1		1	Q. And at the time when you received this
2	(Thursday, 10 April 2025)	2	request you had access to your personal
3	(10.00)	3	mobile phone and your WhatsApp messages?
4	THE CHAIRMAN: Yes?	4	A. I did, yes.
5	MR SANTOS: Good morning, sir. Our next	5	Q. Did you go through your texts and
6	witness is ex-Commissioner, Ian McGrail.	6	WhatsApps in responding to the request for
7	THE CHAIRMAN: Yes.	7	disclosure?
8	IAN McGRAIL	8	A. Not in response to the disclosure. What I
9	Questioned by MR SANTOS	9	accessed, my phones and other data forwards
10	Q. Good morning, Mr McGrail.	10	as I was developing my evidence in terms of
11	A. Good morning.	11	structuring my responses and so forth. So, it
12	Q. First of all, like with the other witnesses I	12	wasn't specifically in relation to disclosure.
13	would like to take you to the statements that	13	And so the data that I was relating to in my
14	you have filed since the last hearing. Can I	14	evidence was the one that I disclosed.
15	ask that you go through each one and for	15	Q. But were you aware that you had to
16	each one confirm that it is your evidence, that	16	disclose any documents that were unhelpful,
17	it is your signature on the final page and that	17	or that you may have deemed unhelpful or
18	the contents of those statements are true to	18	provided that they were relevant?
19	the best of your knowledge, information and	19	A. I am aware, of course I am aware, but in
20	belief?	20	fact the ones that are in question did not
21	A. That is a "yes" to all your questions.	21	feature in my mind at the time because my
22	They are; I confirm that.	22	focus was on preparing the evidence but I am
23	Q. Thank you. Mr McGrail, my first	23	I agree with you.
24	question is what methods of communication	24	Q. In fact you filed, as you say, your first
25	did you use to communicate with other senior	25	witness statement on 20 June and it exhibited
	Page 1		Page 3
1	RGP officers?	1	messages with, for example, the Interim
2	A. In no particular order, I used a landline,	2	Governor, Mr Pyle, the Chief Secretary,
3	telephone landlines; emails; messaging;	3	Darren Grech, the Chief Minister, the
4	mobile phone calls, but primarily it was face	4	Attorney General, the Minister for Justice,
5	to face, person to person.	5	Samantha Sacramento, the Chairman of the
6	Q. Can I take you, please, to the inquiry's	6	GPA, Dr Britto and the Maritime Incident
7	original letter to you seeking evidence and	7	WhatsApp Group. Do you recall that?
8	disclosure on 4 April 2022? I think that will	8	A. I do.
9	come up on screen. That was dated 4 April	9	Q. And you then filed a further witness
10	2022. At the bottom of the second page is	10	statement, your third witness statement on 4
11	the request for evidence and disclosure, and	11	October 2022, so some four months later,
12	it reads as follows: "We therefore request	12	which exhibited messages with other
13	you to cooperate with the inquiry by	13	individuals, this time including the former
14	preparing and producing a statement under	14	governor, Ed Davis, the former Acting
15	oath addressing the subject matter of the	15	Deputy Governor, Phil Culligan, and the
16	inquiry", and then (b) "Any documents	16	DPP?
17	including but not limited to electronic	17	A. I do remember that, again because they
18	documents, such as emails, Word documents,	18	featured as part of the narrative in my
19	pdfs and SMSs, WhatsApp and other instant	19	evidence.
20	messages in your possession or control	20	Q. Your first witness statement stated as
21	relevant to the subject matter of the inquiry",	21	follows at paragraph 29. I do not think we
22	and then we make a specific request. Did	22	have to go to it. A9 is a reference but I am
23	you understand that request to include any	23	just going to read one sentence, which you
		24	will recall: "I was at a Covid 19 meeting at
24	relevant WhatsApp messages?	1	
25	A. I did.	25	the Bunker at about 1220 hrs when I received
		1	

a text from Superintendent Richardson, who 1 I apologise." And 14 says: "However, I am 2 2 was about to execute the warrants", and yet it glad that the said chat logs have been 3 3 is correct to say, is it not, that you did not extracted by SIO McVea and I understand 4 4 disclose or provide any messages between have been made available to the inquiry. If 5 you and Mr Richardson together with that 5 they have not, I allow the RGP permission to 6 6 disclose these". So, that explanation, does statement? 7 A. At the time of writing that statement the 7 that apply to the message of 12 May in that 8 8 you were not seeking to place your evidence focus was not the message from Mr 9 9 -- there seemed to you to be no relevance or Richardson to me but rather my 10 10 communications with the officials in requirement for you to provide that message 11 11 at that stage? question, namely the Chief Minister, the 12 Minister for Justice and the Attorney 12 A. Absolutely. 13 General, so it was not a relevant feature, the 13 Q. But it is fair to say you did rely on that 14 14 message albeit not as a central part of your fact that it was a fact that the police were 15 15 attempting to execute a warrant at Hassans. evidence, but it was part of the narrative? 16 16 That was not for me a contentious issue; it A. It was more -- not the actual message; it 17 17 was a given, but the subsequent embroiling was more a sequence of events. Had it been 18 resulting from that action was, so I saw the 18 a phone call it would have said, "I received a 19 19 relevance of the messages with the officials phone call that they were at Hassans", but the 20 20 as opposed to a factual thing that had just led importance for me was the sequence of 21 me to contact the officials, if that makes any 21 events rather than the content, because the 22 22 content was irrefutable. They were sense? 23 23 Q. Well, in fairness to you, you refer to this. attempting to -- they were just notifying me 24 You explain this in your eighth statement and 24 that they were at the door. 25 25 I will just read that out. At paragraphs 12 Q. When you were first giving disclosure in Page 5 Page 7 1 and 13 -- sorry, it is E259. It should appear 1 2022, did you review your messages with Mr 2 on the screen. Let me know if you have 2 Richardson and decide they were irrelevant, 3 3 or did you not look at the messages at all? trouble with the size. 4 4 A. As I said, I did not rely on them because A. No, no, it's okay. 5 5 Q. I am sure that Mr Vea[?] can make it a bit they did not feature as my evidence went 6 larger. So, you say: "At the time of 6 along. I wish I had. I wish --7 7 preparing my evidence for the inquiry, my Q. Sorry, my question is not whether you 8 8 focus was set on covering all the aspects relied on them but did you look at them at 9 9 required as per the list of issues. I did not 10 10 look into or rely on any exchanges of A. No, no, because if I had looked at them, I 11 11 would have then realised that I needed to messages between Mr Richardson and/or Mr 12 Ullger and myself because as my evidence 12 export them, and that's the relevance of them 13 evolved there seemed to me to be no 13 not being exported. I've exported everything 14 relevance or requirement for me to do so. 14 from the phone which, believe me, that -- it 15 15 Evidently the relevance of these messages took me a task because I'm not technical at 16 16 became apparent during the oral hearings and all, but I had to understand how to do that 17 17 I fully appreciate STI's interest as to why I process and whether the attachments could 18 18 did not disclose these exchanges. I did not be included or not, etc. That was a major 19 19 export the chat logs from either of them. SIO learning curve for me and believe me that 20 20 McVea's forensic examination will confirm had I relied on them, they would have been 21 21 this. The fact that I did not export the chat exported and that would have made the 22 22 logs of my exchanges with Mr Richardson situation far worse for me if I had exported 23 23 and Mr Ullger is because they did not feature them and still not disclosed them. The fact 24 24 in my mind as relevant at the time. This was that I did not export them is what I am trying 25 an inadvertent omission on my part for which 25 to show, is the fact that they did not feature in Page 6 Page 8

my mind because of how my evidence was 1 complete Operation Delhi file, and again --2 2 evolving. And in fact they have never been and also message between senior officers and 3 3 exported by me. I suggested that they also approach Mr 4 4 Q. We will come on to that in due course. Richardson. I also suggested that they 5 Why did you not consider looking at them, 5 approach Mr Ullger, who may be able to 6 6 given that he was the senior investigating assist the inquiry and I suppose that I thought 7 officer in the criminal prosecution which on 7 that that was covered by whatever we were 8 8 your case was the catalyst for your retirement going to get from them too. Looking at it 9 and which you have asserted that the Chief 9 from the prism that I am looking at it today, 10 10 Minister interfered with? like we all are, certainly that was not the 11 11 A. My approach to my evidence was as optics that I was focusing on at the time and 12 12 yes, I have to agree with you that I should follows. On the one side, on the one part I 13 was responding to what I believe and my 13 have looked at them but I didn't. But I 14 14 team believed were fig leaves by the underscore again that those messages have 15 15 government in terms of the reasons why they not been deleted. They are here before the 16 16 had called me to leave my post, and these inquiry albeit in a very late timing and I'm 17 17 were the HMIC report on the collision at sea. glad that they are here. 18 Delhi did not feature at all. I knew then that 18 Q. You talk about preparing your evidence 19 Delhi was a catalyst for it all but as my 19 but you do appreciate, do you not, that there 20 20 are two exercises that are expected of a core previous evidence states, and on the other 21 hand it was my posture that it was to do with 21 participant: on the one hand there is 22 22 preparing your evidence and the documents not necessarily they, but rather the 23 23 inappropriate behaviour and inappropriate that you intend to put forward in your 24 24 interference by the Chief Minister, Fabian evidence, and on the other hand there is an 25 25 Picardo and Michael Llamas on that day, on obligation to provide disclosure of Page 9 Page 11 1 the 12th and the sort of gradual vibes that 1 documents, any documents that might assist 2 were being sent through -- which I have 2 the Chairman in his factfinding exercise and 3 3 covered in my previous evidence. So, sorry, could either support or disprove one CP's 4 I maybe have gone on a bit too much. 4 case or another CP's case? Do you 5 5 Q. No, no. appreciate that? A. Mr Santos, I appreciate that but I want 6 THE CHAIRMAN: Put the question again, 6 7 7 Mr Santos. you and everybody, the public, to understand 8 MR SANTOS: Yes. 8 that I called for this inquiry and it has ruled 9 9 A. I am sorry. my life for the past five years. I have 10 Q. The question is that he was the senior 10 contributed with everything that I thought I 11 investigating officer of the prosecution which 11 possibly could. It has not been an easy road. 12 you say was the catalyst and which you say 12 It has been a very bumpy road, a bumpy road 13 that the Chief Minister interfered with, so 13 in many, many senses, in every sense of the 14 why did it not occur to you to go into your 14 word navigating through that and I hope you 15 messages with him? 15 will be able to take me through that particular 16 A. It just did not, and I wish it had because 16 road, and having this oversight, yes, I accept 17 we wouldn't be here discussing this point 17 responsibility for that oversight but I have 18 here but what I do remember is that this 18 disclosed hundreds and hundreds of pages of 19 particular strand that you are asking about 19 statements, thousands and thousands of pages 20 was requested by me through my lawyers, 20 of other materials. There was nothing 21 obviously, to the STI -- I seem to think it was 21 sinister behind this. I have put my heart and 22 the previous solicitors to the inquiry --22 soul to this inquiry. Nobody wants it over 23 providing them a wide range of lines of 23 more than I do. I am not enjoying being here 24 inquiry that we suggested they should follow, 24 now. It has affected my health and rest 25 and in one of those inquiries was the 25 assured that there was nothing sinister Page 10 Page 12

whatsoever in me not providing those. I have 1 context to those messages, because read on 2 2 been kicking myself. Mr Santos, I have been their own, they could be misinterpreted or 3 3 kicking myself with disappointment and even misread as indeed has been the case in my 4 4 a level of embarrassment. view by the government parties. 5 Q. Did you realise at that point when you 5 Q. Just to clarify, you say that they do not 6 were looking through your WhatsApp 6 really alter the landscape but you do accept 7 messages as part of the exercise of preparing 7 that they are relevant? 8 8 your evidence that there might also be A. Yes, I accept that. 9 Q. As you just alluded to, your lawyers 9 messages on your work phone that you had 10 10 handed in to the RGP that might be relevant wrote to the inquiry on 6 July 2022 11 11 to the inquiry's terms of reference? proposing that Mr Ullger and Mr Richardson 12 A. Again, if I am not mistaken, I think that is 12 be asked to provide evidence. At that stage 13 a line of inquiry that I proposed to the inquiry 13 did you review your messages with Mr 14 14 itself, to the inquiry team at the time. I think -Ullger and Mr Richardson? 15 - I stand to be corrected -- but I think that at 15 A. I wish I had because why would I be 16 16 the point of suggesting potential witnesses proposing those witnesses? It's nonsensical 17 17 and potential lines of inquiry where specific that I propose those witnesses and then not 18 lines for the STI to ask for RGP mobiles, 18 act on them. It's just highlighting an 19 19 official mobile exchanges, so I think at the oversight on my part. I wish, I seriously 20 20 time I considered that. That was also wish, I had. Like I said, I've been kicking 21 pertinent, that any mobile phones, official 21 myself from the moment this came to light. 22 22 Q. Have you deliberately withheld any mobile phones be considered. 23 23 Q. We will check that. I will take you to one communications between you and RGP 24 24 example of that in due course. It is fair to officers from the inquiry --25 25 say that you also did not disclose any direct A. At all, and I am glad -- I am glad that they Page 13 Page 15 1 messages between you and any other police 1 are here, that the messages are here. Had the 2 officer, such as Mr Ullger or Mr Yeats. Did 2 situations been different and they -- these 3 3 you, at the time of preparing your statement, messages would have been in the work phone 4 go through your messages with Mr Ullger or 4 as they should have been, ironically, we 5 5 Mr Yeats? wouldn't have the privilege of having them 6 A. I did not. I did not. 6 before me so in the sense it is regrettable that 7 7 O. For the same reason? I am here having to do this explaining, but on 8 8 A. For exactly the same reason, and I think the other hand, those messages are before the 9 9 that once you read my evidence it will more inquiry. 10 10 or less perhaps understand better that there is Q. Have you deliberately withheld any 11 no reference to "I messaged Mr Yeats" or "I 11 evidence on account of your concern that it 12 messaged Mr Ullger" and there is certainly 12 might be unfavourable to you? 13 13 no deliberate drafting of statements to A. Absolutely not because I have provided 14 exclude that. That is not the case whatsoever. 14 previous disclosure that is not favourable to 15 15 me and it has been used against me and I've Q. Do you now accept that some of your 16 16 messages with Mr Ullger are relevant to the done that willingly. It's not that I have sort 17 17 inquiry's terms of reference in that they shed of taken a choice of what to do or what not to 18 18 light on, for example, your reaction to the do. It has been done willingly, so certainly 19 19 HMIC report and your wishes around the 20 20 Q. In 2023 your phone was seized by Mr time of your exchanges with the GPA? 21 21 A. It gives it a bit more detail but in essence McVea as part of his investigation into the 22 22 inquiry data breach. You say that when you it doesn't really portray more information; it 23 23 does not enhance matters. In a way I'm glad received your personal phone back from him 24 24 although reluctantly glad, if it makes sense, you could no longer access your WhatsApp 25 that I am going to be allowed to provide 25 accounts as they had been deactivated. That Page 14 Page 16

is in paragraph 11 of your 9th witness 1 were taken from me, I discontinued my 2 2 statement. Do you know why they had been subscriptions. I was not going to be paying 3 3 deactivated? for a number that I no longer had access to. 4 4 A. I'll get to that point but I think it's In fact, I took on a new device and a new 5 important that I highlight that up until 5 number for personal safety reasons and 6 6 February or rather March 2023, the relevant personal security reasons too. I didn't feel 7 7 comfortable after my phone had been trawled messages that we are discussing now had not 8 8 featured in my mind. Less did they feature through with a lot of personal matters and 9 9 once I had no access to my mobile family photographs that I was going to 10 10 perhaps be still -- there's a cloud left hanging communications or computer equipment 11 11 simply because my focus shifted entirely there and I didn't want to be associated with 12 from the inquiry, regrettably, to a bit of a 12 them. I've still got them, but they are not 13 survival mode in dealing with the crisis and 13 active, and so I left it at that. I said, "Well, 14 14 malicious and scurrilous allegations that I my life has carried on with another device. I 15 15 can't access them", but I knew that -- I didn't have to defend in a court of law, in police 16 16 know what -- I didn't give it importance at interviews. So, if they didn't feature initially, 17 17 less did they feature when I had not even got the time. But when the requirement was 18 access to them. And then answering your 18 made that WhatsApp, the issues of 19 19 question as to the inability to access them, WhatsApps between myself and former 20 20 when I eventually got them back about seven colleagues was in question, I knew I had the 21 or eight months later at my insistence, there 21 peace of mind that my phone had been 22 22 was still a lot of things happening in the forensically examined and therefore all the 23 23 background in terms of what I term to be a messages that were up there that the inquiry 24 24 malicious complaint to destroy my reputation was after were going to be there, and that 25 25 and good name, and I then dipped into the -gave me some solace and peace of mind, Page 17 Page 19 1 shall we describe it, my old, the 4000 1 that, well, at least I won't be accused of 2 2 deleting or mysteriously disappearing number, the old --3 3 Q. Personal device? messages, as has been. So, in my case, the 4 A. -- personal device that had previously 4 messages are there but that is the reason why, 5 5 been a work device, or at least had been used part of the reason, and I am not using this as 6 for work purposes. I dipped into it to try and 6 an excuse, by no means. It' been my 7 7 find out evidence not related to the inquiry obligation. I've apologised in my statement, 8 8 but related to the allegations that had been and I do so here publicly and I wish that I 9 9 made against me because I knew that it wouldn't find myself in this situation. 10 10 contained -- I seem to remember that it Q. Did you raise the fact that you could no 11 11 longer access your WhatsApps with Mr contained evidence that contradicted what 12 people involved in the whistleblowing 12 McVea or with the RGP? 13 13 campaign -- people who had been A. To be frank, after what I have been 14 whistleblowing against me had messaged me 14 through with the RGP and Mr McVea, I 15 15 and I knew that and I wanted -- but then couldn't keep them further away from me. I 16 16 when I went to access the WhatsApp, the didn't want anything to do with them. 17 app, the application Lola was there and I was 17 Q. And have you contacted WhatsApp or 18 not allowed to go in it. A message came out 18 anyone else to seek assistance in reactivating 19 19 those messages? saying that the account had been deactivated 20 20 A. I don't need to. I don't need to. Not -- I and I had to insert certain security protocols, 2.1 21 which I could not meet. I could not meet mean, I don't need to because for the 22 22 those security protocols because the number purposes of the inquiry, the messages are 23 that had been allocated to that account and 23 there. I'm not bothered about -- if there is a 24 24 been used on the device, I no longer was a need to, and I hope there isn't, then I'll have 25 25 to explore that but right now, for the purposes subscriber to. The minute that my devices Page 18 Page 20

of this inquiry there is no need for me to. 1 officials, such as His Excellency the 2 2 Q. When did you find out that Mr McVea Governor, the Chief Minister, the Minister of 3 3 had taken a forensic image of your phone? Justice, the Attorney General", etc. So, your 4 4 A. Straight - well, when he took them, I primary contact number with those 5 don't know when it happened, but 5 individuals, especially the Chief Minister, the 6 6 Attorney General, Dr Britto, was your straightaway, as soon as they seized those 7 7 personal number? devices. It's an automatic assumption that 8 8 A. Which had previously been my work that's the process that's going to be followed. 9 9 Q. You have given evidence in your ninth number. 10 10 witness statement as to your use of mobile Q. Yes. 11 11 phones. Just to confirm the position, there A. So, I had a chat history with them and it 12 were three phones which were you were 12 was at the time that we opted for the Android 13 using in May 2020 in the entirety of May 13 devices, for the Samsungs. 14 14 2020. There is your personal device. We Q. Can I just ask you: did you also use your 15 15 work phone to contact those individuals? will just go through them one by one and 16 16 then you can tell me whether you disagree. A. In my statement I mentioned that I may 17 17 There is your personal device, your personal have even told them that I have a new 18 number, which you used from 2006 until 18 number, but for convenience sake or laziness 19 19 2023. I think you have referred to that as or practicality's sake, I did not, I don't think 20 20 your 4000 number? that I continued operating with them on the 21 A. Yes. 21 new number, on the work number. I'm sure 22 22 Q. There is the work device that was issued that if I had, Mr Picardo, for example, or any 23 23 to you by the RGP, you think in around of the others would have disclosed that in 24 24 October 2019 and which you handed in on 9 much the same way as I am not sure whether 25 25 June --I had two numbers for Mr Picardo. I am not Page 21 Page 23 1 A. As I left. 1 sure on which of them I was corresponding 2 Q. -- 2020 as you left, on or around 9 June, 2 with because it only highlights -- when the 3 3 or is your evidence that you definitely chat logs are exported, it highlights Fabian 4 handed it in on 9 June? 4 Picardo. Any other members[?] I do not 5 5 A. I think it was on 9 June, yes. know and I think we've asked that question 6 Q. And then there was an additional personal 6 of the inquiry. I still don't know the answer, 7 7 device which you have referred to which you but it definitely had two numbers and I don't 8 8 purchased in late May 2020, but which you know whether there's only accountability for 9 9 say you did not use for RGP business and we one before the inquiry or the two, but in 10 10 will call that the new personal device? essence I was corresponding for convenience 11 11 A. Absolutely. That was purchased for a sake, for continuity's sake, and because I was 12 specific purpose and on the advice of my 12 used to the iPhone as opposed to the 13 13 team to secure communications. Samsung, I was using my personal number, 14 Q. If we can go to E257, please, which is 14 which had previously been my work number. 15 15 Q. You say in paragraph 7 over the page, your ninth statement, just focusing on your 16 16 work device, paragraph 5, you explain that "However, my use of the work phone", as 17 17 you were issued with your work device, and you say, was limited because I was not 18 then at paragraph 5 you say: "I began to 18 proficient with the Android system used by 19 19 wind down on the use of 4000 for Samsung. I did use it to try and develop my 20 20 proficiency and therefore did receive emails official purposes and because I was not 21 21 proficient with the use of the Samsung and text messages from colleagues on it" and 22 22 then you expand on this in your tenth device, the transition was slower than what it 23 23 might have been and I continued to use my statement, which is at E1085, paragraph 7 -sorry, paragraph 5. Sorry, just above. It is 24 24 now personal number for RGP paragraph 5, apologies. "Whilst the RGP's 25 communications, mainly with senior 25 Page 22 Page 24

1 mobile devices policy came into effect on 30 1 A. I remember that there was an app 2 2 October 2019, I underestimated the personal installed, yes, and obviously now perhaps 3 3 challenge which I faced in transitioning from contaminated by what I had read that there 4 4 my iPhone 4 operating system to the Android was a command team, an SNT, as I think it 5 9.0 Pie operating system used by the new 5 was referred to, WhatsApp Group opened up 6 6 RGP work-issued mobile phones. I did not in the new Samsung and discontinued in the 7 find it user friendly at all and struggled 7 previous -- from the iPhone's, so evidently it 8 8 heavily with it. I was conscious of the need was a crucial period that we were going 9 9 to transition to the new devices and I was through in terms of Covid and so forth, so 10 10 determined to discontinue the use of my old having seen now the type of exchanges that 11 11 work iPhone 4 which by then had become we were going through, which obviously 12 my personal phone but regrettably it was not 12 they don't relate to the inquiry -- that's why 13 straightforward and it took longer than it 13 they have been redacted -- but having read 14 14 would have wished. I did manage to use the the unredacted versions, there was sufficient 15 15 Samsung phone for email and some text exchanges there relating to how we were 16 16 messages." When you say that you were not policing Covid and the regulations and 17 17 proficient and did not find it user friendly, bouncing information off from each other in 18 can you please elaborate on what you mean 18 terms of myself and Mr Ullger, but that 19 19 by that? communication flow I would expect perhaps 20 20 A. I am not a technical person at all and it's some of it would have also featured in the 21 a question of habits, I suppose, but I found 21 command team, especially if I wanted to 22 22 the device, which I think was a very basic circulate or Mr Ullger or any other senior 23 23 device -- again, I can't even remember the officer wanted to circulate a posture or 24 24 model, but it was a very basic device, and it anything of relevance to what we were doing 25 25 at the time. So, yes, there would have been was clunky in its use, it was not quick as the Page 25 Page 27 1 iPhone was, and so -- and the features were 1 traffic in that app. 2 different, completely different. It was slow, 2 Q. Was your use of WhatsApp limited to the 3 3 sluggish and I just did not get used to it and I SNT or did you use it for other 4 4 conversations? struggled and I it competed with my pace at 5 5 work. I wanted to do things on the hop, A. Probably I did -- I only used it for the 6 perhaps, and the Samsung just slowed me 6 SNT because I'm sort of coming --7 7 down. It was linked -- in terms of emails, it answering with some logic here, not that --8 8 was linked, so I was receiving the same because I was not friendly with that and the 9 9 emails on the Samsung as I was on the less I used it the better. 10 10 Q. And just to clarify you obtained the iPhone so if I wanted to respond to 11 11 something quick, again because of phone in October 2019. Did the position stay 12 convenience, I would do it on the iPhone but 12 the same for this next seven months until 13 13 the emails were already received in the May 2020? 14 14 Samsung itself too, so both devices were A. That's what I gather from the 15 15 correspondence and Mr Yeats has kindly receiving the same. That's as far as emails 16 16 are concerned, the same as I had been disclosed in terms of his communications 17 receiving them on the laptop or the office PC, 17 with the Gib telephone officials and when the 18 but obviously WhatsApps, not. WhatsApps -18 handsets were received and when they were 19 19 - the Samsung had a different WhatsApp possibly set up and so forth. I had no 20 20 account, specifically for -- ascribed to that knowledge of that till I -- that was the first 21 21 subscriber number, so there was not a time I was reading it when Mr Yeats has 22 22 mirroring of what I was receiving on the presented it to the inquiry, so when I received 23 Samsung and the iPhone. 23 it, as I'm not a gadget man, but yes, you start 24 24 Q. So, did you use WhatsApp on that looking around and start fiddling around with 25 Samsung device? 25 it and thinking, "Well" -- I didn't have -- I

Page 26

1 1 9 March 2020. The penultimate message. had left the purchase of them, the 2 2 procurement of them. I didn't get involved A. Yes. 3 3 in that so I wasn't going to complain, but I Q. "You never gave me a chance to pick up." 4 4 A. At 21:25, so nearly half past nine in the was, you know -- I would have had a 5 5 evening, he must have been calling me. different choice. 6 6 Q. And then he says, "Okay, will call now on Q. So, in terms of contacting Mr Ullger, Mr 7 Richardson and Mr Yeats, was your primary 7 8 number for contacting them the 4000 8 A. "Loan", but I take it to be "phone". 9 9 Q. -- phone, I presume he says. number? 10 10 A. Yes. A. Yes. 11 11 O. That would have been the --Q. And is your evidence that you did not 12 exchange direct messages with them with the 12 A. The Samsung. 13 Samsung? 13 Q. The Samsung, yes. Now, can we turn to 14 (10.35)14 the policy? It is E319. It states there on the 15 15 cover page that the aim of the policy is to set A. If they communicated - whoever 16 16 communicated irrespective of device, I out guidelines for these mobile data devices. 17 17 would have responded, so it's not that for It is endorsed and signed by you and then 18 example, if there was a message from Mr 18 approved on 30 October, so around the --19 19 Ullger or Mr Yeats or Mr Richardson on the A. Sorry, it's not signed by me, that's not my 20 20 Samsung device. That I would respond to signature. 21 them on the - I would - the continuity of the 21 Q. All right, thank you. Whose signature is 22 22 chat would follow. In accordance with the 23 device it was received - in accordance with 23 A. That is Mr -- I believe it's Mr Ullger's. 24 24 Q. Mr Ullger's. But you originally endorsed whatever the device was received. 25 25 Q. Then, can we talk about the mobile this policy? Page 29 Page 31 1 devices policy that came into effect around 1 A. Yes, I think my former colleagues alluded 2 2 to the fact that when there was a new policy, the time --3 3 A. In fact, I seem to remember, just as an it would do the round-robin and everybody 4 example, there is a - in Mr Richardson's 4 would comment on it before it was endorsed 5 5 disclosure of chats with me, that he was by myself. But then it would also be ratified 6 trying to call me one evening, or at night and 6 by the police authority --7 7 I respond to him saying: "You never gave me Q. The GPA. 8 8 a chance to pick up, buddy --A. -- in accordance with what the Police Act 9 9 Q. To answer. 10 10 A. --sort of thing, and he says, "I'll call you Q. Yes, and we can see that there: approval 11 on the other phone." And I'm thinking why 11 by the GPA. On 30 October 2019. You 12 would that have been? So, he was calling me 12 address the rationale for this in your 10th 13 on the iPhone by the looks of it and I had not 13 statement at E1085. Paragraph 6. You say, 14 picked up and he was telling me that he was 14 "My recollection is that the RGP's Mobile 15 15 going to call me on the other phone, and I Devices Policy was particularly aimed at 16 16 can only assume it was because obviously the preventing the use of personal devices to 17 17 iPhone was either on charge or on silent capture any data of evidential value, given 18 18 mode which I - and I didn't pick up, but it's the recent experience at the time the 19 19 just an example of the type of switching -organisation had had with an officer using his personal device to record an incident from a 20 20 for want of a better expression - there was, 21 21 but not necessarily cross -- cross. It is CCTV screen facility. It was therefore 22 22 switching. designed to significantly reduce the use of 23 23 Q. I have just been given the reference by personal devices and safeguard any personal 24 24 data from somehow ending up being leaked my brilliant junior. E251, I think, is what 25 you are referring to at the bottom of the page. 25 or shared in error or inadvertently." Then in

Page 30

1 paragraph 7, you fairly acknowledge that 1 A. Yes. 2 your continued use of your personal device 2 Q. We are not going to go into the hard 3 was not best practice as was intended by the 3 drive, but you refer to the hard drive, and my 4 4 Force Order and policy. Can I now take you question is: did you, at the time of your 5 to 920 please, which is the Force orders that 5 retirement, ask Mr Yeats or anybody else to 6 6 back up the contents of your work mobile we were looking at yesterday? I am not 7 7 going to take you through all of it, just a phone? 8 couple of paragraphs. First of all, on 921, the 8 A. No, it was only --9 9 bottom of the page, there is a reference to: Q. Why --10 10 having to provide explanations to the Data A. It was only emails and reports that I had 11 11 Protection Commissioner following what filed in my personal internet file. 12 appears to be a breach of the Data Protection 12 Q. Why did you not seek to back up your 13 Act by an officer. In this case, the issue lies 13 work mobile phone? 14 14 with the use of a personal device for work A. It just did not occur to me. That was a 15 15 purposes. Then over the page in bold at the very difficult period and that was a reaction 16 16 bottom. We looked at this passage yesterday, to the difficulties that I was encountering and 17 17 but it is a reference to a change in Force the suspicions that I had. That was a reaction 18 policy and it says that "The use of mobile 18 to it. I wish I had, perhaps. Again, I wish I 19 19 phones or other personal electronic devices had, but it was not something that crossed my 20 20 for work purposes will cease forthwith." You mind, the backing of the work phone. 21 accept in your evidence that you were not 21 Q. Did you realise that your work phone 22 22 would be wiped when you handed it in, in complying with this order, do you not? 23 23 A. Yes. accordance with the policy? 24 24 A. That did not feature in my mind at all. I Q. Do you accept, therefore, that continuing 25 25 to use the phone was a bit stronger than "not had bigger, bigger matters to consider. Page 33 Page 35 1 best practice", as you put it, because you 1 Q. Of course, given the circumstances of 2 were actually not complying with a Force 2 your departure and the grievances that you 3 3 order? had expressed, why did it not occur to you to 4 4 preserve that potentially relevant evidence? A. Yes. 5 5 Q. Now, just going back to your work A. Those grievances materialised 6 device, the first mention of this was in your 6 subsequently. At the time, my focus was to 7 9th witness statement at E257, which is when 7 recover from a very traumatic period, 8 the Inquiry asked you whether you were 8 something which I have not been able to do 9 operating more than one phone as 9 so to this date. But the question of the public 10 Commissioner of the RGP. Why did you not 10 inquiry was not even featuring anywhere 11 mention it earlier than that? 11 whatsoever in anybody's radar. There was 12 A. I think I was alluding to the fact that I had 12 nothing mooted on that. So, this transpired 13 pointed the Inquiry in that direction from the 13 later. So, again, by looking at it through the 14 letter. Was it July 22? I think you were... 14 prism of today, it makes sense, the question 15 Q. There was a letter -- I think you are 15 that you are asking. But looking -- if you put 16 referring to a letter sent to the Inquiry by 16 that, and bring it back to the actual day that it 17 your solicitors. 17 happened, there is no reason why I should 18 A. Yes. 18 have done so. I -- again, because the emails 19 Q. Yes. I mean, I have.... We will take you 19 that had already been backed up from -- and 20 to that letter after the break, once I have had 20 they could not be going missing or astray for 2.1 the chance to reconsider it. 21 any technical glitch, that was my worry, had 22 A. Okav. 22 already been preserved. And the concerns at 23 Q. Then at E99, we have a letter that you 23 the time were the fact that serious allegations 24 sent Mr Ullger on 15 November 2022, where 24 had been made against the RGP, against me, 25 you refer to the hard drive. 25 of misconduct by Hassans, because we had Page 34 Page 36

London, WC2A 1JE

1 attempted to execute the warrant, and 1 31 July 2020. Did you, at that point, ask the 2 2 following on from what had transpired after RGP to preserve the contents of your work 3 3 that, what had rolled over in terms of being phone in case there was relevant 4 4 information? muscled out. I felt that what I had 5 safeguarded was sufficient. 5 A. No. That -- in all fairness, that was the 6 6 last thing that I had in my mind. This is the Q. You were aware that in any -- you are 7 aware that in any prosecution arising out of 7 first -- well, I don't think public inquiries are 8 8 an RGP investigation, the RGP will be under very frequent in Gibraltar and certainly it has 9 a duty of disclosure of relevant documents. 9 been a bit of an overwhelming experience for 10 10 Why did you not arrange for your messages me to have the Inquiry named after me, and 11 11 to be preserved, at least insofar as they therefore it's been a learning curve to me and 12 related to Operation Delhi? 12 probably to many people in this room. 13 A. I think they were preserved because they 13 Q. Just to be clear, the Inquiry has not 14 14 were in my - whatever was relating to Delhi named itself after you. I understand that in 15 15 was on my 4000 number. common parlance, it has become the McGrail 16 16 Q. So, there was nothing relating to Delhi on Inquiry, but we purposely did not name it 17 17 your work -after you. Yes, the newer personal device. 18 A. Save -- that we have come to the 18 Just a couple of questions about that. Do you 19 19 conclusion that message of 12 May, of Mr still have access to messages on that phone or 20 20 have they been lost when you -- through the Richardson advising me that he is going to 21 do a warrant. That is not relevant in the 21 McVea seizure process. 22 22 context of a criminal investigation, because A. On which phone do you... sorry? 23 the relevance is the fact that he went to the 23 Q. On the newer personal device. The one 24 24 that you purchased towards the end of May warrant. That's whether he told me before he 25 25 left, verbally, or he called me on the phone or 2020. Page 37 Page 39 1 sent me an email. It's relevant, it's been 1 A. There's nothing there. Neither on the 2 given a relevance that I didn't see at the time 2 other one that was -- that was acquired way 3 3 was necessary. after I left. 4 Q. So, is your evidence that it is only that 4 Q. Yes. 5 5 message relating to Operation Delhi that was A. But even that one, which was a Spanish 6 sent between your devices, or that you do not 6 mobile phone that my daughter gave me. 7 7 Q. That one as well, your evidence is that recall? 8 8 that one was no longer active when it was A. I am not certain, but definitely I 9 9 remember being told by Mr Richardson by returned. Can we go to E256 very briefly 10 10 message that he was at Hassans' doorstep, as because Mr Richardson has disclosed some 11 11 previously arranged that he was -- this was messages from his phone to your new 12 previously arranged. It's not that I was -- it 12 personal device. Why did you not disclose 13 13 these exchanges with Mr Richardson from caught me by surprise, so it is not that. And 14 the reason why I asked him to do so was 14 your new personal device? (After a pause) 15 15 Sorry, yes. No, this is from your.... 255 is because I wanted to, out of courtesy and 16 16 knowing the consequences and planning for the ones from the new device, and then there 17 17 consequence management out of that are six, some from Mr Richardson to your 18 18 intervention, that I had to at least inform the 4000 number. Those two sets of messages 19 19 authorities mentioned. And that's the have been disclosed by Mr Richardson. Why 20 20 did you not disclose these exchanges through purpose of that. There is nothing more to it. 21 21 There's nothing of evidential value in Delhi, the Inquiry? 22 22 or, I dare say, to the Inquiry. A. I did not -- I have not relied on any of 23 23 Q. Your lawyers called for an inquiry very these until it was highlighted to me by STI 24 24 briefly after your departure, and it was having the government parties rightly raising. 25 announced by Mr Picardo in Parliament on 25 So, I have not relied on them at all. And like

Page 38

I said, right up until March -- March 2023, 1 O. So, you mean on your personal device? 2 2 they had not featured in my mind for my A. From the 4000 number? 3 evidence. But less did they feature even 3 Q. From the 4000 number. And, as you say, 4 4 I mean, what is being pointed out to me is afterwards when I did not even have access 5 5 that it says: sent from my iPhone. to them. So -- and there was, again, I am not 6 6 using this as any excuse, but you have to bear A. There you go. 7 in mind that I was prompted by nothing and 7 Q. So, the point is that the day after your 8 8 departure from the police, you still had no one to, I mean, to consider these 9 9 access to your emails. messages, notwithstanding the fact that from 10 10 March '23 I never had access to them. So A. Until that day --11 11 that is my short answer to that. Q. Your email account. 12 Q. Just focusing on E256 about -- just above 12 A. Evidently, until that day, yes. 13 halfway down, on 16 June, at 10 past 8 in the 13 Q. Do you know when your access to emails 14 14 evening Mr Richardson messages you and was removed? says, "Richard said you have an email from 15 15 A. If not on that day or the next. I remember 16 16 JG", and you respond -being -- and it was a very heartbreaking 17 17 A. Where are we, sorry? moment to feel that, because when you are in 18 Q. Sorry, it is -- actually on your screen, it is 18 that position in the executive, in the police, 19 19 the third message. the phone is constantly ringing and buzzing 20 20 A. Okay. Okay. and there was that void of silence, basically, 21 Q. "Richard said you have had an email 21 which I now welcome, but at the time was a 22 22 from JG", and then two messages down, you bit of a shock. So, I didn't receive anything 23 23 say, "Yes, I did. You can check it [out]..." -- I after that. So, no emails in the -- that may be 24 think you meant to say "...in my work email 24 going out Force-wide or anything like that. 25 25 with my response too." Now, if we go to So, I assume that I was disconnected very Page 41 Page 43 1 C4920, there is an exchange between Mr 1 shortly afterwards. I think the RGP will be 2 Gaggero and you, an email exchange on 10 2 able to digitally prove the time --3 3 June at 5.00 in the morning, which is O. When the last --4 something that is also referred to in the 4 A. -- the time and date, but I can tell you that 5 5 messages. Is that the email that that was it was, if not on that day, that morning. 6 being referred to in that exchange? 6 Q. In June 2023, you agreed an order with 7 7 A. I think it is, and I have just realised if, if the RGP for access to data from them. I am 8 8 you go down. hoping that we can get that order up on 9 9 Q. Yes. screen. It is 1047. Thank you. This was a 10 10 A. "Bueno". Further down. Down. No, process through which you identified a 11 sorry, up. Sorry. I beg your pardon, Sorry. 11 number of documents that you considered 12 That it is from my work email. 12 would be worth you -- I do not want to put 13 13 words in your mouth -- but would be worth Q. Yes. 14 A. That is at 20 to 8 in the morning, the 14 you seeing for the purposes of providing 15 15 disclosure. I just want to ask you a couple of following day of my --16 16 Q. Well, this is exactly what I was going to questions. First of all, you did not seek 17 17 ask you. access to your work phone as part of that 18 18 A. I am sorry. I am sorry. order, did you? 19 19 Q. How did you manage to send an email A. Can we scroll further up? Is it -- is E the 20 from your work email on the morning of the 20 last one? 2.1 21 10 June? Q. No. It goes down to... 22 22 A. Okay. I see that E says SMT chat groups A. Obviously, I must have received his email 23 23 on my phone and responded without realising from July 2015 to June, which was my last 24 that I had not been disconnected from the 24 25 RGP email account. 25 Q. I was about to ask you that. Well, let us Page 42 Page 44

1	deal with that first of all?	1	work phone, did it?
2	A. Okay.	2	A. Yes.
3	Q. It appears from that order that you	3	Q. Subject to the SMT point.
4	consider that that SMT chat may contain	4	A. Well, RGP devices, I would read as
5	relevant messages.	5	maybe it is not as clear. I give it to you, that.
6	A. Yes. And they did. And they did because	6	It is not as clear as my own work phone, but
7	in that desk clear out that they did and they	7	RGP devices, which includes my phone.
8	discovered a pen drive that I had saved 2017	8	Q. Yes, but it says: WhatsApp conversations
9	- on 2017, messages from the SMT chat	9	of the SMT chat group.
10	group. Pertinent to	10	A. Yes.
11	Q. The airport incident.	11	Q. If those conversations were conducted on
12	A. Exactly. So	12	RGP devices.
13	Q. But there is no as I say, there is no	13	A. Yes.
14	request there for you	14	Q. It does not say: all of my conversations
15	A. So that was the chat group that I was	15	on my work device with Mr Ullger.
16	referring to, that I expected to I didn't even	16	A. I accept that, but I accept that it could
17	know that the transition from iPhone to	17	have been better worded and sort of
18	Samsung, in my case, would have	18	requested. But that goes without saying that
19	discontinued the use of the previous SMT	19	that would have helped me and that would
20	account because there was one, as evidently I	20	have helped the Inquiry too. So, it is not that
21	have been able to prove from those	21	I did not specifically. Because if I am asking
22	messages.	22	for that, it is the obvious question, like you
23	Q. Yes.	23	raised up, why was it not so.
24	A. But that chat, from my understanding,	24	Q. Now, going back sorry, we are
25	from the forensic analysis, was discontinued	25	switching between devices a bit, but I want to
	Page 45		Page 47
	1 age 43		1 agc +/
1	in September, October 2019.	1	1
1	in September, Scrober 2015.	1	ask you about the contents of some messages
2	Q. Yes, correct.	2	on your personal device. To start with, Mr
	Q. Yes, correct. A. So	2 3	on your personal device. To start with, Mr Richardson. Mr Richardson disclosed the
2	Q. Yes, correct.	2 3 4	on your personal device. To start with, Mr Richardson. Mr Richardson disclosed the messages between your personal phones to
2 3	Q. Yes, correct.A. SoQ. Which coincides with the time when the	2 3 4 5	on your personal device. To start with, Mr Richardson. Mr Richardson disclosed the messages between your personal phones to the Inquiry in June 2023. Later in December
2 3 4 5 6	 Q. Yes, correct. A. So Q. Which coincides with the time when the A. New phones came in. 	2 3 4 5 6	on your personal device. To start with, Mr Richardson. Mr Richardson disclosed the messages between your personal phones to the Inquiry in June 2023. Later in December 2024, we also obtained the messages between
2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q. Yes, correct. A. So Q. Which coincides with the time when the A. New phones came in. Q change. Yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7	on your personal device. To start with, Mr Richardson. Mr Richardson disclosed the messages between your personal phones to the Inquiry in June 2023. Later in December 2024, we also obtained the messages between you and Mr Richardson from the image of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. Yes, correct. A. So Q. Which coincides with the time when the A. New phones came in. Q change. Yes. A. So that is I didn't know that at the time 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	on your personal device. To start with, Mr Richardson. Mr Richardson disclosed the messages between your personal phones to the Inquiry in June 2023. Later in December 2024, we also obtained the messages between you and Mr Richardson from the image of your phone taken by Mr McVea. If we go to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. Yes, correct. A. So Q. Which coincides with the time when the A. New phones came in. Q change. Yes. A. So that is I didn't know that at the time of providing that information to the Inquiry, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	on your personal device. To start with, Mr Richardson. Mr Richardson disclosed the messages between your personal phones to the Inquiry in June 2023. Later in December 2024, we also obtained the messages between you and Mr Richardson from the image of your phone taken by Mr McVea. If we go to C7005, please, there we can see the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. Yes, correct. A. So Q. Which coincides with the time when the A. New phones came in. Q change. Yes. A. So that is I didn't know that at the time of providing that information to the Inquiry, and that is the purpose why the date range is 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	on your personal device. To start with, Mr Richardson. Mr Richardson disclosed the messages between your personal phones to the Inquiry in June 2023. Later in December 2024, we also obtained the messages between you and Mr Richardson from the image of your phone taken by Mr McVea. If we go to C7005, please, there we can see the exchanges between you and Mr Richardson.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q. Yes, correct. A. So Q. Which coincides with the time when the A. New phones came in. Q change. Yes. A. So that is I didn't know that at the time of providing that information to the Inquiry, and that is the purpose why the date range is from 2015. Because I think I don't know 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	on your personal device. To start with, Mr Richardson. Mr Richardson disclosed the messages between your personal phones to the Inquiry in June 2023. Later in December 2024, we also obtained the messages between you and Mr Richardson from the image of your phone taken by Mr McVea. If we go to C7005, please, there we can see the exchanges between you and Mr Richardson. The first point that I want to check is over the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q. Yes, correct. A. So Q. Which coincides with the time when the A. New phones came in. Q change. Yes. A. So that is I didn't know that at the time of providing that information to the Inquiry, and that is the purpose why the date range is from 2015. Because I think I don't know why 2015, though. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	on your personal device. To start with, Mr Richardson. Mr Richardson disclosed the messages between your personal phones to the Inquiry in June 2023. Later in December 2024, we also obtained the messages between you and Mr Richardson from the image of your phone taken by Mr McVea. If we go to C7005, please, there we can see the exchanges between you and Mr Richardson. The first point that I want to check is over the page on 7006. There is a message halfway
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. Yes, correct. A. So Q. Which coincides with the time when the A. New phones came in. Q change. Yes. A. So that is I didn't know that at the time of providing that information to the Inquiry, and that is the purpose why the date range is from 2015. Because I think I don't know why 2015, though. Q. Perhaps you were casting the net as wide	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	on your personal device. To start with, Mr Richardson. Mr Richardson disclosed the messages between your personal phones to the Inquiry in June 2023. Later in December 2024, we also obtained the messages between you and Mr Richardson from the image of your phone taken by Mr McVea. If we go to C7005, please, there we can see the exchanges between you and Mr Richardson. The first point that I want to check is over the page on 7006. There is a message halfway down - well it is towards the bottom of your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. Yes, correct. A. So Q. Which coincides with the time when the A. New phones came in. Q change. Yes. A. So that is I didn't know that at the time of providing that information to the Inquiry, and that is the purpose why the date range is from 2015. Because I think I don't know why 2015, though. Q. Perhaps you were casting the net as wide as possible. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	on your personal device. To start with, Mr Richardson. Mr Richardson disclosed the messages between your personal phones to the Inquiry in June 2023. Later in December 2024, we also obtained the messages between you and Mr Richardson from the image of your phone taken by Mr McVea. If we go to C7005, please, there we can see the exchanges between you and Mr Richardson. The first point that I want to check is over the page on 7006. There is a message halfway down - well it is towards the bottom of your screen of 30 April 2020. Then the next
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. Yes, correct. A. So Q. Which coincides with the time when the A. New phones came in. Q change. Yes. A. So that is I didn't know that at the time of providing that information to the Inquiry, and that is the purpose why the date range is from 2015. Because I think I don't know why 2015, though. Q. Perhaps you were casting the net as wide as possible. A. No, no, no, no, no. There must be a specific 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	on your personal device. To start with, Mr Richardson. Mr Richardson disclosed the messages between your personal phones to the Inquiry in June 2023. Later in December 2024, we also obtained the messages between you and Mr Richardson from the image of your phone taken by Mr McVea. If we go to C7005, please, there we can see the exchanges between you and Mr Richardson. The first point that I want to check is over the page on 7006. There is a message halfway down - well it is towards the bottom of your screen of 30 April 2020. Then the next message that we can see is on 22 May. So,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. Yes, correct. A. So Q. Which coincides with the time when the A. New phones came in. Q change. Yes. A. So that is I didn't know that at the time of providing that information to the Inquiry, and that is the purpose why the date range is from 2015. Because I think I don't know why 2015, though. Q. Perhaps you were casting the net as wide as possible. A. No, no, no, no. There must be a specific reason. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	on your personal device. To start with, Mr Richardson. Mr Richardson disclosed the messages between your personal phones to the Inquiry in June 2023. Later in December 2024, we also obtained the messages between you and Mr Richardson from the image of your phone taken by Mr McVea. If we go to C7005, please, there we can see the exchanges between you and Mr Richardson. The first point that I want to check is over the page on 7006. There is a message halfway down - well it is towards the bottom of your screen of 30 April 2020. Then the next message that we can see is on 22 May. So, there are no messages between you and Mr
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. Yes, correct. A. So Q. Which coincides with the time when the A. New phones came in. Q change. Yes. A. So that is I didn't know that at the time of providing that information to the Inquiry, and that is the purpose why the date range is from 2015. Because I think I don't know why 2015, though. Q. Perhaps you were casting the net as wide as possible. A. No, no, no, no. There must be a specific reason. Q. Yes, perhaps I overheard Mr Wagner	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	on your personal device. To start with, Mr Richardson. Mr Richardson disclosed the messages between your personal phones to the Inquiry in June 2023. Later in December 2024, we also obtained the messages between you and Mr Richardson from the image of your phone taken by Mr McVea. If we go to C7005, please, there we can see the exchanges between you and Mr Richardson. The first point that I want to check is over the page on 7006. There is a message halfway down - well it is towards the bottom of your screen of 30 April 2020. Then the next message that we can see is on 22 May. So, there are no messages between you and Mr Richardson from 30 April to 22 May. Can
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. Yes, correct. A. So Q. Which coincides with the time when the A. New phones came in. Q change. Yes. A. So that is I didn't know that at the time of providing that information to the Inquiry, and that is the purpose why the date range is from 2015. Because I think I don't know why 2015, though. Q. Perhaps you were casting the net as wide as possible. A. No, no, no, no. There must be a specific reason. Q. Yes, perhaps I overheard Mr Wagner talking about Alcaidessa, so perhaps	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	on your personal device. To start with, Mr Richardson. Mr Richardson disclosed the messages between your personal phones to the Inquiry in June 2023. Later in December 2024, we also obtained the messages between you and Mr Richardson from the image of your phone taken by Mr McVea. If we go to C7005, please, there we can see the exchanges between you and Mr Richardson. The first point that I want to check is over the page on 7006. There is a message halfway down - well it is towards the bottom of your screen of 30 April 2020. Then the next message that we can see is on 22 May. So, there are no messages between you and Mr Richardson from 30 April to 22 May. Can you offer any explanation as to why there are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. Yes, correct. A. So Q. Which coincides with the time when the A. New phones came in. Q change. Yes. A. So that is I didn't know that at the time of providing that information to the Inquiry, and that is the purpose why the date range is from 2015. Because I think I don't know why 2015, though. Q. Perhaps you were casting the net as wide as possible. A. No, no, no, no. There must be a specific reason. Q. Yes, perhaps I overheard Mr Wagner talking about Alcaidessa, so perhaps A. There we go. There we go.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	on your personal device. To start with, Mr Richardson. Mr Richardson disclosed the messages between your personal phones to the Inquiry in June 2023. Later in December 2024, we also obtained the messages between you and Mr Richardson from the image of your phone taken by Mr McVea. If we go to C7005, please, there we can see the exchanges between you and Mr Richardson. The first point that I want to check is over the page on 7006. There is a message halfway down - well it is towards the bottom of your screen of 30 April 2020. Then the next message that we can see is on 22 May. So, there are no messages between you and Mr Richardson from 30 April to 22 May. Can you offer any explanation as to why there are no messages between those two dates?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. Yes, correct. A. So Q. Which coincides with the time when the A. New phones came in. Q change. Yes. A. So that is I didn't know that at the time of providing that information to the Inquiry, and that is the purpose why the date range is from 2015. Because I think I don't know why 2015, though. Q. Perhaps you were casting the net as wide as possible. A. No, no, no, no. There must be a specific reason. Q. Yes, perhaps I overheard Mr Wagner talking about Alcaidessa, so perhaps A. There we go. There we go. Q. Yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	on your personal device. To start with, Mr Richardson. Mr Richardson disclosed the messages between your personal phones to the Inquiry in June 2023. Later in December 2024, we also obtained the messages between you and Mr Richardson from the image of your phone taken by Mr McVea. If we go to C7005, please, there we can see the exchanges between you and Mr Richardson. The first point that I want to check is over the page on 7006. There is a message halfway down - well it is towards the bottom of your screen of 30 April 2020. Then the next message that we can see is on 22 May. So, there are no messages between you and Mr Richardson from 30 April to 22 May. Can you offer any explanation as to why there are no messages between those two dates? A. There are no messages there because
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. Yes, correct. A. So Q. Which coincides with the time when the A. New phones came in. Q change. Yes. A. So that is I didn't know that at the time of providing that information to the Inquiry, and that is the purpose why the date range is from 2015. Because I think I don't know why 2015, though. Q. Perhaps you were casting the net as wide as possible. A. No, no, no, no. There must be a specific reason. Q. Yes, perhaps I overheard Mr Wagner talking about Alcaidessa, so perhaps A. There we go. There we go. Q. Yes. A. Yes, thank you, Mr Wagner.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	on your personal device. To start with, Mr Richardson. Mr Richardson disclosed the messages between your personal phones to the Inquiry in June 2023. Later in December 2024, we also obtained the messages between you and Mr Richardson from the image of your phone taken by Mr McVea. If we go to C7005, please, there we can see the exchanges between you and Mr Richardson. The first point that I want to check is over the page on 7006. There is a message halfway down - well it is towards the bottom of your screen of 30 April 2020. Then the next message that we can see is on 22 May. So, there are no messages between you and Mr Richardson from 30 April to 22 May. Can you offer any explanation as to why there are no messages between those two dates? A. There are no messages there because maybe we did not exchange any messages.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. Yes, correct. A. So Q. Which coincides with the time when the A. New phones came in. Q change. Yes. A. So that is I didn't know that at the time of providing that information to the Inquiry, and that is the purpose why the date range is from 2015. Because I think I don't know why 2015, though. Q. Perhaps you were casting the net as wide as possible. A. No, no, no, no. There must be a specific reason. Q. Yes, perhaps I overheard Mr Wagner talking about Alcaidessa, so perhaps A. There we go. There we go. Q. Yes. A. Yes, thank you, Mr Wagner. Q. Mr Wagner helpfully points out, in G 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	on your personal device. To start with, Mr Richardson. Mr Richardson disclosed the messages between your personal phones to the Inquiry in June 2023. Later in December 2024, we also obtained the messages between you and Mr Richardson from the image of your phone taken by Mr McVea. If we go to C7005, please, there we can see the exchanges between you and Mr Richardson. The first point that I want to check is over the page on 7006. There is a message halfway down - well it is towards the bottom of your screen of 30 April 2020. Then the next message that we can see is on 22 May. So, there are no messages between you and Mr Richardson from 30 April to 22 May. Can you offer any explanation as to why there are no messages between those two dates? A. There are no messages there because maybe we did not exchange any messages. That is the most obvious explanation there.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. Yes, correct. A. So Q. Which coincides with the time when the A. New phones came in. Q change. Yes. A. So that is I didn't know that at the time of providing that information to the Inquiry, and that is the purpose why the date range is from 2015. Because I think I don't know why 2015, though. Q. Perhaps you were casting the net as wide as possible. A. No, no, no, no. There must be a specific reason. Q. Yes, perhaps I overheard Mr Wagner talking about Alcaidessa, so perhaps A. There we go. There we go. Q. Yes. A. Yes, thank you, Mr Wagner. Q. Mr Wagner helpfully points out, in G there is a reference to Alcaidessa. Just going 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	on your personal device. To start with, Mr Richardson. Mr Richardson disclosed the messages between your personal phones to the Inquiry in June 2023. Later in December 2024, we also obtained the messages between you and Mr Richardson from the image of your phone taken by Mr McVea. If we go to C7005, please, there we can see the exchanges between you and Mr Richardson. The first point that I want to check is over the page on 7006. There is a message halfway down - well it is towards the bottom of your screen of 30 April 2020. Then the next message that we can see is on 22 May. So, there are no messages between you and Mr Richardson from 30 April to 22 May. Can you offer any explanation as to why there are no messages between those two dates? A. There are no messages there because maybe we did not exchange any messages. That is the most obvious explanation there. Mr Richardson, I don't know his schedule at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. Yes, correct. A. So Q. Which coincides with the time when the A. New phones came in. Q change. Yes. A. So that is I didn't know that at the time of providing that information to the Inquiry, and that is the purpose why the date range is from 2015. Because I think I don't know why 2015, though. Q. Perhaps you were casting the net as wide as possible. A. No, no, no, no. There must be a specific reason. Q. Yes, perhaps I overheard Mr Wagner talking about Alcaidessa, so perhaps A. There we go. There we go. Q. Yes. A. Yes, thank you, Mr Wagner. Q. Mr Wagner helpfully points out, in G there is a reference to Alcaidessa. Just going back to my original question, this did not 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	on your personal device. To start with, Mr Richardson. Mr Richardson disclosed the messages between your personal phones to the Inquiry in June 2023. Later in December 2024, we also obtained the messages between you and Mr Richardson from the image of your phone taken by Mr McVea. If we go to C7005, please, there we can see the exchanges between you and Mr Richardson. The first point that I want to check is over the page on 7006. There is a message halfway down - well it is towards the bottom of your screen of 30 April 2020. Then the next message that we can see is on 22 May. So, there are no messages between you and Mr Richardson from 30 April to 22 May. Can you offer any explanation as to why there are no messages between those two dates? A. There are no messages there because maybe we did not exchange any messages. That is the most obvious explanation there. Mr Richardson, I don't know his schedule at the time or neither do I know mine. It may
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. Yes, correct. A. So Q. Which coincides with the time when the A. New phones came in. Q change. Yes. A. So that is I didn't know that at the time of providing that information to the Inquiry, and that is the purpose why the date range is from 2015. Because I think I don't know why 2015, though. Q. Perhaps you were casting the net as wide as possible. A. No, no, no, no. There must be a specific reason. Q. Yes, perhaps I overheard Mr Wagner talking about Alcaidessa, so perhaps A. There we go. There we go. Q. Yes. A. Yes, thank you, Mr Wagner. Q. Mr Wagner helpfully points out, in G there is a reference to Alcaidessa. Just going 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	on your personal device. To start with, Mr Richardson. Mr Richardson disclosed the messages between your personal phones to the Inquiry in June 2023. Later in December 2024, we also obtained the messages between you and Mr Richardson from the image of your phone taken by Mr McVea. If we go to C7005, please, there we can see the exchanges between you and Mr Richardson. The first point that I want to check is over the page on 7006. There is a message halfway down - well it is towards the bottom of your screen of 30 April 2020. Then the next message that we can see is on 22 May. So, there are no messages between you and Mr Richardson from 30 April to 22 May. Can you offer any explanation as to why there are no messages between those two dates? A. There are no messages there because maybe we did not exchange any messages. That is the most obvious explanation there. Mr Richardson, I don't know his schedule at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. Yes, correct. A. So Q. Which coincides with the time when the A. New phones came in. Q change. Yes. A. So that is I didn't know that at the time of providing that information to the Inquiry, and that is the purpose why the date range is from 2015. Because I think I don't know why 2015, though. Q. Perhaps you were casting the net as wide as possible. A. No, no, no, no. There must be a specific reason. Q. Yes, perhaps I overheard Mr Wagner talking about Alcaidessa, so perhaps A. There we go. There we go. Q. Yes. A. Yes, thank you, Mr Wagner. Q. Mr Wagner helpfully points out, in G there is a reference to Alcaidessa. Just going back to my original question, this did not 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	on your personal device. To start with, Mr Richardson. Mr Richardson disclosed the messages between your personal phones to the Inquiry in June 2023. Later in December 2024, we also obtained the messages between you and Mr Richardson from the image of your phone taken by Mr McVea. If we go to C7005, please, there we can see the exchanges between you and Mr Richardson. The first point that I want to check is over the page on 7006. There is a message halfway down - well it is towards the bottom of your screen of 30 April 2020. Then the next message that we can see is on 22 May. So, there are no messages between you and Mr Richardson from 30 April to 22 May. Can you offer any explanation as to why there are no messages between those two dates? A. There are no messages there because maybe we did not exchange any messages. That is the most obvious explanation there. Mr Richardson, I don't know his schedule at the time or neither do I know mine. It may

been away from the country or on leave and 1 relates to the instruction for me to retire from 2 2 there have been no requirement to message 3 3 Q. Well, we have that audio file. It is the each other. 4 4 Q. Well, it is quite an intense period of time conversation, the exchange, the conversation 5 in Operation Delhi; the time of the search 5 that you had with Mr --6 warrants, the time of the applications for the 6 A. But, I think -- that conversation is after I 7 search warrants. 7 have been instructed to be -- to retire by the, 8 8 by the GPA, and I tell -- Mr Llamas called A. A lot of that conversation surrounding 9 9 me and I tell him that it's got nothing to do Delhi was done face to face and emails. So, I 10 10 haven't -- to be honest, I haven't drawn -with the HMIC or the -- that the reasons for 11 gone to because it's been a laborious task. 11 why I am being instructed to leave has 12 But I am sure that either the RGP or the 12 nothing to do with HMIC or the collision at 13 Inquiry could check to see whether there was 13 sea; that it is to do with Delhi. 14 Q. Delhi. Yes. 14 any exchanges of emails during that period 15 15 which, if anything, fills this gap that is being A. And he goes -- and he doesn't -- so that --16 16 looked at as suspicious and when gaps in that is -- but it is not related to the Delhi 17 17 communications, as I understand Mr investigation itself. It's to do with the 18 Richardson has alluded to, are frequent. 18 circumstances of why I ended up receiving 19 19 Q. But we do know that you were in those two letters on 22 May. 20 20 communication on 12 May by WhatsApp Q. But my question to you is that given your 21 message and we do not have that message, 21 phone habits and given that there were 22 22 which is why we are asking these questions. message exchanges between Mr Richardson 23 23 A. But the 12 May of message was not on and you about Operation Delhi on this phone, 24 24 is it likely that you would have switched to this phone. 25 25 Q. Well, we look at 30 April and Mr your work numbers during these weeks Page 49 Page 51 1 Richardson says to you at 1:27, "Was 1 between 30 April and 22 May to discuss 2 thinking more about the reason for 2 Operation Delhi matters? 3 3 A. Like I say, discussions of Operation Delhi monitoring the conversation and by whom. 4 Did you see Fischel's latest email? He sets 4 mainly took place by face-to-face, sat across 5 5 the table. I wouldn't -- I do not think that Mr out the government position for ownership, 6 which was omitted from the original letter." 6 Richardson had the time to make discussions. 7 7 So that message relates to Operation Delhi, Messaging of the sort, as you can see, are 8 8 does it not? snippet requests or snippet bits of 9 9 A. Yes, to, I think, a meeting that was going information. It is not a full blown discussion. 10 10 to be scheduled at the bunker in Number Six Q. My question to you is, would it have 11 11 taken place via personal phone or by work with the Chief Secretary, which I believe I 12 attended to at the request of Mr Richardson, 12 phones? 13 13 A. If there was any discussion, I think it with Mr Wyan. 14 O. Then --14 would have been work phone. Sorry, that -15 15 the way I am more principally A. And Mr DeVincenzi was present too. 16 16 Q. Then if we look at, for example, 22 May, communicating with them. That gap, I can 17 17 there is an audio file relating to Mr McGrail only suggest, is filled by checking to see 18 18 - sorry, relating to the Attorney General, whether we had emails exchanging during 19 19 where you take a call from the Attorney them. And just because there was not a 20 General. That, again, was related to 20 WhatsApp message does not mean to say that 21 21 Operation Delhi. it was not discussed. 22 22 Q. Can we now look at this audio recording A. I didn't even register at all about that. 23 23 Q. Yes, but my question is: does that relate that we just -- can we focus on that audio 24 24 recording. Why did you not disclose that to Operation Delhi? audio recording to the Inquiry with your 25 A. On the 22nd? I think that that - no. That 25 Page 50 Page 52

witness statements, in 2022? 1 This is a forensic image that -- well, these are 2 2 A. I did not even know that I had it because I WhatsApp exchanges between you and Mr 3 3 Richardson that have been recovered from had not checked the -- I had not checked the 4 4 the forensic image taken of your phone. The text messages with my former colleagues. I 5 didn't even know that I had it. 5 first message that we can see there is dated 5 6 6 Q. You did not know that you had an audio June 2020. 7 recording of your conversation with Mr --7 A. Yes. 8 8 Q. If we go back to Mr Richardson's phone, A. Well, obviously I must have known that I 9 had it when it was sent to me, but I didn't 9 C7002, we will see that the messages go back 10 10 rely on it because I had not checked my to 14 February 2019. This is a matter which 11 11 -- and if we go to C7007, you will see that 5 phone in my preparation for my evidence. 12 Q. I understand that, but did you not recall 12 June message and then prior to that there are 13 from previously --13 messages on the 27th, the 26th, the 23rd and 14 14 the 22nd of May, including that audio file. A. No. 15 15 Q. -- that you had --A. Mmh. 16 16 A. No. No. Q. We have asked, as I say, we have asked 17 Q. That there had been a recording of your 17 DC Garcia to explain the discrepancy 18 conversation with --18 between those messages. Are you aware of 19 19 A. At -any reason why the messages on your -- on 20 20 Q. Let me just finish the question. You had the forensic image of your phone do not go 21 had a conversation, a very -- quite a seminal 21 back as far as Mr Richardson's? 22 22 conversation with Mr Llamas which had A. I wish I could help you, but I have not got 23 23 been recorded by Mr Richardson, and are the foggiest of how that works. 24 you saying you did not recall that you 24 Q. DC Garcia, we asked him to explain that 25 25 possessed a recording of that? and he came up with two explanations. One Page 53 Page 55 1 A. I did not recall the recording at all. 1 of the explanations, potential explanations, 2 Q. So, you are not --2 that he gives, is that the lack of messages 3 3 A. I recall the conversation, but I do not -- I going back before 5 June 2020 could be 4 cannot recall Mr Richardson recording it. If 4 attributed to a change of phone? You did not 5 5 he did so, I cannot recall that, and evidently change your phone in June 2020, did you? 6 he sent it. And I -- that has not featured in my 6 A. No. No. 7 7 Q. So do you have any other explanation for mind at all because -- or else, I would have 8 been able to frame my evidence in 8 why the messages abruptly start on 5 June? 9 9 accordance with what the audio says. And I A. No, I haven't whatsoever. That's for 10 think there is a difference in what my 10 forensic computer experts to highlight. I 11 recollection is to what the audio is. 11 cannot offer the slightest of opinions or 12 Q. Because you had recorded the meetings 12 views on that. I am sorry. 13 of the 13th, the 15th and the 20th, but that 13 Q. Now, towards the end of the main Inquiry 14 14 was two days prior to this conversation. hearing on 8 May 2020, your old laptop was 15 15 discovered in an office used by the now A. Exactly. 16 Q. But is your evidence that you were not 16 retired Inspector Paul Barker. This is dealt 17 aware that Mr Richardson was recording this 17 with by Mr Yeats in his evidence. Can we 18 conversation? 18 turn to DC Garcia's report, which is at E48, 19 19 please? Here, we looked at this yesterday, A. I cannot be certain that I was aware. I 20 20 cannot be certain. He certainly -- I cannot and he sets out a report containing his 21 remember him putting his phone out, 21 findings in relation to his examination of the 22 22 recording it like that. I cannot be -- I would laptop. I just want to look at the fourth 23 be misguiding you if I said I was aware. 23 paragraph on that page, which says, "As part 24 24 Q. If we can now look at the forensic image of my enquiries, I contacted, by telephone, 25 of your phone. These can be found at E833. 25 Mr Paul Barker on Wednesday 12 June 2024 Page 54 Page 56

at about 10:45 hours and asked him about his 1 THE CHAIRMAN: No, no. 2 2 use of the said laptop. Mr Barker confirmed A. -- but I know that the way we worked 3 3 that he has been using this laptop for several was practically an open sort of arrangement. 4 4 years, since early 2020, when he was staff THE CHAIRMAN: And in particular, you 5 officer to Mr McGrail, and media officer. He 5 did not give him the password to your device 6 had the password to access Mr McGrail's 6 after you had left the service? 7 profile and had his permission from him to 7 A. No, certainly not. Certainly not. I don't 8 do so. He then continued to use the laptop 8 even recall, or have the foggiest of what the 9 9 for his duties until his retirement in early password was. 10 10 2024. Why did you give the password to THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. 11 11 **Inspector Barker?** A. I don't, I don't... No way. No, no... at 12 (11.10)12 all, at all. And I think there is a footprint left 13 A. I cannot specifically remember giving it 13 whenever you... Well, a digital footprint 14 14 to him, but I'm not -- I don't (?) dispute, left, as to when the -- any profile is accessed. 15 them man, I had a -- have high regard for this 15 And I believe my profile was accessed after I 16 16 gentleman, he was my staff officer, ex-17 17 military Intelligence Corps. I had him as a --Q. Yes. I am about to ask you about that. 18 a well-regarded, seasoned officer, I trusted 18 THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. 19 19 him. So, I -- he worked with me on a daily A. Which I find odd. 20 20 basis, and maybe for fluid-- for business THE CHAIRMAN: We will come to that, 21 fluidity I did. I cannot specifically remember 21 but I am just investigating, if you can help us 22 22 that, but I'm not going to dispute it. 23 23 Q. Did you give your password to anybody A. Yes. 24 24 else? THE CHAIRMAN: -- to when it was that 25 25 A. Perhaps my PA and personal secretary. you gave the password. And your answer is Page 57 Page 59 1 One of whom (?) --1 that it was during --2 2 A. During my service. Q. Any --3 3 THE CHAIRMAN: -- during your service? A. No, nobody else. That -- the 4 commissioner's suite had four staff members 4 A. Yes. Yes. For example, if if --5 5 in addition to the commissioner. There was THE CHAIRMAN: It is okay, we will leave 6 the media officer, a police officer and a 6 it at that for the moment. 7 7 Q. The next paragraph says, "Please note civilian pol-- media officer, and two support 8 staff who are not police officers, in terms of 8 that on the basis of Mr Barker's explanation, 9 9 secretarial duties. And I trusted them he would only have had access to the laptop 10 10 implicitly. But I can't be sure, I'm just locally. Mr Barker stated he would then 11 remotely access his own RGP profile and not saying that if I did -- shared it, it would have 11 12 been with them. 12 Mr McGrail's. He also only had access to his 13 THE CHAIRMAN: If you did give him the 13 own emails." And then, if we go to 52, 14 14 password, when are you likely to have done section 2, "The user profiles installed". The 15 that? Was that during your service? 15 second paragraph under that says, "Although 16 A. Yes, absolutely, absolutely. 16 the Ian McGrail profile has not been 17 THE CHAIRMAN: It is a long -- he was 17 registered as having been used in a long time, 18 your staff officer --18 there clearly is plenty of user activity after 20 19 19 August 2018. Therefore, I cannot explain A. Yeah. 20 20 THE CHAIRMAN: -- and so he had access why the last login for this profile is registered 21 to your --21 as at that date, when clearly there is evidence 22 22 showing that the profile was being used well A. My files. 23 THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. 23 after that date. For example, there is 24 A. If -- I cannot remember specifically 24 evidence of user activity on 23 June 2020 at 25 giving it to him --25 1547 hours, with a deletion of an audio file Page 58 Page 60

1	with the following name:	1	A. Ah okay.
2	'MeetingwithAGandDPP20May.m4a'. This	2	Q on 23 June and once on"
3	audio file is also deleted a further two times:	3	A. I am I'm with you and I beg your
4	once also on 23 June 2020 at 1546 hours and	4	pardon, I beg your pardon. I cannot recall,
5	another one at an earlier date of 4 June 2020	5	but if the laptop was in my possession, I must
6	at 0701. There is also a further deleted Word	6	have deleted it. So, the explanations that I
7	document in the Recycle Bin." We do not	7	can give is that I exported it. It must have
8	have to look at that. And then it says, "All	8	been exported to the laptop and then onto a
9	artefacts which I have analysed to answer	9	hard drive.
10	these questions have been created by the	10	Q. And why did you delete it at that point?
11	profile 'Ian McGrail'. 23 June 2020 is	11	A. Because it was already saved on the hard
12	obviously after you departed from the RGP.	12	drive. I cannot
13	A. Yes, a for two weeks later.	13	Q. What was the problem with it remaining
14	Q. Did you access your laptop on 23 June	14	on your work laptop?
15	and delete that file?	15	A. There is no problem, but I suppose it was
16	A. How I couldn't have access it because I	16	a very sensitive matter.
17	left it behind.	17	THE CHAIRMAN: I am sorry, I did not
18	Q. Did you ask Mr Barker to access your	18	catch your answer.
19	laptop?	19	A. Sorry. There was no specific problem to
20	A. Certainly not.	20	having left it in the in on the laptop, but
21	Q. Were you aware of anyone else accessing	21	it was a sensitive matter and it merited that
22	your laptop?	22	sort of level of of discreetness.
23	A. Not at all.	23	Q. So, your evidence is that you believe that
24	Q. Were you aware of anyone deleting those	24	you deleted the message, the recording on 4
25	files?	25	June, but you are unaware of how there came
	Page 61		Page 63
1	A. N. C. A. N.		
1	A. Not at all.	1	to be further deletions on 23 June.
2			to be further deletions on 23 June. A. I was not in service then.
	Q. What about on 4 June, which was	2	A. I was not in service then.
2	Q. What about on 4 June, which wasA. I'm I'm glad that the file in question		A. I was not in service then.Q. And now I just want to address one point
2 3	Q. What about on 4 June, which was A. I'm I'm glad that the file in question was on my 4000 phone, or else that would	2 3	A. I was not in service then.Q. And now I just want to address one point in relation to the hard-copy documents. Your
2 3 4	Q. What about on 4 June, which was A. I'm I'm glad that the file in question was on my 4000 phone, or else that would have been a problem. And in fact I disclosed	2 3 4	A. I was not in service then.Q. And now I just want to address one point
2 3 4 5	Q. What about on 4 June, which was A. I'm I'm glad that the file in question was on my 4000 phone, or else that would	2 3 4 5	A. I was not in service then. Q. And now I just want to address one point in relation to the hard-copy documents. Your evidence in your eighth statement is that you
2 3 4 5 6	Q. What about on 4 June, which was A. I'm I'm glad that the file in question was on my 4000 phone, or else that would have been a problem. And in fact I disclosed it from my 4000 phone, exported from my	2 3 4 5 6	A. I was not in service then. Q. And now I just want to address one point in relation to the hard-copy documents. Your evidence in your eighth statement is that you did not destroy any documents other than
2 3 4 5 6 7	Q. What about on 4 June, which was A. I'm I'm glad that the file in question was on my 4000 phone, or else that would have been a problem. And in fact I disclosed it from my 4000 phone, exported from my 4000 phone.	2 3 4 5 6 7	A. I was not in service then. Q. And now I just want to address one point in relation to the hard-copy documents. Your evidence in your eighth statement is that you did not destroy any documents other than under the direction and request of the RGP.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. What about on 4 June, which was A. I'm I'm glad that the file in question was on my 4000 phone, or else that would have been a problem. And in fact I disclosed it from my 4000 phone, exported from my 4000 phone. Q. What about 4 June, 2020 at 7 in the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. I was not in service then. Q. And now I just want to address one point in relation to the hard-copy documents. Your evidence in your eighth statement is that you did not destroy any documents other than under the direction and request of the RGP. Can we please go to the transcript for day
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. What about on 4 June, which was A. I'm I'm glad that the file in question was on my 4000 phone, or else that would have been a problem. And in fact I disclosed it from my 4000 phone, exported from my 4000 phone. Q. What about 4 June, 2020 at 7 in the morning?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. I was not in service then. Q. And now I just want to address one point in relation to the hard-copy documents. Your evidence in your eighth statement is that you did not destroy any documents other than under the direction and request of the RGP. Can we please go to the transcript for day seven, page 30. Okay, so page 30, line 12.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. What about on 4 June, which was A. I'm I'm glad that the file in question was on my 4000 phone, or else that would have been a problem. And in fact I disclosed it from my 4000 phone, exported from my 4000 phone. Q. What about 4 June, 2020 at 7 in the morning? A. 4 June?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. I was not in service then. Q. And now I just want to address one point in relation to the hard-copy documents. Your evidence in your eighth statement is that you did not destroy any documents other than under the direction and request of the RGP. Can we please go to the transcript for day seven, page 30. Okay, so page 30, line 12. This is my questions to you at the end of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q. What about on 4 June, which was A. I'm I'm glad that the file in question was on my 4000 phone, or else that would have been a problem. And in fact I disclosed it from my 4000 phone, exported from my 4000 phone. Q. What about 4 June, 2020 at 7 in the morning? A. 4 June? Q. 4 June, which is prior to your departure. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. I was not in service then. Q. And now I just want to address one point in relation to the hard-copy documents. Your evidence in your eighth statement is that you did not destroy any documents other than under the direction and request of the RGP. Can we please go to the transcript for day seven, page 30. Okay, so page 30, line 12. This is my questions to you at the end of your evidence no, sorry, it is on the second
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q. What about on 4 June, which was A. I'm I'm glad that the file in question was on my 4000 phone, or else that would have been a problem. And in fact I disclosed it from my 4000 phone, exported from my 4000 phone. Q. What about 4 June, 2020 at 7 in the morning? A. 4 June? Q. 4 June, which is prior to your departure. Were you aware of that deletion of the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. I was not in service then. Q. And now I just want to address one point in relation to the hard-copy documents. Your evidence in your eighth statement is that you did not destroy any documents other than under the direction and request of the RGP. Can we please go to the transcript for day seven, page 30. Okay, so page 30, line 12. This is my questions to you at the end of your evidence no, sorry, it is on the second day of your evidence. And I said, "On 24 March 2023 your lawyer, Charles Gomez, said that you had after your retirement,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. What about on 4 June, which was A. I'm I'm glad that the file in question was on my 4000 phone, or else that would have been a problem. And in fact I disclosed it from my 4000 phone, exported from my 4000 phone. Q. What about 4 June, 2020 at 7 in the morning? A. 4 June? Q. 4 June, which is prior to your departure. Were you aware of that deletion of the recording of the meeting with the AG and the DPP? A. Where? I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. I was not in service then. Q. And now I just want to address one point in relation to the hard-copy documents. Your evidence in your eighth statement is that you did not destroy any documents other than under the direction and request of the RGP. Can we please go to the transcript for day seven, page 30. Okay, so page 30, line 12. This is my questions to you at the end of your evidence no, sorry, it is on the second day of your evidence. And I said, "On 24 March 2023 your lawyer, Charles Gomez, said that you had after your retirement, retained some personal files because you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. What about on 4 June, which was A. I'm I'm glad that the file in question was on my 4000 phone, or else that would have been a problem. And in fact I disclosed it from my 4000 phone, exported from my 4000 phone. Q. What about 4 June, 2020 at 7 in the morning? A. 4 June? Q. 4 June, which is prior to your departure. Were you aware of that deletion of the recording of the meeting with the AG and the DPP? A. Where? I Q. Three lines from the bottom, "another one	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. I was not in service then. Q. And now I just want to address one point in relation to the hard-copy documents. Your evidence in your eighth statement is that you did not destroy any documents other than under the direction and request of the RGP. Can we please go to the transcript for day seven, page 30. Okay, so page 30, line 12. This is my questions to you at the end of your evidence no, sorry, it is on the second day of your evidence. And I said, "On 24 March 2023 your lawyer, Charles Gomez, said that you had after your retirement, retained some personal files because you were concerned that a criminal conspiracy
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. What about on 4 June, which was A. I'm I'm glad that the file in question was on my 4000 phone, or else that would have been a problem. And in fact I disclosed it from my 4000 phone, exported from my 4000 phone. Q. What about 4 June, 2020 at 7 in the morning? A. 4 June? Q. 4 June, which is prior to your departure. Were you aware of that deletion of the recording of the meeting with the AG and the DPP? A. Where? I Q. Three lines from the bottom, "another one at an earlier date of 4 June, 2020 at 0701."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. I was not in service then. Q. And now I just want to address one point in relation to the hard-copy documents. Your evidence in your eighth statement is that you did not destroy any documents other than under the direction and request of the RGP. Can we please go to the transcript for day seven, page 30. Okay, so page 30, line 12. This is my questions to you at the end of your evidence no, sorry, it is on the second day of your evidence. And I said, "On 24 March 2023 your lawyer, Charles Gomez, said that you had after your retirement, retained some personal files because you were concerned that a criminal conspiracy was being covered up. Was the criminal
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. What about on 4 June, which was A. I'm I'm glad that the file in question was on my 4000 phone, or else that would have been a problem. And in fact I disclosed it from my 4000 phone, exported from my 4000 phone. Q. What about 4 June, 2020 at 7 in the morning? A. 4 June? Q. 4 June, which is prior to your departure. Were you aware of that deletion of the recording of the meeting with the AG and the DPP? A. Where? I Q. Three lines from the bottom, "another one at an earlier date of 4 June, 2020 at 0701." A. That would have been during my time in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. I was not in service then. Q. And now I just want to address one point in relation to the hard-copy documents. Your evidence in your eighth statement is that you did not destroy any documents other than under the direction and request of the RGP. Can we please go to the transcript for day seven, page 30. Okay, so page 30, line 12. This is my questions to you at the end of your evidence no, sorry, it is on the second day of your evidence. And I said, "On 24 March 2023 your lawyer, Charles Gomez, said that you had after your retirement, retained some personal files because you were concerned that a criminal conspiracy was being covered up. Was the criminal conspiracy that Mr Gomez referred to the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. What about on 4 June, which was A. I'm I'm glad that the file in question was on my 4000 phone, or else that would have been a problem. And in fact I disclosed it from my 4000 phone, exported from my 4000 phone. Q. What about 4 June, 2020 at 7 in the morning? A. 4 June? Q. 4 June, which is prior to your departure. Were you aware of that deletion of the recording of the meeting with the AG and the DPP? A. Where? I Q. Three lines from the bottom, "another one at an earlier date of 4 June, 2020 at 0701." A. That would have been during my time in service.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. I was not in service then. Q. And now I just want to address one point in relation to the hard-copy documents. Your evidence in your eighth statement is that you did not destroy any documents other than under the direction and request of the RGP. Can we please go to the transcript for day seven, page 30. Okay, so page 30, line 12. This is my questions to you at the end of your evidence — no, sorry, it is on the second day of your evidence. And I said, "On 24 March 2023 your lawyer, Charles Gomez, said that you had after your retirement, retained some personal files because you were concerned that a criminal conspiracy was being covered up. Was the criminal conspiracy that Mr Gomez referred to the alleged conspiracy investigated in Operation
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. What about on 4 June, which was A. I'm I'm glad that the file in question was on my 4000 phone, or else that would have been a problem. And in fact I disclosed it from my 4000 phone, exported from my 4000 phone. Q. What about 4 June, 2020 at 7 in the morning? A. 4 June? Q. 4 June, which is prior to your departure. Were you aware of that deletion of the recording of the meeting with the AG and the DPP? A. Where? I Q. Three lines from the bottom, "another one at an earlier date of 4 June, 2020 at 0701." A. That would have been during my time in service. Q. Yes, that is why I am asking.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. I was not in service then. Q. And now I just want to address one point in relation to the hard-copy documents. Your evidence in your eighth statement is that you did not destroy any documents other than under the direction and request of the RGP. Can we please go to the transcript for day seven, page 30. Okay, so page 30, line 12. This is my questions to you at the end of your evidence no, sorry, it is on the second day of your evidence. And I said, "On 24 March 2023 your lawyer, Charles Gomez, said that you had after your retirement, retained some personal files because you were concerned that a criminal conspiracy was being covered up. Was the criminal conspiracy that Mr Gomez referred to the alleged conspiracy investigated in Operation Delhi?" You said, "The Delhi conspiracy
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. What about on 4 June, which was A. I'm I'm glad that the file in question was on my 4000 phone, or else that would have been a problem. And in fact I disclosed it from my 4000 phone, exported from my 4000 phone. Q. What about 4 June, 2020 at 7 in the morning? A. 4 June? Q. 4 June, which is prior to your departure. Were you aware of that deletion of the recording of the meeting with the AG and the DPP? A. Where? I Q. Three lines from the bottom, "another one at an earlier date of 4 June, 2020 at 0701." A. That would have been during my time in service. Q. Yes, that is why I am asking. A. But it does not say that there's any	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. I was not in service then. Q. And now I just want to address one point in relation to the hard-copy documents. Your evidence in your eighth statement is that you did not destroy any documents other than under the direction and request of the RGP. Can we please go to the transcript for day seven, page 30. Okay, so page 30, line 12. This is my questions to you at the end of your evidence no, sorry, it is on the second day of your evidence. And I said, "On 24 March 2023 your lawyer, Charles Gomez, said that you had after your retirement, retained some personal files because you were concerned that a criminal conspiracy was being covered up. Was the criminal conspiracy that Mr Gomez referred to the alleged conspiracy investigated in Operation Delhi?" You said, "The Delhi conspiracy was on its own, and then there was a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. What about on 4 June, which was A. I'm I'm glad that the file in question was on my 4000 phone, or else that would have been a problem. And in fact I disclosed it from my 4000 phone, exported from my 4000 phone. Q. What about 4 June, 2020 at 7 in the morning? A. 4 June? Q. 4 June, which is prior to your departure. Were you aware of that deletion of the recording of the meeting with the AG and the DPP? A. Where? I Q. Three lines from the bottom, "another one at an earlier date of 4 June, 2020 at 0701." A. That would have been during my time in service. Q. Yes, that is why I am asking. A. But it does not say that there's any deletions there.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. I was not in service then. Q. And now I just want to address one point in relation to the hard-copy documents. Your evidence in your eighth statement is that you did not destroy any documents other than under the direction and request of the RGP. Can we please go to the transcript for day seven, page 30. Okay, so page 30, line 12. This is my questions to you at the end of your evidence no, sorry, it is on the second day of your evidence. And I said, "On 24 March 2023 your lawyer, Charles Gomez, said that you had after your retirement, retained some personal files because you were concerned that a criminal conspiracy was being covered up. Was the criminal conspiracy that Mr Gomez referred to the alleged conspiracy investigated in Operation Delhi?" You said, "The Delhi conspiracy was on its own, and then there was a conspiracy to stop Delhi, yes, that is my
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. What about on 4 June, which was A. I'm I'm glad that the file in question was on my 4000 phone, or else that would have been a problem. And in fact I disclosed it from my 4000 phone, exported from my 4000 phone. Q. What about 4 June, 2020 at 7 in the morning? A. 4 June? Q. 4 June, which is prior to your departure. Were you aware of that deletion of the recording of the meeting with the AG and the DPP? A. Where? I Q. Three lines from the bottom, "another one at an earlier date of 4 June, 2020 at 0701." A. That would have been during my time in service. Q. Yes, that is why I am asking. A. But it does not say that there's any deletions there. Q. Sorry, it says, just the preceding sentence	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. I was not in service then. Q. And now I just want to address one point in relation to the hard-copy documents. Your evidence in your eighth statement is that you did not destroy any documents other than under the direction and request of the RGP. Can we please go to the transcript for day seven, page 30. Okay, so page 30, line 12. This is my questions to you at the end of your evidence no, sorry, it is on the second day of your evidence. And I said, "On 24 March 2023 your lawyer, Charles Gomez, said that you had after your retirement, retained some personal files because you were concerned that a criminal conspiracy was being covered up. Was the criminal conspiracy that Mr Gomez referred to the alleged conspiracy investigated in Operation Delhi?" You said, "The Delhi conspiracy was on its own, and then there was a conspiracy to stop Delhi, yes, that is my suspicions." And I said, "Did the personal
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q. What about on 4 June, which was A. I'm I'm glad that the file in question was on my 4000 phone, or else that would have been a problem. And in fact I disclosed it from my 4000 phone, exported from my 4000 phone. Q. What about 4 June, 2020 at 7 in the morning? A. 4 June? Q. 4 June, which is prior to your departure. Were you aware of that deletion of the recording of the meeting with the AG and the DPP? A. Where? I Q. Three lines from the bottom, "another one at an earlier date of 4 June, 2020 at 0701." A. That would have been during my time in service. Q. Yes, that is why I am asking. A. But it does not say that there's any deletions there. Q. Sorry, it says, just the preceding sentence says, "This audio file is also deleted a further	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. I was not in service then. Q. And now I just want to address one point in relation to the hard-copy documents. Your evidence in your eighth statement is that you did not destroy any documents other than under the direction and request of the RGP. Can we please go to the transcript for day seven, page 30. Okay, so page 30, line 12. This is my questions to you at the end of your evidence no, sorry, it is on the second day of your evidence. And I said, "On 24 March 2023 your lawyer, Charles Gomez, said that you had after your retirement, retained some personal files because you were concerned that a criminal conspiracy was being covered up. Was the criminal conspiracy that Mr Gomez referred to the alleged conspiracy investigated in Operation Delhi?" You said, "The Delhi conspiracy was on its own, and then there was a conspiracy to stop Delhi, yes, that is my suspicions." And I said, "Did the personal files that you retained include the Operation
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. What about on 4 June, which was A. I'm I'm glad that the file in question was on my 4000 phone, or else that would have been a problem. And in fact I disclosed it from my 4000 phone, exported from my 4000 phone. Q. What about 4 June, 2020 at 7 in the morning? A. 4 June? Q. 4 June, which is prior to your departure. Were you aware of that deletion of the recording of the meeting with the AG and the DPP? A. Where? I Q. Three lines from the bottom, "another one at an earlier date of 4 June, 2020 at 0701." A. That would have been during my time in service. Q. Yes, that is why I am asking. A. But it does not say that there's any deletions there. Q. Sorry, it says, just the preceding sentence	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. I was not in service then. Q. And now I just want to address one point in relation to the hard-copy documents. Your evidence in your eighth statement is that you did not destroy any documents other than under the direction and request of the RGP. Can we please go to the transcript for day seven, page 30. Okay, so page 30, line 12. This is my questions to you at the end of your evidence no, sorry, it is on the second day of your evidence. And I said, "On 24 March 2023 your lawyer, Charles Gomez, said that you had after your retirement, retained some personal files because you were concerned that a criminal conspiracy was being covered up. Was the criminal conspiracy that Mr Gomez referred to the alleged conspiracy investigated in Operation Delhi?" You said, "The Delhi conspiracy was on its own, and then there was a conspiracy to stop Delhi, yes, that is my suspicions." And I said, "Did the personal
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q. What about on 4 June, which was A. I'm I'm glad that the file in question was on my 4000 phone, or else that would have been a problem. And in fact I disclosed it from my 4000 phone, exported from my 4000 phone. Q. What about 4 June, 2020 at 7 in the morning? A. 4 June? Q. 4 June, which is prior to your departure. Were you aware of that deletion of the recording of the meeting with the AG and the DPP? A. Where? I Q. Three lines from the bottom, "another one at an earlier date of 4 June, 2020 at 0701." A. That would have been during my time in service. Q. Yes, that is why I am asking. A. But it does not say that there's any deletions there. Q. Sorry, it says, just the preceding sentence says, "This audio file is also deleted a further	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. I was not in service then. Q. And now I just want to address one point in relation to the hard-copy documents. Your evidence in your eighth statement is that you did not destroy any documents other than under the direction and request of the RGP. Can we please go to the transcript for day seven, page 30. Okay, so page 30, line 12. This is my questions to you at the end of your evidence no, sorry, it is on the second day of your evidence. And I said, "On 24 March 2023 your lawyer, Charles Gomez, said that you had after your retirement, retained some personal files because you were concerned that a criminal conspiracy was being covered up. Was the criminal conspiracy that Mr Gomez referred to the alleged conspiracy investigated in Operation Delhi?" You said, "The Delhi conspiracy was on its own, and then there was a conspiracy to stop Delhi, yes, that is my suspicions." And I said, "Did the personal files that you retained include the Operation

Operation Delhi? And then you say, "I 1 collision at sea. Those were -- they were not believe they did, yes." And I said, "How did 2 2 files, they were hard-copy printouts, shall we 3 3 you retain those files? Was it on paper, on say, of documents that were already in my 4 4 disk or --", and you said, "I had all my emails emails. 5 backed up onto a hard drive, an external hard 5 Q. So you, are you saying that you took 6 drive and there were some files, paper files, 6 them with you --7 which after I -- I provided the evidence to the 7 A. Yes, yes. 8 Inquiry, I destroyed. The hard drive, I 8 Q. -- on the day of your retirement? 9 returned to the RGP." So just to clarify, is 9 A. The thing is, I -- I op-- I work... I prefer 10 10 the position that at the time of your working with hard copies, and -- and I had 11 retirement you retained some electronic 11 rather have this than two screens open at the 12 documents in a hard drive and you also 12 same time and flick-- flipping from one --13 retained some hard-copy papers relating to 13 flicking from one screen to the next, I prefer 14 14 Operation Delhi? working with hard copies and reading, and if 15 A. The electronic ones were emails. In those 15 I have to refer, it's easier for me. I'm 16 16 emails it contained attachments. So, there perhaps a bit of a dinosaur there. 17 17 were documents within the emails as such, Q. Now, if we go to your letter to 18 electronically. And in terms of hard copy, 18 Commissioner Ullger, or E99, in the final 19 19 they were in the main the letters I -- I paragraph you refer to the hard drive and you 20 20 received, which inherently I must have refer to some data referring to Operation 21 printed off from the digital -- from the digital 21 Delhi. But there is nothing in that letter 22 22 referring to hard-copy documents, is there? version and kept in hard copy, the letters 23 23 from the -- Hassans challenging the le-- the A. The word in question -- and you rightly 24 24 point out, it's -- if we go up to the first page, legality and accusing the police of abuse of 25 25 power and so forth. My response -- my I think. Page 65 Page 67 1 responses to that, when it says "Operation 1 Q. Bottom paragraph of the first page? 2 Delhi file", no, I want to make it very, very 2 A. Yes, yes, "some data", the word there 3 3 clear that I did not have access to the should have been "some hard-copy 4 Operation Delhi investigation file. Whether 4 documents", because that's where I'm -- I'm 5 5 it was, I -- I wouldn't have known how to referring to mainly correspondence, so the 6 access it, I would have been granted access if 6 correspondence that I'm referring to, and I 7 7 I had sought it, but I wasn't, I didn't seek can confirm, is the -- the hard copies one. 8 8 access to it, so all matters relating to Delhi My apologies at -- at perhaps creating some 9 9 were emails that I -- that were directed to me misunderstanding there, but I'm referring --10 10 or I was -- or that I -- or that I was copied in if I was referring to hard drive, I wouldn't 11 11 to. I didn't have any access to -- to see how have referred -- if -- if it was electronic data, 12 the investigation was progressing, what 12 it would have been contained in the hard 13 13 actions were -- that -- oblivious to all of that. drive itself. Q. And then at the end of the letter you say, 14 I only got periodic briefings from Mr 14 15 15 Richardson, so I had no idea what was going "I would therefore be very grateful if you 16 16 on in the Operation Delhi file, and therefore could advise me of the best arrangements to 17 17 the -- the description of "files" is perhaps return the external hard drive with the data." 18 18 misleading. It was letters and the National A. Yes. 19 19 Decision Modelm which formed part of the Q. And then at E97, in your exchange with 20 20 process to -- to develop the suspicion, or Mr Riley, he asks a series of questions. "Was 21 21 rather justify the suspicions and -- and any copy made" -- yes, the subject is 22 22 "External hard drive". And then at the describe the suspicions. And I think -- seem 23 23 to think, I am not certain, but a copy of the bottom of that first page, a series of 24 24 questions. "Was any copy made of the section 15 request or report that I did for -- at 25 25 the request of Mr Picardo on -- on the external hard drive? Please describe what

Page 66

1 data was copied. Have you retained any 1 O. Yes, but let me just cut to the chase. Your 2 2 copies of data that was held?" evidence, you say that you deleted your hard 3 3 MR CRUZ: Sir -copy documents under the instruction of the 4 Mr SANTOS: This includes. I am not going 4 RGP. 5 to ask about the hard drive, I am only going 5 THE CHAIRMAN: Destroyed. 6 6 Q. Sorry, destroyed, thank you. You to ask about hard-copy documents. 7 MR CRUZ: (inaudible) we flagged it 7 destroyed the hard-copy documents under the 8 yesterday, it has been discussed. 8 instruction of the RGP. Can you point to 9 9 Q. But this was discussed at the main anywhere in that letter where you refer to the 10 10 Inquiry hearing as well. I am purely going to hard-copy documents that you took with you 11 11 ask about hard-copy documents. My upon your departure? 12 question is therem, that exchange with Mr 12 A. No, I -- maybe -- maybe it's -- I'll take 13 Riley, those are his questions. And your 13 your word: if it's not there, it's not there. I'm 14 14 response, E95, to those questions, you say at -- I would have to take my time to read it and 15 15 E95, halfway down the page. It is just at the maybe offer up (?), but I am not going to (?). 16 16 bottom of the screen. "As part of my Q. Well, Mr Wagner will be able to re-17 17 obligations and duties under this request examine you and he can put the document if 18 from the Inquiry, I undertook a search of the 18 he locates it. 19 19 hard drive and made copies of the documents A. I appreciate that. So, looking at the wider 20 20 found in the attached disclosure lists. The picture --21 intention behind making a copy of these 21 THE CHAIRMAN: Well, is that perhaps a 22 22 documents was to provide them to the convenient moment to have a ...? 23 23 Inquiry." And then, three, you say, "I can MR SANTOS: Just one... Well, no, that is 24 24 confirm I have retained a single copy of the fine, perhaps it is. 25 THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. 25 documents which are listed in the attached Page 69 Page 71 1 disclosure lists. I confirm I have not kept a 1 MR SANTOS: I have learned not to disagree 2 copy of any other documents", and then, "I 2 with the Chairman. 3 3 will now be destroying those documents". (11.27)4 So, is there anywhere in those letters where 4 (Adjourned for a short time) 5 5 you refer to separate hard-copy documents? (11.42)6 A. The thing is, well, at the time this was a 6 MR SANTOS: Just a couple more questions 7 7 on the disclosure process and then we will go little bit of a confusing issue. And it's not 8 8 clear and -- and I've had debate with my into substantive matters from what has been 9 9 team about it, because on the one hand I was disclosed. Just looking at the wider picture 10 10 permitted to make copies to present to the in terms of your electronic and hard copy 11 11 documents, we have your day books which Inquiry, but then I was not allowed to -- it 12 seemed to be that I was not allowed to keep 12 you say you left in your office at New Mole 13 copies of those. And I understand it was to 13 House when you left but which have never 14 do with the process of how I retained it, and 14 been found, your desktop which again you 15 15 say you left at New Mole House but has that the RGP wanted to regularise through 16 16 allowing me through the Inquiry to never been located, the hard copy documents 17 17 eventually get access to them and then... which we have just discussed, your work 18 18 But my -- my priority was to present the -phone which was wiped upon your departure, 19 the evidence there, so... But it didn't sit 19 and specifically your messages with Mr 20 20 Richardson, at least one message in May right that I was allowed to provide a 21 21 statement to the Inquiry with exhibits, but I 2020 which has not been located. Can all of 22 22 these be explained by an unhappy series of was not allowed to keep the exhibits. Just... 23 23 But at the same time, I didn't want to -- I just coincidences? 24 24 A. In terms of the day book, for example, the wanted to follow what I was being told to by 25 the -- the RGP, I didn't want to... 25 evidence is before the inquiry. The book Page 70 Page 72

1 itself is not either relevant. Notes made that 1 please. This is your letter to the GPA of 29 2 2 the inquiry is concerned with are before the May, and in terms of the meeting on 15 May 3 3 what you asserted in that letter was that inquiry, so that is - sorry. 4 4 Q. The evidence is before the inquiry. pressure was put on you to change the RGP's 5 A. Yes. I was distracted. So in terms of the 5 investigative approach to the operation and 6 6 day book, the evidence is before the inquiry, you accused the Attorney General of 7 7 interfering with a live criminal investigation. albeit not in its original format, because that 8 8 If we can now go to E742 and the bottom of was admitted way before I even left the 9 9 the page, we can see a message from Mr service. In terms of the laptop, I believe it 10 10 has been located and I've been able to give Ullger to you on 15 May where Mr Ullger 11 11 says to you: "Mate, how did it go?" and your an account as to -- The relevance of the 12 laptop included the contemporaneous notes 12 response is: "All good-ish. Too much to 13 that I made on 12 and 13 May which were 13 explain by text. Chill out. We'll chat on 14 14 Monday." Why did you describe the meeting challenged as not being authentic and now 15 15 have been forensically proved as authentic. as "all good-ish"? 16 16 A. The events of 12 May had caused So that is also before the inquiry. 17 17 Q. But my question was about the desktop. shockwaves to myself and to my former 18 A. The desktop. If I can describe it, it was a 18 colleagues. There was a serious element of 19 19 screen, a screen or two, and a tower worry and concern, and I was navigating that 20 20 storm on an hour-to-hour basis. When I first underneath all wired up, connected to the 21 printer. That was left in situ, not even 21 met with the Attorney General on the next 22 22 day, and obviously I don't need to go into the disconnected or unplugged. That was left in 23 23 situ. I cannot understand how - in all content of that meeting, and I came back to 24 24 fairness to Mr Ullger but challenging Mr brief and there was evidence that there were 25 25 Ullger anyway I cannot understand that he going to be further meetings, I was - I saw Page 73 Page 75 1 says that he cannot recall what happened to it 1 my role as perhaps an influencer to try and 2 because when he moved into my office 2 uphold the integrity of the investigation, 3 3 eventually it was either there or not, and if it stand as a buffer before the investigating 4 4 officers and ensure that the rule of law was wasn't there, somebody must have taken it 5 5 from the office. I cannot offer you any more abided by. I saw my role in that and 6 explanations than that. 6 therefore if there was negotiating and 7 7 Q. The Government parties make the point mentions I had to take the flak on that. I 8 8 that the impression that you gave at the main honestly, and to this day I still believe 9 9 inquiry hearing through your counsel's because I think it's reflected in the history of 10 10 submissions and questions was that you had Operation Delhi, I honestly believed at one 11 11 disclosed all relevant communications and point that the Attorney General was, for the 12 evidence. Do you maintain that you have 12 reasons that he may know, but that I suspect 13 disclosed all relevant communications and 13 that he was going to tell me, as he had on 14 evidence? 14 previous occasions, that he would have told 15 15 A. Evidently in light of what's transpired, me: "Ian, look, thank you very much for 16 16 no, but at the time that I was committing to investigating this. Whatever you are going to 17 17 that statement and to that information with bring to me, irrespective of whether there is 18 18 my lawyers, yes, that was my honest held evidence or not, I will not prosecute." That 19 19 belief that I had, like I have explained, I had is the expectation that I was having and was 20 20 given that and probably more. getting. That is why I mentioned to him the 21 21 Q. Now, to turn to the messages which we question of the magic wand. This had 22 22 have seen in the new disclosure, focusing, nothing to do with the nolle prosequi. This 23 23 first of all, on Operation Delhi, can we go to had nothing - because as we know, a nolle 24 E717, please, and the bottom of the page. 24 prosequi is entered into when the case is 25 Let us just leave that for now. C4491, 25 already before the courts and this was not

Page 74

	1 1 1 1	,	: 4 C D:1 4 : 4 : 41
1	already the case, not yet the case. I was - the	1	interference. Did you at any point raise with
2	Attorney General had applied that logic to	2	Mr Ullger your concern about interference in
3	me on a previous serious - very, very serious	3	those meetings?
4	- national security matter and therefore I	4	A. My evidence is that it was not only on
5	respected that if he was telling me that, there	5	those three occasions; there was a build-up,
6	was no point in me completing an	6	because there were occasions when Mr
7	investigation which, irrespective of the	7	Llamas had told me: "Why don't you deal
8	outcome of the investigation, was not going	8	with the civil servant via the disciplinary
9	to prosecute. So I was expecting that. The	9	route or park - why don't you establish the
10	fact that it wasn't and that we were still on	10	ownership and don't do anything else on it",
11	some form of track, twisting and turning in	11	and there was a build-up to that, and the rest
12	terms of processes and the not necessarily	12	is already in, well, the audio recordings and
13	proper processes and procedures of how we	13	explained the contents of that meeting and
14	should have conducted ourselves, I regarded	14	the tone of that meeting. So yes, from the
15	the meeting to be good-ish, because I was	15	12th onwards I told my colleagues what had
16	expecting a far worser situation. Now, by	16	happened and how things were panning out.
17	telling him that and telling him to chill out	17	He was expressing an interest, he was
18	and we'll chat on Monday, I was trying to	18	concerned. I think everybody was concerned
19	temper those emotions and managing the	19	at command team level and we've heard
20	worries and concerns that my colleagues had.	20	from both, from at least Mr Ullger and Mr
21	I felt obliged that I needed to also manage	21	Yeats were concerned and worried.
22	that internal discontent. And that in essence	22	Q. Can we now go to E745, please. Halfway
23	is a very long description of what that	23	down the page, 16 May 2020 at 12.09 you
24	message means.	24	say: "I am hoping that JL does not force the
25	Q. But your evidence to this inquiry and	25	issue where we have to end up arresting
	Page 77		Page 79
			Ü
1	your case at this inquiry has been that those	1	him." Does that message show that you felt
	* *		
2	meetings were examples, occasions, of the	2	free to arrest Mr Levy if necessary but did
2 3	AG interfering with a criminal investigation,	2 3	free to arrest Mr Levy if necessary but did not want to, at least at that stage?
3 4	AG interfering with a criminal investigation, so why would you describe a meeting of that	2 3 4	free to arrest Mr Levy if necessary but did not want to, at least at that stage? A. My understanding and recollection is that
3	AG interfering with a criminal investigation, so why would you describe a meeting of that nature as "good-ish" and tell your second-in-	2 3 4 5	free to arrest Mr Levy if necessary but did not want to, at least at that stage? A. My understanding and recollection is that there was no intentions to arrest Mr Levy in
3 4 5 6	AG interfering with a criminal investigation, so why would you describe a meeting of that nature as "good-ish" and tell your second-incommand to chill out?	2 3 4 5 6	free to arrest Mr Levy if necessary but did not want to, at least at that stage? A. My understanding and recollection is that there was no intentions to arrest Mr Levy in the first place. There was no arrest plan to
3 4 5 6 7	AG interfering with a criminal investigation, so why would you describe a meeting of that nature as "good-ish" and tell your second-incommand to chill out? A. Exactly. The chilling out factor is	2 3 4 5 6 7	free to arrest Mr Levy if necessary but did not want to, at least at that stage? A. My understanding and recollection is that there was no intentions to arrest Mr Levy in the first place. There was no arrest plan to follow. That's my recollection. However,
3 4 5 6 7 8	AG interfering with a criminal investigation, so why would you describe a meeting of that nature as "good-ish" and tell your second-incommand to chill out? A. Exactly. The chilling out factor is because there was concern and I needed to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	free to arrest Mr Levy if necessary but did not want to, at least at that stage? A. My understanding and recollection is that there was no intentions to arrest Mr Levy in the first place. There was no arrest plan to follow. That's my recollection. However, the situation had changed where, if Mr Levy
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	AG interfering with a criminal investigation, so why would you describe a meeting of that nature as "good-ish" and tell your second-incommand to chill out? A. Exactly. The chilling out factor is because there was concern and I needed to manage that. As I think us humans tend to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	free to arrest Mr Levy if necessary but did not want to, at least at that stage? A. My understanding and recollection is that there was no intentions to arrest Mr Levy in the first place. There was no arrest plan to follow. That's my recollection. However, the situation had changed where, if Mr Levy didn't come in for interview, which were the
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	AG interfering with a criminal investigation, so why would you describe a meeting of that nature as "good-ish" and tell your second-incommand to chill out? A. Exactly. The chilling out factor is because there was concern and I needed to manage that. As I think us humans tend to react better when we are calm and we are sort	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	free to arrest Mr Levy if necessary but did not want to, at least at that stage? A. My understanding and recollection is that there was no intentions to arrest Mr Levy in the first place. There was no arrest plan to follow. That's my recollection. However, the situation had changed where, if Mr Levy didn't come in for interview, which were the vibes that we were getting from the Attorney
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	AG interfering with a criminal investigation, so why would you describe a meeting of that nature as "good-ish" and tell your second-incommand to chill out? A. Exactly. The chilling out factor is because there was concern and I needed to manage that. As I think us humans tend to react better when we are calm and we are sort of more relaxed than on edge, and my	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	free to arrest Mr Levy if necessary but did not want to, at least at that stage? A. My understanding and recollection is that there was no intentions to arrest Mr Levy in the first place. There was no arrest plan to follow. That's my recollection. However, the situation had changed where, if Mr Levy didn't come in for interview, which were the vibes that we were getting from the Attorney General, that he was likely not going to come
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	AG interfering with a criminal investigation, so why would you describe a meeting of that nature as "good-ish" and tell your second-incommand to chill out? A. Exactly. The chilling out factor is because there was concern and I needed to manage that. As I think us humans tend to react better when we are calm and we are sort of more relaxed than on edge, and my intentions were to alleviate any concerns that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	free to arrest Mr Levy if necessary but did not want to, at least at that stage? A. My understanding and recollection is that there was no intentions to arrest Mr Levy in the first place. There was no arrest plan to follow. That's my recollection. However, the situation had changed where, if Mr Levy didn't come in for interview, which were the vibes that we were getting from the Attorney General, that he was likely not going to come on, and, as I say, in my role as head of the
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	AG interfering with a criminal investigation, so why would you describe a meeting of that nature as "good-ish" and tell your second-incommand to chill out? A. Exactly. The chilling out factor is because there was concern and I needed to manage that. As I think us humans tend to react better when we are calm and we are sort of more relaxed than on edge, and my intentions were to alleviate any concerns that Mr Ullger may have. The "good-ish" part	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	free to arrest Mr Levy if necessary but did not want to, at least at that stage? A. My understanding and recollection is that there was no intentions to arrest Mr Levy in the first place. There was no arrest plan to follow. That's my recollection. However, the situation had changed where, if Mr Levy didn't come in for interview, which were the vibes that we were getting from the Attorney General, that he was likely not going to come on, and, as I say, in my role as head of the organisation, wanting to support the team and
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	AG interfering with a criminal investigation, so why would you describe a meeting of that nature as "good-ish" and tell your second-incommand to chill out? A. Exactly. The chilling out factor is because there was concern and I needed to manage that. As I think us humans tend to react better when we are calm and we are sort of more relaxed than on edge, and my intentions were to alleviate any concerns that Mr Ullger may have. The "good-ish" part I've explained. I was expecting far worse an	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	free to arrest Mr Levy if necessary but did not want to, at least at that stage? A. My understanding and recollection is that there was no intentions to arrest Mr Levy in the first place. There was no arrest plan to follow. That's my recollection. However, the situation had changed where, if Mr Levy didn't come in for interview, which were the vibes that we were getting from the Attorney General, that he was likely not going to come on, and, as I say, in my role as head of the organisation, wanting to support the team and to keep the investigation on track and
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	AG interfering with a criminal investigation, so why would you describe a meeting of that nature as "good-ish" and tell your second-incommand to chill out? A. Exactly. The chilling out factor is because there was concern and I needed to manage that. As I think us humans tend to react better when we are calm and we are sort of more relaxed than on edge, and my intentions were to alleviate any concerns that Mr Ullger may have. The "good-ish" part I've explained. I was expecting far worse an outcome from that meeting. It was still not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	free to arrest Mr Levy if necessary but did not want to, at least at that stage? A. My understanding and recollection is that there was no intentions to arrest Mr Levy in the first place. There was no arrest plan to follow. That's my recollection. However, the situation had changed where, if Mr Levy didn't come in for interview, which were the vibes that we were getting from the Attorney General, that he was likely not going to come on, and, as I say, in my role as head of the organisation, wanting to support the team and to keep the investigation on track and upholding the rule of law without fear or
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	AG interfering with a criminal investigation, so why would you describe a meeting of that nature as "good-ish" and tell your second-incommand to chill out? A. Exactly. The chilling out factor is because there was concern and I needed to manage that. As I think us humans tend to react better when we are calm and we are sort of more relaxed than on edge, and my intentions were to alleviate any concerns that Mr Ullger may have. The "good-ish" part I've explained. I was expecting far worse an outcome from that meeting. It was still not ideal but in the overall negative surroundings	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	free to arrest Mr Levy if necessary but did not want to, at least at that stage? A. My understanding and recollection is that there was no intentions to arrest Mr Levy in the first place. There was no arrest plan to follow. That's my recollection. However, the situation had changed where, if Mr Levy didn't come in for interview, which were the vibes that we were getting from the Attorney General, that he was likely not going to come on, and, as I say, in my role as head of the organisation, wanting to support the team and to keep the investigation on track and upholding the rule of law without fear or favour or any ill will, that I didn't want it to
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	AG interfering with a criminal investigation, so why would you describe a meeting of that nature as "good-ish" and tell your second-incommand to chill out? A. Exactly. The chilling out factor is because there was concern and I needed to manage that. As I think us humans tend to react better when we are calm and we are sort of more relaxed than on edge, and my intentions were to alleviate any concerns that Mr Ullger may have. The "good-ish" part I've explained. I was expecting far worse an outcome from that meeting. It was still not ideal but in the overall negative surroundings of that meeting, it was good-ish.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	free to arrest Mr Levy if necessary but did not want to, at least at that stage? A. My understanding and recollection is that there was no intentions to arrest Mr Levy in the first place. There was no arrest plan to follow. That's my recollection. However, the situation had changed where, if Mr Levy didn't come in for interview, which were the vibes that we were getting from the Attorney General, that he was likely not going to come on, and, as I say, in my role as head of the organisation, wanting to support the team and to keep the investigation on track and upholding the rule of law without fear or favour or any ill will, that I didn't want it to get to that stage because there would be
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	AG interfering with a criminal investigation, so why would you describe a meeting of that nature as "good-ish" and tell your second-incommand to chill out? A. Exactly. The chilling out factor is because there was concern and I needed to manage that. As I think us humans tend to react better when we are calm and we are sort of more relaxed than on edge, and my intentions were to alleviate any concerns that Mr Ullger may have. The "good-ish" part I've explained. I was expecting far worse an outcome from that meeting. It was still not ideal but in the overall negative surroundings of that meeting, it was good-ish. Q. Did you raise your concerns about	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	free to arrest Mr Levy if necessary but did not want to, at least at that stage? A. My understanding and recollection is that there was no intentions to arrest Mr Levy in the first place. There was no arrest plan to follow. That's my recollection. However, the situation had changed where, if Mr Levy didn't come in for interview, which were the vibes that we were getting from the Attorney General, that he was likely not going to come on, and, as I say, in my role as head of the organisation, wanting to support the team and to keep the investigation on track and upholding the rule of law without fear or favour or any ill will, that I didn't want it to get to that stage because there would be consequences to whatever actions there was.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	AG interfering with a criminal investigation, so why would you describe a meeting of that nature as "good-ish" and tell your second-incommand to chill out? A. Exactly. The chilling out factor is because there was concern and I needed to manage that. As I think us humans tend to react better when we are calm and we are sort of more relaxed than on edge, and my intentions were to alleviate any concerns that Mr Ullger may have. The "good-ish" part I've explained. I was expecting far worse an outcome from that meeting. It was still not ideal but in the overall negative surroundings of that meeting, it was good-ish. Q. Did you raise your concerns about interference with Mr Ullger at any stage in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	free to arrest Mr Levy if necessary but did not want to, at least at that stage? A. My understanding and recollection is that there was no intentions to arrest Mr Levy in the first place. There was no arrest plan to follow. That's my recollection. However, the situation had changed where, if Mr Levy didn't come in for interview, which were the vibes that we were getting from the Attorney General, that he was likely not going to come on, and, as I say, in my role as head of the organisation, wanting to support the team and to keep the investigation on track and upholding the rule of law without fear or favour or any ill will, that I didn't want it to get to that stage because there would be consequences to whatever actions there was. It was evident at the time that there would
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	AG interfering with a criminal investigation, so why would you describe a meeting of that nature as "good-ish" and tell your second-incommand to chill out? A. Exactly. The chilling out factor is because there was concern and I needed to manage that. As I think us humans tend to react better when we are calm and we are sort of more relaxed than on edge, and my intentions were to alleviate any concerns that Mr Ullger may have. The "good-ish" part I've explained. I was expecting far worse an outcome from that meeting. It was still not ideal but in the overall negative surroundings of that meeting, it was good-ish. Q. Did you raise your concerns about interference with Mr Ullger at any stage in relation to those meetings?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	free to arrest Mr Levy if necessary but did not want to, at least at that stage? A. My understanding and recollection is that there was no intentions to arrest Mr Levy in the first place. There was no arrest plan to follow. That's my recollection. However, the situation had changed where, if Mr Levy didn't come in for interview, which were the vibes that we were getting from the Attorney General, that he was likely not going to come on, and, as I say, in my role as head of the organisation, wanting to support the team and to keep the investigation on track and upholding the rule of law without fear or favour or any ill will, that I didn't want it to get to that stage because there would be consequences to whatever actions there was. It was evident at the time that there would have been consequences to the actions that
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	AG interfering with a criminal investigation, so why would you describe a meeting of that nature as "good-ish" and tell your second-incommand to chill out? A. Exactly. The chilling out factor is because there was concern and I needed to manage that. As I think us humans tend to react better when we are calm and we are sort of more relaxed than on edge, and my intentions were to alleviate any concerns that Mr Ullger may have. The "good-ish" part I've explained. I was expecting far worse an outcome from that meeting. It was still not ideal but in the overall negative surroundings of that meeting, it was good-ish. Q. Did you raise your concerns about interference with Mr Ullger at any stage in relation to those meetings? A. I think I did. I think In the run up to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	free to arrest Mr Levy if necessary but did not want to, at least at that stage? A. My understanding and recollection is that there was no intentions to arrest Mr Levy in the first place. There was no arrest plan to follow. That's my recollection. However, the situation had changed where, if Mr Levy didn't come in for interview, which were the vibes that we were getting from the Attorney General, that he was likely not going to come on, and, as I say, in my role as head of the organisation, wanting to support the team and to keep the investigation on track and upholding the rule of law without fear or favour or any ill will, that I didn't want it to get to that stage because there would be consequences to whatever actions there was. It was evident at the time that there would have been consequences to the actions that the RGP had carried out and were going to
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	AG interfering with a criminal investigation, so why would you describe a meeting of that nature as "good-ish" and tell your second-incommand to chill out? A. Exactly. The chilling out factor is because there was concern and I needed to manage that. As I think us humans tend to react better when we are calm and we are sort of more relaxed than on edge, and my intentions were to alleviate any concerns that Mr Ullger may have. The "good-ish" part I've explained. I was expecting far worse an outcome from that meeting. It was still not ideal but in the overall negative surroundings of that meeting, it was good-ish. Q. Did you raise your concerns about interference with Mr Ullger at any stage in relation to those meetings? A. I think I did. I think In the run up to 12 May, no.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	free to arrest Mr Levy if necessary but did not want to, at least at that stage? A. My understanding and recollection is that there was no intentions to arrest Mr Levy in the first place. There was no arrest plan to follow. That's my recollection. However, the situation had changed where, if Mr Levy didn't come in for interview, which were the vibes that we were getting from the Attorney General, that he was likely not going to come on, and, as I say, in my role as head of the organisation, wanting to support the team and to keep the investigation on track and upholding the rule of law without fear or favour or any ill will, that I didn't want it to get to that stage because there would be consequences to whatever actions there was. It was evident at the time that there would have been consequences to the actions that the RGP had carried out and were going to carry out. If it need be picks of why, why?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	AG interfering with a criminal investigation, so why would you describe a meeting of that nature as "good-ish" and tell your second-incommand to chill out? A. Exactly. The chilling out factor is because there was concern and I needed to manage that. As I think us humans tend to react better when we are calm and we are sort of more relaxed than on edge, and my intentions were to alleviate any concerns that Mr Ullger may have. The "good-ish" part I've explained. I was expecting far worse an outcome from that meeting. It was still not ideal but in the overall negative surroundings of that meeting, it was good-ish. Q. Did you raise your concerns about interference with Mr Ullger at any stage in relation to those meetings? A. I think I did. I think In the run up to 12 May, no. Q. I mean the meetings. Did you raise with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	free to arrest Mr Levy if necessary but did not want to, at least at that stage? A. My understanding and recollection is that there was no intentions to arrest Mr Levy in the first place. There was no arrest plan to follow. That's my recollection. However, the situation had changed where, if Mr Levy didn't come in for interview, which were the vibes that we were getting from the Attorney General, that he was likely not going to come on, and, as I say, in my role as head of the organisation, wanting to support the team and to keep the investigation on track and upholding the rule of law without fear or favour or any ill will, that I didn't want it to get to that stage because there would be consequences to whatever actions there was. It was evident at the time that there would have been consequences to the actions that the RGP had carried out and were going to carry out. If it need be picks of why, why? Because it involved Mr James Levy and with
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	AG interfering with a criminal investigation, so why would you describe a meeting of that nature as "good-ish" and tell your second-incommand to chill out? A. Exactly. The chilling out factor is because there was concern and I needed to manage that. As I think us humans tend to react better when we are calm and we are sort of more relaxed than on edge, and my intentions were to alleviate any concerns that Mr Ullger may have. The "good-ish" part I've explained. I was expecting far worse an outcome from that meeting. It was still not ideal but in the overall negative surroundings of that meeting, it was good-ish. Q. Did you raise your concerns about interference with Mr Ullger at any stage in relation to those meetings? A. I think I did. I think In the run up to 12 May, no. Q. I mean the meetings. Did you raise with him Your case in the inquiry has been that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	free to arrest Mr Levy if necessary but did not want to, at least at that stage? A. My understanding and recollection is that there was no intentions to arrest Mr Levy in the first place. There was no arrest plan to follow. That's my recollection. However, the situation had changed where, if Mr Levy didn't come in for interview, which were the vibes that we were getting from the Attorney General, that he was likely not going to come on, and, as I say, in my role as head of the organisation, wanting to support the team and to keep the investigation on track and upholding the rule of law without fear or favour or any ill will, that I didn't want it to get to that stage because there would be consequences to whatever actions there was. It was evident at the time that there would have been consequences to the actions that the RGP had carried out and were going to carry out. If it need be picks of why, why? Because it involved Mr James Levy and with possible connections to Mr Picardo.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	AG interfering with a criminal investigation, so why would you describe a meeting of that nature as "good-ish" and tell your second-incommand to chill out? A. Exactly. The chilling out factor is because there was concern and I needed to manage that. As I think us humans tend to react better when we are calm and we are sort of more relaxed than on edge, and my intentions were to alleviate any concerns that Mr Ullger may have. The "good-ish" part I've explained. I was expecting far worse an outcome from that meeting. It was still not ideal but in the overall negative surroundings of that meeting, it was good-ish. Q. Did you raise your concerns about interference with Mr Ullger at any stage in relation to those meetings? A. I think I did. I think In the run up to 12 May, no. Q. I mean the meetings. Did you raise with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	free to arrest Mr Levy if necessary but did not want to, at least at that stage? A. My understanding and recollection is that there was no intentions to arrest Mr Levy in the first place. There was no arrest plan to follow. That's my recollection. However, the situation had changed where, if Mr Levy didn't come in for interview, which were the vibes that we were getting from the Attorney General, that he was likely not going to come on, and, as I say, in my role as head of the organisation, wanting to support the team and to keep the investigation on track and upholding the rule of law without fear or favour or any ill will, that I didn't want it to get to that stage because there would be consequences to whatever actions there was. It was evident at the time that there would have been consequences to the actions that the RGP had carried out and were going to carry out. If it need be picks of why, why? Because it involved Mr James Levy and with
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	AG interfering with a criminal investigation, so why would you describe a meeting of that nature as "good-ish" and tell your second-incommand to chill out? A. Exactly. The chilling out factor is because there was concern and I needed to manage that. As I think us humans tend to react better when we are calm and we are sort of more relaxed than on edge, and my intentions were to alleviate any concerns that Mr Ullger may have. The "good-ish" part I've explained. I was expecting far worse an outcome from that meeting. It was still not ideal but in the overall negative surroundings of that meeting, it was good-ish. Q. Did you raise your concerns about interference with Mr Ullger at any stage in relation to those meetings? A. I think I did. I think In the run up to 12 May, no. Q. I mean the meetings. Did you raise with him Your case in the inquiry has been that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	free to arrest Mr Levy if necessary but did not want to, at least at that stage? A. My understanding and recollection is that there was no intentions to arrest Mr Levy in the first place. There was no arrest plan to follow. That's my recollection. However, the situation had changed where, if Mr Levy didn't come in for interview, which were the vibes that we were getting from the Attorney General, that he was likely not going to come on, and, as I say, in my role as head of the organisation, wanting to support the team and to keep the investigation on track and upholding the rule of law without fear or favour or any ill will, that I didn't want it to get to that stage because there would be consequences to whatever actions there was. It was evident at the time that there would have been consequences to the actions that the RGP had carried out and were going to carry out. If it need be picks of why, why? Because it involved Mr James Levy and with possible connections to Mr Picardo.

1 apparent from that message that you felt free 1 could I turn to, but, like I say, it never 2 2 to arrest Mr Levy if necessary? materialised and I did not meet. That 3 3 A. It is apparent from that message on its meeting did not go ahead. 4 4 Q. Can you point to any reference in any of own, yes, but I've just given you a bit of 5 background as to how --5 your WhatsApp exchanges between you and 6 Q. Did you feel free to arrest Mr Levy if 6 Mr Ullger or Mr Richardson to interference 7 7 in the Operation Delhi investigation by the necessary? 8 8 Chief Minister or the Attorney General? A. I was very worried. I was - by that stage 9 9 I knew that it was going to be very unlikely A. I would have to go through the whole -10 10 that I survived what was coming because I or are you telling me that there isn't, because 11 11 was under threat. Now, I stand my ground to 12 a certain degree but as a human being I was 12 Q. I am not telling you either way; I am 13 very, very worried. I was under threat from 13 asking whether you are, in your preparation 14 14 for this meeting and your consideration of 12 May onwards that there would be this, whether you have identified any 15 15 consequences, so I try my best to be up-front 16 16 and continue with my responsibilities, but messages which you consider demonstrate 17 17 interference in the Operation Delhi eventually I was found that I was unable to 18 discharge them. 18 investigation? 19 19 Q. Can we now go to 7006, please? These A. I think the best evidence about that 20 20 are the messages between you and Mr alleged interference and my reaction to it is 21 Richardson on Mr Richardson's phone. 21 contained with - or because it happened on a 22 22 C7006. About just after halfway down on 23 face-to-face basis, so unless I trawled, if you 23 23 May at 9.20 p.m. you say: "Paul, can you want me to, I will start reading and give you 24 arrange a meeting with Charlie for 24 any hints if there are, I don't know. 25 Tuesday?" Mr Richardson says: "Wow, I 25 Q. Have you in the run-up to the hearing Page 81 Page 83 1 was just about to ask how you were. Yes, I 1 identified any --2 can. After court around three. Do you want 2 A. No, I haven't. I haven't looked for it 3 3 me to go with you?" "Yes, that would be either. 4 good, ta. My privilege too. How are you? 4 Q. Do you maintain that the Attorney 5 5 It's because of a convo I had with my General and the DPP were seeking 6 lawyers, an opinion that I would want to 6 improperly to interfere with a live criminal 7 share with him. Okay, okay, thanks for 7 investigation in that meeting on 15 May? 8 arranging." Why did you want to meet? 8 A. As per my original evidence, yes. 9 9 First of all, is that, the Charlie you are Q. I now want to turn to the reasons for and 10 10 referring to there, the stipendiary magistrate? timing of your decision to take early 11 A. I believe it is, yes. 11 retirement. This is obviously a matter which 12 Q. Why did you want to meet him? 12 we covered at length in the main hearing, and 13 A. That was the day after I had received the 13 in terms of 29 May, the day when your 14 14 instructions to retire and it was a lawyer sent you a letter, I asked you whether, 15 consideration that I had that not knowing 15 on that date, your preference was to remain 16 who I could turn to for process in terms of 16 in post rather than retire and you replied: "It 17 authority and in conversations with my team 17 was but I had a lot going through my head. 18 without meaning to now breach any 18 There was the vulnerability aspect, the 19 19 privileged confidence I had with them, but it serious concern, but I wanted to see my 20 20 career through." I then asked you what had was to do with a consideration whether I 21 could approach the judiciary to get involved, 21 changed between 29 May and 5 June when 22 22 your lawyers sent the email seeking early given that it was all to do with a warrant, and 23 23 so forth. That was a consideration which was retirement, and you said: "The pressure was 24 24 never actioned. It was a moment of, I would relentless from Mr Pyle. I was getting text 25 describe it in a way of desperation, who 25 messages, emails, late evening, which all Page 82 Page 84

suggested that he was going to invoke his 1 if we read the messages on their own then the 2 2 powers. By that point my wellbeing was backdrop and the background to them is lost, 3 3 seriously affected, I was contemplating and if you allow me to I don't mind 4 4 Gibraltar's interests, no doubt my wellbeing refreshing as to my thought process at the 5 took over influenced by the fact that three 5 time, what I was toying with and how my 6 and a half decades of loyal service to the 6 mind worked, if you want me to. 7 police and to Gibraltar and to the Crown 7 Q. Yes, yes, by all means. 8 8 were in serious jeopardy and the impact that A. This all arises from a police intervention 9 would have in my life." Does that remain 9 on allegations of a serious crime that put the 10 10 your position as to your state of mind on 29 whole of Gibraltar, every child, woman and 11 11 May? man at risk, including the military base. 12 12 A. It does, but I want to underscore that I People had been arrested and there were still 13 didn't choose to retire; I was forced to retire. 13 further enquiries to be carried out. As a 14 14 I was muscled out. The only two options result of those enquiries I am threatened by 15 15 were I followed the instructions of the GPA the Chief Minister because he did not 16 16 or I get sacked by Mr Pyle and that was a approve of the enquiries that the police were 17 17 very difficult situation to deal with, and carrying out. At the same time and around 18 between my lawyers and I we planned a 18 that time, there was an investigation or a -19 19 staged approach to deal with that. So what yes, an ongoing investigation into a collision 20 20 the insinuations, because they come carrying at sea. I think it was either the day before, 21 more weight than that, than the 29th letter is 21 19th or the 18th, when my colleague Mr 22 22 Yeats wrote off to, having met with the DPP, a mere smokescreen for other ulterior 23 23 motives. I tell you frankly, that is absolute wrote off to the Attorney General seeking 24 24 rubbish, absolute rubbish, and I resent it on representation or how to go about seeking 25 25 behalf of me, myself and my team. representation for the RGP on that. Now, Page 85 Page 87 1 Q. Can we go to E747 now, please? This is 1 there were robust and very stern and strongly 2 20 May and Mr Ullger messages you at 5.21: 2 worded on the tone of the response by the 3 3 "Mate, hate seeing you like this. Let's not Chief Minister, got all the red flags flying 4 talk about you leaving but just the things that 4 straightaway. I don't have a crystal ball; I 5 5 we can start to turn things round for us. You could smell it, where this was going to or 6 have our full support and wouldn't want it 6 where this was heading, and I knew there and 7 7 any other way. You have been inspirational then the threat as then this not related to the 8 8 these past few months and that says a lot for Delhi investigation at all because it was only 9 9 you as an individual. Be strong, mate, big related to the collision and HMIC in sort of 10 10 hugs." You reply: "Aw, Rich, thanks, mate. the periphery, shall we say, but I could smell 11 11 Means a lot. I'm just being realistic of what it, that he was coming for me, and I was not 12 is likely to happen. It is a ruthless world that 12 wrong. So in terms of it's a ruthless world 13 13 these people live in, certainly not ours. My out there, I knew from previous information 14 conscience is very peaceful. I mean that." 14 how the Government worked and operates. I 15 15 What did you believe was likely to happen? knew, although it was not as severe, maybe 16 16 What were you referring to when you said: "I the consequences have not been as severe to 17 17 am just being realistic of what is likely to the person I'm now going to mention in 18 18 happen"? terms of them, some of them still being in 19 19 A. The main significant point here, that this employment, but there is correlation to be 20 20 is dated 20 May. At that point no issue of drawn. I'm talking about how the 21 21 loss of confidence or the likes had been in -Government got rid of the Chief Executive 22 22 well, I was not - nothing of that had been yet Officer of the Borders and Coastguard 23 23 flagged or arisen. But all this - and I think Agency, how they got rid of the captain of 24 24 it's pertinent that perhaps I provide the the port and a retired Royal Navy 25 backdrop and refresh the backdrop, because 25 Commadore, how they got rid of the Chief Page 86 Page 88

1 **Executive Officer of the Financial Services** 1 remain in office and to uphold the rule of 2 2 Commission, how they got rid of senior posts law. Extremely - and I don't think, with 3 3 in the Gibraltar Health Authority, and others. respect to everybody, that I don't think I was 4 4 I could carry on. And others. So I knew how prepared or could have been prepared to deal 5 they operated. They fire from the hip, they 5 with the situation. I couldn't fall back on any 6 6 chop heads, and from what had happened on guidance manual, training, mentoring. There 7 7 the 12th I was already seeing that shaping up. was nothing written that I could say: Ian --8 8 Q. Can we now move to E751, please. This You know, I didn't know how to deal with it, 9 9 is a message, the penultimate message on the and it was challenging for my lawyers too 10 10 page, an exchange between you and Mr because there's the ruthlessness aspect to it, 11 11 Ullger on 24 May, so we have moved how are they going to react, but I still had 12 forward to the invitation to retire having been 12 that lingering hope that the GPA or 13 received. You say: "Coping, mate. Thanks. 13 somebody, not necessarily in the GPA, 14 14 Longing for the nightmare to end." A few wanted to be brought to a situation of, to 15 15 minutes later you sent a message to Mr Yeats deconflict the situation and be able to reason 16 16 also saying: "Longing for this nightmare to and to explain and not that my emails 17 17 end." How did you envisage the nightmare wouldn't have answered and there was a 18 ending by that point? 18 clear barrier there set up by Mr Picardo with 19 19 A. Well, as I said, my options were - I me, which could only indicate what my fate 20 20 wouldn't even describe them as limited. was likely going to be looking like, but I still 21 They were just either that I had to go. By 21 clung to that hope that come commonsense 22 22 hook or by crook. I was between a rock and would prevail, and importantly so. It was 23 a hard place. But within that, and because of 23 after I think from the 5th onwards, or maybe 24 24 the fact that my lawyers advised that the even earlier, I remember --25 25 process initiated by the GPA was unlawful or Q. Can we get to --Page 89 Page 91 1 wrongly applied, but I think it was even 1 A. I think this is important, or else I may 2 unlawful, against the principles of natural 2 even forget. 3 3 justice, and so forth. I'm not an expert at that Q. It is just that there is a lot to cover. 4 4 but this is what my advice was, that we could A. Yes. I will be very quick. I remember 5 5 challenge it, and challenge it with a view of that we wanted to ask Mr Pyle to defer the 6 6 matter to the arrival of the new Governor, overturning it. So whilst the negative 7 7 expectations remained, there was an avenue and that was very important to me. In fact, 8 8 he was arriving two days later or a day later, left where, if we persuaded, if I persuaded 9 9 the GPA to, you know, first and foremost and I wanted those fresh pair of eyes to look 10 10 reverse their decision and, secondly, take my at my situation, and I wasn't granted that 11 concern seriously. Remember that what I 11 possible deconfliction of the situation. Sorry 12 was reporting up was potential corruption but 12 about that, Mr Santos. 13 13 leading right up to the top. This was not -A. No, no, I understand and I tried not to 14 and this is the chief police officer saying it, it 14 interrupt you, but there is a lot to get through. 15 15 E756, please. This is Mr Ullger, towards the is not the layman in the street - but nobody 16 16 seemed to be concerned about the sabotage bottom of the page, on 29 May which is the 17 or alleged risk that Gibraltar was put in, and 17 day that your letter goes out, that morning, 18 more focused on getting rid of Ian McGrail, 18 saying: "Done SS ... I'll speak to you in due 19 19 and that is what I was pulling with. I was course." Had you agreed that Mr Ullger 20 20 pulling with a grossly unfair process that I would try to talk to Ms Sacramento? 21 21 A. I was playing all possible cards that I was being subjected to by the political power 22 22 and the old arms of Government and the considered were available and given my 23 other pulling power where I was being 23 good relationship with the Minister for 24 24 requested to leave, and the other pulling Justice, and if there was any possibility of 25 power was my obligations and desire to 25 deconflicting the situation, yes, I was doing

Page 90

anything that I considered could aid my 1 regulations. There was nothing expressed to 2 2 situation - and the RGP's situation for that me in any way, shape or form, by anybody, 3 3 and I think and I see and I perceive that the matter. 4 4 Q. Can we go to E1106, please? This is a plan in place was simply, because I think it's 5 voice note transcript of a message that Mr 5 very clear to anybody following this inquiry, 6 6 Ullger sent you 45 minutes later. I am not was to use the example that - the original 7 going to read it out again because we were all 7 example, because then they've thrown 8 8 here yesterday and I read it at painful length everything at me except the kitchen sink. 9 9 yesterday. Just to ask you some questions in But to use an example of the HMIC and the 10 10 relation to that, had you told Mr Ullger that collision at sea as a mere screen, as a mere your position was untenable with the Chief 11 11 smokescreen to cover what eventually Mr 12 Minister? 12 Picardo has accepted was a principal reason, 13 A. Not in those terms, no. I don't think I 13 which is the interference with Operation 14 14 Delhi, albeit that his interpretation is that I would say, because the untenability, if we 15 15 want to describe it like that, was probably a lied to him. But I contend that and I contest 16 16 that, that that is the principal reason but it difficulty that I was finding not being able to 17 17 discharge my responsibilities, so it was not doesn't feature initially. Come on, Mr 18 that as a Government party say that I was 18 Santos, I think. 19 19 fully aware that the loss of confidence was (12.15)20 20 Q. Can we go to now E764 please? At the the cause for me to go, no. If anything, it 21 was the opposite. How could I rely on 21 top of that page, there is a message from you 22 22 to Mr Ullger on 30 May 2020. So, the day Fabian Picardo, Micheal Llamas, to support 23 23 the police in a prosecution where they were after the letter goes, at 10.32 in the morning 24 24 and you say: "All I want is a dignified exit taking the sides of the other side, of the 25 25 suspects? And on top of that the police is and not a forced one." So at that point were Page 93 Page 95 1 hounded in the way it is, through me, and 1 you not effectively accepting that you were 2 indirectly impacting on the Operation Delhi 2 leaving and pushing only for a dignified as 3 3 team. How am I supposed to react to that? opposed to forced exit? 4 4 A. The week that followed, from the 29th, I Who can I turn to if the Governor is also then 5 5 supporting my foosting(?) of office? There suppose from 22 May onwards, was 6 was nobody that I could literally turn to. 6 agonizingly painful. Whilst on the one hand, 7 7 Q. Mr Ullger yesterday referred to you not as I said, I knew that I was probably more out 8 8 having the confidence of those individuals. than in and those were my options -- I had 9 9 Was that the way that you saw it? never had any other options -- I still had that 10 10 A. If anything, the other way round: that, hope that the letter from the 29th may work 11 11 look, confidence is something that you gain, some magic and open further pathways. As it 12 you lose, but then you can build up, and I 12 happened, according to Mr Pyle, it even 13 13 was always amenable, because if there had narrowed them even further because the 14 14 been the opportunity to sit round the table government parties took real serious offence. 15 and negotiate and explain matters in a grown-15 Like I say, there was no interest in the 16 16 up fashion, in a professional fashion, where alleged sabotage. The focus was "get rid of 17 any discontent expressed towards me would 17 the émigré". And that is my argument. Mr 18 18 have been aired and I would have been able Picardo, Mr Llamas, Mr Pyle were not 19 19 interested. I would have expected GPA to to respond or even raise my concerns in the 20 20 proper forum, then that confidence can either have been more assertive. 21 21 Q. Can we just focus on the 30th because we deteriorate further or improve. So this came, 22 22 the loss of confidence, came as a shock. are running out of time? 23 **Until 12 May Ian McGrail was standing** 23 A. I am sorry. 24 24 shoulder-to-shoulder with ministers Q. Just in a nutshell, your position is that --25 25 A. I was hoping for the best, but planning delivering messages in live TV on the Covid Page 94 Page 96

corporate manslaughter, etc. I have really for the worst. So if I had to go, I wanted to 1 2 2 go with my head up high and in a dignified had to assert myself here." Do you Recall 3 3 way. And that is the bottom line if I had to speaking to Mr Richardson that morning and 4 4 a mention of potential corporate 5 Q. On what date did you make a firm 5 manslaughter? 6 decision to seek early retirement? 6 A. I do not recall, but the message suggests 7 A. Before I answer that, I think it was the 7 and I am not disputing that. I just want to 8 8 5th but before -- 5 June. But before I answer offer some context to that, if I may. When in 9 9 that, it reminded me here, through messages senior posts like the one that I held, I had 10 10 that were discussed during the previous two episodes or occasions to feel irritated and 11 11 witnesses, that I believe there is a message evidently that is one of those moments where 12 from me to Mr Ullger on 1 June asking him 12 I felt irritated about a view or an observation 13 to do as much as possible on the HMIC 13 that Mr Richardson had made which 14 14 recommendations. That is not the message obviously I was not happy with. And that is 15 15 from somebody who is certainly out. That is the way I vented my irritation. I say that I 16 16 a message from somebody who wants to assert myself and I can give context to what 17 17 speed up and do things and still want to the message means in detail. 18 remain in post. 18 Q. Why were you irritated by --19 19 Q. The government parties alleged that A. Because the circumstances had yet not 20 20 nothing which happened after 29 May 2020 been established. We did not have evidence 21 made any difference to your decision to 21 to support any of that. But look, I respect the 22 22 retire. Do you agree or disagree? gentleman as providing his views which I 23 23 A. I disagree, because something happened. thought were not warranted at the time and 24 24 The letter of the 29th went away, this was going to be a matter -- I knew from 25 25 challenging, making my representations to the outset that this was going to be a matter Page 97 Page 99 1 the police authority. And that letter, I do not 1 that was going to be dealt with independently 2 know why, was shared with the government 2 and we should not, or rather the RGP should 3 3 parties. They responded to it with the not be the ones that propose any views. I 4 4 advantage of knowing what my arguments mean any possible corporate manslaughter 5 5 were. And then the police authority saw would not have been missed by the 6 sense that the procedure had been wrongly 6 Metropolitan Police who came to investigate. 7 7 applied. They withdrew the invitation but Let them or else there starts the question of 8 8 left the door open, wide open, for the worst influencing an independent Inquiry or not. 9 9 to happen. So again, if I was between a rock And that is the irritation that I expressed. 10 10 Nothing more, nothing less. I would never and a hard place, there was the last sort of 11 11 stab in the back. hit anybody, let alone Mr Richardson, who I 12 Q. Is it fair to say that after 29 May 2020, 12 have developed a friendship after I retired. I 13 your emphasis shifted to being allowed to 13 like Mr Ullger, he is of my age, was with me 14 leave on the best possible financial terms? 14 in school and I have -- hold him in high 15 15 A. Look, personal terms are very important regard. So it is regrettable and I apologise 16 16 when you consider 36 years, long years of here for that language. But that is Mr 00 if I 17 17 service to the community, to the police. I can describe Mr Richardson, we used to -- in 18 18 loved my job. I did not want to go. Spanish and maybe you can translate it. We 19 19 A. Can we now move to E502, please? This used to call him (Spanish) which means that 20 20 is the incident at sea. And I just want to look he would perhaps over complete complicate 21 21 at the top of the page, which is a message at, matters, and I prefer to have people around 22 22 I think, it is 9.05 in the morning. So shortly me like that even if they sound irritating. 23 23 after the incident. You say (Spanish) "I Because you do not want people -- "yes" 24 24 swear I am going to hit somebody. Nolan and men around you. You need people to 25 25 challenge decisions and to think outside the Paul are already talking of potential Page 98 Page 100

box. And Mr Richardson was the perfect 1 comments vis leadership. We can fucking 2 2 example of somebody thinking outside the produce a proper set of instructions." And 3 3 box and came in very, very handy in the the response - Mr Ullger's response is in 4 4 command team. So I apologise to him. agreement effectively. Does this demonstrate 5 Q. Yes, I think we have got your answer. 5 your disappointment with the Marine 6 6 Can we move now to a bit further down the section's standard operating procedures and 7 7 page at 9.49 which we believe is 10.49. You leadership? 8 say "Here with AG in my office. Collision 8 A. Yes, and it is again another moment of 9 happens 6NM east of the airport La Linea 9 irritation as it is very, very evident of the 10 10 Beach." So you did refer there directly to the language and the tone. 11 collision rather than the incident. Is it fair to 11 Q. You say that in the end HMIC is right. 12 say from this that that your position at the 12 Were you referring to where it had said in its 13 time was that the collision had happened 13 report that leadership development was an 14 14 outside Gibraltar waters? area of improvement for the Force? 15 15 A. No, that is not a fair comment. That A. I am glad that you have highlighted that. 16 16 message was sent in a dynamic situation and That is exactly what I was referring to 17 17 it is probably incomplete and/or incorrect. It because I could not... I have not had time to 18 was shortly after the incident. So we are still 18 cross refer a map. My reference to the 19 19 talking about the critical moments of the HMIC to the report. I have not had the 20 20 incident. And like I said, it was dynamic in energy to do that. I am really happy that you 21 pace and dealing with many messaging. And 21 have mentioned that. 22 22 Q. The reference for the Chairman's benefit Mr Ullger was not even in Gibraltar then. So 23 23 that message is inaccurate insofar as it says is B1552. Now can we go to E629, please? 24 24 On 21 April, you messaged Mr Ullger. This that it seems -- confirmed that the collision 25 25 happened at six nautical miles when at that is an exchange that we were discussing. We Page 101 Page 103 1 point it was not confirmed. So it was more 1 were discussing with Mr Ullger yesterday 2 information or apparently or seems to have 2 about a hypothetical sudden death. And you 3 3 say: "(Spanish) sudden death el Paul happened rather than confirmed. And what is 4 4 Richardson because he will say it is missing from that message is also that at the 5 5 time we were working from the hypothesis involuntary manslaughter on my part." You 6 6 are basically saying: I do not want Mr that there was a chase, a pursuit in British 7 7 territorial waters which happened to be not Richardson to investigate this because he will 8 8 say that it is involuntary manslaughter on my the case. But at that time we were working 9 9 on that hypothesis. So no, I have not. I part. And Mr Ullger replies: "(Spanish) We 10 10 are in a mess. You say the problem is he is could not provide. I could not have provided 11 buddy buddy with the Stipe." Now this 11 him with this information as a confirmed 12 matter when I did not have it myself. 12 seems to be relating back to the conversation 13 -- the exchange on 8 March, is that correct? 13 O. Can we now go to E5111? Sorry. E511? 14 Sorry Mr McGrail, I am hurrying a little bit. 14 A. No, Mr Ullger... I could not make head 15 15 or tails of it when it was brought to my notice A. I am sorry. I will --16 16 and I started looking at it. A. No, no. Do not apologise. But I am just 17 17 explaining why I am in a rush. Halfway... Q. We do not need to get into the 18 18 Sorry. I think it is the second message there. background? 19 19 "I am so (Spanish). I am so angry. SOP the A. The thing is, I could not make head nor 20 20 Marine Section done by Brian F And Nolan tails of it until yesterday he has jogged my 21 memory. And this was... What I could make 21 apparently. So, so shabby in presentation, in 22 22. out was certainly that the reference to the blank paper, no letterhead, no author, no date. 23 sudden death and not to assign it to Mr 23 Shambolic. Such basic things that even 24 24 Richardson because he would come up with probationer would not miss (Spanish) In the 25 this over complication was because the way I 25 end, HMIC (Spanish)is right on the negative Page 102 Page 104

1 those deletions related to -- those deleted read it was that it reeks of sarcasm, nasty 2 2 sarcasm on my part, which again I regret. messages related to? 3 But it had nothing to do with the evidence, to 3 A. Are those the ones that... Can we go 4 4 do with the collision at sea or in accordance further up? Okay, so there... Yes. No, I 5 with what Mr Ullger has refreshed the 5 don't know what they are about but there are 6 6 other deletions and think there's another Inquiry and myself to. It had to do with 7 7 somebody, somebody who was challenging deletions from Australia. 8 8 the COVID regulations, was being a pain in Q. The reason I am taking to these is 9 9 because they fall in April 2020. The others the backside basically, and did not let go. So 10 this has nothing whatsoever to do with the --10 do not seem quite so --11 11 Q. No, my question was whether the A. Okav. 12 reference to the involuntary manslaughter 12 Q. -- relevant, Potentially relevant. I am not 13 was --13 suggesting that they are relevant or not. 14 14 A. No, I am sorry, I will not be able to assist A. Yes, yes --15 Q. -- harking back to the conversation? 15 you there. I haven't... There's nothing 16 16 A. Yes, because there was... I do not like before or after, is there, that can direct me to 17 17 discussing this openly because it was to do it, no. 18 with a death is a situation in life that has to 18 Q. No, no, I do not think so. All right, well -19 19 be respected and should not be mocked. But 20 20 A. But that was way before the wheel came in this case it was that if this person 21 happened to take his own life because he was 21 off, basically, or rather than that all hell broke 22 22 desperate in the ways that he was coming loose. I can't see it is linked to... 23 across, as in get a life, that Mr Richardson 23 Q. Yes. I am just going to turn to the HMIC 24 24 report, sir. I do not think it will take more would not be assigned or else he would be --25 25 I would be accused of causing that death, if than 10 minutes, if I could have leave to just Page 105 Page 107 1 you know what I mean. In the most sarcastic 1 take those 10 minutes. Thank you. It is 2 and hypothetical and irrelevant tones it was --2 always easier making that request as CTI. 3 3 he described it as "banter", but I described it (To the witness) Can we now go to 495 4 as being fed up with the individual 4 please? This is 5 March 2020 where you say 5 5 concerned. you are discussing HMIC with Mr Ullger. 6 Q. Why did you say that Mr Richardson 6 You say "HMIC (Spanish) battling against 7 7 was buddy buddy with the Stipe? Cathal and Paul. It's an uphill struggle for 8 8 A. Because he is. Well, he is. Mr me. Get your arse back." Just to translate 9 9 Richardson had a very good relationship with again. "HMIC is taking me through the 10 10 the stipendiary -- ex-stipendiary, and arising street of sorrow." And then Mr Ullger says: 11 11 "Yeah, I told you they were (Spanish) from, I understand, previous engagements in 12 his professional line of work and they 12 pathetic about it. Not putting the importance 13 13 to it and saying that we are overreacting. developed a relationship which for me was 14 14 fine. I think both the stipendiary and Mr They don't see the damage it can do." Did 15 15 you agree with Mr Ullger that the HMIC Richardson knows what their boundaries are. 16 16 But that comment comes into play as in again report could do damage? 17 A. This was again another moment of following from the sarcastic situation that Mr 17 18 18 Richardson will convince the Stipendiary that irritation and it is obviously obvious that 19 19 I am guilty of involuntary manslaughter. those are the ones that are being flagged and 20 20 looked at it forensically, but it is another Q. Can I now move to 629? So at the 21 21 bottom of that page and the beginning of the moment of irritation. I think the bottom line 22 22 next page there is some -- there are four is that, yes, I was obviously bothered and 23 23 deletions that I took Mr Ullger to yesterday. worried about the inspection report because it 24 24 It is the following day. It is not the follow on was not a good one. I think everybody was, 25 from that conversation. Do you know what 25 but that is it. I was expecting work to be

Page 106

done, maybe, and it seems that Mr 1 and the tone, I sensed again, I say, a bit of 2 2 Richardson, Mr Cathal were not receptive to weakness, that they would stand by the RGP 3 3 that. But at the same time, I understand that if we were bombarded with criticisms from 4 4 they had already opposed the commissioning the quarters that I have mentioned. 5 of the report in the first place and I had 5 Q. Can we now go to E1102, please? This is 6 challenged it. Those are the dynamics of 6 a voice note which you sent on 28 April 2020 7 7 where you are saying to Mr Ullger, "We are working in a team. It is not all a bed of roses 8 8 always, and nothing sinister should be looked going to have to explain why we never 9 9 worked on the other recommendations" and at. I had my disagreements with them and 10 10 they had it with me. we read this yesterday. I am not going to 11 11 Q. Everybody gets irritated at work read it all because of time constraints, but 12 sometimes. That is not what we are focusing 12 how do you respond to the suggestion by the 13 on. It is just your reaction to the report. That 13 government parties that you are seeking to 14 14 is the point of my question. So I am just come up with explanations after the event for 15 15 going to move on. the failure to meet HMIC requirements? 16 16 A. I think that my reaction to report is well A. Well, actually I do not know whether Mr 17 17 explained in my evidence already. Ullger may have known at the time, but there 18 Q. Yes. 497 is -- the top of the page 497 is 18 is correspondence disclosed before the 19 19 another similar message on 6 March where Inquiry where Mr Britto makes this request 20 20 you say -- sorry, the preceding page, actually, from me and he says: try and provide me 21 Mr Ullger and you are discussing Paul 21 with explanations as to why the 22 22 Holewell and Matt Parr and then you say in recommendations on the previous report 23 23 the following page, "I hope so. (Spanish) were not advanced. So, in the main I was 24 This has got me down. At least Joey agrees 24 under a request from the GPA, but equally 25 25 with Hespero(?), but I do not think I can given the date -- what date was this again, Page 109 Page 111 1 count on his support if the wheel comes 1 you said, Mr Santos? 2 completely off." What did you mean by the 2 Q. 28 April 2020. 3 3 wheel coming completely off? A. Okay, 28 April. 4 A. I was... We were riding a good time there 4 Q. Yes. 5 5 in terms of public opinion and public A. So that was definitely, definitely in 6 exposure and to be fair, I was enjoying that 6 response and I have not been able to dig it 7 7 because it was very comforting. But there up, but there is correspondence or either by 8 8 were factors out there that were intent in email or by letter or by WhatsApp from Mr 9 9 causing the harm in media terms, and I was Britto requesting me to provide him with 10 10 concerned that that was going to be used as a explanations as to why the previous 11 11 weapon to hit at the RGP. There was a recommendations had not been advanced. 12 constant -- there was a constant stream of 12 And that is the tone of my.... We are going to 13 13 issues raised in certain sectors in the media. have to explain it is in response to Mr 14 I was also concerned that the good name that 14 Britto's request. 15 15 Q. E674. There is another text on 28 April we were brewing and the good contacts, 16 16 community contacts that we had, could be at the -- at 10.26 at the bottom. 17 affected and community expectations and 17 A. It may be worthwhile. I have just seen, I 18 satisfaction of the service would be affected. 18 have just seen --19 19 I was also concerned about the reaction the Q. Yes. 20 20 political power and the governor were going A. I have just seen "Have you seen Joey's 21 21 email?" And it is on the same date. to have about the reports. Yes, I was 22 22 concerned about all that. So, public, political Q. In fairness to you, that message is just 23 power, Governor. And although the GPA, I 23 before I think, or just after the voice notes. 24 24 believe, were on side with me because they A. Exactly. It could well be... If we track 25 25 that down, it could be that the voicemail or were equally disappointed with the language Page 110 Page 112

voice message is linked to that email and if it 1 working at it, but the more that is done on the 2 2 is what I think it is, it will confirm what I HMIC recommendations within the next 3 3 have just said. couple of weeks, the better." Why did you 4 4 Q. We will look into that. So then at the say that? 5 bottom of that page -- "Just seen it." This is 5 A. That was part of my thinking at the time 6 from you too, Mr Ullger. "There are many 6 to deconflict any of the concerns that were 7 questions we can't answer as it was down to 7 being levied at me and therefore suggesting 8 Wowee". And the response: "Indeed, that is 8 that within the next couple of weeks, if I can 9 9 what I was going to say. I will finish off later sit down and provide mitigating 10 10 today the roadmap and send it to you circumstances or reduce whatever tension 11 electronically." Who is Wowee or what is 11 there was, that would have helped if we 12 Wowee? 12 could have advanced the recommendations as 13 A. That is a nickname for my predecessor. 13 I was requesting. 14 14 Q. I am being given a reference. D2319. I Q. Thank you, Mr McGrail. I do not have 15 15 any further questions for you, but there will do not know if that can be brought up on 16 screen, please. 2319. This is an email from 16 17 Dr Britto on the... It seems to be almost 17 A. I need to go to the gents very quickly. 18 contemporaneous with the message 18 Q. We will certainly take a break. The only 19 19 exchanges we are just looking at at 10.23 in thing I would query is whether perhaps the 20 20 the morning, referring to the HMIC report. alternative is to start at quarter to two. To 21 So, is that the email that you had in mind? 21 take lunch now and start at quarter to two. # 22 A. Well, it says, "I look forward to receiving 22 THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. 23 the planning document as discussed." I seem 23 MR SANTOS: Thank you. 24 to think that there was a letter. I think that.... 24 (12.42)25 25 Now, this is refreshing my... I think there (The short adjournment) Page 113 Page 115 1 was an official letter from Mr Britto from the 1 (13.44)2 police authority asking -- officially asking... 2 QUESTIONED BY SIR PETER CARUANA 3 3 Q. I mean, just being as fair as I can to you, Q. Mr McGrail, I just want to start, if I may, 4 but while at the same time not wanting to 4 with a couple of things that you said this 5 5 feed you, but if I just read out the first line, morning. When my learned friend Mr Santos 6 "Gents. In addition, please ensure that 6 was questioning you about the 29 May letter 7 7 and whether it meant that you had already specific references are also made to the 8 8 reasons for the recommendations in the made up your mind and then put to you 9 9 previous HMIC report not being met." something that I am going to put up on 10 A. There you go. I seem to think that there 10 screen in a moment. He showed you the 5 11 was also a letter, a formal letter that June message where you say, "Still not out of 11 12 followed. 12 the woods. I now pray that they do not 13 Q. Can we now turn to... Sorry, why did... 13 refuse my intention to retire already". 14 14 You were talking about your predecessor. A. I don't recall that being put to me. 15 Why did you refer to your predecessor in that 15 Q. Okay. So, do you remember expressing 16 exchange? 16 to my learned friend the view that you still 17 A. Because obviously the previous report 17 had the hope on 5 June, or up to 5 June, that 18 had been during his time and we worked 18 there might still be some hope? 19 19 under his instruction from there on until I A. Some room for de-conflicting the 20 20 took over. situation. 21 Q. Then finally, E767, 1 June. This is a 21 Q. Yes. You said specifically that the 22 message that you were referring to earlier, I 22 Gibraltar Police Authority might withdraw 23 think. Final bottom page. Sorry, bottom of 23 their request to retire, for example. 24 the page, you to Mr Ullger, "I would ask a 24 A. That was an expectation, yes. 25 big favour and I know you are already 25 Q. Yes. Well, can I take you to that. E784. Page 114 Page 116

Line - row 2497 - 784/26/25. Sorry. Do 1 of the GPA withdrawing is the key here, 2 2 you see that message on 5 June at 17:13 in because they are - that's where I say, that the 3 3 the evening? -- they were leaving the door open. 4 4 A. Yes. Q. Right. 5 Q. "I now pray that they do not refuse my 5 A. And we queried -- we queried, in fact, we 6 intention to retire early". 6 queried, in response to that letter, my lawyers 7 7 wrote asking: well, what is going to happen 8 Q. Had you forgotten, when you gave your 8 now? 9 evidence this morning, that by then the 9 Q. Right, you --10 10 Gibraltar Police Authority had already A. And we didn't get any reply from the 11 11 withdrawn their request for you to retire? GPA. 12 A. I had certainly not forgotten that. But 12 A. I see. 13 there was an extremely grey area which I 13 A. So, it was evident what was going to 14 14 explored spontaneously with the GPA 15 15 chairman back on 29 May, where I recall Q. Okay, I will not repeat it. After that, you 16 16 asking him if the invitation -- if I were to confirmed your intention to retire, but you 17 17 challenge the instruction to retire and it was also said that you pointed out, indeed you 18 refused on the basis that the GPA still felt 18 volunteered it -- you interrupted my learned 19 19 that I had to leave, would I still be able to friend to volunteer it -- that you pointed out 20 20 leave voluntarily or would I be subjected to a to the fact that you wanted them to wait for 21 resignation call by the Governor, something 21 the new governor to arrive. How is that 22 22 which the GPA chairman said he didn't consistent with also saying, "I now pray that 23 23 know. That is back on the 22 May meeting. they do not refuse my intention to retire"? 24 24 How can you have two opposed intentions at So, the grey area as to whether there could be 25 25 the positive side of the GPA withdrawing or the same time? One, that they should do Page 119 Page 117 1 the GPA insisting, and if the GPA insisted, 1 nothing until the new governor arrives, and could I still leave voluntarily, in terms of not 2 2 secondly, that they should not refuse your 3 3 accepting my representations. intention to retire. How are those two things 4 Q. But how could you have harboured a 4 reconcilable? 5 5 hope that the GPA -- how can you justify A. Well, as I said, this was a situation 6 your position that you still had hope on the 6 evolving through my thought process on an 7 7 basis that the GPA would withdraw when hourly basis, and the question of waiting for 8 8 they had already withdrawn, and after they the new governor had been proposed to Mr 9 9 had withdrawn, you still say, "I now pray that Pyle, in fact, by both, I believe, my lawyers 10 10 they do not refuse my intention to retire and myself in person during one of my pre 11 early", after the GPA had already withdrawn. 11 meetings to that. So, I was exploring each 12 A. Because the GPA had left the door open 12 and every possible hope, strand, thread that I 13 for Mr Pyle to act, to invoke his powers. 13 could explore. But this was already having a 14 And it could well be this is the dilemma I 14 serious toll on me. I was, at the time, I was 15 15 not -- I was seriously affected. I was ill. was toying with. It could well be that I am 16 16 not allowed to retire because I have not -- the Q. Yes, all right, Mr McGrail, we understand 17 process has been flawed. 17 that, but which was it? Were you hoping that 18 18 Q. I see. But this was the very time -- this they would not stop you retiring? Or were 19 19 was the very day that your own lawyers had you hoping that they would do the sensible 20 20 thing and make no decision until the new written in to the GPA saying: we need to --21 21 we are going to -- thank you for withdrawing, governor arrived? but we are going to -- we want to retire A. If playing for time worked toward my 22 22 23 23 anyway because we have been so unfairly advantage, that would have been a good 24 24 treated and all this unlawful interference. option for me. 25 A. Yes, you are correct in that but the letter 25 Q. Yes. Okay, could we look at E508? Page 118 Page 120

When my learned friend questioned you this 1 did - "you" did not want it to go and your 2 2 morning about the loss of life in the incident determination that Mr Richardson should not 3 take them there. 3 at sea and the Met investigation, you said that 4 4 the RGP should not be the one to propose A. It is completely wrong to what you are 5 any views on the manslaughter question. 5 suggesting. 6 That is what you said. 6 Q. I see. 7 A. Based on not having any information to 7 A. I am talking this with my deputy. I am 8 8 support that. not sharing this with the --9 Q. Okay. This was an independent 9 Q. Okay. 10 investigation of the RGP. That was the tenor 10 A. -- Metropolitan Police or with any 11 of what you said. Can you look at this, row 11 external party. I am talking --12 1069. Starting at 1069, reading down from 12 Q. I see. 13 there. "The Met are coming over for the 13 A. -- in confidence with my deputy 14 investigation." Mr Ullger to you. You to Mr 14 colleague. 15 Ullger. "Yep, a team from the Professional 15 Q. Exactly. But do you not think that people 16 Standards SIF couldn't help..." If we could 16 are most candid when they are -- think they are in confidence? You heard yesterday the 17 carry on scrolling down, Mr Triay. I thank 17 18 you. Then there is a missing message there, 18 evidence from the RGP that WhatsApps have 19 a body -- a body absent. Then, "I understand 19 been exaggerated, the importance of them. 20 20 they are doing their statements today, no?" Is Would you agree with me that actually it is 21 that a reference to the local witnesses? The 21 the opposite of that? That when people think 22 22 local police witnesses doing the statements they are speaking privately with their friends 23 for the Met team that was coming? 23 in confidence, it is when they actually 24 24 A. It would seem. It would appear. I would articulate what they really think? 25 25 agree with that. A. On occasions it may be like that. On Page 121 Page 123 1 Q. Yes. That is my reading of it. 1 occasions it might mean --2 A. Yes. I agree. 2 O. Yes. 3 3 Q. I just wanted you to confirm it. A. -- text can be completely misconstrued, 4 A. I agree. 4 as has happened on many occasions. You 5 5 Q. Then, "They are coming on Friday..." cannot expect a written communication to be 6 You to Mr Ullger. "I intend to brief them on 6 a substitution for a face-to-face where you 7 7 the local context [and then you say] I am can articulate your arguments on what you 8 8 concerned of PR, [presumably Mr really mean in a far clearer fashion. 9 9 Richardson] steering it to where I do not Q. Okay. Mr McGrail, did you, at that time, 10 10 want it to go." What do you mean by that? when you were still Commissioner of Police, A. Meant that this was the direction of 11 use Signal, Vibe or any other messaging 11 12 where the investigation needed to go had to 12 platform other than WhatsApps? 13 13 A. Vibe? I don't know what that is. be determined by the independent team and 14 not by Mr Richardson. 14 Q. Sorry? Oh. I am told it is pronounced 15 15 Q. To where "I", you, "do not want it to go". Viber. Sorry, you and I appear to be as old 16 16 A. We are talking about the mooting of fashioned as each other about these things. 17 17 involuntary manslaughter -- or corporate A. No. No. Not --18 rather -- corporate manslaughter. I don't 18 Q. Sorry? 19 19 think any head of any organisation would A. Not that I can think. WhatsApp was the -20 20 want the organisation to be --21 21 Q. How is your evidence this morning to my Q. The only one. 22 learned friend that you did not think the RGP 22 A. That I can think. Maybe Messenger. 23 23 should, quote, "...not be the ones to propose Messenger, you know, that is linked to the 24 any views..." be read consistently with you 24 Facebook perhaps. 25 having a view about whether -- where you 25 Q. Only that you can think of. Signal?

Page 124

2 A. Fan't think. I think Signal came in later after I left, I think. 4 Q. Did you use any other messaging service other tham WhatsApp? 5 A. I can't think I did, no. 7 Q. You cannot remember or you do not think you did? 8 A. I don't think I did. I don't think I did. 10 Q. Bud you are not sure? 11 A. In relation to police work? 12 Q. Yes. 13 A. Certainly I would not have used— 14 Q. Okay. Okay. 15 A. I flamyhe a simple iMessage which is the facility from— 17 Q. Okay. Okay. 18 A. — iPhone. But even that I think— 19 Q. So, your answer is that you do not think yoo. That is okay. 21 A. But— 22 Q. You are— 23 A. No, the thing is, if I did, it would show in the forensic examination of my phone. 25 Q. No. If you did, you should have Page 125 1 disclosed them. That is my point. 2 A. 1 agreed(sic). 3 Q. Okay. Now you said that when you left the foreey ou left your daybooks in a rucksack, I think you said, in your office. 4 A. That is covered in my— Q. Okay. Now you said that when you left the forense examination of my phone. 9 Q. Okay. Now you said that when you left the foreey out left your daybooks in a rucksack, I think you said, in your office. 4 A. That is covered in my— 9 Q. Can you just, just for the record, confirm to us whether that was your day books for your entire career or just for a limited period of time? 10 A. In dreft think I did. 11 A. In relation to police work? 12 Q. Yes. 12 Q. Yes. 13 A. Certainly I would not have been for my pour entire career or just for a limited period of time? 14 A. I agreed(sic). 15 A. I agreed(sic). 16 A. That is covered in my— 17 Q. Can you just, just for the record, confirm to us whether that was your day books for your entire senior management days— 14 A. But— 25 Q. No. If you daybooks in a much pount and pount	1	Signal?	1	Q. Yes. Okay.
after 11cft, I think. Q. Did you use any other messaging service other than WhatsApp? A. I can't think I did, no. Q. You cannot remember or you do not think you did? A. I don't think I did. I don't think I did. Q. Bat you are not sure? H. A. In relation to police work? Q. Q. Sea, Yes. A. A. Teathing I would not have used— A. I myse a simple iMessage which is the facility from— Q. Okay, Okay. H. A. In relation to police work? A. I may be a simple iMessage which is the facility from— Q. Okay, Okay. A. I may be a simple iMessage which is the facility from— Q. Okay, Okay. A. I may be a simple iMessage which is the facility from— Q. Okay, Okay. A. But— Q. So, your answer is that you do not think so so. That is okay. A. No, the thing is, if I did, it would show in the forensic examination of my phone. Description of my phone. A. I agreed(sic). Q. Okay. Now you said that when you left the Force you left your daybooks in a rucksack, I think you said, in your office. A. That is covered in my— Q. Can you just, just for the record, confirm to us whether that was your day books for your entire career or just for a limited period of time? A. No, they would not have been for my entire career. They would have probably heen from my senior management days— A alphooks have been lost, have they? A. I do not know. I left them behind. THE CHARMAN: We have copies of three pages. Not much to show for a nentire career of service of day books. A. Well, the notes that have been presented here for the Inquiry ard — Jour archival in may and the probably of the way that it was being pursued when I was in inffice? That is my evo und independence, is that a matter—was unlawfully interfering with your operational independence, is that a Jour and the notes that have been presented here for the Inquiry and— Jour and include the form of the probably of t	_	-		
4 Q. Okay, well, there is no - it may not be other than WhatsApp? 5 A. I can't think I did, no. 7 Q. You cannot remember or you do not think you did? 9 A. I don't think I did. I don't think I did. 10 Q. But you are not sure? 11 A. In relation to police work? 12 Q. Yes. 13 A. Certainly I would not have used— 14 Q. Okay. Okay. 15 A. If maybe a simple iMessage which is the facility from— 17 Q. Okay. 18 A. – iPhone. But even that I think— 19 Q. So, your answer is that you do not think so. That is okay. 19 A. It maybe a simple iMessage which is the facility from— 20 Q. You are— 21 A. But— 22 Q. You are— 23 A. No, the thing is, if I did, it would show in the frorensic examination of my phone. 25 Q. No. If you did, you should have Page 125 1 disclosed them. That is my point. 2 A. I agreed(sic). 3 Q. Okay. Now you said that when you left the Force you left your daybooks in a rucksack, I think you said, in your office. 6 A. That is covered in my— 7 Q. Can you just, just for the record, confirm to us whether that was your day books for your entire career or just for a limited period of firme? 9 Jone of time? 10 A. No, they would not have been for my entire career. They would have probably been from my senior management days. 10 Q. Your ontire senior management days— 11 A. No, they would not have been for my entire career or just for a limited period of time? 12 A. No, they would not have been for my entire career or inst for a limited period of time? 14 A. No, they would not have been for my entire career or inst for a limited period of time? 15 A. No, they would not have been for my entire career or inst for a limited period of time? 16 A. Well, the notes that have been presented here for the Inquiry are the ones that are pertinent to the Inquiry are the ones that are pertinent to the Inquiry are the ones that are pertinent to the Inquiry are the ones that are pertinent to the Inquiry are the ones that are pertinent to the Inquiry are the ones that are pertinent to the Inquiry are the ones that are pertinent to the In			1	
5 other than WhatsApp? 6 A. I can't think I did, no. 7 Q. You cannot remember or you do not think 8 you did? 9 A. I don't think I did. I don't think I did. 10 Q. But you are not sure? 11 A. In relation to police work? 12 Q. Yes. 13 A. Certainly I would not have used— 14 Q. Okay. Okay. 15 A. If maybe a simple iMessage which is the facility from— 16 facility from— 17 Q. Okay. 18 A. — iPhone. But even that I think— 19 Q. So, your answer is that you do not think 20 so. That is okay. 21 A. But— 22 Q. You are— 23 A. No, the thing is, if I did, it would show in the frorensic examination of my phone. 25 Q. No. If you did, you should have 26 A. That is covered in my— 27 Q. Can you just, just for the record, confirm to us whether that was your day books for your entire career or just for a limited period of of time? 14 A. No, they would not have been for my entire career. They would have probably been from my senior management days— 18 A. Well, the notes that have been presented here for the Inquiry are the ones that are perfinent to the Inquiry and— 28 A. Well, the notes that have been presented here for the Inquiry are the ones that are perfinent to the Inquiry and— 29 C. Au, Well, the notes that have been presented here for the Inquiry are the ones that are perfinent to the Inquiry and— 20 C. Au, Well, the notes that have been presented here for the Inquiry are the ones that are perfinent to the Inquiry and—				
6 A. I can't think I did, no. 7 Q. You cannot remember or you do not think 8 you did? 9 A. I don't think I did. I don't think I did. 10 Q. But you are not sure? 11 A. In relation to police work? 12 Q. Yes. 13 A. Certainly I would not have used— 14 Q. Okay. Okay. 15 A. If maybe a simple iMessage which is the 16 facility from— 17 Q. Okay. 18 A. — iPhone. But even that I think— 19 Q. So, your answer is that you do not think 20 so. That is okay. 21 A. But — 22 Q. You are— 23 A. No, the thing is, if I did, it would show in 24 the forensic examination of my phone. 25 Q. No. If you did, you should have Page 125 1 disclosed them. That is my point. 2 A. I agreed(sic). 3 Q. Okay. Now you said that when you left 4 the Force you left your daybooks in a 12 rucksack, I think you said, in your office. 6 A. That is covered in my— 7 Q. Can you just, just for the record, confirm 8 to us whether that was your day books for 9 your entire career or just for a limited period of time? 11 A. No, they would not have been for my 12 entire career. They would have probably 13 been from my senior management days— 14 Q. Your entire senior management days— 15 daybooks have been lost, have they? 16 A. I do not know. I left them behind. 17 THE CHAIRMAN: We have copies of three 18 pages. 19 SIR PETER CARUANA: You do so, sir. 20 You do have copies of three pages. 21 Not left here for the Inquiry are the ones that are 22 perinent to the Inquiry are the ones that are 23 perinent to the Inquiry are the ones that are 24 perinent to the Inquiry are the ones that are 25 perinent to the Inquiry are the ones that are 26 perinent to the Inquiry are the ones that are 27 perinent to the Inquiry are the ones that are 28 perinent to the Inquiry are the ones that are 29 perinent to the Inquiry are the ones that are 29 perinent to the Inquiry are the ones that are 29 perinent to the Inquiry are the ones that are 29 perinent to the Inquiry are the ones that are 29 perinent to the Inquiry are the ones that are 29 perinent to the Inquiry are the ones that are 2				
7	_	* *		
Source S	_			
Say if? Do you remember saying that? That you had tried but you were unable to do if?		· ·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
10 Q. But you are not sure? 10 you had tried but you were unable to do it?		•		
11 A. In relation to police work? 12 Q. Yes. 13 A. Certainly I would not have used— 14 Q. Okay. Okay. 15 A. If maybe a simple iMessage which is the facility from— 17 Q. Okay. 18 A. — iPhone. But even that I think— 19 Q. So, your answer is that you do not think so. That is okay. 21 A. But— 22 Q. You are— 23 A. No, the thing is, if I did, it would show in the forensic examination of my phone. 25 Q. No. If you did, you should have probably at use whether that was your day books for your entire career or just for a limited period of time? 10 Q. Can you just, just for the record, confirm to us whether that was your day books for your entire career or just for a limited period of time? 10 A. No, they would not have been from my entire career or just for a limited period of time? 11 A. No, they would not have been from my entire career or just for a limited period of time? 12 A. I do not know. I left them behind. 13 THE CHAIRMAN: We have copies of three pages. 14 A. No, they would not have been presented here for the Inquiry are the ones that are pertinent to the Inquiry are the ones that are pertinent to the Inquiry are the ones that are pertinent to the Inquiry are the ones that are pertinent to the Inquiry are the ones that are pertinent to the Inquiry and— 15 A. I was coming to the realisation and anoposish to discharge my function. I was not possible to discharge my function. I is that you are linking with key people. 16 A. I was coming to P. Q. B. Q. Well, it's not that I the field on that. It is that you are investigating, like I said, a serious alegation affecting with the serious allegation affecting with the				
12 Q. Yes. 13 A. Certainly I would not have used 14 Q. Okay. Okay. 15 A. If maybe a simple iMessage which is the facility from 16 facility from 17 Q. Okay. 18 AiPhone. But even that I think 18 Q. So, your answer is that you do not think 19 Q. So, your answer is that you do not think 20 so. That is okay. 21 A. But 22 Q. You are 23 A. No, the thing is, if I did, it would show in the forensic examination of my phone. 24 Q. You did, you should have 25 where you expect the powers that be to be supportive of that. Mainly because they should be also safeguarding National Security, and when they side up with the suspects, they tip off the suspects - which at the forensic examination of my phone. 24 the forensic examination of my phone. 25 which is the foreast of the pages 25 which is the foreast of the pages 26 which is the suspects and in that same pot, who do I turn to? Who - 27 who - 28 which is the your are thinking, how can I fulfill my Page 127 who - 29 which is the your are thinking, how can I fulfill my Page 127 who - 20 Where you prevented which is the your are thinking, how can I fulfill my Page 127 who - 20 Where you ight, your day books for a pour entire career or just for a limited period of time? 18 which is that you are not the investigating officer, were you? Which Richardson was able to continue and brought the matter to prosecution. 29 which is that you are not the investigating officer, were you? Which Richardson was able to continue and brought the matter to prosecution. 20 No. If you day books for 20 No. If you day books for 20 No. If you day books for 20 No. If you day books have been lost, have they? 10 No. they would not have breen for my 20 No. If you have copies of three pages. Not 20 No. If you have copies of three pages. Not 20 No. If you have copies of three pages. Not 20 No. If you have copies of three pages. Not			1	•
13 A. Certainly I would not have used — 13 Coming to — 20 Chay. Okay. 15 Charles			1	_
14 Q. Okay. Okay. 15 A. If maybe a simple iMessage which is the facility from — 16 facility from — 17 Q. Okay. 18 A. — iPhone. But even that I think — 19 Q. So, your answer is that you do not think 19 Q. So, your answer is that you do not think 19 Q. So, your answer is that you do not think 19 Q. Okay. 19 Where you expect the powers that be to be 10 supportive of that. Mainly because they 19 support		-		•
15 A. If maybe a simple iMessage which is the facility from — 16 facility from — 17 Q. Okay. 18 A. — iPhone. But even that I think — 19 Q. So, your answer is that you do not think — 20 so. That is okay. 21 A. But — 22 Q. You are — 23 A. No, the thing is, if I did, it would show in the forensic examination of my phone. 25 Q. No. If you did, you should have — 26 page 125 1 disclosed them. That is my point. 2 A. I agreed(sic). 3 Q. Okay. Now you said that when you left the Force you left your daybooks in a 15 rucksack, I think you said, in your office. 4 A. That is covered in my — 2 Q. Can you just, just for the record, confirm to us whether that was your day books for your entire career or just for a limited period of time? 11 A. No, they would not have been for my entire career. They would have probably been from my senior management days. 14 Q. Your entire senior management days. 15 daybooks have been lost, have they? 16 A. I do not know. I left them behind. 17 THE CHAIRMAN: We have copies of three pages. Not much to show for an entire career of service of day books. 28 A. Well, the notes that have been presented here for the Inquiry are the ones that are pertinent to the Inquiry are the ones that are pertinent to the Inquiry are the ones that are pertinent to the Inquiry are the ones that are pertinent to the Inquiry are the ones that are pertinent to the Inquiry are the ones that are pertinent to the Inquiry are the ones that are pertinent to the Inquiry are the ones that are pertinent to the Inquiry are the ones that are pertinent to the Inquiry are the ones that are pertinent to the Inquiry are the ones that are pertinent to the Inquiry are the ones that are pertinent to the Inquiry are the ones that are pertinent to the Inquiry are the ones that are pertinent to the Inquiry are the ones that are pertinent to the Inquiry are the ones that are pertinent to the Inquiry are the ones that are pertinent to the Inquiry are the ones that are pertinent to the Inquiry are the ones that are pertinent to the Inquiry			1	•
16 facility from — 17 Q. Okay. 18 A. — iPhone. But even that I think — 18			1	
17 S. A. — iPhone. But even that I think — 18 20 So., your answer is that you do not think 19 20 So. That is okay. 20 21 A. But — 21 22 23 A. No, the thing is, if I did, it would show in the forensic examination of my phone. 23 A. No, the thing is, if I did, it would show in the forensic examination of my phone. 24 the forensic examination of my phone. 25 Q. No. If you did, you should have 26 Security, and when they side up with the suspects, they tip off the suspects — which at the time I didn't know, but it is now evident — you are thinking, how can I fulfill my Page 127 27 28 29 29 29 29 29 29 29			1	
18 A. — iPhone. But even that I think — 19 Q. So, your answer is that you do not think 20 so. That is okay. 21 A. But — 22 Q. You are — 23 A. No, the thing is, if I did, it would show in the forensic examination of my phone. 24 the forensic examination of my phone. 25 Q. No. If you did, you should have Page 125 1 disclosed them. That is my point. 2 A. I agreed(sic). 3 Q. Okay. Now you said that when you left the Force you left your daybooks in a rucksack, I think you said, in your office. 4 A. That is covered in my — 7 Q. Can you just, just for the record, confirm to us whether that was your daybooks for your entire career or just for a limited period of time? 11 A. No, they would not have been for my entire career. They would have probably been from my senior management days. 14 Q. Your entire senior management days. 15 daybooks have been lost, have they? 16 A. I do not know. I left them behind. 17 THE CHAIRMAN: We have copies of three pages. 18 SIR PETER CARUANA: You do so, sir. 29 You do have copies of three pages. Not much to show for an entire career of service of day books. 20 A. Well, the notes that have been presented here for the Inquiry are the ones that are pertinent to the Inquiry and — 20 So, your expect the powers that be to be supportive of that. Mainly because they should be also safeguarding National Security. Abinul be also safeguarding National Security, and when they side up with the test up with the time I didn't know, but it is now evidentyou are thinking, how can I fulfill my Page 127 1 disclosed them. That is my point. 2 turn to? Who - 2 Q. But you were not the investigating officer, were you? Mr Richardson was able to continue and brought the matter to prosecution. A. Indeed, and it was — Q. So why, what duty were you prevented from carrying out by anybody? A. I think — Q. In relation to this Operation Delhi. A. I do not know. I left them behind. THE CHAIRMAN: We have copies of three pages. Not much to show for an entire career of service of day books. 2 Q. And if you thought			1	
19 Q. So, your answer is that you do not think 20 so. That is okay. 21 A. But 22 Q. You are 22 Should be also safeguarding National 24 Security, and when they side up with the 25 Security, and when they side up with the 26 supportive of that. Mainly because they should be also safeguarding National 26 Security, and when they side up with the suspects, they tip off the suspects which at the firms of didn't know, but it is now evident you are thinking, how can I fulfill my Page 125 Page 127			1	• 0
20 so. That is okay. 21 A. But 22 Q. You are 23 A. No, the thing is, if I did, it would show in 24 the forensic examination of my phone. 25 Q. No. If you did, you should have Page 125 26 disclosed them. That is my point. 27 A. I agreed(sic). 28 Q. Okay. Now you said that when you left the Force you left your daybooks in a rucksack, I think you said, in your office. 29 A. That is covered in my 20 Q. Can you just, just for the record, confirm to to weither a to continue and brought the matter to prosecution. 29 A. I think you said, in your office. 30 A. Wely the May Senior management days. 31 A. No, they would not have been for my entire career. They would have probably a pages. 32 A. I do not know. I left them behind. 33 Deen from my senior management days. 34 Q. Your entire senior management days. 35 Sir PETER CARUANA: You do so, sir. 36 You do have copies of three pages. 36 A. Well, the notes that have been presented here for the Inquiry are the ones that are pertinent to the Inquiry and 20 Supportive of that. Mainly because they should be also safeguarding National Security, and when they side up with the suspects, they tip off the suspects - which at the time I didn't know, but it is now evident - 24 the time I didn't know, but it is now evident - 25 bege 127 20 bligations and in that same pot, who do I turn to? Who - 22 bligations and in that same pot, who do I turn to? Who - 23 C. But you were not the investigating officer, were you? Mr Richardson was able to continue and brought the matter to prosecution. 24 A. I think 25 Can you just,			1	
21 A. But — 22 Q. You are — 23 A. No, the thing is, if I did, it would show in the forensic examination of my phone. 24 the forensic examination of my phone. 25 Q. No. If you did, you should have Page 125			1	
22 Q. You are 23 A. No, the thing is, if I did, it would show in 24 the forensic examination of my phone. 25 Q. No. If you did, you should have Page 125 1 disclosed them. That is my point. 2 A. I agreed(sic). 3 Q. Okay. Now you said that when you left the Force you left your daybooks in a rucksack, I think you said, in your office. 4 A. That is covered in my 7 Q. Can you just, just for the record, confirm to us whether that was your day books for your entire career or just for a limited period of time? 11 A. No, they would not have been for my entire career. They would have probably been from my senior management days. 14 Q. Your entire senior management days. 15 daybooks have been lost, have they? 16 A. I do not know. I left them behind. 17 THE CHAIRMAN: We have copies of three pages. 19 SIR PETER CARUANA: You do so, sir. 20 You do have copies of three pages. Not much to show for an entire career of service of day books. 21 A. Well, the notes that have been presented here for the Inquiry are the ones that are pertinent to the Inquiry and 22 Security, and when they side up with the suspects, which at the time I didn't know, but it is now evident - you are thinking, how can I fulfill my 24 the time I didn't know, but it is now evident - you are thinking, how can I fulfill my Page 127 25 Daybourd the suspects, which at the time I didn't know, but it is now evident - you are thinking, how can I fulfill my Page 127 26 Daybourd the suspects, which at the time I didn't know, but it is now evident - you are thinking, how can I fulfill my Page 127 26 Daybourd the suspects, which at the time I didn't know, but it is now evident - you are thinking, how can I fulfill my Page 127 27 Daybur and it was 28 Q. But you were not the investigating officer, were you? Mr Richardson was able to continue and brought the matter to prosecution. 29 A. In my opinion, it was if the head of an organisation, like in that case myself, was scalped, that would send some shockwave down the line where who would dare	21	•	1	· · ·
23 A. No, the thing is, if I did, it would show in the forensic examination of my phone. 25 Q. No. If you did, you should have Page 125 1 disclosed them. That is my point. 2 A. I agreed(sic). 3 Q. Okay. Now you said that when you left the Force you left your daybooks in a rucksack, I think you said, in your office. 6 A. That is covered in my 7 Q. Can you just, just for the record, confirm to us whether that was your day books for your entire career or just for a limited period of time? 11 A. No, they would not have been for my entire career. They would have probably been from my senior management days. 14 Q. Your entire senior management days. 15 daybooks have been lost, have they? 16 A. I do not know. I left them behind. 17 THE CHAIRMAN: We have copies of three pages. Not much to show for an entire career of service of day books. 23 A. Well, the notes that have been presented here for the Inquiry are the ones that are pertinent to the Inquiry and - 23 suspects, they tip off the suspects - which at the time I didn't know, but it is now evident - you are thinking, how can I fulfill my 24 the time I didn't know, but it is now evident - you are thinking, how can I fulfill my Page 127 25 but left them I didn't know, but it is now evident - you are thinking, how can I fulfill my Page 127 26 obligations and in that same pot, who do I turn to? Who 27 Q. But you were not the investigating office, were you? Mr Richardson was able to continue and brought the matter to prosecution. 7 A. Indeed, and it was - 8 Q. So why, what duty were you prevented from carrying out by anybody? 9 A. I think 10 A. In my opinion, it was if the head of an organisation, like in that case myself, was scalped, that would send some shockwave down the line where who would dare put their head over the parapet and pursue it in the way that it was being pursued when I was in office? That is my - you have asked my opinion. That is mine and 29 Q. And if you thought as a Commissioner of Police, that a politician or anyb	22	Q. You are	22	
the forensic examination of my phone. Q. No. If you did, you should have Page 125 Description of time? Page 125 Page 127 Description of time? Page 125 Page 127 Description of time? Page 127 Description of time of the process of three pages. Not pertinent to the Inquiry and Description of time of the form my senior management days of the pages. Not pertinent to the Inquiry and Description of time of the time I didn't know, but it is now evidentyou are thinking, how can I fulfill my of the time I didn't know, but it is now evidentyou are thinking, how can I fulfill my of the time I didn't know, but it is now evidentyou are thinking, how can I fulfill my of the time I didn't know, but it is now evidentyou are thinking, how can I fulfill my of the time I didn't know, but it is now evidentyou are thinking, how can I fulfill my of turn to? Who	23	A. No, the thing is, if I did, it would show in	23	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
disclosed them. That is my point. A. I agreed(sic). Q. Okay. Now you said that when you left the Force you left your daybooks in a rucksack, I think you said, in your office. A. That is covered in my Q. Can you just, just for the record, confirm to us whether that was your day books for your entire career or just for a limited period of time? A. No, they would not have been for my entire career. They would have probably been from my senior management days. Q. Your entire senior management days daybooks have been lost, have they? A. I do not know. I left them behind. THE CHAIRMAN: We have copies of three pages. SIR PETER CARUANA: You do so, sir. You do have copies of three pages. Not much to show for an entire career of service of day books. A. Well, the notes that have been presented here for the Inquiry are the ones that are pertinent to the Inquiry and	24		24	
disclosed them. That is my point. A. I agreed(sic). Q. Okay. Now you said that when you left the Force you left your daybooks in a rucksack, I think you said, in your office. A. That is covered in my Q. Can you just, just for the record, confirm to us whether that was your day books for your entire career or just for a limited period of time? A. No, they would not have been for my entire career. They would have probably been from my senior management days. Q. Your entire senior management days. Q. Your entire senior management days. A. I do not know. I left them behind. THE CHAIRMAN: We have copies of three pages. SIR PETER CARUANA: You do so, sir. You do have copies of three pages. Not much to show for an entire career of service of day books. A. Well, the notes that have been presented here for the Inquiry are the ones that are pertinent to the Inquiry and Dobligations and in that same pot, who do I turn to? Who Q. But you were not the investigating officer, were you? Mr Richardson was able to continue and brought the matter to prosecution. A. Indeed, and it was Q. So why, what duty were you prevented from carrying out by anybody? A. I think Q. In relation to this Operation Delhi. A. In my opinion, it was if the head of an organisation, like in that case myself, was scalped, that would send some shockwave down the line where who would dare put their head over the parapet and pursue it in the way that it was being pursued when I was in office? That is mine and Q. That is your speculative view. A. My - from Q. And if you thought as a Commissioner of Police, that a politician or anybody for that matter was unlawfully interfering with your operational independence, is that a	25	Q. No. If you did, you should have	25	- you are thinking, how can I fulfill my
disclosed them. That is my point. A. I agreed(sic). Q. Okay. Now you said that when you left the Force you left your daybooks in a rucksack, I think you said, in your office. A. That is covered in my Q. Can you just, just for the record, confirm to us whether that was your day books for your entire career or just for a limited period of time? A. No, they would not have been for my entire career. They would have probably been from my senior management days. Q. Your entire senior management days. Q. Your entire senior management days. A. I do not know. I left them behind. THE CHAIRMAN: We have copies of three pages. SIR PETER CARUANA: You do so, sir. You do have copies of three pages. Not much to show for an entire career of service of day books. A. Well, the notes that have been presented here for the Inquiry are the ones that are pertinent to the Inquiry and Dobligations and in that same pot, who do I turn to? Who Q. But you were not the investigating officer, were you? Mr Richardson was able to continue and brought the matter to prosecution. A. Indeed, and it was Q. So why, what duty were you prevented from carrying out by anybody? A. I think Q. In relation to this Operation Delhi. A. In my opinion, it was if the head of an organisation, like in that case myself, was scalped, that would send some shockwave down the line where who would dare put their head over the parapet and pursue it in the way that it was being pursued when I was in office? That is mine and Q. That is your speculative view. A. My - from Q. And if you thought as a Commissioner of Police, that a politician or anybody for that matter was unlawfully interfering with your operational independence, is that a		Dagg 125		Decc 127
2 A. I agreed(sic). 3 Q. Okay. Now you said that when you left 4 the Force you left your daybooks in a 5 rucksack, I think you said, in your office. 6 A. That is covered in my 7 Q. Can you just, just for the record, confirm 8 to us whether that was your day books for 9 your entire career or just for a limited period 10 of time? 11 A. No, they would not have been for my 12 entire career. They would have probably 13 been from my senior management days. 14 Q. Your entire senior management days 15 daybooks have been lost, have they? 16 A. I do not know. I left them behind. 17 THE CHAIRMAN: We have copies of three pages. 19 SIR PETER CARUANA: You do so, sir. 20 You do have copies of three pages. Not 21 much to show for an entire career of service of day books. 22 A. Well, the notes that have been presented here for the Inquiry are the ones that are pertinent to the Inquiry and 2 turn to? Who 3 Q. But you were not the investigating officer, were you? Mr Richardson was able to continue and brought the matter to prosecution. 4 A. Indeed, and it was Q. So why, what duty were you prevented from carrying out by anybody? 4 A. I think 10 Q. In relation to this Operation Delhi. 11 A. In my opinion, it was if the head of an organisation, like in that case myself, was scalped, that would send some shockwave down the line where who would dare put their head over the parapet and pursue it in the way that it was being pursued when I was in office? That is my - you have asked my opinion. That is mine and 20 Q. And if you thought as a Commissioner of Police, that a politician or anybody for that matter was unlawfully interfering with your operational independence, is that a		rage 125		Page 127
Q. Okay. Now you said that when you left the Force you left your daybooks in a rucksack, I think you said, in your office. A. That is covered in my Q. Can you just, just for the record, confirm to us whether that was your day books for your entire career or just for a limited period of time? A. No, they would not have been for my entire career. They would have probably been from my senior management days. Q. Your entire senior management days daybooks have been lost, have they? A. I do not know. I left them behind. THE CHAIRMAN: We have copies of three pages. SIR PETER CARUANA: You do so, sir. You do have copies of three pages. Not much to show for an entire career of service of day books. A. Well, the notes that have been presented here for the Inquiry are the ones that are pertinent to the Inquiry and Q. But you were not the investigating officer, were you? Mr Richardson was able to continue and brought the matter to prosecution. A. Indeed, and it was Q. So why, what duty were you prevented from carrying out by anybody? A. I think Q. In relation to this Operation Delhi. A. In my opinion, it was if the head of an organisation, like in that case myself, was scalped, that would send some shockwave down the line where who would dare put their head over the parapet and pursue it in the way that it was being pursued when I was in office? That is my you have asked my opinion. That is mine and Q. That is your speculative view. A. My - from Q. And if you thought as a Commissioner of Police, that a politician or anybody for that matter was unlawfully interfering with your operational independence, is that a				
the Force you left your daybooks in a rucksack, I think you said, in your office. A. That is covered in my Q. Can you just, just for the record, confirm to us whether that was your day books for your entire career or just for a limited period of time? A. No, they would not have been for my entire career. They would have probably been from my senior management days. Q. Your entire senior management days daybooks have been lost, have they? A. I do not know. I left them behind. THE CHAIRMAN: We have copies of three pages. SIR PETER CARUANA: You do so, sir. You do have copies of three pages. Not much to show for an entire career of service of day books. A. Well, the notes that have been presented here for the Inquiry are the ones that are pertinent to the Inquiry and do officer, were you? Mr Richardson was able to continue and brought the matter to prosecution. A. Indeed, and it was Q. So why, what duty were you prevented from carrying out by anybody? A. I think Q. In relation to this Operation Delhi. A. In my opinion, it was if the head of an organisation, like in that case myself, was scalped, that would send some shockwave down the line where who would dare put their head over the parapet and pursue it in the way that it was being pursued when I was in office? That is my you have asked my opinion. That is mine and Q. That is your speculative view. A. Well, the notes that have been presented here for the Inquiry are the ones that are pertinent to the Inquiry and	1	disclosed them. That is my point.		
5 rucksack, I think you said, in your office. 6 A. That is covered in my 7 Q. Can you just, just for the record, confirm 8 to us whether that was your day books for 9 your entire career or just for a limited period 10 of time? 11 A. No, they would not have been for my 12 entire career. They would have probably 13 been from my senior management days. 14 Q. Your entire senior management days 15 daybooks have been lost, have they? 16 A. I do not know. I left them behind. 17 THE CHAIRMAN: We have copies of three 18 pages. 19 SIR PETER CARUANA: You do so, sir. 20 You do have copies of three pages. Not 21 much to show for an entire career of service 22 of day books. 23 A. Well, the notes that have been presented 24 here for the Inquiry are the ones that are 25 pertinent to the Inquiry and 5 to continue and brought the matter to 6 prosecution. 7 A. Indeed, and it was 8 Q. So why, what duty were you prevented 9 from carrying out by anybody? 10 A. I think 11 Q. In relation to this Operation Delhi. 12 A. In my opinion, it was if the head of an organisation, like in that case myself, was scalped, that would send some shockwave down the line where who would dare put their head over the parapet and pursue it in the way that it was being pursued when I was in office? That is my you have asked my opinion. That is mine and 17 Q. That is your speculative view. 18 pages. 19 SIR PETER CARUANA: You do so, sir. 20 You do have copies of three pages. Not 21 A. Well, the notes that have been presented 22 here for the Inquiry are the ones that are 23 Police, that a politician or anybody for that 24 matter was unlawfully interfering with 25 your operational independence, is that a		A. I agreed(sic).	2	turn to? Who
6 A. That is covered in my 7 Q. Can you just, just for the record, confirm 8 to us whether that was your day books for 9 your entire career or just for a limited period 10 of time? 11 A. No, they would not have been for my 12 entire career. They would have probably 13 been from my senior management days. 14 Q. Your entire senior management days 15 daybooks have been lost, have they? 16 A. I do not know. I left them behind. 17 THE CHAIRMAN: We have copies of three 18 pages. 19 SIR PETER CARUANA: You do so, sir. 20 You do have copies of three pages. Not 21 much to show for an entire career of service 22 of day books. 23 A. Well, the notes that have been presented 24 here for the Inquiry are the ones that are 25 pertinent to the Inquiry and 6 prosecution. 7 A. Indeed, and it was 8 Q. So why, what duty were you prevented 9 from carrying out by anybody? 10 A. I think 11 Q. In relation to this Operation Delhi. 12 A. In my opinion, it was if the head of an organisation, like in that case myself, was scalped, that would send some shockwave down the line where who would dare put their head over the parapet and pursue it in the way that it was being pursued when I was in office? That is my you have asked my opinion. That is mine and 17 Q. That is your speculative view. 18 A. My - from 19 Q. That a politician or anybody for that matter was unlawfully interfering with your operational independence, is that a	2	A. I agreed(sic).Q. Okay. Now you said that when you left	2 3	turn to? Who Q. But you were not the investigating
Q. Can you just, just for the record, confirm to us whether that was your day books for your entire career or just for a limited period of time? 10	2 3	A. I agreed(sic).Q. Okay. Now you said that when you left the Force you left your daybooks in a	2 3 4	turn to? Who Q. But you were not the investigating officer, were you? Mr Richardson was able
to us whether that was your day books for your entire career or just for a limited period of time? 10	2 3 4	A. I agreed(sic). Q. Okay. Now you said that when you left the Force you left your daybooks in a rucksack, I think you said, in your office.	2 3 4 5	turn to? Who Q. But you were not the investigating officer, were you? Mr Richardson was able to continue and brought the matter to
your entire career or just for a limited period of time? A. No, they would not have been for my entire career. They would have probably been from my senior management days. Q. Your entire senior management days daybooks have been lost, have they? A. I do not know. I left them behind. THE CHAIRMAN: We have copies of three pages. SIR PETER CARUANA: You do so, sir. You do have copies of three pages. Not much to show for an entire career of service of day books. A. Well, the notes that have been presented here for the Inquiry are the ones that are pertinent to the Inquiry and general from carrying out by anybody? A. I think Q. In relation to this Operation Delhi. A. In my opinion, it was if the head of an organisation, like in that case myself, was calped, that would send some shockwave down the line where who would dare put their head over the parapet and pursue it in the way that it was being pursued when I was in office? That is my you have asked my opinion. That is mine and Q. That is your speculative view. A. My - from Q. And if you thought as a Commissioner of Police, that a politician or anybody for that matter was unlawfully interfering with your operational independence, is that a	2 3 4 5 6	 A. I agreed(sic). Q. Okay. Now you said that when you left the Force you left your daybooks in a rucksack, I think you said, in your office. A. That is covered in my 	2 3 4 5 6	turn to? Who Q. But you were not the investigating officer, were you? Mr Richardson was able to continue and brought the matter to prosecution.
of time? 1	2 3 4 5 6 7	 A. I agreed(sic). Q. Okay. Now you said that when you left the Force you left your daybooks in a rucksack, I think you said, in your office. A. That is covered in my Q. Can you just, just for the record, confirm 	2 3 4 5 6 7	turn to? Who Q. But you were not the investigating officer, were you? Mr Richardson was able to continue and brought the matter to prosecution. A. Indeed, and it was
A. No, they would not have been for my entire career. They would have probably been from my senior management days. Q. Your entire senior management days daybooks have been lost, have they? A. I do not know. I left them behind. THE CHAIRMAN: We have copies of three pages. SIR PETER CARUANA: You do so, sir. You do have copies of three pages. Not much to show for an entire career of service of day books. A. Well, the notes that have been presented here for the Inquiry and M. In my opinion, it was if the head of an organisation, like in that case myself, was scalped, that would send some shockwave down the line where who would dare put their head over the parapet and pursue it in the way that it was being pursued when I was in office? That is my you have asked my opinion. That is mine and Q. That is your speculative view. A. My - from Q. And if you thought as a Commissioner of Police, that a politician or anybody for that matter was unlawfully interfering with your operational independence, is that a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 A. I agreed(sic). Q. Okay. Now you said that when you left the Force you left your daybooks in a rucksack, I think you said, in your office. A. That is covered in my Q. Can you just, just for the record, confirm to us whether that was your day books for 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	turn to? Who Q. But you were not the investigating officer, were you? Mr Richardson was able to continue and brought the matter to prosecution. A. Indeed, and it was Q. So why, what duty were you prevented
entire career. They would have probably been from my senior management days. Q. Your entire senior management days daybooks have been lost, have they? Let A. I do not know. I left them behind. THE CHAIRMAN: We have copies of three pages. SIR PETER CARUANA: You do so, sir. You do have copies of three pages. Not much to show for an entire career of service of day books. A. Well, the notes that have been presented here for the Inquiry are the ones that are pertinent to the Inquiry and A. In my opinion, it was if the head of an organisation, like in that case myself, was scalped, that would send some shockwave down the line where who would dare put their head over the parapet and pursue it in the way that it was being pursued when I was in office? That is my you have asked my opinion. That is mine and Q. That is your speculative view. A. My - from Q. And if you thought as a Commissioner of Police, that a politician or anybody for that matter was unlawfully interfering with your operational independence, is that a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. I agreed(sic). Q. Okay. Now you said that when you left the Force you left your daybooks in a rucksack, I think you said, in your office. A. That is covered in my Q. Can you just, just for the record, confirm to us whether that was your day books for your entire career or just for a limited period	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	turn to? Who Q. But you were not the investigating officer, were you? Mr Richardson was able to continue and brought the matter to prosecution. A. Indeed, and it was Q. So why, what duty were you prevented from carrying out by anybody?
been from my senior management days. Q. Your entire senior management days daybooks have been lost, have they? A. I do not know. I left them behind. THE CHAIRMAN: We have copies of three pages. SIR PETER CARUANA: You do so, sir. You do have copies of three pages. Not much to show for an entire career of service of day books. A. Well, the notes that have been presented here for the Inquiry are the ones that are pertinent to the Inquiry and lag organisation, like in that case myself, was scalped, that would send some shockwave down the line where who would dare put their head over the parapet and pursue it in the way that it was being pursued when I was in office? That is my you have asked my opinion. That is mine and Q. That is your speculative view. A. My - from Q. And if you thought as a Commissioner of Police, that a politician or anybody for that matter was unlawfully interfering with your operational independence, is that a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. I agreed(sic). Q. Okay. Now you said that when you left the Force you left your daybooks in a rucksack, I think you said, in your office. A. That is covered in my Q. Can you just, just for the record, confirm to us whether that was your day books for your entire career or just for a limited period of time?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	turn to? Who Q. But you were not the investigating officer, were you? Mr Richardson was able to continue and brought the matter to prosecution. A. Indeed, and it was Q. So why, what duty were you prevented from carrying out by anybody? A. I think
Q. Your entire senior management days daybooks have been lost, have they? A. I do not know. I left them behind. THE CHAIRMAN: We have copies of three pages. SIR PETER CARUANA: You do so, sir. You do have copies of three pages. Not much to show for an entire career of service of day books. A. Well, the notes that have been presented here for the Inquiry are the ones that are pertinent to the Inquiry and 14 scalped, that would send some shockwave down the line where who would dare put their head over the parapet and pursue it in the way that it was being pursued when I was in office? That is my you have asked my opinion. That is mine and Q. That is your speculative view. A. My - from Q. And if you thought as a Commissioner of Police, that a politician or anybody for that matter was unlawfully interfering with your operational independence, is that a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. I agreed(sic). Q. Okay. Now you said that when you left the Force you left your daybooks in a rucksack, I think you said, in your office. A. That is covered in my Q. Can you just, just for the record, confirm to us whether that was your day books for your entire career or just for a limited period of time? A. No, they would not have been for my	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	turn to? Who Q. But you were not the investigating officer, were you? Mr Richardson was able to continue and brought the matter to prosecution. A. Indeed, and it was Q. So why, what duty were you prevented from carrying out by anybody? A. I think Q. In relation to this Operation Delhi.
daybooks have been lost, have they? A. I do not know. I left them behind. THE CHAIRMAN: We have copies of three pages. SIR PETER CARUANA: You do so, sir. You do have copies of three pages. Not much to show for an entire career of service of day books. A. Well, the notes that have been presented here for the Inquiry are the ones that are pertinent to the Inquiry and down the line where who would dare put their head over the parapet and pursue it in the way that it was being pursued when I was in office? That is my you have asked my opinion. That is mine and Q. That is your speculative view. A. My - from Q. And if you thought as a Commissioner of Police, that a politician or anybody for that matter was unlawfully interfering with your operational independence, is that a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. I agreed(sic). Q. Okay. Now you said that when you left the Force you left your daybooks in a rucksack, I think you said, in your office. A. That is covered in my Q. Can you just, just for the record, confirm to us whether that was your day books for your entire career or just for a limited period of time? A. No, they would not have been for my entire career. They would have probably	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	turn to? Who Q. But you were not the investigating officer, were you? Mr Richardson was able to continue and brought the matter to prosecution. A. Indeed, and it was Q. So why, what duty were you prevented from carrying out by anybody? A. I think Q. In relation to this Operation Delhi. A. In my opinion, it was if the head of an
A. I do not know. I left them behind. THE CHAIRMAN: We have copies of three pages. SIR PETER CARUANA: You do so, sir. You do have copies of three pages. Not much to show for an entire career of service of day books. A. Well, the notes that have been presented here for the Inquiry are the ones that are pertinent to the Inquiry and 16 their head over the parapet and pursue it in the way that it was being pursued when I was in office? That is my you have asked my opinion. That is mine and Q. That is your speculative view. A. My - from Q. And if you thought as a Commissioner of Police, that a politician or anybody for that matter was unlawfully interfering with your operational independence, is that a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. I agreed(sic). Q. Okay. Now you said that when you left the Force you left your daybooks in a rucksack, I think you said, in your office. A. That is covered in my Q. Can you just, just for the record, confirm to us whether that was your day books for your entire career or just for a limited period of time? A. No, they would not have been for my entire career. They would have probably been from my senior management days.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	turn to? Who Q. But you were not the investigating officer, were you? Mr Richardson was able to continue and brought the matter to prosecution. A. Indeed, and it was Q. So why, what duty were you prevented from carrying out by anybody? A. I think Q. In relation to this Operation Delhi. A. In my opinion, it was if the head of an organisation, like in that case myself, was
THE CHAIRMAN: We have copies of three pages. SIR PETER CARUANA: You do so, sir. You do have copies of three pages. Not much to show for an entire career of service of day books. A. Well, the notes that have been presented here for the Inquiry are the ones that are pertinent to the Inquiry and the way that it was being pursued when I was in office? That is my you have asked my opinion. That is mine and Q. That is your speculative view. A. My - from Q. And if you thought as a Commissioner of Police, that a politician or anybody for that matter was unlawfully interfering with your operational independence, is that a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. I agreed(sic). Q. Okay. Now you said that when you left the Force you left your daybooks in a rucksack, I think you said, in your office. A. That is covered in my Q. Can you just, just for the record, confirm to us whether that was your day books for your entire career or just for a limited period of time? A. No, they would not have been for my entire career. They would have probably been from my senior management days. Q. Your entire senior management days	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	turn to? Who Q. But you were not the investigating officer, were you? Mr Richardson was able to continue and brought the matter to prosecution. A. Indeed, and it was Q. So why, what duty were you prevented from carrying out by anybody? A. I think Q. In relation to this Operation Delhi. A. In my opinion, it was if the head of an organisation, like in that case myself, was scalped, that would send some shockwave
pages. SIR PETER CARUANA: You do so, sir. You do have copies of three pages. Not much to show for an entire career of service of day books. A. Well, the notes that have been presented here for the Inquiry are the ones that are pertinent to the Inquiry and In moffice? That is my you have asked my opinion. That is mine and Q. That is your speculative view. A. My - from Q. And if you thought as a Commissioner of Police, that a politician or anybody for that matter was unlawfully interfering with your operational independence, is that a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. I agreed(sic). Q. Okay. Now you said that when you left the Force you left your daybooks in a rucksack, I think you said, in your office. A. That is covered in my Q. Can you just, just for the record, confirm to us whether that was your day books for your entire career or just for a limited period of time? A. No, they would not have been for my entire career. They would have probably been from my senior management days. Q. Your entire senior management days daybooks have been lost, have they?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	turn to? Who Q. But you were not the investigating officer, were you? Mr Richardson was able to continue and brought the matter to prosecution. A. Indeed, and it was Q. So why, what duty were you prevented from carrying out by anybody? A. I think Q. In relation to this Operation Delhi. A. In my opinion, it was if the head of an organisation, like in that case myself, was scalped, that would send some shockwave down the line where who would dare put
SIR PETER CARUANA: You do so, sir. You do have copies of three pages. Not much to show for an entire career of service of day books. A. Well, the notes that have been presented here for the Inquiry are the ones that are pertinent to the Inquiry and 19 opinion. That is mine and Q. That is your speculative view. A. My - from Q. And if you thought as a Commissioner of Police, that a politician or anybody for that matter was unlawfully interfering with your operational independence, is that a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. I agreed(sic). Q. Okay. Now you said that when you left the Force you left your daybooks in a rucksack, I think you said, in your office. A. That is covered in my Q. Can you just, just for the record, confirm to us whether that was your day books for your entire career or just for a limited period of time? A. No, they would not have been for my entire career. They would have probably been from my senior management days. Q. Your entire senior management days daybooks have been lost, have they? A. I do not know. I left them behind.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	turn to? Who Q. But you were not the investigating officer, were you? Mr Richardson was able to continue and brought the matter to prosecution. A. Indeed, and it was Q. So why, what duty were you prevented from carrying out by anybody? A. I think Q. In relation to this Operation Delhi. A. In my opinion, it was if the head of an organisation, like in that case myself, was scalped, that would send some shockwave down the line where who would dare put their head over the parapet and pursue it in
You do have copies of three pages. Not much to show for an entire career of service of day books. A. Well, the notes that have been presented here for the Inquiry are the ones that are pertinent to the Inquiry and 20 Q. That is your speculative view. A. My - from Q. And if you thought as a Commissioner of Police, that a politician or anybody for that matter was unlawfully interfering with your operational independence, is that a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. I agreed(sic). Q. Okay. Now you said that when you left the Force you left your daybooks in a rucksack, I think you said, in your office. A. That is covered in my Q. Can you just, just for the record, confirm to us whether that was your day books for your entire career or just for a limited period of time? A. No, they would not have been for my entire career. They would have probably been from my senior management days. Q. Your entire senior management days daybooks have been lost, have they? A. I do not know. I left them behind. THE CHAIRMAN: We have copies of three	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	turn to? Who Q. But you were not the investigating officer, were you? Mr Richardson was able to continue and brought the matter to prosecution. A. Indeed, and it was Q. So why, what duty were you prevented from carrying out by anybody? A. I think Q. In relation to this Operation Delhi. A. In my opinion, it was if the head of an organisation, like in that case myself, was scalped, that would send some shockwave down the line where who would dare put their head over the parapet and pursue it in the way that it was being pursued when I was
much to show for an entire career of service of day books. 22	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. I agreed(sic). Q. Okay. Now you said that when you left the Force you left your daybooks in a rucksack, I think you said, in your office. A. That is covered in my Q. Can you just, just for the record, confirm to us whether that was your day books for your entire career or just for a limited period of time? A. No, they would not have been for my entire career. They would have probably been from my senior management days. Q. Your entire senior management days daybooks have been lost, have they? A. I do not know. I left them behind. THE CHAIRMAN: We have copies of three pages.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	turn to? Who Q. But you were not the investigating officer, were you? Mr Richardson was able to continue and brought the matter to prosecution. A. Indeed, and it was Q. So why, what duty were you prevented from carrying out by anybody? A. I think Q. In relation to this Operation Delhi. A. In my opinion, it was if the head of an organisation, like in that case myself, was scalped, that would send some shockwave down the line where who would dare put their head over the parapet and pursue it in the way that it was being pursued when I was in office? That is my you have asked my
of day books. A. Well, the notes that have been presented here for the Inquiry are the ones that are pertinent to the Inquiry and - 22 Q. And if you thought as a Commissioner of Police, that a politician or anybody for that matter was unlawfully interfering with your operational independence, is that a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. I agreed(sic). Q. Okay. Now you said that when you left the Force you left your daybooks in a rucksack, I think you said, in your office. A. That is covered in my Q. Can you just, just for the record, confirm to us whether that was your day books for your entire career or just for a limited period of time? A. No, they would not have been for my entire career. They would have probably been from my senior management days. Q. Your entire senior management days daybooks have been lost, have they? A. I do not know. I left them behind. THE CHAIRMAN: We have copies of three pages. SIR PETER CARUANA: You do so, sir.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	turn to? Who Q. But you were not the investigating officer, were you? Mr Richardson was able to continue and brought the matter to prosecution. A. Indeed, and it was Q. So why, what duty were you prevented from carrying out by anybody? A. I think Q. In relation to this Operation Delhi. A. In my opinion, it was if the head of an organisation, like in that case myself, was scalped, that would send some shockwave down the line where who would dare put their head over the parapet and pursue it in the way that it was being pursued when I was in office? That is my you have asked my opinion. That is mine and
A. Well, the notes that have been presented here for the Inquiry are the ones that are pertinent to the Inquiry and - 23 Police, that a politician or anybody for that matter was unlawfully interfering with your operational independence, is that a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. I agreed(sic). Q. Okay. Now you said that when you left the Force you left your daybooks in a rucksack, I think you said, in your office. A. That is covered in my Q. Can you just, just for the record, confirm to us whether that was your day books for your entire career or just for a limited period of time? A. No, they would not have been for my entire career. They would have probably been from my senior management days. Q. Your entire senior management days daybooks have been lost, have they? A. I do not know. I left them behind. THE CHAIRMAN: We have copies of three pages. SIR PETER CARUANA: You do so, sir. You do have copies of three pages. Not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	turn to? Who Q. But you were not the investigating officer, were you? Mr Richardson was able to continue and brought the matter to prosecution. A. Indeed, and it was Q. So why, what duty were you prevented from carrying out by anybody? A. I think Q. In relation to this Operation Delhi. A. In my opinion, it was if the head of an organisation, like in that case myself, was scalped, that would send some shockwave down the line where who would dare put their head over the parapet and pursue it in the way that it was being pursued when I was in office? That is my you have asked my opinion. That is mine and Q. That is your speculative view.
here for the Inquiry are the ones that are pertinent to the Inquiry and 24 matter was unlawfully interfering with your operational independence, is that a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. I agreed(sic). Q. Okay. Now you said that when you left the Force you left your daybooks in a rucksack, I think you said, in your office. A. That is covered in my Q. Can you just, just for the record, confirm to us whether that was your day books for your entire career or just for a limited period of time? A. No, they would not have been for my entire career. They would have probably been from my senior management days. Q. Your entire senior management days daybooks have been lost, have they? A. I do not know. I left them behind. THE CHAIRMAN: We have copies of three pages. SIR PETER CARUANA: You do so, sir. You do have copies of three pages. Not much to show for an entire career of service	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	turn to? Who Q. But you were not the investigating officer, were you? Mr Richardson was able to continue and brought the matter to prosecution. A. Indeed, and it was Q. So why, what duty were you prevented from carrying out by anybody? A. I think Q. In relation to this Operation Delhi. A. In my opinion, it was if the head of an organisation, like in that case myself, was scalped, that would send some shockwave down the line where who would dare put their head over the parapet and pursue it in the way that it was being pursued when I was in office? That is my you have asked my opinion. That is mine and Q. That is your speculative view. A. My - from
25 pertinent to the Inquiry and 25 your operational independence, is that a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. I agreed(sic). Q. Okay. Now you said that when you left the Force you left your daybooks in a rucksack, I think you said, in your office. A. That is covered in my Q. Can you just, just for the record, confirm to us whether that was your day books for your entire career or just for a limited period of time? A. No, they would not have been for my entire career. They would have probably been from my senior management days. Q. Your entire senior management days daybooks have been lost, have they? A. I do not know. I left them behind. THE CHAIRMAN: We have copies of three pages. SIR PETER CARUANA: You do so, sir. You do have copies of three pages. Not much to show for an entire career of service of day books.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	turn to? Who Q. But you were not the investigating officer, were you? Mr Richardson was able to continue and brought the matter to prosecution. A. Indeed, and it was Q. So why, what duty were you prevented from carrying out by anybody? A. I think Q. In relation to this Operation Delhi. A. In my opinion, it was if the head of an organisation, like in that case myself, was scalped, that would send some shockwave down the line where who would dare put their head over the parapet and pursue it in the way that it was being pursued when I was in office? That is my you have asked my opinion. That is mine and Q. That is your speculative view. A. My - from Q. And if you thought as a Commissioner of
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. I agreed(sic). Q. Okay. Now you said that when you left the Force you left your daybooks in a rucksack, I think you said, in your office. A. That is covered in my Q. Can you just, just for the record, confirm to us whether that was your day books for your entire career or just for a limited period of time? A. No, they would not have been for my entire career. They would have probably been from my senior management days. Q. Your entire senior management days daybooks have been lost, have they? A. I do not know. I left them behind. THE CHAIRMAN: We have copies of three pages. SIR PETER CARUANA: You do so, sir. You do have copies of three pages. Not much to show for an entire career of service of day books. A. Well, the notes that have been presented	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	turn to? Who Q. But you were not the investigating officer, were you? Mr Richardson was able to continue and brought the matter to prosecution. A. Indeed, and it was Q. So why, what duty were you prevented from carrying out by anybody? A. I think Q. In relation to this Operation Delhi. A. In my opinion, it was if the head of an organisation, like in that case myself, was scalped, that would send some shockwave down the line where who would dare put their head over the parapet and pursue it in the way that it was being pursued when I was in office? That is my you have asked my opinion. That is mine and Q. That is your speculative view. A. My - from Q. And if you thought as a Commissioner of Police, that a politician or anybody for that
Page 126 Page 128	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. I agreed(sic). Q. Okay. Now you said that when you left the Force you left your daybooks in a rucksack, I think you said, in your office. A. That is covered in my Q. Can you just, just for the record, confirm to us whether that was your day books for your entire career or just for a limited period of time? A. No, they would not have been for my entire career. They would have probably been from my senior management days. Q. Your entire senior management days daybooks have been lost, have they? A. I do not know. I left them behind. THE CHAIRMAN: We have copies of three pages. SIR PETER CARUANA: You do so, sir. You do have copies of three pages. Not much to show for an entire career of service of day books. A. Well, the notes that have been presented here for the Inquiry are the ones that are	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q. But you were not the investigating officer, were you? Mr Richardson was able to continue and brought the matter to prosecution. A. Indeed, and it was Q. So why, what duty were you prevented from carrying out by anybody? A. I think Q. In relation to this Operation Delhi. A. In my opinion, it was if the head of an organisation, like in that case myself, was scalped, that would send some shockwave down the line where who would dare put their head over the parapet and pursue it in the way that it was being pursued when I was in office? That is my you have asked my opinion. That is mine and Q. That is your speculative view. A. My - from Q. And if you thought as a Commissioner of Police, that a politician or anybody for that matter was unlawfully interfering with
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. I agreed(sic). Q. Okay. Now you said that when you left the Force you left your daybooks in a rucksack, I think you said, in your office. A. That is covered in my Q. Can you just, just for the record, confirm to us whether that was your day books for your entire career or just for a limited period of time? A. No, they would not have been for my entire career. They would have probably been from my senior management days. Q. Your entire senior management days daybooks have been lost, have they? A. I do not know. I left them behind. THE CHAIRMAN: We have copies of three pages. SIR PETER CARUANA: You do so, sir. You do have copies of three pages. Not much to show for an entire career of service of day books. A. Well, the notes that have been presented here for the Inquiry are the ones that are	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q. But you were not the investigating officer, were you? Mr Richardson was able to continue and brought the matter to prosecution. A. Indeed, and it was Q. So why, what duty were you prevented from carrying out by anybody? A. I think Q. In relation to this Operation Delhi. A. In my opinion, it was if the head of an organisation, like in that case myself, was scalped, that would send some shockwave down the line where who would dare put their head over the parapet and pursue it in the way that it was being pursued when I was in office? That is my you have asked my opinion. That is mine and Q. That is your speculative view. A. My - from Q. And if you thought as a Commissioner of Police, that a politician or anybody for that matter was unlawfully interfering with

1 reason for you to retire or to stand up and 1 were taken away from their --2 defend the Police's independence? Why was 2 Q. But you would demonstrate - you -- the 3 that a cause to retire, even if it happened? 3 list -- you used the list to demonstrate that 4 4 A. It was -- I did -- it was not a cause for they just disposed of people that they didn't 5 retiring, it was -- the situation --5 6 Q. Exactly. 6 A. For whatever the reasons, yes. Q. But they did not dispose of him. Whether 7 7 A. No, no, let me explain. It was a 8 consequential effect to what had happened --8 they liked him or not, I do not mind -- I do 9 to the instruction to retire on the back of the 9 not know. But they did not dispose of him. 10 12 May incident. So that was, you are -- I 10 Okay. I will --11 11 think you are conflating two issues. I could THE CHAIRMAN: You have to give him a 12 not, I had no option, but added to that was 12 chance to answer your allegation. 13 the realisation, the added realisation that I 13 SIR PETER CARUANA: Right. Okay, so 14 14 had nobody to turn to in terms of upholding you - sir, you are absolutely --15 15 the rule of law. THE CHAIRMAN: Rather than doing so 16 16 Q. Okay. Mr McGrail, are you prone to yourself. 17 17 making wild and unsubstantiated allegations SIR PETER CARUANA: I am sorry. Thank 18 against important people? 18 you. Okay. (To the witness) Mr McGrail. 19 A. What do you mean by prone? 19 Just to save time, you have given us an 20 20 Q. Do you have a tendency to do that? explanation this morning as to why you had 21 A. Not that I know of. 21 not disclosed, you apologised, you said that 22 22 Q. No. Well, this morning you mentioned, you had not thought it relevant. The 23 23 for example, that this government -- you WhatsApp chats with your colleagues, etc, 24 24 know how this government operates, that etc. Do you remember that evidence that you 25 25 they just chop people's head off, or words to gave to my learned friend? Page 129 Page 131 1 that effect. You did not use the words "chops 1 A. Yes. 2 people's head off". They get rid of people --2 Q. Okay, so can we turn -- I do not know if 3 3 and you mentioned, as an example, the Chief Mr Triay, you can put A173 on the screen. It 4 Executive Officer of the Borders and Coast 4 is from the previous. 5 5 Guard Agency. Are you aware that that MR TRIAY: Yes. 6 person was simply transferred from one 6 SIR PETER CARUANA: This was the 7 government area to the other, that from there 7 explanations that you had given as to why 8 8 he went to very senior positions in the you had not disclosed any WhatsApps of 9 9 Gibraltar Health Authority, and from there your colleagues. You had not focused on 10 10 was then further transferred to the GIFU, them, had not thought that they would be 11 which is Gibraltar's Financial Intelligence relevant. Would you like to just look at --11 12 unit that works closely with the police? 12 sorry, can you -- to 152, Mr Triay. Could 13 A. I am fully aware of that. What I qualified 13 you scroll down at paragraph 152. "All my 14 14 correspondence with officials and colleagues 15 Q. So --15 regarding my dealings with the Gibraltar 16 16 A. What I qualified was that these -- some of Police Federation have been disclosed to the 17 these people that left that had their, for want 17 Inquiry." That is not true, is it? Because 18 of your, using your expression, heads 18 your chat with Mr Ullger that you did not 19 19 chopped off, were far more fortunate than I disclose to the Inquiry contained many 20 20 messages about your dealings with the Police was and they remained in employment. Not 2.1 their --21 Federation. Is that correct? 22 22 Q. So they never had --A. Is this my first affidavit or? 23 23 A. -- employment --Q. Does it matter? Q. -- their heads chopped off then. 24 24 A. Well, it does, because if the -- if the -- I 25 A. -- not their employment of choice. They 25 can't remember what affidavit it is. Page 130 Page 132

O. I am told it is the fifth. 1 messages were relevant? 2 2 A. Oh, okay. So, I think I have covered, and A. Because I am not perfect, and the 3 I am ready to cover it again, that the 3 situation surrounding -- which I have not 4 4 exchanges on WhatsApps did not feature in even touched upon and I am happy to 5 my mind as to include in my evidence, and 5 explain. 6 less so after the devices were taken from me. 6 Q. No. Well, I --7 7 A. You do not want me to explain? 8 8 A. So, I cannot and I wish I could, but I Q. Well, I cannot. The answer is: why a man cannot elaborate further than that and so I am 9 9 of your experience would overlook the 10 obviously referring to the material that I 10 relevance of this. 11 11 disclosed, which mainly consisted of emails A. Because there are peripheral, serious 12 and -- emails mainly. 12 circumstances, aside from the ones that I 13 Q. WhatsApps are a form of 13 have explained, which greatly affected my 14 14 correspondence, are they? Are they not? ability to focus more than what I have done. 15 15 Communications? Q. Right. 16 A. I was - yes --16 A. And I have, like I say, dedicated my life 17 17 Q. Does not this show - does this not -and soul to this Inquiry. 18 sorry, you are saying, yes. Does this not 18 Q. You, Mr McGrail, have provided seven 19 19 show that you were conscious of the witness statements --20 20 relevance of correspondence with your A. Ten. 21 colleagues? 21 Q. -- before the hearing. Just please let me 22 A. I was not focused on those WhatsApps. 22 finish the question -- before the hearing. 23 Q. Was this not an opportunity for you to 23 Why did you not ever make a single 24 overcome your oversight? I mean, after all, 24 reference to the fact that you had WhatsApp 25 25 if you had focused on disclosing, on thinking messages with Mr Ullger? Page 133 Page 135 1 that you had disclosed, which of course you 1 A. Because --2 had not, WhatsApps with colleagues about 2 O. All --3 3 A. -- by the time --Gibraltar Police Federation. Why not about 4 all the other issues? 4 Q. Yes, please. 5 5 A. I wish I had. I wish the penny had A. Because by the time I was evolving -- my 6 6 evidence was evolving, and the statements dropped --7 7 Q. I see. will see for themselves -- there is no specific 8 8 A. - and I regret that it hasn't. reliance on any of those messages. And I, 9 9 Q. But it did drop there. That is my point. again, repeat my apology --10 10 A. No, no, I have clarified that it did not. If Q. No. 11 11 it had, the messages would have been before A. -- because even though I am not relying 12 the Inquiry at the time. That is --12 on any of them for my evidence, I am acutely 13 13 Q. Mr Ullger -aware, and I am suffering that consequence 14 A. - that is for certain. 14 now, that they should have been disclosed, 15 15 even if they did not feature in my evidence. Q. I beg your pardon, Mr McGrail. Mr 16 McGrail, I beg your pardon. You were the 16 Q. Yes, nor to anything that ever transpired 17 most senior police officer in Gibraltar at the 17 between -- never mind the WhatsApps 18 time. Correct? 18 themselves. You must have known that you 19 19 had had these interactions with Mr Ullger. A. Yes. Not only did you not disclose the 20 20 O. You had been the most senior detective in 21 21 Gibraltar as head of the Crime squad -- the WhatsApps, but you never alluded to any of 22 Crime Division. Correct? 22 those in your voluminous witness statements. 23 23 A. Yes. They are completely silent on any of the 24 Q. How is it possible that a man of your 24 matters and attitudes and conversations and 25 experience did not think that some of these 25 developments that you discussed in those Page 134 Page 136

WhatsApps with Mr Ullger. 1 witness) So, did you ask the RGP to provide 2 2 A. I do not think so. I think that -you with an explanation of the fact that you 3 3 Q. All right, you do not think so. Okay. could no longer access your WhatsApps 4 4 A. Can I --5 Q. Well, if you do not think so, that is your 5 A. I think I have answered that to Mr Santos. 6 answer. Thank you. 6 Q. You did not. You did not. No. 7 A. Yes, but I --7 A. Sorry? 8 Q. You do not think that they are absent? 8 Q. You did or you did not? 9 A. No. Because the general tenor of my 9 A. What I am saying is I answered that 10 10 evidence incorporates all those concerns and question to Mr Santos and it was that I did 11 11 challenges I was facing at the time -not consider it. 12 Q. And --12 Q. Yes. 13 A. Perhaps not verbatim on any way, shape 13 A. I did not want to get anywhere the RGP --14 14 Q. Exactly. So, you did not. The answer is or form, but you can draw correlations to the 15 15 substance of those messages with what the no. I did not. 16 16 tenor of my issues were. A. But not even consider it. 17 17 Q. Why have you never given to this O. No. 18 Inquiry, the explanation before your 9th 18 A. Because it is a different --19 witness statement on 2 December? Did the 19 Q. Did you ask the RGP to provide you with 20 20 thought that you -- that these WhatsApps a copy? Because after all, you said this 21 existed, never occur to you throughout all the 21 morning that you knew that they would have 22 22 taken a copy of it. You took solace in it, you hearings? 23 A. I am - I am going to be as bluntly honest 23 say. Why did you not ask them to provide 24 24 you with a copy so that you could disclose it? as I can. 25 25 Q. Well. A. I did. Page 137 Page 139 1 A. I have sat through those hearings for the 1 O. When? 2 five weeks that they were held. I have given 2 A. When I was required to do so by the STI, 3 3 evidence, I have been cross-examined. 4 4 Q. You were required in April 2022. Q. Yes. 5 5 A. And it did not -- and I know, and I know A. What - I don't understand --6 that my team, as indeed other counsels here, 6 Q. Why did you not -- why did you not ask 7 7 the RGP when you tried, when you realised have challenged the other parties on 8 8 that your phone no longer contained them, WhatsApps --9 9 Q. Okay -when it was given back to you, why did you 10 10 not then say to the RGP: but please give me A. Let me explain. I have been so absorbed 11 11 a copy so that I can disclose them? by that evidence that it has completely --12 Q. Okay. 12 A. Because they still did not feature the time 13 13 A. -- not detracted me from focusing on that they -- the time, the period that the 14 14 penny drops is when yourself, through STI, mine. 15 15 Q. So, this is your over busyness answer. raised the matter, and that is when it all 16 Okay, but that is short. If you could use that 16 comes down on me. 17 for shorthand, just to save time. Otherwise 17 Q. So throughout -- until June 2024, you sat 18 18 we hear it repeatedly. through the Inquiry hearings, you heard all 19 19 MR WAGNER: I am sorry, but that - is the relevance of WhatsApps and all the 20 there a question? Or is --20 issues in the Inquiry. At no stage did the 21 SIR PETER CARUANA: No, no, no. It is 21 thought cross through your mind that these 22 22 WhatsApps with your colleagues might be just that the over busyness --23 23 THE CHAIRMAN: It is an observation relevant. Is that your evidence? A. Indeed, it is. 24 24 which I have ignored. 25 25 SIR PETER CARUANA: Okay. (To the Q. I see.

Page 138

A. Nothing prompted me directly or else, 1 SIR PETER CARUANA: Of course. 2 2 like I said, I would have raised it. THE CHAIRMAN: -- and be 3 3 Q. Okay. You do -- do you agree that you unobjectionable. 4 4 SIR PETER CARUANA: Did you instruct, had a continuing obligation to make 5 disclosure to the Inquiry? 5 or permit, your legal team -- why did you not 6 6 stop your legal team --A. Yes, I did. 7 Q. Well, why did you not say to the Inquiry: 7 THE CHAIRMAN: That is better. 8 look, I have got these WhatsApps, or is it the 8 SIR PETER CARUANA: -- from launching 9 9 same answer because you thought that they the attacks on people for not doing, 10 10 were irrelevant? Why did you not say to the according to you, what you yourself have not Inquiry: look, I am sorry, I know these 11 11 done? WhatsApps exist, but I just cannot get at 12 12 A. Because at the time that my team were 13 them because wretched RGP has lost access 13 addressing those matters with the other 14 14 to them, but they are there, ask them whether witnesses, it still had not dawned on me that 15 15 they can do anything about it. my WhatsApp messages were not before the 16 16 A. I wish that had been the case, but it was Inquiry. Hence why we are here now. My 17 17 not. It did not dawn on me that I had to rely messages are here now, and now we are 18 on those messages, and I deeply regret it. I 18 going through that. But like I said, again, it 19 19 cannot emphasize that again. is my responsibility, not theirs. But I was not 20 20 prompted by anything or anybody to be able 21 Q. Was your phone seized in the execution 21 to correct that oversight that had occurred. 22 22 Q. I see. Well, now that you do know the of a search warrant? 23 A. Yes. 23 truth, do you now have some regret that that 24 Q. Do you recall whether the Operation 24 happened? 25 25 Delhi emails and WhatsApps from A. Absolutely. Page 141 Page 143 1 defendants there, were seized in the 1 Q. You agree --2 execution of a search warrant? 2 A. I'm sorry, regret of what? 3 3 A. I presume so, yes. Q. That you launched this attack on others 4 Q. So, all the emails before the Inquiry in 4 for what you now know you were doing 5 5 connection with criminal investigations were yourself. 6 pursuant to a search warrant. Your and 6 A. No. I feel that my team have been 7 7 theirs. looking after my interests, and that is what 8 8 A. Yes. There may be a distinction that one their task is. Whether it is not a question of 9 9 prosecution was discontinued and -regretting or not. They are there to safeguard 10 10 Q. It had not been discontinued. my interests, and if my interests were to 11 11 A. I don't know. I mean, I am just trying to approach this matter in the way -- what I can 12 help. Whilst the other one perhaps was not. 12 tell you, if it is a question of even being 13 Q. Okay. Why did you instruct, or permit, 13 suggested, there is no deviousness, certainly 14 14 your legal team to launch an attack on the not on my part. And I can vouch --15 Chief Minister, the Attorney General and 15 Q. I am asking you whether you now, now 16 16 other witnesses for not disclosing closing regret it, Mr McGrail? 17 WhatsApps when you knew all along that 17 A. I don't. I don't, because my team has 18 you yourself had not done so? 18 also worked --19 19 Q. No, never mind your team. You. Do you MR WAGNER: I am sorry, but that is 20 20 privilege -- a question of privilege, is it not? 21 21 His instructions to his legal --THE CHAIRMAN: You have got to let him 22 22 SIR PETER CARUANA: All right. I finish. 23 23 (14.14)really --24 THE CHAIRMAN: I think the question 24 SIR PETER CARUANA: Yes, but he should 25 be answering the question, not some other could be rephrased --25 Page 142 Page 144

question. (To the witness) I am not attacking 1 WhatsApps with your colleagues? 2 2 your legal team, it would be quite improper A. Not to the same extent, because --3 3 for me to do so; I am asking you whether you O. No, of course not. 4 4 personally regret it. A. -- my messages have not disappeared or 5 A. I don't, because I'm glad that they've 5 vanished or been deleted or lost, my 6 been able to expose tho-- the issues that they 6 messages are here. Completely different. 7 7 Q. Mr Wagner put the following questions to 8 Q. But you do not know that Mr Levy, and 8 Mr Llamas. "Did you check your emails and 9 Mr Baglietto, and everybody else, had 9 messages before drafting your witness 10 messages that were not disclosed; those 10 statements? And also, would you go through 11 attacks were made on supposition. You know 11 all your text and emails before you are giving 12 for certain that you did not -- now, you know 12 evidence? Is this right?", he asks. 13 for certain that you did not, and you still do 13 A. I'm not doubting --14 14 not regret it? Q. Did you do it, with your RGP messages? 15 15 A. Well, I'm here answering the questions, A. No. 16 16 to the same scrutiny -- well, probably more Q. No. And then much more recently, in 17 17 scrutiny than what Mr Levy and Mr Baglietto July of 2024, it was put that Hassans should 18 were -- were subjected to, so... 18 not be allowed to make representations to 19 Q. Okay. 19 this tribunal because there had been a lack of 20 20 openness on their part, because they did not A. Albeit it's a different hearing, but I am 21 being put the same -- even more scrutiny, I 21 provide WhatsApps and things until too late 22 22 in the inquiry process. Do you think that must say. 23 Q. I see. So, your evidence is: you never 23 applies to you, too? 24 thought that the RGP's -- your 24 MR WAGNER: That is just not accurate, so 25 25 communications were relevant. That is you (?) if you want to put something, please read Page 145 Page 147 1 central... Can I remind you that you did not 1 out something --2 think they were relevant at the time? This is 2 SIR PETER CARUANA: Yes. 3 3 MR WAGNER: -- from a statement if you why you never focused on -- exactly. (?) 4 A. I didn't -- I didn't have to rely on them. 4 have a submission to make. 5 5 They're clearly --Q. Do you recall Mr Wagner making an 6 6 argument in writing, on your behalf, that it Q. Well, it is not reliance, it is relevance. 7 7 was relevant to the commissioner's Okav. 8 8 THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, but I think he may consideration of Hassans' request to make 9 9 have confused the two. written submissions, and characterised as 10 10 A. I -lack of openness the fact that they had not 11 volunteered information until "much later in 11 SIR PETER CARUANA: He is a very 12 senior detective... Well, that is for you to 12 the inquiry process". Are you aware that that 13 make that (?) decision, sir, not me. (To the 13 submission was made on your behalf? 14 witness) Mr McGrail, do you recall -- you 14 A. I am not doubting it. 15 were sitting behind him at the time -- Mr 15 Q. No. Do you agree that you and your 16 lawyers could not credibly have made the 16 Wagner on your behalf putting to Mr Picardo, 17 the Chief Minister, that he had "quite a 17 attacks that you have made if it had then been known to us all that you yourself had not 18 narrow understanding of relevance and scope 18 19 19 when it comes to messages". disclosed WhatsApps? 20 20 A. I'm not going to dispute it --A. Well, they could have pursued their --21 21 Q. Yes. Q. No. 22 22 A. -- if you're quoting it --A. - their approach approach on the back of. 23 Q. Do you think that comment applies to 23 Sorry, if I had presented the -- the disclosure, 24 24 you as well, given the view that you took of then there would have been -- they would 25 the unimportance and irrelevance of your 25 have carried on with -- with the same Page 146 Page 148

1 approach, so I don't understand your point. 1 O. Exactly. Whether you simply walked out 2 2 Q. Would it be fair to say, Mr McGrail, that of the police station on the day that you 3 3 when it suited you, you went to unusual retired, put your phone on the table, your 4 4 lengths to obtain and retain evidence yourself police phone, not caring whether all the 5 to protect it from loss? 5 WhatsApps on it were lost or not. 6 6 A. No. All this --A. That -- the -- the way I have to answer 7 7 that question is that the question of caring or Q. No? 8 8 A. -- has not been suiting. not caring did not cross my mind at the time. 9 9 Q. I see. I was leaving --10 10 A. On -- quite the con--Q. But nevertheless, that is what you did. 11 11 A. I was leaving the -- my -- the post of the Q. Well, for example, you --12 A. -- quite the contrary, it's been a serious 12 job I loved in a haste, under the most difficult 13 inconvenience. 13 of situations. And to oversimplify it and --14 14 Q. I see. Well, you say that the covert and just bring it down to this particular item, 15 15 recordings were so that it would not be lost. I don't agree with. 16 16 You have told us that you took the documents Q. Why would you be concerned to get 17 17 copies of emails that cannot be lost because from Operation Delhi so that they would not 18 be lost. You exported all your WhatsApps 18 they are saved on the server, and not care 19 19 with other key players, except the RGP. You about losing WhatsApps that are not on the 20 20 asked Mr Yeats to copy all your emails. Why server --21 did you not have the same concern to export 21 A. It's -- it's a valid --22 22 Q. -- and would be lost? your WhatsApp with your colleagues on your 23 23 work phones? A. It is a valid point, but the fact is that I 24 24 only asked for the backing-up of my emails A. I have already answered that question. 25 25 Q. Okay. Were you not concerned that they and reports. It did not occur to me that the Page 149 Page 151 1 might be lost? 1 phone needed backing up too. 2 2 Q. But do you not know that if you lose a A. They were in my possession. I didn't 3 3 mobile phone and you have not previously think --4 Q. Not the ones on your work phone. Not 4 backed up, you simply lose all your -- I 5 5 the ones on the one you left in your office, in mean, never mind the RGP wiping it, if you 6 the Samsung. In the --6 just left it in a pub one day and your phones 7 7 have not been backed up, you lose all your A. Indeed. 8 8 Q. -- work phone. WhatsApp messages. There is no way of 9 9 A. Indeed. There, it wasn't -retrieving them. Do you know that? 10 10 Q. Exactly. So, despite your cautious nature, A. I don't know. is it your evidence that one day you retired 11 Q. Had you backed up your WhatsApps onto 11 12 from the workforce and, having taken all the 12 the cloud? 13 13 A. I -precautions that you had taken to secure 14 other evidence, you simply left your iPhone 14 Q. Gmail, it would be called; the cloud is 15 on the table, walked out of the door, caring 15 16 16 not whether all your WhatsApps on it were A. I don't -- I don't understand that part of 17 17 the -- the --18 18 A. You're -- you're oversimplifying one of Q. No, I see. 19 19 A. -- process of backing up or not. But I -- I the most di--20 20 O. Am I? tend to -- seem to think that you may be 21 21 A. -- one of the most difficult periods of my wrong on one aspect. 22 22 life. Simplifying, as if it was a natural Q. I see. 23 process, or --23 A. And I'll -- if I -- if I may, I'll -- I'll try 24 Q. Is the answer to my question yes or no? 24 and explain. 25 A. What was your question? 25 Q. (inaudible) quickly, please. Page 150 Page 152

A. You've given the -- you've given the 1 look for them. 2 2 example of losing your phone in the pub. I A. Valid points. I -- I agree that -- that if the 3 believe that if -- this -- I stand to be 3 dates coincide, that I -- I had access to my 4 corrected. If you acquire another phone with 4 phones -- I do not know whether I had access 5 the same subscriber number and you cancel 5 to my phones there and then or not, I --6 6 Q. And never mind the airfield incident the other one, you can still access your 7 accounts -- all accounts, not only WhatsApp, 7 WhatsApp chat groups, the mere fact that 8 8 you asked for your SMT chat with colleagues all accounts -- by introducing the proper 9 9 meant that, at the very least on that day that security protocols. 10 10 Q. Really? You think that? you asked for that --11 11 A. Yes. A. I believe so, yes. 12 Q. Alright. Well, that's your view. That is a 12 Q. -- you became conscious of the possible 13 matter for submissions later. Okay, I think 13 relevance of WhatsApp communications with 14 the point is made. But, look, you then 14 your colleagues. 15 (fortuitously for you) found in a glasses-case 15 A. I -- I agree with you that --16 16 in November 2022, when you were tidying Q. And you still did not. 17 up a desk in your drawer in your house, you 17 A. -- but, except (?) which, if I may, I agree 18 found a glasses case with lots of these things, 18 that the fact that I directed through my 19 what would you call them, these things ...? 19 lawyers for STI to seek evidence from the 20 20 A. USBs. USB sticks. SMT's chat groups points to my awareness 21 Q. Pen drive. And which just happened, one 21 about those chats existing, I agree 100 22 22 of them happened to contain helpful stuff percent. And that is --23 23 about the airfield incident in 2017. Q. So if it was an oversight, this is another 24 24 opportunity that you missed to correct it? A. That is correct. 25 25 Q. Okay. Now, you also said this morning. A. Well, I think it is the same sort of issue. Page 153 Page 155 1 A. The --1 2 Q. You also said this morning to my learned 2 A. It's -- I think it is the same issue. The 3 3 friend that it was seeing these WhatsApps letter from my team requesting SMT chats 4 that prompted you to seek the order from the 4 5 5 chairman asking for access to the SMT's chat Q. Yes, but this is much later. This is much 6 group, correct? Yes, that is what you said 6 later than your original failure to focus on 7 7 this morning. WhatsApp with your colleagues. 8 8 A. I'm -- I'm not recalling it like that. A. The point is that I even sat through this 9 9 Q. Well, that is my note. If you think my hearing, Mr Caruana. 10 note is wrong... It was not seeing these 10 Q. Sorry. 11 things that prompted you? 11 A. The point is, I even sat through this 12 A. I think the... I cannot seem to recall to --12 hearing --13 13 Q. No, okay. Q. I see. 14 14 A. -- agree with you. I can't, I can't. A. - and the penny did not drop. 15 Q. But the fact of the matter is that when 15 16 16 you saw these WhatsApps between your A. It was only until you raised it, and I hold 17 17 colleagues and you in relation to the airfield -- and I hold myself responsible for it. 18 incident which you rushed to disclose, was 18 Q. Yes. Okay, but only once it has been 19 19 not that the opportunity for you to say: oh, pointed out. If it had not been for this 20 20 my goodness, I have got lots of WhatsApps reconvened hearing and the reasons for it, 21 21 with my colleagues on other issues under this inquiry would have passed without us 22 22 inquiry, which I have inadvertently knowing anything of what your chat with Mr 23 overlooked; all these years, for two years I 23 Ullger contains, do you accept that? 24 have overlooked them, but now that I see that 24 A. I accept that. 25 they could be significant, now I am going to 25 Q. Yes. On the reasons for your retirement, Page 154 Page 156

Mr McGrail, can I just -- just to summarise, 1 Q. Yes, explain it again. 2 as I have understood your evidence this 2 A. Okay. 3 3 morning to my learned friend, you do not O. If the chairman will allow us. 4 4 accept that the clip, the transcript of which A. First and foremost, I had no options, no 5 was was read to you, of Mr Ullger with 5 options whatsoever. Secondly -- I think I 6 minister Sacramento, that that does not mean 6 covered it in my evidence, principal 7 that you were then decided to retire. Was 7 evidence, I -- possibly first or third affidavit: 8 that the effect of your evidence this morning? 8 I saw it extremely difficult, if not impossible, 9 9 A. It was more elaborate than that, far more to be able to survive the -- the situation and 10 10 elaborate. It was not a yes or no answer, and work with -- so, my -- the -- the confidence 11 11 I explained the context around that. was in -- actually reversed: how could I work 12 Q. Well, I would like to just -- can we put it 12 with somebody who was --13 on the screen again, E1106. And if you think 13 Q. Okay. 14 14 -- one option open to you is to simply say A. -- siding up with suspects? And that was 15 15 that Mr Ullger got it completely wrong and my position then. Now, relaxing about it and 16 16 he went off on a brief for you, on a mistaken reflecting hard, you think: well, was there 17 17 brief for you. Is that the position? scope? Could we have sat and gloves off and 18 A. I don't think so, because --18 -- and had a go at each other and maybe 19 19 Q. You think this is accurate? shake hands at the end, as on many occasions 20 20 A. I have got no reason to doubt it. happens. So, all that poss-- those 21 Q. Okay. "The best scenario", "I told her", 21 possibilities. 22 22 Q. But why did you not have an option? this is the --23 23 THE CHAIRMAN: We did go through this Could you not just have carried on and 24 24 defended the independence of the RGP. this morning. 25 25 SIR PETER CARUANA: Sorry, sir? A. It -- it did -- it was an option, but not a --Page 157 Page 159 1 THE CHAIRMAN: We did go through this 1 a favourable one. Because --2 2 Q. You mean, not your preferred one. this morning. 3 3 SIR PETER CARUANA: Yes, yes, indeed A. Well, not my preferred one and one that 4 we did. (To the witness) And I am going to 4 was not realistic for me, in the sense that to 5 5 ask you now which of those words there you challenge this further would have meant 6 think are capable of meaning that you had 6 taking it to the courts. And I would not have 7 7 not yet decided to retire? been any match whatsoever for my 8 8 A. The interpretation of the untenable side of adversaries in terms of legal or financial 9 9 things does not sit right with me, it does not. resources. I -- I would not have been able to 10 10 But -- and that is where I feel a bit match them. 11 11 THE CHAIRMAN: How are we doing for uncomfortable with that particular message. 12 But in general terms, it is what -- what -- I 12 time, Sir Peter? 13 have got no reason to doubt, what Mr Elgia 13 SIR PETER CARUANA: Five more 14 14 spoke to about. minutes, and I can finish. Five more 15 15 Q. But why was your position untenable, minutes, if you will allow me. 16 16 had you both agreed, by the 29th? THE CHAIRMAN: Five minutes, okay. 17 17 A. Because the -- he interpretation of SIR PETER CARUANA: I will try. Okay. 18 18 And when you said on 30 May, having said "untenable" does not necessarily accord with 19 19 yours, which is that I left because I knew that what Mr Ullger said in that clip, that you say 20 20 does not mean that you had decided to retire, I had lost the confidence. That is not the 21 21 how then do you explain your statement on reason why I left. 22 Q. So, how had your position become 22 30 May to him that "All I want is a dignified 23 23 untenable? exit, not a forced one." What does that 24 A. I have explained it before and I am 24 25 willing to do so again. 25 A. It means that I was -- if you read that on Page 158 Page 160

its own, it means what you want it to mean. 1 sea. There are WhatsApps about the HMIC 2 2 Q. I am not reading it on its own. I am report with Mr Ullger, and about the GPF, 3 reading it with the clip of a previous day. 3 and about Operation Delhi and the 29 May 4 4 A. Well -- and then you have to bear in mind letter, and the GPA process and Section 13 5 the context that I have provided, which is that 5 and your decision to retire. All the other 6 6 I was more out than in and that I was issues in the inquiry. The only issue upon 7 7 clinging onto the faintest of hopes. But if I which there is not a WhatsApp chat is the 8 8 had to go, I wanted to go with dignity. That alleged interference in the meetings of the 13, 15 and 20 May. Notwithstanding person-9 9 is the supporting... narrative around those 10 messages. If you read them on their own, I 10 to-person chats. 11 11 accept, like -- like you've come to the wrong THE CHAIRMAN: I think you forgot to 12 interpretations of them. 12 add: is that correct? Is the question. Q. Is that correct? Sir, I beg your pardon. 13 Q. Alright. You think I have come to the 13 14 14 wrong conclusion. Yes. Is that correct? That there are messages 15 15 A. You certainly have. between you and Mr Ullger on all of these 16 16 Q. Okay. So, we heard a lot of evidence issues, despite the fact that you spoke to each 17 17 yesterday about person-to-person other in the station. So, there is both chat in 18 conversations on the way to bathrooms and 18 the station and WhatsApps about all these 19 corridors, and all of that, and as a possible 19 other issues. But about the interference (13, 20 20 explanation for the absence of WhatsApp 15 and 20 May) there is only chat in the 21 chats, do you remember that? Yes, I am sure 21 station and zero WhatsApps? 22 22 you do. Notwithstanding --A. That is correct. 23 A. But you -- I haven't answered your 23 Q. Thank you. And the one WhatsApp chat 24 24 that there is is the "all goodish" one that I question. 25 25 Q. Yes, but it is yes or no. Do you will not waste any time on because we Page 161 Page 163 1 remember that? 1 covered it this morning. And you focused on 2 A. But -- but you haven't let me answer; 2 the word "goodish". I would like to focus on 3 3 the word "all". What does "all goodish" you've answered it for me. 4 4 Q. Yes or no. Do you remember the mean? 5 5 evidence you heard yesterday about that? A. In -- in --6 A. About what? 6 Q. Yes. 7 7 Q. About the fact that a possible explanation A. Can you read the message? 8 for the absence of WhatsApp chats is that 8 Q. "All goodish", you said --9 9 there was person-to -- you have said it A. "All". 10 yourself this morning, person-to-person 10 Q. -- when he asked you how the meeting of 11 the 15th had gone. And you focused on the communication between officers at the 11 12 station. Do you remember saying that? 12 word "goodish" this morning. And I want to 13 13 focus on the word "all". Does "all goodish" A. I don't remember saying it like that: that 14 the -- the absence is due to that. I remember 14 not mean: nothing baddish? "All" must 15 15 mean something. saying that person-to-person to --16 communication. 16 A. In the -- in the negative situation that I 17 THE CHAIRMAN: In essence --17 found myself, it was goodish, but the --18 SIR PETER CARUANA: Yes. 18 Q. But you said "all goodish". 19 19 THE CHAIRMAN: -- that is correct. A. Yeah, but in the negative -- in the --20 20 SIR PETER CARUANA: Thank you. O. I see. 21 Thank you, sir. But notwithstanding that 21 A. -- overall negative situation that I found 22 22 (which is in essence correct, I am grateful, myself --23 sir) (to the witness) there are WhatsApps 23 Q. Okay. 24 about the airport incident, correct? Yes. 24 A. -- it was goodish. 25 There are WhatsApps about the incidents at 25 Q. Okay. I do not want to overtax the Page 162 Page 164

1 chairman's indulgence with me on time, so I 1 THE CHAIRMAN: There was an ongoing 2 2 just have one more issue to put to you, Mr dispute --3 McGrail, in the time open to me. What did 3 A. Yes. 4 4 you want to meet Charlie about? THE CHAIRMAN: -- as to whether or not 5 5 the magistrate should release the unedited A. I have explained that it was in relation to 6 6 seeking, perhaps, a judicial route to address application. 7 7 the situation I was in, given that it was... Q. Yes. You thought it was appropriate to 8 8 around what I perceive to be around the have a meeting about Operation Delhi with 9 9 criminal case of Delhi and therefore the stipendiary magistrate. 10 10 A. The intention was there -whether... these were very loose 11 considerations that --11 Q. Yes. 12 Q. What was -- you have asked Mr 12 A. -- but the meeting never materialised. 13 Richardson to ask his very good buddy, the 13 Q. The meeting never took place, but you 14 14 serving stipendiary magistrate, to meet with had the intention of having it. Did you 15 15 you. And I am just asking you: can you state disclose this request for a meeting to 16 clearly what that meeting was for? 16 Hassans, for example? Or to the DPP, who 17 17 A. I have explained it to Mr Santos was representing you in the warrant matter? 18 previously. 18 MR WAGNER: I am sorry, but there is no 19 Q. Well, can I remind you what you said in 19 evidence that there was an actual request for 20 your witness statement? I cannot because I 20 a meeting. 21 do not have it here, but it was something to 21 SIR PETER CARUANA: Sorry? 22 22 do -- you had had an opinion from your MR WAGNER: Well, the meeting did not 23 23 lawyers or something. Do you remember happen, and I mean, what... 24 that, saying that in your --24 SIR PETER CARUANA: I am sorry, of 25 A. It -- no, no, no. 25 course there was a request for a meeting. Mr Page 165 Page 167 1 Q. Sorry, can you go. Can you turn to E253, 1 McGrail asked Mr Richardson to arrange a 2 I beg your pardon. I did not have the quote, 2 meeting with Charlie, who we now know is 3 3 but I had the reference. stipendiary magistrate. He thanked him for 4 4 arranging it and he was kind enough to tell us A. Yeah. 5 5 Q. It is just a bit further down. in that what the meeting was about. And I 6 A. I have got it here. 6 am asking you whether you shared with 7 7 Q. Stop there, Mr Triay, thank you. Mr anybody else outside of the RGP, or all the 8 8 Richardson. "My privilege to. How are other players involved in Operation Delhi, 9 9 you?" And you say "Ian, it's because of a that you were seeking secret meetings with 10 10 convo I had with my lawyers, an opinion I the stipendiary magistrate. Is it normal --11 would want to share with him." What 11 A. It's --12 opinion did you want to share with the 12 Q. -- for the Commissioner of Police to meet 13 stipendiary magistrate? 13 with judges? 14 A. It was a conversation that I had with my 14 A. It was not a -- definitely, a secret meeting. 15 lawyers about a potential route to address the 15 This was to address the circumstances I was 16 16 situation I was mean-- I was in. finding myself in with regards to the 17 17 Q. Yes. termination of my post. 18 18 A. In terms of it being the judicial route. Q. Did you tell anybody else about that? 19 19 Q. Yes. But this is the same stipendiary A. Other than Mr Richardson, no? 20 magistrate who was about to have to decide 20 Q. Is that not in your definition of a secret 21 21 and determine Hassans' application for meeting? Why is that less secret than Mr 22 release to them of warrant information. Do 22 Levy's Sunday meeting with his friend Mr 23 23 you think that meeting was appropriate? Picardo in his house? 24 A. I'm not sure that there was an application. 24 THE CHAIRMAN: That is not a helpful 25 Q. There is correspondence about it. 25 analogy. Page 166 Page 168

London, WC2A 1JE

1 Q. Well, that is for you to decide, sir, 1 response immediately below "Rich, thanks, 2 2 certainly, like everything else. But whether mate. Means a lot. I am being realistic of 3 3 people in glass houses throw stones is not what is likely to happen, it's a ruthless world 4 4 out there." Those are dated on 20 May? entirely irrelevant. Thank you, Mr McGrail. 5 THE CHAIRMAN: Is it the thing you are 5 There was a meeting, was there, on 20 May? 6 on, Mr Cruz? You need not ask questions if 6 A. I don't think so, but it was probably on 7 7 the back of an email correspondence from Mr you do not want to. 8 MR CRUZ: Thank you, Mr Chairman. I 8 Picardo relating to the refusal at that point 9 9 and very stern tenor relating to the collision always take the encouragement you give me 10 10 most seriously. But I will just be a couple of at sea. 11 11 questions. SIR PETER CARUANA: (inaudible) just to 12 THE CHAIRMAN: No, sure. 12 remind him that the evidence from all the 13 Questioned by MR CRUZ 13 witnesses was that in the meeting of 20 May 14 14 Q. So the suggestions just made were that there had been no allegation of interference. 15 Q. Well, my question really was whether or 15 there was only one exchange reference, any 16 16 concerns about interventions or meetings in not some of those other messages would be 17 17 added to the "goodish" one, in terms of relation to that. At least, that is how I 18 understood it: the "goodish" or not-baddish 18 exchanges between you and Mr Ullger. 19 19 exchange. Can I just ask you to be shown A. I think we're being very selective on 20 20 E745. There is a few exchanges here. One, messages. I've -- I've explained the general 21 you will see at 2388. You will see the date 21 atmosphere at the time, and that encapsulates 22 22 there, 16 May 2020. Now, this is a matter for what the mood was. That it's not reflected on 23 23 you to answer because I am not sure if this a WhatsApp message is not in my view 24 24 significant, because the alle-- the has a relevance to it. But of course, one of 25 25 my clients is Mr Ullger, "I am hoping that JL interference that I perceived is very difficult Page 171 Page 169 1 does not force the issue where we have to 1 to be explained on a -- on a text, you've got 2 end up arresting him." Is that as well result 2 to explain it verbally, and I think I've --3 3 of the meeting the day before? we've heard evidence from both, because I 4 A. It would appear so, because the meeting 4 distinctly remember myself that I briefed 5 5 was on the 15th, yes. them up on it. I briefed them up in my 6 Q. So, would that be an exchange that you 6 office, the whole team. 7 would say is in addition to the "goodish" 7 (14.44)8 exchange? 8 Q. Well, can I just finish on that then? 9 A. It would appear so, yes. 9 A. To the point where, which was not 10 Q. And the one below, immediately below. 10 covered -- to the point where Mr Yeats 11 "Yeah, ave lo que pasa Monday." (?) 11 offered his counsel and said: you should 12 (Spanish text read), "Yeah, let's see what 12 report this to the governor. You should report 13 happens Monday." Could that be one that 13 this to the GPA, because he saw it serious 14 falls into that bracket? 14 enough. Mr Yeats was unfortunately -- and 15 A. If Monday happens to be the 18th, then it 15 this has now dawned on me, I recall this now, 16 most likely would, because I think there was 16 but that was his advice and I took up on his 17 another meeting on the 18th. 17 advice and I did report it to the GPA. I didn't 18 Q. Right. 18 do it to the governor because out of a sense 19 THE CHAIRMAN: I think the 18 was the 19 of now false deference to the chief minister 20 day provisionally booked for Mr Levy to be 20 and to the Attorney General, I didn't. 21 interviewed under caution. 21 Q. Well, just one last question before I give 22 Q. Indeed. Indeed, sir. And if I may just ask 22 way. Yesterday, Mr Ullger, you might have 23 you to go to 747, number 2400. This 23 heard, talked about meetings that took place 24 exchange, which we have seen before. So, 24 after 12 May. And Mr Chairman asked 25 you will see Mr Ullger and then your 25 whether there were any minutes to those Page 170 Page 172

1 meetings and/or -- you know, I think, to 1 you to be an invitation to consider or an 2 2 establish when they took place. Can I just instruction? 3 ask you (a) did meetings take place? If so, 3 A. He, in no uncertain terms said, if you are 4 4 can you remember approximately how not going to take up the instruction... Well, 5 many? Do you know if any minutes were 5 he didn't say "instruction". If you're not 6 6 going to retire, I will be considering invoking taken? 7 A. I would not have classed them... There 7 my powers. 8 8 were meetings because we were together as a Q. Powers to do what? 9 9 group, but they were not formal meetings. A. To remove me from office. 10 10 They were more conversations and me Q. So across that period, from 22 May to 5 11 11 providing an update of how things were June, did you feel you were in control of the 12 panning out and we were bouncing off views. 12 situation? 13 So there was not -- this was not a structured 13 A. No, no, no. 14 14 meeting with any agenda where minutes THE CHAIRMAN: I think, strictly -- you 15 15 were taken. No. speak of an instruction. I think the first letter 16 16 Q. Okay. And was that one or more than of 22 May was actually a requirement to 17 17 one? retire. 18 A. There were probably more than one 18 MR WAGNER: Requirement. I do not know. 19 19 because every time I came back, they would Is there a difference? 20 20 THE CHAIRMAN: Well, I think that is the expect an update from me. I came back 21 from.... When I am saying "back", back from 21 language of the statute. 22 22 MR WAGNER: A requirement, yes. Yes, that meetings with the Attorney general, and how 23 23 -- what was the mood like? What was is the language of the --? 24 24 A. Instructive, is the way I perhaps should tensions? Any tensions? Any progress? 25 25 What was the situation? How should we describe it. And the letter was instructive. Page 173 Page 175 1 shape ourselves? How should we not? All 1 THE CHAIRMAN: Anyway... 2 2 MR WAGNER: I just want to ask you about those considerations. 3 3 the Concerns WhatsApp group. So E114, Q. Thank you, Mr McGrail. 4 QUESTIONED BY MR WAGNER 4 please. Sorry, it must be E1114. Just on the 5 5 Q. Sorry, I was not looking in the right next page. This is the WhatsApp group the 6 direction. Just a few questions from me, Mr 6 Chief Minister set up with the Governor and 7 7 McGrail. Just in relation to the GPA process, Mr Ullger in January, mid-January 2023. 8 earlier today, Mr Santos referred to the GPA's 8 Had you seen these messages before they 9 9 invitation to you. But you, I think, used the were disclosed by Mr Ullger --10 10 word "instruction". How did you A. No, I had not --11 understand... Did you understand it as an 11 Q. -- recently? 12 invitation or an instruction? 12 A. No, I hadn't. 13 A. Initially, when it was handed to me it was 13 Q. What was your reaction when you read 14 overwhelming. I did not peruse the contents. 14 them? 15 15 A. I had two main -- I had various views, but I couldn't understand where this was coming 16 16 from, and I hadn't even researched the law. I had two main observations. The first one 17 But in closer inspection with yourselves and 17 was that nearly two years had elapsed from 18 18 Mr Gomez, it was in those deliberations and my departure. Mr Picardo seemed to be 19 19 discussions that I deduced that it was more of fixated with me in sharing all these 20 20 an instruction. It was an instructive letter, information with the Commissioner and the 21 21 which I think the GPA accepted. governor -- some form of obsession that he 22 22 Q. And when you met with Mr Pyle on 5 had with me beyond what I would consider 23 23 June. I think it was 5 June, on the Friday --? to be a dispute, like there was in terms of that 24 24 he was claiming that I was lying. This went 25 Q. -- did you understand what he was telling 25 beyond an obsession that could seriously Page 174 Page 176

taint me towards working -- towards 1 A. Yes. 2 2 presenting myself in the Inquiry, or even Q. Just in one sentence, what was that 3 3 statement about? worse, because there was the suggestions of 4 4 criminal behaviour alleged against me. That A. That was my statement of complaint that 5 is the first one -- the first main observation 5 had been requested from me from -- by the 6 6 that I make. And secondly, there is the police and which I was handing it into 7 7 original format to Mr Santos, who was, I alluding to the Commissioner confirming that 8 8 I had made allegations, although I have to understand, at the time, investigating the 9 9 give it to Mr Ullger, he does not divulge matter that I reported. 10 10 about the allegations of what they were Q. Is that the complaint about the witness 11 11 because in essence, the allegations I was inducement? 12 making was against the government and the 12 A. Indeed, yes. Q. How would you describe your 13 Chief Minister in particular. So, good that 13 14 14 Mr Ullger did not give the details, but I relationship with Commissioner Ullger 15 15 would not have even released that before you left the RGP? 16 information myself. And thirdly, that the... 16 A. That relationship spanned three decades, 17 17 From what I -- these are my takes from the more than three decades. And we had a very 18 chat there, that Mr Picardo, very subtly 18 similar career path, even in... So, that from 19 19 extracts from Mr Ullger -- again, I do not the professional side, we developed a 20 20 know how and why he did this -- very subtly personal relationship. And I would describe 21 extracts that there is no investigation taking 21 it as probably being best friends by the time I 22 22 place against a particular person who had left. We used to leapfrog each other in 23 23 Hassans and him had links, strong links with. the promotion ladder. He would overcome 24 24 So that is... I am thinking, why is he asking me at one occasion and I would overcome 25 25 about this? He is asking him via introducing him. And that was very sort of normal Page 177 Page 179 1 me in this angle, which makes no sense 1 professional competition. in my professional 2 2 life with him We had our differences. We not because there was nothing, nothing. The 3 3 insinuation, which again taints me because it necessarily agreed on things every time, but 4 4 is shared with the governor -- my word -- that we were professional enough to respect each 5 5 is a very serious and defamatory allegation to other and accept whenever things were not 6 make. I do not know who passed the 6 working the way the other person -- or who 7 7 information to him and what credibility those disagreed took it. So it was a good 8 8 people have. relationship. 9 9 Q. . Are you talking about the allegation that Q. And you were good friends as well? 10 10 you were -- you had gone to Special Branch -A. Best of friends. 11 11 Q. Best of friends. And how would you 12 A. Yes. 12 describe your relationship with Mr Ullger, 13 13 with Commissioner Ullger, after you left the Q. -- trying to obtain information --14 A. Yes. 14 RGP? 15 15 A. Well, the relationship is no longer Q. -- about --16 16 A. I am sure -existent. 17 17 Q. -- a former associate. Q. Sorry, I did not catch that. 18 18 A. I am sure that -- and I hope that, Mr A. That is no longer existent. 19 19 Ullger has investigated this and established Q. You are no longer friends? 20 20 that that is not the case. I truly hope that that A. I have not had contact with him. 2.1 21 Q. And just in relation to that, at E1108, is the case. 22 THE CHAIRMAN: I think we are straying 22 there is an exchange of emails that... Sorry, 23 23 rather from the grounds of relevance. have I given the wrong reference? 1111 --24 24 MR WAGNER: And there is reference to E1111. There it is. This is an exchange of 25 you giving a statement to John Santos? 25 emails. Can we just go up to the previous Page 178 Page 180

page, please? 29 November 2022. So, after 1 Richardson. 2 2 the Inquiry has been announced. You do not (14.58)3 have to go into the detail of it at all, but is it 3 (A small break) 4 4 right that you were disinvited from the Senior (15.08)THE CHAIRMAN: Sorry, I may have 5 Officers Club, a Senior Officers Club dinner, 5 6 and you, as a result, resigned from the Senior 6 jumped the gun. I am very sorry, Mr Gibbs. 7 Officers Club? 7 It is my fault. 8 8 MR GIBBS: Not at all. A. I was a paying member of that club and 9 yes, I had to withdraw my application, my 9 THE CHAIRMAN: If you want a break, just 10 membership. 10 ask for it and we will immediately 11 Q. And do you -- more broadly, in your 11 accommodate it. 12 view, has the senior RGP been careful to 12 MR SANTOS: Good afternoon, Mr 13 avoid contact with you since the Inquiry was 13 Richardson 14 14 called? MR PAUL RICHARDSON: 15 15 A. Careful? No, I think they have been QUESTIONED BY MR SANTOS 16 16 Q. Can I please take you to your fourth and deliberate. 17 Q. Yes. And why do you... What is your 17 fifth statements, which hopefully you will 18 impression as to why that has been? 18 have in front of you? Can I ask you to 19 A. They have got to... They have their 19 confirm for both of them whether they are 20 reasons. But my take is that they need to 20 your fourth and fifth statements, whether it is 21 uphold that perception of conflict or, or bias 21 your signature on the final page, and whether 22 22 that could affect them or me or anybody else. the contents of those witness statements are 23 23 Q. Yes. And on a personal level, how has true to the best of your knowledge, 24 that made you feel. 24 information and belief? 25 A. Ostracised from the furthest... Ostracised 25 A. They are. Page 181 Page 183 1 from the extended police family? It... 1 Q. You say in paragraph 3 and 4 of your fifth 2 Q. Just finally, is it right that you called for 2 statement that your primary means of 3 3 this Inquiry? communication with Mr McGrail was always 4 A. I did and I did so on the back of the 4 face to face and you set out other means of 5 5 comments made by the Chief Minister in communication between you and him. One 6 Parliament. 6 of the things that you refer to is WhatsApps 7 7 Q. What approach have you taken generally between your work devices and between 8 8 to cooperation with and disclosure to this your personal devices. Did you ever 9 9 message between personal and work devices? 10 10 A. I think, you know, I have put every effort So from your personal device to his work 11 11 device or from your work device to his 12 Q. Every effort and anything more? 12 personal device? 13 A. And a lot of personal sacrifice. 13 A. It's possible that I did. I note from doing 14 14 Q. And has the process been a difficult one my preparation for this hearing that there are 15 15 for you personally? times when I have told other parties, "contact 16 16 A. Beyond. Beyond problematic. me on this phone, I have left the other phone 17 Q. Thank you. 17 at work" or "I've been locked out because 18 THE CHAIRMAN: No, thank you. Okay. 18 it's restarted." So it's possible that I did both. 19 19 Q. Which do you think you used more with Thank you very much? 20 20 Mr McGrail? Work to work or personal to A. Thank you. 21 THE CHAIRMAN: Do you want to ask a 21 personal? 22 question? 22 A. I would imagine more the personal 23 23 COUNSEL: No. device, but as I don't have access to my work 24 THE CHAIRMAN: We will have a break 24 device, I don't know what the frequency of 25 25 now and we will reassemble with Mr traffic on that was. Page 182 Page 184

1 Q. In your fourth statement at paragraph 4, 1 disclosure exercise with regard to Operation 2 2 you explained that shortly before you Delhi. There was a barrister from the Office commenced pre-retirement leave in May 3 3 of Criminal Prosecution Litigation appointed 4 4 2021, you returned your work issued mobile as the head of that with six officers. They'd 5 phone and SIM card. Was that an iPhone? 5 spent six months going through all of the 6 6 disclosure exercises. And WhatsApps A. Yes, it was. 7 7 between police officers were not something Q. How long had you used that phone for? 8 8 A. Since December of the year before, I that was considered as relevant for 9 9 think. disclosure. They were not something which 10 10 Q. Who did you return it to? led to undermining the prosecution's case or 11 11 A. I think, although I am not certain about strengthening the defence's case. 12 this, that I returned it to the IT person that 12 Q. When you say -- do you mean that 13 was -- his office was literally just opposite 13 WhatsApps were not disclosed or that 14 14 WhatsApps between officers were not my office. 15 15 Q. It is fair to say that you only mentioned reviewed at all? 16 16 your work phone through your counsel, Mr A. They were not considered relevant? I had 17 17 Gibbs KC shortly before the main Inquiry gone through my messages and anything that 18 hearing, when the Inquiry asked why the 12 18 was -- that was particularly relevant, I would 19 19 May message was not in your disclosure? have put a note in my daily daybook 4. 20 20 Why did you not mention your work device Q. Sorry, but my question was, as part of 21 earlier? 21 that disclosure exercise... Are you getting 22 22 some feedback? A. It never occurred to me to mention my 23 23 work device because I didn't have access to A. Yes, just getting a little bit of feedback. 24 24 Q. I do not know, maybe if I could... any of the messages that were in it. 25 25 A. Maybe it is my speaker microphone. Q. Were you aware of the mobile devices Page 187 Page 185 1 policy under which phones were wiped upon 1 Q. My question was: as part of the Operation 2 retirement? 2 Delhi exercise, did you go through your 3 3 WhatsApps to see whether there was A. I have refreshed my memory with it since 4 I have retired because it was disclosed. But 4 anything relevant to disclose, or did you not 5 5 go through your WhatsApps at all because if you had asked me during the main hearing, 6 I wouldn't have remembered that. 6 you did not consider that they would be 7 7 Q. And what about if I had asked you at the relevant? 8 8 time of your retirement? A. I think it would be the combination of 9 9 A. I couldn't say. That was four or five both. I would have been checking as I have 10 10 years ago now. gone along, and anything that was important, 11 Q. Did you realise that your phone would be 11 I would have made a note of in my daybook, 12 wiped when you handed it in? 12 the same as all the conversations that I had 13 A. Yes, I would have expected it to be wiped 13 had with Mr Guerrero and any of the other 14 and would have been concerned if it hadn't 14 parties. 15 15 Q. So the answer is that you did not actually been wiped. 16 go through your WhatsApps as part of the 16 Q. You are aware that in any prosecution 17 17 arising out of an RGP investigation, the RGP Operation Delhi process? 18 18 will be under a duty of disclosure, are you A. Not just before I retired, no. I didn't sit 19 19 down and go through every single not? 20 20 A. Yes, I am aware. WhatsApp. 21 21 Q. When you retired, why did you not Q. Sorry, the disclosure process in Operation 22 arrange for your messages to be preserved, at 22 23 23 least insofar as they related to Operation A. The disclosure process for Operation Delhi was as it was unfolding, so that if there 24 24 25 A. We'd gone through a very comprehensive 25 is anything relevant that was as part of a Page 186 Page 188

WhatsApp message, and I do not think that 1 moved on to official devices, we were issued 2 2 there was, I made a note of it in my daybook. official phone numbers. 3 Q. Given the controversial circumstances of 3 Q. Why have you never mentioned that 4 4 Mr McGrail's departure and your contact Samsung device to the Inquiry? 5 with him in those last couple of months, did 5 A. It's the same work device that I have said 6 you ask the RGP to preserve your WhatsApp 6 -- mentioned before. 7 messages with him at the time of your 7 Q. Do you know what has happened to that 8 departure? 8 device? 9 9 A. Only from the statements that have been A. No. 10 10 Q. Why not? submitted to the Inquiry. It was handed in. It 11 11 A. As I mentioned, WhatsApps between would have been reused by another officer, 12 police officers were not routinely considered 12 I'm guessing. 13 for criminal disclosure at that time in the 13 Q. Did you realise that changing from 14 14 RGP. I don't know what the situation is at Samsung to iPhone in November 2020 would 15 15 result in your messages being lost? the moment. 16 16 Q. But I mean in relation to the Inquiry. A. No. 17 17 A. In relation to the Inquiry? When I retired Q. After the change, you would have had no 18 in 2021, the Inquiry had been announced the 18 WhatsApp messages at all on your phone 19 19 when you started --? year before, but nothing had happened. And 20 20 A. That's correct. nothing happened for another two years after 21 it was announced. So, it wouldn't have been 21 Q. -- using it? 22 22 in my mind, no. A. Yes. 23 Q. Yesterday we heard from Mr Yeats about 23 Q. Did you realise that? 24 24 A. At some point we all must have realised. the transition of a number of senior officers 25 25 from Samsung to iPhone in November 2020. I could not remember that this had happened Page 189 Page 191 1 Is his evidence correct that you changed 1 until it was pointed out in evidence now. 2 phone from Samsung to iPhone in November 2 THE CHAIRMAN: You must have realised, 3 3 2020? but attached no significance to it. 4 A. Yes, all the senior command team did. 4 A. Yes, because we started off with a new 5 5 Q. So does that mean that there was another phone with a new number and new 6 device, a Samsung, which you were using in 6 WhatsApp groups . We didn't carry on the 7 7 May 2020? WhatsApp group that existed on the previous 8 8 A. No. In December 20 -- I think it was platform. There was a new WhatsApp group 9 9 November or December '20 we all changed created. 10 10 over from Samsung to Apple iPhones and I MR SANTOS: Were you concerned about 11 11 the fate of the messages that you had had on handed my Samsung phone back in at that 12 time. 12 your phone until that point? 13 Q. Yes. So my point is, in May 2020 --13 A. We must have discussed it. I can't A. Yes. 14 14 remember being overly concerned about it. 15 15 Q. -- you were using a Samsung device. Q. Did you make any inquiries about 16 16 A. Yes, that is correct, yes. backing up or transferring those messages? 17 Q. Did that have the same phone number as 17 A. I think there was some discussion at some 18 your iPhone ending 9004? 18 point between me and Mr Yeats about being 19 A. No, it would not have done because when 19 able to back up devices, because I was 20 20 we moved over... Yes, sorry, it would have researching a corporate programme that 21 21 done. Before that, I was using my own allowed you to manage all the devices 22 22 personal number. The situation in the RGP through one account, and I don't think it 23 23 was that we used our own personal devices came to anything. It's a difficult thing to do, 24 24 and our own personal numbers, which were to manage 20 to 30 different phones with 25 paid for by the organisation. But when we 25 different passwords and different accounts. Page 190 Page 192

1	Q. Given the fact that Cornelio, Perez and	1	mention to the Inquiry that you did not have
2	Sanchez had been charged only two months	2	messages from your work device?
3	earlier, in September 2020, did you not seek	3	A. Because I think the focus was on
4	to at least preserve your messages relating to	4	disclosing what I had in my possession and
5	Operation Delhi when you changed phones?	5	control and that was neither.
6	A. No. As I have explained before,	6	Q. In November 2024 the Inquiry requested
7	WhatsApps between police officers were not	7	further disclosure from you covering the
8	routinely considered as relevant for	8	period 1 January to 30 June 2020. And you
9	disclosure. Anything that was relevant to	9	disclosed some later messages with Mr
10	disclosure had been reduced to a daybook	10	McGrail. Those were from your same
11	entry.	11	personal phone, both to his number while he
12	THE CHAIRMAN: Reduced to?	12	was at the RGP and a new personal number
13	A. A daybook entry? An entry in my	13	which he started using in June 2020. Why
14	daybook, sir.	14	had you not previously disclosed those
15	MR SANTOS: The Inquiry sent you a	15	messages?
16	request for evidence and disclosure on 22	16	A. The first part of it was because it was
17	July 2022, and in response, you filed a	17	outside of the scope of the range that I'd
18	witness statement and a number of curated	18	determined were relevant. I wasn't given a
19	WhatsApp messages between you and Mr	19	date a set of dates as I was when you asked
20	McGrail between 14 February 2019 and 9	20	in the instance that you've just said. My
21	June 2020. You described those as "curated".	21	cutoff point was 9 June, which was the date
22	Did you mean by that that you had filtered	22	that Mr McGrail left the Force. So that, I
23	out any irrelevant messages?	23	thought, was an appropriate time to say any
24	A. I did.	24	messages up to that point or beyond that
25	Q. In your second witness statement, you	25	point could not have contributed towards the
	Page 193		Page 195
1	referred to the message that you sent Mr	1	reasons for Mr McGrail leaving. So that was
2	McGrail just before you attended Hassans to	2	my cut off point. The messages that were
3	execute the search warrants. Presumably,	3	included in the beginning of January of that
4	given its inclusion in your witness statement,	4	year, which you asked me to disclose, all of
5	you considered that the message was relevant	5	them, including the ones that I had redacted,
6	to the Inquiry?	6	were personal messages. There was a
7	A. It was relevant in as much as I was telling	7	message about my mother going visiting
8	the Commissioner of Police, I am about to go	8	my mother because of the Covid Regulations,
9	do what we had what you already knew we	9	and there was something to do with the Force
10	were going to be doing.	10	Intelligence Officer appointee.
11	Q. When did you realise that you did not	11	Q. Can we focus on the messages on your
12	have that message on your personal device?	12	personal device? If we go to C7006, please?
13	A. When I went through the disclosure	13	These are the messages between you and Mr
14	exercise and realised that I didn't have that	14	McGrail disclosed from your phone, and
15	particular message.	15	there are no messages between you and Mr
16	Q. And did you at that point conclude that it	16	McGrail from 30 April 2020 until 22 May
17	was on your work device?	17	2020, and that includes 12 May 2020, when
18	A. I deduced that it must have been on my	18	you know a message was sent, that you
19	work device.	19	conclude that that must have been between
20	Q. Why did you not mention to the Inquiry	20	work phones. This is something that you
21	at that point that you did not have messages	21	have addressed in your statement, but can I
22	from your work device?	22	just ask you to explain why there are no
23	A. I didn't have any messages from my work	23	messages between those numbers in the three
24	device. Not just that one.	24	weeks from 30 April until 22 May 2020?
25	Q. Yes. My question is: why did you not	25	A. Well, first of all, there are messages on 30
	Page 194		Page 196
			49 (Pages 193 to 196)

1 April. The period is from 1 May onwards. 1 period during -- my work phone during that 2 2 And the simple answer to that is that there period because I do not have access to those 3 3 are no messages because I sent no messages records. What I can say with almost 4 4 or received no messages during that period. certainty is that the message that you are 5 5 looking for, the message of 12 May, which Q. In your fifth statement, paragraph five, 6 6 you point out that there were many other was just to advise Mr McGrail that we were 7 periods where you and Mr McGrail did not 7 attending Hassans with a warrant, must have 8 8 message each other for several weeks. Are been on the work phone because it is not on 9 9 those gaps there because there were no this device. 10 10 WhatsApp communications between you at Q. So, on the assumption that it is on your 11 11 all, or because it was taking place on your work device, why would you have switched 12 work devices? 12 to your work device for that message? 13 A. There are no messages exchanged 13 A. I would have probably made a conscious 14 14 decision to send it on my work device then between the personal device which is what 15 15 I'm talking about. I don't have access to the because it was specifically related to an 16 16 work device. So it is possible that there was active live police investigation which was 17 communication between us on work devices. 17 being executed. 18 Q. But you do not really... I mean, you 18 Q. But, Mr Richardson, we have seen -- if 19 19 highlight about four or five. But are you able we go back in time, there are many messages 20 20 to say whether there would have been work from you to Mr McGrail about the Operation 21 communications in those gaps or are you 21 Delhi investigation. For example, the 22 22 interviews that are taking place where you 23 23 A. No, I am able to say that on my work -are messaging him from your personal 24 24 on my personal device, the fact that as you phone. 25 25 have pointed out that there are no messages A. Yes, that is correct. Page 197 Page 199 1 between this period and that period is not 1 Q. So why on this occasion --2 uncommon. In fact, it is a shorter period than 2 MR CHAIRMAN: What is more messing 3 3 other periods when I haven't exchanged him, Mr McGrail, on his personal phone, 4 messages with Mr McGrail. We didn't 4 because this must have been messaged to him 5 5 exchange messages anything like as on his work phone as well. 6 frequently as he exchanged with other 6 A. Yes. 7 parties. 7 MR SANTOS: Yes. (To the witness) So my 8 8 Q. Yes, but what I am trying to establish is question is: you felt perfectly comfortable 9 9 whether it is likely that within those gaps sending Operation Delhi related messages 10 10 there was work device communication or that about live investigatory events previously 11 11 there was no WhatsApp communication at from personal to personal. So, why the 12 all. And I am asking you from recollection 12 sudden switch to work? 13 13 whether you are able to assist and I do not A. Well, I don't know that it was a sudden 14 know whether you are. 14 switch. As I said, I don't know what other 15 15 A. I don't have access to my work device, so messages were sent on the work device? I 16 16 therefore I can't answer that question. think Mr McGrail and Mr Ullger mentioned 17 17 Q. We can see that you messaged Mr before that the SMT work -- the SMT Group 18 18 McGrail's personal number from your chat was only on the work device, so we 19 19 personal number on Operation Delhi matters must have been using that device frequently, 20 20 both before 12 May, so for example, 30 April if only for that purpose. But I don't know 21 21 as you pointed out, and after 12 May, for what other messages were sent there. 22 example, 23 May, 8 June. So why would you 22 Q. The government parties make the point 23 have switched to your work numbers during 23 that because your counsel emphasised the 24 24 that three week period? disclosure failures of other parties, this 25 A. I don't know that I did switch to my work 25 implied that you had disclosed all relevant Page 198 Page 200

communications and evidence. Do you 1 from 3 or 4 in the morning when we came 2 2 maintain that you have disclosed all relevant down. And I had mentioned the issue about 3 communications and evidence? 3 corporate manslaughter, principally because 4 4 A. I have endeavoured to disclose we were dealing with a situation where there 5 5 everything that I had in my possession and was a death of two individuals following 6 6 took great care in making sure that it was police contact, and that death appeared to 7 7 have taken place out of jurisdiction. So we 8 8 Q. Did it occur to you at any point during had to find some means to be able to 9 9 the main Inquiry hearing and when great investigate it in this jurisdiction. And 10 10 emphasis was being placed on an absence of manslaughter, corporate manslaughter, was WhatsApps from other CPs, that there were 11 11 one of the offences that straddled that 12 also gaps in the WhatsApps which you had 12 difficulty in jurisdiction. 13 disclosed through the Inquiry? 13 Q. So had you floated the potential corporate 14 14 manslaughter with Mr McGrail before 9 a.m. A. I don't think that there were gaps in the 15 15 messages that I disclosed. when that message was sent? 16 16 Q. In June 2023, you agreed an order with A. I don't know what time it was mentioned. 17 17 the RGP for disclosure of data from them. As I say, we'd been up since 3 or 4 that 18 Why did you not seek disclosure of data from 18 19 19 your work phone as part of that order? Q. Well, you must have, if he is referring to 20 20 A. I was asked in that very long letter from it in a message at 9 a.m. 21 Attias and Levy for a number of things. The 21 A. Yes, we must have done, but I can't 22 22 remember what time we mentioned it -- I most onerous of them was details of all 23 23 mentioned it. meetings that I had held with, I think 12 or 24 24 Q. Did Mr McGrail assert himself as he said 13 people. In order to deal with that and to 25 prepare my statement, I needed to have 25 he had in that message? Page 201 Page 203 1 access to my Outlook calendar and to my 1 A. He was not receptive to the -- to the 2 emails, neither of which I had access to. And 2 suggestion that there might be a corporate 3 3 manslaughter or mans... I do not know if I that is what resulted in the application to 4 attend New Mole House to do it. The 4 said "corporate manslaughter". I said a 5 5 WhatsApps -- I knew that I had all my manslaughter offence. 6 WhatsApps. It did not occur to me to think 6 Q. In what way did he express his lack of 7 7 about work WhatsApps. The bulk of the receptiveness? 8 8 work was in those meetings and files that A. I think he explained it this morning when 9 9 were held on RGP servers. he said about (Spanish) 10 10 Q. Just for the Chairman's benefit. That is Q. Can I ask you now to turn to E502, 11 please? This is a message exchange which 11 Spanish colloquialism, which is looking for 12 we visited a couple of times already and at 12 the fifth leg of --13 the top of the page and it is a message 13 A. Leg of the cat. Q. -- the cat's fifth leg, which means over-14 exchange between Mr McGrail and Mr 14 15 15 complicating matters. Is that what he Ullger and it is where he says -- Mr McGrail 16 16 says, "(Spanish) I swear I am going to hit accused you of doing? 17 17 somebody. Nolan, Paul, El Paul are already A. Yes. 18 18 talking of potential corporate manslaughter. Q. E629, please. This is another reference to 19 19 Etcetera. I really had to assert myself here." involuntary manslaughter that takes place 20 This is at 9, just after 9 a.m. on the morning 20 another -- sometime later, actually. It is 2.1 21 of the incident at sea. Do you recall the about six weeks after the incident at sea. 22 22 THE COMMISSIONER: Everyone says this conversation that that message appears to be 23 23 referring to. is about something completely different, do 24 A. It was not a specific conversation. We 24 25 25 were in meetings for the whole of that day MR SANTOS: Yes. What I am focusing on Page 202 Page 204

is the reference to Mr Richardson and the 1 THE CHAIRMAN: So, you think he 2 2 involuntary manslaughter. It seems to still be declined? 3 on Mr McGrail's mind. (To the witness) 3 A. Yes. 4 4 And my question to you is: had you had MR SANTOS: Did he give any reasons for 5 further discussion about manslaughter with 5 6 Mr McGrail, or was it purely on 8 March? 6 A. No, I didn't know what the meeting was 7 7 in relation to. If you see from the message A. I can't say, but I seem to remember that 8 8 when the Metropolitan Police came over, there, he says that he wanted a meeting. I 9 9 said I'd agree to make it. He said what it they formed the same view as I had and were 10 10 pursuing that line, at least for some period of was in relation to but didn't go into any detail 11 11 and I didn't think it was my place to ask. 12 Q. There is a reference to you being "buddy 12 Q. In evidence yesterday, Mr Ullger referred 13 buddy "with the Stipendiary magistrate. Do 13 to some meetings of the SMT after 12 May 14 you agree with that characterisation? 14 where there were discussions as to concerns 15 A. It is not the words that I would use, but 15 about the Chief Minister interfering in the 16 16 we are friends, yes. Operation Delhi investigation. Do you recall 17 Q. And Mr Ullger says, "Indeed too close to 17 any such meetings? 18 him." Do you agree that you were too close 18 A. There were a number of meetings. In 19 19 to him? fact, at one point they were almost daily. 20 20 after 12 May. They principally concerned the A. No, I don't agree with that. 21 Q. How would you describe your 21 dressing down that Mr McGrail had received 22 22 relationship with him? after he met with the Chief Minister and the 23 23 A. We became friends quite some time ago Attorney General, and the meetings that we 24 when I was his Coroner's Officer for a 24 had with those gentlemen on the 13th, 15th 25 25 sudden death, which coincidentally resulted and 20th. Page 205 Page 207 1 in a -- it was another issue concerning 1 Q. Did you take any minutes of those 2 manslaughter and that there was a unanimous 2 meetings? 3 3 verdict of unlawful killing and we worked A. No. I think Mr McGrail mentioned 4 together extensively for that, me as his 4 before, these weren't minuted meetings. 5 5 These were updates. There were briefings on Coroner's officer, and the friendship 6 6 where we were, how far we'd got. These developed thereon. 7 7 Q. Can we now go to C7006, please? This is were not minuted meetings. 8 8 on 23 May. Back to the messages between THE CHAIRMAN: Or entry in your 9 9 you and Mr McGrail. This is on the 23 May, daybook? 10 10 2020. I just want to focus on the ones at 9.20 A. There are entries in my daybook sir, if we 11 p.m. Mr McGrail messages you. "Paul, can 11 go to my daily daybook, for example, on 22 12 you arrange a meeting with Charlie for 12 May, which is when the Commissioner was 13 Tuesday?" And then you said, "Wow, was 13 invited to retire, there is an extensive entry in 14 just about to ask how you were. Yes, I can. 14 my daybook there which covers that meeting. 15 15 After court, around 3? Do you want me to go THE CHAIRMAN: That was the meeting? 16 with you?" And he says, "Yes, that would be 16 Yes. On the 22nd. 17 good. Ta." "My privilege too. How are 17 A. That is correct. 18 18 you?" And then he says it is because of a MR SANTOS: Was there any mention in 19 convo I had with my lawyers, an opinion that 19 those meetings of alleged interference by the 20 I would want to share with him." "Okay." 20 Attorney General and the DPP? 2.1 21 "Okay. Thanks for arranging." Did you A. There was definitely discussion about 22 22 arrange such a meeting? how we felt that we were being moved away 23 23 A. From memory, I think I asked Mr Pitto from our intended course of action. 24 whether he would agree to meet and I think 24 Q. Just bear with us one minute. We are just 25 he declined. 25 trying to locate that daybook entry and give Page 206 Page 208

1 you the opportunity to --1 MR GIBBS: We do, at 5511. 2 2 MR GIBBS: B5757. THE CHAIRMAN: 5511. Thank you. 3 3 MR SANTOS: B5757. (15.36)4 4 MR GIBBS: And attached to that, B5511. A. Could we go up, please? (After a pause) 5 MR SANTOS: Thank you very much, Mr 5 A bit further. Can you stop there? (After a 6 6 pause) And a bit further. (After a pause) 7 MR GIBBS: Might I just caution, just 7 And further. Now, there is no specific 8 perhaps to spare... Because of lawyers who 8 reference for interference there by any party. 9 9 are present in the room who may have been There is concern issued, expressed by Mr 10 10 mentioned, that we do you not -- we would Galliero when he called to say that he felt 11 11 be cautious about how far in the entry you go that the widening of scope of the 12 12 investigation seemed to be causing concern on the screen. 13 MR SANTOS: I think I will just... I will 13 to, I forget what the words are, it is two 14 14 read the original here. 5757. (To the witness) screens up. Here. In fact, it says PC, which 15 15 Is this the one that you were referring to, Mr is Mr Galliero referring to Mr Caruana, that 16 16 the widening of scope of the investigation is Richardson? 17 17 A. It is. clearly causing them concerns not discussed. 18 Q. And this is a reference at 9.50 to COP --18 MR SANTOS: Yes, but the reason why 19 19 Commissioner of Police -- a letter inviting to we're here is because there was a suggestion 20 20 retire. Loss of confidence HE and CM -that there was a minute of a meeting where 21 A. Main. 21 concerns were expressed about those 13th, 22 22 Q. "Maine collision." Thank you. 15th and 20th meetings, but there is reference "Collision at sea. HMIC. Serious concerns. 23 23 to any of those meetings in this day book Why not?" Is that the meeting? Is that the entry, is there? 24 24 25 25 end of the note of the meeting? A. No, as I said, these were not minuted Page 209 Page 211 1 A. No, during that meeting I had the call 1 meetings. These are notes that I made myself 2 from James Gaggero. 2 as my own notes. They weren't notes of a 3 3 minute. They weren't notes intended to be Q. So should we jump over the call from 4 James Gaggero? 4 used as minutes. 5 5 THE CHAIRMAN: What were they MR GIBBS: It is the next call. 6 MR SANTOS: Yes. I am grateful for the 6 intended to be used for? 7 7 care -- for the caution, but I think you can A. This was my record of what we discussed 8 8 jump over that because... (to the witness) during that meeting and in the middle of that 9 9 Well, can I ask you, is there anything else in meeting, Mr Galliero called unannounced 10 10 this entry that refers to -and I made a note in my daily day book of 11 11 what he was telling me at that time. THE CHAIRMAN: -- the content of the 12 meeting? 12 MR SANTOS: Can we go up to 5755, 13 13 please? That is your day book entry for the MR SANTOS: -- the content of the meetings 14 about what we are discussing rather than. Mr 14 meeting itself of 15th May, 2020. 15 15 A. Yes. Gaggero? 16 16 A. I am sorry, it has flown past my screen Q. And then, I think, on the -- I do not think 17 17 and I haven't had a chance to read it. we have one for you. 18 18 Q. Of course. Can we... THE CHAIRMAN: This is partly my fault. 19 19 A. Maybe we can just go to the top and let We were getting sidetracked and so that was 20 20 fully canvassed last time. me read it. 21 MR SANTOS: Yes. Well, I have no further 21 Q. Can we go to the top and then perhaps 22 questions, Mr Richardson. 22 you can direct Mr Triay as necessary. 23 23 A. Just stay there, please. A. Thank you. 24 24 THE CHAIRMAN: We have this typed THE CHAIRMAN: I think, Mr Cruz, you 25 25 somewhere, do we? have generously been given five minutes. Is Page 210 Page 212

1 that sufficient? 1 we were being moved from a position that 2 MR CRUZ: There are no questions, sir. It is 2 we were quite strong on to a separate 3 3 too much. position. 4 4 THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. Thank you. Q. I see. So you are not suggesting that the 5 Cross-examined by SIR PETER CARUANA 5 Chief Minister interfered with your 6 Q. Mr Richardson, you will be pleasantly 6 investigation? 7 surprised, I hope, to learn that I only have 7 A. I don't know what was happening behind 8 three matters to put to you, all shortish. 8 the scenes. I know now a lot more than I 9 9 A. Thank you. knew at that time, Sir Peter. 10 10 THE CHAIRMAN: So, within the 20 Q. You were the senior investigation officer. 11 11 minutes allowed. You did not feel that your investigation was 12 SIR PETER CARUANA Even I am capable, 12 being interfered with, at least insofar as you 13 even within 20 minutes, of just three short 13 were aware? 14 14 A. I had concerns, yes, about where we were 15 15 THE CHAIRMAN: All right, excellent. being steered towards. 16 16 SIR PETER CARUANA: So you, Mr Q. You cannot have concerns about 17 17 Chairman, should not be so surprised. On something you do not know, can you? 18 interference, just to take up this last point, 18 A. Well, I did know that when we started the 19 19 my learned friend has shown you this minute meeting process on 13th May 2020, we had a 20 20 very clear plan of action and by the time we to the effect that there is no reference in this 21 day book entry or minute note or whatever. 21 got to the 20th, it was completely different. 22 22 It does not matter to me what it is. Q. But still the perception was not important 23 23 A. It matters to me, sir. enough to record in your day book? 24 24 A. Nothing - not important enough for me to Q. Yes, about it. But, as to whether there 25 25 was interference or not on the 13th, 15th and make a full record, yes. I think, sir, I think Page 215 Page 213 1 20th, we are all agreed that the Chairman has 1 it's important to know that at that point, by --2 got the transcript, he has got the tape 2 certainly by 15th May, I was aware that Mr 3 3 recordings and he can form his own views. McGrail was recording the meetings, so there 4 So I am only interested in the perception in 4 was a full record of what was being said. 5 5 the RGP, not whether in fact there was with Q. That is the all-good-ish as opposed to the 6 you. If there had been a perception in the 6 all-short-ish, which I am trying to stick to. 7 7 Okay. Can I turn it up very quickly, E849? RGP as widespread at all, that was being 8 8 discussed almost at daily meetings, would it E849, very quickly, do you recall that when 9 9 not be in somebody's day book? you eventually retired yourself from the 10 10 A. It's hard to say. These weren't minuted force, coincidentally in June the following 11 meetings, as we've said. These were - it was 11 year to Mr McGrail, 2021, that Mr Roca sent 12 a very live situation and it was a very fluid 12 you at 15.13 -- a bit further down. There. 13 situation. This is at the same time as we 13 Congratulations, do you see? 14 were dealing with the Section 15 request, 14 A. Yes, I can see it. 15 15 which meant that I was dealing a huge Q. Mr Roca, the DPP, says to you, 16 16 amount of time involved in preparing that. "Congratulations on your retirement, my 17 17 Q. You were the senior investigating officer friend. It's been a pleasure working with 18 of DENI. Is political interference at the 18 you, albeit not for long, best. Christian." 19 19 highest level with an investigation which you And do you see your reply at 16.21, "Many 20 20 thanks, Christian. I really enjoyed working are conducting not important enough a matter 21 21 with you too. I will always be grateful for to be reflected in your day book? 22 22 your advice and support"? A. Of course it is. 23 23 Q. But it is not. A. Yes, I can see that. 24 24 A. I haven't said that there was political Q. That does not suggest that you were harbouring any feeling that he had interfered 25 interference. What I've said is that I felt that 25 Page 214 Page 216

with your investigation improperly. A. I felt that Mr Roca was on our side. Q. I see. Well, the reason why I am asking you this question is because your counsel, Mr Gibbs, in closing said, "Further, the chairman may find that the behaviour and thinking of the Hassans individuals, both during the Delhi events and after the 12th May police action, go a long way to explain the behaviour of Mr Yamas and Mr Roca in the meetings of the 13th, 15th and 20th." Do you think that submission reflects the evidence that you have just given now about Mr Roca? A. Well, I can't remember what Mr Gibbs said on my behalf on that entry, other than what you've just read now, but what we're talking about here is when I was retiring. It was a message, a polite message exchanged between the two of us, somebody I considered a friend, and at that time I hadn't considered a friend, and at that time I hadn't Ada access to seeing all the data that I've seen since then. Page 217 I intend to go in at 10 to make sure that there is nothing compromising in the files. If we finish before you come, we won't be there to assist you" and then at 19.23, the following day, down below on the 25th, at 19.23, Mr Field says to you, "Just checked the timeline, sent it back to them with additions, but it is now looking more along the lines that you wanted." Can I just ask you whether you can help the inquiry with what Mr Field, why does Mr Field say that you are going to tweak the report? Do you remember any tweaking that you might have done? A. Yes, I think I spent the whole day going through the report. The tweaking would have been to make sure that the paragraphs matched the references that were there, that the language was correct, that it was asying what it was intended, that what they intended to say, that it was accurate, that what was being said. Mr Field was drafting it with, from memory, an inspector and a sergeant, and I was assisting Mr McGrail in making sure that the Section 15 report was as
Q. I see. Well, the reason why I am asking you this question is because your counsel, Mr Gibbs, in closing said, "Further, the chairman may find that the behaviour and thinking of the Hassans individuals, both during the Delhi events and after the 12th May police action, go a long way to explain the behaviour of Mr Yamas and Mr Roca in the meetings of the 13th, 15th and 20th." Do you think that submission reflects the evidence that you have just given now about Mr Roca? A. Well, I can't remember what Mr Gibbs said on my behalf on that entry, other than what you've just read now, but what we're talking about here is when I was retiring. It was a message, a polite message exchanged between the two of us, somebody I considered a friend, and at that time I hadn't had access to seeing all the data that I've seen since then. Page 217 is nothing compromising in the files. If we finish before you come, we won't be there to assist you" and then at 19.23, the following day, down below on the 25th, at 19.23, Mr Field says to you, "Just checked the timeline, sent it back to them with additions, but it is now looking more along the lines that you wanted." Can I just ask you whether you can help the inquiry with what Mr Field, why does Mr Field say that you are going to tweak the report? Do you remember any tweaking that you might have done? A. Yes, I think I spent the whole day going through the report. The tweaking would have been to make sure that the paragraphs matched the references that were there, that the language was correct, that it was saying what it was intended, that what they intended to say, that it was accurate, that what they intended the references that were there, that the language was correct, that it was a curate, that what was being said. Mr Field was drafting it with, from memory, an inspector and a sergeant, and I was assisting Mr McGrail in making sure that the Section 15 report was as
4 you this question is because your counsel, Mr 5 Gibbs, in closing said, "Further, the chairman 6 may find that the behaviour and thinking of 7 the Hassans individuals, both during the 8 Delhi events and after the 12th May police 9 action, go a long way to explain the 10 behaviour of Mr Yamas and Mr Roca in the 11 meetings of the 13th, 15th and 20th." Do 12 you think that submission reflects the 13 evidence that you have just given now about 14 Mr Roca? 15 A. Well, I can't remember what Mr Gibbs 16 said on my behalf on that entry, other than 17 what you've just read now, but what we're 18 talking about here is when I was retiring. It 19 was a message, a polite message exchanged 20 between the two of us, somebody I 21 considered a friend, and at that time I hadn't 22 had access to seeing all the data that I've 23 seen since then. 24 Q. But does it matter? You have just said in 25 oral evidence here on oath that you regarded 4 finish before you come, we won't be there to assist you" and then at 19.23, the following day, down below on the 25th, at 19.23, Mr Field says to you, "Just checked the timeline, sent it back to them with additions, but it is now looking more along the lines that you wanted." Can I just ask you whether you can help the inquiry with what Mr Field, why does Mr Field say that you are going to tweak the report? Do you remember any tweaking that you might have done? A. Yes, I think I spent the whole day going through the report. The tweaking would have been to make sure that the paragraphs matched the references that were there, that the language was correct, that it was saying what it was intended, that what they intended to say, that it was accurate, that what was being said. Mr Field was drafting it with, from memory, an inspector and a sergeant, and I was assisting Mr McGrail in making sure that the Section 15 report was as
Gibbs, in closing said, "Further, the chairman may find that the behaviour and thinking of the Hassans individuals, both during the Delhi events and after the 12th May police action, go a long way to explain the meetings of the 13th, 15th and 20th." Do you think that submission reflects the evidence that you have just given now about Mr Roca? A. Well, I can't remember what Mr Gibbs said on my behalf on that entry, other than what you've just read now, but what we're talking about here is when I was retiring. It was a message, a polite message exchanged between the two of us, somebody I considered a friend, and at that time I hadn't had access to seeing all the data that I've seen since then. Page 217 A. Well of the Isth May police action, go a long way to explain the perhapsing the vest the the Hassans individuals, both during the field say, down below on the 25th, at 19.23, Mr Field says to you, "Just checked the timeline, sent it back to them with additions, but it is now looking more along the lines that you wanted." Can I just ask you whether you can help the inquiry with what Mr Field, why does Mr Field says to you, "Just checked the timeline, sent it back to them with additions, but it is now looking more along the lines that you wanted." Can I just ask you whether you can help the inquiry with what Mr Field, why does Mr Field say that you are going to tweak the report? Do you remember any tweaking that you might have done? A. Well, I can't remember what Mr Gibbs add on my behalf on that entry, other than what you might have done? A. Yes, I think I spent the whole day going through the report. The tweaking would have been to make sure that the paragraphs matched the references that were there, that the language was correct, that it was saying what it was intended, that what they intended to say, that it was accurate, that what was being said. Mr Field was drafting it with, from memory, an inspector and a sergeant, and I was assisting Mr McGrail in making sure that the Section 15 report was as
6 may find that the behaviour and thinking of 7 the Hassans individuals, both during the 8 Delhi events and after the 12th May police 9 action, go a long way to explain the 10 behaviour of Mr Yamas and Mr Roca in the 11 meetings of the 13th, 15th and 20th." Do 12 you think that submission reflects the 13 evidence that you have just given now about 14 Mr Roca? 15 A. Well, I can't remember what Mr Gibbs 16 said on my behalf on that entry, other than 17 what you've just read now, but what we're 18 talking about here is when I was retiring. It 19 was a message, a polite message exchanged 20 between the two of us, somebody I 21 considered a friend, and at that time I hadn't 22 had access to seeing all the data that I've 23 seen since then. 24 Q. But does it matter? You have just said in 25 oral evidence here on oath that you regarded 6 day, down below on the 25th, at 19.23, Mr 7 Field says to you, "Just checked the timeline, 8 sent it back to them with additions, but it is 10 now looking more along the lines that you wanted." Can I just ask you whether you can help the inquiry with what Mr Field, why does Mr Field say that you are going to weak the report? Do you remember any tweaking that you might have done? A. Yes, I think I spent the whole day going through the report. The tweaking would have been to make sure that the paragraphs matched the references that were there, that the language was correct, that it was saying what it was intended, that what they intended to say, that it was accurate, that what was being said. Mr Field was drafting it with, from memory, an inspector and a sergeant, and I was assisting Mr McGrail in making sure that the Section 15 report was as
the Hassans individuals, both during the Delhi events and after the 12th May police action, go a long way to explain the behaviour of Mr Yamas and Mr Roca in the meetings of the 13th, 15th and 20th." Do you think that submission reflects the evidence that you have just given now about Mr Roca? A. Well, I can't remember what Mr Gibbs said on my behalf on that entry, other than what you've just read now, but what we're talking about here is when I was retiring. It was a message, a polite message exchanged between the two of us, somebody I considered a friend, and at that time I hadn't considered a friend, and at that time I hadn't A. Delhi events and after the 12th May police sent it back to them with additions, but it is now looking more along the lines that you wanted." Can I just ask you whether you can help the inquiry with what Mr Field, why does Mr Field say that you are going to tweak the report? Do you remember any tweaking that you might have done? A. Yes, I think I spent the whole day going the language was correct, that it was saying what it was intended, that what they intended to say, that it was accurate, that what was being said. Mr Field was drafting it with, from memory, an inspector and a sergeant, and I was assisting Mr McGrail in making sure that the Section 15 report was as
Delhi events and after the 12th May police action, go a long way to explain the behaviour of Mr Yamas and Mr Roca in the meetings of the 13th, 15th and 20th." Do you think that submission reflects the evidence that you have just given now about Mr Roca? A. Well, I can't remember what Mr Gibbs said on my behalf on that entry, other than what you've just read now, but what we're talking about here is when I was retiring. It was a message, a polite message exchanged between the two of us, somebody I considered a friend, and at that time I hadn't 22 had access to seeing all the data that I've seen since then. Page 217 Benefit to back to them with additions, but it is now looking more along the lines that you wanted." Can I just ask you whether you can help the inquiry with what Mr Field, why does Mr Field say that you are going to tweak the report? Do you remember any tweaking that you might have done? A. Yes, I think I spent the whole day going through the report. The tweaking would have been to make sure that the paragraphs matched the references that where there, that the language was correct, that it was saying what it was intended, that what they intended to say, that it was accurate, that what was being said. Mr Field was drafting it with, from memory, an inspector and a sergeant, and I was assisting Mr McGrail in making sure that the Section 15 report was as
9 action, go a long way to explain the 10 behaviour of Mr Yamas and Mr Roca in the 11 meetings of the 13th, 15th and 20th." Do 12 you think that submission reflects the 13 evidence that you have just given now about 14 Mr Roca? 15 A. Well, I can't remember what Mr Gibbs 16 said on my behalf on that entry, other than 17 what you've just read now, but what we're 18 talking about here is when I was retiring. It 19 was a message, a polite message exchanged 20 between the two of us, somebody I 21 considered a friend, and at that time I hadn't 22 had access to seeing all the data that I've 23 seen since then. 24 Q. But does it matter? You have just said in 25 oral evidence here on oath that you regarded Page 217 now looking more along the lines that you wanted." Can I just ask you whether you can help the inquiry with what Mr Field, why does Mr Field say that you are going to tweak the report? Do you remember any tweaking that you might have done? A. Yes, I think I spent the whole day going through the report. The tweaking would have been to make sure that the paragraphs matched the references that were there, that the language was correct, that it was saying what it was intended, that what they intended to say, that it was accurate, that what was being said. Mr Field was drafting it with, from memory, an inspector and a sergeant, and I was assisting Mr McGrail in making sure that the Section 15 report was as
behaviour of Mr Yamas and Mr Roca in the meetings of the 13th, 15th and 20th." Do you think that submission reflects the evidence that you have just given now about Mr Roca? A. Well, I can't remember what Mr Gibbs said on my behalf on that entry, other than what you've just read now, but what we're talking about here is when I was retiring. It was a message, a polite message exchanged between the two of us, somebody I considered a friend, and at that time I hadn't considered a friend, and at that time I hadn't considered the report and a sergeant, and I was assisting Mr McGrail in making being said. Mr Field was drafting it with, from memory, an inspector and a sergeant, and I was assisting Mr McGrail in making sure that the Section 15 report was as Page 217
meetings of the 13th, 15th and 20th." Do you think that submission reflects the evidence that you have just given now about Mr Roca? A. Well, I can't remember what Mr Gibbs said on my behalf on that entry, other than what you've just read now, but what we're talking about here is when I was retiring. It was a message, a polite message exchanged between the two of us, somebody I considered a friend, and at that time I hadn't considered a friend, and at that time I hadn't considered a friend. Q. But does it matter? You have just said in oral evidence here on oath that you regarded help the inquiry with what Mr Field, why does Mr Field say that you are going to tweak the report? Do you remember any tweaking that you might have done? A. Yes, I think I spent the whole day going through the report. The tweaking would have been to make sure that the paragraphs matched the references that were there, that the language was correct, that it was saying what it was intended, that what they intended to say, that it was accurate, that what was being said. Mr Field was drafting it with, from memory, an inspector and a sergeant, and I was assisting Mr McGrail in making sure that the Section 15 report was as Page 217
you think that submission reflects the evidence that you have just given now about Mr Roca? A. Well, I can't remember what Mr Gibbs said on my behalf on that entry, other than what you've just read now, but what we're talking about here is when I was retiring. It was a message, a polite message exchanged between the two of us, somebody I considered a friend, and at that time I hadn't had access to seeing all the data that I've seen since then. Q. But does it matter? You have just said in oral evidence here on oath that you regarded 12 does Mr Field say that you are going to tweak the report? Do you remember any tweaking that you might have done? A. Yes, I think I spent the whole day going through the report. The tweaking would have been to make sure that the paragraphs matched the references that were there, that the language was correct, that it was saying what it was intended, that what they intended to say, that it was accurate, that what was being said. Mr Field was drafting it with, from memory, an inspector and a sergeant, and I was assisting Mr McGrail in making sure that the Section 15 report was as Page 217
tweak the report? Do you remember any tweaking that you might have done? A. Well, I can't remember what Mr Gibbs said on my behalf on that entry, other than what you've just read now, but what we're talking about here is when I was retiring. It was a message, a polite message exchanged between the two of us, somebody I considered a friend, and at that time I hadn't seen since then. Q. But does it matter? You have just said in oral evidence here on oath that you regarded 13 tweak the report? Do you remember any tweaking that you might have done? A. Yes, I think I spent the whole day going through the report. The tweaking would have been to make sure that the paragraphs matched the references that were there, that the language was correct, that it was saying what it was intended, that what they intended to say, that it was accurate, that what was being said. Mr Field was drafting it with, from memory, an inspector and a sergeant, and I was assisting Mr McGrail in making sure that the Section 15 report was as Page 217 Page 219
Mr Roca? 14 tweaking that you might have done? A. Well, I can't remember what Mr Gibbs 16 said on my behalf on that entry, other than 17 what you've just read now, but what we're 18 talking about here is when I was retiring. It 19 was a message, a polite message exchanged 20 between the two of us, somebody I 21 considered a friend, and at that time I hadn't 22 had access to seeing all the data that I've 23 seen since then. 24 Q. But does it matter? You have just said in 25 oral evidence here on oath that you regarded 14 tweaking that you might have done? A. Yes, I think I spent the whole day going through the report. The tweaking would have been to make sure that the paragraphs matched the references that were there, that the language was correct, that it was saying what it was intended, that what they intended to say, that it was accurate, that what was being said. Mr Field was drafting it with, from memory, an inspector and a sergeant, and I was assisting Mr McGrail in making sure that the Section 15 report was as Page 217 Page 219
A. Well, I can't remember what Mr Gibbs said on my behalf on that entry, other than what you've just read now, but what we're talking about here is when I was retiring. It was a message, a polite message exchanged between the two of us, somebody I considered a friend, and at that time I hadn't had access to seeing all the data that I've seen since then. Q. But does it matter? You have just said in oral evidence here on oath that you regarded A. Yes, I think I spent the whole day going through the report. The tweaking would have been to make sure that the paragraphs matched the references that were there, that the language was correct, that it was saying what it was intended, that what they intended to say, that it was accurate, that what was being said. Mr Field was drafting it with, from memory, an inspector and a sergeant, and I was assisting Mr McGrail in making sure that the Section 15 report was as Page 217 Page 219
said on my behalf on that entry, other than what you've just read now, but what we're talking about here is when I was retiring. It was a message, a polite message exchanged between the two of us, somebody I considered a friend, and at that time I hadn't had access to seeing all the data that I've seen since then. Q. But does it matter? You have just said in oral evidence here on oath that you regarded between that through the report. The tweaking would have been to make sure that the paragraphs matched the references that were there, that the language was correct, that it was saying what it was intended, that what they intended to say, that it was accurate, that what was being said. Mr Field was drafting it with, from memory, an inspector and a sergeant, and I was assisting Mr McGrail in making sure that the Section 15 report was as Page 217 Page 219
what you've just read now, but what we're talking about here is when I was retiring. It was a message, a polite message exchanged between the two of us, somebody I considered a friend, and at that time I hadn't had access to seeing all the data that I've seen since then. Q. But does it matter? You have just said in oral evidence here on oath that you regarded peen to make sure that the paragraphs matched the references that were there, that the language was correct, that it was saying what it was intended, that what they intended to say, that it was accurate, that what was being said. Mr Field was drafting it with, from memory, an inspector and a sergeant, and I was assisting Mr McGrail in making sure that the Section 15 report was as Page 217 Page 219
talking about here is when I was retiring. It was a message, a polite message exchanged between the two of us, somebody I considered a friend, and at that time I hadn't had access to seeing all the data that I've seen since then. Q. But does it matter? You have just said in oral evidence here on oath that you regarded 18 matched the references that were there, that the language was correct, that it was saying what it was intended, that what they intended to say, that it was accurate, that what was being said. Mr Field was drafting it with, from memory, an inspector and a sergeant, and I was assisting Mr McGrail in making sure that the Section 15 report was as Page 217 Page 219
was a message, a polite message exchanged between the two of us, somebody I considered a friend, and at that time I hadn't had access to seeing all the data that I've seen since then. Q. But does it matter? You have just said in oral evidence here on oath that you regarded 19 the language was correct, that it was saying what it was intended, that what they intended to say, that it was accurate, that what was being said. Mr Field was drafting it with, from memory, an inspector and a sergeant, and I was assisting Mr McGrail in making sure that the Section 15 report was as Page 217 Page 219
between the two of us, somebody I considered a friend, and at that time I hadn't had access to seeing all the data that I've seen since then. Q. But does it matter? You have just said in oral evidence here on oath that you regarded Page 217 what it was intended, that what they intended to say, that it was accurate, that what was being said. Mr Field was drafting it with, from memory, an inspector and a sergeant, and I was assisting Mr McGrail in making sure that the Section 15 report was as Page 217 Page 219
considered a friend, and at that time I hadn't had access to seeing all the data that I've seen since then. Q. But does it matter? You have just said in oral evidence here on oath that you regarded Page 217 Lo say, that it was accurate, that what was being said. Mr Field was drafting it with, from memory, an inspector and a sergeant, and I was assisting Mr McGrail in making sure that the Section 15 report was as Page 219
had access to seeing all the data that I've seen since then. Q. But does it matter? You have just said in oral evidence here on oath that you regarded Page 217 Deing said. Mr Field was drafting it with, from memory, an inspector and a sergeant, and I was assisting Mr McGrail in making sure that the Section 15 report was as Page 219
23 seen since then. 24 Q. But does it matter? You have just said in 25 oral evidence here on oath that you regarded Page 217 23 from memory, an inspector and a sergeant, and I was assisting Mr McGrail in making sure that the Section 15 report was as Page 217 Page 219
Q. But does it matter? You have just said in oral evidence here on oath that you regarded Page 217 Q. But does it matter? You have just said in oral evidence here on oath that you regarded Page 219 Page 219
25 oral evidence here on oath that you regarded 25 sure that the Section 15 report was as Page 217 Page 219
Page 217 Page 219
1 Mr Roca as your ally in the investigation. It 1 accurate as could be.
2 is nothing to do with whether you remember 2 Q. So, on the basis of that answer, reviewing
3 or not what Mr Gibbs said later, is it? 3 would have been better than tweaking, the
4 A. The statement that I've made there, I 4 word?
5 agree with. I'm grateful for his advice and 5 A. It's Mr Field's word, not my word. I
6 support and wish him the best of luck. 6 think he's saying tweaking because he didn't
7 Q. Okay, thank you. So, just the final issue I 7 want me to think that I was changing what
8 would like to cover with you, it relates to the 8 was written, just making a minor change.
9 Section 15 report. Nothing untoward in the 9 Q. I see, okay. What do you think, if you
question, do not worry, it is just for my own 10 know, Mr Field might have meant by
information. Did you play a leading role in 11 checking that he was checking to make sure
12 assisting, in preparing the Section 15 report 12 that there was nothing compromising in the
13 for Mr McGrail? I seem to recall your 13 files?
evidence was yes, but I could be wrong. 14 A. It would be speculation, but maybe some
15 A. I was response I was the supervisory 15 bloopers, something that was obviously
officer for John Field, who was leading a leading a wrong that shouldn't have been there.
17 small team that was drafting up the report 17 Q. Nothing compromising? What does the
18 and preparing all the exhibits. 18 word compromising in the files normally
19 Q. Okay. So, could we just turn up E850? I 19 suggest to you?
am interested in the WhatsApp exchange 20 A. Well, compromising would suggest that
between you and Mr Field at 21.45, so a few 21 there's something that shouldn't be there that
lines down from the top of the page. "Boss, 22 might have a negative effect.
what time," do you see that? 23 Q. Not something compromising to
24 A. Yes, I can see it. 24 somebody?
25 Q. So, Mr Field says to you, "Boss, what 25 A. I don't know what exactly he meant. I do
Page 218 Page 220
55 (Pages 217 to 220)

-- I can say that the use of language isn't 1 A. It's just above the screen that was on, Mr 2 2 always as precise as it could be, as we've Wagner. 3 3 Q. Is it just further up? Further up, I think. seen. 4 4 Maybe not. It is 0 3 14, it is at the bottom. Q. So, your evidence is that make sure there 5 is nothing compromising the file means make 5 There it is, sorry, thank you. Excuse me. "Hi 6 sure there is nothing in the file that should 6 Ian, I hope you're okay." 7 not be there? 7 8 A. Making -- something stupid, yes, 8 A. Sorry, I can't. I can't find it. 9 9 (15.50)something stupid. 10 10 Q. So, these are files that were going to be Q. So it's, its where the cursor is. You text 11 sent to the Chief Minister as attachments to 11 Ian at 3.14 in the morning on the 23rd, so the 12 day after the GP letter - effectively the day the Section 15 report? 12 13 A. Yes, although I'm not sure that I read 13 of the GP letter if this is your evening. "Hi 14 14 Ian, hope you're okay. It's been a tough day, through all the files. I think I read through the worst in my 34 years service. It's a sad 15 15 the report, not the files themselves. 16 16 day for policing but a proud day for the Q. So, making sure there is nothing 17 compromising in the files, by your definition, 17 police..." What did you mean by this text? 18 would mean making sure there is nothing in 18 A. Exactly what's said there. 19 19 the files that we do not want the Chief Q. Why did you think it was a sad day for 20 20 Minister to see? policing? 21 A. No, something that was left in there from 21 A. Because the Commissioner of Police had 22 22 some other investigation or something that been put in a position where he had to leave 23 23 was irrelevant or not important. when he didn't want to leave and we felt 24 24 Q. You think that is the natural meaning? abandoned and all of the senior management 25 25 A. No, I don't think it's the natural meaning. were very upset by the whole thing. I must Page 221 Page 223 1 As I said, I don't think I actually went 1 have woken up at three o'clock in the 2 through the files themselves. I know that 2 morning thinking about it. 3 3 there was a large number of files, but I don't Q. Was it your view that the two reasons that 4 think that I went through them. 4 were given in the GPA letter on that day, the 5 5 THE CHAIRMAN: That's a process incident at sea and the HMIC report, were the 6 everyone goes through before submitting a 6 actual reasons why this was all happening? 7 7 report. A. It didn't make sense that those were the 8 8 SIR PETER CARUANA: What, making reasons why this was happening. 9 9 sure there is nothing compromising in the Q. What did you think it was? 10 files? 10 A. I thought it was to do with Delhi. 11 THE CHAIRMAN: Well, to make sure that Q. To do with Delhi. 11 12 there is no confidential material or --12 A. Although I was told that it wasn't 13 SIR PETER CARUANA; Yes, but that is not 13 mentioned in the correspondence. 14 what it says. That is all. I have no further 14 Q. Was that why you thought it was a sad 15 questions for you. Thank you. 15 day for policing but a proud day for the 16 police? Cross-examined by MR WAGNER 16 17 Q. Good afternoon, Mr Richardson. 17 A. Yes. 18 A. Mr Wagner. 18 Q. Would you therefore characterise this as 19 19 Q. Sorry, I have managed to not have the being related to your concerns about 20 20 interference? reference. I am going to ask you about a text 21 message, and I hope you do not mind me not 21 A. Yes. 22 showing it to you. I will read the whole thing 22 Q. The second of three areas. E844, please, 23 out, and if someone can find it in the 23 and this is a message on 4 June at 16.10. 24 meantime. It is 23rd May 2020, 03.14 in the 24 These are messages between you and Mr 25 25 Rocca. At 16.10 he says: "Emailed you morning. Page 222 Page 224

back. I'm a bit fed up with them dictating 1 as you were concerned. 2 2 terms, to be honest." I think he is talking A. Yes. 3 about Sands. You say: "Yeah, I agree. It's 3 Q. And would you describe that as a 4 weeks down the line. We have no account 4 concern? 5 and still not opened the devices handed over 5 A. Yes, it was a concern. 6 voluntarily. Warrants expire Sunday and I 6 Q. Finally, I want to ask you, please, about 7 get the impression we're being strung along. 7 the note of the meeting in B6060. So this is a 8 Also mindful of not pressing the issue too 8 call that took place on 27 May 2020 and the 9 much for obvious reasons. Don't know if I 9 note is Mr Baglietto's. It is a note of a call 10 copied you into reply to CB." What did you 10 that they had. If we can just go further down 11 11 to the typed notes, it is easier to read. First of mean there: "...I get the impression we're 12 being strung along. Also mindful of not 12 all, have you seen this note? 13 pressing the issue too much for obvious 13 A. I think I saw it at some point after I gave 14 14 reasons." evidence. 15 15 A. I got the impression that we were being Q. It was disclosed later on after Mr 16 16 strung along by Hassans because we were Baglietto's evidence and after yours. 17 17 told that Mr Levy was unlikely to come in for THE CHAIRMAN: Does this arise after the 18 interview and therefore the best way to deal 18 new material? 19 19 with this was to invite him to give a MR WAGNER: The DPP has put in a 20 20 witness statement in the bundle explaining statement, or we agreed that the best way for 21 him to do it was to give a statement. We 21 this document, so yes. 22 22 SPEAKER: No, it does not. agreed on that. The statement then became 23 not a voluntary statement but a witness 23 SPEAKER: There is a statement from the 24 24 DPP in the bundle. statement which we had to correct, and then 25 25 we were waiting for the statement and there THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. Page 225 Page 227 1 were a number of dates that were agreed that 1 MR WAGNER: It is a brand new statement. 2 2 It was only disclosed a month ago, I think. passed and we weren't making any progress. 3 3 Q. And what did you mean: "...mindful of THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. 4 not pressing the issue too much for obvious 4 MR WAGNER: (To the witness) Were you 5 5 reasons"? aware that the DPP and Mr Baglietto had 6 A. Because the way to press the issue was to 6 spoken in this way when you were in 7 7 say: If we're not going to get your statement discussions with the DPP? 8 8 we're going to interview you under caution, A. I was aware that the DPP was in 9 9 and if we're going to interview you under discussion with Mr Baglietto about --10 10 caution the only way to do that, if he THE CHAIRMAN: This is Mr Baglietto's 11 wouldn't attend voluntarily, was to effect an 11 note, is it not? Not the DPP's. 12 arrest. 12 MR WAGNER: No, that is right. 13 13 THE CHAIRMAN: So it should be read Q. So is that the obvious reasons, that you 14 did not want to arrest him? 14 subject to that serious caution. 15 15 MR WAGNER: Yes. I said it was Mr Q. Why not? Why was it obvious? 16 16 Baglietto's note but bear in mind it is Mr 17 A. Because we were all trying very hard 17 Baglietto's note. (To the witness) I just 18 with a situation that didn't result in that. 18 want to ask you about - there is a part there: 19 19 There was suggestion in those meetings that "CR does not read these texts as maliciously 20 20 we wouldn't be able to cope with the of the others, and that is text from Mr Levy, 21 outcome of those meetings. There was talk 21 but understands there are some questions to 22 of ten QCs flying over and that we needed to 22 be answered. He thinks Mr Levy has clear 23 avoid this situation. 23 answer. Not involved in details of the many 24 24 Q. So that obvious reasons is connected to deals he'd asked to take part in. Finger in 25 what had been happening in meetings as far 25 every pie." Just assuming this is accurate --Page 226 Page 228

1 THE CHAIRMAN: Well, no. I do not think 1 Questioned by MR GIBBS 2 2 that assumption should be made. Q. At the time when you left the force, did 3 3 MR WAGNER: (To the witness) Just taking you know what had been going on behind the 4 4 it at face value, if the DPP had said that to scenes? 5 5 Mr Baglietto, is that something that would A. No. 6 cause you concern? 6 Q. When was it that you learned that Mr 7 7 Picardo, the Chief Minister and one-time A. Yes. 8 8 partner of Hassans, had been meeting Q. Why? 9 9 privately with the suspect, Mr Levy, senior A. Because he seems to be underplaying the 10 10 strength of our hand with what was then the partner of Hassans, immediately after the 11 11 attempt to execute the warrant? defendant's legal counsel. 12 12 Q. Just one other part which is a little bit A. During this hearing, this inquiry. 13 further down, please. After it says "turn 13 Q. If you had known that at the time, would 14 14 you have recorded it in your day book? page", Mr Baglietto said this does not mean 15 15 Chief Minister, it is a typo and it means CR, A. Yes. 16 Christian Rocca. "He said that he did not 16 Q. If you had known of anything like that at 17 17 the time, would you have recorded it in your think enough evidence on J at moment to go 18 to jury, still had to tick box to pursue line of 18 day book? 19 19 A. Yes. enquiries otherwise risk abuse arguments." 20 20 Would that cause you any concern? O. And why? 21 A. Yes. 21 A. Because it was important to record those 22 22 O. For the same reason. matters, as the thousands of other things that A. For exactly the same reasons, yes. We 23 23 I've recorded. 24 24 Q. During the course of Mr Picardo's were yet to interview Mr Levy at that stage. 25 25 Q. If someone like the DPP had said to the evidence to the inquiry he mentioned in Page 231 Page 229 1 lawyer of the suspect: "We actually do not 1 explanation of a text message that he had 2 think there is much in the case", could that 2 sent that he had been drawing to the attention 3 3 of Mr Baglietto the provision under which impact the way that they approached the 4 interview with the police? 4 you, the senior officer in Delhi, might be 5 5 deprived of your pension. Did you know that A. Yes. 6 MR WAGNER: Thank you; I have no 6 at all? 7 7 further questions. A. No, I did not. 8 8 THE CHAIRMAN: I think the DPP's Q. If you had known that at the time, would 9 9 account of this conversation should go in as you have been concerned? 10 10 A. I would have been extremely concerned. well 11 Q. Would you have been surprised? 11 MR SANTOS: I think it is fair to record that 12 the DPP's affidavit, the DPP's case is that 12 A. Yes. 13 everything below that line is not part of the 13 Q. Why would you have been surprised 14 conversation. 14 about that? 15 15 A. Well, for the - I think it's so obvious: that THE CHAIRMAN: It is Mr Baglietto's 16 16 comment that is not if I'd known that the Chief Minister was 17 MR SANTOS: Yes. But I rose for a 17 advising the lawyer representing another 18 18 different reason, which is I wonder whether lawyer of which they were all partners in the 19 19 we are due a break just before -- I mean, the same firm, of a way to deprive me of my 20 20 witness has indicated he is okay. pension for doing my job in investigating an 21 21 THE CHAIRMAN: (To the witness) Are allegation of hacking of the national security 22 22 you okay? system of Gibraltar. 23 Q. You were asked by the inquiry quite 23 A. I'm fine, thank you, sir. 24 24 properly to provide a statement - that was MR SANTOS: Unless the transcriber is 25 25 asking for a break, then we will proceed. back in 2022.

Page 230

1	A. Correct.	1	A. Yes, with 12 or 13 people.
2	Q. And you needed to refresh your memory,	2	Q. Did you also have access to your day
3	because you had by then retired, of the	3	books, both your Delhi day book or an image
4	contemporaneous documents to get the dates	4	of it and your year-by-year day books?
5	and details right before providing that	5	A. My year-by-year day books I retained
6	statement. Is that right?	6	when I retired. My Delhi day book, because
7	A. That is correct.	7	it was a live investigation, I'd left with Mr
8	Q. And were arrangements made for you to	8	Wyan, with investigation team, and I think it
9	be able to inspect at least an image of your	9	was one of the documents that was provided
10	email account on the RGP server?	10	to me when I was researching for the
11	A. That is correct.	11	statement.
12	Q. And did the inquiry themselves facilitate	12	Q. And did you provide copies of those to
13	those arrangements?	13	the inquiry?
14	A. They did.	14	A. Yes.
15	Q. And did you attend at RGP offices to	15	Q. Did you yourself have copies of all the
16	reacquaint yourself with the documents from	16	emails and attachments or were you simply
17	the time?	17	allowed to inspect them?
18	A. I did.	18	A. I was allowed to inspect the ones that I
19	Q. How many times did you go?	19	could search using the search facility which
20	A. Ten or 11 times.	20	was provided, which was quite difficult, but I
21	Q. And how many tens, hundreds, whatever	21	wasn't allowed to print any.
22	it is, of hours did you spend reacquainting	22	Q. Messages. You had had two telephones
23	yourself with the material with a view to	23	at the time, a work telephone and a personal
24	providing an accurate statement about it?	24	telephone.
25	A. Hundreds of hours.	25	A. Correct.
	Page 233		Page 235
1	Q. Were you able to look at or run searches	1	Q. When you retired in 2021 what happened
2	through the emails on your Outlook account?	2	to your work telephone?
3	A. Not at the beginning, no. This wasn't a -	3	A. I handed it in to - I'm pretty certain it
4	what was provided wasn't a link to my	4	was the IT officer of the RGP at the time.
5	previous work account. It was a standalone	5	Q. Did you expect that it would be wiped?
6	computer for security reasons and it had a	6	A. Yes, I did.
7	backup file of Outlook and the search facility	7	Q. And given to somebody else?
8	that was provided was a little bit clumsy, it	8	A. Yes.
9	wasn't very accurate. In fact, I think for the	9	Q. Did you have any way of accessing
10	first three or four, maybe fifth times that I	10	retrospectively the content of that telephone?
11	attended, emails weren't provided; they came	11	A. No.
12	afterwards. Emails and Outlook calendars.	12	Q. And you did not have a copy yourself.
13	Q. But after all of these attendances and	13	A. No. I couldn't have made a backup of the
14	these many hours of inspection, were you	14	work phone even if I'd wanted to because the
15	able to get the dates and details together for	15	work phones were set up with individual
16	you to make the statements that you made for	16	iCloud accounts and we were not given
17	the inquiry?	17	access to the password to access those
18	A. Yes.	18	accounts.
19	Q. Also did you have access to your Outlook	19	Q. In any event, as a retired officer you were
20	calendar?	20	no longer an officer of the RGP and had no
21	A. As I said before, not initially but later on,	21	right to access RGP material.
22	yes.	22	A. Correct.
23	Q. Because you had specifically been asked	23	Q. You had your personal telephone there.
24	to deal with anything you could say about	24	A. I did.
25	particular meetings on particular dates.	25	Q. And did you look through that to see if
	Page 234		Page 236

1 there were any messages that might be 1 with that. 2 relevant to what you had been asked to 2 MR SANTOS: You said the inquiry 3 disclose to the inquiry? 3 extended the date range that we were 4 4 A. Yes. interested in. 5 Q. And I think that Mr Triay may be able to 5 We were always interested in all relevant 6 help us just by looking at the index of our 6 WhatsApps, whatever date they were sent on. 7 bundle E. Am I right in thinking that at the 7 THE CHAIRMAN: Carry on. 8 same time in 2023 that you provided your 8 MR GIBBS: That is very helpful; thank 9 statement, you were able to send to the 9 you. (To the witness) The date range. You 10 inquiry the items 6, that is WhatsApps, 10 had chosen a starting date way back in 2019, 11 between yourself and Mr McGrail, although 11 had you not? 12 it is headed as having been provided in 2024. 12 A. That's correct, yes. 13 That I think was provided - am I right? - on 13 Q. And you had chosen a cut-off date of 9 14 15 June 2023. 14 June 2020. 15 15 A. That's correct. A. Correct. 16 16 Q. And then if we go down the page, did you Q. And that was because? 17 17 also --A. Mr McGrail left the force on that date. 18 THE CHAIRMAN: Item 10 perhaps? 18 Q. And the inquiry appeared to be about the 19 MR GIBBS: I think item 10 came later. I 19 reasons why --20 20 am going to come to that. (To the witness) A. He left prematurely. Q. Later the inquiry extended the date range 21 But the items in 2023, so long before our 21 22 22 hearing, items 23, 24 and 25, you also that you had chosen for yourself from 9 June 23 provided your WhatsApps on your personal 23 2020 to 30 June. 24 24 device between yourself and Mr Rocca, A. That is correct. 25 25 yourself and Mr Field and yourself and Mr Q. And did you then provide those extra Page 239 Page 237 1 Wyan. Is that right? 1 three weeks' worth? 2 A. That's correct. They were the people that 2 A. Yes, I did. 3 3 I was asked to specifically disclose Q. And that included, because during that 4 information with and meetings. 4 period Mr McGrail had got another new 5 5 Q. Just to explain what you mean by that, telephone, messages sent to that new 6 am I right in thinking that Mr Wyan, Mr 6 telephone. 7 Field, Mr Rocca and Mr McGrail were all 7 A. That is correct. 8 8 persons who had been named in the request Q. And those I think are the items that we 9 9 letter sent to you in 2022 by the inquiry? see, sir, at perhaps 10, 11 and 12. 10 10 A. That is correct. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. 11 Q. And, in fact, of the list of names in the 22 MR GIBBS: Thank you. (To the witness) 11 12 letter, those were the only ones which whom 12 When you were asked at the end of last year 13 you had WhatsApp messages. Is that right? 13 to look again at your WhatsApps on your 14 A. I think so. I think the other people I had 14 personal telephone with Mr McGrail and in 15 very little contact with, or any. 15 that extra period, were they all still there? 16 Q. And then later the inquiry extended the 16 A. Yes, they were. 17 date range that it was interested in, in having 17 Q. So you had a personal telephone. Was it WhatsApps about, so the date range you had 18 18 an Apple telephone? 19 chosen was -19 20 MR SANTOS: I think he never set a date. 20 Q. Was it the same handset that you had had 21 21 MR GIBBS: No, quite. back in 2020? 22 MR SANTOS: The only extension is the 22 A. No. 23 extension from the date range that Mr 23 Q. Had you updated the handset or got a 24 Richardson chose for himself. 24 fresh handset after 2020? 25 MR GIBBS: Quite. I am just going to deal 25 A. Yes, I had.

60 (Pages 237 to 240)

Page 238

Q. In fact, had you had two fresh handsets 1 ones. 2 since then? 2 Q. Good as gold. 3 A. Yes. 3 A. Yes. 4 4 Q. So your first replacement phone for your Q. Work phone. Your work phone you have 5 personal telephone was in which year? Do 5 not had since you retired. 6 6 you remember? A. That's correct. 7 A. I think I changed it halfway through, or 7 Q. And you volunteered I think to the 8 thereabouts, in 2020. I have the date written 8 inquiry before you ever gave evidence, 9 9 through me, that the message on 12 May down somewhere. 10 Q. So you get a first replacement phone, and 10 which there has been interest in must have 11 11 on your replacement phone were all your been sent on your work phone. 12 WhatsApps from the relevant period still 12 A. Yes. 13 there on your phone? 13 Q. And we can see, can we, from what we 14 14 A. Yes, otherwise I wouldn't have been able do not have on other phones that it must have 15 to disclose them. 15 been sent to Mr McGrail's work phone. 16 16 Q. No, quite. And were you able to read A. I'm assuming so, yes. 17 17 them all? Q. And in case it matters what you said in 18 A. Yes. 18 that message, do you remember what it was 19 Q. Had any of them disappeared or deleted 19 that you said? 20 20 themselves? A. No. I can remember what the message 21 A. Not that I know of. 21 was about but I can't --22 Q. After that, you changed your replacement 22 Q. What was it about? 23 phone, did you, to another phone. 23 A. We are going in, we are going to execute 24 A. Yes. Last year after the inquiry had 24 the warrant. 25 25 finished I traded my phone in, in New York Q. Why were you sending Mr McGrail a Page 241 Page 243 1 actually, and got another phone. 1 message? 2 Q. So you would then and now have a 2 A. Because he had asked me to let me(sic) 3 3 replacement for your replacement personal know just before we went into the --4 4 Q. Why had he asked you to let him know telephone. 5 5 A. Yes. just before you went into Hassans that you 6 Q. And are all your WhatsApps from the 6 were about to go into Hassans with a 7 relevant time still present on the telephone? 7 warrant? 8 A. Yes, they are. 8 A. Because he was going to brief the Chief 9 9 Q. None of them has disappeared. Minister about what we were doing, to deal 10 A. Not that I know of. 10 with whatever fallout came out from that. 11 Q. Or vanished or chosen to delete some for 11 O. And he had briefed the Chief Minister 12 itself and not to delete others. 12 before that. 13 A. Not that I know of. 13 A. No, I don't think so. 14 14 Q. So all still there. Did you, when you Q. So why did he want to brief the Chief 15 were updating your telephone or moving 15 Minister at the last moment before going in, 16 from one to another, have the assistance of an 16 as you understood it? 17 IT department from a large corporate entity 17 A. For reasons of operational integrity. 18 or were you doing it more simply than that? 18 Q. Did the message say words to the effect 19 19 A. No, I just went to the Apple shop and of: "Sir, I am going into Hassans"? 20 20 there was an offer in trading in phones which A. It would have been words along those 21 I didn't expect, and my wife and I both 21 lines, ves. 22 22 Q. Or: "Sir, Mark Wyan and I are going into traded in our phones. They were backed up 23 there and then by the person in the shop and 23 Hassans"? 24 24 an hour or two hours later we were handed A. Possibly. 25 25 Q. Or: "Sir, Mark --" our new phones after they'd wiped the old Page 242 Page 244

1 THE CHAIRMAN: With the warrant, which 1 been some messages there is another gap 2 2 you probably mentioned as well. between 30 April and 22 May. 3 3 A. Yes. He knew we were going with the A. Correct. 4 4 warrant. He knew beforehand. Q. It is that last gap only so far that you have 5 MR GIBBS: "Mark Wyan and I are going 5 been asked about but we have observed the 6 into Hassans with the warrant." 6 other often rather longer gaps beforehand. 7 A. I wouldn't have said "with the warrant" 7 Are you surprised that there are gaps in 8 8 periods when personal-to-personal is not because it was obvious that that was the 9 9 being messaged? reason he'd asked me to message him. 10 Q. Yes, all right. In case it matters, can you 10 A. No. 11 identify why the precise wording of that 11 MR SANTOS: I think if this exercise is 12 message might matter? 12 going to be done it should be done from the 13 A. I'm sorry, I haven't got a clue. 13 uncurated version, because there are some 14 THE CHAIRMAN: I do not think anyone 14 within those gaps, just for completeness sake, has suggested that the precise wording 15 which is at E264. The point is still valid but 15 16 matters. The relevance is that there is a call 16 it should be done - for example, there is not a 17 17 month's gap from 8 March until 9 April. we know about and it is not in anyone's 18 record. If you are investigating and the 18 THE CHAIRMAN: I think we should have 19 explanation given is from one work phone to 19 the answer to this. (To the witness) What is 20 20 the difference between this log and the one another. 21 MR GIBBS: Yes. (To the witness) Was it a 21 we have just been looking at? 22 MR SANTOS: One has been filtered for 22 call or a message? 23 23 A. No, it would have been a message. relevance. This is the complete log. I am not 24 Q. Gaps. Now, we were looking in the gaps. 24 suggesting that there are not gaps --25 25 Could we go to E251, please. This is the THE CHAIRMAN: No, no. Page 245 Page 247 1 record of your personal telephone to Mr 1 MR GIBBS: Let us deal with it. The McGrail's personal telephone and we can see 2 2 messages in red you have also disclosed. 3 3 where you began in May 2019. Let us go These are things that I think you would say 4 forward to 2020, please. For instance, there 4 plainly have nothing to do with the inquiry at 5 5 is a gap between 24 September 19 and 18 all. 6 February 2020 when there are no messages at 6 A. That's correct. 7 7 all between your personal telephone and Mr Q. But in case it matters let us just have a 8 8 McGrail's personal telephone. look. There is an attached video on the 13th 9 9 A. Yes, I can see that. and then on the 20th - no, I think not. Can 10 10 Q. A gap of five months nearly. Then there we take that off screen? (To the witness) Is 11 is one message on the 18th and then there is a this about visiting your sick mother in Spain? 11 12 gap between 19 February and 8 March. Am I 12 A. Yes. 13 right? 13 Q. Unless I am asked to deal with that... So 14 A. Uhuh. 14 far as you can tell, in relation to WhatsApp 15 Q. That is I think a 17-day gap. Then there 15 messages in your possession relevant to the is messages on the 9th and if we go to the inquiry, did you make, back in 2023, prompt 16 16 disclosure of them? 17 17 next page then between 9 March and 9 April 18 there is another gap when there are no 18 A. Yes. 19 19 MR SANTOS: Sir, I have no questions in messages. 20 20 further questions. The only thing is I have A. Correct. 21 21 been asked by Mr Wagner to point to E867 Q. Then between 9 April and 30 April there 22 which is the DPP's affidavit, and in 22 is another gap when there are no messages. 23 23 A. Correct. paragraph 5 at the top of that page is the 24 Q. And then if we go on further down that 24 DPP's account of the conversation. I will 25 page, we see after 30 April when there have 25 just read it out for the record. "There was a

Page 246

1	hairf comound discussion about why the DCD	1 1
1	brief general discussion about why the RGP	1 1
2	wanted to interview JL and I recall	
3	explaining that there were matters upon	
4	which JL needed to be asked questions and	
5	give his version of events or explanations if	
6	he could provide them. I would not have	
7	gone into any detail about operational	
8	matters. It was my view that the evidence	
9	against JL was substantially weaker than	
10	what I had seen in relation to the others that	
11	were alleged to have been involved and I	
12	might have said that but cannot explicitly	
13	recall." That is his account. The other thing	_
14	he says - what I was referring to earlier in	2
15	paragraph 7 where he said: "I do not know	
16	what the notes mean below the line break. I	
17	assume that they are notes by LV to himself,	
18		
	given the way they read", and he gives his	
19	reason.	
20	THE CHAIRMAN: I did not want the	
21	impression to be given, which I know Mr	
22	Wagner was not trying to create, that the	
23	DPP necessarily accepted what	
24	MR SANTOS: Yes.	
25	MR WAGNER: The part I showed Mr	
	Page 249	Page 251
	But the state of t	
1	Richardson accords with what he remembers	
2	as well. He said: "It is possible I would have	
3	said something to that effect." I do not think	
4		
	he disputes that bit. It is another section that	
5	he disputes that bit. It is another section that he disputes.	
5 6	he disputes that bit. It is another section that	
	he disputes that bit. It is another section that he disputes.	
6	he disputes that bit. It is another section that he disputes. MR SANTOS: That is a point we can look at	
6 7	he disputes that bit. It is another section that he disputes. MR SANTOS: That is a point we can look at the documents.	
6 7 8	he disputes that bit. It is another section that he disputes. MR SANTOS: That is a point we can look at the documents. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, okay.	
6 7 8 9	he disputes that bit. It is another section that he disputes. MR SANTOS: That is a point we can look at the documents. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, okay. MR SANTOS: Thank you. (To the witness) Thank you very much, Mr Richardson.	
6 7 8 9 10	he disputes that bit. It is another section that he disputes. MR SANTOS: That is a point we can look at the documents. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, okay. MR SANTOS: Thank you. (To the witness) Thank you very much, Mr Richardson. THE CHAIRMAN: (To the witness) Thank	
6 7 8 9 10 11 12	he disputes that bit. It is another section that he disputes. MR SANTOS: That is a point we can look at the documents. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, okay. MR SANTOS: Thank you. (To the witness) Thank you very much, Mr Richardson. THE CHAIRMAN: (To the witness) Thank you very much indeed for coming back. I am	
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	he disputes that bit. It is another section that he disputes. MR SANTOS: That is a point we can look at the documents. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, okay. MR SANTOS: Thank you. (To the witness) Thank you very much, Mr Richardson. THE CHAIRMAN: (To the witness) Thank you very much indeed for coming back. I am sorry we have had to trouble you again. I do	
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	he disputes that bit. It is another section that he disputes. MR SANTOS: That is a point we can look at the documents. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, okay. MR SANTOS: Thank you. (To the witness) Thank you very much, Mr Richardson. THE CHAIRMAN: (To the witness) Thank you very much indeed for coming back. I am sorry we have had to trouble you again. I do not anticipate we will trouble you again.	
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	he disputes that bit. It is another section that he disputes. MR SANTOS: That is a point we can look at the documents. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, okay. MR SANTOS: Thank you. (To the witness) Thank you very much, Mr Richardson. THE CHAIRMAN: (To the witness) Thank you very much indeed for coming back. I am sorry we have had to trouble you again. I do not anticipate we will trouble you again. (The witness withdrew)	
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	he disputes that bit. It is another section that he disputes. MR SANTOS: That is a point we can look at the documents. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, okay. MR SANTOS: Thank you. (To the witness) Thank you very much, Mr Richardson. THE CHAIRMAN: (To the witness) Thank you very much indeed for coming back. I am sorry we have had to trouble you again. I do not anticipate we will trouble you again. (The witness withdrew) THE CHAIRMAN: Tomorrow?	
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	he disputes that bit. It is another section that he disputes. MR SANTOS: That is a point we can look at the documents. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, okay. MR SANTOS: Thank you. (To the witness) Thank you very much, Mr Richardson. THE CHAIRMAN: (To the witness) Thank you very much indeed for coming back. I am sorry we have had to trouble you again. I do not anticipate we will trouble you again. (The witness withdrew) THE CHAIRMAN: Tomorrow? MR SANTOS: Closing submissions,.	
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	he disputes that bit. It is another section that he disputes. MR SANTOS: That is a point we can look at the documents. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, okay. MR SANTOS: Thank you. (To the witness) Thank you very much, Mr Richardson. THE CHAIRMAN: (To the witness) Thank you very much indeed for coming back. I am sorry we have had to trouble you again. I do not anticipate we will trouble you again. (The witness withdrew) THE CHAIRMAN: Tomorrow? MR SANTOS: Closing submissions,. THE CHAIRMAN: Closing submissions. It	
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	he disputes that bit. It is another section that he disputes. MR SANTOS: That is a point we can look at the documents. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, okay. MR SANTOS: Thank you. (To the witness) Thank you very much, Mr Richardson. THE CHAIRMAN: (To the witness) Thank you very much indeed for coming back. I am sorry we have had to trouble you again. I do not anticipate we will trouble you again. (The witness withdrew) THE CHAIRMAN: Tomorrow? MR SANTOS: Closing submissions,. THE CHAIRMAN: Closing submissions. It is obviously important that people keep to the	
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	he disputes that bit. It is another section that he disputes. MR SANTOS: That is a point we can look at the documents. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, okay. MR SANTOS: Thank you. (To the witness) Thank you very much, Mr Richardson. THE CHAIRMAN: (To the witness) Thank you very much indeed for coming back. I am sorry we have had to trouble you again. I do not anticipate we will trouble you again. (The witness withdrew) THE CHAIRMAN: Tomorrow? MR SANTOS: Closing submissions,. THE CHAIRMAN: Closing submissions. It is obviously important that people keep to the timetable because I do not particularly want	
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	he disputes that bit. It is another section that he disputes. MR SANTOS: That is a point we can look at the documents. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, okay. MR SANTOS: Thank you. (To the witness) Thank you very much, Mr Richardson. THE CHAIRMAN: (To the witness) Thank you very much indeed for coming back. I am sorry we have had to trouble you again. I do not anticipate we will trouble you again. (The witness withdrew) THE CHAIRMAN: Tomorrow? MR SANTOS: Closing submissions,. THE CHAIRMAN: Closing submissions. It is obviously important that people keep to the timetable because I do not particularly want to go over time tomorrow. Okay.	
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	he disputes that bit. It is another section that he disputes. MR SANTOS: That is a point we can look at the documents. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, okay. MR SANTOS: Thank you. (To the witness) Thank you very much, Mr Richardson. THE CHAIRMAN: (To the witness) Thank you very much indeed for coming back. I am sorry we have had to trouble you again. I do not anticipate we will trouble you again. (The witness withdrew) THE CHAIRMAN: Tomorrow? MR SANTOS: Closing submissions,. THE CHAIRMAN: Closing submissions. It is obviously important that people keep to the timetable because I do not particularly want to go over time tomorrow. Okay. MR SANTOS: Thank you, sir.	
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	he disputes that bit. It is another section that he disputes. MR SANTOS: That is a point we can look at the documents. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, okay. MR SANTOS: Thank you. (To the witness) Thank you very much, Mr Richardson. THE CHAIRMAN: (To the witness) Thank you very much indeed for coming back. I am sorry we have had to trouble you again. I do not anticipate we will trouble you again. (The witness withdrew) THE CHAIRMAN: Tomorrow? MR SANTOS: Closing submissions,. THE CHAIRMAN: Closing submissions. It is obviously important that people keep to the timetable because I do not particularly want to go over time tomorrow. Okay. MR SANTOS: Thank you, sir. (16.23)	
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	he disputes that bit. It is another section that he disputes. MR SANTOS: That is a point we can look at the documents. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, okay. MR SANTOS: Thank you. (To the witness) Thank you very much, Mr Richardson. THE CHAIRMAN: (To the witness) Thank you very much indeed for coming back. I am sorry we have had to trouble you again. I do not anticipate we will trouble you again. (The witness withdrew) THE CHAIRMAN: Tomorrow? MR SANTOS: Closing submissions,. THE CHAIRMAN: Closing submissions. It is obviously important that people keep to the timetable because I do not particularly want to go over time tomorrow. Okay. MR SANTOS: Thank you, sir.	
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	he disputes that bit. It is another section that he disputes. MR SANTOS: That is a point we can look at the documents. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, okay. MR SANTOS: Thank you. (To the witness) Thank you very much, Mr Richardson. THE CHAIRMAN: (To the witness) Thank you very much indeed for coming back. I am sorry we have had to trouble you again. I do not anticipate we will trouble you again. (The witness withdrew) THE CHAIRMAN: Tomorrow? MR SANTOS: Closing submissions,. THE CHAIRMAN: Closing submissions. It is obviously important that people keep to the timetable because I do not particularly want to go over time tomorrow. Okay. MR SANTOS: Thank you, sir. (16.23)	
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	he disputes that bit. It is another section that he disputes. MR SANTOS: That is a point we can look at the documents. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, okay. MR SANTOS: Thank you. (To the witness) Thank you very much, Mr Richardson. THE CHAIRMAN: (To the witness) Thank you very much indeed for coming back. I am sorry we have had to trouble you again. I do not anticipate we will trouble you again. (The witness withdrew) THE CHAIRMAN: Tomorrow? MR SANTOS: Closing submissions,. THE CHAIRMAN: Closing submissions. It is obviously important that people keep to the timetable because I do not particularly want to go over time tomorrow. Okay. MR SANTOS: Thank you, sir. (16.23) (Adjourned until 10.00 am, Friday, 11 April 2025)	
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	he disputes that bit. It is another section that he disputes. MR SANTOS: That is a point we can look at the documents. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, okay. MR SANTOS: Thank you. (To the witness) Thank you very much, Mr Richardson. THE CHAIRMAN: (To the witness) Thank you very much indeed for coming back. I am sorry we have had to trouble you again. I do not anticipate we will trouble you again. (The witness withdrew) THE CHAIRMAN: Tomorrow? MR SANTOS: Closing submissions,. THE CHAIRMAN: Closing submissions. It is obviously important that people keep to the timetable because I do not particularly want to go over time tomorrow. Okay. MR SANTOS: Thank you, sir. (16.23) (Adjourned until 10.00 am, Friday, 11 April	

	1	<u> </u>	1	<u> </u>
A	57:6 58:20 60:9	actions 66:13	agenda 173:14	163:8 177:4
a.m 202:20 203:14	60:11,12 61:14	80:18,20	ago 186:10 205:23	208:19 249:11
203:20	61:16,18 66:3,6,6	active 19:13 40:8	228:2	alleviate 78:12
A173 132:3	66:8,11 70:17	199:16	agonizingly 96:6	allocated 18:23
A9 4:22	139:3 141:13	activity 60:18,24	agree 3:23 11:12	allow 7:5 87:3
abandoned 223:24	153:6 154:5	actual 7:16 36:16	97:22 108:15	159:3 160:15
abided 76:5	155:3,4 184:23	167:19 224:6	121:25 122:2,4	allowed 14:25
ability 135:14	185:23 197:15	acutely 136:12	123:20 141:3	18:18 70:11,12
able 11:5 12:15	198:15 199:2	add 163:12	144:1 148:15	70:20,22 98:13
36:8 44:2 45:21	202:1,2 217:22	added 129:12,13	151:15 154:14	118:16 147:18
54:8 71:16 73:10	234:19 235:2	171:17	155:2,15,17,21	192:21 213:11
91:15 93:16	236:17,17,21	addition 58:5	205:14,18,20	235:17,18,21
94:18 107:14	accessed 3:9 59:14	114:6 170:7	206:24 207:9	allowing 70:16
112:6 117:19	59:15	additional 22:6	218:5 225:3	alluded 15:9 32:1
128:4 143:20	accessing 61:21	additions 219:8	agreed 44:6 92:19	49:18 136:21
145:6 159:9	236:9	address 32:12 64:3	158:16 180:3	alluding 34:12
160:9 192:19	accommodate	165:6 166:15	201:16 214:1	177:7
197:19,23 198:13	183:11	168:15	225:20,22 226:1	ally 218:1
203:8 226:20	accord 158:18	addressed 196:21	agreed(sic) 126:2	Alright 153:12
233:9 234:1,15	accords 250:1	addressing 2:15	agreement 103:4	161:13
237:5,9 241:14	account 16:11	143:13	agrees 109:24	alter 15:6
241:16	18:19,23 26:20	Adjourned 72:4	Ah 63:1	alternative 115:20
abruptly 56:8	42:25 43:11	250:24	ahead 83:3	amenable 94:13
absence 161:20	45:20 73:11	adjournment	aid 93:1	amount 214:16
162:8,14 201:10	192:22 225:4	115:25	aim 31:15	analogy 168:25
absent 121:19	230:9 233:10	admitted 73:8	aimed 32:15	analysed 61:9
137:8	234:2,5 248:24	advanced 111:23	aired 94:18	analysis 45:25
absolute 85:23,24	249:13	112:11 115:12	airfield 153:23	and/or 6:11
absolutely 7:12	accountability	advantage 98:4	154:17 155:6	101:17 173:1
16:13 22:11	24:8	120:23	airport 45:11	Android 23:12
58:16,16 131:14	accounts 16:25	adversaries 160:8	101:9 162:24	24:18 25:4
143:25	153:7,7,8 192:25	advice 22:12 90:4	albeit 7:14 11:16	angle 178:1
absorbed 138:10	236:16,18	172:16,17 216:22	73:7 95:14	angry 102:19
abuse 65:24	accurate 147:24	218:5	145:20 216:18	announced 38:25
229:19	157:19 219:21	advise 68:16 199:6	Alcaidessa 46:18	181:2 189:18,21
accept 12:16 14:15	220:1 228:25	advised 89:24	46:23	answer 24:6 30:9
15:6,8 33:21,24	233:24 234:9	advising 37:20	all-good-ish 216:5	41:11 59:25 61:9
47:16,16 156:23	accused 20:1 75:6	232:17	all-short-ish 216:6	63:18 97:7,8
156:24 157:4	105:25 204:16	affect 181:22	alle 171:24	101:5 113:7
161:11 180:5	accusing 65:24	affidavit 132:22	allegation 127:18	125:19 131:12
accepted 95:12	acknowledge 33:1 acquire 153:4	132:25 159:7 230:12 248:22	131:12 171:14	135:8 137:6 138:15 139:14
174:21 249:23	acquired 40:2	afternoon 183:12	178:5,9 232:21 allegations 17:14	141:9 150:24
accepting 96:1	acquired 40:2 act 15:18 32:8	222:17	18:8 36:23 87:9	151:6 157:10
118:3	33:13 118:13	AG 62:13 78:3	129:17 177:8,10	162:2 169:23
access 3:2 16:24	Acting 4:14	101:8	177:11	188:15 197:2
17:9,18,19 18:16	action 5:18 208:23	age 100:13	alleged 64:19	198:16 220:2
19:3,15 20:11	215:20 217:9	Agency 88:23	83:20 90:17	228:23 247:19
39:19 41:4,10	actioned 82:24	130:5	96:16 97:19	answered 91:17
43:9,13 44:7,17	actioned 02.27	150.5	70.10 77.17	answercu /1.1/
	l	l	l	l

				Page 255
120.5 0 140.24	annaintaa 106.10	01.2 6 226.12 14	agga wti vya 06.20	75.6 21 76.11
139:5,9 149:24	appointee 196:10	81:2,6 226:12,14	assertive 96:20	75:6,21 76:11
161:23 162:3 228:22	appreciate 6:17	arrested 87:12	assign 104:23	77:2 80:10 83:8
	11:19 12:5,6	arresting 79:25	assigned 105:24 assist 11:6 12:1	84:4 87:23
answering 17:18	71:19	170:2 arrival 92:6		142:15 172:20
28:7 144:25	approach 9:11		107:14 198:13	173:22 207:23
145:15	11:3,5 75:5	arrive 119:21	219:5	208:20
anticipate 250:14	82:21 85:19	arrived 120:21	assistance 20:18	attributed 56:4
anybody 35:5	144:11 148:22,22	arrives 120:1	242:16	audio 50:17 51:3
57:23 95:2,5	149:1 182:7	arriving 92:8	assisting 218:12	52:22,23,25 53:6
100:11 128:9,23	approached 230:3	arse 108:8	219:24	54:9,11 55:14
143:20 168:7,18	appropriate	artefacts 61:9	associate 178:17	60:25 61:3 62:24
181:22	166:23 167:7	articulate 123:24	associated 19:11	79:12
anybody's 36:11	195:23	124:7	assume 30:16	August 60:19
anyone's 245:17	approval 32:10	ascribed 26:20	43:25 249:17	Australia 107:7
anyway 73:25	approve 87:16	aside 135:12	assuming 228:25	authentic 73:14,15
118:23 176:1	approved 31:18	asked 15:12 24:5	243:16	author 102:22
apologies 24:25	approximately	34:8 38:14 55:16	assumption 21:7	authorities 38:19
68:8	173:4	55:16,24 57:1	199:10 229:2	authority 32:6
apologise 7:1	April 1:2 2:8,9	84:14,20 127:6	assured 12:25	82:17 89:3 98:1
100:15 101:4	48:14,17 49:25	128:18 149:20	astray 36:20	98:5 114:2
102:16	52:1 103:24	151:24 155:8,10	atmosphere	116:22 117:10
apologised 20:7	107:9 111:6	164:10 165:12	171:21	130:9
131:21	112:2,3,15 140:4	168:1 172:24	attached 69:20,25	automatic 21:7
apology 136:9	196:16,24 197:1	185:18 186:5,7	192:3 209:4	available 7:4
app 18:17 27:1	198:20 246:17,21	195:19 196:4	248:8	92:22
28:1	246:21,25 247:2	201:20 206:23	attachments 8:17	ave 170:11
apparent 6:16	247:17 250:24	228:24 232:23	65:16 221:11	avenue 90:7
81:1,3	area 103:14	234:23 237:2	235:16	avoid 181:13
apparently 102:2	117:13,24 130:7	238:3 240:12	attack 142:14	226:23
102:21	areas 224:22	244:2,4 245:9	144:3	Aw 86:10
appear 6:1 121:24	argument 96:17	247:5 248:13,21	attacking 145:1	aware 3:15,19,19
124:15 170:4,9	148:6	249:4	attacks 143:9	37:6,7 54:17,19
appeared 203:6	arguments 98:4	asking 10:19 36:15	145:11 148:17	54:23 55:18
239:18	124:7 229:19	47:21 49:22	attempt 231:11	61:21,24 62:12
appears 33:12	arisen 86:23	62:20 83:13	attempted 37:1	93:19 130:5,13
45:3 202:22	arises 87:8	97:12 114:2,2	attempting 5:15	136:13 148:12
Apple 152:15	arising 37:7	117:16 119:7	7:23	185:25 186:16,20
190:10 240:18	106:10 186:17	144:15 145:3	attend 202:4	215:13 216:2
242:19	arms 90:22	154:5 165:15	226:11 233:15	228:5,8
application 18:17	arrange 37:10	168:6 177:24,25	attendances	awareness 155:20
166:21,24 167:6	81:24 168:1	198:12 217:3	234:13	n
181:9 202:3	186:22 206:12,22	230:25	attended 50:12	$\frac{\mathbf{B}}{\mathbf{B}}$
applications 49:6	arranged 38:11,12	asks 68:20 147:12	194:2 234:11	b 2:16
applied 77:2 90:1	arrangement 59:3	aspect 84:18 91:10	attending 199:7	B1552 103:23
98:7	arrangements	152:21	attention 232:2	B5511 209:4
applies 146:23	68:16 233:8,13	aspects 6:8	Attias 201:21	B5757 209:2,3
147:23	arranging 82:8	assert 99:2,16	attitudes 136:24	B6060 227:7
apply 7:7	168:4 206:21	202:19 203:24	Attorney 4:4 5:12	back 16:23 17:20
appointed 187:3	arrest 80:2,5,6	asserted 9:9 75:3	23:3,6 50:18,19	34:5 35:6,12
				36:16 46:24
	-	-	-	-

				Page 254
47:24 55:8,9,21	basis 57:20 60:8	bigger 35:25,25	breach 16:22	C4920 42:1
56:3 75:23 91:5	75:20 83:22	Bin 61:7	33:12 82:18	C7002 55:9
98:11 104:12	117:18 118:7	bit 6:5 10:4 14:21	break 34:20	C7002 33.9 C7005 48:9
105:15 108:8	120:7 220:2	17:12 33:25 39:9	115:18 182:24	C7003 48.9 C7006 81:22
117:15,23 129:9	bathrooms 161:18	43:22 47:25	183:3,9 230:19	196:12 206:7
140:9 148:22	battling 108:6	67:16 70:7 81:4	230:25 249:16	C7007 55:11
171:7 173:19,20	Beach 101:10	101:6 102:14	breakdown 127:14	calendar 202:1
173:21,21 182:4	bear 41:6 161:4	111:1 158:10		234:20
190:11 192:19	208:24 228:16	166:5 187:23	brewing 110:15 Brian 102:20	calendars 234:12
190.11 192.19	bed 109:7	211:5,6 216:12	brief 75:24 122:6	call 7:18,19 22:10
219:8 225:1	beg 42:11 63:3,4	225:1 229:12	157:16,17 244:8	30:6,10,15 31:6
232:25 239:10	134:15,16 163:13	234:8 250:4	244:14 249:1	50:19 100:19
	166:2	bits 52:8		117:21 153:19
240:21 248:16 250:12		blank 102:22	briefed 172:4,5 244:11	
	began 22:18 246:3	bloopers 220:15		210:1,3,5 227:8,9 245:16,22
backdrop 86:25	beginning 106:21		briefings 66:14	,
86:25 87:2 backed 36:19 65:5	196:3 234:3 behalf 85:25	blown 52:9	208:5 briefly 38:24 40:9	called 9:16 12:8 37:25 38:23 51:8
		bluntly 137:23 body 121:19,19	brilliant 30:24	152:14 181:14
152:4,7,11 242:22	146:16 148:6,13 217:16	bold 33:15		
	behaviour 9:23		bring 36:16 76:17 151:14	182:2 211:10 212:9
background 17:23 81:5 87:2 104:18		bombarded 111:3	_	
	177:4 217:6,10 belief 1:20 74:19	book 72:24,25 73:6 211:23	British 102:6	calling 30:12 31:5 calls 2:4
backing 35:20	183:24	212:10,13 213:21	Britto 4:6 23:6 111:19 112:9	calis 2:4 calm 78:10
152:1,19 192:16		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
backing-up 151:24 backside 105:9	believe 8:14,19 9:13 31:23 50:11	214:9,21 215:23 231:14,18 235:3	113:17 114:1 Britto's 112:14	campaign 18:13 cancel 153:5
backup 234:7	59:15 63:23 65:2	231.14,16 233.3	broadly 181:11	cancel 133.3 candid 123:16
236:13	73:9 76:8 82:11	booked 170:20	broke 107:21	canula 123.10 canvassed 212:20
baddish 164:14	86:15 97:11	books 72:11 126:8	brought 91:14	canvasseu 212.20 capable 158:6
Baglietto 145:9,17	101:7 110:24	126:22 235:3,4,5	104:15 113:15	213:12
228:5,9 229:5,14	120:9 153:3,11	Borders 88:22	128:5	captain 88:23
232:3	believed 9:14	130:4	buddy 30:8 104:11	capture 32:17
Baglietto's 227:9	76:10	Boss 218:22,25	104:11 106:7,7	capture 32.17
227:16 228:10,16	benefit 103:22	bothered 20:23	165:13 205:12,13	cards 92:21
228:17 230:15	204:10	108:22	Bueno 42:10	care 151:18 201:6
ball 88:4	best 1:19 33:3 34:1	bottom 2:10 30:25	buffer 76:3	210:7
banter 106:3	68:16 81:15	33:9,16 48:13	build 94:12	career 84:20 126:9
Barker 56:16,25	83:19 96:25	62:16 68:1,23	build-up 79:5,11	126:12,21 179:18
57:2,11 60:10	98:14 157:21	69:16 74:24 75:8	bulk 202:7	careful 181:12,15
61:18	179:21 180:10,11	92:16 97:3	bumpy 12:12,12	caring 150:15
Barker's 60:8	183:23 216:18	106:21 108:21	bumpy 12.12,12 bundle 227:20,24	151:4,7,8
barrier 91:18	218:6 225:18,20	112:16 113:5	237:7	carried 19:14
barrister 187:2	better 14:10 28:9	114:23,23 223:4	bunker 4:25 50:10	80:21 87:13
base 87:11	30:20 47:17	bouncing 27:17	business 22:9	148:25 159:23
Based 121:7	78:10 115:3	173:12	57:20	carry 80:22 89:4
basic 25:22,24	143:7 220:3	boundaries 106:15	busyness 138:15	121:17 192:6
102:23	beyond 176:22,25	box 101:1,3 229:18	138:22	239:7
basically 43:20	182:16,16 195:24	bracket 170:14	buzzing 43:19	carrying 85:20
104:6 105:9	bias 181:21	Branch 178:10		87:17 128:9
107:21	big 86:9 114:25	brand 228:1	C	Caruana 116:2
107.21	2-8 0000 11 1120		C4491 74:25	
	<u> </u>		l	

126:19 131:13,17 185:11 236:3 certainly 11:10 131:24 138:21 236:3 certainly 11:10 131:24 136:3 serially 11:10 131:24 136:3 serially 11:10 131:24 136:3 serially 131:24 serially 131:25 serially 131:24 serially 131:25 serially					Page 255
132.6 138.21.25	126.10 121.12 17	195.11 226.2	ahallanga 25.2	ahaalaad 52-2 2 10	215.20 228.22
142:22 143:1,4,8 14:12 16:18 39:8 147:17 160:5 challenged 73:14 148:2 156:9 61:20 86:13 97:15 104:22 150:13 144:13 109:6 138:7 challenged 137:11 chief 4:2,3 5:11 chief 4:2,3 5:11			S		
144:24 146:11			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
1482 156.9 61:20 86:13 97:15 104:22 15:18 117:18 13:18 15:18 118:18 1				S	
157:25 158:3 97:15 104:22 151:18 117:12 162:20 167:21,24 125:13 144:13 105:7 23:25,50:11 105:7 23:25,50:11 105:7 23:25,13 23:25,50:11 105:7 23:25,13 23:25,50:11 105:7 23:25,13 23:25,50:11 105:7 23:25,13 23:25,50:11 105:7 23:25,13 23:25,50:11 105:7 23:25,13 23:25,50:11 105:7 23:25,13 23:25,50:11 105:7 23:25,50:11 105:7 23:25,50:11 105:7 23:25,50:11 105:7 23:25,50:11 105:7 23:25,50:11 105:7 23:25,50:11 105:7 23:25,50:11 105:7 23:25,50:11 105:7 23:25,50:11 105:7 23:25,50:11 105:7 105:20 128:13 4:5 10:61:22 56:4,5 75:4 20:15:22 17:5 250:17,18 20:15:22 17:5 250:17,18 20:17:5 22:15:5 20:17,18 20:17:5 22:15:5 20:17,18 20:17:5 22:15:5 20:17,18 20:17:5 22:15:5 20:17,18 20:17:5 22:15:5 20:17,18 20:17:5 22:15:5 20:17,18 20:17:5 22:15:5 20:17,18 20:17:5 250:17,18 20:17:5 22:15:5 20:17,18 20:17:5 250:17,18 20:17:5 250:17,18 20:17:5 250:17,18 20:17:5 250:17,18 20:17:5 250:17,18 20:17:5 250:17,18 20:17:5 250:17,18 20:17:5 250:17,18 20:17:5 250:17,18 20:17:5 250:17,18 20:17:5 250:17,18 20:17:5 250:17,18 20:17:5 250:17,18 20:17:5 250:17,18 20:17:5 250:17,18 20:17:5 250:17,18 20:17:5 250:17,18 20:		,	_		
160:13,17:162:18 115:18 117:12 160:13,17:162:18 125:13 144:13 173:12 161:15 169:2 105:7 73:24 91:9 97:25 83:28 87:15 88:3 161:3 61:15 169:2 215:3 144:13 161:3 169:2 228:8,13 228:8,13 238:87:15 88:3 248:17 109:17 220:8 249:17				-	
16:20 167-21,24			_	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
171:11 211:15			0 0	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
213:5,12,16 222:8,13 certainty 199:4 chairman 1:4,7 14:14 15:3 20:3 33:13 39:3 45:18 58:13,17,20,23 76:24 77:1,1 78:1,24 102:8 105:20 128:13 63:17 71:5,21,25 14:16 153:18 72:2 115:22 117:15,22 126:17 187:10,11 230:2 230:12 243:17 245:10 248:7 245:10 248:7 245:10 248:7 245:10 248:7 160:11,16 162:17 cart's 204:14 cart's 204:14 cart's 204:14 cart's 204:14 cart's 207:16 229:6,20 192:2 193:12 cars 29:20 29:20 29:3 1					_
222:8,13 case 9:8 12:4,4 chairman 1:4,7 chairman 2:4,7 chairman 2:4,7 chairman 3:4,7 chairman 3:4,7 chairman 3:4,7 chairman 3:4,7 case 9:8 12:4,4 chairman 1:4,7 chairman 3:4,7 case 9:8 12:4,4 chairman 1:4,7 case 9:3:20 case 3:20 case 3:2					
case 9:8 12:4,4					· ·
14:14 15:3 20:3 33:13 39:3 45:18 58:13,17,20,23 58:13,17,20,23 58:13,17,20,23 58:13,17,20,23 19:17 220:8 221:11,19 229:15 cloud 19:10 152:12,14 club 181:5,5,7,8 clumsy 234:8 c	*	· ·			
33:13 39:3 45:18 58:13,17,20,23 56:4,5 75:4 207:15,22 215:5 cloud 19:10 cl	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
76:24 77:1,1					- C
T8:1,24 102:8 59:24 60:3,5 63:17 71:5,21,25 141:16 153:18 72:2 115:22 193:5 241:7,22 165:9 178:20,21 117:15,22 126:17 187:10,11 230:2 131:11,15 138:23 220:7 245:10 248:7 144:21 146:8 220:7 casting 46:13 154:5 157:23 cat 204:13 158:1 159:3 220:14 240:10 243:17 10:12 catch 63:18 180:17 10:12 catch 63:18 180:17 10:12 caught 38:13 178:22 182:18,21 178:22 182:18,21 178:22 182:18,21 179:19 201:12,17 20:20:207:1 20:207:1			,	-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
105:20 128:13	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
141:16 153:18		,	U		-
165:9 178:20,21 117:15,22 126:17 187:10,11 230:2 131:11,15 138:23 220:7 78:6 changing 191:13 220:17 78:6 chilling 78:7 characterisation 205:14 characterise 204:13 154:5 157:23 cat 204:13 158:1 159:3 cat 204:14 160:11,16 162:17 167:1,4 168:24 catch 63:18 180:17 10:12 167:1,4 168:24 characterise 204:18 characterise 204:18 109:2 175:14,20 176:1 charged 193:2 chops 130:1 chose 238:24 chops 130:1 chose 238:24 chops 130:1 chose 238:24 chops 130:1 chose 238:19 chose 238:19 chose 238:19 chose 238:19 charge 79:20 charge 30:17 charged 193:2 chose 238:19 chose 238:24 chops 130:1 chose 238:19 cho				· · ·	
187:10,11 230:2 230:12 243:17 142:24 143:2,7 245:10 248:7 144:21 146:8 casting 46:13 154:5 157:23 characterise 130:25 chopse 45:13 cat 204:14 160:11,16 162:17 catalyst 9:8,19 162:19 163:11 167:1,4 168:24 166:11,16 162:17 167:1,4 168:24 166:188:7 170:19 172:24 175:14,20 176:1 caught 38:13 178:22 182:18,21 cause 93:20 129:3 182:24 183:5,9 129:4 229:6,20 192:2 193:12 chase 71:1 102:6 chat 6:19,21 7:2 causing 105:25 208:8,15 210:11 210:9 211:12,17 210:24 211:2 209:7 210:7 220:8,10 228:14 220:7 220:8,10 228:14 cautions 150:10 222:5,11 227:17 220:81,10 220:10 222:5,11 227:17 226:8,10 228:14 cautions 150:10 222:5,11 227:17 226:8,33:20 223:18 239:7 catem 18:20 38:8 247:18,25 249:20 central 7:14 146:1 certain 18:20 38:8 81:12 110:13 chairman's 103:22 48:11 49:13 475:6,666:3 70:8 colloquialism 200:11 check 13:23 41:23 48:11 49:13 475:6,666:3 70:8 colloquialism 200:12 colloquialism 200:11 check 13:23 41:23 characterise characterised characterised characterised (130:25 chopped 130:19 choose 85:13 chopse 45:13 chopped 130:19 choose 238:24 chopse 130:1 choose 238:19 205:25:25:16:10 chose 238:24 chopse 130:1 choose 238:19 205:25:25:16:10 chose 238:24 chopse 130:1 chose 238:19 205:25:25:16:10 chose 238:24 chopse 130:1 chose 238:19 205:25:25:16:10 chose 238:24 chopse 130:1 chose 238:19 205:25:25:16:10 chose 238:24 chosen 238:19 205:2			· ·		
230:12 243:17			0 0		
245:10 248:7		,			0
casting 46:13 154:5 157:23 characterise 130:25 Coast 130:4 Coast 130:4 cat 204:13 158:1 159:3 characterise 130:25 choose 85:13 Coastguard 88:22 catalyst 9:8,19 162:19 163:11 167:1,4 168:24 characterised chop 89:6 129:25 coincidences 72:23 10:12 catch 63:18 180:17 Cathal 108:7 169:5,8,12 charged 193:2 chops 130:1 coincidentally caught 38:13 170:19 172:24 Charlie 81:24 82:9 Charlie 81:24 82:9 chose 238:24 coincides 46:4 cause 93:20 129:3 182:24 183:5,9 206:12 239:10,13,22 239:10,13,22 221:13:14 cause 75:16 200:2 207:1 chat 6:19,21 7:2 216:20 229:16 Circulate 27:22,23 75:18 77:20 causing 105:25 208:8,15 210:11 23:11 24:3 29:22 216:20 229:16 circulate 27:22,23 79:15 131:23 10:9 211:12,17 210:7 213:4,10,15,17 77:18 132:18 15:10 135:12 147:1 149:22 226:8,10 228:14 221:4:1 217:5 156:22 163:7,17 156:22 163:7,17 209:11 227:25 228		-			
cat 204:13 158:1 159:3 224:18 choose 85:13 Coastguard 88:22 catalyst 9:8,19 162:19 163:11 167:1,4 168:24 characterised chopped 130:19 coincidences 72:23 10:12 cath 63:18 180:17 169:5,8,12 charged 193:2 chopped 130:19 coincidences 72:23 Cathal 108:7 170:19 172:24 Charles 64:13 chose 238:24 coincides 46:4 109:2 175:14,20 176:1 178:22 182:18,21 165:4 168:2 239:10,13,22 239:10,13,22 223:14 colleague 87:21 cause 93:20 129:3 182:24 183:5,9 165:4 168:2 239:10,13,22 242:11 colleague 87:21 cause 475:16 200:2 207:1 chase 71:1 102:6 Charles 61:12 Christian 216:18 24:21 32:1 53:4 caution 170:21 210:24 211:2 44:22 45:4,9,15 36:1 51:18 99:19 134:2 140:22 209:7 210:7 213:4,10,15,17 77:18 132:18 15:10 135:12 15:10 135:12 147:1 149:22 209:11 227:25 228:3,10 163:20,23 177:18 chats 30:5 131:23 168:15 189:3 154:1 156:7 156:22 163:7,17 claim					
cat's 204:14 160:11,16 162:17 characterised chop 89:6 129:25 coincide 155:3 catalyst 9:8,19 162:19 163:11 162:19 163:11 148:9 chopped 130:19 coincidences 72:23 catch 63:18 180:17 169:5,8,12 charged 193:2 chops 130:1 coincides 46:4 Cathal 108:7 170:19 172:24 Charlie 81:24 82:9 chose 238:24 coincides 46:4 caught 38:13 178:22 182:18,21 165:4 168:2 239:10,13,22 239:10,13,22 123:14 cause 93:20 129:3 182:24 183:5,9 206:12 242:11 Colleague 87:21 causing 105:25 208:8,15 210:11 23:11 24:3 29:22 Christian 216:18 24:21 32:1 53:4 caution 170:21 211:2,17 210:24 211:2 23:11 24:3 29:22 circulate 27:22,23 79:15 131:23 209:7 210:7 213:4,10,15,17 77:18 132:18 15:10 135:12 147:1 149:22 226:8,10 228:14 214:1 217:5 154:5 155:7,8,20 168:15 189:3 154:17,21 155:8 ceatious 150:10 222:5,11 227:17 163:20,23 177:18 civilian 58:7 collision 9:17	<u> </u>				
catalyst 9:8,19 162:19 163:11 148:9 chopped 130:19 coincidences 72:23 catch 63:18 180:17 169:5,8,12 169:5,8,12 charge 193:2 chops 130:1 coincidences 72:23 Cathal 108:7 170:19 172:24 175:14,20 176:1 Charlie 81:24 82:9 chose 238:24 coincides 46:4 109:2 175:14,20 176:1 178:22 182:18,21 165:4 168:2 239:10,13,22 colleague 87:21 cause 93:20 129:3 182:24 183:5,9 192:2 193:12 chase 71:1 102:6 Charlie 81:24 82:9 Chose 238:24 colleague 87:21 caused 75:16 200:2 207:1 chase 71:1 102:6 Charlie 81:24 82:9 Charlie 81:24 82:9 Chosen 238:19 239:10,13,22 242:11 colleagues 79:20 causing 105:25 208:8,15 210:11 200:2 207:1 chase 71:1 102:6 Charlie 81:24 82:9 Christian 216:18 24:21 32:1 53:4 caution 170:21 212:5,18,24 45:24 47:9 75:13 36:1 51:18 99:19 134:2 140:22 209:17 226:8,10 228:14 214:1 217:5 154:5 155:7,8,20 168:15 189:3 155:14 156:7 CB 225:10 2228:13 229:1					S
10:12		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		_	
catch 63:18 180:17 169:5,8,12 charged 193:2 chops 130:1 205:25 216:10 Cathal 108:7 170:19 172:24 Charles 64:13 chose 238:24 coincides 46:4 109:2 175:14,20 176:1 Charlie 81:24 82:9 chosen 238:19 colleague 87:21 cause 93:20 129:3 182:24 183:5,9 206:12 242:11 colleagues 19:20 caused 75:16 200:2 207:1 chat 6:19,21 7:2 216:20 229:16 75:18 77:20 causing 105:25 208:8,15 210:11 23:11 24:3 29:22 circulate 27:22,23 79:15 131:23 110:9 211:12,17 210:24 211:2 44:22 45:4,9,15 36:1 51:18 99:19 134:2 140:22 209:7 210:7 213:4,10,15,17 77:18 132:18 115:10 135:12 147:1 149:22 226:8,10 228:14 224:1 227:5 154:5 155:7,8,20 163:20,23 177:18 20:18 79:8 155:14 156:7 20:11 79:8 CB 225:10 228:13 229:1 200:18 20:18 30:5 155:21 156:3 155:12 67:1 87:19 20:18 65:9 10:11,1,3,24 cease 33:20 237:18 239:7 240:18 30:5 163:10 243:10 243:1					
Cathal 108:7 170:19 172:24 Charles 64:13 chose 238:24 coincides 46:4 109:2 175:14,20 176:1 178:22 182:18,21 178:22 182:18,21 178:22 182:18,21 165:4 168:2 239:10,13,22 239:10,13,22 123:14 colleague 87:21 129:4 229:6,20 192:2 193:12 206:12 chase 71:1 102:6 Christian 216:18 24:21 32:1 53:4 24:21 32:1 53:4 caused 75:16 200:2 207:1 208:8,15 210:11 23:11 24:3 29:22 23:11 24:3 29:22 75:18 77:20 75:18 77:20 75:18 77:20 75:18 77:20 75:18 77:20 75:18 77:20 75:18 77:20 75:18 77:20 75:18 77:20 75:18 131:23 110:9 21:112,17 210:24 211:2 44:22 45:4,9,15 45:24 47:9 75:13 36:1 51:18 99:19 134:2 140:22 132:9,14 133:21 132:9,14 133:21 132:9,14 133:21 132:9,14 133:21 147:1 149:22 147:1 149:22 147:1 149:22 155:12 163:7,17 168:15 189:3 154:17,21 155:8 154:17,21 155:8 154:17,21 155:8 154:17,21 155:8 155:14 156:7 156:22 163:7,17 163:20,23 177:18 163:20,23 177:18 161:21 162:8 155:12 156:3 161:21 162:8 155:2	-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u> </u>		
109:2		7 7		_	
caught 38:13 178:22 182:18,21 165:4 168:2 239:10,13,22 123:14 colleagues 19:20 129:4 229:6,20 192:2 193:12 chase 71:1 102:6 Christian 216:18 24:21 32:1 53:4 caused 75:16 200:2 207:1 chat 6:19,21 7:2 216:20 229:16 75:18 77:20 causing 105:25 208:8,15 210:11 23:11 24:3 29:22 circulate 27:22,23 79:15 131:23 110:9 211:12,17 210:24 211:2 44:22 45:4,9,15 36:1 51:18 99:19 134:2 140:22 209:7 210:7 213:4,10,15,17 77:18 132:18 115:10 135:12 147:1 149:22 226:8,10 228:14 214:1 217:5 154:5 155:7,8,20 168:15 189:3 154:17,21 155:8 cautious 150:10 222:5,11 227:17 156:22 163:7,17 civil 79:8 155:14 156:7 CB 225:10 228:13 229:1 200:18 claiming 176:24 claiming 176:24 cease 33:20 237:18 239:7 155:21 156:3 clarify 15:5 28:10 88:9 95:10 101:8 certain 18:20 38:8 247:18,25 249:20 163:10 classed 173:7 209:22,23 54:19,20 66:23 250:8,11,16,18 ch					
cause 93:20 129:3 182:24 183:5,9 206:12 242:11 colleagues 19:20 129:4 229:6,20 192:2 193:12 chase 71:1 102:6 Christian 216:18 24:21 32:1 53:4 caused 75:16 200:2 207:1 chat 6:19,21 7:2 216:20 229:16 75:18 77:20 causing 105:25 208:8,15 210:11 23:11 24:3 29:22 circulate 27:22,23 79:15 131:23 10:9 211:12,17 210:24 211:2 44:22 45:4,9,15 36:1 51:18 99:19 134:2 140:22 209:7 210:7 213:4,10,15,17 77:18 132:18 15:10 135:12 147:1 149:22 226:8,10 228:14 214:1 217:5 156:22 163:7,17 163:20,23 177:18 168:15 189:3 154:17,21 155:8 cautious 150:10 227:25 228:3,10 228:13 229:1 200:18 civil 79:8 155:14 156:7 CB 225:10 228:13 229:1 200:18 chats 30:5 131:23 clarified 134:10 88:9 95:10 101:8 central 7:14 146:1 240:10 245:1,14 161:21 162:8 65:9 105:4 171:9 certain 18:20 38:8 247:18,25 249:20 250:8,11,16,18 check 13:23 41:23 48:11 49:13 47:5,6 66:3 70:8		-			
129:4 229:6,20		· ·			
caused 75:16 200:2 207:1 chat 6:19,21 7:2 216:20 229:16 75:18 77:20 causing 105:25 208:8,15 210:11 23:11 24:3 29:22 circulate 27:22,23 79:15 131:23 110:9 211:12,17 210:24 211:2 44:22 45:4,9,15 circumstances 132:9,14 133:21 caution 170:21 213:4,10,15,17 77:18 132:18 36:1 51:18 99:19 134:2 140:22 209:7 210:7 213:4,10,15,17 77:18 132:18 15:10 135:12 147:1 149:22 cautious 150:10 222:5,11 227:17 156:22 163:7,17 civil 79:8 155:14 156:7 209:11 227:25 228:3,10 163:20,23 177:18 civil 79:8 155:14 156:7 CCTV 32:21 230:8,15,21 200:18 chats 30:5 131:23 clarified 134:10 88:9 95:10 101:8 central 7:14 146:1 240:10 245:1,14 161:21 162:8 65:9 105:4 171:9 certain 18:20 38:8 247:18,25 249:20 163:10 check 13:23 41:23 clear 39:13 45:7 colloquialism 54:19,20 66:23 250:8,11,16,18 48:11 49:13 47:5,6 66:3 70:8 204:11					
causing 105:25 208:8,15 210:11 23:11 24:3 29:22 circulate 27:22,23 79:15 131:23 110:9 211:12,17 210:24 211:2 44:22 45:4,9,15 circumstances 132:9,14 133:21 209:7 210:7 213:4,10,15,17 213:4,10,15,17 77:18 132:18 115:10 135:12 147:1 149:22 226:8,10 228:14 214:1 217:5 154:5 155:7,8,20 168:15 189:3 154:17,21 155:8 cautious 150:10 222:5,11 227:17 156:22 163:7,17 163:20,23 177:18 civil 79:8 155:14 156:7 209:11 227:25 228:3,10 163:20,23 177:18 civilian 58:7 collision 9:17 CB 225:10 228:13 229:1 200:18 clarified 134:10 88:9 95:10 101:8 cease 33:20 237:18 239:7 155:21 156:3 clarify 15:5 28:10 101:11,13,24 certain 18:20 38:8 247:18,25 249:20 163:10 classed 173:7 209:22,23 54:19,20 66:23 250:8,11,16,18 check 13:23 41:23 47:5,6 66:3 70:8 204:11	•				
110:9 211:12,17 210:24 211:2 44:22 45:4,9,15 circumstances 132:9,14 133:21 caution 170:21 212:5,18,24 45:24 47:9 75:13 36:1 51:18 99:19 134:2 140:22 209:7 210:7 213:4,10,15,17 77:18 132:18 115:10 135:12 147:1 149:22 226:8,10 228:14 214:1 217:5 154:5 155:7,8,20 168:15 189:3 154:17,21 155:8 cautious 150:10 222:5,11 227:17 156:22 163:7,17 civil 79:8 155:14 156:7 209:11 227:25 228:3,10 163:20,23 177:18 civilian 58:7 collision 9:17 CCTV 32:21 230:8,15,21 chats 30:5 131:23 clarified 134:10 88:9 95:10 101:8 cease 33:20 237:18 239:7 155:21 156:3 clarify 15:5 28:10 101:11,13,24 certain 18:20 38:8 247:18,25 249:20 163:10 classed 173:7 209:22,23 54:19,20 66:23 250:8,11,16,18 check 13:23 41:23 clear 39:13 45:7 colloquialism 81:12 110:13 chairman's 103:22 48:11 49:13 47:5,6 66:3 70:8 204:11			-		
caution 170:21 212:5,18,24 45:24 47:9 75:13 36:1 51:18 99:19 134:2 140:22 209:7 210:7 213:4,10,15,17 77:18 132:18 115:10 135:12 147:1 149:22 226:8,10 228:14 214:1 217:5 154:5 155:7,8,20 168:15 189:3 154:17,21 155:8 cautious 150:10 222:5,11 227:17 156:22 163:7,17 civil 79:8 155:14 156:7 209:11 227:25 228:3,10 163:20,23 177:18 civilian 58:7 collision 9:17 CB 225:10 228:13 229:1 200:18 clarified 134:10 88:9 95:10 101:8 cease 33:20 237:18 239:7 155:21 156:3 clarify 15:5 28:10 101:11,13,24 certain 18:20 38:8 247:18,25 249:20 163:10 classed 173:7 209:22,23 54:19,20 66:23 250:8,11,16,18 check 13:23 41:23 clear 39:13 45:7 209:22,23 81:12 110:13 chairman's 103:22 48:11 49:13 47:5,6 66:3 70:8 204:11	<u> </u>	•			
209:7 210:7 213:4,10,15,17 77:18 132:18 115:10 135:12 147:1 149:22 226:8,10 228:14 214:1 217:5 154:5 155:7,8,20 168:15 189:3 154:17,21 155:8 cautious 150:10 222:5,11 227:17 156:22 163:7,17 civil 79:8 155:14 156:7 209:11 227:25 228:3,10 163:20,23 177:18 civilian 58:7 collision 9:17 CCTV 32:21 230:8,15,21 230:8,15,21 chats 30:5 131:23 clarified 134:10 88:9 95:10 101:8 central 7:14 146:1 240:10 245:1,14 161:21 162:8 65:9 105:4 171:9 certain 18:20 38:8 247:18,25 249:20 163:10 classed 173:7 209:22,23 54:19,20 66:23 250:8,11,16,18 check 13:23 41:23 47:5,6 66:3 70:8 204:11	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		, ,		•
226:8,10 228:14 214:1 217:5 154:5 155:7,8,20 168:15 189:3 154:17,21 155:8 cautious 150:10 222:5,11 227:17 156:22 163:7,17 civil 79:8 155:14 156:7 209:11 227:25 228:3,10 163:20,23 177:18 civilian 58:7 collision 9:17 CB 225:10 228:13 229:1 200:18 claiming 176:24 51:12 67:1 87:19 CCTV 32:21 230:8,15,21 chats 30:5 131:23 clarified 134:10 88:9 95:10 101:8 central 7:14 146:1 240:10 245:1,14 161:21 162:8 65:9 105:4 171:9 certain 18:20 38:8 247:18,25 249:20 163:10 classed 173:7 209:22,23 54:19,20 66:23 250:8,11,16,18 check 13:23 41:23 clear 39:13 45:7 colloquialism 81:12 110:13 chairman's 103:22 48:11 49:13 47:5,6 66:3 70:8 204:11		· · ·			
cautious 150:10 222:5,11 227:17 156:22 163:7,17 civil 79:8 155:14 156:7 209:11 227:25 228:3,10 163:20,23 177:18 civilian 58:7 collision 9:17 CB 225:10 228:13 229:1 200:18 claiming 176:24 51:12 67:1 87:19 CCTV 32:21 230:8,15,21 chats 30:5 131:23 clarified 134:10 88:9 95:10 101:8 cease 33:20 237:18 239:7 155:21 156:3 clarify 15:5 28:10 101:11,13,24 certain 18:20 38:8 247:18,25 249:20 163:10 classed 173:7 209:22,23 54:19,20 66:23 250:8,11,16,18 check 13:23 41:23 clear 39:13 45:7 colloquialism 81:12 110:13 chairman's 103:22 48:11 49:13 47:5,6 66:3 70:8 204:11					
209:11 227:25 228:3,10 163:20,23 177:18 civilian 58:7 collision 9:17 CB 225:10 228:13 229:1 200:18 claiming 176:24 51:12 67:1 87:19 CCTV 32:21 230:8,15,21 chats 30:5 131:23 clarified 134:10 88:9 95:10 101:8 cease 33:20 237:18 239:7 155:21 156:3 clarify 15:5 28:10 101:11,13,24 certain 18:20 38:8 247:18,25 249:20 163:10 classed 173:7 209:22,23 54:19,20 66:23 250:8,11,16,18 check 13:23 41:23 clear 39:13 45:7 colloquialism 81:12 110:13 chairman's 103:22 48:11 49:13 47:5,6 66:3 70:8 204:11					,
CB 225:10 228:13 229:1 200:18 claiming 176:24 51:12 67:1 87:19 CCTV 32:21 230:8,15,21 chats 30:5 131:23 clarified 134:10 88:9 95:10 101:8 cease 33:20 237:18 239:7 155:21 156:3 clarify 15:5 28:10 101:11,13,24 certain 18:20 38:8 247:18,25 249:20 163:10 classed 173:7 209:22,23 54:19,20 66:23 250:8,11,16,18 check 13:23 41:23 clear 39:13 45:7 colloquialism 81:12 110:13 chairman's 103:22 48:11 49:13 47:5,6 66:3 70:8 204:11			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
CCTV 32:21 230:8,15,21 chats 30:5 131:23 clarified 134:10 88:9 95:10 101:8 cease 33:20 237:18 239:7 155:21 156:3 clarify 15:5 28:10 101:11,13,24 central 7:14 146:1 240:10 245:1,14 161:21 162:8 65:9 105:4 171:9 certain 18:20 38:8 247:18,25 249:20 163:10 classed 173:7 209:22,23 54:19,20 66:23 250:8,11,16,18 check 13:23 41:23 clear 39:13 45:7 colloquialism 81:12 110:13 chairman's 103:22 48:11 49:13 47:5,6 66:3 70:8 204:11		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•		
cease 33:20 237:18 239:7 155:21 156:3 clarify 15:5 28:10 101:11,13,24 central 7:14 146:1 240:10 245:1,14 161:21 162:8 65:9 105:4 171:9 certain 18:20 38:8 247:18,25 249:20 163:10 classed 173:7 209:22,23 54:19,20 66:23 250:8,11,16,18 chairman's 103:22 48:11 49:13 47:5,6 66:3 70:8 204:11				S	
central 7:14 146:1 240:10 245:1,14 161:21 162:8 65:9 105:4 171:9 certain 18:20 38:8 247:18,25 249:20 163:10 classed 173:7 209:22,23 54:19,20 66:23 250:8,11,16,18 check 13:23 41:23 clear 39:13 45:7 colloquialism 81:12 110:13 chairman's 103:22 48:11 49:13 47:5,6 66:3 70:8 204:11		, , ,			
certain 18:20 38:8 247:18,25 249:20 163:10 classed 173:7 209:22,23 54:19,20 66:23 250:8,11,16,18 check 13:23 41:23 clear 39:13 45:7 colloquialism 81:12 110:13 chairman's 103:22 48:11 49:13 47:5,6 66:3 70:8 204:11					
54:19,20 66:23 250:8,11,16,18 check 13:23 41:23 clear 39:13 45:7 colloquialism					
81:12 110:13 chairman's 103:22 48:11 49:13 47:5,6 66:3 70:8 204:11		-			-
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				_
134.14 143:12,13 103:1 204:10 147:8 91:18 93:3 combination 188:8				· ·	
	134.14 143:12,13	103.1 204:10	147.0	71.10 93.3	COMDINATION 188:8

				Page 250
come 2:9 9:4 37:18	communicating	75:19 78:8 79:2	Congratulations	contacted 20:17
59:20 80:9,11	52:16	84:19 90:11	216:13,16	56:24
85:20 91:21	communication	149:21 211:9,12	connected 73:20	contacting 29:6,8
95:17 104:24	1:24 27:19 49:20	227:4,5 229:6,20	226:24	contacts 110:15,16
111:14 161:11,13	124:5 162:11,16	concerned 26:16	connection 142:5	contain 45:4
219:4 225:17	184:3,5 197:17	64:16 73:2 79:18	connections 80:24	153:22
237:20	198:10,11	79:18,21 90:16	conscience 86:14	contained 18:10
comes 106:16	communications	106:5 110:10,14	conscious 25:8	18:11 65:16
110:1 140:16	5:10 15:23 17:10	110:19,22 122:8	133:19 155:12	68:12 83:21
146:19	22:13,25 28:16	149:25 151:16	199:13	132:19 140:8
comfortable 19:7	49:17 74:11,13	186:14 192:10,14	consequence 38:17	containing 56:20
200:8	133:15 145:25	207:20 227:1	136:13	contains 156:23
comforting 110:7	155:13 197:10,21	232:9,10	consequences	contaminated 27:3
coming 28:6 81:10	201:1,3	concerning 206:1	38:16 80:18,20	contemplating
88:11 105:22	community 98:17	concerns 36:22	81:15 88:16	85:3
110:3 121:13,23	110:16,17	77:20 78:12,18	consequential	contemporaneous
122:5 127:11,13	competed 26:4	94:19 115:6	129:8	73:12 113:18
174:15 250:12	competition 180:1	137:10 169:16	consider 9:5 35:25	233:4
Commadore 88:25	complain 29:3	176:3 207:14	41:8 45:4 83:16	contend 95:15
command 27:4,21	complaint 17:24	209:23 211:17,21	98:16 139:11,16	content 7:21,22
78:6 79:19 101:4	179:4,10	215:14,16 224:19	175:1 176:22	75:23 210:11,13
190:4	complete 11:1	conclude 194:16	188:6	236:10
commenced 185:3	100:20 247:23	196:19	consideration	contentious 5:16
comment 32:4	completely 26:2	conclusion 37:19	82:15,20,23	contents 1:18 35:6
101:15 106:16	110:2,3 123:4	161:14	83:14 148:8	39:2 48:1 79:13
146:23 230:16	124:3 136:23	conducted 47:11	considerations	174:14 183:22
comments 103:1	138:11 147:6	77:14	165:11 174:2	contest 95:15
182:5	157:15 204:23	conducting 214:20	considered 13:20	context 15:1 37:22
Commission 89:2	215:21	confidence 82:19	13:22 44:11	99:8,16 122:7
commissioner	completeness	86:21 93:19 94:8	92:22 93:1 187:8	157:11 161:5
33:11 34:10 58:5	247:14	94:11,20,22	187:16 189:12	continue 81:16
67:18 124:10	completing 77:6	123:13,17,23	193:8 194:5	128:5
128:22 168:12	complicate 100:20	158:20 159:10	217:21	continued 22:23
176:20 177:7	complicating	209:20	considering 175:6	23:20 33:2 57:8
179:14 180:13	204:15	confidential	consisted 133:11	continuing 33:24
194:8 204:22	complication	222:12	consistent 119:22	141:4
208:12 209:19	104:25	confirm 1:16,22	consistently	continuity 29:21
223:21	complying 33:22	6:20 21:11 68:7	122:24	continuity's 24:11
commissioner's	34:2	69:24 70:1 113:2	conspiracy 64:16	contradicted
58:4 148:7	comprehensive	122:3 126:7	64:18,19,20,22	18:11
commissioning	186:25	183:19	constant 110:12	contrary 149:12
109:4	compromising	confirmed 57:2	110:12	contributed 12:10
committing 74:16	219:3 220:12,17	101:24 102:1,3	constantly 43:19	195:25
common 39:15	220:18,20,23	102:11 119:16	constraints 111:11	control 2:20
commonsense	221:5,17 222:9	confirming 177:7	contact 5:21 23:4	175:11 195:5
91:21	computer 17:10	conflating 129:11	23:15 180:20	controversial
communicate 1:25	56:10 234:6	conflict 181:21	181:13 184:15	189:3
communicated	con 149:10	confused 146:9	189:4 203:6	convenience 23:18
29:15,16	concern 16:11	confusing 70:7	238:15	24:10 26:12
	1	1	1	ı

				1 age 251
convenient 71:22	154:6 155:24	206:15	crystal 88:4	92:17 95:22
conversation 49:8	162:19,22,24	courtesy 38:15	CTI 108:2	106:24 118:19
50:3 51:4,4,6	163:12,13,14,22	courts 76:25 160:6	Culligan 4:15	126:8,22 150:11
53:7,18,21,22	190:1,16 191:20	cover 31:15 92:3	curated 193:18,21	151:2 152:6
54:3,14,18	199:25 208:17	95:11 133:3	cursor 223:10	155:9 161:3
104:12 105:15	219:19 225:24	218:8	curve 8:19 39:11	170:3,20 202:25
104.12 103.13	233:1,7,11	covered 10:3 11:7	cut 71:1 196:2	211:23 212:10,13
202:22,24 230:9	235:25 236:22	64:17 84:12	cut /1.1 190.2 cut-off 239:13	213:21 214:9,21
230:14 248:24	237:15 238:2,10	126:6 133:2	cutoff 195:21	215:23 219:6,15
conversations 28:4	239:12,15,24	159:6 164:1	Cuton 195.21	223:12,12,14,16
47:8,11,14 82:17	240:7 243:6	172:10		223:16,19 224:4
136:24 161:18	246:20,23 247:3	covering 6:8 195:7	D2319 113:14	224:15,15 231:14
173:10 188:12	248:6	covers 208:14	daily 57:19 187:19	231:18 235:2,3,4
convince 106:18	corrected 13:15	covert 149:14	207:19 208:11	· · ·
			212:10 214:8	235:5,6 246:15
convo 82:5 166:10 206:19	153:4	Covid 4:24 27:9,16	damage 108:14,16	daybook 187:19
	correlation 88:19	94:25 105:8	dare 38:22 128:15	188:11 189:2
cooperate 2:13	correlations	196:8	Darren 4:3	193:10,13,14
cooperation 182:8	137:14	CP's 12:3,4	data 3:9,13 16:22	208:9,10,11,14
COP 209:18	correspondence	CPs 201:11	31:16 32:17,24	208:25
cope 226:20	28:15 68:5,6	CR 228:19 229:15	33:10,12 44:7	daybooks 126:4,15
copied 66:10 69:1	111:18 112:7	create 249:22	67:20 68:2,11,17	days 54:14 92:8
225:10	132:14 133:14,20	created 61:10	69:1,2 201:17,18	126:13,14
copies 67:10,14	166:25 171:7	192:9	217:22	DC 55:17,24 56:18
68:7 69:2,19	224:13	creating 68:8	date 36:9 44:4	de-conflicting
70:10,13 126:17	corresponding	credibility 178:7	46:10 60:21,23	116:19
126:20 151:17	24:1,10	credibly 148:16	61:5 62:17 84:15	deactivated 16:25
235:12,15	corridors 161:19	crime 87:9 134:21	97:5 102:22	17:3 18:19
Coping 89:13	corruption 90:12	134:22	111:25,25 112:21	deal 45:1 79:7
copy 65:18,22	counsel 172:11	criminal 9:7 37:22	169:21 195:19,21	85:17,19 91:4,8
66:23 68:21,24	182:23 185:16	64:16,17 75:7	238:17,18,20,23	201:24 225:18
69:21,24 70:2	200:23 217:4	78:3 84:6 142:5	239:3,6,9,10,13	234:24 238:25
71:3 72:10,16	229:11	165:9 177:4	239:17,21 241:8	244:9 248:1,13
139:20,22,24	counsel's 74:9	187:3 189:13	dated 2:9 55:5	dealing 17:13
140:11 149:20	counsels 138:6	crisis 17:13	86:20 171:4	101:21 203:4
236:12	count 110:1	critical 101:19	dates 48:19 155:3	214:14,15
core 11:20	country 49:1	criticisms 111:3	195:19 226:1	dealings 132:15,20
Cornelio 193:1	couple 33:8 39:18	crook 89:22	233:4 234:15,25	deals 228:24
Coroner's 205:24	44:15 72:6 115:3	cross 30:21,21	daughter 40:6	dealt 56:16 100:1
206:5	115:8 116:4	103:18 140:21	Davis 4:14	death 104:2,3,23
corporate 99:1,4	169:10 189:5	151:8	dawn 141:17	105:18,25 203:5
100:4 122:17,18	202:12	cross-examined	dawned 143:14	203:6 205:25
192:20 202:18	course 3:19 9:4	138:3 213:5	172:15	debate 70:8
203:3,10,13	13:24 36:1 92:19	222:16	day 9:25 36:16	decades 85:6
204:2,4 242:17	134:1 143:1	crossed 35:19	42:15 43:7,10,12	179:16,17
Corps 57:17	147:3 167:25	Crown 85:7	43:15 44:5,24	December 48:5
correct 5:3 46:2	169:24 208:23	crucial 27:8	64:8,12 67:8	137:19 185:8
104:13 118:25	210:18 214:22	Cruz 69:3,7 169:6	72:11,24 73:6	190:8,9
132:21 134:18,22	231:24	169:8,13 212:24	75:22 76:8 82:13	decide 8:2 166:20
143:21 153:24	court 17:15 82:2	213:2	84:13 87:20 92:8	169:1
			07.13 07.20 32.0	

				1 480 200
decided 157:7	64:1 106:23	175:25 179:13,20	25:23,24 26:25	203:12
158:7 160:20	107:1,6,7	180:12 205:21	29:16,20,23,24	dig 112:6
decision 66:19	Delhi 9:18,19 11:1	227:3	32:20 33:2,14	digital 59:13 65:21
84:10 90:10 97:6	37:12,14,16 38:5	described 106:3,3	34:6 39:17,23	65:21
97:21 120:20	38:21 49:5,9	193:21	40:12,14,16 43:1	digitally 44:2
146:13 163:5	50:7,21,24 51:13	description 66:17	47:15 48:2 59:5	dignified 95:24
199:14	51:14,16,23 52:2	77:23	184:10,11,11,12	96:2 97:2 160:22
decisions 100:25	52:3 64:20,20,22	designed 32:22	184:23,24 185:20	dignity 161:8
declined 206:25	64:25 65:1,14	desire 90:25	185:23 190:6,15	dilemma 118:14
207:2	66:2,4,8,16 67:21	desk 45:7 153:17	191:4,5,8 194:12	dinner 181:5
deconflict 91:15	74:23 76:10 83:7	desktop 72:14	194:17,19,22,24	dinosaur 67:16
115:6	83:17 88:8 94:2	73:17,18	195:2 196:12	dipped 17:25 18:6
deconflicting	95:14 128:11	desperate 105:22	197:14,16,24	direct 13:25 29:12
92:25	141:25 149:17	desperation 82:25	198:10,15 199:9	107:16 210:22
deconfliction	163:3 165:9	despite 150:10	199:11,12,14	directed 66:9
92:11	167:8 168:8	163:16	200:15,18,19	155:18
dedicated 135:16	186:24 187:2	destroy 17:24 64:6	237:24	direction 34:13
deduced 174:19	188:2,17,22,24	destroyed 65:8	devices 18:25 21:7	64:7 122:11
194:18	193:5 198:19	71:5,6,7	23:13 25:1,9	174:6
deemed 3:17	199:21 200:9	destroying 70:3	26:14 30:1 31:16	directly 101:10
deeply 141:18	207:16 217:8	detail 14:21 99:17	32:15,16,23	141:1
defamatory 178:5	224:10,11 232:4	181:3 207:10	33:19 38:6 47:4	disagree 21:16
defence's 187:11	235:3,6	249:7	47:7,12,25 133:6	72:1 97:22,23
defend 17:15	deliberate 14:13	details 177:14	184:7,8,9 185:25	disagreed 180:7
129:2	181:16	201:22 228:23	190:23 191:1	disagreements
defendant's	deliberately 15:22	233:5 234:15	192:19,21 197:12	109:9
229:11	16:10	detective 134:20	197:17 225:5	disappeared 147:4
defendants 142:1	deliberations	146:12	DeVincenzi 50:15	241:19 242:9
defended 159:24	174:18	deteriorate 94:21	deviousness	disappearing 20:2
defer 92:5	delivering 94:25	determination	144:13	disappointed
deference 172:19	demonstrate 83:16	123:2	di 150:19	110:25
definitely 22:3	103:4 131:2,3	determine 166:21	dictating 225:1	disappointment
24:7 38:8 112:5	DENI 214:18	determined 25:10	difference 54:10	13:3 103:5
112:5 168:14	departed 61:12	122:13 195:18	97:21 175:19	discharge 81:18
208:21	department	detracted 138:13	247:20	93:17 127:8,12
definition 168:20	242:17	develop 24:19	differences 180:2	disciplinary 79:8
221:17	departure 36:2	66:20	different 16:2 26:2	disclose 3:16 5:4
degree 81:12	38:24 43:8 62:11	developed 100:12	26:2,19 29:5	6:18 7:6 13:25
delete 61:15 63:10	71:11 72:18	106:13 179:19	139:18 145:20	40:12,20 52:24
242:11,12	176:18 189:4,8	206:6	147:6 192:24,25	132:19 136:20
deleted 11:15 61:3	deprive 232:19	developing 3:10	192:25 204:23	139:24 140:11
61:6 62:24 63:6	deprived 232:5	development	215:21 230:18	154:18 167:15
63:24 71:2 107:1	deputy 4:15 123:7	103:13	difficult 35:15	188:4 196:4
147:5 241:19	123:13	developments	85:17 150:21	201:4 237:3
deleting 20:2	describe 18:1	136:25	151:12 159:8	238:3 241:15
61:24	66:22 68:25	device 18:3,4,5,24	171:25 182:14	disclosed 3:14
deletion 60:25	73:18 75:14 78:4	19:4,14 21:14,17	192:23 235:20	8:23 12:18 23:23
62:12	82:25 89:20	21:22 22:7,10,16	difficulties 35:16	28:16 40:10,19
deletions 62:22	93:15 100:17	22:17,22 25:22	difficulty 93:16	48:3 62:5 72:9

				1 age 237
74:11,13 111:18	104:1 105:17	doorstep 38:10	E1114 176:4	129:8 130:1
126:1 131:21	104:1 103:17	doubt 85:4 157:20	E1114 176:4 E114 176:3	157:8 213:20
	210:14	158:13	E114 170.3 E251 30:24 245:25	220:22 226:11
132:8,16 133:11 134:1 136:14			E251 30:24 243:23 E253 166:1	244:18 250:3
	discussion 52:9,13	doubting 147:13 148:14	E256 40:9 41:12	
145:10 148:19	192:17 205:5	DPP 4:16 62:14	E250 40:9 41:12 E257 22:14 34:7	effectively 96:1 103:4 223:12
176:9 186:4	208:21 228:9 249:1		E257 22:14 34:7 E259 6:1	
187:13 195:9,14 196:14 200:25	-	84:5 87:22 167:16 208:20	E264 247:15	effort 182:10,12
	discussions 52:3,6		E319 31:14	eight 17:21
201:2,7,13,15 227:15 228:2	174:19 207:14	216:15 227:19,24		eighth 5:24 64:5
248:2	228:7 disinvited 181:4	228:5,7,8 229:4 229:25 249:23	E48 56:18 E502 98:19 202:10	either 6:19 12:3
				30:17 49:12 73:1
disclosing 133:25	disk 65:4	DPP's 228:11	E508 120:25	74:3 83:12 84:3
142:16 195:4	dispose 131:7,9	230:8,12,12	E511 102:13	87:20 89:21
disclosure 2:8,11	disposed 131:4	248:22,24	E5111 102:13	94:20 112:7
3:7,8,12 7:25	disprove 12:3	Dr 4:6 23:6 113:17	E629 103:23	el 104:3 202:17
11:25 16:14 30:5	dispute 57:14,22	drafting 14:13	204:18 F674.112:15	elaborate 25:18
37:9 44:15 46:25	146:20 167:2	147:9 218:17	E674 112:15	133:9 157:9,10
69:20 70:1 72:7	176:23	219:22	E717 74:24	elapsed 176:17
74:22 141:5	disputes 250:4,5	draw 137:14	E742 75:8	electronic 2:17
148:23 182:8	disputing 99:7	drawer 153:17	E745 79:22 169:20	33:19 65:11,15
185:19 186:18	distinction 142:8	drawing 232:2	E747 86:1	68:11 72:10
187:1,6,9,21	distinctly 172:4	drawn 49:10 88:20	E751 89:8	electronically
188:21,23 189:13	distracted 73:5	dressing 207:21	E756 92:15	65:18 113:11
193:9,10,16	Division 134:22	drive 34:25 35:3,3	E764 95:20	element 75:18
194:13 195:7	divulge 177:9	45:8 63:9,12	E767 114:21	Elgia 158:13
200:24 201:17,18	document 61:7	65:5,6,8,12 67:19	E784 116:25	email 25:15 38:1
248:17	71:17 113:23	68:10,13,17,22	E833 54:25	41:15,21,24 42:2
disconnected	227:21	68:25 69:5,19	E844 224:22	42:5,12,19,20,22
42:24 43:25	documents 2:16	153:21	E849 216:7,8	42:25 43:11 50:4
73:22	2:18,18 3:16	drop 134:9 156:14	E850 218:19	84:22 112:8,21
discontent 77:22	11:22 12:1,1	dropped 134:6	E867 248:21	113:1,16,21
94:17	37:9 44:11 64:4	drops 140:14	E95 69:14,15	171:7 233:10
discontinue 25:10	64:6 65:12,17	due 9:4 13:24	E97 68:19	Emailed 224:25
discontinued 19:1	67:3,22 68:4	92:18 162:14	E99 34:23 67:18	emails 2:3,18
27:6 45:19,25	69:6,11,19,22,25	230:19	earlier 34:11 61:5	24:20 26:7,9,13
142:9,10	70:2,3,5 71:3,7	duties 57:9 58:9	62:17 91:24	26:15 35:10
discovered 45:8	71:10 72:11,16	69:17	114:22 174:8	36:18 43:9,13,23
56:15	149:16 233:4,16	duty 37:9 127:8	185:21 193:3	49:9,14 52:18
discreetness 63:22	235:9 250:7	128:8 186:18	249:14	60:13 65:4,15,16
discrepancy 55:17	doing 27:24 92:25	dynamic 101:16	early 57:4,9 84:10	65:17 66:9 67:4
discuss 52:1	121:20,22 131:15	101:20	84:22 97:6 117:6	84:25 91:16
discussed 52:21	143:9 144:4	dynamics 109:6	118:11	133:11,12 141:25
69:8,9 72:17	160:11 184:13		easier 67:15 108:2	142:4 147:8,11
97:10 113:23	194:10 204:16	E 44 10 22 227 7	227:11	149:20 151:17,24
136:25 192:13	232:20 242:18	E 44:19,22 237:7	east 101:9	180:22,25 202:2
211:17 212:7	244:9	E1085 24:23 32:13	easy 12:11	234:2,11,12
214:8	door 7:24 98:8	E1102 111:5	Ed 4:14	235:16
discussing 10:17	118:12 119:3	E1106 93:4 157:13	edge 78:11	embarrassment
17:7 103:25	150:15	E1108 180:21	effect 25:1 30:1	13:4
		E1111 180:24		
	=	-	-	-

				1 age 200
embroiling 5:17	equipment 17:10	136:6,12,15	72:24 95:6,7,9	218:18
émigré 96:17	error 32:25	137:10 138:3,11	101:2 116:23	exist 141:12
emotions 77:19	especially 23:5	140:23 145:23	129:23 130:3	existed 137:21
emphasis 98:13	27:21	147:12 149:4	149:11 153:2	192:7
201:10	essence 14:21	150:11,14 155:19	167:16 198:20,22	existent 180:16,18
emphasised	24:10 77:22	157:2,8 159:6,7	199:21 208:11	existing 155:21
200:23	162:17,22 177:11	161:16 162:5	247:16	exit 95:24 96:3
emphasize 141:19	establish 79:9	167:19 171:12	examples 78:2	160:23
employment 88:19	173:2 198:8	172:3 190:1	Excellency 23:1	expand 24:22
130:20,23,25	established 99:20	192:1 193:16	excellent 213:15	expect 27:19 124:5
encapsulates	178:19	201:1,3 207:12	exchange 29:12	127:19 173:20
171:21	Etcetera 202:19	217:13,25 218:14	42:1,2,6 48:21	236:5 242:21
encountering	evening 30:6 31:5	221:4 227:14,16	51:4 68:19 69:12	expectation 76:19
35:16	41:14 84:25	229:17 231:25	89:10 103:25	116:24
encouragement	117:3 223:13	243:8 249:8	104:13 114:16	expectations 90:7
169:9	event 111:14	evident 80:19	169:15,19 170:6	110:17
endeavoured	236:19	103:9 119:13	170:8,24 180:22	expected 11:20
201:4	events 7:17,21	127:24	180:24 198:5	45:16 96:19
ended 51:18	75:16 200:10	evidential 32:17	202:11,14 218:20	186:13
ended 31.18 endorsed 31:17,24	217:8 249:5	38:21	exchanged 197:13	expecting 77:9,16
32:4	eventually 17:20	evidently 6:15	198:3,6 217:19	78:14 108:25
energy 103:20	70:17 74:3 81:17	27:7 43:12 45:20	exchanges 6:10,18	experience 32:18
0.	95:11 216:9	54:5 74:15 99:11	6:22 13:19 14:20	39:9 134:25
engagements 106:11	everybody 12:7	evolved 6:13	27:10,15 40:13	135:9
enhance 14:23	32:3 79:18 91:3	evolved 0.13 evolving 9:2 120:6	40:20 48:10	expert 90:3
enjoyed 216:20	108:24 109:11	136:5,6	49:14 51:22 55:2	experts 56:10
enjoyeu 210.20 enjoying 12:23	145:9	ex- 57:16	83:5 113:19	expire 225:6
110:6	evidence 1:16 2:7	ex-Commissioner	133:4 169:20	explice 223.0 explain 5:24 22:16
enquiries 56:24	2:11 3:10,14,22	1:6	171:18	55:17,24 60:19
87:13,14,16	4:19 6:7,12 7:8	ex-stipendiary	exchanging 52:18	75:13 91:16
229:19	7:15 8:5 9:1,11	106:10	exclude 14:14	94:15 111:8
ensure 76:4 114:6	9:20 10:3 11:18	exactly 14:8 42:16	excuse 20:6 41:6	112:13 129:7
entered 76:24	11:22,24 13:8	45:12 54:15 78:7	223:5	135:5,7 138:10
entire 126:9,12,14	14:9 15:12 16:11	103:16 112:24	execute 5:2,15	152:24 159:1
126:21	18:7,11 21:9	123:15 129:6	37:1 194:3	160:21 172:2
entirely 17:11	22:3 29:11 33:21	139:14 146:3	231:11 243:23	196:22 217:9
169:4	36:4 38:4 40:7	150:10 151:1	executed 199:17	238:5
entirety 21:13	41:3 53:11 54:8	220:25 223:18	execution 141:21	explained 72:22
entity 242:17	54:16 56:17	229:23	142:2	74:19 78:14
entries 208:10	60:21,24 63:23	exaggerated	executive 43:18	79:13 109:17
entry 193:11,13,13	64:5,11,12 65:7	123:19	88:21 89:1 130:4	135:13 157:11
208:8,13,25	70:19 71:2 72:25	examination 6:20	exercise 12:2 13:7	158:24 165:5,17
209:11 210:10	73:4,6 74:12,14	56:21 125:24	187:1,21 188:2	171:20 172:1
211:24 212:13	75:24 76:18	examine 71:17	194:14 247:11	185:2 193:6
213:21 217:16	77:25 79:4 83:19	examined 19:22	exercises 11:20	204:8
envisage 89:17	84:8 99:20 105:3	example 4:1 13:24	187:6	explaining 16:7
episodes 99:10	109:17 117:9	14:18 23:22	exhibited 3:25	102:17 227:20
equally 110:25	122:21 123:18	29:18 30:4,19	4:12	249:3
111:24	131:24 133:5	50:16 60:4,23	exhibits 70:21,22	explanation 7:6
	101.21100.0	20.13 00.1,23	J. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.	- Capanation / 10

				Page 261
40.10.22.56.5		lan (244.10		1050014400
48:18,22 56:7	F	fallout 244:10	223:23	135:22 144:22
60:8 131:20	F 102:20	falls 170:14	fiddling 28:24	160:14 172:8
137:18 139:2	Fabian 9:24 24:3	false 172:19	Field 218:16,21,25	219:4
161:20 162:7	93:22	family 19:9 182:1	219:7,11,12,22	finished 241:25
232:1 245:19	face 2:4,5 49:9,9	far 8:22 26:15	220:10 237:25	fire 89:5
explanations	184:4,4 229:4	55:21 77:16	238:7	firm 97:5 232:19
33:10 55:25 56:1	face-to-face 52:4	78:14 124:8	Field's 220:5	first 1:12,23 3:24
56:1 63:6 74:6	83:22 124:6	130:19 157:9	fifth 133:1 183:17	4:20 7:25 28:20
111:14,21 112:10	Facebook 124:24	208:6 209:11	183:20 184:1	33:8 34:6 39:7
132:7 249:5	faced 25:3	226:25 247:4	197:5 204:12,14	44:16 45:1 48:11
explicitly 249:12	facilitate 233:12	248:14	234:10	55:5 67:24 68:1
explore 20:25	facility 32:21	fashion 94:16,16	fig 9:14	68:23 74:23
120:13	125:16 234:7	124:8	file 11:1 35:11	75:20 80:6 82:9
explored 117:14	235:19	fashioned 124:16	50:17 51:3 55:14	90:9 109:5 114:5
exploring 120:11	facing 137:11	fate 91:19 192:11	60:25 61:3,15	132:22 159:4,7
export 6:19,21	fact 3:20,24 5:14	fault 183:7 212:18	62:3,24 66:2,4,16	175:15 176:16
8:12,24 149:21	5:14 6:21 8:23	favour 80:16	221:5,6 234:7	177:5,5 195:16
exported 8:13,13	8:25 9:2 19:4	114:25	filed 1:14 3:24 4:9	196:25 227:11
8:21,22 9:3 24:3	20:10 30:3 32:2	favourable 16:14	35:11 193:17	234:10 241:4,10
62:6 63:7,8	34:12 36:23	160:1	files 58:22 61:25	Fischel's 50:4
149:18	37:23 41:9 62:5	fear 80:15	64:15,24 65:3,6,6	five 12:9 138:2
expose 145:6	77:10 85:5 89:24	feature 3:21 5:13	66:17 67:2 202:8	160:13,14,16
exposure 110:6	92:7 119:5,20	6:23 8:5,25 9:18	219:3 220:13,18	186:9 197:5,19
express 204:6	120:9 135:24	17:8,16,17 35:24	221:10,14,15,17	212:25 246:10
expressed 36:3	139:2 148:10	41:3 95:17 133:4	221:19 222:2,3	fixated 176:19
94:17 95:1 100:9	151:23 154:15	136:15 140:12	222:10	flagged 69:7 86:23
211:9,21	155:7,18 162:7	featured 4:18 17:8	filled 52:17	108:19
expressing 79:17	163:16 193:1	27:20 41:2 54:6	fills 49:15	flags 88:3
116:15	197:24 198:2	features 26:1	filtered 193:22	flak 76:7
expression 30:20	207:19 211:14	featuring 36:10	247:22	flawed 118:17
130:18	214:5 234:9	February 17:6	final 1:17 67:18	flick 67:12
extended 182:1	238:11 241:1	55:10 193:20	114:23 183:21	flicking 67:13
238:16 239:3,21	factfinding 12:2	246:6,12	218:7	flipping 67:12
extension 238:22	factor 78:7	fed 106:4 225:1	finally 114:21	floated 203:13
238:23	factors 110:8	Federation 132:16	182:2 227:6	flow 27:19
extensive 208:13	factual 5:20	132:21 134:3	financial 89:1	flown 210:16
extensively 206:4	failure 111:15	feed 114:5	98:14 130:11	fluid 214:12
extent 147:2	156:6	feedback 187:22	160:8	fluid 57:20
external 65:5	failures 200:24	187:23	find 18:7 20:9 21:2	fluidity 57:21
68:17,22,25	faintest 161:7	feel 19:6 43:17	25:7,17 59:19	flying 88:3 226:22
123:11	fair 7:13 13:24	81:6 99:10 144:6	203:8 217:6	focus 3:22 5:8 6:8
extra 239:25	98:12 101:11,15	158:10 175:11	222:23 223:8	17:11 36:6 52:23
240:15	110:6 114:3	181:24 215:11	finding 93:16	96:16,21 135:14
extracted 7:3	149:2 185:15	feeling 216:25	168:16	156:6 164:2,13
extracts 177:19,21	230:11	felt 37:4 77:21	findings 56:21	195:3 196:11
extremely 91:2	fairly 33:1	80:1 81:1 99:12	fine 71:24 106:14	206:10
117:13 159:8	fairness 5:23 39:5	117:18 200:8	230:23	focused 90:18
232:10	73:24 112:22	208:22 211:10	Finger 228:24	132:9 133:22,25
eyes 92:9	fall 91:5 107:9	214:25 217:2	finish 53:20 113:9	146:3 164:1,11
	•		•	

				1 agc 202
focusing 11:11	205:9	205:23	75:6,21 76:11	92:22 111:25
22:15 41:12	former 4:13,14	friendship 100:12	77:2 80:11 83:8	113:14 131:19
74:22 109:12	19:19 32:1 53:4	206:5	84:5 87:23 137:9	132:7 137:17
138:13 204:25	75:17 178:17	front 183:18	142:15 158:12	138:2 140:9
foggiest 55:23 59:8	forth 3:11 27:9	fucking 103:1	171:20 172:20	146:24 153:1,1
follow 10:24 29:22	28:19 65:25	fulfill 127:25	173:22 207:23	165:7 180:23
70:24 80:7	82:23 90:3	full 52:9 86:6	208:20 249:1	189:3 193:1
106:24	forthwith 33:20	215:25 216:4		194:4 195:18
followed 21:8		fully 6:17 93:19	generally 182:7	212:25 217:13
85:15 96:4	fortuitously 153:15	130:13 212:20	generously 212:25	224:4 236:7,16
114:12	fortunate 130:19	function 127:12	gentleman 57:16 99:22	245:19 249:18,21
following 33:11	forum 94:20	further 4:9 20:15	gentlemen 207:24	gives 14:21 56:2
37:2 42:15 61:1	forward 11:23	42:10 44:19 61:3	gents 114:6 115:17	249:18
95:5 106:17,24	89:12 113:22	61:6 62:24 64:1	getting 76:20	giving 7:25 57:13
109:23 147:7	246:4	75:25 87:13	80:10 84:24	58:25 147:11
203:5 216:10	forwards 3:9	94:21 96:11,13	90:18 187:21,23	178:25
219:5	found 25:21 54:25	101:6 107:4	212:19	glad 7:2 11:17
follows 2:12 4:21	69:20 72:14	115:15 130:10	Gib 28:17	14:23,24 15:25
9:12	81:17 153:15,18	133:9 160:5	Gibbs 183:6,8	15:25 62:3
foosting 94:5	164:17,21	166:5 195:7	185:17 209:2,4,6	103:15 145:5
footprint 59:12,13	four 4:11 58:4	205:5 211:5,6,7	209:7 210:5	glass 169:3
for 61:13	106:22 186:9	212:21 216:12	211:1 217:5,15	glasses 153:18
force 33:4,5,17	197:19 234:10	217:5 222:14	218:3 231:1	glasses-case
34:2 79:24	fourth 56:22	223:3,3 227:10	237:19 238:21,25	153:15
103:14 126:4	183:16,20 185:1	229:13 230:7	239:8 240:11	glitch 36:21
170:1 195:22	frame 54:8	246:24 248:20	245:5,21 248:1	gloves 159:17
196:9 216:10	frank 20:13	furthest 181:25	Gibraltar 39:8	Gmail 152:14
231:2 239:17	frankly 85:23		85:7 87:10 89:3	go 1:15 3:5 4:22
Force-wide 43:24	free 80:2 81:1,6	G	90:17 101:14,22	10:14 14:4 18:18
forced 85:13 95:25	frequency 184:24	G 46:22	116:22 117:10	21:15 22:14 35:2
96:3 160:23	frequent 39:8	gadget 28:23	130:9 132:15	40:9 41:25 42:8
foremost 90:9	49:18	Gaggero 42:2	134:3,17,21	43:6 46:19,19
159:4	frequently 198:6	210:2,4,15	232:22	48:8 55:8,9,11,20
forensic 6:20 21:3	200:19	gain 94:11	Gibraltar's 85:4	60:13 64:8 67:17
45:25 54:24 55:1	fresh 92:9 240:24	Galliero 211:10,15	130:11	67:24 72:7 74:23
55:4,20 56:10	241:1	212:9	GIFU 130:10	75:8,11,22 79:22
125:24	Friday 122:5	gap 49:15 52:16	give 19:16 47:5	81:19 82:3 83:3
forensically 19:22	174:23 250:24	246:5,10,12,15	57:10,23 58:13	83:9 86:1 87:24
73:15 108:20	friend 116:5,16	246:18,22 247:1	59:5 63:7 73:10	89:21 93:4,20
forget 92:2 211:13	119:19 121:1	247:4,17	83:23 99:16	95:20 97:1,2,4
forgot 163:11	122:22 131:25	gaps 49:16 197:9	131:11 140:10	98:18 102:13
forgotten 117:8,12	154:3 157:3	197:21 198:9	169:9 172:21	103:23 105:9
form 77:11 95:2	168:22 213:19	201:12,14 245:24	177:9,14 207:4	107:3 108:3
133:13 137:14	216:17 217:21	245:24 247:6,7	208:25 225:19,21	111:5 114:10
176:21 214:3	friendly 25:7,17	247:14,24	249:5	115:17 122:10,12
formal 114:11	28:8	Garcia 55:17,24	given 5:17 9:6 21:9	122:15 123:1
173:9	friends 123:22	Garcia's 56:18	30:23 32:17 36:1	147:10 157:23
format 73:7 179:7	179:21 180:9,10	gather 28:14	38:2 51:20,21	158:1 159:18
formed 66:19	180:11,19 205:16	general 4:4 5:13	74:20 81:4 82:22	161:8,8 166:1
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	23:3,6 50:18,20	_	, , ,
	ı	l	ı	ı

				1 age 203
170:23 180:25	245:3,5 247:12	gradual 10:1	240:24	199:7 217:7
181:3 188:2,5,16	gold 243:2	granted 66:6	handsets 28:18	225:16 231:8,10
188:19 194:8	Gomez 64:13,18	92:10	241:1	244:5,6,19,23
196:12 199:19	174:18	grateful 68:15	handy 101:3	245:6
206:7,15 207:10	good 1:5,10,11	162:22 210:6	hanging 19:10	Hassans' 38:10
208:11 209:11	17:25 82:4 92:23	216:21 218:5	happen 86:12,15	148:8 166:21
210:19,21 211:4	106:9 108:24	great 201:6,9	86:18 98:9 119:7	haste 151:12
210:17,21 211.4	110:4,14,15	greatly 135:13	119:14 167:23	hate 86:3
219:2 227:10	120:23 165:13	Grech 4:3	171:3	head 80:12 84:17
229:17 230:9	177:13 180:7,9	grey 117:13,24	happened 21:5	97:2 104:14,19
233:19 237:16	183:12 206:17	grievances 36:2,5	36:17 74:1 79:16	122:19 128:12,16
244:6 245:25	222:17 243:2	grossly 90:20	83:21 89:6 96:12	129:25 130:2
244.0 243.23	good-ish 75:12,15	ground 81:11	97:20,23 101:13	134:21 187:4
250:21	77:15 78:5,13,17	grounds 178:23	101:25 102:3,7	headed 237:12
goes 44:21 47:18			101.23 102.3,7	
51:15 92:17	goodish 163:24 164:2,3,8,12,13	group 4:7 27:5 45:10,15 47:9	129:3,8 143:24	heading 88:6 heads 89:6 130:18
95:23 222:6		45:10,15 47:9 154:6 173:9	153:21,22 189:19	130:24
	164:17,18,24 169:18 170:7		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	health 12:24 89:3
going 4:23 11:8	169:18 170:7	176:3,5 192:7,8 200:17	189:20 191:7,25 236:1	130:9
14:25 19:2,9,24 21:8 27:8,11	goodness 154:20	groups 44:22	happening 17:22	hear 138:18
29:3 30:15 33:7	U	0 1	215:7 224:6,8	heard 79:19
	government 9:15 15:4 40:24 50:5	155:7,20 192:6	226:25	
34:5 35:2 36:20		grown-94:15		123:17 140:18
37:20 42:16 43:24 46:23	74:7 88:14,21	Guard 130:5	happens 101:9	161:16 162:5
	90:22 93:18	Guerrero 188:13	159:20 170:13,15	172:3,23 189:23
47:24 50:9 56:3	96:14 97:19 98:2	guessing 191:12	happy 99:14	hearing 1:14 56:14
57:22 66:15 69:4	111:13 129:23,24	guidance 91:6	103:20 135:4	69:10 74:9 83:25
69:5,10 71:15	130:7 177:12 200:22	guidelines 31:16	harboured 118:4	84:12 135:21,22
75:25 76:13,16		guilty 106:19	harbouring	145:20 156:9,12
77:8 80:11,21	governor 4:2,14	gun 183:6	216:25	156:20 184:14
81:9 84:17 85:1	4:15 23:2 92:6	H	hard 34:25 35:2,3	185:18 186:5
88:5,17 91:11,20	94:4 110:20,23	habits 25:21 51:21	63:9,11 65:5,5,8	201:9 231:12
93:7 98:24 99:24	117:21 119:21	hacking 232:21	65:12,18,22	237:22
99:25 100:1	120:1,8,21	half 31:4 85:6	67:10,14,19 68:7	hearings 6:16
107:23 109:15	172:12,18 176:6	halfway 41:13	68:10,12,17,22	137:22 138:1
110:10,20 111:8	176:21 178:4	48:12 69:15	68:25 69:5,19	140:18
111:10 112:12	GP 223:12,13	79:22 81:22	71:2 72:10,16	heart 12:21
113:9 116:9	GPA 4:6 14:20	102:17 241:7	89:23 98:10	heartbreaking
118:21,22 119:7	32:7,11 51:2,8	hand 9:21 11:21	159:16 214:10	43:16
119:13 137:23	75:1 85:15 89:25	11:24 16:8 70:9	226:17	heavily 25:8
143:18 146:20	90:9 91:12,13	96:6 229:10	hard-copy 64:4	held 69:2 74:18
154:25 158:4	96:19 110:23	handed 13:10	65:13 67:2,22	99:9 138:2
175:4,6 187:5	111:24 117:14,18	21:24 22:4 35:22	68:3 69:6,11	201:23 202:9
194:10 196:7	117:22,25 118:1	174:13 186:12	70:5 71:7,10	hell 107:21
202:16 219:12,15	118:1,5,7,11,12	190:11 191:10	harking 105:15	help 55:22 59:21
221:10 222:20	118:20 119:1,11	225:5 236:3	harm 110:9	121:16 142:12
226:7,8,9 231:3	163:4 172:13,17	242:24	Hassans 5:15 7:19	219:11 237:6
237:20 238:25	174:7,21 224:4		36:25 65:23	helped 47:19,20
243:23,23 244:8	GPA's 174:8	handing 179:6 hands 159:19	147:17 167:16	115:11
244:15,19,22	GPF 163:2	handset 240:20,23	177:23 194:2	helpful 153:22
		manuset 240:20,23		

				1 agc 204
168:24 239:8	hour-to-hour	123:19	149:13	20:22 21:1 24:6
helpfully 46:22	75:20	important 17:5	incorporates	24:9 27:12 28:22
Hespero 109:25	hourly 120:7	92:1,7 98:15	137:10	34:8,13,16 36:10
Hi 223:5,13	hours 57:1 60:25	129:18 188:10	incorrect 101:17	38:22,23 39:10
high 57:15 97:2	61:4 233:22,25	214:20 215:22,24	independence	39:13,16 40:21
100:14	234:14 242:24	216:1 221:23	128:25 129:2	46:9 47:20 48:5
highest 214:19	house 72:13,15	231:21 250:19	159:24	49:13 52:25
highlight 17:5	153:17 168:23	importantly 91:22	independent 100:8	56:13 65:8 69:10
56:10 197:19	202:4	impossible 159:8	121:9 122:13	69:18,23 70:11
highlighted 40:23	houses 169:3	impression 74:8	independently	70:16,21 72:25
103:15	hrs 4:25	181:18 225:7,11	100:1	73:2,3,4,6,16
highlighting 15:18	huge 214:15	225:15 249:21	index 237:6	74:9 77:25 78:1
highlights 24:2,3	hugs 86:10	improper 145:2	indicate 91:19	78:24 95:5 100:8
hints 83:24	human 81:12	improperly 84:6	indicated 230:20	105:6 111:19
hip 89:5	humans 78:9	217:1	indirectly 94:2	126:24,25 132:17
history 23:11 76:9	hundreds 12:18,18	improve 94:21	individual 86:9	132:19 134:12
hit 98:24 100:11	233:21,25	improvement	106:4 236:15	135:17 137:18
110:11 202:16	hurrying 102:14	103:14	individuals 4:13	140:18,20 141:5
HMIC 9:17 14:19	hypothesis 102:5,9	inability 17:19	23:5,15 94:8	141:7,11 142:4
51:10,12 88:9	hypothesis 102.3,9	inaccurate 101:23	203:5 217:7	143:16 147:22
95:9 97:13	106:2	inadvertent 6:25	inducement	148:12 154:22
102:25 103:11,19	100.2	inadvertently	179:11	156:21 163:6
107:23 108:5,6,9	I	32:25 154:22	indulgence 165:1	177:2 181:2,13
107.25 108.5,0,9	Ian 1:6,8 60:16	inappropriate	influenced 85:5	182:3,9 185:17
113:20 114:9	61:11 76:15	9:23,23	influencer 76:1	185:18 189:16,17
115:2 163:1	90:18 91:7 94:23	inaudible 69:7	influencing 100:8	189:18 191:4,10
209:23 224:5	166:9 223:6,11	152:25 171:11	inform 38:18	193:15 194:6,20
hold 100:14	223:14	incident 4:6 32:20	information 1:19	195:1,6 201:9,13
156:16,17	iCloud 236:16	45:11 98:20,23	14:22 27:17 39:4	219:11 231:12,25
Holewell 109:22	idea 66:15	101:11,18,20	46:9 52:9 74:17	232:23 233:12
holiday 48:25	ideal 78:16	121:2 129:10	88:13 102:2,11	234:17 235:13
honest 49:10 74:18	identified 44:10	153:23 154:18	121:7 148:11	237:3,10 238:9
137:23 225:2	83:15 84:1	155:6 162:24	166:22 176:20	238:16 239:2,18
honestly 76:8,10	identify 245:11	202:21 204:21	177:16 178:7,13	239:21 241:24
hook 89:22	ignored 138:24	202.21 204.21	183:24 218:11	243:8 248:4,16
hop 26:5	ill 80:16 120:15	incidents 162:25	238:4	inquiry's 2:6
hope 12:14 20:24	image 21:3 48:7	include 2:23 64:24	inherently 65:20	13:11 14:17
91:12,21 96:10	54:24 55:1,4,20	133:5	initially 17:16	insert 18:20
109:23 116:17,18	233:9 235:3	included 8:18	95:17 174:13	insinuation 178:3
118:5,6 120:12	imagine 184:22	73:12 196:3	234:21	insinuations 85:20
178:18,20 213:7	iMessage 125:15	240:3	initiated 89:25	insisted 118:1
222:21 223:6,14	immediately	includes 47:7 69:4	inquiries 10:25	insisted 118.1
hopefully 183:17	170:10 171:1	196:17	39:7 192:15	insisting 118:1
hopes 161:7	183:10 231:10	including 2:17	inquiry 2:13,16,21	insofar 37:11
hoping 44:8 79:24	impact 85:8 230:3	4:13 55:14 87:11	6:7 7:4 10:22,24	101:23 186:23
96:25 120:17,19	impact 05.0 250.5	196:5	11:6,16 12:8,22	215:12
169:25	implicitly 58:10	inclusion 194:4	13:13,13,14,17	
hounded 94:1	implied 200:25		15:10,24 16:9,22	inspect 233:9 235:17,18
hour 242:24	importance 7:20	incomplete 101:17 inconvenience	17:12 18:7 19:23	inspection 108:23
110U1 444.44	19:16 108:12	inconvenience	17.12 10.7 19.23	mspection 100.23
	17.10 100.12	l		

				1 486 200
174:17 234:14	interfered 9:10	51:17 66:4,12	221:23	166:18
inspector 56:16	10:13 215:5,12	75:7 76:2 77:7,8	irrespective 29:16	judiciary 82:21
57:11 219:23	216:25	78:3 80:14 83:7	76:17 77:7	July 15:10 34:14
inspirational 86:7	interference 9:24	83:18 84:7 87:18	irritated 99:10,12	39:1 44:23
installed 27:2	78:19 79:1,2	87:19 88:8 121:3	99:18 109:11	147:17 193:17
60:14	83:6,17,20 95:13	121:10,14 122:12	irritating 100:22	jump 210:3,8
instance 195:20	118:24 163:8,19	177:21 186:17	irritation 99:15	jumped 183:6
246:4	171:14,25 208:19	199:16,21 207:16	100:9 103:9	June 3:25 21:25
instant 2:19	211:8 213:18,25	211:12,16 214:19	108:18,21	22:2,4,5 41:13
instruct 142:13	214:18,25 224:20	215:6,10,11	issue 5:16 33:13	42:3,21 44:6,23
143:4	interfering 75:7	217:1 218:1	70:7 79:25 86:20	48:5 55:6,12
instructed 51:7,11	78:3 128:24	221:22 235:7,8	155:25 156:2	56:3,5,8,25 60:24
instruction 51:1	207:15	investigations	163:6 165:2	61:4,5,11,14 62:2
71:3,8 114:19	Interim 4:1	142:5	170:1 203:2	62:8,10,11,17
117:17 129:9	internal 77:22	investigative 75:5	206:1 218:7	63:2,25 64:1
174:10,12,20	internet 35:11	investigatory	225:8,13 226:4,6	84:21 97:8,12
175:2,4,5,15	interpretation	200:10	issued 21:22 22:17	114:21 116:11,17
instructions 82:14	95:14 158:8,17	invitation 89:12	185:4 191:1	116:17 117:2
85:15 103:2	interpretations	98:7 117:16	211:9	140:17 174:23,23
142:21	161:12	174:9,12 175:1	issues 6:9 19:18	175:11 193:21
instructive 174:20	interrupt 92:14	invite 225:19	110:13 129:11	195:8,13,21
175:24,25	interrupted	invited 208:13	134:4 137:16	198:22 201:16
integrity 76:2	119:18	inviting 209:19	140:20 145:6	216:10 224:23
244:17	intervention 38:18	invoke 85:1	154:21 163:6,16	237:14 239:14,22
Intelligence 57:17	87:8	118:13	163:19	239:23
130:11 196:10	interventions	invoking 175:6	It' 20:6	junior 30:24
intend 11:23 122:6	169:16	involuntary 104:5	item 151:14	jurisdiction 203:7
219:2	interview 80:9	104:8 105:12	237:18,19	203:9,12
intended 33:3	225:18 226:8,9	106:19 122:17	items 237:10,21,22	jury 229:18
208:23 212:3,6	229:24 230:4	204:19 205:2	240:8	justice 4:4 5:12
219:20,20	249:2	involved 18:12	J	23:3 90:3 92:24
intense 49:4	interviewed	29:2 80:23 82:21	$\frac{\mathbf{J}}{\mathbf{J}}$ 229:17	justify 66:21 118:5
intent 110:8	170:21	168:8 214:16		K
intention 69:21	interviews 17:16	228:23 249:11	James 80:23 210:2	
116:13 117:6	199:22	iPhone 24:12 25:4	210:4 January 176:7	KC 185:17
118:10 119:16,23	introducing 153:8	25:11 26:1,10,12	January 176:7	keep 20:15 70:12
120:3 167:10,14	177:25	26:23 30:13,17	195:8 196:3	70:22 80:14 250:19
intentions 78:12	investigate 100:6	43:5 45:17	jeopardy 85:8 JG 41:16,22	kept 65:22 70:1
80:5 119:24	104:7 203:9	125:18 150:14	JL 79:24 169:25	key 119:1 127:15
interactions	investigated 64:19	185:5 189:25	249:2,4,9	149:19
136:19	178:19	190:2,18 191:14	job 98:18 151:12	kicking 13:2,3
interest 6:17 79:17	investigating 9:6	iPhone's 27:7	232:20	15:20
96:15 243:10	10:11 59:21 76:3	iPhones 190:10	Joey 109:24	killing 206:3
interested 96:19	76:16 127:17	ironically 16:4	Joey's 112:20	kind 168:4
214:4 218:20	128:3 179:8	irrefutable 7:22	jogged 104:20	kindly 28:15
238:17 239:4,5	214:17 232:20	irrelevance 146:25	John 178:25	kitchen 95:8
interests 85:4	245:18	irrelevant 8:2	218:16	knew 9:18 18:9,15
144:7,10,10 interfere 84:6	investigation	106:2 141:10 169:4 193:23	judges 168:13	19:15,20 81:9
mileriere 04:0	16:21 37:8,22	107.4 173.23	judicial 165:6	88:6,13,15 89:4
		l]	30.0,12,12 07.1

				1 age 200
96:7 99:24	232:8,16	218:11,16	84:14 85:21	lingering 91:12
139:21 142:17	knows 106:15	leaked 32:24	92:17 95:23	link 234:4
158:19 194:9		leapfrog 179:22	96:10 97:24 98:1	linked 26:7,8
202:5 215:9	L	learn 213:7	112:8 113:24	107:22 113:1
245:3,4	La 101:9	learned 72:1 116:5	114:1,11,11	124:23
know 6:2 17:2	laborious 49:11	116:16 119:18	116:6 118:25	links 177:23,23
19:16 21:5 24:5	lack 56:2 147:19	121:1 122:22	119:6 156:3	list 6:9 131:3,3
24:6,8 29:4	148:10 204:6	131:25 154:2	163:4 174:20	238:11
43:13 45:17 46:8	ladder 179:23	157:3 213:19	175:15,25 201:20	listed 69:25
46:11 48:23,24	landline 2:2	231:6	209:19 223:12,13	lists 69:20 70:1
49:19 53:2,5,6	landlines 2:3	learning 8:19	224:4 238:9,12	literally 94:6
59:2 76:12,23	landscape 15:6	39:11	letterhead 102:22	185:13
83:24 90:9 91:8	language 100:16	leave 9:16 49:1	letters 51:19 65:19	Litigation 187:3
91:8 98:2 106:1	103:10 110:25	51:11 60:5 74:25	65:22 66:18 70:4	little 70:7 102:14
106:25 107:5	175:21,23 219:19	90:24 98:14	level 13:4 63:22	187:23 229:12
111:16 113:15	221:1	107:25 117:19,20	79:19 181:23	234:8 238:15
114:25 117:23	laptop 26:17 56:14	118:2 185:3	214:19	live 75:7 84:6
124:13,23 126:16	56:22 57:2,3,8	223:22,23	levied 115:7	86:13 94:25
127:24 129:21,24	60:9 61:14,19,22	leaves 9:14	Levy 80:2,5,8,23	199:16 200:10
131:9 132:2	63:5,8,14,20 73:9	leaving 86:4 96:2	81:2,6 145:8,17	214:12 235:7
138:5,5 141:11	73:12	119:3 151:9,11	170:20 201:21	Llamas 9:25 51:8
142:11 143:22	large 222:3 242:17	196:1	225:17 228:20,22	53:22 79:7 93:22
144:4 145:8,11	larger 6:6	led 5:20 187:10	229:24 231:9	96:18 147:8
145:12 152:2,9	late 11:16 22:8	left 19:10,13 22:1	Levy's 168:22	lo 170:11
152:10 155:4	84:25 147:21	22:2 29:1 37:25	lied 95:15	Loan 31:8
168:2 173:1,5	latest 50:4	40:3 59:6,12,14	lies 33:13	local 121:21,22
175:18 177:20	launch 142:14	59:16 61:17	life 12:9 19:14	122:7
178:6 182:10	launched 144:3	63:20 72:12,13	85:9 105:18,21	locally 60:10
184:24 187:24	launching 143:8	72:15 73:8,21,22	105:23 121:2	locate 208:25
189:14 191:7	law 17:15 76:4	90:8 98:8 118:12	135:16 150:22	located 72:16,21
196:18 198:14,25	80:15 91:2	125:3 126:3,4,16	180:2	73:10
200:13,14,20	129:15 174:16	130:17 150:5,14	light 14:18 15:21	locates 71:18
203:16 204:3	lawyer 64:13	152:6 158:19,21	74:15	locked 184:17
207:6 215:7,8,17	84:14 230:1	179:15,22 180:13	liked 131:8	log 247:20,23
215:18 216:1	232:17,18	184:16 195:22	likes 86:21	logic 28:7 77:2
220:10,25 222:2	lawyers 10:20 15:9	221:21 231:2	limited 2:17 24:17	login 60:20
225:9 231:3	38:23 74:18 82:6	235:7 239:17,20	28:2 89:20 126:9	logs 6:19,22 7:2
232:5 241:21	84:22 85:18	leg 204:12,13,14	line 13:13 64:9	24:3
242:10,13 244:3	89:24 91:9	legal 142:14,21	97:3 106:12	Lola 18:17
244:4 245:17	118:19 119:6	143:5,6 145:2	108:21 114:5	long 58:17 60:17
249:15,21	120:9 148:16	160:8 229:11	117:1 128:15	77:23 98:16
knowing 38:16	155:19 165:23	legality 65:24	205:10 225:4	185:7 201:20
82:15 98:4	166:10,15 206:19	length 84:12 93:8	229:18 230:13	216:18 217:9
156:22	209:8	lengths 149:4	249:16	237:21
knowledge 1:19	layman 90:15	let's 86:3 170:12	Linea 101:9	longer 16:24 18:24
28:20 183:23	laziness 23:18	letter 2:7 34:14,15	lines 10:23 13:17	19:3 20:11 25:13
known 53:8 66:5	le 65:23	34:16,20,23 50:6	13:18 62:16	40:8 139:3 140:8
111:17 136:18	leadership 103:1,7	67:17,21 68:14	218:22 219:9	180:15,18,19
148:18 231:13,16	103:13	71:9 75:1,3	244:21	236:20 247:6
	leading 90:13	, ,,		
	<u> </u>		I	I

				Page 26/
Langing 90.14 16	lovel 95.6	205.2 5 206.2	04.22 102.14	220.10 229.5
Longing 89:14,16 look 6:10 8:3,8	loyal 85:6 luck 218:6	205:2,5 206:2 manual 91:6	94:23 102:14 115:14 116:3	230:19 238:5 249:16
49:25 50:16	lunch 115:21	map 103:18	120:16 124:9	mean 166:16
52:22 54:24	LV 249:17	March 17:6 31:1	129:16 131:18	meaning 82:18
56:22 61:8 76:15	lying 176:24	41:1,1,10 64:13	134:15,16 135:18	158:6 221:24,25
92:9 94:11 98:15	<u>M</u>	104:13 108:4	144:16 146:14	means 20:6 77:24
98:20 99:21	magic 76:21 96:11	109:19 205:6	149:2 157:1	86:11 87:7 99:17
113:4,22 120:25	magistrate 82:10	246:12,17 247:17	165:3 168:1	100:19 160:25
121:11 132:11	165:14 166:13,20	Marine 102:20	169:4 174:3,7	161:1 171:2
141:8,11 153:14	167:5,9 168:3,10	103:5	184:3,20 193:20	184:2,4 203:8
155:1 234:1	205:13	Maritime 4:6	194:2 195:10,22	204:14 221:5
236:25 240:13		Mark 244:22,25	196:1,14,16	229:15
248:8 250:6	main 56:13 65:19	245:5	197:7 198:4	meant 41:24 116:7
looked 8:10 11:13	69:9 74:8 84:12	match 160:7,10	199:6,20 200:3	122:11 155:9
33:16 49:16	86:19 111:23	matched 219:18	200:16 202:14,15	160:5 214:15
56:19 84:2	176:15,16 177:5	mate 75:11 86:3,9	203:14,24 205:6	220:10,25
108:20 109:8	185:17 186:5	86:10 89:13	206:9,11 207:21	media 57:5 58:6,7
looking 9:5 11:8,9	201:9 209:21	171:2	208:3 216:3,11	110:9,13
13:6 28:24 33:6	Maine 209:22	material 64:25	218:13 219:24	meet 18:21,21 82:8
36:13,15 71:19	maintain 74:12	133:10 222:12	237:11 238:7	82:12 83:2
72:9 91:20	84:4 201:2	227:18 233:23	239:17 240:4,14	111:15 165:4,14
104:16 113:19	major 8:18	236:21	243:25	168:12 206:24
144:7 174:5	making 69:21	materialised 36:5	McGrail' 61:11	meeting 4:24 50:9
199:5 204:11	97:25 108:2	83:2 167:12	McGrail's 57:6	62:13 75:2,14,23
219:9 237:6	129:17 148:5	materials 12:20	60:12 189:4	77:15 78:4,15,17
245:24 247:21	177:12 201:6	Matt 109:22	198:18 205:3	79:13,14 81:24
looks 30:13	219:24 220:8	matter 2:15,21	243:15 246:2,8	83:3,14 84:7
loose 107:22	221:8,16,18	55:10 63:16,21	McVea 7:3 16:21	117:23 164:10
165:10	222:8 226:2	77:4 84:11 92:6	20:12,14 21:2	165:16 166:23
lose 94:12 152:2,4	malicious 17:14,24	93:3 99:24,25	39:21 48:8	167:8,12,13,15
152:7	maliciously 228:19	102:12 128:5,24	McVea's 6:20	167:20,22,25
losing 151:19	man 28:23 57:15	132:23 140:15	me(sic) 244:2	168:2,5,14,21,22
153:2	87:11 134:24	144:11 153:13	mean 20:21 25:18	170:3,4,17 171:5
loss 86:21 93:19	135:8	154:15 167:17	34:19 41:8 43:1	171:13 173:14
94:22 121:2	manage 25:14	169:22 179:9	43:4 52:20 78:23	206:12,22 207:6
149:5 209:20	42:19 77:21 78:9	213:22 214:20	86:14 100:4	207:8 208:14,15
lost 39:20 87:2	192:21,24	217:24 245:12	106:1 110:2	209:24,25 210:1
126:15 141:13	managed 222:19	matters 14:23 19:8	114:3 122:10	210:12 211:20
147:5 149:15,18	management	35:25 52:2 66:8	124:1,8 129:19	212:8,9,14
150:1,17 151:5	38:17 126:13,14	72:8 94:15	133:24 142:11	215:19 227:7
151:17,22 158:20	223:24	100:21 136:24	152:5 157:6	231:8
191:15	managing 77:19	143:13 198:19	160:2,20,24	meetings 54:12
lot 17:22 19:8 49:8	mans 204:3	204:15 213:8,14	161:1 164:4,14	75:25 78:2,20,23
84:17 86:8,11	manslaughter	213:23 231:22	164:15 167:23	78:25 79:3
92:3,14 161:16	99:1,5 100:4	243:17 245:10,16	187:12 189:16	120:11 163:8
171:2 182:13	104:5,8 105:12	248:7 249:3,8	190:5 193:22	168:9 169:16
215:8	106:19 121:5	McGrail 1:6,8,10	197:18 221:18	172:23 173:1,3,8
lots 153:18 154:20	122:17,18 202:18	1:23 39:15 50:17	223:17 225:11	173:9,22 201:23
loved 98:18 151:12	203:3,10,10,14	57:5 60:16 90:18	226:3 229:14	202:8,25 207:13
	204:3,4,5,19			ĺ
	l	l	l	I

				1 450 200
207:17,18,23	95:21 97:11,14	146:19 147:4,6,9	41:2,7 54:7	misread 15:3
208:2,4,7,19	97:16 98:21 99:6	147:14 152:8	85:10 87:3,6	missed 100:5
210:13 211:22,23	99:17 101:16,23	161:10 163:14	113:21 116:8	155:24
212:1 214:8,11	102:4,18 109:19	171:16,20 176:8	131:8 133:5	missing 36:20
216:3 217:11	112:22 113:1,18	185:24 186:22	136:17 140:21	102:4 121:18
226:19,21,25	114:22 116:11	187:17 189:7	144:19 151:8	mistaken 13:12
234:25 238:4	117:2 121:18	191:15,18 192:11	152:5 155:6	157:16
MeetingwithAG	158:11 164:7	192:16 193:4,19	161:4 189:22	misunderstanding
61:2	171:23 184:9	193:23 194:21,23	205:3 222:21	68:9
member 181:8	185:19 189:1	195:2,9,15,24	228:16	mitigating 115:9
member 101:0	194:1,5,12,15	196:2,6,11,13,15	mindful 225:8,12	Mmh 55:15
58:4	196:7,18 197:8	196:23,25 197:3	226:3	mobile 2:4 3:3
membership	199:4,5,12	197:3,4,13,25	mine 48:24 128:19	13:19,21,22 17:9
181:10	202:11,13,22	198:4,5 199:19	138:14	21:10 25:1,6
memory 104:21	203:15,20,25	200:9,15,21	minister 4:3,4 5:11	29:25 31:16
186:3 206:23	207:7 217:19,19	201:15 206:8,11	5:12 9:10,24	32:14 33:18 35:6
219:23 233:2	222:21 224:23	224:24 235:22	10:13 23:2,2,5	35:13 40:6 152:3
men 100:24	232:1 243:9,18	237:1 238:13	83:8 87:15 88:3	185:4,25
mention 34:6,11	243:20 244:1,18	240:5 246:6,16	92:23 93:12	mobiles 13:18
88:17 99:4	245:9,12,22,23	246:19,22 247:1	142:15 146:17	mocked 105:19
185:20,22 194:20	246:11	248:2,15	157:6 172:19	mode 17:13 30:18
195:1 208:18	messaged 14:11,12	messaging 2:3	176:6 177:13	model 25:24
mentioned 23:16	18:14 103:24	52:7 101:21	182:5 207:15,22	Modelm 66:19
38:19 76:20	198:17 200:4	124:11 125:4	215:5 221:11,20	Mole 72:12,15
103:21 111:4	247:9	199:23	229:15 231:7	202:4
129:22 130:3	messages 2:20,24	Messenger 124:22	232:16 244:9,11	moment 15:21
185:15 189:11	3:3 4:1,12 5:4,19	124:23	244:15	43:17 60:6 71:22
191:3,6 200:16	6:11,15 8:1,3	messing 200:2	ministers 94:24	82:24 103:8
203:2,16,22,23	10:15 11:14 13:7	met 75:21 87:22	minor 220:8	108:17,21 116:10
208:3 209:10	13:9 14:1,4,16	114:9 121:3,13	minute 18:25	189:15 229:17
224:13 231:25	15:1,13 16:1,3,8	121:23 174:22	208:24 211:20	244:15
245:2	17:7 19:23 20:3	207:22	212:3 213:19,21	moments 99:11
mentions 76:7	20:4,19,22 24:21	methods 1:24	minuted 208:4,7	101:19
mentoring 91:6	25:16 29:12	Metropolitan	211:25 214:10	Monday 75:14
mere 85:22 95:10	37:10 39:19	100:6 123:10	minutes 89:15	77:18 170:11,13
95:10 155:7	40:11,18 41:9,14	205:8	93:6 107:25	170:15
merited 63:21	41:22 42:5 45:5	Michael 9:25	108:1 160:14,15	monitoring 50:3
mess 104:10	45:9,22 48:1,4,6	Micheal 93:22	160:16 172:25	month 228:2
message 5:8 7:7,10	48:16,19,20,21	microphone	173:5,14 208:1	month's 247:17
7:14,16 11:2	53:4 55:9,13,18	187:25	212:4,25 213:11	months 4:11 17:21
18:18 29:18 31:1	55:19 56:2,8	mid-January	213:13	28:12 86:8 187:5
37:19 38:5,10	72:19 74:21	176:7	mirroring 26:22	189:5 193:2
41:19 48:12,15	81:20 83:16	middle 212:8	misconduct 36:25	246:10
49:2,21,21,23	84:25 86:2 87:1	miles 101:25	misconstrued	mood 171:22
50:7 51:22 52:20	94:25 97:9 107:2	military 57:17	124:3	173:23
55:5,12 63:24	132:20 134:11	87:11	misguiding 54:23	mooted 36:12
72:20 75:9 77:24	135:1,25 136:8	mind 3:21 6:24 9:1	misinterpreted	mooting 122:16
80:1 81:1,3 89:9	137:15 141:18	17:8 19:21,25	15:2	morning 1:5,10,11
89:9,15 93:5	143:15,17 145:10	35:20,24 39:6	misleading 66:18	42:3,14,20 44:5
				1

				Page 269
(2.0.02.17.05.22		102.5 5 0 105.12	170.22 100.24	124.1.4.150.10
62:9 92:17 95:23	nearly 31:4 176:17	192:5,5,8 195:12	170:23 189:24	124:1,4 159:19
98:22 99:3	246:10	202:4 227:18	190:17,22 192:5	occur 10:14 35:14
113:20 116:5	necessarily 9:22	228:1 240:4,5	193:18 195:11,12	36:3 137:21
117:9 121:2	30:21 77:12	241:25 242:25	198:18,19 201:21	151:25 201:8
122:21 127:6	91:13 158:18	newer 39:17,23	207:18 222:3	202:6
129:22 131:20	180:3 249:23	nickname 113:13	226:1	occurred 143:21
139:21 153:25	necessary 38:3	night 30:6	numbers 23:25	185:22
154:2,7 157:3,8	80:2 81:2,7	nightmare 89:14	24:7 51:25	October 4:11
157:24 158:2	210:22	89:16,17	190:24 191:2	21:24 25:2 28:11
162:10 164:1,12	need 20:20,20,21	nine 31:4	196:23 198:23	31:18 32:11 46:1
202:20 203:1,18	20:24 21:1 25:8	ninth 21:9 22:15	nutshell 96:24	odd 59:19
204:8 222:25	75:22 80:22	Nolan 98:24		offence 96:14
223:11 224:2	100:24 104:17	102:20 202:17	0	204:5
mother 196:7,8	115:17 118:20	nolle 76:22,23	o'clock 224:1	offences 203:11
248:11	169:6 181:20	nonsensical 15:16	oath 2:15 217:25	offer 48:18 56:11
motives 85:23	needed 8:11 77:21	normal 168:10	obligation 11:25	71:15 74:5 99:8
mouth 44:13	78:8 122:12	179:25	20:7 141:4	242:20
move 89:8 98:19	152:1 201:25	normally 220:18	obligations 69:17	offered 172:11
101:6 106:20	226:22 233:2	not-baddish	90:25 128:1	office 26:17 56:15
109:15	249:4	169:18	obliged 77:21	72:12 74:2,5
moved 74:2 89:11	negative 78:16	note 60:7 93:5	oblivious 66:13	91:1 94:5 101:8
190:20 191:1	90:6 102:25	111:6 154:9,10	observation 99:12	126:5 128:18
208:22 215:1	164:16,19,21	184:13 187:19	138:23 177:5	150:5 172:6
moving 242:15	220:22	188:11 189:2	observations	175:9 185:13,14
muscled 37:4	negotiate 94:15	209:25 212:10	176:16	187:2
85:14	negotiating 76:6	213:21 227:7,9,9	observed 247:5	officer 9:7 10:11
mysteriously 20:2	neither 40:1 48:24	227:12 228:11,16	obsession 176:21	14:2 27:23 32:19
	195:5 202:2	228:17	176:25	33:13 57:5,5,16
N	net 46:13	notes 73:1,12	obtain 149:4	57:18 58:6,6,7,18
name 17:25 39:16	never 9:2 30:7	112:23 126:23	178:13	88:22 89:1 90:14
61:1 110:14	31:3 41:10 72:13	127:3 212:1,2,2,3	obtained 28:10	128:4 130:4
named 39:10,14	72:16 82:24 83:1	227:11 249:16,17	48:6	134:17 191:11
238:8	96:9 100:10	notice 104:15	obvious 47:22	196:10 205:24
names 238:11	111:8 130:22	notifying 7:23	48:22 108:18	206:5 214:17
narrative 4:18	136:17,21 137:17	notwithstanding	225:9,13 226:4	215:10 218:16
7:15 161:9	137:21 144:19	41:9 161:22	226:13,16,24	232:4 236:4,19
narrow 146:18	145:23 146:3	162:21 163:9	232:15 245:8	236:20
narrowed 96:13	152:5 155:6	November 34:24	obviously 10:21	officers 2:1 11:2
nasty 105:1	167:12,13 185:22	153:16 181:1	26:18 27:2,11	15:24 58:8 76:4
national 66:18	191:3 238:20	189:25 190:2,9	30:16 42:22 53:8	162:11 181:5,5,7
77:4 127:18,21	nevertheless	191:14 195:6	61:12 75:22	187:4,7,14
232:21	151:10	number 18:2,22	84:11 99:14	189:12,24 193:7
natural 90:2	new 19:4,4 22:10	19:3,5 21:18,20	108:18,22 114:17	offices 233:15
150:22 221:24,25	23:17,21 25:5,9	22:24 23:4,7,9,18	133:10 220:15	official 13:19,21
nature 78:5	27:6 32:2 40:11	23:21,21 24:13	250:19	22:20 114:1
150:10	40:14,16 46:6	24:14 26:21 29:8	occasion 179:24	191:1,2
nautical 101:25	72:12,15 74:22	29:9 37:15 40:18	200:1	officially 114:2
navigating 12:14	92:6 119:21	43:2,3 44:11	occasions 76:14	officials 5:10,19,21
75:19	120:1,8,20 192:4	50:10 153:5	78:2,25 79:5,6	23:1 28:17
Navy 88:24	120.1,0,20 172.7	20.10 122.2	99:10 123:25	23.1 20.17
	l	l	l	l

		<u> </u>	1	<u> </u>
132:14	167:1	123:21 185:13	151:13	197:5 248:23
oh 124:14 133:2	onwards 79:15	opted 23:12	oversimplifying	249:15
154:19	81:14 91:23 96:5	optics 11:11	150:18	paragraphs 5:25
okay 6:4 31:6	197:1	option 120:24	overtax 164:25	33:8 219:17
34:22 41:20,20	op 67:9	129:12 157:14	overturning 90:6	parapet 128:16
44:22 45:2 59:10	open 59:3 67:11	159:22,25	overwhelming	pardon 42:11 63:4
60:5 63:1 64:9	96:11 98:8,8	options 85:14	39:9 174:14	63:4 134:15,16
82:7,7 107:4,11	118:12 119:3	89:19 96:8,9	ownership 50:5	163:13 166:2
112:3 116:15	157:14 165:3	159:4,5	79:10	park 79:9
119:15 120:25	opened 27:5 225:5	oral 6:16 217:25		parlance 39:15
121:9 123:9	openly 105:17	order 2:2 33:4,22	P	Parliament 38:25
124:9 125:14,14	openness 147:20	34:3 44:6,8,18	p.m 81:23 206:11	182:6
125:17,20 126:3	148:10	45:3 154:4	PA 57:25	Parr 109:22
127:1,4 129:16	operated 89:5	201:16,19,24	pace 26:4 101:21	part 4:18 6:25
131:10,13,18	operates 88:14	orders 33:5	page 1:17 2:10	7:14,15 9:12
132:2 133:2	129:24	organisation 32:19	24:15 30:25	13:7 15:19 16:21
137:3 138:9,12	operating 23:20	80:13 122:19,20	31:15 33:9,15	20:5 44:17 56:23
138:16,25 141:3	25:4,5 34:9	128:13 190:25	48:12 56:23 64:9	66:19 69:16
142:13 145:19	103:6	original 2:7 46:24	64:9 67:24 68:1	78:13 104:5,9
146:7 149:25	operation 11:1	50:6 73:7 84:8	68:23 69:15	105:2 115:5
153:13,25 154:13	37:12 38:5 49:5	95:6 156:6 179:7	74:24 75:9 79:23	144:14 147:20
156:18 157:21	50:7,21,24 51:23	209:14	89:10 92:16	152:16 187:20
159:2,13 160:16	52:2,3 64:19,24	originally 31:24	95:21 98:21	188:1,16,25
160:17 161:16	65:1,14 66:1,4,16	Ostracised 181:25	101:7 106:21,22	195:16 201:19
164:23,25 173:16	67:20 74:23 75:5	181:25	109:18,20,23	228:18,24 229:12
182:18 206:20,21	76:10 83:7,17	outcome 77:8	113:5 114:23,24	230:13 249:25
216:7 218:7,19	94:2 95:13	78:15 226:21	176:5 181:1	participant 11:21
220:9 223:6,14	128:11 141:24	Outlook 202:1	183:21 202:13	particular 2:2
228:3 230:20,22	149:17 163:3	234:2,7,12,19	218:22 229:14	10:19 12:15 59:4
250:8,21	167:8 168:8	outset 99:25	237:16 246:17,25	151:14 158:11
old 18:1,2 25:10	186:23 187:1	outside 100:25	248:23	177:13,22 194:15
56:14 90:22	188:1,17,21,23	101:2,14 168:7	pages 12:18,19	234:25,25
124:15 242:25	193:5 198:19	195:17	126:18,20	particularly 32:15
omission 6:25	199:20 200:9	over- 204:14	paid 190:25	187:18 250:20
omitted 50:6	207:16	overall 78:16	pain 105:8	parties 15:4 40:24
once 14:9 17:9	operational	164:21	painful 93:8 96:6	74:7 96:14 97:19
34:20 61:4 62:25	128:25 244:17	overcome 133:24	pair 92:9	98:3 111:13
63:2 156:18	249:7	179:23,24	panning 79:16	138:7 184:15
one-time 231:7	opinion 82:6 110:5	overheard 46:17	173:12	188:14 198:7
onerous 201:22	128:12,19 165:22	overlook 135:9	paper 65:3,6	200:22,24
ones 3:20 40:16	166:10,12 206:19	overlooked 154:23	102:22	partly 212:18
65:15 100:3	opinions 56:11	154:24	papers 64:25	partner 231:8,10
107:3 108:19	opportunity 94:14	overly 192:14	65:13	partners 232:18
122:23 126:24	133:23 154:19	overreacting	paragraph 4:21	party 93:18
135:12 150:4,5	155:24 209:1	108:13	17:1 22:16,18	123:11 211:8
196:5 206:10	opposed 5:20	oversight 12:16,17	24:15,23,24,25	pasa 170:11
235:18 238:12	24:12 96:3 109:4	15:19 133:24	32:13 33:1 56:23	passage 33:16
243:1	119:24 216:5	143:21 155:23	60:7,15 67:19	passed 156:21
ongoing 87:19	opposite 93:21	oversimplify	68:1 132:13	178:6 226:2
			184:1 185:1	
	•	•	•	•

				1 age 2/1
password 57:6,10	126:9 140:13	246:1,2,7,8	196:14 199:1,8	platform 124:12
57:23 58:14 59:5	175:10 195:8	personal-to-pers	199:24 200:3,5	192:8
59:9,25 236:17	197:1,4 198:1,1,2	247:8	201:19 236:14	play 106:16
passwords 192:25	198:24 199:1,2	personally 145:4	241:4,10,11,13	218:11
path 179:18	205:10 240:4,15	182:15	241:23,23,25	players 149:19
pathetic 108:12	241:12	persons 238:8	242:1 243:4,4,11	168:8
pathetic 100:12 pathways 96:11	periodic 66:14	persuaded 90:8,8	243:15 245:19	playing 92:21
Paul 56:16,25	periods 150:21	pertinent 13:21	phones 3:9 13:21	120:22
81:23 98:25	197:7 198:3	45:10 86:24	13:22 21:11,12	pleasantly 213:6
104:3 108:7	247:8	126:25	25:6 33:19 46:6	please 2:6 22:14
109:21 183:14	peripheral 135:11	peruse 174:14	48:4 52:12	25:18 33:5 48:9
202:17,17 206:11	periphery 88:10	Peter 116:2 126:19	149:23 152:6	56:19 60:7 64:8
pause 40:14 211:4	permission 7:5	131:13,17 132:6	155:4,5 186:1	68:25 74:24 75:1
211:6,6	57:7	138:21,25 142:22	192:24 193:5	79:22 81:19 86:1
paying 19:2 181:8	permit 142:13	143:1,4,8 144:24	196:20 236:15	89:8 92:15 93:4
PC 26:17 211:14	143:5	146:11 148:2	242:20,22,25	95:20 98:19
pdfs 2:19	permitted 70:10	157:25 158:3	243:14	103:23 108:4
peace 19:21,25	person 2:5,5 25:20	160:12,13,17	photographs 19:9	111:5 113:16
peaceful 86:14	88:17 105:20	162:18,20 167:21	Picardo 9:25	114:6 135:21
pen 45:8 153:21	120:10 130:6	167:24 171:11	23:22,25 24:4	136:4 140:10
penny 134:5	177:22 180:6	213:5,12,16	38:25 66:25	147:25 152:25
140:14 156:14	185:12 242:23	215:9 222:8,13	80:24 91:18	176:4 181:1
pension 232:5,20	person- 163:9	Phil 4:15	93:22 95:12	183:16 196:12
penultimate 31:1	person-to 162:9	phone 2:4 3:3 7:18	96:18 146:16	202:11 204:18
89:9	person-to-person	7:19 8:14 13:9	168:23 171:8	206:7 210:23
people 18:12,13	161:17 162:10,15	16:3,20,23 19:7	176:18 177:18	211:4 212:13
39:12 86:13	personal 3:2 16:23	19:21 21:3 23:15	231:7	224:22 227:6
87:12 100:21,23	18:3,4 19:5,6,8	24:16 25:12,15	Picardo's 231:24	229:13 245:25
100:24 123:15,21	21:14,17,17 22:6	28:11 30:11,15	pick 30:8,18 31:3	246:4
127:15 129:18	22:10,24 23:7	31:8,9 33:25	picked 30:14	pleasure 216:17
130:2,17 131:4	24:13 25:2,12	34:9 35:7,13,20	picks 80:22	plenty 60:18
143:9 169:3	32:16,20,23,23	35:21 37:25 39:3	picture 71:20 72:9	point 10:17 13:5
178:8 201:24	33:2,14,19 35:11	39:19,22 40:6,11	pie 25:5 228:25	13:16 17:4 39:1
235:1 238:2,14	39:17,23 40:12	42:23 43:19	Pitto 206:23	43:7 47:3 48:11
250:19	40:14 43:1 48:2	44:17 47:1,6,7	place 7:8 52:4,11	63:10 64:3 67:24
people's 129:25	48:4 52:11 57:25	48:8 49:24 51:21	80:6 89:23 95:4	71:8 74:7 76:11
130:2	64:15,23 98:15	51:23 52:11,14	98:10 109:5	77:6 79:1 83:4
perceive 95:3	179:20 181:23	53:11 54:21,25	167:13 172:23	85:2 86:19,20
165:8	182:13 184:8,9	55:4,8,20 56:4,5	173:2,3 177:22	89:18 95:25
perceived 171:25	184:10,12,20,21	62:4,6,7 72:18	197:11 199:22	102:1 109:14
percent 155:22	184:22 190:22,23	81:21 125:24	203:7 204:19	126:1 134:9
perception 181:21	190:24 194:12	140:8 141:21	207:11 227:8	149:1 151:23
214:4,6 215:22	195:11,12 196:6	150:4,8 151:3,4	placed 201:10	153:14 156:8,11
Perez 193:1	196:12 197:14,24	152:1,3 153:2,4	plainly 248:4	171:8 172:9,10
perfect 101:1	198:18,19 199:23	184:16,16 185:5	plan 80:6 95:4	190:13 191:24
135:2	200:3,11,11	185:7,16 186:11	215:20	192:12,18 194:16
perfectly 200:8	235:23 236:23	190:2,11,17	planned 85:18	194:21 195:21,24
period 27:8 35:15	237:23 240:14,17	191:2,18 192:5	planning 38:16	195:25 196:2
36:7 49:4,14	241:5 242:3	192:12 195:11	96:25 113:23	197:6 200:22
L	1	<u> </u>	1	1

				1486 272
201:8 207:19	93:11 96:24	pray 116:12 117:5	prevail 91:22	63:13,15,19
213:18 216:1	101:12 118:6	118:9 119:22	prevented 128:8	104:10
227:13 247:15	157:17 158:15,22	pre 120:10	preventing 32:16	problematic
248:21 250:6	159:15 215:1,3	pre-retirement	previous 9:20 10:3	182:16
pointed 34:13 43:4	223:22	185:3	10:22 16:14 27:7	procedure 98:6
119:17,19 156:19	positions 130:8	precautions	45:19 76:14 77:3	procedures 77:13
192:1 197:25	positive 117:25	150:13	88:13 97:10	103:6
198:21	poss 159:20	preceding 62:23	106:11 111:22	proceed 230:25
points 46:22 155:2	possessed 53:25	109:20	112:10 114:9,17	process 8:17 21:8
155:20	possession 2:20	precise 221:2	132:4 161:3	39:21 44:10
pol 58:7	63:5 150:2 195:4	245:11,15	180:25 192:7	66:20 70:14 72:7
police 5:14 14:1	201:5 248:15	predecessor	234:5	82:16 87:4 89:25
17:15 32:6,8	possibilities	113:13 114:14,15	previously 18:4	90:20 118:17
43:8,18 58:6,8	159:21	prefer 67:9,13	23:8 24:14 38:11	120:6 147:22
65:24 85:7 87:8	possibility 92:24	100:21	38:12 53:13	148:12 150:23
87:16 90:14	possible 46:14	preference 84:15	152:3 165:18	152:19 163:4
93:23,25 98:1,5	80:24 92:11,21	preferred 160:2,3	195:14 200:10	174:7 182:14
98:17 100:6	97:13 98:14	prematurely	primarily 2:4	188:17,21,23
114:2 116:22	100:4 120:12	239:20	primary 23:4 29:7	215:19 222:5
117:10 121:22	127:12 134:24	preparation 53:11	184:2	processes 77:12,13
123:10 124:10	155:12 161:19	83:13 184:14	principal 95:12,16	procurement 29:2
125:11 128:23	162:7 184:13,18	prepare 201:25	159:6	produce 103:2
130:12 132:16,20	197:16 250:2	prepared 91:4,4	principally 52:15	producing 2:14
134:3,17 151:2,4	possibly 12:11	preparing 2:14	203:3 207:20	127:2
168:12 179:6	28:19 159:7	3:22 6:7 11:18	principles 90:2	professional 94:16
182:1 187:7	244:24	11:22 13:7 14:3	print 235:21	106:12 121:15
189:12 193:7	post 9:16 84:16	214:16 218:12,18	printed 65:21	179:19 180:1,1,4
194:8 199:16	97:18 151:11	present 50:15	printer 73:21	proficiency 24:20
203:6 205:8	168:17	70:10,18 209:9	printouts 67:2	proficient 22:21
209:19 217:8	posts 89:2 99:9	242:7	prior 54:14 55:12	24:18 25:17
223:17,21 224:16	posture 9:21 27:23	presentation	62:11	profile 57:7 59:14
230:4	pot 128:1	102:21	priority 70:18	59:15 60:11,16
Police's 129:2	potential 13:16,17	presented 28:22	prism 11:9 36:14	60:20,22 61:11
policing 27:16	56:1 90:12 98:25	126:23 148:23	privately 123:22	profiles 60:14
223:16,20 224:15	99:4 166:15	presenting 177:2	231:9	programme
policy 25:1 30:1	202:18 203:13	preserve 36:4 39:2	privilege 16:5 82:4	192:20
31:14,15,25 32:2	potentially 36:4	189:6 193:4	142:20,20 166:8	progress 173:24
32:15 33:4,18	107:12	preserved 36:22	206:17	226:2
35:23 186:1	power 65:25 90:21	37:11,13 186:22	privileged 82:19	progressing 66:12
polite 217:19	90:23,25 110:20	press 226:6	probably 28:5	promotion 179:23
political 90:21	110:23	pressing 225:8,13	39:12 74:20	prompt 248:16
110:20,22 214:18	powers 85:2	226:4	93:15 96:7	prompted 41:7
214:24	118:13 127:19	pressure 75:4	101:17 126:12	141:1 143:20
politician 128:23	175:7,8	84:23	145:16 171:6	154:4,11
port 88:24	PR 122:8	presumably 122:8	173:18 179:21	prone 129:16,19
portray 14:22	practicality's	194:3	199:13 245:2	pronounced
position 21:11	23:19	presume 31:9	probationer	124:14
28:11 43:18 50:5	practically 59:3	142:3	102:24	proper 77:13
65:10 85:10	practice 33:3 34:1	pretty 236:3	problem 62:5	94:20 103:2

				Page 2/3
153:8	170:20	que 170:11	quite 49:4 53:21	reading 28:21
properly 232:24	pub 152:6 153:2	que 170:11 queried 119:5,5,6	107:10 145:2	67:14 83:23
propose 15:17	public 12:7 36:9		146:17 149:10,12	121:12 122:1
100:3 121:4	39:7 110:5,5,22	query 115:19 question 1:24 3:20	205:23 215:2	161:2,3
122:23			232:23 235:20	reads 2:12
	publicly 20:8	5:11 8:7 10:6,10		
proposed 13:13	pulling 90:19,20	17:19 19:20 24:5	238:21,25 241:16	ready 133:3
120:8	90:23,24	25:21 35:4 36:9	quote 122:23	real 96:14
proposing 15:11 15:16	purchase 29:1	36:14 46:24	166:2	realisation 127:11
	purchased 22:8,11 39:24	47:22 50:23	quoting 146:22	129:13,13
prosecute 76:18		51:20 52:10	R	realise 13:5 35:21
77:9	purely 69:10 205:6	53:20 62:3 67:23	radar 36:11	186:11 191:13,23
prosecution 9:7	purpose 22:12	69:12 73:17	raise 20:10 78:18	194:11
10:11 37:7 93:23	38:20 46:10	76:21 80:25	78:23 79:1 94:19	realised 8:11 42:7
128:6 142:9	200:20	100:7 105:11	raised 47:23	140:7 191:24
186:16 187:3	purposely 39:16	109:14 120:7	110:13 140:15	192:2 194:14
prosecution's	purposes 18:6	121:5 127:6	141:2 156:16	realising 42:23
187:10	20:22,25 22:20	135:22 138:20		realistic 86:11,17
prosequi 76:22,24	33:15,20 44:14	139:10 142:20,24	raising 40:24	160:4 171:2
protect 149:5	pursuant 142:6	144:8,12,25	range 10:23 46:10 195:17 238:17,18	really 14:22 15:6
Protection 33:11	pursue 128:16	145:1 149:24	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	99:1 103:20
33:12	229:18	150:24,25 151:7	238:23 239:3,9	123:24 124:8
protocols 18:20,22	pursued 128:17	151:7 161:24	239:21	142:23 153:10
153:9	148:20	163:12 171:15	ratified 32:5	171:15 197:18
proud 223:16	pursuing 205:10	172:21 182:22	rationale 32:12	202:19 216:20
224:15	pursuit 102:6	187:20 188:1	re-71:16	reason 14:7,8 20:4
prove 44:2 45:21	pushing 96:2	194:25 198:16	reacquaint 233:16	20:5 36:17 38:14
proved 73:15	put 10:6 11:23	200:8 205:4	reacquainting	46:16 50:2 55:19
provide 5:4 7:10	12:21 34:1 36:15	217:4 218:10	233:22	91:15 95:12,16
11:25 14:25	44:12 71:17 75:4	questioned 1:9	react 78:10 91:11	107:8 129:1
15:12 33:10	87:9 90:17 116:8	116:2 121:1	94:3	157:20 158:13,21
69:22 70:20	116:9,14 128:15	169:13 174:4	reaction 14:18	211:18 217:3
86:24 102:10	132:3 145:21	183:15 231:1	35:15,17 83:20	229:22 230:18
111:20 112:9	147:7,17,25	questioning 116:6	109:13,16 110:19	245:9 249:19
115:9 139:1,19	151:3 157:12	questions 1:21	176:13	reasons 9:15 19:5
139:23 147:21	165:2 182:10	39:18 44:16	reactivating 20:18	19:6 51:10 76:12
232:24 235:12	187:19 213:8	49:22 61:10	read 4:23 5:25	84:9 114:8 131:6
239:25 249:6	223:22 227:19	64:10 68:20,24	14:9 15:1 27:3	156:20,25 181:20
provided 3:18	putting 54:21	69:13,14 72:6	27:13 47:4 71:14	196:1 207:4
16:13 65:7	108:12 146:16	74:10 93:9 113:7	87:1 93:7,8	224:3,6,8 225:9
102:10 135:18	Pyle 4:2 84:24	115:15 145:15	105:1 111:10,11	225:14 226:5,13
161:5 234:4,8,11	85:16 92:5 96:12	147:7 169:6,11	114:5 122:24	226:24 229:23
235:9,20 237:8	96:18 118:13	174:6 212:22	147:25 157:5	234:6 239:19
237:12,13,23	120:9 174:22	213:2 222:15	160:25 161:10	244:17
providing 10:23		228:21 230:7	164:7 170:12	reassemble 182:25
13:1 44:14 46:9	Q	248:19,20 249:4	176:13 209:14	recall 4:7,24 38:7
99:22 173:11	QCs 226:22	quick 25:25 26:11	210:17,20 217:17	53:12,24 54:1,3,4
233:5,24	qualified 130:13	92:4	221:13,14 222:22	54:5 59:8 63:4
provision 232:3	130:16	quickly 115:17	227:11 228:13,19	74:1 99:2,6
provisionally	quarter 115:20,21	152:25 216:7,8	241:16 248:25	116:14 117:15
	quarters 111:4		249:18	
	<u>I</u>	1	1	!

				Page 2/4
141:24 146:14	records 199:3	refresh 86:25	93:10 125:11	40:25
148:5 154:12	records 199:3 recover 36:7	233:2	128:11 154:17	reluctantly 14:24
172:15 202:21	recover 30:7	refreshed 105:5	165:5 169:17	rely 6:10 7:13 8:4
207:16 216:8		186:3	174:7 180:21	53:10 93:21
	Recycle 61:7 red 88:3 248:2			33:10 93:21 141:17 146:4
218:13 249:2,13		refreshing 87:4	189:16,17 207:7	
recalling 154:8	redacted 27:13	113:25	207:10 248:14	relying 136:11
receive 24:20	196:5	refusal 171:8	249:10	remain 84:15 85:9
43:22	reduce 32:22	refuse 116:13	relationship 92:23	91:1 97:18
received 3:1 4:25	115:10	117:5 118:10	106:9,13 127:15	remained 90:7
7:18 16:23 26:13	reduced 193:10,12	119:23 120:2	179:14,16,20	130:20
28:18,22 29:23	reeks 105:1	refused 117:18	180:8,12,15	remaining 63:13
29:24 42:22	refer 5:23 34:25	regard 57:15	205:22	remember 4:17
65:20 82:13	35:3 67:15,19,20	100:15 187:1	relaxed 78:11	10:18 18:10
89:13 197:4	70:5 71:9 101:10	regarded 77:14	relaxing 159:15	25:23 27:1 30:3
207:21	103:18 114:15	217:25	release 166:22	38:9 43:15 54:21
receiving 26:8,15	184:6	regarding 132:15	167:5	57:13,21 58:24
26:17,22 51:18	reference 4:22	regards 168:16	released 177:15	90:11 91:24 92:4
113:22	13:11 14:11,17	register 50:22	relentless 84:24	116:15 125:7
receptive 109:2 204:1	30:23 33:9,17 46:23 83:4	registered 60:17 60:20	relevance 5:19	127:9 131:24
-			6:14,15 7:9 8:12	132:25 161:21
receptiveness	103:18,22 104:22	regret 105:2 134:8	27:24 37:23 38:2	162:1,4,12,13,14
204:7	105:12 113:14	141:18 143:23	73:11 127:2,5	165:23 172:4
recollection 32:14	121:21 135:24	144:2,16 145:4	133:20 135:10	173:4 191:25
54:11 80:4,7	166:3 169:15	145:14	140:19 146:6,18	192:14 203:22
198:12	178:24 180:23	regrettable 16:6	155:13 169:24	205:7 217:15
recommendations	204:18 205:1,12	100:15	178:23 245:16	218:2 219:13
97:14 111:9,22	209:18 211:8,22	regrettably 17:12	247:23	241:6 243:18,20
112:11 114:8	213:20 222:20	25:12	relevant 2:21,24	remembered
115:2,12	references 114:7	regretting 144:9	3:18 5:13 6:24	186:6
reconcilable 120:4	219:18	regularise 70:15	13:10 14:16 15:7	remembers 250:1
reconsider 34:21	referred 21:19	regulations 27:16	17:6 36:4 37:9	remind 146:1
reconvened	22:7 27:5 42:4,6	95:1 105:8 196:8	37:21 38:1 39:3	165:19 171:12
156:20	64:18 68:11 94:7	relate 27:12 50:23	45:5 73:1 74:11	reminded 97:9
record 32:20	174:8 194:1	related 18:7,8	74:13 107:12,12	remotely 60:11
126:7 212:7	207:12	37:12 50:20	107:13 127:3	remove 175:9
215:23,25 216:4	referring 30:25	51:16 88:7,9	131:22 132:11	removed 43:14
230:11 231:21	34:16 45:16	107:1,2 186:23 199:15 200:9	135:1 140:23	repeat 119:15 136:9
245:18 246:1	67:20,22 68:5,6,9		145:25 146:2	
248:25	68:10 82:10 86:16 103:12,16	224:19	148:7 187:8,16	repeatedly 138:18
recorded 53:23	· /	relates 50:7 51:1 218:8	187:18 188:4,7	rephrased 142:25
54:12 231:14,17 231:23	113:20 114:22 133:10 202:23		188:25 193:8,9	replacement 241:4
		relating 3:13	194:5,7 195:18	241:10,11,22
recording 52:22	203:19 209:15	27:15 37:14,16	200:25 201:2	242:3,3
52:24,25 53:7,17	211:15 249:14	38:5 50:17,18	237:2 239:5	replied 84:16
53:25 54:1,4,17	refers 210:10	64:25 65:13 66:8	241:12 242:7	replies 104:9
54:22 62:13	reflected 76:9	104:12 171:8,9	248:15	reply 86:10 119:10
63:24 216:3	171:22 214:21	193:4	reliance 136:8	216:19 225:10
recordings 79:12 149:15 214:3	reflecting 159:16 reflects 217:12	relation 3:12 56:21 64:4 78:20	146:6	report 9:17 14:19
149.13 214:3	renects 21/:12	30.21 04:4 /8:20	relied 8:8,20 40:22	56:18,20 66:24
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

				1 age 273
103:13,19 107:24	resignation 117:21	163:5 175:6,17	180:14 181:12	158:9 170:18
103.13,19 107.24	resignation 117.21 resigned 181:6	208:13 209:20	186:17,17 189:6	174:5 181:4
109:13,16 111:22	resources 160:9	retired 56:16	189:14 190:22	182:2 213:15
113:20 114:9,17	respect 91:3 99:21	88:24 100:12	195:12 201:17	228:12 233:5,6
163:2 172:12,12	180:4	150:11 151:3	202:9 214:5,7	236:21 237:7,13
172:17 218:9,12	respected 77:5	186:4,21 188:18	233:10,15 236:4	238:1,6,13
218:17 219:1,13	105:19	189:17 216:9	236:20,21 249:1	245:10 246:13
219:16,25 221:12	respond 26:10	233:3 235:6	RGP's 24:25 32:14	rightly 40:24
221:15 222:7	29:20 30:7 41:16	236:1,19 243:5	75:4 93:2 145:24	67:23
224:5	94:19 111:12	retirement 9:8	Rich 86:10 171:1	Riley 68:20 69:13
		35:5 57:9 64:14	Richard 41:15,21	ringing 43:19
reported 179:9	responded 29:17 42:23 98:3		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	risk 87:11 90:17
reporting 90:12		65:11 67:8 84:11	Richardson 5:1,5	
reports 35:10	responding 3:6	84:23 97:6	5:9 6:11,22 8:2	229:19
110:21 151:25	9:13	156:25 186:2,8	11:4 15:11,14	road 12:11,12,12
representation	response 3:8 41:25	216:16	29:7,19 37:20	12:16
87:24,25	65:25 69:14	retiring 120:18	38:9 40:10,13,17	roadmap 113:10
representations	75:12 88:2 103:3	129:5 217:18	40:19 41:14 48:3	robust 88:1
97:25 118:3	103:3 112:6,13	retrieving 152:9	48:3,7,10,17,23	Roca 216:11,15
147:18	113:8 119:6	retrospectively	49:18 50:1,12	217:2,10,14
representing	171:1 193:17	236:10	51:22 52:6 53:23	218:1
167:17 232:17	218:15	return 68:17	54:4,17 55:3	Rocca 224:25
reputation 17:24	responses 3:11	185:10	66:15 72:20	229:16 237:24
request 2:11,12,22	66:1	returned 40:9 65:9	81:21,25 83:6	238:7
2:23 3:2,6 45:14	responsibilities	185:4,12	99:3,13 100:11	rock 89:22 98:9
50:12 64:7 66:24	81:16 93:17	reused 191:11	100:17 101:1	role 76:1,5 80:12
66:25 69:17	responsibility	reverse 90:10	104:4,7,24	218:11
108:2 111:19,24	12:17 143:19	reversed 159:11	105:23 106:6,9	rolled 37:3
112:14 116:23	responsible 156:17	review 8:1 15:13	106:15,18 109:2	room 39:12 116:19
117:11 148:8	rest 12:24 79:11	reviewed 187:15	122:9,14 123:2	209:9
167:15,19,25	restarted 184:18	reviewing 220:2	128:4 165:13	rose 230:17
193:16 214:14	result 87:14 170:2	RGP 2:1 7:5 13:10	166:8 168:1,19	roses 109:7
238:8	181:6 191:15	13:18 15:23	183:1,13,14	round 86:5 94:10
requested 10:20	226:18	20:12,14 21:23	199:18 205:1	94:14
47:18 90:24	resulted 202:3	22:9,24 25:6	209:16 212:22	round-robin 32:3
179:5 195:6	205:25	34:10 36:24 37:8	213:6 222:17	route 79:9 165:6
requesting 112:9	resulting 5:18	37:8 39:2 42:25	238:24 250:1,10	166:15,18
115:13 156:3	retain 65:3 149:4	44:1,7 47:4,7,12	Richardson's 30:4	routinely 189:12
requests 52:8	retained 64:15,24	49:12 60:11	55:8,21 81:21	193:8
required 6:9 140:2	65:11,13 69:1,24	61:12 64:7 65:9	rid 88:21,23,25	row 117:1 121:11
140:4	70:14 235:5	70:15,25 71:4,8	89:2 90:18 96:16	Royal 88:24
requirement 6:14	retire 51:1,7 82:14	80:21 87:25	130:2	rubbish 85:24,24
7:10 19:17 49:2	84:16 85:13,13	100:2 110:11	riding 110:4	rucksack 126:5
175:16,18,22	89:12 97:22	111:2 121:4,10	right 20:25 31:21	rule 76:4 80:15
requirements	116:13,23 117:6	122:22 123:18	41:1 70:20 90:13	91:1 129:15
111:15	117:11,17 118:10	139:1,13,19	102:25 103:11	ruled 12:8
researched 174:16	118:16,22 119:16	140:7,10 141:13	107:18 119:4,9	run 78:21 234:1
researching	119:23 120:3	147:14 149:19	120:16 131:13	run-up 83:25
192:20 235:10	129:1,3,9 157:7	152:5 159:24	135:15 137:3	running 96:22
resent 85:24	158:7 160:20	168:7 179:15	142:22 147:12	rush 102:17
	1	ı .	1	ı

				1 age 270
rushed 154:18	238:20,22 239:2	schedule 48:23	66:24 102:20	112:17,18,20,20
ruthless 86:12	247:11,22 248:19	scheduled 50:10	163:4 214:14	113:5 170:24
88:12 171:3	249:24 250:6,9	school 100:14	218:9,12 219:25	176:8 199:18
ruthlessness 91:10	250:17,22	scope 146:18	221:12 250:4	217:23 221:3
	sarcasm 105:1,2	159:17 195:17	section's 103:6	227:12 249:10
S	sarcastic 106:1,17	211:11,16	sectors 110:13	seized 16:20 21:6
sabotage 90:16	sat 52:4 138:1	screen 2:9 6:2	secure 22:13	141:21 142:1
96:16	140:17 156:8,11	32:21 41:18 44:9	150:13	seizure 39:21
sacked 85:16	159:17	48:14 67:13	security 18:20,22	selective 171:19
Sacramento 4:5	satisfaction 110:18	69:16 73:19,19	19:6 77:4 127:18	seminal 53:21
92:20 157:6	save 37:18 131:19	95:10 113:16	127:22 153:9	send 42:19 113:10
sacrifice 182:13	138:17	116:10 132:3	232:21 234:6	128:14 199:14
sad 223:15,19	saved 45:8 63:11	157:13 209:12	see 32:10 38:2	237:9
224:14	151:18	210:16 223:1	44:22 48:9,15	sending 200:9
safeguard 32:23	saw 5:18 75:25	248:10	49:13 50:4 52:7	243:25
144:9	76:5 94:9 98:5	screens 67:11	52:17 55:5,9,11	senior 1:25 9:6
safeguarded 37:5	154:16 159:8	211:14	66:11 75:9 84:19	10:10 11:2 22:25
safeguarding	172:13 227:13	scroll 44:19	95:3 107:22	27:22 89:2 99:9
127:21	saying 18:19 30:7	132:13	108:14 117:2	126:13,14 130:8
safety 19:5	47:18 53:24	scrolling 121:17	118:18 119:12	134:17,20 146:12
sake 23:18,19	58:11 67:5 89:16	scrutiny 145:16,17	123:6,12 133:7	181:4,5,6,12
24:11,11 247:14	90:14 92:18	145:21	134:7 136:7	189:24 190:4
Samantha 4:5	104:6 108:13	scurrilous 17:14	140:25 141:20	214:17 215:10
Samsung 22:21	111:7 118:20	sea 9:17 51:13	143:22 145:23	223:24 231:9
24:13,19 25:15	119:22 127:9	67:1 87:20 95:10	149:9,14 152:18	232:4
26:6,9,14,19,23	133:18 139:9	98:20 105:4	152:22 154:24	sense 5:22 12:13
26:25 27:6 29:13	162:12,13,15	121:3 163:1	156:13,15 164:20	14:24 16:6 36:14
29:20 31:12,13	165:24 173:21	171:10 202:21	169:21,21 170:12	98:6 160:4
45:18 150:6	219:19 220:6	204:21 209:23	170:25 188:3	172:18 178:1
189:25 190:2,6	says 7:1 30:10 31:6	224:5	198:17 207:7	224:7
190:10,11,15	31:9 32:9 33:18	search 49:5,7	215:4 216:13,14	sensed 111:1
191:4,14	41:15 43:5 44:22	69:18 141:22	216:19,23 217:3	senses 12:13
Samsungs 23:13	47:8 50:1 54:9	142:2,6 194:3	218:23,24 220:9	sensible 120:19
Sanchez 193:2	56:23 60:7,15	234:7 235:19,19	221:20 236:25	sensitive 63:16,21
Sands 225:3	61:8 62:23,24	searches 234:1	240:9 243:13	sent 10:2 34:16,24
Santos 1:5,9 10:7	66:1 74:1 75:11	seasoned 57:18	246:2,9,25	38:1,6 43:5 53:9
10:8 12:6 13:2	81:25 86:8	second 2:10 60:15	seeing 44:14 86:3	54:6 84:14,22
69:4 71:23 72:1	101:23 108:10	64:11 102:18	89:7 154:3,10	89:15 93:6
72:6 92:12 95:18	111:20 113:22	193:25 224:22	217:22	101:16 111:6
112:1 115:23	202:15,16 204:22	second-in- 78:5	seek 20:18 35:12	193:15 194:1
116:5 139:5,10	205:17 206:16,18	secondly 90:10	44:16 46:25 66:7	196:18 197:3
165:17 174:8	207:8 211:14	120:2 159:5	97:6 154:4	200:15,21 203:15
178:25 179:7	216:15 218:25	177:6	155:19 193:3	216:11 219:8
183:12,15 192:10	219:7 222:14	secret 168:9,14,20	201:18	221:11 232:2
193:15 200:7	224:25 229:13	168:21	seeking 2:7 7:8	238:9 239:6
204:25 207:4	249:14	secretarial 58:9	84:5,22 87:23,24	240:5 243:11,15
208:18 209:3,5	scalped 128:14	secretary 4:2	111:13 165:6	sentence 4:23
209:13 210:6,13	scenario 157:21	50:11 57:25	168:9	62:23 179:2
211:18 212:12,21	scenes 215:8 231:4	section 60:14	seen 27:10 74:22	separate 70:5
230:11,17,24				
	•	•	•	•

				1 age 277
215:2	shared 32:25	significantly 32:22	101:16 105:18	10:9 24:24,24
September 46:1	58:11 98:2 168:6	silence 43:20	106:17 116:20	31:19 39:22
193:3 246:5	178:4	silent 30:17 136:23	120:5 129:5	40:15 41:17,18
sequence 7:17,20	sharing 123:8	SIM 185:5	135:3 159:9	42:11,11,11,18
sergeant 219:23	176:19	similar 109:19	164:16,21 165:7	42:18 47:24
series 68:20,23	shed 14:17	179:18	166:16 173:25	50:18 52:14
72:22	shifted 17:11	simple 125:15	175:12 189:14	56:12 62:23
serious 36:23	98:13	197:2	190:22 203:4	63:17,19 64:11
75:18 77:3,3	shock 43:22 94:22	Simplifying	214:12,13 226:18	71:6 73:3 92:11
84:19 85:8 87:9	shockwave 128:14	150:22	226:23	96:23 102:13,14
96:14 120:14	shockwave 75:17	simply 17:11 95:4	situations 16:2	102:15,18 107:14
127:18 135:11	shop 242:19,23	130:6 150:14	151:13	109:20 114:13,23
149:12 172:13	short 41:11 72:4	151:1 152:4	six 40:17 50:10	117:1 124:14,15
178:5 209:23	115:25 138:16	157:14 235:16	101:25 187:4,5	124:18 131:17
228:14	213:13	242:18	204:21	132:12 133:18
seriously 15:19	shorter 198:2	single 69:24	size 6:3	138:19 139:7
85:3 90:11	shorthand 138:17	135:23 188:19	slightest 56:11	141:11 142:19
120:15 169:10	shortish 213:8	sinister 12:21,25	slow 26:2	144:2 148:23
176:25	shortly 44:1 98:22	109:8	slowed 26:6	156:1,10 157:25
servant 79:8	101:18 185:2,17	sink 95:8	slower 22:22	166:1 167:18,21
server 151:18,20	shoulder-to-sho	SIO 6:19 7:3	sluggish 26:3	167:24 174:5
233:10	94:24	sir 1:5 69:3 107:24	small 183:3 218:17	176:4 180:17,22
servers 202:9	show 8:25 80:1	116:2 126:19,19	smell 88:5,10	183:5,6 187:20
service 58:15 59:6	125:23 126:21	131:13,14,17	smokescreen	188:21 190:20
60:2,3 62:19	133:17,19	132:6 138:21,25	85:22 95:11	210:16 222:19
64:2 73:9 85:6	showed 116:10	142:22 143:1,4,8	SMSs 2:19	223:5,8 245:13
98:17 110:18	249:25	144:24 146:11,13	SMT 44:22 45:4,9	250:13
125:4 126:21	showing 60:22	148:2 157:25,25	45:19 47:3,9	sort 10:1 16:16
223:15	222:22	158:3 160:12,13	155:8 156:3	28:6 30:10 47:17
Services 89:1	shown 169:19	160:17 162:18,20	200:17,17 207:13	52:7 59:3 63:22
serving 165:14	213:19	162:21,23 163:13	SMT's 154:5	78:10 88:9 98:10
set 6:8 28:19 31:15	sick 248:11	167:21,24 169:1	155:20	155:25 179:25
91:18 103:2	side 9:12 93:24	170:22 171:11	snippet 52:8,8	sought 66:7
176:6 184:4	110:24 117:25	193:14 208:10	SNT 27:4 28:3,6	soul 12:22 135:17
195:19 236:15	127:22 158:8	213:2,5,12,16,23	solace 19:25	sound 100:22
238:20	179:19 217:2	215:9,25 222:8	139:22	Spain 248:11
sets 40:18 50:4	sides 93:24	222:13 230:23	solicitors 10:22	Spanish 40:5
56:20	sidetracked	240:9 244:19,22	34:17	98:23 100:18,19
seven 17:20 28:12	212:19	244:25 248:19	somebody 74:4	102:19,24 104:3
64:9 135:18	siding 159:14	250:22	91:13 97:15,16	104:9 108:6,11
severe 88:15,16	SIF 121:16	sit 70:19 94:14	98:24 101:2	109:23 170:12
shabby 102:21	Signal 124:11,25	115:9 158:9	105:7,7 159:12	202:16 204:9,11
shake 159:19	125:1,2	188:18	202:17 217:20	Spanish)is 102:25
Shambolic 102:23	signature 1:17	sitting 146:15	220:24 236:7	spanned 179:16
shape 95:2 137:13	31:20,21 183:21	situ 73:21,23	somebody's 214:9	spare 209:8
174:1	signed 31:17,19	situation 8:22 20:9	soon 21:6	speak 92:18
shaping 89:7	significance 192:3	77:16 80:8 85:17	SOP 102:19	175:15
share 82:7 166:11	significant 86:19	91:5,14,15 92:10	sorrow 108:10	speaker 187:25
166:12 206:20	154:25 171:24	92:11,25 93:2,2	sorry 6:1 8:7 10:3	227:22,23
	•			

				1480 270
speaking 99:3	starts 100:7	stones 169:3	subsequently 36:6	145:11
123:22	state 85:10 165:15	stop 64:22 120:18	substance 137:15	sure 6:5 23:21,24
Special 178:10	stated 4:20 60:10	143:6 166:7	substantially	24:1 49:12 58:10
specific 2:22 13:17	statement 2:14	211:5	249:9	125:10 161:21
22:12 46:15	3:25 4:10,10,20	storm 75:20	substantive 72:8	166:24 169:12,23
63:19 114:7	5:6,7,24 14:3	straddled 203:11	substitution 124:6	178:16,18 201:6
136:7 202:24	17:2 20:7 21:10	Straight 21:4	subtly 177:18,20	219:2,17,25
211:7	22:15 23:16	straightaway 21:6	sudden 104:2,3,23	220:11 221:4,6
specifically 3:12	24:23 32:13 34:7	88:4	200:12,13 205:25	221:13,16,18
26:20 47:21	64:5 70:21 74:17	straightforward	suffering 136:13	222:9,11
57:13,21 58:24	137:19 148:3	25:13	sufficient 27:14	surprise 38:13
72:19 116:21	160:21 165:20	strand 10:19	37:5 213:1	surprised 213:7,17
199:15 234:23	178:25 179:3,4	120:12	suggest 52:17	232:11,13 247:7
238:3	184:2 185:1	straying 178:22	216:24 220:19,20	surrounding 49:8
speculation 220:14	193:18,25 194:4	stream 110:12	suggested 10:24	135:3
speculative 128:20	196:21 197:5	street 90:15	11:3,4 85:1	surroundings
speed 97:17	201:25 218:4	108:10	144:13 245:15	78:16
spend 233:22	225:20,21,22,23	strength 229:10	suggesting 13:16	survival 17:13
spent 187:5 219:15	225:24,25 226:7	strengthening	107:13 115:7	survive 159:9
spoke 158:14	227:20,23 228:1	187:11	123:5 215:4	survived 81:10
163:16	232:24 233:6,24	strictly 175:14	247:24	suspect 76:12
spoken 228:6	235:11 237:9	strong 86:9 177:23	suggestion 111:12	230:1 231:9
spontaneously	statements 1:13,18	215:2	204:2 211:19	suspects 93:25
117:14	12:19 14:13 53:1	stronger 33:25	226:19	127:23,23 159:14
squad 134:21	121:20,22 135:19	strongly 88:1	suggestions 169:14	suspicion 66:20
SS 92:18	136:6,22 147:10	structured 173:13	177:3	suspicions 35:17
stab 98:11	183:17,20,22	structuring 3:11	suggests 99:6	64:23 66:21,22
staff 57:4,16 58:4	191:9 234:16	struggle 108:7	suite 58:4	suspicious 49:16
58:8,18	states 9:20 31:14	struggled 25:7	suited 149:3	swear 98:24
stage 7:11 15:12	station 151:2	26:4	suiting 149:8	202:16
78:19 80:3,17	162:12 163:17,18	strung 225:7,12,16	summarise 157:1	switch 198:25
81:8 140:20	163:21	stuff 153:22	Sunday 168:22	200:12,14
229:24	statute 175:21	stupid 221:8,9	225:6	switched 51:24
staged 85:19	stay 28:11 210:23	subject 2:15,21	Superintendent	198:23 199:11
stand 13:15 76:3	steered 215:15	47:3 68:21	5:1	switching 30:19,22
81:11 111:2	steering 122:9	228:14	supervisory	47:25
129:1 153:3	stern 88:1 171:9	subjected 90:21	218:15	system 24:18 25:4
standalone 234:5	STI 10:21 13:18	117:20 145:18	support 12:3 58:7	25:5 232:22
standard 103:6	40:23 140:2,14	submission 148:4	80:13 86:6 93:22	
Standards 121:16	155:19 STU: 6:17	148:13 217:12	99:21 110:1	ta 82:4 206:17
standing 94:23 start 28:23,24 48:2	STI's 6:17	submissions 74:10	121:8 216:22	table 52:5 94:14
56:8 83:23 86:5	stick 216:6 sticks 153:20	148:9 153:13 250:17,18	218:6	150:15 151:3
115:20,21 116:3	Stipe 104:11 106:7	submitted 191:10	supporting 94:5 161:9	tails 104:15,20
started 104:16	stipendiary 82:10	submitting 222:6	supportive 127:20	taint 177:1
191:19 192:4	106:10,14,18	subscriber 18:25	supportive 127:20 suppose 11:6	taints 177:1
191.19 192.4	165:14 166:13,19	26:21 153:5	25:21 63:15 96:5	take 1:13 2:6
starting 121:12	167:9 168:3,10	subscriptions 19:2	supposed 94:3	12:15 13:23 31:8
239:10	205:13	subsequent 5:17	supposition	33:4,7 34:19
237.10	203.13	Sabsequent 3.17	Supposition	50:19 71:12,14
	l	l	I	<u> </u>

				Page 2/9
76.7 94.10 00.10	226.10.22.240.5	228.20.222.1	22.5 22.10 24.5	201.14.22.202.6
76:7 84:10 90:10	236:10,23 240:5	228:20 232:1	22:5 23:19 24:5	201:14,23 202:6
105:21 107:24	240:6,14,17,18	texts 3:5 228:19	25:22 27:4 30:24	204:8 206:23,24
108:1 115:18,21	241:5 242:4,7,15	thank 1:23 31:21	32:1 34:12,14,15	207:1,11 208:3
116:25 123:3	246:1,2,7,8	44:9 46:21 71:6	37:13 39:7 41:24	209:13 210:7
169:9 173:3	telephones 235:22	76:15 108:1	42:7 44:1 46:11	212:16,16,24
175:4 181:20	tell 21:16 44:4	115:14,23 118:21	50:9,25 51:6	215:25,25 217:12
183:16 208:1	51:8,9 76:13	121:17 131:17	52:5,13 54:10	219:15 220:6,7,9
213:18 228:24	78:5 85:23	137:6 162:20,21	59:12 66:22,23	221:14,24,25
248:10	144:12 168:4,18	163:23 166:7	67:25 76:9 78:9	222:1,4 223:3,19
taken 16:17 19:1	248:14	169:4,8 174:3	78:21,21 79:18	224:9 225:2
21:3 48:8 52:11	telling 30:14 77:5	182:17,18,19,20	83:19 86:23	227:13 228:2
55:4 74:4 131:1	77:17,17 83:10	209:5,22 211:2	87:20 90:1 91:2	229:1,17 230:2,8
133:6 139:22	83:12 174:25	212:23 213:4,9	91:3,23 92:1	230:11 232:15
150:12,13 173:6	194:7 212:11	218:7 222:15	93:13 95:3,4,18	234:9 235:8
173:15 182:7	temper 77:19	223:5 230:6,23	97:7 98:22	237:5,13,19
203:7	ten 135:20 226:22	239:8 240:11	100:25 101:5	238:14,14,20
takes 177:17	233:20	250:9,10,11,22	102:18 106:14	240:8 241:7
204:19	tend 78:9 152:20	thanked 168:3	107:6,18,24	243:7 244:13
talk 11:18 29:25	tendency 129:20	thanks 82:7 86:10	108:21,24 109:16	245:14 246:15
86:4 92:20	tenor 121:10 137:9	89:13 171:1	109:25 112:23	247:11,18 248:3
226:21	137:16 171:9	206:21 216:20	113:2,24,24,25	248:9 250:3
talked 172:23	tens 233:21	theirs 142:7	114:10,23 122:19	thinking 28:25
talking 46:18	tension 115:10	143:19	122:22 123:15,16	30:11 50:2 101:2
88:20 98:25	tensions 173:24,24	thereabouts 241:8	123:21,24 124:19	115:5 127:25
101:19 114:14	tenth 24:22	therem 69:12	124:22,25 125:2	133:25 177:24
122:16 123:7,11	term 17:23	thereon 206:6	125:2,3,6,7,9,9	217:6 219:1
178:9 197:15	termination	they'd 187:4	125:18,19 126:5	224:2 237:7
202:18 217:18	168:17	242:25	128:10 129:11	238:6
225:2	terms 3:10 9:15	thing 5:20 30:10	133:2 134:25	thinks 228:22
tape 214:2	13:11 14:17	39:6 67:9 70:6	137:2,2,3,5,8	third 4:10 41:19
task 8:15 49:11	17:23 26:7 27:9	104:19 115:19	139:5 142:24	159:7
144:8	27:18 28:16 29:6	120:20 125:23	146:2,8,23	thirdly 177:16
team 9:14 13:14	37:3 58:8 65:18	169:5 192:23	147:22 150:3	tho 145:6
22:13 27:4,21	72:10,24 73:5,9	222:22 223:25	152:20 153:10,13	thought 11:6
70:9 79:19 80:13	75:2 77:12 82:16	248:20 249:13	154:9,12 155:25	12:10 87:4 99:23
82:17 85:25 94:3	84:13 88:12,18	things 17:22 26:5	156:2 157:13,18	120:6 128:22
101:4 109:7	93:13 98:14,15	79:16 86:4,5	157:19 158:6	131:22 132:10
121:15,23 122:13	110:5,9 118:2	97:17 102:23	159:5,16 161:13	137:20 140:21
138:6 142:14	129:14 158:12	116:4 120:3	163:11 166:23	141:9 145:24
143:5,6,12 144:6	160:8 166:18	124:16 147:21	170:16,19 171:6	167:7 195:23
144:17,19 145:2	171:17 175:3	153:18,19 154:11	171:19 172:2	224:10,14
156:3 172:6	176:23 225:2	158:9 173:11	173:1 174:9,21	thousands 12:19
190:4 218:17	territorial 102:7	180:3,5 184:6	174:23 175:14,15	12:19 231:22
235:8	text 5:1 24:21	201:21 231:22	175:20 178:22	thread 120:12
technical 8:15	25:15 53:4 75:13	248:3	181:15 182:10	threat 81:11,13
25:20 36:21	84:24 112:15	think 2:8 4:21	184:19 185:9,11	88:7
telephone 2:3	124:3 147:11	10:21 13:12,14	188:8 189:1	threatened 87:14
28:17 56:24	170:12 172:1	13:15,19 14:8	190:8 192:17,22	three 21:12 62:16
235:23,24 236:2	222:20 223:10,17	17:4 21:19,23	195:3 200:16	69:23 79:5 82:2
	<u> </u>			<u> </u>

				1 age 200
85:5 126:17,20	232:8 233:17	130:10	tweak 219:13	160:19 163:2,15
179:16,17 196:23	235:23 236:4	transferring	tweaking 219:1,14	169:25 170:25
198:24 213:8,13	237:8 242:7	192:16	219:16 220:3,6	171:18 172:22
224:1,22 234:10	250:21	transition 22:22	twisting 77:11	176:7,9 177:9,14
240:1	timeline 219:7	25:9 45:17	two 11:20 23:25	177:19 178:19
throw 169:3	times 61:3 62:25	189:24	24:7,9 40:18	179:14 180:12,13
thrown 95:7	184:15 202:12	transitioning 25:3	41:22 48:19	200:16 202:15
Thursday 1:2	233:19,20 234:10	translate 100:18	51:19 54:14	205:17 207:12
tick 229:18	timetable 250:20	108:8	55:25 58:7 61:3	Ullger's 31:23,24
tidying 153:16	timing 11:16 84:10	transpired 36:12	61:13 62:25	103:3
till 28:20	tip 127:23	37:2 74:15	67:11 73:19	ulterior 85:22
time 3:1,21 4:13	to-person 163:10	136:16	85:14 92:8 97:10	unable 81:17
5:7 6:6,24 11:11	today 11:9 36:14	traumatic 36:7	115:20,21 119:24	127:8,10
13:14,20 14:3,20	113:10 121:20	trawled 19:7 83:22	120:3 129:11	unanimous 206:2
19:17 23:12	174:8	treated 118:24	146:9 154:23	unanmous 200.2
27:25 28:21 30:2	told 23:17 37:24	Triay 121:17	176:15,16,17	212:9
32:18 35:4 36:6	38:9 70:24 76:14	132:3,5,12 166:7	189:20 193:2	unaware 63:25
36:23 38:2 43:21	79:7,15 93:10	210:22 237:5	203:5 211:13	uncertain 175:3
44:2,4 46:4,8	108:11 124:14	tribunal 147:19	217:20 224:3	uncomfortable
48:24 49:4,5,6	133:1 149:16	tried 92:13 127:10	235:22 241:1	158:11
52:6 60:17 62:18	157:21 184:15	140:7	242:24	uncommon 198:2
65:10 67:12 70:6	224:12 225:17	trouble 6:3 250:13	type 27:10 30:19	uncurated 247:13
70:23 71:14 72:4	toll 120:14	250:14	typed 210:24	underestimated
74:16 80:19 87:5	tomorrow 250:16	true 1:18 132:17	227:11	25:2
87:17,18 96:22	250:21	183:23	typo 229:15	undermining
99:23 101:13	tone 79:14 88:2	truly 178:20		187:10
102:5,8 103:17	103:10 111:1	trusted 57:18 58:9	U	underneath 73:20
109:3 110:4	112:12	truth 143:23	Uhuh 246:14	underplaying
111:11,17 114:4	tones 106:2	try 18:6 24:19 76:1	Ullger 6:12,23	229:9
114:18 115:5	top 90:13 93:25	81:15 92:20	11:5 14:2,4,12,16	underscore 11:14
118:18 119:25	95:21 98:21	111:20 152:23	15:11,14 27:18	85:12
120:14,22 124:9	109:18 202:13	160:17	27:22 29:6,19	understand 2:23
126:10 127:24	210:19,21 218:22	trying 8:24 30:6	34:24 47:15	7:3 8:16 12:7
131:19 134:12,18	248:23	77:18 142:11	67:18 73:24,25	14:10 39:14
136:3,5 137:11	touched 135:4	178:13 198:8	75:10,10 78:13	49:17 53:12
138:17 140:12,13	tough 223:14	208:25 216:6	78:19 79:2,20	70:13 73:23,25
143:12 146:2,15	tower 73:19	226:17 249:22	83:6 86:2 89:11	92:13 106:11
151:8 160:12	toying 87:5 118:15	Tuesday 81:25	92:15,19 93:6,10	109:3 120:16
163:25 165:1,3	track 77:11 80:14	206:13	94:7 95:22 97:12	121:19 127:5
171:21 173:19	112:24	turn 31:13 56:18	100:13 101:22	140:5 149:1
179:8,21 180:3	traded 241:25	74:21 82:16 83:1	103:24 104:1,9	152:16 174:11,11
186:8 189:7,13	242:22	84:9 86:5 94:4,6	104:14 105:5	174:15,25 179:8
190:12 195:23	trading 242:20	107:23 114:13	106:23 108:5,10	understanding
199:19 203:16,22	traffic 28:1 184:25	128:2 129:14	108:15 109:21	45:24 80:4
205:11,23 212:11	training 91:6	132:2 166:1	111:7,17 113:6	146:18
212:20 214:13,16	transcriber 230:24	202:10 216:7	114:24 121:14,15	understands
215:9,20 217:21	transcript 64:8	218:19 229:13	122:6 132:18	228:21
218:23 219:1	93:5 157:4 214:2	turning 77:11	134:13 135:25	understood 157:2
231:2,13,17	transferred 130:6	TV 94:25	136:19 137:1	169:18 244:16
ĺ	1	1	156:23 157:5,15	1
			130.23 137.3,13	

				Page 281
undantaal: 60.19	57.2 9 05.6 0	118:2 225:6	192.0 206.10 15	230:3 232:19
undertook 69:18	57:2,8 95:6,9	226:11	183:9 206:10,15 206:20 220:7	236:9 239:10
unedited 167:5 unfair 90:20	124:11 125:4		206:20 220:7	249:18
	130:1 138:16 205:15 221:1	voluntary 225:23 volunteer 119:19	226:14 227:6	
unfairly 118:23				ways 105:22 we'll 75:13 77:18
unfavourable	user 25:7,17 60:14	volunteered	228:18 244:14	
16:12	60:18,24	119:18 127:7	249:20 250:20	we're 171:19
unfolding 188:24	$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$	148:11 243:7	wanted 18:15 26:5	211:19 217:17
unfortunately	valid 151:21,23	vouch 144:14	26:10 27:21,23	225:7,11 226:7,8
172:14	155:2 247:15	vulnerability	38:15 70:15,24	226:9
unhappy 72:22	value 32:17 38:21	84:18	84:19 91:14 92:5	we've 24:5 79:19
unhelpful 3:16,17	229:4	\mathbf{W}	92:9 97:1 119:20	172:3 214:11
unimportance	vanished 147:5	Wagner 46:17,21	122:3 161:8	221:2
146:25	242:11	46:22 71:16	207:8 219:10	weaker 249:9
unit 130:12	various 176:15	138:19 142:19	236:14 249:2	weakness 111:2
unlawful 89:25			wanting 80:13	weapon 110:11
90:2 118:24	Vea 6:5	146:16 147:7,24	114:4	Wednesday 56:25
206:3	vented 99:15	148:3,5 167:18	wants 12:22 97:16	week 96:4 198:24
unlawfully 128:24	verbally 37:25	167:22 174:4	warrant 5:15 37:1	weeks 51:25 61:13
unobjectionable	172:2	175:18,22 176:2	37:21,24 82:22	115:3,8 138:2
143:3	verbatim 137:13	178:24 222:16,18	141:22 142:2,6	196:24 197:8
unplugged 73:22	verdict 206:3	223:2 227:19	166:22 167:17	204:21 225:4
unredacted 27:14	version 65:22	228:1,4,12,15	199:7 231:11	weeks' 240:1
unsubstantiated	247:13 249:5	229:3 230:6	243:24 244:7	weight 85:21
129:17	versions 27:14	248:21 249:22,25	245:1,4,6,7	welcome 43:21
untenability 93:14	Vibe 124:11,13	wait 119:20	warranted 99:23	well-regarded
untenable 93:11	Viber 124:15	waiting 120:7	warrants 5:2 49:6	57:18
158:8,15,18,23	vibes 10:1 80:10	225:25	49:7 194:3 225:6	wellbeing 85:2,4
untoward 218:9	video 248:8	walked 150:15	wasn't 3:12 29:3	went 8:5 18:16
unusual 149:3	view 15:4 90:5	151:1	66:7 74:4 77:10	37:23 97:24
up-front 81:15	99:12 116:16	wand 76:21	92:10 150:9	130:8 149:3
update 173:11,20	122:25 128:20	want 12:6 19:11	195:18 224:12	157:16 176:24
updated 240:23	146:24 153:12	20:16 30:20	234:3,4,9 235:21	194:13 222:1,4
updates 208:5	171:23 181:12	44:12,15 47:25	waste 163:25	242:19 244:3,5
updating 242:15	205:9 224:3	48:11 56:22 64:3	waters 101:14	weren't 208:4
uphill 108:7	233:23 249:8	66:2 70:23,25	102:7	212:2,3 214:10
uphold 76:2 91:1	views 56:12 99:22	80:3,16 82:2,6,8	way 14:23 23:24	226:2 234:11
181:21	100:3 121:5	82:12 83:23 84:9	40:2 52:15 59:2	WhatsApp 2:19
upholding 80:15	122:24 173:12	85:12 86:6 87:6	59:11 73:8 82:25	2:24 3:3 4:7 13:6
129:14	176:15 214:3	93:15 95:24	83:12 86:7 94:1	16:24 18:16
upset 223:25	vis 103:1	97:17 98:18,20	94:9,10 95:2	19:18 20:17
USB 153:20	visited 202:12	99:7 100:23	97:3 99:15	26:19,24 27:5
USBs 153:20	visiting 196:7	104:6 116:3	104:25 107:20	28:2 47:8 49:20
use 1:25 21:10	248:11	118:22 122:10,15	128:17 137:13	52:20 55:2 83:5
22:9,19,21,23	voice 93:5 111:6	122:20 123:1	144:11 151:6	112:8 124:19
23:14 24:16,19	112:23 113:1	130:17 135:7	152:8 161:18	125:5 131:23
25:10,14,25	voicemail 112:25	139:13 147:25	172:22 175:24	135:24 143:15
26:24 28:2,3	void 43:20	160:22 161:1	180:6 204:6	149:22 152:8
32:16,22 33:2,14	voluminous	164:12,25 165:4	217:9 225:18,20	153:7 155:7,13
33:18,25 45:19	136:22	166:11,12 169:7	226:6,10 228:6	156:7 161:20
	voluntarily 117:20	176:2 182:21		
	ı	ı	ı	I

162:8 163:7,23	willing 158:25	142:16 143:14	work-issued 25:6	wrote 15:10 87:22
171:23 176:3,5	willingly 16:16,18	171:13	worked 57:19 59:2	87:23 119:7
188:20 189:1,6	wind 22:19	woken 224:1	87:6 88:14 111:9	Wyan 50:13 235:8
191:18 192:6,7,8	wiped 35:22 72:18	woman 87:10	114:18 120:22	238:1,6 244:22
193:19 197:10	186:1,12,13,15	wonder 230:18	144:18 206:3	245:5
198:11 218:20	236:5 242:25	woods 116:12	workforce 150:12	
238:13 248:14	wiping 152:5	word 2:18 12:14	working 67:10,14	X
WhatsApps 3:6	wired 73:20	61:6 67:23 68:2	102:5,8 109:7	
19:19 20:11	wish 8:6,6 10:16	71:13 164:2,3,12	115:1 177:1	Y
26:18,18 46:25	15:15,19,20 20:8	164:13 174:10	180:6 216:17,20	Yamas 217:10
123:18 124:12	35:18,18 55:22	178:4 220:4,5,5	works 55:23	Yeah 58:19 108:11
132:8 133:4,13	133:8 134:5,5	220:18	130:12	164:19 166:4
133:22 134:2	141:16 218:6	worded 47:17 88:2	world 86:12 88:12	170:11,12 225:3
136:17,21 137:1	wished 25:14	wording 245:11,15	171:3	year 185:8 189:19
137:20 138:8	wishes 14:19	words 44:13	worried 79:21	196:4 216:11
139:3 140:19,22	withdraw 116:22	129:25 130:1	81:8,13 108:23	240:12 241:5,24
141:8,12,25	118:7 181:9	158:5 205:15	worries 77:20	year-by-year
142:17 147:1,21	withdrawing	211:13 244:18,20	worry 36:21 75:19	235:4,5
148:19 149:18	117:25 118:21	work 13:9 16:3	218:10	years 12:9 57:4
150:16 151:5,19	119:1	18:5,6 21:22	worse 8:22 78:14	98:16,16 154:23
152:11 154:3,16	withdrawn 117:11	22:16,17 23:8,15	127:16 177:3	154:23 176:17
154:20 162:23,25	118:8,9,11	23:21 24:14,16	worser 77:16	186:10 189:20
163:1,18,21	withdrew 98:7	25:11 26:5 33:14	worst 97:1 98:8	223:15
184:6 187:6,13	250:15	33:20 34:5 35:6	223:15	Yeats 14:2,5,11
187:14 188:3,5	withheld 15:22	35:13,20,21	worth 44:12,13	28:15,21 29:7,19
188:16 189:11	16:10	37:17 39:2 41:24	240:1	35:5 56:17 79:21
193:7 201:11,12	witness 1:6 3:25	42:12,20 44:17	worthwhile 112:17	87:22 89:15
202:5,6,7 237:10	4:9,10,20 17:1	47:1,6,15 51:25	wouldn't 10:17	149:20 172:10,14 189:23 192:18
237:23 238:18	21:10 34:7 53:1	52:11,14 63:14	16:5 20:9 52:5	Yep 121:15
239:6 240:13	108:3 131:18	67:9 72:17 96:10	66:5 68:10 86:6	yesterday 33:6,16
241:12 242:6	135:19 136:22	106:12 108:25	89:20 91:17	56:19 69:8 93:8
whatsoever 13:1	137:19 139:1	109:11 125:11	186:6 189:21	93:9 94:7 104:1
14:14 36:11 56:9	145:1 146:14	149:23 150:4,8	226:11,20 241:14	104:20 106:23
105:10 159:5	147:9 158:4	159:10,11 184:7	245:7	111:10 123:17
160:7	162:23 165:20	184:9,10,11,17	Wow 81:25 206:13	161:17 162:5
wheel 107:20	179:10 183:22	184:20,20,23	Wowee 113:8,11	172:22 189:23
110:1,3	193:18,25 194:4	185:4,16,20,23	113:12	207:12
whilst 24:25 90:6	200:7 205:3	191:5 194:17,19	wretched 141:13	York 241:25
96:6 142:12	209:14 210:8	194:22,23 195:2	writing 5:7 148:6	
whistleblowing	225:23 227:20	196:20 197:12,16	written 91:7	\mathbf{Z}
18:12,14	228:4,17 229:3	197:17,20,23	118:20 124:5	zero 163:21
wide 10:23 46:13	230:20,21 237:20	198:10,15,23,25	148:9 220:8	
98:8	239:9 240:11	199:1,8,11,12,14	241:8	0
widening 211:11	245:21 247:19	200:5,12,15,17	wrong 88:12 123:4	0 223:4
211:16 wider 71:19 72:9	248:10 250:9,11 250:15	200:18 201:19	152:21 154:10	00 100:16
		202:7,8 234:5	157:15 161:11,14	03.14 222:24
widespread 214:7 wife 242:21	witnesses 1:12 13:16 15:16,17	235:23 236:2,14 236:15 243:4,4	180:23 218:14 220:16	0701 61:6 62:17
wild 129:17	97:11 121:21,22	243:11,15 245:19	wrongly 90:1 98:6	1
WIIU 127.1/	71.11 141.41,44	475.11,15 245.19	wrongry 20.1 20.0	
			1	l

				1 age 203
1 97:12 114:21	14 7:1 55:10	55:10 193:20	50:16 51:19 52:1	30th 96:21
195:8 197:1	193:20 223:4	239:10 246:3	96:5 117:23	31 39:1
251:1	14.14 144:23	2020 21:13,14 22:2	175:10,16 193:16	34 223:15
1:27 50:1	14.44 172:7	22:8 28:13 31:1	196:16,24 208:11	36 98:16
10 1:2 41:13 42:2	14.58 183:2	39:1,25 48:14	238:11 247:2	
42:21 107:25	15 34:24 66:24	55:6 56:3,5,14	22nd 50:25 55:14	4
108:1 219:2	75:2,10 84:7	57:4 60:24 61:4	208:16	4 2:8,9 4:10 25:4
237:18,19 240:9	163:9,20 214:14	61:5,11 62:8,17	23 41:10 60:24	25:11 61:5 62:2
10.00 1:3 250:24	218:9,12 219:25	72:21 79:23	61:4,11,14 63:2	62:8,10,11,17
10.00 1.3 230.24 10.23 113:19	221:12 237:14	95:22 97:20	64:1 81:22	63:24 184:1
10.26 112:16	15.08 183:4	98:12 107:9	198:22 206:8,9	185:1 187:19
10.32 95:23	15.13 216:12	108:4 111:6	237:22	203:1,17 224:23
10.35 29:14	15.36 211:3	112:2 169:22	2319 113:16	4000 18:1 21:20
10.33 25.14 10.49 101:7	15.50 223:9	189:25 190:3,7	2388 169:21	22:19 29:8 37:15
10:45 57:1	152 132:12,13	190:13 191:14	23rd 55:13 222:24	40:18 43:2,3
100 155:21	1546 61:4	193:3,21 195:8	223:11	62:4,6,7
1047 44:9	1547 60:25	195:13 196:16,17	24 64:12 89:11	45 93:6
1069 121:12,12	15th 54:13 164:11	196:17,24 206:10		495 108:3
10th 32:12	170:5 207:24	212:14 215:19	2400 170:23	497 109:18,18
11 17:1 233:20	211:22 212:14	222:24 227:8	2497 117:1	
240:9 250:24	213:25 216:2	239:14,23 240:21	25 237:22	5
11.10 57:12	217:11	240:24 241:8	255 40:15	5 22:16,18 24:24
11.27 72:3	16 41:13 79:23	246:4,6	25th 219:6	24:25 55:5,11
11.42 72:5	169:22	2021 185:4 189:18	26th 55:13	56:3,8 84:21
1111 180:23	16.10 224:23,25	216:11 236:1	27 227:8	97:8 108:4
12 5:25 7:7 37:19	16.21 216:19	2022 2:8,10 4:11	27th 55:13	116:10,17,17
49:20,23 56:25	16.23 250:23	8:1 15:10 34:24	28 111:6 112:2,3	117:2 174:22,23
64:9 73:13 75:16	17- 246:15	53:1 140:4	112:15	175:10 248:23
78:22 81:14	17:13 117:2	153:16 181:1	29 4:21 75:1 84:13	5.00 42:3
94:23 129:10	18 170:19 246:5	193:17 232:25	84:21 85:10	5.21 86:2
172:24 185:18	18th 87:21 170:15	238:9	92:16 97:20	52 60:13
196:17 198:20,21	170:17 246:11	2023 16:20 17:6	98:12 116:6	5511 211:1,2
199:5 201:23	19 4:24 246:5,12	21:19 41:1 44:6	117:15 163:3	5755 212:12
207:13,20 235:1	19.23 219:5,6	48:5 64:13 176:7	181:1	5757 209:14
240:9 243:9	19th 87:21	201:16 237:8,14	29th 85:21 96:4,10	
12.09 79:23		237:21 248:16	97:24 158:16	5th 91:23 97:8
12.15 95:19	2	2024 48:6 56:25		6
12.42 115:24	2 60:14 137:19	57:10 140:17	3	6 15:10 32:13
1220 4:25	20 3:25 42:14	147:17 195:6	3 184:1 203:1,17	
12th 10:1 79:15	60:18 86:2,20	237:12	206:15 223:4	109:19 237:10
89:7 217:8	163:9,20 171:4,5	2025 1:2 250:25	3.14 223:11	629 106:20 6NM 101:9
13 6:1 73:13 163:4	171:13 190:8,9	20th 54:13 207:25	30 25:1 31:18	UINIVI 101:9
163:9,19 201:24	192:24 213:10,13	211:22 214:1	32:11 48:14,17	7
235:1	2006 21:18	215:21 217:11	49:25 52:1 64:9	7 24:15,23 33:1
13.44 116:1	2015 44:23 46:11	248:9	64:9 95:22	62:8 249:15
13th 54:13 207:24	46:12	21 103:24	160:18,22 192:24	7006 48:12 81:19
211:21 213:25	2017 45:8,9 153:23	21.45 218:21	195:8 196:16,24	747 170:23
215:19 217:11	2018 60:19	21:25 31:4	196:25 198:20	784/26/25 117:1
248:8	2019 21:24 25:2	22 34:14 48:15,17	239:23 246:21,25	
	28:11 32:11 46:1		247:2	
	•	•	•	•

		Page 284
8 841:13 42:14 56:14 104:13 198:22 205:6 246:12 247:17 9 921:24 22:2,4,5 31:1 193:20 195:21 202:20,20 203:14,20 239:13 239:22 246:17,17 246:21 247:17 9.0 25:5 9.05 98:22 9.20 81:23 206:10 9.49 101:7 9.50 209:18 9004 190:18 920 33:5 921 33:8 9th 17:1 34:7 137:18 246:16		Page 284