perhaps. But we will check. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. MR SANTOS: Maybe we will review the evidence at the end and if necessary we will take the matter up with you, Mr Ullger. A. Okay. Q. You do recognise obviously that the RGP is under a duty of disclosure in relation to prosecutions. A. Yes. Q. And would you accept that in theory that could extend to WhatsApps exchanged between police officers? A. Yes. Q. So when we have policy documents, a force order that requires the wiping of records, would you say that that is subject to the duty of disclosure, or would you say that those trump the duty of disclosure of RGP 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 materials on Mr McGrail's phone, given the controversial circumstances of his departure and his involvement in Operation Delhi? A. Not that I know of, no. Q. And when Mr Richardson retired, did the RGP take steps to preserve materials on his phone that related to Operation Delhi? A. Not that I know of, no. Q. Now, do you maintain the position in your witness statement that the RGP has given comprehensive disclosure at all times? A. I do, yes. Q. Can I now turn to the contents of some of the messages in the new disclosure because I have some questions which may shed light on the issues that we considered already in the main inquiry hearing. First of all, I want to focus on the incident at sea. It appears from the messages exchanged between you and Mr McGrail that you were not in Gibraltar at the time of the incident. A. That is correct? And you were not on duty,
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ \end{array}$	 Q. Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: I thought Mr Yeats said this morning. MR SANTOS: I thought that he did as well perhaps. But we will check. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. MR SANTOS: Maybe we will review the evidence at the end and if necessary we will take the matter up with you, Mr Ullger. A. Okay. Q. You do recognise obviously that the RGP is under a duty of disclosure in relation to prosecutions. A. Yes. Q. And would you accept that in theory that could extend to WhatsApps exchanged between police officers? A. Yes. Q. So when we have policy documents, a force order that requires the wiping of records, would you say that that is subject to the duty of disclosure, or would you say that 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 materials on Mr McGrail's phone, given the controversial circumstances of his departure and his involvement in Operation Delhi? A. Not that I know of, no. Q. And when Mr Richardson retired, did the RGP take steps to preserve materials on his phone that related to Operation Delhi? A. Not that I know of, no. Q. Now, do you maintain the position in your witness statement that the RGP has given comprehensive disclosure at all times? A. I do, yes. Q. Can I now turn to the contents of some of the messages in the new disclosure because I have some questions which may shed light on the issues that we considered already in the main inquiry hearing. First of all, I want to focus on the incident at sea. It appears from the messages exchanged between you and Mr McGrail that you were not in Gibraltar at the time of the incident. A. That is correct.

Page 176

44 (Pages 173 to 176)

1	A. That's correct, I was away from Gibraltar.	1	A. Correct.
2	Q. Can we now look at E502, please. Row	2	Q. Now, what did you mean when you said:
3	1031, halfway down the page, has a message	3	"The airport/La Linea median line I can live
4	from you at 09.49. Now, I should point out	4	with"?
5	that that is UTC or GMT, so our calculation is	5	A. So, if you take the airport, the beach by the
	that that message would actually be at 10.49	6	airport, and the La Linea beach, where the
6 7	- · ·	7	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
7	Gibraltar time. And there is a message from		border fence is, what I meant is that if the
8	Mr McGrail	8	officers had gone just slightly into Spanish
9	THE CHAIRMAN: Do you not mean 9.49	9	waters, and we are talking about 10, 15, 20
10	Gibraltar time?	10	metres, because of the chase, I could live with.
11	MR SANTOS: Sorry, 10.49 Gibraltar time.	11	That's what I meant.
12	My calculation is because that time is in GMT.	12	Q. Why could you live with that?
13	THE CHAIRMAN: Okay.	13	A. Because it is part and parcel of a high
14	A. I would have been four hours ahead where	14	speed chase and, um, the officers are
15	I was.	15	concentrating on the dynamics, the risks, and,
16	MR SANTOS: I see. The message comes	16	you know, you've got to bear in mind that the
17	from Mr McGrail to you and he says:	17	risks that they take are quite high. So, you
18	"Here with AG in my office. Collision	18	know, if they were chasing a vessel which was
19	happened 6 NM east of the airport/La Linea	19	literally on the borderline, I could live with
20	beach."	20	a couple of metres in and out of into
21	And your response is:	21	Spanish waters, yes. That's what I meant.
22	"6 NM is past the 3 NM instructions, but the	22	Q. Can I just take you up to 1028, please.
23	airport/La Linea median line I can live with. If	23	This is a message from Mr McGrail to Ullger
24	the chase is long and intense, coxswains would	24	a little bit earlier that morning, at 8.05. And
25	not be looking at radar for co-ordinates, they	25	we think that that is 9.05 Gibraltar time. He
	Page 177		Page 179
1	would be concerned with the chase and vessel	1	says to you: "(Spanish text read) I swear I am
1	would be concerned with the chase and vessel	1	says to you: "(Spanish text read). I swear I am
2	in front."	2	going it hit somebody. (Spanish text read).
2 3	in front." Was your understanding from Mr McGrail's	2 3	going it hit somebody. (Spanish text read). Do not see Paul R or you do not want to know
2 3 4	in front." Was your understanding from Mr McGrail's message that the collision had happened	2 3 4	going it hit somebody. (Spanish text read). Do not see Paul R or you do not want to know Paul R. Already talking of potential corporate
2 3 4 5	in front." Was your understanding from Mr McGrail's message that the collision had happened outside of BGTW?	2 3 4 5	going it hit somebody. (Spanish text read). Do not see Paul R or you do not want to know Paul R. Already talking of potential corporate manslaughter, etc. I have really had to assert
2 3 4 5 6	 in front." Was your understanding from Mr McGrail's message that the collision had happened outside of BGTW? A. So, my understanding was that it happened 	2 3 4 5 6	going it hit somebody. (Spanish text read). Do not see Paul R or you do not want to know Paul R. Already talking of potential corporate manslaughter, etc. I have really had to assert myself here."
2 3 4 5 6 7	 in front." Was your understanding from Mr McGrail's message that the collision had happened outside of BGTW? A. So, my understanding was that it happened outside British Gibraltar Territory Waters, but 	2 3 4 5 6 7	going it hit somebody. (Spanish text read). Do not see Paul R or you do not want to know Paul R. Already talking of potential corporate manslaughter, etc. I have really had to assert myself here." And your response a minute later: "FFS, does
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 in front." Was your understanding from Mr McGrail's message that the collision had happened outside of BGTW? A. So, my understanding was that it happened outside British Gibraltar Territory Waters, but if you look at the message where it says 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	going it hit somebody. (Spanish text read). Do not see Paul R or you do not want to know Paul R. Already talking of potential corporate manslaughter, etc. I have really had to assert myself here." And your response a minute later: "FFS, does he know how fucking difficult it is to operate
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 in front." Was your understanding from Mr McGrail's message that the collision had happened outside of BGTW? A. So, my understanding was that it happened outside British Gibraltar Territory Waters, but if you look at the message where it says airport/La Linea, and I talk about the median 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 going it hit somebody. (Spanish text read). Do not see Paul R or you do not want to know Paul R. Already talking of potential corporate manslaughter, etc. I have really had to assert myself here." And your response a minute later: "FFS, does he know how fucking difficult it is to operate out there?"
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 in front." Was your understanding from Mr McGrail's message that the collision had happened outside of BGTW? A. So, my understanding was that it happened outside British Gibraltar Territory Waters, but if you look at the message where it says airport/La Linea, and I talk about the median line, what I thought at the time was that it was 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 going it hit somebody. (Spanish text read). Do not see Paul R or you do not want to know Paul R. Already talking of potential corporate manslaughter, etc. I have really had to assert myself here." And your response a minute later: "FFS, does he know how fucking difficult it is to operate out there?" What were you referring to there?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 in front." Was your understanding from Mr McGrail's message that the collision had happened outside of BGTW? A. So, my understanding was that it happened outside British Gibraltar Territory Waters, but if you look at the message where it says airport/La Linea, and I talk about the median line, what I thought at the time was that it was literally six miles east off Gibraltar. And there 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 going it hit somebody. (Spanish text read). Do not see Paul R or you do not want to know Paul R. Already talking of potential corporate manslaughter, etc. I have really had to assert myself here." And your response a minute later: "FFS, does he know how fucking difficult it is to operate out there?" What were you referring to there? A. So, obviously Mr McGrail was so, it is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 in front." Was your understanding from Mr McGrail's message that the collision had happened outside of BGTW? A. So, my understanding was that it happened outside British Gibraltar Territory Waters, but if you look at the message where it says airport/La Linea, and I talk about the median line, what I thought at the time was that it was literally six miles east off Gibraltar. And there would have been very minor distance either 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 going it hit somebody. (Spanish text read). Do not see Paul R or you do not want to know Paul R. Already talking of potential corporate manslaughter, etc. I have really had to assert myself here." And your response a minute later: "FFS, does he know how fucking difficult it is to operate out there?" What were you referring to there? A. So, obviously Mr McGrail was so, it is apparent that Mr Richardson was informing on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 in front." Was your understanding from Mr McGrail's message that the collision had happened outside of BGTW? A. So, my understanding was that it happened outside British Gibraltar Territory Waters, but if you look at the message where it says airport/La Linea, and I talk about the median line, what I thought at the time was that it was literally six miles east off Gibraltar. And there would have been very minor distance either between La Linea beach and the airport. So I 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 going it hit somebody. (Spanish text read). Do not see Paul R or you do not want to know Paul R. Already talking of potential corporate manslaughter, etc. I have really had to assert myself here." And your response a minute later: "FFS, does he know how fucking difficult it is to operate out there?" What were you referring to there? A. So, obviously Mr McGrail was so, it is apparent that Mr Richardson was informing on Mr McGrail of issues around corporate
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	in front." Was your understanding from Mr McGrail's message that the collision had happened outside of BGTW? A. So, my understanding was that it happened outside British Gibraltar Territory Waters, but if you look at the message where it says airport/La Linea, and I talk about the median line, what I thought at the time was that it was literally six miles east off Gibraltar. And there would have been very minor distance either between La Linea beach and the airport. So I took it that it was literally on the line, um, on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 going it hit somebody. (Spanish text read). Do not see Paul R or you do not want to know Paul R. Already talking of potential corporate manslaughter, etc. I have really had to assert myself here." And your response a minute later: "FFS, does he know how fucking difficult it is to operate out there?" What were you referring to there? A. So, obviously Mr McGrail was so, it is apparent that Mr Richardson was informing on Mr McGrail of issues around corporate manslaughter. Um, and what I was referring to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	in front." Was your understanding from Mr McGrail's message that the collision had happened outside of BGTW? A. So, my understanding was that it happened outside British Gibraltar Territory Waters, but if you look at the message where it says airport/La Linea, and I talk about the median line, what I thought at the time was that it was literally six miles east off Gibraltar. And there would have been very minor distance either between La Linea beach and the airport. So I took it that it was literally on the line, um, on the borderline, if that makes it easier for you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 going it hit somebody. (Spanish text read). Do not see Paul R or you do not want to know Paul R. Already talking of potential corporate manslaughter, etc. I have really had to assert myself here." And your response a minute later: "FFS, does he know how fucking difficult it is to operate out there?" What were you referring to there? A. So, obviously Mr McGrail was so, it is apparent that Mr Richardson was informing on Mr McGrail of issues around corporate manslaughter. Um, and what I was referring to was that the, um, area of this, the policing at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 in front." Was your understanding from Mr McGrail's message that the collision had happened outside of BGTW? A. So, my understanding was that it happened outside British Gibraltar Territory Waters, but if you look at the message where it says airport/La Linea, and I talk about the median line, what I thought at the time was that it was literally six miles east off Gibraltar. And there would have been very minor distance either between La Linea beach and the airport. So I took it that it was literally on the line, um, on the borderline, if that makes it easier for you. The frontier borderline all the way out to 6 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 going it hit somebody. (Spanish text read). Do not see Paul R or you do not want to know Paul R. Already talking of potential corporate manslaughter, etc. I have really had to assert myself here." And your response a minute later: "FFS, does he know how fucking difficult it is to operate out there?" What were you referring to there? A. So, obviously Mr McGrail was so, it is apparent that Mr Richardson was informing on Mr McGrail of issues around corporate manslaughter. Um, and what I was referring to was that the, um, area of this, the policing at sea is a very difficult environment to operate
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 in front." Was your understanding from Mr McGrail's message that the collision had happened outside of BGTW? A. So, my understanding was that it happened outside British Gibraltar Territory Waters, but if you look at the message where it says airport/La Linea, and I talk about the median line, what I thought at the time was that it was literally six miles east off Gibraltar. And there would have been very minor distance either between La Linea beach and the airport. So I took it that it was literally on the line, um, on the borderline, if that makes it easier for you. The frontier borderline all the way out to 6 nautical miles out at sea. Which we now know 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 going it hit somebody. (Spanish text read). Do not see Paul R or you do not want to know Paul R. Already talking of potential corporate manslaughter, etc. I have really had to assert myself here." And your response a minute later: "FFS, does he know how fucking difficult it is to operate out there?" What were you referring to there? A. So, obviously Mr McGrail was so, it is apparent that Mr Richardson was informing on Mr McGrail of issues around corporate manslaughter. Um, and what I was referring to was that the, um, area of this, the policing at sea is a very difficult environment to operate in and this carries a number of risks and it is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 in front." Was your understanding from Mr McGrail's message that the collision had happened outside of BGTW? A. So, my understanding was that it happened outside British Gibraltar Territory Waters, but if you look at the message where it says airport/La Linea, and I talk about the median line, what I thought at the time was that it was literally six miles east off Gibraltar. And there would have been very minor distance either between La Linea beach and the airport. So I took it that it was literally on the line, um, on the borderline, if that makes it easier for you. The frontier borderline all the way out to 6 nautical miles out at sea. Which we now know is not the case because it happened further into 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 going it hit somebody. (Spanish text read). Do not see Paul R or you do not want to know Paul R. Already talking of potential corporate manslaughter, etc. I have really had to assert myself here." And your response a minute later: "FFS, does he know how fucking difficult it is to operate out there?" What were you referring to there? A. So, obviously Mr McGrail was so, it is apparent that Mr Richardson was informing on Mr McGrail of issues around corporate manslaughter. Um, and what I was referring to was that the, um, area of this, the policing at sea is a very difficult environment to operate in and this carries a number of risks and it is very difficult. It is not easy to operate in. So
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ \end{array} $	in front." Was your understanding from Mr McGrail's message that the collision had happened outside of BGTW? A. So, my understanding was that it happened outside British Gibraltar Territory Waters, but if you look at the message where it says airport/La Linea, and I talk about the median line, what I thought at the time was that it was literally six miles east off Gibraltar. And there would have been very minor distance either between La Linea beach and the airport. So I took it that it was literally on the line, um, on the borderline, if that makes it easier for you. The frontier borderline all the way out to 6 nautical miles out at sea. Which we now know is not the case because it happened further into Spanish waters.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 going it hit somebody. (Spanish text read). Do not see Paul R or you do not want to know Paul R. Already talking of potential corporate manslaughter, etc. I have really had to assert myself here." And your response a minute later: "FFS, does he know how fucking difficult it is to operate out there?" What were you referring to there? A. So, obviously Mr McGrail was so, it is apparent that Mr Richardson was informing on Mr McGrail of issues around corporate manslaughter. Um, and what I was referring to was that the, um, area of this, the policing at sea is a very difficult environment to operate in and this carries a number of risks and it is very difficult. It is not easy to operate in. So my view was that we should not be
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ \end{array}$	 in front." Was your understanding from Mr McGrail's message that the collision had happened outside of BGTW? A. So, my understanding was that it happened outside British Gibraltar Territory Waters, but if you look at the message where it says airport/La Linea, and I talk about the median line, what I thought at the time was that it was literally six miles east off Gibraltar. And there would have been very minor distance either between La Linea beach and the airport. So I took it that it was literally on the line, um, on the borderline, if that makes it easier for you. The frontier borderline all the way out to 6 nautical miles out at sea. Which we now know is not the case because it happened further into Spanish waters. Q. And it is fair to say as well that the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 going it hit somebody. (Spanish text read). Do not see Paul R or you do not want to know Paul R. Already talking of potential corporate manslaughter, etc. I have really had to assert myself here." And your response a minute later: "FFS, does he know how fucking difficult it is to operate out there?" What were you referring to there? A. So, obviously Mr McGrail was so, it is apparent that Mr Richardson was informing on Mr McGrail of issues around corporate manslaughter. Um, and what I was referring to was that the, um, area of this, the policing at sea is a very difficult environment to operate in and this carries a number of risks and it is very difficult. It is not easy to operate in. So my view was that we should not be considering straight away corporate
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ \end{array}$	 in front." Was your understanding from Mr McGrail's message that the collision had happened outside of BGTW? A. So, my understanding was that it happened outside British Gibraltar Territory Waters, but if you look at the message where it says airport/La Linea, and I talk about the median line, what I thought at the time was that it was literally six miles east off Gibraltar. And there would have been very minor distance either between La Linea beach and the airport. So I took it that it was literally on the line, um, on the borderline, if that makes it easier for you. The frontier borderline all the way out to 6 nautical miles out at sea. Which we now know is not the case because it happened further into Spanish waters. Q. And it is fair to say as well that the borderline does not go in a straight horizontal 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 going it hit somebody. (Spanish text read). Do not see Paul R or you do not want to know Paul R. Already talking of potential corporate manslaughter, etc. I have really had to assert myself here." And your response a minute later: "FFS, does he know how fucking difficult it is to operate out there?" What were you referring to there? A. So, obviously Mr McGrail was so, it is apparent that Mr Richardson was informing on Mr McGrail of issues around corporate manslaughter. Um, and what I was referring to was that the, um, area of this, the policing at sea is a very difficult environment to operate in and this carries a number of risks and it is very difficult. It is not easy to operate in. So my view was that we should not be considering straight away corporate manslaughter without having the full facts.
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ \end{array}$	 in front." Was your understanding from Mr McGrail's message that the collision had happened outside of BGTW? A. So, my understanding was that it happened outside British Gibraltar Territory Waters, but if you look at the message where it says airport/La Linea, and I talk about the median line, what I thought at the time was that it was literally six miles east off Gibraltar. And there would have been very minor distance either between La Linea beach and the airport. So I took it that it was literally on the line, um, on the borderline, if that makes it easier for you. The frontier borderline all the way out to 6 nautical miles out at sea. Which we now know is not the case because it happened further into Spanish waters. Q. And it is fair to say as well that the borderline does not go in a straight horizontal line. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 going it hit somebody. (Spanish text read). Do not see Paul R or you do not want to know Paul R. Already talking of potential corporate manslaughter, etc. I have really had to assert myself here." And your response a minute later: "FFS, does he know how fucking difficult it is to operate out there?" What were you referring to there? A. So, obviously Mr McGrail was so, it is apparent that Mr Richardson was informing on Mr McGrail of issues around corporate manslaughter. Um, and what I was referring to was that the, um, area of this, the policing at sea is a very difficult environment to operate in and this carries a number of risks and it is very difficult. It is not easy to operate in. So my view was that we should not be considering straight away corporate manslaughter without having the full facts. Q. Now, can I take you to E511, please. This
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ \end{array}$	 in front." Was your understanding from Mr McGrail's message that the collision had happened outside of BGTW? A. So, my understanding was that it happened outside British Gibraltar Territory Waters, but if you look at the message where it says airport/La Linea, and I talk about the median line, what I thought at the time was that it was literally six miles east off Gibraltar. And there would have been very minor distance either between La Linea beach and the airport. So I took it that it was literally on the line, um, on the borderline, if that makes it easier for you. The frontier borderline all the way out to 6 nautical miles out at sea. Which we now know is not the case because it happened further into Spanish waters. Q. And it is fair to say as well that the borderline does not go in a straight horizontal line. A. It doesn't. It doesn't. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 going it hit somebody. (Spanish text read). Do not see Paul R or you do not want to know Paul R. Already talking of potential corporate manslaughter, etc. I have really had to assert myself here." And your response a minute later: "FFS, does he know how fucking difficult it is to operate out there?" What were you referring to there? A. So, obviously Mr McGrail was so, it is apparent that Mr Richardson was informing on Mr McGrail of issues around corporate manslaughter. Um, and what I was referring to was that the, um, area of this, the policing at sea is a very difficult environment to operate in and this carries a number of risks and it is very difficult. It is not easy to operate in. So my view was that we should not be considering straight away corporate manslaughter without having the full facts. Q. Now, can I take you to E511, please. This is a message from Mr McGrail to you on 15
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\\ \end{array}$	 in front." Was your understanding from Mr McGrail's message that the collision had happened outside of BGTW? A. So, my understanding was that it happened outside British Gibraltar Territory Waters, but if you look at the message where it says airport/La Linea, and I talk about the median line, what I thought at the time was that it was literally six miles east off Gibraltar. And there would have been very minor distance either between La Linea beach and the airport. So I took it that it was literally on the line, um, on the borderline, if that makes it easier for you. The frontier borderline all the way out to 6 nautical miles out at sea. Which we now know is not the case because it happened further into Spanish waters. Q. And it is fair to say as well that the borderline does not go in a straight horizontal line. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 going it hit somebody. (Spanish text read). Do not see Paul R or you do not want to know Paul R. Already talking of potential corporate manslaughter, etc. I have really had to assert myself here." And your response a minute later: "FFS, does he know how fucking difficult it is to operate out there?" What were you referring to there? A. So, obviously Mr McGrail was so, it is apparent that Mr Richardson was informing on Mr McGrail of issues around corporate manslaughter. Um, and what I was referring to was that the, um, area of this, the policing at sea is a very difficult environment to operate in and this carries a number of risks and it is very difficult. It is not easy to operate in. So my view was that we should not be considering straight away corporate manslaughter without having the full facts. Q. Now, can I take you to E511, please. This is a message from Mr McGrail to you on 15 March, about a week later, where he says:
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ \end{array}$	 in front." Was your understanding from Mr McGrail's message that the collision had happened outside of BGTW? A. So, my understanding was that it happened outside British Gibraltar Territory Waters, but if you look at the message where it says airport/La Linea, and I talk about the median line, what I thought at the time was that it was literally six miles east off Gibraltar. And there would have been very minor distance either between La Linea beach and the airport. So I took it that it was literally on the line, um, on the borderline, if that makes it easier for you. The frontier borderline all the way out to 6 nautical miles out at sea. Which we now know is not the case because it happened further into Spanish waters. Q. And it is fair to say as well that the borderline does not go in a straight horizontal line. A. It doesn't. It doesn't. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 going it hit somebody. (Spanish text read). Do not see Paul R or you do not want to know Paul R. Already talking of potential corporate manslaughter, etc. I have really had to assert myself here." And your response a minute later: "FFS, does he know how fucking difficult it is to operate out there?" What were you referring to there? A. So, obviously Mr McGrail was so, it is apparent that Mr Richardson was informing on Mr McGrail of issues around corporate manslaughter. Um, and what I was referring to was that the, um, area of this, the policing at sea is a very difficult environment to operate in and this carries a number of risks and it is very difficult. It is not easy to operate in. So my view was that we should not be considering straight away corporate manslaughter without having the full facts. Q. Now, can I take you to E511, please. This is a message from Mr McGrail to you on 15
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\\ \end{array}$	 in front." Was your understanding from Mr McGrail's message that the collision had happened outside of BGTW? A. So, my understanding was that it happened outside British Gibraltar Territory Waters, but if you look at the message where it says airport/La Linea, and I talk about the median line, what I thought at the time was that it was literally six miles east off Gibraltar. And there would have been very minor distance either between La Linea beach and the airport. So I took it that it was literally on the line, um, on the borderline, if that makes it easier for you. The frontier borderline all the way out to 6 nautical miles out at sea. Which we now know is not the case because it happened further into Spanish waters. Q. And it is fair to say as well that the borderline does not go in a straight horizontal line. A. It doesn't. It doesn't. Q. It sort of goes down towards Gibraltar 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 going it hit somebody. (Spanish text read). Do not see Paul R or you do not want to know Paul R. Already talking of potential corporate manslaughter, etc. I have really had to assert myself here." And your response a minute later: "FFS, does he know how fucking difficult it is to operate out there?" What were you referring to there? A. So, obviously Mr McGrail was so, it is apparent that Mr Richardson was informing on Mr McGrail of issues around corporate manslaughter. Um, and what I was referring to was that the, um, area of this, the policing at sea is a very difficult environment to operate in and this carries a number of risks and it is very difficult. It is not easy to operate in. So my view was that we should not be considering straight away corporate manslaughter without having the full facts. Q. Now, can I take you to E511, please. This is a message from Mr McGrail to you on 15 March, about a week later, where he says:

45 (Pages 177 to 180)

www.epiqglobal.com Lower Ground Floor, 46 Chancery Lane casemanagers@epiqglobal.com London, WC2A 1JE

1	section. I am so angry with the SOP of the	1	And I think our 2022 inspection showed that in
2	marine section done by Brian F and Nolan	2	order to adopt the strategic risk management
3	apparently. So, so shabby in presentation. In	3	of HMIC recommendations required a lot of
4	blank paper, no letterhead, no author, no date,	4	work and it required a lot of implementing.
5	shambolic. Such basic things that even	5	And it wasn't just a tick box exercise. So, um,
6	a probationer would not miss. (Spanish text	6	again in hindsight, both Mr Yeats and
7	read). In the end HMIC is right on the	7	Mr Richardson were correct in their views,
8	negative comments vis leadership. We can	8	um, of not being able to do the work like
9	fucking produce a proper set of instructions."	9	Mr McGrail and even I wanted to happen at
10	And you respond: "Indeed that was so [I think	10	the time.
11	you mean] poor."	11	Q. Can I go to E1102 now, please. This is
12	A. Correct.	12	a voice note transcript from a voice note that
13	Q. "(Spanish text read). But Wayne has	13	was sent by Mr McGrail to you on 28
14	responsibilities here too and should have had	14	April 2020. And he says: "(Spanish text read).
15	a better grip. I have said it before, not	15	Listen, Rich, we are going to have to explain
16	impressed with Nolan either."	16	why we never worked on the other
17	Were you disappointed with the marine section	17	recommendations. (Spanish text read). We
18	standard operating procedures and leadership?	18	are going to explain, for example, lack of
19	A. At the time, um, there were a set of	19	resourcing, challenges gone in with the IT
20	instructions that were not up to standard,	20	system and a couple of others that obviously
21	certainly not up to RGP standard, yes.	21	focus on the money val. Big, big operations
22	Q. There are some exchanges which refer to	22	that we have to dedicate a lot, a lot of time and
23	the HMIC report. E495, we have just seen one	23	energy. So there is loads of reasons why we
24	reference to it, but E495 is another, 5 March.	24	can say that we could not allocate that much
25	So this is going back in time a little bit, just	25	time to it and then I will remind them that the
	Page 181		Page 183
1	before the incident at see. And it is 086 the	1	increation was not magnit to have been
1	before the incident at sea. And it is 986, the	1	inspection was not meant to have been
2	second from the top:	2	commissioned so soon, but it was mainly
2 3	second from the top: "HMIC (Spanish text read). HMIC is taking	2 3	commissioned so soon, but it was mainly arising from the staff survey that we wanted to
2 3 4	second from the top: "HMIC (Spanish text read). HMIC is taking me through the streets of sorrow, battling	2 3 4	commissioned so soon, but it was mainly arising from the staff survey that we wanted to them to come in. (Spanish text read). Words
2 3 4 5	second from the top: "HMIC (Spanish text read). HMIC is taking me through the streets of sorrow, battling against Cathal and Paul. It is an uphill	2 3 4 5	commissioned so soon, but it was mainly arising from the staff survey that we wanted to them to come in. (Spanish text read). Words so I do not have any problem explaining."
2 3 4 5 6	second from the top: "HMIC (Spanish text read). HMIC is taking me through the streets of sorrow, battling against Cathal and Paul. It is an uphill struggle for me. Get your arse back."	2 3 4 5 6	commissioned so soon, but it was mainly arising from the staff survey that we wanted to them to come in. (Spanish text read). Words so I do not have any problem explaining." Did you agree with these explanations offered
2 3 4 5 6 7	second from the top: "HMIC (Spanish text read). HMIC is taking me through the streets of sorrow, battling against Cathal and Paul. It is an uphill struggle for me. Get your arse back." You reply: "Yes, I told you that they were	2 3 4 5 6 7	commissioned so soon, but it was mainly arising from the staff survey that we wanted to them to come in. (Spanish text read). Words so I do not have any problem explaining." Did you agree with these explanations offered by Mr McGrail?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	second from the top: "HMIC (Spanish text read). HMIC is taking me through the streets of sorrow, battling against Cathal and Paul. It is an uphill struggle for me. Get your arse back." You reply: "Yes, I told you that they were (Spanish text read) pathetic [is our translation	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 commissioned so soon, but it was mainly arising from the staff survey that we wanted to them to come in. (Spanish text read). Words so I do not have any problem explaining." Did you agree with these explanations offered by Mr McGrail? A. So, if we go to my original evidence in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	second from the top: "HMIC (Spanish text read). HMIC is taking me through the streets of sorrow, battling against Cathal and Paul. It is an uphill struggle for me. Get your arse back." You reply: "Yes, I told you that they were (Spanish text read) pathetic [is our translation of that] about it, not putting the importance to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 commissioned so soon, but it was mainly arising from the staff survey that we wanted to them to come in. (Spanish text read). Words so I do not have any problem explaining." Did you agree with these explanations offered by Mr McGrail? A. So, if we go to my original evidence in the Public Inquiry last year, you may remember
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	second from the top: "HMIC (Spanish text read). HMIC is taking me through the streets of sorrow, battling against Cathal and Paul. It is an uphill struggle for me. Get your arse back." You reply: "Yes, I told you that they were (Spanish text read) pathetic [is our translation of that] about it, not putting the importance to it and saying we were overreacting. They do	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 commissioned so soon, but it was mainly arising from the staff survey that we wanted to them to come in. (Spanish text read). Words so I do not have any problem explaining." Did you agree with these explanations offered by Mr McGrail? A. So, if we go to my original evidence in the Public Inquiry last year, you may remember that we told Mr McGrail to ask HMIC to do
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	second from the top: "HMIC (Spanish text read). HMIC is taking me through the streets of sorrow, battling against Cathal and Paul. It is an uphill struggle for me. Get your arse back." You reply: "Yes, I told you that they were (Spanish text read) pathetic [is our translation of that] about it, not putting the importance to it and saying we were overreacting. They do not see the damage it can do."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 commissioned so soon, but it was mainly arising from the staff survey that we wanted to them to come in. (Spanish text read). Words so I do not have any problem explaining." Did you agree with these explanations offered by Mr McGrail? A. So, if we go to my original evidence in the Public Inquiry last year, you may remember that we told Mr McGrail to ask HMIC to do an inspection of us was not the right thing to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	second from the top: "HMIC (Spanish text read). HMIC is taking me through the streets of sorrow, battling against Cathal and Paul. It is an uphill struggle for me. Get your arse back." You reply: "Yes, I told you that they were (Spanish text read) pathetic [is our translation of that] about it, not putting the importance to it and saying we were overreacting. They do not see the damage it can do." Presumably this was a reference to the HMIC	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 commissioned so soon, but it was mainly arising from the staff survey that we wanted to them to come in. (Spanish text read). Words so I do not have any problem explaining." Did you agree with these explanations offered by Mr McGrail? A. So, if we go to my original evidence in the Public Inquiry last year, you may remember that we told Mr McGrail to ask HMIC to do an inspection of us was not the right thing to do. Um, and he disagreed and we went ahead
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	second from the top: "HMIC (Spanish text read). HMIC is taking me through the streets of sorrow, battling against Cathal and Paul. It is an uphill struggle for me. Get your arse back." You reply: "Yes, I told you that they were (Spanish text read) pathetic [is our translation of that] about it, not putting the importance to it and saying we were overreacting. They do not see the damage it can do." Presumably this was a reference to the HMIC report.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 commissioned so soon, but it was mainly arising from the staff survey that we wanted to them to come in. (Spanish text read). Words so I do not have any problem explaining." Did you agree with these explanations offered by Mr McGrail? A. So, if we go to my original evidence in the Public Inquiry last year, you may remember that we told Mr McGrail to ask HMIC to do an inspection of us was not the right thing to do. Um, and he disagreed and we went ahead with it. These are quite, um, these are reasons
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 second from the top: "HMIC (Spanish text read). HMIC is taking me through the streets of sorrow, battling against Cathal and Paul. It is an uphill struggle for me. Get your arse back." You reply: "Yes, I told you that they were (Spanish text read) pathetic [is our translation of that] about it, not putting the importance to it and saying we were overreacting. They do not see the damage it can do." Presumably this was a reference to the HMIC report. A. That is correct, yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 commissioned so soon, but it was mainly arising from the staff survey that we wanted to them to come in. (Spanish text read). Words so I do not have any problem explaining." Did you agree with these explanations offered by Mr McGrail? A. So, if we go to my original evidence in the Public Inquiry last year, you may remember that we told Mr McGrail to ask HMIC to do an inspection of us was not the right thing to do. Um, and he disagreed and we went ahead with it. These are quite, um, these are reasons that he is giving to explain why we haven't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 second from the top: "HMIC (Spanish text read). HMIC is taking me through the streets of sorrow, battling against Cathal and Paul. It is an uphill struggle for me. Get your arse back." You reply: "Yes, I told you that they were (Spanish text read) pathetic [is our translation of that] about it, not putting the importance to it and saying we were overreacting. They do not see the damage it can do." Presumably this was a reference to the HMIC report. A. That is correct, yes. Q. Why did you think it could do damage? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 commissioned so soon, but it was mainly arising from the staff survey that we wanted to them to come in. (Spanish text read). Words so I do not have any problem explaining." Did you agree with these explanations offered by Mr McGrail? A. So, if we go to my original evidence in the Public Inquiry last year, you may remember that we told Mr McGrail to ask HMIC to do an inspection of us was not the right thing to do. Um, and he disagreed and we went ahead with it. These are quite, um, these are reasons that he is giving to explain why we haven't achieved, um, the HMIC recommendations
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 second from the top: "HMIC (Spanish text read). HMIC is taking me through the streets of sorrow, battling against Cathal and Paul. It is an uphill struggle for me. Get your arse back." You reply: "Yes, I told you that they were (Spanish text read) pathetic [is our translation of that] about it, not putting the importance to it and saying we were overreacting. They do not see the damage it can do." Presumably this was a reference to the HMIC report. A. That is correct, yes. Q. Why did you think it could do damage? A. I think it has been palpable already. It's 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 commissioned so soon, but it was mainly arising from the staff survey that we wanted to them to come in. (Spanish text read). Words so I do not have any problem explaining." Did you agree with these explanations offered by Mr McGrail? A. So, if we go to my original evidence in the Public Inquiry last year, you may remember that we told Mr McGrail to ask HMIC to do an inspection of us was not the right thing to do. Um, and he disagreed and we went ahead with it. These are quite, um, these are reasons that he is giving to explain why we haven't achieved, um, the HMIC recommendations and there is nothing sinister about it at all. It is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 second from the top: "HMIC (Spanish text read). HMIC is taking me through the streets of sorrow, battling against Cathal and Paul. It is an uphill struggle for me. Get your arse back." You reply: "Yes, I told you that they were (Spanish text read) pathetic [is our translation of that] about it, not putting the importance to it and saying we were overreacting. They do not see the damage it can do." Presumably this was a reference to the HMIC report. A. That is correct, yes. Q. Why did you think it could do damage? A. I think it has been palpable already. It's demonstrated itself. Um, so what happened 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 commissioned so soon, but it was mainly arising from the staff survey that we wanted to them to come in. (Spanish text read). Words so I do not have any problem explaining." Did you agree with these explanations offered by Mr McGrail? A. So, if we go to my original evidence in the Public Inquiry last year, you may remember that we told Mr McGrail to ask HMIC to do an inspection of us was not the right thing to do. Um, and he disagreed and we went ahead with it. These are quite, um, these are reasons that he is giving to explain why we haven't achieved, um, the HMIC recommendations and there is nothing sinister about it at all. It is true to say that we were suffering in respect of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 second from the top: "HMIC (Spanish text read). HMIC is taking me through the streets of sorrow, battling against Cathal and Paul. It is an uphill struggle for me. Get your arse back." You reply: "Yes, I told you that they were (Spanish text read) pathetic [is our translation of that] about it, not putting the importance to it and saying we were overreacting. They do not see the damage it can do." Presumably this was a reference to the HMIC report. A. That is correct, yes. Q. Why did you think it could do damage? A. I think it has been palpable already. It's 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 commissioned so soon, but it was mainly arising from the staff survey that we wanted to them to come in. (Spanish text read). Words so I do not have any problem explaining." Did you agree with these explanations offered by Mr McGrail? A. So, if we go to my original evidence in the Public Inquiry last year, you may remember that we told Mr McGrail to ask HMIC to do an inspection of us was not the right thing to do. Um, and he disagreed and we went ahead with it. These are quite, um, these are reasons that he is giving to explain why we haven't achieved, um, the HMIC recommendations and there is nothing sinister about it at all. It is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 second from the top: "HMIC (Spanish text read). HMIC is taking me through the streets of sorrow, battling against Cathal and Paul. It is an uphill struggle for me. Get your arse back." You reply: "Yes, I told you that they were (Spanish text read) pathetic [is our translation of that] about it, not putting the importance to it and saying we were overreacting. They do not see the damage it can do." Presumably this was a reference to the HMIC report. A. That is correct, yes. Q. Why did you think it could do damage? A. I think it has been palpable already. It's demonstrated itself. Um, so what happened here was that Mr McGrail wanted to start 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 commissioned so soon, but it was mainly arising from the staff survey that we wanted to them to come in. (Spanish text read). Words so I do not have any problem explaining." Did you agree with these explanations offered by Mr McGrail? A. So, if we go to my original evidence in the Public Inquiry last year, you may remember that we told Mr McGrail to ask HMIC to do an inspection of us was not the right thing to do. Um, and he disagreed and we went ahead with it. These are quite, um, these are reasons that he is giving to explain why we haven't achieved, um, the HMIC recommendations and there is nothing sinister about it at all. It is true to say that we were suffering in respect of resources. We had a really poor IT system and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 second from the top: "HMIC (Spanish text read). HMIC is taking me through the streets of sorrow, battling against Cathal and Paul. It is an uphill struggle for me. Get your arse back." You reply: "Yes, I told you that they were (Spanish text read) pathetic [is our translation of that] about it, not putting the importance to it and saying we were overreacting. They do not see the damage it can do." Presumably this was a reference to the HMIC report. A. That is correct, yes. Q. Why did you think it could do damage? A. I think it has been palpable already. It's demonstrated itself. Um, so what happened here was that Mr McGrail wanted to start adopting procedures and processes to start 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 commissioned so soon, but it was mainly arising from the staff survey that we wanted to them to come in. (Spanish text read). Words so I do not have any problem explaining." Did you agree with these explanations offered by Mr McGrail? A. So, if we go to my original evidence in the Public Inquiry last year, you may remember that we told Mr McGrail to ask HMIC to do an inspection of us was not the right thing to do. Um, and he disagreed and we went ahead with it. These are quite, um, these are reasons that he is giving to explain why we haven't achieved, um, the HMIC recommendations and there is nothing sinister about it at all. It is true to say that we were suffering in respect of resources. We had a really poor IT system and that is reflected in our annual surveys. We were doing a lot of work in respect of money
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 second from the top: "HMIC (Spanish text read). HMIC is taking me through the streets of sorrow, battling against Cathal and Paul. It is an uphill struggle for me. Get your arse back." You reply: "Yes, I told you that they were (Spanish text read) pathetic [is our translation of that] about it, not putting the importance to it and saying we were overreacting. They do not see the damage it can do." Presumably this was a reference to the HMIC report. A. That is correct, yes. Q. Why did you think it could do damage? A. I think it has been palpable already. It's demonstrated itself. Um, so what happened here was that Mr McGrail wanted to start adopting procedures and processes to start implementing work towards achieving the 	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\\end{array} $	 commissioned so soon, but it was mainly arising from the staff survey that we wanted to them to come in. (Spanish text read). Words so I do not have any problem explaining." Did you agree with these explanations offered by Mr McGrail? A. So, if we go to my original evidence in the Public Inquiry last year, you may remember that we told Mr McGrail to ask HMIC to do an inspection of us was not the right thing to do. Um, and he disagreed and we went ahead with it. These are quite, um, these are reasons that he is giving to explain why we haven't achieved, um, the HMIC recommendations and there is nothing sinister about it at all. It is true to say that we were suffering in respect of resources. We had a really poor IT system and that is reflected in our annual surveys. We
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 second from the top: "HMIC (Spanish text read). HMIC is taking me through the streets of sorrow, battling against Cathal and Paul. It is an uphill struggle for me. Get your arse back." You reply: "Yes, I told you that they were (Spanish text read) pathetic [is our translation of that] about it, not putting the importance to it and saying we were overreacting. They do not see the damage it can do." Presumably this was a reference to the HMIC report. A. That is correct, yes. Q. Why did you think it could do damage? A. I think it has been palpable already. It's demonstrated itself. Um, so what happened here was that Mr McGrail wanted to start adopting procedures and processes to start implementing work towards achieving the recommendations by HMIC. And, um, I think 	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\end{array} $	 commissioned so soon, but it was mainly arising from the staff survey that we wanted to them to come in. (Spanish text read). Words so I do not have any problem explaining." Did you agree with these explanations offered by Mr McGrail? A. So, if we go to my original evidence in the Public Inquiry last year, you may remember that we told Mr McGrail to ask HMIC to do an inspection of us was not the right thing to do. Um, and he disagreed and we went ahead with it. These are quite, um, these are reasons that he is giving to explain why we haven't achieved, um, the HMIC recommendations and there is nothing sinister about it at all. It is true to say that we were suffering in respect of resources. We had a really poor IT system and that is reflected in our annual surveys. We were doing a lot of work in respect of money val. And we were also fighting crime. We
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 second from the top: "HMIC (Spanish text read). HMIC is taking me through the streets of sorrow, battling against Cathal and Paul. It is an uphill struggle for me. Get your arse back." You reply: "Yes, I told you that they were (Spanish text read) pathetic [is our translation of that] about it, not putting the importance to it and saying we were overreacting. They do not see the damage it can do." Presumably this was a reference to the HMIC report. A. That is correct, yes. Q. Why did you think it could do damage? A. I think it has been palpable already. It's demonstrated itself. Um, so what happened here was that Mr McGrail wanted to start adopting procedures and processes to start implementing work towards achieving the recommendations by HMIC. And, um, I think in hindsight now, and in fairness to both 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 commissioned so soon, but it was mainly arising from the staff survey that we wanted to them to come in. (Spanish text read). Words so I do not have any problem explaining." Did you agree with these explanations offered by Mr McGrail? A. So, if we go to my original evidence in the Public Inquiry last year, you may remember that we told Mr McGrail to ask HMIC to do an inspection of us was not the right thing to do. Um, and he disagreed and we went ahead with it. These are quite, um, these are reasons that he is giving to explain why we haven't achieved, um, the HMIC recommendations and there is nothing sinister about it at all. It is true to say that we were suffering in respect of resources. We had a really poor IT system and that is reflected in our annual surveys. We were doing a lot of work in respect of money val. And we were also fighting crime. We were doing a lot of cross-border fighting
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 second from the top: "HMIC (Spanish text read). HMIC is taking me through the streets of sorrow, battling against Cathal and Paul. It is an uphill struggle for me. Get your arse back." You reply: "Yes, I told you that they were (Spanish text read) pathetic [is our translation of that] about it, not putting the importance to it and saying we were overreacting. They do not see the damage it can do." Presumably this was a reference to the HMIC report. A. That is correct, yes. Q. Why did you think it could do damage? A. I think it has been palpable already. It's demonstrated itself. Um, so what happened here was that Mr McGrail wanted to start adopting procedures and processes to start implementing work towards achieving the recommendations by HMIC. And, um, I think in hindsight now, and in fairness to both Mr Yeats and Mr Richardson, they were right 	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\\23\end{array} $	commissioned so soon, but it was mainly arising from the staff survey that we wanted to them to come in. (Spanish text read). Words so I do not have any problem explaining." Did you agree with these explanations offered by Mr McGrail? A. So, if we go to my original evidence in the Public Inquiry last year, you may remember that we told Mr McGrail to ask HMIC to do an inspection of us was not the right thing to do. Um, and he disagreed and we went ahead with it. These are quite, um, these are reasons that he is giving to explain why we haven't achieved, um, the HMIC recommendations and there is nothing sinister about it at all. It is true to say that we were suffering in respect of resources. We had a really poor IT system and that is reflected in our annual surveys. We were doing a lot of work in respect of money val. And we were also fighting crime. We were doing a lot of cross-border fighting (16.00)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 second from the top: "HMIC (Spanish text read). HMIC is taking me through the streets of sorrow, battling against Cathal and Paul. It is an uphill struggle for me. Get your arse back." You reply: "Yes, I told you that they were (Spanish text read) pathetic [is our translation of that] about it, not putting the importance to it and saying we were overreacting. They do not see the damage it can do." Presumably this was a reference to the HMIC report. A. That is correct, yes. Q. Why did you think it could do damage? A. I think it has been palpable already. It's demonstrated itself. Um, so what happened here was that Mr McGrail wanted to start adopting procedures and processes to start implementing work towards achieving the recommendations by HMIC. And, um, I think in hindsight now, and in fairness to both Mr Yeats and Mr Richardson, they were right in respect of that we couldn't do what we were 	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\\23\\24\end{array} $	commissioned so soon, but it was mainly arising from the staff survey that we wanted to them to come in. (Spanish text read). Words so I do not have any problem explaining." Did you agree with these explanations offered by Mr McGrail? A. So, if we go to my original evidence in the Public Inquiry last year, you may remember that we told Mr McGrail to ask HMIC to do an inspection of us was not the right thing to do. Um, and he disagreed and we went ahead with it. These are quite, um, these are reasons that he is giving to explain why we haven't achieved, um, the HMIC recommendations and there is nothing sinister about it at all. It is true to say that we were suffering in respect of resources. We had a really poor IT system and that is reflected in our annual surveys. We were doing a lot of work in respect of money val. And we were also fighting crime. We were doing a lot of cross-border fighting (16.00) crime, which was very important to us. So

Epiq Europe Ltd +44 (0) 207 404 1400 www.epiqglobal.com Lower Ground Floor, 46 Chancery Lane casemanagers@epiqglobal.com London, WC2A 1JE

46 (Pages 181 to 184)

1	of the details in the event that we needed to	1	well because on reflection I want you to
2	explain what had happened.	2	consider which of these two messages you are
3	Q. What is your reaction to the government	$\begin{vmatrix} 2\\ 3 \end{vmatrix}$	responding to in your message. The message
	parties suggestion that Mr McGrail was	4	
4	1 66		preceding that says, "John F [presumably
5	seeking to come up with explanations after the	5	Field] spoke to me a while ago. The marine
6	event for failing to meet HMIC requirements.	6	expert has agreed with most of the
7	A. Not at all. I think Mr McGrail fully	7	observations we made of the draft. So has the
8	accepted that we hadn't, you know, we hadn't	8	MET team. So, the final report won't be as
9	achieved - I mean, again, we have got to go	9	harsh." You say
10	back to the original public inquiry where I	10	A. So, that has got to do with the
11	explained that Mr McGrail had a debrief from	11	(Inaudible)
12	HMIC and HMIC said that they were happy	12	Q. Exactly so
13	with some of the prose but they would make	13	A. Right.
14	further recommendations, and on that day	14	Q. It is not clear to me which of those
15	when they left his office, I shook his hand and	15	messages you are responding to when you say,
16	I said: well done, we've cracked it. And then	16	"The quicker they speak to the CM the better
17	we got the report and the report was not the	17	I told you and
18	best of reports and I think Mr McGrail was	18	A. Sorry, Mr Santos, can we just go back up
19	very alive to it. You know, he is a very mature	19	to 2521 again so I can
20	individual and he accepted it but obviously	20	Q. Yes.
21	there were reasons behind why we didn't do	21	A give it a quick
22	some of the recommendations.	22	Q. That is clearly referring to HMIC.
23	Q. Can I go to, now, E767, which is the	23	A. (After a pause) Both messages that Mr -
23 24	bottom of the page? Sorry, at the bottom of the	23	obviously have nothing to do, one with the
25	page it is a message on 1 June 2020. So,	25	other.
23	page it is a message on 1 June 2020. So,	23	other.
	Page 185		Page 187
			v
1	coming towards the end of Mr McGrail's time	1	Q. No.
			Q: 110.
2	÷		-
2 3	at the RGP. 1 June he says, "I would ask a big	2	A. They are completely different. Certainly
3	at the RGP. 1 June he says, "I would ask a big favour and I know you are already working at	2 3	A. They are completely different. Certainly what my message was - sir, can I just go back
3 4	at the RGP. 1 June he says, "I would ask a big favour and I know you are already working at it but the more that is done on the HMIC	2 3 4	A. They are completely different. Certainly what my message was - sir, can I just go back down to my message? So, that has got to do -
3 4 5	at the RGP. 1 June he says, "I would ask a big favour and I know you are already working at it but the more that is done on the HMIC recommendations within the next couple of	2 3 4 5	A. They are completely different. Certainly what my message was - sir, can I just go back down to my message? So, that has got to do - yes, that's got to do with the HMIC that we are
3 4 5 6	at the RGP. 1 June he says, "I would ask a big favour and I know you are already working at it but the more that is done on the HMIC recommendations within the next couple of weeks, the better." Over the page, you reply	2 3 4 5 6	A. They are completely different. Certainly what my message was - sir, can I just go back down to my message? So, that has got to do - yes, that's got to do with the HMIC that we are trying to do absolutely everything to adopt
3 4 5 6 7	at the RGP. 1 June he says, "I would ask a big favour and I know you are already working at it but the more that is done on the HMIC recommendations within the next couple of weeks, the better." Over the page, you reply we have got to skip because Mr McGrail	2 3 4 5 6 7	A. They are completely different. Certainly what my message was - sir, can I just go back down to my message? So, that has got to do - yes, that's got to do with the HMIC that we are trying to do absolutely everything to adopt some of the recommendations, but again, like I
3 4 5 6 7 8	at the RGP. 1 June he says, "I would ask a big favour and I know you are already working at it but the more that is done on the HMIC recommendations within the next couple of weeks, the better." Over the page, you reply we have got to skip because Mr McGrail sends another message talking about the MET	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. They are completely different. Certainly what my message was - sir, can I just go back down to my message? So, that has got to do - yes, that's got to do with the HMIC that we are trying to do absolutely everything to adopt some of the recommendations, but again, like I have said in my evidence, that as we began to
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	at the RGP. 1 June he says, "I would ask a big favour and I know you are already working at it but the more that is done on the HMIC recommendations within the next couple of weeks, the better." Over the page, you reply we have got to skip because Mr McGrail sends another message talking about the MET report but then in the next message you say	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. They are completely different. Certainly what my message was - sir, can I just go back down to my message? So, that has got to do - yes, that's got to do with the HMIC that we are trying to do absolutely everything to adopt some of the recommendations, but again, like I have said in my evidence, that as we began to get to grips with the work that we were
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	at the RGP. 1 June he says, "I would ask a big favour and I know you are already working at it but the more that is done on the HMIC recommendations within the next couple of weeks, the better." Over the page, you reply we have got to skip because Mr McGrail sends another message talking about the MET report but then in the next message you say "(Spanish text read), I can't believe it. The	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. They are completely different. Certainly what my message was - sir, can I just go back down to my message? So, that has got to do - yes, that's got to do with the HMIC that we are trying to do absolutely everything to adopt some of the recommendations, but again, like I have said in my evidence, that as we began to get to grips with the work that we were required to do, we started realising that the ask
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	at the RGP. 1 June he says, "I would ask a big favour and I know you are already working at it but the more that is done on the HMIC recommendations within the next couple of weeks, the better." Over the page, you reply we have got to skip because Mr McGrail sends another message talking about the MET report but then in the next message you say "(Spanish text read), I can't believe it. The quicker they speak to CM the better.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. They are completely different. Certainly what my message was - sir, can I just go back down to my message? So, that has got to do - yes, that's got to do with the HMIC that we are trying to do absolutely everything to adopt some of the recommendations, but again, like I have said in my evidence, that as we began to get to grips with the work that we were required to do, we started realising that the ask of Mr McGrail was too big because a lot more
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	at the RGP. 1 June he says, "I would ask a big favour and I know you are already working at it but the more that is done on the HMIC recommendations within the next couple of weeks, the better." Over the page, you reply we have got to skip because Mr McGrail sends another message talking about the MET report but then in the next message you say "(Spanish text read), I can't believe it. The quicker they speak to CM the better. Absolutely mate. I told you today I'm doing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. They are completely different. Certainly what my message was - sir, can I just go back down to my message? So, that has got to do - yes, that's got to do with the HMIC that we are trying to do absolutely everything to adopt some of the recommendations, but again, like I have said in my evidence, that as we began to get to grips with the work that we were required to do, we started realising that the ask of Mr McGrail was too big because a lot more had to be done and it was going to take us far
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	at the RGP. 1 June he says, "I would ask a big favour and I know you are already working at it but the more that is done on the HMIC recommendations within the next couple of weeks, the better." Over the page, you reply we have got to skip because Mr McGrail sends another message talking about the MET report but then in the next message you say "(Spanish text read), I can't believe it. The quicker they speak to CM the better. Absolutely mate. I told you today I'm doing absolutely everything I can do to push things	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. They are completely different. Certainly what my message was - sir, can I just go back down to my message? So, that has got to do - yes, that's got to do with the HMIC that we are trying to do absolutely everything to adopt some of the recommendations, but again, like I have said in my evidence, that as we began to get to grips with the work that we were required to do, we started realising that the ask of Mr McGrail was too big because a lot more had to be done and it was going to take us far more time than to do the quick fixes.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	at the RGP. 1 June he says, "I would ask a big favour and I know you are already working at it but the more that is done on the HMIC recommendations within the next couple of weeks, the better." Over the page, you reply we have got to skip because Mr McGrail sends another message talking about the MET report but then in the next message you say "(Spanish text read), I can't believe it. The quicker they speak to CM the better. Absolutely mate. I told you today I'm doing absolutely everything I can do to push things to show that we are dealing with them	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. They are completely different. Certainly what my message was - sir, can I just go back down to my message? So, that has got to do - yes, that's got to do with the HMIC that we are trying to do absolutely everything to adopt some of the recommendations, but again, like I have said in my evidence, that as we began to get to grips with the work that we were required to do, we started realising that the ask of Mr McGrail was too big because a lot more had to be done and it was going to take us far more time than to do the quick fixes. Q. Do these messages show that you and Mr
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	at the RGP. 1 June he says, "I would ask a big favour and I know you are already working at it but the more that is done on the HMIC recommendations within the next couple of weeks, the better." Over the page, you reply we have got to skip because Mr McGrail sends another message talking about the MET report but then in the next message you say "(Spanish text read), I can't believe it. The quicker they speak to CM the better. Absolutely mate. I told you today I'm doing absolutely everything I can do to push things to show that we are dealing with them proactively." What steps had you taken to try	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. They are completely different. Certainly what my message was - sir, can I just go back down to my message? So, that has got to do - yes, that's got to do with the HMIC that we are trying to do absolutely everything to adopt some of the recommendations, but again, like I have said in my evidence, that as we began to get to grips with the work that we were required to do, we started realising that the ask of Mr McGrail was too big because a lot more had to be done and it was going to take us far more time than to do the quick fixes. Q. Do these messages show that you and Mr McGrail were concerned by the criticism
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	at the RGP. 1 June he says, "I would ask a big favour and I know you are already working at it but the more that is done on the HMIC recommendations within the next couple of weeks, the better." Over the page, you reply we have got to skip because Mr McGrail sends another message talking about the MET report but then in the next message you say "(Spanish text read), I can't believe it. The quicker they speak to CM the better. Absolutely mate. I told you today I'm doing absolutely everything I can do to push things to show that we are dealing with them proactively." What steps had you taken to try to push things?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. They are completely different. Certainly what my message was - sir, can I just go back down to my message? So, that has got to do - yes, that's got to do with the HMIC that we are trying to do absolutely everything to adopt some of the recommendations, but again, like I have said in my evidence, that as we began to get to grips with the work that we were required to do, we started realising that the ask of Mr McGrail was too big because a lot more had to be done and it was going to take us far more time than to do the quick fixes. Q. Do these messages show that you and Mr McGrail were concerned by the criticism leveled at the RGP in the HMIC report.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	at the RGP. 1 June he says, "I would ask a big favour and I know you are already working at it but the more that is done on the HMIC recommendations within the next couple of weeks, the better." Over the page, you reply we have got to skip because Mr McGrail sends another message talking about the MET report but then in the next message you say "(Spanish text read), I can't believe it. The quicker they speak to CM the better. Absolutely mate. I told you today I'm doing absolutely everything I can do to push things to show that we are dealing with them proactively." What steps had you taken to try to push things? A. (After a pause) So, this is linked to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. They are completely different. Certainly what my message was - sir, can I just go back down to my message? So, that has got to do - yes, that's got to do with the HMIC that we are trying to do absolutely everything to adopt some of the recommendations, but again, like I have said in my evidence, that as we began to get to grips with the work that we were required to do, we started realising that the ask of Mr McGrail was too big because a lot more had to be done and it was going to take us far more time than to do the quick fixes. Q. Do these messages show that you and Mr McGrail were concerned by the criticism leveled at the RGP in the HMIC report. A. I wouldn't say that we were concerned. I
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	at the RGP. 1 June he says, "I would ask a big favour and I know you are already working at it but the more that is done on the HMIC recommendations within the next couple of weeks, the better." Over the page, you reply we have got to skip because Mr McGrail sends another message talking about the MET report but then in the next message you say "(Spanish text read), I can't believe it. The quicker they speak to CM the better. Absolutely mate. I told you today I'm doing absolutely everything I can do to push things to show that we are dealing with them proactively." What steps had you taken to try to push things? A. (After a pause) So, this is linked to obviously the HMIC inspection and what we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. They are completely different. Certainly what my message was - sir, can I just go back down to my message? So, that has got to do - yes, that's got to do with the HMIC that we are trying to do absolutely everything to adopt some of the recommendations, but again, like I have said in my evidence, that as we began to get to grips with the work that we were required to do, we started realising that the ask of Mr McGrail was too big because a lot more had to be done and it was going to take us far more time than to do the quick fixes. Q. Do these messages show that you and Mr McGrail were concerned by the criticism leveled at the RGP in the HMIC report. A. I wouldn't say that we were concerned. I think we were disappointed and I think it was
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	at the RGP. 1 June he says, "I would ask a big favour and I know you are already working at it but the more that is done on the HMIC recommendations within the next couple of weeks, the better." Over the page, you reply we have got to skip because Mr McGrail sends another message talking about the MET report but then in the next message you say "(Spanish text read), I can't believe it. The quicker they speak to CM the better. Absolutely mate. I told you today I'm doing absolutely everything I can do to push things to show that we are dealing with them proactively." What steps had you taken to try to push things? A. (After a pause) So, this is linked to obviously the HMIC inspection and what we had started to do is we created a road map to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A. They are completely different. Certainly what my message was - sir, can I just go back down to my message? So, that has got to do - yes, that's got to do with the HMIC that we are trying to do absolutely everything to adopt some of the recommendations, but again, like I have said in my evidence, that as we began to get to grips with the work that we were required to do, we started realising that the ask of Mr McGrail was too big because a lot more had to be done and it was going to take us far more time than to do the quick fixes. Q. Do these messages show that you and Mr McGrail were concerned by the criticism leveled at the RGP in the HMIC report. A. I wouldn't say that we were concerned. I think we were disappointed and I think it was embarrassing as well at the same time because
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	at the RGP. 1 June he says, "I would ask a big favour and I know you are already working at it but the more that is done on the HMIC recommendations within the next couple of weeks, the better." Over the page, you reply we have got to skip because Mr McGrail sends another message talking about the MET report but then in the next message you say "(Spanish text read), I can't believe it. The quicker they speak to CM the better. Absolutely mate. I told you today I'm doing absolutely everything I can do to push things to show that we are dealing with them proactively." What steps had you taken to try to push things? A. (After a pause) So, this is linked to obviously the HMIC inspection and what we had started to do is we created a road map to start addressing the gaps, and minor - quick	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. They are completely different. Certainly what my message was - sir, can I just go back down to my message? So, that has got to do - yes, that's got to do with the HMIC that we are trying to do absolutely everything to adopt some of the recommendations, but again, like I have said in my evidence, that as we began to get to grips with the work that we were required to do, we started realising that the ask of Mr McGrail was too big because a lot more had to be done and it was going to take us far more time than to do the quick fixes. Q. Do these messages show that you and Mr McGrail were concerned by the criticism leveled at the RGP in the HMIC report. A. I wouldn't say that we were concerned. I think we were disappointed and I think it was embarrassing as well at the same time because no one likes to be told that you have failed in
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	at the RGP. 1 June he says, "I would ask a big favour and I know you are already working at it but the more that is done on the HMIC recommendations within the next couple of weeks, the better." Over the page, you reply we have got to skip because Mr McGrail sends another message talking about the MET report but then in the next message you say "(Spanish text read), I can't believe it. The quicker they speak to CM the better. Absolutely mate. I told you today I'm doing absolutely everything I can do to push things to show that we are dealing with them proactively." What steps had you taken to try to push things? A. (After a pause) So, this is linked to obviously the HMIC inspection and what we had started to do is we created a road map to start addressing the gaps, and minor - quick fixes are things that Mr McGrail wanted us to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. They are completely different. Certainly what my message was - sir, can I just go back down to my message? So, that has got to do - yes, that's got to do with the HMIC that we are trying to do absolutely everything to adopt some of the recommendations, but again, like I have said in my evidence, that as we began to get to grips with the work that we were required to do, we started realising that the ask of Mr McGrail was too big because a lot more had to be done and it was going to take us far more time than to do the quick fixes. Q. Do these messages show that you and Mr McGrail were concerned by the criticism leveled at the RGP in the HMIC report. A. I wouldn't say that we were concerned. I think we were disappointed and I think it was embarrassing as well at the same time because no one likes to be told that you have failed in certain areas. So, what we did as the mature
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	at the RGP. 1 June he says, "I would ask a big favour and I know you are already working at it but the more that is done on the HMIC recommendations within the next couple of weeks, the better." Over the page, you reply we have got to skip because Mr McGrail sends another message talking about the MET report but then in the next message you say "(Spanish text read), I can't believe it. The quicker they speak to CM the better. Absolutely mate. I told you today I'm doing absolutely everything I can do to push things to show that we are dealing with them proactively." What steps had you taken to try to push things? A. (After a pause) So, this is linked to obviously the HMIC inspection and what we had started to do is we created a road map to start addressing the gaps, and minor - quick fixes are things that Mr McGrail wanted us to try and achieve.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. They are completely different. Certainly what my message was - sir, can I just go back down to my message? So, that has got to do - yes, that's got to do with the HMIC that we are trying to do absolutely everything to adopt some of the recommendations, but again, like I have said in my evidence, that as we began to get to grips with the work that we were required to do, we started realising that the ask of Mr McGrail was too big because a lot more had to be done and it was going to take us far more time than to do the quick fixes. Q. Do these messages show that you and Mr McGrail were concerned by the criticism leveled at the RGP in the HMIC report. A. I wouldn't say that we were concerned. I think we were disappointed and I think it was embarrassing as well at the same time because no one likes to be told that you have failed in certain areas. So, what we did as the mature organisation I think that we are, is we started
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	at the RGP. 1 June he says, "I would ask a big favour and I know you are already working at it but the more that is done on the HMIC recommendations within the next couple of weeks, the better." Over the page, you reply we have got to skip because Mr McGrail sends another message talking about the MET report but then in the next message you say "(Spanish text read), I can't believe it. The quicker they speak to CM the better. Absolutely mate. I told you today I'm doing absolutely everything I can do to push things to show that we are dealing with them proactively." What steps had you taken to try to push things? A. (After a pause) So, this is linked to obviously the HMIC inspection and what we had started to do is we created a road map to start addressing the gaps, and minor - quick fixes are things that Mr McGrail wanted us to try and achieve. Q. Can I just interrupt you because I think in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. They are completely different. Certainly what my message was - sir, can I just go back down to my message? So, that has got to do - yes, that's got to do with the HMIC that we are trying to do absolutely everything to adopt some of the recommendations, but again, like I have said in my evidence, that as we began to get to grips with the work that we were required to do, we started realising that the ask of Mr McGrail was too big because a lot more had to be done and it was going to take us far more time than to do the quick fixes. Q. Do these messages show that you and Mr McGrail were concerned by the criticism leveled at the RGP in the HMIC report. A. I wouldn't say that we were concerned. I think we were disappointed and I think it was embarrassing as well at the same time because no one likes to be told that you have failed in certain areas. So, what we did as the mature organisation I think that we are, is we started to try and address them.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	at the RGP. 1 June he says, "I would ask a big favour and I know you are already working at it but the more that is done on the HMIC recommendations within the next couple of weeks, the better." Over the page, you reply we have got to skip because Mr McGrail sends another message talking about the MET report but then in the next message you say "(Spanish text read), I can't believe it. The quicker they speak to CM the better. Absolutely mate. I told you today I'm doing absolutely everything I can do to push things to show that we are dealing with them proactively." What steps had you taken to try to push things? A. (After a pause) So, this is linked to obviously the HMIC inspection and what we had started to do is we created a road map to start addressing the gaps, and minor - quick fixes are things that Mr McGrail wanted us to try and achieve. Q. Can I just interrupt you because I think in fairness to you, actually I should put the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A. They are completely different. Certainly what my message was - sir, can I just go back down to my message? So, that has got to do - yes, that's got to do with the HMIC that we are trying to do absolutely everything to adopt some of the recommendations, but again, like I have said in my evidence, that as we began to get to grips with the work that we were required to do, we started realising that the ask of Mr McGrail was too big because a lot more had to be done and it was going to take us far more time than to do the quick fixes. Q. Do these messages show that you and Mr McGrail were concerned by the criticism leveled at the RGP in the HMIC report. A. I wouldn't say that we were concerned. I think we were disappointed and I think it was embarrassing as well at the same time because no one likes to be told that you have failed in certain areas. So, what we did as the mature organisation I think that we are, is we started to try and address them. Q. Now, can I move to E742, please, and it is
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	at the RGP. 1 June he says, "I would ask a big favour and I know you are already working at it but the more that is done on the HMIC recommendations within the next couple of weeks, the better." Over the page, you reply we have got to skip because Mr McGrail sends another message talking about the MET report but then in the next message you say "(Spanish text read), I can't believe it. The quicker they speak to CM the better. Absolutely mate. I told you today I'm doing absolutely everything I can do to push things to show that we are dealing with them proactively." What steps had you taken to try to push things? A. (After a pause) So, this is linked to obviously the HMIC inspection and what we had started to do is we created a road map to start addressing the gaps, and minor - quick fixes are things that Mr McGrail wanted us to try and achieve. Q. Can I just interrupt you because I think in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. They are completely different. Certainly what my message was - sir, can I just go back down to my message? So, that has got to do - yes, that's got to do with the HMIC that we are trying to do absolutely everything to adopt some of the recommendations, but again, like I have said in my evidence, that as we began to get to grips with the work that we were required to do, we started realising that the ask of Mr McGrail was too big because a lot more had to be done and it was going to take us far more time than to do the quick fixes. Q. Do these messages show that you and Mr McGrail were concerned by the criticism leveled at the RGP in the HMIC report. A. I wouldn't say that we were concerned. I think we were disappointed and I think it was embarrassing as well at the same time because no one likes to be told that you have failed in certain areas. So, what we did as the mature organisation I think that we are, is we started to try and address them.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	at the RGP. 1 June he says, "I would ask a big favour and I know you are already working at it but the more that is done on the HMIC recommendations within the next couple of weeks, the better." Over the page, you reply we have got to skip because Mr McGrail sends another message talking about the MET report but then in the next message you say "(Spanish text read), I can't believe it. The quicker they speak to CM the better. Absolutely mate. I told you today I'm doing absolutely everything I can do to push things to show that we are dealing with them proactively." What steps had you taken to try to push things? A. (After a pause) So, this is linked to obviously the HMIC inspection and what we had started to do is we created a road map to start addressing the gaps, and minor - quick fixes are things that Mr McGrail wanted us to try and achieve. Q. Can I just interrupt you because I think in fairness to you, actually I should put the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A. They are completely different. Certainly what my message was - sir, can I just go back down to my message? So, that has got to do - yes, that's got to do with the HMIC that we are trying to do absolutely everything to adopt some of the recommendations, but again, like I have said in my evidence, that as we began to get to grips with the work that we were required to do, we started realising that the ask of Mr McGrail was too big because a lot more had to be done and it was going to take us far more time than to do the quick fixes. Q. Do these messages show that you and Mr McGrail were concerned by the criticism leveled at the RGP in the HMIC report. A. I wouldn't say that we were concerned. I think we were disappointed and I think it was embarrassing as well at the same time because no one likes to be told that you have failed in certain areas. So, what we did as the mature organisation I think that we are, is we started to try and address them. Q. Now, can I move to E742, please, and it is

47 (Pages 185 to 188)

there from you to Mr McGrail on 15 May at 1 because I can't remember exactly whether it 1 2 4:45 where you say "Que me te? How did it 2 was an email that he had received, a 3 go?" and his response, "All good-ish. Too 3 conversation that he had had, or an instruction 4 4 much to explain by text. Chill out, will chat he had received. I have no idea. I can't 5 on Monday." If things had gone badly at the 5 remember. 6 meeting, would you have expected Mr 6 Q. Do you have any recollection of Mr 7 McGrail to have told you that in the message? 7 McGrail telling you by that point that he was 8 8 considering retiring? A. Probably, yes or he would have called me. 9 9 Q. Did you speak about the matter on A. I think Mr McGrail was very worried of 10 10 Monday? the position that he found himself in. As soon 11 11 as he had had the conversation with the Chief A. I can't honestly remember if we did. We 12 probably did but I can't remember what we 12 Minister on 12 May. There was an element of 13 discussed, and equally, I can't remember 13 nervousness around him, and worry. 14 14 Q. You say that he had "our" full support. whether we maybe had a telephone 15 15 conversation on the Saturday or the Sunday. Who were you referring to by saying "our" full 16 16 Q. Do you recall what Mr McGrail told you support? 17 17 about that meeting? A. The command. 18 A. I can recall bits of it. I think this is 18 Q. Was that full support in terms of him 19 19 something that Mr Yeats and I have discussed staying in his post? 20 20 A. Yes. You have got to remember that, again, over the past few months, over the years, is 21 that we have read so much over the past few 21 we only know of what he had been telling us, 22 22 months that at times we find that we might be and he had our full support, yes. 23 23 conflating what happened on a certain date, Q. At various points over the next few days, 24 24 but certainly I can remember that he had gone Mr McGrail sent you messages about how he 25 25 to a meeting and they had had their was feeling. So, on 751 you ask him, towards Page 189 Page 191 1 discussions. The exact detail, I can't 1 the bottom, "Morning mate, hope you're 2 2 okay." He says, "Coping mate, thanks. remember. 3 3 Q. Can we now go to 747, please? Longing for this nightmare to end." That is on 4 A. This is 20 May 2020, and you message Mr 4 24 May. Then 756, he says at the top of the 5 5 McGrail, the third message from the top. page, "On edge, wanting this over. Let's see 6 "Mate, hate seeing you like this, let's not talk 6 what reaction I get from GPA to the lawyers 7 7 about you leaving but just the things that we letter." - 28 May. What was your 8 8 can start to turn things round for us. You have understanding of these messages from Mr 9 our full support and wouldn't want it any other 9 McGrail in terms of his wishes? 10 10 way. You have been inspirational these past A. Well, I think we have got to remember that 11 few months and that says a lot for you as an 11 - I forget the date, where he was issued the 12 individual. Be strong mate, big hugs." Mr 12 section 15 letter by the Chief Minister. I think 13 McGrail, replies, "Rich, thanks mate. It means 13 it was the 19th, was it? It was the Thursday? 14 14 a lot. I'm, just being realistic of what is likely O. The --15 to happen. It's a ruthless world that these 15 A. The section 15 letter. 16 16 people live in. Certainly not ours. My Q. For Kram? 17 conscience is very peaceful. I mean that." 17 A. For Operation - for Kram, HMIC. 18 Why did you say: "Let's not talk about you 18 Q. Yes. 19 leaving"? 19 A. Then he --20 20 A. So, I can't - I can't remember what Q. It is 21 May. happened before for that message to happen, 21 21 A. 21 May. Then he received the letter from 22 22 but certainly the build up towards Mr the GPA asking him to retire. I think you have McGrail's eventual departure, it was like a 23 23 got to - if you look at both at the same time 24 24 build up to something big happening and and subsequently, I think Mr McGrail found 25 again, I can't say what made me say that 25 that he no longer had the confidence of the Page 192

Page 190

48 (Pages 189 to 192)

1	Chief Minister, of the Governor at the time, the	1	wider government position, seeing that we did
2	Attorney General, and the police authority, so I	2	a lot of business with the Ministry of Justice at
3	think Mr Yeats alluded to it earlier as well.	3	the time.
4	You know, he had lost the four pillars that we	4	Q. So, are you saying that it was your
5	would go to for support. He didn't have none	5	suggestion?
6	of that, and the most obvious and probably the	6	A. Correct. Yes.
7	easiest way would be for him to leave the	7	Q. In what capacity did you approach Ms
8	RGP. But most important to Mr McGrail was	8	Sacramento? As Mr McGrail's friend, or
9	obviously that he would leave with his head	9	officially with your RGP hat on?
10	high and that he wouldn't lose any of his	10	A. This was a conversation that was had
11	pension benefits.	11	before the Covid pandemic SEG meeting. It
12	Q. Did he say anything to you, at that point,	12	was had in the kitchen where she closed the
13	about how he thought the nightmare could	13	kitchen door and we had a conversation about
14	end?	14	Ian's position - sorry, Mr McGrail's position,
15	A. At that stage it was a discussion between	15	and I wanted to try and get the take to see what
16	his counsel, the acting Governor, the Chief	16	she understood of what was happening and she
17	Minister and the Gibraltar Police Authority, so	17	said that there had been a discussion in cabinet
18		18	and that she had full confidence and that she
19	Q. Well, can I put it in a different way? Was	19	had no issue with Ian - sorry, Mr McGrail.
20	your understanding that at that stage he wanted	20	And that she worked very well with him
21	to remain in his post or that he wanted to get	21	Q. I am sorry to interrupt you because I will
22	out on good terms?	22	take you to the voice note that you played.
23	A. I honestly thought that he wanted to stay in	23	A. Okay.
24	his post, yes. But at the same time, we have to	24	Q. I am just asking, first of all, before the
25	understand that he was already facing a very	25	conversation took place, as you approached,
23	understand that he was already facing a very	25	conversation took place, as you approached,
	Page 193		Page 195
1	difficult situation where he had the Chief	1	what hat did you consider yoursalf to be
1	difficult situation where he had the Chief Minister, the interim Covernor, the CPA and	1	what hat did you consider yourself to be
2	Minister, the interim Governor, the GPA and	2	wearing?
2 3	Minister, the interim Governor, the GPA and the Attorney General against him and he found	2 3	wearing? A. I think you wear both hats, Mr Santos.
2 3 4	Minister, the interim Governor, the GPA and the Attorney General against him and he found himself in a position that was untenable. No	2 3 4	wearing? A. I think you wear both hats, Mr Santos. Yes.
2 3 4 5	Minister, the interim Governor, the GPA and the Attorney General against him and he found himself in a position that was untenable. No one would want to finish their career like Mr	2 3 4 5	wearing? A. I think you wear both hats, Mr Santos. Yes. Q. Can we look at E1106, which is a voice
2 3 4 5 6	Minister, the interim Governor, the GPA and the Attorney General against him and he found himself in a position that was untenable. No one would want to finish their career like Mr McGrail did.	2 3 4 5 6	wearing?A. I think you wear both hats, Mr Santos.Yes.Q. Can we look at E1106, which is a voice note that you sent on the same - on the 29th.
2 3 4 5 6 7	Minister, the interim Governor, the GPA and the Attorney General against him and he found himself in a position that was untenable. No one would want to finish their career like Mr McGrail did. Q. Can I then, on the same page at 756, the	2 3 4 5 6 7	 wearing? A. I think you wear both hats, Mr Santos. Yes. Q. Can we look at E1106, which is a voice note that you sent on the same - on the 29th. This is a voice note, so you say, "So
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Minister, the interim Governor, the GPA and the Attorney General against him and he found himself in a position that was untenable. No one would want to finish their career like Mr McGrail did. Q. Can I then, on the same page at 756, the penultimate message. You say, "(Spanish	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 wearing? A. I think you wear both hats, Mr Santos. Yes. Q. Can we look at E1106, which is a voice note that you sent on the same - on the 29th. This is a voice note, so you say, "So essentially, I followed into the kitchen and we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Minister, the interim Governor, the GPA and the Attorney General against him and he found himself in a position that was untenable. No one would want to finish their career like Mr McGrail did. Q. Can I then, on the same page at 756, the penultimate message. You say, "(Spanish text read)" Meaning, I will speak to you in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 wearing? A. I think you wear both hats, Mr Santos. Yes. Q. Can we look at E1106, which is a voice note that you sent on the same - on the 29th. This is a voice note, so you say, "So essentially, I followed into the kitchen and we had a conversation on our own, a good
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Minister, the interim Governor, the GPA and the Attorney General against him and he found himself in a position that was untenable. No one would want to finish their career like Mr McGrail did. Q. Can I then, on the same page at 756, the penultimate message. You say, "(Spanish text read)" Meaning, I will speak to you in due course. Was this a reference to Samantha	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 wearing? A. I think you wear both hats, Mr Santos. Yes. Q. Can we look at E1106, which is a voice note that you sent on the same - on the 29th. This is a voice note, so you say, "So essentially, I followed into the kitchen and we had a conversation on our own, a good conversation for about 10, 15 minutes. She
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Minister, the interim Governor, the GPA and the Attorney General against him and he found himself in a position that was untenable. No one would want to finish their career like Mr McGrail did. Q. Can I then, on the same page at 756, the penultimate message. You say, "(Spanish text read)" Meaning, I will speak to you in due course. Was this a reference to Samantha Sacramento?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 wearing? A. I think you wear both hats, Mr Santos. Yes. Q. Can we look at E1106, which is a voice note that you sent on the same - on the 29th. This is a voice note, so you say, "So essentially, I followed into the kitchen and we had a conversation on our own, a good conversation for about 10, 15 minutes. She claimed not to know absolutely nothing about
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Minister, the interim Governor, the GPA and the Attorney General against him and he found himself in a position that was untenable. No one would want to finish their career like Mr McGrail did. Q. Can I then, on the same page at 756, the penultimate message. You say, "(Spanish text read)" Meaning, I will speak to you in due course. Was this a reference to Samantha Sacramento? A. That's correct. Yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 wearing? A. I think you wear both hats, Mr Santos. Yes. Q. Can we look at E1106, which is a voice note that you sent on the same - on the 29th. This is a voice note, so you say, "So essentially, I followed into the kitchen and we had a conversation on our own, a good conversation for about 10, 15 minutes. She claimed not to know absolutely nothing about it. She was really shocked. She knew there
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Minister, the interim Governor, the GPA and the Attorney General against him and he found himself in a position that was untenable. No one would want to finish their career like Mr McGrail did. Q. Can I then, on the same page at 756, the penultimate message. You say, "(Spanish text read)" Meaning, I will speak to you in due course. Was this a reference to Samantha Sacramento? A. That's correct. Yes. Q. Had you agreed with Mr McGrail that you 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 wearing? A. I think you wear both hats, Mr Santos. Yes. Q. Can we look at E1106, which is a voice note that you sent on the same - on the 29th. This is a voice note, so you say, "So essentially, I followed into the kitchen and we had a conversation on our own, a good conversation for about 10, 15 minutes. She claimed not to know absolutely nothing about it. She was really shocked. She knew there was something going on with regards to the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Minister, the interim Governor, the GPA and the Attorney General against him and he found himself in a position that was untenable. No one would want to finish their career like Mr McGrail did. Q. Can I then, on the same page at 756, the penultimate message. You say, "(Spanish text read)" Meaning, I will speak to you in due course. Was this a reference to Samantha Sacramento? A. That's correct. Yes. Q. Had you agreed with Mr McGrail that you would try to talk to her? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 wearing? A. I think you wear both hats, Mr Santos. Yes. Q. Can we look at E1106, which is a voice note that you sent on the same - on the 29th. This is a voice note, so you say, "So essentially, I followed into the kitchen and we had a conversation on our own, a good conversation for about 10, 15 minutes. She claimed not to know absolutely nothing about it. She was really shocked. She knew there was something going on with regards to the incident at sea but not with regards to HMS at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Minister, the interim Governor, the GPA and the Attorney General against him and he found himself in a position that was untenable. No one would want to finish their career like Mr McGrail did. Q. Can I then, on the same page at 756, the penultimate message. You say, "(Spanish text read)" Meaning, I will speak to you in due course. Was this a reference to Samantha Sacramento? A. That's correct. Yes. Q. Had you agreed with Mr McGrail that you would try to talk to her? A. So, Mr McGrail used to attend the morning 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 wearing? A. I think you wear both hats, Mr Santos. Yes. Q. Can we look at E1106, which is a voice note that you sent on the same - on the 29th. This is a voice note, so you say, "So essentially, I followed into the kitchen and we had a conversation on our own, a good conversation for about 10, 15 minutes. She claimed not to know absolutely nothing about it. She was really shocked. She knew there was something going on with regards to the incident at sea but not with regards to HMS at all. Erm, completely shocked with regards to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Minister, the interim Governor, the GPA and the Attorney General against him and he found himself in a position that was untenable. No one would want to finish their career like Mr McGrail did. Q. Can I then, on the same page at 756, the penultimate message. You say, "(Spanish text read)" Meaning, I will speak to you in due course. Was this a reference to Samantha Sacramento? A. That's correct. Yes. Q. Had you agreed with Mr McGrail that you would try to talk to her? A. So, Mr McGrail used to attend the morning briefs - so at the time Royal Gibraltar Police - 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 wearing? A. I think you wear both hats, Mr Santos. Yes. Q. Can we look at E1106, which is a voice note that you sent on the same - on the 29th. This is a voice note, so you say, "So essentially, I followed into the kitchen and we had a conversation on our own, a good conversation for about 10, 15 minutes. She claimed not to know absolutely nothing about it. She was really shocked. She knew there was something going on with regards to the incident at sea but not with regards to HMS at all. Erm, completely shocked with regards to the asking to resign and that the GCG to retire
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Minister, the interim Governor, the GPA and the Attorney General against him and he found himself in a position that was untenable. No one would want to finish their career like Mr McGrail did. Q. Can I then, on the same page at 756, the penultimate message. You say, "(Spanish text read)" Meaning, I will speak to you in due course. Was this a reference to Samantha Sacramento? A. That's correct. Yes. Q. Had you agreed with Mr McGrail that you would try to talk to her? A. So, Mr McGrail used to attend the morning briefs - so at the time Royal Gibraltar Police - Gibraltar was going through the Covid 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 wearing? A. I think you wear both hats, Mr Santos. Yes. Q. Can we look at E1106, which is a voice note that you sent on the same - on the 29th. This is a voice note, so you say, "So essentially, I followed into the kitchen and we had a conversation on our own, a good conversation for about 10, 15 minutes. She claimed not to know absolutely nothing about it. She was really shocked. She knew there was something going on with regards to the incident at sea but not with regards to HMS at all. Erm, completely shocked with regards to the asking to resign and that the GCG to retire and the GPA were involved. Erm, she
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Minister, the interim Governor, the GPA and the Attorney General against him and he found himself in a position that was untenable. No one would want to finish their career like Mr McGrail did. Q. Can I then, on the same page at 756, the penultimate message. You say, "(Spanish text read)" Meaning, I will speak to you in due course. Was this a reference to Samantha Sacramento? A. That's correct. Yes. Q. Had you agreed with Mr McGrail that you would try to talk to her? A. So, Mr McGrail used to attend the morning briefs - so at the time Royal Gibraltar Police - Gibraltar was going through the Covid pandemic and Ms Sacramento would be 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 wearing? A. I think you wear both hats, Mr Santos. Yes. Q. Can we look at E1106, which is a voice note that you sent on the same - on the 29th. This is a voice note, so you say, "So essentially, I followed into the kitchen and we had a conversation on our own, a good conversation for about 10, 15 minutes. She claimed not to know absolutely nothing about it. She was really shocked. She knew there was something going on with regards to the incident at sea but not with regards to HMS at all. Erm, completely shocked with regards to the asking to resign and that the GCG to retire and the GPA were involved. Erm, she obviously didn't want to talk too much and she
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Minister, the interim Governor, the GPA and the Attorney General against him and he found himself in a position that was untenable. No one would want to finish their career like Mr McGrail did. Q. Can I then, on the same page at 756, the penultimate message. You say, "(Spanish text read)" Meaning, I will speak to you in due course. Was this a reference to Samantha Sacramento? A. That's correct. Yes. Q. Had you agreed with Mr McGrail that you would try to talk to her? A. So, Mr McGrail used to attend the morning briefs - so at the time Royal Gibraltar Police - Gibraltar was going through the Covid pandemic and Ms Sacramento would be chairing the SEG and Mr McGrail would 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 wearing? A. I think you wear both hats, Mr Santos. Yes. Q. Can we look at E1106, which is a voice note that you sent on the same - on the 29th. This is a voice note, so you say, "So essentially, I followed into the kitchen and we had a conversation on our own, a good conversation for about 10, 15 minutes. She claimed not to know absolutely nothing about it. She was really shocked. She knew there was something going on with regards to the incident at sea but not with regards to HMS at all. Erm, completely shocked with regards to the asking to resign and that the GCG to retire and the GPA were involved. Erm, she obviously didn't want to talk too much and she said Richard(reads Spanish text) this is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Minister, the interim Governor, the GPA and the Attorney General against him and he found himself in a position that was untenable. No one would want to finish their career like Mr McGrail did. Q. Can I then, on the same page at 756, the penultimate message. You say, "(Spanish text read)" Meaning, I will speak to you in due course. Was this a reference to Samantha Sacramento? A. That's correct. Yes. Q. Had you agreed with Mr McGrail that you would try to talk to her? A. So, Mr McGrail used to attend the morning briefs - so at the time Royal Gibraltar Police - Gibraltar was going through the Covid pandemic and Ms Sacramento would be chairing the SEG and Mr McGrail would attend those meetings, but obviously Mr 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 wearing? A. I think you wear both hats, Mr Santos. Yes. Q. Can we look at E1106, which is a voice note that you sent on the same - on the 29th. This is a voice note, so you say, "So essentially, I followed into the kitchen and we had a conversation on our own, a good conversation for about 10, 15 minutes. She claimed not to know absolutely nothing about it. She was really shocked. She knew there was something going on with regards to the incident at sea but not with regards to HMS at all. Erm, completely shocked with regards to the asking to resign and that the GCG to retire and the GPA were involved. Erm, she obviously didn't want to talk too much and she said Richard(reads Spanish text) this is between Samantha and Richard, nothing else
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Minister, the interim Governor, the GPA and the Attorney General against him and he found himself in a position that was untenable. No one would want to finish their career like Mr McGrail did. Q. Can I then, on the same page at 756, the penultimate message. You say, "(Spanish text read)" Meaning, I will speak to you in due course. Was this a reference to Samantha Sacramento? A. That's correct. Yes. Q. Had you agreed with Mr McGrail that you would try to talk to her? A. So, Mr McGrail used to attend the morning briefs - so at the time Royal Gibraltar Police - Gibraltar was going through the Covid pandemic and Ms Sacramento would be chairing the SEG and Mr McGrail would attend those meetings, but obviously Mr McGrail was now going through this process 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 wearing? A. I think you wear both hats, Mr Santos. Yes. Q. Can we look at E1106, which is a voice note that you sent on the same - on the 29th. This is a voice note, so you say, "So essentially, I followed into the kitchen and we had a conversation on our own, a good conversation for about 10, 15 minutes. She claimed not to know absolutely nothing about it. She was really shocked. She knew there was something going on with regards to the incident at sea but not with regards to HMS at all. Erm, completely shocked with regards to the asking to resign and that the GCG to retire and the GPA were involved. Erm, she obviously didn't want to talk too much and she said Richard(reads Spanish text) this is between Samantha and Richard, nothing else at this conversation(reads Spanish text)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Minister, the interim Governor, the GPA and the Attorney General against him and he found himself in a position that was untenable. No one would want to finish their career like Mr McGrail did. Q. Can I then, on the same page at 756, the penultimate message. You say, "(Spanish text read)" Meaning, I will speak to you in due course. Was this a reference to Samantha Sacramento? A. That's correct. Yes. Q. Had you agreed with Mr McGrail that you would try to talk to her? A. So, Mr McGrail used to attend the morning briefs - so at the time Royal Gibraltar Police - Gibraltar was going through the Covid pandemic and Ms Sacramento would be chairing the SEG and Mr McGrail would attend those meetings, but obviously Mr McGrail was now going through this process and I started attending those meetings for him. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 wearing? A. I think you wear both hats, Mr Santos. Yes. Q. Can we look at E1106, which is a voice note that you sent on the same - on the 29th. This is a voice note, so you say, "So essentially, I followed into the kitchen and we had a conversation on our own, a good conversation for about 10, 15 minutes. She claimed not to know absolutely nothing about it. She was really shocked. She knew there was something going on with regards to the incident at sea but not with regards to HMS at all. Erm, completely shocked with regards to the asking to resign and that the GCG to retire and the GPA were involved. Erm, she obviously didn't want to talk too much and she said Richard(reads Spanish text) this is between Samantha and Richard, nothing else at this conversation(reads Spanish text) but she confirmed what she told you with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Minister, the interim Governor, the GPA and the Attorney General against him and he found himself in a position that was untenable. No one would want to finish their career like Mr McGrail did. Q. Can I then, on the same page at 756, the penultimate message. You say, "(Spanish text read)" Meaning, I will speak to you in due course. Was this a reference to Samantha Sacramento? A. That's correct. Yes. Q. Had you agreed with Mr McGrail that you would try to talk to her? A. So, Mr McGrail used to attend the morning briefs - so at the time Royal Gibraltar Police - Gibraltar was going through the Covid pandemic and Ms Sacramento would be chairing the SEG and Mr McGrail would attend those meetings, but obviously Mr McGrail was now going through this process and I started attending those meetings for him. I had informed him that I would speak to 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 wearing? A. I think you wear both hats, Mr Santos. Yes. Q. Can we look at E1106, which is a voice note that you sent on the same - on the 29th. This is a voice note, so you say, "So essentially, I followed into the kitchen and we had a conversation on our own, a good conversation for about 10, 15 minutes. She claimed not to know absolutely nothing about it. She was really shocked. She knew there was something going on with regards to the incident at sea but not with regards to HMS at all. Erm, completely shocked with regards to the asking to resign and that the GCG to retire and the GPA were involved. Erm, she obviously didn't want to talk too much and she said Richard(reads Spanish text) this is between Samantha and Richard, nothing else at this conversation(reads Spanish text) but she confirmed what she told you with regards to deli and that mmm, she sympathised
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Minister, the interim Governor, the GPA and the Attorney General against him and he found himself in a position that was untenable. No one would want to finish their career like Mr McGrail did. Q. Can I then, on the same page at 756, the penultimate message. You say, "(Spanish text read)" Meaning, I will speak to you in due course. Was this a reference to Samantha Sacramento? A. That's correct. Yes. Q. Had you agreed with Mr McGrail that you would try to talk to her? A. So, Mr McGrail used to attend the morning briefs - so at the time Royal Gibraltar Police - Gibraltar was going through the Covid pandemic and Ms Sacramento would be chairing the SEG and Mr McGrail would attend those meetings, but obviously Mr McGrail was now going through this process and I started attending those meetings for him. I had informed him that I would speak to 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 wearing? A. I think you wear both hats, Mr Santos. Yes. Q. Can we look at E1106, which is a voice note that you sent on the same - on the 29th. This is a voice note, so you say, "So essentially, I followed into the kitchen and we had a conversation on our own, a good conversation for about 10, 15 minutes. She claimed not to know absolutely nothing about it. She was really shocked. She knew there was something going on with regards to the incident at sea but not with regards to HMS at all. Erm, completely shocked with regards to the asking to resign and that the GCG to retire and the GPA were involved. Erm, she obviously didn't want to talk too much and she said Richard(reads Spanish text) this is between Samantha and Richard, nothing else at this conversation(reads Spanish text) but she confirmed what she told you with regards to deli and that mmm, she sympathised with you in that regard because she thought
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Minister, the interim Governor, the GPA and the Attorney General against him and he found himself in a position that was untenable. No one would want to finish their career like Mr McGrail did. Q. Can I then, on the same page at 756, the penultimate message. You say, "(Spanish text read)" Meaning, I will speak to you in due course. Was this a reference to Samantha Sacramento? A. That's correct. Yes. Q. Had you agreed with Mr McGrail that you would try to talk to her? A. So, Mr McGrail used to attend the morning briefs - so at the time Royal Gibraltar Police - Gibraltar was going through the Covid pandemic and Ms Sacramento would be chairing the SEG and Mr McGrail would attend those meetings, but obviously Mr McGrail was now going through this process and I started attending those meetings for him. I had informed him that I would speak to 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 wearing? A. I think you wear both hats, Mr Santos. Yes. Q. Can we look at E1106, which is a voice note that you sent on the same - on the 29th. This is a voice note, so you say, "So essentially, I followed into the kitchen and we had a conversation on our own, a good conversation for about 10, 15 minutes. She claimed not to know absolutely nothing about it. She was really shocked. She knew there was something going on with regards to the incident at sea but not with regards to HMS at all. Erm, completely shocked with regards to the asking to resign and that the GCG to retire and the GPA were involved. Erm, she obviously didn't want to talk too much and she said Richard(reads Spanish text) this is between Samantha and Richard, nothing else at this conversation(reads Spanish text) but she confirmed what she told you with regards to deli and that mmm, she sympathised

Page 196

49 (Pages 193 to 196)

1	integrity. I told her about the fact that the best	1	report was not the best report but that it was
2	- the best scenario here was what you were	2	something that we could work at together.
3	looking - was you wanted to retire now	3	Q. During this conversation, did she express
4	because your position was untenable with all	4	to you that she had lost confidence in Mr
5	individuals for that matter and that you wanted	5	McGrail?
6	to leave the organisation but maintain your pay	6	A. No, she didn't at all.
7	and to have your retirement package in two		Q. Did she suggest that anybody else in
8	years' time. And I asked, I said, look, this	8	Cabinet, apart from the Chief Minister, of
9	conversation you need to be having it with	9	course, her lost confidence, to you - had lost
10	Charlie Mike(?) and she said that she would	10	confidence in Mr McGrail?
11	but she said that she hadn't seen him for a	11	A. Sorry?
12	while and that there had been very little	12	Q. Sorry, let me say that again. Did she
13	conversation and she said that she was	13	suggest that anybody else in Cabinet had lost
14	completely blinded with regards to what's	14	confidence in Mr McGrail?
15	happened and the letters." Is that an accurate -	15	A. No, she did not. No.
16	it is your voice note but I do want to ask you to	16	Q. Now, can we go to 1081, please? This is
17	confirm in evidence that that is an accurate	17	the statement provided by Ms Sacramento, the
18	account of the conversation.	18	former Minister for Justice, to the Inquiry. At
19	A. It is. Yes.	19	64 to 66. I am not going to read them all out,
20	Q. Had Mr McGrail previously communicated	20	but she suggests that you were 66, three
21	to you that he thought his position was	21	lines from the top, she says, "I think that their
22	untenable?	22	hope was that tempers had settled and I could
23	A. We had spoken about it, yes.	23	somehow intervene." Did you suggest, or was
24	Q. Who was the individual "all individuals"	24	your intention that Ms Sacramento should
25	that you were referring to?	25	somehow intervene?
	Page 197		Page 199
1	A Whom to some?	1	A Showas the Minister for Justice and she
1	A. Where to, sorry?	1	A. She was the Minister for Justice and she was the Minister that we undertook a lot of
2	Q. Sorry, let me just take you to the	2	was the Minister that we undertook a lot of
2 3	Q. Sorry, let me just take you to the specific It is where you say about just over	2 3	was the Minister that we undertook a lot of policing business with, and I thought she was
2 3 4	Q. Sorry, let me just take you to the specific It is where you say about just over halfway down, I think Mr Triay is pointing it	2 3 4	was the Minister that we undertook a lot of policing business with, and I thought she was an important figure in government, to be able
2 3 4 5	Q. Sorry, let me just take you to the specific It is where you say about just over halfway down, I think Mr Triay is pointing it out now.	2 3 4 5	was the Minister that we undertook a lot of policing business with, and I thought she was an important figure in government, to be able to support us and to understand what was
2 3 4 5 6	Q. Sorry, let me just take you to the specific It is where you say about just over halfway down, I think Mr Triay is pointing it out now.A. Yes. The individual would have been the	2 3 4 5 6	was the Minister that we undertook a lot of policing business with, and I thought she was an important figure in government, to be able to support us and to understand what was happening better. So, I thought she was more
2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q. Sorry, let me just take you to the specific It is where you say about just over halfway down, I think Mr Triay is pointing it out now. A. Yes. The individual would have been the Chief Minister. 	2 3 4 5 6 7	was the Minister that we undertook a lot of policing business with, and I thought she was an important figure in government, to be able to support us and to understand what was happening better. So, I thought she was more of an ally to support us in trying to assist Mr
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. Sorry, let me just take you to the specific It is where you say about just over halfway down, I think Mr Triay is pointing it out now. A. Yes. The individual would have been the Chief Minister. Q. With "all individuals" for that matter 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	was the Minister that we undertook a lot of policing business with, and I thought she was an important figure in government, to be able to support us and to understand what was happening better. So, I thought she was more of an ally to support us in trying to assist Mr McGrail or trying to get us back to a table
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. Sorry, let me just take you to the specific It is where you say about just over halfway down, I think Mr Triay is pointing it out now. A. Yes. The individual would have been the Chief Minister. Q. With "all individuals" for that matter A. Correct. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	was the Minister that we undertook a lot of policing business with, and I thought she was an important figure in government, to be able to support us and to understand what was happening better. So, I thought she was more of an ally to support us in trying to assist Mr McGrail or trying to get us back to a table where we could communicate and discuss
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q. Sorry, let me just take you to the specific It is where you say about just over halfway down, I think Mr Triay is pointing it out now. A. Yes. The individual would have been the Chief Minister. Q. With "all individuals" for that matter A. Correct. Q is a reference to whom? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	was the Minister that we undertook a lot of policing business with, and I thought she was an important figure in government, to be able to support us and to understand what was happening better. So, I thought she was more of an ally to support us in trying to assist Mr McGrail or trying to get us back to a table where we could communicate and discuss where we went wrong and move on from
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. Sorry, let me just take you to the specific It is where you say about just over halfway down, I think Mr Triay is pointing it out now. A. Yes. The individual would have been the Chief Minister. Q. With "all individuals" for that matter A. Correct. Q is a reference to whom? A. It would have been the interim Governor, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	was the Minister that we undertook a lot of policing business with, and I thought she was an important figure in government, to be able to support us and to understand what was happening better. So, I thought she was more of an ally to support us in trying to assist Mr McGrail or trying to get us back to a table where we could communicate and discuss where we went wrong and move on from there. That was my hope.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q. Sorry, let me just take you to the specific It is where you say about just over halfway down, I think Mr Triay is pointing it out now. A. Yes. The individual would have been the Chief Minister. Q. With "all individuals" for that matter A. Correct. Q is a reference to whom? A. It would have been the interim Governor, the Attorney General and the Gibraltar Police 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	was the Minister that we undertook a lot of policing business with, and I thought she was an important figure in government, to be able to support us and to understand what was happening better. So, I thought she was more of an ally to support us in trying to assist Mr McGrail or trying to get us back to a table where we could communicate and discuss where we went wrong and move on from there. That was my hope. Q. Have you had the chance to consider Ms
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. Sorry, let me just take you to the specific It is where you say about just over halfway down, I think Mr Triay is pointing it out now. A. Yes. The individual would have been the Chief Minister. Q. With "all individuals" for that matter A. Correct. Q is a reference to whom? A. It would have been the interim Governor, the Attorney General and the Gibraltar Police Authority. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	was the Minister that we undertook a lot of policing business with, and I thought she was an important figure in government, to be able to support us and to understand what was happening better. So, I thought she was more of an ally to support us in trying to assist Mr McGrail or trying to get us back to a table where we could communicate and discuss where we went wrong and move on from there. That was my hope. Q. Have you had the chance to consider Ms Sacramento's evidence?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. Sorry, let me just take you to the specific It is where you say about just over halfway down, I think Mr Triay is pointing it out now. A. Yes. The individual would have been the Chief Minister. Q. With "all individuals" for that matter A. Correct. Q is a reference to whom? A. It would have been the interim Governor, the Attorney General and the Gibraltar Police Authority. Q. You say that Ms Sacramento had alluded to 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	was the Minister that we undertook a lot of policing business with, and I thought she was an important figure in government, to be able to support us and to understand what was happening better. So, I thought she was more of an ally to support us in trying to assist Mr McGrail or trying to get us back to a table where we could communicate and discuss where we went wrong and move on from there. That was my hope. Q. Have you had the chance to consider Ms Sacramento's evidence? A. I have. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. Sorry, let me just take you to the specific It is where you say about just over halfway down, I think Mr Triay is pointing it out now. A. Yes. The individual would have been the Chief Minister. Q. With "all individuals" for that matter A. Correct. Q is a reference to whom? A. It would have been the interim Governor, the Attorney General and the Gibraltar Police Authority. Q. You say that Ms Sacramento had alluded to the incidents at sea being an issue in Cabinet. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 was the Minister that we undertook a lot of policing business with, and I thought she was an important figure in government, to be able to support us and to understand what was happening better. So, I thought she was more of an ally to support us in trying to assist Mr McGrail or trying to get us back to a table where we could communicate and discuss where we went wrong and move on from there. That was my hope. Q. Have you had the chance to consider Ms Sacramento's evidence? A. I have. Yes. Q. Do you agree with her recollection of your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. Sorry, let me just take you to the specific It is where you say about just over halfway down, I think Mr Triay is pointing it out now. A. Yes. The individual would have been the Chief Minister. Q. With "all individuals" for that matter A. Correct. Q is a reference to whom? A. It would have been the interim Governor, the Attorney General and the Gibraltar Police Authority. Q. You say that Ms Sacramento had alluded to the incidents at sea being an issue in Cabinet. A. Correct. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 was the Minister that we undertook a lot of policing business with, and I thought she was an important figure in government, to be able to support us and to understand what was happening better. So, I thought she was more of an ally to support us in trying to assist Mr McGrail or trying to get us back to a table where we could communicate and discuss where we went wrong and move on from there. That was my hope. Q. Have you had the chance to consider Ms Sacramento's evidence? A. I have. Yes. Q. Do you agree with her recollection of your conversation?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. Sorry, let me just take you to the specific It is where you say about just over halfway down, I think Mr Triay is pointing it out now. A. Yes. The individual would have been the Chief Minister. Q. With "all individuals" for that matter A. Correct. Q is a reference to whom? A. It would have been the interim Governor, the Attorney General and the Gibraltar Police Authority. Q. You say that Ms Sacramento had alluded to the incidents at sea being an issue in Cabinet. A. Correct. Q. How did she allude to that being an issue 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 was the Minister that we undertook a lot of policing business with, and I thought she was an important figure in government, to be able to support us and to understand what was happening better. So, I thought she was more of an ally to support us in trying to assist Mr McGrail or trying to get us back to a table where we could communicate and discuss where we went wrong and move on from there. That was my hope. Q. Have you had the chance to consider Ms Sacramento's evidence? A. I have. Yes. Q. Do you agree with her recollection of your conversation? A. Yes, I would say that she did say that she
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. Sorry, let me just take you to the specific It is where you say about just over halfway down, I think Mr Triay is pointing it out now. A. Yes. The individual would have been the Chief Minister. Q. With "all individuals" for that matter A. Correct. Q is a reference to whom? A. It would have been the interim Governor, the Attorney General and the Gibraltar Police Authority. Q. You say that Ms Sacramento had alluded to the incidents at sea being an issue in Cabinet. A. Correct. Q. How did she allude to that being an issue in Cabinet? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 was the Minister that we undertook a lot of policing business with, and I thought she was an important figure in government, to be able to support us and to understand what was happening better. So, I thought she was more of an ally to support us in trying to assist Mr McGrail or trying to get us back to a table where we could communicate and discuss where we went wrong and move on from there. That was my hope. Q. Have you had the chance to consider Ms Sacramento's evidence? A. I have. Yes. Q. Do you agree with her recollection of your conversation? A. Yes, I would say that she did say that she would try and help, but as far as where that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q. Sorry, let me just take you to the specific It is where you say about just over halfway down, I think Mr Triay is pointing it out now. A. Yes. The individual would have been the Chief Minister. Q. With "all individuals" for that matter A. Correct. Q is a reference to whom? A. It would have been the interim Governor, the Attorney General and the Gibraltar Police Authority. Q. You say that Ms Sacramento had alluded to the incidents at sea being an issue in Cabinet. A. Correct. Q. How did she allude to that being an issue in Cabinet? A. Again, she didn't disclose much but she 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 was the Minister that we undertook a lot of policing business with, and I thought she was an important figure in government, to be able to support us and to understand what was happening better. So, I thought she was more of an ally to support us in trying to assist Mr McGrail or trying to get us back to a table where we could communicate and discuss where we went wrong and move on from there. That was my hope. Q. Have you had the chance to consider Ms Sacramento's evidence? A. I have. Yes. Q. Do you agree with her recollection of your conversation? A. Yes, I would say that she did say that she would try and help, but as far as where that help would take us, it was very much in the air.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. Sorry, let me just take you to the specific It is where you say about just over halfway down, I think Mr Triay is pointing it out now. A. Yes. The individual would have been the Chief Minister. Q. With "all individuals" for that matter A. Correct. Q is a reference to whom? A. It would have been the interim Governor, the Attorney General and the Gibraltar Police Authority. Q. You say that Ms Sacramento had alluded to the incidents at sea being an issue in Cabinet. A. Correct. Q. How did she allude to that being an issue in Cabinet? A. Again, she didn't disclose much but she said that there had been a conversation in 	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ \end{array} $	 was the Minister that we undertook a lot of policing business with, and I thought she was an important figure in government, to be able to support us and to understand what was happening better. So, I thought she was more of an ally to support us in trying to assist Mr McGrail or trying to get us back to a table where we could communicate and discuss where we went wrong and move on from there. That was my hope. Q. Have you had the chance to consider Ms Sacramento's evidence? A. I have. Yes. Q. Do you agree with her recollection of your conversation? A. Yes, I would say that she did say that she would try and help, but as far as where that help would take us, it was very much in the air. Q. Now, can we just go on to E761 now,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. Sorry, let me just take you to the specific It is where you say about just over halfway down, I think Mr Triay is pointing it out now. A. Yes. The individual would have been the Chief Minister. Q. With "all individuals" for that matter A. Correct. Q is a reference to whom? A. It would have been the interim Governor, the Attorney General and the Gibraltar Police Authority. Q. You say that Ms Sacramento had alluded to the incidents at sea being an issue in Cabinet. A. Correct. Q. How did she allude to that being an issue in Cabinet? A. Again, she didn't disclose much but she said that there had been a conversation in Cabinet and that they had talked about the 	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\end{array} $	 was the Minister that we undertook a lot of policing business with, and I thought she was an important figure in government, to be able to support us and to understand what was happening better. So, I thought she was more of an ally to support us in trying to assist Mr McGrail or trying to get us back to a table where we could communicate and discuss where we went wrong and move on from there. That was my hope. Q. Have you had the chance to consider Ms Sacramento's evidence? A. I have. Yes. Q. Do you agree with her recollection of your conversation? A. Yes, I would say that she did say that she would try and help, but as far as where that help would take us, it was very much in the air. Q. Now, can we just go on to E761 now, please? The penultimate message on that page
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. Sorry, let me just take you to the specific It is where you say about just over halfway down, I think Mr Triay is pointing it out now. A. Yes. The individual would have been the Chief Minister. Q. With "all individuals" for that matter A. Correct. Q is a reference to whom? A. It would have been the interim Governor, the Attorney General and the Gibraltar Police Authority. Q. You say that Ms Sacramento had alluded to the incidents at sea being an issue in Cabinet. A. Correct. Q. How did she allude to that being an issue in Cabinet? A. Again, she didn't disclose much but she said that there had been a conversation in Cabinet at sea but she didn't go into any depth 	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\end{array} $	 was the Minister that we undertook a lot of policing business with, and I thought she was an important figure in government, to be able to support us and to understand what was happening better. So, I thought she was more of an ally to support us in trying to assist Mr McGrail or trying to get us back to a table where we could communicate and discuss where we went wrong and move on from there. That was my hope. Q. Have you had the chance to consider Ms Sacramento's evidence? A. I have. Yes. Q. Do you agree with her recollection of your conversation? A. Yes, I would say that she did say that she would try and help, but as far as where that help would take us, it was very much in the air. Q. Now, can we just go on to E761 now, please? The penultimate message on that page is one on 29 May at 7 pm; the day that the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. Sorry, let me just take you to the specific It is where you say about just over halfway down, I think Mr Triay is pointing it out now. A. Yes. The individual would have been the Chief Minister. Q. With "all individuals" for that matter A. Correct. Q is a reference to whom? A. It would have been the interim Governor, the Attorney General and the Gibraltar Police Authority. Q. You say that Ms Sacramento had alluded to the incidents at sea being an issue in Cabinet. A. Correct. Q. How did she allude to that being an issue in Cabinet? A. Again, she didn't disclose much but she said that there had been a conversation in Cabinet and that they had talked about the incident at sea but she didn't go into any depth whatsoever. 	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\\23\end{array} $	 was the Minister that we undertook a lot of policing business with, and I thought she was an important figure in government, to be able to support us and to understand what was happening better. So, I thought she was more of an ally to support us in trying to assist Mr McGrail or trying to get us back to a table where we could communicate and discuss where we went wrong and move on from there. That was my hope. Q. Have you had the chance to consider Ms Sacramento's evidence? A. I have. Yes. Q. Do you agree with her recollection of your conversation? A. Yes, I would say that she did say that she would try and help, but as far as where that help would take us, it was very much in the air. Q. Now, can we just go on to E761 now, please? The penultimate message on that page is one on 29 May at 7 pm; the day that the letter goes from Mr McGrail's lawyers to the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. Sorry, let me just take you to the specific It is where you say about just over halfway down, I think Mr Triay is pointing it out now. A. Yes. The individual would have been the Chief Minister. Q. With "all individuals" for that matter A. Correct. Q is a reference to whom? A. It would have been the interim Governor, the Attorney General and the Gibraltar Police Authority. Q. You say that Ms Sacramento had alluded to the incidents at sea being an issue in Cabinet. A. Correct. Q. How did she allude to that being an issue in Cabinet? A. Again, she didn't disclose much but she said that there had been a conversation in Cabinet and that they had talked about the incident at sea but she didn't go into any depth whatsoever. Q. What did she say about the HMIC report? 	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\\23\\24\end{array} $	 was the Minister that we undertook a lot of policing business with, and I thought she was an important figure in government, to be able to support us and to understand what was happening better. So, I thought she was more of an ally to support us in trying to assist Mr McGrail or trying to get us back to a table where we could communicate and discuss where we went wrong and move on from there. That was my hope. Q. Have you had the chance to consider Ms Sacramento's evidence? A. I have. Yes. Q. Do you agree with her recollection of your conversation? A. Yes, I would say that she did say that she would try and help, but as far as where that help would take us, it was very much in the air. Q. Now, can we just go on to E761 now, please? The penultimate message on that page is one on 29 May at 7 pm; the day that the letter goes from Mr McGrail's lawyers to the GPA. You say to Mr McGrail, "Mate, had a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. Sorry, let me just take you to the specific It is where you say about just over halfway down, I think Mr Triay is pointing it out now. A. Yes. The individual would have been the Chief Minister. Q. With "all individuals" for that matter A. Correct. Q is a reference to whom? A. It would have been the interim Governor, the Attorney General and the Gibraltar Police Authority. Q. You say that Ms Sacramento had alluded to the incidents at sea being an issue in Cabinet. A. Correct. Q. How did she allude to that being an issue in Cabinet? A. Again, she didn't disclose much but she said that there had been a conversation in Cabinet and that they had talked about the incident at sea but she didn't go into any depth whatsoever. 	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\\23\end{array} $	 was the Minister that we undertook a lot of policing business with, and I thought she was an important figure in government, to be able to support us and to understand what was happening better. So, I thought she was more of an ally to support us in trying to assist Mr McGrail or trying to get us back to a table where we could communicate and discuss where we went wrong and move on from there. That was my hope. Q. Have you had the chance to consider Ms Sacramento's evidence? A. I have. Yes. Q. Do you agree with her recollection of your conversation? A. Yes, I would say that she did say that she would try and help, but as far as where that help would take us, it was very much in the air. Q. Now, can we just go on to E761 now, please? The penultimate message on that page is one on 29 May at 7 pm; the day that the letter goes from Mr McGrail's lawyers to the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. Sorry, let me just take you to the specific It is where you say about just over halfway down, I think Mr Triay is pointing it out now. A. Yes. The individual would have been the Chief Minister. Q. With "all individuals" for that matter A. Correct. Q is a reference to whom? A. It would have been the interim Governor, the Attorney General and the Gibraltar Police Authority. Q. You say that Ms Sacramento had alluded to the incidents at sea being an issue in Cabinet. A. Correct. Q. How did she allude to that being an issue in Cabinet? A. Again, she didn't disclose much but she said that there had been a conversation in Cabinet and that they had talked about the incident at sea but she didn't go into any depth whatsoever. Q. What did she say about the HMIC report? 	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\\23\\24\end{array} $	 was the Minister that we undertook a lot of policing business with, and I thought she was an important figure in government, to be able to support us and to understand what was happening better. So, I thought she was more of an ally to support us in trying to assist Mr McGrail or trying to get us back to a table where we could communicate and discuss where we went wrong and move on from there. That was my hope. Q. Have you had the chance to consider Ms Sacramento's evidence? A. I have. Yes. Q. Do you agree with her recollection of your conversation? A. Yes, I would say that she did say that she would try and help, but as far as where that help would take us, it was very much in the air. Q. Now, can we just go on to E761 now, please? The penultimate message on that page is one on 29 May at 7 pm; the day that the letter goes from Mr McGrail's lawyers to the GPA. You say to Mr McGrail, "Mate, had a

50 (Pages 197 to 200)

1 contact with him but hasn't been able to see 1 Q. Can we just go to E168, please? I am 2 him face to face." That text that you received 2 taking this a bit out of the sequence because I 3 from the Minister, would that have been on 3 have not -- I jumped over it before and I have 4 4 your personal or on your work phone? just got enough time to deal with. On 26 May 5 A. On my personal phone. 5 2020, you said Mr McGrail a phone number 6 Q. Have you been able to obtain that 6 for Matt Parr. I believe he was the HMIC 7 7 inspector. Is that correct? message? 8 8 A. No. No, I haven't. A. That's correct. Yes. 9 9 Q. You say, "Richard, Matt Parr is happy to Q. 765. The penultimate message, again. 31 10 10 May 2020. Mr McGrail, to you, "Rich, don't support Ian - sorry. 11 11 A. That's a -- it's a message from HMIC to pursue the matter with S again, at least not for 12 now. Will explain more tomorrow. Cheers 12 me. 13 mate. If you have been in touch with ...(reads 13 Q. Correct. Sorry. 14 14 Spanish text)... [don't worry, no worry] but A. So, it would have been a message by Paul 15 don't insist on it." Do you know why Mr 15 Holewell. You will see the PH at the top. So, 16 16 McGrail asked you to stop pursuing the Paul Holewell was the inspector that came to 17 17 matter? Gibraltar to carry out the inspection. 18 A. If I remember correctly, I think it was 18 Q. He says, "Richard, Matt Parr is happy to 19 19 advice that he had received from his counsel. support Ian and would welcome a call from 20 20 him at his convenience regarding recent Q. Did you stop pursuing the matter? 21 A. Yes. 21 developments concerning his position. Paul." 22 22 And you reply, "Hi, Paul, thanks ever so much. Q. Then 786. This is 6 June 2020. Mr 23 23 McGrail messages you at 11:13. The very first I shall pass this on to Ian. Not the best of days 24 24 message. "I can't see them forcing a section these past few." Paul: "Do you have Matt's 25 25 13. Do you?" And there is a couple of mobile number? We don't seem to have it." Page 201 Page 203 1 messages that are not - we are not concerned 1 We then see [him] passing that number on to 2 with because I think they are referring to 2 Mr McGrail. Had you spoken to Mr Parr or 3 previous messages. Then, penultimate 3 anyone else at the HMIC? 4 message you say "At all, mate. It would be a 4 A. So, I didn't speak to Mr Parr but I spoke to 5 5 travesty if they did and really make them look Mr Holewell and it was a conversation about 6 bad in light of the previous attempt. I'm sure 6 the fact that Ian -- sorry, Mr McGrail was 7 that your message to DG and the response to 7 going to -- was going to leave the RGP or had 8 the GPA by your lawyers will give them a 8 left. When was this message sent? Sorry. 9 solution. I feel so bad for you mate. It's been 9 Q. I think this is the 26 May 2020 but I will 10 one of the worst months of my life and for you 10 just get that double checked. 11 ...(reads Spanish text)..." You say -- I just 11 A. And essentially because one of the reasons 12 wanted to ask you about where you say, "It 12 why we had the section 15 and Mr McGrail's 13 would be a travesty if they did and really make 13 position was becoming untenable, what our 14 them look bad in light of the previous 14 discussion was about was whether a report of 15 attempt." What did you mean by the previous 15 this -- the HMIC report findings on the Royal 16 attempt? 16 Gibraltar Police by the HMIC, if this would 17 A. The first letter issued by the GPA. 17 have happened to a Chief Constable in the 18 Q. The government parties submit that you 18 United Kingdom, would it have cost him his 19 provided unconditional support for Mr 19 job. And Paul Holewell said no, it wouldn't 20 McGrail during this period. Do you agree 20 have. And on that basis we thought it was 21 with that suggestion? 21 important that Mr McGrail would speak to 22 A. At the time I had no other information at 22 Matt Parr. 23 all, as well, from anyone else, and I did 23 Q. I am just trying to find, for completeness, provide him our unconditional support. Yes, 24 24 the message where you pass that on to Mr 25 we did. And I did. 25 McGrail. E169. Thank you to Ms Williams Page 202 Page 204

Epiq Europe Ltd +44 (0) 207 404 1400 www.epiqglobal.com Lower Ground Floor, 46 Chancery Lane casemanagers@epiqglobal.com London, WC2A 1JE

51 (Pages 201 to 204)

1			
1	for pointing that out. That is your message	1	Q. At E1108, paragraph 6, you state that: the
2	with Matt Parr.	2	WhatsApp group created by the Chief
3	A. Yes. Mmh.	3	Minister, which included His Excellency the
4	Q. Then, finally, I just want to go to E1114,	4	Governor, Sir David Steele and I had made
5	please. This is the Concerns WhatsApp group	5	concerns with the RGP crest with exchanges
6	which you have disclosed messages from, and	6	with the Chief Minister dated 19 January 2023,
7	which was set up by the Chief Minister. That	7	and I, where Mr Picardo raised numerous
8	group contained the Chief Minister, the	8	allegations of criminality against Mr McGrail
9	Governor, not Mr Pyle, but his	9	made by alleged whistleblowers. Do you
10	A. Sir David Steel.	10	mean by this that Mr Picardo used this
11	Q. Sir David Steel.	11	WhatsApp group to raise the allegations of
12	A. Correct, and myself.	12	criminality against Mr McGrail?
13	Q. And yourself, yes. Now, I just wanted to	13	A. Yes, he did.
14	ask you this: are the messages at E1114 and	14	Q. Those allegations that you are referring to,
15	E1115 the full extent of the messages on the	15	are those contained in the messages that you
16	Concerns WhatsApp group? Or are there	16	have disclosed?
17	others in that group?	17	A. Yes.
18	A. There are others.	18	Q. Are they contained in any other messages
19	Q. Is there a reason why you have disclosed	19	from them?
20	these and not others?	20	A. No.
21	A. Can I just can we go down so I can just	21	Q. Okay. Thank you. I have no further
22	read them again and refresh my memory on	22	questions. I do wonder though whether that is
23	that.	23	an opportune moment?
24	Q. Yes.	24	THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. Plainly. We will
25	A. So, these were disclosed because of the	25	have a short, short break.
	Page 205		Page 207
1	Government's assertions that	1	(Adjourned for a short time)
2	Q. Apologies, sorry. Let us just get the right	2	(15.31)
3	document up. It is E1116. Not E1114. Yes.	3	(Short break)
4	÷	-	()
	There, sorry. They commence on 17 January.	4	(15.43)
	There, sorry. They commence on 17 January, which is when the group is created. 17	45	(15.43) THE CHAIRMAN: I am not going to time
5	which is when the group is created. 17	5	THE CHAIRMAN: I am not going to time
	which is when the group is created. 17 January 2023, and the final message that we		THE CHAIRMAN: I am not going to time you to the minute, Sir Peter, but I have been
5 6 7	which is when the group is created. 17 January 2023, and the final message that we had is 19 January 2023. Sorry if I interrupted	5 6 7	THE CHAIRMAN: I am not going to time you to the minute, Sir Peter, but I have been asked to point out that in general terms we
5 6	which is when the group is created. 17 January 2023, and the final message that we had is 19 January 2023. Sorry if I interrupted your my question was whether that was the	5 6 7 8	THE CHAIRMAN: I am not going to time you to the minute, Sir Peter, but I have been asked to point out that in general terms we have allowed an hour for these questions and I
5 6 7 8	which is when the group is created. 17 January 2023, and the final message that we had is 19 January 2023. Sorry if I interrupted your my question was whether that was the full extent of them; you said it was not, and I	5 6 7	THE CHAIRMAN: I am not going to time you to the minute, Sir Peter, but I have been asked to point out that in general terms we have allowed an hour for these questions and I am not going to hold anyone to the last minute.
5 6 7 8 9	which is when the group is created. 17 January 2023, and the final message that we had is 19 January 2023. Sorry if I interrupted your my question was whether that was the full extent of them; you said it was not, and I asked why would some have been disclosed	5 6 7 8 9	THE CHAIRMAN: I am not going to time you to the minute, Sir Peter, but I have been asked to point out that in general terms we have allowed an hour for these questions and I am not going to hold anyone to the last minute. It is now 15.40, we will go on until 16.40 or
5 6 7 8 9 10	which is when the group is created. 17 January 2023, and the final message that we had is 19 January 2023. Sorry if I interrupted your my question was whether that was the full extent of them; you said it was not, and I asked why would some have been disclosed and some not. Thank you. (After a pause)	5 6 7 8 9 10	THE CHAIRMAN: I am not going to time you to the minute, Sir Peter, but I have been asked to point out that in general terms we have allowed an hour for these questions and I am not going to hold anyone to the last minute. It is now 15.40, we will go on until 16.40 or thereabouts if necessary.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	which is when the group is created. 17 January 2023, and the final message that we had is 19 January 2023. Sorry if I interrupted your my question was whether that was the full extent of them; you said it was not, and I asked why would some have been disclosed and some not. Thank you. (After a pause) So, are you able to assist with why these I	5 6 7 8 9 10 11	THE CHAIRMAN: I am not going to time you to the minute, Sir Peter, but I have been asked to point out that in general terms we have allowed an hour for these questions and I am not going to hold anyone to the last minute. It is now 15.40, we will go on until 16.40 or thereabouts if necessary. SIR PETER CARUANA: Sir, are you
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	which is when the group is created. 17 January 2023, and the final message that we had is 19 January 2023. Sorry if I interrupted your my question was whether that was the full extent of them; you said it was not, and I asked why would some have been disclosed and some not. Thank you. (After a pause) So, are you able to assist with why these I think your evidence is that these are not the	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	THE CHAIRMAN: I am not going to time you to the minute, Sir Peter, but I have been asked to point out that in general terms we have allowed an hour for these questions and I am not going to hold anyone to the last minute. It is now 15.40, we will go on until 16.40 or thereabouts if necessary. SIR PETER CARUANA: Sir, are you suggesting that I only have half an hour?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	which is when the group is created. 17 January 2023, and the final message that we had is 19 January 2023. Sorry if I interrupted your my question was whether that was the full extent of them; you said it was not, and I asked why would some have been disclosed and some not. Thank you. (After a pause) So, are you able to assist with why these I	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	THE CHAIRMAN: I am not going to time you to the minute, Sir Peter, but I have been asked to point out that in general terms we have allowed an hour for these questions and I am not going to hold anyone to the last minute. It is now 15.40, we will go on until 16.40 or thereabouts if necessary. SIR PETER CARUANA: Sir, are you suggesting that I only have half an hour? THE CHAIRMAN: No, I am not suggesting
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	which is when the group is created. 17 January 2023, and the final message that we had is 19 January 2023. Sorry if I interrupted your my question was whether that was the full extent of them; you said it was not, and I asked why would some have been disclosed and some not. Thank you. (After a pause) So, are you able to assist with why these I think your evidence is that these are not the entirety of the messages from that group. A. That's correct.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	THE CHAIRMAN: I am not going to time you to the minute, Sir Peter, but I have been asked to point out that in general terms we have allowed an hour for these questions and I am not going to hold anyone to the last minute. It is now 15.40, we will go on until 16.40 or thereabouts if necessary. SIR PETER CARUANA: Sir, are you suggesting that I only have half an hour? THE CHAIRMAN: No, I am not suggesting anything except
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	which is when the group is created. 17 January 2023, and the final message that we had is 19 January 2023. Sorry if I interrupted your my question was whether that was the full extent of them; you said it was not, and I asked why would some have been disclosed and some not. Thank you. (After a pause) So, are you able to assist with why these I think your evidence is that these are not the entirety of the messages from that group. A. That's correct. Q. Is there a reason why you have disclosed	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	THE CHAIRMAN: I am not going to time you to the minute, Sir Peter, but I have been asked to point out that in general terms we have allowed an hour for these questions and I am not going to hold anyone to the last minute. It is now 15.40, we will go on until 16.40 or thereabouts if necessary. SIR PETER CARUANA: Sir, are you suggesting that I only have half an hour? THE CHAIRMAN: No, I am not suggesting
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 which is when the group is created. 17 January 2023, and the final message that we had is 19 January 2023. Sorry if I interrupted your my question was whether that was the full extent of them; you said it was not, and I asked why would some have been disclosed and some not. Thank you. (After a pause) So, are you able to assist with why these I think your evidence is that these are not the entirety of the messages from that group. A. That's correct. Q. Is there a reason why you have disclosed some of the messages and not others? 	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE CHAIRMAN: I am not going to time you to the minute, Sir Peter, but I have been asked to point out that in general terms we have allowed an hour for these questions and I am not going to hold anyone to the last minute. It is now 15.40, we will go on until 16.40 or thereabouts if necessary. SIR PETER CARUANA: Sir, are you suggesting that I only have half an hour? THE CHAIRMAN: No, I am not suggesting anything except SIR PETER CARUANA: Alright, thank you,
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	which is when the group is created. 17 January 2023, and the final message that we had is 19 January 2023. Sorry if I interrupted your my question was whether that was the full extent of them; you said it was not, and I asked why would some have been disclosed and some not. Thank you. (After a pause) So, are you able to assist with why these I think your evidence is that these are not the entirety of the messages from that group. A. That's correct. Q. Is there a reason why you have disclosed	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	THE CHAIRMAN: I am not going to time you to the minute, Sir Peter, but I have been asked to point out that in general terms we have allowed an hour for these questions and I am not going to hold anyone to the last minute. It is now 15.40, we will go on until 16.40 or thereabouts if necessary. SIR PETER CARUANA: Sir, are you suggesting that I only have half an hour? THE CHAIRMAN: No, I am not suggesting anything except SIR PETER CARUANA: Alright, thank you, sir.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 which is when the group is created. 17 January 2023, and the final message that we had is 19 January 2023. Sorry if I interrupted your my question was whether that was the full extent of them; you said it was not, and I asked why would some have been disclosed and some not. Thank you. (After a pause) So, are you able to assist with why these I think your evidence is that these are not the entirety of the messages from that group. A. That's correct. Q. Is there a reason why you have disclosed some of the messages and not others? A. So, these are messages in respect of the 	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE CHAIRMAN: I am not going to time you to the minute, Sir Peter, but I have been asked to point out that in general terms we have allowed an hour for these questions and I am not going to hold anyone to the last minute. It is now 15.40, we will go on until 16.40 or thereabouts if necessary. SIR PETER CARUANA: Sir, are you suggesting that I only have half an hour? THE CHAIRMAN: No, I am not suggesting anything except SIR PETER CARUANA: Alright, thank you, sir. THE CHAIRMAN: between you, you have
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 which is when the group is created. 17 January 2023, and the final message that we had is 19 January 2023. Sorry if I interrupted your my question was whether that was the full extent of them; you said it was not, and I asked why would some have been disclosed and some not. Thank you. (After a pause) So, are you able to assist with why these I think your evidence is that these are not the entirety of the messages from that group. A. That's correct. Q. Is there a reason why you have disclosed some of the messages and not others? A. So, these are messages in respect of the government's claims that I was too close to Mr 	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	THE CHAIRMAN: I am not going to time you to the minute, Sir Peter, but I have been asked to point out that in general terms we have allowed an hour for these questions and I am not going to hold anyone to the last minute. It is now 15.40, we will go on until 16.40 or thereabouts if necessary. SIR PETER CARUANA: Sir, are you suggesting that I only have half an hour? THE CHAIRMAN: No, I am not suggesting anything except SIR PETER CARUANA: Alright, thank you, sir. THE CHAIRMAN: between you, you have got an hour.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 which is when the group is created. 17 January 2023, and the final message that we had is 19 January 2023. Sorry if I interrupted your my question was whether that was the full extent of them; you said it was not, and I asked why would some have been disclosed and some not. Thank you. (After a pause) So, are you able to assist with why these I think your evidence is that these are not the entirety of the messages from that group. A. That's correct. Q. Is there a reason why you have disclosed some of the messages and not others? A. So, these are messages in respect of the government's claims that I was too close to Mr McGrail and this was my opportunity to 	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	THE CHAIRMAN: I am not going to time you to the minute, Sir Peter, but I have been asked to point out that in general terms we have allowed an hour for these questions and I am not going to hold anyone to the last minute. It is now 15.40, we will go on until 16.40 or thereabouts if necessary. SIR PETER CARUANA: Sir, are you suggesting that I only have half an hour? THE CHAIRMAN: No, I am not suggesting anything except SIR PETER CARUANA: Alright, thank you, sir. THE CHAIRMAN: between you, you have got an hour. SIR PETER CARUANA: Yes, oh alright, I
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 which is when the group is created. 17 January 2023, and the final message that we had is 19 January 2023. Sorry if I interrupted your my question was whether that was the full extent of them; you said it was not, and I asked why would some have been disclosed and some not. Thank you. (After a pause) So, are you able to assist with why these I think your evidence is that these are not the entirety of the messages from that group. A. That's correct. Q. Is there a reason why you have disclosed some of the messages and not others? A. So, these are messages in respect of the government's claims that I was too close to Mr McGrail and this was my opportunity to explain a number of factors which were 	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE CHAIRMAN: I am not going to time you to the minute, Sir Peter, but I have been asked to point out that in general terms we have allowed an hour for these questions and I am not going to hold anyone to the last minute. It is now 15.40, we will go on until 16.40 or thereabouts if necessary. SIR PETER CARUANA: Sir, are you suggesting that I only have half an hour? THE CHAIRMAN: No, I am not suggesting anything except SIR PETER CARUANA: Alright, thank you, sir. THE CHAIRMAN: between you, you have got an hour. SIR PETER CARUANA: Yes, oh alright, I see. That is what I thought.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 which is when the group is created. 17 January 2023, and the final message that we had is 19 January 2023. Sorry if I interrupted your my question was whether that was the full extent of them; you said it was not, and I asked why would some have been disclosed and some not. Thank you. (After a pause) So, are you able to assist with why these I think your evidence is that these are not the entirety of the messages from that group. A. That's correct. Q. Is there a reason why you have disclosed some of the messages and not others? A. So, these are messages in respect of the government's claims that I was too close to Mr McGrail and this was my opportunity to explain a number of factors which were which was totally wrong on their assertion. 	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE CHAIRMAN: I am not going to time you to the minute, Sir Peter, but I have been asked to point out that in general terms we have allowed an hour for these questions and I am not going to hold anyone to the last minute. It is now 15.40, we will go on until 16.40 or thereabouts if necessary. SIR PETER CARUANA: Sir, are you suggesting that I only have half an hour? THE CHAIRMAN: No, I am not suggesting anything except SIR PETER CARUANA: Alright, thank you, sir. THE CHAIRMAN: between you, you have got an hour. SIR PETER CARUANA: Yes, oh alright, I see. That is what I thought. THE CHAIRMAN: Starting now.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 which is when the group is created. 17 January 2023, and the final message that we had is 19 January 2023. Sorry if I interrupted your my question was whether that was the full extent of them; you said it was not, and I asked why would some have been disclosed and some not. Thank you. (After a pause) So, are you able to assist with why these I think your evidence is that these are not the entirety of the messages from that group. A. That's correct. Q. Is there a reason why you have disclosed some of the messages and not others? A. So, these are messages in respect of the government's claims that I was too close to Mr McGrail and this was my opportunity to explain a number of factors which were which was totally wrong on their assertion. Q. So, your position is that other messages in 	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE CHAIRMAN: I am not going to time you to the minute, Sir Peter, but I have been asked to point out that in general terms we have allowed an hour for these questions and I am not going to hold anyone to the last minute. It is now 15.40, we will go on until 16.40 or thereabouts if necessary. SIR PETER CARUANA: Sir, are you suggesting that I only have half an hour? THE CHAIRMAN: No, I am not suggesting anything except SIR PETER CARUANA: Alright, thank you, sir. THE CHAIRMAN: between you, you have got an hour. SIR PETER CARUANA: Yes, oh alright, I see. That is what I thought. THE CHAIRMAN: Starting now. SIR PETER CARUANA: Okay, sir.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 which is when the group is created. 17 January 2023, and the final message that we had is 19 January 2023. Sorry if I interrupted your my question was whether that was the full extent of them; you said it was not, and I asked why would some have been disclosed and some not. Thank you. (After a pause) So, are you able to assist with why these I think your evidence is that these are not the entirety of the messages from that group. A. That's correct. Q. Is there a reason why you have disclosed some of the messages and not others? A. So, these are messages in respect of the government's claims that I was too close to Mr McGrail and this was my opportunity to explain a number of factors which were which was totally wrong on their assertion. Q. So, your position is that other messages in this group are not relevant to this inquiry. 	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	THE CHAIRMAN: I am not going to time you to the minute, Sir Peter, but I have been asked to point out that in general terms we have allowed an hour for these questions and I am not going to hold anyone to the last minute. It is now 15.40, we will go on until 16.40 or thereabouts if necessary. SIR PETER CARUANA: Sir, are you suggesting that I only have half an hour? THE CHAIRMAN: No, I am not suggesting anything except SIR PETER CARUANA: Alright, thank you, sir. THE CHAIRMAN: between you, you have got an hour. SIR PETER CARUANA: Yes, oh alright, I see. That is what I thought. THE CHAIRMAN: Starting now. SIR PETER CARUANA: Okay, sir. Questioned by SIR PETER CARUANA

52 (Pages 205 to 208)

1	A. Good afternoon, Sir Peter.	1	recommendation, sir.
2	Q. Just to start with some of the loose points	2	Q. Yes, but that is not the point. The point is
3	that have arisen from the answers that you	3	that he could have done whatever low-hanging
4	gave to my learned friend Mr Santos. When	4	fruit there was in respect of the arrears of
5	he read to you the transcript of the voice clip	5	recommendations, he could have done equally
6	of Mr Ullger [sic] rattling off the reasons that	6	quickly.
7	you could give for not having implemented the	7	A. He could have, yes.
8	2016 report, do you remember that?	8	Q. Exactly. Now, you say that you were
9	A. Mr McGrail, you mean?	9	disappointed but not concerned by the HMIC
10	Q. Yes.	10	report. Can I just ask you to put up on the
11	A. Yes.	11	screen please, Mr Triay, E497, row 998. I
12	Q. Well, it was you yes, Mr McGrail	12	cannot see it myself, but I am sure it is there
13	A. To me, yes.	13	somewhere. Yes, thank you. This is a
14	Q reading out to you , exactly.	14	message from Mr McGrail, do you see it, just
15	A. Yes, yes, yes.	15	at the top of the page? "I hope so", (Spanish
16	Q. Do you recall and I think you have said	16	text read), this is: depressed about all of this.
17	that the reasons were valid, in your opinion?	17	"At least Joey", presumably Mr Britto, the
18	A. Yes.	18	chairman of the GPA, "agrees with us, but I
19	Q. That is what you have said today. Do you	19	don't think I can count with his support if the
20	recall, in your evidence at the oral hearings	20	wheels comes completely off." Do you think
21	you agreed with me that you had been able to	21	that that is a statement of disappointment or of
22	implement them, nevertheless, without	22	concern?
23	additional resources?	23	A. It really depends how you contextualise it
24	A. That's correct, sir.	24	all, Sir Peter. I mean
25	Q. So, if you were able to implement them in	25	Q. Well, the wheels only come off as a result
	Page 209		Page 211
1	18 months without additional resources, why	1	of things to be concerned about, is that not
1 2	18 months without additional resources, why would lack of resources be a valid reason for	1 2	of things to be concerned about, is that not right?
	•		-
2	would lack of resources be a valid reason for	2	right? A. I think it's what's wrong is, we're forensically going into, word-by-word, in
2 3	would lack of resources be a valid reason for Mr McGrail to suggest for not having done them in more or less the same period?A. I think I explained to you on that occasion,	2 3	right? A. I think it's what's wrong is, we're forensically going into, word-by-word, in messages that are are done at the
2 3 4 5 6	would lack of resources be a valid reason for Mr McGrail to suggest for not having done them in more or less the same period?A. I think I explained to you on that occasion, sir, that it only because I had implanted	2 3 4 5 6	right? A. I think it's what's wrong is, we're forensically going into, word-by-word, in messages that are are done at the Q. Yes, alright.
2 3 4 5 6 7	 would lack of resources be a valid reason for Mr McGrail to suggest for not having done them in more or less the same period? A. I think I explained to you on that occasion, sir, that it only because I had implanted HMIC recommendations in 2022 and Mr 	2 3 4 5 6 7	right? A. I think it's what's wrong is, we're forensically going into, word-by-word, in messages that are are done at the Q. Yes, alright. A. So, again, I'm not too sure in what context
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 would lack of resources be a valid reason for Mr McGrail to suggest for not having done them in more or less the same period? A. I think I explained to you on that occasion, sir, that it only because I had implanted HMIC recommendations in 2022 and Mr McGrail hadn't in 2019 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	right? A. I think it's what's wrong is, we're forensically going into, word-by-word, in messages that are are done at the Q. Yes, alright. A. So, again, I'm not too sure in what context that mess how that con that message came
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 would lack of resources be a valid reason for Mr McGrail to suggest for not having done them in more or less the same period? A. I think I explained to you on that occasion, sir, that it only because I had implanted HMIC recommendations in 2022 and Mr McGrail hadn't in 2019 Q. Okay. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	right? A. I think it's what's wrong is, we're forensically going into, word-by-word, in messages that are are done at the Q. Yes, alright. A. So, again, I'm not too sure in what context that mess how that con that message came across or when. I mean, obviously we know it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 would lack of resources be a valid reason for Mr McGrail to suggest for not having done them in more or less the same period? A. I think I explained to you on that occasion, sir, that it only because I had implanted HMIC recommendations in 2022 and Mr McGrail hadn't in 2019 Q. Okay. A it doesn't mean that he hadn't done other 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	right? A. I think it's what's wrong is, we're forensically going into, word-by-word, in messages that are are done at the Q. Yes, alright. A. So, again, I'm not too sure in what context that mess how that con that message came across or when. I mean, obviously we know it happened on 6 March but
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 would lack of resources be a valid reason for Mr McGrail to suggest for not having done them in more or less the same period? A. I think I explained to you on that occasion, sir, that it only because I had implanted HMIC recommendations in 2022 and Mr McGrail hadn't in 2019 Q. Okay. A it doesn't mean that he hadn't done other core business areas. And what what my 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	right? A. I think it's what's wrong is, we're forensically going into, word-by-word, in messages that are are done at the Q. Yes, alright. A. So, again, I'm not too sure in what context that mess how that con that message came across or when. I mean, obviously we know it happened on 6 March but Q. Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 would lack of resources be a valid reason for Mr McGrail to suggest for not having done them in more or less the same period? A. I think I explained to you on that occasion, sir, that it only because I had implanted HMIC recommendations in 2022 and Mr McGrail hadn't in 2019 Q. Okay. A it doesn't mean that he hadn't done other core business areas. And what what my argument was is that there was there was no 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	right? A. I think it's what's wrong is, we're forensically going into, word-by-word, in messages that are are done at the Q. Yes, alright. A. So, again, I'm not too sure in what context that mess how that con that message came across or when. I mean, obviously we know it happened on 6 March but Q. Okay. A but certainly, you know, yes, we, you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 would lack of resources be a valid reason for Mr McGrail to suggest for not having done them in more or less the same period? A. I think I explained to you on that occasion, sir, that it only because I had implanted HMIC recommendations in 2022 and Mr McGrail hadn't in 2019 Q. Okay. A it doesn't mean that he hadn't done other core business areas. And what what my argument was is that there was there was no right answer who had done what. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	right? A. I think it's what's wrong is, we're forensically going into, word-by-word, in messages that are are done at the Q. Yes, alright. A. So, again, I'm not too sure in what context that mess how that con that message came across or when. I mean, obviously we know it happened on 6 March but Q. Okay. A but certainly, you know, yes, we, you know the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 would lack of resources be a valid reason for Mr McGrail to suggest for not having done them in more or less the same period? A. I think I explained to you on that occasion, sir, that it only because I had implanted HMIC recommendations in 2022 and Mr McGrail hadn't in 2019 Q. Okay. A it doesn't mean that he hadn't done other core business areas. And what what my argument was is that there was there was no right answer who had done what. Q. And he also asked you for quick fixes? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	right? A. I think it's what's wrong is, we're forensically going into, word-by-word, in messages that are are done at the Q. Yes, alright. A. So, again, I'm not too sure in what context that mess how that con that message came across or when. I mean, obviously we know it happened on 6 March but Q. Okay. A but certainly, you know, yes, we, you know the Q. Well, I am just putting it to you for your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 would lack of resources be a valid reason for Mr McGrail to suggest for not having done them in more or less the same period? A. I think I explained to you on that occasion, sir, that it only because I had implanted HMIC recommendations in 2022 and Mr McGrail hadn't in 2019 Q. Okay. A it doesn't mean that he hadn't done other core business areas. And what what my argument was is that there was there was no right answer who had done what. Q. And he also asked you for quick fixes? A. That's correct, sir. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 right? A. I think it's what's wrong is, we're forensically going into, word-by-word, in messages that are are done at the Q. Yes, alright. A. So, again, I'm not too sure in what context that mess- how that con that message came across or when. I mean, obviously we know it happened on 6 March but Q. Okay. A but certainly, you know, yes, we, you know the Q. Well, I am just putting it to you for your you have given an answer, I am suggesting to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 would lack of resources be a valid reason for Mr McGrail to suggest for not having done them in more or less the same period? A. I think I explained to you on that occasion, sir, that it only because I had implanted HMIC recommendations in 2022 and Mr McGrail hadn't in 2019 Q. Okay. A it doesn't mean that he hadn't done other core business areas. And what what my argument was is that there was there was no right answer who had done what. Q. And he also asked you for quick fixes? A. That's correct, sir. Q. He also said: to help me with this, can you 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	right? A. I think it's what's wrong is, we're forensically going into, word-by-word, in messages that are are done at the Q. Yes, alright. A. So, again, I'm not too sure in what context that mess how that con that message came across or when. I mean, obviously we know it happened on 6 March but Q. Okay. A but certainly, you know, yes, we, you know the Q. Well, I am just putting it to you for your you have given an answer, I am suggesting to you that that shows concern not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 would lack of resources be a valid reason for Mr McGrail to suggest for not having done them in more or less the same period? A. I think I explained to you on that occasion, sir, that it only because I had implanted HMIC recommendations in 2022 and Mr McGrail hadn't in 2019 Q. Okay. A it doesn't mean that he hadn't done other core business areas. And what what my argument was is that there was there was no right answer who had done what. Q. And he also asked you for quick fixes? A. That's correct, sir. Q. He also said: to help me with this, can you get on with implementing as many of them as 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	right? A. I think it's what's wrong is, we're forensically going into, word-by-word, in messages that are are done at the Q. Yes, alright. A. So, again, I'm not too sure in what context that mess how that con that message came across or when. I mean, obviously we know it happened on 6 March but Q. Okay. A but certainly, you know, yes, we, you know the Q. Well, I am just putting it to you for your you have given an answer, I am suggesting to you that that shows concern not disappointment. And can we look at E729,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 would lack of resources be a valid reason for Mr McGrail to suggest for not having done them in more or less the same period? A. I think I explained to you on that occasion, sir, that it only because I had implanted HMIC recommendations in 2022 and Mr McGrail hadn't in 2019 Q. Okay. A it doesn't mean that he hadn't done other core business areas. And what what my argument was is that there was there was no right answer who had done what. Q. And he also asked you for quick fixes? A. That's correct, sir. Q. He also said: to help me with this, can you get on with implementing as many of them as possible. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	right? A. I think it's what's wrong is, we're forensically going into, word-by-word, in messages that are are done at the Q. Yes, alright. A. So, again, I'm not too sure in what context that mess how that con that message came across or when. I mean, obviously we know it happened on 6 March but Q. Okay. A but certainly, you know, yes, we, you know the Q. Well, I am just putting it to you for your you have given an answer, I am suggesting to you that that shows concern not disappointment. And can we look at E729, line 2205. So, on sight of the report some
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 would lack of resources be a valid reason for Mr McGrail to suggest for not having done them in more or less the same period? A. I think I explained to you on that occasion, sir, that it only because I had implanted HMIC recommendations in 2022 and Mr McGrail hadn't in 2019 Q. Okay. A it doesn't mean that he hadn't done other core business areas. And what what my argument was is that there was there was no right answer who had done what. Q. And he also asked you for quick fixes? A. That's correct, sir. Q. He also said: to help me with this, can you get on with implementing as many of them as possible. A. That's correct, sir. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 right? A. I think it's what's wrong is, we're forensically going into, word-by-word, in messages that are are done at the Q. Yes, alright. A. So, again, I'm not too sure in what context that mess- how that con that message came across or when. I mean, obviously we know it happened on 6 March but Q. Okay. A but certainly, you know, yes, we, you know the Q. Well, I am just putting it to you for your you have given an answer, I am suggesting to you that that shows concern not disappointment. And can we look at E729, line 2205. So, on sight of the report some local worthies, previous Governors and things,
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ \end{array}$	 would lack of resources be a valid reason for Mr McGrail to suggest for not having done them in more or less the same period? A. I think I explained to you on that occasion, sir, that it only because I had implanted HMIC recommendations in 2022 and Mr McGrail hadn't in 2019 Q. Okay. A it doesn't mean that he hadn't done other core business areas. And what what my argument was is that there was there was no right answer who had done what. Q. And he also asked you for quick fixes? A. That's correct, sir. Q. He also said: to help me with this, can you get on with implementing as many of them as possible. A. That's correct, sir. Q. So, if he thought that there was low- 	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\end{array} $	 right? A. I think it's what's wrong is, we're forensically going into, word-by-word, in messages that are are done at the Q. Yes, alright. A. So, again, I'm not too sure in what context that mess how that con that message came across or when. I mean, obviously we know it happened on 6 March but Q. Okay. A but certainly, you know, yes, we, you know the Q. Well, I am just putting it to you for youryou have given an answer, I am suggesting to you that that shows concern not disappointment. And can we look at E729, line 2205. So, on sight of the report some local worthies, previous Governors and things, wrote in with messages of support, do you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 would lack of resources be a valid reason for Mr McGrail to suggest for not having done them in more or less the same period? A. I think I explained to you on that occasion, sir, that it only because I had implanted HMIC recommendations in 2022 and Mr McGrail hadn't in 2019 Q. Okay. A it doesn't mean that he hadn't done other core business areas. And what what my argument was is that there was there was no right answer who had done what. Q. And he also asked you for quick fixes? A. That's correct, sir. Q. He also said: to help me with this, can you get on with implementing as many of them as possible. A. That's correct, sir. Q. So, if he thought that there was low- hanging fruit that you could do quickly 	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\end{array} $	 right? A. I think it's what's wrong is, we're forensically going into, word-by-word, in messages that are are done at the Q. Yes, alright. A. So, again, I'm not too sure in what context that mess how that con that message came across or when. I mean, obviously we know it happened on 6 March but Q. Okay. A but certainly, you know, yes, we, you know the Q. Well, I am just putting it to you for your you have given an answer, I am suggesting to you that that shows concern not disappointment. And can we look at E729, line 2205. So, on sight of the report some local worthies, previous Governors and things, wrote in with messages of support, do you recall that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 would lack of resources be a valid reason for Mr McGrail to suggest for not having done them in more or less the same period? A. I think I explained to you on that occasion, sir, that it only because I had implanted HMIC recommendations in 2022 and Mr McGrail hadn't in 2019 Q. Okay. A it doesn't mean that he hadn't done other core business areas. And what what my argument was is that there was there was no right answer who had done what. Q. And he also asked you for quick fixes? A. That's correct, sir. Q. He also said: to help me with this, can you get on with implementing as many of them as possible. A. That's correct, sir. Q. So, if he thought that there was low- hanging fruit that you could do quickly A. Mm-hmm. 	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\end{array} $	 right? A. I think it's what's wrong is, we're forensically going into, word-by-word, in messages that are are done at the Q. Yes, alright. A. So, again, I'm not too sure in what context that mess how that con that message came across or when. I mean, obviously we know it happened on 6 March but Q. Okay. A but certainly, you know, yes, we, you know the Q. Well, I am just putting it to you for your you have given an answer, I am suggesting to you that that shows concern not disappointment. And can we look at E729, line 2205. So, on sight of the report some local worthies, previous Governors and things, wrote in with messages of support, do you recall that? A. Yes, sir.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 would lack of resources be a valid reason for Mr McGrail to suggest for not having done them in more or less the same period? A. I think I explained to you on that occasion, sir, that it only because I had implanted HMIC recommendations in 2022 and Mr McGrail hadn't in 2019 Q. Okay. A it doesn't mean that he hadn't done other core business areas. And what what my argument was is that there was there was no right answer who had done what. Q. And he also asked you for quick fixes? A. That's correct, sir. Q. He also said: to help me with this, can you get on with implementing as many of them as possible. A. That's correct, sir. Q. So, if he thought that there was low- hanging fruit that you could do quickly A. Mm-hmm. Q why could he not have implemented that 	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\\23\end{array} $	 right? A. I think it's what's wrong is, we're forensically going into, word-by-word, in messages that are are done at the Q. Yes, alright. A. So, again, I'm not too sure in what context that mess how that con that message came across or when. I mean, obviously we know it happened on 6 March but Q. Okay. A but certainly, you know, yes, we, you know the Q. Well, I am just putting it to you for your you have given an answer, I am suggesting to you that that shows concern not disappointment. And can we look at E729, line 2205. So, on sight of the report some local worthies, previous Governors and things, wrote in with messages of support, do you recall that? A. Yes, sir. Q. And do you see there where you say to Mr
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\\ \end{array}$	 would lack of resources be a valid reason for Mr McGrail to suggest for not having done them in more or less the same period? A. I think I explained to you on that occasion, sir, that it only because I had implanted HMIC recommendations in 2022 and Mr McGrail hadn't in 2019 Q. Okay. A it doesn't mean that he hadn't done other core business areas. And what what my argument was is that there was there was no right answer who had done what. Q. And he also asked you for quick fixes? A. That's correct, sir. Q. He also said: to help me with this, can you get on with implementing as many of them as possible. A. That's correct, sir. Q. So, if he thought that there was low- hanging fruit that you could do quickly A. Mm-hmm. Q why could he not have implemented that low-hanging fruit equally quickly? 	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\\23\\24\end{array} $	 right? A. I think it's what's wrong is, we're forensically going into, word-by-word, in messages that are are done at the Q. Yes, alright. A. So, again, I'm not too sure in what context that mess- how that con that message came across or when. I mean, obviously we know it happened on 6 March but Q. Okay. A but certainly, you know, yes, we, you know the Q. Well, I am just putting it to you for your you have given an answer, I am suggesting to you that that shows concern not disappointment. And can we look at E729, line 2205. So, on sight of the report some local worthies, previous Governors and things, wrote in with messages of support, do you recall that? A. Yes, sir. Q. And do you see there where you say to Mr McGrail, "I think it's important to print those
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 would lack of resources be a valid reason for Mr McGrail to suggest for not having done them in more or less the same period? A. I think I explained to you on that occasion, sir, that it only because I had implanted HMIC recommendations in 2022 and Mr McGrail hadn't in 2019 Q. Okay. A it doesn't mean that he hadn't done other core business areas. And what what my argument was is that there was there was no right answer who had done what. Q. And he also asked you for quick fixes? A. That's correct, sir. Q. He also said: to help me with this, can you get on with implementing as many of them as possible. A. That's correct, sir. Q. So, if he thought that there was low- hanging fruit that you could do quickly A. Mm-hmm. Q why could he not have implemented that 	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\\23\end{array} $	 right? A. I think it's what's wrong is, we're forensically going into, word-by-word, in messages that are are done at the Q. Yes, alright. A. So, again, I'm not too sure in what context that mess how that con that message came across or when. I mean, obviously we know it happened on 6 March but Q. Okay. A but certainly, you know, yes, we, you know the Q. Well, I am just putting it to you for your you have given an answer, I am suggesting to you that that shows concern not disappointment. And can we look at E729, line 2205. So, on sight of the report some local worthies, previous Governors and things, wrote in with messages of support, do you recall that? A. Yes, sir. Q. And do you see there where you say to Mr

53 (Pages 209 to 212)

1 know. Good to always have ex Gov and MoJ 1 O. Okay. When you spoke about the 2 2 showing you support and trust." Why did you Samantha Sacramento conversation, you were 3 feel that it was good to have these things in the 3 asked by my learned friend whether she had 4 4 safe, if you were only disappointed and not told you if anybody else in the cabinet had 5 5 expressed concern, had lost confidence, and concerned? 6 6 A. Well, it just shows you that the -- the you said: no. But --7 support that the previous Governor, Sir Ed 7 A. Correct. Correct, that was in our --8 8 Davis --Q. Sorry? 9 9 Q. Yes. A. That wasn't in our conversation with 10 10 A. -- and the previous Minister of Justice, Mr Samantha Sacramento. 11 11 Neil Costa, was giving to -- was giving Mr Q. No, it was not in the conversation but she 12 McGrail about the HMIC report. And if I 12 told you that she had not lost confidence in Mr 13 remember correctly it was on the lines of that, 13 McGrail, correct? 14 14 you know, it's very difficult to -- to please --A. Correct. 15 15 Q. Yes. Q. And my learned friend asked you whether 16 A. -- everyone in a very difficult working 16 she had said whether anybody else in the 17 environment, on those lines, so. 17 cabinet had lost confidence, and you said: no. 18 Q. So, again you think that shows 18 A. I -- it probably would have been: I don't 19 19 disappointment and not concern? Just, yes, know. Would probably have been the best 20 20 that's your answer? Yes. answer. 21 A. Playing -- you're playing with words, Sir 21 Q. Because, is it correct to say that she did not 22 22 Peter, honestly. tell you that no one else had lost confidence, 23 Q. I am not playing with words, Mr McGrail 23 she simply told you that she had not. 24 [sic], I do not think I am, Mr Ullger. Sorry 24 A. Correct. 25 (inaudible). 25 Q. Is that correct? Page 213 Page 215 1 THE CHAIRMAN: Sorry, I did not catch the 1 A. Correct. 2 answer. It is easier --2 Q. Right, thank you. It is just the way my 3 3 SIR PETER CARUANA: I think he is learned friend asked the question --4 4 confirming that he says this is disappointment, A. Okay, sir. 5 5 Q. -- I just wanted to give you the opportunity also. 6 A. Well, Sir Peter, we were disappointed, of 6 7 7 course we were very disappointed with the A. Mm-hmm. 8 8 Q. -- to clarify the answer. In terms of what report because at the end of the day it's --9 9 Q. No, I am suggesting to you that you were you have said just now in relation to E1116, 10 10 the concern group, about the Chief Minister concerned, not disappointed. 11 11 A. No, I -making allegations of criminality against Mr 12 Q. You've said you were disappointed. 12 McGrail. First of all, do you agree that this is 13 13 A. And I'm saving -a group by the Chief Minister (it says so in the 14 14 THE CHAIRMAN: You have got to let him first email) between the Governor, yourself as 15 Commissioner of Police and him as Chief 15 answer the question. 16 Minister, to bring to your attentions concerns 16 SIR PETER CARUANA: Yes. 17 A. So -- so, when you say about being 17 that are put to him? 18 18 concerned, the concern is if it's not -- you A. Correct. 19 Q. Correct. And it was not the Chief Minister, 19 know, it's not fixable and there -- and there's 20 20 do you agree, that made allegations? What he crisis. But, you know, what we -- what we --21 actually said was that these were allegations 21 O. Okay. 22 22 that had been raised with him by others? A. -- what we decided to do: start fixing the 23 23 problem. So, disappointed what had happened A. Correct. 24 Q. So, he was not making allegations of 24 but there was a roadmap in place to be able to 25 25 start addressing the HMIC recommendations. criminality? Page 214 Page 216

54 (Pages 213 to 216)

		1	
1	A. No.	1	ultimately responsible for the protection of
2	Q. Okay.	2	police material, police information, police
3	A. And he was	3	compliance with data protection?
4	Q. Thank you for clarifying that.	4	A. I am, sir.
5	A. But he was the messenger on WhatsApp.	5	Q. And we have heard how Commissioner
6	Q. Yes. Would it be fair to say that both you	6	McGrail retires from the force from one day, I
7	and Mr Yeats today have tried to generally	7	do not remember what day of the week it was,
8	minimise the potential importance of	8	and he leaves his desktop on the desk, and no
9	WhatsApps generally, as a source of evidential	9	one can explain where it is now. Would that
10	relevance to this Inquiry?	10	desktop not have had confidential police
11	A. It's the truth, Sir Peter.	11	information, worthy of being protected both
12	Q. No, no, I am not I am just asking you	12	for confidentiality and data protection, in it?
13	whether you have tried to minimise it, I am not	13	A. It would have been on the server, Sir Sir
14	probing the underling correctness of the	14	Peter.
15	statement.	15	Q. No, it could also no, no, that is about
16	A. No, I think the Assistant Commissioner	16	retention, that is about not losing the
17	Yeats alluded to in his evidence that I think	17	information. But the machine itself could have
18	this Inquiry has taken WhatsApps to another	18	had confidential information in it worthy of
19	level, as it WhatsApps are the bes-all and	19	being protected. Have you not been concerned
20	ends-all in policing.	20	to find it, to make sure that (to quote Mr Yeats)
20	Q. Just	20	it did not fall into the hands of those who
22	A. When it's not the case.	22	should not have it?
23	Q. No. Look, I am not particularly a	23	A. I didn't search for it, no.
24	specialist criminal practitioner but is that not	24	Q. No. Did you Okay. I know you have
25	what the RGP does when there is a suspect?	25	heard the evidence this morning, so I am not
25	what the ROT does when there is a suspect.	25	heard the evidence this morning, so I am not
	Page 217		Page 219
1	The first thing you do is grab their mobile	1	going to bore you or the Inquiry, or waste my
2	phone and inspect their WhatsApps, why do	2	time, repeating stuff. But by way of summary
2 3	phone and inspect their WhatsApps, why do you do that?	2 3	time, repeating stuff. But by way of summary only yes or no, if you do not agree or do.
2 3 4	phone and inspect their WhatsApps, why do you do that?A. No, not that's not the case, Sir Peter.	2 3 4	time, repeating stuff. But by way of summary only yes or no, if you do not agree or do. So, the RGP has wiped all relevant RGP work
2 3 4 5	phone and inspect their WhatsApps, why do you do that?A. No, not that's not the case, Sir Peter.THE CHAIRMAN: But that is in the	2 3 4 5	time, repeating stuff. But by way of summary only yes or no, if you do not agree or do. So, the RGP has wiped all relevant RGP work phones of their WhatsApps, yes?
2 3 4 5 6	 phone and inspect their WhatsApps, why do you do that? A. No, not that's not the case, Sir Peter. THE CHAIRMAN: But that is in the investigation of drug dealers. 	2 3 4 5 6	time, repeating stuff. But by way of summary only yes or no, if you do not agree or do.So, the RGP has wiped all relevant RGP work phones of their WhatsApps, yes?A. It was in our policy.
2 3 4 5 6 7	 phone and inspect their WhatsApps, why do you do that? A. No, not that's not the case, Sir Peter. THE CHAIRMAN: But that is in the investigation of drug dealers. SIR PETER CARUANA: Only drug dealers? 	2 3 4 5 6 7	 time, repeating stuff. But by way of summary only yes or no, if you do not agree or do. So, the RGP has wiped all relevant RGP work phones of their WhatsApps, yes? A. It was in our policy. Q. Yes. Well, the policy does not require it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 phone and inspect their WhatsApps, why do you do that? A. No, not that's not the case, Sir Peter. THE CHAIRMAN: But that is in the investigation of drug dealers. SIR PETER CARUANA: Only drug dealers? Alright 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 time, repeating stuff. But by way of summary only yes or no, if you do not agree or do. So, the RGP has wiped all relevant RGP work phones of their WhatsApps, yes? A. It was in our policy. Q. Yes. Well, the policy does not require it. And/or, has lost access to WhatsApps from
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 phone and inspect their WhatsApps, why do you do that? A. No, not that's not the case, Sir Peter. THE CHAIRMAN: But that is in the investigation of drug dealers. SIR PETER CARUANA: Only drug dealers? Alright THE CHAIRMAN: Well, not only drug 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 time, repeating stuff. But by way of summary only yes or no, if you do not agree or do. So, the RGP has wiped all relevant RGP work phones of their WhatsApps, yes? A. It was in our policy. Q. Yes. Well, the policy does not require it. And/or, has lost access to WhatsApps from changes to new phones. Yes?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 phone and inspect their WhatsApps, why do you do that? A. No, not that's not the case, Sir Peter. THE CHAIRMAN: But that is in the investigation of drug dealers. SIR PETER CARUANA: Only drug dealers? Alright THE CHAIRMAN: Well, not only drug dealers, but that is a different kind of game. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 time, repeating stuff. But by way of summary only yes or no, if you do not agree or do. So, the RGP has wiped all relevant RGP work phones of their WhatsApps, yes? A. It was in our policy. Q. Yes. Well, the policy does not require it. And/or, has lost access to WhatsApps from changes to new phones. Yes? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 phone and inspect their WhatsApps, why do you do that? A. No, not that's not the case, Sir Peter. THE CHAIRMAN: But that is in the investigation of drug dealers. SIR PETER CARUANA: Only drug dealers? Alright THE CHAIRMAN: Well, not only drug dealers, but that is a different kind of game. Q. Okay. I am just pointing out to you that 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 time, repeating stuff. But by way of summary only yes or no, if you do not agree or do. So, the RGP has wiped all relevant RGP work phones of their WhatsApps, yes? A. It was in our policy. Q. Yes. Well, the policy does not require it. And/or, has lost access to WhatsApps from changes to new phones. Yes? A. Yes. Q. Wiped off all data from Mr McGrail's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 phone and inspect their WhatsApps, why do you do that? A. No, not that's not the case, Sir Peter. THE CHAIRMAN: But that is in the investigation of drug dealers. SIR PETER CARUANA: Only drug dealers? Alright THE CHAIRMAN: Well, not only drug dealers, but that is a different kind of game. Q. Okay. I am just pointing out to you that this is something that is generally regarded as 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 time, repeating stuff. But by way of summary only yes or no, if you do not agree or do. So, the RGP has wiped all relevant RGP work phones of their WhatsApps, yes? A. It was in our policy. Q. Yes. Well, the policy does not require it. And/or, has lost access to WhatsApps from changes to new phones. Yes? A. Yes. Q. Wiped off all data from Mr McGrail's laptop, yes?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 phone and inspect their WhatsApps, why do you do that? A. No, not that's not the case, Sir Peter. THE CHAIRMAN: But that is in the investigation of drug dealers. SIR PETER CARUANA: Only drug dealers? Alright THE CHAIRMAN: Well, not only drug dealers, but that is a different kind of game. Q. Okay. I am just pointing out to you that this is something that is generally regarded as a source of useful information about what 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 time, repeating stuff. But by way of summary only yes or no, if you do not agree or do. So, the RGP has wiped all relevant RGP work phones of their WhatsApps, yes? A. It was in our policy. Q. Yes. Well, the policy does not require it. And/or, has lost access to WhatsApps from changes to new phones. Yes? A. Yes. Q. Wiped off all data from Mr McGrail's laptop, yes? A. I'm not too sure whether we wiped off data
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 phone and inspect their WhatsApps, why do you do that? A. No, not that's not the case, Sir Peter. THE CHAIRMAN: But that is in the investigation of drug dealers. SIR PETER CARUANA: Only drug dealers? Alright THE CHAIRMAN: Well, not only drug dealers, but that is a different kind of game. Q. Okay. I am just pointing out to you that this is something that is generally regarded as a source of useful information about what people have done or not done 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 time, repeating stuff. But by way of summary only yes or no, if you do not agree or do. So, the RGP has wiped all relevant RGP work phones of their WhatsApps, yes? A. It was in our policy. Q. Yes. Well, the policy does not require it. And/or, has lost access to WhatsApps from changes to new phones. Yes? A. Yes. Q. Wiped off all data from Mr McGrail's laptop, yes? A. I'm not too sure whether we wiped off data from Mr I wouldn't know (?) personally, no.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 phone and inspect their WhatsApps, why do you do that? A. No, not that's not the case, Sir Peter. THE CHAIRMAN: But that is in the investigation of drug dealers. SIR PETER CARUANA: Only drug dealers? Alright THE CHAIRMAN: Well, not only drug dealers, but that is a different kind of game. Q. Okay. I am just pointing out to you that this is something that is generally regarded as a source of useful information about what people have done or not done A. Informa 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 time, repeating stuff. But by way of summary only yes or no, if you do not agree or do. So, the RGP has wiped all relevant RGP work phones of their WhatsApps, yes? A. It was in our policy. Q. Yes. Well, the policy does not require it. And/or, has lost access to WhatsApps from changes to new phones. Yes? A. Yes. Q. Wiped off all data from Mr McGrail's laptop, yes? A. I'm not too sure whether we wiped off data from Mr I wouldn't know (?) personally, no. Q. Oh, okay. Lost the desktop computer, or at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 phone and inspect their WhatsApps, why do you do that? A. No, not that's not the case, Sir Peter. THE CHAIRMAN: But that is in the investigation of drug dealers. SIR PETER CARUANA: Only drug dealers? Alright THE CHAIRMAN: Well, not only drug dealers? Q. Okay. I am just pointing out to you that this is something that is generally regarded as a source of useful information about what people have done or not done A. Informa Q WhatsApps. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 time, repeating stuff. But by way of summary only yes or no, if you do not agree or do. So, the RGP has wiped all relevant RGP work phones of their WhatsApps, yes? A. It was in our policy. Q. Yes. Well, the policy does not require it. And/or, has lost access to WhatsApps from changes to new phones. Yes? A. Yes. Q. Wiped off all data from Mr McGrail's laptop, yes? A. I'm not too sure whether we wiped off data from Mr I wouldn't know (?) personally, no. Q. Oh, okay. Lost the desktop computer, or at least not found it. Yes?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 phone and inspect their WhatsApps, why do you do that? A. No, not that's not the case, Sir Peter. THE CHAIRMAN: But that is in the investigation of drug dealers. SIR PETER CARUANA: Only drug dealers? Alright THE CHAIRMAN: Well, not only drug dealers? Q. Okay. I am just pointing out to you that this is something that is generally regarded as a source of useful information about what people have done or not done A. Informa Q WhatsApps. A. Information technology is 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 time, repeating stuff. But by way of summary only yes or no, if you do not agree or do. So, the RGP has wiped all relevant RGP work phones of their WhatsApps, yes? A. It was in our policy. Q. Yes. Well, the policy does not require it. And/or, has lost access to WhatsApps from changes to new phones. Yes? A. Yes. Q. Wiped off all data from Mr McGrail's laptop, yes? A. I'm not too sure whether we wiped off data from Mr I wouldn't know (?) personally, no. Q. Oh, okay. Lost the desktop computer, or at least not found it. Yes? A. I wouldn't be able to answer that, because I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 phone and inspect their WhatsApps, why do you do that? A. No, not that's not the case, Sir Peter. THE CHAIRMAN: But that is in the investigation of drug dealers. SIR PETER CARUANA: Only drug dealers? Alright THE CHAIRMAN: Well, not only drug dealers? dealers, but that is a different kind of game. Q. Okay. I am just pointing out to you that this is something that is generally regarded as a source of useful information about what people have done or not done A. Informa Q WhatsApps. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 time, repeating stuff. But by way of summary only yes or no, if you do not agree or do. So, the RGP has wiped all relevant RGP work phones of their WhatsApps, yes? A. It was in our policy. Q. Yes. Well, the policy does not require it. And/or, has lost access to WhatsApps from changes to new phones. Yes? A. Yes. Q. Wiped off all data from Mr McGrail's laptop, yes? A. I'm not too sure whether we wiped off data from Mr I wouldn't know (?) personally, no. Q. Oh, okay. Lost the desktop computer, or at least not found it. Yes? A. I wouldn't be able to answer that, because I personally don't know.
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ \end{array} $	 phone and inspect their WhatsApps, why do you do that? A. No, not that's not the case, Sir Peter. THE CHAIRMAN: But that is in the investigation of drug dealers. SIR PETER CARUANA: Only drug dealers? Alright THE CHAIRMAN: Well, not only drug dealers? Alright THE CHAIRMAN: Well, not only drug dealers, but that is a different kind of game. Q. Okay. I am just pointing out to you that this is something that is generally regarded as a source of useful information about what people have done or not done A. Informa Q WhatsApps. A. Information technology is Q. Yes. A feeding in to criminality at a at a speed 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 time, repeating stuff. But by way of summary only yes or no, if you do not agree or do. So, the RGP has wiped all relevant RGP work phones of their WhatsApps, yes? A. It was in our policy. Q. Yes. Well, the policy does not require it. And/or, has lost access to WhatsApps from changes to new phones. Yes? A. Yes. Q. Wiped off all data from Mr McGrail's laptop, yes? A. I'm not too sure whether we wiped off data from Mr I wouldn't know (?) personally, no. Q. Oh, okay. Lost the desktop computer, or at least not found it. Yes? A. I wouldn't be able to answer that, because I personally don't know. Q. Caused WhatsApps on Mr McGrail's
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ \end{array}$	 phone and inspect their WhatsApps, why do you do that? A. No, not that's not the case, Sir Peter. THE CHAIRMAN: But that is in the investigation of drug dealers. SIR PETER CARUANA: Only drug dealers? Alright THE CHAIRMAN: Well, not only drug dealers? dealers, but that is a different kind of game. Q. Okay. I am just pointing out to you that this is something that is generally regarded as a source of useful information about what people have done or not done A. Informa Q WhatsApps. A. Information technology is Q. Yes. A feeding in to criminality at a at a speed that no one can control. 	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ \end{array} $	 time, repeating stuff. But by way of summary only yes or no, if you do not agree or do. So, the RGP has wiped all relevant RGP work phones of their WhatsApps, yes? A. It was in our policy. Q. Yes. Well, the policy does not require it. And/or, has lost access to WhatsApps from changes to new phones. Yes? A. Yes. Q. Wiped off all data from Mr McGrail's laptop, yes? A. I'm not too sure whether we wiped off data from Mr I wouldn't know (?) personally, no. Q. Oh, okay. Lost the desktop computer, or at least not found it. Yes? A. I wouldn't be able to answer that, because I personally don't know. Q. Caused WhatsApps on Mr McGrail's personal phone to be lost to him, anyway;
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ \end{array}$	 phone and inspect their WhatsApps, why do you do that? A. No, not that's not the case, Sir Peter. THE CHAIRMAN: But that is in the investigation of drug dealers. SIR PETER CARUANA: Only drug dealers? Alright THE CHAIRMAN: Well, not only drug dealers? Q. Okay. I am just pointing out to you that this is something that is generally regarded as a source of useful information about what people have done or not done A. Informa Q WhatsApps. A. Information technology is Q. Yes. A feeding in to criminality at a at a speed that no one can control. Q. Okay. 	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\end{array} $	 time, repeating stuff. But by way of summary only yes or no, if you do not agree or do. So, the RGP has wiped all relevant RGP work phones of their WhatsApps, yes? A. It was in our policy. Q. Yes. Well, the policy does not require it. And/or, has lost access to WhatsApps from changes to new phones. Yes? A. Yes. Q. Wiped off all data from Mr McGrail's laptop, yes? A. I'm not too sure whether we wiped off data from Mr I wouldn't know (?) personally, no. Q. Oh, okay. Lost the desktop computer, or at least not found it. Yes? A. I wouldn't be able to answer that, because I personally don't know. Q. Caused WhatsApps on Mr McGrail's personal phone to be lost to him, anyway; not to Mr McVea, fortunately. And, lost his
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ \end{array}$	 phone and inspect their WhatsApps, why do you do that? A. No, not that's not the case, Sir Peter. THE CHAIRMAN: But that is in the investigation of drug dealers. SIR PETER CARUANA: Only drug dealers? Alright THE CHAIRMAN: Well, not only drug dealers, but that is a different kind of game. Q. Okay. I am just pointing out to you that this is something that is generally regarded as a source of useful information about what people have done or not done A. Informa Q WhatsApps. A. Information technology is Q. Yes. A feeding in to criminality at a at a speed that no one can control. Q. Okay. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 time, repeating stuff. But by way of summary only yes or no, if you do not agree or do. So, the RGP has wiped all relevant RGP work phones of their WhatsApps, yes? A. It was in our policy. Q. Yes. Well, the policy does not require it. And/or, has lost access to WhatsApps from changes to new phones. Yes? A. Yes. Q. Wiped off all data from Mr McGrail's laptop, yes? A. I'm not too sure whether we wiped off data from Mr I wouldn't know (?) personally, no. Q. Oh, okay. Lost the desktop computer, or at least not found it. Yes? A. I wouldn't be able to answer that, because I personally don't know. Q. Caused WhatsApps on Mr McGrail's personal phone to be lost to him, anyway; not to Mr McVea, fortunately. And, lost his daybooks. Yes? In consequence of which
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ \end{array}$	 phone and inspect their WhatsApps, why do you do that? A. No, not that's not the case, Sir Peter. THE CHAIRMAN: But that is in the investigation of drug dealers. SIR PETER CARUANA: Only drug dealers? Alright THE CHAIRMAN: Well, not only drug dealers? Alright THE CHAIRMAN: Well, not only drug dealers, but that is a different kind of game. Q. Okay. I am just pointing out to you that this is something that is generally regarded as a source of useful information about what people have done or not done A. Informa Q WhatsApps. A. Information technology is Q. Yes. A feeding in to criminality at a at a speed that no one can control. Q. Okay. A. And it can be on WhatsApp, Signal, Viber, SMS, in different forms, not only in 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 time, repeating stuff. But by way of summary only yes or no, if you do not agree or do. So, the RGP has wiped all relevant RGP work phones of their WhatsApps, yes? A. It was in our policy. Q. Yes. Well, the policy does not require it. And/or, has lost access to WhatsApps from changes to new phones. Yes? A. Yes. Q. Wiped off all data from Mr McGrail's laptop, yes? A. I'm not too sure whether we wiped off data from Mr I wouldn't know (?) personally, no. Q. Oh, okay. Lost the desktop computer, or at least not found it. Yes? A. I wouldn't be able to answer that, because I personally don't know. Q. Caused WhatsApps on Mr McGrail's personal phone to be lost to him, anyway; not to Mr McVea, fortunately. And, lost his daybooks. Yes? In consequence of which which is why I feel entitled to raise this with
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\\ \end{array}$	 phone and inspect their WhatsApps, why do you do that? A. No, not that's not the case, Sir Peter. THE CHAIRMAN: But that is in the investigation of drug dealers. SIR PETER CARUANA: Only drug dealers? Alright THE CHAIRMAN: Well, not only drug dealers? Alright THE CHAIRMAN: Well, not only drug dealers, but that is a different kind of game. Q. Okay. I am just pointing out to you that this is something that is generally regarded as a source of useful information about what people have done or not done A. Informa Q WhatsApps. A. Information technology is Q. Yes. A feeding in to criminality at a at a speed that no one can control. Q. Okay. A. And it can be on WhatsApp, Signal, Viber, SMS, in different forms, not only in WhatsApps. 	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\\23\\24\\\end{array} $	 time, repeating stuff. But by way of summary only yes or no, if you do not agree or do. So, the RGP has wiped all relevant RGP work phones of their WhatsApps, yes? A. It was in our policy. Q. Yes. Well, the policy does not require it. And/or, has lost access to WhatsApps from changes to new phones. Yes? A. Yes. Q. Wiped off all data from Mr McGrail's laptop, yes? A. I'm not too sure whether we wiped off data from Mr I wouldn't know (?) personally, no. Q. Oh, okay. Lost the desktop computer, or at least not found it. Yes? A. I wouldn't be able to answer that, because I personally don't know. Q. Caused WhatsApps on Mr McGrail's personal phone to be lost to him, anyway; not to Mr McVea, fortunately. And, lost his daybooks. Yes? In consequence of which which is why I feel entitled to raise this with you the Inquiry has none of the material that
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ \end{array}$	 phone and inspect their WhatsApps, why do you do that? A. No, not that's not the case, Sir Peter. THE CHAIRMAN: But that is in the investigation of drug dealers. SIR PETER CARUANA: Only drug dealers? Alright THE CHAIRMAN: Well, not only drug dealers? Alright THE CHAIRMAN: Well, not only drug dealers, but that is a different kind of game. Q. Okay. I am just pointing out to you that this is something that is generally regarded as a source of useful information about what people have done or not done A. Informa Q WhatsApps. A. Information technology is Q. Yes. A feeding in to criminality at a at a speed that no one can control. Q. Okay. A. And it can be on WhatsApp, Signal, Viber, SMS, in different forms, not only in 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 time, repeating stuff. But by way of summary only yes or no, if you do not agree or do. So, the RGP has wiped all relevant RGP work phones of their WhatsApps, yes? A. It was in our policy. Q. Yes. Well, the policy does not require it. And/or, has lost access to WhatsApps from changes to new phones. Yes? A. Yes. Q. Wiped off all data from Mr McGrail's laptop, yes? A. I'm not too sure whether we wiped off data from Mr I wouldn't know (?) personally, no. Q. Oh, okay. Lost the desktop computer, or at least not found it. Yes? A. I wouldn't be able to answer that, because I personally don't know. Q. Caused WhatsApps on Mr McGrail's personal phone to be lost to him, anyway; not to Mr McVea, fortunately. And, lost his daybooks. Yes? In consequence of which which is why I feel entitled to raise this with
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\\ \end{array}$	 phone and inspect their WhatsApps, why do you do that? A. No, not that's not the case, Sir Peter. THE CHAIRMAN: But that is in the investigation of drug dealers. SIR PETER CARUANA: Only drug dealers? Alright THE CHAIRMAN: Well, not only drug dealers? Alright THE CHAIRMAN: Well, not only drug dealers, but that is a different kind of game. Q. Okay. I am just pointing out to you that this is something that is generally regarded as a source of useful information about what people have done or not done A. Informa Q WhatsApps. A. Information technology is Q. Yes. A feeding in to criminality at a at a speed that no one can control. Q. Okay. A. And it can be on WhatsApp, Signal, Viber, SMS, in different forms, not only in WhatsApps. 	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\\23\\24\\\end{array} $	 time, repeating stuff. But by way of summary only yes or no, if you do not agree or do. So, the RGP has wiped all relevant RGP work phones of their WhatsApps, yes? A. It was in our policy. Q. Yes. Well, the policy does not require it. And/or, has lost access to WhatsApps from changes to new phones. Yes? A. Yes. Q. Wiped off all data from Mr McGrail's laptop, yes? A. I'm not too sure whether we wiped off data from Mr I wouldn't know (?) personally, no. Q. Oh, okay. Lost the desktop computer, or at least not found it. Yes? A. I wouldn't be able to answer that, because I personally don't know. Q. Caused WhatsApps on Mr McGrail's personal phone to be lost to him, anyway; not to Mr McVea, fortunately. And, lost his daybooks. Yes? In consequence of which which is why I feel entitled to raise this with you the Inquiry has none of the material that

55 (Pages 217 to 220)

1 do you think that the RGP have in this instance 1 new WhatsApps 2 2 -- I do not make a wider point -- in this Q. But you would have seen that this one, new 3 instance, do you think that the RGP has lived 3 one, had none on it, or did you not notice that? 4 4 A. I -- I honestly can't remember, Sir Peter, I up to the standards to be expected of it in the 5 careful preservation of potentially important 5 - when I picked up the phone --6 6 Q. But none of you noticed it. You did not information? 7 A. I still think we have. Yes, Sir Peter. 7 notice it, Mr Yeats did not notice it and Mr 8 8 Richardson did not notice it. Q. Despite the litany that I have just read to 9 9 you? A. It wasn't relevant --10 10 A. Yes. Q. Well, I have not asked Mr Richardson, in 11 11 Q. Okay. Now, you all changed your -- well, I fairness, but he had not said so. (?) 12 say "you all" -- you, Mr Richardson and Mr 12 A. But -- but it wasn't relevant to me at the 13 Yeats changed your Samsung work phones, 15 13 time. I just -- I'm not a -- a person that lives 14 14 months after you had been issued with them, for my phone and, you know, I'm --15 15 because you were after the better user Q. You were unconcerned about the complete 16 16 interface, whatever that is, on the iPhones. loss of all your previous WhatsApps in relation 17 17 Correct? to your official business? 18 A. That's correct, yes. 18 A. I've said -- I've said it already once, and 19 Q. What about the other 48 police officers that 19 I've -- or I've said it a few times, Sir Peter: I 20 20 had been issued Samsungs? Was nobody would be more concerned about emails. 21 concerned about their user-interface 21 O. Yes. 22 22 A. Reports, which sit on our server. Those preferences? 23 23 A. I'm unsure whether our IT people asked would have been more concerning to me than -24 24 them about it or not, I have no idea. 25 25 Q. No, no, this is not asking. You told your IT Q. Alright. Page 221 Page 223 1 people that you wanted a new one for this 1 A. -- mere WhatsApps between us, which are 2 reason. And why did the reasons that applied 2 conversations which happened mostly out of 3 3 to you three not apply to all other 48 -- 51 work. 4 (inaudible), that is why I say 48. 4 Q. Alright. So you have agreed, I think, that 5 5 the RGP deleted WhatsApps from Mr THE CHAIRMAN: Do we know they did 6 6 Richardson's work phone when he retired from not? 7 SIR PETER CARUANA: I think we do, from 7 the force, which is in June 2021, even though 8 8 Mr Yeats' evidence: these four were changed. the Inquiry had already been announced and in 9 9 A. I couldn't answer, Sir Peter, I don't know. the run-up to disclosure in the Operation Delhi 10 10 Q. Well, if you do not know, you do not know, investigation of which he had been the senior 11 Mr Ullger, that is fine. So, you heard the 11 investigating officer, do you agree? 12 questions I put to Mr Yeats this morning, I am 12 A. Yes. 13 13 Q. If the RGP had done that, wiped a key not going to repeat them all to you again, 14 about the fact that on the day you were handed 14 person's phone WhatsApps despite the Inquiry 15 your new phone you must have seen that there 15 having already been called etc, why did you 16 16 were no WhatsApps on it? instruct your counsel to attack, for example, 17 17 A. No, because I wouldn't have realised, I Mr Baglietto, senior lawyer and QC, for 18 wouldn't have -- it just didn't cross my mind, 18 supposedly doing the same thing as the RGP 19 19 itself had done? Sir Peter, it's just --20 20 Q. No, I am not talking about particular A. I'm unsure he did attack him. 21 21 WhatsApps --Q. Well he -- with respect -- you know, and I 22 22 do not want to remind anybody about what Mr A. Yeah. 23 23 Q. -- it had no WhatsApps on any of your Cruz said about ventriloquists and dummies 24 24 personal chat groups. Personal, work ... and every question being cleared with the 25 A. It -- it would have been a new phone with 25 RGP, but he put it to Mr Baglietto whether he Page 222

Page 224

56 (Pages 221 to 224)

1			
	wanted to reconsider his evidence that he had	1	A. Correct.
2	not intentionally deleted, given that the Inquiry	2	Q. So, can I I am sure my learned friend Mr
3	had already been convened or announced.	3	Gibbs will forgive me for plagiarising two of
4	What is the difference between what you did	4	his questions to Mr Levy in this respect. The
5	and what Mr Baglietto has done?	5	first one is: what appears to be the criterion by
6	MR CRUZ: Sir, Mr Chairman, to rise, only	6	which the telephone has preserved some
7	because my learned friend is putting forward a	7	messages but not preserved others?
8	proposition that is not exactly what happened.	8	A. I have no idea whatsoever.
9	Maybe it is a matter for submissions, but	9	Q. No. That is the answer Mr Levy Gave. Of
10	certainly that is not what I did, not what I said,	10	the messages that have been preserved, does
11	and not in that context. So, I cannot sit here	11	that include any of the messages to and from
12	while he puts something that is not correct. If	12	you and any of the people whom the Inquiry
13	he wants to adduce it to submissions we will it	13	has been interested in?
14	address it as well, but that is not the position.	14	A. Sorry, I haven't understood the question.
15	Q. So your evidence, then, is that the RGP is	15	Q. This is a question that Mr Gibbs put. Of
16	not critical of what Mr Baglietto did?	16	the messages that have been preserved, does
17	A. It is a matter for the chairman, not for the	17	that include any of the messages to and from
18	RGP	18	you and any of the people whom the Inquiry
19	Q. No, I am asking you what the RGP's	19	has been interested in? Well, we know it did
20	position is.	20	not include Mr McGrail
21	A. It's a matter for the Chairman, Sir Peter.	21	A. McGrail.
22	Q. Well of course, everything is the matter for	22	Q because it was amongst (inaudible). So,
23	the Chairman. Your evidence, I am asking you	23	do you agree that you, Mr Richardson, Mr
24	is, do you think that Mr Baglietto, does the	24	Yeats and Mr James Levy KC have all
25	RGP think that Mr Baglietto deleted	25	managed to lose WhatsApps on transfer to new
	-		
	Page 225		Page 227
1	WhatsApp messages, given that the Inquiry	1	mobile phones, is that correct?
2	was already announced and therefore to	2	A. Yes.
3	prevent it from reaching the Inquiry?	$\begin{vmatrix} 2\\ 3 \end{vmatrix}$	Q. So, it seems pretty normal for senior and
4	A. That's a matter for the Chairman, Sir Peter.	4	honest people to lose.
5	Q. But you are making no such allegation?	5	THE CHAIRMAN: No, that is not a very
6	A. No.		
7		6	well-dratted quested. Well it is not a properly
		6 7	well-drafted quested. Well, it is not a properly drafted question. I should say
-	Q. No. Or the RGP?	7	drafted question, I should say.
8	A. No, we are not.	7 8	drafted question, I should say. Q. You think it is leading? Fine, well we are
8 9	A. No, we are not.Q. As Mr Cruz says, the rest of it we can	7 8 9	drafted question, I should say. Q. You think it is leading? Fine, well we are in a civil trial rather than a criminal one.
8 9 10	A. No, we are not.Q. As Mr Cruz says, the rest of it we can explore in closing. So, you lost WhatsApps on	7 8 9 10	drafted question, I should say.Q. You think it is leading? Fine, well we are in a civil trial rather than a criminal one.Alright, fine. So, do you agree then, or do you
8 9 10 11	 A. No, we are not. Q. As Mr Cruz says, the rest of it we can explore in closing. So, you lost WhatsApps on your phones twice, actually: once on your 	7 8 9 10 11	drafted question, I should say.Q. You think it is leading? Fine, well we are in a civil trial rather than a criminal one.Alright, fine. So, do you agree then, or do you think that it is justified therefore, to conclude
8 9 10 11 12	 A. No, we are not. Q. As Mr Cruz says, the rest of it we can explore in closing. So, you lost WhatsApps on your phones twice, actually: once on your work phone and again on your personal phone. 	7 8 9 10 11 12	drafted question, I should say.Q. You think it is leading? Fine, well we are in a civil trial rather than a criminal one.Alright, fine. So, do you agree then, or do you think that it is justified therefore, to conclude that this means that you all did so to conceal
8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. No, we are not. Q. As Mr Cruz says, the rest of it we can explore in closing. So, you lost WhatsApps on your phones twice, actually: once on your work phone and again on your personal phone. Do you agree? 	7 8 9 10 11 12 13	drafted question, I should say. Q. You think it is leading? Fine, well we are in a civil trial rather than a criminal one. Alright, fine. So, do you agree then, or do you think that it is justified therefore, to conclude that this means that you all did so to conceal that evidence from the Inquiry?
8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. No, we are not. Q. As Mr Cruz says, the rest of it we can explore in closing. So, you lost WhatsApps on your phones twice, actually: once on your work phone and again on your personal phone. Do you agree? A. I 	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	drafted question, I should say.Q. You think it is leading? Fine, well we are in a civil trial rather than a criminal one.Alright, fine. So, do you agree then, or do you think that it is justified therefore, to conclude that this means that you all did so to conceal that evidence from the Inquiry?A. No, that's not the case at all.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. No, we are not. Q. As Mr Cruz says, the rest of it we can explore in closing. So, you lost WhatsApps on your phones twice, actually: once on your work phone and again on your personal phone. Do you agree? A. I Q. On transfer 	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 drafted question, I should say. Q. You think it is leading? Fine, well we are in a civil trial rather than a criminal one. Alright, fine. So, do you agree then, or do you think that it is justified therefore, to conclude that this means that you all did so to conceal that evidence from the Inquiry? A. No, that's not the case at all. Q. It is not the case at all. You make no such
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. No, we are not. Q. As Mr Cruz says, the rest of it we can explore in closing. So, you lost WhatsApps on your phones twice, actually: once on your work phone and again on your personal phone. Do you agree? A. I Q. On transfer A. I 	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 drafted question, I should say. Q. You think it is leading? Fine, well we are in a civil trial rather than a criminal one. Alright, fine. So, do you agree then, or do you think that it is justified therefore, to conclude that this means that you all did so to conceal that evidence from the Inquiry? A. No, that's not the case at all. Q. It is not the case at all. You make no such allegation against Mr Levy, then?
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. No, we are not. Q. As Mr Cruz says, the rest of it we can explore in closing. So, you lost WhatsApps on your phones twice, actually: once on your work phone and again on your personal phone. Do you agree? A. I Q. On transfer A. I Q when you were changing phones from 	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 drafted question, I should say. Q. You think it is leading? Fine, well we are in a civil trial rather than a criminal one. Alright, fine. So, do you agree then, or do you think that it is justified therefore, to conclude that this means that you all did so to conceal that evidence from the Inquiry? A. No, that's not the case at all. Q. It is not the case at all. You make no such allegation against Mr Levy, then? A. No, we haven't.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. No, we are not. Q. As Mr Cruz says, the rest of it we can explore in closing. So, you lost WhatsApps on your phones twice, actually: once on your work phone and again on your personal phone. Do you agree? A. I Q. On transfer A. I Q when you were changing phones from one phone to the other. 	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 drafted question, I should say. Q. You think it is leading? Fine, well we are in a civil trial rather than a criminal one. Alright, fine. So, do you agree then, or do you think that it is justified therefore, to conclude that this means that you all did so to conceal that evidence from the Inquiry? A. No, that's not the case at all. Q. It is not the case at all. You make no such allegation against Mr Levy, then? A. No, we haven't. Q. So, did you feel some sympathy for Mr
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A. No, we are not. Q. As Mr Cruz says, the rest of it we can explore in closing. So, you lost WhatsApps on your phones twice, actually: once on your work phone and again on your personal phone. Do you agree? A. I Q. On transfer A. I Q when you were changing phones from one phone to the other. A. I yes. 	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 drafted question, I should say. Q. You think it is leading? Fine, well we are in a civil trial rather than a criminal one. Alright, fine. So, do you agree then, or do you think that it is justified therefore, to conclude that this means that you all did so to conceal that evidence from the Inquiry? A. No, that's not the case at all. Q. It is not the case at all. You make no such allegation against Mr Levy, then? A. No, we haven't. Q. So, did you feel some sympathy for Mr Levy when he was being cross-examined by
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. No, we are not. Q. As Mr Cruz says, the rest of it we can explore in closing. So, you lost WhatsApps on your phones twice, actually: once on your work phone and again on your personal phone. Do you agree? A. I Q. On transfer A. I Q when you were changing phones from one phone to the other. A. I yes. Q. So, like Mr James Levy, you appear to 	$\begin{array}{c} 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ \end{array}$	 drafted question, I should say. Q. You think it is leading? Fine, well we are in a civil trial rather than a criminal one. Alright, fine. So, do you agree then, or do you think that it is justified therefore, to conclude that this means that you all did so to conceal that evidence from the Inquiry? A. No, that's not the case at all. Q. It is not the case at all. You make no such allegation against Mr Levy, then? A. No, we haven't. Q. So, did you feel some sympathy for Mr Levy when he was being cross-examined by Mr Gibbs to that effect? The RGP having
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. No, we are not. Q. As Mr Cruz says, the rest of it we can explore in closing. So, you lost WhatsApps on your phones twice, actually: once on your work phone and again on your personal phone. Do you agree? A. I Q. On transfer A. I Q when you were changing phones from one phone to the other. A. I yes. Q. So, like Mr James Levy, you appear to have only lost certain chats. I think you said 	$\begin{array}{c} 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ \end{array}$	 drafted question, I should say. Q. You think it is leading? Fine, well we are in a civil trial rather than a criminal one. Alright, fine. So, do you agree then, or do you think that it is justified therefore, to conclude that this means that you all did so to conceal that evidence from the Inquiry? A. No, that's not the case at all. Q. It is not the case at all. You make no such allegation against Mr Levy, then? A. No, we haven't. Q. So, did you feel some sympathy for Mr Levy when he was being cross-examined by Mr Gibbs to that effect? The RGP having done the same with Mr Richardson's phone
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. No, we are not. Q. As Mr Cruz says, the rest of it we can explore in closing. So, you lost WhatsApps on your phones twice, actually: once on your work phone and again on your personal phone. Do you agree? A. I Q. On transfer A. I Q. on transfer A. I Q when you were changing phones from one phone to the other. A. I yes. Q. So, like Mr James Levy, you appear to have only lost certain chats. I think you said you lost Mr McGrail's, and I do not know who 	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 drafted question, I should say. Q. You think it is leading? Fine, well we are in a civil trial rather than a criminal one. Alright, fine. So, do you agree then, or do you think that it is justified therefore, to conclude that this means that you all did so to conceal that evidence from the Inquiry? A. No, that's not the case at all. Q. It is not the case at all. You make no such allegation against Mr Levy, then? A. No, we haven't. Q. So, did you feel some sympathy for Mr Levy when he was being cross-examined by Mr Gibbs to that effect? The RGP having done the same with Mr Richardson's phone with all your work phones, for that matter?
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. No, we are not. Q. As Mr Cruz says, the rest of it we can explore in closing. So, you lost WhatsApps on your phones twice, actually: once on your work phone and again on your personal phone. Do you agree? A. I Q. On transfer A. I Q when you were changing phones from one phone to the other. A. I yes. Q. So, like Mr James Levy, you appear to have only lost certain chats. I think you said you lost Mr McGrail's, and I do not know who else, and one of your children perhaps, but not 	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 drafted question, I should say. Q. You think it is leading? Fine, well we are in a civil trial rather than a criminal one. Alright, fine. So, do you agree then, or do you think that it is justified therefore, to conclude that this means that you all did so to conceal that evidence from the Inquiry? A. No, that's not the case at all. Q. It is not the case at all. You make no such allegation against Mr Levy, then? A. No, we haven't. Q. So, did you feel some sympathy for Mr Levy when he was being cross-examined by Mr Gibbs to that effect? The RGP having done the same with Mr Richardson's phonewith all your work phones, for that matter? A. This is a public inquiry seeking the truth,
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A. No, we are not. Q. As Mr Cruz says, the rest of it we can explore in closing. So, you lost WhatsApps on your phones twice, actually: once on your work phone and again on your personal phone. Do you agree? A. I Q. On transfer A. I Q when you were changing phones from one phone to the other. A. I yes. Q. So, like Mr James Levy, you appear to have only lost certain chats. I think you said you lost Mr McGrail's, and I do not know who else, and one of your children perhaps, but not everybody's. Your sister, sorry, but not 	$\begin{array}{c} 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\\ \end{array}$	 drafted question, I should say. Q. You think it is leading? Fine, well we are in a civil trial rather than a criminal one. Alright, fine. So, do you agree then, or do you think that it is justified therefore, to conclude that this means that you all did so to conceal that evidence from the Inquiry? A. No, that's not the case at all. Q. It is not the case at all. You make no such allegation against Mr Levy, then? A. No, we haven't. Q. So, did you feel some sympathy for Mr Levy when he was being cross-examined by Mr Gibbs to that effect? The RGP having done the same with Mr Richardson's phonewith all your work phones, for that matter? A. This is a public inquiry seeking the truth, and
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. No, we are not. Q. As Mr Cruz says, the rest of it we can explore in closing. So, you lost WhatsApps on your phones twice, actually: once on your work phone and again on your personal phone. Do you agree? A. I Q. On transfer A. I Q when you were changing phones from one phone to the other. A. I yes. Q. So, like Mr James Levy, you appear to have only lost certain chats. I think you said you lost Mr McGrail's, and I do not know who else, and one of your children perhaps, but not 	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 drafted question, I should say. Q. You think it is leading? Fine, well we are in a civil trial rather than a criminal one. Alright, fine. So, do you agree then, or do you think that it is justified therefore, to conclude that this means that you all did so to conceal that evidence from the Inquiry? A. No, that's not the case at all. Q. It is not the case at all. You make no such allegation against Mr Levy, then? A. No, we haven't. Q. So, did you feel some sympathy for Mr Levy when he was being cross-examined by Mr Gibbs to that effect? The RGP having done the same with Mr Richardson's phonewith all your work phones, for that matter? A. This is a public inquiry seeking the truth,
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A. No, we are not. Q. As Mr Cruz says, the rest of it we can explore in closing. So, you lost WhatsApps on your phones twice, actually: once on your work phone and again on your personal phone. Do you agree? A. I Q. On transfer A. I Q when you were changing phones from one phone to the other. A. I yes. Q. So, like Mr James Levy, you appear to have only lost certain chats. I think you said you lost Mr McGrail's, and I do not know who else, and one of your children perhaps, but not everybody's. Your sister, sorry, but not 	$\begin{array}{c} 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\\ \end{array}$	 drafted question, I should say. Q. You think it is leading? Fine, well we are in a civil trial rather than a criminal one. Alright, fine. So, do you agree then, or do you think that it is justified therefore, to conclude that this means that you all did so to conceal that evidence from the Inquiry? A. No, that's not the case at all. Q. It is not the case at all. You make no such allegation against Mr Levy, then? A. No, we haven't. Q. So, did you feel some sympathy for Mr Levy when he was being cross-examined by Mr Gibbs to that effect? The RGP having done the same with Mr Richardson's phonewith all your work phones, for that matter? A. This is a public inquiry seeking the truth, and

57 (Pages 225 to 228)

		1	
1	Inquiry though not by the RGP, I have to	1	20 May and 5 June in relation to the
2	say, not by the RGP that the failure by Mr	2	circumstances leading to his retirement? You
3	Levy to carefully retain and store relevant	3	have answered all my learned friends'
4	messages with Mr Picardo lent support	4	questions on that.
5	backwards to the RGP's view that he may have	5	A. Sir Peter, Mr McGrail and I were in
6	been tempted to suppress information that	6	constant communication with one another and
7	could embarrass him? I mean, given the	7	I think our the image provided by Mr
8	RGP's own failure to carefully retain and store	8	McVea in his investigation
9	important material, do you agree with that	9	Q. Yes, you
10	submission?	10	A. – which was authorised by Mr McGrail –
11	THE CHAIRMAN: Well, I am sorry, I have	11	Q. Speaks for itself.
12	lost the thread of the question. You just have	12	A. – shows the amount of messages.
12	to go a bit more slowly.	13	Q. Correct.
13	Q. Given that the RGP itself has failed to	14	A. But I would not remember for the for the
15	retain information carefully, do you agree with	15	life of me, what messages we would have
16	the submission made by others at this Inquiry	16	shared, at all, because of the amount of
17	that it might be possible to read into that a	17	messages that there was.
18	temptation to have supressed information?	18	Q. Do you agree that the WhatsApps between
19	No, you do not understand it? (inaudible) You	19	you and Mr McGrail show that you were
20	do not understand the question.	20	aware at least by 29 May that Mr McGrail had
20	A. (inaudible) to go	20	already decided who was going to retire
21	Q. Alright. Now, if I could just ask you some	22	because his position had become untenable?
23	questions about the circumstances in which	23	Indeed, you have been very candid today, you
24	you do not disclose your WhatsApps with Mr	24	have said actually you used the words "loss
25	McGrail. So in summary, because we have all	25	of confidence", rather than "untenable", which
23	We of an . 50 in summary, because we have an	25	of confidence, father than unterhable, which
	Page 229		Page 231
1	heard it already this morning and before,	1	is what you say in the clip.
2	basically it is because you had lost as we	2	A. Mm-hmm.
2 3	basically it is because you had lost as we have just discussed because you had lost it	2 3	A. Mm-hmm.Q. But this morning you said because he had
2 3 4	basically it is because you had lost as we have just discussed because you had lost it from your personal phone on transfer to the	2 3 4	A. Mm-hmm.Q. But this morning you said because he had lost the confidence of everybody: the Chief
2 3 4 5	basically it is because you had lost as we have just discussed because you had lost it from your personal phone on transfer to the new phone?	2 3 4 5	 A. Mm-hmm. Q. But this morning you said because he had lost the confidence of everybody: the Chief Minister, the interim Governor
2 3 4 5 6	basically it is because you had lost as we have just discussed because you had lost it from your personal phone on transfer to the new phone?A. That's correct, sir.	2 3 4 5 6	 A. Mm-hmm. Q. But this morning you said because he had lost the confidence of everybody: the Chief Minister, the interim Governor THE CHAIRMAN: Can you just identify the
2 3 4 5 6 7	 basically it is because you had lost as we have just discussed because you had lost it from your personal phone on transfer to the new phone? A. That's correct, sir. Q. Okay. So, that answer applies only to the 	2 3 4 5 6 7	 A. Mm-hmm. Q. But this morning you said because he had lost the confidence of everybody: the Chief Minister, the interim Governor THE CHAIRMAN: Can you just identify the precise question?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 basically it is because you had lost as we have just discussed because you had lost it from your personal phone on transfer to the new phone? A. That's correct, sir. Q. Okay. So, that answer applies only to the WhatsApps themselves, correct? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 A. Mm-hmm. Q. But this morning you said because he had lost the confidence of everybody: the Chief Minister, the interim Governor THE CHAIRMAN: Can you just identify the precise question? Q. Well, yes. I am laying the foundation for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 basically it is because you had lost as we have just discussed because you had lost it from your personal phone on transfer to the new phone? A. That's correct, sir. Q. Okay. So, that answer applies only to the WhatsApps themselves, correct? A. Correct, sir. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. Mm-hmm. Q. But this morning you said because he had lost the confidence of everybody: the Chief Minister, the interim Governor THE CHAIRMAN: Can you just identify the precise question? Q. Well, yes. I am laying the foundation for the question, so
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 basically it is because you had lost as we have just discussed because you had lost it from your personal phone on transfer to the new phone? A. That's correct, sir. Q. Okay. So, that answer applies only to the WhatsApps themselves, correct? A. Correct, sir. Q. But do you agree that in the letter of 14 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 A. Mm-hmm. Q. But this morning you said because he had lost the confidence of everybody: the Chief Minister, the interim Governor THE CHAIRMAN: Can you just identify the precise question? Q. Well, yes. I am laying the foundation for the question, so THE CHAIRMAN: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 basically it is because you had lost as we have just discussed because you had lost it from your personal phone on transfer to the new phone? A. That's correct, sir. Q. Okay. So, that answer applies only to the WhatsApps themselves, correct? A. Correct, sir. Q. But do you agree that in the letter of 14 July 2022 the Inquiry had asked you not just 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 A. Mm-hmm. Q. But this morning you said because he had lost the confidence of everybody: the Chief Minister, the interim Governor THE CHAIRMAN: Can you just identify the precise question? Q. Well, yes. I am laying the foundation for the question, so THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. Q there is a question, okay? So, do you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 basically it is because you had lost as we have just discussed because you had lost it from your personal phone on transfer to the new phone? A. That's correct, sir. Q. Okay. So, that answer applies only to the WhatsApps themselves, correct? A. Correct, sir. Q. But do you agree that in the letter of 14 July 2022 the Inquiry had asked you not just for documents and WhatsApps, it had also 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 A. Mm-hmm. Q. But this morning you said because he had lost the confidence of everybody: the Chief Minister, the interim Governor THE CHAIRMAN: Can you just identify the precise question? Q. Well, yes. I am laying the foundation for the question, so THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. Q there is a question, okay? So, do you agree that you knew by 29 May that that was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 basically it is because you had lost as we have just discussed because you had lost it from your personal phone on transfer to the new phone? A. That's correct, sir. Q. Okay. So, that answer applies only to the WhatsApps themselves, correct? A. Correct, sir. Q. But do you agree that in the letter of 14 July 2022 the Inquiry had asked you not just for documents and WhatsApps, it had also asked you to disclose your knowledge and any 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. Mm-hmm. Q. But this morning you said because he had lost the confidence of everybody: the Chief Minister, the interim Governor THE CHAIRMAN: Can you just identify the precise question? Q. Well, yes. I am laying the foundation for the question, so THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. Q there is a question, okay? So, do you agree that you knew by 29 May that that was the reason why he had determined by at least
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 basically it is because you had lost as we have just discussed because you had lost it from your personal phone on transfer to the new phone? A. That's correct, sir. Q. Okay. So, that answer applies only to the WhatsApps themselves, correct? A. Correct, sir. Q. But do you agree that in the letter of 14 July 2022 the Inquiry had asked you not just for documents and WhatsApps, it had also asked you to disclose your knowledge and any information as to the reasons and 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. Mm-hmm. Q. But this morning you said because he had lost the confidence of everybody: the Chief Minister, the interim Governor THE CHAIRMAN: Can you just identify the precise question? Q. Well, yes. I am laying the foundation for the question, so THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. Q there is a question, okay? So, do you agree that you knew by 29 May that that was the reason why he had determined by at least then that he was going to retire, that he wanted
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 basically it is because you had lost as we have just discussed because you had lost it from your personal phone on transfer to the new phone? A. That's correct, sir. Q. Okay. So, that answer applies only to the WhatsApps themselves, correct? A. Correct, sir. Q. But do you agree that in the letter of 14 July 2022 the Inquiry had asked you not just for documents and WhatsApps, it had also asked you to disclose your knowledge and any information as to the reasons and circumstances leading to Mr McGrail ceasing 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. Mm-hmm. Q. But this morning you said because he had lost the confidence of everybody: the Chief Minister, the interim Governor THE CHAIRMAN: Can you just identify the precise question? Q. Well, yes. I am laying the foundation for the question, so THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. Q there is a question, okay? So, do you agree that you knew by 29 May that that was the reason why he had determined by at least then that he was going to retire, that he wanted to retire? Do you agree that the WhatsApps
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 basically it is because you had lost as we have just discussed because you had lost it from your personal phone on transfer to the new phone? A. That's correct, sir. Q. Okay. So, that answer applies only to the WhatsApps themselves, correct? A. Correct, sir. Q. But do you agree that in the letter of 14 July 2022 the Inquiry had asked you not just for documents and WhatsApps, it had also asked you to disclose your knowledge and any information as to the reasons and circumstances leading to Mr McGrail ceasing to be Commissioner of Police? 	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ \end{array} $	 A. Mm-hmm. Q. But this morning you said because he had lost the confidence of everybody: the Chief Minister, the interim Governor THE CHAIRMAN: Can you just identify the precise question? Q. Well, yes. I am laying the foundation for the question, so THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. Q there is a question, okay? So, do you agree that you knew by 29 May that that was the reason why he had determined by at least then that he was going to retire, that he wanted to retire? Do you agree that the WhatsApps show that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 basically it is because you had lost as we have just discussed because you had lost it from your personal phone on transfer to the new phone? A. That's correct, sir. Q. Okay. So, that answer applies only to the WhatsApps themselves, correct? A. Correct, sir. Q. But do you agree that in the letter of 14 July 2022 the Inquiry had asked you not just for documents and WhatsApps, it had also asked you to disclose your knowledge and any information as to the reasons and circumstances leading to Mr McGrail ceasing to be Commissioner of Police? A. Correct. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. Mm-hmm. Q. But this morning you said because he had lost the confidence of everybody: the Chief Minister, the interim Governor THE CHAIRMAN: Can you just identify the precise question? Q. Well, yes. I am laying the foundation for the question, so THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. Q there is a question, okay? So, do you agree that you knew by 29 May that that was the reason why he had determined by at least then that he was going to retire, that he wanted to retire? Do you agree that the WhatsApps show that? A. Yes
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ \end{array} $	 basically it is because you had lost as we have just discussed because you had lost it from your personal phone on transfer to the new phone? A. That's correct, sir. Q. Okay. So, that answer applies only to the WhatsApps themselves, correct? A. Correct, sir. Q. But do you agree that in the letter of 14 July 2022 the Inquiry had asked you not just for documents and WhatsApps, it had also asked you to disclose your knowledge and any information as to the reasons and circumstances leading to Mr McGrail ceasing to be Commissioner of Police? A. Correct. Q. Are you aware of that? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. Mm-hmm. Q. But this morning you said because he had lost the confidence of everybody: the Chief Minister, the interim Governor THE CHAIRMAN: Can you just identify the precise question? Q. Well, yes. I am laying the foundation for the question, so THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. Q there is a question, okay? So, do you agree that you knew by 29 May that that was the reason why he had determined by at least then that he was going to retire, that he wanted to retire? Do you agree that the WhatsApps show that? A. Yes Q. You don't think so?
$ \begin{array}{r} 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ 12 \\ 13 \\ 14 \\ 15 \\ 16 \\ 17 \\ 18 \\ 19 \\ \end{array} $	 basically it is because you had lost as we have just discussed because you had lost it from your personal phone on transfer to the new phone? A. That's correct, sir. Q. Okay. So, that answer applies only to the WhatsApps themselves, correct? A. Correct, sir. Q. But do you agree that in the letter of 14 July 2022 the Inquiry had asked you not just for documents and WhatsApps, it had also asked you to disclose your knowledge and any information as to the reasons and circumstances leading to Mr McGrail ceasing to be Commissioner of Police? A. Correct. Q. Are you aware of that? A. Yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A. Mm-hmm. Q. But this morning you said because he had lost the confidence of everybody: the Chief Minister, the interim Governor THE CHAIRMAN: Can you just identify the precise question? Q. Well, yes. I am laying the foundation for the question, so THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. Q there is a question, okay? So, do you agree that you knew by 29 May that that was the reason why he had determined by at least then that he was going to retire, that he wanted to retire? Do you agree that the WhatsApps show that? A. Yes Q. You don't think so? A. Yes
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ \end{array}$	 basically it is because you had lost as we have just discussed because you had lost it from your personal phone on transfer to the new phone? A. That's correct, sir. Q. Okay. So, that answer applies only to the WhatsApps themselves, correct? A. Correct, sir. Q. But do you agree that in the letter of 14 July 2022 the Inquiry had asked you not just for documents and WhatsApps, it had also asked you to disclose your knowledge and any information as to the reasons and circumstances leading to Mr McGrail ceasing to be Commissioner of Police? A. Correct. Q. Are you aware of that? Q. Not just documents and WhatsApps 	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ \end{array} $	 A. Mm-hmm. Q. But this morning you said because he had lost the confidence of everybody: the Chief Minister, the interim Governor THE CHAIRMAN: Can you just identify the precise question? Q. Well, yes. I am laying the foundation for the question, so THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. Q there is a question, okay? So, do you agree that you knew by 29 May that that was the reason why he had determined by at least then that he was going to retire, that he wanted to retire? Do you agree that the WhatsApps show that? A. Yes Q. You don't think so? A. Yes Q. Yes.
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ \end{array}$	 basically it is because you had lost as we have just discussed because you had lost it from your personal phone on transfer to the new phone? A. That's correct, sir. Q. Okay. So, that answer applies only to the WhatsApps themselves, correct? A. Correct, sir. Q. But do you agree that in the letter of 14 July 2022 the Inquiry had asked you not just for documents and WhatsApps, it had also asked you to disclose your knowledge and any information as to the reasons and circumstances leading to Mr McGrail ceasing to be Commissioner of Police? A. Correct. Q. Are you aware of that? A. Yes. Q. Not just documents and WhatsApps A. Mm-hmm. 	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\end{array} $	 A. Mm-hmm. Q. But this morning you said because he had lost the confidence of everybody: the Chief Minister, the interim Governor THE CHAIRMAN: Can you just identify the precise question? Q. Well, yes. I am laying the foundation for the question, so THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. Q there is a question, okay? So, do you agree that you knew by 29 May that that was the reason why he had determined by at least then that he was going to retire, that he wanted to retire? Do you agree that the WhatsApps show that? A. Yes Q. You don't think so? A. Yes. A I would say that the WhatsApps show
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ \end{array}$	 basically it is because you had lost as we have just discussed because you had lost it from your personal phone on transfer to the new phone? A. That's correct, sir. Q. Okay. So, that answer applies only to the WhatsApps themselves, correct? A. Correct, sir. Q. But do you agree that in the letter of 14 July 2022 the Inquiry had asked you not just for documents and WhatsApps, it had also asked you to disclose your knowledge and any information as to the reasons and circumstances leading to Mr McGrail ceasing to be Commissioner of Police? A. Correct. Q. Are you aware of that? A. Yes. Q. Not just documents and WhatsApps A. Mm-hmm. Q. Knowledge and information. Do you 	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\end{array} $	 A. Mm-hmm. Q. But this morning you said because he had lost the confidence of everybody: the Chief Minister, the interim Governor THE CHAIRMAN: Can you just identify the precise question? Q. Well, yes. I am laying the foundation for the question, so THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. Q there is a question, okay? So, do you agree that you knew by 29 May that that was the reason why he had determined by at least then that he was going to retire, that he wanted to retire? Do you agree that the WhatsApps show that? A. Yes Q. You don't think so? A I would say that the WhatsApps show that there is a journey that
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ \end{array}$	 basically it is because you had lost as we have just discussed because you had lost it from your personal phone on transfer to the new phone? A. That's correct, sir. Q. Okay. So, that answer applies only to the WhatsApps themselves, correct? A. Correct, sir. Q. But do you agree that in the letter of 14 July 2022 the Inquiry had asked you not just for documents and WhatsApps, it had also asked you to disclose your knowledge and any information as to the reasons and circumstances leading to Mr McGrail ceasing to be Commissioner of Police? A. Correct. Q. Are you aware of that? A. Yes. Q. Not just documents and WhatsApps A. Mm-hmm. Q. Knowledge and information. Do you accept that the WhatsApps between you and 	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\\23\end{array} $	 A. Mm-hmm. Q. But this morning you said because he had lost the confidence of everybody: the Chief Minister, the interim Governor THE CHAIRMAN: Can you just identify the precise question? Q. Well, yes. I am laying the foundation for the question, so THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. Q there is a question, okay? So, do you agree that you knew by 29 May that that was the reason why he had determined by at least then that he was going to retire, that he wanted to retire? Do you agree that the WhatsApps show that? A. Yes Q. You don't think so? A. Yes Q. Yes. A I would say that the WhatsApps show that there is a journey that Q. No, no, that is not what I am
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\\ \end{array}$	 basically it is because you had lost as we have just discussed because you had lost it from your personal phone on transfer to the new phone? A. That's correct, sir. Q. Okay. So, that answer applies only to the WhatsApps themselves, correct? A. Correct, sir. Q. But do you agree that in the letter of 14 July 2022 the Inquiry had asked you not just for documents and WhatsApps, it had also asked you to disclose your knowledge and any information as to the reasons and circumstances leading to Mr McGrail ceasing to be Commissioner of Police? A. Correct. Q. Are you aware of that? A. Yes. Q. Not just documents and WhatsApps A. Mm-hmm. Q. Knowledge and information. Do you accept that the WhatsApps between you and Mr McGrail show that you were heavily 	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\\23\\24\end{array} $	 A. Mm-hmm. Q. But this morning you said because he had lost the confidence of everybody: the Chief Minister, the interim Governor THE CHAIRMAN: Can you just identify the precise question? Q. Well, yes. I am laying the foundation for the question, so THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. Q there is a question, okay? So, do you agree that you knew by 29 May that that was the reason why he had determined by at least then that he was going to retire, that he wanted to retire? Do you agree that the WhatsApps show that? A. Yes Q. You don't think so? A. Yes Q. Yes. A I would say that the WhatsApps show that there is a journey that Q. No, no, that is not what I am THE CHAIRMAN: No, hang on, you have
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ \end{array}$	 basically it is because you had lost as we have just discussed because you had lost it from your personal phone on transfer to the new phone? A. That's correct, sir. Q. Okay. So, that answer applies only to the WhatsApps themselves, correct? A. Correct, sir. Q. But do you agree that in the letter of 14 July 2022 the Inquiry had asked you not just for documents and WhatsApps, it had also asked you to disclose your knowledge and any information as to the reasons and circumstances leading to Mr McGrail ceasing to be Commissioner of Police? A. Correct. Q. Are you aware of that? A. Yes. Q. Not just documents and WhatsApps A. Mm-hmm. Q. Knowledge and information. Do you accept that the WhatsApps between you and 	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\\23\end{array} $	 A. Mm-hmm. Q. But this morning you said because he had lost the confidence of everybody: the Chief Minister, the interim Governor THE CHAIRMAN: Can you just identify the precise question? Q. Well, yes. I am laying the foundation for the question, so THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. Q there is a question, okay? So, do you agree that you knew by 29 May that that was the reason why he had determined by at least then that he was going to retire, that he wanted to retire? Do you agree that the WhatsApps show that? A. Yes Q. You don't think so? A. Yes Q. Yes. A I would say that the WhatsApps show that there is a journey that Q. No, no, that is not what I am
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\\ \end{array}$	 basically it is because you had lost as we have just discussed because you had lost it from your personal phone on transfer to the new phone? A. That's correct, sir. Q. Okay. So, that answer applies only to the WhatsApps themselves, correct? A. Correct, sir. Q. But do you agree that in the letter of 14 July 2022 the Inquiry had asked you not just for documents and WhatsApps, it had also asked you to disclose your knowledge and any information as to the reasons and circumstances leading to Mr McGrail ceasing to be Commissioner of Police? A. Correct. Q. Are you aware of that? A. Yes. Q. Not just documents and WhatsApps A. Mm-hmm. Q. Knowledge and information. Do you accept that the WhatsApps between you and Mr McGrail show that you were heavily 	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\\23\\24\end{array} $	 A. Mm-hmm. Q. But this morning you said because he had lost the confidence of everybody: the Chief Minister, the interim Governor THE CHAIRMAN: Can you just identify the precise question? Q. Well, yes. I am laying the foundation for the question, so THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. Q there is a question, okay? So, do you agree that you knew by 29 May that that was the reason why he had determined by at least then that he was going to retire, that he wanted to retire? Do you agree that the WhatsApps show that? A. Yes Q. You don't think so? A. Yes Q. Yes. A I would say that the WhatsApps show that there is a journey that Q. No, no, that is not what I am THE CHAIRMAN: No, hang on, you have

Epiq Europe Ltd +44 (0) 207 404 1400 www.epiqglobal.com Lower Ground Floor, 46 Chancery Lane casemanagers@epiqglobal.com London, WC2A 1JE

58 (Pages 229 to 232)

1 2 3	THE CHAIRMAN: You may only have a short time to ask the question but he has got to
	snort time to ask the question but he has got to
1 1	· · ·
	have the time to
4	SIR PETER CARUANA: But his answer is
5	the journey, sir. He has given it.
	THE CHAIRMAN: He said he was looking at
	the potential, I think he said.
	A. That's correct, sir.
1	THE CHAIRMAN: Okay.
1	SIR PETER CARUANA: So, the potential.
	UNKNOWN SPEAKER: Hope.
	THE CHAIRMAN: Potential and hope, yes.
	SIR PETER CARUANA: Yes, exactly.
	THE CHAIRMAN: Potential and hope there
1	will be a resolution, or something like that.
	SIR PETER CARUANA: Yes. Can you look
	at E764, line 2497. Yes, at the top of the page,
1	thank you, Mr Triay. This is Mr McGrail to
	you on 30th, that is the day after your
	conversation with the Minister:
1	"All I want,"
1	that is all Mr McGrail wants,
1	"is a dignified exit and not a forced one."
	Is there any ambiguity around that comment,
23	do you think?
ļ	Page 235
1	A. No, not at all.
2	Q. No. Can we look at the very next line,
3	where you answer:
4	"Yes, I know, mate, fully conscious of it."
5	What were you fully conscious of? That what
6	you wanted was a dignified exit and not a
7	forced one, but an exit.
8	A. But, Sir Peter, again I go back to what I
9	said earlier: these are just mere messages
10	which are captured but there could have been
11	conversations and no doubt there were
12	conversations in t he morning, in the evening,
13	in the afternoon, by telephone, in his office,
14	my office, in the corridor, like we said, many,
15	many times. We are being very forensic over
16	just
17	Q. I am sorry
18	A a text message.
19	Q. But you have said today that you think that
20	the Whatsapps are unimportant because, to
21	quote you, "they show nothing new". Do you
22	think that this is the case that emerged at the
23	oral hearings?
24	A. Sir Peter, I stress again: Whatsapps play
25	very little role in policing and these are just
	Page 236
	$\begin{array}{c} 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\\ 25\\ \end{array}$

Epiq Europe Ltd +44 (0) 207 404 1400 59 (Pages 233 to 236)

1	messages in the mix of conversations that we	1	That is the issue that I am talking about here,
2	would have had in an office, hour after hour, in	2	nothing about section 15 reports.
3	corridors, so - and we're just picking at what	3	A. There was a cause that made the Chief
4	we have in front of us.	4	Minister, the Acting Governor and the
5	Q. But it is not, Mr McGrail, is it - I beg your	5	Attorney General to lose confidence in Mr
6	pardon, Mr I do beg your pardon - Mr	6	McGrail.
7	Ullger. It is not just banterous messages, it is	7	Q. So notwithstanding your failure to make
8	what you told the Minister for Justice when	8	any allusion to any of this material, to any of
9	you were seeking her assistance to secure the	9	the information that you
10	best possible pension terms for him, is it not?	10	A. I disagree.
11	A. It is one of the best - it is one of the	11	Q you must have known
12	possible outcomes.	12	A. I disagree with you, Sir Peter
13	Q. I see.	13	Q. I have not asked you a question yet.
14	A. I am not denying the fact that Mr McGrail	14	A. You are saying that I failed and I have not
15	didn't already know where this was going to	15	failed because I gave it in my evidence in the
16	end up, but like I said, there was always hope	16	first inquiry.
17	at the end of the day where we would be able	17	Q. Are you saying now that you think that the
18	to sit round a table and discuss things, like	18	evidence that you gave in the first hearings last
19	things had happened many, many times before	19	year disclosed all your knowledge about this
20	in the past.	20	kind of interaction here that we are talking
21	Q. The issue here is not what the outcome	21	about?
22	could have been, whether he was going to be	22	A. We said we had meetings, we had
23	allowed to retire or be sacked. Of course that	23	discussed that in the first inquiry, where Mr
24	outcome was not in his hands. The issue here	24	McGrail was briefing us. All of that has come
25	is what these messages show about Mr	25	out.
	Page 237		Page 239
	Tage 257		1 age 239
1	McGrail's state of mind and your knowledge	1	Q. But did it come out that you had gone to
1 2	McGrail's state of mind and your knowledge of it. So in any event, why did you not	1 2	Q. But did it come out that you had gone to the Minister to tell her that Mr McGrail had
2	of it. So in any event, why did you not	2	the Minister to tell her that Mr McGrail had
2 3	of it. So in any event, why did you not mention any of this, any of these issues -	2 3	the Minister to tell her that Mr McGrail had already decided he wanted to retire because he
2 3 4	of it. So in any event, why did you not mention any of this, any of these issues - because it is not for me or for you to decide	2 3 4	the Minister to tell her that Mr McGrail had already decided he wanted to retire because he had lost the confidence of all four relevant
2 3 4 5	of it. So in any event, why did you not mention any of this, any of these issues - because it is not for me or for you to decide the relevance, it is for the Chairman - why did	2 3 4 5	the Minister to tell her that Mr McGrail had already decided he wanted to retire because he had lost the confidence of all four relevant parties? You never said that. That is the key
2 3 4 5 6	of it. So in any event, why did you not mention any of this, any of these issues - because it is not for me or for you to decide the relevance, it is for the Chairman - why did you not mention any of these issues, even if	2 3 4 5 6	the Minister to tell her that Mr McGrail had already decided he wanted to retire because he had lost the confidence of all four relevant parties? You never said that. That is the key point I am talking to you about, Mr Ullger.
2 3 4 5 6 7	of it. So in any event, why did you not mention any of this, any of these issues - because it is not for me or for you to decide the relevance, it is for the Chairman - why did you not mention any of these issues, even if you did not have the messages themselves, in	2 3 4 5 6 7	the Minister to tell her that Mr McGrail had already decided he wanted to retire because he had lost the confidence of all four relevant parties? You never said that. That is the key point I am talking to you about, Mr Ullger. And that you were fully conscious that that is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	of it. So in any event, why did you not mention any of this, any of these issues - because it is not for me or for you to decide the relevance, it is for the Chairman - why did you not mention any of these issues, even if you did not have the messages themselves, in any of your witness statements to the Inquiry?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	the Minister to tell her that Mr McGrail had already decided he wanted to retire because he had lost the confidence of all four relevant parties? You never said that. That is the key point I am talking to you about, Mr Ullger. And that you were fully conscious that that is what he wanted to do. You sat there, whilst
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	of it. So in any event, why did you not mention any of this, any of these issues - because it is not for me or for you to decide the relevance, it is for the Chairman - why did you not mention any of these issues, even if you did not have the messages themselves, in any of your witness statements to the Inquiry? Why did you let the Inquiry end its hearings	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the Minister to tell her that Mr McGrail had already decided he wanted to retire because he had lost the confidence of all four relevant parties? You never said that. That is the key point I am talking to you about, Mr Ullger. And that you were fully conscious that that is what he wanted to do. You sat there, whilst you fielded a different case, and never cleared
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	of it. So in any event, why did you not mention any of this, any of these issues - because it is not for me or for you to decide the relevance, it is for the Chairman - why did you not mention any of these issues, even if you did not have the messages themselves, in any of your witness statements to the Inquiry? Why did you let the Inquiry end its hearings without giving them, as they had asked you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	the Minister to tell her that Mr McGrail had already decided he wanted to retire because he had lost the confidence of all four relevant parties? You never said that. That is the key point I am talking to you about, Mr Ullger. And that you were fully conscious that that is what he wanted to do. You sat there, whilst you fielded a different case, and never cleared it up for the inquiry. That is the point I am
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	of it. So in any event, why did you not mention any of this, any of these issues - because it is not for me or for you to decide the relevance, it is for the Chairman - why did you not mention any of these issues, even if you did not have the messages themselves, in any of your witness statements to the Inquiry? Why did you let the Inquiry end its hearings without giving them, as they had asked you for, your knowledge and information disclosed	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	the Minister to tell her that Mr McGrail had already decided he wanted to retire because he had lost the confidence of all four relevant parties? You never said that. That is the key point I am talking to you about, Mr Ullger. And that you were fully conscious that that is what he wanted to do. You sat there, whilst you fielded a different case, and never cleared it up for the inquiry. That is the point I am trying to put to you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	of it. So in any event, why did you not mention any of this, any of these issues - because it is not for me or for you to decide the relevance, it is for the Chairman - why did you not mention any of these issues, even if you did not have the messages themselves, in any of your witness statements to the Inquiry? Why did you let the Inquiry end its hearings without giving them, as they had asked you for, your knowledge and information disclosed in these Whatsapps?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	the Minister to tell her that Mr McGrail had already decided he wanted to retire because he had lost the confidence of all four relevant parties? You never said that. That is the key point I am talking to you about, Mr Ullger. And that you were fully conscious that that is what he wanted to do. You sat there, whilst you fielded a different case, and never cleared it up for the inquiry. That is the point I am trying to put to you. THE CHAIRMAN: Was that a question?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	of it. So in any event, why did you not mention any of this, any of these issues - because it is not for me or for you to decide the relevance, it is for the Chairman - why did you not mention any of these issues, even if you did not have the messages themselves, in any of your witness statements to the Inquiry? Why did you let the Inquiry end its hearings without giving them, as they had asked you for, your knowledge and information disclosed in these Whatsapps? A. I think I did, Sir Peter, in my evidence in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the Minister to tell her that Mr McGrail had already decided he wanted to retire because he had lost the confidence of all four relevant parties? You never said that. That is the key point I am talking to you about, Mr Ullger. And that you were fully conscious that that is what he wanted to do. You sat there, whilst you fielded a different case, and never cleared it up for the inquiry. That is the point I am trying to put to you. THE CHAIRMAN: Was that a question? SIR PETER CARUANA: I can very easily
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	of it. So in any event, why did you not mention any of this, any of these issues - because it is not for me or for you to decide the relevance, it is for the Chairman - why did you not mention any of these issues, even if you did not have the messages themselves, in any of your witness statements to the Inquiry? Why did you let the Inquiry end its hearings without giving them, as they had asked you for, your knowledge and information disclosed in these Whatsapps? A. I think I did, Sir Peter, in my evidence in the first inquiry. I gave evidence on the fact	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	the Minister to tell her that Mr McGrail had already decided he wanted to retire because he had lost the confidence of all four relevant parties? You never said that. That is the key point I am talking to you about, Mr Ullger. And that you were fully conscious that that is what he wanted to do. You sat there, whilst you fielded a different case, and never cleared it up for the inquiry. That is the point I am trying to put to you. THE CHAIRMAN: Was that a question? SIR PETER CARUANA: I can very easily convert it into one. Do you agree that that is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	of it. So in any event, why did you not mention any of this, any of these issues - because it is not for me or for you to decide the relevance, it is for the Chairman - why did you not mention any of these issues, even if you did not have the messages themselves, in any of your witness statements to the Inquiry? Why did you let the Inquiry end its hearings without giving them, as they had asked you for, your knowledge and information disclosed in these Whatsapps? A. I think I did, Sir Peter, in my evidence in the first inquiry. I gave evidence on the fact that Mr McGrail had received a section 15	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	the Minister to tell her that Mr McGrail had already decided he wanted to retire because he had lost the confidence of all four relevant parties? You never said that. That is the key point I am talking to you about, Mr Ullger. And that you were fully conscious that that is what he wanted to do. You sat there, whilst you fielded a different case, and never cleared it up for the inquiry. That is the point I am trying to put to you. THE CHAIRMAN: Was that a question? SIR PETER CARUANA: I can very easily convert it into one. Do you agree that that is what happened?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	of it. So in any event, why did you not mention any of this, any of these issues - because it is not for me or for you to decide the relevance, it is for the Chairman - why did you not mention any of these issues, even if you did not have the messages themselves, in any of your witness statements to the Inquiry? Why did you let the Inquiry end its hearings without giving them, as they had asked you for, your knowledge and information disclosed in these Whatsapps? A. I think I did, Sir Peter, in my evidence in the first inquiry. I gave evidence on the fact that Mr McGrail had received a section 15 letter, he had received a letter from the GPA	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ \end{array} $	the Minister to tell her that Mr McGrail had already decided he wanted to retire because he had lost the confidence of all four relevant parties? You never said that. That is the key point I am talking to you about, Mr Ullger. And that you were fully conscious that that is what he wanted to do. You sat there, whilst you fielded a different case, and never cleared it up for the inquiry. That is the point I am trying to put to you. THE CHAIRMAN: Was that a question? SIR PETER CARUANA: I can very easily convert it into one. Do you agree that that is what happened? A. I disagree with you entirely, Sir Peter.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	of it. So in any event, why did you not mention any of this, any of these issues - because it is not for me or for you to decide the relevance, it is for the Chairman - why did you not mention any of these issues, even if you did not have the messages themselves, in any of your witness statements to the Inquiry? Why did you let the Inquiry end its hearings without giving them, as they had asked you for, your knowledge and information disclosed in these Whatsapps? A. I think I did, Sir Peter, in my evidence in the first inquiry. I gave evidence on the fact that Mr McGrail had received a section 15 letter, he had received a letter from the GPA asking him to resign. I spoke about his mental state, how he was a fraction of the figure what he was before.	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\end{array} $	the Minister to tell her that Mr McGrail had already decided he wanted to retire because he had lost the confidence of all four relevant parties? You never said that. That is the key point I am talking to you about, Mr Ullger. And that you were fully conscious that that is what he wanted to do. You sat there, whilst you fielded a different case, and never cleared it up for the inquiry. That is the point I am trying to put to you. THE CHAIRMAN: Was that a question? SIR PETER CARUANA: I can very easily convert it into one. Do you agree that that is what happened? A. I disagree with you entirely, Sir Peter. Q. You disagree with me entirely
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	of it. So in any event, why did you not mention any of this, any of these issues - because it is not for me or for you to decide the relevance, it is for the Chairman - why did you not mention any of these issues, even if you did not have the messages themselves, in any of your witness statements to the Inquiry? Why did you let the Inquiry end its hearings without giving them, as they had asked you for, your knowledge and information disclosed in these Whatsapps? A. I think I did, Sir Peter, in my evidence in the first inquiry. I gave evidence on the fact that Mr McGrail had received a section 15 letter, he had received a letter from the GPA asking him to resign. I spoke about his mental state, how he was a fraction of the figure what	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the Minister to tell her that Mr McGrail had already decided he wanted to retire because he had lost the confidence of all four relevant parties? You never said that. That is the key point I am talking to you about, Mr Ullger. And that you were fully conscious that that is what he wanted to do. You sat there, whilst you fielded a different case, and never cleared it up for the inquiry. That is the point I am trying to put to you. THE CHAIRMAN: Was that a question? SIR PETER CARUANA: I can very easily convert it into one. Do you agree that that is what happened? A. I disagree with you entirely, Sir Peter. Q. You disagree with me entirely A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 of it. So in any event, why did you not mention any of this, any of these issues - because it is not for me or for you to decide the relevance, it is for the Chairman - why did you not mention any of these issues, even if you did not have the messages themselves, in any of your witness statements to the Inquiry? Why did you let the Inquiry end its hearings without giving them, as they had asked you for, your knowledge and information disclosed in these Whatsapps? A. I think I did, Sir Peter, in my evidence in the first inquiry. I gave evidence on the fact that Mr McGrail had received a section 15 letter, he had received a letter from the GPA asking him to resign. I spoke about his mental state, how he was a fraction of the figure what he was before. Q. I am talking about the narrative about the clarity with which what was at stake by 29th 	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\end{array} $	 the Minister to tell her that Mr McGrail had already decided he wanted to retire because he had lost the confidence of all four relevant parties? You never said that. That is the key point I am talking to you about, Mr Ullger. And that you were fully conscious that that is what he wanted to do. You sat there, whilst you fielded a different case, and never cleared it up for the inquiry. That is the point I am trying to put to you. THE CHAIRMAN: Was that a question? SIR PETER CARUANA: I can very easily convert it into one. Do you agree that that is what happened? A. I disagree with you entirely, Sir Peter. Q. You disagree with me entirely A. Yes. Q about everything that I have just said.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 of it. So in any event, why did you not mention any of this, any of these issues - because it is not for me or for you to decide the relevance, it is for the Chairman - why did you not mention any of these issues, even if you did not have the messages themselves, in any of your witness statements to the Inquiry? Why did you let the Inquiry end its hearings without giving them, as they had asked you for, your knowledge and information disclosed in these Whatsapps? A. I think I did, Sir Peter, in my evidence in the first inquiry. I gave evidence on the fact that Mr McGrail had received a section 15 letter, he had received a letter from the GPA asking him to resign. I spoke about his mental state, how he was a fraction of the figure what he was before. Q. I am talking about the narrative about the clarity with which what was at stake by 29th was not his desire to retire because he knew he 	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\end{array} $	 the Minister to tell her that Mr McGrail had already decided he wanted to retire because he had lost the confidence of all four relevant parties? You never said that. That is the key point I am talking to you about, Mr Ullger. And that you were fully conscious that that is what he wanted to do. You sat there, whilst you fielded a different case, and never cleared it up for the inquiry. That is the point I am trying to put to you. THE CHAIRMAN: Was that a question? SIR PETER CARUANA: I can very easily convert it into one. Do you agree that that is what happened? A. I disagree with you entirely, Sir Peter. Q. You disagree with me entirely A. Yes. Q about everything that I have just said. A. Yes. Q. Okay. All right. Okay, I finish. So can I just ask you this about: eventually, you said to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 of it. So in any event, why did you not mention any of this, any of these issues - because it is not for me or for you to decide the relevance, it is for the Chairman - why did you not mention any of these issues, even if you did not have the messages themselves, in any of your witness statements to the Inquiry? Why did you let the Inquiry end its hearings without giving them, as they had asked you for, your knowledge and information disclosed in these Whatsapps? A. I think I did, Sir Peter, in my evidence in the first inquiry. I gave evidence on the fact that Mr McGrail had received a section 15 letter, he had received a letter from the GPA asking him to resign. I spoke about his mental state, how he was a fraction of the figure what he was before. Q. I am talking about the narrative about the clarity with which what was at stake by 29th was not his desire to retire because he knew he had lost the confidence, which has been the 	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\end{array} $	 the Minister to tell her that Mr McGrail had already decided he wanted to retire because he had lost the confidence of all four relevant parties? You never said that. That is the key point I am talking to you about, Mr Ullger. And that you were fully conscious that that is what he wanted to do. You sat there, whilst you fielded a different case, and never cleared it up for the inquiry. That is the point I am trying to put to you. THE CHAIRMAN: Was that a question? SIR PETER CARUANA: I can very easily convert it into one. Do you agree that that is what happened? A. I disagree with you entirely, Sir Peter. Q. You disagree with me entirely A. Yes. Q about everything that I have just said. A. Yes. Q. Okay. All right. Okay, I finish. So can I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 of it. So in any event, why did you not mention any of this, any of these issues - because it is not for me or for you to decide the relevance, it is for the Chairman - why did you not mention any of these issues, even if you did not have the messages themselves, in any of your witness statements to the Inquiry? Why did you let the Inquiry end its hearings without giving them, as they had asked you for, your knowledge and information disclosed in these Whatsapps? A. I think I did, Sir Peter, in my evidence in the first inquiry. I gave evidence on the fact that Mr McGrail had received a section 15 letter, he had received a letter from the GPA asking him to resign. I spoke about his mental state, how he was a fraction of the figure what he was before. Q. I am talking about the narrative about the clarity with which what was at stake by 29th was not his desire to retire because he knew he had lost the confidence, which has been the government's case throughout, and not any 	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ \end{array}$	 the Minister to tell her that Mr McGrail had already decided he wanted to retire because he had lost the confidence of all four relevant parties? You never said that. That is the key point I am talking to you about, Mr Ullger. And that you were fully conscious that that is what he wanted to do. You sat there, whilst you fielded a different case, and never cleared it up for the inquiry. That is the point I am trying to put to you. THE CHAIRMAN: Was that a question? SIR PETER CARUANA: I can very easily convert it into one. Do you agree that that is what happened? A. I disagree with you entirely, Sir Peter. Q. You disagree with me entirely A. Yes. Q about everything that I have just said. A. Yes. Q. Okay. All right. Okay, I finish. So can I just ask you this about: eventually, you said to my learned friend this morning, that it suddenly occurred to you that Mr McVea had
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 of it. So in any event, why did you not mention any of this, any of these issues - because it is not for me or for you to decide the relevance, it is for the Chairman - why did you not mention any of these issues, even if you did not have the messages themselves, in any of your witness statements to the Inquiry? Why did you let the Inquiry end its hearings without giving them, as they had asked you for, your knowledge and information disclosed in these Whatsapps? A. I think I did, Sir Peter, in my evidence in the first inquiry. I gave evidence on the fact that Mr McGrail had received a section 15 letter, he had received a letter from the GPA asking him to resign. I spoke about his mental state, how he was a fraction of the figure what he was before. Q. I am talking about the narrative about the clarity with which what was at stake by 29th was not his desire to retire because he knew he had lost the confidence, which has been the 	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ \end{array}$	 the Minister to tell her that Mr McGrail had already decided he wanted to retire because he had lost the confidence of all four relevant parties? You never said that. That is the key point I am talking to you about, Mr Ullger. And that you were fully conscious that that is what he wanted to do. You sat there, whilst you fielded a different case, and never cleared it up for the inquiry. That is the point I am trying to put to you. THE CHAIRMAN: Was that a question? SIR PETER CARUANA: I can very easily convert it into one. Do you agree that that is what happened? A. I disagree with you entirely, Sir Peter. Q. You disagree with me entirely A. Yes. Q about everything that I have just said. A. Yes. Q. Okay. All right. Okay, I finish. So can I just ask you this about: eventually, you said to my learned friend this morning, that it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 of it. So in any event, why did you not mention any of this, any of these issues - because it is not for me or for you to decide the relevance, it is for the Chairman - why did you not mention any of these issues, even if you did not have the messages themselves, in any of your witness statements to the Inquiry? Why did you let the Inquiry end its hearings without giving them, as they had asked you for, your knowledge and information disclosed in these Whatsapps? A. I think I did, Sir Peter, in my evidence in the first inquiry. I gave evidence on the fact that Mr McGrail had received a section 15 letter, he had received a letter from the GPA asking him to resign. I spoke about his mental state, how he was a fraction of the figure what he was before. Q. I am talking about the narrative about the clarity with which what was at stake by 29th was not his desire to retire because he knew he had lost the confidence, which has been the government's case throughout, and not any 	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\\ \end{array}$	 the Minister to tell her that Mr McGrail had already decided he wanted to retire because he had lost the confidence of all four relevant parties? You never said that. That is the key point I am talking to you about, Mr Ullger. And that you were fully conscious that that is what he wanted to do. You sat there, whilst you fielded a different case, and never cleared it up for the inquiry. That is the point I am trying to put to you. THE CHAIRMAN: Was that a question? SIR PETER CARUANA: I can very easily convert it into one. Do you agree that that is what happened? A. I disagree with you entirely, Sir Peter. Q. You disagree with me entirely A. Yes. Q about everything that I have just said. A. Yes. Q. Okay. All right. Okay, I finish. So can I just ask you this about: eventually, you said to my learned friend this morning, that it suddenly occurred to you that Mr McVea had

60 (Pages 237 to 240)

1these chats in time before the inquiry hearings1comes under the Commissioner of Police but2ended, after the inquiries had ended and2is very wide context to provide.3prompted by the June email from the Inquiry,42When you provided the Inquiry with the4you suddenly said: "Ah, Mr McVea has an4information in relation to Operation Kram, you5image, I will get it from him."5provided it with a whole stack of Whatsapps6A. No.6and emails provided from Mr Levy, from Mr7Q. Is that how it happened?7Perez, from all the Delhi defendants to each8A. No, that's not the case.8other, to Mr Sanchez, etc., etc. Did you have9Q. You said you had suddenly had a thought.9an image. I didn't know what Mr McVea11phone, and then I asked Mr McVea whether he11McGrail's consent in his case but not in their12had at the time. I asked him if he did have an13A. Because Mr McGrail's image was taken if14image.15image.1615image.17hearings?1716Q. Why did you not do that before the inquiry16Q. Their investigation was also a criminal19going through a crisis. We were dealing with191920so many things that unfortunately when you're202021dealing with crisis, particularly resilience,2122where you lose 24 police officers almost in2223<	
3prompted by the June email from the Inquiry, you suddenly said: "Ah, Mr McVea has an image, I will get it from him."3Q. When you provided the Inquiry with the information in relation to Operation Kram, you provided it with a whole stack of Whatsapps and emails provided from Mr Levy, from Mr7Q. Is that how it happened?6and emails provided from Mr Levy, from Mr7Q. Is that how it happened?7Perez, from all the Delhi defendants to each other, to Mr Sanchez, etc, etc. Did you have any reluctance to do that without seeking their10A. So we were asked, again I checked my phone, and then I asked Mr McVea whether he had an image. I didn't know what Mr McVea image.913had at the time. I asked him if he did have an image.13A. Because Mr McGrail's image was taken if a result of an investigation where it was sough of by a search warrant.16Q. Why did you not do that before the inquiry hearings?16Q. Their investigation was also a criminal investigation, the police. The information was seized, it was in the possession of the RGP in the context of a criminal investigation, and you did not hesitate in disclosing it to the Inquiry- clailing with crisis, particularly resilience, clailing with crisis, particularly resilience, clailing with crisis, particularly resilience, clailing with ery sou is 24 police officers almost in one go, where you have very little front line clailing with it was obtained. Is that right?	
3prompted by the June email from the Inquiry, you suddenly said: "Ah, Mr McVea has an image, I will get it from him."3Q. When you provided the Inquiry with the information in relation to Operation Kram, you provided it with a whole stack of Whatsapps and emails provided from Mr Levy, from Mr7Q. Is that how it happened?6and emails provided from Mr Levy, from Mr7Q. Is that how it happened?7Perez, from all the Delhi defendants to each other, to Mr Sanchez, etc, etc. Did you have any reluctance to do that without seeking their10A. So we were asked, again I checked my phone, and then I asked Mr McVea whether he had an image. I didn't know what Mr McVea image.913had at the time. I asked him if he did have an image.13A. Because Mr McGrail's image was taken if a result of an investigation where it was sough of by a search warrant.16Q. Why did you not do that before the inquiry hearings?16Q. Their investigation was also a criminal investigation, the police. The information was seized, it was in the possession of the RGP in the context of a criminal investigation, and you did not hesitate in disclosing it to the Inquiry- clading with crisis, particularly resilience, clading with crisis of2	
4you suddenly said: "Ah, Mr McVea has an image, I will get it from him."4information in relation to Operation Kram, you provided it with a whole stack of Whatsapps and emails provided from Mr Levy, from Mr6A. No.6and emails provided from Mr Levy, from Mr7Q. Is that how it happened?7Perez, from all the Delhi defendants to each other, to Mr Sanchez, etc., etc. Did you have any reluctance to do that without seeking their consent first? What was the need to seek Mr10A. So we were asked, again I checked my phone, and then I asked Mr McVea whether he had an image. I didn't know what Mr McVea image and whether I would be able to use that image and whether I would be able to use that image.13A. Because Mr McGrail's image was taken i a result of an investigation was also a criminal investigation, the police. The information was seized, it was in the possession of the RGP in the context of a criminal investigation, and yoi did not hesitate in disclosing it to the Inquiry 21621what degree of confidentiality and privilege it wants to accord it in the circumstances in which it was obtained. Is that right?	
5image, I will get it from him."5provided it with a whole stack of Whatsapps and emails provided from Mr Levy, from Mr6A. No.6and emails provided from Mr Levy, from Mr7Q. Is that how it happened?7Perez, from all the Delhi defendants to each other, to Mr Sanchez, etc, etc. Did you have9Q. You said you had suddenly had a thought.910A. So we were asked, again I checked my phone, and then I asked Mr McVea whether he had an image. I didn't know what Mr McVea image.912had an image. I didn't know what Mr McVea image.1014image and whether I would be able to use that image.1315image.1316Q. Why did you not do that before the inquiry hearings?1617hearings?1718A. Sir Peter, the Royal Gibraltar Police was so many things that unfortunately when you're 211821dealing with crisis, particularly resilience, 222122where you lose 24 police officers almost in 242224policing, where you are failing victims of24	/ou
6A. No.6and emails provided from Mr Levy, from Mr7Q. Is that how it happened?7Perez, from all the Delhi defendants to each8A. No, that's not the case.8other, to Mr Sanchez, etc, etc. Did you have9Q. You said you had suddenly had a thought.9any reluctance to do that without seeking their10A. So we were asked, again I checked my10consent first? What was the need to seek Mr11phone, and then I asked Mr McVea whether he11McGrail's consent in his case but not in their12had an image. I didn't know what Mr McVea12case?13had at the time. I asked him if he did have an13A. Because Mr McGrail's image was taken14image and whether I would be able to use that14a result of an investigation where it was soug15image.15of by a search warrant.16Q. Why did you not do that before the inquiry16Q. Their investigation was also a criminal17hearings?17investigation, the police. The information was18A. Sir Peter, the Royal Gibraltar Police was18seized, it was in the possession of the RGP in19going through a crisis. We were dealing with19the context of a criminal investigation, and you20so many things that unfortunately when you're20did not hesitate in disclosing it to the Inquiry21dealing with crisis, particularly resilience,21rightly so, I should say. It is up to the Inquiry22where you lose 24 police office	
7Q. Is that how it happened?7Perez, from all the Delhi defendants to each8A. No, that's not the case.9O. You said you had suddenly had a thought.9any reluctance to do that without seeking their10A. So we were asked, again I checked my10consent first? What was the need to seek Mr11phone, and then I asked Mr McVea whether he10consent first? What was the need to seek Mr12had an image. I didn't know what Mr McVea12case?13had at the time. I asked him if he did have an13A. Because Mr McGrail's image was taken if14image and whether I would be able to use that14a result of an investigation where it was soug15image.15of by a search warrant.16Q. Why did you not do that before the inquiry16Q. Their investigation was also a criminal17hearings?17investigation, the police. The information was18A. Sir Peter, the Royal Gibraltar Police was18seized, it was in the possession of the RGP in19going through a crisis. We were dealing with19the context of a criminal investigation, and you20so many things that unfortunately when you're21rightly so, I should say. It is up to the Inquiry21dealing with crisis, particularly resilience,21rightly so, I should say. It is up to the Inquiry22where you lose 24 police officers almost in23wants to accord it in the circumstances in24policing, where you are failing victims of24	
8A. No, that's not the case.8other, to Mr Sanchez, etc., etc. Did you have9Q. You said you had suddenly had a thought.9any reluctance to do that without seeking their10A. So we were asked, again I checked my10consent first? What was the need to seek Mr11phone, and then I asked Mr McVea whether he11McGrail's consent in his case but not in their12had an image. I didn't know what Mr McVea12case?13had at the time. I asked him if he did have an13A. Because Mr McGrail's image was taken i14image and whether I would be able to use that14a result of an investigation where it was soug15image.15of by a search warrant.16Q. Why did you not do that before the inquiry16Q. Their investigation was also a criminal17hearings?17investigation, the police. The information was18A. Sir Peter, the Royal Gibraltar Police was18seized, it was in the possession of the RGP in19going through a crisis. We were dealing with19the context of a criminal investigation, and yoo20so many things that unfortunately when you're20did not hesitate in disclosing it to the Inquiry21dealing with crisis, particularly resilience,21rightly so, I should say. It is up to the Inquiry22where you lose 24 police officers almost in22what degree of confidentiality and privilege it23one go, where you are failing victims of24which it was obtained. Is that right? <td></td>	
9Q. You said you had suddenly had a thought.9any reluctance to do that without seeking their10A. So we were asked, again I checked my10consent first? What was the need to seek Mr11phone, and then I asked Mr McVea whether he11McGrail's consent in his case but not in their12had an image. I didn't know what Mr McVea12case?13had at the time. I asked him if he did have an13A. Because Mr McGrail's image was taken if14image and whether I would be able to use that14a result of an investigation where it was soug15image.15of by a search warrant.16Q. Why did you not do that before the inquiry16Q. Their investigation was also a criminal17hearings?17investigation, the police. The information was18A. Sir Peter, the Royal Gibraltar Police was18seized, it was in the possession of the RGP in19going through a crisis. We were dealing with19the context of a criminal investigation, and you20so many things that unfortunately when you're20did not hesitate in disclosing it to the Inquiry -21dealing with crisis, particularly resilience,21rightly so, I should say. It is up to the Inquiry22where you lose 24 police officers almost in23wants to accord it in the circumstances in24policing, where you are failing victims of24which it was obtained. Is that right?	
10A. So we were asked, again I checked my10consent first? What was the need to seek Mr11phone, and then I asked Mr McVea whether he11McGrail's consent in his case but not in their12had an image. I didn't know what Mr McVea12case?13had at the time. I asked him if he did have an13A. Because Mr McGrail's image was taken if14image and whether I would be able to use that14a result of an investigation where it was soug15image.15of by a search warrant.16Q. Why did you not do that before the inquiry16Q. Their investigation was also a criminal17hearings?17investigation, the police. The information was18A. Sir Peter, the Royal Gibraltar Police was18seized, it was in the possession of the RGP in19going through a crisis. We were dealing with19the context of a criminal investigation, and you20so many things that unfortunately when you're20did not hesitate in disclosing it to the Inquiry -21dealing with crisis, particularly resilience,21rightly so, I should say. It is up to the Inquiry -22where you lose 24 police officers almost in22what degree of confidentiality and privilege it23one go, where you are failing victims of24which it was obtained. Is that right?	
11phone, and then I asked Mr McVea whether he11McGrail's consent in his case but not in their12had an image. I didn't know what Mr McVea12case?13had at the time. I asked him if he did have an13A. Because Mr McGrail's image was taken a14image and whether I would be able to use that14a result of an investigation where it was soug15image.15of by a search warrant.16Q. Why did you not do that before the inquiry16Q. Their investigation was also a criminal17hearings?17investigation, the police. The information was18A. Sir Peter, the Royal Gibraltar Police was18seized, it was in the possession of the RGP in19going through a crisis. We were dealing with19the context of a criminal investigation, and you20so many things that unfortunately when you're20did not hesitate in disclosing it to the Inquiry21dealing with crisis, particularly resilience,21rightly so, I should say. It is up to the Inquiry22where you lose 24 police officers almost in22wants to accord it in the circumstances in24policing, where you are failing victims of24which it was obtained. Is that right?	
12had an image. I didn't know what Mr McVea12case?13had at the time. I asked him if he did have an13A. Because Mr McGrail's image was taken a14image and whether I would be able to use that14a result of an investigation where it was soug15image.15of by a search warrant.16Q. Why did you not do that before the inquiry16Q. Their investigation was also a criminal17hearings?17investigation, the police. The information was18A. Sir Peter, the Royal Gibraltar Police was18seized, it was in the possession of the RGP in19going through a crisis. We were dealing with19the context of a criminal investigation, and you20so many things that unfortunately when you're20did not hesitate in disclosing it to the Inquiry21dealing with crisis, particularly resilience,21rightly so, I should say. It is up to the Inquiry22where you lose 24 police officers almost in22what degree of confidentiality and privilege it23one go, where you are failing victims of24which it was obtained. Is that right?	
13had at the time. I asked him if he did have an13A. Because Mr McGrail's image was taken a14image and whether I would be able to use that14a result of an investigation where it was soug15image.15of by a search warrant.16Q. Why did you not do that before the inquiry16Q. Their investigation was also a criminal17hearings?17investigation, the police. The information was18A. Sir Peter, the Royal Gibraltar Police was18seized, it was in the possession of the RGP in19going through a crisis. We were dealing with19the context of a criminal investigation, and you20so many things that unfortunately when you're20did not hesitate in disclosing it to the Inquiry21dealing with crisis, particularly resilience,21rightly so, I should say. It is up to the Inquiry22where you lose 24 police officers almost in22what degree of confidentiality and privilege it23one go, where you are failing victims of24which it was obtained. Is that right?	
14image and whether I would be able to use that14a result of an investigation where it was sough15image.15of by a search warrant.16Q. Why did you not do that before the inquiry16Q. Their investigation was also a criminal17hearings?17investigation, the police. The information was18A. Sir Peter, the Royal Gibraltar Police was18seized, it was in the possession of the RGP in19going through a crisis. We were dealing with19the context of a criminal investigation, and you20so many things that unfortunately when you're20did not hesitate in disclosing it to the Inquiry -21dealing with crisis, particularly resilience,21rightly so, I should say. It is up to the Inquiry22where you lose 24 police officers almost in22what degree of confidentiality and privilege it23one go, where you have very little front line23wants to accord it in the circumstances in24policing, where you are failing victims of24which it was obtained. Is that right?	n ac
15image.15of by a search warrant.16Q. Why did you not do that before the inquiry16Q. Their investigation was also a criminal17hearings?17investigation, the police. The information was18A. Sir Peter, the Royal Gibraltar Police was18seized, it was in the possession of the RGP in19going through a crisis. We were dealing with19the context of a criminal investigation, and you20so many things that unfortunately when you're20did not hesitate in disclosing it to the Inquiry -21dealing with crisis, particularly resilience,21rightly so, I should say. It is up to the Inquiry22where you lose 24 police officers almost in22what degree of confidentiality and privilege it23one go, where you have very little front line23wants to accord it in the circumstances in24policing, where you are failing victims of24which it was obtained. Is that right?	
16Q. Why did you not do that before the inquiry hearings?16Q. Their investigation was also a criminal investigation, the police. The information was seized, it was in the possession of the RGP in the context of a criminal investigation, and you zo18A. Sir Peter, the Royal Gibraltar Police was going through a crisis. We were dealing with 20181819going through a crisis. We were dealing with 2019the context of a criminal investigation, and you 2020so many things that unfortunately when you're 2120did not hesitate in disclosing it to the Inquiry - 2121dealing with crisis, particularly resilience, 2221rightly so, I should say. It is up to the Inquiry 2223one go, where you have very little front line 2423wants to accord it in the circumstances in which it was obtained. Is that right?	ugnt
17hearings?17investigation, the police. The information was18A. Sir Peter, the Royal Gibraltar Police was18seized, it was in the possession of the RGP in19going through a crisis. We were dealing with19the context of a criminal investigation, and you20so many things that unfortunately when you're20did not hesitate in disclosing it to the Inquiry21dealing with crisis, particularly resilience,21rightly so, I should say. It is up to the Inquiry22where you lose 24 police officers almost in22what degree of confidentiality and privilege it23one go, where you have very little front line23wants to accord it in the circumstances in24policing, where you are failing victims of24which it was obtained. Is that right?	
18A. Sir Peter, the Royal Gibraltar Police was going through a crisis. We were dealing with 2018seized, it was in the possession of the RGP in the context of a criminal investigation, and you 2020so many things that unfortunately when you're 2120did not hesitate in disclosing it to the Inquiry - rightly so, I should say. It is up to the Inquiry 2221dealing with crisis, particularly resilience, 2221rightly so, I should say. It is up to the Inquiry where you lose 24 police officers almost in 232223one go, where you have very little front line 2423wants to accord it in the circumstances in which it was obtained. Is that right?	100
19going through a crisis. We were dealing with so many things that unfortunately when you're 2119the context of a criminal investigation, and you did not hesitate in disclosing it to the Inquiry - 2121dealing with crisis, particularly resilience, where you lose 24 police officers almost in 2321rightly so, I should say. It is up to the Inquiry what degree of confidentiality and privilege it wants to accord it in the circumstances in which it was obtained. Is that right?	
20so many things that unfortunately when you're dealing with crisis, particularly resilience, 2220did not hesitate in disclosing it to the Inquiry - rightly so, I should say. It is up to the Inquiry 2221dealing with crisis, particularly resilience, vhere you lose 24 police officers almost in 2321rightly so, I should say. It is up to the Inquiry what degree of confidentiality and privilege it wants to accord it in the circumstances in which it was obtained. Is that right?	
21dealing with crisis, particularly resilience, where you lose 24 police officers almost in 2321rightly so, I should say. It is up to the Inquiry what degree of confidentiality and privilege it 2323one go, where you have very little front line policing, where you are failing victims of21rightly so, I should say. It is up to the Inquiry what degree of confidentiality and privilege it wants to accord it in the circumstances in which it was obtained. Is that right?	
22where you lose 24 police officers almost in one go, where you have very little front line 2422what degree of confidentiality and privilege it 2324policing, where you are failing victims of24what degree of confidentiality and privilege it 2324policing, where you are failing victims of24	
23one go, where you have very little front line policing, where you are failing victims of23wants to accord it in the circumstances in which it was obtained. Is that right?	-
24 policing, where you are failing victims of 24 which it was obtained. Is that right?	ıt
25 crimes, you are dealing with other matters 25 A. Correct.	
Page 241 Page 243	
1 which are very important or equally as 1 Q. But you made that judgment for the	
2 important as the public inquiry. It was a 2 Inquiry by not even disclosing that it existed	ed
3 lightbulb moment that I had at the time and I 3 before the Inquiry hearing. Is that correct?	?
4 contacted Mr McVea and I asked him whether 4 A. Correct.	
5 he had an image of Mr McGrail's phone 5 Q. I only have one minute left, sir, and I am	ım
6 Q. But you agree with me 6 grateful for your indulgence. Now, Mr	
7 A that's what actually happened. 7 McGrail (sic), I do not want to make a huge	ge
8 Q that you could have done it before. 8 fuss about this - I do beg your pardon, Mr	
9 A. I could have done it, do so much more, of 9 Ullger, it is absolutely an error, I hope you	1
10 course we could. 10 accept that that is all it is. In any case there	re is
11 Q. Because Mr McVea was conducting the 11 no shame in calling you Mr McGrail. This	S
12 investigation as an RGP officer sworn by you 12 business of your very close friendship with	h Mr
13 into your Force, correct? 13 McGrail, which neither I nor the governmen	
14 A. Reporting to myself and, for transparency, 14 parties criticise at all, but you did say this	
15 to His Excellency the Governor. 15 afternoon that "the government parties are	
16 Q. So when you say that you had not 16 wrong in asserting that I was too close to M	
17 disclosed it before because it was never in 17 McGrail," and that is what these emails that	
18 your possession and control, that is not strictly 18 my learned friend Mr Santos put to you -	
19 true, is it, because if it is in the possession and 19 Whatsapps, sorry - showed, that you had all	ıll
20 control of the RGP, it is in your possession and 20 these, not supported by Mr McGrail, things	
21 control. 21 that you had done in relation to social event	
21 <td></td>	
23 Q. I see. It is personal. 23 denying that you are - that you were, sorry,	7. I
25Q: Fisc: It is personal.25adding dat you die that you were, borry,24A. Of course it is, Sir Peter, it's personal to24am very sorry to hear it may no longer be th	. /
24A. Of course it is, shift etcl, it's personal to21and very solid to had to h	
	the
Page 242 Page 244	the

61 (Pages 241 to 244)

1 9. You gave him unconditional support. 2 you were close. very close to Mr McGrail? 3 A. Wrong to suggest when? 4 Q. Then. Not now. Then. At this time, at the time at the critical period. MayJune. 6 A. Very close. 7 Q. Very close. 8 A very close friends. 9 Q. So when you said that the parties were 9 Worong in the assumption of making that 11 allegation, why did you say that? 12 A. It was poot. I think if's quite clear. 13 Q. But we have only ever been concerned 14 with facts that happened at the time that you 15 McGrail has been very close to me whitst 16 A. That's not the case, Sir Peter. I thisk we all 17 Q. So you have very candidly admitted this 18 matters have been investigated by the RGP, 19 questions. 20 So you have very candidly admitted this 21 A. Correct. 22 Wer Picardo was criticised is right or it is wrong. 23 McGrail left the RGP and he never told me 34 A. Torethoren hotivoid whork here he				
3 A. Wrong to suggest when? 3 Q. So what is wrong with Mr Picardo having 4 Q. Then. Not now. Then. At this time, at the file done the same for Mr Levy, his close personal 6 A. Very close. 6 THE CHARMAN: (Inaudible). 7 Q. Yoy close. 6 7 8 A very close friends. 7 9 9 Q. So when you said that the parties were 9 9 10 worng in the assumption of making that 10 SIR PETER CARUANA: Is it so different? 11 allegation, why did you say that? 11 Why is it so different? 11 allegation, why did you say that? 11 Not was post. Think if's quife clear. 13 Q. But we have only ever been concerned 13 SIR PETER CARUANA: Sither the giving of 14 with facts that happened at the time that you 14 answer, okay. 16 A. That's out the case, Sir Peter. I think we all 16 SIR PETER CARUANA: Sither the giving of 17 addemon thay void on know whether Mr and me 14 answer, okay. 21 A. That's out the case, Sir Peter - 23 A. That's and the' file addid t	1	government parties were wrong to suggest that	1	Q. You gave him unconditional support.
3 A. Wrong to suggest when? 3 Q. So what is wrong with Mr Picardo having 4 Q. Then. Not now. Then. At this time, at the file done the same for Mr Levy, his close personal 6 A. Very close. 6 THE CHARMAN: (Inaudible). 7 Q. Yoy close. 6 7 8 A very close friends. 7 9 9 Q. So when you said that the parties were 9 10 woring in the assumption of making that 10 11 allegation, why did you say that? 11 12 A. It was post. Think if's quite clear. 13 13 Q. But we have only ever been concerned 13 14 with facts that happened at the time that you 14 18 worth three has been allusions that Wr 16 19 matters have been investigated by the RGP, and 21 Q. So you have very endifferent clain stripe to 'i is wrong. 22 aftermon thay oud on ot know whether he 14 23 meeting of 12 May. All you know is what he 23 24 A. Correct. 24 A. I with Grail - I with' MGrail - I wather was a streffing a str	2	you were close, very close to Mr McGrail?	2	
4 Q. Then. Not now. Then. At this time, at the 5 time - at the critical period, MayJune. 6 A. Very close - 7 Q. Very close. 8 A very close friends. 9 Q. So when you said that the parties were 10 wrong in the assumption of making that 11 allegation, why didy ous asy that? 12 A. It was post. I think it's quite clear. 13 Q. But we have only ever been concerned 14 with facts that happened at the time that you 15 were close. 16 A. That's north case, Sir Peter. I think we all 17 McGrail has been allusions that Mr 18 McGrail has been very close to me whilst 19 matters have been investigated by the RGP, 20 So you have very candidly admitted this 21 meeting o12 May. All you know is what he 25 was telling you. 12 A. Correct. 20 So you do not know whether he lied or did 32 McGrail had lied. 34 A. Correct. 20 So you do not know whether he lied or did	3			
 sime - at the critical period, MayJune. A. Very close. Q. Yery close. A. Twey close friends. Q. So whan you said that the parties were wrong in the assumption of making that allegation, why did you say that? A. It was post. I think it's quite Clear. Q. But we have only very ben concerned work facts that happened at the time that you were close. A. Thar's not the case, Sir Peter. I think we all SIR PETER CARUANA: Estimational support of a friend without metters have been investigated by the RGP, and Q. So you have very considered by the RGP, and Q. So you have very candidly admitted this metters have been investigated by the RGP, and Q. So you have very candidly admitted this was telling you. Page 245 A. Correct. Q. Well, why should he? A. No, a twould expect, as I might tho myself, unconditional support, despite and reverse. A. That's a matter for the Chairmant to A. None whatsoever. 	4		4	
6 A. Very close. 7 Q. Very close. 8 A				• •
7 A. Very loss: 7 A. Very, very different circumstances. 8 A very close friends. 7 A. Very, very different circumstances. 9 wrong in the assumption of making that 1 1 11 allegation, why did you say that? 1 1 12 A. It was post. I think if's quite clear. 2 N. It hink the Chairman – 13 Q. But we have only ever been concerned 13 SIR PETER CARUANA: Faither the giving of 14 kas that hoppened at the time that you 15 A has told me not to answer. 15 A. That's not the case, Sir Peter . I think we all 16 SIR PETER CARUANA: Faither the giving of 15 matters have been alusions that Mr 16 SIR PETER CARUANA: Eather the giving of 16 and 10 unconditional support to a fined without 18 evidence, for which you counsel Mr Levy- 19 matters have been alusions that Mr 18 matters have been alusions that Mr 19 matters have been alusions in that Mr 10 and 20. So you have very candidy admitted this 21 antemetion of 12 May. All you know is what he<		* ·	1	
8A very disc friends.8THE CHAIRMAN: Do not answer that9Q. So when you said that the parties werequestion.11allegation, why did you say that?SIR PETER CARUANA: Is it so different?12A. It was post. I think it's quite clear.SIR PETER CARUANA: You do not want to14with facts that happened at the time that youSIR PETER CARUANA: You do not want to15McGrail has been allusions that MrSIR PETER CARUANA: You do not want to16A. That's not the case, Sir Peter. I think we allSIR PETER CARUANA: Sir Peter were17know that there has been allusions that MrSIR PETER CARUANA: Sir Peter.18McGrail has been very close to me whilstevidence, for which your counsel Mr Levy-19matters have been investigated by the RGP,Mr Picardo - I beg your pardow vas criticaid is right or it is wrong.21Q. So you have very candidly admitted thisevidence, for which your counsel Mr Levy-21McGrail lad to the Chief Minister in theevidence is right or it is wrong.22McGrail lad to the Chief Minister in the23was telling you.2024A. Currect.2024A. I met the Chief Minister two weeks after25was by you did not know whether he lied or didanot lie.36A. No, why should he?136A. No, why should he?37Q. Welf, why should he?36A. No, Washould he?37Q. Su that McGrail -36Q. Welf, why should he?37<		-		
9 Q. So when you said that the parties were wrong in the assumption of making that allegion, why did you say that? 9 question. 10 wrong in the assumption of making that allegion, why did you say that?? 10 SIR PETER CARUANA: Is it so different? 12 A. It was post. I think it's quite clear. 10 SIR PETER CARUANA: Six the different? 13 Q. But we have only cery been concerned 13 SIR PETER CARUANA: Six the fue giving of 1 was were, casy. 14 A. That's not the case. Sir Peter. I think we all meeting of 12 know that there has been allusions that Mr 16 SIR PETER CARUANA: Eikter the giving of 1 was onliched to the Chief Minister in the meeting of 12 May. All you know is what he 23 3 A. In ary out do not know whether Mr 20 A. Correct. Q. All right. L withdraw the question. 24 A wery different circumstances. 21 A. Correct. Q. All right. L withdraw the question. 24 A wery different circumstances. 23 A. No, twas should be; 1 absolutely final question, sir, topic, two short questions. Do you agree that the Whatsapp chait we was as asse of intense hostility and combat meeting. 1 absolutely final question, sir, topic, two short questions. So you agree that the Whatsapp chait we was the question. 23 A. In were the hearing has ended, show that you dat he or the asse of			1	
10 wrong in the assumption of making that 10 SIR PETER CARUANA: Is it so different? 11 allegation, why did you say that? 11 Why is it so different? 12 A. It was post. Think it's quite clear. 12 A. I think the Chiefman - 13 Q. But we have only over been concerned 13 SIR PETER CARUANA: You do not want to 14 with facts that happened at the time that you 14 answer, okay. 16 A. That's not the case, Sir Peter. I think we all 16 SIR PETER CARUANA: You do not want to 17 with facts that happened at the time that you 16 SIR PETER CARUANA: The transman - 18 McGrail has been very close to me whilst 16 SIR PETER CARUANA: The transman - 19 matters have been investigated by the RGP, 17 unconditional support to a friend without 20 So you have very candidly admitted this aftermoon that you do not know whether MR GP, 18 19 Mc Faral Leav, Sir Peter - 21 Q. So you do not know whether he lied or did 10 11 absolutely final question, sir, topic, two short 22 Q. So you do not know whether he lied or did 10 11 absolutely final question, sir, topic, t		-		
11 allegation, why did you say that? 11 Why is it so different? 12 A. It was post. I think it's quite clear. 12 A. It was post. I think it's quite clear. 12 Q. But we have only ever been concerned 12 A. I think the Chairman - 13 Were close. 13 SIR PFITE CARUANA: Either the giving of 14 with facts that happened at the time that you 14 answer, okay. 15 matters have been investigated by the RGP, 31 A. I have one the same, have you not? 21 and Q. So you have very candidly admitted this 17 23 aftermoon that you do not know whether Mr 18 evidence, for which your counses Mr Levy - 23 aftermoon that you do not know whether Mr 20 So you have very candidly admitted this 24 metters of 12 May. All you know is what he 24 A wery different circumstances. 24 McGrail hat McGrail had lied. 24 A. Correct. 20. So you do not know whether he lied or did 3 not lie. A. I met the Chief Minister two weeks after 1 absolutely final question, sir, topic, two short 24 McGraiil hat lied. ageres of intense hostilit		•	1	*
12 A. Ît was post. I think it's quite clear. 12 A. Ît think the Chairman – 13 Q. But we have only ever been concerned 13 SIR PETER CARUANA: You do not want to 14 answer, okay. 14 answer, okay. 15 A. That's not the case, Sir Peter. I think we all 16 SIR PETER CARUANA: You do not want to 16 A. That's not the case, Sir Peter. I think we all 16 SIR PETER CARUANA: You do not want to 16 A. That's not the case, Sir Peter. I think we all 16 SIR PETER CARUANA: You do not want to 17 unconditional support to a friend without 17 unconditional support to a friend without 18 a has told me not to answer. 18 SIR PETER CARUANA: You do not want to 18 McGrail hab been allusions that Mr 18 evidence, for which your counsel Mr Levy - 20 Picardo was criticised is right or it is wrong. 21 But you have done the same, have you not? 21 A. Correct. 20 Q. All right. With We qualitation the weeks after 33 McGrail had lied. 24 A very different circumstances. 25 26 sahoutely final question, sir, topic, two short 29 29 Page 247			1	
 Q. But we have only ever been concerned with facts that happened at the time that you were close. A. That's not the case, Sir Peter. I think we all know that there has been allusions that Mr McGrail has been envestigated by the RGP, and Q. So you have very close to me whilst afternoon that you do not know whether Mr and Q. So you have very candidly admitted this afternoon that you do not know whether Mr afternoon that you do not know whether Mr as telling you. Page 245 A. Correct. Q. So you do not know whether he lied or did not lie. A. No, why should he? A. No, why should he? A. No, why should he? Q. But Mr McGrail had lied. Q. Well, why should he? Q. But Wr McGrail and du Griff the RGP and he never told me that Mr McGrail - in this in? Q. But you did not know whether he had or- because you were not at the meeting. A. No, why should he? Q. But you did not know whether he had or- because you were not at the meeting. A. No, I wasn't. Q. But you did not know whether he had or- because you were not at the meeting. A. No, I wasn't. Q. But you did not know whether he had or- something that Mr Cruz put to another witness - yoo nevertheless deployed in favour of your friend, as I would expect, as I might do myself, unconditional support, despite lack of any evidence as to whether or not he had lied to the fact that You had no widence that hend iled or not lied. Q. All right. You had no evidence that hend iled or not lied. Q. No thad ho evidence that hend iled or not lied. Q. No			1	-
14 with facts that happened at the time that you were close. 14 answer, okay. 15 A. That's not the case, Sir Peter. I think we all know that there has been allusions that Mr 16 SIR PETER CARUANA: Either the giving of sir ght or it is wrong. 16 A. That's not the case, Sir Peter. I think we all know that there has been allusions that Mr 17 unconditional support to a friend without 18 McGrail has been very close to me whilst mat and 16 SIR PETER CARUANA: Either the giving of Picardo vas criticised is right or it is wrong. 21 Q. So you have very candidly admitted this afternoon that you do not know whether Mr 20 Picardo vas criticised is right or it is wrong. 22 afternoon that you do not know whether he lied or did not lie. 21 But you have doen the same, have you not? 24 A. Correct. Q. So you do not know whether he lied or did not lie. 23 Q. All right. You you agree - and this is my 25 WeGrail left the RGP and he never told me that Mr McGrail left the RGP and he never told me that Mr McGrail and 24 A nev y different circumstances. 26 Nr McGrail left the RGP and he never told me that Mr McGrail left the RGP and he never told me that Mr McGrail and 25 assolutely final question, sir, topic, two short question. 29 Q. Well, why should he, of course			1	
 were close. A. That's not the case, Sir Peter. I think we all A. That's not the case, Sir Peter. I think we all McGrail has been allusions that Mr McGrail has the meeting. A. No, why should he, of course it's not – Q. But You did not know whether he had or - <li< td=""><td></td><td>•</td><td>1</td><td></td></li<>		•	1	
16 A. That's not the case, Sir Peter. I think we all know that there has been allusions that Mr 16 SIR PETER CARUANA: Either the giving of unconditional support to a friend without 17 McGrail has been very close to me whilst 18 evidence, for which your counsel Mr Levy - 18 McGrail leid to the chief Minister in the 18 evidence, for which your counsel Mr Levy - 21 Q. So you have very candidly admitted this 21 But you have done the same, have you not? 23 aftermoon that you do not know whether Mr 22 A. I will only say, Sir Peter - 23 McGrail lied to the Chief Minister in the 23 Q. All right. I withdraw the question. 24 McGrail leid to the Chief Minister in the 23 Q. All right. Do you agree - and this is my 26 was telling you. 22 Q. All right. Do you agree - and this is my 26 Q. So you do not know whether he lied or did anot the. 3 3 not lie. 1 absolutely final question, sit, topic, two short 2 Q. So you do not know whether he lied or did 5 show that you and Mr McGrail that we 4 A. I meet the Chief Minister two weeks after 1 absolutely final question, sit, topic, two short			1	•
17 know that there has been allusions that Mr 18 McGrail has been very close to me whist 19 matters have been investigated by the RGP, 20 and 21 Q. So you have very candidly admitted this 22 aftermoon that you do not know whether Mr 23 McGrail lied to the Chief Minister in the 24 meeting of 12 May. All you know is what he 25 was telling you. Page 245 Page 247 1 A. Correct. 2 A. Correct. 3 A. Correct. 4 A. I met the Chief Minister two weeks after 5 Mr McGrail had lied. 7 Q. So you do not know whether he lied or did 8 A. No, why should he,? 9 Q. But Mr McGrail and Lied. 7 Q. Well, why should he,? 8 A. No, Ny should he,? 9 Q. But You did not know whether he had or - 10 A. I's not that important, is it? 11 Q. So it was mutual. The language that you 12 D. But you did not know whether he had or-			1	
18 McGrail has been very close to me whilst matters have been investigated by the RGP, and 18 evidence, for which your counsel Mr Levy - 19 matters have been investigated by the RGP, and 19 Mr Picardo - I beg your pardon, for which Mr 20 So you have very candidly admitted this afternoon that you do not know whether Mr 20 McGrail led to the Chief Minister in the metting of 12 May. All you know is what he was telling you. 21 But you have done the same, have you not? 23 McGrail led to the Chief Minister in the metting of 12 May. All you know is what he was telling you. 20 A. I will only say, Sir Peter 24 A very different circumstances. 25 Q. All right. T withfavam the question. 25 was telling you. 24 A very different circumstances. 25 Q. So you do not know whether he lied or did not lie. 1 absolutely final question, sir, topic, two short 2 Q. So you and Mr McGrail and you on the one heand and the Grail left the RGP and he never told met that Mr McGrail and you on the one hand 1 absolutely final question, sir, topic, two short 2 Q. But Mr McGrail - 9 A. I thik everyone knew that, Sir Peter, even 10 A. If is not that important, is it? 9 A. I thik everyone knew that, Sir Peter, even <td></td> <td></td> <td>1</td> <td></td>			1	
 matters have been investigated by the RGP, and Q. So you have very candidly admitted this afternoon that you do not know whether Mr afternoon that you do not know whether Mr meeting of 12 May. All you know is what he was telling you. Page 245 A. Correct. Q. So you do not know whether he lied or did not lie. A. Correct. Q. So you do not know whether he lied or did not lie. A. I met the Chief Minister two weeks after Mr McGrail left the RGP and he never told me that Mr McGrail had lied. Q. Well, why should he? A. No, why should he? A. No, why should he? A. No, iv yasn't. Q. But Mr McGrail Q. But You did not know whether he had or - because you were not at the meeting. A. No, I wasn't. Q. Therefore notwithstanding that you had no evidence as to whether on toh had lied to the evidence as to whether on toh had lied to the chiff Minister. Is that correct? Q. All right. You had no evidence that he had iled or not lice. A. No, I wasn't. Q. Therefore notwithstanding that you had no evidence as to whether on toh had lied to the evidence as to whether on toh had lied to the Chiff Minister. Is that correct? Q. All right. You had no evidence that he had iled or not lice. A. Non, I wasn't. Q. All right. You had no evidence that he had iled to ret. So whether or not he had lied to the chiff Minister. Is that correct? A. None whatsoever. So the Chief Minister did not think very 			1	
20 and 20 Picardo was criticised is right or it is wrong. 21 Q. So you have very candidly admitted this 21 But you have done the same, have you not? 21 McGrail lied to the Chief Minister in the 22 A. I will only say, Sir Peter 22 Q. All right. I withdraw the question. 24 A very different circumstances. 25 was telling you. 25 Q. All right. Do you agree - and this is my Page 245 1 A. Correct. 25 Q. So you do not know whether he lied or did not lie. 3 not lie. Page 247 1 A. I met the Chief Minister two weeks after I absolutely final question, sir, topic, two short 2 Q. So you do not know whether he lied or did not lied. 1 absolutely final question, sir, topic, two short 2 Q. So you do not know whether he lied or did mot field. 1 absolutely final question, sir, topic, two short 3 A. I met the Chief Minister two weeks after 1 have now scen after the hearing has ended, show that you and Mr McGrail hat lied. 7 Q. Well, why should he,? A. I with organi and use of the Chief Minister. 1 9 But you did not		•	1	• •
 21 Q. So you have very candidly admitted this afternoon that you do not know whether Mr 23 McGrail lied to the Chief Minister in the meeting of 12 May. All you know is what he 24 meeting of 12 May. All you know is what he 25 was telling you. 24 Page 245 2 A. Correct. 2 Q. So you do not know whether he lied or did not lie. 3 not lie. 4 A. I met the Chief Minister two weeks after Mr McGrail had lied. 7 Q. Well, why should he? 8 A. No, why should he? 9 Q. But furth McGrail - 1 Q. But you did not know whether he had or-because you were not at the meeting. 1 A. No, I wasn't. 1 Q. But you did not know whether he had or-because you were not at the meeting. 3 A. No, I wasn't. 1 Q. Therefore notvithstanding that you had no evidencial support, despite lack of any evidence as to whether or not he had lied to the Chief Minister. Is that correct? 2 A. Nat rater for the Chairman to 3 Q. All right. You had no evidence that he had lied to the Chief Minister. Is that correct? 2 A. Now whatsoever. 			1	
 afternoon that you do not know whether Mr McGrail lied to the Chief Minister in the meeting of 12 May. All you know is what he was telling you. Page 245 A. Correct. Q. So you do not know whether he lied or did not lie. A. I met the Chief Minister two weeks after Mr McGrail left the RGP and he never told me that Mr McGrail left the RGP and he never told me that Mr McGrail left the RGP and he never told me that Mr McGrail left the RGP and he never told me that Mr McGrail left the RGP and he never told me that Mr McGrail left the RGP and he never told me that Mr McGrail left the RGP and he never told me that Mr McGrail and lied. Q. Well, why should he, of course it's not - Q. But ry ucfirail - Mo J wasn't. Q. Therefore notwithstanding that you had no evidential base - 1 am just thinking of something that Mr Crup ut to another witness - you nevertheless deployed in favour of your friend, as I would expect, as I might do myself, unconditional support, despite lack of any evidence as to whether or not he hall lied to the Chief Minister. Q. All right. You had no evidence that he had Ghi right. You had no evidence that he had Ghi right. You had no evidence that he had Ghi right. You had no evidence that he had Ghi right. You had no evidence that he had Ghi right. You had no evidence that he had Ghi right. You had no evidence that he had Ghi right. You had no evidence that he had Ghi right. You had no evidence that he had Ghi right. You had no evidence that he had Ghi right. You had no evidence that he had Ghi right. You had no evidence that he had Ghi right. You had no evidence that he had Ghi right. You had no evidence that he had Hied or			1	
 McGrail lied to the Chief Minister in the meeting of 12 May. All you know is what he was telling you. Page 245 A. Correct. Q. So you do not know whether he lied or did not lie. A. I met the Chief Minister two weeks after Mr McGrail left the RGP and he never told me that Mr McGrail helt ther RGP and he never told me that Mr McGrail helt left de RGP and he never told me that Mr McGrail helt left. Q. Well, why should he? A. No, why should he? A. No, why should he? A. It's not that important, is it? Q. But you did not know whether he had orbecause you were not at the meeting. A. No, I wasn't. Q. Therefore notwithstanding that you had no sevidence at the Mr Chief Minister used it once. So seeing that you nevertheless deployed in favour of your friend, as I would expect, as I might do myself, unconditional support, despite lack of any evidence as to whether or not he hall diel do the Chief Minister. Q. All right. You had no evidence that he had orbeire as to whether or not he hall diel do the Chief Minister. Q. All right. You had no evidence that he had orbit field. A. That's a matter for the Chierman to		· · · ·	1	•
24 meeting of 12 May. All you know is what he 24 A very different circumstances. 25 Was telling you. 24 A very different circumstances. 25 Q. All right. Do you agree - and this is my 26 Page 245 Page 247 1 A. Correct. 2 absolutely final question, sir, topic, two short 2 Q. So you do not know whether he lied or did absolutely final question, sir, topic, two short 2 Q. So you do not know whether he lied or did absolutely final question, sir, topic, two short 3 A. I met the Chief Minister two weeks after have now seen after the hearing has ended, 5 Mr McGrail had lied. 5 show that you and Mr McGrail -that there was 6 a sense of intense hostility and combat 5 7 Q. Well, why should he, of course it's not 9 A. I think everyone knew that, Sir Peter, even 10 A. Idv not know whether he had or -1 between Mr McGrail and you on the one hand 11 Q. But you did not know whether he had or -1 Q. So it was mutual. The language that you 12 Decause you were not at the meeting. A. No, I wasn't. 13 A. No, I wasn't. A. Even		•	1	• •
25 was telling you. 25 Q. All right. Do you agree - and this is my Page 245 Page 247 1 A. Correct. absolutely final question, sir, topic, two short 2 Q. So you do not know whether he lied or did absolutely final question, sir, topic, two short 3 not lie. absolutely final question, sir, topic, two short 4 A. I met the Chief Minister two weeks after have now seen after the hearing has ended, 5 Mr McGrail had lied. show that you and Mr McGrail -that there was 6 that Mr McGrail between Mr McGrail and you on the one hand 7 Q. Well, why should he, of course it's not 9 9 Q. But Mr McGrail 8 10 A. It's not that important, is it? 11 11 Q. But you did not know whether he had or - 9 12 because you were not at the meeting. 13 13 A. No, I wasn't. 13 14 Q. Therefore notwithstanding that you had no 14 15 seing that you have brought it up, I need to 16 something that Mr Cruz put to another witness 17 - you nevertheless deployed in favo			1	
Page 245Page 2471A. Correct.2Q. So you do not know whether he lied or did3not lie.4A. I met the Chief Minister two weeks after5Mr McGrail left the RGP and he never told me6that Mr McGrail left the RGP and he never told me7Q. Well, why should he?8A. No, why should he, of course it's not9Q. But Mr McGrail10A. It's not that important, is it?11Q. But you did not know whether he had or -12because you were not at the meeting.13A. No, I wasn't.14Q. Therefore notwithstanding that you had no15evidential base - I am just thinking of16something that Mr Cruz put to another witness17- you nevertheless deployed in favour of your18friend, as I would expect, as I might do myself,19unconditional support, despite lack of any20evidence as to whether or not he had lied to the21Chief Minister. Is that correct?22A. That's a matter for the Chairmant to23Q. All right. You had no evidence that he had24Lied or not lied.25A. None whatsoever.			1	
1 A. Correct. 2 Q. So you do not know whether he lied or did 3 not lie. 4 A. I met the Chief Minister two weeks after 5 Mr McGrail left the RGP and he never told me 6 that Mr McGrail had lied. 7 Q. Well, why should he? 8 A. No, why should he, of course it's not 9 Q. But Mr McGrail 10 A. It's not that important, is it? 11 Q. But you did not know whether he had or - 9 Q. But you did not know whether he had or - 10 A. I's not that important, is it? 11 Q. So it was mutual. The language that you 12 because you were not at the meeting. 13 A. No, I wasn't. 14 Q. Therefore notwithstanding that you had no 15 bring it up because - yes, Sir Peter, because in 16 something that Mr Cruz put to another witness 17 - you nevertheless deployed in favour of your 18 friend, as I would expect, as I might do myself, 19 unconditional support, despite lack of any 20 A. I hat's a matter for the Chairmant to	25	was telling you.	25	Q. All right. Do you agree - and this is my
 Q. So you do not know whether he lied or did not lie. A. I met the Chief Minister two weeks after Mr McGrail left the RGP and he never told me that Mr McGrail left the RGP and he never told me that Mr McGrail left the RGP and he never told me Well, why should he? Q. Well, why should he, of course it's not - Q. But Mr McGrail Q. But Mr McGrail Q. But Mr McGrail Q. But you did not know whether he had or - because you were not at the meeting. A. No, I wasn't. Q. Therefore notwithstanding that you had no evidential base - I am just thinking of something that Mr Cruz put to another witness - you nevertheless deployed in favour of your friend, as I would expect, as I might do myself, unconditional support, despite lack of any evidence as to whether or not he had lied to the Chief Minister. Is that correct? A. That's a matter for the Chairman to Q. All right. You had no evidence that he had lied or not lied. A. None whatsoever. Q. So the Chief Minister did not think very 		Page 245		Page 247
 Q. So you do not know whether he lied or did not lie. A. I met the Chief Minister two weeks after Mr McGrail left the RGP and he never told me that Mr McGrail left the RGP and he never told me that Mr McGrail left the RGP and he never told me Well, why should he? Q. Well, why should he, of course it's not - Q. But Mr McGrail Q. But Mr McGrail Q. But Mr McGrail Q. But you did not know whether he had or - because you were not at the meeting. A. No, I wasn't. Q. Therefore notwithstanding that you had no evidential base - I am just thinking of something that Mr Cruz put to another witness - you nevertheless deployed in favour of your friend, as I would expect, as I might do myself, unconditional support, despite lack of any evidence as to whether or not he had lied to the Chief Minister. Is that correct? A. That's a matter for the Chairman to Q. All right. You had no evidence that he had lied or not lied. A. None whatsoever. D. A. None whatsoever. D. So is the Chief Minister did not think very 				
3not lie.3chats between you and Mr McGrail that we4A. I met the Chief Minister two weeks after55Mr McGrail left the RGP and he never told me66that Mr McGrail had lied.57Q. Well, why should he,?68A. No, why should he,?69Q. But Mr McGrail90But Mr McGrail90A. It's not that important, is it?1011Q. But you did not know whether he had or -912because you were not at the meeting.1113A. No, I wasn't.1314Q. Therefore notwithstanding that you had no1415evidential base - I am just thinking of1516something that Mr Cruz put to another witness1617- you nevertheless deployed in favour of your1718friend, as I would expect, as I might do myself,1919unconditional support, despite lack of any2020A. That's a matter for the Chairman to2121A. That's a matter for the Chairman to2222A. Ind's und no evidence that he had2323A. None whatsoever.2424lied or not lied.2525A. None whatsoever.25				
 A. I met the Chief Minister two weeks after Mr McGrail left the RGP and he never told me that Mr McGrail had lied. Q. Well, why should he? A. No, why should he, of course it's not – Q. But Mr McGrail Q. But Mr McGrail Q. But Mr McGrail Q. But You did not know whether he had or- because you were not at the meeting. A. No, I wasn't. Q. Therefore notwithstanding that you had no evidential base - I am just thinking of something that Mr Cruz put to another witness r- you nevertheless deployed in favour of your friend, as I would expect, as I might do myself, unconditional support, despite lack of any evidence as to whether or not he had lied to the Chief Minister. Is that correct? A. That's a matter for the Chairman to Q. All right. You had no evidence that he had Iied or not lied. A. None whatsoever. 			1	
5Mr McGrail left the RGP and he never told me5show that you and Mr McGrail - that there was6that Mr McGrail had lied.5show that you and Mr McGrail - that there was7Q. Well, why should he?6a sense of intense hostility and combat8A. No, why should he, of course it's not9Q. But Mr McGrail9Q. But Mr McGrail8and the GFP leadership on the other?9Q. But Mr McGrail9A. I think everyone knew that, Sir Peter, even10A. It's not that important, is it?10the Chief Minister.11Q. But you did not know whether he had or -9A. I think everyone knew that, Sir Peter, even12because you were not at the meeting.11Q. So it was mutual. The language that you13A. No, I wasn't.121214Q. Therefore notwithstanding that you had no13A. Even the Chief Minister used it once. So15evidential base - I am just thinking of13A. Even the Chief Minister used it once. So16something that Mr Cruz put to another witness16a meeting once with the Chief Minister the17- you nevertheless deployed in favour of your17Chief Minister. Is that correct?19unconditional support, despite lack of anyQ. Yes.20A. That's a matter for the Chairman to2221Q. All right. You had no evidence that he had2322A. None whatsoever.2423A. None whatsoever.25 <td></td> <td></td> <td>1</td> <td></td>			1	
6that Mr McGrail had lied.6a sense of intense hostility and combat7Q. Well, why should he?6a sense of intense hostility and combat8A. No, why should he, of course it's not9Q. But Mr McGrail89Q. But Mr McGrail9A. It's not that important, is it?910A. It's not that important, is it?10the Chief Minister.11Q. But you did not know whether he had or -11Q. So it was mutual. The language that you12because you were not at the meeting.13A. No, I wasn't.13A. No, I wasn't.13A. Even the Chief Minister used it once. So14Q. Therefore notwithstanding that you had no14seeing that you have brought it up, I need to15evidential base - I am just thinking of16a meeting once with the Chief Minister the17- you nevertheless deployed in favour of your16a meeting once with the Chief Minister the18friend, as I would expect, as I might do myself,19Q. Yes.19unconditional support, despite lack of any20A. And - can I finish? And a very difficult21Chief Minister. Is that correct?20A. And - can I finish? And a very difficult22A. That's a matter for the Chairman to23alluded the fact that you had to know how to23Q. All right. You had no evidence that he had24lied or not lied.24lied or not lied.25Q. So the Chief Minister did not think very				-
7Q. Well, why should he?7between Mr McGrail and you on the one hand8A. No, why should he, of course it's not9Q. But Mr McGrail9Q. But Mr McGrail9A. I think everyone knew that, Sir Peter, even10A. It's not that important, is it?9A. I think everyone knew that, Sir Peter, even11Q. But you did not know whether he had or -9A. I think everyone knew that, Sir Peter, even10A. It's not that important, is it?10the Chief Minister.11Q. But you did not know whether he had or -12because you were not at the meeting.13A. No, I wasn't.13A. Even the Chief Minister used it once. So14Q. Therefore notwithstanding that you had no14seeing that you have brought it up, I need to15evidential base - I am just thinking of14seeing that you have brought it up, I need to16something that Mr Cruz put to another witness16a meeting once with the Chief Minister the17- you nevertheless deployed in favour of your18Morello was un payaso, a clown.19unconditional support, despite lack of any19Q. Yes.22A. That's a matter for the Chairman to23Q. All right. You had no evidence that he had23Q. All right. You had no evidence that he had24lied or not lied.25A. None whatsoever.25Q. So the Chief Minister did not think very			1	
 A. No, why should he, of course it's not Q. But Mr McGrail A. It's not that important, is it? Q. But you did not know whether he had or - because you were not at the meeting. A. No, I wasn't. Q. Therefore notwithstanding that you had no evidential base - I am just thinking of something that Mr Cruz put to another witness friend, as I would expect, as I might do myself, unconditional support, despite lack of any evidence as to whether or not he had lied to the Chief Minister. Is that correct? A. That's a matter for the Chairman to Q. All right. You had no evidence that he had Lied or not lied. A. None whatsoever. and the GFP leadership on the other? A. Ithink everyone knew that, Sir Peter, even I the Chief Minister. I Q. So it was mutual. The language that you used to speak about them. A. Even the Chief Minister used it once. So seeing that you have brought it up, I need to bring it up because - yes, Sir Peter, because in a meeting once with the Chief Minister the Chief Minister. Is that correct? A. That's a matter for the Chairman to Q. All right. You had no evidence that he had lied or not lied. A. None whatsoever. 				
9Q. But Mr McGrail9A. I think everyone knew that, Sir Peter, even10A. It's not that important, is it?10the Chief Minister.11Q. But you did not know whether he had or -11Q. So it was mutual. The language that you12because you were not at the meeting.11Q. So it was mutual. The language that you13A. No, I wasn't.13A. Even the Chief Minister used it once. So14Q. Therefore notwithstanding that you had no14seeing that you have brought it up, I need to15evidential base - I am just thinking of15bring it up because - yes, Sir Peter, because in16something that Mr Cruz put to another witness16a meeting once with the Chief Minister the17- you nevertheless deployed in favour of your17Chief Minister alluded to the fact that Mr18friend, as I would expect, as I might do myself,18Morello was un payaso, a clown.19unconditional support, despite lack of any20A. And - can I finish? And a very difficult21Chief Minister. Is that correct?21person to get on with because he used to be in23Q. All right. You had no evidence that he had23alluded the fact that you had to know how to24lied or not lied.25Q. So the Chief Minister did not think very		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		5
 10 A. It's not that important, is it? 11 Q. But you did not know whether he had or - because you were not at the meeting. 13 A. No, I wasn't. 14 Q. Therefore notwithstanding that you had no 15 evidential base - I am just thinking of 16 something that Mr Cruz put to another witness 17 - you nevertheless deployed in favour of your 18 friend, as I would expect, as I might do myself, 19 unconditional support, despite lack of any 20 evidence as to whether or not he had lied to the 21 Chief Minister. Is that correct? 22 A. That's a matter for the Chairman to 23 Q. All right. You had no evidence that he had 24 lied or not lied. 25 A. None whatsoever. 10 the Chief Minister did not think very 10 the Chief Minister. 11 Q. So it was mutual. The language that you 12 used to speak about them. 13 A. Even the Chief Minister used it once. So 14 seeing that you have brought it up, I need to 15 bring it up because - yes, Sir Peter, because in 16 a meeting once with the Chief Minister the 17 Chief Minister alluded to the fact that Mr 18 Morello was un payaso, a clown. 19 Q. Yes. 20 A. That's a matter for the Chairman to 21 gerson to get on with because he used to be in 22 school with him. And the Chief Minister 23 alluded the fact that you had to know how to 24 lied or not lied. 25 A. None whatsoever. 		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
 Q. But you did not know whether he had or - because you were not at the meeting. A. No, I wasn't. Q. Therefore notwithstanding that you had no evidential base - I am just thinking of something that Mr Cruz put to another witness ryou nevertheless deployed in favour of your friend, as I would expect, as I might do myself, unconditional support, despite lack of any evidence as to whether or not he had lied to the Chief Minister. Is that correct? A. That's a matter for the Chairman to Q. All right. You had no evidence that he had lied or not lied. A. None whatsoever. In Q. So it was mutual. The language that you used to speak about them. A. Even the Chief Minister used it once. So bring it up because - yes, Sir Peter, because in a meeting once with the Chief Minister the Chief Minister. Is that correct? A. That's a matter for the Chairman to Q. All right. You had no evidence that he had lied or not lied. A. None whatsoever. 	9	-		
12because you were not at the meeting.13A. No, I wasn't.14Q. Therefore notwithstanding that you had no15evidential base - I am just thinking of16something that Mr Cruz put to another witness17- you nevertheless deployed in favour of your18friend, as I would expect, as I might do myself,19unconditional support, despite lack of any20evidence as to whether or not he had lied to the21Chief Minister. Is that correct?22A. That's a matter for the Chairman to23Q. All right. You had no evidence that he had24lied or not lied.25A. None whatsoever.	10	-		
 A. No, I wasn't. Q. Therefore notwithstanding that you had no evidential base - I am just thinking of something that Mr Cruz put to another witness something that Mr Cruz put to another witness - you nevertheless deployed in favour of your friend, as I would expect, as I might do myself, unconditional support, despite lack of any evidence as to whether or not he had lied to the Chief Minister. Is that correct? A. That's a matter for the Chairman to Q. All right. You had no evidence that he had lied or not lied. A. None whatsoever. A. Even the Chief Minister used it once. So seeing that you have brought it up, I need to bring it up because - yes, Sir Peter, because in a meeting once with the Chief Minister the Difficult Difficult<td>11</td><td></td><td>1</td><td></td>	11		1	
14Q. Therefore notwithstanding that you had no14seeing that you have brought it up, I need to15evidential base - I am just thinking of15bring it up because - yes, Sir Peter, because in16something that Mr Cruz put to another witness16a meeting once with the Chief Minister the17- you nevertheless deployed in favour of your16a meeting once with the Chief Minister the18friend, as I would expect, as I might do myself,17Chief Minister alluded to the fact that Mr19unconditional support, despite lack of any20evidence as to whether or not he had lied to the21Chief Minister. Is that correct?20A. And - can I finish? And a very difficult23Q. All right. You had no evidence that he had23alluded the fact that you had to know how to24lied or not lied.24control Mr Morello.25A. None whatsoever.25Q. So the Chief Minister did not think very			1	
 15 evidential base - I am just thinking of 16 something that Mr Cruz put to another witness 17 - you nevertheless deployed in favour of your 18 friend, as I would expect, as I might do myself, 19 unconditional support, despite lack of any 20 evidence as to whether or not he had lied to the 21 Chief Minister. Is that correct? 22 A. That's a matter for the Chairman to 23 Q. All right. You had no evidence that he had 24 lied or not lied. 25 A. None whatsoever. 15 bring it up because - yes, Sir Peter, because in 16 a meeting once with the Chief Minister the 17 Chief Minister alluded to the fact that Mr 18 Morello was un payaso, a clown. 19 Q. Yes. 20 A. And - can I finish? And a very difficult 21 person to get on with because he used to be in 22 school with him. And the Chief Minister 23 alluded the fact that you had to know how to 24 control Mr Morello. 25 A. None whatsoever. 	13		1	
16something that Mr Cruz put to another witness17- you nevertheless deployed in favour of your18friend, as I would expect, as I might do myself,19unconditional support, despite lack of any20evidence as to whether or not he had lied to the21Chief Minister. Is that correct?22A. That's a matter for the Chairman to23Q. All right. You had no evidence that he had24lied or not lied.25A. None whatsoever.	14		1	
 17 - you nevertheless deployed in favour of your 18 friend, as I would expect, as I might do myself, 19 unconditional support, despite lack of any 20 evidence as to whether or not he had lied to the 21 Chief Minister. Is that correct? 22 A. That's a matter for the Chairman to 23 Q. All right. You had no evidence that he had 24 lied or not lied. 25 A. None whatsoever. 17 Chief Minister alluded to the fact that Mr 18 Morello was un payaso, a clown. 19 Q. Yes. 20 A. And - can I finish? And a very difficult 21 person to get on with because he used to be in 22 school with him. And the Chief Minister 23 alluded the fact that you had to know how to 24 control Mr Morello. 25 A. None whatsoever. 	15	• •	1	
 18 friend, as I would expect, as I might do myself, 19 unconditional support, despite lack of any 20 evidence as to whether or not he had lied to the 21 Chief Minister. Is that correct? 22 A. That's a matter for the Chairman to 23 Q. All right. You had no evidence that he had 24 lied or not lied. 25 A. None whatsoever. 18 Morello was un payaso, a clown. 19 Q. Yes. 20 A. And - can I finish? And a very difficult 21 person to get on with because he used to be in 22 school with him. And the Chief Minister 23 alluded the fact that you had to know how to 24 control Mr Morello. 25 A. None whatsoever. 	16	something that Mr Cruz put to another witness	16	-
 19 unconditional support, despite lack of any 20 evidence as to whether or not he had lied to the 21 Chief Minister. Is that correct? 22 A. That's a matter for the Chairman to 23 Q. All right. You had no evidence that he had 24 lied or not lied. 25 A. None whatsoever. 19 Q. Yes. 20 A. And - can I finish? And a very difficult 21 person to get on with because he used to be in 22 school with him. And the Chief Minister 23 alluded the fact that you had to know how to 24 control Mr Morello. 25 Q. So the Chief Minister did not think very 	17		1	
 20 evidence as to whether or not he had lied to the 21 Chief Minister. Is that correct? 22 A. That's a matter for the Chairman to 23 Q. All right. You had no evidence that he had 24 lied or not lied. 25 A. None whatsoever. 20 A. And - can I finish? And a very difficult 21 person to get on with because he used to be in 22 school with him. And the Chief Minister 23 alluded the fact that you had to know how to 24 control Mr Morello. 25 A. None whatsoever. 	18	friend, as I would expect, as I might do myself,	1	Morello was un payaso, a clown.
 21 Chief Minister. Is that correct? 22 A. That's a matter for the Chairman to 23 Q. All right. You had no evidence that he had 24 lied or not lied. 25 A. None whatsoever. 21 person to get on with because he used to be in 22 school with him. And the Chief Minister 23 alluded the fact that you had to know how to 24 control Mr Morello. 25 Q. So the Chief Minister did not think very 	19	unconditional support, despite lack of any	1	Q. Yes.
 A. That's a matter for the Chairman to Q. All right. You had no evidence that he had lied or not lied. A. None whatsoever. 25 A. None whatsoever. 26 A. That's a matter for the Chairman to 27 school with him. And the Chief Minister 28 alluded the fact that you had to know how to 29 control Mr Morello. 20 control Mr Morello. 21 Q. So the Chief Minister did not think very 	20	evidence as to whether or not he had lied to the	20	A. And - can I finish? And a very difficult
 Q. All right. You had no evidence that he had lied or not lied. A. None whatsoever. 23 alluded the fact that you had to know how to 24 control Mr Morello. 25 A. None whatsoever. 26 Q. So the Chief Minister did not think very 	21	Chief Minister. Is that correct?	21	person to get on with because he used to be in
24lied or not lied.24control Mr Morello.25A. None whatsoever.25Q. So the Chief Minister did not think very	22	A. That's a matter for the Chairman to	22	school with him. And the Chief Minister
24lied or not lied.24control Mr Morello.25A. None whatsoever.25Q. So the Chief Minister did not think very	23	Q. All right. You had no evidence that he had	23	alluded the fact that you had to know how to
	24	lied or not lied.	24	control Mr Morello.
Page 246 Page 248	24		1	O C de Chief Minister d'il set de la serve
Page 246 Page 248		A. None whatsoever.	25	Q. So the Chief Minister did not think very
			25	

62 (Pages 245 to 248)

	highly of Mr Morello. That is not my - I am	1	relationship shows, is it surprising therefore
2	not interested	2	that Mr Pyle was hearing that there was a
3	A. No, no, that's not the case.	3	fractured relationship between the GFP and
4	Q. What I am interested in, my question was -	4	that he was constantly getting stories about it,
5	-	5	which is what he said in evidence?
6	A. They got on very well.	6	A. So what is the question, sorry?
7	Q. What I am asking you - give whatever	7	Q. Is it surprising to you, given what we have
8	answer you want, I am just asking a question -	8	just been talking about
9	what I am asking you is: do you think that	9	A. Sir Peter, it was
10	these Whatsapps show that the opinions	10	Q that Mr Pyle should have been hearing
11	between you and Mr McGrail on the one hand	11	those stories?
12	and the GFP leadership on the other were	12	A. It was a known fact, and if you would have
13	pretty mutual?	13	read my messages you would have seen the
14	A. In what respect, pretty mutual?	14	messages between Mr McGrail and I trying to
15	Q. That you thought as little of each other as	15	address the relationship with the Chief
16	the other.	16	Minister.
17	A. No, that's not correct. We always - and we	17	Q. Fine. It was fractured.
18	said this, and I said this in my evidence - we	18	A. But we were trying to address it
19	always tried to work with the Police	19	Q. Fine.
20	Federation. We wanted to try and improve the	20	A and we were trying to improve it
21	Royal Gibraltar Police. What's wrong is we	21	Q. That may be so.
22	found it very difficult to do so, with a person	22	A. Yes.
23	who told Mr McGrail on his very first few	23	Q. But is it or is it not surprising
24	days that he would make life impossible for	24	A. It is my view
25	him.	25	Q that Mr Pyle was hearing, and that he
	Page 249		Page 251
1	Q. The language that you used in a private	1	should be concerned about that state of affairs?
2	chat with Mr McGrail and the terms in which		
		2	A. Why is the relationship between me today
3	you were repeatedly referring to them suggests	3	and the current Chairman and Secretary not a
4	you were repeatedly referring to them suggests that you felt bad blood towards them: bastards,	3 4	and the current Chairman and Secretary not a fractured one? It is one because we can
4 5	you were repeatedly referring to them suggests that you felt bad blood towards them: bastards, fools, and worse. Repeatedly, I stopped	3 4 5	and the current Chairman and Secretary not a fractured one? It is one because we can negotiate, we can agree to disagree. On this
4 5 6	you were repeatedly referring to them suggests that you felt bad blood towards them: bastards, fools, and worse. Repeatedly, I stopped counting. It is not a big deal, I just Is your	3 4 5 6	and the current Chairman and Secretary not a fractured one? It is one because we can negotiate, we can agree to disagree. On this occasion we were dealing with a very difficult
4 5 6 7	you were repeatedly referring to them suggests that you felt bad blood towards them: bastards, fools, and worse. Repeatedly, I stopped counting. It is not a big deal, I just Is your answer yes or no? Was there reciprocity of	3 4 5 6 7	and the current Chairman and Secretary not a fractured one? It is one because we can negotiate, we can agree to disagree. On this occasion we were dealing with a very difficult person.
4 5 6 7 8	you were repeatedly referring to them suggests that you felt bad blood towards them: bastards, fools, and worse. Repeatedly, I stopped counting. It is not a big deal, I just Is your answer yes or no? Was there reciprocity of mutual hostility, yes or no?	3 4 5 6 7 8	 and the current Chairman and Secretary not a fractured one? It is one because we can negotiate, we can agree to disagree. On this occasion we were dealing with a very difficult person. Q. Is it not really the case that these Whatsapp
4 5 6 7 8 9	you were repeatedly referring to them suggests that you felt bad blood towards them: bastards, fools, and worse. Repeatedly, I stopped counting. It is not a big deal, I just Is your answer yes or no? Was there reciprocity of mutual hostility, yes or no? A. It was a very fractured relationship, yes, it	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 and the current Chairman and Secretary not a fractured one? It is one because we can negotiate, we can agree to disagree. On this occasion we were dealing with a very difficult person. Q. Is it not really the case that these Whatsapp messages show that your relationship - your
4 5 6 7 8 9 10	you were repeatedly referring to them suggests that you felt bad blood towards them: bastards, fools, and worse. Repeatedly, I stopped counting. It is not a big deal, I just Is your answer yes or no? Was there reciprocity of mutual hostility, yes or no? A. It was a very fractured relationship, yes, it was	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 and the current Chairman and Secretary not a fractured one? It is one because we can negotiate, we can agree to disagree. On this occasion we were dealing with a very difficult person. Q. Is it not really the case that these Whatsapp messages show that your relationship - your relationship with the GPF was already
4 5 7 8 9 10 11	you were repeatedly referring to them suggests that you felt bad blood towards them: bastards, fools, and worse. Repeatedly, I stopped counting. It is not a big deal, I just Is your answer yes or no? Was there reciprocity of mutual hostility, yes or no? A. It was a very fractured relationship, yes, it was Q. Exactly.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 and the current Chairman and Secretary not a fractured one? It is one because we can negotiate, we can agree to disagree. On this occasion we were dealing with a very difficult person. Q. Is it not really the case that these Whatsapp messages show that your relationship - your relationship with the GPF was already fractured before you became Commissioner?
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 you were repeatedly referring to them suggests that you felt bad blood towards them: bastards, fools, and worse. Repeatedly, I stopped counting. It is not a big deal, I just Is your answer yes or no? Was there reciprocity of mutual hostility, yes or no? A. It was a very fractured relationship, yes, it was Q. Exactly. A. It wasn't easy. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 and the current Chairman and Secretary not a fractured one? It is one because we can negotiate, we can agree to disagree. On this occasion we were dealing with a very difficult person. Q. Is it not really the case that these Whatsapp messages show that your relationship - your relationship with the GPF was already fractured before you became Commissioner? A. No, not at all.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 you were repeatedly referring to them suggests that you felt bad blood towards them: bastards, fools, and worse. Repeatedly, I stopped counting. It is not a big deal, I just Is your answer yes or no? Was there reciprocity of mutual hostility, yes or no? A. It was a very fractured relationship, yes, it was Q. Exactly. A. It wasn't easy. Q. All right. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 and the current Chairman and Secretary not a fractured one? It is one because we can negotiate, we can agree to disagree. On this occasion we were dealing with a very difficult person. Q. Is it not really the case that these Whatsapp messages show that your relationship - your relationship with the GPF was already fractured before you became Commissioner? A. No, not at all. Q. All right.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 you were repeatedly referring to them suggests that you felt bad blood towards them: bastards, fools, and worse. Repeatedly, I stopped counting. It is not a big deal, I just Is your answer yes or no? Was there reciprocity of mutual hostility, yes or no? A. It was a very fractured relationship, yes, it was Q. Exactly. A. It wasn't easy. Q. All right. A. But 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 and the current Chairman and Secretary not a fractured one? It is one because we can negotiate, we can agree to disagree. On this occasion we were dealing with a very difficult person. Q. Is it not really the case that these Whatsapp messages show that your relationship - your relationship with the GPF was already fractured before you became Commissioner? A. No, not at all. Q. All right. A. We tried
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 you were repeatedly referring to them suggests that you felt bad blood towards them: bastards, fools, and worse. Repeatedly, I stopped counting. It is not a big deal, I just Is your answer yes or no? Was there reciprocity of mutual hostility, yes or no? A. It was a very fractured relationship, yes, it was Q. Exactly. A. It wasn't easy. Q. All right. A. But Q. That is all I want to hear from you. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 and the current Chairman and Secretary not a fractured one? It is one because we can negotiate, we can agree to disagree. On this occasion we were dealing with a very difficult person. Q. Is it not really the case that these Whatsapp messages show that your relationship - your relationship with the GPF was already fractured before you became Commissioner? A. No, not at all. Q. All right. A. We tried Q. (Inaudible).
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 you were repeatedly referring to them suggests that you felt bad blood towards them: bastards, fools, and worse. Repeatedly, I stopped counting. It is not a big deal, I just Is your answer yes or no? Was there reciprocity of mutual hostility, yes or no? A. It was a very fractured relationship, yes, it was Q. Exactly. A. It wasn't easy. Q. All right. A. But Q. That is all I want to hear from you. A. No, but it was a relationship that we tried 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 and the current Chairman and Secretary not a fractured one? It is one because we can negotiate, we can agree to disagree. On this occasion we were dealing with a very difficult person. Q. Is it not really the case that these Whatsapp messages show that your relationship - your relationship with the GPF was already fractured before you became Commissioner? A. No, not at all. Q. All right. A. We tried Q. (Inaudible). A. We tried fixing - I tried fixing that
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 you were repeatedly referring to them suggests that you felt bad blood towards them: bastards, fools, and worse. Repeatedly, I stopped counting. It is not a big deal, I just Is your answer yes or no? Was there reciprocity of mutual hostility, yes or no? A. It was a very fractured relationship, yes, it was Q. Exactly. A. It wasn't easy. Q. All right. A. But Q. That is all I want to hear from you. A. No, but it was a relationship that we tried to work on to try and better the RGP. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 and the current Chairman and Secretary not a fractured one? It is one because we can negotiate, we can agree to disagree. On this occasion we were dealing with a very difficult person. Q. Is it not really the case that these Whatsapp messages show that your relationship - your relationship with the GPF was already fractured before you became Commissioner? A. No, not at all. Q. All right. A. We tried Q. (Inaudible). A. We tried fixing - I tried fixing that relationship. It worked in my command for
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 you were repeatedly referring to them suggests that you felt bad blood towards them: bastards, fools, and worse. Repeatedly, I stopped counting. It is not a big deal, I just Is your answer yes or no? Was there reciprocity of mutual hostility, yes or no? A. It was a very fractured relationship, yes, it was Q. Exactly. A. It wasn't easy. Q. All right. A. But Q. That is all I want to hear from you. A. No, but it was a relationship that we tried to work on to try and better the RGP. Q. Okay. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 and the current Chairman and Secretary not a fractured one? It is one because we can negotiate, we can agree to disagree. On this occasion we were dealing with a very difficult person. Q. Is it not really the case that these Whatsapp messages show that your relationship - your relationship with the GPF was already fractured before you became Commissioner? A. No, not at all. Q. All right. A. We tried Q. (Inaudible). A. We tried fixing - I tried fixing that relationship. It worked in my command for about a year and a half, and after two and a
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 you were repeatedly referring to them suggests that you felt bad blood towards them: bastards, fools, and worse. Repeatedly, I stopped counting. It is not a big deal, I just Is your answer yes or no? Was there reciprocity of mutual hostility, yes or no? A. It was a very fractured relationship, yes, it was Q. Exactly. A. It wasn't easy. Q. All right. A. But Q. That is all I want to hear from you. A. No, but it was a relationship that we tried to work on to try and better the RGP. Q. Okay. A. But we found it extremely difficult. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 and the current Chairman and Secretary not a fractured one? It is one because we can negotiate, we can agree to disagree. On this occasion we were dealing with a very difficult person. Q. Is it not really the case that these Whatsapp messages show that your relationship - your relationship with the GPF was already fractured before you became Commissioner? A. No, not at all. Q. All right. A. We tried Q. (Inaudible). A. We tried fixing - I tried fixing that relationship. It worked in my command for about a year and a half, and after two and a half years it fell apart as well.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 you were repeatedly referring to them suggests that you felt bad blood towards them: bastards, fools, and worse. Repeatedly, I stopped counting. It is not a big deal, I just Is your answer yes or no? Was there reciprocity of mutual hostility, yes or no? A. It was a very fractured relationship, yes, it was Q. Exactly. A. It wasn't easy. Q. All right. A. But Q. That is all I want to hear from you. A. No, but it was a relationship that we tried to work on to try and better the RGP. Q. Okay. A. But we found it extremely difficult. Q. Okay. All I am trying to get at is this, and 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 and the current Chairman and Secretary not a fractured one? It is one because we can negotiate, we can agree to disagree. On this occasion we were dealing with a very difficult person. Q. Is it not really the case that these Whatsapp messages show that your relationship - your relationship with the GPF was already fractured before you became Commissioner? A. No, not at all. Q. All right. A. We tried Q. (Inaudible). A. We tried fixing - I tried fixing that relationship. It worked in my command for about a year and a half, and after two and a half years it fell apart as well. SIR PETER CARUANA: Thank you, Mr
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 you were repeatedly referring to them suggests that you felt bad blood towards them: bastards, fools, and worse. Repeatedly, I stopped counting. It is not a big deal, I just Is your answer yes or no? Was there reciprocity of mutual hostility, yes or no? A. It was a very fractured relationship, yes, it was Q. Exactly. A. It wasn't easy. Q. All right. A. But Q. That is all I want to hear from you. A. No, but it was a relationship that we tried to work on to try and better the RGP. Q. Okay. A. But we found it extremely difficult. Q. Okay. All I am trying to get at is this, and this is absolutely my last question. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 and the current Chairman and Secretary not a fractured one? It is one because we can negotiate, we can agree to disagree. On this occasion we were dealing with a very difficult person. Q. Is it not really the case that these Whatsapp messages show that your relationship - your relationship with the GPF was already fractured before you became Commissioner? A. No, not at all. Q. All right. A. We tried Q. (Inaudible). A. We tried fixing - I tried fixing that relationship. It worked in my command for about a year and a half, and after two and a half years it fell apart as well. SIR PETER CARUANA: Thank you, Mr Ullger.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 you were repeatedly referring to them suggests that you felt bad blood towards them: bastards, fools, and worse. Repeatedly, I stopped counting. It is not a big deal, I just Is your answer yes or no? Was there reciprocity of mutual hostility, yes or no? A. It was a very fractured relationship, yes, it was Q. Exactly. A. It wasn't easy. Q. All right. A. But Q. That is all I want to hear from you. A. No, but it was a relationship that we tried to work on to try and better the RGP. Q. Okay. A. But we found it extremely difficult. Q. Okay. All I am trying to get at is this, and this is absolutely my last question. THE CHAIRMAN: It is about the fourth last 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 and the current Chairman and Secretary not a fractured one? It is one because we can negotiate, we can agree to disagree. On this occasion we were dealing with a very difficult person. Q. Is it not really the case that these Whatsapp messages show that your relationship - your relationship with the GPF was already fractured before you became Commissioner? A. No, not at all. Q. All right. A. We tried Q. (Inaudible). A. We tried fixing - I tried fixing that relationship. It worked in my command for about a year and a half, and after two and a half years it fell apart as well. SIR PETER CARUANA: Thank you, Mr Ullger. A. Thank you, Sir Peter.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 you were repeatedly referring to them suggests that you felt bad blood towards them: bastards, fools, and worse. Repeatedly, I stopped counting. It is not a big deal, I just Is your answer yes or no? Was there reciprocity of mutual hostility, yes or no? A. It was a very fractured relationship, yes, it was Q. Exactly. A. It wasn't easy. Q. All right. A. But Q. That is all I want to hear from you. A. No, but it was a relationship that we tried to work on to try and better the RGP. Q. Okay. A. But we found it extremely difficult. Q. Okay. All I am trying to get at is this, and this is absolutely my last question. THE CHAIRMAN: It is about the fourth last question. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 and the current Chairman and Secretary not a fractured one? It is one because we can negotiate, we can agree to disagree. On this occasion we were dealing with a very difficult person. Q. Is it not really the case that these Whatsapp messages show that your relationship - your relationship with the GPF was already fractured before you became Commissioner? A. No, not at all. Q. All right. A. We tried Q. (Inaudible). A. We tried fixing - I tried fixing that relationship. It worked in my command for about a year and a half, and after two and a half years it fell apart as well. SIR PETER CARUANA: Thank you, Mr Ullger. A. Thank you, Sir Peter. Questioned by MR WAGNER
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 you were repeatedly referring to them suggests that you felt bad blood towards them: bastards, fools, and worse. Repeatedly, I stopped counting. It is not a big deal, I just Is your answer yes or no? Was there reciprocity of mutual hostility, yes or no? A. It was a very fractured relationship, yes, it was Q. Exactly. A. It wasn't easy. Q. All right. A. But Q. That is all I want to hear from you. A. No, but it was a relationship that we tried to work on to try and better the RGP. Q. Okay. A. But we found it extremely difficult. Q. Okay. All I am trying to get at is this, and this is absolutely my last question. THE CHAIRMAN: It is about the fourth last 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 and the current Chairman and Secretary not a fractured one? It is one because we can negotiate, we can agree to disagree. On this occasion we were dealing with a very difficult person. Q. Is it not really the case that these Whatsapp messages show that your relationship - your relationship with the GPF was already fractured before you became Commissioner? A. No, not at all. Q. All right. A. We tried Q. (Inaudible). A. We tried fixing - I tried fixing that relationship. It worked in my command for about a year and a half, and after two and a half years it fell apart as well. SIR PETER CARUANA: Thank you, Mr Ullger. A. Thank you, Sir Peter. Questioned by MR WAGNER MR WAGNER: Apologies that it is already
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 you were repeatedly referring to them suggests that you felt bad blood towards them: bastards, fools, and worse. Repeatedly, I stopped counting. It is not a big deal, I just Is your answer yes or no? Was there reciprocity of mutual hostility, yes or no? A. It was a very fractured relationship, yes, it was Q. Exactly. A. It wasn't easy. Q. All right. A. But Q. That is all I want to hear from you. A. No, but it was a relationship that we tried to work on to try and better the RGP. Q. Okay. A. But we found it extremely difficult. Q. Okay. All I am trying to get at is this, and this is absolutely my last question. THE CHAIRMAN: It is about the fourth last question. SIR PETER CARUANA: Thank you, sir. In 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 and the current Chairman and Secretary not a fractured one? It is one because we can negotiate, we can agree to disagree. On this occasion we were dealing with a very difficult person. Q. Is it not really the case that these Whatsapp messages show that your relationship - your relationship with the GPF was already fractured before you became Commissioner? A. No, not at all. Q. All right. A. We tried Q. (Inaudible). A. We tried fixing - I tried fixing that relationship. It worked in my command for about a year and a half, and after two and a half years it fell apart as well. SIR PETER CARUANA: Thank you, Mr Ullger. A. Thank you, Sir Peter. Questioned by MR WAGNER

63 (Pages 249 to 252)

1 Q. Why? 1 THE CHAIRMAN: It is not your fault. 2 2 MR WAGNER: I will be as quick as I can. A. Because Mr McGrail felt that, first and 3 THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, I am sure you will. 3 foremost he came back to his office, he called 4 4 MR WAGNER: Good afternoon, us in, and again I can't remember exact details, 5 Commissioner Ullger. The government parties 5 but certainly he alluded to the fact that he had 6 in their written submissions say that, and I am 6 had a confrontation, for a better word, between 7 paraphrasing paragraph 9, that the fact that 7 himself and the Chief Minister about the fact 8 8 there were no messages between you and that we were attempting to execute a search 9 9 Mr McGrail, notwithstanding that you were warrant on James levy. 10 10 Assistant Commissioner and Mr Grail's very Q. Do you recall whether it was soon after 12 11 11 May that Mr McGrail started talking about the close friend, about interference with Op Delhi, 12 they say that that means it is completely 12 possibility that he may have to leave? 13 implausible that there were any concerns 13 A. I can't remember exact dates, no. But I 14 14 between the senior officers about that. As in, think a turning point was certainly when he 15 if there had been concerns, it would have been 15 received the section 15 letter from the Chief 16 16 Minister and the letter from the Police in the WhatsApp messages. 17 17 A. Correct. Authority. 18 Q. And do you agree that, first of all, do you 18 Q. So that was 21 and 22 May. 19 19 agree that the fact that it was not mentioned, A. Correct. 20 20 you know, there is interference with Op Delhi Q. E871, please. At paragraph 9 of your 21 in the WhatsApp messages, means that there 21 witness statement, I think this is your third or 22 22 fourth, one of the newer ones, you say: was not any concern? 23 23 A. No, not at all. There were meetings that "Of course there were many emotive 24 24 exchanges between us in the period between we had in his ... in the Commissioner's office 25 25 where we were discussing a number of our 12 May and 9 June as I saw what I and the rest Page 253 Page 255 1 concerns around it. 1 of the RGP senior team considered an entirely 2 THE CHAIRMAN: When were those 2 unfair process in the treatment of former 3 3 meetings? Commissioner Mr McGrail." 4 4 Can you expand on why you thought it was A. Regular meetings at different times, sir. 5 5 THE CHAIRMAN: No, dates. an entirely unfair process? 6 A. I can't remember, sir. 6 A. Sorry, I am trying to find where it is. 7 THE CHAIRMAN: Where are the minutes of 7 Q. It is at the top of the page, paragraph 9. 8 8 those meetings? A. Sorry, yes. 9 A. Um, Mr Richardson would have had 9 Q. I was reading just from there. If you want 10 10 minutes of those meetings. to look at it quickly. (Pause). 11 MR WAGNER: Mr McGrail says that ... 11 A. Yes, we felt that, I mean, what we felt was 12 12 that the reason behind Mr McGrail being sorry. 13 THE CHAIRMAN: Is there any record of 13 asked to leave was the mere fact that the RGP 14 such a meeting of which you were aware? 14 had attempted to execute a warrant on 12 May 15 A. I am unsure, sir. 15 and Mr McGrail was starting to feel the 16 MR WAGNER: Mr McGrail recalls calling in 16 pressure from the Chief Minister, Interim 17 the senior command on 12 May after his 17 Governor and the GPA. 18 meeting with the Attorney General and the 18 Q. E171, please. You texted Mr McGrail on 19 Chief Minister. 19 28 May. You can see it a little bit further 20 A. That's correct. 20 down, the first white box. It says there: 21 Q. Do you recall that meeting? 21 "We were thinking about you both all the time. 22 A. Yes. 22 All we do is talk about you. It is so painful to 23 Q. Do you recall that being a concerning 23 see you so sad. Be strong, mate, you have the 24 meeting? 24 admiration of all, everyone in the command 25 A. It was, yes. 25 team is proud of you." Page 256 Page 254

64 (Pages 253 to 256)

		1	
1	Why was the command team proud of him on	1	the concerns WhatsApp group included, was
2	28 May?	2	a place where the Chief Minister made or
3	A. Um, Mr McGrail became a shadow of the	3	passed on allegations of criminality against
4	person he was. Um	4	Mr McGrail. Is that right?
5	THE CHAIRMAN: At that time?	5	A. Not only against Mr McGrail, but other
6	A. Correct, sir. Um, he was, I mean, he had	6	concerns that he was coming across, but it
7	been mentally affected by the attack that he	7	wasn't only specific to Mr McGrail.
8	was receiving and, um, obviously you have got	8	Q. Sure. Because in the part of the group that
9	to understand that he was seeing his career	9	we have, that you have disclosed or that your
10	ending prematurely and for reasons that we	10	lawyers have disclosed to the Inquiry, the
11	didn't understand at the time ourselves. So,	11	Chief Minister does not actually pass on any
12	we were offering him our full, unconditional	12	allegations against Mr McGrail of criminal
13	support.	13	conduct.
14	MR WAGNER: But why were you proud of	14	A. No, not there, no.
15	him?	15	Q. He just refers to the fact that he thinks the
16	A. Because he was a good leader.	16	allegations will be investigated and he could
17	Q. E170, please. You say: "Just spoken to	17	end up being prosecuted.
18	dad." This is at the top of the page. This is	18	A. That's correct.
19	your dad, who is also a former Commissioner	19	Q. But did he in that group also pass on
20	of Police. Is that correct?	20	allegations of, in messages we have not seen,
21	A. Correct, sir.	21	allegations of criminality against Mr McGrail?
22	Q. Yes.	22	A. No, he didn't. No.
23	"He is really upset for you and said that	23	Q. He did not.
24	whatever you need to call him. He can't	24	A. No.
25	believe this has happened to you but not	25	Q. So where you said in your witness
25	seneve uns has happened to you out not	25	Q. 50 where you said in your writess
	Page 257		Page 259
1	surprised at all."	1	statement at paragraph 6, I am sorry, I have not
2	This is on 27 May. Do you recall why your	2	noted the reference, but I am just going to read
2 3	This is on 27 May. Do you recall why your father was not surprised at all?	2 3	noted the reference, but I am just going to read it for you for time
2 3 4	This is on 27 May. Do you recall why your father was not surprised at all? A. Well, by that time, sir, the rumour mill	2 3 4	noted the reference, but I am just going to read it for you for time MR SANTOS: It is E1108.
2 3 4 5	This is on 27 May. Do you recall why your father was not surprised at all?A. Well, by that time, sir, the rumour mill around Gibraltar was quite was spreading	2 3 4 5	noted the reference, but I am just going to read it for you for time MR SANTOS: It is E1108. MR WAGNER: Thank you very much.
2 3 4 5 6	This is on 27 May. Do you recall why your father was not surprised at all?A. Well, by that time, sir, the rumour mill around Gibraltar was quite was spreading and people knew what was happening behind	2 3 4 5 6	noted the reference, but I am just going to read it for you for time MR SANTOS: It is E1108. MR WAGNER: Thank you very much. THE CHAIRMAN: Sorry, could you just give
2 3 4 5 6 7	 This is on 27 May. Do you recall why your father was not surprised at all? A. Well, by that time, sir, the rumour mill around Gibraltar was quite was spreading and people knew what was happening behind the scenes. Um, and he felt for Mr McGrail 	2 3 4 5 6 7	noted the reference, but I am just going to read it for you for time MR SANTOS: It is E1108. MR WAGNER: Thank you very much. THE CHAIRMAN: Sorry, could you just give the reference again?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 This is on 27 May. Do you recall why your father was not surprised at all? A. Well, by that time, sir, the rumour mill around Gibraltar was quite was spreading and people knew what was happening behind the scenes. Um, and he felt for Mr McGrail because they were close friends themselves. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	noted the reference, but I am just going to read it for you for time MR SANTOS: It is E1108. MR WAGNER: Thank you very much. THE CHAIRMAN: Sorry, could you just give the reference again? MR SANTOS: E1108.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 This is on 27 May. Do you recall why your father was not surprised at all? A. Well, by that time, sir, the rumour mill around Gibraltar was quite was spreading and people knew what was happening behind the scenes. Um, and he felt for Mr McGrail because they were close friends themselves. Q. But why was he not surprised? What did 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 noted the reference, but I am just going to read it for you for time MR SANTOS: It is E1108. MR WAGNER: Thank you very much. THE CHAIRMAN: Sorry, could you just give the reference again? MR SANTOS: E1108. MR WAGNER: 1108, at paragraph 6, just find
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 This is on 27 May. Do you recall why your father was not surprised at all? A. Well, by that time, sir, the rumour mill around Gibraltar was quite was spreading and people knew what was happening behind the scenes. Um, and he felt for Mr McGrail because they were close friends themselves. Q. But why was he not surprised? What did not cause him surprise about the reasons 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 noted the reference, but I am just going to read it for you for time MR SANTOS: It is E1108. MR WAGNER: Thank you very much. THE CHAIRMAN: Sorry, could you just give the reference again? MR SANTOS: E1108. MR WAGNER: 1108, at paragraph 6, just find it. This is the statement by which you disclose
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 This is on 27 May. Do you recall why your father was not surprised at all? A. Well, by that time, sir, the rumour mill around Gibraltar was quite was spreading and people knew what was happening behind the scenes. Um, and he felt for Mr McGrail because they were close friends themselves. Q. But why was he not surprised? What did not cause him surprise about the reasons behind what was going on? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 noted the reference, but I am just going to read it for you for time MR SANTOS: It is E1108. MR WAGNER: Thank you very much. THE CHAIRMAN: Sorry, could you just give the reference again? MR SANTOS: E1108. MR WAGNER: 1108, at paragraph 6, just find it. This is the statement by which you disclose those messages. You say, in the third line
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 This is on 27 May. Do you recall why your father was not surprised at all? A. Well, by that time, sir, the rumour mill around Gibraltar was quite was spreading and people knew what was happening behind the scenes. Um, and he felt for Mr McGrail because they were close friends themselves. Q. But why was he not surprised? What did not cause him surprise about the reasons behind what was going on? A. The rumour mill behind everything, which 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 noted the reference, but I am just going to read it for you for time MR SANTOS: It is E1108. MR WAGNER: Thank you very much. THE CHAIRMAN: Sorry, could you just give the reference again? MR SANTOS: E1108. MR WAGNER: 1108, at paragraph 6, just find it. This is the statement by which you disclose those messages. You say, in the third line down:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 This is on 27 May. Do you recall why your father was not surprised at all? A. Well, by that time, sir, the rumour mill around Gibraltar was quite was spreading and people knew what was happening behind the scenes. Um, and he felt for Mr McGrail because they were close friends themselves. Q. But why was he not surprised? What did not cause him surprise about the reasons behind what was going on? A. The rumour mill behind everything, which was the fact that, um, the RGP had attempted 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 noted the reference, but I am just going to read it for you for time MR SANTOS: It is E1108. MR WAGNER: Thank you very much. THE CHAIRMAN: Sorry, could you just give the reference again? MR SANTOS: E1108. MR WAGNER: 1108, at paragraph 6, just find it. This is the statement by which you disclose those messages. You say, in the third line down: "Where Mr Picardo raised numerous
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 This is on 27 May. Do you recall why your father was not surprised at all? A. Well, by that time, sir, the rumour mill around Gibraltar was quite was spreading and people knew what was happening behind the scenes. Um, and he felt for Mr McGrail because they were close friends themselves. Q. But why was he not surprised? What did not cause him surprise about the reasons behind what was going on? A. The rumour mill behind everything, which was the fact that, um, the RGP had attempted to execute a search warrant at Mr Levy's 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 noted the reference, but I am just going to read it for you for time MR SANTOS: It is E1108. MR WAGNER: Thank you very much. THE CHAIRMAN: Sorry, could you just give the reference again? MR SANTOS: E1108. MR WAGNER: 1108, at paragraph 6, just find it. This is the statement by which you disclose those messages. You say, in the third line down: "Where Mr Picardo raised numerous allegations of criminality against Mr McGrail
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 This is on 27 May. Do you recall why your father was not surprised at all? A. Well, by that time, sir, the rumour mill around Gibraltar was quite was spreading and people knew what was happening behind the scenes. Um, and he felt for Mr McGrail because they were close friends themselves. Q. But why was he not surprised? What did not cause him surprise about the reasons behind what was going on? A. The rumour mill behind everything, which was the fact that, um, the RGP had attempted to execute a search warrant at Mr Levy's office. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 noted the reference, but I am just going to read it for you for time MR SANTOS: It is E1108. MR WAGNER: Thank you very much. THE CHAIRMAN: Sorry, could you just give the reference again? MR SANTOS: E1108. MR WAGNER: 1108, at paragraph 6, just find it. This is the statement by which you disclose those messages. You say, in the third line down: "Where Mr Picardo raised numerous allegations of criminality against Mr McGrail made by the alleged whistle-blowers."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 This is on 27 May. Do you recall why your father was not surprised at all? A. Well, by that time, sir, the rumour mill around Gibraltar was quite was spreading and people knew what was happening behind the scenes. Um, and he felt for Mr McGrail because they were close friends themselves. Q. But why was he not surprised? What did not cause him surprise about the reasons behind what was going on? A. The rumour mill behind everything, which was the fact that, um, the RGP had attempted to execute a search warrant at Mr Levy's office. Q. And you felt and he felt that that had led to 	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ \end{array} $	 noted the reference, but I am just going to read it for you for time MR SANTOS: It is E1108. MR WAGNER: Thank you very much. THE CHAIRMAN: Sorry, could you just give the reference again? MR SANTOS: E1108. MR WAGNER: 1108, at paragraph 6, just find it. This is the statement by which you disclose those messages. You say, in the third line down: "Where Mr Picardo raised numerous allegations of criminality against Mr McGrail made by the alleged whistle-blowers." Is that actually incorrect, that he did not use
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ \end{array} $	 This is on 27 May. Do you recall why your father was not surprised at all? A. Well, by that time, sir, the rumour mill around Gibraltar was quite was spreading and people knew what was happening behind the scenes. Um, and he felt for Mr McGrail because they were close friends themselves. Q. But why was he not surprised? What did not cause him surprise about the reasons behind what was going on? A. The rumour mill behind everything, which was the fact that, um, the RGP had attempted to execute a search warrant at Mr Levy's office. Q. And you felt and he felt that that had led to what had happened. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 noted the reference, but I am just going to read it for you for time MR SANTOS: It is E1108. MR WAGNER: Thank you very much. THE CHAIRMAN: Sorry, could you just give the reference again? MR SANTOS: E1108. MR WAGNER: 1108, at paragraph 6, just find it. This is the statement by which you disclose those messages. You say, in the third line down: "Where Mr Picardo raised numerous allegations of criminality against Mr McGrail made by the alleged whistle-blowers." Is that actually incorrect, that he did not use this group to make the allegations? Or did he?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 This is on 27 May. Do you recall why your father was not surprised at all? A. Well, by that time, sir, the rumour mill around Gibraltar was quite was spreading and people knew what was happening behind the scenes. Um, and he felt for Mr McGrail because they were close friends themselves. Q. But why was he not surprised? What did not cause him surprise about the reasons behind what was going on? A. The rumour mill behind everything, which was the fact that, um, the RGP had attempted to execute a search warrant at Mr Levy's office. Q. And you felt and he felt that that had led to what had happened. A. Correct. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 noted the reference, but I am just going to read it for you for time MR SANTOS: It is E1108. MR WAGNER: Thank you very much. THE CHAIRMAN: Sorry, could you just give the reference again? MR SANTOS: E1108. MR WAGNER: 1108, at paragraph 6, just find it. This is the statement by which you disclose those messages. You say, in the third line down: "Where Mr Picardo raised numerous allegations of criminality against Mr McGrail made by the alleged whistle-blowers." Is that actually incorrect, that he did not use this group to make the allegations? Or did he? A. He alluded to them. So, he alluded to the
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\end{array} $	 This is on 27 May. Do you recall why your father was not surprised at all? A. Well, by that time, sir, the rumour mill around Gibraltar was quite was spreading and people knew what was happening behind the scenes. Um, and he felt for Mr McGrail because they were close friends themselves. Q. But why was he not surprised? What did not cause him surprise about the reasons behind what was going on? A. The rumour mill behind everything, which was the fact that, um, the RGP had attempted to execute a search warrant at Mr Levy's office. Q. And you felt and he felt that that had led to what had happened. A. Correct. Q. Samantha Sacramento, was one purpose of 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 noted the reference, but I am just going to read it for you for time MR SANTOS: It is E1108. MR WAGNER: Thank you very much. THE CHAIRMAN: Sorry, could you just give the reference again? MR SANTOS: E1108. MR WAGNER: 1108, at paragraph 6, just find it. This is the statement by which you disclose those messages. You say, in the third line down: "Where Mr Picardo raised numerous allegations of criminality against Mr McGrail made by the alleged whistle-blowers." Is that actually incorrect, that he did not use this group to make the allegations? Or did he? A. He alluded to them. So, he alluded to the fact about whistle-blowers.
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\end{array} $	 This is on 27 May. Do you recall why your father was not surprised at all? A. Well, by that time, sir, the rumour mill around Gibraltar was quite was spreading and people knew what was happening behind the scenes. Um, and he felt for Mr McGrail because they were close friends themselves. Q. But why was he not surprised? What did not cause him surprise about the reasons behind what was going on? A. The rumour mill behind everything, which was the fact that, um, the RGP had attempted to execute a search warrant at Mr Levy's office. Q. And you felt and he felt that that had led to what had happened. A. Correct. Q. Samantha Sacramento, was one purpose of your interaction with Samantha Sacramento to 	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\\end{array} $	 noted the reference, but I am just going to read it for you for time MR SANTOS: It is E1108. MR WAGNER: Thank you very much. THE CHAIRMAN: Sorry, could you just give the reference again? MR SANTOS: E1108. MR WAGNER: 1108, at paragraph 6, just find it. This is the statement by which you disclose those messages. You say, in the third line down: "Where Mr Picardo raised numerous allegations of criminality against Mr McGrail made by the alleged whistle-blowers." Is that actually incorrect, that he did not use this group to make the allegations? Or did he? A. He alluded to them. So, he alluded to the fact about whistle-blowers. Q. But he did not raise the allegations
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\end{array} $	 This is on 27 May. Do you recall why your father was not surprised at all? A. Well, by that time, sir, the rumour mill around Gibraltar was quite was spreading and people knew what was happening behind the scenes. Um, and he felt for Mr McGrail because they were close friends themselves. Q. But why was he not surprised? What did not cause him surprise about the reasons behind what was going on? A. The rumour mill behind everything, which was the fact that, um, the RGP had attempted to execute a search warrant at Mr Levy's office. Q. And you felt and he felt that that had led to what had happened. A. Correct. Q. Samantha Sacramento, was one purpose of your interaction with Samantha Sacramento to ask her to help to defuse the dispute between 	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\end{array} $	 noted the reference, but I am just going to read it for you for time MR SANTOS: It is E1108. MR WAGNER: Thank you very much. THE CHAIRMAN: Sorry, could you just give the reference again? MR SANTOS: E1108. MR WAGNER: 1108, at paragraph 6, just find it. This is the statement by which you disclose those messages. You say, in the third line down: "Where Mr Picardo raised numerous allegations of criminality against Mr McGrail made by the alleged whistle-blowers." Is that actually incorrect, that he did not use this group to make the allegations? Or did he? A. He alluded to them. So, he alluded to the fact about whistle-blowers. Q. But he did not raise the allegations themselves in this WhatsApp group.
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ \end{array}$	 This is on 27 May. Do you recall why your father was not surprised at all? A. Well, by that time, sir, the rumour mill around Gibraltar was quite was spreading and people knew what was happening behind the scenes. Um, and he felt for Mr McGrail because they were close friends themselves. Q. But why was he not surprised? What did not cause him surprise about the reasons behind what was going on? A. The rumour mill behind everything, which was the fact that, um, the RGP had attempted to execute a search warrant at Mr Levy's office. Q. And you felt and he felt that that had led to what had happened. A. Correct. Q. Samantha Sacramento, was one purpose of your interaction with Samantha Sacramento to ask her to help to defuse the dispute between Mr McGrail and Mr Picardo? 	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\end{array} $	 noted the reference, but I am just going to read it for you for time MR SANTOS: It is E1108. MR WAGNER: Thank you very much. THE CHAIRMAN: Sorry, could you just give the reference again? MR SANTOS: E1108. MR WAGNER: 1108, at paragraph 6, just find it. This is the statement by which you disclose those messages. You say, in the third line down: "Where Mr Picardo raised numerous allegations of criminality against Mr McGrail made by the alleged whistle-blowers." Is that actually incorrect, that he did not use this group to make the allegations? Or did he? A. He alluded to them. So, he alluded to the fact about whistle-blowers. Q. But he did not raise the allegations themselves in this WhatsApp group. A. No.
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ \end{array}$	 This is on 27 May. Do you recall why your father was not surprised at all? A. Well, by that time, sir, the rumour mill around Gibraltar was quite was spreading and people knew what was happening behind the scenes. Um, and he felt for Mr McGrail because they were close friends themselves. Q. But why was he not surprised? What did not cause him surprise about the reasons behind what was going on? A. The rumour mill behind everything, which was the fact that, um, the RGP had attempted to execute a search warrant at Mr Levy's office. Q. And you felt and he felt that that had led to what had happened. A. Correct. Q. Samantha Sacramento, was one purpose of your interaction with Samantha Sacramento to ask her to help to defuse the dispute between Mr McGrail and Mr Picardo? A. Correct. 	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\\23\end{array} $	 noted the reference, but I am just going to read it for you for time MR SANTOS: It is E1108. MR WAGNER: Thank you very much. THE CHAIRMAN: Sorry, could you just give the reference again? MR SANTOS: E1108. MR WAGNER: 1108, at paragraph 6, just find it. This is the statement by which you disclose those messages. You say, in the third line down: "Where Mr Picardo raised numerous allegations of criminality against Mr McGrail made by the alleged whistle-blowers." Is that actually incorrect, that he did not use this group to make the allegations? Or did he? A. He alluded to them. So, he alluded to the fact about whistle-blowers. Q. But he did not raise the allegations themselves in this WhatsApp group. A. No. Q. Now, you maintained a very careful
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\\ \end{array}$	 This is on 27 May. Do you recall why your father was not surprised at all? A. Well, by that time, sir, the rumour mill around Gibraltar was quite was spreading and people knew what was happening behind the scenes. Um, and he felt for Mr McGrail because they were close friends themselves. Q. But why was he not surprised? What did not cause him surprise about the reasons behind what was going on? A. The rumour mill behind everything, which was the fact that, um, the RGP had attempted to execute a search warrant at Mr Levy's office. Q. And you felt and he felt that that had led to what had happened. A. Correct. Q. Samantha Sacramento, was one purpose of your interaction with Samantha Sacramento to ask her to help to defuse the dispute between Mr McGrail and Mr Picardo? A. Correct. Q. The concerns WhatsApp group, you said, I 	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\\23\\24\end{array} $	 noted the reference, but I am just going to read it for you for time MR SANTOS: It is E1108. MR WAGNER: Thank you very much. THE CHAIRMAN: Sorry, could you just give the reference again? MR SANTOS: E1108. MR WAGNER: 1108, at paragraph 6, just find it. This is the statement by which you disclose those messages. You say, in the third line down: "Where Mr Picardo raised numerous allegations of criminality against Mr McGrail made by the alleged whistle-blowers." Is that actually incorrect, that he did not use this group to make the allegations? Or did he? A. He alluded to them. So, he alluded to the fact about whistle-blowers. Q. But he did not raise the allegations themselves in this WhatsApp group. A. No. Q. Now, you maintained a very careful separation between yourself and Mr McGrail
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ \end{array}$	 This is on 27 May. Do you recall why your father was not surprised at all? A. Well, by that time, sir, the rumour mill around Gibraltar was quite was spreading and people knew what was happening behind the scenes. Um, and he felt for Mr McGrail because they were close friends themselves. Q. But why was he not surprised? What did not cause him surprise about the reasons behind what was going on? A. The rumour mill behind everything, which was the fact that, um, the RGP had attempted to execute a search warrant at Mr Levy's office. Q. And you felt and he felt that that had led to what had happened. A. Correct. Q. Samantha Sacramento, was one purpose of your interaction with Samantha Sacramento to ask her to help to defuse the dispute between Mr McGrail and Mr Picardo? A. Correct. 	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\\23\end{array} $	 noted the reference, but I am just going to read it for you for time MR SANTOS: It is E1108. MR WAGNER: Thank you very much. THE CHAIRMAN: Sorry, could you just give the reference again? MR SANTOS: E1108. MR WAGNER: 1108, at paragraph 6, just find it. This is the statement by which you disclose those messages. You say, in the third line down: "Where Mr Picardo raised numerous allegations of criminality against Mr McGrail made by the alleged whistle-blowers." Is that actually incorrect, that he did not use this group to make the allegations? Or did he? A. He alluded to them. So, he alluded to the fact about whistle-blowers. Q. But he did not raise the allegations themselves in this WhatsApp group. A. No. Q. Now, you maintained a very careful
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\\ \end{array}$	 This is on 27 May. Do you recall why your father was not surprised at all? A. Well, by that time, sir, the rumour mill around Gibraltar was quite was spreading and people knew what was happening behind the scenes. Um, and he felt for Mr McGrail because they were close friends themselves. Q. But why was he not surprised? What did not cause him surprise about the reasons behind what was going on? A. The rumour mill behind everything, which was the fact that, um, the RGP had attempted to execute a search warrant at Mr Levy's office. Q. And you felt and he felt that that had led to what had happened. A. Correct. Q. Samantha Sacramento, was one purpose of your interaction with Samantha Sacramento to ask her to help to defuse the dispute between Mr McGrail and Mr Picardo? A. Correct. Q. The concerns WhatsApp group, you said, I 	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\\23\\24\end{array} $	 noted the reference, but I am just going to read it for you for time MR SANTOS: It is E1108. MR WAGNER: Thank you very much. THE CHAIRMAN: Sorry, could you just give the reference again? MR SANTOS: E1108. MR WAGNER: 1108, at paragraph 6, just find it. This is the statement by which you disclose those messages. You say, in the third line down: "Where Mr Picardo raised numerous allegations of criminality against Mr McGrail made by the alleged whistle-blowers." Is that actually incorrect, that he did not use this group to make the allegations? Or did he? A. He alluded to them. So, he alluded to the fact about whistle-blowers. Q. But he did not raise the allegations themselves in this WhatsApp group. A. No. Q. Now, you maintained a very careful separation between yourself and Mr McGrail

Lower Ground Floor, 46 Chancery Lane www.epiqglobal.com casemanagers@epiqglobal.com London, WC2A 1JE

65 (Pages 257 to 260)

1	other matters after he left, did you not?	1	person a couple of months ago vis-à-vis the
2	A. I did, sir.	2	Inquiry, or was it just independently?
3	Q. On reflection and looking back at this	3	A. Independently.
4	WhatsApp group with the Chief Minister, I	4	Q. Yes. Do you think it was appropriate for
5	appreciate the Chief Minister set it up, should	5	him to be raising that with you, asking you
6	you have maintained more of a separation	6	about extant criminal investigations against
7	between you and the Chief Minister in relation	7	someone that he had a link to?
8	to matters relating to the Inquiry?	8	A. He just raised it and I answered it and I
9	A. In what respect, sorry?	9	gave him little detail.
10	Q. Would it have been better if the Chief	10	Q. But as far as you are concerned,
11	Minister wanted to raise issues about	11	Mr McGrail did not try to access any
12	Mr McGrail, interactions with the RGP with	12	information
13	reference to the Inquiry, that should probably	13	A. No, not at all.
14	have all been done through the lawyers rather	14	Q about that individual.
15	than directly with you. Is that fair?	15	A. Not at all.
16	A. It is reasonable, yes.	16	Q. Did Mr Picardo ask you again about that
17	Q. Yes. E1115, please. (Pause). Sorry, if you	17	individual after this text?
18	just go back, please, to the page before. And	18	A. No, he didn't, no.
19	go down, sorry, it is in the concerns WhatsApp	19	Q. Just finally in relation to sorry, just two
20	group. I think it is 1115, yes. So there, yes, it	20	points. In relation to Mr Baglietto, just to
20	is at the very bottom, the names have now	20	clarify something that was put to you before.
21	•	21	
	been redacted, I am not going to mention the		Now, my understanding of Mr Baglietto's
23	name. This is the Chief Minister:	23	evidence was that he gave evidence that after
24	"I am being told that Mr McGrail has been	24	the Inquiry was announced he deleted
25	granted access by Special Branch to the files	25	a number of his WhatsApp messages but the
	Page 261		Page 263
1	valating to the Ecomothinal matter "	1	two events were not related in his mind. He
1	relating to the [something] matter."	1	two events were not related in his mind. He
2	And that was a name, was it not, of	2	did not do one because of the other, but he
2 3	And that was a name, was it not, of an individual?	2 3	did not do one because of the other, but he deleted a number of WhatsApp messages.
2 3 4	And that was a name, was it not, of an individual? A. Correct.	2 3 4	did not do one because of the other, but he deleted a number of WhatsApp messages. That was his evidence. Am I right, is it
2 3 4 5	And that was a name, was it not, of an individual?A. Correct.Q. That has been redacted. "For the purpose	2 3 4 5	did not do one because of the other, but he deleted a number of WhatsApp messages. That was his evidence. Am I right, is it correct, that your evidence is not that you
2 3 4 5 6	And that was a name, was it not, of an individual?A. Correct.Q. That has been redacted. "For the purpose of use in the Inquiry." And just further down:	2 3 4 5 6	did not do one because of the other, but he deleted a number of WhatsApp messages. That was his evidence. Am I right, is it correct, that your evidence is not that you deleted messages, it is that you lost access to
2 3 4 5 6 7	And that was a name, was it not, of an individual?A. Correct.Q. That has been redacted. "For the purpose of use in the Inquiry." And just further down: "Is this something which you are aware of or	2 3 4 5 6 7	did not do one because of the other, but he deleted a number of WhatsApp messages. That was his evidence. Am I right, is it correct, that your evidence is not that you deleted messages, it is that you lost access to messages?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 And that was a name, was it not, of an individual? A. Correct. Q. That has been redacted. "For the purpose of use in the Inquiry." And just further down: "Is this something which you are aware of or which the RGP or any member is assisting or 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	did not do one because of the other, but he deleted a number of WhatsApp messages. That was his evidence. Am I right, is it correct, that your evidence is not that you deleted messages, it is that you lost access to messages? A. That is correct, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 And that was a name, was it not, of an individual? A. Correct. Q. That has been redacted. "For the purpose of use in the Inquiry." And just further down: "Is this something which you are aware of or which the RGP or any member is assisting or facilitating information to Mr McGrail without 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 did not do one because of the other, but he deleted a number of WhatsApp messages. That was his evidence. Am I right, is it correct, that your evidence is not that you deleted messages, it is that you lost access to messages? A. That is correct, yes. MR WAGNER: Yes. (Pause). I am just going
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 And that was a name, was it not, of an individual? A. Correct. Q. That has been redacted. "For the purpose of use in the Inquiry." And just further down: "Is this something which you are aware of or which the RGP or any member is assisting or facilitating information to Mr McGrail without an order from the Inquiry Chairman to provide 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 did not do one because of the other, but he deleted a number of WhatsApp messages. That was his evidence. Am I right, is it correct, that your evidence is not that you deleted messages, it is that you lost access to messages? A. That is correct, yes. MR WAGNER: Yes. (Pause). I am just going to turn my back. Those are my questions,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 And that was a name, was it not, of an individual? A. Correct. Q. That has been redacted. "For the purpose of use in the Inquiry." And just further down: "Is this something which you are aware of or which the RGP or any member is assisting or facilitating information to Mr McGrail without an order from the Inquiry Chairman to provide such information?" 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 did not do one because of the other, but he deleted a number of WhatsApp messages. That was his evidence. Am I right, is it correct, that your evidence is not that you deleted messages, it is that you lost access to messages? A. That is correct, yes. MR WAGNER: Yes. (Pause). I am just going to turn my back. Those are my questions, thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 And that was a name, was it not, of an individual? A. Correct. Q. That has been redacted. "For the purpose of use in the Inquiry." And just further down: "Is this something which you are aware of or which the RGP or any member is assisting or facilitating information to Mr McGrail without an order from the Inquiry Chairman to provide such information?" And as we go down, your response, you say 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 did not do one because of the other, but he deleted a number of WhatsApp messages. That was his evidence. Am I right, is it correct, that your evidence is not that you deleted messages, it is that you lost access to messages? A. That is correct, yes. MR WAGNER: Yes. (Pause). I am just going to turn my back. Those are my questions, thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	And that was a name, was it not, of an individual? A. Correct. Q. That has been redacted. "For the purpose of use in the Inquiry." And just further down: "Is this something which you are aware of or which the RGP or any member is assisting or facilitating information to Mr McGrail without an order from the Inquiry Chairman to provide such information?" And as we go down, your response, you say that he has raised various allegations. But in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 did not do one because of the other, but he deleted a number of WhatsApp messages. That was his evidence. Am I right, is it correct, that your evidence is not that you deleted messages, it is that you lost access to messages? A. That is correct, yes. MR WAGNER: Yes. (Pause). I am just going to turn my back. Those are my questions, thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Yes. MR GIBBS: Sir, might I just? There was one
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	And that was a name, was it not, of an individual? A. Correct. Q. That has been redacted. "For the purpose of use in the Inquiry." And just further down: "Is this something which you are aware of or which the RGP or any member is assisting or facilitating information to Mr McGrail without an order from the Inquiry Chairman to provide such information?" And as we go down, your response, you say that he has raised various allegations. But in relation to point 2, and this is the second	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 did not do one because of the other, but he deleted a number of WhatsApp messages. That was his evidence. Am I right, is it correct, that your evidence is not that you deleted messages, it is that you lost access to messages? A. That is correct, yes. MR WAGNER: Yes. (Pause). I am just going to turn my back. Those are my questions, thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Yes. MR GIBBS: Sir, might I just? There was one topic which I know that my learned friend
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	And that was a name, was it not, of an individual? A. Correct. Q. That has been redacted. "For the purpose of use in the Inquiry." And just further down: "Is this something which you are aware of or which the RGP or any member is assisting or facilitating information to Mr McGrail without an order from the Inquiry Chairman to provide such information?" And as we go down, your response, you say that he has raised various allegations. But in relation to point 2, and this is the second paragraph down:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 did not do one because of the other, but he deleted a number of WhatsApp messages. That was his evidence. Am I right, is it correct, that your evidence is not that you deleted messages, it is that you lost access to messages? A. That is correct, yes. MR WAGNER: Yes. (Pause). I am just going to turn my back. Those are my questions, thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Yes. MR GIBBS: Sir, might I just? There was one topic which I know that my learned friend Mr Santos intended to absorb from me but
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	And that was a name, was it not, of an individual? A. Correct. Q. That has been redacted. "For the purpose of use in the Inquiry." And just further down: "Is this something which you are aware of or which the RGP or any member is assisting or facilitating information to Mr McGrail without an order from the Inquiry Chairman to provide such information?" And as we go down, your response, you say that he has raised various allegations. But in relation to point 2, and this is the second paragraph down: "Special Branch has housed at New Mole	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 did not do one because of the other, but he deleted a number of WhatsApp messages. That was his evidence. Am I right, is it correct, that your evidence is not that you deleted messages, it is that you lost access to messages? A. That is correct, yes. MR WAGNER: Yes. (Pause). I am just going to turn my back. Those are my questions, thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Yes. MR GIBBS: Sir, might I just? There was one topic which I know that my learned friend Mr Santos intended to absorb from me but which perhaps late in the day he decided not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	And that was a name, was it not, of an individual? A. Correct. Q. That has been redacted. "For the purpose of use in the Inquiry." And just further down: "Is this something which you are aware of or which the RGP or any member is assisting or facilitating information to Mr McGrail without an order from the Inquiry Chairman to provide such information?" And as we go down, your response, you say that he has raised various allegations. But in relation to point 2, and this is the second paragraph down: "Special Branch has housed at New Mole House Police Station and Ian McGrail. As I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 did not do one because of the other, but he deleted a number of WhatsApp messages. That was his evidence. Am I right, is it correct, that your evidence is not that you deleted messages, it is that you lost access to messages? A. That is correct, yes. MR WAGNER: Yes. (Pause). I am just going to turn my back. Those are my questions, thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Yes. MR GIBBS: Sir, might I just? There was one topic which I know that my learned friend Mr Santos intended to absorb from me but which perhaps late in the day he decided not to. And it has been raised by some of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	And that was a name, was it not, of an individual? A. Correct. Q. That has been redacted. "For the purpose of use in the Inquiry." And just further down: "Is this something which you are aware of or which the RGP or any member is assisting or facilitating information to Mr McGrail without an order from the Inquiry Chairman to provide such information?" And as we go down, your response, you say that he has raised various allegations. But in relation to point 2, and this is the second paragraph down: "Special Branch has housed at New Mole House Police Station and Ian McGrail. As I reassured you in my text on Tuesday, he has	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	did not do one because of the other, but he deleted a number of WhatsApp messages. That was his evidence. Am I right, is it correct, that your evidence is not that you deleted messages, it is that you lost access to messages? A. That is correct, yes. MR WAGNER: Yes. (Pause). I am just going to turn my back. Those are my questions, thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Yes. MR GIBBS: Sir, might I just? There was one topic which I know that my learned friend Mr Santos intended to absorb from me but which perhaps late in the day he decided not to. And it has been raised by some of the propositions
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ \end{array} $	And that was a name, was it not, of an individual? A. Correct. Q. That has been redacted. "For the purpose of use in the Inquiry." And just further down: "Is this something which you are aware of or which the RGP or any member is assisting or facilitating information to Mr McGrail without an order from the Inquiry Chairman to provide such information?" And as we go down, your response, you say that he has raised various allegations. But in relation to point 2, and this is the second paragraph down: "Special Branch has housed at New Mole House Police Station and Ian McGrail. As I reassured you in my text on Tuesday, he has not visited New Mole House. In actual fact, as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	did not do one because of the other, but he deleted a number of WhatsApp messages. That was his evidence. Am I right, is it correct, that your evidence is not that you deleted messages, it is that you lost access to messages? A. That is correct, yes. MR WAGNER: Yes. (Pause). I am just going to turn my back. Those are my questions, thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Yes. MR GIBBS: Sir, might I just? There was one topic which I know that my learned friend Mr Santos intended to absorb from me but which perhaps late in the day he decided not to. And it has been raised by some of the propositions THE CHAIRMAN: Carry on.
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ \end{array} $	And that was a name, was it not, of an individual? A. Correct. Q. That has been redacted. "For the purpose of use in the Inquiry." And just further down: "Is this something which you are aware of or which the RGP or any member is assisting or facilitating information to Mr McGrail without an order from the Inquiry Chairman to provide such information?" And as we go down, your response, you say that he has raised various allegations. But in relation to point 2, and this is the second paragraph down: "Special Branch has housed at New Mole House Police Station and Ian McGrail. As I reassured you in my text on Tuesday, he has not visited New Mole House. In actual fact, as I told you a couple of months ago, we are also	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ \end{array} $	did not do one because of the other, but he deleted a number of WhatsApp messages. That was his evidence. Am I right, is it correct, that your evidence is not that you deleted messages, it is that you lost access to messages? A. That is correct, yes. MR WAGNER: Yes. (Pause). I am just going to turn my back. Those are my questions, thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Yes. MR GIBBS: Sir, might I just? There was one topic which I know that my learned friend Mr Santos intended to absorb from me but which perhaps late in the day he decided not to. And it has been raised by some of the propositions THE CHAIRMAN: Carry on. MR GIBBS: put on behalf of Hassans by
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ \end{array}$	And that was a name, was it not, of an individual? A. Correct. Q. That has been redacted. "For the purpose of use in the Inquiry." And just further down: "Is this something which you are aware of or which the RGP or any member is assisting or facilitating information to Mr McGrail without an order from the Inquiry Chairman to provide such information?" And as we go down, your response, you say that he has raised various allegations. But in relation to point 2, and this is the second paragraph down: "Special Branch has housed at New Mole House Police Station and Ian McGrail. As I reassured you in my text on Tuesday, he has not visited New Mole House. In actual fact, as I told you a couple of months ago, we are also running no investigation against [somebody]."	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\end{array} $	did not do one because of the other, but he deleted a number of WhatsApp messages. That was his evidence. Am I right, is it correct, that your evidence is not that you deleted messages, it is that you lost access to messages? A. That is correct, yes. MR WAGNER: Yes. (Pause). I am just going to turn my back. Those are my questions, thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Yes. MR GIBBS: Sir, might I just? There was one topic which I know that my learned friend Mr Santos intended to absorb from me but which perhaps late in the day he decided not to. And it has been raised by some of the propositions THE CHAIRMAN: Carry on. MR GIBBS: put on behalf of Hassans by Sir Peter Caruana and I wondered whether
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ \end{array}$	And that was a name, was it not, of an individual? A. Correct. Q. That has been redacted. "For the purpose of use in the Inquiry." And just further down: "Is this something which you are aware of or which the RGP or any member is assisting or facilitating information to Mr McGrail without an order from the Inquiry Chairman to provide such information?" And as we go down, your response, you say that he has raised various allegations. But in relation to point 2, and this is the second paragraph down: "Special Branch has housed at New Mole House Police Station and Ian McGrail. As I reassured you in my text on Tuesday, he has not visited New Mole House. In actual fact, as I told you a couple of months ago, we are also running no investigation against [somebody]." Was that somebody, somebody who you knew	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ \end{array}$	did not do one because of the other, but he deleted a number of WhatsApp messages. That was his evidence. Am I right, is it correct, that your evidence is not that you deleted messages, it is that you lost access to messages? A. That is correct, yes. MR WAGNER: Yes. (Pause). I am just going to turn my back. Those are my questions, thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Yes. MR GIBBS: Sir, might I just? There was one topic which I know that my learned friend Mr Santos intended to absorb from me but which perhaps late in the day he decided not to. And it has been raised by some of the propositions THE CHAIRMAN: Carry on. MR GIBBS: put on behalf of Hassans by Sir Peter Caruana and I wondered whether THE CHAIRMAN: You could pursue the
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ \end{array}$	And that was a name, was it not, of an individual? A. Correct. Q. That has been redacted. "For the purpose of use in the Inquiry." And just further down: "Is this something which you are aware of or which the RGP or any member is assisting or facilitating information to Mr McGrail without an order from the Inquiry Chairman to provide such information?" And as we go down, your response, you say that he has raised various allegations. But in relation to point 2, and this is the second paragraph down: "Special Branch has housed at New Mole House Police Station and Ian McGrail. As I reassured you in my text on Tuesday, he has not visited New Mole House. In actual fact, as I told you a couple of months ago, we are also running no investigation against [somebody]." Was that somebody, somebody who you knew the Chief Minister to have a link to?	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ \end{array}$	did not do one because of the other, but he deleted a number of WhatsApp messages. That was his evidence. Am I right, is it correct, that your evidence is not that you deleted messages, it is that you lost access to messages? A. That is correct, yes. MR WAGNER: Yes. (Pause). I am just going to turn my back. Those are my questions, thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Yes. MR GIBBS: Sir, might I just? There was one topic which I know that my learned friend Mr Santos intended to absorb from me but which perhaps late in the day he decided not to. And it has been raised by some of the propositions THE CHAIRMAN: Carry on. MR GIBBS: put on behalf of Hassans by Sir Peter Caruana and I wondered whether THE CHAIRMAN: You could pursue the matter shortly.
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\\ \end{array}$	And that was a name, was it not, of an individual? A. Correct. Q. That has been redacted. "For the purpose of use in the Inquiry." And just further down: "Is this something which you are aware of or which the RGP or any member is assisting or facilitating information to Mr McGrail without an order from the Inquiry Chairman to provide such information?" And as we go down, your response, you say that he has raised various allegations. But in relation to point 2, and this is the second paragraph down: "Special Branch has housed at New Mole House Police Station and Ian McGrail. As I reassured you in my text on Tuesday, he has not visited New Mole House. In actual fact, as I told you a couple of months ago, we are also running no investigation against [somebody]." Was that somebody, somebody who you knew the Chief Minister to have a link to? A. Yes.	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\\ \end{array}$	 did not do one because of the other, but he deleted a number of WhatsApp messages. That was his evidence. Am I right, is it correct, that your evidence is not that you deleted messages, it is that you lost access to messages? A. That is correct, yes. MR WAGNER: Yes. (Pause). I am just going to turn my back. Those are my questions, thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Yes. MR GIBBS: Sir, might I just? There was one topic which I know that my learned friend Mr Santos intended to absorb from me but which perhaps late in the day he decided not to. And it has been raised by some of the propositions THE CHAIRMAN: Carry on. MR GIBBS: put on behalf of Hassans by Sir Peter Caruana and I wondered whether THE CHAIRMAN: You could pursue the matter shortly. MR GIBBS: Yes, thank you very much. The
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ \end{array}$	And that was a name, was it not, of an individual? A. Correct. Q. That has been redacted. "For the purpose of use in the Inquiry." And just further down: "Is this something which you are aware of or which the RGP or any member is assisting or facilitating information to Mr McGrail without an order from the Inquiry Chairman to provide such information?" And as we go down, your response, you say that he has raised various allegations. But in relation to point 2, and this is the second paragraph down: "Special Branch has housed at New Mole House Police Station and Ian McGrail. As I reassured you in my text on Tuesday, he has not visited New Mole House. In actual fact, as I told you a couple of months ago, we are also running no investigation against [somebody]." Was that somebody, somebody who you knew the Chief Minister to have a link to?	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ \end{array}$	did not do one because of the other, but he deleted a number of WhatsApp messages. That was his evidence. Am I right, is it correct, that your evidence is not that you deleted messages, it is that you lost access to messages? A. That is correct, yes. MR WAGNER: Yes. (Pause). I am just going to turn my back. Those are my questions, thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Yes. MR GIBBS: Sir, might I just? There was one topic which I know that my learned friend Mr Santos intended to absorb from me but which perhaps late in the day he decided not to. And it has been raised by some of the propositions THE CHAIRMAN: Carry on. MR GIBBS: put on behalf of Hassans by Sir Peter Caruana and I wondered whether THE CHAIRMAN: You could pursue the matter shortly.

66 (Pages 261 to 264)

1	Mr McGrail was arrested on an allegation of	1	confidential information that had come to you
2	sexual impropriety. Did you know that?	2	in the course of your job about either of those
3	A. Yes, sir.	3	cases?
4	Q. And he was also arrested on an allegation	4	A. It would be totally unethical for me to do
5	of data breach.	5	so, sir.
6	A. Which I think happened before the sexual	6	Q. Totally unethical, yes. Did you suggest to
7	offence, yes.	7	him or to his lawyers challenges that might be
8		8	made to the police action?
	Q. Thank you. And in relation to the sexual	1	*
9	allegation he was acquitted and in relation to	9	A. Not at all, sir.
10	the other he was released without charge.	10	Q. Did you suggest to him or to his lawyers
11	A. That's correct, sir.	11	lines of defence that he as the suspect might
12	Q. When he was under suspicion on either of	12	run?
13	those two occasions, apart from	13	A. No, sir, not at all.
14	THE CHAIRMAN: Just hang on. The matter	14	Q. Do you try to influence the decision
15	in which he was released without charge may	15	whether Mr McGrail should be interviewed?
16	still be under investigation, may it not?	16	A. Not at all.
17	MR GIBBS: Possibly. The point is as to this	17	Q. Did you try to dissuade the Director of
18	officer's behaviour during that period.	18	Public Prosecutions or the Attorney General
19	THE CHAIRMAN: Okay, but I just think that	19	from proceeding against him?
20	the premise might be slightly incorrect.	20	A. No, sir.
21	MR GIBBS: Right, thank you. Thank you.	21	Q. Did you try to influence the decision
22	THE CHAIRMAN: I may be wrong, but I am	22	whether his mobile telephone should be
23	not completely confident.	23	examined?
24	MR SANTOS: I think that is consistent with	24	A. Not at all, sir.
25	what we have heard today.	25	Q. And why did you not do any of those
	Page 265		Page 267
1	THE CHAIDMANL Y	1	41 June 2
1	THE CHAIRMAN: Yes.		things?
2	MR SANTOS: Did the question relate to the	2	A. Because, um, it was important that I
3	data breach or the hard drive? Data breach,	3	protected the Royal Gibraltar Police, it was
4	then my understanding is as far as the data	4	important that I protected the integrity of the
5	breach is concerned, he has been released	5	investigation and obviously protected
6	without charge and we were told this afternoon	6	Mr McGrail at the same time. But all the
7	that in relation to the hard drive, that remains	7	questions that you have asked me, sir, it would
8	ongoing.	8	have been unethical of me to do any of those.
9	THE CHAIRMAN: That is another data	9	Q. And arising from Mr Peter Caruana's
10	breach.	10	questions, you and he had been great friends.
11	MR SANTOS: Well, I think there is	11	A. The best of friends.
12	an allegation, yes.	12	Q. You had worked for the same organisation
13	MR GIBBS: I am sorry to have complicated	13	for years and years.
14	what I thought was a very straightforward	14	A. Yes, we did, sir.
15	proposition. In relation to the release without	15	Q. He was your senior colleague in the same
16	charge, when he was under suspicion in	16	organisation.
17	relation to either of those things, apart from	17	A. He was, sir.
18	expressing sympathy, did you speak to him	18	Q. Obviously not a solicitor's firm but
19	privately about those cases?	19	a police force. And did you need to have the
20	A. Not at all.	20	obvious conflict of interest pointed out to you?
21	Q. Or to his lawyers privately	21	A. No, sir, not at all. I knew my position.
22	A. Not at all.	22	Q. The question of the seizure of mobile
23	Q about either case?	23	telephones, which I think it has been suggested
24	A. Not at all.	24	will be the first thing you would look at if you
25	Q. Or pass on to him or to his lawyers	25	were, what, investigating crime, Mr Peter
	Page 266		Page 268

www.epiqglobal.com Lower Ground Floor, 46 Chancery Lane casemanagers@epiqglobal.com London, WC2A 1JE

67 (Pages 265 to 268)

1Openshaw says, for instance, for a drug dealer, would you look very quickly to seize the person's telephone?1these, which was the most significant source? Was it the meetings, the minutes, the emails, the attachments to the emails, the case files A. Ares, sir.2Q. But the same would apply in a conspiracy it defraud - a. Ares, sir.3A. All of those, sir.3Q. Shoultely, sir. A. Yes, sir.4A. All of those, sir.4A. Absolutely, sir. a. Vers, sir.5Q. Are the bottom?10Q. And why is that?10A. Very.11A. Because if where there would be evidence.10Q. Are the bottom?12Q. And of course it might be evidence that would prove guit.11Questioned by MR COOPER Unscioned by MR COOPER13W. Course it might be evidence that police.11Questioned by MR COOPER With old like to larify, if I may. It relation to the hard drive is ongoing. I would lipst like to stablish, if I may, what is the guestion.12Q. In which case it would be vital for the police.11Othe hard drive is ongoing. I would lipst like to stablish, if I may, what is the guestion.13A. Absolutely, sir. Q. In which case th would for the gelf end.21112Q. In which case the outents of the device.23113A. Heeds, sir. Page 209242111obviously be vital to preserve the contents of the device.11of the fact of an ongoing live criminal investigation?3A. Yes, sir. Q. Or to back it up and				
3 person's telephone? 3 the attachments to the cmails, the case files 4 A. Yes, sir. 0. But the same would apply in a conspiracy in defraud - 4 A. All of those, sir. 6 if defraud - 0. Where in that spectrum of significance did the Whatsapps on the investigating officers' 7 A. Yes, sir. 0. And of ourse it might be evidence that 9 0. At the bottom? 10 Q. And of ourse it might be evidence that 10 MR COOPER: Three is cone point arising 11 would prove guilt. 11 14 relates to what we have just learned, which is that, as 1 understand, the data breach in arelation to the hard drive is ongoing. I would ig just like to establish, if I may, what is the current status of the criminal investigation? 11 obviously be vital for the addefrace. 11 11 11 12 Q. In which case it would be vital for the addefrace. 12 A. Yes, sir. 12 12 Q. In which circumstances it would 12 11 11 11 11 13 deferace. 12 11 11 11 11 11 12 Q. In which case it would be vital for the addefrace. 12 11 11 11	1	Openshaw says, for instance, for a drug dealer,	1	those, which was the most significant source?
4 A. All of those, sir. 5 Q. But the same would apply in a conspiracy in defraud 7 A. Absolutely, sir. 9 Q or in a case of corruption? 9 A. Yes, sir. 10 Q. And why is that? 11 A. Because it's where there would be evidence. 12 evidence. 13 Q. And of course it might be evidence that 14 would prove guit. 15 A. Orrect, sir. 16 Q. In which case it would be vital for the police. 17 Police. 18 A. Absolutely, sir. 19 Q. Or it might be evidence which would prove inmocence. 10 Q. In which case it would be vital for the case defence. 12 defence. 12 A. Absolutely. 13 obviously be vital to preserve the contents of the dervice. 14 a. A theol. 15 A. Yes, sir. 11 obviously be vital to preserve the contents of the dervice. 12 obviously be vital to preserve the contents of the dervice. 14 a. A tword till adinot in some way disappear. 15 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>•</td>				•
5 Q. Where in that spectrum of significance did 6 ii defraud 7 A. Absolutely, sir. 8 Q or in a case of corruption? 9 Q or in a case of corruption? 9 Q or in a case of corruption? 9 Q. And why is that? 10 Q. And why is that? 11 A. Recause it's where there would be evidence. M. COOPER: There is one point arising 13 Q. And of course it might be evidence that 14 would prove guit. In a been resolved but that the data breach in 16 p. in might be evidence which would prove In relation to the hard drive is ongoing. I would 18 A. Absolutely, sir. In which case it would be vital for the 10 Q. In which case it would be vital for the In which case it would be vital for the 20 In which case it would be vital for the In the devise. 21 A. Absolutely. Image 200 22 In either of which circumstances it would MR COOPER: So may Lask then who is 23 proper question. MR COOPER: So may Lask then who is 24 A. Absolutely. Image 200 <td></td> <td>· ·</td> <td></td> <td></td>		· ·		
6 it defraud 6 the Whatsapps on the investigating officers' 7 A. Absolutely, sir. 7 mobile telephones lie? 9 A. Yes, sir. 9 Q. At the bottom? 10 Q. And of course it might be evidence that 9 Q. At the bottom? 11 A. Because it's where there would be 2 Q. At the bottom? 12 evidence. 10 Q. And of course it might be evidence that 14 would prove guilt. 11 Questioned by MR COOPER 15 A. Correct, sir. 16 has been resolved but that the data breach in fr 16 Q. In which case it would be vital for the 16 has been resolved but that the data breach in fr 17 relation to the hard drive is ongoing. I would just like to establish, if I may, what is the 17 20 Or it might be evidence which would prove guestion. 10 THE CHAIRMAN: I do not think that is a 21 A. Absolutely, sir. 20 In which case it would be vital for the 20 22 Q. In which case it would be vital for the 21 A. I wort discuss flat. 22 22 Q. In which case it would be vital for the 22				,
7A. Absolutely, sir.7mobile felephones lie?8Q or in a case of corruption?9A. Very, sir.10Q. And why is that?10A. Very.11A. Because it's where there would be10A. Very.12M. Because it's where there would be10A. Very.13Q. And of course it might be evidence that10A. Very.14would prove guit.11Questioned by MR COOPER15A. Correct, sir.12MR COOPER. There is one point arising16Q. In which case it would be vital for the15that as a lunderstand, the data breach in19Q. Or it might be evidence which would prove11relates to what we have just learned, which is it he19Q. Or it might be evidence which would prove11relates to what we have just learned, which is it he19Q. Or it might be evidence which would prove11relates of the criminal investigation?20It which case it would be vital for the26THE CHAIRMAN: 1 do not think that is a21A. Absolutely.27THE CHAIRMAN: 1 do not think that is a22Page 269Page 27111obviously be vital to preserve the contents of1of the fact of an ongoing live criminal22N. Landbed, sir.3THE CHAIRMAN: Yes.34Q. To back it up and to save the backup.3THE CHAIRMAN: Yes.35A. Yes, sir.3THE CHAIRMAN: No, this is not a proper36Q. But, by contrast,11<				
8Q or in a case of corruption?8A. Very lift significance, sir.9Q. And why is that?9Q. A the bottom?11A. Because i's where there would be9Q. A the bottom?12evidence.10A. Very.13Q. And of course i might be evidence that11Questioned by MR COOPER14would prove guilt.13which I would like to clarify, if I may. It14would prove guilt.13which I would like to clarify, if I may. It16Q. Correct, sir.16has been resolved but that the data breach matter17police.17relation to the hard drive is ongoing. I would18A. Absolutely, sir.101119Q. Or it might be evidence which would prove10THE CHAIRMAN: I do not -21A. Yes, as well.2211THE CHAIRMAN: I do not -22A. Absolutely.24A. Absolutely.2424A. Absolutely.24MR COOPER: But we were told loday that certain questions cannot be answered because24Page 269Page 2711obviously be vital to preserve the contents of the fact of an ongoing live criminal avay -33A. Indeed, sir.1of the fact of an ongoing live criminal avay -4Q. To back it up and to save the backup.15A. Yes, sir.1of the fact of an ongoing live criminal avay -6Q. And to make sure that it was not thrown avay -17Way disappear.3 <td< td=""><td>6</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>	6			
9 A. Yes, sir. 9 Q. Art the bottom? 10 Q. And why is that? 10 A. Very. 11 A. Becauss if's where there would be evidence that would prove guilt. 10 A. COOPER: There is one point arising. 13 Q. And to do course it might be evidence that would prove guilt. 11 Which I would like to clarify, if I may. It relates to what we have just learned, which is is that, as I understand, the data breach in atter relation to the hard drive is ongoing. I would just like to establish, if I may, what is the criminal investigation? 12 Q. In which case it would be vital for the defence. 10 A. Hosolutely. 20 In which case it would be vital for the defence. 11 A. Hosolutely. 23 Q. In either of which circumstances it would 12 THE CHAIRMAN: I do not think that is a proper question. 24 A. Absolutely. 2 THE CHAIRMAN: I do not think that is a proper question. 25 Q. In either of which circumstances it would 11 of the fact of an ongoing live criminal investigation? 3 A. Mosolutely. 2 THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. 31 4 A Assolutely. 3 34 MR COOPER: So may I ask then who is for responsible for that investigation? 5 A. Yes, sir.<		-		-
10 Q. And why is that? 10 A. Very. 11 A. Because it's where there would be 11 Questioned by MR COOPER 13 Q. And of course it might be evidence that 11 Questioned by MR COOPER 14 would prove guilt. 11 11 relates to what we have just learned, which is 16 Q. In which case it would be vital for the 15 that as 1 understand, the data breach matter 16 Q. Or it might be evidence which would prove 10 the correct sit. 19 Q. Or it might be evidence which would prove 10 the correct sit. 20 In which case it would be vital for the 10 the correct sit. 21 A. Yes, swell. 20 It is the contable. 11 22 Q. In which case it would be vital for the 22 THE CHAIRMAN: I do not 21 23 A. Absolutely. 23 THE CHAIRMAN: I do not the is a tase at a proper question. 24 24 A. Absolutely. 23 THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. 24 4 Q. To back it up and to save the backup. 3 THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. 3 A. Yes, sir. 10	8	-		
11 A. Because it's where there would be vidence that 11 Questioned by MR COOPER 12 mR COOPER: There is one point arising 11 13 Q. And of course it might be evidence that 12 MR COOPER: There is one point arising 14 would prove guilt. 11 Pare to clarify, if I may. It 14 would prove guilt. 11 relates to what we have just tearned, which is 15 A. Corrrect, sir. 11 14 relates to what we have just tearned, which is 16 Q. In which case it would be vital for the 15 that, as I understand, the data breach in 17 relation to the hard drive is ongoing. I would 18 just like to estabilish, if I may, what is the 20 Or it might be evidence which would prove imocence. 10 THE CHAIRMAN: I do not 21 A. Mobolutely. 20 14 A. Moord iscuss that. 23 Q. In which case it would be vital for the 23 14 A. Moord iscuss that. 22 Q. In which case it would be vital for the 24 14 A. Moord iscuss that. 23 Q. In which case it would be vital for the 25 14 A. Moord iscuss that.	9			-
12 evidence. 12 MR COOPEE. There is one point arising 13 Q. And of course it might be evidence that which I would like to clarify, if I may. It 14 would prove guit. 14 relates to what we have just learned, which is 15 A. Correct, sir. 15 that, as I understand, the data breach in 16 Q. In which case it would be vital for the 15 that, as I understand, the data breach in 16 Police. 17 relation to the hard drive is ongoing. I would 18 A. Absolutely, sir. 10 relation to the hard drive is ongoing. I would is 12 A. Yes, as well. 20 11 THE CHAIRMAN: 1 do not think that is a 20 D. In which case it would be vital for the 23 24 A. I wou't discuss that. 21 A. Absolutely. 20 14 COOPER: But we were told today that 25 Q. In either of which circumstances it would 25 Page 271 1 obviously be vital to preserve the contents of 1 of the fact of an ongoing live criminal 26 A. I wou't discuss that. 25 responsible for that investigation? 3 A. Tes, sir.<	10	-		A. Very.
 Q. And of course it might be evidence that would prove guilt. A. Correct, sir. Q. In which case it would be vital for the police. A. Absolutely, sir. Q. Or it might be evidence which would prove innocence. Q. In which case it would be vital for the deface. Q. In which case it would be vital for the deface. Q. In which case it would be vital for the deface. Q. In which case it would be vital for the deface. A. Absolutely. Q. In either of which circumstances it would Page 269 THE CHAIRMAN: 1 do not think that is a proper question. A. Ludeed, sir. Q. To back it up and to save the backup. A. Yee, sir. Q. And to make sure that it did not in some way disappear. A. Yee, sir. Q. But, by contrast, G. Surt, Sir. Q. But, by contrast, G. A. Yee, sir. Q. But, by contrast, G. A. Yee, sir. Q. But, by contrast, G. A. Yee, sir. Q. To try to catch criminals. A. Yee, sir. Q. Or thy to catch criminals. A. Yee, sir. Q. On thick atto disclosure of material to the investigation officers, would they also use mobile telephones in all likelihood? A. Yee, sir. Q. And to speak to each other, to make armagements in the investigation. A. Yee, sir. Q. And to speak to each other, to make armagements in the investigation. A. Yee, sir. Q. And to speak to each other, to make armagements which you and your organisation to the weak build not as a proper year. M. A. Yee, sir. Q. When it came to disclosure of material to the fuquity and the hundreds of thousands of thousands	11	A. Because it's where there would be		
 would prove guilt. A. Correct, sir. Q. In which case it would be vital for the police. A. Absolutely, sir. Q. In which case it would be vital for the g. In which case it would be vital for the defence. A. Absolutely. Q. In either of which circumstances it would Page 269 Page 271 obviously be vital to preserve the contents of the device. A. Tes, sir. Q. To back it up and to save the backup. A. Yes, sir. Q. To back it up and to save the backup. A. Yes, sir. Q. And to make sure that it did not in some way - A. Yes, sir. Q. To try to catch criminals. A. Yes, sir. G. A. Yes, sir. G. A.	12			
15 A. Correct, sir. 15 that, as 1 understand, the data breach in matter 16 Q. In which case it would be vital for the 16 has been resolved but that the data breach in 17 relation to the hard drive is ongoing. I would 18 18 A. Absolutely, sir. 10 17 19 Q. Or it might be evidence which would prove 10 11 20 Or it might be evidence which would prove 12 A. Yes, as well. 21 A. Yes, as well. 20 THE CHAIRMAN: I do not think that is a 23 g. In which case it would be vital for the 23 proper question. 24 A. Absolutely. 24 M. BCOOPER: But we were told today that 25 Q. In either of which circumstances it would 10 ofthe fact of an ongoing live criminal 26 THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. 4 MR COOPER: So may I ask then who is 3 A. Indeed, sir. 3 THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. 4 A. Yes, sir. 3 THE CHAIRMAN: No, this is not a proper 9 Q or corrupted or that it did not in some 9 THE CHAIRMAN: No, this is not a proper 9 Q. To try to catc	13	-	13	• •
16 Q. In which case it would be vital for the police. 16 has been resolved but that the data breach in relation to the hard drive is ongoing. I would just like to establish, if I may, what is the internet status of the criminal investigation? 20 Or it might be evidence which would prove innocence. 10 7 relation to the hard drive is ongoing. I would just like to establish, if I may, what is the current status of the criminal investigation? 21 A. Absolutely. 20 THE CHAIRMAN: I do not 22 Q. In which case it would be vital for the defence. 21 A. I won't discuss that. 23 Q. In either of which circumstances it would 22 THE CHAIRMAN: I do not +- 24 A. Absolutely. 23 THE CHAIRMAN: I do not +- 25 Q. In either of which circumstances it would 24 THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. 26 Page 269 Page 271 1 obviously be vital to preserve the contents of the device. 3 THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. 4 Q. To back it up and to save the backup. 3 THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. 5 A. Yes, sir. 3 THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. 6 Q. To back it up and to save the backup. 3 THE CHAIRMAN: No, this is not a proper switched on)	14	would prove guilt.	14	relates to what we have just learned, which is
 police. A. Absolutely, sir. Q. for it might be evidence which would prove innocence. A. Yes, as well. Q. In which case it would be vital for the defence. A. Absolutely. Q. In which case it would be vital for the defence. A. Absolutely. Q. In either of which circumstances it would Page 269 Page 271 obviously be vital to preserve the contents of the device. A. Yes, sir. Q. And to make sure that it was not thrown away - A. Yes, sir. Q. And to make sure that it did not in some way disappear. (16, 50) M. Yes, sir. G. D. But, by contrast, (16, 50) A. Yes, sir. A. Yes, sir. A. Yes, sir. A. Yes, sir. C. To try to catch criminals. A. Yes, sir. G. And to speak to each other, to make arrangements in the investigation. A. Yes, sir. G. And to speak to each other, to make arrangements in the investigation. A. Yes, sir. G. Not try to catch criminals. A. Yes, sir. G. A. Yes, si	15	A. Correct, sir.	15	that, as I understand, the data breach matter
18 A. Absolutely, sir. 18 just like to establish, if I may, what is the 19 Q. Or it might be evidence which would prove 10 20 innocence. 20 21 A. Yes, as well. 21 22 Q. In which case it would be vital for the 23 23 defence. 21 24 A. Absolutely. 22 25 Q. In either of which circumstances it would 22 26 Page 269 27 MR COOPER: But we were told today that 28 Q. To back it up and to save the backup. 3 A. Indeed, sir. 4 Q. And to make sure that it was not thrown 7 away 9 Q or corrupted or that it did not in some 10 way disappear. 11 A. Yes, sir. 12 Q. But, by contrast, 13 (16.50) 14 the investigation officers, would hey also use 15 mobile telephones in all likelihood? 16 A. Yes, sir. 17 Q. To ty to catch criminals. 18 A. Yes, sir	16	Q. In which case it would be vital for the	16	has been resolved but that the data breach in
 Q. Or it might be evidence which would prove innocence. A. Yes, as well. Q. In which case it would be vital for the defence. A. Absolutely. Q. In either of which circumstances it would Page 269 Page 271 obviously be vital to preserve the contents of the device. A. Indeed, sir. Q. And to make sure that it did not in some Way switched on) A. Yes, sir. Q. But, by contrast, M. Yes, sir. Q. But, by contrast, M. Yes, sir. C. The CHAIRMAN: I is not a proper M. Yes, sir. M. Yes, sir. C. So thack it criminals. A. Yes, sir. C. So the chart math it did not in some M. Yes, sir. M. KCOOPER: But it does appear to be somewhat open ended, the investigation, and without M. Yes, sir. M. Yes, sir. M. Yes, sir. M. KCOOPER: But it does appear to be somewhat open ended, the investigation, and without M. Yes, sir. M. COOPER: But it does appear to be somewhat open ended, the investigation, and without THE CHAIRMAN: As I understand it, but I have only received this information today, it is the lnquiry and the hundreds of thousands of documents which you and your organisation Wer able to make available to the Inquiry, of Wer able to make available to the Inquiry, of Wer able to make available to the Inquiry, of 	17	police.	17	relation to the hard drive is ongoing. I would
 20 innocence. 21 A. Yes, as well. 22 Q. In which case it would be vital for the 23 defence. 24 A. Absolutely. 25 Q. In either of which circumstances it would Page 269 Page 271 21 obviously be vital to preserve the contents of 2 the device. 3 A. Indeed, sir. 4 Q. To back it up and to save the backup. 5 A. Yes, sir. 9 Qn or corrupted or that it did not in some 9 way disappear. 1 A. Yes, sir. 20 But, by contrast, 21 (16.50) 22 THE CHAIRMAN: I do not 23 A. Yes, sir. 24 MR COOPER: But we were told today that 25 contrast, 26 But, by contrast, 27 (16.50) 28 A. Yes, sir. 29 Q or corrupted or that it did not in some 20 But, by contrast, 31 (16.50) 34 A. Yes, sir. 35 But, by contrast, 36 A. Yes, sir. 37 Q. To try to catch criminals. 37 A. Yes, sir. 39 Q. And to speak to each other, to make 30 mR COOPER: But it does appear to be 31 A. Yes, sir. 32 O. But, by contrast, 33 (16.50) 34 A. Yes, sir. 35 But, by contrast, 36 A. Yes, sir. 37 Q. To try to catch criminals. 31 A. Yes, sir. 31 A. Yes, sir. 32 O. When it came to disclosure of material to 34 A. Yes, sir. 35 A. Yes, sir. 35 A. Yes, sir. 36 A. Yes, sir. 37 Q. To try to catch criminals. 37 A. Yes, sir. 39 Q. And to speak to each other, to make 31 arrangements in the investigation. 34 A. Yes, sir. 35 A. Yes, sir. 36 A. Yes, sir. 37 A. Yes, sir. 39 Q. And to speak to each other, to make 31 arrangements in the investigation. 31 the Inquiry and the hundreds of thousands of 32 documents which you and your organisation 3	18	A. Absolutely, sir.	18	just like to establish, if I may, what is the
21 A. Yes, as well. 21 A. I won't discuss that. 22 Q. In which case it would be vital for the defence. 23 proper question. 24 A. Absolutely. 24 MR COOPER: But we were told today that certain questions cannot be answered because 25 Q. In either of which circumstances it would Page 269 Page 271 1 obviously be vital to preserve the contents of the device. 1 of the fact of an ongoing live criminal investigation. 3 A. Indeed, sir. 1 of the fact of an ongoing live criminal investigation. 3 A. Indeed, sir. 4 MR COOPER: So may I ask then who is responsible for that investigation? 6 Q. To back it up and to save the backup. 4 MR COOPER: So may I ask then who is responsible for that investigation? 7 away 6 (2): (Inaudible due to microphone not switched on) 8 A. Yes, sir. 9 topic to be investigated in an open hearing. 10 way disappear. 10 MR SANTOS: I think if there is a live 11 A. Yes, sir. 12 Q. But, by contrast, 12 13 MC SANTOS: I think if we should not ask 14 somewhat open ended, the investigation	19	Q. Or it might be evidence which would prove	19	current status of the criminal investigation?
22 Q. In which case it would be vital for the defence. 22 THE CHAIRMAN: I do not think that is a proper question. 24 A. Absolutely. 23 proper question. 25 Q. In either of which circumstances it would Page 269 Page 271 1 obviously be vital to preserve the contents of the device. 1 of the fact of an ongoing live criminal investigation. 3 A. Indeed, sir. 1 of the fact of an ongoing live criminal investigation. 4 Q. To back it up and to save the backup. 4 MR COOPER: So may I ask then who is 5 A. Yes, sir. 3 THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. 4 Q or corrupted or that it did not in some 3 THE CHAIRMAN: No, this is not a proper 9 Q or corrupted or that it did not in some 8 THE CHAIRMAN: No, this is not a proper 10 way disappear. 10 MR COOPER: Sut it does appear to be 11 A. Yes, sir. 11 MR COOPER: But it does appear to be 12 Q. But, by contrast, 12 13 more stage. 13 (16.50) MR COOPER: Sut it does appear to be 14 14 the investigation. 18 MR COOPER:	20	innocence.	20	THE CHAIRMAN: I do not
 23 defence. 24 A. Absolutely. 25 Q. In either of which circumstances it would Page 269 Page 271 26 obviously be vital to preserve the contents of 27 the device. 3 A. Indeed, sir. 4 Q. To back it up and to save the backup. 5 A. Yes, sir. 6 Q. And to make sure that it was not thrown 7 away 9 Q or corrupted or that it did not in some 10 way disappear. 11 A. Yes, sir. 12 Q. But, by contrast, 13 (16.50) 14 the investigating officers, would they also use 15 mobile telephones in all likelihood? 16 A. Yes, sir. 17 Q. To try to catch criminals. 18 A. Yes, sir. 19 Q. And to speak to each other, to make 17 Q. To try to catch criminals. 18 A. Yes, sir. 19 Q. And to speak to each other, to make 11 arangements in the investigation. 12 A. Yes, sir. 13 (16.50) 14 the investigating officers, would they also use 15 mobile telephones in all likelihood? 16 A. Yes, sir. 17 Q. To try to catch criminals. 18 A. Yes, they would. 20 When it came to disclosure of material to 21 the lnquiry and the hundreds of thousands of 23 MR SANTOS: No, and I do not think it falls 24 very comfortably within our terms of reference 25 either. 	21	A. Yes, as well.	21	A. I won't discuss that.
24 A. Absolutely. 24 Image 269 25 Q. In either of which circumstances it would 24 Image 269 1 obviously be vital to preserve the contents of the device. 9 Page 271 1 obviously be vital to preserve the contents of the device. 1 of the fact of an ongoing live criminal investigation. 3 A. Indeed, sir. 4 24 MR COOPER: So may I ask then who is 5 A. Yes, sir. 5 responsible for that investigation? 6 Q. And to make sure that it did not in some way disappear. 9 70 10 way disappear. 10 MR COOPER: But it does appear to be 11 A. Yes, sir. 11 investigation I think we should not ask 12 Q. But, by contrast, 11 investigation officers, would they also use 16 A. Yes, sir. 11 MR COOPER: But it does appear to be 14 the investigating officers, would they also use 11 investigation I think we should not ask 17 Q. To try to catch criminals. 18 A. Yes, sir. 19 19 Q. And to speak to each other, to make 19 THE CHAIRMAN: It is	22	Q. In which case it would be vital for the	22	THE CHAIRMAN: I do not think that is a
25 Q. In either of which circumstances it would 25 certain questions cannot be answered because Page 269 Page 271 1 obviously be vital to preserve the contents of investigation. 3 A. Indeed, sir. 1 of the fact of an ongoing live criminal 4 Q. To back it up and to save the backup. 3 THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. 4 Q. To back it up and to save the backup. 4 MR COOPER: So may I ask then who is 5 A. Yes, sir. 6 Q. And to make sure that it was not thrown 7 7 away 8 A. Yes, sir. 6 9 Q or corrupted or that it did not in some 9 topic to be investigated in an open hearing. 10 way disappear. 10 MR SANTOS: I think if there is a live 11 A. Yes, sir. 10 MR COOPER: But it does appear to be 14 the investigating officers, would they also use 1 mobile telephones in all likelihood? 16 A. Yes, sir. 16 THE CHAIRMAN: As I understand it, but I 17 Q. To try to catch criminals. 17 ongoing. 18 A. Yes, sir. 18 </td <td>23</td> <td>defence.</td> <td>23</td> <td>proper question.</td>	23	defence.	23	proper question.
Page 269Page 2711obviously be vital to preserve the contents of the device.1of the fact of an ongoing live criminal 23A. Indeed, sir.1of the fact of an ongoing live criminal 24Q. To back it up and to save the backup.3THE CHAIRMAN: Yes.5A. Yes, sir.3THE CHAIRMAN: No, this is not a proper6Q. And to make sure that it was not thrown away3THE CHAIRMAN: No, this is not a proper7Q or corrupted or that it did not in some6(?): (Inaudible due to microphone not 78A. Yes, sir.9topic to be investigated in an open hearing.9Q or corrupted or that it did not in some9topic to be investigated in an open hearing.10way disappear.10MR SANTOS: I think if there is a live 1111A. Yes, sir.10MR COOPER: But it does appear to be 1412Q. But, by contrast, 1312questions about it at this stage.13(16.50)13MR COOPER: But it does appear to be 1414the investigation.15without15mobile telephones in all likelihood?16THE CHAIRMAN: It is not open ended, it is17Q. To try to catch criminals.19THE CHAIRMAN: As I understand it, but I 2018A. Yes, sir.10have only received this information today, it is19THE CHAIRMAN: As I understand it, but I20When it came to disclosure of material to 241021 </td <td>24</td> <td>A. Absolutely.</td> <td>24</td> <td>MR COOPER: But we were told today that</td>	24	A. Absolutely.	24	MR COOPER: But we were told today that
Page 269Page 2711obviously be vital to preserve the contents of the device.1of the fact of an ongoing live criminal 23A. Indeed, sir.1of the fact of an ongoing live criminal 24Q. To back it up and to save the backup.3THE CHAIRMAN: Yes.5A. Yes, sir.3THE CHAIRMAN: No, this is not a proper6Q. And to make sure that it was not thrown away3THE CHAIRMAN: No, this is not a proper7Q or corrupted or that it did not in some6(?): (Inaudible due to microphone not 78A. Yes, sir.9topic to be investigated in an open hearing.9Q or corrupted or that it did not in some9topic to be investigated in an open hearing.10way disappear.10MR SANTOS: I think if there is a live 1111A. Yes, sir.10MR COOPER: But it does appear to be 1412Q. But, by contrast, 1312questions about it at this stage.13(16.50)13MR COOPER: But it does appear to be 1414the investigation.15without15mobile telephones in all likelihood?16THE CHAIRMAN: It is not open ended, it is17Q. To try to catch criminals.19THE CHAIRMAN: As I understand it, but I 2018A. Yes, sir.10have only received this information today, it is19THE CHAIRMAN: As I understand it, but I20When it came to disclosure of material to 241021 </td <td>25</td> <td>Q. In either of which circumstances it would</td> <td>25</td> <td>certain questions cannot be answered because</td>	25	Q. In either of which circumstances it would	25	certain questions cannot be answered because
1 obviously be vital to preserve the contents of the device. 1 of the fact of an ongoing live criminal investigation. 3 A. Indeed, sir. 3 THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. 4 Q. To back it up and to save the backup. 3 THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. 5 A. Yes, sir. 3 THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. 6 Q. And to make sure that it was not thrown away 3 THE CHAIRMAN: No, this is not a proper 7 g or corrupted or that it did not in some way disappear. 8 THE CHAIRMAN: No, this is not a proper 10 way disappear. 1 investigation I think we should not ask 12 Q. But, by contrast, 1 investigation I think we should not ask 12 Q. But, by contrast, 1 investigation I think we should not ask 13 (16.50) 13 MR COOPER: But it does appear to be 14 the investigation. 14 somewhat open ended, the investigation, and 15 mobile telephones in all likelihood? 14 somewhat open ended, the investigation, and 15 ongoing. 18 MR COOPER: Yes. 19 19 Q. And to speak to each other, to make 20 <				
 the device. A. Indeed, sir. Q. To back it up and to save the backup. A. Yes, sir. Q or corrupted or that it was not thrown away R. Yes, sir. Q. But, by contrast, (16.50) the investigating officers, would they also use mobile telephones in all likelihood? A. Yes, sir. Q. To ty to catch criminals. A. Yes, sir. Q. And to speak to each other, to make arrangements in the investigation. A. Yes, they would. Q. When it came to disclosure of material to the Inquiry and the hundreds of thousands of documents which you and your organisation Were able to make available to the Inquiry, of It make available to the Inquiry of It make available to the Inquiry of It make available to the Inquiry, of It make available to the Inquiry o		Page 269		Page 2/1
 the device. A. Indeed, sir. Q. To back it up and to save the backup. A. Yes, sir. Q or corrupted or that it was not thrown away R. Yes, sir. Q. But, by contrast, (16.50) the investigating officers, would they also use mobile telephones in all likelihood? A. Yes, sir. Q. To ty to catch criminals. A. Yes, sir. Q. And to speak to each other, to make arrangements in the investigation. A. Yes, they would. Q. When it came to disclosure of material to the Inquiry and the hundreds of thousands of documents which you and your organisation Were able to make available to the Inquiry, of It make available to the Inquiry of It make available to the Inquiry of It make available to the Inquiry, of It make available to the Inquiry o	1	obviously be vital to preserve the contents of	1	of the fact of an ongoing live criminal
 A. Indeed, sir. Q. To back it up and to save the backup. A. Yes, sir. Q. And to make sure that it was not thrown away Q. And to make sure that it was not thrown away Q. And to make sure that it did not in some Q or corrupted or that it did not in some Way disappear. A. Yes, sir. Q. But, by contrast, (16.50) He investigating officers, would they also use mobile telephones in all likelihood? A. Yes, sir. Q. And to speak to each other, to make arrangements in the investigation. A. Yes, they would. Q. When it came to disclosure of material to the Inquiry and the hundreds of thousands of documents which you and your organisation Were able to make available to the Inquiry, of THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. MR COOPER: So may I ask then who is responsible for that investigation I think we should not ask THE CHAIRMAN: No, this is not a proper topic to be investigated in an open hearing. MR COOPER: But it does appear to be somewhat open ended, the investigation, and without MR COOPER: Yes. THE CHAIRMAN: It is not open ended, it is ongoing. MR COOPER: Yes. THE CHAIRMAN: As I understand it, but I have only received this information today, it is tenth hand, it is not a good way to proceed at all. Were able to make available to the Inquiry, of 				
 4 Q. To back it up and to save the backup. 5 A. Yes, sir. 6 Q. And to make sure that it was not thrown away 8 A. Yes, sir. 9 Q or corrupted or that it did not in some way disappear. 10 M. Yes, sir. 11 A. Yes, sir. 12 Q. But, by contrast, 13 (16.50) 14 the investigating officers, would they also use mobile telephones in all likelihood? 16 A. Yes, sir. 17 Q. To try to catch criminals. 18 A. Yes, sir. 19 Q. And to speak to each other, to make arrangements in the investigation. 14 the Inquiry and the hundreds of thousands of documents which you and your organisation were able to make available to the Inquiry, of 4 MR COOPER: So may I ask then who is responsible for that investigation? 6 (?): (Inaudible due to microphone not switched on) 8 THE CHAIRMAN: No, this is not a proper 9 topic to be investigated in an open hearing. 10 MR SANTOS: I think if there is a live 11 investigation 12 A. Yes, they would. 13 the Inquiry and the hundreds of thousands of documents which you and your organisation 14 were able to make available to the Inquiry, of 4 all. 				•
 A. Yes, sir. Q. And to make sure that it was not thrown away A. Yes, sir. Q. but, by contrast, (16.50) <li< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></li<>				
 Q. And to make sure that it was not thrown away A. Yes, sir. Q. But, by contrast, (16.50) He investigating officers, would they also use mobile telephones in all likelihood? A. Yes, sir. Q. And to speak to each other, to make Q. And to speak to each other, to make Q. And to speak to each other, to make Q. And to speak to each other, to make Q. When it came to disclosure of material to Q. When it came to disclosure of material to Q. When it came to disclosure of material to M. Yes, shich you and your organisation Were able to make available to the Inquiry, of G. To try to make available to the Inquiry, of G. To try to catch criminals. G. And to speak to each other, to make G. The try would. G. Were able to make available to the Inquiry, of G. To try to make available to the Inquiry, of G. To try to catch criminals. G. And to speak to each other, to make G. The try would. G. Were able to make available to the Inquiry, of G. To try to catch criminals. G. And to speak to each other, to make G. The try would. G. When it came to disclosure of material to G. Were able to make available to the Inquiry, of G. The try mather the throw try organisation G. Were able to make available to the Inquiry, of G. The try mather the throw try organisation G. To try to catch criminals. G. And to speak to each other, to make G. And to speak to each other, to make G. And to speak to each other, to make G. And to speak to each other, to make G. And to speak to each other, to make G. The try mather the disclosure of the try organisation G. Were able to make available to the Inquiry, of G. Were able to make available to the Inquiry, of G. M. Yes, Sink, Si				-
 away A. Yes, sir. Q. but, by contrast, (16.50) the investigating officers, would they also use mobile telephones in all likelihood? A. Yes, sir. Q. To try to catch criminals. A. Yes, sir. Q. And to speak to each other, to make arrangements in the investigation. A. Yes, they would. Q. When it came to disclosure of material to the Inquiry and the hundreds of thousands of documents which you and your organisation were able to make available to the Inquiry, of were able to make available to the Inquiry, of The CHAIRMAN: No, this is not a proper topic to be investigated in an open hearing. MR SANTOS: I think if there is a live investigation I think we should not ask questions about it at this stage. MR COOPER: But it does appear to be somewhat open ended, the investigation, and without THE CHAIRMAN: It is not open ended, it is ongoing. MR COOPER: Yes. THE CHAIRMAN: As I understand it, but I have only received this information today, it is MR SANTOS: No, and I do not think it falls very comfortably within our terms of reference either. 				
 A. Yes, sir. Q or corrupted or that it did not in some way disappear. A. Yes, sir. Q. But, by contrast, (16.50) the investigating officers, would they also use mobile telephones in all likelihood? A. Yes, sir. Q. To try to catch criminals. A. Yes, sir. Q. And to speak to each other, to make arrangements in the investigation. A. Yes, they would. Q. When it came to disclosure of material to the Inquiry and the hundreds of thousands of documents which you and your organisation were able to make available to the Inquiry, of B. A. Yes, with you and your organisation the Inquiry and the hundreds of thousands of were able to make available to the Inquiry, of B. A. Yes, with you and your organisation M. SANTOS: No, and I do not think it falls were able to make available to the Inquiry, of B. A. Yes, with you and your organisation M. SANTOS: No, and I do not think it falls Were able to make available to the Inquiry, of 	7	-		
9Q or corrupted or that it did not in some way disappear.9topic to be investigated in an open hearing.10way disappear.10MR SANTOS: I think if there is a live investigation I think we should not ask12Q. But, by contrast, (16.50)101114the investigating officers, would they also use mobile telephones in all likelihood?13MR COOPER: But it does appear to be14the investigating officers, would they also use mobile telephones in all likelihood?13MR COOPER: But it does appear to be15mobile telephones in all likelihood?14somewhat open ended, the investigation, and16A. Yes, sir.16THE CHAIRMAN: It is not open ended, it is17Q. To try to catch criminals.17ongoing.18A. Yes, sir.18MR COOPER: Yes.19Q. And to speak to each other, to make arrangements in the investigation.19THE CHAIRMAN: As I understand it, but I have only received this information today, it is21A. Yes, they would.23MR SANTOS: No, and I do not think it falls23the Inquiry and the hundreds of thousands of 2423MR SANTOS: No, and I do not think it falls25were able to make available to the Inquiry, of24very comfortably within our terms of reference either.		•	8	
 10 way disappear. 11 A. Yes, sir. 12 Q. But, by contrast, 13 (16.50) 14 the investigating officers, would they also use 15 mobile telephones in all likelihood? 16 A. Yes, sir. 17 Q. To try to catch criminals. 18 A. Yes, sir. 19 Q. And to speak to each other, to make 19 Q. And to speak to each other, to make 19 Q. And to speak to each other, to make 19 Q. And to speak to each other, to make 10 MR SANTOS: I think if there is a live 11 investigation I think we should not ask 12 questions about it at this stage. 13 MR COOPER: But it does appear to be 14 somewhat open ended, the investigation, and 15 without 16 THE CHAIRMAN: It is not open ended, it is 17 ongoing. 18 MR COOPER: Yes. 19 THE CHAIRMAN: As I understand it, but I 20 have only received this information today, it is 21 tenth hand, it is not a good way to proceed at 22 Q. When it came to disclosure of material to 23 the Inquiry and the hundreds of thousands of 24 documents which you and your organisation 25 were able to make available to the Inquiry, of 26 were able to make available to the Inquiry, of 				
11A. Yes, sir.11investigation I think we should not ask12Q. But, by contrast,11investigation I think we should not ask13(16.50)13MR COOPER: But it does appear to be14the investigating officers, would they also use14somewhat open ended, the investigation, and15mobile telephones in all likelihood?14somewhat open ended, the investigation, and16A. Yes, sir.16THE CHAIRMAN: It is not open ended, it is17Q. To try to catch criminals.17ongoing.18A. Yes, sir.18MR COOPER: Yes.19Q. And to speak to each other, to make19THE CHAIRMAN: As I understand it, but I20arrangements in the investigation.20have only received this information today, it is21A. Yes, they would.22all.23the Inquiry and the hundreds of thousands of23MR SANTOS: No, and I do not think it falls24documents which you and your organisation24very comfortably within our terms of reference25were able to make available to the Inquiry, of25either.	10			
12Q. But, by contrast,12questions about it at this stage.13(16.50)13MR COOPER: But it does appear to be14the investigating officers, would they also use14somewhat open ended, the investigation, and15mobile telephones in all likelihood?15without16A. Yes, sir.16THE CHAIRMAN: It is not open ended, it is17Q. To try to catch criminals.17ongoing.18A. Yes, sir.18MR COOPER: Yes.19Q. And to speak to each other, to make19THE CHAIRMAN: As I understand it, but I20arrangements in the investigation.20have only received this information today, it is21A. Yes, they would.22all.23the Inquiry and the hundreds of thousands of23MR SANTOS: No, and I do not think it falls24documents which you and your organisation24very comfortably within our terms of reference25were able to make available to the Inquiry, of25either.		• • • •		
 13 (16.50) 14 the investigating officers, would they also use 15 mobile telephones in all likelihood? 16 A. Yes, sir. 17 Q. To try to catch criminals. 18 A. Yes, sir. 19 Q. And to speak to each other, to make 19 Q. And to speak to each other, to make 19 arrangements in the investigation. 11 A. Yes, they would. 12 Q. When it came to disclosure of material to 13 MR COOPER: But it does appear to be 14 somewhat open ended, the investigation, and 15 without 16 THE CHAIRMAN: It is not open ended, it is 17 ongoing. 18 MR COOPER: Yes. 19 THE CHAIRMAN: As I understand it, but I 20 have only received this information today, it is 21 tenth hand, it is not a good way to proceed at 22 all. 23 the Inquiry and the hundreds of thousands of 24 documents which you and your organisation 25 were able to make available to the Inquiry, of 26 arrangements in the investigation. 27 A. Yes, they would. 28 arrangements in the investigation. 29 And to speak to each other, to make 20 have only received this information today, it is 21 tenth hand, it is not a good way to proceed at 22 all. 23 MR SANTOS: No, and I do not think it falls 24 very comfortably within our terms of reference 25 either. 				-
14the investigating officers, would they also use mobile telephones in all likelihood?14somewhat open ended, the investigation, and without16A. Yes, sir.16THE CHAIRMAN: It is not open ended, it is17Q. To try to catch criminals.16THE CHAIRMAN: It is not open ended, it is18A. Yes, sir.16THE CHAIRMAN: It is not open ended, it is19Q. And to speak to each other, to make arrangements in the investigation.18MR COOPER: Yes.20arrangements in the investigation.19THE CHAIRMAN: As I understand it, but I20arrangements of the investigation.20have only received this information today, it is21A. Yes, they would.21tenth hand, it is not a good way to proceed at23the Inquiry and the hundreds of thousands of documents which you and your organisation 2523MR SANTOS: No, and I do not think it falls very comfortably within our terms of reference e either.		-		
 mobile telephones in all likelihood? A. Yes, sir. Q. To try to catch criminals. A. Yes, sir. Q. And to speak to each other, to make arrangements in the investigation. A. Yes, they would. Q. When it came to disclosure of material to the Inquiry and the hundreds of thousands of documents which you and your organisation were able to make available to the Inquiry, of mobile telephones in all likelihood? the Inquiry and the Inquiry, of the Inquiry and the function to the Inquiry, of the Inquiry and the Inquiry, of the Inquiry and the Inquiry, of the Inquiry and the Inquiry of the Inquiry and the Inquiry, of 				**
16A. Yes, sir.16THE CHAIRMAN: It is not open ended, it is17Q. To try to catch criminals.16THE CHAIRMAN: It is not open ended, it is18A. Yes, sir.18MR COOPER: Yes.19Q. And to speak to each other, to make19THE CHAIRMAN: As I understand it, but I20arrangements in the investigation.20have only received this information today, it is21A. Yes, they would.20have only received this information today, it is22Q. When it came to disclosure of material to21tenth hand, it is not a good way to proceed at23the Inquiry and the hundreds of thousands of23MR SANTOS: No, and I do not think it falls24documents which you and your organisation24very comfortably within our terms of reference25were able to make available to the Inquiry, of25either.				-
 17 Q. To try to catch criminals. 18 A. Yes, sir. 19 Q. And to speak to each other, to make 19 arrangements in the investigation. 11 A. Yes, they would. 12 Q. When it came to disclosure of material to 13 the Inquiry and the hundreds of thousands of 14 documents which you and your organisation 15 were able to make available to the Inquiry, of 17 ongoing. 18 MR COOPER: Yes. 19 THE CHAIRMAN: As I understand it, but I 20 have only received this information today, it is 21 tenth hand, it is not a good way to proceed at 22 all. 23 MR SANTOS: No, and I do not think it falls 24 very comfortably within our terms of reference 25 were able to make available to the Inquiry, of 		-		
18A. Yes, sir.18MR COOPER: Yes.19Q. And to speak to each other, to make arrangements in the investigation.18MR COOPER: Yes.20arrangements in the investigation.19THE CHAIRMAN: As I understand it, but I have only received this information today, it is 2121A. Yes, they would.2022Q. When it came to disclosure of material to the Inquiry and the hundreds of thousands of documents which you and your organisation 251826MR SANTOS: No, and I do not think it falls very comfortably within our terms of reference either.				-
19Q. And to speak to each other, to make arrangements in the investigation.19THE CHAIRMAN: As I understand it, but I have only received this information today, it is 2120A. Yes, they would.20have only received this information today, it is tenth hand, it is not a good way to proceed at all.22Q. When it came to disclosure of material to the Inquiry and the hundreds of thousands of documents which you and your organisation were able to make available to the Inquiry, of19THE CHAIRMAN: As I understand it, but I have only received this information today, it is tenth hand, it is not a good way to proceed at all.23the Inquiry and the hundreds of thousands of documents which you and your organisation were able to make available to the Inquiry, of23MR SANTOS: No, and I do not think it falls very comfortably within our terms of reference either.		- ·		
20arrangements in the investigation.20have only received this information today, it is21A. Yes, they would.21tenth hand, it is not a good way to proceed at22Q. When it came to disclosure of material to22all.23the Inquiry and the hundreds of thousands of23MR SANTOS: No, and I do not think it falls24documents which you and your organisation24very comfortably within our terms of reference25were able to make available to the Inquiry, of25either.				
21A. Yes, they would.21tenth hand, it is not a good way to proceed at22Q. When it came to disclosure of material to22all.23the Inquiry and the hundreds of thousands of23MR SANTOS: No, and I do not think it falls24documents which you and your organisation24very comfortably within our terms of reference25were able to make available to the Inquiry, of25either.				
 Q. When it came to disclosure of material to the Inquiry and the hundreds of thousands of documents which you and your organisation were able to make available to the Inquiry, of MR SANTOS: No, and I do not think it falls very comfortably within our terms of reference either. 				•
 the Inquiry and the hundreds of thousands of documents which you and your organisation were able to make available to the Inquiry, of MR SANTOS: No, and I do not think it falls very comfortably within our terms of reference either. 				
24documents which you and your organisation24very comfortably within our terms of reference25were able to make available to the Inquiry, of24either.				
25 were able to make available to the Inquiry, of 25 either.				
		· · · ·		
Page 270 Page 272	23	were use to make available to the inquiry, of		ender.
		Page 270		Page 272

68 (Pages 269 to 272)

			
1	THE CHAIRMAN: No. I just think this is off	1	to a search warrant, which somebody said
2	limits until I have sorted the position out.	2	today, that is probably right, is it not?
3	MR COOPER: The real question I want to	3	MR CRUZ: In the context of - of course.
4	understand is whether it is being independently	4	THE CHAIRMAN: You could have asked the
5	investigated. That is relevant to the matters in	5	Inquiry to authorise it.
6	issue.	6	MR CRUZ: Indeed he could have gone for a
7	THE CHAIRMAN: You mean independent of	7	completely different route had he applied his
8	the RGP?	8	mind, Mr Chairman, but I think there was a
9	MR COOPER: Indeed, yes.	9	suggestion, unless I have misunderstood my
10	THE CHAIRMAN: No, it is a criminal	10	learned friend Sir Peter, that having obtained it
11	investigation in Gibraltar.	11	in the context of one criminal investigation he
12	MR SANTOS: I think we should establish the	12	could have a sort of free flowing access to the
12	position	13	document, to the phone, and that is why I
13	THE CHAIRMAN: Yes.	14	asked Mr Ullger why did he ask for consent?
15	MR SANTOS: and then to the extent that it	15	Because if he obviously had that right he
16	is relevant, we will pass that information on.	16	would not have asked for consent.
17	But I do not want to start trampling	17	THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, because the warrant
18	THE CHAIRMAN: I do not either. It is	18	would only authorise its seizure and retention
19	blundering into a minefield.	19	in relation to the terms of the warrant.
20	MR SANTOS: Yes.	20	MR CRUZ: Yes, indeed.
20	THE CHAIRMAN: Where none of us know	20	THE CHAIRMAN: Correct.
21	where the mines are. It is off limits for the	21	MR CRUZ: You have taken the point, Mr
22	time being. I will make enquiries. If there is	23	Chairman.
23	anything you should know I will ensure that	23	THE CHAIRMAN: Do not sound so
24	you are told.	24	surprised. (Laughter)
23	you are told.	25	surprised. (Laughter)
	Page 273		Page 275
1	MR COOPER: We are most grateful for that.	1	MR CRUZ: I apologise for that, it is just the
2	THE CHAIRMAN: Okay.	2	fact that others appear to follow such an
3	MR COOPER: Thank you.	3	interesting train of thought. In the case of all
4	THE CHAIRMAN: You may know more than	4	the Whatsapps contained in all the charging
5	we do, but let us just leave that alone and we	5	documents and all the other documents in
6	will sort it out in the background.	6	Operation Delhi, is that the same situation?
7	MR CRUZ: Yes.	7	A. (Inaudible), sir.
8	Questioned by MR CRUZ	8	Q. Sorry?
9	MR CRUZ: Just a couple of questions, Mr	9	A. Sorry, sir?
10	Ullger. I am going to risk leading a little here	10	Q. In the context of all the Whatsapps
11	just to get to the point. You did not have	11	contained in the charging reports and the
12	access to your Whatsapps until you got Mr	12	bundle, the search warrant application, is that
13	McGrail's mirror phone. I think we can all	13	the same situation as the one we have just
14	A. That's correct, sir.	14	described?
15	Q. That is correct, yes. So did you ask for	15	A. Completely different.
16	permission to view - to get access to that	16	Q. Completely different. Couple of last
17	phone?	17	questions. Is your position that the RGP has
18	A. I did, sir.	18	given comprehensive disclosure as and when it
19	Q. Why?	19	has had information in its control?
20	A. Because it had been obtained in a criminal	20	A. We have, sir.
21	investigation.	21	MR CRUZ: Thank you.
22	Q. So you had no right to it.	22	Questioned by MR SANTOS
23	A. No, sir.	23	MR SANTOS: One question following on
24	Q. I see.	24	from that, and then a separate one. Why do
25	THE CHAIRMAN: If it was seized pursuant	25	you say the position is different with Operation
	1		
	Page 274		Page 276

69 (Pages 273 to 276)

Day 22

1	Delhi material?	1
2	A. Because it had already been asked for by	1
3	the Inquiry.	
4	Q. I see. Then one question: you said during	
5	my own questioning, and it is a matter that has	
6	been raised with me, that the best evidence is	
7	likely to be from emails, day books and	
8	Cyclops, you said. Can you just explain what	
9	Cyclops is?	2
10	A. So Cyclops is a platform that the Royal	2
11	Gibraltar Police uses to record and investigate	
12	crime, and it's where all the decisions are	
13	made by investigators, so it is our platform for	
14	not only investigations but for other business	
15	areas.	
16	Q. Has the RGP provided relevant disclosure	
17	of documents to the Inquiry from Cyclops?	3
18	A. Yes, we have, sir.	3
19	THE CHAIRMAN: Cyclops is probably an	
20	acronym of something, is it?	
21	A. No idea, sir. But it's a platform, it's an IT	
22	platform.	
23	THE CHAIRMAN: It is used in the UK as	
24	well, probably.	
25	A. Yes, sir, it is.	
	Page 277	Page 279
1	THE CHAIRMAN: Yes.	
2	A. Yes.	
3	MR SANTOS: Would any relevant Whatsapps	
4	be uploaded to	
5	A. No, not at all.	
6	Q. Nowadays would any relevant Whatsapps -	
7	- 	
8	A. No.	
9	MR SANTOS: I do not have any further	
10	questions.	
11	THE CHAIRMAN: No, okay. Thank you	
12	very much indeed for coming back.	
13	THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir.	
14 15	THE CHAIRMAN: I am very grateful to you.	
15	THE WITNESS: Thank you very much. THE CHAIRMAN: Ten o'clock tomorrow.	
10	MR SANTOS: Tomorrow, yes.	
17	THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Thank you very	
18	much.	
20		
	(The Inquiry adjourned at 16.57 hours until	
21		
21 22	(The Inquiry adjourned at 16.57 hours until	
21 22 23	(The Inquiry adjourned at 16.57 hours until	
21 22 23 24	(The Inquiry adjourned at 16.57 hours until 10.00 hours on Thursday 10 April 2025)	
21 22 23	(The Inquiry adjourned at 16.57 hours until	
21 22 23 24	(The Inquiry adjourned at 16.57 hours until 10.00 hours on Thursday 10 April 2025)	

70 (Pages 277 to 279)

5	1 5			1
				Page 280
	153.33 153.10	address 4.19 5.11	ago 171.6 197.5	250.21 260.14 17
A	152:23 153:18	address 4:18 5:11	ago 171:6 187:5	259:21 260:14,17
A10 45:24	220:8 261:25	14:19 52:11	262:20 263:1	260:20 262:13
ability 84:23 96:22	263:11 264:6	57:12,24 72:9	agonising 13:20	alleged 4:18 14:10
able 9:18 47:20	274:12,16 275:12	83:22 117:12	agree 65:9 79:12	207:9 260:15
49:1 54:19 59:3	accessed 37:7	188:23 225:14	86:6 88:5 113:17	allocate 183:24
60:2 69:21 90:8	accessible 37:12	251:15,18	184:6 200:15	allow 3:1 4:17
91:25 105:25	accommodating	addressed 6:10	202:20 216:12,20	24:10 124:12
140:1 141:2	3:6	10:22 58:3 79:7	220:3 224:11	158:3
144:12 145:21	accord 243:23	82:5 104:24	226:13 227:23	allowed 3:14 13:17
151:14 152:17	account 32:4	addresses 169:23	228:10 229:9,15	140:4 208:8
154:18 155:8	33:16,19 35:18	addressing 15:11	230:10 231:18	237:23
156:5,11 157:9	38:7,10,11,13	129:17 142:6,14	232:12,15 240:14	allude 198:17
157:14 183:8	68:20 72:8	186:20 214:25	242:6 247:25	alluded 27:3 137:3
200:4 201:1,6	144:22 197:18	adduce 225:13	248:2 252:5	139:18 166:19
206:12 209:21,25	accounts 33:9,14	adequate 157:13	253:18,19	193:3 198:14
214:24 220:17	34:2,3,6 37:3,11	adjourned 12:22	agreed 119:9	217:17 248:17,23
237:17 241:14	37:11,13,15 38:2	78:19 208:1	140:11 170:8	255:5 260:18,18
270:25	accurate 19:19	278:20	187:6 194:13	allusion 239:8
abnormal 68:6	197:15,17	adjournment	209:21 224:4	allusions 245:17
absence 8:22 9:11	achieve 155:17	135:13,15	agrees 83:8 211:18	ally 200:7
absolutely 20:6	186:22	administrative	Ah 241:4	alright 126:12
47:23 110:9	achieved 57:18	25:15 28:25	ahead 177:14	208:16,20 212:6
127:5 162:13	184:15 185:9	87:17 88:19	184:12	218:8 223:25
175:7 186:12,13	achieving 182:20	139:5	aim 55:17	224:4 228:10
188:6 196:11	acknowledge	administrative'	air 200:19	229:22 233:9
244:9 248:1	170:11	87:24	airfield 93:15	alter 14:13,24
250:21 269:7,18	acknowledged	admiration 256:24	airport 178:13	alternative 26:5
269:24	159:15	admitted 245:21	179:5,6	28:4 151:10
absorb 264:15	acquitted 265:9	adopt 171:18	airport/La 177:19	alternatively
abundance 45:4	acronym 277:20	183:2 188:6	177:23 178:9	144:8
accelerated 21:22	Act 24:24 91:5	adopted 169:18	179:3	ambiguity 234:8
accept 19:18 21:13	138:14	170:5 171:18	albeit 91:2 158:15	235:24
27:11 57:14	acting 25:19 95:22	adopting 182:19	alerting 131:5,8	amount 36:25
69:16 70:1,2,8	96:2 140:8	advance 118:13	132:2	69:17 156:1
71:11 80:15 81:9	193:16 239:4	advanced 72:25	Alfred 75:5	159:4 231:12,16
82:18 132:15	action 29:10 267:8	118:2	aligned 115:21,23	ample 21:25 23:14
133:12 174:16	actions 109:20	advantage 2:20	aligns 59:15	analyse 54:9
230:23 244:10	activity 76:5,10	advice 201:19	alike 25:6 138:21	analysed 76:21
acceptable 27:10	actual 161:1	affairs 252:1	alive 62:8 67:10	90:17
accepted 86:7	262:19	afford 68:3	118:1 185:19	analysis 36:22
185:8,20	add 72:11 158:12	afraid 87:11	allegation 226:5	42:19,20 55:1
access 5:23 9:14	160:5 166:24	aftermath 15:6	228:16 245:11	71:4 83:25 97:6
33:4 37:23 38:2	170:2	afternoon 109:1	265:1,4,9 266:12	analyst 54:7
38:10,13 59:7	addition 10:20	135:9 208:25	allegations 73:16	anchoring 101:11
	1.4.4.	209:1 236:13	118:20 121:20	and/or 142:23
	144:4			
71:16 84:21	additional 3:22	244:15 245:22	122:1 142:21	143:12 220:8
71:16 84:21 90:10,12 91:14			122:1 142:21 207:8,11,14	143:12 220:8 angry 181:1
71:16 84:21 90:10,12 91:14 92:1,7,13 94:19	additional 3:22	244:15 245:22		
71:16 84:21 90:10,12 91:14	additional 3:22 14:17 42:13	244:15 245:22 253:4 266:6	207:8,11,14	angry 181:1

Epiq Europe Ltd +44 (0) 207 404 1400 www.epiqglobal.com Lo casemanagers@epiqglobal.com

Lower Ground Floor, 46 Chancery Lane m London, WC2A 1JE

5	1 2			1
				Page 281
224.8.225.2	96.10 100 12	112.22 117.0	(0.0.72.22.24	227.0
224:8 225:3	86:18 180:12	113:22 117:9	69:9 73:23,24	237:9
226:2 263:24	apparently 181:3	195:25	75:17 83:6 84:16	Assistant 5:7
announcements	appear 9:25 52:16	approaching	89:19,23 92:10	15:20,24 16:3,7
67:15	59:1 148:2,10	104:19	92:19 94:12 95:5	16:13 18:13
annual 184:19	226:20 272:13	appropriate 45:23	98:18 108:4	22:11 23:6 49:12
answer 1:24 40:19	276:2	60:12 168:3	115:10 118:14	75:21 217:16
41:4 42:2 55:3	appeared 55:7,9	263:4	123:5,7,11 134:7	253:10
58:9,15 64:22	appearing 16:24	appropriateness	134:9 171:5	assisted 68:19
65:17 66:19	136:4	24:10	182:25 197:8	120:14
75:23 76:22	appears 24:23	approved 55:15,19	201:16 206:10	assisting 21:2
94:11 99:12	77:2 138:13	55:25	208:7 210:14	79:12 262:8
101:2,3 124:20	139:8 176:19	April 1:1 7:13	215:3,15 216:3	associated 33:13
146:20 169:24	227:5	10:25 52:11,14	221:23 223:10	33:18 56:8
210:13 212:15	appended 56:6	164:1 183:14	230:11,13 233:1	assume 148:17
213:20 214:2,15	appendix 30:1	278:21	233:2 238:10	assuming 34:7
215:20 216:8	56:8	area 180:15	239:13 241:10,11	37:18 43:25 63:3
220:17 222:9	Apple 31:23 32:2,3	areas 12:2 13:2	241:13 242:4	70:10 99:23
227:9 230:7	32:3,4,13 33:15	140:21 160:9,10	256:13 268:7	172:21 173:1,3
232:25 233:6,7,8	34:3 104:11	160:15 167:25	275:4,14,16	assumption
233:8 235:4	109:6	188:21 210:11	277:2	245:10
236:3 247:8,14	applicable 88:11	277:15	asking 11:22 28:17	astray 68:3
247:15 249:8	171:13	arguing 13:18	47:4 93:10 108:1	attach 75:4 100:24
250:7	application 33:16	95:7	112:18 113:24	attached 63:16
answered 41:23	276:12	argument 131:10	123:18 124:19	attaching 23:20
157:12 231:3	applied 49:7 51:20	131:15 132:11,13	192:22 195:24	attachments 271:3
263:8 271:25	83:18 88:10	210:12	196:16 217:12	attack 224:16,20
answers 209:3	105:8,22,23	arguments 116:17	221:25 225:19,23	257:7
anticipation	133:21 222:2	131:13	238:17 249:7,8,9	attempt 35:4 37:2
147:15	275:7	arisen 3:17 209:3	263:5	37:16 202:6,15
anybody 28:15	applies 230:7	arises 65:4 99:3,4	aspiration 156:25	202:16
40:1 41:12 53:10	110	arising 2:15 3:2	assault 93:16	attempted 34:13
62:7 101:23	72:22 105:22	64:7,17 67:22	assert 180:5	256:14 258:13
102:1 115:18	119:7 159:17	184:3 268:9	asserted 94:5	attempting 255:8
132:14 133:10,23	160:18 222:3	271:12	asserting 244:16	attempts 37:23
199:7,13 215:4	269:5	arose 73:17 80:16	assertion 206:22	attend 130:1
215:16 224:22	appointed 18:25	81:10,17,23 84:2	assertions 206:1	194:15,20
anybody's 66:25	118:5	arrangements	asserts 13:25	attendance 93:18
100:22	appointment	270:20	assess 84:6 104:22	attended 120:7,20
anyway 27:23	19:10 129:20	arrears 211:4	119:8	attending 139:25
37:15 57:18	appraised 77:15	arrest 22:19	assessed 80:22	194:22
67:11 220:20	appreciate 51:19	arrested 265:1,4	157:7	attention 91:14
apart 199:8	261:5	arrived 153:15	assessment 120:12	92:5 97:11
252:19 265:13	approach 26:3	arse 182:6	assessments 85:6	attentions 216:16
266:17	54:8 72:21 78:12	artefacts 76:21	117:22 145:21	Attorney 77:16
Apologies 136:18	97:3 110:20,21	ascertain 67:6	assist 8:7 47:12	109:19 193:2
206:2 252:24	111:1 119:11	aside 94:3	79:20 97:10	194:3 198:12
apologise 276:1	128:16 154:21	asked 10:25 20:19	200:7 206:12	239:5 254:18
apparent 2:6	160:6 195:7	37:6 51:12 52:11	assistance 12:24	267:18
10:15 52:12	approached	54:8 61:17 68:1	43:16 56:11	attributable 8:11

www.epiqglobal.com Lowe casemanagers@epiqglobal.com

				Daga 292
1				Page 282
attributed 149:13	154:10,23 155:19	basic 181:5	60:10,23 125:25	56:2 129:11
audible 81:18	167:17 171:5	basic 181.5 basically 162:1,5	126:13,16,19	138:10 142:1
88:20 89:8	181:25 182:6	230:2	127:4,12 128:16	143:3,19 154:9
audience 88:9	185:10 187:18	basis 55:2 80:10	128:21 136:20	158:9 185:24,24
audio 76:12,14	188:3 200:8	149:8 150:1	144:11 147:4	188:25 192:1
August 76:5	234:10 236:8	204:20	170:5,20 171:11	261:21 271:9
author 181:4	255:3 261:3,18	bastards 250:4	171:21 172:5,8	bought 45:24
authorise 275:5,18	264:10 270:4	bastar as 250.11 bathroom 23:4,7	185:18 197:1,2	bought 15.21 box 36:20 183:5
authorised 231:10	278:12	battling 182:4	199:1 203:23	256:20
authority 193:2,17	back-up 60:7 61:1	beach 49:6 177:20	215:19 233:23,23	boxes 68:11,17
198:13 255:17	61:4,6	178:13 179:5,6	237:10,11 268:11	Branch 261:25
auto 76:14	back-ups 60:9	bear 29:8 179:16	277:6	262:16
availability 81:1	backed 33:13 34:6	bearing 32:17	better 16:11 18:9	breach 9:24 24:24
available 1:12	34:8 37:19 59:8	becoming 13:22	57:14 119:10	27:2 29:5 52:25
14:3 31:15 37:18	61:10,18 62:11	204:13	169:23 173:10	117:18 138:14
38:4,8 39:2	68:16 71:22	beg 79:25 237:5,6	181:15 186:6,11	139:13,13 265:5
86:23 113:9	background	244:8 247:19	187:16 200:6	266:3,3,5,10
118:19 119:24	129:10 170:15	began 68:10 188:8	221:15 250:17	271:15,16
126:10 127:8	274:6	beginning 79:16	255:6 261:10	breaches 25:6
120.10 127.8	backing 58:7	117:5	beyond 27:9 44:20	26:25 138:21
avenue 152:17	69:14	behalf 1:15 3:4	55:12 65:20	break 12:19 78:6,9
avoid 98:22	backs 61:11	81:25 82:1	132:25 133:5,16	78:16,17 135:8
avoid 98.22 aware 54:10 55:20		230:25 264:20	132.25 155.5,10	207:25 208:3
	backup 37:10 38:7	behaved 112:5	BGTW 178:5	Brian 181:2
61:9,24 62:24	38:8,12,13 40:20			
66:5 73:5 89:19	99:15,16 270:4	behaviour 27:10	big 183:21,21	brief 28:15 109:12
91:18 92:4,6,7,10	backups 33:12,18	265:18	186:2 188:11	briefed 22:14
93:11 142:18	34:10,11,16,23	belief 17:8 18:1,4	190:12,24 250:6	briefing 22:3
147:10,15 155:1	37:9,17,23 38:4	136:21	biggest 26:9	239:24
169:4 230:18	38:17 39:15	believe 80:8	bin 76:19	briefings 28:6
231:20 254:14	128:1,2	136:15 144:7	bit 18:6 54:2,3	130:11 137:7
262:7	backwards 229:5	148:15,24 159:11		138:2
B	bad 61:21 202:6,9	163:9 164:3	181:25 203:2	briefly 5:19 117:3
b 6:8,10 48:12	202:14 250:4	186:10 203:6	229:13 256:19	briefs 194:16
64:11 164:24	badly 159:20	257:25	bits 189:18	bring 91:13
back 21:4 27:20	189:5	believed 42:17,22	blame 99:19	216:16 248:15
35:11 44:3 48:1	Baglietto 224:17	74:20	blank 181:4	British 170:15
52:10 53:17	224:25 225:5,16	believes 9:16	blinded 197:14	178:7
58:25 65:3 68:1	225:24,25 263:20	15:14	blood 250:4	Britto 211:17
68:21 69:10	Baglietto's 263:22	belittle 133:23	blundering 273:19	broad 111:15
	bail 156:4	belonged 74:20	boast 96:10	112:18
75:16 87:4,11	balance 2:2	belonging 7:6	bodies 40:18	broadly 121:24
90:1,9,18 99:25	banter 161:21,21	belongings 68:11	body 48:25	brought 29:10
100:3 102:16	162:2,7,18	benefit 135:18	bold 25:3 138:18	90:18 91:2 92:5
104:15 105:9	163:20	benefits 193:11	books 11:14 277:7	248:14
110:24 116:21	banterous 237:7	bes-all 217:19	border 179:7	buddy 161:14,14
126:15 130:5	Barker 74:24	best 4:13 13:17	borderline 178:15	162:16,16 163:2
132:25 133:5	base 246:15	17:7,25 18:4	178:16,21 179:19	163:2
147:20 148:1,5	based 19:15 73:25	27:4 54:7,8	bore 220:1	build 190:22,24
	140:14	58:23 59:13	bottom 44:6 52:6	building 21:24
149:3,5,20,24 150:1,4,11 154:1	140.14	50.25 57.15	bottom 11:0 52:0	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~

				Page 283
			110 10 110	
23:2 48:11	carefully 229:3,8	270:17	112:12 113:5,12	138:19 139:7
156:25	229:15	categories 88:19	114:19,23 123:13	changed 31:23
bullying 142:23	Carra 120:23	categorisation	123:16,22 124:8	39:13 88:24
bundle 16:24 52:5	carried 20:3,4	117:21	124:10,12,14,16	89:22 172:7
276:12	35:12	categorising 73:7	124:18 125:23	221:11,13 222:8
business 3:12	carries 180:17	category 20:17	126:5,8,12,18	changes 88:4
59:23 137:6,10	carry 73:24 99:15	79:22 88:7 99:13	127:2,7,9,19,21	220:9
140:21 160:10,15	99:24 158:7	Cathal 15:21	128:12,20,23	changing 226:17
167:25 195:2	203:17 264:19	16:13 68:1,8,16	129:1 134:7,9,12	characterise 102:7
200:3 210:11	carrying 43:17	182:5	134:16 135:6,11	charge 265:10,15
223:17 244:12	59:6 97:4	cause 27:2 239:3	135:16 159:18,23	266:6,16
277:14	Caruana 30:8	258:10	171:4,16,22,25	charged 9:9
	78:22 84:21	caused 51:19	172:10,20 173:2	charging 276:4,11
$\frac{C}{525(4.12)}$	85:24 87:2 93:3	98:21 220:19	173:6,9 174:3,7	Charlie 197:10
c 52:5 64:12	96:19 99:19	caution 45:5	177:9,13 207:24	chase 177:24
142:17	103:10,15,18	CCTV 27:5	208:5,14,18,22	178:1 179:10,14
cabinet 195:17	112:21 208:12,16	cease 24:19 25:9	211:18 214:1,14	chases 234:9
198:15,18,21	208:20,23,24	25:23 138:24	218:5,9 222:5	chasing 179:18
199:8,13 215:4	214:3,16 218:7	140:13	225:6,17,21,23	chat 7:24 33:6
215:17	222:7 235:4,10	ceasing 142:12	226:4 228:5	38:18 46:7,11,17
calculation 177:5	235:13,16 240:13	230:15	229:11 232:6,10	46:19,20,22
177:12	247:10,13,16	cent 171:20	232:24 235:1,6,9	47:11 49:5,11,22
call 15:20 47:5	250:24 252:20	central 117:13	235:12,14 238:5	50:12,16,19,19
72:18 203:19	264:21	certain 102:8	240:12 246:22	50:23 51:5 55:1
257:24	Caruana's 268:9	116:11 133:1,5	247:6,8,12	57:21 100:19,25
called 5:6 111:12	case 19:25 24:25	188:21 189:23	250:22 252:3	104:11 107:8,19
189:8 224:15	34:9,19 44:4	226:21 271:25	253:1,3 254:2,5,7	189:4 222:24
255:3	47:1 51:18 54:6	certainly 19:9	254:13 257:5	250:2
calling 161:25	60:3 67:1 71:1	22:10 41:17 47:1	260:6 262:10	chats 10:6,6 46:23
244:11 254:16	71:19 80:21,24	47:21 66:24 71:1	264:12,19,22	48:10 50:8,11,11
calls 78:1	83:6 104:4 105:6	71:24 92:12,13	265:14,19,22	93:13 106:5,5,10
candid 231:23	106:13 118:1	117:1 141:1	266:1,9 271:20	108:8 226:21
candidly 245:21	132:17,21 138:15	157:7 175:15	271:22 272:3,8	241:1 248:3
canvassed 113:6	144:14,16 178:18	181:21 188:2	272:16,19 273:1	check 21:8 43:17
capability 156:5	217:22 218:4	189:24 190:16,22	273:7,10,14,18	54:20 75:1
157:19	228:14,15 236:22	212:12 225:10	273:21 274:2,4	145:16 147:18
capacity 97:14	238:24,25 240:9	255:5,14	274:25 275:4,8	150:9 173:13,23
144:5 156:5,24	241:8 242:22	chairing 194:19	275:17,21,23,24	174:1,6
157:18 195:7	243:11,12 244:10	chairman 1:3	277:19,23 278:1	checked 46:22
capture 88:9 140:1	244:25 245:16	12:14,20,23	278:11,14,16,18	146:4 204:10
captured 20:16	249:3 252:8	15:22 16:1,5,9,20	challenge 1:22	241:10
236:10	266:23 269:8,16	19:16 41:7,9	challenges 1:24	checks 67:5
captures 175:24	269:22 271:3	53:14,19 58:24	2:3 183:19 267:7	Cheers 201:12
card 35:22	276:3	61:15,21,25	chance 200:12	Chief 40:25
cards 44:1,2	cases 21:20 66:7	62:13 63:2,6	change 25:4,17	109:19 111:6,19
career 12:8 13:9	156:2,3 266:19	68:25 78:6,8,16	27:17 33:7 35:16	112:5 115:4,20
66:3 194:5 257:9	267:3	78:21 83:8 96:18	39:1 97:21 98:4	168:9 191:11
carefree 101:22	cast 48:1 107:4	103:8,13 106:17	98:13 100:1	192:12 193:1,16
careful 221:5	catch 114:19 214:1	106:24 111:8	111:1 117:18,19	194:1 198:7
260:23				

-	1 2			Page 284
199:8 204:17	224:24 240:9	267:1	23:10	complied 51:14
205:7,8 207:2,6	clearer 175:3	comes 156:7	commonly 23:22	80:12 123:6
216:10,13,15,19	clearly 26:1 27:9	177:16 211:20	communicate	comply 34:20
232:4 239:3	27:12 28:2 48:25	243:1	21:19 22:2,21	104:5
245:23 246:4,21	56:21 57:5 65:3	comfortable 16:1	28:13 49:1	complying 79:13
248:10,13,16,17	76:4,8 97:12	109:2 119:6	108:16,20 137:1	comprehensive
248:22,25 251:15	114:17 115:4	comfortably	141:2,13 148:3	19:13 72:15
254:19 255:7,15	117:13 158:15	272:24	149:8,25 200:9	73:14 80:9
256:16 259:2,11	167:21 187:22	coming 39:3 133:8	communicated	122:21 125:7
261:4,5,7,10,23	clip 209:5 232:1	141:6 186:1	41:17 47:18	176:12 276:18
262:23,25	close 111:10	259:6 278:12	197:20	comprehensively
children 226:23	161:15 163:3,10	command 24:8	communicating	84:24
Chill 189:4	206:19 244:12,16	25:21 46:21	23:23 27:14 29:2	computer 11:15
choice 166:20	245:2,2,6,7,8,15	87:19 111:5	48:9	95:8 96:12
CI 38:3	245:18 247:4	130:13 141:5	communication	220:15
circumstance	253:11 258:8	163:14 191:17	23:3,15,16,19	computers 156:6
47:20 55:8	closed 195:12	252:17 254:17	25:24 28:4,17	con 212:8
circumstances	closing 226:10	256:24 257:1	46:25 47:8 48:14	conceal 228:12
2:12 4:23 6:1	Cloud 89:13	commence 206:4	48:20 59:21	concentrating
15:17 22:23	clown 248:18	comment 104:23	60:17 77:24	179:15
125:6 142:11	CM 186:11 187:16	106:17 235:24	101:5 122:13	concern 14:22
176:3 229:23	co-ordinates	commented 58:25	128:19 137:23	29:6 112:17,19
230:15 231:2	177:25	comments 181:8	140:15 165:24	114:6 211:22
243:23 247:7,24	coffee 78:17	Commission 9:14	231:6	212:16 213:19
269:25	cognisant 146:22	138:13	communications	214:18 215:5
civil 26:21 228:9	colleague 268:15	commissioned	27:17,23 28:8	216:10 253:22
civilian 26:21	colleagues 14:9	184:2	47:7 107:7	concerned 83:23
claimed 196:11	59:14 60:22	Commissioner 5 :8	113:19 137:5	94:2 113:14
claims 206:19	90:23	5:17 15:21,24	144:19 147:17	147:24 166:3
clarification 4:12	collected 175:21	16:3,7,13 18:13	155:15	172:12 178:1
10:3 112:21	collective 85:5	18:25 22:12 24:8	complaining	188:15,17 202:1
clarifies 64:1	College 59:16	24:17,23 25:20	161:24	211:9 212:1
clarify 79:24 121:8	170:13,18,21	31:24 43:21 47:3	complaints 5:5	213:5 214:10,18
132:10 162:25	171:7	49:12,12 55:16	142:21	219:19 221:21
216:8 263:21	collision 27:5,6	75:22 107:21	complement	223:20 245:13
271:13	28:15 70:20	135:20,21,23	157:13	252:1 263:10
clarifying 217:4	177:18 178:4	140:9 142:9,12	complete 117:4	266:5
clarity 238:21	collisions 234:9	142:18 144:5	223:15	concerning 203:21
clean 57:1	colluded 125:17	147:24 155:7	completed 45:14	223:23 254:23
clear 1:10 2:11 7:7	combat 248:6	169:7 173:4	completely 27:13	concerns 67:22
20:3,7 28:11	come 1:18 25:16	216:15 217:16	188:2 196:15	109:19 110:10
34:4,24 51:20	29:4 39:4 84:25	218:25 219:5	197:14 211:20	117:25 119:20
57:4,4 58:21	85:2 87:11 88:3	230:16 243:1	253:12 265:23	122:4,7 139:10
78:25 79:15 80:5	94:21 139:6	252:11 253:5,10	275:7 276:15,16	158:5 205:5,16
80:7 81:8,25	141:18 154:10,23	256:3 257:19	completeness	207:5 216:16
104:7 121:1	161:2 170:17	Commissioner's	204:23	253:13,15 254:1
162:13 187:14	171:4 184:4	23:6,7 253:24	compliance 219:3	258:24 259:1,6 261:10
245:12 algored 74:22	185:5 211:25	committed 157:16	complicated 141:8 266:13	261:19
cleared 74:22	239:24 240:1	common 22:25	200.15	conclude 24:17

www.epiqglobal.com Lower Ground Floor, 46 Chancery Lane casemanagers@epiqglobal.com

London, WC2A 1JE

5	1 9			1
				Page 285
000.11	• 100.15	05 10 06 16	(100.00	
228:11	conscience 190:17	85:13 86:16	control 32:20	128:21 134:18,20
concluded 80:22	conscious 236:4,5	contact 201:1	36:17 42:5 43:6	134:21,22,24
conclusion 15:10	240:7	contacted 242:4	44:13 107:14	144:19
55:2 78:3 146:6	consent 114:3	contacts 26:10,12	108:11 130:19	core 74:8 118:3
conducive 23:3	152:23 243:10,11	140:20	142:10,19 143:24	210:11
conduct 3:12 73:6	275:14,16	contain 14:10	144:8,10 152:14	Cornelio 9:8
128:1 132:20	consented 64:16	contained 66:16	172:14 218:20	corporate 26:8
141:24 259:13	consequence 22:16	66:22 71:12,18	242:18,20,21	40:15 127:24
conducted 45:10	112:8 220:22	75:10 205:8	248:24 276:19	169:12,16 180:4
71:4 73:13 90:22	consequences 40:2	207:15,18 276:4	controversial	180:13,20
91:1 107:10	57:2 111:25	276:11	176:3	correct 2:9 21:16
108:14 118:19	128:8	containing 71:17	convened 1:13	29:9 30:19,21
141:23	consider 2:21 9:4	75:20	225:3	31:17,18 35:6
conducting 105:19	28:22 58:11 69:6	contains 23:18	convenience	36:4 40:22 43:24
119:5 242:11	69:13 78:10	99:16	203:20	44:20 45:22 46:8
confidence 192:25	83:12,16 128:18	contemplating	conversation	50:13,14 51:9
195:18 199:4,9	131:7,23 154:12	14:4	19:24 20:16	53:1,18,20 54:22
199:10,14 215:5	157:12 187:2	contemporaneous	161:3 162:21	55:22 61:3 66:10
215:12,17,22	196:1 200:12	14:25	189:15 191:3,11	70:10 72:4 77:13
231:25 232:4	consideration	content 23:19	195:10,13,25	77:14 80:15
238:23 239:5	20:12 60:8 83:25	57:20 128:3	196:9,10,21	82:10,17 90:25
240:4	86:24 169:8	contents 4:21 8:15	197:9,13,18	91:4,12 96:13
confident 265:23	considerations	16:22 17:24	198:20 199:3	97:24 98:2 100:5
confidential	74:5 117:23	136:2,19 176:14	200:16 204:5	100:5,10,11,15
219:10,18 267:1	considered 6:11	270:1	215:2,9,11	107:3 111:3
confidentiality	69:4 83:2 118:22	context 6:12 11:19	235:20	134:8 137:14
219:12 243:22	176:17 256:1	21:11,23 22:9	conversations	139:12 145:22
confirm 16:22	considering 34:20	35:8 50:17 55:22	107:9 110:4	146:1,11,15,21
17:4,6,16,23 18:2	41:19 84:5 104:4	57:2 58:14 59:22	162:3 224:2	149:15,21,23
18:3 42:4 50:1	126:25 168:17	80:18 82:14	233:16 236:11,12	150:15,16 152:8
66:21 136:2,18	180:20 191:8	121:15,23 127:13	237:1	153:14 161:12
148:12 151:25	consistent 28:23	128:10 161:18	convert 240:14	163:4,12 165:3,4
197:17	265:24	212:7 225:11	conveyed 23:20	165:7,8 166:1,7
confirmation	consists 4:1	243:2,19 275:3	COOPER 271:11	170:7 176:23,24
84:16 153:15	conspiracy 93:16	275:11 276:10	271:12,24 272:4	177:1 179:1
confirmed 35:2	269:5	contextualise	272:13,18 273:3	181:12 182:14
75:11 148:11	conspired 125:18	211:23	273:9 274:1,3	183:7 194:12
153:11 196:22	constable 95:1	continuation	cooperate 85:15	195:6 198:9,16
confirming 214:4	204:17	164:7	129:14 142:4	203:7,8,13
conflating 189:23	constables 90:23	continue 80:8	cooperation 153:5	205:12 206:15
conflict 264:25	Constabulary	129:9,11 130:6	cooperative 114:5	209:24 210:15,19
268:20	143:15	170:1	152:22	215:7,7,13,14,21
confrontation	constant 231:6	continued 87:21	COP 151:24	215:24,25 216:1
255:6	constantly 141:6	continuing 24:10	copied 128:9	216:18,19,23
confusion 51:19	161:24 251:4	86:8,11 140:5	copies 127:6 128:3	221:17,18 225:12
connected 158:15	constitutes 50:19	continuity 147:25	Coping 192:2	227:1 228:1
connection 64:8	constructed	contracts 29:19	copy 7:22 11:16	230:6,8,9,17
64:12,13,17 90:6	159:21	contrast 270:12	73:11 120:24	231:13 234:13
Connor 43:10,14	consultation 40:3	contributing 10:2	124:1 126:15	235:8 242:13
- ,			_	
			1	·

2				-
				Page 286
	100.0.014.7		140.0.170.1	
243:25 244:3,4	199:9 214:7	crisis 214:20	149:8 150:1	day 11:14 22:1
246:1,21 249:17	225:22 237:23	241:19,21	damage 182:11,15	23:12 48:12
253:17 254:20	242:10,24,25	criterion 227:5	dark 115:7	68:18 77:1 100:7
255:19 257:6,20	246:8 255:23	critical 139:25	data 6:3 9:2,5,23	100:12 102:14
257:21 258:18,23	267:2 269:13	167:22 225:16	11:17 24:22,24	133:16 137:10,10
259:18 262:4	275:3	245:5	25:6,11 26:25	151:4 152:10
264:5,8 265:11	couses 125:21	criticise 244:14	27:2 29:7 34:24	164:6,18 185:14
269:15 274:14,15	coverage 3:7	criticised 125:14	39:3,14 45:13,17	200:22 214:8
275:21	covered 2:25 8:9	247:20	52:25 53:7 54:2	219:6,7 222:14
corrected 154:7	81:21	criticism 14:22	56:14,17,20,22	235:19 237:17
correctly 29:22	covers 7:15	188:15	57:11,12 58:4,7	264:16 277:7
80:7 201:18	Covid 48:22 70:17	cross 163:17	58:12 59:5,7,12	day-to-day 59:22
213:13	70:22 77:21	222:18	61:17 62:5 68:3	daybooks 71:9
correctness 217:14	110:3 194:17	cross-border	68:5,12,16 71:19	168:20 175:23
correspondence	195:11	184:22	71:20 95:17	220:22
68:14 73:4 75:4	coxswains 177:24	cross-examined	96:24 97:1 98:21	days 3:12 6:14
108:14 116:9,12	CP 6:9	228:19	98:22 99:3,25	46:24 50:20
130:3	CPs 73:1	Crown 32:19	117:17 119:7	52:12 68:9
corridor 23:5	cracked 185:16	44:12	126:24 128:9	107:16 114:11
162:4 236:14	create 139:20	crucial 7:15 60:18	138:12,14,21	191:23 203:23
corridors 237:3	172:4 173:18	Cruz 78:12 116:23	139:1,10,12,13	249:24
corrupted 270:9	created 32:5 36:12	123:13,16 124:9	144:19 145:19	DC 10:4 30:6,8
corruption 91:21	39:15 53:11	124:10,15,18	147:8,8 148:19	35:2 37:6,22
92:20 269:8	76:22 127:15	125:22 147:13	168:17,23 175:21	50:9,24 51:1
cost 204:18	186:19 206:5	151:5,20 152:3	219:3,12 220:11	52:11 53:12,25
Costa 213:11	207:2	152:10 153:21	220:13 265:5	54:23 74:25 75:5
costs 3:11	creating 163:22	155:16 224:23	266:3,3,4,9	75:10,15 77:3
counsel 1:21 3:15	169:22	225:6 226:9	271:15,16	92:16 120:23
19:1,24 85:13	creatures 140:24	246:16 274:7,8,9	data-protection	164:21
86:17 108:15	credibility 6:8	275:3,6,20,22	127:3 128:11	deal 6:21 135:8
151:13,14,16	crest 207:5	276:1,21	date 51:10 73:1	173:4 203:4
153:2,21 193:16	crime 157:16,19	current 18:11	76:7,9,16 93:7,9	250:6
201:19 224:16	160:7 184:21,24	46:23 59:2 74:12	93:10 94:14,16	dealer 269:1
247:18	268:25 277:12	252:3 271:19	98:12 107:16	dealers 218:6,7,10
count 211:19	crimes 157:15	currently 46:21	111:9 125:1	dealing 48:16
counting 250:6	241:25	157:6 169:25	133:1,5 134:7,9	167:21 186:14
couple 32:25 67:20	criminal 9:23 66:7	170:9	134:14 181:4	241:19,21,25
75:18 179:20	97:7 121:9,16	custody 114:1	189:23 192:11	252:6
182:25 183:20	156:2,3 217:24	142:10	233:2	dealings 143:11
186:5 201:25	228:9 243:16,19	Cyclops 60:4	dated 24:1 52:3	152:25
262:20 263:1	259:12 263:6	175:24 277:8,9	138:6 141:20	deals 166:9
274:9 276:16	271:19 272:1	277:10,17,19	147:13 155:12	dealt 2:8 153:1
course 22:1,14	273:10 274:20		164:1 207:6	154:24
23:15 41:11	275:11	<u> </u>	dates 148:6 254:5	Dear 147:15
46:15 65:9,12	criminality 156:8	d 107:5,20 129:23	255:13	death 160:25
69:7 91:6,6	207:8,12 216:11	129:24 142:25	dating 7:13 148:1	161:1,2,6
127:23 128:22	216:25 218:19	144:13	David 205:10,11	debate 27:2 29:1
129:22 151:21	259:3,21 260:14	dad 257:18,19	207:4	60:9 88:13
160:3 194:10	criminals 270:17	daily 47:13,21,22	Davis 213:8	debating 24:9
		70:17 77:21		
		1	1	1

•				-
				Page 287
debrief 185:11	54:24 57:16 77:8	description 19:19	29:19 31:23 32:7	235:23 236:6
December 3:25	Delhi 5:4 11:11	desert 101:17	32:17 33:5,12	dilemma 116:20
4:10 30:8 32:9	14:7,11 22:22	desire 238:22	38:20 44:10,19	dimensions 79:19
51:11 85:23	60:14 66:14	desk 219:8	55:18 56:7 60:10	direct 28:16 47:8
96:23,23 100:7	68:13 70:21	desktop 11:15	70:25 74:22 75:2	directed 1:25
125:3,5 153:15	77:11,13,17	71:9 219:8,10	75:24 81:3 87:4	19:10 20:13 95:3
154:5 155:12	109:17,20 129:22	220:15	94:19 95:9 104:2	108:7
decide 54:7 98:4	129:23 137:15,18	despite 70:6 85:5	104:11 107:10	directing 22:12
98:11,13 238:4	137:21 142:25	100:19 221:8	126:21 127:17,24	direction 21:7
decided 97:21	143:2 144:13	224:14 246:19	138:23,25 141:8	61:13 68:25
109:5 119:2	176:4,8 224:9	destruction 11:15	165:2	114:17,21,22,23
214:22 231:21	243:7 253:11,20	detail 3:17 6:24	DFU 45:12	127:25 160:12
233:3 234:12	276:6 277:1	41:20 162:5	DG 50:7 202:7	directions 131:13
240:3 264:16	deli 196:23	166:16 190:1	difference 10:15	directly 22:25
decision 12:4 13:5	delivered 68:17	263:9	38:8 225:4	261:15
13:21,24 15:18	delivery 115:13	detailed 11:22	different 8:5,8	Director 267:17
19:23 54:6 59:21	demanding 107:18	details 6:23 154:18	20:23 26:2 33:9	disagree 239:10,12
73:18 121:8	demonstrated	172:7 185:1	33:23 39:11 43:2	240:16,17 252:5
168:20 267:14,21	182:17	255:4	52:18 54:1 59:4	disagreed 184:12
decision-making	demonstration	detected 54:25	88:9 92:9 126:2	disappear 270:10
119:12	38:21	determine 43:5	126:3 127:21	disappointed
decisions 19:21	denying 237:14	75:7 119:20	128:2 134:1	181:17 188:18
60:6,16 277:12	244:23	determined	140:20 141:6,7,7	211:9 213:4
dedicate 183:22	departed 58:5	232:13	148:3 149:7,10	214:6,7,10,12,23
deemed 131:17	department 40:8	developed 19:1	167:22 188:2	disappointment
defence 267:11	95:5 156:15,16	28:19 118:4	193:19 218:10,23	211:21 212:17
269:23	156:17 157:8	158:23	240:9 247:7,10	213:19 214:4
defendants 11:11	169:12 171:2	developing 97:5	247:11,24 254:4	disclosable 66:17
243:7	departments	167:24	275:7 276:15,16	disclose 7:9 9:18
defer 99:19	40:17	developments	276:25	10:23 11:3 21:4
definitive 169:24	departure 68:10	130:8 203:21	differing 148:6	21:8 64:7,19
definitively 62:18	176:3 190:23	device 9:16,17	difficult 13:22	65:10 69:17,23
defraud 269:6	depend 47:15,19	10:17 25:1 28:9	48:1 51:13	71:8 81:5,5,13
defuse 258:21	99:14 170:13	29:2 32:6 35:23	111:17,18 140:19	89:3,4 105:2
degree 243:22	depending 55:5	36:7,11 41:3	141:2,9,19	106:1 108:6
delays 4:19 10:21	depends 211:23	43:18 45:11	157:14 180:8,16	119:22 198:19
157:17	deployed 246:17	52:18 54:2 55:15	180:18 194:1	229:24 230:13
delete 57:11,19	depressed 211:16	56:5,13 57:1	213:14,16 248:20	260:10
127:11	depth 198:22	58:13 59:1,12,25	249:22 250:19	disclosed 1:19
deleted 10:5,8 50:8	describe 34:14,14	64:9,18 83:4	252:6	2:22 3:3 4:4 5:1
50:11,23 55:4,10	35:2 54:3 102:7	138:16 165:6,7	difficulties 54:10	5:16 6:7 8:5
69:19 76:14,18 76:25 164:3 4 11	102:9 105:4	167:11,18 168:25	99:4 126:24	12:11 13:12 14:8
76:25 164:3,4,11	106:20 111:24	175:9,12 270:2 dovices 7:6 8 12 15	difficulty 27:12	14:16,23 29:7
224:5 225:2,25	127:14 described 10:7	devices 7:6,8,12,15	119:17 digital 22:22 42:10	36:3 69:20 74:7
263:24 264:3,6	described 19:7	8:9,19 9:1 24:4	digital 32:23 42:19	82:18 83:10,13
deletion 50:22	22:9 41:16	24:11,18 25:8,10	44:15 119:14	83:16,17 84:17
55:6 76:11 77:5	106:11 110:14 130:24 276:14	26:16 27:15,18 27:19,23 28:12	155:22,25 156:21 156:23 173:17	85:5,9,10,20
deletions 10:1,12 50:3 51:3,7		*		88:16 92:8 93:24 131:5 145:2
50.5 51.5,7	describing 23:4	29:11,13,15,18	dignified 12:16	151.5 145:2

www.epiqglobal.com Lower (casemanagers@epiqglobal.com

				Page 288
153:7,17 205:6	107:1 131:16	documentation	174:13,23,24	E889 120:14
205:19,25 206:10	discontent 158:21	21:3 144:7,9	175:5,11,13,15	E920 23:25 138:4
206:16 207:16	discount 48:6	documents 11:16	176:24	E99 67:17
238:11 239:19	discover 132:24	15:1 23:21 65:10	dynamics 179:15	earlier 27:21 31:8
240:25 242:17	145:9	73:9,11 74:6,7		44:4,17 46:25
259:9,10	discovered 86:25	107:13 117:21	E	48:7,12 58:9
disclosing 243:20	105:11,13	118:15,18 119:21	e 130:8 143:4	64:23 76:16
244:2	discovery 122:5	120:9 130:15,15	144:16	79:18 83:6 87:2
disclosure 2:5,13	discuss 21:19	130:17,17 133:19	E1047 106:19	90:2,14 101:25
3:23 4:1,6,9,14	58:17 78:11	142:8,20 143:19	E1069 30:5 164:20	110:1 113:18
4:19 5:11 6:17	109:18 200:9	143:21,22,23	E1071 37:22	117:8 118:12
6:23,24,25 8:11	237:18 271:21	144:2 147:10	E1102 183:11	137:9 139:18
8:18,22 10:3,20	discussed 77:3	174:20 175:6	E1106 196:5	154:6 155:20
10:25 11:6 12:2	110:10 113:4	230:12,20 270:24	233:19	163:5 168:5
13:2,25 14:13,21	160:17 189:13,19	276:5,5 277:17	E1108 207:1 260:4	179:24 193:3
15:13 18:20,22	230:3 239:23	doing 20:17 60:3	260:8	236:9 258:25
18:23 19:2,10,11	discussing 32:7	60:22 79:11	E1114 205:4,14	early 4:24 6:2
19:13,18 20:23	48:19 117:20	82:17 95:11	206:3	12:13 13:15 14:5
21:7,15 27:25	154:24 253:25	160:14 168:4	E1115 205:15	15:18 45:9
33:2 34:21 35:12	discussion 77:19	184:20,22,25	261:17	119:16 142:13
42:14 51:12 64:6	98:8 114:16	186:12 224:18	E1116 206:3 216:9	234:20
64:10,12,13,16	193:15 195:17	door 195:13	E155 153:6	ease 79:2
66:5,6 72:15	204:14	double 204:10	E168 203:1	easier 99:13
73:15,17,19	discussions 19:21	doubt 26:22 51:25	E169 204:25	178:15 214:2
74:10 79:2,6,7,19	72:20 74:2 77:23	73:4 236:11	E170 257:17	easiest 99:12 193:7
80:4,9,16 81:10	77:25 109:16	DPP 76:13 77:17	E171 256:18	easily 240:13
83:24 85:23 86:5	110:7 159:7	DPP's 109:20	E276 155:3	east 177:19 178:11
86:7,11 94:3,5	160:18 190:1	Dr 73:20	E278 41:22 E319 55:14 168:13	easy 109:9 180:18
103:24 104:6,8	234:18	draft 75:9 116:6	E319 55:14 108:15 E321 56:2	250:12
105:7 113:24	displayed 30:19	127:15,16 134:2	E321 30:2 E324 64:2	Ed 213:7
117:4,10,21	112:7	134:5,13 187:7	E324 04.2 E4 74:15	edge 192:5
118:6,20 119:4	disposal 22:4	drafted 228:7	E495 181:23,24	effect 39:23 92:15
119:23 120:25	dispute 131:25	drafts 72:7	E495 181.25,24 E497 211:11	98:16 100:6
121:2,9,11,21	258:21	draw 83:5	E502 177:2	110:11,16,17,17
122:4,12,21	disputes 13:18	drawing 82:2 drive 30:9 37:8	E502 177.2 E511 180:22	110:19 161:11 228:20
123:17 124:1	disrespectful 133:9,9	68:2,12,22 69:9	E 511 180.22 E 629 160:22	effectively 37:13
125:7,11,15,19 129:4,7 130:23	dissemination	266:3,7 271:17	E729 212:17	53:16 63:5
129.4,7 130.25	25:11 139:1	drove 27:1	E742 188:24	effort 147:4
132.10 141.21,22	dissuade 267:17	drug 218:6,7,9	E761 200:20	efforts 9:4 14:19
147:3,6 152:3	distance 178:12	269:1	E764 234:24,25	146:2,16
158:12,13,25	distinction 82:3	due 9:16 31:15	235:17	eight 48:11
159:4 174:13,23	83:5	69:7 77:21 92:20	E767 185:23	either 6:6 36:8
174:24 175:6,11	distinctions 234:9	166:5 194:10	E871 255:20	66:7 69:19
175:13,15 176:12	diverted 97:11	272:6	E872 145:11	178:12 181:16
176:15 224:9	document 8:3,6	Duma 103:12	E873 158:8	247:16 265:12
270:22 276:18	76:18 107:12	dummies 224:23	E877 31:19 44:5	266:17,23 267:2
277:16	135:2 171:8	Duncan 68:18	166:8	269:25 272:25
disclosures 1:7 2:1	206:3 275:13	duty 65:10 157:22	E880 49:9 103:22	273:18
aiseissui es 1.7 2.1	200.0 270.10	<i>any 00.10 101.22</i>	E881 45:2	-,
			l	1

Epiq Europe Ltd +44 (0) 207 404 1400

www.epiqglobal.com Lower Ground Floor, 46 Chancery Lane casemanagers@epiqglobal.com

London, WC2A 1JE

-				-
				Page 289
alaatuaria 25.9		antabliah 20.2 45.5	100.0 107.17	122.21 140.20
electronic 25:8	encouraging 60:5	establish 38:3 45:5	188:8 197:17	133:21 140:20
118:8 124:2	ended 241:2,2	91:5 156:22	200:13 206:13	148:4,22 149:19
130:16 134:19	248:4 272:14,16	160:24 271:18	209:20 217:17	149:25 173:16
138:23 143:22	endorsed 140:11	273:12	219:25 222:8	183:18 224:16
144:2,18 element 55:11	168:25 ends-all 217:20	established 87:18 150:15	225:1,15,23	examples 14:2 38:17 148:5
191:12	energy 183:23	eternal 234:23	228:13 238:13,14 239:15,18 246:20	
elements 56:21	enforcement 48:24	eureka 105:13	246:23 247:18	Excellency 207:3 242:15
eligible 56:4	engaged 1:9 72:19	evening 236:12	240:23 247:18	Excellent 16:25
email 23:17,18	119:19 125:18	event 45:13 185:1	258:25 263:23,23	exception 137:15
32:4,7 33:9,14,15	230:25	185:6 238:2	264:4,5 269:12	exception 137:13 exceptional 137:17
33:19 34:2 42:3	engagement	events 11:13 24:16	269:13,19 277:6	exceptions 11:6
57:6 60:3 62:11	119:23	48:4 244:21	evidenced 51:14	exchange 30:24
63:21 64:1 71:17	engagements	264:1	60:13	31:4 111:6
71:22 72:7 75:4	117:16	eventual 190:23	evident 81:4	152:21 160:23
75:9,13,21 99:18	engineer 96:3,7	eventual 196:25	evidential 217:9	161:17 165:10,15
99:20 118:11	engineering 96:6	36:9 74:6 233:11	246:15	exchanged 28:1
119:15 132:5,6,8	enquiries 273:23	240:22	evolution 20:19	54:15 158:16
132:9,12 144:22	ensure 4:12 13:16	everybody 85:10	evolved 133:11	174:17 176:20
147:12 150:3,6,7	56:12 147:1	135:19 226:25	ex 43:21 213:1	exchanges 1:18,20
151:3,5,23	171:10 273:24	232:4	exact 190:1 255:4	14:24 15:5 54:18
152:21 191:2	entire 10:6 50:11	everybody's	255:13	54:19 65:24 88:5
216:14 241:3	50:22 88:10	226:24	exactly 61:8 93:2	111:18 123:8
emailed 63:21	entirely 240:16,17	evidence 1:17 2:23	187:12 191:1	124:5 151:23
emails 58:10 62:11	256:1,5	4:22 5:7,9,13,16	209:14 211:8	152:1 181:22
63:16 65:21 68:2	entirety 59:1	6:7,12,14 7:17	225:8 235:13	207:5 255:24
68:21 73:12 99:5	171:19 206:14	8:21 10:8 12:5	250:11	exchanging 68:14
99:9,13 118:17	entitled 220:23	13:6 16:11,21	examination 32:24	70:19
130:17 137:7	entity 79:6	18:10,24 20:20	36:2 43:3 44:16	exclude 81:20
138:3 143:22	entry 27:1,3 29:14	20:24 34:15	74:25 75:24	excuse 109:3 124:9
144:21 168:21	30:2 88:9	50:25 51:1,7	118:17 128:10	execute 7:20 255:8
175:22 223:20	environment	70:8,10 72:18	154:13	256:14 258:14
243:6 244:17	171:14 180:16	76:8,10 77:12	examine 4:21	executive 32:8,10
271:2,3 277:7	213:17	80:6,25 81:24	18:20 104:20	36:21 62:20
embarrass 229:7	equally 146:25	82:25 83:20	156:12	63:21,23
embarrassing	149:1 160:18	87:12,16 88:25	examined 43:10	exercise 15:15
188:19	189:13 210:24	98:23 100:5,6	44:18 45:12 75:5	35:13 45:5,14,16
emerge 12:1	211:5 242:1	103:19 104:8	83:1 118:16	45:21 66:6 121:2
emerged 236:22	er 234:3,5	110:15 129:13	120:8 155:21	121:22 141:23
emerging 5:15	ergo 83:17	131:3 132:4	267:23	145:16 146:24
15:11	Erm 196:15,17	136:1 139:18	example 7:3,18	147:3 153:22
emotive 255:23	error 32:15 127:10	140:1,3 142:3	11:13 31:11	183:5
employed 156:20	244:9	143:17 145:10	38:16 42:8,9	exercises 15:13
employment 64:11	especially 27:13 essentially 21:25	148:12 150:13,24	47:13,18 49:6	exhaustive 25:13 139:3
empty 49:6 enacted 26:15	29:23 62:10	154:4 157:17 159:11 160:6	50:17 54:17,20 54:21 57:20 58:3	exhibited 132:4
encountered 54:11	115:7 117:20	163:9 165:23	59:6 60:13 70:4	exist 96:17 166:4
encourage 20:9	119:3,25 196:8	166:25 174:9	76:10 85:9 89:20	169:25 170:1,9
27:11	204:11	175:18,25 184:8	93:15 126:13	existed 31:14 37:9
<i>~</i> /.11	4 07.11	175.10,25 107.0	75.15 120.15	VAISUU J1.17 J/.)
I				

Epiq Europe Ltd +44 (0) 207 404 1400

				Page 290
96:16 130:25	51:17 52:20	201:2,2	fairness 37:21	255:2 256:11,11
151:1 156:13	60:11 77:5	faced 26:9	43:12 72:1,5,13	258:7,16,16
233:16 244:2	133:24	facilitating 262:9	141:25 164:5	fence 179:7
existence 131:6	explanations 1:23	facilities 3:9	182:22 186:24	FFS 180:7
exists 69:25	24:22 138:12	facing 193:25	223:11	Field 58:4 128:5
172:19 175:13,15	184:6 185:5	fact 6:20 26:9 28:2	fall 88:6,19 219:21	187:5
exit 235:23 236:6,7	explore 10:19	40:24 42:24	falls 272:23	fielded 240:9
expand 256:4	11:25 12:3 13:3	49:10 51:6 58:18	familiar 26:12	fifth 17:19,21,22
expanded 94:14	15:7 226:10	67:10 71:16	106:7	19:15 41:23
expect 155:24	explored 8:13 11:8	91:14 96:10	family 149:10	136:4 145:12
233:6 246:18	11:20 14:6 28:19	99:24 100:16	famous 89:13	154:25 155:2
expectation 64:23	47:10	101:22 107:17	far 16:20 83:22	fight 160:7
expected 107:22	exponentially	108:6,8 127:16	90:15 94:1 100:1	fighting 184:21,22
157:9 189:6	133:15	128:1 133:4	115:21 147:20	figure 200:4
221:4	exposed 29:7	137:3 146:22	150:5,11 154:20	238:18
expense 97:18	express 42:2 199:3	150:13 154:17	154:21,25 165:9	file 54:4 72:2
experience 22:6	expressed 112:20	159:13 171:6,22	166:2 172:11	76:12,12,14
27:16 39:24	158:20,22 215:5	197:1 204:6	188:12 200:18	144:14,15,16
47:11,23 71:23	expressing 266:18	222:14 233:23	263:10 266:4	files 30:9,10 76:25
109:10,15 137:21	extant 263:6	237:14 238:14	farewell 1:5	119:14 261:25
141:10 152:20	extend 125:1	248:17,23 251:12	fate 63:10	271:3
experiencing 18:6	174:17	253:7,19 255:5,7	father 258:3	final 1:4 17:5
115:10	extended 78:17	256:13 258:13	fault 253:1	24:20 30:16 44:7
expert 96:18,21	extension 123:5	259:15 260:19,25	favour 186:3	77:11 136:6,7
187:6	extensive 1:6	262:19 272:1	246:17	138:17 187:8
explain 1:17 3:16	extent 6:5,9 14:21	276:2	favourable 3:8	206:6 248:1
31:21 35:19 50:4	19:20 20:12	factor 10:2	feature 11:1 137:9	finally 3:11 7:23
55:13 56:16 76:6	130:25 143:9	factors 8:12	137:11 158:5	15:3 17:6 25:2
117:7,8 131:21	205:15 206:9	206:21	February 34:19	25:18 77:10
133:16 157:14	273:15	facts 180:21	38:1 67:12 86:25	125:17 130:9
183:15,18 184:14		245:14	87:8 104:4	158:11 205:4
185:2 189:4	68:22	failed 188:20	105:14	263:19
201:12 206:21	extract 155:23	229:14 239:14,15	Federation 5:5	financial 13:17
219:9 277:8	extracted 52:2	failing 121:5 185:6	142:22 249:20	financing 157:3
explained 18:20	53:7 58:4	241:24	feedback 18:6	find 2:17 75:13
28:5 30:10 31:8	extracting 54:1	failings 121:3,11	feeding 218:19	107:22 117:5
34:25 40:5 46:16	extraction 10:5	121:14 122:11,15	feel 111:21 151:11	139:19 189:22
48:7,12 50:10	42:20 50:6 54:5	failure 10:23 11:3	202:9 213:3	204:23 219:20
63:16 64:23 66:15 79:18	54:11	52:15 229:2,8	220:23 228:18	256:6 260:9
	extremely 45:12	239:7	256:15	findings 14:18
80:25 84:21 87:2	45:18 132:12	failures 4:19	feeling 116:2	37:23 75:20
90:14 95:21	250:19 ava 107:4	fair 57:25 60:24 70:23 91:19	191:25 feet 81:23	130:7 143:14
101:3,25 113:18 168:5 185:11	eye 107:4	106:8,9,15	fell 19:3 70:21	170:4,4 204:15 fine 16:8 135:7
210:5 233:17	F	106:8,9,15	252:19	222:11 228:8,10
explaining 20:21	f 30:16 130:9	149:12,18 178:20	fellow 82:4,11	251:17,19
50:15 95:20	143:9 144:18	217:6 261:15	felt 114:25 115:16	finish 194:5
184:5	181:2 187:4	fairly 101:13	115:17,25 139:14	240:21 248:20
explanation 11:1	face 12:16 28:5,5	149:22	159:5 250:4	finishing 45:1
	115:3 137:7,7	177.22	157.5 250.4	1111311111g TJ.1
		Į		

firm 268:18 first 4:17 8:13 10:22 12:3 13:4 15:20 16:18,21 21:23 23:10 24:7 30:7 33:15,20 34:12 35:23 43:8 51:24 52:1,17 67:4 69:25 75:19 75:19 96:11 119:14 123:7,10 123:20 129:16,20 131:2 135:24 136:3 138:9 142:1,15 145:14 154:3 155:1 176:18 195:24 201:23 202:17 216:12,14 218:1	bilowing 3:25 18:23 24:23 25:3 30:14 38:5 42:11 42:13 68:18 76:12,20 84:3 115:12 117:10 138:13 142:14 143:10 144:6 276:23 bilows 67:21 143:20 bols 250:5 brce 24:1 25:4 26:19 29:10,14 30:1 45:25 59:24 65:2 87:19 88:8 88:10 91:8 138:5 138:19 139:16 140:13 158:6 159:20,22 170:12 174:21 175:9 219:6 224:7	27:15 37:19 60:7 117:14 118:9,21 134:19 forma 171:8 formal 22:3 23:16 23:23 formally 19:6 format 119:18 144:3 formed 64:24 former 11:10 19:3 74:12 144:22 199:18 256:2 257:19 forms 218:23 forth 154:1 forthwith 24:19 25:9 138:24 fortunately 220:21 fortune 73:22 forum 109:24 forward 8:16 9:10	free 275:12 frequent 23:3,11 fresh 3:2 Friday 52:14 friend 81:21 82:23 87:18 98:17 103:11 112:22 121:13 195:8 209:4 215:3,15 216:3 225:7 227:2 240:23 244:18 246:18 247:5,17 253:11 264:14 275:10 friend's 121:24 friends 163:13 245:8 258:8 268:10,11 friends' 231:3 friendship 244:12 front 178:2 237:4	Page 291 185:14 207:21 256:19 262:6 278:9 Furthermore 147:20 fuss 244:8 future 60:6 126:25 <u>G</u> G 38:17 39:8 game 218:10 gap 7:15 gaps 4:19 7:3 8:11 10:2,20 139:17 169:25 186:20 Garcia 10:4 34:15 35:3 37:6,22 50:7,9,25 51:1,6 51:20 52:11 53:25 54:24 74:25 75:5,10,15 77:3 89:20 92:16
firm 268:18 first 4:17 8:13 10:22 12:3 13:4 15:20 16:18,21 21:23 23:10 24:7 30:7 33:15,20 34:12 35:23 43:8 51:24 52:1,17 67:4 69:25 75:19 75:19 96:11 119:14 123:7,10 123:20 129:16,20 131:2 135:24 136:3 138:9 142:1,15 145:14 154:3 155:1 176:18 195:24 201:23 202:17 216:12,14 218:1	18:23 24:23 25:3 30:14 38:5 42:11 42:13 68:18 76:12,20 84:3 115:12 117:10 138:13 142:14 143:10 144:6 276:23 Dilows 67:21 143:20 Dols 250:5 Drce 24:1 25:4 26:19 29:10,14 30:1 45:25 59:24 65:2 87:19 88:8 88:10 91:8 138:5 138:19 139:16 140:13 158:6 159:20,22 170:12 174:21 175:9	117:14 118:9,21 134:19 forma 171:8 formal 22:3 23:16 23:23 formally 19:6 format 119:18 144:3 formed 64:24 former 11:10 19:3 74:12 144:22 199:18 256:2 257:19 forms 218:23 forth 154:1 forthwith 24:19 25:9 138:24 fortunately 220:21 fortune 73:22 forum 109:24	frequent 23:3,11 fresh 3:2 Friday 52:14 friend 81:21 82:23 87:18 98:17 103:11 112:22 121:13 195:8 209:4 215:3,15 216:3 225:7 227:2 240:23 244:18 246:18 247:5,17 253:11 264:14 275:10 friend's 121:24 friends 163:13 245:8 258:8 268:10,11 friends' 231:3 friendship 244:12 front 178:2 237:4	$\begin{array}{c} 256:19\ 262:6\\ 278:9\\ \hline \textbf{Furthermore}\\ 147:20\\ \hline \textbf{fuss}\ 244:8\\ \hline \textbf{future}\ 60:6\ 126:25\\ \hline \hline \textbf{G}\\ \hline \textbf{G}\\ \hline \textbf{G}\\ \textbf{38:17}\ 39:8\\ \hline \textbf{game}\ 218:10\\ \hline \textbf{gap}\ 7:15\\ \hline \textbf{gaps}\ 4:19\ 7:3\ 8:11\\ 10:2,20\ 139:17\\ 169:25\ 186:20\\ \hline \textbf{Garcia}\ 10:4\ 34:15\\ 35:3\ 37:6,22\\ 50:7,9,25\ 51:1,6\\ 51:20\ 52:11\\ 53:25\ 54:24\\ 74:25\ 75:5,10,15\\ \end{array}$
firm 268:18 first 4:17 8:13 10:22 12:3 13:4 15:20 16:18,21 21:23 23:10 24:7 30:7 33:15,20 34:12 35:23 43:8 51:24 52:1,17 67:4 69:25 75:19 75:19 96:11 119:14 123:7,10 123:20 129:16,20 131:2 135:24 136:3 138:9 142:1,15 145:14 154:3 155:1 176:18 195:24 201:23 202:17 216:12,14 218:1	18:23 24:23 25:3 30:14 38:5 42:11 42:13 68:18 76:12,20 84:3 115:12 117:10 138:13 142:14 143:10 144:6 276:23 Dilows 67:21 143:20 Dols 250:5 Drce 24:1 25:4 26:19 29:10,14 30:1 45:25 59:24 65:2 87:19 88:8 88:10 91:8 138:5 138:19 139:16 140:13 158:6 159:20,22 170:12 174:21 175:9	117:14 118:9,21 134:19 forma 171:8 formal 22:3 23:16 23:23 formally 19:6 format 119:18 144:3 formed 64:24 former 11:10 19:3 74:12 144:22 199:18 256:2 257:19 forms 218:23 forth 154:1 forthwith 24:19 25:9 138:24 fortunately 220:21 fortune 73:22 forum 109:24	frequent 23:3,11 fresh 3:2 Friday 52:14 friend 81:21 82:23 87:18 98:17 103:11 112:22 121:13 195:8 209:4 215:3,15 216:3 225:7 227:2 240:23 244:18 246:18 247:5,17 253:11 264:14 275:10 friend's 121:24 friends 163:13 245:8 258:8 268:10,11 friends' 231:3 friendship 244:12 front 178:2 237:4	$\begin{array}{c} 256:19\ 262:6\\ 278:9\\ \hline \textbf{Furthermore}\\ 147:20\\ \hline \textbf{fuss}\ 244:8\\ \hline \textbf{future}\ 60:6\ 126:25\\ \hline \hline \textbf{G}\\ \hline \textbf{G}\\ \hline \textbf{G}\\ \textbf{38:17}\ 39:8\\ \hline \textbf{game}\ 218:10\\ \hline \textbf{gap}\ 7:15\\ \hline \textbf{gaps}\ 4:19\ 7:3\ 8:11\\ 10:2,20\ 139:17\\ 169:25\ 186:20\\ \hline \textbf{Garcia}\ 10:4\ 34:15\\ 35:3\ 37:6,22\\ 50:7,9,25\ 51:1,6\\ 51:20\ 52:11\\ 53:25\ 54:24\\ 74:25\ 75:5,10,15\\ \end{array}$
first 4:17 8:13 10:22 12:3 13:4 15:20 16:18,21 21:23 23:10 24:7 30:7 33:15,20 34:12 35:23 43:8 51:24 52:1,17 67:4 69:25 75:19 75:19 96:11 119:14 123:7,10 123:20 129:16,20 131:2 135:24 136:3 138:9 142:1,15 145:14 154:3 155:1 176:18 195:24 201:23 202:17 216:12,14 218:1	30:14 38:5 42:11 42:13 68:18 76:12,20 84:3 115:12 117:10 138:13 142:14 143:10 144:6 276:23 bllows 67:21 143:20 blows 67:21 143:20 144:25 138:19 138:15 138:19 139:16 140:13 158:6 159:20,22 170:12 174:21 175:9	134:19 forma 171:8 formal 22:3 23:16 23:23 formally 19:6 format 119:18 144:3 formed 64:24 former 11:10 19:3 74:12 144:22 199:18 256:2 257:19 forms 218:23 forth 154:1 forthwith 24:19 25:9 138:24 fortunately 220:21 fortune 73:22 forum 109:24	fresh 3:2 Friday 52:14 friend 81:21 82:23 87:18 98:17 103:11 112:22 121:13 195:8 209:4 215:3,15 216:3 225:7 227:2 240:23 244:18 246:18 247:5,17 253:11 264:14 275:10 friend's 121:24 friends 163:13 245:8 258:8 268:10,11 friends' 231:3 friendship 244:12 front 178:2 237:4	278:9 Furthermore 147:20 fuss 244:8 future 60:6 126:25 G 38:17 39:8 game 218:10 gap 7:15 gaps 4:19 7:3 8:11 10:2,20 139:17 169:25 186:20 Garcia 10:4 34:15 35:3 37:6,22 50:7,9,25 51:1,6 51:20 52:11 53:25 54:24 74:25 75:5,10,15
10:22 12:3 13:4 15:20 16:18,21 21:23 23:10 24:7 30:7 33:15,20 34:12 35:23 43:8 51:24 52:1,17 67:4 69:25 75:19 75:19 96:11 119:14 123:7,10 123:20 129:16,20 131:2 135:24 136:3 138:9 142:1,15 145:14 154:3 155:1 176:18 195:24 201:23 202:17 216:12,14 218:1	42:13 68:18 76:12,20 84:3 115:12 117:10 138:13 142:14 143:10 144:6 276:23 blows 67:21 143:20 bols 250:5 brce 24:1 25:4 26:19 29:10,14 30:1 45:25 59:24 65:2 87:19 88:8 88:10 91:8 138:5 138:19 139:16 140:13 158:6 159:20,22 170:12 174:21 175:9	forma 171:8 formal 22:3 23:16 23:23 formally 19:6 format 119:18 144:3 formed 64:24 former 11:10 19:3 74:12 144:22 199:18 256:2 257:19 forms 218:23 forth 154:1 forthwith 24:19 25:9 138:24 fortunately 220:21 fortune 73:22 forum 109:24	Friday 52:14 friend 81:21 82:23 87:18 98:17 103:11 112:22 121:13 195:8 209:4 215:3,15 216:3 225:7 227:2 240:23 244:18 246:18 247:5,17 253:11 264:14 275:10 friend's 121:24 friends 163:13 245:8 258:8 268:10,11 friends' 231:3 friendship 244:12 front 178:2 237:4	Furthermore 147:20 fuss 244:8 future 60:6 126:25 G 38:17 39:8 game 218:10 gap 7:15 gaps 4:19 7:3 8:11 10:2,20 139:17 169:25 186:20 Garcia 10:4 34:15 35:3 37:6,22 50:7,9,25 51:1,6 51:20 52:11 53:25 54:24 74:25 75:5,10,15
15:20 16:18,21 21:23 23:10 24:7 30:7 33:15,20 34:12 35:23 43:8 51:24 52:1,17 67:4 69:25 75:19 75:19 96:11 119:14 123:7,10 123:20 129:16,20 131:2 135:24 136:3 138:9 142:1,15 145:14 154:3 155:1 176:18 195:24 201:23 202:17 216:12,14 218:1	76:12,20 84:3 115:12 117:10 138:13 142:14 143:10 144:6 276:23 bllows 67:21 143:20 bols 250:5 brce 24:1 25:4 26:19 29:10,14 30:1 45:25 59:24 65:2 87:19 88:8 88:10 91:8 138:5 138:19 139:16 140:13 158:6 159:20,22 170:12 174:21 175:9	formal 22:3 23:16 23:23 formally 19:6 format 119:18 144:3 formed 64:24 former 11:10 19:3 74:12 144:22 199:18 256:2 257:19 forms 218:23 forth 154:1 forthwith 24:19 25:9 138:24 fortunately 220:21 fortune 73:22 forum 109:24	friend 81:21 82:23 87:18 98:17 103:11 112:22 121:13 195:8 209:4 215:3,15 216:3 225:7 227:2 240:23 244:18 246:18 247:5,17 253:11 264:14 275:10 friend's 121:24 friends 163:13 245:8 258:8 268:10,11 friends' 231:3 friendship 244:12 front 178:2 237:4	147:20 fuss 244:8 future 60:6 126:25 G G G 38 :17 39:8 game 218:10 gap 7:15 gaps 4:19 7:3 8:11 10:2,20 139:17 169:25 186:20 Garcia 10:4 34:15 35:3 37:6,22 50:7,9,25 51:1,6 51:20 52:11 53:25 54:24 74:25 75:5,10,15
21:23 23:10 24:7 30:7 33:15,20 34:12 35:23 43:8 51:24 52:1,17 67:4 69:25 75:19 75:19 96:11 119:14 123:7,10 123:20 129:16,20 131:2 135:24 136:3 138:9 142:1,15 145:14 154:3 155:1 176:18 195:24 201:23 202:17 216:12,14 218:1	115:12 117:10 138:13 142:14 143:10 144:6 276:23 bllows 67:21 143:20 bols 250:5 brce 24:1 25:4 26:19 29:10,14 30:1 45:25 59:24 65:2 87:19 88:8 88:10 91:8 138:5 138:19 139:16 140:13 158:6 159:20,22 170:12 174:21 175:9	23:23 formally 19:6 format 119:18 144:3 formed 64:24 former 11:10 19:3 74:12 144:22 199:18 256:2 257:19 forms 218:23 forth 154:1 forthwith 24:19 25:9 138:24 fortunately 220:21 fortune 73:22 forum 109:24	87:18 98:17 103:11 112:22 121:13 195:8 209:4 215:3,15 216:3 225:7 227:2 240:23 244:18 246:18 247:5,17 253:11 264:14 275:10 friend's 121:24 friends 163:13 245:8 258:8 268:10,11 friends' 231:3 friendship 244:12 front 178:2 237:4	fuss 244:8 future 60:6 126:25 G 38:17 39:8 game 218:10 gap 7:15 gaps 4:19 7:3 8:11 10:2,20 139:17 169:25 186:20 Garcia 10:4 34:15 35:3 37:6,22 50:7,9,25 51:1,6 51:20 52:11 53:25 54:24 74:25 75:5,10,15
30:7 33:15,20 34:12 35:23 43:8 51:24 52:1,17 67:4 69:25 75:19 75:19 96:11 119:14 123:7,10 123:20 129:16,20 131:2 135:24 136:3 138:9 142:1,15 145:14 154:3 155:1 176:18 195:24 201:23 202:17 216:12,14 218:1	138:13 142:14 143:10 144:6 276:23 bllows 67:21 143:20 bols 250:5 brce 24:1 25:4 26:19 29:10,14 30:1 45:25 59:24 65:2 87:19 88:8 88:10 91:8 138:5 138:19 139:16 140:13 158:6 159:20,22 170:12 174:21 175:9	formally 19:6 format 119:18 144:3 formed 64:24 former 11:10 19:3 74:12 144:22 199:18 256:2 257:19 forms 218:23 forth 154:1 forthwith 24:19 25:9 138:24 fortunately 220:21 fortune 73:22 forum 109:24	103:11 112:22 121:13 195:8 209:4 215:3,15 216:3 225:7 227:2 240:23 244:18 246:18 247:5,17 253:11 264:14 275:10 friend's 121:24 friends 163:13 245:8 258:8 268:10,11 friends' 231:3 friendship 244:12 front 178:2 237:4	future 60:6 126:25 G G 38:17 39:8 game 218:10 gap 7:15 gaps 4:19 7:3 8:11 10:2,20 139:17 169:25 186:20 Garcia 10:4 34:15 35:3 37:6,22 50:7,9,25 51:1,6 51:20 52:11 53:25 54:24 74:25 75:5,10,15
34:12 35:23 43:8 51:24 52:1,17 67:4 69:25 75:19 75:19 96:11 119:14 123:7,10 123:20 129:16,20 131:2 135:24 136:3 138:9 142:1,15 145:14 154:3 155:1 176:18 195:24 201:23 202:17 216:12,14 218:1	143:10 144:6 276:23 pllows 67:21 143:20 pols 250:5 prce 24:1 25:4 26:19 29:10,14 30:1 45:25 59:24 65:2 87:19 88:8 88:10 91:8 138:5 138:19 139:16 140:13 158:6 159:20,22 170:12 174:21 175:9	format 119:18 144:3 formed 64:24 former 11:10 19:3 74:12 144:22 199:18 256:2 257:19 forms 218:23 forth 154:1 forthwith 24:19 25:9 138:24 fortunately 220:21 fortune 73:22 forum 109:24	121:13 195:8 209:4 215:3,15 216:3 225:7 227:2 240:23 244:18 246:18 247:5,17 253:11 264:14 275:10 friend's 121:24 friends 163:13 245:8 258:8 268:10,11 friends' 231:3 friendship 244:12 front 178:2 237:4	G G 38:17 39:8 game 218:10 gap 7:15 gaps 4:19 7:3 8:11 10:2,20 139:17 169:25 186:20 Garcia 10:4 34:15 35:3 37:6,22 50:7,9,25 51:1,6 51:20 52:11 53:25 54:24 74:25 75:5,10,15
51:24 52:1,17 67:4 69:25 75:19 75:19 96:11 119:14 123:7,10 123:20 129:16,20 131:2 135:24 136:3 138:9 142:1,15 145:14 154:3 155:1 176:18 195:24 201:23 202:17 216:12,14 218:1	276:23 pllows 67:21 143:20 pols 250:5 prce 24:1 25:4 26:19 29:10,14 30:1 45:25 59:24 65:2 87:19 88:8 88:10 91:8 138:5 138:19 139:16 140:13 158:6 159:20,22 170:12 174:21 175:9	144:3 formed 64:24 former 11:10 19:3 74:12 144:22 199:18 256:2 257:19 forms 218:23 forth 154:1 forthwith 24:19 25:9 138:24 fortunately 220:21 fortune 73:22 forum 109:24	209:4 215:3,15 216:3 225:7 227:2 240:23 244:18 246:18 247:5,17 253:11 264:14 275:10 friend's 121:24 friends 163:13 245:8 258:8 268:10,11 friends' 231:3 friendship 244:12 front 178:2 237:4	G 38:17 39:8 game 218:10 gap 7:15 gaps 4:19 7:3 8:11 10:2,20 139:17 169:25 186:20 Garcia 10:4 34:15 35:3 37:6,22 50:7,9,25 51:1,6 51:20 52:11 53:25 54:24 74:25 75:5,10,15
67:4 69:25 75:19fo75:19 96:11119:14 123:7,10123:20 129:16,20fo131:2 135:24136:3 138:9142:1,15 145:14154:3 155:1176:18 195:24201:23 202:17216:12,14 218:1	bllows 67:21 143:20 bols 250:5 brce 24:1 25:4 26:19 29:10,14 30:1 45:25 59:24 65:2 87:19 88:8 88:10 91:8 138:5 138:19 139:16 140:13 158:6 159:20,22 170:12 174:21 175:9	formed 64:24 former 11:10 19:3 74:12 144:22 199:18 256:2 257:19 forms 218:23 forth 154:1 forthwith 24:19 25:9 138:24 fortunately 220:21 fortune 73:22 forum 109:24	216:3 225:7 227:2 240:23 244:18 246:18 247:5,17 253:11 264:14 275:10 friend's 121:24 friends 163:13 245:8 258:8 268:10,11 friends' 231:3 friendship 244:12 front 178:2 237:4	game 218:10 gap 7:15 gaps 4:19 7:3 8:11 10:2,20 139:17 169:25 186:20 Garcia 10:4 34:15 35:3 37:6,22 50:7,9,25 51:1,6 51:20 52:11 53:25 54:24 74:25 75:5,10,15
75:19 96:11 119:14 123:7,10 123:20 129:16,20 131:2 135:24 136:3 138:9 142:1,15 145:14 154:3 155:1 176:18 195:24 201:23 202:17 216:12,14 218:1	143:20 bols 250:5 brce 24:1 25:4 26:19 29:10,14 30:1 45:25 59:24 65:2 87:19 88:8 88:10 91:8 138:5 138:19 139:16 140:13 158:6 159:20,22 170:12 174:21 175:9	former 11:10 19:3 74:12 144:22 199:18 256:2 257:19 forms 218:23 forth 154:1 forthwith 24:19 25:9 138:24 fortunately 220:21 fortune 73:22 forum 109:24	227:2 240:23 244:18 246:18 247:5,17 253:11 264:14 275:10 friend's 121:24 friends 163:13 245:8 258:8 268:10,11 friends' 231:3 friendship 244:12 front 178:2 237:4	game 218:10 gap 7:15 gaps 4:19 7:3 8:11 10:2,20 139:17 169:25 186:20 Garcia 10:4 34:15 35:3 37:6,22 50:7,9,25 51:1,6 51:20 52:11 53:25 54:24 74:25 75:5,10,15
119:14123:7,10fo123:20129:16,20fo131:2135:24136:3138:9142:1,15145:14154:3155:1176:18195:24201:23202:17216:12,14218:1	bols 250:5 brce 24:1 25:4 26:19 29:10,14 30:1 45:25 59:24 65:2 87:19 88:8 88:10 91:8 138:5 138:19 139:16 140:13 158:6 159:20,22 170:12 174:21 175:9	74:12 144:22 199:18 256:2 257:19 forms 218:23 forth 154:1 forthwith 24:19 25:9 138:24 fortunately 220:21 fortune 73:22 forum 109:24	244:18 246:18 247:5,17 253:11 264:14 275:10 friend's 121:24 friends 163:13 245:8 258:8 268:10,11 friends' 231:3 friendship 244:12 front 178:2 237:4	gap 7:15 gaps 4:19 7:3 8:11 10:2,20 139:17 169:25 186:20 Garcia 10:4 34:15 35:3 37:6,22 50:7,9,25 51:1,6 51:20 52:11 53:25 54:24 74:25 75:5,10,15
123:20 129:16,20 fo 131:2 135:24 136:3 138:9 142:1,15 145:14 154:3 155:1 176:18 195:24 201:23 202:17 216:12,14 218:1	orce 24:1 25:4 26:19 29:10,14 30:1 45:25 59:24 65:2 87:19 88:8 88:10 91:8 138:5 138:19 139:16 140:13 158:6 159:20,22 170:12 174:21 175:9	199:18 256:2 257:19 forms 218:23 forth 154:1 forthwith 24:19 25:9 138:24 fortunately 220:21 fortune 73:22 forum 109:24	247:5,17 253:11 264:14 275:10 friend's 121:24 friends 163:13 245:8 258:8 268:10,11 friends' 231:3 friendship 244:12 front 178:2 237:4	gaps 4:19 7:3 8:11 10:2,20 139:17 169:25 186:20 Garcia 10:4 34:15 35:3 37:6,22 50:7,9,25 51:1,6 51:20 52:11 53:25 54:24 74:25 75:5,10,15
131:2 135:24 136:3 138:9 142:1,15 145:14 154:3 155:1 176:18 195:24 201:23 202:17 216:12,14 218:1	26:19 29:10,14 30:1 45:25 59:24 65:2 87:19 88:8 88:10 91:8 138:5 138:19 139:16 140:13 158:6 159:20,22 170:12 174:21 175:9	257:19 forms 218:23 forth 154:1 forthwith 24:19 25:9 138:24 fortunately 220:21 fortune 73:22 forum 109:24	264:14 275:10 friend's 121:24 friends 163:13 245:8 258:8 268:10,11 friends' 231:3 friendship 244:12 front 178:2 237:4	10:2,20 139:17 169:25 186:20 Garcia 10:4 34:15 35:3 37:6,22 50:7,9,25 51:1,6 51:20 52:11 53:25 54:24 74:25 75:5,10,15
136:3 138:9 142:1,15 145:14 154:3 155:1 176:18 195:24 201:23 202:17 216:12,14 218:1	30:1 45:25 59:24 65:2 87:19 88:8 88:10 91:8 138:5 138:19 139:16 140:13 158:6 159:20,22 170:12 174:21 175:9	forms 218:23 forth 154:1 forthwith 24:19 25:9 138:24 fortunately 220:21 fortune 73:22 forum 109:24	friend's 121:24 friends 163:13 245:8 258:8 268:10,11 friends' 231:3 friendship 244:12 front 178:2 237:4	169:25 186:20 Garcia 10:4 34:15 35:3 37:6,22 50:7,9,25 51:1,6 51:20 52:11 53:25 54:24 74:25 75:5,10,15
142:1,15 145:14 154:3 155:1 176:18 195:24 201:23 202:17 216:12,14 218:1	65:2 87:19 88:8 88:10 91:8 138:5 138:19 139:16 140:13 158:6 159:20,22 170:12 174:21 175:9	forth 154:1 forthwith 24:19 25:9 138:24 fortunately 220:21 fortune 73:22 forum 109:24	friends 163:13 245:8 258:8 268:10,11 friends' 231:3 friendship 244:12 front 178:2 237:4	Garcia 10:4 34:15 35:3 37:6,22 50:7,9,25 51:1,6 51:20 52:11 53:25 54:24 74:25 75:5,10,15
154:3 155:1 176:18 195:24 201:23 202:17 216:12,14 218:1	88:10 91:8 138:5 138:19 139:16 140:13 158:6 159:20,22 170:12 174:21 175:9	forthwith 24:19 25:9 138:24 fortunately 220:21 fortune 73:22 forum 109:24	245:8 258:8 268:10,11 friends' 231:3 friendship 244:12 front 178:2 237:4	35:3 37:6,22 50:7,9,25 51:1,6 51:20 52:11 53:25 54:24 74:25 75:5,10,15
176:18 195:24 201:23 202:17 216:12,14 218:1	138:19 139:16 140:13 158:6 159:20,22 170:12 174:21 175:9	25:9 138:24 fortunately 220:21 fortune 73:22 forum 109:24	268:10,11 friends' 231:3 friendship 244:12 front 178:2 237:4	50:7,9,25 51:1,6 51:20 52:11 53:25 54:24 74:25 75:5,10,15
201:23 202:17 216:12,14 218:1	140:13 158:6 159:20,22 170:12 174:21 175:9	fortunately 220:21 fortune 73:22 forum 109:24	friends' 231:3 friendship 244:12 front 178:2 237:4	51:20 52:11 53:25 54:24 74:25 75:5,10,15
216:12,14 218:1	159:20,22 170:12 174:21 175:9	fortune 73:22 forum 109:24	friendship 244:12 front 178:2 237:4	53:25 54:24 74:25 75:5,10,15
-	174:21 175:9	forum 109:24	front 178:2 237:4	74:25 75:5,10,15
227.5 250.14				
239:16,18,23			241:23	//
· · ·	242:13 268:19	225:7	frontier 178:16	164:21
	orce's 170:20	found 44:19 45:20	fruit 210:21,24	Garcia's 30:6
	orced 235:23	51:22 109:7	211:4	Garrison 3:5
	236:7	122:8 141:1,1,4	fucking 180:8	gathering 111:4
	orces 59:2 60:1	141:19 147:18	181:9	GC 51:6
-	98:20 125:25	150:3,9 164:24	full 19:12 54:2,4	GCG 196:16
	126:2 170:5,17	165:1 191:10	80:8 94:5,7	gear 156:7
	orcible 27:1,3	192:24 194:3	100:9 128:3	geared 134:17
	orcing 201:24	220:16 249:22	153:4 180:21	geek 148:17
	premost 255:3	250:19	190:9 191:14,15	general 63:2 77:16
8	orensic 20:4	foundation 232:8	191:18,22 195:18	109:20 110:11
2	32:23 36:22	four 5:6,10 12:2	205:15 206:9	123:25 166:15
8	42:19 43:3 44:15	13:2 15:14 22:6	257:12	172:23 193:2
·	51:23 52:21,24	33:22 68:9	fully 87:6 107:21	194:3 198:12
	54:7 92:16 96:20	107:11 108:7	185:7 236:4,5	208:7 239:5
	105:22,24 154:13	118:6 147:5	240:7	254:18 267:18
	155:22,25 156:10	157:7 164:2,2	function 97:5	generally 55:24
	156:11,23 236:15	177:14 193:4	further 1:7,13	122:18 141:11,11
	orensically 45:11	222:8 240:4	4:11 10:1 11:22	141:13 152:21,22
e	59:9 155:21	252:25	15:4 26:14 33:24	217:7,9 218:12
	156:11 212:4	fourth 19:15 67:21	46:4 47:13 52:13	genuinely 3:2
	prensics 32:23	72:10 74:16	60:11 76:14,18	244:25
-	44:15 53:7 96:12	250:22 255:22	77:7 78:14	getting 157:17
	156:21 173:17	fraction 238:18	113:23 124:25	251:4
	orget 40:7 192:11	fractured 250:9,25	128:10,24 135:4	GFP 248:8 249:12
	orgive 227:3	251:3,17 252:4	153:9,10 162:24	251:3
	orm 1:8 20:20	252:11	169:14 178:18	Gibbs 227:3,15
	-			228:20 264:13,20

				Page 292
264:24 265:17,21	gives 52:14 127:2	69:8 79:16 81:19	194:2 198:11	habits 23:4 140:25
266:13	gives 52:14 127:2 giving 58:3 87:15	87:9,13 100:3	205:9 207:4	140:25
Gibraltar 24:1	134:24 184:14	106:7 116:21	213:7 216:14	half 208:13 233:21
40:15 53:10	213:11,11 238:10	119:2 131:1,10	232:5 239:4	234:24 252:18,19
142:22 144:20	247:16	131:14,25 132:10	242:15 256:17	254.24 252.10,17
146:23 147:2	glibly 101:21	132:14,25 132:10	Governors 212:19	halfway 24:14
159:3 169:17	glitches 68:4	140:18 141:25	GPA 13:8 115:2	177:3 198:4
170:12 171:13,15	Gmail 33:13 34:6	148:5 157:4	115:20 134:3	halt 158:1
176:21 177:1,7	35:5,18 37:2	158:4 162:6	192:6,22 194:2	hand 3:15 14:12
177:10,11 178:7	38:2	166:15 169:3	196:17 200:24	38:9 57:15,21
178:11,24 179:25	GMT 177:5,12	180:2 181:25	202:8,17 211:18	70:19 74:11,11
193:17 194:16,17	go 17:10 23:25	183:15,18 184:25	238:16 256:17	185:15 248:7
198:12 203:17	24:2,13 25:18	188:12 194:17,21	GPA's 114:9,11	249:11 272:21
204:16 241:18	27:20 30:5 31:19	196:13 199:19	116:6	handed 30:8 56:14
249:21 258:5	32:16,25 34:17	204:7,7 208:5,9	GPF 252:10	56:17 62:20
268:3 273:11	44:5 45:2 55:12	212:4 220:1	grab 218:1	101:16 102:16,24
277:11	56:2 57:23 58:16	222:13 231:21	graduate 96:7	134:21,23 173:16
Giraldi 73:20	64:2 65:3 74:15	232:14 237:15,22	Grail 107:25	173:19 222:14
give 1:14,17 5:7,8	84:5 106:9,15	241:19 258:11	Grail's 253:10	handful 15:4
5:13 16:10,21	107:10 120:14	260:2 261:22	granted 155:14	handing 102:18
18:10 31:10 35:8	126:14 130:4	264:9 274:10	261:25	hands 91:24
55:22 56:25	145:11 147:12,20	Gomez 151:20,21	grateful 244:6	219:21 237:24
58:19 101:13	149:3,5,19 150:4	good 1:3 3:20	274:1 278:14	hang 101:19
102:11 106:25	150:11 151:14,19	16:16 78:23,24	great 140:3 268:10	123:13 232:24
114:3 121:23	153:6,24 154:21	94:23 109:1	grind 157:25	265:14
122:24 126:13	155:19,23 156:9	163:12 193:22	grip 181:15	hanging 210:21
131:24 132:1	160:3,22 164:20	196:9 208:25	grips 188:9	happen 22:17
133:25 137:25	166:8 168:24	209:1 213:1,3	group 7:24 8:23	46:22 140:4,24
169:8 187:21	169:14 173:13	253:4 257:16	9:13 27:7 28:12	163:23 183:9
202:8 209:7	178:21 183:11	272:21	28:20 46:7,11,17	190:15,21
216:5 249:7	184:8 185:9,23	good-ish 189:3	46:19,22 48:3	happened 20:18
260:6	187:18 188:3	Google 33:13 34:2	49:11,22 70:13	34:10 51:9 53:2
given 2:23 3:7 8:8	189:3 190:3	37:8,14	70:15 106:10	91:23 95:19
9:6 14:25 16:19	193:5 198:22	Gov 213:1	107:8,19 205:5,8	98:15 103:4
21:22 40:24 47:6	199:16 200:20	government 11:10	205:16,17 206:5	111:14 120:6
48:22 65:14	203:1 205:4,21	12:9 13:11 14:7	206:14,24 207:2	159:13 161:22
72:14 73:21	208:10 229:13,21	14:20 40:17	207:11 216:10,13	164:18 165:13
87:12 88:25	236:8 241:23	95:16 99:20	258:24 259:1,8	171:23 172:2,3
92:17 100:12	261:18,19 262:12	112:15,23 113:13	259:19 260:17,21	177:19 178:4,6
101:8 102:15,24	god 161:10	125:14 156:18	261:4,20	178:18 182:17
103:19 116:25 121:23 123:4	goes 20:2 38:18 86:10 90:1 93:3	185:3 195:1 200:4 202:18	groups 100:19 101:1 222:24	185:2 189:23 190:21 197:15
121:23 123:4 124:20 125:10	152:11 157:20	200:4 202:18 244:13,15 245:1	grown 133:15	204:17 212:10
159:12 168:8	178:24 200:23	253:5	guess 106:13	214:23 224:2
170:25 176:2,11	going 12:8 13:10	government's 40:8	guide 39:7	225:8 237:19
212:15 225:2	17:9 22:16,18	95:3 206:1,19	guidelines 55:18	240:15 241:7
226:1 228:25	41:15 47:9 58:13	238:24	guilt 269:14	240.13 241.7 242:7 245:14
229:7,14 235:5	58:17 61:8,19	Governor 115:4	gun 209.14 guy 161:10	257:25 258:17
251:7 276:18	62:1 67:11 68:3	115:20 193:1,16	5uj 101.10	265:6
231.7 270.10	02.1 07.11 00.3	113.20 175.1,10	Н	203.0

				1
				Page 293
happening 28:16	110:23 112:5	214:25	163:22	253:13
62:5 115:15	115:8 125:20	HMS 196:14	103.22	implement 209:22
153:23 190:24	176:18 244:3	hold 18:15 57:13	I	209:25
194:25 195:16	248:4 251:2,10	79:16 208:9	i.e 30:11	implemented
200:6 234:17	251:25 272:9	holders 49:14	Ian 75:25 76:2,23	209:7 210:23
258:6	hearings 86:19,20	holding 68:5	123:8 147:17	implementing
happens 157:21	110:15 118:13	holds 242:25	195:19 203:10,19	139:15 182:20
happily 98:10	209:20 236:23	Holewell 203:15	203:23 204:6	183:4 210:17
happy 58:19	238:9 239:18	203:16 204:5,19	233:25 262:17	implication 51:16
131:24 132:1	241:1,17	home 49:3	Ian's 195:14	84:15
		homest 196:25	iCloud 32:4 33:15	
166:12,14 168:6	heavily 230:24	228:4	34:3	importance 6:22
185:12 203:9,18	held 33:5 58:12		idea 41:18 148:19	21:22 35:18 62:9
harassing 163:21	96:20 104:2	honestly 137:25	149:9 150:17	71:15 100:24
hard 11:16 30:9	117:13 147:9	165:12 189:11	164:10 173:7,10	106:16 133:14
68:2,12,22 69:9	168:17	193:23 213:22	191:4 221:24	182:9 217:8
73:11 120:24	help 16:6 36:9	223:4	227:8 277:21	important 15:10
124:1 134:18,20	37:24 89:23	honesty 164:9		41:14 59:22
144:19 266:3,7	132:14 200:18,19	Honourable	identified 5:2	100:23 102:2
271:17	210:16 258:21	158:18	36:11 44:21	139:14 147:1
hard-copy 118:8	helped 36:12	hope 3:7,9 8:6	45:11 73:25	155:21 160:11,11
118:18 120:9	95:17	87:10 192:1	85:25	160:24 168:14,19
harsh 187:9	helpful 107:22	199:22 200:11	identify 36:6,12	168:22 170:10
Hassans 7:21	hesitate 12:14	211:15 233:15,15	37:8,17 55:4	175:16,17 184:24
68:15 264:20	243:20	234:21,23 235:11	58:1 59:11 232:6	193:8 200:4
hat 195:9 196:1	Hi 203:22	235:12,14 237:16	identifying 15:16	204:21 212:24
hate 190:6	high 179:13,17	244:9	35:20,23	221:5 229:9
hats 196:3	193:10	hoped 168:2	ii 130:14	242:1,2 246:10
head 12:9 13:10	Higher 32:9 63:21	horizontal 178:21	ill 157:22	268:2,4
193:9	highly 48:16 59:8	host 26:19	IM's 42:10	impossible 26:3
headed 129:12	158:5 249:1	hostility 248:6	image 9:21 10:17	65:7 249:24
heading 24:3	HIMC 171:10	250:8	30:12 51:23 52:2	impressed 181:16
hear 244:24	hindsight 26:2,13	hour 208:8,13,19	52:16 53:11 54:3	impression 172:22
250:15	51:17 128:14	234:25 237:2,2	90:8 113:25	impressive 124:9
heard 45:19 115:8	182:22 183:6	hours 25:17 26:17	114:2 151:15	impropriety 265:2
136:23 156:18	his/her 56:12	28:2,3 48:11,15	153:12 154:17	improve 249:20
169:22 219:5,25	historical 100:9,25	71:2 76:16,17	164:25 173:18	251:20
222:11 230:1	hit 180:2	88:4 113:20	231:7 240:25	improved 169:9
265:25	HMIC 14:15,18,22	139:7 152:11	241:5,12,14,15	in-person 28:6
hearing 1:13,19,25	93:19 159:8,14	177:14 278:20,21	242:5 243:13	in-post 118:10
2:3,7,9,20,23 3:1	160:11 181:7,23	House 262:17,19	imaged 52:23 53:6	inaccessible 37:15
3:3,21 4:1,5,16	182:3,3,12,21	housed 262:16	53:21	inaudible 12:17
4:21 5:7,9,14	183:3 184:10,15	hrs 76:11	imagine 62:19	23:24 41:7 79:22
6:11,13,15,20,25	185:6,12,12	HTC 109:13	immediate 15:6	80:14 112:22
8:13 11:20,22,25	186:4,18 187:22	huge 158:5 159:4	immediately 34:24	125:2 128:7,11
12:5 13:5 14:4	188:5,16 192:17	244:7	45:16 100:17,20	162:12 187:11
14:14 15:2,10	198:24,25 203:6	hugs 190:12	101:16	213:25 222:4
18:10,19 72:2	203:11 204:3,15	hundreds 100:12	impinged 6:6	227:22 229:19,21
73:8 74:15 75:17	203.11 204.3,13 204:16 210:7,25	270:23	implanted 210:6	247:6 252:15
	204:16 210:7,25		implausible	272:6 276:7
85:11 88:16	211.9 213:12	hypothetical 161:2	112:17 113:14	212.0210:1
			112.17 113.17	

				$\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{a},\mathbf{c},\mathbf{c}} 204$
				Page 294
incident 5:3 15:3,6	185:20 190:12	40:11	176:18 184:9	224:16
93:15 129:25	197:24 198:6	initial 93:6	185:10 199:18	instructed 38:1
143:4 160:19	234:3 262:3	initially 50:5 86:14	206:24,25 217:10	instruction 62:25
176:19,22 182:1	263:14,17	initiative 86:17	217:18 220:1,24	170:23 171:1
196:14 198:22	individually 79:8	injured 15:22	224:8,14 225:2	191:3
incidental 2:20	98:14	ink 12:15	226:1,3 227:12	instructions
11:24	individuals 5:6	innocence 269:20	227:18 228:13,23	177:22 181:9,20
incidents 139:25	15:15 26:20	inoperable 36:20	229:1,16 230:11	instrument 67:13
198:15 234:8	38:19 39:12 70:7	36:24,25	238:8,9,14	insurmountable
include 8:19 124:1	123:20 130:6	inquire 5:25	239:16,23 240:10	155:25
130:23 131:16	143:12 157:22	inquiries 40:20	241:1,3,16 242:2	integrity 197:1
227:11,17,20	197:5,24 198:8	73:20,23 125:25	243:3,20,21	268:4
included 31:24	234:3	167:15 241:2	244:2,3 259:10	intend 11:21 12:3
32:14 46:11,20	indulge 106:3	inquiring 47:3	260:25 261:8,13	13:3 15:7
48:3 68:12 94:8	indulgence 12:24	inquiry 1:6,21	262:6,10 263:2	intended 2:2 38:23
207:3 259:1	108:23 244:6	2:11 3:1,1,7,16	263:24 270:23,25	119:21 264:15
includes 25:9 33:6	inevitable 233:12	4:5,6,8,11,13,20	275:5 277:3,17	intending 65:8
123:17 138:24	inevitably 6:15	5:9,12,14,22 6:3	278:20	intense 177:24
including 5:3	influence 267:14	6:8,13,25 7:17	Inquiry's 5:2 6:18	248:6
11:13 26:20	267:21	8:2 9:7,23 11:13	7:16 11:7 31:13	intention 39:5
33:22 64:9 73:12	inform 133:3	11:20 12:5 13:5	34:21 104:6	45:10 56:25
118:17 124:2	146:7,19 151:7	14:3,3,14 15:2,14	141:21 154:3	199:24
130:16 142:13	151:17 153:16	16:20 17:6 18:10	166:3	intentionally
143:21 144:14,21	Informa 218:15	18:21,24 19:5,12	insight 14:17	225:2
149:10	information 17:8	19:17 21:2,11	insignificant	intentions 27:4
inconsistency	17:25 18:3 38:5	22:9 30:6 40:24	175:20,20	intents 27:25
52:12	60:19 70:15	41:25 45:15	insist 201:15	interaction 115:5
inconvenienced	71:13 79:17 92:8	52:25 67:4,7,10	Insofar 122:17	239:20 258:20
3:10	93:23 95:4	67:14 69:18 72:2	inspect 113:25	interactions
incorrect 260:16	105:21 117:12	72:20 73:3,24	218:2	261:12
265:20	129:13 136:20	74:15 75:17,25	inspecting 29:24	interest 74:5 80:1
incorrectly 43:25	142:3,8,20 151:6	79:4,18,20,23	inspection 159:14	93:14 112:6
incremental 99:24	152:16,24 155:23	83:10 84:4,12	159:15,19 183:1	117:23 264:25
incumbent 39:14	156:17 202:22	85:16 86:10 89:4	184:1,11 186:18	268:20
indefinitely 87:22	218:13,17 219:2	90:6 93:5,6,7,14	203:17	interested 87:15
independent 273:7	219:11,17,18	96:21 97:10	inspector 74:18,23	227:13,19 249:2
independently	221:6 229:6,15	101:11 105:6	75:1,6 203:7,16	249:4
263:2,3 273:4 indicate 107:14	229:18 230:14,22	107:1,5 108:21 117:11,14 118:3	Inspectorate 143:15	interesting 276:3 interface 33:24
	238:11 239:9	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
144:10 indicative 39:9	243:4,17 262:9 262:11 263:12	118:20 119:25 125:20 129:15,17	inspectors 29:23	97:23 109:7,8,9 221:16
71:3	267:1 272:20	130:20 133:3,12	inspirational 190:10	interference 14:10
individual 10:7	273:16 276:19	136:1 142:5,7	instance 221:1,3	68:7 113:3,15
28:18 38:25 39:3	informed 43:20	143:25 145:15,18	269:1	238:25 253:11,20
50:12 59:7 70:25	45:16 194:23	146:7,20 147:4,7	instant 130:18	interim 194:2
79:8,12 117:10	informing 25:17	151:7,10 153:8,9	143:24	198:11 232:5
128:18 161:23	32:10 139:7	153:16 155:1,24	institutional	256:16
162:1,2,20	180:12	159:4,12 161:20	115:18	internal 15:5
163:16,20 172:25	infrastructure	168:8,18 169:19	instruct 20:9	19:21
105.10,20 172.25		100.0,10 109.19		17.21
	I	l	l	I

internally 97:13 interpret 87:17,23218:6 224:10 231:8 242:12isolated 10:12 issue 5:3,4,4,5 6:9journey 117:7 157:4 168:7130:22 interpreted 29:1 116:20262:21 265:16 268:5 270:206:15,18 24:25 26:9 47:4,9 57:6232:22 233:1,11 231:4 235:5116:20 interpreting 37:25 50:6271:19 272:2,5 271:19 272:2,568:24 69:2 70:24 78:12 86:4 93:19journeys 234:8 judgement 128:17 judgement 59:17interprets 87:23 interrogate 156:6 interrupt 12:15274:21 275:11 22:15 65:16 66:2106:2 112:7,15 133:6,10,11July 24:2 25:21 29:15 67:5 72:18 80:17 81:10 82:7103:7 186:23 195:2166:18 97:7,12,18 137:2,4,12,13,18138:15 151:25 166:9 195:1984:12 94:16	237:8 justified 228:11 K KC 67:23,24 72:24 227:24 keep 3:13 77:15 99:7,11
interpret 87:17,23231:8 242:12issue 5:3,4,4,5 6:9157:4 168:7130:22243:14,16,17,196:15,18 24:25232:22 233:1,11interpreted 29:1262:21 265:1626:9 47:4,9 57:6233:14 235:5116:20268:5 270:2058:7,11 65:4journeys 234:8interpreting 37:25271:19 272:2,568:24 69:2 70:24judgement 128:1750:6272:11,14 273:1178:12 86:4 93:19judgment 59:17interprets 87:23274:21 275:11101:4 102:264:25 244:1interrogate 156:6investigations 22:8106:2 112:7,15July 24:2 25:21103:7 186:2366:18 97:7,12,18138:15 151:2580:17 81:10 82:7	195:2 199:18 200:1 213:10 237:8 justified 228:11 K KC 67:23,24 72:24 227:24 keep 3:13 77:15 99:7,11
interpret 87:17,23231:8 242:12issue 5:3,4,4,5 6:9157:4 168:7130:22243:14,16,17,196:15,18 24:25232:22 233:1,11interpreted 29:1262:21 265:1626:9 47:4,9 57:6233:14 235:5116:20268:5 270:2058:7,11 65:4journeys 234:8interpreting 37:25271:19 272:2,568:24 69:2 70:24judgement 128:1750:6272:11,14 273:1178:12 86:4 93:19judgment 59:17interprets 87:23274:21 275:11101:4 102:264:25 244:1interrogate 156:6investigations 22:8106:2 112:7,15July 24:2 25:21103:7 186:2366:18 97:7,12,18138:15 151:2580:17 81:10 82:7	195:2 199:18 200:1 213:10 237:8 justified 228:11 K KC 67:23,24 72:24 227:24 keep 3:13 77:15 99:7,11
130:22243:14,16,17,196:15,18 24:25232:22 233:1,11interpreted 29:1262:21 265:1626:9 47:4,9 57:6233:14 235:5116:20268:5 270:2058:7,11 65:4journeys 234:8interpreting 37:25271:19 272:2,568:24 69:2 70:24judgement 128:1750:6272:11,14 273:1178:12 86:4 93:19judgment 59:17interprets 87:23274:21 275:11101:4 102:264:25 244:1interrogate 156:6investigations 22:8106:2 112:7,15July 24:2 25:21103:7 186:2366:18 97:7,12,18138:15 151:2580:17 81:10 82:7	200:1 213:10 237:8 justified 228:11 7 K KC 67:23,24 72:24 227:24 keep 3:13 77:15 99:7,11
interpreted 29:1 116:20262:21 265:16 268:5 270:2026:9 47:4,9 57:6 58:7,11 65:4233:14 235:5 journeys 234:8interpreting 37:25 50:6271:19 272:2,5 272:11,14 273:1168:24 69:2 70:24 78:12 86:4 93:19judgment 128:17 judgment 59:17interprets 87:23 interrogate 156:6 103:7 186:23271:19 272:2,8 274:21 275:11101:4 102:2 106:2 112:7,1564:25 244:1 July 24:2 25:21 29:15 67:5 72:18 80:17 81:10 82:7	237:8 justified 228:11 K KC 67:23,24 72:24 227:24 keep 3:13 77:15 99:7,11
116:20268:5 270:2058:7,11 65:4journeys 234:8interpreting 37:25271:19 272:2,568:24 69:2 70:24judgement 128:1750:6272:11,14 273:1178:12 86:4 93:19judgment 59:17interprets 87:23274:21 275:11101:4 102:264:25 244:1interrogate 156:6investigations 22:8106:2 112:7,15July 24:2 25:21interrupt 12:1522:15 65:16 66:2133:6,10,1129:15 67:5 72:18103:7 186:2366:18 97:7,12,18138:15 151:2580:17 81:10 82:7	justified 228:11 K KC 67:23,24 72:24 227:24 keep 3:13 77:15 99:7,11
interpreting 37:25 50:6271:19 272:2,5 272:11,14 273:1168:24 69:2 70:24 78:12 86:4 93:19judgement 128:17 judgment 59:17interprets 87:23 interrogate 156:6 interrupt 12:15 103:7 186:23271:19 272:2,5 272:11,14 273:1168:24 69:2 70:24 78:12 86:4 93:19judgement 128:17 judgment 59:17interrogate 156:6 interrupt 12:15 103:7 186:23271:19 272:2,5 271:11101:4 102:2 106:2 112:7,15judgement 59:17 64:25 244:1103:7 186:2366:18 97:7,12,18138:15 151:2580:17 81:10 82:1	K KC 67:23,24 72:24 227:24 keep 3:13 77:15 7
50:6272:11,14 273:1178:12 86:4 93:19judgment 59:17interprets 87:23274:21 275:11101:4 102:264:25 244:1interrogate 156:6investigations 22:8106:2 112:7,15July 24:2 25:21103:7 186:2366:18 97:7,12,18138:15 151:2580:17 81:10 82:7	K KC 67:23,24 72:24 227:24 keep 3:13 77:15 99:7,11
interprets 87:23274:21 275:11101:4 102:264:25 244:1interrogate 156:6investigations 22:8106:2 112:7,15July 24:2 25:21interrupt 12:1522:15 65:16 66:2133:6,10,1129:15 67:5 72:18103:7 186:2366:18 97:7,12,18138:15 151:2580:17 81:10 82:10	227:24 keep 3:13 77:15 99:7,11
interrogate 156:6 interrupt 12:15investigations 22:8 22:15 65:16 66:2106:2 112:7,15 133:6,10,11July 24:2 25:21 29:15 67:5 72:18 80:17 81:10 82:4103:7 186:2366:18 97:7,12,18138:15 151:2580:17 81:10 82:4	227:24 keep 3:13 77:15 99:7,11
interrupt 12:1522:15 65:16 66:2133:6,10,1129:15 67:5 72:18103:7 186:2366:18 97:7,12,18138:15 151:2580:17 81:10 82:7	99:7,11
103:7 186:23 66:18 97:7,12,18 138:15 151:25 80:17 81:10 82:7	99:7,11
	,
	kept 152:12,13
interrupted 206:7 137:22 263:6 198:15,17 237:21 104:9 107:8	key 18:19 21:17
intervene 121:6 277:14 237:24 239:1 119:16 121:4	29:25 82:22,24
199:23,25 investigative 23:23 273:6 113:10	98:12 145:17
intervention 111:2 investigators issued 29:13 30:3 129:8 138:6	160:9 224:13
Intervention Investigators Issued 29.15 50.5 129.8 158.0 121:24 122:11 277:13 32:13 56:7 67:13 141:21 147:20	240:5
interviewed investment 157:3 94:22 192:11 148:23 149:4,14	
267:15 invitation 114:10 202:17 221:14,20 150:5,11 151:8	kind 101:23
intimidation 114:11 116:6 issues 2:5,15 3:17 230:11	218:10 239:20
142:23 invite 11:11 5:3,19 11:7,12 jump 76:1	kindly 68:8
introduced 55:21 invited 114:17 26:19 28:14 42:7 jumped 203:3	Kingdom 170:10
169:5 involuntary 161:7 48:9,17 70:19 jumping 143:3	171:12 204:18
introducing 5:19 involved 22:7,10 73:15 77:21 80:3 jumps 52:10	kitchen 195:12,13
introductory 3:14 23:1 53:3 65:15 93:14 94:10 June 1:5 4:24 9:17	
invest 6:21 66:2 77:12 110:3 127:3 13:24 18:17	knew 26:10 58:10
investigate 2:12 110:23 117:17 145:18 167:23 30:15 42:15,16	105:1 155:18
157:19 161:6 122:3 129:23 169:24 176:17 48:5,22 52:3,7,8	
137:19 101:0 122:3 129:25 109:24 170:17 48:3,22 52:3,7,8 277:11 130:10 137:4 180:13 238:3,6 68:18 75:15	232:12 238:22
investigated 1:14 143:9,10 196:17 261:11 76:11,15,17	248:9 258:6
investigated 1.14 involvement items 144:13 items 144:13 80:16 83:11 84:4	
259:16 272:9 129:25 131:4 ITLD 95:5,24 84:14,18 85:11	know 14:1 18:7
273:5 143:1,6 176:4 99:20 156:18,20 85:21 93:8,9	22:17 23:5 26:11
investigating 9:22 IOS 32:3 168:3 94:13,13,14	28:11,14,14 29:3
22:13 66:4 97:6 iPhone 8:25 31:16 ITLV 57:9 71:17 104:14 107:9	29:5 39:15,25
137:19 224:11 32:2 33:21 39:19 1112 37.9 71.17 104.14 107.9	41:16 47:5,16
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	49:15 50:19
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	52:13 55:1 57:14
investigation 7:16 109:9,11 166:6 226:20 227:24 122:15,18 123:1	
9:23 14:11 22:11 166:18 255:9 122:124 122:13,18 125:1	62:18 63:14
9:23 14:11 22:11 100:18 124:21 142:12 22:13 23:1 52:25 iPhones 32:13 January 30:15 147:13 148:9,13	
22:13 23:13 147:13 148:9,13 60:16 66:1,14 33:8,14 34:2 42:16 67:12 148:21,23 149:2	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
90:21 91:1,7,9,11 103:16 166:21,22 93:13,20 94:9,15 155:7 158:14	106:20 108:4
93:16,17 94:7,8 221:16 105:10 106:21,22 104:13 206:4,6,7 159:1 169:20	111:11,19 114:16
95:10,17 94:7,8 221:16 104:15 200:4,0,7 139:1 109:20 109:18 110:21 Ireland 53:5,6,16 207:6 185:25 186:2	115:2,11,14
109:18 110:21 Ireland 35:5,0,16 207:0 185:25 186:2 112:9,10 113:15 53:17 90:17 job 204:19 267:2 201:22 224:7	116:16 122:16,17
112.9,10 113.13 35.17 90.17 Job 201.12 201.22 224.7 121:10,16,17,18 91:10 Joey 211:17 231:1 241:3	127:4 129:22
121:10,10,17,18 91:10 91:10 91:10 251:1241:5 121:25 150:24 irrelevant 168:16 John 9:22 187:4 255:25	146:25 150:1
joke 163:23	159:6 161:9
Jone 103.23	

2	1 2			1
				Page 296
163:15 164:17	220:12	197:6 204:7	lied 245:23 246:2,6	47:13 57:4
166:20 169:13	large 69:17	212:25 234:4	246:20,24,24	137:10 179:24
172:12 173:25	late 264:16	255:12 256:13	lies 24:25 138:15	181:25 197:12
176:5,9 178:17	latitude 88:11	274:5	life 162:3,8,8	236:25 241:23
179:16,18 180:3	lats 52:14	leaves 219:8	202:10 231:15	249:15 256:19
180:8 185:8,19	Laughter 275:25	leaving 14:5 190:7	249:24	263:9 271:8
186:3 191:21	law 48:24	190:19	lifted 171:7 172:1	274:10
193:4 196:11	lawyer 151:21	led 24:16 28:16	light 4:22 6:8	live 9:7 68:24 69:2
198:25 201:15	224:17	84:17 117:18,19	11:12 15:4 108:2	69:5 113:15
212:9,12,13	lawyers 13:7	118:24 137:20	176:16 202:6,14	156:2 177:23
212:3,12,13	113:24 116:7	258:16	250:25	179:3,10,12,19
214:20 215:19	153:3 192:6	left 185:15 204:8	lightbulb 242:3	190:16 272:1,10
219:24 220:14,18	200:23 202:8	244:5 246:5	likelihood 108:3	lived 221:3
222:5,9,10,10	259:10 261:14	261:1	110:6 270:15	lives 223:13
223:14 224:21	266:21,25 267:7	leg 15:23	likes 188:20	Llamas 67:24
226:22 227:19	267:10	legal 64:13,20	limitations 52:21	loads 183:23
234:19 236:4	laying 232:8	length 87:14	limited 94:17 95:7	local 127:12
237:15 241:12	layman 96:2,15	110:14	130:16 143:21	212:19
245:17,22,24	laymen 39:6 95:22	lengthy 156:3	144:21	locally 72:3
246:2,11 248:23	layout 23:2	lent 229:4	limits 273:2,22	locate 72:3
253:20 264:14	layperson 39:24	let's 21:7,8 190:6	line 151:10 155:4	located 32:18
265:2 273:21,24	lead 19:4,6 89:10	190:18 192:5	177:23 178:10,14	44:10 70:6 74:14
274:4	leader 257:16	letter 5:6 13:7	178:22 179:3	74:19 75:6
knowledge 17:7,25	leadership 79:3	67:18 69:8 82:7	212:18 235:17	locked 26:17
18:4 63:9,12	181:8,18 248:8	84:12 104:7	236:2 241:23	log-in 76:6
82:4 122:14	249:12	116:17 120:17	260:11	Logistics 95:4
125:13 136:20	leading 4:23 6:1	121:1,7 122:13	Linea 177:19,23	lonely 114:25
142:7 143:1,6	15:17 22:24 23:5	123:14 129:4,7	178:9,13 179:3,6	115:1,25
144:11 230:13,22	86:19 130:12	134:4,13,25	lines 24:7,13 44:8	long 76:4 93:18
238:1,11 239:19	142:11 228:8	141:20 144:25	68:9 163:14,15	102:17 177:24
known 239:11	230:15 231:2	145:1 192:7,12	163:17 199:21	longer 1:12 31:14
251:12	274:10	192:15,21 200:23	213:13,17 233:22	102:4 108:22
Kram 129:24	leads 23:7 119:25	202:17 230:10	267:11	166:5 192:25
130:24 131:4,18	leaked 12:21	238:16,16 255:15	link 262:23 263:7	244:24
143:4,7 144:16	learned 81:21	255:16	linked 32:4 33:19	Longing 192:3
192:16,17 243:4	82:22 87:18	letterhead 181:4	145:18 147:21,22	look 89:22 103:16
	92:14 98:17	letters 79:7,14	186:17	103:21 104:20
$\frac{\mathbf{L}}{\mathbf{L}}$	103:11 112:22	115:14 117:11	list 5:2 11:7 25:13	120:24 173:15
La 178:13 179:6	121:12,24 139:16	197:15	26:13 36:11 42:7	177:2 178:8
lack 8:17 11:17	154:4 155:1	level 217:19	56:3 130:9 139:3	192:23 196:5
152:7 183:18	209:4 215:3,15	leveled 188:16	148:5	197:8 202:5,14
210:2 246:19	216:3 225:7	levy 226:20 227:4	listed 107:13 130:3	212:17 217:23
landlines 22:4	227:2 231:3	227:9,24 228:16	144:23 149:6	234:24,25 235:16
Lane 120:8,20	240:23 244:18	228:19 229:3	Listen 183:15	236:2 256:10
language 248:11	264:14 271:14	243:6 247:4,18	lists 30:2	268:24 269:2
250:1	275:10	255:9	litany 221:8	looked 21:6,9
laptop 11:18 71:15	learning 169:18,19	Levy's 258:14	literally 163:20	35:13,25 36:6
71:21 74:14,20	leave 157:23,25	Library 3:5,8	178:11,14 179:19	44:7 103:20
74:21,24 75:6,11	158:2,4 193:7,9	lie 246:3 271:7	little 46:24 47:12	104:15 105:10
76:25 92:18				

				Page 297
looking 6:23 10:14	M	Martin 43:14	175:19 241:25	180:11,13,23
51:22 109:3	M4A' 76:13	massive 146:24	245:19 261:1,8	182:18 183:9,13
138:5 177:25	machine 219:17	match 54:18,21	273:5	184:7,10 185:4,7
197:3 233:24	Magistrate 163:11	mate 186:12 190:6	mature 185:19	185:11,18 186:7
234:19 235:6	mailbox 72:7	190:12,13 192:1	188:21	186:21 188:11,15
261:3	main 1:19 2:3,7,23	192:2 200:24	May/June 18:15	189:1,7,16 190:5
loose 209:2	2:25 3:3,25 4:5	201:13 202:4,9	148:1 245:5	190:13 191:7,9
lose 98:14 193:10	4:20 5:9,14 6:12	236:4 256:23	McGrail 1:9,15	191:24 192:9,24
227:25 228:4	6:18,25 11:20	material 2:21 3:3	2:13 4:2,3,18	193:8 194:6,13
239:5 241:22	12:5 13:5 14:3	21:2,10 66:22	5:17 7:1,11,18,19	194:15,19,21
losing 96:24,25	14:14 15:1 18:10	69:17,24,25 71:7	8:20 9:19 10:9	195:19 197:20
97:14 140:3	72:2 74:15 75:17	72:21 73:6,7	10:13 12:12	199:5,10,14
148:11 219:16	176:18	74:2 104:22	13:14,18,24 14:9	200:8,24 201:10
loss 11:14 91:20	maintain 11:5	107:22 112:13	14:12,23 15:8	201:16,23 202:20
95:17 223:16	72:13 176:10	118:7,8,8 144:14	23:11 28:1 30:17	203:5 204:2,6,21
231:24	197:6	158:20 159:5	35:24 41:2 42:18	204:25 206:20
lost 1:20 9:4,14	maintained 260:23	219:2 220:24	42:23 43:1,21	207:8,12 209:9
39:21 41:11,12	261:6	229:9 239:8	45:8 48:4,15	209:12 210:3,8
46:10 61:19 62:2	maintaining 234:5	270:22 277:1	49:16 50:3 51:5	211:14 212:24
62:10 69:19,24	maintains 54:24	materials 65:14	52:18,20 53:4	213:12,23 215:13
70:9 88:22 89:6	Majesty's 143:15	66:17 67:3,7	55:16 66:12	216:12 219:6
89:23 90:13	majority 27:16,21	176:2,7	67:18 68:21	227:20,21 229:25
91:14 106:5	making 39:18	Matt 203:6,9,18	69:10 70:5 72:1	230:15,24 231:5
108:21 148:2,16	60:12 116:17	204:22 205:2	74:21 75:2,8,12	231:10,19,20
148:19 149:9	163:23 168:20	Matt's 203:24	75:25 76:3,23	233:25 234:11,19
151:8 153:18	216:11,24 226:5	matter 11:8 20:19	77:15 79:25 88:6	235:18,22 237:5
167:1,6,9 193:4	245:10	83:7 88:12	88:23 90:3,16,19	237:14 238:15
199:4,9,9,13	manage 99:20	129:17 130:20	91:13 92:5,13,14	239:6,24 240:2
215:5,12,17,22	127:18	134:14 142:7	92:22 93:19	244:7,11,13,17
220:8,15,20,21	managed 38:12	143:25 154:24	106:25 107:6,15	244:20 245:2,18
226:10,21,22	57:9 122:6	164:8 174:10	107:18 108:6,18	245:23 246:5,6,9
229:12 230:2,3	227:25	189:9 197:5	110:24 111:4,16	248:3,5,7 249:11
232:4 238:23	management 6:3	198:8 201:11,17	113:22 114:5,13	249:23 250:2
240:4 264:6	7:24 22:16 60:4	201:20 225:9,17	115:8,16,22,24	251:14 253:9
lot 12:8 13:10	183:2	225:21,22 226:4	116:5 123:8	254:11,16 255:2
79:17 97:8	manner 67:23	228:22 234:4	125:18 129:21	255:11 256:3,12
114:15 157:2,3	manners 58:1	246:22 262:1	130:11 131:5,9	256:15,18 257:3
169:24 170:14,16	manslaughter	264:23 265:14	134:2,22 141:10	258:7,22 259:4,5
183:3,4,22,22	161:7 180:5,14	271:15 277:5	142:11,24 143:11	259:7,12,21
184:20,22 188:11	180:21	matters 1:15 2:18	147:17,19 148:1	260:14,24 261:12
190:11,14 195:2	map 186:19	2:24 3:2 5:15 6:4	148:22 149:11	261:24 262:9,17
196:25 200:2	March 45:15	6:10 11:19 12:1	150:4,10,19	263:11 265:1
234:17	130:14 143:5	13:1 15:11 33:3	151:25 152:22	267:15 268:6
lots 95:13	180:24 181:24	70:17,18,22	153:1 154:20	McGrail's 2:15
low- 210:20	212:10	86:17 103:24	159:8 160:19,23	4:24 6:2,16 9:21
low-hanging	margins 132:14	130:9,21 137:6	161:3,13,23	10:18,23 11:14
210:24 211:3	marine 180:25	143:10 144:1	162:19,25 163:21	11:15,18 12:4,5
luncheon 135:13	181:2,17 187:5	145:5 158:22	168:11,25 176:21	13:4,6 14:17,21
135:15	Mark 123:9	167:22 173:5	177:8,17 179:23	15:12,17 30:11
	-	•	•	

Epiq Europe Ltd +44 (0) 207 404 1400 www.epiqglobal.com Lowe casemanagers@epiqglobal.com

				Page 298
	I	I		
30:13,25 31:5	161:16 167:25	mental 238:17	43:5 46:4,9	messaging 161:25
36:2 43:6 48:18	172:6 173:22	mentally 257:7	47:22 48:3 50:3	messenger 217:5
51:23 52:2,17,23	177:9 179:2	mention 16:10	50:12,22 51:22	met 186:8 187:8
54:17 62:15	181:11 185:9	66:24 238:3,6	52:4,8,16 54:15	246:4
68:20 71:8 74:14	190:17 202:15	261:22	54:17,21 55:4	metadata 144:4
76:25 91:21	207:10 209:9	mentioned 43:12	69:11,14 70:13	method 77:24
92:18,21 110:6	210:10 211:24	44:4 62:6 63:20	70:24 78:1 80:5	methods 21:18
111:12 142:17	212:9 229:7	63:22 118:11	80:19,23 81:2,4	23:16 54:1
144:18 151:13,21	256:11 257:6	124:6 253:19	83:9,12 84:6,17	136:25
152:4,6 153:12	273:7	mere 154:17 224:1	85:12,15,20,24	metres 179:10,20
158:21 160:6	meaning 128:2	236:9 256:13	87:5 88:22 89:7	metric 99:21
165:1,6,11,16	194:9	mess 161:10	104:12 105:2,11	mic 18:7
172:11,13,15	means 2:16 28:4	mess 212:8	105:23,25 108:17	microphone 272:6
173:22 176:2	30:12 47:19	message 7:19,22	113:17 114:6	midst 234:20
178:3 186:1	51:21 93:11	8:20 34:5 36:3	130:19 141:6	Mike 197:10
190:23 195:8,14	104:18 116:19	49:4 50:18 52:1	143:24 145:2,4	miles 178:11,17
200:23 204:12	157:2,3 163:11	52:7 55:10 62:9	145:17,20,24	mill 258:4,12
220:11,19 226:22	165:24 190:13	70:4 82:20 83:3	146:3,5,8,13	million 147:9
238:1 242:5	228:12 253:12,21	83:13 131:11,14	147:19,25 148:2	mind 11:2 41:5
243:11,13 274:13	meant 179:7,11,21	131:22 132:8	148:11,16,21,23	57:17 66:25
McVea 2:5 9:22	184:1	151:20 161:4	149:3,9,12,19,24	68:15 82:2 84:11
53:14 90:8,22	measures 169:21	177:3,6,7,16	150:4,10,18	179:16 222:18
118:23 122:9	media 25:12,14	178:4,8 179:23	151:8 152:14	238:1 264:1
150:17 151:1,3	139:2,4	180:23 185:25	153:7,11,18,19	275:8
156:13 220:21	median 177:23	186:8,9,25,25	154:19 155:8	minded 91:22
231:8 240:24	178:9 179:3	187:3,3 188:3,4	158:16 159:5,16	minds 41:6 57:1
241:4,11,12	meet 185:6	188:25 189:7	160:20 161:17	84:5,14 100:22
242:4,11	meeting 70:17	190:4,5,21 194:8	164:2,2,11,25	105:8 133:17
McVea's 52:24	76:13 77:21	200:21 201:7,9	165:2,5,10,15	mine 18:7,8,8
mean 6:17 20:3	110:2 111:13,15	201:24 202:4,7	166:2,25 167:5,9	61:11
26:3 28:7 31:7	111:17 112:2,25	203:11,14 204:8	167:12,17 168:11	minefield 273:19
34:4 35:9 37:9	130:10,13 189:6	204:24 205:1	168:16 176:15,20	mines 273:22
38:18 39:25 40:5	189:17,25 195:11	206:6 211:14	187:2,15,23	minimisation
46:12 47:15,15	245:24 246:12	212:8 236:18	188:14 191:24	108:3
50:16 51:2 57:3	248:16 254:14,18	messages 1:8,11	192:8 201:23	minimise 3:11
62:17 63:11 66:8	254:21,24	4:2,5,7,10 5:1	202:1,3 205:6,14	217:8,13
80:18 85:7 92:22	meetings 28:6	7:4,5,7,9,10,12	205:15 206:14,17	minimised 71:15
99:16 100:17	77:16,20,23	7:13,23 8:4,18	206:18,23 207:15	minimising 106:9
101:1 104:15	110:13 112:24	9:11,15,18 10:1,7	207:18 212:5,20	106:15
111:8,11 113:1	130:2 175:22	10:8,12,24 11:4	226:1 227:7,10	minister 40:25
114:24 116:3,15	194:20,22 239:22	12:11 13:12,19	227:11,16,17	109:19 111:6,19
116:18 120:3,4	253:23 254:3,4,8	14:2,8,16 15:4	229:4 231:12,15	112:5 115:4,20
120:10 126:14,14	254:10 271:2	20:10 21:15,23	231:17 234:16,16	158:17 168:9
126:14,17 131:1	member 56:9,11	27:25 30:14,17	236:9 237:1,7,25	191:12 192:12
131:21 132:2	64:15 262:8	30:24 31:4,14	238:7 251:13,14	193:1,17 194:2
133:7,9,16,17,18	members 49:11,23	33:17 35:4,10,11	252:9 253:8,16	198:7 199:8,18
133:20,23 149:6	120:7 142:22	35:17 36:23	253:21 259:20	200:1,2,25 201:3
154:16,16 159:19	memory 48:1	39:21 40:21 41:2	260:11 263:25	205:7,8 207:3,6
160:8,14 161:11	94:22 205:22	41:14 42:6,10,20	264:3,6,7	213:10 216:10,13
L		-		

www.epiqglobal.com Lo casemanagers@epiqglobal.com

2	1 2			$\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{r}} = 200$
				Page 299
216:16,19 232:5	100:8 101:24	232:3 236:12	191:13	noted 260:2
234:1,11 235:20	104:12 113:25	240:23	network 40:11	notes 60:12,14,15
237:8 239:4	114:2 138:22	move 18:8 47:7	71:18 118:9	60:20
240:2 245:23	139:21,22 140:2	188:24 200:10	119:15	notice 3:6 81:22
246:4,21 248:10	145:17 146:4	moved 28:9	networks 95:8	100:20 142:19
248:13,16,17,22	168:24 173:16	moved 28.9 moves 56:10	never 70:6 92:1	223:3,7,7,8
248:15,10,17,22 248:25 251:16	175:8,12 203:25		104:15 163:16	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	moving 134:1 mutual 248:11		noticed 100:16,21
254:19 255:7,16	218:1 228:1		171:17 183:16	101:18 223:6
256:16 259:2,11	267:22 268:22	249:13,14 250:8	212:25 240:5,9	noting 4:8
261:4,5,7,11,23	270:15 271:7	mutuality 250:25	242:17 246:5	notwithstanding
262:23,25	modern 156:7	N	nevertheless	45:4 90:21 239:7
ministerial 158:19	MoJ 213:1	name 57:12 76:12	124:11 125:8	246:14 253:9
Ministry 195:2	Mole 262:16,19	261:23 262:2	209:22 246:17	November 3:24
minor 74:9 172:7	moment 59:4,15	names 261:21	new 2:21 4:22 9:17	9:1 20:12 31:17
173:5 178:12	105:13 116:22	narrative 238:20	12:2 13:1,25	31:22 33:6 34:5
186:20	122:19 132:24	native 36:7 71:21	14:13,20 39:2,14	42:3 46:12 67:19
minute 180:7	150:22 156:1	144:3	56:18,25 57:16	84:1,22 96:23
208:6,9 244:5	207:23 242:3	natural 21:5	88:24 100:17	100:7 109:6
minutes 78:7	moments 171:5		101:16 102:12,19	117:15 145:15,22
102:20 103:12	Monday 189:5,10	115:18 133:24	102:20,24 112:13	145:25 146:11
106:3,4 196:10	money 183:21	naturally 19:3,8	148:9,13,18	Nowadays 278:6
254:7,10 271:2	184:20	98:6 147:24	149:2 155:6	number 7:3 30:19
mirror 274:13	month 130:11	nature 119:18	176:15 220:9	30:20 31:22
mirrored 170:19	monthly 47:14	nautical 178:17	222:1,15,25	35:22 36:10
misfortune 73:22	months 9:9 50:21	necessarily 1:25	223:1,2 227:25	41:24 48:17
misleading 123:23	62:22 86:19	15:15 28:19 29:5	230:5 236:21	50:20,21,21 56:5
misreadings 51:25	91:25 97:10 98:2	104:14	262:16,19	58:1 62:6 93:3
missing 150:18	98:10,12 109:14	necessary 2:9 3:22	newer 255:22	110:2 130:5
misunderstanding	182:25 189:20,22	67:1 92:25 174:9	newly 5:1,16 12:10	137:17,25 138:7
50:5	190:11 202:10	208:11	13:12 14:8,15,23	141:12,12 143:18
misunderstood	210:1 221:14	need 8:13 17:14	Newsom 53:12	147:22,23 167:22
275:9	262:20 263:1	22:17 32:16	Nick 147:15	167:24 180:17
mix 237:1	Morello 248:18,24	58:25 67:25	nightmare 192:3	203:5,25 204:1
Mm-hm 148:7	249:1	81:24 107:24	193:13	206:21 253:25
150:12	morning 1:3 3:20	108:12 151:11	nine-tenths 48:13	263:25 264:3
Mm-hmm 210:22	16:16,17 78:9,23	155:10 157:7	nineteen 6:14	numbers 8:6 26:11
216:7 230:21	78:24 80:11	197:9 243:10	NM 177:19,22,22	32:14,18 35:24
232:2	82:19 87:13,16	248:14 257:24	nobody's 41:5	36:7,13 43:3
Mmh 84:13 88:14	95:2,10 97:22	268:19	Nolan 181:2,16	44:1
205:3	98:18 99:7 101:3	needed 145:2	Nope 90:1	numerous 8:8
mmm 196:23	103:20 104:24	169:9 185:1	norm 22:22	23:12 207:7
mobile 8:14 24:11	106:8 108:3	needs 60:7	normal 39:24	260:13
24:18 25:7 26:11	122:23 127:14	negative 110:17,19	228:3	
40:12 43:17 45:6	136:24 138:6	181:8	Northern 53:5,6	$\frac{0}{1}$
54:2 55:15,18	166:11 168:5	negotiate 252:5	53:15,17 90:17	o'clock 135:11
56:5,6,13 64:4,9	169:1,22 174:4	Neil 213:11	91:10	278:16
64:18 83:4 88:17	179:24 192:1	neither 33:3 42:21	note 58:23 155:22	oath 16:12 129:16
91:15 96:16,24	194:15 219:25	103:25 104:10	183:12,12 195:22	135:17 142:6
96:25 97:14	222:12 230:1	244:13	196:6,7 197:16	object 141:15,17
		nervousness		objection 152:7
L				'

				Page 300
obligation 81:8,13	87:8 95:12,13	27:14 29:11,12	217:2 218:11,21	224:9 243:4
81:23 86:10	98:6,16 102:8	29:21,22,24 30:2	219:24 220:15	276:6,25
obligations 79:13	105:9,19 111:20	32:1,19 33:22	221:11 230:7	operational 26:25
80:16 81:10,16	115:12 119:12,24	35:21 44:11	232:11 235:9	99:4
86:7,8,12	148:24 150:23	54:16 55:23 56:4	240:21,21 247:14	operations 183:21
observations	240:24	60:6 61:5,13,16	250:18,20 265:19	opinion 125:11
187:7	occurring 67:14	62:3 64:21 65:15	274:2 278:11,18	209:17
obtain 34:23 69:22	115:6	66:9 79:8,12	old 140:25	opinions 249:10
144:12 150:18	October 55:16,19	82:4,12 86:14	oldest 38:18	opportune 207:23
172:18 201:6	73:1 117:15	88:3,12,15 91:2	once 1:4 3:4 17:15	opportunities
obtained 10:17	odd 123:5	94:23 101:6	24:21 28:9 47:6	21:25 23:14
28:10 29:20 38:5	offence 64:12	104:10 109:17,18	56:22 76:15 86:9	opportunity 1:16
153:12 243:24	157:15 265:7	112:18 113:14	105:6 108:22	1:22,24 58:20
274:20 275:10	offer 89:11	116:2 118:6,10	135:17 138:11	103:6 131:24
obtaining 26:5	offered 184:6	136:25 137:4,11	223:18 226:11	132:1 206:20
84:6	offering 25:16	138:21 139:6,24	248:13,16	216:5
obvious 35:9,14	88:3 139:6	145:18 147:5	ones 16:19 43:9	opposed 10:6
61:25 67:13 87:3	257:12	156:19 157:6,8	82:6 85:7,9,10	oral 18:10 209:20
101:15 105:3,3	office 23:6,7,8,8	173:19 174:18,25	88:18 124:5	236:23
140:9 193:6	49:2 74:23 78:2	179:8,14 221:19	255:22	order 3:11 24:1
268:20	94:21 110:6	241:22 253:14	ongoing 22:14	25:5,25 28:23,24
obviously 21:1	111:12 113:20	270:14	65:15 70:22	29:10,14 30:1
35:9 39:15 49:15	162:4 177:18	officers' 8:19 9:12	266:8 271:17	87:19 88:2,8
51:13 57:6 94:18	185:15 236:13,14	271:6	272:1,17	106:21 107:16
104:23 116:16	237:2 253:24	offices 49:15 120:8	online 126:10	138:20 139:16
118:25 126:17	255:3 258:15	120:20	127:8	140:13 174:21
135:7 174:12	officer 8:16 9:22	official 42:23 64:3	Op 109:17,20	183:2 262:10
176:25 180:11	19:9 22:13,18	223:17	253:11,20	ordered 107:6
183:20 185:20	24:24 27:4 29:3	officially 138:1	open 46:18 272:9	orders 24:2 138:5
186:18 187:24	32:8,10,11 36:21	195:9	272:14,16	175:9
193:9 194:20	38:2 39:11,17	oh 208:20 220:15	opening 8:1 15:19	organisation 25:5
196:18 212:9	47:2,5,17 53:15	247:2	30:13 167:11	29:18 40:14
257:8 268:5,18	57:16 61:17	okay 16:9 78:16	Openshaw 269:1	138:20 139:15
270:1 275:15	62:20 63:22,23	79:24 81:8,12,19	operable 83:23	140:5 160:12
occasion 47:16	64:11,14,24 66:4	88:2,21 90:3	operate 141:9	169:18 188:22
49:3 123:6 210:5	83:2 90:22 96:11	91:13 94:20 96:2	180:8,16,18	197:6 234:5
252:6	102:19 115:1	96:19 99:22	operated 166:22	268:12,16 270:24
occasions 47:22	120:24 137:19	100:3 106:2,19	operating 181:18	original 93:10
62:7 139:24	138:14 170:22	123:7,25 124:25	operation 5:4	123:14 129:4,6
265:13	172:3 224:11	125:6 127:5	11:11 14:6,11	141:22 144:4
occur 28:1 67:11	242:12	135:4,6,11 154:2	22:21 38:22	150:14 184:8
71:1 146:10	officer's 265:18	154:6 155:11,14	60:14 66:13	185:10
151:18 153:20	officer/ 56:10	169:15 172:10	68:13 70:21	originally 44:3
168:1	officer/support	173:3,11 174:11	77:11,13,17	89:1 113:6,8
occurred 19:8	56:9	177:13 192:2	129:24 130:24	outcome 122:7
31:8,11 33:8	officers 2:6 4:7 7:7	195:23 207:21	131:18 137:15	237:21,24
34:22 48:4 54:6	8:25 20:10 21:19	208:23 210:9	142:25 143:2,4,6	outcomes 237:12
55:6 60:18 62:22	22:7,20,25 25:6	212:11 214:21	144:13,16 176:4	Outgoing 42:10
64:1 73:21 84:8	25:16 26:20	215:1 216:4	176:8 192:17	outlined 11:12

•				-
				Page 301
	42.15.10.44.6.0			(2.0.12.00.2.4
outset 79:25 80:6	43:15,19 44:6,8	particularly 2:4	people 26:10 73:2	63:9,12 80:3,4
86:3	45:2,3 49:10	9:6 14:25 41:13	82:16 140:22,24	86:4 87:20 88:18
outside 28:1 48:15	50:1 54:23 56:3	41:14 62:8	141:3,7 144:23	88:23,24 89:5
68:7 71:2 178:5	57:24 65:8 67:21	100:23 102:2	148:3 149:6,7,10	90:4 94:3 127:17
178:7	75:19 76:2,20	116:15,25 217:23	156:3,14 168:2,3	138:16,22 139:1
outstanding 4:15	94:24 103:23	241:21	190:16 218:14	139:22 140:14,22
overall 6:12 14:13	104:6 107:4,11	parties 11:10	221:23 222:1	141:4,12,14,15
overarching 5:20	107:11,19 108:7	12:10 13:11 14:7	227:12,18 228:4	141:18 145:7,16
overlapping 8:12	120:16,21 129:24	14:20 72:25	258:6	146:13,25 147:8
overreacting	130:4,21,24	112:23 118:2	people's 23:4	147:11 153:19
182:10	138:17 144:1	119:25 185:4	Perez 9:8 243:7	154:12 155:9
overseen 5:12	145:11,12 155:2	202:18 240:5	performance	165:2,6,11,24,25
Overspeaking	155:4 158:9	244:14,15 245:1	158:21	201:4,5 220:20
44:24	164:22 166:10,10	245:9 253:5	performing 160:9	222:24,24 226:12
overtime 25:17	207:1 253:7	parties' 112:15	period 7:16 10:11	230:4 242:22,23
88:4 139:7	255:20 256:7	party 113:13	51:8,13 54:25	242:24 247:4
P	260:1,9 262:15	party's 125:14	83:15 102:13	personally 22:2
pack 68:10	paragraphs 42:9	pass 203:23	104:13 109:13	91:16 145:6
pack 08.10 package 197:7	64:5 74:17	204:24 259:11,19	118:11 140:19	166:22 220:14,18
234:6	103:21 105:1,16	266:25 273:16	155:9 157:1	persons 130:3
page 17:3,5 24:3	120:15	passage 75:18	202:20 210:4	perspective 59:5
24:20 25:2 44:6	parameters 42:15	passages 24:6	245:5 255:24	119:10
44:8 56:3 75:14	paraphrasing	67:20 138:8,9	265:18	persuade 124:17
76:1 129:10,12	253:7	passed 47:17 78:5	periphery 234:17	pertained 35:24
129:19 130:1	parcel 179:13	259:3	permission 113:25	pertaining 44:1
136:6,7 138:7,17	pardon 79:25	passing 1:11 204:1	152:5,6 274:16	Peter 78:21,22,24
142:1,2 143:3,8	237:6,6 244:8	pathetic 182:8	permit 2:24	96:19 103:10,15
143:19 151:19	247:19	patterns 48:20	permitting 87:10	112:21 208:6,12
155:3 158:9	Parliament 41:1	Paul 74:23 161:5	person 39:1 48:19	208:16,20,23,24
162:24 177:3	168:10	180:3,4 182:5	49:1 53:23 56:14	209:1 211:24
185:24,25 186:6	Parliamentary	203:14,16,21,22	59:11 77:25 78:2	213:22 214:3,6
188:25 192:5	67:15 Barry 2024 (0, 18	203:24 204:19	103:1 109:25	214:16 217:11
194:7 200:21	Parr 203:6,9,18	pause 17:17,21,22	110:5,8 113:20	218:4,7 219:14
211:15 235:17	204:2,4,22 205:2	29:9 43:11,13	137:23 162:7	221:7 222:7,9,19
256:7 257:18	part 2:16 9:22	118:24 154:9	196:25 223:13	223:4,19 225:21
261:18	15:15 20:8 25:20 66:3 74:10 90:3	166:13 186:17 187:23 206:11	248:21 249:22	226:4 231:5
pages 25:18 33:1		256:10 261:17	252:7 257:4 263:1	234:14 235:4,10
60:15 140:7	143:17 145:15,20	256:10 261:17 264:9		235:13,16 236:8 236:24 238:13
paid 29:18	161:8 179:13 259:8		person's 65:5,5 224:14 269:3	
painful 256:22		pay 197:6 234:5		239:12 240:13,16 241:18 242:24
palpable 182:16	participants 74:8 118:3	payaso 248:18 PDFs 130:18	personal 7:6,12,14 8:9 9:15,19	241:18 242:24 245:16 247:10,13
pandemic 48:22	participate 137:12	143:23	10:16,18 24:4,11	247:16,22 248:9
194:18 195:11	particular 12:10	peaceful 190:17	24:18 25:1,7,11	248:15 250:24
paper 181:4	13:11 19:22 39:1	pension 193:11	25:23 26:16	251:9 252:20,22
paragraph 5:21	42:4 47:3 65:25	237:10	27:15,18 28:23	264:21 268:9,25
24:14,20 30:7	66:11 110:21	penultimate 74:13	29:2,6,17 30:11	275:10
31:20 33:10	131:22 133:19	194:8 200:21	30:25 48:14	PH 203:15
34:17,18 35:1	222:20	201:9 202:3	56:14,17 57:11	phenomenon
36:15 42:1 43:14		201.7 202.3	JU.17,17 J7.11	Phenomenon
		l		l

5	1 5			$\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{r}} = 2 0 2$
				Page 302
92:20	228:21 230:4,5	pieces 168:22	26:24 27:20	221:19 230:16
phone 6:3 8:6 9:21	241:11 242:5	pillars 115:18	29:16 31:10	241:18,22 243:1
10:18 25:23 27:6	274:13,17 275:13	193:4	34:12 35:15	243:17 249:19,21
28:23 30:5,11,13	phones 7:4,6 8:14	place 12:10 13:11	54:14 57:25,25	255:16 257:20
30:25 31:1,5,6,15	8:25 9:3,12,20	50:20 68:19 70:1	59:20 60:11	262:17 267:8
32:20 33:19 36:5	22:4 25:7 26:8	77:7,25 109:2	67:11,14 70:23	268:3,19 269:17
36:19,23,24	26:11,15,18,23	110:5 113:20	72:9,12 73:8	277:11
38:24 39:2,4,10	29:25 30:3 32:1	119:23 137:23,24	77:11 78:5 90:1	policies 6:4 55:24
39:11 42:10 43:4	32:2,3,12,14,22	173:14 195:25	90:19 94:18	127:16 139:20
43:20,20 44:2,12	33:7,10 35:11,13	214:24 259:2	101:10,11,12	169:11 170:9,16
46:10,23 48:14	35:16,20 36:1,13	placed 93:8 129:5	103:8,13 104:19	175:9
51:23 52:3,5,17	36:17,20 37:3	plagiarising 227:3	111:13 113:5,7	policing 59:16
52:23 53:3,11,21	39:6,23 40:13,15	Plainly 207:24	124:11,15 127:22	170:13,16,18,21
54:12,17,20	42:16,21,22,25	plane 12:21	132:15 133:8	171:7,19 175:20
56:23,24 57:8,13	43:7,8 44:14	platform 175:23	145:9 149:18,22	180:15 200:3
57:16,20 58:2,7	45:24 56:17 59:9	175:24 277:10,13	152:10 154:23	217:20 236:25
60:25 61:6 62:4	61:9,16 63:4	277:21,22	160:16 164:5	241:24
62:15,19,23 63:8	64:20 65:14	platforms 25:12	177:4 191:7	policy 8:14 25:4
63:9,12 64:4	66:13,16,23 67:6	25:14 139:2,4	193:12 208:7	26:16 38:22,22
65:1,6 78:1	71:9 84:20 87:4	play 18:22 236:24	211:2,2 221:2	55:15,17,20 56:6
83:23 88:23,24	87:20 88:18	played 195:22	240:6,10 255:14	56:16 58:2,6
89:6,12 90:5,5,11	89:22 93:4,22	playing 213:21,21	262:14 265:17	59:2 60:24 64:3
90:15 91:15,16	94:9,21,25 95:6	213:23	271:12 274:11	64:4 87:14
91:23 92:1,11,21	95:23 96:16,24	please 17:4,8,16	275:22	127:15 138:8,19
97:25 100:9	96:25 97:6,14	18:11,16 23:25	pointed 19:5 50:8	140:11 168:25
101:16,24 102:4	98:1,13 102:12	30:5 31:19 41:21	268:20	169:4,9,23 170:4
102:5,16,24	102:19,21 104:13	42:4 44:5 45:2	pointing 14:2	170:19,22 171:6
103:17,18 109:13	109:5,6 138:22	49:9,14 55:14	198:4 205:1	171:20 172:4,5
114:1,2 128:4	139:10,21,22	56:3,15 64:2	218:11	172:23 174:20
140:2,14,22,23	141:14,18 155:23	67:17 74:16	points 6:21 28:2	175:10,12,14
141:3,4,16	156:6 166:3	75:14 76:1 129:4	129:3 191:23	220:6,7
145:17,24 146:4	175:10 220:5,9	135:24 138:4	209:2 263:20	poor 159:14,19
146:13,17 147:11	221:13 226:11,17	141:20 145:11	police 18:14 24:1	181:11 184:18
147:18 148:9,13	228:1,22	151:23,24 153:6	26:20 43:9.13	poorly 159:20,22
148:18 149:2,14	photographs	158:8 160:22	53:5 54:16 56:4	pop 23:13
150:9 151:9,15	25:10 138:25	166:8 168:13	64:14 66:8 91:5	portable 30:9
153:13,13,19	physical 40:12	177:2 179:22	91:10,24 107:21	posed 82:23
154:13 155:7,9	43:17	180:22 183:11	139:21,24 140:9	position 2:10 4:13
155:20 156:12	physically 120:4	188:24 190:3	142:12,18,22	13:22 14:14,24
164:20 165:1,10	Picardo 2:4 67:4	199:16 200:21	144:5,20 146:23	29:16 48:24 50:2
165:11,16,17	67:23 207:7,10	203:1 205:5	147:2,5 156:4	70:13 72:13,24
170:24 172:11,13	229:4 247:3,19	211:11 213:14	157:6,8 159:3	78:10 83:7 102:7
172:16,25 173:17	247:20 258:22	255:20 256:18	169:17 170:12,16	115:2 122:18
173:23 176:2,8	260:13 263:16	257:17 261:17,18	170:20 171:13,14	125:7 139:19
201:4,5 203:5	pick 24:6	plenty 76:5	173:4 174:18	140:6 142:9
218:2 220:20	picked 223:5	PLOI 158:15	193:2,17 194:16	154:3 159:9
222:15,25 223:5	picking 237:3	pm 164:6 200:22	198:12 204:16	160:18,20 176:10
223:14 224:6,14	picks 55:5	point 19:3 21:17	216:15 218:25	191:10 194:4
226:12,12,18	piece 60:18	21:23 22:5 26:4	219:2,2,2,10	195:1,14,14
,,,	F		,_,_,_,	
	l	l	I	I

2	1 2			1
				Page 303
197:4,21 203:21	60:23 63:3 98:18	presentation 181:3	43:10,21 53:15	proceedings 64:14
204:13 206:23	98:20 125:25	presented 115:2	126:18 197:20	64:20
225:14,20 231:22	126:13,16,20	132:9	primarily 23:17	process 5:11 15:16
234:2 268:21	127:4,12 128:21	presently 9:25	28:13,21 110:24	18:22 19:2,4,18
273:2,13 276:17	170:5,20 171:11	presents 26:18	117:24,24	20:9 21:1 26:5
276:25	171:21 172:6,9	59:4	primary 97:4	39:8 52:21 53:22
positive 110:17	practices 126:3,6,8	preservation	139:9	73:17,19 74:10
possess 142:19	practitioner	221:5	principally 98:21	79:1 82:17 89:10
possession 42:5,17	217:24	preserve 9:5 41:2	Principle 5:24	95:22,25 105:9
42:23 43:6 45:8	pre-date 93:20	65:13 66:12	6:10	106:6 108:11
81:1 92:20	preamble 42:11	168:11 176:1,7	principles 5:20	117:4 118:24
107:14 130:19	preceding 46:24	270:1	59:16,16	119:4 121:9,11
135:3 143:24	187:4	preserved 9:20	print 212:24	122:4,5,12
144:8,10 242:18	precise 232:7	65:22 144:4	prior 4:20 9:5	131:12 151:12,14
242:19,20 243:18	precisely 87:21	227:6,7,10,16	73:19 75:3 93:13	154:22 157:20
possibility 255:12	97:10	preserving 8:15	94:9	167:1 171:2
possible 4:13 31:2	preclude 61:4	100:25	private 250:1	194:21 256:2,5
34:25 42:25	predated 121:9	pressure 97:8	privately 266:19	processed 56:22
49:21 50:16	predating 52:8	156:10 256:16	266:21	processes 46:5
52:15,20 71:23	71:10 145:24	presumably 45:19	privilege 243:22	168:21 182:19
77:4 91:8 97:2	predicaments	61:15 90:18	privy 130:2	produce 119:8
100:1,21 105:18	48:18	102:10 126:6,9	pro 171:8	144:6 168:19
172:18 210:18	predominant	127:9 134:12	proactively 186:15	181:9
229:17 237:10,12	165:23	163:10 182:12	probably 12:13	produced 159:3
Possibly 265:17	predominantly	187:4 211:17	13:14 20:2 39:10	168:18 172:5
post 12:7 13:8 14:5	110:8	presume 55:20	40:13 61:18	producing 129:15
38:24,25 39:1,12	preference 12:6	92:24 98:7	127:2 151:4	142:5
56:8,10 65:4,5	13:6 33:23	presumption	155:18 168:16,22	products 119:16
191:19 193:21,24	109:11 166:20	65:23	170:1,20 171:20	profession 96:4
210:25 245:12	preferences	pretty 79:15	172:8 189:8,12	professional 59:17
postdating 149:13	221:22	108:15 116:11	193:6 215:18,19	64:25 128:17
postholder 56:25	preferred 141:11	134:20 228:3	261:13 275:2	162:21 163:13,15
postholders 56:7	166:21	249:13,14	277:19,24	profile 76:3,7,9,23
posts 29:25 30:2	preliminary 73:8	prevent 27:13	probationer 181:6	96:9
potential 50:2,5	118:12,13	226:3	probing 217:14	progressively
79:21 117:22	premature 1:5	prevented 6:6	problem 58:13	13:23
180:4 217:8	prematurely	preventing 26:22	91:20 97:3	prohibiting 87:20
234:20 235:7,10	257:10	prevents 97:4	161:14 162:6	prominence 101:8
234.20 235.7,10	premise 265:20	previous 35:1	184:5 214:23	prompted 153:8
potentially 55:8	preparation 79:21	36:15 39:20	problematic 59:8	241:3
58:14 69:18	prepare 107:1	52:12 72:19	problems 2:13	proper 181:9
71:12,14 97:16	prepared 21:5,12	102:5 110:14	59:5	271:23 272:8
221:5	50:6 75:15	117:16 120:21	procedures 181:18	properly 2:25
practical 60:10	145:14	147:23 159:12	182:19	95:18 228:6
126:23		160:5 167:5,9	proceed 3:18	
	preparing 68:10 129:15 142:5	· · · · · ·	166:14 272:21	proposition 225:8 266:15
practically 57:19		202:3,6,14,15		
practice 40:18 55:23 58:23	145:7	212:19 213:7,10	proceeded 147:18	propositions
	present 120:22	223:16	150:9	264:18
59:13,13 60:1,10	147:21	previously 9:9	proceeding 267:19	prose 185:13
		l	l	l

Epiq Europe Ltd +44 (0) 207 404 1400

www.epiqglobal.com Lower Ground Floor, 46 Chancery Lane casemanagers@epiqglobal.com London, WC2A 1JE

prosecuted 259:17 prosecution 9:7 prosecutions 65:11 174:14 267:18	159:12 161:19 168:18 169:19	qualified 96:3,5,11	164:13,19 166:16	47.24
prosecution 9:7 prosecutions 65:11 174:14	168:18 169:19	· I ···································	104:15.19 100:10	rate 47:24
prosecutions 65:11 174:14		Que 189:2	176:16 207:22	rattling 209:6
65:11 174:14	184:9 185:10	query 31:9 70:14	208:8 222:12	re 119:3
267.19	228:23 242:2	querying 73:2	227:4 229:23	re-examining
20/:10	267:18	quested 228:6	231:4 248:2	126:24
prospect 46:3	publicity 3:8	question 28:18	264:10 268:7,10	reach 140:22
	purchase 148:9,13	34:12 41:25 42:2	271:25 272:12	150:17 151:3,18
168:23	149:14	61:21 66:20 82:5	274:9 276:17	151:24
protected 139:14	purchased 148:18	82:23 92:10	278:10	reached 13:23
219:11,19 268:3	149:2	94:11 99:10	quick 186:20	150:25 151:13,16
268:4,5	purchasing 9:16	101:2 106:14	187:21 188:13	153:21
protection 24:22	155:6	107:24 108:5	210:14 253:2	reaching 226:3
24:24 25:6 56:20	purpose 4:16	113:9 123:23	quicker 125:11	reacting 67:24
59:5 98:22,22	11:25 18:19 32:5	124:13,14 125:13	154:20,21 186:11	reaction 14:17
99:3 138:12,14	64:10 95:11,14	131:15 134:17	187:16	116:14 162:14
138:21 139:10	95:19 147:6	140:10 144:24	quickly 78:25	185:3 192:6
219:1,3,12	258:19 262:5	155:20 157:11	81:22 106:21	read 24:7 45:3
-	purposes 24:5,12	162:13 164:5	139:16 210:21,24	67:19 96:5
257:1,14	24:19 25:1,8,15	171:5 175:2	211:6 256:10	116:10 117:1
prove 269:14,19	28:25 76:20	206:8 214:15	269:2	132:8 139:8
provide 14:16	96:15 138:16,23	216:3 220:25	quiet 152:12	151:23 155:10
19:11 24:22	139:5	224:24 227:14,15	quite 63:24 79:3	161:5,9,13
51:12,17 54:9	pursuant 25:24	228:7 229:12,20	84:24 97:8 99:6	162:15 163:1
85:15 107:6	274:25	232:7,9,11,25	114:18,25 148:3	166:12 180:1,2
126:5 127:5	pursue 201:11	235:2 239:13	167:21 179:17	180:25 181:7,13
138:12 202:24	264:22	240:12 247:9,23	184:13 245:12	182:3,8 183:14
243:2 262:10	pursuing 151:9	248:1 249:4,8	258:5	183:17 184:4
provided 4:15,20	201:16,20	250:21,23 251:6	quotation 233:21	186:10,25 189:21
	push 186:13,16	266:2 268:22	quote 219:20	194:9 199:19
	put 6:19 8:16 9:10	271:23 273:3	236:21	205:22 209:5
94:12 118:7	41:24 87:14	276:23 277:4		211:16 221:8
119:13,19 124:23	95:13 97:8	questioned 5:15	$\frac{\mathbf{R}}{\mathbf{D} + 100}$	229:17 233:20
125:4 135:25	101:12,12 121:15	16:15 78:22	R 180:3,4	251:13 260:2
144:3 152:15	142:18 147:2	108:25 116:23	radar 177:25	reading 76:24
154:18 158:13	156:10 186:24	129:2 135:22	raise 66:20 207:11	116:10 209:14
159:3 171:2	193:19 211:10	208:24 252:23	220:23 260:20	256:9
199:17 202:19	216:17 222:12	271:11 274:8	261:11	reads 67:21
231:7 243:3,5,6	224:25 227:15	276:22	raised 112:16	143:20 196:19,21
277:16	233:19 240:11	questioning 8:7,10	207:7 216:22	201:13 202:11
providing 20:23	244:18 246:16	10:14,19,22 11:9	260:13 262:13,25	real 273:3
51:16 120:11	263:21 264:20	12:3 13:4 15:8	263:8 264:17	realisation 35:17
-	puts 225:12	15:14 93:4 277:5	277:6	realise 33:2 39:20
-	putting 37:2 80:1	questions 11:23	raising 263:5	61:19 62:1
public 1:17 5:23	101:14 182:9	30:4 41:24 52:22	range 51:11 93:7,9	103:24 104:3
8:1,7 15:16	212:14 225:7	75:16,22 76:22	93:10 94:14,17	167:4,8,13
-	Pyle 205:9 251:2	78:4,14 80:1	125:1	realised 34:19
75:25 117:1,22	251:10,25	84:3 109:4	rank 18:11,15	35:15,15 40:1
145:15,18 147:3 -	0	128:25 129:18	22:25 38:3	51:15 102:3
147:7 155:24 -		135:5 142:14	ranks 29:24	104:3 127:10
	QC 224:17		rarely 137:4,7	

www.epiqglobal.com Lower Ground Floor, 46 Chancery Lane casemanagers@epiqglobal.com

London, WC2A 1JE

•				_
				Page 305
145.00 146.10			1. 75.00	
145:23 146:12	received 1:6 3:23	45:14 46:5 52:4	regarding 75:23	relative 62:8
222:17	7:1,17 10:4	54:15	158:24 203:20	133:14
realising 188:10	51:10 104:9	recycle 76:18	regards 63:12	release 266:15
realistic 46:3	150:14 151:4	redacted 261:22	84:20 196:13,14	released 152:2
101:9 190:14	158:25 191:2,4	262:5	196:15,23 197:14	265:10,15 266:5
really 20:13 26:3	192:21 201:2,19	redeploying 147:5	register 158:6	relevance 21:16
26:25 47:10 49:7	238:15,16 255:15	refer 21:14 38:6	registered 76:3,7	33:11 62:8 72:22
61:22 76:19	272:20	43:8 49:10 72:1	regular 60:9 128:1	79:22 80:22
106:18 112:10	receiving 257:8	74:17 181:22	254:4	82:22,24 83:18
115:15 128:16	reciprocity 250:7	reference 5:25	regularly 110:2	84:7 85:5 94:1
134:14 180:5	recognise 174:12	50:10 51:25	148:4	104:22,23 106:15
184:18 196:12	175:5	53:12 57:11	regulations 49:7	117:22,25 119:8
202:5,13 211:23	recollection 28:20	120:16 121:16	reissued 39:14	120:12 131:11
252:8 257:23	69:12 79:9 89:24	124:1 129:21	reiterate 137:8	158:15,25 160:16
reason 61:25 89:5	106:11 114:24	160:25 181:24	168:14 175:17	160:20 217:10
99:3 131:6 139:9	130:13 133:2	182:12 194:10	relate 36:15 116:4	238:5
148:15 205:19	191:6 200:15	198:10 260:2,7	266:2	relevant 2:14 5:1
206:16 210:2	recommendation	260:25 261:13	related 24:18	6:5,7 9:15 10:11
222:2 232:13	211:1	272:24	39:16 64:10	11:2,6,18 21:10
256:12	recommendations	references 10:5	131:18 176:8	21:15 35:21
reasonable 81:15	14:19 170:21	14:10 50:7	264:1	41:15 42:6 45:13
104:21 105:20	171:9 182:21	referred 2:14 3:21	relates 52:17	45:17 46:4 51:8
261:16	183:3,17 184:15	19:23 39:9 50:23	271:14	54:25 56:10
reasoning 170:3	185:14,22 186:5	69:8 70:7 130:21	relating 64:20	59:21 65:10,21
reasons 4:23 6:1	188:7 210:7	144:1	66:13 67:22	66:22 67:3,7
8:17 9:11 15:16	211:5 214:25	referring 43:22	68:13 109:20	69:18 70:14
34:25 52:15	reconsider 225:1	82:13 123:23	130:23 142:20	71:13 73:3 76:19
56:19 61:17,20	reconvene 19:17	180:10,14 187:22	145:5 261:8	79:17 80:23 81:7
66:15 87:7 89:2	reconvened 6:20	191:15 197:25	262:1	81:15 82:6,21
142:10 155:5	record 57:10 60:4	202:2 207:14	relation 54:11	83:2,12,17 85:18
156:9 183:23	60:6,15 64:17	250:3	63:10 65:11	85:25 86:16,21
184:13 185:21	99:25 172:15,18	refers 123:14,17	70:24 75:4,21	92:25 93:13 94:1
204:11 209:6,17	172:24 173:8,14	129:24 259:15	77:8 92:18 93:14	99:10 104:13
222:2 230:14	173:24 254:13	reflected 159:16	113:10 122:12	107:22 130:20
257:10 258:10	277:11	159:19,22 171:21	124:4 125:19	131:8,17,23
reassured 262:18	recorded 59:18,23	184:19	127:17,24 151:2	132:9,13,18,22
rebuild 157:1	59:24 65:1,25	reflecting 172:5	162:12 173:22	143:25 144:18
recall 20:15,17	138:3 168:20	reflection 19:4	174:13 175:6	145:1,21 146:14
31:2,10 47:1	recordings 25:11	162:22 187:1	216:9 223:16	155:9 175:6
49:8 63:13 89:18	139:1	261:3	231:1 243:4	206:24 220:4
111:4,11,22	records 64:6,7,19	refocus 119:3	244:21 261:7	223:9,12 229:3
116:9 134:24	142:8,20 174:22	refresh 205:22	262:14 263:19,20	240:4 273:5,16
165:9 189:16,18	175:22	refused 95:6	265:8,9 266:7,15	277:16 278:3,6
209:16,20 212:21	recover 34:13	reg 128:1	266:17 271:17	reliance 12:10
254:21,23 255:10	49:21 85:3	regard 7:10 20:24	275:19	13:12
258:2	105:23,24,25	21:12 75:24	relationship 250:9	relied 36:9
recalls 254:16	recovered 10:16	157:5 175:25	250:16 251:1,3	reluctance 243:9
recap 44:9	11:17 34:16	196:24	251:15 252:2,9	remain 12:7 13:8
receipt 117:10	36:23 37:20	regarded 218:12	252:10,17	27:18 43:1 69:2
L				

www.epiqglobal.com Lower C casemanagers@epiqglobal.com

2				
				Page 306
150 24 102 21	106.15	122 12 120 (1/0 10 171 11	. 10 4 10 5 15
158:24 193:21	replacement 26:15	133:13 139:6	162:19 171:11	retire 12:4 13:5,15
remained 57:8	replies 161:13	151:5 152:3,4	182:24 184:17,20	13:20,24 114:10
82:16 91:20	190:13	requests 4:12	206:18 211:4	114:12,13,18
remaining 45:25	reply 50:18 131:6	18:23 21:11,13	224:21 227:4	115:22,24 116:6
120:23	161:9,15 182:7	80:13 84:2 105:7	249:14 261:9	192:22 196:16
remains 4:15 7:2	186:6 203:22	124:25	respond 181:10	197:3 231:21
57:4 68:24 266:7	report 14:15,18	require 5:25 175:9	responded 123:3	232:14,15 233:5
remarks 3:15	75:14,20 76:24	220:7	responding 59:11	234:1,6 237:23
15:19	92:17 93:19	required 29:25	187:3,15	238:22 240:3
remember 20:11	143:14 159:8	43:4 97:7 132:16	response 27:7 47:6	retired 2:13 47:17
29:22 37:12	181:23 182:13	137:19 183:3,4	59:6 81:18 82:7	62:16 64:20
51:11 63:24,25	185:17,17 186:9	188:10	88:20 89:8 108:7	74:23 128:6
73:20 87:24 89:1	187:8 188:16	requirement 94:16	116:5 134:3,5	170:22 176:6
98:23 99:16	198:24 199:1,1	requirements	153:10 163:3	224:6
108:10 111:14,16	204:14,15 209:8	133:7 185:6	177:21 180:7	retirement 2:16
114:14,15 116:9	211:10 212:18	requires 60:25	189:3 202:7	4:24 6:2 11:16
116:13 117:24	213:12 214:8	174:21	262:12	15:18 65:5 75:3
162:5 164:8,9	reported 73:8	requiring 106:24	responsibilities	75:8 77:1 142:13
165:12,18 184:9	74:19 110:24	115:22,24	181:14	197:7 231:2
189:11,12,13,24	234:10	Rescue 143:16	responsibility	233:12 234:6,20
190:2,20 191:1,5	Reporting 242:14	research 59:3 60:2	158:19	retires 8:16 128:7
191:20 192:10	reports 10:5 50:6	170:17	responsible 95:16	219:6
201:18 209:8	53:13 68:2,22	researched 172:4	219:1 272:5	retiring 65:15
213:13 219:7	130:8 138:2	researchers	rest 115:5 116:10	191:8
223:4 231:14	185:18 223:22	170:14	155:10 226:9	retrieve 89:12,24
234:15 254:6	239:2 276:11	residence 68:17	255:25	146:3,16 155:8
255:4,13	repurposed 39:12	resign 196:16	restore 33:17	return 63:18 74:25
remind 49:13,17	173:21	238:17	34:22 35:4	returned 32:12
97:22 99:2	repurposing 56:24	resignation 130:12	restrict 127:18	62:23 63:15,18
120:17 138:9	95:24 103:2	resilience 167:23	restricted 25:14	63:23 90:19
166:13 183:25	request 34:21	241:21	65:18 139:4	91:15 92:11
224:22	42:13 51:10,14	resistance 26:22	result 3:22 25:4	reveal 14:1
reminded 49:4	59:7,11 79:6	resolution 116:19	31:9 39:20 44:22	revealed 42:21
93:8 99:6	93:6 104:6	235:15	90:12 119:8	reverence 106:10
reminder 5:24	113:23 114:6	resolved 13:15,19	121:2,10 138:19	review 20:10 73:6
remit 95:7	123:3,21,24	271:16	139:13 155:6	73:10 140:5
remove 12:17	129:12,14 130:14	resources 147:2	167:5 169:19	174:8
removed 91:8	130:22 131:16	184:18 209:23	211:25 243:14	reviewed 33:2
119:11	132:16 141:22,22	210:1,2	resulted 9:2 91:25	73:9,11 87:4
reopen 2:24	142:2,4 143:19	resourcing 154:16	resume 78:9	103:24 169:11,14
repeat 5:20 59:25	144:6 147:16	183:19	retain 134:22	169:16
60:21 106:7	150:14,25 152:11	respect 21:21	229:3,8,15	reviewing 118:7
222:13	153:9,10	26:23 90:4	retained 58:5	RGB 121:11
repeated 95:10	requested 4:9	112:24,25 121:21	62:12,12 114:7	RGP 1:7,15 2:2,6
repeatedly 122:22	34:23 43:16	137:6 142:23,25	128:9	3:23 4:4,7,12,17
250:3,5	69:22 152:23	143:4 146:24	retaining 98:19	6:4 7:2,4,5,6
repeating 220:2	158:13	148:22 149:18	126:1	8:16,18 9:10,17
replace 26:8 109:5	requesting 25:16	155:16,19 156:1	retention 219:16	10:4 11:5 15:5
replaced 46:1	88:3 104:8	158:6 161:22	275:18	18:12,21 19:22

Page 307

				Page 307
	a (a, 1a, a), a f			55 00 5 0 10
21:2,14,18 26:6	242:12,20,25	101:10,14 102:17	RPG 121:5	55:23 58:10
32:1,13 33:3,5,20	243:18 245:19	120:13 121:4	ruling 6:19 19:16	62:14 63:7 66:1
35:4 36:10 40:4	246:5 250:17	126:22 152:18	34:21 104:5	68:23 72:16 78:3
40:15 42:4 43:2	256:1,13 258:13	163:4 172:1	rumour 258:4,12	78:11 82:23
43:4,5 45:6	261:12 262:8	181:7 182:23	run 156:17 267:12	90:15 98:17
48:24 56:5,12	273:8 276:17	184:11 187:13	run-up 224:9	101:4 102:1
57:9 62:11 64:7	277:16	206:2 210:13	running 32:2	121:6 128:24
64:8,18,19,19	RGP's 5:11 6:16	212:2 216:2	262:21	129:2 134:11
65:9,13 66:9,11	8:14 11:3 15:12	240:21 243:24	ruthless 190:15	135:10,18,22,23
67:25 68:5 69:13	42:19 56:16	246:23 247:20,23		146:21 152:12
69:16 71:7 72:14	66:17 68:7 79:2	247:25 250:13	<u>S</u>	159:25 164:12
72:21 73:6 74:10	95:8,17 156:15	252:13 259:4	S 201:11	167:21 168:15
79:6,16 80:2,8	170:4 225:19	264:4 265:21	S 120:7	172:11 173:12
81:3 82:1,4,16,18	229:5,8	274:22 275:2,15	sacked 237:23	174:5,8 177:11
83:1 86:14,18	Rich 183:15	rightfully 96:10	Sacramento	177:16 187:18
89:9,11 90:4,5,12	190:13 201:10	rightly 99:6	158:18 194:11,18	196:3 209:4
90:20,24 91:2,3	Richard 123:9	243:21	194:24 195:8	244:18 260:4,8
91:11,17,19 92:1	135:20,21 196:19	rise 127:2 225:6	198:14 199:17,24	264:15 265:24
92:16,21 94:4,5	196:20 203:9,18	risk 59:16 140:3	215:2,10 233:18	266:2,11 272:10
94:25 95:6 96:9	Richardson 1:9,16	157:7,20 158:6	258:19,20	272:23 273:12,15
96:9,11,20 97:13	3:24 4:4,18 5:18	183:2 274:10	Sacramento's	273:20 276:22,23
103:25 104:2,10	7:2,11,18,19 8:21	risks 158:6 179:15	200:13	278:3,9,17
104:12 106:24	10:9,10,24 15:9	179:17 180:17	sad 256:23	sat 240:8
107:6,10,12,14	22:23 23:11	road 28:14 186:19	safe 212:25 213:4	Saturday 189:15
107:19 109:18	31:25 32:11 33:4	roadmap 214:24	safeguard 25:5	save 126:20
113:22 114:1,6	36:18 42:18,24	robustly 160:7	138:20	158:16 270:4
116:1 117:13	43:1 45:9 49:19	Rodriguez 74:19	Samantha 158:18	saved 72:2,7
119:10 121:3,8	50:4 51:6 70:5	75:6	194:10,24 196:20	saw 13:21 20:22
121:14 122:3,12	97:20 98:8 102:6	role 18:21 20:8	215:2,10 233:17	102:21 134:4
122:20 125:24	104:1 123:10	56:15 101:5	258:19,20	146:4 255:25
127:11 135:1	125:19 137:20	236:25	Samsung 8:25	saying 20:2 29:3
136:25 138:5	161:5 162:17,20	Romero 128:5	31:16 32:12,17	44:17 77:2 82:3
152:22 153:7,9	162:22 163:16	room 32:21 36:17	33:12,21 37:3	87:24 104:25
153:11 156:16,19	176:6 180:12	44:13	38:19 39:19	111:16 121:5
158:6,19 160:8	182:23 183:7	Roughly 151:2	44:10 45:24 46:9	132:7 145:22
160:14 166:17	221:12 223:8,10	round 163:7	46:13 71:11	151:22 152:13
167:23,24 168:17	227:23 254:9	164:16 190:8	97:21 100:4,8	172:23 182:10
169:8 173:13	Richardson's 9:3	237:18	101:19 102:11	191:15 195:4
174:12,24 175:5	10:16 15:13 23:8	route 152:18	109:5,8 166:6,9	214:13 233:10
176:1,7,11	43:7 52:4 55:7,9	156:10 275:7	166:18 167:18	239:14,17
181:21 186:2	63:8 66:13 224:6	routine 97:11	221:13	says 16:20 24:15
188:16 193:8	228:21	row 177:2 211:11	Samsungs 33:8	30:7,16 37:25
195:9 204:7	Richmond 110:25	Royal 24:1 144:20	34:1 98:11	38:9 43:15,15,19
207:5 217:25	right 17:13 28:8	146:23 147:2	166:23 221:20	51:6 56:3 76:21
220:4,4 221:1,3	36:5 41:9 50:24	159:2 169:17	Sanchez 9:8 243:8	88:2 90:3 121:7
224:5,13,18,25	54:14 56:21	170:11 171:13	Santos 1:20 3:16	138:18 142:3
225:15,18,25	57:17 65:6 79:24	194:16 204:15	3:18,20 12:14,18	161:4,7 163:1
226:7 228:20	81:14 96:14	241:18 249:21	12:25 16:15,16	164:24 177:17
229:1,2,14	97:17 98:3	268:3 277:10	16:17 39:22	178:8 180:1,24
227.1,2,17				
	<i>J</i> 7.17 <i>J</i> 0.5	200.5 277.10	41:21 53:20	170.0 100.1,24

Epiq Europe Ltd +44 (0) 207 404 1400 www.epiqglobal.com Lo casemanagers@epiqglobal.com

2	1 0			1
				Page 308
102.14 106.2	120.12 142.2	coloctively 57.10	152.0 17	170.9
183:14 186:2 187:4 190:11	129:12 142:2	selectively 57:19 sen 114:25	153:8,17	170:8 shared 27:8 49:5
	181:1,2,17	sen 114:25 send 50:17 126:15	sequence 203:2	
192:2,4 199:21 203:18 214:4	192:12,15 201:24 204:12 238:15	134:6	sergeant 35:25	145:19 231:16 shares 71:18 118:9
216:13 226:9	239:2 255:15	sends 186:8	43:10,14 Sergeant's 32:20	118:11 119:15
254:11 256:20	sector 40:14,18	senior 7:23 8:18	44:12	shed 4:22 6:8 15:4
269:1	secure 27:4 237:9	8:24 9:12,21	serial 32:13,18	176:16
scenario 163:22	secured 74:24	14:9 19:9 21:18	36:7,10,13	shocked 196:12,15
197:2 233:24	security 27:1	22:7,18,20 25:21	series 4:11	shocked 190.12,15 shook 185:15
scenes 258:7	see 2:19,22 12:7	27:13 29:3,12,21	serious 113:3	short 3:6,14 12:19
school 248:22	13:9 15:22 25:19	29:24 32:8 36:21	servants 26:21	12:22 78:6,8,16
scope 234:7	29:2,4 37:3 52:1	47:2,5 53:15	served 52:13	78:19 207:25,25
screen 16:25 17:18	52:6 97:19	54:16 55:23	server 62:12 71:17	208:1,3 235:2
52:6 129:5	106:19 107:2	62:20 63:23	71:22,22 99:7,21	248:1
211:11 233:20	147:12 151:1	87:18 104:10	99:21 219:13	shortcomings 58:2
scroll 129:9	173:23 177:16	109:17,18 111:5	223:22	shortly 128:8
sea 5:3 15:3,7	180:3 182:11	112:18 115:1,5	servers 57:9 68:4	264:23
70:20 129:25	192:5 194:24	112:18 115:1,5	71:16,25	show 12:12 13:13
143:5 160:19	192.5 194.24	137:1,3,11,19	Service 53:5 91:10	13:19 14:4 17:9
176:19 178:17	203:15 204:1	224:10,17 228:3	services 95:8	17:21 116:5
180:16 182:1	208:21 211:12,14	253:14 254:17	143:16 169:12,16	140:8 186:14
196:14 198:15,22	212:23 233:19	256:1 268:15	SEs 32:2	188:14 230:24
search 32:17 44:10	237:13 242:23	sense 22:12 47:10	set 2:11 5:21 24:2	231:19 232:16,21
59:6 70:21 73:14	256:19,23 274:24	59:19 105:17	32:1 33:9,15	236:21 237:25
73:25 99:13	277:4	110:20 115:1	34:1,3 42:8	248:5 249:10
104:21 119:5	seeing 59:14 190:6	149:23 150:2	50:22 55:18 59:4	252:9
132:16,20 145:4	195:1 248:14	248:6	61:6,12 73:5	showed 134:2
146:6 219:23	257:9	sensible 99:10	94:25 95:5,18	150:7 183:1
243:15 255:8	seek 15:20 41:1	135:8	96:24 110:14	244:19
258:14 275:1	168:10 243:10	sensibly 125:24	126:8 127:11	showing 76:8
276:12	seeking 2:17 4:6	sent 4:11 7:4,5,8	129:10 138:5	213:2
searches 73:24	151:15 185:5	7:19 13:7 21:14	181:9,19 205:7	shown 17:2,12,15
105:20	228:23 237:9	42:18 70:11	261:5	134:15
searching 94:9	243:9	75:21 113:16	sets 8:4 37:22	shows 14:21
120:11 146:17	seen 7:21 10:7	116:7 129:6	142:2	212:16 213:6,18
second 4:21 8:24	15:1 27:24 48:13	144:22 183:13	setting 32:5 39:6	231:12 233:2
11:2,24 14:6	51:7 55:25 60:1	191:24 196:6	95:23	251:12 255.2
22:5 39:17 52:20	152:20 158:11	204:8	settled 199:22	shut 37:14
76:1 131:2	175:8 181:23	sentence 24:15	seven 24:13 91:24	SI 118:23
141:25 142:1	197:11 222:15	45:1	107:15	sic 209:6 213:24
143:13 161:4	223:2 248:4	sentiment 111:23	seventeen 6:13	244:7
182:2 233:21	251:13 259:20	116:3	seventh 136:15	sick 158:4
262:14	SEG 194:19	separate 90:6	sexual 265:2,6,8	sight 55:24 212:18
second/third 23:9	195:11	276:24	shabby 181:3	Signal 218:22
secondary 21:1	seize 269:2	separation 260:24	shadow 257:3	signature 17:3,4
Secretary 252:3	seized 90:5,16	261:6	shambolic 181:5	136:6
Secretary's 120:8	243:18 274:25	September 3:24	shame 244:11	signatures 17:23
120:20	seizure 90:11	11:5 19:1 28:10	shape 27:15	18:2
section 76:2	268:22 275:18	29:8,20 124:24	share 116:12	signed 25:19
	l	l	1	1

Epiq Europe Ltd +44 (0) 207 404 1400

				Page 309
126 14 140 0	014160164		1001014001	0.0010.070.10
136:14 140:8	214:16 216:4	229:13 233:21	136:16 146:21	266:18 270:19
significance 271:5	217:11 218:4,7	small 31:22 78:5	149:16 150:6	SPEAKER 235:11
271:8	219:4,13,13	170:12	154:8 155:18	speaking 81:25
significant 10:2	221:7 222:7,9,19	smartphone	160:1,4 162:24	82:1,15
27:12 36:25	223:4,19 225:6	109:12	163:6 164:14,15	Speaks 231:11
158:14 271:1	225:21 226:4	smile 161:21	171:4 175:1,3,4	special 6:22 99:8
silent 58:6 60:25	230:6,9 231:5	SMS 130:18	177:11 185:24	261:25 262:16
91:20	234:14 235:4,5,8	143:23 218:23	187:18 195:14,19	specialist 217:24
SIM 35:22 44:1,2	235:10,13,16	SMSs 133:21,22	195:21 198:1,2	specific 7:10 42:8
similar 47:25 71:5	236:8,24 238:13	SMT 7:24 8:23	199:11,12 203:10	123:11,21 129:18
82:23 95:2	239:12 240:13,16	9:13 28:11 31:9	203:13 204:6,8	142:14 157:2
similarly 165:18	241:18 242:24	33:6 46:7,11,17	206:2,4,7 213:24	198:3 259:7
simplest 50:15	244:5 245:16	46:18,22 48:10	214:1 215:8	specifically 20:18
simply 33:23	247:10,13,16,22	49:11,22 70:12	226:24 227:14	21:14 84:11
58:10 80:21	248:1,9,15	104:11 106:4,5	229:11 236:17	108:10 120:15
85:18 111:24	250:24,24 251:9	106:10 107:8,19	244:19,23,24	123:18 124:4
118:1 131:8	252:20,22 254:4	108:8	251:6 254:12	specificity 124:7
132:2 139:23	254:6,15 257:6	social 244:21	256:6,8 260:1,6	specify 129:18
156:4 157:18	257:21 258:4	sole 6:18	261:9,17,19	spectrum 271:5
159:2 215:23	261:2 264:13,21	solicitor's 268:18	263:19 266:13	speculating 55:12
single 17:14,15	265:3,11 267:5,9	solicitors 72:19	276:8,9	66:19 69:25
82:19 83:1,2	267:13,20,24	84:4 126:15	sort 20:22 55:11	speed 179:14
sinister 162:9,11	268:7,14,17,21	solution 202:9	105:13 178:24	218:19
184:16	269:4,7,9,15,18	somebody 22:19	244:22 274:6	spoke 187:5 204:4
SIO 122:8 150:17	270:3,5,8,11,16	57:22 92:24	275:12	215:1 238:17
156:13	270:18 271:4,8	180:2 262:21,22	sorted 273:2	spoken 79:1 80:2
sir 3:20 6:19 12:18	274:14,18,23	262:22 275:1	sorts 127:3	82:21 94:4
12:25 15:10,19	275:10 276:7,9	somebody's	sought 125:1	197:23 204:2
15:25 16:4,8	276:20 277:18,21	126:16	143:18 243:14	257:17
41:10 53:18	277:25 278:13	somewhat 272:14	sound 126:21	spreading 258:5
61:20 62:5 63:5	sister 226:24	son 149:25	275:24	springs 234:23
78:21,22,24	sister's 149:19	soon 103:13 151:6	sounds 40:19	stack 243:5
81:19 84:3 89:16	sit 223:22 225:11	152:16 184:2	source 217:9	staff 3:5 26:21
96:19 103:10,15	237:18	191:10 255:10	218:13 271:1	53:16 56:4,9,11
106:2 107:25	situation 35:1	SOP 180:25 181:1	sources 71:12	64:15 184:3
112:21 116:22	58:21 95:20	sorrow 182:4	Spanish 161:5,9	stage 6:19 20:15
125:22 127:5	194:1 276:6,13	sorry 12:23 17:9	161:12,13 162:15	29:12,16 40:24
128:24 135:10,18	six 5:10 24:13	17:17,19 38:16	163:1 178:19	43:24 46:3 54:11
164:15 166:1	117:2 178:11	44:25 50:25	179:8,21 180:1,2	133:4 146:2,8
170:25 171:20	sixth 17:20 31:20	51:24 53:24	180:25 181:6,13	168:8 169:13
172:2,14 173:3	105:12 120:14	58:16 64:3 80:20	182:3,8 183:14	193:15,20 272:12
188:3 205:10,11	136:9,10,16,17	81:15,19 84:10	183:17 184:4	stake 238:21
207:4 208:6,12	skip 69:5 75:14	91:16,22 99:1	186:10 194:8	stand 109:2
208:12,16,17,20	186:7	103:18,22 114:2	196:19,21 201:14	standard 181:18
208:23,23,24	skipping 68:9	114:22 115:23	202:11 211:15	181:20,21
209:1,24 210:6	slightly 20:22	118:20 121:6	speak 89:10 102:5	standards 221:4
210:15,19 211:1	127:21 179:8	124:23 126:7	186:11 187:16	start 12:25 140:23
211:24 212:22	265:20	128:22,22 130:7	189:9 194:9,23	182:18,19 186:20
213:7,21 214:3,6	slowly 129:9	132:6 134:5	204:4,21 248:12	190:8 209:2

www.epiqglobal.com Low casemanagers@epiqglobal.com

				D 010
				Page 310
214.22 25 272.17	STI 19:3 73:22	143:25 174:22	212:15 214:9	sure 16.22 10.5
214:22,25 273:17 started 169:21	74:12,12 84:18	143:25 174:22 175:12		sure 16:23 19:5 27:21 28:7 34:11
	94:13 104:9	submission 103:9	suggestion 112:15 112:22 113:2	
186:19 188:10,22 194:22 255:11	117:16,19 118:25			35:14 37:10,16 40:1 41:12 57:18
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	229:10,16 submissions 4:25	162:14,15 185:4 195:5 202:21	
starting 67:20 208:22 256:15	120:7,25 121:5 121:12 151:24	5:22 8:2 225:9	275:9	60:9 62:7 63:20
	152:2			66:5,25 67:9
starts 24:20		225:13 253:6	suggests 107:20	68:25 70:1 71:3
state 18:11 112:9	STI's 147:16	submit 14:7,20	120:22 199:20 250:3	71:19,20 72:10
207:1 238:1,18	stick 140:25	154:19 202:18		79:4 92:4 96:5
252:1	Stipe 161:15	submits 14:12,23	summarise 117:3	96:16 100:22
stated 19:16 55:17	162:16 163:2,10	submitted 164:21	summary 220:2	102:1,8 108:15
statement 17:20	Stipendiary	228:25	229:25	109:1 128:15
30:6,7 31:20	163:11	submitting 12:11	summer 119:22	129:1 133:6,22
34:14 40:6 41:22	stock 69:3	13:13 154:12	Sunday 189:15	134:23 135:3
41:23 43:13,23	stood 14:14	subparagraph	superintendent	151:15 152:15
50:1 52:14 54:23	stop 120:2 201:16	30:16	10:10 18:17	154:11 170:25
57:24 58:22	201:20	subparagraphs	22:24 31:25	171:12 172:17
63:17 72:10,14	stopped 37:12	129:19 164:23	43:16 49:19,20	202:6 211:12
74:16 86:6 88:21	250:5	subsequent 74:6	58:4 154:14	212:7 219:20
92:2,15 94:24	stopping 161:25	110:13	superintendents	220:13 227:2
103:22,23 105:12	storage 68:19	subsequentially	49:13,17	253:3 259:8
120:15 121:12	store 229:3,8	85:4 95:23 103:5	supervised 19:17	270:6
122:10 129:16	stored 33:18	subsequently 72:6	supervising 20:8	surely 94:8 100:17
131:2,3 132:4	stories 251:4,11	88:25 115:13	23:1	102:22 105:16
136:4,5,10,11	storing 126:1	117:19 119:1,15	supplemental 2:22	surprise 158:22
142:6 145:7,12	straight 58:15	162:15 192:24	support 20:24	258:10
145:14 154:25	65:17 102:23	substantial 11:21	32:22 36:16 39:7	surprised 258:1,3
155:3,12 164:21	178:21 180:20	substantive 12:1	40:7,10 44:14	258:9 275:25
176:11 199:17	straightforward	successful 157:5	56:4,11 64:15	surprising 9:4
211:21 217:15	56:20 266:14	sudden 160:25	89:11 95:25	251:1,7,23
255:21 260:1,10	strategic 160:10	161:1,2,6	147:3 150:25	surrender 61:16
statements 5:10	160:12 183:2	suddenly 240:24	159:6,9 168:6	survey 184:3
16:19,23 17:1,5	streets 182:4	241:4,9	170:15 190:9	surveys 184:19
17:18,24 19:16	strength 50:9 51:1	suffered 139:12	191:14,16,18,22	suspect 172:3
20:21,25 21:6,12	stress 97:9 163:19	suffering 184:17	193:5 200:5,7	217:25 267:11
73:16 83:21 92:3	236:24	suffice 138:1	202:19,24 203:10	suspects 155:6
92:17 117:2	strict 3:13 163:14	suggest 23:22	203:19 211:19	suspicion 265:12
118:21 135:25	strictly 242:18	60:17 99:18	212:20 213:2,7	266:16
136:3,19 238:8	strong 190:12	107:17 108:2	229:4 246:19	swap 97:14 100:3
Station 262:17	256:23	113:13 199:7,13	247:1,17 257:13	102:23
status 271:19	struggle 182:6	199:23 210:3	supported 40:11	swapped 89:6
stay 193:23 234:21	stuck 36:10	245:1,3 267:6,10	115:3 244:20	swear 180:1
staying 191:19	stuff 127:11 220:2	suggested 72:6	suppose 19:20	swiping 102:18
Steel 205:10,11	sub 129:23	77:4 122:14	48:2 55:5 65:17	switched 272:7
Steele 207:4	sub-paragraphs	268:23	234:23	sworn 16:14 90:22
step 15:11 16:21	142:15 143:13	suggesting 88:14	supposedly 224:18	90:23 135:16
steps 23:24 58:17	subject 7:2 66:6	88:17,18 95:15	supposes 86:22	242:12
65:13 66:11,21	93:4 129:17	121:13 132:12	suppress 229:6	sympathised
176:1,7 186:15	130:20 142:6	162:11 208:13,14	supressed 229:18	196:23
		l	l	l

-	± •			1
				Page 311
sympathy 228:18	talked 198:21	142:17	265:21 274:3	80:10 81:6 85:23
266:18	talking 131:12	term 91:22	276:21 278:11,13	86:5,22 87:2,8
system 32:3 55:4	156:21 179:9	terms 5:25 13:17	278:15,18	88:8 89:14,14
59:24 60:4,12	180:4 186:8	23:23 39:22	thanks 190:13	90:1 94:2,20
65:2 68:8 183:20	222:20 238:20	40:12 50:2,16	192:2 203:22	96:14 98:24
184:18	239:1,20 240:6	58:23 60:11,22	theories 118:2	101:4 107:17,24
104.10	251:8 255:11	71:7 73:25 80:23	theory 174:16	110:1,19 111:23
Т	targeted 9:25	81:3 83:19 85:12	thereabouts	116:8,8,11,18,24
t 236:12	tasked 75:1 172:4	110:11 111:15	208:11	117:8,23 118:11
table 8:4 200:8	te 189:2	112:9,19 115:9	they'd 120:19	118:15 119:1,6,9
237:18	team 4:6,11 7:24	112:5,15 113:5	thing 68:15 78:25	120:19,21,25
tagged 30:14,17	19:11,22 24:8	133:7 146:19	152:19 184:11	123:22 124:20
take 11:21 12:18	25:21 27:8 46:21	191:18 192:9	218:1 224:18	125:12 126:1,23
13:21 15:18	52:24 62:21 73:5	191:18 192.9	268:24	126:25 128:13
16:11,18 17:1	78:11 87:19	216:8 237:10		131:1,12 132:7
37:21 41:21	111:5 115:6	250:2 272:24	things 38:23 41:10	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
43:11 50:20			81:20 95:2,13	133:7,24 141:24
52:19 65:13	118:6,19 120:7	275:19 Tamitany 178-7	107:5 108:21,22	146:21 150:22
66:11 68:24 69:3	120:24 130:14	Territory 178:7	120:6 157:25	151:19 155:21
72:16 73:18	141:5 163:14	test 72:22 83:18	181:5 186:13,16	157:11 158:13
75:18 77:10	187:8 256:1,25	117:25	186:21 189:5	159:11 160:4,10
87:13 90:8 119:3	257:1	tests 20:4	190:7,8 212:1,19	160:24 161:18
	tear 46:2	text 161:5,9,13	213:3 234:17	162:3,22 163:4
121:8 124:11	technical 68:4	162:15 163:1	237:18,19 241:20	163:12 166:19,21
135:24 136:5	89:11 96:22,22	180:1,2,25 181:6	244:20,22 266:17	168:1,12,14
138:4 140:7,10	156:7 166:25	181:13 182:3,8	268:1	170:7,10 171:6
141:20 145:10	technically 91:22	183:14,17 184:4	think 12:16,20,25	171:23,25 172:20
169:3,21 174:10	technician 56:12	186:10 189:4	16:21 17:12,15	173:9 175:16
176:1,7 179:5,17	technology 95:4	194:9 196:19,21	18:9 20:18,21	179:25 181:10
179:22 180:22	156:17 218:17	200:25 201:2,14	21:4,16,21,23	182:15,16,21
188:12 194:24	telephone 43:3	202:11 211:16	22:21 23:14 26:1	183:1 185:7,18
195:15,22 198:2	100:18 147:21	236:18 262:18	26:9,24 27:20,24	186:23 188:18,18
200:19	189:14 227:6	263:17	28:10 29:21 30:1	188:22 189:18
taken 9:21 19:6	236:13 267:22	texted 256:18	30:12 34:14 35:9	191:9 192:10,12
26:2 30:12 36:22	269:3	thank 3:4,18 12:23	36:13 38:21 39:9	192:22,24 193:3
53:4,4 56:23	telephones 31:23	17:17 18:5 44:23	40:5,13 41:4,10	196:3 198:4
58:18 59:18 60:8	31:24 45:6	49:21 78:15	41:11 44:17	199:21 201:18
64:15 66:21	268:23 270:15	81:12 103:10	45:22 46:6,16	202:2 204:9
73:18 74:5,8	271:7	108:23 116:22	48:23 49:5 50:14	206:13 209:16
77:7,25 102:17	tell 153:22 169:15	122:17 125:22,22	51:20 53:2 55:3	210:5 211:19,20
110:5,15 127:25	215:22 240:2	135:4,6,10,18	57:3 58:9,21	212:3,24 213:18
128:15 144:24	telling 103:12	136:9,15,23	59:19 60:5,11,15	213:24 214:3
164:25 186:15	191:7,21 245:25	154:10 174:2	62:3,5 63:14,22	217:16,17 221:1
217:18 243:13	tempers 199:22	204:25 206:11	63:22,24 64:1,22	221:3,7 222:7
275:22	temptation 229:18	207:21 208:16	65:7,20 66:1	224:4 225:24,25
takes 54:3 55:11	tempted 229:6	211:13 216:2	69:3 70:13,16	226:21 228:8,11
137:23,24	ten 233:22 278:16	217:4 235:18	71:14,15 72:9,16	231:7 232:18
talk 59:17 163:13	tended 47:7	250:24 252:20,22	72:17 73:3,7	235:7,25 236:19
178:9 190:6,18	tenth 272:21	260:5 264:11,12	74:3,7,9 77:20	236:22 238:13
	100.00	064 04 065 0 01	70 10 70 15 00 6	220 17 244 25
194:14 196:18 256:22	tenure 129:20	264:24 265:8,21	78:10 79:15 80:6	239:17 244:25

www.epiqglobal.com Lo casemanagers@epiqglobal.com

				Page 312
245:12,16 247:12	22:11 24:9 30:20	237:19 254:4	tranches 119:14	trust 213:2
248:9,25 249:9	35:21,25 36:14	timescales 123:4	transcript 183:12	truth 2:17 18:4
255:14,21 258:25	36:19,20 37:1	timetable 3:13	209:5	136:2 217:11
261:20 263:4	39:11,18 40:9	tiptoe 81:20	transfer 40:21	228:23
265:6,19,24	42:14,25 44:22	today 41:19 45:7	98:15 167:17	try 34:22 37:8
266:11 268:23	48:2,11,17 51:15	46:24 96:17	226:15 227:25	55:13 81:22
271:22 272:10,11	52:19,24 55:25	173:12,16 175:18	230:4	141:9 157:1
272:23 273:1,12	57:2 60:21 61:10	186:12 209:19	transferred 28:12	186:15,22 188:23
274:13 275:8	67:8 68:6 69:6,9	217:7 231:23	65:2	194:14 195:15
thinking 21:4	69:13 75:8 76:4	236:19 252:2	transferring 96:25	200:18 234:21
41:13 105:8	77:21 78:19	265:25 271:24	transition 8:24 9:6	249:20 250:17
147:7 246:15	83:15,24 84:1	272:20 275:2	9:10 31:16 33:21	263:11 267:14,17
256:21	87:9 94:21 98:5	told 9:1 12:15	39:19 40:21 46:8	267:21 270:17
thinks 259:15	99:1 100:23	16:25 29:20	46:13 71:10	trying 36:6 88:9
third 9:14 60:21	102:13 104:7,15	115:11,16 162:1	139:9 140:19	99:19 101:12
155:4 190:5	105:4,20 107:21	162:2,8 182:7	166:5,17 167:3,4	104:25 105:4
255:21 260:11	108:24 109:21	184:10 186:12	167:8,16	124:16 127:11,14
thorough 73:14	110:2 113:19	187:17 188:20	translation 161:12	127:18 128:13
94:6,7	116:10 117:17	189:7,16 196:22	182:8	155:17 188:6
thought 19:2	118:4,5,22	197:1 215:4,12	transmitted 27:6	200:7,8,25
21:10 36:1 85:17	120:18 123:20	215:23 221:25	transmitting 60:18	204:23 240:11
86:15 92:24	132:22 133:13	233:23 234:1,11	transparency	250:20 251:14,18
93:25,25 98:9	135:8 144:20	237:8 246:5	242:14	251:20 256:6
102:1 105:20	146:9,14,22	247:15 249:23	transparent 85:14	Tuesday 262:18
116:18 126:19	151:2 154:16	261:24 262:20	91:7	Tunbridge 23:9
151:11 154:6	158:20 160:7	266:6 271:24	transpire 41:18	49:20
155:17 163:6	163:21 167:4,16	273:25	transpired 112:3	Tunbridge's 63:8
174:3,5 178:10	176:22 177:7,10	tomorrow 22:19	transported 90:17	turn 46:7 49:9,25
193:13,23 196:24	177:11,12 178:10	201:12 278:16,17	transposed 60:20	52:3 55:14 67:17
197:21 200:3,6	179:25 181:19,25	tools 105:22,24	travel 114:17,22	106:19 115:17
204:20 208:21	183:10,22,25	top 161:4 182:2	114:23 127:25	116:21 158:8
210:20 241:9	186:1 188:13,19	190:5 192:4	travesty 202:5,13	164:13,15,19
249:15 256:4	192:23 193:1,24	199:21 203:15	treatment 256:2	176:14 190:8
266:14 276:3	194:16 195:3	211:15 235:17	trial 228:9	264:10
thousands 270:23	197:8 202:22	256:7 257:18	Triay 129:5,8	turned 1:4 43:25
thread 55:9	203:4 208:1,5	topic 11:4 12:11	136:5 198:4	44:3 115:19
229:12	220:2 223:13	13:13 14:6,15	211:11 235:18	turning 30:4 74:13
three 3:12 5:13	234:7 235:2,3	74:13 134:1	tried 35:19,23	87:11 255:14
8:12 49:13,17	241:1,13 242:3	164:7 248:1	84:24 117:8	turns 126:19
106:3 122:2	245:4,5,14	264:14,25 272:9	141:17,18 217:7	twice 226:11
157:1 199:20	256:21 257:5,11	topics 5:2	217:13 249:19	two 5:20 9:9 10:21
222:3	258:4 260:3	total 5:10	250:16 252:14,16	20:22 24:7 25:18
thrown 270:6	268:6 273:23	totally 206:22	252:16	32:18 36:17
Thursday 192:13	timely 80:9 123:2	267:4,6	true 17:7,24 49:2	38:15,16 39:8
278:21	125:8	touch 201:13	79:4 90:7 136:19	41:10 42:9 43:8
tick 183:5	times 23:12 72:15	traffic 27:5 28:14	164:18 184:17	44:11,20 47:9
time 2:7 3:6,14	76:15 163:15	train 276:3	242:19	49:18 52:14
11:2,22 12:9	176:12 189:22	training 157:2	trump 174:24	56:19,23 61:22
13:10 19:11	223:19 236:15	trampling 273:17	trumped 175:14	68:8 76:14 79:19
		1	1	1

$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	5	1 2			1
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $					Page 313
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	02.0 17.09.12	ultimatal 12:02	134.10 131.10	02.16.06.20	uga ful 70:22
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		-			
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $					
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	· ·				
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			· · · · ·
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $					
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		-	-		-
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	,		
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $,				
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			0		
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $					
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		-		-	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $,		utinost 102.17
twofold 4:17 167:22,24 168:22 82:11 99:17 254:15 val 183:21 184:21 U 169:11,18 170:7 108:9,12 114:12 untenable 194:4 valid 209:17 210:2 UFD 30:13 171:9 172:8 116:1 139:11 197:4,22 204:13 variations 149:7 UK 59:3,14 60:2 182:17,21 183:5 263:22 266:4 unused 144:14 various 5:2 35:19 126:2 170:6,13 184:15 254:9 62:3 80:7 83:19 169:10 vertriloquists 171:2 172:6,9 258:13 268:2 195:16 227:14 updated 22:15 vertriloquists 23:12 25:20 unaetaken ungertaken ups 99:25 vertiloquists 24:23 23:12 25:20 unaevailable 38:7 150:23 156:13 ungertaken ups 99:25 vertim 134:20 23:12 25:20 unaevailable 38:7 undertaken ups 99:25 victims 157:15 179:18 33:3 36:19 40:6 72:23 undertaken ups 27:23 victims 157:15 138:25 33:3 36:19 40:6 44:21 45:8 49:16 72:23 undertaken ups 99:25 victims 157:15 138:25 <t< td=""><td></td><td>,</td><td>,</td><td></td><td>V</td></t<>		,	,		V
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$					val 183:21 184:21
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		-			
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	U	,	-		variances 149:7
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	UFD 30:13				
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $,		
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	UK 59:3,14 60:2	· ·			38:23 61:16
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	-	,		-	191:23 262:13
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	126:2 170:6,13			-	ventriloquists
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	170:16,19 171:19				
277:23un 248:18undertake 173:20uploaded 278:4vertain 134:20Ullger 1:10,16 4:369:17 71:8 72:3150:23 156:13ups 99:25vessel 178:110:24 15:8 20:1475:12 95:25170:18257:23vessel 178:111:25 32:6,1175:12 95:25170:18undertakenups 99:25vessel 178:133:3 6:19 40:6uncomfortableunderway 93:18underway 93:18usage 6:3 47:24vitim 32:21 36:1644:21 45:8 49:1672:23uncomfortableuncommon 22:5underway 93:18use 21:19 23:17vitim 157:1544:21 45:8 49:1672:23unconcerned268:825:7,13 26:22view 8:1 11:1189:11 97:20 98:9unconditionalunfair 256:2,522:2,13 26:10view 8:1 11:11102:6 103:25202:19,24 246:1924:16,21 27:836:18 39:16,1674:11 83:9 85:13123:9 124:5247:1,17 257:12138:11 168:441:15 42:24,2590:11 101:9128:7 135:9,20undergoingunfounded 118:2244:21 55:18 64:6133:8,15 158:24155:21 123:7undergoingunimportant23:2087:20 109:9,11,31,66138:15 158:24159:22 11 237:7underling 217:14unique 22:22126:25 127:17183:725:124 274:16209:6 213:24underling 217:14unique 22:22126:25 127:17183:725:124 274:16179:23 208:2581:9 86:11 87:636:16 42:19241:14 260:16visa-4vis 263:1209:6 213:2493:610:2336:16 42:19241:14 260:16visa-4vis 263:1 <td< td=""><td>171:21 172:6,9</td><td></td><td></td><td>-</td><td>verify 92:19</td></td<>	171:21 172:6,9			-	verify 92:19
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	277:23			-	vertain 134:20
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Ullger 1:10,16 4:3			-	vessel 178:1
10:24 15:8 20:14 23:12 25:2075:12 95:25 unavailable 38:7 38:10,12170:18 undertook 200:2 underway 93:18 underway 93:18 underway 93:18 underway 93:12257:23 upwards 29:24 usage 6:3 47:24Viber 218:22 victim 32:21 36:16 44:14 157:1633:3 36:19 40:6 44:21 45:8 49:16 67:18 68:20 71:4 uncomcerned 28:20,21 88:6,22 22:315mcomcorned unconditional 22:5 unconditional 22:315uncethical 267:4,6 268:8 268:8 268:8 268:8 25:7,13 26:2224:24 24:4,10,17,25 268:8 25:7,13 26:22victim 32:21 36:16 44:14 157:15 241:24102:6 103:25 123:9 124:5 123:9 124:5202:19,24 246:19 202:19,24 246:1924:16,21 27:8 24:16,21 27:8 138:11 168:436:18 39:16,16 41:15 42:24,25 41:15 42:24,25 90:11 101:974:11 83:9 85:13 19:12 40:9 73:13 104:19 128:15135:21,23,24 155:7 174:10 209:6 213:24 209:6 213:24 209:6 213:24 22:11 237:7 240:6 244:9 24:16 224:9underegoing 217:14 236:20 236:20 236:20 236:20 236:20 236:20 236:20 236:20 24:11 122:8,10 236:20 236:20 236:20 236:20 236:20 236:20 24:25 127:17 251:24 274:16 251:24 274:16 251:24 274:16 251:24 274:16 236:20 236:20 236:20 24:14 265:125 127:17 183:7 252:21 253:5 251:9 81:9 86:11 87:6 251:9 81:9 86:11 87:6 251:18 54:5 70:2 240:6 244:9 252:21 253:5 251:9 81:9 86:11 87:6 251:18 54:5 70:2Vibal 22:22 241:14 260:16 241:14 1260:16Vibal 28:15 241:14 260:16 241:14 1260:16Ullger's 9:2,19111:24 124:10,15 241:124 260:1636:16 42:19 241:14 260:16visa-vis 263:1 visited 262:19	5:17 9:14 10:13			-	179:18
31:25 32:6,11 33:3 36:19 40:6 44:21 45:8 49:16 67:18 68:20 71:4 80:24 82:13 83:20,21 88:6,22 223:15and reverse 93:12 undo 65:3 uncomcerned 268:8 unfair 256:2,5 268:8 25:7,13 26:22 28:22,25 32:6,19 22:20,21 35:21 36:18 39:16,1644:14 157:16 victims 157:15 241:24 video 25:10 27:5 138:25 view 8:1 11:11 19:12 40:9 73:13 102:6 103:25 123:9 124:5 123:9 124:5 135:21,23,24 157:10 111:24 122:10 122:8,10 146:23 146:23 146:23 146:23 146:23 146:23 146:23 146:24 122:8,10 146:25 146:25 146:25 146:25 146:23 146:23 146:24 122:8,10 146:24 122:8,10 146:25 146:25 146:25 146:25 146:25 146:25 146:25 146:25 146:25 146:25 146:26 133:8,15 158:24 146:27 146:27 146:27 146:28 146:27 146:28 146:27 146:27 146:27 146:27 146:27 146:27 146:27 146:27 146:27 146:27 146:28 146:27 146:27 146:27 146:27 146:27 146:27 146:27 146:28 146:27 146:28 146:27 146:27 146:28 146:27 146:28 146:28 146:28 146:29 146:29 146:29 146:29 146:29 146:29 146:21 146:21 146:21 146:21 146:21 146:21 146:21 146:21 146:21 146:21 146:21 146:21 146:21 146:21 146:21 146:21 146:21 146:	10:24 15:8 20:14	75:12 95:25	170:18	-	Viber 218:22
31:25 32:6,11 33:3 36:19 40:6 44:21 45:8 49:16 67:18 68:20 71:4 80:24 82:13 83:20,21 88:6,22 29:11 97:20 98:9 102:6 103:25 123:9 124:5 123:9 124:5 123:1 118 135:21,23,24 115:24 157:10 123:10 123:11 168:4 135:21,23,24 135:21 157:10 123:11 168:4 146:23 146:23 146:23 146:23 146:23 146:23 146:23 146:23 146:23 146:23 146:23 146:23 146:23 146:23 146:24:14 146:14 42:23 146:23 146:24:14 146:14 42:23 146:23 146:24:14 146:14 42:23 146:23 146:24:14 146:14 42:23 146:23 146:24:14 146:14 42:23 146:23 146:24:14 146:14 42:23 146:24:14 125:13 146:23 146:24:14 125:24 157:14 146:23 146:23 146:14 42:23 146:14 42:23 146:24:14 125:14 137:1138:15,22 140:2141:11,15 146:24 146:14 42:23 146:14 42:23 146:24:14 125:14 137:1138:15,22 140:2141:11,15 146:24 146:14 42:23 140:2141:11,15 146:24 146:14 42:23 140:2141:11,15 157:14 146:14 42:23 140:2141:11,15 157:14 146:14 42:23 140:2141:11,15 157:14 146:14 42:23 140:	23:12 25:20	unavailable 38:7	undertook 200:2	upwards 29:24	victim 32:21 36:16
44:21 45:8 49:1672:23undo 65:3use 21:19 23:17241:2467:18 68:20 71:4uncommon 22:5undo 65:3unethical 267:4,624:4,10,17,25video 25:10 27:580:24 82:13unconcerned268:825:7,13 26:22138:25138:2583:20,21 88:6,22223:15unfortunately32:20,21 35:2119:12 40:9 73:13102:6 103:25202:19,24 246:1924:16,21 27:836:18 39:16,1674:11 83:9 85:13123:9 124:5247:1,17 257:12138:11 168:441:15 42:24,2590:11 101:9128:7 135:9,20undeniably 11:18241:2043:2 44:11,12,13104:19 128:15135:21,23,24under-resourcedunfounded 118:2244:21 55:18 64:6133:8,15 158:24151:24 152:1157:10undergoing122:8,1064:7,8,9,11,13,16180:19 229:5155:7 174:10undergoingunimportant64:18 75:2,7,11251:24 274:16179:23 208:25146:23236:2087:20 109:9,14views 101:13209:6 213:24underling 217:14unique 22:22126:25 127:17183:7240:6 244:945:18 54:5 70:2Uniquely 105:23139:3,21,22viral 27:9240:6 244:945:18 54:5 70:281:9 86:11 87:636:16 42:19241:14 260:16vis-à-vis 263:1274:10 275:1490:15 93:6 100:236:16 42:19241:14 260:16vis-à-vis 263:1Ullger's 9:2,19111:24 124:10,1544:14,15 53:7262:6 270:14visited 262:19	31:25 32:6,11	38:10,12	underway 93:18	-	44:14 157:16
67:18 68:20 71:4 80:24 82:13uncommon 22:5 unconcerned 223:15unethical 267:4,6 268:824:4,10,17,25 24:4,10,17,25video 25:10 27:5 138:2589:11 97:20 98:9 102:6 103:25202:19,24 246:19 202:19,24 246:19unfortunately 24:16,21 27:828:22,25 32:6,19 32:20,21 35:21view 8:1 11:11 19:12 40:9 73:13123:9 124:5 128:7 135:9,20 128:7 135:21,23,24247:1,17 257:12 247:1,17 257:12undeniably 11:18 241:2024:16,21 27:8 36:18 39:16,1636:18 39:16,16 74:11 83:9 85:13151:24 152:1 155:7 174:10 179:23 208:25157:10 146:23under-resourced 155:7 174:10undergoing 146:23133:8,15 158:24 236:20162:21:1 237:7 240:6 244:9 252:21 253:5 274:10 275:14underling 217:14 90:15 93:6 100:2uninportant 36:16 42:1964:18 75:2,7,11 24:12.2views 101:13 138:15,22111:24 124:10,1536:16 42:19 44:14,15 53:7262:6 270:14visted 262:19		uncomfortable	undisclosed 93:12	58:2 64:4	
80:24 82:13 83:20,21 88:6,22 102:6 103:25unconcerned 223:15 unconditional 202:19,24 246:19268:8 268:8 unfair 256:2,5 247:1,17 257:12268:8 25:7,13 26:22 28:22,25 32:6,19 32:20,21 35:21138:25 view 8:1 11:11 19:12 40:9 73:13102:6 103:25 123:9 124:5202:19,24 246:19 247:1,17 257:1224:16,21 27:8 138:11 168:436:18 39:16,16 41:15 42:24,2574:11 83:9 85:13 90:11 101:9128:7 135:9,20 128:7 135:9,20undeniably 11:18 under-resourced241:20 241:2043:2 44:11,12,13 44:21 55:18 64:6104:19 128:15 133:8,15 158:24 133:8,15 158:24151:24 152:1 155:7 174:10 179:23 208:25157:10 146:23unfounded 118:22 236:20 unimportant 236:2044:21 55:18 64:6 64:18 75:2,7,11 251:24 274:16133:8,15 158:24 251:24 274:16209:6 213:24 240:6 244:9 240:6 244:945:18 54:5 70:2 45:18 54:5 70:2unique 22:22 Uniquely 105:23 unit 32:22,23126:25 127:17 139:3,21,22views 101:13 183:7 viral 27:9 virtually 74:3 vist 81:8Ullger's 9:2,19111:24 124:10,1536:16 42:19 44:14,15 53:7241:14 260:16 26:6 270:14visited 262:19		72:23	undo 65:3	use 21:19 23:17	
83:20,21 88:6,22 89:11 97:20 98:9223:15 unconditional 202:19,24 246:19unfair 256:2,5 unfair 256:2,528:22,25 32:6,19 32:20,21 35:21view 8:1 11:11 19:12 40:9 73:13102:6 103:25 123:9 124:5202:19,24 246:19 247:1,17 257:1224:16,21 27:8 138:11 168:436:18 39:16,16 41:15 42:24,2574:11 83:9 85:13 90:11 101:9128:7 135:9,20 128:7 135:9,20underiably 11:18 under-resourcedunder-resourced 155:7 174:10138:11 168:4 241:2041:15 42:24,25 43:2 44:11,12,1390:11 101:9 104:19 128:15135:21,23,24 155:7 174:10undergoing 146:23unforunded 118:22 122:8,1044:21 55:18 64:6 64:18 75:2,7,11 236:20133:8,15 158:24 251:24 274:16179:23 208:25 240:6 244:9146:23 45:18 54:5 70:2underling 217:14 understand 4:14 40:14 48:2387:20 109:9,14 126:25 127:17views 101:13 183:7240:6 244:9 240:6 244:945:18 54:5 70:2 81:9 86:11 87:6 90:15 93:6 100:2Uniquely 105:23 36:16 42:19139:3,21,22 241:14 260:16 241:14 260:16viewa 26:21 visited 262:19Ullger's 9:2,19111:24 124:10,1536:16 42:19 44:14,15 53:7241:14 260:16 262:6 270:14visited 262:19		uncommon 22:5	unethical 267:4,6	24:4,10,17,25	
89:11 97:20 98:9 102:6 103:25 123:9 124:5unconditional 202:19,24 246:19 247:1,17 257:12unfortunately 24:16,21 27:832:20,21 35:21 36:18 39:16,1619:12 40:9 73:13 74:11 83:9 85:13 90:11 101:9128:7 135:9,20 128:7 135:21,23,24 151:24 152:1undeniably 11:18 155:7 174:10 179:23 208:25under-resourced 157:10138:11 168:4 241:2041:15 42:24,25 43:2 44:11,12,1390:11 101:9 104:19 128:15155:7 174:10 179:23 208:25undergoing 146:23 underling 217:14 240:6 244:9underling 217:14 45:18 54:5 70:2 81:9 86:11 87:6 90:15 93:6 100:2unique 22:22 126:25 127:17126:25 127:17 183:7 139:3,21,22183:7 viral 27:9 virtually 74:3 vis 181:8 vis 181:8 vis 181:8 vis 181:8 vis 181:8 visited 262:19		unconcerned	268:8	25:7,13 26:22	
102:6 103:25 123:9 124:5202:19,24 246:19 247:1,17 257:1224:16,21 27:8 138:11 168:436:18 39:16,16 41:15 42:24,2574:11 83:9 85:13 90:11 101:9128:7 135:9,20 135:21,23,24undeniably 11:18 under-resourced24:16,21 27:8 138:11 168:436:18 39:16,16 41:15 42:24,2574:11 83:9 85:13 90:11 101:9135:21,23,24 151:24 152:1under-resourced 157:10under-resourced 157:10unfounded 118:22 122:8,1044:21 55:18 64:6 64:18 75:2,7,11133:8,15 158:24 180:19 229:5155:7 174:10 179:23 208:25undergoing 146:23146:23 underling 217:14 understand 4:14unimportant 236:2064:18 75:2,7,11 87:20 109:9,14180:19 229:5 251:24 274:16240:6 244:9 240:6 244:945:18 54:5 70:2 90:15 93:6 100:2Uniquely 105:23 36:16 42:19139:3,21,22 241:14 260:16viral 27:9 virtually 74:3 vis 181:8Ullger's 9:2,1911:24 124:10,1544:14,15 53:7 44:14,15 53:7262:6 270:14visited 262:19		223:15	unfair 256:2,5	28:22,25 32:6,19	
123:9124:5247:1,17257:12138:11168:441:1542:24,2590:1190:11104:19128:15128:7135:21,23,24undeniably11:18241:2043:244:2155:1864:6133:8,15158:24151:24152:1157:10undergoing122:8,1064:7,8,9,11,13,16180:19229:5155:7174:10undergoing146:23236:2087:20109:9,14180:19229:5209:6213:24underling217:14unique22:22126:25127:17183:7240:6244:945:1854:570:2Uniquely105:23139:3,21,22virually74:3252:21253:581:986:1187:6139:3,21,22virually74:3vis181:8Ullger's90:1593:6100:236:1642:19241:14260:16vis263:1Ullger's92:2,19111:24124:10,1544:14,1553:7262:6270:14visited262:19		unconditional	v	32:20,21 35:21	
128:7 135:9,20 135:21,23,24 151:24 152:1undeniably 11:18 under-resourced 157:10241:20 unfounded 118:22 122:8,1043:2 44:11,12,13 44:21 55:18 64:6 64:7,8,9,11,13,16104:19 128:15 133:8,15 158:24155:7 174:10 179:23 208:25undergoing 146:23unimportant 236:2064:7,8,9,11,13,16 64:18 75:2,7,11100:113 133:8,15 158:24209:6 213:24 222:11 237:7underling 217:14 understand 4:14unique 22:22 40:6 244:9126:25 127:17 137:1 138:15,22183:7 viral 27:9 virtually 74:3240:6 244:9 252:21 253:581:9 86:11 87:6 90:15 93:6 100:2Uniquely 105:23 36:16 42:19139:3,21,22 241:14 260:16viswa 263:1 visited 262:19Ullger's 9:2,19111:24 124:10,1544:14,15 53:7262:6 270:14visited 262:19		202:19,24 246:19	24:16,21 27:8	36:18 39:16,16	
135:21,23,24 151:24 152:1 155:7 174:10under-resourced 155:7 174:10unfounded 118:22 122:8,1044:21 55:18 64:6 64:7,8,9,11,13,16133:8,15 158:24 180:19 229:5155:7 174:10 179:23 208:25undergoing 146:23unimportant 236:2064:18 75:2,7,11 87:20 109:9,14180:19 229:5 251:24 274:16209:6 213:24 222:11 237:7 240:6 244:9 240:6 244:9underling 217:14 45:18 54:5 70:2unique 22:22 40:14 48:23136:15 158:24 122:8,10240:6 244:9 252:21 253:5 274:10 275:1445:18 54:5 70:2 90:15 93:6 100:2Uniquely 105:23 36:16 42:19137:1 138:15,22 139:3,21,22viral 27:9 virtually 74:3Ullger's 9:2,19111:24 124:10,1544:14,15 53:7262:6 270:14visited 262:19		247:1,17 257:12	138:11 168:4	41:15 42:24,25	
151:24 152:1157:10122:8,1064:7,8,9,11,13,16180:19 229:5155:7 174:10146:23146:23236:2087:20 109:9,14126:25 127:17183:7209:6 213:24146:23146:23236:2087:20 109:9,14183:7183:7222:11 237:7146 :14 40:14 48:23137:1 138:15,22183:7183:7240:6 244:945:18 54:5 70:2Uniquely 105:23139:3,21,22virually 74:3252:21 253:581:9 86:11 87:6unit 32:22,23140:2 141:11,15vis 181:8274:10 275:1490:15 93:6 100:236:16 42:19241:14 260:16vis -à-vis 263:1Ullger's 9:2,19111:24 124:10,1544:14,15 53:7262:6 270:14visited 262:19	,				
155:7 174:10undergoingunimportant64:18 75:2,7,11251:24 274:16179:23 208:25146:23unimportant236:2087:20 109:9,14183:7209:6 213:24underling 217:14unique 22:22126:25 127:17183:7222:11 237:7understand 4:1440:14 48:23137:1 138:15,22viral 27:9240:6 244:945:18 54:5 70:2Uniquely 105:23139:3,21,22virtually 74:3252:21 253:581:9 86:11 87:6unit 32:22,23140:2 141:11,15vis 181:8274:10 275:1490:15 93:6 100:236:16 42:19241:14 260:16vis-à-vis 263:1Ullger's 9:2,19111:24 124:10,1544:14,15 53:7262:6 270:14visited 262:19					,
179:23 208:25 209:6 213:24 222:11 237:7 240:6 244:9 252:21 253:5 274:10 275:14146:23 146:23 understand 4:14 45:18 54:5 70:2 90:15 93:6 100:2aninportant 236:20 unique 22:22 40:14 48:23 Uniquely 105:23 137:1 138:15,22 139:3,21,22views 101:13 183:7 viral 27:9 viral 27:9 virtually 74:3 vis 181:8 vis 181:8 vis 181:8209:6 213:24 222:11 237:7 240:6 244:9 240:6 244:9understand 4:14 45:18 54:5 70:2 81:9 86:11 87:6 90:15 93:6 100:2unique 22:22 40:14 48:23 137:1 138:15,22 139:3,21,22views 101:13 183:7 viral 27:9 virtually 74:3 vis 181:8 241:14 260:16Ullger's 9:2,19111:24 124:10,1544:14,15 53:7 44:14,15 53:7262:6 270:14views 263:1 visited 262:19			,		
209:6 213:24 222:11 237:7 240:6 244:9 252:21 253:5underling 217:14 understand 4:14 45:18 54:5 70:2unique 22:22 40:14 48:23 Uniquely 105:23 unit 32:22,23126:25 127:17 137:1 138:15,22 139:3,21,22183:7 viral 27:9 virtually 74:3 vis 181:8252:21 253:5 274:10 275:1481:9 86:11 87:6 90:15 93:6 100:2Uniquely 105:23 36:16 42:19139:3,21,22 241:14 260:16viral 27:9 virtually 74:3 vis 181:8Ullger's 9:2,19111:24 124:10,1544:14,15 53:7 44:14,15 53:7262:6 270:14visited 262:19		8 8	-		
222:11 237:7 240:6 244:9 252:21 253:5 274:10 275:14understand 4:14 45:18 54:5 70:2 90:15 93:6 100:240:14 48:23 137:1 138:15,22 139:3,21,22viral 27:9 virtually 74:3 vis 181:8Uniquely 105:23 140:2 141:11,1540:14 48:23 139:3,21,22137:1 138:15,22 virtually 74:3 vis 181:8Uniquely 105:23 274:10 275:1490:15 93:6 100:2 111:24 124:10,1536:16 42:19 44:14,15 53:7241:14 260:16 262:6 270:14visited 262:19				· · · · · ·	
240:6 244:945:18 54:5 70:2Uniquely 105:23139:3,21,22virtually 74:3252:21 253:581:9 86:11 87:6unit 32:22,23140:2 141:11,15vis 181:8274:10 275:1490:15 93:6 100:236:16 42:19241:14 260:16vis-à-vis 263:1Ullger's 9:2,19111:24 124:10,1544:14,15 53:7262:6 270:14visited 262:19			L 1		
252:21 253:5 274:10 275:1481:9 86:11 87:6 90:15 93:6 100:2unit 32:22,23 36:16 42:19140:2 141:11,15 241:14 260:16vis 181:8 vis-à-vis 263:1 visited 262:19Ullger's 9:2,19111:24 124:10,1544:14,15 53:7262:6 270:14visited 262:19					
274:10 275:1490:15 93:6 100:236:16 42:19241:14 260:16vis-à-vis 263:1 Ullger's 9:2,19111:24 124:10,1544:14,15 53:7262:6 270:14visited 262:19					•
Ullger's 9:2,19 111:24 124:10,15 44:14,15 53:7 262:6 270:14 visited 262:19			· · ·		
Vital 269:16,22	0	111:24 124:10,15	44:14,15 53:7	262:6 270:14	
	17:18 34:20				vitai 209:10,22

Epiq Europe Ltd +44 (0) 207 404 1400 www.epiqglobal.com Lower casemanagers@epiqglobal.com

$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$:14 221:2 4:25 01:19 56:13 62:4 5 6,23 2:13,16 0:4,11 4:13
195:22 196:5,7 197:16 209:5 234:16243:23 warrant 7:21 70:21 243:15246:4 welcome 203:19 well-drafted 228:686:23 87:6 88:15 90:7,10,12 91:15wider 195:1 Williams 20 wipe 56:16 1volume 117:12 volumes 72:21 voluminous 117:2 ulnerable 115:1770:21 243:15 255:9 256:14well-written 116:16 well-written 116:1698:14,19 99:4,8 99:14 100:2,4,9 100:13,18 101:5173:20 wipe 39:13wider 195:1 well-written voluminous 117:2 ulnerable 115:17255:9 256:14 258:14 275:1,17 275:19 276:12well-written 116:16 went 27:9 84:22 129:7 147:999:14 100:2,4,9 100:13,18 101:5wider 195:1 Williams 20 wipe 56:16 1 	221:2 4:25 01:19 56:13 62:4 5 6,23 2:13,16 0:4,11 k:13
195:22 196:5,7 197:16 209:5 234:16243:23 warrant 7:21 70:21 243:15246:4 welcome 203:19 well-drafted 228:686:23 87:6 88:15 90:7,10,12 91:15wider 195:1 Williams 20 wipe 56:16 1volume 117:12 volumes 72:21 voluminous 117:2 volumerable 115:17255:9 256:14 258:14 275:1,17 275:19 276:12well-written 116:16 went 27:9 84:22 129:7 147:998:14,19 99:4,8 99:14 100:2,4,9 100:13,18 101:5173:20 wipe 39:13 58:8 60:25wider 195:1WWWWW133:17 160:14 183:5 215:9152:18 166:11 169:1 184:12104:2 113:8,11 123:11,15,18,19172:25 220	4:25 01:19 56:13 62:4 5 6,23 2:13,16 0:4,11 4:13
234:16 volume 117:1270:21 243:15 255:9 256:14well-drafted 228:6 98:14,19 99:4,892:12 97:15 98:14,19 99:4,8wipe 56:16 1 173:20volumes 72:21 voluminous 117:2 volumerable 115:17258:14 275:1,17 275:19 276:12116:16 went 27:9 84:2299:14 100:2,4,9 100:13,18 101:5wipe 39:13 58:8 60:25wipe 39:13 129:7 147:9100:13,18 101:5 101:17,20,2358:8 60:25 62:15,24,2wasn't 21:7 28:18 41:5 51:18 53:2149:24 150:1 152:18 166:11102:4,21 103:17 104:2 113:8,1163:13 66:11 170:24 172W Wagner 108:25183:5 215:9169:1 184:12123:11,15,18,19172:25 220	01:19 56:13 62:4 5 6,23 2:13,16 0:4,11 4:13
volume 117:12 volumes 72:21255:9 256:14 258:14 275:1,17 275:19 276:12well-written 116:1698:14,19 99:4,8 99:14 100:2,4,9173:20 wiped 39:13voluminous 117:2 vulnerable 115:17275:19 276:12 275:19 276:12went 27:9 84:22 129:7 147:9100:13,18 101:5 101:17,20,2358:8 60:25 62:15,24,2wasn't 21:7 28:18 41:5 51:18 53:2149:24 150:1 152:18 166:11102:4,21 103:17 104:2 113:8,1163:13 66:14 173:20W133:17 160:14 183:5 215:9152:18 166:11 169:1 184:12104:2 113:8,11 123:11,15,18,19172:25 220	56:13 62:4 5 6,23 2:13,16 0:4,11 4:13
volume 117:12 volumes 72:21255:9 256:14 258:14 275:1,17 275:19 276:12well-written 116:1698:14,19 99:4,8 99:14 100:2,4,9173:20 wiped 39:13voluminous 117:2 vulnerable 115:17275:19 276:12 275:19 276:12went 27:9 84:22 129:7 147:9100:13,18 101:5 101:17,20,2358:8 60:25 62:15,24,2wasn't 21:7 28:18 41:5 51:18 53:2149:24 150:1 152:18 166:11102:4,21 103:17 104:2 113:8,1163:13 66:14 173:20W Wagner 108:25183:5 215:9169:1 184:12123:11,15,18,19172:25 220	56:13 62:4 5 6,23 2:13,16 0:4,11 4:13
voluminous 117:2 vulnerable 115:17275:19 276:12 wasn't 21:7 28:18 41:5 51:18 53:2 133:17 160:14 183:5 215:9went 27:9 84:22 129:7 147:9 149:24 150:1 152:18 166:11 104:2 113:8,11 104:2 113:8,11 123:11,15,18,1958:8 60:25 62:15,24,2 62:15,24,2 63:13 66:1 172:25 220	62:4 5 6,23 2:13,16 0:4,11 4:13
vulnerable 115:17wasn't 21:7 28:18129:7 147:9101:17,20,2362:15,24,2	5 6,23 2:13,16 0:4,11 4:13
W41:5 51:18 53:2149:24 150:1102:4,21 103:1763:13 66:1Wagner 108:25133:17 160:14152:18 166:11104:2 113:8,11170:24 172169:1 184:12123:11,15,18,19172:25 220	6,23 2:13,16 0:4,11 4:13
W133:17 160:14152:18 166:11104:2 113:8,11170:24 172Wagner 108:25183:5 215:9169:1 184:12123:11,15,18,19172:25 220	2:13,16 0:4,11 1:13
Wagner 108:25 133:5 215:9 152:18 100:11 104:2 113:8,11 170:24 172 123:11,15,18,19 172:25 220):4,11 :13
	:13
112:14 113:1,7 223:9.12 246:13 200:10 124:2.20 130:23 220:13 224	
113:18 252:23,24 250:12 259:7 weren't 58:11 131:4,7,17 wiping 8:14	57:3
253:2,4 254:11 waste 220:1 Whatsapp 1:8 4:2 132:17,21,25 103:1,1,7 1	74:21
254:16 257:14 watching 135:19 4:9 8:23 9:2,5,13 133:5,14 137:8,9 175:10	
260:5,9 264:9 waters 178:7,19,25 9:15 10:1,23 174:17 175:19 wish 58:18 1	21:7
waiting 118:16179:9,2111:4 14:8,16217:9,18,19166:12	
walk 23:12,13 way 12:21 19:7 20:10 21:15,22 218:2,16,24 wishes 5:23	192:9
want 5:20 17:10 22:8,21 27:14 25:12 27:7 28:11 220:5,8,19 withdraw 24	7:23
24:6 64:5 67:19 31:3 46:18 47:17 33:11,16,18 34:4 222:16,21,23 witness 5:10	15:20
72:12 74:17 57:15 61:12 34:23 38:4 41:13 223:1,16 224:1,5 19:15 20:2	0,25
75:18 77:10 62:15 83:19 49:5 50:18 57:21 224:14 226:10 31:20 40:6	41:22
91:21 99:18 85:16 89:7 91:4 60:17 62:9 65:19 227:25 229:24 41:23 43:1	3
101:2 109:16 96:17 102:8,9 65:24 69:10,14 230:8,12,20,23 52:13 72:14	0,14
114:9 127:4 114:14 117:4 70:12 80:4,18 231:18 232:15,21 74:16 83:2	1 86:6
128:21 156:9 122:5 133:18 82:20 83:3 88:5 236:20,24 238:12 88:21 92:2	,14,17
164:5,23 176:18 139:25 163:6 100:25 104:12 243:5 244:19 94:24 103:	11,15
180:3 187:1 173:25 178:16 105:2 107:7 249:10 271:6 103:22,23	117:2
190:9 194:5 190:10 193:7,19 112:16 113:4,16 274:12 276:4,10 120:15 124	:17
196:18 197:16 216:2 220:2 123:8 130:18 278:3,6 131:2,3 13.1	5:19
205:4 224:22 270:10 272:21 132:8 133:10 whatsoever 148:20 136:4,9,10	
233: 7 235:21 Wayne 181:13 137:24 139:2 162:9 173:7,10 145:12 154	
244:7 247:13 ways 20:23 35:19 143:23 145:2,4 198:23 227:8 155:2 176:	11
249:8 250:15 117:9 170:19 145:19 146:5 246:25 238:8 246:	16
256:9 273:3,17 we're 104:19 147:16,19 148:17 wheels 211:20,25 255:21 259	:25
wanted 12:7 13:9 212:3 237:3 150:10 167:12 whilst 82:16 91:23 278:13,15	
75:23 107:2 we've 59:9 104:24 168:15 205:5,16 240:8 245:18 witnesses 1:2	
114:13 152:14 133:21 156:18 207:2,11 217:5 whistle-blowers 5:13 6:14 7	'9:20
182:18 183:9 185:16 218:22 226:1 260:15,19 117:11	
184:3 186:21 wear 46:2 196:3 248:2 252:8 whistleblower wonder 207:	
193:20,21,23 wearing 196:2 253:16,21 258:24 118:21 wondered 26	
195:15 197:3,5 website 5:23 8:2 259:1 260:21 whistleblowers wondering 3	
202:12 205:13 96:10 261:4,19 263:25 121:21 122:2,2 word 41:15	
216:5 222:1 Wednesday 1:1 264:3 207:9 143:22 255	
225:1 232:14 week 180:24 219:7 Whatsapps 10:15 whistleblowing word-by-wo	rd
233:5,25 234:1,4 weekend 47:4 21:8 33:5 41:20 73:16 212:4	
236:6 240:3,8 105:12 57:5 66:5,8,12 white 256:20 worded 57:1	
249:20 261:11 weekly 47:14 70:19 71:10 74:9 wide 243:2 words 23:19	86:8
wanting 192:5	

				· · · · · · · · ·
				Page 315
		5 0 1 1 0 2 00 1		
89:5 124:6	worth 4:8 20:21	78:14,23 80:1	11:13 201:23	206:7 207:6
161:11 184:4	50:15	86:3 96:3 106:8	1106 233:20	19th 192:13
213:21,23 231:24	worthies 212:19	116:24 121:17	1108 260:9	2
work 7:4,5,15 8:8	worthy 219:11,18	129:6,7 131:11	1115 261:20	$\frac{2}{224:476:2124:24}$
8:19,25 9:12	wouldn't 47:20	135:4 136:24	12 7:20 8:20 30:7	143:18 262:14
24:4,11,18 25:1,8	57:17 65:19	137:3,9 138:7	31:20 33:10 42:3	2,733 164:24 165:1
25:16 26:17	133:20 158:2,3	139:18 141:24	42:15 44:6 70:6	20 12:13 13:15
27:18 28:12	168:1,1 169:15	166:9,19 168:5	75:9,13 94:13	76:5,13 77:18
29:11,13,15,19	173:7 188:17	169:22 170:3,7	109:21 110:11	104:14 112:24
30:5,20,25 31:5,5	190:9 193:10	172:22 174:3	111:2,7,10	125:5 155:12
31:15 36:8 42:10	204:19 220:14,17	175:18 182:23	115:12 166:10	179:9 190:4
43:7 46:10 55:19	222:17,18	183:6 189:19	191:12 245:24	231:1
64:19 71:9,24,24	writing 84:18	193:3 217:7,17	254:17 255:10,25	2001 96:13,17
71:25 76:18	107:15 126:6,9	219:20 221:13	256:14	2001 90.15,17 201 172:2
84:20 87:21 88:3	written 4:25 5:21	222:12 223:7	12.25 104:17	201 172:2 2015 107:8
138:16,23 139:6	8:1 253:6	227:24	120 60:15	2015 107:8 2016 209:8
139:9 140:14,23	wrong 154:8 163:6	Yeats' 154:25	13 14:5 33:11 38:1	2016 209:8 2017 93:15,16
141:3,12 145:17	164:15 172:21	222:8	112:24 201:25	2017 93:13,10 2018 76:5
145:24 156:1,13	200:10 206:22	Yeats's 9:3 166:24	13.01 135:12	2019 7:25 24:2
156:14,19 157:9	212:3 244:16	yields 45:16	13th 77:17	25:21 29:8,20
164:14,20 165:6	245:1,3,10 247:3	Z	14 129:8 141:20	45:25 55:17,19
165:10,16,16	247:20 249:21		230:10	138:6 149:20,24
166:2,3 170:15	265:22	0	14.01 135:14	210:8
171:10,14 182:20	wrongly 126:19	0701 76:17	14.30 160:2	2019/2020 40:9
183:4,8 184:20	wrote 4:6 32:9	09.49 177:4	15 54:23 67:18	2019 /2020 40.9 2020 4:24 7:14,20
188:9 199:2	118:25 212:20		85:24 86:2 98:1	7:25 9:1,17
201:4 220:4	Wyan 10:10 18:25	1	98:10 112:24	13:24 14:5 18:15
221:13 222:24	19:9,22 20:14	1 30:14 42:16 56:8	179:9 180:23	18:18 30:15,15
224:3,6 226:12	43:16 60:13	83:10 85:20 93:9	189:1 192:12,15	31:17,22 32:9
228:22 249:19	80:24 82:13	93:13,20 94:9,15	196:10 204:12	33:6 34:5 37:13
250:17	83:20,22 118:14	104:13 129:19	221:13 238:15	42:15,15,16,16
work-based 25:24	122:6 123:9	142:5 144:1	239:2 255:15	43:7 44:3 45:9
work-issued 56:13	154:14	164:6 185:25	15.31 208:2	46:12 47:25 48:5
work-related	X	186:2 278:25	15.40 208:10	48:22 52:3 58:5
27:17,22		1(c)(ii) 130:4,5	15.43 208:4	67:5 68:18 75:9
worked 13:16 49:3	Y	10 68:18 179:9	1546 76:15	75:12,13 76:11
183:16 195:20	yeah 122:1 222:22	196:10 278:21	1547 76:11	76:15,17 83:11
252:17 268:12	year 2:7 119:16	10.00 1:2 278:21	15th 77:17	83:11 84:1,4,22
working 26:17	120:1 169:20	10.40 26:7	16 30:8 74:17 86:2	93:13,20 96:23
28:2,3 48:15,25	184:9 239:19	10.49 177:6,11	16.00 184:23	100:7 104:2,13
71:2 186:3	252:18	1028 179:22	16.40 208:10	104:14 107:9
213:16	years 22:7 157:1	1031 177:3	16.50 270:13	109:6,21,22
world 190:15 worried 111:23	189:20 252:19	1081 199:16	16.57 278:20 17 42:1 11 43:0	142:13 143:5,14
112:4,6 191:9	268:13,13	11 33:10	17 42:1,11 43:9 74:18 206:4,5	145:25 148:1,9
worry 191:13	years' 197:8 234:7	11.1 64:5	18 24:1 42:12	148:13,23 149:3
201:14,14	Yeats 1:14 5:8	11.10 51:4	138:6 145:11,13	149:13,14,16,17
worse 250:5	15:21,24 16:3,7	11.2 64:5,14	210:1	155:7 164:1
	, , ,		I Z.I.U. I	1001110111
$w_{0}r_{0}t_{1}^{2}$	16:14.16 18:5.9	11.43 78:18		183.14 185.25
worst 202:10	16:14,16 18:5,9 30:18 75:22 78:4	11.43 78:18 11.55 78:20	19 29:15 57:24	183:14 185:25 190:4 201:10,22

Page 316

				Page 510
203:5 204:9	76:11,15 158:9	222:3,4	256:7	
203 .5 204.9 2020 105:9	2300 74:7	222.3,7	9.05 179:25	
2020 105.9 2021 36:11,12	24 84:4 124:22,23	5	9.49 177:9	
147:20 148:24	192:4 241:22	5 5:4 13:24 52:3,7	900,000 73:9	
149:4 150:5,11	2497 235:17	52:8 143:19	118:15	
224:7	25 42:3	155:2,4 181:24	902 30:21	
2022 4:10 10:25	25 1 2521 187:19	231:1	921 138:10	
19:1 20:12 35:11	26 32:25 52:9	50 29:21 45:6	924 140:7	
67:12,19 72:18	103:21,23 166:10	94:23	95 171:20	
73:1 79:5 80:17	203:4 204:9	51 94:22,23 222:3	986 182:1	
81:10 82:7 84:12	27 34:17 52:9	52 76:1	998 211:11	
87:5 93:7 94:16	84:18 103:21		<i>>></i> 021111	
103:19 104:9,16	123:12 258:2	6		
105:10 117:15	272 43:11	6 5:4 177:19,22		
123:25 129:8	28 103:21 104:7	178:16 201:22		
141:21 145:15,23	116:7 134:10	207:1 212:10		
146:11 150:2,14	147:13 183:13	260:1,9		
183:1 210:7	192:7 256:19	600 118:16		
230:11	257:2	600,000 73:10		
2023 119:1,13,22	29 5:5 12:6,13 13:7	62 120:15		
121:4 122:15	13:14 45:2 134:3	63 120:16,16		
206:6,7 207:6	134:13 200:22	64 199:19		
264:25	231:20 232:12	66 199:19,20		
2024 3:24,25,25	29th 196:6 238:21	6th 86:5 94:24		
4:10 11:5 30:8		103:23		
38:18,20 74:18	3	7		
75:15 80:17 93:8	3 5:3 43:15 147:20	7 200:22		
113:23 117:6	150:5,11 177:22	7007 52:5		
122:19 123:12	3.2 56:3	747 190:3		
124:24 125:5	30 7:13 30:15	751 191:25		
147:13 151:8	42:16 52:10	756 192:4 194:7		
153:8,16,17	83:11 85:21 93:9	765 201:9		
154:5 155:13	94:15	786 201:22		
158:14 159:1	30,000 73:11			
2025 1:1 34:20	118:18	8		
38:1 87:1,8	300 26:20 83:9	8 5:5 74:18 94:24		
104:4 105:16	85:20 302 147:12	143:5		
278:21	302 147.12 30th 235:19	8.05 179:24		
20th 51:13	31 45:15 50:1	833 51:24		
21 192:20,21	201:9	873 158:8		
255:18	319 168:24	879 57:23		
22 7:14 52:9 55:16	519 100.24	881 49:25		
111:8 114:10	4	883 51:24		
123:14,24 124:21 130:14 164:1	4 5:21 43:14,19	9		
255:18	52:14 76:16			
235 .18 2205 212:18	93:19 143:3	9 1:1 42:15 51:11		
2203 212.18 22nd 115:14	4:45 189:2	94:14 107:8		
23 49:10 52:9	42 126:1	109:21 125:3 253:7 255:20,25		
20 19:10 52.9	48 75:14 221:19	255.7 255:20,25		
	1	l		l

Epiq Europe Ltd +44 (0) 207 404 1400